Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from Missouri

These are the 10,219 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Missouri.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs under the proceeding 17-108.

1. David Sears, St peters, MO, 63376
   I don't believe this filing is in the best interests of internet users. This will only serve to make the internet a vehicle for moneyed interests. It is not what the internet should be. This proposal is simply bad.

2. Jasper Mullarney, Founder, Adrevio, LLC, Kansas City, MO, 64109
   Please KEEP current net neutrality rules!!! ISP's should NOT be allowed to charge sites or apps for network performance, as it'll decrease creativity and strangle companies like mine. ISP's should NOT be allowed to charge different prices for preferential treatment. KEEP Title 2 rules in place, keeping ISP's as common carriers like the phone companies. To not do so would be a disaster for the internet ecosystem.

3. Leon Chojnacki, Fulton, MO, 65251
   Good People -I cannot see how Internet Neutrality is technically hurting us consumers and taxpayers. The internet IS a utility and the big telecoms do not want to be regulated as a utility. In effect you are letting the telecom giants have their way - and we, the paying public will continue to pay more and more. The telecom giants' arguments that they cannot spend more on their networks is fallacious (read "bogus") based on their huge investments SINCE net neutrality was put into effect. I insist on internet regulation as a utility to include net neutrality. Once the fiber is laid capacity can be increased without laying more fiber - upgrading the fiber equipment deployed to use the fiber is all that's needed.. That's already been proven. Thanks for the opportunity to comment - Leon

4. Paul Haug, St. Charles, MO, 63304
   Net neutrality is for the betterment of all mankind. Destroying it will only end the free market online, promote censorship, and limit freedom of speech. Do society a favor, and keep the Internet the way it has been since its beginning: equally open everywhere.

5. Randall Wald, Kansas City, MO, 64112
   Have you considered changing the motto of the FCC to "War is peace. Freedom is slavery. Ignorance is strength"? Or perhaps you should use the motto of the GOP, "Monopoly is competition. Lies are truth. Treason is patriotism." I know that no amount of public outcry will affect your decisions -- you do not work for the public. You once claimed with a straight face that "By allowing cellular carriers to charge content providers to bypass their data caps, I encouraged cellular carriers to offer unlimited plans which don't have data caps at all (meaning they won't make any money by having content providers double-pay them). You're welcome, America." Or to put it more bluntly, you expect the American people to believe that by letting the ISPs act without regards to customer satisfaction, they become more focused on customer satisfaction.I don't know if you're aware, but ISPs are corporations. They are neither good or evil (in principle), but will instead extend and grow to be as evil as the competitive and regulatory environment allows them. But there is no competition for fixed wire-line ISPs. No, wireless carriers are not competition for fixed broadband. No, DSL is not competition for fixed broadband. No, letting ISPs be even more evil will not encourage overbuilding and actual competition for fixed broadband -- overbuilding is mutually-assured destruction, and wire-line ISPs are too smart to do something like that when they can just charge their existing customer base double instead.If you truly bowed at the altar of competition, you would recognize an uncompetitive marketplace, and take steps that actually *create* competition, rather than rewarding existing providers for having avoided it. For example, you could impose local-loop unbundling. This has been shown to be effective in other countries at enabling competition, and once there is *real* competition, additional regulations (such as net neutrality) cease to be necessary: if consumers have a genuine choice, they can choose the least-evil ISP. But you can't say "I love competition," and then look at a natural monopoly and say "I love this system, there is nothing wrong here." Competitive marketplaces are not the natural "state of nature" for all systems, and just like laws are necessary for enforcing contracts (to make marketplaces work), laws are necessary in some cases to enable competition rather than stagnation.Of course, you know this already. No amount of killing small businesses or "picking winners and loser" (as your proposed elimination of net neutrality would let ISPs do) is going to stop you. This isn't about "promoting competition," or "ensuring prosperity," or "helping Americans." This is about the bottom line: how to keep entrenched monopolies in place and keep Americans in a digital backwater. In 20 years when there are no new tech companies in America, I hope you're proud of what your party has wrought. Of course, by then your paychecks will all have come in and you won't care what happens to America. Well, at least you got a head start on that second part.

6. robert handley, Kirksville, MO, 63501
   Keep net neutrality!!! Ajit Pai is killing freedom with his reckless shilling for Trump. Get rid of him.

7. Ryan Chrisco, St. Louis, MO, 63141
   The Internet, as a whole, is a paradigm-shifting innovation. Legislation governing its usage may be tempted to follow the path and discussion prompted by previously impactful public goods, like highways and sewage systems, but this is not sufficient, even if the end goal of government regulation is the same desired end. The Internet is a concept, a revelation, beyond the title of mere "public good." It changes how people think and interact, it alters communication - it creates a change in how all human knowledge can be collected and shared. So far, I think, this is for the better. Institutions like Wikipedia and Google have well-organized and structured this knowledge,

enabling humanity as a whole to better itself both on an individual and social level. For example, humanitarian crises are no longer dependent on a celebrity name or huge publication to notice their suffering and inform others about it - Facebook allows us to see this "firsthand." This is where the capitalist, the conservative in me is happy - these private corporations are contributing greatly to the public welfare. It's almost enough for me to acknowledge the benefits present in removing Internet regulation. Yet, the Internet is such a valuable, delicate creation that its mere existence encourages extortion. Telecommunications giants cannot be trusted with this responsibility. They exist for a profit, and profit, by definition, will never be coincident with the welfare of others. In the same way political lobbying has grown into a massive industry in its own right, so now shall information control via the Internet. How much more willing will a powerful figure be to control information flow (by slowing or speeding traffic according to their priorities) than they are to encourage or discourage votes? The Internet has once again thrust public opinion into a position of great power - even the mightiest corporations come down on bended knee to social media shaming. In my opinion, this is a good change, a democratic change. Now we are at a threshold where we are allowing corporations to temper that power - the power of the people. The easiest example is if a company like Twitter or Netflix supports or funds a candidate a telecom giant doesn't like - suddenly their competitors get faster Internet access. You might cry 'foul' now, but the telecom industry now controls information access - information flow. It's hard to fully understand how influential that power will be. While the era of 'fake news' is somewhat overblown, it does give us a glimpse of how the Internet can control information. This problem will only get worse - any corporation will want to employ this insidious tactic. Laws like this only aid that goal. Let me be clear: allowing this behavior is giving information control to one of the most resilient oligarchies in this nation, the telecommunications industry. Allowing the first steps; allowing paid, prioritized traffic, might sound like it benefits consumers, but all it is doing is shifting power back into the hands of corporations. It might help consumers in the short run, but in the end all it is doing is taking power away from them. Corporations don't lightly hand that power back.

8. Jeffrey Krakow, Springfield, MO, 65803
   The quick and simple version is that net neutrality ensures that all sites on the internet are treated equally, ISPs are regulated fairly, and no site, app, or service can be blocked nor pay for preferential treatment.

9. Matthew Dye, Columbia, MO, 65202
   I am dismayed by the current plans to enact the Restoring Internet Freedom rule in the FCC, an act that would, by its very definition, have the opposite, deleterious effect of the one that its title assumes.It is true that "For almost twenty years, the Internet flourished under a light-touch regulatory approach." This is because regulation was not really a feasible responsibility with the technology at hand. Since then, with the advent of packet sniffing and other network analysis technologies, large corporations and ISPs have unprecedented power and control over their networks. With this technology, regulation of the internet and the speech that flows over its infrastructure rests not with consumers and citizens; rather, it lies in the hands of the ISPs -- ISPs that have fight competition throughout the country. Without net neutrality rules, ISPs could charge different rates for data on their networks.This is not the environment that allowed Google and Yahoo and eventually Facebook and even Netflix to flourish. Each of these companies, pulling in billions of dollars worldwide, began humbly, in dorm rooms and garages. It is conceivable that the next big internet company could begin in the same way. And yet now they will have to pay what amounts to a tax imposed by their ISP for the privilege of reaching their audience.The response has largely been that if one wants to support net neutrality, one should reject ISPs that do not support it. However, this ignores the reality of internet access for vast swaths of the country in which there is only one ISP available -- and quite often, that ISP has worked to prevent other ISPs from invading their territory.In short, please do not gut net neutrality; please vote in favor of equal access. Not just for now, but for the future.

10. Brandon Doss, Carl Junction, MO, 64834
    We've seen in the past that we cannot trust companies to self regulate, and the FTC is in no position to take preventative measures.

11. Dennis Caplinger, Maplewood, MO, 63143
    As a professional in digital advertising and a common user, this reversing of the net neutrality rules or the inability to enforce them upon ISPs, is greatly concerning. Small businesses would never be able to pay what large corporations can to have equivalent internet performance, bandwidth priority, or equality within the eyes of the ISPs. This would ultimately harm competition and consumer choice. As a user, the existence of tiers of service, already means that I pay for the performance I expect to receive. However, if I pay a set amount for a set service and an internet application I use is being throttled by the ISP for any reason, then ultimately I am having my internet throttled, which is unjust on the user end. This change has no benefit to the vast majority of US Citizens and greatly undermines the future of our country being a tech leader.

12. Jason Kramer, Columbia, MO, 65201
    The broadband market is not competitive. These proposals to kill net neutrality will not increase competition. The street where I live has 1 ISP that doesn't even offer broadband speeds (10mbps down/1mbps up). This ISP will be able to more easily control my access to the internet with these net rules. That doesn't sound like 'restoring freedom' to me. Please reconsider this proposal and take steps that will actually increase competition among ISP's all the while continuing to force them to treat all traffic on the internet equally. Zero rating, throttling, etc are all anti-customer.

13. Kevin Bizub, Maplewood, MO, 63143
    Net neutrality is so vital to this country and the idea of free speech, that it's appalling to me that anyone could consider going against it. Broadband Internet absolutely needs to be a public utility, because it's impossible for the free market to apply to it. Twenty states have laws in various areas that specifically prohibit new internet startups. Even if these laws were removed, you're looking at the unfeasible task of expecting every new internet startup to string their own wires to every house in their target market, because in a free market the telecoms would have say over the data that goes over the wires. (As a side note, it's a complete joke that cable companies own the wires, when it was American taxpayers who paid for them in the form of tens of billions of dollars in tax breaks and incentives.)Opposers of Net Neutrality don't seem to realize that killing it would give telecoms all of benefits of deregulation (which in itself is okay) but would also leave behind all the other regulation (and laws of practicality) that prohibits a free market. You're giving the billion dollar companies all the power without the downsides of actually having competition. A legal monopoly, paid for by the taxpayers, where the companies control which data goes to which consumer at what speed and at what price. They get to legally stifle competitors while having unfettered control over every downstream company and packet of data. How does that make sense?Net Neutrality was the de

facto standard of the internet for decades (until telecoms started to go against it in the 2010s). It's a big part of the reason the internet grew to be what it is today. Virtually every expert in the field, every software/hardware engineer, every network technician, and everyone who has any sort of computer knowledge agrees that Net Neutrality is a vital part of keeping this country free and allowing competition to flourish. In a world without Net Neutrality, companies like Netflix, Hulu, and Google almost surely would be killed soon after they start, as they get blocked/throttled by ISPs who are legally allowed to act on their conflicts of interest.Pai needs to stop exclusively listening to the boards of multibillion dollar companies, and listen to actual experts in the field. We need Net Neutrality. Even if you live in a weird world where you don't want the internet to be a public utility, there's other legislation that absolutely MUST be taken care of BEFORE you start talking about Net Neutrality. To do otherwise would be devastating to the free market and a danger to our free society.

14. Nicholas Wing, Kirksville, MO, 63501
    The Open Internet Order of 2015 helped to ensure a free, fair, and open internet playing field, allowing any and all traffic equal chance to reach an audience. Telecom advocate Ajit Pai's agenda to roll back these protections is an attack on fair competition, and is a transparent attempt allow ISPs to extract tolls for access and line their own pockets. The FCC board must vote against Chairman Pai's anti-competitive, anti-consumer agenda.

15. Kevin Pate, St. Louis, MO, 63108
    The internet has become a critical part of our society and belongs to society, not big companies. The internet is every bit as much a public service as electricity or water and should be regulated as such. These rule changes would favor big businesses over individuals and small businesses and reduce the societal benefit we gain from its use. This is not acceptable.

16. Restoring Internet Freedom, Ballwin, MO, 63021
    As a network Engineer with 14+ years with one of the nations largest service providers, and over 18 years in the industry, I can only say that this proposal would be horribly detrimental to American consumers, Small to Medium sized businesses, and the future of American innovation in the technology industry.The very name of the proposal "Restoring Internet Freedom" is evidence that this proposal is intended to deliberately mislead the public as to its purpose, or a fundamental lack of understanding of the issue on the part of the FCC. Please do not put the financial interests of Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon, over the interests of our Nation. Your responsibility is NOT to these corporations, nor to the politicians who's campaigns they financially support. Your responsibility is to the American People, and we need you to stand up for us.

17. Tony, ofallon, MO, 63368
    Whatever happened to the government protecting it's citizens? This move is in no way beneficial to citizens of the US. This is blatantly about politicians being in the pockets of ISPs.

18. Joshua Brown, Independence, MO, 64057
    Net Neutrality ensures innovation may be done by anybody including startups and individuals. Without it, only the incumbents with deep pockets and little reason to innovate have a chance at true innovation.

19. Dustin Doenges, Barnhart, MO, 63012
    This is an anti-consumer proposal that will allow ISPs to control what content is available and what is not. This is nothing but a give away to special interests. The internet has to remain free and open. This would ensure a closed and stilted series of micro networks. They will have rising costs and decreasing content. This must not move forward.

20. Daniel Funston, Wentzville, MO, 63385
    The protections of net neutrality are essential to maintaining the free and open internet that we have access to today. The internet has become essential to everyday life, and ISPs have shown in the past that they are unable to police themselves, and the free market does not keep them from making problematic changes to their services. Do not allow this to pass.

21. John Powell, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
    The attack on the net neutrality regulations needs to stop - the existing regulations in place ensure freedom of the internet - despite what the new head of the FCC is trying to lie to the public about. SHAME ON YOU for trying to 'chop these regulations' and misleading the non-technical public as to what this really means.

22. Peyton Roberts, Independence, MO, 64055
    Please KEEP Net Neutrality that was put in place by the Obama Administration. It helps keep consumers like me from being charged more for certain websites. It also prevents Internet Service Providers from throttling load speeds on websites that refuse to pay a higher price.

23. will, Saint Joseph, MO, 64503
    Eliminating net neutrality rules wouldn't be very consumer friendly. Certainly not for consumers in locations where there is an abundant lack of real competition in the ISP market.

24. Carey Vaughan, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
    Please do not pass the obviously deceptively named â€œRestore Internet Freedom Actâ€. This bill is clearly designed to do the exact opposite as the name implies!Please treat internet access like a utility. I believe internet access is a basic necessity just like power and water. It does not need to be free, although there are several countries who have made it so for ALL citizens, but at least equally distributed and available to all. Internet access has become a near requirement for a successful life in America.

25. Dana Perantie, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
    You cannot pretend that selective restriction of internet access, based on will of corporations at the expense and inconvenience of customers, is good for the people. These actions threaten free speech as well as free enterprise, allowing corporations to throttle websites they want to wither and preferred access to websites that benefit them. All websites should be accessed with equal ease. No one should

trust a corporation to decide which sites to throttle. This is also like allowing only rich people to use the left lane on the highway, and acting like you are doing poor people stuck in the right lane that you are doing them a favor. All websites deserve equal access, and all users deserve equal access to them!

26. j j manganiello, Ballwin, MO, 63021
an ISP should not determine what website i utilize. nor should an ISP promote or demote web-traffic.

27. Michael Byrd, St. Charles, MO, 63301
A free and open internet is crucial to the betterment of humanity and of course it's crucial to free enterprise. The same reason we don't restrict electricity and water to poorer individuals and businesses is the same reason we need the internet to be open for all. We pay for access, we shouldn't have to pay for more access afterwards. Keep net neutrality in place, please.

28. Net Neutrality, St. Peters, MO, 63376
Please leave net neutrality alone. ISPs will operate solely in their own interests and not in the interests of what is best for the American public. I am against the chairman's plan to reverse the net neutrality rules. I believe doing so will destroy a vital engine for innovation, growth, and communication. Everyone i know is against the proposed actions. Keep our internet open and free

29. Ethan Thomas, Shelbina, MO, 63468
The internet should be free and open to everybody, not just the elite.

30. Vincent Autry, Manchester, MO, 63021
I am against the plan to reverse the rules on net neutrality. The internet should remain open to innovation and communication. Reversing these rules will give free reign to Internet Service Providers.. ISPs have, in the past, been guilty of throttling speeds, giving preferential treatment to their own subsidiaries, capping data of "unlimited" plans, and strong-arming cities from creating their own high-speed service while pocketing money for themselves and never improving their infrastructure.

31. Will Laws, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I believe . a free and open internet is an absolute right. I would like the FCC to continue to support Net Neutrality. I think the idea of corporations selecting what their customers get and don't get is against the very reason for the internet. I think that broadband internet should be treated like any other utility, it's a part of daily life for everyone and it needs to remain open and free for everyone.

32. Matt Isle, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Please keep Net Neutrality as it is. Removing those rules does not help the American people in any way.

33. Elliott Usher, Columbia, MO, 65203
I ask that you maintain net neutrality, as it allows those who offer the best services to succeed on the internet, rather than vertically integrated conglomerates who control access.People argue that the internet became successful without net neutrality, so it would hurt the ecosystem to demand equal priority now.What that argument misses is that the government is no longer the only actor that can exert market changing forces in the internet. In the past, the internet was much less consolidated, and cable television providers hadn't recognized that the internet represented a real threat to their business model. Previously, what made a business successful on the internet was merit. Merit provided the market leverage necessary to succeed. Customers used the best product the most, and it became successful.In today's internet, the power of market consolidation can overcome merit, creating only products that are the most profitable to the vertically integrated cable conglomerates, rather than products that consumers demand. Don't let monopolies with market control stop small businesses from using the internet for what it's best at: equal access.

34. david atkins, ballwin, MO, 63011
Net neutrality rules are vital! people need to use the internet the same way people need to use cell phones. The FCC is there to protect consumers not to be the pantheon for telecom companies! Please keep the internet free and open as current guidelines are now, do not change to benefit the monopolistic cable companies. Right now consumers do not have a choice for who provides them internet and those are the same companies that delivery content. allowing them to slow competitors to benefit themselves while consumers have no choice is in no way a free market

35. Caroline Chow, Kansas City, MO, 64111
The FCC needs to keep the internet classified as a telecommunications service. Mobile and broadband internet needs to be regulated similar to utility companies. A large majority of Americans rely on the internet to do perform essential day to day life-sustaining tasks, communicate, and work. Do not re-classify the internet as an information service. The internet functions listed in paragraph 27 need to be assessed from a user perspective. Users should be able to access all websites and applications equally. They should not encounter any blocking or throttling from broadband or mobile service providers.In paragraph 79, the no-blocking rule is absolutely necessary. Without the rule, ISPs may have ability to block platforms to prevent people of color or disadvantaged populations from speaking freely. ISPs would have ability to skew the information that people receive. In paragraph 82, the current no-throttling rule is essential and needs to be upheld. Allowing for throttling stifles online competition. Differentiated priority does not help the consumer or small businesses. Such practice prevents small businesses and users are anti-competitive. Throttling prevents a free, open internet. Consumers may not end up using a site that loads slower and may end up using a site that is vertically integrated with the internet company. Sites may have to pay more to â€œundoâ€ the throttling and pass that expense onto the consumers. In such cases, the internet company is promoting anti-competitive behavior.In paragraph 85, paid prioritization would do something similar to throttling. Providing different price points for paid prioritization and non-paid prioritization internet would harm low-income, low socio-economic, disadvantaged populations, which are mainly people of color. They could not access the internet at the same quality as those who may earn a higher income and can afford a different pricing tier. Additional, paid prioritization would harm startups and innovation. Those businesses unable to afford prioritization would receive less traffic, which translates into profit. Businesses with more capital, which are usually larger and established companies, would grow and gain profits. This does not equate to a free, open Internet. Additionally, internet companies may prioritize their vertically integrated companies, leading to anti-competition. In paragraph 89 and 90, the transparency rule is essential to protect consumers. Full disclosure of the internet plan in plain text, 5th grade level language needs to be communicated to the consumer

every time s/he signs up with an internet service provider. The 2015 enhancements need to be retained.For paragraph 94, the current 2015 rules need to apply to mobile broadband internet. As more and more consumers rely on their mobile phones to access information off the internet, they must be protected with no-blocking, no-throttling, no paid prioritization, and transparency rules. The FCC should enforce these rules in order to protect consumers and small businesses. The FCC should be able to regulate internet companies (mobile and landline) and perform regular audits to ensure companies are adhering to stated rules and regulations.

36. rusty, Columbia, MO, 65201
    I support regulating the internet as a utility, and am against the chairman's plans to reverse net neutrality rules

37. Kurt Milberg, Florissant, MO, 63034
    The internet is representative of America, in that it should be free. No strings, no ISP getting priority, choice in who gets what, or any such thing.

38. Philip D. Wilson, Joplin, MO, 64801
    The nature of internet service provision is that the user has relatively little freedom to change providers on a whim, but ISP's can easily throttle users. ISP's in many places have quasi-monopolistic power. Internet service providers should be treated like the utilities that they are.It will be said that Google and Facebook take advantage of internet users and our data already. But it is far, far easier to use another search engine or to change one's preferred social media than to physically replace one's ISP. And in any case, antitrust regulations should be applied to Google as it is. Continuing to allow Google to manipulate searches, and then allowing ISP's to engage in data mining and datastream control is going in the wrong direction.

39. Jeff Dinkins, Columbia, MO, 65202
    I just wanted to voice my option that I'm very much against these proposals to reverse net-neutrality. This is not what the public wants, and will do the exact opposite of what the FCC Chairman claims they will.

40. Keith Sadler, Independence, MO, 64057
    This bill only increases the income inequality our society currently faces. I am physically disabled and require a free and open internet, as accessible to me as anyone else, to maintain my quality of life. I am not the only one, of course, and thus these rules wil negativelyl impact a large amount of US citizens.

41. carl eschbacher, North Kansas City, MO, 64116
    I believe "net neutrality" is a worthy concept and practice. Please preserve it. Consider too even enhancing the Internet by expanding upon this basic principle.

42. nicholas staufenbiel, ofallon, MO, 63368
    This new plan for rules on ISPs stifles all small business, imposes restrictions on information, and gives the potential for the ISPs to hold the government and the tax holders hostage.This new plan allows ISPs to choke traffic to the point it is effectively stopped to websites/services as ISPs see fit.Say you are a small business owner looking to sell items/services over the internet, but because you can not afford the cost of paying every ISP in every area (because just because you pay, for example, Charter for a reasonable connection, does not mean you will have a reasonable connection in an area where Charter does not service, but Comcast does), or maybe just because an ISP will not allow you to have a reasonable connection, you then could not grow your business, create jobs, and support yourself and others.ISPs could also effectively block access to websites that support climate change deniers or supporters. Leading to misinformation for whichever side they support. This kind of activity could also lead to American's being less educated than other nations that have more open access to the internet, causing America to fall even further behind in the world view. Lastly, ISPs could (and would, because it makes the most economical sense) block access to .gov websites until the government (taxpayers) are forced to pay exorbitant fees to have access to information that all American citizens should have.

43. James Gilligan, Lake St. Louis, MO, 63367
    I am against the end of net neutrality. It will allow internet providers to dictate what we see and do on the internet. This destroys our basic freedoms of the internet. Ending net neutrality will do nothing but stunt the growth of this country. It will stunt communications, it will ruin small businesses, and aim to put more money in the wealthiest pockets. Not only that but our technology sector would surely leave our country in search of better opportunities. Somewhere they can work freely without fear of outrageous charges from internet providers. Keep net neutrality. Keep our freedom.

44. Amy Valenciano, Kansas City, MO, 64155
    This proceeding has nothing to do with protecting the internet freedom of our citizens and everything to do with enabling greedy corporations to fleece their consumers. This is outrageously shameful and should not be allowed!

45. James Dunston, Florissant, MO, 63033
    The removal of Net Neutrality would take away power from consumers and small business, and concentrate it in the hands of large businesses. This seems the exact opposite of what we, as a country, claim to stand for. It will stifle innovation, and restrict the creation of small internet focused businesses. I am strongly against the removal of Net Neutrality.

46. Stephen Zimmerman, St Louis, MO, 63105
    I am unequivocally against this measure, and believe that its effect will be the opposite of what its name suggests.I'm a professional Software Engineer (8+ years now), and believe I have an adequate understanding of the issues that the FCC was trying to address by classifying ISPs as carriers under Title II. These ISPs are local monopolies or duopolies, and they use the lack of customer choice for internet as leverage against those same customers to attempt to extort payment for other effectively worthless services (think: TV or phone landline). Why, then, should they deserve more leverage in this regard?In a world with proper ISP competition across the country, I admit that government enforcement of net neutrality might be onerous and unnecessary. But we do not live in that world, and I do not believe anyone who says we will does so in good faith.Stick with Title II. Not every decision the Democrats made was blindly partisan, and not every decision made under Republican leadership needs to be diametrically opposed.

47. James Walker, Bowling green, MO, 63334
    Net neutrality is needed to inspire competition. Since the world is "on-line" now with millions of webpages and billions of resources, internet providers should not be able to restrict who can and can not access a resource. The "internet" should remain open to all. Why should NetFlix be slower than Charter on-demand if they are both streaming services? They would have the same impact on Charters network with one clear difference. Netflix is a competitor.

48. Brewster Smith, Saint Louis, MO, 63103
    Unfettered access to the internet is the new measure of a Nation's socioeconomic standing and should be protected. Do not remove internet protections, instead increase them. The internet needs to be completely neutral and protected for the end user, all US citizens.

49. William Andrew Michels, Kansas City, MO, 64124
    This is absolute rubbish. This is not about protecting normal citizens, but giving the ability to prioritize more financially robust site owners over other users in a myriad of way, and allowing the ISPs to profit off of that disparity. Ending the financially egalitarian state of the internet will stifle innovation, as only those who can afford to pay the highest costs will be able to access the full potential reach of the internet.

50. Joel Harderson, Maryville, MO, 64468
    The 2nd of the FCC's Strategic Goals is "Protecting Public Interest GoalsThe rights of network users and the responsibilities of network providers form a bond that includes consumer protection, competition, universal service, public safety and national security. The FCC must protect and promote this Network Compact."How can the FCC fulfill this goal if it doesn't have an avenue to rein in companies who implement policies or programs that directly or indirectly impact competition and customer protections. Examples include allowing ISP's to collect and sell customer web data, or At&T promoting DirectTV, which At&t owns, streaming service doesn't count towards your data cap. Which directly is hurting competition for other streaming services, how is the data from one service any different than data from another service. Here is a hint it isn't so why rate them differently. One of the main reasons behind the Title II reclassification of broadband ISP's is to provide an avenue to hold the providers that are introducing anti-competitive, and/or anti-consumer policies accountable. The FCC should be able to regulate when needed, and hands off when not. One thing I would like the FCC do is send a recommendation to all State governments to evaluate their stats current laws and regulations for broadband providers and work on changing or removing the anti-competition. It boils down to who do expect to do the right thing for the customer the ISPs or the government. Personally I expect the government, business care more about there bottom line and keeping shareholders happy than they do on customer satisfaction.

51. Tyler Neff, Rolla, MO, 65401
    I oppose Commissioner Pai's proposal to roll back net neutrality rules. Allowing ISPs to decide which content is privileged (or even which content is served) serves only to enrich the ISPs to the detriment of the people using the service. The United States has thrived on a culture of open access to information, and ensuring that a service which has changed the way we access information remains fair and open via government regulation is thorougly appropriate.

52. Kathryn Fritz, Kansas City, MO, 64152
    I am strongly against this restoring internet "freedom" proposal. Because, the "freedom" that would be gained here would only be for the ISP's, at the expense of everyone else. When ISPS are not regulated, they have shown themselves, again and again, to be perfectly willing to behave like mafia gangsters. Look no further than what happened between Comcast and Netflix for this. Customers who were paying for their internet connection through Comcast wanted to watch Netflix. Comcast had already gotten their money for their service from their customers for this, but they decided they wanted a little more of the action, and tried to effectively blackmail Netflix into also paying them money to ensure that their video made it to the customer ok without them screwing with the connection. Comcast of course denied they were doing this, but when their customer used a VPN to watch Netflix, suddenly their connection was fine, which proved Comcast was lying and trying to get Netflix to pony up with "that sure is a nice little video service you're providing-shame if something were to happen to it." This is but one instance, but when it was Netflix it happened to, Netflix was big enough to weather the storm. A smaller company would have either ponied up or, if they couldn't afford to pony up, possibly go out of business when consumers, not realizing their connectivity issues are due to their ISP intentionally screwing with the connection, take their business elsewhere. So basically, instead of increasing freedom for everyone and getting the government out of the business of picking winners and losers, this just frees the government of responsibility, while transferring the ability to pick winners and losers to huge companies that stand to gain a lot by behaving abusively, especially in the USA, where ISPS effectively have little regional monopolies, where one ISP tends to stay in certain geographic regions, while another ISP stays in another region, ensuring that they don't have to compete with each other and making it so their customers have little or no choice in who to use as their service provider. There's a reason comcast has such a terrible reputation-it doesn't have to compete and removing net neutrality will not help with that-it will just give them more leeway to abuse their customers.

53. Darren Maas, St. Charles, MO, 63301
    Despite the flood of bots spewing telco-sponsored anti-net neutrality garbage all over this farce of an open forum, what is being termed "restoring internet freedom" is in all actuality, "breaking the internet as it has always existed for the financial benefit of the already monopolistic internet providers". The "freedom" laughingly being "restored" is the "freedom" for ISPs to choose what content their customers can access over the internet. ISPs should not have this "freedom" that robs every citizen of their *actual* freedom to access whatever content on the internet they choose. ISPs are the water company - they provide the pipe. They have no business telling me exactly how I can use a gallon of water. If I want to drink it or bathe in it, that should be my choice. Anyone who supports eliminating net neutrality either a) does not understand the technology and only has the opinions their political party chooses to give them, or b) stands to gain financially from the ruination of the Internet as we know it. It's a disgrace to the free and open exchange of ideas that has always been the inherent nature of the internet, and it's a disgrace to everything American and truly free.

54. Matt Shrake, Kansas City, MO, 64111
    I stand firmly against this proposal. Preserving net neutrality is imperative to the free market. This proceeding would hurt small business and the consumer.

55. Richard Bader, St. Louis, MO, 63110
A reduction in rules defining "Competition" does not make a free market. In order for that to be the case, these companies must feel a pressure to improve and optimize. Allowing them to choke the highways they maintain(created by others) and extort a tax upon anyone using them, in addition to taxing the entry points is the opposite of either free market, or pro-business principles.

56. Sean Miller, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
The FCC should retain the ability to regulate the Internet as a public utility under the provisions of Title II. ISPs have shown they are willing and able to abuse customers and shake them down for every last dollar they can. Most consumers lack choice in their ISP as well, with many only having one or two wired options. Telecommunications companies have been gearing up for the repeal of all net neutrality as they gobble up content creators in an attempt to create a vertical market. They also continue to purchase smaller regional ISPs, which further erodes consumer choice. I ask that the FCC protect net neutrality for the sake of all American Internet users.

57. Jill Heins, Warsaw, MO, 65355
Obamaâ€™s Title II order has diminished broadband investment, stifled innovation, and left American consumers potentially saddled with a new broadband tax.These regulations ended a decades-long bipartisan consensus that the Internet should be regulated through a light touch framework that made the Internet what it is.For these reasons I'd like to urge you to fully repeal the Obama/Wheeler Internet regulations.

58. Travis Brecheen, Owensville, MO, 65066
The internet is absolutely a utility and needs to be treated as such. Broadband is not merely an information service, it is a telecommunications service. ISPs are Absolutely common carriers under Title II. Further, market-based policies do not work when something is either a monopoly or a necessity. The internet is both. ISPs function as oligopolies, by dividing up the U.S., they function as a monopoly. The internet is used for entertainment, employment networking, banking, news, socializing; in short, it is a necessity to participate in modern society. The elimination of the classification of the internet as a utility would do nothing but profit the telecommunications companies, while restricting access to the average person. The ironic misnomer of "Restoring Internet Freedom" would do exactly the opposite.

59. Nathan Naugle, Nixa, MO, 65714
Getting rid of net neutrality is a bad idea. The internet is a vital service that many rely on every day. I personally need reliable internet for my college course work everyday.

60. Raj Joseph, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
The Internet is a utility in 2017. Access, content, and delivery speed should be provided consistently to everyone. Cable companies should not regulate the access, content, or delivery speed due to financial considerations. Weakening net neutrality would benefit only corporate executives and would harm ordinary citizens. I support net neutrality in its current form and oppose any changes that threaten it.

61. Alexander Clawson, Megan Clawson, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
Net Neutrality is critical to a healthy, open internet.The last thing America needs is for ISPs with an agenda to determine what we can and cannot do or view, simply because they feel like charging more money.

62. Kirby Wilson, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
Dear FCC and Congress, It has been made abundantly clear by their past actions that ISP's cannot be trusted to serve the best interests of their customers. Verizon has installed malware on users PC's, AT&T has over charged customers for speeds they can't provide, and Comcast and Time Warner routinely score last in customer service surveys. Providing a quality service to their customers takes a back seat to lining their pockets with money. Most Americans don't have a choice between true broadband providers which allows these companies to act as regional monopolies and bilk their users for lots of money while providing the bare minimum of product. I urge you to not reward them for their greed by placing less restrictions on their behavior. I urge you to publicly oppose Ajit Pai's plan, and vote against it.Thank you,Kirby WilsonKirby Wilson

63. Adam Frank, St. Louis, MO, 63101
Revoking net neutrality would destroy the greatest engine for growth the world economy has ever seen. It would destroy jobs by preventing new companies from forming. It would destroy creativity and technological progress by entrenching the biggest companies. It would destroy education and fairness for poor people who can't afford better internet plans.

64. Myron Daldrup, Peculiar, MO, 64078
Dear FCC,I wish to convey that I believe the FCC Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are important to the United States and they should remain intact.The original purpose of the Internet was to provide a means for information to freely pass between institutions of higher education. They envisioned the Internet as a means to share information with no consideration of restricting its higher functionality to certain companies or organizations. Prior to the Open Internet Rules, various providers took the original vision that created the Internet and morphed it into two parts, 'haves' and 'have nots'. ISPs should be compensated for providing service. But, they should not decide who may or may not have greater accessibility. If ISPs are allowed to make some websites fast, for a fee, and others artificially slow, it kills the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses while enriching a few 'giants' providers. Taking something meant for all and giving more rights to use it to an 'elite' group, would be the epitome of class-ism. It would not be representative of America and certainly not our Democracy.I'm sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support Title II rules and denounce the plans to do away with Open Internet Rules. Thank you!Myron Daldrup

65. Jon, Emden, MO, 63439
Killing internet neutrality is a potential and loomimg stupid act from an agency I've followed and respected since mid-70's. There is no good reason.to do this (except for large ISP's, cell phone and cable companies. I haveâ€‹ always believed that you would protect people's rights. This is nothing but a political act to appease Congress that have gotten good paid from these companies. Please remember and respect your long history and core purpose and duty.Thank you!Jon

66. Blake, Ballwin, MO, 63021
The FCC Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are super freaking important to me. I need you to protect them. I urge you to protect us and our rights. I am just one of many people trying to make sure companies aren't going trample on our rights. I know that reading this is time-consuming and annoying, but it would mean a lot to me. ISPs should not. have the power to block websites, slow them down, make some more accessible than others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the others. That is not cool, nor is it fair. America's government is supposed to stand up for the little guys. I'm the little guy. And these ISPs? They're the sterotypical bully that tries to take all the little guys lunch money. Why would anyone stand up for the bully? I mean, c'mon.Now is not the time to let all these ISPs censor what we see and do online, that's our business, NOT theirs. We have our rights. It's in the constitution, written for all to see!Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem, so we need the people at the FCC to take it seriously. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that payâ€"and slow lanes for everyone elseâ€"if the FCC lifts the rules. THAT IS NOT FAIR. SERIOUSLY. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. This hurts pretty much everyone. This hurts the economy across the board, so I don't give us "Makes the business playing field fair!" line because it doesn't. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer fast lanes to websites for a fee, and Mr. Pai has made clear that he doesn't care about what we want. That is not ok. It is extremely unfair, and I need to you to do the things we elected you for! Protect us! Please!But if you let ISPs make some websites fast and others artificially slowâ€"for a feeâ€"you will kill the very open marketplace that has enabled literally millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in Americaâ€"just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. It doesn't make any sense. Where is the logic? Please, Congress, make the right choice!I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support Title II rules and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Fight for us! Fight for America! Fight for freedom, I'm on my knees here! Please, these are my rights that our government is supposed to protect.Thanks for reading, I hope you consider my thoughts on this issue.Blake

67. Blake, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Dear FCC,The FCC Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are super freaking important to me. I need you to protect them. I urge you to protect us and our rights. I am just one of many people trying to make sure companies aren't going trample on our rights. I know that reading this is time-consuming and annoying, but it would mean a lot to me. ISPs should not. have the power to block websites, slow them down, make some more accessible than others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the others. That is not cool, nor is it fair. America's government is supposed to stand up for the little guys. I'm the little guy. And these ISPs? They're the sterotypical bully that tries to take all the little guys lunch money. Why would anyone stand up for the bully? I mean, c'mon.Now is not the time to let all these ISPs censor what we see and do online, that's our business, NOT theirs. We have our rights. It's in the constitution, written for all to see!Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem, so we need the people at the FCC to take it seriously. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that payâ€"and slow lanes for everyone elseâ€"if the FCC lifts the rules. THAT IS NOT FAIR. SERIOUSLY. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. This hurts pretty much everyone. This hurts the economy across the board, so I don't give us "Makes the business playing field fair!" line because it doesn't. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer fast lanes to websites for a fee, and Mr. Pai has made clear that he doesn't care about what we want. That is not ok. It is extremely unfair, and I need to you to do the things we elected you for! Protect us! Please!But if you let ISPs make some websites fast and others artificially slowâ€"for a feeâ€"you will kill the very open marketplace that has enabled literally millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in Americaâ€"just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. It doesn't make any sense. Where is the logic? Please, Congress, make the right choice!I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support Title II rules and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Fight for us! Fight for America! Fight for freedom, I'm on my knees here! Please, these are my rights that our government is supposed to protect.Thanks for reading, I hope you consider my thoughts on this issue.Blake

68. Nancy, Saint Louis, MO, 63128
Dear FCC, protect net neutrality. Save our country's freedom. Oppose Pai!!!!!Nancy

69. john sapp, Glencoe, MO, 63038
If i pay an internet company for a speed they should give me that speed for all sights i go to. not just the ones they want to sell me or want to rob to deliver the promised speed. Keep net neutrality intact. And while you're at it stop them from spying on me by using my web browsing habits to make money.john sapp

70. Andrew Siewert, University City, MO, 63130
Preserve net neutrality! Consumers have already overwhelmingly expressed their desire for this. The Internet is a remarkable meritocracy, and it is unjust and un-American to turn it into a thing where wealthy monopolies can squeeze out more and more of their competition. Eliminating net neutrality will make online privacy worse. Moreover it will allow the big providers to continue to avoid investing in the kinds of innovation that would bring our nation's high-speed Internet up to par with much of the rest of the industrialized world. I want more choices that the two bad ones we have now. Many places in America only have one choice or, often, none at all. Killing net neutrality is a BAD idea.

71. Rosalind Harris, St. Louis, MO, 63135
Consideration of the elimination of â€œbright linesâ€ is without merit. It is argued this willfacilitate in the management of internet traffic. But, revoking the rights associated with net neutralityplaces consumers at an undue disadvantage. When considering â€œinternet managementâ€ in the context ofinternetworking, â€œinternet managementâ€ is unwarranted.One has to wonder, why manage a series of moves an individual may make at any time for anyreason, who would be managing, and who would be managed? If â€œbright linesâ€ are eliminated, theseissues will not be addressed. For an untold number of people, using the internet will be a baselessinculcation and many will endure unwarranted difficulty. The ability, not the right, of internet service providers to imbue the experience under the

premise of management will leave most consumers defenseless.We will be better off if net neutrality is left alone. Any attempt to use the internet as means foreconomic prospect must go forward under the premise of building on what we have, not undoing it.

72. Nathaniel Scott Weiss, saint louis, MO, 63132
I feel that internet companies are still far too unrestricted but that the focus for restrictions should be on larger ISPsI feel that the massive ISPs line Comcast, charter, and time-Warner have been given too much freedom for to Long and that they should be broken down to allow room for smaller companies to compete and grow.As for throttling blocking and internet fast Lanes the answer to any question is "UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHOULD THESE RULES BE CHANGED OTHER THAN IN THE MORE STRICT DIRECTION"The internet boom was great but it was also full of problems, it's time to grow up and deal with them

73. Samuel Barnes, Columbia, MO, 65201
Access to an open and unthrottled internet has become a driver of communication and a vital means of connection for everyone. To allow service providers to control the actual flow of data online would be counterproductive and limit the ability of people to engage in civil discourse. There are absolutely no circumstances where it would be appropriate for an internet provider to regulate or throttle the data that it transmits.

74. Joseph, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
It's my understanding that the FCC Chairman intends to reverse net neutrality rules and put big Internet Service Providers in charge of the internet. I am firmly against this action. I believe that these ISPs will operate solely in their own interests and not in the interests of what is best for the American public. In the past 10 years, broadband companies have been guilty of: deliberately throttling internet traffic, squeezing customers with arbitrary data caps, misleading consumers about the meaning of â€œunlimitedâ€ internet, giving privileged treatment to companies they own, strong-arming cities to prevent them from giving their residents high-speed internet, and avoiding real competition at all costs. Consumers, small businesses, and all Americans deserve an open internet. So to restate my position: I am against the chairman's plan to reverse the net neutrality rules. I believe doing so will destroy a vital engine for innovation, growth, and communication.Ajit Pai thinking that getting rid of Net Neutrality will let ISPs grow faster. But if they can control internet traffic why would they feel the need to update the network infrastructure. If anything this will slow down or even stop innovation and cause job lose due to collapse of small businesses that will not be able to afford the increase cost of broadband connections. Even larger companies that mainly operate online will feel the sting eventually, because if they have to raise the rates for services that they provide. People will end up canceling because they will not be able to afford the increase cost of broadband and for an online service.

75. Andrew Witthaus, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
The internet should be a free and open source of information for citizens all around the world. If you get rid of Net Neutrality laws, then that just means you are limiting people's right to free speech and the oligarchy will continue to drown out the rights and freedoms of the average citizen in this country and throughout the world. If you are for free speech and the first amendment to the US Constitution, then the internet should be left open and not forced into slow and fast lanes to allow corporations to implement what they see the internet should be. Also, if this is about the free market, then the people/corporations should be forced to compete and make a better product instead of just cheating and changing the rules to get what the greedy corporations, lobbyists, and ISP's want. Do the right thing for your country and it's citizens and keep the net neutrality laws in place.

76. Paul Fannon, OFallon, MO, 63368
This proposal is about giving away freedom to Big Telecom and revoking freedom for everybody else. We live in the Information Age, and if this proposal is allowed to go through it will be the end of the Golden Age and the start of a dark age of the Internet. There is no reason why any business that wants an online presence cannot compete with the giants of the industry. 21st century retail relies on being able to reach customers from anywhere. The anti-competitive nature of allowing ISPs to charge for fastlanes to the Internet will destroy small businesses. I work at a wireless networking hardware reseller and we have a shopping website for customers that brings in a significant amount of revenue. If ISPs start charging us for the privilege of making our website accessible, we won't be able to afford it. We will either go out of business from exorbitant fees from every ISP that charges us for access to customers, or we will go out of business due to lack of sales from our website. The previous chairman Tom Wheeler already made current net neutrality into law. What has happened between then and now that requires a reversal of the law? The answer is nothing happened. This is a blatant cash grab from ISPs with dollar signs in their eyes, and their short-sightedness will weaken the United States standing in the world as an innovator and incubator of new business, and therefore new growth. This proposal is only going to stunt growth, stifle services people rely on and hurt the economy. My name is Paul Fannon and I am 100% opposed to this proposal.

77. Linda Waterborg, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Net neutrality is crucial and access to the internet should be treated like a utility. There shouldn't be the option for providers to monetize access to particular sites or restrict access in any way. Protect consumers from higher prices and any form of censorship.

78. Alexa Boulton, Clayton, MO, 63105
Net neutrality is an essential cornerstone of American productivity, freedom, and progress. This bill, which would revoke net neutrality, would give more power to huge monopolies to restrict or unfairly tax web services that are necessary to modern life. Do not do this. Do not end net neutrality. Do not give into the temptations of greed, power, and corruption.

79. Jared McNett, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Iâ€™m worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way theyâ€™re enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. At minimum, at bare minimum, please keep the current net neutrality standards in place.

80. Tom Kessler, Kansas City, MO, 64128
As a 15 year IT professional, and a former Republican, I am deeply opposed to proceedings underway to end Net Neutrality. We've experienced a 20 year renaissance in network traffic expansion, media, and startups under variations of the current policy. Multi-billion dollar companies have grown from tiny groups of IT pros working under the existing rules, and inspired a generation of high achievers. Now that the system is mature, and the fruits of Net Neutrality are clear to all, why must we change it?Net Neutrality is a policy that

draws nearly 80% support from Americans. It is a policy that gave us Google, Facebook, and countless other success stories. It is the reason we aren't reliant on Comcast for streaming video (at $60 a month), and puts the power in the hands of the people who create content, not the middle-men who deliver it. It ensures that most Americans have a better internet experience than ever before. Think about what you're risking before you change this policy.You're picking winners and losers when you change the rules this dramatically - Netflix loses, TIme-Warner wins. Is this a conservative policy? Tens of billions have been invested under the assumption that the old rules would still apply.We know that the old system works. Think long and hard to understand the thinking of your predecessors - Democrat and Republican alike - before you make this move. As GK Chesterton wrote:â€œIn the matter of reforming things, as distinct from deforming them, there is one plain and simple principle; a principle which will probably be called a paradox. There exists in such a case a certain institution or law; let us say, for the sake of simplicity, a fence or gate erected across a road. The more modern type of reformer goes gaily up to it and says, â€œI donâ€™t see the use of this; let us clear it away.â€ To which the more intelligent type of reformer will do well to answer: â€œIf you donâ€™t see the use of it, I certainly wonâ€™t let you clear it away. Go away and think. Then, when you can come back and tell me that you do see the use of it, I may allow you to destroy it.â€â€œThis paradox rests on the most elementary common sense. The gate or fence did not grow there. It was not set up by somnambulists who built it in their sleep. It is highly improbable that it was put there by escaped lunatics who were for some reason loose in the street. Some person had some reason for thinking it would be a good thing for somebody. And until we know what the reason was, we really cannot judge whether the reason was reasonable. It is extremely probable that we have overlooked some whole aspect of the question, if something set up by human beings like ourselves seems to be entirely meaningless and mysterious. There are reformers who get over this difficulty by assuming that all their fathers were fools; but if that be so, we can only say that folly appears to be a hereditary disease. But the truth is that nobody has any business to destroy a social institution until he has really seen it as an historical institution. If he knows how it arose, and what purposes it was supposed to serve, he may really be able to say that they were bad purposes, that they have since become bad purposes, or that they are purposes which are no longer served. But if he simply stares at the thing as a senseless monstrosity that has somehow sprung up in his path, it is he and not the traditionalist who is suffering from an illusion.â€Be cautious. Think longer and harder. I hope you will reconsider.

81. Nathan Claycamp, Liberty, MO, 64068
    We need to keep the strong net neutrality backed by Title II to not allow ISPs the authority to take advantage of its customers through pay-walls/throttling/etc.

82. Mark Lance, Lee's Summit, MO, 64086
    I am personally disgusted that using subterfuge, difficult comment process, and leadership with a clear conflict of interest the government would revisit the issue of net neutrality after the American people have already voiced our opinion that weakening it is not in our best interest, only that of the big companies. The purpose of government and regulation is to prevent powerful groups, such as big internet providers, from abusing their power over the public. It is not to enable it. Never has it been shown that profit driven companies can be fair to the people needing (and in this day and age NEEDING is accurate) to use their services.

83. Curtis Kelsey, Kirksville, MO, 63501
    I support maintaining ISPs Title II utility-style regulations to protect consumers of the internet as well as content providers. Don't mess up a good decision.

84. josh russell, bonne terre, MO, 63628
    I Specifically Support strong net neutrality backed title two oversight of ISPs.

85. Aleta.Bowers, St Louis, MO, 63104
    You must protect 'net neutrality' by continuing to regulate the market under Title II!Anything else will be a breach of the 1st amendment!

86. Aaron Wilson, Florissant, MO, 63031
    I support net neutrality 100%. It is imperative that we maintain net neutrality because that is the only way to truly foster an open internet that preserves a competitive marketplace and free dialogue. Allowing companies to pick and choose who gets the best service will do nothing but stifle new businesses and destroy the way people exchange ideas. I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY.

87. Alex, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
    Please keep the internet under Title 2. Don't let Trump's administration attempt this. Allowing corporations to do what they want with it won't go well for those that use it.

88. Brian Parkinson, Wildwood, MO, 63011
    I call upon the ICC to represent citizens' freedom of access online, over the preferences of monopolistic ISPs. This is why I call upon the people's FCC to maintain its oversight of ISPs under Title 2. Thank you.

89. Kirby Wilson, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
    The internet deserves to have title 2 protections. Relying on the ISP's to self regulate is folly of the highest order. We've seen time and time again that they lack the ability to do so in a way that meets the needs of their paying customers. Verizon intentionally slowed down Netflix traffic for its subscribers, AT&T, for years, charged DSL customers for speeds they couldn't provide, and Time Warner and Comcast are consistently ranked last in customer satisfaction scores. Assuming that any of these companies will make good decisions for consumers is absurd considering they have no record of doing so. I urge you to not remove the protections that are in place, and to take stronger measures to ensure that all of these companies are held to a higher standard for how they treat their customers.

90. Carter Grandin, Kearney, MO, 64060
    I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. I play videogames and watch Netflix and Youtube every day. A free and open internet is something that is an American right.

91. Tim Anderson, Richmond Heights, MO, 63117

Internet service providers (ISP) need to remain regulated under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934.Any other regulatory framework would be disastrous for the nation, stifle innovation, and lead to a degraded internet.

92. Matthew Wall, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
Dear FCC, I am writing you today because I am hoping that my voice actually counts. Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 classification for ISPs.

93. Noel M. Bartlow, Columbia, MO, 65203
I am deeply concerned about the potential weakening of net neutrality rules. ISPs absolutely cannot be trusted, and deserve no public trust whatsoever. I don't know a single person that has not, in some way, felt wronged or screwed over by their ISP.It is vital that the FCC keep the classification of ISPs under Title II so that net neutrality rules can continue to be enforced. We don't have to have specific precedent for an issue to know that we should address it with regulation. Did we need a specific precedent to make murder or terrorism or rape illegal? Or did we just make them illegal because it was obvious to do so?

94. Chris Bess, Columbia, MO, 65203
Protect & preserve a free & open internet.

95. rob armstrong, Lee's summit, MO, 64064
I entirely support net-neutrality backed by title 2 oversight. Grow up and get rid of you gigantic mug.

96. Daniel C Mesker, Foristell, MO, 63348
There is no reason to remove classification of ISP's from Title 2 other than to destroy Net Neutrality.

97. Jeremiah Murphy, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
I can't believe we are even talking about this again. Please keep Net Neutrality in place.

98. Mike Hayslip, Neosho, MO, 64850
I offer my firm and ardent voice that our government make ALL serious efforts to PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2." The Internet should be equally open, and equally accessible to every citizen and corporation. No corporation should have precedence over any citizen, where internet usage is concerned.

99. A.F. Schiller, saint louis, MO, 63108
We need to keep an open internet & not make it easy for ISPs to abuse their power & hurt the future of our internet. Gutting Net Neutrality would be disastrous for the internet as a whole; we're a Democracy not Communist or Russia. We deserve internet freedom & gutting these rules would change that.

100. Susan Taylor, Richmond Heights, MO, 63117
DO NOT change Title II regulation of Internet Service Providers.ISP's need to be regulated under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934

101. Jeremy Ellermeier, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
I support strong net neutrality regulations under the current title II regulation set by the previous presidential administration.

102. net neutrality, st. charles, MO, 63304
I am in strong favor of Net Neutrality. I have no clue why you would go this route and burn your career for Trump. Very dumb idea from who seems to be a smart guy (whos cocky as shit about a stupid mug)

103. Ian Price, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Net Neutrality needs to be protected under Title II of the Communications Act. This should not be changed to Title I. Please do not change the current rules regulating the internet.

104. Samantha Shanker, Ladue, MO, 63124
As a college student, I am strongly in support of net neutrality and all regulation that would support the freedom of the internet.

105. Garett Foster, St Louis, MO, 63129
I strongly support net neutrality regulations backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

106. Logan, Lee's Summit, MO, 64063
Good Morning, Please stop trying to make the internet less inclusive. Companies are not people they don't always do that right thing . We need strong Net Neutrality so that this market place remains available to all not just the select few. Thank you

107. Alex Anderson, St Louis, MO, 63117
Keep the internet open and free. Regulate Internet Service Providers under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934DO NOT CHANGE TITLE II REGULATION

108. Carmen Chicone, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please retain Title II protections and do nothing to change from the free and open internet.

109. Adam Wheeler, Poplar Bluff, MO, 63901
Please, ensure the protection and preservation of Title 2 oversight of ISPs. One of the few things that makes the near monopolies that ISPs hold over communities is the sheer fact that said monopoly cannot bias their traffic, either for or against, any particular service. Without Title 2 protection, I worry greatly about the future of the internet.

110. Thomas Ignaczak, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
Do not reclassify ISP's. Without their classification under title II, we would soon see the same malpractice that first prompted their classification change back in 2015.

111. Claire Meyer, Maplewood, MO, 63143
I strongly support Net Neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The notion that Internet Service Providers could alter network speeds for specific content, websites or users is unfair. To me, the Internet should be considered a utility more than a consumer service, and everyone should have access to the same high-speed, competitive networks. Thank you for your time.

112. Bonnie Mathis, Rolla, MO, 65401
Please protect net neutrality under Title II. I live in a rural area with already slow internet. Instead of taking away net neutrality, you should focus on ensuring high speed internet in rural areas at affordable prices.

113. Kirk Linenfelser, Saint Louis, MO, 63101
PLEASE PRESERVE TITLE 2 AND NET NEUTRALITY. DON'T TAKE CORPORATE MONEY AND DO WHAT'S RIGHT FOR AMERICA!

114. Jeffrey Houston, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Strong net neutrality rules under title 2 are necessary. The attack on them amounts to an attack on what has become our greatest expression of freedom of speech in modern times. Shame on you for trying to make this more difficult as well.

115. Sean Robb, St. Louis, MO, 63128
Keep ISPs under title 2. This is needed for free trade of information across our country.

116. Michael Morgenegg, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Please keep net neutrality and the regulations for ISP's under title II.

117. Evan Sanning, Liberty, MO, 64068
ISPs have shown that they would rather use their BILLIONS to buy each other out and block new competition in the market. I implore you to not allow ISPs to control how WE THE PEOPLE use the internet by preserving Net Neutrality and keep them regulated under Title II.

118. Daniel von Seckendorff, Union, MO, 63084
I strongly urge you to preserve the open internet by keeping Title II and Net Neutrally where they are. It is the strong legal footing you are looking for.

119. Mitchell Robinson, Kansas City, MO, 64145
I strongly support net neutrality and the regulation of internet service providers under title II. I am a software developer and a YouTube content provider. Allowing internet service providers to throttle traffic on a pay to play basis would have a negative effect on my business. The proposals put forth by the chairman with the oversized coffee mug that advertises peanut butter candy are atrocious. This issue was resolved two or three years ago already, and we should not have to hash this out again.

120. William Coates, St. Louis, MO, 63141
I demand that you keep ISPs regulated under title II and preserve net neutrality

121. Zachary Schwartz, St. Louis, MO, 63110
Do your job. Save net neutrality. Also, bring back Family Ties.

122. Joshua Johnson, Liberty, MO, 64068
Cable and Telecom companies are some of the most hated companies in America for good reason - they operate as near monopolies and use that power to limit innovation and competition. Giving them more opportunities to act in their best interests and not in the interest of all Americans would be a huge mistake - Please keep broadband internet as a Title 2 regulated industry and keep the internet free and open

123. Sarah, St. Louis, MO, 63111
Please uphold FCC net neutrality protections under title 2. Let's not let money decide what parts if the internet are easily accessed and leave it as a place to freely (and equally) share content.

124. Enter1, Pacific, MO, 63069
I overwhelmingly support strong net nuetrality backed by title 2 oversight!This is a must. We are watching what you do!

125. Wesley R. Allen, Farmington, MO, 63640
I am strongly oppossed to rolling back the regulations to Title I instead of their current Title II classification

126. Patricia Eckdahl, Saint Joseph, MO, 64506
Net neutrality = what has been working. Net neutrality "ain't broke." Net neutrality does not need a fix.

127. Derrick Langeneckert, St louis, MO, 63118
I support a free and open internet. I support title 2 and net neutrality. The precedent set by our country will be follow by the rest of the world. The internet is a free and open market place and should remain that way. Keep it open.

128. Paul Luljak, Arnold, MO, 63010
Any attempts to undo Title II classification of broadband internet is against the interest of the public. I am STRONGLY AGAINST

removing Title II protections on internet, as these protections are what keep ISPs from changing how internet service is packaged and delivered to consumers. Without strong net neutrality rules, ISPs will have strong financial incentives to tier internet service, resulting in higher costs to consumers. With so many people changing how they consume entertainment, giving free reign to telecommunication companies is a TERRIBLE idea. Net neutrality MUST be regulated and removing the Title II protections ONLY helps big telecom. DO NOT REMOVE TITLE II PROTECTIONS ON INTERNET!!!P.S. Thank you John Oliver for again raising awareness on this issue and helping to direct action. This is an critically important issue and I want to publicly thank you for being a champion of a fair internet!

129. Katy Franzen, Kansas City, MO, 64157
Please do not end net neutrality. It is extremely important in a democracy that all are protected by a fairness doctrine. Allowing companies to pay for faster speed and access would be damaging to smaller businesses and users and limit our access to these businesses.

130. Benjamin Stange, Springfield, MO, 65802
Now that the Internet has become one of the primary mechanisms for delivering information, services and applications to the general public, and the primary means of collaboration and doing business, it is especially important that commercial Internet Service Providers not be able to unilaterally control or manipulate the content of these communications. Those with information needs should not have to have their search delayed while companies with deeper pockets pay to have their content delivered first. The Federal Communications Commissionâ€™s 2015 Open Internet Order well defines an appropriate set of rules to ensure the long-standing principle of nondiscrimination in all forms of broadband access to the Internet. Thatâ€™s why it was supported by literally millions of individual, academic and corporate commenters in unprecedented numbers and subsequently affirmed by a federal court. I am deeply dismayed that Chairman Pai has said he wants to eliminate the internet conduct standard, which will greatly hinder the FCCâ€™s ability to protect consumers.Protect the Internet. Do not roll back these protections.

131. Robert Sorbo, Springfield, MO, 65802
Please preserve Title 2 status on the internet and preserve Net Neutrality.

132. kenneth lever, St. Louis, MO, 63128
After truly learning last night about this subject I ask that you leave the law stand and do not change these laws and let them stand.

133. Steve M, Festus, MO, 63028
I support strong net neutrality rules. ISP' should remain title 2.

134. Sonny Painter, Lee's Summit, MO, 64064
Please continue to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Our society depends on it.

135. Khye Hill, Carthage, MO, 64836
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II AT ALL COSTS. The Internet is now necessary for a modern existence. Screwing over people that can't afford to pay more just so companies can make an extra dime is completely unamerican.

136. william dunavant, Ofallon, MO, 63368
Please tell ajit to shut his pai hole and take care of net neutrality and title 2.the people are watching you.do the right thing

137. John, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
Don't muck up the internet with your meddling by switching from Title II back to Title I for regulatory purposes. Title II works for the public and there are profits enough to be had by ISP's under the current regulatory regime. Don't let Verizon, et al, do for the internet what they have done for cellular telephony! Leave it be.

138. Mason Hunter GIlliam, Springfield, MO, 65810
Do not get rid of net neutrality. Title I and II do not need reform. The people should get a free and fair internet. You will be harming small startup businesses while favoring tech giants. Ajit Pai, you are a greedy scumbag...P.S. Fuck your mug.

139. David Buttrick, St. Louis, MO, 63119
This proposal will be a disaster for customer's of ISP's, the growth of internet access into new areas and for innovation!We have already tried doing things using Title I, and it hasn't worked out well at all.We need to try something new, and Title II is the best change we can make for the future of internet freedom.

140. Chris Myers, Park Hills, MO, 63601
Please preserve Net Neutrality with Title 2. I am also normally against regulations, but this is one area that we need a regulation. Communication companies will abuse the open internet.

141. James Fetze, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
Please keep Net Neutrality, it's more important to keep and open internet than to line your pockets.

142. Matthew S Sullins, Smithville, MO, 64089
Please keep Title II regulations in place regarding net neutrality.

143. Ken Quinton, Arnold, MO, 63010
We need a strong net neutrality Title 2. Leave it alone.

144. Natasha Mcbride, saint louis, MO, 63123
I strongly support net neutrality with title two backing. Do not remove the only barrier for consumers to be protected. don't let greed rule the country and make our policies.

145. Kathy Ryan, Brentwood, MO, 63144
I urge the FCC to maintain Internet neutrality and consumer protection by maintaining its status under Title II. Thank you

146. Victoria Capo, Columbia, MO, 65202
Net neutrality is immensely important. The idea that companies should be able to pay to throttle the internet speeds of their own websites is absurd. This is just another example of government trying to make the wealthy wealthier at the expense of the average American. My dad owned a small computer business from 1985 - 2007 and was an ISP for our area. THIS WOULD NOT HAVE HELPED HIM. Why on Earth would a company invest in throttling speeds for a small business when they can just invest in a larger business and get their message out to more people? The idea that this would help small businesses is just a lie. In summary, I as a millenial voter, as a future veterinarian, as an avid gamer and internet user, think that net neutrality is vitally important and getting rid of it would be ridiculously stupid.

147. Bart Dotzman, BonneTerre, MO, 63628
Do not mess with NET NEUTRALITY, corporations will screw other corporations and in turn all of the citizens using the internet!

148. Roland Watson, St. Louis, MO, 63116
The internet belongs to the people, it should be considered a utility under the care of these corporations,, not the private property of them. Please don't ruin the greatest American invention of the 20th century.

149. Amanda Hoffman, Saint peters, MO, 63376
I support Protecting Net Neutrality and Title II Do whatever you can to make this happen!

150. Jessica Anderson, Eureka, MO, 63025
I strongly support net neutrality please do not get rid of it!

151. chris, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I do not want you to change the rules related to net neutrality. Do not bend to the pressure of the ISP. Allow the internet to remain open and free. Reclassifying it is a BAD idea.

152. Joshua Woehlke, Rolla, MO, 65401
As a web developer, the level playing field of the internet has been one of the most important advantages I've had in both my career and life. When I connect to the network, I expect to receive the bandwidth I pay for and I expect to do what I wish with it, as it is an essential service that I use for nearly everything. When I go to work in the morning, it's on the internet. When I visit my friends, it's on the internet. When I want entertainment, or need to pay bills, or look up government forms, or make travel plans, it's on the internet. That a number of protectionist, non-competitive companies would like to make the speed/quality/availability of my traffic dependent on their mob shakedown business model, supplant competing services with their own that I did not want, and hold the service that I depend on for my career hostage to any insane payment or tiering scheme they like seems crazy to me. Nobody but those companies could possibly want this. This is the very opposite of freedom. In a free market I'd choose a different provider immediately, but this is not a free market--I'm luckier than most to have two providers available to me, but only one of them provides enough bandwidth to do my work.

153. Aaron Wilson, Florissant, MO, 63031
I 100% support net neutrality. It is important to maintain because it is the only way to ensure a competitive economy and free discourse. I do not want cable & ISPs to be able to pick and choose which organizations get better service for their audience & customers. We need an internet that is truly free from bias where people can exchange ideas and small companies can compete with big ones. MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY.

154. Glenn Anthony Ritchie, Kansas City, MO, 64109
I support strong "net neutrality" laws, specifically laws that are backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.As a man who grew up in a rural area with limited access to the internet, an UNFILTERED and EQUAL access to all that the internet offered was tantamount to my continued success as an adult. This access to information is incredibly important to traditionally information starved areas and by making an already tricky situation (rural access to internet) even trickier, you're denying young people opportunities. The internet is revelatory unlike anything that has come before it and hindering its ability would be the greatest shame of our time and another step toward the corporate takeover of our government.

155. Christopher DeLano, Kearney, MO, 64060
Do NOT change the Net Neutrality laws to allow my ISP to determine what products I can use!

156. Igor, you dont get my address, MO, 63101
I support strong net neutrality laws and regulation- keep it Title II

157. Alexander Bonney, St. Louis, MO, 63122
I strongly support Net Neutrality, and I urge the FCC to do their fucking job and improve upon Obama era regulations rather than loosening them.

158. Kenneth J Kasal II, Arnold, MO, 63010
I am in favor of preserving net neutrality and keeping Intellectual Properties under title 2.

159. Nicholas Williams, St. Louis, MO, 63144
I believe that the only way to effectively regulate Internet Service Providers is to maintain their classification under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1934. Removing ISPs from Title II would allow large corporations to keep startups from ever succeeding online, and that goes against the basic principles of a free market as well as the basic ideals of the United States.

160. Connor O'Shay, St. Louis, MO, 63108
I would like to state my protest in the dissolving of net neutrality regulations. There should be no reason good enough to give corporations the executive power to decide what I waste away my days on the information superhighway.

161. Charles Kastner, St. Louis, MO, 63109
As an IT professional, I do not believe this proposal is a sound idea. Given limited competition in the broadband space, it will likely lead to fewer choices for consumers as broadband providers provide preferential treatment to their own services, stifling competition and destroying innovative small businesses who compete with ISPs' services.

162. Casey Wikiera, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
I support ISPs staying under the regulation of Title II. Please keep net neutrality a part of my internet usage.

163. Jason Waters, Columbia, MO, 65202
Please continue support for a free and open internet. Also, please preserve the current rule of designating ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Thank you.

164. Craig McDonald, Springfield, MO, 65807
Net neutrality is one of the most important safeguards that the fcc maintains. Don't you DARE change ISPs back to title one, they will immediately proceed to manipulate how we receive our Internet!

165. Brian Michael Dowding, Independence, MO, 64056
Mr. Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. I do not want to simply take the ISP's word that they won't share favoritism to some web sites over others. They have shown in the past that they are more than willing to do so. The ISP's have also stated that title 2 does not effect their choices to continue with infrastructure when it comes to expanding their networks. So please do not think that this issue is something that people are not noticing nor care about. On the contrary l, I believe your about to find out how many people deeply care. Sincerely,Brian Dowding

166. Net Nutrality, St. Louis, MO, 63128
The backpedaling of Net Neutrality could be devastating for small companies or individuals that wish to compete with anyone larger and willing to pay for prioritization. This has the potential of adding a new barrier to entry for new small tech companies. Thus slowing innovation all in the name of an additional profit source for ISPs.Please do not loosen these rules.

167. Brendan, Lebanon, MO, 65536
Ajit Pai keep your grubby little fingers off the internet. ISPs should be regulated by title two. Saying there is no evidence of wrong doing is like saying there is no evidence of looting during the LA riots you little crook.

168. Daniel Mayer, St. John, MO, 63114
Asking corporations to just "play nice" is akin to asking a serial killer to do the same. They are going to do what is best for them, first and foremost. Please protect Net Neutrality by keeping ISPs under Title II.

169. Brett Gallagher, St. Louis, MO, 63128
Keep net neutrality by preserving title 2!

170. Drew Pentland, St. Louis, MO, 63139
Please assure Chairman of the FCC Mr. Ajit Pai, our President Donald Trump, as well as anyone representing the people of the United States that Net Neutrality is a MUST in a free democratic society. Maintain Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 in its current form. Keep the internet free and equal.

171. Desiree DePriest, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I strongly opposed any form of FCC ruling that allows private industries to dictate the speed, content or delegation of what I view on the Internet. As a matter of fact, many of my students are from rural or lower middle backgrounds and attend school online to better circumstances for their families. Private companies would risk sabotaging growth and prosperity for millions of human beings who utilize the internet for this purpose and other beneficent reasons. The U.S. is headed for a Mt. Olympus war-of-the-1% fighting to be gods over the 90%. Stop this before it is too late.

172. Kim Bush, Ozark, MO, 65721
I support strong net neutrality. Preserve it with Title II.

173. Frank Jurden, Kansas city, MO, 64113
I support net neutrality and urge the FCC to enforce it via Title II provisions.

174. Kevin Hampton, saint louis, MO, 63118
Do not get rid of net neutrality. Asking the ISPs to "promise" not to slow down speeds for competitors is laughably stupid. No one will abide by this so long as money is involved.

175. Alex, St Charles, MO, 63301
Please keep supporting net neutrality and title II. Don't let Trump destroy freedom.

176. Michael Bryan, columbia, MO, 65203
Do not change net neutrality laws, please and thank you.

177. Evan Overschmidt, Columbia, MO, 65201
I hereby express my disatisfaction and disapproval of removing rules and regulations maintaining the standard of what is commonly

referred to as "Net Neutrality". My employment relies on fairly regulated internet to succeed, and without equal access, I may end up unemployed. With my livelihood depending on it, please do not reverse these rules.

178. David Story, joplin, MO, 64801
I want you to preserve net neutrality and title 2. It's important.

179. Dalton Cole, Rolla, MO, 65401
I support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs. I am a PhD student in computer science, and I can safely say that this is needed.

180. Joseph Richey, Braymer, MO, 64624
I completely support strong net neutrality support backed by title 2 oversite of ISP's. Rolling this back is a crime against everyone who uses the internet for anything.

181. Zachary Koeneke, Saint Louis, MO, 63103
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II classification. I want my Internet to stay as open and as free as it is right now.

182. Brian Miller, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63141
Keep the internet neutral. ISPs should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites.

183. Chris Schott, St. Louis, MO, 63121
I strongly support Net Neutrality, especially backed by Title II oversight.

184. Justin Helt, Grandview, MO, 64030
Please treat the internet as a utility. Those that provide internet service should not be allowed to produce internet content.

185. Timothy beard, corder, MO, 64021
I support title 2 and net neutrality and it should not be removed

186. Billy Schuh, Saint Louis, MO, 63117
I would like to communicate my strong support for net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

187. Sean Fagans, Gladstone, MO, 64118
Net Neutrality is too important to be left to the honor system. Title II is one of the few tools we have of enforcing fair play; to even try to remove it is borderline treachery.

188. debbie gassel, Hazelwood, MO, 63042
Preserve net neutrality Title 2

189. carl mathers, Arnold, MO, 63010
Don't let net neutrality go away. Keep the Internet open and equal to everyone, not just the rich. Thank you.

190. Brandon White, AAIA, Springfield, MO, 65802
FCC, now more than ever, it is extremely important to maintain net neutrality and Title II. Repealing this important policy would have tremendous negative impacts on everyone, from low-income families and their children's access to a fair and equal internet without paid prioritization to small, local businesses without access to paying their way to an "internet fast lane." DO NOT REMOVE NET NEUTRALITY.

191. Christopher Hulse, Wentzville, MO, 63385
A truly free and open internet is more important than ever in these dark times. The American people must be allowed unrestricted access to the information they need, regardless of what their service providers might want, and that means enforcing rules through Title II.

192. Matt Litwin, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I am leaving this comment to express my view that the FCC should preserve the current regulations on Net Neutrality and keep Title II regulations on Internet Service Providers (ISP).

193. Chad Tate, Nixa, MO, 65714
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. It is a very important that large media corporations are not able to control and throttle the content of the internet for their own benefit. Thank you.

194. William Merrihew, St. Peters, MO, 63304
Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I trust that you won't go against the citizens of the United States.

195. Gene Frazier, manchester, MO, 63021
MR Pai,Your publicly stated support for discontinuing net neutrality is completely unsupported by fact. Everything you have claimed is demonstrably false. Alternative facts? This kind of naked fuckery will not go unchallenged. If you thought the last attempt at this generated a shitstorm, you ain't seen nuthin yet. In short, DON"T FUCK WITH THE INTERNET!

196. Elias Horowitz, St. Louis, MO, 63112
Preserve net neutrality by continuing to classify ISPs under Title II. Strong protections for internet freedom are essential to preserving the independence of the net

197. Eric, Overland, MO, 63114
Please PRESERVE the Title II and Net Neutrality rules in place. We don't need a change just because lobbyists want it. ISPs have said behind closed doors that Title II doesn't hinder investment. The risk to change it is too great.

198. Andrew Myers, St. Louis, MO, 63102
Net Neutrality is extremely important to everyone, and should be supported. I implore you to keep strong Net Neutrality laws out there for everyone, because everyone deserves them.

199. Richard Lee Jarrell III, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
Keep Title II. Removing the lease from a vicious dog because you have no evidence of it biting anyone before is ridiculous.

200. Robert Kahn, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Please don't change the Net Neutrality rules. I'm fairly sure that making it so that ISP's can favor some content over other will not be good for consumers.

201. Roseanne Kohnen, Olivette, MO, 63132
Preserve strong internet neutrality and Title II rules!

202. Damion Whitehead, Kansas City, MO, 64109
I support strong Net Neutrality enforced by Title 2. The only way to have a free and open internet is if companies are being forced to ensure net neutrality. When they are given the option we know they will not follow it.

203. Deborah Garey, Rolla, MO, 65401
I live in a rural area where net neutrality is imperative to our equal access to the internet. Many of us struggle to have internet due to the high costs and lack of infrastructure. We need more focus on access to the internet in rural areas.

204. Roger King, Springfield, MO, 65802
Please do NOT change or repeal Title II or the current Net Neutrality rules.

205. Neshama Sonnenschein, St Louis, MO, 63112
Preserve Net Neutrality by keeping ISPs classified under Title II. Net neutrality is important for the promotion of free speech and free exchange of ideas and innovation.

206. Michael Kaufmann, Silva, MO, 63964
Net neutrality is an important element of our freedom as citizens, and the idea that our lawmakers are even willing to discuss turning it over into the hands of those who would benefit most by abusing it is shocking. Do not let America be the country that enslaved the Internet, or we'll become a lot more infamous than a childish Reese's Coffee Mug.

207. Jonathan Moeller, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I would implore the FCC to keep net neutrality regulations classified under title II.

208. Rachel Wells, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
I'm writing to express my disapproval that the FCC is trying to kill net neutrality (Title II). Preserving an open internet is crucial for fair and equal access to the resources and information available on it. Please preserve Title II.

209. Floyd H. Lockhart III, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™sAnd, shame on you all for assaulting the freedom of the American people by attempting to sell out something developed by our tax dollars to corporate interests... just who are your masters, anyway? If capitalism is going to survive, it must survive by having a competitive field wherein the corporations actually *compete* with each other, instead of allowing them to build walls and treat the rest of us like debt-serfs. We are not resources, we are consumers.....and you all are doing a very, very, very poor job of governance.

210. Elizabeth Vining, Lake St Louis, MO, 63367
I would like to support maintaining Title two and net neutrality. The policy has been working well, and should be allowed to continue. Most consumers have little choice with internet providers which puts them at the mercy of one large firm. As with utilities, it is important that they be regulated in the public interest, and not allowed to operate as monopolies.

211. Trevor Wright, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Dear FCC, I am writing you today because I am hoping that my voice actually counts. I do not want to see Net Neutrality go away. I work from my home in video production which means I use the Internet everyday for my work. And I use lots and lots of data. Reversing the current rules would jeopardize my business as my ISP provider could create 'fast' and 'slow' lanes for my Internet traffic. Or start enforcing data caps that I would quickly go over. Working in video, you are downloading and upload huge amounts of data due to video sizes. Please do not revoke the previous rules of the previous administration. Keep the Internet the way it is now and stand by the consumers.

212. G Vlacich, St Louis, MO, 63108
I overwhelmingly and unequivocally support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers

213. Michael Perry, Parkville, MO, 64152
I highly value net neutrality and support strong oversight backed by title two oversight of ISPs.

214. Cade J Spencer, Saint Peters, MO, 63304
I support Title II protections of Net Neutrality over ISPs and reject your proposal.

215. Joseph Friesz, Bethany, MO, 64424
There is no good reason to move the clock back on net neutrality rules. I am urging you to keep the strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II in place.

216. Geraldine Peal, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
Net Neutrality is essential to this country. Having ISP classified under Title II is important so that companies like Verizon cannot make consumers, like me, pay more for Netflix, HBONow, and HULU because I do not want to their services that compete. To say that ISPs will voluntarily agree not to charge more for one service over another is stupid. The American people are not stupid and know what is going on.

217. Net Neutrality, St Louis, MO, 63119
Please continue to classify Net Neutrality under Title II, No corporation should have the right to dictate what other corporations with which I choose to do business.

218. Erick Sebastian Figueroa, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II (2) oversight of ISPs .

219. alison schneider, Lake ozark, MO, 65049
I SUPPORT STRONG ADHERANCE TO NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE 2 OVERSIGHT OF ISPs!!!!

220. Thomas Rosebrough, Wildwood, MO, 63040
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. Any scenario in which oversight of ISPs is reduced from its current state is entirely unacceptable.

221. kevin hunn, O'fallon, MO, 63366
I want to preserve net neutrality and keep it classified under title 2

222. lance thomas, st louis, MO, 63130
Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer fast lanes to websites for a fee, and Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do just this. But if you let ISPs make some websites fast and others artificially slowâ€”for a feeâ€”you will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America

223. Matthew Culbert, Columbia, MO, 65203
I support strict Net Neutrality Laws under Title 2. I do not support any legislation that would give internet service providers the option to influence searching or viewing trends on the internet.

224. Michael, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Ajit Pai, I strongly urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Your are wanting to monopolize the already biased internet.

225. Matthew Treder, Liberty, MO, 64068
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversite of ISPs. This isn't the early 2000s where the internet is some mysterious thing people do not understand. Net Neutrality is an ideal, not just an idea.

226. Jane McDill, Saint Louis, MO, 63111
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight by ISPs. Please do NOT mess with net neutrality! Thank you.

227. Anders Carlson, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and the FCC should work for the United States people and also support it.

228. Taylor Gentry, raytown, MO, 64138
I like my internet in tittle 2. I think of it as a d=safety net The ISP say they won't do anything bad but it happens all the time. They throttle their phone services, so why not believe they wouldn't do it to their Internet. Plus we are still behind in speeds compared to other countries. this isn;'t what we need. Do whats best for everyone not just for your status, greed and bragging rights.

229. Jeremy Roegner, St. Louis, MO, 63110
TITLE 2 net neutrality regulations are part of a social contract between internet service providers, government, and society. This contract must include a clear regulations, from the FCC, for the information providers, because without it, market forces wonâ€™t automatically provide and protect public goods, like information. Rolling back regulations to TITLE 1 will gut this contract and protect corporate $, not public information. Look past the politics and make the decision for the people not the corporations.

230. Mark L. Steinhoff, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I 100% SUPORT NET NEUTRALITY!

231. Sara Sparrow, St Louis, MO, 63139
I support keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Please don't let this change. Net neutrality is important to me as a citizen.

232. Eric Johnson, Lee's Summit, MO, 64063
We need to keep net neutrality. Don't change the title rating, don't allow for ISP's to turn the internet into pay-for-play. Yes, I was sent here by Last Week Tonight. Sorry about that.

233. Christopher Copeland, Springfield, MO, 65802

I strongly support strong and firm regulations for Net Neutrality under Title 2 and do not agree in the slightest that regulations should be eased. A strong regulatory body under Title 2 is needed to keep the internet free and is absolutely necessary to level the playing field for all, businesses and consumers alike.

234. fcc, Springfield, MO, 65804
We need to keep our FCC Net Neutrality under Title 2 because we cannot expect a business to always choose the right thing to do as opposed to what benefits the company

235. Cara Weber, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight by ISPs. I do not trust any company to voluntarily protect their customers' access to open and fair internet services. Businesses have never and will never be good at regulating themselves and we should not have to constantly worry about what they will try to get away with. We, as the actual citizens of this country, deserve your protection. Please do not change these laws or reclass it. We need your help to remain a well-connected and well-informed society.

236. Cody L Dilliner, Memphis, MO, 63555
Keep the net neutrality of you'll soon be out of a job.

237. Jacob Davis, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
ISPs like Charter and At&t should not be allowed to pick and choose which service get faster speeds are not. Its already bad enough that they get to charge more base on how much data you download or upload. This is bad for two reasons. One, big companies will make it worse for smaller companies to compete in the internet market. Two, At&t and Verizon was caught trying to slow down speeds to Netflix because they wanted people to buy into their service. Open internet is open right now. Leave Title II alone

238. Kurt Kuhl, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I want to preserve net neutrality and keep it classified under title 2.

239. John Serbell, St. Louis, MO, 63127
I strongly support protecting net neutrality by maintaining Title 2 classification of ISPs.

240. David Ebertz, St Louis, MO, 63108
I strongly support strict regulations on net neutrality as laid out under Title 2. Do NOT eliminate or lessen these regulations.

241. Alex Lewis, St. Louis, MO, 63123
To protect equality and freedom on the internet, ips' such as Verizon, Comcast, and at&t MUST remain under title 2. As a country, we have to give fair and equal internet to all cites, and not those willing to pay more. Please, for the sake of equality and freedom, do not move ips to title 1.

242. Donald M. Lea, Lawson, MO, 64062
We both want you to PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY "AND" TITLE 2

243. Paul DeRanek, Kansas City, MO, 64118
Support internet neutrality!

244. Adam Carter, Liberty, MO, 64068
Please, please protect Net Neutrality! As a software developer, the idea that applications I write and deploy might be affected solely by the whims of my service provider or my user's service providers violates every principle of a fair and open internet. ISP's should not be allowed to decide who gets preferential treatment. Please protect my rights as a consumer and continue to enforce strict net neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs.

245. Phillip Henry, St Louis, MO, 63108
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight. Preserving Net Neutrality with the proper legal footing under Title II is essential to keeping the marketplace a fair playing field and keeping communications open and accessible.

246. Austin Bricker, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I support strong net neutrality as governed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

247. Donald Harris, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
We need to have strong net neutrality backed by Title II. Don't repeal something tat works and take away the free flow of communication and information.

248. Kevin Foss, Harrisonville, MO, 64701
I strongly support net neutrality. It is slightly ridiculous that this debate is being raised again. ISPs should provide equal access to all destinations on the internet, with no ability for discrimination or favoritism. Internet access is a utility service, and should be regulated as such. Preserve net neutrality.

249. Emily Davis, Columbia, MO, 65203
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!! DO NOT STRIP THESE PROTECTIONS!!

250. Antoinette Meyer, Troy, MO, 63379
The internet must maintain neutrality. Do not change the regulations.

251. Scott Casey, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight. I do not trust ISPs to keep the internet fair and open without strict

regulations requiring them to do so. Without Title II oversight, ISPs will be incentivized to prioritize content that will generate more profit for themselves. Please protect Net Neutrality.

252. Cybil Crites, Fredericktown, MO, 63645
As a mother & small business owner in a rural & impoverished area in Missouri, I am against any government action that makes the internet less free. In this age of mega & often false information, I do not support legislation that makes it easier for some companies to decide what information makes it to me in a slanted, faster way.

253. Richard Dines, Springfield, MO, 65807
I am writing in strong support of Net Neutrality rules back by Title II. I am a strong supporter of consumer protection and this is detrimental to maintaining fair practices.

254. Stephen Swadener, Kansas City, MO, 64138
I am in full support of a strong net neutrality and it being backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.

255. Vincent Carella, St Louis, MO, 63110
Leave things the way they are!

256. Net Neutrality, Columbia, MO, 65202
I believe net neutrality should be preserved. The reasoning used by Ajit Pai to argue against net neutrality seems nonsensical. To say that it hurts investment in infrastructure is demonstrably false. It keeps the internet a level playing field, and should be maintained as it is currently.

257. net neutrality, Bridgeton, MO, 63044
Net Neutrality remaining under Title II oversight is important to me.Gofccyourself.com

258. Hunter Knaack, Kansas City, MO, 64111
The idea that an ISP could slow down a website or block access all-together is repulsive. Keep net neutrality and title 2 in place!

259. Elle, Carthage, MO, 64836
I would like to express a concern about net neutrality. I literally spend like 70% of my time online and would be highly distressed to find out my choice of content affected the speed at which I could access internet information. If it took to long I'd probably go nuts, please use Title two when classifying companies like Verizon and Comcast. Comcast especially, I'm pretty sure they're pure evil.

260. wesley spellman, Kirksville, MO, 63501
I support net neutrality under strict title 2 regulations

261. m c brown, Kansas City, MO, 64138
PRESERVE Net Nutrality! I support Net Nutrality backed by Title II

262. Keith Chester, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
My name is Keith Chester - I run the R&D department for a large marketing firm which makes use of internet marketing regularly, and I am a startup cofounder.The rules being pushed forward by the FCC countering a strong net neutrality will utterly kill small businesses and startups, completely upend the digital marketing front, and kill every small player online to the favor of larger monopolies.If all traffic is not treated equally, my startup has no chance of competing. Without net neutrality, my digital marketing firm job will see significant increase in costs, a decrease in profit, and a shrinking of business and thus a loss of jobs in an already struggling midwest America.Do the right thing and keep net neutrality alive.

263. Alexander Portis, Springfield, MO, 65804
Uphold net neutrality laws, stop the greed, the internet is the one last avenue of free expression. Don't undo everything that was done 3 years ago please! I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's.

264. Thomas Lowe, Maryville, MO, 64468
I am posting in support of strong net neutrality rules, and in favor of keeping the open internet under title II regulation.

265. Paul Conboy, St. Louis, MO, 63101
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not implement rules or polices that would weaken or circumvent net neutrality in any way shape or form.

266. Samuel Blonstein, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I am a strong supporter of net-neutrality and I am deeply concerned about changes to Title II. The Internet must continue to be an equal playing field if it is to continue to succeed at creating a thriving competitive marketplace. Allowing companies to choose if they want to play by old rules or not is a dangerous game with an inevitable outcome. Keep or strengthen Title II.

267. William Li, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title IIâ€™s oversight of ISP. Please do not make any changes to or override Title II.

268. John Patten, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. We need to maintain an open and inclusive internet. We must keep net neutrality. Handing the keys over to service providers to set speed limits is wrong. They should be regulated like a utility because internet is no longer optional, it is a necessary service for the 21st century economy. I have also expressed my concerns to the members of congress that I vote for.

269. Bert Corbett, Lee's Summit, MO, 64082
I strongly support net neutrality enforced by title II!

270. Jo Barcelona, Hazelwood, MO, 63042
In my work, typing medical reports for a major hospital, it is ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL to have net neutrality to perform my duties in order to rapidly access and file reports needed to provide health care to thousands of patients. DO NOT CHANGE this part of internet function.

271. jason berninger, Columbia, MO, 65203
I support stronger regulations of internet service providers. Specifically they should remain under Title 2. These regulations are critical for a free and open internet.

272. Jonathan Brunk, St. Louis, MO, 63123
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Our lawmakers already walked away with millions of dollars for selling our browsing history data. Clearly, the people's interests are not a priority for them. It is the responsibility of the FCC to insure the large corporations cannot control the Internet.

273. Chad Stewart, Springfield, MO, 65810
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 support and oversight by ISP's.

274. Jason Merkel, University City, MO, 63133
Please don't destroy net neutrality and please don't trust any corporation to keep the best interests of the American people in mind because history has shown how well that hasn't gone.

275. James Murray, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Keep Net Neutrality. ISPs cannot be held accountable without it.

276. Mark Chao, Bridgeton, MO, 63044
Strong supporter of net neutrality.

277. Bonnie Land, Independence, MO, 64055
Keep title II , don't do away with regulations regarding an open internet. The fact that this is even being considered is shameful.

278. Brendan McKenna, Lee's Summit, MO, 64086
I support strong Net Neutrality regulations via Title II oversight of ISPs.

279. Corey Austen, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I very strongly and specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.

280. Thomas O'Donnell, Lees Summit, MO, 64086
keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. The idea that they would "promise" to not throttle speeds for various sites is absurd. North Korea promised they wouldn't advance their nuclear program and look what that did for us. Although that is an extreme example, the what I am trying to point out is that I can "promise" things all day long. I for one don't want ISPs running the internet to increase their bottom line. If you think they aren't going to engage in any of this behavior, then why lessen the restrictions on ISPs that would allow them to engage in this behavior if they chose to. Who's to stop Verizon or Charter from Throttling once this is passed and John Oliver stops covering it... nothing.

281. Andrew Zak, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Stating that we are to just trust that huge corporate ISPs will not affect our internet usage so we can get rid of oversight is just ludicrous.

282. Chris Wilkey, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Do not change the classification of ISPs. Title II is key to keeping the strong oversight in place over these companies. They provide a vital and ever more increasingly important service to the population of the United States of America. Serving entertainment is the least of the nearly limitless capabilities access the Internet provides.The idea the the chairman of the FCC can say with a straight face that ISPs have been affected negatively, particularly in terms of profitability or desire to roll out infrastructure is ridiculous and further proof that ISPs stay classified under TITLE II. If a company/corporation posts billions in profits each year, and knowingly takes steps to limit availability of service, that seems like anti-competitive practices to me.

283. Thomas Curtis, St Charles, MO, 63301
Net neutrality is extremely important to me and my family. We need open, fair and level access to this service, which is now so integral to our everyday lives. Please put the people's wishes over corporations. Keep our nation at the forefront of innovation by keeping the neutrality standards. Thank you.

284. Anthony, Fulton, MO, 65251
I 100% strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 over-sites of ISPs.

285. Eric Kuntz, St. Charles, MO, 63304
Title II classification of the internet should stay. Net Neutrality is an important freedom in our country and should not be compromised. The Chairman's past employment with Verizon suggests that he is working to benefit the Telecom industry and not the american people. Do not change the classification of the internet.

286. Edward Mann, Ash Grove, MO, 65604

The internet is as important to each US citizen as any other form of electronic communication and thus should be protected under Title II.

287. Juan S. Tello, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
I am here to say that complete net neutrality is fundamental to democracy, and I support it!

288. David Simpson, Imperial, MO, 63052
ISP's should remain under the Title II classification in order to provide strong enforcement of net neutrality! It's a ridiculous argument that these companies would police themselves to the betterment of their subscribers over thier own profit margins.

289. Lori Leach, Lee's Summit, MO, 64086
WC Docket No. 17-We are writing to express our strong opposition to the proposed rule entitled Restoring Internet Freedom, whereby FCC Chairman Ajit Pai proposes to end the utility style regulatory approach that gives government control of the Internet and to restore the market based policies necessary to preserve the future of Internet Freedom, and to reverse the decline in infrastructure investment, innovation, and options for consumers put into motion by the FCC in 2015.â€ We believe this proposed rule would concentrate control of the internet to a small group of companies, resulting is higher prices for consumers, a significant reduction in innovation, and be an inhibitor to economic growth. Without the internet neutrality rules the FCC put in place in 2015 , the incumbent service providers who control access to the internet would be able to favor their own services. The ability of internet service providers to choose winners and losers would directly inhibit the ability of new businesses to reach a customer base on equal footing with incumbent providers and large content providers. All businesses should be able to compete with incumbents on the innovation and quality of the services provided, not on their ability to pay incumbents to be on equal footing. The title of the rule is deceptive, as passage would limit the freedom of consumers to select the best products and services to meet their needs, and instead take away that freedom and hand it to a limited number of incumbent internet providers. The Restoring Internet Freedom Rule does not meet any of FCC's stated strategic goals. It would not promote economic growth and national leadership, but would instead limit the opportunities for innovation and stifle industry - changing developments. The Rule would not protect public interest goals, as it would result in higher prices and limited choices for consumers. The Restoring internet Freedom Rule would not make networks work for everyone, but would instead consolidate control of the internet to a few powerful companies. This Rule would also not promote operational excellence for the FCC, as the public has already shown great support for net neutrality rules, and this Rule and rule making process is counter to the already spoken will of the people. We urge you to maintain the current net neutrality rules and do not allow the Restoring Internet Freedom Rule to go into effect.

290. David Vogt, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
We want to keep strong and strict net neutrality laws under Title 2 net neutrality rules.

291. Talihna Ozturk, blue springs, MO, 64014
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II. Allowing ISPs to regulate access to internet increases conflicts of interest which will hurt the consumer and companies that are not ISPs.

292. katherine moore, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63110
please keep strong net neutrality rules back by title II. It keeps the playing field level allowing for innovation and development that would otherwise not be possible.

293. john oliver, springfield, MO, 65807
I support strong net neutrality laws and governing under title 2

294. Anthony Louderback, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I Specifically support strong net neutrality back by title II oversight of ISP's.

295. Net Nuetrality, St. Peters, MO, 63376
Please keep ISP regulations under article 2. leave the legislation alone. For once do the right thing and protect the citizens personal freedoms

296. Kevin J. Belgeri, St Charles, MO, 63304
The court have explicitly ruled enforcing net neutrality requires internet service to be classified as Title II--this was the reason for the reclassification in the first place.

297. Net Neutrality, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2The isps have historically demonstrated they will no abide by net neutrality unless forced to.

298. Matt Coggins, Kansas City, MO, 64109
Please do not make changes to the current FCC regulations. Companies don't need to make more money on the backs of Americans. This deregulation plan is going to kill competition and destroy the internet as we know it.

299. David Prost, Perryville, MO, 63775
I STRONGLY SUPPORT a FREE and OPEN INTERNET protected under the CURRENT TITLE II CLASSIFICATION. Anything other than that is a mere illusion of protection. Ajit Pia should be ASHAMED of himself.

300. net neutrality, St. Louis, MO, 63119
Net neutrality is the bedrock from which fair market competition is anchored. Without it, you will only encourage communication oligarchs to quash competition and dissent. Keep Title 2 regulations intact!

301. Gigi Droesch, St. Louis, MO, 63141

Please keep net neutrality. Do not make it so that those who pay more to ISPs can get their sites to load faster than those who cannot afford to pay. Thank you.

302. Shannon Smith, Farmington, MO, 63640
Keep Net Neutrality. Keep the Internet open and fair.

303. John Travise, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I support net neutrality. The internet should be treated as a common carrier and ISPs should not have the ability to shape traffic. The internet is a utility.

304. Justin Walther, Ft Leonard Wood, MO, 65473
I stand with Net Neutrality. Under Title 2 the purpose of regulation is to ensure a fair and level playing field for small and big business alike. If these rules are are removed from title two big business will take advantage of this.

305. Matthew Feith, Peculiar, MO, 64078
I am commenting to tell Mr Pai that I, and millions of others, support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversights of ISPs. Thank you.

306. Kristina Ingersoll, Washington, MO, 63090
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.Or if that didn't make any sense...PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II.

307. George Arbanas, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please keep this the way it is.

308. Amy Dickey, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
When my Constitution guarantees equality for all, that means EQUALITY FOR ALL. All people, all businesses. No one should be given more rights than anyone else, no one should be able to buy more authority, more protection, or pay more to get ahead of someone else. Further, our privacy as citizens should be protected equally and not sold to the highest bidder.

309. dallas heimberger, Columbia, MO, 65203
Title 2 should NOT be altered. Because corperations should not be able to cheat smaller competitors. And this is all being orchestrated by a man who worked as a lawyer for verizon and drinks gallons of coffee from a slightly larger than normal reese' mug... Ive seen bigger though... but please leave these laws alone.

310. Barbara Paulick, St. Louis, MO, 63123
Please protect Net Neutrality for all Americans.

311. Kurt Hutchinson, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
Open Internet "net neutrality" is too important to be taken away. We do not have freedoms in ISP providers so having a fair an open internet provider is important.

312. Dylan Collier, Ofallon, MO, 63368
Keep the internet free and open. I favor net neutrality.

313. Eric Ketzer, St. Peters, MO, 63376
Having spent 16 year working in the Telecommunications industry for GTE, Verizon, and Charter, I ask you to please support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2. Thanks.

314. Rodney Smith, Farmington, MO, 63640
Keep net neutrality leave title 2 alone. Thank you.

315. Dexter Peebles, St. Louis, MO, 63130
Please preserve net neutrality and title II!!!!

316. Paul Hennerich, Saint louis, MO, 63122
I am the owner of a very small media production company. We've been in business for over 20 years, starting with CD and Radio production. My current clients are small entrepreneurs and nonprofits , clients like the Open Studio Networks, Jazz Saint Louis and the Saint Louis Symphony. The reclassification of providers from title 1 to title 2 will create an unfair and uneven playing field. Our research shows that it will effectively cut off a communication channel for the non profits, and eleminate distribution for our for profit clients. The empathy would be the obvious loss of diversity of culture available to the community, but more important here in the heartland of America, the loss of jobs which are jobs in this country, jobs in the new economy. A change of the rules would lead to the elimination of these jobs, and the elimination of the access to a free market for small content creators. Thank You.

317. Tim Obert, Monett, MO, 65708
I am in favor of strong net neutrality regulation and title II enforcement. The internet is a collective of information, opinions, and creativity. Access to those ideas should not be controlled by those who would profit from limiting access to the ideas of their competitors.

318. Stephen Steurer, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
I want the FCC to support net neutrality under title Ii.

319. Lloyd Thomas Vail, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367

Net neutrality must stay regulated. Keep Title II in place.

320. John Currie, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
I would like to take a moment to voice my serious concerns with the proposed changes to the Net Neutrality model being proposed. I fully support the model in which ISPs are required to operate under the Title II regulations to which they are currently subjected, and feel that changing back to classifying them as Title I organizations would have a dramatic negative impact on the Internet. One of the primary responsibilities of the FCC is to act as a safeguard on behalf of consumers against overreaches of power by large communications corporations, and this proposed change is a direct violation of that responsibility.

321. JUDITH HANSES, farmington, MO, 63640
DO NOT get rid of NET NEUTRALITY rules. You're supposed to be doing what is good for Americans, not what is good for Verizon or other large ISPs.

322. Daniel Wise, Ph.D., Kansas City, MO, 64110
Keep strong net neutrality rules! Attempts to dismantle is nothing but a money grab by internet service providers and big business.

323. Mike McClannahan, Kansas City, MO, 64153
I support NET NEUTRALITY and strongly encourage the FCC to keep ISP's under Title 2 regulations. Although the ISP's are saying that they will play fair, they have shown in the past that they will do whatever is best for them. The ISP's would have no problem giving preferential band width to the highest bidder.

324. Schuyler Goslee, Springfield, MO, 65807
Keep ISP as type 2. Regulations is what keeps the field level.

325. Kristina Bohler, University City, MO, 63130
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Access to information should never be blocked by anyone.

326. Karen Traxel, Lee's Summit, MO, 64063
I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The games that are being played by large powerful companies and the US government are reprehensible and need to stop.

327. Mark Christopher Brantner, Brookline, MO, 65619
The internet must be kept unthrottled and open to all without fees for special access. The Internet is as necessary to the American People as is Broadcast Radio and Television.

328. Charles Graf, St Louis, MO, 63125
I wish to express my view that in undoing the net neutrality rule would just put us back where we were 8-10 years ago. Then it was not uncommon to have out service speed reduced because we used "too much" bandwidth or send/received too much data. Undoing the rules would, in my opinion, would allow large content providers pay/bribe network providers to provision a higher speed/low latency connection for its customers, and may even cause a reduced speed for competitors. The current rules are working well, leave them alone.

329. Rodney Lewis, Nixa, MO, 65714
I strongly support net neutrality and a safe, secure and honest internet experience. I don't want my providers to dictate the functionality of my apps on my various devices!

330. Steve Keller, Bridgeton, MO, 63044
As a blogger, retired IT professional and citizen, I would like to add my comments against the implementation of 17-108. Rather than restore Internet freedom, removing the regulations currently in place will make the Internet less free and potentially more costly to subscribers and content providers. As a small content provider (blogger) I depend on fair prioritization of Internet traffic to allow my content to get through in a timely manner (or at all). While in the larger picture my 10,000 page views per month are a drop in the bucket, the people viewing my content deem it important. After all, they continue to view the content. And isn't that how this is all supposed to work? Consumers should be making choices as to what they want by voting for content with their time and purchases. Putting the choice of what consumers "want" in the hands of government (censorship) or private companies (responsible to shareholder) is both undemocratic and not a free market.As providers of a public infrastructure, ISPs should not be able to control which content is delivered to consumers. ISPs have ample opportunity to acquire needed capital and fees to maintain the infrastructure both from consumer subscriptions fees and connection charges for content providers. There is no need or justification for ISPs to add pay-for-play fees to content while going through the infrastructure. 17-108 would remove needed protections. That's why I am opposed to it.

331. Gentry Sanz-Agero, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
TO the FCC and Mr. Ajit PaiPLEASE NOTE: I strongly am in support of Net Neutrality, backed by Title 2 Oversight of ISPs. This was overwhelmingly agreed upon nearly 3 years ago. Mr. Pai's former ties to Verizon seem to be a clear conflict of interest with regards to this issue. Stop using the tired rhetoric that NET NEUTRALITY "costs jobs", when actually there is NOTHING you can point to that does. The FCC is supposed to serve and reflect the will of the people, not corporations. Mr. Pai who was appointed to his position should remember this. He serves the American people now, not his former employer, Verizon. Sincerely, Gentry S.

332. Rich Kolar, St Louis, MO, 63112
Keep your hands off net neutrality. Internet access is a public good which must remain free of ISP and any other interference.

333. Cindy Mayer-Huff, Arnold, MO, 63010
Hello,This is written to express my support for strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you,Cindy

334. Camille Scott, University City, MO, 63130

We write this to specifically say that we support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Reserve net neutrality and Title II.

335. Rick Bouxsein, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
This affects me directly as a small business owner on the internet. Net Neutrality is crucial to providing a level playing field in online business.

336. sam Baumohl, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Don't gut net neutreuality

337. Ted Rubright, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
Hi FCC,I am writing in support of keeping strong net neutrality with Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you,Ted R.

338. Michael McKenzie, Wildwood, MO, 63040
I want it to be known that I strongly support net neutrality protections that are backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

339. David Gosser, Irondale, MO, 63648
I'm requesting that Net Neutrality Laws backed by Title II remain in place and not be removed.

340. Kyler Carlson, Fenton, MO, 63026
I support the FCC retaining Title II regulations on ISPs. Without that, there's no real way to keep the internet a fair and level playing field. I'm a web developer and my livelihood depends on our small company having a fair shot. Verizon themselves have said this didn't change their investment in infrastructure so there really isn't a downside to this.

341. William Madden, Columbia, MO, 65201
Keep net neutrality regulations! ISPs need to stay under title two of the Communications Act of 1934!

342. Spencer Tumbleson, Sikeston, MO, 63801
Keep Title II regulations of ISPs and maintain net neutrality.

343. Benjamin Tucker Williams, Ballwin, MO, 63011
I want strong net neutrality regulations as enforced by title 2 to remain. I do not approve of removing them, so companies can slash the speeds of websites they do not approve of. There is no evidence of companies being hurt from these regulations as it puts everything on an even playing field. Please do not get rid of these or change them to be any weaker I believe we as a people deserve to have our internet free and open to all. Also the only reason there is no evidence of companies changing speeds of other websites is because they can't do it legally for now please don't let that change.

344. Marcella Rasmussen, kansas city, MO, 64126
I stand with millions of Missourians, a even more millions of internet users as I say, I support strong Net Neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's. Do not allow a corporate take over of the web.

345. Brian Kinzel, Saint Louis, MO, 63128
Dear FCC, This is not restoring freedom, it is making the Internet less free, with large companies restricting access and stifing creativity to users. Do not rollback the consumer-friendly work that previous FCC administrations have worked so hard to put in place.

346. Keanon Liggatt, Kansas City, MO, 64111
We cannot trust enormous corporations who can slow down access to competing resources to "do the right thing." Just like we can't trust a former Verizon lawyer to properly regulate Verizon. Leave the Internet under Title II!

347. Ashley Tetlow, Lees Summit, MO, 64064
I support Net Neutrality under Title II. Please preserve the freedom afforded to us by the great equalizer: The Internet.

348. JUDITH HANSES, Farmington, MO, 63640
DO NOT dilute NET NEUTRALITY rules. I support a strong Title II. People are tired of getting screwed over. Keep Title II just the way it is. HANDS OFF.

349. william carmack, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Please preserve internet neutrality under title 2.

350. Tim Zimmerman, Kansas City, MO, 64151
The Internet has grown so much and become so pervasive in our society that setting regulation back to the Clinton administration makes absolutely no sense. This is a UTILITY that many people rely on not only for social and entertainment purposes, but for their work and livelihood. To give control of something so important back to those at the top only concerned about their bottom line is immoral and irresponsible. This will stifle small businesses and innovation. It will make the powerful even more powerful. America will take yet another step toward being a safe haven for the almighty corporation rather than a place for the small individual to have success.Internet service providers have already shown themselves to be irresponsible and unfair in their treatment of customers. They value their own money and freedom over the greater good and will make sure to pursue that end if this rollback of Title II takes hold. They aren't hurting for money and Title II doesn't (and hasn't) hurt them in any way other than keeping them from taking advantage of customers to make more money off of an inferior product.Simply put, ending Title II enforcement on ISPs would be catastrophic for the American people. Not only would we be losing something so important to many of us, but we would immediately be thrown even further behind the rest of the developed world as far as Internet access goes. This country's Internet is already a joke, please don't make it worse.The Internet belongs to the users. Not the ISPs. Not the fat executives cashing in like a used car salesman on steroids. The people.Please keep it that

way.

351. Net Neutrality, St. Charles, MO, 63301
I am writing to support keeping Net Neutrality and Title 2 preserved in order to protect American consumers.

352. Elizabeth Malta, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
I support strong Net Neutrality that's why Title II oversight of ISPs. Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.

353. Chris Leighton, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Please keep the internet fair. Net neutrality is critically important. I know many small business owners that need a fair playing field to nurture their ideas.

354. Daniel Perdue, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Please preserve Net Neutrality and keep a fair and open market.

355. Susan Danielle Rumford, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
Please do not scale back the net neutrality laws. The American people are strongly against it.

356. jacopo mazzoni, university city, MO, 63130
I strongly support strong regulations for net neutrality

357. Jason Goldman, Saint Louis, MO, 63131
Net Neutrality has allowed innovation and start-ups to thrive on the internet. Do not significantly damage the tech community and entrepreneurship in the US ...I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2...

358. Net Neutrality, Saint Louis, MO, 63033
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight for ISPs. I also support the removal of Ajit Pai as head of the FCC.

359. Ryan McWay, Saint Louis, MO, 63131
PLEASE RETAIN NET NEUTRALITY! The internet is integral to my life. It would be a dear shame and disgrace to see a further disintegration of our modern forum for expression.

360. Josepha Haden, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Removing equitable access to a technology that is essentially required to function as a member of the workforce is unethical and shortsighted. Heal the digital divide, don't make it worse.

361. Frederick White, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
Keep internet neutrality, and Title II instated. There is now no where to physically go to be in a neutral zone, the internet is all we have for that.

362. Jacob Underwood, Springfield, MO, 65807
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. Consumers suffer when companies like these are not kept in check.

363. Scott Hasek, Lees Summit, MO, 64064
I support strong Net Neutrality laws backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Use an ounce of common sense and realize that deregulation only leads to public harm because then their is no accountability.

364. Dustin DeMott, Kansas City, MO, 64154
I support net neutrality and the backing of the Title 2 for ISP'. It would also be great if we could get something passed that would prevent this from being an issue in the future, but I know that's most likely impossible.

365. Mark Bradshaw, Springfield, MO, 65806
Dear FCC, please preserve Title II protections and net neutrality. This is crucial to preventing the intellectual progess of our species from being lost in the name of profit. It's is bigger than us, and more important than a couple CEO's financial security.

366. Ryan Sumpter, Columbia, MO, 65202
I strongly support the title 2 regulations for ISPs for a free and open internet.

367. Chris Shirrell, Jackosn, MO, 63755
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Stop opening loopholes for chicken hawk corporations to exploit the American people.

368. Satya Mishra, O Fallon, MO, 63368
Future of our freedom is at stake as the current players are becoming entrenched in controlling the internet. The internet will become another corporate controlled medium and it's great potential to transform humanity will be lost with the proposed rules. No entity should be able to dictate what citizens can and cannot to on the internet. I urge the FCC to keep the existing rules and indeed enhance them to ensure that it is a fair and level playing field for the smallest of companies and that it is a place where every citizen feels a sense of ownership.

369. Vern Leming, Ballwin`, MO, 63021
Keep Net Neutrality as it is and maintain Title 2 classification of ISPs.

370. Lindsay Gjerde, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Regulations on net neutrality should not be rolled back!

371. Cassandra Abshier, Columbia, MO, 65202
Please keep Internet Neutrality. Support Title II of the Communications Act.

372. Kelsey Hubble, Breckenridge Hills, MO, 63114
We NEED to preserve net neutrality and cannot get rid of Title II because consumers deserve to experience fairness and impartiality when using the internet.

373. Kathy Ferrell, Hazelwood, MO, 63042
Leave net neutrality as it is - same with Title II - keep your hands off the net - Obama did a terrific thing for the people here and I don't want our moron president to change it. Thank you!

374. Aaron Roby, Springfield, MO, 65802
The importance of Net Neutrality cannot be overstated. If you grant the ISPs the ability to regulate or curate the internet at all, of course they will do so in a way that maximizes their profits. I don't even blame them for it, they're businesses. Their purpose is to make money. It's your responsibility to make sure that they're not allowed to take advantage of the consumer, and that's all we're asking of you.

375. Aleta.Bowers, Springfield, MO, 65806
I am opposed to rolling back net neutrality regulations and allowing media corporations to decide what information is allowed to be viewed and at what speeds. While not in direct defiance of freedom of speech, the ability to deliberately reduce the speed or quality at which certain information is viewed gives these corporations undue power to not just to promote their own media conglomerates' material, but to control the flow of information and keep regular Americans ignorant of information that is in opposition to their providers' economic interests.Economics has been used so often to excuse deregulation even when such regulations exist for the explicit purpose of protecting peoples' lives and constitutional rights. Stop letting corporations who are only interested in the value of their stocks decide what rights the American people are entitled to.

376. Cecil S Daniel, Kansas City, MO, 64129
I specifically support the implementation and protection of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

377. Madeline Thomas, St. Louis, MO, 63146
I support internet freedom and keeping internet providers classified under Title II legal definitions. I support net neutrality and do not think it should be taken away for any reason.

378. Shay Bue, Palmyra, MO, 63461
I support the current net neutrality laws and believe that we should keep internet service providers classified under Title 2. The greatest innovations in the internet are a product of the fair playing field that these laws ensure.

379. George Sackett, Ellisville, MO, 63021
I strongly encourage the FCC to keep ISP regulations under title II of the communications regulations. Expecting ISPs to voluntarily behave in the consumer's best interest instead of their own has proven unrealistic. Please do not reduce the oversight of the ISPs.

380. Brandon Holden, Kansas City, MO, 64117
I VERY much support strong net neutrality laws backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s!

381. Valdis Reid, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
As a tax-payer, a voter, and a web based business owner I strongly support Title II oversight of ISP's, which guarantees net neutrality. Moving ISP's under Title I threatens everything that makes the internet the wonderful and powerful tool that it is, as well as potentially threatens the future of mine and other web based businesses. Valdis Reid

382. Curtis Hibbs, Old Monroe, MO, 63369
The current rules are working without any undue burden on carriers. Do not return to the days of documented abuse by rolling back net nutrality rules.

383. Ronald, BALLWIN, MO, 63021
Please, preserve strong net neutrality rules backed by title 2 oversight of Internet service providers.The Internet should remain free and open to all withoit restrictions.

384. Steven Harowitz, Richmond Heights, MO, 63117
It is imperative you preserve net neutrality utilizing Title II.

385. Michelle Bryant, St. Louis, MO, 63122
I adamantly support strong Net Neutrality which is backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.

386. Thomas Miller, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
I support net neutrality and Internet classification under Title II. Please leave the internet free and open as it should be.

387. Lucas K, Liberty, MO, 64068
I strongly support Net Neutrality and ISP classification under Title II. It is important for everyone to have equal access to the internet, and not allow large companies to decide who gets preference.

388. Patricia L. Lear-Johnson, Raymore, MO, 64083

I support internet neutrality as set out in the current rules under Title II.

389. James Bratten, Fulton, MO, 65251
I'm a designer and printmaker and for the better part of the last decade I have used (and needed to use) the open and free internet available to me to work with clients and fulfil orders across the world. The very idea that I, as a small operator with a limited amount of capital, could be forced to a "side-lane" because of what is obviously an attempt to arrange a pay-to-play scheme fills me with a level of disgust I didn't think was possible. The vast majority of the industrialized world has agreed that the open internet is something that needs to be protected and regulated like a utility and it would be a shame to see the United States fall behind once again in this regard.

390. Bryant Scott, Kansas City, MO, 64117
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by title oversight of ISPs.

391. Net Neutrality, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please protect net neutrality, it is very important to a lot of people!

392. Jason Meriwether, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Keep the Title 2 rules in place. This gives people protection they need. This is a naked power grab SAD.

393. 17-108, Springfield, MO, 65804
Keep the net neutrality rules the same

394. Ryan Johnston, Rolla, MO, 65401
Do not revoke net neutrality. This will stifle and harm small businesses while giving large business a large advantage. This will harm new ideas and innovations

395. Kevin Bair, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Net neutrality needs to remain intact to protect the free flow of internet content. Dismantling the legal oversight would open up the possibility for ISPs, regardless of what they say now, to see dollar signs and show bias when providing their services. Please help protect net neutrality!

396. James Mays, Kansas City, MO, 64153
Ajit Pai, I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. These protections are necessary for consumers, and removing them would inevitably infringe on my right to view the content I want without bandwidth restrictions.

397. J Dole, St Louis, MO, 63132
I strongly support title II and the resulting net neutrality regulation. A sentence or two in the terms of service declaring that providers won't throttle or block specific content is not good enough, the current policy was enacted because companies were controlling access to specific content speed & reliability based on how much they could extort from the content provider. It's un-American to intentionally slow or disable access to sites, apps, etc. then forcing paying customers to use the competitor because that competitor paid the ISP (or the customer desired product did NOT pay the ISP). Let us continue to choose our own content without interference from ISP's/ providers! This is a cash grab, we already pay ISP's several hundred dollars per month, opening up the floodgates for ISP's to sell bandwidth allocations is good for their profit but terrible for the paying customer & consumer (ALL OF US). Thank you.

398. Melissa English, Kansas City, MO, 64155
I strongly support net neutrality. Please preserve Title 2

399. Kent Seaton, Parkville, MO, 64152
Asking corporations to play fair without rules won't happen. To keep the internet open, ISPs must be kept under Title II laws.

400. Elizabet A Colaric, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's, Please leave the oversight in place!

401. Michelle McBrien, Columbia, MO, 65202
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality back by Title 2 Oversight of ISPs. Net Neutrality not only reinforces companies competitiveness, but it enables our society to have proper access equally. Net Neutrality

402. Net Neutrality, Columbia, MO, 65203
ISPs (Including Broadband, Wireless Carries, etc.) need to be regulated to continue to provide the safety for pricing and open Internet. Reducing the amount of regulation WILL eventually lead to fast lanes, prioritized services from your ISP, and overall decreased competition. Saying that the current rules don't encourage competition is obviously not correct. Look at the competition that has been spurred by T-Mobile in the mobile carrier space, reducing prices and increasing data for customers. The only people who back this are greedy corporations, or those who have been paid by these individuals, and will do so much harm to the way that the Internet was meant to function. I strongly oppose this and would be disappointed to see the FCC acting in such a way as to not protect consumers, and moreâ€‹ importantly CITIZENS who you are supposed to represent and protect.

403. Thomas Green, Kansas City, MO, 64111
We must preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. We cannot trust big companies to regulate themselves and there is literally no reason not to keep Title II.

404. Ryan Rossiter, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I would like to voice my displeasure for the proposal of reclassifying ISP's to title I, reclassifying will allow ISP's to favor or disfavor online content by blocking, throttling, or charging prioritization for said content. This proposal would eliminate net neutrality rules set in

place to protect consumers, and favor corporations. This move opposes the communication rights of the people, and instead prioritizes the monopoly-minded views of ISP. I insist ISP's not be reclassified to title I.

405. David Rojas, St Charles, MO, 63303
Keep strong the net neutrality rules backed by Title II

406. Nevin Dubinski, Columbia, MO, 65201
I support isp's being under title two of the communications act.

407. Boris Semenok, Lee's Summit, MO, 64086
I support an open internet with strong net neutrality laws per title II.

408. cole hellman, manchester, MO, 63021
Preserve net neutrality rules and Title II. ISPs should not be allowed to create rules for their own benefit.

409. Conner Raney, Nevada, MO, 64772
I am a supporter of strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversights of ISPs.

410. Bryan Gross, St Louis, MO, 63139
I fully support strong net neutrality requirements across the internet. I would even support an increase in regulation. The idea that the government is going to, once again, roll over and expose its belly to these corporate animals is sickening.

411. Brandon Davis, Columbia, MO, 65202
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Don't screw up the internet, keep it fair and equal.

412. Eliza Angarano, St. Louis, MO, 63139
I think you should preserve Net Neutrality laws and keep ISPs within Title II. Eliminating these regulations will be harmful to the way we use the internet/communicate.

413. Sophia Brown, Saint Louis, MO, 63101
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. It is very important that you listen to we the people on this issue.

414. Justin Immel, St Louis, MO, 63118
I very strongly support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's

415. Melissa C English, Kansas City, MO, 64155
I strongly support Net Neutrality, please preserve Title 2.

416. Net Neutrality, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I am writing to inform you that I am in favor of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. If protections are rolled back it will not be a positive for this country. Smaller internet based startups will face unfair discrimination due to ISP's favoring their own services over competition, and ultimately it will be consumers that suffer the consequences. Do not do this.

417. Brandon Beyer, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. Do not take away net neutrality as we know it today.

418. David Sohn, Saint Peters, MO, 63303
I support Title 2 Net Neutrality rules - please do not roll these back! We need EQUAL footing for all businesses, not a system where those with the most money get priority!

419. Homer Jackson, Okolona, MO, 38860
Please keep all regulations related to Net Neutrality, these will safe guard the internet for future generations. Trusting corporations to self govern, will always and inevitably result in negative outcomes that were intended to achieve earnings results rather than benefit human interests.

420. Kelvin Taylor, St. Louis, MO, 63141
Please preserve net neutrality; keep Title 2 regulations in place

421. Daniel Story, Joplin, MO, 64801
I support -strong- Net Neutrality with Title II rules for ISPs, there's not enough evidence to prove that going back to Title I is for the better.

422. Kevin Froman, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
As a programmer and college student, I rely on a free and open internet to do my work. Please do not betray the American people. Protect net neutrality by keeping ISPs under title II.

423. Erin Kodysz, Kansas City, MO, 64118
I strongly back net neutrality backed by title 2 oversights of ISPs. Please keep title 2 as it is.

424. Cody Robinson, Camden Point, MO, 64018
Please keep ISP's under title 2 and let the internet be a neutral playing field for all consumers and creators.

425. Mason Conkright, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I want to express my support for strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

426. Paul Derrien, Fenton, MO, 63026
I support keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

427. casey niemann, kansas city, MO, 64123
Preserve a free internet!! Preserve New Neutrality!!

428. Joe Whitehurse, Columbia, MO, 65203
Leave net neutrality in effect under the coverage of Title II.

429. Joseph De Maria, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
I would like to express my support for preserving net neutrality and Title II.

430. Sean McCormac, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I support the regulation of ISPs under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. An open and free Internet is only possible with net neutrality and therefore ISPs should remain classified under Title II.

431. Dacar, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
Maintain Title II. Don't let Verizon make the rules!

432. John A. Riepl, Weldon Spring, MO, 63304
I am writing to voice my support FOR STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by the Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Placing the control of net neutrality in the hands of ISP's and trusting them to do what is in the best interest of the people is a laughable joke. Keep isp's classified under Title 2 please. EVERYONE deserves fair and unfettered access to the internet. ISP's should not be allowed to self-regulate or sell priority access. Thank you.

433. Terry Snyder, Smithville, MO, 64089
I support strong Net neutrality backed by Title II oversight. Do NOT leave this oversight to the ISPs!

434. David Guerin, Kansas City, MO, 64130
To Whom It May Concern,It is my belief as a concerned citizen of these United States, that a free and open internet is a key component of our modern democratic society. As such, I would request that you retain the current legal structure classifying net neutrality laws under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934, rather than allowing the current administration to push through a restructuring of the laws under Title I of the same act. This will prevent large internet service providers from limiting the bandwidth of competitors' content in order to promote their own, and ensure a more free society for all Americans, now and into the future.Regards,David Guerin

435. Tristan, Plattsburg, MO, 64477
Do not take away net neutrality. please.

436. David Beppler, richwoods, MO, 63071
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.Also make your website more accessible.

437. Julius Bertoncin, Rolla, MO, 65401
Please defend Net Neutrality. Freedom is pretty neat.

438. Dennis Downs, Joplin, MO, 64801
I am filing AGAINST this legislation. I am in favor of strong net neutrality. This legislation is clearly in favor of ISPs and does not help the customer.

439. Eric A. Hucker, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
I support strong Net Neutrality through regulation of Internet Service Providers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. The FCC should not return ISPs to Title I. Free and open access to the internet without fear of corporate intervention is a significant foundation for the success of our economy and our democracy.

440. Oscar Fernandes, St. Louis, MO, 63116
please preserve net neutrality rules under title 2!

441. Sherd White, Crestwood, MO, 63126
Please leave Title 2 in place. Most areas of the country have one choice for home internet. Allowing corporate ISP to pick and choose what content to prioritize is a form of censorship and terrible for the consumer. Please remember that the FCC protects consumers, not industry Mr. Pai.

442. Tim Opitz, Columbia, MO, 65201
Keep Net neutrality. Providers should not be able to sell browser history, or slow-down/speed-up content based on who pays them them most. Keep the Obama-era classification. Ajit Pai should resign.

443. Gary Kopp, St. Louis, MO, 63124
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I believe as an American or any person in this world we have a right to freedom of information. I do not believe any ISP has the right to bottle any information or services available on the internet

444. Nathan Dyer, Springfield, MO, 65803
Please preserve net neutrality and continue regulating internet providers under Title II!

445. Joshua Stephens, Joplin, MO, 64804
I support strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title 2. If you think the citizens of the United States will just let you stomp all over internet freedom because you are getting paid off by Verizon, Comcast, AT&T, etc. then you have another thing coming. We will stand up for internet freedom because it is what we demand.

446. Robert Hanson, St. Louis, MO, 63126
I support strong net neutrality laws with Title 2 oversight of all ISPs.

447. Justin and Meagan Powers, Crane, MO, 65633
My wife and I both strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.

448. Stephen Finn, Springfield, MO, 65802
I support regulation of Internet service providers (ISPs) as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I support "net neutrality" and believe the FCC should require ISP's to deliver all traffic ithout favoring or blocking particular products or websites.I do support Quality of Service (QOS) where an ISP can prioritize some type of traffic above another for example, Voice Over Internet Protocol (VOIP) but that the ISP not give preference to any particular VOIP provider. Thank you,.

449. Jordan Blackmer, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
Preserve Net Neutrality - it is unreasonable & unAmerican to allow Net Neutrality to be dismantled. The internet is one of the most innovative tools ever created, and a free & fair information superhighway is a right all Americans deserve access to. Do not allow the shortsighted profits of corporations to undercut the potential of this most valuable tool for American innovation to lead the world.

450. Eric McIntosh, Kansas City, MO, 64113
Dear Mr. Ajit Pai, I Specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP (Internet service providers). Thank you

451. Christopher Kartsonis, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I am for net neutrality and strict enforcement of Title II limitations on ISPs. I do not trust business to protect my freedom of free access to whatever I desire to look for on the internet.

452. Rachel Cline, Independence, MO, 64056
I support net neutrality, therefore FULLY support Title II.

453. Alex Garcini, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I'm supporting the preservation of Net Neutrality and Title II

454. Kevin Doyle, St. Louis, MO, 63139
I fully support upholding the net neutrality regulations on internet service providers, specifically under Title II

455. Andrew Fitzpatrick, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
This only serves to protect ISPs bank account, and does nothing to protect the public.

456. abe lane, Springfield, MO, 65802
Please up hold net neutrality. And keep all isps under title 2.

457. Nick Morgan, St. Charles, MO, 63301
I support strong Net Neutrality regulations that continue to classify ISPs under Title 2. Please do not role back these regulations! That would open the door for grave abuses of power.

458. michael roth, Wentzville, MO, 63385
I strongly support net neutrality under title 2. Leave it the way it is.

459. Jeffrey Williams, o Fallon, MO, 63366
I support keeping ISPs under Title II in order to keep net neutrality intact.

460. Derek Barisas, St. Louis, MO, 63108
The FCC should work to preserve the regulation of the internet under Title 2 to allow for the fair and equal internet that is the foundation of the modern economy. A change to Title I would jeopardize this important economic driver.

461. Nick Domitrovich, St. Louis, MO, 63108
Ajit Pai, I support net neutrality backed by title 2 support of ISP's. Thanks.

462. Todd Oberman, Clayton, MO, 63105
Internet Service Providers should remain regulated under Title II to enforce net neutrality and future public interest policies. It is perfectly appropriate for Internet Service Providers to be regulated under Title II. There is no solid evidence that this regulation is decreasing investment by Internet Service Providers in their infrastructure. In fact public statements by CEO's of Internet Service Providers, in which they are obliged by law to be truthful, show they continue to invest in new infrastructure and new technology after they were moved to be regulated under Title II.

463. Daren, Springfield, MO, 65804
You need to uphold the current net neutrality laws and ISP's under Title 2. Repealing the laws would be unfair and an injustice to the

open internet rule you advocate. Do not eliminate net neutrality in the interest of corporate greed.

464. Seth Burch, Kansas City, MO, 64117
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II with strong oversight of ISPs. Please protect net neutrality. I also would like to add that I can't believe we are having to go through all of this again. Just a thought for the political animals if Net Neutrality went was compromised what would stop a competitor with more funding from paying to reduce their Campaign effort's bandwidth as well? It certain seemed to be an important aspect to the last election.

465. Jackson Russo, St. Louis, MO, 63125
Hi, my name is Jackson Russo, and I support the current net neutrality laws, which includes keeping ISP's classified under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934. The internet, in 2017 is a vital resource to millions of Americans, and allowing ISP's to throttle connection speeds on a whim and depending on the free market to solve the problem is incredibly irresponsible. Please fulfill the purpose of your office and protect the millions of Americans and American businesses who depend on net neutrality for their survival (in a literal and a business sense).

466. Nick Funke, St Louis, MO, 63105
I am writing you to express my overwhelming support for keeping net neutrality.

467. Karen Acton, St. Louis, MO, 63112
I support strong net neutrality reinforced by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.

468. Fred Lamming, Lake St Louis, MO, 63367
I am in favor of keeping strong net neutrality rules under Title II

469. John Henry Schmalzbauer, Nixa, MO, 65714
Net neutrality is a very important piece of legislation. It would be disappointing to have this law repealed because it would hurt independent media in favor of big corporations. A free internet allows a free market. Every user should be guaranteed the same Internet speed. Companies should be able to compete with other businesses online so they can provide their services to millions of consumers. This repeal will allow companies like Verizon, At&t, Comcast, and many others to have a monopoly on the internet. Please keep the internet free. The internet is extremely important to the entire United States and this repeal will effect everyone. Please do the right thing.Sincerely,John Henry Schmalzbauer

470. Ryan Dickey, Affton, MO, 63123
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 Oversight of ISPs. Do not deregulate this. It is naive to think that businesses will not do what is best for their bottom line. Making money is the overriding goal of a business, and if the ISPs determine that there is a better financial model that involves controlling access and speed of access to specific pieces of the internet in order to select 'winners and losers' based upon their own interests rather than those of their customers they will do it. You can trust that businesses will do what is best for them regardless of how it impacts their customers. If you must deregulate this industry then you have to ensure that consumers have choices in the marketplace FIRST. If you can guarantee ISP competition then and only then can you tamper with deregulation, however this industry is highly monopolized in different regions of the country, and many consumers have no choice as to which ISP they pay for access to the internet. It's bad enough that you've allowed these monopolies to develop, but to then deregulate them on top of that would be criminal in my opinion.

471. Ajit Pai, Grandview, MO, 64030
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2 YA DINGUS!

472. sindy p, Hannibal, MO, 63401
We should not do away with net neutrality. I support title II. We need net neutrality.

473. jack spondo, St. Louis, MO, 63131
Keep net neutrality to keep freedoms and promote innovation which will in turn help the US economy.

474. Joshua Wiese, Columbia, MO, 65202
I support strong regulation of ISPs under Title II. Please do not lessen the FCC regulations regarding net neutrality.

475. Marshall Totten, Springfield, MO, 65804
I support a free and open internet and I'll be god damned if you dirty bureaucratic cretins give Internet Service Providers the authority to manipulate the free market by selecting what they think is suitable for me to stream, download and share in the privacy of my own home. Bush did 9/11.

476. Jack Hagan, Columbia, MO, 65201
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. This is immensely important to the future of the internet and to people's freedom to consume the media they choose and not what their service provider wants to push. Please side with the consumers and citizens and not with the companies who wish to make a quick buck.

477. Joe Pattavina, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. The internet in America should be free from tampering and not owned by large businesses.

478. Carter Datz, Kirksville, MO, 63501
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I would like ISPs to be regulated in order to ensure fairness in equal internet opportunity.

479. Joe Burke, Kansas city, MO, 64123
I support strong net neutrality rules under title 2. I urge the FCC to leave the Obama-era rules in place.

480. Lance Roskens, Springfield, MO, 65804
Recent history specifically demonstrates that, in the absence of regulation, internet providers will take steps to "throttle," slow or simply exclude certain content. Furthermore, there is little evidence that any decisions by providers to invest in infrastructure was or is effected by net neutrality. For these reasons, I am opposed to the current proposed rule change.

481. Matthew Melson, Raytown, MO, 64138
I wanted to tell you that I support net neutrality and Title II ISPs. The fact that this is up for discussion again proves just how in the weeds the FCC is with all of the companies that would benefit from net neutrality going away.

482. Title 1 and 2, West Plains, MO, 65775
I support net neutrality. The internet is a beautiful medium for expression, business, and fraternity. The lose of FCC oversight would ruin something that has been and should continue to be the greatest invention of teh 20th Century.

483. Rob Schmittgens, St. Louis, MO, 63017
I support STRONG net neutrality regulations supported by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

484. James M. Robinson, Columbia, MO, 65203
I support net neutrality and strongly oppose any effort to roll back existing regulations on the matter.

485. Daniel Caligiuri, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I am in support of keeping ISPs classified under Title II. There seems to me to be no benefit to the American people of allowing these corporations the ability to do as they please with regard to internet speeds on their own carriers. Please keep ISPs classified under Title II.

486. Tyler Roe, Wentzville, MO, 63385
I strongly support keeping Title II in effect in order to protect net neutrality. Without it, companies will have too much power over their customers, which won't allow the fair sharing of media that we currently have today.

487. net neutrality, Huntsville, MO, 65259
The FCC should keep ISP's under Title II oversight for pretty god damn obvious reasons.

488. add filers, Springfeild, MO, 65802
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs

489. Net Neutrality, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I firmly support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please place the interests and protection of consumers over the profit motives of major ISPs. It is quite literally your One Job.

490. Brian Vanne, Springfield, MO, 65802
Current net neutrality classifications with ISPs under Title II are imperative and beneficial for current civic, ethical, and entreprenuerial reasons and guaranteeing the basic net neutrality as a regulated utility with freedom of use at its core (decided on popular interest, not corporate ones) should be a proposition and focus for the FCC.

491. Marilyn Long, Grandview, MO, 64030
Net neutrality must be preserved. In a democratic society, our ability to access information must not be impeded by ISP's decisions to speed or slow certain content. We should be able to access the content that we want. Therefore, Title II protection must be retained, not changed.

492. Amanda, Lee's Summit, MO, 64064
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support string net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

493. Bob Anderson, West Plains, MO, 65775
I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Changing this will hurt net neutrality and only benefit big business.

494. Christopher Moore, St. Charles, MO, 63301
It is prudent that ISP's continue to be regulated under Title II in order to preserve net neutrality

495. Ben Cradick, St. Charles, MO, 63303
I support the protection of net neutrality under the title II requirements. We need it so new businesses can thrive and bring jobs to the US, without it America will lag behind the rest of the world.

496. greg johnston, Washington, MO, 63090
Seriously guys- use common sense here. Don't mess with net neutrality

497. Joel Seyfried, Belton, MO, 64012
Please do not reverse the net neutrality ruling. It keeps the playing field open for everyone. Please keep Title II in play.

498. Kyle Green, St Louis, MO, 63132
I do not support the rolling back of current FCC regulations. I fully support net neutrality as part of Title II. The content of the internet should not be allowed to be controlled by the ISPs and all websites must be treated equally. The same way the government now treats

individuals and corporations as equal.

499. Matthew J Eagon, St. Louis, MO, 63131
I, along with most ordinary people, fully support net neutrality and wish to keep regulating power in the hands of the FCC. There was a very specific reason that we moved away from Title 1 so many years ago, and moving back is only in the interest of ISP's, not average Americans. Keep them regulated and stop playing stupid about why regulations are in place as they are.

500. Amanda, Brentwood, MO, 63144
The internet should be free and open, and no company should be able to restrict it.

501. Scott Florin, St. Louis, MO, 63021
Please do not revoke, diminish or remove the existing and successful Net Neutrality rules. I strongly support the existing rules and regulations governing Net Neutrality and find the current attempt to once again alter these rules deplorable and unnecessary.

502. Justin Richardson, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Please keep in place our net neutrality laws and a free and open internet.

503. John Klamer, Clayton, MO, 63105
There are not nearly enough providers in most areas to create a free market system that wouldn't be exploitive. For example, if my ISP was offering priority service to it's own content over others, in a true free market system I could move carriers to one that isn't exploitive, but in most areas in this country there is one maybe 2 ISP's, and no guarantees they will not all be exploitive. DO NOT RECLASSIFY ISPS UNDER ARTICLE 1 AGAIN.

504. Sam Reid, Columbia, MO, 65201
ISP's need regulation. I do not trust private companies to not act in their best interests blindly.

505. Joshua North, Gladstone, MO, 64118
I am voicing my concern that the proceeding "17-108", or "Restoring Internet Freedom" is an attempt to strip Americans of their rights to privacy and equitable internet choices. My household supports true internet neutrality and does not support the right of internet service providers to limit access to websites, restrict access to websites or modify the speed of access to websites, based on their whims. The internet needs to be free and regardless of who you pay for internet services, you should have the right to access any website.Let's be honest. By creating "fast lanes", you are just putting a positive spin on "restricting access". What if you couldn't call a friend who didn't have the same phone service as you, or you could call a friend, but you had to wait five minutes on hold before you got to talk to him, because they were on a different service. That is what any anti-net neutrality law is trying to accomplish. This is bad for any citizen. This is bad for U.S. corporations. The ONLY winner is the Internet Service Providers as they will be profiting from their ability to charge more to access popular websites.

506. Ian Crawford-Goss, Glasgow, MO, 65254
I support net neutrality. I support net neutrality through the regulation of internet service providers via Title 2. I also support strict net neutrality rules, such as prohibiting the blocking of certain apps and sites, prohibiting speed throttling, and prohibiting preferential speeds or paid fast lanes.

507. Tara Curtis, Kansas City, MO, 64153
Net neutrality is an incredibly important topic and one that must be upheld. The current regulations must be kept under Title II. The cable companies, if no longer restricted, will obviously serve their bottom line and begin limiting access to competitive companies or products, as was seen prior to your ruling in 2015. Please uphold the current regulation and keep net neutrality under the more strict regulations of Title II.

508. Ayrese Jennings, Knob Noster, MO, 65336
I am opposed to the destruction of net neutrality. It's important to many people and companies who have been founded under these guidelines and I believe changing those rules to benefit the few is deplorable especially just to earn tax breaks for those who already have the most money. Leave th Isps under title where it actually helps the PEOPLE!

509. Net Neutrality, Hillsoro, MO, 63050
I am a strong supporter of Net Neutrality. The title II oversight by many ISP's is outrageous.

510. Net Neutrality, Kansas city, MO, 64118
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Keep Net Neutrality and save small business!

511. John Mayer, Springfield, MO, 65804
Net neutrality in it's current form should stay with Title II.The internet is as important today as public utilities such as water, electricity, and natural gas.Companies like Verizon said in their investor meetings prior to the 2015 ruling that Title II would not affect their investment in internet expansion. Companies can't lie during investor meetings.The only way to get away from a Title II classification would be to increase internet providers so the market would demand net neutrality. I have lived in nine states and at most ever had three broadband providers and usually one or two. State laws are anti-competitive and without the competition there is no market driven innovation and self-regulation for net neutrality. If everywhere in America had ten plus competitive internet providers like some places in Europe do I'd agree with the FCC that Title II was no longer useful or necessary. State governments have stifled open market participation and the fact that internet access is as vital as water, electricity, and natural gas demands Title II and net neutrality stay.

512. Bill Gogel, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I live in a city where the 3rd largest cable provider is headquartered. In 2016, Charter Communications spent $7.2 million in lobbying to deregulate their industry. I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers, such as Charter

Communications. Reclassification of these ISPs provides a clear conflict of interest that would allow providers prioritized bandwidth to their own content. Reclassification of these ISPs is anti-consumer.

513. Luke Coleman, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I believe that the FCC should not reverse Title II Net Neutrality. Reversing net neutrality puts consumers at risk and allows ISPs too much freedom (freedom that can be used to exploit consumers).

514. kate, columbia, MO, 65203
Stealing net neutrality! And hiding behind, "we're not really doing anything different"... This is just WRONG! An example of rich people stealing from the rest of us and their lawyers (Ajit Pai) helping them by LYING!!! There has never been a time when a giant company does't hide behind it's lawyers to screw their customers. Only small businesses care about their clients - because they can't hide behind anonymity.

515. Nicholas Keckeisen, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I support net neutrality and classification of ISPs under Title 2. Obama era regulations under Tom Wheeler were appropriate and necessary.

516. Anji Gandhi, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
Please maintain Title 2 oversite

517. Thomas Meyer, KC, MO, 64154
Please preserve Net Neutrality under Title 2. Do not let ISPs have a say in what type of content I am allowed to access. Thank you!!!!!

518. Nick Gwinn, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
Please maintain Net Neutrality with Title 2

519. Caitlin Stevenson, Springfield, MO, 65804
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. To roll back Title II protection only serves to make net neutrality more vulnerable in a way that is an affront to the idea of a free and open internet.

520. Sam Carpenter, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I fully support Net Neutrality as the best way to support a free market

521. M COWHEY, kirkwood, MO, 63122
i support strong net neutrality rules through title 2 oversight of ISP's.you were around when cable was first introduced to America with the line. 'pay a monthly fee and do away with commercials' Now look what we have with cable companies. FOOL US ONCE...Stand up for America and against your former Verizon employer and current boss, Trump.

522. Tami Morrow, Lee's Summit, MO, 64081
I respectfully ask you to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Thank you.

523. Jordan Harker, St Louis, MO, 63108
I strongly support the maintenance of Net Neutrality through Title II, and the regulation against exploitable potential it protects against.

524. Brandon Sadler, St Louis, MO, 63108
I specifically support Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. We have already addressed this exact issue once, the idea that we need to fight it twice is repulsive and abhorrent. Preserve net neutrality for the fairness and impartiality of the people in it.

525. David Curtis, Kansas City, MO, 64153
Please keep supporting Net Neutrality by enforcing the Title II version that is necessary to maintain Net Neutrality.Thanks!

526. Michael Watson, Florissant, MO, 63033
Net Neutrality is vital to the survival and growth of the internet. Removing the safeguards will bring disaster to our future. As a Verizon lawyer, you know the kind of stuff the ISP's pull. It boggles my mind that you know this and are wanting to remove these safeguards. It is clear to me that you have a conflict of interest, Mr Pai. I feel that you are not able to do your job in a manner that is best for the consumer. Please protect Net Neutrality and classify ISP's as a Title II.

527. Jared Dachroeden, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 ISP. Do not refile internet classification.

528. Thomas Quick, Pacific, MO, 63069
A case could be made that the director, being previously employed by Verison, is motivated to reclassify ISPs back to Title 1 with the intent of directly benefiting the ISPs at the expense of the American people. Verison, as you know, what a central player in the fight to prevent net neutrality.I feel the FCC is missing the big picture. By protecting a single business group, you are closing the doors on several small businesses. You are acting in the interest of corporations, not people.Please, keep ISPs under Title 2 and require that they treat content equally, and not put up another road block for small business and innovation.

529. James Hosler, St. Joseph, MO, 64506
I support Net Neutrality and Title 2 oversight of telecom companies.

530. Mike Brummett, Raymore, MO, 64083
I support enforcement of net neutrality rules, specifically title 2 classifications of ISPs to ensure the integrity of net neutrality.

531. Stephen Myers, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
As a internet startup owner and software consultant, fair, even and open access to the internet without restriction is vital to my business and my customers. Without Title II and the accompanying restrictions for ISPs, I can not guarantee my customers that my products will work as expected. ISP's have not suffered under new regulations and while I disagree with almost all regulation in general, ISPs need to remain classified under Title II and net neutrality MUST remain enforced.

532. Shannon Hantal, St. Louis, MO, 63123
I strongly believe net neutrality rules are necessary to keep corporations from imposing their views on the internet using public.

533. Net Neutrality, St. Louis, MO, 63129
I am in favor of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs!

534. John Doe, kansas city, MO, 64155
Do not end Net Neutrality. This is a personal attack that the President is doing because Obama put up safeguards. The rest of the people interested in killing this protection are despicable.

535. Patrick Howe, De Soto, MO, 63020
I support current FCC rules and title 2 oversight. Please do not change.

536. Jeremy Javers, St. Joseph, MO, 64504
Do not mess with net neutrality. I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Don't fix what isn't broken.

537. Tiara Hopson, Florissant, MO, 63034
I am writing to urge the FCC to maintain net neutrality and Title II as it applies to internet service providers (ISP).

538. David Cintel, OFallon, MO, 63366
I am in favor of strong net neutrality under title 2. Do not allow unbiased access to internet service, an essential modern utility, to be compromised in any way.

539. Shon Adamson, Kansas City, MO, 64118
I am in favor of net neutrality. We should not let ISP's decide what is best for us. They should be held to the standard under article 2.

540. Brett King, St. Joseph, MO, 64505
Net Neutrality needs to continue with Title II protections.

541. Evan Schwab, Raytown, MO, 64133
Keep net neutrality rules back by title ll! It i's clear that these rules are protecting the free and open Internet and any attempt to abolish them is nothing but a money grab by ISPs under the thinly veiled guise of a 'free market'. The people want unbiased acess to information and deservidly so. Keep the current rules in place!

542. Adam Moore, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
Pi, I hope you strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isp's as strongly as I do.

543. Austin Porter, kansas city, MO, 64105
I support an open internet and net neutrality. This is a bi-partisan opinion shared amongst literally everyone except for shareholders of ISPs, and even then the non-greedy shareholders probably agree as well. ISPs should be managed under Title 2.

544. Tristen Merryfield, Kansas City, MO, 64030
Americans are being blatantly lied to about the effects and statistics surrounding net neutrality. That's pretty shit of you, guys.

545. Misty Wofford, Nixa, MO, 65714
Do not change the net neutrality law!

546. Net Neutrality, Festus, MO, 63028
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! Please do not take this away. It is your responsibility to protect the the users, not corporations. Protect the principals the internet is built upon. Thank you.

547. Brian McCann, Kansas City, MO, 64152
I am in strong support of net neutrality and Title II.

548. Nathan Beins, Kansas City, MO, 64119
Net Neutrality is the most important social technology in our society. Removing ISPs from Title 2 and "allowing" ISPs to potentially restrict access to the internet is akin to allowing censorship. We cannot trust for profit corporations to "do what is right" without holding them accountable.

549. Anthony Boyle, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
I, Anthony Boyle, am a mechanical engineer and an avid technology user. As a fan of gadgets and problems, I have to say that the internet is probably the greatest technological innovation of human kind. It creates networks of communication between popular and unpopular groups alike. It gives everyone a voice and every idea a path to the populace. It is a landscape in which every individual or group has and equal opportunity to share what they have to offer.Net Neutrality protects this. Net Neutrality keeps the playing field level. Net neutrality allows good ideas to bubble up from the bottom. It's an arena where each tiny idea, no matter where it comes from, can compete based upon its own internal merits. Net Neutrality removes the unnecessary filters and obstacles and keeps access equal.The internet should NOT be the domain of people with already vast amount of influence or wealth. There should NOT be fast

lanes. A byte is a byte is a byte no matter the content. We, the people, should be charged for the AMOUNT we use and not the CONTENT of its use. I should NOT be charged differently to make a cup of tea verses a cup of soup with my water access. I should NOT be charged different to turn on a 60W lightbulb or a 60W radio with my electricity access. And I should NOT be charged differently to watch a video or to read an article with my internet access.As someone in the tech field I have seen great ideas bloom up from the most obscure places. I want to protect that. I want to keep the small individuals innovating and promoting their ideas and other creative content. If Net Neutrality is not protected I fear that those small influential voices will be drowned out and shut out of the conversation. Please classify Net Neutrality as a Title II. If you do, you will see innovation, small business, and our economy grow.

550. Jacob Myerson, Clayton, MO, 63105
I support true net neutrality backed by Title II. So much of my work as a scientific researcher is supported by ready access to internet content not paid for by the sort of corporation that could afford premium access in a non-neutral internet. So much of my activity as a citizen relies on being able to communicate over the internet without interference. 17-108 is an effort to take what is clearly a public utility and turn it into a tool to generate profit for internet service providers without demanding any innovation or even any new product. Your interests are clear, Mr. Pai, and they don't serve me or the public.

551. Ted McCluskey, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Net neutrality is essential to a free and open internet. Keeping the rules under Title II is the best way to do it. Please do be persuaded by special interests.

552. Andrew Powell, Wentzville, MO, 63385
I support net neutrality, and ISPs being classified under Title II. Don't destroy the free internet please.

553. Net Neutrality, Kansas City, MO, 64116
With every fiber of my being I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of the ISP's. We cannot let corporations control the flow of information more than they already do. It would be a huge mistake to take away the protections that already exist. Corporations like Verizon, Comcast, Cox, AT&T, already are thriving in this business model. Why make the change?

554. Christopher Curry, Joplin, MO, 64804
I support Title II classification of ISPs and I support net neutrality. The de-regulation of the internet will harm Americans and internet users, private and public, individual and corporate alike. I urge that the FCC keep Title II classification of all ISPs.

555. Bill Yocum, Kansas City, MO, 64155
Preserve net neutrality and regulate ISP's under Title II.

556. Heather Dixon, Springfield, MO, 65804
Please protect net neutrality and keep Title II in place. Thank you!

557. Tamara Chettri, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
AJIT PAI: The American public demands STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title II oversight of ISPs

558. Sarah Boslaugh, St Louis, MO, 63108
Net neutrality should be maintained. It is essential to the fair functioning of the internet and to allow all entities equal access to this resource.

559. Teresa Emelko, Pevely, MO, 63070
Please maintain strong Net Neutrality rules and enforce Title II.

560. Adam Pearson, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
There shouldn't be any question that you should preserve net neutrality under title II enforcement. I strongly support the preservation of net neutrality and the Title II. Don't mess this up.

561. net neutrality, st. louis, MO, 63101
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. What is wrong with you people?

562. Chris Siebeneck, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please do not kill net neutrality. Net neutrality is good. Killing it is bad. Do your part to make the world a better place for people instead of opening up yet another buffet for wealthy corporations. Thank you.

563. net neutrality, Crane, MO, 65633
I support Net Neutrality! Do not let private companies add restrictions to a service they do not own!

564. Brad Leslie, Fenton, MO, 63026
Leave Net Neutrality alone. Access to a free and open internet should be a right in our country. I should not have to buy access to certain parts just because an ISP wants to scrape in a little more money on top of the fees they are already charging just for access to the internet in the first place. Protect our internet from the corporations who want nothing more than to take as much money as they can get.

565. Ben Modd, kirkwood, MO, 63122
Preserve net neutrality and keep Title II. I specifically support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Ajit Pai is attempting to make changes for big business that attack the freedom of the common person.

566. Jasmine Goldsand, St. Louis, MO, 63111
Please keep Net Neutrality in Title II.I would not feel comfortable with companies not being legally obligated to give equal access to all searches/websites I choose online. Do not let the internet be corrupted

567. Joel Kramer, blue springs, MO, 64015
Please preserve strong net neutrality and title II. The government is for the people by the people. Do not put corporate interest over the interests of the people. Thank you.

568. Alan Roberts, St. Louis, MO, 63123
Please keep the current net neutrality rules back by Title II.The internet that I use needs to be a fair playing field for all.

569. Matthew Taylor, Bolckow, MO, 64427
I want strong net neutrality back by Title II oversight of ISP's. Don't be an uninformed Trump sheep, do the right thing and represent what the citizens want.

570. Katrina Transue, Lexington, MO, 64067
Title II rules need to stay! The power belongs to the people, not corporations.

571. Adam Kochan, St. Charles, MO, 63304
As an avid internet user, please preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

572. Andrew Ross, Saint Louis, MO, 63141
I support a strong net neutrality and backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers (ISPs).

573. Carla Santiago, Gladstone, MO, 64118
I am in favor of strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISP's

574. Allen Yoest, Springfield, MO, 65803
Net neutrality is a fundamental part of the internet, eliminating it would cripple one of the greatest tools of our time.

575. Rochelle Huckaby, Springfield, MO, 65807
We strongly urge the FCC to preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2.

576. Trenton Burdette, Pleasant Hill, MO, 64080
I fervently support Net Neutrality and ISP oversight provided by Title II.

577. Judy Allen, Imperial, MO, 63052
I fully support the regulation of internet neutrality provided by Title II of the FCC Regulation. Please do not allow this protection to be rescinded.

578. Aimee Quirin, St. Louis, MO, 63128
ISPs should be regulated under Title 2, as utilities providing essential services, not under Title 1.The fairness of the internet is important. The ISPs should not be able to choose what content they serve. The consumer needs to be in control of what content comes and they're providing the service.

579. netneutrality, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
I and many others in my state don't want cable companies having an unfair advantage by eliminating regulations, especially Title 2. Please do what's best for the people, not your old employer.

580. Net Neutrality, St. Louis, MO, 63130
Net Neutrality is fundamental to how the internet works. We should have Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.

581. Tyler Campbellt, st peters, MO, 63376
I strongly support a free and open internet based in title 2 regulations. Stop being corrupt as fuck.

582. 1, Kansas City, MO, 64117
Please treat access to the internet like that which it is, a public utility vital for functioning in our society. Do not repeal Title II, treat ISP's like the utilities they are. They enjoy every benefit of a utility and endure none of the costs thereof.Keep the internet free and open. Do your duty to the American Public, not Internet Service Providers. The principal on whose behalf you act will belie which you consider your true constituent

583. Sam Schleicher, Green Ridge, MO, 65332
I support a free and open internet. We need to maintain net neutrality with specific Title II oversight of ISPs.

584. Rob Dowell, St. Louis, MO, 63116
I fully support a strongly enforced Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

585. tucker wilson, Columbia, MO, 65202
I support net neutrality through title 2. Please stop trying to screw the whole population of the United States out of reliable fast internet purely to make a few companies much richer. Mainly stop being shitty assholes who take their money and behave like good puppets when they pull the strings. You're an embarrassment.

586. robert wymore, imperial, MO, 63052
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. I don't trust ISPs to simply keep their word and promise not to screw over their customers. comcast is notorious for bad customer service for example and we wont have time to blink before they are selling rights to have the fastest website to their customers. I don't put verizon and the others much above the low bar of comcast and don't

doubt they would sell out the customers experience for profits. I especially don't trust someone who used to be a lawyer for verizon telling me that these corporations will voluntarily agree to not screw over their customers (and keep that promise) when we already have something in place to keep them from doing so.

587. Matthew Isle, Kansas City, MO, 64131
We need to keep the Obama era Net Neutrality rules, including categorizing under Title 2.

588. Rilke Griffin-Hare, St. Louis, MO, 63123
I am strongly in favor of protecting Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Anything less than these protections is a threat to internet freedom.

589. nick banister, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Calling for net neutrality, oversight of ISPs backed by Title 2

590. Thomas Kirkpatrick, St. Louis, MO, 63128
I specifically do not support a decentralized internet, and whole heartedly believe that going back to a table 1 legal internet structure infringes on my right to choose as a consumer.

591. Net Neutrality, St. Louis, MO, 63104
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please stop trying to allow telecom corporations to dictate how we use the internet. Free and open access to information has become essential to everyday life.

592. Michael Bloom, Ozark, MO, 65721
Do not change ISP regulation please. Please keep strong net neutrality rules in place. ISPs need oversight, especially with the merging of entertainment with ISPs.

593. Nick Beach, Kansas City, MO, 64116
Net neutrality is very important to the growth of America our economy and our citizens

594. Elliot Schmidt, St Louis, MO, 63111
I think that Net Neutrality should be preserved as is and should not be moved from Title 2

595. Michelle, St. Louis, MO, 63129
Please protect strong Net Neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs.

596. Net Neutrality, Kansas City, MO, 64155
Please do not revert Title II of net neutrality. just leave it alone. John Oliver sent me here.

597. Max Hammock, Lees Summit, MO, 64064
I strongly support net neutrality and the Title II enforcement of ISPs. We need a free and open internet using these regulations to maintain a competitive online market.

598. Rob Snodgrass, Anderson, MO, 64831
Leave Net Neutrality alone and spot pissing in that infamous Reece's gallon coffee cup and drinking piss. We need it more than you need to stop drinking your own urine in that infamous Reece's gallon mug.

599. Jim Raposa, Branson, MO, 65616
Dear Chairman Pai:I am writing you today to voice my concern over reported interest by the FCC to change or abandon current Net Neutrality regulations, currently enforced by the commission.My livelihood depends on Net Neutrality to move audio and video files to my audio and video production clients and streaming products I own, produce and deliver to end users via the Internet. I respectfully ask you to rethink your stated opposition to the current law and not eliminate it from current FCC oversight and regulation.Sincerely,Jim Raposa

600. Carston Roach, Columbia, MO, 65201
Since its inception by the U.S. government in the late 60's as ARPANET, the Internet has been one of the greatest achievements in human history thus far. This is not only because of its multi-disciplinary effects, its ability to connect people around the world instantaneously, or its enormous impacts on the global economy; but also because throughout the decades of its use it has always been treated as something for all people to benefit from and utilize equally. The removal of net neutrality would be a massive step backwards in our continued development of the internet for future generations. It would no longer allow everyone to have equal opportunities to develop further online infrastructure and content, and it would prevent equal access to that content by those who utilize the internet. In a day and age where internet is becoming as necessary as other utilities and infrastructure in the functioning of our society (and this becomes more true every day), we cannot afford to let the largest ISPs take this right of equal access to all content from us and single-handedly govern what content it is we choose to view, it must be preserved for us and for the generations to follow us.

601. Net Neutrality, Manchester, MO, 63021
Please do not allow corporations to once again encroach on the rights of the American people. The internet should be a utility and not owned by corporations in the first place. If Net Neutrality is removed, then these companies will do everything they can to limit the access of free information to the American people in the name of profit. They will charge the people for every byte, though it costs them nothing, and they will charge internet sites for every byte out. They will consistently overcharge and underdeliver.

602. Net Neutrality, Kansas city, MO, 64153
I am strongly in support of net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Removing net neutrality is a violation of the freedom of

the internet and only benefits corporations and their political puppets.

603. Alexander Beman, Clayton, MO, 63105
Proceeding 17-108 will remove protections for small businesses and entrepreneurs by allowing ICPs to silence potential competition on the internet via limiting data throughput. This action has the potential of irrecoverable damage to technical entrepreneurship via the destruction of a free market of ideas and communication. I implore the FCC to not move forward with this short sighted plan that holds the fetid aura of cronyism.

604. Robert, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
I would like the existing net neutrality rules preserved with title II. I honestly believe that ISP and cable providers will take advantage of loopholes if these rules are lighted or reversed.

605. Lindsay Gjerde, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Regulations on net neutrality should not be rolled back.

606. Christopher Knoll, Kansas City, MO, 64134
I am commenting in support of current net neutrality laws and keeping ISPs classified under Title 2. I believe in the American principle of equality for all and allowing ISPs to sell priority access to the internet is an attack on our way of life. I assure you that should net neutrality be killed in it's current form, those congressmen and congresswomen responsible will lose the respect and, more importantly, the vote of many Americans. Thank you and have a wonderful week.

607. Net Nutrality, Warrenton, MO, 63383
Don't do this. Please. We need net nutrality. Don't remove title 2 protections. Please.

608. Dan Hermann, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Please preserve Net Neutrality rules. Ajit Pai is wrong. President Trump is very wrong. Don't take away what we have worked so hard to create. You're inhibiting civilization.

609. Nic White, Nixa, MO, 65714
I support strong net neutrality protections backed by title II oversight due to the essential nature of internet access in the modern world to access information, services, employment and other vital needs. A neutral network allows for free market principles to enable competition and drive down prices. It also allows the US to maintain its technological and economic advantages by allowing innovative technologies to compete over infrastructure built by: 1) public subsidies 2) public funded research (darpa) 3) using municipal domain to build. It is in the public good to ensure that traffic is treated neutrally by companies who have utilized public resources to construct this utility, and that these companies are not given unfair advantages.

610. Dan Marshall, Sedalia, MO, 65301
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Furthermore, I ask that the Chairman recuse himself from any proceedings involving net neutrality as his history suggests a conflict of interest.

611. Net Neutrality, Kansas City, MO, 64118
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of all internet service providers.

612. Kevin Zheng, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I strongly support the Title II classification of Internet Service Providers. This is the only way to protect businesses and consumers alike from anti-competitive practices that will stifle creativity and innovation in the US.

613. Evan Kuntz, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
Reducing net neutrality would simply cause chaos among small website owners, as they try to negotiate with larger, richer companies. For this reason, I abhor rulings against net neutrality, and support this proceeding.

614. Net Neautrality, Saint Louis, MO, 63131
I support Net Neutrality and want it and Title 2 to be preserved

615. Gunner Golden, Rolla, MO, 65401
Lemme just start with the fact that this is dumb. You're just gonna change the rules and hope the companies all keep being cool? Yeah, I give that about a week. If you REALLY want a free and open internet like that Pai dude keeps saying, then maybe don't fix whats not broken. I've got no complaints about the internet as is, and I'm thinking the only people who do are ISPs who realized they could make more money.Also, you wanna screw up health care, build a big ol' retard wall, AND mess with the internet on top of all that? What are you, like, TRYING to get people to burn this country down? Because it's going well so far.

616. Lizzy Whitmore, Manchester, MO, 63021
I strongly support strong regulations for net neutrality.

617. Conner Christopherson, Columbia, MO, 65201
Why are we ruling against the people? Why are we giving so much power to companies that only care about the bottom line? Why are we trying to destroy one of, if not the, greatest human innovation in history? Vote for your citizens for once, and make sure Net Neutrality stays.

618. Micah Vincent, lees summit, MO, 64063
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai,I specifically support strong net neutrality that is backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.I believe the internet should not be restrained and policed by ISPs, nor be throttled in any way, shape or form in preference to companies that pay ISP's for greater

speeds.

619. Richard Baker, Overland, MO, 63114
I am contacting you to express my belief that I am for the current regulations concerning Net-Neutrality. Title II should remain in effect.

620. Brian Mills, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Ajit, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II over site of ISPs

621. Carrie Malone-Halvorson, Independence, MO, 64055
Keep Net Neutrality!Let Title II stand!You do, after all, work for the people, NOT corporations. Pull your head out, Anjit. Stop embarrassing yourself.

622. Jenny Doyle, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Still.

623. Matt Johnston, Columbia, MO, 65202
I STRONGLY support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. The ISPs have shown again and again that they have no issue screwing over their customers just to make a little more profit.

624. Luke Parks, Cuba, MO, 65453
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I say this as an employee of an ISP and a consumer.

625. Danny Foster, Oakview, MO, 64118
Please do not loosen the net neutrality that is already in place. It is needed for a free and fair internet for all.

626. Philipp Schmidt, St Joseph, MO, 64501
Restore Internet Freedom according to Title 2, you little shmulies!

627. Alan Ernst, Perryville, MO, 63775
I greatly support strong Net Neutrality laws.

628. Matthew Gerst, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
I sincerely believe we need to retain the current regulations keeping ISP's at Title II. It will ensure net neutrality is retained there by ensuring our freedom of speech and freedom of expression are protected.

629. Jeff May, Valley Park, MO, 63088
Keep Title II Net Neutrality!!!

630. Michael Bugner, Branson, MO, 65616
Preserve net neutrality. Companies WILL engage in fuckery if you don't.

631. Patrick King, Ballwin, MO, 63021
As a practitioner in the technology industry, I strongly support the continued title II classification of ISPs. Net neutrality creates a level playing field for all participants and ultimately benefits the ISPs as new and innovative services are allowed to exist and thrive on an open platform.

632. Michael J Unruh, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.Do not give corporations control of the Internet.It wasn't created or built by corporations. It was built by the government with taxpayer dollars.It is the American peoples' Internet.

633. Mark P Sullivan, Affton, MO, 63123
I believe net neutrality is vital to not only the health of the economy, but to the philosophical goals of our country. Open discourse and access to information is a right. Ending net neutrality would be catastrophic to our nation.

634. Net Neutrality, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I would like to urge you to continue to protect Net Neutrality by preserving Title II enforcement.

635. Peter C. Maurer, St. Louis, MO, 63126
Please maintain net neutrality. This allows for innovation and new ideas. Thank you for listening.

636. Naeem Bari, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I strongly support the regulation of ISPs under the existing title 2 structure. What Ajit Pai is proposing is disingenuous and should not be allowed. ISPs CANNOT BE ALLOWED to play favorites over what content I can consume - I have already paid them for the bandwidth.

637. Jonathan Bartolomeo, Troy, MO, 63379
Please preserve net neutrality and section II. The future of the internet relies on open communication free from the reach of greedy ISPs.

638. Dave Keiner, Saint Louis, MO, 63135
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Money causes corruption especially when companies could throw billions at ISPs to ensure competitors receive poor service. "Competition breeds innovation." (Quote taken from Thomas G. Robinson in CED Magazine - 10/31/2000.)

639. Zacahry Kinsey, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Title 2 status for ISPs and continued Net Neutrality is vital to our nation and the flow of free commerce. We as the leading nation in this world need to support freedom in all its versions to set the proper example for others. This freedom doesn't only support the consumer, but those businesses unable to compete with large corporations.

640. Brian Thomas Beuerlein, Kansas City, MO, 64133
Net neutrality is an important piece of legislature that protects and enhances consumer life by ensuring equal access to the internet, and does not burden ISPs to enforce. Removing this protection is blatant corporate greed and is not tolerable.

641. Benjamin Bone, Union, MO, 63084
Mr. Pai, you have said that we don't need federal regulations ensuring that ISPs such as Comcast, Verizon, or Time Warner treat all websites equally. You want us to believe that the heads of these organizations are morally upright citizens, who wouldn't abuse their power to milk in even more money by offering "Standard" speeds and "Express" speeds to different website owners. I have worked for both Time Warner Cable and AT&T, and I can tell you the people in charge there would charge you for the individual electrons flowing through the lines if they could get away with it. TWC charged customers a fee to pay us over the phone, when you scheduled an installation. They also charged a different fee to pay the tech in person. Bear in mind, I'm not talking about an installation (which of course they also charged), I mean that no matter how you tried to pay them for the service, they tacked on an extra fee specifically to take your money. Now, I may be preaching to the choir in a way. You have had a lot of dealings with Verizon in the past, and may be continuing to receive either money or influence from them now. I just want it on record that I believe big companies need policing, in this case in the form of Net Neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. In case I have space left, I'd like to try to appeal to your humanity, and remind you that even though you yourself are part of our ruling class, the policies your department are in control of are important to protecting us peasants. There is more to the internet than just YouTube and porn. There are start up companies that function 95% through online services. I am currently learning to speak a language I'll need for my job via a website called italki. There are places you can go to learn how to do self-tech support like tomshardware.com. There are some brilliant writers and artists keeping us entertained, for free, through webcomics and podcasts. None of these websites are huge money makers, but they keep their owners fed and housed, and they keep thousands educated or entertained. If you remove Net Neutrality, these sites will be extorted by ISPs, as they make "normal" speeds back down to Dial Up levels, reserving regular (soon to be called "express") speeds for big companies that can pay the extra charges. And even with the big companies, those charges will come back on us, the little guys. You think the head of Netflix is going to give up their profits, when they can increase their rates and blame your ISP? Would YOU take a profit cut, if you were in their place, in order to provide better service for your customers? I doubt it, but if your answer is "yes" then act like that now. Provide better service for your customers, who are the American people, and keep ISPs from extorting us more than they already do.

642. James Lyon, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
Please maintain net neutrality and move it to a Title 2 protection. Thank you.

643. Travis Bolling, Grandview, MO, 64030
Stop deregulating markets in favor of rich people. Stop it. The United States is a representative democracy and concentrating power in the hands of multimillion dollar companies inevitably creates the conditions for an oligarchy.

644. Jeff Stover, Saint Joseph, MO, 64506
I support net neutrality and Title 2. Please do not remove this and trust large corporations to monitor themselves.

645. Derek VanDolah, Kansas City, MO, 64152
I am commenting to express to Mr. Ajit Pai and other members of the FCC debating over this issue that I strongly support Net Neutrality, which is currently being enforced and protected by Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I am here as a citizen of this country to voice my opinion. Please listen to our voices Mr. Pai as we, The American People, do not want to get rid of Net Neutrality. Thank you for your time.

646. yoder, Lees Summit, MO, 64064
Preserve net neutrality for everyone.

647. Jake Hawken, St Louis, MO, 63117
Keep Net Neutrality as a Title II protection. I strongly support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II protection of Internet Service Providers (ISPs).

648. Brent Kornblum, St. Louis, MO, 63130
Are you out of your minds? I am pretty sure the the people have spoken clearly that they would like to maintain net neutrality. Do not, Do not repeal this consumer protection. We do not trust massive corporations to give an equal chance to all businesses. Please, do not destroy the opportunities for smaller businesses on the internet.

649. scott stringer, St. Louis, MO, 63114
Corporations do not have the consumer's best interest in mind - It is that simple. My tax dollars fund the government so the government should be working for me - not corporations. Corporations have lobbyist for that. The Internet should be open and free. Every single piece of data should be treated the same. No byte should take priority over another. No byte should be charged more or less than another. Keep ISPs in Title II.

650. Alexander McKee, St. Louis, MO, 63108
I support Net Neutrality and Title II oversights of ISPs. To get rid of Net Neutrality would result in a worldwide system of communication (the internet) that is controlled by a few entities (ISPs). This would diminish the collaboration and globalization that has been occurring since the dawn of the world wide web. Placing power in the hands of a few over such a system would be bad and, in my opinion, un-American. Please, I implore you, do not weaken Net Neutrality and Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

651. Ben Herzon, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
I am in favor of strong net neutrality under Title II rules.

652. Besu Teshome, St. Louis, MO, 63103
Please keep strong net neutrality with title 2! Thank you!

653. Brian Barnes, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Keep net neutrality Level playing field

654. Addington Stewart, Florissant, MO, 63034
John Oliver brought me here. Fix Net Neutrality & Preserve Title II

655. Title 2, O'Fallin, MO, 63366
I support strong Net Neutrality and backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.

656. MichaelKilker, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
Keep the internet free of corruption by isp's. the net should be neutral.

657. Keith Wilson, Lake St Louis, MO, 63367
I do not support any legislation that puts governmental regulation on internet activity. The USA does not own the internet and therefore has no right to regulate its usage. "Net Neutrality" would place artificial roadblocks on the free exchange of information and speech. Thank you.

658. Joshua McCurry, Springfield, MO, 65803
I am commenting in favor of net neutrality. Net neutrality protects consumers from abuse at the hands of big cable companies and their regional monopolies. FCC Chairman Ajit Pai is a internet arsonist working in the best interest of big providers like Verizon, and does not have the best interest of everyday, working Americans in mind. For this to even take the guise of "restoring internet freedom" is duplicitous, self-serving double speak authored and supported by corporate schills.

659. Dale Olsen, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. The internet should be open, not controlled by our ISP's.

660. Katherine sleyko, St robert, MO, 65584
I urge the FCC to keep the internet neutral and regulate ISPs as Common Carriers under Title 2. I rely on a free, open internet, both in my private life and in my profession as a librarian. This legislation only serves to harm Americans and any business doing commerce online. I urge the FCC to reconsider this disaster of a bill and allow Americans the freedom of association that they are accustomed to.

661. Dmitry & Nadiya Ostrovskiy, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Must keep Net Neutrality. I don't want some corporation affecting what type of information I consume online. also, need to keep the level filed even for the smaller IT start ups to continue innovation.

662. Brice Christman, Kansas City, MO, 64119
Stop trying to kill a neutral internet, ISPs and Telecoms will prioritize traffic in ways that will make them the most money instead of providing an actual service. It has happened before when Netflix paid comcast to end its internet "traffic jam", and it will happen again.

663. Chris Shults, Lee's Summit, MO, 64081
Please don't change Net Neutrality. I like my internet access the way it is. ISP's should have to provide equal speed to all online services.

664. George DuMontier, St. Louis, MO, 63139
It's incredibly important to me that the internet remains a level playing field for all. I strongly believe that it should be backed by title 2 oversight of internet service providers.

665. Mike Tate, kirksville, MO, 63501
Please keep strong net neutrality rules already backed by Title II.

666. Robert Wilmoth, Joplin, MO, 64801
I very strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs and network providers. This is absolutely critical to the liberty of not just American Citizens, but the entire world.

667. William Hines, Springfield, MO, 65803
I support strong net neutrality. Leave alone the title two oversight of ISP's. We need regulation of the ISP's.

668. Ashley White, Kirksville, MO, 63501
I support strong net neutrality and Title II oversight of all ISP's

669. Cory Campbell, Springfield, MO, 65809
I greatly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Please do not Fcc this up!

670. Mark Rennier, SIKESTON, MO, 63801
I specifically support strong net neutrality that is backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.The FCC must work as the entity of our government that regulates the application of communication networks.A fair and equal communication network will work for everyone, not for corporations that would only spend their own money in our government to make it work for them.Internet is for everyone. Protect net neutrality by keeping it classified as title 2.

671. Keturah Lewman, Lees Summit, MO, 64082
DO NOT MESS WITH NET NEUTRALITY!!!

672. Ian Florida, Kirksville, MO, 63501
I support strong net neutrality and Title 2 oversight of all Internet Service Providers.

673. Deren Thompson, Kansas City, MO, 64157
The FCC needs to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II as they currently exist, protecting fair and open internet for everyone.

674. Angela Smith, Springfield, MO, 65810
It is critical to preserve net neutrality as it currently stands under Title II. ISPs will not voluntarily regulate themselves!

675. Joardy Looney II, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed Title 2 oversight of ISP's.

676. Robert Overmann, Columbia, MO, 65201
My job (and those of my dozens of coworkers) would not be possible without a free and open internet. My employer, Influence & Co., is one of several digital-era startups helping to bring economic opportunity to mid-Missouri. Without equal and unfettered access to content, we would not be able to provide competitive content marketing services to our clients. Without a competitive service, myself and dozens of other individuals could be out of work, hurting the economic revitalization of an area in desperate need of it. I urge you to protect net neutrality at all costs because of the massive and irreplaceable economic benefit it brings to my community and countless others like it.

677. Mary Austin, St Louis, MO, 63146
I support net neutrality and Title II. Keep the internet net neutrality!!!!!!

678. Thomas Lewman, Lees Summit, MO, 64082
Keep existing net neutrality rules intact. Do not trample on net neutrality.

679. Jason Heck, Belton, MO, 64012
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! The Internet is for EVERYONE, regardless of income or lobbying power.

680. Evan Paul, Leaseburg, MO, 65535
I support Title II of Net Neutrality

681. Shane Murray, Joplin, MO, 64801
We need net nutrality to remain the way it is! Changing the current standard would allow unfair business practices.

682. Bryce Benjamin, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
I support strong FCC regulation of Net neutrality towards all ISP's.

683. Gio, LLC, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
Net neutrality should NOT be diminished, repealed, or changed! Net neutrality protects small businesses like this one to a fair and open market.

684. Kirk Johnson, Kansas City, MO, 64109
We must have net neutrality!!

685. Andrew Box, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64138
I do NOT support rolling back protection for internet freedoms know as net neutrality. Net neutrality is an importance principle that keeps a level playing field for all participants of a free and open internet. I work with several small tech companies who could be harmed if access to bandwidth was limited by tiered fast lanes or other pay for access plans that ISPs can implement without net neutrality rules.

686. Matthew Greeno, Kansas City, MO, 64154
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not unwind net neutrality protections.

687. Chris Behan, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
You must preserve Net neutrality and keep the Internet as a title 2 utility. Allowing ISP's to control the flow of data based on corporate agendas is the most anti-american thing you could do.

688. Joseph Adams, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I urge the FCC to uphold net neutrality rules and preserve Title II for the good of a free and open internet. I want my consumer choices protected and not decided for me by monolithic ISPs that continually try to hamper and control the internet for their own benefit.

689. Jack Scoville, columbia, MO, 65203
I support Net Neutrality and Title II. Please keep both intact.

690. Bryan Thomas, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Maintain net netrality and retain title 2. Thank you

691. Sam Becker, Marthasville, MO, 63357

Do whats right! Everyone should have equal access to use the internet. Internet should be treated as a utility.

692. Brett Elliff, Springfield, MO, 65807
I support strong Net Neutrality under the existing Title II regulations. I strongly do not agree with the FCC Chairman's proposal to remove these regulations.

693. Philip Eckert, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I strongly support title 2 regulations for Internet Service Providers. I want my internet open free and fair for any website, and do not trust any private body to self-regulate this industry. Having FCC oversight of net neutrality is critical not only to our nation's job-providing tech industry, but to our personal liberties and freedoms. Letting ISPs dictate where we go will hurt job producing startups and stamp out citizen's ability to organize and speak their mind politically.

694. Russell Beye, St. Louis, MO, 63126
Put best, and simply, from Dave Rupert:"The Webâ€™s universality leads to a thriving richness and diversity. If a company claims to give access to the world of information, then presents a filtered view, the Web loses its credibility. That is why hardware, software, and transmission companies must remain unbiased toward content. I would like to keep the conduit separate from the content."

695. Vegas Dolan, Springfield, MO, 65807
Please preserve net neutrality and Title Two for the sake of a more fair internet for all. We do not need corporations and ISPs in a bidding war to make their interests, whether financial or personal, a problem for the basic internet consumer to deal with. Throttling speeds based on who has money invested into your ISP shouldn't be a problem for anyone.

696. Derek Fast, Columbia, MO, 65203
Preserve net neutrality and keep it under title II. Any thing else would hurt the open internet and allow big companies to slow other companies down.

697. Mitch Montaldo, St. Louis, MO, 63017
Net Neutrality is imperative and that ISPs must remain classified and regulated under Title II provisions

698. Robert Reed, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Once again I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.

699. Brad Miller, Platte City, MO, 64079
When you provide an "express lane" for faster internet to companies you are destroying the free market of the internet!! You can't provide faster access without creating slower access for everyone else. If you think that companies won't find a way to corrupt this into something unethical and detrimental to the american public then you are naive, or worse and in bed with the corruption. Once you open the flood gates, it's only a matter of time before "pay for access" becomes rampant and the consumers are the ones that suffer. Everyday Americans depend on a free and open internet to maintain their business, and Net Neutrality is the only thing standing between them and bankruptcy! Look at what Wal-Mart has done to the mom and pop shop, the internet is a similar market. Don't let money control the internet any more that it already does through advertising and biased media!!

700. David Wrobel, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I object to the current proposal to remove internet service providers' so-called "net neutrality" obligations. No reasonable person actually believes that the industry will voluntarily ignore the profit motive and maintain net neutrality without regulation requiring it to do so. This attempt to reverse safeguards deemed prudent and fair (to all) in the record of the prior rule-making MUST BE DENIED. It constitutes blatant pandering to moneyed industry interests and is not in the best interests of the public which has (in most cases) severely restricted choice in the selection of interest service providers.

701. Kenneth Johnson, Saint Louis, MO, 63133
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Ajit Pai, I do not support your position.

702. ben, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
Please Preserve net neutrality and title 2, thank you

703. Justin, lees summit, MO, 64082
I stand for net-neutrality under Title 2. Keep it this way.

704. Steve Steward, St Louis, MO, 63116
Please protect net neutrality backed by Title II. Internet Service Providers should not be allowed to prioritize bandwidth or zero-rate services to give them a competitive advantage. Protecting net neutrality does not significantly increase costs for ISPs and will result in better service for consumers.Thank you!

705. Matthew Layden, St. Louis, MO, 63112
I strongly support robust net neutrality laws backed by ISP classification under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

706. mikkaela, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
I urge you to preserve net neutrality rules and the Title II authority used to enforce them. Thank you.

707. Meghan McDonnell, Kirksville, MO, 63501
Please implement strong net neutrality protections backed by title II.Protecting net neutrality is important for the free market concerning the internet so even small start ups can have a chance at an equal speed internet.And get a smaller mug. You may be losing your healthcare soon and all that caffeine can't be good for you.

708. Marcus Mahar, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
As a scientist, I find it abhorent that, without any evidence, Chairman Pai continually propagates the false narrative that net neutrality negatively effects business. Further, it is a clear conflict of interest that as a former Verizon attorney Chairman Pai is allowed to spearhead an effort that is solely meant to benefit ISPs like Verizon by means of allowing them to favor their own services over other companies. This is made more disturbing by the fact that many Americans only have one option of ISP. Therefore, access to services they wish to use may be severly impaired by the actions of Chairman Pai. It is obvious that the majority of the public wants to keep the internet open and accessible to all, without any favoritism towards whomever pays the ISP the most. As Chairman of the FCC, it is your obligation to represent the will of the people, not the greed of corporations and that is why I urge to keep ISPs classified under title 2 and ensure that strong net neutrality laws are enforced regardless of your personal unsubstantiated opinions.

709. Conrad Allen, St. Louis, MO, 63129
To FCC Chairman Ajit Pai (and whoever else it may concern),I strongly supported Title II reclassification for ISPs prior to the reclassification actually occurring under Former FCC Chairman Tom Wheeler, and I continue to support it. Hopefully the part of you that isn't a former Verizon lawyer will listen to the will of the people and drop your efforts to undo these changes.I work in a technical capacity and actually understand the matter, so your weak public rationalizations have done nothing but make me doubt either a) your knowledge of the very things you're charged with overseeing, or b) your loyalties and intentions.There's always time to do the right thing and regain some trust instead of doubling down on the issue. People were very strongly skeptical of Wheeler's intentions and loyalties at the start of his tenure as well, and he managed to change everyone's mind through his actions.Thank you for your time.

710. William Guinee, Fulton, MO, 65251
Please continue to have net neutrality supported through title 2. Without these protections, the internet will quickly become simply a commercial enterprise and not the information superhighway that we need. As the American internet infrastructure is diminished, so will be our place in the world and in the future. Do Not eliminate title 2 or the protections it places on net neutrality.

711. Greg Peck, Stover, MO, 65078
Keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules - Internet Providers should NOT be able to favor anyone over another and should NOT be able to slow any internet connection/pipeline.

712. Sam Spencer, chesterfield, MO, 63005
Here we are trying to removing a law that holds companies to a standard that they themselves say they are compliant without. Lets compare that to another law, say, requiring a license to drive. This law tells us that you must be 16 in order to drive and you must pass a driver test. Lets throw that out and say that you should be 16 to drive and we want you to pass the drivers test as well. 90% of people would never go take the test and less than that would go get a license. Why reform a law that people say they are complying to and have no issues with. This is a waste of resources and time. Spend it on data privacy issues.

713. Kris Embry, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
I support net neutrality and internet fairness. I oppose changes proposed by the current administration that would weaken net neutrality.

714. Adam Bringer, Kirksville, MO, 63501
I am in favor of preserving net neutrality and Title II. Internet freedom is incredibly important in this day and age.

715. Kathleen Cole, Rolla, MO, 65401
Preserve net neutrality and title 2 classification as it currently is.

716. Kelly Walden, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. No corporate overlords!

717. Zachary Colonius, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
I support strong Net Neutrality. The future of the internet depends on free and open access which is only possible through Title II oversight of ISPs.

718. Keith O'Connor, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I strongly support the continued application of strong net neutrality rules through Title II. The very basis of the internet is that the little guy should be just as able to reach the masses as the big guy. Gutenberg's printing press -- commonly thought of as the most important invention -- has real competition in the internet and its long sweeping impact. To take away net neutrality rules compromises the very essence of that invention. I strongly recommend continued strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

719. Craig Poth, Kansas City, MO, 64117
I strongly support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.

720. Dylan Martin, Columbia, MO, 65201
Support a truly free and open internet for the benefit of our democratic society.

721. Matt Stephenson, Saint Joseph, MO, 64506
Allowing internet service providers an avenue to slowing or raising speeds on content goes against the very fiber of our democracy. Expecting a handshake deal with these big businesses to work in favor of the American people or small businesses is insanity to put it mildly. The regulations are there to protect us not them. A repeal of the Title II designation for ISPs is a slap in the face of every American that uses the internet.

722. Matthew Erker, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
Please keep Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers.

723. Ruth Calcaterra, Vandalia, MO, 63382
It is very important that we maintain Net neutrality. It is important that all data on the internet is treated equally.

724. Alex Ritchie, Kansas City, MO, 64108
Vote to keep Net Neutrality!

725. Valerie J. McCarty, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
Maintain Title 2 requirement

726. Matthew Unterreiner, St. Peters, MO, 63376
I believe in Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The appointed head of the FCC, Mr. Pai, has a direct conflict of interest in regards to net neutrality with his ties to Verizon. The American people overwhelmingly agree, we want our internet service providers to remain neutral when delivering content. Do not allow the FCC to become a dissolute, paid-for, corrupt agency of the US government.

727. Leonard Cole, Kansas City, MO, 64106
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. I support the philosophical doctrine that would hold service providers to the same regulatory standards as public utility. I strongly resent the decision of the FCC to publish the personal details of anyone who wishes to express of an opinion; this is a willful effort to disenfranchise anyone who isn't working in a law office. And, for old time's sake, and in a message sent completely in the clear: anonymous. legion. expect.

728. Thaddeus, Neosho, MO, 64850
Title II should be preserved. The protections are essential for preserving impartial access to information on the Internet.

729. Garrett Finazzo, St. Louis, MO, 63109
You motherfuckers better not fuck with Net Neutrality. Really? You're honestly considering weakening the rules, here? You want to give companies like COMCAST and TIME WARNER the ability to throttle speeds? I thought we covered this three goddamn years ago. You're gonna roll this back, now, huh? Go FCC yourselves, assholes. This country makes me sick.

730. Brian Marsh, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. For the sake of the open internet, please do not reclassify ISPs.

731. brian spurlock, highlandville, MO, 65669
DO NOT REVERSE THE TITLE II status of the Net Neutrality quo. YOU must remember that The current Presidential administration has less than a majority of Americans supporting it (~30%) so IF you change the rules you will incur the wrath of the other 70% as you learned in 2014... PEOPLE DO NOT WANT apps being blocked by carriers that are in competition with said apps, nor do we want Comcast slowing Hulu or Netflix or CBS all Access, in order to prioritize it's own content. AMERICANS and the deplorable 'MERICAn's WILL NOT STAND FOR IT.THE DOUCHE BAG WITH A GALLON OF COFFEE in a REESE'S PIECES CUP,HE IS NOT OUR GUY, nor do we want his LOW T, low IQ dumb ass; F@#$ing with OUR INTERNET... it is not YOUR internet so remember that there are more of us than their are of you and when T-bag gets impeached YOUR ASSHOLE of a boss Abu Grad Fuckmeoff with his reese's cup will be out on his ass too!

732. Matt Arenson, Belton, MO, 64012
I strongly support net neutrality as it stands under title 2 fcc oversight. Do not change this just because your have been bought and sold so many times you forgot what it is like to not be.

733. Ethan Sinclair, Kansas CIty, MO, 64131
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Don't contribute to messing up the world, please, preserve net neutrality.

734. Benjamin McElroy, Kansas City, MO, 64154
Please keep the strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II Thank you.

735. Net Neutrality, Springfield, MO, 65806
Please keep net neutrality!! I don't want my internet in control of corporations. I should have the right to use Internet as I please and not have my provider limit what I can use or change speeds for certain sites. Honestly the Trump administration has already destroyed enough, don't add ruining the Internet to the pile.

736. Gavin W, parkville, MO, 64152
I do not want a change in regulation for IP'sI would prefer a title 2 classification for all IP'splease do not sell our innovations to the highest bidder. Let this country be the best in internet delivery.

737. Joseph R Donohue, Springfield, MO, 65804
As a small business owner of a digital agency specializing in software development and content marketing, I am entirely opposed to any changes to Net Neutrality rules as backed by strong Title II regulations and standards. Please protect my business as well as my individual rights by leaving Net Neutrality rules unchanged.Thank you. Joe Donohue

738. Jeffrey Tompkins, St. Louis, MO, 63141
I support the current net neutrality regulations under Title II. Do not let the ISP's demand concessions or increased revenue from Content Providers and hence from American consumers. ISP's must not be permitted to control or change bandwidth allocation to any individual or group of Internet Service Providers. Thank You.

739. Denton Melvin, Rock Port, MO, 64482

Net Neutrality is ESSENTIAL to the continued prosperity of the United States economy, and progress across many different areas of American life.

740. b, Springfield, MO, 65807
ISPs need to be regulated under title II oversight.

741. Derek, Washington, MO, 63090
Please keep the Internet open and free. It's unfair for ISP's to use slow or fast lanes for services that could benefit them. PLEASE keep the Internet open and free!

742. John Barden, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I am in support of a strong, open, and free internet as secured by Title 2 oversight. Net Neutrality is important to me as a software engineer and US citizen. The act of deregulating ISPs would be directly opposing American values and cause harm to competition, companies, and citizens.

743. Caleb Cox, Drexel, MO, 64742
I strongly support strict net neutrality with title 2 oversight of ISP's.

744. Nigel, Columbia, MO, 65203
I strongly believe that it is important that Net Neutrality be maintained and ISPs be regulated under title II. Even the possibility of a company altering internet speed to gain more money should not be allowed. All people deserve equal use of the internet no matter how poor or rich. Do not weaken net neutrality.

745. Kevin Hollon, Kansas City, MO, 64157
Keep ISP's classified under Title 2. Keep the internet a free and equal medium. Do not leave it up to the companies to keep it free, it must be regulated because they are under too much pressure from shareholders to do what is right. As soon as one ISP provides premium service to a program or application in exchange for money or to gain an advantage over a competing application, others will follow suit.

746. Christopher Gu, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
We need TItle II.

747. Corey Schmidt, Festus, MO, 63028
Please maintain and continue to enforce the Title II protections of Net Neutrality. Allowing big corporations such as Verizon, AT&T, and Comcast to successfully exploit internet speeds without actual and palpable oversight would lead to the destruction of the internet as a useful and equal place. If you are true believers in capitalism, as outlined by Adam Smith, you must understand that markets do not grow and consumers will not be satisfied when the market is dominated by monopolies. Verizon, AT&T, Comcast, Charter, and others seek to monopolize the internet and relax competition between each other. This was the case with steel, oil, and railroad magnates 100 years ago and it is a parallel situation now. I beg of you, as a proud citizen of America and firm believer in regulated capitalism, to keep net neutrality under Title II enforcement, and, if you should choose, to take permanent action to maintain net neutrality in its purest, unadulterated form till the end of time. Thank you for your consideration.

748. Lucas Vassmer, Liberty, MO, 64068
Please keep net neutrality and title II intact. It is one of he most important uses of free speech in the world. We cannot start to take the steps to allow it to become censored or hindered in any way.

749. chris portie, St. Louis, MO, 63103
When do you all forsake yourselves? What amount did you sell yourself for? Life is finite and you have thrown your lot in with the selfish and greedy who are fucking over everything for everyone just for their personal gain.You are a fool and if there is a place like a hell you will almost certainly find yourself there.We want net neutrality and title 2.

750. dan szyman, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
Title II is the way to go. The internet is aUtility. It belongs to the people of this country, not the businesses. I support Strong net neutrality backed by Title II Enforcement of ISPs

751. Caleb Ahart, KC, MO, 64157
I support Net Neutrality. Getting rid of it would be plain stupid. Most Americans support it, so I don't see a moral reason to get rid of it.

752. Alan McClendon, University City, MO, 63130
I strongly support Net Neutrailty and am against any proposal to alter the classification for regulation of Internet Service Providers that would allow ISPs to charge fees or prefer one service over others.

753. Jordan Gagstetter, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I would like to express my strong support for net neutrality and title II.

754. Cameron Soper, Kansas City, MO, 64154
Please, please, PLEASE let the title II classification stand. As a lifelong worker in information technology, believe me when I tell you that the equality of services on the internet (that is, net neutrality) is foundational to democracy, free and open exchange of ideas, and small businesses looking to get their start. To allow the ISPs to serve as gatekeepers is putting an entire family of foxes in charge of the henhouse.

755. Tom and Jane Whipple, Kansas City, MO, 64113

We need Net Neutrality with Title II Protection

756. Derek Carson, St Louis, MO, 63139
I wanted to contact you because I support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.

757. Lucas Jorgensen, Columbia, MO, 65202
Please continue the Obama era regulation and support net neutrality.

758. Seanmichael Stanley, Columbia, MO, 65201
Keep net neutrality and stage II

759. Robert Wilmoth, Joplin, MO, 64801
I strongly support Net Neutrality through Title II oversite of ISPs and network providers.

760. Blake Braun, St. Louis, MO, 63108
Please keep the internet open and equal for everyone, not just those who can afford it.

761. Amanda Klotzbach, Saint louis, MO, 63139
Preserve article 2. Save net neutrality!

762. John Garrity, Kansas City, MO, 64113
Preserve Title 2 and net neutrality. Also, replace the current FCC director, who is obviously conflicted.

763. net, Rolla, MO, 65401
Only by strongly controlling ISPs do we have a hope at a free and open internet. Comcast and Verizon already have a borderline monopoly on internet connectivity in the states. Don't let them also have a monopoly on information.

764. Scott Goering, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I'm an avid user of the internet and very much support net neutrality that is backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers.

765. Stephen Gloriod, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
Getting rid of net-neutrality would be damaging to the US economy in the long run. It would stifle the ability for smaller inovative companies to compete. This would create conditions for an oligarchy to dominate the market, which would hurt US innovation. In the past the internet has been a meritocracy. One in which US companies have dominated globally through innovation. If we set conditions that effectively close out innovators, we will decrease innovation in the US, and force those companies to other markets where they may thrive.

766. gyula takacs, Lebanon, MO, 65536
Please preserve Title 2 and allow net neutrality to remain unchanged.

767. Amanda Rasmussen, Sparta, MO, 65753
Net neutrality is very important for everyone. The Internet has become a utility for functioning in modern life. Please leave internet service providers as title 2 and do not reclassify ISP's to title 1. Thank you.

768. Chase Austin Marler, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
The internet should be treated as a utility and identified as a piece of our national infrastructure, just like telecom companies are. This will assure that the people of our nation have dedicated oversight for a piece of infrastructure that is providing more and more day-to-day living tools and requirements as we become more and more reliant on the internet as a marketplace and a secure network of private and public information.

769. Sean Cunniff, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I strongly support net neutrality and keeping FCC regulations of internet providers under title 2.

770. jessie giardini, Raymore, MO, 64083
Protect net neutrality. Protect the people, not the money.

771. Corey Priester, Springfield, MO, 65803
It is important to all citizens of the United States (and beyond) that the restrictions provided by Title 2 remain, and Net Neutrality is maintained.

772. Robert Hilvert, Harwood, MO, 64750
We cannot allow net neutrality to die. The open access to information is what makes the internet what it is. Any effort to take it down is simply in the best interest of large corporations and I will not support any government official or politician who supports its removal.

773. Tyler Manisco, saint charles, MO, 63301
i support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight

774. Spencer, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! DO NOT PASS THIS BILL! IT WILL DESTROY THE PRIVACY OF AMERICANS!

775. William Marrs, Columbia, MO, 65201
I support strong net neutrality under backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. A net neutral internet is vital to American innovation via

internet collaboration. Please do not interfere with such a foundational aspect of America leading in the 21st century.

776. Ash Kazmi, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I support strong net neutrality, including Title 2 oversight of Internet providers.

777. Kody, savannah, MO, 64485
please preserve your neutrality and title II. Thank you

778. Christopher Hoff, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
I strongly support preserving net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs

779. Jeremy Buesking, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's!Anything other than that would be devastating to consumers. This is serious.

780. Erik Hahn, Florissant, MO, 63033
Please do not get rid of net neutrality. It is the only thing keeping the internet a free and open market place.

781. Matthew Moore, Independence, MO, 64057
Please do not reclassify the ISPs back to Title I. To give such broad allowances to providers of content is inappropriate when those providers themselves are engaging in selling content. If providers are banned from providing competing content then I am not opposed to this measure. But, if they are allowed to generate and sell content through the vehicle they own while discouraging purchase of competing content through the same vehicle, then they've gained too much power and it will ultimately reduce the options I, and other Americans, have in our market. Please, think of the people who use and consume these services. Verizon itself told it's share holders the move to Title II did not affect it's investments in infrastructure. This move did not negatively impact the ISPs. But it did positively impact the consumers. Think of the consumers. Do not alienate us. You job is to regulate the market and keep it fair. Do your job.

782. Niels Black, Richmond Heights, MO, 63117
Put ISPs under title 2 oversight to ensure a level playing field that allows competition and growth. The internet is not a product, it is a utility. Keep access to the internet neutral.

783. Christopher Holt, St. Louis, MO, 63108
I support strong net neutrality back by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Undoing this would be a step backwards and should not be done.

784. Jeffrey Johnson, Sikeston, MO, 63801
The success of the internet and every major internet company of the last 20 years is entirely predicated on the fact that the internet is free and open, and competition is fair. Classifying all ISPs under Title II regulation is an absolutely vital protection to ensure a free and open internet. The only thing that will stop large ISPs from divvying up websites into fast and slow lanes is strong regulation that forces them not to; every single large ISP has already taken steps in that direction. It is foolish at best and nefarious at worst to attempt to fix something that isn't broken this way by allowing large companies to actively make the internet worse in their own self-interest.

785. Audrey Fischer, Wentzville, MO, 63385
Having net neutrality is essential for the free sharing of ideas. The internet has allowed for the voices of so many people who have never had a platform to be heard, and to connect with others across the entire globe. It has allowed for charity, friendships, and understanding to flourish. Without net neutrality, the true value of the internet to the individual and society would disappear.

786. Raphael Thomadsen, Olivette, MO, 63132
Please preserve Net Neutrality. It is the most important policy for the internet - I don't want any changes to the current policy whatsoever.

787. Kevin Kilmer, Vienna, MO, 65582
I felt the need to express my support for strong net neutrality, which is backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I feel that the current Congress and the current President are not going to fully understand what will come from removing net neutrality, and as a concerned citizen of the United States, it is my civic duty to speak up.

788. benjamin, Kansas City, MO, 64123
I support strong net neutrality protections backed by title II oversight due to the essential nature of internet access in the modern world to access information, services, employment and other vital needs. A neutral network allows for free market principles to enable competition, innovation and drive down prices. IT is important to allow schools to maintain access to information on an equal footing. It also allows the US to maintain its technological and economic advantages by allowing innovative technologies to compete over infrastructure built by: 1) public subsidies 2) public funded research (darpa) 3) using municipal domain to build. It is in the public good to ensure that traffic is treated neutrally by companies who have utilized public resources to construct this essential utility, and that these companies are not given unfair advantages.

789. Drew Brown, Union, MO, 63084
Net Neutrality must remain as a constant truth.

790. Thomas Kahl, St. Charles, MO, 63303
Service providers should remain classified under Type 2 such that any service provider does not possess the ability to legally prioritize/block/throttle access to services. Reclassifying service providers as Type 1 will eliminate all protections from this malicious practice. An application or service should thrive or fail based on it's own merit and any alteration to the current Type 2 classification will allow service providers to manipulate the perceived effectiveness of any competitive service or application.

791. Richard Farnan, Kansas City, MO, 64112
Please protect net neutrality by confirming the importance of Title II. I do not trust ISp's to 'voluntarily' promise not to restrict competition on the internet.

792. Larry Mills, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
Please preserve Net Neutrality. Thanks.

793. james SAnders, poplar bluff, MO, 63901
i believe that net neutrality is the only form of internet that can be allowed in a country as great as america. the freedoms that all of us American citizens have and appreciate in our modern life, can just as easily be taken away from us by large corporations. with the swipe of a pin, if net neutrality wore to abloished a local stores website or even bidding sites {ebay etv} could very easily be slowed to a snails pace making them unusable in today's high speed information age.

794. Adam Lyon, Raymore, MO, 64083
We must continue to support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. We can not leave it in the hands of companies with a voluntary agreement to do the right thing. The internet is too important.

795. Hayden Mans, St. Louis, MO, 63119
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II regulations in oversight of Internet Service Providers.

796. Jedidiah Kolander, Springfield, MO, 65804
I strongly support Title 2 protections of Net Neutrality and wish to see them retained. Protect a free and open Internet!

797. Noah Archibald, Loma Linda, MO, 64804
Please do not roll back net neutrality rules on equal access to the internet.

798. James Rieter, St Louis, MO, 63105
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II. IT IS WHAT THE INTERNET AND THE COUNTRY NEEDS.

799. title2, Pevely, MO, 63070
Do not de-regulate and allow ISP's to discern who's data can be transmitted freely or not. An ISP should only provide and transmit data, not dictate arbitrarily who's content should have better access.

800. Jason Newland, Kansas City, MO, 64118
It is NOT the government's place to promote business interests. It IS the government's place to ensure that the playing field provides equal opportunity. Promoting Net Neutrality encourages that equal opportunity. Eliminating serves no purpose to but to guarantee that the largest of companies can stifle competition.

801. Martin Smith, Wildwood, MO, 63038
I support the continued Title II classification of ISPs. It's untrue that consumers have choices for their internet service providers. They are effectively monopolies and have acted as such. Returning ISP's to a Title I classification can only hurt the consumer. It will not create more effective competition and it will hurt the tech industry as the ISP's transition to a model where they reap money from both the consumers and content creators by leveraging their user base to broker lucrative deals. New innovation will become stifled as new companies won't be able to afford to pay the peering costs required to access the large numbers of customers that are locked into a single internet service provider. Only the big companies will be able to afford to roll out the next new innovation and become successful and the story of the college kid making it big with a bright idea will become a myth.

802. Steve Ayers, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
I support strong net neutrality rules based on Title II. Preserve net neutrality with Title II protections. Leave the internet alone.

803. Leonardo Brandt, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I believe Strong Net Neutrality is important to the values of The Untied States of America and it should be backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's!

804. Gannon Ewing, Carl Junction, MO, 64834
I strongly support the preservation of Net Neutrality and the regulation of ISPs under Title II to keep the internet open and fair.

805. Raine Mack, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
I believe that open Internet should continue to be protected and viewed under the Title II act.

806. Sophie Reynolds, Springfield, MO, 65803
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. I am very disappointed to hear that Chairman Pai does not support this. He is looking out for ISPs and not the American people.

807. Catherine Mahaney, Fort Leonard Wood, MO, 65473
Do not end Net Neutrality. Keeping the internet democratic and equal fosters business and ingenuity. Ending Net Neutrality would be a disservice to the American people and inhibit the freedom of a service that has become indispensable in modern life.

808. Gabrielle Griggs, Steele, MO, 63877
The internet needs to remain a common carrier. I refuse to put a blind faith in ISP's to do the right thing. PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY.

809. FreddyBell, Saint charles, MO, 63304

Don't be on the wrong side of history. Businesses historically have always will acted unfairly if the government doesn't get involved and that coming from someone who hates socialism.

810. Allegra Kerns, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please keep strong net neutrality laws under title II. We like how they are right now, do not weaken or deregulate them. Have a good day.

811. Nicholas Martin, St. Louis, MO, 63104
I support strong net neutralist rules. ISPs should remain classified under Title II.

812. Andy Stephens, St Louis, MO, 63126
I very strongly support keeping Internet Service Providers as Title 2 regulation.

813. Ben, St. Louis, MO, 63110
THIS IS RIDICULOUS. Preserve net neutrality and title 2!!!!

814. Greyson Foresee, Springfield, MO, 65807
I support net neutrality. Title 2 does no harm.

815. Christopher Mountain, Sedalia, MO, 65301
Net Neutrality is something that I strongly support and it should be enforced by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.

816. Sean Dare, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
Please retain net neutrality and force ISP's to remain under Title 2.

817. Chris Johnson, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II. I am very troubled by the Chairman's lack of concern for the potential abuse by the internet service providers to block smaller upstarts in favor of their own offerings, such as what happened when T Moblie interfered with Google wallet to benefit its own e pay application.

818. Dan Ewald, Farmington, MO, 63640
I need you to support net neutrality under Title 2. Do not give this country over to corporate interests. Be about the people, not the companies.

819. David Gildehaus, Raytown, MO, 64133
Net neutrality is extremely important and I fully support it. It is a necessity to ensure the protection of citizens from big companies.

820. Ryan Gau, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Net Neutrality for all

821. Michael Wong, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I oppose the listed act and support Net Neutrality. I am in support of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

822. resotring internet freedom, Parkville, MO, 64152
I am writing to tell you that a free and open internet (net neutrality) is vital to a fair distribution of information on the internet.

823. Marie Baricko, Wildwood, MO, 63011
I am a firm supporter of "Net Neutrality" Keep regulations as is under Title II

824. Steve Lewis, Fenton, MO, 63026
I support neutrality and title 2 enforcement.

825. Joshua Lobkowicz, High Ridge, MO, 63049
I support strong net neutrality backed by strong oversight by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please keep net neutrality intact!

826. Eric Kuhn, Belton, MO, 64012
Keep the internet free and fair for all users! Do not let providers enhance or inhibit traffic to and from any sources for any reason. This is in the best interests of the citizens of the nation.

827. Jennifer Jones, Kirksville, MO, 63501
I support Net Neutrality backed by strong regulation under Title II of ISPs and therefore strongly OPPOSE this proposed proceeding.

828. JEFF MCHENRY, Brashear, MO, 63533
I am in favor of Net Neutrality and think the FCC should keep the Internet free and fair for all users. Please keep strong Title II oversight of ISPs. Regulation and enforcement of Net Neutrality will keep things fair.

829. Mayank Choudhary, St Louis, MO, 63108
I write today to voice my support for keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

830. Frank Baxendale, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Majority of US citizens support Net Neutrality, especially those in the tech industry (those who actually understand it). Don't let us down.

831. Alex Faigenblat, Kansas City, MO, 64109
Trusting ISPs not to act in their own self interest by limiting the availability of competitors products is absurd. It's like trusting a fox in the hen house. It can only hurt competition and consumers.

832. Shaun Jordan, Springfield, MO, 65807
I am writing in support of Net Neutrality, back by Title 2 oversight of ISPs and would like to urge the FFC to not strike down rules which would allow ISPs to offer preferential treatment to data they may deem 'worthy.' Thank you.

833. Jamie Van, St. Louis, MO, 63104
I. Support. Net. Neutrality.

834. Rachel Schneider, Rolla, MO, 65401
The reason we have the internet today is because of public funding of academics. As such, the internet should be regarded as a public utility, maintained for the interests of the general public, not for private business. I support strong net neutrality regulation backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Without net neutrality, private corporations may limit or disable the First Amendment rights of American citizens.

835. Charles Anderson, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
I support Title II oversight of ALL internet service providers and support net neutrality.

836. Dianna Herrera, St Louis, MO, 63111
I am fighting for Net Neutrality and equal access to the internet. Our freedom online shouldn't be regulated.

837. Patric Price, Kansas City, MO, 64134
Please protect net neutrality and keep the Title II classification.

838. Jack Drysdale, Jr., St Louis, MO, 63033
I am writing to voice my support for keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Also for the removal of Ajit Pai from the FCC. Or any government position. Forever.

839. Scott McMasters, South Greenfield, MO, 65752
I support strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. Please keep these rules to keep our internet truly free.

840. Nancy Dunavan, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I support strong net neutrality - I also support freedom from monopolies to protect the consumer, a fair and free access policy to bandwidth, and last but not certainly least - freedom of online speech. One of the reasons internet technology has evolved so quickly and with so much success is that the playing field is open - the best mousetrap wins. Without net neutrality you will squash innovations and innovators. We need to go forward not backward - especially when the reason to end net neutrality is a profit motivation by monopolistic companies. We went through this a long time ago with the Sherman Act - we do not need to visit it again do we?

841. Jason Daming, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I strongly support Net Neutrality. I also strongly support Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Any effort to undo these important rules including this proposal is against the interests of all internet consumers.

842. Ben Money, Belton, MO, 64012
Preserve net neutrality and do not reclassify the internet under title 2.

843. Carolynn Williston, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs! Net Neutrality is a right and should not be destroyed

844. Christopher Grabau, St. Louis, MO, 63122
Ajit Pai, net neutrality is not a partisan issue. Preserve title II and preserve net neutrality.

845. Phillip Wilson, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II. Please do not ignore the public.

846. Rebekah Schickler, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. Equal access to online information is vital!

847. Caitlin Waters, Fulton, MO, 65251
I strongly believe that this country needs to uphold net neutrality under Title II. Deregulating down to Title I will not restore freedom, but stifle it. Please do not remove Title II protection from our internet.

848. John Larrick, Clarence, MO, 63437
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title Two oversight of ISPs. Thanks :)

849. paul auberry, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I would like to comment on my desire that the government not put net neutrality at risk. Please do not put a few companies interests ahead of the American people regarding access to full speed internet access and help new companies continue to innovate they the internet without being throttled by the powerful few

850. Paul Spoerry, Florissant, MO, 63034

The American people have already spoken and the overwhelming consensus was that we want the government to enforce Net Neutrality. I'm once again stating that we want the FCC to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.

851. Phillip Hernandez, Saint Louis, MO, 63111
I am writing to voice my concern for protecting net neutrality. The internet is the first bastion of truly free information in ALL of human history. If you truly value "Freedom", the internet should remain out of control of telecom monopolies. ALLOWING ISPS TO PICK AND CHOOSE LOSERS ON THE INTERNET FOR ANY REASON WOULD ALLOW DRACONIAN ABUSE OF THE FREE FLOW OF INFORMATION. ISPS could potentially shut down websites or services with different opinions, political thoughts and ban the ability for citizens to peacefully protest and assemble, all rights enshrined in the bill of rights. Not to mention numerous unseen consequences. Do not allow net neutrality to be abolished!!

852. Dennis Mikula, Lees Summit, MO, 64086
Please leave ISP providers classified as Type 2. I do not believe the market will support fair internet traffic with out such a ruling.

853. Jon Twibell, Kansas City, MO, 64118
I support strong net neutrality as backed by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers. Strong oversight is required to prevent ISPs from acting against consumer interest. I additionally find the lies in the title alone, further the lies used in to prop up the proposal itself. To keep the comment brief I site the articles posted by Gizmodo, the Washington Post, and Ars Technica on the topic.

854. Matthew Ford, Kansas City, MO, 64109
I support strong net neutrality, I want Title II preserved.

855. Amy Kirkendall, Independence, MO, 64050
Keep net neutrality going. Equal access is imperative.

856. David Steiner, Rolla, MO, 65401
There is no benifit to the public from failing to protect net neutrality. Small start-up websites are the fuel behind the internet economy, and are what have led to the economic benifits and prosperity of silicon vallet. These websites are also those that rely most on net neutrality; a big website like google or Facebook may have the budget space to pay for preferential treatment, but a start-up won't. Protect the American economy, protect Silicon Valley, and protect freedom and a level playing field (the hallmark of true capitalism) by continuing Title II protections.

857. Katherine Conen, St Louis, MO, 63110
I am writing to urge the FFC to maintain robust net neutrality protections and uphold Title II.

858. Patrick Specha, Columbia, MO, 65201
Keep our internet net neutrality laws safe to not allow large corporations to control the flow of information to average people.

859. Dario Tomas, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
Please keep net neutrality as is in order to maintain the free market in access of information for millions of United States citizens, equality and fairness for thousands of businesses, and give options and freedom of choice to end-users, and not to our ISPs. ISPs do have an arena to compete in, and that should be related to the speed and functionality of their services, the promptness in resolution of customer service issues, etc. Most of these providers essentially have monopolies in certain service areas like Chicago, from personal experience, and I am sure other places as well. They must not be allowed to monopolize yet another facet of American life. Thank you, Dario

860. Ryan Brooks, Des Peres, MO, 63131
Hi. I strongly support strong and effective Net Neutrality rules on ISPs and service providers using Title II regulation. As someone who works in information systems, and has an economics background, I believe that regulation is the only way to ensure a level playing field for everyone using the internet. Lack of regulations will cause immense harm to consumers, can lead to censorship, and will be a blow to the freedoms espoused in the Constitution.

861. ernest adwell, kansas city, MO, 64151
protect my net neutrality -- I am watching and I VOTE

862. Allison Lee, St. Louis, MO, 63129
I strongly support rigorous net neutrality regulations and believe that can only be accomplished by regulating ISP's under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. This regulatory scheme is vital to an open and free internet. Trusting ISPs to oversee this issue themselves via their Terms of Service is unworkable.

863. Kevin Slattery, Florissant, MO, 63034
It is so important that Net Neutrality and Title 2 is preserved. A free and open Internet without outside influences is imperative. Every website should be given the same consideration with no restrictions on speed or preference. This aligns with core American values. If Net Neutrality is not upheld, there will be a major backlash toward the FCC and everyone who opposed Net Neutrality.

864. David, Cape girardeau, MO, 63701
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isp'sWe are legion. For we are many

865. What?, Columbia, MO, 65202
Look guys, the internet doesn't belong to you. It doesn't belong to big corporations, or trolls, or anyone. But the future belongs to those who are perfectly comfortable playing with it, working on it, doing whatever we like on it. We will find whatever ways we need to keep the most amazing tool ever created in the hands of everyone. Do not lift any restrictions on that freedom. Because the internet will find a

way to freedom, whether you are a part of it or not. If you want to give companies the power to control sales and information, then you can answer to the people for it.

866. Anthony Wise, St. Louis, MO, 63139
PRESERVE TITLE 2 and NET NEUTRALITY!!!!!

867. Scott Andrews, St. Louis, MO, 63116
Filing in support of strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's.

868. Cynthia Hays, O Fallon, MO, 63366
I am totally against any weakening of the net neutrality laws. We need to have a free and open internet without companies deciding which sites can receive preferential speed and access. That totally violates the rights of free speech with companies being the determining factor of access rather than the consumer. Please do not change the rules as they currently are which provide that freedom. Removing the net neutrality laws only supports a partisan and business agenda instead of protecting citizens.

869. Zak Lampert, Springfield, MO, 65802
I support net neutrality with article 2 oversight of ISPs.

870. Jason Barnes, Springfield, MO, 65804
I support and urge you to also support keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight. Relaxing regulation because you do not perceive that good intentions will be violated is not acceptable. I wouldn't take down my back yard fence because I assume the neighbor's dog won't wander into my yard to bite my children. I keep the fence up and safeguard shared interests.

871. Yvonne Serbell, Saint Louis, MO, 63127
I strongly support maintaining net neutrality protections, specifically Title 2 designation of internet service providers. There is no legitimate reason to make this change.

872. Jonathan Bodlovich, O' Fallon, MO, 63368
I want Net Neutrality to stay the way it is under title 2.

873. Net Neutraliy, Columbia, MO, 65202
We need to preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 on ISPs. Please do not remove net Neutrality protection regulations. We need that in place to ensure a free and open internet for all Americans.

874. Bernice Decker, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
I favor a strong Internet net neutrality as currently provided. The Internet is used by so many for so many thing: from access to health information to education to communication, that removing neutrality would be a serious problem for many users.

875. Steven Stoetzer Jr, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
Keep Net Neutrality and Title 2 in tact, don't change it

876. Herschel Flowers, Kansas City, MO, 64152
Preserve Net Neutrality, the Free Internet and Title II. Stop bowing down to corporate greed and to a Chairman that does not even know what Freedom looks like from his high horse, who was put to shame and made look like an ignorant or a liar by a comedian. The internet is a utility that should grant equal access to all without the possibility of discrimination. Maybe in 3rd World countries and dictatorships this is acceptable but in America everyone should have the same freedoms and opportunities to access the internet.

877. Collin Monsees, Kansas City, MO, 64119
Do not remove Title II regulations for internet service provides that provide much needed oversight to ensure net neutrality!

878. Garrett Tucker, Independence, MO, 64050
I support strong internet neutrality backed by title II which regulates ISPs

879. Kevin, Wentzville, MO, 63385
Preserve net neutrality under current title 2. Safe guards are needed.

880. alec parente, Saint louis, MO, 63127
Net neutrality is needed and should be applied. There is no reason it would not be abused to push certain agendas for commercial profit. This is a paid service and should be expected to be treated as such with no interference. Once again it is a PAID service and should be treated as such. Thank you

881. Jose Mejia Guerra, Columbia, MO, 65203
Any rollback on net neutrality and other rules regarding internet access would be detrimental to the economy, and more importantly, an infringement upon our First Amendment rights.

882. Bruce Darrough, Florissant, MO, 63033
Title II, keep it. Keep Net neutrality.There was a fight to get it, now they want to remove it (?).Listen to the people, not the Corporations.

883. Jayme Serbell, St. Louis, MO, 63127
I support maintaining net neutrality protections, specifically Title 2 Regulation of Internet service providers.

884. nina fazio, Columbia, MO, 65202

Please preserve Internet neutrality based on title 2!

885. Title 2, O Fallon, MO, 63368
We need to preserve Title 2 and net neutrality. Cmon. it makes common sense

886. Jason Meyers, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Do not remove the regulation of Internet Service Providers from Title II. Access to the internet is essential in today's world as commerce takes place more and more on it. It is the new free market. Allowing a few ISPs to call the winners and losers in this free market would give them inappropriate power. It would be like a GM car being allowed to drive full speed to stores that paid a fee to GM or had a business relationship with them in some other way, while being limited to 25 mph whenever it drove to other stores. ISPs aren't the businesses that need more freedom, it's the companies that operate on the internet that do. Please do not relax the current net neutrality rules.

887. tracy goldkamp, St. Louis, MO, 63139
Please preserve net neutrality by keeping strong Title 2 rules.

888. Natalie Magill, Kansas City, MO, 64111
It is not surprising that this administration appointed a former Verizon attorney to head the FCC, and its even less surprising that Mr. Pai would support a roll back of the Obama Administration's protections on Net Neutrality. But surprising or not, keeping the free and unfettered access to the internet for all Americans is something to be protected, not bought by huge corporations for their financial gain. I stand with thousands of my fellow Americans when I say that this is important to me, and I do not support the rollback of the Net Neutrality protections.

889. Todd McCollum, St. Louis, MO, 63102
Ajit Pi needs to leave net neutrality alone and have it remain under Title 2 so there is no bias of ISP providers.

890. John Tschampel, University City, MO, 63130
Net neutrality is vital for maintaining the internet as a free and open forum for all to use. I vehemently oppose any proposed repeal of laws protecting it or any new laws which might jeopardize net neutrality in the United States or abroad.

891. Justina Miller, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
Net neutrality is incredibly important to the American public. As is enforcement under Title II.

892. James Villines, Independence, MO, 64055
Leave Net Neutrality as it is. Preserve net neutrality and title II

893. John Doe, Belton, MO, 64012
I would like to briefly add my voice to the multitudes of citizens against rolling back net neutrality regulations. Equal access to businesses, information, and content is a core value of the United States of America that is in the best interest of every American to protect. Please do not allow profit and power to supersede freedom, choice, and innovation by deregulating open and unrestricted access to the Internet. Thank you for your consideration.

894. Larissa Uredi, Kansas city, MO, 64110
Internet neutrality is CRUCIAL to keeping innovation alive in this country. It is the great equalizer and it does not need restrictions or meddling so the government and big business can make MORE money off of it. This is ridiculous.

895. Gabriel Jobe, Kansas City, MO, 64112
I absolutely support net neutrality laws supported by Title II. The ability to throttle speeds for profit should not be in the hands of huge telecom companies. I want a brighter future for my children.

896. Alton Stillwell, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
We simply cannot rely on the large ISPs to follow net neutrality practices themselves. These laws must be enforced to ensure that the largest media and news platform that has ever existed, remains free of corporate meddling. An internet where corporations control and steer what you consume, is simply not a truly open internet. Please, at all costs, protect net neutrality from these ISPs, who only wish to get rid of these laws, to make their investor's wallets fatter.

897. Joe Reynolds, Crestwood, MO, 63126
I support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight over internet service providers

898. Kindra Smith, Wildwood, MO, 63038
I strongly support Net Neutrality. Please maintain Title II classification. I strongly beleive that the internet needs to remain a free and open marketplace and communication platform. I have zero faith that ISPs will voluntarily act in the consumers best interest given the opportunity to manipulate internet traffic for their own benefit. I have only one choice of ISP where I live. I rely on appropriate government regulation to ensure that my ISP treats all internet traffic and businesses fairly so that I have maximum choice regarding the services, products, and communication options I wish to use. Net Neutrality is essential for fair competition and free speech. Thank You

899. Joshua J. Pluta, Independence, MO, 64057
Please retain strong net neutrality provisions under Title II. The ISPs were already extorting "peering" agreements with major content providers such as Netflix before the open internet rules, and favoring their own content over "competitors".Additionally, Mr. Pai, your statements about "more regulation = less investment" is contradicted by the heavily regulated internet industry in the Eurozone that provides better internet access to most rural and poor europeans than the best American consumers get.

900. Jason Bray, Kansas City, MO, 64064
I support Net Neutrality and I am not in favor of your attempt to dismantle its protections. You are attempting to take an action that the majority of Americans do not want you to take, even if you truly do believe that you are right.

901. Susan M. Ring, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Protection of net neutrality is essential. I, like most Americans, depend on the internet for communication, for my job, for doing business...in short, for most aspects of my life. It IS a public utility, just like gas and power and water.The FCC should do its job and protect the internet from special interests. Regulation of the internet is pro-consumer.

902. Net Neutrality, Lake St. Louis, MO, 63367
I would like the FCC to preserve Net Neutrality and the Title II classification. Strong legal regulation is needed to keep ISP's in line, and it is the duty of the government to ensure fair play in every market. Destroying Net Neutrality would gravely affect everyone with internet access.

903. Aaron Turvey, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Do not allow service providers to start manipulating internet speed and access at will. These services are now vital to many facets of life, and keeping equal access for everyone strengthens our democracy.

904. David Scott Goen, Manchester, MO, 63021
The Internet was created by the government to serve the public need. It is not there for telecoms to control. Keep the Net Neutrality under Title II!

905. Logan Welcher, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I am in favor of a strong Net Neutrality policy. I believe the best way to enforce this policy is through Title II oversight of ISPs. Please act in the interests of the American public over the desires of corporations.

906. Jessica Danziger, Saint Louis, MO, 63101
Do not remove the regulation of Internet Service Providers from Title II. Free and equal access to the internet is essential to protecting consumers, preventing monopolies, and enabling innovative businesses to thrive. Competition is at the heart of a free market. Please do not relax the current net neutrality rules.

907. K Moderson, Springfield, MO, 65810
Keeping ISPs under title II will protect a free and open internet. The move to title 1 will undermine innovation.

908. Jacob Dotson, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
I support the Net Neutrality rules as they stand. I do not trust the ISPs with the ability to throttle information, because they have proven themselves to be bottom line focused, not customer focused. I also believe that the Trump administration would serve its rural constituents well by not allowing the less dense and therefore less valuable coverage areas to be exploited by price gouging.

909. Burdette Laura, Springfield, MO, 65807
Net neutrality is a key component of our online experiences; American small businesses depend on it and citizens' right to information should not be limited, controlled, or in any way manipulated by industry.

910. Blair Marshall, Kansas City, MO, 64130
I believe in the current classification of ISP under Title 2. I don't trust that any corporation will be able to self regulate in the interest of it's customer base given the opportunity to exploit loose rules and loopholes. Don't change the classification of ISPs from the current Title 2 rules.

911. Christopher Magee-Jenks, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 Oversight of ISPs!!!

912. Laura Rosener, St Louis, MO, 63116
Net Neutrality is Freedom of Speech. By allowing companies to change what we see first, you are limiting our freedom.

913. Andrew Woode, Ballwin, MO, 63011
I, as a fellow American, support strong net neutrality - backed by Title II. I want not to have any tamperings as to weaken and or remove such Title II, and subsequent strong net neutrality. Please and thank you.Sincerly, Andrew

914. clauida wilkis, Ellisville, MO, 63021
I support net neutrality under section 2. Any rollback on that is unacceptable

915. Alex J. Wall, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I strongly support net neutrality and what it represents. A free, open internet without fast lanes purchased by big corporations is everything the internet was founded upon. Internet should remained classified under Title II moving forward.

916. Daniel Showmaker, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
I am in SUPPORT of net neutrality and STRONGLY OPPOSE the CONSTANT ATTACK it is under.

917. Haunani Thomas, Columbia, MO, 65203
I support net neutrality. Protect net neutrality.

918. Melissa Keating, Fenton, MO, 63026
Please preserve actual net neutrality. We do not want corporations to keep us from the sites we care about. This is ridiculous.

919. Samuel Lingle, St. Louis, MO, 63119
I support Net Neutrality protections under File 2 classification. Any rollback of those protections leaves American consumers vulnerable to unfair practices from ISP companies, and so should be avoided at all costs.

920. Aubrey Asbury, Kansas City, MO, 64133
I strongly support net neutrality and the title ll oversight of ISPs

921. Rogef Peper, Gladstone, MO, 64119
It is imperative that internet neutrality continue to be regulated under Title II. Keep the current regulatory status!

922. Emily Weese, Savannah, MO, 64485
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. The Internet is for the people, not the corporations.

923. Sheela Lal, Columbia, MO, 65203
save net neutrality. it's important for all consumers.

924. Paige Nelson, Kansas City, MO, 64157
Please preserve the rights of the Title 2 legislature regarding Internet Net Neutrality. We are putting our faith in you to hold this legislature in place and DO NOT allow it to be reversed or changed in any way. We are counting on you to protect the people, small business, artists, etc who have the right to be heard and hold space on the Internet as equals. Thank you.

925. judy hadley, St Joseph, MO, 64507
Please preserve net-neutrality/Title 2

926. Chris Gemoules, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
Please maintain Net Neutrality. It's a vital part of the reason small companies can still compete with international ones. If we abandon TRUE Net Neutrality, it's going to allow predatory practices among ISPs to price gouge consumers in a market that's already being ravaged by regional monopolies.

927. Net Neutrality, Kirksville, MO, 63501
I support strong net neutrality. Please do not get rid of net neutrality.

928. Anil Gopal, Hazelwood, MO, 63042
Please keep strong FCC Rules including Title II. Retain Net Neutrality

929. Justin Dioneda, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight by ISPs. Donâ€™t mess this up.

930. Colleen Lyerla, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Reversing Title II and taking away net neutrality is a disastrous idea for the free flow of information and for the development of business and the economy. Existing net neutrality has let to the creation of Google, Facebook, Twitter and countless other services. It enables small businesses to grow and flourish with little capital investment. It embodies everything about the entrepreneurial spirit of the United States. Without it, a select few companies with the right connections in Washington will control the free flow of information and demand shares in other companies' profits. Say no to this.

931. bradley jacobs, wildwood, MO, 63011
I STRONGLY support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. the fact that net neutrality is questioned to changed or go away is just ignorant and stupid. net neutrality is a MUST and is part of the foundation of the internet itself.

932. Derek Maurer, Joplin, MO, 64801
Please preserve net neutrality and title two. Getting rid of net neutrality would be a grave mistake and dramatically hurt the state of our internet and technology.

933. Matthew Drew, St. Louis, MO, 63112
Support Title II classifications for ISPs to maintain strong net neutrality regulations

934. J. Oliver, Kansas City, MO, 64155
Without Net Neutrality, ISPs would be able to devise new schemes to charge users more for access and services, making it harder for us to communicate online, and easier for companies to censor our speech. The Internet could come to resemble cable TV, where gatekeepers exert control over where you go and what you see.Without Net Neutrality, ISPs would be able to block content and speech they donâ€™t like, reject apps that compete with their own offerings, and prioritize Web traffic (reserving the fastest loading speeds for the highest bidders and sticking everyone else with the slowest).

935. Kaitlynn Jansen, St. Peters, MO, 63376
I support strong net neutrality rules and support title II.

936. brian spurlock, highlandville, MO, 65669
Reducing the protections for consumers at this juncture will only Hurt and Hinder the continued growth of the internet. Corporations are beholden only to themselves, and shareholders who for the most part are likely a bunch of forest gumps with a scott trade account... SOOO..... Maji Paj Abu grad DO NOT MESS WITH THE FREE INTERNET... IF MY ISP starts to throttle Netflix then your boss Trump will not be the only one to get Booted from office unceremoniously ...to sum up, and in the words of Yoda "Powerful you have become, the dark side I sense in you.â€ â€œOnce you start down the dark path, forever will it dominate your destiny, consume you it will.â€ -SO don't go all Vader on the net... or the FORCE will have to balance you out!

937. John Newton, Kansas City, MO, 64134
Please keep net neutrality. If the space is not free we don't get the best products.

938. Dustin Ambler, Shrewsbury, MO, 63119
The internet is essential to modern life. Reclassifying it in order to cripple net neutrality would injure consumers and is a mistake that should be avoided.

939. Charlie Jaschek, St. Louis, MO, 63108
Please do everything you can to save net neutrality and title II. Thank you.

940. Steven Parry, Pacific, MO, 63069
I urge you to maintain net neutrality. Without it, it would be like permitting your electric company to make a deal and charge you more for a special brand refrigerator to keep your food cold reliably, or to keep your light bulbs from turning off randomly because they aren't ones made by the special deal your electric company makes with other companies.How about your water? Would it be ok to have them put in special chemicals that broke or made your toilet malfunction, unless it was a toilet made specially to go with the additive, part of a deal made by your water provider with a special toilet company?If you eliminate net neutrality, you are committing this offense; it's a sign that you would rather take money from people the commit public service. That is the definition of organized crime. That will be a very bad thing for you to do.

941. Theresa Johnson, Battlefield, MO, 65619
I support Net Neutrality in the strongest way possible. I back the Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Thought this was a done deal. Apparently not. Do not give this power to Internet Providers. Keep the internet free and open by keeping net neutrality and keeping the Title 2 oversights in place.

942. Jeff Mazanec, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
Cable companies already have too much power as it is. The FCC should be fighting for the rights of the consumer, NOT the rights of the cable companies. The internet must be viewed as a public utility and have protections for the people against monopolies and unfair practices.

943. Benton Wohlers, Rolla, MO, 65401
I support Net Neutrality and the regulation of Internet Service Providers under Title II classification.

944. Bryan Yoch, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Please stop trying to dismantle net neutrality under the guise of internet freedom. This is a sham of the highest caliber, and you should all be ashamed of yourselves for once again trying to screw over the people in favor of more corporate profits. Net neutrality ensures that all sites on the internet are treated equally, ISPs are regulated fairly, and no site, app, or service can be blocked nor pay for preferential treatment.

945. Alexandra, Raytown, MO, 64138
The internet is fine as it is, stop trying to corrupt it with your garbage.

946. Madeleine Kemper, Kansas City, MO, 64112
Net neutrality is important for maintaining freedom of speech and information. It allows small businesses to survive and grow, and it increases the competition on which the market thrives. Getting rid of it is a terrible idea.

947. Michelle Scott, Kansas City, MO, 64111
The internet MUST remain neutral. The current restrictions on ISP's in Title II are needed. I support Net Neutrality. Please protect net neutrality and Title II.

948. Sam Brian, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 enforcement. Under no circumstances should the ISPs be free to decide whose data gets priority. They must be required *by law* to be neutral handlers of data. Doing anything less risks stifling innovation and puts consumers at the mercy of companies that are under no obligation to treat them and their data fairly.

949. Bridget, Richmond Heights, MO, 63117
The internet is an incredible place! I strongly support net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversights for ISP's. Equal access is essential. Remember it's "we the people", please listen to the people. And no, corporations aren't people!AJit Pia is a former lawyer for Verizon!!! Really? He knows and we the people know!Thank you for your thoughtful consideration and please preserve net neutrality and Title II.

950. Micah Alford, Joplin, MO, 64801
To whom it may concern:In the interest of Net Neutrality, the internet and ISPs should remain classified as Title II communications.

951. Matt Frauenhoffer, St. Louis, MO, 63128
Keep Net Neutrality protected. ISPs should not affect the way we receive the internet. Keep everything on a level playing field.

952. david cushman, st louis, MO, 63101
I am in Support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II (2) oversight of ISPs! My family and I are 100% Opposed to Docket No. 17-108! Please don't try yet again to ruin this great tool we have. It will come back to haunt us all.

953. Joni Donze, Kansas City, MO, 64132
I emphatically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I respectfully suggest the current safeguards be left in

place.

954. Carey Spesard, Kansas City, MO, 64108
I support net neutrality! All internet traffic should be treated equally. Removing net neutrality will hurt start-up companies and the consumers.

955. Ryan Levasseur, St. Louis, MO, 63128
Do not weaken net neutrality, if anything it should be strengthened. I do not want ISPs to be able to push their own content (or content that was paid to be promoted at a better quality) onto myself. I also don't want my browsing history to be sold by ISPs, which I am aware is not fully the fault of the FCC, but it should still be known that I, and many people that I know, do not want their information to be sold or the content they consume to be more difficult to view.

956. Kyle Barker, Centralia, MO, 65240
I specifically support strong net neutrality under Title II. Removing that legal binding has the potential to cause incredible negative consequences for consumers with zero possibility of a benefit. There is no sane reason to remove that limiter from ISPs.

957. James Muehlenkamp, Fenton, MO, 63026
To whomever has been given the thankless task of reading through all of these complaints, allow me to express my condolences. That being said, I must add myself to the growing list of people who absolutely do not want Net Neutrality altered in any way. With that said...Dear Mr. Ajit Varadaraj Pai, For your own sake, please take into consideration the fact that if you dismantle Net Neutrality almost everybody is going to hate you. I understand that the new administration has an irrational hatred and fear of everything the Obama administration accomplished, but I advise you to look past the fact that the current rules governing Net Neutrality were passed under a Democrat. Not everything the Obama administration did is bad, and yet you seem to be determined to undo everything that happened over the last 8 years simply because the Republicans didn't have control over it. I wouldn't be surprised if the Trump administration started funding necromancy just to try and bring Osama Bin Laden back to life so they could kill him instead. Stop this now. Keep Net Neutrality the way it is. Seriously. Take a break from fucking things up occasionally. Try golfing. I hear your boss loves it.

958. Luisa Fernandez, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I completely support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!!!

959. Susan Ang, Springfield, MO, 65810
Protect NET NEUTRALITY. I support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

960. Matthew Russell, Columbia, MO, 65201
These proposed hits would allow ISP to perform mafia like shakedowns, and restrict the growth of ideas on the internet. Please do not do this.

961. Michael Poe, Saint Louis, MO, 63125
The internet and all online traffic absolutely must be protected by the FCC with the strength that comes with classifying ISPs under title II. Without this protection, Internet providers will (and have proven as much) abuse their ability to disrupt connection to sites and services THEY choose to deem undesirable. This must not be allowed, as almost all locations in the country have insufficient choice in providers to properly exercise their opinions with their wallet, there simply aren't better alternatives. Again, ISPs must remain classified as title II

962. Michael Henderson, ST LOUIS, MO, 63131
I support strong net nutrality backed by type 2 regulation of internet service providers

963. Damian Palmer, Springfield, MO, 65802
Please keep net neutrality, as we know it, intact.

964. Martha Mehring, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
I support Net Neutrality, and Title 2.

965. Lacy Audry, St. Louis, MO, 63126
I very adamantly support Net Neutrality and making sure that Internet Service Providers become included under Title II regulations. It is imperative for the future of an open and free marketplace. We cannot allow ISPs to control the speed of their competitors. It is the FCCs job to make sure that all communications are open to new services and new technologies well into the future.

966. Eugene P. Lesh, Neosho, MO, 64850
I support Net Neutrality and article II

967. Mark Cooper, Arnold, MO, 63010
Keep ISPs classified under Title 2. I do not trust them to keep the bandwidth open for everyone on their own. If they are not going to engage in bandwidth throttling as they claim, then they should have not problem with being classified under Title 2 which ensures they don't.

968. Rachel Leyh, Kansas City, MO, 64106
Keep Net neutrality- all websites treated equal!!

969. Joseph Lavin, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
I would like to keep the strong net neutrality laws in place under title 2.

970. Joshua White, Grandview, MO, 64030

We support net neutrality and classifying ISPs under Title II.

971. Michael Sturgeon, Saint Louis, MO, 63144
Mr. Pai,Respectfully, I demand that the FCC preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 for all ISP's. It is imperative that the internet be a fair/free environment as it is now.

972. Ethan Harper, Fayette, MO, 65248
Please preserve Net Neutrality and continue to classify ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Thank you.

973. Brian Hunt, Independence, MO, 64055
I strongly support net neutrality and am against anything taking these rules away so that companies can take advantage of their consumers.

974. Carolyn Herman, St. Louis, MO, 63121
I strongly oppose changing the rules that prohibit internet providers from changing the speed of access or transmission to certain content.

975. Nathan Perlmutter, Saint Louis, MO, 63131
Net Neutrality is necessary because by giving ISP's the ability to bottle neck certain domains they will certainly do so, even if they claim it has not been done before. Arguing that it was not done before, so it will not be done now, is not sufficient reasoning to lift a regulation.

976. Andrew Scherf, Springfield, MO, 65804
I am commenting today in support of the current strong title 2 oversight of the current net neutrality rules. I am opposing the current proposed docket item 17-108 to allow net neutrality to die.

977. Brandon Angelakos, Ozark, MO, 65721
Please ensure that we keep a strong set of net neutrality rules in place and preserve the Title II classification of ISPs.

978. Ryan Trippel, Columbia, MO, 65201
stand up to corporations who will inevitably abuse their power in seek of higher profits that will be to the detriment of the average citizen. The internet has revolutionized human society and revoking the equal playing field currently in place threatens the internet's ability to connect humans and information.

979. Jamon Dixon, Springfield, MO, 65806
First, I must say, what an ironic name for this rule change! Internet freedom is already secured, with ISPs being properly classified under Title ll of the Communications Act of 1934. The FCC doesn't even need to do anything to make the vast majority of the public happy. As a student in college working towards an IT/Web Development degree, re-classifying ISPs will hinder my chances to potentially start my very own business in the future with my skillset. This is because, as I'm sure all the fine folks in your agency are aware, taking the regulations out of the telecom market will only stifle competition as the big companies continue to buy out others and merge. More pertinent to the regulations in question, these telecoms will also have the ability to limit new competition by throttling their network speed, and to give preference to their own entities, or other established companies that have the funding to ensure their website can have normal speeds. Besides that (for me) rather depressing point, I've also heard that the FCC is stipulating to ask the telecoms to guarantee net neutrality is kept by putting it in their Terms of Service. The same terms that can be changed at will, by the company. You know what is an even better way to guarantee the telecoms will keep the net neutral? Government regulations. It is why the FCC exists, it is why our government exists as an institution, so why would it need to be changed at all? I fully expect no one will end up reading this, but hey, if you are, I have a personal favor... I need my faith in the American government restored. One perfect way to do this is to simply listen to the people. The government was designed to do this. Not foreign countries, not party platforms, and especially not corporate interests. So, please, if the American Dream is still somehow alive, then help me out by not changing this rule. Maybe someday, if I'm lucky, I can join the tech industry with my own company, and share my ideas with the nation. Thank you.

980. Tiffney Keating, Springfield, MO, 65804
Keep Net Neutrality with Title II. Quit lying to people about wanting to get rid of net neutrality. You're already on record stating you believe in getting rid of it.

981. Rachel Wolf, Cross Timbers, MO, 65634
I do not support the FCC plan to reverse moving ISPs to Title 2.

982. Dalton Bailey, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Net Neutrality laws are crucial to keep competition fair and allow small businesses an equal opportunity.

983. Ethan Buck, Columbia, MO, 65201
Do not give internet companies the power to control internet content you dingus. Net neutrality is important.

984. Bryan Colley, Kansas City, MO, 64131
The internet is an essential utility and should be regulated as such under Title II provisions. I am against "Restoring Internet Freedom" and support net neutrality.

985. Kyle Rohde, Kansas City, MO, 64156
Internet access should be a utility, not a product that can be altered, throttled or changed based on the provider's own interests.

986. James Quinn, Columbia, MO, 65203

Preserve Net Neutrality and let it remain under Title 2! Lack of oversite for ISP's could drastically alter the way the internet is consumed for no other reason than to make money. Preserve our choice as paying customers to use our internet subscriptions in a fair and unbiased way.

987. Jason Purviance, Kansas Cirty, MO, 64113
Preserve net neutrality and preserve Title-2.

988. Ryan Peters, Kansas City, MO, 64155
I am writing to affirm my STRONG support for a free and open Internet, specifically by Title II oversight of ISPs.

989. Cara Schwab, Raytown, MO, 64133
Keep net neutrality rules back by title II! It is clear that these rules are protecting the free and open internet and any attempt to abolish them is nothing but a money grab by ISP's under the thinly veiled guise of a 'free market'. The people want unbiased access to information that they deserve. Keep the current rules in place!

990. Nathan Raught, Springfield, MO, 65806
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II. It's critical for the future of the internet, particularly e-commerce, so that startups will stand a fair chance against established industry giants.

991. Thorin Schmidt, Troy, MO, 63379
I strongly support the current method of regulation under Title II. In other words, I am not an idiot who thinks that the foxes can simply agree among themselves not to raid the hen house. No amount of posing with your stupid mug is going to change the FACTS! Net Neutrality needs to stay the way it is!

992. Rochelle Boucher, Lee's Summit, MO, 64086
All components of net neutrality are important and necessary. I do not support changing net neutrality.

993. John Moore, St. Louis, MO, 63123
We all know what you are doing for your corporate masters. Keep Title 2 restrictions. Do NOT deregulate.

994. Matt Napoli, Hazelwood, MO, 63042
Please don't ruin the internet, net neutrality is good, please. please.

995. Cornelius Miles Bolinger, St. Louis, MO, 63108
Please preserve strong net neutrality with Title II oversight.

996. Danielle Snyder, St. Louis, MO, 63116
Please reconsider and defend net neutrality. The Internet has limitless potential and has been classified as a human right, yet this would destroy so much.It should be equal to all. The people who would struggle to have their voices heard are the same ones who deserve to be listened to. This is bigger than money or business.

997. derek pobst, Bell City, MO, 63701
WE want to keep the strong regulations under title II to protect net neutrality.

998. Joe Koeller, Arnold, MO, 63010
Preserve net neutrality and keep Title ll

999. Thoai Nguyen, springfield, MO, 65807
I strongly support Net Neutrality, do not endanger it. do not let ISP have free range.

1000. Michael Nahm, Ferguson, MO, 63135
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. This allows the internet to be open to all businesses.

1001. David MacRunnel, Manchester, MO, 63011
I support title 2 classification for Internet service providers, do not take this away. They must maintain common carrier status.

1002. Christopher T Nash, springfield, MO, 65804
Do not, i repeat do not take away net neutrality. The internet is the ultimate form of free speech. If you do this you are taking away the freedom to choose. Giving it to the billionaires who run the world. Stop it now.

1003. Andrew Nash, Liberty, MO, 64068
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The Internet should remain free-flowing. The power belongs with the people, not with the ISPs.

1004. Alexander Lambert, Eureka, MO, 63025
Keep net neutrality. Honor title 2 regulations.

1005. Reagan Healey, St. Louis, MO, 63112
Classifying ISP's as TItle II common carriers allows the FCC to prevent paid prioritization. Without the provisions of Title II, the FCC will not be empowered to prevent paid prioritization form crippling mankind's greatest tool for communication. Any proposal to scale-back the provisions of Title II or reclassify ISP's outside the provisions of Title II is a baldfaced attempt to facilitate monopolistic, opportunistic, and detrimental interference with the edifices of the internet so that these edifices may be destroyed and converted into modest monetary gains for ISPs--monetary gains, furthermore, without concomitant incentives toward competition, innovation, or

improvement.

1006. Amir Kundalic, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Very simple. Keep the net neutrality rules and Title 2 designation. I am tired of internet companies running the show and slow internet connection and lack of ISP options. The only thing we need is further slowing down based on what ISPs think we should see or do. I don't believe this is a "Republican" way of increasing the competition. I want free, fast and open access to the internet and net neutrality rule is the only way. Thank you.

1007. Rebecca Pilgram, Columbia, MO, 65202
I support strong net neutrality supported by Title 2. Also, Pai; you can go fuck yourself. LEAVE MY INTERNET ALONE!

1008. Laura Steele, St. Charles, MO, 63304
I am greatly concerned about the removal of safeguards on net neutrality. I believe that it should stay under Title 2. Corporations should not have the right to judge what receives the priority bandwidth from a product that I am purchasing from them. If I am paying for a certain internet speed, then I should receive that internet speed for all sites.

1009. Dawn Williams, Union, MO, 63084
The internet should be available to all, not the highest bidders!

1010. Austin, Springfield, MO, 65809
Preserve net neutrality rules backed by title II.

1011. Debra Miller, Cosby, MO, 64436
In order to ensure equal access to the internet, we need to keep net neutrality under title 2.

1012. Herb Hagely, St. Louis, MO, 63123
Net neutrality as it currently stands (under TITLE 2) needs to be preserved and unchanged. Don't take away the freedom of the internet to allow for price gouging for traffic or crippling services due to popularity just to get people's wallet's filled.

1013. Alan Krusemark, St. Louis, MO, 63110
Please preserve internet freedom! Do not diminish net neutrality.

1014. Robert Anderson, Buckner, MO, 64016
I support strong net neutrality enforcement through Title II.

1015. Karen Wollner, Kearney, MO, 64060
I strongly and totally support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

1016. Bradley Jenkins, Kansas City, MO, 64155
I am OPPOSED to Docket No. 17-108. I am in personal support of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I believe in the principle of equality, and allowing ISPs to sell priority access to the internet is an attack on this core pillar of our country.

1017. Ethan Fischer, St Charles, MO, 63304
Keep ISPs under title II. Uphold strong net neutrality.

1018. Brian Loftin, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
How much money are you guys getting paid to act like morons??? Limiting Internet Freedom would single-handedly make us an embarrassment to the term "Free Country". I say this with Trump as our president, so to embarrass us any more, to the rest of the world, is just kicking your own country while it's down. Please get smart or get out!

1019. Aaron Schwartz, Springfield, MO, 65807
Keep net neutrality. The big dogs already get the benefits by paying expensive sums for getting to the top of the search results. Don't give them another advantage. Why even think about changing it? What harm is it causing? We have laws to protect people from discrimination, the same should apply to web sites. Look at past cases, companies will use it for their advantage. It hurts not only other businesses, but also the consumers.I am a software engineer and have been using the world wide web regularly since about 1995. Don't destroy one of the things that makes it great.

1020. aaron snowsky, Columbia, MO, 65201
I am in favor of net nutrality

1021. Mike Stevens, Springfield, MO, 65806
Please keep the net netutral!

1022. Sam Bradshaw, Springfield, MO, 65806
I support strong Internet regulation under title 2. Also, your mug sucks.

1023. Matt Tignor, Willard, MO, 65781
Why in the world would you want to change this. save Net Neutrality

1024. Lisa Pritchard, Hillsboro, MO, 63050
I strongly support net neutrality and the access and equity it provides. Please keep strong net neutrality rules in place.

1025. Elizabeth Jaimet, Columbia, MO, 65202

Please support strong net neutrality and Title II!

1026. Dale Smith, St. Louis, MO, 63108
I do not trust companies to regulate themselves. If tobacco companies are willing to literally kill people for money then what makes you think charter won't slow Netflix down a little to help their business. Laissez faire economics does not ALWAYS work.

1027. Andy Kutner, Ellisville, MO, 63011
I support Net Neutrality, please keep ISP's regulated under Title 2

1028. Joel Jenkins, Kansas City, MO, 64129
The history of commerce shows time and again that corporate pledges to self-regulate their practices are transient at best. The Internet must be a free and unencumbered system for all digital commerce and content distribution regardless of income or presence. Keep the Internet protected by Title II.

1029. Curtis, saint louis, MO, 63128
To Ajit Pai and all of FCC, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title Two oversight of ISP's.

1030. Donald Ferrell, Pleasant Hill, MO, 64080
Do not allow internet providers to speed up or SLOW down internet access and speeds based on their wishes.

1031. Kimberly Kellum, St. Louis, MO, 63114
I strongly support strong net neutrality and implore you to ensure the continuation of the Obama era regulations put in place that protect consumers and small businesses. Maintain the regulation and DO NOT change a thing. Focus on other issues that this country faces that ACTUALLY need to be addressed!!!

1032. Amy Reynolds, St. Louis, MO, 63130
You know those signs that people have been holding up in protests? The "I can't believe I still have to protest this shit" signs? That's kind of how I'm feeling right now. Keep the legal footing for internet neutrality on Title II in order to have an actually enforceable "free and open internet." I don't know why it should come as a surprise to you that people don't trust monopolies--the internet service providers ALREADY have far, FAR too much power and it is intolerable that one inch, one millimeter of regulation should be eased off of that power. There is very little that unites the denizens of the internet, but you can be pretty damn sure that this is one of them. Back off and stay backed off.

1033. Anthony Wunderlich, Cape Girardeau, MO, 64701
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality protected by Title II oversight of ISPs.

1034. Rebecca Mercurio, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
I urge the FCC to preserve and protect the strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

1035. Joshua Daminato, Kansas City, MO, 64106
Please force ISPs to comply with net neutrality rules. Allowing ISPs to dictate the speed at which different types of data traverse the internet is a bad idea. One example of how this will be abused is ISPs favoring their own content while letting their competitors content get stuck in the pipes. This is already happening, and removing the Title II restriction on ISPs will make this problem much worse. Please let this be the last time I have to talk about this.

1036. Adam Kos, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
Don't change the rules to benefit the telecom industry. Keep Net Neutrality without any change.

1037. David Griggs, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
This proposed change is wanted by ISPs and no one else. Do not give giant corporations power over our lives!

1038. Erica Glick, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Please maintain net neutrality. I am a disabled person whose ability to reach out to and interact with the outside world, at large, is limited by my mobility issues. I rely upon streaming sites like Netflix and Amazon Prime in order to watch tv shows and movies at a greatly reduced price, per month, versus cable television providers in my area. Streaming videos on YouTube allows me to see and experience people and places I simply cannot get to, and learn about things and people from all over the world. I can learn hobbies to help keep me busy (crochet, knitting, sewing), things that are fun like makeup and video game tutorials, and follow along with the lives of people and families all over the world, as though they were neighbors or classmates. Changing or altering in any way the current net neutrality guidelines can, and will, directly affect me and people like me. So please, when you are considering making these changes, remember people like me. People who cannot afford to simply pay more to access content that, while a privilege and not a right, are necessary for many of us to have contact with the outside world, and help maintain our intelligence and emotional spirit. Thank you for your time.

1039. Matt Inman, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
I'm a supporter of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP. Any other version of Net Neutrality is wrong Title 1 doesn't go far enough. Title 1 will encourage ISPs to play favorites. Title 2 oversight must stay in place.Thank you,Matt

1040. Karen L. Sapp, Joplin, MO, 64804
I am writing to express my strong support for net neutrality and Title 2. Information must be able to flow freely without interference. Net Neutrality is important.

1041. Justin Tyler, St Charles, MO, 63304

I am writing to support Net Neutrality. The equitable and unbiased flow of information needs to be defended as a cornerstone of a free society. I have no problem with my ISP making a profit, but they need to do it fairly and above board as a fee for its costumers to access the previously mentioned unbiased flow of information. To allow the ISPs to make their profit by applying tolls to the information or by charging content and service providers for access to the ISPs customers is to create a data bubble around the consumer that they are unaware of and have no means of avoiding. The effect of such a "bubble" on the voting electorate, the competitive playing field of businesses, and a host of other hallmarks of our American society is impossible to assess...it is also very difficult to overstate.

1042. Edward Meissner, Saint Louis, MO, 63103
Give us back the web!!!

1043. David Balko, Columbia, MO, 65201
Please continue to regulate ISPs under Title II. Protect free internet. John Oliver sent me here, and I agree whole-heartedly with ISPs being regulated under Title II.

1044. James Dalton Adams, El Dorado Springs, MO, 64744
It is essential that Net Neutrality be preserved and ISPs remain under Title 2 regulations to help ensure a free and open internet.

1045. Paul Mathis, chesterfield, MO, 63017
I Specifically support Strong Net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's. The lack of which is a terrifying risk not unlike learning trapeze without a safety net.

1046. Brent Willmert, St. Louis, MO, 63109
I support Strong Net Neutrality Backed by Title II Oversight of ISP's. We should not give over reaching power to ISP's to decide what should be on faster/slower speed pipelines based on the amount of money they are getting in return.

1047. Betsy Flores, Kansas City, MO, 64151
PLEASE LEAVE TITTLE II AS IT IS.NET NEUTRALITY IS FOR THE PEOPLE NOT THE BIG COMPANIES THAT HAVE MUST OF YOUR POCKETS.TITTLE II IS WORKING FOR THE PEOPLE, LEAVE IT ALONE.

1048. Tammy Merrett, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
I emphatically urge the FCC and lawmakers to NOT, under any circumstances, change regulations to allow tier pricing or adjustments of speed and access by providers for internet services.

1049. Michael Sizemore, St. Louis, MO, 63122
Don't break up net neutrality

1050. Sam Kammermeier, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I strongly support Net Neutrality and and any removal is not just stupid, it's unpatriotic

1051. Brian Thayer, Harrisonville, MO, 64701
I support strong net neutrality rules. Really. Like, really strong rules. Rules that make the net neutral.

1052. Jonathan Cambron, Independence, MO, 64055
I strongly advise that the current Title 2 statue for ISPs be upheld, anything less leaves us open to exchanging publicly accountable bureaucracy with a Privately managed and opaque bureaucracy which could negatively impact the American way of life

1053. Mike Butler, St. Louis, MO, 63146
I support strong net neutrality as per Title II, and I oppose Docket 17-108.

1054. Wayne Schiermeyer, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
We need to keep Title II classification/protection for internet traffic. The ISP's don't have the consumer's best interest at heart. They only think of their bottom line. With Monopolies/Oligopolies in control of internet access, we the people do NOT have the choice that is needed to let them make decisions for us.

1055. Jonathan Terry, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
I would like you to preserve Net Neutrality and keep the strong rules backed by Title II.

1056. Scott Lee, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
Please, please, please, restore net neutrality.

1057. title, St Louis, MO, 63104
I support the current regulations regarding net neutrality and oppose their alteration or repealment.

1058. William Scott Hardwicke, Springfield, MO, 65807
Please support Net neutrality with the use of Title II.

1059. net neutrality, Nixa, MO, 65714
I support strong regulation on free and open internet.

1060. Nicolas Holt, Glencoe, MO, 63038
Preserve net neutrality and the application of title ll of the 1934 Communications Act to Internet Service Providers (ISPs). I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title ll oversights of ISPs.

1061. Julie Corrigan, Cameron, MO, 64429
Net neutrality is important to myself and all others. Startup companies should have the same playing field as big corporations. ISPs and Congress have proven that they do not have the best interests of people at heart and so it should not be up to them to protect net neutrality. ISPs should still be governed under Title II.

1062. Scott Strathman, Rolla, MO, 65401
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.This seems to be a watered-down version of what happened several years ago with SOPA and PIPA. Companies like Verizon should not be allowed favoritism to their product or investment over any other available product. This is ridiculous that net neutrality is being challenged again, with companies like Verizon making subversive maneuvers to make their company more favorable.

1063. Grant Vosse, St. Louis, MO, 63103
Net neutrality protects smaller companies and allows them to compete against larger ones that have the money to pay off ISPs like Verizon. This country is tired of the corrupt organizations trying to take advantage of us.

1064. Anthony MacConnell, Springfield, MO, 65804
I strongly support strong regulations for ISP's by the FCC, particularly those under Article II, and would prefer to see far stricter regulations for the largest Internet Service Providers, such as Verizon, Dish, Comcast, and ISP's of their scale. Thank you for taking the time to acknowledge people want an internet that promotes equal access to content. I will in turn not ask for communities of bot makers to attempt to crash government sites by posting similar messages is all available forums.

1065. Kenneth Blind, St. Louis, MO, 63128
Please support net neutrality, and keep it scheduled under Title II. ISP's must be regulated so that the people who use the internet do not have to pay exorbitant prices for subpar services. Keeping the internet neutral is key to keeping a free society well informed. A well informed society will make well informed decisions, and we all will benefit from such a society. I am 100% opposed to docket 17-108.

1066. Greg Denny, Columbia, MO, 65101
FCC Chairman,The internet is not here for the profits of companies. This means strong net neutrality should be in place to protect the consumers from corporations just using Americans for profit. Understandably you would be against this due to you being a corporate lawyer for Verizon, but understand due to Google Fiber companies were forced to offer faster speeds and lower rates and completed that quickly. So don't say progress cant be made with net neutrality. Comcast shaped Netflix until Netflix was required to pay to increase speeds so people can stress in HD. Be for the people not against them.

1067. Brianne Serdar, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I very much support net neutrality. I do not believe individual ISP's should be able to implement paid prioritization and affect the access we as consumers have to various content on the internet. I also do not believe that they will be trustworthy enough to manage this on their own, government oversight through Title II is needed. If there is anything the housing crisis of 2008 taught us, it's that companies cannot be trusted to make decisions based on what is best for its consumers, they make decisions based on boosting their own profits, and we as a society will not suffer the consequences of this.

1068. William, Holt, MO, 64048
The FCC reclassifying internet access in order to suppress net neutrality will only harm American innovation and internet freedom. Small business growth will decline and corporations will stagnate. This is a no-win scenario.

1069. Matt Kimberlin, Springfield, MO, 65806
Net neutrality must be protected. Internet service providers must remain under the oversight of title II of the Federal Communications Act of 1934. We are a free country. We need to stay that way.

1070. Josh Eisenbath, St. Louis, MO, 63117
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am in strong opposition to Docket No. 17-108. Net Neutrality is essential to the continued success of small business innovation in the USA.

1071. Jordan Hauck, Saint Louis, MO, 63122
I am strongly opposed to docket 17-108. I support Net neutrality including Title II oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is essential to the freedom of internet users everywhere and MUST NOT be interfered with by corporate interests.

1072. William Wilkes, Independence, MO, 64055
support strong net neutrality backed by Title II

1073. Joshua Willis, Gladstone, MO, 64118
Do not remove the rules concerning Net Neutrality. We can not trust corporations like Time Warner, Comcast, and AT&T to police themselves. The internet should be accessible to everyone regardless of the ISP they use. We need Net Neutrality!

1074. Sean Seckler, Brentwood, MO, 63144
Don't undo strong net neutrality protections. ISPs should be highly regulated from a governmental body. Corporations cannot be trusted to self-regulate. Ajit Pai should resign.

1075. Jaymi Pennington, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
I am writing to urge the importance of keeping strong net neutralityÂ rules backed by Title II.

1076. Jonathan Crowell, Osage Beach, MO, 65065
I support net neutrality through Title II (Title 2) oversight of ISPs. Give the people what they want and stop catering to the wants of

massive greedy corporations!

1077. Dalton M Robbins, Springfield, MO, 65810
I oppose this proceeding and stand in favor of a neutral internet. Doing away with the internet's Title II classification would signal to ISPs that they are free and clear to engage in even more anti-consumer business practices, such as website throttling.Internet Service Providers have already established a borderline oligopoly in this country, the last thing I as a consumer and concerned citizen would like to see is our government give them yet another free pass to pursue aggressive anti-consumer practices.

1078. Brad Mongar, Kansas City, MO, 64153
I favor strong net neutrality rules. Rolling back title 2 protections leaves consumers vulnerable to manipulation of the internet experience.

1079. Kelley Huonker, St. Louis, MO, 63116
I am strongly AGAINST the "Restoring Internet Freedom" bill. I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108

1080. Robert Wehner, Ozark, MO, 65721
I strongly Urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality under title ii. Specifically, strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

1081. Eero Johnson, Kansas City, MO, 64145
I am in strong support of net neutrality under title II. Please protect citizens not corporations.

1082. Joshua Russell, Marshfield, MO, 65706
I support strong net neutrality protected by article II. Corporations can't be trusted to do the right thing.

1083. Joey Waitman, Springfield, MO, 65806
Ignoring Title II that protects consumers and net neutrality is a travesty. Don't let ISPs take control of how consumers use the web because that strips us of our freedom. Quit Sucking

1084. Net Neutrality, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I am in favor of strong Net Neutrality and strongly against the 17-108 "Restoring Internet Freedom".

1085. Benjamin Lee, Columbia, MO, 65201
The internet should continue to be regulated under Title 2 of the federal communications act of 1934. Any change to the ;current standing of the legislation would negatively impact the internet and greatly impact my day to day life. Net neutrality must be preserved for the future of this country.

1086. Daniel Lindy, Chaffee, MO, 63740
We need strong Net Neutrality rules under Article II! Please listen to the people and keep Net Neutrality.

1087. zak h, Troy, MO, 63379
Companies cannot be trusted to do what is fair to the people. We can't even trust our politicians to do so. Removing net neutrality is a bad idea.

1088. Net Neutrality, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
Net Neutrality is extremely important to the security of United States citizens' internet experience. Without it, we will lose our defense against ISP abuse against their customers.

1089. Daniel, St. Louis, MO, 63104
I am in favor of net neutrality. Please keep strong net neutrality rules in place backed by title II.

1090. Alyssa, St. Louis, MO, 63108
HelloI support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.The FCC should ensure that this protection is upheld, to protect US citizens.

1091. michael evans, St louis, MO, 63116
Please maintain net neutrality and title 2 oversight. These rules are important and shouldn't be taken lightly

1092. Paul Cary, Columbia, MO, 65201
Note: You are filing a document into an official FCC proceeding. All information submitted, including names and addresses, will be publicly available via the web.That's a REALLY interesting statement. The question is whether the information will be available at reduced speed or a regular speed. PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY!!!! Don't let corporations dictate the terms of usage anymore than they already do. Make neutrality mandatory, not voluntary. Protect my privacy and my speed.

1093. Travis Molleck, Troy, MO, 63379
Please leave the ISPs in Title 2. having them self police isn't actually an option seeing how most homes in America only have one ISP to choose from.

1094. net neutrality, St Louis, MO, 63125
Who the heck wants their internet behavior governed by who's paying charter the most money?

1095. Justin Cody Estes, Independence, MO, 64054
Access to a free and open internet is a freedom of speech. You cannot take this away. -The Founding Father's

1096. Nathan, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
Net Neutrality is important to me and should be important to every internet user. Net Neutrality allows internet users to research and freely view the information they are looking for and know that it is not being restricted by their provider.

1097. Steven A. Sanders, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
I specifically support strong network neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers (ISP's).

1098. William Riley, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I am in support of Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108. I, in no way, trust corporations to police themselves in the best interest of anyone but themselves.

1099. Michael McKain, Saint Ann, MO, 63074
Net neutrality should be protected. ISPs should not be able to throttle the speed of particular websites. This would be a dangerous precedent.

1100. Andromeda Titan, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight in regard to ISPs. Can you guys stop being complete greedy little shits and work for the American people and not for your wallets. Also, fuck you Ajit Pai (a disgrace to all Indians). And fuck Trump too.

1101. Gary A. Bell, Saint Louis, MO, 63131
Please do not rely on ISP's to self-regulate the independence of the free and open internet.

1102. Bill Potter, Ferguson, MO, 63135
Net Neutrality is vital to the survival of a free & unfettered internet.

1103. Michael Stuart, St. Robert, MO, 65584
I believe the Regulation of ISP's Should remain under Title II of the FCC Code. I do not trust them to Self Regulate, as they have not in the past, and have threatened companies like NetFlix.

1104. Sarah Hahn, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title ll. This is essentially important for the future of information access.

1105. Luke Monsees, Kansas City, MO, 64119
I support the classification of ISPs under Title II so Net Neutrality regulations remain enforceable. As a consumer, I want greater assurance that the internet remains freely accessible beyond the promises of corporations, and I strongly disagree with the arguments made by the new FCC chair. This proposal is a thinly-veiled attempt to enhance ISP conglomerates' profits at the expense of consumers and smaller businesses, and it contradicts Americans' interests.

1106. Jordan Roberts, Springfield, MO, 65804
It is imperative that Title II oversight of ISPs by the FCC is maintained to ensure that ISPs will not be given the option to influence the choices made by their customers online. We need to ensure continuing net neutrality. DO NOT remove Title II oversight.

1107. Jessica Lewis, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am strongly opposed to Docket No. 17-108 We do not need ISPs directing the needs of the consumers on the internet. All ISPs should be blocked from having the 'freedom' to restrict certain parts of the internet because there is literally nothing good (for the consumer) that they will do with this power. I am strongly opposed to Docket No. 17-108!

1108. Andrew Kohrs, Brentwood, MO, 63144
I am OPPOSED to 17-108 and SUPPORT ISP regulations from Title II

1109. Net Neutrality, Smithville, MO, 64089
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! DO NOT roll back to Title 1 oversight.

1110. Andrew Hackett, Rolla, MO, 65401
I am a strong supporter of Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is key to allowing internet based businesses to grow freely and removing Net Neutrality as it is now only prevents US based businesses from competing on an international level. I am strongly opposed Docket No. 17-108.

1111. Jonathon Daniels, Wellington, MO, 64097
I strongly support Net Neutrality and oppose these actions, DO NOT CHANGE OUR INTERNET FREEDOM... PLEASE DO NOT PERMIT THIS

1112. Jake Schnurbusch, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The internet is more of a utility than a privilege. Giving corporations the power and ability to throttle as they see fit is not only frightening, but a mistake. As someone who has ran a small WISP, this will only hurt the average American citizen. Please listen to the people and not whoever happens to be writing you a check.

1113. steve davis, kansas city, MO, 64154
I support strong net neutrality backed by tittle 2 oversight of ISPs. Preserve net neutrality and tittle 2

1114. William Maxfield, St. Louis, MO, 63128
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please keep these regulations.

1115. jacob Daley, Branson, MO, 65616
I support net neutrality and Title II. Please protect net neutrality and Title II.

1116. Klaudia Rejmer, Columbia, MO, 65201
The internet is the greatest source of information we have ever had access to. Access to the internet has become as necessary as electricity, water, and other utilities. We MUST protect net neutrality so that we can continue to freely access information that is necessary to our daily lives. Do not turn this powerful instrument into corporations' hands, Information should NOT be controlled by money interests, but rather by intellectual pursuits. Censoring the internet is a major step towards the dystopian societies we often read about, generally on the internet!

1117. Xander M Negozio, saint joseph, MO, 64501
I strongly support net neutrality via schedule two oversight of ISPs.

1118. mark kilburn, smithville, MO, 64089
I support title 2. fcc and free net neutrality

1119. Charles Dent, Wildwood, MO, 63005
The ISPs of this country should remain under Title II regulation for the good of the industry, as well as the future of the internet in its entirety. Deregulation will result in inate human greed taking over, ruining any small operation's chances at flourishing.

1120. Net Neutrality, St. Louis, MO, 63122
I support Title II classification for ISP providers and support Net Neutrality

1121. Patricia Brooks, St. Louis, MO, 63128
In 2015, after millions of us spoke out, the FCC put strong net neutrality rules in place. They protect the internet and make sure Americans can use it for speech, commerce and other important functions. Now you are trying to undo those rules and let providers manipulate our data to suit their corporate interest. I oppose the FCCs efforts to role back net neutrality and I urge you to stop this role back. America is the country of free speech but we also have a right to our freedom of choice. Stop this madness now. We are not in a communist country and cannot be treated as if we are; I am asking that you treat us fairly and not succumb to corporate interests.

1122. moore, St. Louis, MO, 63104
Please keep the internet neutral. It's our right as citizens!

1123. Stacy Wilhelm, Cameron, MO, 64429
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II .

1124. steven floyd, Saint peters, MO, 63376
Preserve title 2 net neutrality rules.

1125. Katie, Kirksville, MO, 63501
Net neutrality is essential to keeping the internet free. Our internet speed should not be influenced by companies' whims.

1126. Giovanni Leo, Marionville, MO, 65705
The internet is the greatest achievement ever made by humans. We should not have to be screwed by the ISP

1127. Patrick Enderle, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I support strong net neutrality backed by tittle two oversight of internet service providers.

1128. Allison Lind, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I writing to express my strong support of strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Thank you.

1129. Jake Angus, St. Louis, MO, 63129
I am in favor of strong net-neutrality!

1130. Liam Elias, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
I have seen many things pointing towards net neutrality being repealed and it really worries me. Repealing Net Neutrality Title Two will stiffle innovation and cause monopolies. Please take into consideration the many freedoms that may be limited by repealing Net Neutrality.

1131. Brenda Pallone, Kansas City, MO, 64113
I strongly support net neutrality and protection of such under title II

1132. John Tschampel, University City, MO, 63130
I strongly support net neutrality protected by Title II oversight of ISPs. Any rollback of such regulations endangers net neutrality and is only harmful to the public.

1133. Melanie Wilson, St. Charles, MO, 63303
I oppose changes to the current FCC proceedings regarding net neutrality.

1134. Beau Scaggs, Kansas City, MO, 64106
Hi,I do not support this proposed change. It would allow ISPs to directly influence how I experience the internet. That is a highly troubling proposition. Preserve net neutrality and title two.

1135. Dawn Wagner, St. Charles, MO, 63301
As someone who uses the Internet for work every day, my job would be immensely more difficult if my ISP is given the ability to throttle and otherwise control my Internet speed and delivery of websites based on their determination and profit margin. As a small business owner, this could effectively put me out of business. Simply saying "there's no evidence ISPs would do that" is woefully specious reasoning. Telecoms are not hurting by the looks of their quarterly and yearly results and they haven't lessened their investment in hardware due to being classified as Class II. I strongly urge the FCC to leave the classification as it is and retain net neutrality for the good of small business.

1136. Brian Holt, Columbia, MO, 65202
I support net neutrality and do not understand why anyone would not, barring personal financial gain. Any changes to the current status quo will only see more pushback when they are inevitably reversed. Do everyone a favor, and drop this one.

1137. Andrew Yawitz, St. Louis, MO, 63108
KEEP CURRENT RULES IN PLACE!!!!

1138. Richard Schuldt, Springfield, MO, 65810
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II and Have a great day!

1139. Austin Bond, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
Repealing Net Neutrality rules will only open the internet back up to big businesses hurting smaller businesses. Allowing Internet Service Providers to bully other services by throttling their speed in order to promote their own service.

1140. Wendy M Tharp, Springfield, MO, 65804
Keep Title II intact and untouched.

1141. Ryan Shropshire, Kansas City, MO, 64108
Donâ€™t destroy net neutrality. I deserve a free and open Internet with strong Title II rules. This will ensure that the flow of data is determined by the interests of Internet users, not the interests of Internet Service Providers. Thank you.

1142. Keith Brown, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
The FCC needs to help have strong Net Neutrality under Title II. Just because Ajit Pai claims there is no one abusing things now, doesn't mean they won't, or have plans to. As an employee of an ISP, I know there is plans to abuse things.

1143. Aaron Bi, Wildwood, MO, 63038
I support title II oversight of ISPs because i don't trust that ISPs will maintain net neutral because if their economic interests.

1144. George Ash, Columbia, MO, 65201
I vehemently oppose docket number 17-108 as it gives companies far too much power over consumers' access to free information. I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers.

1145. Joey Grow, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
the FCC should not make it easier for big companies to profit off my information. Should not be allowed to give companies access to data that can affect my potential careers. They should stand for the individual person's rights, not the corporations.

1146. Wesley Kerr, Nixa, MO, 65714
I am in favor of Strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs

1147. Aaron Bi, Wildwood, MO, 63038
I support title II oversight of ISPs because i don't trust that ISPs will maintain net neutral because of their economic interests.

1148. Ronald E. Grames, Florissant, MO, 63033
I wish to express my support for continuing to regulate ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I disagree with the Commission chairman's characterization of the situation prior to the changing of regulatory oversight authority, on his assessment of its affect on ISP investment in infrastructure, and with his assessment of the likelihood of industry imposing pay-for-access policies if the regulation is returned to Title I authority. Net Neutrality should continue to the goal of FCC regulation, and continuing to regulate ISPs under Title II is the best way to do this.

1149. Daniel Schoenig, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
I am in full support of Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. I am fully opposed to docket No. 17-108.

1150. Sheila Towns, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
I support the functions of Net Neutrality... please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Thank you."If it's not broke, don't fix it."

1151. net, Perryville, MO, 63775
I want my internet to be neutral.

1152. Yonny Astatke, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
Please perserve net neutrality and Title II. Restricting the internet is unconstitutional and a power-hungry move.

1153. Trevan Busby, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
It is imperative that we maintain Net Neutrality, and i think we can all agree that Ajit Pai needs a normal sized mug.

1154. Kevin Long, Arnold, MO, 63010
To whom it may concern,I support strong net neutrality backed by TITLE II oversight of ISP's. I strongly ask that you leave this policy intact and make no changes to it. We as citizens, need neutrality to insure that information flows in all directions in a free and unimpeded manner. Business has shown time and again that it will not act in the public's best interests unless regulated to do so.Thank you for your timeSincerely,Kevin Long

1155. Jake Peebles, Sullivan, MO, 63080
Please preserve the free and open internet. Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. There is enough corporate greed going around. don't give them any more.

1156. Gary Simpson, St Louis, MO, 63146
I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality backed by title II oversite of ISPs. Net neutrality is one of the foundations that the internet is built upon, and it should be protected by every available means.

1157. kenneth borkowski, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
Leave net neutrality alone. The ISPs don't need more money.

1158. Reagan Dugan, COLUMBIA, MO, 65203
I support strong net neutrality regulation with title II oversight of internet service providers.

1159. Joshua Trolley, Saint Louis, MO, 63124
Save Net Neutrality! Don't let the cable companies destroy the internet.

1160. Josh Byington, Barnhart, MO, 63012
We need real net neutrality. Protect internet users from monopolistic ISPs and don't let companies censor, slow down, or block websites while requiring other sites to pay for faster service. Please stand up for all Internet users and our right to communicate.As a small business owner, I cannot support any Internet that isn't 100% free and neutral.

1161. Tara, 63103, MO, 63103
I strongly support open and equal Internet and believe Net Neutrality rules should be under Title 2. I STRONGLY OPPOSE removing them from Title 2.

1162. Brian Steiner, Wildwood, MO, 63005
Support net neutrality! This shouldn't be controversial.

1163. Joshua M Cox, Kansas City, MO, 64138
We need to keep Title 2 if we want to keep net neutrality. It's that simple. Remove it from Communications Act of 1934 and you will be hurting not only the first amendment, but your pockets in the long run. History teaches us that the masses always win; and when it comes to something as necessary as the internet for this day and age, the masses will rise. They will find an internet provided that is willing to be open, even if they cost more. Soon, all major players will be forced to change or go under.

1164. Aaron Mohabbat, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
Please keep Title II and preserve Net Neutrality for fairness in the internet and to promote the market place of ideas.

1165. william morris, st. louis, MO, 63146
I strongly support net neutrality by Title II oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is a right of the consumer.

1166. Jim, Cabool, MO, 65689
As a citizen of the United States and the open internet I believe that the attempt to take ISP's from Title I to Title II may only be the result of the truly malicious or the truly ignorant. While, yes, it is possible to regulate the industry under Title I to do so would require an act of Congress, and because of the depressing Post-Legislative America we live in I feel it would be grossly stupid to remove the added protection of Title II until AFTER more protection can be added by congress.The fantastical complaint that Title II unduly burdens the ISP industry simply makes me laugh, since it is not only nonsensical but also acceptable. Even in the Loony-Tunes universe where that charge holds water I personally feel that a moderate strain on the growth of the ISP industry is a fair price to pay to ensure that the Free and Open Web stays that way.The Internet has offered us what is perchance the single most powerful tool in curing the many ills of our democracy, and what the capitalistically minded ISP's are trying to do poisons the World Wide Web to its core. Therefore it is of the utmost importance that, until the Legislative branch can get its head out of its ass, Title II stays in effect with regard to ISP's.

1167. penny collins, St peters, MO, 63376
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. And the FCC has a serious conflict of interest with a lawyer from Verizon heading this government agency!

1168. Blake, St Louis, MO, 63103
We need an open and equal internet. Net neutrality is important. Do not allow internet access to be restricted in any way!

1169. John Ordono, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. It is important to keep ISPs from controlling how we interact with the internet. It's important that they do not have the ability to slow down different websites in order to prioritize their own or those who they have deals with.

1170. Eric Bordeleau, Paris, MO, 65275
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Please consider how rural communities with few ISP options will

be affected if ISP's are allowed to throttle speeds and filter content of the internet. Thank You.

1171. Nicolas Hart Porter, Belton, MO, 64012
Internet Service Providers need to stay in Title II of the Communication Act. Net Neutrality is important to every internet user. Trusting Corporations to regulate themselves will only hurt the consumers in the long run.

1172. Shawn Helmsing, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
I support net neutrality back by Article II

1173. Joseph North, Liberty, MO, 64068
I very much wish to see existing Net Neutrality, as it currently exists under Section II, affirmed. Guaranteeing equal speed of delivery to different websites outside of ISP influence is a clear first amendment, freedom of speech, issue. Additionally it will give larger established companies internet advantage over smaller startups, harming consumers. I understand that there will be continual efforts by ISP lobbyists or those now in government but previously employed by ISP's to monetize what websites people can most readily reach. But as a citizen and taxpayer I fully expect our FCC to stand watch against any efforts to impinge upon Net Neutrality and am appalled that the new head of the FCC (a former Verizon employee) is leading an attempt to impinge upon American citizens' first amendment rights.

1174. Michael L Lanahan, Independence, MO, 64055
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Regulation keeps companies from overstepping.

1175. Trevor Byington, Carthage, MO, 64836
I am adamantly for keeping Internet Service Providers as a title 2 service. Please do not put net neutrality in unnecessary jeopardy.

1176. Andrew Ewing, Columbia, MO, 65203
Don't get rid of net neutrality, ding dongs.

1177. Craig Towerman, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I want to express my strong support of continued establishment and enforcement of Net Neutrality. Please do not return to the original scheme which Verizon successfully challenged. Companies should not be able to get preferential treatment by or through ISPs.

1178. Sam Grinter, Kansas City, MO, 64106
I support the existing Net Neutrality rules; please do not remove regulations that classify internet service providers as common carriers under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. Thank you for your time.

1179. James Brandt, Fenton, MO, 63026
Please uphold strong net neutrality and continue to oversee internet service providers with authority from Title 2 of the Communications Act.

1180. Emily Rion, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
I strongly support net neutrality by keeping ISPs regulated under Title II. Free and unbiased internet is fundamental to our freedom.

1181. Timm Yamnitz, Kansas City, MO, 64106
I urge the FCC to maintain the existing net neutrality rules preventing preferential treatment of content. I also urge the FCC to continue classiflying ISPs as common carriers under Title II. Thank you for your time.

1182. Jonathan Gomez, St Louis, MO, 63102
Ajit Pai is a stooge for Verizon that is willing to destroy the open internet for better stock options. Ajit Pai can eat a bag of dicks.

1183. Ian Tang, Columbia, MO, 65201
"Government of the people, by the people, for the people, shall not perish from the earth."Internet is the only place we can choose ourselves to not dealing with the shit that we are facing everyday. It is also the only place that every creation will have a equal opportunity to be seen, and go viral. Now the cable companies are asking for more power in order to auction every other human beings right for equal access. That is an inordinate or insatiable longing. As secular psychological concept, that is an inordinate desire to acquire or possess more than one needs.To be honest, I don't even know who I am talking to. And I'm pretty sure this comments will not be seen. But still I will say to this meaningless world: there still are things worth fighting for, people worth living for and nobody should carry the weight of the world alone.

1184. Travis Jenkins, Lake St Louis, MO, 63367
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality supported by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

1185. Brianna Privett, Camden, MO, 64017
Access to the internet should be treated as a basic utility like electricity and water. Please uphold net neutrality.

1186. Joe Wang, Columbia, MO, 65203
You're a POS Chairman Pai

1187. Steven Sharpe, St. Louis, MO, 63122
The preservation of net neutrality backed by title II oversight is of the utmost importance to hundreds of millions of U.S. citizens, as well as myself. This government has done too much harm since Jan 20, 2017!

1188. Shane Linton Robertson, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am in strong opposition to Docket No. 17-108!In the

world today, the internet is a necessary utility for people to keep up with life. If you put restrictions on the internet, restricts our freedoms. It's comparable to restricting electricity in one's house depending on what appliance they use

1189. Marilyn Mroz, Kansas City, MO, 64153
I urge you to retain Net Neutrality in Title II (2) Two. Together Title II and Section 706 support clear rules of the road, providing the certainty needed for innovators and investors, and the competitive choices and freedom demanded by consumers.The Open Internet rules went into effect on June 12, 2015. They are ensuring consumers and businesses have access to a fast, fair, and open Internet.Do not allow this to be rolled back.

1190. Net Neutrality, Columbia, MO, 65201
Do cable companies take you dinner one at a time and then bang you or do they pass you around like some coked up whore and bang you at once, filling any hole they can?For fucks sake, American overwhelmingly support Net Neutrality. Do your damn jobs and protect the open internet. To the "counter-argument" that ISPs are being hampered because of the Title II regulation, is false. "To be real clear, this does not influence in the way we invest. We are going to invest in our networks and nothing will really influence us at all". -Francis Shammo CFO Verizon

1191. Lynne Booth, New Franklin, MO, 65274
Please maintain net neutrality. I know that you are getting much encouragement from corporate entities to end it, but it should remain as it is. The will of the people should be more important than the corporations that want control.Sincerely,Lynne Booth

1192. Tully Jay, West dennis, MO, 63131
Keep title 2. For strong net neutrality. If ww3 breaks out cause of trump I don't want to die looking at a buffering screen, because of trump (and the idiot running the FCC).

1193. Nick Covert, Rolla, MO, 65401
I absolutely support strong net neutrality and believe that isp's should stay under title 2 classification.

1194. Andy Leeser, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
I support strong net neutrality backed by strong oversight of title 2!!!!!

1195. Paul Edward Vienahge, Springfield, MO, 65809
I strongly oppose changing the regulatory framework on internet service providers away from Title Two regulations. The innovation that the internet created was based around freedom of access and the competition that net neutrality allows creates jobs and economic activity. Without the regulation under title two the internet is threatened, it would frankly be quite stupid to deregulate in this way. Thank you.

1196. Justin Scott, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
As somebody who has worked as a Network Engineer for over a decade. I understand the value of Title II Common Carrier coverage for ISPs. This prevents ISPs, most of which are a small arm of a larger media provider, from self-dealing over the internet. This stifles innovation, and hurts businesses, both small and large. There may be an appropriate "third way" solution, but asking the industry to self-regulate is a fool's errand. Keep Title 2 protections and worth with the industry to create an alternative that serves customers, businesses, and ISPs - then repeal and replace.

1197. Andrew Jozwiakowski, Kansas City, MO, 64108
I am absolutely against the "Restoring Internet Freedom" act (docket No. 17-108), this is a thinly disguised attempt to only give the ISPs more "freedom" to build stronger monopolies. I am in support of strong net neutrality laws backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The internet is a resource of the modern world that needs to be managed as such, just as power is today.

1198. Andrew Bonney, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Plz don't take my internets they all I has left

1199. Francisco Ruiz, Nixa, MO, 65714
I honestly believe that if a decision leads to greater profit, and itâ€™s not illegal to make that decision, many businesses will opt for said decision, rather than voluntarily acting for the public good. It would be against the tacit agreement with their shareholders to do anything else. Thus, taking away the protection the government currently provides and "trusting" businesses to voluntarily do the right thing flies in the face of logic.

1200. James Miers, Kansas City, MO, 64108
I see absolutely no good reason to change the way the internet is currently functioning. All you are wanting to do here is to all Big Business companies to dictate who and what uses the internet. This is a utility just like gas, water and electricity and needs to be regulated as such. Drain the swamp!

1201. Jake Eldridge, St. Louis, MO, 63129
Getting rid of net neutrality is a terrible idea that will have a negative impact on the community on the internet. Large corporations will have the ability to influence ISPs with money and make the internet have more restrictions.

1202. David Cook, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
I believe in the current laws for net neutrality

1203. John Moore, Columbia, MO, 65201
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. And that big mug is lame.

1204. Brett Bartrum, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
The largest ISP's here in America has been given tax payer dollars to expand their networks, they have been given government sponsored monopolies in many areas of the country, now the traitor to the American people Ajit Pai wants to deregulate these monopolies for even further corporate gains. This is a clear conflict of interests on Ajit's behalf due to his previously role at Verizon. I'm asking for Ajit Pai to resign and for the FCC keep the ISP's under Title II regulation.

1205. Anay Bilolikar, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
I fully support net neutrality and a free and open internet WITH TITLE 2 classification and oversight for ISPs. Do NOT undo Title 2 oversight of ISPs

1206. Justin Pero, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
That mug is dumb, Recess is overrated. Keep the internet fair.

1207. Phillip Speth, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I am opposed to any changes to Net Neutrality rules that would ease restrictions on companies placed during Obama's presidency. Relying on their good nature to treat all traffic the same is ludicrous. Companies should only be allowed to route traffic to end users at the speed those end users paid them to do. This is a utility and should be treated as such.If companies then choose not to invest in their network they will be usurped by companies willing to do so.

1208. Denny Kramer, Columbia, MO, 65202
I want the FCC to strongly enforce the net neutrality rules proved under a title II guidelines. I don't care if it hinders the ISP's bottom line. They can afford it. I want my privacy and my internet protected from big business trying to squeeze every penny out of every customer they have access to.

1209. Jorge Lagos, st.louis, MO, 63103
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I urge you to maintain this neutrality and keep the internet fair for all.Thanks,Jorge Lagos

1210. John Tideman, Columbia, MO, 65201
Protect enforced Net Neutrality under Title II

1211. Samantha Jane Blessing Klotz, Saint louis, MO, 63110
I support strong net neutrality legislation and keeping ISPs under Title 2 jurisdiction.

1212. Angie Brisse, Boonville, MO, 65233
The idea of net neutrality is one which is worth fighting for.

1213. Christopher Larsen, Saint Peters, MO, 63303
The internet is a critical component of our economy, and as such all corners of our economy should have access to it in a legally enforced non-discriminatory fashion to ensure that the next Google, Uber, or Facebook can provide jobs and boost the country's economic output. Just like phone calls, water, electricity or our roads the internet is a communal resource which should provide its services in a non-discriminatory fashion and consequently must be regulated as a utility in a modern technologically advanced nation.Title II categorization of Internet Service providers is critical to ensuring incumbent and virtually monopolistic companies can not prevent competition and innovation.

1214. Brian, St. Louis, MO, 63112
I am writing is support of the current net neutrality rules through Title II legislation.

1215. Will Clark, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I specifically support and demand strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.

1216. Barbara Funk, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Net neutrality is very important and must be protected. The FCC needs to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

1217. Maureen Calhoun, Columbia, MO, 65203
I strongly support current net neutrality laws. I believe that without them, I will have very little protections as a consumer.

1218. Alexandra Wehrman, St. Louis, MO, 63118
Please keep ISPs classified under Title II companies. A free an open internet for everyone is integral to all of our lives on the internet.

1219. Patricia Sents, Lees Summit, MO, 64086
Net neutrality contributes to a fair playing arena for all business--including start ups. I understand US subscribers pay multiples of up to 10x the cost to our European peers, and have slower service. We need neutrality.

1220. Cory Schmidt, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I agree with strong net neutrality, and do not want ISPs to have the ability and legal right to throttle or otherwise block data I would like to access on the internet. I believe ISPs should remain classified as Title II organizations, and regulated as such.

1221. Derek Sinn, Grain Valley, MO, 64029
Net Neutrality is important and the FCC should keep in place strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight. It is not fair for my Internet Service Provider (ISP) to â€˜favorâ€™ certain content over others if I only have one choice for an ISP where I live.

1222. Ryan McCabe, O'Fallon, MO, 63366

Discontinuing net neutrality is a mistake that will lead to higher internet prices and inferior service to what we already have.

1223. Daniel Thompson, Kirksville, MO, 63501
Net neutrality represents the perfect ideal of what America should be. Freedom from corruption, tyranny and corporate greed. It must be protected at ALL COSTS. Do not give in to the corporate profiteers and monsters of wall street. Think of the citizens for a change instead of the endless stream of lobbyists and special interests!

1224. James Cary, Columbia, MO, 65201
Please preserve strong net neutrality rules and keep it under Title II. The internet needs to be classified as a public utility, free and open to all citizens. This is vital to our democracy.

1225. Chris Terrill, St Louis, MO, 63139
Please continue to ensure net neutrality. Providers of internet service should have no right to determine the speed or availability of access my costumers have when trying to deal with my business. Regulation is necessary to prevent corporate bullying and to encourage competition and innovation. Thank you for your consideration.

1226. Chris Sinner, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
Please keep the net neutrality laws how they are. I believe this would be a very dangerous road we would be heading down.

1227. Matthew Gier, Smithville, MO, 64089
We SPECIFICALLY support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. ISPs being responsible for maintaining standards that aren't in their best interest as an individual corporation but ARE in the best interests of a free and open means of communication is the best way to maximize internet corruption at a government level.

1228. Nathan Buttry, St. Clair, MO, 63077
I definitely support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of internet service providers.

1229. Ethan Parker, Farmington, MO, 63640
Keep all ISP's under Title 2 regulations in order to preserve net neutrality. Also, break up the regional monopolies of the ISP's by requiring them to compet more

1230. Curry Spray, Rolla, MO, 65401
As a future computer engineer, I fully support net neutrality. As someone who will be building and regulating our country's network infrastructure, specifically for healthcare needs, over the next few years, if not the rest of my life, it is crucial to have firm regulations on net neutrality to provide a fair chance for everyone in this technological world.

1231. Andrew Spector, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I am in support of Net Neutrality and therefore strongly opposed to docket 17-108.

1232. David Draper, St. Louis, MO, 63111
I do not agree with the rolling back or deregulation of any policy that might allow for breaches in net neutrality. The standard of net neutrality is what allows for honest and unhindered competition in the online market and a breach in this matter would not only infringe upon the viability of start ups, but could easily then devolve into a repression of free speech. If you can decide what people can access online, you can remove things that you disagree with. Net neutrality is the bastion of American values in the post modern era.

1233. Peter Nagel, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
I strongly support net neutrality and believe it should be regulated under title 2. Please, please, please don't let it go unregulated. We do not live in a purely free market society, our markets are regulated, and rightly so. If you do not protect net neutrality, I fear that ISP will become the modern robber barons, looking only to make profit, and treating consumers extremely unfairly. As a typical young person, I do not have cable or antenna TV service. I rely entirely on internet streaming for my entertainment. ISPs ability to slow down certain content would greatly affect my way of life. Please, please, please regulate net neutrality under title 2!

1234. Shane McElwayne, Springfield, MO, 65807
I don't understand something. Instead of making the rules more fair and balanced, why is Ajit Pai trying to give his former employer an unfair advantage?

1235. Samuel Schafer, Ofallon, MO, 63366
Keep ISP under public utility or else we will come for you and the ISP CEOs

1236. Restoring Internet Freedom, Kansas City, MO, 64157
Please Support STRONG Net Neutrality. Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.

1237. Evan Lewis, Saint Louis, MO, 63122
We all know that this is just a power grab for ISPs that in no way benefits the people. Also, this sets a dangerous precedent for future regulation of internet both in the US and worldwide. I'm 100% against this proceeding. It would be disastrous and every informed person knows this. So, don't go forward with this proceeding.

1238. Matthew Burch, Rolla, MO, 65401
There is absolutely no need to reverse course on net neutrality.

1239. Bill Lewis, Kansas City, MO, 64118
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. The internet should be a level playing field for EVERYBODY, and powerful corporations and special interests should not hold an advantage over the average citizen.

1240. net neutrality, kirkwood, MO, 63122
I strongly support strict net neutrality laws because it is necessary for an open and equal internet.

1241. Robert Bacon, Kansas City, MO, 64117
I STRONGLY SUPPORT Net Neutrality backed by Title II. Internet providers should not be given an opportunity to slow down or speed up user content.

1242. Chad McLaurin, Columbia, MO, 65202
The internet is one of few frontiers that still holds promise for monied and non-monied interests alike. Monied interests already command a significant advantage given their marketing and technology pools, and already weigh heavily on the content provided in the internet - if even through advertising. To give them the additional benefit of technical priority at the expense of smaller non-monied interests will chill the exchange of ideas and participation in the greatest communications medium the world has ever known. This is likely the desired effect some seek. Granting providers the right to throttle bandwidth will effectively turn this public utility into yet another for-pay echo chamber of content and access. The threat to marginalized positions will be further marginalized so that monied-interests may purchase additional privilege for no better reason than to make their jobs easier. Should this become a new norm for business, what happens when this privilege has run its course and provides no benefit of its own? A great public utility will have been exploited - once again - for capital interests at the expense of its least capable citizenry.Please maintain neutrality in access and let meritocracy have a chance in matters of free and accessible speech.

1243. Teresa Tye, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
I support strong net neutrality. Please don't soften the language in Title II.

1244. Paul Werth, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
As a U.S. citizen, I support the FCC regulations as they currently stand relating to net neutrality (i.e., Title II). Please do not undermine equality of access to information and content via the public internet.

1245. Tara, Springfield, MO, 65810
I support net neutrality under Title 2. Corporations can't be trusted to keep the internet free and open by themselves, and the FCC needs the ability to crack down and regulate any intrusion. The internet is for everyone, not just the rich!

1246. Ron Kraettli, Hermann, MO, 65041
Maintain Net Neutrality regulations and Title 2. This protects the citizens from the big ISP's who have exercised questionable ethics in the past.

1247. Mike McDonough, Belton, MO, 64012
I would like to express that I am strongly in favor of the FCC preserving strong Net Neutrality and Title II.

1248. Jessica Sellers, Columbia, MO, 65201
The internet should stay free and equal. I support strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II. Thank you.

1249. Ronald Zimmermann, St. Louis, MO, 63123
Ajit Pai, keep your grubby corporate hands off Net Neutrality. Leave it as is under Title II. You may think your phony smile and lame reasoning are fooling us. I was born at night, but is wasn't LAST night.

1250. William Green, Maryville, MO, 64468
We demand Internet Freedom!!!!

1251. Bonnie Page, St. Charles, MO, 63303
Keep ISP providers under Title II restrictions to maintain Net Neutrality.

1252. Mitchell B., Nixa, MO, 65714
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. Net Neutrality is extremely important in keeping oligopolies such as AT&T and Verizon becoming Monopolies.

1253. Allan Crain, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II protections. The Internet represents a historic leap forward for civilization, and the free and open exchange of ideas on it is far too important to be allowed to be co-opted by businesses that would wish to promote (or hinder) one set of services over another. If there *is* a way for a removal of net neutrality rules to be abused for profit, it *will* be abused for profit; if not by the current crop of Internet Service Providers and telecommunications companies, than by future ones.

1254. Jacob Kraus, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
I am writing today to say that I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversite of ISPs.

1255. Ambrose Campbell, St. Louis, MO, 63111
I support strong net neutrality. Preserve Title 2, preserve the fuck out of it.

1256. Tegen Gillen, Lebanon, MO, 65536
I would just like to air my thoughts on Net Neutrality. I think that the government should be sure to keep Title II to allow the free and fair use of the internet.

1257. Ross Jameson, Lake tapawingo, MO, 64015
I support net neutrality don't fuck with it I don't think you realize how powerful the internet is when we work together

1258. Tyler King, St. Louis, MO, 63101
I strongly support net neutrality and oppose this so-called "Restoring Internet Freedom" initiative.I am a small business owner and rely on my customers being able to freely access my website. Without net neutrality, there will be nothing stopping ISPs from partnering with entrenched companies to create insurmountable barriers for small businesses like mine. Ending net neutrality would jeopardize the ability for small businesses to compete, and it would handicap our country's ability to benefit from the most powerful economic tool in the world (the internet).

1259. Isidra Martin, Independence, MO, 64055
Just another way for the government to a hold of the lower class business. For shame.

1260. John Herberger, Chesterfield, MO, 63038
Stop trying to screw the people. Keep internet free and don't leave it in the hands of the ISP's to police themselves. I know the chair will get a nice golden parachute from his "former" employer for letting them throttle data that doesn't pay them enough, but oddly enough, that isn't good for the citizenry of the country, or the world.

1261. Brian Barcus, St. Peters, MO, 63376
Net Neutrality needs to be kept in place. Do not kill it.

1262. Graham Robinson, Liberty, MO, 64068
I would like to express my support for a free and open internet, and by achieving that by keeping internet service providers under title II

1263. Eric Zigler, Brentwood, MO, 63144
I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108 and believe that Internet Service Providers should remain regulated under Title II.

1264. Christopher, Ashland, MO, 65010
Just leave the net neutrality rules alone.

1265. D, Kansas City, MO, 64106
Dear Mr Secretary, Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2. Thanks!

1266. Tyler Kirby, St. Louis, MO, 63130
Weakening Net Neutrality by no longer protecting it under Title II provisions will allow ISPs such as Verizon to throttle innovation and development by throttling internet access. Since in many areas these ISPs have effective monopolies over internet access, there is no free market argument to be made here. Historical they have shown that even with full control over the market and little competition, they do not invest in infrastructure. Even the CEO of Verizon admitted that the FCC ruling in 2015 does not affect Verizon's infrastructure spending, which is already awful and shameful.

1267. Jackson Chandler, COlumbia, MO, 65203
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am strongly opposed to Docket No. 17-108. This should not pass under any circumstances, ISPs must stay under the Title II classification.

1268. Jeremy Kane, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II so that ISPs don't get to pick what you do, what you watch, what you read, or how you search for information on the internet or on your phone.

1269. Restoring Internet Freedom, Kansas City, MO, 64157
Please Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II - I support this, and so should you

1270. George Elliott, Ashland, MO, 65010
Net neutrality should be upheld as a right. A new bread company can lower its price and get into a grocery chain. A new internet company has no such capability. Perhaps, if a provider is experiencing a certain percentage traffic from a company then that company should no longer be covered by net neutrality and be able to be slowed, not sped up, just slowed. But, all small providers of content and web stores should experience Net neutrality to foster web freedom which will be more important than freedom of the press.

1271. susan snyder, st charles, MO, 63301
I specifically strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of IS.

1272. Dane Smitley, Grandview, MO, 64030
Keep net neutrality backed by Title II. Despite the spin being attempted on this idea, we consumers know far better than to believe that this change being proposed by the FCC is in our best interests. Do not let greed put you on the wrong side of history, and KEEP NET NEUTRALITY AND OUR FREE AND OPEN INTERNET BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISPs.Consumers aren't as dim-witted as some people think, and a lot of us are getting VERY upset over this nonsense.

1273. Mark, Springfield, MO, 65807
I'm writing in support of "strong net neutrality" and retaining Title II. Furthermore I believe the access to internet and knowledge in general should be treated as and is a human right. Not only should you avoid any ruling the would hinder in any way, access to the internet, but you should invest in more access for all citizens (specifically a cloud).

1274. Sudhir Avirneni, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Please keep the net neutrality alive. Our personal info will be out there, and the internet providers are sure to throttle the smaller company websites.

1275. Hallie Brychel, St. Louis, MO, 63146

The internet as we have it now allows for all people in the United States to have access to any information they would want and this is key for education, awareness, and freedom. If this aspect is removed it will limit people to things they want and will be upsetting for all U.S. Citizens and completely goes against the freedom we so highly pride ourselves on in this country. We would like net neutrality! It is so important in this age and it would be a shame to see this change. Please listen to the people(!!) of the country. Thank you

1276. Chris Martin, Creve Coeur, MO, 63141
Keep Net Neutrality! Keep iSP's in Title 2!!!!!

1277. Charlotte Schaefer, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
Do not get rid of net neutrality. The playing field needs to be level in this space, not set-up to favor big business...again.

1278. Aaron Speir, Springfield, MO, 65807
I want it to be known that I am against this docket. I support net neutrality and the Title II oversight of ISP's. This docket is against the spirit of the internet and also against the spirit of competition and the free market. Please do not give in to the lobbying of large corporations.

1279. Allison Jachimowicz, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Please preserve a strong Net Neutrality and Title II Oversight. Duh.

1280. Srdjan Grubor, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Changing net neutrality rules from their current status will enable companies to negatively influence business' access to customers which is the basis of free market systems that we are trying to uphold. By making these changes, the risks of market manipulation increase exponentially with no gain in overall value to the system itself so they are effectively an objectively negative move by FCC's part. I would personally highly advise against going this route which will stifle competition and increase monopolization of markets using the Internet as their avenue to customers.

1281. Joshua Fee, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
I am very much in strong support of net neutrality under the guidelines of title II.

1282. Madeline Burkemper, Kansas City, MO, 64112
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. I am against any threat to net neutrality.

1283. Evan Hunt, Columbia, MO, 65201
I don't know who reads this, but my only public interface, however one sided, with your organization is with its head, Mr. Ajit Pai. So for the sake of convenience I will be addressing him.Mr. Pai,I know that your friends at Verizon and Comcast and ATT say that the internet is a luxury. I know because they shove it down my throat every chance they get. The truth of the matter, however, is that the internet is NOT a luxury. It is a necessity for every student, every parent, and every human being who knows that knowledge is itself a right. And, as a right, the internet MUST be preserved and net neutrality MUST be protected. Because of this, I strongly and wholeheartedly support net neutrality and Title II reform. If you take actions against it, I will take action against you, the companies you claim to regulate, and the administration that you represent. Let me be clear: This is a threat -- not one of violence, but action. I will oppose you in whatever legal ways I can. And there are many more like me.Thank you

1284. NATE LEDGERWOOD, Cassville, MO, 65625
Come on. This is still America, right! I advocate for strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. This is so past ridiculous it's not funny anymore.

1285. Arlen Morrison, Kansas City, MO, 64130
Keep Net Neutrality protected under Title II. Guaranteeing equal access to the internet is what makes the internet great. Even if it does slow down broad band development (which is available in nearly all regions of the country) internet as an equal playing field promotes the free market and gives small businesses equal footing with telecom giants.

1286. Daniel, Kansas City, MO, 64153
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I strongly oppose Docket No. 17-108! Allowing companies to pay for a bigger pipeline kills creativity and innovation!

1287. Daniel Meglan, St. Louis, MO, 63112
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers (ISPs).I support net neutrality and strongly condemn the ability of ISPs to favor certain users (whether corporations, private citizens, or other institutions/organizations) when it comes to internet speed and availability. I believe access to the internet has become so essential to modern life that any hindrance of equal access to the internet is a human rights violation.

1288. Dugan Marieb, St. Louis, MO, 63105
In the case of 17-108 and "Net Neutrality" I must request that the Title II designation for ISPs remain in place as deregulation of this industry could prove dangerous. Even if the major companies in this industry commit to a "Open Internet", there is no garuntee of that unless this statue remains in place. The 287 million Americans who use the internet will not be forgiving to the FCC or current administration if these rules are changed and they see the landscape of the internet change because of that.

1289. Kevin B Kostos, Saint Louis, MO, 63034
As a professional in the IT field, I am concerned that the protections which are currently in place will be weakened if we change the way they are enforced without an immediate replacement. As most areas of the country do not have a competitive internet service provider market there will be little to stop these companies from imposing on the rights of their consumers for profit.I would fully support new regulations ONLY if it guarantees the same or better protections. The internet is a basic human right and a cornerstone of a functional

society -- allowing corporations even the opportunity to exploit it's customers for monetary gain is unacceptable.

1290. Weston Ferguson, Centertown, MO, 65023
Do not change net neutrality rules for any reason at any time.

1291. Morgan Elliott, Gladstone, MO, 64119
Please safeguard net neutrality by keeping title II intact! America needs this to stay great! Don't let this safeguard be destroyed!

1292. Karen Conley, Kansas City, MO, 64113
I am writing to express my support for Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II (two) oversight of ISPs.A change back to Title I would be a loss for true net neutrality. The internet is a powerful tool for all, and "voluntary" good behavior by ISPs is fiction.Thank you.

1293. Ryan Kinder, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
The internet has always been a free and open source and it should not be changed or we are no better than china or any other country that censors freedom of speech. This is a direct violation of our constitutional rights and during this already bad time in our country will cause tensions to grow and worsen more so than they already have. This would allow companies to directly censor particular websites which or applications which they have already been known to do in the past. They would be able to throttle and control internet speeds and it will not be stood for. Do not let this legislation past into the gray murky area which it is going. Keep Title 2 alive and protecting the peoples rights.

1294. Nathan Leise, liberty, MO, 64068
protect net neutrality by leaving isps as title 2

1295. Kathryn Moorefield, ash grove, MO, 65604
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It is important that all have a level playing field and access to all venues. Thank you.

1296. Andrew Stoner, Kansas City, MO, 64111
As a staunch supporter of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. As such, I strongly oppose 17-108 ironically named "Restoring Internet Freedom". The misleading double-speak is such an obvious attempt to mislead US citizens the creators of 17-108 should be ashamed of themselves. I believe in the American principle of equality for all and allowing ISP's to sell priority access to the internet is an attack on our way of life. Thank you for your time and consideration.

1297. Devin Blankenship, Joplin, MO, 64801
It is my opinion that ISPs should be regulated as utilities because the services that they provide are essential for the average citizen to engage in society. In my case, there is only one ISP that has the infrastructure that permits video streaming, hence they have no true competition (like the electric company).

1298. Ian Hamilton, Kansas City, MO, 64134
I am writing in support of Net Neutrality. I strongly disagree with changing the rules from Title II to Title I. There is no rational basis for changing Net Neutrality rules. Do not do so. Focus on expanding access rather than reducing it. Please do a better job at representing the American Consumer. ISP's do not need your help. We do. Please do a better job. Thank you.

1299. Brett Sinn, Springfield, MO, 65803
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs and will make sure I keep an eye on my representatives that vote with me/against me.

1300. Nicholas Gemoules, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Ajit Pai, I strongly support a strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. This is very important for all who utilize the internet and keeping it a fair level playing ground for all. Thank you

1301. Heather Edwards, Excelsior Springs, MO, 64024
America is changing, no longer "The Land Of The Free." Thank you cowards, for bringing us down and destroying our rights for your own financial gain.

1302. Guy C Quargnenti, Arnold, MO, 63010
I strongly support Net Neutrality. Taking this away from internet users is a careless and dangerous slippery slope. I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

1303. Kathrine Box, Garden City, MO, 64747
Please retain net neutrality by keeping cable and large internet companies under title 2

1304. Kimberly Faulhaber, Saint Louis, MO, 63143
Please preserve net neutrality. Thank you for considering my comments.

1305. Jamison Cochran, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I support strong enforcement of net neutrality rules backed by Title II government over site of ISP's. Many of these ISP's can not be trusted to govern themselves as they have shown so many times already, so rules MUST be in place to protect users. The internet is no longer a luxury and has become a necessity for most people. I am very opposed to Docket No. 17-108, the "Restoring Internet Freedom" act!

1306. ieva swanson, Saint Louis, MO, 63132
I'd like the FCC to maintain strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Thank you.

1307. Brad Vonder Haar, Clayton, MO, 63105
I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am against any measure, such as the so-called "Restoring Internet Freedom" proceeding, which would weaken net neutrality and allow ISPs to give preferential treatment to any website. This measure is not in the best interest of the public and would cripple the innovation and level playing field that has allowed the internet to become the amazing tool that we have today.

1308. Net Neutrality, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
I, like many others, specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

1309. Joe Dobbins, Arnold, MO, 63010
Preserve Net Neutrality & Title 2 please. What you are doing isn't the right thing to do. Thanks

1310. Ryan Sieli, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I support strong net-neutrality rules and vehemently oppose ISP discrimination of webpages based on payoffs from corporations.

1311. Denton Shanks, kansas city, MO, 64158
Please preserve strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you~

1312. Richard Henretta, Osage Beach, MO, 65065
I support strong net neutrality under title II. As we have seen in times past, the ISP have tried many times to violate these standard principles the internet was founded on. Comcast forced payments from netflix, who are their competitors for television. Until the ISPs are broken up and no longer have monopolies over discrete regions, they will continue to violate net neutrality for profit, and we as the consumer have no recourse.

1313. Dillon Henry, Kansas City, MO, 64112
Net neutrality must remain a title II utility

1314. Sam Abbott, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63703
I am strongly in favor of strict net neutrality regulations under Title II.

1315. Rachael Waddell, Kansas City, MO, 64117
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversights of ISPs. Title II is the strongest and most legal ground for protecting net neutrality. The idea of having an open internet without Title II is optimistically naive. Your alternate suggestion for having companies offer net neutralities in their Terms of Service agreement is laughable because they can change or take away those protections at any time. Most big companies only worry about customer service and rights when ignoring them gets the ISP in legal trouble or the customer gets upset and discontinues their service. As long as most of the ISPs are making money, it seems they couldn't care less about their customers rights and privacy.Of all the changes happening in our government at this time, this is not one that needs to occur. Keep ISPs in Title II.

1316. Michael Esterberg, Knob Noster, MO, 65336
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Allowing big business to decide what services get priority is not something I will support. I consider a free and open internet fundamental to the well-being and success of every human. It's already hard, and expensive, enough to find good sources of information. Allowing big cable to determine winners and losers in an already competitive market adds an additional layer of complexity to the ever growing list which a person must wade through to arrive at content they need. TL;DR This is a step backwards. John Oliver sent me. Do the right thing.

1317. Sarah Kincheloe, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Protect Net Neutrality! I strongly support Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

1318. M. Ben Stewart, Columbia, MO, 65203
Maintain strong net neutrality rules on ISPs and data carriers with Title II oversight. ISPs should certainly not be allowed to formulate their own rules voluntarily. The economic incentives are clear for carriers who own content and services, and the historical record bears this out: they will throttle down speeds to limit access to content they won't profit from. Moreover, this becomes a major freedom of speech issue, which is more important than inconveniencing corporations. Keep the internet as open as possible - it's a vital resource for democracy.

1319. Stephanie Demaree, Kansas City, MO, 64130
Preserve regulations for net neutrality- free and equal access to to the internet is a necessary part of our modern lives and democracy. Corporations should not be able to affect the speed at which we access different sites.

1320. Brittany Anderson, Gladstone, MO, 64119
The internet is now more of a great equalizer of information, education, and economics status than state funded school is. In this way it would cause irreparable economic damage if all sites were not treated as equal. Internet is the answer to so many problems such as fake news and business start ups and seeing if that mole on your back or intense coffee habits qualify as pre-existing conditions. Information should be free to all those who have the desire to search it out to better themselves. And people will be pissed at the FCC if their funny cat videos buffer. The internet has evolved into a utility that is necessary to American lives. It is almost as essential as electricity or water. It would be wrong to limit some sites or essentially extort money from them for what should be considered a public good not a private one. It has been proven that people with less access to the internet have less economic mobility. Don't fuck with net nuetrality or people will hate the FCC more than Trump.

1321. Eric Cox, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
As a user of the Internet, consumer of products available on said Internet, and voter, I support strong Net Neutrality regulation under

Title II.

1322. gavin labell, Ofallon, MO, 63366
The attack on net neutrality by your director. And that the attack on net neutrality to get out of title 2 is bullshit. This should not be allowed to happen to allow the internet provider are allowed to constrict on what I wish to see or do on the internet. This is dumb and seems to only help companies in which you director seems to be part of. (Head shake in disgust)

1323. Matthew Barr, Kansas City, MO, 64105
The internet is among the forefront of utilities that are powering US innovation, productivity, communication, expression, and knowledge sharing. History will not remember any who allow corruption or negligence to slow or endanger that progress kindly.

1324. Stephen Flick, St. Louis, MO, 63129
As a sole proprietor, I find the deck is somewhat stacked against me as I am trying to make myself and my services known and available, as I conduct a large percentage of my business online, and the risks inherent in doing business in the USA are distinct from those larger companies face. Your recission of net neutrality would increase my business risk by putting me at the bottom of the well. I find this is patently unfair and desire to see net neutrality left alone. Thank you.

1325. Sally Iocca, Maplewood, MO, 63143
I firmly support strong net neutrality rules through Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Net Neutrality must continue to be preserved and regulated. I do not want to leave net neutrality decisions to simply the "goodwill" of ISPs - these net neutrality rules must be regulated and strongly enforced through Title II status. Thank you for your time and service in ensuring the continued safety of net neutrality.

1326. Emerson Davis, columbia, MO, 65201
I do not support the rollback on the 2015 Net Neutrality decision

1327. alessa cain, Bonne Terre, MO, 63628
We need type 2. Please dont remive it. Keep it fair for the people.

1328. Andrew Schwarz, Kansas City, MO, 64137
I support Net Neutrality, anything other than Title II would be insufficient to ensure Internet freedom. The consumers should have Internet freedom, not the corporations.

1329. Jack Barker, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
Net neutrality is the cornerstone of equality on the internet, without it I'm afraid the internet will be in serious danger of becoming a mere shadow of it's former self; any site created which has opposite views or poses any competition to the standard is at threat of being censored. Furthermore, the companies which are the standard - especially ISPs - would have the ability to monopolize markets. To this, Verizon has mentioned it as a firm had no immediate intentions to act on the deregulation, it serves as no excuse; one would not make murder legal just because all the murderers decided to promise they would not murder. The United States was built upon the principles that everyone should have simple freedoms of equal opportunity, if net-neutrality is deregulated, there is almost nothing more un-american.

1330. Connor Ouchi, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
I am writing in support of the enforcement of strong net neutrality provisions backed by Title II. The internet is among the most powerful tools at humanity's disposal, and it should remain free from corporate interference and sabotage. Moving to weaken net neutrality is not wanted by Americans, regardless of ideological or partisan affiliation.

1331. Francine Davis, St. Charles, MO, 63301
Keep neutrality regulations in place. Allowing the largest corporations to set the rules for FCC policies will only stifle new companies and new technologies. Innovation and entrepreneureship have been the hallmarks of American economic growth.As a former member of Verizon, the new FCC chair should be forced to recuse himself from any decisions involoving Verizon for 5 yeats.

1332. Christina Merz, Hazelwood, MO, 63042
Support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversights over ISP's!!!

1333. fcc, Kansas City, MO, 64154
Im with John Oliver on this.

1334. Daniel Landiss, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
FCC's efforts would be better spent combatting robocallers and spam. Don't waste your time on something that isn't broken. Thank you.

1335. James Hall, Lees Summit, MO, 64086
Another commenter said it better than I could, so I will just quote that person here, "I contend that any movement that would serve to erode the current state of net neutrality is short sighted, and against the interests of the American consumer. The lines of communication, if to be commercialised as they have, must be regulated to ensure equal speed and access to all users and providers of content. Never has a tool in history so profoundly impacted mankind like the internet, and as we and our society evolve, we must move to protect the digital environment we have created and upon which we rely so much."

1336. Net Neutrality, Manchester, MO, 63021
I want to see net neutrality be maintained the way it was back in 2015 under the Obama administration. I don't trust internet service providers to keep their power away from my right of choice for what websites I browse.

1337. Mary Anderson, Independence, MO, 64050
please maintain net neutrality. Keep internet regulations under title II.

1338. Gayle Peper, Gladstone, MO, 64119
I am urging you to keep net neutrality with the help of Title 2.Thank you

1339. Andrew Beau Bramlett, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Make it clear you are Opposed to Docket No. 17-108! It is a completely unncessary change and will make things worse. Please under any circumstance keep ISPs on Title II!

1340. sean muckerman, Mexico, MO, 65265
I support title 2 regarding net neutrality

1341. Keith Irvin, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
Net Neutrality is very important to me as a citizen. Please make decisions that favor net neutrality.

1342. Rebecca M Rice, Springfield, MO, 65804
Keep net neutrality and the title 2 ruling. We don't need more big business influence over the internet.

1343. alison barnes martin, kansas city, MO, 64112
I am absolutely opposed to any legal change to Net Neutrality. I realize that the Chairman would like to abolish these regulations, I would ask that you earnestly consider people over corporations. By changing the legal footing, you are lessening the restrictions and regulatory rights for the consumer and putting corporations in charge of the proverbial hen house. Thank you, Alison Martin

1344. Leo Volkov, St. Louis, MO, 63108
I support net neutrality and ISP regulation on Type II rules.

1345. TJ Rains, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63301
Keep the internet neutral. Equal access to internet services is critical to the continued growth and proliferation of content supporting education, entertainment, and business use.

1346. Krista Mills, Lee's Summit, MO, 64086
I support strong net neutrality with title 2 ISPs

1347. Nicholas Paul Hanson, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Retain net neutrality.

1348. Stephen Abbott, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
Net Neutrality is the only solution to maintain a free and open internet. ISPs have been statistically proven to throttle companies that disagree with them before, so believing in the notion that they have customers' best interests at heart naturally is completely foolish. We need Net Neutrality to live, and it needs to stay in its current state, supported by Title II legislation.

1349. Derek Bridges, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
I am writing to support strong regulations of internet service providers and to emphasize how important it is that ISPs continue to be regulated under Title 2 status. A free and open internet is imperative and we cannot trust large corporations to work out of some idea of altruism on behalf of the American citizens, especially when they stand to profit financially through restricting access to their competition. These companies have an established history of taking advantage of consumers, who have very little recourse and are often restricted to one to two options for service providers. Maintain Title 2 restrictions on ISPs.

1350. Cody, Union, MO, 63084
Persevere the Internet freedoms we have now. Damn the ISPs who support this.The Internet is not a thing that should just be easily triffled with like Television or Movie.The creativity on the internet is far a head of the curve that TV and Hollywood sees. Don't screw up the internet because your republicans want to ruin every good thing about America and the worldFUCK THE GOP

1351. Brett Self, Kansas City, MO, 64108
I am writing to express my support for the current Title II regulations concerning Net Neutrality.

1352. Edward Magers, Kirksville, MO, 63501
Title 2 is the way to keep things. These protections are the only way to ensure open and fair internet that can not manipulated for profit. Please keep the title 2 regulations.

1353. Daryl Beetner, Rolla, MO, 65401
Removing net neutrality hurts small companies, strangles innovation and reduces opportunities to produce valuable intellectual property. Removing net neutrality hurts consumers and the US economy, only for the gain of a few large companies. Please keep net neutrality rules as they are.

1354. matthew lewis, Blue springs, MO, 64014
The internet needs to stay neutral, to do that isp's need to be governed under title 2 rules. Please do not roll back the changes that have been made.

1355. Pat Hines, Ballwin, MO, 63011
I support stronger net neutrality. Do not change the current restrictions. I want them to continue to be listed under the Title 2 rules and regulations. I vote. Please respect my wishes.

1356. Scott Weinstein, St. Louis, MO, 63131
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. It is in the best interest of all. Failure to do so, would be a terrible mistake.

1357. JM Wilson, Sugar Creek, MO, 64054
I'm writing in support of NET NEUTRALITY and Title II. I do not trust for profit companies to police themselves.

1358. Brian M Comer, St Charles, MO, 63303
Letting ISP decide what my searches show instead of having the freedom to get what's most relevant is ridiculous. I am against this just as every average working citizen is. This is made by the corrupt for the corrupt.

1359. Jonathan Wacker, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
I'm in favor of strong Net Neutrality by keeping ISPs under Title II classification.

1360. Travis Wisely, Maplewood, MO, 63143
The Internet is, and always should be, an open resource that is not micro-managed by corporations with vested interests in particular brands, organizations, or corporations. Net neutrality is non-negotiable. And trusting ISPs to have consumer interests at heart in perpetuity is foolish and short-sighted. Without enforceable guidelines and strictures, the Internet will cease being neutral within a single generation. This seems obvious to me.

1361. David Hunton, Florissant, MO, 63031
I strongly urge the FCC to reconsider its foolhardy notion of rolling back the Obama-era protections put in place to safeguard net neutrality. The classification as a Type 2 was an important step, and a necessary one to prevent internet service providers from taking advantage of consumers and impeding the free flow of capitalistic business and society. To remove any of the protections put in places jeopordizes the free and fair functioning of our society as it moves into the future, and quite frankly would clearly identify those who made that decision as corrupt and in the pocket of the very businesses it is the job of the FCC to protect us from. Do the right thing, abandon this notion, and spend your time doing something more worthwhile, like updating the protections afforded copyright holders who produce online content, or virtually anything else.

1362. Thomas Bauers, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Net neutrality is a foundational piece of supporting the freedom of information. If you oppose such a movement it seems you are at odds with the very foundation of our democracy...

1363. Justin, Joplin, MO, 64804
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight. Access to information is a basic human right that should not be influenced by ISP earnings. Please keep access to information online fair and neutral!

1364. Quinn Willet, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I support net neutrality and Title 2 and believe it should continue to be in effect.

1365. Ian Staten, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Protecting net neutrality is super important. please keep the net title 2!

1366. Joseph Kane, Perryville, MO, 63775
Net Neutrality must be kept strong in order to ensure that media can't become constricted by conflicts of interest where ISPs could suppress or undermine validity of online access.

1367. Jazz Davis, Kansas City, MO, 64030
Please keep net neutrality safe by allowing ISPs to be classified under Title 2. Thanks.

1368. Paul Hoch, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
I do not support this. Leave net neutrality as is.

1369. Chris Cardona, Kansas City, MO, 64108
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II enforcement authority. The Internet should be treated as a utility and regulated accordingly.

1370. Christine D. Kennedy, St. Louis, MO, 63116
Ajit Pie,I strongly support net neutrality! Title II needs to stay intact. Don't fix something that's not broken.

1371. Kevin W. Gates, Springfield, MO, 65806
I am deeply concerned about the potential deregulation of Internet Service Providers (ISP's) and its effects on the aptly named "net neutrality." These companies and service providers NEED to remain classified as common carriers under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934 to protect consumers and businesses alike. I would go as far as to label such a change in classification as a threat on one of the modern cornerstones of democracy. While there is ZERO evidence to suggest that this extra level of regulation discourages growth and investment in new technologies, there are a number of incidents that establish a precedent for ISP's to manipulate how their customers are able to access information online should these's companies slip out from under the umbrella of regulation. The internet, access to it, and the information that it holds have been deemed basic human rights by nations around the world. Any deregulation that could/would lead to destabilization and an uneven playing field cannot be allowed!

1372. Josh Floyd, Columbia, MO, 65202
I desire the choice of which sites I use. Title 2 is the best protector of that choice. It was in the best choice in the court proceedings, and still is the best option. Keep net neutrality on title 2.

1373. Ariana Karst, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The new FCC Chairman needs to forget the fact that he worked for Verizon and focus on the request of ALL Americans. Honestly, does he truly expect companies to just "promise" to do right by consumers? That's why oversight & regulation is necessary -- because left to their own devices, corporations WILL take advantage to broaden their bottom line!

1374. Anthony Yuhas, Columbia, MO, 65203
Frankly, I am in disbelief that this matter is still in discussion. The American people spoke before when we demanded Net Neutrality and nothing has changed. The internet belongs to the masses, not to governments, corporations, or ISPs. The Internet is a neutral space for the mass think of the world and absolutely no one, person, company, or otherwise should be allowed to alter that neutral field to any end.Net Neutrality of the internet MUST be regulated and enforced through ISP oversight under Title II of the communications act.It is my personal opinion that regulations should be beefed up. I believe that any attempt to tamper with an absolutely equal and unbiased internet should be a federal crime equivalent to that of tempering with a person's mail or credit cards! The internet is a necessary service in this country. Many employers will only accept applications online. Making the internet in any way biased, or to allow ISP tampering of customer speeds will cause great harm to the American people!

1375. Jonathan Worthey, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
Net neutrality is important. In America, speech should be free, business competition should be fair, and internet should be open for all for these purposes. It is fundamental to American principles.

1376. net, St. Louis, MO, 63144
I support strong net neutrality under title II - make this happen, it's very important to me and many others.

1377. jose martin, Brentwood, MO, 63144
I support strong net neutrality by title II protections

1378. Logan Shaffer, Kansas City, MO, 64154
I support net neutrality and strongly OPPOSE any actions or legislation that seek to end net neutrality.

1379. Jesse Hirner, Columbia, MO, 65201
Please keep Title II oversight of ISPs & net neutrality!

1380. Chad Hilgenbrinck, Saint Joseph, MO, 64507
Protect net neutrality and title 2! No excuses

1381. Chris Lorance, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
Please do not regulate internet access and broadband providers under Title I. It would reduce competition on the internet and raise prices oversll

1382. JoAnn Davis, Independence, MO, 64055
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. My husband works in outside plant fiber and I still support this.

1383. Douglas Reed, Augusta, MO, 63332
Freedom of knowledge and speech.

1384. Samantha Jean Neeman, Springfield, MO, 65804
I, Samantha Neeman, a citizen of the United States of America, expressly support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP. Do not destroy Net Neutrality.

1385. Caleb Miller, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
Preserve net neutrality and title ll. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title ll oversights of ISPs. I can't believe we have to do this again.

1386. Judith Frankiel, St Louis, MO, 63124
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Pretty please.

1387. Ryan B, Saint Louis, MO, 63117
get fucked ajit you shill paid off piece of shit. i'll never vote republican again if regulations are removed.

1388. Michael Niehoff, Saint Charles, MO, 63304
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. As such, I am vehemently opposed the Restoring Internet Freedom Act.

1389. Justin H L'Hommedieu, St. Louis, MO, 63105
Please preserve net neutrality and title II. These protections are important.

1390. Jacob Peterson, St. Louis, MO, 63132
Please do not remove net neutrality laws and keep Title 2. These are important regulatory laws that keep corporations in check.

1391. Jonathan Stelzer, Washington, MO, 63090
As a consumer, I am writing to encourage the FCC in continuing support of "Net Neutrality" policies. Doing away with these policies will encourage and allow internet service providers to cherry pick content to have priority on their networks and I wish this was hypothetical but I know AT&T and a few others have done this before, ask Google about how their competing payment app was

blocked by several isps. So please keep the internet open and have all data treated equally.

1392. Stephan Franke, Hannibal, MO, 63401
I want net neutrality. People are more important than corporate profits.

1393. Pete Johnson, Springfield, MO, 65804
I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality and believe that the best way to ensure it is with continued Title II classification of internet service providers.

1394. Kyle Moore, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversights of ISP!!!!!!!!!!

1395. Christina Barker, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
Net neutrality is important to our freedom.

1396. 17-108, St Louis, MO, 63111
I support strong net neutrality rules and regulations backed by title II oversight of ISPs.

1397. Stephen Duepner, Saint Louis, MO, 63114
You need to preserve net neutrality and Title 2 with regards to internet service providers. ISPs have proven that simple suggestions are not going to preserve a free and open internet and they have also stated that having Title 2 applied to them is not causing negative consequences with regards to their investment.

1398. Margaret (Maggie) E. McCreary, Columbia, MO, 65202
I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversights of ISPs. PLEASE, PLEASE, PLEASE, do not take this away!!

1399. April Kimbel, Springfield, MO, 65809
Please do not allow the Trump administration or the ISP's to remove our internet freedom.

1400. Matthew King, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
I want to keep net neutrality the way it is as a Title II entity. Changing the rules put in place would jeopardize the freedom of choosing the websites that the public would want to use.

1401. Michael Plata, Fort Leonard Wood, MO, 65473
Any move to strip current net neutrality laws is a blatant selling out of the American people. These regulations are necessary and anyone who believes ISP's won't throttle competing services is a fool.

1402. Jeff McCartney, Osborn, MO, 64474
You must preserve net neutrality through the use of title 2 of thev1934 communications act.

1403. Zachary Galloway, Rolla, MO, 65401
I strongly believe that the FCC should preserve net neutrality and title 2. Please don't let the citizens of this country down.

1404. Emily Ross, Saint Louis, MO, 63121
I strongly support net neutrality and Title II of the Communications Act!

1405. Stephen Taylor, Lees Summit, MO, 64082
I strongly endorse the continued supervision of ISPs under level II regulation

1406. Matthew J. Christ, Ashland, MO, 65010
I am in favor of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II classification of ISPs. I oppose docket no 17-108.

1407. dylan, St. Louis, MO, 63139
Please do not role back regulations on the ISPs; They already have enough of a monopoly in the industry. I literally have no other choice, but Charter for Internet service. They already charge too much (of which I can do nothing about except cancel my service and do without internet - which is not a choice in today's society), and i can't imagine them having the power to slow down, willy nilly, the sites I use on the internet because of they gain higher revenue from certain sites.If anything, there should be more incentives for other ISP to get in the market. Why can we not encourage this. I live in St. Louis and have no other choice but CHarter.

1408. Rebecca Asbury, Maplewood, MO, 63143
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II Oversight of ISPs! I am EXTREMELY OPPOSED to Docket No. 17-108! The title of this docket is amazingly inaccurate, and does NOT represent the wishes of the vast majority of internet users, myself included.

1409. Alan Harrington, Rolla, MO, 65401
I strongly support the preservation of net neutrality and title 2 classification for ISPs.

1410. 1, Brookfield, MO, 64628
Please keep strong Net Neutrality and Support Title 2 oversights of ISPs.

1411. Zachary Harris, Benton, MO, 63736
I urge you to keep the internet a free and neutral place for everyone.

1412. Derek Cooper, St. Ann, MO, 63074
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversights of ISPs!!!! This can NOT be changed. It would be VERY bad for the public. I'm sick of my ISP slowing down my internet when I stream movies from Netflix but have no problem with their streaming being full speed.

1413. Tom Nieder, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
Please leave the rules regarding net neutrality alone! Don't let ISP's ruin successes we've gained via the internet by allowing them to voluntarily adhere to a 'no slow down' policy.

1414. Jamey Jones, St Louis, MO, 63114
Ajit PaiFCCI specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversite of ISPs.

1415. Jarrett Noblitt, Springfield, MO, 65807
I, Jarrett Noblitt, support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

1416. Alicia Hall, Wildwood, MO, 63038
Please support net neutrality and stay with Title 2 for ISPs.

1417. Nicholas William Gal, Imperial, MO, 63052
I want net neutrality to stay in effect. isps shouldn't be allowed to throttle connections.

1418. Rachel Kincy, St. John, MO, 63114
I am against the limiting and/or manipulation of internet services for profit. It is unjust and will unfairly limit those who are low-income.

1419. David Good, Lake St. Louis, MO, 63367
The FCC needs to keep strong net neutrality rules intact. I'm strongly in support of keeping it classified under article II.

1420. Katelyn Liggett, Saint Charles, MO, 63304
preserve net neutrality. preserve strict oversight with title 2. KEEP STRONG NET NEUTRALITY!

1421. Phil Anderson, Kansas City, MO, 64113
I fully support robust net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!

1422. Joy Edwards, Eureka, MO, 63025
Better keep strong net neutrality!

1423. Elizabeth Gilbert, Liberty, MO, 64068
I feel it's incredibly important to preserve net neutrality.

1424. Sam McCullough, manchester, MO, 63021
Keep strong net neutrality regulations under title II. Corporations can not be trusted with this amount of power over our internet.

1425. Juliana Karp, St. Louis, MO, 63112
ISPs cannot be trusted to regulate themselves. this is what the FCC is designed to do - PROTECT consumers.

1426. Abdul Rafay Raziuddin, Kansas City, MO, 64124
To Whom It May Concern,Please do not kill net neutrality. I am surprised the option to kill net neutrality is even legal.

1427. Seth Dunkin, Pleasant Hill, MO, 64080
I'm a webcast producer. I work with platform vendors, Internet Service Providers and Content Delivery Networks on a regular basis, and in a variety of contexts. I consider myself knowledgeable when it comes to the mechanics of the Internet.It is in that capacity that I express my fierce insistence that Internet Service Provision remain classified under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I asked for this three years ago (thank you, by the way), and I defend the same position today. I have entertained the opposing arguments, and remain convinced that Title II classification is crucial for protecting the free and open internet into the future, and that the notion it poses any threat to the bottom line of ISPs is disingenuous and purposefully misleading.Protect the Internet upon which our world now depends. Continue classifying ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Thank you.

1428. Michael Frei, Overland, MO, 63114
I understand the concept of over regulation, however classifying data as Title II is not that. The internet is by far the most influential and powerful development ever to exist. It has propelled our ability to inovate and share knowledge.While it's amicable to believe that MSPs will altruisticly self regulate, even if every major player did consumers would have no recourse when smaller providers abuse their access. Title II does not and has not negatively impacted competition among ISPs and many regulations directly demanding competition have recently been repealed as well which leads me to question your appeal to the "internet freedom" you've marketed this as.Please do not damage net nutrality with these changes.

1429. James, St. Louis, MO, 63143
ISP's require title 2 oversight. They have proven time and time again that their #1 priority above any other is profiteering from the public. Any notion of fairness or the greater good of the internet and society as a whole will never prevent them from enacting a policy, no matter how destructive so long as it turns a profit.

1430. Joshua Go, Kansas City, MO, 64118
Please don't get rid of net neutrality by lowering the classification of ISP's down to title 1 from title 2. My household and I support keeping a free and open internet, and not allowing deregulation of this important utility. For many of my generation, it has since become

the most powerful tool for our learning, entertainment, and communication, and we don't want that to be hindered in any way for profit.

1431. Jessica Barnett, St. Peters, MO, 63376
Please, preserve net neutrality and title II!!

1432. Adam Sanders, St. Louis, MO, 63122
Please do not remove the current net neutrality rules. We need to keep strong rules backed by Title II to keep ISP from restricting or limiting our access to the content we all enjoy.

1433. Chad Montgomery, Excelsior Springs, MO, 64024
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai,I support net-neutrality that is backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. The potential effects, should net-neutrality not be upheld, would be highly anti-competitive.

1434. Neil Barron, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Protect net neutrality. Keep net neutrality rules under Title II

1435. Kirk Childers, Spokane, MO, 65754
I am a strong advocate of strongly enforced net neutrality under the terms of Title 2. I request that no weakening of net neutrality be attempted or performed.

1436. M, St. Louis, MO, 63146
I am absolutely for Net neutrality! Please protect us from Trump's proposed changes!

1437. Mat Deal, Springfield, MO, 65803
Please leave the internet alone. It's fine the way it is. I realize you all are paid by big corporations and you all are just trying to keep them happy, but the population you are working for does not like their personal information stolen by companies, and then sold for profit. There is no point in revisiting something that's already been addressed and frankly is working well.

1438. Alan Jeude, Saint Louis, MO, 63125
Please maintain NET NEUTRALITY. This is a "YES" for Net Neutrality.

1439. Alex Bernstein, St. Louis, MO, 63141
Revocation of Title II classification would be an abdication of the FCC's responsibility to protect consumers.

1440. TIm Flanagan, st louis, MO, 63141
I support net neutrality to remain under Title II protections.

1441. Keiler Swartz, Ballwin, MO, 63021
It is completely irresponsible to blindly do away with FCC regulations. These regulations such as the move to Title II are what allow true free expression on the internet and they need to be protected by the federal government. You represent the people of the United States and we do not want you to strip away these regulations!

1442. Aleksey, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Keep net neutrality neutral and regulated as such!

1443. Allan Anderson, Kansas City, MO, 64153
Protect ISP's as Title 2 and our basic even playing field of net neutrality for all.

1444. Nick Bira, Columbia, MO, MO, 65201
The movement to appeal Net Neutrality is a transparent attempt to give more power to ISP and take away choices from the people. Internet access is already complicated enough as it is, and by giving them more leverage people will suffer manipulation and internet bottlenecking as they suffer the consequences. Please do NOT repeal Net Neutrality or give ISPs more power than they already have by changing internet access classifications.

1445. Andrew Lambeth, Springfield, MO, 65803
I support net neutrality and wish for a strong set of laws to keep ISPs in check.

1446. Javier Esquivel, St. Louis, MO, 63132
The American people are greatly in favor of net neutrality and the rules put in place to protect them.Please remind Ajit that greed is what will bring this great country down.

1447. Daniel Barton, Farmington, MO, 63640
Please keep things the way they are within Article 2. Please do not change nor re-categorize isp's under current net nutralty rules.

1448. Taran Bainter, Kansas City, MO, 64152
I am in support of eliminating Net Neutrality. Let the free market reign. Get the Government out of it. If you're going to relinquish power on something, I'm all for that. This is a good start. :)

1449. Tay Schumaker, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Don't change and let ISP's win.

1450. Teigan Darr, St Louis, MO, 63108
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. The Internet should be treated as a utility and

available to anyone without restriction. Access to it was deemed a Human Right by the UN in 2011, and countries like Canada, Finland and Costa Rica have worked to ensure that internet access is not only broadly available, but free from unreasonable restrictions. "Net neutrality" would mean the end of many small businesses who operate online, in addition to being an affront to freedom of speech.

1451. Julie Birkenmaier, St Louis, MO, 63110
I support net neutrality under Title 2 of the FCC code. Please keep the internet fair by protecting net neutrality. (Or just watch John Oliver's Last Week Tonight episode on 5/7/2017).

1452. Liz Westmoreland, Springfield, MO, 65803
I specifically support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Because internet is not a luxury anymore--and it needs to be accessible and fair to everyone; job seekers, small startups and businesses, and consumers. Do not gut this protection. Stand up for us and not big business. Thank you.

1453. Christopher Fusco, Gladstone, MO, 64118
The internet is a basic human right.

1454. Dorri Li, Independence, MO, 64050
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title ll oversight of ISP's

1455. Riley Gilman, Lees Summit, MO, 64086
Removing Internet Service Providers from Title 2 is a horrendous idea. 5 years ago when the FCC attempted the same thing the response was so dramatic that it crashed the FCC's website. Allowing ISPs to regulate themselves on net neutrality is like making an arsonist the fire marshal. Since 2011 the United Nations has held that access to a free internet is a basic human right. It is the duty of the FCC to zealously protect that right. To do any less is to fail your most important duty.

1456. Mike Marsh, Rolla, MO, 65401
I am concerned with the FCCs consideration to roll back regulation of Net Neutrality with respect to ISPs. No one has presented significant evidence that current regulations (eg. Title II) negatively impact any current operations or investments by ISPs, while significant evidence (via old ISP behavior and lawsuits) show that without current Net Neutrality regulation ISPs have the capacity and motive to abuse or abandon Net Neutrality behaviors and thus harm the public.Furthermore, Ajit Pai (who is understood to be the source of rollback considerations) has at the least a conflict of interest on this matter due to his previous employ and at worst stands to profit handsomely should this rollback be pushed through (even more should he return to his previous employer or an employer of similar standing).Last, consider that while the current position of reducing regulation seems appealing, it sets in motion disastrous pretense to in broad strokes wipe away rules that were put in place to protect the public from predatory behavior, intentional or otherwise. Consider carefully how much more control you're are willing to hand over to the ISPs.

1457. Anthony Cervellione, Saint Louis, MO, 63141
Is there actually anyone besides Ajit Pai, the ISPs, and their stockholders who are against title 2 and net neutrality? Does that even matter anymore?I don't see the purpose of giving ISPs the option of picking bandwidth winners and losers as they fit in the future. Leave this regulation in place.

1458. Luke Gosnell, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
I support strong internet under Title II.

1459. Jonathon Lantsberger, Columbia, MO, 65201
I support strong net neutrality, and for the continuing regulation of ISPs under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934. Please do not undo these regulations!

1460. Protect the Internet, Columbia, MO, 65201
Please protect the future of the public internet by safe-guarding net-neutrality.

1461. Courtney Barrett, St Louis, MO, 63112
Maintain current Title II regulations on net neutrality laws for ISPs or increase regulations to protect net neutrality. A reduction in regulations is unacceptable

1462. Mechelle Neuerburg, Maplewood, MO, 63143
It is most urgent that the FCC preserves net neutrality and governs ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Corporations must be regulated to ensure they do not take away equal access to Internet websites, which they totally seek to do because they only exist for profit no matter what nicely designed and sounding hogwash they tell the public and FCC. The FCC must be steadfast in seeking the interests of American citizens, not corporations.Put people and access first. Preserve net neutrality.

1463. Dan, Saint Louis, MO, 63112
I strongly support net neutrality backed specifically by Title 2 oversight of USP's.

1464. Ian H. Pittwood, Rolla, MO, 65401
This "Restoring Internet Freedom" proceeding is an absolute misnomer to trick the American people into supporting yet another act that will hurt them. By removing Net Neutrality, our Internet freedom is reduced while making the oligarchy of Internet Service Providers even more powerful. Chairman Ajit Pai, who was formerly affiliated with Verizon and by proposing this idea seems to still be, is wrong when he says that this action will promote our right to free speech. Removing Net Neutrality makes it so ISPs can put up toll gates, slowdowns, and even barriers between the American people and their favorite websites (Netflix, Facebook, Wikipedia, Google, etc...). These websites give us the ability to communicate, entertain ourselves, share ideas, and learn new concepts. As today's students, we know how helpful the Internet can be for learning information for classes as well as expanding the mind outside of that. The Internet is

so pervasive in our world today that it would be a crime to deprive the American people of it. By repealing this regulation, the government will show its true colors by either supporting a basic right of the American people or showing that they are truly controlled by the corporations now.

1465. Ariana White, Kansas City, MO, 64158
I support net neutrality as it currently stands under Title II. Ajit Pai's statements about the effects of net neutrality are unfounded and disputable.

1466. Logan Burkardt, Monett, MO, 65708
I support strong regulations to the liking of the currently enforced Title 2.

1467. Thomas Anderson Sobieck, Columbia, MO, 65203
To Whom It May Concern,I'm a web developer with a keen interest in keeping the web open for all participants. I have plans to start an online business and do not want to have my access to customers curtailed by monopoly holding ISPs. I believe it is in the vast majority of citizens best interest for ISPs to remain classified under Title II. We've seen what happens when ISPs are able to discriminate against traffic. A few years ago my Netflix viewing was harmed because an ISP was trying to extract money from Netflix to deliver content to me. I pay my ISP for bandwidth not to regulate what I use that bandwidth for. They are no different than any other utility and they should be regulated as such. I have two choices of ISPs. I wouldn't care so much about the regulation if there was real competition but I only have two choices of ISPs and they are bother terrible. So, either keep them highly regulated or break them up like AT&T in 1982.Thank you, Thomas Anderson Sobieck

1468. Gwen, Columbia, MO, 65201
I fully support the current net neutrality rules and do not see the point in reducing them or believe in an in good faith trust of ISP companies.

1469. Don Arrocha, Grandview, MO, 64030
I strongly support Title II governance of ISPs regulating Internet access.

1470. Aaron Hackmann, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
I am in favor of net neutrality backed by Title II, and opposed to docket 17-108. I do not want my internet service providers to favor or block particular products or websites. I am paying that provider to provide me access and I should have full control over my own access to sites. Thank you.

1471. Michael Mackenzie, Liberty, MO, 64068
Protect net neutrality and title 2! Net neutrality is important to everyone, so don't let it disappear.

1472. Jordan Jackson, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
Net Neutrality is a vital tool for supporting internet freedom by ensuring that no content, for any reason, is throttled or inaccessible to any American. It ensures that innovative new internet-based services have the means to compete against those that are already established, driving competition and improving consumer experiences. Net Neutrality ensures that large ISPs are unable to charge their consumers extra for the content that they consume, or coerce content providers into paying for a "fast lane" to their customers. Please support internet freedom and stand up for the rights of 320 million Americans by retaining your control over Net Neutrality regulations. The FCC has done a great job supporting us so far, do not let us down.

1473. Jeff Morrow, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
I am heavily in favor of ISPs remaining under their current classification as Class II. I think the arguments to re-classify are disingenuous and that said re-classification is not in the best interests of the American public.

1474. Moose, Webb City, MO, 64870
I think you should stop messing with us man, don't change the title on this. Its helping real American's not the fat cats in Washington. Also GO JOHN OLIVER

1475. Miguel Guzman, Ballwin, MO, 63011
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Stop messing around with the internet.

1476. dennis kane, St louis, MO, 63121
Please keep newNet neutrality rules as they are

1477. Brittany, Wildwood, MO, 63005
Please protect and safeguard net neutrality for all of us.

1478. Chris Hartley, Springfield, MO, 65804
Net Neutrality must be preserved at all costs, specifically that backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I, as a voter and an american citizen, am in strong support of this.

1479. Preston Crouse, Kansas City, MO, 64129
I support net neutrality and oversight of ISPs by Title II.

1480. Christopher Fusco, Gladstone, MO, 64118
I am opposed to docket No. 17-108.

1481. Patrick Oglesby, House Springs, MO, 63051
Please, please, please, never get rid of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. No one in their right mind can be

against it.

1482. Benjamin Seitzman, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
I strongly support net neutrality with Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. I can think of no worse outcome for U.S. citizens and citizens of the world than the removal of these protections. Please do not turn your back on us. Net neutrality with Title II oversight of ISPs must remain.

1483. Matthew Logan, Raytown, MO, 64133
Please keep Strong Net Neutrality. Please Keep Net Neutrality oversight by Title II. I am 100% opposed to Docket No. 17-108

1484. Shawn Saylor, Florissant, MO, 63031
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. We need strong enforcement of net neutrality through Title 2.

1485. La'Ondrill Brown, Creve Coeur, MO, 63141
Chairman Pai,The internet has come to serve as a valuable utility, functioning to the same degree as basic plumbing. Please make sure my students never have to miss opportunities where technology is central. Net neutrality is something we have all come to expect, so please keep it as is.

1486. Mary Lynn Thompson, Platte City, MO, 64079
I believe we must preserve Title II and net neutrality as is currently stands.

1487. Alexander Hall, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I support strong net neutrality regulation and backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

1488. Michael Rosebrough, Monett, MO, 65708
Title II or NothingThe Internet is not broken,, DON'T FIX IT

1489. Sonny Uftring, Liberty, MO, 64064
I support strong net neutrality under Act II

1490. Kim G, St. Louis, MO, 63043
I strongly support robust net neutrality rules and Title II. Net neutrality supports small businesses and protects the consumer at the end of the day. The ISPs can and will essentially use their networks to restrict access to competitors, leading to more expensive internet access and less choices for consumers.Without net neutrality, consumers will have to pay more, and small businesses will suffer. Please continue to protect the real people and freedoms of this nation, not the giant companies looking out only for their bottom line.

1491. Steven Gibbs, St. Louis, MO, 63104
Please keep the integrity of ISPs by sticking to the title II regulations to maintain net neutrality.

1492. Jennifer Geist, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
I would like to express that I am very much in favor of ISPs remaining under their current Title II classification.

1493. Ron Maggard, Kansas City, MO, 64119
Preserve Net Neutrality under Title II. Anything less is unacceptable.

1494. Cecil Luten, Scott City, MO, 63780
I don't want to reclassify net neutrality back to title 1. Leave the internet protections in place. Title 2 is where it belongs. Don't mess with my internet! Elections are coming and I will be spreading the word.

1495. Nicole Manders, Fenton, MO, 63026
I support net neutrality. I wish for the internet regulation to remain under Title 2 and for it to be controlled by the FCC. I do not wish for it to be reclassified and for a tiered speed system to be allowed through cable companies. This would hurt the free market and stop the internet from being an equal playing field.

1496. Alicia Corns, St Charles, MO, 63304
Keep strong net neutrality via title 2

1497. a, St. Louis, MO, 63130
Preserve net neutrality and title 2 guidelines

1498. Matthew Stalling, Lee's Summit, MO, 64086
Please keep internet governance under title 2, and do not roll back current protections.

1499. Sam Baumohl, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Keep Title 2 Keep Title 2 Keep Title 2

Keep Title 2 Keep Title 2 Keep Title 2

Title 2 Keep Title 2 Keep Title 2 Keep

2 Keep Title 2 Keep Title 2 Keep Title

Keep Title 2 Keep Title 2 Keep Title 2

Title 2 Keep Title 2 Keep Title 2 Keep

2 Keep Title 2 Keep Title 2 Keep Title

Keep Title 2 Keep Title 2 Keep Title 2

Title 2 Keep Title 2 Keep Title 2 Keep

I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's. Keep the internet free!

1516. Cori Cahoon, Kansas City, MO, 64112
The FCC needs to protect net neutrality and title II. Internet providers can not be trusted to do this without the FCC regulations that currently exist.

1517. T, Kansas City, MO, 64131
PLEASE! preserve the title II regulation on net neutrality. I believe it is the best way to ensure net freedom

1518. Elvir Suljic, saint louis, MO, 63116
Preserve the neutrality and title II!!

1519. Barret Storck, Columbia, MO, 65203
As someone who works as an I.T. consultant and software developer, the FCC's Open Internet Rules are an important part of my livelihood. I implore the FCC to protect and continue to uphold them. Repealing these rules in favor of "internet fast lanes" inherently means that other "lanes" will be slower. These "lanes" are websites, services, and network connections that my business clients, associates, and myself rely on. Without these rules protecting net neutrality, I fear that my clients, many of whom are small businesses, will suffer. I very strongly urge Chairman Pai along with the rest of the FCC in general, to reverse course on removing Net Neutrality protections.

1520. Andrew Luten, Scott City, MO, 63780
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Please, please, please.

1521. Braden Baumann, Florissant, MO, 63031
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs). Without such oversight, new or developing content and services could be impeded or blocked by ISPs without recourse. I implore you to listen to the citizens you serve, no consumer wants to remove these protections. No Blocking. No Throttling. No Paid Prioritization. Keep the internet open!

1522. GEOFFREY ANDERSON PRATT, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64111
We support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.

1523. Heather, Manchester, MO, 63088
Preserve net neutrality under Title II. ISPs should not have influence on what the consumer views on the internet.

1524. Nathan Cox, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I support a strong internet backed by Title 2 regulations. Do not reclassify the internet to Title 1, do not mess with our internet. You serve the people, not the corporations. Preserve net neutrality and Title 2.

1525. Caitlin Schaefer, Kansas City, MO, 64101
I am a strong supporter of net neutrality and demand the FCC support the continuation of title 2 to prevent abuse of my freedom of choice.

1526. Concerned citizen, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I support a free and open internet and want to keep ISP's under the provisions of chapter 2. Do this for the people of America. A free and open internet is one of the crowning achievements of humanity.

1527. Robert James Klimt, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
I support net neitrality protection through Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Anything else is quintessentially opposite to the values on which the American market was founded

1528. Daniel Joseph Brown, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
I am strongly against this. Keep the internet free! Preserve Net Neutrality and keep the Internet classified as Title II.

1529. Ceisha Brownell, Saint Louis, MO, 63112
I strongly support Net Neutrality being classified under Title II for stronger protections for consumers like me. Please do not remove this to Title I with less enforcement available.

1530. Andrew Blattert, Springfield, MO, 65803
I genuinely support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. This is the most important topic of our digital age. Please don't overthrow these regulations. As a web developer, I couldn't imagine allowing an ISP to throttle my content based on their choices.

1531. Josiah David Roberts, Walnut Shade, MO, 65771
The internet is a public utility, more than phone companies and televisions stations ever will be. It is more central to the operation of individual affairs and businesses than these technologies are, and the prevention of abuse is therefore even more crucial. Because of the central role the internet plays in modern society, I believe it must remain classified as a Title II Common Carrier public utility, in order to preserve the immeasurable value it provides to our society.

1532. bob abernathy, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 of isp's. No doubt

1533. Amanda Switzer, Kansas CIty, MO, 64116
I want net neutrality preserved and strong oversights of Title II.

1534. ari n berlin, Saint louis, MO, 63108
I support strong net neutrality laws! The internet should remain free!

1535. Ryan Ryherd, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I strongly support net neutrality and it's a no brainer to preserve title 2. Do not let corporations depict, manipulate and control the scenery of what we now call the internet.

1536. Ariel Foland, High Ridge, MO, 63049
Net neutrality must remain to be protected by the FCC under title 2. Getting rid of net neutrality or putting it under title 1 will make it harder for small businesses to compete and make people pay more for Netflix.

1537. Elizabeth Coppock, Creve Coeur, MO, 63141
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Letting internet providers pick which content to promote and which to effectively silence is not only unjust, it is dangerous. We need to be able to hear each other's voices, even if those in power would like to silence them.

1538. Cathy Gorday, St. Louis, MO, 63026
The cable and Internet service companies cannot be trusted to maintain net neutrality without government regulation. They need to keep the Title II classification to keep the Internet open. Do not repeal the Title II classification for ISPs.

1539. Kelly Eyster, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I support strong net neutrality backed by TitleII Oversight of ISP's

1540. David M. ODonnell, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
I strongly support net neutrality backed up by title II for regulation of Internet Service Providers.

1541. Diana Ranly, Columbia, MO, 65203
I strongly support net neutrality backed by strong support by title 2 of isp's.

1542. Amanda Price, Saint Louis, MO, 63134
ISPs should not be removed from Title 2. They are businesses and will act in the best interest of their shareholders and not the people. They need to remain under Title 2 to protect the public from greed and self interest of the ISPs. It's also worrying that the head of the FCC used to work for Verizon and can't seem to remember why they needed to be moved to Title 2. Perhaps he should spend less time with his infamous mug and more on his job.

1543. title 2, Hillsboro, MO, 63050
Preserve net neutrality. The world is watching

1544. David, Webb City, MO, 64870
I feel like it is in the consumer's best interest if net neutrality remains and ISB's remain under Title II.

1545. Jacob Boehm, Overland, MO, 63114
Net Neutrality regulations are integral in making sure the internet remains free and open, and to prevent large companies from hindering small businesses and individuals alike.

1546. lauren, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2! #gofccyourself

1547. Karen Price, St Louis County, MO, 63114
ISP should not be remove from Title 2. Your office MUST represent the people of America not only the corporation you previously worked for.

1548. Neil Struharik, Weldon Spring, MO, 63304
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2 protections. The world needs the internet open and accessible.

1549. Jeremie Ferey, St. Louis, MO, 63108
I beseech the FCC to preserve net neutrality as it currently is and to keep ISPs under Title 2.

1550. Rebecca M. Schranz, St. Louis, MO, 63116
Please support and preserve net neutrality under Title II

1551. Reuben Kern, Wildwood, MO, 63038
Net Neutrality is what makes the internet into what it is. The beauty of mass communication with people thousands of miles away has spawned a generation. The internet is a free space of knowledge, ideas, entertainment, away from the greedy corporate hands that pervade day to day life. The internet should be kept in its pristine state, preventing companies from playing god, favoring those who pay more. It is ridiculous that people could support the destruction of net neutrality, without the motive of incessant greed. As a government official, it is your job to protect the people, protecting their interests, not your wallets. I beg you, please, maintain the beauty of the internet, do not let up on Net Neutrality laws. When we lose Net Neutrality, we lose one of the last non-corrupted resources we have. Listen to the masses, maintain Net Neutrality

1552. Joseph J. Biondo, Wildwood, MO, 63011
I am strongly recommending that the FCC maintain Tittle II specific to NET NEUTRALITY.

1553. Net Neutrality, Columbia, MO, 65203
I support net neutrality backed by title 2. This must never change.

1554. Brooke Rainwatee Bate, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Preserve the neutrality in title 2!!!!!!!

1555. Michael Carney, Joplin, MO, 64804
I support strong net neutrality oversight under Title II. Thanks!!

1556. Scott E. Lee, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
ISPs have not been hurt by inclusion in Title II. Speeds are increasing, coverage is increasing, access is increasing, investment is increasing. Removing ISPs from Title II would change that. Segmented/fragmented Internet access would result. We cannot trust a company (any company) to provide services that are in the best interest of its customers. Businesses will only ever do what is in the best interests of their investors. When ISPs have virtual â€" and in some cases, literal â€" monopolies on Internet access, what market-driven incentive is there to not deny access to non-company sources of information or entertainment? Regulatory forces are the only way to keep ISPs from digging ever deeper into their customer's pockets.

1557. Zaculyn French-Wilson, Independence, MO, 64052
We should be free to use whichever search engine we wish.

1558. Erika Lundien, Springfield, MO, 65802
We support strong net neutrality and the Title 2 oversight of ISP's.

1559. Mike Gaffrey, Webster Groves, MO, 63109
I am writing to request that net neutrality and title 2 are preserved.

1560. Izaak Xavier Mohling, Columbia, MO, 65201
I directly oppose the "Restoring Internet Freedom" act, as it lies directly opposite of any sort of freedom for the end user, and in direct opposition of the Net Neutrality safeguards put in place several years ago. To be INCREDIBLY CLEAR: I support NET NEUTRALITY, and oppose ANY and ALL actions that would end it or limit it. In this case, I oppose the Restoring Internet Freedom act.

1561. Joel Shuman, Saint Louis, MO, 63124
Please continue to regulate ISPs under Title 2. Net Neutrality is important for consumers and not harmful to companies and competition.

1562. Georgiann M. Haslag-Wright, Bonnots Mill, MO, 65016
The Internet was not developed by private business of any type. They are all using what our tax money has developed. After seeing some of the taxes paid by some of these businesses, we the individuals people may actually pay more taxes. It is time that business pay their fair share of taking care of this country instead of using what all our tax dollars have produced for their profit and leaving the rest of us in the dust.I also like the idea of having choices in my life. NO ONE should be limiting our choices in the way big business will be able to do, especially to make a buck when they are already making profits beyond belief.Also unbelievable is the fact I entered my 9 digit Postal zip code (65016-0042) and am getting an invalid report on it. Good Lord!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

1563. Todd and Tandy Weger, Lee's Summit, MO, 64082
Keep a strong Net Neutrality and Title II.

1564. Will Bowman, Wildwood, MO, 63011
I support net neutrality and keeping internet providers like AT&T and Verizon under Title 2 confines. I hope that the FCC will think about all of the consequences that a change in this could cause.

1565. x, Saint Louis, MO, 63102
Please enforce net neutrality and title2 classification.Thanks,Chris Fries

1566. Alexander Weinzerl, O Fallon, MO, 63366
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality supported by Title II oversight of ISPs.

1567. Kevin Freeman, St Louis, MO, 63130
I do not support removing Title 2 protection for net neutrality.

1568. Ian Bally, Saint Joseph, MO, 64501
We need the internet to remain 100 percent neutral!

1569. Sheila Koenig, St. Joseph, MO, 64506
i strongly support continuing net neutrality backed by Title 2. This is important for all Americans

1570. Brian McGeorge, Pleasant Valley, MO, 64068
If this country decides to scrap Net Neutrality positions, and ignore the simple fact that the internet is as intertwined these days with modern business and home life the way roads and electricity are, then you will create only more unrest within the United States. It is no longer time for these pro-corporate messages, these foolish notions that a massive network of intertwined cables running throughout the country is currently operating in anything but a natural monopoly of massive conglomerates. I strongly oppose any changes that give any more say to those that lobby for the devastating changes that will choke out all small business opportunities, in the favor of those with deep pockets, who will pay to make sure their content is first and foremost. This helps no one but those at the top of the heap already, and failure to acknowledge this makes me question the leadership of the FCC.

1571. Penn Driller, Saint charles, MO, 63301
Please keep net nutrality under title 2 provisions.

1572. Sharon K. Nelson, Taylor, MO, 63471
For the record I would like to state that I support strong network neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's.

1573. jared lorance, Kansas City, MO, 64109
Net neutrality is extremely important to me and the American people. Please take this as my formal expression that I will be forever disheartened if net neutrality goes away.

1574. Robert Knight, Independence, MO, 64055
You CAN NOT remove internet equality it will be the downfall of society.

1575. Christopher Jones, Columbia, MO, 65201
Net Neutrality is a principle of American capitalism, and if you want to take it away form being regulated under title II then you are a fascist. I am a republican who likes less regulation, but this proposal by the new Head of the FCC is beyond dumb.

1576. ryan newcomer, St Louis, MO, 63130
Without strong net neutrality laws, ISPs could choose favorites based on any number of parameters. This could hurt conservatives, news organizations, and free speech. We want Net Neutrality.

1577. Jason Gusdorf, St. Louis, MO, 63132
Ajit Pai, please do not destroy net neutrality. The internet will be one of the most precious things humanity has created. We need net neutrality to protect the integrity of data flow. PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY UNDER TITLE II.

1578. Corey Daugherty, Park Hills, MO, 63601
I strongly support Net Neutrality and oversight of ISP's ability to give or take preferential treatment to content.

1579. Alexander Selby, St Louis, MO, 63112
I do not believe that ISPs have the right to regulate and charge for the content of data traffic that I download or upload and I believe that internet access is a right and should be treated like a utility. The UN Human RIghts Council released a report along the same lines in 2016, and I wholeheartedly believe that removal of net neutrality would be detrimental to the lives of more people in the United States than it would benefit, both content creators and consumers.

1580. Whittney VanCleave, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs! Please don't do away with net neutrality!

1581. Amanda Hansen, University City, MO, 63130
Please maintain the Title II strong net neutrality regulations.

1582. Adam Bairos, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
Keep the isps under title II. Do not let the current chairman change the way net neutrality currently works. Any pay for advancement scheme would harm the abilities for everyone to use the internet fairly. I will not vote to re-elect anyone who voted against net neutrality.

1583. Jonathan Snow, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
Please note that I work for an ISP and I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

1584. Elisha Boozer, Lees Summit, MO, 64064
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is not a "let's wait and see" issue, as there are multiple instances where ISPs cannot be trusted to regulate themselves regarding this issue.

1585. Gwen Storch, Dixon, MO, 65459
KEEP NET NUETRALITY. Knowledge should not be limited or pimped out for profit. Don't allow 45 or his terrible administration to do the theoretical equivalent of burning books.

1586. christopher marlow, saint louis, MO, 63109
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. I support net neutrality and strongly oppose actions that would end it. Also, that Reese's mug is stupid. Thanks and have a great day!

1587. NH, Manchester, MO, 63021
I fully support strong Net Neutrality Law backed by Title II of ISPs. Anything less than this a disservice to the American people.

1588. Tad Baker, St Louis, MO, 63108
I am writing to ask you to preserve net neutrality and Title II. This is an important issue to me and many other people throughout the country. Everybody should be able to use the internet without fear of their ISP altering how they access it.

1589. cecil, Ashland, MO, 65010
Leave the isp under title 2. As an IT worker that works from home net neutrality is an important part of my job. Please do not ruin my job.

1590. William Spencer, Columbia, MO, 65202
I want you to know I strongly support keeping the internet neutral.

1591. Angela Marie Bradley, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Please protect net nutrality.

1592. Matthew Janssen, Kansas City, MO, 64139
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's! And opposed to the Restoring Internet Freedom Act.

1593. Joseph Snyder, Columbia, MO, 65201
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II (2) oversight of Internet Service Providers. I am strongly opposed to this, Docket 17-108.

1594. Kevin Enax, St Louis, MO, 63116
I support strong net nutrality regulations, including title II.

1595. Bob berger, St. Louis, MO, 63132
please keep the ISPs regulated under Title II

1596. Jill Oberle, Wildwod, MO, 63005
I support strong NET neutrality.

1597. Kolby turnuff, St.Louis, MO, 63139
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Needless to say I am against docket number 17-108. Please stop trying to fuck us

1598. Marty Scala, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Please preserve net neutrality laws for the good of the people. Also preserve Title 2. Thank you.

1599. Danny Hoard II, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I support strong enforcement of net neutrality through Title II regulations. Keep net neutrality and Title II enforcement!!

1600. Mike Wholey, Kansas City, MO, 64155
Title II oversight is vital to strong net neutrality and to preserve the free flow of information over the internet.

1601. Adam Smith, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I fully support NET NEUTRALITY as it is being protected by TITLE 2. I expect the FCC to maintain the status quo as is.

1602. Kenneth Hartman, Rayville, MO, 64084
I wish to express my views that we need to maintain Net Neutrality in order to ensure a free and open internet. Additionally, we need Title II classification of the internet to fully protect our right to the internet (see UN vote that established the internet as a human right).

1603. Keiten Chipman, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Please preserve net neutrality laws for the good of the people and please preserve title 2. Thank you.

1604. Rebecca Clarke, St Louis, MO, 63130
Please conserve net neutrality and Title II for the benefit of all citizens. It's the right thing to do.

1605. Jennifer Boyer, Florissant, MO, 63031
The internet MUST remain neutral. The current restrictions on ISP's in Title II are needed. Money will always do the talking and without the current restrictions, the siren call of money will pull ISP's happily back into the realm of favoritism to whoever pays them the most to "be fair and impartial". Please do not confuse Internet freedom with internet opportunism for those with the most money to throw at it. Vote against this, please.Thank you

1606. Net Neutrality, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
I support Net Neutrality and will vote against any respresentativeâ€‹ that goes against it.

1607. Joseph Musterman, Pacific, MO, 63069
I am in support of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am extremely opposed to docket number 17-108

1608. Ryan, Saint Louis, MO, 63102
You need to preserve net neutrality and Title 2! This is vitally important for peoples' 1st amendment rights and for freedom of choice. Do not give corporations the power to destroy what this country was built on, do not turn this country into a fascist regime the likes of North Korea who control everything their people see. Be an American not a money grabbing pig.

1609. Matt L, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
Preserve your neutrality and title II. This isn't a good idea. Listen to the American people.

1610. Elise Lockwood, Kansas City, MO, 64112
Net neutrality is extremely important!! We need Title II oversight of ISPs.

1611. Sarah Caswell, Cottleville, MO, 63304
I am requesting that you continue strong internet neutrality rules that are backed by Title II.

1612. Scott Mitchell, Columbia, MO, 65203
Net Neutrality is of vital importance to the future of the country. Please protect Net Neutrality. I support strong Net Neutrality backed by

title II of ISPs.

1613. Brad Baldus, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
I specifically support & in fact demand Net Neutrality backed by Title II over site of ISP's.

1614. Nora Lee, Independence, MO, 64055
Preserve net neutrality and protect Title II of the Communications A t of 1934.

1615. James Lee, Independence, MO, 64055
Protect net neutrality!!! Preserve Title 2!

1616. Jaimye Coleman, Wentzville, MO, 63385
Please do not allow companies to dictate programming by slowing down speeds to competitors.

1617. Adrienne Newcomer, St. Louis, MO, 63130
Rolling back the laws instilled under the Obama administration for net neutrality will allow ISPs to pick and choose what sites can have faster or slower internet speeds. It is common sense that the ISPs will do whatever they want to further their business at any cost. Any business would do what they needed to do legally to boost business. There are countless examples of ISPs favoring their own programs over others. The FCC commissioner needs to understand that the "hypothetical" examples we are concerned about can and WILL happen if the regulations backed by Title II are revoked.

1618. Joe Sartors, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
Keep Net Neutrality and Title II in place for ISPs

1619. Jean and David Klaus, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
I strongly support preservation of Net Neutrality and Title II. It is not right to allow companies to control the speed and content of our internet. What are you thinking? Why are you going against the will of the citizens of this country? Please do the right thing. Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.

1620. Brian Hahn, St Louis, MO, 63116
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality, backed by the protections currently provided by Title II. In a society that has consistently struggled to keep up with regulation in so many other areas, this seems like an easy decision. For shame if this is being done for political reasons. For shame. Keep Title II in place.

1621. Nicole Basham, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
Go FCC yourself. Keep net neutrality. Trump's appointees keep talking about freedom from gov. regulations while conveniently not mentioning these keep people free from corporations. Keep net neutrality and Title II, and keep the internet accessible to all info for all people. If you move the regulations back to title I, I hope your mug breaks

1622. Ben Luten, Scott City, MO, 63780
Net neutrality exists as a safeguard to prevent abuse. Removing that safeguard is only the priority of parties who want to do harm. Protect the people, or you have no further use.

1623. Spencer McCulloch, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Don't let ISPs selectively sow down sites

1624. Emily Spataro, St. Louis, MO, 63112
Continue net neutrality and title II.

1625. O. Corsey, Farmington, MO, 63640
In this day an age, access to the internet is becoming an integral part of our infrastructure private and publicly, simply evidenced by this form being available for the public being able to voice concerns about it.It is used across the board in law enforcement, hospitals, schools and in all branches and levels of government.America's next great project should be the improving and harnessing of infrastructure to keep access safe and unhindered by paywalls and profit margins.

1626. Sarah Fuchs, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I want to express my concern over Chairman Pai's agenda to remove net neutrality regulations. I believe regulations need to be in place in order to stop powerful ISP companies from controlling what we see, hear, and read.

1627. Ric O'Shea, Chestefield, MO, 63017
Keep Net Neutrality. Keep the ISP's under the jurisdiction of chapter II.If you want to stay in power you better listen to the majority of the voting public.

1628. karl winker, St. Louis, MO, 63101
I believe in strong net neutrality regulation through title II please take this into account as a free neutral internet void of influence from ISPs selecting or preferring content is a cornerstone of our democracy and culture

1629. Jonathan Giszczak, Saint Charles, MO, 63304
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II classification of Internet service providers (ISPs). Because internet service is a natural monopoly, strong government regulation is required in order to prevent misbehavior. ISPs should NOT be reclassified back to Title I. FCC enforcement of its existing rules needs to be stepped up to preserve Internet freedom.

1630. Brad Clark, Blue Springs, MO, 64015

I firmly believe that net neutrality is an important component of Title II. Please keep the previous rules under the Obama Fcc. Preserve net neutrality and title II.

1631. Matthew Neff, Springfield, MO, 65807
Net Neutrality under Title II should be preserved in order to give everyone equal ground on the internet. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=92vuuZt7wak Watch this to learn more about why Net Neutrality should be preserved.

1632. Robert Lynn, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
Please keep a strong net neutrality and keep "title 2" in place.

1633. Jennifer, O Fallon, MO, 63366
KEEP TITLE 1 AND 2

1634. Jehan Murugaser, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
Please preserve net neutrality under Title 2. Anything else would greatly diminish unbiased access to the internet.

1635. Dawn Kennedy, Saint Charles, MO, 63304
Net neutrality is central to fairness and capitalism. Deregulating the ISP providers is akin to creating a monopoly for any industry that can afford to pay the price. There is no evidence that the greater regulations in title 2 have resulted in lowered investment in infrastructure- in fact tv commercials seem to imply the opposite with all major ISPs have national coverage within 1% of each other. Even the president of Verizon stated to shareholders (taped and available) that the move to title 2 had no impact. KEEP OUR INTERNET FREE!

1636. Jessica Winterowd, St Louis, MO, 63143
I support preserving net neutrality and upholding Title 2 classification of internet service providers.

1637. Thomas Brinegar, Columbia, MO, 65203
I support Net Neutrality as supported by Title II legislation.

1638. Jordan Daniels, St. Louis, MO, 63104
I STRONGLY support enforceable net neutrality achieved by Title II classification of ISPs for both wired and wireless networks.

1639. Sean Tweihaus, St. Charles, MO, 63301
Preserve net neutrality and Title II regulations. Do not roll-back any Obama Era regulations.

1640. Kelly Mullen, St. Louis, MO, 63112
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. Please don't repeal net neutrality.

1641. Merlin Shelton, Kirksville, MO, 63501
I can't believe I still have to fear and write about this stuff, but according John Oliver, I still need to let you guys know how important it is to maintain net neutrality! I, as many fellow Americans feel, believe equal access is important for small businesses to be given the same access that the huge businesses do.Thank you for your time,Merlin Chris Shelton

1642. Scott Stav, Springfield, MO, 65802
I strongly urge you to keep Net Neutrality backed by Title II over site of ISP's

1643. Jacob, Doniphan, MO, 63935
I fully support net neutrality under Title II regulations and strongly urge you to not deregulate Isps to Title I

1644. Thomas Williams, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I strongly support net neutrality through maintaining a Title 2 classification of ISP's! Please do not reclassify to Title 1!!!

1645. Mitchell Martineau, St. Peters, MO, 63376
I support net neutrality backed with Title 2 oversight.

1646. Eric Hill, Manchester, MO, 63011
Preserve net neutrality by keeping the Title 2 classification.

1647. Jonathan Taber, Clinton, MO, 64735
No! No! No! No! No! No! We like the internet the way it is. Leave it alone. Holy crap. We shouldn't have to keep discussing this just because the big providers want more money. "Holy crap" again, just for emphasis.

1648. Mark Winterowd, Maplewood, MO, 63143
I support preserving net neutrality and upholding the categorization of ISPs under title two of The Communications Act of 1934.

1649. Joshua Large, Excelsior Springs, MO, 64024
I support Net Neutrality backed by TITLE II oversight of Internet Service Providers.

1650. Olga Breslavets, Columbia, MO, 65203
I actually cannot believe how unbelievably disgustingly difficult you have made it for people to let you know how fucked up it is that you're trying to destroy net neutrality! How blatantly you're lying to the American people! Go fuck yourself Ajit Pai you deserve nothing good in this world.

1651. Nina Clark, Wildwood, MO, 63011
I support net neutrality and Title 2 so that big corporations do not have power over what I do on the internet.

1652. Eric Journagan, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Don't let "Big Money" crush a democratizing technology. Support Net Neutrality!

1653. Robert Speir, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
Net neutrality has become a cornerstone of our democracy. Without an informed electorate informed decisions cannot be made.

1654. Scott Tetmeyer, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Preserve net neutrality and title 2.And that mug makes your hands look small.

1655. Jake Noyd, Saint Joseph, MO, 64503
I believe that maintaining net neutrality is a critical issue. When I purchase a service I expect results. If I purchase internet service I expect fair, consistent, and unfiltered service. It is my choice how I use that service. I do not want the ISP making choices for me with regard to what content I have available. I do not what the ISP limiting competing content services. If the current laws are rolled back to internet service being considered a Title I service I believe ISPs will push their own services on users in a unfair manner. Many consumers do not have an option when it comes to broadband service. In my town there is currently only one provider that can offer what is classified as broadband. If I don't like their service I have nowhere else to go. I can't purchase a different broadband service. I've had internet sites and media outlets filtered out because of failed ISP/content provider contract negotiations. This should not happen. Regardless of what is going on at the ISP, my internet service should be maintained.Please consider this a vote from a concerned consumer to maintain internet service as a Title II service. Thank you.

1656. Paul buhr, University City, MO, 63130
Keep our net neutrality under title 2.

1657. Don Riess, Springfield, MO, 65802
I support continued NET NUETRALITY! Do NOT end this protection!

1658. Robin Espy, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
Please preserve "true" net neutrality & preserve Title II as it currently stands. It would be unfair for ISP's to have this control.

1659. Dustin Sloan, Boonville, MO, 65233
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am very Opposed to Docket No. 17-108 and wanted to voice my stance on it.

1660. bryan.lowery, Saint charles, MO, 63303
I support net neutrality and regulating ISP's under title II.

1661. Justin Oliver, Wildwood, MO, 63038
Preserve net neutrality. Not doing so is a complete disservice to the citizens of this country since companies have stated, when the rule change to Title II, that their business would be unaffected by the change.

1662. Charles Moore, O'Fallon, MO, 63367
Preserve net neutrality under Title Ii.

1663. Zachary Florence, Washington, MO, 63090
From the moment I saw Ajit Pai, I knew he was a douche. So this action does not surprise me. If you think that people are just going to lay down and let you DOUCHEBAGS think you can strip away a fair and neutral internet, you've got another thing coming. I can't wait to throw all of you fuckers out of government in 4 years. BYE BYE;)

1664. Charles Stephens, St. Louis, MO, 63118
I support strong net nuetrality rules.

1665. Timothy Miller, St. Charles, MO, 63301
I strongly support the Net Neutrality and the classification of ISPs under Title II. Removing these protections would allow ISPs to snuff out competition, double charge for content access (once from the consumer, once from the distributor), and otherwise manipulate access to content in the way that profits them most. There is no benefit to anyone except large ISPs and those on their payrolls in destroying Net Neutrality.

1666. Smittywerbenyeagermanjenson, Springfield, MO, 65804
Absolutely do not even think about reverting the change to title 2! The internet and all Americans benefit greatly with a free and un-f*cked with internet! Verizon was the one that forced the hand of the FCC in the first place, and you used to be a lawer for them, so your opinion on this matter is obviously void! I don't care if the president appointed you as chairmen, the people have decided on a true free and open internet, and I will not stand to have that taken away by anyone! Your job is not to accept bribes from companies, it is to do what is best for the american people, or have you forgotten that?

1667. Brian Hanson, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Do not change the net neutrality rules from title 2. This is a very important protection for the american people. I passionately support strong enforcement of these rules under title 2.

1668. Michelle England, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Support Net Neutrality backed by Title II for ISPs!!!

1669. Matthew Barr, Kansas City, MO, 64105
Strong net neutrality rules are essential to the future of our democracy, economy, and society. The Internet must continue to be regulated under Title II.

1670. Sarah Sharpe, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Internet is a basic right of communicating today, and in the future.

1671. KEITH TEMPLIN, St. Louis, MO, 63129
I am in support of net neutrality and gov't agencies listening to the people they derive their power from

1672. Michael Knight, Monett, MO, 65708
We need Net Neutrality. Anything else is unconstitutional!

1673. Matthew Harms, Jennings, MO, 63136
Please! Please do not let corporate interests dictate the freedom of information and its access on the internet. Please support the people in supporting strong Title II regulation over ISPs in the interest of Net Neutrality!

1674. Natalia Boettcher, St. Louis, MO, 63105
Please protect net neutrality and equal treatment of all web content.

1675. Heather, Sparta, MO, 65753
I will continue to support net neutrality!!

1676. Matt Kimminau, St. louis, MO, 63112
I strongly support protecting Net Neutrality policies. I have worked with AT&T extensively, and I simply do not trust them to operate in good faith without actual rules and limitations. Far too often, large ISP companies will not work, even to restore telecom service to a sickly grandmother in need of an emergency line, without their arms twisted and without official rules enforced. The opportunity to profit from lax federal policy will not be ignored by the likes of Comcast and AT&T. In our modern age, free communication within the global conversation that takes place on these networks should be inviting the strongest of both our First Amendment and public utilities considerations. Please maintain or enhance our legacy of protecting our free internet through regulation. We the people know the difference between regulating the public and regulating giant corporations.

1677. Kyle B Chilcoat, Saint Joseph, MO, 64506
I am commenting in support of strong net neutrality with type 2 oversight categories for Internet Serviceâ€‹ Providers. Please keep the current strong enforcement footing for net neutrality.

1678. Michelllle Stutzman, Independence, MO, 64050
this is important for us all

1679. Alan Antin, Kansas City, MO, 64106
Do not change net neutrality. Keep ISPs under Title 2.

1680. Anthony Dawson, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63146
I support net neutrality and the Title II regulations placed on ISPs.

1681. Margoth, Lake St Louis, MO, 63367
Preserve Net Neutrality under title II

1682. Timothy Burch, St Clair, MO, 63077
save net neutrality. And title II

1683. Margaret Bort, St. Louis, MO, 63103
I support strong net-neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISPs.

1684. 1, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I specifically support strong net neutra;ity

1685. Teresa Cracraft, Kansas City, MO, 64106
Do NOT change net neutrality! Keep ISPs under Title Two!

1686. Kimberly Kerper, St. Louis, MO, 63117
I support Net Neutrality and Title 2 legislation in its current form. Please don't take away my internet.

1687. Damen Eckard, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
I support strong net neutrality. I support Title II oversight of ISPs. Please preserve the internet as we know and love it today and do not let the ISPs monetize it any further.

1688. Hamad B, St louis, MO, 63121
Keep the Internet free. Do no destroy small businesses.

1689. Theodore Semple II, Kirksville, MO, 63501
Net neutrality HAS to remain a thing!! The oversized coffee mug stooge, I'm sure is still receiving money from Verizon and other ISP's. Please show the American people that at least some component of government has brains, and balls left. It's no wonder we're slowly

becoming a laughing stock around the globe!

1690. Mark Brown, Raytown, MO, 64133
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, Please be informed that I specifically support STRONG net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's.

1691. Julie Houdei, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
I support net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I am asking you to preserve net neutrality. ISP's should not have the right to arbitrarily slow down or speed up certain websites.

1692. amy treece, Lees summit, MO, 64064
Please keep net neutrality safe

1693. Frank Godwin, OFallon, MO, 63368
It is obvious to me and many of my friends that the proposed change is detrimental to net neutrality. It is for the benefit of the large telecoms. If this proceeds I will work with whatever governmental agency available to break up the telecoms monopoly to again get some competition in the market and fight against any Republican Candidate for any office they wish to pursue in my state.

1694. David Witham, Kansas City, MO, 64152
ISPs should be regulated via Title II.

1695. Robert R. Radina, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Keep strong net neutrality rules made possible by Title II classification. After being classified under Title II, many ISPs are on record to their shareholders saying it would not affect their investment. Many even claimed it didn't affect them at all, let alone future investment. Why? Because they were mostly adhering to the same rules Net Neutrality enforces.Business cannot be blindly trusted to do the right thing with a TOS promise. Fine print changes all the time and a promise today is easily deleted tomorrow. Many professionals, including clergy, take ethical oaths. Yet all fall short of keeping those promises. It's very naive to trust any organization will operate in the best interest of the public without some oversight. Do not tamper with the rules we have.

1696. sarah jones, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
I urge you to keep strong net neutrality rules in place under title II. The Internet content should be received by everyone equally, there should not be a law set in place that would make it so that one company would be able to stop the access of another brand or company for their benefit. This is unacceptable. Please keep this is mind

1697. Andrew Malin, Farmington, MO, 63640
I support Net neutrality and title 2Don't screw this up!

1698. Steve McGinley, Kansas City, MO, 64155
I support net neutrality. ISPs should not get to decide what I get to do with my internet connection or how fast I can access it. They are a service provider, not a judge of what I can access or how fast.

1699. William Bailey, Kansas city, MO, 64114
Keep title two please. Deregulation doesn't help or protect consumers in this situation, but only helps the industry leaders. We the people are happy with the way things work now.

1700. Gregory Meyer, Florissant, MO, 63031
Keep title II in effect to keep the internet truely free.

1701. Christine Love Kerper, St. Louis, MO, 63117
I support net neutrality and title 2 legislation in its current form.

1702. Jonathan Knipp, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
I STRONGLY and specifically support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.I'm all for anyone and everyone to be able to make money, flourish and prosperously grow with/by/from the internet, regardless if you work for the government, an ISP or own your own business and use the internet itself as your means of livelihood.However what I am EXTREMELY against is capitalism IN THE FACE OF Net Neutrality. If you run a business on the internet or are just any random John Doe that likes to surf the web, watch your Netflix and email family and friends, you shouldn't be subject to suffering through slower speeds of connection simply cause you use one ISP over another or live in a certain area.What makes the internet great is the infinite information and content on it. And the fact that ANYONE, regardless if they live in a place like New York, or some little po-dunk cowtown in midwest with a population of 300, has equal and fast access to the same information. I like that I can learn about everything from history of my favorite sports teams while streaming up to the minute play by play of games BEING PLAYED by those same teams. I love this. And I know millions of others do to, regardless if it's sports, or it's film or comics or art or political issues - literally the spectrum never ends.I don't want my internet connection or access to my favorite websites to be hindered in any way. And I wouldn't want that for anyone else, even those who are in favor of diminishing or removing Net Neutrality all together. Cause like me you use the internet too. And you have your favorite websites as well and I highly doubt you'd like you access to be slowed down because of someone else's decision about how they see the internet.The only solution is fairness. A level playing field. Keep Net Neutrality.

1703. Maxx Tate, Kansas City, MO, 64118
Net neutrality is an essential element for the people of the United States, and the world as a whole. Moving the enforcement to Title I as opposed to Title II would be devastating for the freedom of the internet.

1704. George Schreyer, Kansas City, MO, 64108
Please retain the Title II Net Neutrality protections.

1705. JuliRose Sullivan, Springfield, MO, 65804
Please support net neutrality and Title 2 Thank you!

1706. Ryan Webb, Troy, MO, 63379
I support strong Net Neutrality by keeping ISP's on Title II

1707. Frank Zhang, St Louis, MO, 63108
Please keep internet service providers under title II classification and maintain strong net neutrality.

1708. Brett Koester, St Charles, MO, 63301
I support Net Neutality. I believe it essentially to a free and open marketplace

1709. Andrew Elstein, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
I extremely passionately support strong Net Neutrality rules including Title II classifications. Without these, small businesses will suffer.

1710. David Bram, Manchester, MO, 63011
I support Net Neutrality and any action to remove it would be incredibly detrimental to an open and free internet.

1711. Randall Pick, Liberty, MO, 64068
Given the near monopoly in many regions, a failure to treat ISP as utilities would do a grave disservice to average citizens

1712. sean brown, Florissant, MO, 63031
I firmly stand with net neutrality. Keep a free and balanced internet for all!

1713. Brian Hamilton, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I absolutely believe in net neutrality and strongly support Title II oversight of the ISP's. It's critical and ridiculous that we are even considering rolling back these protections!

1714. John Gaddy, Columbia, MO, 65202
I fully support leaving ISPs under the provisions of Title 2. Do not ruin net neutrality.

1715. Nicholas Elliott, Kansas City, MO, 64134
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. My ISP should not be able to charge me more money to go to different Web Sites with the same speed as those favored by the ISP. Regulations prevent consumers (AMERICANS) from being screwed over by corporations. If you end Net Neutrality that is exactly what will happen and your legacy will reflect this.

1716. Philip Van Arsdale, Harrisburg, MO, 65256
I believe that continued net neutrality relies upon robust regulation by the FCC under Title II of the Communications Act. Do not return them to regulation under Title I and do not relax net neutrality standards.

1717. Mary Warburton, St. Louis, MO, 63122
Chairman Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Listen to the people of America.

1718. Karen, Columbia, MO, 65202
I strongly support Net Neutraliy and Title 2 over site of ISP's

1719. Michael Reed, St Louis, MO, 63146
Keep title 2 regarding net neutrality to continue availability to the Internet without speeds becoming impacted. I support net neutrality and it should not be disregarded.

1720. jennie yeargin, Saint Louis, MO, 63113
We need the internet to stay open and preserve net neutrality.

1721. lisa radetic, St. Louis, MO, 63123
Please do the right thing preserve net neutrality. Only a truly fair and equal internet can do justice to the principles of our free nation, Anything less would be a shame.

1722. David Sherrill, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
First the ISPs want to sell our internet data and now net neutrality is in serious danger, again. When will this madness end? When will morality become more important than someone's millions and millions? Well that question has already been answered; it has always been more important. What's wrong with this picture?

1723. Rahmah Ghazal, Florissant, MO, 63033
Internet providers should not have the power to filter and influence the websites I browse and what I see. That goes against basic human rights.

1724. Michael Hartnett, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
Title 2, net neutrality important

1725. Noah Blume, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
Hello, I would like to let you know that I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please don't screw up the internet because of some rich assholes giving you money so that they can make more money. Thanks.

1726. Zachary Perkins, Kansas City, MO, 64101
I am writing to voice my support for retaining STRONG NET NEUTRALITY RULES that are backed by TITLE II.

1727. Gail Doss, Edina, MO, 63537
We as citizen of the United States can not afford open internet without net neutrality. All citizens would victimized, harassed, and devalued by the free for all this would create. Don't think the citizens that truly care for the welfare of our country aren't watching, studying, and keeping track of the foolish decisions. This should not be one. This bad decision would affect all, even the President at some point. Don't take this lightly and take in all the information, lastly, don't let this be a decision based on a corporate influence. Again, this affects all.

1728. Connor Kennedy, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Please, for the love of all things good, preserve net neutrality. The internet will go to hell in a handbasket if we don't preserve it

1729. jodi ladner, st louis, MO, 63130
I think it is very important for ISPs to remain under Title II and that net neutrality be maintained. Please listen to the people.

1730. Alex Bruckner, Maryville, MO, 64468
I support strong net neutrality and a open internet where my ISP does not dictate what content I have access to or the speed at which my content is given to me. The internet needs to remain an open source of information with no interference from a company just because it fits their best interest.

1731. Lisa Witte, St. Louis, MO, 63104
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.Stop pretending that Verizon didn't do everything it could - up to and including a lawsuit - to be allowed to throttle speeds and pick and choose who it would allow adequate access. Asking ISPs to police themselves is putting the foxes in charge of the henhouse.I also support prohibition of the sale of browsing data without explicit consent of those browsing.

1732. Kim Woolery, Kansas City, MO, 64152
I strongly support preserving Net Neutrality regulations backed by Title 2. Obama's net neutrality rules should remain in effect.

1733. Andrew Fill, Columbia, MO, 65203
Hello,I am deeply concerned about the issue of net neutrality as recently congress allowed internet providers to sell our information. It seems like more and more lawmakers are unwilling to protect our privacy rights. I am hoping the FCC in this case can be a shining example of how privacy is not a partisan issue and thus will not change under a new administration. That rather than doing what is best for business the FCC is willing to do what is best for citizens.I respect that congress is incredibly slow moving when it comes to modernizing our laws but I am hopeful that the FCC within our current framework can strive to maximize our protection while lobbying for update mandates. Internet has become a core function of infrastructure and while I do support strong regulations, it is critical that the government play an active role in working with providers to help produce better outcomes.Thank you for your consideration, sincerely.Andrew Fill

1734. Linda Sherwin, St. Louis, MO, 63144
Net neutality must be maintained if the United States is going to be seen as in the forefront of ANYTHING. President's Trump pro-corporate stance is taking us straight back into a 70 year old vision of reality that no longer exists. Companies need net neutrality if we are to remain a leader in the business world. We cannot rely on companies who "own" the internet to play fair. They cannot be nice guys in a capitalist system without putting shareholders first - not the public

1735. Sara, Grandview, MO, 64030
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II!!!!!!!!!

1736. Jeremy Indelicato, Ballwin, MO, 63011
The free flow of information through the Internet can not be left to the discretion of the ISPs.

1737. Heather Gaddy, Columbia, MO, 65202
Please keep both Net Neutrality and Title 2 as they are currently. ISPs need to be covered under Title 2. Do not allow net neutrality to disappear or in any way be compromised.

1738. net neutrality, Kansas City, MO, 64105
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II! Both of these insure i have equal access to sites I want to use and mean I am not beholden to companies who would push their own products at the expense of my (already limited) internet speeds.

1739. Jake Neubauerjake, Harrisonville, MO, 64701
I absolutely and strongly support the neutrality of internet access. It is absolutely imperative that free and equal access is protected. The internet is the primary delivery method of most media and information in America, and ISPs should NOT control the content delivered through the internet

1740. Justin, Arnold, MO, 63010
Preserve strong net neutrality and Title 2 regulations for ISP's.

1741. Ryan Deterding, St. Louis, MO, 63110
Please do the right thing and preserve net neutrality. Doing so goes against everything the web represents and is intended to be used for.

1742. Kevin Medina, kansas city, MO, 64131

I support strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. it is verry important to me. the internet is the brain of the world. we should not meddle with it.

1743. Antonia Denham, Smithville, MO, 64089
Mr. Ajit Pai - I specifically support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

1744. Charles Feimer, Sally Johnston-Feimer, Benjamin Feimer, Katherine Feimer, Wildwood, MO, 63011
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II of the FCC Rules. I want equal access, not access based on your wallet's contents.

1745. Quinn O'Brien, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Please do not allow corporations to control how we get and share our information any more than they already do. Our internet providers should not decide for us how we use the internet.

1746. frederick eccher, st louis, MO, 63136
Stop coddling the cable companies, the us pays 10 times as much for internet and get 1/10th the speed. I support net neutrality and breaking up the cable company oligarchies.

1747. Sean Boudreau, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
How dare you sell our freedom of choice to those that can abuse it. Have some integrity for once and stand up for the people you should protect

1748. Chad Killingsworth, Walnut Grove, MO, 65770
I live in a rural area with a single "broadband" service provider (excluding satellite providers). Competition is nonexistent and I pay extremely high rates for very low bandwidth. If my service provider started slowing down or blocking specific sites, I would have no recourse.Internet service providers should not be able to limit speeds to specific sites or favor their own content sources.

1749. Al Smith, Hazelwood, MO, 63042
I believe it is in the best interest of the US consumers and the Internet that the current classification of Title II be maintained and that the ISPs continue to be regulated under that stronger classification.Mr. Pai has been very deceitful in his claims (as is the name of this motion) and has flat out lied about the affects of the classification to Title II. I will remind him that the entire reason that was done was because his former employer (Verizon) forced that classification when they successfully sued the FCC for creating rules to defend NN under Title I. Which was the result of ISPs already doing numerous things that undermined the open internet to begin with.Mr. Pai's claim that it has reduced investment is not true. Even his former employer made the statement on their shareholder call that the classification of Title II had no bearing on their current or future investing into wireless or wired FIOS. Several other ISPs have made the same claim leading up to and even after the classification of Title II.Without question, Title II is the best way to regulate ISPs. Really the only problem with it, is that there were too many important and strong regulations that the former, much more consumer friendly, FCC Chairman forebear on.

1750. Shaniece Smith, Saint Louis, MO, 63113
Ending Net neutrality will end up hurting the economy and ultimately business more than it will help. Please keep the internet neutral.

1751. Carlos Marroquin, St. Louis, MO, 63114
I SUPPORT strong Net Neutrality rules. Keep the internet free!

1752. Andrew Albers, Kansas city, MO, 64105
Please support the title 2 regulation of ISPs to ensure a free and open internet.

1753. Leigh Reynolds, Kansas City, MO, 64118
Maintain Net Neutraility!!! I have worked in non-profit for two decades and net neutrality is critical to the work done in the sector. I am also a special needs parent and net neutrality is very important to me. I don't want to fed for-profit answers to my search for NGO help. There are many other reasons I support this, but this is most critical.

1754. Spencer Alan Biri, Jackson, MO, 63755
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.I am completely opposed to Docket No. 17-108.This country is a prime example that Capitalism is just as corruptible by human nature as communism. Don't let this happen.

1755. Daniel Holmgren, Kansas City, MO, 64118
I'm for net neutrality. Allowing service providers to impair signal performance to create advantage for certain businesses should be a crime with harsh penalties.

1756. Catherine Peluso, High Ridge, MO, 63049
I specifically support net neutrality backed by title Ii oversight of ISPs!

1757. Coltin Shields, Lee's Summit, MO, 64081
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Do not give up our rights for fair and equal internet access. Do not screw the people to pull in extra profits. Net neutrality helps everyone but the greedy businessmen that want to exploit the people. Keep net neutrality rules safe.

1758. kristen thurman, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
I support Net Neutrality and keeping ISPs under title II (2) regulation.

1759. Lee, Kansas City, MO, 64109
I support Net Neutrality and the Title II designation. The FCC Commissioner's view that Title II impedes the neutrality of the internet is

flawed and not based on fact. Keep the Title II designation.

1760. net neutrality, Columbia, MO, 65203
The placement of ISPs in title 2 is appropriate, and can ensure free and open access to the internet. Please leave the current situation alone. Also, the head of the FCC should be forced to identify his current conflict of interest as a former employee of Verizon.

1761. Christine Jennings, Stanberry, MO, 64489
I would like to express my support of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversite of ISPs

1762. Kevin Meyer, Florissant, MO, 63033
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Communication and the freedom to do so is innate to all people. It is not a privilege. It is a basic human right.

1763. Christopher Zembrzuski, Independence, MO, 64055
Please re-consider this bill. I have been on the internet since it came out. The people truly need to have this.

1764. Sainath Vaidhyanath, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Preserve Net neutrality. Information access on a level playing field

1765. Josh Smith, Kansas City, MO, 64119
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II regulation. Unfettered capitalism does not benefit consumers, particularly in areas with such sparse competition as ISPs, and the effort to conceal this comment page demonstrates precisely the concern by citizens that handshake agreements and Terms of Service will not be binding enough.

1766. Jill Pallo, Festus, MO, 63028
Yes to net neutrality. Keep the internet the same

1767. Austin, Springfield, MO, 65804
The internet in it's current state is a hub of innovation and the most impressive form of information symmetry we have driving our country forward towards 21st century jobs. Do not erode net neutrality regulation.

1768. Jason Goedde, Springfield, MO, 65807
I support the isp's for being class title II. I don't trust the isp's to regulate themselves.

1769. Skyler Heavilin, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
Vote to keep ISPs title 2. Net Neutrality is good for the little guy. Do what's right!

1770. Samuel Spencer, Columbia, MO, 65203
I am filling this to advocate in the strongest possible terms for the retention of net neutrality regulations under the provisions of Title II of the Federal Communications Act of 1934. The Internet only has a future as a legally protected public utility. Thank you for your time and consideration.

1771. Amber Mohr, Parkville, MO, 64152
I support net neutrality and the protections under the Title II regulation

1772. Ryan Jaeckel, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I am against changes to previous FCC Title II rules. Its incredibly important to me and everybody I know that Net Neutrality is protected and enforced. Changing our current Net Neutrality enforcement is unacceptable and creates an unlevel, unfair, disproportionate strain on multiple parties of people, businesses, organizations, etc. NET NEUTRALITY MUST NOT BE ROLLED BACK.

1773. Gary Highton, Springfield, MO, 65802
We need to protect Net Neutrality and title II. Title II and net neutrality protects the consumer from big business.

1774. Trevor, Pleasant Hill, MO, 64080
I support Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight.

1775. Amy Franklin, Springfield, MO, 65802
I support Net Neutrality. I believe Title 2 (or Title 2) is the best way to accomplish this.

1776. Nathan Thomas, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I am a strong supporter of keeping current net neutrality rules in place and will strongly oppose any politicians who vote to change those rules.

1777. Ryan Marlett, Carl Junction, MO, 64834
I strongly support the current Net Neutrality rules under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. I oppose docket 17-108.

1778. 17-108, Springfield, MO, 65804
Quite simply the FCC is supposed to regulate and ensure a fair place for competition. Do not change the Net Neutrality rules in this way.

1779. net neutraility, st peters, MO, 63376
please support title 2 oversight of ISP's to protect our access to content

1780. Matthew Bigelow, Raytown, MO, 64133

Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. Preserving these two items is key to keep the Internet open and free.

1781. Katie J, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Please preserve strong net neutrality and Title II !!!

1782. Zachary Johnson Smith, Poplar Bluff, MO, 63901
Preserve net neutrality and title two regulations.

1783. NikolasAndrew Colom, Kansas City, MO, 64134
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! And opposed to the Restoring Internet "Freedom" Act. No one who supports this farce of a bill will ever receive my vote of support, and I will be sure to spread the word among my friends and family (even extended) to ensure they understand this issue and fight against it (and any who support it) as well.

1784. Jeff Webb, Joplin, MO, 64801
I support internet neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISP's.

1785. Brian Graham, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
Leave the net neutral. Also your website is terrible.

1786. net neutrality, st louis, MO, 63139
Please maintain net neutrality in accordance with Title II

1787. Julia Strandberg, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
I support Title II regulation of ISPs to back Net Neutrality.

1788. Madeline Gemoules, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II over-sight of ISPs and your Reese's mug is dumb

1789. Michael Hall, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
Please do not give into the financial influences of Internet Service Providers and protect consumer rights - an ISP should not be allowed to control the speed of user access to certain websites, etc. This should not be voluntary at the whim of an ISP. Such is tantamount to censorship.Please think of the people. Thank you.Michael Hall

1790. Jon Tallerico, Springfield, MO, 65802
I support Net Neutrality and do not wish to see any "restoration" to the freedom of Telecomm giants to mess around with my internet. Title II is the best current protection available. Until a robust law can be legislated that will guarantee Internet Neutrality of all content, as well as Internet Privacy from all ISP snooping, we will have to keep demanding that you protect us via Title II.

1791. Kathy Vaughan, Independence, MO, 64055
I strongly urge you to preserve Net Neutrality & Section 2.

1792. Judy Moticka, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
Please keep Net Neutrality. It really matters.

1793. Joshua Collins, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Please do not change net neutrality laws or the laws that govern them. Changing it away from second two would be a bad idea long term and could erode our equal internet as we know it. Support keeping net neutrality the same!

1794. Jessica Sedinkin, Kirksville, MO, 63501
We support net neutrality and the regulation of Internet as a public utility according to Title II.

1795. Matthew Nelms, Valley Park, MO, 63088
I would prefer Net Neutrality and included components of it remain intact. Internet Service Providers should remain under Tile II of the Communications Act of 1934. While many of them may not attempt to limit competition, I feel it is best if these safeguards are left in place. Thank you for your time.

1796. Charles Dickinson, Cottleville, MO, 63376
I support net neutrality. ISP's should remain under title II as a utility. Also, a paid Verizon employee should not be in charge of the FCC!

1797. Samuel A Sasso, independence, MO, 64053
Net neutrality is needed to protect all individuals and businesses from ISPs favoring content or services over alternative content or services.

1798. Adam O'Neill, Saint Louis, MO, 63103
We support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's and believe this is the best option to ensure the internet remains a free space for expression.

1799. Andrew Peake, Valley Park, MO, 63088
Please maintain the current restrictions governing net neutrality under Title II. This issue unifies nearly all informed parties in strong opposition to any weakening of current oversight by the FCC. Although there is no guarantee that a loosening of these restrictions will wreak havoc on an internet system that is currently open and free, doing so clearly enables telecommunications companies to do so, and there is no doubt that there are numerous scenarios in which doing so would be in their interest. Moreover, there is no solid evidence

showing that the current Title II regulations on ISP's has in any way hampered investment in telecommunications infrastructure or that it has lead to any other problems for users or companies. Again, please maintain current regulatory oversight over ISP's in accordance with Title II.

1800. Beth Morrey, Fenton, MO, 63026
My husband and I support internet neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank You.

1801. Clay Holder, Saint Louis, MO, 63111
I believe that the internet should remain neutral. I am paying my service provider for access to the internet and in that payment I should not have access to sites that I want to visit hindered in any way. I believe that this will simply be a way for internet providers to, more or less, extort money out of internet services that do not want to have their traffic restricted. This is simply a greed move by the ISPs and will wind up costing the public money as those extortion fees are passed on to us. Thank You

1802. Jeremy Eberhardt, Saint Louis, MO, 63125
The Internet should remain a free and open space regulated under title II as it stands now.

1803. Jeremy Eberhardt, Saint Louis, MO, 63125
The Internet should remain a free and open space regulated under title II. No changes are necessary.

1804. Brett Ford, St. James, MO, 65559
I understand that you all are planning to move Internet Service Providers from title 2 of the communications act of 1934 to some form of self governed regulation. I request you not do this thing. It has never been a good idea to let the foxes guard the henhouse. To put it bluntly, there is no way any ISP can conceivably be trusted not to limit or enhance traffic if it's to the benefit of the ISP, when they can. As I understand it, they would be obligated to do just that, as profit to shareholders, and not any form of customer service or satisfaction, is a company's only real obligation. And it's also appalling that you've let an ex Verizon attorney lead the FCC. No person with any such possibility for bias should be allowed to lead a regulatory body. That revolving door between lobbyists, government, and corporations has been, and always will be appalling.

1805. Pamela Siegner, St. Louis, MO, 63117
I support net neutrality and Title II and urge you to preserve them.

1806. Blair Benham-Pyle, Kansas City, MO, 64109
I strongly support maintaining and enforcing net neutrality rules for ISPs backed by Title II.

1807. Gene Englehart, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
I support maintaining strong Net Neutrality regulation for ISP's under Title II law. ISP's should not be allowed to self regulate through their terms of agreement or other means that could be changed at their whim.

1808. Sarah Zickler, St. Louis, MO, 63109
MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY UNDER TITLE II.

1809. Simon Sandler, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
To revoke net neutrality is an attack upon the rights of US citizens to free use of the internet. An unregulated utility will ultimately hurt the consumers, the American people. Governments job is to protect the people, not the corporations. Net neutrality protects the people. Further more, the UN found internet to be a human right, and net neutrality protects the internet as a human right. To revoke net neutrality would ensure the internet is no longer a human right. Do not remove net neutrality regulation designed to protect the peoples right to information on a level playing field. Thank you

1810. Christine L. James, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
I am strongly opposed to the passage of the "Restore Internet Freedom Act." We MUST keep Net Neutrality intact and keep internet providers classified as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act. I strongly believe that rolling back these regulations will stifle innovation and competition on the internet, which will have dire consequences for the American economy.

1811. tavia jamison, saint louis, MO, 63109
please maintain title II protection for net neutrality. it is important the internet service providers cannot decide what we have access to.

1812. Courtney James, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
I support strong net neutrality and Title 2 regulations.

1813. Joseph Beam, West Plains, MO, 65775
I am writing to show my support for Title II Net Neutrality. Thank you.

1814. Stephen Prane, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
I'm a strong supporter of net neutrality, I urge you not to change the rules.

1815. colby Nelson, Kansas City, MO, 64105
Net neutrality is one of the most important issues that we face today. Do not do away with it. It would be an incredibly harmful move.

1816. kevin kelso, St. Louis, MO, 63123
I strongly support net neutrality. Keep title 2

1817. Madeline Davis, St. Louis, MO, 63144
Keep Title II the way it is, we do not need looser regulations. Companies will not keep streaming speeds the same of competing

companies and net neutrality needs to be protected. Protect net neutrality!

1818. Sarah Van Ness, Liberty, MO, 64068
We strongly support net neutrality oversight and protections under Title II.

1819. Philip Wisniewski, Lee's Summit, MO, 64086
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am VERY opposed to Docket No. 17-108! DO NOT VIOLATE MY NET NEUTRALITY.

1820. Hal B Waggoner Jr, Fenton, MO, 63026
Keep ISP's classified as common carriers under Title 2. Keep net neutrality.

1821. Jabari Allen, Bridgeton, MO, 63044
I strongly believe that the American public needs legitimate net neutrality so the people are protected from unfair practices at the hands of ISPs. I am in strong support of Title II oversight of ISPs!I am in strong opposition of docket no. 17 - 108!

1822. john burnett, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
The internet should be handled by government as they would a utility; equal, fair and evenhanded to all providers of internet service providers. Favour should NOT go to one entity at the expense of another. Internet access has become essential access to the American people. Our Federal government agency regulating this service should also ensure affordable internet assess to all places in the U.S. - like our Postal Service!

1823. Bryan Seely, Nixa, MO, 65714
Please keep the internet on a level playing field.... Also,please provide internet to the unfortunate that can not afford it. I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight.

1824. john rose, St. Louis, MO, 63112
I support net neutrality and it's strong regulation via title II.

1825. Laura Wagner, Kansas City, MO, 64105
We need to preserve strong net neutrality rules and title II

1826. Jason Dauwen, Kansas City, MO, 64155
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. ISPs should not have the power to control access to specific content or companies. The internet is too important in the daily lives of all Americans. We cannot allow a few powerful individuals to seize control of this utility.

1827. Annelise Dale, Belton, MO, 64012
Uphold net neutrality and keep ISP's classified under Title II.

1828. James C. Owen, Columbia, MO, 65201
We strongly believe in Title II oversight of ISPs and Net Neutrality. Allowing different providers to slow speeds is improper.

1829. JOHN Klos, Manchester, MO, 63011
Keep Title II, KEEP NET Nutrality

1830. Braton Grannan, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
Net neutrality is critical to the fair application of a tool used to create business across a variety of industries. Whatever inefficiencies created through regulation pale in comparison to the benefits gained through fair, uninterrupted, non-directed use of this utility in other industries. This is perhaps not true in other industries whose products are more specific to their niche, but the internet affects all businesses. While regulation has been deemed unnecessary by the current chairman, I must disagree given my experience with my fellow business school graduates who are taught to take advantage of any loopholes in regulation in order to improve their product's success. The government must step in to control this and maintain net neutrality so that small businesses and business owners across all industries are not affected by the intelligent, though selfish, strategic actions of internet companies who have been trained to take advantage of this situation.

1831. Sarah Smith-Frigerio, Columbia, MO, 65202
It is imperative that we keep ISPs under Title II oversight. I do not simply trust private companies to place the common good over profits when not told specifically to do so! We saw multiple examples of this before 2015. The open and equitable exchange of information and communication online is tantamount to our first amendment rights (freedom of speech, freedom of the press, and the right to assembly-- even online).

1832. Karen Klos, Manchester, MO, 63011
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II overight over ISPs.

1833. James Rice, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 of oversight of isp

1834. Bryan Strahm, St. Charles, MO, 63301
Do not ruin net neutrality. Please work hard to prevent companies from running rampant in their gross manipulations of internet usage.

1835. patrick long, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
I strongly believe all ISPs for mobile and landmine internet should remain classified as title 2 instead of reclassified as title 1.

1836. Charlotte Trible, Kansas City, MO, 64108
I support classifying internet regulation under Title 2 to preserve a free, open, and neutral internet.

1837. Kevin Randazzor, wentzville, MO, 63385
Please keep net neutrality strong and keep title 2

1838. Joshua Ellis, Springfield, MO, 65802
I believe in net neutrality and Title II oversight of internet service providers

1839. Jordan Hull, Ozark, MO, 65721
Please do not repeal Title II. It will kill small businesses and startups at the expense of less innovative solutions.

1840. Duncan Holahan, St. Louis, MO, 63128
I'm writing to say that I'm strongly in favor of net neutrality under its current legal standing as enforceable under the Title II provision.

1841. Monica Sequerra, Rolla, MO, 65401
The internet should stay open source. Don't be a fucking douchebag.

1842. Barbara A Jones, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Removal of the oversight will, and has in the past, eliminate fair and independent net access.

1843. alan hoyt, ST LOUIS, MO, 63116
Stop fucking around

1844. Molly Pellettiere, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64134
I support a strong Net Neutrality! Do not tamper with our Net Neutrality or the freedom of the internet!

1845. David Freeman, Platte City, MO, 64079
The internet should be open by net neutrality rules. All ISPs should be restricted to Title 2 classification. This way they are forced to follow the Open internet laws. Isps cannot be trusted to freely choose what websites work well.

1846. Julie Padberg-White, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
Ajit Pai-I ABSOLUTELY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE 2..get your head out of your coffee cup and stop pandering to your past employer and the communications / ISP industry..assuming that internet providers will just keep to an 'honor system' - that's preposterous and you know it.

1847. e, Webster groves, MO, 63119
I strongly support net neutrality protections under title 2. Please continue to support an open internet.

1848. John W. Klos, Manchester, MO, 63011
Keep net Nutrality and Title 2

1849. Claire Altman, Columbia, MO, 65203
I support net neutrality. Do not deregulate.

1850. Graham Lane, Saint Louis, MO, 63106
I am a strong supporter of Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight! It is a critical component of our open internet. ISP's should function as internet service providers not as content regulators. They should not have the legal option to steer their customers to preferred media nor restrict access to media from any provider. Protect Net Neutrality as it is!

1851. Chuck Simms, wentzville, MO, 63385
You need to keep a strong net neutrality under title 2.

1852. Martin Wilmes, St. Charles, MO, 63303
Removing net neutrality would the ultimate sell out to big business. Thinking that companies will not break this just because they haven't yet is crazy. Verizon sued to get rid of Title one why wouldn't they do this again? Americans all use and in many cases depend on the internet for school/livelyhood. Dropping these rules puts all of those people at potential risk. But I guess when the president puts someone in charge who worked for the people he regulates, the American people are not his concern. Please prove me wrong.

1853. Adam Pogatshnik, Raymore, MO, 64083
I support strong protection for Net Neutrality under title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934! Net neutrality needs to be preserved, as the FCC needs the ability to enforce fair play for competing ISPs.

1854. Shawna Harris, St. Joseph, MO, 64507
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Businesses do not get to limit my access to other businesses!!

1855. Kestrel Erickson, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I strongly support Net Neutrality under the Title II Net Neutrality ruling. Keep the internet open, wild, and free!

1856. Andrew, St.Louis, MO, 63124
I support strong net neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISPs. Do not deregulate the internet

1857. Jarrod Viox, Kearney, MO, 64060
Do not throw away Net Neutrality you twits! I mean, unless you're for extortion and blackmail, and from the sounds of it, you all are.

1858. Daniel Laurine, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
Preserve internet nuetrality!

1859. Dana Laurine, Lee's Summit, MO, 64063
Preserve internet neurality!

1860. Crista Hallows, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
Please don't put this kind of power into the hands of greedy ISP CEOs, thanks.

1861. Tom Fairbairn, Springfield, MO, 65804
Please maintain strict net neutrality laws under article 2 with ISPs.

1862. Kimberly Morrison, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
I am a strong proponent of preserving net neutrality backed by Title II

1863. Daniel Harris, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I strongly support robust Net Neutrality laws backed by Title 2 legislation.

1864. Aidan Hunter, Columbia, MO, 65202
I support stricter net neutrality laws and support for Title II.

1865. Kaitlyn Faries, Arnold, MO, 63010
I support net neutrality by keeping ISPs under Title 2 of the 1934 federal communications act. Thank you.

1866. Jennifer Perkins, St Louis, MO, 63130
I strongly support net neutrality. Please keep Title II. I feel that companies need gov't restrictions or else greed destroys a fair playing field and good for the country/freedoms of its citizens.

1867. Amisha Miller, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Please do not get rid of net neutrality. I do not want telecommunications companies or internet providers to decide how I access content. Please protect me and others from exploitation from big business.

1868. Alex Anderson, Kansas City, MO, 64105
Keep Net Neutrality. Keep ISPs under title 2.

1869. Barbara Ashworth, Kansas City, MO, 64134
I specifically support STRONG Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Thank you!

1870. Doug Rinehart, Bethany, MO, 64424
I fully support Net Neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs.

1871. ingmar middelbos, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I want the FCC to preserve and protect net neutrality through title 2 oversight on internet providers.

1872. Rachel Bailey, Columbia, MO, 65203
The Internet is the repository of all human knowledge. This is threatened by the Trump administrationâ€™s decision to roll back protections for net neutrality. As citizens of this United Nations, where information flows free per our constitution, we demand actions be taken to protect and defend net neutrality.

1873. Mitch Daniel, Jackson, MO, 63755
I wish to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by title 2.

1874. Alex Rausch, Kansas City, MO, 64134
I strongly support net neutrality, and I believe that giving ISPs the power to choose what I can or cannot access via what has become an important public utility is fundamentally wrong and a violation of my rights to access the marketplace of ideas. As my representatives, the FCC is duty bound to ensure Internet freedom and to not allow these public utility providers to choose the speeds that I can or cannot access this important marketplace.

1875. Jason Reisz, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
I want strong net neutrality backed by Title 2. Stop giving the ISPs the rights to decide how we use the internet.

1876. Steve Berger, kansas city, MO, 64113
i support net neutrality / internet freedom and leaving ISPs regulated under title IIthanks.

1877. Andrew Jones, St. Charles, MO, 63303
I support strong net neutrality backed by support from Title 2.

1878. Austin Nash, St. Louis, MO, 60110
I urge you not to reclassify ISPs under Title 1 of the Federal Communications Act.

1879. David Reed, Columbia, MO, 65203
I support Net Neutrality and Title II. Please do not Deregulate. Net Neutrality keeps the power of the open market in the hands of the consumer where it belongs. Consumers need freedom of choice to truly experience the open market. Erasing Title II will only serve to remove choice and freedom from the consumer, and suppress open market processes that are so essential to all economic activity on the internet.

1880. pai, kansas city, MO, 64118
YOU MUST KEEP ALL NET NEUTRALITY LAWS AND RULES IN PLACE. Trusting in companies to make the "proper" decision for the public and not for themselves and their investors is not possible. We have seen it countless times in history and will continue to see it. This will not stop as long as YOU continue to make decisions based on your current stock portfolio. Giving Verizon and others a lack of regulation only helps the company and shareholders, not individuals. Please do not be predictable and only recommend these changes for your own interests.

1881. Nathan tenborg, Kansas city, MO, 64105
I'm incredibly disturbed by the FCC proposal of removing title 2 regulations against ISP's. As a network security engineer this has immense ramifications for our country's ability to continue to innovate. By allowing ISP's to decide what companies and sites get prefferred access your stifling a free open market competition and threatening americas position and power to innovate.

1882. Rahul Singh, St. Louis, MO, 63116
To the FCC at large and Chairman Ajit Pai:I am vehemently against your proposals to roll back Title II coverage for Internet Service Providers (ISPs). I support strong Net Neutrality for a free and open internet, which involves Title II coverage for ISPs; rolling back Title II coverage and proposing a "lighter touch" regulatory structure for ISPs would be extremely detrimental to the internet and anyone who accesses it. The internet is no longer a nicety for Americans but a necessity, and it must be governed as a utility so it remains free and open for all. Repeal of Title II coverage and all policies enacted by the FCC under former chairman Tom Wheeler during the Obama administration would be a mistake and done only to line the pockets of large corporations and lobbyists. Please do not do so and protect the rights of the American citizens you represent.Thank you,Rahul Singh

1883. Andrew, St. Charles, MO, 63301
You need to keep the ISP's in check by keeping title 2 regulations in place.

1884. Daniel Ferguson, Raymore, MO, 64083
Title 2, please. We need strong net neutrality rules.

1885. Neil Miller, Kansas City, MO, 64113
I urge you to preserve net neutrality and the title 2 designation for ISPs. There is no cost to ISPs to preserve neutrality and there is great potential harm to the country by doing away with it. The internet thrives as a platform for commerce, connectivity and exchange of ideas specifically because it is a level playing field

1886. Todd Brier, Independence, MO, 64057
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. This rule protects consumers and costs internet providers nothing. Fighting against it is like saying murder shouldn't be illegal if we all just promise not to.

1887. Jasmin, Pleasant Hope, MO, 65725
We support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP.

1888. David Bauer, Columbia, MO, 65203
I strongly urge you to retain net neutrality by keeping the protections afforded by Title II.

1889. Ahsen Kayani, jefferson city, MO, 65109
I support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs. This is against what the United States is founded upon that all men have the right to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.

1890. Karla Boresi, St. Louis, MO, 63108
I want to keep in place the strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

1891. Seth, St. Louis, MO, 63127
This shit needs to end. Stop trying to destroy the very vital internet that we pay for and deserve. Seriously... throwing the word "freedom" in the name to try and trick Americans in to voting for this bullshit? You lose all meaning for the word "Freedom" when you pull a stunt like this. "Freedom" is not your word to bend or break.

1892. ryan felling, st. louis, MO, 63119
Keep net neutrality and Title 2. the path to hell is paved with good intentions and if you think that ISPs have good intentions and will regulate themselves then you are delusional but judging by, the sordid past with Verizon, the head of the FCC's former employer most likely has a conflict of interest to repeal net neutrality.

1893. Diane Murphy, Kansas city, MO, 64114
Keep Title 2 to maintain net neutrality. I

1894. Ken Hunnicutt, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Do not reclassify ISPs so that they can undo net neutrality.

1895. Jason Stump, Springfield, MO, 65803

I specifically Support a stronger net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of Isp's

1896. Sarah Geimer, Raytown, MO, 64133
I support robust net neutrality regulations to ensure free exchange of ideas and a free marketplace for internet commerce. Please do not reclassify ISPs under Title I.

1897. Diane Redmond, St. Louis, MO, MO, 63109
I believe that net neutrality needs to remain protected by Title II. There is absolutely no reason for the consumer to believe that the ISPs will but the interests of their customers before their financial interests. Our government is here to protect us from those who are more power than us. I expect our government to serve the people and not corporate interests.

1898. Padraic McGrath, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
I support net neutrality as it currently exists under Title 2. Please do not change the rules.

1899. Ezra Umen, St. Louis, MO, 63141
Net neutrality is a critical issue for all citizens of the united states, and the plan to alter the legal status of ISPs, preventing enforcement of net neutrality is an extreme blow to a free and open internet. It is this freedom and openness which enabled the development of many services that are widely used today, and by preventing full enforcement of net neutrality for ISPs, services such as google or netflix may never come in to existence, as they could be hindered by internet throttling by ISPs attempting to extort companies. Please reconsider the decision to loosen the legal restrictions on ISPs.

1900. Jason Dauwen, Kansas City, MO, 64155
I support net neutrality, specifically under the protection of Title II. Internet Service Providers should not be able to control access to, or the speed of, content I wish to view.

1901. Anita Manion, Brentwood, MO, 63144
Net neutrality is vital for the free market and fair unbiased access. Please do not roll back title 2 to benefit big corporations.

1902. Benjamin Xue, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am opposed to docket No. 17-108. It is ridiculous that this proceeding is named "Restoring Internet Freedom" when the intention, if passed, is to throttle the internet's freedom. This is bad for consumers and bad for small businesses.

1903. Aaron Roberts, Kansas City, MO, 64151
We are in favor of ISPs continuing to be regulated under Title II.

1904. Margot Williams, St. Louis, MO, 63116
I support net neutrality! Keep ISPs under title II

1905. Cynthia Staufenbiel, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
It is important to protect net neutrality and maintain the Title II status for Internet Search Providers.

1906. casey limmer, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
Retain title II.

1907. David Mercer, Perryville, MO, 63775
"Restoring freedom for the ISPs to Rake in more money" should be the name of this shameless attempt to destroy net neutrality. You and the administration who are behind this incredibly transparent attempt to kill my freedom to enjoy my choice of internet channels and websites will be held accountable. The FCC Chairman is an industry shyster and shill for the ISPs and should not have been appointed to this post. I will personally do everything in my power to stop this from happening, and remember this - internet nerds will NEVER forget, especially in 2018 and 2020.

1908. Nancy Kelley, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
For all of our good as Internet users, preserve net neutrality and Title II.

1909. Karl Evan Shikles, Kansas City, MO, 64119
As a small business owner and American manufacturer (I have a small cabinet shop), I respectfully request that you uphold the current net neutrality laws and regulations. My exposure on the internet is crucial to my business yet I don't have the funds to pay extra for easier access to my website. I strive to do my small part to offset the manufacturing deficit that our country currently suffers from and count on you as representatives of the people to maintain a level playing field so that small proprietors such as myself can access our target demographics. Thank you.

1910. Samuel Koenig, St. Louis, MO, 63124
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 regulation for ISPs

1911. Caroline Allander, Kansas city, MO, 64110
I favor the preservation of title 2 and strong protection of net neutrality!!!

1912. Elizabeth Comer, Lee's Summit, MO, 64064
We need to keep laws in place for net neutrality for an open intranet

1913. Sarah McGrath, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
I support net neutrality as it currently exists under title two. Please don't change net neutrality as it already exists.

1914. Michael Delclos, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
I am already paying my ISP for service. As a citizen, I think that should allow me to be protected from that same ISP having even the possibility, however remote you feel it may be, of having that service throttled or controlled in any way. Protect our freedom to use the internet as we choose, not as our ISPs choose

1915. Benjamin Eastman, St. Louis, MO, 63103
I am in support of the internet being governed under Title II restrictions. Internet Service Providers have shown time and again that they cannot be trusted to act in the public's best interest under Title I.

1916. brittainy kinsey, St. Louis, MO, 63104
I support strong Net neutrality by title 2 oversight of isps.

1917. Shvetha Gohn, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
I support net neutrality strongly.

1918. Robert Samuelson, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Under no circumstances should the Obama era Net-Neutrality protections be altered. The future of myself and all those who come after me are at stake. The internet provides a level playing ground for up and comers to develop, transform, and improve the marketplace for all.

1919. Julie Kelleher, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
Please do not scale back net neutrality regulations. My first amendment rights are not for sale.

1920. Brad Heffron, Lee's Summit, MO, 64081
Please keep strong net neutrality rules and Title II

1921. Chris Dolan, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
Preserve net neutrality with strong regulations.

1922. megan, St Louis, MO, 63110
Please support strong net neutrality under title 2 for all ISP providers.

1923. Mary Ehrenreich, St. Louis, MO, 63116
I support Title 2 and encourage strong net neutrality. Do not let ISPs dictate my use of the internet.

1924. Ryan, St. Louis, MO, 63108
Breaking net neutrality undermines everything that makes the internet great! An open, even playing field for everyone. Altering this will only further commercialize the internet, to a point where it no longer resembles what it was originally meant to be, an unbiased channel for open communication between all people.

1925. Prerit Bhakta, High Ridge, MO, 63049
I support Title 2 regulations on ISPs (i.e. net neutrality).

1926. Alyssa Patzius, Columbia, MO, 65202
We need to save Net Nuetrality and keep IPS companies under Title 2. Internet makes our world an even playing field. Don't let corporations take it over too.

1927. Evan J Kutta, St. Louis, MO, 63141
Ajit Pai, I back strong net neutrality backed by Title II for internet service providers.

1928. Tristan Wilson, Smithville, MO, 64089
ISP's need to stay under title II, and be regulated by the FCC. They've proven time and again that they need oversight, and will become pay-to-play without net neutrality rules. If you truly want internet freedom- that means freedom for everyone on the internet to be treated equally. The internet is the backbone of information and no preferential treatment should be allowed to any person, organization, or corporation. Net neutrality rules must stay in place to ensure the internet is a place that is truly free. Rolling back rulings or ISP classifications will give America's less freedom. -Tristan Wilson-

1929. Phillip Mazero, St. Louis, MO, 63116
Preserve net neutrality and keep title II in effect.

1930. Tera Diepenbrock, Raymore, MO, 64083
Net neutrality is extremely important. Please make every effort to legally protect net neutrality under Title II.

1931. Barry Kelley, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Please keep Net Neutrality protections in place under Title II. We need a free and open internet.

1932. John Marsh, Fenton, MO, 63026
FCC Please protect net neutrality and uphold title II. This is important to keep the internet a level playing field for all.

1933. edward murphy, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Keep title 2 to maintain net neutrality

1934. Nathaniel Quance, Kansas City, MO, 64111

I support a free and open internet. I expect that to be enforced under Title 2 levels of oversight and enforcement to better insure that freedom and fairness as opposed to profits and preference are the guiding principles. Keep Title 2 levels of oversight for the betterment of all internet users.

1935. Eric, Bloomsdale, MO, 63627
Please maintain net neutrality and keep this regulation under Title 2 enforcement.

1936. Mitchell, Columbia, MO, 65201
I support net neutrality backed by title II oversight. I do not have faith in the moral fabric of massive for-profit companies and I do not believe we should trust them to maintain net neutrality without proper government oversight and legal accountability. Also... John Oliver strikes again :)

1937. christine Varner, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
I strongly support Net Neutrality in its current form classifying internet carriers as Title II. This crap is nothing more than a handout to the big internet providers, and Ajit Pait should be ashamed of himself!

1938. Karalynn, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
We are watching this - every denizen of the internet both far and wide. Preserve Net Neutrality. Ajit Pai clearly has a conflict of interest here.

1939. Rob Beavers, Kansas City, MO, 64113
I think Net Neutrality is important and if it's not maintained, the internet will fall apart.

1940. Stacy Glover, Springfield, MO, 65807
Please protect Net Neutrality & Title 2. Come on, do it. Don't mess up internet access. How you going to explain to the elderly why their web pages are running slower if net neutrality goes away?

1941. robert patterson, St. Louis, MO, 63129
I support continued net neutrality,the temptation to alter access for financial gain is to strong

1942. Salicia Mazero, St louis, MO, 63116
Please do not change the current policy on net neutrality.

1943. Nick Blue, St.Louis, MO, 63119
FCC you need to preserve Net Neutrality and title 2! And the mug is the dumbest thing I've ever seen!

1944. Brittainy A Kinsey, saint louis, MO, 63104
I support strong net neutrality under title ii in regards to oversight of isps.

1945. Aileen Sandoval, St Louis, MO, 63146
This believe this ruling is an abuse of influence, and an attempt by the ISPs to artificially control my access to the Internet. Please retain the Net Neutrality legislation and protect equal access to the Internet.

1946. Norman, Kennett, MO, 63857
I support net neutrality being left as title two with the regulations to protect the little guy.

1947. Jacob Zawojski, St. Charles, MO, 63304
Please keep a the net neutrality rules backed by Title II strong. Please do not sell us out.

1948. Megan, St. Louis, MO, 63139
Please uphold Net Neutrality and Title II!

1949. Alex Welsch, St Louis, MO, 63139
Please keep net neutrality by keeping ISPs classified under Title II. Thank you.

1950. Chad Smith, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
As a long time user of the internet, (and a voter since age 18) I STRONGLY support a STRONG and OPEN Internet with POWERFUL protections of Net Neutrality specifically backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.I also am STRONGLY against the ISPs having the right to sell users' history to ANYONE at ANY TIME.

1951. beth, Raymore, MO, 64083
I wholly support preserving net neutrality by way of strong protections under Title II.

1952. Aurora Chamberlain, St louis, MO, 63116
I do not believe people's choice of what they have access to regarding the internet should be controlled by those that have more money than others. It is unjust and un-American.

1953. Michael Herzberg, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I firmly believe that internet service providers should be classified as Title II common carriers. I support strong net neutrality.

1954. James Mitchell, St Charles, MO, 63303
I do not support abolishing Net Neutrality

1955. Dimitri Thomas, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Yes to net neutrality. Don't be a lackey of big ISP providers.

1956. Greg Graff, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I support strong net neutrality rules and support title 2. There is absolutely no reason for the government to be meddling in Internet accessibility purely in the interest of private and corporate interest. The citizens of the United States and corporations pay excessive rates for Internet access in comparison to other countries and the last thing that is needed is discrimination by service providers ultimately driving up consumer content cost. In other words, leave it alone. It's not broke!

1957. j. todd gentry,, O'fallon, MO, 63366
I support net neutrality and encourage you to do the same. Net neutrality under title 1. We all need free unfettered internet.

1958. Justin Fleming, Springfield, MO, 65806
Keep the net neutrality strong with Title II rules. Anything less opens the door to rampant abuse by already questionable ISPs and the creation of monopolies. STOP. MESSING. WITH. NET. NEUTRALITY.

1959. James Varner, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
I strongly support the existing FCC regulations regarding net neutrality as a Title II entity. Please do not change the rulings moving forward.

1960. Alan Luger, Columbia, MO, 65201
We need strong net neutrality under Title II. Do not change it.

1961. Cameron Hutchison, Saint Louis, MO, 63126
I support Net Neutrality. Particularly, strong Net Neutrality where Internet Service Providers are classified as Title 2 carriers as per the Communications Act of 1934.Thank you,Cameron Hutchison

1962. robert herzog, Perryville, MO, 63775
I am opposed to relaxing the net neutrality regulations. They should remain covered by Title 2. All users should be guaranteed equal access without interference from ISPs.

1963. Andrew Bland, St. Louis, MO, 63109
I Support a free and open internet backed by Title II oversight of ISP's

1964. Grant Berry, Lees Summit, MO, 64082
Please don't be stupid and ruin the internet

1965. Alexander Walker, jefferson city, MO, 65101
History will remember who stood on the side of the people. Don't be remembered as the sell outs.

1966. Galen McGreehan, St. Louis, MO, 63139
You have led the foxes into our fragile henhouse. Actually, you may be the fox. Please understand that you've made a mistake and correct it. Thank you and goodnight.

1967. RYAN T KELLEY, WENTZVILLE, MO, 63385
I strongly support Title 2 protection of Net Neutrality. The possible restrictions of First Amendment rights is appalling. The restriction of information based upon ability to pay is by definition, censorship. The First Amendment gauantees the smallest voice the same rights as the largest. Please prevent censorship of the internet and preserve net neutrality.

1968. Jeffrey Carr, Ladue, MO, 63124
Please keep strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II; without this the Government will support unfair business bias, stifling entrepreneurship and creativity.

1969. James Burlis, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
moving from title 2 to title 1 solves nothing. It was proven by the verizon lawsuit that title 1 is not enforceable and bad for the American people. I specifically disapprove of the proposed move back to title 1. I question the motivation too.

1970. Travis Uzynski, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I implore you to maintain Title 2 status. The internet has been vital for the development and growth of numerous industries and the idea that it should not have as strong a protection of net neutrality is appalling.

1971. Melaney Mitchell, Kansas City, MO, 64108
NET NEUTRALITY IS THE ONLY OPTION.

1972. Frank Patti, Gladstone, MO, 64118
I support net neutrality under the protection of title II

1973. Brad Stanton, St. Joseph, MO, 64506
I am in favor of protecting net neutrality via Title II regulation of ISPs.

1974. Brook A Campbell, Kansas City, MO, 64113
I urge you not change the regulations in regards to net neutrality. As a citizen of the US and consumer I look to the government to oversee corporations to look out for my best interest instead of the interest of the companies. To think that corporations have any interest

other than their bottom line is naive at best. Changing net neutrality regulations will allow companies to push their specific products, putting me, the consumer, at a disadvantage to use the internet products that I prefer.

1975. Olivia Patti, Gladstone, MO, 64118
I support net neutrality under the protection of title 2.

1976. renee shy, st louis, MO, 63103
I strongly support net neutrality. No one company should have an advantage over another

1977. Susan Spencer, St. Louis, MO, 63132
The internet is too important to become the pawn of communications companies trying to make an extra buck. The fact that the person pushing this agenda is a former Verizon employee makes it clear that the motivation here is greed at the expense of consumers. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!

1978. Cody Kaspzyk, Kansas City, MO, 64106
Keep the internet free and neutral! Its the one playing field I feel as a younger citizen is fair for all and that perhaps I have an advantage in the digital world. I can express my needs and vote with my dollar in a fair way. A neutral internet is a capitalistic internet.

1979. Scott Kreher, St. Louis, MO, 63144
Please preserve net neutrality under Title II. It established a level playing field for competition and the reasons for changing it seem inadequate.

1980. Steven Davis, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
Internet Service Providers need to remain Title II.

1981. Elizabeth Leslie, Raytown, MO, 64138
I strongly support an open Internet backed by Title 2 for isps.

1982. Heidi B Meister, saint peters, MO, 63376
I am specifically interested in strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs. Please ensure that my access to the internet is neutral to content and one source of content is not prioritized over another.

1983. Addam Wall, Saint louis, MO, 63116
I support current net neutrality laws and current class II classification

1984. Justin Lebb, St louis, MO, 63116
I strongly support net neutrality under title II. Please continue to allow my ISP to provide a fair and equal internet.

1985. Jon LePique, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Network neutralityâ€”the idea that Internet service providers (ISPs) should treat all data that travels over their networks fairly, without improper discrimination in favor of particular apps, sites or servicesâ€”is a principle that must be upheld to protect the future of our open Internet. It's a principle that's faced many threats over the years, such as ISPs forging packets to tamper with certain kinds of traffic or slowing down or even outright blocking protocols or applications.

1986. mary, Springfield, MO, 65807
Please preserve net neutrality neutrality rules and support the Title 2 !!!!

1987. Kaushik Bhakta, St. Louis, MO, 63119
I support title 2 regulations in ISPs.

1988. Net, Pevely, MO, 63070
I strongly support Net Neutrality. Backed by Title II oversight of ISP's The idea of letting companies "promise" not to prioritize websites, is like Hitler coming upon the UN and saying he promises to take care of the Jews. This idea is absolutely asinine. As a citizen of both the internet and United States, I say that, I Support Net Neutrality. Don't take away our rights like Trump has done to the women, LGBT citizens, and our Mexican Americans.

1989. Carol Lena, Ballwin, MO, 63021
It is with great insistence that I urge congress to maintain net neutrality under Title II law. In order to have fair and unlimited access to all information available, net neutrality is necessary and I fully support it. I implore you to oversee the law as it stands.

1990. Alan Ronn, St. Louis, MO, 63104
Net Neutrality supported by Title II regulation drives free and enterprise online and protects small business.

1991. Nik, St. Louis, MO, 63108
I very very much believe in Net Neutrality. I fully endorse the Title II Oversight on ISP's, and I would be very disappointed in the FCC and the US in general for compromising that. the arguments of the FCC chair regarding this are not valid, and should not direct how the FCC functions.

1992. Dan, Saint Louis, MO, 63103
Please keep Net Neutraility the way it is, within Title IINo need for corporations to drive performance differences - the internet should remain fair and equal!

1993. Dave J, Kansas City, MO, 64131

Keep ISPs under Title II. They have shown many times they are unable to regulate themselves and act in their own best interest not the consumers. Quit being a corporate shill Pai.

1994. Zach Smith, St. Louis, MO, 63119
Regulation protects the people from abuse. Don't be a headass

1995. hector salas, Springfild, MO, 65807
I would like to express my approval towards title 2 net neutrality rules

1996. Josette Savidge, Columbia, MO, 65201
I want to keep Net neutrality. I do not think this it should be removed, at all.

1997. Taylor S., Kansas City, MO, 64113
I support the preservation of net neutrality regulation by Title II classification for ISPs

1998. Alex Pysz, Imperial, MO, 63052
Bill is a lie.

1999. tom jewett, Moberly, MO, 65270
I support NET NEUTRALITY. Also, you are a douche bag.

2000. John Gardner, Kirksville, MO, 63501
Please retain net neutrality. Internet traffic should be protected under Title II. Thanks for your consideration.

2001. Kristian Dehaan, Rolla, MO, 65401
Remember Netflix and Comcast yeah don't get rid of net neutrally

2002. Benjamin Jeffrey, Mexico, MO, 65265
Net neutrality needs to be left in place and be left alone. None of the countries in the world who enjoy the fastest Internet speeds impose these ridiculous standards on their citizens and they're better for it! Why does this keep happening over and over again? Why are you fighting so hard to let ISP companies control us? Leave net neutrality alone! The fact that the bill's name itself is misleading proves it's not what is in the best interest of the citizens of the United States. You're hurting our freedoms and benefitting no one but ISP's and their stock holders.

2003. Brittany Williams, Kansas City, MO, 64132
Net neutrality forever! There is no reason to have an open internet without any regulation. It would be insane for large providers and companies to overshadow and eliminate smaller enterprises. Also Trump has no idea what he's talking about and neither does the asshole with the giant Reese's mug. Title II and net neutrality HAVE TO STAY.

2004. Gloria Lyons, Kansas City, MO, 64152
We regulate precisely because we cannot trust. We cannot trust companies who took BILLIONs of taxpayer dollars to invest in infrastructure and gave us internet that is ranked somewhere around 17th in the world. You exist as an entity to regulate. You may have worked for ISPs in the past, but you work for US now. WE THE PEOPLE demand that you REGULATE ISPs using Title II ensuring Net Neutrality.

2005. Matt Knudtson, Columbia, MO, 65201
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. It is necessary for a fully functioning democracy.

2006. Dennis Morehouse, Independence, MO, 64050
I support net neutrality "title II" keep the Internet as it is, open and free!

2007. jim green, rolla, MO, 65401
i support stronger net neutrality under the enhanced rules of title 2

2008. Anthony Mattingly, Kansas City, MO, 64132
Net neutrality is the how we ensure a free internet for all. This is America, we have laws to ensure the safety and freedom of our people, title 2 is a law that protects the freedoms of the American people.

2009. Matt Noble, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
Protect Net Neutrality under Title II. Don't pull some lame stuff because you want to please the people lining your pockets, Ajit. Also your mug is so much smaller than John Oliver's, does that make you jealous? Anyways keep 17-108 under title II.

2010. Brandon Roper, Kansas City, MO, 64132
The free and open internet is the last place the little guy has any real chance of competing with large multinational firms. If companies are allowed to slow content for no reason other than to stifle competition then the free market and all citizens lose. Please stop serving the vile whips of your masters with their dump trucks filled with money, serve the people like you're supposed to. Companies aren't the country the people are.

2011. Robert Hedges, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Please protect net neutrality and Title II. As a senior technologist who's work depends downloading and deploying open source software, having an open network is crucial to the success of my company and my job.

2012. Gabriel Murphy, St Louis, MO, 63116

The internet is truly one of the most influential and advancing technologies of this modern era. It has transformed the way we communicate, learn, do business, and countless other things.One of the most important aspects of it is the equal footing all--from fledgling entrepreneurs making a startup with nothing but a new idea, to the already successful businesses--share.Removing that aspect would help ISP's generate new revenue but forever reshape a landscape for the worse for *everyone* else.Think of the future. The ISP's will of course survive and continue to flourish while being regulated as Title II, but how many businesses, people, and innovations will be hindered by removing such an important part of *our* internet?

2013. T. Richardson, St. Louis, MO, 63139
Change regulation 17-108 Keep Title 2 oversight of ISPs. preserve strong net neutrality.

2014. Spencer Desai, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
Leave net neutrality as is. Do not make changes and preserve Title II

2015. Daniel Munson, Kansas City, MO, 64124
I'm in favor of a STRONG Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

2016. Susan Vent, Saint Louis, MO, 63124
I urge the FCC to keep the regulations in place regarding net neutrality. These are vital regulations that prevent corporate bias from affecting information that consumers are paying for.

2017. Eric Reuter, Columbia, MO, 65202
I would like to see net neutrality maintained in its current form. The internet has become a necessity for modern life, on par with other basic utilities, and as such it needs to maintain open access to all online resources. This is better for the consumer and better for the economy, ensuring that online businesses compete on grounds of quality rather than accessibility.

2018. Cole, creve coeur, MO, 63141
May the 4th be with you, but tittle II is the paper thin barrier that keeps the playing field equal. Any attempt to prioritize and limit knowledge is always the sign of malevolence. This is the contemporary equivalent to burning books. We can't even keep health care, so i'm scared to go outside. Now any freedom or access to knowledge is at stake, no where is safe. Not even our own homes.

2019. Thomas Munson, St Louis, MO, 63104
Please protect my net neutrality. Keep the ISPs regulated under Title II.

2020. James Foulk, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
While I understand the concept of the "light touch" regulatory approach, I do believe that ultimately the Federal Government should at a minimum enshrine into law a basic principle requiring all network operators to treat all traffic equally and impartially. The FCC Chairman has falsely claimed that companies have not abused their position, but just look at prior attempts to throttle Netflix in an attempt to extort more money from them. This issue will define generations of users. If you really believe that we should foster innovation in a competitive environment, then keep rules in place that prevent giant companies from using their dominant position to influence how network operators treat their traffic and could ultimately stifle innovation. Also, don't lie about Open Internet rules. They did not affect investment. The Chairman's former employer even acknowledged that investment wouldn't be affected by the Open Internet rules.

2021. Sarah Y., Springfield, MO, 65810
I strongly support Net Neutrality with regulations remaining under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

2022. Stephen Prane, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
I'm a strong supporter of net neutrality, I urge you not to change the Rules.

2023. Shawn Nordell, St Louis, MO, 63128
I URGE you to support STRONG net neutrality!!!! This is imperative and important! Thank you for actually hopefully paying attention and listening.

2024. Tobin Truog, Kansas City, MO, 64113
I am strongly in support of rigorous net neutrality rules and laws supported by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.

2025. Allison Jennings, Bridgeton, MO, 63044
I strongly support net neutrality that is backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. A free internet is not free when ISPs can control what we see and how quickly we get it.

2026. John Litzelfelner, Jackson, MO, 63755
Please preserve net neutrality under the current Title II provisions!!

2027. Scott Harris, Webb City, MO, 64870
Net neutrality under Title II or any other federal program absolutely needs to be preserved and NOT repealed! Thank you!

2028. Jon Sullivan, Savannah, MO, 64485
Please do not change Net Neutrality laws.

2029. christina sargent, Joplin, MO, 64804
I am in support of Net Neutrality under Title II

2030. Michael Allbritton, St. Louis, MO, 63108

I support full net neutrality. ISPs should remain classified under Title II of the Telecommunications act of 1934 so the FCC can properly mandate they adhere to an open and neutral internet.

2031. Joanna Reuter, Columbia, MO, 65202
Strong net neutrality rules are extremely important and should be backed by Title II.

2032. Michael Panhorst, Ladue, MO, 63124
Please do not reverse the net neutrality rules.

2033. Kyle E Arthur, NIXA, MO, 65714
I support strong net neutrality. Please preserve ISP under title 2.

2034. douglas niedzwiecki, St. Louis, MO, 63131
Please maintain net neutrality through Title II. It is important to my family and business.

2035. William Bradshaw, Neosho, MO, 64850
At its core, this technology allows computers to "talk" to other computers. As a person who is educated in Information Technology, it is wrong to create a preferred path of communication based upon financial deals between ISP's and companies. This technology needs to work efficiently based upon reliability and not based on profit. If this passes and information is routed for profit, I guarantee that people will find a method around the problem using currently available technologies. ISP's, at it's core, already have serious issues with their infrastructure, most fail to update their technology and now they want a new avenue to create profit for their outdated equipment.

2036. Ajay Patel, Columbia, MO, 65202
The public spoke 3 years on net neutrality. It is good for the public to ensure that there is no favoritism played by ISP providers. Ultimately ISP providers should be treated as utility companies.

2037. Eric Lu, Saint Louis, MO, 63131
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by title II oversight of ISP's.its common sense.

2038. Garrett Luecke, Manchester, MO, 63021
I oppose this legislation. It is anti competition and stifles creativity and innovation.

2039. Brett Schlaegel, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I urge you to keep strong net neutrality rules and support the will of the people rather than cratering to wealthy corporations.

2040. Alan Spires, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
Please keep stong neutrality rules backed by title 2. In my opinion, this is the best for citizens and free information flow.

2041. Jeffrey Williams, Wildwood, MO, 63011
Please stop giving away our freedoms to these corporations. I support Title 2. I support Net neutrality. Don't support corporatocracy. This is not equality, this is not freedom, this is not America.

2042. johnathon bish, Kansas City, MO, 64109
Internet freedom is incredibly important to the infrastructure of our country. Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.

2043. Dan English, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I strongly oppose any change of internet service providers away from Title 2 classification. Keeping net neutrality rules in place is essential for an open internet. I strongly oppose my service provider being able to tell me what websites or services I can or can't visit or use. Killing net neutrality rules would be disastrous for many businesses here in Kansas City and around the country.The FCC should focus more on fostering municipal broadband and helping new private networks flourish. This will bring much needed competition to the marketplace.

2044. zechariah campbell, Union, MO, 63084
The internet and bandwith should not be controlled by money. We MUST HAVE net neutrality.

2045. Val Williams, Ballwin, MO, 63011
I am in full support of net neutrality and feel strongly that it should not be taken away from the American people.

2046. John Lynch, University City, MO, 63130
Title II is a vitally necessary component for the maintaining of an open internet.

2047. Neil Carr, Columbia, MO, 65203
Violating net neutrality will have unforeseen consequences which no sane person should ever welcome. It will harm everyone. Do not be so short-sighted as to allow this to happen.

2048. Theresa Truog, KC, MO, 64113
I am strongly in support of preserving rigorous net neutrality rules and laws supported by Title 2 oversight of ISPs

2049. Chris Baker, Kansas City, MO, 64109
Preserve net neutrality and title two. It is not a good idea to let companies govern themselves.

2050. Colin Wilson, University City, MO, 63130
I am highly opposed to any relaxation of net neutrality laws. ISPs should absolutely remain under Title II of the Communications Act of

1934 to protect customer rights on this mainstay of modern life.

2051. Meghan Maher, Fenton, MO, 63026
Keep the ISPs under title II and maintain net neutrality.

2052. Don Eatherton, Fenton, MO, 63026
I support net neutrality and strongly believe that all internet service providers should remain subject to Title II.

2053. judy howell, Pineville, MO, 64856
Net Neutrality is essential to freedom of information. Retain Title II

2054. Conor McCartney, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
I support an open internet and net neutrality, and I vote

2055. Michael J Fuller, Hannibal, MO, 63401
I strongly support that we need to preserve the net neutrality laws as well as Title 2.

2056. Clint Murphy, Excelsior Springs, MO, 64024
We need Net Nutruality. It is important for the people that freely use the internet to be able to do so without worrying about paying more for big businesses driving prices based on what we look at.

2057. Jessica Hostetter, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I oppose the overturning of Tom wheelers net nuetrality rulings.

2058. L Lynette Drummond, St. Louis, MO, 63116
Please keep Title II and keep ISPs regulated!

2059. Derek Rowland, Lees Summit, MO, 64086
I support strong net neutrality requirements back by the Title II oversight of Internet service providers. I believe without net neutrality protections, ISPs will be further incentivized to act against the interest of their customers and will continue to consolidate and limit free market competition.

2060. Laurel Boone, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Keep net neutrality. Democracy and free speech should not be for sale.

2061. Owen Koch, Kansas City, MO, 64152
Please preserve Net Neutrality by keeping internet service providers classified under Title II.

2062. ryan filbert, St Louis, MO, 63139
I support net neutrality and Title II. I do not support Reese's mugs.

2063. David Gutmann, St Louis, MO, 63110
It is essential to maintain net neutrality and ensure a balanced and equitable world wide web. Thank you.

2064. enid bremer, Dardenne Prairie, MO, 63368
Preserve net nutrality with title II Title II is the most solid legal foundation we have right now for strong, enforceable net-neutrality protections.

2065. Bob Bobson, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Net Neutrality is essential to maintaining universal access to information. DON'T DESTROY NET NEUTRALITY. I vow to vote against, and to encourage everyone I know, to oppose any political candidate, or any company, who does not actively work to support net neutrality.

2066. Brian Miller, St.Louis, MO, 63129
I support strong net neutrally, backed by title two oversight of ISP's.

2067. Daniel Mitchell, Kansas City, MO, 64155
The FCC is destroying Americans' sense of democracy by letting ISPs sell-out to the highest bidder. Americans have, at last, a place where information and learning is free and open discussion can flourish uncontested. By revoking net neutrality, the FCC is dealing a serious blow to the United States, and I fear that the country will slip into a nightmarish Orwellian landscape where the corporate big brother tells us what to eat and drink, and when to sleep. Please be a hero to all future Americans and deny this revocation to continue.

2068. O Young, Saint Louis, MO, 63112
I support strong net neutrality rules for all internet service providers (ISPs) under Title II. Having a free and open internet in our society is necessary. Don't mess this up.

2069. Roberta Mueller, Ballwin, MO, 63021
FCC, it is essential to retain strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Thank you.

2070. corwin carroll, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Net neutrality is important. Don't not reclassify, it is helping the economy be a free and open market place. Innovation requires it, monopolies hate it.

2071. Cherie Keogh-Dodge, Kansas City, MO, 64153
I support a free and open internet. Keep broadband classified under Title II.

2072. Rob Meister, st peters, MO, 63376
keep net neutrality - do not make political arguments for something which is vital to knowledge sharing, and a free-market society

2073. M.K.Brookshier, Hallsville, MO, 65255
I strongly support net neutrality. Please do not do anything to endanger this.

2074. Ann Rushing, St Louis, MO, 63104
Internet providers should not decide which websites should be fast and which should be slow. As a customer I buy the speed I want, and that is the speed I should get. No matter what website I visit. And yes providers do mess with speeds it has been documented. There needs to be enforced rules. Also these rules will not hurt the providers, they are rich enough to handle it. IF this will bring them down then they where already down.

2075. David Borgmeyer, St. Louis, MO, 63111
I support strong net neutrality protection through continued classification of ISPs under Title II.Net Neutrality is what keeps the internet free and fair, and ISPs *will* take advantage of lax rules if given the opportunity.To say that hypothetically they might not is a terrible justification for allowing the proverbial wolf to watch the sheep.Please protect Net Neutrality.

2076. Peter Tymbel, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
I strongly support Net Neutrality Rules. Keep IPS classified under Title 2.

2077. Emily Trout, St. Charles, MO, 63303
I support net neutrality and the basis of Title II. I urge the FCC to keep Title II oversight in place to protect my rights as a citizen.

2078. none, Union, MO, 63084
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs and my votes will be cast only for elected officials who do the same!

2079. jon signaigo, camdenton, MO, 65020
I fully support net neutrality and title 2 status. No changes are necessary.

2080. James White, St. Louis, MO, 63118
I FULLY support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of Internet Service Providers. I do not wish the current regulatory restrictions to change.

2081. Jason Trout, St. Charles, MO, 63303
I support Title 2 oversight & its commitment to Net Nuetrality.

2082. Kathleen Nuebling, St. Louis, MO, 63119
Please leave Net Neutrality as they are currently and continue using Title II classification with ISPs.

2083. Terry Barakat, Springfield, MO, 65802
I support Net Neutrality supported by strong Title II oversight of ISPs...keep it a fair playing ground so customers do not suffer.

2084. Anna, St. Charles, MO, 63303
I support store net neutrality

2085. Paul Woodward, Eureka, MO, 63025
I want net neutrality to be retained for all citizens.

2086. Teresa Cristarella, St Louis, MO, 63139
I am in favor of strong net neutrality. I also support regulation of ISPs under Title 2 regulation

2087. Michael Parks, Hallsville, MO, 65255
The neutral treatment of content by ISP is the only way to prevent several bad practices and results. This should be settled regulation by this point. Why are we trying to fix something that is working?

2088. Chandra Johnson, Kansas City, MO, 64153
I, specifically, support strong NET NEUTRALITY, backed byâ€‹ Title 2, Over Site of ISPs.

2089. Jeremy Mehrle, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
Please keep the internet classified at a Title II utility. I do not trust the ISP's to self regulate themselves when they are constantly trying to upswell me on outdated technology like phone service, just so they can pad their bottom line. I know ISP's want their profits to be like cable TV, but the internet is a utility and the UN classified internet access as a human right.

2090. Hank Duhon, St. Louis, MO, 63144
Preserve Net Neutrality. Do not screw us over as you have with so much else.

2091. JO ANNE DICKERSON, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
It is very important that Net Neutrality continues to be the rule for ISP's.

2092. Eric Bess, Saint louis, MO, 63139

I strongly believe that the current net neutrality laws (under title 2) should remain in place and intact. The one thing we cannot ever count on is companies playing fairly when not legally required to.

2093. Emily Wall, St. Charles, MO, 63303
I support net neutrality and keeping ISPs under the current form of Title II.

2094. Julia Wagner, St. Louis, MO, 63108
Ajit Pai,I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.Also, "This aggression will not stand, man".

2095. Molly Fair, Marshfield, MO, 65706
I STRONGLY support the existing rules of Net Neutrality, under which internet service providers classify under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. It is vital that we keep the internet open and maintain equal speed and access for all parts of it. To do anything other than this would be disastrous for the internet as we know it, please don't make such a foolish mistake as to think otherwise. Thank you.

2096. Max Lafferty, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Support strong neutrality and title II.

2097. Dianne Chiu, Kansas City, MO, 64118
Mr. Pai;You MUST support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Your previous employer, Verizon, reported to their investors that IT WOULD NOT change how they invest in their infrastructure nor how they support their networks. You MUST support net neutrality.

2098. net neutrality, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
Please keep preserve net neutrality and keep Internet Service Providers regulated under Title II. I believe that the high costs associated providing internet access has created large Internet Service Provider's with local monopolies. In general, monopolies should be regulated to protect consumers and other businesses. I urge you keep net neutrality rules and regulations to ensure consumers and businesses have continued access to an equal and open internet.

2099. Nova Engle, Lee's Summit, MO, 64082
I'm in favor of strong net net neutrality.

2100. D Braymer, Laclede, MO, 64651
I support net neutrality within the title 2 protection. Do not allow the general public to be the losers in this campaign.

2101. Emiliano Zapata, Independence, MO, 64052
Keep net neutrality and title 2. Please and thank you

2102. Matt Stein, Festus, MO, 63028
Please do not change net neutrality regulation under Title II. True unbiased, open access to all things on the internet will be paramount to the successes of future generations.

2103. Douglas E. Carr, St. Louis, MO, 63114
I support Net Neutrality, and I personally resent the FCC Chief's very public lies and misdirection regarding what will happen should Net Neutrality be removed. Do not sacrifice access of free and legal information for the American public -- whom you serve -- on the altar of corporate donors. It is un-just, un-fair, and un-American. Your first responsibility is to the public good.

2104. David Rauh, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
Allowing Net Neutrality to be threatened in this way is irresponsible beyond belief. The idea that we cannot identify the conflicts of interest with allowing companies to decide who to throttle and who not to is absurd. Keep net neutrality. This shouldn't even be a discussion.

2105. Curtis Thomas, Springfield, MO, 65804
I want to take a moment to urge the FCC to continue to protect Net Neutrality through Title II and to NOT roll back the regulations set in place under the Obama Administration. We MUST ensure the Internet remains open, free, and unrestricted. The Internet should be a space committed to the free flow of information, not a place where ISPs can generate profit by controlling access to content at their own discretion. Again, please continue the vital work of protecting Net Neutrality and DO NOT remove those Title II protections.

2106. Timothy Seebeck, Maplewood, MO, 63143
I support Net Neturality. I do not want the new FCC chairman, who is a former industry lawyer for Verizon, to change the Title II status of ISPs.

2107. Darren Paschedag, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I back strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of internet service providers.

2108. Gigi Flynn, st louis, MO, 63139
I strongly believe we must preserve net neutrality and title II. It's the only fair and logical approach for an advanced society. Listen to the will of the people. That's the job of the government.

2109. Benjamin Trujillo, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I support strong net neutrality rules governed by Title II common carrier regulations. Restoring Internet Freedom is a euphemism for screwing over consumers and giving handouts to big businesses that hate regulation. You should be ashamed.

2110. Ardie Mansouri, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Internet access should be treated as a public utility in the same way water and energy services are treated, with no differences in service based on how we intend on using the internet access.

2111. Damon Nuebling, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63119
Please leave the strong net neutrality laws in place. If you expect a company to look out for it's customer's best interests over it's bottom line, you have no clue how America functions.

2112. P C Chiu, Kansas City, MO, 64118
Mr. Pai;You MUST support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Your previous employer, Verizon, reported to their investors that IT WOULD NOT change how they invest in their infrastructure nor how they support their networks. You MUST support net neutrality. It is the RIGHT thing to do.

2113. pavla, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
I support strong net neutrality laws! Please keep ISPs under title 2. Thank you.

2114. Saad Malik, Festus, MO, 63028
The FCC should not relax ANY net neutrality laws under title 2. ISPs sit under a oligopoly with little to no incentives to be competitive and this would worsen the internet for all.

2115. David Wesloh, St. Louis, MO, 63135
I strongly support the current Title 2 designation and net neutrality rules as they are today. I strongly oppose all efforts to repeal the current law. I am extremely disappointed that the FCC would even consider an effort that would hurt the people of this nation.

2116. Shawn Kunce, Saint Joseph, MO, 64505
In order to protect an equal and fair internet, each and every byte of data must be treated the same, regardless of host or destination address.

2117. Nora Bradley, St.Peters, MO, 63376
I support Title two law of regulationing net necessity. We should not allow large companies like Verizon and AT&T to be able to do whatever they want!

2118. Spencer Rusch, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I care about preserving net neutrality and Title Two.

2119. Steve Holm, Bridgeton, MO, 63044
I am strongly in favor of Net Neutrality. My internet freedom should remain and not be taken away.

2120. Luke Freyman, Raymore, MO, 64083
Protect Net Neutrality. That is what will keep the internet open and fair.

2121. Net, St. Louis, MO, 63108
I want to pledge my support for net neutrality and Title II. It is important to me that corporations and other interested parties cannot influence bandwidth speeds for other websites in order to serve their interest.

2122. Eric Schepers, California, MO, 65018
Net Neutrality is very important for a competitive market and our future prosperity. Giving control to a few companies and letting them do whatever they want is how we got anti-trust laws, and we should avoid having that situation again.

2123. Don Morris, Troy, MO, 63379
Please keep the net neutrality and strong title II rules. Please and Thank you!!!

2124. Ben Yoder, Fenton, MO, 63026
Net neutrality is extremely important not only for the purposes of consumer protection but also to protect small businesses and start-ups who want to use the internet as a tool to grow their business.

2125. Chris Woodward, SPRINGFIELD, MO, 65807
Internet service providers need to remain article 2. Net neutrality is vital to the internet remaining open and accessible and allowing commerce to continue to develop. Removing any protections offered by article 2 or net neutrality is not in the best interest of the public. Please do not remove net neutrality or change ISPs from article 2 status.

2126. James Hansen, Springfield, MO, 65804
I support STRONG Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do the right thing, and keep it intact!

2127. Elizabeth Hinrichs, St. Louis, MO, 63118
Protect net neutrality! It is an important new tool of democracy.

2128. Candon Powell, Fenton, MO, 63026
I strongly oppose removing Net Neutrality protections. Allowing ISPs to give preferential treatment to which sites or media not good for consumers or good for the American economy and the only benefactors are the ISPs profits and economic agendas. As consumers, we already pay for our rate of upload and download speeds. Consumers pay for this and deserve this. To allow the consumer that provides the website or media to pay for an upload speed and for me the consumer that pays for the speed I can download, the ISP should not be allowed to regulate the internet speeds outside of what was purchased by the consumer on those two levels, upload and download

speeds. Please consider how this will affect the consumer first and foremost.

2129. Alton Gaston, Webb City, MO, 64870
Net Neutrality needs to be maintained. The Internet is an amazing place with the ability to help level the playing field for all. Removing Net Neutrality would unnecessarily hamper the ability of startups to compete with established players. It would also speed the pace of consolidation (i.e., monopolization) among those who would seek to control both content and infrastructure. The argument that Net Neutrality reduces infrastructure spending is bogus. Chairman Pai is a proxy for Verizon and other modern day robber barons who would benefit at the expense of the general public.

2130. Kevin Gallagher, St. Louis, MO, 63119
Please, pretty please, with rainbows on top keep ISPs under Title II. And please find that Reese's mug and destroy it for the good of the nation.

2131. Albert Engelbrecht, University City, MO, 63130
I strongly support Internet Neutrality as supported by Title 2. I cannot believe that this is still something we have to fight for in this day and age. Stop trying to reduce the internet to some household service, as it is a vital part of our society.

2132. michael cox, Harrisonville, MO, 64701
Please protect a strong net neutrality under title 2 oversight.

2133. Rob Nahlik, St. Louis, MO, 63122
Please keep net neutrality strong. i'm troubled by the current administration's vocalized efforts to dismantle this consumer protection. Thank you

2134. Tammy Morris, Troy, MO, 63379
Please keep the Net Neutrality and Strong Title 2 rules.Thank you

2135. Kevin Ruprecht, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I strongly support net neutrality rules of ISPs under Title II.

2136. jason milford, kansas city, MO, 64111
I support strong internet neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet service providers.

2137. Lauryn Morris, Troy, MO, 63379
Please keep net nutrality and strong title two rules. Thank you.

2138. trent lorch, St. Louis, MO, 63104
I believe we need to preserve net neutrality and title 2.

2139. Sara Young, Stockton, MO, 65785
I support strong Net Neutrality rules. Please do not take away Net Neutrality

2140. Jonathan Steffens, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please preserve Net Neutrality in it's current implementation under Title II. As a concerned citizen, it's my belief that the current legal status is acceptable and should not be altered. Thanks!

2141. Donald Dickerson, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63703
Please ensure that Net Neutrality is maintained

2142. Adam Goforth, St. Louis, MO, 63114
I strongly support net neutrality. Please keep ISPs regulated under title 2 and enforce a fare and open internet.

2143. Chris Lessard, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Net Neutrality is a vital underpinning of free expression as well as economic growth and innovation. Support Net Neutrality under the Title II framework. Abandon efforts to dismantle Net Neutrality and abandon the Orwellian justifications for it's dismantling.

2144. Cydney Plummer, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I am in strong support of net neutrality and Title II!

2145. Devin Wright, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
This proposal has no public benefit and has the potential to restrict people's access to information. While Mr. Pai feels that all arguments to the contrary are fruitless exercises in the hypothetical, his casual dismissal of the countless ways in which this reversal could be manipulated to the benefit of ISPs--and to the detriment of the public--is simply irresponsible. Furthermore, while the chairman makes unsubstantiated and, indeed, false claims that the Title II regulations are negatively impacting telecom/broadband investment he fails to consider the ways in which this deregulation would stymie innovation by smaller companies. It is simply contrary to democratic values to commoditize the public's access to information and, essentially, give private companies the power to censor.

2146. Joshua Chance Allen, Springfield, MO, 65803
Not only do I support net neutrality now, I've always supported. This is not good for the American people. This is not what Americans want.We will tell you so. Keep the net out of your money grubbing fingers. Thanks

2147. mustafa hashmi, Ballwim, MO, 63011
I support isp regulation under title 2 and net neutrality

2148. Elaine Seebeck, Saint Louis, MO, 63143
I support Net Neutrality. I do not want the FCC to change the scheduling of ISPs away from Title II.

2149. Liz Wesloh, St Louis, MO, 63135
I am urging the FCC to maintain the strong net neutrality protections that are currently in place under Title II.

2150. John Hopkins, Independence, MO, 64056
Please keep net neutrality intact under Title II. Privately owned and publicly traded companies will always take the path of least effort to profit. Your job is to put the best interest of the public at large over the shareholder value of any private enterprise. Please stop being a massive tool, Pai, and do your job.

2151. Title 2, Savannah, MO, 64485
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. This is important to keep ISPs from bottlenecking speeds in an effort to promote or disenfranchise different companies that do or do not do business with that ISP.

2152. Linda Branham, Saint Clair, MO, 63077
We STRONGLY support Strong Net Neutrality !

2153. Steve Solomon, Wildwood, MO, 63005
I support Net Neutrality! ISPs should remain under Title 2!

2154. M, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
Dear Ajit Pai (I understand you are a former lawyer for Verizon - Great! So we can trust you - NOT).Leave the internet alone. I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.It is not enough to have internet providers promise they will not pick winners and losers. I would not pay two cents for their promises.

2155. Max Highley, Saint Louis, MO, 63101
I must support Net Neutrality and enforce regulations on companies.

2156. Patrick Allen, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I support net neutrality and wish to preserve title ll. We need government regulation of internet providers as we cannot simply take their word for it.

2157. Aaron Camac, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
I think the rules should remain unchanged. I do not trust the chairmen's motivation. There needs to be some binding protections for net neutrality. As proven in the settled lawsuit with Verison, title 1 can not sufficiently protect against this.

2158. Save Net Neutrality, Florissant, MO, 63034
Please keep the current Net Neutrality rules in place as is! This is critical to free and equal internet access for all. Most of us have no choice in our ISPs. Net Neutrality rules lessen the importance of this choice. but take that away and it truly harms the consumer.

2159. Betye Bailey, St Louis, MO, 63135
ISPs must remain under Title II regulations. I don't want it to be possible for my broadband provider to manipulate what apps I use or what internet content I see. Eliminating or even just relaxing the regs could weaken free and fair internet commerce by reducing the number of choices available to consumers. The so-called gatekeepers to the internet should remain governed by Title II to prevent potential misconduct such as discriminating against competitors who offer similar content and services to the public.

2160. Justin Knight, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
Please keep net neutrality intact. In case you haven't realized, your anticipated actions appear only to be to advance an ideology or economic theory. Everyday people of widely diverse opinions simply want to maintain a level playing field for less powerful companies and consumers. Protect net neutrality. Thank you.

2161. John Hermes, Saint Louis, MO, 63102
I believe ISPs should be regulated under Title 2 and continue not to be allowed to give preference to traffic on their networks.

2162. Beth A. Elam Michaud, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs and see it as the only way to ensure and protect equality of citizen access to the internet.

2163. Andrew barford, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I support a strong net neutrality. ISP should not be able to throttle data, and require strong oversight.

2164. Patrick E Browdy, High Ridge, MO, 63049
I support classifying ISPs as Title II. For America.

2165. Bradley Smith, springfield, MO, 65807
Net neutrality is essential for the growth of American innovation and new business. Removing it would be a mistake that would stifle our ability to compete on the international stage. Preserve title II.

2166. Rob, St. Louis, MO, 63118
Please don't change net neutrality. I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Thanks!

2167. Sev Law, Florissant, MO, 63034

I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II support!!!!@AHJIT PAI ..fucknuts..

2168. Patrick Axtmayer, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
Please maintain Internet Neutrality but keeping ISP regulation under Article 2 and allow for fair open access for all. Large business does not have our trust and should be regulated as they are held accountable by their stockholders who care not for customer but bottom line $

2169. John Mahlmann, Ph.D., Saint Louis, MO, 63131
I want strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. This is not an issue that should be compromised by an uninformed president and his puppets.

2170. Phillip Nelson, Kirksville, MO, 63501
I'm commenting in support of net neutrality and Title 2.

2171. Christopher Doyle, Independence, MO, 64057
Net neutrality is vital to democracy and internet service providers should continue to be classified under title 2. Ajit Varadaraj Pai should be fired.

2172. Janet Trieschmann, Ellisville, MO, 63021
Keep net neutrality and Title II. Consumers deserve the protection.

2173. David Eshenroder, Brentwood, MO, 63144
Keep Net Neutrality a part of Title II.

2174. Bobby Rahimi, Saint Louis, MO, 63101
I support Net Neutrality under Title 2 regulation. Mandetory regulation must be imposed on ISPs. Thank you

2175. William Housden, Springfield, MO, 65807
The public library of the 21st century is the free and open Internet. Do not let it become a wasteland of commercial interests dictating content and pricing to the citizens of the United States.

2176. Michael Lepage, St. Louis, MO, 63123
PLEASE PRESERVE NET Neutrality AND TITLE 2!!!!

2177. Jacob Wilcosky, 7109 N Holly Ct, MO, 64118
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers! I want it to be clear I find Docket 17-108 distasteful and am strongly opposed.

2178. Bonnie Thomas, Kansas City, MO, 64112
I support net neutrality backed by Title II protections! Don't roll back the 2015 net neutrality rules!

2179. David Vogel, Kansas City, MO, 64111
We need to keep net neutrality and Title II

2180. Nickole Beseau, Saint Louis, MO, 63105
Please continue Obama-era net neutrality protections, and keep ISPs under Title II.

2181. Tony Grisham, West Plains, MO, 65775
How are we as a people to maintain our beliefs of equal opportunity and freedom when you would allow the most universal means of communication mankind has ever encountered to be sold off like the pile of crap seen at an auction of a dead forgotten neighbor's house.

2182. Pam Solomon, Wildwood, MO, 63005
I support net neutrality! Keep the ISPs under title 2!

2183. Edward Borbely, St. Louis, MO, 63108
The FCC should maintain Title II rules over Internet Service Providers. The role of the commission is to protect the interests of users and businesses and to keep net neutrality, so why would we relax these rules? Verizon and others have continued to invest in infrastructure. Don't abdicate your responsibility, FCC members!

2184. Kenneth, Columbia, MO, 65203
Keep the Title II oversight of ISPs - keep the internet fair and free.

2185. Austin, St. Louis, MO, 63129
Net Neutrality is very important and needs to remain untouched. ISP's should not be able to take advantage of the situation.

2186. Brian Thomas, Kansas City, MO, 64112
I support net neutrality backed by TItle II protections. Don't roll back the 2015 net neutrality regulations!

2187. kelly rudroff, Florissant, MO, 63031
Protect net neutrality please. I like my online streaming the way it is.

2188. thorsten rogner, Saint Louis, MO, 63117
I strong support net neutrality! ISP should not get to choose or influence the content or information that consumers get access too over

on the internet. Internet service providers should provide internet access to ALL available content equally. They are providers of access and not content. Leave the success and failure of content and intellectual property succeed and fail based on its own the merits, not whether it pays more to an ISP or is even allowed to reach an audience by the ISP.

2189. Mary Henggeler, Kansas City, MO, 64123
Net neutrality is a basic and working law. Amending the law is unnecessary, and will likely cause the average consumer the burden especially in many areas where our internet services and providers are already limited by the choices available in the market. Net neutrality keeps internet companies in check and allows consumers to make free choices.

2190. Elliott Lillard, Kansas City, MO, 64112
Please protect net neutrality. Do not let Trump repeal current legislation that protects net neutrality as this is vital for everyone to have open access to information.

2191. Katherine Warden, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
I support net neutrality. This should not be up for debate.

2192. Quinn Dirks, Joplin, MO, 64801
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of internet service providers.No Blocking: broadband providers may not block access to legal content, applications, services, or non-harmful devices.No Throttling: broadband providers may not impair or degrade lawful Internet traffic on the basis of content, applications, services, or non-harmful devices. No Paid Prioritization: broadband providers may not favor some lawful Internet traffic over other lawful traffic in exchange for consideration of any kindâ€”in other words, no "fast lanes." This rule also bans ISPs from prioritizing content and services of their affiliates.

2193. Michael McElroy, Columbia, MO, 65203
Keep ISPs regulated under Title II of the 1934 Communications Act. This isn't 'Nam, Smokie, there are rules.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WiQmQhA-OrM&t=1m11s

2194. Angela Crawford, Springfield, MO, 65807
Maintain net neutrality and don't deregulate the efforts of classifying Internet under title II.

2195. Hussein Attara, Fulton, MO, 65251
Net neutrality is crucial to preserving an independent and neutral platform for the masses. I urge you to protect title 2 for the sake of individual freedom on this monument free platform.

2196. Clint Ross, Columbia, MO, 65203
The support from myself as well as almost everyone is well know. I support net neutrality protections through title 2 and the continuance of fair internet.

2197. Kate Pav, Kansas City, MO, 64106
This is honestly absurd. Please let people who understand the internet handle this. Please do not let companies, who have ALREADY BEEN SHOWN TO ABUSE THIS POWER, gain more power. Please leave Net Neutrality intact. Please leave the Title II classification intact. Please do not destroy in the internet in the name of doing the opposite of everything Obama did. Please do not destroy the internet in the name of a cushy job lobbying for Verizon and Comcast in 5 years.

2198. Lee Trani, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Net Neutrality must be preserved, keep the net neutrality rules backed by Title II

2199. Rebecca, Weatherby Lake, MO, 64152
I am writing to urge you to keep NET NEUTRALITY and title 2. Please do the right thing and preserve the laws created under Obama. Thank you!

2200. Jason Wander, Kansas City, MO, 64158
I strongly support net neutrality. An open and fair internet for all is key to a level playing field and free market competition.

2201. John Evans, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
Please do not repeal net neutrality or title 2. Keep the Obama administration ruling as is.

2202. Jason Lee, Florissant, MO, 63033
America and the internet demand network neutrality.

2203. brian castillo, maryland heights, MO, 63043
preserve net neutrality and title 2!!.. this is imperative to our rights as citizens of this great country

2204. Nicholas Pena, Columbia, MO, 65203
Preserve Net Neutrality and please keep ISPs classified under Title 2. We need an even playing field. Breaking current provisions will create slow lanes and stunt innovation in one of the engines of the American innovation.

2205. John Hillerman, St. Charles, MO, 63301
I support net neutrality. ISPs should be classified under Title 2.

2206. Joe, Liberty, MO, 64068
Do NOT touch net neutrality. Huge consequences if you go forward with moving it back to Title I

2207. Robert Greenwood, Fayette, MO, 65248
Maintain internet neutrality by retaining title 2 status. Thank you

2208. Liz Lingelbach, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
Please preserve net neutrality and support Title II as the regulation overseeing this important issue.

2209. Joshua Honn, Kansas City, MO, 64134
Keep net neutrality open and controlled under title 2.

2210. Lawrence Lewis, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
I strongly urge the FCC to maintain "net neutrality" by keeping the ISP in Title II and allow equal and fair access to all sites by all providers. This is not only important for private use, but also essential for many jobs today. Further, this has not been a hardship to these companies, as shown by statements from the companies themselves. If it ain't broke!!!

2211. Alec Elton, Hazelwood, MO, 63042
Without net neutrality I would not have a job - save net neutrality under title 2 to save jobs!

2212. jeremy soucek, Wildwood, MO, 63011
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPsThank you

2213. ximena uribe, Springfield, MO, 65804
I support Title II oversight of ISPs. We need to keep strong net neutrality.

2214. Michael Pupillo, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
Please keep the internet free and open. Please keep in place the current net neutrality protections under Title II. Thank you.

2215. Howard Burman, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Corporations should not be deciding anyones internet speed. Let's maintain net neutrality!

2216. Greg Koch, Hazelwood, MO, 63042
I support strong regulations of ISPs. Keep them in Title II.

2217. Daniel Maenle, Kansas City, MO, 64134
I support strong net neutrality for ISP's. I believe good net neutrality is required for our country.

2218. Madeline Oberman, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is a core necessity of the internet.

2219. Kevin Moore, Springfield, MO, 65804
I am for a full, robust protection of net neutrality under title II. Removing title II protections is a fully anti-consumer move, aimed at making profits soar for ISPs. Please keep the internet open, free, and protected under title II!

2220. MICHAEL MEYER, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63116
I SPECIFICALLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSITE OF INTERNET SERVICE PROVIDERS

2221. Jason McCoy, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
I support classifying Internet Service Providers as Title II common carriers. The internet is the backbone of civil discourse, political action, self-expression, academic study, and commerce. If ISPs are allowed to offer paid prioritization, much of the public good that the internet provides will be lost. Please keep the internet free and open so that future generations can benefit from it. Otherwise, the internet will become a tool of oppression instead of empowerment.

2222. Jonathan Trim, Seneca, MO, 64865
Hello Ajit Pai, I am commenting to express my support for net neutrality and its title 2 classification. As an American citizen, and somebody who hopes to one day own my own online business, I view this a a direct attack on my future well being and successful realization of The American Dream. I've seen your interviews on TV where you act as if what you are doing is in support of the American people and I find it incredibly offensive that you would treat us all like a bunch of ignorant oversized novelty mug collectors. We are not stupid. We know these words for what they are. They are half truths and a half truth is still a lie.

2223. Paul E Sanders II, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I support strong net neutrality rules. I support title II.

2224. Alex Calder, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Keep the internet protected under Title II.

2225. Phyllis Stein, Saint Louis, MO, 63121
Preserve net neutrality. It is vital to our democracy.

2226. Anthony Shadwick, Ballwin, MO, 63021
In short, innovation on the internet absolutely depends on non-preferential treatment of traffic. I have over 20 years of industry experience as a network engineer and programmer, as well as being an entrepreneur. My efforts would never have gotten traction without neutrality.Please do not fool yourselves into thinking deregulation to title I is an acceptable solution. It is absolutely not!

2227. Lon Robeson, Kansas City, MO, 64113
Preserve net neutrality and Title II protection

2228. Mitch Morice, Fenton, MO, 63026
Once again, I condone any changes to Title 2. Any attempts to reduce the Net Neutrality laws are attempts on restricting free trade and free speech. This is asking the wolf to guard the hen house.

2229. Jarrod Holder, Eureka, MO, 63025
To whom it may concern,I am writing you to express my strong support for Net Neutrality under Title II. These rules ensure that we as consumers are protected from ISPs making content decisions for us. Allowing a free and open internet to the free people of the United States of America supports this country's long history of freedom.Thank you for your time,Jarrod Holder

2230. christopher Larcom, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
You must preserve net neutrality and title II. For the love, stand up for all of us.

2231. Jessica Ellen Wood, Rolla, MO, 65401
Keep the Title II classification and stay hard stanced on real Net Neutrality! Chairman Ajit Pai doesn't care about liberty or an open internet. He's a corporate shill and should resign. He was a lawyer for the company who's lawsuit caused the reclassification. How is that not clearly a conflict of interest? The reclassification was the right choice, don't let petty partisanship hurt the American people! NET NEUTRALITY IS IMPORTANT. I wouldn't want the government dictating what the people see and I don't want corporations doing it either!

2232. Erin Hanson, Springfield, MO, 65802
I'd like to express my VERY strong support for net neutrality. Please preserve Title II.

2233. Andrew Smith, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
I strongly urge you to keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules. We need them for the same reason we need antitrust laws. Protect the consumers!

2234. eric scali, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I am expressing my opinion that the internet should remain an open-source platform available to everyone equally. Opening the internet up to privatized preferred paid for access undermines the purpose of having information freely available. Libraries do not offer books to the highest bidder, and nor should the availability of information available on the internet

2235. Lynn Bohler, Florissant, MO, 63031
I support strong net neutrality protected under Title II oversight of ISPs.

2236. A Schoenbeck, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thanks!

2237. Karen Guglielmo, Cottleville, MO, 63376
I support STRONG LEGISLATION to retain the maximum amount of net neutrality. Do NOT eliminate or change TITLE II. I will not vote for any candidate who reduces net neutrality.

2238. Title II, Kansas City, MO, 64124
I support strong Net Neutrality enforcement coupled with Title II enforcement over Internet Service Providers.

2239. Christine Holder, Eureka, MO, 63025
To whom it may concern,I am writing you to express my strong support for Net Neutrality under Title II. These rules ensure that we as consumers are protected from ISPs making content decisions for us. Allowing a free and open internet to the free people of the United States of America supports this country's long history of freedom.Thank you for your time,Christine Holder

2240. Ben Vierck, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Keep net neutrality strong! Title 2.

2241. Laura Ingersoll, OFallon, MO, 63366
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is so important to a free exchange of ideas. Net neutrality is vital to allowing EVERYONE the chance to compete for business and expression of thoughts.

2242. Dave Brissette, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
I support Title II of the Telecommunications Act. Leave net neutrality, the way it is today. Thank you, Dave

2243. stephen Pierce, Springfield, MO, 65802
I support net neutrality oversight and wish to perserve title 2. Thank you.

2244. Abby Zavos, Liberty, MO, 64068
Keep net neutrality! I am exhausted with my government being bought and sold. This helps corporations, not citizens.

2245. Adam Pinilla, St. Louis, MO, 63146
Net neutrality is essential for the freedom of this country. Deregulation is this area is greed, corruption, and incompetence at the highest level.

2246. Brandon Baker, Springfield, MO, 65804

I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Strong net neutrality protects the citizens of this country from the overreach of ISPs and is in the best interest of small buisnesses.

2247. Cheyenne King, north kansas city, MO, 64116
I fully support net neutrality under title 2 in its current form

2248. Bret Nelson, North Kansas City, MO, 64116
I fully support net neutrality in its current form under Title II (Title 2)

2249. Tyler Morrow, Silex, MO, 63377
According to recent news reports by the Wall Street Journal and Reuters, FCC Chairman Ajit Pai plans to reverse the FCC's reclassification of broadband Internet providers as common carriers, and substitute the FCC's net neutrality rules with empty industry promises.I oppose any attempt by Chairman Pai to roll back the FCC's net neutrality protections. In addition, I oppose any effort in Congress to undermine the Open Internet Order.A free and open Internet is essential for a free society. I urge you to resist attempts to weaken net neutrality protections and entrench Internet monopolies.

2250. Christopher J Farrar, Springfield, MO, 65804
Please preserve net neutrality by preserving standards by which ISP companies can be held accountable for their actions and ensuring that we are given true freedom of choice in the applications, search engines and providers that we choose. A future without net neutrality endagers the creative spirit that fuels our current Internet economies.

2251. Mark Fogle, St. Louis, MO, 63129
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality and am in opposition to Docket No. 17-108.It doesn't make sense to me how eliminating net neutrality aids the American people. You as people of the government are supposed to work in our favor, not in the favor of corporations. By dismantling Net Neutrality, you directly abstain from doing your job to help the people. So maybe, don't take the bribe money from ISP's, and actually, represent the people you are supposed to since we are a democracy and all.

2252. Aaron Doerr, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Please preserve existing Title II and related net neutrality laws and regulations. Please. I mean it.

2253. Ian Brauer, Kansas City, MO, 64105
This is horrible. Giving internet speed to people that pay is the most crooked thing you can do. the internet is for everyone and slow internet makes people more mad than most things in life. If you want complacency as the corporate power structure usually does, protect net neutrality.

2254. Carolyn Klamm, Kansas City, MO, 64116
Net neutrality is very important to the spread of free information via the internet. Internet service providers must continue to be held accountable under title II of the communications act of 1934.

2255. Joe Gadell, St. Louis, MO, 63110
Preserve Title II and preserve Net Neutrality.

2256. Patricia Taff, Kirksville, MO, 62501
I want the Net Neutrality rules kept strong. Keep Title II in place.

2257. Francisco, Wildwood, MO, 63040
Support title 2 for net neutrality We need net neutrality.we need strong support for net neutrality Sincerely Francisco

2258. Michael Alaly, Clayton, MO, 63105
Title II authority is required in this absurd cable environment. in 90% of markets there is 0 competition in the cable industry. Broadband internet is a key part of contemporary life, and massive monopolistic corporations cannot be trusted to do the right thing. This is PRECISELY what Title II authority is FOR.

2259. Nicholas R Akins, Columbia, MO, 65201
We must preserve net neutrality through maintaining the important and legally sound Title II, not by eliminating it in favor of Title I.

2260. Michael Behrmann, Brentwood, MO, 63144
I fully support Net Neutrality and the free exchange of material on the internet. No ISP company should be able to buy access to the internet over another.

2261. Dan Moody, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Requesting that you preserve net neutrality and title 2

2262. Lisa Scanlan, Manchester, MO, 63021
Please preserve net neutrality and keep title 2. We all deserve the same internet and it would be wrong for ISP's to be able to play favorites and basically hold the internet hostage for the highest bidder. Title 2 works well, we can't trust ISPS's to protect us, that's what the FCC is for!!!

2263. Benjamin D Lauer, St. Louis, MO, 63108
I am strongly opposed to Docket Number 17-108. I am in favor of strong net neutrality protections by classifying ISPs as Common Carriers under Title II .

2264. Courtney Hague, St Louis, MO, 63126

The FCC should protect the internet's neutrality by continuing to classify the internet under Title II. Net Neutrality is important to maintaining the internet as a free and open space for innovation and the free movement of information.

2265. tj sloan, St. Louis, MO, 63130
Please do not turn the free internet into a capitalist playground. Let it be let it be

2266. Emily Gabbbert, Riverside, MO, 64150
PLEASE preserve Net Neutrality under Title II of the communications act. Losing net neutrality corrupts the free flow of information on the internet and impedes on the free speech and free choice of American Citizens.

2267. Ian McManus, Columbia, MO, 65203
Keep it title 2 you dummies. Net neutrality is needed.

2268. Jo Meyerkord, Parkville, MO, 64152
I'd like to preserve net neutrality and title 2

2269. Michael A. Lee, Florissant, MO, 63033
I believe that the idealist pursuit of network neutrality may be dangerous, but that ignoring the issue will create a future in which individuals rights are violated so routinely that said individual's rights may as well have been reduced. Failing to support net neutrality is accepting the Bill of Rights' decay.

2270. Nickolas Savage, St. Joseph, MO, 64503
We should support net neutrality and title 2 jurisdiction for isp's.

2271. Net neutrality, Branson West, MO, 65737
I support strong net neutrality! I don't trust giant internet service providers to make the right decision. It is too important to allow the Trump Administration and the current congress to roll back regulations Title II was put in for a reason, to keep things fair and prevent the "little guys" from getting a raw deal.

2272. John Meng, Columbia, MO, 65202
Please let Net Neutrality remain in it's current state.

2273. Naphtali Faris, Kansas City, MO, 64114
As a librarian I believe in free and open access to information. All information. Not just the kind that a company may pay for me to show or promote. In order to protect that access, we must protect net neutrality. Without it, our country's problems with limited access to information promoted by those who only think of their own interests will continue. And grow much worse.

2274. lyla turner, St. Louis, MO, 63109
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight. Please consider preserving it.

2275. Jacob Rutherford, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64152
Preserve net neutrality. Preserve Title II regulations of ISPs. Access to information should not be beholden to a company's profit motive. Access to the internet - along with access to healthcare - is a fundamental right in the U.S., and anyone who acts to limit either is un-American.

2276. corrine edwards, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
It is very important that we maintain Net Neutrality. Please preserve title 2! This is a very important policy and it must be maintained!

2277. Dr. Kenneth W. Shields, Columbia, MO, 65202
The internet is a public good -- it originated in public research and it connects people from across the world. I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by Title ii oversight of ISPs.

2278. Nautia Smith, Independence, MO, 64058
Preserve net neutrality. Preserve title II. The American people want it. This is your job. It is absolutely necessary, you twits.

2279. Mark Niemeyer, Saint Louis, MO, 63126
Please preserve the current Net Neutrality rules and maintain its regulation under Title 2.

2280. Josh Gillespie, Ballwin, MO, 63011
I strongly support oversight of ISPs under Title 2 to enforce Strong Net Neutrality. I am wholly opposed to Docket 17-108.

2281. Argos Stoeckl, Des Peres, MO, 63131
There is NO political constituency for this FCC proposal except giant corporations. This proposal leaves open the possibility that ISPs will undermine the freedom of information. Who looked around and thought: yes, I think the cable companies need the power to pick and choose what I do with my connection to the world. No one.

2282. John Beseau, Saint Louis, MO, 63105
Please keep Obama-era net neutrality restrictions in place, and keep ISPs under Title II.

2283. Michael Montana, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
I support the preservation of strong Net Neutrality backed by strong Title II oversight of ISPs. It is critical to the survival of the internet as we know it.

2284. Kelly Fizer, Raymore, MO, 64083
I support strong net neutrality rules by the FCC backed by Title II.

2285. Bharath Yarlagadda, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please preserve net neutrality. We the people insist on keeping ISP under title 2.

2286. Laura, Jackson, MO, 63755
Please preserve Title 2 Net Neutrality laws.

2287. Chris Stackpole, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I firmly believe in an open Internet where no ISP is allowed to filter or throttle or traffic shape my data. I should be able to pay for a pipe to the Internet and get to any site that I desire with equal access. ISP "Fast lanes" will only ensure dominance by large companies with the power to squash innovation.I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 support.

2288. Carlos Viveros, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64114
Preserve title 2 and net neutralityâ€‹. Do what is best for the masses not the few wealthy businesses that look to gain financially from impeding our internet access.

2289. Lisa Hollingsworth, Lake Ozark, MO, 65049
I have been following this since 2004. Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Signed ~Your Employer

2290. Richard Snedegar, Kansas city, MO, 64131
Please keep an open and fair internet, net neutrality through enforcement under title two.

2291. Branson Carmichael, Kansas City, MO, 64131
The reclassification of net neutrality is unacceptable. It should remain under Title II. The protection of a free and open internet is of the highest importance and any threat to it is a threat to a basic human right.

2292. Dylan Geile, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
I stand in favor of strong net neutrality rules preventing the private interest of companies from affecting the end-user experience of anyone connecting to the internet. This includes, but is not limited to, the throttling of sites based upon payment, the throttling of sites based on content, and restriction of access to sites for any reason outside of direct infringement of the law by its owners.I do not support the removal of the current laws regarding net neutrality, and urge that the effort to remove them be reconsidered.

2293. Tyson Rinderknecht, St Louis, MO, 63110
Please ensure net neutrality is restored.

2294. Joshua Abrams, St. Louis, MO, 63146
Keep Title II. Ajit Pai, don't take away Net Neutrality you pretentious ass hat. Verizon makes enough money, you corporate shill.FCC, don't try and hide from the people. It only makes you look as bad as the politicians that are ruining this nation. Do your JOB!

2295. Brandon Whittenberg, Risco, MO, 63874
I am sure I am among many, many other people in saying that we absolutely have to keep Title 2 regulations and not allow Ajit Pai to have his way. Net neutrality cannot fall. Leave Title 2 regulations alone!

2296. Nikolas McKnight, Kansas City, MO, 64155
I support strong net neutrality rules by keeping ISPs classified under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934

2297. greg maddox, saint louis, MO, 63116
Preserve net neutrality under title 2 for ISPs

2298. Norman Krueger, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I support maintaining net neutrality under Title II oversight.

2299. Joseph Biscardi, Kansas City, MO, 64112
I specifically support strong net neutrality and the preservation Title 2

2300. Elizabeth, Kansas City, MO, 64109
Keep Title II. Preserve Neutrality!!!

2301. Tyler Litton, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. This is important!

2302. Alex Harness, Bowling Green, MO, 63334
Please retain our current understanding of net neutrality and Title II. The internet should be viewed as a public utility.

2303. Tiffany Milton, Independence, MO, 64055
I fully support net neutrality and want title 2 to remain in place.

2304. Angie Reinholz, Florissant, MO, 63031
I support open net neutrality laws enforced by title II. Please DO NOT change the legal classification for net neutrality.

2305. Daniel J Williams, Crystal City, MO, 63019

I am writing to state my support of net neutrality under Title II. I believe it is absolutely crucial that net neutrality be maintained on behalf of the American people. As such I am vehemently opposed to Docket No. 17-108. Thank you for your time.

2306. Lance Ferrell, St Louis, MO, 63118
I specifically back strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversite of isp's.

2307. Mary Huxhold, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
I support strong net neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. This protects our ability as Americans to choose to watch, consume and view internet content without the self-interest of big businesses deciding for us. Free internet is essential not just to entertainment, but also to creation, to business building, job creation and simple actions like applying for jobs and gaining a connection to news. Keep the internet free.

2308. Kevin Denton, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Please protect the title 2 regulation status of the internet. ISP's unfortunately have proven themselves to be untrustworthy with regards to data privacy and will egregiously throttle any competing services if given the opportunity. This would crush small businesses and startups who may provide superior services serving to stagnate innovation. Losing title 2 status would further propagate ISP's into more slothful business practices.

2309. Nathan Jeffords, St. Charles, MO, 63301
We firmly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.

2310. brandon dodd, webster groves, MO, 63119
We do not support an internet that is not free and open for all startups and established companies alike. We pay for the services and we expect it to work regardless of who created the content.

2311. nick meyer, branson, MO, 65616
I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title 2. Please do not let politicians, businesses or lawyers set to benefit from its removal take control.

2312. Eric Miller, o Fallon, MO, 63366
Free and open internet will benefit several 100 million citizens, not a handful of multinational corporations,

2313. Ken Zheng, Saint Louis, MO, 63105
PRESERVE TITLE 2. I feel strongly about preserving net neutrality.

2314. Michelle W, Kansas City, MO, 64116
Please DO NOT reverse Title II net neutrality. Please stand up for much needed and appreciated Net Neutrality!This is vitally important to everyone.

2315. Helen, Campbell, MO, 63933
Maintain the present regulations ensuring we have net neutrality by keeping ISP's regulated under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Please don't further damage our democracy with your idiotic ideas. Thanks!!

2316. Dan Borstelmann, St. Louis, MO, 63101
Net neutrality is the key to making our internet a fair and free place for everyone. Repealing those rules is despicable.

2317. John, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Dear FCC,I am normally in favor of free markets that competitively price each company's products. If internet service without an ISP's particular bias is desirable then let the ISP offer a "Bias and throttling free" option. However, the Net Neutrality rules go beyond influencing internet as a product, they support the a public good aspect of the internet that is worth defending. I am a doctoral student of economics and finance. I study the impact that changes like these impose on the incentives of firms and individuals. Without firm constraints in place each firm will face the temptation to increase revenue by: -prioritizing the speed with which individuals can browse (like google does with ad funded prioritized search results) -cap speeds on growing websites to favor incumbents -throttle speeds on websites during their contract negotiationsand the list goes on. Public goods like an internet with net neutrality constraints in place are valuable. I strongly support net neutrality rules and request that the FCC before the internet shifts due to their absence.Thank you.

2318. Ryan Koch, St. Louis, MO, 63139
Net Neutrality is vital to the growth of small businesses / start-ups. We do not need less regulation when a few powerful ISPs have the ability to influence the consumer and kill entrepreneurship. Title II must be enforced.

2319. Luke and Taryn England, Springfield, MO, 65810
I strongly support strong net neutrality laws and ISP providers remaining classified as Title 2

2320. Andrew Hulbert, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Please keep the net neutrality laws so companies do. It have the ability to take advantage of low regulation. Every common person should have the same right and companies shouldn't have the right to discriminate or create monopolies in this fashion.

2321. Bobby Oliver, Rolla, MO, 65401
Please for all that is fast and readily available keep title 2 intact! Without full access to the internet I may not be able to be here commenting now..... I may have had to wait until now! Or even now. Of maybe now. Or maybe now!! They could be moving your site to lightning fast 56k modem speeds and I wiuldnt be able to start filling out this form until now! Or maybe now!! Dear god how long could it take!!??????? Please help all of is!

2322. Christopher Jones, MD, St. Louis, MO, 63108
As a physician I depend on access to the open internet for research on rare medication conditions, medications, working at home on patient files over secured VPNs, and access to peer reviewed journals. I also frequently access websites and videos during patient visits to illustrate procedures, medications, exercises, and diets in an effort to answer questions and provide information to my patients. I am concerned that unless strong net neutrality laws remain in place access to this information for myself and my patients will be in jeopardy. I strongly support net neutrality laws that currently exist under Title 2.

2323. John Ferrick, Foristell, MO, 63348
Revoking net neutrality is a horrendous act. Please reconsider

2324. joshua mandell, ballwin, MO, 63021
i strongly support net neutrality through the enforcement of title ii provisions as they would affect, limit and control internet service providers.

2325. Danica Wailes, Branson, MO, 65616
I strongly support net neutrality and Title II. Please do not allow politicians, corporations, and others whom would financially benefit to limit/control our access to the internet.

2326. juliette cabanas, st louis, MO, 63123
Grow up!

2327. Mel Hogan, kansas City, MO, 64112
Leave net neutrality alone. We need a free and clear internet.

2328. Jeff Berges, Kansas City, MO, 64119
Please keep the net neutrality rules in place and preserve Title II

2329. Hannah, Manchester, MO, 63021
Uphold strong net neutrality under title II

2330. Carol Charles, Frontenac, MO, 63131
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of of ISPs.

2331. Ben Stratman, kansas city, MO, 64137
I support the FCC continuing to regulate ISP's under the title II regulations.

2332. James Flynn, Springfield, MO, 65803
I strongly support FCC regulation of ISP's under Title 2.

2333. jill mcdonald, Kansas City, MO, 64108
I support strong net neutrality backed by titled two oversight of ISP's.

2334. Bart Bartholomew, crestwood, MO, 63126
I strongly support net neutrality. If I decide to host a website, I should not have to pay each ISP a premium to get equal access to users.

2335. Avinash Kota, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
Hi, I want net neutrality. Because of that I am able to browse the content I want to browse. Internet has done lots of help in my life, keeping it in money making ISP hands would jeopardize the benefits and in-turn people would miss information they need. Please keep net neutrality. Thank you.

2336. Adam Plevyak, Columbia, MO, 65201
Please keep internet neutrality strong and keep Title II regulations in place.

2337. Nicholas Johnson, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Please keep ISPs under Title II. Regulation is a GOOD thing....that's actually why your agency exists. To regulate. Think about that for a moment..... you only have one job - one. Stop giving reach-arounds to your former employer. IF you deregulate ISPs and allow them to choke our internet - I will take your Reese's mug and use it as my portable chamber pot. Think about that tomorrow when you sip your dark brown liquid. Is it coffee?....is it? Do you know?

2338. Bradley Whiteside, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
It is very important that the US Government remains Neutral on Net Neutrality. Any other option will result not only potential harm to jobs in the US but also the lively hood of our citizen.

2339. Michael Bostick, Lee's Summit, MO, 64081
Please support net neutrality and keep ISP's under the oversight of Title 2.

2340. Zach, Clark, MO, 65243
I am in full support of keeping and stengthining Net Neutrality rules.

2341. jeni oliver, Rolla, MO, 65401
Net neutrality is important to me and we should keep title 2 restrictions in place!

2342. KEVIN JONES, Springfield, MO, 65807

I prefer strict regulation of net neutrality under title 2 legal footing. We are watching.

2343. Elizabeth Simms, Wentzville, MO, 63385
I am in support of net neutrality backed by the Title II oversight of ISP's, and I greatly urge for the preservation of such a thing.

2344. Zach, Cottleville, MO, 63376
I specifically support Net Neutrality backed by Title two classification of ISPs. Removing this classification is nothing short of removing protections from the people and letting the ISPs run rampant. We all know that the current chairman was a lawyer for Verizon. We aren't as stupid as he thinks.

2345. Michael E. Maag, Columbia, MO, 65202
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. Net neutrality is essential to equal access to information. We should all have the same access to information on the web, regardless of who our provider is, where we live, or who is competing with our current provider. Access to information is critical and should never be compromised in the name of big business. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY UNDER TITLE II

2346. Ian Eaves, St. Louis, MO, 63112
I strongly support net neutrality and FCC regulation of ISPs under title 2.

2347. Shane Kincaid, Fulton, MO, 65251
I strongly support the regulation of internet service providers as common carriers under Title II of the 1934 Communications Act. A free and open internet is in everyone's interest. Please preserve the Obama-era rules on this matter, and, if feasible, strengthen them further.

2348. Doree Bardes, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please preserve net neutrality and keep ISPs classified as Title II telecom services. Do not allow moneyed interests to control our access to knowledge and communication that should be available to all.

2349. Ben Stratman, kansas city, MO, 64137
I don't trust that an ISP can properly regulate themselves without someone hold their hand and explicitly explaining to them how exactly to play nice with the american public. Keep ISP's under title II.

2350. Kaitlin Daniels, St Louis, MO, 63112
I strongly support net neutrality and the FCC's regulation of the internet under Title II

2351. Mathew Reinholz, Florissant, MO, 63031
I am strongly opposed to any changes to current net neutrality laws. I wish for net neutrality to remain enforceable under title 2 which is the only way it is enforceable.

2352. Meyer David Feit Mann, St Louis, MO, 63130
I support internet neutrality backed by title 2.

2353. Steve Fifield, Hannibal, MO, 63401
Please keep net neutrality protections in place and continue ISP oversight via Title II regulations. A fair internet is essential to our communities and our future.

2354. nan bostick, Lees summit, MO, 64081
Do not change net nuetrality. Leave it at title 2. This is very important.

2355. Cody Henry, High Ridge, MO, 63049
Don't be jerks. Protect net neutrality.

2356. Lukus Holsinger, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I would like to state my support for preserving net neutrality and Title II.

2357. Terry Christopher Martin, Cheesterfield, MO, 63005
I demand that you preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2.

2358. Jared, Greentop, MO, 63546
Preserve strong Net Neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs

2359. Tye Hawkinson, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP companies.

2360. Laura Taylor, St Louis, MO, 63139
Preserve net neutrality and Title IIThank you!

2361. Jamie Hasemeier, Webster groves, MO, 63119
Please protect net neutrality. I demand a free and open Internet with strong Title II rules, that ensures that the flow of data is determined by the interests of Internet users, not the narrow interests of Internet Service Providers.

2362. James Melton, Columbia, MO, 65201
I support strong net neutrality with Title 2 regulations. The internet must remain free and open. Nothing at this time needs to change.

2363. Jacob Ryan, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Please protect the human right to the internet by keeping it free for everyone. We need to uphold Title 2 and we need vigilantly fight for net neutrality and preserve it.

2364. Cole Mitchell, MACON, MO, 63552
Restore Internet Freedom.I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

2365. Richard Hedgepath, Kansas City, MO, 64154
I want to express my support for net neutrality. The American ISP/telecom companies cannot be trusted to have the consumer's best interest in mind. The telecom companies have to have the bottom line (profit) in mind in all their decisions and that means "how can they get more money". They will give higher priority to services / products that are willing to pay for it. And that means startups, who cannot afford the fast lane, gets lower priority. Keep the consumer and the small guys in mind and keep net neutrality in place.

2366. Jason Ball, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
I strongly encourage you to maintain title ii regulations for isps. Removing the net neutrality restrictions from isps is like giving a heroin addict the keys to the controlled medicine cabinet at the local pharmacy because they should be trusted to safely monitor their own medically necessary drug consumption. Don't hand the isps the keys to the information highway and trust that they will be fair - these are companies that are obligated to make money for their investors and will absolutely act to protect and encourage use of domains that make money for them using any means legally possible, including user end speed throttling of competing domains. There is no logical reason to start playing the prisoners dillema game with isps and net neutrality.

2367. Cody Stepp, Bolivar, MO, 65613
We MUST keep Net Neutrality and we MUST make sure it is strongly backed!

2368. David Lindahl, Kansas City, MO, 64112
Please preserve the current net neutrality rules under title 2. It is unacceptable to make any changes to these rules that would in any way reduce this set of regulations.

2369. Kallie Riff, Kansas City, MO, 64134
Preservation of the Title 2 is essential for the internet to stay free and open for everyone who wishes to contribute, consume, and meme freely. Thank you.

2370. Ken Hardin, St. Louis, MO, 63137
Please do not get rid of FCC regulations mandating net neutrality! We can not live in a world where the companies rule our choices on the internet! It would severely limit our choice! America is all about the freedom to choose!!

2371. Liz Turpin, St. Louis, MO, 63104
Keep net neutrality. Anything less is morally wrong.

2372. Net Neutrality, Belton, MO, 64012
The FCC should keep Net Neutrality. The only people who want to rid of it are ISP's and the horrible congressmen who get their campaign donations from them. Everybody want equal internet!

2373. Kerry J Vickers Jr, Goodman, MO, 64843
I support title 2 of net neutrality.

2374. Anthony James Maselli, Kansas City, MO, 64106
Hello,I am commenting to express my disappointment and confusion in the pursuit of this proceeding. After all, there was an overwhelming public support for the establishment of "net neutrality" policies in 2014, and I do not see how it could be argued in good faith that this contradicting action represents an honest assessment of the public will. Regardless, I shall simply state forthwith:I, a citizen of the United States of America, oppose proceeding 17-108, which has been entitled "Restoring Internet Freedom".Sincerely,Anthony James Maselli

2375. avani nayak, St louis, MO, 63131
I support net neutrality and title 2. Please keep them!

2376. Liam Cassidy, St. Louis, MO, 63104
I support net neutrality and title II. Getting rid of regulations is not the solution to everything. Why should we trust companies to do what's right? Capitalism is not inherently ethical. Regulations help the little guy not get screwed over by those with the most power.

2377. Bart Miller, Brentwood, MO, 63144
Please keep Title II for ISPs and support true Net Neutrality whereby no ISP can discriminate or be incentivized on how the content of internet traffic is distributed at the expense of other traffic.

2378. Heather Hunt, Columbia, MO, 65203
I strongly support net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not remove Title II oversight of ISPs or move away from net neutrality rules.

2379. Cary Thrasher, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Preserving net neutrality and title II will prove to be fundamental is preserving American freedoms now and in the future to come.

2380. Melissa Glades, Joplin, MO, 64801
I strongly support internet neutrality!!

2381. Kyle Haas, Kansas City, MO, 64157
I strongly support Net Neutrality. It's purpose is vital to preserve the everlasting growth and development of the Internet.

2382. Rafael Granados, Springfield, MO, 65802
We need strong net neutrality. We need to keep it title 2. This is protecting our freedoms.

2383. Eileen Hankins, Saint Louis, MO, 63125
I support preserving existing net neutrality regulations under title 2. Please do not roll back regulations!

2384. Emma Posega Rappleye, Saint Louis, MO, 63112
I am strongly opposed to Docket No. 17-108, and am in support of strong net neutrality backed by the Title II oversight of ISPs.

2385. Theresa Baker, Columbia, MO, 65203
I support net neutrality. Keep and preserve Title II.

2386. Kimberly Lewis, St Charles, MO, 63303
please preserve and protect net neutrality

2387. Stephen Krekeler, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
I strongly support Net Neutrality, and changes or restructuring to Title II is wrong and will only serve the large ISPs to control/throttle internet bandwidth based on their bias. DO NOT LET THIS HAPPEN!

2388. Brian Campbell, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
We need title 2 for net neutrality do not mess with this PI !

2389. John LaPointe Navarre, Kansas City, MO, 64124
Changing the regulatory status of Internet Service Providers will hurt citizens across the country with the only possible benefit being the greater enrichment of giant corporations who profit off of the hard work of entertainers around the country and provide no benefit to anyone except those corporations' investors and employees. America is a country that thrives because of our basic freedoms and this alteration to ISP regulation would restrict our citizens' right to gather on the internet and express themselves freely there. These corporations do not need a government handout and this alteration to the existing rules should not made.

2390. Kevin Petersen, Carthage, MO, 64836
Please keep ISPs as a Title II classification. I do not need my ISP to have any more control of how I access the internet, nor do they need all these other ways to monetize my browsing habits. There is no competition in my area at this time that provides sufficient broadband speeds at a reasonable price. It is not simply a matter of picking the ISP with the best policies that I want as there is no such competition. I do not trust a corporation to do the right thing when there is no competition. They will do what is best for their stake holders before what is best for the customer.Do what is right. Do what is right for the individual American, and not what a corporation or lobbyist tells you. Please.

2391. shelby peek, Hollister, MO, 65672
Maintain net neutrality and title 2 laws!

2392. Garrett Clark, Hollister, MO, 65672
Don't fuck with a good thing FCC! Keep Title II! Fuck ISPs. #GoFCCYourself

2393. Tesh Jewell, Ballwin, MO, 63011
I support Net Neutrality and want the existing laws to reamin in effect. It's important that we preserve net neutrality and title II. This is a very important protection that impacts millions of people and it's reversal would be detrimental. Internet freedom is a keen to freedom of speech!

2394. Steve Frank, Kansas City, MO, 64151
The country needs strong net neutrality regulations such as the ones provided under Title II.

2395. Karla Armbruster, St. Louis, MO, 63119
Keep strong internet neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

2396. Richard Layman jr, saint Charles, MO, 63303
Keep title 2 you power hungry profit grabbing cock suckers.Thanks.

2397. Oliver Block, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Hello,I am very concerned about the weakening - or elimination - of net neutrality protections. I fear that the absence of net neutrality regulations will result in Internet Service Providers throttling traffic and interfering with legitimate Internet usage by consumers like myself. An open Internet with equal treatment of all network traffic is critical to an open democracy and a thriving, modern economy.Sincerely,Oliver Block

2398. John Duchek, Saint Louis, MO, 63128
Keep internet neutrality and Title 2 protections.

2399. Jim Blaine, Florissant, MO, 63031
Please preserve your neutrality in Title II.

2400. Matt Morris, Richmond Heights, MO, 63117

I am commenting to express my support for the current Net Neutrality policy enforced by the previous Obama administration. Net Neutrality helps to prevent internet providers from slowing down certain streaming apps (Netflix) that may provide competition to these provider's bundled cable programs (i.e. AT&T U-Verse, Comcast, etc). Please keep the Title ii classification so that Net Neutrality can continue to be enforced.

2401. Brad Bremmerkamp, Coumbia, MO, 65202
Preserve net neutrality with Title 2 oversight

2402. barb duchek, saint louis, MO, 63128
I want net neutrality and title 2 protection

2403. SecureNetNeutrality, St Peter, MO, 63376
What this filing commits to is immoral and if you care about the people of the United States for even a minute, you would realize this is wrong.

2404. Daniel Ferbert, joplin, MO, 64804
I believe the internet needs to be regulated to ensure that everyone has the same access to content. I believe in net neutrality and the choice of content I wish to enjoy. FCC needs to keep these companies as title ii.

2405. Jacob Lucas, festus, MO, 63028
Strong net neutrality is a necessary for the free and efficient flow of information to everyone. If you give billion-dollar companies any opportunity to charge the customer extra, they will. The american public is already misinformed and taken advantage of enough as it is. So please don't let Trump's orange hands touch net neutrality, and don't reclassify from title 2.

2406. Scott Betz, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
Please do not marginalize net neutrality by moving it to Title I. We need to keep a strong federal grasp on neutrality over site. Corporations can not be trusted to protect this obvious public utility. When large corporations are allowed to crush smaller ones, there is no free market. Plus, many of these internet providers are sanctioned monopolies and therefore must come under stronger scrutiny.

2407. Will Childs, O Fallon, MO, 63368
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.Thank you!

2408. Kevin Brown, St. Louis, MO, 63118
Changing the legal footing of which Net Neutrality stands upon is not only dangerous but irresponsibke by the FCC due to the seemingly obvious implications on consumer choice, innovation, and market competition. Please do not make decisions that empower the ISPs to monetize and restrict subscriber choice at the same time reducing competition and innovation. We need strong Net Neutrality and Title II.

2409. Ankur, saint louis, MO, 63146
Preserve net neutrality and let it remain under Title 2

2410. Peter Coogan, St. Louis, MO, 63119
The shift away from the Obama rules on ISP as common carriers is a betrayal of the American people and our tradition of freedom. Title 2 oversight of ISP was the correct move after the court case a few years ago, and it's still right today. Don't sell us out.

2411. Margaret Erikson, North Kansas City, MO, 64116
I support to preserve strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

2412. net neutrality, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I'd like to express my strong support for title II regulation of internet service providers, which is necessary to maintain internet neutrality.

2413. Melinda K Jones, Willard, MO, 65781
Please do not destroy net neutrality. Leave title II alone. I want strong net neutrality rules.

2414. Timothy J McAlmond, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I am in support of full and open internet. I do no support net neutrality. Thank you.

2415. Jakob, Kansas City, MO, 64109
Do not ruin the internet plzzzzzz dont do it

2416. Abhi, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
To Ajit Varadaraj Pai, I STRONGLY support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.

2417. David Dang, St. Louis, MO, 63109
I support strong net neutrality with strong Title II (Two) oversight .

2418. jerrett mcghee, Waynesville, MO, 65583
Please preserve title 2, and don't let the ISP Companies do as they please with internet services.

2419. Nate Diekman, St. Louis, MO, 63139
I oppose the deregulation of the internet. I'm sincerely concerned that ISP's will take advantage of loosened restrictions on internet regulations to essentially limit certain websites that are unable or unwilling to pay for faster service and loading speeds, and

deregulations will stall the ability of, as well as, the number of new and small businesses that rely on the current internet regulations that protect them.

2420. Jonathan Hope, Florissant, MO, 63031
I support Title II Net Neutrality. Please leave it alone.

2421. Andrew Riggs, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Don't change the regulations from Title II to Title I. Title II does not keep companies from investing in infrastructure. But changing to Title I will allow for further monopolization of media companies. Anti-monopolization ironically allows for freer markets, and it protects the consumers. So keep Title II.

2422. Ben Baczenas, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Do not allowed preferred providers or services. Keep the net neutral. ISPs are not to be trusted.

2423. christina Berney, Lee's summit, MO, 64081
Preserve net neutrality and keep ISP's regulated under title II.

2424. Chris Cleeland, St. Louis, MO, 63116
I am filing in full support of net neutrality for ISPs as defined in Title 2. Corporations have no incentive to police themselves in monopoly markets. ISPs are monopolies.

2425. Matt Determan, Kansas City, MO, 64108
I want to issue my support for Net Neutrality and the classification of ISP's under title 2.Do not roll back Net Neutrality.

2426. Patrick Hutsler Jr., High Ridge, MO, 63049
We fully support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

2427. Samantha Maerz-Boening, St. Louis, MO, 63146
I do not support this measure or any attempts to weaken the current net neutrality regulations.

2428. Andrew Sanderson, St. Charles, MO, 63301
It is unacceptable that the FCC plans to revisit classification of ISPs under title II of the Federal Communications Act of 1934. It could not be more plain that this is an effort to stifle the free flow of information by allowing ISPs to control the accessibility of content. If this classification changes, it will only serve as evidence of intolerable malfeasance and corruption permeating this nation's capitol.

2429. Zachary Brown, Kansas City, MO, 64108
I strongly support keeping ISPs classified under Title II to enforce net neutrality. This has been an issue I have long supported. A traffic-agnostic, unbiased exchange of information is necessary to the very idea of an open Internet.

2430. Gene Breeden, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please leave the classification of the internet regulations as is, it is fair and prevents the ISPs from abusing their power, we already have very little choice in providers in most areas, they overcharge for the service and put artificial caps on the amount we use already. They are now allowed to collect personal information that they should not be able to collect. Allowing them to decide who gets fast service and who gets slow service should not be allowed. You would not be making anything better, you would only be allowing the ISPs to compete unfairly with whomever they want to. Thank you for your time. Gene Breeden

2431. Darrell Hanschen, Jackson, MO, 63755
Net neutrality is a right for all internet users. Do not give the highest bidder faster internet than everyone else.

2432. Jennifer Magnus, Arnold, MO, 63010
Please protect internet neutrality and Title II regulation on our internet.Peace and Long LifeJ. Magnus

2433. Jackie Christiansen, Harrisonville, MO, 64701
Keep Net Neutrality safe under Title 2 protections. Do not allow big business to take away freedom for profit.

2434. Zac Waters, Hollister, MO, 65672
i strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 isp's

2435. Becky Clubb, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality. Please keep title 2 and let us keep our Internet!

2436. Sheryl Foster, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
Net neutrality should be upheld. Preserving an open internet is crucial for fair and equal access to the resources and information available on it. Without net neutrality, small businesses, low-income individuals, and much of rural America would lose access to affordable, reasonably fast internet service. Furthermore, a free and open internet helps prevent unfair pricing practices. It also spurs competition and innovation. An open internet must be preserved.

2437. Jeremy Myers, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Let us all be honest and acknowledge that moving ISP back to Title I will open the gate to ISP's creating regional monopolies to push their own content and reduce access to a true open internet.

2438. Title II, St. Louis, MO, 63139
I support preserving net neutrality and Title II. Corporations should not control our internet access nor should our personal data should

not be regarded as a commodity to be sold to the highest bidders without our consent.

2439. Beth Francis, Farmington, MO, 63640
Preserve net neutrality and Title II !!!!!!! Come on...please!

2440. Frederick Steiner, Kansass City, MO, 64113
I am a technology professional (developer, DevOps, Database Admin). I have worked on and with the internet for the last 25 years. The only reason it has been the engine of so much growth is because there is no way for a carrier (the people that own the lines of transmission) to influence the destination of the traffic (data). Please don't allow company's to be able to do that. It's openness allows great growth and competition, it creates a level playing field for everyone on the internet and allows new company's to do amazing things. If Net neutrality is allowed to be violated the internet will become dependent on the large data carriers and will not produce fast growing innovative company's. Quick example. Motorola had a music streaming service about ten years ago. It sucked, you couldn't pick your type of music you wanted to listen to, it had tons of advertisement and you couldn't personalize it. Motorola at the time owned the phone's connections, so they made there music service faster than any other traffic on their phones, so if you wanted to use a different music streaming service it wouldn't go through the phone very well and would stop and stutter the music. That type of competition didn't help consumers. Motorola had a worse product and was able to force consumers to use it because they degraded the quality of their competitors. Now Imagine that the whole internet becomes like old Motorola phones, each carrier optimizing their own bundled software / services and degrading the other services or software? Please as an IT professional that has seen the internet do amazing things don't ruin it by ending Net Neutrality.

2441. Brett Payne, Imperial, MO, 63052
Please do not remove the current Net Neutrality rules, or change ISP's from Title 2 to Title 1.Thank you,

2442. Abigail Huff, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I strongly support title two oversight of internet providers and net neutrality being upheld.

2443. lloyd, Hannibal, MO, 63401
Conserve net neutrality and resist companies like Verizon who want to control access to the internet by giving preferential treatment to subscribers who pay more for access.

2444. Lisa Skinker, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I am strongly against deregulating ISPs and defunding or removing net neutrality laws. The current law is working well for the public and discourages abuse by the ISPs.

2445. Melvin Roche, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
My mid-sized, high-tech, electronics manufacturer uses the neutral internet to compete freely against much larger corporations. Without title II protections I would be forced to pay to compete. I already pay for access so what good do these changes do?

2446. Erin Atley, Florissant, MO, 63031
Title II Net Neutrality rules really are imperative to our freedom. Voluntary regulation is not adequate.

2447. Jeremy West, university city, MO, 63130
I believe that internet service providers should be held to title II standards and support net neutrality regulation.

2448. Chris Tomlinson, St Charles, MO, 63303
Removing net neutrality will only hurt the consumer, and help the communication companies get richer. What are you thinking?

2449. Garrett Allen, St. Charles, MO, 63304
Hey there, I am a soon-to-be voter in the St. Louis area of Missouri, and as a devoted U.S. government and political science student, and on behalf of my peers, I would like to state my strong support for Title II net neutrality of internet service providers, and the overall freedom of the internet. Because seriously, who wants to have Netflix slowed down for Hulu? Sincerely,A disenfranchised young citizen

2450. John Lynch, St Louis, MO, 63119
I heavily support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's

2451. Rachael Gerber, Richmond Heights, MO, 63117
Net neutrality as currently protected under Title II should not be revoked or reverted to protection of Title I. The internet is the single largest influential factor of the 21st century, let alone to this nation. Free and open networks is how large and small ISPs have flurished, because people were unrestricted in their options by their internet providers. Relaxing the regulations and protections for internet consumers, which encompasses essentially every American, would result in discriminatory services by providers, done in the sole interests of the provider and not the consumer, a.k.a. everyone, including whomever is reading this comment.

2452. Jeremy Kneipp, Blue Springs, MO, 64064
It is very important that ISPs remain classified as title II under the federal communications act of 1934. I had Verizon FiOS during the time that the FCC was first dealing with this issue Five years ago and on numerous occasions I noticed that the Verizon was limiting bandwidth for Netflix servers. This was evident because I was able to stream the same content perfectly through my cellular provider when the content via FiOS was endlessly buffering. It was also noted when Netflix created their own speed test that showed my bandwidth was 10x slower when accessing Netflix's servers. After the reclassification, this all stopped. Again, it is important that ISPs remain classified as title 2 because they have s justifiable conflict of interest and will always do what is in their best interest,even when it is not in the best interest of consumers.

2453. Stephen Wessler, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
I strongly support preserving net neutrality and would like all information to be equally available to all. As such, please keep it under the legal banner of Title II.

2454. c, Florissant, MO, 63034
No changes should be made to the legal footing within Title II regulating ISPs. It is an important feature in protecting users and maintaining net neutrality. It is an effective regulation that can't be shown to substantially burden ISPs in any way but does provide a sound legal framework for protecting the users of ISP's products.

2455. Jerald Jay Stratton III, Grandview, MO, 64030
Do NOT, I repeat DO NOT repeal the classification of common carriers under Title II! Ajit Pai is a puppet of Verizon and will do/say whatever he needs to ensure his buddies over there can make even more billions exploiting the information of the consumer. Doing anything less than keeping and enforcing Title II is anti-consumer and proves D.C. is in the pocket of Big-Telecom!

2456. Sean P. Goggins, Columbia, MO, 65203
Net neutrality is fundamental for democracy. Do not mess with it.

2457. Marut Tangtrongwanit, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
I believe that the FCC should continue to maintain strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. The Internet should be treated as a utility and available to anyone without restriction. Also, if the FCC really wanted real innovation in the telecommunications industry then they would be voicing support for updating and expanding network infrastructure (ie. expand the fiber optic cable network) throughout the entire US as part of government infrastructure spending (The Midwest is particularly lacking compared to the coasts). ISPs could then build along those established routes laid by the government and allow for ISPs to expand from them and thus reducing the cost of "last mile" issues for fiber optic networks to an extent. This would lead to more jobs then if ISPs created service tiers for internet speed since such revenue would not nearly be enough to allow for expansion of the fiber optic network by lone companies on such a scale, only the government has the resources and the purpose (in serving its citizens such as through beneficial use of tax dollars) to take on such an endeavor.

2458. Nels Knutson, St Louis, MO, 63101
Please preserve net neutrality with title 2 protections. It seems to be the only way to currently ensure a free and open internet.

2459. Joshua Krakos, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
I support strong net neutrality backed by regulation of ISPs under Title II. We rely on the FCC to maintain the freedom of information that the Internet has become a symbol of.

2460. Troy Ganson, Lees Summit, MO, 64064
I urge the FCC to keep net neutrality.

2461. Andrew Norton, Springfield, MO, 65802
I support Net Neutrality. Please keep strong Net Neutrality and preserve Title II over site of ISP.

2462. Doug Spires, St. Louis, MO, 63116
Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please listen to the American people.Sincerely,Doug Spires

2463. Teresa Lane, St. Louis, MO, 63109
I support full net neutrality and the strongest possible Title II utility regulation of broadband. The internet is a utility today, a requirement for comfortable living and employment.

2464. Dane Smitley, Grandview, MO, 64030
I really hate what's being done with net neutrality. PLEASE stop trying to do this. Keep net neutrality backed by Title II. Despite the spin being attempted on this idea, we consumers know far better than to believe that this change being proposed by the FCC is in our best interests. Do not let greed put you on the wrong side of history, and KEEP NET NEUTRALITY AND OUR FREE AND OPEN INTERNET BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISPs.Consumers are NOT as ignorant as certain people seem to think. The wool isn't going to be pulled over our eyes on this.

2465. Mike Dolan, St Louis, MO, 63109
I ask that you please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2.Regards,Mike Dolan

2466. Brynn Thibault, Springfield, MO, 65806
I would like to support Net Neutrality and the right to preserve Title 2. THANKS.

2467. Patrick Clark Jr, Kansas City, MO, 64137
I support strong Internet Neutrality and Title II enforcement. Doing so is important to ensure a fair Internet market

2468. Christopher Jackson, St Charles, MO, 63301
Do not infringe on net neutrality the internet should be a free and open space where both big and small companies and websites should have equal access to the public.

2469. William Hellmuth, St. Louis, MO, 63131
I am strongly in favor of preserving Net Neutrality and Title 2. Please do not allow the Trump administration to get rid of it also.

2470. Erica, Belton, MO, 64012
Please, maintain net neutrality. Don't do away with Title II. In this day and age, equal access to all parts of the internet is key to

maintaining some semblance of fair treatment. Just Google it.

2471. Erik Harker, Springfield, MO, 65804
I support strong net neutrality by keeping ISP companies under Title II. Please don't let these ISPs have the power to screw over smaller or competing companies.

2472. Alex Daigre, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
I support, in no uncertain terms, the Title II classification of ISPs. This is a necessary step for preserving net neutrality. Net neutrality is vital to the health and freedom of this country.

2473. Peter Ducos, Kansas city, MO, 64151
Don't fuck with title 2. Leave my net neutrality alone. Don't let my ISP regulate who provides me with my "cats that are afraid of cucumbers.." Videos. k Thanks.

2474. Josh Russell, Springfield, MO, 65807
I support Net Neutrality and title II regulation.

2475. Alex Gremp, St. Louis, MO, 63116
Repeal of the classification of Internet service providers as Title II common carriers would be detrimentalâ€‹ to consumers and small businesses. I would be personally adversely harmed.

2476. Restoring Internet Freedom, Kansas City, MO, 64118
Please do not do this to America. Small communities and entrepreneurs online have become such a part of my life and such a part of the life of every American. There are so many small oases of niche online communities that I'm afraid will dry up and vanish that had provided respite to so many. They may seem dumb to you, but to the people they help, they can be everything. When out of the country serving in the Army, so many of these small groups meant so much to me. I'm a bit of a geek and didn't always have people like that around me, so being able to go back to my room and watch AVGN or SFDebris, both of which were on smaller scale hosting services at the time, meant so much to me. I bonded with others over these things, both online and in person. And I'm just one of endless examples of people who needed the small communities of the internet. But the individual experience isn't the only thingSites like Netflix, Youtube, Amazon, Facebook, and Twitter would never had the chance to grow and become the titans of industry they are today without the freedom of the internet, and that is the American dream. Small people with big ideas using determination and intellect to carve out a place for themselves in our great country. Are phone companies allowed to decide who we're allowed to talk to? Does my mail get sent slower when sent to people that didn't pay off the Post Office? How is this even being considered? How has the constant public backlash against things like SOPA not made the point? I would lastly like to ask Senator Mike Lee of Utah, who is paid you to introduce this?

2477. Josh Best, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Protect Net Neutrality by preserving the Title 2 regulations for ISPs!

2478. Steve Dillman, Springfield, MO, 65804
I would like to respectfully voice my support for Net Neutrality. Thank you for your time.

2479. Ashley Harker, Springfield, MO, 65804
I support ISPs being under Title II to insure we have strong net neutrality.

2480. Brandon Hills, Saint Louis, MO, 63125
I support strong and fair internet neutrality rules. Keep the ISPs listed as Title 2. If this does not happen, I will vote against every single senator, congressman, president, or any other elected official who supports the repeal of Title 2 net neutrality.

2481. Allison DiBlasi, Columbia, MO, 65202
Please do not change net neutrality to Title I, money cannot be allowed to influence free and fair access to information.

2482. Gene Gietzen, Springfield, MO, 65802
I strongly support net neutrality of Type 2 version.

2483. Nicholas Scroggins, Springfield, MO, 65804
I believe in a fair and balanced internet not controlled by the ISP's who want to control the internet for their own gains. I am for Net Neutrality.

2484. Nick Guidry, St. Louis, MO, 63123
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Privacy is a fundamental right and as such I am strongly opposed to to Docket No. 17-108.

2485. Steven S. Menard, Columbia, MO, 65203
I strongly support net neutrality, and request that its current Title II classification remain in place.Thank you.

2486. corey lewis, St louis, MO, 63116
I support net neutrality under sect II. THERE IS NO NEED TO CHANGE. We are currently protected and would like to stay that way.

2487. Adam Dotson, Maryland heights, MO, 63043
Do not remove ISPs from Title II regulation. Big businesses should not be able to monopolize internet content or set bounties to provide content. Telecom companies already act as monopolies for high speed internet in most US towns; they should not be able to monopolize content just to further increase profits on the backs of customers.

2488. Nick Binder, saint Louis, MO, 63139
I support strongly net neutrality title 2 to oversee ISB companies

2489. Diego Chi, Kansas City, MO, 64123
I believe it is important to protect Net Neutrality, please keep strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II. The Government and FCC serves the needs of the people and must stand as a buffer against corporations who are beholden only to their own needs.

2490. Dustin Albright, Riverside, MO, 64150
I am deeply supportive of preserving current net neutrality rules, and the dissection of them will only serve the interests of Internet Service Providers, and larger web based businesses, while undermining and under running smaller sites and businesses that already struggle from the ground up, as well as undermining the interests of paying customers who prefer to access a wide range of web hosts who already struggle to spend lots of money having their sites running on fast, reliable servers. Taking an axe to net neutrality rules is against not only the interests of the American people, but against the desires of the American people in which agencies like the FCC are meant to serve. Thank you for your consideration.

2491. Jack Yungbluth, St. Louis, MO, 63005
I support a strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108!Please do not support this.

2492. restoring net neutrality, St louis, MO, 63130
I support the Obama era net neutrality rules backed by Title IIFCC chair Pai must be stopped from destroying net neutrality

2493. Jamie Pless, St Peters, MO, 63376
I urge you to support Strong net neutrality supported by Title II.

2494. Hannah Garbacz, St Charles, MO, 63303
I am a strong supporter of Net Neutrality, and believe that Title II protections should be preserved. Please keep the internet a level playing Field!

2495. Drew Corley, Kansas City, MO, 64111
We need need net neutrality, and title 2. Don't be a bag of dicks.

2496. Tom McFarland, Springfield, MO, 65807
I support strong Net Neutrality and Title II regulation.

2497. gerald kohring jr, St louis, MO, 63125
I support strong net neutrality laws and ISP providers ruled by title2 rules

2498. Mitko Varadinov, Maryland hts, MO, 63043
Keep title 2 please!

2499. Alexandra Asaro, Saint Louis, MO, 63105
Preserve net neutrality by keeping ICPs regulated under Title II. Maintaining open internet can not and should not be expected if ICPs become self-policing; only independent regulation such as that under Title II can guarantee the future of a free and open internet.

2500. Steve Yingling, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
I want strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am strongly opposed to Docket No. 17-108.

2501. Marsha Cavin, St. Louis, MO, 63139
I am writing in support of net neutrality and in support of Title II.

2502. Andrew Limbocker, Kansas City, MO, 64113
It is imperative that the FCC govern ISP's under the Title II rules. I am fully supportive of net neutrality and believe it will be bad for the economy and people's free speech to allow the ISPs to be covered under title I or to allow them in any way to speed up or slow down certain content or websites. Thank you,

2503. Andrew Feltmann, Washington, MO, 63090
I'm going to tell you right now. I don't care what ISP's have said or what you think they're going to do. They will dick over every single customer if it makes them a buck. This is real life, not some fairy tale land where everyone is jolly good lads. People are greedy. Don't roll back net neutrality and further more, ensure that ISP's aren't conglomerating to drive prices up. The US is far behind other counties in terms of internet infrastructure (even with the land size difference, there should be better service in the more populated areas). I have friends across both oceans who pay the same for double, sometimes triple, the band width and much better customer service than what is in the the US. Why? Because there is no competition to make a better product and no competition to keep prices lower. This results in stagnated technologies and stagnated prices. The ISP's need a wake up call. They need to know that the American people will not stand for shady backroom deals. So send them a message, shut this bill down and take back the internet by breaking up the ISP monopoly.

2504. Lyn Lowe, Raytown, MO, 64133
I firmly support a strong net neutrality policy.

2505. Douglas Muehlman, Willow Springs, MO, 65793
I would like to say that I support Net Neutrality and oppose this "Restoring Internet Freedom" policy. We already have freedom and we need to protect it from these underhanded maneuvers.

2506. Travis Pringle, Columbia, MO, 65202

I would like to stress to the commissioner that ISPs remain classified under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. The commission is well aware that the courts have held the only way for the FCC to effectively regulate the ISPs is through Title II; if they are reclassified under Title I, we will all be at the mercy of their corporate boards. Just because they haven't yet undermined net neutrality, does not mean they won't. I would rather they be at the mercy of the people, rather than vice versa. This was decided two years ago; there is absolutely no reason for the commissioner to readdress it, except for personal gain. Uphold your duty to the people, and oath to the Constitution, and keep ISPs classified under Title II.

2507. Amy Rost, Springfield, MO, 65807
I support net neutrality and title two!!!!

2508. Randy Martin, springfield, MO, 65806
I support a strong net neutrality under Title II regulations. There is no need to lift these.

2509. Stephen Moorew, Lee's Summit, MO, 64064
Keep Title 2 enforcement of net neutrality. How many ISP's do you think I have? There is no competition to keep my ISP honest in it's control of what I have access to unless they are regulated. Corporations do not observe morality nor "fairness" they pursue profits and that pursuit will not allow true net neutrality unless they know it will cost them to cheat. There are only a half dozen major firms offering internet; I have access to only two at my home. I can't jump from one to the other without costs; it's not like going down the street to a better shoe store. If you think these few providers will play fairly keep in mind that 80% of the air travel in this country is provided by four airlines. If you've been watching the news lately you know what a good job they are doing in caring for their customers in their less regulated environment! I don't want to be dragged off a plane nor have the equivalent of that happen to me due to loss of regulation of my ISP.

2510. Kevin Shook, Kansas city, MO, 64123
I support internet regulations under title II

2511. Christine Cooper, Manchester, MO, 63021
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. i also think that ajit pai's coffee mug is fucking stupid and he needs to stop referencing the Big Lebowski. The Dude thinks you're an asshole.

2512. Devin Turner, St. Louis, MO, 63101
As a entrepreneur and owner of a web-based technology company, this despicable action by the government to directly present conflicts of interest against the growth and development of private businesses and individuals is outrageous. This may be the single most damaging action taken by this administration and has the potential to produce a destructive legacy to needlessly burden future citizens of the United States of America for generations to come.

2513. Dennis Kidwell, Columbia, MO, 65203
Most cities are only served by one ISP, (other than much slower POTS or satellite systems). This is because the cities licensed or built the infrastructure for the primary ISP, and other ISPS aren't allowed to use that infrastructureLook at google fiber. the local restrictions do not allow adding additional physical infrastructure. Without that physical link, most people are restricted to a single reasonable broadband provider. Since the physical layer is a government controlled asset, that is only licensed to one ISP, allowing the ISP to choose the control of the traffic is going to be a detriment to the populace. If the physical layer is regulated, the software layer must also be regulated as a finite resource

2514. 17-108, Fulton, MO, 65251
I am commenting to express my support of strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.Maintain this practice.

2515. Jay Hamilton, Kansas City, MO, 64157
I support strong neutrality regulations backed by title II regulations! Do not change to a system that uses verbal agreement from ISPs, want to see the FCC take a strong position on this and enforce neutrality!!

2516. Andrew Tate, Columbia, MO, 65202
I have an MBA and I can say confidently that there is no legitimacy providing an inferior product by allowing service providers to slow undesirable content. A company that would willing hamper their services due to this type of restriction would be knowingly offering a weaker product in a highly competitive market and they would be destroyed by their competition. All this would accomplish would be the sacrifice of our freedoms for corporate gain, and this cannot be tolerated. If this law passes, historians may consider this to be the moment that the Bill of Rights first became a worthless piece of paper.

2517. Sam Buchholz, University City, MO, 63130
I strongly in support for the stronger protection of net neutrality and the continued use of Title 2 to protect net neutrality.

2518. Marilyn Caldwell, Florissant, MO, 63034
Net neutrality is critical to new businesses, information, entertainment, education and so much more. Do not allow money and big business to control this essential resource. Keep the regulations provided under Title 2 in place, do not change it at all. Put aside the love for money, resist the power of the mega communication companies that only care about profit. Act on the behalf of the people, and freedom, by maintaining net neutrality as provided for under the current regulations and Title 2.

2519. Stacy Wright, Kansas City, MO, 64145
I support net neutrality. I want a free and fair internet, where every site has an equal chance to succeed. Please leave regulations in place.

2520. ISP, Springfield, MO, 65806
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.Forreal bro, don't fuck us.

2521. Michael Hughes, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
The current FCC chairman is an intellectually disingenuous individual using bad humor in order to veil his ties to the telecom industry. Regulate access to the internet as a utility and quit allowing businesses to favor individual providers and themselves.

2522. owen crist, St. Louis, MO, 63103
With providers having the ability to favor one thing over another for profit, we will lose out on important advancements and freedoms that make the internet a wonderful thing. Don't allow this flow of information to be compromised by any agenda. Thank you.

2523. Daniel Margolis, St. Louis, MO, 63108
Do everything you can to protect net neutrality and keep ISPs subject to the restrictions under Title II!

2524. Dmitri A. Nusinow, University City, MO, 63130
Keep ISPs under title II to ensure net neutrality. Anything less will generate a internet that is tiered.

2525. Jessica Vanderkamp, Florissant, MO, 63031
I strongly support net neutrality and ISPs being categorized under title 2.

2526. Amanda, St Robert, MO, 65584
We need Net Nutrality! Please keep our internet freedom. It's unfair to stop one from getting to the site they want as fast as a site you choose when we all pay for internet to use what we want! Keep the American Freedom! Thank You

2527. Douglas Fullerton, Branson, MO, 65616
I want to keep ISP's classified as Title II. I want to keep Net Neutrality.

2528. Hannah Grimm, Grantwood Village, MO, 63123
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. If it goes away, we all lose. And you know it.

2529. Kenneth, Nixa, MO, 65714
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Also Ajit Pai's mug sucks

2530. John Bergin, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Please preserve Net Neutrality and keep Title II in place. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. Isnâ€™t it possible they could do this again? Especially if there is a perception that there is profit to be made. Thanks for reading my comment.

2531. nathan hagen, peculiar, MO, 64078
In no way, no how, would I want my internet service provider to be able to filter out, disadvantage, or otherwise tamper with my internet content. Net neutrality is absolutely essential in a FREE COUNTRY (as I stand on foreign soil fighting for the freedoms of the US in the US Army). Thank you.

2532. Nick Centanni, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
Keep it classified under Title II. I don't trust Verizon, AT&T, etc. to not screw with things just because they say they won't. Strong regulation, please Mr. Pai.

2533. Jason Haugen, St. Louis, MO, 63105
Net neutrality is an important part of what makes the internet amazing. It keeps everyone playing on a fair and equal playing ground, and allows little guys to compete with the biggest companies out there. Keeping the Title 2 classification is the right thing to do.

2534. Lauren Wilson, Springfield, MO, 65807
Preserve net neutrality and title II. Free and open exchange of ideas and services, and a marketplace that is navigated by Americans based on the merits of content, not the interests of the ISP, depend upon it.Thank you

2535. jewish montejo, st. louis, MO, 63043
Jon oliver sent me here

2536. David Schuehler, Saint Louis, MO, 63141
I fully support strong net neutrality rules and believe that ISPs (Internet Service Providers) need to remain classified as common carriers under the Title 2 oversight rules of the Communications Act of 1934. I am opposed to any attempt to reclassify ISPs in any manner that would lessen the current oversight or limit the existing net neutrality rules.

2537. Erik Josef Phillips, Springfield, MO, 65807
Keeping Title II in regards to net neutrality is essential to preserve the spirit of the internet. To acting in conduct with how we want to world to perceive the United States. Keep net neutrality.

2538. Van Reidhead, Augusta, MO, 63332
I strongly support maintaining full net neutrality and its Title II protections.

2539. Charles McGraw, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
Dear Ajit Pai, I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight or ISPs. It is a basic protection needed for all citizens, much like the access to clean drinking water. Letting ISPs regulate their own lines will only destroy the freedom of information sharing that the internet allows.

2540. Sonia Salas, University City, MO, 63130

Let's not kid ourselves; ISPs must be kept under Title 2 rules to actually ensure net neutrality. Please uphold Title 2.

2541. Net Neutrality, Florissant, MO, 63033
Title II Net Neutrality must be enforced as it will keep ISP's from destroying the internet as we know it. ISP's should not be able to limit connection speeds solely for more money.

2542. Timothy Metcalf, St. Louis, MO, 63114
I can not emphasize enough how strongly I feel about preserving Net Neutrality. I support strong net neutrality backed by FCC Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s and firmly believe removing Title II regulation would be an action against the best interests of American Citizens. I implore the FCC NOT to change or remove the current Title II oversight that helps preserve Net Neutrality.

2543. shanique Grayson, Kansas city, MO, 64110
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of isps!!!

2544. james grow, Kansas City, MO, 64108
I support strong net neutrality rules and title II. Please do not de-regulate, this would be disastrous to the future of the Internet and to innovation.

2545. Susan Gibson, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
Nothing less will do: Net Neutrality with Title 2 protections.

2546. Kolbi Ward, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
Please maintain the protections for net neutrality under title 2.

2547. Karen, St. Louis, MO, 63123
I specifically support net neutrality by backing TitleII oversights of all ISP's!!!

2548. Charles Ettelson, Clayton, MO, 63105
I would like to support net neutrality and Title II. Changing back to Title I could be disastrous.

2549. Craig Jacobson, Richmond Heights, MO, 63117
I'm writing to express disgust over this duplicitous bill. Net Neutrality is a cornerstone of the internet, and represents our first amendment, upholding one our most important American values; the security of free and open speech. To allow corporations to dictate the availability of internet content is un-American and limits the availability. I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I oppose Docket No. 17-108. Please do NOT pass this bill.

2550. Jake Bedsaul, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I strongly support robust net neutrality rules promulgated by the FCC under Title II! Don't let ISPs change the internet!

2551. Will Cazel, Columbia, MO, 65202
The internet must remain free and equal! Net Neutrality is essential to our free internet.

2552. Emily Darnell, St. Charles, MO, 63303
Net neutrality must be supported under Title II.

2553. David Um, Kirksville, MO, 63501
I support strong Net Neutrality and Title II. I would like equal access to any internet content I want to consume. Do not censor content availability.

2554. Shawn O'Connor, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
I support a neutral internet under Title II and I urge the FCC to do the same.

2555. Net Neutrality, columbia, MO, 65203
Net Neutrality is important for the future of the internet and information.

2556. jade, Columbia, MO, 65203
Keep section II, net neutrality. Please don't change our internet freedom

2557. Jason Charney, Florissant, MO, 63033
Leave Network Neutrality Alone! Stop trying to roll back all the things Barack Obama did because Barack Obama requested it, had his name on it, or probably even licked it. If such is the case with the Trump Administration, please advise President Trump that Barack Obama legalized oxygen to be dispensed in all aircraft flying 30,000 feet and the only way to repeal that regulation is to open the airplane door in mid flight, climb out to a wing, and find the special canister of Shari'i-less air that is in front of one of the jet turbines. Chances are, he would actually do it.So use some common sense for once. Do not give special privileges to your old friends at Verizon or any other mediaopoly.Keep the Internet under Title II.

2558. MacKenzie Bowman, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I find the fact that this is an issue again incredibly sad.

2559. Michael Pauly, St. Louis, MO, 63146
Net neutrality is indispensable to democracy. As more and more news, forums, and organizations utilize an online presence, access to the internet becomes a necessity to being an informed citizen. Removing net neutrality opens the door for corporations to limit information and exert influence on politics even more than they currently do. Additionally, allowing ISPs to limit or restrict assess to

certain websites with paywalls is incredibly anti-consumer. Electric companies don't charge more based on what the electricity is being used within a house, nor do water companies change their rates based on what their consumers do with the water. Why should internet data be any different? I urge you to uphold net neutrality.

2560. Dawn Hedrick, Springfield, MO, 65804
I want to urge the FCC to keep a fair and open internet by upholding strong Title II oversight for internet service providers. Our internet must be protected and we require strong regulations to do that.

2561. Samantha Patrick, Jackson, MO, 63755
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY UNDER TITLE TWO.

2562. Richard Bambenek, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
Please preserve net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I strongly disagree with Ajit Pai's reasoning and truly feel that there are ulterior motives at play. The strong net neutrality we have now is working so why engage in disrupting such a popular policy? Please keep the level playing field we have now and do not chance the disastrous circumstances that may occur under Ajit Pai's proposal. Thank you.

2563. Linda Roy, Joplin, MO, 64801
I support strong internet neutrality backed by title II oversight.

2564. Ian Campbell, St. Charles, MO, 63304
I will not stand for you attempting to repeal Title II Net Neutrality while the American People support it. Your job is to look out for their best interests, not those of the ISPs.

2565. Brian Miller, St Louis, MO, 63116
Please leave the title 2 rules in place. Thank you and have a great day.

2566. Kelsey Watkins, Springfield, MO, 65810
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. By keeping the web free to everyone we ensure fair competition between businesses and giving internet-users the ability to continue accessing information at rates that will only continue to grow. Thank you.

2567. Scott Adams, Springfield, MO, 65807
I support strong net neutrality backed by strong support of title II oversight.

2568. Stephen Wescheste, Bridgeton, MO, 63044
Please keep the current net neutrality laws as they are. ISPs have already shown that we cannot trust them to keep the internet free and open without a law protecting the consumers.

2569. Jaydev Mahadevan, St. Louis, MO, 63108
I strongly support net neutrality and the Title II classification of broadband services!

2570. Joshua Maib, Kansas City, MO, 64105
Do the right thing - look beyond the here and now and put the foundation in place for an internet we'll be proud to leave coming generations. https://nyti.ms/2jGO1Jh.

2571. Wes L, Kansas City, MO, 64061
Dis bill is bad. Please reconsider for the people?

2572. William H Reynolds, Independence, MO, 64055
Ajit Varadaraj Pai I strongly support net neutrality and regulation of Internet Service providers by keeping them classified under Title II. A change in classification would lead to customers being subjected to restrictive service. I strongly urge you to maintain the classification of ISP's under Title II.

2573. Theodore Kogos, Saint Louis, MO, 63124
Hello, I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please make it clear you are Opposed to Docket No. 17-108!

2574. Dan Robbins, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
ISPs need to remained classified under Title II.

2575. Dennis Fischer, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
I support strong net neutrality and title ll rules.

2576. Wei Teh, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I strongly oppose Docket No 17-108, as it gives the opportunity to ISPs the control of the quality of internet service (speed, content) base on commercial interests.

2577. Edward Herzog, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
I believe that Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers is important, and critical to the continuation of a strong net neutrality position to protect the freedom of internet access in the USA.

2578. Meggan Hargrave, Ellisville, MO, 63021
I support net neutrality laws. Do not allow the trump administration to role back FCC regulations regarding net neutrality. Someone has

to protect the PEOPLE. We need avoided and we need regulation to protect us from the sinister and shady dealings of this administration.

2579. David Adam Majors, Hannibal, MO, 63401
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I do NOT want ISP's having the power to arbitrarily alter my internet experience.

2580. Fabian Gomez Gonzalez, Kansas city, MO, 64158
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isps providers.

2581. Richard Worden Wilson, St. Louis, MO, 63129
OK, letting every internet access company charge anything they want for whoever wants it sounds like a wonderful free market approach to handling the internet utilities. But since when does letting corporate america have its way with its customers sound like government regulation. Oh, it is NO REGULATION that is your goal, then caveat emptor has become FREEDOM for American companies to screw, as in rape, pillage, and oppress whoever they can. Not just, not the best, not actually American.

2582. john, Ofallon, MO, 63368
I support strong net neutrality rules under title 2 backed by he federal government. Please ensure this regulation stays in place.

2583. Jesslyn Alekseyev, St Louis, MO, 63108
Please don't be shortsighted in this. Net neutrality is key to the web remaining open. I don't want my access to information sold to the highest bidder.

2584. Andrew C. Hatfield, Columbia, MO, 65201
ISP providers should remain under Title II.

2585. Alex Blaes, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
Net neutrality is extremely important to me. Please do not weaken the freedom of information on the internet by overturning title 2.

2586. Alex Reeves, St. Louis, MO, 63141
We need Net Neutrality in order to ensure that the Internet remains open for all to use. Do not allow Ajit Pai to revoke Net Neutrality, his logic is flawed and inconsistent. It is extremely important that we do not allow corporations to influence direct control over internet traffic.

2587. Mark Gagnon, Columbia, MO, 65203
We, Mark and Terri Gagnon, support strong net neutrality and its oversight of ISPs under Title II. We use the internet at home every waking moment of the day. Mark Gagnon is disabled and needs to use the internet to make his life productive and meaningful. To allow ISPs freedom to do as they please will negatively affect his life. Again to be clear, we want the FCC to preserve strong net neutrality under Title II (2). Thank you.

2588. Brenden Brower, Springfield, MO, 65807
Please maintain net neutrality and title 2 protection to prevent ISP's from slowing down websites in order to give preferential treatment to others.

2589. Ediz Dagli, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
I specifically support strong net neutrality Title II oversight for ISPs.

2590. Albert Schreiter, Belton, MO, 64012
Free speech shouldn't have a cost

2591. Brenton Feldman, St. Louis, MO, 63118
Please don't reverse Title II. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II.

2592. joey, st louis, MO, 63105
we need net neutrality.preserve title 2.

2593. Amanda Jokerst, St Louis, MO, 63143
I urge you to protect strong Title II Net Neutrality rules. Please defend a free internet!

2594. Carlos Wexler, Columbia, MO, 65203
I STRONGLY oppose any measures that remove ISPs from Title II regulations. Net neutrality is essential for the development of new products and services on the internet and to freedom.

2595. Henry Teaford-Boldridge, Gladstone, MO, 64118
The current classification of the internet as a Title 2 is the best way for the internet to maintain its neutrality. Give the ISP the controls didn't work when it was classified as a Title 1, see the internet speed traffic bar where Netflix traffic was throttled until they agreed to AT&T's demands for payment. The investment in the out internet infrastructure will remain the same as stated in the Verizon stockholder meeting when the Title 2 re-classification was completed. I don't want to live in a future where I could be locked into using my ISP's streaming service if I wanted to watch videos for a length of time.The internet needs to maintain the philosophy that a byte of data is a byte of data. It doesn't matter if it is for VOIP, gaming, video streaming, or music streaming. The ISP's have consistently been giving government funding to expand the network and until Google brought in their Fiber service America has languished in sub-par speeds. Keep the ISP classified as Title 2 and bring more competition into the service.

2596. Susan Ross, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Preserve neutrality and title II. I want a free and open internet.

2597. Timothy Scheuermann, St. Louis, MO, 63019
Keep cable and internet ISP's title two. Free internet is important

2598. Stephen McGauley, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
It is imperative that we preserve net neutrality and Title 2 oversight on Internet Service Providers. It would be irresponsible to eliminate net neutrality thinking that this will not negatively effect the consumers and their privacy. Please Please preserve net neutrality it is imperative for the future of the internet.

2599. Jordan Moorhouse, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
The FCC should keep strong title II net neutrality rules

2600. Tom Reinert, Kansas City, MO, 64153
I Support STRONG!! net neutrality backed by TITLE II oversight of ISPs.

2601. intertnet, St Louis, MO, 63101
Please do not destroy net neutrality as this is a key element for companies to play fare.

2602. net neutrality, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I support net neutrality backed by strong fcc oversight of ISPs.

2603. Evan, Manchester, MO, 63011
I Support strong net neutrality rules, using Title II - Common Carrier status for all ISPs. please stop trying to take this away.

2604. Darby Gill, St. Louis, MO, 63111
I strongly support net neutrality and Title II. Listen to the voices of the people you represent, it is your job.

2605. Steven Coffelt, Kansas City, MO, 64152
Net neutrality needs to be enforced. The only way to do that is to leave internet service providers under Title 2 of the FCC code. Internet service providers are NOT going to support net neutrality willingly.

2606. Toni L. Negrelli, Rolla, MO, 65401
We need to retain an open internet with total net neutrality. Our government has taken away portions of the Bill of Rights in favor of financial rewards to corporations who keep our politicians in power. Don't allow corporations to censor the net for financial gains from selective bandwidth access.

2607. net neutrality, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Hello, I'm writing to express my support for net neutrality. I disagree with chairman Ajit Pai's position against Open Internet Order and on ISP classification and regulation. His disregard for consumer fairness is disappointing to the American people. Please do the right thing and respect the wishes of the citizens you represent.

2608. scott mebruer, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
Maintain net neutrality and title 2!!!

2609. Christine Horan, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
I support strong net neutrality and oversight of ISPs backed by Title 2. I oppose the Restoring Internet Freedom Act.

2610. Jaquez Busby, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
We support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs and telecommunications providers.

2611. William Woolery, Sedalia, MO, 65301
I support strict net neutrality legislature

2612. Ian, St peters, MO, 63376
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. Keep them as they are! Also to the FCC chairman you're coffee mug is almost as stupid as A) your proposed changes and B) your haircut. Thanks have a nice day.

2613. Shawn P Reardon, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2 do not let business and ceo's and whoever else is just looking for a paycheck to destroy what 300 million of us Americans use every day.

2614. Dale Farmer, Kirksville, MO, 63501
I support net neutrality and demand keeping Title II of oversight over ISPs. I reject what Mr. Pai said regarding his opinion.

2615. Jacob Feit Mann, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
Please keep net neutrality under Title 2. If you truly support economic competition, you won't allow this attack on freedom, competition, and American ideals.

2616. William Nathan, Kansas City, MO, 64138
I very strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs

2617. Dzenana, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
We need to preserve title 2!

2618. Tom Keiser, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
The internet is not meant to be "controlled," "limited," or "throttled" by any one business or government, be it federal, state, or local. It is a global institution that every person on this planet should have free access to. By moving regulations back to Title I, you are giving ISPs an easier path that allows them to force me to their content instead of what I want to read/listen/view. They are in essence restricting what I view on the internet. Remember what an ISP is at its core - Internet Service Provider. That's it, nothing more - a portal to the Internet. I don't pay my ISP because they provide some crappy sitcoms they produce. I pay them to give me access to the seemingly limitless information available on the Internet.

2619. Jena Wilson, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
We need net neutrality under title 2. Do not interfere with our rights. We are on to your scam with trying to put this on a so-called new legal footing!

2620. Eileen Lowerre, Affton, MO, 63123
I support strong internet control under title II. Asking them to promise in their terms if service is rediculous. Leave it as is!!!

2621. Ashok Cutkosky, Columbia, MO, 65203
I am strongly opposed to Docket No. 17-108, and strongly in favor of net neutrality. Free and open access to the internet is a boons to modern innovation and education. Allowing ISPs to charge arbitrary fees based upon content or source will stifle the tremendous growth in internet companies and technology that our country enjoys, effectively smothering the American entrepreneurial spirit.This has already occured, with major companies and services such as Netflix and Apple's FaceTime already facing discrimination from their providers. There was no technical reason for this behavior - the internet protocols are well-designed to automatically deal with high loads produced by these companies. The only motivation for throttling these companies was to extract extra money from them, which results in either poorer or more expensive services for the American consumer.While I understand and sympathize with the desire to limit government interference with the market, in this particular case an exception must be made. The internet is a critical resource to many American companies and the tremendous diversity of services offered is fast becoming a staple of our culture. It is imperative for government regulation to make sure this continues and is not destroyed through short-sighted pursuit of profit.

2622. sharon billings, buckner, MO, 64016
please keep net neutrality in place as is.

2623. Samantha Kathke, Columbia, MO, 65202
I support strong net neutrality! Do not change it!

2624. Erica Neier, New Bloomfield, MO, 65063
The FCC must protect the open Internet by maintaining net neutrality protections under Title II. The FCC must protect the open Internet by maintaining net neutrality protections under Title II. Access and privacy are important to everyone and should not be decided upon by Chairman Ajit Pai and large ISP monopolies. Listen to the citizens of this country when we say that we want open internet protections to stay the way they are under Title II!

2625. David Bader, manchester, MO, 63021
I know everyone is commenting about this from John Oliver. But, you really should keep this enforcement of net neutrality and title II. I'm a Republican, and I voted for Donald Trump. But, keep the internet open. The president once said, "i'm not taking anything off the table". So, it makes no sense to reclassify these companies under Title I. Just keep the power just in case. If you don't use it, it doesn't matter. Please stand up and make the right choice. Thank you!

2626. Ted Christopher Pope, Rocky Mount, MO, 65072
I am a game developer and a member of 2 game design startups. Changing the jurisdiction from title 2 will severely add to the costs needed to afford high-speed internet required for game development and will have lasting ripple effects on the tech sector. I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of Internet service providers. I strongly urge you to keep this in mind when reviewing the proposal.

2627. Scott Keltner, St. Louis, MO, 63102
Net neutrality is good for the future. Keep ISPs Title II.

2628. Galen, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
Having the internet open and equally accessible keeps companies honest about speeds and increases competitiveness, thus allowing growth to continuously happen in the marketplace. The future of the internet depends on it remaining neutral. I support net neutrality.

2629. Lance Jennings, Saint Louis, MO, 63143
I am for Net Neutrality. It protects the consumers from ISPs favoring particular content on the web. This can extend as far as the ISPs blocking particular websites that they don't want their customer's viewing. It should not be an ISP's place to dictate what content I view on the web. This restricts freedom of choice and introduces the possibility of censorship. In a world where sources can be hard to trust, it is comforting knowing that I will have access to news from all perspectives without the interference of ISPs. I want everyone to be able to establish an informed opinion towards an issue. Please do not get rid of Net Neutrality.

2630. Lucas Dunton, Grandview, MO, 64030
Net neutrality is the sum of our human knowledge. Access to knowledge is as important as any of our rights as United States citizens and humans. To say that giving companies, who have no care or feelings for anything outside of the money they can make from us, free reign to be able to restrict access the sites and information we want at their whim for no other reason than they can is "saving the

average citizen" is an insult. Preserve Title II, preserve net neutrality, give us the knowledge and freedom we expect as U.S. citizens.

2631. Justin Smith, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Why would you help large ISPs and telecommunication companies stifle the ability of the internet to promote the competition of ideas and hold more of a sway over their customers? It is for this reason that I, too, am writing to voice my support for keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

2632. Jack P, St. Louis, MO, 63105
I support Net Neutrality and Title 2, The FCC should not remove them

2633. 17-108, Grandview, MO, 64030
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 regulations. Do not repeal them! You are opening Pandoras box if you do.

2634. Nancy Ramirez, Albany, MO, 64402
As someone who lives in an area of little economic opportunity, I've been running blogs and small businesses on the internet since I was a teenager in the 1990s. Doing away with net neutrality can and likely will seriously impact my ability to do business online. Please preserve net neutrality, title ii.

2635. Jamin Gray, Ballwin, MO, 63011
I strongly support net neutrality under Title II. These protections are crucial.

2636. Caleb Ray, Odessa, MO, 64076
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Keep my privacy, private. Protect my rights. I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight over ISPs.

2637. Kaitlyn Mills, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
I strongly support preserving net neutrality and maintaining title two. These regulations are crucial to enforcing fair and honest business. Thanks.

2638. Shannan Berkbuegler, Kansas City, MO, 64106
As a taxpayer, consistent voter, and regular internet user, I ask that you maintain net neutrality under Title 2!

2639. Daniel Brunner, savannah, MO, 64485
Do not repeal Title II. I do not want any fuckery with the current form of ISP oversight at this time. Good day sir.

2640. Brenden Barlow, Rolla, MO, 65401
I am in full support of strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. As such, i am Opposed to Docket No. 17-108

2641. Erin Fourqurean, Waunakee, MO, 53597
I support significant net neutrality backed by a the oversight provided by Title 2. We may not be able to access the internet as efficiently as other countries in the United States, but we should be able to be able to sleep at night knowing that the American Dream of having our fledging business's website appear just as quickly as Amazon's. I support Net Neutrality. Don't repeal the protections of Title 2.

2642. Morgan Blackheart, Saint Louis, MO, 63102
Keep the internet free and fair. I do not want my ISP dictating what sites I can visit, how quickly they load, or charge additional amounts to visit the sites I choose to view. I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Make it clear you are opposed to docket no. 17-108.

2643. Daniel Johns, Kansas City, MO, 64111
This bill is appalling. Twisting the FCC to remove regulation from ISPs is appalling. Net Neutrality is the most pro-consumer form of regulation, and this would see it removed. You should be ashamed.

2644. Kyle Moore, Ofallon, MO, 63385
I am in support of strong Net Neutraility backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I 100% oppose Docket No. 17-108 and wish to continue to classify ISP's as Title II.

2645. James R McQuerrey, Plattsburg, MO, 64477
Net neutrality is important for everyone. The internet is a right and people shouldn't have to fear it not being a fair and open place to be. Even the companies don't think net neutrality affects their bottom line so there should be no reason to be overturning the decision to reclassify ISPs under Title II. The internet is its own world and ISPs should not be allowed to pick and choose what I get to see and how quickly I get to see it. It is embedded in our constitution that we have a right to freedom of speech and overturning this decision would allow people(ISPs), maybe not now but at sometime, could allow some to control peoples access to that right online. Also it could hurt small businesses that wont get a fair shake at breaking iut on the internet. MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY AND DO YOUR JOB TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE FAIR ACCESS TO THE INTERNET.

2646. Jennifer Needels, St. Louis, MO, 63111
It is important to maintain strong net neutrality oversight backed by Title 2 designations for ISPs

2647. Lydia Brown, Richmond Heights, MO, 63117
Net neutrality must be protected. We cannot say that we have free speech in this day and age if providers have the power to restrict or slow access to certain types of information, or restrict or slow down what we are able to publish freely. It is imperative that we stand up for equal internet access for all people, whether they are accessing the internet from their homes, small businesses, or large corporations.

2648. Chris Clark, Independence, MO, 64055
Humanity as a whole has come as far as it has do to the free exchange of information. Therefor I STRONGLY and specifically support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

2649. David Serati, St. Louis, MO, 63123
ISP companies should remain under the Title II classification that they are currently regulated by. Removing those safeguards would be unwise and seriously impair the growth of start-up tech companies. Do not "restore internet freedom" and abandon the directive herein.

2650. Arjuna Chatrathi, Lee's Summit, MO, 64064
Please maintain Title II regulations on the internet service providers

2651. 17, Spingfield, MO, 65806
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY & KEEP TITLE 2. Lots of young people have moved away from cable tv and use internet providers to stream hulu and Netflix we have to be sure we will always be able to steam

2652. kelley bequette, Festus, MO, 63028
I fully support net neutrality. Please protect my right to be equal. Thank you. ðŸ‡ºðŸ‡¸

2653. Collin Morris, Kansas City, MO, 64105
As simple as I can make it- reversing net neutrality rules would take considerable control and freedom away from the American people, and instead put that control in the hands of big internet providers. Luckily we don't have to guess at what they might do with additional control, as we can see clearly documented examples of what they currently are doing/have done in the past. For example- adding arbitrary data caps so as to force higher bills on customers without actually providing any additional services, being blatantly deceptive regarding contract agreements and what "unlimited data" means, and even deliberately throttling internet traffic. To reverse net neutrality rules and give even the slightest extra control of the internet to a group with such a well established history of malicious self interest would be a betrayal to the ideas of American freedom. I am firmly against the chairman's plan to reverse net neutrality rules, and will fight this and any further attempts at disrupting net neutrality

2654. Tom Hall, Rea, MO, 64480
People, I understand it is all about corporate needs and profits. The benefit to mankind that COULD be realized from the kind of availability of information that an unfettered internet could represent means nothing next to a corporate spreadsheet re: the third quarter. But thing is, overall corporate profits go UP when people are given a fair chance to throw away their money. Title II is the way to go. Please don't screw this up TOO!

2655. Arlan Engin, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I support strong net neutrality especially under the supervision of Title 2 !!

2656. Jared T, Springfield, MO, 65804
We'll keep coming back here until you respond accordingly.

2657. Marlana Shipley, Springfield, MO, 65803
I am writing to ask you to keep the Obama era net neutrality laws. Access to a free and open internet is one thing that sets the United States apart from countries like Iran, China, Saudi Arabia, and North Korea. It is important for the people of a functioning democracy to have open access to information. Thank you.

2658. Brian Palmer, Webb City, MO, 64870
Net neutrality under Title II is absolutely essential. Do not make this change. The ISPs are investing in infrastructure under Title II, so there is no need to change it.

2659. Kevin Overton, Kansas City, MO, 64110
DO NOT change the net neutrality laws. We NEED these laws in place to keep our internet speeds safe from tampering. By enabling companies to change their speeds and just trusting them not to, you're putting our basic services at risk. JUST DROP IT. Learn what you're doing, and learn what people think about it. LEAVE IT ALONE.

2660. Brandi Hayes, O'fallon, MO, 63368
I believe in STRONG net neutrality regulations and therefore to preserve Title II classifications of internet service providers.

2661. Aaron Somers, Independence, MO, 64050
I would greatly appreciate that the FCC hold the Title 2 standard of protection, ensuring companies can not throttle bandwidth of any type. Vehicles are regulated based on class and weight, not the contents of the load. This same treatment should be afforded to internet traffic. If Walmart was able to pay a premium to keep Target trucks moving at a lower speed limit, it would severely impact the supply chain and customers, all of whom are taxpayers.

2662. Rachel Edmunds, Kansas City, MO, 64152
I very strongly support net neutrality back by Title II provisions. The internet should be a public utility and ISP provider's should not have the legal possibility to block or slow sites that wouldn't pay or be able to pay premiums. A free and open internet under Title II is better for small companies and start ups.

2663. Joshua Lloyd, Saint Louis, MO, 63117
We need to keep strong Net Neutrality in keeping ISPs under Title 2

2664. james thompson, st louis, MO, 63116

A neutral net is the basis for society nowadays. This is just an attempt to cave to the communications companies, giving them the power to choose what we access which can slowly lead to censorship for things ISPs don't like.

2665. net, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
Strong regulation in regards to net neutrality. Continue to keep isp regulated under title 2. Keep the pipeline open and equal to all places on the Internet.

2666. E., florissant, MO, 63033
I support strong Net Neutrality regulation under title II.

2667. Joseph Fowler, St. Ann, MO, 63074
A truly free and unfettered internet is only realistically available through proper regulations enforceable through title 2 protections. Rolling back the protections granted through title 2, and effectively ending net neutrality would be catastrophic to small businesses looking to effectively utilize the internet as a means to reach potential clients. To act as if the current regulations regarding net neutrality are somehow hurting small businesses or consumers is completely disingenuous, and an affront to the intelligence of the citizens of this country. Maintaining net neutrality should remain the priority of the FCC.

2668. James Strickland, Kearney, MO, 64060
Rolling back the neutrality protections for the internet is an error. It will only help these already wealthy corporations at the expense of its customers. It will not spur further investment, it will just increase their profit margins and worsen the consumer experience. Please reconsider.

2669. Joseph Lee, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I support preserving strong net neutrality covered under Title II. Do not change this.

2670. Tom Zadell, St. Peters, MO, 63376
You need to preserve NET NEUTRALITY and Title 2. We specifically support strong NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title 2's oversight of ISP's. Someone needs to watch them and this is the FCC's job. Don't leave it in the hands of a lawmaker or a president who have no clue what it means.

2671. Phil Janoiak, Columbia, MO, 65201
I support title 2 level regulations regarding net neutrality

2672. Richie Motz, Wildwood, MO, 63038
Please preserve net neutrality provided by title two oversight of isp. Keep the internet fair and thriving or risk losing it and all of its innovation.

2673. lucas, Joplin, MO, 64801
I strongly belief in Net Neutrality, enforced by Title II.

2674. Jennifer Currie, Saint Louis, MO, 63107
Preserve net neutrality and Title II! The education system sucks, and even if it didn't, there's a mass of information on the internet that would be lost to the majority if you kill the oversight of ISPs / Cable companies / whoever else who believes they have the right to control the free exchange of information / gaming / videos / pictures/ etc.

2675. Jason Grizzle, St Peters, MO, 63376
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2, as preserving these laws are necessary for a free internet.

2676. Philip Wallack, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I want to urging the preservation of Title II net neutrality.

2677. nicholas finot, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
I Support strong net neutrality backed by tittle 2 oversight of ISP's

2678. Brandon Holtsclaw, Kansas City, MO, 64138
I specifically SUPPORT strong Net Neutrality as backed by 'Title II' of the 'Communications act of 1934' classifications oversight of ISPs currently in place. I'm also specifically opposed the Restoring Internet "Freedom" Act.Please take mine, and all of the other personal, non-corporate, general public opinion that expressed on this issue.

2679. susan, Kansas city, MO, 64118
Oh, puh-lease, do the right thing: Preserve title II. Somebody has to do something about rampant greed.

2680. Keegan Hughes, University City, MO, 63130
We need strong protections for net neutrality!

2681. Elliott, Villa Ridge, MO, 63089
I want you to preserve net neutrality for the sake of freedom for all. Please do whatever it takes to maintain net neutrality to ensure a level playing field for everyone, including small businesses.ISPs should not self-govern.

2682. Internet, Kansas City, MO, 64102
I specifically support strong internet oversight backed by Title 2 support.

2683. Abdullah Rehman, Valley Park, MO, 63088

Don't revoke Title II classification of ISP's.

2684. michael scire, Lee's summit, MO, 64063
We need to keep strong strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversite of isp's. Because I consider myself a pretty normal person who has decent morals but if I could essentially cheat or just tweak the numbers a little in my favor so I could make more money I think I would do it and these big companies I think are less likely to be moral because they are beholding to their stockholders

2685. net-neutrality, St. Louis, MO, 63105
I am an american citizen and I demand, in solidarity with others, a free internet.

2686. Zach Becker, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
Ajit Pai, I back STRONG net neutrality rules backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Don't destroy this for us. This is important.

2687. Stephanie Haley, Florissant, MO, 63031
Keep Net Neutrality under Title II !!

2688. Alec Pope, St. Louis, MO, 63129
Abolishing net neutrality is just plain wrong, and if Mr. Pai was anywhere as smart as his oversized mug makes him think he is he would know that. Classifyingroviders under title I would allow these companies to speed up and slow down internet connections based on who pays the companies the most money. The fact that Mr. Pai actually stated that the internet companies should 'voluntarily agree' to not engage in these behaviors means that he is either lying through his teeth or is just the most delusional human being on the face of this planet earth. PLEASE DONT KILL NET NEUTRALITY. LONG LIVE JOHN 'Johnny Fresh' OLIVER!!!

2689. Ayron Rieke, Springfield, MO, 65807
If you honestly believe that anyone in this country wants net neutrality to end, you should quit your job right now and go work for Verizon as a phone salesman cause thats only position you f*cking deserve

2690. Elise Cretel, Pleasant Hill, MO, 64080
I strongly support net neutrality supported by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you for your time.

2691. Jacob Martin, Springfield, MO, 65807
Destroying Net Neutrality does nothing more than line the pockets of a few handful of individuals and negatively impacts the day to day lives of hundreds of millions.

2692. Connor Luebbert, Cape Girardeau, MO, 65054
I am a registered republican. I voted for Donald trump. You already sold my private information to companies to do, God knows what, with it. Please, for the love of all that is good and holy do not do away with net neutrality rules. A free and open internet is all the people of the United States of America have left. This is the last bastion of American freedom of speech. If this is passed, I can guarantee that no congressman/senator who voted in favor will be reelected. This is a career "death sentence". I once had respect for our government, please don't make regret any faith I had left.

2693. Nii-Dodoo Ankrah, St. Charles, MO, 63301
It is my belief that net neutrality can be enforced effectively under the Communications Act of 1934: Title 2. Any moves away from this invites the abuse of power by ISPs to unfairly limit accessibility to resources they may not favor.

2694. r, High ridge, MO, 63049
We need net neutrality please don't change it

2695. Daniel Albert Roesch, Pacific, MO, 63069
I believe in strong net neutrality laws under title two and do not believe for a second that reclassifying under title one will allow for a better, more fair internet. Internet is a right for Americans and should be regulated that way.

2696. Andrew Phipps, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
If you get rid of Net Nutrality I will open up my computer, eat two cans of uncooked Chili Man â„¢ chili straight out of the can and pound a 12 pack of Busch beer on top of it. I will then very likely vomit this vile concoction into my computer. Not wanting to clean this mess up, I will then seal it back up and ship it to y'all media mail; so that it's stale and gross when it arrives at your doorstep.Seriously, that would be a lot of trouble and expense on my part. Quit being dicks and let Title II classification for ISP's remain. It's logical. I can't live without water or electricity, or the internet. It's a fucking utility. Keep it that way.

2697. Levi Ames, Fulton, MO, 65251
I specifically support strong NET NEUTRALITY back by TITLE II oversight of Internet Service Providers. My livelihood depends on strong NET NEUTRALITY. Do not mess with it.

2698. Lucas Andrew Moore, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
As an employee of a small webhosting services provider, my livelihood depends on strong net neutrality protections, protected by keeping Title II status for ISPs.

2699. Morgan Finley, Richwoods, MO, 63071
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! Net Neutrality is important to all who use the internet and want to keep it free from influence not out of the goodness of the ISP's hearts, but as a requirement through regulation!

2700. Brett, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I SPECIFICALLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE 2 OVERSIGHT ISP'S

2701. Nidhi Narielwala, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I am writing to express my views in support of net neutrality. My internet service providers should not be allowed to favor or block particular products or websites for their own financial gain. I am paying a service provider to provide me with access to that service, and I should have full control over my own access to said service. My level of access should not depend upon my service provider's whim.

2702. Ben W, Florissant, MO, 63031
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight by ISPs. I urge that the FCC retain and preserve Net Neutrality at any and all costs--we absolutely must maintain it to ensure equal speed and access to everyone. The Internet is a tool that has had a very profound impact on human society, and as it grows and evolves over time so too must our regulations. Throttling and placing data caps will, in the long term, only stifle and hinder us. Make the smart choice. Make the CORRECT choice, and preserve Net Neutrality. Thank you.

2703. Alicia Wahlquist, St Peters, MO, 63376
I agree with the net neutrality laws under title 2 and ask that they stay intact and continue to be supported.

2704. Kevin D. Knerr, Sr., Saint Charles, MO, 63303
I wish to go on record AGAIN that we must use the strongest possible measures to protect equal and open access to the Internet for all. Therefore, as the court recommended, ISPs (Internet Service Providers) must be classified as Title II carriers. The idea that we can rely on these corporations to voluntarily maintain net neutrality is extremely ignorant. Corporations are not citizens, their only vested interest is profit, not the public good. Net neutrality is critical to protecting the Amendment rights: freedom of speech, freedom of the press. I would even go so far as to say that the Internet is the ultimate expression of the right to peaceful assembly.It also makes business sense to preserve net neutrality. Online shopping has become a significant sector of the economy, one that serves corporate giants such as Amazon as well as small local businesses and individual crafters. Without net neutrality, these businesses would have to negotiate terms separately with every backbone carrier and every last-mile ISP to ensure their potential customers could access their sites. Such a task is impossible for small businesses and unlikely for large corporations. More businesses have reduced costs with work-from-home employees, another benefit of the open Internet.Technology and innovation rely on an open and free Internet. Net neutrality has created a forum for the sharing of information that is unprecedented in history. Not only are scientists around the globe able to collaborate in real-time, but also the public is able to engage with science with distributed computing projects like protein folding or classifying deep-sky objects in Hubble images. Global solutions to global problems require a global Internet. Title II regulations to preserve net neutrality do not impose an unfair burden on ISPs. They do, however, provide a guarantee to customers that their ability to access data on the Internet will be unchanged no matter which provider they use. In our house, we have 3 ISPs: our mobile carrier (4G/LTE), our landline carrier (DSL), and my wife's employer's carrier (cable). Imagine the chaos if a site was available on one of those three ISPs. Net neutrality ensures that we don't have to micromanage our connections. Worse, imagine the burden if only one ISP was available to you: many households do not have a choice of providers, let alone the ability to have multiple providers.Net neutrality is vital for our democracy. It is essential to sustained economic growth. It is a foundation for continued scientific progress and technological innovation. I urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality with appropriate regulation of ISPs under Title II. Thank you.

2705. Galloway, Columbia, MO, 65203
Preserve net neutrality and protect consumer rights! Do not allow communication companies to throttle our right to information online, regardless of contents source.

2706. Diane Bulan, Kansas City, MO, 64108
Why do you hate our freedom? (paraphrasing George W Bush) This is an issue of freedom. I am in favor of net neutrality. Accessibility to information should be equal for everyone.

2707. Catherine Bankston, Willard, MO, 65781
I most emphatically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II (2 or Two) Oversight of ISPs. "Restoring Internet Freedom Notice of Proposed Rulemaking â€“ WC Docket No. 17-108" is the most flagrant example of "spin" I have ever read. It is the most disingenuous work of fiction imaginable.

2708. Scott Schaffer, Kansas City, MO, 64133
I am a supporter of Net Neutrality and an opponent of any attempt to curtail it. Please preserve the Title II authority used to help protect Net Neutrality.

2709. claire mengwasser, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
Keep isp regulations within title 2.

2710. Brandon Allin, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
Net Neutrality has been decided already, letting them threaten this yet again is ridiculous. Allowing companies the option to screw people will always lead to companies screwing people if it helps the company in some way. Letting an ISP change the speed of sites based on who pays them the most money is insane. We already have terrible internet speeds compared to a lot of the rest of 1st world countries, do we need to now extend that to each web page too?. This will do nothing but hurt consumers and shouldn't even be considered.

2711. Geoff Husser, Columbia, MO, 65201
Chairman Pai,ISPs are becoming increasingly monopolistic and in order to preserve our internet as it is now you MUST uphold Title II. Verizon sued the FCC over net neutrality just a few short years ago, and they themselves came out and said that these regulations are not harming their investments in infrastructure. There's no reason to remove Title II classification other than to allow ISPs to throttle our traffic.

2712. Ty Norman, St. Peters, MO, 63376
Changing the legal definition to allow loose regulations would destroy net neutrality and cause an awful online experience. You should

keep the current regulations that way the internet doesn't turn into a highest bidder madhouse. The only limit to a websites speed should be how much you pay for internet, not if the company is competing with the others,that would really hurt consumers. It's better to be optimistic about a companies intention while making sure they legally can't misbehave.

2713. Mary Harbison, Kansas City, MO, 64130
Some segments of the Internet can be slow enough by themselves without having the FCC permit certain segments to control the "Fast Lanes". "Taking a weedwhacker" to the current regulations will permit just these kinds of inequalities. In general, the entities who oppose regulations of all kinds are corporations, the very kind of "people" most regulations protect us against.

2714. Claude Bebie, Creve Coeur, MO, 63141
I strongly support NET NEUTRALITY! Keep all ISP's as Title II.

2715. Ben Hartman, Saint Joseph, MO, 64505
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. Do not let corporations decide what content should have priority. The internet is place for education, community and freedom.

2716. John Tietjen, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I'm in support a Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of all ISPs. I strongly oppose of Docket No. 17-108.

2717. Leslie Cox, Springfield, MO, 65804
Keep net neutrality safe! Didn't you hear us the first time?

2718. steve valentine, Ofallon, MO, 63368
We need to keep all internet service providers under title two rules, because as they say in the financial world past behavior does not guarantee future performance!

2719. keenan, Lee's Summit, MO, 64063
Hey, Mr. Pai, I strongly support Net Neutrality supported by Title II. It's kind of ironic that my phones battery was at 50% and when I went to the website provided by Oliver, my phone shut off completely. Looks like you just proved his point. And your mug is the definition of fucking stupid.

2720. Michael, Seligman, MO, 65745
I specifically the support of strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's!!!Not supporting this is harmful to our society and freedoms at large.

2721. david epperson, fulton, MO, 65251
Keep it title 2 and strong strict net neutrality

2722. Chris Sanders, Saint Louis, MO, 63131
NO. Absolutely not. You may not arbitrarily revert policy undoing the classification of ISPs under Title II. That was a change mandated by the public, and as such, it's abandonment is NOT something that will be tolerated by fiat from some political appointee who is a toadie suckling on the teat of Big Cable or Wireless. We demand Title II protections to prevent abuse from the corporations hoping to make billions in additional profits at the expense of a free and open internet. The prioritization of internet traffic at the whim of the carrier is ABSOLUTELY UNACCEPTABLE, so Title II protections MUST REMAIN in order to ensure that the FCC has sufficient authority to prevent that from happening.

2723. David Thomas, St. Louis, MO, 63128
Dear Ajit Pai and the FCC, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. This issue is very important to me.

2724. Steven Schmitz, fenton, MO, 63026
I support strict net neutrality rules. That means Title II oversight. As in the rules instated under Obama.

2725. Jason Whitaker, Kansas City, MO, 64109
Please keep rules for wired and wireless internet connections classified under Title II authority. Don't forget, Americans are paying attention. The FCC, especially C. Pai, will be held accountable for your actions.Thank You

2726. Melissa A, Springfield, MO, 65806
I wanted to express my support for continued Net Neutrality via Title 2. I am a disabled, mostly home bound person that relies heavily on the internet, not only to find things to do but to communicate to my doctors and other caregivers. If those sites are slowed down, or made more difficult for me because maybe one hospital paid more than another my health could be at risk as well as my mental health since I used certain computer game to help me relax. Thank you for your time.

2727. Emily Harkins, St Louis, MO, 63110
I support net neutrality and believe it to be extremely important

2728. Lars Barnds, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Keep net neutrality. keep it under title ll. Internet access must be fair, keep $ out. it is fine the way it is. we are watching you, Mr. Chairman, former Verizon attorney.

2729. Dominique Nyobe, Mexico, MO, 65265
If you try to take away net neutrality, you'll be just another snag in humanities progress towards it's, and the planets, well-being and sufficiency. America's internet compared to the rest of the civilized world is ALREADY garbage! Please don't pander to your money buddies and make it worse for the left-over 99% of us. If you do?We'll simply start over, WITHOUT you....but why even START the

regression and stagnation?Oh yeah.Drumpf. -.- SMH,

2730. Kala Bertram, Bonne Terre, MO, 63628
Internet companies shouldn't be allowed to become a pseudo-monopolies just by paying more than their competitors

2731. Charles Fry, Kansas City, MO, 64001
Net Neutrality is VITAL. Leave the hell alone. You know nothing - take it from a MBA in IT with 20 years as a Network Engineer - on this subject, you are an idiot. Leave Net Neutrality in place and tell the Cheeto you need to do some studies and surveys and consult with some other attorneys - and take a year to fully understand that the moment Net Neutrality is gone, everything you say won't happen - will. And knock it off with the oversized mug.... it's lame. No really, once you understand thermal dynamics, you'll see I'm correct.

2732. Michael Lackner, saint louis, MO, 63129
I oppose the republican backed bill. The companies who provide access to the internet should not have the ability to selectively increase or decrease download speed for specific sites or content.

2733. Paul Bischoff, Waynesville, MO, 65583
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. ISPs should be classified as utility companies because they hold regional monopolies or duopolies and provide a vital public service. They should not be able to pick winners and losers, create fast lanes, favor content that benefits them, or zero rate any product or service. Keep the internet fair and open.

2734. Anthony M, Bonne Terre, MO, 63628
Internet companies shouldn't be allowed to become a pseudo-monopolies. Monopolies are illegal. no information should have preferential treatment on the web. This would artificially destroy competition. In today's world, the internet is a real space and a real marketplace, asking small business to out-buy their larger competitors does not reflect the quality of the product on offer, but only the amount one can pay to get their product out. To deny the public access to superior products just because their makers cannot pay to have them piped to the public as fast as their competition, does not, in my opinion, make for the protection of consumer choice. For these and million other reasons, KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!!

2735. Gretchen Hubinger, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I favor strong net neutrality rules. You should too.

2736. Cody A. Douglass, Columbia, MO, 65203
I wish to affirm how important net neutrality is for the sharing of ideas, well as the security of our small business markets. I urge to you take strong consideration of its importance and perpetual sustainment.

2737. reatoring net neutrality, St. Louis, MO, 63124
Maintain Obama era net neutrality

2738. Richard Alan Wells III, Saint louis, MO, 63103
Maintain net neutrality and title ii for ISPs

2739. Mark George, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
Americans demand net neutrality. They always have. They always will. You work for us. The rules should protect us and reflect what we want, not what giant communication companies want. Light touch regulation? Save it for the masses. No business worth its salt will follow unenforced rules. Never have, never will. But you know that, don't you? And you don't care. But we do. And we will remember. Do the right thing. We are watching.

2740. Kathleen Henrikson, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
Maintaining net neutrality is important to me. and application of such rules to ISPs should remain, as suggested by the Supreme Court, under the more robust Title II category.

2741. Jennifer Friend, Belton, MO, 64012
The FCC must STRONGLY ADVOCATE TO PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY rules instead of seeking to change internet service classification. If the Title II classification is repealed, the FCC will be acting in service to corporate dollars and not in service to the citizens of the United States.

2742. laura fowler, Maryland heights, MO, 63043
Preserve net neutrality and enforcement under title 2

2743. Allen Perkins, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
I believe Internet access must be treated as a utility. I believe the legal basis for regulation in this issue should remain Title II. I fear the impetus for changing this status has more to do with partisan or lobbyist considerations and I fear that such a position disregards many bad practices on the part of existing ISPs while also overlooking how many great advancements have occurred prior to (in spite of ISP interference) and since conversion (in response to the courts) to Title II. Shouldn't America be for all of us?

2744. Jeffrey Hsu, Kansas City, MO, 64112
The net must be neutral.Internet traffic must be agnostic to the type of traffic.Please keep ISP governorship under Title II without change.

2745. Sean Turk, Lee's Summit, MO, 64081
It is imperative that ISP's remain categorized within Title II. Ensuring that all data is treated equally on the internet and that ISPs cannot engage in rent-seeking behavior by charging different amounts for different data will create a fair and free market and a solid foundation

for economic growth.

2746. Pradeep C Bollu, Columbia, MO, 65203
I support Netneutrality and Title 2. Trying to gut it is unethical and unacceptable.

2747. Clint Diener, Carthage, MO, 64836
Uphold internet neutrality. This is yet another thing I can't believe I need to express the need for... this is getting ridiculous, the incompetance in our government at this time. Dark days.

2748. Alan Barker, St. Peters, MO, 63376
Please work to protect Net Neutrality. Do not turn over these crucially important decisions to the media ISP companies that will then sell faster service to the highest bidder.

2749. Jim Leighninger, Florissant, MO, 63034
I strongly support net neutrality, with Title 2 oversight of ISPS.

2750. John plocinski, O Fallon, MO, 63366
I strongly urge the FCC to keep all current regulations & safeguards related to net neutrality in place. Allowing business or any other individual besides myself control what content I am able to view would be UNAMERICAN!

2751. David Lotsoff, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I strongly encourage you to preserve net neutrality and keep this Type II status. This vote will not go unnoticed. No one likes to see special interests and conflicts of interest win over what is right.

2752. Colin Cross, Moberly, MO, 65270
I strongly support Title 2 net Neutrality Rules.

2753. Regina Cross, Moberly, MO, 65270
Net Neutrality must be defended by enforcing regulation of Internet Service Providers via Title II.

2754. Christopher Reeder, Columbia, MO, 65201
Selling our ISP numbers to the highest bidder is flat out wrong. Please stop stripping away our freedom.

2755. Keil Anderson, St Charles, MO, 63301
Do not remove ISPs from Title II. They are finally being forced to respect net neutrality and open internet rules. Removing or not enforcing the rules on the ISPs will have devastating effects on both consumers and startups. Costs will rise for both. Netflix will be the biggest loser of rules not being enforced. Companies doubling charging both the customers and providers of services. Companies slowing down Netflix and boosting the speeds of their own monopolistic streaming services. Please do right by the people of USA. Protect net neutrality and open internet rules. Keep ISPs classified as Title II utilities.

2756. Graham Haerther, Columbia, MO, 65202
The idea that by taking away protection will give us more freedom is akin to saying that taking away the kids life preserver will give him more freedom. Please do not remove the current Net Neutrality rules.

2757. George Nathaniel Graham, Platte City, MO, 64079
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II THAAANKS!!!!!!!!

2758. austin Bruce, Springfield, MO, 65807
Keep internet title 2. Please leave it how it is!

2759. Mark Godfrey, Saint Louis, MO, 63128
The decision to convert the internet into a closed system whereas companies decide who gets what speed based on cash payments is an absolute corrupt and horrible idea.The Internet is a public place, why do companies control the on-ramps in the first place? Not only should the net be neutral, access should be free. The "information highway" is like a highway. I don't pay to get on I55 each morning.

2760. Michael Jones, Dixon, MO, 65459
Please extend the protections for Net Neutrality and keep Title 2 in place. A free and open internet is the single most important tool humanity has to bring about a safe and peaceful future, and allowing ISPs to offer preferential treatment would be incredibly damaging.

2761. Nicholas Schaub, St Charles, MO, 63301
I STRONGLY suppory net neutrality and title 2.

2762. Ryan Spence, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Do not make the internet harder to access for some. Net neutrality should be the way of the land to allow all the same easy access to information. This is best for America.

2763. Gage Spring, Kansas City, MO, 64119
It is my understanding that the FCC Chairman intends to reverse net neutrality rules and put big Internet Service Providers in charge of how the internet is operated. I am resolutely against this action. I believe that these ISPs will operate solely in their own interests and not in the interests of what is best for the American public - which is to be expected of a business - but even more so, in ways that are harmful to Americans. In the past 10 years, broadband companies have been guilty of: deliberately throttling internet traffic, squeezing customers with arbitrary data caps, misleading consumers about the meaning of â€œunlimitedâ€ internet, giving privileged treatment to companies they own, strong-arming cities to prevent them from giving their residents high-speed internet, deliberately and knowingly

misinforming people for the gain of the Service Provider, and avoiding real competition at all costs. They have a strong history of using their power against the people at large. This is a move that is not within the interests of Americans, and will likely harm freedom of people at large. That is to say, I believe this decision arbitrarily restricts American freedom, and is being done only for the gain of the companies involved, which operate in power vacuums with no competition, and hence, are allowed to make decisions which are beneficial only to them. Consumers, small businesses, all Americans, and furthermore all people deserve an open internet. It is a powerful tool that shapes our lives in vast ways, and the more it is moderated, the more susceptible it is to corruption. So to restate my position: I am against the chairman's plan to reverse the net neutrality rules. I believe doing so will destroy a vital engine for innovation, growth, communication, and education. To be frank, I am livid with the idea itself and feel aggrieved at the propositions that are shown in the stead of net neutrality. I view this as an attack on my own personal liberties, and as an attack on myself. This movement is as frightening as it is upsetting, and operates against the ideas of a free world, and a free America.

2764. BILLY WOODS, CHAFFEE, MO, 63740
In yet another example of a power grab by the far right (the White House), we the American people are about to lose a valuable resource. Net Neutrality should be Made a Freedom that All may benefit from. As one citizen to another please consider your current legislation and make the right choice. Thank You

2765. Jon Berkey, St. Louis, MO, 63139
Net neutrality is incredibly important and we can't have an equal playing field on the web without title II oversight of ISPs.

2766. Andrew Ruder, Kansas City, MO, 64106
I strongly support ISPs remaining under Title II. ISPs have already tried and failed to remain neutral without government oversight.

2767. charles gabert, Kansas City, MO, 64134
you should be ashamed to give an open internet to ISPs. the new head of the FCC is a Version man all the way.

2768. Landon Barks, St. Louis, MO, 63104
The best way to keep the web on a level playing field is with title II oversight of ISPs. This is an issue I feel very strongly about, Ajit.

2769. Greg Robinson, O Fallon, MO, 63368
Net neutrality is key to the internet's success and the success of future innovations to come. Please don't ruin this by deregulating the internet on this issue. I don't want the cost of getting your content to your user to be a barrier to entry into the internet marketplace. It will stifle competition.

2770. Rebecca Asbury, Maplewood, MO, 63143
I could not find my previously submitted comment from yesterday via your search function, despite receiving a confirmation screen! Are you deleting comments that object to this terrible proposition??? To reiterate, I am STRONGLY OPPOSED to 17-108, the so-called "Restoring Internet Freedom" filing. Internet Service Providers should remain a Title II service with proper regulation and oversight, like they had during the previous FCC Chairman's administration. Strong net neutrality is essential to maintaining a competitive American marketplace. All this filing would do is allow Internet Service Providers to double-dip with fees--charging both the customers to connect to the internet, and web-based businesses to let customers connect to their websites. The cost would be stifling new online businesses, thus hurting our economy. This deceptively-named filing MUST NOT PASS!

2771. Kelsey Forkner, Peculiar, MO, 64078
Net neutrality is a potent symbol of American values. It offers equal access, freedom of information, and opportunity. Net neutrality enables social mobility and gives citizens numerous educational opportunities. Removing net neutrality is purely selfish and greedy. This isn't an area where competition offers any improvement in the service itself. Don't do this.

2772. Daniel Mattler, Fenton, MO, 63026
How does this administration believe that giving massive amounts of control over the way I view my content, that I pay for, is beneficial to me in anyway? Please, seriously, I would love a response explaining how this is good for consumers. As someone who does not pay for cable and enjoys all my entertainment through the Internet this will totally ruin the way I view everything. If this is the case I will just have to cancel my services with the companies that bribed you to pass this because under their rules I will not be able to view the content.

2773. Jeremy Wolf, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I strongly support keeping the internet free and open without restriction, and I encourage you to keep Title II regulations supporting net neutrality in place.

2774. Mark Langevin, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
I strongly affirm that I believe it is in the best interest of the United States and all its citizens to protect Net Neutrality under Title II provisions. To believe that large Internet Providers like Verizon or Comcast will not abuse power to regulate speeds for certain websites over others strains credulity. Such abuse would lead to an unbalanced playing field for young start-ups, and would therefore, stifle innovation. Keep Title II protections in place and insure Net Neutrality.

2775. Joan McCready, Festus, MO, 63028
Please do not change the current Net Neutrality rules. The Internet is a vital part of the American infrastructure and needs to remain "neutral" to access. No company or entity should receive preferential access based on payment of a "bribe," nor should any company or entity be denied equal access based on them NOT paying said "bribe." Thank you.

2776. Benjamin Kay, St. Louis, MO, 63108
There is only one Internet service provider that served my home, Charter Spectrum. If Charter decides to raise my prices for some tiers of Internet service, or to block some Internet traffic altogether, what recourse do I have? How much more will I have to pay to run my

own e-mail server if Charter decides the SMTP protocol is a premium feature? Where will I host my businesses's server if Charter decides to block port 443? The proposed changed to net neutrality rules only begin to make sense under the gross delusion that consumers have a wide choice of ISPs. In reality this proposal to restore so called "freedom" to the Internet is carte-blanche for ISPs to extort more money out of their monopolies and duopolies. Where would innovative companies like Netflix be had they started in an era of non-free, non-net-neutral Internet? I paid for a certain amount of Internet bandwidth and I should be free to use it as I see fit, period!

2777. Chris Crossley, Independence, MO, 64055
The internet needs to remain classified under Title 2 to maintain regulatory options and keep a fair and level playing field among internet providers and the content contained therein. It is illogical and unfair to expect internet providers to self-regulate for the benefit of the community and common good, as they have a duty to their shareholders above all else.

2778. Jay Hofmeister, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
Net neutrality is needed to have a fair playing field for small and large businesses alike. Additionally it helps to protect consumers from the duopoly that they are forced to deal with in many areas areas in the US. Removing net neutrality would be detrimental to all but the largest ISP's.

2779. Luanne Gilbertson, St. Louis, MO, 63017
Please maintain net neutrality under the rules of Title II

2780. Matthew Williams, St Louis, MO, 63108
To Whom It May Concern:I fully support strong, legally enforceable net neutrality regulations backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I feel that these regulations are critical in maintaining an Open and Free Internet and ensuring that it remains a forum that thrives on new, creative and innovative ideas unshackled from the Dollar.I urge you both as a private citizen and a new small business owner who relies heavily on the Internet to freely disseminate information and promotion of my new business to the public. As a matter of fact, the Internet and I "grew up" together. I am part of the generation that used rotary phones as small children and has matured with the communications technologies that are now at the core of this ever-expanding Information Age.For my sake and the sake of generations to come, DO NOT ALLOW ISPs and huge corporations to put a price tag on New Innovation, Creativity and Free Speech!Thank you,Matt Williams

2781. Ben Geers, St. Louis, MO, 63119
Internet Service Providers (ISPs) should remain under Title II of the Communications Act.

2782. Tim, St. Louis, MO, 63116
"Selling" or giving up net neutrality is NOT in the best interest of the country.

2783. Robert Quillen, Independence, MO, 64056
Keep Net Neutrality. How will the new YouTube ever be created if they don't have the same access to the internet as the already established players. I don't want Comcast or Verizon deciding who the winners are on the internet.

2784. Danny Martinez, Branson, MO, 65616
I believe in net neutrality and preserving Title II. Do not betray the American people.

2785. joshua cromer, Saint joseph, MO, 64507
I support strong regulations for net neutrality and classifying isp's under title 2

2786. Keep the internet open, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
Having Ajit Pai as the head of the FCC pushing for an open internet is a huge conflict of interest. Net neutrality does not prevent companies from investing in infrastructure. Keep Net Neutrality!

2787. Damon Pietras, Hazelwood, MO, 63042
This is the worst idea ever, don't let greed and this administration ruin the open and free internet.

2788. Alex Duffy, Nixa, MO, 65714
I am filing to in order to express my and my peer's support of net neutrality as it is currently imposed by Title II.

2789. Andrew Myers, Columbia, MO, 65202
Keep Title II in regulations in place. ISPs are still investing in their networks and the smaller ISPs have been exempted from any regulations that were overly burdensome. The protections the regulations provide are an important safeguard for the freedom and health of the internet. It is better to have the protections and not need them than to need them and not have them. If they are causing harm to the internet, modify the existing regulations to mitigate the problem rather than scrapping the good with any potential bad.

2790. Robert D. Inderman, Kansas City, MO, 64113
I am contacting the FCC to state my support for Net Neutrality. I do not want control of the internet back into the hands of the major communications companies, like Verizon, Time Warner etc., so please tell Verizon's baby boy Pai to keep his hands off the Net.

2791. Tyler Feikema, Raymore, MO, 64083
I am in support of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs! To be clear, I am OPPOSED to this Docket # 17-108!

2792. net neutrality, St. Louis, MO, 63139
Please protect the principles of net neutrality. It helps ensure the free dialogue across the aisles and diversity of thought is the only true way to ensure progress. Don't let us stagnate in opinions of the few with money. Ensure free speech. Protect the very FIRST amendment!

2793. connie simmons, centerview, MO, 64019
I am expressing my concern and support of keeping net neutrality rules strong.

2794. Danna Jensen, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Net Neutrality is very important to me. I support Title II net neutrality protection laws.

2795. Erik VanBetuw, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
I am writing to strongly urge you NOT to move ISP's back to Title I regulation, as this would be a weakening of their oversight, particularly regarding net neutrality.Net neutrality is a very important issue, and one that should not be left to the powerful ISP's to decide. This is an area the government SHOULD regulate, to preserve a free, open internet for the public general good. There is overwhelming support for this among the public, and the FCC should know that from previous actions.Do not weaken regulation of ISP's which allows them to set net neutrality policy - this is the FCC's job.

2796. Rev. Maggie Gann, Sullivan, MO, 63080
Protect net neutrality under existing Title II terms. It is dillusional to think companies (who are obligated to make profits for their investors) are going to regulate themselves--capitalism in the US doesn't work that way. Ensuring practices which are fair to consumers demands the kind of provisions currently in place under Title II. Stop monkeying with them.

2797. Dusty Shapiro, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Not sure who you are kidding if you think the ISPs will regulate themselves. Comcast is the most hated company for a reason.

2798. Joshua Cain, Kansas City, MO, 64151
This email is support net neutrality and keep the internet under title 2. This is a democracy, for once it would be great if the government would listen to the citizens of the country. The people of this country want net neutrality. The billionaire class want to own even the internet.

2799. justin dicicco, o fallon, MO, 63366
I strongly support net neutrality and I do not wish for that to change!

2800. Timothy A McCullough, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
As a concerned citizen, I am strongly in favor of the net neutrality rules enforced via the reclassification of ISPs as a utility under Title II. This is the optimal legal framework available currently to ensure an equal playing field for all internet services and to prevent large ISPs and media conglomerates from prioritizing their own traffic or sponsored traffic.

2801. katy sinclair, St. Louis, MO, 63123
Keep the internet equal!!!!

2802. Joel Hylen, St Louis, MO, 63105
Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. I'm certain that they will do this again if the laws are weakened (i.e., moved away from Title II) again, as there will be a strong financial incentive to do so. If the federal government does not step in, we, as consumers, have no protections against this abuse, as there will be no "net neutral" carriers available, since the strongest will be the ones who allow "pay for play".Iâ€™m also concerned by mobile providers who say a plan is â€œunlimited,â€ but when you exceed the data cap, only throttle sites and services that arenâ€™t part of their approved zero-rating network. Thank you for reading my comment.

2803. David Bowland, Columbia, MO, 65202
I support freedom and fairness for people and businesses, as codified by Title II oversight by ISPs. I also support freedom for ISPs, taking into consideration the vast majority of the public equates "the Internet" with a network governed by Title II oversight.

2804. Tara Morton, Kansas City, MO, 64105
We strongly support net neutrality based on Title II oversight of ISPs.Thank you.

2805. Stephen Buss, Valley Park, MO, 63088
I am against and find it disgusting what is going on in the state of corporate America and their obvious interactions with the current administration....Namely dealing with net neutrality. It needs to stay a title 2 to help protect the American people and allow them to use the internet as they like and not have it dictated to them by their provider or whoever pays their provider the most money.

2806. david peters, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
I believe that we should keep net nutrality the way it is and ignore that guy with the big coffee cup. He looks like he smells like stale chips.

2807. Matthew Little, Columbia, MO, 65201
I strongly support net neutrality regulation under Title II classification of ISPs to protect the open and equal internet.

2808. charlene brown, Eureka, MO, 63025
Please, please do not repeal net neutrality. It would be unfair to all smaller providers.

2809. Kelly Steinhaus, Columbia, MO, 65201
I am in support of net neutrality and free internet and would like to urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality backed by strong title 2 regulations. Please keep the internet free and unbiased. Access to public information shouldn't be something we allow ISPs to control based on the investment of larger companies. The internet needs to remain a place of free commerce and exchange. We need to keep

ISPs classified under title 2 in order to strictly regulate them and to prevent them from introducing bias into the way we access the internet, restricting the websites and applications we have access to, and influencing online markets in favor of companies willing to pay more for premium access to internet users and their data. Keep the internet free. Maintain net neutrality and title 2 classification of ISPs.

2810. JACOB MANSE, ELLISVILLE, MO, 63021
Ajit Pai is about to gut FCC rules that prevent ISPs from giving preferential treatment to certain companies under the guise that these providers will "do the right thing". This is wrong. I strongly encourage you to keep the most strict regulations under Title II. Anything less than the current Net Neutrality standards is a breach of first amendment rights letting any ISP throttle down, or in a certain respect, censor, information coming into my private dwelling. Tell Ajit Pai to leave these regulations alone.

2811. Marcus Moor, Kansas City, MO, 64155
Net neutrality is important to the people of this country. Please choose to protect the people you have been appointed to to serve. Please also remember that you are part of a government for the people rather than a government for the internet service providers.Thank you for your time.

2812. Charles Harris, St. Charles, MO, 63301
Retain Title II classification of ISPs so America can grow from the Internet as a utility the same way telegraph and telephone lines grew America at the turn of the 20th Century.

2813. Kalim Choudhury, Springfield, MO, 65803
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. You bastards can't get away with this level of injustice. We will not be ruled by corporate interests. The public will hold you accountable.

2814. Christopher R. Dixon, Sant Louis, MO, 63132
There are so few ISP's to begin with that allowing such a small number of companies the ability to play favorites would be devastating to the free flow of information. I strongly support net neutrality and the governance under the current arrangement.

2815. Misty Moor, Kansas City, MO, 64155
Net neutrality shouldn't be something we take away just to gain money for corporations. America: home of the free, right? It seems less and less so these days.

2816. Aaron Bashirian, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
We support strong net neutrality as per the standards put forth in Title II!

2817. Katie Poole-Jones, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
Please protect net neutrality and Title II classifications! It is crucial that we maintain an open and free internet. Thank you!

2818. William Knight, Fredericktown, MO, 63645
Do not let internet providers decide upon content. I'd actually like to be able to search out information that isn't bought and paid for.

2819. Suzie Chhouk, Kansas City, MO, 64118
I fully support net neutrality and Title II. Do the right thing, or just do your job - listen to your constituents amd don't mess with net neutrality.

2820. Emily Morrison, Joplin, MO, 64804
I am absolutely against whatever new form of CISPA ya'll are trying to shove down our throats, and there are absolutely ways that the public can and will affect the outcome if our voices are not heard.

2821. John Smith, Kansas City, MO, 64081
It is utterly ridiculous what you all are doing. If you kill net neutrality, I hope you all are eventually brought up on charges. It is completely obvious you are simply lining the pockets of big business with your moves, based on Prezzy Trump and him hiring this FCC clown that wants to kill net neutrality to help Verizon, his former employer, which is likely still lining his pockets to help make this change. A complete sham and disgrace to the people of this country! Leave net neutrality alone!

2822. Cara McMillin, Lees Summit, MO, 64086
I fervently support strong net neutrality and Title II oversite of ISPs! ISPs, their financial interests and large corporations and money should not dictate what I have access to on the internet. I pay for my ISP services and as a result should have access equally to anything I search for. No one else's money should decide or impact my access to content, once I have paid for equal access!

2823. Cody, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
I holistically do not believe in this new proceeding of breaking down existing Net neutrality regulations. Find something else to destroy.try this out. If government intervention is something you want to avoid, quite subscribing to the hypocritical oath of increasing autonomy by involving yourself in the process. Title II works.

2824. Marcus Craig, Kansas City, MO, 64119
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not end net protections.

2825. Gary E. Black, Rushville, MO, 64484
Preserve & Support Net Neutrality Title 2

2826. Constance Krapfl, St Louis, MO, 63122
Keeping net neutrality is essential in maintaining a level playing field among the isp providers. IN ADDITION KEEPING Title II is a must! Removing these structures produce a free for all in managing what people see on the internet, driven by those with the funds to

force us to see what they want us to see. This is just another attempt to control what the public is able to access.

2827. Alan Freed, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
I strongly support maintaining net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The idea that companies could have the ability to control the speed of internet service based upon their bottom lines rather than on the needs of the American people is highly offensive and not in tune with American core values.

2828. Christopher Straub, Troy, MO, 63379
Net Neutrality needs to be an enforced Title II communications issue. Hoping that companies regulate themselves and avoid the temptation of profit is the same mistake we made with the banking sector in the last decade. Removing Title II protection is unacceptable.

2829. Bryan Horvath, Knob Noster, MO, 65336
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II. The FCCs efforts under the current president are anti-consumer. I have contempt for both the current regime and his tacky coffee mug.

2830. Larry Kilcullin, Ballwin, MO, 63011
We do not support any rescinding, or lessening of, current restrictions in place to maintain a fair and open internet. If left to providers to self-monitor, they will manipulate the rules to their advantage, while the general public pays the price.

2831. Shawn Welsh, springfield, MO, 65810
If net neutrality is challenged I will vote against anyone who attempts to overturn it in all upcoming elections. There is no reason to do this other than serving your lobbyists and any reasonable person understands that, conservative and liberal.

2832. Shane Faulkner, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I am strongly for net neutrality and I think it will be a terrible decision to get rid of it. Please reconsider and do not continue forward with the Internet Freedom Act. The name is misleading and will cause more harm than good.

2833. Eric McNair, Clayton, MO, 63105
Please keep the current net neutrality and Title II protections in place.

2834. Elizabeth Lyons, Ballwin, MO, 63011
The American people have spoken, and the overwhelming consensus was that we want the government to enforce Net Neutrality. The FCC needs to keep Title II invoked and Net Neutrality enacted. Keep the Open internet. Preserve net neutrality rules and the Title II authority used to enforce them.

2835. Dan Chiles, Bois DArc, MO, 65612
I am opposed to changes in the current net neutrality rules specifically moving from current Title 2 to Title 1. Net neutrality is important and you should not change it.

2836. Chad U., Fulton, MO, 65251
I strongly support the net neutrality backed by oversight under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 of Internet Service Providers. I will staunchly oppose any measures by the FCC to return ISPs to Title I.

2837. Troy Parks, Kansas City, MO, 64154
I believe in strong net neutrality!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

2838. Tyler Iman, Nixa, MO, 65714
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title 2 regulations!

2839. Charles Burns, springfield, MO, 65806
Definitely keep Strong net neutrality and Title 2 regulations.

2840. Michael Carpenter, Saint Joseph, MO, 64506
I am a strong supporter of net neutrality and protections of net neutrality under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934. The idea that ISP's will voluntarily protect equal access of the internet especially in cases in which a site or online product is in direct competition against their own product is laughable. Corporations such as ISP's will do whatever they are allowed to protect profit margins and the success of their own products. If that means slowing internet access to competing sites or products (those that pay less then alternative sites or products) that is exactly what they will do. Corporations are not beholden to people but to their shareholders who only care about profits. Please do not remove Title 2 protections for net neutrality. The internet should be equal access for all.

2841. Alexander Carpenter, Katelyn Carpenter, Independence, MO, 64053
We support strong net neutrality maintained by ISP regulation under Title II.

2842. Daniel Flood, Des Peres, MO, 63122
We need net neutrality under title 2. How did you fuck up so much that we had to end up here, you personifications of greed?

2843. James Glasgow, St. Louis, MO, 63123
As a lifelong member of the IT and web development community, I am in support of strong Net Neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs.

2844. Nicholas Wade, Manchester, MO, 63021
As a consumer, father, and IT worker, neutrality represents a foundation for fairness to access neutrality. Keep net neutrality.

2845. Kevin Brooks, Ozark, MO, 65721
I support net neutrality and you should to. Ajit Pae does not have my trust when he says that corporations will still adhere by the guidelines out of good faith.

2846. Steven, Plattsburg, MO, 64477
We need to preserve net neutrality by ensuring ISPs are regulated strongly under Title II (2) of the Communications Act. No lesser regulations will be sufficient to avoid incentivizing a skewed, corrupted and differential internet. Any proposal that adheres to the comments and statements previously released from Ajit Pai for decreased regulation and corporate self policing will be a disaster for a free and open internet.

2847. James Hermann, Ballwin, MO, 63021
FCC,Please maintain strict Net Neutrality regulations by regulating ISP's under Titke II of the Act.We are watching and we know the difference between Title I and Title II. The court already ruled that the FCC can't have strict Net Neutrality regulations if the ISP's were regulated under Title I.Thanks,Jim

2848. Emily Schell, St Louis, MO, 63114
Keep the internet neutral by classing it in Title II. The internet is a public utility that is necessary for modern life.

2849. Rodney Richardson, Farmington, MO, 63640
I do not support the FCC rolling back the protection of the internet. Net Neutrality is important! Allowing ISP's to police themselves makes as much sense as allowing Wall Street to police itself. It doesn't work.

2850. Thomas Matthews, Saint Louis, MO, 63112
An open internet is important for the long-term economic success of the United States. Without rules limiting anti-competitive practices, new innovative technology companies will be unable to gain a foothold in the marketplace. This would lead to a stagnant economy that fails to adapt to new trends and opportunities. Other countries could become more attractive locations for internet-based businesses, and the United States could lose its privileged place in the tech world.

2851. John Collins, Columbia, MO, 65202
We should keep ISPs under title II of the communications act. No one has been complaining about it and it isn't needless regulation. The internet is the ultimate embodiment of free speech and a free market. No one should have the ability to slow down websites to make their own faster. Leave things the way they are and stop trying to set things up so a small group of people can make money at the expense of everyone else! Have a back bone and stand up to the orange idiot sitting in the white house. Trump doesn't even know that net neutrality is, so don't listen to what he wants.

2852. Adam Christopher Jennings, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Please, in the name of equitable commerce and a better society, please maintain net neutrality.

2853. Braden Miller, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Please protect net neutrality. Title II is essential for preserving the neutrality all online users desire and deserve.

2854. Maggie McLeod, St. Louis, MO, 63109
I support net neutrality! Please do what you can to maintain the current regulations you have in place to enforce net neutrality.

2855. Emily Horsford, St Charles, MO, 63303
Hello-I am in support of net neutrality. PLEASE do not mess with our internet!

2856. Joseph Bosco, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
I am strongly opposed to changing ISPs to Title I; they should be considered common carriers under Title II! There are many areas where the market works best, but the internet is more like a utility. Please protect Net Neutrality.

2857. Paul Harris, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Please keep net neutrality in effect under Title 2. The open pipeline of information that the internet provides is a vital public resource and not one that should be up for bid for the commercial gain of ISPs and other companies.

2858. Kelly Moothart, Kansas City, MO, 64112
Protect Net Neutrality and keep the protections of Title II in place. Eliminating net neutrality will create unfair competition practices by internet companies that would harm the public marketplace. Please maintain net neutrality!

2859. John Huebbe, St. Peters, MO, 63376
I would like to express my comments on net neutrality. I would like rules for Internet service providers that would enable access to all content and applications, regardless of the source (netflix, google, etc), without favoring or blocking a product or website just to improve their own/partner product or site.

2860. andy kircher, manchester, MO, 63021
please keep the laws in place to enforce net neutrality and not leave it in the hands of the service providers to "do the right thing" which they never do!

2861. Heriberto Del Porto, Liberty, MO, 64068
I want ISPs to continue to be regulated under Title 2 and not to be moved to Title 1. I do not believe that this will impact their ability to invest in infrastructure or generate revenue. Please keep all ISPs under Title 2.

2862. Samuel Chamberlin, Parkville, MO, 64152

Please preserve net neutrality via Title II protections. Title I protections are not strong enough.

2863. Thomas Deranek, Kansas City, MO, 64112
Getting rid of net neutrality benefits one part only, the ISPs, and leaves consumers vulnerable. What we can and cannot do with the internet should not be dictated by the companies that provide it, of which we have no choice in usually as ISPs have already monopolized the market. They do not need any more power than they already have. It is the consumers who need to be empowered.

2864. Marty Althoff, Parkville, MO, 64152
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Changing this would be a terrible idea that would strongly diminish competition. A true open internet requires this classification.

2865. Cody Amos, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
We need Net Neutrality, please don't retitle the ISP's

2866. Ryan Fidell, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
NET NEUTRALITY MOTHER FUCKER

2867. Robert Clark, Raytown, MO, 64133
I support strong net neutrality and title 2, I do not support anything that would reduce or remove net neutrality or title 2.

2868. Heidi Hellmuth, Maplewood, MO, 63143
Please keep strong net neutrality rules! It's not fair for large corporations and special interests to rule the internet and use their influence to get ISP's to potentially get faster access than other companies, and especially small businesses. The internet should be fair and equal access for all.

2869. Frederick Schenker, Richmond Heights, MO, 63117
I'm writing to urge you to preserve net neutrality by not changing the designation through which ISPs are regulated.

2870. Michael Brock, Kansas CIty, MO, 64131
ISP's act and are, essentially monopolies in many communities. They are difficult to change and leave very little, if any options. Internet access is a fact of life and anyone without it is at a major disadvantage. Because of this, it is next to impossible to punish them in the market places. Keep the internet neutral and prevent the sales of personal information.

2871. Matt LaCasse, Sedalia, MO, 65301
I am in favor of strong net neutrality laws such as Article II of the Communications Act of 1934.

2872. Chris Crawford, Springfield, MO, 65807
Allowing ISP's to play favorites and treat content providers differently will adversely affect the free market. While I know that putting regulations on ISP's sounds like it goes against the idea of a free market, the benefits outweigh the negatives for everyone. Please protect a free and open internet. Data throughput is not a finite resource.

2873. Steven Maliszewski, Lake St Louis, MO, 63367
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. ISP's should not be able to choose winners and losers. That would seem undemocratic to me.

2874. Nancy Meier, O Fallon, MO, 63366
I strongly support keeping net neutrality. Preserving an open internet is crucial for fair and equal access to the resources and information available on it.

2875. Kurt Meyer, Hannibal, MO, 63462
I support net neutrality and believe internet providers should be regulated like utilities.

2876. Rachel Merlo, Kansas City, MO, 64113
Contacting you to express my support of strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

2877. Donald Shaver, Saint Louis, MO, 63125
Didn't we just do this a few years ago? Keep Net Neutrality! Stop trying to screw over the citizens of the country in the interest of a few big businesses. I'd go further and ask that the Internet be declared a public utility, it's unequivocally more prevalent and relevant today than phones are/were when they were declared a public utility.

2878. Adam Meisch, Springfield, MO, 65803
I support Net Neutrality regulation and any effort made to keep access to the Internet fair and unencumbered by ISP greed. The Internet has changed the world for the better, and has been a tool for the democratization of many industries. Please keep it that way.

2879. Andrew Holden, Independence, MO, 64055
Do not reclassify the ISP into Title 1. They need to be regulated in title 2. You are doing a great disservice to consumers by trying to make this change.

2880. Amy Gum, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
Net Neutrality is important for small business. DO NOT DESTROY IT!

2881. Gill Eric Wagner, St. Louis, MO, 63128
Leave Net Neutrality regulations as they are under Title II. Do not relax the enforcement capabilities of the FCC. We cannot trust the

owners of massive corporations to keep American's best interests at heart when they answer to their stockholders instead of us. We need these rules and the ability to enforce them in place to ensure that the internet stays open and the playing field remains level.

2882. Raj Mehrotra, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
Please do not take action allowing the highest bidder to have better access to the internet. This will create a situation that allows for ISPs to promote the content they want, which flies directly in the face of free, open communication, which has been so valuable for so many people who communicate and research online.

2883. Mark Charbonneau, St Louis, MO, 63110
The FCC must protect net neutrality for U.S. citizens by retaining the classification of ISPs as carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

2884. John Hainline, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
I support strong net neutrality as it is an absolute requirement for a free and open internet. I also support title II oversight of ISPs to enforce net neutrality.

2885. Tim Roth, St. Louis, MO, 63141
I support strong net neutrality, and Title II oversight over ISPs!

2886. Zachary Standley, Springfield, MO, 65807
I want net neutrality to stay the same

2887. Charles Woolsey, Independence, MO, 64052
Ajit PaiI, chairman, FCC - I specifically support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY back by Title 2 back by oversight of ISP's.

2888. Alexandra Sotak, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63703
I specifically support and urge preservation of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

2889. rena hasse, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
Net neutrality should be preserved under title 2!

2890. Corey Wischmeyer, St. Louis, MO, 63139
I believe that in order to have an economy that is competitive and open to new and innovative contenders that ISPs must continue to be subject to the Title II rules and regulations. Title II ensures that information will flow freely and that ISPs will not restrict the flow of information which is paramount to a free society.

2891. William Steele, Overland, MO, 63114
Do not change the current net neutrality regulations. Leave them the way they are.

2892. Sean Smith, Clayton, MO, 63105
Please support net neutrality by keeping title 2 classification in place. In this day and age, internet access is quickly becoming more and more of a necessity for day to day life. The thought that ISPs will police themselves is laughable, and the idea that the present classification has hurt their infrastructure investment is just as absurd. Do the right thing here.

2893. Trevor Barnard, Kansas City, MO, 64133
Net Neutrality rules are crucial to keeping the web open and useful. Please do not de-classify the internet to allow corporations to decide for me, what websites I should use to do everyday things like search. I want to be able to use Google or Bing or any other browser without my ISP choosing to slow down my access to the site. I would like to use VUDU, Amazon or Netflix to stream movies without my ISP deciding which gets preferential treatment based on the amount of money each pays. Charges that each service will then pass down to the consumer of the products. Rolling back these rules will do nothing to improve the internet and will roll additional costs down to the consumer. Please do not reclassify broadband out of Title II.

2894. Matthew Wallhausen, Jackson, MO, 63755
Net Neutrality is the way things should be. No one should have to pay to get there website seen. This is a level playing field and now you are trying to mess with it. DON'T !!!!

2895. Troy Havercroft, Kansas City, MO, 64108
I wish for the FCC to preserve net neutrality and the continued use of Title II. Do not let this administration continue to work towards only helping big business. Thank you

2896. Dustin Sotnyk, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Please keep net neutrality and title 2 status for the ISPs

2897. Briana Ross, Potosi, MO, 63640
Do NOT roll back protections for net neutrality. I am in favor of strong net neutrality laws.

2898. AJ, columbia, MO, 65203
I want my internet freedom back!

2899. A. Novack, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
Net Neutrality is important and must remain to ensure internet content is not manipulated by Internet Service Providers. Please leave these protections in place.

2900. brandon case, Jeff city, MO, 65109
I am writing to promote net nuetrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Corporations should not be allowed to control what an American citizen chooses to view via throttling . There is no need for it. It is a theft of freedom.

2901. Ryan Crystal, Columbia, MO, 65202
The â€œRestoring Internet Freedomâ€ Act will do the opposite of what its name implies. It will give internet service providers (ISPs) the ability to limit internet speeds to certain sites and charge users to access other sites. They would be able to add data caps and exclude their web content giving them an unfair advantage. Imagine what it would be like if electric utilities could prevent you from using electricity to charge any device that wasnâ€™t Samsung brand or if phone providers prevented you from calling your loved ones. A free and open internet is required in todayâ€™s society where it is a necessity for schoolwork, job hunting, or many other day to day tasks. The Restoring Internet Freedom Act will decrease competition among ISPs, allow ISPs to extort their customers as well as online businesses, and destroy the internet as we know it. For these reasons, I ask you to support net neutrality based on Title II oversight of ISPs.

2902. Net Neutrality, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
You need to preserve Net Neutrality rules and the stipulations provided by Title II. The assumption that ISP providers can be trusted to not take advantage from themselves and their products is like assuming bigfoot can refrain himself from eating Jack Link's beef jerky.

2903. Eileen Gmerek, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
Net Neutrality is for the people. When you stop net neuty, you have taken away US citizens right to a fair internet. The Trump adtis only for corporations which is wrong.

2904. Noah Hale, Dearborn, MO, 64439
Hello, please preserve net neutrality and title II. I will be upset if this doesn't happen.Thanks

2905. Charlene M Graham, UNIVERSITY CITY, MO, 63130
THE INTERNET MUST BE KEPT NEUTRAL AND UNDER TITLE II. THE VERY IDEA THAT COMPANIES SUCH AS VERIZON, ATT, COMCAST, ETC CAN SLOW DOWN INFORMATION FROM ONE COMPANY IN ORDER TO PUSH THEIR OWN INTERESTS IS UNACCEPTABLE. THE NEW HEAD OF THE FCC, WHO PREVIOUSLY WORKED FOR VERIZON, MUST NOT ALLOW THIS. HIS EXPLANATIONS FOR WHY IT WOULD BE OK TO GO BACK TO TITLE I ARE BEYOND IGNORANT AND CAUSE QUESTIONS AS TO HIS HONESTY.

2906. Blake Hodits, Camdenton, MO, 65020
Please keep our do not allow ISP to limit data speed by type and source. The internet should remain fair for everyone.

2907. Gabriela Dombrowski, Kansas City, MO, 64108
I am urging you to restore Internet freedom and the democratic principle of equal access to information by Restoring Internet Freedom and backing enforcement of it through a title II classification.

2908. James Desloge, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
Please do not remove the internet neutrality regulations.

2909. John Robert Singer, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
I am strongly opposed to anything which would allow "broadband throttling" which gives certain sites access to a faster track of internet due to paying an ISP. Internet is increasing becoming necessary for day to day activities and finding jobs. Allowing ISPs to slow some sites and allow others a faster speed gives an uncomfortable amount of control to those ISPs and disadvantages the American public.

2910. Matt Marshall, Columbia, MO, 65203
I am in strong OPPOSITION to filing 17-108, and am in FAVOR of complete Net Neutrality.

2911. Richard Belken, Mokane, MO, 65059
If Net Nuetrality is rolled back, nothing will stop anti-competitive big ISP's from censoring the net, and slowly turning the Internet into an enhanced version of cable tv. I'll be damned if I have to pay extra to have access to the full internet. There will not be many other competitors who do so over time because eventually big ISP's will find ways to run them out of business. You claim that the government somehow "controlling" the internet is bad, but if any of that is true, the big ISP's have done worse, such as what AT&T did to directv now.

2912. Erik Conrad, Columbia, MO, 65201
It is very important that the FCC continue regulating ISPs under Title 2. Less regulation will only lead to slower internet speeds across the board and will allow ISPs to shut out competition and hold websites hostage (which they have done in the past and will continue to do if they become deregulated). I am a serious Republican that normally stands against excessive regulation. But I cannot stand to see ISPs allowed to censor and regulate my freedom of expression and access to the internet.

2913. Laura Robinson, Plattsburg, MO, 64108
I support net neutrality and Title 2. Please keep it in place. Thank you

2914. Coleten McGuire, Saint Louis, MO, 63125
Dear FCC,Please do not let Congress abolish title II and give ISPs even more power than they already have.

2915. Jonathan Christenson, Springfield, MO, 65802
I will vote against anyone who does not protect Net Neutrality. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

2916. Jennifer Murphy, Independence, MO, 64056
I support strong net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.I support strong net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.I support strong net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.I support strong net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.I support strong net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.

2917. Michael Raichelson, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please maintain strong support for net neutrality by continuing Title II oversight of internet service providers.

2918. Phillip Janowski, rolla, MO, 65401
Keep obama era net nuetrality rules

2919. Jennifer Beasley, St. Louis, MO, 63105
I oppose changing the legal classification for ISP's from Title 2, which allows allows net neutrality regulations to be enforceable, to another classification which would have the effect of removing the enforceability of those protective regulations.

2920. Nathan Cohee, Wentzville, MO, 63385
I am in support of an open internet. Please keep net neutrality in place and the internet classified as a Title II utility.

2921. Jagat Khalsa, raytown, MO, 64138
Title II is stronger protection for net neutrality. I have experienced ATT slowdown our internet. It WAS a real problem with title I. Claiming otherwise is a disinformation campaign of alternate fact and you will not get away with it.

2922. Jen Mesger, St. Clair, MO, 63077
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s!!

2923. Jamin Gray, Ballwin, MO, 63011
I support strong Net Neutrality rules and an open internet under Title II

2924. Lyman Johnson, Saint Louis, MO, 63122
Please maintain strict net neutrality. The companies' geographic restrictions make then essentially a utility. That requires regulation as the end user (i.e., the market force) has little or no power to force performance via competitive actions. Abandoning net neutrality directly put the fox in the hen house. Thank you for considering this filing. Lyman Johnson

2925. Cari D Eldridge, Grandview, MO, 64030
I strongly believe that Net Neutrality and Title II need to be preserved to ensure equality.Thank you.

2926. Racheal White, Louisiana, MO, 63353
I strongly support Net Neutrality. In order to ensure that Americans have affordable, quality internet access, Net Neutrality must continue.

2927. Lowell Ricketts, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Chairman Pai:I am writing to express my strong support for stricter rules on net neutrality, including Title II classification. I agree, that it would be much more convenient if we could rely upon the industry to self-regulate itself. However, calling upon ISPs to adhere to net neutrality on their own volition inherently risks moral hazard. These companies have substantially broad interests that inevitably results in situations where their multimedia products are in direct competition with those of other ISPs. It would require incredible (and unprecedented) fortitude for these companies to refrain from furthering their interests through violating "soft" net neutrality regulations. Therefore, I am calling on you and your staff to perform your duties as the chief regulator in this market and preserve net neutrality through the existing Title II framework. The internet is a service that almost every American relies upon in their daily life and is considered a fundamental human right. Please safeguard this invaluable resource. Thank you for your time and attention.Sincerely,Lowell Ricketts

2928. Nathan Pinion, Saint Joseph, MO, 64501
I strongly support Title II oversight of ISPs to preserve net neutrality.

2929. Shawna Schulte, Columbia, MO, 65203
I support Net Neutrality. Please do not reverse the decision to protect consumers rights to the internet.

2930. Kendra Caudill, Spfd., MO, 65802
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversite of ISP providers!

2931. Zach Reeves, Springfield, MO, 65802
I support net neutrality and Title II. These ISPs cannot be trusted with less regulation.

2932. jason barker, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I support Strong net neutrality rules and Title II for ISPs.

2933. tyler lown, Nixa, MO, 65714
I support internet neutrality and title II as it currently stands and believe the law should stay in place.

2934. Peter Olivo, St. Louis, MO, 63118
As an internet engineer, net neutrality is vital to the stability of my livelihood.If Title 1 was to be applied to ISPs there is a highly likely probability of many jobs like mine moving to overseas or being pressured into larger companies. The under sight of content delivery would surly shift the industry away from innovation and equality and toward mass markets deals and underpinnings as cable television

become.Please keep the internet free.

2935. Arthur L Wallhausen, III, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
I STRONGLY support regulating ISPs under the Communications Act of 1934, Title II common carrier provisions. ISPs SHOULD NOT be allowed to prioritize Internet traffic based on who pays the most.

2936. Jennifer Nitchman, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
I support net neutrality. Please preserve Net Neutrality backed by strong Title II oversight

2937. Amber L. May, St. Louis, MO, 63116
Urging the ECFS to continue supporting strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

2938. shawna climer, saint louis, MO, 63104
Please keep ISP's under title II. Net neutrality is very important!!!

2939. Cory Hubert, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
When I was 6, my dad bought me a Commodore 64. By 7, I could write some simple programs in BASIC. I've been active online since 1997, across programming, online gaming, running my own websites, organizing out of state events, and now even taking online courses to finish my education. I frequently use the internet and Net Neutrality is extremely important to me. An ISP could decide to slow down my Skype call with my mom who lives in Washington just because they want to promote their own video chat service. Or maybe I can't watch my school's broadcast lectures because they didn't pay the extra fee the ISP wanted to. Maybe I'll want to watch the newest season of Game of Thrones through HBO's streaming service, but my ISP is also a cable provider and would rather I buy a higher TV package so I can have HBO (along with 200 other channels I won't watch), so they make it so I can no longer connect to hbonow.com. These are controls which ISPs should not legally be allowed to have. Title II rules make sure that true Net Neutrality can exist and I have the freedom to choose how my bandwidth is spread, not my ISP forcing me to use their products or pay a fee.

2940. Nicholas Campbell, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
I strongly support the preservation of Net Neutrality and Article II. Additionally, I support the categorization of ALL ISPs under Article II

2941. kenneth monach, Saint Joseph, MO, 64506
I strongly support the continued enforcement of net neutrality.

2942. Neutrality, St. Louis, MO, 63128
I would like to preserve current net neutrality rules and title 2 stipulations.

2943. Margaret Reck, St. Louis, MO, 63143
I strongly urge the FCC to keep net neutrality rules in place. Loss of net neutrality would be devastating to the entire country. Please value the rights of consumers over the greed of corporations.

2944. Daniel Story, St. Louis, MO, 63116
Net neutrality is incredibly important to the continued advancement of the American people. If we give companies the control to push certain sites on us, snuff out competition, we're going to find it harder to seek out knowledge, small companies and startups may find it hard to ever get off the ground, and we'll fall further behind the internet other countries have access to. We already are behind in speeds, but to also be behind by filtering sites, slowing speeds on certain sites, leaves us open to fall further behind.

2945. Diana Trussell, Ashland, MO, 65010
Please keep ISP's under Title II regulation. Please maintain the protections that were put in place to ensure enforceable Net Neutrality. The free and open internet is a basic human right.

2946. Denis Caye, Lee's Summit, MO, 64063
I support net neutrality and want to keep the current title II rules in effect.

2947. Richard Manning, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Please continue to insure net neutrality by strong oversight of ISP's under Title2. Do not weaken our net neutrality rights by rolling back the changes made in 2015

2948. Teahna Banks, St. Louis, MO, 63146
I support Tittle II. Do not take it away!

2949. Daniel Sullivan, Pacific, MO, 63069
Please preserve Net Neutrality and keep ISPs at the current Class II classification

2950. Jack A Ketola, Saint Louis, MO, 63121
I strongly support net neutrality and keeping ISPs under Title 2. There is no evidence that these regulations have hurt business or investments in infrastructure in anyway.

2951. Charles Schlaepfer, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I support the continuation of Net neutrality. The internet should not be limited to what ISPs determine where they want to channel content.

2952. Annie Murphy, Van Buren, MO, 63965
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2 of FCC regulations. I believe it is completely antithetical to American values to favor one

company over another.

2953. Cathy McGeorge, Fulton, MO, 65251
Please leave the net neutrality law as is.

2954. Charles Parko, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
Net neutrality is important. The internet is a basic right.

2955. Vinson Burch, Kansas City, MO, 64133
I would like the current rule to be retained, and to not allow the Internet Service Providers to alter any rates of service from any content providers or sources. If there is any change to be made it should be to require those providing the Internet "pipelines" to have a set date to require them to provide high speed internet to all People in the United States of America without regard for where they live and to provide this service at a very reasonable price. It seems that you took away our old broadcast TV to the much weaker signaled and shorter ranged digital TV broadcasting under the guise of opening those frequencies up to provide rural broadband Internet, and as yet the only reliable way for those out in the country to get broadband Internet service is via satellite providers that are not reasonably priced. So get busy with something that will truly help the American Citizens and get us full nationwide high speed internet service for all, instead of giving the big providers the right to do as they want with no repercussions.

2956. Matthew Turner, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
I have major concerns regarding the end of net neutrality. Please protect the freedom of the internet by not allowing service providers to pick and choose which businesses and services receive preferential treatment through internet speeds. This issue is vital to the future of liberty and freedom in America. Do not sell out the American people.

2957. Ashley Cramer, Springfield, MO, 65806
I support net neutrality, backed by the Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

2958. Sean Doughson, Philipsburg, MO, 65722
If the FCC chooses to downgrade internet providers to Title 1 restrictions, despite the public outcry against suck actions, it is clear that the current regime really only cares about corporate greed, not the needs of the consumers. The FCC is supposed to be above politics.

2959. Hien Nguyen, St. Charles, MO, 63301
I support a strong net neutrality policy. Please ensure that net neutrality should allow any and all web services to have equal connection speed as indicated under Title 2 of the FCC agreement.

2960. Cameron Samimi, St. louis, MO, 63141
Preserve strong Net Neutrality and Title 2. Keep the internet an open landscape for the American people to roam.

2961. Haden Hobbs, Mexico, MO, 65265
I want Net Neutrality and Title 2 preserved.

2962. Kiley Yeaglin, St. Louis, MO, 63108
I have major concerns about the idea of rolling back net neutrality. The FCC needs to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Do not let the interests of corporations overshadow individuals.

2963. Terry Myers, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality and keeping ISP's as a Title 2 entity for stricter regulation.

2964. Mitch Bellrichard, Jonesburg, MO, 63351
Net neutrality is essential for a continued free and prosperous internet. Without it we're allowing corporations to control our flow of information and jeopardizing the future of our democracy. I'm completely for the continued protection of net neutrality under title II and firmly believe anyone who tries to withdraw it is putting large corporations ahead of the American people and has no place in government.

2965. Matt Anderson, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I believe that the government has no place in allowing companies to sell our information. The fact that this has passed really disappoints me. Net neutrality should be respected and not sold to the highest bidder.

2966. Justin Winchester, Rock Hill, MO, 63144
I strongly support a free and open internet via Title II and Net Neutrality.

2967. Eric Hansen, Florissant, MO, 63033
I strongly oppose any rules which weaken Net Neutrality.

2968. Lauren Cartwright, Kingdom City, MO, 65262
I am writing to express my support for net neutrality. Equal access for all to a free flow of information is a key component to ensuring equal competition for both small and large businesses.

2969. Steven Landes, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
I support full net neutrality. The Federal Government paid the Telecom companies something on the order of $200 Billion (Telecom Act of 1996) for better internet infrastructure in 1996 - and they did nothing. As far as I'm concerned, that means We The People now own all the existing infrastructure. The change being contemplated will hurt innovation and job growth while doing nothing beneficial for the public. We deserve better.

2970. Brian Gregory, Kansas City, MO, 64118
Net neutrality should be backed by a strong enforcement under Title 2.

2971. Chris Stoll, St. Louis, MO, 63116
It is very obvious that the ISP companies do not have the best interest of the general consumer in mind. By changing or loosening the net neutrality regulations it is opening the door for ISPs to take advantage of the leniency and charge sites for speed priority. Anyone can see this will happen and if they do not, then they are a fool.

2972. elizabeth armstrong, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64155
DO NOT TAKE AWAY NET NEUTRALITY. IT IS NOT GOOD FOR ANYONE BUT THOSE WHO HAVE THE UTMOST POWER AND RICHES.

2973. Kyle Nelson Lang, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I stand against removing Title 2 and Net Neutrality laws. The markets/companies are not being affected negatively and the consumers are being very positively affected. So please, drop this.

2974. Pamla Frost, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Keep Internet Neutrality for all of us. I am a 73 year old woman that wants the freedom of Internet Neutrality.

2975. Paula Dostal, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
All internet access needs to remain open on all sites and to all citizens. Changing the current rules could have a devastating affect on local schools, especially those that are struggling financially. All students deserve to have equal access to information. Changing the law would only benefit the wealthy and hurt the economically disadvantaged. You say you are working in the best interest of the American people. I don't see one advantage for changing this law that would benefit the people. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!!!!

2976. Tyler Ricketts, Liberty, MO, 64068
I support strong net neutrality protections under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

2977. Jonathan Arnold, Hazelwood, MO, 63042
I officially support classification of ISPs under Title II. Your attempt to give power to corporations and wealthy influencers will serve only to slow, distort, and deny services and access to the internet for people of all income levels. We have had regulation in this country of common carriers for decades. This basic service (right) is too important to allow a free-for-all of market forces to control and dominate.Also, your giant Reeces mug is a vapid cry for attention. It's as ignorant as your proposal to 'just let companies play nice' with one another.

2978. benbradleystl@gmail.com, Brentwood, MO, 63144
I support strong neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP'S.

2979. Stephen Juza, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I fully support net neutrality and I am concerned by the recent discussions on reducing those consumer protections on the internet.

2980. Maurice R Jones, Saint Louis, MO, 63137
I am against this Bill, no should decide what I can see or view with a service I pay for.

2981. Martinez, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I am writing in SUPPORT OF NET NEUTRALITY. Keep the internet open and welcoming to new voices which consumers can then choose to learn about, or not, on their own terms.

2982. tony, Fenton, MO, 63026
I don't think the current ruling should change. I like to able to choose which content I wish to watch and it not be governed by the ISP in any way.

2983. Theo Blumenschein, Columbia, MO, 65203
I very much support Net Neutrality and would be greatly disappointed current if regulation was loosened. Thank you!Theo B.

2984. MARLYSS SIMMONS, Aurora, MO, 65605
I'm writing to support stronger oversight and to preserve net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I would also like to weigh in, in support and to encourage the FCC to do all it can to see that full access to high quality broadband internet be made available to ALL regions of our nation. People in rural regions are at a definite disadvantage in this area. It's the equivalent of having an old crank telephone on a multi-party line with your neighbors, when folks in the city already had dial phones and private lines! The difference being that young people who need this service for school are greatly handicapped. Big corporations are targeting and serving customers, clients, students in big cities often unaware of the challenges that remain for rural patrons. The government could provide a real service in leveling the field.

2985. Steven Edward Kluth, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
This is a bad idea. It destroys innovation and will hurt the economy. It's anti-small business. The only reason to vote for this bill is if you want another country to take over from the USA as the leader in the technology business.

2986. Tom Tattershall, Raytown, MO, 64133
I support Net Neutrality and strongly oppose efforts to dismantle it. Net Neutrality is good for all who use the internet while the lack of Net Neutrality is the enemy of consumers and of small and new businesses trying to compete on the internet.

2987. Matthew Mckee, Nixa, MO, 65714

To those who are wanting to eliminate the current net neutrality regulations, I strongly urge for you to leave the current regulations based in part on the 1934 Commincations Act, specifically those backed by title II for ISP's. The internet has developed into a global tool for commerce, personal communication as well as entertainment. To allow theses rules to change in order to benefit " Big Business " and limit what can an cannot be seen will cause a cyber revolution in which the FCC is completely unprepared to deal with.

2988. Fernando Torre, Columbia, MO, 65201
I want to remind the FCC that Net Neutrality in America not only preserves Americans' access to information, but also the right to information for any country that routes it's internet traffic through America. Many international ISPs have deals with American ISPs to use their infrastructure, and are not required to make these public.Allowing American ISPs to violate Net Neutrality could not only affect millions of Americans negatively, it could cause an International Incident. Do we really want this to be something The President has to deal with? Do we want more foreign companies suing American companies in American courts?

2989. Andrew Lewis, marshfield, MO, 65706
I specifically support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Put that in your stupid mug and drink it reese's is a FOOD not a drink.

2990. Denise Krekeler, St Louis, MO, 63143
I am writing to ask the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

2991. Andrew Bietsch, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
Maintaining the current Title II classification of Internet service providers is critical in maintaining and open Internet. The Title II classification encourages innovation and allows the free market to decide the winners and losers in emerging services and technology. By repealing Title II, Internet service providers will be able to prioritize traffic for corporations who can afford to pay for it thereby forcing a suboptimal quality of service on users who utilize services and websites that cannot afford to pay.

2992. Linda Baker, Lonedell, MO, 63060
Please preserve net neutrality. As many daily access and interactions are required for daily life in the USA on the internet, please keep open and easy access for everyone in the country. Thank you,Linda Baker

2993. Paul T Weber, Kansas City, MO, 64154
It is important that ISP's NOT be allowed to make decisions where my internet access is limited based on their PROFITS/POLITICS!!

2994. Alex Cawood, Joplin, MO, 64801
I support strong net neutrality. If ISPs do not intend to take malevolent action, then they should have no reason to fight against classifying under Article II. I use the internet for course work, actual work, and playing video games after I've finished the former two. Please do not let ISPs like Verizon and Comcast or Spectre or whatever take advantage of the American people.

2995. Robert R. Norton, Saint Joseph, MO, 64504
Keep Net Neutrality. I do not wish for the speed of my internet services to be determined by providers, good, bad or indifferent. The companies flush with cash should not have an advantage over the smaller companies that provide valuable information or services but are "small businesses" and cannot compete with the lobbyist pushing for this.

2996. Ronald Defenbaugh, Stanberry, MO, 64489
We live in a rural area with few internet options and need ISPs regulated to equal access to all.

2997. Kevin V, St. Charles, MO, 63301
Keeping internet service providers classified a Title II service is essential for a free and open internet!

2998. Bidyut Mukherjee, Columbia, MO, 65201
Ajit Pai, I support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY REGULATIONS, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.Hiding behind "It's all hypothetical, ISPs won't start blocking you" is preposterous, and has already been happening for years! Title 2 should STAY.

2999. Jessica Brown, Sunset hills, MO, 63127
Preserve neutrality. RESERVE TITLE 2!!!

3000. Wil Vereecke, Independence, MO, 64055
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2! To not support this is greedy and foolish.

3001. Andrew Wallace, Columbia, MO, 65201
The history of the telecom and ISP industry has shown that the lack of regulation has done NOTHING to improve service or make the cost of having internet access more affordable. These companies already have a stranglehold on the market and it is causing the USA to become a second rate country when it comes to the availability and speed of our internet access. It would be a horse of a different color if the ISPs were using the increased profits to improve their networks, but that simply is not the case.

3002. John Berryhill, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64118
A protected, neutral Internet is vital for the development of new businesses. It is critical that ISPs remain subject to title II oversight for the good of the nation, and larger world as a whole. As such, I am strongly opposed to docket no 17-108.

3003. Rebecca Calvin, Columbia, MO, 65203
I am in favor of net neutrality and title 2. I encourage FCC commissioner Pai to avoid deregulation.

3004. Jozef Hanratty, Raytown, MO, 64133
Please maintain and open internet and keep it under title II. Also, please tell Ajat Pai he has a stupid mug.

3005. Michael Gordon, Wildwood, MO, 63040
We need net neutrality. Allowing companies to decide upon good faith what I am willing to tolerate for quality of service for any product that should be MY choice is an intolerable affront to my freedom of choice. So many ISPs have conflicts of interest and may decide for me what I should use, and that is not fair use. Finally, I do not have options of ISP, so since they have monopolies upon my ability to use the internet.

3006. James Collins, Oakville, MO, 63129
I am in support of Title 2 oversight of ISP's. This regulation makes the Internet freer, faster, and better for everyone by preventing ISP's from throttling/choking/slowing down portions of the internet.

3007. Carrie Duncan, Columbia, MO, 65202
I strongly support net neutrality and title II. If you are honest with yourselves, you know that a decision against net neutrality is a decision for multi-billion dollar industries and a decision against ordinary people.

3008. Monique Sifers, Kansas City, MO, 64116
I know you're a GOP lackey so you should know about capitalism. It starts with the premise that everyone starts on a level playing field. If you roll back net neutrality, then it's not a level playing field. Try to pull your head out of your ass and actually give a damn about the American people you are supposed to serve and not the companies you sold your soul too. Protect net neutrality.

3009. James E Fischer, Eureka, MO, 63025
SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY and TITLE II.Stop selling out the American public! Do your job!

3010. Nick Larson, Columbia, MO, 65203
I feel very strongly that the FCC has the best legal footing to ensure that ISP as internet provide a fair, equal and open internet under Title II. This regulation does not place an unfair burden on companies and guarantees that internet speeds stay equal. Please do not remove these necessary regulations.

3011. Dakota McCleary, Grandview, MO, 64030
I support strong net neutrality. isp's in the midwest basically have monopolies right now anyways. I dont want to be throttled by greedy cable companies!

3012. Shane Chinni, St Louis, MO, 63109
As an educator we must not allow for sites to have differing speeds. All things on the internet should come in at the same speed across carriers and platforms and site. Things have gotten better over the last few years and we should be able to increase speed over the internet not slowing it down.

3013. Leah Hill, St. Louis, MO, 63126
We should preserve net neutrality. ISPs shouldn't get to influence how the public receives information on the internet. This is a huge threat to the freedom of all Americans.Net Neutrality is crucial for small business owners, startups and entrepreneurs, who rely on the open internet to launch their businesses, create markets, advertise their products and services, and reach customers. We need the open internet to foster job growth, competition and innovation.Net Neutrality lowers the barriers of entry by preserving the internetâ€™s fair and level playing field. Itâ€™s because of Net Neutrality that small businesses and entrepreneurs have been able to thrive online,.

3014. Zack Allen, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
Please consider if companies are left to regulate themselves they will not do so. Title 2 is already complete and for now does an adequate job. Please leave this alone. Pease consider the opinions of American citizens over the opinion of international companies.

3015. David A. Nolin, Columbia, MO, 65203
I strongly support the current classification of Internet Service Providers under Title II. There is no good evidence that reclassification is necessary to encourage investment and innovation in the industry; competition in the marketplace alone is sufficient to do that. There is however plenty of reason to suspect that ISPs are primarily interested in the additional revenue streams that a reclassification and relaxation of net neutrality regulations. The resulting changes allowing businesses to prioritize some content over others, and the conflicts of interests this would pose, would serve businesses', not consumers', interest.The FCC should protect consumers by maintaining the current Title II ISP classification.

3016. Mark Putman, Springfield, MO, 65804
I believe it is imperative to continue to regulate/support internet traffic/access via Title II, to insure that content is not restricted by either content creator, provider or political flavor.

3017. Elizabeth Belden, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Please continue to preserve strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II!

3018. Christian Bylander, Springfield, MO, 65807
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by title-II over site of ISP's.

3019. Rich Hlina, arnold, MO, 63010
keep enforcing article III if you can't do this fire your head of the fcc

3020. C Michael Greenlief, Columbia, MO, 65203
Net neutrality should be regulated under Title 2 so that access for all can be maintained. It important for ISPs to provide service neutral service, especially for small businesses.

3021. Robert meadows, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. This hampers the free market by making it a pay to win system.

3022. Stephen Farlow, Brentwood, MO, 63144
Please DO NOT reverse the existing Net Neutrality regulations. These regulations are crucial to ensure equal access to the internet. Wealthy individuals and companies should not be able to pay more and receive superior speed and service. Please listen to the majority of commenters who feel the same way.

3023. Andrew Wieck, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
I am unequivocally opposed to the proposed reclassification of ISPs to Title I. A truly free and open internet can only exists with ISPs regulated as utilities under the Title II classification. The internet is a basic human right and any degradation of those rights for the promotion of business development should be rejected by society.

3024. jane kerle, raytown, MO, 64133
I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality -- Title II. I DO NOT WANT Less regulations. Thank you.

3025. Tyler Huffman, Rolla, MO, 65401
I support net neutrality and the use of a free and open internet.

3026. Tom Price, St Liuisy, MO, 63132
I strongly support ISPs remaining classified under Title 2.

3027. Jon Voigtmann, Columbia, MO, 65203
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's. I am for minimal government interference over our lives in general, however this is an example of a case where a regulatory oversight is in place to protect against overly-greedy and untrustworthy corporations, and to prevent a negative outcome for all internet users.

3028. Brandon Reinert, Kansas City, MO, 64118
I believe that we should keep the current protocols and procedures in place.

3029. Alexander Grousis-Henderson, Kansas City, MO, 64127
I support Net Neutrality as defined by ISP inclusion under Title 2. Ya jabroni

3030. Adam, Rolla, MO, 65401
I support net neutrality protections backed by title II oversight due to the essential nature of internet access in the modern world to access information, services, employment and other vital needs.

3031. Brian Standish, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I support Net Neutrality. Removing it is an obviously terrible idea. Anyone supporting it should be investigated for colluding with industry against what is best for 99.9% of all Americans.

3032. conor loftus, Kansas City, MO, 64111
ISPs need to remain under title 2.

3033. Joseph Iliff, Springfield, MO, 65806
I support net neutrality and keeping internet service providers regulated under Title 2.

3034. Net Neutrality, St. Louis, MO, 63125
Please keep net neutrality within Title II to protect consumers and their choices.

3035. Lindsay Monser, St Louis, MO, 63108
Please support Net neutrality, I shudder to think what will happen if the American people have their content controlled by their internet providers. I think of China, and I think of Russia. And of North Korea. And all the past regimes that controlled the populations by controlling the information and the access to information and knowledge. Please do the right thing.

3036. Robert Ridge, St. Louis, MO, 63119
I am an avid supporter of strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 (II) oversight of ISPs. Any ISP can claim that they will not slow down or otherwise inhibit access to a competitors product, but Title 2 assures the public of their compliance. Please do not weaken this oversight.

3037. Dana Manda, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
I think net neutrality is essential to American citizens in the 21st century, and it should be covered via Title 2 rules.

3038. sanjee rao, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Safeguard title II - Internet Neutrality !!!!!!

3039. Josh Matejka, Columbia, MO, 65201
I completely and utterly support net neutrality and Title II protections on the Internet. Selling Internet freedoms to big corporations takes away consumer choices in the name of the so-called, "free market." Don't sell our freedom of choice to big Internet providers.

3040. Charles Edward Peery, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
I support insuring net neutrality by keeping it strictly under the requirements of Title II. I do not believe that this will reduce investment in the industry and I believe it is the best means of preventing manipulations by the various ISP's. Thank you.

3041. Lance Finney, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
Net neutrality is key for the continuing growth of the economy and the internet. It makes sure that all corporations, companies, and individuals work on an even playing field, which promotes innovation and entrepreneurship.Removing net neutrality would increase profit possibilities for a small number of entrenched players, but it would come at the cost of future growth for the rest of our society.Please maintain net neutrality.

3042. Cynthia McEvoy, Appleton City, MO, 64724
PLEASE stand firm on net neutrality as backed by Title 2. It's the only way to go...ensuring a fair marketplace!

3043. Catherine S. Salmon, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
Chairman Pai's statements against net neutrality have been, at best, disingenuous; he should be ashamed of himself. He is utterly compromised on this issue by his history. Net neutrality rules should not be changed, and ISP's should continue to be classified under Title II.

3044. Andrew D. Smith, Chesterfield, MO, 63141
please protect net neutrality! We should protect start ups and consumers, not big businesses

3045. Zach Giljum, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
Net Neutrality should be maintained at nearly all cost. It promotes the free and unimpeded discourse of ideas that the internet was borne to facilitate.

3046. Ryan Boston, Lawson, MO, 64062
please save Net Neutrality and Title 2!!

3047. Chad Donahue, St. Louis, MO, 63112
I support net neutrality, and thus oppose any legislation or loosening of regulation that could affect it. I oppose this measure.

3048. Perry Petrillo, St. Louis, MO, 63026
Please keep the internet free access with title II platform.Perry Petrillo

3049. Aaron Bossert, lees summit, MO, 64063
I support title II regulation in support of net neutrality. I do not support the attempt by FCC chairman, a previous Verizon associate, to reduce regulations on companies like Verizon, which had distinctly non-neutral policies in place under title I regulation.

3050. Meghan Frederick, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please preserve my right to communicate freely online. Freedom of speech is one of the greatest rights American citizens have and I don't want corporate greed deciding who is heard and who is not. Chairman Pai's plan to replace strong rules with â€œvoluntaryâ€ conditions is simply unacceptable and irresponsible. Net neutrality must be protected.

3051. Cheryl Wiegmann, Kansas City, MO, 64105
It's a no brainer! Please preserve net neutrality and title 2.

3052. Jacob Griesenauer, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
I support strong net neutrality rules as supported by title 2 oversight of ISPs.

3053. Christine Bennett, Wildwood, MO, 63040
I strongly support net neutrality which is backed by Title ll oversight of ISP's.

3054. Izabel Hanson, Columbia, MO, 65202
Protect our internet safety!

3055. Derek Zimmer, Union, MO, 63084
I support Title II and strong ISPs. We the people demand that you uphold our wishes and stand up to big business. In this age of instant news, you have no where to hide, and light will be shown upon you and your practices. Do the right thing.

3056. Aaron, Kansas City, MO, 64106
Net Neutrality is an absolute must. Do not remove title 2 protections.

3057. Felix, Kansas City, MO, 64110
the internet access is fine in the U.S. and it doesn't need to be under the control of big companies that can fuck it up.

3058. Jordan Kruis, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Net neutrality is incredibly important to me as a consumer. It would be a travesty if ISPs were not required to hold to net neutrality.

3059. Corey Light, Kansas City, MO, 64109
I would like to state that it is my belief that we as Americans are best served with strong Net Neutrality rules imposed under Title II of FCC jurisdiction for internet service providers. The consumer protections put in place under the Obama administration are working well and providing the security that we need to avoid catastrophic effects such as ISP preferential treatment to web services. As a web developer, I cannot stress how important it is that each web property receives a fair and equal chance to reach their intended audience. Without Net Neutrality under title II, services like Netflix, Google, and Facebook would simply not exist. Please consider keeping the current laws and even strengthening them to ensure ISPs do not control what the American public has access to.

3060. Mark Matson, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I support net neutrality. Please keep ISP's as Title II.

3061. Brianna Goldenstein, Kansas City, MO, 64106
Please protect net neutrality and Title II; we want our internet freedom to remain intact. The internet has become an essential part of life, as essential as commodities like electricity and water. It should be considered as a utility and regulated as such under Title II. We do not want internet service providers to be able to profit further by receiving extra money from wealthy people or corporations to influence the speed and quality of certain websites. The internet should remain free of bias as it is essential to how we communicate and a core component our democracy. Thank you.

3062. Kristin Evans, Clinton, MO, 64735
Dear Ajit Pai,Firstly, I like your novelty Reese's coffee mug. Secondly, please uphold the tenants of Net Neutrality under Title II. It is important that internet users have equal access to all services. I do not trust internet providers to voluntarily provide equal access. I would just ask that you work for what is in the best interest of the American people, not what is in the best interest of big internet companies. Thank you for your time.Sincerely, Kristin

3063. Samantha Melton, Springfield, MO, 65802
I entirely support strong net neutrality, and in turn I support Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

3064. Jonathan Melton, Springfield, MO, 65802
I strongly support Net Neutrality and the enactment of title II over ISPs.

3065. Beth Engeszer, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
I believe that the internet is a public utility and that therefore net neutrality should stand.

3066. Ashley Baker, St. Louis, MO, 63129
I support Net neutrality back by title II oversight of ISPs. By overturning this statue or changing the legal language we would be oppressing creativity, freedom, innovation, and commerce.

3067. Nathaniel Ward, Joplin, MO, 64804
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. The future of our free internet is dependent on government regulation. Without these regulations, we become vulnerable to corporate tampering of internet access for everyone. This is unacceptable. Preserve a free internet by keeping net neutrality and title 2.

3068. Internet Freedom, Kansas City, MO, 64112
I support net neutrality you're a cuckold if you think you're doing good by us.

3069. Tom Richlak, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
I believe our current laws regulating the internet and net neutrality are sufficient and allowing for a free market, and investment. Please do not change anything!

3070. Alexandra Weingartner, St. Charles, MO, 63303
,Net neutrality is vital to the preservation of a free and open internet. No one company or group of companies should dominate the internet based solely on the exchange of money, as this would jeopardize the availability of information that should be for all, on an equal footing.

3071. Preston Choate, Carterville, MO, 64835
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Not only has it been proven that ISPs cannot be trusted to govern themselves in matters pertaining to Net Neutrality, but there is evidence that proves that the Title 2 regulations do not discourage ISPs from investing in their infrastructure nor the continued improvement of the internet as a whole. The internet is a great thing, but left unregulated it will be bought and sold by whichever corporations or entities have the financial means to do so. Please let the internet remain open and free and not ruled by those with the money to control it.

3072. David Cochran, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I am 100% in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and 100% *OPPOSED* to docket #17-108

3073. Net Neutrality, University City, MO, 63130
I strongly support keeping Net Neutrality under Title II status and regulations. I believe that the internet is best when it is a platform that supports all individuals equally, and these basic, common sense regulations ensure the internet will remain an open, equal access platform for Americans.

3074. Jade Koller, Saint Louis, MO, 63144
I strongly support regulation under Title II and net neutrality.

3075. Andrew Woodhouse, Saint Louis, MO, 63122
I strongly urge the FCC to continue to support Net Neutrality under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

3076. Mark Sherrill, Jackson, MO, 63755
Please save net neutrality!!!!!

3077. Goldie Boling, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
We must protect net neutrality. We cannot trust ISP's to do the right thing and police themselves, not when there are potentially billions of dollars to be made. Taking away net neutrality serves absolutely NO PURPOSE whatsoever for Americans citizens, and would

actually potentially cause much harm. The only thing changes would do is would make it easy for the rich to get richer and squeeze more money out of the rest of us. It is time this country starts putting PEOPLE first instead of rich companies and profits.

3078. Joe V. Baldwin, MARYLAND HEIGHTS, MO, 63043
I fully support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of all Internet Service Providers (ISPs)

3079. Barry Barnes, Bates City, MO, 64011
I strongly opposed the latest efforts to reclassify ISPs under Title 1. Do NOT allow net neutrality to be eliminated.

3080. Cassie levett, Columbia, MO, 65203
Leave Net Neutrality where it is.

3081. Mark Wolf, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Please make no changes to the net neutrality laws at this time. I ask that you keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.Thank you.

3082. Robin J Baldwin, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
I very, very, strongly support Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight, of all Internet Service Providers (ISPs)

3083. Caymen Menard, Columbia, MO, 65201
Preserve Net neutrally and oversight by title II of ISPs

3084. Brian Menier, Foristell, MO, 63348
We need to preserve strong net neutrality and title 2

3085. Josh Bortnick, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Net neutrality must be protected by retaining the coverage of ISPs under Title 2.

3086. Preston Page, Ballwin, MO, 63021
If I pay my ISP for a given bandwidth and a service like Hulu or Vonage likewise pays its ISP for an agreed bandwidth, we should be insured by regulation that we get the full speed we pay and not allow an ISP to make services with which it competes slower or unavailable.

3087. Brenda Wolf, OFallon, MO, 63366
Mr. Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Thank you for your time.Brenda Wolf

3088. Steven Landow, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
Please keep the internet fair and fast for all people and businesses. Eliminating net neutrality hurts small businesses as well as large competitors of throttling telcoms

3089. Melissa, St. Charles, MO, 63303
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2, please.Don't be silly. Just preserve it.

3090. Hugo H Ernst, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Keep Net Neutrality as Title 2

3091. Josh aholt, saint peters, MO, 63376
FCC you must preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.

3092. Amy Debrecht, St. Louis, MO, 63139
I'm writing to urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality and Title II. Thank you.

3093. Ed Mass, St. Louis, MO, 63132
Net neutrality is one of the most important freedoms we have. It must be maintained above all else. It must be maintained as absolute neutrality, with absolutely no favoritism by ISPs or providers of any sort.

3094. James Kramper, Saint Charles, MO, 63304
Large corporations have proven time and time again that they will not always do what is "right". They will do what gives them maximum profit. The bottom line is the stock price. The current Title II classification should remain in place. Net Neutrality is for everyone, not a select few.

3095. Denny Chandler, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
KEEP THE INTERNET OPEN! DEFEND NET NEUTRALITY.

3096. Janice Tatkow, University City, MO, 63130
Do not destroy net neutrality for the benefit of large corporation profits. It is not in the public's best interest.

3097. Deborah Ludden, St. Charles, MO, 63304
Capitalism demands a free marketplace.

3098. Thomas Ruzicka, Fenton, MO, 63026
Rescinding net neutrality regulations is horrible for consumers in the U.S. Do not give more power to the ISPs to throttle sites and pick winners/losers based on money! Do not do this!

3099. michael mchugh, st. charles, MO, 63301
I support strong oversight of ISPs under title 2. ISPs do not have a right to throttle or otherwise manipulate content on the internet. ISPs also have no right to sell,share, or transfer my internet history to ANYONE. Both of these initiatives are a clear violation of my right to free speech and protection from unwarranted searches and seizure. I can't believe we have to continually defend ourselves from this fucking bullshit.

3100. 1, Saint Louis, MO, 63101
In Saint Louis we are blessed to have ISP competition, which means are prices are low and our speeds are high. Net neutrality can not be left to the discretion of ISP's where competition does not exist. In mobile data, the only reason Verizon and AT&T are now offering unlimited data plans is because of competition. Title II is VITAL for the consumers best interest, and is sacred to net neutrality. Without the provisions of Title II, there is no protection for the consumer. It is a non-starter.

3101. Title 2, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
Title 2 is crucial for the free and open internet. The regulations put in place by the Obama administration have the right of it, there is no need to make any changes and certainly no need to roll back these protections. An "honor system" is bogus, and the idea that ISPs can put these things in their service agreements is preposterous and the Chairman knows it as he was a lawyer for one of these providers. Do not roll back these protections, it will open the way for more corporate greed and the stifling of information and the consumers will suffer.

3102. Ronnie Neeley, Kirksville, MO, 63501
I strongly support net neutrality. A fair and open internet is the pinnacle of our society. Removing regulation on an open internet is a blatant cash-grab and will directly harm those not invested in ISP companies, as well as directly harm our country in terms of the information we are able to obtain.

3103. William Parker, St. Louis, MO, 63119
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.Also, your mug is stupid. Nobody is impressed by it.

3104. Joshua Broeker, Warrenton, MO, 63383
I am filing in support of continued net neutrality laws. I believe them to be a necessity so that we can continue to have a free and equal internet.

3105. Adolf bin Stalin, Ferguson, MO, 63135
Suckin' on my titties like you wanted me, Callin me, all the time like blondie Check out my chrissy behindIt's fine all of the time Like sex on the beaches,What else is in the teaches of peaches? huh? what?

3106. jason stevens, kansas city, MO, 64134
We believe the internet should be treated as a utility. We do not believe that it is in consumers best interests to do away with aet neutrality. Keeping the internet unregulated as a basic utility should be a basic human right. Major corporations do not and will never have the consumer's best interests at hand. Please keep Net Neutrality.

3107. Robert Walker, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
I specifically support strong net neutrality, title II oversight of isp's. Every internet group needs to come together to make this work.

3108. Kelley Martin, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I support Net Neutrality and Title 2. Keep the Internet a level playing field, which will promote innovation.

3109. Chris Mitchell, Kansas City, MO, 64105
Preserve Title II and Net Neutrality!!! Seriously, it's easier than making a change.

3110. Angie Cox, Springfield, MO, 65803
I believe it is vital to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

3111. Alex Murphy, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I just wanted to express my FULL support for the preservation of net neutrality and Title II oversight. Thank you!!

3112. kelly waggoner, Peculiar, MO, 64078
I support net-neutrality and the Title II protections!

3113. Georgia Gerling, Kansas City, MO, 64133
Please keep Net Neutrality. Without it, I fear the liberal media network will restrict my access to free and fair news.

3114. Christopher Koty, St Louis, MO, 63104
The Internet is a utility and should be treated as such. Preserve Net Neutrality and retain Tom Wheeler and Obama-era rules for a tollgate-free Internet.

3115. comment, kansas city, MO, 64113
Preserve net neutrality - preserve title II.

3116. Ben Power, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I would like to see the internet remain open, fair and equal; and support Strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

3117. David Eberbach, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
Please keep Net Neutrality as it is currently in Title 2. Once the protection of an equal Internet packet or reliability is changed more

changes will come. It's one of the main ways for the US and many other countries to have a level playing field, and telecom companies like Comcast/Verizon making Netflix (which does use a large amount of bandwidth, I fully understand that) pay more is understandable. However, if the tiered system is implemented, and would be expanded upon. New start-ups/companies without the capital of like a Netflix would not be able to compete with the speed or ease that other content would be available. The change from Title 2 to Title 1 or it's entire removal will not just be used for services that benefit a particular company, this would allow for playing favorites with apps/traffic/throttling, among other possible changes.This is semi cliche but the thought came to me the last time I emailed the FCC in 2014, but if you change the wording of "All men are created equal" to "All content is created equal" I would implore you to keep it as it currently is. The Internet is closer to a Utility than an optional service these days, with Cable/Satellite TV declining in usage, there is a monopoly of just a few options in most markets/regions. That is why we need strong FCC regulations along with our government that will protect the citizens of this nation from purely business interests.Without a free Internet Google wouldn't be the company it is today, when it was originally contending with AOL/Yahoo, when Facebook was trying to compete with MySpace, Netflix over Cable/TV and the list goes on. Quality services won out and if they'd have been inhibited we'd be restricted to only what we are allowed to access at a decent speed instead of restricted access.Thank you.

3118. Chris Fischer, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
Net neutrality is a bedrock principle of internet freedom. The Telco's have made their desire to turn the internet into a tiered, pay-to-play system (a la the dying cable television model) abundantly and publicly clear on numerous occasions. This is NOT, as often stated, a "problem in search of a solution." It is very real. I support net neutrality to the hilt and urge the FCC to do the same.

3119. Anthony Born, St. Louis, MO, 63119
I strongly support strong regulation for Internet Service Providers. Do not remove Title II telecom regulations.

3120. Courtney Waters, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
I support an open internet and urge the FCC to maintain strong net neutrality rules as outlined in Title II.

3121. Brian McNulty, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
To whom it may concern,Unfettered access to all internet content that is currently supported by net neutrality via title 2 is essential for a free and open republic. There have already been numerous examples of abuse by ISP's in the past and title 2 is the only defense against it. Sincerely, Brian McNulty

3122. Jonathan, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I support Net Neutrality and the classification of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) within Title II to allow FCC oversight and enforcement.

3123. Joseph Jackson, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I work for a Telecommunications Company pay taxes, and support strong Net Neutrality rules.

3124. christopher nemeth,, kansas city, MO, 64111
Please retain Net Neutrality for the citizens of our Nation!

3125. Andrew Nix, St. Louis, MO, 63143
I implore you to keep net neutrality. In accordance with UN decrees, the internet is a human right and thus should be protected. Allowing corporations to decide what will receive priority, or have access to at all, creates a dangerous situation that threatens the freedoms this country was founded on. Potentially this allows a group of board members to control online business, political discourse, and promotes a pay to play culture. Guaranteeing the free exchange of ideas and information helps to promote a better future for everyone.

3126. Kameron S, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Information should not be gated or throttled in any way, shape, or form.

3127. William Coomer, St. Louis, MO, 63139
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight. Please make it clear you are opposed to Docket No. 17-108.

3128. Ed Ray, St. Joseph, MO, 64505
Please retain Internet Neutrality and title II

3129. Brendan Bax, Saint Paul, MO, 63366
I support net neutrality under Title II. It is the backbone of my career. Don't destroy it.

3130. Paul and Natalia Schaerer, St. Louis, MO, 63146
Keep your politics out of my internet !Protect net neutrality with title 2 oversight.

3131. Chelsea Branson, St. Louis, MO, 63112
I specifically reject reclassifying ISPs under Title I of the Telecommunications Act.

3132. John Ellison, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Please please keep the existing Title II decision to classify ISP's offerings as telecommunication services. It is imperative to our nation's advancement that the Internet remain open, neutral, and equally accessible to everyone.

3133. Michael Stigman, Weston, MO, 64098
Please preserve net neutrality and Title Two. Regulate companies for conflicts of interest and promote small businesses.

3134. Harold Webb, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Net Neutrality is essential for our fair and just democracy

3135. Nathan Kwarta, University City, MO, 63130
I strongly support stringent Net Neutrality regulations and Title 2 classification for internet service providers. My job and work field is immediately threatened if regulation is weakened. All bits are created equal.

3136. Jeff Holzem, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
The internet has revolutionized commerce allowing new businesses to start up and thrive. This could not happen without net neutrality. There is less and less competition every day with all the mergers. The best way to continue growing the economy and adding jobs is to allow new businesses to start and compete. Net neutrality also gives consumers more choices, which is what the free market is all about. Please preserve our freedom to choose, by allowing new business ventures to thrive.

3137. John McCrillis, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
Please continue the government enforcement of net neutrality under title 2. Mobile phone carriers are already giving preferential treatment to certain apps that don't count against your data cap. We cannot allow this to continue or America will no longer be an example of freedom to the rest of the world.

3138. Greg Baber, Kansas City, MO, 64145
Please do not eliminate Title II requirements for ISPs.

3139. JOSHUA P VARGO, saint louis, MO, 63114
Please don't allow this to happen. You all know it's not right thing to do.

3140. Joe Radwanski, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
I support Net Neutrality laws and do not change them.

3141. Jeremy Prine, Springfield, MO, 65804
I strongly support Net Neutrality. I do not think that it is the business (literally) of Internet Service Providers to decide which content providers can provide content to me faster than others.

3142. DeAnna M. Kaber, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I would like to request that you keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules in place. Do not let this administration make these changes that only benefit the large companies like Verizon and such. Do not allow the logic that states they aren't doing anything wrong yet, so no need to get involved. Please do what's right in this situation and keep our internets free and open.

3143. Nabil Pettit, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Preserve net neutrality under Title 2. There is no reason to trust ISP's to regulate themselves from participating in underhanded behavior.

3144. James Rice, St. Louis, MO, 63118
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. Telecom companies have stated in their earnings reports to investors that Title II doesn't affect their plans moving forward with infrastructure. More business will flourish when a provider can't potentially inhibit or bottleneck their services. Net neutrality and the preservation of Title II as it stands now will maintain a free market where anyone can succeed if they have a good product and the will to innovate.

3145. Matthew Hull, Creve Coeur, MO, 63141
The FCC MUST preserve Net Neutrality that by maintaining the Title II designation for ISPs. It is paramount that the FCC continue to preserve a free and open Internet where ISPs are prevented from unfairly providing network-level advantage for content for which they are paid bribes or incentives to promote, at the expense of new, less funded or obscure content. ISPs must not be allowed to rate limite or otherwise negatively affecting the speed and accessibility of web content they deem to be competitive or for which they are specially compensated. This will squash competition and promote monopoly, which is and should be anathema to any Republic. I would like to express my displeasure and say "Shame!" to Commissioner Pai for being a shill of corporate interests at the expense of the consumer. Such a man should not be allowed to lead a federal agency designed to protect the public's interest.

3146. Dave Schuddabehn, KC, MO, 64111
Leave net neutrality being regulated by Title II.

3147. Ryan, Springfield, MO, 65804
This bill in no way restores internet freedom to the average American. It only restores freedom to corporations to screw the average American. You will never make America great by eroding the lives of the people who keep this country running.

3148. alan baumann, st charles, MO, 63301
I support Title II Net Neutrality. I wish more than anything that my representative government were representative of flesh and blood persons, not the corporate kind.

3149. Wade Alberty, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
We need STRONG net neutrality. The internet needs to remain classified as title II. The internet is for the people, and should be treated as such.Free flowing, unrestricted information should be a right to every citizen of the USA, and should not be limited, slowed, blocked, or otherwise manipulated by companies and corporations. Please keep net neutrality in place!

3150. Jennifer Stauber, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
please preserve internet neutrality under title 2

3151. Justin Kosar, Springfield, MO, 65810
Net neutrality is critical to the future of the internet. Do your job and protect American people from companies that only answer to profit motives.

3152. Brian Clark, Kansas City, MO, 64117
"Restoring Internet Freedom" is a bogus term and you know it. The only "freedom" being restored here is for ISPs to throttle data from certain web sites and services to extort them for extra money. It only benefits a handful of companies (the ISPs) while screwing over everyone else. Net Neutrality isn't some choking regulation that stifles innovation, it's simply the requirement that all data be treated equally. It literally takes no extra effort on the part of the ISPs to comply with.

3153. Sydney Timmerman, Columbia, MO, 65202
I am opposed to these moves to undermine net neutrality. I support robust net neutrality protections through the classification of internet service providers under Title II. That cannot be accomplished by rolling back regulation. America deserves full protection of the open internet.

3154. Charles Joseph Vollmer III, Ferguson, MO, 63135
I support strong net neutrality under Title II. I also support municipal fiber networks. Lastly, let the record show that Ajit Pai is an industry tool that will put Verizon's best interest's ahead of the American people's.

3155. Daniel Roberson, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Do NOT roll back protections of Net Neutrality. Regulations are the protection for citizens meant to safeguard against being fucked with. A corporation like Verizon's first priority is and always will be to their shareholders and their bottom line, not the average American and their interests.

3156. Cara Herd, St Louis, MO, 63111
Strong net neutrality protections backed by title 2 are essential to this nation. It is a matter of free speech and press. It prevents any company or government organization from taking control over our access to information for profit or any other, more insidious motivation. Please ensure title 2 protections remain in place.

3157. Luke Pearre, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
To change this ruling would be horrible. Please listen to the public and do not do us an injustice. Allowing big buisness to control the freedom of information is horrible. This will stifle creativity in many ways. Over all this is a horrible idea

3158. Pamela Perrella, Gallatin, MO, 64640
Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's (internet service providers).You should be enacting policy that strengthens Net Neutrality, not systematically destroying it. #DoYourJobPai

3159. Greg Stark, St. Louis, MO, 63114
I support net neutrality and want a FREE AND OPEN INTERNET. Please keep the internet open and prevent companies from discriminating against websites and online services. Thank you.

3160. Jefferson Hunt, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
Please leave ISPs as Tier II entities.

3161. Brian Folkers, Kansas City, MO, 64117
I Urge the FCC to keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules. Letting people pay for a fast lane or a leg up is not the essence of a free and open internet.

3162. Daniel Scribner, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
I support and defend net neutrality and believe in the Title II system

3163. Tanner Boone, Joplin, MO, 64801
I believe in Net Neutrality. I believe 17-108 "Restoring Internet Freedom" will do nothing of the sort. I am opposed to 17-108.

3164. Dennis Mahoney, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am Opposed to Docket No. 17-108! I will vote against any candidate supporting it.

3165. zuzana kocsis, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63108
Please protect net neutrality by regulating Internet Service providers under title II.

3166. Travis Nicholson, St. Charles, MO, 63304
Please uphold Title II and net neutrality. Without net neutrality there arise significant conflict of interest issues between the carriers with streaming media like Netflix, HULU, and all the other streaming services. If you allow a "paid fast lane" you are essentially legalizing blackmail.

3167. Ellen MacPherson-Salyers, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
The FCC needs to have the ability to enforce net neutrality. Therefore there must be title II regulations in place to ensure that the internet is a level playing field for all. We cannot afford to let companies like Verizon et al dictate the rules of the game. Keep the net neutral! We need title II regulation!

3168. Jon Brock, St Louis, MO, 63116
Once again our current POTUS has declared, with his appointments and statements, that he supports big business at the expense of

consumers. We are supposed to believe that we can take the word of corporations that they will not conduct unfair business practices; that ISPs will always deal with their customers in a fair, forthright, honorable fashion; that they will not screw over small businesses by favoring ones with which they already have a relationship. These are absolutely ridiculous statements; they cannot even be called naive, because who could be so inexperienced, so stupid, to believe them. Our corporations are simply as disingenuous as our politicians. Preserve net neutrality, and keep ISPs under Title II regulation. In fact, improve and streamline regulations that hold companies in this country accountable to those who pay their bills!

3169. Zachary Watson, Parkville, MO, 64152
Net neutrality is an essential principle of our country's anti-monopoly background and free market economy. If net neutrality is not protected our ability to innovate is threatened, and America is built on innovation.

3170. Christina Rohr, Winfield, MO, 63389
Keep Title II for net neutrality. It is important to not let companies use money to influence consumer networks.

3171. Joshua D. Reynolds, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. ISPs should not be able to discriminate based on who pays them to provide service, and should be fair to all their users. Internet is becoming a necessity, not a luxury.

3172. joe schuster, Imperial, MO, 63052
Retain net neutrality and title 2. We need to keep the Internet free of Tom foolery by Verizon.

3173. Matthew Vines, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
This is the opposite of what builds a strong capitalist economy. Internet access is part of our infrastructure, and should be protected as such under the law. We wouldn't dream of letting corporations control on-ramp access, and speed of goods on our interstate system. Why is it even remotely acceptable to do so with our information super-highway.

3174. Tony Pitale, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
This is a terrible idea. Do not remove net neutrality protections. Do not remove title ii classification! This oligopoly will make money however it can and consumers are the only ones who suffer for it.

3175. Phillip Sansone, St. Louis, MO, 63118
Please, for the love of god, preserve Net Neutrality and Title II! I like watching Netflix and YouTube without buffering. Hopefully you do too. <3 ;)

3176. Shlok Natarajan, Grover, MO, 63040
We need net neutrality and title 2! Don't let ISPs destroy healthy competition and make the market place less free. We wouldn't let stores and construction workers re reroute roads and parking lots to exclude other businesses so don't let ISPs do the same with the internet

3177. K Goings, Festus, MO, 63028
uphold net neutrality and Title II

3178. Aaron Methvin, Maplewood, MO, 63143
Net neutrality - the principle that Internet service providers should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites. Its as American as apple pie.

3179. Robert Scott Garbacz, St. Charles, MO, 63301
I support regulations that enable net neutrality and open access. I believe the internet should be classified under Title 2, which prohibits providers to â€œmake any unjust or unreasonable discrimination in charges, practices, classifications, regulations, facilities, or services.â€

3180. Jeff howard, st.peters, MO, 63376
Please we need net neutrality and all isp companies to stay on title 2

3181. Brad Bell, St. Clair, MO, 63077
How does letting 4-5 companies run most the US Internet sound good? What part of that sounds not like to much power? Less regulations will lead to "fast lanes" and you don't get fast lanes without also having slow lanes. Without laws protected every packet on the internet is open to QOS. Instead of less regulation to give internet companies a reason to spread make them invest spreading internet to people that currently do not have it, they have the money and without regulation will be the next "OIL" tycoons. When was the last time a new oil company came along without just being bought so they don't have competition?

3182. Samuel Chaney, Kansas City, MO, 64108
Please preserve Net Neutrality! I STRONGLY support oversight of ISPs as outlined in Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934 that keeps the Internet open and accessible to everyone.

3183. Candi Lordo, Branson West, MO, 65737
Please retain 'Title II'!!!! We, the public, need 'net neutrality!!! It could possibly be the last thing equal for everyone in the USA.

3184. Agnieszka, saint louis, MO, 63110
i stand for net neutrality with title 2 oversight of isp's .

3185. Pete Kriegel, Kansas City, MO, 64151
KEEP THE NET NEUTRAL under Title 2!!!!!!!

3186. Brian Casalone, Columbia, MO, 65203
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. It should be open and free for all to use and not bought.

3187. Christian Talbott, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I want a Internet free of data caps. I am Opposed to Docket No. 17-108

3188. David Robinson, OFallon, MO, 63368
Net neutrality is a benefit to every person, and business in America. Any attack on it is an affront to every user to the internet. I hope this proposal gets thrown in with the garbage, and never sees the light of day again.

3189. James Lamontagne, Wildwood, MO, 63040
It is imperative that net neutrality be supported.

3190. Joe Strike, St Louis, MO, 63124
This has the potential to limit free markets, which makes it un-american in my opinion. I am not comfortable relying on (supposedly) good intentions of corporate executives.

3191. Robert Weil, Saint Louis, MO, 63114
For once stand up for the little guy, hey I thought you quite Verizon. Leave NET neutrality in place.

3192. Matthew Glascock, Rolla, MO, 65401
I support STRONG Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. It is vital that we maintain the integrity of unrestricted internet access here in the U.S. in order to distinguish us from countries oppressed by restriction and propaganda such as North Korea.

3193. Nic Weber, University City, MO, 63130
I back strong net neutrality laws which are supported by a Title II oversight of ISPs. I can't believe we have to do this again.

3194. Sabrina Leyrson, Kansas, MO, 64111
Keep net neutrality under Title II of 1934.

3195. Tom Scheifler, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
Please continue use of Title II for net neutrality! Without Title II there will be insufficient safeguards to ensure net neutrality.

3196. Robert Ladik, Diamond, MO, 64840
Please FCC to maintain the net neutrality rules

3197. patrick mcwhirter, Columbia, MO, 65202
I support net neutrality. Do not dismantle the current regulations that protect the fair and equal access to the internet.

3198. Michael Lesinski, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I support current net neutrality rules under Title II.

3199. Zachary Moore, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please end the extortion and corruption.

3200. Chris Higginbotham, Kansas City, MO, 64105
Support/preserve strong net neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISP's

3201. Ming Chi, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Please support Title II regulation of the ISP industry and do NOT undo net neutrality. The doublespeak that the internet is more "free" without net neutrality and that there will not be a slow down to lesser-favored content is so obvious that George Orwell himself is turning over in his grave right now.There is ample evidence that net neutrality rules has NOT caused any significant decrease in ISP infrastructure investment and net neutrality will preserve the equal and fair access of ALL content no matter who the ISP is or who the content provider is. Ajit Pai should be ashamed of himself for standing up with the corporations instead of the people.

3202. Timothy Michael Robbins, St. Louis, MO, 63122
Please preserve net neutrality by maintaining title II ISP's. The job of the government is to protect the people from tyranny and serve the interests of the public. This deregulation could open a door for corruption and mistreatment of consumers that should not be taken lightly or defended simply on a promise which a company could take back at any time.

3203. Dustin Adair, Kansas City, MO, 64108
I strongly support net neutrality with Title 2 protections

3204. Matt Van Slyke, Ballwin, MO, 63011
I can't believe we're back to arguing over this again. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. There should be no chance for these companies to give preferential treatment to any content over others, which is exactly what would be possible if Title II is rolled back. Again, I absolutely oppose the current effort to roll back Title II oversight of ISPs.

3205. Scott Smith, Columbia, MO, 65202
Maintain net neutrality and Title II classifications for ISP's.

3206. Seth Johnson, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108 and am in full support of strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.

3207. Jordan Malugen, Farmington, MO, 63640
I strongly support net neutrality that is a result of broadband being classified as a Title II public utility. Actions to remove this protections, such as this bill, are a serious threat to the free and open flow of information online. The Restoring Internet Freedom Act would in truth limit internet freedom, allowing ISPs to control what consumers are able to access and in what amounts and at what speeds. Please keep the internet free and support net neutrality.

3208. Ethan Bradley, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality. Title II is crucial.

3209. I Don't Trust You With My Name, St Louis, MO, 63101
Per John Oliver's urging on "Last Week Tonight," I'm commenting to tell you I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

3210. William Hopkins, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Please keep strong net neutrality rules. Ajit Pai shows a stunningly ignorant view of the issue, which is critical for fair practices within the internet community, so please do not weaken the net neutrality rules.

3211. Kimberley Sukhum, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
I support net neutrality staying until Title 2. Net neutrality is in the best interest of small businesses and consumers.

3212. Dan Shallenberger, Branson, MO, 65616
Net neutrality is essential for the free spread of information and is critical for the freedom of the press. Net neutrality protections dictate that every internet packet be treated equally. No, the internet was not a dystopia prior to the Title II classification of ISPs, but ISPs were beginning to exhibit very anti-competitive behaviors that were the complete opposite of a free and open internet. One prime example of this is Verizon charging Netflix for quality streaming (https://www.extremetech.com/computing/186576-verizon-caught-throttling-netflix-traffic-even-after-its-pays-for-more-bandwidth). Another example is certain cell phone carriers deciding what internet traffic is bound by its data cap. This might seem like a good thing on the surface: "Oh, look this carrier has unlimited video streaming", but this is really just another way to be anti-competitive. How does the ISP decide which video streaming service should be exempt from data caps. What if it wants too allow cable companies to have unlimited streaming but caps Netflix? What a new amazing video streaming service pops up but Netflix pays the ISP to not have them included in the unlimited data plan? What if the ISP requires that the new start pay to be included as an exemption but the startup can't pay? What happens when this same logic is applied to news sources during a presidential election (you can visit CNN at full speed but Fox News is throttled to 1 kbps because they didn't pay us $x). I have seen some Representatives (including my own) try to say that they are against net neutrality because it is anti-competitive. I have proven this to be the opposite. If you care about a free and open market and the freedom of the press then you will allow net neutrality to remain in place.

3213. Garrett Foster, St. Peters, MO, 63376
Dear FCC, Please keep access to the internet open and neutral. I do not want my or any other ISP determining which content I get so see and which I do not (or how fast I am able to access said content).Thanks,-Garrett Foster

3214. Ryan Marrs, Oak Grove, MO, 64075
I stand for net neutrality. I will vote for ANY politician who is on my side, and will do everything I can to ensure any politician who is against net neutrality is removed from office or never makes it to office.

3215. Peter Robe, Columbia, MO, 65203
We fully support net neutrality. ISPs should not be given the ability to conditionally grant access to the internet.

3216. Heidi Bernadt, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63109
I support strong net neutrality, backed by title II oversight of ISPs...this is extremely important!

3217. Michael Hartzheim, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I strongly support Title 2 classification for ISP's.

3218. Rory Jenkins, Manchester, MO, 63011
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of I.s.p.. I also believe that what you are doing is wrong. Keep the Internet free.

3219. James Crozier, Columbia, MO, 65203
I stand with John Oliver in demanding freedom of access to the internet for all small corporations and private entities that might otherwise be bulldozed by large corporate interests like, say Verizon - the company for whom the current FCC Chairman was a lackey.Only a person with very limited imagination - like the ones who decided to cut and paste the "Obama Overreach" screed available from some neo-con clearing house of douchbaggery - can fail to see the chilling effect that handing the custodianship of Net Neutrality to utterly interested and powerful corporate behemoths would do to small businesses in this country.DO NOT CHANGE NET NEUTRALITY!

3220. Keyan Still, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I support net neutrality and do not want the law to change back to title 1. Keep the flow of information free and accessible to all people in our country. A restriction of knowledge will put us back as a country and limit peoples ability to grow. I am strongly opposed to reducing the regulations back to Title 1. Do not let big business dictate what I can search and the speed at which that knowledge is

available. It is highly unethical and is only going to allow people to manipulate the internet to serve their personal goals.

3221. Tom Noronha-Hyde, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Please continue to regulate Internet Service Providers (ISP's) under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. The internet has been a significant source of growth and innovation for our economy these last 15-20 years, largely becasue it is a level playing field. The best ideas and products win out, over lesser competitors. I fear that loosening regulation on ISP's will hamper innovation and growth by allowing established companies and brands to "muscled out" less established startups by negotiating with ISP's for faster service for their established products, thereby lessening a startup's ability to compete equally.

3222. Julia Draper, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I also believe in the democratic process. It should be easier for citizens to share their thoughts on important issues like this.

3223. Mark Wallace, St. Louis, MO, 63139
I support firm regulations on corporations. I support net neutrality.

3224. Carson Vance, Columbia, MO, 65203
I would like to express my support for continuing to classify ISP's under title II

3225. Vicente Estrada, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Net neutrality is universally supported with the exception only of internet providers themselves. Doing anything, however minor, to threaten net neutrality is expressly against the best interests of the American people. Please consider doing the right thing.

3226. Ryan Verrill, Lee's Summit, MO, 64086
I believe and support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers

3227. Scott Yaryan, conway, MO, 65632
I'm a strong supporter of strong net neutrality. Keep all isp's title II

3228. Eda, St Louis, MO, 63105
The Internet is a right--right to knowledge, right of expression, and right to stay informed. Allowing people in power, those with the most political, social, and economic capital, to influence or even dictate access widens the existing disparities within our society. Keep net neutrality; make it a right amongst our society.

3229. Jeremy Smith, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Preserve strong net neutrality rules and Title II.If you understood the history of capitalism, you would realize that quasi-monopolistic powers (railroads, food production, oil & gas, cable providers) always act in their own self-interest and not in the interest of the consumer. Some degree of regulation is required to protect the consumer where near-monopolies exist. Not all regulation is good, but strong net neutrality and Title II are the right kinds of regulations.

3230. Jennifer Merlo, St. Louis, MO, 63104
Maintain the existing net neutrality regulations. It's a necessary protection for growth and freedom in this country.

3231. net neutrality, knob noster, MO, 65336
i support net neutrality by preserving tital 2

3232. James Jurisich, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
Why would any American citizen want less restrictions in place to ensure that companies act fairly. lowering restrictions only helps large companies and harms the majority of citizens

3233. Matthew Robertson, Joplin, MO, 64804
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I despise a majority of the political views of John Oliver and of the liberal Hollywood elites, but I agree with them when it comes to Net Neutrality. ISPs are not playing fair and most have a monopoly for their coverage area.

3234. nathan pierce, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I oppose Docket No. 17-108

3235. Erin Williams, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I am for net neutrality! The recent efforts by Ajit Pai are devastating to the industry and are NOT conducive to free enterprise.

3236. Ian Rentz, Kansas City, MO, 64133
I oppose this proceeding because it will allow service providers to prefer some Internet content over other content.

3237. Erin Willard, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
I strongly support laws in favor of net neutrality! Do not give internet providers the power to dictate my internet usage!

3238. Brett hicks, BELLE, MO, 65013
Removing the requirements that service providers offer equal access to all content is bad. In places like belle there is only a single fiber based provider, (which there should be more of funds give years ago where properly used by ISPs to increase fiber). Providers fight to limit access to no providers so they have a monolopy in the area with little over sight. They limit bandwith for no reason other than to sale higher tier packages.I am opposed to this illnamed anti-freedom act

3239. Melissa Mueller, Florissant, MO, 63031
The FCC should keep strong net neutrality rules, keep open Internet protections, and keep applying the current Title II rules and regulations to Internet service providers.

3240. Jennifer Hoekel, Wildwood, MO, 63069
I specifically support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of all ISPs.

3241. Jacob Stacy, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
We believe in strong net neutrality!!

3242. Zachary Stirts, Rogersville, MO, 65742
FCC Chair Pai PLEASE support strong net neutrality with Title 2 over site rules over ISPs. This is so important. Please sir.

3243. Andrew Rogers, St. Louis, MO, 63118
Protect net neutrality with oversight from Title II. Maintain a free and open Internet and do not create an environment that would allow service providers to edit content, extort money from subscribers for unmolested access to content, or create biased "sponsored" content.

3244. Evan Follmer, Kansas City, MO, 64155
The FCC should continue to pursue Title 2 authorization in regards to net neutrality of ISPs.

3245. ELLEN YOFFIE, ST LOUIS, MO, 63121
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Net neutrality is an obvious must to protect consumers.

3246. Abigail Johnson, Saint Ann, MO, 63074
Chairman Pai wants to replace the agencyâ€™s strong rules with â€œvoluntaryâ€ conditions that no ISP would ever comply with. This is wrong and I want you to preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2.

3247. Chris Shaffer, Kansas City, MO, 64153
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. I do not want my ISP to have even more control over my internet habits than they already do.

3248. thomas horsch, Springfield, MO, 65804
Removing ISPs from Title II in no way protects internet freedom and fact does great harm to it, nor does it preserve access to information for the average American.

3249. anthony tornatore, saint louis, MO, 63128
Leave net neutrality alone. Title 2 oversight is doing it's job correctly

3250. William, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I am in full support of strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight. In this digital age, with society trending toward the internet of things, it is more important than ever to maintain a free and open pipeline for all to use. This will allow all entities, big and small, to compete on a level playing field and will allow us, the consumers, to vote with our dollars for the products/companies/services we like best. Stripping regulation of this now will set the stage for ISPs to be able to control what rises to the top and destroys the consumersâ€™ ability to participate in a free and open market. Every trucking company is allowed to use the roads without having to worry about paying more to use the fast lanes. Internet service should be no different. Please do not weaken net neutrality and leave it in the hands of large corporations by removing Title II oversight. I am fully opposed to Docket No. 17-108.

3251. jason degraff, kansas city, MO, 64110
Skewing internet content toward affluence is harmful.

3252. Julie Benson-Grant, Streeter Grant, Dan Grant, Kansas City, MO, 64156
I support strong net neutrality backed by the Title II Oversight of ISPs... keep the net neutral! Stop trying to take away our freedom of the net!

3253. Earl Higgins, St. Louis, MO, 63108
Keep strong net neutrality backed by title II. Do not mess with what is working so well!

3254. Marc Kopacz, Kansas City, MO, 64133
I strongly support net neutrality as listed under Title 2. I oppose the chairman of the Federal Communications Commission's intention of scaling back or rescinding the regulations that guarantees free access to the internet. Net neutrality rules are effective for everyone. Do Not infringe upon NET NEUTRALITY.

3255. SFC Jessie L. Schafer, U.S. Army, Fort Leonard Wood, MO, 65473
The internet without Net Neutrality isnâ€™t really the internet. Unlike the open internet that has paved the way for so much innovation and given a platform to people who have historically been shut out, it would become a closed-down network where cable and phone companies call the shots and decide which websites, content or applications succeed.This would have an enormous impact. Companies like AT&T, Comcast and Verizon would be able to decide who is heard and who isnâ€™t. Theyâ€™d be able to block websites or content they donâ€™t like or applications that compete with their own offerings.Without Net Neutrality, How would the next disruptive technology, business or company emerge if internet service providers only let incumbents succeed?

3256. Jim Shull, REPUBLIC, MO, 65738
I do NOT want you to change the current regulations of Net Neutrality, LEAVE THEM AS THEY STAND. This allows no one to discriminate against any company, large or small over internet control.

3257. Gerard Teague, Eureka, MO, 63025
I specially support strong net neutrality backed by TITLE II oversite of ISPs. Preserve TITLE II.

3258. Marissa, Kansas City, MO, 64155
I am in strong support of net neutrality under Title 2.

3259. Jacob Chiarellli, O'fallon, MO, 63366
I support strong net neutrality, and Title II oversight of ISP's. As a taxpaying, voting American Citizen, I insist that you reverse course on limiting net neutrality, and listen to your constituents.

3260. Isidro Landa, University City, MO, 63130
I am reaching out to express my concern over the threat to net neutrality. In the age of information, it is very important to protect internet fairness and freedom. I urge you to preserve net neutrality and Title II. Thank you!

3261. Andrew Holmes, kansas city, MO, 64111
Keep the internet neutral!!! No faster speeds to the highest bidder!!

3262. Susan Harp, Liberty, MO, 64068
I support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY RULES! Undermining or weakening the existing rules erodes the freedoms and civil liberties of every person, and puts yet more control in large corporations. You have an obligation to uphold the best interests of ALL Americans and not simply acquiesce to the desires of corporate entities. DO THE RIGHT THING for the American people!

3263. Donald Kramer, Ozark, MO, 65802
ISPs need to find a different way to monetize. We the US people have dumped countless millions into their infrastructure, and there has been little to no return from our investment. Allowing them to prioritize traffic and squeeze even more money out of the American consumer is not only evident of a morally bankrupt mindset, but an active way to squeeze even more profits out of the American people for their precious shareholders. ISPs should be maintained as a common carrier. If anything they should be even more regulated than they currently are.

3264. Dustin Cunningham, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
Request to maintain Title II and strong net neutrality laws.

3265. Amy Axtell, Kansas City, MO, 64113
I strongly disagree with the proposal to change the current regulations on Net Neutrality.Title II regulations are not only appropriate, but necessary to prevent Internet traffic control by a very limited number of ISPs. Nothing prevents any ISP from establishing an independent expansion business and competing in the open market with other content providers but should not be able to prioritize their content over any other content provider.

3266. Matthew Green, Kansas City, MO, 64153
Companies such as Comcast and Time Warner Cable cannot be trusted with preserving the sanctity of net neutrality. Title II regulation is extremely important, and should remain in place, if not strengthened.

3267. Dr. Jeffry Golden, Creve Coeur, MO, 63141
Rescinding Title II protection and enforcement of net neutrality will lead to special interest control and abridgment of free speech on the internet. This will have a chilling effect on political speech, and it will curtail free enterprise, innovation, and due process under law. 17-108 will not "restore internet freedom"; it will not lead to more infrastructure investment; 17-108 should be abandoned.

3268. Mike Augustine, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
Net Neutrality is the only policy that makes sense. Please do the right thing and retain this policy. Thanks.

3269. Brandon, Saint Clair, MO, 63077
I wholeheartedly oppose the proposed changes which will threaten the practice of net neutrality as proposed by the current head of the FCC and the presidential administration Trusting ISP companies to behave themselves without enforceable rules is like allowing a fox into a chicken coop and expecting the fox to not eat the chickens. If the FCC is seeking to protect the economic prosperity and freedom the internet provides, leave net neutrality alone and keep it as a Title II entity

3270. Nicole Pulliam, St. Charles, MO, 63303
I want net neutrality to be preserved and service providers to be regulated under Title II.

3271. Zachary Smith, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
I believe keeping ISPs classified as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 is necessary to maintain and ensure Net Neutrality. ISPs, with their near monopoly status in most markets, have violated the public trust time and again with measures that prevent competition. 3 years ago the citizens of this country banded together in support of reclassifying ISPs under Title II and to remove that classification now despite the massive outcry would be an action to defraud the citizens of the United States representation through their government.

3272. Jonathan Van Otterloo, Joplin, MO, 64804
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 over site, please stop messing with the Internet.

3273. Jonathon Johnson, St Louis, MO, 63125
I don't want comcast running this country.

3274. Jesus Quinones, Saint Louis, MO, 63110

I strongly oppose the FCC's plan to reverse Tittle II net neutrality.

3275. Samuel M. cohen, Raytown, MO, 64138
I support strong Net Neutrality back by Title 2 oversight. Please don't side with big business.

3276. Restoring Internet Freedom, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I want to ensure that the internet remains classified as a public utility and that Net Neutrality laws are not dismantled in order for corporations to take advantage of the internet in unfair ways in order to increase profit, discredit opposing views, etc.The internet is the peoples' and should remain so!

3277. Robbie Acuff, Columbia, MO, 65203
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I urge you!

3278. Joshua Hanks, Linn Creek, MO, 65052
As a small business owner in mid MO I feel very strongly that the FCC should keep net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

3279. Lauren B, St. Louis, MO, 63119
Please protect net neutrality! The FCC Open Internet Rules are extremely important to me and I urge to to please protect them. ISPs should not have the power to block, slow down, or make faster/more accessible some websites vs. others. Please do what you can. Thank you!

3280. Jason Gayler, Columbia, MO, 65203
Hello, I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.I oppose Docket No. 17-108.

3281. Christine, St. Louis, MO, 63116
It is absurd to call this "Restoring Internet Freedom". It give ISPs the power to control access to the internet (and the speed at which we are able to utilize the internet) in exchange for money - mostly corporate money. Innovation will be stifled and information sharing will become incredibly difficult. We must protect Net Neutrality. 17-108 must not be taken seriously - say NO to this and YES to Net Neutrality.

3282. Rose Straughn, Lee's Summit, MO, 64064
Please keep the Obama Administration's regulations regarding net neutrality. I object to Chairman Pai's plans to weaken net neutrality rules.

3283. Jason Ewens, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
I strongly object to this rule that would roll back Net neutrality rules and erode our Net Neutrality protections. Internet access is now a necessity of modern life like Power, Water, and the old phone system. We need to protect it under Title II to ensure it stays free and fair. I know that the chairman of the FCC is a former Verizon employee, and that Congress and the White House have been bought and paid for by the Telecomm lobbies, but we expect our unelected government institutions to look at facts.

3284. Blake Phillips, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Please preserve Net Neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISPs. Keep the internet OPEN!

3285. Craig Schmitt, Affton, MO, 63123
I want to preserve net neutrality with Title II.

3286. Chris Cullen, Columbia, MO, 65203
I strongly support Net Neutrality rules.Weakening them will only hurt consumers and small business's.Please support the current rules. Thank you.

3287. Roy Decha, Platte City, MO, 64079
I am very much in favor of net neutrality. I believe that freedom should go first to we the people, rather than to corporate America. This is Democracy 101. What are you folks thinking about?

3288. Rebecca Magniant, Columbia, MO, 65201
Preserve net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs - don't undermine it

3289. joshua skurtu, St charles, MO, 63303
I support strong net neutrality and do not want isps to be legally allowed to block or throttle traffic.

3290. Samy Northcutt, Liberty, MO, 64068
I strongly agree with a strong net neutrality, Backed by title II for the over site of all ISPs

3291. Theodore, Salem, MO, 65560
I support strong net neutrality And i beg of you do your research this hurts everyone.

3292. 1, jackson, MO, 63755
We need an equal internet, not special fast lanes for people who can pay more, or greedy monopolies slowing down access so they can charge more.

3293. Ethan Wetter, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
I strongly support net neutrality and ISPs remaining under Title II

3294. Nand Lal Johari, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
I strongly support the net neutrality and Title-II as signed by President Barrack Obama.

3295. Jennifer Anderson, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I support net neutrality. Losing it would harm the American economy because smaller businesses wouldn't be able to pay for faster load times and would be squeezed out of business.

3296. Baker, Johnson F., Monroe, MO, 63456
I strongly support a strong net neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight of internet service providers (ISP).

3297. Tammie Jennings, COLUMBIA, MO, 65202
Keep her neutrality backed by title II

3298. Braxton Kersting, Kansas City, MO, 64113
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. There is no logical reason this should be changed other than the fact that it ISP's want the change. This will not help consumers in any possible way and can only have a negative impact on free and open internet.I am strongly opposed to this proposition and hope that you consider "us" the actual citizens of the USA that will be affected by this proposition.

3299. debbie libla, Wappapello, MO, 63966
Please keep net neutrality for all by using Title II for rules. We cannot trust isps to do the right thing. It must be regulated by the government. Although the recent position filled with Pai leaves me suspicious.

3300. Clayton Neff, Kansas City, MO, 64145
Providing a neutral network for anyone who can access it is vital to provide growth opportunities for small businesses. Both consumers and providers already pay for access to the "pipes". The pipe owner shouldn't be allowed to determine how much of my "flow" is provided by whom.

3301. Vinod Godavarty, Manchester, MO, 63021
Please restore net neutrality. All data should be treated equally. By ending net neutrality ISPs will gain more power and charge their customer as they wish. For eg, give more power to already and worst company like Comcast and it is like paying for getting into hell.

3302. Judy Creason, Des Peres, MO, 63131
Please support Net Neutrality and the current rules under Title II.

3303. Karen Grace, St. Louis, MO, 63146
Net neutrality is essential in our free democracy. Do not allow any partiality or "fast lanes" in internet service.

3304. Christian Jones, lees summit, MO, 64064
I request that the current state of ISPs and net neutrality stay as is. That is to say leave ISPs classified ass Type-2.

3305. Wesley Mullins, St. Louis, MO, 63104
Please protect Net Neutrality by keeping it under Title II. Thank you!

3306. Derek Nelson, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
Aaron Swartz began this fight, we won't give in. Time to start blacking out websites again like we did to stop SOPA & PIPA. A free internet should be shared just as Elon Musk said he won't hold the patents to his technology for only his company. This is a right if every citizen to be able to aquire the knowledge they seek with little to no hindrance. Thank you to whomever read this.

3307. Kevin Fox, St. Louis, MO, 63112
I'm interested in voicing my strong support to maintain the current FCC Title 2 framework for net neutrality. The current protections and enforcement for net neutrality are appropriate and necessary. Any changes to existing policy will weaken or shift responsibility for enforcing net neutrality will result in a less fair and open internet experience for all Americans. Please act in accordance with serving the best interests of US citizens by maintaining existent Title 2 net neutrality policy. Thank you.

3308. Russ Bennett, Kansas City, MO, 64112
Keep Net Neutrality ISP in Title II. Do not let large corporations dictate what the public wants via reducing acceptable access.

3309. Laura Gonsalves, St. Louis, MO, 63129
I support and ask that you support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you

3310. Eric Ross, Kansas City, MO, 64109
I support maintaining net neutrality rules. Net neutrality is essential for democratic access to the internet and for small businesses to compete against large internet companies.

3311. Joshua Post, St. Louis, MO, 63128
I support maintaining Net Neutrality in the current state, where all traffic is treated equally. ISP will act only for their own gain if given the opportunity, which will create an imbalance of large corporations spending more than smaller companies are able to, in order to prevent competition and gain an unfair advantage.

3312. Steven Tomaszewski, Clayton, MO, 63105
supporting net neutrality with Title II oversight of ISPs.

3313. Amber Javers, Saint Joseph, MO, 64504
I value net neutrality. Please keep net neutrality rules under Title II as opposed to moving it under Title I or anywhere else.

3314. Jay Williams, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
You need to keep net neutrality! There is no good reason for doing this as it relates to the consumer.

3315. Chris Cunningham, saint louis, MO, 63139
Please do not take away net neutrality. We are a better society with it.

3316. Jacob Underwood, Springfield, MO, 65807
I am for strong net neutrality through Title II internet service providers. When these companies are not kept in check, it hurts the consumer.

3317. james, Wentzville, MO, 63385
I really want net neutrality, make it happen

3318. Dustin Hufford, Kirksville, MO, 63501
I strongly support net neutrality. I am completely against corporate bribery. The current administration is a circus act. Keep pushing the little people, one day the foundation will erode.

3319. Jennifer Bird, St. Louis, MO, 63127
I support net neutrality (protected by title 2 oversight of ISPs.) Do not let corporations hurt the public good.

3320. Nathan Meirowsky, Kansas City, MO, 64113
Telecommunications needs to stay Title II. If this continues to go through I will do everything in my power to make sure those who are responsible lose their seat in the next race.

3321. Michael Freeman, St Louis, MO, 63146
Please leave ISPs under Title II, keep the internet open.

3322. James Millard, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by (Communications Act of 1934) Title II oversight of ISPs (Internet Service Providers). I do not trust the altruism of financially-motivated corporations that own "the last mile" for consumer Internet access; given the opportunity to either favor their own content or to impede access to a competitor's content, I have no doubt that--barring regulation--they will do so.

3323. Andrew W, Springfield, MO, 65803
I am writing to demand you keep ISP under Title 2. Keep it the way it is NOW and continues to keep the playing field level so those hypotheticals never enter any companies mindset. Keep it Titel 2.Thanks

3324. Greg Reynolds, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
I support strong Net Neutrality with Title II oversight

3325. Cody House, Springfield, MO, 65803
I believe that the FCC should fight for the rights of the people and protect Net Neutrality. In our digital age, the internet has become more of a necessity, resource, and basic human right than a "privilege" or to be considered unnecessary. To destroy Net Neutrality is to be pro-monopoly for ISPs and communication companies, and counter small-business and individuals. It is a resource that should be available and provided just as much as education and food. As a citizen of the United States, I deserve to have my opinion be heard and weighted on the same level as the opinions of large corporations and businesses.

3326. Kaden Bauernfeind, Lees Summit, MO, 64083
Net neutrality is one of the greatest benefits to the free market. It allows for any company to create a website and not have to conform to what the ISPs demand. This allows for me ,a member of the newest generation one that has lived their entire lives with the internet, to be able to be an entrepreneur and not have to bow to the whims of companies such as comcast, verizon, or time warner, for American enterprise to survive the ISPs must stay under title 2. This is not a hypothetical or fear mongering America is a place where my only options for reliable ISPs is in the low single digits and doing a act like this only allows those few ISPs to crush any competators in the video sharing industry, online shopping, gaming, and social media. For the sake of the freedoms of the American populace do not re-classify ISPs under title 1, keep them under title 2. Thank you for allowing my voice to be heard in this fantastic country.- Kaden Bauernfeind

3327. Kevin Moore, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Please maintain Title II classification for internet service providers. Our freedom to connect to the sites we want and not to the sites our ISPs prefer is essential to the function of the internet. Promises from corporations aren't enough. Regulation is required. Maintain Title II.

3328. Gerardo Rosario, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
I don't agree with the FCC removing Net Neutrality.

3329. Jonathan Hogue, Kansas city, MO, 64111
Please maintain net neutrality by keeping Internet service providers under title 2

3330. Abraham Joseph David, Grandview, MO, 64030
I support enforcement and Title II Regulation of ISP.I support net neutrality.

3331. Tyler Ernst, Columbia, MO, 65201
I build websites for a living, so I spend the majority of my life on the internet. Net neutrality is an important part of the internet, keep it open. This shouldn't even be a question.

3332. Chase Q. Aucoin, Kansas City, MO, 64123
I am a software architect and consultant to fortune 500 companies across North America. I can say with complete certainty that changing ISP carriers to TITLE 1 will undoubtedly hurt countless organizations across the US and cause them to carry their infrastructure internationally. Taking monies away from the US and sending it abroad. It will also hurt the overall competitiveness of the free and open internet. This is bad for business, it's bad for citizens, it's bad for America. As a citizen, I ask you to take a stand and leave ISPs classified as Title 2.

3333. Jordan Kingston, Columbia, MO, 65202
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed, by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please codify and enforce those rules passed by the previous administration. Thank you.

3334. Gauge Brown, St Louis, MO, 63123
Don't do it. Don't. Seriously. I like my internet. And I like having speed for every website. Stop. Get some help.

3335. Kevin Schmidt, St. Louis, MO, 63146
Directed to Ajit Pai,I strongly support net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The consequences of Internet monopolies mean nothing but an increase in stress to people who don't always have the opportunity to pay more money for better access to things taken for granted by others.

3336. Gailen Drain, Olivette, MO, 63132
Please don't end net neutrality. I, and many others on the internet, support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 over-sight of ISPs. Don't let multi-million dollar companies decide which website to slow, block, (or both) just so they can make a quick buck. We the people have a right to use the internet without companies like Comcast making the websites we normally use unusable just because they're competition.Don't make the internet a monopoly.

3337. Michael Azar, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Keep the internet NEUTRAL.

3338. Ryan Balaski, Ofallon, MO, 63368
I very strongly support net neutrality! Do NOT take it away it from us!

3339. Sherman, o'fallen, MO, 63304
The internet should be treated as a utility. Do the right thing FCC.

3340. Chanc White, Kansas City, MO, 64155
Net Neutrality is a right. saying it shouldn't be a right for everyone is pro monopoly.

3341. Gailen Drain, Olivette, MO, 63132
Please don't end net neutrality. I, and many others on the internet, support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 over-sight of ISPs. Don't let multi-million dollar companies decide which website to slow, block, (or both) just so they can make a quick buck. We the people have a right to use the internet without companies like Comcast making the websites we normally use unusable just because they're competition.

3342. Matt McConnell, Kansas City, MO, 64119
I support a strong net neutrality and ask you not only to prove you defend net neutrality and prove that your "DDOS" claims are true by showing your logs.

3343. Mark Terwilleger, Kansas City, MO, 64119
I am vehemently opposed to changing net neutrality. Big companies cannot put a premium on who gets service.

3344. Shayla Lawson, Farmington, MO, 63640
Strong Net Neutrality is incredibly important to support fair online business. The internet is growing at an incredible rate, and our reliance on it, especially economically can only give opportunities to people as a whole, with strong net neutrality.

3345. Kelvin Klenke, Pacific, MO, 63069
I strongly support the need for Title 2 oversight of ISPs and the protections they offer to the consumer. Without title 2, we will be taken advantage of by the corporations and the free internet we have today will be no more. Do not remove title 2.

3346. Donna Van Leuven, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Hello. I want to express my sincere desire that Net Neutrality continue using Title II regulations. It does not interfere with ISP providers ability to provide service or gain revenue. It also allows me and other USA citizens the freedom to use the internet the way we want. Please do not deny our citizens this right. I urge you to keep the people in mind and not corporations. Don't decrease our capability to use the internet and don't increase our costs by changing from Title II. Thank you.

3347. James L. Henley, Seymour, MO, 65746
You must maintain Net Neutrality. Startup businesses depend on equal access to the internet. DO NOT CHANGE THE CURRENT NET NEUTRALITY RULES.

3348. Josh McLinn, Advance, MO, 63730

Why do I even need to be typing this comment? This is just common sense. And Reese's, for the love of God, send Pai a cease and desist on that mug.#gofccyourself

3349. Wendi Goodchild, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
I strongly support net neutrality and want it to remain tittle 2.

3350. Casey Malcolm, Kansas City, MO, 64118
i fully support net neutrality and Title II.

3351. Geoff Ferguson, Lee's Summit, MO, 64081
Keep the internet free and ISPs under Title 2. I'm tired of "good enough" service target than just plain good.

3352. Jeff Ousley, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please do not take away protections for Net Neutrality. A free and open internet is one of the cornerstones of our society. Trusting cable companies to act in anyone else's interests other than their own is laughable. There is zero chance that this would result in anything but consumers paying more for worse internet service.KEEP NET NEUTRALITY ALIVE. Thank you very much,Jeff Ousley

3353. Paul Wilson, St. Charles, MO, 63303
I support net neutrality supported by TItle II oversight. This is a regulation that is necessary and proper for the free sharing of information on the internet. No private company should be able to selected filter information and services offered over the open web.

3354. Jessica Quintero, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
I support STRONG net neutrality backed byTitle II oversight of ISPs.

3355. Mona McCormack, Sedalia, MO, 65301
I support net neutrality and the protections offered under title II. Please do not allow corporate giants to censor public access to the Internet or exploit an unfair advantage by blocking/slowing competition.

3356. Harold Lee Myers, Springfield, MO, 65807
Net Neutrality, as it applies to all major ISP's, should be maintained under Title 2.

3357. Zac Davison, Kansas City, MO, 64109
I wish to see Net Neutrality and Title II preserved. ISPs need oversite so that what I consume on the Internet is not influenced by them.

3358. Robson Ali, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I believe that the American people are entitled to access to the internet that is free from any corporate interest. I believe that the FCC has a duty to keep the playing field even and that to keep the internet free there needs to be more regulation on what ISPs can do and a redefining of choice because dial-up is not an acceptable replacement for internet and ISPs should be held accountable for the monopolies they hold.

3359. Paul R Goodchild, Ph.D., CPA, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
I support net neutrality and that ISPs remain under Title 2. Many ISPs already have monopoly positions in the areas they serve. They should not be allowed to control the speed or the content of websites we browse. They are very similar to energy, water, and gas providers. They are providing a needed service and receive special considerations including almost exclusive rights and guarateed profits. They must be regulated under Title II. They have shown a profit motive beyond service, quality, and innovation. They have little or no market competition and consumers will suffer further than we already have under the light restrictions they have to deal with.Preserve net neutrality.

3360. rusty, Columbia, MO, 65201
I support regulating the internet as a utility. Providers should not profit by favoring or penalizing content and stifling new business/picking winners

3361. Kyle MacNair, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Maintaining Title II classification for ISPs is important to maintaining a fair internet, free from the manipulating hands of monopolistic trusts.

3362. joy hockman, wentzville, MO, 63385
I strongly support Title 2 oversight of isps.

3363. Kathleen Walsh-Piper, St. Louis, MO, 63132
I want the government and FCC to KEEP net neutrality.

3364. Stephen Hassard, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I believe that keeping the net-neutrality rules under title II is the better way to maintain a strong and free internet.

3365. Ryan Maddock, Kansas City, MO, 64137
Please do not take away net neutrality. All content should be delivered equally, always. How can you advocate for open internet while also taking away the protections and regulations that make it possible? ISPs should not be regulated under Title 1 and should stay under Title 2.

3366. 17- 108, Hillsboro, MO, 63050
We must keep net neutrality, ISP must stay under title 2 without changeDaryl

3367. Tanner, Springfield, MO, 65807
I am expressing my concern regarding net neutrality. Please preserve Title II and keep the internet free and open.

3368. Laron K Williams, Columbia, MO, 65203
We need strong protection for net neutrality that can only be preserved by keeping it under Title II oversight of the Communications Act. This regulation is important for the free exchange of ideas.

3369. KathrynLong, Kansas City, MO, 64155
I fully support neutrality. It is imperative for all citizens to have fair access to the internet. Efforts to restrict or curb access are equal to censorship. Much like the Nazis restricted radio access before and during World War 2, restricting access to the internet can lead to information control and restricted freedom of speech/communication.

3370. William Garbe, St. Louis, MO, 63114
You must uphold the Net Neutrality protections put in place by the Obama administration, specifically Title 2 regulation and enforcement.

3371. Richard Stromberg, Florissant, MO, 63031
Keep Net Neutrality rules!!!!!!

3372. Charles LaMothe, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
Would you do the people of the United States of America a favor and not get rid of Net Neutrality. Please and thank you.

3373. Brandon Clark, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
I feel very strongly that a strong Net Neutrality is pivotal to a fair and open internet. Title 2 classification helps assure that prioritization does not become an issue and lead to monopolization of industries.

3374. abby huff, Lees summit, MO, 64081
Keep net neutrality in place, as is.

3375. Carl Goetz, Kansas City, MO, 64138
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. No one should be able to influence my net usage but me, and giving ISPs the choice as to whether they will or not is either naive or suspicious.

3376. Brandy Coleman, St. Louis, MO, 63121
Stand STRONGLY with Net Neutrality

3377. Toby Manders, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I strongly support the continued implementation of Title II standards for internet service providers.

3378. Bryan Rodery, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title-2 oversight of ISPs. These laws do nothing but help larger Corporations while hurting your constituents, the people who actually pay your salaries and not your bonuses.Grow a back bone Ajit Pai.

3379. Michael R Cavalier, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
This is another blatant money grab by companies to steal and sell our data, and restrict what we can see over the internet to further profit off the American people.A free and open internet is just as important to the American people as freedom of speech was with the founding of this country.This should not, and cannot, be allowed to pass. Thank you.

3380. Joshua A. Koczan, Maplewood, MO, 63143
Net Neutrality is a requirement at this point in time, in order to keep entrenched ISPs from developing monopolies and engaging in unethical business practices that prevent economic growth within the tech community. Without new start ups and a level playing field,both individuals and businesses will suffer. We do not need another Ma Bell. Keep Net Neutrality regulation as it is.

3381. Katlyn Keller, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
This is a terrible thing and the FCC is the most corrupt branch of the government if they do not protect net neutrality. Do not repeal any of the legislation created during the Obama era!!!

3382. Dan Ayres, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
I support Title 2 regulation to provide net neutrality.

3383. Koren Spies, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight ps ISPs

3384. Kevin FitzGerald, Glencoe, MO, 63038
To Whom it May Concern,The freedom of the internet is so closely tied to the freedom of speech, that it cannot be entrusted to large corporate interests. It must be protected. That is why our founding fathers chose to nationalize the postal service, and make tampering with mail a federal crime. Being able to communicate with confidence is important for continued innovation and business, and is nothing but good for our country. Research is also important, which is why we have public libraries and schools. Being able to have faith that our ISPs are not restricting search results based on corporate whims is vital to u all being able to share in the same reality. Please have some balls and keep ISPs regulated under Title II.-Kevin

3385. Brandy Coleman, St. Louis, MO, 63121
I stand strongly with net neutrality and so should you. Support net neutrality.

3386. Jimmy Shadden, Independence, MO, 64050
I strongly urge you to keep in place Internet Neutrality Regulations backed by FCC Title II.

3387. Kishan Patel, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I implore you to preserve Title II and Net Neutrality as it already is in its current state. Do NOT change it.

3388. Christine Padberg, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight for ISPs! Please! Do the right thing here!

3389. Clare Trim, OFallon, MO, 63368
PLEASE do not endanger net neutrality by moving ISP's from Title 2. Please keep them honest and fair. The people you may effect by repealing Title 2 include: Monetized channels on YouTube, budding websites/business, large communication forums, and potentially low income internet users.Thank you!Clare and Nick Trim

3390. Annika Worth, Crystal City, MO, 63019
I strongly support the preservation of strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs.

3391. Dalton, Leslie, MO, 63056
Keep that net neutrality BOOOOOOOOIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII, and also Title 2

3392. Jasmine Alade-Fa, Florissant, MO, 63034
I am appalled that the current government would even think that regressing the current regulations concerning ISPs sounds like a good idea. Especially when said ISPs have had a past history of undermining smaller groups just to maximize profit. If the government was truly on the side of businesses and their want to grow, they would continue to enforce the Title II regulations and make sure that there is actual fair competition among these companies instead of promoting pro-monopoly ideals that would do more to hurt this country's economy than help it.

3393. Brian Bilton, Springfield, MO, 65803
I support Strong Net neutrality Rules,and Regulations backed by Title 2

3394. Justin Carroll, Saint Louis, MO, 63112
Please do not end Net Neutrality. I believe it is one of the most important things in the modern era that will allow us to grow as a society.

3395. Anthony Tedeschi, Springfield, MO, 65807
Hello. I am filing this document to express my deep concerns with the possible overturning of net neutrality laws that currently govern how internet service providers (ISPs) supply information over the internet. I believe that all internet content should be supplied at the same rate, regardless of the origin of such content. I believe that declassifying ISPs from under Title II will allow said ISPs to throttle traffic at will and increase service cost with no limitations. Repealing net neutrality laws could severely upset the equality currently experienced by end users. Allowing ISPs to selectively throttle internet traffic from services and content that they do not have financial interest in while promoting their own services and content is a blatant disregard for the fairness of delivery of such content. What it comes down to is this: I don't want to have to wait longer or pay more for certain internet content to be delivered because my ISP controls what content it throttles. Please do not repeal the current net neutrality laws.

3396. Diane Weber, St. Louis, MO, 63130
Net neutrality is extremely important in our technological world. We need strong regulations to maintain this fairness. We cannot rely on companies voluntarily maintaining neutrality.

3397. Jonathan Hurly, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. Thank you :)

3398. Eric Tompkins, Saint Joseph, MO, 64503
I urge the FCC to maintain current Net Neutrality rules and maintain the Title II (common carrier) designation of ISPs. Don't allow corporate greed to compromise our fair and open Internet!

3399. Aaron Bono, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I support net neutrality. Do NOT let big corporations fuck us, the public. Keep the regulations or they will put their profits over the interests of the people which should be a crime punishable by shutting them down. I am sick of the Republicans pandering to big money. PEOPLE MATTER MORE THAN $$$ YOU IDIOTS!

3400. Kristen Riedinger, St. Louis, MO, 63105
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. We absolutely need these regulations to maintain a free, fair and open internet. The FCC must be able to enforce the rules that they put in place to keep all internet content on an even playing field. The internet we know today cannot survive without them.

3401. Jonathan Sparlin, Joplin, MO, 64804
I fully support Net Neutrality, and desire no changes to its current Title 2 Status.

3402. Brian Gattra, Wentzville, MO, 63385
Net neutrality is essential to US consumers. There is very little choice in the ISP marketplace, so allowing them the throttle web traffic is essentially censorship and limits market competition in cyberspace. Unacceptable!

3403. Payden Beatty, Grandview, MO, 64030

I support net neutrality and keeping internet access as a tidal 2

3404. Brad H, St. Louis, MO, 63116
I hope that the FCC will support strong net neutrality back by Title II oversight of ISPs.

3405. Shaun Hautly, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
I strongly support continued regulation of ISP's through Title II regulations. Please do not weaken net neutrality.

3406. Alan Brutcher, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Do not limit net neutrality. It is ludicrous that it is even being considered.

3407. net neutrality, eureka, MO, 63025
I want net neutrality and strict title 2 regulations.

3408. Mary Ragain, Springfield, MO, 65807
Please keep our internet freedom via Title II. We're already bombarded with advertisements online and now that our ISPs can monitor our interactions online in order to use it for commercial purposes, we don't need any more commercial influences on our internet. Internet should be free and uninfluenced by giant companies - all companies should be on an even and level playing field online.

3409. Michael Siegle, Kansas City, MO, 64152
I support strong net neutrality guidelines for our internet service providers. After listening to several politicians speak about this it has become abundantly clear that they have no understanding of the impact of net neutrality guidelines. I don't want a future where the potential exists that those companies with limitless resources could pay to ensure their content is prioritized over their competition. Repealing this could lead to a situation where innovation is stifled.

3410. Britton Dowers, Greenwood, MO, 64034
Please keep ISP's in classification 2 status as a utility ensuring a neutral and free internet.

3411. Patrick Moore, Independence, MO, 64055
I would like the FCC to continue to specifically support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Net neutrality under Title II regulations has not been jeopardizing infrastructure investment by ISP's. Deregulation policies that are harmful to consumers, and only help corporate agendas supported by greed and the "alternative facts" of the current administration are destroying what is (was) great about America day after day in many different areas.

3412. Galen Wilson, Florissant, MO, 63033
I, as a frequent user of the internet, am one-hundred percent FOR the FCC upholding Net Neutrality and AGAINST a hands-off regulatory mindset when it comes to Internet Service Providers. If there is anything that the FCC should exist for, it is to make certain that the largest communication network that exists in this modern age is fully neutral.

3413. Courtney Green, Grandview, MO, 64030
Preserve Net Neutrality and put it under article 2.

3414. Joshua Kappler, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Net Neutrality is something we need because even though I've personally never burnt myself on a up of coffee from starbucks I still use the collar

3415. Kaitlin Woolverton, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I believe that the FCC should continue to support Title II rules and regulations.

3416. Matthew Wicker, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
Please preserve net neutrality and title II. We dont have a choice of ISPs in a lot of areas and need to keep as free an internet market as possible.

3417. Lee Schuenemeyer, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Please keep all ISPs on a level playing field by keeping them all as a common carrier. Title II should be kept as the controlling principal for all ISPs.

3418. Joseph M Gaffney, Willard, MO, 65781
My cable/internet industry service provider is Mediacom. I started @ $30 per month three years ago. For the same service, after three years, I now am charged almost $100 per month. There has been no change in service. I always had only local TV service and still have only local TV service. I never watch it. I would get rid of it if I could, but itâ€™s â€œbundled,â€ i.e., I have to get it whether I want it or not. Thatâ€™s thecable/internet industryâ€™s idea of a â€œcompetitive marketplace.â€ We all know that, if the cable/internet industry were forced to compete in a TRUE marketplace, prices would plunge, quality would rise, and competition would be fierce. Thatâ€™s not happening. The fact is, our cable/internet industry service runs a poor third to cable/internet industries in Europe and most developing nations. People in those nations laugh at the government corruption we tolerate that promotes the financial interests of the cable/internet industry over the interests of citizens.Mediacom continues to increase its rates because it can, because itâ€™s a monopoly, and because Mediacom, like all the other cable services, has used the profits from its exÃ¶rbitantly priced services to hire lobbyists to influence legislators (read bent federal and state legislators) and high-level bureaucrats, e.g., the hired hands heading the FCC, to let them continue to monopolize the industry.Insofar as â€œnet neutralityâ€ is concerned, I know the fix is in, as it already is or soon will be in all federal departments, agencies, bureaux, etc., under Trump. I know that whoever needed to be paid off has been paid off, whoever had to be assured of a job with an internet provider after leaving government service has been so assured, and whoever expects political dividends for having engineered the betrayal of millions of taxpayers who rely on the outrageously expense, mediocre

internet service available from the robber-barons of the internet industry is unquestionably on track for those political payoffs. Itâ€™s now only a matter of time before the cable/internet industry bloodsuckers do their worst ravaging for a good two years.I will persist in my resistence to Trump, his goons, his thugs, his lickspittles, his toadies, his brownnosers, his suck-ups, his apple-polishers, his bribers, his bootlickers, his flunkies, and his sycophants in general. I will see my country dwindle in mood until the November, 2018, general electionsâ€"assuming Trump doesnâ€™t declare a â€œnational emergencyâ€ and cancel them. I anticipate that, after those elections, Phoenix America will rise from the ashes of Trump et al. and be a better, stronger, wiser, and more vigilant nation.

3419. Joshua C Beezley, Platte City, MO, 64079
Net Neutrality is quintessential to fair and equally attainable internet. Stripping away internet connectivity's Title ll classification absolutely WILL leave the door open for bandwidth abuse and throttling by ISPs. There is not doubt in my mind they will abuse this power if the internet loses its utility protections. Please do not support the repeal of Net Neutrality, nobody but the ISPs will benefit, and regular people will lose. I know it's hard to understand us from up on your ivory tower stuffed with money and corporate favors, but don't believe for even a second that the rest of America supports this.

3420. Justin Mayfield, Glencoe, MO, 63038
Net neutrality should not even be up for discussion... It must stand. US citizens should have the right to a fair and free Internet.

3421. Richard May, Florissant, MO, 63034
leave net neutrality alone in title 2

3422. joseph quigley, liberty, MO, 64068
I strongly support net neutrality and title one. Keep the internet fair

3423. Karen Freeman, St. Louis, MO, 63118
Preserve net neutrality and title II. A free and open internet is vital to our democracy and it is imperative that it continue. Allowing ISPs and companies such as Verizon and Comcast would be devastating. Please listen to THE people rather than to YOUR people.

3424. Ember Urbach, Kansas City, MO, 64108
Please enforce net neutrality by holding ISPs accountable under Title 2.

3425. William Edwards, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
Do not move Net Neutrality rules to Title One. A free and open internet is more beneficial than one dictated by Internet Service Providers and moving the internet to title one will allow ISPs to essentially ignore Net Neutrality rules. We saw this a few years ago when Verizon sued the FCC for putting the internet under Title One (and succeeded).

3426. Jessica Totten, Centralia, MO, 65240
Please continue to keep ISP providers as a Title 2, AND protect net neutrality. Taking this away would be like trusting a 3 year old in a candy store. You can tell them, don't eat that, but.... you already know they totally will. This is a huge deal! Protect this!!!!!

3427. jessica hildebrand, Plato, MO, 65552
I don't trust companies and congress to protect net neutrality please keep the title 2 isp

3428. David Smith, Kansas City, MO, 64134
Eliminating Net Neutrality makes it too easy for corporations to abuse Americans in such a way that by the time politicians even understand the consequences of it and write regulations, it's already too late. By the time the class action lawsuits come against the companies that abuse the removal of net neutrality, it's already too late. Even if you have been abused by a corporation due to the removal of net neutrality, and there is a class action lawsuit at a later time, you will only get pennies and the lawyers will take the lion's share of the "damages". There are already many locations in the USA where cable companies have established a monopoly in providing Internet services where they sign agreements to not compete with each other and to fix prices to be high. Removing Net Neutrality gives Internet Providers even more power over both their customers and other American citizens, which they have no right having to begin with.

3429. Andrew Outzen, Kansas City, MO, 64105
For the protection of a free and open Internet, I strongly support the current Net Neutrality policies under which Internet Service Providers are classified under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. As a US citizen working in the software development industry, I know the importance of being able to access information I need without being restricted unfairly by huge ISPs, as well as the immense amount of innovation that will surely be lost if ISPs are laxly regulated and allowed to bully small startups and innovators into the ground with their vast monetary resources. The Internet is a gateway to an amount of information that would have been unbelievable just a few decades ago, and we need to keep it that way. The ironically named "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal will actually strip freedom on the Internet, giving ISPs legal permission to hold their customers' access to all parts of a fast and reliable internet hostage for the sake of the almighty dollar that they cannot keep their hands off, as well as allowing the ISPs to effectively censor information they dislike by making access to it incredibly slow and difficult. To believe that the ISPs would abide by any promise to not throttle Internet speeds and access to competing web sites and information is extraordinarily naive. I implore the FCC: reject the "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal, and make a commitment to keep ISPs classified under Title II and keep the Internet fast, reliable, and open to all people and information.

3430. Thomas Cook, kansas city, MO, 64116
I am writing in opposition to the proposed rule changes. Please preserve the current classification of internet service providers under Title 2, and please leave the current net-neutrality rules unchanged.

3431. Jason Kayser, Jefferson city, MO, 65109
I support true net neutrality and for ISPs to remain classified under Title II.

3432. JOE BRESHEARS, Lebanon, MO, 65536
I support strong net neutrality controlled under title 2.

3433. Matthew Cooke, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Matt - As someone that works with cell phones and their subsequent services, has previously worked within Charter Communications software and services repair, and currently works with AT&T, Sprint, Verizon and their subsequent companies and subsidiaries, I am very familiar with the weight of "Net Neutrality". When I began my career within the wireless industry, at that time there were already numerous complaints against several of the ISPs (namely T-Mobile and AT&T) regarding their handling of internet speeds and services. For instance, when the iPhone 3GS was announced AT&T has a stranglehold on the selling of this device, and even though it eventually became available for other services, their devices were capped to the max speed available through their initial offering. Furthermore, when ISPs have legal disputes, they turn to their "Arbitration Agreement" clauses that mean that customers can be sued for legal complaints against the company if they do not keep it within the Arbitration statutes. So not only does this mean customers can be utterly destroyed by fees and taxes that do not seem to apply to them, they were not able to complain about these issues without fear of retaliation. Currently the ISPs (Especially AT&T) are working with the concept that they can determine how fast video consumption versus other services are able to be throttled, even to customers that pay a "premium" service price. (See Unlimited Plus vs. Unlimited Choice packages) To say that ISPs can be trusted to their own devices is a careless and ignorant statement, especially given that customer service and analysts see on a daily basis what they are truly capable of. Nathan - As a typical human being that games/ uses the internet on a consistent basis, I have a massive fear of just having my IS crash once a month. If Net Neutrality were to be dropped/ ignored all together, I would have a majority of my current lifestyle demolished due to my ISP deciding to obliterate my connection speeds just because I use it for a "silly game" or YouTube, etc. Let alone all of the people that actually make a living off of the use of internet services, because of the ridiculous hurdles keeping many of them from having a decent paying job, or one that doesn't make them feel insignificant to the point of making them want to commit suicide. As an example, there are over a billion accounts on youtube, assuming only a 10th of those people were to post videos and monetize them, that is 100 million accounts that could potentially lose their jobs because of ISPs having the ability to slow down their internet speed. Another example is twitch.tv, another streaming site which has over a million broadcasters that are watched a large amount of the time. That is another million people with the potential to lose their jobs because of ISPs, and approximately another 100 million (coincidentally) people that would lose one of their prime sources of entertainment (including myself). A majority of those people only make money off of donations, as ad revenue is minuscule, on top of ad blocking being a popular thing. If we were to drop net neutrality, that would be over a billion people world wide losing a prime source of entertainment, (only a billion if we assumed everyone on twitch watched youtube, very safe assumption) and over 200 million people losing their jobs because of some companies deciding to gain a few extra dollars here and there. That is why I, and many, many other people feel Net Neutrality and Title 2 need to stay the way the are.

3434. Nathan Benjamin, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I really believe that keeping ISPs classified under Title II is important for ensuring a balanced and fair internet.

3435. fred, Ballwin, MO, 63021
keep sip under title 2. keep net neutral.

3436. Jesse Diaz, Manchester, MO, 63021
Net Neutrality is extremely important to guarantee a level playing field and ensure that individuals should have free access to the internet. We need more ISP regulation, not less, particularly as it pertains to privacy of information, free competition on an open internet, and to prevent fast lanes for wealthy customers able to pay a premium for the service. This is corporatocracy at its worst and Americans won't stand for it!

3437. Luv Singh, Kansas City, MO, 64105
Instead of broadly saying that removing ISPs from Title 2 regulations would promote growth, let's see some actual data and evidence. Furthermore, as gesture of good faith, they should also provide a plan that includes harsh penalties for those who do show evidence of violating these rules on a per incident basis. If the private citizen is held responsible under the threat of jail time for not reporting taxes accurately, a similarly catastrophic penalty should be offered to those companies who are unethical. Ultimately, if these ISPs want to deregulate then need provide a plan with teeth indicating that they can self police.

3438. Nick Hanson, St. Charles, MO, 63303
Strong net neutrality is someone that should be required, there is no downside to net neutrality and we shouldn't let the cable companies control our government. Please do what is best for all Americans who use the internet, not what is best for the cable companies.

3439. Matt Scrogham, St Louis, MO, 63109
Keep Title2 you freaking moron

3440. Michael, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Net Neutrality is so very important. Don't get rid of it.

3441. Nicole Smith, Troy, MO, 63379
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Seriously, DO NOT mess with the internet. It's the only thing keeping people from burning things down.

3442. Megan Mehmert, Chamois, MO, 65024
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please ensure net neutrality for all.

3443. Steven Faust, St. Louis, MO, 63111
Preserve net neutrality under Title 2. This is the only way for a fair and free internet.

3444. Marsha Richins, Columbia, MO, 65203

I urge the FCC to maintain net neutrality and maintain oversight of the internet and ISPs with Title II provisions.

3445. Andrew Glosson, Columbia, MO, 65202
I request that the fcc continue to support a free and open internet by keeping it classified under title II.

3446. Randi Hanson, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I believe to do otherwise would result in a loss of net neutrality. It is not enough to simply "ask" corporations to behave appropriately.

3447. Nicole Guaetta-Hoffmann, Columbia, MO, 65203
Protect and keep net neutrality.

3448. Kelli Barrett, Independence, MO, 64057
Have integrity and do the right thing by upholding Title 2. You should be working to promote the GENERAL WELFARE, not the welfare of businesses looking to line their pockets.

3449. Keara, Wildwood, MO, 63038
I support keeping net neutrality rules backed by title 2. Expecting ISPs to keep a free and open internet simply relying on their good will is willfully blind.

3450. Vicki Johnson, Troy, MO, 63379
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. The Internet has enormous GDP impact and business potential if new businesses have a fair playing field.

3451. Kristi Potter, Springfield, MO, 65804
I strongly support treating the internet like a utility. It is the only way to ensure that any legal challenges will stand up in court.

3452. Daniel Lane, St. louis, MO, 63108
I support strong internet regulation under the title II regulation scheme from 1934. This was forced via a lawsuit with Verizon and until congress provides a novel law we must maintain the current scheme which allows the maintenance of a equal internet. Please maintain net neutrality.

3453. Colin Mogg, Plattsburg, MO, 64477
I support the preservation of net neutrality, and title 2.

3454. Net Neutrality, Fulton, MO, 65251
As an engineer, I strongly support the FCC's enforcement and preservation of net neutrality through the Title II classification of ISPs. If ISPs are allowed to sell preferential service speeds to wealthy companies, it will act as a barrier to entry for smaller internet businesses. By making smaller internet businesses noncompetitive, removal of Title II net neutrality laws will stifle innovation and cause the internet-driven portion of the economy to stagnate.

3455. Cameron Bailey, St. Louis, MO, 63123
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isps. Net neutrality is simply required.

3456. Stewart Diamond, Springfield, MO, 65804
ISPs have an advantage of position that will allow them to massively abuse not only their own customers but anyone who uses their assets. If ISPs are allowed to interfere with businesses that offer services over the internet then it will hurt innovation in every category. I am in favor of ISP oversight provided by TItle II. I am opposed to docket 17-108.

3457. Ashley DePung, St. Peters, MO, 63376
Hello, Please vote for the Internet to be a free and equal place for businesses. Net neutrality is so important and will impact small businesses negatively. Changing to Title One will let bigger corporations take away the ability to *easily* assess and communicate with smaller businesses. Blocking and throttling content is a clear ethical problem when dealing with favoritism and privilege. Just to break down privilege because I'm not sure that word alone covers what I'm trying to say, the advantage of accessibility to large companies in gaining their favor. Obviously this is simply put as black and white where I'm sure grey will exist, but I think it's important to keep in mind what power we are giving without any repercussions. Please vote for net neutrality to stay Title Two. Thank you! AshNicole DePung

3458. India Bloom, Boonville, MO, 65233
I strongly support the preservation of net neutrality rules. Voluntary compliance is not sufficient to ensure that net neutrality is protected.

3459. Erika Sinner, O Fallon, MO, 63368
I am in support of strong net neutrality laws and believe they should remain under Title II.

3460. Evan Cowden, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I am a professional software engineer who relies on a free and open Internet. I support strong net neutrality regulations under Title II.

3461. Anthony Shaver, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Please keep the internet neutral. Ending net neutrality does not make any sense. To end it would hurt small businesses. Ending net neutrality would only help those companies that are already making millions upon millions.

3462. Dawn Gott, Boonville, MO, 65233

A friend of mine put it best: "Keeping Internet Service Providers (ISPs) under Title II of the 1934 Communications Act is, per no less an authority than the United States Supreme Court, necessary to preserve Net Neutrality... and preserving Net Neutrality is absolutely necessary to the continued functionality of the internet, both for consumers and companies. Do not, under any condition, return ISPs to Title I."

3463. Lynnea Brumbaugh, St. Louis, MO, 63112
Keep net neutrality.Keep Title II.Don't screw this up.

3464. Stewart, Saint Robert, MO, 65584
I strongly urge the FCC to maintain a stand for free expression and an open internet by preserving net neutrality under Title 2.

3465. Megan Dattani, Kansas City, MO, 64106
Please keep our internet free and open, capitalizing on the internet for the biggest corporation is just disgusting and wrong

3466. D Kaiser, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Please support net neutrality! Keep the rules supported by Title II.

3467. jake anderson, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I support strong net neutrality backed by tile 2 oversight of ISPs.

3468. anna vierneisel, Kansas City, MO, 64108
In favor of strong net neutrality rules. I would like a free and open internet.

3469. Taylor Miller, Kansas City, MO, 64106
Net neutrality is in the best interest of the public. Doing away with net neutrality is only supported by cable and phone companies that would use it to increase profits at the expense of consumers. Net neutrality is essential to the success of the marketplace of ideas and the removal of net neutrality will stunt the success of the United States.

3470. christine gordon, Saint Louis, MO, 63126
Please keep Title 2 ISP regulations and maintain net neutrality.

3471. Susan Walker, St. Louis, MO, 63141
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Do NOT allow ISPs to decide whose content is more important.

3472. ricky ortiz, St. Louis, MO, 63114
I strongly support net neutrality and am against giving power to any one person or corporation who wants to control the content I can access.

3473. Andrew Phillips, Ozark, MO, 65721
Please uphold Title II and keep net neutrality laws strong. Keep the internet open.

3474. Jordan Young, Kirksville, MO, 63501
SUPPORT AND PRESERVE TITLE 2 OF NET NEUTRALITY!!! LISTEN TO YOUR CONSTITUENTS, NOT YOUR LOBBYISTS!!

3475. Diane Pletcher, Kansas City, MO, 64158
Protect net neutrality. Keep ISPs under Title 2.

3476. shafiq, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
Seriously guys net neutrality is the only thing we can agree on. Can you please not mess with it? Also fun fact trusting companies, out to make a profit, with regulations is never a good idea, NEVER. Make the right decision please. In case you don't know which one it is: it is the one that protects the consumer from the provider. Are we going to do this every couple of years because it is getting boring?

3477. Christopher Main, Scott City, MO, 63780
Net Neutrality needs to stay in place. For the love of god, there has to be limit to greed. Just stop being, for lack of better words, stupid. Stop thinking with your personal bank account and think about the millions using this utility.

3478. steve, st louis, MO, 63128
the internet should have equal access for any website. the last thing we need is to have internet access look like the disaster that is the current state of cable tv.

3479. Richard Baker, Columbia, MO, 65202
I STRONGLY support net neutrality under Title II oversight of ISPs.

3480. Megan Goodwin, De Soto, MO, 63020
Net neutrality is very important. Keeping ISPs under Title II is part of that. Do not go backwards in your safeguards for the American public.

3481. Daniel Charles, St. Louis, MO, 63146
As a cable consumer, I see immense value in maintaining the status quo with respect to net neutrality. The possible upside of the proposed action is, at best, marginally lower costs for consumers. The likely outcome is higher operating profit margins for major cable providers, minimal price reduction for consumers, and de facto censorship of the internet by corporations. The worst case scenario is horrible- please do everything you can do to avoid these risks and reject this proposal.

3482. Matthew B Swinford, Fair Play, MO, 65649
Please respect net neutrality and title 2. I don't believe in blindly trusting corporations to do the right thing. They usually dont.

3483. Mindy Stephenson, Columbia, MO, 65203
Title 2 is imperative for net neutrality. Don't change the rules to benefit the highest bidder.

3484. Matthew A. Pierce, Florissant, MO, 63031
I strongly support the continued regulation of Internet service providers (ISPs) under Title II. Without the protection these regulations provide, ISPs will be able to skew the marketplace for content toward their own or their business partners content, and away from the content preferred by the consumer. In short, ISPs should act as honest brokers of internet communications, and if they also offer content, that content should compete on a level playing field with other providers content.

3485. Amanda Kingston, Columbia, MO, 65202
I support Net Neutrality and ISPs being regulated by Title II. Please keep the regulations passed in 2014 in place. Thank you!

3486. Jeff Britton, Clayton, MO, 63105
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Also, I think Ajit Pai's mug is silly and he should consider getting something more professional.

3487. Lucas Cosolo, Kirbyville, MO, 65679
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs and am in opposition to 17-108.

3488. adam, St louis, MO, 63139
I support net neutrality and strong protects under title 2.

3489. Tyler Kargus, Imperial, MO, 63052
As a supporter of looking towards the future, I support the neutrality of the internet. The internet is not going to be leaving our lives anytime soon, and as such we should take under consideration the legacy we would like to leave for those who follow. And as an American I believe that freedom is the only acceptable option.

3490. Paul Hadfield, Wildwood, MO, 63011
Net neutrality is critical to our digital marketplace. Please ensure ISP's remain regulated via Title II designation.

3491. Joe Ryan, Kansas City, MO, 64112
Leave the INTERNET alone. Companies will act/behave inappropriately.

3492. Paul Fleming, Springfield, MO, 65803
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108. The fact that this again must be opposed is a slap in the face to the millions of people who support fair competition in the marketplace.

3493. jackie, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I suppose strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.

3494. Kathleen Dolson, Saint Louis, MO, 63121
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. This is important. This is why - "An Open Internet means consumers can go where they want, when they want. This principle is often referred to as Net Neutrality. It means innovators can develop products and services without asking for permission. It means consumers will demand more and better broadband as they enjoy new lawful Internet services, applications and content, and broadband providers cannot block, throttle, or create special "fast lanes" for that content. The FCC's Open Internet rules protect and maintain open, uninhibited access to legal online content without broadband Internet access providers being allowed to block, impair, or establish fast/slow lanes to lawful content.

3495. Nancy Hultberg, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Uphold net neutrality. Don't allow ISPs to dictate what I see and how fast I see it!!

3496. Brunet Nevess, Springfield, MO, 65807
I am in full support of strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight. In this digital age, with society trending toward the internet of things, it is more important than ever to maintain a free and open pipeline for all to use. This will allow all entities, big and small, to compete on a level playing field and will allow us, the consumers, to vote with our dollars for the products/companies/services we like best. Stripping regulation of this now will set the stage for ISPs to be able to control what rises to the top and destroys the consumersâ€™ ability to participate in a free and open market. Every trucking company is allowed to use the roads without having to worry about paying more to use the fast lanes. Internet service should be no different. Please do not weaken net neutrality and leave it in the hands of large corporations by removing Title II oversight. I am fully opposed to Docket No. 17-108." Fingers crossed.

3497. Emily Davis, St. Louis, MO, 63108
Please keep internet neutrality laws strict, class 2 (or type 2?). Either way. Make it impossible for companies to profit by driving internet traffic or restricting internet speed.

3498. Rachel Ost, Kansas City, MO, 64114
My privacy online hinges onto net neutrality. My choices online should not be throttled by my ISPs. In short, the Net needs to remain free.

3499. David Weiss, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
I want to voice my position regarding Title II and net neutrality. I believe it is extremely important not to rescind Title II and let ISP's

police themselves regarding net neutrality. The head of the FCC, Ajit Pai, states that he thinks deregulation is good and companies will only apply controls and restrictions that are fair. I question if he ever talked to companies that were affected by ISP throttling before Title II was put in place - like Netflix or Google. I strongly believe ISPs need government oversight regarding net neutrality or they will do whatever it takes to get an edge and make more money.

3500. Annie Murphey, Kansas City, MO, 64109
Don't fall prey to corporate desires. Protect the people and our freedom. Preserve net neutrality and Title II!

3501. Gloria Hoch, Saint Louis, MO, 63132
I Want net neurality. Yay.

3502. Eric Cote, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I support net neutrality and am disgusted that you are planning on taking ISPs out of title II. You sick, rich, pieces of garbage need to stop hurting the common man to help yourselves. I hate you.

3503. Kristin Rebik, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
I support strong net neutrality with title II oversight of internet service providers.

3504. Scott Ernst, St. Louis, MO, 63108
I support net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. PRESERVE TITLE II!

3505. Amanda Claunch, St. Peters, MO, 63376
The FCC needs to stand up for Internet users and keep the net neutrality rules that are already in effect under Title II. The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Paiâ€™s proposal to give the ISP monopolies like Verizon, Comcast, and AT&T the authority to create Internet fast lanes. I urge you to keep Title II net neutrality in place, and safeguard Internet users like me.

3506. justine cote, Kansas City, MO, 64114
net neutrality and title 2 are absolutely essential to equal access to our internet and our democracy. Do you job and keep it regulated.

3507. Greg Blome, St Louis, MO, 63104
I am pro net neutrality backed by title 2. Don't slip on this one!

3508. Micah Houston, Saint Joseph, MO, 64503
Dear Mr. Ajit Pai,I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversite of ISPs. If you move forward with the proposal to change net neutrality regulations, you will reveal yourself as a puppet of mayor media corporations and not a man representing the American people in the role that you were appointed to as FCC chairman. To make this perfectly clear: I am writing to say NO to eliminating Title 2 and/or re-writing the internet neutrality rules.Sincerely,Micah Houston

3509. John Carroll, St. Charles, MO, 63303
Preserve Net Neutrality! Keep ISPs under Title II! It's really important!

3510. Nehemiah Rosell, Kansas city, MO, 64109
We need strong net neutrality rules. Please take all steps to make sure the Internet remains fair. Actions otherwise will be met with strong resistance.

3511. John Kramer, Maplewood, MO, 63143
Please protect net neutrality by continuing to regulate ISPs under title 2. It is the only way to properly protect the freedom of communication that we all enjoy currently.

3512. Cori Crider, Columbia, MO, 65203
A neutral internet is important. Title II designation provides the legal support to make ISPs comply with this policy. KEEP THE INTERNET FAIR. KEEP THE INTERNET EVEN. KEEP THE INTERNET AMERICAN> Preserve net neutrality and title II.

3513. Buddy Kirby, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight by ISPs. I'm an entrepreneur that depends on a fair and equal access to internet bandwidth.

3514. Nathan LeSeure, Fulton, MO, 65251
I urge the FCC to preserve Net Neutrality as well as Title II regulation.

3515. Andy during, Florissant, MO, 63031
I, and most anyone who knows anything about it, strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2. Do everything in your power to protect it.

3516. Ruth Walker, Springfield, MO, 65809
Please keep net neutrality and Title II. Decisions about who should be heard on the internet should not be left up to corporations and lobbyists.

3517. Gwen Brockman, Platte City, MO, 64079
I strongly support Net Neutrality and Tittle ll. Please do not change it.

3518. Chris Fry, Eminence, MO, 65466
I am a 38 year old father and I am STRONGLY in favor of Net Neutrality.

3519. Jason Gildehaus, Union, MO, 63084
Don't mess with net neutrality. Keep your nose out of what works fine.

3520. 8987, Kansas city, MO, 64137
I specifically support strongly net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP

3521. Nicholas Alan Eagleburger, Springfield, MO, 65807
Please leave net neutrality classified as title II. Changing this is not pro America, or pro citizens, its pro billionaire and monopoly.

3522. Dustin, Piedmont, MO, 63957
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Anything less is unacceptable. Thank you and have a nice day.

3523. jeffrey frye, Springfield, MO, 65810
I support strong net neutrality, and preserve title two

3524. Blake Hoel, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I do not trust internet providers to be allowed to have power over the internet service I receive. The law should stand as it is.

3525. Troy, Sedalia, MO, 65301
Please do not remove title 2

3526. Alyssa Huskins, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
I strongly and specifically support Net Neutrality backed by Title II of ISPs.

3527. Jeff Jourdain Jr, Saint Louis, MO, 63141
I want the FCC to preserve net neutrality, and maintain Title II regulation of ISPs. This issue is EXTREMELY important to me.

3528. Meagan Nolte, Columbia, MO, 65203
I'm writing in regards to the title 2 that's necessary for companies to be kept honest and keeps a level playing field for ISP's

3529. Susan Ditch, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Do not deregulate.

3530. Cindy Maddera, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Keep Net Neutrality and title two!

3531. stephen schaper, University City, MO, 63130
Please work to keep strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers.

3532. cara rowley, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I deeply support strong net neutrality through FCC regulation of ISPs through Title II. Any change from the current regulation would deeply displease and hurt consumers.

3533. Tyler Buchanan, Willow Springs, MO, 65793
Preserve strong net neutrality, neutrality rules, and title II

3534. Nicholas Martellaro, Richmond Heights, MO, 63117
I support strong Net Neutrality backed Title 2 over site of ISPs.

3535. Elizabeth Valentine, University City, MO, 63130
I strongly support maintaining solid net neutrality through the existing protections under title ii. I am deeply concerned about the consequences of allowing large corporations to regulate themselves in areas from which they stand to profit, which is a counterintuitive mindset. I hope the FCC will maintain the existing net neutrality protections under title ii that have served well to protect consumers and have done nothing to harm internet service providers.

3536. John Gerwel, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I support strong Net neutrality regulated under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Please do the right thing and keep net neutrality alive.

3537. Mark Patterson, Kansas City, MO, 64116
I strongly support net neutrality. Please keep it.

3538. Jeff Burman, St. Louis, MO, 63105
I am strongly in favor of continuing the classification of ISPs under Title 2.

3539. Steph Kelleher, Arnold, MO, 63010
I urge the FCC to keep the current Title II regulations in place in order to keep the internet free and open. Reclassifying internet service providers back to Title I would only help large corporations - it would hurt the common man. I don't want any ISPs to have the ability to throttle certain websites and speed up access to others. Claiming that they wouldn't do that is a falsehood - they have tried in the past, hence why they're currently classified under Title II. Please keep strong net neutrality rules in place.

3540. Chris McCracken, kansas city, MO, 64131

I am in strong favor of net neutrality

3541. Marian Pursell, St. Louis, MO, 63119
Do not change the classification from title 2. Net neutrality is important, and it does not hurt anyone to have it classified as a utility; it only prevents future problems. In this day and age the internet is used as a utility and should be classified as such.

3542. Jeff Tompkins, St. Louis, MO, 63141
Please be certain to filter out all the duplicate comments with fake names.

3543. David Hulsen, Kansas City, MO, 64113
Preserve net neutrality to ensure fair business practices.

3544. Michael Luna, Gallatin, MO, 64640
I support net neutrality. Please keep "Title 2" so internet providers don't throttle, block or hide websites that don't pay them, like they did to Netflix and You Tube back in 2012.

3545. Scott Wagner, Columbia, MO, 65201
The FCC needs to make sure the internet stays free and unbiased towards any companies. Keep Net neutrality strong under title 2.

3546. Greg Pasley, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Preserve net neutrality and keep ISPs under Title 2. This is an important issue.

3547. Greg, Hillsboro, MO, 63050
Preserve net nuetrality. Ajit Pai should be fired. And why is our country which is based on freedom and equality this hard to keep free and equal. Its Truely disturbing this is even a problem we have to waste time and effort on

3548. Katherine Brewer, Saint Louis, MO, 63117
Don't be ridiculous. We need to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.

3549. Joshua Ford, Poplar Bluff, MO, 63901
I support Net Neutrality and believe the internet had ought to be a public utility. I believe that the "Restoring Internet Freedom" act would do exactly the opposite and give consumers less choices than ever. Moreover, I think Ajit Pai's comments about a DDoS of the FCC are false, I do not believe there was any attack on the FCC. I believe the comments were a preemptive excuse for when Pai continues to push for the removal of net neutrality despite public outcry. I will believe AJit Pai's claim of a DDoS when he shows proof. Finally, I believe that almost every comment on here containing a canned, copy-pasted response is a bot, oddly they all support gutting net neutrality; strange, that.

3550. Rowley, Springfield, MO, 65804
It is important to me as a citizen that ISPs are kept under Article II of the Communications Act- please protect net neutrality!

3551. Bailey Wagner, Columbia, MO, 65201
The FCC should make strong Net Neutrality laws. The people of America deserve to be in control of how they use the internet.

3552. Joshua Delbert, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
ISPs need to be classified as a TITLE 2 Utility! Preserving an open internet is crucial for fair and equal access to the resources and information available. I demand that the FCC preserve the NET NEUTRALITY rules put in place during the Obama Presidency!

3553. Jacqueline L. Mines, St. Louis, MO, 63109
I urge FCC to continue to maintain net-neutrality and Title-II.

3554. May Wasserman, Kansas City, MO, 64133
Please preserve the existing net neutrality rules and Title 2 protection. They are not doing any harm to consumers. There is no need for revision.

3555. Jake Boeding, Columbia, MO, 65201
I whole-heartedly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!

3556. Jared Williams, columbia, MO, 65203
I support strong and robust Net Neutrality Protections. In order to maintain these protections ISPs should continue to be classified under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. A free and open internet is essential and must be protected and maintained by the FCC.

3557. Aimee Yankowski, OFallon, MO, 63368
I support strong net neutrality protections through Title II for all ISPs. The current director (and former Verizon lawyer, whose client sued the FCC when ISPs were under Title 1) is trying to blow smoke up my ass acting dumb about what net neutrality is, and I don't appreciate it. His proposals for deregulating are so lax and offer no protection to the fair and level playing field we THE PEOPLE demand.What big company has ever followed through on a "promise" not to act competitively in terms of fairness? Big companies will CRUSH small companies before they have a chance to take off, our innovation and world competitive edge will stagnate if they have preferential access to the internet speeds because they bribe the ISPs. It has already happened, and it will happen again without these protections. I see it happening even with Vaping products (smokeless alternative to cigarettes) and the bribes Big Tobacco (and their CEOs in 1994 swearing UNDER OATH to the US government that nicotone was NOT ADDICTIVE, i.e. LYING/PERJURY) give to the government to classify vaping products more harshly than tobacco in order to put them out of business. This is the SAME THING if you "Roll back" our internet neutrality protections.The Oatmeal offered EVIDENCE to Ted Cruz the first time, in handy CARTOON format! Just a refresher, Mr. FCC Director with the "infamous" Reese's Cup Coffee Mug: http://theoatmeal.com/blog/net_neutralityJohn

Oliver led me here via "gofccyourself.com" because it was more tedious and complicated getting to this page than last time (Thank you, John!) Oh, and John's mug is so very BIG! ;)The ISPs should remain under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 with stronger regulations to assure an even playing field for all internet users.

3558. Jacob Campbell, Columbia, MO, 65201
I would like to express my support for Net Neutrality and labeling ISPs as "utilities".

3559. Becca Wholey, Columbia, MO, 65201
We need net neutrality. The internet needs to remain fair and free from the control of the ISPs.

3560. Gary Fraley, St Peters, MO, 63376
I strongly support a strong net neutrality and title 2.

3561. Katlin Klusmeyer, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
Please protect net neutrality by upholding the measures put in place to keep ISPs under Title II. This effects everyone and is extremely important for protecting our freedoms as Americans.

3562. Carpenter, tom, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
I believe in net neutrality. It is a cornerstone of the digital democracy. Keep title 2 and do not allow the ISPs to break a functioning system.

3563. Carpenter, Rachel, O'fallon, MO, 63366
I believe in preserving net neutrality and title 2. It is a cornerstone of our democracy and is essential to our freedoms

3564. Theodosia Widdicombe, St Louis, MO, 63123
Keep the net neutrality rules in their current state. Businesses should not be able to control internet access of the public.

3565. Joe Rakers, St.Louis, MO, 63109
I believe in Intranet Neutrality. It is freedom of speech.

3566. Bryce Keeney, Mtn Grove, MO, 65711
The fact that the chairmen of the FCC supports this bill proves that he is corrupt. As a former lawyer for verizon, it is a conflict of interest that he is allowed to be chairmen. Title 2 protection prevents the ISPs from making additional money off advertising. But that is just the start. Consider encrypted traffic, an ISP could prevent encrypted traffic stating "We cannot classify this type of traffic, so we will ban it and only allow traffic that we can monitor (deep packet inspection)" Now all journalism is dead. And all so verizon could make more money. If the ISPs need more money, they should charge us more. That is the only way they can make money. ISP needs to be defined that way. They provide a service, that is it. Please, please, please, have some decency and keep strict title 2 definition of the internet.

3567. scott, Kansas City, MO, 61441
Keep the net neutral and out of ISP's pockets and condoms

3568. Mark A Zaydman, St Louis, MO, 63116
I wanted to express my strong support for maintenance of net neutrality and I believe that regulating internet service providers under title two and maintaining a strong FCC are critical to this goal. I strongly disapprove of undermining these critical oversights and consumer protections.

3569. Jeffrey Zacks, University City, MO, 63130
I am writing to urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality and to keep ISPs regulated under title to. It's the right thing to do.

3570. Dona Delbert, St. Louis, MO, 63123
I would like you to keep the ISPs Title II Utility. You are trying to kill net neutrality. We need to preserve an open internet so it is fair and equal to access resources and information. There was a reason why this was placed during Obama Presidency because ISPs were throttling Netflix and wanting them to pay money to keep their service fast. "Blackmail"

3571. Davis, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I support strong net-neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. The internet should be free and open as it is a basic human right. Thank you.

3572. Amanda Zaydman, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
I want to express my strong support of Net Neutrality and the regulation of ISPs under Title 2. This is critical to protect consumers and democracy at large.

3573. Lia, St. Louis, MO, 63123
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Regulations = fair competition and product assurance for consumers.

3574. sandra schneider, saint charles, MO, 63301
please don't mess with net nuetrality. we know what you are doing and it will put us at a disadvantage over big companies manipulating what is great for all into a nest egg for some corporate hack.

3575. Charles Griffin, Florissant, MO, 63033
I strongly support Net Neutrality under Title 2, to keep a free and open internet.

3576. Ben Schellman, Ashland, MO, 65010
I strongly believe that the FCC should support net- neutrality and keep title 2 regulations for internet providers.

3577. Trace Turner, St. Louis, MO, 63119
Please continue to support net neutrality under Title II provisions. Please. Please. Please

3592. Myron H Daldrup, Peculiar, MO, 64078
The Internet was created by universities to allow free exchange of information. It was never meant to serve the needs of the few or restrict the ability of the many. I ask that the current net neutrality rules stay in place.

3593. George Ahrens, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Net Neutrality to stay! Don't change it!

3594. Wayne Geery, Sturgeon, MO, 65284
Please keep our current Net Neutrality laws in place. Allowing corporations to operate in good faith is never a good idea.

3595. Susan Hernandez, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Retain internet neutrality and retain title 2

3596. Nick Pifer, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
I strongly support net neutrality under the title II classification of broadband internet services. Please do not favor big ISPs over consumers. Net neutrality helps citizens have access to important information, protect an open market, and prevent monopolies.

3597. Matthew Dill, Springfield, MO, 65804
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I insist that the FCC preserve strong net neutrality.

3598. Lisa K Pisane, Aurora, MO, 65605
Title 2 of the Communications Act is important to keep reasonable restrictions over ISPs and uphold net neutrality.

3599. kellie freeman, Springfield, MO, 65807
I support net neutrality. Expand access, do not limit.

3600. ray Dlugolecki, Raymore, MO, 64083
Keep the internet free! Maintain the title II protection! How in the hell can you trust greedy corporations ran by men with tiny little orange hands to do what is in the best interest of the people? Trump, here's looking at you Oompa Loompa.

3601. Ashley Reeb, St. Louis, MO, 63111
I support net neutrality laws under Title 2. Please do not eliminate the net neutrality laws put in place under the Obama Administration.

3602. Alex Dallmeyer, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Strong net neutrality must be enforced as well as Title II.

3603. Brendan Shaughnessy, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I specifically support net neutrality and anything less than total freedom of the Internet is an infringement on American rights.

3604. Valerie Geery, Sturgeon, MO, 65284
Please keep the net neutrality laws in place

3605. Heather Neal, Springfield, MO, 65804
Leave on Title 2!

3606. Irvin Threadgill III, Kansas City, MO, 64118
Keep net neutrality strong and preserve tittle II for ISP.

3607. Thomas Neal, Springfield, MO, 65804
Do not move the ISP regulation off title 2

3608. Maximilian Lyon, University City, MO, 63130
I believe that strong enforcement of powerful net neutrality regulation is vital. The vast majority of information exchange occurs over the internet and allowing corporations to block or restrict access to this information should not be considered. Title II classification is an important barrier to companies repeating what they have done in the past. Some examples of internet service providers using their power for what would now be illegal financial gain include: Comcast blocking peer-to-peer services, AT&T blocking VOIP services, Windstream hacking their customers search engines, MetroPCS blocking video streaming services, AT&T, Verison, and Sprint blocking access to Google Wallet in favor of supporting their ISIS app, Verison blocking and removing tethering applications, AT&T blocking third-party communication apps unless their customers paid them more, and finally it was stated by Verisons legal counsel that the company would intentionally violate their customers access to the open internet if the rules were not in place. The ISP industry consists largely of monopolies. According to the FCC's own "Internet Access Services: Status as of December 31, 2012 ," almost 30% of Americans have access to one or zero service providers. There is no "free market" competition to keep these people safe. Without the regulations, there is NO incentive for the service providers to allow them affordable and fare access. Corporations have used their unrestricted power to harm American citizens in the past for their own financial gain and there is no reason at all to believe they will not do so again. As a side note, there are a large number of Brittanys, Brittenys, and Brians that have all submitted the same copied-and-pasted denouncement of the regulations currently in place. It is highly suspicious that so many people with similar names from all across the country simultaneously submitted the exact same text at the same time. If the FCC is worried about DDoS attacks, they may wish to investigate those postings. The FCC should also release the traffic logs to be analyzed by an independent investigator before claiming an attack by a source critical of their actions.

3609. Douglas Imler, St. Louis, MO, 63146
I am strongly opposed to docket No. 17-108 and support strong title II oversight of ISPs. If find it very troublesome that the head of the FCC does not seem to understand the importance of functioning and independent communications infrastructure in the united states. His

arguments that there is no need for regulation because bad behavior by service providers is entirely hypothetical seems to ignore a long history of lawsuits surrounding bad behavior by service providers. https://www.extremetech.com/computing/186576-verizon-caught-throttling-netflix-traffic-even-after-its-pays-for-more-bandwidthhttp://www.nydailynews.com/new-york/ag-sues-spectrum-time-warner-slow-internet-speeds-article-1.2961418Internet needs to be treated as a utility and just like any other utility providers should not be allowed to try and dictate winners and losers in the end use market. My water should not be throttled because of my choice of toilet fixture, my electricity should not be limited because of my choice of microwave, and my internet should not be restricted based on my choice of streaming service.

3610. Anthony, Kansas City, MO, 64109
The only freedom that would be restored is the freedoms of ISPs to extort huge sums from web based services so their service is not throttled. Chairman Pai's claim that ISPs behaved themselves before being reclassified under title II is a lie. For example, before being reclassified under title II, Comcast, while in negotiations with Netflix, intentionally throttled Netflix internet traffic to Comcast subscribers in order to strong arm Netflix into caving to Comcast's demands. It should also not be ignored that Chairman Pai's background includes working at an ISP, Verizon. I find it difficult to believe that he is not influenced by his past at or his connections with Verizon, which very clearly has an interest in being able to discriminate in the types of internet traffic it allows. The ability to descriminate between internet traffic and create fast and slow lanes would allow ISPs to create fist class and coach class sections of the internet, creating a pay to play system that favors the wealthy and further disadvantages the poor. There is evidence that this type of behavior occurred before reclassification of ISPs under title II and Mr. Pai's assertion that reclassification to title I would not result in ISPs abusing their positions of power is at worst dishonest and at best extremely naive.

3611. Barry Reeb, St. Louis, MO, 63111
I support net neutrality as it is currently regulated under title II. Do not change these federal regulations!

3612. Devin Wallace, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Keep net neutrality strong. Preserve title II for ISPs!

3613. Brian, Kansas City, MO, 64030
Please do not do away with net neutrality regulations. ISP's cannot be trusted to self-regulate this as the potential for abuse is too great and literally at their fingertips. Keep Title II!

3614. Jacob Stueck, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I support the current FCC practices for ensuring a free and open internet. Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers allows for the legal authority to enforce net neutrality, has little or no effect on ISPs willingness to serve the market, and does not produce undue government expense or inane bureaucracy.

3615. Jon Bauer, Kansas City, MO, 64105
You must preserve internet neutrality and Title II regulation

3616. Brianna Mormann, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Strong net neutrality regulations must be upheld and enforced along with Title II.

3617. john maurer, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
Keep the internet open. Keep the ISP under Title II!

3618. John Taylor, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Do not reverse current net neutrality rules. Regulation under Title II is appropriate and provides the best protections for consumers and does not impede industry investment and growth.

3619. Deana Meiners, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
I am in strong favor of enforcing net neutrality and Title II.

3620. Lauren Hastings, Kansas City, MO, 64116
Net neutrality is extremely important. We need to keep internet providers on title II to disallow provider from profiting on internet site speeds. My internet site for my business should be able to access at the same speed as amazon. It is the one fair marketplace we have in our society.

3621. Jana Hester, Springfield, MO, 65806
I support strong neutrality and request that you preserve Title II.

3622. c elliott, Kansas City, MO, 64112
Preserve neutrality and title 2. Not even sure why this is an issue. No benefit to the consumer.

3623. Kendra, St. Louis, MO, 63114
Title 2 and internet freedom should be preserved. Equality and fairness are necessary.

3624. Justin Fontenot, Columbia, MO, 65203
Access should be equal, at all times and under any and all circumstances. The ISPs do not need another revenue stream.

3625. Shane Yozzo, Kansas city, MO, 64110
I want to keep net neutrality rules in place for a free and open internet. I strongly support title 2 oversight of ISPs. Do not end net neutrality.

3626. Spencer Thut, Kansas City, MO, 64110

I support keeping Net Neutrality under Title II regulation; I don't trust companies to not provide faster speeds to certain services if left to their own devices.

3627. Constantino Schillebeeckx, St Louis, MO, 63110
the internet must stay neutral and cannot be meddled with by power hungry ISPs

3628. Eddie Jones, Saint Robert, MO, 65584
Please preserve net neutrality and keep the rules in title 2.

3629. Keaton Ashlock, Springfield, MO, 65807
I fully support the preservation of Net Neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs. Internet is a utility.

3630. Katie Patterson, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Keep Net Neutrality under title 2 enforcement.

3631. svea flaherty, Greenwood, MO, 64034
ISP's need to continue to be regulated under Title II. United States needs Net Neutrality!

3632. lacy r, Branson, MO, 65616
Maintaining net neutrality is not only important it is also an example of integrity not always seen lately. You know it's the right thing- don't talk yourself out of it.

3633. Jennifer D Gates, St. Louis, MO, 63123
Please protect net neutrality and Title II enforcements. It is so important for our daily lives, from business transactions to interacting with family and friends to supporting causes and raising funds for medical care. We read, write, live and love via the Internet. We get jobs, stay in contact, and research using the Internet. Companies should not get to bias our access to information or control whether we can speak with our loved ones.

3634. Jessica Wallace, Kansas city, MO, 64110
Please do not reduce regulations on net neutrality by removing Title II oversight of ISPs

3635. Isaac Comer, Kansas City, MO, 64116
I support strong regulation of ISPs to ensure Net Neutrality. Specifically, it is of paramount importance that ISPs continue to be regulated under Title II.

3636. Robert Schlehuber, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
I support continuing title 2 and strong protections for Net Neutrality. Trusting the ISPs to protect open access is against the very nature of a corporation.

3637. Ernest Rigg, St. Louis, MO, 63123
Preserve net neutrality. Keep ISPs under title II regulation. There is no reason to roll this back: it was put in place for a reason and you cannot expect companies to voluntarily act in accordance with regulations if you remove the regulations!

3638. Gabriel Presley, saint louis, MO, 63109
I support the retention of Title II protections for net neutrality. I believe in the American principle of equality for all and allowing ISP's to sell access to the internet degrades the very nature of the internet and the many benefits that it provides to our country.

3639. Ashley M Bird, Saint Louis, MO, 63101
I have concerns the the protections put in place by Title II will be weakened if we change how they're enforced. I'm in favor of better protections regarding net neutrality, but what is proposed allows for fewer. It can and will have real consequences for all Americans.For example, Paragraph 82 requests input on whether throttling should be regulated. In the past, ISPs have throttled service or used data caps based on what they imagined to be lawful; they were only forced to stop by the courts. Why wouldn't that practice return if Title II status is removed? Also, I'm concerned about ISPs and mobile service providers offering approved zero-rating networks of websites. This allows corporations with more money to make deals with providers that unfairly disadvantage new and smaller businesses/media sources/etc, and it spits in the face of what's good about a free market. Thank you for reading my comment.

3640. Karyn May, Florissant, MO, 63034
leave net neutrality alone under title 2

3641. Keith Corley, Liberty, MO, 64068
I strongly support great protections of net neutrality under title 2 protections.

3642. Keegan Keown, Springfield, MO, 65802
We need strong net neutrality, This vital component of what makes the internet the internet needs to remain in tact, without it we will only see monopolies rise from current large ISP providers and the death of an open market that makes global commerce possible as well as the free speech that'll be taken from us. By giving other sites priority and slowing others you strip free speech from those expressing their opinions online by burying what they have to say in a tie up of slow service due to possible company ties and interests and concern for ones own business and not the service it should be provided as. "This is the way the internet ends, this is the way the internet ends, this is the way the internet ends, not with a bang, but with a whimper"

3643. Andrew Eck, Saint Louis, MO, 63132
Please support a free and open internet by preserving Net Neutrality. I do not want ISP's to control what information I am able to easily access.

3644. Sarah Jordan, Kansas City, MO, 64119
You know what this is about. Protect net neutrality.

3645. Jeff Sefton, Lees Summit, MO, 64082
Please preserve net neutrality. No large company or ISP can be trusted to be fair and neutral on their own without rules keeping them that way.

3646. Ian Harvey, St Louis, MO, 63108
I am of the opinion that the nation will benefit from strong net neutrality more than it would benefit from weak net neutrality. Specifically, the potential benefits gained by ISPs by weakening net neutrality are less than the potential losses for small businesses that could be stifled arbitrarily at the discretion of ISPs. Due to limited options when selecting an ISP throughout the country, the free market will not be able to effectively punish bad actors. Until high-speed ISP options become more generally available, I believe that strong regulation should remain intact.

3647. Clayton Weidinger, Ashland, MO, 65010
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs). I oppose moving back to Title I. A strong guarantee of net neutrality is the only way to ensure customers get the best service for the websites that they request.

3648. Maggie Zinkl, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
I highly support Net Neutrality and maintaining it under Title 2. I demand freedom in this, the land of the free.

3649. Kimberly Hunley, St. Louis, MO, 63130
Please keep title II for net neutrality.

3650. Catherine Kenny, Saint Louis, MO, 63132
Please, please please keep net neutrality under Title 2.

3651. Wilhelmus Poelma, o'fallon, MO, 63368
I Support Strong Net Neutrality Backed by 'Title II' oversight of ISPs

3652. Ripley Herndon, Independence, MO, 64050
Remain title II

3653. elizabeth Prestwood, Springfield, MO, 65804
Please keep net neutrality and title II. I believe in fair access of the Internet

3654. Barbara Holthaus, St. Louis, MO, 63128
Please keep net neutrality rules as they are under Title II.

3655. Zachary Mullenax, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
Ending net neutrality only benefits large corporations and hurts everyone else. It's a stupid, asinine idea that needs to stop. The internet needs to remain uncensored and we need to prevent people with agendas from screwing it up!

3656. Chris Cobbett, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Supporting net neutrality is a no brainer. Do the right thing and protect an open internet.

3657. peggy hoelting, St. Louis, MO, 63116
Keep net neutrality in place. I strongly support net neutrality and Title II.

3658. Blakley Stretch, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
I specifically support strong net neutrality regulations.

3659. J. Heigham, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Please protect net neutrality and title two!

3660. Internet, Lee's Summit, MO, 64063
I support free and open internet regulated under title II. As a American consumer, I expect proper protections and regulations from the FCC and keep corporations in check. Keep the corporate fuckery to a minimum.

3661. Bryan Bricker, Monett, MO, 65708
Net neutrality is the lifeblood of the Internet. Selling consumer information is not only unethical for privacy reasons, but also contributes to corporate greed. Metering specific website groups' connection speeds is taking away consumers' Internet freedom; something oft considered in the modern world to be a basic human right.

3662. Michelle, Saint Louis, MO, 63143
PLEASE PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE TWO. AJIT PAI, I SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE TWO OVERSIGHT OF ISPs.

3663. Ben Ridling, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
Do not roll back regulations.

3664. Scott Crooks, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
Keep net neutrality rules under title 2

3665. Erik Karlix, St. Peters, MO, 63376
The FCC should continue to support a free and open internet by keeping strong net neutrality rules.

3666. Thomas Adams, Columbia, MO, 65203
I support ISP's being covered under Title II of the communication act insuring net neutrality.

3667. david taschner, Marland heights, MO, 63043
Keep net neutrality and title two in place. I support net neutrality as it stands and do not support any change in its current status.

3668. Mike Duncan, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
This is a terrible idea. Keep the internet free.

3669. Gary Burger, St. Louis, MO, 63131
Please keep net neutrality intact by keeping FCC governance in title 2 of the statute and regulation governing it. Please contact me if you have any questions. Thanks.

3670. Kristen Williamson, St Louis, MO, 63131
This is terrible for citizens across America. Ending net neutrality would only help corporations and would hurt everyone else. Please listen to what Americans really want and keep net neutrality.

3671. Matthew Eis, Saint Joseph, MO, 64503
I support net neutrality and that means holding the internet under title 2 of the 1934 communications act. I do not want companies to be able to control what speeds they offer me when I'm deciding to rent a movie from netflix or amazon.

3672. William Kiefer, Florissant, MO, 63031
Keep strong neutrality and title 2.

3673. James Pace, Ofallon, MO, 63366
Hi! You probably know what this is about. I support strong net neutrality enforcement under section 2. Thanks!

3674. Bronson, St. Louis, MO, 63139
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II: Allowing corporations to meter the pace or services of the information pipeline (the internet), and thereby the freedoms associated with the first amendment is WRONG.

3675. Avery Ray, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
All over the country, there are very few options for an internet provider. Even fewer quality options exist in rural areas. If net neutrality is not protected, it opens the doors for internet providers to have absolute power over consumers and dictate what content they can see. Regulations must be in place to allow for the unrestricted exchange of ideas and information.

3676. George Sels, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
I support net neutrality as it currently stands, under Title 2 of the Communications Act. Please do not deregulate in this important space.

3677. Jeremy Williams, Platte City, MO, 64079
I strongly support net neutrality and Internet Service Providers regulated under Title 2.

3678. Jacob Anderson, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I'd like to voice my opinion that the FCC should preserve its decision on Feb 26th, 2015 to reclassify broadband as a common carrier under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 and Section 706 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

3679. Max Bogue, Saint Louis, MO, 63112
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. Switching back to Title 1 will allow them to engage in anti-competitive behavior and threaten the economy of the internet.

3680. beth sharpe, Saint louis, MO, 63109
The FCC should not repeal the internet neutrality laws. They should continue to use the title two descriptors.

3681. Quinton Shaw, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by strong Title II oversight for ISPs.

3682. Radmila Samardzija, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Dear Ajit Pai,I specifically support strong net neutrality with title II oversight of ISPsThank you, Dr. Radmila Samardzija, DPM

3683. laura edwards, Columbia, MO, 65203
I urge you to keep net neutrality strong. Protect consumers not corporations. Protect

3684. Juan, Lees Summit, MO, 64086
It takes a lot of work to get something done correctly and while I wish that something like net neutrality was not needed it has been shown time and time again that it is. In most markets there is very little competition, if any, removing these types of rules lets the typical companies do what they want with their 800lb gorilla weight with a severe lack of any governance. Going back a couple of years wireless carriers refused to allow Google Wallet onto their platform due to their competing products is this not something net neutrality prevents? Hint it does. Just a year or two ago ISPs were pretty evidently discriminating against Netflix - very easily identifiable since using using Netflix over a VPN solved the issues. Is this not another thing that net neutrality prevents? Hint it does. Let us not throw away a lot of good work.

3685. Robert Tatum, Saint Louis, MO, 63141
The Internet is integral to both personal and business communications. Truly a utility. As such, it requires Title II governance.

3686. Mark Lewis, Saint Joseph, MO, 64507
It is to the benefit of all citizens for the federal government to regulate the internet via net neutrality. Allowing "free market" forces helps the big players in the market and will harm innovation. The beauty of the original internet is that it provided a level playing field so that innovative companies like Google were created along with new business models that might not have survived if they were viewed as competition, e.g. Tesla direct sales. Internet 2 is all about sharing, will you allow Internet 3 to be all about fighting over bandwidth?

3687. Katie Beth Smith, St. Louis, MO, 63112
I am extremely concerned about a free, safe,and open internet that at this time, can only be preserved by maintaining a Title II legal classification. Please preserve net neutrality as it was protected under the Obama administration.

3688. Traci Miller, Chillicothe, MO, 64601
Net neutrality is extremely important to me and essential to all citizens of the United States. Please keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules.

3689. Mackenzie Daly, St. Louis, MO, 63105
I support strong net neutrality through Title II net neutrality rules.

3690. Joe Phillips, St. Charles, MO, 63301
I would like to express my full support for strong net neutrality and Title II.

3691. colin kohn, Webster groves, MO, 63119
I support net neutrality and title 2. The ISPs are businesses and if they think they can profit by restricting certain websites/programs, they will.

3692. Tara Grieser, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I SUPPORT net neutrality and don't want it to be repealed, it will have a negative effect that spans beyond the consumer if we get rid or restrict net neutrality

3693. Aidan Thomas Rittenhouse, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title IIPlease this is an invasion of our own free will of search

3694. James Reamey, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
I am completely for Net Neutrality. I find it laughable that sane people would think that ISP's, given the opportunity, would put anything ahead of their bottom line. That's not how they work. That's not how they've worked historically, that's not how they work today, and it's unreasonable to think that is how they will work in the future. If you take away the oversight, they WILL try to make a buck off it. Any single ISP that doesn't try to make money off the deal will be working at a disadvantage. The only way to keep things open and fair to REQUIRE them to ALL behave.Please, please, PLEASE don't let this happen!

3695. Clint Armistead, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I believe in net neutrality and support strong neutrality rules and Title II.

3696. Leigh Quinn, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
Keep Title II and Net Neutrality, it is vital for all consumers and small business to keep a level and fair playing field.

3697. Barbara Suberi, St Louis, MO, 63123
As more and more people have become dependent on the internet for personal and business use, it has by definition become a public utility. Consequently, it is appropriate and absolutely necessary that Internet service providers fall under Ttle II classification in order to protect consumers against the abuse of providers that have sole control over the infrastructure of the distribution of the service provided.

3698. Ken Celmer, St Louis, MO, 63129
I completely oppose reclassifying ISPs under title one. Keep them under title two.

3699. Michael Keppel, St. Louis, MO, 63034
I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I do not support putting ISPs back under Title I as any net neutrality under Title I is inadequate.

3700. Nicholaus G Hoeing, Saint Louis, MO, 63125
The future of any and all ISPs should not be opened up and deregulated because it completely undermines what some senators think will happen, It will NOT produce more competition, it will however produce monopolies. The internet needs to remain completely open so that new budding companies can grow without being strong armed to get their product to the public. I beg all sane senators and voters to not cave to lobbyists that are putting money in their pockets.

3701. Erika Darling, Kansas City, MO, 64106
I believe ISPs should be regulated under Title II, to ensure net neutrality. I do not trust ISPs to voluntarily provide net neutrality. I believe net neutrality is more important than any other regulatory concern.

3702. Lee Weiss, Leeton, MO, 64761
Only someone who has no idea how the internet works would be against Net Neutrality. I strongly support Net Neutrality under Title II.

3703. Tom Bakie, Nixa, MO, 65714
We specifically do support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.Thank you.

3704. tom, Stover, MO, 65078
Keep net neutrality. Keep internet access and speed fair for all!!

3705. Ehren Leonberger, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
I am concerned with net neutrality because it allows small business and originations a voice they otherwise couldn't afford. I do not want to see the internet go the way of cable television or long distance telephones of the 90s.

3706. Daniel Patti, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Please keep the ISPs classified under Title II. I support net neutrality.

3707. lisa kanizay, st louis, MO, 63109
I strongly support net neutrality as enforceable under title II. It is the role of the FCC to regulate communications in a way that is beneficial for society - not only for a handful of companies. If the FCC is not able to maintain the ability to regulate adherence to net neutrality, i don't believe we will have net neutrality any longer and customer and public choice will be greatly limited. Please continue to support net neutrality under title II.

3708. Jeremy Benson, Kansas City, MO, 64134
I support net neutrality, I would ask any of the spammers posting awful comments what the specific harms caused by Title2 regulation of ISP's is. Protecting a fair and free internet for all Americans is crucial to our future. If we don't have a protected internet, wealth will have completely unregulated influence over the types of content we can access, directly limiting speech and freedom and harming fair competition in favor of corporations over small businesses.

3709. Linda, St. Charles, MO, 63301
Please preserve STRONG net neutrality under Title II oversight of ISP's. Thank you!

3710. Lindsey Erb, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
Please protect net neutrality rules under title 2! Thanks! :)

3711. Matthew Cushman, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
The proposed rollback of FCC regulations regarding Net Neutrality is quite troubling. Reclassifying ISPs as Class I will weaken protections for consumers. That is potentially quite damaging. But what is truly terrifying is that rollback of those protections means to those who wish to abuse their control of internet traffic to censor speech or for the purposes of anti-competitive behavior. With the increased level of consolidation among internet providers, they have enormous abilities to influence our society to their benefit and to the detriment of the citizenry. It is essential for the health of our nation, that those corporations remain subject to regulation. Any argument that they "can be trusted" to do the right thing is naive at best and ingenuous at best. Keep the internet open. Protecting its unrestricted flow of information is the same thing as protecting the Republic.

3712. Aaron Z, Kansas City, MO, 64112
Keep net neutrality and title 2 in place and strong. Net neutrality is important and should not be messed with.

3713. restoring internet freedom, Ozark, MO, 65721
Support of net neutrality using oversight of ISPs via title II

3714. Seth Rosner, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please respect net neutrality and leave its title 2 classification intact. Please do the will of the people, not the will of the lobbyists.

3715. Chad Christopher Austin, Republic, MO, 65738
Keeping the internet protected under Title II is crucial to a free and open internet, and that throttling/blocking web traffic by internet providers hasn't happened in the past is no excuse to eliminate protections against it. We, the public, are fully aware of what you are trying to do with removing Title II protections, and will not allow such a betrayal of public trust go unpunished politically.

3716. Sam S., Kansas City, MO, 64113
Do not ruin Net Neutrality. Keep things under Title II. Stop working for Verizon plz mr. Pai. and maybe get a new coffee mug

3717. Jeffrey C. Moser, Fenton, MO, 63026
I am wholeheartedly against any attempt by the FCC and its Chairperson Ajit Pai to alter or diminish any Net Neutrality regulations currently in place. It would be naive and irresponsible to turn any control over to ISP. The Net Neutrality rules currently in place are designed and do level the playing field for anyone using the Internet.

3718. Katherine Rands, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I am a tech worker and deeply concerned about the preservation of net neutrality. Corporations cannot be allowed to influence what content is more accessible on the internet--that goes against all the basic principles this country should stand for. I support strong net neutrality policies backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers.

3719. Scott Wilson, Saint Louis, MO, 63103
I support net neutrality. We cannot allow something to be stuck in our terms of service agreements that slows down certain sites that do not pay the big cable providers enough money. This will only cause higher cost of service for the internet for the consumers. Remind Chairman Pai that he is here to represent the people, not big corporate interest.

3720. Keith Ingenthron, Ellisville, MO, 63021

I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Do the right thing here!

3721. Peter Barron, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I urge the FCC to keep Title II. Title II allows new internet businesses to grow and have a fair chance to grow into greater industries drives much of the new innovation that has changed our society since the introducion of the internet more than twenty years ago. Taking TItle II away will allow Internet Providers to pick their own winners and losers, allowing monopolies to grow and stifle innovation while other nations will take up the innovation that has marked the Internet that started here in the US.

3722. Sarah Plotkin, Rolla, MO, 65401
Why the fuck would you try to change our current regulations.

3723. Joseph Eby, St Louis, MO, 63130
Dear FCC, Net neutrality protections under Title II are vital and should be maintained. The Internet plays an irreplaceable role in my personal and professional life. My preference is for classification as a utility, but Chairman Pai has made it clear that he has no interest in recognizing the reality of the Internet's place in the lives and businesses in this country. In lieu of a constructive, realistic approach to regulation, I appreciate you maintaining Title II net neutrality rules. Sincerely, Joseph Eby

3724. Ryan Fedyk, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I don't trust Verizon, AT&T, Charter, or any of them any more than I can throw them. Which is to say they are fat, because they are rolling in money. And they're not rich because they're making or inventing things, it's because they are good at making money. And they will continue to try to make money however they can if they are allowed.C'mon Ajit, you can do this. If Trump fires you, you'll get GOOD press. Ball up.

3725. Ethan, Ashland, MO, 65010
Keep the strong rules in the fcc to maintain the integrity of net neutrality, keep strong net neutrality rules in Title II.

3726. Sarah Mongoven, Kansas City, MO, 64111
This is in support of Title II. Please keep the regulations to keep the internet free and open in place.

3727. brian sherrill, Kinloch, MO, 63140
The First Amendment Is an Absolute. There are many forms of thought and expression within the range of buman communications from which the voter derives the knowledge, intelligence, sensitivity to human values: the capacity for sane and objective judgement which, so far as possible, a ballot should express. The people need unfiltered vehicles of information because they will be called forth to vote. A strong society is an informed society that upholds the freedom of speech.

3728. Ilan Geerlof-Vidavsky, St. Louis, MO, 63146
Internet Neutrality is in danger. The only thing that keeps providers from violating it is title II. Don't change it.

3729. Halvor Olsen, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
I appreciate living in a country where open, unbiased access to the internet is legally protected and available instead of being voluntarily allowed. Please continue to protect the internet by not changing the current regulations.

3730. kate sadler, Saint Louis, MO, 63122
SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY!!! KEEP title 2 regulations!!!

3731. Warren Auld, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
I support strong guarantees of network neutrality. I also support continued Title II oversight of ISPs.

3732. Jason Squires, Kansas City, MO, 64116
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. The internet needs to be fair and open.

3733. Joshua Cox, Kansas City, MO, 64111
It's important to me that net neutrality and title 2 classification of ISPs remains intact. As a software engineer, net neutrality serves me personally (as well as countless other companies, consultants, and other engineers) as a road to possible entrepreneurship and general success. Allowing ISPs the freedom to choose the content and the speed of content that consumers receive makes reaching out to the market more difficult for growing companies.

3734. Kenny, Kansas City, MO, 64133
I support strong net neutrality protections with title 2. Do not undo the Obama administration's net neutrality protections.

3735. Ellen Bruntrager, Davin Zatorski, Columbia, MO, 65201
Preserve Title II of the Net Neutrality Act.

3736. Carter Long, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I support a free internet protected by a strong net neutrality under title two. I can think of few things that could reasonably happen that would hurt my confidence in this country or what it stands for then deliberately allowing such a small group of un-elected people having that much control over the information that citizens can access. Summary: Keep the internet classified under title two.

3737. Lori Roeder, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
Please preserve net neutrality rules and the Title II authority used to enforce them!!

3738. Dan Messer, Joplin, MO, 64804
Leave Net Neutrality intact. Do not repeal what is already working fine.Also, fix your website so it is easier to use.

3739. Michael Fluchel, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
Please preserve net neutrality rules. Access to content should not be limited by the amount of bribes handed to the ISPs.

3740. Cheryl Grant, St Louis, MO, 63129
I want net neutrality, I want what John Oliver said.

3741. Robert Hammerschmidt, Springfield, MO, 65802
What the heck is wrong with you? Why do you people keep trying to stifle progress in lieu of profits? Do you not care about the legacy you leave behind?Shame on you. Shame on you all for not banding together for freedom.

3742. Megan Baker, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
Please do not terminate net neutrality. The internet is a beautiful invention for all humans to learn from and gain knowledge and understanding of the world, and of existence in general. I am a teacher who uses the web daily to teach and reach my students. We have come to rely on the internet. Please do not strip one of the best and smartest free resources I have to use with my students. I pay so much of my own money every year to educate them that I can't afford to lose the internet too. Thank you!

3743. Alexander Matthew Babel, O'fallon, MO, 63366
I believe it is of the utmost importance that ISP's remain under Title II of the communications act. A business that holds a fundamental power over another business - in this case, the internet platform itself - should most definitely be kept and held to a certain standard. Asking Internet providers to provide good and quality internet to its customers no matter what they use the internet for is a core and fundamental principle in not only good morals, but just good business practice in general. Although this written and enforacable restriction may seem like a hinderance, I believe as a customer that it adds something more substantial to the agreement between me and who supplies my internet. This "restriction" should not be viewed as such. In all honesty, it's a "Seal of Quality", so to speak. There is no harm in asking a company to provide good service to their customers when without restriction, it can be hard for them (their users) to quantify the quality or speed of their internet at any moment for any given site or website. It is baffeling that a company would strongly discourage the continuation of this stature. In my own opinion, I think changing this restriction would jeopardize the relationship between supplier and consumer. If a supplier can't agree to abide by a certain standard with repercussions, then I see no reason to trust them. Keeping the current situation the same is of the utmost importance. I'd much rather have a social and legal contract between me and my ISP than a simple promise built on nothing more than words. Thank you.

3744. Patrick Mulpeter, St. Louis, MO, 63108
I support continued classification of internet service providers under Title II. I believe that proposed efforts to reverse this classification are a mistake

3745. Theodore Hawkins, Strafford, MO, 65757
I fully support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Access to the internet and its use should not be hindered by fast lanes, monopolies, or exploiting information that should be private. The internet has become a cornerstone of life for many Americans. It is how MANY of us get our news (local/national/global), apply for college, college itself, apply for jobs, pay our bills, do our shopping, entertainment, and obviously communicate with each other. It is no longer a luxury service and should not be treated as such, it is no less important than the telephone itself was before the internet became what it is today.

3746. David Linithow, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
Keep ISPs under the object of title 2.

3747. net neutrality, Springfield, MO, 65804
I support strong Net Neutrality, also your mug sucks.

3748. Christianne Benedict, Centralia, MO, 65240
Do not, under any condition, return ISPs to Title I. Keeping Internet Service Providers (ISPs) under Title II of the 1934 Communications Act is vital to preserve Net Neutrality... and preserving Net Neutrality is absolutely necessary to the continued functionality of the internet, both for consumers and companies. I repeat: Do not, under any condition, return ISPs to Title I.

3749. Ryan Bond, St Peters, MO, 63376
The internet must remain free. This is the only way to truly democratize speech. Our only hope of eliminating superstition and ignorance remains speech that is free from corporate influence.

3750. David Shapiro, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I am strongly in favor of Net Neutrality, and support maintaining regulation of ISP's under Title 2.

3751. Nicole McCullough, Kansas City, MO, 64146
I vote! Preserve Net Neutrality and keep Title II.THIS ADMINISTRATION IS INCOMPETENT. WE THE PEOPLE REFUSE TO BE GOVERNED WITH SUCH IGNORANCE.

3752. Trey Whitson, Kansas City, MO, 64117
I am strongly in favor of Strong Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight.

3753. Katherine R. Miller, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
It is essential that a free and open internet be backed by strong regulation and the provisions of a Title II designation. I will contact my State representatives and express how important opposing any changes to net neutrality is to me.

3754. Joseph Pasmore, Raymore, MO, 64083
I strongly am against the changes! Leave ISP regulations alone! Keep them as a Title 2.

3755. Tanya Munoz, Independence, MO, 64052
I strongly believe that the FCC should preserve Net Nutrality and Title II.

3756. benji long, Columbia, MO, 65201
I support net nuetrality and believe that the isps should retain the title 2 designation.

3757. Luis MuÃ±oz, Independence, MO, 64052
I strongly believe the FCC should preserve net neutrality and title 2.

3758. Joe Meyer, Kansas City, MO, 64106
Keep title II. Orange man babies aren't real.

3759. John Smith, kANSAS City, MO, 64138
I believe the FCC should keep title 2 in effect, or even better reclassify it.

3760. ashley minton, Kansas City, MO, 64108
Net neutrality is incredibly important for equal internet access and is vital to smaller companies. Your support of title 2 is appreciated. Also, the Reeses mug is stupid.

3761. Adam Feltes, Imperial, MO, 63052
Net neutrality is vital. If a company pays an ISP to have their content reach me quicker than someone else, how does that benefit me. that's the purpose of the FCC and Net Neutrality. to get rid of Net Neutrality would be like firing yourself. in fact, if the FCC does get rid of Net Neutrality rules, please, fire yourself. it would be doing a great service to your fellow countrymen.

3762. Caroline Caligiuri, St Louis, MO, 63130
It is imperative to support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.

3763. Tom Nickell, St. Louis, MO, 63131
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. I am with an independent game development company, and have been involved in internet-related software business since 1999. Preserving net neutrality, specifically through Title II, is essential to the vitality of small businesses.

3764. James Arcano, Independence, MO, 64057
Please consider not changing net neutrality.

3765. Robert Smith, Springfield, MO, 65806
I disagree with the deregulation plan presented here, https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/the-switch/wp/2017/04/26/heres-the-fccs-plan-to-undo-its-own-net-neutrality-rules/?tid=a_inl&utm_term=.a2427a3cdc67. I, a voting United States citizen who pays taxes, believe the FCC needs to keep regulations about net neutrality in place and keep ISPs under Title 2 oversight.

3766. P S Moore, Independence, MO, 64055
The FCC Open Internet Rules, aka net neutrality, are extremely important to me. No one wants ISP's to have the power to block websites, or slow them down either. ISP's shouldn't make some sites more accessible , or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the others. ISP's should not be able to censor what we see and do online. Comcast has throttled Netflix, and AT&T has blocked FaceTime. Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISP's offer fast lanes to websites for a fee, and Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to let them engage in this type of activity. If you let ISP's make some websites fast and others artificially slow for a fee, you will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America. This will further enrich a few cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding. I worry that Chairman Pai, formerly a Verizon lawyer, has decided upon his actions and will ignore millions of other Americans. I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support Title II rules.

3767. chris yaeger, Columbia, MO, 65203
Net neutrality is very important for the future of the world. The internet should be a utility.

3768. Christopher Butler, O'fallon, MO, 63366
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai,I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. As an 18-year veteran of the US technology and software industry, I have contributed to products and infrastructure projects ranging from small startups to Fortune 500 companies spanning government, pharmaceuticals, travel, finance, to online video games, both domestically and internationally. My experience over the years in this industry leads me to the strong conclusion that Title II classification of Internet Service Providers was the right decision. I fear that rolling back these regulations will introduce significant harm to the competitive technology landscape in the United States. Such actions will have the effect of creating legal certainty for a small handful of gatekeepers that their behaviors with regard to traffic delivery, transit, and peering arrangement will come without accountability to any party. This situation will most certainly have a harmful effect on both consumers and disadvantaged technology companies. And it will most likely put the United States at further competitive disadvantage to other countries who make broadband infrastructure investment and accountability for standards of conduct, behavior, and service level on the part of ISP's a national priority.I have observed your public statements regarding clear intent to rollback FCC Net Neutrality regulations and fear at this point its demise may be a foregone conclusion. But I strongly request you to reconsider this matter and leave Title II classification for ISP's intact.

3769. Mitch Walker, St. Louis, MO, 63128
I would like to express my support for net neutrality. A free and open internet is vital to the future of free speech.

3770. Shawn Harrison, Kansas city, MO, 64136
Preserve the net neutrality in title II

3771. Christopher A Cruzen, St. Louis, MO, 63108
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers. Reliable, full speed access to every website on earth (independent from business alignment with or donations to the ISP providing the connection) is a digital extension of the first amendment and basic human right.

3772. Brian A Hollett, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
I specifically support strong net neutrality, which is why Title II oversight of ISPs is essential!

3773. Kira Harris, Columbia, MO, 65203
We are in favor of protecting strong net neutrality rules and wish to save title 2 of the FCC

3774. richard davis, St Peters, MO, 63366
Please reconsider your decision to roll back net neutrality rules.

3775. dj labore, kansas city, MO, 64152
i support strong net neutrality and strong title II oversight of isp,s

3776. Brandon Smithers, Kansas City, MO, 64133
I support strong oversight of internet service providers under Title II. Net neutrality can't work without it.

3777. Jeffrey D. Smith, Kansas City, MO, 64111
We need strong legal protections for the free and open internet and net neutrality must be maintained. It must remain under Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

3778. ean ramsey, piedmont, MO, 63957
title 2 over site of isp's....do not allow this to happen it is unamerician and terrible for the world. we reject allowing a few mega companies to take control of the internet. my five year old little girl is fantastic with computers and this only stunts this wonderful child's growth. we will not stand for this do your part to make us the greatest nation on the planet not the one that crushed the people. your are accountable

3779. janice woods, Lees Summit, MO, 64082
I am sending this to emplore you to preserve internet neutrality by maintaining the oversight under Title II.

3780. Shawn McAuliffe, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
We MUST keep the ISP's of our great and free land under FCC Title 2 in order to insure Net Neutrality!Do not "change their legal standing". This system works and it creates a fair and even playing field.The harsh and unblinking eye of history is watching, don't screw this up!

3781. Hilary Reno, Saint Louis, MO, 63122
I support full Type II Net Neutrality. Preserve it please.

3782. Michael Woods, Lees Summit, MO, 64082
I am sending this to emplore you to preserve internet neutrality and maintaining the oversight under Title II.

3783. Andrea Huisinga, Fenton, MO, 63026
The internet is now crucial to people's work and daily lives and is the primary way in which we communicate and learn about our world. As a teacher, I find it disheartening to hear that the FCC may take away the prime protections we have for a free-flowing Internet system. We must keep the Title 2 regulations in place. To allow companies or even the government to choose what takes precendent on the Internet is a dangerous slope, one frequently used by dictatorships and other regimes that stifle the flow of information to control their people. I strongly urge the FCC to keep the Title 2 regulations.

3784. Shaun Reno, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
I do not support this change. Keep net neutrality so that my one internet service cannot control my internet access.

3785. Zach, St. Louis, MO, 63123
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. I believe this is something that needs to be done ongoing into the future.

3786. andrew bedy, columbia, MO, 65202
Keep title 2. protect net neutrality!!!!!

3787. Nizar Assi, St. Louis, MO, 63128
Mr. Ajat Pai, i do strongly support net neutrality strictly backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

3788. Michael Barteau, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
I specifically and strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's

3789. Daniel Holder, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Maintaining net neutrality is paramount to the success and universal impact of the internet. The internet is a utility that should be treated as such; access should be available to all and equitable regardless of provider. It is fundamental to the consumer that net neutrality persist. The illusion of more 'consumer choice' that has been purported as a benefit of the newly proposed changes in legislation is a farce. As more 'deals' are struck and imbalanced partnershipts formed the 'little guys' of the internet will be forced out, reducing consumer choice in the long run.As an organization, the FCC should be moving in the opposite direction when it comes to ISPs. Don't roll back the law, extend and expand it to permanently protect this utility from the dark side of capitalism.

3790. Abbie Edwards, Sikeston, MO, 63801
Please continue to support Title II oversight of ISPs. Please continue to enforce strong net neutrality rules

3791. Jacob Haas, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II regulation of internet service providers so that ISPs can't be selective about the content I consume, at the rate I consume it. The internet needs to be a place of fair competition, and reclassifying ISPs would allow them to wield too much power.

3792. William Jennings, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Keep strong net neutrality laws backed by title II

3793. Justin Kramer, St. Peters, MO, 63303
Please continue to support strong net nuetrality rules, and restrictions under title 2.

3794. Bruce Doxey, St. Louis, MO, 63116
It's enough that isp monopolys are allowed in Texas

3795. Larson Wish, Kansas City, MO, 64132
Leave Net Neutrality enforceable under title 2. This is the only way to ensure equal access to the internet without favoring or blocking. Communication shouldn't be pay to play.

3796. Danielle B, Kansas City, MO, 64132
FCC, please protect net neutrality and allow access to all without blocking or slowing down competitive businesses or companies. The internet is and should always remain a free and open place.

3797. liam, Ofallon, MO, 63366
Sticking with the title 2 regulations will protect net neutrality

3798. Daniel Quan, Kansas City, MO, 64124
Greetings, I am 100% for net neutrality. I do not want the ability for large internet providers to influence my use of the internet by slowing the speed of domains in competition with their own domains. This includes web searches, video streams, and video games. If you choose to remove net neutrality, then that would result in letting the highest bidder influence the American people. You have your choice to either allow net neutrality to continue to benefit the American people or to end net neutrality and allow large companies to influence the American people with no check in place. I trust that with responses such as mine, you will do what is best for the whole of America and not the few with large amounts of money.

3799. Tim Stiers, Ofallon, MO, 63368
Please protect strong net neutrality by keeping ISPs regulated by Title II.

3800. Dylan Kay, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
Title II needs to continue to stay in place to ensure net neutrality in the future.

3801. Michael Schultz, Grandview, MO, 64030
I support Net Neutrality I want an open and free internet. An internet free of outside influences where the best and most creative services are given equal footing to compete for my patronage.Not an environment where only the ISP's and the companies with the most capital can stifle competition. This is the most anti-libertarian outcome anyone could convince of. I will actively vote against any politician or person supporting a move against net neutrality and will actively encourage all people to do the same.

3802. tim guilliams, branson, MO, 65616
We need an open internet with no one controlling the speed or type of business that can be conducted. Otherwise special interests with money will stop everyone who may have an idea better than theirs. In fact they will steal the idea and then purport that it was their idea in the first place. This is a historical fact. It has happened in every time period where those with money could enforce it.Additionally, the penalties imposed for breach of this public trust should be as a percentage of the value of the company and not as an arbitrary number that could be considered the cost of doing business. Only this will stockholders and company executives take this matter seriously.

3803. Samuel Bruce, Kearney, MO, 64060
Preserve Net Neutrality through the use of Title 2 in your regulations.

3804. Dave Dixon, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63130
I support strong net-neutrality rules under Title II FCC regulations.

3805. Jonathan Hanson, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I do not believe that any good will come of deregulating ISP's as the

FCC under Mr. Pai has recently proposed. It will absolutely result in consumers losing protections, and consumers paying more money for internet access. Do not side with ISP's at the expense of the American People.

3806. Kimberly Carpenter, Bridgeton, MO, 63044
I support the very strongest standards of net neutrality. It is fundamental to equality and communication in the modern world. ISPs must remain under Title II to maintain fairness and opportunity for all.

3807. tim guilliams, branson, MO, 65616
We need an internet that allows free access at the same speed for all. Otherwise history has shown that those with the power to do so will stop any ideas they feel are competitive. This is the sad history of mankind.Additionally, penalties for failure do do so should be as a percentage of company worth, not an arbitrary number that could be considered 'the cost of doing business'. Only then will it be taken seriously.

3808. Brandon Brumage, Saint Louis, MO, 63102
I am currently helping build a brand new communications company, that will be run entirely in the cloud. Phone calls, fax, texts, live chat, you name it. Without Net Neutrality, our company has the potential to be slowed, if not shutdown, by the bigger companies; all because we couldn't afford to save ourselves, and there were no regulations in-place to help keep innovation and competition alive.As it stands now, I'm already in the vice-grips of AT&T personally, because my apartment building has some agreement to only allow their service be installed. Lack of choice isn't good for anyone but that single monopoly.

3809. Gregory Irby, Ofallon, MO, 63366
I am a strong proponent of net neutrality guidelines. I believe ISPs should be regulated by Title II.

3810. Rachael Irby, Ofallon, MO, 63366
I am in strong agreement to maintain/preserve net neutrality. I believe ISP should be regulated by Title 2.

3811. David, Knob noster, MO, 63556
Strongly support ISP being regulated under title 2, maintain net neutrality.

3812. Corey Weicht, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
Protect net neutrality and keep it under title two. I can't afford to pay more to use what I want as opposed to what others want me to use. Coercion is unacceptable.

3813. Andrew Fallman, Bates city, MO, 64011
Net neutrality is vital to the preservation of free speech and competitive markets in the digital world. Strong enforcement and regulatory policy is necessary to sustain this essential principle.

3814. Tristan Boyd, Wentzville, MO, 63385
I am an intense supporter of net neutrality, and I do not want to see ISPs granted greater freedom by which they can only hinder the progress of new website creators and thus, the progression of new ideas.

3815. Jace O'Brien, oak grove, MO, 64075
Seriously with this crap again?

3816. Garret Lambert, Joplin, MO, 64801
I support strong net neutrality through the classification of ISPs under Title II

3817. Thomas Lavastida, University City, MO, 63130
To whom it may concern,Please do not reclassify ISP's under Title I. Strong net neutrality is essential for a free and open internet where ANYONE, not just those with money our power, can influence the world at large through the ideas and creations they communicate on the internet.One of the qualities of America that was most praised in my upbringing was class mobility. With the advent of the internet, we are at a time where people truly have the ability to be self-made. The internet has allowed many people to pursue alternative careers that have changed their lives for the better. It has also allowed people to make an impact that would not have been possible without the internet. Prime examples of this are creators on YouTube and streamers on Twitch that have gained followings from thousands, sometimes even millions of people. Without a neutral internet, it is possible that these people may have never gained the traction that they did, and would have been unable to pursue it further.Removing ISP's from Title II will allow ISP's to favor traffic from specific sources and disfavor traffic from others. We have already seen this happen with T-Mobile's BingeOn service that allows traffic from specific sources to not count against data limits while other sources do. This is harmful because it encourages users to favor using services with which T-Mobile has made a deal. This is the exact opposite of open and free. Reclassifying ISP's under Title I would only allow this sort of behavior on the part of ISP's to expand.Thanks,A Concerned Citizen

3818. Tyler Crooks, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. To deny this is to effectively hold an open season on our privacy protections as well as fair and unrestricted access to sources of unbiased information. Please do the right thing for the people of this wonderful country by not supporting the companies that want to invade and control this crucial public institution. Think back upon the values that this nation was founded upon. If our founding fathers were around today, what would they have to say?

3819. Kevin Huff, Springfield, MO, 65807
I work as an IT Manager for a call center. The whole existence of our business relies on the free and open use of the internet. We're lucky we have access to a semi-municipal fiber network that gives us all the bandwidth we need, but most aren't are as fortunate. We spend over 300,000 minutes on the phones each month and all of it is VOIP calls through our ISP. A well regulated industry will provide our business with protection from ISPs trying to charge us more for the data we use even though it is no different than any other

bit. It is important to proactively protect our industry from threats like this. Our call center isn't very large and we run on a fine margin. If our internet cost us much more, or if our connection and in-turn the qualities of our calls suffer there is a very real possibility we'd have to downsize or leave the space completely. Our business relies on the internet as much as the electricity or water in our building. Title 7 protections are a great start but we need protections written from the ground up to protect the openness of the internet.

3820. Paul Sturtz, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please preserve net neutrality. There is no reason to change this.

3821. Alexander Andersen, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
I support net neutrality the way it is, removing regulations from Internet Service Providers would not be beneficial for the customers.

3822. Benjamin Barnard, St Louis, MO, 63105
This is a horrible idea and you guys know it. You might be able to get away with rolling back other regulations, but the internet moves quick and this will not work. Please do the right thing, nobody wants this. It is on the government to regulate companies, self-regulation does not work in a competitive environment. There is too much incentive to exploit the rules, protect the people not the companies.

3823. Jon Pokress, Saint Louis, MO, 63122
Title II net neutrality is critical. Government establishing a level playing field LIBERATES business by preventing a race to the bottom with the public's interest. Regulation establishes a level playing field upon which the pendulum of capitalism swings to incentive businesses to BUILD POSITIVE CULTURES in consumer interest instead of take shortcuts to profit at the expense of consumers.PLEASE RECONSIDER recinding title II. We will be watching and voting against any elected official against this sensible regulation.

3824. Jeff Kemery, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
i support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 over sight of ISP

3825. George R. Lankford, Saint Louis, MO, 63103
Please retain Title II classification of internet service in order to keep a free, open, and fair internet.

3826. Peter Rails, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
I want strong, title 2, Net Neutrality laws.Do not roll back regulation.Do not give an ISP the reigns and trust it to just "do the right thing."

3827. Giles P Kiser, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
"Restoring Internet Freedom"...for whom? It's certainly not for the average consumer. Turning the internet into another version of Cable TV with various tiers to access certain sites is insanity. The only benefit to this bill is for the ISP's who only want to charge more and offer less. Cable TV is dying and largely for the reasons that Comcast, Cox, AT&T, Spectrum and many other ISP's want to foist upon the internet. If this bill goes through, then it will be another sterling example of how the voters were hoodwinked into believing that a Republican-controlled government was ever going to look out for anyone but the rich and corporations. This will be remembered at the polls. For once, let the government stand up for average American, not the corporations.

3828. julie greenspoon, St. Louis, MO, 63130
Maintain Net Neutrality. The ISPs need to be regulated under Title 2. They will not voluntarily abide by neutrality.

3829. Alaric D'Souza, St. Louis, MO, 63112
I am strongly in support of net neutrality, thus I want the communications act governing ISPs to remain under Title II!Please do not change the current classification.

3830. scott whiteaker, Manchester, MO, 63021
Please continue to allow fair competition on the Internet. Providers need to be regulated.

3831. Joseph Whittingham, Highlandville, MO, 65669
Keep ISPs in Title 2. Net Neutrality is important.

3832. Jonathan Drozdowski, Springfield, MO, 65807
I fully support Net Neutrality and the Title 2 designation of the internet and ISPs.

3833. Nick, Belle, MO, 65013
ISPs should continue to be regulated under Title 2. Ensuring equal opportunity on the internet is incredibly important. The FCC would stunt online economic growth and development without defending net neutrality.

3834. James Bindbeutel, St. Charles, MO, 63301
It is imperative that Net Neutrality and Title II be preserved!!!

3835. Rachel, st. louis, MO, 63108
WE NEED NET NEUTRALITY TO PROTECT THE NEUTRALITY OF THE NET. duh.

3836. Tim West, St Charles, MO, 63303
The current net neutrality rulings enforcing a title 2 classification of ISPs should remain as it has shown to have no measurable negative impact to the health of the ISP marketplace while preserving an essential freedom to all Americans. Strong Net Neutrality should be the law of the land and under no circumstances should be reconsidered. Make no changes to the current regulations nor reclassify the law under Title 1.Wishing that businesses will abide by terms of a 'voluntary' net neutrality is ignorant as any business with a competitive advantage will take it given the legal ability to do so.

3837. Melissa Morefield, St. Louis, MO, 63119
Please do not get rid of net neutrality. It is so important. Thank you.

3838. shaun, branson, MO, 65616
Net neutrality is important and a staple of human freedom. Hindering or harming it in any way would only prove you to be as dumb as we fear you might be. Don't be dumb. Prove us wrong.

3839. Evan Stange, Kansas City, MO, 64113
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Maintaining net neutrality backed by Title II regulations is critical for American innovation and progress, and is essential to compete economically, scientifically, and technologically on a global scale.

3840. bob unger, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Please please please preserve net neutrality and article 2!!! Don't let us down.

3841. Elizabeth Walli, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The internet cannot be free without it.

3842. Kyle Shearrer, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs

3843. Daniel, St. Louis, MO, 63139
To the FCC chairman Ajit Pai: I, a consumer and citizen of the United States of America, STRONGLY SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY. Please allow me to repeat that so it is not misunderstood: I STRONGLY SUPPORT FCC RULES IN FAVOR OF NET NEUTRALITY, and therefore believe Rules governing the internet ought to fall under the TITLE II Common Carrier Provisions of the Communications Act of 1934. Open access to information and services is one example of how the American economic system operates best when regulation IS PRESENT to PROTECT CONSUMERS from the abuses of corporate, multi-national, non-living entities, and the power grabbers they employ (or, formerly employ, in Verizon's case). The Federal Communications Commission ought to be viewing it's mission as one that is divorced from the market except when the consumer's access is threatened by potential conflicts of interest between consumers and corporate investment entities, and the loss of Net Neutrality is indeed a potential conflict of interest between myself (the consumer) and any ISP. I wish to choose how and what I access the internet for without interference from my ISP. Loss of strict Net Neutrality Rules puts this relationship I have of market freedom at risk, for if there should come some time when I seek out content or services that run counter to my ISP's wishes, the ISP, as Gatekeeper, has control over my access. I, AND A MAJORITY OF AMERICAN CONSUMERS, DO NOT WANT TO LOSE STRICT NET NEUTRALITY RULES. The market does not need to be made a softer, easier to access environment for large, incredibly resource-rich, corporations; if they wish to invest, they ought to take some risk in doing so. The concept of a free market means equal access to and easy entry into by any willing risk-taker. Large, wealthy corporations have the means to make access and entry into the market much, much easier for themselves than for the average start-up, and the ONLY way to allow start-ups to have equal footing (ie, equal access and easy entry) into the market, is by ENFORCING NET NEUTRALITY UNDER TITLE II PROVISIONS IN THE COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1934! I think I've made my position clear.

3844. Travis Fleshman, KENNETT, MO, 63857
Do not allow ISPs to control content speeds. Net neutrality should be maintained.

3845. Alex Cruz, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
The internet is and should remain open. ISP's should not have any control over what is allowed or preferred through its pipeline. I also do not agree or trust the man in charge of getting rid of net neutrality is a former Verizon attorney. Keep the internet fair for all!!! Alex

3846. Micheal Brown, Ferguson, MO, 63135
Ajit Pai is full of shit, don't trust that corporate sell out. He is obviously positioning himself for a future spot the Verizon board.

3847. Benjamin Moore, Union, MO, 63084
No good. Keep neutrality

3848. Hugh Cole, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
Are you joking? How is this a question? Why would anyone in their right mind make the switch to Title 1 when Title 2 covers net neutrality perfectly well. There is no way that this "deregulation" is a hinderance to business. Thats like saying making all roads toll roads will improve business. No you idiots it will just make those with the most money even more. KEEP IT UNDER TITLE 2!!!!

3849. Darrick Deterding, Kansas City, MO, 64086
I, very adamantly, support net neutrality regulations on internet service providers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 and Section 706 of the Telecommunications act of 1996. I believe the decisions made in 2015 by the FCC were very good steps toward ensuring fair and open access to information. I strongly urge anyone reading this message to please keep these protections in place.

3850. Jessica Kueser, Lees summit, MO, 64086
I support net neutrality. Please keep regulations on ISPs in place. Thank you.

3851. Clair Visconti, Columbia, MO, 65201
Please keep the internet neutral and support title 2!!!

3852. Danielle Sommer, Brentwood, MO, 63144
I am strongly in support of strict net neutrality. I am making this expression to publicly register my support and request of our

government to preserve net neutrality and title II rather than fold to the detrimental self-interest of ISPs.

3853. Kevin Tian, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
If you get rid of net neutrality then everyone in charge of the FCC are incompetent or just horrible human beings.

3854. Frank Shulse, Columbia, MO, 65203
Mr. Pai et al.Don't touch Title II. The internet IS A PUBLIC UTILITY. It is OUR'S, not yours. YOU SHOULD BE ASHAMED OF YOURSELF FOR MAKING IT THIS HARD TO MAKE A COMMENT, but you obviously are a psycopath, so I hope you die soon. In fact, I will pray for it, (and I'm not religious).

3855. Michael Beining, St Louis, MO, 63146
You must maintain recognition of net neutrality as a title 2. Please, keep the internet open, as is. Don't subject it to immoral, capitalism death. It is the peoples' tool.

3856. Dave Cobb, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
I support retaining the Title II classification for ISPs which allows the FCC to regulate and enforce net neutrality.

3857. Jack Sinclaire, Arnold, MO, 63010
Fuck you and your giant Reese's mug. Net neutrality is vital for the public welfare of online functioning. You probably bought your oversized mug online, but if Hershey's bribed your ISP, you couldn't have gotten it. Do not remove Title II regulations. In your present state, you are a waste of a human being and a waste of a novelty mug owner. But there is still time. You can save your soul, create value in your life, and learn the true meaning of Christmas. Make your novelty mug proud. Preserve net neutrality.

3858. Giovanni Turner, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Yeah, no. This whole "repeal net neutrality" shit ain't gonna fly with me. If you don't make shitty fucking websites, people will visit them more. That's how the free market works. Don't fuck people over because you made a shitty fucking website. And no, I don't trust any corporation to not fuck us in the ass when I want to visit "coolshit.net" but oh fuck me, I only get decent speeds when I go to "awesomestuff.com" but that website sucks donkey cock. Do NOT fuck with this. I would've written a more professional, politically appropriate letter, but fuck you. I have neither the time nor the fucking patience for your corporate cocksuckery. If you're so concerned about the free market, level the playing field, and let the free market sort itself out. Fuck this up, and you face the wrath of the American public. You fucking jabronis.

3859. Isaiah Pierce, Mansfield, MO, 65704
We the people demand that net neutrality remain intact and that title 2 not be changed.

3860. Jon Bon Jovi, Joplin, MO, 64801
Net Neutrality is important you FCC fucks. If you want to keep your jobs after the mid term elections, keep net neutrality.

3861. michael hogan, st. louis, MO, 63124
Net neutrality is fundamental to the preservation of free speech in our modern society.

3862. Chris Allen, Jackson, MO, 63755
Please protect Net Neutrality by ensuring it stays in Title 2.

3863. Matthias, Neosho, MO, 64850
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II over-sight of ISP's.

3864. Tim Coalson, St Louis, MO, 63146
Strong net neutrality under Title II is the only way that American citizens will have a neutral internet. As a former Verizon lawyer, Ajit Pai should know better than anyone how hard Verizon fought to dismantle net neutrality, getting the courts to say that Title II is the only option that gives enough authority to enforce these much-needed rules. This is the reason that ISPs must stay classified under Title II. As for why strong net neutrality are necessary, Ajit Pai should also know that Verizon, along with AT&T and Comcast, deliberately degraded the service on the interconnect that led to Netflix, in order to force Netflix to pay them for bandwidth that Verizon's customers had already paid for, and were being actively denied by Verizon's actions. As proof of this, merely connecting to a VPN caused users to be able to stream Netflix with no issues, since Verizon no longer saw that the packets were Netflix traffic (see this graph showing when the ISPs were asking Netflix to pay more, and the immediate change to Comcast speeds when Netflix paid, in order to save its business under that unfair treatment: https://2.bp.blogspot.com/-zNRLFNPuzIM/U_Y0--VrAII/AAAAAAAAOTg/Ax-EXXZH4tc/s1600/isp-speed.png). This is hardly the only example (see also payment services, zero-rating their own on-demand services, "fast lane" fees), ISPs have shown time and again that they have no interest in keeping their internet service neutral, as long as they can give an unfair advantage to their own content. This unfair playing field is not the internet that America wants, but it is what Verizon and other major ISPs want. As for the proposed plan to undo all this and let ISPs be as unfair to their customers as they can get away with: "voluntary agreement" to treat traffic equally is a laughably inadequate plan, the same way that "self-regulation" led to more high-risk subprime mortgages, and then to the 2008 financial crisis. Arguing that the existing net neutrality rules are "stifling innovation" or "preventing investment in infrastructure" is completely false, as evidenced by Verizon's own statements to its investors. The major ISPs have engaged in serious violations of the net neutrality rules that existed at the time, in order to stifle competition (also known as "innovation"), hurting consumers, because they thought they could get away with it by saying that Title I didn't grant enough authority. Now that the courts have stated that under Title II, net neutrality rules are enforceable, they know that this anti-consumer behavior can in fact be prosecuted, and they want to do away with these laws forcing them to play fair. The regulatory agency overseeing these rules (the FCC) should not be doing the bidding of ISPs who want to play unfairly, it should be protecting consumer interests against exploitation.

3865. Darrell Marcum, Overland, MO, 63114

I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. It is good for democracy and commerce, and I thought that's what we were kinda about.

3866. Tyler McConnell, Salem, MO, 65560
Dear FCC,For the second time in my young life, I am writing to urge you to preserve strong net neutrality protections backed by the full weight of Title II protections. If you do not, you will be going to war with an entire generation of young, energetic, intelligent entrepreneurs whose lives have been enriched by the Internet in its current form in untold ways and will not stand idly by while a former lawyer for Verizon nukes our future â€“ of journalism, government and innovation â€“ for corporate profits of his former employer, Comcast/Time Warner, and all other media companies who continue to gladly put their bottom lines above the future evolution of the species as a whole. Please, please, please do the right thing and preserve fully the Internet's current Title II protections. Let us know â€“ by preserving Title II protections of the Internet for full Net Neutrality â€“ that the government still exists to protect its citizens and not only the profits of multibillion-dollar corporations.Sincerely,Journalist and Citizen of America,Tyler McConnell

3867. Corey Baskett, Grandview, MO, 64030
I support Title 2. Net Neutrality must be saved. "headed by Ajit Pai, an anti-regulation guy who has said heâ€™d like to take a weed-whacker to net neutrality and predicted its days are numbers which, Oliver noted, is â€œserial-killer talk.â€

3868. Dennis Morehouse, Independence, MO, 64050
Please leave things as they are - KEEP TITLE II I support Net Neutrality

3869. Jonathan smith, St. Louis, MO, 63110
Protect net neutrality. Corporate profits from internet content already surrounds regular consumers. Bandwidth and connection speeds should remain or of three hands of those simple hawking products to us.

3870. Roxanne Oesch, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
Please keep the Internet truly neutral; continue to regulate Internet Service Providers as Title 2 entities. We live in the information age, and the internet has allowed millions, if not billions, of people to access this ever important information. It is essential for the greatest democracy on earth to maintain its integrity in protecting the free speech and sharing of information among its citizens and other interested parties.

3871. Brandon Woldanski, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
No fuckery. Need title 2 regulations.

3872. Matthew J. Christ, Ashland, MO, 65010
In case my previous comment was lost due to the alleged DDOS attack on this website, I am repeating it here.I support strong Net Neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs. I do not support docket no 17-108, "Restoring Internet Freedom".To deregulate ISPs (who are largely monopolies in some markets) will allow them to impose their will upon the traffic of the internet, charging consumers and Internet companies extra based on the content of their traffic. Free markets only work when consumers have choice of providers, which is very lacking in the United States (I have three choices for broadband Internet at my home). Furthermore, to deregulate the market of 'internet service providers', gives ISPs the ability to 'regulate' the market of Internet services and companies.Please support Net Neutrality and encourage a new era of Internet freedom.

3873. Thomas Holt, Ballwin, MO, 63011
As a software developer and internet user, I strongly support net neutrality. Giving power to internet service providers to control what content I can see is like creating a phone system where the phone company can charge more for me to call some numbers than others. It's just not in the best interest of the business community, country, or consumers. The internet must remain a level playing field. Please do not change the regulations to favor large ISPs over individuals and innovation.

3874. Jared, Crestwood, MO, 63126
I support strong net neutrality.Keep title 2 oversight.

3875. Emily, Crestwood, MO, 63126
Keep title 2 oversight.Keep it neutral.

3876. Kyle Akers, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I want you to preserve net neutrality and keep title 2 in place as it is.

3877. Marc, imperial, MO, 63052
Preserve Title 2 and Net Neutrality!!

3878. Brandon Annin, Springfield, MO, 65804
I support net neutrality. I believe it is fundamental to the structure of the internet to remain neutral to all websites.

3879. Jesse Johnson, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
Allowing ISPs or government regulations to control in anyway the information we can view via the Internet goes completely against our democracy and our founding beliefs. We have the right to seek information and speak freely and should not have those rights restricted at the benefit of a private company. I urge you to please keep strong net neutrality regulations in place.

3880. Kimberly D. Jasensky, Sturgeon, MO, 65284
I support Title II, net neutrality. I want my internet safe from providers determining the content that I should choose to see.

3881. Valerie Waters, Ballwin, MO, 63011

I strongly support FCC oversight and enforcement of net neutrality, in particular the classification of internet service providers as telecommunications services under Title II of the Communications Act.

3882. Gaylon Patterson, St Charles, MO, 63301
Please retain title two protections for net neutrality Thank you

3883. Erin Christ, Ashland, MO, 65010
I support strong net neutrality and title 2 regulation of ISP's. I oppose docket number 17-108.

3884. Kegan Myers, St. Louis, MO, 63127
As a software developer, the thought of not treating the internet as a utility is terrifying. Imagine the world if electricity were not a utility, the chilling effect that would have on anything that plugged in, because you can't rely on that power and the power company telling you what you can and cannot plug in to the wall. Imagine the power company trying to have the manufacturer of the thing you bought pay them for the privilege of plugging in to your wall. That is the level of ridiculous we are talking here.Please don't mess this up for the millions of Americans who depend on a well functioning internet.

3885. Ryan Francis, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
I believe there should be strong net neutrality, with oversight of ISPs via Title 2. I do not feel that trusting companies, or service providers to do the right thing and not self-promote or try to gain a competitive advantage, is anywhere close to appropriate, considering the carnivorous capitalist society we live in. Protect the PEOPLE, not big business.

3886. Blake Brunner, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
The removal of net neutrality is an attack on freedom of speech, and freedom of the press

3887. David Henderson, Columbia, MO, 65203
We need STRONG NET NEUTRALITY PROTECTIONS. Please don't allow network providers the legal right to slow some content and speed up others. All legal content should be neutrally treated by internet providers by law.

3888. Robert Pearlman, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I believe that firm internet regulations are needed and that we need to keep the stronger Title II regulations on ISP companies.

3889. Jonathan Cole, St. Louis, MO, 63146
The reclassification of internet service providers under Title II for the purpose of meaningful enforceable net neutrality rules was necessary and reasonable given the objectives of the FCC and the framework in which it operates. All rhetoric about it being a regulatory power grab is partisan nonsense influenced by telecom lobbyists and corrupt shills like FCC Commissioner Ajit Pai who is a former lawyer for Verizon with a clear bias against American consumers.Net neutrality is a clear-cut concept widely favored by Americans across the board. Even most ISPs agree that it is something they support. Given that the majority of households only have a single high-speed internet option (if they have one at all), there is no free-market competition to drive any sort of self-regulation. Therefore we need reasonable and enforceable rules from the FCC. There have been numerous cases where ISPs have violated net neutrality rules by favoring their own content either by throttling competition or exempting their own content from throttling or data caps. Others have been found to throttle certain types of traffic like bit torrent or limit the quality of streaming video. The so-called pay-for 'fast lanes' would absolutely harm startups and small businesses. In all of these examples, internet service providers are looking to monetize additional revenue streams with zero innovation and zero benefit to consumers. These examples are real and have actually happened unlike the fear of government overreach under Title II which is purely hypothetical.I urge the Federal Communications Commission to listen to hundreds of thousands of comments you will receive from the public. We are asking the commission to preserve Net Neutrality so we can protect the internet, keeping it free and open, by rejecting the offensively named Restoring Internet Freedom filing.

3890. Kevin Ketsenburg, O'fallon, MO, 63366
Please keep the government's hands out of the internet. It is one of the last "free speech" options available.

3891. Kyle Lawrence, Springfield, MO, 65807
I believe that the isps should remain under title 2 so that net neutrality can remain being enforced to prevent anti competitive behavior.

3892. Kenny Wilburn, Chillicothe, MO, 64601
Net neutrality and title 2 should stay as they are

3893. Brandon Bischoff, Hillsboro, MO, 63050
Please uphold Net Neutrality under Title 2 to support the democratization of knowledge that is the cornerstone of our democracy. In the internet age, monopolization of access undermines our rights to speech, assembly and privacy and is utterly antithetical to the principles our Nation was founded upon. Thank you for upholding your duty to American citizens rather than shareholders of multi-national telecom corporations.

3894. Net Neutrality, Columbia, MO, 65202
Please continue to uphold strong net neutrality laws! Preserve Title 2!

3895. Wyatt Yost, Kirksville, MO, 63501
.The unprecedented regulatory power the Obama...

3896. Steven Kolb, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
I do not support reversing the 2015 Open Internet Order, and do not wish for the FCC to reclassify broadband carriers as anything other than common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.The changes that Chairman Pai is pursuing would be disastrous

for American innovation on the Internet.

3897. Theresa Wade, Kansas City, MO, 64155
Please do not revert to title I. This would be a mistake and a terrible conflict of interest. Stay with Title II. Thank you.

3898. Kristen, kansas city, MO, 64117
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I do not want any change to ISP oversight. Changes will result in restriction of internet usage and internet speed, limiting our access to information, much like a fascist government.

3899. Matt Siemer, St. Charles, MO, 63301
Please protect current "net neutrality" rules with ISP oversight under Title II.

3900. Jon Phillips, Ballwin, MO, 63021
The only sensible regime for regulating ISPs is under Title II. Please continue to protect network neutrality, internet users, technological innovation, and the United States' place as a leading technological power by leaving the current regulatory regime in place.

3901. Katie Crean, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
I do not support the new FCC chair's stance that ISP companies should be reclassified under Title I of the code. ISP companies have proven that they cannot self govern and do not have public interest in mind. The US deserves equal Internet access and protections for all.

3902. David Schlatter, Ballwing, MO, 63021
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Net Neutrality allows for equal access to the bandwidth of sites and information currently available. An open and more so fair marketplace should preclude loopholes that could lead to companies bullying their way into people's homes by purposely slowing down internet speed to view competitors' content. Please keep Title 2 alive and well and thriving with amendments where necessary to provide opportunities for small internet providers to grow and flourish. Thank you

3903. Adam Jones, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I would like to express my firm opinion that the FCC maintain the existing net neutrality rules, as well as the classifications of ISPs as Title II. This is crucially important to maintaining an open and equal Internet.

3904. Theresa McCrory, Wildwood, MO, 63069
Protect Net Neutrality. Keep Title II.

3905. Forrest Schaeffer, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Net Neutrality is paramount to freedom of expression, and an equal playing field for all. Removing title two would dramatically decrease entrepreneurship and small business opportunity, so we MUST keep net neutrality.

3906. Sara Schlagenhauff, Kansas City, MO, 64118
Net neutrality is so important to the American people. Removing it's protections will not encourage investment, many companies have already affirmed this position. It is important to keep the US internet system as equal and accessible as possible without unduly burdening citizens with high prices for fast speeds. I hope you will sincerely consider the voice of the citizens who will be most affected by these policies.

3907. Kenneth Kapka, Columbia, MO, 65201
my ISP is already bad enough with bandwidth limits and I do not have another valid option that provides true high speed internet. please do not make the situation worse by gutting net neutrality.

3908. Athena O'Hara, Lake Lotawana, MO, 64086
I am pro net neutrality. You cannot repeal title II oversight of ISPs. To do this would hinder small business and place favor with large corporations. Stop stealing from the American people!

3909. Dewayne B. Patton, Joplin, MO, 64804
I strongly recommend keeping net neutrality with Title II. We must keep the internet net neutral.Thank you, Dewayne Patton

3910. Michelle Fairfax, Sedalia, MO, 65301
Net neutrality is very important. Please keep title 2....thank you go your time.

3911. brian alcaraz, st. louis, MO, 63139
I support strong net neutrality support by leaving ISPs under Title 2.

3912. Chris Branton, Springfield, MO, 65802
Please preserve Net Neutrality rules under Title II. Among other reasons, the Internet has reached a level of importance in our society that make its classification as a public utility entirely appropriate. Leaving this up to individual ISPs will not end well.

3913. Dillon, Gladstone, MO, 64152
I am in support of strong net neutrality as expressed in Title II

3914. Kevin Erbs, St. Louis, MO, 63128
Keep the internet open and secure for all sources of content. Keep ISP classified under Title II to ensure proper oversight. I support strong net neutrality to ensure access for all.

3915. Lani Kohoutek-Miller, Florissant, MO, 63033

I support net neutrality - Title 2 oversight - Keep business out of the internet.

3916. Jesse McDermott, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I strongly support Net Neutrality and a free and open internet regulated under Title II.

3917. Kris Wallingsford, Wentzville, MO, 63385
Needs to leave Net Neutrality alone, and keep Title 2 in place

3918. Tim Krehbiel, Kansas City, MO, 64157
Keep ISPs regulated under Title II! Seriously, how many times do we have to keep discussing this? There is no scenario where the public benefits from reducing net neutrality. It's a blatant handout to the ISPs which will be allowed to charge more money and stifle competition if any regulations are reduced. The opposing argument of "The ISPS haven't done anything like that in the past" is totally bogus, because for the past several years they've been regulated and prevented from doing such things. removing the regulations opens the flood gates for all kinds of nefarious business practices of speeding up some websites and slowing down others. It's disgusting. KEEP TITLE II IN PLACE. There is no reason to change anything. The internet is working fine as is.

3919. Billy Vicenti, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Paiâ€™s proposal to give the ISP monopolies like Verizon, Comcast, and AT&T the authority to create Internet fast lanes, stripping users of the necessary privacy and access safeguards won just two years ago. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.

3920. Stephen S. Wagner, Kansas City, MO, 64106
PRESERVE AN OPEN INTERNET! I support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Seriously. Let's not play games and pander to a few corporations by granting them control over the transmission and retrieval of digital information.

3921. Stephen Hanson, St Charles, MO, 63303
Keep net neutrality in place, it's the only way to keep a truly fair marketplace on the internet.

3922. Richard Barbieri, Kansas City, MO, 64106
I support strong net neutrality via Title II regulations.

3923. Anthony Yuhas, Columbia, MO, 65203
https://out.reddit.com/t3_6a8l5d?
url=http%3A%2F%2Fi.memecaptain.com%2Fgend_images%2FnOJlsg.jpg&token=AQAAly8TWSMlzIK9jZxfxCG5gci9RcQ2pI4OVGVXr
9IF&app_name=reddit.com

3924. Amber Kriegel, Kansas City, MO, 64151
PLEASE KEEP THE INTERNET NEUTRAL under Title 2!!!!!

3925. Jean Mayer, Marshfield, MO, 65706
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP. We need strong enforcement on ISP's to keep all aspects of the internet equally accessible to their customers.

3926. Jacob Schmidt, St. Louis, MO, 63104
I support enforcement of net neutrality through forcing ISPs to pledge fairness and backed by Title II oversight. WE NEED TO KEEP INTERNET SERVICE FAIR...PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT IS NOT AN OPTION.

3927. Thomas Kinealy, saint louis, MO, 63119
I support a strong legislative enforcement of internet in accordance with Title 2.

3928. Stephen Most, St Louis, MO, 63123
COMPLETE Net Neutrality is essential for a free marketplace of ideas. Any ruling that makes it easier for larger players in a given arena to limit options (including access (which includes speed and search orderings)) for their consumers merely stagnates the economy and suppresses a free exchange of ideas. No longer will the best idea prevail on merit alone. Ideas will have to overcome a HUGE obstacle of reaching an audience before being able to be judged on its merit. Any decision or ruling that restricts that freedom may be good for established corporations but is simply un-patriotic and un-American at a basic, fundamental level. Leave the internet free of any such burdensome regulation, statements, interpretations, guidance, rulings or anything that makes it a less level playing field for ALL players.

3929. Ian Gunn, St. Louis, MO, 63118
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2!!!!!! Do not destroy the internet!!!!!!!

3930. Mark Jacobs, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I support stronger net neutrality laws, and to keep ISP's under title 2 classification

3931. George Brell, St. Louis, MO, 63105
I write in opposition to the proposed changes to the existing net neutrality protections. The FCC should not hand ISPs the authority to create Internet fast lanes, stripping Internet users of the privacy and access rules instituted two years ago. Iâ€™m worried about creating a pay-to-play Internet with â€œfast lanesâ€ for certain sites or services because the equality of opportunity the internet provides will be stifled by allowing such changes. In addition, the previous actions of ISPs should cause the FCC to be skeptical of a regulatory scheme relying on promises of compliance. Thankfully, the existing net neutrality rules ensure that Internet providers canâ€™t slow or block customersâ€™ access to certain web services or create Internet â€œfast lanesâ€ by charging online services and websites more money to

reach customers faster. Thatâ€™s the right balance to ensure the Internet remains a level playing field that benefits small businesses and consumers as well as larger players.I appreciate you maintaining Title II net neutrality rules and the rights of Internet users like me. If the Internet is going to remain the engine of change and innovation it has been for the past twenty years, it needs to preserve the net neutrality that existed during that period and that is guaranteed by the current rules.

3932. Dan Aronhalt, Columbia, MO, 65202
Please continue the roll back of Net Neutrality. Y'all are doing a great job deregulating the market place. Net neutrality gives an unfair advantage to large streaming services like google and netflix. Open the market place.

3933. Robert, Kansas City, MO, 64118
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Without these in place the internet will no longer be a place where people can chose to express themselves or share information freely. Without these protections ISP's could influence public opinion and cause more political instability. The internet might even have more power now than the press, and without a free area to express and share these ideas we lose what makes us America.

3934. Nicholas Leone, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.I support the regulations imposed on ISPs to guarantee an open internet, not a pay to win structure where ISPs receiving additional funds from and entity and boost or throttle connections willy-nilly.Thank YouNick Leone

3935. Margaret Burroughs, Saint Louis, MO, 63124
I support net neutrality with Title II oversight of ISPs and I vote.

3936. shirley ganey, cedar hill, MO, 63016
Don't mess up Net Neutrality!!

3937. Carrie Krehbiel, Kansas City, MO, 64157
Please keep ISPs regulated under Title II. Please do not let the money greedy Trump or Verizon screw over the people.

3938. Patrick Donnelly, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
The new FCC director and his stance on Net Neutrality are an embarrassment and a direct contradiction of our country's principles and ideals. A free and open internet that is not beholden to the wishes of crony corporations who are not out for the public good but only to their share holders and bottom line is affront to the very principles that founded this country. Keep the FCC's existing Net Neutrality rules as they are written, or bolster them with more safeguards that prevent this type of short sighted partisan hackery moving forward.

3939. KarenAnn, Kansas City, MO, 64105
Ne neutrality is vital to this country, and getting rid of it can be a slippery slope. I find it disconcerting a former employee from Verizon is in the White House arguing against net neutrality, it seems there may be ulterior motives. Corporations will jump at the opportunity to slow down their competitors sites and search engines if net neutrality is gone. I'm just grateful I have Google fiber as they aren't likely to play these games with their customers. Do the right thing, keep net neutrality.

3940. Tina smotherman, St Peters, MO, 63376
We need net neutrality and title ll regulations to keep the internet available to all users of all servers. The fee and equal access to the internet is a game changer, a way for literally anyone to profit from the information and connections.

3941. Laura Berrong, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversite of ISPs. Internet access is a human right and if they are able to slow down or stall out websites which are not illegal but which they may not agree with for some reason we will lose some of our ability to free speech. Internet may be a relatively new technology in the scheme of things but it is one of the most important technologies in terms of making all voices able to be heard and all viewpoints able to be expressed. If ISPs are able to discriminate against different net packets it will be a quick decline into only certain people or coorporations having a voice.

3942. Caroline Jackson, Columbia, MO, 65201
Uphold net neutrality and keep Title II in place, any attempt to do otherwise would jeopardize the equal access to media and information. Attempting to put it in another legal footing infringes upon the American ideals of freedom in press and is taking advantage of consumers as companies will pay premiums to boost their services with their aligned business interests, creating services that are not truly equal or neutral depending on which services are provided in your area.

3943. Tom Hasheider, ballwin, MO, 63021
Really? I have to explain why we need net neutrality (NN)? Netflix/Riot games just sued Time Warner because TWC throttled their traffic. If you remove NN, this will be a daily occurrence with no control. Keep my internet free!

3944. Net Neutrality, Kansas City, MO, 64119
Those of you in the FCC who really think Net Neutrality should be removed or placed back in Title 1 are idiots and it clearly shows. Your interest obviously reside with big business and not with the citizens of this nation. Enjoy your short four years in office and keep those resume's updated. You will need them soon.

3945. Robert Johnson, Helena, MO, 64459
I am for complete net neutrality. Do not let companies control my internet.

3946. 1, SPRINGFIELD, MO, 65807
I strongly support net neutrality and the oversight of internet service providers through Title II !!!! Please listen to the American people

and keep our internet the way it is.Jim Bethel1completehs@gmail.com

3947. Bill Vandivort, Kansas City, MO, 64113
I support net neutrality and the regulation of ISPs as utilities. Content discrimination in internet traffic would be terrible for the economy.

3948. Dmitry Romanov, Joplin, MO, 64804
I strongly support internet neutrality! Please do not allow further deregulation of Internet Providers, as it may result in unfair business practices.

3949. Kim Peters, St. Louis, MO, 63110
We support strong net neutrality laws and ISPs oversight under title II of the FCC code.

3950. Deberah Haferkamp, St. Louis, MO, 63136
Net Neutrality is the right of every person! Keep corporations at bay. Do not legislate against net neutrality!

3951. Derek Lane, Bolckow, MO, 64427
I strongly support Net Neutrality for a free and open Internet for all Americans without the influence of ISP throttling, data limits, fast lanes, and preferred data controlled by corporate interests.

3952. Lauren Hannah, Springfield, MO, 65804
Please protect net neutrality. Keep Title II. ISPs should be accountable and regulated by the FCC.

3953. LeeRoy Collins, springfield, MO, 65807
I Support Title II oversight of internet service providers (ISP) to ensure strong net neutrality.

3954. Erin Collins, Springfield, MO, 65807
I strongly support net neutrality, keeping ISPs under Title II and enforced regulation.

3955. Zachary Robert Higgins, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. The internet needs to be neutral.

3956. Matt Ward, Kansas City, MO, 64139
The Internet is deserving of the most stringent protections we can provide. Please preserve Title ll protections and net neutrality for data transfer! The internet must be strongly protected by our laws, and not left solely in the hands of companies with powerful monopolies such that we just "hope" they do what's best for Americans and don't stifle out their competition. The internet is too important.

3957. Kyla Bauer, St. Louis, MO, 63110
As a tech entrepreneur in St. Louis I find it incredibly important to both my work and private life to have free market internet access. If private companies who serve me the internet are given full freedom to make deals that limit my access to online services, my freedom is diminished and my ability to work is also limited. So whose freedom is it your job to protect? A handful of massive private companies or the hundreds of millions of citizens of the United States? I hope you understand your duty as a public servant and am commenting to remind you in case you forgot.

3958. Christine McEvoy, Saint Louis, MO, 63144
I wanted to express my strong belief that the internet should maintain a strong net neutrality and urge the FCC to fight any movement to change this!

3959. Kaylee King, Platte City, MO, 64079
I am strongly against changing the rules and regulations in regards to Net Neutrality. Quoted from the New York Times, "The chairman, Ajit Pai, said high-speed internet service should no longer be treated like a public utility with strict rules, as it is now. The move would, in effect, largely leave the industry to police itself." This is utterly ridiculous. The internet IS a public utility, and should be continually regulated as such. ISPs with the power to create fast and slow lanes could lead to some serious malevolent acts by these giant conglomerates to direct traffic to sites that puts money back into their own pockets. The idea that the industry will pinky-promise not to specifically speed up or slow down access to specific sites is unbelievable. If there is a profit to be made, unregulated industry will do nearly anything to get that profit. This is a huge issue to many people across the nation and I encourage you to think critically about this move before a choice is made that can restrict access to information that is completely and utterly necessary to be free and open for the progression of our society.

3960. Cindy A. Bentch, Versailles, MO, 65084
I strongly support net neutrality and keeping ISP oversight under Title 2. The fact that the FCC chairman is proposing a change in regulatory oversight that would favor his former employer at the expense of the American people would be like, oh, I don't know, the president of the United States firing the head of the investigatory agency investigating his presidential campaign's possible misconduct in trying to illegally influence the presidential election. Surely cannot happen in the United States of America. Thank you.

3961. Dennis Uding, Pevely, MO, 63070
Net neutrality must be protected to prevent ISP interference to internet commerce. A fair and open internet should be protected for future generations of business.

3962. Tatiana Gochez-Kerr, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
I object to switching ISPs back to Title I. I am pro-net neutrality and I disagree with Ajit Pai's stance on switching from Title II to Title I. I SUPPORT regulations that prevent ISPs from messing with my internet speed, service, and access.

3963. Net neutrality, Saint Joseph, MO, 64505
Please do not change the rules on internet neutrality. Net neutrality is important for the protection of free information.

3964. taylor mclaughlin, lees summit, MO, 64064
I strongly support net neutrality. Do not let ISP companies have a say in our regulations on them. The director of the FCC is corrupt and needs to be removed from office.

3965. John Schilling, Saint Charles, MO, 63304
I am against the Net Neutrality roll back that the government is attempting. In order for the U.S. to remain a capitalistic government freedom of communication through the internet is a must. Internet Service Providers (ISPs) should not be allowed to throttle or slow down connections while giving preferential treatment to others. Companies like ATT, Verizon, Comcast, and Charter all have a paid service that would directly compete with Hulu, Netflix, and Amazon Prime video services. This makes it very easy to put a priority on their services while disrupting services of their competitors. This leads to a bigger monopoly and will prevent people the right to choose how they get their content. VOTE NO ON A ROLLBACK!!!! KEEP ISPs CLASSIFIED AS TITLE II and put more strict measures in place to break up these monopolies and increase capitalism in the US!!!

3966. kevin compton, Claycomo, MO, 64119
I am in favor of net neutrality. I don't not want my internet provide to control what content I can access. If internet content is restricted, then internet providers should not be considered general service companies and should be held accountable for any illegal or unethical activity on the internet.

3967. Katherine Nesler, St. Louis, MO, 63139
Please keep ISPs regulated by Title II as common carriers.

3968. Jeffrey C Drywater, St. Louis, MO, 63116
I support strong Internet network neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Maintaining network neutrality backed by Title II regulations is critical for American innovation and progress, and is essential to compete economically, scientifically, and technologically as well as for the right of free information access to all individuals.

3969. Berland, St. Louis, MO, 63105
Maintain Title II protections for net neutrality. Ideas only have value if they are accessible. The internet is a utility and must be available for all people.

3970. Leona Ruth Morse, Columbia, MO, 65203
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY ON TITLE II !!!!!!!!!!!!! DON"T MESS WITH OUR ACCESS!!!!!!!!!!! We know who you use to work for and your reasons for change are a lie.

3971. Anthony Clark, Springfield, MO, 65804
I support the current regulations regarding net neutrality (and that it falls under Title 2).

3972. Victoria Hampton, Saint Louis, MO, 63128
I am opposed to removing regulation of the internet out from under Title 2. Competition will be stifled if access to the internet is limited because of the company providing the service (e.g., ComCast preferencing its offering over NetFlix simply because ComCast is the carrier).

3973. Steven Stallion, Wildwood, MO, 63040
I rely on an open and free internet for my profession (I work from home) as a Software Engineer. A strict governance of ISPs using Title II must be left intact to ensure freedom from exploitive business practices.

3974. Katy Hinegardner, Wellsville, MO, 63384
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II oversight of FCCs.

3975. Stefani Drury, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
I specifically report strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

3976. David Sherrick, Kansas City, MO, 64154
Do not remove Net Neutrality! It is vitally important that this is not removed.

3977. Isaac Kittle, Kansas City, MO, 64157
A free and open internet is required for innovation and growth. Ending net neutrality will stifle that innovation and growth. Letting corporate entities have free reign over the internet will have dire consequences. We cannot trust corporations to have the best interests of their customers and mankind in general in mind when they make decisions. Net neutrality forces everyone to play the same game. I fully support an open, neutral internet and believe that Title II regulation will ensure that I have access to the same free, open internet I have always had and will ensure the same for future generations.

3978. Jake Shulman, Columbia, MO, 65201
I Strongly Support Net Neutrality and believe there should be no reclassification of ISP's

3979. Ashley Turner, St. Louis, MO, 63131
I strongly support net neutrality (the Title 2 Oversight of ISPs). The Terms of Service that ISPs lay out are not what should be governing ISPs to provide fair and equal access to the internet (as has been suggested in place of current net neutrality rules). Instead, the government should continue to make sure that ISPs are not restricting access, since the government is supposed to GOVERN for the

people. And there are instances in the past of companies slowing down competing sites and products on the internet, so it is important that they are regulated outside of their own interests, not just self-regulated.

3980. John Doyle, Overland, MO, 63114
Net Neutrality is the bedrock of innovation! It's what allows Joe Somebody to become Joe CEO. Let's not put the country's remarkable innovation in a stranglehold.

3981. Kris Mescher, Columbia, MO, 65203
I support strong net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. There is little evidence supporting that a change in this designation would be beneficial.

3982. Colin Shipley, Bridgeton, MO, 63044
The internet is a utility as much as power, water, phone, and cable is. I would easily argue that internet is now more than ever more important than phone or cable! Insuring that internet providers don't make different classes of internet, keeping the internet traffic free and flowing is very important to keeping the internet the hotbed of innovation is already has been. Letting internet providers charge extra fees to Netflix, Amazon, and other internet companies to NOT slow traffic from those sites to their customers is bribery and not conducive to a free and open internet.As an internet subscriber, i expect equal access to Netflix as I do to my favorite web comic. No speed difference due to my provider should exist. Those companies already pay for their access to the internet which includes up charges for their large data traffic and frequent access. To then have to pay my provider more to NOT slow down their traffic is a disservice to me and all internet companies.

3983. James Donnelly, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Net Neutrality is an important protection for consumers to ensure we can reach any internet based service without additional fees or slow down. It is also important for small startups to ensure they can compete on the internet against the big guys.Do not remove the net neutrality rules.

3984. Joanie J. Sessions, Belle, MO, 65013
Please refer to this video on how to actually run the FCC and protect the freedoms of the American people.https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=92vuuZt7wak

3985. Jeff Sjerven, Manchester, MO, 63021
I support strong net neutrality. Only by continuing to support Title II oversight can we protect the Internet from moneyed interests and future abuse.

3986. james critten, gallatin, MO, 64640
please keep title two!

3987. Lawrence Smith, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I support net neutrality with Title II classification; please preserve strong net neutrality rules which protect consumers from otherwise-unregulated Telcom companies who would otherwise have no incentive to protect a free and open Internet, thanks.

3988. Nicholas Louis McCoy, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
I support net neutrality and strong regulation of Internet Cable Providers under the Title 2 act. I vigorously oppose any change to this act and or altering of it that would compromise net neutrality.

3989. net neutrality, St Louis, MO, 63139
I am in favor of Net Neutrality protection under Title II. Information should be free and accessible for all at the same speeds.

3990. Anthony Cantu, St Louis, MO, 63130
Net neutrality is essential to protecting the free flow of ideas and speech on the internet. Please do not betray the American people in the interest of profits for big businesses. The illusion that greater profits for these businesses would in some way benefit anyone other than these businesses is so tenuous and unlikely that we, as a country, would be fools to gamble on it.

3991. Felicia Baltzell, Springfield, MO, 65806
All sites should have equal access speeds. Slowing down the access speeds of less competitive sites because one company has more money is an unfair market advantage and is unfair to the internet user.

3992. ian meyer, Maplewood, MO, 63143
I support net neutrality and do not want to see the internet being controlled by isps who can determine who's internet is faster or slower.

3993. Andy Hirsch, St. Louis, MO, 63108
We need more oversight of ISPs. Stronger net Neutrality is crucial to an increasingly internet saturated culture. The FCC exists to regulate media corporations in order to ensure that they do not become too powerful. Keep the internet free.

3994. James Jacobe, Kansas City, MO, 64119
Please do not reclassify Internet Service Providers (ISP) under Title I. An open and free internet is increasingly essential to a well-informed and functional democracy. This will also require government regulation to keep ISPs from steering internet traffic to their preferred sites and/or content. The market for internet service in many places around the U.S. is severely limited, with many areas having only one ISP. Such monopolies, if well regulated under Title II, can continue to serve the best interests of citizens and consumers without hindering the businesses supplying internet service. The danger of reclassify ISPs to Title I is that many ISPs are delving into the media content business and will have clear incentive to promote their own content over that of all others, regardless of their customers needs or desires. I urge you not change the classification of ISPs to Title I. Thank you.

3995. Stephen McMillin, Monett, MO, 65708
We need an open and free internet protected under Net Neutrality that classifies internet and internet access as Title II of The Communications Act of 1934.

3996. Luis Matos, Sikeston, MO, 63801
Please Maintain net neutrality and preserve title 2

3997. shannon steinhouse, St louis, MO, 63110
I support STRONG net neutrality. We must have net neutrality, protected under title 2.

3998. Ian Huddleston, Rolla, MO, 65401
I am in support of strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II! This astroturfing has to stop.

3999. Susan Cordani, St. Charles, MO, 63301
I am in support of Title 2 and am completely opposed to reverting back to Title 1. Keep Title 2 in force.

4000. John Blase, St. Louis, MO, 63121
Protect Net Neutrality and continue providing an even playing field for all! Discriminatory favoritism of online content is undemocratic and threatens an open internet!

4001. Graham Stewart, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Please maintain the classification of internet service providers as telecommunications services and thus keep them subject to Title II's requirements. Reclassifying them as information services will leave net neutrality on a weak legal footing and thus will eventually undermine the open internet.

4002. Scott Shafrin, St. Louis, MO, 63130
Please preserve net neutrality protections and the Title II status of Internet Service Providers, who should NOT have the ability to select out some products, companies, or services over others or to arbitrarily slow down or speed up service to those selected vendors. The internet must remain an open forum for free speech and exchange and consumers MUST be protected against the will of giant corporate interests.

4003. Joe Niswonger, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
I demand that the FCC keep the Section II classification to protect Net Neutrality.

4004. CATHLEEN DROZ, ST CHARLES, MO, 63304
I SPECIFICALLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE 2 OVERSIGHT BY ISPS. THIS KEEPS THING ON A LEVEL AND FAIR PLAYING FIELD.

4005. Ben Burford, Clayton, MO, 63105
The internet needs to be equally available to all and we need a strong legal framework to check the incentives of corporations that have tried and will continue to try to reduce access and raise prices if they are allowed.

4006. John Weseman, St. Louis, MO, 63124
Please keep the internet neutral by enforcing Title II Authority

4007. Michael Hackett, St. Louis, MO, 63111
I want to preserve net neutrality and title 2 ... I do not want companies undermining my internet

4008. Skyler Cavallo, St. Louis, MO, 63146
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY. I want access to a free and open internet, forever. This activist head of the FCC is an asshole.

4009. Rick Williams, Chillicothe, MO, 64601
We believe that Title 2 classification for ISP is very important. The internet needs to remain open so that it may continue to foster new and innovative ideas from both existing and new companies alike.

4010. Gayle Martini, St. Ann, MO, 63074
How can anyone with half a brain not want to keep net neutrality rules as they currently are. Stop screwing the consumer.

4011. Chris Rinehart, Festus, MO, 63028
The internet deserves to be free. This is the slipperiest of all slopes. This is the path to China or God forbid North Korea type regulation. It is the last real freedom left in the world. True freedom.

4012. Shauna Finney, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Please preserve net neutrality and Title I

4013. John Malnar, Vichy, MO, 65580
I would like to express my strong support for vigorous Net Neutrality regulations backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.

4014. calder Joseph Kennedy, Jefferson city, MO, 65109
I am in favor of strong net neutrality. I believe deregulation of net neutrality will only benefit big business and hurt new business growth.

4015. Peter Schiefelbein, Ozark, MO, 65721
Please Retain Title-II Status for Net Neutrality. Citizens do not want any more Corporate interference of our internet usage than there already is. Title-I and Corporate "Promises" does not equal the protection of Title-II. We the People DESERVE Freedom from Corporate Oppression!

4016. Caitlin Sasek, Gladstone, MO, 64118
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Rolling back the current regulations is irresponsible and is not in the best interest of the American people.

4017. Justin Woolworth, Kansas City, MO, 64118
For stronger net nuetrality

4018. Becky Schubkegel, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I support net neutrality and Title II. I oppose any movement to Title I.

4019. Lisa Guyett, Plattsburg, MO, 64477
i SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY. Let us not go in the direction of lobbyists and pay-offs by huge corporations.

4020. Audrey Ahlenius, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Internet should be free for all,

4021. Ajay Rao, columbia, MO, 65203
We need to preserve net neutrality AND title II. I do not trust corporations!

4022. Regan Cormak, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
I strongly support net neutrality and title II. The FCC should preserve these much needed regulations.

4023. Laura, St. Louis, MO, 63116
I support STRONG net neutrality laws back by title 2 oversight of isp's. Thank you!

4024. Adam Daugherty, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
Firstly, please preserve net neutrality by keeping ISPs listed under Title II. History shows we cannot allow the communications company's like Verizon and ATT to police themselves.

4025. Jusleen Kendhari, Columbia, MO, 65203
We MUST preserve net neutrality regulations and Title II

4026. Justin Ruggieri, Kansas City, MO, 64110
The FCC should stand up for Internet users and safeguard net neutrality by rejecting Chairman Ajit Paiâ€™s proposal to give ISPs free rein to create a "pay-for-play" Internet.Iâ€™m concerned about an Internet where ISPs can charge more for certain websites because the result could reduce access to new ideas and innovations by effectively charging for the freedom of expression. The existing net neutrality rules mean that ISPs canâ€™t slow or block our ability to see certain web services or engage in data discrimination by charging websites and online service more money to reach people faster. This is the kind of forward-looking approach to ensure the Internet remains a level playing field that benefits consumers, small businesses, and existing Internet companies. Paiâ€™s proposed repeal of the rules could transform Internet providers into Internet gatekeepers with an effective veto right on expression and innovation. Thatâ€™s contrary to the basic precepts on which the Internet was built. Since the Internet as we know it came to be in the 1990s, it has been a forum for exchanging ideas and innovations that has helped shape American culture. Like a great public library, any American can access and participate within it. We may joke that the Internet is an engine for memes, or dwell on the ugly side of social media, but the Internet has enriched everyday American life through access to art, literature, science, philosophy, and many other subjects. An open Internet both supports freedom of expression and encourages Americans to participate in that freedom. Thank you for keeping Title II net neutrality rules in place to protect Internet users like me.

4027. kevin harmon, richmond, MO, 64085
please keep title two---asking everyone to trust companies who will be picking winners and losers (winners highest bidder) and not giving equal access and people to make open choices is letting these companies double/triple dip us. this make it impossible/difficult for any new start up to make it unless they can pay to play

4028. Brandon Ives, Springfield, MO, 65802
I support absolute net neutrality, and I vote and pay taxes.

4029. Calvin Coker, Columbia, MO, 65202
The FCC should maintain strong protections for net neutrality. The classification of ISPs under Title II is a necessary step to grant the FCC appropriate enforcement power to prevent ISPs from arbitrarily slowing content. There is significant evidence to suggest a.) slowing content has happened in the past, prior to the reclassification, and b.) there is not a net economic deficit for the regulation. The proposed changes are not beneficial to the country.

4030. Whitney Coker, Columbia, MO, 65202
Classification of ISPs under Title II grants the FCC necessary enforcement powers to prevent ISPs from arbitrarily slowing content. This rule made under the Obama administration should be upheld to keep the consumers protected.

4031. Andrew Turn, Rock Hill, MO, 63119
I support net neutrality backed by title 2 regulations on isp's.

4032. S. Marie Houston, Neosho, MO, 64850
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by the Title II oversight of ISPs, and I do not want ANY change in those oversights. Net Neutrality is important in a free market, and allows businesses to flourish. It is also an essential part of free speech, and without it, we would become a dictatorship. We are America - without freedom, we are nothing.

4033. Ben Shannon, St. Charles, MO, 63303
I strongly support net neutrality and wish for ISP's to remain under the title 2 classification. Please don't revoke these protections!

4034. Riley Kelly, Kansas City, MO, 64112
I do not support reducing net neutrality regulations. Keep net neutrality the way it is right now.

4035. R G, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and do not want any changes made to ISP oversight. This will enable corporate stifling of free speech online.

4036. Trevor Michel Biri, Jackson, MO, 63755
Do not let these insane people and greedy corporations trick us into a reform of Title II. The future of the internet, and the livelihood of this countries people and small businesses depend on it.

4037. Christina Kasch, Springfield, MO, 65804
I have used the internet since I was born, and to this day it is still one of the most important tools I have ever learned to use. It is how I communicate, do work for school, and how I enjoy many of my hobbies. I plan on becoming a computer science teacher when I get older, and that would not be possible without net neutrality. Please, keep the internet free for everyone. I couldn't afford to educate myself if that wasn't the case.

4038. Sarah Montgomery, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
Perserve Net Neutrality and Title 1

4039. Ralph Boester, St. Louis, MO, 63146
Net neutrality is not something that we should "ask providers to comply with" it is something we should guarantee. Keep providers under Title 2.

4040. Scott Vouri, St. Louis, MO, 63104
I encourage the FCC to support net neutrality and Title 2.

4041. Kim A., Blue Springs, MO, 64015
Stop trying to ruin the internet, plz.

4042. gaston, Kansas city, MO, 64119
Keep the net neutrality rules as they are, do not let the isp providers have freedom to act as they wish

4043. patrick roth, st louis, MO, 63121
i strongly support net neutrality supported by title2 regulations of internet service providers. profit motives of greedy corporations are guaranteed to negatively affect the fairness of access to the information pipeline referred to as the internet. isp companies cannot be taken at their word that they won't attenuate the bandwidth of their competitors content or products. it's asinine to assert otherwise.

4044. Donna Jones, Parkville, MO, 64152
I strongly support Net Neutrality. Please keep it in place.

4045. Andy Jones, Parkville, MO, 64152
I strongly support net neutrality. Please don't screw this up....

4046. Chris Rochford, Kansas City, MO, 64111
ISPs have and will continue to engage in anti-competitive behaviors without an enforceable regulatory requirement that all services and consumers should have equal Internet access without hinderance. There is absolutely no evidence that innovation has or will stall under Title II status, and returning to Title I will only serve to consolidate access under a small group of organizations, putting start-ups, municipal and state initiatives at a severe disadvantage. Net Neutrality is an absolute must if the United States intends to remain competitive in online innovation.

4047. Karen R. Carpenter, House Springs, MO, 63051
I'd like to make it clear that I support Net Neutrality with Title II oversight of all ISPs. The internet MUST remain open and neutral and Title II oversight needs to be enforced.Also, please consider making it simpler for the general public to comment on this matter. I understand some people will leave unhelpful comments when you make the process very simple, but, as you have it right now, almost no one will get to this page without assistance. The vocabulary on this site is too unfamiliar to the rest of us - proceedings, filings, 'express' vs 'standard filing' - none of those make sense to most people. They just want to be able to talk to you about this issue. Making it too difficult may eliminate some of the unhelpful comments, but it also whittles down your response pool, effectively undermining the whole point of asking for public comment.Thank you.

4048. Joseph Fish, Lee's Summit, MO, 64086
if net neutrality is rescinded (ie, ISPs are returned to the other classification, reversing the previous decision), I will vote AGAINST every single representative i have in government offices, until it is restored.the idea that this is anything but a virtual land-grab, rent-seeking, parasitic move by the richest corporations at the expense of the poorest people in our country is utter fallacy.

4049. Allison Smith, Kansas city, MO, 64111
I am voicing my support for keeping regulations that mandate net neutrality and keep title ii classifications.

4050. Laura Keal, Blue Springs, MO, 64029
You must keep Title II to permit net neutrality.

4051. Marty perrea, Parkville, MO, 64152
Strong support of continuation of Title II protection for the consumer. How can you even consider selling out the American consumer to corporate America. Shame on you. Do the right thing and regulate the monopolistic behavior of the Mega Corporations. Have any of you read any US History?

4052. Kyle Morton, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
I support Net Neutrality with Title II oversight of ISPs

4053. Laura Nennig, Greenwood, MO, 64034
I support strong net neutrality under title 2. Please keep the ruling the way it is now, not like it was previously (when companies could easily bias their content over a competitor). Thank you

4054. Ellen Aisenbrey, Kansas City, MO, 64113
I think we need to preserve net neutrality and title II. Big companies should not be allowed to push their favorites onto the American consumer.

4055. Reid Vilbig, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Preserve Net neutrality and title 2. It greatly important to the public. It fosters innovation and keeps the internet a vibrant place that can create jobs though start ups.

4056. Stephen Moore, Blackjack, MO, 63033
I believe that Net Neutrality is necessary for a free and enterprising Internet. ISPs should be regulated under Title 2.

4057. Adam Pasch, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
Net neutrality is critical to maintaining innovation in the internet. I work at a startup and I do not know how we could afford to pay to ensure equal access against the big established players. Changes are likely to result in a stronger oligopoly and limited competition.

4058. Dennis Fitzpatrick, Valley Park, MO, 63088
Please leave Title 2 alone. We need strong net neutrality!!!Thank you!Dennis

4059. Bjorn Lindstrom, Branson West, MO, 65737
AJit Pai appears to be a complete moron, with no more common sense in his head than Donald Trump. Internet providers MUST CONTINUE to be regulated under Title 2. Even Verizon agrees that it does not inhibit investments in infrastructure. DO NOT ROLLBACK NET NEUTRALITY REGULATION!

4060. David Liss, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
This is ridiculous. The FCC chairman should recuse himself from this decision for his conflict of interest due to connections to his prior employer Verizon. Net neutrality is the fairest and most open form of competition. Keep the regulations under Title II.

4061. Olivia Casper, St Louis, MO, 63119
Hello. My name is Olivia Casper. I am here to tell you that net neutrality is a right, and everyone deserves to have it. Me, personally, I am on the internet every day. Yes, I know it isn't healthy, but it helps me so much. I have so many good friends on the internet, and I have no idea what I would do if I couldn't talk to them. I would be devastated. I have anxiety so I constantly have to check on my friends if they are okay. I only feel really accepted on the internet as well, since I am part of the LGBTQ+ community and the internet is the only place I feel accepted. Please. I am begging you. Net neutrality is a right.

4062. Kara Newmark, St. Louis, MO, 63117
Protect Net Neutrality through maintaining Title II classification of ISP.

4063. John Theodore, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
I support strong regulations that enforce Net Neutrality because there is no other way to ensure a free and open platform. We need the Title II protections to ensure this.

4064. Ian, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
Please support strong net neutrality regulation under Title 2 authority. I support exsisting regulations.

4065. Eleanor Grant, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please don't repeal Net Neutrality! Support Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight on ISPs. This is very important to me and many others!

4066. James Langdon, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers. Additionally, the internet contains a wealth of knowledge, which the denying or manipulating access to, by for profit companies, acting as gatekeepers, is despicable; the government (the FCC in this case) should be defending the public's access to this service, which has essentially become a utility.

4067. Scott Paul Nordmann, St. Louis, MO, 63109
I believe that the internet should be regulated under title II

4068. Doug Byrkit, St. Louis, MO, 63114
Just adding my voice in saying I strongly support net neutrality and title 2. Those who say otherwise are corporate lackeys, enemies of free & equal expression for all, and I'm pretty sure they hate kittens and babies as well.

4069. Jessica Skelton, kansas city, MO, 64111
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. Internet freedom is important.

4070. Dan Born, Hannibal, MO, 63401
Net neutrality is good. ISPs are shady, don't let them mess up the internet. Also, the chairman's mug is too big.

4071. Jennifer Born, Hannibal, MO, 63401
We cannot rely on corporations to police themselves and provide the internet they should. The FCC MUST maintain tight regulations on the ISPs to protect this service to Americans. Net Neutrality is good.

4072. Eliot Sappingfield, Bolivar, MO, 65613
Preserve net neutrality. The Internet flourished in a climate where traffic was unregulated, and it should stay that way. The FCC has a choice not between whether or not the internet should be regulated, but whether it should be regulated by the people through our public servants or by businesses who seek a temporary boost in revenue that will ultimately degrade the service they provide and hurt them in the long run.

4073. J Stephens, St Louis, MO, 63122
net neutrality is essential to a free society. it provides freedom of opportunity to those who would otherwise have nowhere to start. it's a place for free knowledge, communication, everything. don't restrict it like this. you'll be hurting millions of people who depend on the internet for their livelihoods, and everyone will hate you forever. there's artists, small businesses, students, so many people need net neutrality. it's really important.

4074. Amit Prayaga, Dardenne Prairie, MO, 63368
In my hometown, I only have 2 options for internet services with only only 1 ISP being a reasonable service for streaming upload content and streaming other video content. I want internet to be filed under Title II so that a nearby 3rd party ISP can continue their growth unhampered so that I can get their service.

4075. John Judd, Olivette, MO, 63132
Protect net neutrality! Keep it Title 2! Don't role back protections!

4076. David McDowell, Independence, MO, 64055
This should be a no-brainer. A government agency like the FCC should be looking out for the people not corporations. The fact that this is coming up again shows it's motivated by money, please do what's right

4077. Amy Lowry, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
I support net neutrality. Please don't pass this proceeding.

4078. Christopher Allgood, Kansas City, MO, 64108
As a citizen of the United States I want the FCC and its chairman Ajit Pai to protect net neutrality and keep Title ii in place.

4079. david barnes, Florissant, MO, 63031
I support net neutrality it keeps the internet fare and balianc

4080. Shawn Baldwin, St Louis, MO, 63139
I am writing to express my support for Net Neutrality and the preservation of Title II.

4081. Kristen Solner, Kansas City, MO, 64154
Please protect net neutrality and Title 2. This is important for all users of internet service. Thank you very much.

4082. Michael Wasleski, Kansas City, MO, 64119
I strongly support Net Neutrality and feel that if cable companies are allowed to limit certain websites, this would create a lot of problems.

4083. David, Florissant, MO, 63033
ISPs need to stay heavily regulated because they will be able to kill small companies that don't pay whatever they demand. And if ISPs want they can hold some sites at "ransom" if they want to.

4084. Tim McVey, JEFFERSON CITy, MO, 65109
Maintianing net nutrality is important for our economy and freedom of information. There is a financial incentive for isp's to control the kinds of information we can access that's why net nutrality is critical. Also it's shameful how obscure and difficult you've made the comment process. Seriously

4085. steven mitchell, Kansas City, MO, 64113
I urge you to keep net neutrality rules in place.

4086. Schmer, Kansas city, MO, 64156
I support strong net neutrality and the protections of title 2 oversight of ISPs. ISPs should not be able to choose what content I have access to.

4087. Carmen Greiner, St. Louis, MO, 63144
Please do not repeal Net Neutrality rules. I am a student and rely on my easy internet access to complete my studies. Repealing the current Net Neutrality rules would prevent me and millions of other students from doing so.

4088. Darryl Painter, St Louis, MO, 63116
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Thank you

4089. Margie Colton, Hillsboro, MO, 63050
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2This is a very important issue and cannot be ignoredThank you

4090. Stephanie Batson, St. Louis, MO, 63123
I strongly support the net neutrality regulations passed in 2015.

4091. Richard Sullivan, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
I support strong net neutrality regulations and keeping ISPs classified in title II of the communications act. A neutral web is essential for the free flow commerce, ideas, and speech. No entity, especially one contributing large sums of money to our elections, should ever be able to based on the content we consume, slow it, or stop it.

4092. Adam Gadbois, Columbia, MO, 65203
We believe the internet is a utility and should remain under title ii. Reversal of this would hurt American citizens, American businesses and would stifle free speech. The attack on net neutrality only benefits internet service providers and harms the citizens of the United States.

4093. Chanthon Lam, Eagan, MO, 55123
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Thank you.

4094. Tina Adams, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
I whole-heartedly support STRONG net neutrality backed by TITLE TWO oversight of ISPs!!

4095. Ryan Azar, Kansas City, MO, 64112
I believe the internet should be protected by maintaining net neutrality through use of Title 2

4096. Andrew Cochran, St. Louis, MO, 63118
Dear FCC Constituents, Please try your hardest to protect net neutrality regulations, specifically under Title II for ISP providers. I believe that in a democracy it is incredibly important for diverse ideas, services, and products to pass freely throughout the web. Infringing upon Title II regulations would be like infringing upon the 1st Amendment. No one wants to put a dagger in the back of the Constitution, right? Let's do the right thing for the American people. It is your job, after all. Sincerely, Andrew P. Cochran

4097. Nancy Cooper, Springfield, MO, 65807
Please support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title 11

4098. Randy, Clinton, MO, 64735
It is important to all of us the Net Neutrality to remain under Title 2 jurisdiction.

4099. Devin Dippold, St. Louis, MO, 63119
I strongly support maintaining current net neutrality regulations. Any change to them endangers both commercial and personal internet use. I further strongly support regulating the internet as a utility.

4100. Stefan B, Columbia, MO, 65203
I support Net Neutrality rules backed by TItle II that requires strong oversight of internet service providers. This regulation does not harm any ISP companies while strongly benefiting consumers. It's a win/win situation that needs to be left in place. There is no compromise here, especially in today's world, where the internet is an essential part of modern life.

4101. Jason Jung, St. Louis, MO, 63104
I support strong net neutrality and title 2. Do not take that away.

4102. Ian Nickens, Lee's Summit, MO, 64063
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPsï»¿. It has been proven time and time again that companies will not perform their jobs benevolently unless they are regulated. By ending strong net neutrality, the FCC would basically be advocating for the end of the First Amendment on the largest information platform in the world. All websites (even Breitbart) should have equal opportunity for access. Do the right thing. Don't give corporations more power.

4103. Samuel Hood, Grandview, MO, 64030
I support Net Neutrality! Why are we letting this happen? It's wrong and it's corrupt.

4104. Emily Hanson, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
I support strong Net Neutrality and do not want any changes made to ISP oversight. I support the strong net neutrality under Title II oversight of ISPs

4105. Spencer Hunley, Kansas City, MO, 64117
I am a person with a disability, who leads an organization by and for those with disabilities, who critically relies on the internet's net neutrality in order to use services and communication tools so that our membership - as well as non-members - can attend meetings virtually as well as communicate in alternative ways.Ajit Pai and his plan to destroy what is in no way, shape or form a luxury, but a

severely critical need for those with disabilities, is inherently malevolent, opportunistic, and economically, constitutionally, and socially unfair, not to mention un-American and a blatant disregard of the American taxpayer.Net neutrality is what keeps the internet a driving force, allowing startups to grow and bloom, people from across the globe communicating in real-time by virtually shortening the distance between them, allowing education to be obtained for those who simply don't have the time or money to attend traditional brick & mortar classrooms, and keeps oligopolies and monopolies at bay - so that everyone can connect and start on a truly level playing field.If net neutrality is undone, so will our economy, our society, our entire republic. The internet and net neutrality are fully integrated into our government, our country, our people - destroying that puts the country and even national security at risk.Do not do this.

4106. Janet Williford, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
Please keep Net Neutrality active. It is necessary in order not to become a country ruled by fascism. I understand the dilmna it puts the U. S. in, but this is a necessary evil. (This is not a bot-sent email.)

4107. Zachary Boucher, St Peters, MO, 63376
The internet has become important to modern life as the phone and the printing press before it. It is a way for people to share ideas and innovate.Innovation is one of the keys to the web. If the isps are able to throttle speeds for those who can't pay, the next google, facebook, or twitter won't be able to reach enough people to change the world for the better. Please keep net neutrality.

4108. net nutrality, Rolla, MO, 65401
Leave the Internet alone for the love of all that is holy. Keep it free for all websites and all users. You could seriously though investigate the monopolies that some of these companies have over their areas of service. I thought monopolies were an illegal thing anyway, but what does this administration know about the legality of things.

4109. Vishal Singh, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
Strongly support net neturality.

4110. Natalie Scroggie, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Keep Title 2 in place and keep the internet neutral. It is important that the internet does not become a pay-to-play situation and that information is not restricted or censored by greedy companies eager to make a buck. Thanks in advance for protecting my rights and the rights of all U.S. citizens.

4111. Elodie Andrews, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!! We need this!

4112. Paul McCulloch, Dardenne Prairie, MO, 63368
Please keep internet freedom under article 2. It is nothing short of rediculous that we would give the isps the potential to restrict access of some sites. Consumers need a level playing field.

4113. David Finch, Kansas City, MO, 64137
we support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. With the internet used for everything we do. it is not something that was relatively new now it has been around for years. We feel that our president is not looking out for our best interest at heart. we feel that he has stakes in all these companies and is looking to raise his portfolio before he get booted out of office. he is a greedy person and i feel that by doing this will just hurt the economy and america all together.

4114. Richard Heise, Saint Louis, MO, 63103
I want the FCC to keep the net neutrality rules based on title II

4115. Jacob AndersonJacob, Saint Louis, MO, 63103
Please keep net neutrality as is. With article II. NOTHING ELSE NEEDS TO BE CHANGED.

4116. Jessica J. McDonald, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. It's vitally important that we protect equal access on the internet.

4117. Mark Hagemeister, St. Louis, MO, 63141
You serve the People not the corporations. Why can't we get government employees that work for the greater good? America is no longer exceptional.

4118. charles robbins, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Please don't ruin the Internet. You can't with a straight face tell us to trust cable companies, they are not known for keeping their word.

4119. Michael Ballenger, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I wish to comment on the current consideration of reducing regulation on internet access providers. Currently these providers are regulated under Title II and I wish that to continue to be the case. The acquisition of content providers by the internet access providers leads to a strong temptation to control access to content. Since this is the case strong regulation must be in place to prevent this from happening.

4120. Suzanne, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
As an educator and citizen, I beg you to preserve net neutrality and Title II.

4121. Celia McManus, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Please do not repeal net neutrality. We rely on the internet as an open, free resource to communicate and access information. It is an invaluable, priceless tool that helps enhance our strengths and abilities. We are a brighter nation with it.

4122. Steve Boeckmann, Columbia, MO, 65201

I would like to see ISPs continue to be regulated as Title 2 and net neutrality maintained in its current form.

4123. Colby Miller, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
I support strong net neutrality enforcement. DO NOT ROLE BACK THE OBAMA ERA PROTECTIONS TO BENEFIT THE INTERESTS OF MAJOR INTERNET SERVICE PROVIDERS!!!

4124. Demetrius Clay, Kansas City, MO, 64129
Please uphold Net Neutrality. Please don't let the money grubbing bastards that run most ISP to destroy the Internet.

4125. Nia Bothwell, Independence, MO, 64055
Do not repeal the net neutrality rules. Support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight on isps. Information needs to be free for people to access.

4126. Daniel R WEEKS, Kansas City, MO, 64119
Preserve net neutrality. Maintain ISPs. Under title 2.

4127. matt knehans, lees summit, MO, 64081
Preserve Title 2 status for ISP's and net neutrality.

4128. david norwood, Kansas City, MO, 64106
ISPs need Title 2 classification! Please keep strong net neutrality rules to protect our open and un-throttled access to the internet.

4129. Lauren Parkin, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
Keep the internet open. Don't end net neutrality.

4130. Chris Kornbluth, Grain Valley, MO, 64029
Preserve net neutrality! Please do not change the requirements of ISPs from Title II.

4131. robert lirtzman, St. Louis, MO, 63119
I strongly support net neutrality. As a former teacher in low income communities, you cannot give large corporations the ability to take the internet away from these students and their families.

4132. randi kampeter, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Preserve neutrality in title 2

4133. Brian Young, St. Louis, MO, 63117
We need net neutrality!! Keep them under title II please. We all know what this really is, and your actions will be part of your legacy. How do you want to be remembered?

4134. Jeremy, St. Louis, MO, 63118
Please, please preserve net neutrality and TITLE 2!

4135. Jim Wells, Kahoka, MO, 63445
Keep the internet free flowing by preserving net neutrality. I want to assure that each and every provider gets equal speed and access. It is essential for democracy here and across the world. It was a great try to stop our input through hiding this link so deep within your website. It is the exact behaviour that causes me to want assurance to prevent the hinderance of data/content to flow equally throughout all networks. Keep net neutrality!Jim WellsKahoka, MO

4136. Aleta Bowers, Republic, MO, 65738
I don't have a choice in isp. There is only one provider fo my area. If that provider choose profits over morals, it would directly undermine my access to the internet with no viable recourse on my part. Expecting a for profit organization to choose morals over profit is ludicrous.

4137. scott burton, kansas city, MO, 64108
I very much want strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight. There is no way we can maintain net neutrality on the honor system. Please keep Title II in place!

4138. Adam cox, Kansas city, MO, 64151
You know when they announced that it was a good idea to make isp's title 2 I thought it was a great decisision. So if you guys could avoid not messing it up I would greatly appreciate it thank you.

4139. edward parker, Kansas City, MO, 64113
Do not change from Title II. It is not broken, we have more important things to worry about. Net neutrality is a very good thing that has encouraged technological development.

4140. Joe Peters, Springfield, MO, 65804
Please continue title II provisions to protect net neutrality from corporate interference

4141. Michael Kovach, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Please uphold Title II and maintain net neutrality. A free and open internet is so important--I beg you not to take this away.

4142. Dave Ehrhart, Kansas City, MO, 64157
Net neutrality must be one the FCC's top priorities. Don't let ISPs make policy, do the right, non-partisan thing. Preserve Title 2 and net

neutrality.

4143. Cody Mossman, Kansas City, MO, 64134
Chairman Ajit Pai, I whole heartedly support strong net neutrality protections held by title 2 in regards to ISPs. Any changes to this regulation will incredibly hurt consumer protections.

4144. David James, St paul, MO, 63366
I'm in favor of net neutrality laws and Title II.

4145. Megha Mehta, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. I absolutely do not want any change to ISP oversight.

4146. Tricia Grissom, Wentzville, MO, 63385
I strongly support net neutrality and oversight of ISPs through the Title 2 classification. Do not change the rules for net neutrality.

4147. Tessa Grissom, Wentzville, MO, 63385
Please preserve net neutrality. Keep ISPs designated as Title Two and keep the strong oversight of their activities. We cannot trust them to self-regulate; greed always wins out. We cannot allow ISPs to pick and choose the companies that get fast internet. Please preserve net neutrality.

4148. Logan, Columbia, MO, 65201
I do not think the net neutrality rules need to be revised, ISP's already hold considerble power in areas where they oeprate a monopoly. Why give them more power to direct traffic speeds and therefore prioritize what websites I can visit. The only reason I could see for the net neutrality laws being looking at is because of lobbying on behalf of the ISP's. There is no justification for a change to the net neutrality laws, the citizens do not want it, show me examples of actual people who want ISP's to have more control over their customers. Why do we continuously break up mergers? because they're bad for the customers and bad for competition. Also why is it so hard to comment on this issue through this website?

4149. Travis M. Sifers, MD, Kansas City, MO, 64111
As a citizen of this nation, in good standing, I plead that you, a governing body for the people, do not change the legal 'footing' of Net Neutrality by converting the authority back to Title I. ISP's are not subject to swift enough market forces with regards to compitition, and no one wants to live in a nation where access to the individual internet sites they wish view are retarded by what amounts to cooperate greed. Thank you.

4150. Austin Cunningham, oak grove, MO, 64075
I support the fcc's enforcement of net neutrality and title II

4151. Korey Renner, Joplin, MO, 64801
We as broadband ISP customers have few choices in providers, and can't effectively vote with our feet when an ISP relationship turns abusive. Please protect Title 2 classification of internet service providers. High speed internet providers are a natural monopoly. Title 2 and Net Neutrality provides a basic level of protection that these customers need. Despite all the promises made by Ajit Pai and Verizon, ISP customers have little leverage in the relationship and need strong government protections.

4152. James Lyons, Kansas City, MO, 64157
Net neutrality under Title 2 is necessary.

4153. Megan Cooke, Saint Louis, MO, 63124
I want to keep Title II, as it preserves Net Neutrality. Net Neutrality is an important freedom that ensure the equal opportunity of all internet users, a right which has been implicit in the goals and purposes of the internet as it stands today and in the future. To threaten Net Neutrality is to threaten the First Amendment right of all U.S. citizens: their right to Freedom of Speech.

4154. Sean Wellock, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
I strongly support net neutrality and I urge you to preserve it. Eliminating Title II legal protections looks to me to be inviting the absolute worse kind of rent-extracting behavior on the part of the ISPs. What's more, it will very likely stifle innovation and raise costs to consumers. I have not heard a single convincing argument in favor of eliminating net neutrality. I'd like to also emphasize that the FCC's role is manifestly not to encourage rent-seeking economic behavior on the part of communications firms. I imagine some of you are lawyers, and at one point in law school read Ronald Coase' article on The Federal Communications Commission, written in 1959. Please re-read that article, or literally any other article of merit in the field of law and economics or institutional choice in the last 60 or so years. The consensus is that government policies that encourage rent-seeking, and are anti-competitive are bad policies. Eliminating net neutrality is a terrible policy which has both of these features. Preserve net neutrality.

4155. Tyler Sullivan, Fenton, MO, 63026
The speed and accessibility of the internet is the backbone of many modern educational programs, allowing students to keep in touch with professors and other students when they cannot physically make it to a class. This past week, I was blocked by flooding and heavy traffic from making a trip to my university. All of my duties would have been missed, if not for my current ability to send near-immediate messages to professors and students alike. Net Neutrality is necessary for communication with any degree of freedom and ease, that thing which is so revered in our nation.

4156. Billy Williams, Kearney, MO, 64060
Preserve net neutrality under title two. Don't allow verizon to put it on a "different legal footing." If you do this you will ruin the internet.

4157. Sherry Lindquist, St. Louis, MO, 63122
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. It is central to preserving our democracy.

4158. Anthony Whitt, Kansas City, MO, 64155
I specifically support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's.

4159. Jamie Roman, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Please protect the net neutrality that we currently have. I don't want ISPs to determine the speed at which my Internet content choices are served to me, choosing for their interests rather than mine. I don't want ISPs to be able to control my access to Internet content.

4160. brandon, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Please keep the internet fair for everyone. Changing it will turn it into a propaganda machine.

4161. Benjamin Maddox, Ferguson, MO, 63135
I highly disagree with the change being made to ISP oversight. I strongly support net neutrality, specifically by way of Title II's oversight of ISPs. Changing this will allow corporations to stifle freedom of speech online and is a fast track to a fascist dictatorship.

4162. Andrew Preisack, Rolla, MO, 65401
If you have any experience using the internet at all, you'll know that given the chance to easily push others down and raise themselves up, most people will take it. Don't let your lobbyists tell you otherwise, because the best thing for a healthy internet is to keep it from being muddled by subpar copies of everything.

4163. Deborah Hencey, springfield, MO, 65803
I support Title 2 to keep net neutrality. Corporate control of the internet is something that should never be allowed to happen. Trusting that they will work in the best interest of their customers should not be placed in their control-ever.

4164. Aaron Abernathy, Columbia, MO, 65202
Please preserve the Title II status of Internet Service Providers. I'm pursuing a masters in economics and understand the potential value in creating service tiers, but those modest benefits pale in comparison to the benefits of an open internet. I'm consciously willing to make that trade-off, and believe that the rest of the public requesting the preservation of the open internet is doing so while understanding it may lead to higher bills, etc.

4165. Blake Casey, Arnold, MO, 63010
I support strong rules and regulations on net neutrality.

4166. Benjamin Wheeler, Ballwin, MO, 63011
I believe the internet is the most powerful infrastructure to emerge in the modern era. Just as equal access to highways, waterways, and the power grid are essential to spurring economic growth and making our country great so has the safe guarding of a level playing field in the internet realm spurred innovation and prosperity in the 21st century. We must not let ISPs and other giant corporations dictate our public policy. I am adding my voice to the bipartisan support of the regulation of ISPs under title 2 classification. The principal of net neutrality must be upheld.

4167. james cullen, Kearney, MO, 64060
Please maintain net neutrality and title two so you don't mess up everyone's internet.

4168. Lee Roy Goolsby III, Rock Port, MO, 64482
As a technician who works for our local ISP, we rely on net neutrality. We do not have the resources to be able to pay for our customers to get priority traffic from media content sites. If our upstream provider decides they are going to throttle media streams like Netflix, Amazon Video, and Hulu, then our customers will not get the quality and speed of entertainment they are paying for. I support strong net neutrality protections through the continued classification of Internet regulated through Title II. Please, follow the will of the people and keep net neutrality intact.

4169. Arthur Payton, Mexico, MO, 65265
For net neutrality to remain enforceable and to protect the interests of the people, not the companies, cable providers must remain categorized under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. To reverse this decision is to act against the interests and will of the People, and instead bend to the special interests of lobbyists such as the current FCC Chairman's former employer, Verizon. We must protect net neutrality, and it is despicable to use misleading language in the titling of these proceedings.

4170. Bryan Coughlan, Wildwood, MO, 63011
I support existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify Internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations.I feel it is important that Internet Service providers not be allowed to discourage opposing views by slowing down transmissions from organizations holding such views. Also, I would like to prevent the throttling down of traffic from competitors -- of the service providers themselves or of any associated entities.

4171. William Emde, Columbia, MO, 65201
I support strong Net Neutrality. That is why title two oversight of ISPs.

4172. Sarita Barton, St. Louis, MO, 63108
I do not support alterations to net neutrality, a principle which is currently supported by Title II oversight of ISPs. The proposed changes are a significant threat to the intended free operation of the internet and should be vigorously opposed.

4173. Chris Grimes, Labadie, MO, 63055

Ensuring net neutrality should be an amendment to our constitution. It is akin to free speech itself and the capitalist spirit that governs our way of life.Allowing anyone at the FCC, to abolish or weaken net neutrality, in the interest of corporate profits, would be quite a shame. I think that there would be farther reaching consequences than big companies realize.In the end, the communications companies would regret making this happen, as would we all.

4174. Kendra Caruso, Pleasant Hope, MO, 65725
As a college student, a mother, a teacher, a science enthusiast, and a free American citizen, I REQUIRE Net Neutrality - in which Internet service providers should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites - as protected by Title II.I implore you to protect communications, on a federal level, for all of The People to have equal and open access and at the same time prevent monopolies who will not simply choose to do the right thing. Rather, I implore YOU to do the right thing, by protecting the American citizens' rights to access content without favor, and the freedom of knowledge and learning without bias of source or server. I implore you to find the bravery to stand up for American values, freedoms, and liberties of We the People!Because without the right to freedom to access knowledge without bias, Net Neutrality, what might our country resemble in a decade? Where will we be placing globally in science, math, technology, engineering, literature, journalism, and business? As a college student, a mother, a teacher, a science enthusiast, an American citizen, and a member of the twenty-first-century, I implore you, do not allow the equivalent information loss of the burning of Library of Alexandria to happen today, on your watch. I trust you will do the right thing as twenty-first-century American citizens who are interested in protecting our nation's people and our future.Thank you for your time and your work.

4175. Doug Cadmus, Columbia, MO, 65203
The successful technology career I've enjoyed for the last 25 years has been possible only through the essentially neutral nature of an open Internet that has created an even playing field for individuals as well as corporations. It's critical that we maintain true Net Neutrality, exemplified by Title II rules and the determination that Internet Service Providers are common carriers.

4176. Forrest Monat, St. Thomas, MO, 65076
I support the Title II classification of internet service providers as utilities.

4177. Moe Lester, St. Louis, MO, 63101
I urge you to do everything in your power to protect net neutrality and Title II. Don't fuck this up and destroy the internet. We'll all be extremely disappointed if you do.

4178. Alicia Hutson, Kirksville, MO, 63501
Support Net Neutrality and keep ISP's under Title II.

4179. John Shoop, Kirksville, MO, 63501
Support Net Neutrality and keep ISP's under Title II

4180. Joseph Biby, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
I am commenting on Restoring Internet Freedom. I endorse Chairman Pai's assesment on the matter and hope the proposal to remove internet regulations comes to pass.

4181. Sam A, St. Louis, MO, 63017
In the support of net neutrality, I want keep title II

4182. Mariel Trull, Columbia, MO, 65203
I am a very committed voter and citizen of this country, and I support strong net neutrality and Title II Oversight of ISPs. I do not want any change made to ISP oversight.

4183. Raul Taranu, Saint Louis, MO, 63121
I am in favor of strong protections of Net Neutrality regulations, including Title II classification of ISPs. Unfortunately, we cannot rely on corporations to abide by these rules themselves, and history has shown us that without Title II, such regulations do not withstand judicial scrutiny. Therefore it would be a mistake to re-classify ISPs back to Title I. The basis for my opinion comes from the fact that internet access is a common public good, as much a part of our vital infrastructure as roads and the electrical grid. We would rightfully demand that all electrical devices be given equal access and function from the electrical grid, regardless of the manufacturer, and we would not tolerate a world in which certain manufacturers could pay money to electrical providers to benefit their products over their competitors'. The same goes for roads. It would be inconceivable to think that it would be fair for certain auto manufacturers (all environmental and maintenance factors being roughly equal) being given preference such as fast lanes on highways thanks to payments to the owner of the road. The same principle applies for perhaps the most important infrastructure of our time, the internet. All legal content, regardless of the content producer and the producer's relationship to the ISP, should have equal access to the infrastructure that brings that content to the homes and businesses throughout the world. Revoking Title II would all but guarantee that these fair and necessary rules that protect our interests will be trampled.

4184. Susan Storey, St louis, MO, 63139
Keep the internet neutral!!!! Please keep Title 2 in place so we don't have to go to class action lawsuits to keep this commerce FAIR!!!!!

4185. anne Pokoski, Clayton, MO, 63105
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2. Very important!!,

4186. Destiny Jackson, Farmington, MO, 63640
Keep our web free!

4187. sally wolfe, Farmington, MO, 63640

I support tilted 2 and a free Internet.

4188. Net Neutrality, Springfield, MO, 65809
Net Neutrality should not be optional for ISPs. The United states is already a bloated dying behemoth of capitalism, the last thing we need is our one collective escape (that's the internet by the way) that we need the FCCs oversight on. The only problem is that they want to give all of the power to private companies. As a government agency it is not your duty to serve those company. It's to serve the American people. Do your damn job you over sized coffee mug drinking try hard!

4189. John Garwacki, St Louis, MO, 63114
I support preserving net neutrality and ISPs remaining under title II.

4190. Cort Gamblin, springfield, MO, 65804
I strongly support net neutrality and title 2. Could you guys just not? That'd be great.

4191. Nolan Irving, Ozark, MO, 65721
Like most Americans, I live in an area dominated by a very few internet service providers. This makes network neutrality extremely important to me, as I have no recourse or alternatives if my network provider were able to block traffic or services outright or gated behind "premium" additional fees. The possibility of weakening net neutrality will stifle innovation by allowing providers to strangle competition and new services that lack the deep pockets to pay for access.I support strong oversight of net neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs.

4192. Rami Bitar, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I strongly support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II. Without Title II small businesses would be at the mercy of Large companies and ISP's who could demand large sums of money in order to have a fast connection which is completely unacceptable. If you believe in a free market and equal opportunity as all Americans do then you should support Net Neutrality.

4193. paul hinlke, springfield, MO, 65802
I support a free and open internet. We need to preserve the current setting of Net Neutrality and it shouldn't be changed.

4194. terry henderson, Aurora, MO, 65605
I support title 2 and a free internet. We can not trust companies to not put their own interest above ours.

4195. Nathan Kriss, St. Peters, MO, 63376
Net neutrality must be preserved. As an IT professional, the internet is my life and my livelihood and without a free and open internet, my ability to provide for myself and my family would be threatened. Do not let ISPs govern themselves. Enact strong Title II regulations on the ISPs or create an entirely new governing agency to preserve the openness and neutrality of the Internet. If the FCC cannot adequately fight the ISPs (like the one that Ajit Pai is in the pocket of) then the job should be given to someone who can. It is the job of the FCC to act on the best interests of the American public, and by not fighting as hard as you can to enshrine net neutrality on the internet forever you are betraying the trust of all American citizens.

4196. Joshua Lewis, Columbia, MO, 65203
As we move to rely ever more on the internet, the need to maintain Net Neutrality becomes stronger. Please do not allow internet companies to send their customers over the wringer by discriminating against how each person uses the internet.

4197. Leisa Rotramel, Labadie, MO, 63055
I strongly support NET NEUTRALITY backed by TITLE II oversight of ISPs. We cannot trust ISPs to resist acting in their own best interest against our freedoms as consumers!

4198. William Haworth, Columbia, MO, 65201
If the only way for the FCC to have any jurisdiction over ISPs to keep them from throttling bandwidth on competitor's web services is to declare them Title II carriers, then so be it. I pay my ISP to give me access to the internet, not so I can use their products in addition and no one else's. If they want my business they will have to make better products than their competition, just like everyone else in the free market economy we enjoy in the United States of America.

4199. net, Washington, MO, 63090
Dont kill our net nutrality

4200. Colin Heath, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
Do not change field 2 rules

4201. net neutrality, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. The internet should be preserved as a free space which treats all data equally.

4202. David Norton, Independence, MO, 64055
I support Net Neutrality. Citizens have little choice in their internet service provider and cannot switch providers if their provider starts doing anti-consumer things like selling their internet history, or throttling certain internet websites and services to enforce monopolies. I can easily imagine an internet service provider effectively blocking access (via throttling to an unusable level) to (say) this comment site, or to a political grassroots campaign website. The ability of the ISP to control their users access to political discourse and information is at stake here. This act takes away consumer protections from citizens, and gives corporations (internet service providers) even more power over the people. I am against this act.

4203. Melissa, Saint Louis, MO, 63108

I urge the FCC to support strong regulation of internet service providers via regulations like Title II. We cannot trust that internet service providers will simply "do the right thing" when it comes to net neutrality without being required to. If only the world worked that way.

4204. Douglas Eynard, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
It is imperative to keep Net Neutrality for freedom of speech. Otherwise, corporations will sell our rights and freedom away from us. Corporation, could easily create monopolies of bandwidth to starve out competitors, people and any other company it doesn't like.

4205. Ryne Fletcher, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I strongly believe that in order to preserve net neutrality and a free and open web for everyone you must keep ISPs under title 2. ISPs have already admitted it doesn't affect their core business or infrastructure investment. It protects individuals and businesses from being taken advantage of. ISPs constantly try to take advantage of their consumers and are already doing so by monopolizing local markets. Keeping them under title 2 will at least prevent them from being able to create a "fast" lane which will actually just be a normal lane and putting the rest of us on a slow lane. I hope that you see it is in everyone's best interest to maintain the regulating authority you have over them and protect the people you promised to serve.

4206. Chris Markham, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
I want to express my concern about loosening restrictions on current telecommunications corporations and think they should remain under Title II. Creating a new set of regulatory requirements (particularly more lax or "voluntary" participation) concerns me, and I think it would lead to decreases in innovation and make individual entrepreneurship more difficulty in this country.

4207. Bruce Lynn, Springfield, MO, 65807
Please do the right thing and support net neutrality. Net neutrality is the principle that Internet service providers and governments regulating the Internet should treat all data on the Internet the same, not discriminating or charging differentially by user, content, website, platform, application, type of attached equipment, or mode of communication. The FCC's goal with regard to Broadband Internet was stated in 2011 as, "All Americans should have affordable access to robust and reliable broadband products and services. Regulatory policies must promote technological neutrality, competition, investment, and innovation to ensure that broadband service providers have sufficient incentives to develop and offer such products and services." If the FCC is honest then it should support net NEUTRALITY not corporate favoritism.

4208. Samuel Gutierrez, Kansas City, MO, 64126
Please preserve Neutrality, and Title 2

4209. Brandon Calloway, Raytown, MO, 64133
Making a change to the regulations on Net Neutrality would be maliciously irresponsible and idiotic.

4210. Barb Knehans, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
Please maintain Title 2

4211. M Douglas Knehans, lees summit, MO, 64064
Keep title 2 status for ISP and maintain our net neutrality.

4212. Karen Kostan, Carthage, MO, 64836
I strongly support maintaining and/or strengthening current net neutrality rules, including title II classification of ISPs. I find it shocking and troubling that the FCC would not do this, and demand further investigation into the motives behind these moves to weaken net neutrality.

4213. Jason Thompson, PARKVILLE, MO, 64152
I believe that strong net neutrality rules should be maintained. I do not trust that ISPs will voluntarily behave well without the regulation, and despite comments to the contrary, history has shown that net neutrality rules do not hamper investment in infrastructure.Please do not change the current regulations.

4214. Travis Almandinger, Carthage, MO, 64836
I strongly urge you to preserve net neutrality in its current form, including maintaining Title 2. The internet is the modern public commons, and there should be no preferential treatment, discrimination or bandwidth bottleneck for any type of content over another.

4215. Rick Eidson, Independence, MO, 64052
Keep Net Neutrality and title II...

4216. Mike Fitzjarrrell, Manchester, MO, 63021
I strongly favor maintaining net neutrality. I do not believe that internet service providers will play fairly and feel that a regulation is the only way to keep the internet neutral and is greatly in the public's interest.

4217. Samantha, St.Louis, MO, 63119
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by the Title II oversight of ISP's, and do not want any change made to ISP oversight. This will enable corporate stifling of free speech online and is a step to a fascistâ€‹ dictatorship.

4218. Sandra Bain, Columbia, MO, 65203
An equal internet, where all content providers are delivered without prejudice, is essential to modern life and freedom of speech. I support Title II oversight of ISPs and do not want any changes made to the oversight of ISPs.

4219. Jorge Alfaro, Springfield, MO, 65809
I am writing to express my support for full net neutrality

4220. Benjamin Mckinney, Independence, MO, 64055
I want net neutrality to continue. I am already paying for the internet. Why should a company pay for internet access and then pay to get to my home. Without paying again. Then I will have to pay more for what I want to see.

4221. Andrew Hutchens, Saint Louis, MO, 63131
The Internet is not only an essential utility but a key to an ever growing economy and allowing ISP's to control and discriminate what data and content can reach their paying users would be very irresponsible. Dropping the Title 2 regulations wouldn't benefit anyone and would only make it more difficult for internet companies to reach people killing innovation. This is a pivotal issue for the future of the internet and I hope you will reconsider regulating ISP's in this way

4222. Title 2, Columbia, MO, 65202
Yes, I watch John Oliver. Keep the Net Neutral. Do you have kids or grandkids? Do you care about the future? And do you want a world were our access to info is controlled by the biggest corporations. Thanks for your time and thanks for protecting the public good.

4223. Greg Altepeter, St. Louis, MO, 63119
I support strong net neutrality and Title-2 oversight of ISPs.

4224. Guy Petzall, St Louis, MO, 63102
Strong net neutrality protection is an essential ingredient in a competitive open society. Information is the new air, and all information should be treated with equal weight by those who provide the conduit.Giving ISPs control over the flow of information can only harm our culture and our freedoms.Please KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!

4225. Michael T Greenhalgh, Kansas City, MO, 64114
America has built its brand by promoting a dream that, provided a level playing field, those with an idea, an execution strategy, and an unrelenting work ethic can compete with companies much larger and better funded, and even beat them. The role of government agencies has always been to provide this level playing field. By repealing Title 2 and giving ISP's the legal option to pick and choose websites to deliver at faster speeds, the FCC is failing in its role of providing level playing fields. So much of our daily transactions and interactions occur over the internet today, that to treat that internet as anything less than a public utility demonstrates a blind and extreme loyalty to a theoretical free market that is simply unable to exist when that same market places such a heavy emphasis on short-term earnings reports. This move is short-sighted and will not make our country and our economy stronger, but instead risks stagnating it.

4226. Hannah Linsky, Columbia, MO, 65201
The FCC should not change their policies on net neutrality and they should keep ISPs under Title II.

4227. Faizan Syed, Ferguson, MO, 63131
I strongly support net neutrality under title 2. I believe the current chairman, a former employee of Verizon's approach is disingenuous and will create an unfair playing field on the intern. It will hurt small businesses and favor large corporations. I strongly believe that any changes to net neutrality will be disastrous to the health and well-being of not only the United States but of the world's internet as many nations follow our example. Sincerely,Faizan Syed

4228. Brad Swink, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
I strongly support Net Neutrality. ISP's should be required to provide a level playing field for all internet sites and should not be allowed to prioritize traffic for profit.

4229. Sultan Meghji, Saint Louis, MO, 63124
Keep net neutrality strong with Title II!

4230. Kevin Kirchner, Springfield, MO, 65804
My wife and 3 boys (ages 6, 3, and 1) rely on my finances received as a web designer and developer. Not preserving title II for ISPs and destroying Net Neutrality would completely change the landscape of the internet industry as we know it and based on results seen in the past from ISPs, greed and power would only continue to drive their decisions making it more and more difficult for workers like myself to start up the next twitter or the next facebook or the next uber. Please do everything you can to preserve Net Neutrality. Thank you for your time and service!

4231. Christina Swaters, Springfield, MO, 65802
Hello,I support a strong net neutrality. Please keep the internet free and open.

4232. Eric Pettersen, Bolivar, MO, 65613
This is an extremely bad idea. I support ISPs REMAINING under Title 2 regulation with all neutrality regulations intact. When telephone companies were required to open their lines to "unapproved" devices, quite a few new innovations showed up on the market, not to mention cheaper alternatives to existing features. Allowing ISPs to decide what may or may not come through the systems you're paying for access to is only supporting monopolistic practices and making it harder for startups to provide a service. For example, many large ISPs now operate their own video streaming service, and without neutrality rules they can actively block or throttle (which has happened before) external services to force customers onto their own service. Do not let this happen! Additionally, most ISP service districts are a duopoly at BEST - there is no real "free market" to simply go and find another fast service provider... there's a captive market. Again, do not break net neutrality regulations!

4233. Kristofer Claywell, St. Louis, MO, 63116
Please refrain from rescheduling the internet under Title I. The only way to maintain a fair, equal, and open internet that spurs innovation is to maintain schedule as Title II, so that the influence of huge telecommunications giants can't unfairly squash the competition. FCC Chairman Mr. Pai-for-Play "can you hear me now" needs to remember he works for the citizens, not Verizon. Steps

must also be taken to prevent Mr Pai from working in the telecommunications industry once he resigns or is terminated. It is also prudent to determine if Mr. Pai has any investment in Verizon and he should recuse himself from any decisions until he is completely divested.

4234. Jeremy Ritz, Marionville, MO, 65705
I support strong net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Any plans to weaken net neutrality is utter buffoonery.

4235. Bernard Cross, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
I strongly support net neutrality regulations passed in 2015.

4236. Steven, Springfield, MO, 65802
I support net neutrality because myself and almost everyone I know relies upon free internet to be able to support themselves creatively and financially.

4237. Michele Isam, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63116
Greed is all that is behind this terrible idea. The internet should be free and open!!

4238. Clay Rodgers, BLUE SPRINGS, MO, 64014
Equal access to the world is a basic human right

4239. Jessica Lowery, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64116
Allowing the government and the corporations that own it to prioritize internet traffic is against every principle this country was founded on and the Republican party should be ashamed that they are even TRYING to do this.

4240. z w, MONTICELLO, MO, 63457
lets make ALL PUBLIC EMPLYEES online messages

4241. sherri hendricks, MARSHALL, MO, 65340
why don't you remember what it was like to be honorable.im so ashamed of you all it breaks my heart

4242. David J. Thomas, SPRINGFIELD, MO, 65804
WTF!?!

4243. Nancy Campbell, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64112
STOP IT! Putting corporate schills in charge of ""neutrality" is unacceptable and unethical. A former Verizon lawyer? Objective? Neutral? Working for the citizens? You jest! All anyone with that background and those loyalties in only interested in one thing: how to let corporations make more money. STOP THE INSANITY NOW!

4244. Roger Oliver, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63146
Republicans again doing their thing Ã¯Â¿Â½Ã¯Â¿Â½Ã¯Â¿Â½ hiding behind their self-righteousness in order to hurt average Americans.

4245. Barry Hufker, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63117
Net Neutrality is an important element of a modern democracy.

4246. sheril kaulback, LEBANON, MO, 65536
Why are you trying penalize the average person? Do you only care about big business? Be fair and stand up for us!

4247. Allan Staton, CURRYVILLE, MO, 63339
Trump and his Republican crooks have already set this country back 20 yrears for their own agenda and profit.

4248. Jerry Anderson, SAINT JOSEPH, MO, 64506
This is a ridiculous ploy for internet providers to make an obsene amount of money and void the availability of the full Internet!

4249. Josh Cloer, ROLLA, MO, 65401
I want the internet to remain open and free!

4250. kenneth thompson, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64131
Just because you are rich does not mean you can take away our rights

4251. Kristopher Kriner, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64111
The net is the voice of the people. Keep free speech.

4252. Teresa Fine, WEST PLAINS, MO, 65775
How does this Make America Great Again? It doesn't!!!!! It helps the the Big Guy get and stay better.

4253. Rich Williams, MARYLAND HEIGHTS, MO, 63043
Do What's Right !

4254. Donald Hall, VAN BUREN, MO, 63965
Free and Open Internet should be added to the USA Constitution ! as it is for the people and by the people...

4255. Julie Ohrenberg, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64116

Millions of people in this country

4256. Jacquelyn Settlage, KIRKSVILLE, MO, 63501
Keep YOUR hands off of my Internet - I currently have no problems with it. NO GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE!

4257. Anthony Grana, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63109
I repeat: ""Don't Kill NET NEUTRALITY...FREE AND OPEN INTERNET... NOT SHACKLED BY ANY AUTHORITARIAN GOVERNMENT OR GROUP... America's Constitution is for Freedom of Speech...

4258. Aguinaldo Lenoir, FLORISSANT, MO, 63033
We have to Save Net Neutrality its not an option

4259. Bob Delaney, FLORISSANT, MO, 63033
IMPEACH EVERYONE CONNECTED WITH THIS CHANGE IN THE LAWS RESPECTING THE FIRST AMENDMENT

4260. James Osbourn, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63130
DonÃ¯Â¿Â½Ã›Âªt kill net neutrality. We

4261. James Small, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63122
I am a webmaster for several non-profits. I don't need big companies making my site more difficult to find compared to sites that will pay extra to be put in place before me.

4262. Barb Meier, HOLLISTER, MO, 65672
People in the United States of America are free to listen to all views

4263. gary glore, SAINT PETERS, MO, 63376
Gave my internet away without my approval . Shameful

4264. Ben Roberson, FLORISSANT, MO, 63031
Land of the free home of the brave."

4265. Ann Moriarity, GERALD, MO, 63037
Net Neutrality needs to remain in place so that internet users and not providers are able to decide for themselves where to go online and what to do online.

4266. Toni Hayes, COLUMBIA, MO, 65202
He's not going to stop until we officially turn back the clock to 1950.

4267. Catherine Morris, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64123
I saw NO to the monopolistic ISPs! Where is freedom and choice in killing net neutrality?

4268. Floyd Lockhart, CAPE GIRARDEAU, MO, 63701
Try

4269. Gary Hartman, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63122
You can trust the BIG IPs to use their pricing and speed control over the internet to enrich themselves

4270. Debbie Biere, BARNHART, MO, 63012
We need net neutrality - it's bad enough that ISPs can now sell our data - are we supposed to be ruled by their whims now?

4271. John Sunde, SAINT JOSEPH, MO, 64501
Dump Trump. He'll be impeached

4272. Earl Rogers Jr, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63121
The Republican Party past they're healthcare bill and all you Americans who voted for them will now begin to see what you got for your money your vote this is what you asked for now you got it so live with it

4273. James Spillman, HIGH RIDGE, MO, 63049
Stop this move! Shame on the FCC for even considering this.

4274. Tom Wood, COLUMBIA, MO, 65202
The Internet has changed the world. Giving access control to Corporate Interests can only damage what we had. Vote NO.

4275. Tom Abeln, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63126
The internet is the most democratic platform in the world

4276. Steve Krieckhaus, BALLWIN, MO, 63021
I am all for net neutrality.

4277. Terry Kippenberger, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63130
An free and open internet is as important to our democracy as is every citizen's right to vote.

4278. Joyce Brenner, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63123

Net neutrality is important to all people. For-profit control over Internet flow is not in anyone's best interest.

4279. JC Prevost, JOPLIN, MO, 64801
WHAT THE HELL IS THE MATTER WITH THE FCC CHAIRMAN?

4280. Linda Martin, NEOSHO, MO, 64850
Stop letting corporate interests destroy all our freedoms and rights.

4281. Amrita Burdick, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64111
As a long-time librarian

4282. James Sellers, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63116
Don't let the Corp. have control of the internet

4283. Larry Powell, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63108
Don't kill internet neutrality. Have you not given the fat cats enough power over the common Man?

4284. Stephen Wineteer, SAINT PETERS, MO, 63376
Big business always overwhelms the average citizen!!!!

4285. Lynn Barlar, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63143
Stop all of this nonsense!!

4286. Tina Noland, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63123
For democracy

4287. maureen thomas-murphy, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63116
the internet should be a right not a privilege--individuals should control their content

4288. Linda Finster, SPRINGFIELD, MO, 65806
Don't kill net neutrality. WE need free and open internet where the user gets to have his interests represented on line.

4289. Larry Sweet, BIRCH TREE, MO, 65438
The vast majority of your constituents want an open internet. Vote for them

4290. patricia howard, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64114
Leave it the way it is. We want freedom!

4291. Marguerite D Gatlin, GRAVOIS MILLS, MO, 65037
Sorry I cannot donate to all of the requests

4292. Shelley Swoyer, JEFFERSON CITY, MO, 65101
The Internet should be free and open to all. Big money has no place here! Stand for the people and the little guys instead of the big providers who are already making lots of money fom us.

4293. Kathleen Ballenger, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64151
We need net neutrality. Don't give control to just a few people.

4294. Matt Gilmour, SAINT JOSEPH, MO, 64506
Leave the Net neutral

4295. Charles Haines, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63104
To Ajit Pai

4296. Harry Gutshall, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64152
Don't kill internet neutrality to prevent censure of constitutionally guaranteed free speech! It will be foolhardy to reverse unrestricted free flow on the net!

4297. Joan Read, BOONVILLE, MO, 65233
I want to choose what to read

4298. Donnell Sutherland, HOLTS SUMMIT, MO, 65043
Freedom of speech is the first amendment to the constitution for a reason. If you don't like it

4299. Marlen Beach, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64111
It appears to me that

4300. Dean Farris, BELTON, MO, 64012
The internet was sold to the public as an information super highway. It must be a telecommunications service with net neutrality to achieve that promise.

4301. Philip Crane, HANNIBAL, MO, 63401
Scumbag Criminals! You are supposed to work for the people of this country

4302. Barbara Brown, HANNIBAL, MO, 63401
It looks like you are seeking to harm the average American again by destroying net neutrality. That is so typically Republican.

4303. Hannelore Toombs, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63143
We need net neutrality in order to support our democracy. People need a fair

4304. Carol Underhill, VALLEY PARK, MO, 63088
If Net Neutrality is not protected

4305. Jack Clark, SEDALIA, MO, 65301
When I was in my 50s I corresponded via United States Mail. When I retired

4306. Glenn Green, SPRINGFIELD, MO, 65809
Do not go forward with the proposed changes to the net neutrality rule.

4307. Nancy Schmidt, HOUSE SPRINGS, MO, 63051
My goodness

4308. Kenneth Robertson, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64151
Hoe dare you!!!

4309. Peter Doyle, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64114
So would changing the rules be an example of government FOR ALL THE PEOPLE???

4310. Sue Skidmore, SPRINGFIELD, MO, 65804
Americans need a free and open internet FOR EVERYONE. That means NET NEUTRALITY. Without Net Neutrality we have fast lanes and slow lanes. Citizens should not have to compete to have access. The internet is a form of communication.

4311. Linda Berry, GLENWOOD, MO, 63541
Don't kill net neutrality. We deserve a free and neutral internet

4312. Carol George, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63129
Net neutrality has made the internet equal for all. Changing that would harm the effective manner in which it is working.

4313. Patricia Tursi, SPRINGFIELD, MO, 65804
The people have a a right to net neutrality.

4314. Vinson Burch, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64133
We need to keep the current plan for Net Neutrality in place and not change it to satisfy the big Internet Service Providers or communications companies. We need to not allow their greed to drive the Internet.\r\n\r\nThank you for your time.

4315. John Evans, WAYNESVILLE, MO, 65583
We need net neutrality for a fair and open internet. It is now part of our free and independent press. Do not let a handful of corporate powerhouses control what we can access and what we cannot access. This is an affront to our democracy.

4316. Shirley Brown, SPRINGFIELD, MO, 65804
Net neutrality is essential for our cherished American ""freedom of speech"

4317. Linda Pridgeon, FRANKFORD, MO, 63441
We must maintain our free and open Internet access!

4318. Linda Ottmar, SPRINGFIELD, MO, 65803
I support net neutrality! I need no more monopolies trying to govern what I wish to research online. This seems to be yet another slippery slope that the current administration is using to take away more of our freedoms. No!

4319. JAMES NICKSON, GRANDVIEW, MO, 64030
I AGREE. THANK YOU.

4320. Jane Ellen Ashley, COLUMBIA, MO, 65203
There are so many reasons to oppose this move

4321. Connie Hoffmann, QUEEN CITY, MO, 63561
it is important keep title II rules and keep net neutrality for everyone

4322. Martha Jaegers, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63116
Long may our lives by bright\r\nwith freedom's holy light!

4323. Joyce Sherstad, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63116
DO NOT MESS MY INTERNET! DO NOT ROLL BAK NET NEUTRALITY RULES.THE INTERNET MUST REMAIN FREE & OPEN W/TITL II RULES.

4324. Kathy Reno, SAINT JOSEPH, MO, 64507
Killing net neutrality would

4325. J G, JEFFERSON CITY, MO, 65101
Why do we have to fight this??? It is clear that the "" net" is supposed to be neutral. Please see that it stays that way.

4326. Marna Gasperino, PLATTSBURG, MO, 64477
I would urge Chairman Pai to review all the comments received the last time this came before the FCC. There is strong

4327. William Tyler, EDGAR SPRINGS, MO, 65462
Dear Ajit: I strongly support Net Neutrality. I strongly suggest that you do everything in you power to keep Title II oversight of IPSs

4328. Cindy Belanger, BRUNSWICK, MO, 65236
The internet should not be a monopoly

4329. judith kemner, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63135
My internet direction should be my choice

4330. Sandra Zastrow, DE SOTO, MO, 63020
We need net neutrality so that the internet is available to all people!

4331. Janet Patek, CAMERON, MO, 64429
Of course we need net neutrality the same way we need free speech.

4332. Bev Hoff, FLORISSANT, MO, 63033
Protect internet neutrality by maintaining Title 2 regulations that the companies themselves say will not impact the scope of their investments.

4333. Debbie Stinehart, PARK HILLS, MO, 63601
Get business types out of govt. They only care about the rich corporations and want to destroy our individual rights.

4334. Richard Corbin, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64151
You are horrible people

4335. kathy lee, SAINTE GENEVIEVE, MO, 63670
I support net neutrality which means enforcement under title II. Don't change this to title I which allows big money to pick winners and losers and kills innovation.

4336. Margaret Johnson Motley, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64134
Do not allow corrupt and greedy corporations control the Internet!!!!

4337. Sharon Menzel, BALLWIN, MO, 63021
This is unconscionable and a form of censorship.

4338. James Vokac, WILLOW SPRINGS, MO, 65793
The electromagnetic spectrum and internet access is a public resource. The FCC should be securing its use for all people equally and not allow it to be controlled by few businesses who are allowed to place monetary barriers to equal access.

4339. Wendy Brown, LEBANON, MO, 65536
Please leave net neutrality just like it is! The public deserves a free and open internet with rules.

4340. Nathela Crooks, CHESTERFIELD, MO, 63017
The FCC is responsible to the citizens of this country who pay their taxes to represent them

4341. Paul Vorhies, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63106
if it aint broke dont fix it

4342. VICKE KEPLING, SPRINGFIELD, MO, 65802
Please protect open internet for American citizens.

4343. Sharon Strickland, JEFFERSON CITY, MO, 65101
I truly believe that a free and open internet is a pillar of a strong democracy. We end it at our own peril. We cannot allow this to happen.

4344. Georgia Wilcox, SPRINGFIELD, MO, 65804
Please keep the internet free to all without controls imposed by ISP. How about freedom of the internet.

4345. Ray Workman, WILLARD, MO, 65781
Internet neutrality requires a moral administration and since we don't have one

4346. Kristin Erman, JOPLIN, MO, 64804
Leave net neutrality rules alone.

4347. Gladys swan, COLUMBIA, MO, 65203
The net belongs to us--to the people

4348. Eric Hunt, DEXTER, MO, 63841

Net neutrality is necessary for businesses to operate on equal footing. If it is done away with it will be in the spirit of ""government preference" as to which businesses should succeed or fail. We've been through this before and it isn't like the facts have somehow changed over the past few years; net neutrality has and will always be absolutely necessary for the operation of all businesses in an open capitalist economic system. Net neutrality must be upheld and maintained.

4349. Quentin Milton, INDEPENDENCE, MO, 64052
Net neutrality is necessary to ensure that people have unrestricted access to websites and services that are vital: job seeking

4350. Susan Zornek-Stevens, POTOSI, MO, 63664
You were elected to serve your constituents not big business. We will remember your actions at the next election.

4351. Barry Messer, HANNIBAL, MO, 63401
Leave the internet alone. Trump and his administration of rich bums and parasites have to be stopped.

4352. Allen Perkins, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63129
America should be for all of us

4353. Della Hamlin, JOPLIN, MO, 64804
Information and the free unfettered access to it are now the crux of democracy. Just like book burning in days of yore was an attempt to limit freedom by totalitarian rulers

4354. Gary Allman, SPRINGFIELD, MO, 65807
Access to the Internet should be equal for all. Today the Internet is the equivalent of the press and libraries. DO NOT create a sub-standard tier for those who need it most.

4355. Robert Hall, SPRINGFIELD, MO, 65803
This is just another chance for Republicans to destroy free speech. We need and improved and faster internet for all not just big business.

4356. mark ort, COLUMBIA, MO, 65203
I support net neutrality. We can not let internet providers or other interests control what belongs to all of us american citizens. We must keep the strong and enforceable rules that are now in place! Thank you

4357. Sandra Shilko, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64152
Can't the tax payer have something that they DON'T HAVE TO PAY FOR AGAIN & AGAIN & AGAIN??????????????????????

4358. Robert Schutzius, FLORISSANT, MO, 63033
We desperately need the internet accessible to all with criminal penalties assigned for publication of false news.

4359. Barbara Cobb, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64117
isn't that what the FCC was commissioned to do?

4360. RITA BRUIN, BRANSON, MO, 65616
The internet belongs to the world and should be free to all. It does not belong to the service providers

4361. Fred Slough, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64109
Why take away neutrality. Who would you do this for? Not the many people who enjoy the net.

4362. Robert Etherton, JOPLIN, MO, 64804
It has become necessary for citizens to have internet access to connect with government

4363. james arneson, SPRINGFIELD, MO, 65804
net neutrality needs to continue to be enforced through Title II

4364. Darwa Cotton, BALLWIN, MO, 63021
I will stand up and fight against everything Trump is doing to take away the freedoms I have always had in my great country.

4365. Tracy Tullis, INDEPENDENCE, MO, 64052
If you get rid of net neutrality

4366. Carolyn Harrison, SAINT JOSEPH, MO, 64503
Do the government officials really believe fewer people demand net neutrality than did three years ago? Emphatically

4367. Harry Cooper, COLUMBIA, MO, 65203
Protect net neutrality. Keep it under Title II of the Communications Act. Imagine if the power companies could control the power feed to individual electronics in your house

4368. Ann Moriarity, GERALD, MO, 63037
Internet users

4369. Michael Hammond, JACKSON, MO, 63755
Please do not do this stupid

4370. Jean Jongeling, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64114
We all expect privacy online! Do we want to go back to recording everything on paper when the environment is so fragile?

4371. Robert Fowler, CHESTERFIELD, MO, 63017
The internet was never designed to favor big corporations

4372. Margo Fitting, COUCH, MO, 65690
Get off your self entitled ""get power & riches at other's expense" high & mighty horse. It's time to think about the public good over your own selfish desires.

4373. Jeremy Bloss, Columbia, MO, 65201
I specifically support net neutrality backed by Tittle II oversight of ISPs

4374. Cary Exler, Affton, MO, 63123
Net Neutrality must not be softened. Keep this as Title II! Do not allow the major IPO's do anything they want!

4375. Robert A. Saigh, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
We need strong net neutrality for everyone's protection, no matter the political affiliation. For the same reason, we need Title 2 oversight, or companies, like Verizon, will take away our ability to use the Internet in a fair and balanced manner. Thank you.

4376. shawn vuocolo, Washington, MO, 63090
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title2 oversight of isp's

4377. Craig Divine, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I am a strong supporter in net neutrality and would by strongly opposed to changing the legal footing of the net neutrality laws. The internet should continue to be regulated under Title 2. I feel that it is critically important to free expression and the dissemination of information in the marketplace of ideas and feel that our democracy is threatened when regulations are put in place that allow the powerful to control the access to information and ideas and I feel that an open internet is critical to the kind of public discourse that makes this country the best the world has ever seen.

4378. Sydney Greathouse, Independence, MO, 64050
Net Neutrality is immensely important to entrepreneurship all around the globe. We must keep corporations from being able to set up a "pay to play" operation. To let companies sell the speed at which services will be delivered will kill one of the largest points of access to the American Dream. Keep the playing field equal for all. Do Not overturn the polices put in place during the Obama administration.

4379. net neutrality, Smithville, MO, 64089
I believe that the current net neutrality rules are valuable ones and vital to ensuring a free and fair internet for every american citizen. Any maneuvers to change the current rules to favor large corporations or any rolling back of the current freedoms enjoyed by the internet constitute an attack on individual freedoms and are in direct contrast to everything our nation stands for. Preserve net neutrality for the sake of the consumer.

4380. Chris O'Dell, Lebanon, MO, 65536
Do not move the internet service providers back to title 1. I do not trust the ISPs to regulate themselves. Leave the IPSs on Title 2 which give the FCC more control. The internet is no longer a privilege, it is like power or water. A person with no internet access cannot compete with someone who does. Can you give me one example where a corporation did not trample on the right of anything to gain a profit? So why would it be different now? Keep the internet free and open to all. These same companies that say they won't do anything but already have, google wallet is a perfect example. The ISPs used there power to block google wallet only to support their own crappy version of wallet. There is precedent for them to do the wrong thing, that is only one example.

4381. Carol Cordani, Saint Louis, MO, 63122
It is of utmost importance for the safety of the U.S. citizenry that net neutrality be maintained as it is.

4382. John Hoven, Florissant, MO, 63031
It would be a catastrophe to undo Tom Wheeler's great work in handling net neutrality. Do NOT re-schedule ISP's to Title 1. LEAVE INTERNET FREEDOM for the PEOPLE.

4383. Lauren Coryea, St. Louis, MO, 63108
I want to protect my privacy.

4384. Brooke Sadler, St. Louis, MO, 63108
It is extremely important that you protect Net Neutrality under Title 2!

4385. Tyler, Fenton, MO, 63026
You shouldnâ€™t re-schedule ISPâ€™s to Title1

4386. Kyle Blomenkamp, Rocheport, MO, 65279
We must preserve net neutrality. The Internet belongs to ALL people equally, not just those with the ability to pay the most money.

4387. Mike Giaminetti, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
To whom it may concern: (Mr. Pie)I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 Oversight of ISP'sWith love,Mike

4388. Anthony Roderman, Kansas City, MO, 64108
Please do not proceed with 17-108. This is a violation of internet freedom and a direct violation of the democracy and principles this

country is founded on. I urge you to keep existing net neutrality rules in place and protect the free and open internet under Title II regulations.

4389. Daniel Roberts, Creve Coeur, MO, 63141
As an American citizen that earns a living improving technologies used by American companies I rely heavily on a free and open internet to research technical problems and solutions in my industry. I urge you unequivocally to keep Title 2 and protect net neutrality.

4390. Emma Bales, Florissant, MO, 63033
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title2. Preserve Net Neutrality as we have come to know it under Title 2. To help keep the internet fair.

4391. Wells Ling, St. Louis, MO, 63146
The reasons for doing away with net neutrality are flimsy at best. Saying things haven't collapsed as of yet is not a reason to say net neutrality is good. Net neutrality is important for innovation by giving smaller companies equal opportunity to succeed and not be priced out of the market. Let's do it for the small businesses and not to line the pockets of large companies!

4392. Lacey Winchester, St. Louis, MO, 63127
I am writing to express my strong support for MAINTAINING the current regulation of internet service providers under Title 2, rather than reverting to Title 1. The current regulatory stature keeps the internet open and neutral, and will allow continued innovation and growth for the digital and physical American economies. We are not fooled by the statements by Verizon or Mr. Pai. Net neutrality is essential to maintaining free digital speech in America.

4393. Josi Nielsen, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
Your proposal to weaken net neutrality would be devastating to many entrepreneurs like myself who rely on the exponential power of the internet. Your proposal would seriously compromise the ability of new companies to innovate and grow; stifling innovation harms our whole society. I demand that you listen to the breadth of support for protecting net neutrality and act in the interest of transparency and free speech online.

4394. E. Phaneuf, Cassville, MO, 65625
Net neutrality needs to be preserved under Title 2 regulation of isp's.

4395. Kae, Ferguson, MO, 63135
u stinx. kep the weeb fre.Seriously, protect title II and net neutrality. It's imperative to allowing free news media and easy access to research for academia. The beauty of the internet is how free it is and how it allows access to practically anything

4396. Walker J Montague, St Louis, MO, 63119
Preserve the net nutrality laws under title two

4397. Carraig Stanwyck, Kingsville, MO, 64061
Please keep net neutrality, don't give ISPs the ability to throttle speeds as part of their profit model.

4398. Matthew De Mars, Ballwin, MO, 63021
KEEP TITLE II REGULARTIONS!!!!

4399. Zachary Spiering, Joplin, MO, 64801
The internet is our window to the world. Make sure we have a chance to see everything clearly. Keep ISPs on Title 2.

4400. matt nelson, columbia, MO, 65202
Preserve Net Neutrality and title 2. Please, for freedom's sake.

4401. Mike Mills, St. Louis, MO, 63129
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II ya dingus.

4402. Emmanuel Salame SR., peculiar, MO, 64078
I strongly support strong net neutrality by means of title 2 oversight for ISP conformance enforcement. Do not remove this means of enforcing our freedoms.

4403. John M Nowotny, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Do not weaken the existing safeguards on internet access by moving internet providers from Title 2 to Title 1. The internet has become the single most important communication medium and it needs to remain equally available to all information producers and information consumers.

4404. Herbert Hall, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
I am strongly opposed to this change. Net neutrality is extremely important to maintaining a free and open internet. Do not reclassify the ISPs.

4405. Len Berry, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
Please maintain Title II designation for Internet services. This will maintain a steady pace of information sharing and prevent any entity from skewing access for propaganda purposes.

4406. Daniel E Koop, Cabool, MO, 65689
I support strong net neutrality regulation under title 2. Your duty is to protect the people from unethical practiced of isps.

4407. Scott Reel, Manchester, MO, 63021
Preserve equality on the internet.

4408. Brea Buchmeier, Kansas City, MO, 64113
KEEP THE NET NEUTRAL!! Please protect me from corporate America. I'm already advertised to near death online. Please don't allow service providers to create and use other tactics to promote their own products or interests.

4409. Karen Corley, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
Protect Net Neutrality by using Title 2 rules going forward. Preserve the rights of individual users and small business users against encroachment by big business and money interests! We are against Trump's recommendations.

4410. Lashelle Galbraith, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
Preserve net neutrality. Ensure Title 2 stays the mandate for internet providers. Freedom of speech should not be impeded by the enticement of advertisement income. Keep the freedom of speech and net equality alive!

4411. Todd Furesz, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
I am concerned @ Net Neutrality and want to see it remain for all companies and all users of the internet.

4412. Douglas Threewitt, Manchester, MO, 63021
Please leave the current rules regarding Net Neutrality in effect. There is no good reason to change them, other than lining pockets with ISP donations. Keep the internet a total Free Speech Zone!

4413. Susan Boney, Kansas City, MO, 64152
I strongly support internet neutrality. Title II was put in place for a reason.

4414. John M. Ford, St. Louis, MO, 63122
The FCC should keep net neutrality rules. ISPs are fundamentally different from internet website providers like Google and Facebook. We must also prevent ISPs from discriminating and charging different amounts to access different websites or throttling the speed of competitors' websites. Please DO NOT REPEAL net neutrality.

4415. William Coquillette, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Whatever the intentions of the decision to stop subjecting ISPs to Title II utility-style regulations, the practical effects are harmful to all Americans who any internet-related service. Subjecting ISPs to Title II regulations prevents a plethora of scenarios that ISPs can (and I believe, will) use for the profit of their companies to the detriment of the users of their services.I do not believe in regulating a company's use of their services to a point that cripples that company's ability to make a profit, but I also do not believe that significantly deregulating an industry as vital to Americans as internet access will help Americans. It can only hinder them. A balance must be struck. And it is foolish to believe the balance can be struck by addressing the issues of changing Title classification on a case by case basis as they come up. While those cases are being debated, Americans will be losing.Net neutrality is vital to the American populace, and threats to net neutrality need to be aggressively defended against.

4416. Jonathan Russ, Kansas City, MO, 64154
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II of ISPs. I demand that you not change the legislature for this, thus violating my rights as an American citizen.

4417. Kim Holmden, Shell Knob, MO, 65747
Title 2 NEEDS to be left in place.I can't express the importance of net neutrality to rule area. Which was made possible by TITLE 2

4418. Steven Kirk, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
I strongly support FCC support of Net Neutrality and keeping ISP's title II. There is already evidence of preferential treatment to owned/partner content. My more than 20 years of experience in IT/Software Development screams to me that relaxing these rules undermines competition in favor of the giant corporations.

4419. Kevin Byrnes, St. Charles, MO, 63301
I support Net Neutrality under Title II, and oppose proposals to revert to Title I

4420. Minna Onken, Frontenac, MO, 63131
I'm an online business owner, and I won't be able to continue supporting myself if the U.S. gets rid of net neutrality. I don't have to means to purchase internet in the same capacity that a big business would be able to if net neutrality was lost and would thus lose my entire business and have to start over from scratch. More importantly I have many friends and loved ones that I communicate with using facebook and skype. If we lost net neutrality I wouldn't be able to talk to my girlfriend any more while she's at university. It would be heart wrenching to lose one of my best and only methods of communication with her. The ability to communicate freely with people means so much to me. Please keep net neutrality.

4421. Matt McDermott, Columbia, MO, 65203
The removal of Net Neutrality would remove the ability for people to learn freely and fairly. This will divide people further than they already are, and stop any form of real innovation or reason to do anything other than change this society to Socialism as there will be a few haves and the rest have nots.

4422. David Mayerhoefer, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
I am strongly in favor of Net Neutrality and believe in the open internet.

4423. Dominic Civili, Ballwin, MO, 63021

The only thing preventing the monopoly ISP from forcing more and more fee's out of consumers is the fact that they cannot control what internet we consume no matter how much they want too. That means when a small website makes a big splash, it is allowed to. When large companies fail (myspace, Yahoo), we are not forced onto them because they are faster. This would harm consumers who cannot afford to pay for fast lane access. If net neutrality were to be rolled back, consumers would suffer from the same dearth of choices that now plague us with ISP choice. Internet is no longer a nice to have, it is required, and access to a web of our choice needs to be guaranteed, not at the mercy of corporate boards and special interest lobbying.

4424. Michael Flanagin, Kansas City, MO, 64106
Do not roll back the Obama error FCC rulings in regard to net neutrality. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs

4425. Todd Brueckner, Kansas City, MO, 64108
I strongly support the current rules on Net neutrality, which classifies ISP's under Title II. In other words, I am starkly opposed to any suggested rollbacks on policy.

4426. Timothy Manes, Webb City, MO, 64870
We must protect the Net Neutrality Laws and Title II Provisions on the FCC. We cannot allow big business to filter content that maybe against their interests. The internet is freedom of information, and we should preserve that at all costs.

4427. Scott Johnson, Saint Louis, MO, 63103
I SUPPORT A FREE AND OPEN INTERNET!!! I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY!!! I CAN'T BELIEVE I HAVE TO DO THIS AGAIN!!

4428. Martin Smoler, kansas city, MO, 64112
Keep Title II in place. FCC leadership has a terrible bias that benefits the few at incredible cost to the citizenry

4429. Judi Dobb, saint louis, MO, 63108
preserve net neutralityback title I

4430. Robin Warren, Springfield, MO, 65802
I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title II. Please preserve them.Thank you.

4431. John Kucharski, Springfield, MO, 65802
FCC Chairman,I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.

4432. Erin Niederberger, Kansas Ciy, MO, 64119
As a librarian, I am concerned by plans to attack net neutrality. Librarians hold intellectual freedom as a core value and believe all Americans have the right to access information. The weakening of net neutrality could lead to the creation of Internet fast and slow lanes, de facto censoring some sites that cannot pay and redirecting users to sites that can. This impedes their ability to access *all* information and could lead to the suppression of emerging or less funded ideas. For better or for worse, the Internet has become a medium that many Americans use to learn, communicate, and conduct their livelihoods. A large portion of the human race now relies on the Internet (Weiss, 2011, p. 385). In fact, in 2009, France ruled that Internet access was a basic human right (Weiss, 2011, p. 384). American promotes the idea of the American dream, that anyone from any background or social standing can succeed with hard work and determination. In order for this to be a reality, Americans deserve a level playing field. All Internet users should be treated equally as information consumers and producers, whether they have money or no. Otherwise, the Internet becomes one more system rigged from the start. In the past, when net neutrality has been threatened, the American people have overwhelmingly spoken in favor of it. In 2014, processing of 800,000 public comments on the FCCâ€™s net neutrality plan revealed that fewer than 1% were opposed to net neutrality (Lannon, 2014). Lowering regulations benefits few people. Consumers will suffer with impaired access to sites that cannot pay. Small businesses will suffer as larger, more powerful competitors are able to pay more and choke out traffic. Intellectual freedom as a whole will suffer as it becomes harder to freely share and exchange information on the last great marketplace of ideas. Only large, already rich corporations will benefit from relaxed regulations. In 2005, the FCC released a policy statement adopting the principles that â€œconsumers are entitled to access the lawful Internet content of their choiceâ€ and stating that â€œthe Commission has a duty to preserve and promote the vibrant and open character of the Internetâ€. They then wrote that they would incorporate these principles into ongoing policymaking (FCC, 2005, p. 3). What happened to this Commission? Where have these ideals gone?The government exists to represent and serve the people, not whatever business can slip them the most campaign contributions. The people are speaking now. Will you listen to them? ReferencesFederal Communications Commission. (2005). Policy Statement. Retrieved from https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-151A1.pdfLannon, B. (2014). What can we learn from 800,000 public comments on the FCCâ€™s net neutrality plan? Sunlight Foundation. Retrieved from https://sunlightfoundation.com/2014/09/02/what-can-we-learn-from-800000-public-comments-on-the-fccs-net-neutrality-plan/Weiss, P. F. (2011). Protecting a right to access internet content: The feasibility of judicial enforcement in a non-neutral network. Brooklyn Law Review, 77(1).

4433. Joshua Richardson, St Louis, MO, 63122
Please allow net neutrality to remain by keeping ISP's under the Title 2 regulation. Moving to Title 1 would be a detriment to the internet and the businesses that thrive on it.

4434. sharon trautman, st clair, MO, 63077
Please leave net neutrality as it is. It is very important that everyone has equal access!!!

4435. Tim Betlach, St. Louis, MO, 63124
Please do not allow the weakening of net neutrality laws. Letting this move to Title I is a huge mistake. Ajit Pai is a hack. Do the right thing and protect the people from this administration and its cronies.

4436. Brandon DuMay, Independence, MO, 64055
Please protect an open and fair internet. Disabling net neutrality rules can harm the future of innovation, communication, learning/development, and commerce. The internet is a critically important utility, and should stay classified as a title II utility. There are plenty of other ways to increase revenue for ISPs in the present and future. This action would be disabling to all.No Blocking: broadband providers may not block access to legal content, applications, services, or non-harmful devices.No Throttling: broadband providers may not impair or degrade lawful Internet traffic on the basis of content, applications, services, or non-harmful devices.No Paid Prioritization: broadband providers may not favor some lawful Internet traffic over other lawful traffic in exchange for consideration of any kind â€" in other words, no "fast lanes." This rule also bans ISPs from prioritizing content and services of their affiliates.

4437. Omar Taranissi, Columbia, MO, 65203
I support net neutrality and am against the de-regulation proposed which could negatively impact net neutrality. I do not trust private companies to forego unethical activity expressly against the principle of net neutrality as long as it is in their financial interest.

4438. Karel Rogers, Newark, MO, 63458
Keep the Internet under Title II control! Anything else is opening it up for exploitation by the wealthy few and corporate raiders who already have too much money.

4439. Tim Albers, Columbia, MO, 65201
I am voicing my concern on the FCC considering ending net neutrality. This is not in the best interest of me, the consumer, but in the best interest of the ISP's profits by eliminating the free market. Worst case scenario this will be a direct violation of freedom of speech as speeds can be throttled to support any particular bias (political or otherwise). Do not end net neutrality.

4440. Alexandra Medley, Springfield, MO, 65810
I strongly oppose any changes made to ISP oversight. The proposal for modification of Net Neutrality rules is irresponsible, unwarranted, and unwanted by the vast majority of the American people. The Title II classification should not be under any circumstances modified or reclassified. Access to the internet is a public utility and should be treated as such, and private corporations do not and should not have the right to throttle the speed of information gleaned from the internet at their discretion. Cancelling net neutrality is the first step on the path to censorship. This is just an attempt to roll back the changes that the previous President put in place out of spite and to cater to private business interests at the behest of the will of the common people. Do not modify or reclassify these rules.

4441. Ingrid Gottschalk, Imperial, MO, 63052
I support strong Net Neutrality Title 2 oversight of ISPs

4442. net neutrality, Kansas City, MO, 64116
I support strong net neutrality; title 2 filing.

4443. Trevor Whipple, St. Charles, MO, 63303
After SOPA, PIPA, and ACTA failed, what makes you think that this will succeed?

4444. Alonso Heudebert, St. Louis, MO, 63108
Strongly support continuing Net Neutrality by upholding current Title II status

4445. Gregory Pugh, Springfield, MO, 65803
I am filing this complaint because I have had continued issues out of my service ranging from not getting what I have agreed to pay for and consistent DNS errors that have been set by Mediacom. My recent call with my ISP they threatened me about a charge the next time they come out and there is nothing wrong with my service. The issue I am having with my service Is that I pay for 200Mb down and 20Mb down. For the past three years I have only been receiving roughly 160 Mb down and I am currently only getting 92Mb down. Since they are not so keen on working with their pricing until they get it fixed, blaming me for their service Issues I have decided to file this complaint in hopes that FCC can get something done.

4446. Logan Smith, Raytown, MO, 64133
Please do not move the protections from title 2 to title 1. You are not protecting the American people or businesses by rolling back these protections. This will be extremely unfavorable.

4447. Jeremy Coffey, Hillsboro, MO, 63050
This industry is to big and important to the american people to be left to its own accord. The monopoly nature of it demands it be regulated. Please leave net neutrality in place.

4448. Julie Stewart, Manchester, MO, 63021
I am in favor of 100 percent Net Neutrality. Please keep the Internet access fairly delivered and accessed by everyone. We've been through this before. Please get the point this time.

4449. Jennifer Lott, St. Louis, MO, 63137
Please do not repeal Net Neutrality rules. A free and open internet is vital in protecting freedom of information and preventing ISPs from limiting access to this information. Net Neutrality is important in modern day society.

4450. Kathy Margrave, Columbia, MO, 65203
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.Please do the right thing on this subject.

4451. Sarah Beachem, Saint Louis, MO, 63117

I SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by the Title II oversight of ISPs, and I do not want any changes made to ISP oversight. Corporations should have no control over my or anyone else's free speech online.

4452. Jessica Bellomo, Saint Louis, MO, 63117
Dear FCC Representative, As a media literacy professor, a free speech advocate, and a concerned citizen, I ask that you please maintain net neutrality. This is an essential part of our First Amendment rights. All voices deserve to have the same access to the public forum that is the internet, regardless of how powerful they are or how much they can pay for visibility. Ending net neutrality would seriously degrade our freedom of speech rights, which is the foundation that this country was founded upon. Thank you for your time and consideration, Jessica Bellomo

4453. Elizabeth Allemann, Harrisburg, MO, 65256
Please maintain net neutrality. It matters to me.

4454. Kathleen Quinn, Kansas City, MO, 64116
Net Neutrality is important and should be left alone.

4455. Kyle Newhouse, Kansas City, MO, 64106
I believe in the protection of net neutrality of the internet. This requires Title II oversight of ISPs. They do not have the right to limit access to competitors by slowing connection speeds for certain sites. They do not get to profit off others' created content by blackmailing them under threat of limited access. Keep net neutrality with Title II oversight of ISPs.

4456. Stephen Dixon, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
I am in favor of strong net neutrality rules and internet service providers being class under title 2.

4457. Peter VanLinn, St. Louis, MO, 63132
Please keep Net Neutrality as defined by Title II to protect consumers and competitiveness in the marketplace.

4458. net neutrality, Republic, MO, 65738
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Donâ€™t kill net neutrality. We deserve a free and open Internet with strong Title II rules. This will ensure that the flow of data is determined by the interests of Internet users, not the narrow interests of Internet Service Providers. Preserve net neutrality and title 2.

4459. Brittany Chapman, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
Please! Please do not to repeal Net Neutrality rules!! Everyone deserves access to the Internet! Ajit Pai, please support Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight on ISPs!

4460. James Cook, Springfield, MO, 65804
I very strongly support strong net nutrality.

4461. Eric Griffith, Fenton, MO, 63026
We need the protection of Title II to keep Net Nutrality, and to make sure the internet stays on a even playing field for everyone.

4462. Mitchell Thoren, st louis, MO, 63122
Preserve net neutrality - please

4463. Jo Lacey, Cassville, MO, 65625
PRESERVE Net Neutrality. Keep Title II oversight and strong regulations on INTERNET SERVICE PROVIDERS. Do not allow any ISP to slow or alter net speed.

4464. Marisa Dutton, St. Louis, MO, 63125
Allowing cable service providers to prioritize access to certain websites instead of allowing equal access to all websites will destroy small businesses that depend on website traffic which will have disastrous effects on our economy.

4465. Devon Boyette, Nixa, MO, 65714
I support net neutrality and I believe that violation of the general terms of public freedom of use for the internet is a violation of freedom of speech AND the freedom of market that America represents. As a country, we should not be throwing the public in the trash so corporate entities can make money and control the flow of information that's already in a stranglehold on our outdated internet.

4466. Jesse Geary, St Louis, MO, 63108
Preserve net neutrality and title II classification of internet service providers.

4467. Kurt Hodson, Springfield, MO, 65803
Please keep a strong net neutrality.

4468. Tim Fike, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. There is far too great a risk of ISP's abusing the system where they would be allowed to indiscriminately slow broadband speeds unless they are paid to restore those speeds to normal. It is a price gouging system at its very core. Hundreds of millions of American's use the internet and if the currently net neutrality rules are changed in favor of the ISP's American citizens will be the ones hurt the most.

4469. Jillian, Cameron, MO, 64429
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs and do not want any change made to ISP Oversight. This will enable corporate stifling of free speech online and is a step towards a fascist dictatorship. I also think Trump has the tiniest baby hands and

Pence looks like he always has a raisin for a face.

4470. Dana Williams, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I am in strong favor of strong net neutrality rules as backed by Title II.

4471. Michael Ruemmler, St Louis, MO, 63110
I urge you to support net neutrality and Title II provisions to keep our internet open.

4472. David Shanle, St. Louis, MO, 63104
Net Neutrality is the only thing keeping the ISPs from massively overcharging and throttling our service. Do not bend to the will of the corporations.

4473. alyssa, St.Louis, MO, 63144
PLEASE SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2. Do not remove or alter/weedwhack these securities.

4474. claire ducharme, Ashland, MO, 65010
Please keep the internet available and affordable. Keep net neutrality.

4475. net neutrality, Ballwin, MO, 63021
We the people specifically support strong net neutrality back by "Title II" oversight of I.S.P.s

4476. Robert Galloway, St. Louis, MO, 63108
I strongly support net neutrality and continued Title II oversight of ISPs.

4477. Andrew Rothermich, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
I'm a strong supporter of net neutrality. This ensures an equal footing for all people looking to have access to the internet. Any attack on this position should be seen as a direct attack on freedom of choice for consumers, and gives entirely too much power to the ISP's who control access to the world wide web.

4478. Tosha, Raytown, MO, 64138
Keep Title 2 and Net Neutrality!!

4479. 17-108, St. Louis, MO, 63109
The internet needs to be regulated under title II, not title I.

4480. Johnathan Prock, Springfield, MO, 65806
Information should be free and unregulated. Doing anything else is un-American.

4481. Dan, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please keep the internet a place for open and free exchange of ideas, commerce, science, ideas, and everything by everyone.

4482. Jerrod Marten, St. Louis, MO, 63116
I support net neutrality and think it is imperative to regulate ISPs under Title II.

4483. Chris Webb, Kansas City, MO, 64113
Net Neutrality is important to me because I need the internet to keep in touch with friends and family, and to help run a nonprofit.

4484. Charles Martin, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
Net Neutrality is extremely important to provide access to information to everyone, particularly the poor. A neutral internet is also a meritocracy that must be preserved, or large corporations with the most money could take it over and control the flow information entirely.

4485. P Stokely, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Internet should not be controlled by corporate interests. People's interest should come first.

4486. Jabez Petefish, Springfield, MO, 65804
An open internet is vital for my business and also for keeping me informed. Net Neutrality is crucial for keeping large and small businesses in the United States competitive.

4487. Paul Carmi, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63128
The open internet makes available many education opportunities for my students and myself. It makes learning much more available to all. Besides helping my students it makes it easy for me to find out information about some of the health problems that my family and I have. I am sure it has added years to my and my families life expediencies.If the internet were no longer open, I am sure that it would be much more expensive and time consuming to get information and it would tend to be controlled by the interests of the people, governments, and corporations who controlled the non-open internet.

4488. Sara Lang, Columbia, MO, 65203
<3

4489. Diana Brunswig-Bosso, Arnold, MO, 63010
It allows people with health conditions and disabilities to communicate quickly with their health providers, avoiding unneeded expenses and providing life saving quick guidance.

4490. Lacey Wozny, Kansas City, MO, 64108
Because it is a wealth of information, educational tools, endless movies,books,music and ideas and you can't kill an idea.

4491. Michael Ankelman, St. Louis, MO, 63119
Net Neutrality is important to me because I already pay to use the internet and don't think that the providers need to make additional profits off my hard earned money. I use the internet to stay connected to family and friends, near and far, which brings me much joy. Please don't make it more expensive and more restrictive just to give businesses more profits!!!

4492. Nancy Jacobson, Pottersville, MO, 65790
Free flow of ideas

4493. Clayton Neff, Kansas City, MO, 64145
Because it always has been open and for freedom

4494. Judith Sherman, Kansas City, MO, 64133
Dear Chairman Pai and FCC Commissioners: Please maintain the Internet as an open, neutral, public service. Please do not think of it as something to tinker with for the benefit of any class of users or suppliers. And please do help maintain the large pool of service suppliers so that the monetary rates for access to the Internet remain reasonable and doable for all those of us who depend on the Internet for personal contacts as well as for daily news. Sincerely, Max DeNise, Tumwater, WA 98512

4495. Lesley Murphy, Saint Louis, MO, 63126
The concept of net neutrality is simple; everyone should have the same level of speed and service from their ISP and ISPs should not charge different rates or change speed of data delivery depending on the mode of delivery of internet service, the type of ancillary equipment attached to a computer or phone, the content of a website or blog, the user, or the application.PROS OF NET NEUTRALITY1. Leverage Against ISP ProvidersThe pros of net neutrality seem simple enough at face value. One of the primary objectives is to maintain the fastest internet service possible. The movement toward net neutrality allows people to have some leverage against ISP providers and phone companies.2. Freedom of Speech and ExpressionA second advantage of net neutrality is preserving the freedom of expression and the freedom of speech. If a site has material that a person finds offensive then that person is free to not look at that site. Likewise, any group is free to express any level of distasteful outrage against another group.3. Costs Consumers LessThe most frequently expressed views of ISPs and phone companies that oppose net neutrality are that the concept prevents technological development. Service providers maintain that they have a right to create tiers of service that charge more for faster service.

4496. Diana Pope, Hermann, MO, 65041
Acres to information=an informed democracy!

4497. JoAnn Witt, kansas City, MO, 64114
Information is empowering, both individually and collectively. Nothing promotes information better than the internet. EVERYONE deserves, is entitled, to neutral and economical access to this resource.

4498. Joshua Stoll, Kansas City, MO, 64151
The internet is a resource for ALL of us! Do telecom companies not make enough money as it is? In these uncertain times the dissemination of information an open internet provides is crucial! DO NOT let the powers to be do away with net neutrality! Didn't we already fight this battle and WIN? Don't you see that if they do away with Net Neutrality, they will effectively kneecap the resistance? God save us all from such greed and avarice.

4499. Alison Headley, St. Louis, MO, 63116
The internet is a utility, not a luxury. Allowing companies to manipulate internet usage for profit is deeply, deeply wrong and upsetting. It's like allowing companies to pay to have more prominent entries in the encyclopedia. Net neutrality is absolutely crucial to keep information access fair for every citizen.

4500. Brendon ONeal, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I do not want to see any changes to how ISP's are regulated by the FCC. They must continue to be regulated as always

4501. jp, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
I support maintaining governance of ISPs under Title II.

4502. 17-108, Lebanon, MO, 65536
Net Neutrality through title II must be preserved. Only with the correct oversight can we view content freely, make decisions accordingly, and remain a free internet use country.

4503. Net Neutrality, St. Robert, MO, 65584
I back strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II regulations. The internet should promote freedom and not be throttled by ISP's. There is a plethora of evidence showing that prior to its enforcement ISP's especially Comcast throttled competitors bandwidth, (specifically Netflix). I pay an ISP for a service, If you continue to refuse to enforce the internet as the telecom UTILITY which it is, the least that can be done is enforce a fair and open internet.

4504. net neutrality, Republic, MO, 65738
Hi, I support net neutrality, and want ISP's to continue to be classified under Title 2. It's important to me from the perspective off a consumer, but also because it's important for long term growth within the tech sector.

4505. C Madubata, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
I strongly support maintaining net neutrality backed with Title II oversight of ISPs. America values free expression of ideas, and

eliminating net neutrality would severely restrict public access to an important avenue of expression.

4506. Ben Cardinal, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
I am expressing a strong opinion that the FCC should do whatever it can to preserve net neutrality and uphold the Title II classification for internet service providers. Almost all ISP's also provide content and other internet services and there is no way to avoid the very obvious conflict of interest. This is already apparent in cases where ISP's are testing the waters with things such as AT&T's unlimited data when bundling with DirecTV. This is blatantly playing favorites over the fast growing industry of a la carte media services such as Netflix, HULU, HBO Now, etc. This is compounded by the fact that most Americans have only one choice of ISP and there is no real competition to prevent further abuse by ISPs who clearly have disingenuous motivations.

4507. Tim Johnson, Springfield, MO, 65807
I support net neutrality and ISP's remaining under the jurisdiction title 2.

4508. Clare Shannon, Springfield, MO, 65807
I fully support net neutrality and ISPs remaining under the jurisdiction of Title 2 law.

4509. Fury Gray, Ashland, MO, 65010
I am for net neutrality.... keep it in title 2

4510. Jon, cape girardeau, MO, 63701
I am for strong net neutrality rules and saving Title II.

4511. Jesse Evans, Rock hill, MO, 63144
I support net neutrality as provisioned under title 2. Changing it would be terrible for the growth of our economy and only benefit a handful of companies. Do NOT change the existing net neutrality laws, find something to do that would benefit the American people.

4512. Benjamin Saylor, Columbia, MO, 65202
please, please, please, do not let this go to title I; it would be a disservice to the entire population of America. Keep at Title II

4513. Brittany Bomar, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
I am for strong net neutrality rules and preserving title II.

4514. alexandra johnson, St Louis, MO, 63104
This is completely crazy that this even needs to be petitioned!

4515. Robert John LaRoe, Kansas City, MO, 64113
I adamantly support net neutrality backed by the strong legal footing provided only by Title II.

4516. John Berney, Raytown, MO, 64133
I support net neutrality with strong title II protection. They are essential for an accessible and open Internet that treats all users and creators fairly. Any undermining of existing protections would be detrimental to the free flow of information.

4517. Jessie Stanek, Grain Valley, MO, 64029
Please don't let your corporate background make your decisions for you. I like the internet the way it is, not with corporation throttling what they think is best. Unfortunately corporation are not people too. Sorry. Don't take away net neutrality.

4518. Ryan Aden Keller, Kansas City, MO, 64137
Keep the recommendations from the Obama administration on net neutrality!! I'm not biased; it is just common sense.

4519. Joshua P Blackwell, Columbia, MO, 65202
Please keep our net neutrality laws the way they are.

4520. Dalton Cunningham, Clarkton, MO, 63837
Net Neutrality must stand as it has, under Title II.

4521. Josh Deem, St.Louis, MO, 63132
Net neutrality should be supported. To remove regulations would provide large companies, who are too big to want to invest in new products and already work in anticompetitive monopolistic ways, the ability to raise prices without providing any additional services. The clear lack of competition between companies is a blatent sign of collusion already, which doesn't need to be exacerbated. If they can't be cost effective, someone will take their place through innovation, which is the point of capitalism. To remove regulation is to support corporate welfareâ€‹ and hand money to rich men who will not reinvest the money into the economy, but use it to further grow their personal wealth at the expense of the average citizen. I have faith in the ability of Americans to innovate and improve technology if allowed to do so without the influence of large companies supported by the corporate welfareâ€‹ provided by removing the net neutrality laws.

4522. Beth Guckes, Richmond Heights, MO, 63117
Preserve net neutrality. The potential to limit our right to freely access information, develop new businesses that disrupt currently dominant ones and operate as a free and civil society is greatly unsettling.

4523. Joshua, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
I strongly support the net neutrality rules.

4524. Conner Wilson, Webb City, MO, 64870

I wholeheartedly support net neutrality under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

4525. j, Monett, MO, 65708
We want title 2 regulations unchanged. Ajit Varadaraj Pai Is either on the take from Verizon, doesn't understand net neutrality, or doesn't care about consumers if he wants to change existing regulation. Please ensure net neutrality regulations remain untouched and intact.

4526. Tim Creecy, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I want to make my voice heard on the very important issue of net neutrality. It has came to my attention that the FCC/congress/lawmakers want to undo the legal framework and reverse the title II clause of the Telecommunications Act. In my opinion, this would be a grave mistake and would harm the free internet and consumers. People would be at the mercy of ISP providers who could potentially throttle speeds of customers and also favor their own content over others by slowing down sites of their competitors for their customers. The internet should not be a tool for ISP to hoard over and use for only their own benefits for profit and without any regard to the every day consumer. The internet should be a free tool for knowledge, entertainment, technology and yes, even business. It should be free and open to all without the large hand of ISP picking and choosing which websites individuals or consumers visit. Please show that the FCC stands for a truly free and open internet. Please preserve net neutrality and title II Thank you

4527. Emily Sigman, Belton, MO, 64012
Please let there be laws in place in protect Net neutrality, it is very important this is done. Thank you for your time.

4528. Maryann Cordova, Saint Louis, MO, 63125
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Get rid of Obama's internet takeover regulations!!! Regards, Maryann Cordova 2515 Captiva Dr Apt Mo Saint Louis, MO 63125"

4529. Dustin Johnson, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I support Net Neutrality, Please support it as well!

4530. Stewart, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
The FCC should keep ISP's classified under Title II so as to preserve net neutrality. It would be in any business's interest to manipulate their services if they were allowed to. Please listen to all of us today and those that expressed themselves last time net neutrality laws were in question.

4531. Marc Larsen-Hallock, Kansas City, MO, 64118
I support net neutrality and preserving the regulations set upon internet service providers that are provisioned under title II of the communications act of 1934. This will best protect my ability to act as a free citizen and use the internet in the manner I choose.

4532. Brett Kellogg, St. Peters, MO, 63376
I am writing to express my full support for the current rules for net neutrality which promote an open and free Internet. Internet service and broadband internet providers should be held to Title 2 classification to ensure that they adhere to net neutrality standards and so they are not able to treat internet traffic differently. I do not support the current proposal from Commissioner Pai to roll-back net neutrality protections put in place under the previous administration.

4533. Emma, columbia, MO, 65203
I support stronger net neutrality oversight backed by title II regulations of ISP's. do NOT allow big businesses, with vested interests and biases, to control the speed/access to different websites!

4534. John Schaberg, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Killing net neutrality will do more harm to the technology sector and freedom of speech than can be imagined. Many more companies depend on a fair internet that is not restricted by ISPs or other companies. Companies like Time Warner Cable should not be able to extort businesses who operate their own websites for money. This sort of behavior would allow ISP companies, who typically have competing services with other major websites, to restrict or punish users and content providers alike, for simply not choosing to use the ISPs service. This limits not only content provider freedom, but also consumer choice, which is the fundamental component to an open market society. The Net Neutrality standard must be protected.

4535. Levi Palmer, Kansas City, MO, 64117
I support Net Neutrality and Title II regulation of ISPs to encourage competition, investment, and growth of the internet to best serve the consumer. I do not believe that small/startup/local companies would be able to compete with the large/established/multinational companies if ISPs charge for better service. A company that is not as "convenient" as another company is at a large disadvantage in an economy with very few switching barriers. An industry of a few large companies will not have as much competition and innovation as several smaller companies. Additionally, in many parts of the US, the number of ISPs available to a consumer is on the order of the number electric or water utilities. Since many consumers lack a wealth of choices, they cannot effectively "vote with their dollars" for an ISP over another, discouraging the ISP from developing/innovating/pricing for the consumer. Therefore, I believe that additional protections are required for the consumer.

4536. Tyler Lane, Springfield, MO, 65807
I support strong net neutrality rules. I used to run 2 different small internet service providers (Today's Technology and JLD Tech Services, LLC) and a free an open internet is very important!

4537. Carletta McCracken, Pilot Knob, MO, 63663
Keep the net neutrality rules the way they are, and keep ISP's from being able to limit programs and/or boost others. Keep ISP's under title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934.

4538. ashley cothren, St. Louis, MO, 63116
Please restore Net neutrality and title 2

4539. Gerald M DeWulf, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
We are both in favor of net neutrality. Please properly fulfill your obligations to be fair-minded and not follow any prior employment allegiances.

4540. Guy Giaimo-Mcclung, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I am strictly for strong net neutrality laws enforceable under title II. Do not get rid of the free, open internet!

4541. Daniel Kendrick, Manchester, MO, 63021
Keep America great. Keep the internet vibrant.

4542. Jessica VanHook, DeSoto, MO, 63020
Net neutrality is what makes the internet work for everyone - do not allow ISPs to take over our space and dictate our browsing.

4543. D Roberts, Purdy, MO, 65734
The FCC should be doing everything it can to support the first amendment and maintain net neutrality, not subvert it and break it to bits and make it ready for the highest bidder to parse out the pieces and sell them back to us. The net does not belong to those with the most money, nor should people's use of it be controlled by monied interests. It is the modern equivalent of, and means to peaceably assemble, to express our freedom of speech and means to petition our government. If the FCC's intention is to commodify and suppress our rights and responsibilities as US citizens to participate in our government, it looks a lot like Russia to me.

4544. John Keelan, Valley Park, MO, 63088
Keep your hands off the internet please--your party is destroying the fabric of this free society.

4545. G Fozard, holts summit, MO, 65043
Please give ALL users equal access. Do not give into the $$$ interests. Thank you.

4546. Craig Cumbow, Kansas City, MO, 64145
No additional comments.

4547. Cheri Chalfant, Goodman, MO, 64843
please protect our right for free speech and Internet

4548. Katrin Winterer, Lees Summit, MO, 64086
We need Net Neutrality for a free and vibrant democracy.

4549. Gavi Stevens, Centertown, MO, 65023
This isn't something that should even be on the table. The internet started free and needs to stay that way. We are enough of an oligarchy and plutocracy now.

4550. Jon Sheehan, Grandview, MO, 64030
No FAST lanes. No corporation controlling the speed.

4551. Roberto Manz, Ballwin, MO, MO, 63021
net neutrality is doing fine and lets leave whats unbroken as is. we are already very behind other countries in internet speed and cost and availability to all classes, lets work on that instead . thanks for your listening.

4552. Bill Thurman, Kansas City, MO, 64138
Pai, go away! And take your deception and lies with you.

4553. James Sheehan, St. Louis, MO, 63146
Tyranny lies just around the corner when net neutrality is denied. Stop the insanity now being perpetrated by our child POTUS, otherwise known as the Authoritarian Fascist Pig!

4554. Hussain Al-Mutawakil, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
Net Neutrality Is Not Negotiable!!!!!!!

4555. Joshua Bartlett, Saint Joseph, MO, 64503
Net Neutrality is off the table!

4556. James Ferris, Columbia, MO, 65201
We can't afford to lose net neutrality. We just can't do it.

4557. Louise Brown, Wildwood, MO, 63038
Dissent is healthy. NO GAG RULES, ever!

4558. Helen Abgarian, Jackson, MO, 63755
Protect Net Neutrality as it is our constitutional right. Mr. Pai, instead of preaching your rhetoric for free and open internet, prove you truly stand by that by your actions in keeping Net Neutrality laws in place.

4559. Jared Hardy, Creve Coeur, MO, 63017

Only crony sell-outs are against Network Market Neutrality.

4560. Lee Doolan, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64109
Please remember, 'Lady Justice' Rules!

4561. David Goodnow, Saint Joseph, MO, 64501
Net Neutrality is key to economic freedom.Net Neutrality is key to growing minds.Net Neutrality has the effect of allowing people who want to learn, find customers, and earn money the opportunity to do so.

4562. David Miller, Bismarck, MO, 63624
In this day Internet service is a necessity, like telephone and electric service, and cannot be treated simply as a cash cow for a handful of large corporations, some of which refuse to pay even their fair share of taxes, according to publlic records. The FCC is supposed to be an instrument that protects the public good, and not a representative for those large corporations. In the name of decency, please do not insult internet users with the absurd claim that internet neutrality is about "regulating" the internet; nothing could be further from the truth. Instead, please respect the majority of ordinary users, as well content providers, who have already clearly expressed their wishes for internet neutrality, as defined in the ruling under Chairman Wheeler. Please do the right thing for a change.

4563. Sheila Decora, St. Louis, MO, 63126
The internet is vital to most of us. It is guaranteed in the constitution!!!

4564. Shari Wildschutte, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
Protect ordinary people over corporate preference!

4565. David Shores, Florissant, MO, 63033
I used to vote primarily Republican. The party has left me and a lot of other young Republicans (under 35). Remember, you left me, you got greedy, and there is no middle ground with your party and anyone esle. You're not willing to compromise with other parties, and now I'm looking elsewhere.

4566. Charles Owens, Liberty, MO, 64068
DO NOT gut net neutrality. DO NOT allow ISPs to be moved back to title 1.

4567. Amy Pickering, COLUMBIA, MO, 65201
Corporations will act in their best interests, not their customers. Keep net neutrality under Title II.

4568. Susan Girardier, St. Louis, MO, 63130
Preserve Net Neutrality and protect Title II

4569. Aubrie Hampp, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I want you to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Seriously.

4570. Delbert K. Miller, St. Louis, MO, 63128
Stand up for Net Neutrality...No changes!

4571. Kent, holts summit, MO, 65043
I support net neutrality and believe it is something Americans need. I have heard Ajit Pai reasons for why the rules need to be revoked and I find his reasons unconvincing and manipulative.

4572. Cire Treblow, Fenton, MO, 63026
The undoing of Barrack Obama's Net Neutrality rules would be a huge mistake that caters to the telecomm industry over the consumer. Please understand that it is the consumers that vote, not the corporations!!

4573. William Tumbrink, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
I feel very strongly about keeping a net neutrality and protecting title 2. Changing this is insane. I very much worried about your new leadership.

4574. Jeff Yeager, Belton, MO, 64012
The changes they are trying to make to net neutrality will hurt consumer freedom. Please do what you can to make sure these changes do not pass.

4575. benjamin philip, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I support total net neutrality backed by strong Title 2 protections. Since an open internet is critical to prevent monopoly abuses and allow free enterprise, we must preemptive lay ensure that no abuses can take place.

4576. Cory Yeager, Kansas City, MO, 64110
The changes that they are trying to make to net neutrality will hurt consumer freedom. Please do what you can to ensure that these changes are not passed.

4577. Leslie Nwrh, Kansas City, MO, 64108
I strongly support Net Neutrality! Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.

4578. Patrick Bargeon, St. Louis, MO, 63141
Removal of net neutrality's Title 2 protection is a measure that is nothing but a slap in the face to Americans. Net neutrality is paramount, and removal of these protections does nothing aside from line the pockets of larger ISPs, inhibit internet competition

(including net-based businesses), and takes away more equality and freedom from the American people in order to give it to corporations.Keeping net neutrality and the Title 2 classification is an issue paramount to all Americans, and as an employee of the technology industry, it would negatively impact my ability to do my job.

4579. Michael Cremer, Cuba, MO, 65453
I strongly support Title 2 classification of ISPs. Changing to a Title 1 classification significantly favors large businesses (whom already are not struggling to turn a profit), where there is little to no evidence that such a change would be beneficial to small businesses, start-ups, or even consumers. Make the right choice and retain strong Net Neutrality rules.

4580. Ryan, St. Louis, MO, 63108
Major telecom has shown time and time again that they can not, and will not act in their customers interest in relation to net neutrality without the protections of title 2 and with anti compete agreements in place consumers do not have access to enough choices in service providers to protect themselves. Do not role back title 2 protections.

4581. Laura Thomson, St. Charles, MO, 63303
Please keep TItle II regulations.

4582. chip schafer, St. Louis, MO, 63126
Keep net neutrality laws in place. Do not dismantle title 2.

4583. Brett Kensington, Springfield, MO, 65803
I strongly object to any ruling by the FCC that would damage the Open Internet. ISPs cannot be permitted to discriminate against users or content, nor should consolidation of the industry be allowed to the pint that competition is stifled. As the internet was created largely with public money, it should be treated as a public utility.

4584. Aaron Wright, Wildwood, MO, 63011
Do not take away the open internet. Title II utility is the best way to keep ISPs from offering preferential treatment on internet traffic.

4585. Ellen Rohr, Rogersville, MO, 65742
Please maintain Title II requirements for ISP companies. It is better protection for consumers, as it imposes appropriate restrictions on ISP companies who are financially motivated to violate net neutrality.

4586. Joey Mueller, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I specifically support strong net neutraltity backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please, do not get me confused with someone that doesn't.

4587. Kohl Garten, Columbia, MO, 65201
To whom it may concern,Please, continue to protect net neutral and ensure a free, open, and safe internet. I do not trust corporations to uphold the principle of equality for businesses and data on the internet. Please continue to classify service providers under title 2 and protect net neutrality.Thank you for your time and consideration,Kohl Garten

4588. Ralph Walden, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
It is very important to maintain the open Internet rules under Title II to enable their continued enforcement. Most individuals cannot access the public network but via service providers who advertise access to the "Internet" which these individuals reasonable expect to mean open access not artificially throttled or traffic shaped to the benefit of the provider.

4589. Clifton Couch, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63118
I believe in a strong and neutral internet. Net neutrality should be left under title two oversight for internet service providers.

4590. Kevin Witthar, Peculiar, MO, 64078
Please preserve net neutrality and keep ISPs under title 2.The fact that the Chairman Pai would consider changing this leads me to believe that a former lawyer for an ISP has alterior motives. Even the ISPs don't seem to care, so it makes me wonder who benefits from removing them from title 2, because it doesn't sound like the users willâ€‹.

4591. frank whitchurch, Lees summit, MO, 64064
Please leave current rule s in place that address net neutrality thank you

4592. Megan Wiley, St Louis, MO, 63116
I support net neutrality by keeping internet service providers under a Title II classification.

4593. Logan Purk, Fenton, MO, 63026
Please maintain net neutrality as it currently stands. The proposed changes make little sense.

4594. Dakoda Trithara, Kansas City, MO, 64137
Dear FCC,I urge you to support net neutrality by maintaining the reclassification of ISPs as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. In this politically heated time, you can provide the American people with the reassurance that paid prioritization will not happen, which means everyone can continue to escape, uninterruptedly, to their own virtual world. Please don't piss on our backs and tell us it is raining. Thanks.

4595. Kyle Hieb, Kansas City, MO, 64134
Do not end Net Neutrality. Keep the internet free for the start-ups and the little guys!

4596. Patrick Smyers, Kansas City, MO, 64154

I want net netnutrality.

4597. Danielle Hampton, Columbia, MO, 65203
Preserve net neutrality by reclassifying Internet Service Providers, please. It is the best way to ensure Americans have equal access to all content. I, like many others, feel that in this era, that access is our right. No business has earned the right to have their content prioritized. Thank you.

4598. juli viel, Ofallon, MO, 63368
Stop net neutrality. Internet for people. Not for corporate mafia extracting more and more.

4599. Chris Mrozewski, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63109
Net Neutrality is the cornerstone of innovation, free speech and democracy on the Internet. As an Internet user, Iâ€™m asking the FCC to protect the net neutrality protections currently in place. The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Paiâ€™s proposal to give the telecom giants like AT&T, Comcast, and Verizon the legal cover to create Internet fast lanes, stripping Internet users of the necessary access and privacy protections we demanded and just recently won.Thank you for taking the time to read my comments.

4600. Deborah Morgan, Lees Summit, MO, 64064
Please continue net neutrality! We as consumers need to have competition we control NOT big business.

4601. Philip Goodson, Wildwood, MO, 63040
Do not change ISP's from title 2. Net neutrality is fine the way it is.

4602. Jane Borrowman, Hannibal, MO, 63401
I very strongly support net neutrality and Title II. Ajit Pai is nuts and should not be the chairman of the FCC. How did that happen! SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II.

4603. Amy Sokol, Liberty, MO, 64068
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. Net neutrality is a key driver for innovation and is crucial for small businesses.

4604. Ian, Fort Leonard Wood, MO, 65473
Preserve title 2 regulation on ISP. Preserve net neutrality. The internet is quite possibly the only useful and good thing to come about in my lifetime and greedy ISPs are threatening to ruin that too. Preserve let net neutrality and allow room for the american spirit of innovation to thrive. Do it. I dare you. Actually do nothing. Just let title 2 remain. Don't come to work, don't change anything. Have a long weekend; you've earned it.

4605. mike lee, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Plz do what John Oliver wants us all to do. P.s. He's right.

4606. Akeem Shannon, Saint Louis, MO, 63121
I support Strong Net Neutrality and Title II for ISPs

4607. Tim Cisar, Lake Ozark, MO, 65049
Please keep net neutrality and title 2 as the governing law/regulation for the internet laws.

4608. Brian Pattison, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by title II regulation of internet service providers.

4609. Susan Brock, Grain Valley, MO, 64029
Maintain Net Neutrality and keep Title I

4610. Steven M. Sokol, Liberty, MO, 64068
Net neutrality - the requirement that all packets be treated equally by Internet service providers - is a key driver of innovation. Maintaining the Internet as a level playing field for all users gives small businesses the opportunity to thrive. Likewise, it prevents the service providers from having undue advantage in the marketplace. Title II is the appropriate regulatory regime for ISPs. Please do not remove or revise the current regulations that make the Internet a vibrant marketplace for technology and ideas. Leave the current regulations in place.Very Truly Yours,Steven Sokol

4611. Mackenzie Sainz, Lee's Summit, MO, 64063
Please preserve net neutrality and the regulations in Title II.....I want an open internet!!!!

4612. Steve Bassett, Cabool, MO, 65689
Keep Title 2 in affect. ISPs will not do business ethically unless the law requires them to. Only a fool would expect that.

4613. Andrew C. Twaddle, Ph.D., Columbia, MO, 65203
For some of us, the internet is a vital research and education tool. If the internet is filtered or restricted, we will not be able to have access to the invormation we need to do accurate and unbiased work. Net netnutrality is essential to good scholarship.

4614. Nicholas Pickrell, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Please keep net neutrality on stronger enforceable footing by leaving all ISP's on Title 2. Thank you.

4615. Sarah Pickrell, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I strongly support Title II and Net Neutrality. Keep our internet a fair and just platform.

4616. Biil, Arnold, MO, 63010
We need to keep ISPs listed as title 2 entities. These companies have a questionable past when it comes to self regulation. The honor system never works, just ask wall street.

4617. andrew vigliaturo, BLUE SPRINGS, MO, 64015
I strongly support strict net neutrality regulations. An open internet belongs to the people and not a pay to play system that we will become is left to the providers.

4618. Pat Ross, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
We need to keep Net Neutrality strong and keep title 2.

4619. Steven Schwenke, O Fallon, MO, 63366
I advocate for strong net neutrality provisions, and urge the FCC to keep the internet under Title 2 protections. I do not want a handful of large providers to control my access or ability to enjoy the internet, and I believe that only by maintaining the current Title 2 protections will preserve that for me as an end user.

4620. Therese Macan, Saint Louis, MO, 63121
I ask/implore that you preserve NET NEUTRALITY and Title 2

4621. Linda Schumacher, Clayton, MO, 63105
I am a strong supporter of net neutrality and Title II. Do not roll back the current protection of net neutrality.

4622. Chad McVeigh, Fulton, MO, 65251
The net should be free and open.

4623. Arturo Ibarra, Purdy, MO, 65734
No attacks on Net Neutrality.

4624. Sally Iocca, St. Louis, MO, 63143
Net Neutrality ensures that this incredible and increasingly necessary resource of the internet remains open and free. An open internet that is available to anyone and everyone is the modern pathway to the American Dream, in which anyone can become bigger than their station in life and achieve success. Success does not just happen on it's own, though. It is essential to leave net neutrality in place in order to secure one of the founding ideals of America - that here, anybody can become a somebody.

4625. Charles Martin, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
It's used for speech, commerce, and other important functions.

4626. Joshua Stoll, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Net Neutrality is essential to everything we need in our society and democracy Â‰Ã›Ã“ from educational and economic opportunities to political organizing and dissent. Please do not endanger our internet.

4627. John Glebs, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
To further enrich their billionaire and Big Business friends Donald Trump and Paul Ryan are pushing an extremist corporate agenda that puts our nation, our health and safety, our planet, and our basic norms of justice and democracy at risk.

4628. David Hagan, St Ann, MO, 63074
After 9/11 the government took many of our freedoms and corporations were allowed to consolidate news around the country essentially eliminating the 4th estate, to keep politicians in check. The internet is an extension of our right of free speech, one of the few left. Don't allow corporations to get rid of net neutrality, it's one the last freedoms "we the people" have.

4629. Nancy Kroll, Saint Louis, MO, 63131
Keep i8t gfre and open!! The internet should not discriminate according to wealth.

4630. William Claassen, Columbia, MO, 65201
Do not dismantle Net Neutrality. Maintain the even playing field. Wake-up, Ajit, start serving the people instead of your corporate buddies

4631. Robert Haslag, Centertown, MO, 65023
Simply, my personal information and where I search is no one's business but mine and the business or institution I am contacting.

4632. Tom Klammer, Kansas City, MO, 64113
Equal access

4633. Gabrielle Tindle, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
Freedom and equality to data and information! Plus, with how much we are paying for internet every month, we deserve it and then some!

4634. Teri Brickey, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
No corporation should be able to buy more of the internet. The internet is a public good, and should remain free and open.

4635. Lloyd Tebbets, Lebanon, MO, 65536
The net needs to be two things, Neutral and Universal. We currently have Net Neutrality and it needs to stay that way. Next we need universal access. I live in a part of the country that only has 3mb service available. 3 miles from me there is no service beyond dial-up

available.

4636. Jeremy Fender, Nixa, MO, 65714
A free and open Internet is essential for a free society. I urge you to not weaken net neutrality protections and entrench Internet monopolies. It is not better for the people, only those in power.

4637. Collin Rupp, Purdy, MO, 65734
The idea of any of these ISPs "playing fair" to any extent is hopelessly naive. Anyone even half paying attention can see that repealing Net Neutrality is purely at the people's expense.

4638. Michael Ankelman, St. Louis, MO, 63119
I'm tired of the will of giant corporations overriding the will of the people. Net Neutrality is democracy!

4639. Trevor Barnard, Kansas City, MO, 64133
Please keep the internet neutral. Companies should find other ways to make a profit than to hold my internet speed hostage based on fees another company does or does not pay.

4640. Katherine Galloway, Hermann, MO, 65041
Without Net Neutrality phone and cable companies will treat ordinary Americans like we are garbage. And by the way without a bunch of ordinary Americans all you people who work in government, like for example as an FCC Chairman, would be looking for another job.

4641. Richard Chrzanowski, Saint Louis, MO, 63126
Without a doubt the most important key to our open internet here in the USA. Without net neutrality all bets are off, and we are left to hope that ISP's play by net neutrality rules. Republicans, Democrats, Green Party, Red Party, No Party, we all need to unite in support of Net Neutrality, title 2 classification. If not, we stand to lose. SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY!!!

4642. Jerome Jamroch, Stockton, MO, 65785
Please do not expect us to trust in the ethics of the telecommunications giants; they are bereft of ethics. The internet is part of the commons. It belongs to all of us.

4643. Rebecca Eliceiri, St. Louis, MO, 63119
Let me remind you if you have forgotten: you work for the American people, NOT corporations! Strong Net Neutrality rules the way they are promote American democracy and the public good, rather than privatized corporate greed. You can do what is easy (give in to your corporate pressure) or do what is right: KEEP STRONG NET NEUTRALITY RULES!!

4644. Edward Goretzke, New Florence, MO, 63363
The INTERNET will be destroyed without Net Neutrality. Bet on it.

4645. Betty Winfield, Columbia, MO, 65203
Stop Censorship! It is censorship to change net neutrality.

4646. Alice Thomas, Kansas City, MO, 64133
Net neutrality is everything to me. I live in a poor environment,the only way I feel for myself to climb out of this is through the internet. I'm relying on open internet for my start up. No company should be allowed to interfere with the open market of the internet

4647. F Worman, Springfield, MO, 65804
I use internet resources daily for research and teaching. Free and fair access for all is absolutely essential.

4648. Lewis Prince, St. Louis, MO, 63130
This is about the right to compete in a free and open market place. Do not hurt America's small businesses and entrepreneurs. Protect net neutrality.Lew PrinceCEO Vintage Vinyl Inc. Ret.St. Louis, MO

4649. Millissa Beaton, Kansas City, MO, 64133
As citizens we have the right to privacy and the Trump is trying to hijack our rights by allowing them to sell our histories . This reminds me of Nazi Germany. We deserve and rely on the FCC protection. Please continue to protect our freedom.

4650. Tom Abeln, Crestwood, MO, 63126
I go to many alternative, non-profit websites to get the news. I don't want them to have to pay to keep up with walmart.

4651. Jim Webb, Lee's Summit, MO, 64082
I don't want you to sell the Internet to the highest bidder like AT&T, Comcast, Spectrum, Google, Facebook, etc. They aren't the Internet and have demonstrated repeatedly that they don't have our best interests at heart.

4652. Joe Martyniw, Saint Louis, MO, 63128
Leave the internet alone, it works just fine as it is. Don't make it so that big companies can ruin it, like they do with most everything else.

4653. Sue Skidmore, Springfield, MO, 65804
Net Neutrality ensures that the internet remains open and free to everyone. The internet is used by everyone as a form of communication. Everyone is entitled to enjoy the internet without gateways and obstructions. We must have net neutrality.

4654. Lenora R, Barnhart, MO, 63012

Many people depend on the Internet for news and information. Please leave Net Neutrality alone.

4655. Heather Rounds, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
Because it allows the free flow of ideas to all people regardless of income. Most Americans have already been priced out of higher education, please don't make it more impossible for the little guy to climb the ladder. Knowledge should be free!

4656. Dustin, New Bloomfield, MO, 65063
Once unfiltered access to information becomes filtered, we step into the dark ages once again. Let us all push forward, to the future; where knowledge is decided only by facts and the will of the viewers; Not by greed.

4657. Debra Keil-Leavitt, Kansas City, MO, 64154
I think you're messing with the wrong people here - youth. Apparently, this information got their attention.

4658. Kevin Allemann, Harrisburg, MO, 65256
Dear FCC,My expectation is that Net Neutrality will remain part of our internet.Net Neutrality is needed so content is treated equally. An internet without it will eventually lead to censorship and higher prices for consumers.Our tax dollars created the internet. ItÂ‰Ã›Âªs great that private interests want to facilitate the innovation and growth of our internet however distribution of the internet relies on our public airwaves and right of ways. The current chairman Ajit PaiÂ‰Ã›Âªs concept that the internet is owned by corporations is misguided. In regards to the internet, we the people own it, and allow companies to participate in content delivery. While not a big fan of nationalizing of utilities, if corporations can not respect this concept then they need to move aside.

4659. Karen Matlock, Springfield, MO, 65802
Fairness. . Legalities . .

4660. Shari Rivenburgh, Springfield, MO, 65804
Internet providers are already becoming more and more of a monopoly. They don't need any help in price gouging and poor service. Leave net neutrality alone!

4661. Rita Sweet, St. Louis, MO, 63139
Net neutrality is VERY important to me. I'm a democrat and I vote. Stop Trump's attack on net neutrality!Dr. Rita Sweet

4662. Charles Phillips, Boonville, MO, 65233
We don't need big telecoms dictating what content the public can view. And we do not need "slow lanes" on the Internet. Leave it alone!!

4663. Peggy Henderson, Rolla, MO, 65401
Don't tell me how to use the internet. Don't mess with my internet access. You must not let the big guys limit my access.

4664. Katie Linstead, St Louis, MO, 63119
Tired of seeing decisions for profit imstead of human welfare. This just another of those.

4665. Curt Dennison, Washington, MO, 63090
Chairman Pai, LEAVE IT ALONE.

4666. Victoria Mathis, Springfield, MO, 65804
The Idea behind the internet is, its intended to be free. Please don't make it unfair for users of information. You'll kill it if you do.

4667. G Geren, ST. LOUIS, MO, 63123
Net neutrality is vitally important for personal freedom of speech, and also for small businesses who will not be able to compete with larger businesses and so will not last without it.

4668. Mary Palmer, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
Freedom of information. Protected by the constitution. Freedom of the press. Protected by the constitution.

4669. Gloria Short, Fair Grove, MO, 65648
I occasionally use the internet to purchase items, but mostly I use it for information. It is the "Information Superhighway". Taking away net neutrality would make it a slow back alley for information, with all the speed going to the sellers who can afford the most bandwidth. Bad idea!

4670. Claire DuCharme, Ashland, MO, 65010
Please do not let this happen. Only the rich will have decent internet.

4671. Sara Lang, Columbia, MO, 65203
Important source for unbiased information 5

4672. Richard Winkel, Harrisburg, MO, 65256
Resign now. You have broken the trust of the people.

4673. Vance Hiner, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Net Neutrality is important because equal access to the internet is a right, not a privilege in the 21st Century. If you "take a weed wacker" to Net Neutrality, we will take a "weed wacker" to every Republican in Congress and the Whitehouse. Mark our words.

4674. Donna Rice, Chesterfield, MO, 63017

Cut out fooling with net neutrality, leave it alone. People's privacy is theirs not the carriers.

4675. Praythem Gay, Kansas City, MO, 64109
This is a basic freedom that the American public deserves.

4676. Joan Wilson, Sainte Genevieve, MO, 63670
Net Neutrality is important to me because I am a political activist and rely on the web for organization of events.

4677. Mike Berger, Imperial, MO, 63052
Shame on all of you. It appears that you serve corporations, not your constituents. It is called Public Service for a reason. Serve your constituents interest, not your monied donors.

4678. Gina Jensen, Fenton, MO, 63026
Net neutrality should be protected at all costs. It is not for sale. Stand up for our rights.

4679. Linda Meyers, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
No one can tell me why this is good for me as a private citizen and a voter. No one could tell me why selling my private information was good for me either. So far as I can tell the only thing to benefit from this is big companies with lots of money to give to politicians. Evidently being a constituent and taxpayer and a citizen counts for nothing. Again I ask WHY this is good for me?

4680. Diane Meeker, Columbia, MO, 65203
I want entrepreneurs to be able to put forth their ideas and products with equal access to the public, not just those who already have unlimited funds with which to pay the high tolls that various corporations have admitted they will charge content providers to have their websites gain better, or even any, access to the public.

4681. Kenneth Hulse, st louis, MO, 63105
The internet is for all Americans not the wealthy to make more money. The airwaves and internet belong to the people not corporations.

4682. CJ Beuke, Washington, MO, 63090
Everyone should be equal & every user should receive the same speed on the internet no matter what they are looking for.

4683. Kathe dunlop, St. Louis, MO, 63122
Crucial to our freedom of speech.

4684. Nancy Koehler, Glencoe, MO, 63038
In this day and age we all need the internet to do regular life. Whether we are able to afford more expensive internet or not. It is wrong to add the greater fees that of course would be added by carriers, pay to play, irrespective of the problems with being able to pay increased prices. I support Net Neutrality. Do not abolish Net Neutrality!

4685. Donna Dale, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
Ability to access the internet should be available to everyone without reference to cost.

4686. Lisa Witte, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
A free and open internet for all is the only way to ensure that those in power aren't abusing that power for their own benefit.

4687. Mark Hastert, Kansas City, MO, 64113
Open access to information

4688. David Atkins, ballwin, MO, 63011
every one should have equal access, not preference for who my internet providers decides, I think an investigation needs to be opened exploring how cable companies have bought the fcc

4689. Joy Ward, St. Louis, MO, 63118
Net neutralty is necessary to ensure all Americans can get thorough, correct information unfiltered by corporations.

4690. Colin McMahon, Kansas City, MO, 64151
The intenet is a UTILITY not a commodity!!!! We can't pay our bills, enroll in classes, sign up for health insurance, or even apply for jobs without it! If you attack net neutrality then you are attacking our lively hoods! The British attacked our founding father's lively hoods and the founding fathers attacked back. I swear on ,y honor that I will do the same! KillING net neutrality is the same as killing the Internet! And if you do that I will have nothing left to lose! Anit Pai, PROTECT ME RIGHTS TO A FREE AND OPEN INTERNET! Or I will spend every second of every day finding new and creative ways to make your life and career a living hell!!!!!!

4691. Ian Spaeth, Kansas City, MO, 64111
It is fundamental in the society we've built for ourselves. And all content needs to be treated fundamentally the same to ensure everyone can continue to live the same, best lives they can.

4692. Gregory Brough, Clayton, MO, 63105
Net neutrality is a necessary component of the First Amendment

4693. Jay Sprenkle, Kansas City, MO, 64138
Dear FCC,America desperately needs to be throttled back and limited to third world status on the world wide web. Please continue to reduce our options and help us strive toward static mediocrity.

4694. michael broughton, St. Louis, MO, 63123
Tell Donald to stop fucking with the Net!

4695. Melody Sanders, Springfield, MO, 65803
Because it should be a public resource not a privately-owned entity. You can't make money and charge people for something that you didn't make.

4696. Don Webb, St Peters, MO, 63376
Not having Net Neutrality will just give corporation total control of pro corporation propaganda. One more step toward fascism !!!!!!!!!!!!!

4697. Dawn Allen, Patterson, MO, 63956
Our government needs to protect those laws that have taken years to enact from extremist regimes within our own country. The direction this is all going, the constitution will be rewritten by an executive order due to backing from major corporations supporting the president elect, which have overturned our democracy.

4698. Handuma Drum, Kansas City, MO, 64109
Mr. Ajit Pai -- please do not allow our Internet to become compromised by hierarchical speed lanes. Don't turn the Internet into a toll road. Keep the trolls off our information highway.

4699. Amy Keller, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
Information should not be a class issue. All deserve access.

4700. Millissa Beaton, Raytown, MO, 64133
Do not infringe upon my privacy!!

4701. Casey Mesker, Foristell, MO, 63348
The internet is a utility and should stay under Title 2. There is no excuse to remove it from Title 2.

4702. Virginia Bzdek, Columbia, MO, 65203
Internet providers MUST treat all web traffic equally. Don't roll back net neutrality.

4703. Lee Jeffreys, Springfield, MO, 65806
PLEASE DON'T DO THIS HORRIBLE THING TO US!

4704. Mary Pearson, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
I am just an ordinary American citizen who benefits from use of the internet. I do not have sufficient wealth to counterbalance of those who want to manipulate the net for their personal profit. Too bad.

4705. Michael Crowden, Harrisonville, MO, 64701
Your vote on this WILL be remembered by America in 2018.

4706. Patricia Auer, Ballwin, MO, MO, 63021
I do not want my net searches to be monitored and restricted.

4707. Dante Migone-Ojeda, Saint Louis, MO, 63105
Net neutrality is more than just an ethical decision, which I know matters little to most of you, it is also the economically sound choice. Net neutrality encourages small business and allows for the kind of nimble innovation the country needs as we look toward an uncertain future. I urge you desperately to preserve it.

4708. Joseph Hollinger, Eldridge, MO, 65463
The Internet has become what the press should be, avenues for watch dogs use to expose what those we elect to serve us "for the one term provided by the Constitution" are doing and help we the people to hold them accountable for their actions or the lack thereof.The Internet is synonymous with and must be considered as important as the First Amendment rights to freedom of speech, freedom of the press and freedom to peacefully assemble and grieve our cases with our government.The Internet must remain free and open to all for every purpose. We, the users and owners thereof, must be responsible users and learn to restrict ourselves to the safe and proper use of the information we gain from that huge resource and refuse to avail ourselves of the sites that we do not like.Government does not have the right of censorship over the people for whom they work. The U.S. Government functions because of, and for the People. It has no power or privilege of its own and must never be allowed to acquire it.

4709. Hannah Prest, Jackson, MO, 63755
As a consumer of the internet it is important I get the service I pay my ISP for without having to pay extra to services online so they can also pay the ISP to be delivered at the speed I have already paid for. As a professional in the industry, it is important that ISPs cannot act as gangs demanding "protection money" or put what is in effect a blockade on internet based companies much like Cornelius Vanderbilt did to freight for New York City in 1866.

4710. Lucas Underwood, Thayer, MO, 65791
We have to stop this. An end to net neutrality is a good end for nobody, we as a society will suffer while those at the top will thrive ever more, further pushing companies towards monopolization. It's our duty to make sure the future of the every day person is secure, this is one step for that future.

4711. Diana Brunswig-Bosso, Arnold, MO, 63010
I can't afford higher internet bills to be on the fast lane. It is not fair for some people because of lack of money to have to travel the slow

lane if you do any with Net Neutrality.

4712. James Small, St Louis, MO, 63122
I manage several websites fo a non-profit organization. We deserve to NOT have to worry about being slowed to a crawl.....

4713. Mary Martin, St Charles, MO, 63303
It is in the best interest of the American People to maintain a free and open internet.

4714. John Hardin, JEFFERSON CITY, MO, 65101
Net neutrality is the only way to maintain freedom of speech!

4715. Ted Rubright, St. Louis, MO, 63122
We the people strongly support Net Neutrality!

4716. Mahree Skala, Columbia, MO, 65202
This would be a huge blow to free speech in our country. The internet is one of the few truly democratic institutions left.

4717. John Culp, Saint Louis, MO, 63117
This is an outrage to destroy the internet neutrality! We must stop Trump's greedy present to the plutocracy!

4718. Carol Beckham, St. Louis, MO, 63136
Let trump have his way and America will look like Russia shortly. This needs to be met with vigorous opposition. Free speech is our guaranteed 1st amendment right.

4719. Mary T Murphy, St. Louis, MO, 63123
I strongly support a policy of protecting net neutrality and also preserving title 2. This is extremely important to very strongly protect net neutrality, thank you.

4720. Omar Abdulaziz, Kansas City, MO, 64118
I think the FCC should keep the net neutrality laws that have already been put in place, I don't think ISP's should be able to have any control over the flow at all. These networks were all built with government subsidies they belong to the American people considering all these ISPS lobbied against the government from setting up their own fiber optic networks back in the 80s with the logic that they would be building them for america first.

4721. Jaime Pruitt, Parkville, MO, 64152
We need to keep title 2 net neutrality protections!

4722. Lisa Leary, St. Louis, MO, 63131
Keep net neutrality and Title 2! Don't allow internet service providers to favor certain sites by intentionally speeding or slowing down user access.

4723. mike dwyer, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Rescinding Net neutrality is a direct attack on a free and open democracy it is unconscionable it is being considered. Keep isps under title 2.

4724. Josef Brown, Columbia, MO, 65201
A strong net neutrality must be preserved. Title II overseeing ISPs is essential to upholding the integrity of our information.

4725. Emma Spencer, St. Louis, MO, 63139
Please keep net neutrality regulations under Title 2. Please do not deregulate and move to Title 1.

4726. Vladimir Karkarov, St. Louis, MO, 63141
Preserve net neutrality and title II classification of ISPs.

4727. Brendon Mueller, Ozark, MO, 65721
Title II and Net Neutrality are vital to true internet freedom - freedom for American citizens.

4728. Codie Mullins, St Louis, MO, 63128
Please keep Net Neutrality and continue enforcing regulations that prevent Corporations from dictating access to the open internet.

4729. C Hyman, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please keep Net Neutrality and Title 2 intact. The last thing we need is further gouging of consumers, who already pay for access.

4730. David Carleton, Rutledge, MO, 63563
An open internet must be protected. It is the cornerstone of innovation, connection, information, and entrepreneurship in this modern world. Allowing for a system where telecom companies regulate who gets access based on who pays or fits their worldview or business model is comparable to dimming the lights of progress. It cannot happen and will not be tolerated. Do not restrict the internet. Do not allow the wolves to guard the hen house. Their incentives are to bend the internet to fit their particular business interests, at the expense of everyone else. Please - do not allow this!

4731. Sarah Virtue and Daniel Virtue, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
We very strongly and specifically support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

4732. Graham Jurgens, Kansas City, MO, 64114
The removal of open internet access will provide substantial advantages to internet providers, who already enjoy a government created restriction on competition, in the market place. The removal of Title II rules will effectively end Net Neutrality and harm competition among numerous services and products. The point of a market economy (i.e. capitalism) is to allow the market to pick winners and lowers. Allowing a small number of companies to determine access gives them the ability to pick winners and losers in the market place. This is called corporatism. Action like these limit entrepreneurs, increase costs to consumers (U.S. consumers already pay much higher internet cost than other OECD nations), and prop up large companies like Comcast who are beginning to die from failure to innovate. The notion of anti-regulation or pro-regulation paradigms is a fallacy that is driving ignorant policy making. Furthermore, this issue is indicative of the rent-seeking behavior that pervades our government. Your jobs are to grow our economy, increase living standards, and honor the values of American citizens. The removal of net neutrality rules is just another bump in the long history of anti-innovation policy by government and a violation of your duty as civil servants.

4733. Mandy Mueller, Kansas City, MO, 64108
Please preserve net neutrality and fair and open access to the internet.

4734. Clayton Jones, St Louis, MO, 63116
Cable companies and isps have proven time and time again that they will abuse a monopoly market position. Putting us further under their power is abhorable stewardship of our right to free speech and information.

4735. Brandon T Watts, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
Net Neutrality must survive! Maintain Title 2

4736. Anthony Kwiatkowski, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I strongly support the classification of ISPs under Title 2. Internet is equivalent to telephone in the sense that it is essential to our modern day, as telephone once was.

4737. Nezzie Smith, Wildwood, MO, 63038
I support Title II net neutrality!!!!

4738. Charles Van Hooser, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Net Neutrality and the current Title II policies should be kept in full force and not be altered or reformed in any way.

4739. Shaun Flynn, St. Peters, MO, 63376
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II for all ISP's.

4740. adriana mcgarity, Columbia, MO, 65203
Keep net neutrality by keeping isp regulated by FCC tittle II

4741. Joe, Ballwin, MO, 63011
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by type II oversight of ISPs. Do not move ISPs off of type II and do not change type II rules.

4742. tim McGarity, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please keep ISPs regulated by Title 2. Protect Net Neutrality.

4743. Leslie Castor, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
You must uphold strong net neutrality and Title II laws.

4744. Ricky Pointying, Kansas city, MO, 64112
You must upload strong net neutrality & Title II law. FCC commissioner go fuck your self in your Reese peanut butter MF.

4745. Jaffer Kazmi, Kansas City, MO, 64113
We respectfully demand the FCC keeps net neutrality safe by remaining under title 2.

4746. Abigail Moon, Grandview, MO, 64030
I would desperately like net neutrality and Title II to be preserved. I care. Everyone cares. Do the right thing.

4747. Camille Puronen, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Preserve Net Neutrality! No rollback of title II!

4748. Katie Byrd, Florissant, MO, 63031
Internet neutrality is enormously important to me as American citizen. Also internet providers should not be able to influence my life and the things I buy. Thank you.

4749. John Budde, St. Louis, MO, 63122
I am strongly in favor of Net Neutrality. Access to the internet should be treated like access to any public utility, such as phone, electric, or water service. Classifying it any other way is a harshly anti-consumer measure, which will only line the pockets of a small number of telecommunications companies, which will find ways to extract every penny possible from consumers, while providing even more substandard service than is already available. The United States falls embarrassingly far behind when it comes to internet availability and speed, and the cost to the average American consumer is significantly higher than it should be. Please do not give in to the lobbyists who will spend millions to gain a benefit of billions for the small number of companies they represent.

4750. Christopher Hart, St. Louis, MO, 63143

I wish to express my support for net neutrality. I vehemently oppose the current FCC chairman's proposal to allow ISPs to revert to Title 1. It is my opinion that Title 2 should be preserved.

4751. Grace Stonner, Rolla, MO, 65401
I profoundly support the protection of Net Neutrality and the Title II regulation of ISPs.

4752. Daniel Stakes, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Maintain the current Net Neutrality rules! It is absolutely absurd that overturning is even being considered.

4753. Adam Jaschek, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
Net neutrality should absolutely be preserved. The modification and/or reclassification of it's position as a Title 2 regulation is both unwanted and potentially gravely harmful to the American people. We should be able to freely exchange information without the fear of censorship or corporate meddling.

4754. miranda, moscow mills, MO, 63362
Gofccyourself support title 2

4755. net neutrality, Springfield, MO, 65803
Net Neutrality as it is,

4756. B. F. Simmons, Springfield, MO, 65810
We require net neutrality as is supported through stable Title II footing.

4757. julie nguyen, Weldon spring, MO, 63304
I have worked I the IT field for 10 years and I feel net neutrality is essential for the internet as we know out today. Out is not damaging business and allows for an equal playing field for all. I support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you. Jn

4758. Ian Campbell, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Net neutrality is just another way for big corporations to gain more control over what people do to gain a dollar. It is a poor idea and anyone who understands what Net neutrality is, realizes that it is not in the peoples best interests. All information on the internet must remain equal.

4759. Todd Wade, Kansas City, MO, 64112
Net neutrality should not be rescinded. No ISP should be given the ability to throttle content to users. I find it appalling that I have to do this every so often just to insure that our internet remains free and open and not a tool of big corporations and billionaires to bilk hard working citizens out of their money.

4760. Nolan Stewart, Kansas City, MO, 64117
STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II OR PERMANENT REVOLUTION

4761. Tanner Reed, Imperial, MO, 63052
Mr. Pai, I strongly ask you to preserve Title II and protect net neutrality.

4762. Erica Woods, Columbia, MO, 65203
John Oliver is right.

4763. lucas mcnabney, liberty, MO, 64068
Can you imagine a postal service that could prioritize your mail, both in and outgoing, based upon what you write, who you write to, or where you live?Or a telephone company that could delay a connection or degrade the sound quality based upon the same criteria?The internet has rapidly usurped all previous forms of communication and in this country it has found itself at a fork in the road. Will the future be a common marketplace of products, services, and ideas? Or will it instead it be closely metered corridors between corporately owned 'walled gardens' of content?Once you let corporate interests take full control of our individual freedoms I fear that it would be a genie unwilling to go back into the bottle.Please - maintain Net Neutrality. For all of us and our future.

4764. Steven Gilmore, St. Joseph, MO, 64506
DO NOT KILL NET NEUTRALITY. I AM OUTRAGED THAT I EVEN HAVE TO TYPE THIS OUT TO YOU MONEY HUNGRY SOULLESS CROOKS.GO FCC YOURSELVES!

4765. Riley Owens, St Louis, MO, 63106
Leave Internet Service Providers(ISP's) classified as public utilities. I support unconditional net neutrality.

4766. cory hatina, weldon spring, MO, 63304
Preserve net neutrality and title II. The future depends on the freedom of the internet.

4767. Jarred Jennings, Springfield, MO, 65807
I am an American citizen. I can not believe I even have to take the time to do this and the people in charge of making these decisions just don't do the right thing automatically. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.

4768. Richard Dill, Bridgeton, MO, 63044
Internet should be defined as Title II. The FCC should work for America's best interest by protecting net neutrality. All Internet, and it's content, should be treated equal. Losing net neutrality would be an impedance to an excellent source of information.

4769. Ryan Pendleton, Washington, MO, 63090

I strongly support net neutrality laws, specifically Title II. Please preserve.

4770. Molly Burkhart, Joplin, MO, 64804
Any attempt to remove the current protections for Net Neutrality are a blatant cash/power grab on the part of big businesses via ISPs. No "promise not to favor whoever pays you more" will ever stop greed. Companies have literally already tried to do this, which is why ISPs were moved from Title I to Title II in the first place - so sterner regulations could be put into place to prevent them doing exactly that. There is no argument about this. LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE.

4771. Nash Davis, Park Hills, MO, 63601
I support Title II. Anything else is repulsive.

4772. Michael Howard, St. Louis, MO, 63122
I strongly support strong net neutrality and to keep ISP's under title 2 supervision. Everyone should have equal access to the internet and prioritized companies should not be able to buy faster speed and allow ISP's to literally extort web based companies.

4773. Jarrod Dornfeld, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
I do not believe that removing the Title 2 designation from ISPs is beneficial to consumers, or to business as a whole in the tech sector. Allowing large oligopolic cable companies to not only provide service, but prioritize traffic as they see fit is unacceptable, particularly when those companies also own content providers, allowing them to prioritize their own content over other sources. This would effectively shut out startup competition to established companies, providing less options for consumers and actively harming the business sector. Portraying removing title 2 regulation as "freeing the internet" is not only misleading; it's also a boldface spin on something that will benefit large established ISPs and no one else. Do not support this resolution to give our internet to a cabal of private companies.

4774. Jeffrey M. Harrell, Springfield, MO, 65803
I strongly support Net-Neutrality and keeping it in Title II.

4775. Ned Buckmaster, Manchester, MO, 63021
Please keep the net neutrality rules as they are - under title II and strongly enforceable.

4776. Nicholas Bishop, OFallon, MO, 63366
I vehemently support strong net neutrality rules that will allow a free an open internet, preventing internet service providers (ISPs) from ever being allowed to increase or decrease the speed of any website over that of another. I support a strong regulation of all internet service, including that of wireless (4G, 3G, LTE, etc) as well as wired broadband (cable, DSL, fiber optic, etc) internet services, to be under the continued Title II oversight for internet service providers. I feel strongly about this issue, and I am open to discussing this with anyone at the FCC further at any point in time.

4777. Josh Guffey, St. Louis, MO, 63128
I want to urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II - this will preserve true Net Neutrality and not place an undue amount of influence in the hands of a few internet service providers. Future innovation and national prosperity is dependent upon this. Thank you for taking my comment.

4778. Can Sungur, St louis, MO, 63109
Please keep net neutrality. It is important for the future of the internet and to avoid corporate manipulation and restrictions.

4779. Joyce M. Pratt, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
Preserve Net Neutrality by keeping ISP under Title VII

4780. James Caldwell, Dunnegan, MO, 65640
Restore internet freedom and repeal Obama's administration rules.

4781. Leland Bingaman, Raytoun, MO, 64133
Keep the internet neutral and a level playing field for all. I support the free and open internet and Title 2. Local ISP providers (an increasingly small number of very large corporations) should be considered common carriers. I rely heavily on the small businesses, such as podcasts, for my job and keeping informed of current information. More so than any traditional "corporate news".

4782. C. Browne, New Bloomfield, MO, 65063
Please do not change the Obama era net neutrality rules under Title II.

4783. net, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I support net neutrality. Please keep Title 2 in place!!!!!

4784. Brent Jaimes, St. Ann, MO, 63074
I want the FCC to know how important it is to protect Net Neutrality through Title II. Net Neutrality is important to keeping the internet free and open and the best way to do this is by regulating Net Neutrality under Title II.

4785. Sara Przybylski, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please keep internet protection and freedom under Title 2. Do not regulate the internet under corporate interests.

4786. Robin Burks, Hayti, MO, 63851
Net neutrality must be maintained in the United States. Please do not give over to corporate interests and let the ISPs control Internet traffic (because that is exactly what will happen). Net neutrality is something that doesn't just benefit American citizens, but also American businesses. It's important that we keep the Internet 100% neutral. Don't roll it back.

4787. Carolyn Smith, Poplar Bluff, MO, 63901
I strongly support the integrity of a free internet and expect the FCC to uphold that integrity.

4788. Jessica Hall, Springfield, MO, 65807
Preserve Net Neutrality under title 2. The internet should not be regulated by the internet providers and cable companies.

4789. Linda Brizendine, El Dorado Springs, MO, 64744
Net neutrality is necessary for all citizens to have access to the internet.

4790. jason, Liberty, MO, 64068
Do not suspend net neutrality. A free internet is necessary.

4791. Van Grube, Independence, MO, 64050
It is imperative to keep Internet neutrality as protected under Title 2

4792. Richard Miller, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
I support Title 2 oversight of ISP's that ensures net neutrality. Businesses (ISP providers) cannot and should not be trusted to self regulate this matter. The freedom of access is critical to free speech, small business success, and a variety of issues that improve our lives. Protecting this freedom is the duty of the FCC, and it should be held closely. Businesses have a legal mandate to make profits in any way possible, which at times, does not align itself with good governance that involves our liberties and freedoms. Please maintain net neutrality at all costs!

4793. Ian Diamond, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63703
i strongly support net neutrality and believe that title 2 is an essential part of giving us a fair and balanced internet. It is not in our best interest to leave the decision to not throttle internet speeds up to the people who could profit off of throttling internet speeds. You would not put a drug dealer in charge of their own rehab, or a child in charge of their diet, so why put them in charge of not controlling speeds? We must keep the net neutral and equitable!

4794. Jeffrey Berg, St. Louis, MO, 63104
protect net neutrality and keep it under Title 2!

4795. Steven Amos, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Ajait Pai, current Chairman of the FCC - I support strong net neutrality back by Title II oversight of ISPs.

4796. Natalie Beltgens, Lee's Summit, MO, 64064
Please kindly preserve net neutrality!

4797. Beverly Brandt, University City, MO, 63130
I object to the current proposal to remove internet service providers' so-called "net neutrality" obligations. No reasonable person actually believes that the industry will voluntarily ignore the profit motive and maintain net neutrality without regulation requiring it to do so. This attempt to reverse safeguards deemed prudent and fair (to all) in the record of the prior rule-making MUST BE DENIED. It constitutes blatant pandering to moneyed industry interests and is not in the best interests of the public which has (in most cases) severely restricted choice in the selection of interest service providers.

4798. Blair Barbieri, Kansas City, MO, 64108
Please preserve the current net neutrality enforcement authority over ISPs under Title II. It means a lot to us. Thank you.

4799. Christopher Krull, Arnold, MO, 63010
I support net Neutrality and title 2

4800. Benjamin Ketter, Columbia, MO, 65201
Please do not overturn the order made in 2015 that treats all data as equal (title ii in case you are confused). My family and I are strongly opposed to altering these regulations as they keep everyone on a level playing field. None of us want ISPs to have more power than they already have. It's bad enough when internet goes down and a 2:30pm housecall appointment turns into some random guy knocking at my door at 7 in the evening. I don't want these same people in control of which information reaches me at what speed. Don't kill net neutrality.

4801. Bryan Wiebeck, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
Internet service providers should provide access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites.

4802. Michelle, St Robert, MO, 65584
Keep net neutrality under title 2. Stop letting the rich get richer.

4803. Thomas Keith, Park Hills, MO, 63601
Title II is the backbone of a free and clear internet, there is absolutely no reason to try and remove ISP's from this. It would be much like removing the fences from around a prison and just taking the prisoners word that they won't make a run for it.

4804. Brett Hoskinson, Kirksville, MO, 63501
I Strongly support Net Neutrality protected under Title 2 rules regarding ISPs!

4805. Jose Martinez, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
As an internet user, I am strongly in favor of the NET NEUTRALITY rule as it is now and oppose any change that will give the my ISP

the power to decide for me what I want to see or search on the web.

4806. Josh Wexler, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please do not roll back the current classification of ISPs in title IINet neutrality is very important to the ethos of America!

4807. Raymond Cothran, Florissant, MO, 63031
Strong net neutrality is a must. Please don't allow these protections to be undermined to serve the interests of the highest bidder.

4808. Simon Yost, St. Louis, MO, 63110
Keeping ISPs under Title 2 is very important. Having an open internet can only happen with that regulation. There should be *no* change to the current regulation at this time or for the foreseeable future.

4809. vallen spencer, Rolla, MO, 65401
Keep the title 2 regulations for net neutrality

4810. Katie Walkowiak, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It is essential that the internet remain open and equitable for all users.

4811. Evan Nothmann, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
I am strongly against the FCC's plan to roll back Net Neutrality. All data on the internet should be treated equally to ensure that everyone has access at a reasonable cost. It is crazy to think that we would want to give more money to ISPs and create a system where they get to decide who has access to what by charging more for certain services. This will not improve the situation for consumers!!! Internet access is now a right and should be treated like a public utility because it is now impossible to live a life outside of the digital world. Protect our freedom and maintain net neutrality.

4812. Kurtis Beckman, Springfield, MO, 65806
Dear FCC, To get rid of net neutrality is clearly a play that assists only those in power. It seems like a very clearly evil and manipulative move to undermine free speech and the freedom of information, which is power. Why is it that people who strive to be politicians, also seem to have an evil streak to them? Is it because people who are "good" don't strive for power? In any case, to deprive the masses of a neutral internet, would make us no different from Iran or North Korea. Which seems like a pretty obvious thing. So dear FCC, in conclusion, do the right thing, and don't be evil. That is all.

4813. 17-108, Union, MO, 63084
Aji Pai, I stongly support net neutrality and Title II's oversight of ISP's.

4814. 17-108, Union, MO, 63084
Aji Pai, I strongly support net neutrality and Title II's oversight of ISP's.

4815. Allison Andrelchik, St. Louis, MO, 63130
So many individuals already struggle to access the internet due to cost, allowing net neutrality to disappear will only create a bigger disparity. Please continue to support net neutrality and title II so that everyone can watch cute cat videos in peace.

4816. Leslie Lynn, St. Louis, MO, 63105
We must support net neutrality and enforcement of Title II.

4817. John Kenny, Lone Jack, MO, 64070
It is critical that Internet access continues to be free. Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. It is unacceptable to allow ISPs to regulate themselves in this matter, they have a conflict of interest.

4818. Kenny Cox, Springfield, MO, 65810
Please preserve net neutrality and title II for ISPs. This is important to keep the internet open and accessible to all without companies dictating what we can and can 't do on the internet.Support the average American in this issue, not the corporations who stand to profit from this change.

4819. Concerned Citizen, Kansas City, MO, 64113
I would strongly urge you to preserve the net neutrality regulations. Rolling back the regulations would be detrimental to a free internet and could result in monopolies by the cable and telecom companies increasing the cost of entry to market and creating barriers to entry.

4820. Alicia Bawa, St Peters, MO, 63376
Please keep the net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Keeping the Internet free of blocking, throttling, and paid prioritization schemes ensures the free flow of information. This is vital in today's world and consumers (in many places) do not have a choice of internet providers that would make it possible to just choose a provider who believes in these same principles. Because I cannot go out and build my own access to the internet in order to preserve my free access, you must ensure that internet providers are required to provide it.

4821. Keith Cargill, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Keep ISPs as TITLE II regulated entities! A free and open internet is essential for freedom, democracy, and the future of our world. It is quite simply the most important technological advance in the history of mankind, and we don't want a bunch of pencil-dicks from Verizon or T-Mobile acting as the gate keepers!

4822. Joseph Hunt, St. Louis, MO, 63112
I support strong (Title II) regulation on net neutrality. The clear evidence of reduced regulation has been evident time and time again of ISP circumventing the rules in favor of personal profits, and cannot be allowed to continue. I request that you maintain the Title II classification, and not all the FCC chairman to be influenced by his previous employer and neurotic ideas on anti-regulation.

4823. Robert Midyett, Salem, MO, 65560
Must remain open!!!

4824. mohammed islam, Cape girardeau, MO, 63129
I would implore the fcc not to bow down to the corporate Isp and not demolish tittle 2 and let them sell my data or prioritize my internet based on there needs. So please don't let politics ruin internet for us we need some chill in this world.

4825. Kim Gibson, Park Hills, MO, 63601
Continue to keep the Internet regulated under Title 2 resulting in net neutrality

4826. Richard Schur, Springfield, MO, 65810
We desperately need the net neutrality rules as created in 2015. The proposed changes will create monopolies for large ISPs, which will in turn limit business innovation and creativity. Our economy and our democracy needs net neutrality.

4827. David Morton, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
Please do not weaken net-neutrality regulations, they're extremely important to me.

4828. Jason Tessmer, Saint Louis, MO, 63125
The FCC MUST keep ISP providers under Title II in order to preserve Net Neutrally. The internet is not broke so on need to fuck with it!

4829. Daniel Garcia, Independence, MO, 64055
We need free internet and all IPS should have to follow title 2 regulations. Otherwise America will no longer be free. We need title 2

4830. Robert Glenn Palmer, Rolla, MO, 65401
I am firmly against net neutrality, it prevents the free exchange of information online and monopolizes programs into disgusting conglomerates which will not act in the best interest of the consumer and will instead seek only to week out their competition through lobbying to isps for increased pay walls to competition.

4831. Dawn Newman, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
The internet should be considered a public utility and net neutrality maintained with the strongest possible regulatory framework. Removing it from Title 2 status would have deleterious effects on business, especially small businesses like my own, throughout the country. I strongly support keeping the Title 2 status of the internet to maintain a stable platform for innovation and growth.

4832. Joshua Coll, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Stop trying to be evil.

4833. Marnie MItchell, Lees Summit, MO, 64086
Please keep net neutrality as in Title 2 , the internet should be a fair playing ground and companies should not have to right to slow down or speed up programs for their financial gain.

4834. John Ross, Kansas City, MO, 64110
As a US citizen I find it deeply troubling that the FCC is considering stripping regulations pertinent to net neutrality. Internet service providers cannot be trusted to maintain net neutrality on a strictly voluntary basis and to put faith in them to do so is foolish beyond measure. A strong regulatory body is the only means to ensure that large corporations don't unjustly alter the speeds with which specific internet services are rendered to users. It is by far in the best interest of the US Federal Government and its citizenry that ISPs remain classified under Title II so that the FCC will retain the authority to enforce reasonable protections for net neutrality. The internet must be preserved as a free market of ideas and services rather than the economic playground of only a handful of industry titans. Enabling ISPs to manipulate the availability and speed of competitor services would yield catastrophic results and be a detriment to the cherished resource of the internet. Robust regulations for the protection of net neutrality must be enshrined.

4835. Jacqueline Brandenburg, Montgomery City, MO, 63361
I believe ISPs should be regulated under Title II. Net Neutrality is important

4836. Brian Kist, Springfield, MO, 65810
I support strong Net Neutrality laws. Preserve Net Neutrality above all else.

4837. Anna Beckmeyer-Borowko, Saint Louis, MO, 63126
Please support strong net neutrality regulations by maintaining the designation of ISPs under title II. There should be enforceable legal guidelines for ISPs to maintain neutrality in providing their services. It would greatly hurt the growth and competition of US businesses if a redesignation of ISPs allowed them to selectively control internet service to customers based on preferred products and or viewpoints. Trust alone would not be a sufficient framework for allowing these firms to operate. Failure to follow net neutrality should result in legal action and enforceable penalties.Again, please, please do not undo the framework we now have in place. Control should lie in the hands of the people and not in the hands of the ISPs.

4838. Christopher Ryan, St. Louis, MO, 63109
LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE!!!!

4839. Michael Wray, Kansas City, MO, 64118
Regulating Internet Service Providers under Title II is a necessary component of maintaining first amendment protections in a digitally-connected United States. One could argue, in fact, that ISPs should be spun off to be solely data carriers, as is the case in certain European countries, with other services being handled by a separate company, since the financial incentive to discriminate against

competition when handling data is increasing in a world of (for example) declining cable subscribership. Please respect the needs of the citizens and maintain an FCC dedicated to serving the people rather than large corporations.Thank you.

4840. ben bradshaw, st. louis, MO, 63122
PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II !

4841. Andrew Oberle, St. Louis, MO, 63132
I support strong net neutrality through Title 2 protection. Don't let the big companies take advantage of us citizens for your own financial gain! With new FCC chairman being a former Verizon lawyer, I'm not surprised he's doing everything he can to stuff their pockets at our expense. PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2!!!!

4842. Jennifer Magyar, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Please do not roll back the regulations enacted by President Obama. We must keep net neutrality.

4843. Anthony Doyle, Lake Ozark, MO, 65049
Please do not turn back tile two, Keep telecom companies from gaining any more power over the internet. In an age where they have a stranglehold on the industry they shouldn't be able to throttle or attempt to control what you see online. Even if it is a "hypothetical" It has happened before and will continue to happen unless you stand your ground and keep Title Two.

4844. stephanie seccombe, O Fallon, MO, 63368
I support stronger regulation for net neutrality under title 2.

4845. Alan P. Blair, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I support net neutrality and strongly oppose any decision to dismantle the open internet protections that have been previously established and enacted by the FCC. Moreover, I feel strongly that consumers should receive much more consideration than ISP's when determining how to regulate the internet/the world wide web.

4846. Ashley Elson, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
The FCC needs to preserve net neutrality in the name of small business and fairness, and this can only be done under the protections of Title 2. Moving ISPs to Title 1 would be an enormous error and devastating for millions. Please don't do that.

4847. Dan Hyndman, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
Please do NOT roll back net neutrality. It is so important. Thank you.

4848. Rebecca Shaw, Columbia, MO, 65203
The open internet allows businesses, activists, scientists, and so many more people every day to do their work. It is such an important resource for moving this country forward. We should not have a provider regulating what we can/cannot see or do.

4849. Max Lustick, University City, MO, 63130
I support strong net neutrality and oversight over ISPs. Taking these away would ruin the freedom we all enjoy from the internet just for commercial gain of a few companies.

4850. Jason Looney, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
We need net neutrality, we cannot allow ISP's to monitor themselves.

4851. Amy Krieger, Springfield, MO, 65804
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight OF ISP'S

4852. Kristine Maxwell, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63137
keep Title II, don't get rid of it.

4853. Thomas Maue, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I am writing to express my support for strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. We need to keep the Internet equally open to all. We have today's vibrant Internet because of net neutrality! We must preserve this!

4854. John Grantz, Joplin, MO, 64804
Please leave Net Neutrality alone and leave it in the class 2. Then leave it alone.

4855. Sean, Kansas City, MO, 64106
I support STRONG net neutrality rules that protect the integrity and openness of the internet. We MUST ensure net neutrality to keep this invaluable resource from falling victim to petty privatization and trivialization. Do your job and ensure this future for the sake of all internet users.

4856. Leland Green, Battlefield, MO, 65619
I strongly urge All to preserve Net Neutrality backed by strong enforcement of Title II. Thank you.

4857. Grant, Kansas City, MO, 64155
I support Net Neutrality. My ISP is a Title 2 common carrier, and I want the 2015 Open Internet Law to stay in effect. Keep the internet OPEN. NET NEUTRALITY is best.

4858. Kyle Jones, Lees Summit, MO, 64064
ISPs need to remain under Title II. They cannot be trusted to oversee their own control over my internet access nor do they have any right to. The Internet is a human right and nobody should be able to restrict or modify access to it in any way.

4859. Payton Lowrey, Saint Louis, MO, 63122
I want the FCC to preserve net neutrality and title II. Open and unregulated internet will not be beneficial to the people of this nation that utilize the internet everyday. Net neutrality isn't a question it is a necessity that keeps companies from abusing a lack of regulation to negatively impact other businesses.

4860. Mike Davis, Ofallon, MO, 63368
Net neutrality must be enforced to ensure an equal playing field across the internet to ensure that innovation is not blocked by legacy interests.

4861. Aaron Whaley, Columbia, MO, 65201
Please keep net neutrality instated and allow internet to be free for the public and keep Internet Service Providers accountable for keeping internet fair and just. All that removing net neutrality would do is allow ISPs to supress what content that We the People can access and Internet needs to become a public utility. Trying to survive in modern society without internet is as impossible as trying to do it without electricity. Also don't listen to anyone who is commenting with this block of comment text "The unprecedented regulatory power the Obama Administration imposed on the internet is smothering innovation, damaging the American economy and obstructing job creation. I urge the Federal Communications Commission to end the bureaucratic regulatory overreach of the internet known as Title II and restore the bipartisan light-touch regulatory consensus that enabled the internet to flourish for more than 20 years. The plan currently under consideration at the FCC to repeal Obama's Title II power grab is a positive step forward and will help to promote a truly free and open internet for everyone."The people posting these comments are clearly bots on the web that were designed by someone who was paid a lot of money to make sure this bill goes through, and as someone who works in IT I am confident in that statement. The people with those comments are being added at regular intervals and all say the exact same thing. All this bill will do is limit technological advancement because ISPs have signed a contract with each other essentially as a "non-competitive agreement" essentially making a monopoly. They will not improve their speeds or infrastructure without a need for competition so it is up to the people and the government to push it along. America is not even in the Top 10 countries in terms of internet quality and as a country whose people and whose government claim is "the best in the world" this is unacceptable. If America wants to be a pioneer of industry and the major driving force of technological advancement in the world, we need to hold these companies accountable and ensure that we can deliver only the highest quality internet to our citizens.

4862. J. Oranski, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
I support strong internet neutrality rules and regulating ISP's under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

4863. Daniel R Schimpf, St. Louis, MO, 63123
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please don't allow ISP's destroy the internet as we know it. If net neutrality is removed, small websites will never have a chance to flourish and become a large success.

4864. Ryan Jaynes, Lebanon, MO, 65536
Please protect the fairness and equal opportunity of access that the current Title II classification of ISPs gives us. Reverting back to the previous lesser rules will only allow those with the most money to have the most influence, which is not freedom of speech or fair.Keeping the current rules in place is the most fair to the most people.

4865. Sam Nau, Lee's Summit, MO, 64082
Please leave telecommunication companies under Title 2 regulation and protect net neutrality. Companies should not be trusted to just enforce it on their own via an honor system or any other method that gives them any incentive to actually keep net neutrality intact. It will not hurt them and it will only keep the internet a more level playing field.

4866. Bryan Wilson, Florissant, MO, 63031
I support that ISPs need to be regulated under Title II.

4867. Kelly Piper, Kansas city, MO, 64109
I strongly support net-neutrality backed by Title ll oversight of ISPs. I don't think this is something the FCC should be wasting their time trying to change.

4868. Ben Davis, St Louis, MO, 63146
Title II Net Neutrality protections are vital to consumer's free speech and must be retained.

4869. Christopher Foster, Monett, MO, 65708
The United States needs to preserve it's Net Neutrality. Internet service providers (both wireless and cable) should be kept under the Title 2. We cannot have faith our economy's future without this protection. This should be painfully obvious to any reasonable person who possess the facts. Nearly all ISPs are invested in competing industries. They will obviously turn down internet speeds on rival services and other acts of chicanery. #TMobile=ISIS Last Week Tonight Forever!!

4870. Erika Waters, St. Louis, MO, 63104
I strongly oppose any efforts to reduce the classification of ISPs from title 2 to title 1. In order to ensure that small startups can compete on an equal basis with established companies, it is essential to maintain the title 2 designation.

4871. Jane Barry-Davis, Marthasville, MO, 63357
Net Neutrality is crucial to the free and equal sharing of information among citizens. I strongly urge the FCC to MAINTAIN Net Neutrality!

4872. Clayton Wasenius, st. louis, MO, 63128
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY and anyone who doesn't is only interested in the profit that would arise from slowing down competitors in their fields through bribing ISP's. I can't understand why anyone would go against a fair system as Net Neutrality unless

they experience a personal gain from doing so. It just isn't right.

4873. Kevin Law, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This is vital to ensuring that ISPs do not arbitrarily throttle download/upload speeds and restrict access to specific websites. Even if this is only a hypothetical scenario, it will become reality if ISPs are given the ability to do so if net neutrality regulations are repealed.

4874. Christopher Jewell, St. Louis, MO, 63139
I support the stronger Title II enforcement for Net Neutrality in the interest of a level playing field for internet-based companies. Giving too much leeway to ISP's to control access online can lead to an anti-competitive environment, either intentionally or unintentionally.

4875. shawn manning, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
I support net neutrality regulations as outlined by Title II and am very concerned by the idea of rolling back or repealing such regulations.

4876. Kyle Tracy, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I support strong net neutrality provisions under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I reject Chairman Pai's attempts to undermine these provisions.

4877. Peter Rocque, St. Louis, MO, 63124
Protect an open internet and net neutrality. Do NOT dismantle the net neutrality regulations. That will not benefit the American people. I want ISP's to continue to be regulated under the Title II structure, and to be considered as a utility under the law.

4878. Carrie Markel, Kansas City, MO, 64124
Net neutrality must stand. I rely on affordable, equal access internet service for not just entertainment, but my work. Eliminating net neutrality rules will not only hamper my ability to complete these tasks and enjoy my free time, but also hamper my freedom to search, find, and view content on the web. Net neutrality must stand.

4879. Cat McMillan, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Net Neutrality must not be repealed. It's important to our education and our quality of life. You must work harder to give all websites and online services equal existence on the internet and equal access from everywhere. We can't allow ISPs to censor the information we need to live our daily lives, to work, learn, come together, or be entertained. Please support the existing FCC rules and reject Chairman Pai's new plan. Thank you!

4880. Nathan Blount, Columbia, MO, 65203
I specifically support strong net neutrality that is backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Selling private information of Americans is one of the greatest breaches of trust the United States government can make just for the potential political gain it will give to Republicans. Anyone voting against net neutrality is a disgrace to all Americans.

4881. Jennifer Shea, North Kansas City, MO, 64116
You must take steps to preserve net neutrality and tittle 2

4882. Emily Ho, Saint Louis, MO, 63117
Chairman Ajit Pai and Commissioner Michael Oâ€™Rielly, please defend Title II net neutrality and protect the internet as a common carrier. Please stand with the majority of Americans and preserve real net neutrality.

4883. Davin Rubicz, Saint Louis, MO, 63117
Chairman Ajit Pai and Commissioner Michael O'Rielly, please defend Title II net neutrality and protect the internet as a common carrier. Please stand with the majority of Americans and preserve real net neutrality.

4884. Tom Hanf, Kansas City, MO, 64119
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Also, reinstate the security rules taken from the legislation by the republican congress at the last minute. Verizon's Ajit Pai seems to forget how profitable these companies are already without giving them more power via less regulation.

4885. Peggy Hess, Smithville, MO, 64089
Do the right thing for the public!

4886. S. Bradley, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
I strongly support and encourage the FCC to continue to ensure net neutrality via Title II.

4887. eric blackerby, St. Louis, MO, 63143
Companies do and will continue to do everything possible to limit competition. Therefore, net neutrality must be strengthened, not weakened. The only way to strengthen net neutrality is to ensure that no one can influence who can have unfettered access to the internet. Enabling companies to pay for faster or greater internet access is unfair to small companies and thus unfair for consumers.STOP THIS NONSENSE and PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY. Remember, you ALL WORK for US, the AMERICAN PEOPLE.

4888. Mary C Taylor, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. I believe it should. As a small business owner I can't compete against larger companies who pay to have their website streamed faster than mine. In that case, it's not a level playing field. As a consumer, I'm worried that not regulating throttling will result in higher and higher bills.

4889. Ted Wunderlich, Springfield, MO, 65804
I don't understand how this is difficult to process. Each politician hired to become a yes man for the dissolution of net-neutrality begins to throw unnecessarily complicated arguments for their point. Not too different than the FCC providing less ease of access to the current comments. Unbelievable, the internet does not need to be categorized such that bigger investors gets more resource. How is this difficult to understand, virtually every individual consumer agrees with this.

4890. Daniel Lane, st louis, MO, 63104
We want the maintenance of the internet under title 2. I support the policy of net neutrality and hope that the internet can remain open without the stagnation cause by control of the pipes which now deliver a essential service.

4891. Robert Compton, Washington, MO, 63090
I support strong Net Neutrality for ISPs under Title II and yes, John Oliver sent me here.

4892. Mahina Nightsage, St. Louis, MO, 63110
Please donâ€™t kill net neutrality! We deserve a free and open Internet with strong Title II rules. The free flow of information is essential to a well-functioning democracy and should not be controlled or limited by corporate interests who may restrict or censor access to information on the internet. Net neutrality impacts everyone - individuals, small business owners, corporations, etc. With net neutrality, everyone has an equal space on the internet. Without net neutrality, internet companies will decide which websites, content or applications succeed. Please keep access to the internet open, accessible, uncensored and accessible based on what is good for all citizens and our community. We should not roll back the important consumer protection that net neutrality regulations provide and allow corporate interests to dictate who has access to which information. Protect our democracy!

4893. BJ McGough, St. Louis, MO, 63108
Net neutrality impacts everyone - individuals, small business owners, corporations, etc. With net neutrality, everyone has an equal space on the internet. Without net neutrality, internet companies will decide which websites, content or applications succeed. Please keep access to the internet open, accessible, and uncensored based on what is good for all citizens and our community.

4894. Alex Walker, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
preserve net neutrality and Title 2 based regulations

4895. Igal Osherov, maryland heights, MO, 63043
I strongly support Net Neutrality!keep our internet open and protect our freedomsthank you

4896. Kyle Brigman, Aurora, MO, 65605
I make a living online. The last thing I need is for Net Neutrality to be taken away. I pay enough for fast internet as-is.

4897. Ashley Westerhold, Saint Ann, MO, 63074
I am writing to express my opposition to changes in the net neutrality rules. Removing the protections established under the current rules could allow telecommunications companies to alter access to information and shape the way we use the Internet. This will harm individuals and small businesses alike. The FCC should keep the current rules in place.

4898. Ky Watts, BALLWIN, MO, 63021
Do NOT change the regulations governing net neutrality. People deserve a free and open Internet with strong Title II rules. This will ensure that the flow of data is determined by the interests of Internet users and not by the corporate conglommerates who are driven by profits and financial interest.

4899. Sid Sowder, Kansas City, MO, 64110
As an internet content creator without ties to the major ISPs, I worry that the removal of net neutrality rules will allow those ISPs to speed up the delivery of content that has paid for that service. This stifles competition, innovation, and removes the level playing field that we all enjoy today. There would be no Amazon streaming video if Netflix could have paid all the ISPs to make sure their movies load instantly, and let Amazon's buffer continually. Consumers need this competition.

4900. Jett Hitt, Noel, MO, 64854
Net Neutrality is essential to our democracy. Do not change the existing rules. Bandwidth should be equal for all users and providers.

4901. Michael Pera, St. Louis, MO, 63110
Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 represents the most robust protections of the free and open internet. Removing the enforcement would only provide for greater consolidation and lock-in for already frightfully powerful ISPs like Verizon. It would shut out smaller companies in an environment that is already predisposed toward monopoly. It is simply bad policy, and it is not clear to me whether the Chairman of the FCC is simply willful ignorant of the obvious facts of this case, or being intentionally disingenuous and deceitful in his advocacy for this change. I can only hope that the Commission will do the right thing and continue to preserve a level playing field by keeping ISPs under Title II.

4902. Alexander Hatfalvi, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Anti-Net Neutrality has lost in both court and public opinion. The people of the United States of America want a free and open internet. Title ll guaranteed freedom on the internet. Getting rid of Title ll is both against freedom and against the public's wants and interests. Most American people want Title ll. Without Title ll Americans are not guaranteed their 1st Amendment right which is the FCC's duty to protect. The internet Service Providers do not have the right to determine what bits of information that are generated by their customers can be seen or reach their intended destination. These bits of information are unique and solely the product of human ingenuity and thus mush be protected as speech is protected. The FCC genuinely has no evidence that these changes are necessary other than vague data that mildly suggests that Title ll caused a drop in investments in infrastructure. This is a very egregious argument as before the Title ll classification there were instances where internet service providers would block consumers from using certain modes

of communication. AT&T for example blocked facetime, a video communication application, back in 2012 and would not unblock it unless its customers payed for a more expensive plan. Net Neutrality protects consumers by invoking the 1st amendment, that all speech needs to be protected and that no speech is above any other speech. In the end the FCC has no reason to make these changes and a court will see the same. The Internet Service Providers that want to gut Net Neutrality and Title ll will lose in court just as they have done in the past litigation's on Net Neutrality. These actions done by the FCC will further solidify Net Neutrality into law.

4903. Ken Kieffer, Kansas City, MO, 64155
I categorically oppose the rollback of net neutrality. In order to foster an environment that promotes fair access, I believe it is critical that net neutrality remain the law of the land.

4904. Cassandra Harter, Columbia, MO, 65203
The internet is not just a communication and entertainment tool any longer. A large amount of the population is required to use the internet for education purposes in both secondary and higher education. By removing our ability to access a free and open internet, it allows discriminatory practices where students do not have access to the same content based on what ISP they use, among other factors. In addition, people are using the internet to communicate with loved ones, doctors, and other important figures. It is also a primary resource for paying utilities bills; some city governments require online bill pay or online account communications. By stripping the right of the people to a an open internet, it disenfranchises thousands of citizens.

4905. Michael Pupillo, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
I just read an article that states, "The Federal Communications Commission voted 2-1 today to start the process of eliminating net neutrality rules and the classification of home and mobile Internet service providers as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act.". This is outrageous. There have to be hundreds of thousands of complaints about the FCC removing net neutrality protections under Title II and you are still going forward with this. Please hear the concerns of the American people. Please do NOT remove these protections. Removing these protections does nothing but help ISPs and hurts everyone else including independent journalists, small businesses, entrepreneurs and anyone else that wants access to websites and services they count on. All I hope is that you do what is best for the American public, and the world for that matter. Protect net neutrality.

4906. Anthony Harvey, Columbia, MO, 65201
Please quit trying to fix something that isn't broken. Net neutrality does not need to be changed or dropped. Sounds like your just want to charge people more money for the same services, or risk being boggled down by slow internet. This shouldn't even be a argument if you supported the consumers.

4907. Jesse Eberenz, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
I am writing to protest the stripping of net neutrality from regulation of the Internet. Like so many government ideas these days, the rules and regulation only benefit corporations and the wealthy. We, the people. support fairness for all American citizens regardless of their income or their connection to large corporations. Please listen to us and take the majority of Americans into consideration and do not eliminate new neutrality!!

4908. Corlyn Salisbury, Manchester, MO, 63021
I firmly believe that Broadband providers should not be allowed to block access to legal content, applications, services, or non-harmful devices. I also believe that they should not impair or degrade lawful internet traffic on the basis of content, application, services, or any classes. Finally, I also believe that they should be prohibited from favoring some internet traffic over other internet traffic in exchange for consideration of any kind! I appreciate all of these rules as I use the internet daily. I truly think the laws we currently have are doing a great job.

4909. C. William Todd, St. Louis, MO, 63132
The internet is a utility and should be available to all at the same price. Allowing high-speed access to the highest bidder will usher in an unprecedented two-tier system - those who can get information immediately and those who can't. Leave the internet regulations as they are.

4910. Douglas Hammerstroem, St. Louis, MO, 63139
This decision relies upon the assumption that a true free market exists in this industry. In a great many markets, there are local monopolies, or only two options, in which the providers are well aware of this fact and are not inclined to undercut one another. Decisions cannot be undone easily. The internet is becoming increasingly ubiquitous. Correlating time and money, the internet provides a clear advantage in daily life over those who can afford it to over those who do not have affordable access. This would allow providers to leverage their local monopolies and provide sub-par access, or access which isn't affordable to percentages of markets. These people would be forced to move to another market where there is less of a monopoly and greater competition, however, it is likely those most affected also cannot afford to move. We can't predict how much further the internet will expand into our lives, but the younger generation is capable of managing most of their lives strictly through their internet-connected mobile devices. The younger generation also consumes most of their news and media through their mobile devices, and not through print or televised media. Deregulating this industry can have serious, far-reaching, unforeseen consequences. In the worst case, it can result in a market in which those of lower socioeconomic status are at an even further disadvantage than they already are. I ask that you carefully consider this impact, and further consider how truly this industry operates in a free market, should constraints be removed.

4911. Josh Rackers, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
Our network infrastructure is deeply intertwined and reliant on our public physical infrastructure (roads, public lands, tunnels, etc.) This means that it is not feasible to have a robust marketplace for internet providers. Given this reality, I strongly oppose the FCC's proposal to weaken net neutrality. Consumers will not have the buying power to dictate the product internet service providers provide because new ISPs face such a large (government imposed) barrier to entry in the market. Without a functioning market, we should continue to regulate ISPs like utilities.

4912. Hertbert Niemeyer, St. Louis, MO, 63123

Keep the rules as they are. The carriers are doing just fine. The world has benefited from the status quo. Reject the oligarchs.

4913. Sheri Gabbert, Mount Vernon, MO, 65712
It is vitally important to the American people and to the best interests of the American people that net neutrality be preserved. We ought to be able to access the full spectrum of the internet without interference from carriers who might block or degrade access to sites they have no financial interest in. Stripping citizens of net neutrality benefits internet providers and other related corporate interests. It is not the responsibility of the US government to protect the interests of corporations over the interests of the citizenry. Please, please, do not reverse the Open Internet Order of 2015. The American people need and deserve the consumer protections it affords us.

4914. Hannah Merritt, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Keep net neutrality as it is currently, it works well!

4915. Donna Marin, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality and retaining the current regulations now in place. These regulation insure the free flow of information and serve to prevent ISPs from controlling what Americans see and hear via the internet. They are not burdensome to industry as claimed.

4916. 1, Belle, MO, 65013
I am very much against repealing the Obama net-neutrality rules. The speed and quality of internet should not be throttled based on some businesses need to make more money or the governments need to advocate its responsibility for public good. Internet bandwidth and quality is hard enough to get and expensive enough for decent bandwidth in the "fly-over" states without the FCC making it more so.

4917. Rhonda Bos, Pierce City, MO, 65723
Please keep our internet freedoms.

4918. Sam Robison, Kansas City, MO, 64106
I would say something smarmy, but you roaches would probably take that as a technicality.Don't get rid of net neutrality.

4919. Peter Doran, Kansas City, MO, 64112
Why would you think this is acceptable? It is ridiculous that the FCC thinks that what the people want is to get rid of net neutrality. Net neutrality means that all websites can be accessed at the same speed. Once net neutrality is gone, the websites with the fastest access will be the ones who can pay the internet service providers more money and the ones that cannot will be virtually inaccessible. All you are doing is GIVING MORE MONEY TO THE ALREADY RICH AND DENYING ACCESS TO THE WEBSITES THE PEOPLE WANT TO GO TO. Ajit Pi, resign you spineless sellout.

4920. Julie Krovicka, St. Louis, MO, 63110
This has nothing to do with restoring any "freedom." That is the most misused word in government currently. This would bring about limited access to information for consumers, constrained by profiteering. When the internet is run for profit our ability to access the information we need is converted into cable-like packaging. It's no mystery that cable companies profits are decreasing at the very same time. This is not for the protection, enhancement, freedom or betterment of the American public and would constitute an unfair burden on those less financially able to access what they need for safety, education and enrichment. No, no, and no.

4921. Laura Falk, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I am firmly against dismantling or rolling back the net neutrality laws. While the current system may not be perfect, it is far from the "pay to play" system that we'd get without net neutrality. Only those willing or able to pay would be able to adequately access the vast resources the internet has to offer. Those who are already poor or disadvantaged would, once again, be the big losers.

4922. emily coble, Kansas city, MO, 64113
We CANNOT get rid of net neutrality. It will be a scary world if the corporations can control what information we receive. Right now, most people get information from the internet. We must allow all information to be accessed equally and not allow any tampering with it.

4923. Cameron Branson, Springfield, MO, 65806
I believe that throttling of speeds or flow of content will not be done voluntarily. I believe that larger corporations will not abide if there is no regulation. I believe the internet and how it is provided should be universal and a clear across the board for everyone

4924. Joseph Kessinger, Columbia, MO, 65203
FCC Commission and Chairman Pai, I am highly upset to hear that internet neutrality is threatened. This is primarily a consumer issue, in my opinion. I pay my cable bill. It's my internet. My ISP has no right to throttle or interfere with my access according to its corporate interests. I feel strongly that the rules adopted on February 26, 2015, placing broadband under Title II of the Communications Act and section 706 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and requiring net neutrality, are appropriate. Stick with them. If carriers, as they all protest, are genuinely in favor of net neutrality, they should not object. SERVE THE PEOPLE, NOT CORPORATE POLITICAL PATRONS.

4925. Trischa Thorne, Columbia, MO, 65202
I urge you to keep the current rules on net neutrality in tact, and to not make any changes to the existing rules.

4926. Jake Koenig, Kansas City, MO, 64116
"Restoring internet freedom?!" You people have absolutely NO SHAME, do you? I'm talking directly to you Ajit, we see through your disgusting smug smile. You know as well as we do that you're a slimy, vile liar. You're not "restoring internet freedom" by allowing you PUPPETMASTER DONORS to completely control the internet for profit. No one with a fucking BRAIN thinks that, Ajit. Stop being a

coward, grow a fucking spine, and stand up for what's RIGHT like a man.

4927. Lisa Greenberg, St. Louis, MO, 63130
Please keep NET neutrality! If it ain't broke don't fix it. Thank you!

4928. Justin, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Net Neutrality is an import concept and needs to be protected. Internet access should be treated as a utility and protected from excess charges for certain content. Leave net neutrality alone or expand the protections. The FCC should be protecting the people and not the companies it should be monitoring.

4929. megan radford, Kansas City, MO, 64106
I strongly encourage you to reconsider the regulations on net neutrality out in place by the Obama Administration. The internet has become a basic need that is used by everyone on a daily basis. Allowing providers to enter into a pay for play type market goes against this. Please reconsider your actions and maintain a neutral internet.

4930. John Musselman, elsberry mo, MO, 63343
this is flawed logic from a flawed administration this will just give trump and his buddies more power and control over the american people .... isnt one of mr trumps conflicts of interest that he never got rid of like was ordered to a ... internet service provider imagine that what we need is net neutrality not net neutering like what will happen the net is a global network not just americans are on it but your making the choices for other countries and this is not right for you to do

4931. Jane Ellen Ashley, Columbia, MO, 65203
Today's ruling is totally unacceptable for the users of the internet - the citizens that you are supposed to represent, not the corporations!

4932. Steve Alleman, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I am in favor of net neutrality, and I am opposed to any changes in FCC policy that would undermine net neutrality.

4933. Jordan Malugen, Farmington, MO, 63640
I, in the strongest possible terms, support keeping ISPs under Title II and protecting net neutrality. The FCC should not change the current rules in any way whatsoever.

4934. Craig Datz, Columbia, MO, 65203
I am in favor of continuing neutrality and not allowing companies to interfere with websites.

4935. alex bukatko, saint louis, MO, 63103
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. I also strongly support getting rid of this Verizon puppet from the FFC chairman position. We cannot have a puppet as an FFC chairman, especially if the company with their hand up his ass are the one FFC is supposed to be regulating.

4936. Scott McMasters, South Greenfield, MO, 65752
I am for Net Neutrality provisions that are regulated by Title 2 oversight. I am stating this, not as a bot but as a person who is concerned with the future of an internet worth having.

4937. MARQUIS KINDER, iberia, MO, 65486
The so-called Internet Freedom Act is exactly the opposite of that, it is utterly ridiculous to appoint a director that was an attorney for a telecommunications corporation to oversee anything to do with telecommunications, proceeding 17-108 should under no circumstances be allowed to happen if anyone in DC has even the smallest shred of integrity or interest in what's best for the internet or the millions of constitutes you losers are supposed to be looking out for, please throw the consumers a bone and kill this wretched legislation, the internet "should" be considered a service that needs relatively strong "federal" over site to prevent corporate greed from ruining it for all but handful of corporate interests monetarily controlling content, name me one internet ISP that isn't very profitable currently? By the way the U.S. taxpayer paid for the infrastructure these corporate leeches are getting rich off of. So if this repugnant legislation gets passed everyone will know why. Best Regards, Marquis.

4938. Marilyn Todd, Grain Valley, MO, 64029
I want Net Neutrality not the IFA! Please consider this when making decisions regarding the Internet and the rights of tax payers versus the rights of corporations. Thank you.

4939. Sherry, Weston, MO, 64098
Net neutrality is vital to supporting a democratic society. Eliminating current net neutrality policies only benefits big businesses, which are already netting huge profits!

4940. Adam Sneed, Centralia, MO, 65240
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. There's been past evidence of ISPs employing non-neutral tactics, so this isn't just some made up potential problem with a heavy-handed preventative solution. It's happened before and it will happen again. If anything, not having the Net Neutrality regulations we have in place now will severely hamper competition, fueling monopolies (of which their are plenty in the ISP world) and stagnating the development of future network improvements. Take a look at what's happened between Intel and AMD in the past if you want something of an example. Thank you.

4941. Christopher Foster, Monett, MO, 65708
I wholeheartedly believe the United States needs to preserve Net Neutrality. Internet Service Providers (both wireless and cable) should be kept under Title 2 regulations in order to prevent acts of chicanery. I do not want lagged speeds for websites that may be competitors of my ISP.

4942. Chase Rylee Baker, Brookfield, MO, 64628
Hey FCC, citizen of the US of A here, and I see right through your "Restoring of Internet Freedom" bs. You just want to make it easier for the big companies to make money at the expense of our online experience. Well, I vote. And I happen to know a lot of people who vote also like net neutrality. Hm. Maybe it wouldn't be such a great idea to reverse a law that everyone likes. If you value your job, I'd say you should probably not remove the current regulations. In fact, I hear Trump likes to keep up his public image and if he hears that the man in charge of all of this has become massively unpopular, he might just remove you.

4943. Leslie Brewen, Fenton, MO, 63026
THIS ADMINISTRATION IS ACTING FAST TO SILENCE PEOPLE

4944. Daniel Leeman, St. Louis, MO, 63043
In my area AT&T just bought Direct TV. Our rates for cable went up $40 as they did not continue our discount. In reaction we cancelled and got SlingTV, which is internet based. I am afraid without net neutrality AT&T would slow SlingTV way down as they are now direct competitors. Thank you,Daniel Leeman

4945. 1, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Leave the internet as it is don't change it I am calling my Washington Reps. so they know where I stand with all the people I know.

4946. Sara Schaecher, St. Louis, MO, 63144
I would ask that you do not undue net neutrality because I do not trust large internet providers to put the general public's interest over their stockholders. What if my internet provider's board doesn't like Fox News? Will they then restrict my ability to access their site? What happens when my internet provider gets in a negotiating war with Netflix? Will they then restrict my ability to watch shows or movies on their site in order to gain negotiating power with Netflix? Please continue to require that all internet traffic be treated the same to avoid internet providers from limiting what I can read and watch.

4947. William Laws, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2 oversight. That's all there is to it.

4948. Lucas kane Woodling, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
Do not modify current net neutrality rules. Period.

4949. Clayton Hotze, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
I do not support rolling back Title II regulating internet in the United States. It is a terrible idea and would be awful for small businesses and free speech.

4950. William Zay, Springfield, MO, 65807
The internet is the truest expression of the First Amendment, and should not be suppressed. Sites should not be given priority based on how much they pay. The right to express yourself and have access to knowledge is more important than increasing profits.

4951. Brenden Gardner, Springfield, MO, 65810
Net Neutrality is essential to the freedom of Americans. It promotes start up businesses, competition amongst providers, allows Americans to express themselves, and consume entertainment and products as they see fit. Removal of such neutrality and regulation will cease all of these freedoms of the American people. Net Neutrality is absolutely in the best interest of the people.

4952. Patrick Bargeon, Creve Coeur, MO, 63141
Apparently, public opinion was ignored in the last round of commenting, so here I am again to remind the FCC that removal of Title II classifications of ISPs is an anti-consumer and anti-american practice. As an IT professional and a web developer, I assumed this to be common knowledge, but apparently leadership at the FCC is unaware of what net neutrality actually is and what Title II classifications do.Without those protections, companies will be allowed to filter, throttle, set speed regulations, and manipulate web traffic freely. There is no "good" outcome of this. There is no corporation that is truly going to "make things better" with this level of freedom. The simple fact is, businesses will use every legal means available to make the highest profit margin possible, and that includes pay-for-play internet where sites and businesses will need to pay to maintain internet speeds.FCC, and Chairman Pai, if you have any integrity at all, listen to the will of the American people who are telling you, overwhelmingly, that we prefer the Title II protections remain in place. It keeps a fair, balanced internet landscape, and intelligent and developed countries around the globe are identifying the need for this open, free internet and putting in legal protections to maintain that balanced landscape. You are doing the opposite. Reverse course now, before you set back the United States by another decade in technological development and innovation. Keep the internet a safe, level playing field for all businesses, old and new, large and small.

4953. Michael Snavely, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
I am in support of strong net neutrality regulations and Title II oversight of internet service providers.

4954. Chance Graff, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
The Internet was founded on the principle of equal access. As a web developer, without the Title II net-neutrality regulations implemented under former chairman Wheeler, the future of my career would be at stake and in all likelihood I would have to leave the United States in the near future to pursue opportunities abroad.Do NOT reverse the FCC's Title II Net Neutrality regulations. Without these regulations, half of hour country's GDP would go up in smoke overnight.

4955. Chad Welch, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
As an IT professional and a citizen of the US, I support the ISPs being classified as Title II, and that the current net neutrality protections that are in place must remain in place.

4956. Andy Ruzicka, st louis, MO, 63141

I am in favor of keeping the regulations under the Net Neutrality Act. I believe the removal of these regulations would most likely have a negative affect on the ability of smaller businesses to compete on the web

4957. Brianna Ehrler, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Hello Ajit Pai, we support strong Net Neutrality and keeping ISPs held to strong Title II oversight!

4958. Rebekah Hawkins, Wentzville, MO, 63385
Reserve the right to Net Neutrality and Title 2!

4959. Travis Pringle, Columbia, MO, 65203
The name of this bill is the most Republican-sounding nonsense imaginable. You lost; get over it snowflake. The people have said, in a resounding majority, that we want internet regulation and net neutrality in place. The courts even told the FCC how to properly regulate ISPs. Listen to the people; leave the 2015 regulations in place. It's not ISPs that provide innovations to the internet, but the millions of Americans who choose to follow their hopes and dreams on this little platform that makes us all equal, no matter the extent of our bank account. Trash this absurd "Restoring Internet Freedom" bs and keep the regulations we demanded in place.

4960. Jordan Stoner, Independence, MO, 64055
The FCC should take steps to ensure the internet remains free from the influence of telecommunications companies and other entities. Giving these companies the ability to censor or monetize certain websites or protocols is a violation of the freedom of speech. One should not be prevented from accessing a service if they don't have the money to pay a premium price for such access. In this day, access to a free internet has become a vital component of our daily lives. Please keep it free. Please keep it open.

4961. Michele Lodin, Saint Louis, MO, 63141
As a citizen concerned with the benefits that internet access can afford the poor, underemployed, homeless, rural, and other citizens of the United States, I strongly support retaining the protections and benefits of title two. Please retain those protections for all citizens, and not just for the wealthiest private entities, corporations, and individuals who can afford to pay to influence prioritization, and limit access and content. Please retain the status quo of title two, Net Neutrality is critical to protect our democracy.

4962. Cory Campbell, Springfield, MO, 65809
I greatly support NET NUTRALITY backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please don't FCC this up!

4963. Erik Harker, Springfield, MO, 65804
I want the internet service providers to be kept under Title II.

4964. Kathleen Fransen, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title Two status. We must keep the internet equal and accessible to all.

4965. Tony Livingston, Kansas City, MO, 64108
Please do not remove the Title II designation from the internet. Protect net neutrality!

4966. Jacob Duckworth, Lees Summit, MO, 64082
yee yee freedom

4967. Patrick Conlin, St. Louis, MO, 63131
I support retaining * AND ENFORCING * strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. To say that this is hurting investment and development of the broadband infrastructure is a falsehood and, frankly, a craven sop to broadband lobbyists

4968. Jeremy Greenwood, Raymore, MO, 64083
There should be no capability of any company limiting, adjusting, routing for priority, or assigning bandwidth or latency based on content, site, geography, protocol, etc for data and internet access. Please ensure protections that all data is treated equal.

4969. Evan Nothmann, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
Please work to promote Net Neutrality!! All traffic on the internet should be treated equally. I'm concerned that doing the opposite will shut down or make inaccessible many websites. I also believe that this will make the internet all the more expensive and reduce the amount of people who will be able to freely access it. Thank you for taking into serious consideration the wishes of the public.

4970. Brianne Serdar, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
I very much support Net Neutrality being upheld through the classification of ISP's under Title II to regulate paid prioritization. I do not believe the ISP's will be responsible for not doing this without oversight. We have seen this play out in the financial sector, when companies are not held to high standards to deter the exploitation of consumers they will work to enhance their own profits.

4971. Austin Johnson, Ballwin, MO, 63011
The repeal of Net Neutrality is nothing more than a money and power grab by ISPs. Consumers need its protections to ensure the net remains open and free.

4972. Kelly Wortham, Springfield, MO, 65802
I am writing in support of Net Neutrality and a STRONG TITLE 2! If you truly believe in a free and CAPITALIST society - I do not understand how you could not also support a free internet where the will (and dollars) of the people will decide what survives and thrives. Removing the assurances of a free and neutral internet is saying you support corporations and frankly - regulation - over the choices of the people. I recognize that it is not government regulation - but it is regulation all the same. Regulation of the ISPs who will decide what makes it and what cannot. You take us back to the age of Monopolies owning everything and having the only say over what we - as citizens - have available to us. And if you don't think the people will rise up and vote your asses out after that happens - you're

insane.

4973. Brett Sharp, Saint Joseph, MO, 64507
I want STRONG Net Neutrality supported by Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934

4974. Emily Weese, Savannah, MO, 64485
I support net neutrality. The Internet is for the people, not the corporations.

4975. Adam Vatterott, St. Louis, MO, 63139
I support net neutrality. Full access to information and services is a basic human right.

4976. Alex Bernstein, St. Louis, MO, 63141
Not only does revocation of Title II stifle business and competition across many industries including telecommunications, but the attempted rule change is clearly due to the politicization and regulatory capture of the agency. It is a capricious attempt to sell out many Americans' livelihoods to companies that are known to engage in anticompetitive behavior.

4977. Devra Long, Bonne Terre, MO, 63628
Strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

4978. Reinier Russell, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
My concern is that the current protections afforded to customers/subscribers/content providers/end users/etc will be weakened if we change them or the way theyâ€™re enforced. I would consider a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections for customers/subscribers/content providers/end users/etc, but not if any current protections are lost. This filing is nothing more than a wolf in sheep's clothing with the intent of hoodwinking the uninformed and ill educated for the sole purpose of profit.

4979. Allen Yoest, Springfield, MO, 65803
I support strong title 2 regulations and net neutrality. Anything less harms the future of the internet

4980. Bart Childers, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Title II is the *correct* way to regulate the *utility* service of internet access. Access to the internet absolutely is a utility by any common-sense / common-man interpretation of the term. Stop carrying water for Comcast etc and do the right thing for *the people* - maintain and enforce true Net-Neutrality via law, regulation and all the tools the FCC can bring to bear.

4981. Jennifer Brinkmeier, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
Protecting net neutrality protects citizens from corporate interests and creeping modes of censorship. Ensuring a democratic, level playing field by preventing internet service providers from favoring content or websites seems like common sense if you want to protect free speech and access to it for our citizens. I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

4982. Brandon McCulloh, kansas city, MO, 64119
Title 2 classification and enforcement needs to be maintained. FCC chairman is an obvious crony for large providers. As broken as the internet is, access should remain equal.

4983. rusty, Columbia, MO, 65201
I urge you to keep Title II net neutrality in place, and safeguard Internet users like me. Please reject chairman pai's attempt to reverse current fcc control and restrictions which prevent internet providers from charging, favoring or disfavoring data traffic based on content or source. Current structure best protects future innovation and prevents needless levels of anti-competative profit taking

4984. Nan Zhao, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
I find Pai's stance on net neutrality disingenuous (having been a lawyer for Verizon, which originally sued the FCC, requiring Title II in order to maintain net neutrality, and then saying that Title II is unnecessary) and disturbing.I am in support of net neutrality, as does anyone who is not directly in control of an ISP and can control which sites essentially get more traffic. A removal of net neutrality will hinder freedom of speech and begin a transition to a nation governed by propoganda, in the extreme. But it will definitely be annoying to basically anyone who wants to surf the web.

4985. Karch McCoy, St. Louis, MO, 63123
I support strong net neutrality enforced by classifying internet service providers as a title 2 carriers.

4986. Tiffany Rosenzweig, St. Louis, MO, 63111
A free and open internet is integral to modern society. Like the technological advances of running water, electricity, and telephones before, the internet must be governed as a utility. It is a great equalizer, offering everyone the same information and same opportunity to better themselves. Keep it under Title II.

4987. Ayo, Washington, MO, 63090
Fuck you. We need net neutrality. We need the open internet. Preserve net neutrality and Title 2.

4988. internet, springfield, MO, 65810
Please Classify the internet under title II regulation. This is the only way to ensure the safety and openness of the internet.

4989. Chad Taylor, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
if anything, y'all need to be making the internet more easily accessible for citizens. do your job, work for the people, not the corporation.

4990. Corey Priester, Springfield, MO, 65803
Strong Title 2 restrictions are a necessity. We must maintain net neutrality, the internet is a commodity that all need access to equally in

our modern society, and companies taking advantage of weak net neutrality laws would hinder all of us.

4991. brian, highlandville, MO, 65669
I support NET NEUTRALITY. What I don't support is your OBSCENE lack of morals, fortitude, decency, and that giant F*cking MUG. I sincerely hope that you Mr. FCC Chairman go down in flames with your President, and I hope VZW goes with you, because only a spineless LAWYER for a blood sucking cellular company would want the Title II status of BASIC FREEDOMS removed. I also hope that after you are fired, your replacement stops VZW and others from sucking up more of the OTA frequencies as well as putting even more protections in place for the average Joe using the 100% FREE UNBIASED INTERNET!

4992. Timothy Schultz, Wentzville, MO, 63385
The internet should be treated as the utility that it is, and not as a source of free money for political donors. Keep the internet neutral!

4993. Melanie D Nash, LINCOLN, MO, 65338
Please keep Title II and enforce net neutrality so we can continue to have fair access to the internet. Don't let my isp tell me what sites i can and can't go to. Thank yoh.

4994. Emily Copley, Kansas City, MO, 64109
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Preserve Net Neutrality

4995. Patricia Nienkamp, Eureka, MO, 63025
I support keeping net neutrality exactly as it was at the end of the Obama administration. Giving large providers priority is the absolute wrong thing to do.

4996. AJ JONES, St. Louis, MO, 63116
Rolling back the fcc to allow isp providers to block and control how my internet service and access is provided is like saying the government is now taking away our free will to access and use the internet. NOT ACCEPTABLE!

4997. Joshua Dent, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
Do not undermine real net neutrality. Internet providers are a telecommunications service, not an information service, and should remain classified as such. Internet Service Providers, often as regional monopolies, have no business curating, selecting, or altering what information is provided.

4998. Christopher Rowlett, Dardenne Prairie, MO, 63368
I support the classification of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act. The argument that ISPs have been hurt by this classification has been proven false time and again, and it has also been admitted as untrue by some of the largest ISPs in the country.Allowing ISPs the freedom to make decisions about the openness of the Internet is not in the best interest of the American People.What has been proven as fact is allowing things like "fast lanes" for certain service providers, like Netflix, and requiring those service providers to pay for those fast lanes, prevents competition. Less competition is bad for all consumers. Fast lanes allow ISPs to earn money from providers for something which theyâ€™ve already been paid. There should never be an option for ISPs to limit my ability to reach the Internet, and all that it has to offer. Nor should ISPs be able to limit the speeds at which I communicate with anyone or anything on the Internet, as that prevents new competition from entering the market if they canâ€™t afford the "tax" being imposed for a fast lane.Finally, removing Title II classification as common carriers for ISPs, simply put, opens the door for the US Internet experience to be censored. I have always been proud to live in a nation where I do not have to be concerned with the idea of censorship in my speech, public communication, or the Internet. Removing Title II classification for ISPs would be an enormous first step to a censored Internet for Americans, and that is wrong.

4999. Marco Emerson, Saint Ann, MO, 63074
I believe privileged traffic speeds reduces the democratic nature of the web and effectively limits access to all sites who are unwilling to pay those 'fast lane' prices.

5000. shane Jones, Warrensburg, MO, 45431
Preserve net neutrality and keep title 2 services you epic scrubs.

5001. Jeff Dinkin, Columbia, MO, 65202
I have been software developer for over 30 years, and seen many startups come and go. One of the most important aspects that has allowed the myriad of startups to have their chance at making it has been equal access to the internet, both from the company's perspective, as well as the customer's. I strongly feel that net neutrality is imperative for an open and vibrant internet to continue, one that allows both big companies and little startups to compete on a level playing field. For example, if only big established businesses can guarantee fast streaming because only they can afford an ISP's "fast data" surcharge, startups will never be able to get a foothold in the market, which will dampen and possibly even kill innovation in many technology sectors.

5002. Dawn Allen, Arnold, MO, 63010
I STRONGLY support net neutrality and believe the FCC should maintain the power to enforce it. Allowing large Internet providers like Comcast, ATT, etc. to throttle certain data and provide fast lanes for others will be a disaster for consumers. The overwhelming support for net neutrality by the vast majority of Americans is clear.

5003. Daniel Wyrock, St. Louis, MO, 63110
The internet is not just a toy but is a means through which the entire planet is connected. In order to protect this for everyone and to keep greed from taking over something that has become a necesseity, I support ISP's being controlled by Title II.

5004. Anne Milford,Doug Milford and Family, St. Louis, MO, 63126
We will vote against any congress person or Senator who does not shoot down this FCC cave to the ISP's.

5005. Randall C. Wilkison, Ballwin, MO, 63021
The internet is a public utility and similar to a public highway. This proposal is tantamount to allowing only the wealthy to speed. It has no regard to public good or public need. The internet may need better regulation but this isn't it.

5006. Karl Kador, Kansas City, MO, 64106
Net neutrality is an integral part of a free market, allowing companies to pay ISP to slow down competitors allows larger companies to create pseudo monopolies and has the potential to unduly harm smaller businesses. This idea is especially egregious in areas where only a single cable internet provider exist and consumers and no access to an alternative supplier.

5007. CZHAO, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Please keep ISPs under Title II with strict oversight to keep the internet neutral and preserve the always-important net neutrality.

5008. Tim Maylander, Columbia, MO, 65203
I support net neutrality and classifying ISPs under title II. The proposed rule change only hurts average Americans and guts any enforcement mechanism. It is foolish to trust ISP's with self-reporting violations. Wolves should not be guarding the sheep. It is imperative that the government does not allow ISP's to create internet "fast lanes", and that's exactly what the proposed change does.Please keep net neutrality and keep ISP's classified as title II.

5009. Evan Waters, Kansas City, MO, 64106
I Support Strong Net Neutrality Backed By Title II Oversight of ISPs. Small internet businesses need to be able to compete and that requires a level playing field.

5010. Jonathan Imler, Kansas City, MO, 64128
Keep ISP regulation under Title II, Keep Net Neutrality. Use that as a framework to add additional rules for ISPs.

5011. Wolf, Kansas City, MO, 64118
I believe that Title II is what the telecommunications companies should have been classified under for decades now. They have failed to expand their offerings at remotely the same speed of other countries, and further have blocked local efforts to build competing internet infrastructure, maintaining a de-facto monopoly.This has been done while also attempting to force traffic to be payed for twice: Once by the end-user and again by the person the end-user is contacting to make a request. NetFlix is the most well documented example of this, due to NetFlix being very open with the situation repeatedly over their history, especially combined with the majority of ISP's in the USA (by subscriber count) also being media companies which have a conflict of interest towards guarding their income previously from cable TV which is becoming less and less relevant.The majority of concerned Americans cheered with good reason when ISP's were formally categorized under Title II, and they should remain there. They have, despite their claims, failed to upgrade their infrastructure but have instead guarded their monopolies and profits at the expense of user choice and capabilities, and stripping Title II protections and regulations from them would give them carte blanche to double down on these efforts in case the removal of Title II turns out to be temporary, as they know anything they push through will be tied up for years or decades in the courts.

5012. Cynthia A Rose, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
As a concerned citizen I feel that we must continue to treat the internet as a utility to ensure that this vital tool to commerce, education and culture cannot be unjustly manipulated by private entities intent upon providing preferential treatment to one form of content over another. Please do not adjust the current status quo at the behest of lobbies and big business.

5013. Mike Vogt, Holden, MO, 64040
I support the classification of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act. Allowing ISPs the freedom to make decisions about the openness of the Internet is not in the best interest of the American People.

5014. Geoff Ferguson, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
Internet providers take every opportunity to create revenue for shareholders instead of improving service to its customers. This proposal would only provide ISPs further power to limit choice and stifle a consumer's right to change providers. The proposal is disingenuous at best and at worst is a willful effort to aid big business. Regulation should never be onerous. However, big business has proven time and again that their interests are their own and not service to customers.

5015. Stephen Perkins, Springfield, MO, 65807
I support strong net neutrality, backed by title II oversight by ISP.

5016. Benjamin McLean, Kansas CIty, MO, 64118
I think Net Neutrality is essential so that the free market -- and not backroom deals between existing players -- gets to decide what succeeds or fails on the networks of the future. The kind of competition that is essential for the Internet is competition between web sites. If ISPs get to choose winners and losers then this gives them an enormous amount of anti-competitive power over the market which they shouldn't have.

5017. Ann Sammons, St. Louis, MO, 63114
I support net neutrality. Please keep it in place.

5018. Paul Epps, Springfield, MO, 65802
I am in favor of strong net neutrality and I want title 2 to continue to be used to regulate companies and net neutrality. I don't trust companies to regulate themselves (when does that ever work) and I think citizens of this country deserve to have equal access to Internet information.

5019. Katherine Crean, Lee's Summit, MO, 64081
I strongly disagree with the FCC chair's stance that broadband and wireless internet provider companies be treated under a different

classification. Unobstructed access to the Internet should be protected, as we cannot trust companies to serve the public good. That is not their purpose, but it is indeed the purpose of our government--to protect its citizens from unfair practices like slowing internet speeds. I ask that Chairman Pai reconsider his stance and remember that he is a public servant to the people of the United States, not to Verizon or companies like it.

5020. Juan Johnson, Bridgeton, MO, 63044
Public opinion has always been either apathetic or in support of net neutrality and any move to dismantle it is not in the public interest. It's in the interest of corporations who want control over how consumers experience what many people have come to see as a basic human right in our organized society. While the intentions may seem innocent enough, very few people have any faith that corporations will use a non-neutral net in a way that will actually benefit their customers and instead will use it to manipulate us into spending more money to get the same basic service as they have consistently done in the past. There are some companies that can be trusted to regulate themselves in this way, but the ISP, cable, and satellite providers of the world are not those companies.

5021. Mark Nevelow, St. Louis, MO, 63118
It is vitally important that internet service providers continue to be regulated under Title II. Net Neutrality, if regulated under Title I, provides completely inadequate consumer protections. Please do not roll back critical Title II protections.

5022. Elliott Usher, columbia, MO, 65203
The FCC needs to have rules that keep the internet from balkanizing into fast lanes, slow lanes, and outright blocking. The internet became a powerful tool because it was egalitarian - and those who sought to profit from the internet had not amassed enough control over it to make their control profitable.Today, the internet is controlled by an oligopoly of vertically integrated businesses composed of internet service providers and content manufacturers. That oligopoly owns cable TV distribution systems, which are now trying to protect their old business model - pay for bundled television. Rather than changing their old cable business model in order to compete with the internet, the cable companies bought the internet, and now seek to mold the internet into their profitable business model they had created for cable television.If net neutrality rules are rescinded, cable companies will use their market making power to squeeze more money out of businesses that compete with their mature and profitable cable television business, stifling innovation in that area.In addition to stifling businesses that have already disrupted the old cable business model, internet service providers could use their role as gatekeepers to simply block their competition. This would stifle the profitability of other disruptive businesses, then buy the devalued business, and open the internet pipeline to increase the value of the business they just bought. It would open opportunities for corporate espionage and arbitrage.Because of the amount of market power ISP's now control, title II requires ISP's to maintain the conditions that allowed the internet to flourish in the first place - no preferential speeds for preferred sites. and access to every page on the internet. Keeping these standards will ensure that the internet will allow the best ideas to win.

5023. Leo Koesterer, Independence, MO, 64057
Internet Service Providers should never be able to control the quality of their delivery services based on the content of the legal data they are delivering.Legal data is data. It should be treated neutrally, regardless of its' relationships to corporate ownership.

5024. Cameron Ellis, Columbia, MO, 65203
I've been an IT professional for 6 years and an enthusiast well before that. You're delibrately misleading people with both the title and press for this bill. It's a farce, it's terrible for everyone, and you should feel bad about even proposing it. Only a Luddite or a corporate shill would even think this was a good bill. Do not pass this bill.

5025. Raymond Holland, Columbia, MO, 65201
Please do NOT pass the "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal. It in no way is beneficial to US consumers. It allows for mass violation of personal freedoms and allows for indirect violation of freedom of speech. Please keep Internet Service Providers classified as Title II.Thanks

5026. Net Neutrality, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Hello,I know you're considering undoing net neutrality this year, but I urge you to reconsider. Net Neutrality actually encourages competition. It actually ensures these companies provide the product they promise and that no one entity controls too much power regarding the internet or its access. Please allow Title 2 oversight for our countries ISPs. Please do not destroy the balance this creates. I worry that undoing this will lead the internet down a dangerous path with information is heavily controlled by corporations and it will become difficult if not impossible to undo this damage later.Just recently Comcast tried to shut down a website that helped users try to see if there names were used illegally to file petition on this site falsely. https://arstechnica.com/tech-policy/2017/05/comcast-vendor-sent-cease-and-desist-to-operator-of-anti-comcast-website/Its this kind of behavior that makes me think they could have something to do with the false entries and they will have even more power and ways to control what people say on their networks.In Short, I specifically support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

5027. Adam Miller, St. Charles, MO, 63303
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers. Please leave Title 2 intact.

5028. Ryan Heslinga, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
Please do not endanger net neutrality by ending Title II protections.

5029. Mike Augustine, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
I'm writing to say I am STRONGLY opposed to rolling back net neutrality requirements. The â€œRestoring Internet Freedom" proposal bears an intentionally fraudulent title and is little but a thinly disguised giveaway to a handful of corporations.Please do the right thing.

5030. George, St Joseph, MO, 64506
As a concerned citizen I STRONGLY support a free and open internet, strongly support Title II . We must continue to treat internet as a utility to ensure that this vital tool to both sides commerce and culture cannot be unjustly manipulated by private entities intent upon providing preferential treatment to one form of content over another. Do not adjust the current law at the behest of lobbies and big

business.

5031. Dennie Prins, Kansas City, MO, 64156
The way the world works now. Internet is a necessity same as water and electricity. It should remain Title II.ISP could now charge users (me and you) and service providers (netflix, youtube, google, amazon) money for allowing there service or upgrade there network. Which will result in a cost for the user or for the provider (which would be retrieved from the user). If ISP already got way to much power to sell user data, your just making them more powerful.

5032. John Dresser, Florissant, MO, 63031
Do not do away with net neutrality. Do not rescind the net neutrality rules created by 2015's Open Internet Order. You will turn lots of us into single issue voters by weakening the protections that are already in place or not enforcing them. That is asinine.

5033. Bryan Henson, St Louis, MO, 63116
I strongly support Title II regulation of Internet Service Providers. Removing Title II protections will harm the free and open internet that has allowed the industry to thrive. Giving ISPs the power to limit access to certain webpages would allow them to unfairly influence the free flow of information and tilt the playing field against startups/organizations that may compete with them.

5034. Michelle Horwitz, St. Louis, MO, 63112
We ive in St. Louis Mo and our main internet provider is Charter Communications Inc. who signed an advertisement last week saying they are "committed to an open internet that gives you the freedom to be in charge of your online experience.... We do not block, throttle or otherwise impair your online activity." WE DON'T BELIEVE THEM! Open internet rules protect consumers, constituents, and PEOPLE from unfair access to information. The best part of the internet is the democratisation of information. Removing open internet rules will let information disseminate from the highest bidder, not the collective, shared, and common sources of transparency and truth. A repeal would only destroy individual freedom of access to information.

5035. Jake Koenig, Kansas City, MO, 64116
Stop lying to the american people Ajit, you are the very definition of a disgusting, immoral psychopath. You know as well as we do you weren't "DDoS'd," you got fucking told what people want and you want to ignore it. This is a democracy Ajit, get the fuck out of the country and go to a dictatorship if you don't like it. The American people have spoken MULTIPLE TIMES, we want the internet to stay free. Using Orwellian language and pretending your sellout bill has ANYTHING to do with freedom just makes you a more heinous, vile human being. It doesn't fool anyone.

5036. will vandiver, Moscow Mills, MO, 63362
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Type II (2) classification.

5037. Amber Hawkins, Kansas City, MO, 64154
The purpose of net neutrality is to ensure that all citizens have equal accessibility to all parts of the internet. Changing the rules to allow for throttling causes harm to those who don't have the means to afford paying for the difference. Institutions, such as public libraries, may not have the extra funds in their budgets to maintain a useful and productive service to their patrons should these rules change. Please consider that when determining whether or not to change the rules. Thanks for reading my comment.

5038. Charles Jorgenson Jr, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Leave Net Neutrality alone! Do not allow corporations to control the internet. You are doing the american people a disservice if you roll back net neutrality. Side with the people, not the corporations.

5039. M James, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Please keep net neutrality. Net Neutrality is simply the principle that Internet providers must treat all web traffic equally.

5040. Ian Kuklenski, Kansas city, MO, 64109
I support title 2 regulation of ISP providers. As a Doctor my office depends on reliable internet at a reasonable cost. I am gouged on every product that is special to the medical field. I do not believe that internet connections to my patient files will not end up being gouged the same way?

5041. Robert Bergland, St. Joseph, MO, 64503
Reclassifying ISPs is a bad idea, one that benefits corporations. Keep the regulations that are currently in place!

5042. Amy Watrous, Columbia, MO, 65201
The people have spoken! We, the citizens of America, want internet traffic to be free from corporate interference. Having a "tiered system", is not only unfair, and a threat to free information and internet news, but it is the ultimate slippery slope for corruption and abuse of power. Net Neutrality is essential to our democracy!

5043. Jeff DeBoer, Kansas City, MO, 64133
The internet has been the biggest technological innovation of the last 30+ years. A free and open internet is vital to scientific advancement, the free exchange of ideas, culture, and further technological growth. Without net neutrality, major corporations can stifle individuals and small companies with world-changing ideas. Nobody should be silenced simply because they have less money than a corporation. Keep the internet free and neutral for everyone!

5044. Misty, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
please do not undo the reclassification, that would which would eliminate the commissionâ€™s legal authority to prevent internet service providers from blocking or throttling web content or creating â€œfast lanesâ€ that websites can buy into.

5045. August Kempa, Saint Louis, MO, 63117

I strongly support Title II regulation of Internet Service Providers. Removing Title II protections will harm the free and open internet that has allowed the industry to thrive and grow. Giving ISPs the power to limit access to certain web pages would allow them to unfairly influence the free flow of information and tilt the playing field against startups or organizations that may compete with them.

5046. Patricia Weitz, Saint Joseph, MO, 64505
I am 100% against repeal of the net neutrality rules. If we allow corporations to pay for a fast lane, we are setting up an easy way to manipulate large masses of people....a propaganda machine! People who don't know better and have no patience or time for slow downloads (most people these days) will then get their information completely from those with big money. This is a VERY BIG DEAL! It gives a great deal more power to the rich and powerful. It has the same effect as outright censorship and has no place in a democracy. The current administration is sabotaging our freedom on multiple levels. Our founding fathers came here to get away from the rule of Kings and Queens and to establish freedom for all. This is just one more nail in the coffin for the land of equality. We may as well just get a dictator now and get it over with. Please do not treat this decision lightly.

5047. Jason Dodge, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
Please stop misrepresenting us and the internet, keep net neutrality and Title II.

5048. Thomas, St Louis, MO, 63108
Don't let money take away the little person's voice. Keep the net open and free. Tom

5049. Jeremy Morton, Fulton, MO, 65251
Internet Service Providers are no different than telephone or electric utilities and therefore should be/remain regulated to ensure open access for all and appropriately priced services. In short the existing net neutrality rules should remain in effect as written.

5050. Luke Buckheit, St. Louis, MO, 63105
Net neutrality deserves to stay. No good piece of policy has ever been supported by large corporations filing fake comments on behalf of customers without their consent (hat tip to Comcast).

5051. Tim Swinchoski, Saint Joseph, MO, 64505
I request that the FCC maintain the current net neutrality regulations. Taking steps to modify,change, or repeal the current regulations will destroy opportunities for innovation and eliminate competition leaving us with inferior service and stagnant technology. Maintaining current regulations will better serve the nation and allow for new service competitors to develop.

5052. Matt Overby, St. Louis, MO, 63103
------I support the enforcement of net neutrality using Title II, as a the repeal of Title II allows for a reduction in competitiveness and diversity of the internet. Internet "Fast Lanes" deter new companies and allow ISPs to limit their user's access to services and sites, something that repeal of Title II enforcement allows.------

5053. Jay Lott, St. Louis, MO, 63119
removing title 2 will do destroy a free and open internet. ISPs are the highways to allow us access to the internet. highways do not purposely slow you down because you want to exit at a certain point. they have access to too much information already. ISPs are utilities. #savenetneutrality.the FCC's decisions should benefit the consumer, and not ignore them in favor of Telecommunications companies!

5054. Joshua McCurry, Springfield, MO, 65803
I am commenting in favor of the existing net neutrality laws. Ajit Pai is not working in the best interest in Americans citizens, and is only interested in removing existing legislation so internet service providers like Verizon can slow down competition owned sites on the internet, and overcharge it's consumers a premium rate to experience an acceptable level of performance.

5055. Lyna Colombo, St. Louis, MO, 63110
This change is unnecessary: the way the internet is working now benefits everyone except the people who intend to profit by controlling its use. This will be a massively unpopular and unhelpful change if it occurs, with no benefit to the population at large in our country. I am completely opposed to this change.

5056. Rachel Anne Kleinpeter, St. Louis, MO, 63116
Net Neutrality is essential. Self-regulation will not be enough for internet ISPs. They should not be allowed to scale back or block content they don't like or that is not benefiting them. Allowing companies to decide what is or isn't allowed on my computer is a step towards dictatorship, for both the right and the left. Keep ISPs under Title 2 in order to force them to be fair to all content--far left, left, center, right, and far right. This isn't burdensome, ISPs have proven that they can comply, as they are already complying. Additionally, they have shown, when not forced to do it, they will not comply and they will block content not favorable to them--see the blocking of GoogleWallet by AT&T, as well, as Verizon. Fairness to all, no matter what their political or commercial affiliation is, is the only way we should go here in America.

5057. Melissa Henson, St. Louis, MO, 63116
I strongly support Title II regulation of Internet Service Providers. Removing Title II protections will harm the free and open internet that has allowed the industry to thrive. Giving ISPs the power to limit access to certain webpages would allow them to unfairly influence the free flow of information and tilt the playing field against startups/organizations that may compete with them."

5058. Kale Flory, Country Club, MO, 64506
I do not want corporate communication behemoths milking consumers for every last dollar. I oppose having my ISP choke internet speeds to/from my favorite website if that website's competitor is giving my ISP kickbacks. I shouldn't be required to pay more of my hard earned income just to have access to the sites I use most.This isn't the freedom of access that we've come to depend upon. Instead, it is the worst kind of predatory capitalism.

5059. Wesley Moon, LEES SUMMIT, MO, 64063
Keep current net-neutrality rules

5060. Sheila Buswell, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
I am not thrilled with the idea that the FCC is "re-evaluating" net neutrality after this was looked at several years ago and very much resistance from the populace. It seems that the new person in charge has ties to Verizon Wireless and that influences his judgement. I do not want to wait for google to load because Microsoft owns the world. It is absurd that time, energy, and money is being spent to make it frustrating to use the INTERNET!!!

5061. David Prost, Perryville, MO, 63775
I support strong net neutrality protected by Title II regulations. The fact that the FCC commissioner doesn't care at all what the overwhelming majority of ACTUAL COMMENTERS have to say on this issue is HIGHLY DISTURBING. Perhaps he should listen more to the people of the United States, and less to the cable industry from whence he came.

5062. David L Farquhar, St Louis, MO, 63125
This is not restoring freedom. It is instituting corporate fascism, handing control of our collective First Amendment rights to an oligarchy of about a dozen companies who will then sell those rights back to the highest bidder.Content providers already pay for Internet access, as do consumers. This proposal permits double-billing and allows established businesses to outbid startups to suppress them.

5063. Regina Overman, Saint Joseph, MO, 64501
To the FCC: I do not want corporate communication behemoths milking consumers for every last dollar. I oppose having my ISP choke internet speeds to/from my favorite website if that website's competitor is giving my ISP kickbacks. I shouldn't be required to pay more of my hard earned income just to have access to the sites I use most.This isn't the freedom of access that we've come to depend upon. Instead, it is the worst kind of predatory capitalism.

5064. Patrick Mahon, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP. I support a free and open internet.

5065. Leo Christian Brown, Lake St. Louis, MO, 63367
I support strict Title II based net neutrality protections on ISP's to keep a free and open internet. Ajit Pai is simply wrong by saying that Title II protections are hurting the profits of these companies. If the government spent as much time as it has trying to destroy net neutrality and used that time to bust the insane monopolies these companies have, the marketplace would be much better off.

5066. Steven Ellzey, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I support strong net neutrality rules; please preserve these regulations.

5067. Thomas Ruzicka, Fenton, MO, 63026
I want it kept in writing that ISPs will treat all internet traffic equally! Don't let the big providers cry "poor" when they bring in more revenue than I can dream of. Investments are NOT down, despite what they claim. KEEP the NET NEUTRAL!

5068. Rodney Shell, Savannah, MO, 64485
I don't want the web sites that I visit to be buffered in any way. I want the web to free of and buffering by any and all ISPs. If they need a faster lane they can put in another cable or what ever for faster speeds and let the market place work as to if it is cost effective for another faster lane. If costumers want 5 gigs or more they can pay for it but don't allow ISPs to charge small web sites to pay for not being buffered which in turn would cause these web sites to go away or charge visitors their content.

5069. Robert Tinney, Springfield, MO, 65807
I support strong Net Neutrality with strong Title 2 oversight of the Internet Service Providers. Please do not diminish these protections in any way.

5070. Adam Benlemlih, St. Louis, MO, 63122
I support Net Neutrality and Title II, and believe that they should be reinstalled to the way they were before corporations got their grubby hands on the legislation that protects the Internet and the people. This is a democracy, what the people want should be law not what the corporations want so they can make more exorbitant amounts of money.

5071. Melinda Estey, Centralia, MO, 65240
I do not want corporate communication companies milking consumers for every last hard earned dollar we have. I oppose having my ISP choke internet speeds to & from my favorite websites if there's a competitor giving that ISP kickbacks. I should not have to pay more just to access the sites I use the most. The internet is truly necessary for a free and open system--and protects our rights as citizens.

5072. Jennifer Lowe, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
Internet service providers should be classified under Title II, not under Title I. It is important for consumers to have access to services that do not favor particular content or providers. We need to preserve net neutrality, not roll back ISPs to a Title I classification, which would allow them to do pretty much whatever they like.

5073. Michael Gabris, St. Louis, MO, 63116
I strongly support strong Net Neutrality under Title 2 oversight of ISPs

5074. Peter Smith, Kansas City, MO, 64113
I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight of internet service providers. Access to the internet needs to remain neutral.

5075. Gary R Herberts, Saint Louis, MO, 63103
Everyone should have equal access to the internet, to see what they want and not be slowed down by an ISP who feels the content conflicts with their own financial interests!

5076. Al Smith, Hazelwood, MO, 63042
I am writing to ask the FCC to cancel this vote and continue to support the very consumer beneficial approach that the previous chairman implemented with Title II classification. That classification without a doubt gives the FCC the right amount of authority it needs to continue to keep the Internet open and net neutrality in tack as the courts have determined.Trying to undo that now, against a vast majority of the public's desire, will surely end with a court fight in which the FCC will again be standing before a court justifying why it is undoing something that very court said they should do previously.There is absolutely no evidence that the reclassification to Title II has had any negative affect on Internet providers and their investment in the networks. None of them invested less as a direct relation to classification of Title II and those that did actually invest less, already planned to and had announced it before the previous rules were even proposed. As a matter of fact even Verizon themselves in their own shareholder call indicated that Title II had no affect on them or how they would be investing.Attempting to revert back to the previous classification will be met with great public outcry, a losing court battle and only benefits the corporations, not the American people whom are the ones you are truly there to serve.So service us as you were put in office to do.

5077. Jessica Ball, St. Louis, MO, 63116
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP. I support a free and open internet.

5078. Julia Ewing, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
Net Neutrality is necessary. There is a reason for Title I and Title II. The FCC Chair should not be in his position. If he does not understand this. We need protections.

5079. Farrah Littlepage, Columbia, MO, 65203
A free internet is key to a modern democratic society.

5080. Cary Aye, Ferguson, MO, 63135
I support Title II. Why don't you, Chairman Pai?

5081. Lance, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
I wish to make a formal complaint about Xfinity/Comcast and the deceptive practices they have used in the past (https://www.wired.com/2016/08/comcast-facing-100-million-lawsuit-service-plan/)and still continue to use to this date.

5082. Tao Weilundemo, Columbia, MO, 65201
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP. I support a free and open internet!

5083. Cori Grunwaldt, Lebanon, MO, 65536
I strongly support Net Neutrality. Please keep Title II intact to protect all providers...for fair and unbiased internet control.

5084. Clarence Baker, St. Louis, MO, 63113
I strongly support Title to Regulation of the Internet. Everyone goes and look up information everyday. Taking away those rights make it seem like the FCC are trying to hide something from us the people of this planet. We need to keep net neutrality.

5085. Margaret Henley, St. Louis, MO, 63104
Net neutrality is a crucial part of an open internet. Our access to the internet should not be determined by corporations. It undermines freedom of choice.

5086. Jennifer Ehrett, Moberly, MO, 65270
I support net neutrality and regulations under Title II. Just because Pai says ISP have not done slowed down websites based on money does not mean it will not happen. I suggest teaching Trump what net neutrality is as well!

5087. Dan Durica, Rutledge, MO, 63563
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. The internet works great as it is and any meddling with net neutrality will surely make it much worse for real working people, as opposed to monopolistic telecom companies. You are supposed to serve the American people, not 5 huge companies that already control all of our communications. They don't need more control as they've already proven that given the chance they will abuse any power they have. These companies are bloated and inefficient and too big to care about consumers, which is why they are buying the government to further screw us.

5088. Patrick Madden, Florissant, MO, 63034
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISP's). Without these regulations, it is inevitable that ISP's will, sooner or later, manipulate the internet speeds of their customers to further their own profit margins. The dissolution of net neutrality is to the detriment of all internet consumers, and it is vital the the FCC do its job and protect those consumers.

5089. John Menden, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I am strongly in support of regulating ISPs under Title 2. Net neutrality is vital to ensuring a fair marketplace for digital services.

5090. Marshall Chambers, Fulton, MO, 65251
Regarding so called "net neutrality": there are not many things in governance that have a simple answer. This is one of those rare times. Net neutrality needs to be maintained and strengthened moving forward. Keeping tje playing field level for everyone who uses the internet (meaning a huge portion of humanity) is the only way to ensure the kind of innovation that comes with a free market strategy.

Please listen to the people on this one. We want strong, robust protections for net neutrality. Thank you.

5091. Christopher Matthews, Saint Joseph, MO, 64506
As a citizen concerned with the vital role that open access to information plays in a democratic political system, I urge the FCC to protect a free and open Internet by mandating that ISPs follow net neutrality guidelines. Regulating ISPs under Title 2 classification is appropriate. Contrary to what the FCC chairman has claimed, ISPs have a documented history of violating net neutrality standards. They will not regulate themselves. Loosening government regulations that protect net neutrality will do public harm by weakening our democratic system, in which citizens must have unimpeded access to information. The public sector must guarantee freedom of information; the private sector will not.

5092. Caroline adams, O'fallon, MO, 63368
I support full net neutrality under title 2. We will not tolerate nor support anything else that allows for anything other than the free and open internet. Also you're fooling no one being the head of the FCC and also the former lawyer for Verizon.

5093. Matthew Dinslage, Pleasant Hope, MO, 65725
Please support strong net neutrality rules with title II oversight of ISP's.

5094. Graham Lane, Saint Louis, MO, 63106
We want Title 2 Net Neutrality Restored! The FCC is destroying internet freedom with this new rule and mocking consumers by calling it "restoring Freedom" while providing ISP companies with tools to turn the open internet into a paywall hell for consumers. Shame on you for protecting the CEO's and kicking dirt on the millions of voters that need access to the web without having a monopoly company filtering our access.

5095. Greg Tervort, saint louis, MO, 63109
I think that allowing ISP's to self regulate is a really bad idea. If they are able to keep track of where my data goes, and then sell it to someone else that is just plain wrong. Also they should not be in charge of where I am going on the internet, and at what speed I am going. If I want to use the internet then I will pay them to provide the infrastructure that they have to do so, but I should not be penalized by a speed reduction because I want to use company A's website over company B's website because company B paid the ISP to bump their speed. Without some kind of legal structure to keep them in check then there will be many examples of this as well as an overall reduction in the safety of the web. If a persons web history can be sold to the highest bidder by the ISP then there is no privacy anymore. As the Federal Communications Commission it is your job to be beholden to the people of the nation you serve. That is implied by the word "Federal" in your name. I would also think that it is implied that what is best for the country as a whole, and not for the mega-companies and lobbyists, is your mandate and is what should be expected from the FCC as a whole. It might be time for a new boss is all I'm saying.

5096. Matt Inman, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Someone said it much better than I could...I support the classification of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act. The argument that ISPs have been hurt by this classification has been proven false time and again, and it has also been admitted as untrue by some of the largest ISPs in the country.Allowing ISPs the freedom to make decisions about the openness of the Internet is not in the best interest of the American People.What has been proven as fact is allowing things like "fast lanes" for certain service providers, like Netflix, and requiring those service providers to pay for those fast lanes, prevents competition. Less competition is bad for all consumers. Fast lanes allow ISPs to earn money from providers for something which theyâ€™ve already been paid. There should never be an option for ISPs to limit my ability to reach the Internet, and all that it has to offer. Nor should ISPs be able to limit the speeds at which I communicate with anyone or anything on the Internet, as that prevents new competition from entering the market if they canâ€™t afford the "tax" being imposed for a fast lane.Finally, removing Title II classification as common carriers for ISPs, simply put, opens the door for the US Internet experience to be censored. I have always been proud to live in a nation where I do not have to be concerned with the idea of censorship in my speech, public communication, or the Internet. Removing Title II classification for ISPs would be an enormous first step to a censored Internet for Americans, and that is wrong.

5097. Megan Six, Columbia, MO, 65201
Filing today to let the FCC know my discontent with their inability to prioritize the rights of Americans over the profiteering of cable companies and ISPs. This rule should NOT go into effect. I will continue to file comments, message my Senators, and encourage my peers to do the same in order to see that it does not become law.

5098. Joseph Theisman, St. Charles, MO, 63301
As a typical internet user, I am in strong support of ISPs being regulated under Title II in order to keep Net Neutrality alive and our internet free.

5099. Christopher Coffman, St. Charles, MO, 63303
The internet should be a utility, not a monopoly controlled by corporations infiltrating and corrupting government offices. I am pro net neutrality and repealing it is a disgusting money grab that I and other voters will not stand for.

5100. Alexander Frogge, St. Charles, MO, 63303
Please review and overturn the dismantling of the people's free and open internet for corporate gain. I'm a real person and not some spam robot like my legislators think I am. I am almost done with college and I will graduate with a degree in computer science. I hope to work for a small technology company that will fail and die unless the internet remains a utility for all that can't be manipulated by the elite few.

5101. Charles Kaucher, Springfield, MO, 65804
Removing ISPs from Title II Classification would be irresponsible and unfair to consumers. This would cause unfair rises in pricing and allow ISPs to discriminate internet speeds based on the service in question. All internet traffic should be treated equally and neutrally.

5102. Bobbi Carothers, St Louis, MO, 63130
Net neutrality must remain in place.Censorship and throttling by ISPs is unacceptable, hurts customers, and hurts small businesses who can't afford "fast lanes." Thank you.

5103. Clark G. Reddell, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
https://i.imgur.com/qtENxAG.jpg net neutrality prevents something like this from happening. I don't want the internet to act like a cable service. While destroying it certainly makes ISPs more money, it does nothing for the consumer on the ground and makes the lives of millions of Americans worse.

5104. Michael L Williams Jr., Joplin, MO, 64804
We are citizens of the US, Missouri, and the internet. We demand the FCC preserve our currently unfettered access to the entire internet under the current net neutrality rules. Title II classification for ISPs is not only beneficial for consumers, it's necessary. I do not want my ISP to throttle, or otherwise interfere with my internet traffic for any reason other than QoS. I do not want fast lanes and slow lanes. I do not want to have to pay a special fee or package to access a particular website or service. I am paying for access to the internet, not access to why my ISP approves. Any QoS measures that are taken by the ISP need to documented as to why, and they should not unfairly favor a company or disadvantage another. ISPs are responsible for delivering digital goods including music, movies, games, books, software, 3D Models for physically creating, and more. ISPs absolutely need to regulated under Title II for this reason.

5105. Gary P Slay, University City, MO, 63130
Net Neutrality must stand. Giving ISPs the ability to direct traffic to fast lanes to better serve the highest bidder kills competition, small businesses and startups. The internet is an open marketplace which must be preserved, and the ramifications of this change would be felt globally. Countless businesses, products, and services have been created or have shown massive growth largely because of this open marketplace. Altering the internet at its foundation would jeopardize others in the future from having the same opportunity. The guise that net neutrality is somehow anti-consumer is laughable at its most generous. Giving ISPs the ability to charge for specific content packages, throttle or block content whenever they see fit (political stances that disagree with the ISP's outlook, streaming services, etc) takes away the freedom of a consumer to choose the content they see.The internet must remain a level playing field, free of content discrimination.

5106. Amy Norris, Kansas City, MO, 64153
Net neutrality is a fundamental part of the internet as we know it. It prevents censorship, supports the free exchange of ideas, and promotes ingenuity and progress on the web and in society at large. Removing or hindering net neutrality only favors corporations, and does no good for the millions of Americans who use the internet on a daily basis. I am 100% FOR NET NEUTRALITY.

5107. Jesse Miller, De Soto, MO, 63020
Net neutrality forces companies that would otherwise do anything in their power to make money, adhere to a set of rules forcing them to serve their customers best interests instead of their own. Internet users have no protection from the whims of these companies otherwise. To remove net neutrality is to stifle independent innovation, the very thing the internet is built on. Please leave the net neutrality rules in place, for the sake of our future and current generations of Americans.

5108. Elizabeth Wolf, Columbia, MO, 65203
I urge congress to support net neutrality.

5109. Brian Toohey, Columbia, MO, 65202
Keep Net Neutrality rules in place. I work in the real estate industry and depend on a free and open internet to run my organization.

5110. Thomas M. Kara, Norwood, MO, 65717
Chairman Pai's public argument that Title II regulation will stifle the innovations of "engineers and technologists" is groundless, as engineers and technologist are very largely in favor of Title II's application. Moreover, the FCC still retains the power to decide whether a particular action is in the public interest, as Mr. Pai notes he did when he stopped an FCC investigation of "free data programs". It is very troubling, however, that Mr. Pai, as a former Verizon lawyer, would seek a regulatory structure which his former client favors, which gives the appearance of a conflict of interest. Mr. Pai's argument also relies on the assumption (already known to be false) that ISPs will not slow access to certain data. Indeed, inciting this debate were actions taken by both Verizon and Comcast to do just that, which resulted in FCC regulations that were then challenged by those companies in court. Out of necessity, the FCC resorted to applying Title II rules. Net neutrality means free and equal access to data. Mr. Pai's proposals do nothing to guarantee that, but are based on a disingenuous presumption - already proved false - that companies will not try to maximize their control of data and free access to it.

5111. Steve Callahan, St. Louis, MO, 63105
I strongly urge you to retain Title II oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality, thus enforced, is the only way to stop large ISPs from throttling start-up companies and stifling competition.

5112. Jonathan Dumey, Pacific, MO, 63069
getting rid of net neutrality may seem like a good idea and you may feel this is right but you can't guarantee that isp's will not abuse this and leverage companies to pay them for perfect connections or throttle the connection if they don't pay there has already been an example of this between comcast and netflix which started this, but the important thing is you need to think about the consumers because net neutrality was put in place to keep isp's in check but also protect consumers and smaller businesses from isp's abusing the system please don't remove net neutrality

5113. Andrew Jackson, Perryville, MO, 63775
Don't hurt consumers and block free speech! I support net neutrality.

5114. Travis Loux, St Louis, MO, 63110
I support net neutrality and the classification of ISPs through Title 2. There are times when the government has to provide basic

securities in order for the free market to provide true competition, and this is one of those times. Allowing a very small number of very large companies, which form a near-monopoly in most markets, to essentially act as gatekeepers for information and business shows a lack of foresight. By the time we know declassification of ISPs to avoid net neutrality is a bad idea, it may already be too late for many small businesses and entrepreneurs.

5115. Timothy Cooper, ST LOUIS, MO, 63146
Neutrality is central to how the Internet works. By permitting providers to pick and choose preferred websites, the FCC would be permitting the same sort of market segmentation and functional local-monopoly "cartel" system that cable providers currently enjoy. The correct model for the Internet is the phone system; one doesn't hear of long-distance providers deciding that calls from /this/ area code will be in better quality than from /that/ area code.

5116. Mark Woolsey, Strafford, MO, 65757
Net Neutrality must be protected in the same manner we protect natural resources like air and water. While the Internet itself may not sustain life, it has become increasingly tied to quality of life, including education, work, socializing, and access to information. It is very nearly as inhumane to commodify the Internet-- selling access, channels of distribution, and quality to the highest bidder-- as it would be to do with water. Preserve Internet neutrality, and stop the process of watching the United States fall farther and farther behind in the economic growth a freely accessible Internet provides the nation, rather than a mere handful of its citizens, in the 21st century. The dismantling of Net Neutrality represents the plundering of long-term American economic viability for the short-term monetary gain of a few citizens. This is an issue that millions of American citizens like myself will fight for at the ballot box, through our role as consumers, and by educating fellow Americans . Preserve Net Neutrality.

5117. Joseph Fry, Joplin, MO, 64804
Do not allow this resolution to pass! It is disgusting to the American people!

5118. Jul K, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
Protect net neutrality! Protect Title II! Corporations only care about profits, not people.

5119. Cody Sandusky, Jackson, MO, 63755
Title II classifications for net neutrality are a must to preserve the currently free and open Internet we as Americans enjoy. ISPs are multi-billion dollar operations and care for nothing more than profiting further off of their existing monopoly by dictating what sites/companies receive preferential (read: paying more to the ISPs) speeds and treatment on their service. Internet service should be treated as a utility - the same openness, quality and speeds for all Americans. We live in a world where interconnectivity and the power of the Internet is greater than ever before - let us preserve that and not allow businesses continue to usurp that power for their gain. I call upon FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to maintain or reinstate Title II Classifications upon Internet service.

5120. jodi stephan, Saint Charles, MO, 63304
I don't agree with them reversing the net neutrality protections in place.

5121. Travis L Brown, Edwards, MO, 65326
The destruction of net neutrality is an affront. It serves corporations at the detriment of citizens. Shame. Shame on anyone who would support it.

5122. Ben Yorke, St. Louis, MO, 63116
I encourage you to maintain the strong regulations regarding Net Neutrality. It is not enough to assume that internet service providers will continue to provide an uninhibited internet access because of their inherent benevolence. These companies will require Title 2 oversight to avoid instances where there are using their control of the internet for their commercial gain. The internet is simply too important a resource, essentially a utility, to allow those providing the resource to manipulate access. We would never allow this for other utilities (i.e. water) and we should not allow this for internet access. Thank you for your consideration, and I urge you to keep strong regulations in place to ensure Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

5123. E, Kansas City, MO, 64151
It is imperative to keep and fully support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP providers.

5124. Dan Stocker, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
Keep Net Neutrality rules as they are!!! Deregulation of this industry will be detrimental to the end users who pay a lot of money to access the internet already. It will turn into the Health Care Industry where they are profiting from a service people need, and in this day and age you NEED the internet. All of my work is done online, all of my video gaming is done online, all of my school work was done online. Contacting the government is done online. Customer service for any/all retailers, banking, credit cards, phone companies, and all other services you can think of are done on line so allowing the providers to pick winners and losers is playing with fire. If everyone who had access to the internet had 5-10 choices of providers to choose from this would be a different story but most people have only 1 provider to pick from due to where they live and that provider could and would throttle their service if they needed more money simply because they could. You are putting all the eggs in one basket and that is not a sounds strategy to me. We are better than this.

5125. Riean, St. Louis, MO, 63101
I support Net Neutrality and ISP's being governed under Title II

5126. Jason Spaetti, St Louis, MO, 63128
Maintaining current net neutrality regulations and protections are vital to a free and open internet. The proposed deregulation is short-sighted, anti-consumer, and frankly dangerous to the freedom of expression our country holds so dear. Please keep the internet open and free.Thank you,Jason

5127. Suchitra Rath, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043

I support Net Neutrality. Net Neutrality must be required by law.

5128. Trevor Harris, Joplin, MO, 64804
If you're going to take away my right to privacy on the Internet, then you might as well invade my home and steal all of my private belongings and information

5129. valerio edwin, Florissant, MO, 63034
Preserve Net neutrality and preserve title 2

5130. Emily A. Morrison, Joplin, MO, 64804
Keep Net Neutrality. Governments who ignore the voice of the people, are destroyed by the people. We feel strongly about this. Please take heed.

5131. jacob doepke, Union, MO, 63084
Keep net neutrality alive with title II.

5132. Christopher Boyce, saint louis, MO, 63116
Bunch of old white rich republicans out for more money. Ending Net neutrality is bad for consumers. Why do you have to be such get-rich dicks about everything?

5133. Franklin Hyatt, Joplin, MO, 64801
The internet needs to remain Title 2 for the foreseeable future. There are enough examples and precedents to justify keeping it as so and a large proportion of Americans do not trust corporations to do the right thing especially in regards to [their] internet freedom. We already fought this once in the last proceeding and that was the right choice. Fast lanes, slow lanes, or however you want to describe it works for tangible goods such as found in the transportation industry but NOT for the digital realm. Why are we still second class citizens compared to most of EU and certain parts of the Asia? Our speeds and investment pale in comparison to many nations overseas. What would be better fought for would be more options! I only have one realistic provider where I live for my own home business and how fair would it be if new legislation inhibited my free market of providing the kinds of goods or services which pays my bills? What if my service was restricted because the company I work under doesnt want to pay a ransom like Netflix did? NO RECLASSIFICATION, THE INTERNET NEEDS TO REMAIN TITLE 2.

5134. Tyson Bundy, Riverside, MO, 64150
Please do not change current net neutrality rules or remove ISPs from Title 2 governance. Current regulations have not hampered investment. Simply put, those companies that not invest will lose market share over time. What's more capitalist than that? Making them earn customers by providing good service (the S in ISP after all) should be how they get ahead, not making deals with content providers and restricting access to the competition.

5135. Nick Cantoni, St. Charles, MO, 63303
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 which it is currently categorized as.Also, I hope we don't have to argue over this every few years.Please and thank you,-Nick Cantoni

5136. Theresa May, Independence, MO, 64055
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's. I don't want my content to be controlled by cable companies and ISP's. Donald Trump is a moron and a patsy and this should never have happened. Fix it please.

5137. Carolyn Orwig, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
We are commenting on the FCC proceeding 17-108 regarding the removal of the current FCC rules that prohibits data discrimination against Internet traffic commonly known as network neutrality. We are currently subscribed with AT&T U-verse as our home Internet and Sprint as our mobile Internet service provider or ISP. We use the Internet everyday to conduct research, play online video games, watch online video, and so much more, it is part of our everyday life for over fifteen years in some form. We are highly disgusted to find out that the FCC is proposing to remove network neutrality to only benefit the very few wealthy Internet Service Providers against us, so they can have the Internet their way by choosing who they want to thrive or fail in a form of throttling or even blocking traffic creating ISP-sponsored online censorship, and the only way out of it is to pay the Internet service providers an absurd amount of cash to lift any limit against the content providers that provide resources to the consumer such as video or even a signal to trigger Internet-connected devices such as a HVAC thermostat like Nest. Consumers and small businesses may not able to fight the Internet service providers because attorneys are also, absurdly expensive that Internet service providers does not expect them to afford to fight back.We are hereby highly urging the Federal Communications Commission to leave consumer and small business protections in place that allows free flow of traffic without any unwanted intentional throttling or blocking certain Internet traffic.

5138. Thomas Palma, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I voice my opposition to any action that would jeopardize Title II and Net Neutrality.

5139. MARY SOLOMON, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Can't we all just get along? No net neutrality

5140. Ryan O'Malley, Kansas City, MO, 66214
End this procorporate power grab that ends up hurting literally everyone you know. We need the internet open and free. I only have one option for internet service, as do a lot of citizens.

5141. Tom Morrison, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Net neutrality is an extremely important right to Americans. Without it, the fine line that stops internet censorship (of ideas and opinions) will disappear. Don't let it disappear unless you want your children to grow up in a world where they can't band together.

5142. Keegan Keown, Springfield, MO, 65802
Keep title 2 in place, taking it away gives the ability for corporations to step on free speech and ensure they get even more money out of their customers, we wouldn't make it where people had to pay more to get a fast lane of water to their house or a fast lane for electricity, this like every other utility internet should not be turned over to the greedy mits of those who are only out for themselves. Loss of title 2 will only show that the government has only a mind for ensuring corporations are happy and not their people. The government should fear the people and sadly enough it's the other way around. Keep the people happy, keep title 2 intact, stop giving in to money hungry corporations who dont care about anyone but themselves

5143. Kody King, marshall, MO, 65340
FREEDOM is what makes America great, so lets get it back

5144. Adam Tummons, Springfield, MO, 65807
Keep the Internet neutral! ISP's should NOT have the ability to put their greedy hands on our ability to freely navigate the internet!

5145. Collin Beyer, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
I would prefer to stay in the US to make my server hosting company a reality but if net neutrality dies my dreams will also die. Please do not vote in favor of this insanity.

5146. Jonathan Smith, St. Louis, MO, 63116
I support strong net neutrality under Title II. Don't be a disingenuous dick, dude.

5147. Alex, Clayton, MO, 63105
I'm writing to express that I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I believe that any other action taken, such as deregulating ISP's to supposedly encourage internet infrastructure innovation, will in fact lead to increased restrictions on internet users and consumers by ISP's in order to protect their already well-known monopolies and market share. Actions apart from strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight will only expose the FCC and its chairman, Ajit Pai, as the corporate shills they have thus far shown themselves to be.

5148. Joseph O'Fallon, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I believe in net neutrality, and I think that ISP's have a responsibility to allow free, fair market companies to compete without the weak terms of service clause claiming that they will, out of goodwill, not slow down their competition.

5149. Thulani, Saint louis, MO, 63130
Dear FCC:I have 3 things:1. You INCORRECTLY defined an ISP.Here is how you defined it "We believe that Internet service providers offer the capability for generating, acquiring,storing, transforming, processing, retrieving, utilizing, or making available information viatelecommunications. Whether posting on social media or drafting a blog, a broadband Internet user isable to generate and make available information online. Whether reading a newspaperâ€™s website orbrowsing the results from a search engine, a broadband Internet user is able to acquire and retrieveinformation online. Whether itâ€™s an address book or a grocery list, a broadband Internet user is able tostore and utilize information online. Whether uploading filtered photographs or translating text into aforeign language, a broadband Internet user is able to transform and process information online. In short,broadband Internet access service appears to offer its users the â€œcapabilityâ€ to perform each and every oneof the functions listed in the definitionâ€”and accordingly appears to be an information service bydefinition. We seek comment on this analysis."I would like to challenge this. In fact I believe this is NOT what ISPs do. Here is what I believe ISPs do:"They specialize in the transmission, between or among points specified by the user, of information of the userâ€™s choosing, without change in the form or content of the information as sent and received. Because your ISP doesnâ€™t store the data you post on Facebook, or the address you look up on Google Maps, or the Pope you read about on Wikipedia. Itâ€™s edge providers like the ones I just mentioned that do all the â€œgenerating, acquiring, storing,â€ and so on. ISPs just transmit the information. "Your definition and analysis of what an ISP does is like saying because someone built a bridge, they also created the entire city and all its resources on far side of it. Itâ€™s absurd!2. Your claim on what ISPs do and what Internet Users do is also INCORRECT.Here is what you claim ISPs DO NOT DO and what Internet Users Do:"In contrast, Internet service providers do not appear to offer â€œtelecommunications,â€ i.e.,â€œthe transmission, between or among points specified by the user, of information of the userâ€™s choosing,without change in the form or content of the information as sent and received,â€ to their users. For one,broadband Internet users do not typically specify the â€œpointsâ€ between and among which information issent online. Instead, routing decisions are based on the architecture of the network, not on consumersâ€™instructions, and consumers are often unaware of where online content is stored. "This again is plain WRONG. The internet is actually based on one FACT - the user DOES SPECIFY specific points between and among which information is sent online. This is what EXACTLY a Uniform Resource Locator is. You may also know it as a "URL". It doesn't get any more specific than that. 3. Your notice found here http://transition.fcc.gov/Daily_Releases/Daily_Business/2017/db0523/FCC-17-60A1.pdf needs further analysis and you need to involved experts on how the internet actually works. As well as involve ISP technicians because it shows you did not do enough research. Your information about what an ISP actually does and what the normal internet user does is wrong.Please revise. We all want the same thing , Restoring Internet Freedom. And using wrong definitions and interpretations will not get us there, proper facts brought forward by specialists in these fields will help here.Thank you.

5150. Rebecca Reyneke, Columbia, MO, 65203
I strongly support robust laws protecting net neutrality via Title II regulation

5151. Brett Butler, Barnhart, MO, 63012
Net neutrality needs to continue unchanged as it has been.

5152. Chris Shirrell, Jackson, MO, 63755
I support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISP's. These oversights appear to do more to create a fair playing field for small ISP's than deregulation. ISP regulations do not seem to impact large ISP profit margins like some lobbyists proclaim. In fact they seem to not hinder ISP's at all except in limiting their ability to engage in dubious business practices that abuse the American public.

Once more I find that Ajit Pai's former profession as a legal consultant for Verizon to also be concerning. His background feels like a conflict of interest in these matters no matter his position. This feels like nothing more than placating lobbying interests for personal gain on behalf Ajit's political counterparts.

5153. Anthony Starr, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
Keep the Internet neutral. Regulate under Title II.

5154. Charles Tucker, Rolla, MO, 65401
I support internet neutrality under Title II. Please do not mess with neutrality.

5155. Joseph, Saint charles, MO, 63303
I support net neutrality and title 2. Please preserve my right to use the Internet and its services without hidden restriction.

5156. Darryl Fields, Independence, MO, 64057
I believe that it is important that the FCC maintains net neutrality under title ll

5157. Sarah Chapin, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
I support net nutrality under title II

5158. Kelly, St Peters, MO, 63303
I support net neutrality backed by Title Ii oversight of ISPs

5159. R C Birmingham Jr, Kansas City, MO, 64155
Preserve Net Neutrality. Keep Title II as is presently written.

5160. Todd D Fisher, Union, MO, 63084
PLease enforce the current FCC rules of NET Neutrality.

5161. John Mimnaugh, Parkville, MO, 64152
I am writing in favor of strong Net Neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISPs.

5162. Jennifer Sumner, Gladstone, MO, 64118
I fully support strong Net Neutrality rules under Title II. We cannot trust corporations to voluntarily treat all internet users fairly, i.e.: ISIS Mobile Wallet vs. Google Wallet.

5163. tyler propst, Desloge, MO, 63601
Keep title 2 in place. Do not allow the internet to be controlled by a handful of corporations, they already have a death grip on our democracy. The internet is the only tool we have to stop them from completely taking over.

5164. Alan Bagnull, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
Net neutrality is one of the few issues that benefits and is supported by the majority of the populace, regardless of party affiliation. The only entities that would oppose net neutrality, and Title 2 regulation of ISPs are those ISPs themselves and the politicians to whom they have donated "campaign contributions". The government is supposed to represent the best interests of the American people. Net Neutrality and Title 2 regulation of ISPs are in the best interest of the populace. I urge you to support these iissues.

5165. Kimberly Burke, Saint Joseph, MO, 64501
This battle has already been fought and won.Providers have to much control. Corporations make enough money. Leave net neutrality alone.

5166. JT Laurent, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Removing Net Neutrality would be a disaster for everyone! This is an issue that should never have even been discussed. The NET is working quite fine without the government trying to make the Corporations richer and the everyday person poorer!

5167. James Moriarty, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Please keep net neutrality and Title 2 ! Please do not give ISP's any type of control or opportunity to control what we choose to view!

5168. Lea-Rachel Kosnik, Maplewood, MO, 63143
Please keep the internet neutral. Don't allow companies to pick and choose what gets through at what speeds. Thank you!

5169. Logan Mize, Maplewood, MO, 63143
It is very important that we keep the internet neutral and don't allow companies the power to filter what we see and change the speeds at which content can come through. I urge you to keep the internet neutral.

5170. sam c crowley, Savannah, MO, 64485
The internet has become the mechanism through which commerce and information routinely pass. As such, it is a common carrier and is best regulated under title 2

5171. Ashton Esperada, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
Net neutrality is essential and necessary. Please enforce this under Title II. The internet should be regulated as a utility - access to public information and communication, just like access to water or air, should not be subject to corporate interests.

5172. Mirabai Moseley, St. Louis, MO, 63104
I want to keep net neutrality. It disgusts me that you would knowingly disregard the will of the people like this.

5173. Kyle Delahanty, Rolla, MO, 65401
For God's sake, Keep Net Neutrality and Title 2. Think about the good for all of us and stop kidding yourselves that loosing restrictions helps anyone but corrupt people

5174. michael gannott, Springfield, MO, 65807
Net neutrality is a must. Keep the internet free. Anyone attempting to allow major corporations influence consumers consumption through the use of the money theyve earned from offering products to the public in a capitalistic economy is the antithesis of a free market.

5175. Joseph Burnash, Crane, MO, 65633
I'd just like to comment my support for the opinion that repealing Title II in regards to net neutrality is a terrible idea.Giving anyone the ability to regulate connectivity to any domain is a key to destroying everything that makes the internet great.

5176. Alec Kelley, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I support an open Internet and am opposed to this proposed regulatory change. Do not allow ISP's to manipulate my access to the World Wide Web. The only beneficiaries of throttled Internet access are ISP's and those who own stake in those companies; everyday Americans will benefit most from a neutral Internet. It's not up ISP's as to what I should view online, it's up to the user and doing anything otherwise is an attack on our freedom.

5177. Robin Perry, High Ridge, MO, 63049
Net neutrality is extraordinarily important. Leave the law as it is.

5178. Jimmy Holdcamp, Fair Grove, MO, 65648
Please retain Net Neutrality and Title 2 oversight it's very important to us in rural areas.

5179. sara mangan, jefferson city, MO, 65101
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. This benefits all consumers. Please stand up for us against big-money private interests.

5180. Jeremy Nation, Raymore, MO, 64083
Net Neutrality is not a luxury item it is a necessity if we are to protect public opinion and informed decisions. If you remove Net Neutrality we "The American Citizens" who fund the FCC through Taxes, would not have the information necessary to even make a post such as this. Quoting Forbes.com: "In the net neutrality case, the D.C. Circuit held that because the FCC dubbed them â€œcommon carriers,â€ broadband providers lack a similar right to editorial control. But the FCC has hinted that it might strip broadband providers of common carrier obligations, which would revitalize broadband providersâ€™ First Amendment challenge." Who will support the end subscribers paying for a service that can at any time be charged more and as part of a contract, who will be able to fight such a change except for the FCC. Please continue to fight and support for Net Neutrality for all the end users including your selves.

5181. Laina, Columbia, MO, 65201
I support Net Neutrality and Title II. Leave my net freedom alone and stop selling all of our freedoms to corporate America. I do not want to be manipulated even more by the companies I pay and that should be serving me.

5182. JD Waites, St Joseph, MO, 64503
Preserve net neutrality by keeping ISPs under Title II. Don't betray America by opening the internet up to unethical business practices. Do not create an environment where unethical practices can thrive. Protect Americans, not greedy corporations. A fair system is a fine environment to conduct business in. Really, it is. Preserve net neutrality.

5183. Jerry Dobbs, Doniphan, MO, 63935
I am in strong support of keeping net neutrality under article 2

5184. JD Waites, St Joseph, MO, 64503
Preserve net neutrality...keep ISPs under Title II. Protect Americans, not corporations!

5185. Jacob Westen, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
The internet is an indispensable public good, which should be kept safe from Internet Service Providers supporting preferred content over competitors' content. The FCC should continue to regulate ISPs under Title II of the FCC's regulations. Do not change the current regulatory scheme.

5186. Ashley French, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
I am a veterinarian in Jefferson City, MO. I have deep concerns about the loss of net neutrality. I worry about the loss of both regulation and about big businesses buying special treatment. I want providers to still be regulated as a title II entities. This is important for American spirit and innovation. Big companies should not be able to influence how I receive my content.

5187. Margaret McDaniel, Wildwood, MO, 63038
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs! (also Reese's peanut better cups suck)

5188. Steve Beck, Dardenne Prairie, MO, 63368
I strongly support net neutrality rules/regulations under Title II. Preserve the regulations. Nothing is more scary than "trusting" corporations to regulate themselves on this.

5189. Jeremy Lamb, Kansas City, MO, 64112
Please uphold the title 2 regulations of net neutrality. Keep the internet fair and open!

5190. Andrew Pratt, Springfield, MO, 65807
Why *on Earth* would you *ever* think that ending net neutrality would be a *good* thing for a democracy? I get that corporate capitalists seem to think that this is a great way of expanding profits, but it's so incredibly bad for everyone that the mere thought should be considered tantamount to heresy. True, we have never been a full democracy, and true, the country is moving into full and unequivocal oligarchy, but neither of those facts temper the level of absurd evil that is eroding net neutrality.

5191. Tim Schwartz, St. Louis, MO, 63146
It is important to keep net neutrality and keep Article 2. The internet is to be kept free from throttling of bandwidth and from allowing any entity to give favor to anything on the internet over another. Keep it fair.

5192. Dylan Love, Liverty, MO, 64068
I am in full support of keeping the Internet classified as a Title II communications service. Anything else would be wholly insufficient to protect a free and open Internet. Please listen to the people Ajit.

5193. Elisha Geers, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
Please preserve net neutrality and strict enforcement and preservation of Title II.

5194. Pat Guess, St. Charles, MO, 63304
I want to express my strong support for net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Providers. There is no reason to believe they will voluntarily do it and we need to be able to enforce net neutality.

5195. Julie, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
Net neutrality needs to be just what it is, neutral.

5196. Jay Wolz, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
I am very much in favor of the current "net neutrality" rules under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Thank you!

5197. Evren Senol, St. Louis, MO, 63131
We CANNOT get rid of net neutrality. FCC head is mistaken to leave it to companies to voluntarily obey net neutrality. Also, if he says companies are not breaking net neutrality, what's the problem with having the law.

5198. Sonya A Heid, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
It is imperative that we maintain the current rules regarding Net neutrality and that this rollback is stopped. Keep the current rules the American people demanded in place and keep it free of broadband sharks.

5199. concerned citizen, saint louis, MO, 63108
please do not alter the existing net neutrality rules.

5200. Caitlin Brown, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I do love capitalism, but I love my freedom more. Please do not give more power to companies that have the possibility of controlling my viewing space on the internet and also pushing out new business.

5201. Doug Myers, Defiance, MO, 63341
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I do not support Trumps plant in the FCC and expect him to fail in service to the people of the United States whom he SHOULD be serving

5202. Deepak Unni, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title IX. We as the people cannot emphasize enough how important unbiased access of internet is. Its not a luxury, its an essential need just like other utilities. Making internet fair is vital.

5203. r, Kansas City, MO, 64158
Recording and using Bluetooth devices to share information or easdrop in child's room & on childrens computer .

5204. Linda Cross, Saint Louis, MO, 63112
I work in the telecom industry and have firm belief that the net neutrality rules of Title 2 regulation. I have see research of bandwith manipulation and am convinced if left unregulated, all internet carriers will do whatever they can to manipulate the internet to their own benefit and to the detriment of the end users.

5205. michael akers, st louis, MO, 63123
keep title 2 net neutrality. do not change and keep a free and open internet

5206. neutrality, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Please preserve net neutrality and title II. This is so very important for everyone.

5207. Troy Partain, Springfield, MO, 65810
I strongly, and specifically, support net neutrality backed by TITLE II oversight of ISP's.

5208. Chad Elliott, St. Louis, MO, 63118
I specifically support strong net neutrality as defined by title II.

5209. DOUG & MELISSA SANDERS, SARCOXIE, MO, 64862
THIS IS A COMPLAINT AGAINST CENTURY LINK .THEY HAVE CONTINUED TO HARASS US VIA E-MAIL & BILLING US FOR A CLOSED ACCOUNT SINCE 10/24/2016. THEY ARE CLAIMING THAT WE DID NOT RETURN THEIR MODEM &

EQUIPMENT . WE RETURNED IT VIA U.S.P.S CERTIFIED MAIL ON 10/17/2016 THEY RECEIVED IT ON 10/24/2016@ 7:12 AM.IT WAS SIGNED FOR & WE HAVE THE TRACKING NUMBER,TIME,DATE .WE JUST RECEIVED ANOTHER BILL DATED 5/16/2017 FROM A COLLECTION AGENCY WE HAVE SPOKEN TO THEIR CUSTOMER SERVICE MANY MANY TIMES & THEY HAVE PROMISED THIS ISSUE WAS RESOLVED! WRONG ! ! WE WANT THIS TAKEN OFF OUR CREDIT REPORT & THIS COMPANY PUNISHED! WE ALWAYS PAYED OUR BILL ON TIME & NEVER MISSED A PAYMENT ONE! WORST CUSTOMER SERVICE I HAVE SEEN IN 40 YEARS! ! !

5210. Ben Monsees, Columbia, MO, 65202
I support the 2014 ruling to enforce strong Net Neutrality laws, backed by Title 2 designations for ISP providers and other service providers. Thank you for taking the time to acknowledge and process our input.

5211. Daniel Eichhorn, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
I want a free and open internet that cannot be interfered with by large corporations that can selectively slow down sites they do not like (or which do not pay them). Please do not hand our internet over to the ISPs!

5212. Jeanne Eichhorn, St Louis, MO, 63119
Please do not hand over our internet to the ISPs! Government interference can be bad, but so can corporate interference!

5213. Joanna Eichhorn, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
Please do not hand over our internet to ISP companies!

5214. Aaron Rowe, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
As a registered voter in the State of Missouri, I urge the FCC to support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 Protections. Strong Net Neutrality allows for creation and innovation by Small Businesses, in the online sphere. This in turns is one of America's greatest Economic drivers. All it takes is a brief look back ten to fifteen years ago, to see that some of America's major online companies rose from small businesses. Abandonment of Title 2 Protections of the Communications Act on the Internet has the overwhelming potential to damage Small Business Growth in America in the long-term. Even non-online/ "brick and mortar store" Small Businesses increasingly need to have some online aspects to increase their familiarity and traffic among the community. Do you think a Mom and Pop Store can compete with Wal-Mart online, if Abandonment of Title 2 protections leads to choked internet speeds, as we saw in 2015 with Comcast and Netflix? Especially as the internet becomes more integrated with our lives on a daily basis, not just in the United States, but around the World, it is important that everybody is ensured tightly regulated, fare and equal distribution of information online. With these arguments in mind, I urge everybody at the FCC who can do anything to keep the Internet Neutral by supporting its current regulations under Title 2, to do so. If you don't, voters such as myself will take every political opportunity to vote in people into office who support real Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 Regulations, and who can appoint individuals to the FCC who also support Real Net Neutrality.

5215. Brandon Mear, Springfield, MO, 65807
Keep title 2 net neutrality. Do not sell us out!!!

5216. Areeb Malik, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
As an industry professional deeply involved in web communications, I strongly support net neutrality and hope that we as a nation can choose to support the needs and wants of the people instead of the asks of the big businesses

5217. Carrie Malone-Halvorson, Independence, MO, 64055
Do ONE Positive action that benefits The PEOPLE, rather than Any given corporation, just once, I dare you, Ajit, I dare youLeave Net Neutrality in place, like the Paris accord, it has Already been settled.Stand up and do the Right thing, not the corporate thing. ...oh, and, E for effort on raising the degree of difficulty to leave your REQUIRED Public Comment. Real cute

5218. Net Neutrality, Saint Louis, MO, 63141
Please keep all content on the internet free of disruption by ISPs. Keep the internet free and open to all applications and services without any undue influence enabling organizations to pay to play.

5219. Ryan James Phillips, Ozark, MO, 65721
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's! To keep the internet open and free, Title II of the Communications Act and Section 706 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, should be grounded in place to avoid the blocking, throttling, and paid prioritization of the the internet. These three attributes being absent have helped keep the internet the place it is today with people being able to express themselves freely and creatively. This creativity has only been amplified by not having to worry about were or when they can go to certain websites. Thank you for your time, Ryan James Phillips.

5220. CONNIE WINKELMAN, Silex, MO, 63377
Etsy Shop https://www.etsy.com/shop/DESIGNEDBYCONNIEDear FCC,I am a small business, relying on the internet, I also am a data miner, on-line! Without a fair and impartial internet, I could not survive as a disabled, independent, small business.Fair, is fair!ConnieCONNIE WINKELMAN

5221. Robert L Sadler Jr, Independence, MO, 64053
I am expressing my displeasure that the FCC Chairman is wanting to move ISP's back to Tittle I of the 1934 Communications Act, mainly because this means that ISP's will then be allowed to slow down traffic from sites they do not necessarily support, or perhaps will even have a business reason to disallow certain sites from becoming faster then their own apps or products. This has already happened when Verizon and T-Mobile once supported only their "wallet" app known as ISIS, while not allowing users to choose whatever wallet app they wanted, such as 'Goggle Wallet'. Having the ISP's stay in Title II makes certain that ISP's are not allowed to change their Net Nuetrality participation by simply changing their 'Terms of Service' as the FCC Chairman would like to leave it up to, which by the way, as a former Attorney for Verizon the Chairman should recuse himself for being a conflict of interest. Either way, I, as

a Citizen of the United States, do not wish the current Net Neutrality, under Title II to NOT be changed back to Title I.

5222. Kristine Gentemann, Saint Louis, MO, 63126
Etsy Shop littlewhitedogstudio.etsy.comDear FCC,My business on Etsy depends on net neutrality and I depend on my business for income. Please don't change it. We all need to be treated the same.Thank youKristine Gentemann

5223. Greg Colclasure, Sunrise Beach, MO, 65079
Net neutrality and Title 2 must remain in place.

5224. net neutrality, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
I strongly support net neutrality, and if the party is not able to keep the internet fair for all, I may need to consider voting against my party to make a point!

5225. Ben Niesen, St. Louis, MO, 63110
Hi, my name is Ben, and Iâ€™m from St. Louis Missouri, 63110. Iâ€™m commenting in support of net neutrality, and to oppose any reversal of the neutrality regulations the FCC enacted in 2015. Deregulation would only benefit major cable conglomerates, and would hurt consumers and small businesses. Please adhere to your mission of providing affordable and fair communications for all Americans. Thank you for your time.

5226. Deborah Seeger, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63703
It is imperative to protect net neutrality and Title 2.

5227. Robert Norris, Parkville, MO, 64152
I fully support Title II protection for net neutrality - please register my voice in this decision

5228. james bonucchi, Sparta, MO, 65753
I strongly support net neutrality. Do the right thing, and keep our internet free of commercial influence. Thank you.

5229. Naedrie Bonucchi, Sparta, MO, 65753
We need protected net neutrality! Leave isps under title 2.

5230. Regina Richardson-Peterson, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Keep net neutrality. This protects the consumer and other businesses.

5231. Bill Weber, Des Peres, MO, 63131
Keep ISPs under Title II. It is the only way to keep the Internet truly fair. Thank you.

5232. Michael Betz, Villa Ridge, MO, 63089
I kind of enjoy fairness and common sense, therefore I support Net Neutrality in the current condition under The FCC and Title 2. Also, having major corporations such as Comcast and Verizon "voluntarily" agree to not favor certain outlets (Netflix, Amazon) is like the Cookie Monster voluntarily agreeing not to eat Thin Mints. Of course he's going to eat those cookies... everybody loves Thin Mints!

5233. Tom Glauber, St. Louis, MO, 63116
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. Thank you.

5234. tin ngo, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
If you believe in the rights granted by the First Amendment, then you should honor net neutrality. We need net neutrality to protect people from corporations.

5235. Jeanne Scott, Green Castle, MO, 63544
Support net neutrality! I don't need my cable provider deciding what information I deserve to have access to.

5236. Constance, St. Charles, MO, 63303
Please keep title II in place. In the reality TV show that is our government, the use of the internet and the companies we choose to patronize, no matter what they may be, regardless of how much money they pay now to service providers, each have an equal playing field. This is the only reprieve that the dumb masses like ourselves have from particism politics and our last means of escape from the political circus that has become the United States.

5237. Kathleen Laws, Springfield, MO, 65807
Please keep net neutrality on the stronger Title II. I do not trust companies to maintain net neutrality when it goes against their economic interests, and terms of service are frequently and easily changed. Please protect net neutrality by keeping it at Title II.

5238. Mark H. Reddig, Independence, MO, 64052
My name is Mark Reddig. I am a resident of Independence, Mo., a registered voter and regular participant in elections.I cannot stress strongly enough my objection to the elimination of net neutrality. Simply calling the proposal â€œinternet freedomâ€ does not make it so. This is, in fact, a restriction on freedom for the overwhelming bulk of American citizens.Internet service has become a utility â€“ like electricity, water, gas and so on. My electric company does not charge me more for power to run my fridge vs. my air conditioner. My gas company does not charge differently for gas used for the stove, the furnace or the water heater. My water company does not hike my price or slow the flow of water when Iâ€™m taking a shower, and then speed it up because Maytag paid them to flow water better when Iâ€™m running my clothes washer.This is a government attempt to ensure additional profitability for companies that are frankly flush with money. Private corporate profit should not be subsidized by regulatory arbitrage.If the FCC does this, frankly, I think it is abandoning its role on behalf of the people and instead making itself into something that was never intended.

5239. Christopher Willingham, Hazelwood, MO, 63042
I want net neutrality; without net neutrality, we lose fundamental avenues that allow for the expression of free speech and American ideals.

5240. Tanner, Platte City, MO, 64079
Please keep ISPs under Title II, and help keep net neutrality enforced. ISPs wouldn't be complaining about Title II if they happy to keep net neutrality. They are complaining because they want to control what content is faster than other content.

5241. Net Neutrality, St Louis, MO, 63105
I am strongly in support of strict net neutrality guidelines and believe that internet service providers should legally be kept from changing the speed or access that users have to things on the web

5242. bryant mcelroy, Fenton, MO, 63026
Unbelievable that we have to do this but do not and net Neutrality. I can't think of one reason why any of this would even be considered. Keep garbage people/big money out of the Internet please or you will see a revolt larger than anything seen before. You do not want these problems The whole Internet community will be on your ass. Just saying..

5243. Ryan Zook, Arnold, MO, 63010
Don't mess this up the Net should be something that is an equal playing field for all not just the highest bidder.

5244. rebecca russelll, Lees summit, MO, 64081
Voting for net neutrality

5245. Mark Blumenfeld, St. Louis, MO, 63105
I oppose reclassification of internet service providers to title i regulation.

5246. Ciara Smith, Saint Louis, MO, 63121
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs'.

5247. Rhonda Duewell, St Charles, MO, 63303
Please restore/keep Net Neutrality.Thank you.

5248. Eric Bless, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Please maintain Net Neutrality under Title 2 regulations.

5249. Ron Bose, Saint Louis, MO, 63127
It is very important to preserve Net Neutrality and to preserve Title II. I want to see a freely accessible internet where customers are not slowed by ISB's.

5250. Elizabeth Allen, Kansas City, MO, 64133
We need strong net neutrality. Do not change the ISP status. Leave the standards as they currently were set in the Obama administration.

5251. Gabriel Beckerle, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Uphold Title II Net Neutrality rules!

5252. Greg Thurmon, Fayette, MO, 65248
Do not change regulations, KEEP Title II!!!

5253. Brandon COley, Poplar Bluff, MO, 62249
Keep the net neutral and governed through title II

5254. Melissa Taylor, Independence, MO, 64055
Chairman Pai, this is my first ever communication or public comment on any FCC business. My decision to express myself now comes from how critical I believe it is to keep net neutrality rules in tact. Please do not roll back net neutrality rules or reduce the legal sustainability of the order.Thank you,Melissa Taylor

5255. Jennifer O'Brien, Holt, MO, 64048
I specifically support strong net neutrality by Title 2 oversight of ISP's

5256. Trevor Wright, Ballwin, MO, 63011
I am strongly for Net Neutrality rules under the current Title II oversight. I do not wish the ISP to have to regulate themselves under the less strict Title I, as it will lead to more 'fast/slow' lanes in from ISP's as we saw back in 2014 when Netflix brokered deals with Comcast and Verizon to guarantee 'fast lanes' for their content. This proceeding is very anti-consumer. Thankfully, the existing Open Internet rules mean that ISP monopolies canâ€™t slow or block usersâ€™ ability to see certain websites or engage in data discrimination by charging websites and online services money to reach customers faster. Thatâ€™s the best way forward to make sure competition in the Internet space is fair and benefits small businesses and consumers as well as entrenched Internet companies. Paiâ€™s proposal would transform ISPs into gatekeepers with an effective veto right on innovation and expression. Thatâ€™s not the kind of Internet we want to pass on to future generations of technology users. I work from home and deal with the Internet as a integral part of my job. I need it to operate within my business. Please do not roll back Title II.

5257. Daniel J Imbellino, St Louis, MO, 63125
It absolutely disgusts me that Ajit Pai and our conservative congressional leaders want to gut the rules of Net Neutrality, that serve to protect internet users, publishers, and others from discrimination by telecom companies and internet service providers. I wholeheartedly

demand we keep title II of the Net Neutrality rules that were previously passed in 2015, and that the government continues to allow the FCC to regulate ISP's as title II common carriers, which is what they are! Telecoms and ISP's should never have the right to discriminate against certain people, information, applications, throttling or blocking of services, create paywalls, or prioritization of certain entities over others. It's time for our government to do what's right and meet the overwhelming demands of the American people to preserve the rules of Net Neutrality!Ajit Pai and the FCC also needs to respond swiftly to remove complaints of fraudulent commenting from this proceeding, including legally viable requests to remove comments of individuals who rightly claimed that statements submitted were not there own. Ajit Pai and the FCC should also open an investigation into determining who is responsible for submitting hundreds of thousands of fake comments.

5258. Tim Daniels, Lee's Summit, MO, 64063
It is really important to preserve the Title II status of ISPs. There is plenty of evidence that providers will use the advantage of their monopolies (or near-monopolies) on the last mile to prioritize their traffic. ISPs are the new common carriers, and the bandwidth that we pay them for should be 100% traffic agnostic. It is really, really bad for the chairman of the FCC to side with providers in order to enrich them. The FCC exists to protect consumers--us!

5259. Claire Engel, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I support the strong net neutrality that is backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.

5260. Kevin Allemann, Harrisburg, MO, 65256
Dear FCC,I ask that you please defend Title II net neutrality and protect the internet as a common carrier.I ask the commissioners to stand with the majority of Americans and preserve real net neutrality.Kind regards,

5261. Olivia Myers, Columbia, MO, 65203
I am in STRONG support of strong net neutrality laws under Title II. I can't believe there is any question in this matter. Huge companies and monopolies will not make ethical choices when they are not being held accountable.

5262. Kenneth Gurney, St. Louis, MO, 63117
Do NOT change the net neutrality rules. Do NOT allow ISPs to be listed under title one. Do what's right for the little guy for once, and not just the big corporations.

5263. Caitlin Conway, kirkwood, MO, 63122
I disagree with this measure and will not support any lawmakers that vote for it. The Internet should be a free space and speeds should not be limited or metered

5264. David Smith, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Net neutrality is highly important. Keep title 2 regulations. Preserve net nuetrality

5265. Kathryn Hedberg, Springfield, MO, 65804
I support strong net neutrality. I believe in the Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please and thank you!

5266. David Koch, Saint Louis, MO, 63112
Please preserve net neutrality by keeping ISP's in title II.

5267. john and karen finder, ballwin, MO, 63021
I support the continuation of strong net neutrality using title II regulations.

5268. Ellen C Ranney, PhD, St Louis, MO, 63117
Support net neutrality with title 2 protection.

5269. James LaFever, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Please keep the net neutrality laws

5270. Michael Morrell, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
Mr. Pi I strongly support strong net neutrality backed you Title to over site of ISP. The internet freedom and must stay out of big money hands.

5271. George Booth, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
I support strong net-neutrality. Strong net-neutrality needs to be backed by Title II oversight of all ISP's

5272. Gabriel Crewell, Osage Beach, MO, 65049
Mr. Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's

5273. Sofonyas, Kansas City, MO, 64153
I support preserving Net Neutrality and Tittle II

5274. Hayden Wilder, St. Charles, MO, 63301
Don't remove Title 2 classification from ISPs. We need a free and open internet. Don't let ISPs run away with out freedom!

5275. James Edward Lee Hormann, Columbia, MO, 65203
I'm a freshman at the University of Connecticut pursuing a degree in Mechanical Engineering. My permanent address is in Columbia, MO because of my Mother's affiliation with the University of Missouri. I support strong net neutrality regulations and Title 2 oversight of ISP's. This decision will directly impact my access to information in the future. Do not allow the fox (ISP's) to guard the hen house (my access to whichever content I choose).

5276. Shaina Eckhouse, Saint Louis, MO, 63103
Net neutrality should remain unchanged and Title 2 as changing this can influence and negatively affect my ability to search for information related to both my job and my personal life on the internet.

5277. Stephanie Harkins, Clinton, MO, 64735
I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II, and resist the efforts made by Donald Trump to change these.

5278. Brett Branson, St Louis, MO, 63128
ISP's should not get to decide winners and losers. They should not get to operate without conflict of interest laws and protections either. Thank you

5279. Brian Chapman, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
I believe that Net Neutrality is critical to the future of our country and free speech in general. Letting corporations dictate which sites get the best speeds and access is a horrible idea and will rapidly degrade the value of the Internet as a tool for collaboration, education and accountability. Please do not bow to the pressure of lobbyists and corporate interest groups...keep the Internet a level playing field!

5280. Megan Zander, Holt, MO, 64048
Title II and Section 706 are extremely important to protect internet service from becoming a way for ISPs to target competitors' content and providers who are not able to pay (what will inevitably be) unfair fees to ISPs. The internet is working just fine for all of us - please don't let the lobbying efforts of Comcast and AT&T define what the market for internet service looks like. If we are to have a truly capitalist, free-market economy, we need free information and an even playing ground. The basics of capitalism apply here. Just because a few companies cannot 'reinvest' does not mean that they are not making a great profit margin and exceeding their own growth expectations. Net neutrality is hurting no one, but it is keeping free-flowing information alive in the American economy.

5281. JPark, Saint Louis, MO, 63141
I am a librarian and I strongly believe that everyone should have fair access to the Internet and World Wide Web. Access to information should be uniform and no one should have preferential treatment. I find it appalling that so much in the American government seems to be up for sale, to be bought and paid for, to be at the mercy of corporations who just want to get the biggest payout they can possibly get. What will happen for those who cannot afford Internet/Web access at high prices? There is already a big digital divide in America; please do not make that divide grow even larger.

5282. amy Raymond, St. Louis, MO, 63117
The FCC needs to continue with Title II. Net neutrality is detrimental to our society. ISPs should NOT favor or block products or information. Access to free and unencumbered internet regardless of the source is how it should remain. Keep the current protections in place. No one wants undo interference from their ISP. However, ham radios could make a comeback if you keep this nonsense up.

5283. Bruce Duckworth, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
I fully support the continuation of the net neutrality standards with the FCC. I do not want access or speed of the internet controlled by providers with additional fees for varying site access.

5284. rafael, Kansas City, MO, 64106
I truly and sincerely believe net neutrality is of vital importance and in order to preserve it and enforce we must keep the ISPs under Title 2

5285. Jason Robinson, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
Net neutrality is vital to my business, the world and communication. A free and open internet is vital and should be protected.

5286. Sean Moses, Springfield, MO, 65804
Keep title 2, I like my Internet company bias and monopoly free.

5287. Mark Cooper, Wentzville, MO, 63385
Title 2 oversight of ISPs are what keeps the internet great and free. Please maintain the free market of the internet.

5288. Walden Jost, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
Please keep my nets neutral.

5289. netneutrality, kansas city, MO, 64119
I support net neutrality under title two supervision.

5290. Kyle Tinker, Lees Summit, MO, 64082
I fully support the FCC's regulation of ISPs as a common carrier/utility. ISP's should not discriminate or be held liable for the traffic that transits their network, like any other postal service, shipper, or information carrier.

5291. Terry Baldes, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
Retain net netruality! Do not allow corporate greed to deny U.S. citizens and non-ISP businesses the right to an open internet.

5292. Devon Steinkoenig, Washington, MO, 63090
I support a strong legal basis for Net Neutrality, which I believe can only be provided by Internet Service Providers (ISP) being classified under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. The internet is too fundamental to everyday society for ISPs to be allowed to determine who gets the privilege of having access to the full capabilities of data transmission hardware. The internet is fundamental to the operation of banking; national and international commerce; education; logistics of food, water, and electricity; entertainment, especially entertainment that requires low latency such as streaming and gaming; call centers; stock and commodities trading; even local

businesses rely on having fast internet in order to process Point Of Sale transactions in a timely manner. Clearly, the internet is no longer a curiosity that only young people are interested in, as it was until approximately 2000. The internet is a public utility as much as, if not more than, phone service or postal service.

5293. Ashley Rosen, Saint Joseph, MO, 64506
The Internet should be protected by the second amendment rights. I run a business through the internet, I blog to support a crowdfunding campaign, and participate in social media. The Internet is a resource which shouldn't be managed like a luxury.

5294. Karin Taylor, Saint Louis, MO, 63141
I support net neutrality. Please do not revoke Title I I status for ISPs.

5295. Marye Glatz, Springfield, MO, 65803
We NEED strong net neutrality. FCC Chairman Pai is foolish and corrupt.

5296. Justin veal, Ofallon, MO, 63366
Please preserve net neutrality under strict title 2 protections.

5297. Abigail Zaheri, Hazelwood, MO, 63042
We strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title Two oversight of ISPs.

5298. Brad Lane, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
Defend Title 11 net neutrality and protect the internet as a common carrier.

5299. Ian Chandler, Maryville, MO, 64468
I support the Title 2 regulations. Do not get rid of them. They help secure a free internet.

5300. McAvoy Patrick, University City, MO, 63130
Please keep strong FCC guidelines for Net Nuetrality. That means keep ISPs under Title II.

5301. Tracy Butler, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
As a small internet-based business owner, a free, open and neutral internet is absolutely vital to my ability (and that of many others like me) to ply my trade. For businesses to be able to compete on an even playing field, for innovation to flourish without undue inhibition, for information to flow freely,To entrust these things to the major cable internet providers, who will invariably seek to do whatever serves their bottom line (which may well involve impeding innovation that may pose some competition, marginalizing or promoting certain information avenues depending on how well they align with their political interests, creating fast lanes or slow lanes that promote their own business interests to the detriment of smaller businesses) is unconscionable. To deal such a blow to the potential of small businesses by rescinding Net Neutrality seems deeply myopic with regard to the future economic health and growth of this country.Net Neutrality must persist.

5302. aaron becker, St. Louis, MO, 63119
Support strong net nuetrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs

5303. Steven Tuthill, Fulton, MO, 65251
Keep Net Neutrality in place. Don't repeal Title II. Companies should not be allowed to slow down websites arbitrarily, so it is very important that Net Neutrality stays intact.

5304. Patrick Braun, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
Please don't do this. We do not want this. This is a bad idea.

5305. Brandon Smith, Columbia, MO, 65201
I support net neutrality. The zeitgeist that has taken over this era is not an excuse to let big business take over our country. I fear the abolishment of net neutrality will add a straw to a camels back which is already breaking. Please keep net neutrality strong.

5306. Kathryn Brammall, Moberly, MO, 65270
I support the maintenance of net neutrality and the preservation of the strong FCC oversight of ISPs that is afforded by keeping ISPs under article 2.

5307. Joel Chesser, Kansas City, MO, 64133
I think it's absolutely vital that we keep big businesses that already control television, phone and internet services like a conglomerate to further regulate how consumers will connect across the web. If there were more options of internet service providers to choose from virtually everyone would pick those who do not throttle or limit their choices. That is not an option, however, seeing how competition and variety is lacking and that such services are quickly approaching a monopoly.

5308. Gary McDonald , Springfield , MO, 65804
I don't know why I need to say why, I think it's pretty obvious.

5309. Dane Smitley, Grandview, MO, 64063
Please stop trying to infringe upon the openness of the internet. I'm very upset that this keeps happening, as are many other people. Nobody wants that to change! Please keep ISPs under strong title 2 oversight!

5310. Marta Perez, St. Louis, MO, 63118
I support net neutrality. Please keep the internet accessible and fair to Americans.

5311. John H, Columbia, MO, 65201
I support Internet Neutrality, and believe that it should, currently, not be changed.

5312. Deanna Thomas, Lee's Summit, MO, 64063
I think it is imperative that we maintain net neutrality and support keeping ISPs under Title II by definition.

5313. Alannah Fletcher, Holden, MO, 64040
Agit Pai-I support Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversite of ISPs, and so should you. Alannah Fletcher

5314. Claire Wunker, St Louis, MO, 63130
I support net neutrality and keeping the title 2 regulations on ISPs.

5315. Russell Traeger, Wildwood, MO, 63005
I support to keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules

5316. Alaina Baker-Nigh, St. Louis, MO, 63109
I enjoin the FCC to maintain net neutrality by preserving its enforcement under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

5317. Joseph Shands, Jackson, MO, 63755
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title Two oversight of ISP's

5318. Madeline Meloy, Kansas City, MO, 64112
Support net neutrality. Do not reclassify ISPs under Title I of the Communications Act - leave them under Title II with stricter enforcement.

5319. Dillon Frank, Saint Joseph, MO, 64503
I would like to tell the FCC chairman that I STRONGLY SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY! JOHN OLIVER SENT ME!

5320. christie henry, st. louis, MO, 63122
PLEASE preserve Net Neutrality and Title II - very important!!!!

5321. Luna Minnick, Belton, MO, 64012
Net Neutrality needs to be kept exactly the way it is, not auctioned off to the highest bidder. The internet is a last bastion for free exchange.

5322. Joshua M Simpson, St. Charles, MO, 63301
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2! To do otherwise is to abrogate the responsibilities of the organization and directly support increasing monopoly of ISPs and media corporations.

5323. Caitlyn Hagarty, St. Louis, MO, 63143
I support STRONG net neutrality. ISPs need to be registered under Title II.

5324. CT Scan, Ferguson, MO, 63102
Someone's got to be able to have some type of civil case that they could bring to the Court in Louisiana? You're going to throw Michael Brown in my face and then not bring a civil case in Louisiana forward?

5325. CT Scan, Ferguson, MO, 63102
But the 7th Circuit found that Randa's interpretation of a the sentencing range for RICO was reasonable, that a RICO conviction, when based on an underlying act punishable by life, can also be punished by up to life in prison. The prisoners argued that the wording effectively required a life sentence.Writing for the court, Judge Joel Flaum said that if it adopted the appellants' interpretation, "we would require courts to impose a sentence of life in prison under (the RICO law) whenever the predicate offense carries a life sentence as an option." That would conflict with "settled sentencing practices" and Supreme Court decisions "that have moved away from mandatory sentencing to allow courts the flexibility to 'tailor the sentence in light of other statutory concerns.'â€

5326. CT Scan, Ferguson, MO, 63102
Man shot by deputy in grave condition; loaded handgun found in vehicle, Sheriff Clarke says. Clark it sounds like it's Terry Virgil. Why don't we go ask Hanky.

5327. CT Scan, Ferguson, MO, 63102
Si, Clark, Eric Steverson and Dale Schmidt. Let me as the Lieutenant Governor Candidate consult with Governor Candidate Dennis Furr.

5328. Nicholas Williams, St. Louis, MO, 63144
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. I do not believe that any other form of regulation will successfully regulate ISPs and maintain a free and open Internet.

5329. Michael Doty, Joplin, MO, 64801
Mr. Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight for ISPs. Although the thought may be that these companies have not done this in the past (though clearly they have), there is still the very real and likely possibility that they could slow service to competitors apps, websites, etc. in the near future. If we don't think this could happen, we can look at cable television and service providers. The providers have such influence and money that they block channels in order to get them to pay the subscription fee the providers want. The providers are able to leverage their power and resources to hold the power. The same concept applies here. This is the very nature of capitalism and free-market economics that we believe in. The ISPs will try to maximize revenue and a voluntary

agreement is not enough to hold them accountable. This is one way you can help protect the common citizen who can't afford to stand up to the corporations and to protect small businesses trying to find a niche or start up a company (and creating jobs) that would potentially be harmed by directly competing with these larger corporations. Please be true to your party and protect the mom and pop shops and the consumer.

5330. Lora Hainsworth, Columbia, MO, 65203
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Please don't roll back these regulations.

5331. Taylor Barnett, Kansas City, MO, 64124
It is empirical that net neutrality remains guarded under title 2.

5332. P Hupp, Benton, MO, 63736
I strongly support Net Neutrality with Title 2 oversight. I honestly think it's ridiculous this is even an argument, it's a no-brainer. ISP's shouldn't be held to an "honer" system for self-policing

5333. net neutrality, camdenton, MO, 65020
Please Preserve Title two protections as it pertains to net neutrality. A Monopoly on information is un-American and goes against progress, It will also restrict job growth by making it harder for underprivileged persons to gain access to information, tools and connections to better equip themselves for contributing to the workforce and even creating new avenues for untapped markets. Rolling back Net Neutrality if approved, will go down in history as a massive mistake with far reaching ramifications.

5334. Jeffrey "I am the greatest person to comment on this, thank you John Oliver for the URL 'gofccyourself.com' for allowing me to do this" Kirkley, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
Ajit Pai,I, Jeffrey "I am the greatest person to comment on this, thank you, John Oliver, for the URL 'gofccyourself.com' for allowing me to do this" Kirkley, am deeply affected by the possible threat to net neutrality as I myself plan on making my career on the internet writing deeply politically moderate webcomics, specifically against the ideologies of al the politicians running for president during the 2016 presidential election. Removing net neutrality will give you the opportunity to restrict my freedom of speech, going directly against the vision and ideology of the founding fathers of this country, people who you and the president you not only claim to support but are claiming would support your vision of this country, as you and the president are working to ruin the vision of. Please, do not allow internet oversite be removed from title II and back onto title I. doing so is not only ruining this country, ruining this young man's dreams but also (as you now see) will ruin potential American business and directly hand business over to foreign countries.

5335. Joseph Shih, Liberty, MO, 64068
I support an open internet with internet service providers (ISP's) regulated as common carriers in concordance with Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Internet access is so important for modern life that ISP's are should act in the public interest, and I believe that Title II is the best mechanism for that to happen.

5336. Vaughn Graham, Aurora, MO, 65605
As a small business owner and from my experience as a corporate software developer for the last decade I feel the move to change the status of the internet providers is dangerous for the free flow of data as the effect would be the making of preferred digital 'lanes' that receive the infrastructure improvements and capacity increases while the common wire channels are more congested and slow as a result. The corporate lobbies will argue that they will not do this and make promises to that effect to this I ask "where is the rule high speed that was promised and paid for by the taxpayer?" the track record these corporations without the intervention of regulations such as the "common carrier" requirement is dubious at best, even with these restrictions those of us that have to deal with real life consequences are left deeply troubled by this, as a business owner the thought of prioritized internet traffic is unsettling as I would have to pay more to reach the same quality in service as at the current time. Personally, the thought that these corporations need this change to stay competitive while they are making record profits is... humorous and insulting at the same time, this is simply whitewashing of the face that they wish to increase profits, as a fellow capitalist I do not begrudge them but the common good is more important.Please do not remove the common carrier restrictions on internet providers.

5337. Lilah Rahn-Lee, Liberty, MO, 64068
I support net neutrality! It is vital for net neutrality that ISP continue to be regulated under title II of the communications act of 1934, not moved to title I.

5338. Rachael W, Kansas City, MO, 64117
Net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs is something I strongly support. This regulation benefits the freedom of information and choice for the people. There is also no evidence that Title II hurts ISPs financially and when it comes to improving their infrastructure. Aside from Ajit Pai's personal feelings about regulations, there is no reason to do away with Title II. Please leave the regulations as they are and protect net neutrality. Thank you.

5339. laura yarbrough, Saint Louis, MO, 63105
I fully and specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please don't let the morons running our country totally screw this up. Thank you.

5340. Daniel Gillette, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please maintain net neutrality. It's what we need to maintain a fair and open internet.

5341. Vanessa Starke, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
I support Net Neutrality and it being preserved under Title II.

5342. abby herndon, Saint peters, MO, 63376

The government is for the people so stop hiding and lying to the people. The environment is for everyone, and so is information.

5343. Richard Hoffecker, Versailles, MO, 65084
I can't belive we have to do this again. Don't sell out NET NUTRALITY. It's censorship. Unlike most of the Oafish Dolts our "president" has surrounded himself with, your Dept. has the ability to do a BETTER job for us. NOT THE PLUTOCRATS. Wheeler had balls, let's see if that rings true now.

5344. Cory Elfrink, Columbia, MO, 65203
In the name of the independence that we so proudly have built this country, please ensure strong net neutrality under Title 2. I write this in agreement with my family, friends, community, and co-workers. In discussing this issue with over 35 well-educated individuals, I have not met a single one that is for changing the current legislation regarding net neutrality. It is my hope that you sincerely consider the voice of the people on this matter. Thank you. Cory Elfrink

5345. John Hainline, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
Please don't remove the net neutrality regulations that keep ISPs in check! Right now I have only 1 choice in ISP. I purchase internet access from that ISP so that I can use the internet as a whole. My internet usage (requesting information from various sources) is "telecommunications" as defined by law. Reclassifying internet access as an "information service" instead of as telecommunications is wrong. It is a misuse of the entire purpose of the FCC. The FCC fulfills the extremely important purpose of policing a group of companies that have little to no competition between them. If broadband is redefined by the FCC, there will be no consumer protections available to me. With the single ISP option I have, I cannot count on market forces to prevent that ISP from effectively cutting me off from whatever internet capabilities they choose.

5346. Tim Barris, BLue Springs, MO, 64015
Remember you're here to serve the American people, like more than just the people who pay you to serve their needs instead. You know, the needs of the many over the needs of the few? Well many more people need your protection than just Comcast.

5347. Raymond Gilbert, Waynesville, MO, 65584
The want, and attempt, to eliminate net neutrality is abhorrent to the American way of life. How? First off, it destroys freedom of speech/press. But more than that it comes very close to violating antitrust acts. Giving larger corporations the ability to control content gives them the ability to shut down and stifle American growth. This country is about the freedoms of the people and the ability for one person to go from nothing to something. That shouldn't be asterisked with fine print saying "*unless you want to start up an internet and communications business". It is immoral, borderline illegal, and unconstitutional to wish to shut down the little guy and gouge the citizens and consumers. Without the citizen, without the consumer, heck without the competition, there can be no growth. This baffles me.

5348. 1, Ellisville, MO, 63021
I am in full support of net neutrality and the continuation of title 2 classification of ISPs

5349. Matthew Lambdin, St. Louis, MO, 63118
Hi, I'm writing in support of strong net neutrality laws. Allowing certain users to purchase/deliver faster access would only make it even more difficult for small businesses to compete, reducing future innovation and further concentrating power in the hands of the few.

5350. Mary Sauerwein, St. Charles, MO, 63301
Preserve net neutrality and Title II ya fucks.

5351. fcc, marshfield, MO, 65706
Dont let us customers lose any more rights to internet than we already have!! The internet should be protected as a service that is NECESSARY for anyone, not a luxury. They already have monopolies on their services (where I live there is only one "high" speed provider (with 4MB/S on a good day) with no need to do anything for the customer because they know we have only 1 choice. The FCC should be able to further regulate broadband internet service providers to ensuring that consumers are protected from invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers. If the FCC is left without authority over broadband ISPs, Comcast could double its prices overnight, and there wouldnâ€™t be anything anyone could do about it.

5352. Deborah Cohen, St. Louis, MO, 63116
In this atmosphere of media conglomerates, I am worried about citizens' ability to access--without interference from corporations--an unlimited range of media. Doing so is fundamental to our free speech rights and democracy. The internet has deepened our political engagement, which is only healthy for our democracy. Thank you.

5353. Peggy White, Springfield, MO, 65804
The Internet belongs to everyone, not just a few big companies! Net Neutrality is important and vital for FREE SPEECH which the First Amendment of the Constitution provides for everyone. I prefer to choose how I use the net and have NO interest in having big companies or the government decide for me! No one should be allowed to control internet speed either! Leave Net Neutrality alone!

5354. Pam & John Koehler, St Charles, MO, 63304
Please respect the public rights and needs for net neutrality and don't be influenced by lobbying and big money from the politicians & big communications conglomerates.Respectfully,

5355. Muriel Fogarty, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Getting rid of net neutrality threatens a major source of community, education and exploration for the people while ONLY benefitting ISPs.With so much of today's world (job applications, small business, collaboration) available only online, it is criminal to restrict that access in the name of money and control.

5356. Sue, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Please do not allow the Internet to be sold to the highest bidder! Net Neutrality is fundamental to free speech.

5357. Walter Iman, Columbia, MO, 65201
Any change in net neutrality rules will be anti-consumer. It's very simple, if the FCC is anti-consumer, it will allow corporate decision makers to manhandle the internet. If the FCC is consumer oriented, it will LEAVE THINGS ALONE!

5358. Ingrid Taylor, Springfield, MO, 65803
Please leave the Internet alone! It's similar to the US in the early days of immigration, a "melting pot" of ideas, information, etc. If corporations take over the Internet we will only be allowed to hear/see/watch what they want us to see. Please leave our FREEDOM OF SPEECH on the Internet intact!

5359. Nate Marks, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
Ok, brief and to the point. I can't tell you anything in this message that hasn't already been told. You know the arguments, you know the logic, you KNOW what's at stake. The only question is do you know the right thing to do? I'll simply ask, while you're deciding what do about net neutrality you ask yourself? What is the benefit of removing it for the face of the internet? What do we, as a people, gain from it being gone? The FFC was created to protect monitor and protect, to keep individuals and groups for exploiting a vital service, to try to keep things fair. Simple really.

5360. Chuck Koelling, Clayton, MO, 63105
Dear FCC - you know the right thing to do here. Just do it. The Internet must remain open to all, not only to those who can afford it the most. I am totally opposed to gutting net neutrality so that the Fortune 500 has it for themselves. Please don't change the current rules. Thank you.

5361. Bryce M. Goman, St. Louis, MO, 63121
I am in favor of net neutrality. I am tired of large telecom companies making unilateral arbitrary changes that disadvantage their customers financially. I will be very displeased if there is tampering with net neutrality. I and my wife are informed voters and we do vote.

5362. Steven Neese, Wentzville, MO, 63385
America, and the world, need the Internet to remain neutral in order to protect free speech and the exchange of ideas. Control and restrictions has never fostered ideas that have changed the world, only defiance of them.

5363. Jonathon Caputo, Viburnum, MO, 65566
I want an open internet, not one run by big companies, why would you even think of doing this. This is the common core line of thinking, witch leaves no room for common sense.

5364. james hall, st.louis, MO, 63129
keep net neutrality,ig big companies get control,the consumers will suffer.

5365. Alan Jones, Shell Knob, MO, 65747
This is crazy to limit access to the internet. This is the same principle as 'FREE SPEECH"! More speech(free internet)is the answer!

5366. Chara Rydzak, St Louis, MO, 63108
Protect and strengthen NET Neutrality! Do not hand control or special advantages to big cable companies like AT&T, Comcast or Verizon. Maintain fundamentals of free speech. Maintain a fair equal playing field for everyone including small businesses and innovators, the life blood of this countries economy. Maintain consumer choice. Maintain freedom.

5367. Patrick Beggan, Manchester, MO, 63021
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Please don't take it away.

5368. Thomas J. Allen, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
Keep government intervention with respect to the internet to the minimum necessary to preserve freedom and that should mean not allowing for profit companies to use their monopolies to tailor what individuals can do or see.

5369. Charlotte Cramer, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Please stop allowing the privatization of public domains. Your job is protect our interest, not sell us out to the highest bidder. I promise you that this is an unsustainable cultural model that will ultimately fail.

5370. Jenny Pennycook, St. Charles, MO, 63303
I support net neutrality. As a doctor in an independent small private practice, it is important for me to be able reach patients. Without net neutrality, small practices will continue to sell out to big hospital corporations, as we lose yet another avenue to compete against them. This eliminates jobs as staff are trimmed out. Also, the personal doctor-patient relationship can become compromised.

5371. J.Walker, Hannibal, MO, 63401
No companies should take over the internet.

5372. Robert Robertson, Hollister, MO, 65672
Hey you money grubbing assholes in congress, leave the internet just like it is. We have too much government sticking their noses in peoples lives and the joy of net neutrality. Hope any of you in congress get voted out that are are being puppets of corporations. There will hell to pay if you mess with net neutrality

5373. Robert Jennings, KC, MO, 64114

I'm fed up with agencies whose sole purpose is to protect citizens selling out our rights. We paid for this network, the cables, the servers, the maintenance; do the right thing. Net neutrality needs to be a given, not something to struggle for constantly.

5374. Adam Vatterott, St. Louis, MO, 63139
I support net neutrality -- I would not have the education and career I have today if I didn't have access to a free and open internet. I continue to use the internet to work with professional communities that help me build skills I can apply to my home city through my career. Without net neutrality, I may not be able to afford access to these online communities without making unnecessary financial sacrifices.

5375. THOMAS SIMMONS, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64131
CAN'T IMAGINE A MORE EGREGIOUS BEFOULMENT OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT THAN THIS GHASTLY ATTEMPT AT HOSTILE SUBORDINATION OF THE FOREMOST MEDIUM OF FREE SPEECH IN OUR TIMES

5376. Elizabeth M Grimm-Howell, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
Please maintain net neutrality! The US Supreme Court has said that the internet is the twenty-first century town square, the marketplace of ideas. Free access to that marketplace of ideas is fundamental to our free speech rights under the First Amendment. Keep our net neutrality!

5377. Jody Yeates, Affton, MO, 63123
The internet is like a public library. Needs to be free and open to everyone. Net neutrality allows consumers Ã¢Â€Â” not big companies Ã¢Â€Â” to choose what they watch & do online.

5378. stephen davis, springfield, MO, 65807
net neutrality is compulsory for the free flow of information that has lead to so much innovation and change. Without the wide open road of a neutral web mankind will undoubtedly suffer

5379. Hilda Hartling, Lohman, MO, 65053
The Web is so valuable because it's independent. It allows each individual unfettered access. Government and corporate interests are killing the human spirit. Enough already!

5380. Terry L Hale, Kansas City, MO, 64118
The huge telecommunication companies barely manage their own affairs promptly and efficiently-why on earth take away our freedom (Net Neutrality) and turn it over to these mismanaged and customer-unfriendly firms? Interference already exists; we do not need or want more watchdogs.

5381. Eugene Salvati, Pasadena Hills, MO, 63121
I am a conservative voter and consumer of Internet services such as video on demand. I don't want my ISP to decide that my content should be served faster or slower than any other content. I am in favor of net-neutrality regulations.

5382. Corinne Doyle Evans, St. Louis, MO, 63105
If the net is not neutral, the news will become the property of the rich and powerful. Since the Supreme Court's Citizens United judgement, the rich and powerful already own most politicians. If they own the internet, you can kiss democracy good-bye.

5383. Sarah McUmber-House, New London, MO, 63459
I do not want corporate manipulation, filtration, and profit to rule what I have access to on the internet! I know how to search for what I want, whether it be information or products, and don't want someone else deciding what I will 'find' based on their priorities, over my own. Net Neutrality is essential to maintain the rights of the individual citizenry to access knowledge and exert our freedom to make up our own minds (Which is exactly why it is being targeted!).

5384. Craig Blanton, Asbury, MO, 64832
The internet was built by people for people, not by mega corporations. Please keep the internet neutral.

5385. M., Gladstone, MO, 64119
Most Retailers with major internet sales are not in favor of service providers having data which can be sold/given to competitors....without the retailers knowledge or consent.

5386. Arthur Gansner, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
Net neutrality needs to stay. We as Americans do not need, are want one more public asset miss used under pretexts of help us. When it is just going to let the richest, most powerful gain control of the most powerful tool we all have to be completely equal in at least on one playing field. The internet is already being usurped by the powerful. Please donÃ¢Â€Â™t help the powerful take what rightfully belongs to all of us. The rich, well educated, and accomplished, as well as the poor and uneducated whoÃ¢Â€Â™s hopes and dreams for a better life, nay maybe even life itself. Money and power should never usurp the needs and dreams of (we the people!)

5387. Eduard Trenary, Imperial, MO, 63052
Please leave net neutrality alone. Online start up will never be able to compete fairly. What would Facebook, Google, or Amazon have done without a free and open internet. Please don't do this.

5388. Benjamin Rosensweig, St Louis, MO, 63110
Net neutrality is key to having nice things. Net neutrality allows the public to be more educated, more creative, and more productive. An uneducated, unproductive population can cause huge problems. Protect net neutrality.

5389. Sam Pennington, Peculiar, MO, 64078

The early American patriots were mostly well-to-do individuals who saw their duty to be the protection of the trust that had been placed in them and not as an opportunity to enhance their wealth and that of their affluent friends.Unfortunately, the utterly ludicrous nature of a proposal to rob the public of such a powerful tool as free access to the internet in order that a comparatively few individuals might make huge profits continues an inexcusable trend by the current administration to put individual profit above the public good.

5390. Pete, Licking, MO, 65542
The internet exists solely because of my tax dollars.I vehemently oppose this attempt for corporate America to line their greedy pockets.

5391. Erik O'Riley, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
Net neutrality is against everything American and free. Keep the internet free.

5392. Renee Brooksher, Liberty, MO, 64068
It is vital to protect net neutrality as a part of protecting our first amendment right to free speech. As such the FCC should consider how new rules affect net neutrality before handing our free speech over to corporate control.

5393. Brendon Jette, Chesterfield , MO, 63005
The internet is very important globally because we can communicate with people overseas, and anywhere in the world. Although there are some bad uses of the internet, most people use it for good.

5394. KartaPurkh Khalsa, Kansas City, MO, 64111
As little as I try to use the internet, I would be very disappointed if things were any slower due to corporate hogging of the service through the use of ca$h. Money is NOT the be all and end all of the transcendent times to come.

5395. Michael Sean Hanks, Springfield, MO, 65807
Don't sell-out our freedom! Keep the net neutral. Keep it open and free.

5396. Julie Bennett, Columbia, MO, 65203
Handing the net over to large companies will end our First Amendment Rights. Facebook is an excellent example, during the previous election, it was inundated with fake news that illustrated the libel agenda. it should remain neutral, and accessible to all ideas and beliefs. What we view (within legal guidelines--in areas such as child porn, etc) should be a choice--not determined by companies, or the government, for example we should not be regulated like China or North Korea. Allowing companies like Comcast, Verizon,At&T, etc.. will also create "natural monopolies" The American people do not need any more of these, (cable companies, electric companies, trash and water) Also consider the move from analog to digital TV. Without any sort of extended apparatus, or "pay access" citizens can no longer receive even local news. This move by the US government, put more money into big business, and less in the pockets of citizens. Logic tells us that with the FCC putting control into private business, access prices would increase, and perhaps be limited. Do not take action against Net neutrality.

5397. Dan combest, Saint louis, MO, 63109
The information economy will suffer without strong net net neutrality protection. Protect jobs, protect small companies, and keep all internet users free from data caps and extraneous gate keepers. We have already paid enough for the development and maintenance of the internet to keep it an open domain for the world.

5398. Tina D, Springfield, MO, 65803
The internet should not be controlled or operated by big communication companies. The internet should not be a privately owned business, designed with their own benefit and growth as a priority. Moreover, these companies should not determine what is offered on the internet, who has access, and how it can be used. If you check their customer service satisfaction, they cannot run their own companies properly. They have been sued for deceptive practices and fraudulent charges. There is no way they should be allowed to control any other forms of communication. It would be a monopoly. If we want to be censored, we can live in Russia or China, that is not the way of the USA

5399. Anne brady, Columbia, MO, 65203
The internet must remain neutral. We have enough class borders without the intrusion of profit on the level of access

5400. Denice Adkins, Columbia, MO, 65203
Without net neutrality, we cannot be assured of getting unbiased information. Protect net neutrality!

5401. David Houser, Hillsboro, MO, 63050
My wife and extended family members expect Internet neutrality which is vital to the diversity of work we do from our homes from computer work to installation of audio and video systems. Net neutrality is freedom to exchange information and ideas. So don't fix something that is not broken.

5402. Sat Inder Khalsa, KC, MO, 64111
I appreciate internet neutrality as a basic requiement for free speech. Not property of the telecommunications businesses.Thank you.

5403. s.l. mcdowel, kansas city, MO, 64131
We are being charged a great deal of money monthly for these services & costs will keep rising, to be censored and charged for it is immoral. Net neutrality is a must for a global sharing community to prosper and enjoy products ,people and services as those who now make these decisions. I'm a retired at&t employee, I helped build and improve this network.

5404. Jeanne Reeder, Columbia, MO, 65203
I am 74 years of age, and lived in Alaska from age 6 - 29, taking time out in Oregon to get my PhD. I learned from an early age to value independent thinking and actions, while still supporting my government. I now fear that many of my personal rights are being

threatened. I urge you, the FCC, to join me in supporting the little guy who wants to make a difference....this guy cannot compete with the big bucks money of the big networks. Please give us a true choice, not one paid for with lobbying money. Please support Net Neutrality.

5405. Tyler McIntosh, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I believe it is extremely important to protect net neutrality. Please consider the long term effects of what this decision would mean if it is not protected. Corporations would control the information the population is allowed to consume. It is essential the population has equal access to information from every source so that we can make informed decisions.

5406. Matt Hensley, Kansas City, MO, 64151
ISPs having the ability to suppress any traffic they don't agree with is dangerous for the economy. Many would be jobs will be lost due to companies having an unfair fight for user access.

5407. Pat Shell, Sikeston, MO, 63801
To much government now. AT&T was broken up once and now this will give them just one more holdon the public. Leave the internet open..

5408. Bobbie Lane, Washington , MO, 63090
Please do not mess with the internet. I like it the way it is.

5409. Grace Pellegrini, St. Louis, MO, 63103
You want to take away Net Neutrality?My computer is always getting maliciousware on it and I have to constantly run my anti-virus software!Some of the web sites I go to, have been maliciously attacked!Are you out of your mind!Stop trying to take away our freedom of speech, freedom of writing, freedom of expression, freedom of creativity, freedom of artistic talent, freedom of ideas, freedom of thinking.Mao did all that in China, Hitler did all of that during World War II, and now you want to do it?No, enough is enough.I'm at the point where I will give up the internet and if anyone wants to contact me, can send me a smoke signal.I will boycot!I will never, ever go on the internet again if Net Neutrality is taken away!That is wrong, unjust, and unfair!Stop with the greed!I'm tired of everything being about money, money, money!I will go back to reading books and I mean paper books, not e-books!Why are you trying to turn the United States of America into another Russia, China, North Korea, and ISIS country?If you want to spy on anyone, Spy on Russia, China, North Korea, and ISIS.Those countries and organization are our enemies.You know all of this anyway.Stop taking away the right of the U. S. of A. citizens.

5410. Robert Chambers, Kansas City, MO, 64157
Without net neutrality, creators and entrepreneurs could struggle to reach new users. Investment in new ideas would dry up and only the big companies would survive, stifling innovation.

5411. Aislinn, Kansas City, MO, 64129
Don't we have enough government and BIG business involvement in our personal lives and our pockets? Leave the internet FREE and open content!

5412. Roger Hutcherson, Republic, MO, 65738
I understand that a main reason given for ending Net Neutrality is that it is anticompetitive, notably for small ISPs. To my knowledge the only ISPs providing extra services are large ones, who do compete with the other tech giants for market share in the entertainment sector. Net Neutrality is clearly anti-competitive in this sense. However, small ISPs do not compete in this market, since they don't have the resources to buy content lisences or the extra infrastructure required. This argument that small ISPs would benefit is incorrect on its face.The other argument I have heard was that this regulation has a chilling effect on the market, decreasing the investment into the improvement of internet speeds among other related things. This is also technically correct, but the benefits to consumers from Net Neutrality outweigh this to everyone that you are getting responses from.There are much larger anticompetitive problems for you to deal with that would make for much better improvements in competition and internet speeds than removing net neutrality. Most notably, the fact that there are rules prevent cities from funding the creation of their own ISPs to compete with existing ones. This is hugely anticompetitive, and has a much larger effect on the market than some ethereal "chilling effect".I fully expect my comment to get lost in the torrent of other comments, but these are the arguments as I understand them as well as the arguments against them. If the FCC would put out a statement clarifying these points, I can only think that it would help your situation.

5413. Adam Brown, Springfield, MO, 65802
The Internet as it is today, provides an opportunity to all; for growth, for change, for prosperity. Don't turn it into a place that represents the interests and opportunity for the few. Don't stifle innovation by eliminating the thoughts, ideas creations and opinions of the individuals who have an opportunity to impact the world in a meaningful way. Continue to protect the Internet, and keep it free for everyone to create their own opportunity. The ISPs provide the infrastructure, but we already pay them to be able to use it. They certainly don't create the majority of the innovative content you see today that draws in millions of people around the world. The Internet wouldn't be profitable for ISPs without the content created by the Netizens of the Internet. They certainly don't reserve the right to censor the platform and they shouldn't be granted that privilege in the future.

5414. Jerad Connoyer, West alton, MO, 63386
The internet has become an integral part of everyday life, the budget plans available to everyday people are already taxing on the yearly net gain of said person. To charge per minute or take away unlimited data plans in favor of bulky pay as you go or by the gigabyte schemes is practically criminal, with all the apps and pages that open in the background the user may not notice, charging their account it spells disaster for anyone who isnt a large corporation. The millionaires will make an extra 100 per month per person while the poor will be extorted another 150 per month into poverty.

5415. steve, st louis, MO, 63131
Net neutrality sucks. The internet is not a utility

5416. carol cleveland, Norwood, MO, 65717
Why should government agencies ever need reminding that they're "of the people, by the people, FOR the people"? The reason they exist is to serve the best interests of citizens, and corporations are NOT citizens!

5417. Errol Leslie, Wildwood, MO, 63025
So you say you support a free market, but when it promotes a free market of ideas you're against it?Well that's what happens when your right to free speech is proportional to how deep your pockets are.

5418. John Grebas, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63122
Leave the internet alone, leave free speech alone, stop giving all the advantages to the elite!!! Remember who you are and where you came from and remember people will only take this crap so long before we start fixing things and jailing those that are taking bribes, pay for play, etc. ruining the internet may be the straw that breaks the back!!!

5419. sonny muse, ellsimore, MO, 63937
let play

5420. Justin Luke, Saint Louis, MO, 63113
Net Neutrality must be protected. Corporations do not need to get involved with what we see and do on the internet.

5421. Nick P, Columbia, MO, 65201
No one else should control what you watch or read online.

5422. Charles Neely, Grain Valley , MO, 64029
Technologies constantly evolve and must be integrated into our fundamental foundations of freedom and democracy. Net neutrality is now a fundamental part of the first amendment and the right of free speech for the citizenry. Without it, there can be NO freedom of speech!

5423. Rhonda Darnell, Plato, MO, 65552
Without net neutrality, those of us with small, independent websites AND OUR USERS will suffer. I maintain a free genealogy website with substantial, useful information. Imagine the impact to a treasured hobby if all sites like mine are damaged by a loss of net neutrality.

5424. Seth Korslund, Isabella, MO, 65676
Net neutrality keeps a level playing field for large and small companies as well as individuals. This is simply a power grab and I only remind you all that you are meant to serve the general public welfare not the expansionist aspirations of big data.

5425. John Noll, Highlandville, MO, 65669
Dear FCC, leave the internet free. The internet is one of the few tools left to counteract the propaganda spewed out from the likes of ABC, CBS, NBC, and other big media companies. I know that it irks them no end that they do not fully control the internet and no doubt they are somewhere behind the push to restrict free speech. It is important for the free exchange of ideas, and the freedom of this nation, that the internet stay out of the control of big government and big business. Please, spend your time tracking down the abuse of children and other illegal transactions that take place on the internet, but leave general control in the hands of us small fry,.

5426. Mary Coyle Weidner, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
Net Neutrality is essential for our Democracy! With great Journalism being tested by big money owning TV and Radio networks, we must stand "steadfast" for independence of the Internet. We owe this to our children and grandchildren.

5427. Kane Brooks, Joplin, MO, 64804
The Net should remain neutral, because it provides a level playing field for everyone, and making it harder for small businesses to compete with the large ones will only hurt the economy.

5428. David Walker, Hannibal, MO, 63401
The Net is one of the few things the Feds haven't managed to screw up. Leave it alone and it'll get alone just fine.

5429. Robert Velie, Ozark, MO, 65721
Free speech must be maintained. Large companies must not be allowed to censor the ideas and perspectives of individuals.

5430. Jed Godfrey, Joplin, MO, 64804
Net Neutrality must be protected to protect the purest form of the American dream - small business entrepreneurship. This will give too much power to the mega-corporations, already "running" too much of this country and push out the "little guys" from launching prospective businesses. DO NOT dismantle Net Neutrality.

5431. Clare, Savannah, MO, 64485
"If it ain't broke don't fix it; leave "our" internet alone! LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE! Don't disregard the millions of us who want to protect this. Thanks very much.

5432. Lance M Hiemstra, Kansas City , MO, 64109
Save the Internet. I do not want a cable company telling me what i can or cannot view on the internet. Keep the internet free and open , We are not a Communist country so lets not start being one now .

5433. Charles Smart, Springfield, MO, 65804
Leave the neutrality alone keep like it is I use it and am satisfied to use like it is.

5434. RICK FREEDMAN, ST LOUIS , MO, 63146
I believe consumers Ã¢Â€Â” not big companies Ã¢Â€Â” should be able to choose what they watch & do online. Thus, I believe net neutrality of the internet must be protected.

5435. barbara haffner, st louis , MO, 63146
keep the internet open and free! Fair is fair and what democracy is all about.

5436. John H. Bowles, Salem, MO, 65560
Neutality must be protected. It is a true freedom that allows all members of society access to a tool that is critical to all.

5437. Ben Gruber, Kansas City, MO, 64137
I don't understand why this is still even a debate. We do this dance every few years when the GOP thinks everyone is distracted. Net neutrality amounts to a basic, fundamental right of subscribers, and an unspoken covenant between the government, the ISPs, and citizens. There is nothing to gain by eroding it, at least not for the citizenry. ISPs and corrupt weasels in government - looking at you, Pai - will gain financially, but at the expense of everyone else. This is a very dangerous game you are playing FCC - other countries are counting on us to lead the way. Americans are counting on you to do the right thing. The fuckers that are plundering our country's coffers right now are hoping you will roll back yet another right or privilege to benefit them. Also, Ajit V. Pai - I have no idea why you were installed there, other than you're a puppet/tool, but please kill your smug shitty self. You are what is wrong with this country right now.

5438. mike, Branson, MO, 65616
An open internet ismike essential for a free and open exchange of ideas and therefore the TRUTH. Any censorship or curtailment of an open internet will lead to any manner of a totalitarian state. Please do not allow any further changes to an already partially censored internet.

5439. Eric Koehn, St. Louis, MO, 63143
I have no interest in a failed cable TV model replacing the current, successful, net neutrality model.

5440. Andrew Duke, grandview, MO, 64030
Please dont give up net neutrality.

5441. Linda Shockley-Watson, Annapolis, MO, 63620
SAVE the open web. Protect this freedom, do not allow censorship.

5442. Jerrod, Willard, MO, 65781
Don't take away the freedom of our internet

5443. Neil , Elkland , MO, 65644
I do not like the government getting any more involved in my personal affairs.

5444. cole drewery, moberly, MO, 65270
Big companies are not the internet police and need to stop

5445. Jeremy Turlin, Kansas City, MO, 64145
Keep the Internet open. Leave it alone. The only reason to remove net neutrality is because someone paid you to vote to do it. FUCK YOU.

5446. Roberta Karchner, Sedalia, MO, 65301
We live in an area where internet access is already limited. Cell phone towers don't even cover parts of the areas I drive. So I am limited in who I can choose. My husband is on hospice. I need unrestricted access to cameras to monitor him, and unrestricted access to Echo to be able to contact him. IF my provider decided these needs weren't included or chose to restrict them, then I would have to quit work. This is life and death for us.

5447. Angi Perretti, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I don't understand WHY the American People's right and power of free speech is constantly being threatened by everything and anybody!!! This is our Constitutional right. What has happened to our country? The next invasive issue that will get "dreamed up" is that all people will have to pay for the air we breathe! For, some BIG entity somewhere will try to make a fortune on that, too! THis is outlandish! Obviously, this is another LIBERAL attempt to shut down conservatives' voices and to control the way they innovate their ideas and to effectively and "legally" squash middle class prosperity in America...putting more money and power into other hands. Our voices, everyone's voice should be FREE SPEECH for all Americans to hear and FREE SPEECH to all Americans who choose to ignore whatever is being said. This is AMERICA. This is WHO WE ARE! DON'T TAKE THE POWER OF THE PEOPLE AWAY AND GIVE IT TO SOME ENTITY THAT WILL MAKE LUCRATIVE FORTUNES FROM IT. Just doesn't make sense? Why is this even an issue?

5448. Isaac Wagner-Muns, Rolla, MO, 65401
Internet service providers should remain regulated as common carriers under Title II communications act regulations.

5449. Robert Harvey, Creve Coeur, MO, 63141
Net neutrality is the base upon which the whole internet revolution took place. It would be foolish to let greed kill the innovation

5450. Steven Whitmore, Lees Summit, MO, 64064
Net neutrality is working just fine now for most users. I do not want to see any changes that interfere with my choices. Please leave it

alone. Thank you.

5451. rachel lynch, Trenton, MO, 64683
Please protect net neutrality. I do not want big companies to limit my internet speeds or decide that I have been on the internet too much that day. How much time we spend on the internet should not matter. We have already been through the days of limited internet time and speeds when the public first had access to the internet with companies like AOL. Let's not go back there. thank you.

5452. Gale Strother, Crystal City, MO, 63019
I am a retired veteran of the U.S. Navy and rely heavily upon the internet for many things in my personal life. It would be extremely unfair to allow corporations to control my internet access. Thank you.

5453. Brian, Springfield, MO, 65803
I believe the internet should be agnostic to content. Not only does this support free speech, but it's good for business. All business. The only group who would benefit from letting ISPs manipulate network traffic will be the ISPs themselves.

5454. wes, columbia, MO, 65203
leave net neutrality alone please

5455. Ina Bicknell, Rolla, MO, 65401
Have you heard of the adage: "If it ain't broke don't fixit"? Please maintain net neutrality and absolutely don'tlet the cable companies to have any control.

5456. Adam Koenig, Arnold, MO, 63010
The open internet has allowed my brother learn how to skateboard, my sister help a nonprofit organization, and my mother share her creations with the world.

5457. Charles Shelby, Perryville, MO, 63775
I have been browsing the Internet since IBM provided a free browser. Let's keep it free!

5458. K B Fuel, Pomona, MO, 65789
My true comments are GOOD JOB Ajit Pai at getting rid of the Obama-era bad FCC ruling! I am writing to you via the Firefox link from their homepage. They must be left-leaning in their policies of wanting Government heavy handedness. Because government "knows best" at how to do things. Please break government's hold on the internet. Let the FREE MARKET do its job as it has done before the Obama-era Net Neutrality debacle. I think many people do not understand this issue very well at all. Keep up the good work Ajit Pai! (I am not putting my correct name as I fear a backlash if this comment is found. Maybe they won't really deliver it. ;) but I am a real person.)

5459. Tyler Harrison, St. Louis, MO, 63131
I support Net Neutrality because it is fundamental to free speech, protects small businesses, and allows users to choose what they watch and do online.

5460. GEORGE TRAVIS, VANDALIA, MO, 65265
NET NEUTRALITY IS THE COMMON SENSE SOLUTION LET'S USE COMMON SENSE

5461. Andrew Herbig, Saint Louis, MO, 63143
As a first generation internet user, the net-neutral internet must persist if it is to continue being a useful and enriching tool for all of us, and for the future. No throttling. No reclassification. It's the FCC's job to keep the system fair for everyone.

5462. Dot Foster, Fulton, MO, 65251
Leave net neutrality ALONE, now and FOREVER!

5463. Petrus Spaans, troy, MO, 63379
Net Neutrality is an important right of US citizens, it ensures freedom of speech and ideas. Removing it will stifle innovation and is only in favor of the large multinational companies.

5464. Theodore Lyle Knocke, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
Net Neutrality is vital to ensuring that We The People have equal access to all information and services provided via the internet. Please do the right thing and oppose any legislation or policies that would limit the equality of data online.

5465. Jessica Davis, Florissant, MO, 63033
The internet is one of the most important forms of communication and means of gathering information in the modern world. We can't simply hand over a tool this great to be controlled by big companies and lose the greatest thing modern technology has given the average person. One of the best things about the internet is that it is not owned by anyone but shared by everyone.

5466. Barbara Manning, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
Net neutrality is one of the only means I have to express myself freely. It's vital to me and to our nation to have a free and open "pipeline" to each other and for our businesses. You're handing over control of the internet to large US companies is not going to make anything better -- it could censor people and online opinion, new businesses (the LIFEBLOOD of new job creation in the US) will struggle to find customers for its products, it could limit my ability to use the internet as I choose. I DO NOT WANT ANY cable and/or comms companies managing my ability to seek, review, read, watch, or speak with my family, or friends, or otherwise impede my ability to search online. And you shouldn't either.

5467. Douglas Duhring, Springfield, MO, 65809

SEEMS TO ME YOUR TRYING TO TAKE AWAY MY FREEDOMS, WITH LETTING THE ISPS MAKE THE RULES. PLS STOP TRYING TO CONTROL US AND LET US DECIDE HOW WE WANT TO USE THE INTERNET. THANK YOU

5468. Barry Mckinney, Patterson, MO, 63956
No fast/slow lane. All the same speed please.

5469. Casey Tibbs, Springfield, MO, 65806
The internet is a vital function to our freedom of speech. leave net neutrality alone.

5470. John M Nowotny, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
The internet has become the most widespread way of getting the news, and and a very important method of both business and personal communication. The internet needs to remain equally open and available to to all information consumers and providers.

5471. Gary Straub, Columbia, MO, 65202
The US ranks 17th in the world for internet speed, and among the highest for costs. The lack of competition and simple greed seem to be responsible. To remove net neutrality will only make this worse, for the sole purpose of lining the pockets of a few at the expense of all consumers. Please do not further our erosion by allowing the major suppliers to control average citizens ability to use the internet that relies completely on public airspace.

5472. Tony Crockett, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
I do not understand why "Big Brother" feels it's necessary to control and watch what i see or do. If they get their way who will watch and control what they do? Certainly not me because I will be cut-off from from the net along with my other dwindling freedoms. Let's not lose another freedom!!!

5473. Dan Huff, Rockaway Beach, MO, 65740
There is no acceptable reason to gut net neutrality. It is obvious the only reason to do so is big cable companies is paying some one off to get it done.

5474. myrick den Hartog, jamesport, MO, 64648
FOR GODS SAKE KEEP NEUTRALITY . DONOT GIVE AWAY OUR INTERNET RIGHTS..

5475. ronald murphy, gladstone, MO, 64118
Net neutrality must not be monopolized by a few at the expense of the majority simply so that big cable companies can control what we do online and probably charge us more.

5476. Harold Ledford, Vandalia, MO, 63382
Believe allowing myself and other Consumers being able to choose what they watch and do online is important.

5477. Kathryn Tripp, St. Louis, MO, 63127
I am a believer in free speech which I feel can be stifled, especially politically, if based companies are allowed to control content and/or internet speeds. There are always unintended consequences and giving someone an inch always begets their taking a mile. Not good for America.

5478. William Lee Hutchins, Branson, Missouri, MO, 65616
The internet needs to be as open as a public place where people can share their thoughts and ideas. Any restriction on this is an infringement on our constitutional right to free speech.

5479. Maureen Wilt, Kansas City, MO, 64133
We must protect net neutrality, not doing so would throw our country in even further decay. Please do what is right for the good of all.

5480. Donald Wilson, Raymore, MO, 64083
Net neutrality is a for of free speech. Since free speech is guaranteed by the United States Constitution I feel that the federal government should not take sides. In other words don't sell my rights to some company or companies just so they can make money at my expense.

5481. Doug Corbett, Florissant, MO, 63033
Please do not allow this idea to come to frution.

5482. Kelley Jones, Liberty, MO, 64068
KEEP THE NET FREE!!! BIG BUSINESS out of my business!!!! Protect OUR FREEDOM of speech\text\FB\Twitter\instagram\Keep the NET FREE!!

5483. Dan Testerman, Joplin, MO, 64801
As a network engineer I believe that an open Internet will help us all. It will allow everyone an equal playing ground to work on the Internet.

5484. Mark Stone , St. Louis , MO, 63124
The internet should be an even playing field. Without net neutrality control is taken away from the masses and given to specific companies. I can see zero upside to doing away with Net Neutrality

5485. Steve Campbell, Pineville, MO, 64856
Net neutrality MUST be protected to protect free speech. There is absolutely NO reason to censor the internet or take a chance of it happening.

5486. Dale McUmber-House, New London, MO, 63459
Keep it free! I am a small business and this would damage my ability to work!

5487. Jose Adrian Lopez, Kansas city, MO, 64137
DO NOT MESS WITH NET NEUTRALITY. INSTEAD INVESTIGATE HOW 70% OF VOTING MACHINES ARE EASILY HACKED.... ITS BEEN DONE IN YEARS BEFORE THIS YEAR IT HAD STATE SPONSORED HACKERS. DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT DONT PUNISH AVERAGE PEOPLE FOR YOU POWER GRAB

5488. Katherine Marshak, Lake St. Louis, MO, 63367
It is the Federal government's responsibility to protect citizens' rights to free speech and to use a utility like the internet as we see fit. A business should not be able to buy faster internet access or restrict access just like it can't buy faster access to or restrict access to electricity. Please retain net neutrality. It is essential to keeping democracy & businesses strong.

5489. Jessica McCulley, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Altering net neutrality would undermine free speech, the free market system, and consumer choice. FCC, leave net neutrality alone!

5490. David Lucas, St. Louis, MO, 63122
While companies and governments are trying to find ways to use the internet as a tool to better do their jobs, provide services, and more, there is a great danger that is facing the public. Our Forefathers were witnesses to the attempts of their government's control of the media at the time. While the technology of information sharing was limited to the printing press of the day--printing the papers, pamphlets, and more, the attempted restrictions chocked the flow of knowledge, opinion, and relevant data of the day. Our Forefathers could not have conceived of the internet, but they understood the need for sharing information. (As an example, please see Thomas Jefferson's "copying machine" which transcribed a copy of what he wrote as he wrote it. The internet is a vast service and communication method and we understand that the various companies that supply it are wanting more control. Yet, we have less internet speed than those in other 1st world countries. Consider for a moment that it is not the need of our country to have an end to net neutrality, but a better infrastructure that allows the information to flow while allowing vested parties to do their jobs. We have seen in our history what happens when the few control the flow of goods, services and information. There is a reason why we no longer allow monopolies and encourage competition. We need to keep net neutrality and encourage the companies that are providing the service to think outside of the box and compete with each other in new directions and ways to provide a better internet service and infrastructure. Thank you for your time in reading this.

5491. Bryce Snelson, washington, MO, 63090
You already allow the top 1% to control the wealth of the nation.. Please don't allow them to control how fast my middle income household is

5492. Nancy Nodine-Hassert, Bunker, MO, 63629
The internet should in no way be controlled by big business. If I wanted my internet freedoms to be censored I would move to a country like China or Russia. I enjoy my freedoms in America and the knowledge that is part of those freedoms. Net neutrality must be protected - not ultimately censored.

5493. Ryan McFarland, St. Peters, MO, 63304
Because freedom of expression should not be controlled by corporations or the government

5494. Jeanne Wallace, Mill Spring, MO, 63952
I live in Wayne county Mo. No cell phone service. My land line is an old 1940's party line. Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon. Tell me the population is too small for them to invest in towers. They are only interested in serving the big cities.

5495. Gerald Druba, Grandview, MO, 64030
I enjoy my online neutrality and want my children to enjoy it as well.

5496. Nicole OverKamp, New Florence , MO, 63363
Net neutrality is what we need. A free and clear way to communicate. Handing the net over to big companies who are in it for the money is only going to breed more greed...they will limit, they will impose their rules and they will censor...this is wrong. The Internet is one of the strongest tools we have now for the sharing of ideas and hope for the future. Please DO NOT put it in the hands of those who'd use it for their own gain.

5497. Carolyn Raham, Buffalo, MO, 65623
Please facilitate mat neutrality because we don't need someone curbing free speech! Thanks.

5498. Larry Wilson, Columbia, MO, 65202
Please leave Net Neutrality alone, I like the service just like it is. I do not want AT&T and any other Company messing up something that is what I like.

5499. David Maxwell, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
Net Neutrality must be protected. I use the internet in my association work, and need to have internet access and speed without another layer of control and expense to work through.

5500. Lisa Perez, Joplin, MO, 64801
I have already received letters from cell phone and internet providers informing me that I will no longer get unlimited data although the cost will remain the same. They will now offer 22mgs and all data usage over that will be throttled down or cease until the next billing cycle. One of the included in the letter that if I expose the contents I could lose my data service completely. Also the cost will increase

5501. Michael, Springfield, MO, 65804
Please if you care about a free internet at all do not do away with net neutrality.

5502. Phillip Mussurici, kansas City, MO, 64154
This is America the land of the free! Don't infringe on our rights!

5503. Caroline Queer, Lanagan, MO, 64847
Please leave net neutrality alone. The reasons are clear: 1st amendment rights, consumer rights, entrepreneurial opportunity.

5504. tom emerson, lexington, MO, 64067
Please do not bow to pressure from corporate giants to control the web. As a government regulator your duty is to the people, not wealthy corporations. Without net neutrality free speech would be curtailed and the big companies would stifle start-ups and competition from smaller ones

5505. Ron Zimmermann, St. Louis, MO, 63123
The Internet was created as a open platform. That means I have equal status as Verizon or AT&T or John Doe. Leave Net Neutrality under Title II. Ajit Pai needs to keep his corporate hands off my Internet.

5506. keyth h. lark, springfield, MO, 65802
please do not do anything that would change net neutrality on the internet ,there are too many big businesses trying to intimidate too many people. We the people need to manage some of our affairs without having to pay for the privilege of doing so. we have lost too much of our freedoms all ready.

5507. Kyle Andrade, Hillsboro, MO, 63050
I personally believe that an open and unrestricted world wide network with equal access is an important part of our resource infrastructure available to us as a whole.

5508. Marypat Ehlmann, St. Louis, MO, 63143
The American values of free speech and innovation need net neutrality. I stand by net neutrality to promote American values. Net neutrality must be protected.

5509. Jason Baudendistel, Florrissant, MO, 63031
This is an absolute travesty, allowing multi billion dollar corporations more control and infringing on the free speech and right to information access of the people would be a miscarriage of justice. If you have any conscience at all you will allow net neutrality to remain. The major communication companies only want to squeeze more blood from the turnip please do not let them.

5510. Connie Scott, Nixa, MO, 65714
If you destroy net neutrality and let any companies take it over, you will have destroyed not only the internet but many smaller businesses. People will not use the internet and eventually it will become irrelevant. That won't take long when people realize they are being censored, which will happen if any one company or politically oriented entity controls it.

5511. Laurel Despins, Columbia, MO, 65203
Net neutrality must be protected. All Americans who use the internet deserve to receive data without filters imposed by businesses or government. We are not in North Korea or Russia.

5512. Rose , Versailles, MO, 65084
we need to be able to have a choice in what we see and what we do on the internet. the ablitlies to research to start up new companies to watch movies to not be told we're watching to much this you can't do that by the cable companies and that's all cable companies even the smaller co-ops as well.

5513. Jo Pfeffer, St. Louis, MO, 63119
The internet belongs to ALL of us. It's not up to big cable companies to have control.

5514. Claire Schmidt, Columbia, MO, 65203
As a midwestern parent of three girls, I care deeply about net neutrality. I want my daughters to grow up making their own choices about the internet, using their freedom of speech, and I do NOT want corporations having control of the internet. I hope that my children will have the chance to take advantage of the entrepreneurship and creativity that my generation as enjoyed. Net neutrality is essential to American freedom.

5515. Jennifer Taylor, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
Keep American free speech alive on our internet

5516. Daniel McCown, Overland, MO, 63114
I would argue this is similar to phone calls. In general I should not be charged more to call one person vs another. Or that making several calls during the day should somehow ban me.fromnmaking phone calls. On a more personal note, I work in tech and the internet is the life blood of what we do, keep it neutral.

5517. Lorian Moore, Leasburg, MO, 65535
We need an open, fair, free and creative internet. Not fast lanes, slow lanes - determined by the size of the company or the amount of money being contributed. Keep the internet open.

5518. Dr Joseph T Lane, Fenton, MO, 63026
We need to access to the internet at no charge because as the original RULING INTENTIONS TO KEEP A LEVEL PLAYING FIELD

FOR ALL PERSONS. This started when the telegraph 1st started and like those times if you allow charges to occur it will slant access to those who can afford service and curtail access the the masses that can not afford a larger fee. The lower income persons using cell phone as their primary device of communications will be affected by up charges on their phones. The increase will only make a windfall profit for a chosen few.

5519. Nick, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
Large cable companies already take advantage of internet users as it is. Interfering with net neutrality will allow cable companies to have full control over users' space and internet. This is grossly inappropriate.

5520. Melissa Vatterott , St. Louis, MO, 63129
Net Neutrality is not a partisan issue! It must be protected!

5521. Jake Jarvis, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
You're a straight-up dickwad if you don't support net neutrality. Seriously, what's wrong with you, you arrogant, pompous, hypocritical jackass? You think we don't care? You think we don't watch how you vote? Get your shit together...P.S. If you already support net neutrality then please ignore the hurtful comments above. Keep it up, we need this... we really need this.

5522. Rob D, Platte City, MO, 64079
The proposed rules to weaken existing net neutrality are unacceptable. These would reduce competition, stifle innovation, undermine user choice, and threaten free speech online. I urge you to reject them.

5523. Jo Ann Drake, St Louis, MO, 63119
I firmly believe that Net neutrality and strong protections are part of the bedrock of future freedom and liberty, is a magnificent force for education, creating true justice and only those wanting to undermine or blight freedom, curtail education and decrease justice in this day and age would remove these protections and privatize the internet.

5524. Deven Gunter, Doniphan, MO, 63935
Harming net neutrality undermines the very idea of free speech, puts nothing but distrust in American citizens, and is a step backwards in progress towards an advanced, open, and free society.

5525. Katie Turnure, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
Net neutrality must be protected because the internet is the library of the digital age. Free access to information, culture, and community is integral to maintaining a free society. Restricting internet traffic will limit social mobility and will only benefit large corporations.

5526. Katherine Hanson, Rutledge, MO, 63563
Please end the systemic policing of this nation.

5527. William Chen, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Please do not allow entities to purchase bandwidth or bandwidth priority from ISPs, I use the internet to support and shop from many of my favorite small businesses and I am worried that this prioritization of traffic will hurt their online business models. I began using the internet at a young age and have seen it progress through the years. Online communities, cultures, and databases- the majority of which do not have the resources to be competitive in prioritized internet traffic- have become places that store vast and incredible knowledge. By removing net neutrality, you are putting them under attack. Internet Service Providers should serve all websites and provide to all people who want to access them. Given that the modern world communicates and expresses ideas almost entirely online, protecting the neutrality of the internet is protecting the freedom of speech. The internet is a resource that must remain open to everyone in all of its forms; please do not remove net neutrality guidelines.Thank you.

5528. Kyle Garnett, St. Louis, MO, 63147
Imagine the internet as a jumble of streets and highways and my internet provider as my car. If I turn down one street and my car starts to move 2mph instead of 60mph, we clearly have a system that is broken. Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

5529. Mr. Freeman Eaton, park hills, MO, 63601
The internet is fine the way it is, Neutral. We don't need anymore rules, Laws and such. Every single time the government gets involved it is never a good outcome. And it always involved money at the expense on the consumer ME !!!

5530. Kevin McCann, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
I served in the military and watched my friends die defending the principles of this nation, one of which is fairness. Net neutrality is an example of keeping something fair and available to all.

5531. Gayle Brown, St Louis, MO, 63125
Protect Internet neutrality. Keep free speech on the internet. No censoring by big companies.

5532. Carolyn Bradley, Independence, MO, 64055
We must protect the freedom of the internet not only for the small entrepreneurs but also the interest users. Competition is healthy, monopolies are not.

5533. Carla Hauber, Belton, MO, 64012
I believe in free speech and net neutrality. Please leave it alone!

5534. Caity Westbrook, west plains, MO, 65775
Net neutrality is one of the most important aspects of every day life, whether we realize it or not. It protects us & keeps the door of

~opportunities~ open, which is important for the hardworking men and women who use the internet as a sourse of income.

5535. Colin K, Rogersville, MO, 65742
Net neutrality is *essential* to the growth and development of humanity as a whole. Free and equal exchange of information and ideas has allowed us to grow to be a dominant species, especially apparent over the last couple decades with an exponential growth of innovation. Do not allow short term financial interests take precedence over the long term health of our species.

5536. MELISSA CODY, SALEM, MO, 65560
The worldwide web belongs in the hands of the people, not private monopolies who want to create a monetized tap to further financially enslave people and to control the flow of truth and information that should be free to all people on Earth.

5537. Richard H. Lee, Springfield, MO, 65809
One open Internet access is really better for all users.

5538. Linda Bergh, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
Dear FCC...please do not consider going backward on Net Neutrality. We want access to the internet not regulated by big business. Please protect our internet freedom. Please stand up for people, not corporate interests. Thank you.

5539. Jason Brose, Grandview, MO, 64030
A non-neutral Internet is a broken Internet. Returning to the expensive, slow, deeply restricted "walled gardens" of the pre-Web era will hurt e-commerce, hurt social connections, and hurt the free flow of information necessary for a free and open society to function. Once people get a taste of something fantastic, you can't turn around and take it away, because you (or your campaign donors) don't like it. That's not how it works in a free society. That's more akin to the sham, illiberal "democracies" of Russia or Iran, not the USA. Don't push our nation toward authoritarianism, unless you understand that the pushback is going to be massive and overwhelming.

5540. Charles Shelby, Perryville, MO, 63775
Keep the Internet free and open. It is too valuable to restrict it, and charging for it would be alien to our American values of freedom of expression.

5541. Zak Millikin, Springfield, MO, 65807
Stifling innovation and free speech to allow corporations to collect even more data.... where is the positive?

5542. Tyrone Lee, Sedalia, MO, 65301
I believe in the open web and as an unsigned singer and how much I use YouTube to study and learn from the best artists in this world, the fact that with net neutrality and big companies like Comcast is how they can charge more and even slow down or stop me from watching videos a lot. There should be no limitations for usage on the web! Free Internet, free browsing, and free speech. I say NO to net neutrality. I stand for freedom and if we have a right to be free as long as we are not causing problems then we have a right to free web! I support free web!!!

5543. Charles Giles, Independence , MO, 64052
I wast to keep the web open to what I watch and when I watch it. My choice not some big company. 2 amendment.

5544. Catherine E. Boyd, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
I believe net neutrality is a right of the Internet and needs to be protected under our First Amendments rights.

5545. Elizabeth Frick, St. Louis, MO, 63119
Net neutrality should be kept like First Amendment rights -- all of us deserve the same protections and benefits. The Internet should be regulated like other utilities.

5546. Leslie Stauffer, Edgar Springs, MO, 65462
Net neutrality is the way it should be. It is a part of our free speech that our constitution guarantee's. To take that away and have fast and slow lanes is discrimination to every citizen of the world. It should NOT be controlled by big buisness. It has become an integral part of every part of personal and business lives not only in the USA but around the globe. To hinder that in any way is to shut down free enterprise and a personal freedom for many. I cannot state enough how utterly wrong it is to attempt to limit free access to all parts of the internet.

5547. Richard Quisenberry, Columbia, MO, 65203
Keep the Internet FREE. We do not NEED restrictions that favor Corporations

5548. Umer, Purdy, MO, 65734
Please don't allow this! Reject what the big companies want for their own interest.

5549. Rose , Dora, MO, 65637
So much our lives are mandated already, cant you leave the internet alone? We should be able to decide what we can and cant do on the net

5550. Kirill Saksin, St. Louis, MO, 63043
Net Neutrality should not be implemented for the following reasons:The American public deserves the privacy to be able to search whatever they desire without fear of Federal overwatch.Allowing the Federal government to see all our personal information(websites visited;login information;emails) is like opening mailing. It should never be done because it is not addressed to the Federal government. Just like an Americans private computer is basically an updated version of a mailing system.To conclude it is just immoral for the Federal government to spy on its citizens. This country was found on freedoms, freedoms that are now being overlooked for a possible

help in security.

5551. Carolyn Brodzin, St. Louis, MO, 63119
I do not believe that big companies should have control of what I read and look at. They would also charge an arm and a leg each month. This, in my opinion, violates the 1st Amendment of the US Constitution.

5552. Rebecca Webb, Saint Ann, MO, 63074
People are more important than profit! I know our current president doesn't believe this, but please, please protect net neutrality.

5553. Rhonda Jacobs, Kansas City, MO, 64155
Do not gut net neutrality. Without it big cable companies could charge for use of the Internet and the poor and middle class could be kept from open access. OUR Internet is ours, and this is a right we have that will not die easily. We will resist.

5554. Ben Elam, Kirksville, MO, 63501
It simply doesn`t make sense; there are no public benefits to taking away our rights as internet users.

5555. Richead Brown, Raymore, MO, 64083
Without net neutrality, some companies could censor people and alternative opinions online. Net neutrality has been called the "First Amendment of the Internet" and should stay open and neutral. Free speech is already under attack in the media and we sure don't need it restricted on the internet.

5556. Jim Wall, Festus, MO, 63028
When I use the Internet, I want to be able to explore and research all options, not just the ones the big cable companies want me to see. The Internet is today's newspaper and it needs to be unbiased.

5557. Mary Brennan, St Louis, MO, 63130
PLEASE PLEASE keep the Internet completely neutral. It's what separates America (an arguably great country founded on great principles) from countries like China, which treats its people as if they cannot be trusted.Also, having been a customer of companies like Comcast for years (and I assume some of you are their customers, too), I cannot BELIEVE that you think it's a good idea for them to have control over what I do on the Internet. Comcast is one of the most evil companies I have ever had to work with -- they already have pseudo-monopolies over many aspects of televised communication -- please please please do not give them more power!!

5558. Patti Peterson, Lees Summit, MO, 64064
Protect our Free Speech and allow consumers to choose what we watch & do online.

5559. Jeff Coe, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Net neutrality is crucial to protecting the future of one of America's greatest assets, the internet. Telecommunications companies have been consolidating and have grown increasingly consumer-hostile, and the reversal of net neutrality legislation is blatantly anti consumer. There are no consumers who stand to benefit.

5560. Patrick Anthony McMullin, Springfield, MO, 65806
The Internet is protected under the Freedom of speech amendment,and Freedom of Press.If you try to take it away from them,then they have the right to sue you,and they'd win!

5561. Kathryn M Yarbro , QULIN , MO, 63961
Big corporations already hold too much sway over what we hear and see online. They do not need any more power over what I choose to read, research, and enjoy on the internet!! Keep the internet neutral for ALL USERS!!!!!!

5562. Thomas Myers, Saint Louis, MO, 63128
To be honest, I wonder what the point is in commenting since every office under the Trump administration seems absolutely adamant in doing whatever they want while the peasants can go screw themselves. The controlling republican party has made it clear that we are not citizens, but subjects, and they're just going to push the abolition of net neutrality through, just like they've forced every other abomination past the will of the people, from Keystone XL and the Dakota Access Pipeline to the ACA. If this is the American dream, I'm tired of being an American.

5563. jonathan, liberty, MO, 64068
Net neutrality lets me express myself, without it, the internet might turn into a dark cruel environment like George Orwell's 1984.

5564. David Stukenberg, St. Louis, MO, 63123
Net neutrality is important because it allows me the option to peruse the internet switch to more innovative creators and ideas without consequences from my internet service provider. If its not broke, don't fix it.

5565. E. L. Reed, Chillicothe, MO, 64601
Leave it as it is.Ã°ÂŸÂ˜Â„ Thank you

5566. Alex Porter, St. Louis, MO, 63123
I am a network engineer so when I say the internet is near and dear to me please know it is heartfelt. We must protect the internet and the only way to do that is net neutrality. We cannot allow corporations to decide what we can and cannot do on the internet. The internet has become too vital to our everyday lives. From applying for college to applying for a job. Corporations cannot be allowed to control what content is provided or arbitrarily limit access speeds. Just like our electric and water utilities cannot restrict their customers. Net neutrality must be up held and maintained.

5567. Bud N Doran, Mexico, MO, 65265

BIG GOVT Leave our avenue of free speech ALONE!!! You are a Entity that is out to destry the United States!!

5568. Barbara King, Joplin , MO, 64801
I prefer to have my own choices on the internet instead of having hte government choose for me Someone else doesn't govern what I choose to see or not see. Net neutrality is a form of free speech for anyone, and is part of the First Amendment in the Bill of Rights for people.

5569. Lindsay Obermeyer, St. Louis, , MO, 63112
I am an entrepreneur with a small marketing firm. A change in the FCC regarding the internet would put me out of business, unemploying myself and my staff.

5570. Andrew, Cameron, MO, 64429
Keep net Neutrality! I understand the point of making money and big business but one dos not have to exclude the other! Otherwise America will be more like China.. than america! Keep America Great!

5571. Richard Snyder, St Peters, MO, 63376
what is the matter with you people. Just another case of big brother stepping in.

5572. Marieme, Columbia, MO, 65203
Protect the right of everyone to use the internet.

5573. Shelly Lay, High Ridge, MO, 63049
Conservatives talk about protecting free speech, small business, and individual liberty. Net neutrality does all of those things and gutting it flies in the face of conservative talking points if not values. Protect net neutrality. I vote.

5574. Chris Kornbluth, Grain Valley, MO, 64029
Net neutrality is essential if we are to continue to have access to all the information available on the net. Paid advertisers are bad enough; it would only be a lot worse if the big companies could control what we see and at what speed we see it.

5575. Tony Nenninger, Bourbon, MO, 65441
Keeping the internet "open" through non-commercial neutrality helps me find the information I seek from the sources I trust without being distracted by commercial messages that I don't want to entertain. Please keep the internet free from such commercial influences that censor the information I am looking for.

5576. Amy Kretzer, Saint Joseph, MO, 64503
Internet Service Providers should not be allowed to throttle the speed at which certain websites or domains can be accessed, nor should they be allowed to limit what websites or services their customers can access over the internet because of deals they made with competing websites or services. The internet should not be allowed to become a "tiered" experience where more money is charged for certain domains compared to others.

5577. Dennis James, Lee's Summit, MO, 64063
leave net neutrality alone.net neutrality MUST be protected.

5578. STEPHEN WAGONER, ST PETERS, MO, 63376
i AM A RETIRED AIR FORCE SGT AND BELIEVE THAT THE INTERNET SHOULD NO BE RUN BY THE BIG CABLE COMPANY'S. THEY ALREADY HAVE TO MUCH POWER OVER US.THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT ALLOW THEM TO RUN THE INTERNET ALSO.

5579. Linda Hicks, Dittmer, MO, 63023
We need a free internet. I do not want to be controlled by big business. It is an open market place for the innovators, creators and small business. We need it to stay as it is. I think big corporations are getting out of hand.

5580. Mary Oakley, Camdenton, MO, 65020
Do not let a few take control of the internet! Net neutrality MUST be protected. Please vote to maintain net neutrality.

5581. Dan Seitz, St. Charles, MO, 63301
Net neutrality is a good thing, so don't change it.

5582. Steven Perkins, Springfield, MO, 65807
Net neutrality has been called the "First Amendment of the Internet." This is my greatest concern and what I firmly believe would become an enormously massive area of misuse and infringement if the internet falls into "control" by others. I see much more nefarious manipulations that would deprive some and enrich others.

5583. Jonathan eggers, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
Net nutrality is essential to freedom and democracy. Please protect the internet and leave net nutrality alone.

5584. Terri Anderson, Wheatland, MO, 65779
The internet is a public dimension. Like public places, it belongs to everyone; only it goes further. It's global in its reach; no country or government -- certainly no private company -- owns it (yet!) It's the one place everyone on the planet can be at the same time, sharing and learning and expressing ourselves. Please do not allow anyone to "hog" it. PLEASE keep it available to all.

5585. Kenneth Morris, Sikeston, MO, 63801
Net neutrality must be protected because we don't need big companies censoring people, nor do we need them deciding what we watch

and do online.

5586. Glen Coulson, St. Louis , MO, 63124
Politicians and big cable companies have made a mess of the television broadcasting industry. Please do not let the big cable providers lend their shady business practices to internet delivery and online information transmission. Despite having fedral laws against it doupolies exist in both Anchorage, AK (channel 13 and channel 4) and in St. Louis, MO (channel 2 and channel 11). While the disadvantages or advantages to consumers have been debated with these shared services agreements it is clear the audience is getting shorted valuable views and getting introduced topics through fewer options in their market. With large companies in control of the internet, options for service will be limited but also content could be controlled, a result I think the government of a democracy should be whole-heartedly against. If the government and industry is concerned about bandwith they should be investigating compression algorithms. Limiting choice and content is a great way to ruin the internet a universal source of knowledge for everyone. We already have a good thing going here, don't screw it up like you did TV.

5587. James Lang, Oak Grove, MO, 64075
the internet is not yours to mess with. the internet is for every one not just corporations!

5588. Lisa Lasker, Kansas City, MO, 64118
Censorship is for Communist countries which we are not. Internet neutrality is vital to the Capitalist structure on which our country was founded. We must maintain net neutrality in the name of freedom of speech. Thank you!

5589. Debbie Doney, Holden, MO, 64040
We must protect the 1st Amendment Rights no matter what. It is not a Federal agency's job to censor what I can or cannot do on my computer. It is my job to be a decent human being and not partake in any filth or indecent material. It is also the job of the parents to filter this for the children. Government agencies STAY OUT of people's business!

5590. Aimee Yankowski, OFallon, MO, 63368
The internet has become so integral to the American dream, to innovation, opportunity, and even basic living that it has become a utility in every sense of the word. It is even more important than a telephone line. Internet service providers should NOT be able to censor, limit, and slow down access of a utility. If an electric company "punished" someone using their electricity by deliberately causing brown-outs or asking them to pay huge fees to get service they had before or they can't run their business because they'll take their access away (like Comcast ALREADY HAS to Netflix back in 2014), the electric company would be rightfully investigated and likely sued.The internet must remain open and level access as a utility, and that means protection under Title II of the Communications Act 1934.

5591. Dan Hoerle, St. Louis , MO, 63116
Too Bad the UN has taken over the internet. The US created the internet we need to take it back

5592. Dwain Ogden, Harville , MO, 63945
Net neutrality must be protected, not handed to the "giants" whose interest lays in determining what freedoms we enjoy. We the People generally don't need more government hassles. We the People... have had just about enough!!!

5593. Nell Nido, Springfield, MO, 65802
I want choice online! Leave net neutrality alone, please.

5594. Robert Nunya, Flyover Country, MO, 65803
Please allow the net to be free. This can only be done by allowing the free market to decide how the net should grow and evolve. Net Neutrality is one of the greatest oxymoronic ideas of our time and should be stamped out like a small, annoying bug.

5595. Mark Volkmann, St. Charles, MO, 63304
The internet is much more like the public library than the electric company. It is critical to education which helps poor people obtain skills to gain employment. Please don't let big companies control access!

5596. Jim Simpson, Lake Ozark, MO, 65049
I am requesting that net neutrality remain in place to ensure equal access for all internet users.

5597. Dave Manary, Springfield, MO, 65804
Please leave the internet as it is now, open and free, allowing everyone easy access to information and knowledge.

5598. Mary Carey, Rolla, MO, 65401
I fully support an open internet which allows equal standing for all sites, which does not allow ISPs to control what content can be viewed or allow them to punish sites which cannot pay a fee to gain access to the "fast lane".

5599. Mallory Holiman, Springfield, MO, 65802
Without Net neutrality, nobody could say anything anymore. If you don't like something about a company, that company could censor you. I want people to know what I think, especially if that company is hurting someone or is corrupt in some way.

5600. James Penrod, St. Louis, MO, 63123
The internet MUST remain open without fees, taxation or any hindrance to the end users. It was meant to open and free. The government DOES NOT need to regulate everything!!

5601. John G Innes, Galena, MO, 65656
I sincerely believe that net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Please do not proceed with your plan to allow big companies to

determine what I can watch or do online.

5602. Dylan, Springfield, MO, 65803
Trump and his GOP puppet masters are not winning this this time either.

5603. mark hale, stover, MO, 65078
keep the NET. neutral , leave it alone and equal !!!

5604. Aaron Sherman, St louis, MO, 63108
Please leave net neutrality in place. Do not endanger our ability to get news without corporate interference.

5605. Kevin Cain, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
It's like taking our last free rights away to information. We are being forced fed crap that only news agency in bed with the powers that be will let us see. This is just another layer of selling us garbage that the rich and powerful pay to force us to see and react. There is nothing good for the public you are supposed to serve. Do not be lap dogs the greedy and stand tall in this decision. Do what is right and fair.

5606. Dwain Ogden, Harviell, MO, 63945
Get out of bed with the big boys! Leave the net alone. It is a free flow of information the government has no right to put it under their thumbs. If you are looking for something to do, go tape California's mouth shut and do something right for a change.

5607. Margery Doss, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
As an instructor in a high poverty public school with students that access the Internet only at school, I believe this will likely further the digital divide. My students need high speed, low cost access to upgrade their academic skills on their highway to future careers and economic success.

5608. Jacqueline Margetts, St Louis, MO, 63105
I strongly support Net neutrality. It is vital that big companies, who already control so much of our lives, don't gain censorship over the internet.

5609. Joseph Hanson, St. Ann, MO, 63074
Deciding who gets us our news fastest is tantamount to censoring our news. Letting the news companies themselves make that decision? Even worse.

5610. Rob Belics, St. Louis, MO, 63131
For every reason you've heard a million times before, you should not restrict access based on the ability to pay or allow others the ability to restrict data based on their own goals.

5611. John Evans, Waynesville, MO, 65583
We need a free and open internet. Stand up for the American people and support net neutrality.

5612. Jeremy Walton, Platte City, MO, 64079
I want net neutrality. I don't want anyone to have control over what I do or anyone else does online. If large corporations gain control over this now everyone will lose a fundamental freedom.

5613. Stephanie Ellerbe, St. Louis , MO, 63122
Don't mess with net neutrality. Everyone deserves equal access to any content they desire and all sources of information should be equally accessible and open to everyone.

5614. Eli Estes, Springfield, MO, 65804
Net neutrality is necessary to protect and preserve the free and open community that the internet has fostered. Ending net neutrality would be a betrayal of the public's trust.

5615. Louisa Donovan, Ballwin, MO, 63021
An open internet allows small entrepreneurs on the net a fighting chance to stay in business and helps protect against a monopoly.

5616. Gary L. Vest, Springfield, MO, 65802
Why, all of a sudden, does the FCC want to hand over more power to the big international companies so they can gain more control of the internet? Seems like all the big corporations are getting all the breaks they need to stifle competition and make more money for themselves since Trump took office. Oh, yeah, that's right; Trump is the big corporation, too, isn't it?! See if you all can give the little guys a break for once instead of giving it all to the big corporations!

5617. Autumn Hulderman, Carthage, MO, 64836
Keep net neutrality! Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech.

5618. David Bjerke, St. Louis, MO, 63129
Don't let the big companies have control of the internet as well. They already rip us off enough controlling what they already do.

5619. Larry Montgomery, Lees Summit , MO, 64064
I don't want companies like AT&T telling me anything, any time I have had to deal with them, it has cost me.....

5620. Duane, St. Louis, MO, 63116
I want net neutrality to remain unscathed by political shenanigans. Already Google is acting the pristine editor of censorship. If net

neutrality is not preserved, free speech can only fall victim to that minority which shackles the very curiosity that promotes a furthering of the human rights indigenous to the United States of America. Net neutrality must be preserved lest the few be allowed to suppress that thought, that creative thought which is the true image of that which is termed "God in Heaven".

5621. Kristine Fountain, Kingsville, MO, 64061
The internet, and access to it, is affordable to most precisely because it ISN'T controlled by cable companies. We already pay these companies for that access (data plans), we should not allow them to also control what we can/can't say/see using that data plan.

5622. Robert Robertson, Hollister, MO, 65672
Leave the open internet alone, I care about net neutrality.If it ain't broke don't ruin it by letting big cable companies in to control the internet. Stand up for the people!!

5623. Nancy Cunningham, Raytown, MO, 64133
I am for net neutrality! The air waves should remain free and protected in America.

5624. H. Rickett, stockton, MO, 65785
FCC leave net neutrality alone

5625. Lisa Lasker, Kansas City, MO, 64118
We deserve freedom of speech. We pay for everything through our taxes. I am vehemently against communistic utilitarianism in a capitalist society. You work for the American people, don't forget it. For the people by the people.

5626. Karen Huff, Rockaway Beach, MO, 65740
I support net neutrality. It is the fairest way of receiving and being charged for what you get. Just like cable television, telephones etc. Doing away with our current system would not benefit anyone but big business, and those who are being compensated for the passage of it. How sad that Greed is the motivator and not what is fairest to the consumer. The way it is now allows the lower income individual to use the internet as well as the upper income brackets. So many will no longer be able to utilize the internet if they have to pay more for less. I do not support the change in any way.

5627. Rick Meyer, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
If you do away with net neutrality, it will be the end the first amendment and we will be saddled with stagnation and BS just like what comes out of DC currently. Everything is done for the good of the few and the good of the many. Please don't let this happen as it will go the way of cable TV which is ending soon.

5628. Tim wood , Madison, MO, 65263
Open internet is as vital as free air to all human beings! Please dont discriminate by allowing open Internet to be monopolized by large players.

5629. Larry L. Cook, Jr, Ozark, MO, 65721
Please do not change the neutrality of the internet. As my father once told me when he was teaching me about mechanics, "If it isn't broken, don't fix it". Leave net neutrality alone, please.

5630. Frederick Steiner, Kansas city, MO, 64113
I've been working in the technology industry (network admin, programmer) for the last 25 years, Net neutrality allows compays to offer better web sites / services over the internet and find quick response consumers ready to use that innovative product or idea. Qualcom once had a very bad music webservice, but it was beat out by pandora and other better music services, however on there cell phones Qualcom they would degrade service to any compeditor, so comusers couldn't get good quailty music from any one else, so they had to use their cairers music service. This is in miniature what will happen if Net neutrality is encroached upon. Please protect net neutrality and the leadership in IT it allows Amarica.

5631. Richard Jacob, Branson West, MO, 65737
I am opposed to the proposed rules changes, handing over too much power to the companies who supply us internet service. The playing field needs to be open and fair for consumers of internet service. I use my internet service in my business - it is critical to my work and my livelihood. If it were to be throttled or attenuated in any way it could be devastating to my ability to support my family. This is a real possibility and must not be ignored.

5632. Sue Branson, Sedalia, MO, 65301
Keep the internet FREE AND OPEN!! Let WE THE PEOPLE decide what we want to read about and see. NO government should EVER have the power to decide what information its people will or will not have access to read!! HANDS OFF!!!!

5633. Eric Marler, Farmington, MO, 63640
Please leave the internet alone, it's fine the way it is. "If it ain't broke, don't fix it."

5634. Monica Barron, Kirksville, MO, 63501
Net neutrality is a principle that should guide our internet lives to help small businesses and ordinary citizens

5635. Chris Backes, Columbia , MO, 65202
I have a small remolding Company, the internet is very important to that business. It is important that I be able to access information freely. I do not want any body to tell me where to go or what ate to pay

5636. christine helm, Park Hills, MO, 63601
I love the web you to leave net neutrality alone and let it be!! you can not just hand it over to these big companies like that why try to fix

something that is not broken? what happen to our freedom of choice and to do what we want?

5637. Michael J. Kiwala , Saint Louis , MO, 63109
I personally benefit from the net neutrality policy, and do not look forward to added constraints on my choices of how I use the internet if net neutrality is abolished.

5638. paul, springfield, MO, 65807
Please support an open internet. It's the best way to share ideas. It's also ideal for small business. If the big guys are allowed to dominate the internet they will destroy small businesses that rely on the internet for their income. In the end this will have a negative effect on the economy.

5639. jbroosa, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Net neutrality is a necessary ingredient of democracy and is one necessary component to protecting against the authoritarian pressure by those elected by democratic process to strengthen authoritarianism. Shame!

5640. Dee, St Charles , MO, 63301
I am a firm believer in net neutrality. I hate being pandered and that is what you are trying to do. You need to stay out of the net.

5641. Sharon Thornton, O FALLON, MO, 63368
Please protect net neutrality. It is vital to the interests of individuals and small businesses like mine.

5642. tim guilliams, branson, MO, 65616
Free markets depend on free access. Large and small should have an equal playing field. It is the only way it will work.

5643. Larry Litle, Springfield, MO, 65804
As a small business owner that is exclusively on the internet, my business relies on having the same speed of internet as the bigger websites. If Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon has the rights to slow down traffic to my site because I don't have the money to pay them extra, then it will break my site. The internet is a service to get access to the internet. These providers provide access like a road. The Highway department does take bribes from local stores and slow traffic down to the stores that can't provide the bribe. That isn't a free market. That is mafia shakedown.

5644. Michael Branson, Sedalia, MO, 65301
We ALL need a FREE and OPEN internet! Access to ideas, of ALL kinds, is fundamental to any society worth living in. Only a FREE and OPEN internet can provide this. WE WANT FREEDOM FROM GOVERNMENT, NOT PHONY "PROTECTION" BY GOVERNMENT!!

5645. Sheila Rekdal, Independence, MO, 64053
Leave the internet alone, as much as possible. Corporations have way TOO much influence on our lives now! I do not want them to be able to monitor our every move online!

5646. Doug Van Horn, St. Charles, MO, 63303
Please do not make this mistake. A free and open Internet is fundamental to innovation in our industry.

5647. Dannion Conrad, West Plains, MO, 65775
Imagine a future of companies paying ISPs to restrict the flow of traffic to competing companies so their website is unusable.

5648. Mark Price, wildwood, MO, 63011
Net neutrality is important for free speech as it is written in the constitution, the very foundation of our nation.

5649. Thomas, Springfield, MO, 65809
This is frightening! Please don't turn the internet into a walled garden like cable TV is! Leave the internet open so that small businesses can compete with big companies and so that customers have a free and open web!

5650. Peter Sheehan, Kansas City, MO, 64118
Keep the Internet open to everyone. Maintain Title II.

5651. michael shocklee, st louis, MO, 63139
im all for net nuetrality

5652. Lyle Haney , West plains, MO, 65775
Let us be fair, all us should have equal access.Hiddentoolbo

5653. Robert Hall, Springfield, MO, 65803
The internet is an opening to the world for they young and old alike. Letting big companies take control of who gets fast and who gets slow service is not in the interest of the country. We are behind many countries in providing high speed access to all of its citizens. Wake up. Yes we vote.

5654. Meredith Pierce, Columbia, MO, 65202
Big companies should not control the marketplace. Monopolies on communication are very real, we have been feeling the oppression of the poor and small businesses for too long. If you've never lived in a place where one company has a monopoly on cable/internet, consider yourself lucky. "You're free to find another provider" is simply not true in large parts of the country. Freedom of speech and freedom of information should be our first priority as Americans while supporting new businesses for our future. This is nearly impossible to do without the protections of net neutrality. Allowing companies to charge us, the consumer, for added restrictions and

reduced speeds is ludicrous.

5655. Judy Wolf, Pineville, MO, 64856
So many of us have limited access to the internet and to gut net neutrality for the big companies is a travesty. It should be opened up more for the citizens, not less.

5656. Diana Preston, Pierce City, MO, 65723
FCC re: Net neutrality. Why would you do this? This is the First Amendment for the internet and shouldn't be meddled with!! This is a fundamental right to free speech. I want to keep my freedom and choice. Comcast, AT&T, Verizon and George Soros already have too much power over us. Please don't give them more!!! Please do not take this away, too.

5657. Lucy Hannegan, St. Louis, MO, 63112
Please leave the internet open and free!!

5658. William Webb III, Kirbyville, MO, 65679
Are you fucking insane? o_o No, seriously... You'd have to be fucking bonkers to think this was a good idea. "Yeah, we'll screw with the consumer like the cell phone companies have done for years, they'll be pissed at first but after some time they'll roll over and accept it just like everything else." Fuck you. You can't tell me you don't see the parallels between getting rid of net neutrality and the same kind of "control" that countries like North Korea place on their citizens. It's a stepping stone to state and corporate sponsored filtering of what citizens can see or do online. "We're sorry, you can no longer view this website/watch this video/play this game because 'Supreme Leader' doesn't like it. However, pay a little more and we'll let it slide for a while." We need to put an end to this now. For good. Not to come back in 6 months with the same bullshit, repackaged under a new acronym, poorly reworded in hopes it'll get passed this time. Take the loss and stop acting like children where if Mom says no, you just ask Dad or ask again 5 minutes later over and over until someone gives in.

5659. NANCY OUTLAW, FLORISSANT , MO, 63033
There's too much "Big Brother" already. Leave the net alone!

5660. Brad Hallak, Kansas City, MO, 64157
FREEDOM of SPEECH, FREEDOM of THOUGHT, FREEDOM FROM BUSINESS CONTROL!!

5661. David Witt, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Please do NOT allow large corporations to censor people and perspectives online. Protect net neutrality.

5662. Jason Hicks, Springfield, MO, 65807
Net neutrality needs to be preserved so every company has a fair playing field with the internet and their economic viability is not limited by arbitrary technical measures that prioritize one companiy's bandwidth over another because of what deal another competitor may have with the major hubs such as Level 3 and the ISPs.

5663. Andrew Maynard, Maryland Hts, MO, 63043
Net Neutrality is important. Regulating it under Title 2 is important in maintaining that all Internet traffic is treated equally to maintain a free market with low barriers to entry. Letting ISP's set high bars for entry constrains the market and limits possible choices.

5664. Gail Winney, St. Louis, MO, 63126
Net Neutrality IS the "First Amendment of the Internet.".Without net neutrality, our country would be the same as China, Russia, North Korea or Iran. The loss of Net Neutrality would harm small businesses and innovators, hampering the creation of and investment in new ideas and entrepreneurial innovations.Without Net neutrality, big companies would control what we watch & do online and, again, could take away our freedoms in the same way they do in dictatorship countries like China, Russia, North Korea and Iran.There is no good side to this equation. Do not eliminate net neutrality!

5665. Kim Wohlenhaus, Saint Louis, MO, 63101
Net neutrality is a right that must be protected. Please do the right thing and protect this on behalf of all Americans.

5666. Gary, Warsaw, MO, 65355
The internet should be open free uncensored free speech and a level field for all to use.

5667. hassan hall, rutledge, MO, 63563
I strongly believe that a controlled internet would be bad for society.

5668. Gerald green, Moscow mills, MO, 63362
Something we don't need is more govt overeach yet again.

5669. Thatcher Bell, St. Louis, MO, 63146
I have been paying for net neutrality for years. Loss of net neutrality will increase my costs and decrease internet usability.

5670. Michael Coon, Carl Junction, MO, 64834
There is no reason to monopolize the internet. Things are good the way they are. This about corporate greed and will hurt the little man. Please stop this.

5671. Fred Rathbun, Springfield, MO, 65804
Please keep the internet free and open. I oppose ending net neutrality

5672. James Hogan, sedalia, MO, 65301

The "net" has become a great system, mainly because of the general public, the personal internet user. Please do not place the interest of the big companies ahead of the people who actually use the "net"

5673. Laura Faust, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Please keep the internet open and free. I support net neutrality!

5674. Lenny Sanchez, Holts Summit, MO, 65043
Killing off Net netrality is like killing the American Dream of innovators and inventors. Thats Not American....lets be American!

5675. Glenn Meinershagen, Lake Tapawingo, MO, 64015
There is more than enough government intervention as it is. The web is global but FCC meddling would only involve the US and would provide unequal opportunities for other countries.

5676. George, New Florence, MO, 63363
The NET was designed for open use. without charge Corporate ownership might result in a monopoly situation down the road as companies continue to merge. As a senior citizen on a fixed income I can not afford more bills.

5677. Tim Laffoon, Liberty, MO, 64068
I have lived through FCC baloney in the past, and lost a business because of it. We need net neutrality!

5678. Steve Austill , Ellisville , MO, 63021
Let the internet remain totally neutral

5679. Tom Waddell, Nixa, MO, 65714
Let's keep the internet the way it is, now!

5680. David Baird, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Please preserve net neutrality. This is the best way to insure healthy competition and equal freedom of speech for every content provider.

5681. Wyatt Parsons, New Haven, MO, 63068
The only consideration should be whether to make these rules stronger or not.

5682. Craig Thomas, O Fallon, MO, 63368
Net neutrality is VERY important to me.

5683. Ken Bradford, Sedalia, MO, 65301
I support net neutrality with continuing Title 2 oversight of ISP's.

5684. Timothy H., St. Louis, MO, 63111
The internet is a free place. You cannot and will not let big companies dictate what we can and cannot see or do. GIVE BACK OUR NET FREEDOM NOW!

5685. Colleen May, Kansas City, MO, 64130
Stay out of my rights! I don't need a babysitter big brother to take anything else from me! I'm really tired of the authorities in this country deciding what's best for me and mine, taking our rights to free speech, our freedom to make our own choices and putting EVERYTHING into the hands of big government and corporate business. Keep the internet OPEN!

5686. Michael Berger , Imperial, MO, 63052
The "new" economy requires high speed, unthrottled, level access to the internet. Net neutrality is the last protection that mandates a fair and equal level of access to all internet users. Don't destroy individual liberty and our economy in one datal change of the law.My wife and I work from home. Without net neutrality we would lose out livelihood.

5687. Ken Kessler, Raymore, MO, 64083
I am wondering if the FCC has forgotten its' purpose to protect communcations channels for the citizens of the United States and not for a handful of corporations? Please protect net neutrality.

5688. Brenda DeBourge, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Please keep our Internet just the way it is for all public use. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started. And most important of all, net neutrality allows consumers Ã¢Â€Â” not big companies Ã¢Â€Â” to choose what they watch & do online.

5689. Mary DeSuza, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
Please leave net neutrality alone.It will be better for business, for the new upstarts to be on a level playing field with the stodgy behemoths. Which will of course, allow America to be more competitive.

5690. Jessica Lowery, Kansas City, MO, 64116
Small businesses employ MOST of the US citizenry and without equal access to the internet and its resources, a great deal of the work force is at a distinct disadvantage. The FCC is supposed to protect the people from corporate overreach. Please protect our jobs by protecting net neutrality.

5691. Seth Crownover, Everton, MO, 65646
Net neutrality is a necessary condition for a free internet; and a free internet is a necessary condition for a free people. Anyone who truly

cares about liberty and about the future of the American people will endorse neutrality.

5692. Laura Pace, Nixa, MO, 65714
I support net neutrality. Please protect consumers against big ISPs.

5693. Chuck Lindsay, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
I'm tired of giants getting all the advantages. I want my connection to be what I paid for. Not what Verizon, Google, Spectrum decides to deliver.

5694. Stephanie, Silex, MO, 63377
We must keep net neutrality. Innovation, communication, education and businesses all thrive when we all have equal access to the net. I am HIGHLY against a move control of the Internet. It is an attack on free speech and unAmerican at its core. Thank you.

5695. Cindy Fehmel, St. Louis, MO, 63139
Please protect net neutrality. It levels the playing field for both small businesses and the general public by not giving an edge to massive companies that have the money, influence, and savvy to manipulate the internet. By protecting net neutrality, the FCC will also demonstrate how they represent ordinary people instead of playing politics or giving preferential treatment to big business.

5696. Nicholas WIlson, Springfield, MO, 65806
Why do you want to ruin the internet? Please keep the internet fun. I will straight up leave the country for one that has cool internet. We spend so much time on the computer.

5697. Sidney Neeb, Moberly, MO, 65270
In order to maintain political openness and promote small business, we MUST maintain net neutrality.

5698. Bernadette Hurst, Wildwood, MO, 63038
This sounds like "BIG BROTHER" and I am very opposed to handing over my life, my comments, and my opinions to big business. Please be an AMERICAN and not a politician, and allow our FREE SPEECH.

5699. Leave net neutrality alone. Save the little guy?, columbia, MO, 65201
Leave Net neutrality neutral. Save internet for the little guys.

5700. Elizabeth Francies, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
Net neutrality is essential to us upholding the First Amendment.

5701. Joseph L. Blasingame, Florissant, MO, 63031
This form of an open internet is what continues to provide us with the freedom of speech in the most massive sense of the word. I STRONGLY appose any effort to impact our current policies and practices. Net neutrality is something that deserves to be protected.

5702. Mike Lester, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
The internet has been the most significant development in my generation. We must not allow it to be crippled by greed. A free and open internet is crucial to modern society. Net neutrality must be preserved!

5703. Paul Carr, Florissant, MO, 63034
It is imperative that Net Neutrality be in place. The internet is both business (large and small) and the private individuals domain and in the interest of this great nation cannot be turned over to be controlled and manipulated by special interest groups. It has become our digital highway allowing us to access news, financial systems, educational resources as well as access to personnel and religious freedoms. If your going to sell off control of the internet you might as well throw in the constitution and everything else that makes this the greatest free nation in the world!

5704. denis h cook, bonne terre, MO, 63628
I think its great that you are taking away our freedom of the internet.America has been too free to think what we want and it is all the nets fault.I look forward to a day when corporations tell us when to eat, shit , and sleep.Hell the government should have the right too kill off all us useless eaters as it sees fit or if a rich dudes just fell like it.I now net neutrality will bring that day sooner than later , so in closing thanks.All hail to the Trump.And God bless the United Corparations of Earth.

5705. Merle Calkins, Nixa, MO, 65714
The Internet is based on freedom, and the govt. should not interfere with same.

5706. Elizabeth, Galena, MO, 65656
Leave the Internet highway alone. It isn't a club for specific peoples and you shouldn't have to check your idea at the "natzi gate". Its an open highway for all the people of the world and if we take away that freedom for one, ANYONE could end up losing their freedom to it. It is definitely NOT for big companies to take over, it MUST stay easily accessible to the individual and free for all ! Net Neutrality- We must keep it!

5707. Eric Cornelson, Valley Park, MO, 63088
I'm writing to say that I have dire concerns about the future of net neutrality. I am a software engineer, and so I believe that I have a firm understanding of both the technology, and of the business and social impacts associated with net neutrality.In particular, I'm concerned that the loss of net neutrality will harm innovation and small businesses in this country. We are now in an age where the internet is a requirement. It is required to do business. It is required to find jobs. It is required to get information and news. This means that those in control of the internet wield enormous power. They have the power to crush new tech startups on a whim. They have the power to push their own products over competitors'. They control information, and now more than ever, in the information age, information is power,

and those who control it, control everything.In addition, the concentration of that power is being collected in fewer and fewer hands. We have very little competition among internet service providers today, and the companies that hold the power are huge corporations that have business interests in many different areas. Without net neutrality, these corporations can and will use anti-competitive tactics via internet restrictions, fees, and obstruction to stamp out competition. We are already seeing this in the examples with Verizon throttling traffic specifically to Netflix, and with Time Warner and League of Legends.It is in this vein that I believe safeguards MUST be in place to prevent the abuse of this power. The name of those safeguards is net neutrality.Please protect net neutrality.

5708. Richard H, Rolla, MO, 65401
Don't hand over control of the i-net to the large co's and ISP. They could monopolize free speech and i-net speeds at the expense of small business and individuals. Sincerely, Richard H.

5709. LeAnn Smith, Parkville, MO, 64108
The internet is the best example of a true leveler - as long as everyone has equal access to receiving content. If the people with the deepest pockets have the ability to pay to play faster, they will and yet another public access point will be lost to those less affluent. Net neutrality must be protected so we continue with equal access for all - thank you!

5710. Heather, columbia, MO, 65201
Net neutrality is the entire appeal of the web in the first place. If passed, I am no longer certain I could use the web in good faith anymore. It affects me personally as a consumer and I am worried for the future of the internet

5711. Tyler Voll, Ozark, MO, 65721
By removing the freedoms that we once held as citizens, access to an open web, we close many gates towards opportunity and entrepreneurship.

5712. Ted Lowry, Branson, MO, 65616
Net neutrality enabled me to receive internet services in the rural area where I reside by a local cable company when the bigger cable companies (Comcast, Verizon, AT&T, ect.) would not. Please think of the individual, not the corporate giant that is interested in profit only.

5713. Gail Brennhofer, Ridgeway, MO, 64481
Please leave the internet the way it is... Net Neutrality is important to all of us.. If it changes I will not be able to work from home the way I do and it will cause me to seek employment away from home..

5714. Randy Parker, blue springs , MO, 64014
Without net neutrality the internet is worthless.

5715. Steve Spurlock, Maryville, MO, 64468
Net neutrality is essential to free speech.I want to watch and search what I chose to.I don't want ISP telling me what to watch or how fast my internet speeds will be.

5716. Steph van Schalkwyk, St. Louis, MO, 63104
The telecommunications industry have bilked the taxpayers out of Billions in tax incentives. It was understood that for these incentives, every home in the USA would have 100Mbps internet by 2009 or sooner. We are not even in th etop 20 of internet speed and availability. And now the FCC wants to give them yet another opportunity to bilk consumers? To take advantage of the monopoly which they created?

5717. Lewis B. Morgan, Ballwin, , MO, 63011
The internet is one of a very few , if not the only, free form of communication the Governments have not been able to take over and manage our minds. Please don't let them succeed.

5718. Edward Oates, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
The neutrality of Internet is the most important factor to the almost free access that the world now has to information provided by the Internet; science, art, history, politics, innovation and communication and business... As a scientist who has used the Internet for important discovery from times when just a few computers were first cobbled together, I strongly call for the continued support of "Neutrality, Non-ownership and Uncensured use of the Internet"

5719. Stephen W Ballard, North Kansas City, MO, 64116
If allowed the cable companys will turn the internet into the internet equivalent of cable tv, and require you to pay more to reach certain content. Especially if the content you want to reach is a competitor of one of their services. This should not be allowed and will hinder competition and reinforce the current monopoly.

5720. Hannah Michael, Springfield, MO, 65806
Net neutrality is a fundamental and crucial element of having internet freedom that all of us, as citizens, deserve.

5721. Edward Larkey, Kansas City, MO, 64145
An open internet is essential to a free society

5722. Gay M Dust, Joplin, MO, 64804
I care about the right to the internet that belongs to the people of the world, not to companies that have taken over bandwith for profit only and who want the the right to allow corporations receiving more than everyone else. it's bad enough that thousands upon thousands of people cannot afford having internet service in the USA because of high prices. That problem shoulf be addressed along with KEEPiNG NET NEUTRALITY.

5723. Stephanie W, Blue Springs, MO, 64029
Please protect net neutrality since it is fundamental to preserving free speech, supports small businesses, and corporations should NOT control my internet browsing experience!

5724. Marcus Raymond, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Please keep net neutrality, it is the only way to ensure vital free speech remains viable on the internet and getting rid of net neutrality only harms consumers.

5725. Charles dazzo, morrisville, MO, 65710
Don't be Wanker.You guys don't need a hold on the internet in such terms.Don't limit the netThere's already enough going on and messing with it

5726. George McNatt, St. Louis, MO, 63139
Net neutrality is vital to me operating my small business.

5727. Angel, Independence, MO, 64050
Internet censorship means going backwards and surrendering our first amendment giving MORE control to a handful of the most powerful men on this planet. Currency is a slave system. Freedom is an illusion, but at least grant the people knowledge by means of unlimited access. There will always be malicious people in the world that may abuse the power of uncensored media, but the majority of us are not. Have a heart. The world is dependent on the internet, and the customer is what makes it work.

5728. Pauline Fajen, Boonville, MO, 65233
The internet is such a vital part of our society. Please protect net neutrality for all the small business owners, students, organizations and all the others who depend on the internet. It is important that data be treated equally across the board.

5729. JoAnn Witt, kansas City, MO, 64114
We, all, need equal access; because, the internet is a very important way to communicate. People's safety is an issue, as well, as equal access to information and internet commerce.

5730. Mary E Axtell, Trenton, MO, 64683
I'm writing to express my concerns about the possibly of removing net neutrality from our online experiences. In my opinion, this is a bad idea and takes away a lot of my free speech and time on the world wide web. I want to keep my freedom of net neutrality.

5731. jerry mcdowell, doniphan , MO, 63935
let the internet alone leave it like it is

5732. Nick Cobet, St. Louis, MO, 63141
I can't believe you're even thinking of ending net neutrality. Net neutrality is imperative for true freedom on the web. Keep net neutrality and title 2 classification!

5733. James Dugan, Dellwood, MO, 63136
The FCC must maintain Net Neutrality to prevent large corporations from censoring free speech, gaining unfair market advantage over small businesses, and creating a market that takes unfair advantage of consumers.

5734. Ellen Yarbrough, Seneca, MO, 64865
Net Neutrality must remain intact. Net Neutrality is basic to free speech,and small businesses and must be open to all equally. The American taxpayer paid billions in R&D to get the internet going in this country and we deserve to get what we paid for.

5735. Darrell Brogdon, Parkville, MO, 64152
The internet must remain free and open. Please protect net neutrality. To do otherwise would be to sell out to corporate interests. The whole country is watching you. Please do your duty!!!

5736. D McDaniel, St. Peters, MO, 63376
The Internet is not out there for the US government to control as it does everything else. The FCC needs to leave it neutral, stop catering to the Big Business. Actually force them to open up the bandwidth everywhere. They will make their money off the the goods purchased through the internet. And Uncle Sam ALWAYS gets his share.

5737. Charles Shelby, Perryville, MO, 63775
I'm just a ordinary user and value my internet access. Please keep it open and available.

5738. Karen Gonzalez, Slater, MO, 65349
Net neutrality allows individuals the freedom to look at what they want to! I pay to use the internet and am not interested in some big company trying to make me view what they want!

5739. Alex Lindemann, St. Louis, MO, 63125
Net Neutrality enables an open growth economy on the internet. Just one example... if net neutrality was removed and companies were able to sell prioritized traffic, you are effectively throttling and potentially blocking traffic to small businesses altogether. In this new economy, this type of traffic segregation is essentially equivalent to allowing companies to charge premiums to use roads and highways while potentially forcing people to stay off of the roads because of the high premium traffic.

5740. Becky, Pleasant Hill, MO, 64080
The open web is important to our country's success! It is an equalizer for students and low income Americans!

5741. Phoenix Stoddard, Weston, MO, 64098
Net neutrality allows my internet browsing to be simple. I'm able to watch all the videos I want without worrying about restricted speeds because I didn't pay for a "Video watcher supreme package". Nor do I have to worry about what providers will limit what services and for how long.

5742. Craig Tate, Kansas City, MO, 64118
you've already let the phone and cable guys soak the public for millions. Leave the web alone.

5743. Alan Jones, Shell Knob, MO, 65747
Please leave the internet alone! It doesn't need fixing!This service belongs to all of the American people not a few greedy companies!

5744. Jo Pfeffer, St. Louis, MO, 63119
LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE!!! It's NOT the job of big companies to control the Internet. The internet is for us by ALL, and it's not the job of big companies to control what us "little guys" do online.

5745. Alex Francisco, Kansas City , MO, 64109
Please do not change the current rules regulating net neutrality. Any change to the current rules will undermine the internet.

5746. Edwina Cooper, Manchester, MO, 63021
Dear FCC,Even if your proposed rules are meant with the best of intentions, you CANNOT guard against them being misused by companies for profit. It has happened. It will happen again. And it will hurt users like me who cannot afford to have our livelihoods held ransom.Please don't do this.

5747. Sonia Cantu, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I do not want to be censored and controlled by by big cable companies. Americans are already heavily monitored, let's not hand over the neutrality of the web. I'm sure we will start to get heavily charged just like our cell phone plans.

5748. George Lyons, Columbia , MO, 65203
As time goes on, I rely more and more on the internet for news, information, and the latest innovation. I do not want large companies dictating what is available to me on the web. Net neutrality must remain as is!

5749. John Brandle, Columbia , MO, 65202
Big companies should not control the marketplace. Monopolies on communication are very real, we have been feeling the oppression of the poor and small businesses for to long. Freedom of speech and freedom of information should be our first priority as Americans while supporting new businesses fur our future.

5750. Bill Wheat , House Springs, MO, 63051
As a retired individual user of the internet who lives on a limited income, I am concerned that if net neutrality is abandoned, I will be faced with both an increasing cost and a lowering of the quality of my internet service (speed) because I cannot afford to "go 1st class". Living alone, my use of the internet is extensive for both entertainment and information about things relative to all my interests and living needs. I've had both "high speed" service and dial-up in the early going at home, I never want to see my internet speed fall back to the kb dial-up speeds.

5751. Dorothy Moore, Springfield, MO, 65809
Consumers not large cable companies should be able to decide what to do on the internet. Net nutrality is very important to me and my family.

5752. Joey C., La Russell, MO, 64848
The FCC has an obligation to intercede on behalf of the people and protect us against large corporations trying to curb our right to free speech. Ending Net neutrality is the exact opposite of what the people need.

5753. Stephanie O'Leary, Ofallon, MO, 63366
We already pay more than enough for so many things - cell phones, internet access, etc. Small businesses like mine thrive on new customers being able to find us online. I don't want to be forced to pay even more money so that I can find new customers. I also have 3 young children. We love to watch movies and play games - especially on rainy days and long car trips. It will be a nightmare if the big companies make you pay even MORE money.

5754. Ruth Ann Hill, Potosi, , MO, 63664
In regard to Neutrality, It's my understanding that there is a possibility this could be a thing of the past. I am for and want to keep an open internet, no exceptions! Living in a rural area we need to keep this avenue of communication open with other parts of our state and country. There are so many reasons I can't count them all. Please leave things the way they are. Thank you!

5755. Antonio Noperi, Kansas City, MO, 64129
All persons should have the right to the fastest internet available, poor people should not be paying for slow internet(costly,more expensive) while the rich have easy access to faster and higher speeds.(less time, less expensive). Speeds slower than 60Mbps should be available the public at no charge to anyone 24/7. Speeds above 1Gbps may have an access fee per Federal Gov. pricing.

5756. Cliff Meek, Kansas City, MO, 64145
We pay for the service to get 100% access to the service at the rate we pay for. It should stay that way. Vendors shouldn't be able to restrict our service to only cater to what they are trying to sell to us.

5757. Jay Hixson, Kansas City, MO, 64154

I support title 2 and net neutrality. Please keep the internet free and open for the future. SAVE THE INTERNET!

5758. Lucy Hannegan, St. Louis, MO, 63112
Please leave the internet alone, protect net neutrality, it belongs to all of us!!

5759. Wesley Berg, St. Louis, MO, 63144
The government has no business being involved on the regulation of Internet users or services. It is properly self-regulated and maintained outside the boundaries of bureaucracies.

5760. ZB Eaton, Kirksville, MO, 63501
Keep the internet free. If cable company's control it. The internet will become an expensive, to the user, useless mess!

5761. Harve Pelton, Jackson, MO, 63755
Net neutrality is one of the last freedoms we have left. Our freedoms are being striped away one by one. This is our last avenue of truth. Main Stream Media is fake news by regulating the internet and "He" who controls it can alter the information and "Truth". Politians can't be trusted, Mega Corporations can't be trusted, Science is altered to fit an their adgenda and funding. How about we leave it alone and let the fredom be. Allow the people to decide what they want to read and watch.

5762. Robert C. Wilson, Hillsboro, MO, 63050
I strongly support Net Neutrality. I am a citizen, a veteran and a voter. Robert Wilson

5763. Eric Thomas, Sanit Louis, MO, 63103
Net neutrality allows consumers - not big companies - to choose what they watch & do online. Don't take that away.

5764. Marsha Steger, Marble Hill, MO, 63764
I believe net neutrality is an important part of our basic freedom in this country. One example: Politicians should be able to go directly to the people without going through our sometimes bias news media. We do not need more regulations.

5765. frank thomalla, louisiana, MO, 63353
everything the government agencies get involved turns to crap and becomes an uncontrollable bureaucratic mess

5766. MIchael Marlow, Kansas City, MO, 64108
Net neutrality is a core reason the internet is as great as it is. There is zero evidence that corporations will keep the consumer in mind when they do not have to. Removing net neutrality rules only further proves that our government is unable to represent the people and only represents corporate interests and greed.

5767. Stephen DeMiere, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
Net neutrality is fundamental to our freedoms in this country. Do NOT touch this fundamental right or we'll become like N. Korea or China, places that don't allow their people rights online.

5768. Nick Vogel, St Louis, MO, 63116
Keep the internet open, don't let the ISPs decide what we can do!

5769. Shirley Hosier, Urbana, MO, 65767
The people have had so many of our rights stolen from us. We should not have our open internet rights stolen from us. It is not right or legal to steal our open internet from us. We have the constitutional right to have our open internet for the people.

5770. sharon trautman, st clair mo , MO, 63077
Please leave the internet as it is. We are all supposed to be equal and so should the way that we get our information. Leave it equal for all! Thank you!

5771. Anne Munroe, St. Louis , MO, 63122
Net neutrality is important for continuation of free speech .Please keep net neutrality .

5772. Richard Quisenberry, Columbia, MO, 65203
Why do you think we have a "DELETE" button. We do not NEED CORPORATIONS telling me what and not to read, especially when the CORPORATIONS are still filling my Email with B.S. of their own. In other words all they are doing is eliminating the competition.

5773. Aaron M, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Your job is to do what we (the people) tell you to do. Now do it. Leave Net Neutrality alone.

5774. Terry Dean, Springfield, MO, 65807
I already pay the maximum amount I can afford to dedicate to online expenses. Selectively slowing, or denying services by companies will increase my costs in obtaining reliable service. I am an older person with disabilities and use it, not only for casual communication and entertainment, but to conduct business, health, and other vital activities. The internet itself should remain neutral--like a utility--so new entrepreneurs can develop apps and systems, along with cable outlets, without creating barriers to their success. Giants will benefit, people with little or low incomes will be less likely to afford services, education, etc., if changes are put into place. I see this as a Republican move to line their pockets, co-opting a publicly developed system into a private money grab. Terrible.

5775. Diana, G'ville, MO, 65655
Net NEUTRALITY Must be protected for all users!! Giving the internet to bought and paid for BIG companies means highly censored content, decline of small businesses, and the stifling of free thought and expressionism. Don't be a NAZI!! Don't do it!! Leave net neutrality alone FCC.

5776. Theodore Rumblewood, Springfield, MO, 65807
It's not big business internet. Actually it's the public's internet, we pay for it. Therefor we shall decide.

5777. Dianne Truitt, Kansas City, MO, 64133
I believe that the Internet must be kept neutral and available to all people and that they should have an expectation that their information won't be censored or stolen by Big Business or other government entities. I also believe that as a communication tool it would severely limit people's ability to do just that not only with each other but with businesses that they need to interact with in their daily lives. It really shouldn't be up to certain companies or entities, whose only goal is monetary gain, to decide if the "average American" has used too much Internet. We already have that with mobile phone and I don't have a problem with it other than the fact that some companies don't tell their customers up front that their usage will be throttled under certain conditions, but in our homes we shouldn't have those kinds of limitations or an expectation of it. Please don't break what isn't already broken.

5778. jerry mcdowell, Doniphan, MO, 63935
there is no need to change the internet . we do not need more Government control

5779. Janis Hoyt, St. Louis, MO, 63114
We need an unbiased and impartial internet. No company, or group of companies, should have sole control. That will lead to censorship.

5780. John Perdue, St. Louis, MO, 63116
I fear that weakening net neutrality rules will effectively allow ISPs to censor the content we see online; net neutrality protects smaller websites and consumers against large, powerful corporations.

5781. moisy shopper, m.d., st.louis , MO, 63105
The internet is for the public, not for political ads, or all sorts of other ads that money can buy. It is for all and neutrality is essential.

5782. Bobby Masten, osceola, MO, 64776
it needs to be left alone to keep the big companies from steeling the net from smaller companies.

5783. Bobbie Christopher , Bonne Terre, MO, 63628
Net neutrality is important to promote and freedom of speech, ideas and sharing of ideas. No bureaucracy should make decisions about what I see/find/read during a web search. Censorship is against our constitution and a very bad idea in a democracy. Internet neutrality absolutely must be protected.

5784. Jennifer Parsons, Kingsville, MO, 64061
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech and must be preserved. No more trampling our rights just so some corporation makes a little more profit!!

5785. Kevin Wallace, Kansas City, MO, MO, 64151
Repealing these restrictions benefits no one except the big ISPs.Maintain the ability for small ISPs and Internet upstarts to compete. Level the field so that everyone can play fair. Keep the rules as they are.

5786. Don Ronken, St. Louis, MO, 63130
There is little in the world that remains free of big power intervention for the little guy. The internet should remain as it is!

5787. Robert Mosblech, Saint Louis , MO, 63125
Please stop. Leave the internet free.

5788. Brad Reznick, Columbia, MO, 65202
Equal access to the internet is fundamental to information access and thus human rights in the 21st century.

5789. David A, Wilkerson, Rogersville, MO, 65742
AT&T and Verizon and others are a monopoly enough on what we see or say on the internet. STOP this now.

5790. Lynne Markham, Edwards, MO, 65326
I'm fed up with government trying to interfere with and running our lives! Stay out of our affairs. The FCC needs to stop the incorrect reporting by the main stream media. They need to be taken off the air for falsifying the news. If they can't do the job they need to go!

5791. Allen Darrah, Kansas City, MO, 64114
As a default, always lean towards protecting the consumer when there are potential conflicts of interest versus companies.

5792. Debbie Fleetwood, Kansas City, MO, 64134
The open and unregulated use of the internet is imperative to the advancement of the human race. As a US citizen, I am asking that the FCC NOT allow these greedy companies to take over and make huge profits from people just trying to get and share information! This is a basic human right!!

5793. Lee, Lee's Summit, MO, 64081
Our digital economy was built by start-ups who disrupted the entrenched big companies. Net neutrality is essential to preserving the opportunity for new companies with great ideas to grow and to improve our lives without being slowed by unaffordable deals for internet bandwidth to end users. Please support net neutrality!

5794. Joshua Fraser, Columbia, MO, 65203
Net neutrality must be protected. The internet belongs to the people and not corporate interests. Net neutrality ensures this stays true. There is absolutely no reason that ISPs and backbone providers should be allowed to charge varying prices based on the type of the data

or the path it takes. They should only be allowed to charge based on used bandwidth. Further I believe the content of this data should be private and ISPs should be forbidden from packet inspection and injection (inserting ads on a web page they don't host). Please stop the greed and preserve the internet.

5795. Charles Ess, Springfield, MO, 65804
Net neutrality is vital for democratic and economic equality. A neutral internet has brought unimaginable benefits to Americans from all walks of life - and to people around the globe. Making the internet and its capacities a matter of proprietary access will only serve to increase the already growing disparities between rich and poor - a recipe for disaster if you care for democracy.

5796. Patience Davis, Saint Louis, MO, 63102
Unless there's a universal consensus from internet users to do so, Big Cable Companies should not be allowed to own nor control the internet. I vote no to killing net neutrality. Big Cable Companies only care about themselves and making more money, not truly helping people. What possible ways could killing net neutrality legitimately benefit THE PEOPLE more? As an internet user I only see more cost than benefit as cable companies randomly raise prices and constantly harass with bundle deals and phone calls--they make tons of money feasting on the hard work of entertainers, and only provide a limited level of services. They're so huge as companies that they manipulate customers instead of caring about our complaints in my experience. They already have creative teams to find other ways to con people out of their time and money. We already pay them fluctuating prices just to access the internet. I don't want them to have any more leverage over my information nor money; I don't trust them like that. Plus, I don't know of anyone who LOVES their cable company nor internet service provider company as is. They should focus on getting internet access to all of those black out zones and areas without internet that their commercials claim to cover.

5797. Erin, Moberly , MO, 65270
Net neutrality makes it possible for small businesses to thrive online. Leave net neutrality alone.

5798. stephanie torian, ferguson, MO, 63135
It would be a true un-american travesty if this were to be for real. The american corporations are GREEDY ENOUGH and this would make it more so. Please don't let this fail and keep THE INTERNET FREE AS IT SHOULD BE. THANK YOU. REMEMBER, IT EFFECTS YOU AND YOUR FAMILY TOO F.C.C. YOU ARE APART OG THESE UNITED STATES AND INT INHABITANTS ALSO. DON'T FORGET THAT.

5799. Cory Byrn, Kahoka, MO, 63445
Restrictions to Internet access Hurts Everyone and 'We the People WILL NOT take this B.S.'

5800. Martha Han, St. Louis, MO, 63108
The internet is a resource for all people, akin to your local library. You wouldn't want a library sold to the highest bidder, and you shouldn't eliminate net neutrality, for the same reasons.

5801. Phil Roy, Dardenne Prairie, MO, 63368
Net neutrality will keep our internet providers honest while allowing new forms of competition and new businesses to provide customers with new products. I do not want to be forced to provide advertising data to my internet provider as I find that a breach of my privacy. I also do not want my internet provider to select which services I must use to get their "best performance". This is a public product and service. It needs to be open to all of us without a "provider restriction and data collection clause.

5802. Mary Schutz, St. Charles, MO, 63303
Protect our freedom of speech on the internet; Maintain net-neutrality. Everyone wins!

5803. James Pryel, Marshfield, MO, 65706
I want all to have the same speed on internet, not just the favorites.

5804. Tony Sax, Rolla, MO, 65401
Please don't remove net neutrality. We don't need businesses to decide how quick our traffic on the internet is and impose restrictions to how we use the internet.

5805. Mark Ranson, Independence, MO, 64057
I support net neutrality. I don't want ISPs to be allowed to give preference to certain sites or services on the Internet!

5806. Tehya Deardorff, Carthage, MO, 64836
An attack on net neutrality is an attack on equality and free speech. The Internet is a network for all which allows anyone anyone to express themselves, share their work, and have a voice. Throttling speeds and service selectivity will only stifle creativity and innovation. A low income should not inhibit you from sharing in the wonders, advances, and benefits of the Internet.

5807. joyce ozturk, blue springs, MO, 64014
Stop ignoring the real live citizens and protect their privacy and freedoms and rights over the lobbyists and your ex boss.

5808. Ian Smith, Parkhills, MO, 63601
I'm Ian, I work as tech support, and getting rid of net neutrality will hurt the small business I work at. We have our own websites we use, and without net neutrality we have to start bribing network providers to not slow us down? If you really are for pro business don't get rid of net neutrality.

5809. LARRY DAY, ROCKAWAY BEACH, MO, 65740
PLEASSE PUT HONESTY AND INTEGERITY AHEAD OF IDEOLOGY AND KEEP NET NEUTRALITY FOR THE GOOD OF OUR CITIZENS...DONT SELL US OUT TO YOUR MASTERS.

5810. Sara Rampton, Ballwin, MO, 63021
HANDS OFF FCC!!! Keep the internet neutral. The strength of the internet is the fact that the internet belongs to individual people. Net neutrality has made the internet the thriving platform it is today. Net neutrality is vital to our society and to the world economy. Net neutrality impacts everyone on the planet. Every. Human. Person. Net neutrality is the hope of many people in 3rd world countries. To loose net neutrality would send us back to the "dark ages" of information. Just don't do it, FCC. Keep the internet "free" with net neutrality.

5811. Jayden, Belton, MO, 64012
I believe that the net belongs to those who choose to use it. It should be free and not put into an innovation monopoly. Leave it alone!!

5812. Kimberly Bolin, Imperial, MO, 63052
Net neutrality is necessary to ensure that everyone has access to open source and independent media. People have a right to read what they choose without government or business interference. It also allows the average person to voice their opinion and have the possibility of being heard by many people who also agree or disagree. Neither the government nor the corporations should be able to decide what we read, hear, or say by having faster speeds for corporate media and slower speeds for those who can't or won't pay.

5813. Amy Kistner, Saint Louis, MO, 63137
Dear FCC, Please leave net neutrality as it is. This protects all internet users. ISPs should not be able to decide who gets to do what. Keep the internet a place of free thought.

5814. Julie Yung, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
Many of us can barely afford our monthly Internet bills as it is, and what we don't need is added fees just to do some of our business online. I am disabled and work from home, and it would be a huge burden on me to have to pay more to do my work.

5815. Walter Iman, Columbia, MO, 65201
As a small business, I am evermore dependent on an open and freely available internet. I deal with clientele up and down the social ladder and think that private internet controls are tantamount to cutting off someone's water or electricity when they most need it. Everyone's getting a good return on their investments. Don't let corporate greed try to apply a fix to something that is obviously not broken.

5816. Joseph Len Henry, Arnold, MO, 63010
Being in the industry I believe that stronger restrictions SHOULD be imposed on the internet but to just hand it over to big business is just irresponsible!

5817. David Garza, Kansas City, MO, 64124
The internet has become a lifeline in our everyday lives as we communicate with our family and friends multiple times daily. We the internet to access instant news updates about local and world events, it helps us plan our daily activities, avoid traffic jams and make investment decisions that enhance our person economic futures. For these and many reasons we require the internet to be available to one and all at one speed for everyone.

5818. Norm Odell, Camdenton, MO, 65020
FCC:The Internet is very important for everyone world-wide to learn and share information be it in the schools, the universities or at home. I research my family history and ancestry. The internet should be "Free" to exchange all ideas and points of view. Let users decide and choose and not companies or governments decide what we can write and read on-line. Freedom of speech is the peoples' right. Follow our constitution. Thank you, Norm Odell

5819. Amy Nadler, St. Louis, MO, 63129
Freedom of speech is protected for all citizens within the U.S. Constitution. Net neutrality is the means of free speech today. To deny citizens net neutrality is to deny citizens of their constitutional right to free speech.

5820. Karen Jones , Columbia , MO, 65203
Net neutrality is a form of free speech. Individuals must retain the ability to voice their opinions and perspectives. I don't want some mega communications corp telling me what to watch or when I can watch movies or videos.Net neutrality also gives inventors and innovators a platform to launch new ideas and services. Don't take away my choice of net neutrality!Thank you, K Jones

5821. NAseemShekhani, St. Louis, MO, 63131
Neutrality should be maintained

5822. Larry Warner, Lamar, MO, 64759
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech, we don't need big companies controlling what is said and posted on the the internet. We don't need the ISPs deciding to slow down the consumers internet speed because of the sites they are using, to make you only view what they produce.

5823. Matthew Rice, Independence, MO, 64052
The proposal is made under a false pretense of a name - "Restoring Internet Freedom Act" - and this is bogus. The Internet is only truly free while Net Neutrality is in place. Allowing the ISPs to be gatekeepers will only serve to damage the First Amendment. Pretending that the "Free Market" will ensure the ISPs remain honest (and 'honest' in this sense means Neutral) is a flat-out lie because in the brand of capitalism in the USA, ISPs are only out to extort other companies, and then their customers. It is a lie to pretend that this proposal will have any benefit to Americans. I find it infuriating that the Chairman of the FCC wants to sell out Americans for a paycheck. False patriotism only serves as a facade for a lack of integrity.

5824. Beth Velie, Ozark, MO, 65721

Internet neutrality MUST be protected.

5825. Penny Mudd, Monroe City, MO, 63456
Big companies run too much already. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech, protects small businesses and innovators just getting started and allows consumers, not big companies, to choose what they watch and do online.

5826. Josh Aholt , Ofallon , MO, 63376
Net neutrality allows consumers Ã¢Â€Â” not big companies Ã¢Â€Â” to choose what we watch & do online. THAT IS NOT OKAY!Without net neutrality, ISPs could decide you watched too many videos on Netflix in one day and throttle your Internet speeds, while keeping their own video apps running smooth.

5827. Shawn Dyer, Kansas City, MO, 64137
My ISP is my connection to the world for data like my telephone company is my connection to the world for voice. My ISP should not be able to alter or restrict my data communications any more than my telephone company can restrict who I can call.

5828. Josef Baltz, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
Our personal data shouldn't be traded like trading cards!

5829. chris herman, St Louis , MO, 63116
I (& many others) was forced into self employment on the web because of a preexisting condition. An open web will allow me to continue to support myself and not rely on government assistance. I want to remain independent. Please consider and thank you.

5830. Richard H Bader, St. Louis, MO, 63110
Discrimination on service, provider, geography, or sentiment is the first step toward censuring democracy. The FCC should review previous actions and statements by various ISPs, both domestic and foreign. These actions impact fledgling industries, free speech, and only benefit the providers themselves.They have built an industry, on the backs of technology pioneers, not themselves, and are managing a **utility** that should be regulated. They may charge applicable rates, but **should never** be allowed to discriminate. Barring 911 and perhaps the poor

5831. Ian York, Lee's Summit, MO, 64063
I do not want to live in a world where my personal data and online choices from age 5 to today are available for sale to the highest bidder. I do not want to live in a world where I can't access Wikipedia because my ISP "doesn't include that website". The only way to ensure this happens is to keep the internet open and free. It should be obvious by now that laissez-faire capitalistic policies only lead to situations where those with the most money make the decisions--Please don't let that sort of thing happen to the internet. Thank you for your consideration.

5832. Salina Ferguson, Holts Summit, MO, 65043
Let neutriality alone we are tired of the cable companies and huge corporations trying to interfier with everything that is good for us and that we can be able to freely available to the public it is all about greed businesses like all the huge corporations want to do is think of ways to fleece the people nickel and dime the people the internet is what helps even our children to learn educational wise these corporations need to leave neutriality alone

5833. Elton Smith, North Kansas City, MO, 64116
Why is the FFC just doing what all of the rich corp ration want and not what million should get. please leave Net Neutrality as it is which is the best as it is.Thank You why is the fcc

5834. Pete Doyle, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I believe that net neutrality is the only way to prevent the internet from being controlled for the exclusive benefit of political and economic interests, rather than for the public good.

5835. J Howe, Arbela, MO, 63432
Leave our net alone!

5836. Kristina Gordon, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
I am for net neutrality. I do not think cable companies should get to pick what items get fast access and others slow access. They will favor themselves over smaller companies. Consumers should be able to pick what they access on the internet NOT companies. How can small companies compete if their internet speed is decided by competitor?

5837. Marvin Sands, Independence, MO, 64055
The Internet needs to remain free and open to all subscribers and providers. Limiting its scope and availability is the same as limiting our freedom of choice. We must have a free and open Internet available to everyone.

5838. Jane Bethge, St. Louis, MO, 63119
Net Neutrality is a national resource, similar to highways, that must be equally available to everyone.

5839. Karen Lovadina, St. Louis, MO, 63110
Net neutrality must be protected to insure every person's right to search in an atmosphere with no implicit or explicit bias.

5840. Diana Eckholdt, Saint Louis, MO, 63107
I'm in support of net neutrality as I do not want the Internet to change in any way, nor do I want to have to pay to get on the Internet.

5841. Nevada Riggar, Steelvillle, MO, 65565
OPEN INTERNET is ESSENTIAL! Our society values Freedom of Speech. Net Neutrality, such as it is, must remain. The US

Constitution and Bill of Rights are wholesome guidelines for our democracy. OPEN INTERNET! Let It Be!!!

5842. Ben Firestone, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
Net neutrality is vital to ensure the exercise of free speech in this country.

5843. Steve Bratcher, St. Louis, MO, 63128
I fully support the FCC's actions. Attempting to regulate the internet as a 1920's era utility is ridiculous, which the hilariously named "net neutrality' is attempting to do.

5844. Nathan Calvert, Platte City, MO, 64079
Before the protection of Net Neutrality, Time Warner (Now the trust known as "Spectrum" throttled my Netflix connection for 3 months in 2013. This was ascertained after I got curious and tried using a VPN. The throttling suddenly ceased once the data was masked and they didn't know it was Netflix I was streaming. After Net Neutrality I never had issues again. It's almost like you can't trust a conglomerate to fairly serve the public with something as essential as the internet?ISP's would have you believe they'll play by the rules without government enforced regulation. I've already witnessed this is NOT THE CASE, therefore I am in strong support of TITLE II classification of internet service and net neutrality. - Nathan Calvert

5845. Ryen Somirs, Springfield, MO, 65807
The Internet was meant to be a tool/resource available to everybody with a device that can connect. It was not created to allow for corporations to restrict, throttle, or provide high speed priority and ultimately cost consumers more and more for a service that has become a staple of modern life. It's enough that companies charge what they charge just to access the internet (which most of the time is a rip-off), people don't need companies providing priority high speed paths to those with the fattest wallets while the rest of the internet is throttled. This shouldn't even be an issue if those in government truly did things in the best interest of those they are supposed to represent.

5846. Joseph Courtney, Hannibal , MO, 63401
Large corporations are only concerned with making a profit and not about the good of the people. The internet is the modern day Library of Alexandria and Tower of Babel all in one, it's a place to share thoughts and ideas without being repressed.

5847. Jerry Pollock, Kansas City, MO, 64114
We all know that given the opportunity to leverage even greater record profits by controlling the internet, Big Telecom and others will start discriminating against small business and individuals. Why would the FCC want this to happen? It it did, what would be the reason to even have an FCC? This is another utility critical to millions of people and businesses alike. We don't let other utilities set prices without oversight. Why Big Telecom?

5848. Diane O'Dell Davis, Gladstone, MO, 64119
The consumer should be in charge of what's available on the internet!!!

5849. Christopher Crenner, Kansas City, MO, 64112
As a professional using the web frequently it is vital to my work to have unbiased access to the web for all traffic

5850. Justin, Joplin, MO, 64804
Noooo...leave the internet alone!

5851. Jason Balicki, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
The FCC must protect net neutrality. It is immoral to take a free and open internet away from the people and give it to giant corporations. There is already a lack of competition and oversight of these ISPs, we can't afford to give these companies even more than we already have.

5852. Maureen Wilt, Kansas City, MO, 64133
It is paramount that net neutrality be protected. Please stand for what is good for ALL Americans, not just some.

5853. Paul Roberts, Saint Louis, MO, 63128
We are moving back in time with these kinds of changes. I would even venture to say that this is a threat to our national security and privacy.

5854. Brian McCann, Kansas City, MO, 64152
Protect net neutrality. It is essential for free speech, privacy, and free access to information. I know writers who rely on this access for their livelihood and restricting service/speed stifles them and gives to businesses what should belong to citizens.

5855. Rae Petersen, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Hold the rights of individuals above the special interests of corporations.

5856. Gwen Mullinix, St Louis, MO, 63114
"Closed internet" benefits the teeny tiny fraction of the population who control the internet at big cable companies. Net neutrality benefits literally everyone else.

5857. Jack Moran, DC, Independence, MO, 64052
Dear FCC,Keep the Internet open, leave Net neutrality alone!!!Sincerely,

5858. Gayle Alexander, Butler, MO, 64730
Government, you stay out of it. You will only entangle, burden and make the internet useless to us. Your only goal is to rule and to reign and tell us only what you want us to know. You bow. Let the free market do its job.

5859. Bill Friedhoff, Overland, MO, 63114
The Internet is the last Free communication left. Telcom Companies already know more than they should about each of their customers, they should be forbidden from further control over the liberty of the net.

5860. Jordan Pietschmann, Raymore, MO, 64083
ISP's should not be allowed to throttle my internet connection as they wish. If I pay for a certain bandwidth they shouldn't be able to fuck with that.

5861. Cindy slimp, Springfield , MO, 65810
Protect our net neutrality. I shudder to think what the GOP will do to our browsing if it is lifted.

5862. S Allen, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
Constitutional free speech must be preserved in today's mode of internet communication. Small business and entrepreneurs will suffer lost opportunities that will prevent a full economic recovery, and gutting neutrality will create a monopoly for big business. Net neutrality must be preserved as a necessary liberty, if not an essential freedom.

5863. Dr. Stephen Gunsallus, St. Louis, MO, 63104
From inception, the net was made to be a free and open public resource. Please keep the net open and neutral.

5864. Warren, Kansas City , MO, 64117
It is a sick joke to even consider changing the rules in this manner. The internet must remain in an open format to remain fair for all.

5865. Peter Jacobsen, Lawson, MO, 64062
I don't want to live in a country where we all become corporate slaves, the people of America obviously don't want this. Keep this country one of freedom and fairness.

5866. Stephanie Charsha, Independence, MO, 64050
There is no need to throttle the internet. It is a tool for education, entertainment, etc. To pass this is to accept that you only care about profits and not about people.

5867. Alaina, St. Louis , MO, 63110
Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started. Protect net neutrality!

5868. Huan Truong, Columbia, MO, 65201
I am a licensed ham who would like to see Net neutrality be maintained for ISP. I think it is essential to freedom of speech, which is an essential right of any American citizen. It will also foster innovators and small businesses because it will forbid preferential treatments to big businesses.

5869. alexis a., Springfield , MO, 65806
please do not end net neutrality! How are we supposed to keep growing our economy if everyone in the future does not have a level playing field. The internet is where EVERYONE is right now so its only fair that it remain a place of freedom of speech. Being that only a handful of millionaires and billionaires control the wealth of this country the internet is the only level playing field and way to succeed on our own we have!

5870. Adeleye Opejin, ST LOUIS, MO, 63118
Please keep net neutrality. I think it is an important investment towards improving the productivity of future generations

5871. JAKE O., SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63125
The freedom of the internet was built with net neutrality in mind. Taking away net-neutrality does nothing but harm the end consumer. This decision should not be made lightly, and never with the wishes of the corporate world in mind. Please do not ruin the internet with special interests the same way so many good things in this country have been ruined.

5872. Linda Popp, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
The internet has hurt people. Our nation needs BOTH free speech and civility. I do NOT want any interference from large companies. I do not want control from large providers. I do want limits and regulations about harmful emails. Thank you.

5873. Jeremy Whistance, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please preserve net neutrality. We've seen that corporations will use any advantage they can to tip scales in their favor. Frequently without regard for consumer best interest. By preserving net neutrality we help protect consumers choice by presenting the internet as a more level playing field. I grew up in rural missouri with very little choice in internet access and what was provided was very tightly coupled to the provider as it was. if they had more control to decide what traffic was priority for me, I don't think I would have benefited as much from the internet.

5874. Sheila Rekdal, Independence, MO, 64053
We do not need Gate Keepers that allow those with the most money or the right connections to have access to a faster internet! Democracy does not work that way!

5875. Clyde Vandegrift, Sappington, MO, 63126
Deat FCC Reader,I am for net neutrality as a small business person and like the freedom to deal with my consumers without big business interference.I also like the freedom to have a broader right of choice than what others choose for me.

5876. David Weissenborn, Kirkwood, MO, 63021
Please safeguard net neutrality. Letting companies charge or subsidize certain kinds of data is a giveaway to monopolies.

5877. Mike Slover, Pacific, MO, 63069
Isp's should provide service, not decide what I can see and cannot see, or be able to sell my personal information. The abolition of net neutrality is enough to make me sign off the internet for good.

5878. Bob Kube, Kirksville, MO, 63501
I don't want big company's taking rights away from small company's. (Previous owner of a small company) Also large internet company's should not have control over where I go or what see on the internet.

5879. Joshua Woehlke, Rolla, MO, 65401
As a remote programmer who grew up with the internet, I rely on my connection for work, play, and keeping in touch with my family and friends. I pay for a certain total throughput to my house, and I want to use what I pay for in whatever manner I choose to. I don't want some traffic prioritized based on whom my ISP is trying to shake down at any given moment, and I don't want to be held hostage as a consumer. I do not have any viable alternatives where I live for internet access at the speeds necessary to perform my work. It is sickening to me that this hostile FCC would even entertain the idea of selling me out and drastically changing the online landscape I grew up in and now work in. I already pay for my throughput. Leave it alone. Title II is exactly what I need, and I hate how often I have to defend it and other online freedoms and protections from you creeps.

5880. Wm J Cochran, Lake Ozark, MO, 65049
SCOTUS at Chevron Preference, its upholding 2nd Circuit twice and coming SCOTUS order upholding internet as a "public Utility" will take any FCC dismantle of Net Neutrality Order as violation of SCOTUS and 2nd Circuit Orders . that will open door to Democrat legislation based on these Orders to turn internet into a Statute defined regulated utility instead of just a Rule based on these Orders at the same time reducing FCC authority on setting any rules favoring big monopolies

5881. Tim Peterson, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
Net neutrality must be protected. Imagine a society where only those who were a part of companies controlling the internet could browse while others had to be left in the dark without means of quick information. It is to the same effect as before the printing press.

5882. P. Fitzsimmons, Kansas City, MO, 64108
Title II net neutrality should be defended, and the internet should be maintained and protected as a common carrier.

5883. Miles A. Brandt, Saint Louis, MO, 63127
I, being of legal voting age, support regulation of internet service providers under Title II.

5884. Jason Sauer, Bridgeton, MO, 63044
Net neutrality is a must in today's tech world. Internet access has achieved that status as a utility, right along side electricity, gas and water. it is earned that status because it truly is a 'necessity' for most Americans, whether it is work, play or a little of both. Now taking that pipeline and allowing certain companies to access it quicker, and take 'more of the road' is really not productive for our society. Will we begin to pay more so we can get the better/cleaner/safer 'water' or the safest/strongest connection to the electric grid? That is what we are doing when we let provider companies decide who gets priority on the internet bandwidth highway to their customers. Larger companies will begin to stamp out the smaller startups, the backbone of our technology world. These small companies will not have the resources to keep up with the larger companies, in the pockets of the providers.Remember This. Google started in a garage with 2 guys. Why? Because there was a level playing field.Thank You for your time.Jason Sauer

5885. Justin Helt, Grandview, MO, 64030
Please make strong net neutrality rules that prevent companies that provide internet access from holding interest in internet content.Internet providers should not be biased for or against any specific internet content.Similar rules already exist in my industry (managing the electrical transmission grid). Companies that own transmission lines are increasingly being prohibited from owning generation, and vice versa.

5886. Net Neutrality, Lee's Summit, MO, 64081
Pls no, be good people; keep net netrality

5887. Warren McAllen, Cameron, MO, 64429
Strong Net Neutrality - ESSENTIAL

5888. Curtis Keal, Blue Springs, MO, 64029
Preserve net neutrality and TItle II. TItle II classification is vital for consumers and does not impede infrastructure investment.

5889. Matthew Martin, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I pay for Internet so that I can get a fast connection to the Internet, not to the Internet that my ISP deems worthy of their speeds, or has paid their fees for a fast connection. The Internet needs to be a protected service, that's the only way it will provide equality for small Internet start ups.

5890. Matt, Willard, MO, 65781
I specifically support strict net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs

5891. Jeff Meyer, St. Joseph, MO, 64503
I strongly believe the FCC's Open Internet Rules are rules that create a fair playing ground and should be allowed to stand.Chairman Ajit Pai has said he believes in removing regulation then possibly re-adding it if it proves necessary. The current rules are in place because they were proven necessary. In 2014 Netflix had to enter into several peering agreements with Verizon, Comcast, and other ISPs as traffic from Netflix to the local networks wasn't traveling as quickly as it should have been. While Netflix is a large enough company to pay for such agreements progressive startups may not be so fortunate.As a video editor I frequently use various services to

move significant quantities of data. The Open Internet Rules ensure that as I connect to different services that my clients use my connection will always be running at full speed. My ability to rely on the internet for work depends on me being able to rely on a fast connection to all servers.The free market depends on a level paying field. All dollars being treated equal is a foundational element. Similarly packets traveling across a network must be treated equal in order for free market forces to properly regulate the internet.I urge the FCC to support the free market by upholding the Open Internet Rules.Thank you,Jeff

5892. Adam Carter, St. Joseph, MO, 64506
As a internet customer, I pay $x per month to an ISP (internet service provider) to access all of the internet using the http protocol. As a consumer I do not want an ISP limiting my web browsing choices via throttling download speeds. Ending net neutrality limits my choices, as a consumer, to what websites I visit. Because there are a limited number of ISPs in my area (1 broadband provider) ending net neutrality would put me at the mercy of that ISP's policies. Retaining net neutrality means more choices for the consumer. I program open source software for a company who helps 1600+ publishers monetize their blogs. As a developer, ending net neutrality, enabling ISPs to open fast/slow lanes means less need for my skillset if those publishers are affected negatively by fast/slow lanes. There is an entire industry, with unimaginable scope, that depends on the internet remaining neutral to all ISP customers. Removing net neutrality will most certainly have negative impacts on the economy that we can not begin to imagine. Thank you, Adam Carter

5893. Adam Jeffery, Linn, MO, 65051
Dear FCC, Net Neutrality....we pay our ISPs for their services and have our speeds limited enough already, who are you to say how we use our internet. We dont complain about trump constantly tweeting and spreading his nonsense to the world, if you want to control anyones use of the internet, start with him and the alt right, leave us internaughts alone.Adam Jeffery

5894. Jason Pitt, Springfield, MO, 65804
I entirely and specifically support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight. The chairman's transparent effort to diminish basically the entirety of humankind for a few megacorps who already have too much influence is completely reprehensible. He has no business serving as chairman if he is not willing to stand AGAINST the corporations. The balance between the two is what keeps us collectively progressing without going off of either terrible deep end. You are no longer employed by the corporations. It is your turn to take the other side.

5895. Tanner Craig, Independence, MO, 64055
Chairman Pai wants to replace the agencyâ€™s strong rules with â€œvoluntaryâ€ conditions that no ISP would ever comply with! Net Neutrality is crucial for small business owners, startups and entrepreneurs, who rely on the open internet to launch their businesses, create markets, advertise their products and services, and reach customers. We need the open internet to foster job growth, competition and innovation. No company should be allowed to interfere with this open marketplace. ISPs are the Internets gatekeepers, and without Net Neutrality, they would seize every possible opportunity to profit from that gatekeeper position.

5896. Giovanni LaForgia, Kansas City, MO, 64158
Mr. Chairman - Net Neutrality under the Obama-era Title II law protects the American end user and provides a foundation for accountability to ISPs by creating a more open and diverse internet. Doing away with net neutrality harms open access to the internet, which has become such a vital backbone in every Americans life. Allowing ISPs to selectively give priority to websites that line their pockets isn't in the spirit in which the internet lives; to provide open and equal access to information to all that rely upon it. I urge the committee to strike down this attempt to repeal Net Neutrality and instead focus on making our interconnected society more robust with access for all who need it.

5897. Sabrina Drake, Springfield, MO, 65803
I support strong net neutrality back by Title II oversight of ISPs, and do not wish for any change to be made to the current ISP oversight system. Changing the system sets the so called lad of the free to being more of a land of the paid and even further oppressed by large corporations.

5898. William Guthrie, Sedalia, MO, 65301
Keep titles i & 11 of net neutrality in place. The internet needs to remain protected from control of ISP providers promoting certain services over others.

5899. S.B. Miller, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Please don't change the rules on net neutrality. We know from past experience that large telecom companies will cheerfully slow down or even block their competition if you make it legal for them to do so. (The fact that the FCC's head, Ajit Pai, a former Verizon employee, is arguing against net neutrality is not encouraging, since Verizon was a major offender.) Net neutrality is important to maintain competition, and to protect consumers. Please look out for the people you're supposed to protect. Thank you.

5900. Brian Gilstrap, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
The internet has thrived, fostered growth, and supported our civil rights because it has been a â€˜level playing fieldâ€™ for everyone. Removing net neutrality will tilt that playing field, marginalize individuals and less wealthy groups, and stack things towards large corporations and wealthy individuals. That is not what the internet has ever been about and not what it should be about. Net Neutrality is a cornerstone of an open, fair infrastructure provided equally to all. You need to keep Title II.

5901. Michael A David, St Louis, MO, 63116
The internet has thrived, fostered growth, and supported our civil rights because it has been a â€˜level playing fieldâ€™ for everyone. Removing net neutrality will tilt that playing field, marginalize individuals and less wealthy groups, and stack things towards large corporations and wealthy individuals. That is not what the internet has ever been about and not what it should be about. Net Neutrality is a cornerstone of an open, fair infrastructure provided equally to all. You need to keep Title II. Please allow the internet to be a tool for democracy and civil rights for all people.

5902. Kyle Rove, St. Louis, MO, 63118

I strongly support that ISPs continue to be regulated under Title II regulations and oppose any changes to current internet neutrality regulations.Businesses need to be on a level playing field, no matter their size. These regulations ensure ISPs don't favor their own products over competitors'. The idea that such regulations should be abandoned in favor of "voluntary" agreements is farcical. Kyle Rove

5903. Jessica Rove, St. Louis, MO, 63118
Preserve title two regulations of isps, and uphold net neutrality

5904. Mike Conroy, Sedalia, MO, 65301
It is argued that deregulating ISPs is necessary to the continued building of infrastructure and services to the public, especially in rural areas, that a return to the internet of the past is beneficial to the public. This argument fails to account for the fact that the current internet is not the internet of the past. The argument fails to account that the internet of the past was, first, a novelty, then a convenience, where, today, the internet is an essential communications service, required by businesses and the general public, for commerce, for communications, for jobs, and for emergency services.Prior to the FCC regulatory responsibility of ISPs, I have experienced, from multiple providers, a number of issues that should be of concern to the general public, and to the FCC alike. These concerns included throttling of internet access, denial of internet access, promises of minimum bandwidth that the ISP, knowingly, could not provide, as they did not have the equipment in place to provide (high speed (contracted 4MB) vs. dial up (500-650KB) provided) the needed bandwidth, failure to provide internet access in a timely manner, failure to repair access nodes outside of the residence, and, once, even claiming I failed to pay for services, then claiming my bank stole my payment, requiring investigation of the Federal Bank Examiners, after which my payment was, immediately, magically "found" by the ISP.Today, the internet has evolved into a public communications service. Even providing, in some cases, emergency communications to, and from, the general public. Often, especially in rural areas, weather emergency communications (e.g., tornado warnings) are made available via the internet, sometimes when no other form of communication is available, as cellular phone coverage is not 100%, even in the heartland of the nation. Emergency communications can also be received from, and sent to, responders via the internet.The general public also require internet services for their day-to-day activities, and for such necessary purposes such as applying for a particular job, etc. Sometimes these purposes require a quick action by the public, as a delay in service could cause a derogatory effect to the public. For example, failure to apply for a job in a timely manner, due to the failure of an ISP to provide service, or by throttling, or blocking certain websites, could result in a failure to obtain that job.Large ISPs have, in the past (prior to FCC regulation), in my opinion, based on my experiences, failed to provide contracted services, delaying services or delaying repairs to systems or denying services that have been paid for, at the expense of the subscriber, for the purpose of increasing their profitability. These large ISPs are typically similar to electrical power plants, having, essentially, a monopoly on a local market. If the general public is poorly treated, or the ISP fails to provide reasonable services, the only option for the public is to not have essential internet services.Thus, it is my opinion that the internet should be, and needs to be, regulated as a public service provider, similarly to that which telephone services have been. ISPs need to, for the safety and emergency response by, and for, the general public, provide for the general well being of the public, and provide for the economic needs of business and the public, be regulated to provide reasonable services, timely repairs and upgrades in services and equipment, prevented from throttling or blocking web access (not withstanding illegal actions or websites), and required to be held accountable for failure to provide adequate services, provide for a reasonable up time to their subscribers, and, maintain their systems, in a reasonable manner, to maintain essential communication services to their subscribers. And, that it is reasonable to ask the FCC to continue to regulate these essential services, as the internet has evolved into an essential communication service.

5905. lauren obrien, Fenton, MO, 63026
I support protecting an open internet under Title II.

5906. Kyler Wooldridge, Platte City, MO, 64079
Please continue to keep current strong net neutrality regulations under Title 2. Thank you.

5907. Kelli Buchanan, Columbia, MO, 65203
I am strongly opposed to "Restoring Internet Freedom" Act. The name of this act is intentionally misleading. This is a blatant and upsetting attempt to take away power from the population. Private companies should not be able to regulate internet traffic in such a way that will make it more difficult to access information. Giving priority access to websites via "traffic lanes" is unacceptable. It is a first amendment right to use a free and neutral internet. Companies should not be allowed to discriminate against information in pursuit of higher profits.Internet providers cannot be able to dictate what content users can see. Assurances that only â€œreasonableâ€ discrimination in internet traffic will be allowed are not acceptable; any content discrimination is too much. This is an incredibly dangerous and discriminatory method of controlling access to information. It is against the fundamental nature of our democratic society to take away a powerful tool that citizens may use to inform themselves.Open and free access to information is vital for a stronger democracy.

5908. Andrew McFarlane, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
Do not change the internet back to a title 1 classification. This will be a huge mistake and will only serve the interest of internet service providers. The rest of us will experience less choices and freedom.

5909. Logan Keech, Lee's Summit, MO, 64086
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please preserve net neutrality. Do not allow companies to potential impact access. Please.

5910. Bryan Loeper, St Louis, MO, 63146
Net Neutrality MUST be enforced by regulation due to the existing monopolies that continue to grow. The internet is a critical part of our country's infrastructure and must be treated as such.

5911. Gregory LeTrello, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
Chairman Pai and the FCC, This attack on Net Neutrality is absolutely ridiculous - the reason for putting internet access under Title II was to prevent ISPs from demanding payments from online service providers in order for their customers to get the bandwidth they're

paying the ISP for when using their service. Seriously, what was with Pai's comment about "hypothetical issues that could arise without Title II" - a very high profile one already happened back in 2014 with Comcast demanding that Netflix effectively bribe them to allow Comcast customers to use the entirety of the bandwidth they're paying for when accessing Netflix if they so choose. A customer of an ISP should be able to get the full speed they're paying for when accessing any online service, not just those that "pay up". The problem should be obvious - if the ISPs - which are effectively monopolies (I have access to two, and many Americans only have one available) are allowed to take effective bribes like this, online service providers that have the cash will cement themselves as the only game in town (ie. in this scenario if someone creates a better online video service startup than Netflix, all Netflix has to do is bribe all the ISPs to prioritize bandwidth to them instead of the startup and it will be literally impossible for the startup to compete) and prevent startups from standing any chance in the marketplace whatsoever - without Net Neutrality we're pretty much guaranteed not to see the next Google or Netflix be created in this country. A large part of why our economy is generally doing well right now is because the principle of Net Neutrality has allowed easy access to new ideas, products, and services - without it, those innovations and the economic activity that go along with them will move to other countries, making us less competitive on the world stage. As best I can tell only the few ISPs in this country would benefit from the removal of Net Neutrality (Title II) and literally everyone else would bear the brunt of the obvious downsides (less competition among internet-based services because smaller ones would be unable to compete resulting in poorer quality and higher costs for everyone) - it wouldn't be as big of an issue if there was fierce competition between ISPs (ie. if everyone had 20+ to choose from like they do with something like restaurants, in which case the market would maybe be capable of balancing things on it's own to at least some degree, but even that's a dicey proposition), but as long as they're monopolistic utilities, they absolutely need to be regulated as such to keep their greed from destroying American economic growth and innovation. Seriously, the government should be doing all it can to encourage innovation, economic growth, and fair competition among businesses. Removing the Title II protections would go against those fundamentally American principles - why is this repeal of Net Neutrality even being considered?Thank you for your consideration, Gregory LeTrello

5912. Pamela Kell, St. Louis, MO, 63116
I would like to preserve strong net neutrality and it should be backed by strong Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

5913. Net Neutrality, St. Louis, MO, 63108
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Obama-era net neutrality rules for equal access to content prevented the manipulation of big companies over small companies and helped level the playing field so that big companies could not undermine small companies before they can take off.

5914. Erin Niederberger, Kansas City, MO, 64119
I have submitted comments on this issue before, but time has passed and I would like to make sure my statements are still fresh.As a librarian, I am concerned by plans to attack net neutrality. Librarians hold intellectual freedom as a core value and believe all Americans have the right to access information. The weakening of net neutrality could lead to the creation of Internet fast and slow lanes, de facto censoring some sites that cannot pay and redirecting users to sites that can. This impedes their ability to access *all* information and could lead to the suppression of emerging or less funded ideas. For better or for worse, the Internet has become a medium that many Americans use to learn, communicate, and conduct their livelihoods. A large portion of the human race now relies on the Internet (Weiss, 2011, p. 385). In fact, in 2009, France ruled that Internet access was a basic human right (Weiss, 2011, p. 384). American promotes the idea of the American dream, that anyone from any background or social standing can succeed with hard work and determination. In order for this to be a reality, Americans deserve a level playing field. All Internet users should be treated equally as information consumers and producers, whether they have money or no. Otherwise, the Internet becomes one more system rigged from the start. In the past, when net neutrality has been threatened, the American people have overwhelmingly spoken in favor of it. In 2014, processing of 800,000 public comments on the FCCâ€™s net neutrality plan revealed that fewer than 1% were opposed to net neutrality (Lannon, 2014). Since I last submitted my comments on this topic, another survey revealed that 61% of Americans support net neutrality rules (Neidig, 2017). That is a strong majority, especially as a further 21% had no opinion and only 18% opposed. And why shouldnâ€™t they support it? Lowering regulations benefits few people. Consumers will suffer with impaired access to sites that cannot pay. Small businesses will suffer as larger, more powerful competitors are able to pay more and choke out traffic. Intellectual freedom as a whole will suffer as it becomes harder to freely share and exchange information on the last great marketplace of ideas. Only large, already rich corporations will benefit from relaxed regulations. In 2005, the FCC released a policy statement adopting the principles that â€œconsumers are entitled to access the lawful Internet content of their choiceâ€ and stating that â€œthe Commission has a duty to preserve and promote the vibrant and open character of the Internetâ€. They then wrote that they would incorporate these principles into ongoing policymaking (FCC, 2005, p. 3). What happened to this Commission? Where have these ideals gone?The government exists to represent and serve the people, not whatever business can slip them the most campaign contributions. The people are speaking now. Will you listen to them? ReferencesFederal Communications Commission. (2005). Policy Statement. Retrieved from https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-05-151A1.pdfLannon, B. (2014). What can we learn from 800,000 public comments on the FCCâ€™s net neutrality plan? Sunlight Foundation. Retrieved from https://sunlightfoundation.com/2014/09/02/what-can-we-learn-from-800000-public-comments-on-the-fccs-net-neutrality-plan/Neidig, H. (2017). Cable industry poll: Majority support net neutrality rules. The Hill. Retreived from http://thehill.com/policy/technology/332948-cable-industry-poll-majority-support-net-neutrality-rulesWeiss, P. F. (2011). Protecting a right to access internet content: The feasibility of judicial enforcement in a non-neutral network. Brooklyn Law Review, 77(1).

5915. Richard Barbieri, Kansas City, MO, 64106
I support Title II as a means of ensuring internet service comes with no blocking, no throttling, and no fast lanes. I also support Title II regulations which would protect my privacy, and encourage competition among ISPs to provide better service at lower prices. As a consumer I value the anti-monopolistic regulations contained in Title II, and I have seen first hand the challenges that a new ISP can face coming to market. My own ISP is Google Fiber, and if Google can face such an uphill struggle in establishing themselves amidst monopolistic ISP overlords, how can we expect smaller ISPs to grow? That really doesn't paint a pretty picture a for consumer-friendly future. The same applies for the possibility of anti-competitive throttling or blocking which could conceivably occur on an unregulated internet. Chairman Pai dismisses this "preemptive regulation," therefore I also assume he would rather wait for the snake to bite him before he takes any action to defend himself. There is a clear opportunity for corruption if net neutrality regulations are not kept in place, for what possible reason would we not shore up those regulatory flaws proactively?Frankly, the apparent disregard the FCC

leadership has shown for the public's commentary on this matter is appalling. I write this comment with little faith that Chairman Pai will alter, delay, or rethink any part of his plan, regardless of how many fellow Americans urge him to reconsider.

5916. doug heagler, Saint Louis, MO, 63103
Just a brief comment to express support for rules and regulations supporting and maintaining net neutrality. I am happy to provide more to support this case, although it appears many of the reason have already been well covered.

5917. Lisa Spaulding, Kansas City, MO, 64109
Dear Sirs, As an educator, I have been using internet-available materials (whether documents of my own creation or those materials available on the web) in order to decrease student costs. Most teachers and students rely on an y number of free internet materials in order to function, from Dropbox to Blackboard to school websites. It is impossible to think that schools have money languishing on their budgets in order to ensure that student can access our websites with the same speeds that large corporations can manage. The FCC is supposed to protect the citizens of the U.S. States, in order to save money, have moved many of their processes--vehicle license renewal, tax filings, etc--online in order to save money. States certainly don't have funds to pay for adequate speeds. Furthering the existing digital divide in the United States is not to the benefit of most Americans nor to the benefit of public entities. Please ensure that ISP's remain reclassified under Title II. Thank you.

5918. Matthew Smith, Hollister, MO, 65672
Thank you for all your hard work. My request is.Please keep Net Neutrality keeping the existing title two classification in tact.ThanksMatt

5919. net neutrality, st. charles, MO, 63301
Please support net neutrality. It seems to be common sense, and only serves to protect all citizens/end users from unfair, biased, manipulated service due to some company's agenda and self gain at the expense of the people paying for fair and equal service.

5920. Blake Graham-Henderson, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please do not weaken the enforcement on Net Neutrality. I support strong Net Neutrality backed by TITLE II oversight for ISP's.

5921. Internet freedom, Saint Charles, MO, 63304
The title "Restoring Internet Freedom" is a lie! This proposal is exactly the opposite of freedom. Please keep REAL NET FREEDOM and NEUTRALITY for all people, not just the ones who can afford it!!!Thank You,Harlan...

5922. Julian Vallejo, Kansas City, MO, 64116
I strongly support the FCC's continued enforcement of internet neutrality among internet service providers (ISPs) through maintaining the current regulations on ISPs under title II. It is not the right of ISPs to control the flow of information and ideas over the internet in the USA for the benefit of companies and profits. Keep our information market FREE!!

5923. Tim Kirchhoff, Blue Springs, MO, 64064
Please maintain Title II and Net Neutrality. It is important there is an even playing field. Thanks,

5924. Josh Wattler, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
Title 2 is completely necessary to ensure equal access to information for all. Corporations have to be regulated to prevent them from taking everything they possibly can, history has shown us this.

5925. David Foresman, Sikeston, MO, 63801
Specifically, support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's.

5926. Carl Reincke, Kansas City, MO, 64108
I support strong net neutrality that ensures a level playing field with the consumer in mind.

5927. Paul Thomas, springfield, MO, 65809
Reddit, YouTube, and any other website load unbearably slow even though speed test prove me internet is fast. 25 down and 10 up.

5928. Daniel Haase, Springfield, MO, 65803
This is about FCC attempting to make millions of Americans angry: the removal of net neutrality. It just makes no sense to me. Pai was written articles in the past about the need for an open internet. Seriously, what is he thinking now? NOBODY CAN LOGICALLY SAY WE DON'T NEED NET NEUTRALITY. But there is one way to say we don't.....oh, it's having the big cable companies pay politicians a ton of money so they can make more money from Netflix et. al. to pay for better service. Just please God quit this. FCC helped me a lot recently by getting my ISP to fix the less than 1mb/ps upload speed I was getting while paying for 25. And now you want to try to end net neutrality? AGAIN? This gets brought up every few years. They back down when the VAST majority of Americans take action against it. Are you trying again cause ISPs paid enough? KEEP NET NEUTRALITY. If you don't, millions of Americans will be extremely angry that you got rid of it cause ISP's paid you. There's no other logical reason you would. Bye. Daniel Haase

5929. Michael Taterka, Creve Coeur, MO, 63141
Preserve network neutrality. It is your constitutional responsibility!!!

5930. Mike Kaiman, OFallon, MO, 63368
I am writing to voice my opinion that the FCC should not reclassify ISPs and keep them under title two regulation. Title two oversight is the only way ensure strong net neutrality standards in the US. I have great concerns about the power the FCC would be giving the ISPs and that might endanger freedoms both website creators and internet users have come to expect. Please consider the words of the previous commission chairman and "don't be a dingo" when it comes to respecting full access for all on the internet.

5931. Rebecca Lograsso, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I believe that corporations should not be able to pay off internet providers in order to get faster download speeds. No matter what someone is browsing, the internet speed should be the same based on what the consumer is paying.

5932. Joe Manwarren, St Louis, MO, 63116
I support strong net neutrality by title 2 oversight of isps.

5933. Micah Houston, Saint Joseph, MO, 64503
Dear FCC,Don't call it "Restoring Internet Freedom" when IT REALLY TAKES FREEDOM AWAY FROM WEBSITES AND GIVES CONTROL TO ISPs like COMCAST and VERIZON. We must uphold Title 2 protections under the Communications Act and protect the people, the consumers of internet. Sincerely,Micah Houston

5934. Jamie Fallert, Saint Charles, MO, 63304
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules), based on Title II are extremely important to me.

5935. Erin Skidmore, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
This is a relatively easy issue to explain. Allow me to break it down for you.1. Broadband providers should not be legally allowed to analyze or manipulate any American citizen's internet data. 2. Telecom companies should not be legally allowed to interfere with American citizen's internet data flow.3. Telecom companies should not be legally allowed to:a. profit off of the manipulation of internet data flowb. block political viewpoints that they disagree withc. block political viewpoints that they find "controversial"d. block internet data that makes them look bade. block applications that compete with their ownf. block access to union sites during a labor conflictg. increase profits by forcing developers to pay more to avoid having their data blocked and slowed downh. halt, slow, or otherwise tamper with internet data

5936. jason, St. Charles, MO, 63304
Net neutrality is key to our internet! Please don't take it away

5937. zach varwig, St. Louis, MO, 63130
Please uphold net nuetrality, and maintain title 2 for ISPs. In fact, double down, and please strengthen the laws outlined in title 2 so they will not be so easily undone.

5938. Gerald Caussade, Saint Louis, MO, 63101
Critical to me! the FCC's Open Internet Rules are extremely important to me, please protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has said he will do this!But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Gerald Caussade

5939. Andy Stephens, St Louis, MO, 63126
I strongly support Title II for Internet Service Providers. I strongly disapprove of Section 706 for ISPs. If the internet is not scheduled as a Title II service, the people will riot.

5940. Karelia Kent, Columbia, MO, 65203
I would like to express support of keeping net neutrality available for all patrons who pay for internet access.

5941. JP Rogers, Lees Summit, MO, 64086
Save Net Neutrality. Do not let big corporations persuade you to take away our freedom/ability to choose and to compete with these big corporations via the internet. The next great internet idea could be stifled if this freedom is taken away by big corporations. It's new ideas that make the world and big corporations more competitive; not less. Keep the internet as it is today free to all no matter their size.

5942. Lorri Auer, Columbia, MO, 65201
Nothing is more important right now than preserving net neutrality and Title 2. The cable companies and others who provide Internet service are already monopolies in many regions of the country. Please don't give them even more power. Thank you.

5943. Grant Woody, Joplin, MO, 64804
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. The consequences of losing Net Neutrality in this country are too costly for the average citizen. The ability for powerful and biased interest groups to control content by limiting reliable access is unconstitutional.

5944. Richard J Berry, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701

The internet deserves to be free and open. By ending the Net Neutrality rules you allow monopolies to continue to form in cities forcing people to choose between a very small number of internet providers. Classified as a utility this has the potential to change and open up markets to competition which would not only create an incentive for businesses to upgrade their services and create faster speeds but also allows for the cost to be lowered for the consumer in general. Without Net Neutrality we also put ourselves at the mercy of the companies that provide access, removing Neutrality allows them to reduce the speed that customers PAY to receive whenever they see fit, either hitting a previously undisclosed cap or if the user is using a competing service. They then can get away with this practice as there is little to no competition to go to as you would expect in a proper capitalistic environment. Internet has become as useful to the daily lives of the American people today as a phone was 30 years ago. It is no longer a luxury, employers continually switch to online applications, schools shift more and more to online websites to reduce the paper handouts as well as increase ease with which students may turn in homework. Take away Neutrality and all you end up doing is padding pockets of companies while ignoring the actual needs of the American People.Richard J Berry

5945. Tom Ellis, Springfield, MO, 65804
I am a supporter of internet neutrality. I oppose changing or eliminating FCC rules that promote net neutrality.

5946. Elizabeth Harkins, St. Louis, MO, 63124
Please protect net neutrality! Not-for-profits and government websites would be inherently penalized. When I search for medical information, the CDC's website and Mayo Clinic's website should be allowed to run just as fast as WebMD and Pharmaceutical Companies' websites rather than letting them pay to force non-profit traffic to them. Wikipedia, Smithsonian, Kahn Academy and so many other excellent non-profit organizations with great websites could be driven out of business in the internet age without net neutrality. We wouldn't stand by and let wealthy corporate clients get the best or fastest water or electricity while the non-profits and less wealthy individuals or startups get poor quality, insufficient and slow supplies. The internet is the most important public utility since electric and water companies. We need to treat it and protect it as such!

5947. Bao Thai, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
The internet is the one thing that should not be running on capitalism.

5948. Brittany, Springfield, MO, 65804
Net Neutrality should be maintained, to avoid trust movements/online monopolies, protect the ability of a person to engage with the internet and other people in the manner they choose, to avoid censorship by corporations and companies, support small businesses, and encourage creativity and learning.

5949. Tonya LaFollette, Strafford, MO, 65757
as an employee of a large online organization and as a small business owner with advertising online only for worldwide customers, please do not roll back net neutrality.

5950. Gregory Pohlman, Springfield, MO, 65806
Net Neutrality is one of many things that needs to exist in order for us to be a culture of freedom. Withdrawing Net Neutrality and allowing ISPs to determine who gets the best connection speed effectively allows ISPs to police content, making it difficult for voices to be heard that are contrary to what the ISPs want. This inhibits freedom of speech and trade by making creators and sites who can't afford high-tier service much more difficult to access. The decision of who to do business with and who to listen to should be made by individuals, enabling corporations to limit access to certain sites causes those marketplaces of ideas to shut down or to compete not only with the public, but with the ISPs, and most people are not going to pay for multiple ISPs just to have broader access to content, they're choosing their service based on speed and cost, not which sites they wish to access. Not to mention that not all areas of America have access to all ISPs, meaning that parts of the country could be severely limited in what information and markets are available to them. The ISPs have the right to sell their service, and to negotiate contracts and prices for it, but they don't have the right to limit how that service is used short of working with authorities to expose criminal activity.Support the American people, keep Net Neutrality firmly in place, and don't allow it to be threatened again.

5951. Tracy Krebs, springfield, MO, 65809
Please keep internet open and accessible regardless of how much people can pay. Net neutrality should stay intact.

5952. Jay Rilinger, Kansas City, MO, 64116
Please support net neutrality and keep it alive. I value the equality and freedom of the internet over the concern of increased regulation of ISPs. Please keep them regulated.

5953. Sam Sharon, Springfield, MO, 65804
I am an employee of Expedia, whose business model relies on an open internet.A free and open internet is necessary for the health of the broader travel and tourism ecosystem too. However, the changes to net neutrality currently being considered by the FCC would put internet service providers in charge without any way to enforce net neutrality rules. We depend on the fair competition ensured by net neutrality to offer our consumers quality and affordable travel experiences, as well as offer our supply partners with an ever increasing pool of global travelers.

5954. Steven Askins, Springfield, MO, 65803
Please help preserve freedom on the internet by preserving net neutrality.

5955. Justin Underwood, Springfield, MO, 65802
I use the internet daily at my work, home, and pretty much everywhere i go. I already feel like internet costs are extreme and in some areas, almost impossible to get. I would be extremely disappointed if my favorite sites were pay extra to access and get slower connections than i already have. Please do not pass a law to put more restrictions on the internet.

5956. Michael Kendle, Springfield, MO, 65807

Net Neutrality is paramount to the future of the internet and its continued benefits for all the world. Please make sure ISPs stay as just delivery mechanisms and do not gain any way to discriminate the data they are delivering. No piece of data should be treated any different than any other piece of data.

5957. Cathy Hill, Mount Vernon, MO, 65712
As a previous owner of a small business the open internet has been very helpful to me. I would never have gotten some of the work I have gotten without it. In doing research for different things on the internet I believe it is important to be able to have free access to choose the sites I believe to be most valuable to me and not have that altered in any way.

5958. Derek Murray, Springfield, MO, 65807
Have we not learned from restricted and controlled internet policies from other governments such as China, Australia, or Brazil? Because removing net neutrality is the first step down that path. You let the highest bidder get priority, you get centralized control.

5959. Mike Gunnels, Springfield, MO, 65804
Chairman Ajit Pai . . . Please keep the internet free and open for everyone! Uphold net neutrality. Keep things fair for everyone. Thank you!!!!

5960. Dave Barylski, Fair Grove, MO, 65648
Please keep the internet open! :)

5961. Mike Schramke, Saint Louis, MO, 63125
It honestly is insane to me how greed and "lobbying" (read: bribing) law makers can create even the possibility of killing open internet. And, since ISPs are regional monopolies, if I ever lived in an area where I only had Comcast, I would just not have internet. Mike Schramke

5962. Matthew Gratton, Kansas City, MO, 64131
If the FCC is left without authority over broadband ISPs, Any ISP could double its prices overnight, and there wouldn't be anything the FCC or any other agency could do about it. This would cripple the amount of information that could reach the public, the internet should be a utility at this point.

5963. Jarryd, Columbia, MO, 65201
Protect net neutrality. That is allJarryd

5964. Molly Burkhart, Joplin, MO, 64804
Killing net neutrality will allow big corporations to crush smaller sites, allow anyone with enough money to push their own political agenda and make fact-checking nigh impossible, and prohibit the free exchange of information the internet was intended for. There is literally no benefit to the masses. The only possible benefit is to rich folk with agendas or businesses to crush. Do not allow a handful of rich folk to 1984 the rest of us.

5965. joe gansmann, st louis, MO, 63125
keep net neutrality strong preserve net neutrality rules and keep title II just the way it is

5966. Micah yankowski, O Fallon, MO, 63368
I am back again. I have written before that I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY!The FCC's Open Internet Rules are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. (And John Oliver's giant mug is still bigger!)But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Micah yankowski

5967. Jin Bai, Ballwin, MO, 63021
The internet is a place of freedom and ideas. Any policies that censor or inhibit the streaming of online services is egregious. Government has taken away our privacy, ability to climb the socioeconomic ladder, and equality between races: Do not take away our internet freedom.

5968. Sloane, St. Louis, MO, 63103
The rules of the internet should apply to all websites, not those cherry picked by the ISP's based on monetaryâ€‹ gain.

5969. Zack Maltzman, Glencoe, MO, 63038
The internet is meant to be a free place, as it has always been since its inception. These actions contradict everything the internet stands for, and it is driven by extreme corporate greed.

5970. Tynan, Kansas City, MO, 64134
Dont do it. We need the internet.

5971. net neutrality, Scott City, MO, 63780
Keep the Internet free. ISPs should not have the right to censor

5972. Bryan Gross, St Louis, MO, 63139
Apparently this needs to be said again. I support net neutrality in its entirety and do not appreciate the FCC allowing a corporate tyranny over the internet to be set up. I support strong title 2 oversight of ISPs and, if we want to go a step further, support full scale nationalization of the telecom industry but i'll settle for strong title 2 oversight of ISPs for now.

5973. Megan O'Brien, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
Net neutrality is of the utmost importance in a free society. Limiting access to any part of the Internet opens the door to limiting access to information, open and honest dialogue, and various other items that can create a dangerous threat to democracy.

5974. Ralph Dozier, saint louis, MO, 63136
PLEASE don't go through with this

5975. Joshua Broeker, Warrenton, MO, 63383
Please for the love of god stop trying to pass this law that is blatantly in favor of big business and harms the people of America, some of whom don't even know what net neutrality is. I personally will never vote for somebody that has destroyed one of the most democratic and free place in the world, the Free Internet.

5976. Marissa Wood, Columbia, MO, 65203
What if your son or daughter were poor, and living in a place where they could not search, could not learn, could not access medical care? What if we consider something other than the depths of our pockets? The internet increases, objectively, one's access to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.

5977. Zachery, Lee's Summit, MO, 64081
Please keep the net neutral! Keep net neutrality!

5978. Kara Klautzer, Liberty, MO, 64068
I support net neutrality. The power should not be in the hands of business and ISPs.

5979. Austin, Stanberry, MO, 64489
Allowing net neutrality to be changed in this way, directly allows companys to slow or stop all traffic from some sources. They can in essence remove a site or person to have their right to free speech essentially taken away from them by silencing them all together. This can be used to stamp out or hinder many of our core rights as American citizens.

5980. Joseph Sullivan, Kansas City, MO, 64112
Net-neutrality is pro-freedom and pro-competition and anti-monopoly. Lets keep it that way.

5981. justin owens, saint louis, MO, 63118
Please serve the public's best interest.

5982. Kenneth Holtz, Maryland Hieghts, MO, 63043
I have access to two ISPs. This means that there is almost zero competition, and without competition, giving these ISPs more rule over our access to the internet is detrimental to the health of something that has become essential to everyday life in America. If you want America to thrive and be successful and ahead of the curve, don't hold down your citizens.

5983. Chadd Rohde, Forsyth, MO, 65616
Don't take more of my freedoms away!!!!!! This needs to stay or rather get back to being a free country!!!!

5984. Xavier Ortiz, Saint Louis, MO, 63103
Fuck AT&T and everyone else lobbying against Net Neutrality

5985. Tom Odde, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Adding my support for preserving Net Neutrality.

5986. Kadarius burgess, Columbia, MO, 65201
In this day and age the internet has progressed into becoming an essential necessity in everyday life and thus is a fundamental human right. Repealing net neutrality would set a dangerous precedent permitting unethical things to happen like paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling of websites, These are things typically only seen in authoritarian countries, and would be unlike the United States interest in ensuring liberty for all.

5987. Michael Frank, Waverly, MO, 64096
If this country values the freedoms my brother died for and my father fought for you will leave the net open.

5988. Robert Buehler, Rolla, MO, 65401
Please do not give ISPs the power to control information access.

5989. Matthew Qualls, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Net Neutrality is essential to the function of the internet, and should be upheld for the benefit of all people. Allowing Internet Service

Providers (ISPs) to throttle internet services to users, or to create "Fast Lanes," will be detrimental to consumers; instead of improving their infrastructure to allow for all consumers to access internet content, they will provide normal speeds to those who pay more money and throttle users who do not pay. If Net Neutrality is not supported, instead of allowing all websites to have equal access to customers, ISPs may throttle users when they try to connect to websites that the ISP does not like; If the webpage is a news journalism page or a blog post with political viewpoints outside of the realm of what the ISP deems acceptable, then they could throttle the page, encroaching on the rights of Freedom of the Press and Freedom of Speech.Or what happens when the ISP decides it favors one political party over another, and it throttles connections to their less favored parties and candidates? That potentially throws into jeopardy the careers of politicians such as those who are deciding on whether or not to ensure Net Neutrality.Net Neutrality is the most pro-consumer choice that can be made. A government that cares about its people should support Net Neutrality as a way to ensure the rights and freedoms of its people.

5990. dadad, malang, MO, 64801
hahaha

5991. Alex Sellers, Cuba, MO, 65453
It is important that the internet remain open, un-throttled, un-prioritized, and unlimited. I am in the IT industry and online practically all day long for work and pleasure. If we allow ISPs to pick and choose how they would like to deliver the internet to their customers it would negatively impact everyone, even people that do not use it for anything other than email. When I am purchasing internet access from a company, I expect to get access to the entire internet at the full speed I am promised equally among all sites. We should not be limited to using the services the companies choose, or are being paid to push on us and block or limit traffic to other sites. Without Net Neutrality and oversight we are at the mercy of ISPs. Companies would be able to block and prioritize traffic how they saw fit, much like the Great Firewall of China that picks and chooses what content their citizens are able to see, what news they can read and push propaganda along with other agendas. The US is a free nation and should be able to use the whole internet without any limits just because some company has received a large payout.This is important to everyone and should not be treated lightly.

5992. TIm Kotras Jr., Kirksville, MO, 63501
Net Neutrality is good for the Internet. The only people who oppose it are internet service providers who want more money and control.

5993. James Lewis, Wentzville, MO, 63385
I can already assume you've had thousands saying the same thing, but one more voice is one more voice. Don't let internet providers have their way with these changes! We in America are tired of being told what to see, what to read, what to do when it comes to the privacy of our homes! The internet is our freedom to travel between oceans and continents across the globe. How can you as a company morally look at this and say, "If a business has the money they should be able to stop that." That's not how our freedoms work, that's never how our internet worked, and the fact that these companies would go so far as to think that we'd give them more money for better "website packages" *in a term* is repulsive. Please, have a heart and let us, the people of the country, have our internet they way it's been. For the people, made by the people.

5994. William, Kansas City, MO, 64111
The Internet is for everyone. The future depends on Information, we do not need that to be harder to obtain. Whether it be slowed down, controlled and prioritized by anyone or any corporation is NOT okay.

5995. Christian Thomas, kirksville, MO, 63501
I don't wish for my access to any part of the internet to be restricted or governed.

5996. Quit, Perryville, MO, 63775
So youre telling me that its okay for my rights to be taken away and mandated and decided by my provider what I get and dont get? And what I should see and like and do and act? I think the fuck not.This is America. Dont fuck with my internet.

5997. Andrew Hanes, manchester, MO, 63088
Net Neutrality is the cornerstone of why people go online in the first place. Ending it will only spur the creation of a new internet that will ultimately give you guys less control than you have now.

5998. Rick Steele, Crane, MO, 65633
I am a tech worker who earns my livelihood working from my home. Because I live in a rural area, I do not have access to a landline internet connection with sufficient speed to carry out my daily work, which involves frequent audio and video conferencing. I have two choices for internet service: a regional wireless ISP and a cellular data network. The former, which I currently use, costs me $510 per month with no data usage cap. The latter, which I do not use, would cost over $700 per month but would limit my data usage to 150 GB per month.I pay a VERY high price for fast internet service (15 mbps down / 5 mbps up), but pay it I do. The idea that after I have paid for this bandwidth, a company that sold this bandwidth to my internet service provider can arbitrarily decide my traffic should be de-prioritized is absolutely unacceptable to me. I am paying for a fixed data transmission rate that should be available to me from endpoint to endpoint. Abandoning net neutrality, especially in an era when more and more services are becoming cloud-based, will harm users such as myself by allowing ISPs to favor one company over another in providing access to goods and services on the internet. When I pay for bandwidth I should not have to worry that someone else will decide the importance of my traffic and whether it is delayed in favor of someone else's traffic.Please preserve and strengthen net neutrality.Thanks you.

5999. Jacob Sapp, Wildwood, MO, 63038
Please do not cripple free expression, innovation, and entrepreneurial endeavors by removing net neutrality. Doing so would be bad for everyone, even those that seek it.

6000. Dakoda O'Kelly, Jackson, MO, 63755
The internet is and should remain an open resource. This is not a matter of money - service providers charge fees for access points, but that's where the line should be drawn. They should not be allowed to determine which access points users are allowed to use. To repeal

Net Neutrality is absolutely ridiculous, and would result in massive backlash against service providers.

6001. Kayden Shelton, Springfield, MO, 65802
The internet is supposed to be a place for people to explore freely, they shouldn't be restricted access to certain sites based on who paid the most money.

6002. Brandon Goodrick, Saint Joseph, MO, 64506
Do NOT repeal any laws that protect or enact any laws that threaten net neutrality. Internet Service Providers should not have the ability to determine what information gets to pass through their internet service and what information doesn't. The internet is supposed to be open, free, and unfiltered. Internet service providers should not get to extort money from other companies and websites just to be allowed through the ISP's internet service walls. You can rest assured that I will actively seek out those politicians, organizations, and corporations who vote to repeal any net neutrality laws, and neither they nor any of their supporters will ever have my support again, on any issue. Your duty is to the AMERICAN PEOPLE, not to your largest campaign donors.

6003. Alec Wormington, Parkville, MO, 64152
The lack of Net Neutrality will only promote more and more censorship across the US. This is not China. This is not North Korea. This is not Russia. Do not take away or right to the internet - our right to free speech.

6004. Dietrich Plyler, Festus, MO, 63028
The internet is one of the most extraordinary advancements of our time. It brings the world together, and what is trying to be taken away from us is a dreadful thing to think about.

6005. William Paulick, Kansas City, MO, 64118
By getting rid of net neutrality we're just opening ourselves to be exploited and those that are already struggling in their current situation will only be forced to do so harder than they already are. There's no real reason to get rid of it other than for pure capitalist greed.

6006. Garrison Castor, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
The internet should stay neutral. In this day and age, access to the internet is a necessity and vital to keeping informed about anything, from personal social medias to world news. Companies shouldn't be able to prioritize profits over the people's rights to free access to the internet. There is no harm or downside with net neutrality, because that is how the internet has been operated since its conception. Corporations already have monopoly of service in areas, such as where I live. We don't need to keep lining their pockets while we foot the bill, allowing them to make more money from the consumer while hindering their usage of the internet.

6007. Jackson Kueffer, Raymore, MO, 64083
Keep internet as it is

6008. Jonathan Bartolomeo, Troy, MO, 63379
The internet was meant to be free. Please protect net neutrality. Treat it as a utility.

6009. Jesse Gloe, Fulton, MO, 65251
I support strong net neutrality rules. Please keep the internet free and open.

6010. Colin Murray, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Net neutrality is something that matters to almost everyone now, and it's something that can't be changed, no matter how much money comes to your pockets by repealing it. Please keep these laws in place because they are the reasons so many great things have become the way they are today.

6011. fcc net neutrality, springfield, MO, 65807
please please preserve the net neutrality. please dont undo this. I support Net Neutrality.

6012. Rachel West, Kansas City, MO, 64119
I do not want to allow internet service providers to give the best internet access only to those that can afford it. The cost of living is already high enough. And my ISP raises the cost of my internet package every year as it is.

6013. Hannah Mathes, Belleview, MO, 63623
I would like to keep the internet a free and neutral location. It may not always be the best place to be, but it's where I enjoy the most of my time.

6014. Ethan Howe, Columbia, MO, 65201
Please retain laws that defend robust and genuine net neutrality. Do not allow ISPs to restrict, prioritize, delay, block, or otherwise interfere with internet traffic between the ISPs' customers and the websites or other third parties with which those customers interact. Additionally, please do not establish an internal policy for screening these digital document filings (i.e., comments) with regard to net neutrality that allows you to label multiple comments as "identical" on the basis of sharing two or three very simple and targeted characteristics.

6015. Dustin Kelly, Independence, MO, 64057
The Internet is about free speech, free thought, free emotions, free will and fun videos of dancing kittens! It should always and forever remain free, free from I.S.P. and government entities which want to cripple anyone's actions by either forcing us to pay or the privatization of certain network capabilities. For once can't the big business machine and the government let the public have something they want, in whole, without squeezing every last dollar out of it. Don't ruin one of the great freedoms we have.

6016. William Watts, Odessa, MO, 64076

Giving anyone control over what people can view on anything is so messed up! The Internet is such a great place filled with places to go and express what you want and connect to other people and taking that away should be considered a crime! Keep net neutrality!

6017. James Dice, Saint Joseph, MO, 64501
Don't allow throttling of the internet. I remember having a dial up connection it was hard to get video from smaller news organizations then please vote to keep the internet open.

6018. Lewis Sandoval, Kansas City, MO, 64108
Keeping Net Neutrality is absolutely essential. It keeps the internet open, fair, and competitive. The internet has become a utility to the vast majority of Americans. Repealing Net Neutrality would pave the way for legalized extortion, and therefore must be stopped.

6019. Neal Quinn, Columbia, MO, 65201
this machine kills fascists

6020. Brian Trainer, New Hampton, MO, 64471
In today's society we believe the Internet is a public right the same as water and electricity. To not keep the Internet neutral would be very damaging to the freedom that the current internet provides. The Internet provides many avenues of opportunity, trying to control it too tightly would be damaging to the people of the United States. ISP providers should have no right to block any websites or limit the bandwith a person receives. Keep the Internet neutral for the good of the American people, don't put it in a stranglehold for the benefit of rich corporations at the expense of the citizens.

6021. Jack Kearney, Kansas City, MO, 64118
An open internet is the only thing that has allowed the internet to prosper as it has. Places like China and North Korea have 'an internet' but its not nearly as vibrant or useful of a place. In those countries you can only search the things the government allows. While it won't be government censorship, corporate censorship can be just as bad. Grass roots movements often begin on the internet, but if these political movements run counter to the ISP or someone paying the ISP then their freedom of speech and assembly will be impinged. Small companies will never be given the chance to grow, because they can't afford to pay off the ISP for access. Private entrepreneurs that have sprung up across the web using everything from etsy to youtube to facebook to their own websites would be in danger of being suffucated by unchecked ISPs. PLEASE DON'T ALLOW THIS TO HAPPEN. A lot of my favorite companionship and media online comes from private citizens starting something they never could have done under unchecked ISPs. The Angry Video Game Nerd or SFDebris might not mean anything to you, just like I'm sure there are other small time producers out there that don't mean anything to me, but they all mean something to someone. Amazon and Youtube both started out very small. They are the success stories this country is built on. If anyone reads this, thank you for at least taking the time to do that.

6022. Sean Murphy, St. Louis, MO, 63130
Providing access to data points is not the same thing as owning the data points. We need the internet to stay neutral, so that we all have equal access to information. As of now it is a tool for growth, personal development, education... so many different things. But if we allow companies to charge more for certain types of information then we give them an unprecedented amount of power over the ideas people are exposed to. That level of control has no place in a democracy.

6023. Caleb Ales, Mountain Grove, MO, 65711
Keep Net Neutrality intact! The internet has greatly affected myself and my family for the better. It has allowed me to be able to keep in touch with distant family members and friends, complete high school, and meet new friends over common hobbies. When I pay for internet services, I pay for a reliable access to a fast internet service that has been offered. Net Neutrality is what stops Internet Service Providers (ISPs) from controlling the internet. ISPs controlling the internet leads to throttling traffic to certain sites chosen by the ISP, as well as blocking sites that they choose, and adding new and unnecessary fees. This is anti-competitive and monopolistic. ISPs need to be subject to oversight by an expert agency so that internet freedom remains as it should. ISPs need to be held to providing the service that they offer, without throttling and blocking traffic to sites that they choose, without adding additional fees to FREE internet websites and services. Removing Net Neutrality does not benefit consumers in any way. Removing Net Neutrality is equivalent to restricting freedom of speech, influencing public perception, and stands to benefit only corporate interests. The "Internet Freedom Act" stands for everything but what the name implies.

6024. Hunter Lagemann, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I appreciate regulatory bodies OVERSEEING the ISPs and keeping them from adjusting media providers ability to transfer information via those ISPs networks to consumers. Further, entry to the internet from everyday builders of websites should have the same access as those with financial leverage.

6025. LeeAnn Malony, Springfield, MO, 65807
How can you hope to restrict the fundamental right of the free flow of information. This will only lead to the degradation of all that we hold dear as a culture and the advancement of specific people groups who would otherwise be left in the dark.

6026. Brett Silcock, O Fallon, MO, 63368
The internet is an essential public service, simple activities of daily life are now inherently online and actions such as this expand an already significant societal problem of "haves" and "have nots" and should be opposed.

6027. Austin Fedo, Kansas City, MO, 64145
Do not treat Americans like they're living in a socialist society. I pay for access to the internet, and I do not pay for limited access. Do not bend to the will of the big wigs and the rich. This wont matter to them as they would profit from a PAY TO ACCESS type of service. That's pretty much extortion and forcing others that want the access they already pay (sometimes) a lot of money. Do not let them do this to the people that are already having trouble affording access. You're alienating them.

6028. Johnie R. McAlister III, St. Louis, MO, 63143

I love the internet...please don't change it. ISPs where I live are already bad enough. With so few choices, and rampant throttling in my area, empowering ISPs to further control and restrict my internet access could potentially devastate my online experience.I don't pay the cable companies anymore because of a severely skewed pricing to bearable content ratio. Please don't make me budget out the internet as well.

6029. Benjamin Wheeler, Ballwin, MO, 63011
I strongly support titled 2 regulation in the net neutrality debate. The free and internet should be strictly guarded from the influence of large, predatory corporations.

6030. Michael Berumen, piedmont, MO, 63957
MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY !!!

6031. Michael Gordon, Maryville, MO, 64468
Internet is already more expensive in many parts of the US than many other developed countries. Don't let us fall more behind.

6032. TheRevolutionaryWhoHopefullyWontDie, st. louis, MO, 63031
The internet is a free space for learning and exploring, good and bad, positive and negative. The internet is an amazing thing. Don't take that away. Instead, work with people on instilling positive values and positive internet usage. The internet is a tool , and like any tool it can be villianized or weaponized by people, or it can be used positively. Crippling the positive while also crippling the negative is a reckless route.

6033. Aaron Kain Sewell, Joplin, MO, 64801
Internet content should be equally accessible by everyone, no matter what ISP they choose. The majority do not want this, the is a public service that we pay for, I would like to see it stay open.

6034. Ryan Thompson, Independence, MO, 64057
Net neutrality is needed for educational purposes. As a student, I need to have access to all parts of the Web to learn and grow. If my ISP is limiting what I can see, then I am not able to grow as much as possible, and that is super important to me and students everywhere. Please keep the net neutrality rules around. They are desperately needed.

6035. Keep Net Neutrality, Festus, MO, 63028
Keep Net Neutrality. Do allow the ISPs control and govern my internet usage. This is not China or North Korea.

6036. Fart Sniffer Bungus, Kansas City, MO, 64030
Gape bungusFart in mouthQueef in nosePoop on chest

6037. Michael Holtz, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Please don't squelch the biggest innovation of the past century. Do the right thing.

6038. Brian Adams, St. charles, MO, 63303
Should be open and not based on companies thoughts or propaganda

6039. Timothy Backman, Ft. Leonardwood, MO, 65473
Allowing ISPs to shape and change the internet in a way that benefits them and hurts the consumers is the beginning of the end of the great internet that we know now, NET NEUTRALITY IS A MUST.

6040. R. Wagner, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Keep bandwidth use as is.

6041. Austin Robertson, Hannibal, MO, 63401
The internet is the single greatest thing ever invented by mankind. It has literally and figuratively brought the world together in an almost infinite amount of ways that has only served the greater good. If net neutrality laws were repealed, and we no longer had access to a free and open internet, that would seriously be a step back in the progress towards a better world.

6042. Kayla Glaser, Columbia, MO, 65203
We need to keep net neutrality because it keeps the internet fair. Companies should not be able to control what people watch online. The people have a right to choose what they watch.

6043. bethany bruns, Gladstone, MO, 64119
Please don't appeal Net Neutrality rules

6044. Curtis Meuth, St Charles, MO, 63301
The internet is an extension of our right to free speech.

6045. Divyesh Shelar, Rolla, MO, 65401
I support Net Neutrality. FCC should stay out of it.

6046. Derek, Labadie, MO, 63055
Please keep net neutrality. It is the best thing for the consumers.

6047. Daniel DeFabio, Kansas City, MO, 64119
Net neutrality is essential to the definition of freedom in the 21st century. Please protect our rights.

6048. TImothy Sinks, St. Louis, MO, 63104
Open internet is a basic human right. I would encourage us all to choose freedom over tyranny.

6049. Matthew Fuglsang, St. Louis, MO, 63011
I firmly believe that Net Neutrality must be protected. The advent of the internet is perhaps the biggest technological breakthrough of the 20th and 21st centuries. The internet connects people from across the world, provides access to critical information, and represents freedom itself. Never before have people been connected in so many ways, and from this connection thousands of new businesses and business models have been created. Without the protection that Net Neutrality provides many platforms such as Youtube, Twitch.tv, or Netflix would not exist as they do today. Protecting Net Neutrality is critical for the continuous evolution of the internet as a mode for industry and the generation of new ideas. In addition, the protection of Net Neutrality aids in the delivery of information around the world. The internet has helped students, entrepreneurs, researchers and many more find essential information for projects. As a recently graduated college student, I have used the internet to help me research, study, and implement new ideas. Many aspiring entrepreneurs cannot compete with multi-billion dollar corporations. The inevitable effects of repealing net neutrality would be the smothering of small businesses, and a lack of new ideas. Entrepreneurs are critical for advancements in technology and the creation of new markets.Lastly the internet represents a platform of equality. It is a platform where the users age, sex, race, and even political affiliations do not matter. Discrimination builds barriers between people, it hurts humanity as a whole. In this case, parallels can be drawn between the elimination of Net Neutrality and slavery. The definition of slavery is: "the involuntary subjection to another or others". It is clear that there are millions of people who want the protection of Net Neutrality, and if it is repealed it would be involuntary. For the second portion of the slavery definition, "subjugation" refers to controlling someone. Without Net Neutrality countless people would be at the mercy of ISPs, it would be an involuntary subjugation. Thank you for your consideration, please protect Net Neutrality. If it is not protected then it is painfully evident that this country has not progressed in the past 150 years, when the subjugation of millions against their will, is still possible without intervention.

6050. Sarah Simons, Independence, MO, 64056
I support net neutrality. I believe that we should have access to the internet without the limitations that could happen if net neutrality is taken away. Things should not be prioritized, prohibited, or slowed down simply because certain companies own those websites or pay more to have them shown. This could be catastrophic, especially for smaller companies who do not have the same financial resources as others.

6051. Jacob Dyer, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
The Internet and the entire world needs Net Neutrality, if not everything is going to get worse for the sake of humanity.

6052. Dennis Buckingham, Macon, MO, 63552
This is against our rights.You already have what we look up, now you wanna take the ability to explore the net freely. That is unfair and a violation of our privacy.

6053. Kenneth seyfert, columbia, MO, 65202
I do not believe there is any need to regulate and prioritize internet, the free market is doing fine for it and the example we have a successful government regulated internet is China. Don't be china, please back out of the internet, KEEP NET NEUTRALITY.

6054. geoffrey whitfield, St. Louis, MO, 63111
Limiting, blocking, prioritizing, restrictiing, or tampering with, altering my web browsing/streaming experience in any way is going against my human and American rights..furthermore if it keeps me from viewing what someone else created -- it kind of infringes on that persons/entities freedoms as well- why should anyone have that ability to do such a thing ? The only way this idea is kind of okay- if the internet was a 100% free public service and even then its terrible. As long as I'm paying even a penny to an internet service provider for internet service. I do not feel I should have to relinquish my rights as an individual, consumer, or american citizen

6055. Nick Yew-Seng Bentelspacher, Creve Coeur, MO, 63141
It is important to the functioning of the internet that net neutrality remain intact, eliminating any regulations or legislation that prevents ISP's from remaining neutral in terms of internet speeds, sites visited and which sites are the "best". For the latter it is impertinent that competition online stays a reality, competition is what drives improvement of the sites and services that are accessible from the internet and infringement on this freedom of competition would degrade the quality of content.

6056. Blake Fowler, Saint Louis, MO, 63111
the internet deserves to be free

6057. Nicholas Claywell, Mexico, MO, 65265
The Internet is a utility in 2017. Anyone who says otherwise is stuck in the past and doesn't understand how people communicate now

6058. Desmond Fearon, Kansas City, MO, 64106
This bill will do nothing but destroy the possibilities of small companies starting somewhere and make failing businesses have a ridiculous power.

6059. Mark Nelson, st. peters, MO, 63376
Internet fairness and freedom are important to me. If you believe in having a fair and free market place on the internet like we do with regular businesses then please take a look at this bill and take into considering what removing neutrality means. I love having a free open internet that allows competition and gives upcoming companies a chance. At the same time I believe government regulations need to protect consumers from corporations and lobbying groups that could reduce competition, censor, or throttle internet speeds unfairly.

6060. Ian McClellan, St Louis, MO, 63110
Net neutralityâ€”under title 2 or otherwiseâ€”should be preserved by the FCC as it protects US consumers and enables innovators and entrepreneur. Specifically with relation to bandwidth caps, as more and more content is streamed and not hosted locally, and as that

content moves to higher quality standards (e.g. 4K video streaming) bandwidth caps will limit consumption, growth in industries related to serving that content, and only to the benefit of telecom service providers. I strongly urge the FCC commissioners to take actions to maintain net neutrality.

6061. Thomas Hake, Columbia, MO, 65201
Keep the internet neutral! As a consumer I expect to get the internet service I pay for at the speed I pay for. Allowing companies to slow down or speed up content based on fees is outrageous! It will allow big companies to overshadow smaller and startup companies. If I pay for a certain speed, I expect ALL my content delivered at that speed.

6062. Mark Beckett, Festus, MO, 63028
All I have to say, is the status quo has been the way we have liked it for a long time. Internet Service Providers control and maintain access to the majority of the public, and Greed is a standard human concept. The only reason this exists is because someone thought they could make a ton of money by fucking with the government. They are winning currently.I support Net Neutrality.

6063. Patrick, St.Louis, MO, 63043
Net neutrality is a good thing. ISPs cannot be trusted to regulate themselves. This is my opinion and if any other comments have my name on it and say otherwise they are either not by me or I did not type the correct response. Anyone who wants to repeal net neutrality either is an idiot or a money grabbing higher up at an ISP. Don't be stupid. :)

6064. Brock Helms, Park hills, MO, 63601
America is the land of the free. The internet should be free all round aswell! I want the full internet not just some ALL OF IT!

6065. Nicholas Bennick, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
I agree with the principle of net neutrality

6066. Net Neutrality, Grandview, MO, 64030
Limiting internet freedom is not something that should be buyable. ISP's already have enough control of which ad's are seen via cookies which is more than enough privacy intrusion and persuasion.

6067. Jeffrey Mathson, Eureka, MO, 63025
The current open and free access internet has been and continues to be one of the greatest innovations of the current era. Changing this creative and informative freedom of expression and will would be one of the greatest shames of our contemporary society. I deeply implore that net neutrality is to be left unchanged and open and not restricted by corporations and ISPs!

6068. Matt Oliveros, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
I am a Twitch Streamer. I play video games and interact with people from across the world on a daily basis through the internet. If the open internet is changed or effected by the FCC, it could have serious detrimental effect on my long-term financial stability and livelihood.

6069. Daniel Ohlms, Foristell, MO, 63348
The internet needs neutrality. In my area, there's only one ISP, and the possibility of them being able to govern what I use my internet for concerns me greatly. ISPs, while providing customers WITH internet, shouldn't be able to influence how it's used, in their favor.

6070. Grant Harris, Springfield, MO, 65809
The internet is not a domaine in which the government has any right to regulate. The collusion between internet service providers and the bureaucrats of The U.S. government exists for no other purpose than to restrict the freedom of the American people.

6071. Nicholas Salvador, Kansas City, MO, 64155
I am just a regular citizen. Im not particularly accomplished nor am i unaccomplished. I just live and enjoy the freedoms afforded me by this country. The place in which i truly can express myself and be free to encounter what i wish or be challenged by the thought of others is the internet. What truly may be mankind's greatest accomplishment: a way in which we all can connect, communicate, argue, disagree, learn, compete, share, contribute, and much more. The internet is a nearly endless library with information from all sides of the globe. And this act aims to end that.It will spell the end of what maybe our greatest era and you would destroy all that inn the name of greed.It truly sickens me that such an Orwellian nightmare is coming to fruition and all i can do to stop it is send a letter and hope that this helps.That is the reality for many of us on the internet.We can only express ourselves, but never act, not in a meaningful way.We can act as a mob and we can all collectively say that we don't agree with something;We can all group together and decide that something is vile and wrong, we can vent our displeasure and anger here.And net neutrality allowed that.It allowed our minds to flourish.See what we want, learn what we want, enjoy what we want, make what we want.Freedom and knowledge.hand in hand.Like it was meant to be.If, god forbid, this act goes through, it will be the beginning of a whole new dark age.An era of mankind so dark, so horrible, and so regrettable that we will never recover.The damages of this action will have many untold consequences.But forget all that.You all want to make some cash.You let your greed doom us all to a intellectual suicide.Let your names be written down for history will remember you all as the villains that killed net neutrality;The villains that killed the freedom of thought, of expression, and of communication because you decided that those things needed a price tag.All that i can ask is think about who you serve, and why you do what your about to do.These actions should weigh heavy on you; there is a lot more than just money at stake.

6072. Thomas McGhee, Des Peres, MO, 63131
The Internet is a place for all of us, not for the few who can shill out the most money. To take away one of the greatest tools for human interaction ever created would be a detriment to humanity as a whole. Please do not allow the greed of a few outweigh the voices of billions.

6073. Steven Kays, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63703
Dear FCC, we need real net neutrality. Protect internet users from monopolistic ISPs and don't let companies censor, slow down, or

block websites while requiring other sites to pay for faster service. My revenue comes from the internet. The loss of neutrality rules could drive my business under.Please stand up for all Internet users and our right to communicate.

6074. Christian Brinegar, Springfield, MO, 65807
The preservation of net neutrality is a necessity in the age of information technology; it's right there in the name that information is what is being sent through my modem to your servers. As a recent IT and computer science graduate, I need the ability to have my freedom and right to choose what websites and services I use in order to keep my work as streamlined and usable as possible. Restricting my access to certain tools, websites and other services will create a situation in which my field may become harder, if not impossible, to find jobs in; individual companies that would hire me to manage their software and systems would likely completely go out of business if an ISP provider deemed that they either needed to pay more for consistent traffic or outright censor their links unless they pay. It will destroy livelihoods and the integrity of many American businesses if the freedom of internet information becomes restricted.

6075. In favor of net neutrality, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Net neutrality is inextricably linked to freedom of speech, as ISPs could otherwise slow or block sites for any reason, including for communities on such sites holding a dissenting opinion, while the ability to share such differences in opinions between peers is the cornerstone of any democracy.

6076. Scott Costello, Willard, MO, 65781
Keep the internet as a utility, I don't want my internet throttled! Keep net neutrality!!!!

6077. Chris Becco, Lees Summit, MO, 64082
Please do not affect the current state of net neutrality!

6078. Garrett Fuchs, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Do not ruin the internet.

6079. Jessie Fisher, Gladstone, MO, 64118
The internet needs neutrality for competition. So ISPs cannot monopolize by favoring their own sites to outright blocking competition from being view,

6080. Scott, Springfield, MO, 65804
I think everyone that uses internet wants a free and open internet under title II protection. Where I live i have two options for internet and there's already no competition and it's hard to switch providers and have a fair, competitive market. Both companies could choose what websites to prioritize and heavily influence not just me but the entire springfield area. I can only get 18Mbps from at&t and up to 100Mbps from Mediacom. So if my ISP's start throtteling servers and sites Im left with nothing. It's also worth noting the Internet is an important resource for schools, businesses, and society in general. ISP's deciding what article I can and can't see about my chosen major detract from my educational goals and gains because I won't have the opportunity to read papers and research and decipher for myself how the study was done and if it was a good study and a good article or not. Mediacom or at&t could easily decide which news article or new study or scientific paper i should see and read. That could be argued a violation of the first amendment. The internet has quickly become a ubiquitous resource for hundreds of millions of people around the entire world. Lets keep ours free and open to everyone.

6081. Joseph Daniel Felts, Kansas City, MO, 64118
Taking away freedom on the internet only harms the common person by hindering their access to information. Their access is limited when smaller non-biased information outlets lack the capability to pay for faster speeds, thus making it so larger outlets can mainstream biased information at a faster pace. For example a small journalist who runs their own website would be suppressed by a larger newspaper such as The Huffington Post. Granted, the journalist would naturally receive less attention, their attention would be further hindered. The people need a ready unhindered access to multiple non-biased outlets so that they can form their own opinions and not take those of others as truth.

6082. Jack, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Throttling the internet of people who like to play games like Overwatch, Halo, or League of Legends is a really shitty thing to do.

6083. Cameron Roe, St. Louis, MO, 63139
Your decision to abolish net neutrality would ruin the Internet as a tool for creativity and innovation. Allowing internet service providers to censor content and hold websites at ransom wouldn't benefit anybody but the shareholders of some of the largest companies in America.Ending net neutrality would end the United States' status as a technological superpower by restricting the power of entrepreneurs to create websites like Facebook and Twitter. Imagine a world where Mark Zuckerberg had to give AT&T a percentage of his equity in exchange for his website being 'fast-tracked', and just chose to finish his degree instead. End users would also feel the pain, as ISPs could now charge customers just to access websites like Netflix the same way they charge for more channels.The USA already lags behind countries like South Korea and Romania in internet speeds. Abolishing net neutrality would just put us further in the dust.

6084. HUGO PEREZ, SAINT JOSEPH, MO, 64503
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY... DON'T LET ISPs TO BE LIKE PHONE/TELECOM COMPANIES.

6085. Matt Plodzien, St. Charles, MO, 63303
The internet has been a large part of my life since I was very young. Growing up my family had dial-up internet access and eventually DSL. I took for granted the freedom and limitless possibilities free and open internet offered me. As I became an adult I became more reliant on internet access for information, streaming, learning, and entertainment. Currently I teach overseas in Beijing China, and from my experiences with the internet here I know that an internet without neutrality is taxing. The inability to access certain sites or even slow access to others is frustrating and limits the possibilities that the internet has to offer. I do not want this to happen to the internet in my home country. America stands for freedom in all forms and this too should apply to internet access. Please keep net neutrality in place!

6086. net, St. Louis, MO, 63108
the internet is a place of freedom â€“ don't take that away

6087. Jeffrey Burrow, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
Please don't do this. It's not right.

6088. Anthony Brown, Independence, MO, 64052
I believe along with countless other network neutrality needs to remain intact. I have no wish or want of my internet experience to be controlled by big business corporations that get preferential treatment. Nor do I want to be told what I can or can't do on the internet, as long as what I do breaks no laws. PLEASE TAKE OUR VOICES INTO CONSIDERATION. Thank you for your time.

6089. Glenn, Lee's Summit, MO, 64081
Net neutrality is incredibly important. Please preserve it for us.

6090. bruce wayne, kansas city, MO, 64110
defend

6091. Calvin Hebert, Cape Girardeau, MO, 36701
Open and free internet. nothing else would work

6092. Walker, Rolla, MO, 65401
I'm pretty surprised this is even in question, to be honest.

6093. Robert Hendree Jr, Hazelwood, MO, 63042
I have been using the internet for years now and I don't want to see the open internet go away. The internet has helped me with my schoolwork from college to filling out job applications. I managed to learn so much from educational resources online that I have become a jack of all trades in my field. To take that away would be like the next generation of college students wanting to find information on an important topic but can't due to the cost of a certain site from a repeal of net neutrality. I don't want my internet to be like a cable company's service. The amount of education, talent, and pure entertainment is lightyears from network television and I don't want that to go away. Net neutrality is important for my generation and the next so give us this incredible platform for us to use in the way it should be meaning innovation for businesses, multiple sources of entertainment, and information. Thank you for your time.

6094. Brendan Caudill, Kirksville, MO, 63501
I feel that net neutrality is important in ensuring all web-based businesses/companies are able to get to the people.

6095. Emanuel Scotidis, Springfield, MO, 65802
I support Net Neutrality. ISPs should not be allowed to control the type or speed of access I have to anything on the internet.

6096. Greg Heiman, LEES SUMMIT, MO, 64081
I write to you this letter today because I believe in the 1st admendment and as an American citizen have the right to free speech. However if you let this bill pass the large corporations that we are subject to in order to gain access to the internet will have full power to hinder that right. They will have the ability to hinder or outright stop my ability to view webpages that speak out against them. I believe that if this were to come to a reality it would be to the downfall of all American citizens except for the few CEO's that run the corporations we are subject to. This bill is not pro right or pro left it is only pro corporation and goes against all the principles of the free market.

6097. Mary T. Ryder, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Protect net neutrality. The Internet is an evolutionary blossoming, connecting and expanding the combined potential of the vast, chaotic, growing, struggling, dreaming, yearning and determined community of humanity to transcend our disconnected history and the conflict that it has produced. We are becoming something more, something better, something mature. Creating an environment in which individual potentials, individual lives, thrive and contribute; individual potentials which too often have gone to waste. Creating a medium through which we can connect in fascination and mutual respect, transcending ancient, ignorant alienation and fear. Creating something worthy, not of shock and awe, but of joy and awe. Something of compassion and understanding and creativity. It's a slow growth. We are just beginning. It is essential, right now, and into the future, that this naturally evolving process not be strangled, but allowed to grow. It's a river, an ocean, a stormy atmosphere. Not a canal. Certainly not a shelf of carefully branded bottled water. This is not something for a few to control. It's too enormous, too important, too vital. Too necessary. We are creating something here. Protect net neutrality.

6098. David A. Denney, Harrisonville, MO, 64701
Please keep Net Neutrality. I'm disabled and I sit at my computer almost all day, every day. I don't know what I would do if I couldn't go to certain websites. And I definitely don't think that ISP's should throttle bandwidth. Thanks.

6099. Kevin Law, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Ajit Pai stated that ISPs are not throttling Internet traffic on a widespread level on an interview with PBS. This is not true. Comcast has already tried to limit Netflix's streaming speeds, and Time Warner Cable is being sued by Riot Games for throttling Internet speeds of League of Legends players, a game with millions of players in the US alone. This will only become more widespread if Title II oversight is rolled back.

6100. Zachary Price, Grandview, MO, 64030
selling ones info personal info is a huge security risk.

6101. Christian Otto, Rolla, MO, 65401

Leave My Internet Alone!!!!!

6102. Jack Beckett, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
I can't believe were still having to do this.Stop with the BS.STOP WITH THE FUCKING BS.Keep the internet free for all and for everything.

6103. William Harrison Mears, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
Maintain net neutrality. We are a nation built upon competition, and as we know the internet is one of the most potent examples of this. When the entirety of the internet comes together, careers have been destroyed and bills within the government have been shut down. We demand freedom to continue an unbiased web.

6104. Paul Ferguson, boonville, MO, 65233
Please, do not take away net neutrality, it is the only way many Americans can still find jobs and work in this dire time of need. to get rid of it, its to turn on your own people.

6105. Max F, University City, MO, 63130
The internet helps me do my schoolwork and learn, to have to pay for certain sites would ruin this amazing resource.

6106. Timothy Stokes, Washington, MO, 63090
Allowing Internet Service Providers to prioritize, block, or slow down the connection to websites is a clear violation to consumer rights and violates the purpose in which people pay for these services. An electric company has no authority over what you can power with electricity, so why should an internet company have the power to control how you use the internet. The internet is the new utility of the modern era and should be treated similarly to electric companies in the regards of controlling how it is consumed.

6107. Joseph R Nelson, Springfield, MO, 65803
Please, realize that a neutral internet empowers everyone. We're customers in a neutral environment. Customers free to make choices. Casting aside neutrality instead makes us the product and the internet a millstone, grinding us into nothing more than data that can be bought and sold. You're not exempt from this, either. Everyone will have a price, no one will be excluded. Do the right thing, you work for the American people, not Comcast, Time Warner and whomever else.

6108. Michael Wiedmaier, St Joseph, MO, 64506
It's bad enough that ISP's have little monopolies all over the country (I have the best cable internet available in my city and my mother, who lives in a rural area, has fiber optic internet which is even better and... it's half the price. This makes no sense to me) and now they want to decide what content I can access or view online? America is about making your own choices and good competition between businesses. Net neutrality is American. Please, keep the net neutral.

6109. Timothy Dillinger, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
Net Neutrality is super important! I purchase services from an ISP providing access to the internet, and they advertise it as such. I feel like they are false advertising by offering services without providing. As well, this will be harmful for end-users who purchase services (high speed connection to internet) while the ISP provides a inferior service (high speed connection to parts of the internet they determine, throttled speeds for websites they choose, etc).

6110. Kaleb M., Kansas City, MO, 64110
It saddens me that this is still up for debate. This will silence the weak and allow a few companies to dictate what is and isn't available to the public.I don't know about you, but I have not voted for these companies. Me paying for their services is not support of their agendas, merely me selecting to get a vital service from what I have available.Nowhere in these companies' mission statements do they proclaim they are "for the people, by the people". To let them decide who gets what speed is tantamount to giving control of our internet to foreign governments.The ability to ,say, slow your opposition to nearly a halt is not good for capitalism or a fair society.Warm regards,Kaleb M.

6111. Floyd Sears, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
It's pretty obvious no simple living human being wants this. To have price variations and restrictions on the internet. The only people that gain from this is the ISP and government. I don't want this happening and I want it to stay the way it is. and so do millions of other people. This is so important, that this may be one of the first things I have actually put my foot down to stop. It is told by the people in DC that this is to help the internet, but that is absolutely wrong, the internet is thriving and always will be. With businesses such as Twitch, Amazon, and even small time businesses being able to make a large profit for being connected with people. (Small businesses being in this case athletic brands that are able to advertise their videos on youtube to make a living) So stop this and don't change any of the current rules put in place.

6112. Brad Abbott, Salem, MO, 65560
We need to keep net neutrality. Taking it away is one step closer to this country being a communist country. ISP's can not be allowed to determine what we can and can not see on the internet. That is against our 1st amendment rights. We have the right to express ourselves by using the internet. They should not be allowed to throttle our connections. Keep the internet open.

6113. ANDRE, Neosho, MO, 64850
The internet is the greatest expression of free speech and true democracy to ever be introduced on earth. Please do not allow corporations and their bottom lines to control and manipulate the fundamental right all people have to equal expression and equal access to content. The internet needs neutrality.

6114. Todd Brandt, Monett, MO, 65708
The people are speaking out and it is clear, we want net neutrality rules to STAY. Listen to the people.

6115. Chad Hilsgen, scott city, MO, 63780
Please do not repeal the net neutrality rules. This would be like driving backwards instead of progressively allowing the internet to stay free to everyone!

6116. Casey Franklin Guest, Springfield, MO, 65802
The internet needs to remain free. You can not allow internet providers to literally blackmail companies. You can not allow internet companies to OWN the FCC and the process which is supposed to regulate them.

6117. Brendan Beebe, Kansas City, MO, 64131
As a frequent user of the internet, it would appall me to see the most important aspect of it, or the neutrality, to be attack or weakened for the sake of the ISPs. Each website should get equal traffic through providers to the consumer so that small or uncooperative websites aren't muscled out by their competitors. This proposal in my eyes is no better than the blatant censorship that we see in countries such as North Korea, but from the ISPs and not the government. In modern society, internet has moved past being a luxury and moved on to being a very important resource and tool. As it is currently regulated, internet is used by many companies to not only supply data to the common masses, but it also used by companies to gather and spread information critical to business. If different data sources are able to pay more to improve their speed, the speed differences would kill off competition and limit the sources of different data streams. On top of this, I harbor a great fear that subscription based services such as Netflix and HBO may shunt off part of the increased expenses from ensuring a fast speed onto their customers, which would could kill other services as well. All in all, net neutrality needs to be defended for the sake of the government, small businesses, corporations, and consumers alike.

6118. Andrew Russell, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
Please keep our internet neutral. Our privacy is our freedom. We should not be afraid of the internet as it is one of the most powerful tools at our disposal. I should not be afraid of losing a job, coming under media scrutiny, or be accosted by government officials for my daily personal usage of the internet.

6119. justingavisk, Springfeild, MO, 65803
grimms said you guys are trying to f**k the internet so cut your sh*t out

6120. Brady Roberds, Springfield, MO, 65807
As a start up streamer and artist having restricted or otherwise tampered internet would not only kill my chances of monetizing my skills but would hinder my ability to do research and make informed decisions by controlling what I am able to see. The only thing I want from my internet provider is access and higher speeds. No fluff, or control of what I can do or see with it. These companies should be kept in check so they can not exploit consumers on prices or content. The internet should be easily available and accessible for everyone.

6121. Christopher Rayhons, St. Charles, MO, 63303
The internet deserves to be free, especially from government control and oversight. Do not make this mistake

6122. Matt, Independence, MO, 64055
The internet is in a great place right now and I feel that giving companies access to our internet with the power to regulate what we do is a direct clash with it's current state. Net Neutrality needs to remain.

6123. NET NEUTRALITY, poplar bluff, MO, 63901
i support net neutrality, anything else would be impending on american freedoms/rights and it is unconstitutional to say otherwise.

6124. Zackary Felts, Kansas City, MO, 64118
The internet is fine as it is now and we don't need ISPs to determine what is best for us, the people.

6125. Scott Carpenter, independence, MO, 64055
Net Neutrality is American as it gets. Any representative of government that votes against Net Neutrality is unfit for office, does not represent me or the people of this country

6126. Charles E Clements, Florissant, MO, 63033
I believe in freedom. Internet neutrality equals freedom.

6127. John D Hankammer, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I believe that Net Neutrality should be upheld. Gated domains and sites are not appealing and, in my opinion, the benefits do not outweigh the negatives.

6128. Lantz Cessor, Liberty, MO, 64068
I advocate for an open internet accessible to as many people as possible. Net Neutrality is crucial for the survival of a free and open internet. The internet started out as a free source initiative and should stay as such. I can not express how vital it is for an internet free of data throttling, outright blocking, or paid prioritization. Give power back to the consumer so we can make our own informed decisions.

6129. Susan Hooper, Bonne Terre, MO, 63628
I pay to have access to the entire internet. I enjoy this and find it a learning experience as well as entertaining. I am an older person and this is how I do many things. Keep in touch with my children, grandchildren and friends. I do many hobbies as well and keep abreast of the local and international news with the internet. I like all aspects and want to be able to go to which ever websites I wish to whenever I desire to do so. As I pay for the service I believe that I have the right to access all of it. Thank you very much.

6130. Brian Horovitz, Kansas city, MO, 64117
The FCC should not repeal the 2015 network neutrality rules

6131. net, Joplin, MO, 64801
People need to have free, unregulated, access to the internet. Nothing would stop the ISPs from lining their pockets more than allowing ISPs to monitor access.

6132. Jacob Horton, Chaffee, MO, 63740
I want to help protect the open internet that we have. I don't want to let those corporate companies have a huge monopoly over the internet.

6133. Jared Knupp, Columbia, MO, 65203
I understand you all selling your votes to big corporation because you have lost the sense of willpower that drove you to initially go into office and make positive change. How about you stand up for the correct future and not the fleeting desires of whatever short term gain Verizon and others are pressuring you towards. America thrives from innovation by millions of young people who need the free ability to create and express. Don't let a corporation sway you from your constituents. You're but a small check to Verizon, but you can be a voice for your community.

6134. Complaint, Kansas City, MO, 64151
To end net neutrality is to give power to the oppressive corporations who do not have the interests of the American people in mind. These corporations do not care about the rights of the people of the world and have set their army of lobbyists to try and convince our government otherwise. This should be illegal and banned in a free and modern democracy where the opinion of the people is all that should matter. The name of this act "Restoring Internet Freedom" is the exact opposite and down right offensive. It's obvious that the comments praising this act are submitted by bots using fake names and information to show a glimmer of support for something that is universally bad for consumers of all types.

6135. Jacob Whitehead, Fulton, MO, 65251
Failing to maintain net neutrality can only add more tools that corporations can use to silence their competitors, ignore their customers, and infringe upon the open access of information that the internet currently affords the american people.

6136. Free internet, Smithville, MO, 64089
The Internet is the last true freedom america has.

6137. Nikhil Kumar, Kansas City, MO, 64155
This act should not be passed under any circumstances. It is in direct contradiction with the morals and beliefs this country was founded upon, and goes against the very ideals of freedom and equality. Do not let this law pass.

6138. dylan, kansas city, MO, 64157
Can't believe repealing net neutrality is even being considered. Innovation drives success, not regulation.

6139. Mark Ramsdell, Joplin, MO, 64801
Net neutrality needs to remain, don't take our freedom away on the internet.

6140. Truman Runyan, Lee's Summit, MO, 64081
I support net neutrality under title two. Stop sucking ISP dick

6141. Josh Thomeczek, St. Louis, MO, 63132
Net neutrality is a very important part of the internet. I firmly believe that this is one of the most important aspects of modern society, technologically advanced economies, and democratic fundamental beliefs. Like it or not, the internet is one of the best ways to achieve the classic American Dream of starting with next to nothing and working to reach fame and fortune. Without access equally with all parts of the world wide web, entrepreneurs and amateurs alike will be forced to quit their livelihood, leading to catastrophic economic and social issues so that a couple of powerful corporations (with their hands in the pockets of some politicians) can benefit. No, the issue of net neutrality is not one of consumer versus corporation, but of the modernized information economic and social world and the world of the outdated.

6142. Zackary Kroll, Springfield, MO, 65807
KEEP THE NET OPEN!!!!

6143. Mari Claus, Kansas City, MO, 64133
This is way too important.

6144. Jarred, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
I love Net Neutrality!

6145. David Boehm, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
Removing net neutrality devalues the internet, castrating the basic idea of an equal world wide web, and to remove net neutrality would go against the values of virtually any normal american citizen, and in turn going against democracy.

6146. William, Overland, MO, 63114
If any ISP is given the legal right to control how we use the internet, the freedom of our information is at stake. What's to stop them from completely cutting off websites they deem unnecessary or in contrast to the company's views? Giving the providers any more control over the web, is a mistake.

6147. Dean Sporich, St. Louis, MO, 63127
The idea that corporations can and will be allowed to censor what people can and cannot do on the internet is just a horribly bad idea.I

foresee these gigantic billion dollar media companies abusing this to stifle free speech, blocking users from viewing competitors content and bilking millions of users out of their money.This proposal should not have even gotten this far, its ridiculous. The FCC should be here to protect us, not screw everyone over.

6148. Alec Glascock, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
The internet should remain neutral and free of the corrupting hands of ISPs looking to control it

6149. Emma Huhmann, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
I want to save the open internet, you have no right to decide what we can and cannot see on the internet.

6150. Joseph Williamson, Poplar Bluff, MO, 63901
The internet is a place of freedom, and thus should not be censored because of what they don't want you to see.

6151. Tyler Wescoat, Raymore, MO, 64083
As someone who is attempting to start a career in gaming, and being on the internet I expect for it to stay open and secure and companies not to have access to my personal information, and be able to make a profit from it. Keep the internet an open resource for all.

6152. Tanner Allen, Jackson, MO, 63755
As an avid user of the internet, I would very much rather that all the internet remain out of control of anyone who does not agree with the opinions of another. This includes the opinions and/or biases of ANY internet providers involved. The granting of powers for one to gain the ability to shut down websites they do not agree with sets a dangerous precedent that even the FCC should NOT step on the path towards for ALL Americans. We should be kept in mind, FIRST, at ALL times.

6153. Justin Hill, Moberly, MO, 65270
Please Keep the internet neutral and don't give all this power to ISP's. They are a company and will do all they can to make money.

6154. net neutrality, springfield, MO, 65804
Let me put it this way.... if you guys keep screwing with the internet its going to back fire and we will make sure that all in office are replaced with someone other than old men and women who know nothing of today's technology because we are getting sick of it. Keep messing with whatever but leave our internet alone.

6155. Anne Wells, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
Please don't mess up the internet. It's basically an extension of our free speech and creativity. Yes, I'm sure some parts of it are bad and other parts allow some people to make lots of money, which is something that could be exploited for good and bad, but that's the price we pay for freedom.

6156. free, St. Peters, MO, 63376
internet freedom has been around for so long and people are happy. Why take it away? people will find a way around it and then more action will have to be taken using more resources. Just keep it how it is. America is the freedom country. Don't start to take that away.

6157. Cody Allison, Kansas City, MO, 64119
Please keep the current net neutrality laws intact. There will be a major outcry if you allow this bill to pass.

6158. Joseph Grunzinger, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
We need to keep net neutrality in the current internet landscape. Paid prioritization is unjust as the internet should be just as much a free market as everything else. It is giving a debilitating disadvantage to any company that a service provider does not support. How is this even on the table as an option? Giving ISPs control over internet speeds is actively taking away power from the free market. Please keep net neutrality the way it is.

6159. Logan Page, Creve Coeur, MO, 63141
Net Neutrality is very important to me. I want an open internet where everyone is on an equal playing field!

6160. Logan Spradling, De Soto, MO, 63020
If ISPs try to block or throttle our internet just because they don't like the website then they're just being childish. People put stuff out onto the internet to help make money or promote their businesses. If the ISPs decide they don't like whatever website that stuff is on then they will just screw people out of a living for petty reasons.

6161. Dakota Dunsford, O Fallon, MO, 63368
Net nuetrality isn't something we can squander away; it's something we should protect for ourselves and future generations. Please do your best to protect it.Dakota Dunsford

6162. Amanda Coates,, Joplin, MO, 64801
We demand access to the entire internet free of prioritization, blocking and throttling. There is only 1 internet and all rules must apply to our home and mobile connections equally.

6163. Riley, Wildwood, MO, 63038
Please do not be on the wrong side of history. Make it the day that selfish ambitions were set aside so that mankind could one day have freedom come to fruition.Riley

6164. Isaac, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
Hey can the internet just stay the same please and thank you.Isaac

6165. Elijah Lovins, St Charles, MO, 63301
Net Neutrality is of utmost importance to ðžð¯ðžð«ð²ð¨ð§ðž who uses the internet, therefore, it is in my and many others best interests to keep it.

6166. Kristofer, Columbia, MO, 65202
I use the internet alot to keep in contact with friends and family and i do tons of browseing and reaserch i need freedom

6167. Brandon Overstreet, St. Charles, MO, 63301
As a student, being able to have free range of access of all of the internet is extremely helpful for writing papers and filling out questionnaires. Especially with online classes being at the peak of how education is done during summer, it would tarnish the quality of the education that I and many other receive daily. Also, with me, I've gone through a lot of depression throughout the years and most of the time, the only outlet and way that I could gain some happiness was from browsing the free and open internet. If you take that away, you could cause many people into deeper depression and possibly even worse. Personally, I buy internet access for keeping up to date with events, and school work. The only way I can do this is through services that ISP's provide such as email. Now, I'm not great at the whole law thing, but I'm fairly positive that there is a law about monopolies. If all of the ISP's gain access to control the internet, couldn't that be considered a monopoly in a sense? Since, they have control of who accesses their internet service and what websites those certain people can and can't visit, that is a great example of a monopoly, which is (I believe) illegal.

6168. Nicholas Hayes, Rogersville, MO, 65742
The internet has always been able to accessed fully by consumers. It should stay that way because we all enjoy the internet the way it is. We do not need ISP's throttling our connection. We need to keep the internet neutral because we all enjoy the internet as is.

6169. Micah Gardner, Saint Joseph, MO, 64505
We want freedom for our internet, not to be force to pay for every little site. Nobody wants this.

6170. Rami Bitar, chesterfield, MO, 63017
Do not repeal net neutrality it is a danger to the free and open an internet. Repealing net neutrality is akin to repealing freedom and repealing freedom is the opposite of the values of America.

6171. Benjamin Lublin, Saint Louis, MO, 63105
The Internet is a necessity in today's everyday life. It offers tools and information, entertainment and communication. There is zero reason that individual ISPs should be able to limit service to the internet except for pure greed. They have already demonstrated that they do not fulfill their promises and now is not the time to give them more leeway. There are so few providers as it is.I believe that the internet needs to remain open and to keep net neutrality!

6172. Ethan Rose, Lee's Summit, MO, 64082
The internet is a big part of my life and I think that goes for everyone in this country. Taking power away from the people and giving it to corporations like Comcast or Verizon would negatively affect me and everyone I know.

6173. Paul Railsback, kansas city, MO, 64153
I can't believe we're having to do this again. FREEDOM is NOT letting ISP's do whatever they want. Stop trying to hurt the public by putting all the power in the hands of ISP's!!!!!!!!

6174. Cristopher Ontiveros, st. louis, MO, 63141
I work in the IT field and it is wrong to try and restrict the basic tenants of net neutrality. The whole purpose of the creation of the internet is being undermined and it is highly hypocritical that an ISP is going to try and restrict the very foundation that made it so successful in the first place. One of the great things about the internet is that it allows individuals with small businesses access to a larger market. While, ISP's will benefit from having large corporations pay for faster access to their websites, small businesses will suffer. As a blogger, and internet entrepreneur, I too will feel this.Furthermore, I only purchase internet from my ISP for high-speed internet. I only pay for internet speeds and that is what I expect from my ISP. Any other service I use is done through a third party.The FCC's role includes ensuring that consumers are protected from, among other things, invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers. Do not restrict the neutrality of the internet.

6175. Kenneth DeGoosh, Liberty, MO, 64068
We need Title 2 protections to keep a free and open internet. Net Neutrality should be the law of land.I do not support the Restoring Internet Freedom Act.

6176. Dustin Mattox, Waynesville, MO, 65583
I don't even have access to "broadband" internet here because the best I can get is 10mbps downstream. Now you want to further fuck me over by allowing my ISP to meter content?Stop fucking over the general public.

6177. Stephen Nunnemaker, Hermann, MO, 65041
To those who may read this,I would first like to thank you for your time in this matter, and for the time and consideration of this very important matter, and would ask that before making a decision that you consider a reality that many of us face in regards to the internet.Many of us, especially within the "fly over" states, have few choices in ISPs and this leaves us in many cases with only one choice, especially within the rural areas of our country. By removing Net Neutrality, you effectively gut the already limited options we have, and allow ISPs to not fulfill the obligations they offered us. Let me explain, though I'm sure many, if not all, are already keenly aware of this painful fact. ISPs market themselves, and their services by the speed with which they provide access to the various websites and resources many of us require in our daily lives, from work to recreation. By removing the current Net Neutrality, this gives the ISPs the option to do as they please, with no risk of loss of subscriptions to their services due to an effective monopoly in many areas. They can pick and choose which websites so happen to get the access and speed promised to their subscribers, ignoring the promises (which are often only halfheartedly fulfilled anyway depending on the time of day) made to those who purchase their services

to begin with, with no means of people forcing them through market forces to act in a manner that is ethical and even-handed. There may come a day where Net Neutrality should die, or will die, but until the common people have more then a single choice regardless of where they live, and market forces can force ISPs to conform to the promises they have made to those who subscribe to their services and not those who so happen to have the largest wallets, then this matter should not be even considered.

6178. Lamonte Harris, Saint Louis, MO, 63138
I want the FCC to strongly reconsider supporting net neutrality because this will hurt something that we've been fighting years of keeping open and fair for all people and businesses. The internet is a right, gutting something like the internet will hurt many businesses and individuals. I support net neutrality, I want an open internet for my future.

6179. Michael Carothers, Troy, MO, 63379
Internet needs to be open. Heavy fees towards content providers will limit the internet as well as make it so information can be hidden or controlled. It is a step in the wrong direction as a society that prides itself on letting people speak even if you chose to disagree or ignore them.

6180. Katja Lowin, St. Louis, MO, 63116
I hereby demand that the FCC leave Title 2 Net Neutrality alone. It is doing its job correctly. The internet has become an everyday necessity for communication in an ever more increasingly connected world. We cannot allow it to fall into segmented feudalism ruled over by big telecom companies for this reason. Keep the internet free and neutral!

6181. Jacob Kelleher, Farmington, MO, 63640
The internet isn't perfect. I understand the fear that this uncontrolled beast of pure chaos can cause. It makes sense that there are those who wish to reign this creature in. To control it in some way. This would be a grave mistake in my opinion. The internet as it stands is a great equalizer. Everyone has the same tools. The same voice. Everyone is given the same platform to speak on. But if suddenly this was regulated. If this was controlled in anyway. If some sites got preferential treatment over others. It all falls apart. And I trust that the law makers who are currently pushing for these changes have the best intentions. But what about the law makers after them? The net needs to remain free and neutral.

6182. James Fingers, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
The internet NEEDS neutrality. This is an obvious overreach from ISPs and the private sector. This has failed before because it's obviously wrong. Please uphold our current decision as it is the right one and is supported by the vast majority.

6183. Zach Clouse, Cabool, MO, 65689
Please defend net neutrality. It is vital to defend equal access to information and to uphold the basic tenants of free markets by allowing consumers to continue easily surfing the web and frequenting whichever sites they prefer without being strong-armed out of their choices by big business interests. Thank you.

6184. Stuart Salvador, Battlefield, MO, 65619
In my area I only have one ISP for high-speed broadband Internet access suitable for my business and home use. I have no choice, but they sign me up for service and "guarantee" my rate for three years and then tell me that every period my bill will increase by $5. I tell them they signed me up under false pretenses and all I get in response is, "Well, you don't have to use our service." Oh, if only I did not need to do so. Furthermore, I am totally confused at the back-and-forth as to who can address the false claims presented by Mediacom. As for Internet access: it goes out every time I file a complaint with Mediacom or access information about terminating service on their website. Within hours every time I "mysteriously" have problems that "must be my modem" (even though it has been changed out), but after I sit at home for a service technician then finally it works again...and everything is fine until again there is a customer service or accounting related issue. That is the absurdity that I live with in the current ISP trap: factual misrepresentations, no-fees-barred, take-it-or-leave-it customer service, and misuse and abuse of the information related to my Internet access to deny me service or seemingly obstruct what I do.

6185. Ben, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. I am worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced.

6186. Luke Brown, Rolla, MO, 65401
We need to keep Net Neutrality so that there is no advantage to organizations with more pull than others. This gives major advantages to some and could damage smaller companies that use the internet for business. Please consider keeping the internet a neutral resource.

6187. Net Neutrality, Raytown, MO, 64133
Net Neutrality is the core of American free speech on the internet. To allow people to govern what we can and can't see based on what the ISP wants goes against everything in the American society we all seek to achieve. I urge you all to consider this. The idea of freedom on the internet is more important than any amount of money.

6188. Brandy Clark, Springfield, MO, 65807
The internet needs net neutrality. Ajit Pai, Chairman of the FCC, does not directly address concerns of the consumers. We, as consumers, do NOT want net neutrality rules to be revoked.

6189. Trey Dockery, Columbia, MO, 65202
Stop trying to ruin the internet! Support Net Neutrality. The internet needs to be fair to function.

6190. Dylan Farrow, Jackson, MO, 63755
Keep net neutrality, it is doing no harm in it's current state and there is no reason to change what is not broken and loved by a majority of the entire world, not just the USA as it currently is.

6191. Scott Johnson, St. Louis, MO, 63101
The current FCC regulation is appropriate given the oligopoly nature of internet service providers (ISPs) and the utility nature of the service they provide. From an economic perspective, reducing these restrictions would facilitate a redistribution of wealth to slower growing ISPs from a faster growing and more diverse tech sector.

6192. Megan Denmead, Independence, MO, 64055
Hello, I wanted to share with you why I think net neutrality is important and how it has affected me personally.I finished my associate's degree online. Without net neutrality, access to my classes could have been affected. My access to a search engine for research may have been limited, as well as YouTube for extra tutoring. I would not have been able to keep in touch with my classmates as I moved or my friends and family back home.As someone looking to become a freelance artist, my ability to work remotely as well as find clients in the first place will be greatly affected by the lack of net neutrality. America is all about small business, but a world without net neutrality is explicitly anti-individual. Individuals will not be able to compete with big corporations that have the resources to ensure their marketing and product have more bandwidth, more access. Small businesses as we know it will be choked at the wallet.The reason why I purchase internet access from our provider is so I can have fast, reliable access to the internet. I don't need access to email or cloud storage, as I get those through Google and Apple.In my neighborhood, we only have Comcast and ATT as internet providers. We do not have a breadth of options to choose from if one provider decides to take advantage of the lack of net neutrality protection. If we are forced to switch, we will have to buy new equipment and pay to have someone come out and install it. We have a deal with our current provider due to customer loyalty, so our bill will also increase if we are forced to switch.

6193. Leah Delaporta, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
I REQUIRE A FREE AND OPEN INTERNET UNFETTERED BY BUSINESS AND CORPORATE INTERESTS, LEANINGS, AND POLICING.I DEMAND NET NEUTRALITY.LEAVE TITLE II ALONE.Signed,A greatly disappointed American citizen

6194. Shane Deaver, Kansas City, MO, 64109
we shouldn't have to explain why

6195. Louis Pestock, kansas city, MO, 64116
Dont, just dont we oay for the rights we get

6196. Erik Josef Phillips, Springfield, MO, 65807
The internet needs to be an open resource for all people without bias or overshadowing skewing how we the people utilize this tool that belongs to all men and women. I support net neutrality.

6197. Arthur Barresi, columbia, MO, 65203
As the future unfolds more and more businesses use the internet for everyday use. Giving I.S.P.'s the ability to throttle the speed or even ban a company they deem a problem to their growth is not what a free market is. It is wrong and i support net neutrality and everything that goes with it.

6198. Chris Dirks, Osage Beach, MO, 65065
I'm concerned that the associated proceeding regarding net neutrality will cause the internet to become a place where companies are given power over the content. The internet is one of the last few places where entities big and small are all on a reasonably equal playing field and we should not let something beautiful like that be wiped away.

6199. Kyle Wilson, Wildwood, MO, 63011
Net Neutrality at this point is becoming a universal right. Companies have no right to have the ability to choose what or how I see material that they may not like. The internet is a boon to the human race, and to then choke it from us would be like depriving us of fresh water. Knowledge is for the betterment of mankind, and you cannot choose what we see.

6200. Brayden Vance, Chillicothe, MO, 64601
So yah. It seems like everyone including me would rather net neutrality not change.

6201. Blake Nelson, Independence, MO, 64057
Removing Net Neutrality will mark the end of the free voice and use of the internet. It will mark the beginning of forced monopoly by putting a stranglehold on the capabilities of smaller ISPs by larger corporate ISPs by restricting accessibility of the consumer. In addition, the loss of Net Neutrality will cripple free speech on the internet and compromise personal privacy for it's user base. There is no merit at all in losing Net Neutrality as a whole to the public at large, and it would only benefit large company ISPs. Despite shutting down attempts at ending Net Neutrality in the past, numerous times, the commodity of free and public information is under attack again. This cannot be allowed to continue, and all subsequent attempts should be thrown out.

6202. David, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
I'm not letting this go

6203. Cory Simpson, Columbia, MO, 65203
The internet has greatly affected my life for the better. Getting my degree in Computer Science and continuing to acquire skills would have been much harder if Internet Service Providers where able to prioritize or outright block internet traffic. After having a child at eighteen and struggling to provide basic needs for my daughter, wife and me, we decided that we would need to go to college. Without the many small niche resources the internet provides I am positive i would not have been successful in gaining my Computer Science degree. Sites like Khan Academy and Code Academy are instrumental in providing alternative ways to learn at ones own pace. With prioritized traffic, these sites could be held hostage by large internet companies and be dead before they even get started, making both my past and continuing education needs much more expensive and less effective. I have personally paid for dedicated servers for hosting things from blogs to game servers, which would not have been possible if hosting costs where not so low. Today you can get a small blog on the internet for 3.50$ a month due to the low cost of hosting options. Without the competition of diverse hosting options it

would be impossible for students as well as my self to explore and implement their own ideas in such a efficient manner. Either they would be paying a much higher amount for probably less or would be limited with whatever their university provides (which is usually locked down in ways that make it not possible to host personal projects and it would not be available to the internet). If Internet Service Providers where able to throttle other hosting options by prioritizing their own, trying make their hosting services more appealing, it would have detrimental effects on the small hosting companies that i have and continue to use to host personal projects and game servers.I personally have limited internet options where i live in Columbia MO. Though there are a number of providers in the area there are only two options for my address with speeds over 20mbps, as even the smaller local fiber provider seems to be targeting richer neighborhoods. Internet speeds are very important to me and to almost all the people i know and work with, some of which only have one option that is 10mbps or less. My coworkers and I talk about internet speeds and stay updated on where Google Fiber is going next. Throughout all the conversations i have had about Internet Service Providers not once has anyone talked about anything other than how fast it is and how they dislike data caps. I have never had a conversation about any of the other services a ISP provides and have never cared or even bothered knowing if my ISP provides email, cloud storage, or anything other than how fast i can get internet packets to my computer. Due to my limited options in ISPs i am now forced into data caps, limiting my ability to learn future technologies (I have to monitor my downloading of Linux distributions and docker images). Switching to the only other provider above 20mbps would cut my speeds down to almost 1/3 of what i have now (150mbps to 60mbps), i would have to buy new equipment as i own my own cable modem, and would have to pay 20$ more a month. ISPs are simple connections to the internet and are never going to be used as anything else. The more ISPs there are and the less control they have over how i access the internet would only help bring down prices and spur innovations in speed. This would allow for services that are not practical now due to data caps and limitations on speed (like working remotely via Virtual Reality).I have expectations of privacy, affordability, and utility when paying for access to the internet. Much of my life would be different, harder, and i would make less if the internet was not what it is today. Without privacy i could imagine a internet where many of the sites that have shaped who i am and how i have developed my programming skills, would not exist. Both Reddit and 4chan, though both containing some of the most disturbing things on the internet, have contributed greatly in furthering my skills as a Mathematician, Statistician, and Programmer. Without privacy protections these sites would probably not exist, as without privacy protections there might be companies selling ethics checks (internet history) much like credit checks today that could determine which jobs i could have and where i would be able to rent. If ISPs had the power to throttle sites (priority of sites equals throttling of others) and ability to sell internet history there would be greater incentive to own these sources of data control which would create greater pressure for large companies like AT&T, Verizion, and Time Warner Cable to buy up their smaller competition. This would further reduce choice, increase prices, and limit speeds. The FCC should have the power to protect the people of America and govern how these companies provide a service as basic and necessary as water and electricity (as the internet enables me to pay for every other service and is how i make a living by working remotely).

6204. Jacob Herndon, Saint Louis, MO, 63114
I am employed through a company that requires me to bill online for my hours. If I lose access to said site because my internet service provider deems it not to their liking, I will lose my job. I also use it to keep in touch with my family, and for many other activities that allow me to interact with people I otherwise would never have met. Removing net neutrality would endanger many strong connections with people I very much care about. Please, don't destroy my livelihood and social life in the name of profit.

6205. Matthew Houston, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Uphold net neutrality and stop this bullshit.Regards,Matthew HoustonMatthew Houston

6206. Nelson Earle, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
If the United States, the leader of the free world, wishes to spread freedom to every country in every corner of the world, then we need to start by keeping our own country free. Especially the internet, a technology that has quickly become deep-rooted into everyone's lives, all over the planet. The internet should remain neutral because it networks people from all walks of life. Across borders, oceans, wars, and political views; it will aid us in developing society beyond fighting amongst ourselves, but only if we allow it. Only if it remains unrestricted.

6207. Justin Halverson, Lees Summit, MO, 64082
The fact of the matter is that just about everyone loves the internet, for whatever their reasons may be almost everyone in the US (and the world) uses the internet on a regular basis. The main connecting factor between these people using the internet is that they don' want to be charged more for it, have it run slower, or lose the convenience and ease of access of the internet. Changing the way net neutrality works will put all of these things into jeopardy and as such cannot be allowed.

6208. Sean Conners, Columbia, MO, 65202
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are an important freedom.Giving ISPs full control over the backbone of the modern economy will negatively affect everyone except the ISPs themselves. Please don't take us in the wrong direction.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding as well as my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Sean Conners

6209. Miles S. Groves, Kansas City, MO, 64134
Net neutrality is important for the majority of online businesses

6210. shawn niccum, perryville, MO, 63775
NO paid prioritization.NO blocking.NO throttling .....

6211. Nathaniel Poell, Kansas City, MO, 64138
Freedom of Speech should not belong solely to the man with the biggest microphone. Save net neutrality. Save our country. ~ Nathaniel Poell

6212. Justice Rodgers, Sikeston, MO, 63801
Net neutrality is a must and the internet should be open.

6213. Patrick Kong, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
The internet should be available for everyone, not cater to ISP

6214. Austin Hoggard, Ballwin, MO, 63011
The internet is the only place with true freedom. There's no reason to take that away.

6215. Justin Wells, kansas city, MO, 64155
Keep the internet open and keep current net neutrality rules in place.

6216. Brandon Walker, Republic, MO, 65738
If you don't enjoy the web, please allow this to go through. Otherwise, keep the internet free and open. We live in a "free country" yet our internet is the slowest, and worst of the 1st world countries. This is crazy!

6217. Dylan Blomberg, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
I am strongly for the preserving of net neutrality laws and title II

6218. R Alexander, Springfield, MO, 65803
Protecting net neutrality is, has been, and will continue to be of desperate importance. Being used for more than leisure activities, the internet is a place of business, resource sharing, and information gathering. The loss of net neutrality will affect not only Americans, but the rest of the world as many websites are based in the USA. The loss of net neutrality at this point would lead to a dearth of easily accessible, timely information. For some, this is merely an inconvenience, but for most, this could be deadly. I urge you to protect net neutrality.

6219. Conrad J Allen, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
This isn't the first time I've had to write you, and unfortunately it probably won't be the last.I work in an office with some incredibly talented software engineers who are working advanced R&D for America's defense industry. These people are sharp, they're knowledgeable, and they're honest.Net neutrality has come up in conversations many times over the last few years, and I can say without a doubt that not a single one of them thinks that it's anything other than another attempt to grant corporations more anti-consumer powers that they should not and cannot be trusted with. These aren't young millennials who just hate corporations -- many of these people are in their 30s-50s and have investments in some of the companies that stand to benefit from these proposed changes. They're not just "dirty liberals" who want to destroy capitalism -- many of them are Republicans and have voted as such as recently as last November.The common thread is that they all frequently use the internet, they're all college-educated on the matter, and they're not compromised by financial interests, bribes, or the opinions of the politicians that appointed them to their current positions. You've been screwing the average American consumer for years now, and you're shaking a hornets nest as you try to fight an untold number of internet sites that all overwhelmingly oppose your current agenda. Please, don't be short-sighted; drop your proposed regulatory changes. If not for the sake of your fellow American citizens, then at least for your own sake.You think people are upset now? The backlash of this can get so much worse.Conrad J Allen

6220. Patrick Morgan, Kearney, MO, 64060
Why would you want to take away net neutrality? It's one of the few places where almost everyone is equal. I pay just to connect to the web. Why should I have to pay more to go to every website I go to? Some days logging onto my laptop or Xbox to game is the only relaxation I get after a long day at work. Make the right call for the people and not the companies. Keep the net open to all instead of being chopped up and sold to you a webpage at a time by the big ISPs.

6221. james reed, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
WTF save the internet man

6222. Gabe Wilkerson, Columbia, MO, 65203
We need an internet that encourages entrepreneurship and open communication. Killing net neutrality is a blow to both of those and you know it.

6223. James, Ellsinore, MO, 63937
Net Neutrality protects individuals like me, by allowing us to choose ISP's that will render optimum internet connections for gaming,streaming, and or surfing the internet. Rather than having them restrict speeds for what a company considers sufficient use or restrict what sites we can visit cause its not in their invested interests. Net neutrality keeps the internet fair and open for everyone's use,creativity, or thought process. It keeps corporations out of our personal lives, an to freely express ourselves without backlash from the ISP cause of their political views or affiliations may differ from ours. Enough companies already police the internet as it is. We don't need anymore policing directly from our service providers, to sponsor their own sites,videos,blogs and etc. Thank you for your time.

6224. Troy Havercroft, Kansas city, MO, 64108
Please to not repeal the net neutrality rules. We do not want large ISP's deciding speeds of certain websites and using this to build partnerships to allow high speeds to benefit their partners. The internet should remain a free space I guess unless you think we are China or North Korea.

6225. Derrick, St. Joseph, MO, 64506
Net Neutrality is a benefit to all consumers and the removal of it would be disastrous. Blocking our traffic, throttling our speeds and making everyone, who doesn't pay even more, a second class citizen is anti-consumer and anti-American. Stop the repeal of Net Neutrality.

6226. Brian Martinez, Kansas City, MO, 64134
I think that giving ISP's the ability to restrict our choices and freedom on the internet is as good as taking away our rights as individual beings. With as many monopolies as the US already has its ridiculous to think we should allow our internet privileges to be taken away

as well. I want to keep the ability to choose if I want to use Amazon or a 3rd party site to purchase items on, I don't want my ISP to choose for me.

6227. Bradley Kinder, Fort Leonard Wood, MO, 65473
As a citizen the free flow if information should be the right of every citizen. As the internet is now the primary method of communication for many if not most citizens the FCC should regulate the internet under title 2. My current email, cloud storage service and other online services are NOT through my ISP. Please keep net neutrality rules in place to protect my ability to access these services. In my current location there are only 2 ISPs. There is minimal freedom of choice. Right now my choice if I do not like my ISP is internet or no internet (which is not really an option given how I need this for my current status as a self-employed person, my children's schooling, and my wife's current college classes). Please keep the internet open and the net neutral. Thanks for what you do because what you do matters. -Bradley Kinder

6228. jeremy hopke, House Springs, MO, 63051
The internet needs to stay the way it is. We do not need these big companies and isps controlling what we see or our speeds to sites they don't like. The internet is an open space for all and should stay that way. DO NOT CHANGE THE INTERNET.

6229. David Kenward, Kansas City, MO, 64154
Ending net neutrality will make the internet less accessible, open and free. Please reconsider taking this step that will be so disastrous for our country.

6230. Sarah Dittmann, Lee's Summit, MO, 64081
Net neutrality is vital to my work as a small business owner.

6231. Sarah Hanson, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I fear that changing current net neutrality rules will greatly impact my ability to google new coworkers and find out who the child molesters are.

6232. Christian Quintanilla, Saint Joseph, MO, 64507
I want open internet I don't want to have to pick and choose when I can easily get all that there is to offer. I Demand access to the entire internet - free of paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling. there is one internet and all rules must apply to home and mobile connection equally

6233. Alex Dieffenbach, Columbia, MO, 65203
This "bill" is complete bullshit and will under mine our most basic of rights as US citizen if it is allow to pass as the ISPs could essentially censor the American people and destroy the ideals of a free market that we were created under. The only people this bill would benefit is the money-hungry CEOs of large ISPs like Comcast, Spectrum (Formally Time Warner,) AT&T, Verizon, Centurylink, Charter, Mediacom, etc. Please, I urge you to not let this absurd bill pass as repealing net neutrality is not right for the American people or the world.

6234. Nicholas Hoffman, Raytown, MO, 64133
The internet is a free market. You cannot control what goes on with it. To this day people still do not know the full strength of what the internet can do. Limiting everyone's capability would only hurt what we have. Keep things the way they are. This is not a change we need to make.

6235. Joshua Neff, Kansas City, MO, 64131
At the public library where I work, we need to provide our patrons with a fast, neutral internet, to help keep them informed, educated, and working. At home, I use the internet for my own information, education, and work, and the only way for me to be successful is with a neutral internet that isn't controlled by companies with their own agenda. The internet, like telephone lines and airwaves, belongs to the American people and must remain neutral.

6236. Greg Shelton, Florissant, MO, 63034
In the ever-increasing relevance of the internet, the repeal of net neutrality would allow monopolies over services through the internet to form, monopolies being something that have long been avoided and broken apart in the United States. Furthermore, the difficulty of starting a new business when one's webpage may be throttled would be unacceptable even as a possibility in the system of the internet.

6237. Eric Nguyen, Fenton, MO, 63026
Hello,I'm Eric last name pronounced Win but let's not get held up by my last name. I want to state my opinion on net neutrality and how it should be free for all. I feel if the internet becomes closed I would not be able to watch netflix with my girlfriend, search up coding languages, things would be taken back to the DSL days.

6238. Cheyenne, springfield, MO, 65802
The government is for the people, By the people, and the fact you want to tax us, and bill us till the streets run red is proof of how far your morals have fallen, you know what this is really? The government becoming Communist. Think on that when you once again make something that should be a god given right too expensive for the young, poor people to access, it may not be as crucial as water, but do you EVEN KNOW how hard a life without internet is in this common era? Jobs, school, and being able to quickly find things needed in life, INFORMATION is power to succeed in our lives after you've spent your whole government career trying to stop us from getting anywhere. SO NO, NO you can not do this.

6239. Elinor Mealer, Raytown, MO, 64138
Net neutrality is imperative to the privacy of the US citizens. Limiting the access a person has is censorship and if the United States are willing to fight it in countries around the world that has it, why are we trying to create censorship here?Please do not allow this to become our reality. Help ensure we truly remain the home of the free.

6240. Thomas, Florissant, MO, 63033
The internet in it's current form needs to be preserved in order to become a better species. Think of all of the technological advancements will be denied to society by changing the fundamentals of net neutrality. It's time to stop listening to your wallets and time to start listening to the people.

6241. Nathan Allen, new london, MO, 63459
Net Neutrality keeps our isp's from controlling what we view, right? America is the land of the free, is it not? please, lets keep it that way.

6242. Vincent Cannady, Nevada, MO, 64772
I am a Service Connected Disabled Veteran. I run a disability advocacy group called Disabled Students in Higher Education. We take to task Federal Agencies that do not follow the Americans with Disabilities Act, These FCC Net Neutrality will leave 57 Million poor disabled Americans at the Mercy of ISPs. This is wrong and totally biased towards those with money and power which the disabled do not have through no fault of their own. We are already suffering but making us wait for internet when we have PTSD could cost someone their lives because they cannot get in touch with their doctor or even make them so incensed that they hurt themselves or someone else. YOu have no idea of the stress that comes from being without internet, or you do and you want disabled Americans to suffer, which is it. Stop assaulting the disabled with Net Nuetrality or we will create a Blog to tell all 57 million disabled American to write to the FCC http://www.dshe.org/ADA_report/

6243. Skyler Wistrom, Maryville, MO, 64468
Not only am I an avid user of the internet as I don't feel the need for television, but many of my friends make their living through using high volumes in various ways. Giving companies the ability to censor what we are able to see/do legally on the internet is a complete absurdity.

6244. Alexander Erickson, Butler, MO, 64730
Stop this stupid bullshit

6245. Loren Epler, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I strongly support retaining strong net neutrality by continuing to govern ISP's under Title II.

6246. Robert Dawdy, Ofallon, MO, 63368
Net neutrality is the only way of dealing with the internet in the United States. Keep the web free and open, keep net neutrality. Do not repeal any laws protecting it.

6247. Meredith Adams, Lees Summit, MO, 64064
Do right by your constituents.

6248. AJ Bowman, Kansas City, MO, 64117
The very notion of a pay-for-convenience internet is absolutely sickening. This is nothing more than greed incarnate, and should be stopped in its tracks.

6249. Scott Ramirez, OFallon, MO, 63366
Net neutrality. Leave it alone. This isn't North Korea. Stop trying to control the internet and the people.

6250. Net Neutrality, Ashland, MO, 65010
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.ISPs border on a natural monopoly as a simple function of the costs associated with laying and maintaining extensive broadband and fiber networks. This monopoly power, coupled with the ever-increasing importance of the Internet in everyday life, means that strong oversight is required to ensure that customers are not being exploited. To this end, Title 2 oversight and regulation is the strongest bulwark possible against company abuses. It is also the only way to be absolutely certain that companies are not incentivized to restrict or slow content from competitors in favor of their own output, to the detriment of consumers. There is no superior form of oversight, and there is no evidence Title 2 classification has actually reduced ISP investment in the expansion and maintenance of their networks. Sorry, I've tried to condense my concerns as much as possible, and it may have resulted in a confusing jumble, but if you take nothing else from this message the I will simply reiterate:I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.Sincerely,Robert Thompson

6251. Frei Dom, St. Louis, MO, 63141
Quit trying to turn the free western world into China.

6252. Mike Vogt, Holden, MO, 64040
As a citizen living in rural America, my choices for internet access are limited. The FCC should be concerned with ways to increase access, choices of providers, and limiting the monopoly powers that ISPs currently hold over so much of America. The current proposal would increase the monopoly power of ISPs and be an undo burden on the many American citizens who rely on their internet connection for access to resources that would otherwise be economically out of reach for simple geographical reasons. In a society where information is a commodity, access to any information should not be limited by the actions of a democratic government.

6253. Jon Booth, Holt's Summit, MO, 65043
Net neutrality is a terrible idea that will cripple the internet as we know it.

6254. William Campbell, Springfield, MO, 65807
Keep the internet open and free!!! Th internet should be viewed as an instrument of free speech and a public good! Giving preferential treatment or implementing strata upon internet users is unfair and unethical.

6255. 17-108, dearborn, MO, 64439
... the current net neutrally and title II is what the internet is today, not 20 years ago. and multiple countries have net neutrally laws such as Canada, Japan, Chile, Netherlands and more. if net neutrally is gone then giant ISP can kill competition and censor web access which is a violation of the first amendment of the U.S. constitution.

6256. Leland Manning, Shell Knob, MO, 65747
There are many reasons why the internet should stay open, the most important ones being:1. It's nobody's business what I'm doing on the internet as long as what I'm doing is legal.2. Giving one website priority over another is an invasion of MY PRIVACY. Otherwise, how would you know what website I'm going to?3. Do you -want- to be like China?Basically, don't block my stuff, please..

6257. Frank Albenesius, St. Louis, MO, 63123
I support strong net neutrality rules. The internet is something that millions of people count on in their day-to-day lives to make livings, get informed, and stay in touch with each other. The internet isn't a luxury, it's a necessity. It's a utility. Please keep it fair and equal.

6258. Nathan C. Flannery, Overland, MO, 63114
I, like most Americans, support net neutrality. It is my firm belief that ISPs should not be able to extort companies for money to not be throttled or to be able to block content they don't like. I also believe that the Internet should be treated the same a utility like telecommunications, because the Internet IS a utility and I wouldn't be able to voice my opinion to you here without it.

6259. Patricia Thibeault, Wildwood, MO, 63038
I support net neutrality and to keep the internet open.

6260. Ethan Petrechko, Norborne, MO, 64668
The attack on net neutrality is blatant and disgusting act by those in D.C. to service special interest group in a bid to line their own pockets. The politicians no longer serve or represent the people of the U.S. but are instead at the whim of soulless companies. These are disturbing signs of a corrupt and apathetic government that is shaping our country in to some backwater inept cesspool of a federation. We need strong net neutrality to protect the free market that has blossom on the internet. Without net neutrality thousands of businesses will be ran in the ground while the ISPs will own profit from others misfortune. In closing do not let those self-centered little cretins in Congress dictate will of the people nor let that bloated forked tongued orange called Trump(a name that turns in my mouth like a swig of spoiled milk) threat the FCC in to submission. Strong net neutrality laws is what this nation needs, it is what we all want, and to strike down this law would only be another self inflected wound on our great home. And she can't take many more.

6261. Rachael Redler, Kansas City, MO, 64114
As someone who is just beginning a career in web development and design, the possibility of an end to net neutrality is frightening and depressing. How can I, an entrepreneur exploring freelance work and new business ideas, possibly compete with corporations who could pay for faster services? The answer is that I cannot. Move beyond partisanship and start thinking in terms of fellow Americans. Humans. There are REAL PEOPLE on the other sides of these screens. The FCC has a chance to stand up for the common American. Please do not end net neutrality.

6262. Keith Moum, Columbia, MO, 65201
I support a free and open internet. In today's day and age equal internet access is a must and should not be infringed upon.

6263. Alison Dreith, St. Louis, MO, 63118
Imagine a world where a woman searches the internet but can find no information on how to access an abortion.Imagine searching the internet but finding no reproductive healthcare provider lists or contact information.Imagine trying to call your representative, but you can't get through, because the phone company is being paid to limit the number of phone calls to Congress.In late 2007, I experienced this firsthand, when Verizon Wireless cut off access to the text messaging program my organization used to organize and mobilize our members. They reversed their censorship after widespread public outrage, but if Obama era rules that say everyone has to be treated the same on the internetâ€”often called "net neutrality"â€”is ripped away, this could happen to us again. And think about all the other community organizers, activists, and organizations across the country that Donald Trump don't fit into his anti-choice agenda.We use it so often, that it's easy to forget how important the open and free internet is to all of us. Trump's Federal Communications Commission and Congress should NOT be allowed to control what we see online.A lack of net neutrality would prove devastating for organizers, activists, and for our right to access vital, accurate reproductive health information. Without net neutrality, internet providers could control what we see and do online, giving wealthy, conservative anti-choice groups the upper hand. We don't want to let them get the upper handâ€”that's why we're working to stop them before they even have the opportunity.This issue is bigger than just one organization and impacts millions of lives.

6264. Joseph Hamm, Springfield, MO, 65803
The internet should be a level playing field. Not paid tiers.

6265. Josh Kempker, Holts Summit, MO, 65043
Freedom. Net Neutrality is freedom. Go against it and find out what people think of you. Don't step on our freedom.

6266. Net Neutrality, St Louis, MO, 63108
I want equal access to the entire internet, without paid prioritization, blocking, or throttling. I think the internet is quickly becoming the last and most important forum for the free exchange of ideas, and we can't survive as a democracy without that.

6267. Chandler Pattyson, Joplin, MO, 64801
The fact that this is even under consideration is very disappointing.

6268. Megan Kennedy, Columbia, MO, 65203
I urge the FCC to retain a strong commitment to Net Neutrality. Net Neutrality is one of the principles on which the Internet was built,

and it's what made the tech revolution of the last twenty years possible. Abandoning it now would seriously harm consumers and small businesses by letting internet service providers (ISPs) fast-track or block content at a whim. Consumers deserve equal access to the entire internet, not a walled garden curated by their ISP or a limited subscription package of already-popular sites. Small businesses, non-profits and other organizations will also be unfairly burdened if they have to pay ISPs to make their content available on an equal basis with major corporations. In the 21st century, there is no longer a clean break between "the internet" and "real life." Job applications are increasingly done only online. More and more college courses are offered online. I am only able to offer this comment because the form is available online, and because Net Neutrality prevents my ISP from hiding it from me. I have lived overseas, in countries where the state ISP routinely blocked entire websites for political reasons. I do not doubt that American ISPs, if given the power, will also block, throttle or filter content in ways that benefit them rather than consumers. I support Net Neutrality in the strongest possible terms and urge the FCC to reject any attempt to weaken it.

6269. Walter M. Phillips, Joplin, MO, 64801
Why would anyone want to take something away from the masses that has worked great and let a few greedy corporations take control it . Tell Version and Comcast Togo to hell.Walter M. Phillips

6270. Brandon Thornton, Brentwood, MO, 63144
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's for several reasons. First, the federal government built (and still maintains much - even if it's just for surveillance) the backbone of what we now know as the internet and allowing corporations (really media giants creating and distributing content) free reign to manipulate data traffic sounds like the beginning of a dystopian novel for a reason . Second, removing title II protection is not appropriate as the internet is now such an essential part of our lives it is an utility and addressed as such in the real world - if this were not true AT&T would not use "utility work ahead" signs when they are working on their network. Third, dismantling net neutrality jeopardizes innovation (because why would the major players make it easy for competition to develop?). Fourth, most important and least based in fact, the vast majority of individuals in this country want net neutrality and failure of the federal government to ensure this will be taken as further proof that our government's policy is for sale to the highest bidders.

6271. sean macwilliams, Kansas City, MO, 64138
Net neutrality is something that we don't need now or anytime in the future. Since the creation of the internet everything has been fine with the way things have been running. Now big name companies feel the need to step in and control the lives of everyone in the world because no one has enough power to stop them. We live in a world where the internet is everything to people around the world. It provides vast amount of information about the past, present, and future. We cannot allow these companies to step in and control the way the internet is run. The internet was not made for that reason and show not be allowed to be run like that.

6272. Donald James Buttelwerthlll, cape girardeau, MO, 63701
This is the United States of America, where freedom is king, yet every day it seems someone is trying to take away a little bit more of that freedom. I am a citizen of the greatest country in the world and I don't want to be censored or told what I can't do on the internet that I pay for. Keep the freedom America

6273. Joshua Stephens, Joplin, MO, 64804
I just wish to let the FCC know that I support Net Neutrality and I am disgusted by any attempts by Ajit Pai or anyone else to dismantle it.

6274. net neutrality, st louis, MO, 63123
Let's not do this, it seems at least a little absurd to me that anyone is trying to present these policies as a good thing. We need net neutrality just as we need equality in the workplace and work institutions. To protect ourselves from corporate greed and ignorance yet again.

6275. Corey, Kansas City, MO, 64137
Internet and data usage is apart of the ever growing new world; putting the freedoms and liberties of the American people and our pursuit for a better tomorrow, in the hands of corporations who only operate in dollar signs, will cripple and fiscally stall any individual ventures. Please keep our internet open and free, as the Constitution would agree.

6276. Adam Barciszewski, Imperial, MO, 63052
An open internet fosters growth and makes knowledge available without hindrance. I am firmly against priority lanes on the internet. Keep it open!

6277. Jason Logan, Kansas City, MO, 64152
Keep the net free and open. Let capitalism do what it does best. Make every product better through competition and equal access.

6278. Richard Wollenberger, Wildwood, MO, 63011
I want the internet to remain open and not have prioritized service for any company or individual. I don't want the current rules changed to allow some companies to have priority over others.

6279. PAUL R MORELAND, Saint James, MO, 65559
Keep the internet FREE.

6280. Net Neutrality, Kirksville, MO, 63501
I support strong net neutrality. Please maintain current net neutrality laws that way ISPs can't start "paid prioritization," which should be made illegal. Keep the internet free and fair for everyone!

6281. Michael Joseph Lombardo, Saint Louis, MO, 63128
Please defend net neutrality. It is very important to me that we maintain it. If we do not it will add more obstructions to any internet start

up company. We need to help the smaller companies.

6282. Patrick Boessen, Columbia, MO, 65203
The net needs to stay free! People have the right to access the internet without constrictions!

6283. Rachel Bortosky, Springfield, MO, 65807
Keep the internet free from fees and slow-downs. Freedom of expression is just one important aspect of a neutral internet, and that is vital to our democracy.

6284. Andrew Zemienieuski, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
It is not in the best interest of the American people to enable ISPs to determine winners and losers on the internet. Just the obvious conflict of interest alone of most of these internet companies owning content producers (Comcast - NBC, for example) being able to discriminate against direct competitors will kill true innovation and progress. Internet access in this age is a right, and full access to the entire internet is non-negotiable.

6285. Jonathan Terry, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
The internet needs to remain and open entity. There should be no paid fast lanes!

6286. J Durkes, Kansas City, MO, 64106
Please do not allow ISPs to decide which content gets priority. Keep net neutrality.

6287. Jillian Martinez, Affton, MO, 63123
Protect net neutrality! Do not overturn Title II protections for all Americans.

6288. David A. Youngg, Kansas City, MO, 64113
As an American citizen, I believe it is imperative for the internet to remain net neutral. As taxpayers, we paid for the development of the internet and it should remain neutral and we should not be required to pay more for information. The internet should remain neutral.

6289. Jonathan Riek, Eureka, MO, 63025
Net neutrality is critical for the US to maintain it's competitive advantage - if ISP's are allowed to "tax" utilization, this will result in significantly higher cost of doing business for thousands of companies. Please support the American people and continue to enforce net neutrality!

6290. Caitlynn Foose, Rolla, MO, 65401
The internet is required in this day and age, it is no longer a luxury. People need it to apply for jobs, balance their checkbook, make purchases, and communicate with the rest of the world. The internet is a utility and should be treated as such. Keep net neutrality intact!

6291. Roma Quintin Hicks, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
As a person with a very small, internet-based business still in its infancy it is very important that that all internet traffic be treated equally, so I can compete with my competitor equally. Twenty-plus years ago, the argument that I needed to pay to compete aggressively on the internet *might* have been an valid argument, but today, the internet is a international, public forum. The ISPs may own the trunks but they don't own the data and IP following through the trunks and have no right to hold my business hostage. It is the internet not Mafia 'protection' services; 'It would be awful if your site couldn't load on someone's computer...'By breaking down net-neutrality rules, the government tacitly picks winners and losers online.

6292. Nathan McCullough, Joplin, MO, 64801
The internet needs to be a place that is free and open. Limiting its service and allowing it to be put behind paywalls while restricting what content is available is a movement against our freedoms.

6293. Tanner, cooter, MO, 63839
The internet is one of the most important things in today's time, you have to be greedy or stupid as hell to allow companies to privatize the internet. We NEED net neutrality!

6294. Michael Ezell, Springfield, MO, 65810
All businesses should be on equal footing. Young startups should have a chance to compete with established multi-national giant corporations. That's exactly how the internet became the power that it is today. It allows the average person to pursue their dreams and help make the world great. The ISP should not become a crooked judge that can effectively censor websites because they aren't paid enough, or don't like the content.

6295. Lauren Hilton, Kansas City, MO, 64154
Keep net neutrality. Keep the internet open and free.

6296. Josh Horn, Dexter, MO, 63841
Doing away with Net Neutrality is wrong. All website deserve to be viewed equally and not under the direction of how much they paid to show their content. Don't do away with this. It is a big step backwards if we do this.

6297. Tim Nonet, Clayton, MO, 63105
Net neutrality is important to the success of this country. Keep the internet open and free. ISP's should be regulated as access to high speed internet is now as much of a right as Electricity or Water itself.

6298. Michaela Kuba, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
Please protect free and equal internet access. Companies shouldn't be able to regulate the information I access.

6299. Michael Flores, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
Segmenting and making the little guys pay more for what we already get isn't what should be happening. Leave the internet as it is.

6300. Ryan Tansey, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
Net neutrality is key for continued internet success. Do not allow corporations to alter it for their own use/gain.

6301. James Schneider, St.Charles, MO, 63301
I am writing in concern of Net Neutrality. While looking for work I have been required to spend a lot of time online filling out resumes. Now I am finding that depending on how rulings are handled the company providing my internet connection may have the right to block web sites from me. What if I need to get to one of their competitor sites to put in an application? May sound silly but it is possible. I have also been looking at finding an online job, possibly in transcription writing or even trying to make some money streaming games or the creation of items to then sell online. There are only two service providers in my area Charter Spectrum and ATT. What would I do if they decide they do not want to provide a connection to my online work site? The only thing I use my ISP for is to connect to others through the internet. Just like the only thing I use my cellular service provider for is the ability to call other cell phone users. I do not see the cellular providers being able to limit what phones I am calling. With only two ISP available in my area Charter and ATT. Not very much issue for competitive pricing is there? How could there not be oversight into this? Why is this even being considered as possibly not an FCC concern? Federal Communications Commission. The internet is ALL about communication. Blocking, throttling or prioritizing prevents my right to freedom of communication.

6302. Jason Davis, Springfield, MO, 65807
I firmly believe this is not in any way a positive for the consumer, for the american people, or for any growth or future of this country. This isnt a political issue, this is only greed incarnate. This needs to stop as quickly as possible.

6303. Benjamin Robert Eastman, St. Louis, MO, 63103
ISPs cannot be trusted to govern themselves in a way that benefits the public interest. I strongly support strong net neutrality rules as governed by Title II

6304. Steve Bodenheimer, Lees Summit, MO, 64064
The internet needs neutrality, the big guys are the only ones who gain from a filtered internet. Please keep innovation thriving in the U.S. or the innovators will have to find other countries that do support Net Neutrality to start in. This is the embodiment of entrepreneurial spirit and free speech that should make this problem trivial. Put the country ahead of your own economic ideologies.

6305. Logan Duck, Kansas City, MO, 64111
The internet is a place where all can have a voice - it is not a privilege for the wealthy, it is the perfect medium for our constitutional right to free speech. Allowing for-profit companies to have any sort of power over access to content stifles the ability of hard-working Americans to express themselves and participate in what is SUPPOSED to be a democracy. Abolishing Net Neutrality would be yet another step towards a dystopian society in which all dissent and diversity is strangled. This is antithetical to the American melting pot, land of the free. Keep the internet neutral ground!

6306. Alexis Locke, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
I believe that the FCC should leave the internet open, and fully available for everyone to use. We are Americans, born in the home of the free, and land of the brave. We should not have our internet access limited to what rich politicians feel we should be using. Also, if the rich politicians start limiting our internet access, whose to say they will not try to limit our freedoms elsewhere? I stand with the Open Internet Movement. Our web browsing and internet access should not be limited in any way, shape, or form.

6307. Matthew Bird, Springfield, MO, 65804
The internet needs to remain neutral. We should not declassify it as a utility. The extra restrictions are necessary in order to keep the internet open and available to everyone equally. If this is not done, ISP may charge outrageous prices to "speed up" data and may selectively restrict activities such as slowing video streaming. All data is the same and should be treated equally.

6308. Carl Bailey, Saint Louis, MO, 63131
Keep Net Neutrality alive and in place!

6309. Calvin Taylor, Columbia, MO, 65201
C'mon fellas, how bout we leave the good ole internet alone, for old times sake?

6310. David Harden, Republic, MO, 65738
Keep the Internet NEUTRAL!!!! DO NOT END NET NEUTRALITY TO LINE YOUR POCKETS WITH BIG CABLE MONEY!!!!!!!!!

6311. Internet, Cedarhill, MO, 63016
Good day, net neutrality is a very important ideal. With it we have true freedom online. If net neutrality is removed or even restricted we are fighting against the freedom we stand for. Providers will be capable of blocking web sites for little to no reasons other than their own profit at the expense of the customer. I myself will not stand for these actions and will have to abandon the internet if net neutrality is removed. In a world where the internet connects us all, I would hate to have to disconnect forever. Give me liberty, or give me death.

6312. Tye Cavin, Peculiar, MO, 64078
Hello, my name is Tye Cavin, and I am reaching out in favor of net neutrality. For me, the internet has helped me connect with lost friends and family, and has helped me research into my interests and career paths in a way no other information network has. It is important the the FCC has the ability to regulate ISP companies and that they are not left to they're own devices. The internet should not become a place where certain websites or products are shown favor by certain companies, or where companies can have the ability to decide which websites I can visit and how quickly I can. If the 2015 network neutrality rules are reversed, then that would put more

power in companies at the expense of the people. As a nation we should be invested and interested in keeping our people first, not our companies.

6313. George Stephen Coggins, Maryville, MO, 64468
I support net neutrality. Why would you work to create internet monopolies? Aren't monopolies illegal in the United States? Everyone should be allowed equal footing on the internet. What you're trying to do is corrupt and will slow down innovation. Please keep the internet neutral.

6314. Vincenzo Iuppa, Kansas City, MO, 64152
I work for an organization that supports the rights or workers. I can foresee a future where companies can pay to ensure that their messages get through quickly while people who work to support the middle class are stuck in a slow lane or cut off from their recipients entirely. As a nation the internet is the single greatest forum for ideas, it is the melting pot of our national experiment writ large, and placing it at the whims of the highest bidder would be an absolute tragedy.The job of the government should be to ensure the best access to the best resources for all, not to serve the public to special interests. This is an area where the FCC can do tangible good for all Americans just by doing nothing at all.

6315. Ryan Brewer, Kansas City, MO, 64119
I work for as a web developer for a marketing company, and dismantling net neutrality would affect my industry, I believe, for the worse.

6316. Bryan Mack, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
Please keep the internet neutral to all parties.

6317. Chris Schaaf, Rolla, MO, 65401
Net neutrality is essential to a free and open internet. Please maintain it.

6318. Brian Gunter, University City, MO, 63130
Don't break the internet.

6319. James Wilson, Pleasant hill, MO, 64080
Net neutrality promotes the free market for many startups. Internet service should now be considered a utility as we become ever more dependent on its availability.

6320. Leonard Maggi, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
The Net Neutrality rules must be kept in place. When I signed up for Charter broadband access I was purchasing it for a fast a reliable connection to the internet. I need it for my job as an Assistant Professor of Medicine in the Division of Oncology at Washington University School of Medicine in St Louis, MO. My job does not end at 5 pm. I often am writing grants, manuscripts for my research, and preparing presentations of my research for scientific meetings at home in the evening. I pay for fast reliable internet from Charter to access work and personal email as well as online cloud storage. Removing Net Neutrality will greatly affect my ability to do my job as a cancer researcher.

6321. Kishan Patel, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I stand for keeping net neutrality as it is and keeping the internet a free frontier of innovation. If you let the ISPs get their way, the internet as we know it will suffer.

6322. Konrad the Comrade, St. Louis, MO, 63128
The internet was developed so researchers could more easily and efficiently discuss data. Wireless communication became the future. Now we want to return to a time before the internet, and make it not a commodity, but a luxury. Small companies will be pushed to the side as ISPs are allowed their monopolies. They already have monopolies, they will just get to cement them harder after the act is repealed by someone who has been found to be a complete corporate grunt. If ISPs wanted to provide additional, marketable. legitimate services, services which in some way enhance a users experience, and put it behind a pay wall, that would be fine. Then both companies and individuals would be able to make the choice of premium pricing versus basic, old fashioned, service. What is on the table this time, is a decision to let companies do what they want, regardless of its impact on people across all walks of life. The poor will have less access to opportunities, small businesses will suffer unable to compete with corporate giants. Here, let's try something REALLY simple. Imagine you are on the road, driving. You are on the highway specifically. The speed limit is a cool 60 miles per hour. Then some car dealerships decide only certain cars should be able to go 60 miles per hour. Now you cannot go 60, your car is not of premium quality, you have to buy a newer car in order to go 60. Well, you cannot afford the new car, it is not different from your current car in any other way, other than the fact it goes 60. Notice, internet companies already do this, they charge price based on speed. However, by repealing the very provisions which prevent discrimination based on demographic and projected information, such as how successful the company might be, the market it is entering, or the location of the individual trying to obtain internet access for their disabled child, etc, we see the free market slowly collapse. If you cannot understand the above, simple really, then understand I wrote it for a high school student to understand. Net neutrality is one of the only things preventing a complete monopoly by the ISPs, ending the provisions protecting us against such an outcome is simply anti-american.

6323. Tanner Marino, St. Louis, MO, 63102
It is important to protect net neutrality to keep the web open and free for all. No fastlanes, no premium content. Treat all web content as the same. It's the best for consumers, small businesses, entrepreneurs, and everyone who uses the internet. Keep net neutrality.

6324. Jeffrey R Stanley, Springfield, MO, 65802
Please vote to Keep the current Net Neutrality rules in place. A free and open internet is crucial to the small business men and women who utilize the internet for their business operations, we can not compete with large corporations and their unlimited spending.

6325. Susan Crossen, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
I want you to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. We told you we want net neutrality once. Did you now understand?

6326. Matt Helbig, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Keep the net neutral! The FCC or any other government entity should not play favorites or bow down to the highest bidder. Billion dollar companies should not have the right to bully start up and small business. Government needs to stay out of this one and keep the net open without limitations for all.

6327. Andrea Ingalsbe, West Plains, MO, 65775
Net neutrality is a unique and modern liberty that enriches freedom expression, education, and the press for the masses and must not be weakened, in any way, in favor of the enrichment of a handful of individuals or corporations. That this measure is even on the table is yet another grave indication of government overreach that appallingly seeks to erode our liberties and freedom of choice for no reason other than to allow corporations to make more money and limit my freedom. Awful. Absolutely awful and you should truly be ashamed of yourselves.

6328. Dean Brickner, St. Louis, MO, 63111
Please do not reverse the current Net Neutrality rules that are in place. Having equal access to the Internet is crucial to every member of our society and it should not be dependent on how much one can pay. Please leave the rules in place as they are. Your proposed ideas are solutions to problems that do not exist. Let the Internet be a fair and even ground for ALL.

6329. Ben Lyons, Willard, MO, 65781
Killing net neutrality is ignoring the majority to benefit the corporate interest of a select (despised) few. This is now how our government is supposed to work. Do the right thing FCC.

6330. Joshua Knight, Belton, MO, 64012
A long time ago 56 men sat down in a room for the specific purpose of fighting tyrannical rule. I believe those men today would be disgusted in what America has become. We have to fight for our rights to bare arms. Something that was given by the men and women of this country through blood, sweat ,and tears. We have to fight for our right to free speech. Another thing given but must be fought for by the common citizen. This list, I fear, is ever growing due to greed and corruption from either within or without. While it is true that we have a capitalistic society, there needs to be regulation in order to protect the people from being exploited by that greed, dubious or otherwise. It is the governments responsibility to protect its people. In this case the threat is manipulation of information and theft of services. The internet has become more than just a tool for gathering information. It is our means of connection with others, our method of paying our bills and taxes, our businesses, our means of expression, and our means of relaxation. Now used by so many, it is integral for our society to function. Therefore, it should be regulated, safeguarded and treated like our water, our food, or our electricity. We do not allow water to be controlled by the companies that filter and maintain it. There are strict regulations they are required to follow to even be allowed to touch the water, let alone sell it to vulnerable citizens. Do not remove the safeguards protecting the people or you set a precedent to do the same with all other public services. Net neutrality is one such safeguard and must stay in place to protect the people.

6331. Glendon Grapperhaus, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
Net Neutrality is important. I care about the open internet and competition online.

6332. Matthew Pankratz, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I believe it is in the consumer and general public's best interest to keep net neutrality alive. If we give cable companies the power to choose which traffic to speed up and which to slow down, they are picking winners and losers. Instead, we should let capitalism, the people's will, speak and choose who wins and loses. We should not stifle innovation just to let some cable companies make a few extra millions.

6333. Josh Schauer, CENTRALIA, MO, 65240
Having a free and open internet is of extreme value to me. I truly believe that the internet is the avenue for young an aspiring young entrepreneurs. If ISP's were able to control websites I think it would be extremely difficult for young entrepreneurs to be able to break in and create a successful business because the internet is such a great way to get the word out, gain customers, and research their markets. I think the FCC should not give the ISP's any control over which sites I visit or do not visit but rather they should protect me from invasion of privacy, fraudulent pricing, and continue to monitor ISP pricing as to prevent price gouging.

6334. Stephen Overton, Arnold, MO, 63010
Keep the internet free and clean! No priorities, no monopolies, no restrictions!

6335. Charles Hoffmann, Kansas City, MO, 64133
Please do not remove the rules in place that protect net neutrality. It will significantly impact online commerce and only benefit the cable companies - but it will eventually lead to the bankruptcy of smaller cable companies.

6336. Evan Piper, Nixa, MO, 65714
Keep your hands off our internet please. The Internet was never intended or created to be controlled or regulated by a government entity. The encroachment of our civil liberties such as privacy, freedom of speech, and freedom of information can not keep spreading, especially into the realm of the internet.

6337. Ronald Custer, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
Net Neutrality is need to keep the playing field even. It benefits consumers and corporations. How do you think it will affect small business, start ups and innovations when the bigger players they might be competing with will just steamroll them? People are not as stupid as you think Mr. Pai. It is clear as day that you are doing this to help out your former employer and I am sure that you will get a nice cushy job with them after this is done. You are supposed to work for all the people, not just the people who will line your corrupt

pockets.

6338. Luke pearre, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
Keep the net free and policies as they are allowing the businesses to make mandates shouldn't be allowed!

6339. Douglas Thornton, Saint Joseph, MO, 64503
We need the internet to remain open and free. I get the free market principals which would argue against government intervention in affairs like this, but I happen to live in an area with a single broadband ISP. I would have no recourse, no alternative ISP to use if Suddenlink (my ISP) decided to create "fast lanes".I feel it is extortion for ISP's to do this in the first place. I pay for access to the internet at my rated speed (200MB download), what right do they have to choke this down? It's not like Netflix or Youtube or HBO GO is using my internet. I am the one streaming the content, and I have already paid the ISP for the privilege!Please help protect our interests in this regard.

6340. John Davis, st. joseph, MO, 64505
Don't take away or limit or internet abilities. This will hinder the nation mentally and financially. We should be going forward and not backwards with technology.

6341. Luke Miller, St. Louis, MO, 63144
Enforceable net neutrality laws are one of the last ways to ensure consumer control of the market. As companies become more and more powerful, choices are eliminated. Which forces people to innovate. Is the internet is sifted and compiled for you, smaller, more innovative ideas may never exist as they couldn't reach there market. This would put the US decades behind in terms of technological growth and is incredibly short sided, as even ISPs benefit from innovation.Keep the internet accessible so we can actually benefit from the connectedness it allows.

6342. Michael Borrini, St. Louis, MO, 63104
Net neutrality is very important to me and I do not want to see it weakened in any way.

6343. Christopher Stumph, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Support Net Neutrality! Treat internet access like a utility! Just like the electrical company can't give you a brown-out for using a toaster they didn't sell you, ISPs shouldn't be able to slow you down just because you watch Netflix instead of Hulu!

6344. Benjamin Gall, Kansas City, MO, 64123
Net Neutrality is important to the future of our country. It provides the ability to have a level playing field for everyone. Restricting websites bandwidth do nothing for the end consumer.

6345. David, Columbia, MO, 65203
Claiming to be public servants while devising this is grotesque.

6346. Leslie Williams, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
Net neutrality makes it possible for people to access the internet, for schools to access the internet, for businesses to access the internet, for public libraries to access the internet. Without it, people might not be able to afford it, those who access through the public libraries might not be able to in the future. It shouldn't belong only to those who can make a profit from it. There is no real proof that businesses are negatively affected by net neutrality. ISP investment is up over time, and shows no decline as a result of the implementation of strong, enforceable net neutrality rules in 2015. ISPs have failed to prove the 2015 Order has had a negative impact on investment. ISPs and their consumers are enjoying historically low production costs. Innovation has increased consistently and rapidly since net neutrality implementation. Content and data production continues to increase exponentially. Please keep it available for everyone to use as they wish and not how big business wants us to. Thank you.

6347. Timothy Dykas, University City, MO, 63105
We need net neutrality because everyone needs access to all content. Corporations shouldn't be allowed to influence public opinion by only allowing users to see content they agree with. That's no better than China, which blocks content that speaks badly about themselves so that their citizens are kept in the dark.

6348. John Stephens, St Louis, MO, 63118
Where do I even begin? It seems every government office has turned against the common individual in favor of the wealthiest few who now dominate our day to day lives, telling us what healthcare we can get and how much it will cost us, taking precious resources away from children's education, of all things. And now we face losing access to our information, our communication with loved ones, our job resources. Removing net neutrality hamstrings all but the wealthiest consumers in any pursuit. This is not an act of "freedom," but of greed, corruption, and abuse. This is big pharma treating cancer instead of curing it. Have some humanity. Stop squeezing every possible penny from those who have none to spare. If you take away our internet, we will take it back from you, and you will not be the same once we are done.

6349. Drew Rouse, Kansas City, MO, 64156
If this country wants to actually be great and continue progressing, we need to ensure that the Net stays open and free for all. Internet fast lanes will completely ruin our access to important information. The current model that allows ISPs to throttle data flow is already bad enough but a system without Net Neutrality, where an ISP can actually completely cut off their users from information is the exact opposite of the Freedom that our government continues to tell us they support.The fact that this is even a topic of conversation only shows that there are too many in Washington that don't understand how the internet works. At least I hope that's the case. If you are considering revoking Net Neutrality actually knowing what it means than I respect you even less.

6350. Brandon Biele, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
We need net neutrality because this is America and I thought we stood for freedom. Such as free trade and freedom of religion why

should companies be able to restrict our freedom to browse the website we enjoy.

6351. David Reid, Ellisville, MO, 63021
As a web developer myself I cannot support Net Neutrality. Controlling access to what I have the ability to create and share is detrimental. Net Neutrality is crucial to enjoying much of the content that makes the internet great

6352. Eric Johnson, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
Net neutrality is what is keeping the internet great today. It is (at least) one thing that should remain equal to everyone no matter what to give all users/websites the same service that we all deserve. Freedom in itself is being able to believe in anything and without net neutrality isps can cherry pick what users will be able to see/believe with ease.

6353. Micah Herbert, Branson, MO, 65616
I deeply believe ISPs should be strictly regulated under Title II.

6354. David Horton, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
Please protect the FCCs open internet rules through net neutrality. This is very important to me. Internet should be classified as a utility, in my opinion, and throttling/fast lanes should not be a product or method of financial gain for ISPs. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

6355. Nora Hiatt, Springfield, MO, 65806
The internet needs to stay neutral and should not be subject to big companies deciding which content gets high or low speed access or access at all. The internet is a wonderful thing, don't mess it up. Keep net neutrality.

6356. Annie Horton, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
Please protect the FCCs open internet rules through net neutrality. This is very important to me. Internet should be classified as a utility, in my opinion, and throttling/fast lanes should not be a product or method of financial gain for ISPs. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

6357. Dwight Mullen, OFALLON, MO, 63366
Leave the 2015 rules, guarding net neutrality in place. It is crucial that we protect an open and free internet.As a lifelong voting conservative, of the Republican party, I strongly disagree with the recent move my party is taking to undo net neutrality.

6358. Lesley Pories, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Having an open internet in which the service you pay for does not affect your access to sites and apps is a cornerstone of today's world. Do not change Title II of the Communications Act.

6359. Joshua Mathews, St Robert, MO, 65401
The internet is a vast collection of information, and anyone across the US can have the same access to said information, regardless of race, class, age, gender, sexual orientation or any other protected status. By imposing limitations on internet access, ANY limitations regardless how small, you remove that equality and give certain individuals privilege over others. Don't allow individuals to dictate how information should be shared with others.

6360. Andrew Cochran, St. Louis, MO, 63118
To whom it may concern, I am writing to express my deepest concern about the rolling back of regulations for net neutrality. Freedom

of expression and the free exchange of ideas are essential to our democracy. Please support strong oversight for ISPs under Title II. Support net neutrality and keep our internet free for all to view it's content without it being impeded by large companies and ISPs. Thank You, Andrew Cochran

6361. Mary Herndon, Columbia, MO, 65203
I use the internet constantly in my work life and daily in my personal life, so I am a big supporter of net neutrality. I see nothing to be gained by hindering a free and open internet, except by a select few for money â€" this can only hurt the public. The ISPs in my area already have too much power without accountability â€" who will hold them accountable? With internet as a public need in this day and age, certainly the consumers cannot. As a constituent of this country, it is my right to be heard on this matter. Thank you for your consideration.

6362. Christopher L. Ford, Columbia, MO, 65202
The internet is one of the largest forms of freedom American's have. Now, we have to fight to keep that freedom so we are not chained to a specific portion of it. Don't ration freedom, or feed us with product placement. Let us continue our research, our socialization and that last diverse thing which differs per individual, but can be obtained in the vast virtual world that is the internet.

6363. Evan Hawkins, ofallon, MO, 63368
Keep the net neutral. The only thing the government should be doing is insuring that the internet is kept functioning and that the bandwidth is open to all.

6364. Jeffrey Johnson, Sikeston, MO, 63801
Title II regulation is necessary to keep I Internet service providers from performing actions that will financially benefit them but are very harmful to consumers, like instituting slow lane policies for websites that don't pay them for faster access, or for blocking legal websites they deem inappropriate for their users for corporate or financial reasons. The internet is the fantastic success and the indispensable tool it is today because it was created with open policies in mind and explicitly established. The sort of Gentlemen's Agreement clauses bigger ISPs are proposing instead of Title II will not be enough; they will go out the window the first time a major competitor breaks rank, and without Title II regulation there will be no legally-binding method to hold ISPs accountable or control their actions.

6365. Tyler, Union, MO, 63084
Every other country in the world is doing the exact opposite of what the US is doing with the internet. Capitalism shouldn't mean lobbyists control our government.

6366. Sarah Jones, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Please do not make any changes to the current rules of net neutrality. Access to pertinent global information should not be restricted by one's budget. Do not give the Internet companies the ability to slow down and blockade the Internet!

6367. Jennifer McNeese, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
The internet should be a free and open place.

6368. Brian Bone, Pineville, MO, 64856
When you live in rural areas, the internet is already very limiting. Restricting the internet is just going to set the country further behind than it already is. Much of today's technologies and economic lively hood revolves around the use of the internet. Regulating the internet will just cause Innovation in many areas to come to a stop. It's easy to say "yes this the best option" when money is being flashed in your face in that moment, while they whisper in your ear how this the best option for themselves, while us individuals and small businesses suffer and try to make ends meet. I own a small business, and without the internet i wouldn't be in business today. Hindering the internet might just be enough to force me out of business, just because "this is the best option" for whoever shows off the most money. Let's wake up and think about this.

6369. Daniel J. Mathia, Kansas City, MO, 64153
The Internet and the flow of information is a resource that everyone needs and it should free and protected. The Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

6370. Alex Lindemann, St. Louis, MO, 63125
Changing the net neutrality rules will be bad for small business and entrepreneurs. If the FCC is watching out for the average person, they will not change these rules.If the FCC is watching out for big businesses and does not care about the average person, then they will accept the changes to the rules.Please do the right thing and do not change these rules.

6371. John Connolly, Columbia, MO, 65201
ISPs must not have the power to block, throttle, or otherwise control the traffic of paying customers. It is important that internet access remain unmitigated in the interest of freedom of information.

6372. Christina Meador, Columbia, MO, 65202
I support net neutrality. I don't feel private, for-profit companies should have control over the sites and information to which I have access. I believe this type of censorship is amoral, and very dangerous to the idea of free speech. Without net neutrality, I am afraid of the types of brainwashing to which my, and future generations will be subjected.

6373. Andrew Arkills, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Please keep the internet free and open. Preserve Net Neutrality.

6374. Ethan Flaherty, Greenwood, MO, 64034
Net neutrality is extremely important to me and I support strong net neutrality rules. America is known for being a free country, and part of the allure of America is the ability for anyone to be successful. Repealing the current net neutrality laws will greatly impact small businesses and start up companies that rely on the internet for their business, since they could be at a disadvantage from the start. If the regulations are repealed, it will limit the competition for large corporations, allowing them to monopolize the market. Lack of competition also leads to a lack of innovation, which will eventually cause America to fall behind on an international level. There are many other reasons net neutrality regulations are important, but these are the main reasons it's important to me.

6375. Jauwan, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
I denounce the reversion of the met neutrality ruling as it would essentially put a solid hinderance on the progress of current and future generations as well as reduce the freedom of speech and exertion of belief that the American people for all in thr name of big business.

6376. Mandy Rogers, Columbia, MO, 65201
Net neutrality is a big deal, especially since we live in the United States. Allowing ISPs or other government offices to regulate the internet will only hurt citizen morale and cost everybody more money in the long run. Freedom of speech is used freely on the internet and the fact that the government could regulate this would be denying people their fundamental rights. Current providers of entertainment (various streaming services) or online shopping websites (Amazon) could form monopolies if not regulated correctly via slowing down people's access to competitor's services.

6377. Garett Foster, St Louis, MO, 63108
I strongly support Net Neutrality and oppose any legislation or deregulation that would weaken Net Neutrality.

6378. Tyler Trigg, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Keep Net Neutrality. People need to be able to learn and communicate freely online.

6379. Timothy M Bond, Cedar hill, MO, 63016
I strongly believe that in order for the internet to survive net neutrality must be preserved as it protects what the internet is supposed to be. Open and uncensored. I am also personally not a fan of ISP's being in control of my bandwidth and what I see. Please save the Internet.

6380. Nicholas Bishop, OFallon, MO, 63366
Do not ruin our country by destroying net neutrality.

6381. Adam Montague, Creve Coeur, MO, 63141
Where I am in St. Louis, we have 1 options for internet service that would match broadband definitions. One. That's it. We have no choice for who we use and if that one party is left, they will almost certainly do things in their company's best interest, but not ours. Why is this important? Because the internet is vital for our lives in this world. Whether it be to sell some of the crafts I've come up with or help my friends with their artwork, or find even the best deal on something to save some money, if the regulating body of the internet (should net neutrality be removed) decides that this is a commercial venture, then their control can stifle business, innovation, personal flourishing, etc. and our ability to care for ourselves and our families. This is not what this country is about, but exactly the opposite. Please don't let the public square be put up for sale.

6382. Kevin EarthSoul, Columbia, MO, 65203
I am an IT professional, and I consider it to be obscene that the Internet might become a class-based service. "The Information Super-highway" should NOT become a toll-road!

6383. John Marney, Kansas City, MO, 64131
This bill is an affront to liberty and this measure and similar measures are wrong. Keep the Internet free and open.

6384. Seth Brauers, Springfield, MO, 65803
Please do not abolish net neutrality. Net neutrality protects our rights as humans and allows many of us to make a living through the internet. Many of my friends have worked incredibly hard to create careers based around the internet as it currently is, and they could lose everything if these changes go through. An ISP's ability to throttle speeds is an effective way to remove competition from their own businesses. The small businesses that many have created cannot afford to pay the same fees to allow them to continue on as they do. If net neutrality were to go away many people, including many of those close to me, will lose all that they have worked hard to create. Even simply browsing the internet will become difficult if these ISPs are able to throttle the speeds of whichever website they please. And we as the consumers will have little say in what they do with what little choice we already have. I have lived in many different cities and states across the U.S. and have seen that in many places there only a couple of choices in an area for us to choice an ISP. If these ISPs are able to throttle our internet we cannot simply change to a new provider because of these lack thereof in our area. We will be at the mercy of our ISP. I do not want to see my friends and others that I know lose the small businesses that the have worked hard to

create, and I wish to continue to enjoy the internet as it is now. I don't want to be censored by my own ISP. I want to be able to have real choices when it comes to which websites I use and buy products or entertainment from. I don't want my favorite websites to be throttled, and then forced to use sites that are owned by my ISP. Abolishing net neutrality will only benefit large corporations that can afford to pay for their websites to have the highest speeds. Everyone else will suffer, and potentially lose all that they've worked to create.

6385. Robert Golterman, St Louis, MO, 63128
Hi, I felt compelled to reach out regarding net neutrality, because I think it's important to keep the internet an open, creative space. Just like we have anti-trust laws to keep the spirit of the American Dream alive, I think the internet should be similarly protected from the biased interest of large ISPs so that small businesses, creative individuals, and disruptive technologies aren't unfairly suppressed.

6386. Natasha Rohlfing, Saint Louis, MO, 63143
Please keep in place the strong net neutrality rules that give people the power to choose which websites and apps are best. I believe that individual web users should have the right to control their own online experiences. We need fast internet at a fair price.

6387. Joseph Link, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Keep internet nuetrality! No paid prioritization!

6388. Ben Bradley, Brentwood, MO, 63144
Keep it open for everyone!

6389. Michael Shelton, Columbia, MO, 65203
The current FCC rules and regulations concerning net neutrality should not be replaced with the proposed changes as they will effectively negate all fair treatment between entities, corporations, or companies by allowing those with the money to force their will upon the end users to have complete control. This would also violate the constitutional rights of each citizen in this country, by removing our freedom of choice and instead, giving that decision to only those who have the most money. This would also allow internet providers the ability to force their choices upon their customers without ever even having to inform them that a choice has been made. The people of this country do not need yet one more law, regulation, or rule allowing corporations or governments to make our choices for us. These companies, be they network providers or other corporations that use the net to sell their wares, or make money by providing a gateway to the net or other services integrated into the same forum are in existence for the end users, not the other way around. By removing the safeguards currently in place it would merely be another step towards reversing that status, and forcing a dependency upon the end users, and attempting to transform it into a type of addiction that would allow them (the corporations) to charge a fee for services that should be given freely to the citizens of this country, and this world.

6390. Jonathan Van Otterloo, Joplin, MO, 64804
Please don't fuck with net neutrality. Keep the Internet open. Also trying to scare people from commenting by saying all their information will be published online isn't cool. It really shows that you know public opinion is against this, and you're afraid of their responses.

6391. Jim, Rocheport, MO, 65279
To whomever in power who identifies as Republican...THIS IS THE LEAST REPUBLICAN THING YOU CAN DO. This violates free speech, potentially violates peoples right to assembly by limiting means of communication to organize, not to mention it hands over a ridiculous amount of power to a few individual organizations (completely contrary to the "states rights" so central to your party's original ethos). DO NOT LET THIS HAPPEN.

6392. Sean Brennan, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
I am in strong support of net neutrality and believe it is immoral to give corporations the power to control the content on the internet and information that people rightfully deserve. There are communist countries such as North Korea who entirely control the media. I do not want to see control of the media given to any person at all. Net neutrality is a morally correct and reflects the success and great things that capitalism has brought the country.

6393. Anna Albrecht, St louis, MO, 63135
I support net neutrality. Anything less is just greed and the internet is too important for too many people to let a few companies corrupt it in order to squeeze and extra profit out of people who rely on it.

6394. Cody dames, Saint Clair, MO, 63077
I believe the internet should not be censored or controlled by a government entity. We have the freedom to use the internet as we want.

6395. Jordan Wheeler, Hallsville, MO, 65255
Leave net neutrality the way that it is now. Free and open internet is a 21st right, not to be taken lightly. It is just as important as having access to reliable electricity in the modern age. Keep the playing field level. Don't pick winners.

6396. Stephen Kitzmiller, Hannibal, MO, 63401
The internet needs Net Neutrality. The internet is a wonderful source of growth for this country, be it through sharing of information to snuff out bad information that might be fed to us by the main stream media, through strengthening our economy by letting the little person a platform to sell their product or just allowing everyone the ability to find a legal source of entertainment. There is no need to silence this and allow people with agendas to control what we see. Enough is enough.

6397. Donald McPherson, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Please don't ruin the internet! Support net neutrality.

6398. Trey Fitzpatrick, Springfield, MO, 65804
We need to keep the internet an open and unbiased placed

6399. brandon benson, florissant, MO, 63033
keep the internet free like it was meant to be. what you are trying to do is wrong.

6400. Erica Hendrix, Jackson, MO, 63755
The internet is and has been my livelihood for many years. I'm a digital marketer at a software firm and my entire job is based around the internet. If our internet is down or if we have slow speeds, we're virtually helpless until the issue is resolved. Having sites blocked, sites slowed, or internet speeds slowed would greatly impact my ability to do my job well and every other person in my office. Not to mention, the eventual price gauging that will take place without net neutrality will negatively impact my salary and the outstanding benefits the company I work for offers. I was able to earn the last part of my degree online in order to get the wonderful job I'm at now. Without the internet giving me that ability, I may still be making low hourly wages and would be unable to support myself. I've grown up with the internet and it has brought many life changing opportunities my way, including my career, my education, and the ability to remain in contact with friends and family who live away. Without it, I would not be where I am today. When I purchase broadband internet access, I'm expecting fast speeds, fast download speeds, and a reliable internet source to all of the web. I am not expecting certain sites to be blocked or slowed due to my choice in internet providers. Not to mention, I live in a rural area where there is only one option in broadband internet. Many people in Missouri, Arkansas, Mississippi, Louisiana, Illinois, Tennessee, Kentucky, and so many more states have the same issue. Travel 5 miles north, south, east, or west of my townhouse and there is no broadband internet access available. It's not as if these people live in the middle of nowhere. They live less than five miles outside of a town that grows to 100,000 or more in population every day with a state university located inside the town, as well. I would estimate this would hurt well over a million people (and probably many more) just in the states I've mentioned above - good, well-educated people who choose not to live in a busy metropolitan area because they appreciate other things in life, like knowing your neighbors, and living life at a slower pace so they can enjoy things like nature, family, and friends. That does not mean they shouldn't be allowed access to reliable internet that doesn't hinder their speeds or access to the sites they wish to visit. The FCC should be allowed to protect consumers from price gauging, fraudulent billing, invasions of privacy, and give American citizens the right to control their internet experience. They should continue to protect consumers and competition, seeing as they are and have been the experts in this matter for many years now. Any other decision will greatly impact the American economy in a negative way. The internet has given so many jobs and opportunities to Americans and will continue to do so, as long as the net neutrality laws are kept in place.

6401. Jarrett Gilliam, Joplin, MO, 64804
Internet service providers shouldn't have the power to control the content I consume. I'm a strong supporter of net neutrality.

6402. Ryan Johns, St Louis, MO, 63146
We have enough corruption in our corporations. Keep our network highway free of creed and an open source of knowledge for all Americans. Please!

6403. Zachary Wileman, Rolla, MO, 65401
I believe net neutrality should stay in existence and not be taken away. Doing so seems like taking rights away from the people for no good reason. I believe people of any background, rich or poor, should not have to worry about websites being throttled and blocked for them. The internet is an amazing creation that came around not too long ago and I don't think these restrictions should be made on it. As a college student who is a Computer Science major, the internet has been an extremely beneficial place for me in regards to learning and furthering education along with many other things. Not only is it beneficial for me in this way, but I'm sure its beneficial for millions of other people in this way; please keep net neutrality.

6404. Xander E, Kansas City, MO, 64152
Save Kekistan

6405. Neil Anderson, Brentwood, MO, 63144
MAINTAIN CURRENT NET NEUTRALITY. This is absolute madness to want to allow cable companies the choice to control speeds. When you allow companies to control the flow of the internet, you control free speech.

6406. David Sajewich, Wildwood, MO, 63011
Keep Net Neutrality rules in place. Any change will result in a loss of the online free market and competition. If those are things you truly believe in, then you will support the net neutrality rules and not allow ISPs to pick and choose winners or losers or charge extra to access their customers. Do not follow through abolishing Net Neutrality rules!

6407. Patrick Berg, Doe Run, MO, 63637
I support an open and competitive internet, I support Net Neutrality and believe that all ISPs, including home and mobile connections, must provide equal and open-access to the entire internet. No paid prioritization, no throttling, and no blocking!

6408. Angela Hughes, Pleasant Valley, MO, 64068
It is unacceptable that prioritization of data speed by payment might keep smaller businesses and independent or amateur blogs and sites from reaching equal exposure due to slower speeds. This is literally a method of killing off small internet business.

6409. David Bonin, Springfield, MO, 65804
The American people deserve a free and fair internet. ISPs are merging constantly leaving millions of consumers with only one or two choices and those companies can not be trusted to do anything except what is best for them once they have no competition. It's absolutely crazy to remove Title II status. The proposed rollback to consumer protections is an obvious gift from Chairman Pai to his former employers. Truly despicable.

6410. Ryan Kabaj, Platte City, MO, 64079
The goal and vast hope of the internet is about the collective transfer of information across the world, and the continued growth of humanity. While the internet is often used for cat photos, memes, or other 'non-vital' efforts, and has even had some negative impact regarding the spread of false information, the important thing is that it continues to be neutral. To have the net no longer be neutral

would severely stifle creativity and cause a vast amount of issues in the current landscape of the world. Having a company decide that my website I create is less important than someone who pays them more, that I don't "need" access to youtube because they have their own streaming service, that the news site I browse is not worth sending me to because of opposing views, would go against what makes the internet great.A lot of the internet is bad, but the spread of information such as news, cultures, and ideas is worth it being available and not in the hands of some company who wants to only make a profit.I support Net Neutrality.

6411. Aaron Klor, Republic, MO, 65738
We need more options, not less. Allowing ISPs this kind of freedom will actually stifle their investment in infrastructure by encouraging their investment in competition blocking technology rather than forcing them to be better. In my neighborhood, there's only one (ONE!) option for reliable high-speed internet. There's no competition as it is, and if my current ISP is allowed these "freedoms" my choices will decrease, not increase.Make the laws that block competition illegal go away. In my neighborhood, it is illegal (according to my reading of state laws) for a new ISP to install infrastructure since the cable company and ATT have called dibs. The cable company can't figure out how to run reliable infrastructure (every couple of months there are unplanned, complete outages for days at a time) and while ATT is reliable, they play games with bandwidth, pricing, and service. Encourage new ISPs to come into existence. Do not prop up the failing business model of the ancient telecomm industry.

6412. Andrew J Elli, Columbia, MO, 65202
Please keep the internet free and open. We cannot take the chance of ISPs using the removal of title II as a way to prioritize internet traffic or impose unfair restrictions on their customers only to be removed if they can afford to pay extra money.

6413. Andrew Linsenbardt, Marshall, MO, 65340
I support a free and open internet. American citizens want and deserve the internet to be treated as a utility, not a corporate good. If it were up to me, I'd want the service lines to be nationalized so that corporate groups could then sell services on those lines, then opening up the internet to all kinds of new service providers, thus increasing competition. Seeing as that's an unlikely course of action, at least keep the internet regulated under Title II. That's the very least that should be done to protect the internet.

6414. Tammy Godfirnon, Saint Joseph, MO, 64501
Keep the internet neutrality. Keep it same

6415. Brandon Norris, Lee's Summit, MO, 64086
Net Neutrality is necessary to prevent monopolization of the Internet by Internet Service Providers (ISPs). This act would allow these ISPs to block users from content due to either monetary or censorship purposes, which would only be good for these companies. This would put the United States behind the world in global competition and would allow companies to censor information that they or the highest bidder determined to be bad. Overall this bill is horrible and only seeks to make ISPs more money and give them the potential to ruin this country. Thank you for your time.

6416. Sarah, St. Louis, MO, 63139
I support Net Neutrality and protecting the internet under Title II. Do the right thing!

6417. Ryan Younker, Kansas City, MO, 64153
We want to keep the Internet free and neutral.

6418. Jace Hickman, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
The FCC's plan to remove net neutrality is a terrible idea. It will allow Internet Service Providers or ISP effectively block certain websites by slowing down the service provided. ISP could use this to make money by requiring fees from sites so they can get optimal service. This could have drastic effects on small businesses because most use websites to get the word out for their goods and services. In today's world we are all reliant on the internet and a world without net neutrality could affect thousands of people.

6419. Christian Luye, Naylor, MO, 63953
The internet is the very valuable and fragile connection among all humans on this planet that have access to it. Limiting this at all is an infringement on basic rights that we hold dear. Self expression, communication, charities, creation of communities, etc. are all results of an open and free internet space. With the internet becoming more and more important in today's society, limiting net neutrality will do nothing less than stunt the progress of modern humanity and enrage hundreds of millions of users worldwide. Make the right decision, for all our sake.

6420. Sam Cox, Ballwin, MO, 63011
I cannot believe that there is a reason where having a internet that is not free is a god thing. It is ridiculous that the American government, the government of freedom, would want to remove such an important policy as net neutrality.

6421. George Klemp, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
The internet needs to be open and free of paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling.

6422. Samuel Henry Wilson, Nixa, MO, 65714
A rollback of Net Neutrality would be the death of small websites and could even stifle freedom of speech. It is clear. We do not want a rollback. We shouldn't have to keep doing this.

6423. Andrew Proctor, St Joseph, MO, 64505
Based on America's primary economic goal of capitalism and the people's right to choose, I believe that all indexed websites should be available to the people with no interface from ISPs or third parties. In my opinion, an ISP is only there to provide a stuctured backbone through the internet and maintain IANA's ip addressing scheme. The people should not have to choose between great internet service or internet freedom. By that I mean that all ISPs are not available in all areas. Where I live there are only three ISPs that will connect me to the internet and they have speeds of 1 down and 1 up or 100 down and 50 up. I should not have to choose an ISP based on what content

they allow. That choice belongs to the consumer. Also I should not have to purchase some sort of VPN in order to watch Netflix because the ISP is throttling my connection. Overall, there are great differences between ISPs already and a corporation should not have an influence over what knowledge is availiable to the general public.

6424. Sandi Vukovic, Saint Louis, MO, 63141
Net neutrality is important to maintain the growth of new and emerging technologies. One such technology, virtual reality (VR), survives on a fundamental level from the continued equal internet access of consumers.The goal and purpose of VR is to transport users to computer-simulated worlds, much in the same way architects design buildings and living spaces for the continued use and enjoyment of people.The ability to quickly transfer large files is essential to VR's continued success. Independent developers, currently the main proponents in VR software development, depend on the consistent and available internet access of their customers. Large files, such as 360-degree videos and photogrammetric environments, are common tools of VR developers that promote equality, mutual understanding, and the education of Americaâ€™s youth. Without the protections that net neutrality affords, independent developers wonâ€™t be able to take on the risks and low adoption rates of a nascent platform.The most significant benefits of VR come not simply from downloading a file and utilizing it later. Rather, similar to how video-calling allows loved ones to connect through continuous use of high-speed internet, VR allows family members in different states to talk, laugh, play board games, and hug as if they were in the same room together, as long as internet speeds accommodate.I connect with long distant friends in virtual reality all the time and being in the presence of a distant friend is special to me. Virtual reality will be less of a reality without net neutrality.Thank you for being open to comments in this decisive time.

6425. Joel Pruetting, Kansas City, MO, 64155
Keep net neutrality! Companies should not have a say in how we browse the internet. End corporate greed!

6426. Steven S, Kansas City, MO, 64153
The open, neutral internet is vital to our culture. Please prioritize people over corporations.

6427. Cory Campbell, Springfield, MO, 65809
I use the internet for work, to stay connect with my friends and family. I do a lot of research over the internet and if the interent is slowed, or regulated I could not do that work. Help me get my work done and stay connect with my family. The interent is essential to how we ALL live, work and interact. Do not put those freedoms in jeporady.

6428. Brian Kennedy, Florissant, MO, 63033
Please allow all consumers and private parties trying to use the open internet to be given the same, fair opportunity as business and organizations. The internet as it is today is the largest global democracy, and placing restrictions on broadband connectivity, de-prioritizing specific addresses is a great way to disrupt this democratic internet.Please do not do this.

6429. Samuel McCay, Barnhart, MO, 63010
Repealing Net Neutrality would be a serious blow to citizens everywhere even remotely interested in free and equal access to the most revolutionary technology of the century. These rules must be protected.

6430. Tanner Lantz, Springfield, MO, 65807
In the last year I have finally made something of myself after starting an online business. That business and my livelihood is now being threatened. I strongly support the existing Title 2 net neutrality rules. I believe if net neutrality is dead, then so is democracy. Listen to the American people and save our internet!

6431. Dillon Helms, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Keep Net Neutrality. The system isn't broken, no need to mess with it.Killing Net Neutrality could also lead to abuse in regards to certain types of information being suppressed. This would be very bad.

6432. Skyler Owens, Springfield, MO, 65807
I thought republicans believed in small government, but this seems like a pretty big government overstep to me. If any of these people would like to get elected again, they would reconsider. Protect Net Neutrality, or we will be no better than China, Russia, North Korea, etc... As far as our privacy goes.

6433. Trina, Kansas City, MO, 66204
If we lose net neutrality, the Internet will never be the same. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

6434. KC Wright, Kansas City, MO, 64156
Quit trying to sensor everything. You already make the radio boring. Stay away from the internet, then I might have to go outside or something. But for real - no. Stop. Quit trying. It's ridiculous that I even have to take time out of my day to send you a note telling you to stop. Leave the internet alone - it works well how it is.

6435. Rob Davies, Kansas City, MO, 64151
The internet is crucial to the work I do, and access/bandwidth should be equal for all traffic. Starting a new business would be difficult if I had to pay to allow customers to access my site at full speed. The FCC should ensure that consumers are protected from, among other things, invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers.

6436. Anna Simon, Kansas City, MO, 64146
I Support a Free and Unregulated Flow of Information. Strong Net Neutrality is important to me because any limits, or prioritization is the first step in the direction of censorship and biased content. A company, government, service provider, ANY "someone else" controlling what the American Public sees and therefore is informed about is Dangerous.

6437. Rory Deol, St Louis, MO, 63110

As a physician and recent medical school graduate, I can state from my experience, that the internet stands among the most impressive forms of education humanity has ever created. Not since Guttenburg's printing press has the rate of knowledge and transmission of ideas seen such an accelerated evolution. To limit the utility of this tool, is to limit the future of human exploration.

6438. Mark Maxfield, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
This bill is the opposite of what the title suggests. It will severely limit the freedoms we have on the internet today and is a textbook example of corporate greed.

6439. Kevin Neiheisel, Holts Summit, MO, 65043
The internet needs this

6440. Raymond Morrison, Maryville, MO, 64468
Taking away net neutrality is taking away a consumers right to choose. The founding principle of capitalism. This also affects those individuals, such as myself, that work at home and rely on fast internet speeds to be able to work. This is an unnecessary change, and allowing net neutrality to be removed is detrimental to Americans as a whole. Don't do this. Anyone who votes for this change, will never receive a vote from me or my family again, pass or fail.

6441. Alija H, St. Louis, MO, 63125
Please do not remove the protections placed in 2015. While I'm a big believer in free enterprise, over the years I have seen and experienced that companies main goal is to increase revenue. Without protections from "preferential treatment" we are bound to see websites slowed down simply for not paying for better access.Protect the American Consumer, don't allow companies to treat traffic differently, leave the rules in place to stop such behavior before it starts.

6442. George Louis DuMontier, Farmington, MO, 63640
I believe net neutrality is important, because even though some websites use more than their fair share of bandwidth. Letting ISPs give preference to some websites over others, will ruin the integrity of the world wide web. It will give too much power to the ISPs, allowing them to pick and choose what websites can be successful. It will give an overpowering competitive edge to whichever companies play nice with ISPs. Or more likely, ISPs, will create their own competing product that can't fail.

6443. Grant A Bartlow, Columbia, MO, 65203
I am writing to support the title II regulations that uphold net neutrality. We need net neutrality to maintain a fair and open internet marketplace for goods and ideas. We need it to maintain our economy and or democracy. Please protect net neutrality.

6444. Eric Oswald, St.Louis, MO, 63109
Hello, I believe we should keep net neutrality. It keeps everyone on the internet or who uses it for business on equal footing. It is a great way for people who want to start a business on the internet be able to without being shunted down the list or thrown into obscurity because to a company like comcast or At&t it's beneficial to do so. Lets be frank here, a company will fuck over everyone else if it is good for the company. This isn't something that is inherently bad when running a business as there are times when it is necessary. However, many companies have a habit of taking this too far, and are completely un-trustworthy to be the one dictating the internet. Please, for the love of god, do not let net neutrality be removed.

6445. John Pirkey, Saint Louis, MO, 63111
The internet should not be treated as some sort of resource to be bought and controlled. It should remain free and open to everyone, like the idea of America.

6446. Tim Scheman, St. Louis, MO, 63118
The Internet should be open and free so that all entities (individuals, businesses, and organizations) have the same level playing field to provide information to the world. No one (big box stores, large corporations, etc...) should get preferential treatment because they have the money pay the ISP (Internet Service Provider) to filter the data that is delivered.Plain and simple the ISP should not be allowed to filter the data they deliver.

6447. Matt Basham, Springfield, MO, 65804
I support Net Neutrality because the internet needs to remain free and open as it exists today. I'm shocked that Republicans are pushing for something that will discourage competition. Maintaining a competitive environment for businesses has always been important to the conservative ideology, please remain true to those roots. Don't allow the ISP's to get what they want. They are attempting to buy you off and manipulate you for their own financial gain. Please listen to the majority of citizens of this country. We want to keep Net Neutrality rules in place.

6448. Jared, St. Louis, MO, 63146
Net neutrality is very important. It allows for a more competitive market on the World Wide Web, and allows for equal access to any site. Thank you for your time.

6449. Chad Smith, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
Hello,My name is Chad Smith, and I'm a citizen of this great country of ours, born and raised, and I have voted in every major and most minor election since i turned 18. I am also a consumer and creator of media both on and off the internet.Chat rooms, message boards, forums, blogs, vlogs, live streams, both professionally and privately - I have been involved in all of it. From social media involving just my close friends and family - to huge international events with hundreds of millions of viewers - I have participated in all of it through the Internet.For years, my sole source of income was completely dependent upon my ability to connect to and interact with the internet. To this day, it is vital to my professional life. I have benefited greatly from, and have become reliant upon, a free and open internet - unfettered by companies creating artificial barriers to what I can and cannot do online - or creating tiers that would limit or discourage the people who come to the websites I help create and maintain from getting their content from us.The Internet has historically been considered a "common carrier" - just as telephones are. It has been this way for decades. Some of the largest corporations in the world -

Google, Facebook, Amazon, etc. - have been created and grown because of this condition. Thousands upon thousands of very high paying jobs have been created because of this condition. And millions of citizens' lives have been enhanced because of it. Being able to see your child being born even when you are halfway across the globe defending American freedom - hearing the words "I love you" from a long lost relative or friend - reading a funny story from your grandparents who finally learned how to do this "Email thing"..... All thanks to the understood belief and shared confidence that no company can stand between you and the message you are trying to see, hear, read, or convey.And make no mistake - when we pay for an internet connection, when we purchase access to the world wide web - we are doing so with the understanding that we are gaining access to all of it.... equally. Whether it is a personal note from a friend or a live broadcast from the President of the United States of America or the latest season of Fuller House on Netflix - all of it is expected to be available at the fastest and most reliable speed possible within our contract. No fast lanes and slow lanes - no priority given to the ISP's favorite content providers - just open, unfettered access to the entire "Information Superhighway" that boasts no speed limits or closed lanes.If this fundamental understanding of what the Internet is - how it works - and how we pay to gain access to it - is changed - it will drastically transform the way millions of people live their daily lives. It will cost Americans their jobs. It will limit Americans' access to information, news, and education. It will interrupt communication on a grand scale.It could restrict Americans' access to (what is now) freely available medical information on sites like WebMD - potentially resulting in lower quality of health or even death to American citizens. That's an attack on our right to Life.It could limit Americans' access to legal council or even potential citizens' access to information about how to become an American. That is an attack on our Liberty.It could slow or cut off Americans' access to lighthearted comedy on streaming video services, video chats with loved ones, music that helps them get through the day, or hundreds of cat videos. That's an attack on our "Pursuit of Happiness".Please, defend the common carrier doctrine. The vast majority of Americans - myself included - have little to no choice in who will be our ISP. For most Americans - Internet Service Providers are a functional monopoly, with only one or two broadband ISPs servicing our homes, our businesses, our places of worship. If ISPs are allowed to control what content they provide - or what content they throttle - or what content they "speed up".... we will have no choice but to let them and to have our access to content limited and controlled by them. There is no competition to go to.For decades, ISPs have profited from an open and liberated internet. Only recently have they gotten to the point that they believe they can profit even more by throttling it. They have built their oligopolies from the profits of the open internet - and now they feel they can close it down and lock it up to squeeze more money from us.Please, good people of the FCC - I implore you to not allow ISPs to dictate, limit, or throttle content on the internet. I plead with you to defend the citizens of this great country of ours from those corporations who would invade our privacy, spy on our private conversations, cut us off from our jobs, our friends, our families - limit how quickly - how completely - how reliably we can get access to the content to which WE ARE PAYING THEM to allow us access.... Please, do not let us down.Please, do not let them win.Please stand up for America - for Americans.For we, the People of the United States.Fight for our freedom. Fight for our Liberty. Fight for our pursuits of Happiness.Allow us the opportunity to pass down a free and open and equal internet to our children and grandchildren. The choices you make now will effect the face of the Internet for generations to come.Thank you for your time and attention, and for all the hard work and dedication you have given in your service to our great country.May God bless you, and may God bless the United States of America.Sincerely,-Chad W. Smith

6450. Ryan McKim, Poplar Bluff, MO, 63901
Wanting to repeal Net Neutrality is terrible. Not only have you been using downright dirty tactics and straight up propaganda to further your agenda, the act of even removing Net Neutrality is un-American, and could even lead to unconstitutional actions on behalf of the leading ISPS. I hope you'll all consider what you're doing. Don't 'restore internet freedom.' And if you have no idea what you're doing, here's a helpful link! https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=K88BU3kjZ-c&feature=youtu.beThanks,-Ryan McKim

6451. Matthew Davenport, Saint Louis, MO, 63128
AjitPai's claims that keeping isps under title II will impede infrastructure investing is misleading. multiple isps have told their investors, With whom they are obligated to tell the truth, that the rules will not affect their spending. Also, its a little ironic that he opposes title II classification because he is the one who knows the most of why their under title II being a former Verizon lawyer. Verizon sued the fcc when it tried to keep verizon to net neutrality under title I. When the fcc lost, courts said they are more enforcible under title II. The isps, particularity Verizon, have nothing to complain about, considering they were the ones who forced the title II classification of their services.

6452. net nuetrality, saint joseph, MO, 64505
Why is this even an issue. As long as no laws are being broken, why is it important to allow an ISP to block or slow down sites they don't like. I am paying them to be able to access these sites at a fast and reliable pace. Let us imagine a scenario: you go to mcdonald's for lunch. You go in expecting fast service, as well as your order being correct (reliability). This is the same situation. What i choose to do with my internet, assuming no laws are being broken, should be up to me. Not the guy I am giving hundreds of dollars to a month.

6453. Dan Temperly, Saint Charles, MO, 63304
All traffic across the internet should be treated the same and no company should be given priority access.

6454. Ian Carrico, LIberty, MO, 64068
So it does not matter to me what companies say they will do if net neutrality gets removed. Because fact of the matter is they will be able to make more money by limiting and throttling certain websites. As a gamer and someone who uses the internet for work as well as school, this concerns me. I refuse to pay more just to get the content I want. The internet has always been a free and open space and it will remain so.

6455. Jacob Schnurbusch, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
Please preserve Net Neutrality. Keep Title II in place. I don't understand how anyone in good conscious could repeal this, knowing this only helps a select few telecom monopolies. Please, Ajit Pai, for once in your life, do something that betters the American People and not your wallet...

6456. Whitney Villmer, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
The open internet is important to me because I care about equality. My best friend is an independent artist and I believe in his ability to compete with larger organizations. I don't think anyone should be able to buy someone's attention or access simply because they have

the means to do so. How will smaller companies grow or even survive? This is just one of many reasons why net neutrality is essential.

6457. Kevin Bouckaert, O'fallon, MO, 63368
I support strong net neutrality, with Title II oversight of ISPs.

6458. Cullen McDermott, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Removing net neutrality rules will hurt rural areas and areas that only have access to one ISP(hurt them more than they are already hurting). Data caps will hurt innovation and make it harder for people to access things they need online.

6459. Erin Mahanay, Florissant, MO, 63031
Putting everything behind a paywall will not generate more revenue. It wont stop people from wanting to use the internet. ISP's say they support Net Neutrality but in reality, it will put them out of business.

6460. Damon Bassett, Ozark, MO, 65721
Keep Net Neutrality! Please leave the protections for Net Neutrality in place. They are vital to the free and fair exchange of information on the internet.

6461. M. Zandstra, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
I want to keep net neutrality. The web should be open for everyone. Anything else weakens America.

6462. Romy, St. Louis, MO, 63119
my family is currently trying to start a small business and social media and our website play a huge role in the growth of it. We don't have a lot of money, and we never have, and making the internet cost more and go slower and letting monopolies basically censor the internet would be the end of our hard work.

6463. Elizabeth Wait, Kansas City, MO, 64151
The internet is public domain. It is full of innovators, artists, students, journalists, entertainers, and scientists. There is no reason to roll back the current net neutrality rules. It is for the betterment of everyone to keep the internet stay as it is. Please think about what you are doing and who you are affecting.

6464. Jeffrey Cady, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Access to information should not be different for those who can pay more and those who cannot.

6465. Robert Derickson, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
Do not repeal Net Neutrality protections! To do so would mean that information from the wealthiest sources would have the greatest advantage in influencing thought. I work for a federally regulated natural gas pipeline. We are common carriers who must offer the same services to all shippers on our system based on our actual cost of service. A shipper with the ability to pay more than our cost of service based rates cannot gain service advantage over other shippers who are paying our full rates for service. This ensures that all parties, regardless of wealth and power can participate on a level playing field. If we moved gas supply much slower for shippers not able/willing to pay premiums, they would eventually lose market share because they were placed at a competitive disadvantage. Similarly, if wealthy website operators can gain advantage of data speed, they will eventually be able to snuff out competing websites for the information we can access. It would be discriminatory and unduly burdensome for website operators without the means to pay the ISP's ransom.

6466. Alexander Bogart, Springfield, MO, 65807
Keeping net neutrality guarantees a free market and allows small companies to become the super giants like google, facebook, and twitter

6467. Melissa Jensen, Kansas City, MO, 64105
The internet has always been a free market and it should stay that way. The internet is not a privilege for the wealthy but a vital utility in our generation which all should have access to. By ending net neutrality we are opening the door for ISPs to charge companies insane amounts to fast track their traffic. Not only with this increase in cost ultimately trickle down to the consumer, but it will choke the life out of any companies trying to get off the ground. You are opening the door for ISPs to monopolize the internet and it's unacceptable. Leave our internet alone!

6468. Dustin Derryberry, Rogersville, MO, 65742
The Internet is something that should not be controlled, it is something new and unbridled, and allowing companies to control it is idiotic at best. Keep the internet free.

6469. Francisco Ruiz, Nixa, MO, 65714
Net neutrality is not wanted by the majority of the American people. Please do not kill this vital part of American infrastructure.

6470. David Hieber, Carthage, MO, 64836
Net neutrality is very important! I do not wish to have any provider I use for the internet to have more control over my content than I do. I PAY these companies for a service, and the service should be delivered as I wish, not as they wish!

6471. Keaton Teal, Webb City, MO, 64870
Don't let the ISPs gain anymore control!

6472. Tyler Walters, Springfield, MO, 65802
Please protect the freedom of the internet. Allowing ISPs to charge extra fees for visiting "special" sites or giving them the power to censor the content that we see is a very scary thing. Many huge tech companies that have spawned from mere startups would not have

been able to grow and contribute back to the world with these sorts of restrictions in place. Netflix has done far more than just bring movies to millions of people. They have made extraordinary leaps in software development that have helped online businesses everywhere. Tech companies have been able to prosper and create tons of jobs.I urge to help protect our free and open internet.Thank You

6473. Gavin Turner, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
Dear Senators and Representatives:Please support any amendment that would strike out Sections 628, 629 and 630 from the Government Appropriations. These sections would undermine the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and prevent the agency from enforcing these critical protections. It is unacceptable to use a budget bill to circumvent the FCC's open rulemaking process that millions of citizens participated in.The American people have called for strong net neutrality rules. Congress should let the FCC do its job, and not engage in unproductive partisan brinksmanship.Thank you,Gavin Turner

6474. Greg Clootz, kansas city, MO, 64111
everyone deserves the internet

6475. Kenneth Albin, Pleasant Hill, MO, 64080
I strongly support keeping Net Neutrality. Many of the things I do on my phone and computer would be slowed down by taking away Net Neutrality, along with my favorite websites being hurt by its removal.

6476. Landon Bland, Florissant, MO, 63031
I strongly disagree with the "Restoring Internet Freedom" act, AKA the death of Title II. Internet service providers have shown repeatedly that they do not have their customers' best interests at heart, and the barrier to entry of the market prevents the competition necessary to prevent abuse. I would typically be against government interference into the free market, but in this case (as the backbones of the internet are owned and maintained by companies instead of by the people / government as a public resource, which would have allowed proper competition) I feel that regulation is unfortunately necessary.

6477. Andrew Hunnn, Rushville, MO, 64484
When purchasing broadband internet, I understood that I had access to the "World Wide" web. I could get on sites that others disliked or enjoyed. It was freedom from constraints of other forms of media. Net Neutrality should give everyone a feeling of freedom and the opportunity to expand their ideas without a filter, especially from ISPs.

6478. Ray Smith, Richmond, MO, 64085
The internet is too powerful and necessary to modern life to NOT keep neutral. There are enough ways for companies to profit online without crippling the integrity and future of internet access.

6479. Joanna Davis, Raytown, MO, 64133
Freedom of information and access is crucial. Keep the net neutral.

6480. raymond weiner, Springfield, MO, 65810
Maintaining Net Neutrality is an important part of internet commerce for our economy and simultaneously should be considered a basic rite of US citizens. A rare convergence of business and human needs. PLEASE maintain Net Neutrality!

6481. Izzy Littlebear, Kidder, MO, 64649
I disagree with eliminating Net Neutrality! Those of us who can barely afford what we have, NOW, will have no rights if Net Neutrality is eliminated!I have school age children who use the internet for homework research. At certain times of the day Centurylink, already, slows the connection so that homework research takes 10 times longer-even when directly connected to LAN. Does the government REALLY WANT to stigmatize poor families and allow only the wealthy parents' children to advance in society!

6482. PATRICK CHAMBERS, RAYTOWN, MO, 64138
THE PROPOSED CHANGES TO EXISTING INTERNET NEUTRALITY RULES BENEFIT ONLY CORPORATE INTERESTS AND SERVE TO BOTH INCREASE COSTS TO THE END USER WHILE RESTRICTING THE FUNCTIONALITY BASED ON CORPORATE INTERESTS. HOW ABOUT WE THINK OF THE GENERAL PUBLIC FIRST IN THIS CASE AND NOT BREAK SOMETHING THAT WORKS GREAT AS IT IS?

6483. Connor Bowden, Springfield, MO, 65806
Do not let corporations drown out what will be undeniably more important to your future - the citizens of the nation. Removing Net Neutrality is not advantageous to the free market, and will ultimately hurt those who cannot afford to pay for the best internet.

6484. Ian Reed, St. Louis, MO, 63110
The whole point of the internet is the ability to access all the information, content, and culture in the world quickly and without limitation. Net neutrality is such an important thing because it means businesses cannot involve themselves in this process. It leaves the playing field open for anyone to create wonderful things and for anyone else to access them. If these protections are removed and weakened, it will mean the death of much great art. It will mean that corporations will decide what is worthy of our collective attention. It will mean a staler and smaller world. Please preserve net neutrality.

6485. Rick L. Andrews, Belton, MO, 64012
We strongly oppose any attempts to limit free and open access to the Internet. Allowing the big players to just funnel money into their endeavors will only serve to reduce every day users and smaller merchants to the back roads and side streets of the internet highway. And just think what will happen WHEN, not if, unfriendly participants secure the highest speed options and then shut them down. Don't buy into the big money arguments that limiting them will hamper innovation and result in higher costs for less service. That's hogwash, to be polite. This is a threat of highest importance to not only our national economy, but to national security as well. Thank you for your efforts.

6486. Jo Pfeffer, St. Louis, MO, 63119
Current rules ensure that ISPs like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon donâ€™t discriminate in favor or against certain Internet content and services. Comcast, Verizon, and AT&T want to end net neutrality so they can charge extra fees to control what we see and do online. Internet providers like Comcast, AT&T, & Verizon should NOT control what we see and do online. In 2015, startups, Internet freedom groups, and 3.7 million commenters won strong net neutrality rules from the US Federal Communication Commission. The rules prohibit Internet providers from blocking, throttling, and paid prioritizationâ€""fast lanes" for sites that pay, and slow lanes for everyone else. These rules NEED to stay in place, to protect everyone using the Internet. We want our Internet to work as advertised, and keep the political interference out of the Internet. I pay for my Internet service to stay in touch with my large extended family. I pay to have my 100 megs of service every month, and I do NOT want Charter or any other ISP telling me or anyone else what we can do while online. If I want to stream Netflix, so be it; it's NOT my ISP's business to interfere with what I want to do online -- or to bill me extra for it! We need to keep and maintain the strongest possible net neutrality rules; an open Internet is a lifeline to everyone connected to it.

6487. Kyle Freeman, kansas city, MO, 64155
pls dont be like this

6488. Michael E. Green, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Net neutrality is right and fair. It protects the consumers as well as companies from being censored.

6489. Jason Tratchel, Columbia, MO, 65203
The internet needs to remain neutral and corporations should not profit from prioritization, blocking of websites that they disagree with, or harm the ability of small businesses to compete against large.

6490. Matt Shull, Kearney, MO, 64060
We have let large companies control enough of our lives. This is simply a money grab by the ISP's. There is NO benefit to the consumer (which you are meant to protect) by allowing Net Neutrality to be squashed. Many people rely on small businesses they run on the side to keep their homes. If this passes, these people would be losing revenue that goes straight to the dying middle class.

6491. Joshua Harvey, Independence, MO, 64052
Greetings, As a whole, net neutrality is something that is essential to anyone who would seek to do anything from browsing their favorite websites or like myself and countless others, play and enjoy online activities as a group. Be it video games or simply talking to one other through text or VOIP. Taking something away that would allow any ISP to do whatever they like to the internet. Potentially irrevocably damaging the Internet as we know it currently. Allowing net neutrality to be removed will almost surely see the greedy corporations take the freedom we enjoy in our daily lives away unless we pay what might as well be a ransom for a service that should be widely available to anyone without question. Many people barely have access to more than one ISP as it stands. Those companies know this and they will exploit it to gain more profit while offering absolutely nothing in return. You could make the argument of just choosing a different ISP, but that is only an option for those who live in larger cities. I personally have two options where I live. Comcast already ups monthly rates every few months when you aren't locked into a 1-2 year plan with a fixed rate. AT&T is likely much the same, though I could not be 100% certain as I have not used their service myself. Yes there are many who use the Internet for a variety of terrible purposes, but is that a reason to subject millions of others to the ever-demanding will of any given ISP? I think not.

6492. Susan Ring, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
I don't want the telecoms to control my access to information, whether it's by direct blocking or by surcharges. The telecom industry has never shown interest in well serving the consumer or the American public. I don't trust them to keep the internet equally accessible to all.Please keep rules in place to ensure net neutrality.

6493. Byron Combs, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
I'm writing today in support of open internet rules (net neutrality). The ability to let internet service providers determine what we can and can't view online is a huge detriment to anyone using the service, from the home user to other business large and small, and it certainly does nothing to increase competition between providers.Many internet providers (if not most of them) are also content providers. Additionally, they have an effective monopoly over most of the homes they serve. Personally, I have two options for broadband internet access (which is one more than most). Both of them are also big content providers. It is in the best interest of their bottom line to make it more expensive for consumers to access ANY content other than that which they provide, and I have no assurance that they won't make it price-prohibitive to access that content. The actions of, and statements by, service providers in the past indicate that they would not hesitate to take full advantage of any erosion to the current rules.The usual argument, which is perfectly valid, is that this will hurt the likes of Netflix, or any other popular entertainment provider. My fear is that it will go farther still, and affect my ability to do my job. Currently, I can work remotely without any concern as long as I have an internet connection. But what's to stop a service provider from limiting even my ability to do that, which adversely affects both myself and my employer.The rabbit hole for abuse is too deep to bullet point every possibility, but it should be clear from even a cursory glance that throwing out net neutrality rules does nothing but put money into the pockets of (near-)monopolistic companies at the expense of consumers. And to try and talk around that reveals either a shocking ignorance of the market or an intentional blindness to appease political and corporate interests. Internet access should be a utility little different than water or power. I want it piped into my home, and how I use it should be up to me. I can plug anything I want into my power outlets without the power company having a say, and I should be able to use the internet however I want without my internet service provider having a say.Thank you.

6494. Josh Davis, Kansas City, MO, 64108
I'm a small business owner in Kansas City, and we provide marketing services. Our primary source of business comes from online search and traffic to our website. Likewise, our primary service is digital marketing, helping other businesses to be found and marketed online. It's safe to say we have a qualified understanding of net neutrality, and the impacts the loss of it would have on our business, as well as the many businesses we help every year. It would be devastating. For many years and for many businesses the web has become the new Main Streetâ€“it's the way to be found and do business. Introducing the ability for service providers to control and influence that economy will over time eliminate the ability of small businesses to exist or ever be introduced in the first place. Please keep net

neutrality and let small businesses have a fair shot. We need it.

6495. Caleb Meyer, Lee's Summit, MO, 64086
I use the internet for my job as a software engineer. I also use it for my personal life, keeping up with others and watching videos on youtube.Net neutrality is important to me because I want free (unfettered) access to the entire internet, not a curated subsection that Comcast or AT&T or Verizon thinks is appropriate. If they are allowed to throttle websites they don't like (e.g. ones that can't afford to bribe them), I as the consumer suffer.Please keep net neutrality laws in place, and contribute to a free and open internet where everyone can be empowered.

6496. Kolton Stimpert, Kansas City, MO, 64108
Net neutrality is important to all internet users. The free and open sharing of ideas is an essential feature of this network. The low barrier to entry allows for innovation to happen at places outside of large corporations.

6497. Jerome Pion, Clayton, MO, 63105
Please continue to support the free and fair competition on the Internet that net neutrality regulations protect.

6498. Aaron D. Moss, Columbia, MO, 65202
I urge you to support net neutrality. Strong regulations are necessary to make companies play fair, and not exploit their customers. A neutral net supports innovation. The internet is one of the fastest growing industries, this is largely due to open access and a neutral network. Anyone can go online and start a business for little more than an investment of their time. This low bar to access has created the net we know today. The only way to preserve the internet and continue its unprecedented growth is to ensure access remains open to everyone. Freedom and equal access have always made this country great.

6499. Andrew Covey, Maplewood, MO, 63143
Keep the net open and free! At some point, common decency must prevent dog shit greedy corporations from attempting to own and manipulate the primary means of communication, entertainment, and information sharing. You are a government agency, charged with doing what is right for the people of this country: do that by protecting Net Neutrality. Do *not* do what your ivy league buddies from college, or the sold out politicians, bribe you to do - legally or otherwise. I often think the public's disgust with all the corruption, greed, and moral bankruptcy of the elite will not be felt until the pitchforks come out. Even some large corporations know that giving away the Internet to those without a care beyond maximization of profit and control of the public know that this is wrong. Don't let these pigs get their way.

6500. Amos Hale, Springfield, MO, 65803
Net Neutrality is important in maintaining an active commerce online and free flowing information

6501. Jo Asher, Ozark, MO, 65721
Please maintain the current level of net neutrality. As a lower middle-class family we live paycheck to paycheck and have difficulty affording extra privileges in life like ball games and movies. Being able to have access to all the information and entertainment available without having to pay extra prices for it makes a huge difference in our lives.

6502. Joseph Boschert, Kansas City, MO, 64118
Don't companies get enough money from cable companies. Why control what we get access for, there really is no reasonable explanation for control of our internet.

6503. Angela Bradley, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Please, keep the net nutral. Don't destroy this basic freedom.

6504. Mark Cadiz, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
Good morning. I am writing to you today to express my concerns over the FCCâ€™s proceeding to repeal the 2015 network neutrality rules. I am an incoming post-baccalaureate student at Washington University in St. Louis, and I am also a biomedical researcher at the Washington University in St. Louis School of Medicine. As a student and researcher, access to the Internet for articles and disseminating information from my own works are crucial for my career, as well as for the benefit of the scientific community. If network neutrality is repealed, this will only damage the scientific community, and even the general public. Everyone has the right to be informed without Internet providers favoring/blocking access to certain sites. With crises such as the Zika Virus epidemic, information needs to be easily spread, as done through institutions such as the CDC, to protect the general population. I ask of you to not repeal the 2015 network neutrality rules, and to consider how it would negatively affect everyone in the country, and even the globe. Thank you for your time.

6505. Shane Murphy, St. Louis, MO, 63116
Personally, unrestricted access to the internet is crucial. As a college student, I use it nigh-constantly for research, learning, planning, entertainment, and organization. If net neutrality principles are weakened, much of my life will essentially be controlled by internet providers, and that is frightening.

6506. Malvin Lee Hollifield IV, Springfield, MO, 65802
The reason the internet works so well is that it's a level playing field for all. Stop trying to turn it into another horribly run business like the Federal Government, and all of these companies that keep filing bankruptcy. If they can't afford to pay taxes like the rest of us, they surely shouldn't be able to afford to pay to force me to use their sites to achieve the higher level of speeds that I'm already paying a premium for. Unless they want to give me the internet for free and have every page feature a 2" header solely showing videos of animals taken with a wide angle lens, then it's monopolistic coercion. Plus most of the bigger companies have THE WORST websites anyway. I've yet to have an ISP website that doesn't make me want to drink myself right into rehab.

6507. Patrick Hynes, Chesterfield, MO, 63017

I prefer to be able to go to whatever websites I like, and I don't want AT&T to control my life even more with their near monopoly on certain services

6508. Leon Johnson, Liberty, MO, 64068
Regarding content throttling, all bits are inherently the same and should be allowed to travel unimpeded across all networks. As far as allowing ISPs to package access to websites and/or exclude certain destinations to their customers, my opinion is that this practice infringes on American principles of liberty and the pursuit of happiness. Restricting what has been open access to all for decades would be in line with measures taken by an anti-informed-public regime (N. Korea, Russia etc.). I've enjoyed internet access for over 20 years as platforms and content have been allowed to grow and flourish. Forcing a cable-TV-style model onto the web, with speed dials for which "channels" get delivered is an incredibly ill-advised move to make. Cord cutters have been moving away from telecom TV packages in droves for years now; is that not evidence enough this sort of model is not something the public wants? Let's not stifle and commodify something beloved for what it is in the name of enriching already well-to-do magnates.

6509. Canisha, St. Louis, MO, 63130
Business is a great interest to my family. Should bigger businesses be able to pay for prioritization, my family's businesses cannot grow at a reasonable rate. In this day and age, attention spans are short and timing is essential such that people gravitate towards the most easily accessible/seen offers. When companies that are profiting well pay to profit even more, smaller businesses are hurt. While businesses should always strive for growth, history shows how damaging it is for any one business to grow so large it nearly monopolizes a service. Healthy competition is best for everyone, and non-prioritization keeps things this way. I purchase internet access to get reliable, fast access to all the internet has to offer, and freedom of choice for ISP's is not so simple. Even if certain rules are addressed such that I have painless and equal access to other ISP's, enforcement is not promised.

6510. Teresa Turin-Lis, St. Louis, MO, 63109
I believe we need to keep the internet OPEN. I believe the internet is a UTILITY. I believe we need to keep our current net neutrality regulations.

6511. Ethan Lucht, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I encourage those at the FCC proceeding to consider whose interests they work towards when dealing with Net Neutrality. The majority of everyday citizens want an open and neutral internet, those who want to end Net Neutrality only do so in the interests of gaining capital for a small wealthy elite. When we look back on the actions of those who advocated in this case, do you wish to be remembered as one who fought for the cause of all citizens, or only for the wealthy and powerful?

6512. Kellen Landry, COLUMBIA, MO, 65203
The integrity of Net Neutrality is paramount for the internet to function as it is, as a bastion of free speech and a indispensable tool for businesses both large and small. The monopolistic state of the Internet Service Providers in the United States is already a burden and an issue for consumers and citizens, but the further imposition of the end of net neutrality would harm consumers irrevocably. Anti-Consumer practices of the Internet Service Providers are already hurting consumers, and repealing the stance of Net Neutrality would further allow the United States to fall further behind the rest of the world in terms of service, quality of life, and market competitiveness.

6513. Blake Ford, FloTown, MO, 63011
It is vital that Net neutrality stays in place to ensure a free and open internet!

6514. Parker Davidson, Poplar Bluff, MO, 63901
I am an artist. I sell my work online. I work hard every day to come up with something I believe that people would find interesting. Things that they will fall in love with, or be inspired by. I sell them on Shapeways and Etsy as a small business owner. But repealing the 2015 network neutrality rules and Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 will make it harder for me to sell my work and survive. It is already hard enough to stand out as an artist among other artists. It's hard to enough to make content that people care about. But when it's even harder to get noticed because ISPs like Comcast can discriminate against certain Internet content and services, you are killing the small businessmen and women of America that make their living on the Internet. I plead with you, please, don't take this away from us.

6515. Wyatt Hough, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
The internet is the largest library of information in the world. In fact, most of what I know about the world I have learned in some way through the internet. Money should not be the deciding factor in keeping the most popular library in the world public. Net neutrality means that people get what they pay for without having their source of knowledge directly tampered with. I support net neutrality.

6516. William VanZant, Holt, MO, 64048
An open internet is incredibly important for the power of the free market and an educated populace. By allowing corporations and other private entities to restrict access to it you actively hinder societal progress.

6517. walker, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Net neutrality is very important to me. I think it's appalling that you want the information I receive to be controlled by someone else. But taking away a neutral internet, you make it impossible for me to fulfill my duty as a citizen and stay informed. Keep the internet free.

6518. David Johner, St. Louis, MO, 63125
Okay guys, seriously, this is 2017, why are we still even on this issue, you all know full well the only ones that want this are the big business internet providers so they can charge every customer threw the god damn nose for accessing content that should very well be freely available to anyone.

6519. dan taylor, columbia, MO, 65203
We are a small online business with 8 employees. Please keep net neutrality in place and protect our livelihoods and jobs

6520. Gary Sanders, West Plains, MO, 65775
Keep net neutrality! Stop letting a profitable business become more profitable for the rich corporations who aren't concerned about their customers

6521. Misty Doan, Hamilton, MO, 64644
It is incredibly important that we retain net neutrality. The current form of the internet allows a level playing field for all companies to innovate and provide opportunities, services, and products to consumers. John Oliver said it better than I ever could. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fpbOEoRrHyU but he proposed changes are fraudulent, destructive, and unnecessary. Do not let the cable companies line their pockets at the expense of the majority.

6522. Zachary Hagedorn, Troy, MO, 63379
Net neutrality should not be repealed. Look at all the ways big business tries to cut corners for personal gain as it is. The internet is a necessity for the majority of our country. Don't let them take it away from us. We should be focusing on improving internet infrastructure, not letting big business bully consumers into using the products they want us to for monetary gain.

6523. Adam Harvey, Fenton, MO, 63026
Please keep net neutrality the way it is. Do not repeal or replace it with something else.

6524. Jason Sankovitch, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are important to me. I urge you to protect them. Please leave the rules intact.

6525. Donald E Groce, Colubmia, MO, 65202
Leave our freedom alone you old, uninformed, hypocrites.

6526. Nicholas Hall, St. Charles, MO, 63301
It is appalling that this issue is still even coming to the table of the FCC. Ending net neutrality will cripple small businesses and e-commerce that don't have the money to pay for increased data speeds and traffic. If you love America and the free market, you will support net neutrality.

6527. Jessica Wirges, Springfield, MO, 65804
As an American I believe that net neutrality is important. We should not have additional charges in order to carry on with our day to day lives. Americans already have to pay high amounts monthly in order to access the internet, adding the ability to charge extra fees could harm small businesses as well as the consumers.

6528. Cody Hickman, Irondale, MO, 63648
I honestly don't know how this is even a discussion. How can anyone think allowing Internet Service Providers to throttle or limit connections is acceptable. How long would it take for companies like google to buy into prioritized connections for their products? How long before Beliefs, opinions and politics affect what different providers allow consumers access too. The fact this was even brought up says a lot about the character of those proposing this. I really hope the government will see reason on the issue.

6529. david warren, kansas city, MO, 64123
Keep internet unrestricted.

6530. Joel D. Monteith, Preston, MO, 65732
The Citizens of this country are fed up with the continued actions of the FCC and other agencies-pursuing a policy of continued needless changes and harmful regulations. The Internet is just fine the way it is and needs no actions by the FCC in any way, shape or form-to change any part of it. We will be seeking additional assistance in the matter from our Congressional representatives and well as President Trump.

6531. Dominick Grimes, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
There is nothing wrong with the internet now. People seek information, and it can be found. It's like the worlds largest encyclopedia, but it is also adaptive. It is also a home to thousands of forms of entertainment. Independent artists, whether film makers, musicians, etc., can all cheaply, if not freely, display their works with the world. Neutrality must be maintained. Any efforts to destroy neutrality are simply governmental ploy. Another attempt for our bloated government to assign "an expert committee" to something that can possibly be squeezed as an additional revenue source. Government run programs, such as social security, are never stable due to the "expert committee" members having no great reward for remaining the foremost knowledgeable people on the subject. The net must remain free, with the public determining whom and what to view, listen and follow, without any need for additional oversight. What are we solving with additional oversight? Nothing. I've used the internet daily for the past 25 years and never once thought, "You know, some guys in suits should make up what the public should and shouldn't be allowed to view. Yeah, we need fences in the net". I never thought this, because it is untrue. The net is perfect the way it is. Nothing needs changed. Nothing needs regulated. If it shouldn't be accessed by the public, the public will simply not access it. If anyone states that it does, one should question their true motives.

6532. David Vaughn, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
Most internet providers were granted exclusive rights to server a given area (and rightly so... we don't want 20 different providers tearing up the streets to lay fiber). However, this carries with it a burden of necessary regulation. After all, there is effectively zero competition for true high speed internet access in most areas. That, coupled with the near total saturation the internet has achieved in every aspect of our lives, renders it a de facto utility. As such, and until such time as there is TRUE competition in the internet provision industry, the "lanes" of the internet must be kept equally open and accessible to all. NET NEUTRALITY MUST BE MAINTAINED.

6533. Saira Bottemuller, Belton, MO, 64012
KEEP Net Neutrality in place, this is crucial. The implications of destroying Net Neutrality are too dire, and too easily used for sinister gains from already-overblown and overly powerful corporations. In the spirit of American opportunity and not finding ever-sneakier

ways to get around monopoly law and other legislation in place to protect the American people, small business, citizen privacy, and citizens' ability to FAIRLY choose, Net Neutrality must be kept intact or we will all be subject to the highest bidder...

6534. Tony Contorno, Saint Louis, MO, 63114
Net Neutrality is vital to the continued fair dissemination of information to Americans (and the world by extention). All information should be treated the same as the importance of said information varies based on the individual. As such, ISPs should not be allowed to throttle bandwidths based on the information being searched/recalled. I am strongly in favor of Net Neutrality.

6535. Drew Naugle, Lees Summit, MO, 64086
Hello, my name is Drew Naugle, I hold a B.S. in Electronics Technology with a focus on computer networking. I am writing today to ask you to preserve the current situation with ISP's being under Title II. I am sure their are others that will explain Net Neutrality in very technical detail so I will express my philosophy behind it. By having the ISP's provide an even playing field for everyone it allows the internet to be the embodiment of the American dream. It creates a space where those who have truly great ideas to flourish and force those who are in positions of dominance to adapt. The strong have to constantly change for the better, which will lead to a better online market for ideas and products. It is truly an American concept. Thank you for your time.

6536. Cheyenne, Arnold, MO, 63010
The internet should not be limited in anyway to any people. Limiting the internet would be an injustice to people, and prevent the exchange of information and ideas. We need net neutrality!

6537. Rebecca Chen, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
The internet must remain free from the control of corporations who only know how to take advantage of the average US citizen to further feed their own profit gains. Net neutrality must stay because the government serves to protect the people, not corporations.

6538. Ryan McWay, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
I endorse net neutrality. I would like to see a free and unregulated internet. All members of the internet should have equal access to its content. Please, keep the internet a free and independent forum of expression where anyone is welcome to viewing its material.

6539. Tyler Gregg, Creve Coeur, MO, 63141
Net Neutrality must stand. The companies and politicians who are pushing for this have no good reason to strip this right from the rest of us. They simply seek more money for themselves. The politicians who want this seek to negatively impact the very people who voted them into office. The companies pushing for this wish to deliver a more expensive service and continue to nickel and dime their own customers to death. Losing Net Neutrality will kill many services and sites we all use every day. Why are we still fighting this? The American people do not want this. Worse yet, the impact, should this pass, would have impacts felt world-wide. The companies and politicians involved need to remember that they all serve the public, and nobody wants this incredibly selfish move to pass. Net Neutrality will remain.

6540. Vanessa Chen, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
Corporations are not people; people are people. The people need to have their freedom of speech protected. The people need to be able to see everything the internet has to offer without being throttled or censored by the cable companies. The government exists because of the people, and as such, the government needs to stand with the people to protect net neutrality, not corporations who only care about filling their own piggy banks.

6541. David McMullin, Pacific, MO, 63069
Please maintain net neutrality. An open internet is vital to innovation and consumer choice. Millions of Americans are bailing on cable TV packages due to their restrictive, prescriptive packages that do not offer choice. Please don't turn the internet into the same thing.

6542. Charles Rietman, West Plains, MO, 65775
Keep the internet free! Keep Net Neutrality.

6543. Aaron-Micheal Blackman, Saint Louis, MO, 63136
Large internet providers like Comcast, Charter, AT&T and the like have made it clear that they need to be regulated in the scope of their practices and capabilities. For the good of the country it should be illegal for companies to regulate the speed at which information is received based on the information providers ability to pay for it. Not only are you making it hard to compete in the most modern market place available, and therefore hurting the economy, Allowing Internet companies to alter the speed of information would have a large negative impact on free speech, a founding principle of our great nation. In short do not remove the Title II regulations on the internet and Internet companies. If anything you should be pushing internet companies to improve their infrastructure and keep our country in prime condition to compete with the other nations of the world.DO NOT ROLL BACK NET NEUTRALITY LAWS CONCERNING EQUAL ACCESS TO INFORMATION

6544. James Brademeyer, Kansas city, MO, 64114
We the people want to preserve net neutrality. Net neutrality protects innovation from startups and consumers freedom of services on the internet. Protect net neutrality!

6545. Jonathan Stelzer, Washington, MO, 63090
I'm writing to voice my support for Net Neutrality rules under Title II. It has been said by the head of the FCC, Mr. Ajit Pai, that internet service providers have never used their services to slow down or limit traffic against services like Netflix or companies with rival mobile apps, that statement is a bold faced lie. AT&T, Verizon (for whom Mr. Pai was previously worked for as a lawyer), and T-Mobile used they're powers as ISPs to prevent Google from releasing "Google Wallet" on their networks because it would compete with their system ISIS (they've since changed the name for obvious reasons). And let us not forget how ISPs such as Comcast slowed down streaming services on their networks, i.e., Netflix before Netflix finally yielded and paid their fees in order to satisfy their customers.Cases like this should prove without a doubt that Net Neutrality needs to protected by strong rules from Title II.

6546. Kevin Nolte, Joplin, MO, 64801
I support Net Neutrality. The internet has flourished because data has been able to flow freely. If ISPs are allowed to throttle, block, or alter how the data flows, that information can quickly become compromised in pursuit of profits. The internet should be the same, no matter who you are accessing it through, or what device you are on.

6547. Rose Miyatsu, St Louis, MO, 63130
The internet needs to maintain net neutrality. I do not have much of a choice in which internet provider to choose because most cable companies make territory agreements. There are only two choices of providers where I live, and my parents only have one choice (which already provides terrible service because it can). The FCC needs to oversee net neutrality. ISPs are offering, and I understand that I am buying a bundle of â€œinformation services,â€ like email, cloud storage, etc and the FCC needs to regulate these services and keep the internet neutral.

6548. Greg Trout, Independence, MO, 64055
I don't believe that it is in the interest of the consumer to have his internet experience dictated by the company that provides internet service. I consider Net Neutrality an incredibly important principle by which to abide, especially given the nature of the current political climate - internet users pay for and deserve access to all information available online, regardless of whether it paints particular corporate or governmental interests in a positive light. Consumers expect to be able to access information from a multitude of viewpoints in order to inform their own views and values, and without current Net Neutrality regulations, that ability is threatened. ISPs cannot be expected to voluntarily abide by any unenforced regulation which would affect their profit margins or public appearance, and paying consumers deserve to receive unabridged and unfiltered access to the internet, as-is.

6549. Derek Long, Arnold, MO, 63010
I don't need someone or something throttling what I can and can't see.

6550. Titus Lee, Liberty, MO, 64068
I, myself, don't know much about Net Neutrality, but I do know that I want to be able access each and every website at my disposal. I think it would very wrong for the FCC to allow paid prioritization, blocking, and/or throttling. There is only one internet and all rules should and must be applied to my home and mobile networks equally.

6551. Stanley Graczyk, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
I would like to see free and open access for all websites.

6552. Michal Rysanek, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
Keep Title II classification to prevent greed and keep the net neutral. It is a known fact that if corporations (ISPs) are given the ability to throttle/block/prioritize, if it makes them money they will.

6553. Dustin M. Gehlert, Lake Ozark, MO, 65049
PLEASE KEEP NET NEUTRALITY! Everything is going crazy right now, if our internet gets controlled we're no better than China and North Korea. I understand there is money to be made but just find another way. Controlling the internet is NOT the way to gain money. You stifle freedom in the one place we as Americans use most is through the internet. Tax more raise prices whatever but don't take away our freedom online. Thank you!

6554. Eli McGuirk, Branson, MO, 65616
Net neutrality is incredibly important to the state of things in America. If you allow isps to charge whatever they want for any service while block access to sites they don't like or refuse to pay them is ludicrous and only a fool would think this is better for our country.

6555. Ryan Jaeckel, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I support net neutrality and DEMAND net neutrality. There is absolutely no reason to change the rules and ways in which american citizens openly access the internet. To change, regulate, or legislate the internet is a terrible idea that I do not support. Any changing of connection speeds, blocking websites, prioritization of content, paid advantages, or limitations of free and complete access are ABSOLUTELY UNNECESSARY AND SHOULD NEVER HAPPEN.

6556. James Thomas, Kansas City, MO, 64116
The internet has been a huge part of my life since I was a kid, and I think that is probably true for a significant portion of people these days. I can't think of a single reason why any consumer would want want net neutrality abolished, and I would like to keep a more unrestricted and free internet if at all possible. It makes me sad that this day and age we have to fight for this kind of thing. The corporations pushing these things are nothing but shameful, and it is honestly no surprise that literally everyone I know has nothing but bad things to say about them.I support Net Neutrality.

6557. Kelli Monahan, Clayton, MO, 63105
Please preserve Title II and the 2015 net neutrality rules. I pay for a broadband internet access so that I can gain access to all the internet offers--from the sites of multi-million dollar corporations to pages hand-coded by a single individual. The internet is necessary for my job, and I rely on it for news, entertainment, and communication. I rely on my ISP for internet access only, not "information services," and have frequently only had one provider offering broadband internet in my area, making it impossible to switch.

6558. Kristin, St. Louis, MO, 63123
I am writing to request that you maintain Title II restrictions on ISPs in order to preserve net neutrality. Corporations can't be trusted to "keep promises."

6559. Katherine R. Miller, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to the ability of our society to function freely. Without the Open Internet Rules, ISPs will have the power to block and censor websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others,

or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. These changes are hostile to America's character as a free nation, and as an American citizen I oppose them wholeheartedly. Thank you!

6560. Charity Sterry, Willard, MO, 65781
I support maintaining net neutrality. We need unfiltered access to internet without variance due to compensation or price point.

6561. Net Neutrality, Springfield, MO, 65804
I am writing to express my protest to the dismantling of net neutrality laws!! The repeal of these laws will cause internet sensory and set us back as a nation who values information, technology, and the protection of our people!Keep your tiny hands off my net neutrality!

6562. Jacob Vance, Henley, MO, 65040
The internet needs to be a Title 2 utility. Otherwise large ISPs can limit our access to what we can do online and put a large paywall on fast-lanes and certain websites. Removing net neutrality rules will hurt the consumer, promote anti-competitive practices, and allow ISPs to gain monopolies on certain services provided through the internet.

6563. Johnathan Anaya, Kansas City, MO, 64138
Hi, my name is Johnathan Anaya and I'm a concerned customer from Kansas City.I'm writing to express my disapproval that the FCC is trying to kill net neutrality and the strong Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Preserving an open internet is crucial for fair and equal access to the resources and information available on it.Thank you for your time and attention.

6564. Stacey Evans, springfield, MO, 65803
Stop this heinous act from going through. Save net neutrality and the competition of websites freely.

6565. Artem Chernyak, St Charles, MO, 63303
Please keep the internet open and free. This should not pass as it will adversly effect innovation and society as a whole.

6566. Leon Chojnacki, Fulton, MO, 65251
I want to keep the Internet free and open. I support net neutrality. I know that technological solutions are always improving.

6567. Madi Mazurek, Kirksville, MO, 63501
Net neutrality is extremely important to me. I'm a millennial, I grew up alongside the internet, seeing all it's great works and it's flaws, and I grew to love it. I want to protect this thing I love, the thing that helps people connect effortlessly, spread ideas like wildfire, helps students learn, provides hours of cat videos for entertainment... the list goes on. I want the internet to continue be a place of self expression without bounds-a place where you don't have to pay to keep access to your favorite websites. I don't want the internet to lose so many of the good qualities it has going for it. I don't want starving artists to lose the opportunities for expression over a dollar bill. There are many ethical ways to make money. Hacking up the internet is not one of them.

6568. Zor Batra, Defiance, MO, 74006
What the hell is wrong with you?! You old timers really don't understand how gravely you're jeopardizing the future of the states by giving control over to ISPs? I mean, you have to live in an oblivious bubble for this not to make sense. Protect the Internet! Keep regulations up! This is some Republican bullshit!

6569. Katie Sumner, Washington, MO, 63090
I am so very, very tired of how many times these corporations try to screw over literally everyone in this country except for a few CEOs. And I am especially disappointed by how willing our representatives seem to be to let them. You are supposed to protect the interests of your constituents. By getting rid of net neutrality and Title II, you are telling every single one of the people you are supposed to represent that they are not equal, at least not to corporations. By keeping the internet open and neutral, we are making sure the internet is a place where everyone is equal, getting to choose the content that they deem is the best, not what the cable and internet service providers are trying to tell us is the best. It's not fair how many times we need to tell you we DON'T want this, and yet, here we are again, going through this same exact process to protect one of our basic rights from being stripped of its integrity. I am tired of CEOs undermining what their consumers actually want just because they have deeper pockets. You represent us. Listen and vote not to get rid of net neutrality.

6570. Patrick Pickens, Springfield, MO, 65807
stahp

6571. David Walker, Washington, MO, 63090
I am currently working on majoring in Computer Science, a subject I first became interested in through flash games on the Internet while I was growing up. At a very young age, I began learning about and programming some games of my own, through the help of tutorials from various small websites and communities. Then, when I began working on more unique projects, I stopped following tutorials and started to branch out. One of the things that comes with learning anything is being confused and needing help, and I was no

exception. No one I knew had any experience with programming, especially in the specific circumstances I was in. The ONLY place I could find any kind of help was on the internet, on small, unfunded websites where communities of people working in similar situations and through similar issues could communicate and help each other. Without the help of these people, I wouldn't have been able to complete those projects, and I wouldn't have the experience that I rely on now to understand deeper concepts in the field of Computer Science. If, back then, websites had to pay Internet Service Providers to allow their users through, none of those small websites and communities of like-minded people would have existed at all. People like me would have nowhere to turn to get help on things that our teachers at school or even our parents couldn't help us with. I, and many people I know, would be on wildly different life paths, artificially kept from information that would have allowed us to pursue careers in what we truly enjoy. Ending Net Neutrality would condemn the whole next generation to this fate. I can't bear to see that happen.

6572. Theodore M. Ross, St. Louis, MO, 63033
My name is Theodore Ross, and I believe that it is important for a free and open internet. I work two jobs that really rely on a high speed internet. I am in the gaming industry and I am a freelance DJ. in order for me to reach the fans both gaming and music my social media and my access to asset and tools is vital to keep current. Giving companies the power determine what sites I will have easy access to and deal with slow down or fast lanes is unfair to me as i am paying them to gain access to internet. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules.It important that people like me can be heard as for some having that access is their means of income. I urge that our voices are heard and we can keep an affordable and open internet

6573. Kevin whitworth, Republic, MO, 65738
Don't you dare pull this coup on information in the US! If you do, the American public will vehemently resist.History has shown the first way to control a large population is to limit access to information and control what people know. It is your responsibility to protect that free flow from all sides and not to pad the pockets of corporations, and politicians at the public's expense. We voted you into office and we can still vote you out.

6574. Christopher Enger, St Louis, MO, 63139
This is asinine. Stop giving the country away to corporations or you won't have a job much longer.

6575. Tyler Esmon, st. peters, MO, 63376
The internet should be a free, open area. The internet should not be dictated by highest bidding advertisers and websites chosen by ISP's. A vote in opposition of net neutrality is a step in the wrong direction.

6576. Matt Green, Ozark, MO, 65721
The internet needs to remain open to all, unlike other countries which have taken over, like many ISPs and lobbyists want to do, and do not allow their citizens to see how much better the world outside their country is, or control what you are able to see thus creating a country of sheep. It is for the benefit of all humanity to spread as much knowledge as quickly as possible, without interference of businesses trying to push their agenda and make more money off the unknowing population.

6577. Thomas Bloom, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I am concerned that weakening net neutrality enforcement could allow ISPs to throttle content, resulting in an internet that is not equally accessible to all but one that becomes inaccessible to small content creators and narrowly available for users. The internet is great because anyone can contribute and users are free to explore everything equally, please work to keep it that way!

6578. Gabriel Garcia, Branson, MO, 65616
Don't try to control the web. It is stupid and net neutrality is why the Internet is so popular. So don't. And if you do, it will be repealed.

6579. Jake Roelofsz, Kansas City, MO, 64157
You can't get rid of net neutrality because then you are taking away the rights of people who have nothing better to do and you are getting rid of jobs which is horrible, you are having a huge backlash.... just leave it the way it is.... and keep it great

6580. Preston Williams, Columbia, MO, 65203
The internet was created by military and university collaboration and should be commonly held. Ensuring an equal and indiscriminate treatment to all data is a necessity to modern life, competitive markets, and the American Way.

6581. free, SAINT CLAIR, MO, 63077
we need free internet. please let it always be free. also a lot of people have jobs because of how the internet is right now.

6582. Patrick Stobaugh, Erin Stobaugh, Michael Stobaugh, Joshua Stobaugh, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Every person in this nation deserves uninhibited access to the internet through all providers. There should be no barriers created to change the experience, throttle connection speeds for any citizen. The internet belongs to all of us and there should be equity for each person accessing all available information. Changing this system would disenfranchise many and would diminish the free exchange of information and ideas. Please do not allow net neutrality to be taken away. This would be a grave injustice.

6583. Patrick Cochrun, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Please understand that net neutrality is paramount to a free exchange in services idea And privacy. Our personal information is of no concern to for profit companies wishing to control access and charge higher fees. I strongly oppose to repeal of this and urge all officials to recognize the desires of the people to keep the internet open and free. We don't need more profits for the few providers we have, we need more competition tod rice those providers to do better.

6584. Michael ruffus, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
strongly support maintaining Net Neutrality. do not change current regulations requiring net neutrality. will strongly fund any candidate

who supports net neutrality and send funds to opppose any elected official who supports ending net neutrality

6585. corin walker, st charles, MO, 63301
Please stop this evil bill to take away true net neutrality

6586. my thoughts, Dexter, MO, 63841
Everyone deserves to be able to express themselves and not be afraid to do so, the Internet is that place for many people. Including myself, it's like a safe haven to where I can be myself and find people who are just like me. I have made countless friends on the Internet. People jobs depend on the Internet as well, they have a platform to where anyone is welcomed with open arms. We deserve to have our freedom of speech here more than anywheres else in my opinion.

6587. K. Ryan Coe, Springfield, MO, 65802
We are supposed to be the land of the free, not the land of whoever has the most money controls everything.Keep the internet free!

6588. Patrick Gardner, St Louis, MO, 63130
I strongly oppose the proposal to remove the Title II classification of internet service providers. A free and open internet is crucial - not only to America's continued economic growth, but to the values of free speech and free thought expressed in our constitution. Keep the internet free, and keep it under Title II.

6589. Miguel Luevano, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Keep the internet open & free! Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II!

6590. Don Clark, Springfield, MO, 65807
A neutral internet is import to small businesses and consumers. All should have equal access to the internet without regard to size and ability to pay. The internet providers should provide access and the same speeds to all users. That is as it has always been and is now. There is no reason to change just to allow a few companies the ability to control who gets full access and especially since the only motive is profit. Competition is great and must be protected. Remember Teddy Roosevelt the "Trust Buster"

6591. Kathleen Newcomer, Wildwood, MO, 63038
I support net neutrality, meaning that ISPs cannot limit access to certain websites.

6592. James Florit Jr, Festus, MO, 63028
I find the idea of having someone else dictate my online activities to be disturbing. Blocking sites, throttling content, giving "preferred" sites and content priority over others, is tantamount to attempted brainwashing, in my opinion. I can't afford to have the internet essentially cease to function. I may not have an online business and be making my entire income on the net, but I am dependent on it in other ways. I am disabled, and without the internet and all its content being readily available without restriction or excess monetization, I would have little-to-no means of exploring my interests and hobbies, of quickly and freely finding information that's important to me in that moment, or of any meaningful social engagement. Yes, I just said 'meaningful social engagement' in reference to activity on the internet. I'm not like other people that might be considered normal. I don't have the same interests and sensibilities as others in my area. I have no capacity for forcing small talk. Without access to the net content that matters to me, without being told what should matter to me or what I should be allowed to easily access, I would go quite mad staring at the wall or out of the window. I don't have funds to waste on television packages to vegetate on the couch with others controlling everything I see, and quite frankly I wouldn't want to even if I did. I need access to things others might not care about or find important, such as online games that actually interest me. These things provide me with cerebral stimulation, keep me thinking and my brain from rotting from lack of use. They give me something into which I can involve myself and distract myself from things that I would likely otherwise be giving a rather unhealthy amount of thought. They give me a (mostly) friendly and relatable community in which I can include myself, even with my disabilities. I ask that you (and/or any relevant person or group) not allow me and others like me to be robbed of that. Some might see this as an issue of money, of needing one thing or another to change or remain the same to alleviate financial concerns, but consider that lives may be at stake here. I like to think myself a reasonable person with a somewhat decent degree of self control, but others in my position or one similar to it might not have that. Without the internet and all it provides now, said people could very easily begin to find their situation outside of the internet to be far more dire than they viewed it previously. The shift in their view may or may not be rational, but the rationality or lack thereof won't necessarily prevent them from doing something stupid as a result of it. Just keep these things in mind during all of this.

6593. Logan Andrew Bealke, Des Peres, MO, 63131
The internet needs net neutrality. This does not benefit the people you are supposed to be representing. Keep the internet free.

6594. Andrew Robert Underdown, Union, MO, 63084
#NetNeutrality is all I need to say. Please hear our words. The people need to represent, not the few in power. Thank you!

6595. Jeanette Lawlor, Pleasant Hope, MO, 65725
Maintain Net Neutrality .....Open access to the internet has become a vital part of our society and should not be withheld from any citizen, especially those of us who have no financial privilege enabling us to maintain control or ability to fight for our individual rights. You know Net Neutrality is vital to freedom.....MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY!

6596. Harrison Weathers, Kansas City, MO, 64105
Net Neutrality is something that is deserved to all.

6597. Caroline Young, Kansas City, MO, 64105
We NEED net neutrality! It's the only way we can keep the playing field equal and the marketplace of ideas open and operating for everyone. Without it, sites could be unfairly censored, slowed down, or forced to charge extra fees at the whim of big cable companies. Don't allow monopolies like this to happen! Keep the Internet open, fast, and awesome! It impacts all of us. Please listen!

6598. Alex Hurst, Kansas City, MO, 64105
The end of Net Neutrality would be a massive disruption to me and many of my friends' way of life. Please do whatever you can to prevent this from happening!

6599. Douglas Belcher, St Louis, MO, 63129
I have been using the internet at work since the 1990's and at home since the early 2000's by using commercial grade access, home based dial-up, and broadband. I have visited countless sites for online shopping, information, and entertainment. If ISP's are allowed to restrict my access to only sites that they benefit from then I will gradually be restricted to only what they want to provide. I realize that, cost wise at least, I could benefit from not having to pay for "expensive" streaming services, like Netflix, but that will ultimately harm me. What I truly need is access to the entire internet without being blocked, slowed down, or throttled by the ISP's. True, it will probably cost me a little extra and possibly, a lot extra, but is not what I want but what I need.I ask you to please take the time and effort to honestly review what "net neutrality" means, and not just in the business context, but what ordinary US consumers can do with low cost, high speed access to whatever we need. Yes, we will spend countless hours watching videos, posting to Facebook, etc., however we can be an engine for growth and prosperity now and in the future.

6600. Austin Hammell, Weston, MO, 64098
The internet has been free for years why change that now?

6601. James Penman, Kansas City, MO, 64113
Losing net neutrality could cripple my small business, our customers, and the free market online economy! I'm a small business owner in Kansas City, and we provide marketing services for businesses of all sizes, particularly on the web. The web is a free market vehicle. It's the new "main street." It's the way to be found and do business. Allowing ISPs to control it could kill entrepreneurship and stifle enterprise of all sorts. Please keep the net neutral and let everyone have a fair shot. As a small business owner, this is more than a matter of principle. Keep the net neutral for everyone.

6602. Dalton Russell, Raymore, MO, 64083
We cannot allow big businesses to control what we can see on a paid basis. We also cannot allow the fcc to make these decisions. The internet has and ought to remain free. It is essential to our core freedoms of expression and speech.

6603. Steven Thompson, Columbia, MO, 65203
I support Net Neutrality and don't want to give giant ISPs the power to charge for 'fast lanes' and even slow down speeds at their discretion. I want the full power of the Internet. I also do not have many options for ISPs where I live and feel that the companies in my area could really take advantage of their customers due to the mini monopoly they seem to have. Please, please, please keep net neutrality and listen to the American people! It seems that an overwhelmingly large amount of citizens are in favor for Net Neutrality - so why even consider anything else. Thank you.

6604. Mark Fricke, Belton, MO, 64012
I have one internet provider as a option at my house With your proposed changes, the ISP will be able to change my internet based on the deals they make. If have video/music streaming service that they don't have a contract, they would be able to slow down my connection. They can dictate which service I can use based on the throttling. I work in the tech sector and we rely on open internet to be competitive in the market place. The ISP will control the market not the consumer. The rules should allow all business big or small to be able to compete without the lSP infringing. Today the internet is just as essential as the telephone was decades ago.

6605. Samantha Lasky, Columbia, MO, 65201
This proceeding would take away our access to the entire internet. This control the FCC would have over us takes away our basic freedoms and would be the biggest form of censorship we've ever seen. If the FCC continues with this, we will be no better than Russian or North Korea.

6606. Sam Cramer, Lee's Summit, MO, 64081
I would like it if Net Neutrality were not repealed. I really enjoy the websites I go onto nowadays and I wouldn't like it very much if my connection to them became slower or even if they were blocked from me entirely (examples are Twitch, Twitter, Youtube, Wattpad, etc.). Please, for the sake of those who truly do enjoy the internet, do not repeal Net Neutrality.

6607. Title2, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
ISPs need to stay under Title 2 classification. Title 1 is not strong enough, and will lead to giving bigger ISPs and websites an unfair advantage. On a second note,LISTEN TO THE GOD DAMN PEOPLE. HOW MANY MILLION FUCKING PEOPLE DOES IT TAKE TO VOICE CONCERN. Fuck you, you stupid fucking ISP cucks. You're a fucking cancer on this country, and I hope your stupid bribe money is worth killing our country.

6608. Brian Talbot, St. Louis, MO, 63112
I teach at a university in St. Louis. I rely on the internet to do my own research and for my teaching: I and my students need the internet for fast and reliable access to information. This information comes from not-for-profit databases, scholarly journals, and informational video or audio files. If we lose net neutrality, I and my students will find it harder to get the kind of access to information we need, since this can often be bandwidth intensive and comes from scholarly sources who cannot pay for preferential access. In St. Louis, we only have two choices for broadband internet. These provide roughly the same services for the same price. My family uses our provider only for broadband access. We don't get an email address, cloud storage, or any other services for them. All we want from them is fast and neutral access to the internet.The internet is a public good that has made my life and the lives of my students better. This is because it allows us to get information from a much, much wider range of sources than ever before (I was in college in the early 1990s, and I remember how much harder it was to do research without the Internet). The government needs to ensure that we all have equal access to this public good. Please maintain net neutrality.

6609. Hans Pommerenke, Rolla, MO, 65401

Given my personal internet usage there is only a single provider in the entire city of Rolla that provides adequate speeds for both streaming movies, playing video games, and many other forms of internet usage. The "competition" provides barely adequate service for a single device streaming a high quality YouTube video let alone 10+ devices streaming multiple videos in the entire house. The drop of net neutrality would result in the ability to charge more money for even less service which ironically sounds a lot like health care in the USA currently which is objectively a disaster for lower to middle income families. Like other utilities the internet should be controlled by oversight committees as without internet many of the ways to even find jobs are not possible regardless of any other uses for the internet.

6610. Randy Busch, Kansas City, MO, 64117
The internet is fine the way it is. Don't give an UNFAIR advantage to corporations. Thank you.

6611. Thomas Maitz, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
Common Carrier Status under Title II Should Not be Repealed _ I have read many arguments for why the "Restoring Internet Freedom" filing is flawed and outright against consumers, but for the sake of my argument against it, I will rely on the Internet Service Providers themselves to spell out why the FCC should not repeal the current Title II common carrier classification. _ According to ISPs, the current classification as common carriers under Title II is hampering competition and the incentive for network build-out and upgrades. Also according to the ISPs, the Title II classification has had little affect on their business. At least when reporting to their investors. Here are some examples: _ In December 2015 Randall L. Stephenson, AT&Tâ€™s CEO, told investors that the company would â€œdeploy more fiberâ€ in 2016 than it did in 2015 and that Title II would not impede its future business plans. _ In December 2016 Mike Cavanagh, Comcastâ€™s CFO, admitted to investors that any concerns it had about reclassification were based only on â€œthe fear of what Title II could have meant, more than what it actually meant.â€ _ In December 2016 Thomas Rutledge, Charterâ€™s CEO, told investors, â€œTitle II, it didnâ€™t really hurt us; it hasnâ€™t hurt us.â€ _ The following excerpt from this Ars Technica article (https://arstechnica.com/information-technology/2017/05/title-ii-hasnt-hurt-network-investment-according-to-the-isps-themselves/) explains how major ISPs are still investing heavily in their networks, even after Title II classification: _ "Publicly traded companies are required to give investors accurate financial information, including a description of risk factors involved in investing in the company. If Title II imposed significant financial burdens on publicly traded Internet providers, the companies should have informed investors. Instead, ISPs either haven't mentioned Title II in calls with investors or have said the FCC policy hasn't affected their investment, according to the Free Press report. _ And ISPs have continued investing in order to expand network capacity. Free Press provided many examples that you can read in the full report. Here are a few regarding some of the biggest ISPs: _ Comcast spent $7.6 billion on its cable segment capital expenditures during 2016, the most the company has ever invested in a single year. _ Charter Communications is yet another example of an ISP that dramatically increased its network investments during the two years following the FCCâ€™s Open Internet Order vote... From 2015â€“2016 Charterâ€™s pro forma capital investments [including newly acquired Time Warner Cable and Bright House Networks] topped $14.5 billion, a 15 percent increase which came despite hundreds of millions of dollars (or more) in synergies that Charter claimed following the May 2016 closing of the deal. _ Verizonâ€™s capital investment total increased during the year following the FCCâ€™s adoption of the Open Internet Order (just as the company said it would a month before the February 2015 vote). And Verizonâ€™s total two-year post-vote capital expenditures were 3.1 percent higher than they were in the two years preceding the vote, even as the company divested its Florida, Texas, and California systems to Frontier Communications. _ There has also been an "explosion" in online video competition since the imposition of net neutrality rules that prevents ISPs from trying to restrict access to sites that compete against their cable TV offerings, Free Press wrote. _ AT&T CEO Randall Stephenson said in a January 2017 earnings call that Title II regulation is "suppressive to investment," but appeared to be talking about the industry in general rather than AT&T specifically. He did not attribute any investment decline at AT&T to Title II. In December 2015, Stephenson said the Title II rules were not an impediment to AT&T and that "Everything that weâ€™re planning on doing fits within those rules." _ It is plainly obvious that ISPs are not being hampered by Title II, as they claim, and that their ability to compete and operate unabated by government interference has continued even after re-classification. The "Restoring Internet Freedom" filing is nothing more than a veiled attempt by ISPs and Chairman Pai to rescind regulations in an effort to make these corporations more money at the expense of consumers and competition.

6612. Sam Jamison, St. Louis, MO, 63130
Why are we giving ISP's more power? These are some of the most hated companies in America, and with good reason. They've done nothing positive for consumers and have reaped benefits from their actions for decades. Net Neutrality is the only thing keeping the Internet alive. Without it, corporations like Comcast and Verizon will rum rampant throttling websites and charging ludicrous rates to consumers to get their (already terrible) speeds back. America is already awful in terms of internet speed vs. amount paid for it, we should be enhancing our infrastructure, not cutting back on what we already have.

6613. James Holcomb, Belton, MO, 64012
Internet communications have become a fundamental part of daily life. Allowing the telecom companies to prioritize some data above others will inevitably end up with them monetizing priority access. Monetizing priority access restricts the creation of content and services to those who have enough to pay for them. This will stifle growth and creativity, placing the control of the direction of culture and information services in the hands of large corporations. It belongs in the hands of the people.

6614. kaitlin sinclair, st. louis, MO, 63123
Equal internet for EVERYONE. Leave us in peace to browse the internet for funny cat videos.

6615. Raith Vincent, Kansas City, MO, 64131
The internet should not be restricted! Many of my hobbies and friends come from the internet and losing my internet freedom would be terrible! Keep net neutrality!

6616. Blake Barnett, Raytown, MO, 64138
To Commissioner Ajit Pai:I STRONGLY believe that our FCC should support Net neutrality with Title-II oversight.There is a reason why even our kids, after hearing both sides of the debate, almost always choose a free and open internet granted to us under Title-II Net Neutrality.In my opinion, the abolition of Net Neutrality should not be up for debate! Respectfully, Blake B.

6617. David Stewart, Springfield, MO, 65807
Please preserve the freedom of the internet by preserving net neutrality! don't let big business buy you out! defend our rights! be our voice!

6618. Talon Townley, Kansaas City, MO, 64134
As an introvert who's hobbies revolve around my computer and the internet net neutrality could have a significant impact on my quality of life. Because it currently exists I can enjoy third party programs, like discord to handle in game chat rather than having to use major companies like Skype, who's program is not designed to work well with games. Since I keep in touch with my friends and family online this matters to me personally. Furthermore where we currently live there is no cable connection and no opportunity for one, meaning that all internet options must be cellular, since all of these try to impart a data limit we really only have one option since an internet connection with a data limit isn't really an internet connection at all. I will be moving soon for work and my new apartment also only has one internet option, in this case At&T, thank god it isn't Comcast. The only reason Comcast exists today is because it has monopolies on certain regions. If you argue otherwise stating mobile internet options exist you are a fool, they are ridiculously slow and usually have data limits and are wholesale unacceptable as appropriate internet access. Even if the market exists for another company to move into the area it could take years to get the infrastructure installed since, much like power companies, ISPs operate on an economy of scale. All I want is to be able to buy my access to the internet, because that is all I want, without having to worry about which programs are going to be high speed or not.

6619. Michael P Hartzheim, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Keep Net Neutrality in tact. We need a free and open internet.

6620. Michelle Noriega, Monett, MO, 65708
When I buy internet access, I am expecting to have equal access to all parts of the internet and to do with it as I wish. It is like an expansive infinite library, and I love it. I use it for knowledge, recreational reading, to answer questions, and it plays a large role in my social life. I am a gamer, and I love playing games over the internet to make new friends and meet up with my little sister and play with her. I do not want the internet to change. Do not itemize and monetize every small part of it. I demand that you not manipulate this media source that allows such freedom, creativity, and everything in between.

6621. John Kenny, Lone Jack, MO, 64070
Net Neutrality is a critical to having an open exchanges of ideas and information on the internet. There should not be a fast lane or any preferential traffic on the internet. My ISP has no business deciding what traffic is important. Please support the existing net neutrality rules as laid out in Title II.

6622. Ben Wood, Columbia, MO, 65203
It's tough to fathom that, in a country that values freedom as much as the USA purports to, that we would even consider letting ISPs control our internet experience. Net Neutrality seems like an easy thing to support, but apparently our government thinks otherwise. Keep net neutrality!

6623. Jennifer Cuddeback, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
ISP companies should not be able to restrict my access to information available via the internet.As a student, I use the internet to research scientific data on nutrition. All information needs to be accessible. I also use my internet service to backup, access, and share my files stored with a cloud service (separate from my ISP), my email accounts (personal and school), my entertainment options (those available from companies that are not my cable/ISP provider). It would be a horrible situation if Charter, to whom I pay a lot of money each month, decided they did not want customers to have access to other paid entertainment options like Netflix or Amazon Prime.ISPs should not be able to control what I connect to via their service. I pay them for access to the internet and they must provide that access at the speeds they advertise in their sales promotions.

6624. Samuel Dedert, Smithville, MO, 64089
I believe that relaxing regulation on ISPs would not improve business enough to offset incentivizing so many potential abuses.

6625. Kyle McCarthy, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
An open internet promotes the fundamentals that our Nation was founded on. Keeping the internet open and safe guarding net neutrality helps to facilitate free speech and fair commerce. Without net neutrality, ISPs would be able to favor some sites over others, which has massive implications. An ISP could choose to give users faster access to established sites that pay a toll, while new sites and businesses would be throttled or inaccessible if they cannot afford the additional expenses. The burden of facilitating access for an end user to access a site, should not be placed upon the site, as the site already pays for hosting and the services to ensure uptime and maintenance. Ending net neutrality would allow for ISPs to double dip, as the user already pays for their access to the internet in general. This is a bipartisan issue where both sides should favor an open internet.

6626. John Berryhill, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64118
"Restoring Internet Freedom" is the furthest from the truth this could possibly be. The only people that stand to benefit from this are the owners of the de-facto monopolies that already collude to avoid competing in given areas, and line the pockets of the rich. This proceeding is purely consumer-antagonistic at its core, and will only serve to hamper the creation and growth of new businesses that rely on Net Neutrality to allow fair and open access. I am disgusted by the current head of the FCC, and feel that he has completely failed in his position to defend the best interests of the people of this nation. Shame on you, sir.

6627. Donald R Gummow Jr, Gravois Mills, MO, 65037
The underpinnings of the internet is based on technology developed on taxpayer dollars, NOT by the companies that now want to pirate the internet for profits. The World Wide Web belongs to the citizens who paid for its development. Please support and protect net neutrality so that we can ALL benefit from it!

6628. Brian Beuerlein, Kansas City, MO, 64133

Net Neutrality is one of the most important factors of the internet and how useful it is to everyone today. It is also the reason small businesses and startups can succeed on the internet and not get swallowed up by large corporations. In today's brick and mortar world, you must pay a premium price to have your building at a spot where consumers can see and access your facility easily, which is why you typically only see big name stores at or around shopping centers, while the smaller businesses are on the outskirts of the area. Because of net neutrality, these businesses have a level playing field with the much larger companies, which leads to more competition in the market place and more innovation in society. When small businesses win, we win as a society.

6629. Ashley Hite, St. Louis, MO, 63146
Our freedom and my personal livelihood rely on a neutral internet.

6630. Victoria Buchanan, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
Clearly this is a serious issue that need to be addressed.

6631. Gustavo Dos Santos, St. Louis, MO, 63103
Limiting/restricting internet access is probably the worst idea ever. We gotta thank the internet for most of our success and we need to encourage its free use not restrict it.

6632. Lewis, st. louis, MO, 63103
Enough with punishing/inconveniencing the public for the benefit of people/corporations who don't need more. The internet is not yours to throttle.

6633. Chase Bengtson, Kansas City, MO, 64153
I believe that Net Neutrality is the most important aspect of the Internet. The ability to access any and all websites on the Internet, unhindered, and for no extra fees is the single greatest creative force that mankind has available to it. It allows the spreading of news and ideas at an unprecedented level, allowing for the rapid creation of new and amazing products, services, and companies.If Title II were to be repealed, Internet Service Providers would be given the ability to prioritize sites, censor information, throttle connection speeds, and many other powers over the consumer. If this were to happen, the Internet and by extension the United States and even the world would suffer for it. Freedom of speech is an honored tradition and right of the United States of America. If the current regulations of Net Neutrality were to be lifted, then it would open the floodgates to censor and quiet the largest forum of them all: the Internet.As a citizen of the United States of America, I am writing to express my desire to keep Title II and its protections to Net Neutrality around, and to say that I don't want Internet Service Providers to have any more power over the internet or the sites I visit than they already have.

6634. Jaclyn Jones, Bismarck, MO, 63624
The FCC Title II Open Internet rules, for net neutrality, are important to not just me but everyone in America. The internet is the way we learn things that could otherwise be impossible for residents of rural areas, like me. Even something as small as learning to knit would be near impossible, in my area, but I learned how, on Youtube, because of free internet. It's how we communicate with countries outside of our own, and build good relationships worldwide. This was previously only a thing that could be accomplished via penpals, and that was a small portion of people willing to share postal information with strangers.Small businesses rely on open internet to survive. They can do more business online to help boost sales when their brick and mortar location isn't as busy, because they can reach more than local traffic. Being charged extra, or having their site blocked, because they don't have money to afford the fast lane prices.Even corporations that can afford fast lanes, such as Amazon, would suffer from losing open internet because the ISP providers can make the rules as they go, meaning to keep a fast lane they would have to jump through hoops. With so many brick and mortar stores closing, and Amazon having the items in a convenient place where you don't have to go from store to store, city to city, hunting for an item you inevitably won't find, it is a travesty that such a saving grace would be limited in any capacity.ISP providers do not need to control how the internet is used. They can't even bring fast internet to all locations because "there aren't enough residents to warrant the cost" in rural areas. So, people, like me, are forced to use lower quality, satellite internet. ISP providers could be smart and make up for the loss on Television sales by offering competitive programs to Netflix and hulu. They could have special channels or events that are only available if you are a member of their site. They don't have to radically change the way life works for the poorer people who can only afford to buy internet (and then use the far less a month Netflix) from them instead of both TV and internet.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites, for a fee. What does that mean? Some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster while startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees and won't be able to compete. The open marketplace, that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America, will be slaughtered all in the name of enriching the cable giants. If that wasn't bad enough, Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. The companies pay, or their site is blocked or reduced to a slow lane. It's an outrage!Frighteningly, Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to gut net neutrality so his corporate pals can have their way with the public. I may sound harsh, but it's no secret that he was, until recently, the lawyer for Verizon Wireless. I have little faith that in plans to do anything but lining Verizon's pockets with cash that will be made from this abominable plot.With such ridiculous fees for website delivery, the public will lose a lot of their favorite online celebrities who don't have the money to continue their blogs or maintain websites. Their creativity and voices will go silent! This is America! We're all supposed to have the freedom to live our dreams! If we don't protect the open internet, we are willingly letting Cable companies decide our future, and our dreams! I don't want to be told by a cable company that I can't be an artist! I decide that, and no one else!I'm sending this to the FCC in hopes that they understand the need to keep open internet. There is no doubt that Chairman Pai will not change his mindset, and will continue to do what is in the best interest of his corporate pals at Verizon Wireless, and will ignore the millions of Americans in our outcry for him to think in the best interest of the public he's been appointed to serve.In addition to sending this to the FCC members, I'm also sending this to Senator McCaskill. Senator Blunt has previously responded to me and made it clear that he is on Pai's side of the matter making it almost useless to try and dissuade him. However, I will send my plea, again, to him. The fight is too important to just give up so easily!The FCC needs to uphold its existing net neutrality rules, based on hard earned Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Stopping his selfish plans to help the ISP providers is of utmost importance. Our best interest is not in their minds, just the accumulation of cold, hard cash to build their empires. If they win, the public loses. Please, stand up for your people! Defend the open internet and Title II's net neutrality rules!Thank you for your time!

6635. Frank Godwin, OFallon, MO, 63368
It is clear to me and friends that an open internet is best preserved by keeping the current net neutrality laws. People made it clear when the laws were proposed and passed. It is donations from the big telecoms that are trying to subvert the will of the people and the political hack that was installed by the Republicans.

6636. Shawna Beckner, Kansas City, MO, 64157
The internet should stay open as it is now. I work in advertising and a large portion of our business is building websites. All websites should be able to be found equally and not based on what the government thinks is best. The United States is the best country in the world and this is one of the many reasons for that. There are other things happening in the political climate that is trying to change that - let's not pile this on top of all of that. Keep it open! Thank you!

6637. Ken Newkirk, Sunrise Beach, MO, 65079
Need to keep internet free and open, not controlled by the operators.

6638. Zachary Schuetter, Kansas City, MO, 64155
I believe that Net Neutrality is something that is important for the internet, I would like to be able to use my internet access that I pay for every month from Google Fiber, to be able to access the variety of sites that I use on a daily basis. These sites in no particular order are Facebook Twitter, Youtube, Twitch.tv, and on top of that, I use it to visit various gaming websites to learn about different games that I am interested in or am currently playing to check on the status of the games. I also use the internet to read different articles on news websites and read about things that interest in my academic and professional career as a history major and a preservationist. I hope that the Net Neutrality rules are upheld and stay in the position that they are currently in because I would hate for certain ISP's to take down certain websites because they want to prioritize their content over other content.

6639. Elizabeth Hicks, O Fallon, MO, 63368
Net Neutrality is a necessity, not a want, not a desire, a NEED. I am disabled and use the internet for everything, paying my bills, accessing information, and keeping in contact with my loved ones since it is difficult for me to leave my home. Allowing Net Neutrality to die would destroy all of that and put things I NEED behind paywalls or outright deny me from accessing them.I also live in a place with limited options for high speed internet. If Net Neutrality is gone, suddenly my options are even more limited because each ISP is going to design their own rules for what I can and cannot access, along with deciding what I will have to pay for the service.The FCC's role in Net Neutrality should not be stopping citizens from accessing the internet, it should be in protecting out freedom to access the internet in any way we choose, at prices that are not prohibitive.

6640. Brigid Dolan, Ballwin, MO, 63021
My name is Brigid Dolan, and I am a sophomore at Saint Louis University in Missouri. I urge you to keep the Internet open. I am currently a student, and am struggling financially ever since my father passed away in December. I am paying for most of my college and living expenses myself, and require an open Internet to make part of my income. I sell art work freelance through the Internet, and without it I would not be able to attend my current university. The futures of many students, like myself, are at stake with this decision, and I hope that you can make the correct one. Thank you.

6641. NetNeutrality, St. Louis, MO, 63122
Net Neutrality is key to a fair and open system. To protect the consumer and the rights of the people Net Neutrality must not be compromised. Breaching Net Neutrality will place the citizens of many nations INCLUDING US citizens under grave threat of exploitation by numerous commercial interests. Furthermore, I am troubled both by the connections of the director of the FCC with certain commercial interests and that the people have not been allowed to vote on such a key issue.

6642. Eric James, Blue Springs, MO, 64029
KEEP THE INTERNET FREE AND OPEN!!!!

6643. Kathy Sheridan, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
I am in favor of net neutrality. I don't think providers should be able to charge more for access to content. The net should be "free" to everyone so that poor users have equal access to those who can afford unlimited access.

6644. Ethan, Imperial, MO, 63052
DO NOT repeal NET Neutrality

6645. Josh Wallace, Ozark, MO, 65721
Instead of working for what corporations want, work for what clearly most of America wants.

6646. Jacob, Poplar Bluff, MO, 63901
The internet needs net neutrality and I am all for it!

6647. korie larson, salem, MO, 65560
cmon dude Kappa

6648. Daniel Alvey, columbia, MO, 65201
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Internet service providers, nor anyone, should be able to deny or hinder access to any website

6649. Ashley Harness, Sugar Creek, MO, 64054
We pay for the Internet; the entire Internet. Not just what the ISP wants us to see.

6650. Joseph Christlieb, Saint Louis, MO, 63121

Telecom companies are some of the worst monopolies in this country. Please do not give them even more power over the consumer than they already have.

6651. Joseph Colona, Fenton, MO, 63026
Why on earth would I want my ISP's to have the ability to filter the content that I can see or the webpages I can go to? Where some people live they can only get certain ISP's, and with that meaning they are subject to only certain content that their ISP will allow them to see. It's not the case that the consumer is unhappy with the content that they can see and can switch ISP, because they can't, due to the geographical location where they live.Everyone should be given a choice of the content they would like to see on the internet, and not be restricted by anyone. You know which country does not allow their citizens full access to the internet and does NOT allow them to view whatever they like...? NORTH KOREA. America is about freedom of speech, and freedom of choices. Why are we deciding now that we should take the freedom of choice of the internet away? I know we're talking about a global scale here, but we're voting on this in America, where democracy and freedom runs supreme. It seems we're taking freedoms away from people with this act.

6652. Neal Patrick Damhorst, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
I can't believe we're having to fight for this again. You work for the citizens of the USA, not ISPs!

6653. Marlene M Wine Chase, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I am writing in support of keeping the Internet free and open. A free, open Internet is immensely supportive of our democratic ideals and allows each person freedom, equality, and opportunity.

6654. Alexander Murphy, Boonville, MO, 65233
The internet needs to be open and free. In the small town where I live there are only 2 internet service providers. I already pay upwards of $100 a month for, embarrassingly slow internet that has a data cap of 250gb. As much as I don't care for government rules, corporations should be less in control of the media I choose to consume and how quickly I get to do so. So I ask that Net Neutrality rules remain as they are. Thank you for your time.

6655. Dylan Dopler, Centralia, MO, 65240
I am fully for net neutrality. I strongly urge the FCC to not allow the ISP's to dictate our internet experience. Keep the internet open.

6656. William E. Utterback, Columbia, MO, 65201
Reducing availability of information based solely on the ability of content providers and end users ability to pay or be liked by a corporation is unacceptable and unnecessarily segregates small websites from large web based organizations, and creates an avenue for ISPs to extort money from content providers by making content unavailable to end users. Our taxes help provide infrastructure maintenance and growth for these ISPs and should bar them from creating what are essentially tolls on data at the whim of large ISPs that have effective monopolies in many localities.

6657. Zarian Stadler, St. Charles, MO, 63303
This is inherently unjust and a blatant attempt to monopolize a worldwide platform that should be available to every person, not just those who are willing to and able to shell out extra cash for exclusivity sake. I trust that the FCC board will come to their senses and reverse this attempt to make the internet just another matter of big business

6658. Lauren Nash, Florissant, MO, 63033
Wow I didn't know we lived in communist China and North Korea where they restrict your access! No wait its just diet communism beliefs with added Capitalism because of greed!

6659. Eric D. Smith, Dittmer, MO, 63023
I'm a rural home owner living in a large urban area. I don't have any wired internet here... NONE. Fix that too!

6660. henry powell, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I see no reason at all that net neutrality should come to an end, except for the reasons that would damage freedom of information and individual liberties while enriching a select few.

6661. James Almond, Columbia, MO, 65201
We must keep Net Neutrality.The internet is not something that should be censored, throttled, blocked, or controlled by internet service providers. Ending net neutrality will give this power and more to internet monopolies in the US. Over 88% of the US currently supports internet neutrality, so ending it is not a discussion we should even be having. We have already stopped numerous similar bills from passing, and this one should be no different. When will congress learn that this isn't a test of endurance, US citizens have already spoken against other similar bills so stop trying to pass the same crap over and over again. Im sure that the AT&T along with Comcast and Verizon are offering government billions to pass this bill, but for once try not to think about money, and instead think about the millions of citizens who will not be voting for you in the next election if net neutrality is taken down. I hope you'll make the right decision. Sincerely, James

6662. Evan Bruner, Joplin, MO, 64801
I cannot express the impact that the neutrality of the internet has had on my education, through the development of my curiosity. Without the ability to begin learning about anything that interested me, I would likely not be a person who strongly wishes to contribute to society.

6663. matthew seppanen, Maplewood, MO, 63143
Net Neutrality is a requirement for maintaining the First Amendment on the internet. Companies like Comcast, Time Warner, and Cox Cable, are some of the most hated companies due to their anti-competition agreements, and these are the companies that stand to benefit from reversal. Reversing net neutrality gives these companies more power over consumers, The American people want freedom of expression and basic freedoms on the internet. No company should have power to say what you can and cannot do on the internet

(within legality). Reversing 17-108 will be a step back for Democracy and a step forward in corruption for our government by giving in to lobbyists that do not have the American Public in their interests.You are the government for and by the people, not for companies or their profits. Please keep that in mind when you look into this issue.

6664. Robert Handley, Kirksville, MO, 63501
Do not kill net neutrality, rather make it stronger. The American public is getting screwed by big carriers/lobbying and this bill has nothing to do with consumer well being. This bill is clearly a Trump incited journey towards screwing the poor in favor of the rich. I will not vote for any candidate that supports this crappy bill.

6665. Henry Heinze, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
I support net neutrality, keep the internet free and open

6666. Jordan Dubin, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I think you guys know the right choice. Keep the internet free and accessible for everyone.

6667. Robert Plummer, St. Charles, MO, 63304
Don't ruin the enjoyment of the internet for the majority for a select greedy few.

6668. Dan McLenna, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
I stand with many others against this proposal. Please help to preserve net neutrality and protect the internet from corporate interests. The flow of information is essential to our everyday lives and is what allows and advances civilization. Please keep the Title II rules in place to prevent unchecked control from ISP's over the internet. The internet is not a truly free market as most of us only have 1 choice or a poor choice between providers.We gain nothing by passing this proposal and only stand to fall further behind technically from other nations who are willing to treat the internet with the respect and protections it deserves.Please stand with Million's of Americans against this proposal and help to protect ours and our children's technological future by keeping Title II regulations in place.Thank you for your time,

6669. David, Niangua, MO, 65713
The internet shouldn't be owned by big corporation nor government, keep net neutrality.

6670. Chris Drost, Kansas City, MO, 64105
My name is Chris Drost. I am a dual citizen of the US and the Netherlands, educated as a physicist and engineer, and I work in Kansas City, MO creating information management tools which private corporations use in order to police the Internet and protect themselves and their affiliates from lawsuits and fines.I cannot believe that we in the US are about to join the likes of China, Iran, Myanmar, North Korea, and Syria in our decision to abandon net neutrality. I must strongly urge against this if we want to do our patriotic duty and keep America great, as this is something which separates the values of all Western civilization and first-world countries from the values of third-world countries. Ajit Pai: if you do this, while I understand why you want limits on the FCC's authority, you are personally failing in your duty to our country and keeping it a better place. You are abdicating this duty to protect innovation and free speech and market competition, in the name of personal ties to your former employer that honestly should no longer be relevant.As should be now well-known by anyone reading this, Verizon famously sued the FCC, and won, by claiming that the FCC did not have authority under Title I of the Communications Act to impose net neutrality on its network. The court, however, also said that such impositions were allowed so long as Verizon was under Title II of the same act, leading the FCC to reclassify it and other internet service providors under Title II. Now, ISPs like Verizon have powerful oligopolies and monopolies over the provision of internet in the US. Basic economic theory proves this: in a truly competitive market prices go down; but we in the U.S. pay much more here than anyone in Europe does for the same or slower Internet, as has been documented well both by OECD and the Open Technology Institute's Cost of Connectivity reports. Or, look at their customer service: competitive markets for bigger commodities typically support those companies who do better at customer service; look e.g. at the rise of Dell in the role of corporate computer sales, simply due to them having better support systems than the likes of Packard-Bell and IBM. You can recite the Allstate Insurance motto off the top of your head right now precisely because it promises good customer service. And heck, this is half the reason why Apple is kicking ass at its field of all-integrated electronics. But the only American ISP whose service I have ever heard *anyone* say good things about is T-Mobile, and of course they also support net neutrality, because if you'll pardon the profanity, the death of net neutrality only favors the monopolistic assholes. Typical homes have only one or two choices for broadband internet, and those companies bleed our consumers for far more than they are entitled to by market competition.This reclassification back to Title I might have been a good idea if FCC regulations were causing these monopolies, but they are not. Nobody's barrier to entry in this is that the FCC rules are too strong. So Mr. Pai, please do not lie to yourself and pretend that you are a great crusader in leveling this playing field. You will not be. Anticompetitive practices will continue, and you are going to enable more of them.**Net neutrality is a form of free-trade agreement.** Because internet access in the US is monopolized, ISPs on the Internet function much like countries do in realspace. What ISPs are asking for, is your permission to levy tariffs on imports and exports, so that they can restrict and profit from the trade on their parts of their networks. Right now those ISPs cannot do this because they are accountable to their realspace governments who require them to not affect commerce. If you abandon net neutrality, they will do exactly what corporations are built to do, what perhaps we might even say they have a *duty* to do: they will create profits for their investors at the expense of everyone else in their way.Those import/export taxes are coming. The big ISPs have admitted it directly. AT&T, Comcast, and Time Warner cable have all been caught with their pants down, throttling commerce in order to try to make more money by reducing costs; Verizon has outright admitted that they wish to levy these taxes to raise revenues. The only difference is that the government they impose is not by the people or for the people; it is by dictators and for their investors. There is a powerful reason that our country has been hunting down monopolies for over a hundred years (I'm thinking the Sherman and Clayton acts, specifically) -- and it's that they are profoundly un-American. The Title-II classification of ISPs is one of the few remaining bulwarks protecting American (really, Western Civ) values on the Internet, and if the FCC reclassifies them as Title-I then it is abandoning those values and the very bedrock that keeps America great. Furthermore, I can faithfully say due to my status as a physicist who did his undergraduate work at a top university in the US and then recently graduated with a Master's in Applied Physics from a top Dutch university: the US is slowly abdicating its station as the leader of scientific advancement in the world, and this hit to our information infrastructure will only deepen that wound, too. Net neutrality, after all, protects self-educated kids who are rising out of

poverty by education: great innovators I have personally met in mathematics and physics and chemistry and biology whose unique perspectives could not have been possible before the Internet age. These great collaborators who I have met will be lost to poverty if their school's Internet connection is throttling educational websites that could not pay up for their "fast lane" access, as I'm sure most educational websites will not. And that's problematic because they have the most potential to disrupt the status quo in their fields and create whole new branches of science. I'm not understating that: the 2010 Nobel Prize in Physics was for the 2004 isolation of a flat-sheet 2D crystal called graphene. You might be expecting some big expensive laser ensemble somehow cut these flat sheets out with very high technology, but in fact those approaches had been tried and failed. What worked was no big-budget production: it was simply putting a piece of Scotch tape on the correct side of a 3D crystal (graphite, like you have in your pencil) and peeling off the resulting 2d sheet. And it won the Nobel Prize because in the 6 years after, graphene research exploded into a huge subtopic of physics. Some little creative spark like "What if we just try to peel some off with Scotch tape?" can be the genesis of a hugely active scientific research program; and these little sparks do not come from massive government investments in science and technology, but from a diversity of thinkers who come from radically different contexts. Net neutrality promotes this diversity of thought and allows people who would never have had access to an education to come to the table.Of course, I don't think that this reclassification, by itself, will destroy America. But I do think that future administrations will have to painfully roll it back, if that is possible at all. This reclassification is one small knife in America's back, and even if it is not fatal, it is cowardly and unpatriotic. If you care about free trade, democracy, competitive markets, free speech, or even our status as the beacon of scientific progress in this world, I urge you to classify Internet Service Providers under Title II and demand that they keep the Internet free-trade and uncensored.

6671. Dustin Witt, Springfield, MO, 65810
I would like to keep my free and open internet so please keep Neutrality!

6672. Joseph Viehland, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Please keep the NET Nuetral and do not allow the ISP's to limit the internet in any way.

6673. Bryan Pollard, Florissant, MO, 63033
Hands off you pricks!

6674. Brighton Fleetwood, Wentzville, MO, 63348
Keep the internet free, keep the Title II rules, and listen to the collective will of millions over the elite few at the heads of internet service providers.

6675. Derek Howard, Columbia, MO, 65202
Net neutrality is essential for many internet entrepreneur's success. I'm a part-time small business owner, part-time developer for an online high school, and a full-time internet researcher. All of my endeavors depend on a free and open internet. I depend on open source software and certain video hosting providers which could be devastated without a free internet. The internet was originally imagined as a place where people could share their work freely, and easily connect their work to others'. That innovative spirit has made the internet what it is today, a sector responsible for about 6% of the GDP.Allowing ISPs to manipulate that market is against the American value of fair competition. In many areas, there is only one cable provider, so people don't really have a choice in what ISP they choose. They can either choose broadband from the monopolistic cable provider, or internet with harsh data caps and bad latency from cell/satellite providers. That's not real choice. Since there are not laws to protect consumers from monopolistic practices in their local markets, it's unlikely competition between cable companies offering unfiltered service could ever compete with cable companies that oppose net neutrality. Supporting this hypocritical "Restoring Internet Freedom" act means a dying internet, and will hurt the small businesses that make America great.

6676. Timothy Gann, Independence, MO, 64052
This is an act against our liberties as American Citizens.

6677. Marcus I., 1427 Nixon Avenue, MO, 63130
In my opinion, Net Neutrality does not useful for anyone besides higher up in cable companies. Everyone else will, in some way or another, be harmed if Net Neutrality is ended. Internet is considered a utility here in U.S, and it needs to stay that way.I might not be anyone of any importance, but I, personally, need Net Neutrality. Having an open internet has saved my life. I was horribly depressed and on the verge of suicide, but then I found my best friends on sites like Reddit and Twitch. They helped me get out of my shell and talk about what was hurting me and they gave me the courage go find the necessary help I needed.I hope someone in the FCC understands how important an open internet is. There could be a kid out there like me who didn't have much besides the internet and needs help, if Net Neutrality is killed then they might not be able to get that help. I'd rather not think about what would happen because of that.

6678. Matthew Naquin, Kansas City, MO, 64108
Please keep net neutrality in place. This is An issue that is of great concern to me, and will definitely help to dictate how I vote (and who I vote for) in the future. Net neutrality helps keep all parties equal. Furthermore I believe that for our continued success as a country access to broadband internet should be looked at as a utility instead of the way it's handled now. Thank you for your time.

6679. Michael Cannady, LEES SUMMIT, MO, 64086
The internet needs net neutrality, the internet needs to remain free from extra unfair charges, remain free for freedom of speech, and remain free from those who try to control it

6680. Anthony Westenkirchner, Kansas City, MO, 64151
The fundamental nature of the internet is under attack, which is why I support net neutrality and free expression. If we lose the open internet, we will lose our ability to freely speak out and choose for ourselves. We will lose our control to choose where we go on the internet because ISPs will be allowed to block or slow down access to websites, in addition to creating new pay-to-play schemes that would give the wealthiest corporations more power to quash competing voices while limiting the choice of American citizens.

6681. Raymond Zhong, Grover, MO, 63040
I am a high school student who aspires to eventually become a professional video game designer, and I will soon be going into a computer science major. I use the internet to be able to keep in contact with my friends and family. I need it to obtain information and news about the world. In fact, a lot of my life is heavily dependent on it. I'm opposed to the repealing of net neutrality laws because I do not want my connection to be throttled and be forced to pay more to ISP if I want to access faster speeds. I am glad that we have been able to keep the internet fair and free so far, but we need to continue this trend for a more efficient society in general.

6682. Alexis Stockton, St. Louis, MO, 63139
Keep the internet open! I do not want people controlling my internet experience.

6683. Trevor Lon, springfield, MO, 65804
Net neutrality is a very important thing to me and I want to keep it

6684. Keegan Shanahan Hoffman, Olivette, St. Louis, MO, 63132
If you get rid of net neutrality, you get rid of part of what makes the Internet the Internet. If you had destroyed net neutrality before, it might take me hours or days to write this, but instead, it's taking minutes. You created Title II for a reason. Remember that reason. The Internet begs you, from the lowliest troll to the most famous gamer, please, keep net neutrality.

6685. Jesse Hill, Greenwood, MO, 64034
The internet should be open to spread ideas. This should not be restricted by how much money you have to pay for speed. Treating the apex of human expression like something that can be sold is wasteful. Please don't restrict the access we have to the internet.

6686. Evan Sanning, Liberty, MO, 64068
No ISP should limit my access to Internet content. I pay for access and bandwidth I want and my ISP should not be able to throttle or block my access to the content I want to consume. Being able to block content and limiting options is called a monopoly, which we have laws to prevent. Some areas don't have multiple options for ISPs. Do not give ISPs the ability to control how people use the internet, it should be open because it certainly isn't free.Thank you.

6687. Anthony Wilson, Columbia, MO, 65203
Net Neutrality is THE fundamental concept of the Internet. We all count on having access to the FULL internet, not some pre-selected portion. NO. If net neutrality is not maintained, then all we do is set up gatekeepers who will decide what we do and do not see, and who will use their positions to extract profits from desperate users. It's a disaster for most, and a boon for a few lucky individuals. It's just plain Un-American.I DEMAND NET NEUTRALITY, NOW AND FOR ALL TIME\!!!

6688. Kara White, Fulton, MO, 65251
Net neutrality is an important part of the free and fair flow of information. Please ensure it remains free and fair for everyone.

6689. Alexander Groves, Arnold, MO, 63010
I work in IT, in a public school district. I recognize the harm that removing Net Neutrality could cause. Not just for my own personal use of the internet, but for education. This is not a matter of free market ideals, this is a case of corporate abuse of power. Please, if there is a single bone of understanding in your body, do not allow the moneyed interests of corporations and communications providers to take the freedom we have and monetize it.

6690. Murron Paeth, Maryville, MO, 64468
I truly hope that you keep net neutrality. So many people use it as a way to gain extra money, to gain educations, to stay in contact with their families, and so on. Many students, mostly college kids, require the internet to do homework assignments. Much of what is learned is learned through the Internet. We cannot afford to charge for internet any more than we do.

6691. Evan Paul, Leasburg, MO, 65535
Keep it free and open!!

6692. Andrew Park, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
Writing in favor of net neutrality. If telecom companies do not want to be "regulated", they should also pay for access to right of way between cities and for every square foot of sidewalk dug up. As a lobbyist, chairman Pai has no credibility and should recuse himself from the proceedings.

6693. Jason Sullivan, Saint Louis, MO, 63126
This cannot be allowed. Anyone that thinks this will help innovation or create jobs is sadly mistaken. The internet has always been open and fair, it should remain that way. There is no world where this will not be used to abuse and hold hostage users and businesses. What is funny is the current President and Congress have no idea what kind of storm this will create. You think messing with people's healthcare is bad, try messing with a bunch of internet trolls internet access. This will end badly for us all, please think about what you are doing. You will stymie the growth of technology which is the biggest growth sector of jobs in the country and will be for a long time. If you hamstring that industry we are doomed to fail as a country. Please, I beg you, don't let this stand.

6694. Brandi Purchas, Raytown, MO, 64133
I use the internet for research and development within the fitness industry. I operate a website geared towards coaching clients in strength and conditioning. I enjoy streaming services, such as Netflix, Amazon Prime, and HBO Now. I pay the monthly fees for these services and enjoy the content they provide. I am a patron of Google Fiber, I am a content creator, and I demand that the FCC make the proper decision regarding Net Neutrality; It is unethical to allow corporations to "buy out" and essentially be allowed to throttle what should be considered a public utility.I urge the FCC to consider the long-term consequences should this be overturned. The internet in the United States of America is knowledge, community, research, education, entertainment, and diversity. Should the FCC repeal Net Neutrality, I fear that we would be well on our way to a dystopian future with censorship, throttling of content and data, and exuberant

pricing for the internet.

6695. Dominic Pomanto, Springfield, MO, 65810
Limiting the use of internet is a corrupt policy and should never be something voted on by people so influenced by big business

6696. Seth Steenbergen, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
To the FCC, Net Neutrality needs to stay. As someone with limited access to high speed internet, I barely get by with what I wish to use the internet for. Currently all the options for internet in my area are essentially the same thing all for the same price. Nearly all of my neighbors express the same concern too, with the limited access to the net we have already, taking away Net Neutrality will only hurt more and I say this along with millions of others, we will not stand for it.

6697. Rebecca Godsey, Republic, MO, 65738
It is vital that we maintain the current regulations on net neutrality. It's absence restricts the flow of ideas, benefits the wealthy and stunts growth for new businesses and ventures.

6698. Kenton Hawley, Harrisonville, MO, 64701
I want to go to what websites I want, when I want, with the internet speed I pay for.

6699. Luke, Columbia, MO, 65203
Do not destroy net neutrality, it will only serve to restrict control for users everywhere. It will hurt the poor, middle class, many online business' and entrepreneurs. If this passes in the U.S.A, Europe will most likely follow-suit and even more people who rely on the internet will suffer. Please do not allow this to come to pass, we the people of the internet beg you.

6700. Adrian Cooper, Carrollton, MO, 64633
The internet should be a free open source for everyone to use without influence of the companies and people who's sole purpose is to profit from providing access to the internet.

6701. Julius Leachman III, St. Louis, MO, 63134
Keep The Internet Open! All of this Government Regulation will do nothing to better it or anything else that has high Government Regulation for that matter.

6702. Paul M Glaese, Cuba, MO, 65453
I am concerned about moving away from net neutrality and believe in a free, none prioritized internet. This has been a revolutionary time for humanity and might be considered a golden age for us in the future. Just don't do it!! Keep the filters out of the hands of big money/big companies. The playing field should be kept level for all. Thank you for your consideration.

6703. David Burgan II, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
Please support Net Neutrality as a policy applicable to the internet. Treat internet acces as a utility given the natural monopolies apparent and regional limitations on access and speed. Thank you.

6704. Lindsey Hastings, Kansas City, MO, 64137
I support net neutrality and so should you. Thank you in advance.

6705. Byron Allen, Lee's Summit, MO, 64064
By rolling back Net Neutrality free speech is stifled. Doing so would only continue to prove that corporations are valued above the consumer, the people. Don't allow ISPs to control information with such impunity.

6706. James Daniel Adams, Nixa, MO, 65714
Net neutrality is too important to let corporate greed ruin our lives. You cannot control the free spirit of America and you cannot control the free spirit of the net. Repealing net neutrality would have serious far-reaching repercussions. Please keep the net free from corporate greed.

6707. Patrick Zelaya, Saint Louis, MO, 63126
The "Restoring Internet Freedom" Act is a misnomer in itself. Right now the people of this country have full and open access to the information highway. The internet provides an unlimited amount of materials and services which are invaluable to those trying to educate themselves, start a new business, further their career, and make their voices heard to others across the world. The defenses for repealing net neutrality laws are weak at best. Some articles claim that a repeal will benefit the consumer and the economy, and that a stand in favor of net neutrality is over-dramatized. When it comes to explaining what the benefits are to the consumer and economy, these articles falls flat and deliver no substantial answer. And to say that the issue is being over-dramatized is to say that businesses such as Microsoft, Google, Amazon, Facebook, Ebay, Netflix, Twitter, and many others are just being silly about the whole issue. So if it is true that we the people are able to, right now, employ and explore any part of the internet we need or desire with no restrictions and absolute freedom, than how does an Act titled "Restoring Internet Freedom" make any sense whatsoever? It doesn't. It's a misnomer. It describes freedom in regard to restoring the Internet Service Providers' ability to put a stranglehold on the internet so that they can price gouge any and all who use the internet. It has nothing to do with restoring the freedom of the internet which the people have lost, because we have that freedom already. Don't take it away. If net neutrality is reversed we will inevitably be subjected to paid prioritization, throttling, and blocking. Our voices will no longer be free on an open forum, but will be censored and blocked based on the best interests and preferences of the ISPs. It is important that the FCC do its duty in protecting the American People from discrimination and fraudulent business practices. I firmly support the FCC's February 26, 2015 ruling in favor of net neutrality, and the April 13, 2015 published final rule enforcing net neutrality regulations.

6708. Jacob Narcise, Independence, MO, 64058
Alright I'ma get straight to the point, we absolutely need net neutrality, I feel like I speak for everyone when I say that internet is already

slow enough and already cost more money than we'd all like so requiring cites that are already struggling or that aren't run by multi billon dollar companies to pay absurd amounts of money just so they can hope and pray that they're paying enough for their site or service or app to even so much as load while these million and billion dollar companies get to let thier sites or services or apps run at unbelievably fast speeds is not something we are going to just sit by and let happen we NEED a free and open internet not just another toy for the billonares to play with.

6709. Jeffrey Quix, Osage Beach, MO, 65065
The internet must remain how it is. All information should be free. Nobody should be able to restrict what we want to see when we want to see it. The internet is FREE and must remain so. Thank you.

6710. Evan Nothmann, St. Louis, MO, 63110
Please keep the internet free and open to all. Fast lanes, prioritized traffic, or differential billing for particular sites will have a negative effect on the freedom of the internet. Please do not allow ISPs that are already massively profitable and unpopular to further exploit the American consumer. Thanks!

6711. Mary LaRoche, Kansas City, MO, 64108
The internet needs to remain a free access experience to all. Limiting and charging access fees to benefit the few will be severely detrimental to the lives of all. This will effectively catapult us back into the technological Dark Ages.

6712. David Michael Frost Jr., St. Peters, MO, 63376
Can't you see this is just another way for the ISPs to make money at the expense of the consumer.

6713. Ryen Somirs, Springfield, MO, 65807
Please leave the internet open and don't allow corporations to throttle or restrict content or provide high speed paths for those with the money to do so. Making these changes would put control in the hands of the providers that consumers are already paying just to access the web. Consumers don't need more roadblocks, higher costs and inferior, frustrating service. The internet has become a staple of daily life and should be left open.

6714. Tim Kafka, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
Net neutrality is important to my business and all of the other small businesses that I freelance for. Without the current checks and balances that insure everyone of my clients get a fair shot at being noticed on the web and in search results is integral to all of the SEO and google analytics ranking work that I do. Keep the net neutral please.

6715. Benjamin Dreppard, St Louis, MO, 63129
This is something that can not go through. It will completely destroy small businesses or people attempting to make a small business. It can ruin websites that are already popular too. The job I want involves me working online and if my content isn't deemed good enough or something like that it can just be blocked. People won't be able to do the things they want and will be at the whim of a service provider. That's not right.

6716. Devin Richardson, Liberty, MO, 64068
I support a free and open internet. The net neutrality rules put in place to protect consumers need to be kept as they are and not changed to allow i.s.p. to throttle certain websites.

6717. Richard Shaffer, Saint Joseph, MO, 64503
I am in favor of keeping Title 2 designation for ISPs and protecting to concept of "Net Neutrality".

6718. Benjamin Penland, St. Joseph, MO, 64504
Small businesses make up a large segment of the US economy despite the disadvantages they face against the largest corporations. Net Neutrality rules have helped to keep the internet a more level playing field for small business.In my area there are few choices for broadband internet access.. The FCC should ensure that consumers are protected from, among other things, invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers. Thank youBenjamin Penland

6719. Eric Noles, St Louis, MO, 63144
As a consumer and voter, weakening net neutrality does not benefit me in any way. And that's not even considering the potential for abuse by ISPs. If our goal is to do what's best for the people, then why is weakening these regulations even being considered? If it's really such a strain on the ISPs to meet these regulations, why doesn't the price of these services just adjust to compensate, isn't that the point of capitalism+free market? Net Neutrality regulations were made for a reason and have a very important purpose.

6720. Thomas Miller, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
I support net neutrality and Title II classification of ISP's. Do not change our internet for profits.

6721. Paula Eames, Independence, MO, 64057
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai,I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Do not roll back these regulations. Thanks!

6722. James Griggs, Saint Joseph, MO, 64507
Net neutrality needs to stay in place. The internet should be a free place for everyone and not be dictated by internet service providers. I should not have my access or speeds limited due to someone just because they want me to pay more or because someone pays more than me.

6723. Jason Rooneo, Ofallon, MO, 63366
I don't want our internet becoming a capitalist version of the Chinese internet where businesses will get to decide what information

should be accessible. Do you want information to more freely disperse so citizens can develop intellectually or do you want business to be able to control that allowing them to push the population further towards being mindless workers?

6724. Andrew Tenholder, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
Net neutrality is important to keeping the internet open and accessible. Allowing companies to prioritize certain content, especially in a market with very limited competition, creates many unnecessary opportunities for abuse. These companies are highly profitable within the confines of the current laws, there is no reason to remove them. These laws are good for individuals and small businesses. The internet is already trending towards monopolies, removing net neutrality will only speed this process up, while also stifling innovation. This is a big business money grab and should be recognized as such.

6725. Mathew Brady, St. Ann, MO, 63074
The internet needs to be they way it currently is and not changed into how cable networks run television!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

6726. Matt Wallace, Eureak, MO, 63025
It is important for the free exchange of information to remain at the core of our communication laws. Leaving the internet open without restrictions and keeping US citizens safe from ISPs issuing restrictions is the cornerstone of democratic freedom. We must work together to keep communication via the internet free of undue regulation and restrictions. It is important to note that governments that overly regulate the internet also have another trait in common; they are opposed to the very freedoms that our great nation stands for and we must strive to rein in over ambitious government involvement in the life of its citizens. This is especially true when concerned with the freedom of communication and the sharing of ideas.

6727. Peter Smith, Springfield, MO, 65807
Not only will killing Net Neutrality be a loss for open internet freedom, but it will be a major cornerstone in what would be the FCC monopolizing what ISP's decide what can and cannot be displayed on the internet based on corporate interests.

6728. Margaret S. Johnson Motley, Kansas City, MO, 64134
Leave the INTERNET FREE. Stop all proceedings that are trying to aid and enrich, even more, a bunch of greedy telecommunication companies.

6729. Scott Saccone, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I urge the FCC to maintain Net Neutrality. Revoking Net Neutrality would allow internet service providers (ISPs) to force their customers to use only those products, such as video, gaming, etc, that benefit the ISP. This is an outrageous infringement on the use of the internet infrastructure. It is akin to a phone company charging a premium when you talk on the phone to another company. I again urge the FCC not allow the disaster that would occur should Net Neutrality be revoked.

6730. Aaron Dharna, St. Louis, MO, 63105
Without the internet being open and unrestricted, I would not have been able to continue my education this past year. I use the internet every single day for tasks like watching netflix, performing computer science research, or sending out job applications. As is, there is NO competition nearby me for internet. My only choice is Spectrum, and when their internet is spotty or just plain bad, I have no other choices. It is up the FCC to protect consumers and declassifying the internet from Title II protections is not just not protecting the internet, it will actively hurt many businesses and people like me. When I use water, the water-company has no say in HOW i use said water. They can only bill me about how MUCH water I use. Giving ISPs the ability to slow down my traffic (which it has been proved they do), is like if the water company were to charge me more for using my water to take a bath rather than to pour myself a drink. Lastly, I think that it is a shame that we have to have this fight again after having settled it a couple of year ago.

6731. Kim Seiz, Wildwood, MO, 63040
The American public wants to keep net neutrality as the law. Do not reverse it!

6732. Nolan M Wall, kansas city, MO, 64111
Please don't dismantle net neutrality, greed shouldn't dictate the availability of information.

6733. Robert Gregory Moreland, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
Net Neutrality is an investment in our future. Limiting access to sites and throttling bandwidth only benefits those already in power. It also restricts access to information, which can only hurt us as a democracy.I will vote against any of my government representatives who support the end of Net Neutrality. I will work to raise campaign funds for those who oppose the end of Net Neutrality.

6734. Traci Knoppe, New Haven, MO, 63068
I pay for internet service, I want full access and freedom, without interference!

6735. Phillip Liesenfeld, Ballwin, MO, 63021
The Internet is a critical utility in the modern day and age. To classify it as anything otherwise is pure, unadulterated ignorance and shows an extreme lack of vision and appreciation for the modern world and all that technology brings to it. Broadband usage must be continued to be classified as a utility the same as clean water or electricity. Any American wishing to compete in the modern world must have access to it. Net neutrality and the classifications that it invokes lay the foundations for the future and must be allowed to continue in their current state if America and her citizens are to compete in the modern world and in the future.

6736. jacob, moutain view, MO, 65548
The Internet needs to stay the way it is. Either on my Pc or my phone, I choose what i want to see.

6737. Dale Belluomini, Canton, MO, 63435
The Internet is a sacred place to many, it's where people can be who they truly are without worrying about judgment or harrassment. It's also the place where people can go to enjoy the company of others, and talk to others on a global scale and realize the world isn't all

about them.Please, to remove Net Neutrality would be a killing blow to the possibilities of people being people, denying them the freedom to information, and learning about new cultures and philosophies through the other people that inhabit this world. Do not allow hatred and bigotry to blind us, just keep the Internet free for all.

6738. Justin Hoon, Poplar Bluff, MO, 63901
Paying extra to see different websites and throttling our data that we have already paid for does not benefit anyone, aside from those that are holding said websites hostage. You wouldn't expect someone to buy a keyboard and force them to pay a dollar every time they want to use the enter key. At it's very core, the internet is a tool. A tool to communicate with other people, discuss ideas, share information, and above all; LEARN. Forcing users to pay extra is nothing more than greedy abuse of power.Employers are moving towards online only applications. License bureau offices are online now. College applications are no longer sent through the mail, but through a filing process on that institutes website. High Schools are teaching kids to research online instead of visiting libraries because of how beneficial the internet is as a tool. The internet isn't just for pornography and cute cat pictures. The internet is for EVERYTHING. Destroying net neutrality will destroy the way we as a society function.

6739. Nathaniel Konemann, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Everyone deserves the same ability to access information on an equal playing field.

6740. 1, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
A free and open internet is a vital part of our democracy. Please keep it open and out of the control of the big communications companies.

6741. Alexander Boschert, Kansas City, MO, 64118
The neutrality of our internet is a sacred thing to us as the human race - all access should be made equal, and should be treated as a basic human right.

6742. ken bradford, sedalia, MO, 65301
Please retain the present stance on internet access; no restrictions on net neutrality.

6743. Daniel Cannon, Kansas City, MO, 64154
If isp can block or slow down certain websites people lose jobs from this and try to get a job in the all ready hard to find jobs. Which would increase unemployment from losing jobs over the internet and finding them or showing what you can do/accomplished

6744. Adam Miller, Kansas City, MO, 64113
I write in support of the existing net neutrality regulations and against the proposed rulemaking. Defining internet service providers as common carriers is a common sense regulation designed to ensure that individual ISPs cannot control user access to individual internet content. In a world in which a majority of data and communication is now carried over broadband internet rather than telephone and cable (in fact such services are now themselves commonly carried over internet broadband) classifying internet service providers as anything other than a common carrier is illogical. The proposed rulemaking does not "restore internet freedom". Rather, it will allow carriers to dictate to their consumers what content they can receive and how they receive it. Such regulations will stifle, rather promote, the development of broadband internet. Further, the rulemaking will ensure that bigger ISPs, which are notoriously poor at innovation and customer service, will continue to put their individual interests ahead of their customers and the public. I urge the FCC to reject the proposed rule to reclassify internet service providers as an "information service" and to continue to regulate internet providers as "common carriers".

6745. James Gannon, St Louis, MO, 63125
Keep net neutrality. I should've have to outline why. Cut the fluff from and arguments and ask: who does this REALLY benefit? Pay attention to the interests of everyday Americans, not telecommunication monopolies.

6746. Tyron Showers, Saint Louis, MO, 63113
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II! I SPECIFICALLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISPs. LIKE SERIOUSLY PLEASE KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

6747. Kevin Grinder, Springfield, MO, 65810
The FCC made the right choice two years ago - barely. Net Neutrality (Title II) is NOT a regulatory overreach that stifles free enterprise. People and the current FCC Chairman stating that Title II is a bureaucratic regulatory overreach encroaching on the open marketplace as a fact fail to see the internet as a market or choose to hate it simply because they don't like anything President Obama was a part. If Title II is repealed then the free marketplace will no longer be free, innovation will be choked, and the power will be given to large companies. Small and medium businesses, individuals, and consumers will be given the "slow" lane at the large corporations choosing. It is not merely good enough to say "oh big companies wouldn't do that". They will and can't wait. If you are an innovator, small or medium sized business owner, or just to like to watch Netflix, shop Amazon, and browse the internet, you want Title II to stay. It protects YOU. Protect Title II to keep the internet free and open allowing our economy to thrive, inspiring innovation and moving boldly beyond politics.

6748. Jim Deatherage, Springfield, MO, 65804
KEEP NET NUTRALITY!!! Uphold net neutrality and protect our open internet. I strongly encourage FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to protect a free and open internet.

6749. Veronica Reyner, O Fallon, MO, 63366
Net neutrality is extremely important, it gives a voice to anyone and everyone on the internet, big or small. It is a place for literally freedom of speech and expression, that should not be in the hands of the ISP owners. We already put up with having the some of the slowest and most expensive internet in the world because of big businesses. We pay enough bills with cable and other services that make you pick and choose what you can see or what they want you to see, the internet is no place for that!! This is something that can

and will affect more than just the US! Keep net neutrality alive!!

6750. Pro Net Neutrality, Springfield, MO, 65802
Keep the internet completely open and free!

6751. Jacob Neuner, Columbia, MO, 65203
Last hearing, I heard a senator say that democracy was not like a dancing with the stars contest. Nonsense, that is the whole point of democracy. Millions are reaching out asking you to save Net Neutrality. Please listen to us.

6752. Jason Jones, Raymore, MO, 64083
Can't believe this is a debate. Keep Net Neutrality, you greedy crooks!

6753. Pat Nienkamp, Eureka, MO, 63025
Keep the internet open and available to all users. Keep in place the net neutrality policy of the Obama administration. I do not want internet providers determining who and how fast I can access a sight.

6754. BRYAN SCARSELLA, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Protect NET NEUTRALITY, DO NOT let greedy corporations ruin our lives any more than they already are. PLEASE. DO THE RIGHT THING!!

6755. Marcia A Petrus, Wentzville, MO, 63385
Net neutrality must remain in tact, as it presently is! A neutral internet is is vital for the success, education, freedom and well-being of MILLIONS. Stop trying to assist a few billionaires to screw over the little guy! Net neutrality is vital. Don't mess with it! You want to piss off a few million people?...Then go ahead and screw with their internet. See where that gets you!

6756. Austin Driskell, Springfield, MO, 65802
The internet in this country should be free and open just like the rest of the nation's commerce and businesses. ISP's and the Gov. shouldn't interfere with the public's access to certain websites and services. Unless a website or service is harmful and/or infringes on someone's rights, it should easily available to all without running at a lower speed or with a worse connection.

6757. Milton Harris, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Come on guys, please stop. We already have to deal with the strains of everyday life now I have to worry about not being able to use throttled and blocked internet options as well? You've made plenty of money already let's calm down and let the people enjoy it

6758. Lee Engelhardt, St. Louis, MO, 63119
We NEED net neutrality, the only reason to reduce restrictions is to allow for corporate abuse of the subsequently lax rules left behind for their gain and the users' loss.

6759. Caleb Marting, St. Louis, MO, 63131
Net neutrality is necessary for a free and open internet. WE NEED NET NEUTRALITY!!!!

6760. Joseph Tortorello, St. Louis, MO, 63139
The possibility that this proceeding is even occuring is outrageous. Time and time again the people who are citizens of the United States and users of the Internet have had to fight to keep the web open and free. I am sad that we even have to do this again. I know that Internet Service companies have put a lot of money into having the Internet their way, but we have to remember that this benefits nobody except them. If the Internet is limited for the end users, ALL services on the interet will suffer.Normally, I would say that this is not an issue, as competition between service providers will take care of the issue, and people will go to whoever provides the better service. However, consider the fact that the competition between ISPs is totally fucked. Many providers require a long term contract from their customers, so customers cannot switch to a better option. And in many locations, there simply is no competition.As someone who relies on the Internet both in my personal life and for my work, I urge you to look beyond however much the ISPs have offered to pay you and consider the people and businesses that need an open Internet.

6761. Andrew Riggs, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Please keep the Internet free. Do not allow companies to slow down Internet speeds on websites offering competing services. That will ruin the experiences of consumers.

6762. Scott Crooks, St. Louis, MO, 63129
Please keep the internet free. Net neutrality is best for citizens/consumers. Changing only helps ISPs.

6763. Ariel Braswell, Branson, MO, 65616
I spend hours a day using the internet. Whether it be for educational, work, or personal purposes people should have access to all of the internet. They should be allowed to browse websites they choice without ISP limitations. What about the small businesses running websites? This will only help ISP businesses and large companies. the mom and pop business are already having problems competing against corporate business and this will only hinder them further. How often do you shop online? Half of the things I buy anymore I purchase online. I like do search through multiple options before making my choice. Sometimes the better product or deal comes from those smaller sites. If they were not able to bribed these ISP companies they would never sell anything. Perhaps this does happen and my ISP decided to limit speeds to any website other than large companies and I want to switch to a different ISP. There are only 4 primary ISPs in my area and only two of them currently offer reasonably fast internet. That's what people want. They want a webpage to load within seconds and that is why ISP are now competing over who can offer the fastest internet. The two fastest providers know that and have steep prices to match. I, unfortunately, can't afford these two so I must settle for a slower connection. If my ISP decided to limit speeds I would be sitting in front of my computer like I went back to dial up. Our society runs off the internet. How many times a day do you use the internet? I'm using it right now to type our this comment. Limiting the internet will lead to large businesses taking

over. The internet can be an amazing thing uniting people and making the large world a little smaller by communicating across large distances in a short time. Don't limit what has lead to so many advances.

6764. Austin Wiant, Bonne Terre, MO, 63628
The internet has been a free space for years, and by allowing companies to limit usage at any amount will lead to U.S. Citizens being denied what is essentially a basic right, as the internet is required to do many things, from schooling to applying for jobs and healthcare.

6765. Jacob Cadwell, Perryville, MO, 63775
If you reclassify it as Title I, it will allow cable companies to censor whatever they don't like, and I believe that is unconstitutional. I like the internet free. Keep it free.

6766. George Boyd, Springfield, MO, 65807
My internet is slow enough as it is, no internet provider or company should have the power to throttle or priority to any website. I already pay them for service the concept of allowing them to speed up or slow down access to different sites is asinine, it opens up the internet to allow my provider to charge me more to access certain sites at full speed. Do not ruin the internet for the world

6767. Christian Heatwole, Independence, MO, 64056
I support internet freedom and do not feel like being price-gouged by the ISPs any more than I am already forced to be. Internet should be a utility, not a privately-owned product. Thank you.

6768. Clark Sturdevant, St. Louis, MO, 63139
Net Neutrality is essential to maintaining our democracy as well as providing a level playing field for our markets. Entry into an internet based business should remain low cost and maintain a level of real competition to the benefit of all consumers rich and poor.

6769. Aaron Zackery Gagnon, Springfield, MO, 65803
I am a voice from the younger generation jumping on the bandwagon to keep internet neutrality. The internet should be just as open and free and the founding fathers intended the United States to be. Ending internet neutrality would be similar to revoking the first amendment or not allowing us the Freedom of Movement. The only people benefiting from this would not be the "people" but isp's and possibly government surveillance. I do NOT want to be restricted or slowed down in my internet travels just because it benefits corporations, the government, etc. Let us the "people" be free.

6770. Heather Ross, Sedalia, MO, 65301
Free and equal access to the Internet is necessary for free speech, and equal access to information. Please keep the Internet free and neutral.

6771. Kyles Internet, Slater, MO, 65349
LEAVE OUR INTERNET THE FUCK ALONE THANK YOU!!!!!!

6772. Ray Stledger, independence, MO, 64056
Please keep net neutrality. I don't want to be throttled down, I don't want you to prioritize websites, I don't want companies to control my internet experience. I want to be able to go to the sites I want to unhindered on speed or access. I should also have a choice on what websites I go to. I need this in order to research topics and get to the heart of the issue I'm researching. Please keep things as they are or improve the choice the user has not the options the isp providers have to hinder their search or speed.

6773. Andrew Dawson, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
The Internet needs to be open and neutral.

6774. Ben Reid, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please don't play puppet to big corporations. Maintain net neutrality and preserve what dignity you might still have.

6775. Seth P. Wissner, Richmond Heights, MO, 63117
Leave the internet free as it is today. Do NOT allow ISP's to throttle customers or content providers.

6776. Heather Donhardt Creston Brown, palmyra, MO, 63461
If net neutrality is not upheld, it just proves that money talks more than freedom to the american government.

6777. Molly McGrath, Kansas City, MO, 64112
I support net neutrality, do NOT overturn the law.

6778. Jawad Akhtar, Kansas City, MO, 64113
Net neutrality is very important and helps support competition in the market and doesn't shut out new startups. It also helps keep the costs for consumers down since the content providers can't be squeezed by the cable companies to pay more, costs which will then be passed down to the consumers. The FCC MUST preserve net neutrality at all cost in order to preserve a free and open internet.

6779. Heriberto Del Porto, Liberty, MO, 64068
Please keep all ISPs in Title II. I support strong net neutrality and oppose changing their classification.

6780. Kevin Peterson, Columbia, MO, 65201
Please do not do this. Just gonna open a big can of worms.

6781. Elliott Marr, Columbia, MO, 65201
Net neutrality is dope man, and it should stay that way. Don't fuck this up.

6782. Davidg Green, Kansas City, MO, 64137
I am writing to express my strong concern over the threat of losing net neutrality. Net neutrality must continue to be enforced across all platform whether its in our homes or on our mobile devices. Good information is essential in maintaining an intelligent and free society. Losing net neutrality essentially takes this away as we will no longer have the freedom to choose what information we have access to.I demand that net neutrality be upheld and laws be put into place that ensures that it is never taken away.

6783. David Krienke, smithville, MO, 64089
We as a large midwest family do not agree with appealing this bill and stand against it.

6784. Erin Murray, Kansas City, MO, 64111
We need to keep Net Neutrality and ensure access to all parts of the internet. Internet providers should not have control over what we see and do online. I strongly dislike cable companies and I don't want to see Internet providers have the same outcome.

6785. Camellia Cosgray, Columbia, MO, 65201
Please safeguard the Title II protections. I'm concerned that without them, ISPs will be much more likely to create a "pay-to-play" internet where some websites cost more, which would in turn lead to fewer options for users and a less diverse internet, and will give ISPs too much power to determine what all Americans are able to do/accomplish online. This will cause many problems, not least of which is the stifling of innovation and economic growth in all things internet-based (which is just about everything at this point).

6786. James Fluker, Kansas City, MO, 64119
Net neutrality is morale. Without it, equal access to free speech, art, entertainment and commerce are at risk, our nation will be immeasurably worse and our Bill of Rights, the things Americans say we are, will have another example of hypocrisy. Please maintain Net Neutrality.

6787. Lauren Halvarsson, Fenton, MO, 63026
Hear the public's cry for free choice, freedom of speech and the ability to grow. FCC is going the wrong direction with this and it needs to listen to the people/ the public not just the wealthy.

6788. Brandon Mills, Springfield, MO, 65804
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai,I am a supporter of the existing rules regarding Net Neutrality which classify internet service providers (ISPs) under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act, making them a common carrier. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations as it could be very harmful to the industry and to the liberties of all who use the internet. Thanks!

6789. Joshua Skaggs, Maryville, MO, 64468
Private, unfiltered internet should be the right of every citizen of our country. Internet services are already ripping us off, we don't need the government doing it too.

6790. Chris Clark, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
Net Neutrality is necessary in a free and democratic society.

6791. Andy MacKrell, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Net neutrality is crucial to fairness and democracy. Please do not let it end.

6792. Allison Van Booven, Wentzville, MO, 63385
Passing this law will increase the already unfair Monopoly of providers in my area.

6793. Regina Steimle, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Chairman Pai, Commisioner O'Rielly, please stand with the majority of Americans and defend Title II Net Neutrality and protect our internet as a common carrier.Please do not give away our internet to a handful of ISPs.

6794. Aaron Wilson, Florissant, MO, 63031
I support net neutrality completely. Maintain net neutrality so that our society may foster competition and the free exchange of ideas without interruption. Protect free speech. Protect net neutrality.

6795. Kenneth W. Slayor, Chilhowee, MO, 64733
I oppose changes to Net Neutrality. I oppose the Censorship the FCC is sponsoring in its actions to grant ISPs the power and authority to prohibit, throttle back, alter, etc. my communications, my access to programming, and my access to my friends and family. The internet must be open access at all times for all as they choose to access it.

6796. Gunnar Smith, Dittmer, MO, 63023
Preserving a free and open internet is integral to promoting new services, businesses, and ideas. How is it that we could even give merit to the idea that some company's ethics and more likely businesses decisions get to decide for me how to use the service I am rightly paying for...why is it that they somehow get to choose the information I have access to?: What sites I can visit, what streaming services I choose to pay for?Can we honestly say that a company like Netflix or even Facebook would have gotten started in a world where they must pay a premium to an ISP so that they can simply let users access their services? This is WITHOUT A DOUBT simply another way for the big dog in the industry to simply start picking winners and losers.

6797. Douglas Harper, Wentzville, MO, 63385
As a retired person with children spread across the US, the internet is very important for contact and quality of life. We have seen double and triple increases with no voice or apparent regulation. It's time to take action. I have one choice in the St. Louis metropolitan area for internet that is fast enough for streaming video and provides us with access to TV channels. In my opinion in many areas this is a monopoly of services.

6798. Andrew A Robinson, saint louis, MO, 63139
The gaul it takes to even consider creating fast and slow lanes for the internet is reprehensible. The only interests that would be benefited by this action are big-business with already-deep pockets. shameful. we need to keep net neutrality and protect like the utility service that it is. too much of our society is based upon the internet these days to simply close off sections or de-prioritize any part of it. this is how we begin losing freedoms of speech and information

6799. Matt Roetto, verona, MO, 65769
i demand an open and free internet. No THROTTLING, no PAID PRIORTIZATION, no BLOCKING!

6800. David Kohlmeier, Ballwin, MO, 63139
As much as I really do understand that ISP's have every right to do whatever they want as they are providing the service, I just hope you understand that ISP's will do whatever they can to make every penny they can and that may come at the harm of other people's businesses.Thank you.

6801. Jesse Bennett, Kansas City, MO, 64111
In this modern day basic unrestricted, un-throttled access to news and media through the internet should be considered a right of all individuals and businesses, as the internet is the current way news, media, and business is done. No organization that supplies access to that should have the ability to control what we consume through that route, or change any kind of user to not have their service hindered in any way. Maintain Net-Neutrality for to good of all.

6802. Dr Treecko, Camden Point, MO, 64018
Keep net neutrality. Many people rely on the internet for entertainment, and making people pay for it would cause quite the uproar.

6803. Jacob, Kansas City, MO, 64138
I'm sure private corporations would love nothing more than to be able to manipulate and regulate the internet access of their customers. Yet, such a step would certainly lead to ISP abuse of this control. Further, though one party may favor such restrictions in the name of regulating hate speech or the latest phobia, the other party would likewise wield such control over whatever they deem appropriate. We are not communist China where searches can be stopped mid buffer.Freedom to access full and complete information of every stripe is key to our country. Neutrality of the net must be a hallmark of this digital age. Do not grant any government or private agency, bureau, bureaucracy, committee, corporation, interest group, or assembled body any power to regulate the internet in the slightest degree.

6804. Jacob Dickerson, saint charles, MO, 63303
We reserve the right to keep our internet as is. There is no reason other than greed to change it.

6805. Nic, Liberty, MO, 64068
Hello, My name is Nic, and I believe that the Internet has saved my life. With the struggles in our nation these days, the internet is many people's only solace for happiness and/or entertainment. I recently was fired from my job, and have lost almost every friend I've ever made because of my negligence to control my anger. When I thought the world was coming to an end and my life was pointless, the internet saved me. Youtube made me laugh again, video games brought back my rational thinking, and sadly enough free dating sites brought back any sense of love I had. With the new advances of people against Net Neutrality, I feel as though the sites I frequent often might be taken away from me, along with the happiness I associate with them. I know that I could reach out and that there are others out there but I am too embarrassed to talk to others about a topic that most try to avoid. I am sincerely asking to keep Net Neutrality alive because not only do I benefit from it, but am sure that so many others do to.

6806. Robert Black, St. Louis, MO, 63118
A free and open internet is vital for creating a level playing field for all web-based businesses, large and small. It also allows users to view all content- not just content that ISPs may agree with or that they have received money from. Net Neutrality means freedom. I strongly oppose rolling back current net neutrality rules. ISPs should not be able to control my online experience. I support strong Net Neutrality rules.

6807. Trey Whitson, Kansas City, MO, 64117
Do not repeal net neutrality! The people want neutrality and FREEDOM.

6808. Dakota Kirk, Rogersville, MO, 65742
The "Restoring Internet Freedom Act" should not be implemented into law. The act is not productive for anyone who is not a pre-established and already successful ISP. The act is anti-free market and anti-competition at it's core, it will harm the consumer by both increasing cost and decreasing quality, as well as the fact that it will end up stifling the modern progression of ideas and technologies in our societies.

6809. Michael Mckee, Springfield, MO, 65803
Please keep our internet open. My ISP has always been ATT but they cannot be trusted without government supervision. A couple of years ago they used threating letters to force myself (and others) to change from their broadband to "UVerse" and raising the cost. They claimed that this was because "they had gone to fiber optics" and were giving better service. This was not true. I'm still receiving my service on the same old telephone lines I have been using for 20 years and the service and speed are just the same. If the ISP's had been regulated as they used to be, they would not have been able to force us do this.

6810. Jeremy Lindsey, Independence, MO, 64056
We need to keep the Internet Neutral, keep Internet Net Neutrality, don't let the companies dictate who gets priority on their networks!

6811. Dan Flood, Des Peres, MO, 63122
It is necessary for every common person on the i ternet to have net neutrality. Don't ruin something for millions so that a few dozen can profit more than they already have.

6812. Daniel Louiselle, Saint Louis, MO, 63112
Keep the power of the internet in the hands of the people. Support net neutrality.

6813. Julie K. Stahlhut, Columbia, MO, 65203
Calling this proposal "Restoring Internet Freedom" is like saying that war is peace. It's a proposal to restrict internet freedom via legalized price gouging. It will provide windfall profits to a small contingent of service providers, while cutting services and jacking up prices. This isn't "restoring" anything. It's changing the entire infrastructure of the Internet in a way that rewards oligopoly, squeezes out competition, and harms individual consumers and small businesses.

6814. Eric Floro, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
Good morning,I would like to voice my opposition to the repeal of the net neutrality rules put into place by the Obama administration. Allowing companies and their stock holders to determine who gets access to what information and at what speed the information is available and the cost of that information is unacceptable and can only hurt the people of the United States and their right to free speech. Please continue to allow the FCC to oversee this valuable resource and keep it equally available to all people regardless of location, opinion, or income. Thank you for your time.

6815. Adam Brown, Mexico, MO, 65265
Thought this was a free America and you are trying to control our entertainment

6816. Shelby Harris, Troy, MO, 63379
The internet should remain neutral. Dismantling net neutrality will destroy a free internet for learning and diversity. Keep net neutrality

6817. Logan, O Fallon, MO, 63368
When it comes to net neutrality, the fact that the FCC wants to take the freedom of information, content, and communication out of the hands of its people is alarming. The Internet should still require payment to maintain networks and equipment, but there should never be a moment where information or communication is blocked for those less fortunate. Those of wealth and those with none all deserve the same access to the internet alike. Companies shouldnt be able to hold hostage the free internet. Do not take away regulations that stop companies from taking advantage of the people.

6818. Connor McGoogan, Wentzville, MO, 63385
This is america and we deserve our freedom.

6819. Andrew Jozwiakowski, Kansas City, MO, 64105
Net neutrality is integral to developing a fair and prosperous future. The internet today is necessary for engaging with the modern world. Two quick examples. My father is a government worker who works from home full time. His entire office now does this which has enabled the USDA to close his physical office space and save money by having them all telework. Without regulation of the internet however my fathers job is now at the whim of his ISP. His job depends on him having fast and consistent internet available to him. I am a medical student currently looking to practice psychiatry. A rapidly expanding field I hope to engage in is telemedicine wherein I can call into rural areas and help people who not previously receive help. It is integral to both me and my patients in this scenario that we have free and open access to the internet for my career's sake and their health's sake. Just as we would not imagine letting an unregulated monopoly develop on power for fear of not being able to heat our homes in the dead of winter we too must consider what letting unregulated monopolies develop on data means in the age of information. Entire careers, companies, and lives are at the hands of companies drive for profit. I urge you to maintain net neutrality and keep the internet open and competitive.

6820. Kenneth Boyer, Kansas City, MO, 64131
The internet is as great as an entity due to the fact that it is essentially free. I feel with what is being pitched, we are on a slippery slope that will align us with much of the lifestyles that are accustomed to in China or North Korea where they restrict freedoms and censor information that goes against the agendas of the higher ups. Control of the internet should not be in the hands of legislature and governments who are knee deep in someones political game. This does not have the best interests of the masses at heart and all actions of this need to cease!

6821. Tanner Johnson, Springfield, MO, 65803
The entire name of this proceeding is a farce. Limiting someone's access to the internet based upon how they choose to use it is the antithesis of "Internet Freedom". The internet is no different than any other utility. It's the equivalent of letting water suppliers charge you different amounts depending upon whether you use your water for drinking or bathing.

6822. Joshua Elder, Springfield, MO, 65803
Getting rid of net neutrality won't help anyone except for greedy corporations. They make enough money as it is and our internet bill is high enough. Please consider what's good for millions of people as a whole, rather than what is good for congress and big corporations.

6823. Keaton Leppanen, Dardenne Prarie, MO, 63368
As a college student, I hold it to be extremely important that the internet remains unfettered so I can not only fulfill my academic potential, without worrying about a monopolized internet slowing down important research, but also not add another serious financial burden that would limit me in other aspects of my life. An internet where net neutrality is enforced is one way that we can ensure that students, like myself, can achieve their full potential, while the reverse, as I see it, could substantially stunt our nation's growth and development.

6824. wyatt kovich, Kansas City, MO, 64155
Free content will become ever more critical as the amount of people who connect to broadband internet increases. This information is crucial to inform, educate, and entertain those users. Don't take away the voices from those that can't afford to be heard.

6825. Patrick, St. Louis, MO, 63108

Please, when you are deliberating on whether to keep these Net Neutrality rules, think of the myriad of companies and sites that support it. When us consumers buy into a services, we are cognizant of our actions and of the prices. On a more personal level, access to the internet and the freedom it provides allowed me to persist through a difficult period of my life. The friends made, the wonderful sites that promote creativity, and the limitless potentiality of the internet while protected by net neutrality, all mesh together to promote a healthy, worthwhile and free experience. Repealing these laws would be a massive mistake on many levels.

6826. Konnor Brennan, St. Louis, MO, 63103
I am in support of strong net neutrality rules. Please keep the internet open and out of the control of ISPs.

6827. Net neutrality, Fenton, MO, 63026
The current rules set forth by the obama administration are good in short. All data is created equally. They should be kept The restoring internet freedom act Would do the opposite of what the name would suggest. So to make it simple Net neutrality & title 2 GOOD!! The restoring internet freedom act IS ANTI CONSUMER AKA BAD!!!

6828. Christian Armstrong, Ozark, MO, 65721
This is bullshit were not communist the isp's should have no control over what we do

6829. Devan Baetz, Kirksville, MO, 63501
Ours is a country where we value freedoms and justice, but this elaborate excuse for censorship and controlled information is contrary to both of these values.

6830. Nolan Pestano, Smithville, MO, 64089
dear people in the goverment, god danm. the usa has some of the slowest internet speeds yet we still pay a bunch. and now you want to limit it? please change your mind. thanks

6831. Matt Hammons, Joplin, MO, 64804
Please preserve net neutrality! As a citizen this is very important to me. You must set aside big business interests and do what is right for us!

6832. Emily Knipper, Kansas City, MO, 64109
The Internet has been and should remain a free place to express yourself and share experiences, thoughts, concerns, ideas, etc., with friends and family, or even strangers. How can we, free American people, enjoy the Internet without Net Neutrality? I don't want someone shopping for me. I don't want someone telling me, "Hey, come to this site, it's better". It might seem like it will do the public good, but this is nothing but a disservice. I'm a local bartender and plan on opening my own shop someday. I regularly read blogs by bartending enthusiasts that respect and trust. They recommend all types of sites to buy tools, accessories, bitters, recipe books, etc from and I trust their judgment. I do a lot of research before buying a new tool for my home bar. Without net neutrality, I may have never have stumbled upon some of these gems, people who take their craft seriously and make beautiful products that they want people who equally take their craft seriously to enjoy. It's not about what's easiest or what site is paid the most to be deemed "the best". It's about allowing the public to have options, and let them decide. Yes, I use Amazon frequently. It's a good site for online shopping. But I've bought my bartending tools and resources from far more hidden and less used sites than Amazon, and I must say I'm far happier with my products. Please, listen to the people and realize that taking away the net neutrality rules is taking away part of our freedom as Americans. As humans. Not much in life is free. But the Internet should be one of them.

6833. Russell Freeman, Joplin, MO, 64801
keep the net free from corp greed

6834. Angel Ocasio, Springfield, MO, 65807
I believe that we should be able to have the freedom to work or buy things without paying more

6835. Rebecca Rice, Springfield, MO, 65804
The internet should be a place where everyone can share their ideas, everyone has a platform, and big business shouldn't get in the way of that

6836. internet, St,Peters, MO, 63304
Stop Net Neutrality rules from being repealed. A free flow of information and a open internet is important to Americans the rules should not be repealed. It would cripple internet based businesses and access to information. So consider these facts and do not repeal net neutrailty rules.

6837. Somi Ogbozor, St. Louis, MO, 63105
Net neutrality is the backbone of the internet. Please do not put corporate greed and monopoly ahead of public interest. This is not a partisan issue, the vast majority of Democrats and Republicans agree that net neutrality is necessary to \o ensure the decency of the thousands of small businesses and millions of people who rely on internet access that isn't catered towards the rich.

6838. Jaipaul Papabathini, Kansas City, MO, 64137
Net Neutrality is very important. We use the internet for school and I if ISPs can pick and choose and limit, I will not have easy access to the education my children need.

6839. jason gusdorf, St. Louis, MO, 63132
Please. The internet is the greatest instrument of our generation. It has the potential to really change our world for the better. Don't destroy it. Please please don't destroy it. And make so mistake, that getting rid of net neutrality will harm it irreparably. Think of your grandchildren and their children. Don't make them suffer for your own momentary selfish impulses. PLEASE.

6840. Net Neutrality, Clark, MO, 65243
We the people demand that you leave the internet alone. We are already getting fucked from so many directions, and here you deuchbags wanna come in and change it too. For what? So you can extort more money out of the American people? Don't you think we've been bled enough? Keep bleeding your life blood out. You can only drain so much before the whole system will shut down, but that's not your problem right? Wrong! It is! You are nothing without us! People should never fear their government... a government should fear it's people.

6841. Matthew Will Baker, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
I want the sites I visit on the Internet to be determined solely by the quality of the website and the services it provides. do not want financial barriers to hold back deserving services.

6842. net neutrality, saint peters, MO, 63376
the internet is a human right and shouldn't be filtered or altered in any way

6843. Duane Casady, Fayette, MO, 65248
This is America. PLEASE keep at one aspect of our country "equal" and not skewed in favor of the wealthiest.

6844. Gregory A Holder, Clinton, MO, 64735
The Internet needs to remain open, so that the consumers can choose what sites we want to visit. I do not want the cable company that I pay for internet access to be allowed to dictate what I can view and where I can go, which is precisely why I do NOT pay for their TV services. I can find the same shows, online, legally, at a considerably cheaper rate.

6845. Uncle Sam, Saint Louis, MO, 63128
In the modern age of today internet belongs more as a right rather than a service. Many people including myself have only had access to 2 or 3 providers that exist in my area. If one were to gain favor this would give way to a ISP monopoly in my area where companies could most certainly abuse that power. To ensure freedom and justice for all keep net neutrality.

6846. strubbe, fenton, MO, 63026
The Open Internet rules (net neutrality) are extremely important to me. I don't want the ISP to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites advantage over others, or split the internet into fast lanes for companies that pay and slow lanes for the rest. I also do not like hearing about the potential invasion of privacy that my chosen ISP could sell my browsing history. On July 12, we should show the world how we Fight for The Future. Thank you for your time.

6847. Myles Odle, Maplewood, MO, 63143
Good Afternoon,My name is Myles and i like the internet the way it is. I don't see any reason that there should be a way to pay for companies to get prioritization. This will kill small businesses and stop innovation. With today's infrastructure there is plenty of bandwidth for everyone to use. Corporations will not be hurt by keeping things the way they are. Please don't be stupid, and keep the internet the way it is.Thanks,Myles

6848. Floyd Zamarripa, Springfield, MO, 65807
I can't believe we still have to argue for a free and open Internet at this point. Do you know of *anyone* who likes piecemeal cable television subscriptions? That's what your Internet service will be like if the ISPs have their way.

6849. Joe Wright, St. Louis, MO, 63109
My livelihood depends on Net Neutrality. Please, do not do away with the regulations in place, as they directly help me and really anyone who uses the internet, which in this day and age in the USA, might as well be everyone.

6850. Zoe White, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
I like the internet the way it is! Let me browse the internet in peace! Keep Net Neutrality.

6851. Lindsey Pulse, columbia, MO, 65201
I support a free, fair and open internet and do not want FCC regulations on net neutrality rolled back. As a consumer, I do not want paid prioritization, blocking and or throttling. All rules must apply to mobile and home connections equally. I support net neutrality.

6852. Matthew Furlong, St. Louis, MO, 63146
Net neutrality is essential to keeping a free and open internet. As an IT professional for over 10 years, I cannot stress this enough. Please do not end the internet as we know it today.

6853. jill, St Louis, MO, 63144
Net neutrality is a must; we deserve access to all information equally.

6854. Whitney Findley, Oak Grove, MO, 64075
My lively hood is on the internet! Keep the internet open!

6855. Alexander Bolinger, Springfield, MO, 65802
I would like to remind the FCC that this country was based on the ideals of freedom. By allowing ISPs the ability to limit which websites are accessible it will feel like a huge detraction from the current freedoms that exist to us on the internet. As this is not the first time that a government entity has tried to limit the capabilities of people to access the open web freely. I would like to warn the FCC that something that limits the open availability of the internet will not be looked upon kindly by the people of this country. If you take time to look at this incident and others like it, such as SOPA and PIPA, in the past there are several large companies and and several highly esteemed people who are against limiting the availability of the internet. I would like to point out other potential issues with this if it does go through, if certain companies do make it impossible to access certain websites then most people will probably go to another

provider. the issue with this is that it could create a huge imbalance in the market and could easily lead to increase in prices and a monopoly either of which could have drastic affects on the economy.

6856. Tate Mattson, Columbia, MO, 65201
Listen to the majority, don't let corporations profit off of the internet. It's disgusting how far they are attempting to reach.

6857. owen crist, Saint louis, MO, 63103
Net neutrality is very important to me, the idea that a company can promote one piece of information over another based on the amount they were payed is detrimental to the purpose of the internet.

6858. Robert Edwards, columbia, MO, 65201
Net neutrality needs to stay alive, in the near future anybody who votes to kill it will have a very difficult time getting elected.

6859. James Miller, Springfield, MO, 65806
Keep the internet open and free! Keep Net Nuetrality!

6860. Helen Nelling, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I support of strong net neutrality rules that give people the power to choose which websites and apps are best and do not disadvantage poor and rural Americans.

6861. Craig Heimericks, Rolla, MO, 65401
Net Neutrality is a pretty divided topic these days, with most of our citizens and major tech companies in favor of a completely unrestricted flow of information and data across our internet 'pipes.' Pitted against this are major Internet Service Providers, who want the power to act as gatekeepers for the data that the customers are paying for. ISPs say they paid for the pipes, so why shouldn't they get to control what goes through them or provide preferential treatment for their own services.Here's why: 1) The major backbone of our internet in the US was paid for with taxpayer assistance. We the people own those pipes.2) We the people are ALREADY paying the ISP for access to those pipes, that's what our monthly bill is for. That data has been paid for...when an ISP states they want to make tech companies pay for the data that runs through their pipes, that data has already been paid for and is being delivered at the ISP customer's request! 3) ISPs really want this so they can lock their customers into their own walled gardens as they expand into the media space, which are becoming more monolithic every year. Instead of competing based on quality of service or price, an ISP like AT&T or Comcast wants to fundamentally change the internet so they can make it more difficult/expensive for their own customers to access competing audio/video streaming services.4) And the scariest part of all, that should be a clincher for anyone regardless of political party: We now have a couple of major ISP companies that now own nearly all the flow of information into our day to day lives: they own the movie studios, they own the TV studios, they own the News Networks, they own the newspapers, they own the access to our cell phones and landlines, they own the satellites, and they own the access to our internet. The only thing that's left is the free and open internet! Allowing them to control that, too, is in effect allowing them to control every piece of information a US citizen is able to read, view, hear, etc. Really the only thing anyone should ask themselves is this: are the behaviors of powerful, monopolistic companies EVER good for the consumer?Don't give ISPs this power! Keep the internet free!

6862. John Doe, Columbia, MO, 65201
Net neutrality is extremely important to me and everyone I know. Giving ISPs the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites advantage over others, or split the internet into fast lanes for companies that pay and slow lanes for the rest is wrong and completely goes against what the World Wide Web was meant to be: something open source for everyone to enjoy FOR FREE. Giving more power to corporations and taking away freedom from the people is criminal. Our internet is our access to knowledge and a bridge between cultures and people. Keep our open internet protected with the current net neutrality laws.

6863. Michael Neal, Kearney, MO, 64060
Net neutrality is paramount to a free thinking society.

6864. Mary Bertin, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
Please don't censor the internet. It's our method of free speech in this day and age and you censoring it is against our 1st amendment rights.

6865. Ben Cole, Kansas City, MO, 64118
Time and again the American public has rejected restrictions on Net Neutrality. There is an inherent free speech value to the internet that cannot be limited and peddled away to corporations. This attack has been rebutted several times already, and the unprincipled renewal of this effort in the face of such powerful opposition is disappointing and undemocratic.

6866. j r estes, monett, MO, 65708
i want the internet to stay neutral just the way it is. i'm sick and tired of the isp's and others trying to ruin the internet just so they can make more profit. this is disgusting and needs to stop - keep the internet neutral for all of us!

6867. Sean Casey, Springfield, MO, 65810
Net Neutrality is important to me, as it should be important to everyone. It allows for a free market on the internet, and prevents cable companies from ruining that and playing god to their customers- who in many cases do not have any competition to choose from, which for some reason isn't considered a monopoly, but that is a conversation for another time. A free market thrives on an equal playing field, and net neutrality provides one. This is not only important to me, it is important to everyone I know, and will be important to my children and grandchildren for generations to come. There is no excuse other than blatant corruption for net neutrality to go away, as it only benefits cable company executives, while harming everyone else in the process.

6868. Thomas Rowland, Lexington, MO, 64067
I live in a rural community with very few options for internet service, and I am preparing to open a small business that will market to

people across the country. Open internet access (net neutrality) is vital to having any chance of communicating and presenting myself to potential customers and clients given the limited resources of my enterprise, in contrast to much larger and better funded organizations. Furthermore, I would be at the mercy of the rural ISP were net neutrality rules to be repealed. I appreciate, support, and firmly request the government, the FCC in particular, to maintain a fair and open internet. Thank you.

6869. 1, Springfield, MO, 65804
At a time when our civil rights and liberties are being stripped away one by one, the internet and its unlimited access to information and its equalizing effect is more crucial than ever. I don't think its right to sell our internet access to the highest bidder in the name of higher profits and what I imagine will be a draconian hold over what we as Americans are and aren't allowed to see. Do we really want to become like China, where its citizens are only allowed to see the internet that the government will allow them to see? This is wrong and I cannot stay silent about it. Defend our internet!!

6870. Aleta Bowers, St. Louis, MO, 63129
Please don't mess with the Web. It's been doing just fine all these years. No one other than the FCC should have control over internet operations.

6871. Britt Hultgren, Columbia, MO, 65203
Hey all,Thanks for taking a moment. Net neutrality is quite important to me as a burgeoning medical professional. I think the openness of the internet is an important area of growth for resources for patient education, as well as physician education and training. One of many consequences of further dismantling net neutrality could be lower health standards, believe it or not. Thanks again!

6872. Bhavya Venigalla, Manchester, MO, 63021
I am completely for an Open Internet as is and am completely against paid prioritization, throttling & blocking. I want full Net Neutrality and want Consumers not ISPs to decide which services are best.

6873. Mark Antony Krupnik, Florissant, MO, 63031
Net Neutrality is what makes the internet great and I would not like to see everyone's internet governed by the whims on my ISP

6874. John Caldwell, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
Listen to your citizens.

6875. net neutrality, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
Don't let net neutrality go away. Corporations deciding to block or slow to a crawl whatever they don't want me doing is not right. The internet has existed in this form for years, and should not be changed. I shouldn't have to fight companies to see what I want and do what I want just because they think they should. The choice of where I get my news from, where I watch tv, Where I go for entertainment should not be decided for me by someone else. Keep the net as is, Don't put this power in corporations hands.

6876. Fred Williams, Columbia, MO, 65202
I support maintaining Net Neutrality. Please, keep the net free and don't let corporations determine accessibility to high speed internet.

6877. Luke Goodman, Kansas City, MO, 64133
The FCC would be fools to ignore the American people in their attempt to keep their internet freedom. To ignore these millions of people would clearly demonstrate the corrupt nature of the FCC and prove that the people in this nation have no power to fight for what they want.

6878. Caroline Niesen, Clayton, MO, 63105
Net neutrality keeps the all information free and equal for the consumer!

6879. Ana Murillo, ballwin, MO, 63011
First of all, I would like to just state the following: Why do you guys keep doing this? Don't actually answer that I already know the answer and it is money. We are not the only ones that use the internet. Please think that you, as members of the government, also use it. As things are now, my small town only has about 2 choices of internet providers. How will this not move to a monopoly? I use the internet as a means to learn new skills so that I can help my family and those in my community. I don't make much money at the moment because I am still a student and if things go they way big companies want, like Verizon, I will not be able to afford access to the internet. If you guys think we are just going to sit back and not let our voices be heard, you are mistaken. We are the generation that demands equal opportunities. Please consider how this once again will affect all of us. Because we are in this together. Thank you for your time.

6880. Erin Childress, Wentzville, MO, 63385
Keep the current net neutrality rules. If the US wants to lead in technology and innovation, an open internet is key to those endeavors.

6881. Karl Jones, Kansas City, MO, 64111
A free and open internet is vital to a modern day economy. Allowing broadband companies to place access to the internet behind a paywall will stifle innovation and be a disaster for the economy. Keep the regulations in place that prevent large, broadband monopolies from taking away this vital tool.

6882. Robert May, Affton, MO, 63123
Maintain net neutrality. We need the net open to all travelers just as our roads are open (mostly) to all travelers.

6883. Kacie Pray, Wentzville, MO, 63385
The Internet needs Net Neutrality. I pay the ISP for internet service not for content control or a slowed connection on content they'd prefer me not to look at. This is the beginning of censorship and could include media censorship based on the views of the owners of the

ISPs. I believe allowing the abolishment of net neutrality would be unconstitutional.

6884. Hector Linares, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
I demand access to a fair and open internet !

6885. Jered Hollon, Springfield, MO, 65807
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important. I urge you to protect them and please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II.

6886. Samantha Weber, Salem, MO, 65560
Do not mess with Net Neutrality. We should have control of what we want to see on the internet. We do not need to make any more companies rich because we have to pay to get a little enjoyment in our free time. Keep the net neutral.

6887. Stephanie Lambert, Springfield, MO, 65807
Keep the internet open and accessible for all, please.

6888. Deborah Murphy, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Without net neutrality rules, ISPs ranging from home broadband companies like Comcast to wireless data providers like Verizon would be free to slow video streams, charge people extra to access particular content, or outright block people from visiting sites. This would be a huge blow to free expression online. It would hamper innovation as smaller companies might have to shell out to large telcos to get their content seen by the public. I am firmly against the FCC gutting strong net neutrality rules.

6889. Ethan Housh, Sarcoxie, MO, 64862
i am not for my ISP being able to block or slowed any website as i live in a small town and am unable to get a different ISP.

6890. Robert McCarthy, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
Removing the Title II classification of internet access will hurt only consumers. No one gains anything from this besides huge corporations, the ISPs. The internet is one of the most important technological inventions in the history of humanity and selling it to the highest bidder is a shameful, foolish, and entirely greed driven proposition.

6891. William Steppig, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
Keep government hands off the internet, the internet as it exists today is an extraordinary place and does not need to be further regulated. Instead, let the internet thrive and keep it neutral and free. If one takes the example of other nations that heavily regulate internet access, they would find them to be poor examples of freedom.

6892. mike dodd, grandview, MO, 64030
please leave the internet as it is

6893. Brody Kitchell, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Net neutrality is 100% necessary. Removing it is wrong.

6894. Joseph Knoernschild, wentzville, MO, 63385
I buy internet service so that I can have fast reliable access to the internet. In my area, I only have the option of getting one internet provider and in the previous neighborhood I lived in, just 4 miles from this one, I only had one option for internet as well and it was a different option then I have today. I could care less about any other offering from my internet provider and decline to use anything other than access to the internet. For email, I have purchased my own domain and use Microsoft Office 365 Premium to host my own exchange server; this also provides storage. I also host my own website which provides additional storage. I would never consider using my internet providers email or other services; I only want access to the internet. My wife and I use our high speed access to download movies, stream netflix and vudu, and play xbox video games among other internet browsing. When I purchase domains, I do so from Aplus.net.In the simplest description, I pay my ISP for internet access and obtain all the other services I need such as cloud storage, email, domains, websites, etc. from online retailers whom I have chosen for their outstanding customer service. I want to continue to have the freedom to choose where I buy my "extra" services from and not be locked into ISP provided services; my ISP should provide internet access only. I also use my own business class firewall and my own wifi access point and decline any such hardware from my ISP as I want to have the freedom to choose how I connect my household internet hardware.

6895. cameron, Kc, MO, 64157
This Is NOT OKAY. This is not what America is about. Land of the free. Not land of the censored. Good day.

6896. Karen freeman, St. Louis, MO, 63118
Giving the power to restrict access to the internet to ISPs instead of to users is one more example of wealth transfer to the already-have-too-muches. Throttling, "curating" and such practices will not serve our democracy, but will make us even less free.

6897. Richard Garrison, Springfield, MO, 65804
Go with common sense and don't give even more control over to money and business.

6898. Gregory Bougher, Springfield, MO, 65803
Keep the Internet from being censored and costly for individuals.

6899. Robert D. Curran, Springfield, MO, 65807
The Internet is a crucial tool for all. It is completely unfair to eliminate net neutrality. Please do not make any changes whatsoever to traffic rules as they currently exist.Please also remember that you are obligated to act in the best interests of THE PUBLIC. The overwhelming response of these anti-consumer initiatives in the past and now clearly show where public sentiment lies. To disregard

that would be outrageous and unconscionable.Thank you.

6900. Brandon Bivins, Arnoold, MO, 63010
Keep the internet free. I don't see the need to let ISP's rule over the internet and decide how I use the internet. Letting ISP's throttle, control, and target preferred ad's that would only benefit that ISP and block others, would hurt competition on the internet. I feel this would be a step backwards and would only open the flood gates and create a place that only a few would have control over.

6901. Austin Wall, Rolla, MO, 65401
The internet needs net neutrality. As a developer, I could find myself in the future developing a new website or application such as the next Netflix or Facebook and discovering that Netflix and Facebook are paying ISPs buckets of money to get to consumers faster or unrestricted, and being a small business or startup, I wouldn't have buckets of money to pay the ISPs to treat me fairly. My new business would collapse, and pre-established internet services would maintain their monopoly. As a consumer, why should Netflix or Facebook have to pay extra so I can view their content. I'm already paying my ISP to deliver my internet at some speed, why should my connection to their website be slowed down unless they pay? I thought we already dealt with this once? Why does the current Administration seem so intent on breaking down all the good things we've built up in the past few years? It's like a 2 year old kicking over their siblings Lego tower in a tantrum.

6902. Jonathan White, St Charles, MO, 63303
I fully support repealing 'Net Neutrality' as it stifles innovation and will lead to higher cost to the consumer. Treating data the same as electric makes zero sense, Credit card transactions should take priority over Facebook use. The FCC should step in on companies who prioritize as punishment, but not with a blanket mandate.

6903. Astrid Homan, Imperial, MO, 63052
Net neutrality is critical to my services. I am a translator, translating documents for hospitals, the government, pharmaceutical companies and the like. I am very often confronted with an 'urgent' situation: ex.: a patient coming to the US for cancer treatment, and they need to have his medical records translated before he comes (tomorrow). If I could not receive and send the documents, this would not be possible. I am just one self-employed individual, but there are thousands and thousands out here who depend on a stable, dependable internet at an acceptable speed. With the trend of individuals working more and more from home to decrease pollution caused by commuting, this will become more critical to more individuals than ever.

6904. Benjamin A Barresi, Columbia, MO, 65203
I support Net Neutrality and the freedom it gives me to use an unthrottled internet connection.

6905. Chemia Moffatt, Saint Louis, mo, MO, 63121
I support net neutrality. Please keep the internet free and open it is fundamental to free speech. The internet does not need to be throttled and cumbersome to make rich companies richer. Also ISP's offer services like email, which I use. Other countries are making their internet more faster and effective and efficient. This is a step backwards.

6906. Eric Gantner, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
Equal access to the Internet should remain as a standard.

6907. Grant McDonald, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I'm firmly in support of net neutrality regulations. Allowing ISPs to regulate their networks and choose winners and losers is antithetical to the whole purpose of the internet. It needs to be a truly open and fair network where are traffic is considered equal. Failing to strongly enforce the net neutrality rules is anti-innovation, anti-consumer, and anti-american.

6908. Drake, Diamond, MO, 64840
Open Internet.

6909. Roy D Wgner, Lees Summit, MO, 64082
Keep Net Neutrality. It will mean the cost of services I use will go up. I don't need the government creating higher prices.

6910. David Angotti, Lee's Summit, MO, 64081
Allowing ISP's the power to limit, charge more, or redirect access is unAmerican. Stop taking their money and insure and regulate open access.

6911. Tyler Randall King, Fenton, MO, 63026
Net Neutrality is an essential concept. Without it large corporations will be able to influence what we, as Americans, see and do on the Internet. That right there goes against everything America stands for. Please do the right thing as this will not only affect the common citizens but it will affect you, as a Senator or Congressman/Congresswoman as well.

6912. Kevin Lyons, Kansas City, MO, 64109
Let's keep the internet the way it is. No website should be prioritized over another.

6913. Jordan Armitage, Gladstone, MO, 64119
Keep the internet open and competitive. Keep Net Neutrality.

6914. Neil Cordray, Springfield, MO, 65807
I believe I may have already commented once but this is a matter that I find too important to leave to chance. The argument that Title II regulations are hampering investment isn't backed up by investment numbers publicly disclosed by the companies making the investments. The only thing that would really be accomplished by removing Title II regulations from Internet service provider regulations would be the implicit permission to charge more for certain types of content over others. The transmission of data should be

regulated identically to basic utilities, paying for the amount that you use, because that's all that the companies have to pay to provide, volume.

6915. Nicholas Norman Stone, Maplewood, MO, 63143
This upcoming decision whether to change the nature of net neutrality is one of the most possibly impacting decisions we Americans/Humans have to choose over. It is something that will effect everyone, technology especially internet is a utility we now need and require to maintain our society. The infrastructure of the internet is the biggest thing to take account into, the concern most Internet Providers have used as reasoning for the repeal of the 2015 Net Neutrality over how much data is being used and how to not have slow speeds due to amount of users and their usages. This is definitely something important to more than just the Internet Providers though, as a citizen who uses internet for my own work and curiosity over the world around us. That is understood and we as a country should come together to deal with that issue. But what Internet Providers want on top of that is total control over their networks to turn them into a entity similar to Television, but the nature of the mediums are different that how the content is given to an audience and its purpose. The initial idea of Internet was academic usage before anything else and that is the priority the Internet should be given, access to the information to everything we as a country and species have accomplished. Just like how our current judicial system is set up our internet service should have similar treatment, if something deemed wrong by our society should be removed for example child pornography, it would be handled with the correct response by our laws. When it comes to basic usage citizens should have the ability to access what they please without any guidelines that can affect their connection.I know I have not hit every single key point, but to sum up the FCC should be an overseer to the internet industry and help keep providers from having control over how users act on their networks. Internet providers should be the innovators of internet infrastructure and growth not a cable service limiting options to just access a website, completely impacting that business form of interaction with its customers. Regulations are necessary but not overbearing to the point of getting people to look for some other way to get that kind of a connection.

6916. Kyle Blaes, St. Louis, MO, 63123
Keeping common carrier rules is vital to preserving an open internet.

6917. Gabriel Barron, St Louis, MO, 63110
I dont believe that Internet service providers have my best interests, or the interests of the american people at heart. Allowing the rollback of net neutrality would allow them to much power and deteriorate the overall quality of peoples internet experiences.

6918. John browning, lebanon, MO, 65536
The Internet should remain the way it is.

6919. Evan Svoboda, St. Louis, MO, 63141
ISP's should not be able to favor companies or persons over others.

6920. Shannon Porter, Springfield, MO, 65804
Don't let business' hold the internet hostage. I support equal access to content and equal speed of data. ISP's should not be able to restrict content of their competitors. It hurts small business' and the consumer. No censorship of the internet! Knowledge is power and should not be subjected to control by a provider. Citizens want equal access to the internet! I support Net Neutrality!

6921. internet freedom, Richland, MO, 65556
The internet belongs to everyone and shouldn't be restricted in any manner because of corporate greed, conservative opinion, or blind ignorance to its importance to the world. The internet is as open and vast as the entire planet; as beautiful and horrible as nature itself. Protect this wonderful creation for everyone, not the select few and "privileged" who can afford it

6922. M. Patel, St. Louis, MO, 63146
I am a scientist and an entrepreneur. We depend on neutral internet to learn about ongoing research in the field, finding and applying for new grant applications, connecting to other researchers and even publish our findings. We also depend on it to spread the word about our science on antibiotic resistance and the outcomes from it that will benefit the public health. It is already difficult to raise funding to keep the project going. We may not afford to pay for multiple providers to get access to scientific information. This will simply decrease the rate of innovation and discovery and also adversely affect startups that depend on scientific research.

6923. Jake Velicer, Wildwood, MO, 63040
Changing the rules of Net Neutrality gives ISPs to much control over the internet experience of countless U.S. citizens. ISPs want to roll back the current net neutrality rules and gain the ability to control our experience online. Specifically they could prioritize websites and apps they own over the rest of the web, they could make larger sites pay a premium for bandwidth, and they could block certain cites they don't want us to access. Therefore removing Net Neutrality destroys the freedom we love about the internet. I am completely against the removal of Net Neutrality and it's current state should stay in place.

6924. Matthew Beavin, St Louis, MO, 63117
The FCC should not be able to cherry-pick what websites are shown to users. I feel this could also be a disservice to the FCC financially with big companies like Google backing Net Neutrality.

6925. Jon Ferry, St. Louis, MO, 63139
I do not support the proposed rollbacks of the net neutrality regulations that are currently being proposed. I believe that the regulations under Title II are sufficient as they are, and should remain unchanged.

6926. Scott Jenkins, Columbia, MO, 65202
You must keep Net Neutrality.

6927. Colton Slaven, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Please keep Net Neutrality around! It helps all citizens who use it, and protects us from greedy billionaires!

6928. brian haskins, fenton, MO, 63026
The internet should remain free. No company should be able to control something built for the people Please consider this.

6929. Austin Merath, Nixa, MO, 65714
Please keep the internet fair, don't make it bad for everyone else just for more money.

6930. internet, belton, MO, 64012
dont mess with our internet freedom its our right

6931. Trent Gateley, St. Louis, MO, 63116
I believe the classification of ISPs under Title II protects our free and open access to the sites that we want to visit. I urge you to keep Title II net neutrality in place, and safeguard Internet users like me

6932. Margaret Lily Loconsolo, Grandview, MO, 64030
I love freedom as much as most Americans. Please do not allow yet another facet of our society to come under the stranglehold of corporate greed. The average American citizen is at the mercy of the big and powerful in many ways already. Keep the power of choice in the hands of the consumer- where it belongs. Don't make laws that will allow for restricted access to information or promoting one service or app over others (great way to kill free enterprise). We live in a time when fact-checking and the ability to do one's own research are essential to understanding the world, and you're considering allowing ISPs to slow or block information they don't like? Or simply because the source doesn't pay a premium? You want them to be allowed to promote certain things over others? Keep Net Neutrality. The ISPs and big communication companies already make plenty of money. They shouldn't have this much power, too.

6933. Kevin Adams, Saint Louis, MO, 63131
Title II net neutrality represents a fundamental, necessary protection for consumers and businesses against the corporate interests of service providers. These companies lack the impartiality necessary to self regulate and protect free Internet. Whether immediately or gradually, the repeal of Title II will allow service providers to enrich themselves while stripping away the Internet freedoms of American consumers and small businesses. I urge the FCC to take a stand for the rights of the American public rather than the profits of the telecommunications companies by enforcing strong oversight and retaining the protections of Title II net neutrality.

6934. OPEN INTERNET, St Louis, MO, 63139
Keep Net Neutrality Rules intact. In an increasingly connected world please do not corrupt the internet with pay to play rues.

6935. Kody J. Clemens, WESTON, MO, 64098
Keep the Internet Free. I care about the open internet and competition online.Keep Net Neutrality.

6936. Austen Howe, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
Continuing on with the idea of ending Net Neutrality is an absolutely horrendous display of greed. To sanction off and require someone to pay more money to access things on the internet is dangerous, as it can lead to certain sites being behind said pay well, blocking information / news. It's a slippery slope down with potential to bankrupt websites and limit information for consumers

6937. kathy kinnaman, Columbia, MO, 65203
I want to maintain net neutrality. I feel freedom of the internet helps the USA live up to the goal of individual freedom of beliefs and freedom of the marketplace.

6938. Cyan Townley, KC, MO, 64134
Yes to Net Neutrality The internet has become a key piece in my familyâ€™s, my friendâ€™s and my life. My mother and father use it for advertising and staying in touch with long-distance family. E-mail, Facebook, and other small time websites. My friend group uses Skype, line or discord to chat, so much so that we donâ€™t ever text using the phoneâ€™s original systems. Half the time we just upload things to Google drive.My brothers and some of our friends chose gaming as their pastime when not at work. I am nearly constantly using Pandora or Sound cloud to listen to music as I paint. Also we always use Chrome-cast or an HDMI cable from a laptop or phone to our TV screen. We use Netflix, other small sights or rent it from amazon, so we might as well not even have Direct TV for the little we actually use it except for dadâ€™s news.Oh and what do we do if we donâ€™t know how to do something? GOOGLE IT! Thatâ€™s practically the number one thing my little brother uses the internet for. â€œDo it yourselfâ€ websites, â€œHow Toâ€ searches. I literally looked up a random website that automatically provides schematics for gears so I could crate wooden ones for a kinetic art piece.The internet is a trove of information and it should be the userâ€™s choice what they access. It is corrupt and foolish to even consider the idea of limiting such a wealth of information. The point is faster internet and thatâ€™s good but not if it is stealing away the ability to freely surf the web. No blocking, no slowing down other â€œlesserâ€ websites. Please, letâ€™s not bring this kind of suppression to the web.

6939. C Cryder, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
I strongly support net neutrality. Please keep the internet fast, equally available and fair!

6940. Franc Bautista, Tarkio, MO, 64491
No reason to limit the internet. Freedom is not supposed to be "limited"

6941. Jesse Burgess, Manchester, MO, 63021
Full access to the internet means a way of making money. I stream on Twitch.tv. And it's a way for me to pull in some extra money as a way to help support myself. By throttling the internet and not allowing full access, I'd lose out on things like this. I hope you guys truly take into account the damage you guys would be doing by throttling the access for people like us, I know you guys believe its for the better but that's because you guys don't have to live on the same standards as we do. You guys pass all these laws because they don't effect you. Think of the people for once!

6942. Brendan Tedford, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
Net Neutrality is important to this country and it's people. It's stupid for the government and companies to be able to control what I can/can't access. It's even dumber that it would allow companies to slow down my connection to certain sites and services just because they don't like it, that's just ridiculous. If the FCC takes away net neutrality, it means that they don't care about this country and it's people, they only care about making money.

6943. Alex Richter, Liberty, MO, 64068
The internet needs to remain under Net Neutrality. I care about the open internet and the freedom of competition. Please do not repeal Net Neutrality.

6944. Jayson Hunter, St. Charles, MO, 63301
I feel the internet should be kept the same that it has always been. There should be no throttling or blocking from the internet providers just because they want to make more money from a handful of corporations. They make plenty of many already. This is a money grab by the internet providers to make more profit.

6945. Brandon Weimer, St. Louis, MO, 63112
Seriously, messing with net neutrality has got to be the dumbest move you could ever make. Everyone hates you and the proposed proceeding. Just drop it. Leave it at title 2 and prevent ISP's from screwing everyone from the beauty that is the internet. As it is the only place that truly let's us be free as the American government doesn't promote freedom. In short, take your heads out of your asses, be happy with your millions of dollars to your name, and let us common folk have our internet. Give me net neutrality or give me death.

6946. Chancellor Williams, Kansas City, MO, 64155
Protect net neutrality or the 1st amendment is corrupted

6947. Richard McCauslin, Hannibal, MO, 63401
We need a free and open internet to foster a free and open society

6948. Cali, hillsboro, MO, 63050
I'm already paying to have internet, why would i need to pay to use it. This is just to get the rich richer. Quit trying cash in on everything. Honestly if you made people pay to use the internet while already paying to have it, the entire nation will revolt. Since just about everyone uses the internet.

6949. Tyler Harmon, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
The internet is something that America truly pioneered. Much of our economy is built on innovations we have pioneered on the internet. New advances on the internet relies on having an open internet where all content providers are treated fairly. If we allow other companies to choose which content we view we will lose what made the internet great and allowed our innovations to happen. By giving companies the ability to charge content providers independently you are handing control of the content we view over to companies. Today they want to extort the smaller companies into paying more money for equal access to their customers. If that isn't bad enough, tomorrow they could prevent access to information about their competitors, news their owners don't like, or information about different political candidates.Stripping net neutrality is a threat to the future of our economy and opens the door to new threats against our way of life.Tyler Harmon

6950. Madeline Burkemper, Kansas City, MO, 64112
I am in support of maintaining Net Neutrality. I am a public school teacher and I believe that U.S. citizens should have unfettered access to information on the internet. I have taught my students the value of being able to access information online and taught them how to discern through sources THEMSELVES. I do not think any third party should be in charge of elevating one source or website above another. This amount of power should not be given to one group. It is important that citizens are able to search for information, goods, and services online themselves without wondering in one particular website is being elevated above others because of the ISPs. I also would not want to worry about which ISP partners and advertises with certain websites that I find unethical. I cannot afford to switch my ISP just because of these issues and would find it frustrating to search the web knowing that one business is getting an extra push or streaming capability over another.

6951. Rhonda Tinkham, Lee's Summit, MO, 64063
Keep the internet neutral!!! There is no need for government making decisions for what people should and shouldn't see on the internet. If something is on the internet that is breaking a current law, then THAT is when you take action. You are not our babysitters. Stop.

6952. Ben Huber, kansas city, MO, 64155
The internet should be unregulated, as it has always been.

6953. Terry Clay, Marionville, MO, 65705
Keep the net open for all. The internet has become a utility and should be available to every home without restrictions. No blocking, throttling or added charges for access.

6954. Stephen Lewis, St. Louis, MO, 63121
Net Neutrality needs to be preserved. If you give over the ability to control internet speeds to ISPs that means that new websites, and small business owners will take the hit harder than anyone else.

6955. P. Gusky, Columbia, MO, 65203
It is crucial to keep ISP's from providing better internet speed or accessibility to large corporations or websites that pay more for it. Facebook's Sheryl Sandberg expressed it well. "Keeping the internet open for everyone is crucial. Not only does it promote innovation, but it lets people access information that can change their lives and gives voice to those who might not otherwise be heard.â€

6956. Joe Botts, Springfield, MO, 65807
I want an open internet which I, as a retired person can access information and people and sites without being charged separately for each. I want equal access to all for one price. The internet was developed by tax payers and should be treated as a utility. Do not change it or turn it over to mega corporations to run. Keep it neutral as it is now. I am part of a group demanding net neutrality. Thank you for keeping it neutral

6957. Elisa DeBoer, Manchester, MO, 63011
ISPs should not have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.This would hurt consumers and businesses large and small.If some companies can pay ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. This will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and poor customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Elisa DeBoer

6958. Justin Crooks, Sullivan, MO, 63080
Not having full access to the internet and letting corporations throttle speeds will be the absolute death of the internet. Millions of gamers depend on high speed internet and if they can't get that, potentially billions of dollars will be lost from the community.

6959. Brendan Payne, Florissant, MO, 63031
A lack of net neutrality means a closed and censored internet which goes against the very principles of the ideals that America was founded on. Big businesses should NOT be allowed to control what we can or cannot have access to on the internet. If net neutrality is not kept, small business owners will suffer and innovative ideas from the American people will come to a halt as they will not be able to compete. No one should be able to control the internet.

6960. Craig Bischof, Osage Beach, MO, 65065
I very strongly oppose Chairman Pai's proposal to reverse net neutrality protections because a free and open internet is vital for our democracy, for our businesses, and for our daily lives.Net neutrality is a vital principle in a democratic society.

6961. Nick Covert, Rolla, MO, 65401
net neutrality is something that should not be taken away

6962. Tony L. Morris Jr., Neosho, MO, 64850
Open access to the Internet is vital to the advancement of the state of the art and is proven to spur innovation. Allowing a small group of corporations to control something so vital to us all would be a detriment to the citizens of the United States, the tech industry as a whole, and to further advancement of Information Technology.

6963. Benjamin Logsdon, Springfield, MO, 65807
Please respect the views of the American people and do not reverse net neutrality. Thank you.

6964. Jordan Gonzalez, Wildwood, MO, 63025
I am a musician/entertainer that makes a living through the internet. From promotion, to interacting with fans, to selling music, and performing on livestreams, net neutrality is what keeps my livelihood feasible. When purchasing broadband, I expect to be able to view/access all internet offers reliably and efficiently. If that is taken away, then there is no guarantee that I will be able to continue making my living as I currently do. The FCC needs to be able to monitor broadband ISP's to ensure that my privacy as a musician/entertainer in the online world is protected, as well as to make sure that broadband ISP's do not raise prices to extreme levels unchecked.

6965. John Conover, King City, MO, 64463
I believe net neutrality is key to keeping the internet as an open platform for all.

6966. Michael Gillen, Branson, MO, 65616
Don't fuck me, fcc

6967. Marietta Walker, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
Please do not allow the wealthy and privileged be the only ones to have fast Internet. As a one time small business owner, Privileged Internet Services will put inadequate Internet Service and/or unbearable expense on the small business owner.

6968. Travis Powell, Springfield, MO, 65807
The Open Internet rules (net neutrality) are extremely important to me. I don't want the ISP to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites advantage on others, or split the internet into fast lanes for companies that pay and slow lanes for the rest.Thank you

6969. juan, St.Louis, MO, 63116
I care for freedom on the internet

6970. Anthony Rich, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
I support Net Neutrality and encourage the unimpeded access to information on the web.

6971. Rob Livergood, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality) are extremely important to me. I urge the FCC to keep them in place to ensure that the free market decides the success of businesses on the internet.

6972. Samuel Brian, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Hello, my name is Sam Brian and I am writing today because I believe net neutrality backed by Title II oversight is vital to a free and open internet.I am a Web Developer and broadband internet services are essential to my living. I use my access to the internet for everything from working, to commerce, to watching movies, to hanging out with friends via Skype. It is a vital part of my daily life.When I pay for access to the internet, I expect to receive access to the same open internet as everyone else, not the version my ISP believes I should be seeing.Without Title II oversight of broadband service providers would not be required to treat all data equally. We could see ISPs intentionally slowing down services that compete with companies and services they own. This would have the effect of dramatically reduce competition among new digital startups and permanently weight the scale in favor of services who are large enough to pay for a "so-called" fast lane. This would be devastating for myself and anyone else in the tech industry.In the interest of protecting the openness of the internet as a platform, I ask that the FCC continue to classify ISPs and broadband services as "telecommunication services" and continue to subject them to Title II oversight.

6973. Nathan Powers, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
I believe in net neutrality and getting what I pay for. I do not want a company throttling or deciding what I can look at.

6974. Josh Adams, West Plains, MO, 65775
Internet neutrality is important for both business and internet users. The internet allows anyone's voice to be heard whether it is one user, a small business, or a huge cooperation. Taking away Internet neutrality basically put the power of blocking or slowing a website or domain in the hands of a ISP that may be the only or few options that a user has to get an internet connect from (I currently only have two options for internet because all the ISP wired lines that reach my residence are full and I have little to no cellular service here so I can not use mobile data. This leaves only two satellite service providers and if they both blocked the services I use online I would be screwed.) This ISP then can act in what every way it's best interest is and block sites it doesn't like (such as other ISPs or services provided by others that the ISP provides, like email). This is similar to internet in countries such as China where the government dictates what they citizens can see or use. I think we can almost all say this is a bad or at least dangerous idea. So, why would we give this power to an ISP?

6975. Jacob Gossage, St. Louis, MO, 63123
Net neutrality is one of the great aspects of the internet. The internet was formed for the free exchange of thought and ideas. Human knowledge in its entirety doubles every five years in large part to sharing via the internet. Any legislation to stop or slow such thoughts seems like an attack against my freedoms as an American citizen and as a citizen of the world. This is not beneficial to anyone except big internet providers.

6976. Christian O'Brien, maryland heights, MO, 63043
Uphold our rights to the internet

6977. Steffon Ferguson, St. Louis, MO, 63125
I want to express my strong support for a free and open Internet backed by Title II protections. It is absurd to think that removing these protections will somehow be more beneficial for consumers and competition when we live in a nation where a lot of Americans only have one or two options for broadband. That does not seem like very much competition and repealing active protections would only hurt consumers. I currently use the open Internet in a number of ways, email, social networking, streaming media, crowd-funding and gaming. If my protections as an Internet consumer are repealed then ISP's like At&t and Charter would be able to chargeadditional fees for services offered to me freely across the Internet, where the payment of additional fees is completely up to me. I prefer this method of choice over whatever package based, fast-lane, crap corporations come up with. The policy to protect the open Internet, competition and consumers should reflect the needs and voice of the American people, not that of monopolies and corporations who do not have their consumers best interests in mind. Please, leave the Internet open and continue to back it with Title II protections.

6978. Aaron Price, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
Net neutrality needs to be maintained. I am infuriated that the FCC is even playing with the idea of limiting Internet access. I 100% believe that limiting my access to the Internet is a huge infringement on my rights. I therefore will not stand by idle while the FCC tries to strip me of my rights as an American citizen and human being.

6979. Madeline Stanley, Columbia, MO, 65203
The internet is a utility that everyone needs to do their day to day tasks. It is just as necessary as electricity.

6980. john, Odessa, MO, 64076
You should protect net neutrality and title 2. This is very important to us

6981. Dawn Walter, St Louis, MO, 63104
It is important that net neutrality stays in place. I, as a consumer, only has one option for my internet, Spectrum. Revoking net neutrality would give Spectrum far too much power.

6982. Sam, Fulton, MO, 65251
the internet should be available to everyone. stop putting price tags on literally everything. Next you'll be trying to sell people bottles of oxygen

6983. Regan H., columbia, MO, 65202
Net Neutrality is an important part of free commerce and freedom of speech. If you let ISPs put up paywalls, you will not only be restricting freedom of speech and freedom of expression, but you will be killing our free market. You will be doing this by making sure

it is near-impossible for people without the economic stability of a multi-billion dollar company to get their products and messages out. Please. Do not make the internet a billboard, keep it a freeway.

6984. ZethCrews, Festus, MO, 63028
I strongly believe that an open & neutral net is the only expression that is left safeguarded and one of the few freedoms that are left in 'the land of the free' In a land of consumerism, let the consumers be the ones who decide on what it is that we wish to see. If you would n ot ban a book, then how can you justly charge more to visit a webpage. You know what is right. Do not confuse equality of wealth with true equity

6985. Jake Boswell, Kansas City, MO, 64157
It is important to me that we have NET neutrality. The internet should be free and open! Please keep NET neutrality in place!

6986. Robert Williams, Springfield, MO, 65802
It would be unfair to cause internet consumers to have to pay extra to view certain sites, we already have to pay for internet service. Please keep the internet fair and neutral, thank you.

6987. Heath Madon, Liberty, MO, 64068
Companies shouldn't be allowed to dictate what there internet can be used for in the United States of America.

6988. Dominick Alessi, Springfield, MO, 65802
It is bald hypocrisy to insist that government stay out of the lives of individuals in areas where it can-do real good i.e. welfare and poverty alleviation education and health care while insisting that it has a duty to forcibly involve itself into the most private of individual actions. Your authoritarianism is showing.

6989. Joshua Bonney, Pacific, MO, 63069
The internet needs to not be regulated for monetary gain by any ISP. Do not do this to our glorious country.

6990. Cameron Jones, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please do not throttle my Netflix so Time Warner can get a new gold plated dick sucking machine. Net Neutrality keeps the internet functional and profitable. Thank you.

6991. Brandon Fitzgerald, Washington, MO, 63090
An open internet is crucial to people's freedoms. We have the right to free access of information and news and much of this, in the modern age, comes increasingly from online. You know this and you should not be trying to weaken this basic personal freedom. We won't stand for these changes. The net can not be limited or adjusted. We can not tolerate blocked sites or sites that load slowly because of their content. We demand that net neutrality be protected and strengthened.

6992. Dietrich Jenkins, Kansas City, MO, 64145
I DO NOT in any way SUPPORT the TITLE II (title 2) bill, which has the potential to harm current net neutrality laws already established. The internet is a basic necessity for most if not all individuals aside of entertainment and employment, most importantly the internet provides an infinite well of knowledge to humanity; especially those who seek the means to better themselves without the financial means to do so. AGAIN I DO NOT SUPPORT TITLE II (Title 2).

6993. Matt Cushman, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
As a small business owner, the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and crucial to my financial survival. Please, please, please protect them.Without a free and open internet, I don't see our economy or democracy surviving. It's that important.Thank youMattMatt Cushman

6994. Tanner Robinson, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
This is a terrible idea. Signing off on this is a surefire method to get the entire internet to hate you.

6995. Jeremy Roegner, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
Iâ€™m very concerned that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way theyâ€™re enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any.If protections arenâ€™t maintained or better yet, strengthened, I am concerned that ISPs will not choose to shape their business practices towards a consumer-friendly path. Instead, they will go where the money is - namely, businesses that can afford to pay. As much as the government likes to promote small business friendly attitudes, itâ€™s possible decisions like this, that seek only to help larger corporations grow even larger.As someone in the IT field and someone that may want to have a small business that relies on my traffic being treated as equal, this is highly discouraging. Additionally, paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. Isnâ€™t it possible (read: probable) they could (read: would) do this again? Iâ€™m also concerned by mobile providers who say a plan is â€œunlimited,â€ but when you exceed the data cap, only throttle sites and services that arenâ€™t part of their approved zero-rating network. Are these practices in the best interest of me, the consumer, the citizen?Thanks for reading my comment.

6996. Dennis Piatkowski, Kansas City, MO, 64154
Both wife and I are small business owners. Being forced to pay every single ISP a fee just to compete would bankrupt us within days. Please support net neutrality. It's hard enough working for a slice of the pie against the big companies for discovery, to not have the additional fees so people can actually use our stuff once found. This will create monopolies by the few web hosting services that pay the fees and pass on those fees to the clients, never having any way to prove that we are actually getting what we pay for.

6997. Ethan Dall, Foristell, MO, 62248
This poses a great threat to our freedom to choose what websites we are freely allowed to go to. Dont take away our freedom of choice!

6998. Reinhardt Prince, Columbia, MO, 65202
There is no honor in attempting to control others for your own profit. For that reason alone you shall not succeed. What's best for the people will always triumph over the petty acts you may attempt. Reinhardt Prince

6999. Alec Stanley, Columbia, MO, 65203
The internet is a vital resource to working Americans. Nearly all forms of employment require some form of internet access to either obtain or maintain. Much like electricity the internet is an essential utility in this day and age.

7000. Eric Johnson, Lee's Summit, MO, 64063
Keep the bleedin' title ruling on Net Neutrality! Comcast has done enough damage to me in the past, I do NOT need them to throttle my internet even more!

7001. Micah Franklin, St. Charles, MO, 63303
open internet and competition create an atmosphere for a better quality of products. The Net needs to remain Neutral so that people have the freedom to access the services they really want. There should not be paid prioritization, blocking, or throttling. All the rules should apply to my home and mobile connections equally.

7002. internet neutrality, Columbia, MO, 65215
The internet is how many people across the nation earn their livelihood. Don't break what isn't broken.

7003. Caleb Wilson, St. Joseph, MO, 64505
As a concerned citizen, I think it is important for me to voice my opinion in favor of maintaining net neutrality. It is an important step in keeping what should be considered a utility an open platform for all, and frankly allowing ISP's to dictate what sites can receive traffic faster seems as though it could near the cusp of infringing on a basic civil right. Please recognize the importance of neutrality, and I hope that this comment will shed some light onto how many Americans feel

7004. Andrew Ford, St. Louis, MO, 63118
I believe that it is in the best interests of the United States, especially with regard to innovation in the tech sector, that the FCC maintain Net Neutrality. Further, the telecoms and other companies who provide internet access services should be deemed Common Carries.

7005. Galen Wilson, Florissant, MO, 63033
I am a frequent user of the internet and consider on a daily basis making the internet my main source of income. I am for Title II, and for net neutrality, along with a majority of the most common users of the internet. Voting against it would lose absolutely all of my trust in the Republican party, and forever lose my vote; I would make a constant reminder that even when I feel as though the Republican party had the better candidate, I will never vote them again, should Title II be killed and the internet be stifled.

7006. Charlie Inboriboon, Kansas City, MO, 64113
I support net neutrality, it is integral to giving equal voice to all people, otherwise, both sides of the political spectrum are at risk for not being heard when the other is in power. Please commit to protecting access to information.

7007. Kaitlyn Bryant, Auxvasse, MO, 65231
Internet and online media is the new "books" educating the masses. So if you want to have a nationwide "book burning" you are doing a great job. If you want everyone to have access to everything the need to be informed and happy then stop trying to end net neutrality.

7008. Alyssa, cape girardeau, MO, 63701
If you could, for once, make me feel like the government cares about the wants if it's people, rather than a shady paycheck, that would be great. Net neutrality is important to me and everyone I know who uses the internet (darn near everyone). SAME SPEEDS FOR ALL!

7009. Laura Owens, High Ridge, MO, 63049
A free internet is important to everyone who uses it. Large companies do not get to make the decisions here. If I am paying for the service, these companies do not get to decide how I want to use it. Laura Owens

7010. Jacob Pigg, st. peters, MO, 63376
The USA is the land of the free, let us keep our internet that way. The internet is meant to be a place of equality, where the people can decide what they want to view, not billionaire corporations.

7011. Kyle C Ash, Hazelwood, MO, 63042
I support an open and free internet. Keep net neutrality. Why is this even a discussion? It is absolutely ridiculous to end net neutrality. Kyle C Ash

7012. Matthew Cain, Creve Coeur, MO, 63141
I pay my ISP for access to the internet, in its entirety. I have entered into a service agreement regarding speeds and data usage (mobile). The service is to carry data to and from my web-enabled device. What content I access or how I choose to use my data should in no form or fashion be impacted by my ISP. They are a internet service provider, not a curator of internet content. I count on the FCC to protect net neutrality to protect myself, family, and other citizens from potential predatory and exploitative practices, such as content throttling, blocking, paid prioritization, "fast lanes" or divided content. ISPs are wholly unqualified and unwanted to act in this capacity to have the capability to limit the unrestricted access to information or content. In addition to dangers of censorship, failure to protect net neutrality unnecessarily provides ISP with mechanism to put certain content creators and businesses (both internet and non-internet based products and services) at disadvantages. ISPs are wholly unwanted and undeserving to have such influence on American businesses and the rest of the economy. ISPs are not financially disadvantaged under the current net neutrality system. There is no incentive but greed and control that motivates the disassembly of net neutrality protections. Net neutrality protects Americans in the spirit of personal liberty and personal expression. As such, I can only conclude that to remove net neutrality protections is an attack on

American principles and economic and intellectual future, all for the benefit of a small number of already profitable business. I cannot support the removal of net neutrality protections. I find the current push to do so disturbing, disgusting, and un-American. Thank you for reading my comments. These opinions are my own. Any comments/submissions to the FCC or its affiliates regarding this matter that do not support net neutrality are not my own and must be considered falsified.

7013. Jesse Graftenreed, Saint Louis, MO, 63124
Equal Internet speeds should be available to all, not subject to the whim of providers. The alternative would be equivalent to limiting household electricity in order to provide more to the local WalMart.

7014. Ben Lawrence, Wildwood, MO, 63038
I am a casual user of the internet: I browse websites for fun, for entertainment, and for research related to my life as a student. Net Neutrality allows me to freedom of choice in any and all of my internet related activities. I support a free internet, without restrictions by ISPs whose only fuel for a partitioned internet is greed. Do not repeal Net Neutrality.

7015. Robert McCarty, Florissant, MO, 63033
The only reason they want to change this is because it will make them more money. They already price gouge us with internet prices, why do they need more?

7016. Jeni Ral, st louis, MO, 63114
Keep the internet free as it should be! Cable companies have no right to control and destroy the internet as we know it. Everyone will suffer from allowing that to happen except rich executives who don't deserve the money they already get.

7017. Katy Rossi, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
The Open Internet Rules from 2015 should remain in place as is. Network Neutrality and common carrier based communication in the U.S. dates back to 1860 with the telegraph. The internet should adhere to our American tradition by leaving Internet Service Providers classified as a Title II common carrier service.

7018. Christina Woods, Ballwin, MO, 63011
I am a graphic designer, trying to begin freelance design work. The majority of freelancing is arranged through the Internet; without a neutral Internet, I will not be able to support myself. I also follow many online content creators, all of whom would have their livelihoods disrupted, if not destroyed, by a biased Internet.

7019. Peter Boos, Liberty, MO, 64068
The Internet has been relatively free since its creation and new proposals towards giving ISP's more power over their consumers (effectively everyone) is a step towards an internet that is overly regulated, which is not the best interest of anybody except big corporations. Those impacted by these changes will see no benefit at the expense of internet freedom.

7020. Brandon Hueston, Washington, MO, 63090
The world needs NET NEUTRALITY.

7021. Haydn Joseph Davies, Kansas City, MO, 64157
We need to keep the internet free and keep net neutrality please don't repeal net neutrality

7022. Tyler Clemens, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
I am strongly opposed to any relaxation of Net Neutrality. I have ZERO faith in corporate self-regulation. I LIKE government regulation of corporations as it is the only thing keeping them in check. This is a critical issue for me and would STRONGLY INFLUENCE my behavior in elections. I have voted in almost every election since coming of age.

7023. Timothy Christopher Elliott, Clinton, MO, 64735
Without the ability to freely chose what programs I'm able to contact people with, I'd never be able to keep in contact with my lover or any of my friends due to where I've had to move. When I buy broadband net access I do it for email access, online storage I simply couldn't do with hard-drives, and being able to host various online only activities. Because of where I live, I literally have no other option for ISP, if my ISP decides not to support Google for some reason I won't be able to do even the most basic things on my own system and I'll have no other choice than to simply accept it. The FCC should oversee if individual ISP's are creating pocket Monopolies or if these ISP's are slowing access to competitive websites to prioritize their own. ISP's need regulation like any other business or persons. People need the internet in this era, not just for pleasantries or escapism, but to apply for jobs or to be able to have an education. So many of these things require and expect every individual to have unrestricted access to the internet, and this simply won't allow that any more.

7024. Kevin Grooms, Kansas City, MO, 64110
The FCC should continue to protect and maintain Net Neutrality in regulating the Internet. It is critical to our democracy as well as to our economy, ensuring fairness and widespread prosperity. KEEP Net Neutrality.

7025. Matthew Wilkinson-Swafford, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
Net Neutrality needs to remain in place. I use the internet daily for things such as communication and media streaming. There are others that use it for many different purposes, sometimes on lesser known websites. Those websites must remain usable regardless of region or ISP.

7026. Thomas Canales, Springfield, MO, 65714
Compromising the internet as it stands is a travesty both on the domestic and international level.

7027. Carly Meyer, Saint Louis, MO, 63108

I am completely against the corporatization of the internet. The internet should be easily accessed by all.

7028. Brian English, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
To Whom It May Concern:As a daily Internet user, I must insist that the FCC continues to protect net neutrality and does not allow ISPs to control the information that I see, or the speed with which I am served this information. Using the Internet is a crucial part of my everyday life, and allowing ISPs to dictate which sites I can view and at what speeds I can view them would be a debilitating life change.I personally use Charter Spectrum as my home Internet provider, and as I understand it, I am allowed access to the entirety of the Internet - in forms of websites, apps, and all related programs - without any kind of regulation with regard to how frequently or how long I use them. Charter has promised me a minimum of a very specific rate of service (in terms of upload/download speeds), and I expect that for ALL websites, apps, and related services. I have been a Charter subscriber for well over a decade and have spent more than $25,000 on their services. I expect the same level of service I received then that I have now.Unfortunately, if my ISP were to choose to throttle my bandwidth, I would have literally no other option for Internet access. In Saint Louis, Missouri, your choices for an ISP are Charter or no one. Being that our government allows this kind of monopoly, I would be withheld Internet access if Charter were allowed to monitor and throttle my usage, or be forced to pay in excess of $500/month to my cellular carrier for access. In either scenario, I would be a very unfairly compromised consumer and the victim of price fixing and monopolization.I believe firmly in the authority of the FCC with regard to ensuring that consumers are granted fair access to the Internet. In today's world, the Internet is much less a commodity and much more a necessity - in fact, it's very nearly a basic human right. I urge the FCC to continue allowing unfettered access to the Internet and to disallow ISPs from regulating, throttling, monitoring, and otherwise hampering US citizens' access to the Internet.With My Most Sincere Thanks, Brian English

7029. DARRYL COMMINGS, BLACK JACK, MO, 63033
I fully support net neutrality. The ability to access the internet as equals is fundamental to our democratic process. Removing net neutrality will pave the way for unnecessary censorship and silencing of marginalized voices. DO NOT TAKE AWAY OUR NET NEUTRALITY

7030. Justin, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
Keep the Net open and free!

7031. Net Neutrality, Columbia, MO, 65203
I Don't like the idea of getting rid of net neutrality, even the thought of it makes me uneasy. The internet should remain a free place for people to do as they wish, as long as said activity is legal. Companies should not have the power to determine what we can and can not do on our internet.

7032. Travis Stuart, St. Charles, MO, 63301
Net Neutrality must remain strong and intact. Weighting access to the internet and all its citizens by how much money you can pay destroys the fundamental quality that the internet is known for: equality. Data must be treated equally to foster innovation and healthy competition, and to allow all to have a voice.

7033. Abby Lowe, St. Louis, MO, 63108
Internet providers MUST continue to treat all Internet traffic equally. A startup company should be given the same chance to succeed as a corporation with deep pockets. Let me say it loud for people in the back: QUALITY CONTENT SHOULD REIGN, NOT CASH. It is very important to me that net neutrality remain in effect, on both mobile AND desktop connections.

7034. Jeremy Mehrtens, Festus, MO, 63028
I'm concerned that the proposed changes will harm both small business's ability to compete and the ability of people in rural areas to eventually be provided with solid high speed internet. This is a bad idea. Absolute power corrupts absolutely. The only thing keeping ISP's and consumers somewhat equal in terms of regulatory efficacy is the government's intervention. The instant the regulations are changed the leash will be off the rabid wolves, so to speak, and American consumers will pay the price. Leave the regulations how they are, don't dismantle net neutrality. Thank you for your consideration.

7035. Kele Thrailkill, Ferguson, MO, 63135
I only have two options for reliable, reasonably fast ISPs in my area. If for any reason other than technical reasons one should alienate me as a customer (such as extremely poor customer service, or pricing point) I will be down to a single internet option. That is very likely and has happened on multiple occasions to me and others I know. I pay for a high speed connection to the internet with relative anonymity. I pay for a rate of data transfer. I do not want my ISP to have any more interaction with my experience than that. Just the data pipeline. I would prefer they keep as little information about what I do with my data as is reasonable possible. If I discovered that my ISP was altering my data transmission or rerouting my data in ways that profited them rather than benefited me, I would likely want to change providers. If I knew that my ISP was prioritizing my data differently than any other data it handled I would likely want to change providers.I expect the FCC to protect me from companies that will alter my data, or how my data is transferred. I have no reasonable way to either investigate or hold these companies accountable for taking actions to my detriment that I am not aware of. I have no real recourse as changing companies is usually not an option for me, and living without internet is simply not an option. I expect the FCC to maintain these protections, and keep the ISPs from altering or re-prioritizing my data.

7036. Christopher G Whitelaw, Saint Louis, MO, 63105
Taking away Net Neutrality will hurt far, far, far more people than it would help. Why is this even being considered? Please don't let this happen!

7037. Brian Maupin, Kansas City, MO, 64119
Please keep the internet free and fair for our nation. No ISP should be able to block, limit or censor websites that they disagree with or compete against.

7038. Brannon Estay, Springfield, MO, 65802

Freedom leave it alone!!!

7039. Jonathan Pallotto, Kansas City, MO, 64113
It is highly important for the net neutrality laws to remain. Without these laws our freedom is infringed upon by large Internet service providers. Removal of Net Neutrality is an act of aggression on our rights as an American citizen. I highly urge you to keep Net Neutrality laws.

7040. Brandon Young, Saint Clair, MO, 63077
This Filing is in relation to the removal of net neutrality regulations and the removal of Internet services from a title 2 service. I wholeheartedly am opposed to this move to remove net neutrality, both from a place of pragmatism and a place of personal feelings. There is little proof that their removal will benefit the average citizen. It will harm me, my family and business I am employed by and the businesses I frequent. Net neutrality must remain or it will cost us for the sake of the few and entitled

7041. Thomas Mosley, Fulton, MO, 65251
As an avid user of the internet I would like to leave a comment supporting FCC oversight and current Net Neutrality rules. I would like to continue to use my ISP as my gateway to a free and neutral internet. They provide several speeds at which I am able to access the internet and my payments are for that service, able to freely access what others primarily pay to place on the internet. I use the internet to stream entertainment, to keep in touch with distant family (using programs such as Discord, Skype, and Facebook), and to access information regularly. Allowing these things to be restricted would not only be irresponsible, but also roughly the same as restricting the freedom to access these things behind a secondary paywall that should already be counted within accessing the internet. As far as true internet access, locally I have one cable provider of broadband and one satellite provider of broadband. Without the proper FCC oversight, consumer rights will be trampled upon, similarly to the way they were before Net Neutrality rules were properly introduced, only the path will continue and the abuse will see no end. Thank you very much for considering my opinions and feelings regarding Net Neutrality and FCC oversight of Internet communications.

7042. Benjamin Griffith, St. Louis, MO, 63119
Net Neutrality in the modern digital age may very well be the last hold to the average United States citizen having access to Freedom of Press- Net Neutrality cannot reasonably be dropped without infringing on basic human rights and amendment rights here in the United States of America. Without having equal and universal access to the medium through which Americans receive their information, these rights are violated, and the decision to stop the practice of Net Neutrality in America is a large step backwards for this great nation.

7043. Anna Barry-Ford, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I am in strong support of keep net neutrality strong through Title II. Please do not "rollback" these changes.

7044. Luc Benson, St. Louis, MO, 63128
My family and I use the internet daily for a variety of reasons including but not limited to entertainment, education, social networking, and finance. Both my wife, my children and I have directly benefited from free, quick access to online bill payment, online graduate coursework, and reliable communication (email, text, etc). It is because of this that I support the current net neutrality Title II regulations and the consumer protections those regulations provide. It frightens me to think about the power and control my ISP will have on my internet experience if these regulations are dismantled. It is especially concerning to me since my choice of broadband internet provider is severely limited to two providers in my neighborhood. Without the regulations and oversight provided by the FCC through net neutrality and Title II, I fear that me, my family and fellow Americans will have little protection from the profit-driven scope of our broadband internet service providers.I cannot blindly trust that the two broadband ISP options in my neighborhood will put my best interests at the forefront of their decision-making. It is a telling sign when many of our largest ISPs have consistently been rated as having the worst customer service year after year. I urge the FCC commissioners to keep the current Title II designation for our ISPs and allow the net neutrality regulations to protect that millions of consumers from the whims of our ISPs.Thank you.-Luc Benson

7045. Mary Carter, St. Louis, MO, 63139
The internet needs to remain neutral and accessible to all.

7046. Madison Schmelig, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Keeping the internet open is a basic tenant of what this country was built on: freedom. To do anything else would be a violation of our basic principles.

7047. Kyle Hinterser, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Please reinstate Net Neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs. Not doing so will not only be hurting the American people and companies, but hinder startups that will not be able to pay to be in the "Internet fast lanes". I feel very strongly about this, and would strongly urge the FCC to reinstate these provisions.

7048. Colin Dettmar, Springfield, MO, 65810
Net Neutrality is essential to modern life, and should be considered a basic freedom. To sell it to ISPs so that they can regulate information that should be freely available is unconscionable.

7049. Jeff Wallace, st. ann, MO, 63074
This could end up costing consumers BIG time. Please insist on net neutrality.

7050. Nathaniel Muesenfechter, Ballwin, MO, 63021
To the FCC,It is a great concern of mine that under the new net neutrality rules our rights as individuals and consumers would be limited and infringed upon. Limiting the bandwidth, content, and choice of internet service providers puts power into the hands of corporations and the government seeing fit to do as they please. I believe it will negatively affect the majority of Americans whether small business owners or minimum wage workers. Rules are necessary wherever one goes in society, however these rules clearly don't seek to protect American citizens but instead the corporations that need American citizens business. I disagree entirely with the new net neutrality rules

and respectfully ask that the implementation of said rules does not come into fruition.-Nate M.

7051. Justin TEtlow, springfield, MO, 65804
Do not reverse net neutrality laws.

7052. Nick Zaegel, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Do not dare take away the freedom that net neutrality brings. The internet is not a realm to be price gouged. Who are we North Korea?

7053. net neutrality, Kansas City, MO, 64138
Please don't end net neutrality. This is a very important issue for us.

7054. Michelle Trantham, Springfield, MO, 65804
The lives and livelihoods of my friends, family, and my self depend on a neutral internet! We rely on an internet that is equal access to all, not censored or blocked information or content. I do not speak alone when I demand Net Neutrality!

7055. William Scott, Independence, MO, 64050
To whomever reads these: The importance of net neutrality cannot be understated. In a country known for freedom, we cannot allow net neutrality to die. Not now, not ever. The internet is the most important invention in human history, capable of categorizing all of human knowledge in one easy to access place. It should be classified as a human right, and anything short of boundless access is unacceptable. Please do the right thing.

7056. Michael L BaKER, columbia, MO, 65203
Large ISP's have shown there complete disregard to their customers on so many front. This include low data caps so if you use the Internet as your main viewing platform for movies and news you easily go over your data limits and are charged more money. I addition to this these same companies punish high data users and show preference to their own networks services not allowing for free competition. This is also in my cause is even more restrictive by local city cable ordinances that restrict companies that could serve the city but don't want to cable the entire city as it is not cost effective. Freedom of the net is the only way to protect the consumer from these hurtful practices. Changing what has been done only hurts the middle and lower class people of the USA.

7057. Matt Beumer, Saint Louis, MO, 63125
Please do everything you can to stop the blatant cash grab by ISP's and maintain an open internet. We pay high rates already relative to the rest of the world for internet service, and this shakedown should not be allowed. Chairman Pai is a paid industry insider who should never have been put in his position.Please, help the CONSUMER, not the corporations.Matt Beumer

7058. Steve Goldman, St. Louis, MO, 63132
Please do not change the net neutrality rules as this will make my life at what I search for a lot harder to work on the internet.

7059. peter brennan, fenton, MO, 63026
Changing net neutrality from its current form would be bad for Consumers, Small Business and Government Accountability of the Internet. Thank you for your consideration.

7060. Robert Wyand, St Charles, MO, 63303
I support Net Neutrality. I do not believe internet providers should be allowed to limit or otherwise control speeds or availability based on data content. That is my business, not theirs. If the ISPs are allowed to tamper with delivery based on content that will negatively affect consumer choice and freedom.

7061. Nathan Hoeft, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Net neutrality is integral for the development of the human species. Do not make our reality and more influenced by monetary persuasion.

7062. Reeda Rippee, Republic, MO, 65738
Internet access should be available without strictures that benefit large corporations. The American public should be who the American government protect, not large corporation stockholders.

7063. Patty Murray, Florissant, MO, 63034
I am completely opposed to any attempt to control and/or change my access and public access to the internet. I'm disgusted that the FCC wants to help big business make even more money off the backs of citizens. Stop this right now!

7064. Kaden Howe, DeSoto, MO, 63020
Net Neutrality is a must have thing. It keeps things fair and even and prevents things like making websites pay for faster speeds on their sites. I want to keep net neutrality. I recently got my Eagle Scout and I learned that some things need to be fair for all.

7065. T. Brett, St. Louis, MO, 63011
PLEASE DO NOT CHANGE OR PROPOSE NEW RULES.Leave the internet as it is. I am against Proceeding 17-108, the FCC changing what we know to be an open internet and competition online. I support strong net neutrality rules that give people the power to choose which websites and apps are best. I do not want internet service providers and some at the Federal Communications Commission to change these rules and limit our access to the internet.

7066. Michael Weisbrod, arnold, MO, 63010
Seriously stop with this. Money is cool and all but you have more than enough

7067. Joshua Jennings, Springfield, MO, 65803
Net Neutrality is important and needs to remain in play.

7068. Jeff Meyer, St. Joseph, MO, 64503
Hello,As a member of a small video production company I believe Net Neutrality is essential for our business model. The internet is a part of our lifeblood allowing us to transmit files from shooters and editors all over the country. If our ISP is able to offer faster connections to some services and slower ones to others it impacts our ability to reliably conduct business. As a small business, net neutrality impacts our bottom dollar in a positive way.On a personal level I use a lot of streaming media. I don't want any "zero-rated," value-added services or premium fees for to streaming in HD or UHD. I just want a reliable, fast connection for sharing data.Just like all US dollars are treated equally all data traveling in the US should be treated equally.Thank you.

7069. Brendan TEske, Florissant, MO, 63033
Keep the ENTIRE internet open!

7070. Jay Summers, Olivette, MO, 63132
Preserve the open internet. Do not change the net neutrality rules.

7071. Crystal Goens, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Please keep our internet free. let us choose what we want to view with out being behind a paywall

7072. Steven Roach, Saint Louis, MO, 63125
Net Neutrality is essentially the First Amendment of the Internet. You really want to take that away from the people? Why do you want to take away something that people like yourselves have had for most of your lives? Why do you want to stop people from having the chance to go wherever they want on the Internet, to do whatever they want on the Internet, just because these big companies want more money? Why are you going to let these big companies and Chairman Pai walk over you and tell you that this is how things should be done, just for Pai and all these companies to step on all of you and run monopolies? I thought that America was a place where the smaller business could have a chance to fight, could have a chance to grow against these larger companies? If you take away Net Neutrality, if you choose to end the ear of the Internet that was free and open for all people, you will be putting those smaller businesses out of a job due to the fact that these larger businesses will take over their industries until no other business is left.Don't make the wrong decision. Stand up against these larger companies, against Chairman Pai, against all those who want to use the Internet to solely make profit off of the Internet that isn't needed. Look at all these people and businesses standing up for what the Internet should be: Free, open, and accessible to all.I ask of you: Please don't let this be the era where the Internet becomes monopolized by these large businesses. Please open your eyes and see that we, the people, deserve to have an open Internet, that we deserve to see the truth, that we deserve to traverse the Internet without having to pay extra for certain sites. Please. Think of the United States, and think of the people that you serve, this group of free individuals. Don't take away the right of using the Internet just so these larger companies can cut people out of jobs and turn the Internet into an electronic monopoly. Don't relinquish Net Neutrality. Keep the American people in your hearts as you make this decision, and please pick what's fair for an America that is free, for an America where countless of people have been told that they have the opportunity to chase their dreams. Don't cut people's dreams short by letting these larger companies win. This is the Land of the Free, Home of the Brave, and should stay that way on the Internet as well.Steven Roach

7073. Douglas Younkin, Union, MO, 63084
Net neutrality must be maintained to protect freedom of speech and freedom of access. Big government should stay out of playing favorites with big service providers. Net neutrality levels the playing field for all content producers and all viewers.

7074. Larenzo St.Clair, St. Louis, MO, 63132
The internet needs to be kept as a utility.

7075. Andrew Luebbers, St. Louis, MO, 63129
The internet should be completely accessable and no parts of it blocked off. If it is blatenetly Illegal, yes, systematically shut down those websites that are in question, but we still have a right to go anywhere on the net we want.

7076. Lawrence Thompson, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
What you wish to do to the internet infringes upon the rights of citizens. It will destroy fair competition. We the people do not want this, we elected you to vote on our behalf, and we do not want you to do this.

7077. Alexander N Aulabaugh, saint charles, MO, 63304
I'm not a very good impactful writer, and maybe no one will read this.. but i do know one thing; the open internet is a beautiful, and respectful thing. You can not allow ISPs to have unprecedented powers over the internet. I for one will not pay extra for content that was released, and posted for everyone. Content is king. I want to see the internet as a whole free from paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling. Broad bands should not have access to change what we see on the internet as a whole. Dulley note the there is only one internet, and the same rules should apply to home as mobile.

7078. Gavin, lake saint louis, MO, 63367
Keep the net neutral and available for all with no restrictions by companies seeking to gain at the expense of the free people.

7079. William Macke, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
I cannot believe that we are even having this debate again. Net Neutrality is obviously an incredibly important part of the modern world. It gives a level playing field for all new startup web companies and allows new services to thrive. I am a computer science student, and it greatly alarms me that if Net Neutrality gets rolled back my ability to possibly create a startup in the future might be greatly hindered. Besides, this is just one small step in boiling the frog, if we allow censorship of online websites by ISPs, how long before other groups start censoring offline as well as online. Net Neutrality is incredibly important, PLEASE DON'T REMOVE IT!!!!!

7080. Carter Sev, Springfield, MO, 65806
STOP RUINING THE INTERNET! I SUPPORT OPEN INTERNET LAWS! KEEP THE NET FREE!!Carter Sev

7081. corey morrison, Springfield, MO, 65802
The internet should not be owned by an entity, it should be an amenity like the autobahn, minimum supervision and unlimited speed.

7082. John Troxel, Holts Summit, MO, 65043
I support net neutrality and depend on it daily for my living and my four employees living as well! Please don't cave it to people that will make me pay or stop my business all together!

7083. Edwina Cooper, Manchester, MO, 63021
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.If you let companies decide to block the internet and charge what they want for access, it will forbid millions of Americans from using the internet. At all. We need regulation; we need to protect free, open, easy access to the internet for ALL. Regardless of ability to pay. The internet is not FOR pay; it is not owned by anyone. If you deregulate, then suddenly the internet will be owned by the Few and they will have total impunity with what they do with it. Which goes against everything the internet is, everything it was created for. It is no one's to own. Do not give people the opportunity to own it. Or we'll all suffer. YOU will suffer too.

7084. Dana Walker, Doniphan, MO, 63935
In our area there is only one service that has the ability to offer stable non satellite internet access. Therefore you must buy their modems and services. They gouge the prices for business owners. I pay $54 for my service which I don't consider that bad but if you are a business owner the price doubles even if the business owner has the same package and doesn't use a high volume of bandwidth. I would consider this a monopoly on the local market. Also if we allow websites to be blocked or throttled it would mean a detriment to the education of some Americans which is already not the greatest of the world. Prioritizing websites would mean that the ideas and opinions of people would be skewed simply because they were unable to see another point of view. My father has cancer right now and if the net was not neutral I would not be able to find other doctors to receive a second opinion or maybe another form of treatment as well as look further into the treatment planned by the attending doctor now. Blocking websites could also mean that the general public could not help in the apprehension of criminals planning an attack by the public maybe noticing something illegal in the works before officials. The net needs to stay neutral some people lives could literally depend on it.

7085. Robert Iver, St. Charles, MO, 63301
Please save net neutrality!! We need a free and open Internet for the free exchange of ideas and to protect free speech. I will NOT vote for anyone who opposes net neutrality.

7086. Lacey Vonderschmidt, Kansas City, MO, 64118
To whom concerns,Net Neutrality. It is a slick topic that have been tossed around in daily conversations. I am sure that for-profit large corporations do not see it same way as we do, including me. To them, it is just a data of information they can sell. While to me, it is a matter of survival. I am Deaf and freelancing artist. I depends on the accessibility to Internet so greatly to remain as patriotic American who wanted to chase after her American dream: becoming artist and sells works that people will love. Yet I struggles with severe language barrier, exclusion, and long distance. Imagine yourself sitting at the dinning table with your family and friends while wearing large headphones that mute every single sounds. You will likely to feel like a fool at the table after noticing that everyone don't bother to turn to you and reply all information to you. Failing to hold the Net Neutrality up, you will take my freedom to have a voice in society and as a American citizen away. Please reconsider.

7087. Alexis M. Hejna, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I'm a professional artist and jewelry designer, I rely on the internet to advertise my work, research new products, and interact with my customers. My husband is a software engineer and web developer, I don't think I have to explain how the internet is used in his job. I beg of my government to not let net neutrality fall, both my husband and I will have our careers defined by Comcast and other corporations. Please, do not let this happen.

7088. Brad Mock, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
I support strong net neutrality. I've been a member of online communities since the Internet first became popular. I would feel coerced by my ISP if it could allow certain sites to take precedence over another. Please keep the Internet open, agnostic, and the greatest resource in the history of man.

7089. Edward Ramirez-Wright, Columbia, MO, 65202
To Secretary Pai,I am writing this letter today to condemn your support of 17-108, Restoring Internet Freedom. I strongly believe that the title of this bill was deliberately named "Restoring Internet Freedom" to mislead the general public from the practical effects of this measure, which will allow major telecommunications providers to restrict, throttle, or otherwise complicate access to all areas of the Internet. The Internet has become the leading median in which people acquire information about practically everything and to compromise this easy access for the entire public, no matter their demographic, threatens democracy of informed citizens. I do not agree that this proposal protects this easy access for all citizens in the US. Please, do not proceeds with this proposal.Sincerely,Edward Ramirez-Wright.

7090. Anthony Reider, St. Louis, MO, 63128
Internet service providers should not be in charge of the content I am allowed to access. I pay an ISP to connect me to the internet, and it is ridiculous to think that they can control my life in that manner. It is like opening a door and saying "go on through" but when you try the door shrinks to the size of a mouse hole. Not cool. It is all about ISPs making money, and i think they make enough without the government helping them out.

7091. Jessica Totten, Centralia, MO, 65240
If there is no net neutrality, ISPs will have the power (and inclination) to shape internet traffic so that they can derive extra benefit from it. For example, several ISPs believe that they should be allowed to charge companies for services like YouTube and Netflix because these services consume more bandwidth compared to a normal website. Basically, these ISPs want a share in the money that YouTube or Netflix make.Without net neutrality, the internet as we know it will not exist. Instead of free access, there could be "package plans"

for consumers. For example, if you pay Rs 500, you will only be able to access websites based in India. To access international websites, you may have to pay a more. Or maybe there can be different connection speed for different type of content, depending on how much you are paying for the service and what "add-on package" you have bought.Lack of net neutrality, will also spell doom for innovation on the web. It is possible that ISPs will charge web companies to enable faster access to their websites. Those who don't pay may see that their websites will open slowly. This means bigger companies like Google will be able to pay more to make access to Youtube or Google+ faster for web users but a startup that wants to create a different and better video hosting site may not be able to do that.Instead of an open and free internet, without net neutrality we are likely to get a web that has silos in it and to enter each silo, you will have to pay some "tax" to ISPs.

7092. jake fogarty, st charles, MO, 63301
net neutraility should remain the way it is it doesnt need to change

7093. Amanda Jeske, St. Louis, MO, 63132
I strongly protest the FCCâ€™s proposed rollback of net neutrality protections.

7094. jakob robertson, WASHINGTON, MO, 63090
I know you guys are old, but please stop fucking shit up for the rest of us.

7095. Corey Webel, Columbia, MO, 65203
PLEASE KEEP NET NEUTRALITY! I do not want ISPs to have influence over Internet content.

7096. Christopher Bier, Kansas City, MO, 64118
ISPs must be kept accountable and required to carry all traffic equally. Allowing them to setup toll roads is antithetical with free speech. Please keep a level playing field.

7097. Jonah Duffy, florissant, MO, 63033
why are you people still trying to do this?

7098. EE, Missouri, MO, 63111
It should not have to be explained why net neutrality is so important or why those wanting to stop it only have their desires in mind and are bad news. The internet affects how people live and at this point, truly is a necessity and a right to have, not a privilege as so many people assume it is. People use it to create their small businesses, to search for help when they are suffering, to educate themselves, and to reach out to each other. I would be dead had I not been able to reach out for help on the internet in times of need. To take the internet and turn it into nothing more than a tool for big businesses who care little for average people is a disgusting thought, and would be a travesty. It would betray everything positive that the internet has become and escalate everything negative it has become. The internet is a part of our human freedom- please, leave it alone.

7099. Duncan Vansickle, parkville, MO, 64152
I'm a collage student about to graduate with my degree in accounting and I cannot express my support for net neutrality strongly enough. Without it, getting the education I needed might well have been difficult or impossible, because I mainly had to work through internet classes to get my degree due to working full time. Internet access is, by any reasonable standard, a utility necessary for existing in the current age. An internet connection is becoming more and more necessary for purposes of finding and securing a job, operating a business, obtaining an education, and numerous other crucial life tasks. They are also almost universally a geographical monopoly. I, for example, have exactly one choice of ISP in my area. That is not likely to change in the near future, and certainly will not ever change to a situation where I have the option of many different ISPs, any one of which could, under the suggested law change, arbitrarily decide that I can't connect to their competitors web pages to change providers.Additionally, ruling that ISPs should not be regulated the same as a utility sets a dangerous precedent. After all, there is little difference between an internet service provider and a cellular service provider. Allowing the former to regulate its self without outside oversight allows the later to make a similar claim.The Federal Communications Commission's primary responsibility is, in my view, to ensure that the power of mass communication is not abused on a general level and specifically for "promoting competition, innovation and investment in broadband services and facilities." I can see no faster way for you to fail in that specific goal than to allow ISPs to squash their own competition through shear size and then stagnate in their complacency. Repealing net neutrality will also weaken our economy by preventing small businesses from competing on the digital stage. Please, do not allow this to happen. Please keep our internet neutral.

7100. Ben Thrasher, Wildwood, MO, 63040
The internet is one of the best things to happen to man kind. Please don't fuck this up

7101. Nicholas Grissom, Wentzville, MO, 63385
I am incredibly worried about the privacy and neutrality of the internet being violated. No one should be able to control priority or websites or what can and cannot be viewed on the internet. The internet must remain free and unhindered from these companies who would use it for selfish monetary gain and the whole world will be watching this to see how it unfolds.

7102. Chelsie Rock, Springfield, MO, 65802
Please don't fuck up the internet more than it already is. I need those rules so I don't have to pay a dickload for good internet.

7103. Scott Drummond, Kansas City, MO, 64108
I fully support Net Neutrality and wish our government to have a free and open Internet.

7104. Alexander Holcomb, Kirksville, MO, 63501
Don't mess with net neutrality! I want the freedom to see the whole, unbiased internet!

7105. Nicholas Rouse, hannibal, MO, 63401

Repealing net neutrality is a big mistake. I can't see a single good reason to repeal it.

7106. Brandon Moore, Springfield, MO, 65804
"We the people..." something we've seemed to forget about recently is that this country was founded upon the principles that the people choose. Not corporations and not the politicians that are supposed to represent us. We live in a society today where corporations and wealth already have too much control and influence over "We the people." Today is where we express what we want as the people. We want complete net neutrality and zero influence or control from isp's. We already pay them for a service and now they want to change that service in order to serve their own needs and we aren't ok with this. The Open Internet rules (net neutrality) are extremely important to me. I don't want the ISP to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites advantage on others, or split the internet into fast lanes for companies that pay and slow lanes for the rest. Don't allow corporate America to control our life. Our internet is our access to knowledge and bridge between cultures and people. On July 12, we should show the FCC and the Trump administration how we Fight for The Future. Title II utility-style regulations risks that innovative spark of content creators and small businesses that the world loves and that those people need in order to survive, serving ultimately to threaten the open Internet it purported to preserve. The Internet is and should remain a free place for everyone to speak, create, and enjoy whatever website they want, whenever they want. If history has taught me anything, it's that Hell hath no fury like a governed people scorned. Today we ban together to oppose the tyranny of too much government control and greed. We've had enough.

7107. Weston Betz, columbia, MO, 65201
I have PAID for broadband internet, you have no right to slow down or even block websites that someone does not like. I do not care if someone else dislikes a website, they can stay off of it, but if i want to go there and i have paid my internet fees i should be allowed to (assuming what i am doing is legal). If you do decide to do this, the uproar from the entire internet population will be unimaginable and i do not think that would be fun to deal with.

7108. Lance Talbert , Jefferson City, MO, 65109
I shouldn't even need to say this, but getting rid of net neutrality is bad news for everyone (unless you're a CEO). With net neutrality gone, there will be...Slower Netflix/Hulu streamsBlocked websitesSlower Youtube (which means have fun watching cute cat videos)Slower online gamingMaybe even faulty Google searchesNot to mention it'll make us as a country look worse. Nobody wants this. I'm sure you don't even want this. So I ask, as someone who enjoys all the above mentioned items as pasttimes, ways to do work, and so on... please keep net neutrality.Lance Talbert

7109. Trenton Robinson, Grain Valley, MO, 64029
Hello, I am here today to protest to keep net neutrality. I am sure everyone is telling you why they want this, but I just want to share a story with you. I'm a young adult, but this experience changed my life and if you take net neutrality away it wouldn't of happen.I like live theatre, I've been on and off the stage for multiple performances. Through this I learned to act, to hide behind my mask. I really learned to hide when my mother left to North Carolina, the night before she left my father beat me. I just hid, but you can probably guess the one place I could be myself. Online, when I was online I did hid at first just to see what it was like. eventually I realized it didn't matter. I started using websites like omegle, chatroulette, freechatrooms online, etc. Just to interact with people by being myself. I didn't have parents I could talk to, I didn't want to burden my friends or become a target at school. I remember at one point it got so bad I wanted to end it all, and I tried a few times. However, one of the things I did was just talked to people, random people to get me through it. I probably wouldn't be here if not for that. If there wasn't net neutrality with companies trying to take advantage of it my family wouldn't have internet then I would have been alone, I might not be here. Through having internet I could schedule my own therapy appointments, become the person I am today with the friends I have today, playing games online talking with friends.

7110. Zackery Conklin, Independence, MO, 64057
Keep Net Neutrality. I like my internet, don't ruin it.

7111. David Sinden, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
You asked for input on whether throttling should be regulated. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. Isnâ€™t it possible they would do this again? Thanks for allowing us to provide feedback.

7112. Daniel Roesch, Pacific, MO, 63069
Reclassifying internet as title one has failed to be enough coverage in the pass and will fail again. Keep internet under title two and protect the internet in its free, accessible state. So that people can enjoy this necessity of modern day life free from corporate interest and manipulation.

7113. John Coovert, Raymore, MO, 64083
No "fast lanes" for the internet. It should be equal and open to all!

7114. Brennan Akins, Rolla, MO, 65401
Please keep Net Neutrality protected under Title 2. It is appalling to try and repeal this action, and it shows clear ulterior motives.

7115. Hunter v LaTourette, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
How about you listen to what people want for onceHunter v LaTourette

7116. Blake Hicks, Lee's Summit, MO, 64086
ISPs should not be given the ability to throttle, prioritize specific sites, or block sites without the customers input. America is built on freedom and the potential of this being abused by ISPs that already abuse monopolies in places like Kansas City is far too large. The Internet does great as it is and if it isn't broken, don't try to fix it. This is how you are guaranteed to lose the faith of everyone under 30 for decades.

7117. Andrew Kim Nelsen, Springfield, MO, 65806

Net neutrality affects the distribution of information, and if buyers with useless information can drown out truly academic or educational resources, humanity will suffer.

7118. Alexander Silvius, Parkville, MO, 64152
Protect Net Neutrality. I teach and use resources in my class that would be impacted by lack of protection of Net Neutrality. Even though I live in a city there is a serious lack of Internet Service Provider (ISP) choice. The large ISPs refuse to engage in competition and the resulting lack of innovation has left most with a single choice for ISP. These individuals could then charge even more for services that compete with their products in vertical integration schemes that would further hurt consumers. The FCC should be concerned with the funding provided to the ISPs with the intent of strengthening and expanding the internet backbone. Instead of doing what was intended they squandered the funds and continue to claim they are the victim, though they (the ISPs) continue to profit and squash competition.These protections also protect free speech. Without the protection of Net Neutrality organizations would be blocked by throttling of their sites. Consider the constitutional ramifications of removing Net Neutrality.Thank you for your time.

7119. Chris Turbov, Springfield, MO, 65807
Keep our internet free.This is the land of the free afterall.Chris Turbov

7120. mary, st louis, MO, 63130
I am a supporter of net neutrality. In an age where the internet is becoming the primary means of communication, conducting business, filing taxes, and interacting socially, as well as so much more, access is becoming a necessity. The equal treatment and unbiased availability (i.e. net neutrality) provided to all is therefore hugely important, even verging on the level of being a human right, given the crucial role of this medium in the country as a whole.

7121. Paige Elizabeth Dmuchovsky, Fenton, MO, 63026
Please keep net neutrality in place, it is vital for internet freedom and safety! Without it countless economic, social and other issues will continue to occur at drastic measures.

7122. Sam Booher, fenton, MO, 63026
I just don't see the point in repealing net neutrality. It would hurt literally every internet user in some way, including employees of ISP's that would limit internet access in the first place. The only (potentially) positive outcome I see is that certain companies get more successful. But then again, this would be at the cost of many other services that should be available to all.

7123. Frank Papa, St. Louis, MO, 63111
I work for a local motor shop and over the past few years, our website has made a huge difference in getting our name out there, even though we've been around for 35+ years. Everyone uses the internet now and as the age of our customers continues to get younger, more and more of them are searching for our services online. If ISPs are able to pick the bigger companies over us, then it will become increasingly difficult for us to find work and would eventually ruin our business.

7124. Suren Fernando, Kirksville, MO, 63501
I urge the FCC and FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to protect Net Neutrality. I do not want the government to tip the scales in favor of ISPs such as ATT, Comcast and Verizon. The internet is an extraordinary resource, potentially available to all citizens of this country, be they rich or poor, urban or rural, young or old, conservative or liberal. All users of the internet should be treated be equally, irrespective of their financial status.

7125. Dylan Perry, Branson, MO, 65616
My name is Dylan, and I wanted to write about defending Net Neutrality. The internet as it is, is a part of my life I can't imagine changed. I use it to communicate with friends in other states, other countries. I use it to expand my knowledge on a daily basis, through videos and articles. I plan on using it to further my career as an author when my craft has reached a salable quality. All of these benefits would be lost should ISPs be able to pick winners and losers on the Internet. I live in a rural area, with access to only one possible internet provider, and if that provider raises prices it could mean I have to get rid of my service altogether. This will put me behind in achieving my dreams as I'll lack one of the most important tools for a business and content creator to have in this world. And I'm not alone. Repealing Net Neutrality will cause shockwaves in people's lives should these rules not be retained.

7126. Nicholas Brinley, Sarcoxie, MO, 64862
Let the market be open and free like a good market system. Let content prosper based on merit and quality, not simply because they paid the most. We have had this discussion several times now, leave the internet free and open. Maintain Net Neutrality.

7127. Timothy Lynch, Kansas City, MO, 64157
STRICT governance around keeping net neutrality in place is essential for a free and open internet. This is almost as important as upholding the First Amendment for a free and open society. Please do not buckle to your corporate overlords and kill this proceeding.

7128. Hunter bowlen, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
Seriously. You all are rich enough, please just stop already and let poor people have this 1 thing without all the BS. Please...

7129. Net Nutrality, Saint James, MO, 65559
Companies need to be controlled for the betterment of the consumer. If a company is left to there own devices they could would do anything they could to squeeze as much money out of consumers. This is why we regulate companies. McDonalds would gladly serve us the cheapest meat out there and say it was beef. However with laws that are in place they can't without us knowing and being sued.

7130. Robert Beck, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64131
The internet has become an essential utility and should be regulated as such. No one should be able to buy placement at the top of the pile, and consumers should not bear the brunt of the cost to do so.

7131. Charlie Triplett, Columbia, MO, 65203
Essentially, left to their own devices, companies want to introduce a form of licensure of the press â€" (in the name of profits rather than the king).This will inhibit the marketplace of ideas, reducing the ability of Americans to innovate.It is in everyone's best interests that the internet, which was not built for these companies, stays free and unfettered from controls like this.Please do everything you can to stop this kind of control from being available to corporations. We have lived through this before and we know where licensure of the press leads.Charlie Triplett

7132. James Miscavish, St. Joseph, MO, 64506
Net neutrality is as important now as the basic freedoms were 200 years ago. America needs to stand for people, not corporations.

7133. Kurtis, Republic, MO, 65738
The internet has become a part of every bodies lives on a day to day bases for some to have fun and for some to make a living. When we as customers are paying for the service that ISPs provide we are paying for full access from the start. They have no right to filter what sites we go too or quality that we view them in simply to ring more money from us to line their pockets.

7134. Brian Long, Barnhart, MO, 63012
Net Neutrality MUST NOT become a thing of the PAST!!!!

7135. Justin Peabody, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
The internet should remain neutral. My ISP should not be able to control what I see or let companies pay them to control what I see. I should be able to find whatever I want, unfiltered. Prove that the citizens have a right to privacy and neutrality and keep the net neutral.

7136. Jessica Meier, St. Charles, MO, 63301
Keep our speech free on the internet and protect net neutrality. My ISP should not be able to decide who has the fastest loading websites. Please - keep corporations out of this decision and keep the power with the citizens of this country and within the FCC. Do not undo this rule.

7137. Jesse Eberenz, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
To Whom it May Concern,I enjoy freely browsing the internet without limitations imposed by ISPs. Should this scary alternate reality come to life, it would mean that we are no longer the land of the free. Instead, we would be a land controlled by large corporations.Some may argue that has happened already, and I wholeheartedly agree. However, I see the Internet as a safe zone for the craziness that has been happening within the United States. I would no longer control what I want to see; instead, major ISPs will get their way and dictate (much like a Dictatorship) what I see/view on the Internet.It sickens me to see that you only care about money. Listen to the people. We are supposed to be leaders at this day in age. We are supposed to be free.If you have half a heart, please take my comments into consideration as you plan to obliterate what makes America so great.(FYI America has always been great, this will change that should it become reality.)Jesse Eberenz

7138. Jace Neville, Columbia, MO, 65201
I am writing here to express my thoughts on the net neutrality proceedings. As Americans we believe in the free market and capitalism and if we let ISP's take control of the internet there will not be a free market for the internet anymore. It is our duty to keep the Internet safe, usable, and fair for everyone that has access to it, not just those who pay the most. There is only one internet and all rules must apply to our home and mobile connection equally.

7139. Gabriel LoRusso, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
Please keep the current rules and regulations for Net Neutrality in place. Do not dismantle the peoples digital lifeline by having it controlled and throttled

7140. Tai Cao, Kansas City, MO, 64116
FUCK OFF. THESE ARE OUR RIGHTS.

7141. Christopher Melson, O Fallon, MO, 63368
Net Neutrality is REQUIRED to maintain freedom. Do NOT remove Net Neutrality.

7142. Katelynn Matlock, Kansas City, MO, 64126
I pay for internet service and I should be allowed to use it how I want to!

7143. Alexis Cross, St.Louis, MO, 63130
Net neutrality is important. Don't get rid of it.

7144. Tammy Raleigh, Mexico, MO, 65265
Keep the internet neutrality we as people do not need big brother to make decisions for us on what we can and can't see or do on the internet we are adults and if we have kids it is our responsibility to raise them not the government

7145. Charlie Bright, Saint Louis, MO, 63121
What separates free countries from dictatorships is the free flow of information, the freedom of speech. The supreme court has ruled that the power to tax is also the power to destroy. By allowing anyone, government or corporation, to determine which information travels, to whom, or how quickly, is an abrogation of the constitutional rights of Americans. The Freedom of Speech is not merely extant to "allow" us to speak. The word "free" appears here because we should not be charged real dollars for either broadcasting our views, or to consume media that shall inform them, protected under the freedom of the press.DO NOT FIX SOMETHING THAT ISN'T BROKEN. Duh.

7146. Nicholas Collins, Imperial, MO, 63052

This serves only to further take advantage of consumers. Why is this even being considered again?

7147. Ellen Harding, Arnold, MO, 63010
Net neutrality is essential for preserving the internet as an outlet for expression, information, creativity, and business. With net neutrality, small websites have more of an equal playing ground. This free and open competition makes the internet one of the only environments where consumers choose and access exactly what they want, and can find exactly what they're looking for. Breaking down net neutrality would be the first step in breaking down what makes the internet fair and useful as a whole.

7148. Dayton Segard, Kansas City, MO, 64123
The only reason this is even a proceeding is because of corporate interests (who fund human garbage like Ajit Pai). This isn't in the interest of the American public or even in the interest of our economy. A free and open internet is what led to the creation of companies like Google, Facebook, AirBnB, Uber, Netflix, Amazon, eBay, Craigslist, Spotify, and heck, it even made healthcare.gov possible. If we let bandwidth providers dictate what content we can connect to, the internet will cease to be a platform for innovation. It will become a stagnant pay-to-play wasteland of paid content and silo'd data.

7149. David Brandorff, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Don't mess with my Internet. Net neutrality is extremely important to me. I urge you to protect it. One, because it's the right thing to do. Second because I vote.Don't let jabroni ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay- and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!David Brandorff

7150. Steve Johnson, Waldron, MO, 64092
I support strong net neutrality rules as a matter of fair competition and access for all. Please keep the internet free and open!

7151. Emily Walters, Saint Louis, MO, 63124
Taking away internet freedom, should not be and is not what Washington should be worrying about. Focus on our National Deficit, and on our governments appearance to the rest of the world, and leave the free market to the free market.

7152. Tony, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
Hey, please don't do this. You are ruining a good thing man....Tony

7153. Joe Cebula, Lees Summit, MO, 64086
I oppose any attempt to restrict any part of the Internet through payments for accessing individual sites, priority given to some sites over others, or throttling speeds on the internet. Keep the current rules for ISPs.

7154. Ben Harrison, Raymore, MO, 64083
Net Neutrality is necessary for a modern and free society, allowing all content equal access and preventing monopolies of information. The US will continue to lose their technological and innovative leadership role in the world without Net Neutrality.

7155. Joshua D Patton, Vinita Park, MO, 63114
I believe that switching the Internet's classification from Title II to Title I represents a fundamental threat to our nation, our economy, and our way of life.As a software engineer working in the field, I want to express my concern over the loss of Title II regulatory protections implied by this Proceeding. Primarily, the threat to Net Neutrality posed by such a change in light of Comcast and Verizon's blatant violations of the principles thereof.As a citizen of this great nation, the internet is more vital to my way of life than my car is. It is the fundamental building block of my career and my lifestyle. It is the primary means by which I perform my job, get my news, entertainment, communicate with friends and colleagues, pay taxes, manage banking, and even purchase groceries. Title II protections ensure that this lifestyle is maintainable.As a Software Engineer in the finance sector, I am concerned about the potential economic impacts the loss of such protections could pose. Some hypothetical examples:#1: Two financial firms are both making trades on the NYSE. Firm A has spent millions of dollars to acquire a favorable server farm location for their trading platform with a fast connection to the NYSE. Without Title II + Net Neutrality, their ISP can hold their entire business hostage if they do not pay to maintain a reasonable speed. Alternatively, Firm B could pay the ISP to deliberately slow their traffic in order to gain a trade advantage.#2: If a given ISP has an internal business culture that supports one political party or another, they might throttle sites that are friendly to their opposing ideology, thus granting a political advantage to their favored party.#3: As the quote goes "A Well-Informed Electorate Is a Prerequisite for Democracy". A given ISP may choose to slow down or outright block news organizations that post unfavorable articles about the ISP, or which support ideologies that the ISP does not support.#4: As someone who has worked both as a consultant for small businesses and as an engineer for large corporations, I can state from personal, professional experience that the Title II + NN protections serve to protect against monopolies. Given a change back to Title I, it would open the door for larger online retailers to restrict internet access to their competitors by outbidding on bandwidth with the ISPs, preventing their competitors customers from receiving as good of an online experience.In summary, the change from Title II back to Title I protections is not only a political issue, but it is a threat to our

countries economy, our way of life, and stands against the principles that we as a nation hold dear. I implore the FCC to look at the big picture, see all the citizens that will be harmfully effected by this, and reconsider the current course of action.

7156. Daniel Darnold, Columbia, MO, 65201
This proceeding is in no way beneficial to the average person. I pay my ISP to send and receive data. They should not have the power to decide what I send and receive.

7157. Kyle Marrett, Joplin, MO, 64804
The internet is collective property of the people and organizations who use. To attempt to further profit of it, should be a kin to stealing. Free unthrottled use of any website is freedom that the US has no right to tamper with. Do the right thing, leave the internet and its people alone.

7158. Mark McCullough, Ballwin, MO, 63021
As a small business owner who relies almost entirely on the internet in order to conduct business, I am strongly in favor of net neutrality. I don't have the resources to compete with the big telecommunications companies, and without net neutrality, they could suffocate my small business.

7159. Jonathan, Hallsville, MO, 65255
plese dont do this it would ruin most means of my entertainment

7160. Matthew Atteberry, Fenton, MO, 63026
I support net neutrality and the open internet because innovation most benefits from individuals and businesses competing online by the merit of their content. The current title II rules must stay in place so that ISPs remain unable to hinder small enterprise by artificially slowing traffic to sites that are not a part of "fast lane" service plans. Title II rules were necessary in 2015 as it has become clear that the internet is a necessary form of communication for the modern citizen to have equal footing with their peers. Just as it should be outside the control of the telephone company to decide if a charity should reliably receive calls from potential donors, it must be that ISPs not trample the voices of american citizens by deciding whose site is put in a "fast lane," and whose right to free speech is hindered. Even if ISPs currently have no plans to create "fast-lane" services, it should be clear that they ought not to, in order to ensure the most freedom for US citizens. Therefore it is in our best interest not to rescind title II rules for the internet, and ensure and open internet for the betterment of the US's future.

7161. Brandon, Poplar Bluff, MO, 63091
Internet is fine no need to mess with it

7162. Christina Dougherty, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
I am very much in favor of a free access, unrestricted internet. As a member of the United States, it is my protection and my freedom that is your first and foremost priority. It is in my best interest to have an open field, subject to my own exploration and without being bound to a commercial or profit intention of my provider to restrict and/or guide my choices. It is my belief that as a tax paying, intelligent and thoughtful citizen, it is my right to request you follow the directives and wishes of myself and the majority of caring, interested citizens that form this effort to sway you from economic considerations of corporations whose interests may be important but do NOT supersede my own!

7163. Hannah Morgan, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
The internet is a place of information, entertainment, and in all reality a way to escape our harsh reality all the while also a place we can go to stay up to date on our reality. To have it censored and overseen by ISPs is not okay and should not happen. Our choices on the internet should not be dictated by someone else. We should be in charge of what see, do and hear on the internet.

7164. Timothy Moore, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Net neutrality is crucial to the development of kids in the United States, as well as the rest of the world. Do not allow large companies to infringe on our personal freedoms by choosing what we can or cannot look at.

7165. Zachary K Mitchell, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63703
Net neutrality is a fundamental part of using the internet. This has nothing to do with people having things to hide, rather it is simply protecting personal information and having control over who receives my private information. I wouldn't want the government giving out my social security number to anyone who asks, so why would I want anything else just as sensitive being up for grabs?

7166. Net Neutrality, Independence, MO, 64052
If net neutrality is repealed, even fewer people will the information that the internet provides the nation!

7167. Derek Chafin, Parkville, MO, 64152
The infrastructure of the Internet is already a complex system. By allowing prioritization, throttling, or blocking we add complexity to the Internet. The implementation of these features will not be without errors. These systems will break and will need maintenance. When these systems break they are going to break other systems as well. Look at the Great Firewall of China get an idea what life might be like without Net Neutrality. Accessing the Internet in China is full of problems and frustration. China's Internet is slow due to the huge processing demands of filtering software.The people of the Internet won't know why remote systems are not working. They will wonder why they can't buy goods on Amazon. Or subscribe to their favorite content providers on Hulu, Netflix, or YouTube.In the long run this hurts our bottom line. This will only add to the cost of upkeep. It will add endless numbers of support calls to tech centers. We will waste hours in frustration wondering why a system that should "just work", just doesn't.

7168. Retain Internet Neutrality, St. Louis, MO, 63110
If internet neutrality is not protected, it will become a place where the largest companies will vie for the superior command over the internet. Paying for faster speeds or a greater share of hits will be very expensive. This cost will be communicated to the customers via

increase in prices. This cost would be understandable and even agreeable if it created a better product but it does not provide any foreseeable benefit to the general public or the greater good. Do not end internet neutrality.

7169. Benton Wohlers, Rolla, MO, 65401
The FCC is paid out of the pockets of ISPs. Same on you. You are not a pro consumer organization/regulatory agency. You ignore the people's cries for net neutrality. Ajit Pai is an ISP Shill, paid off by the ISP Lobby to support their agenda. By not listening to the Majority of American Citizens who are wanting net neutrality, the FCC is being anti democratic, anti consumer, and anti American. Listen to myself and the people. Net neutrality needs to be the law of the land.

7170. Dylan Meek, St Louis, MO, 63146
The internet is a vast bank of information that is priceless to many people of all orientations, races, and social statuses. It creates a level playing field for all those that are willing to find the knowledge that they seek, without having to be able to afford a college education. Removing websites that offer this knowledge because they can no longer afford fees will set mankind back more than anything else. This is no small matter.

7171. Kaelyn, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
Please keep the internet open, as a low income worker, an open internet helps me in many facets of my life...paying taxes, reading news, applying for jobs, building a resume, adopting a pet, searching for a home to rent, studying art, weather, fire, flooding, earthquake and snow updates for my local region, etc. It would be a failure for democracy to end net neutrality. Please hear us!

7172. All of them., imperial, MO, 63042
NO ONE should be in control of what is on the internet and who gets to view what, and for what cost?

7173. Cole Anthony Michel, Kansas City, MO, 64118
HEY! I swear to God if you eliminate net neutrality, and my ISP keeps me from playing my games, applying to jobs/schools, and keeping in touch with my friends and family YOU WILL REGRET IT.IF YOU CONTINUE TO PISS OFF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE, WE CAN AND WE WILL MAKE SURE YOU LOSE YOUR JOBS. WE WILL MAKE SURE EVERYONE IN THE COUNTRY KNOWS THAT YOU'RE NOTHING BUT CORPORATE SHILLS WHO CARE NOTHING ABOUT THE LITTLE PEOPLE. DON'T BE A GREEDY CUNT! IT MAKE TAKE YEARS BUT IF YOU FUCK THE AMERICAN PEOPLE OVER, YOU WILL REGRET YOUR DECISION. WE WILL CHANGE THIS COUNTRY FOR THE BETTER. I'M SO MAD AAAAAAAAAAA!Cole Anthony Michel

7174. Eric Jelinek, Nixa, MO, 65714
Net neutrality is the democratization of information. Whether we agree with that information, choose to ignore it, or act upon that information. The absence of Net Neutrality creates a market place where one does not exist. Who is that market place for? If it is not for the people, then the government for the people should not endorse the market.

7175. Donna Carrender, Lake Ozark, MO, 65049
To Whom It May Concern,I want the Net Neutrality to stay in effect. I believe small businesses, and individuals are as important as large powerful communication companies. Please do NOT change the Net Neturality Act.Donna Carrender

7176. Stephen Gilpin, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
The internet is the last bastion of true free expression. Small businesses can thrive and new ideas can grow. We need net neutrality so that corporations and the government aren't allowed to control our content.

7177. Alex Hillermann, St. Louis, MO, 63139
Discriminating against content on the internet based on self-interest is clearly not in the best interest of every individual, which is the single most amazing thing the internet has allowed humans to do.

7178. Ryan, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
Chairman Ajit Pai, I hope you choose to strongly SUPPORT net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet service Providers. SUPPORT. NET. NEUTRALITY. I really would hate to see ISPs control what I can choose to view in the comfort of my own home. That would not be fair or free internet.

7179. Aleacia Bahler, Joplin, MO, 64804
The internet should be free and unregulated by the government. The internet is regulated strongly in countries like China and North Korea, and those are countries America does not want to be like, for obvious reasons.

7180. Luke Swope, Columbia, MO, 65201
Do not allow corporations to control the last outlet of truly free speech. Internet should be a protected Utility FREE from corporate control or filtering. The ISP's in support of repealing the net neutrality laws in place only seek to line their pockets with additional Internet packages and more severe data caps (which make zero sense from a technology point of view). Without the free speech of the internet, Americans WILL NOT BE HAPPY.

7181. Adam Wagner, Maryville, MO, 64468
Know that unrestricted and freely equal access to all sites, domains, and other sources on the internet force the quality of the products and services offered to be competitive to the consumer, rather than competitive with corporate maneuvers. The level of competition is at stake, not simply whether or not competition currently or formerly existed.The previous arrangement provided the best deal for consumers. The current deal serves to favor corporate interests over the masses of consumers. Please return things to the way they were and allow this avenue to flourish more naturally.

7182. Dylan Martin, Columbia, MO, 65201

I am worried that repealing net neutrality will lead to a more expensive, closed, and repressive internet environment than we have enjoyed in the past.

7183. Alex Gerberding, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
Paragraph 29 asks "Is it enough, as the Title II Order asserted, for a broadband Internet user to specify the information he is trying to access but not the â€œpointsâ€ between or among which the information will be transmitted?" Yes it is enough. Someone who picks up a phone and dials does not care if the phone company has forwarded the number to a different location or type of network, only that they can reach the person or information that they are seeking.Paragraph 49 seems to ignore the fact that "increased investment" would necessarily have to come from increased revenue by charging customers more for internet access. It is precisely the regulation of these services as a utility-style service that protects low-income consumers from having to pay more.Finally, please retain the "No Paid Prioritization Rule". I do not want my internet service provider deciding what content is the fastest. A pay for play regime will stifle innovation and remove the democratic access to information that the internet provides.

7184. Jonathan Cronk, St.Joseph, MO, 64506
The internet acts as a forum for the collective intelligence of mankind to communicate on, and allowing ISP's to hinder that in any way directly hurts the creation of new ideas. In addition to this, heavy government interference has always proven to not be cost effective, and would amplify the already damaging effects put forth by the ISP's themselves. Net neutrality must be preserved for a healthy internet.

7185. Thomas Matthews, St. Louis, MO, 63112
The proposed changes will greatly harm the openness of the internet and threaten American dominance in technological commercial development. The existing regulations help to promote fairness that allow small businesses to develop and grow. They facilitate speech and expression. They allow the American people to access the services and websites that have now become an integral part of their lives. The early internet was characterized by freedom and fairness, which allowed startups with new ideas to gain traction and flourish. Classifying internet service providers under Title II helped to codify this environment. As a result, enforcement under Title II preserves, rather than hinders, a large part of what made the internet great. The larger threat is that the internet will change from its current free and open state to one in which large companies control what people can do and access on the internet. Smaller companies may be unable to survive under the pay-to-play environment that large telecommunications companies have promised without Title II. Given these promises, the threat to the internet is more than a hypothetical. In many cases, citizens have limited options for high-speed internet service. This grants effective monopolies to internet service providers (ISPs), making it difficult for users to respond if ISPs act against users interests. In public opinion polls, ISPs routinely rank among the most hated companies in America. The proposed changes effectively chooses the interests of these companies over the interests of the American people and the larger economy. Internet technology companies currently make up half of the 10 largest companies in the U.S. by market capitalization and 4 out of the top 5 and all are publicly opposed to the proposed changes. The fact that one of the few beneficiaries of the proposed changes is Verizon, the former employer of the FCC chairman, should not be lost on anyone. Please continue to regulate ISPs under Title II. Based on court rulings, that this is the only way to preserve the openness and freedom of the internet.

7186. Net Neutrality, Battlefield, MO, 65619
Net neutrality is a big deal to everyone and should be thoroughly scrutinized. The web is a wonderful way to meet new people, exchange ideas, learn new things, and just relax in all the ways the net has to offer depending on ones preference. To destroy what makes the internet great to sell out every individual sector of the web, would be to destroy the freedom of every individual that has ever or will ever get on the internet. The net is one of the only parts of most peoples lives that they can control, the ability to go from one website to another just because you choose to do so without restriction or having to have permission from a business that doesn't care about individuals or their needs but only care about the bottom line of making money sucking people for every dime they have till there is nothing left. Then they'll sit back in their ivory towers and laugh at how the FCC just gave them full control to bleed people of all their money.

7187. Michelle C Rhodes, Atlanta, MO, 63530
The internet has become a vital part of modern life in such things as education and productivity, whether it be an actual internet based career, or simply the process of searching and applying for a job. By abolishing net neutrality, the cost of various internet based services will rise, putting an excessive burden on working family to remain connected to the modern world.

7188. Allen Greer, Lebanon, MO, 65536
I support Net Neutrality as it stands under Title II. I have no trust in companies to uphold this without such legal backing, as it would be against their profit interests and, by extension, their logic.

7189. L McDaniel , Kansas City, MO, 64119
Net neutrality is very important to me and to everyone in a free society.L McDaniel

7190. Tyler White, Springfield, MO, 65806
The only people who agree with ending net neutrality are the companies that stand to make a huge profit from it. This is not what the majority of our country wants and removing net neutrality would just show how truly corrupt our country is. You're not working for the American people, you're working for big business because they're the ones lining your pockets. I'm a senior in college and plan on starting my own business in the future. Ending net neutrality laws would put me and a huge disadvantage with online customers. This personality scares me and makes it hard to pursue my dream of owning a business. I don't want to get squashed by the big guys, I deserve a fighting chance. Please think about what the America people actually want for once and keep net neutrality. Thank you. Tyler White

7191. Bradley Herbst, St. Louis, MO, 63114
The Internet needs to not care what data is going across it and needs to treat all packets as the same, no matter who or where they are coming / going to.

7192. Cassandra Schneider, St. Louis, MO, 63139
Hi, my name is Cassandra and I support Net Neutrality. I grew up in the 90s when internet was just becoming a real, feasible option for a lot of things and have watched it grow my whole life up until now. The internet is a weird, crazy, informative, and interesting place. It allows people to become business owners from the comfort of their own home. It allows relatives to speak face to face basically for free over seas. It has insane amounts of information for research projects and papers.All of these things are made possible by Net Neutrality. If we lose Net Neutrality, little businesses can go under because they don't have the large funding that Starbucks or McDonalds or Walmart have. And aren't small businesses and the lack of monopolies what make America great? Every big company started tiny once. But they didn't have giant corporations steamrolling them out of business on the internet back when they were starting up. Give these small businesses the same chance all the larger corporations got before they got large. Also, how are we supposed to do fact checking or research if say, someone doesn't want people to have access to certain information and blocks it, or slows down the connection when you try to view that content? Everyone has the right to see information about all things. Why should some things be blocked just because someone with money says so? America claims we're the land of the free, but taking away Net Neutrality is strictly going against that sentiment. Look, clearly you guys think you're doing what's right, but I just don't think you've looked at all the wrong getting rid of Net Neutrality will do.Please reconsider and please stop trying to send these bills through. We've spoke out about several before this one, and we're not afraid to speak out about them for as long as you guys try to push it through.Net Neutrality is something we deserve as "Free Americans". Please stop trying to control our escapes from reality, seas of information, and in extension, us. Thanks.

7193. Mike McDaniel, Fenton, MO, 63026
People should not be subject to business' abuse of access to the internet. The internet is a commodity that can and should be easily and widely available, without a corruptible hierarchy to separate users.

7194. Saad, Wildwood, MO, 63038
I favor stronger net neutrality laws. Don't weaken net neutrality.

7195. Cody M Blaser, Neosho, MO, 64850
I am a network tech for an ISP down in the SW MO region and think Net Neutrality is needed to keep the world of the internet free and open source.

7196. Zachary Hausner, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I have been apart of several online businesses and as such Net Neutrality is very important to me. When I purchase internet access I an expecting to receive what ever data i please from what server i desire. this is very important to the flow of ideas and the development of our civilization.

7197. Timothy Bowe, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
Keep Net Neutrality, please. If you can't figure out other ways to raise money, then you need to hire more creative people.

7198. Ian Miller, Joplin, MO, 64801
Please don't, thanks.

7199. Guy Petzall, Saint Louis, MO, 63102
save net neutrality!Guy Petzall

7200. Daniel Elfrank, New Florence, MO, 63363
Keep the net neutral, fucko! Ajit Pai is a stooge and deserves nothing more than his dumb mug shoved up his ass.

7201. Nicholas Eisenbeis, Columbia, MO, 65202
As a citizen of Missouri, i'm signing this so that we can protect the clauses and stipulations set forth by the original net neutrality act. Please ensure that we, the citizens of these united states, have the freedom to protect our data, choose our services without having to compromise service quality for price, and to ensure internet freedom for all

7202. Krista Faris, Saint Joseph, MO, 64505
I believe that net neutrality is very important. I don't have an online business that would be impacted if ISPs would be able to control what websites would be promoted over others, but I am a consumer who has found special gifts for friends/family or services/products for myself on smaller websites that maybe I wouldn't have found if we didn't have open Internet access. Not only do I think that ISPs being able to decide what websites get greater promotion or having the ability to block certain websites or slow down access is wrong, I think it's unconstitutional. Why should my ISP be able to arbitrarily decide such things? I work from home part time, doing things like online transcription and freelance writing. Is my ISP going to slow down my connection to these websites where I make part of my wages? When I pay my Internet bill every month, I pay for freedom to access any website or content that I am lawfully able to. I don't pay for my ISP to slow down my connection or block websites or choose what to display prominently because they have a stake in it. I pay for open access Internet and I believe that it's important that it stay that way.

7203. Lorenzo E Gatapia, Kansas City, MO, 64110
We would like to add our voices to the defense of an open internet, despite our disgust that we once again stand in this position of having to defend it. If you care about the future of the United States, you need to recognize that the connection of the internet keeps us together, stronger, and smarter.

7204. Laura Tuck, Kansas City, MO, 64137
I support net neutrality rules. While the push to change net neutrality was based off of good intentions, the fact is that there will always be people and companies that hope to use these new changes to benefit their profits at the expense of everyone else. The road to hell is paved with good intentions. Good intentions can lead to unintended consequences. Especially with something as powerful as internet access. To risk censoring information based on corporate preferences is reckless and not what I thought this country wanted to be.

7205. Drew Louis Rudner, Springfield, MO, 65802
I'm truly scared for us all if net neutrality is repealed.Drew Louis Rudner

7206. James Dodson, Branson, MO, 65616
The internet belongs to EVERYONE! Not congress, NOT Private business, it belongs to EVERYONE! I wasn't for control the first time won't be for it again this time!

7207. Parker Mee, Springfield, MO, 65809
Please keep the net free and open for the expansion of new companies and the freedom to visit any site. Internet should be a utility.

7208. Floyd Smith III, Nevada, MO, 64772
I rely on the Internet to provide me with information and tools from many sources. I want my Internet service provider to have zero say in what I can or cannot see. All I want my ISP to do is to provide me with Internet access at a good speed. Also, I want the FCC to oversee how ISPs handle our data in order to protect privacy.

7209. Sean Greene, Saint Joseph, MO, 64507
This is for the free and open exchange of information. We gain nothing by removing net neutrality, and we lose customer protections and our online freedoms.Don't be a loser. Protect us, your voters that you are representing.Sean Greene

7210. Debra Bush, Saint Louis, MO, 63031
Removing net neutrality will drastically impact my ability to provide for my family as a content producer.

7211. John Schraibman, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
As the co-founder and former principal of a hosted software company that pioneered the market for Web-based management of scientific and scholarly publishing , I STRONGLY oppose any modifications to current "Net Neutrality" rules that would permit paid prioritization of content or data transfer speed. Had ISP's had engaged in such practices back in the mid 1990's when my company was in startup mode, it's unlikely that we'd have been able to bring our industry-shaping innovations to market. The premium we'd have faced to achieve acceptable response times for Web-based management of large files in high volumes would simply have been too costly for our self-funded startup to afford. Because the Internet at that time was truly free and open, two recent college grads without any outside capital were able create and market a set of Web-based tools that helped accelerate and strengthen the process of peer-reviewed scientific, medical, technical, and scholarly publishing. Because the Internet was free and open (without paid fast lanes that would have easily permitted established competitors with older methodologies but deeper pockets to copy our concept and offer it faster response times and throughput capacities), we were able not just to revolutionize the prevailing practices across these sectors of the publishing industry, but also to create an ever-growing number of high-paying jobs with great benefits to support families and other businesses in our local community and nationwide. Today, even more than when my company was founded in the 1990's, a free and open internet is a basic and fundamental necessity for businesses, especially entrepreneurs and small business that drive so much innovation. For this reason, I STRONGLY urge the FCC to leave unchanged the 2015 Net Neutrality rules and keep the playing field level for entrepreneurs and small businesses.

7212. William Gerdes, Sullivan, MO, 63080
I prefer to have my internet in the manner in which I live my life. Uncensored and unrestricted. Government need not interfere in the lives of people. Simply uphold the laws that we vote for, nothing more.

7213. Stacy Doerr, New Haven, MO, 63068
We need net neutrality. To end it would be an enormous mistake.

7214. Benjamin Kixmiller, Prairie Home, MO, 65068
I am fully in support of net neutrality. And I am not alone. The vast majority of Americans are in support of net neutrality. The only people who are not in support are the people like you up at the top, Ajit. You say that it's quality over quantity. No. That's not how this works, you self entitled overdone corndog. And I am fully aware that you may not see this, Ajit. But, with all due respect, you're an idiot. Net neutrality is important to the very foundation of what the internet is about and it really takes a thick skull to not recognize that this is going to destroy a lot of people's lives. Don't even try.

7215. Tom Walton, Saint Charles, MO, 63304
I support an open, unblocked, unfiltered and equal internet. There is one internet regardless how it is accessed be that through a home computer, cellular phone or other device via wifi. ISPs should not have the ability to designate winners/losers via throttling or giving priority access and should not be allowed to block access to anything.

7216. Rosalind Kirkwood, St. Louis Mo, MO, 63111
It's not in the middle are low class best interest.

7217. Douglas Mauss, Ballwin, MO, 63021
The United States is supposed to be the leader in the world. And yet we pay more money for slower speeds than most first world nations. This is directly because the ISPs and telecoms have virtual monopolies in local markets. If the FCC rolls back regulation of these ISPs, then this will only get worse. The FCC is supposed to be on the side of the consumers, and not in the financial pockets of big business. Please KEEP Net Neutrality as a priority. The big companies make plenty of profits; regulations do not constitute an "undue burden" and in fact, help protect consumers.

7218. Allen Cook, Springfield, MO, 65807
The internet has become a necessity in this era. It's far beyond the basic entertainment and networking tool that it was and is now a vital organism to the economy and communication. By rolling back these rules, the internet will be restricted to only those who could afford it. The internet didn't grow because we limited what people could produce. It grew because everyone had the access to the same internet.

Do not limit the internet.

7219. Jacob Linder, Harrisonville, MO, 64701
We are firmly against changing net neutrality regulations. Maintaining a free and fair Internet is essential to keeping the modern marketplace a competitive place for ideas, goods, and services. Giving ISP's the ability to control the flow of information is patently unfair and gives large businesses the ability to drown out smaller ones, as well as an incredible ability to censor speech that they find objectionable. The world of the 21st century is dependent on the Internet, and giving a handful of monopolies the ability to control the information available to the public would not be serving the public's interest in any way.

7220. Dustin M, Hazlewood, MO, 63042
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II! DON'T GIVE IN TO THE PRESSURES OF THE CABLE COMPANIES!

7221. Gabrielle Hogan, St. Louis, MO, 63129
As someone who has come of age in the time of the Internet, it is extremely important that freedom of use is retained. Due to the accessibility of the Internet, I have been able to stay in contact with long lost family and friends; I have been able to foster relationships and communication with possible employers and other such individuals to help with my network; I have been able to learn more about myself in my identity as a queer woman, and have been able to understand that I am not alone in this world, and therefore should seek to stay in it. Please recognize the importance of the freedom of the Internet, and act in its favor.

7222. Erica Caldwell, Kansas City, MO, 64111
This country was stolen from the natives on the grounds of freedom. Amendment #1 in the Constitution is all about freedom. To try to take away our freedom as citizens to choose what we view or don't view online is ridiculous and unconstitutional.Companies in this country are given too much control over what this country does and does not do. Don't let a few internet providers control the entire internet.That strips us of our freedoms.

7223. Elijah Van, Grandview, MO, 64030
You will destroy the internet as we know it by trampling on the morals that started this country. Keep America and the internet free.

7224. Charles Daniel Aubuchon, kansas city, MO, 64114
I want the FCC to keep Net Neutrality.

7225. net neutrality, washington, MO, 63090
You know this is government greed and corruption. this in no way benefits the consumer. I stand for net neutrality. even though you clearly do not.

7226. Amber Spencr, Nixa, MO, 65714
The internet should be open and free. The internet has given me opportunities to discover what I love and who I love. I love movies and those aren't easily accessible if the internet isn't available for all. I have been able to keep in contact with my family and my best friend via Skype and that just wouldn't be possible without a fair and just internet. If ISPs have control over these things and other information they shouldn't have, this will all go away. I support net neutrality.

7227. Anthony McKinney, Kansas City, MO, 64123
I do not my mine or anybody else's experience on the internet to be controlled or restricted. Many people's lively hood are made possible through the internet and many others, including me, consume generous amounts of content that these people produce. The internet has made possible many great things, and many horrible ones, but restricting it is not the way to go to keep the great content and snuff out the bad. Please do not allow Net Neutrality to die.

7228. Matt Carlson, Kansas City, MO, 64134
Come on guys. Seriously. Come on.

7229. Jessa Swearingen, Rolla, MO, 65401
As silly as it sounds, the internet has always been an escape for me. When my parents fought and life stresses became unbearable, I could always distract myself from negativity online. It's important to me that I, and many others with struggles, still have this option/opportunity,

7230. Jesse Reed, Arnold, MO, 63010
I understand that there are certain entities that want to control the internet, but this is something that cannot stand. The internet needs to stay open for every single person that can access it. Don't make the wrong choice here, the future of the internet depends on it. Please. #NetNeutralityMustLive

7231. Harold, Vandalia, MO, 63382
Save The Open Internet, I demand Access to the entire Internet!!!

7232. Larin Fielder, Laddonia, MO, 63352
No net neutrality leads to state-sanctioned monopolies

7233. Prescott Thomlinson, Diamond, MO, 64840
Please stop trying to turn the internet into a bigger cash cow for companies I've seen my bill they make e-god-damn-nough.

7234. Yi Wang, St. Louis, MO, 63108
I'm writing to express my concerns over your recent announcement regarding your intention to roll back net neutrality rules. I believe that rolling back the progress that was made under the Obama Administration, would cause severe harm to the individual consumer.Net

neutrality helps ensure that there is an even playing ground for all consumers. Without net neutrality, telecom and cable companies could very well influence internet searches, news and how we access the internet as a whole. This would influence the ability for individuals to create and communicate openly and freely on the internet. Without net neutrality, telecom and cable companies could also negatively influence services, to their advantage.Moreover, this uneven playing field would also disadvantage entrepreneurs. Small businesses wouldn't necessarily have the financial clout of larger companies, to pay into this uneven playing field.Please keep the rules in place.Sincerely,

7235. David Jefferson, St Louis, MO, 63116
The lack of Broadband choices, means there is a need for Rules.

7236. Joshua Wayne Buehrle, Saint Charles, MO, 63304
Title 2 protections, as it stands, are the one thing protecting TRUE Net Neutrality. You cannot honestly expect that companies will willfully not screw over competition given the chance to. If you want to change the way Net Neutrality is handled, then come up with a solid plan BEFORE any repeals, test the waters and make that plan public to be judged by the people who it would affect, in this case, the PEOPLE OF OUR COUNTRY AT LARGE, then MAYBE you could consider switching the two.As it stands, Title 2 protections and classifications on ISPs are the only way we can truly ensure Net Neutrality here in the states.

7237. Autumn Gatewood, Platte City, MO, 64079
MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITYKEEP THE INTERNET OPENSTOP TRYING TO CONTROL US

7238. David Eberbach, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
Please keep Net Neutrality as it is currently in Title 2. Once the protection of an equal Internet packet or reliability is changed more changes will come. It's one of the main ways for the US and many other countries to have a level playing field, and telecom companies like Comcast/Verizon making Netflix (which does use a large amount of bandwidth, I fully understand that) pay more is understandable. However, if the tiered system is implemented, and would be expanded upon. New start-ups/companies without the capital of like a Netflix would not be able to compete with the speed or ease that other content would be available. The change from Title 2 to Title 1 or it's entire removal will not just be used for services that benefit a particular company, this would allow for playing favorites with apps/traffic/throttling, among other possible changes.This is semi cliche but the thought came to me the last time I emailed the FCC in 2014, but if you change the wording of "All men are created equal" to "All content is created equal" I would implore you to keep it as it currently is. The Internet is closer to a Utility than an optional service these days, with Cable/Satellite TV declining in usage, there is a monopoly of just a few options in most markets/regions. That is why we need strong FCC regulations along with our government that will protect the citizens of this nation from purely business interests.Without a free Internet Google wouldn't be the company it is today, when it was originally contending with AOL/Yahoo, when Facebook was trying to compete with MySpace, Netflix over Cable/TV and the list goes on. Quality services won out and if they'd have been inhibited we'd be restricted to only what we are allowed to access at a decent speed instead of restricted access.(I sent this previously on 5/9/2017 and received confirmation, but no followup/reply)Thank you.

7239. Melissa Nolan, St Louis, MO, 63126
The United States was founded upon freedoms- that's something agreed upon by liberals, conservatives, and independents alike. By allowing for internet providers and other interest holders to have even more control over such things, you're taking away power and freedom from the constituents, and handing it directly to Big Brother.

7240. Kristin Huntley, INDEPENDENCE, MO, 64055
Preserve net neutrality! It is essential to our economy, as well as creativity, innovation and the free exchange of ideas.

7241. Quinn O, KC, MO, 64110
Please do not end net neutrality. Corporations should not decide for us where our information comes from, where we do our shopping, or what online services we use.

7242. Jon Stallard, Saint Charles, MO, 63376
We want to keep the internet FREE!

7243. joshua ludwinski, chesterfield, MO, 63017
Do not repeal net neutrality, I do not want web providers throttling my favorite services on the web. This would hurt small businesses and independent content producers while favoring large corporations that can pay the throttling fees.

7244. Abhi V, Kirksville, MO, 63501
As a medical student, I and others like me require the internet for important medical knowledge that is unbiased and reliable. If however, corporations who have vested interest in providing information beneficial to the corporation and that is not unbiased and can have misleading medical knowledge this could have compounding effects on patient care. Although I am only a student, this could affect delivery of care from medical professionals and could provide patients and patient families with misguided information that is not for their benefit but rather for the companies benefit who paid for that information to be shown.

7245. Tyler Johnson, Kansas City, MO, 64151
The internet should remain a free market where net neutrality remains the standard. Paid prioritization of sites, and allowing ISP's to dictate certain aspects of users connections is only going to cause problems, and lead to many people searching for ISP's with rules that do not dictate the users searches. The internet should remain a competitive, and full access hub to information, and products.

7246. Douglas Heller, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Do you know who doesn't have a neutral internet? North Korea. Keep our internet free.

7247. Brian Waldman, Ballwin, MO, 63021

I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers, as they have shown through past actions and lawsuits that a rollback of this oversight will lead to the downfall of the open internet.

7248. Adam Blaine, Kansas City, MO, 64131
The internet is something I use personally and well as for my work. The changes being proposed endanger everything the internet stands for. Stop doing this every year.

7249. Jonathan Andrews, Kansas City, MO, 64158
Net neutrality is key to keeping the internet fresh and updating constantly. Keeping net neutrality lets everyone from the smallest of businesses to the larger corporations on the same level. Getting rid of it would crush the companies and individuals who can't afford to compete with billion dollar internet based companies like Netflix, Amazon, or Google. Get the government out of entertainment.

7250. Robert L Brown, Saint Louis, MO, 63122
I need net neutrality to avoid more monied services being able to buy better access to internet users, i.e. me. There are no meaningful alternatives to this important utility for information access and I do not use my ISP for anything but connections to other information providers. Keep Net Neutrality. Please!Robert L. Brown

7251. Alicia Bawa, St Peters, MO, 63376
Please support and enforce net neutrality. Net neutrality protects our rights to free speech and safeguards our privacy. Asking companies to voluntarily police themselves will not protect our rights. The internet belongs to all of us, not corporations.

7252. Meyer David Feit Mann, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
The internet is essential for modern day life. Whether it is used for shopping or used for sending emails. Companies don't have the right to control ones internet.Meyer David Feit Mann

7253. Michael Winkie, blue springs, MO, 64015
The internet is a tool for expressions of all manner, and limiting our ability to speak and express ourselves in anyway should be considered unconstitutional under the first amendment.

7254. Rick Faris, Saint Joseph, MO, 64505
internet should be left alone and free from government control. Getting rid of net neutrality would not be a wise choice for the people that use the internet.

7255. Marshall Hylton, Liberty, MO, 64068
In the modern day, internet service has become a utility - not dissimilar from city provided/subsidized water or electricity. It's my belief that every human being has the right to access these necessary utilities and it's our government's job to provide said access. Net neutrality allows egalitarian access to the digital world and without it, the economic, social, and geographical disparity we already experience as US citizens will be exacerbated.

7256. Alexander Nobs, St. Charles, MO, 63301
Please do not kill net neutrality. It is a key part of keeping the internet free and available to all.

7257. Jeffery Poblocki, Kansas City, MO, 64109
The Open Internet rules (net neutrality) are extremely important to me. I don't want the ISP to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites advantage on others, or split the internet into fast lanes for companies that pay and slow lanes for the rest. Don't allow corporate America to control our life. Our internet is our access to knowledge and bridge between cultures and people. On July 12, we should show the FCC how we Fight for The Future.quit trying to make money off every little thing. you make billions off of internet already quit being money grubbing pricks

7258. Jason Marth, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I want the FCC to preserve net neutrality. Internet service providers (ISPs) should not have the ability to manipulate consumers' content choices, directly or indirectly, by creating advantages or disadvantages for specific content creators. ISPs should especially not have this ability if they themselves operate as content creators, as some of them do. I want the FCC to strongly regulate ISPs as a Title 2 utility.

7259. Craig DeLancey, Kansas City, MO, 64105
Chairman Pai,The "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal you are seeking comment on could not have a more Orwellian name. I have followed the arguments about how to treat the Internet since before a solution was found in Title II that seemed to satisfy everyone except free market capitalists. I am not going to respond to your 58 page fact sheet point by point as your opponents recommend. I have considered them for years. Instead I will comment about the general nature of your intentions in regard to this problem. In attempting to define and locate a place for this new, and now dominant technology, this nation's politicians who are merely in most part the servants of the corporations and other institutions that bankroll their campaigns, have predictably leaned toward making the Internet vulnerable to those forces. Without knowing you personally, I really have no basis to assume that you are just another corrupt political appointee, but the elected official who appointed you clearly demonstrates all the attributes of deceit and corruption in its most virulent forms, and I would imagine chose you to oversee the FCC based upon shared values.When the Internet was relatively new, I was working with a small design firm. At that time, AOL was the only way we could communicate with our customers, outside of telephone calls. We produced digitized embroidery designs for companies to use as advertising on garments. When I began this job, which spanned five years, every design we produced I had to ship via UPS, FedEx, or some other common carrier. The designs were shipped on 3 1/2" floppy discs, which gave instruction to gangs of industrial sewing heads to manufacture literally hundreds of garments in one push of a button. Gradually, our customers began to acquire AOL accounts and websites of their own. As the Asian market took over this industry in the late 90s, I had not used a commercial shipper for several years. All our work was uploaded and, if modification or correction was required, we could respond to their email or telephone request immediately. Unfortunately, so could our competition Taiwan and Indonesia whose rates were considerably less than ours, thereby eliminating our company. We expected this and had accurately forecast

when it would occur, so we moved on to other projects. In the above example my point is that when the Internet became ubiquitous, it was already serving more than one function. It was used to communicate (similar to telephones), transfer work projects, entertain, and educate at the very least. The difficulty of finding the best way to regulate or not regulate it was new. No governmental department existed for this new technology. I dearly wish that we had, as you comment, "pre-emptively" addressed this technology, even perhaps with its own agency, given its powerful and lasting effect on how business and society were to function after its introduction. But, that didn't happen.Judging from your comments regarding pre-emptive and "reactive" ways of dealing with problems, I suspect you would prefer to react to attempts to cross "the bright lines", as was necessary with AT&T and Comcast's attempts to throttle traffic, or provide technical advantages over competitors on several occasions over the past decade. I would note that these were discovered after they had been committed, therefore arguing for a pre-emptive approach to regulation. I think it would be either naive or disingenuous to think they will not continue to violate any regulation put before them. And that is why so many attempts have been made by lawmakers to define a way to prevent that, in order that businesses and individuals do not suffer unregulated and unscrupulous free market practices. Historically, courts have turned down one attempt after another to achieve a solution. They have consistently pointed to Title II as a solution they would countenance, and it has been successfully implemented. I attribute this current effort to knee-jerk politics set off by the election of a real estate developer with no experience in governing and a deficit of intelligence in learning to. He is advised by persons with clear agendas to elevate free market capitalism over sensible regulation of critical infrastructure by the Federal Government. Personally, I feel something as far reaching as the digital controls managing almost all of our country's infrastructure, from trains to teakettles, to be something requiring government operation and accountability. A corporation's bottom line should not be our standard for the functions of the Internet and all that it touches.Craig DeLanceyKansas City, MO

7260. Rachel Stassi, St. Louis, MO, 63110
Keep Net Neutrality! Protect the internet and small businesses! Protect the people!

7261. Sherry Melson, Wentzville, MO, 63385
Please preserve net neutrality. I dont want my isp determining what I see first. I want access the internet in full without being filtered or controlled.

7262. Kristina Vance, Bolivar, MO, 65613
Save the open internet! It should NOT be legal for companies to decide what I do and do not see in the internet.

7263. Zachary Ragan-Sweeney, Warrenton, MO, 63383
Keep the Internet nuetral. I don't want my ISP to be able to block sites or throttle internet speeds. Technology is the main medium of communication in the world, and the internet makes that possible. I don't want to live in a world where I am stuck behind a paywall just to access vital information on world news, weather, safety information, or even my entertainment.

7264. Sebastian Wilson, Arnold, MO, 63010
We need this. This falls under our first amendment rights.

7265. Kelly M. Cordray, Marshall, MO, 65340
Like many people, I live in a small town. My family is spread out all over the U.S. I use the internet to keep in contact with them. I also use it to keep up with current art and nursing trends. I use the internet for entertainment, shopping and to navigate. I use it to keep up with the news, find long lost friends, and I'm using it right now. The internet is a priceless format that should belong to the people. I should have the right to decide where and when I want to shop, chat when I want to and when it is convenient for me and have access to articles I want to read. I do not want my choices made for me by a cable/phone company. The FCC needs to maintain Net Neutrality in favor of our freedom to have access to the whole internet.

7266. Roger Gibson, Marshall, MO, 65340
The debate over net neutrality is really one about whether the FCC, which is tasked by law to oversee communications networks, will have any role in overseeing access to the most important network of my lifetime. This role includes ensuring that consumers are protected from, among other things, invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers. If the FCC is left without authority over broadband ISPs, Comcast could double its prices overnight, and there wouldnâ€™t be anything the FCC or any other agency could do about it. I would prefer the FCC remain in control keeping the entire net available for my use for work, community relations, personal use.

7267. Eli Boland, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
Please don't let net neutrality be taken from us. Many websites on the internet have helped me and bettered my life. Skype has brought me closer to my friends and Khan Academy has let me teach myself many math topics. It has given me loads of entertainment. It lifts up my day to see my favorite youtubers, twitch streamers, and netflix shows. Please don't let the greatest thing in our lives today be taken away.

7268. Austin Hayden, St. Louis, MO, 63110
Keep net neutrality. Legal disparity in access to the internet will harm our poor & rural communities, which is reason enough to maintain net neutrality. If that is not enough, however, please understand that equal access to the internet benefits the country as a whole. I am a seminary student and community organizer. My wife is a middle school teacher. On behalf of our communities, our neighbors, & our friends: protect net neutrality.

7269. Heather Grutt, Independence, MO, 64057
The internet should remain free. I go to college online and if there is a price I have to pay to get online, then I will never be able to continue my education.

7270. Daniel Welch, Webb City, MO, 64870
We need net neutrality so the already taking over corporate companies do not create a monopoly. Promoting their products and select products of investors will only lead to less diversity, higher prices and limited resources for everyone. No funds for research to improve

those products due to the high prices and thus slowing our role in the economic race that we are already losing. This boils down to money. He who holds the money, holds the power. And that by itself creates a cycle., until money runs out. Limiting resources means companies will run out and have to close up shop. Once cost to produce over takes price to sell, A company has to quit, especially, a million dollar company. Those remaining funds get reinvested in hopes to gain more. Its a gamble. The only for sure way to make a continuous source of money is a consistant product that is affordable to the masses. That is why I feel we need net neutrality. ~Dan WDaniel Welch

7271. Logan Jennings, St. Louis, MO, 63124
If you proceed with this bill it would be a breach of my freedoms as a US citizen.

7272. Richard L Colon, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Net Neutrality ensures I have the freedom to control my Internet experience. Taking away choice of ISP providers limits competition and innovation. I have the choice of numerous ISP providers and it should stay that way. Please do the right thing and keep Net Neutrality.

7273. Bradley Smith, Springfield, MO, 65807
Net neutrality is a cornerstone of the internet that has allowed innovation to flourish and America to become a leader in tech and all things online. Do not remove Net Neutrality. Don't mess with it. Please.

7274. Rachel Noll, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64151
I want the FCC to retain the ability to stop my internet service provider from interfering with my communications choices. The courts have already told the FCC that to do this, ISPs must remain under Title II. I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality rules and keep Title II in place for broadband internet access.

7275. Katie Schartz, Kansas City, MO, 64116
The internet should not be subjected to capitalist agendas. Keep net neutrality. Everyone should be allowed the same access, everywhere, equally.

7276. Allison Lueck, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I've been able to connect with friends and family through the use of an unrestricted web. If it were to be restricted, contact with those people could become loss, and that is not something I would like. As Americans, we have freedom, and unrestricted web is part of that freedom. If that were to be restricted, our fundamental rights as AMERICANS would be violated. #SAVETHEWEB

7277. Mackenzie Healey, kansas City, MO, 64110
For net neutrality to end is shame. It is completely against American Ideals of fair competition and equal opportunity, how dare we even consider it. Listen to the people!

7278. Charles LaMothe, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
Hello, to whomever may read this, I am Charles LaMothe, an 18 year old guy from Saint Louis, Missouri. I write to you today in order to express my concerns over Net Neutrality, and the wants of select people(s) whom wish to remove this (Net Neutrality) and/or change it in a way to benefit Internet Service Providers and various other groups, companies, corporations, and etc in an unfair way towards consumers and other groups, companies, corporations, and etc. Removing or changing Net Neutrality is, in my eyes, a gross affront to various things that this nation founded itself upon and prides itself upon. Chief of these being Freedom of Speech, Freedom of Press, and the protection of Rights to Life, Liberty, and Property. If Net Neutrality is altered or removed, it could lead to these rights and freedoms being squanders online, whether this be through ISPs routing internet speeds to benefit only their assets, damaging the income of competitors and removing them from the picture, and stifling innovation online with new websites and platforms, or censoring sites and groups of people whom may oppose them in some way, or think and believe differently. I believe that a this power could be used and abused if allowed to happen, so I wish to not see that come to pass. Please heed my words, and best of luck.

7279. Jennifer Anderson, Kansas City, MO, 64134
I want net neutrality backed by strong Title 2 legislation.

7280. Andrew Nolen, Springfield, MO, 65807
The internet belongs to the people, not corporate fat cats.

7281. Zak Alton, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
Net Neutrality is a very important issue that must remain unchanged. The legalization of private internet providers blocking sites they don't agree with and allowing them to harvest and sell personal information from their constituents violates the basic principles that our country was founded on. Net Neutrality is an important part of Freedom of Speech and its breach will violate not only the rights granted to the US citizen by the constitution, but the very trust in government that this country was founded upon.

7282. Darren Hoefgen, St. Louis, MO, 63116
keep all content on the internet available to all - it's what has allowed it to grow into what it is today.

7283. Jonathan Parman, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
Net Neutrality must be protected. If ISP's are able to control the flow of content on the internet they will be able to censor political conversation, prevent new businesses from starting, and manipulate public perception. Net Neutrality is in the spirit of our country and I ask that you please protect it.

7284. Jillian Timmer, Wentzville, MO, 63385
I believe in Net Neutrality. Information should be FREE, for everyone.

7285. Jason Scherrer, Valley Park, MO, 63088
I am afraid of the future in which information is controlled by those with more money than others. It is outrageous that we even have to fight against this. The availability and the access of internet should be a basic human right.

7286. Ryan Tegerdine, Saint Louis, MO, 63043
Keep Net Neutrality. We don't need businesses limiting what we can view, or controlling us online.

7287. Aaron Deabler, Cuba, MO, 65453
The internet needs net neutrality, net neutrality has created a fair and level playing field for those with new ideas. Big businesses such as google Netflix amazon YouTube and many more, have grown from small businesses to the big shots they are now. Because the internet is open and free.Because it is free thanks to net neutrality anyone with an idea or whim can succeed and grow like those simple startups like google Ike amazon.The internet is open and free right now. Which gives anyone who has internet access to the largest collection of human knowledge in human history. The internet let's you meet and connect with people all over the world, Giving a select few the ability to control and limit access to it, is wrong and everyone knows that. Title 2 protects the internet it protects net neutrality.I love the internet and all it's limitless possibilities, don't take away those possibilities by limiting it.I support net neutrality and it's protection under title 2. Thank you.

7288. Thomas, Hillsboro, MO, 63050
The Internet should and must remain neutral.

7289. Bekah Painter, Moberly, MO, 65270
I am the ONLY person who should decide how I use and experience the internet

7290. Brandon Dougherty, Bloomsdale, MO, 63627
Net Neutrality should fall under first amendment rights. If the power of the internet falls to ISPs then it could instantly censor content creators, news outlets, ect.

7291. Quinlin Brown, Kirksville, MO, 63501
Censored Speech and Content. Without Net Neutrality, network providers can block or slow down access to sites they donâ€™t like. Earlier this year, AOL blocked all emails that mentioned www.dearaol.com, an advocacy group that was challenging AOLâ€™s pay-to-send e-mail scheme. Â·No User Choice. Without Net Neutrality, network providers â€“ not you â€“ will determine what services and equipment you can use on the Internet. For example, Cingular Wireless, run by AT&T, bars access to PayPal because it has struck a deal with another online payment service, which pays Cingular for that privileged status. Â·Banned Chat Rooms. Without Net Neutrality, network owners can dictate whether you are allowed to visit popular chat rooms or if you will have to pay a cover charge to enter them. Think it canâ€™t happen? Think again. Just this summer, BellSouth blocked its customersâ€™ access to Myspace.com in Tennessee and Florida. Â·Online Gamer Restrictions. Without Net Neutrality, fan sites, mod communities (individuals playing against each other), and MMORPGs (Massively-Multiplayer Online Role-Playing Game) might find their online gaming shut off. Network providers will be able to charge gamers tolls to access their favorite games, in addition to the broadband connection fee that most users already pay. Network providers would also be able to restrict gaming access to their own online gaming companies, shutting off any competitors. Â·Expensive Downloads and Pod-casting. Without Net Neutrality, network providers could charge you more to download your favorite videos or music, or to use services such as Rhapsody, YouTube, Napster, and iTunes. Network providers can also tell you which download service you have to use, charging you a toll if you decide to use one of their competitors.

7292. James Swolley, Shell Knob, MO, 65747
The Government should not be involved with this attempt to put the screws to the average person...

7293. Elise, St. Louis, MO, 63103
I need net neutrality because I cannot live without internet. The world wide web has gotten me through high school and most of college so far. Literally, I only know my grades for college because of the Internet. You think they mail those in every week? Please. The Internet is also how I watch my American TV shows and my Japanese anime. And what about my music? I use iTunes, Youtube, Spotify and Pandora. Because of the Internet, I am able to Skype my family back home while I am at college and check up with friends across the world via Facebook, Twitter, Instagram and Snapchat. With that said, I've also been alive long enough to know what internet was like when we had dial-up. It was a nightmare and I refuse to go back to those days because giant greedy corporations just have to have more money. As for the dumb argument "Just go to another ISP". I call BS on that. Where I live, the only ISPs we have access to are AT&T and Charter. I hate AT&T and I tolerate Charter. Americans don't have a choice when it comes to Internet and I think you guys know that. So I beg you FCC, do your jobs and protect Americans from these giant corporations. ISPs already give awful service (we will see you between the hours of 9am-3pm), and the Internet is the one good thing we have left in this administration. How else am I supposed to know what our Commander and Cheat (I mean "Chief") has done? Watch the news? Yeah right.

7294. Jordan Younger, Kansas City, MO, 64119
I believe that the power to control the internet should not be given to only those with the money to do so. I've lived a live with the internet we have today and it's boundless opportunities. To take something from me that has shaped who I am today would be a crushing blow to my spirit. Please keep the internet from the clutch of companies who see only to make the internet part of there ploy to advertise. Please keep Net Neutrality. Thank you for your time.

7295. Madonna Beth Reed, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Etsy Shop www.etsy.com/shop/nocturnalkingdomsDear FCC and Congress, As someone who used to work for a public library, I believe that net neutrality is crucial for every individual in America. As a library researcher, I have helped people use the internet for health/medical conditions research, business and financial research, education, legal - everything. And I have helped people of all ages and different income or success levels. Net neutrality is crucial for a nation of capable, competent individuals.As someone who is now a small business owner, net neutrality is crucial to the success of my business and the outreach of the goods and services I can offer. I believe the FCC must uphold and protect net neutrality for the health, education, skills, and success of all Americans.I'm sending this to

the FCC open proceeding and to my members of Congress. Please actively support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II.Thank you, very much. Beth ReedMadonna Beth Reed

7296. Samuel Robert Majors, Springfield, MO, 65807
Net nutrality is how we keep this country free and up to the same standard as the rest of the world. It also helps keep our economy running the way it does.Samuel Robert Majors

7297. Mollie Gagnon, Springfield, MO, 65807
I am a self-employed entrepreneur, who primarily uses Twitch and smaller websites for revenue. In the last few years, Twitch has grown exponentially, but this may not have been possible without net neutrality as ISP's may have chosen to favor a different platform like YouTube. While this may seem trivial, I'm discussing a platform that has allowed my husband & myself to work from home, run our own business, and give back to our local community as well as significant contributions to St. Jude Children's Hospital. We rely on reaching a community all across the country (and all across the world, for that matter) who are benefited by net neutrality.I am also a contractor with a company based out of Singapore and I work with individuals across the globe. I rely solely on the internet for my employment and utilize it at varying hours of the day, due to timezones. I also face an issue where there is only one broadband internet provider who can meet my internet needs in my area. Without net neutrality, this ISP could potentially prevent me from accessing my entirely online based employment. The ability for ISPs in install restrictions or internal regulations outside of net neutrality poses the possibility of significantly impacting both of my sources of income and therefore my livelihood.I believe the FCC has a responsibility to the country to maintain net neutrality and protect the American people from invasions of their privacy along with fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers. The internet is the most important network of our lifetimes, ought to be treated as a utility and regulated.Thank you for your time.

7298. Lucas Clark, Springfield, MO, 65803
As a teenager, I very much appreciate the ability to go on the internet and learn and enjoy myself. I believe this benefits my development as a person striving to contribute to society however I can. I believe that net neutrality is one of the things that helps me most with my growth as a person; allowing me to explore the world through the internet without interruptions. I also realize there are many other negative implications of removing net neutrality such as contributing to a monopolized internet and harming startup companies, but I really just care about my ability to surf the web unhindered. Thanks,Lucas Clark, age 16Lucas Clark

7299. Jessica Wright, Fulton, MO, 65251
Keep net neutrality! The internet is freedom. Protect it from the control and corruption of corporations.

7300. Cory Vollmer, saint louis, MO, 63123
The attempt to turn the internet, the last true bastion of free speech and expression, is a crime. The fact that its being done at the behest and for the benefit of companies that bid the most to our elected officials calls into question our whole system of governance. Please, listen to the will of the people and keep the net neutral.

7301. Paul LaRose, Springfield, MO, 65803
Well, I've never been one much for petitions but looking at this situation really made me see things differently. I can understand adding changes to better something, but when it comes to abolishing net neutrality, what is there to gain? Look at countries like North Korea and China, where civilians have limited internet access. America is a free country for a reason. Yeah, I may be one person. But I'm one of many and I'm passionate about this. Please, keep net neutrality. For America.

7302. Jesse Nivens, Springfield, MO, 65804
Dismantling network neutrality is one of the most foolish things you could do. Please, represent the people, and not big business interests. The people want and need a neutral network. It is best for new business, small business and the average user.Jesse Nivens

7303. Daniel Haase, Springfield, MO, 65803
All I see is congress says they LOVE net neutrality but also want to remove Title II. It's not an excess regulations, it's what we need to keep it. How does know one admit that? I FEEL LIKE I'M TAKING CRAZY PILLS. You trust ISPs in America (COMCAST et. al.) to not charge a ton and do stuff no one wants? ldo.

7304. Emma Williston, Foristell, MO, 63348
The access to an unlimited amount of knowledge via the internet is what has made us advance at great speeds in the last 20 years. Denying this unlimited access to knowledge about anything and everything would effectively make people of the world stupider and more divided. Also, everyone would hate you for denying net neutrality. Everyone.

7305. Michael Sprouse, CAPE GIRARDEAU, MO, 63701
Please keep net neutrality alive. Thank you!

7306. Shelby Boley, Moberly, MO, 65270
I feel it is necessary to have an open and enjoyable internet than one that is only equitable for those who own cellular companies or have ties with such businesses. In the long run striking down net neutrality will hurt the United States and put us behind other countries who have unlimited access to their information.

7307. Julia Vogl, Saint Louis, MO, 63112
I am in STRONG favor of net neutrality. Destroying it would in turn hurt small businesses and not just the US, but the entire WORLD will be affected. Do NOT mess with net neutrality.

7308. Jeremy Grissom, Missouri, MO, 63084
The Restoring Internet Freedom Act is terrible for the internet! Do not give ISPs the right to throttle anything based on content! Leave things how they are! Internet connections MUST remain title 2!

7309. Shane Stange, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I DO NOT want to be charged extra for something i already pay for every dayShane Stange

7310. Austin G Neumeyer, Jackson, MO, 63755
Don't ruin the future for everyone.Like, seriously, you know what you're doing. The cost is too big for the gain that you're seeking. You will be one of history's villains. I know you know this.Austin G Neumeyer

7311. Phillip Hobin, Saint Joseph, MO, 64506
I use the internet to connect with both family and friends who are abroad; if you were to reverse the decision made in 2015 this would adversely effect my daily norm in being able to contact either parties. Not only that, I don't have cable. I'm unplugged in regards to everything that I consume is via the internet. I stream through Netflix, Hulu, HBOgo, Amazon Prime, Spotify, etc. These are services I gladly pay or. My current ISP is suddenlink, and in my area they are the only ones who offer options above a realistic 25mbps, the other being ATT. ATT is not an option as the speeds are horrifically slow, so if suddenlink was able to throttle, I would have absolutely no one else to turn to. I want the FCC to stay in oversight over the current ISP's so myself and other consumers aren't having to pay hand over fist for a service that is currently in place, and affordable. Regards,Phillip Hobin

7312. Austin Williams, Springfield, MO, 65807
Net neutrality is necessary in the modern world. Without it, small businesses will lose a lot of contact potential to grow themselves and internet startups will be near impossible to create without a great amount of funding that would also be near impossible to procure in the first place. It will also bring about a great amount of backlash from consumers everywhere, even if they're not aware of the current situation. The internet should be a place of free creation, and you cannot deny how important technology has become in this world, and along with that comes the need for a free internet.

7313. Gerard Lucas, St. Louis, MO, 63116
ISPs should not be afforded the right to determine what is appropriate or lawful content. They should not be able to artificially slow or block content that is detrimental to their own interests or interests of other business partners. There is only one internet, and it serves as an open exchange of ideas and knowledge for the entire world, not a commodity for US corporations to manage.

7314. Jason Lackey, Columbia, MO, 65202
The internet hold the greatest expanse of human knowledge to ever exist. Its access should be readily available to all. Allowing ISPs or megacorporations to dictate what information is available in viable format, in essence, puts a dollar sign on all human knowledge.

7315. Matthew E. Everly, East Prairie, MO, 63845
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai,I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. Please do not roll back the net neutrality regulations! It is vital for the fairness of not only internet commerce/businesses, but also the consumers to have the ability to choose content not unwillingly served content decided by ISPs or other other providers.Thanks for your time!

7316. Robert Hudson, St Louis, MO, 63129
Repealing net neutrality will take a lot of freedom from users of the internet and a lot of traffic from smaller sites. It will crush a lot of small shops/establishments.

7317. Brittani Bounds, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
The internet as we know it, especially as a function, tool, and resource for personally run businesses, will end under net neutrality. Let the internet be a place where we can all thrive, not just big business.

7318. Liron Ganel, St. Louis, MO, 63108
I strongly support the strongest possible net neutrality rules. All content on the internet should have equal priority, and no one should be able to change this, regardless of their resources.

7319. Quentin Hahn, Kansas City, MO, 64137
The internet needs to remain free and open through protection of Title II Net Neutrality rules. If these protections are not in place, Internet Service Providers will make the Internet a worse for everyone.

7320. Matthew, Independence, MO, 64058
Hello,I would really like if you didn't take away my Internet Neutrality. We live in America home of the free. The key word is free. I would like to visit my free sites like Imgur, Reddit, and other out lets like them. But, if you take that away Companies like Comcast and others will be able to block these sites in favorite of the sites they like. So don't get to choice the sites I like. That's not freedom of choice the a restricting my freedom as an American citizen.

7321. Cole Bush, St. Louis, MO, 63146
Please maintain Net Neutrality so media and telecom corporations are not tempted to instigate preferred prioritization to compete over something that should be a neutral space for searching for and exchanging information -- not a storefront where you pay to be advertised to with regulated ads.

7322. steven rausch, Arnold, MO, 63010
I believe that neutral internet service is a right that all people should have. It is how the internet started and how it should stay.

7323. Bobby Harvley, Knob Noster, MO, 65336
Keep net neutrality. Please do not ruin freedom of the internet.

7324. Miguel Montero, St. Louis, MO, 63116

I stand in full support of Net Neutrality!

7325. Malcolm Marshall, St. Louis, MO, 63128
DO NOT REPEAL NET NEUTRALITY. A neutral net is pivotal to internet freedom and consumer/entrepreneurial protection. Sites that are common names now would have never survived on a non-neutral net.

7326. Katarina Weis, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Net Neutrality is vital to preserving freedom of speech and expression. No company should be able to control what is easily accessible on the internet. We have experienced a new age of freedom since the internet was invented, don't take that away by removing Net Neutrality.

7327. Jared Pratt, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
We the the people not we the companies. Keep the power in the hands of the people

7328. William D. Taylor, St. Louis, MO, 63141
I urge the FCC to maintain existing net neutrality regulations to ensure fair and open access to content across the Internet.

7329. Dawson Daniels, Auxvasse, MO, 65231
I live in rural Missouri, and the internet is pretty much the only way I get my information. If this passes and makes the ISP (the only one in the area) able to slow or remove websites I may need to have access to, there is no other way I can get to it outside of driving 30 minutes to the nearest library/internet cafe/etc. I am in favor of strong net neutrality rules and do not wish to see the current level of regulation on it leave.

7330. Landon Lasater, Macon, MO, 63552
This would put too much power into the hands of businesses. With the power the internet brings to mankind, we can not give the potential to manipulate what people see, to people who are not elected into office.

7331. Ryan Potts, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
Repealing net neutrality will only hurt the people of this nation, and the larger world. We need free and open access for all peoples of the world.

7332. Chad Kilgore, Orrick, MO, 64077
Restricting one of the best things man kind has available is the same as stunting human progress.

7333. Jacob Alyea, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
An open internet that preserves net neutrality benefits everyone. Removing net neutrality will only benefit the few, most powerful and wealthy people who want to control the availability of information. This un-Democratic and un-American

7334. Net Neutrality, Moscow Mills, MO, 63362
I think it's safe to say that the only citizens opposed to strongly enforced net neutrality rules under Title II are those with a vested interest in the ISPs these reforms would be giving control to. It would truly be a travesty for this to be repealed. Net neutrality is a subject that isn't divided by political view, gender, or race, it's literally everyone who uses the internet vs the small handful corporations and their affiliates that are seeking this control and having an ex-Verizon lawyer as the predominant force and figurehead of these reforms really shows this. My family only has access to one internet provider and to possibly be in a situation where they could deny access to websites or services we use daily just because they are affiliated with a different provider would be awful.

7335. Laura Westbrook, Columbia, MO, 65203
Net neutrality is INCREDIBLY important, and changing it would be a violation of everything we as a country stand for. We stand for freedom of expression, freedom of thought, and the American dream, the ability to make your life what YOU want. In today's society, the internet is the easiest way to be yourself, and do what you want to do. Yet without net neutrality, that's not really a choice is it? Someone else picks the websites for you. How fair is that? Please please PLEASE do not implement the new net neutrality changes. We, as a country, are begging you not to. Thank you so much!

7336. Jeremy Massey, Eureka, MO, 63025
I feel that the cable companies should not end net neutrality. Let the internet be free.

7337. Christopher Michael Unger, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
Tittle II Net Neutrality is imperative to sustaining a free and open internet free of service throttling, favoring of websites, and potential censorship. The public reaction to repealing Tittle II Net Neutrality would be significant and disastrous for the FCC and today (June 12th) many popular websites are showing the world the potential ramifications of repealing Tittle II Net Neutrality. I implore you to see reason and not follow through with Proceeding 17-108 ("restoring internet freedom").

7338. Jonathan Ragon, Columbia, MO, 65201
I believe that the internet should be free for all, and that telecoms/Isps should not be able to prioritize access.

7339. Lance Zenes, Rock Hill, MO, 63119
We SUPPORT Net Neutrality wholeheartedly.

7340. Jason Brammer, Independence, MO, 64055
I strongly support net neutrality regulations of ISPs, and I urge the FCC to do the same. Net neutrality is the foundation of the free and open web, removing these regulations will allow ISPs to abuse their position between the average american and the services that they use. This cannot, must not be allowed if competition is to flourish among websites and services on the web.Due to the last mile problem

-- the fact that the most expensive part of building a communications network is the many millions of individual connections to homes and businesses at the end -- it is often infeasible for multiple ISPs to compete in a given area. In Independence, MO, we have access to AT&T DSL or Comcast Cable, and that's it.Neither option is great, and I do not want either to have the power to control what I can or cannot easily access on the web. This lack of competition means that if both AT&T and Comcast were to start abusing an internet without net neutrality regulations, all of the consumers in Independence would be negatively affected, and would have no possible recourse, no true neutral alternate carrier to move to. The same would be true of most jurisdictions in the US.Please support continued strong regulations that enforce net neutrality.

7341. Keiten Chipman, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Please don't get rid of net neutrality. The amount of problems this would cause to all of the businesses out there would be really bad

7342. Patrick Peukert, Bevier, MO, 63532
Net Neutrality is an essential part of the internet in as simple a way as this: it allows bandwidth and speeds to be distributed based on merit and demand, not on who pays the most for access. The alternative to that landscape would look vastly different, in that only those willing to bite the bullet and pay large fast lane fees to ISP's would be able to give users such as yourself access to a high amount of streamable content. Sites like Twitch and YouTube would be forced to pay likely astronomical fees with no real cap, in order to continue operation. Not paying the fees would mean certain failure for smaller sites, as the drop in video and audio quality that would come from the "slow lane" speeds would drive all of their traffic to the bigger sites that are able to pay the "fast lane fees." The idea of the fees is troublesome enough itself, but when looking at how much they might be, it gets even worse. I would say that in all likelihood these fees will be negotiated on a case by case basis. ISP's will demand huge payouts from the large sites, as they do drive the most traffic through the ISP. The smaller sites, just starting out, would probably be offered a smaller fee, yet at this critical juncture of their development, the ability to pay ANYTHING is far lower than you might think. Online advertising is still an unoptimized mess in terms of actually drawing in consistent revenue. It is an entirely different field than what we are discussing, but take a look at the recent layoffs from massive sportswriting sites such as ESPN, Fox Sports, CBS Sports, among many, many others. These media behemoths cant even figure out how to make money from banner ads on stories that fans of all sports are hungry to read. In turn, they are laying off talented writers who do excellent work and drive traffic to the site, only because no one can figure out how to make money from an outdated advertising formula. Where the similarities kick in for streamable media is here: banner ads still make no money, and pop up ads or commercials that interrupt the video stream seem to actively drive traffic elsewhere. Sites like Twitch have sought to curb this loss of traffic by making paid subscribers' streams ad free. However, this revenue stream is severely limited by a 30 second download of the AdBlock extension for Google Chrome, which anyone can do. My ultimate point here is that losing Net Neutrality would be a fatal blow for small content creating sites on the internet, and make life very difficult for even the very largest sites. In an industry where profit margins are at worst slim, and at very best inconsistent, something like this would be earth shattering. And what for? So Comcast, Cox, Verizon and so many more can print more money? This will not encourage their product to improve or advance in any way, it will only wall them off as small geographical monopolies even further than they already are. I know there are so many questions you may have from reading this and I would encourage you to look into this further. If you are not moved in any way by what I've presented, I beg you to seek out the testimony of Burnie Burns, of Roosterteeth, in Austin, Texas. He is as knowledgeable a person as you could ever find on this subject and will have all the numbers to back it up. I can remember hearing Burnie speak on this very subject several years ago. Find him on Twitter @Burnie and I promise you'll be in good hands.

7343. Christopher Swaine, Atlanta, MO, 63530
All of the internet must remain free and open to the public! When the FCC was established it ensured that all electronic communications were to remain free and public. You have changed the laws in reguard to the television companies! It is unlawful and greedy for more laws and restrictions to be put on open internet. Advertising pays for airtime and programs, the American people should have full access to the internet without restriction. Anything else restricts free trade and further discourages start up businesses from becoming successful.

7344. Matthew Unger, Ellisville, MO, 63021
I believe the internet should remain free. It would be a massive blow to the consumers to have the internet be solely in the hands of the service providers. Internet fast lanes, paywalls, and the ability for a provider to block sites that they disagree with will trample over the rights of the people. Please hear the people. We want a free internet.

7345. Suzanne DeLancey, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I support strong net neutrality backed by FCC Title II oversight. Specifically, continued enforcement of the bright-line rules that prevent ISPs from blocking, throttling, or paid prioritizing of websites.FCC Chairman Ajit Pai's actions could easily destroy net neutrality. We have a right to unencumbered public access to the information and communication provided by the Internet. Appointed by Mr. Trump, Mr. Pai is pandering to the current overarching White House conceit that only Business Interests matter, while the Public Interests should just shrivel up and disappear. As Senator Schatz so aptly put it: "... the rest of us who want the internet free and open are outraged that theyâ€™re undoing these basic protections."Title II regulation of the internet under the FCC is absolutely necessary. Handing over ISPs to so-called self regulation under the FTC makes no sense. From TechCrunch.com: "The FCCâ€™s proposal provides no alternatives for authorities under which it would be able to enforce the rules â€” except for ones already tried and found lacking. Make no mistake, the rules will not work without Title II. This isnâ€™t speculation â€” itâ€™s already been tried." And: "Internet providers have attempted to throttle traffic by type or by user (Comcast in 2007), have imposed arbitrary and secret caps on data (AT&T 2011-2014), hidden fees that had no justification or documentation (Comcast in 2016), and tried to give technical advantages to their own services over those of competitors (AT&T in 2016). These attempts were only revealed in retrospect once they were discovered and lawsuits filed."Please do not weaken our current FCC regulation and oversight of Internet use.

7346. Nick, Saint Peters, MO, 63303
Net neutrality is part of what makes the internet so great! This is essential for a healthy, and RECURRING experience online.

7347. Eric Weisz, Nixa, MO, 65714
Why do we keep having this conversation? Could we stop trying to kill Net neutrality?

7348. Nathan Emmons, St. Louis, MO, 63108
Deregulating ISPs will hurt consumers. Establishing fast lanes and slow lanes over the Internet makes our economy weaker, not stronger. Put Americans First and maintain net neutrality!

7349. Daniel Krulewich, Grandview, MO, 64030
Maintaining net neutrality is more than a selfish desire. In modern world it is important for democracy.Daniel Krulewich

7350. Austin Brooks, Rogersville, MO, 65742
I want to keep Net Neutrality because it allows true freedom on the Internet.

7351. Net Neutrality, Springfield, MO, 65803
This bill is an absolute outrage. This proceeding will only serve to give more power to corporations like Telecom, who's history is steeped in avarice and disregard for clientele and will infringe on our freedom, not give us more of it.

7352. Dale Holland, Joplin, MO, 64801
Leave the Internet free. We don't need/want government, ISP, or anyone steering it to their benefit. Bug off.

7353. Tyler Hayes, Dexter, MO, 63841
I do not believe that my ISP should be able to control what content I want to enjoy on the internet. Please protect our rights!

7354. Daniel Mains, St Ann, MO, 63074
PLEASE, PLEASE keep net neutrality! It is SO important as a free society to allow EVERYONE access to the internet and content they want. Free means uncontrolled access. With the all the newspapers and cable channels being owned by like six people, it is important to keep the other means of getting UN-BIASED news and info is the internet. If companies are allowed to "take it over" then we as a society will have failed this beacon of hope we call a free America. Corporate greed has already influenced our congressional leaders on everything from banking to agriculture and pharma, can we please just have a win for us, the regular people.

7355. Brett Seals, Saint Joseph, MO, 64504
Do you support the theory that you should be able to vote for your dollar? Isn't that principal of free market economics one of the things that allowed America and American ingenuity to prosper and thrive? If you do.... Support net neutrality. Internet should be a utility to access a virtual free market. Not supporting it as a utility is like saying people should have access to different qualities of tap water based on how much they can afford. It's senseless.

7356. Net Neutrality, Springfield, MO, 65807
The internet should remain free of paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling. Stop trying to line your pocketbooks while withholding information.

7357. Kyle Handshy, Raytown, MO, 64138
Please stop trying to push bills that limit and harm the market so a few companies can legally have a monopoloy. Shit is getting old

7358. Ryan Gaddy, St Charles, MO, 63303
Stop, just, Stop. It works well right now, and will for the foreseeable future. Greed is good, greed unchecked, is a disaster.

7359. Timothy Jaymes Hertel, Saint Louis, MO, 63111
The Internet is not the government's playground. It belongs to the people. It was created for the FREE expression of ideas, ideals, goods and services. NOTHING and NO ONE should EVER tell us how we can use it. Quit letting big business tell you what should be done. Listen to the people that VOTED for you, not the rustling of money.

7360. Teri Weiter, Raytown, MO, 64138
Please vote to maintain neutrality provisions . Why should internet providers be able to charge anymore than what they already charge? Teri Weiter

7361. Peter Ho, Kirksville, MO, 63501
Open Internet is a right that should remain open.

7362. Richard Barb, Lees Summit, MO, 64082
Please protect the free and open marketplace that is the internet. Thank you.

7363. Lewis Grant, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
The internet should be open. The people using the internet should be able to decide what is good and what is bad, instead of being force fed information.

7364. Brendon Williamson, Springfield, MO, 65807
Corporations or special interests should have less opportunity to decide what freedoms we have.

7365. Chad Dunn, Battlefield, MO, 65619
To give the ability of a company to control the flow of, and the content of information is an absolutely huge amount of power to give to one entity. This is an ability that is not even afforded to our government as restricted by the constitution. Please support net neutrality.

7366. Leslie Curtis, St Charles, MO, 63303
Please maintain the current Net Neutrality laws. The whole internet system should be equally accessible with the same freedom of information and level of access. Allowing ISPs to be able to charge for priority streaming may create more competition among internet providers, but it will cripple the millions of website owners who cannot afford to compete with wealthier website owners who can pay

ISPs for prioritization. Eliminating net neutrality will only make the ISPs richer and reduce the freedom of information for us, the consumers. ISPs, by definition, are INTERNET SERVICE PROVIDERS; they should do only that - provide unlimited access to the entire worldwide web - not censor access based on the highest bidder. Without net neutrality, it would be as if the local water company started providing different quality levels of water to consumers based on how much they are willing/able to pay for it; and even blocking the sale of basically "free" tap water because a private bottled water company pays them to only sell their expensive water. The consumer would have no choice but to pay for the expensive water and may never even know that "free" tap water could have been available to them. The consumer is duped again. Without net neutrality, this could happen. We will lose the freedom of knowledge the internet gives us. Please do not let that happen.

7367. Meaghan Melech, North Kansas City, MO, 64116
Net neutrality transcends administrations and is vital to fair and equitable opportunity for individuals and businesses. Save net neutrality.

7368. michael fraraccio, Springfield, MO, 65807
Abolishing net neutrality regulations will be an economic travesty in this country. As Americans, we have been paving the way when it comes to freedom of expression, freedom of the press, and freedom of speech. Net Neutrality laws guarantee that right when it comes to the internet. As a veteran, I oppose rescinding Net Neutrality Regulations. As a human I oppose the rescinding of Net Neutrality Regulations. As someone who has been in an Information Technology Field since the 90's I oppose rescinding Net Neutrality Regulations. And as a small business owner I oppose rescinding Net Neutrality Regulations. Please do not rescind these laws. If you do, you are colluding in the oppression of a free society for monetary gain.

7369. Dillon Cross, Kansas City, MO, 64155
I do not agree with this proceeding.

7370. Kyle Francis and Anna Francis, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
I am putting together a small business for selling items I truly enjoy constructing. If net neutrality dies, this could directly affect any opportunity I would have had to start this business. I.e. All traffic would be directed to Amazon and Wal-Mart because they paid the ISPs the most money. I could never see this dream come to fruition, as would thousands upon thousands of others for generations to come. I also see no reason why this attempt wouldn't result in legal action. If you want entrepreneurs from all walks of life investigating into legal action for losses, be my guest. Furthermore, I purchase the internet for fast access to ALL information on the internet. I find what I want by narrowing down the results based on my personal decisions. If you went to the store to compare lawn mowers and instead they told you there are NO lawn mowers besides this one, so buy it, .. would you? Also, because of how narrow the market is for internet, we would have NO choice if our ISP decides to use this to behave opportunistically. In my area, I have Xfinity for high-speed internet, period, the end. If they decide to do it, I have absolutely NO choice but to use this filtered internet. Their behavior since I started doing business with them shows they will do nothing else but take every last advantage for a $. Google fiber may come out here, but to receive the same price would run about another $30 a month, and that's only if they decide to run fiber out here AND don't behave opportunistically. The internet is so successful and useful because it is open access. This would be akin to a death blow to this wonderful information source. People make their living on the internet selling items and services, these would die. Individuals write blogs and reviews to inform people, this knowledge would die. I can't stress enough how detrimental this will be to millions of lives. I am also going to write my congressman as a disabled veteran of this country. I will fight this, as I always fight, tooth and nail till my hand is dead and cold.

7371. Aidan, Richmond Heights, MO, 63117
Changing the net neutrality laws will take away a great aspect of the internet. That is, the ability to have any and all the information a person could want about anything. Making the internet a thing that can be swung in the likes of certain companies is not a good thing. Just the idea that ISP's can deny access to certain websites because they are competing, is incredibly unfair to the consumer. The internet has always been open and accessible to anyone who has a computer, let's not change that now. SAVE NET NEUTRALITY!

7372. Micael Miles, Raytown, MO, 64133
I strongly feel that a "pay-to-play" internet is not a democratic one. Please consider that regulating the content of the internet runs counter to our American values. As a consumer, I would also appreciate not to have massive corporations profiting off of me unnecessarily. I find it deeply disturbing that our government seems bent on narrowing the path to what I can see online. It's unfair and un-American for my children to be blocked from online encyclopedias, the news, online art and literature should I not be able to afford it. The FCC should exist to protect the people's voices, not the "freedom" of corporations to turn a profit. Please do not estrange us from knowledge. Protect us, don't fail us. You're an institution designed to work for and stand up for us, not assist corporations in dehumanizing our world.

7373. Save Internet Neutrality, Smithville, MO, 64089
This is a blatant partisan attack on the free principles of our our country. We have already threw back the last attempt to gag the internet and now just because the republicans hold a majority does not mean you can trample the rights of the internet.

7374. Noah Safron, saint louis, MO, 63146
A free internet is integral to a free society. If you are a true american you will keep net neutrality.

7375. Joseph Inkley, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Maintaining Net Neutrality is of critical importance. The internet has become as important to many people's lives as electricity, and current Internet Service Providers have just as much control over the internet as power companies have over electricity. If you allow ISPs to take full advantage of their near monopoly on such an essential service it will end with a majority of Americans being exploited by large corporations. Please keep the internet fair and neutral by allowing it to maintain it's Title 2 status.

7376. Arham Khan, Creve Coeur, MO, 63141
free the internet!

7377. Cody R Persinger, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Please, DO NOT repeal Net Neutrality rules. Keep the internet free and open. Paid prioritization as well as ISP blocking and throttling must not be allowed.

7378. jessie sarber, St louis, MO, 63130
Freedom of speech is supposed to mean unfiltered communication with whomever we want, not that big corporations decide how we express ourselves! Keep the net accessible for all.

7379. Alex Ellebracht, Independence, MO, 64050
Keeping the internet neutral is as vital as maintaining our right to free speech.

7380. Steven White, Saint Joseph, MO, 64505
I am writing to you on the matter to keep net neutrality. It is bad enough how our current world is and hindering the open web to those who pay for it is just stupid. The ISP's should not have the right to determine what we view and how we view it. This is the land of the free and it should stay that way. Not allow them to sell to the highest bidder and lock out those who do not wish to pay. I myself will not stand to be throttled, blocked from going to websites I wish to visit, Or have big companies push their stuff on me due to them having deep pockets. The internet should remain equal in all standards across all platforms.

7381. Josh Hungate, Kearney, MO, 64060
Net Neutrality is what keeps the web vibrant and open. ISPs should not be able to prioritize, block, or throttle access to the content they serve to their customers.

7382. Eric Lough, Lake St. Louis, MO, 63367
I do not support repealing Net Neutrality. This is one of the last regulations forcing competition and protecting the consumer. Let us break up the ISP's monopolistic hold instead. The internet is now a necessity and undoing this regulation will cause harm to the consumer and reward the ISP's who were already given handouts to build the infrastructure they now wish to double dip from. The ISP's themselves including Verizon have already admitted to not being near threshold capacity in the first place so the "fast lane" is really a "slow lane". Perhaps they could cut into the near constant record profits and deliver a service at least comparable to the rest of the civilized world instead of coming up with new ways to invent capital which is then used to lobby for further barrier's to entry for their would be competitors.

7383. Julie Paradeis, Kansas City, MO, 64133
Mr Pai, I support net nutrality, with strong Title II oversight of ISPs. Please protect my internet access!

7384. Steven Michael, Kansas City, MO, 64134
â€˜government of the people, by the people, for the peopleâ€™

7385. David O'Rourke, St. Ann, MO, 63074
We've been through this song and dance before, and frankly it's insulting that the same proposal is being considered yet again with slightly different language. Keep net neutrality. Leave the digital playing field open and fair.By allowing only a few parties the ability to essentially control what content and media citizens have access to by way of constricting those whose messages they do not agree with or who can not pay would make us no better, how would that make us better than Russia? North Korea? China?American internet service is already leaps and bound behind the levels of service that European countries receive. Let's not give them something else to laugh at the United States about.

7386. Bill Hague, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
The internet is the American frontier for freedom. Information needs to be freed and this would be a step in the wrong direction. Browsing speeds and access should not have a variable pricetag.

7387. Ross, Blue Springs, MO, 54015
Keep the internet the way it is. Net neutrality needs to remain.

7388. Aaron Dilsaver, Kansas City, MO, 64129
Please fight to keep current net neutrality laws in place. When purchasing broadband internet, I understand that I have the power to navigate anywhere on the internet and the responsibility that comes with it. My fiancee and I met online, we spend hours shopping and playing games online, it is a part of our livelihood and who we are. FCC regulations ensure that laws are followed online and that the market for ISPs is free and open.

7389. Jacob Sybesma, chesterfield, MO, 63017
I think net neutrality rules should be kept in order to keep the internet free and unbiased.

7390. Dakota Tucker, Senec, MO, 64865
We need to keep the internet free of corruption. Businesses should not have the ability to give prioritization to certain sites while also forcing smaller businesses and sites they are not part of lower prioritization.

7391. Dont, saint joseph, MO, 64504
Don't Ruin the internet.

7392. Alex Ehrhardt, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
Please save the internet. Dont allow anyone to monopolise the internet

7393. Tom James, Lee's Summit, MO, 64086

Don't end Net Neutrality, allowing the large telecom industries to continue to keep their monopolies while also allowing anti-consumer practices is crippling to the free internet.

7394. Jim Huckins, Columbia, MO, 65201
We enjoy gathering information from many websites in order to learn about the things we are interested in from people, to Spanish words, to beautiful places in the world, to birds, to health and climate change questions based on good science. We want those sites to stay available for us and fear they may be pushed out if some companies are allowed to buy faster carriage on the internet. Our ISP provides our phone service and internet connection, but all the things we use the internet for are provided by other companies. We want to make sure that the FCC retains oversight of the ISP providers to insure customers are protected from abuse by a provider that has no competition. Please, letâ€™s keep net neutrality. Thank you.

7395. Cedric Schofield, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Do not change the Net neutrality laws.

7396. Mark Vance, Imperial, MO, 63052
Data is not a finite source and thus there is no reason to block internet access. It is greedy and immoral.

7397. Macs, Wentzville, MO, 63385
This is a stupid idea and should not be passed, I hope that this loses unanimously today. It shouldn't have been made in the first place and is on the path of making free countries in to Communist like countries. If the Government has control of the info we see, then they can control and manipulate it into something that is not the truth. Furthermore, what is it's purpose? Why is it that this is needed at all? The internet has been used for a while now and there have been very few problems. Macs

7398. Emmett, washington, MO, 63090
I support net neutrality! My access to information should not be limited by my ISP. I believe in a free and open web, where information is exchanged without limitation to anyone who seeks it.

7399. Adam Vance, Imperial, MO, 63052
Data is a limitless source and monitoring and monetizing it is greedy.

7400. Jordan Lee, Kansas City, MO, 64134
These days everything from paying bills to applying from jobs is increasingly becoming something that can only be done online. People rely on the internet for everything to survive in today's world. It should be considered a public utility. We do not pay internet service providers for the content we are trying to view, we only pay for the access to view it. ISPs should have no say in how much of a particular content we can view. Also, they should not be able to limit the legitimate business of those who rely on the internet for their own business. Restricting access to water is illegal, we should treat the internet the same way when so many lives depend on it.

7401. Nick, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I support net neutrality and believe that it is vital for the modern day world.

7402. Jeffrey King, Columbia, MO, 65201
Net neutrality is important to me. Especially as a computer/electrical engineering student. Leaving things open allows for more natural integration of software, hardware, and people (and also leaves the market economy more free to be more efficient)

7403. Jerome Heet III, Festus, MO, 63028
No one owns the internet in a manner that allows this type of regulation. The same way that, though some companies own cell towers and their equipment, they do not own telecommunication and cannot regulate who or what people choose to communicate.

7404. Lance Shockley, Springfield, MO, 65807
Please do not destroy the only thing that brings me joy in life.

7405. Ethan James, Lees Summit, MO, 64064
Keep Net Neutrality, the government should not restrict our online access!

7406. Dan Duhrkoop, Kansas City, MO, 64110
As a small business owner relying solely on my websites to generate income for myself and my family, it's incredibly important that the FCC's Open Internet Rules (the current net neutrality rules) remain protected.If ISPs are given the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for companies that don't, my business will die.Not because my business isn't competitive, or because I don't offer a much-needed service, but because there will be no way for me to "opt out" of the extra fees that will be levied on my business - I will no longer have a CHOICE, which is what the free market is all about.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has also made clear that he intends to do exactly this.And even if you don't care about my business, believe me when I say it will affect way more than a few people.It will effectively kill creativity and entrepreneurship online.If some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses (like mine) who can't pay those fees will be forced out, unable to compete.You will destroy the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Let that sink in for a minute. . . MORE revenue will be created (and HAS been created) by fostering a free and open internet.To change the rules which currently make that possible would be, at best, shortsighted, and at worst, complete folly for our economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my

members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Dan Duhrkoop

7407. Nicholas Staus, Joplin, MO, 64804
As a young person, and thus part of the future of the United States I would like to express my strong approval of Net Neutrality as well as my strong opposition to the proposed anti-Net Neutrality laws.

7408. Zach Doster, Columbia, MO, 65203
The internet is very important to small business and people, it will destroy the innovation of the internet.

7409. Alexander Garcia, Wentzville, MO, 63385
SAVE THE INTERNET! DON'T CHANGE A THING! VIVA LA INTERNET!

7410. Robert Lauderdale, Independence, MO, 64052
Do not let the greedy ISPs control the internet. That's communistic and no one likes communists.

7411. Joe Chee, Columbia, MO, 65202
As one of the biggest, most influential nations in this planet we need to protect our freedom to a free Internet. Net Neutrality means we get to stay free as a nation. By rejecting Net Neutrality, you as the representative are giving in to greed and corruption. You already know this, so look inward to do the right thing. Do you have integrity?

7412. Hershel Rocket, Springfield, MO, 65807
I would like the internet to remain how it is right now.

7413. Nicholas Minckler, Kansas City, MO, 64114
These laws protect the sanctity of free speech on the internet as a whole. Giving power to the ISPs to control the speed and mode of traffic of certain sights opens the doors to censors and worse.

7414. Mark Spero Jr, Holt, MO, 64048
really? this is basic stuff, this doesn't even sound like an ok thing as a cartoon villains plan.

7415. Nick Lichti, Kansas City, MO, 64118
Hello,I am writing to express my concern over the dissolution of net neutrality. The Internet has become an essential part of today's society, so much so that I feel like the access to it is as necessary as other standard utilities, such as electricity or gas. The vast amount of information stored on the internet, as well as the endless potential of it, should not be stymied or restricted in any fashion. Much with how libraries are a public institution, so I believe the internet is on its way to becoming. To regulate such an entity would be an egregious, and painfully obvious, capitalistic venture, resulting in no benefit to mankind. Instead, this would only halt the ability for the internet to continue to grow at a rapid pace, while creating a monopoly on a service that is used by all, and, arguably, needed by all.

7416. Jamie Loughran, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I implore the FCC to uphold Net Neutrality. As an American with a a career in the creative field, it is crucial to my livelihood to maintain an internet presence in order to access communities and consumers from an array of locations and via several websites. Net Neutrality allows creatives and startups like myself to pursue the "American Dream." Proof of this is apparent in the current internet, as all popular websites have been created by American companies, including Amazon, Facebook, Twitter, Google, PayPal, etc. The United States dominates Internet culture, and this is only because the current neutral Internet has allowed creatives and enterprising citizens the freedom to pursue their goals in creating media and resources to serve the globe. This freedom MUST NOT be taken away. I believe that the Internet is one of America's greatest innovations; though we did not necessarily create it, we have taken control of it as American entrepreneurs found success in building websites and communities via its endless potential. The Internet is the ideal America; it is FREE MARKET. It allows for competition. It allows for innovation, growth, and the pursuit of the American ideal. I implore the FCC not to take that away. Please maintain Net Neutrality for the United States of America.

7417. Steven Bitner, Lake Waukomis, MO, 64151
In a country which prides itself above all else on the freedoms it bestows upon it's people, controlling the internet by means of prioritization, throttling, site blocking and other measures is an abomination. Maintain net neutrality.

7418. Kathryn Westphale, Springfield, MO, 65807
As a student, filmmaker, and small business owner, I use the internet for many purposes. My school work, my filmmaking, my online shop... my everything, really. These resources are so important to me, and if anything were to obstruct or change that in a negative way, my pursuits would be severely impeded, or would perhaps even be detrimental to my future. Please keep folks like me in mind, who wish to share their talents and creativity with the world to better it in many different ways. Us little guys are part of this country just as much as anyone with a fatter wallet or a larger presence. We trust you to keep us in mind. Please.

7419. Benjamin Wilt, Columbia, MO, 65201
I buy internet at my apartment here in columbia so I can survive in the digital age. The only service that my ISP gives me in the connection to the internet so i am able to freely chose what services i use/pay for as well as what services i dont pay for. I rely on the internet to be able to pay my bills, select college classes, communicate with family living all over the USA, gain knowlage as well as use the internet for entertainment like youtube videos as well as gaming. The FCC should ensure that consumers are protected from invasion of privacy (Banking, family comunications, ect), fraudulent billing as well as price gouging. ISPs like Comcast are companies looking to make a profit. If they are left able to do as they like the internet could become a place where only the very wealthy can use it and that is not the future i want to live in as well as not the future that is good for the USA.

7420. Elizabeth Reinhardt, Kansas City, MO, 64152

Having free, unfettered access to the Internet is a fundamental right for every American, regardless of class or income; prioritizing certain content or corporate ownership poses real threats to the livelihoods of millions of citizens who rely upon the Internet on a daily basis. Compromising a neutral Internet means compromising access to sites that people need to access; from students studying online to employees checking their pay stubs or pay cards, to small business owners trying to cultivate a presence online to non-profit organizations trying to raise awareness, millions of people rely on the Internet in some capacity. The Internet has simply become so prevalent in our lives that restricting accessibility means creating real and serious issues for everyone. The Internet has become such a dynamic and powerful tool because of its open access; restricting that access would be a disservice to every individual who goes online every day.

7421. pate hogan, New madrid, MO, 63869
Keep the Internet netuetral. Don't let big companies get faster speeds from providers for pay. The consumer doesn't win.

7422. Julian Shniter, Creve Coeur, MO, 63141
If net neutrality is taken away, the internet will be held for ransom by ISPs. This means less people will be able to afford services that would otherwise be free. If people cannot access certain parts of the internet that means that certain companies will loose business, which leads to the economy moving slower. I do not think that the FCC wants to start the next Great Recession by taking away basic internet rights from people and Companies. There will be no competition brought by taking away net neutrality, as there are only about 4 major ISPs in this country already.

7423. Aaron Inskeep, Springfield, MO, 65807
America is all about Equality and Freedoms. Why should our Internet be any different. I believe Net Neutrality should remain the same because without it, the internet could be compromised by corporations with other plans. Corporations are about profit primarily. This means our Freedoms aren't always in mind. Every byte of information should continue to be treated equal because it is our right.

7424. Brock Cauffmen, Stl, MO, 63129
The internet is something becoming increasingly essentially for daily life, and the level of exclusion brought by removing net nuetrality is absurd.

7425. Dennis Turek, Fenton, MO, 63026
I pay my Internet Service Provider (ISP) a monthly fee and in return I expect fast and reliable access to ALL the Internet offers. I strongly object to the proposed repeal of the 2015 net neutrality rules which would allow ISPs to selectively prioritize, slow down, or even block Internet sites that compete with the ISPs own content-related services. Please keep the rules and oversight necessary to maintain Internet access that is fair and neutral.

7426. Tyson Zahner, Jackson, MO, 63755
Dear FCC,Please protect the FCC's Open Internet RulesThe quality of family's life (and the quality of many other small business owners' families' that I know personally) has been greatly enhanced as a direct result of open internet.For example, I'm able to promote my e-learning products and ensure that my website loads quickly all while successfully competing with much biggner names and brands. Additionally, open internet gives me the confidence that anyone with a desire to learn will be able to choose the e-learning materials they want and not just the ones they have access to if the internet is split into "fast lanes" for big companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest of us small business owners who don't have the deep pockets to compete.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses like myself that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please throw out Chairman Ajit Paiâ€™s plan to hand the government-subsidized ISP monopolies free rein to strip users of the vital privacy and access protections that everyone deserves.Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Tyson ZahnerSuccessWithTyson.comTyson Zahner

7427. Alex Marsh, Miller, MO, 65707
Net neutrality is necessary for research and expression of speech. As someone who writes, I research things that most people might not. Anecdotes of transfer students, experiences of those in minority groups, what's necessary to taxidermy an animal, etcetera. Without net neutrality, I might not be able to utilize the resources necessary to craft an empathetic and well-informed story, and my livelihood could be damaged.

7428. Reza Farshkaran, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's, I want it to remain the way it is.

7429. Martin Brown, harrisonville, MO, 64701
Allowing this to pass only benefits specific providers who would rather force you to pay for their service otherwise, grinding everything to a halt when attempting to use the internet. But if Trump has any say in this we already know what's going to happen

7430. Stop, Moberly, MO, 65270
Currently the internet is as it should be. DON'T SCREW WITH IT. Have you ever heard the phrase if it aint broke don't Change it so that you can strong arm the public and competitors into paying exorbitant amounts of money just because you are a greedy money

grubbing piece of trash? No? Well now you have, I suggest you heed its wisdom.Sincerely, One dude among millions of others who are pissed at this bullshit <3

7431. Alec Milbrandt, Weldon Spring, MO, 63304
The internet needs net neutrality. ISPs should not be able to control traffic to certain sites, all data should be equal and not be gated by ISPs. They should not have the power to pick and choose what we can and can't see on the Internet. This is censorship at its finest. Net neutrality is one of the most important things that needs to stay so ISPs can't gain more power than they already have.

7432. Nicholaus Thorpe, Kansas City, MO, 64119
I stand in favor of Net Neutrality because I feel as though nullifying the freedom of the internet is the same as nullifying free speech. The amount of different sites, content they contain, and opinions they offer are bound to rub someone at an ISP the wrong way, and if they're allowed to simply quash anything they don't like, that's a slippery slope I'd rather not think about. Please, think about the implications this bill has, and what it could affect in the future. Thank you. - Nicholaus Thorpe

7433. Daniel Sutton, Park Hills, MO, 63601
Leave the internet alone guys, its fine the way it is, and we really don't want you all fucking it up. You seriously can't just ignore the public, not legally, nor figuratively either. We out number you, and we get the last say in whether or not you all can change anything about it. Its not happening any time soon.

7434. Fabian Sanchez, St. Louis, MO, 63125
I am in very strong support to preserving net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs

7435. Danial Rose, belle, MO, 65013
Net Neutrality allows users to use the internet to find information, giving the ISP's power could easily result in censorship of information that is deemed counter to what they want you to know. keeping the American Citizenry ignorant of facts and incapable of making informed decisions. The entire concept of Net Neutrality is fundamentally inline with American Values and our Constitution. To do away with it is as anti-American and dangerous to our future.

7436. Jordy McGinness, Potosi, MO, 63664
I would like to once again express my support for strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. President Trump talked about making this country of ours great again and removing Title 2 oversight of internet service providers would be a big step backwards. How can this country be great if it is possible to stop startup online businesses because they can not pay for a premium speed? ISPs say that they will keep the internet free and open with looser oversight, but if that is true why does it need to be changed at all?

7437. Virginia Grace, Mount Vernon, MO, 65712
The internet needs net neutrality economically for start-ups, but also for the sake of individuals being able to access information. The ability to research and use major websites (and minor websites) shouldn't be placed behind any sort of restriction or paywall - and doing so would set back decades of flourishing work created by people internationally using the internet without limitations.

7438. Nicholas Ingram, Springfield, MO, 65807
I do not in anyway agree with taking away Net Neutrality. Taking this away will hurt the consumers and ONLY benefit giant corporations that just want to penny and dime anyone and everyone they can. And if they get their way they would be able to throttle anything they want if those websites/companies don't pay what they want. And then there's the obviously glaring issue that these company can just block websites just because they don't agree with their ideas be it political or not and that's blatant censorship. Please do not support getting rid of Net Neutrality it doesn't benefit the people that count, the consumers.

7439. Bailey Austin, Farmington, MO, 63640
ISP's extort enough money out of the American people. Don't be foolish enough to let them take more. Keep our Internet free.

7440. Tim Leong, st. louis, MO, 63130
Net neutrality is key to using the internet as we know. It is important to ensure that commercial entities can't cherrypick the services and sites available and disallow freedom to roam the web as it was meant to be experienced.

7441. Geron Pendleton, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Don't fix what isn't broken. Quit the big government bullshit.

7442. Sev Law, florissant, MO, 63034
I support FULL AND TOTAL net neutrality and leaving ISP's under Title II supervision.

7443. Elizabeth Guyer, St Louis, MO, 63108
I support Net Neutrality because as a conscious consumer, supporting small businesses is important to me, and I fear that if big name tech companies and ISPs are able to control the Internet content I'm exposed to, I won't be able to access small business websites as easily or quickly as I can right now.

7444. Ethan Steen, Eldon, MO, 65026
stop greed please. I don't like filling out this every quarter

7445. Noel Field, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Please keep the Internet open. Net-neutrality is the best means for protecting the open nature of the Internet. Anti-net-neutrality is tantamount to censorship. Preventing net-neutrality will start us down the slippery slope toward censorship of the internet, which will in turn damage the United States ability to innovate and compete internationally.

7446. Brandon O'Haver, Sainte Genevieve, MO, 63670
We don't need any ISP to control what we do on the internet, whether it be to block sites they don't want us to be on, slow down our connection to sites they don't like, or make us pay extra for content they do like. That is why I stand by net neutrality.

7447. josh cullen, Lebanon, MO, 65536
Please keep net nutruality in its current state. Putting pay caps on information seems like an irrational step backwards especially in a country that is wanting to keep building it's future generations to be the smartest and most equipped that they can be. By throttling who can get information based on the income that they make you cut the legs out from anyone from a rural or inner city school who wants to learn the same information that someone from a private school would learn.

7448. Tyler Travers, Poplar Bluff, MO, 63901
Hello and thank you for taking the time to look at my comments. I sincerely urge you at the F.C.C. to stop those who wish to thwart the present net neutrality that we currently have. I think that further monetization of the internet by network providers is not only unnecessary, but would severely impact the creative and educative activities of millions of Americans. The American people want net neutrality.

7449. Matthew Charles, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I support the rights of Americans to utilize the Internet as they see fit. Internet providers should not be allowed to prioritize websites owned by them or alter search results for financial benefit. Changing current rules will lead to a few large providers monopolizing the content of the Internet, harming free thought and the exchange of ideas.

7450. Chris Grant, Jefferson City, MO, 63077
Removing net neutrality rules is suicide for the American economy. Without net neutrality protections many of the most innovative entrepreneurs on the internet will never be heard. People will be subjected to arbitrary limits and rules by their ISP's for nothing else than the ability of ISP's to make more money. This has been an issue for damned near 10 years at this point and it's getting really idiotic that we have to keep fighting this fight with everyone every few months. Keep the internet free! Removing net neutrality rules hurts literally everyone - libraries, schools, businesses, entrepreneurs, and most importantly the people.

7451. jonnie rome, Blue Speings, MO, 64014
This should not even be up to debate...

7452. Benjamin Hardy, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Open communication between all people across the world is more important than your stockholders.

7453. James Fruehwirth, St. Louis, MO, 63114
The internet should be free and not tampered with. It is fundamental in the freedom of individuals to find true information and ensure our other basic human rights. Also these days were employers only have their applications online, it is essential that the web be kept unbiased and free. Sincerely, James F.

7454. Samuel H Sudekum, Kansas City, MO, 64111
If restrictions are imposed that limit the access I have on the internet to information and content from different sources, I feel that my right to a free exchange of ideas with others will have been compromised.

7455. Tyler Matthews, Florissant, MO, 63031
I would like to save net neutrality because freedom of expression and speech and personally feel that having the potential for a business to eliminate any negative sources of news towards it online greatly limits the freedoms and publicly available knowledge that we have come to love and be dependent upon in the digital age.

7456. Cameron Smith, Kansas City, MO, 64133
We the consumers of the the most massive communications display the world has ever seen stand firm against any and all acts to restrict the usage of this amazing and massive service. The world-wide web, as it's known, has been and continues to be the best thing that the human race has come up with in terms of transferring data between systems and even effectively teaching our young learners things that the classroom may not have direct access to. Complicating an already over-monetized system with fees and hidden restrictions is not likely to gain anything, just cause undue complaints and concern.

7457. Broderick Barge, Rolla, MO, 67205
I fought for my country and once I got out of the military, it's been downhill from there. I'm embarrassed to call this country my home. USA is just a bunch of greedy corporations and greedy ass government. So freaking ridiculous about taking away Net Neutrality.

7458. Joseph Leland Bruce, Springfield, MO, 65802
ISP's should not have control over what "Internet" we use.

7459. Stephen Jefferson, Kansas City, MO, 64106
Getting rid of net neutrality is a straight shot at the first amendment and is going to take away peoples freedom of speech!

7460. Jason Reisz, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
Keep Net Neutrality because companies should not be able to control what information or services I use by whether they will give that service priority. Keep the rules as they already exist and do not allow companies the right to determine what I see and what I use.

7461. Jacoby Wynkoop, Rushville, MO, 64484
Please, don't.

7462. Carlos S Imperial, Saint Robert, MO, 65584
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Enforce Strong Net Neutrality!

7463. Paul Bute, Branson, MO, 65616
What we do in our homes, at work or even in public using private machines should be kept private.

7464. Nicholas Woods, Fenton, MO, 63026
We can't allow ISPs to determine directly or indirectly what we are and are not allowed to view on the internet. The internet needs to stay open and neutral.

7465. Wesley Adams, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
I support Net Neutrality, and firmly believe that it is a human right to have access to open internet, free from the bias and influence of corporations and other private interests.

7466. Chad Hall, Bolivar, MO, 65613
Net Neutrality is an important part of developing companies to provide them with protections against an ISP creating an unfair marketplace. New startups and entrepreneurs should not be penalized by ISPs that want to charge additional fees so a companies website is accessible to the same degree as all other companies.

7467. Daniel Abernathy, Pacific, MO, 63069
Allowing cable companies and telcos to pick winners and losers by throttling competitors, blocking sites, or asking for paid prioritization is bad for new business, bad for the economy, and bad for average Americans. Please keep net neutrality.

7468. Cori, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I want my internet free and not controlled by corporate scum! The information on the internet should be treated equal and freely out there just as the libraries do it. NET NEUTRALITY!!!!!! Don't make the internet boring corporate garbage.

7469. Noah A.D. Jackson, St. Louis, MO, 63080
To repeal Net Neutrality would be disastrous: The American people have enough monopolies as is, this bill protects businesses AND individuals; one should not have to pay to view certain websites. and small businesses should not be gated from ever starting because they are unable afford a premium so that consumers can view their website. The fact that we are debating this bill once more is ridiculous, the only groups that stand to benefit from repealing this bill, are those that will profit from restricting the internet.

7470. Shane McClure, St. Louis, MO, 63102
The internet needs to remain neutral. Big money runs enough of the world, leave the internet alone.

7471. Matt Goldammer, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
Net neutrality is essential to freedom of speech in our modern times as the internet is so vital for business, education, and leisure on a daily basis, Allowing telecommunications companies (especially those who also own content creation companies) to create "fast" and "slow" lanes of internet traffic that will shape the way the general public consumes information online is not acceptable in the United States.I strongly urge the FCC to treat internet access as a utility. The provider of that utility should have no say in what content is accessed by customers as long as the content itself is legal.

7472. Joshua Martin, Columbia, MO, 65201
I feel that the internet needs net neutrality so that people have accessibility to all websites and that no website is held down in favor of another. I'm against the idea of ISPs having the power to block or prioritize websites, or having the ability to slow internet connection to content inconvenient to their interestsEvery website has different intentions catered to different audiences, but everyone should be able to access them all the same under net neutrality. Thank you for reviewing this!

7473. Max Ridenhour, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
Please keep net neutrality. It is helping some of my favorite programs including startups into being a success.

7474. keith aaron robb, moberly, MO, 65270
net nuetrality is very good don't repeal it ya fucks

7475. Quinten Vaughan, Springfield, MO, 65807
Net Neutrality needs to remain a thing. I can't believe our government is even considering getting rid of it.

7476. Wolfgang Gaidis, Saint Louis, MO, 63105
Land of the free - I don't want them charging me more because I watch kinkier porn. Come on... please. It gets weird. Let me be free to watch the weirdest stuff on the internet without control. Let me be free to browse endless cat videos without extra charges. Let me be free to learn and to grow, and to jack off to what I damn well please. Wolfgang Gaidis

7477. Rochita Ghosh, Columbia, MO, 65203
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me, as a student, researcher and human being that strives to connect with others. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load

faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you.Rochita Ghosh

7478. Michael Williamson, Kansas City, MO, 64118
I want the internet to remain completely open to those who want to use it. I have to pay a bill every month so that I can use the internet. I do not want to pay other fees to access a website they deem fit to charge for. Everyone should have the ability to do what they want on the internet since they are paying for it. This would be a ridiculous decision for the FCC to pass and would cause nothing but anger and frustration. Please keep Net Neutrality,

7479. Zach Myers, Florissant, MO, 63031
Fundamentally, from what I understand, ending net neutrality would not only be limiting freedoms of the people of the United States, but would also be against the one of the fundamental principals of our nation, the pursuit of happiness. Is it not every person's right to pursue happiness? If you all slow or block websites owned by people trying to make a living are you not blocking their right to purse happiness? Let alone people who are attempting to access sites for their own recreation or enjoyment.

7480. Courtney Mangone, Lee's Summit, MO, 64064
Keep our internet open and free! Keep net neutrality!

7481. Cody Smith, crystal city, MO, 63019
Net Neutrality needs to remain in effect for fair use of an internet that is supposed to be for everyone not just for big business.

7482. Jason plumb, silex, MO, 63377
keep the internet free from privatized control!

7483. Mitchell Marlow, Fenton, MO, 63026
Net Neutrality must stay. The Restoring Internet Freedom Act is dishonest and does the the literal opposite of what it claims to do.

7484. bryce, Washington, MO, 63090
Keep the net neutral! Quit letting big corporate entities buy you.

7485. Leah Tyndall, Valley Park, MO, 63088
The internet must remain free. It is one of the few things that truly belongs to everyone, for better or for worse. If we hand it over to ISPs it will be like the monopoly of the phone company all over again. Small businesses and non profits like the one I work for could lose their internet presence and in this day and age that could be a killing blow. Keep net neutrality, keep the internet available and open to everyone.

7486. Ean Broussard, Springfield, MO, 65802
Hi there, my name is Ean. I'm a politically passionate young person of 22 years of age, and my interest in net neutrality has been piqued. As someone of my age, the internet has been a large part of my life, from classes in elementary school, to dial-up at home, to high speed access I enjoy today on my home PC and mobile phone. It is a core part of my life I care about deeply. I can communicate with loved ones, apply for jobs, order food, but also indulge in entertainment like Netflix and hell, as an adult, even adult entertainment. Thing is, with the way things are going, I might not be able to have access to the things I want or even need. As someone who is questioning who they are, both just metaphysically and in regards to gender, touchy subjects like that generally aren't on the most accessible parts of the internet. The idea that a company could arbitrarily decide to slow my connection to smaller sites in favor of larger ones, or outright block them for any reason is simply... it's a bit infuriating, really. Heck, one way I'm trying to move towards making money is through providing services on the internet, whether it's selling my art or creating entertaining videos or live streams, any slowing of my internet from what I pay for because they simply can is ridiculous. And where I live, I don't really have a ton of choices for ISPs, so if one decides to arbitrarily deny my access to parts of the internet I'd wish to access, there's not a ton of options for alternatives.I don't want the ISPs to do whatever they wish to my access to a service that connects the world, I want someone to be able to tell them to knock it off when they try to deny access to basically anything on the internet. Like, sure, people should be able to have their privacy, but that's different from being told I can't look at a competing ISP's information on my current ISP's internet.

7487. Nathan Finder, St. Louis, MO, 63110
The internet is a utility and should remain free and open. Free and unrestricted access to information and knowledge levels the playing field in our society.

7488. Feher Dabbagh, Raytown, MO, 64138
I'm today's day and age the importance of a free, open, and neutral Internet is more paramount then ever before. An Internet that isn't free, open, and neutral isn't consumer friendly, only helps monopolies, and ruins the diversity of web. I support a neutral Internet, and my votes will ALWAYS support it too.

7489. Matt Pace, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
Please keep net neutrality in place. This new "light touch" idea is only beneficial to ISPs and the mega-rich, and claiming it will help innovation is BULLSHIT.

7490. William Campagna, Saint Louis, MO, 63114
The Internet is now essential in our daily lives, relied upon for our phones, tablets, computers and televisions. We pay our ISP's (mine is Charter/Spectrum) and mobile providers (mine is Sprint) for unbridled service, and they make a more than reasonable profit from us. For our money we expect, deserve, demand unbridled access, not curated access. We must continue to have connections open to choice--our choice--of what services and websites we use/visit, without throttles, blocking, paid prioritization or other interferences--whether in our homes or on our devices. We expect the FCC to continue to safeguard Net Neutrality.

7491. Nate Pruessner, Kansas City, MO, 64119
The internet is one of the most impact, most life-changing invention in a very long time. We have to do this right.

7492. 1, St. Louis, MO, 63116
Don't destroy the net neutrality rules. It's unfair to competition. It messes up those market forces you trust so much.

7493. Joshua Hays, washington, MO, 63090
SAVE net neutrality. It isnt fair to pay extra for websites we should have freedom browsing

7494. Frances Perkins, Memphis, MO, 63555
Net neutrality is one of the few things standing between peace and war in this country. If you let the goddamn corporations take EVERYTHING away from us, you'll see blood in the streets. I'm in my 70's and can't participate, but I've lived long enough to warn you to rein in your greed before it kills everyone, including me!Frances Perkins

7495. Shayne Reber, Kansas City, MO, 64116
Keep the internet free. It is how the founders of the internet intended it, so please have the same respect for it's founders as you do for our founding fathers. The airwaves have already been taken away and we have been forced into purchasing television, or purchasing equipment to even watch what there is, KEEP IT FREE!

7496. David Lamb, Liberty, MO, 64068
The Internet is something that needs to be free and unblocked at all times

7497. Sam Mayne, El Dorado Springs, MO, 64744
The internet is the way that most people consume content and inform themselves. Allowing companies to control how people do this gives them an unreasonable amount of control over the information that people receive.

7498. Mike, Lees Summit, MO, 64086
Throttling the internet, requiring to pay (beyond an ISP) to use the internet? This ISN'T why the internet was created. You know it. I know it. Everyone knows it. Don't be power hungry. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!

7499. Sean Hampton, Kansas City, MO, 64108
There should always be access to the internet with out oversight and levels of access.

7500. Vivianne Purvis, Saint Joseph, MO, 64503
Being able to access the Internet freely should be an inherit human right, however it is been made clear that the FCC does not see it this way. Net neutrality is something that should not be able to be taken away by anyone. Firstly, imagine the future for minors on the Internet. It seems as though more and more minors are not growing up in loving homes, however, the Internet can provide a secondary family to these kids if they don't have any close friends. The Internet is also a place where you can openly discuss your problems, without fear of anyone you know personally judging you. The Internet has become a safe haven for many depressed and suicidal teens. However, if anti net neutrality laws are put in place, those same teenagers might not have anywhere to go so they can voice their opinions. Secondly, what about the people with small businesses who are built upon the Internet? Someone who runs an Etsy page, or even their own independent website, might go out of business if strict anti net neutrality laws are put into place. Since it's so hard to get a job in America, these people might go homeless. I hope you listen to me and the countless others who are concerned about net neutrality. It's a very important issue not to be taken lightly.

7501. Jessica Jawor, St. Louis, MO, 63134
I stand with net neutrality and I am against the dismantaling of it.

7502. Josh Shisslak, Riverside, MO, 64150
Net neutrality is extremely important. This is a form of censorship and the internet is not about censorship, it's about free speech and choice. This is an unacceptable change we do not welcome.

7503. Brock Barton, Molly Barton, Hamilton, MO, 64644
Internet access should be free across all sites, there should be no throttling or other preference given to certain sites in exchange for money.

7504. Raquel Triplitt, BLUE SPRINGS, MO, 64014
Please leave the internet alone. I already pay my internet bill and my subscription fees, I do not need an additional charge for what I am already paying for.

7505. Stephen Schaefer, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
The country has already spoken on Net Neutrality, this blatant attempt to shove this abuseable mess back in our faces will not be tolerated. This does nothing but support and fill the pockets of ISPs who are always looking for more ways to throttle, nickle and dime their customers. Its extortion against website owners and the free internet, forcing people to pay these greedy corporations more money to no doubt keep their websites from being unbearably slow.Any good intentions aside, this opens the door for unprecedented and

unchecked abuse from corporations against their consumers. Please stop this nonsense.

7506. Kyle Downs, Kansas City, MO, 64106
Ending Net Neutrality opens up a lot of potential for abuse by large companies and ISP's. America is the tech capital of the world right now; surely that hold a lot more value than lining the pockets of infrastructure giants even further.

7507. John Pierce, Independence, MO, 64050
Getting rid of Net Neutrality, would literally allow any cable company to not only restrict data speeds or web sites that didn't pay more to get priority access. But it would also drive up the cost of internet for Consumers and small business alike, therefor causing many to lose the service to conduct any kind of business, or communicate with jobs, family, or any number of scenarios

7508. Kyle Speck, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
Please think about when the internet was first adopted and the user's (like myself) that first began to use it. We were merely interested in how amazing the technology was and wanted to learn more about it. Thanks to this technology it has spawned an almost innumerable amount of creativity and commerce bringing together like minded individuals, companies, and governments alike. The limiting of this technology is not just an inconvenience to an individual consumer as it would appear on paper but rather would potentially starve the world of some of the greatest minds on the planet, the "no bodies" of the world. Please do not allow the muffling of one of the greatest discoveries of my generation, for which I am proud to be considered a part of.

7509. Nick Walker, Columbia, MO, 65202
Do not allow Net Neutrality to be undone! Where I live in the United States it is already a struggle to find a fair internet service provider, and the removal of Net Neutrality would destroy any hopes we have of receiving any quality to our service. Save the small businesses, startup companies and consumers the discrimination and expense of subjugation under capitalist corporate titans.

7510. Cody Mayhak, Platte City, MO, 64079
The internet should not be pay-to-play

7511. JOHN THORWEGEN, ST LOUIS, MO, 63101
BUSINESS FOR PROFIT HAS NO RIGHT TO CONTROL WHAT THEY DO NOT OWN

7512. eleanor hasenbeck, Columbia, MO, 65201
I urge the FCC to maintain STRONG net neutrality regulations.

7513. Brandon Barnes, Springfield, MO, 65807
I strongly believe in net neutrality because it allows people the freedom they deserve on the internet. I don't believe the government should have control over what we see or access. This could close the door on many small online businesses if the government decides to get rid of net neutrality. Having access to the many things the internet offers helps me with connecting with friends. Its also very important to me because I do a lot of research for nursing school as I am studying to become a nurse.

7514. Donald Ewart, St. Louis, MO, 63126
I use programs like Skype and Discord to communicate with my friends across the country and across the globe on a daily basis. Net neutrality allows me the freedom to talk and play games with them. It would be devastating to my friends and myself if net neutrality was rolled back.

7515. Ian Tighe, St. Louis, MO, 63126
Net neutrality is fundamental for the internet to remain affordable. Do not get rid of it.

7516. Brandon, Granby, MO, 64844
I think it is absolutely absurd that a simple thing in this country such as the internet has to be taken and politicized like it is heading towards. Why can't politicians leave crap alone? Ever heard the "if it ain't broke, don't fix it?" This shit's not broke, keep your filthy corrupted hands off of it. Do something useful in office for once instead of trying to screw up more shit for everyone in this country trying to live a halfway decent life.

7517. NEt netrality, kansas city, MO, 64154
I demand an open and free internet.

7518. Jonathan Estes, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
I strongly support strong Net Neutrality laws and Title II over ISPs. If that is too difficult to interpret then here is the similar form. Do not repeal anything to screw with our internet. We are not idiots, we know that this would be a terrible idea and no one wants this outside of companies who are like at the line waiting for starter pistol to go off.

7519. teddie schweiger, Festus, MO, 63028
Please keep the net open to competition. Please.

7520. Jacob Cathers, Saint Louis, MO, 63122
Your attempts on banishing net-neutrality is an infringement on American rights. Doing such will surely cause a large physical and cyber revolt. I hope you've realized what you were attempting from not only the number of comments, but the amount of people willing to stand up for their basic rights as Humans. You may not read this, but if you are and have, stand down. With ISPs having the power you are henceforth attempting to give, you are a creating a sense of monopoly over the internet. The only time businesses won't suffer is if they give a form of "tribute" to an ISP. Not only is this illegal, but it infringes on their rights as a business, shown in United States v. Aluminum Co. of America (2d Cir. 1945). Yes, it is not a monopoly in the fashion that it was nearly a century ago, but the practical sense of holding back smaller companies because you want money is a form of monopoly and business oppression. I, as a citizen of the

United States of America, will not stand for these "laws". You will have a fight on your hands if this passes. [http://law.jrank.org/pages/22773/Monopolies-Antitrust-Law-Monopoly-Cases.html#ixzz4mfBdVipP]

7521. Rozell Patton, Florissant, MO, 63031
Net neutrality is important because unbiased access to any and all information on the internet should be a right. I am defending net neutrality because it feels like an extension of defending people's right to free speech! By allowing Telcos the ability to regulate what is accessible on their services you would in essence be allowing them to alienate our rights to " life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness." Small businesses would crumble with no way to reach millions of potential customers; journalists and bloggers would be cut off from providing news to their audiences; online entertainment industries would at the mercy of ISPs who would have no problem denying millions of people the ability to enjoy their products. Please do not leave the fate of the internet in the hands of those who would destroy our Freedoms just to make a profit.

7522. Tess Harmon, Springfield, MO, 65807
Net neutrality is a vital part of allowing small business owners to flourish despite the competition of large scale corporations. Without it, there would be no way for these people to sell their goods on a nationwide scale and would be reduced to simple storefronts.Additionally, like many college students, I personally rely on net neutrality to keep in touch with family and friends back home. My dad and I Skype call at least once a week, and my friends and I keep up with each other on social media on a daily basis. To limit access to the internet is to limit information to the public. People rely on this to communicate with others about their lives, about the world, about everything. This type of networking is what ultimately allows us to bring together perspectives from across the globe. We need to be able to communicate with the rest of the world if we want to continue to be a thriving nation.

7523. Jonathan Johns, Sullivan, MO, 63080
Keep Net Neutrality! I want my internet freedom!

7524. Ryan Cole, Lathrop, MO, 64465
Greetings,I feel it is incredibly important I take a few minutes to express my thoughts and support for Net Neutrality and Open Internet. Where I live, I have only one option for internet access. Not only does the lack of competition lead higher prices for slower access, I am forced to pay for a landline phone service. This alone directly contradicts the message from many ISP that this should not be regulated alongside telecommunications. In addition to the forced bundling, my ISP classifies their services (6MB/1MB & 15MB/3MB) as "high speed broadband" despite being less than half of the minimum FCC requirements for this classification (25MB/3MB). This violation is clear that the ISP's do not take serious the weak regulations already in place.The ISP's have shown great disregard and abuse to date (e.g. Grants given to improve the network used further their profits w/o any investment in the infrastructure). Their existing behavior should make it very clear that ISP's should never be given additional authority to deteriorate our nations internet access.Regards,Ryan Cole

7525. Dean Farris, Belton, MO, 64012
The internet today is better then ever in terms of speed and reliability. It is not, as Chairman Pia says, a great example of free market and competitive success. The internet was something of a free market success back when it could be had for $5.00 a month. In terms of price competition Ma Bell has has become Ma Barker. Comcast and Version will not be outdone by Ma Barker. Current prices are a reflection of monopoly markets as anyone with a frame of reference knows. The lifeline program is just a transparent fig leaf to cover the obscenities of monopolists and oligopolists. Monopoly pricing is also a primary reason the US ranks so low in international internet comparisons. The new FCC chair says that title 47 telephone legislation is outdated depression era law unfit to regulate (the cancer cell like) growth of the internet biggies. If the depression era banking laws were still in place the great depression of 2008 would not have happened, and the public wouldnâ€™t be plagued with monopoly internet pricing.Designating and regulating the internet as an information service was wrong, and a cave-in to telecom biggies who were in a position to impose monopoly pricing and oligopolistic control.If the internet is to remain the information super highway it promised the public it would be, it must first be a electronic telecommunications utility or the Telcos and ISPâ€™s will turn it into something like the failing Pay TV industry, where you can see as many web sites and commercials as you want as long as the Telcos & ISPâ€™s get to choose the sites. Yes it will get that bad. Otherwise they wouldnâ€™t be spending the big bucks, and telling the big lies they tell to get rid of Net neutrality and the Internet Conduct Standard.

7526. Nick Wesner, New Haven, MO, 63068
The internet as it is works well. It does not need anymore corporate influence than already exists. To do so would be a perversion of what the internet has been about since its conception.

7527. Sean Dowell, St. Louis, MO, 63126
I want to keep the net neutrality laws so we have an internet that is open and free so I can access all websites equally. I have almost no choice in ISP and I don't want them controlling in anyway what I can and can't access. These companies make enough money and have enough control over our lives as it is. They don't need more, they need to be required to treat the entire internet and its users equally. We need to do things to break up these monopolies, not enable them and give them more power. We need to do things to create more ISPs and have competition between.Equal access to everything is what makes the internet so great. I don't want to go back to the walled in world of things like AOL. That style internet was terrible in its day and is would be worse now.All I want is simple/reliable/fast/secure access to the internet that treats everything fairly. When I signed up with the only ISP in town that offers decent speed that's what I thought I was getting. If net neutrality goes away so does what I want from my internet access.I have almost no choice in ISP. This has resulted in dramatic price increases for the same service. In the 4 years I've been with my ISP the price has increase by over 100%. I'm not getting 100% or more raises, why should they? I'd switch to another ISP but there is no where to go that even comes close to the speed offered by my current ISP. Some cities have services like Google fiber or Sonic that offer Gigabit speeds and good privacy for their users. I have neither of those offers where I live and I don't see that changing soon.The FCC needs to work to break up monopolies like Spectrum and Comcast, some of the most hated companies in America, and encourage competition, the formation of new ISP, faster speeds, greater privacy, nation wide fiber infrastructure, and remove laws that give companies monopolies and prevent competitors from coming into their markets.

7528. Karyn Pidgeon, St. Louis, MO, 63139
We live in a free society. There is no reason you shouldn't maintain Net Neutrality for the internet. I appreciate free and open access to the internet.

7529. Shannon Bobryk, Columbia, MO, 65203
Net neutrality is imperative to a free and open internet. As an educator and a scientist, I value having fair, reliable, and timely access to all the resources the internet makes possible. Access to the internet is an open door to learning and exploration. I feel that my ability to find and access resources important to my professional and personal life will be detrimentally impacted if service providers were allowed to favor specific sites. Please maintain net neutrality.

7530. Matt Sauer, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Please, do not get rid of net neutrality. I really enjoy having my small website not getting throttled or having others being prioritized on top of it. If you allow this, you're going to cause detrimental effects to the freedom of information sharing many of us have some to enjoy.

7531. Lynea Bull, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
Overall, I've been greatly disappointed in the failure of the FCC to protect American citizens from being exploited by ISPs. The FCC has allowed ISPs to force american consumers to buy their products so that they can further control and limit internet access all while profiting from our inconvenience. To roll back Net Neutrality would be just another step in this very concerning and disappoint direction. The internet, since its advent, has been a source of education/information and incredible experiences that generations before us couldn't even imagine. Loss of net neutrality would impede scholars and curious minds from learning and the general public will be thrown into an age of ignorance where being uninformed will be the norm. The people most affected by this will be those of low socioeconomic status--those who cannot afford the impending price hikes--those who are most disadvantaged and arguably need the ability to stay connected and informed. I strongly discourage this attack on the collaborative community we've built around net neutrality and free internet. It's an attack on knowledge, learning, and intelligence. Furthermore, to roll back Net Neutrality would be to disgrace to the very essence of what the internet was intended to be.

7532. Nick Trabue, Columbia, MO, 65202
Keep my internet neutral. ISPs don't need anymore power than they have and the internet was created to be open. Don't hurt small businesses because ISPs are selfish.

7533. Carlos Navarrete, St. Louis, MO, 63129
I believe Net Neutrality should remain so that small businesses and start-up companies can remain on an even "playing-field" against larger companies, and to futher promote the advancement of innovation. Should Net Neutrality be dismissed, many larger companies will take advantage of internet speeds and delay innovation.

7534. Julia Strandberg, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
I support the classification of ISPs under Title II. I use the internet on a daily basis for personal and business purposes in the same ways I would have used phone lines 20 years ago. Services made available to me through the internet are indispensable in my personal, financial, and professional lives. I pay my provider for this privilege already--they should not be allowed to sacrifice my well-being as a customer to extort businesses attempting to provide these services to me for additional money, when those businesses already pay for their own internet access.

7535. Riley Miller, Farmington, MO, 63640
I fight for net neutrality my dude betta not take it away my guy u know homie dude

7536. Chris Lent, St. Ann, MO, 63074
DONT CENSOR US

7537. Cat Fisher, Arnold, MO, 63010
Taking away our internet access restricts our freedoms and will only cause an upset that might not be remedied.

7538. Jonathan Tharp, Grandview, MO, 64030
The reason an open and free internet is important to me and my loved ones is because without such a thing we would not have the ability to communicate through applications like Facebook or Skype, I frequently use Discord to talk to my friends and family while we play games on PC like Overwatch and Final Fantasy. I've even gotten back in touch with close friends because of posting on Facebook about streaming live on Twitch.tv, which itself is an immensely interactive community that does great things for charity and great things for the gaming community of the age of a free and open internet. Please don't take away something that gives us that which this lands principles are founded upon and that which we have and will continue to fight for so feverishly, FREEDOM. Thank you, I sincerely hope you read this with a person behind the words in mind and nothing more.Jonathan

7539. Evan Monjay, Warrenton, MO, 63383
The FCC's ruling to remove Net Neutrality law is anti-consumer, and also anti-business. In a perfect world, we would not need such laws, as consumer preference would be the deciding factor for if things like high speed internet lanes and unequal speed distribution would stand. We do not live in such a world, as ISP companies have staged duopolies across the US to stifle innovation and business. In the small rural town in which I live, there are only two choices in our area for internet. Two choices means that these two can do as they please because they are all we have to pick from. This is why we need net neutrality. We need it to prevent big internet from taking their monopolistic efforts a step further. A better idea than removing our one consumer protection would be to fight the thing that is making us need those protections.

7540. James Gallagher Jr, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
I want access to the entire internet - free of paid prioritization, blocking and throttling. There is only one internet. If you want to add

these rules and/or regulations their should be two different versions of the internet and consumers should have the choice of which they prefer. Keep the internet free and open!!!

7541. David S McDonald, kansas city, MO, 64119
We do not need companies determining what we can and can not do as citizens.

7542. Taylor Straight, Kansas City, MO, 64116
The internet needs to remain free and fair. Net neutrality is so very important to our freedoms as Americans!

7543. Kyle Coplin, Pevely, MO, 63070
I, like many other Americans, use the internet everyday for my basic needs. Talking to friends, playing games, doing work, et cetera. I've been a user of the internet for over 10 years, starting with a Windows XP PC I got in 2007. Net neutrality is fundamental to the web-browsing of average Americans. Without net neutrality, ISP's can get access to functions that should only be allowed by the user of a personal computer. That's unacceptable. Keeping net neutrality allows consumers of the internet and it's services to not be held ransom at the powers of ISP's. And getting rid of it is like telling the millions of Americans who use the web everyday that the government isn't trying to help them anymore."Rolling back Title II is a massive corporate handout that will line the pockets of Comcast and AT&T, while doing nothing for the average American." - Nilay Patel, The VergeAjit Pai, stop trying to appeal to companies and appeal to the people instead.

7544. Jillian, Cameron, MO, 64429
So start ups like Discord can stand a chance

7545. Tucker j Tillis, Branson, MO, 65616
the internet is already great! dont fuck it up! Tucker j Tillis

7546. George Aschenbrenner, sedalia, MO, 65301
My biggest concern with losing net neutrality is the loss of the small individual web developers. There are tons of awesome programs and services created by small groups that are free or low cost. Without net neutrality, you are slamming the door shut on them because they won't have access to the resources to build and improve what they have already built. By turning your back on net neutrality, you are turning your back on our small business culture and our economy as a whole.

7547. Lindzey Marie Jent, St. Louis, MO, 63119
As someone who runs an online store and makes content for sites like youtube, I must ask you to not remove Net Neutrality. Doing so would not only make it impossible for people like me to keep their online businesses running, you would also make it next to impossible for young artists and creators to start their own online career. So please, keep Net Neutrality alive.

7548. Gerardo A Navas Morales, Creve Coeur, MO, 63141
The internet is a vital tool for most of the people I know. We use it to find and perform jobs, communicate quickly in today's incredibly fast-paced world, and enjoy others' company. I do not wish to see my ability to do any of these things, especially finding a job, hampered by some ISP's ability to control how and when I'm able to use the internet.Thank you for your assistance in supporting a free and open internet for everyone to take part in.

7549. Jeremy Prine, Springfield, MO, 65804
As an avid internet user, and one whose profession depends on a free, open, universally fast internet, I wholeheartedly support net neutrality, and believe that the existing net neutrality rules should be upheld.The internet is now the primary form of communication worldwide, and businesses should not be able to limit, prioritize, censor, or hide global communication -- not even behind a paywall. To do so would segment society, effectively giving those who can pay a stronger voice over those who cannot.

7550. Janice Gualdoni, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
Net neutrality is imperative to innovation and communication, research and entertainment. The internet has become an essential utility. We cannot dare to trust in fairmindedness of corporate entities to provide appropriate access levels for all internet users.

7551. Callie, Springfield, MO, 65803
No!Callie

7552. Will Lamm, Columbia, MO, 65202
Net neutrality is important and needs to stay

7553. FCC, Festus, MO, 63028
Thanks to net neutrality, I was able to complete many forms and projects during college and figure out what I wanted my career to be. With the threat of its removal, it also threatens my career path and may cause irreversible damage to many other ways the internet has impacted my life. I would like to keep net neutrality so that I may continue my interests without fear that they would be in danger.

7554. Benjamin Lewis Sawyer, St. Louis, MO, 63139
Don't let your wallets put you on the wrong side of history. Stand up for what's right.

7555. Skye Tackkett, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Rolling back net neutrality only benefits companies, not people. Protecting internet users, the right thing to do, means keeping net neutrality in place.

7556. Justin Suits, Kansas City, MO, 64137
Net neutrality is key to the way the world experiences the web. This is America! Don't touch our stuff! The internet is a free and open

space for the world to create, ideate, share, and communicate. It should remain that way for everyone. There should be no prioritized bandwidth or any of that garbage. Equal opportunity for all websites.

7557. net neutrality, Lake St Louis, MO, 63367
I strongly support net neutrality to the utmost degree.

7558. Nick Beffa, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
Net Neutrality should be kept so that start ups have a chance and so internet providers cannot have complete control over certain websites, or block others.

7559. camjam, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I do not know who is lobbying this but the FCC is an extension of the government and the government is an extension of the people will net neutrality is fundamental

7560. Steven Watkins, Sikeston, MO, 63801
I do not and believe I should not have my ISP decide, what type of business or service I should use when surfing the web. The internet should stay impartial to all and be solely prioritized by the consumer. The argument that one can just change to another ISP is preposterous. We have Spectrum here and if you want so-called high speed here, that is who you must use. There are plenty of Ads/Commercials before and after every video or product you buy now already.

7561. Tony Garcia, Springfield, MO, 65807
The new technology age demands access to the entire internet. NO paid prioritization. NO blocking. NO throttling.This will help future companies start and thrive. To prevent new option and opportunities only pushes us backwards.The customer should choose which website and apps are best, not ISPs.There is only one internet so this should apply to all connected to the internet. Home or Mobile.

7562. Brieya Eighmy, Springfield, MO, 65616
My name is Brieya, I am a proud American, and I support an open internet. Though I do not own a business, I am a consumer. I watch Netflix, buy from Amazon, and use Google to help me find local companies and restaurants to use my money towards. I go to college and have to upload my essays via the internet to keep up my 3.0 GPA and earn a degree in Software Development. I am happily able to access the internet on my college campus and through my apartment package, I wouldn't be able to do so if we didn't have an open and free internet.

7563. Net Neutrality, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
I stand for Net Neutrality. Killing Title II will allow ISP's to control what content we get access to and how quickly we get to access it. No internet provider should have that power. Keep the internet free and open. Millions demand just that. Do the right thing for consumers and all businesses alike: keep Net Neutrality.

7564. Aaron Link, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Here we are again, several years older. The same companies are greasing the same palms to capitalize on the same thing. If it isn't making money for you, you try to put a stop to it or you try to control it. Perhaps if your companies weren't so awful and your politicians weren't so corrupt -- people wouldn't hate you so much. Too bad you can't take it with you and you're all worthless.

7565. Daniel Kick, Columbia, MO, 65202
Dear reader,I am concerned by the potential loss of net neutrality for several reasons. To be brief I will focus on two. If I enter a library to check out a book, I don't want a librarian to have hidden the book or hinder me in finding it. Likewise, I don't want a company to be able to try to influence what I read online becase of financial incentives or the beliefs of the company's ceo. While the loss of net neutrality doesn't guarantee this sort of behavior, it does remove protection against it. Second, while some might suggest that if the business practices of an ISP are disagreeable then people will switch, eventually leaving only those behave in accordance with the publics values (e.g. privacy, competitve pricing, etc.) As stated in an FCC report from april of this year, 58% of Americans have access to 0 or 1 ISP. If there's only one game in town, then deregulation only opens people up to harm, not benefit. I hope the reader will consider supporting net neutrality to put the benefit to citizens ahead of the benefit to company's profits. Thank you for your time,Daniel

7566. Logan Corzine, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
I understand that the freedom of the internet is at stake, and I wish to defend it. There are millions upon millions of people who use the internet, and each one of them values the freedom that is given to them with net neutrality. Allowing providers to filter what people are able to view would not only allow everyone's internet experience to be ruined, but could also allow freedoms guaranteed by the law to be violated. Allowing the removal of net neutrality would only cause disaster. Please maintain this wonderful freedom that the internet has had from the beginning.

7567. Jeff Stevenson, Pleasant Hill, MO, 64080
I fully support the current process of retaining regulation of ISPs under Title II and do not want any changes made to the current net neutrality rules. Please override the current commissioner's position to relax the standards.

7568. Braxton Story, Sikeston, MO, 63801
Please do not repeal the decision to classify internet access as a class II utility, do not give broadband corporations the power to throttle and effectively control our internet viewing privileges. The internet should remain free!

7569. Kevin Burgess, Fenton, MO, 63026
The Internet needs to remain open and free of corporate meddling. The US already has poor access to high speed internet and allowing ISP's greater control over what I can view or the ability to throttle certain websites is absurd. I might as well move to China.

7570. Ashley Simmons, Maplewood, MO, 63143

My name is Ashley Simmons and I grew up in a small farming town in Missouri. The internet has helped me in countless ways. Easy access to educational materials as well as finding people I could relate to outside of my small town drastically changed my life for the better, and I owe it all to equal access to the internet. Getting rid of Net Neutrality benefits a small amount of very large and very heartless companies as well as takes away precious resources from individuals that cannot afford them. Please help the human race continue to move forward rather than take frustrating steps backward for the sake of lining the pockets of empathy-less companies. Thank you,Ashley

7571. Rajai Nelson, Kansas City, MO, 64131
The internet is a myriad of things, and among them is an alternative to trouble. It's an outlet for many youth. The internet is a source of education, entertainment, and inspiration. Net Neutrality has helped to ensure that all users of the internet are able to benefit wholly from its values. Enabling corporations/ISPs to control the internet and the traffic/flow of its various sites would cripple this greatly, increasing the likelihood that children/young adults will turn to other, less lucrative, activities. This is unacceptable, and definitely not preferred. Please, do not dispose of Net Neutrality. The Internet is a great thing, and continuing to encourage safe use of the internet without crippling the benefits of it should be considered a priority.

7572. Utkal Patel, Springfield, MO, 65608
No brainer here. Keep internet out of corporate greed

7573. Jesse Fuller, La Plata, MO, 63549
In our area there is only one ISP to my address as it is that claims to be "broadband". This company is Centurylink. When we lived in town we had a whopping 10 MBPS which on the average was actually 8-9 MBPS. Then we moved less than two miles from that residence just outside of town, transferred our service to the new address, with the understanding that we'd only get 7 MBPS there, but still be charged the same amount. Due to some financial difficulties, our service was disconnected in Feb. 2017. Earlier this month we had just got back to the point where we could get the service turned back on, only to discover that Centurylink in our area was under a "bandwidth exhaust" and would not reconnect us. Customer Service rep. informed me that they could put me on a list, and if enough people end up on this list as interested in their service, the company would consider expanding/updating their infrastructure. Centurylink is considered the primary ISP for our area. They provide 60 percent of the area's internet services, yet they are too cheap to invest in infrastructure to expand said service to new customers. I told the Customer Service rep. to go ahead and put me on the list, because what choice do I have but to wait and hope that sooner rather than later they'll upgrade their infrastructure and allow me to "buy" their services again, should I be so fortunate. Yeah, right....

7574. Katherine Rill, St. Louis, MO, 63122
Net neutrality is essential to the free spread of information on the web. By taking away net neutrality rules you are inviting companies to censor the information by restricting access or through extortion. Please do not in any way weaken net neutrality rules.

7575. Chris Christman, Bethany, MO, 64424
Please protect the current Open Internet rules and keep net neutrality. We can't allow internet service providers to control who gets to access what information online. Companies have already stated that if the rules weren't in place they would be offering tiered access to the internet already, so it's not paranoia. And Net Neutrality does not negatively impact any of these companies. Verizon Executive VP and CFO Francis J. Shammo stated in a call to investors "I mean, to be real clear, I mean, this does not, this does not influence the way we invest. I mean, we're going to continue to invest in our networks and our platforms, both in wireless and wireline fios and where we need to. So nothing will influence that." So either the companies are lying to the public when they say Net Neutrality hurts their business, or their lying to their investors when they say it's not damaging to them at all. Either way, I don't think these are the people that should be the gatekeepers of the internet.

7576. Douglas Jenkins, Independence, MO, 64052
Yo what the shit?Douglas Jenkins

7577. Tracy Spies, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
I want the FCC to protect Net Neutrality. That is to say, I am completely opposed to any ISP limiting or manipulating what I am able to see or the speed at which I can see it on the internet. Ending net neutrality is an interference in Americans' right to freely and equally access information on the internet platform.

7578. Megan McCully, Dardenne Prairie, MO, 63368
FCC, please, uphold Net Neutrality, do NOT abolish it. Not only is Net Neutrality currently classified under Title II designation, classified as a utility that can only be charged for the resource, not charged extra for what this utility is used for, but overturning Net Neutrality would provide NO benefit to the people as well as blatantly flying in the face of our Freedom of Speech. All this would allow is for ISP giants to strangle their competition, censor websites, and favor their business partners over others. An example being Spectrum (formerly Time Warner) has a video streaming service that is in direct competition with monumentally popular services such as Netflix and Hulu. Overturning Net Neutrality would give them the ability to slow the websites of their competition to the point of being entirely unusable or to charge people more money to use a service they are not partnered with, only for the sake of making more money. This is tantamount to monopoly, and cannot stand. Abolishing Net Neutrality, at its absolute worst, would grind America's future to a halt and limit the potential of our citizens. There are enough walls, economic barriers, and monetary restrictions that divide us. What we are blessed with in this day and age is the exchange of knowledge, ideas, and opinions freely, at our fingertips. If ISPs were able to restrict access or charge us MORE to visit the websites of their competition, it only hurts our citizens in their ability to share their ideas with a wider audience, learn new skills, expose their minds to a new viewpoint, acquire knowledge, sell their products. Our arts, technology, and all scholarly pursuits will fall behind those of other countries if we have ISPs censoring what should be, and is currently classified, as a utility. How else will we be able to advance our technologies, our current systems, expand our realms of science, engineering, medicine, the exchange of the arts, the written word, if we cannot freely communicate or freely explore these topics? We CANNOT only allow our people to see only one view (those the ISPs would force us to see), we can only learn and benefit from seeing all sides of a spectrum. Please uphold Net Neutrality, and allow our citizens our continued freedom to learn and share all we can and

keep our country growing and advancing.

7579. Donald Hartley, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
Title II protections are the only existing defense against corporate misconduct regarding equal access to all Internet users. The right thing to do is not always easy, and does not always promote business first, but the role of the government and its agencies is to act in the interest of the public. In this case the public's interest is access to the internet free from corporate impediment.

7580. Joel DeLong, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Can't we just have one good thing in this world that doesn't get ruined?

7581. Ryan Eads, Kansas City, MO, 64116
I owe a lot of who I am, and what I do, to the free web.The internet can be a place for growth and learning. The free web has been an invaluable supplier of knowledge, mentors, and resources to help me do the things I love, ever since I was a kid.Protect that growth, and that potential.

7582. John Flavin, Creve Coeur, MO, 63141
Please keep the internet a place of freedom.

7583. Matthew Naes, O Fallon, MO, 63368
Please save net neutrality! Don't let the FCC screw the people. The people you are sworn to serve. Please!Matthew Naes

7584. Jasmine Thai, Kansas City, MO, 64116
I like the internet as it is. America is the land of the free, but what you're trying to do is restrict one of our freedoms. Are you really doing this because of petty reasons like other people badmouthing you? Grow up and move forward. If you do a good job, there'll be nothing to badmouth about

7585. Brandon Kriss, O'fallon, MO, 63368
It's our internet, keep it fair for everybody.

7586. Gabe Kedge, Florissant, MO, 63033
The internet needs net neutrality to be able to function as a public service.

7587. Tre Kym, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
isnt this like the third time this has tried to go through with it getting worse each time what makes you think we want this now?

7588. Ryan McChesney, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
As a gamer and college student, I want to say that net neutrality is important. It's not just important to me, but everyone, including everyone in the agency who uses video streaming, internet browsing, email, and gaming. Do not remove these laws that are in place to keep the internet healthy. The internet laws we have are the internet version of the Sherman Antitrust Act. Don't be a bad agency and allow monopolies to gain passage into the internet. Stay good and keep the laws.

7589. Jeff Wolman, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
Keep Net Neutrality to that the Internet won't become a clogged toll road.

7590. Support for Net Neutrality, Arnold, MO, 63010
I am appalled at the direction the government is going with this. Net Neutrality is essential for the well-being of all who use it, and taking it away is a horrible idea. It would be serious violation of our privacy and freedom, as well as a blatant disregard of the rights of small organizations that can't pay as much as the big guys. I'm outraged that it has gotten this far, it is like my worst nightmare come to life. I support Net Neutrality with all my heart and soul, and I will not hesitate to take further action to protect it.

7591. Internet Protection, De Soto, MO, 63020
Our Internet is meant for freedom to search as we want without corporate intervention. we should be allowed to use the internet freely without them butting us to the websites that they want us to go to. so stop this madness and allow us to freely search the internet as we want!

7592. Eric Kling, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
It is with sincerity that I add my voice and express my concerns regarding the current issue of "Net Neutrality". Failure to prevent ISPs from being capable of limiting access to a consumers chosen content will undoubtedly result in an unfair bias in access to resources or information through ISP's. This will, with out a doubt, create censorship to Free speech and restricted access to information by consumers.I trust that my input and the input of several of citizens will be considered on access to an "Open internet" and will not be considered lightly.Thank you for you time and patience in reading the comment.

7593. Jessica Savage, Saint Joseph, MO, 64503
The internet is the primary tool most people use these days to acquire knowledge. Everything must be available to us, or we are not free. Without Net Neutrality, we will be force-fed whatever truths our internet providers want us to believe. It would be the same thing if, before the internet, a small handful of corporations owned every library in America and decided what books the public would be allowed to read. Please, do not corrupt this nation with anymore propaganda that has already been shoved down out throats through every major news outlet. If we are to face propaganda and lies on the internet, at least let it be the parts we choose to see and believe on our own. We have to give truth, justice, and most of all freedom, a fighting chance.

7594. Jakob Mangano, Grandview, MO, 64030
My name is Jakob Mangano and an open internet is important to myself and my community. The internet has helped shape and inform

my world. I am able to pursue a college education online. I have easy access to communication with friends, family both blood and virtual. I have access to live streams of independent journalists covering issues that are dear to me. I even spend some nights playing a warlock with kindred strangers online. These experiences have improved my quality of life and to reverse the 2015 network neutrality rules would only create a negative impact. When I purchase my broadband internet access, I am also buying into the digital music service (Spotify), video-on-demand (Hulu, Netflix, Crunchyroll, Funimation, Twitch), communication and social media services (Discord, Facebook, Twitter), and I believe it is my right to have reliable access to all the internet has to offer at fast speeds (Google Fiber). My neighborhood is fortunate to have a few options for ISPs, however, I came from a town that had ONE provider. If this ISP were to discriminate against Etsy, a source of additional income for my mother and others, they would have no option to "shop". In conclusion, The FCC needs to oversee the market to prevent invasion of privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging.

7595. Roxanna De Sousa, St. Louis, MO, 63103
This should be seen as taking a step backwards instead of forward. This won't only affect our day to day internet access but it's going to affect start ups and other businesses. This shouldn't even be on the talks. The sad part is that this is being done just for money.

7596. Luke Jehle, Kansas City, MO, 64105
Please keep net neutrality. Web freedom is such a wonderful thing. I love being able to enjoy what I want when I want. We aren't the US anymore if we regulate our internet. It's parent's jobs to regulate what their children view.

7597. Jon Cabrera, Springfield, MO, 65807
Net neutrality has been a crucial part to my current successes in my higher education courses. If our ISP's are able to control content and throttle certain aspects of the websites we visit it would greatly harm society as a whole. I don't believe that these businesses should have the ability to control which websites have better connection and faster load speeds. Imagine if these sites are dedicated to school work, dedicated to people like me that have taken many online courses and have needed these courses in order to graduate college. Aside from higher education i've purchased my internet for entertainment purposes. Whether it be gaming or streaming tv shows or movies our ISP's shouldn't have the ability to choose which form of entertainment they prefer to have a higher grade of impact on the internet. My speed and connection should be the same for all services on the web, it's what we pay for in the end. I pay for the speed of my internet that my ISP service provides. I firmly believe that the web should be neutral and there shouldn't be any form of control from ISP's.

7598. Michael, North Kansas City, MO, 64116
The internet is for many things that help users and businesses prosper with equal opportunity. It's also for downloading and watching Cantonese powerpoint presentations. Please don't take it away. It's all I have.

7599. Rebecca, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
These companies do not have the right to control the speed at which we get the internet nor do they have the right to control where we can go on the internet.

7600. Restoring Internet Freedom, Smithville, MO, 64089
An internet connection should be a constant bandwidth, connection to the Internet at whatever speed agreed upon by the user and the Internet Service Provider (ISP). Allowing ISPs to throttle and charge for individual services on the Internet destroys competition in internet services and gives them little to no incentive to try to continue to deliver faster and more reliable connections. I implore you to continue Title II regulations on ISPs in order to continue to foster innovation in the space.

7601. Aimee Keener, Arnold, MO, 63010
This is a limitation of my freedom. My freedom to chose for myself what I do on the internet. This will allow my service provider to block any websites they deem necessary and throttle other websites I may seek for entertainment, such as facebook, netflix or youtube.

7602. Michael, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
getting rid of Net Neutrality takes away our freedom of use. This also pushes non-mainstream internet sites/programs to the side, which makes sure you only get one point of view or you won't be able to find what you want. This is most obviously about money. Where do we draw the line? Since when is it ok to take people's freedoms away so that someone, somewhere can make more money and have a monopoly? Since when is it ok to just cut competition out of the picture unfairly? Once you do this, sites and programs will eventually lean to one side and they wont improve because there's no competition there to make them improve. This would take away what makes the internet great, the ability to find whatever you want, and to connect with people for anything and make great things.

7603. Michaela, Hollister, MO, 65672
What you're doing is unethical and stands against everything the American people want. Keep net neutrality safe.

7604. Hayden Wagner, Fenton, MO, 63026
No one wants to be limited by their provider.

7605. 17-108, Rolla, MO, 65401
Keep the internet free and clear of politics. Net should be neutral for all websites and users. If you guys wanna do something do something about the monopolies that these internet companies have over their areas of coverage. That is total bull.

7606. Devin Childers, Sedalia, MO, 65301
I believe the internet should be free and open, because I believe in the freedom that we all enjoy here in America. If corporations were to take control of the internet, that is a serious affront to our liberty! I WILL NOT stand for this egregious act of greed and control! Let me be absolutely clear, as a sovereign human being, I DEMAND that my government, that works for me, does not let these filthy, money-grubbing corporations touch the internet or there will be hell to pay by all of us in the USA! You have crossed the line and you have been warned.Devin Childers

7607. Robert A Smith, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Net Neutrality is extremely important. ISPs need to remain Type 2 Common Carriers if we are to remain globally competitive in the scope of the internet. Please see reason, and prevent monopolization in the US.

7608. mark penechar, Kansas city, MO, 64109
Keep the internet weird

7609. net neutrality, Blue springs, MO, 64015
The only logical reason the internet's neutrality is even in question is that the legislative branch is being bought and paid for by large corporations. A few gain instant gratification of money and the rest suffer the difference. Its rather smart, its an investment they give ONE person money and with what the representative will do is pass something that will make the company more money that was given to that one person for the vote. Now obviously they have to do this to many people to get something through. The free market was designed to solve the problems. If you remove net neutrality you are helping a few groups at the expense of a true free market.

7610. Alison Polston, Kansas City, MO, 64132
Net neutrality should remain as it is important to new creators to keep our country diverse, moving forward, and to keep ideas free and fair. Our nation claims to stand against monopoly, but ridding of net neutrality to fill the pockets of the few would do the opposite and harm our society. Do the right thing and keep net neutrality for the whole.

7611. Benjamin Hein, Kansas City, MO, 64152
The Open Internet rules (net neutrality) are extremely important to me. I don't want the ISP to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites advantage on others, or split the internet into fast lanes for companies that pay and slow lanes for the rest. Don't allow the Trump administration control the media streaming and then make only his NEWS On July 12, we should show the world how we Fight for The Future. I hope all media outlets join us. Thank youBen Hein

7612. Ryan Hogard, St. Louis, MO, 63108
I am a developer in both private industry and the open source community. The open web provided me with fundamental resources when I was first training for my professional career and presented me with critical outlets to share and sell my services to others. The principal that all web traffic should be treated neutrally, without the possibility of preferential or restricted access was critical to the development of the tools I relied on to learn and my ability to compete in the electronic services economy. The tools I rely on, and those that accelerated the digital industry like those from the mozilla foundation, gnu movement, and linux community among countless others could not have grown and gained traction without the open web. In the past two decades, four out of five of the largest companies in the United States began as exactly the kind of decentralized technology firms that would be most impacted by disrupting current protections. The open web environment has produced positive externalities and growth that have allowed the technology industry to become what it is today, and will continue to serve as the breeding ground for future innovation only if it is preserved. Developers like myself view our contract with ISPs as contracts for communication with business partners and consumers, not as a contract for discrete services. The FCC has a responsibility to protect communications technologies from undue private restrictions precisely because such denigrations threaten the vitality of the national economy and stability of the national community.

7613. William Mofield, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
I see no compelling evidence suggesting that removing or changing the Title II regulations currently governing the activities of internet service providers might be in the best interest of either business or consumers. I urge you to refrain from any re-classification that might in any way restrict unfettered internet access to any individual or commercial entity.

7614. fdhfhhgffdhfd, Springfield, MO, 65807
please don't stop Net Neutrality rules from being repealed please

7615. Benjamin Floyd, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Net neutrality is crucial to the well-being and innovation of the the technology of the Internet as well as the content created and shared on it. The Internet is the modern library of Alexandria where all of our knowledge is free and available for all but in addition, it is a place for so many to create and share new content with others across the world. If Net Neutrality were repealed it would severely restrict the the ability of content creators to share their work as ISPs could favor certain content sources over others.

7616. Danielle Fiesta, Columbia, MO, 65201
The repeal of the net neutrality ruling would take our country even further backward, since it would open up the door to essentially turn American Internet into propaganda perpetuated by internet and cable companies. The Internet is an amazing tool that not only allows Americans to connect and collaborate with individuals all over the world, but to also learn more about their country, other countries, the world, and beyond. It would open the door to let internet and cable companies decide what the American people can and cannot learn about, and who they can and cannot connect with. The Internet is so much more than just our country, and as such should remain accessible and unbiased to all websites, demographics, and classes.

7617. Stephen, Rolla, MO, 65401
Having an open and free internet is extremely important to me. I believe that ISPs should not have the ability to selectively slow down or not allow access to certain websites. Eliminating net neutrality would create an unfair environment, not allowing new, small businesses to be able to pay ISPs for access to their sites. Also, this would allow ISPs to control what people can see on the internet, possibly to the point that they can eliminate exposure to certain ideas, this is a completely unacceptable outcome which should be avoided at all costs.Stephen

7618. D'Wayne Hopkins, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Why would you repeal rules that make companies treat content equally? What type of company would want to get rid of rules like that? The types that don't want to treat content equally. The type that want to manipulate information in their favor. The type that want to block access to information. When I pay for internet, I want the whole. I don't want it throttled, or to have parts cut off. I pay for

consistent loading, access, and upload and download speeds. You expect us to trust ISPs when we already know that they lobby for laws that disallow municipalities to set up their own service? You expect ISPs to be fair and just when it took Google becoming an ISP for them to update their technology to what the rest of the world has had for 10 years prior? The regulations put in place last year were put there because these very same ISPs already abused their power. We already know the types of things they want to do. So don't even think of repealing these new regulations.

7619. Gerard Darris, Hazelwood, MO, 63042
Keep our privacy privileges. We deserve better than this.

7620. Meghon Ross, Columbia, MO, 65203
ISPs should remain regulated as carriers under Title II of the Communications Act!

7621. Daniel Frick, Kansas City, MO, 64109
I pay a lot of money on taxes. Like everyone else does. I bought a car, financed at 494 dollars a month 634 dollar luxury tax and 2,500 sales tax. I pay my fair share, I can't afford to pay more money for services I already have. I pay all of my bills and taxes and have little leftover to live on. If I were diagnosed with cancer right now I would die due to lack of wealth to treat it. Internet access is vital please don't make me pay more money for what I already have. I can't afford it.Daniel Frick

7622. John Ross, Kansas City, MO, 64110
It's exceedingly important that corporations not be given free reign to manipulate the free internet. Rolling back the regulatory authority of the FCC by switching ISPs away from a Title II classification poses a serious threat to net neutrality and has little legitimate capacity to stimulate economic growth. Even if economic growth were a great probability of doing so, the fair and unmitigated access to all legal sites on the internet is paramount and supercedes simple economic concerns. Moreover, I do not believe Chairman Ajit Pai has reviewed this matter in good faith or in a manner that a dispassionate observer could remotely call unbiased. Chairman Pai has demonstrated a disdain for the good that net neutrality brings to all users of the internet and has additionally shown little respect for the facts involved. Accordingly, I support strong regulatory oversight of internet service providers and advocate that the FCC retain the Title II status of said ISPs for the sake of preserving net neutrality and a free and competitive internet.

7623. Nickolas R Martin, St. Louis, MO, 63128
Iâ€™m worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way theyâ€™re enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. Usage of the internet should be open and free to those who use it, and viewed content or data speed should not be controlled or throttled by internet service providers.

7624. Robert Santos, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
Not sure why you guys are trying to mess with net neutrality on behalf of cable companies who are, frankly, a pain in my ass and already monopolies. I'm not interested in having my service held hostage behind rate throttling. Seems to me like you are getting this one wrong.

7625. Net Neutrality, holt, MO, 64048
In today's world when the internet is essential in many aspects of life. For example my job requires me to watch training videos threw the internet. It is vital that we keep Net Neutrality

7626. Timothy Toeniskoetter, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
The internet is a wonderful place, don't screw this up.

7627. Kaitlyn Adams, Kansas City, MO, 64105
For continued advancement of our society, a free, open internet is necessary. By granting the power to ISPs to throttle internet, a monopoly can be created, and destroy the ability for start up businesses, and small business owners to create and run their businesses.

7628. Mason Crawford, Springfield, MO, 65803
I run alot of servers for discord bots for my friends and multiple servers for games and am working for a degree in computer science to start making online games and If I can't get the needed speed I wont ever be able to do that

7629. Tyler Williams, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
The internet has become a necessity in every day life. If you allow corporations to promote or throttle bandwidth, it will hinder the progress of the nation. The internet is a knowledge database. Restricting access to that is not something that a free nation would allow.

7630. Net Neutrality, St. Louis, MO, 63134
How can the land of the free start charging and changing the internet? The internet is a collective informational database. When you keep 0 secrets from the public, open up Area 51 for tours, then you can charge extra for internet speeds and take away net neutrality. Time to stop trying to milk everyone for everything, time to let this rest once and for all.

7631. Charlotte Henderson, Springfield, MO, 65802
Having neutral and accessible internet is a right and should not be subject to undue or unnecessary regulations.

7632. Ian Stanek, Grain Valley, MO, 64029
Please keep Net Neutrality as it currently is and keep ISP's classified under Title II. Rolling back these regulations could have huge global repercussions that could potentially effect millions of people.

7633. Juan Enriquez, Springfield, MO, 65807
Net neutrality is good and should be kept.

7634. Justin Estes, Independence, MO, 64052
We dont need to turn the internet into micro transactions.

7635. Kyle Schmitt, Kansas City, MO, 64118
I want the internet to be free and fair. Don't sell out our future.

7636. Ryan Cobb, St. Joseph, MO, 64505
The internet needs to be kept neutral, not regulated by an ISP who will target and block sites as a money grab. People have the freedom to not be subjected to what would amount to censorship for money.

7637. Austin Yates, High Ridge, MO, 63049
Net neutrality should be here to stay. The internet is a safe space that everybody from anywhere and under all walks of life should be able to access. Some of the greatest, most useful, and innovative ideas, products, and projects have come from the internet, and without the same access to the same internet speeds as other things, they may have never come to light.Please save net neutrality.

7638. L R, St. Louis, MO, 63104
The internet being fair for everyone is important. I connect with friends across the world and the possibility of not having as good of access to that connection is unfair. Please reconsider this. For everyone, including yourself.

7639. James N Pruetting III, Kansas City, MO, 64119
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech! It allows consumers â€" not big companies â€" to choose what they watch & do online.

7640. Chad Richard, Wright City, MO, 63390
I don't think the government should control what we do on the internet.

7641. Andrew Messina, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
Is there anything I could actually say to convince you to do the right thing?

7642. Brennan Goewert, St. Louis, MO, 63129
The people of the internet need Net Neutrality!

7643. Cody Donley, Kansas City, MO, 64112
We live in a free country where the free flow of information is is very important to what makes our Country great. Controlling what websites are used or visited through paid prioritization and other means makes us no better than North Korea

7644. Matthew H. Gehrke, Kansas City, MO, 64152
the internet is for my generation, a necessary and incredibly powerful asset, and will be vital to our children in every imaginable way from education to creative arts down to how we communicate with one another. We are America, freedom to express oneself and access online information is what so many people have fought and continue to fight for every single day. thank you for ensuring our civil liberties and human rights are not in jeopardy and thank you for your service and hard work for our nation. God Bless America

7645. John Kieran McGinness, Middletown, MO, 63359
Internet access is no longer a privilege for modern Americans, and it must be protected as any other necessity would be. Applying for a job, paying bills, monitoring one's identity for signs of identity theft, filing car insurance claims, attending college, and many more actions essential for Americans' lives are becoming increasingly dependent on the internet. Selectivity and monopoly should not be the governing principles of something that peoples lives depend on.

7646. Connor Schultz, Wentzville, MO, 63385
I have been going around and reading about what is going to happen if you, DC, decide to get rid of net neutrality. I was raised to believe that the United States has always, and will always, be the land of freedom. In which everything and everyone is equal. Yet, with what you are wanting to decide that notion becomes untrue in matter of seconds. I don't understand why it's even that bad that ISP's can't control what they want the users to see. If we follow this path we'll be living in a full dictatorship. The internet was released to be free to everyone to access any public domains. Why get rid of that belief and creation? It's bad enough that the Trump Administration is wanting to censor what the media says. But, to have the right to view public web sites and not have our connections throttled is outrageous. Think about what you are doing. Think about how you, as a politician, as an United States citizen uses the internet freedom and ask yourself: "would I want some of the sites that I use on a daily basis be limited and controlled by someone not myself." Again, I tell you, the United States is built on freedom and equality. To see 19 years of lies be thrown into my face if you let the ISP's control our internet access, then what is the United States built on? Full governmental control and Third Parties getting paid more just from a web developer? Thank You,Connor Schultz

7647. Molly-Mae Godwin, Columbia, MO, 65203
1. Write about yourself and how the net neutrality rules have affected youWhile it might be amusing to file your comment under the name Mickey Mouse or John Oliver, it greatly diminishes the comment's value (and wrongly gets lumped in with actual phony comments using names stolen from hacked databases). Itâ€™s better to tell the FCC who you are and why an open Internet is important to you. Maybe you are an entrepreneur who sells craft chocolates and coffee and could never compete if Godiva and Starbucks paid for faster carriage. Perhaps you sell crafts on Etsy, which would never have caught the publicâ€™s eye if ISPs could favor Amazon or eBay for any reason. Maybe you own a local alarm company that would not survive had AT&T and Comcast been allowed to favor their own alarm systems over yours. But you donâ€™t have to have an online business or sell things to have a story. Has Facebook or Skype connected you with long lost friends and family? Were you able to complete a high school, college or graduate degree over the Internet? Has Internet access improved your quality of life in any significant way? If you believe those benefits would be lost should ISPs be able to pick winners and losers on the Internet, say so. 2. Write about what you understand you are buying when you purchase broadband Internet accessFor the FCC to reverse its 2015 determination that broadband ISPs are â€œtelecommunications servicesâ€ subject to

greater oversight under Title II, it must show that ISPs are offering, and you understand that you are buying, not just a fast on-ramp to the Internet, but a bundle of â€œinformation services,â€ like email, cloud storage and other proprietary over-the-top services. In 2002, when broadband internet access was nascent, the FCC found the latter and deregulated broadband. In 2015, the FCC examined a very different and more mature market for broadband internet access. It looked both at what consumers believed they were buying from their ISP, and how ISPs advertised their products to consumers. What they found was that overwhelmingly, consumers were looking to buy a fast pathway to the Internet. Not coincidentally, thatâ€™s what ISPs advertised â€“ transmission speed and in the case of mobile, reliability and coverage. Today, that is still the case â€“ over the past few weeks, Iâ€™ve received solicitations from RCN and Verizon Fios for broadband internet access service. In the biggest, boldest letters were the speeds â€“ 330 Mbps download speeds for the former, Gigabit speeds for the latter. There was scant mention of other online services and in fact, Verizon Fios is phasing out its email service this month. Tell the FCC why you buy Internet access. Is it to get an email address, cloud storage or other online services from that specific provider? Or is it to get reliable access to all the Internet offers at fast speeds? Tell the FCC if you get email, cloud storage, web hosting and other over-the-top services from someone other than your ISP. If youâ€™ve received a solicitation from an ISP, scan and attach it to your comments or quote what it says. 3. Write about the choices you have (or donâ€™t) for broadband Internet accessOne of the arguments the FCC will almost certainly make in repealing the rules is that they arenâ€™t necessary because if your ISP is discriminating, you can always choose another. An April 2017 FCC report demonstrates the fallaciousness of such an assertion â€“ it shows that 58% of Americans have access to either zero or one broadband ISP, and 87% have access to just two. You might address some or all these questions: What choices do you have at 25 Mbps down and 3 Mbps up (the FCCâ€™s definition of broadband)? Are they real choices or are all the ISPs charging the same prices for essentially the same service? What are the costs to you of switching? Would you suffer a financial penalty? Would you have to buy new equipment? Would you have to take a day or two off from work waiting for installation? 4. Write about what role you think the FCC should have in overseeing the market for broadband Internet accessAs Iâ€™ve written previously, the debate over net neutrality is really one about whether the FCC, which is tasked by law to oversee communications networks, will have any role in overseeing access to the most important network of our lifetimes. This role includes ensuring that consumers are protected from, among other things, invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers. If the FCC is left without authority over broadband ISPs, Comcast could double its prices overnight, and there wouldnâ€™t be anything the FCC or any other agency could do about it. If you arenâ€™t ok with that, and think that broadband ISPs should be subject to oversight by an expert agency, tell the FCC why and what type of oversight youâ€™d like to see. The last word Donâ€™t worry if youâ€™ve already filed a comment that doesnâ€™t address these issues â€“ you can file new comments addressing these and/or other issues. Over the course of a proceeding like this, companies and organizations on both sides of the debate will file many comments, including after they visit FCC Commissioners and staff to make their cases. So donâ€™t hesitate - we need to build the strongest possible record if the net neutrality rules, and an open Internet, are to be preserved. Gigi Sohn is a Fellow with Georgetown Lawâ€™s Institute for Technology Law & Policy, the Open Society Foundations and Mozilla. She served as Counselor to former FCC Chairman Tom Wheeler from November 2013-December 2016.

7648. John, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
Work for us once instead of big business John

7649. Steve Altom, St.louis, MO, 63118
the internet is a place that people look forward to using, so please, don't make it slow, don't block some of our favorite websites, and please, don't add AI on sites to control them. cause that's just wrong.so i'm asking again, don't ruin the internet.thanks

7650. Devin McMIllen, Rolla, MO, 65401
As an engineer and student it is terrifying that net neutrality is threatened. This is a short step to controlling the information that people receive and have available at their disposal for developing informed decisions. The internet has become such an immensely sacred tool and symbol worldwide for learning, growth, and development. Not just in the U.S.A., but in developing countries the internet is an indispensable resource that cannot be controlled by for-profit entities.

7651. Tyeler DInwiddie, Ozark, MO, 65721
Net Neutrality is an integral part of the continued growth of the Internet and modern society.

7652. Daniel E. DeSantis, Columbia, MO, 65202
It is absurd that net neutrality policies, whose benefits are simultaneously intuitive and academically supported, that enjoy overwhelming bipartisan public support, and which exemplify American ideals of promoting economic competition, discouraging censorship, and assuring privacy, can and have been blocked by a stunningly revealing partisan act of Congress. If the FCC presents anything less than a strong and robust set of net neutrality protections presented in the most legally sure manner possible, it will constitute nothing less than a betrayal of its delegated responsibility.

7653. Sage Kaufmann, Saint James, MO, 65559
Keeping Net Neutrality is essential. Allowing ISPs to control which websites get what traffic will harm the popularity of the internet, and very possibly lead large internet based businesses to focus on European and Asian markets over US interests. Killing Net Neutrality is bad for small businesses, most large businesses, and the average American.

7654. Michael Hogue, Kansas City, MO, 64157
Getting rid of this would kill the internet and free enterprise

7655. Jubal Molina, O fallon, MO, 63368
I oppose rolling back net neutrality. Most broadband companies do not have a lot of competition in their area. They will be vested in throttling content provider's as they are competing against them.

7656. Andrew Rausch, Monett, MO, 65708
I'll be honest, I'm not the most educated on the law, and I'm no expert about public policy. I can call it ahead of time though, ending "Net Neutrality" or whichever buzzword is cool now, is one of those things that just isn't going to be great. There's a reason that basically everyone except a small handful of companies are against it. There's a reason I'm against it. At the end of the day all I want to

be able to do is see everything on this awful, amazing internet and honestly that's not a lot to ask. I know there are a lot of people like me. I know there are a lot of people who rely on the internet to make their money. I know there are a lot of people who rely on the internet to keep their friends. I know there are a lot of people (myself included in this one) who use the internet to just stay alive some days. It's crazy that an ISP can have that much power but they do, and unless we keep them honest by not changing these rules, there's no way to guarantee that any of these people will come out ok on the other side.

7657. Christopher J McLaughlin, Saint Louis, MO, 63043
A free and open Internet is a basic American right. Net Neutrality guarantees this right. The FCC will lose all credibility if it does away with Net Neutrality. Rarely are the American people in unanimous agreement over an issue, but such is the case with Net Neutrality. The American people have spoken and we have told the FCC we demand Net Neutrality.

7658. Eric Jackson, Springfield, MO, 65807
The internet is not a commodity. In a country where the government is practically run by corporations, this is the one medium through which everyone has a fair chance to compete.

7659. Michael Sulzer, Rolla, MO, 65401
There is a monopoly here in Rolla, MO that is held by Fidelity Communications. There are other options for an "internet connection", but they do not have comparable (or even broadband) speeds. Repealing net neutrality laws will only increase the grip strength that Fidelity Communications has on this area. I do not have any other option if I want a speed faster than the minimum broadband speed.

7660. Brendan K Gander, St. Clair, MO, 63077
It is my belief that the Internet is a public resource and commodity, and should not be something that can be further manipulated by large companies through throttling, extra charges, and other such misbehavior in the corporate world just to further line their coffers at the expense of the average American who is already paying plenty into them for their service and profits.

7661. Connor, Columbia, MO, 65201
For once in your life make the right choice and don't get rid of the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Connor

7662. David Majerowski, poplar bluff, MO, 63901
Greed is never a wise long game

7663. Dylan Martin, St. Louis, MO, 63119
Net neutrality is of upmost importance, and the internet should refrain from being a monetized, scaling service.

7664. Joshua Adams, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Dear FCC,I believe ISPs should be considered Common Carriers, and that they should not have the power to discriminate against data and its sources. This is America: Land of the Free. We should have the freedom of choice. Please do not change the net neutrality policy we have backed by title II ISP oversight. Thank you for your time.

7665. Caleb Dougherty, Kansas City, MO, 64117
Keep the internet free. Net Neutrality is the only thing keeping large web sites from becoming total monopolies and is a direct threat to the 2nd amendment. This is classic power of the purse and if wielded incorrectly can be used to silence millions. As it stands the internet is one of the last stands of the truly free market without net neutrality it becomes yet another dream cut short by government regulation and authoritarianism.

7666. Adam Hotaling, Saint Louis, MO, 63141
The internet needs net neutrality to be able to continue to be the free and open resource that it is today. As a programmer, the ability to use the web without ISPs getting the ability to pick and choose how their customers access the internet is incredibly important, as startups and smaller companies may not have the ability to compete if they aren't given equal footing to others that are owned by or promote content that the ISP has decided to favor.

7667. Joseph Morgan, Ozark, MO, 65721
The internet does not belong to any one entity, and should not be controlled by any one entity.

7668. joshua mueller, desoto, MO, 63020
Give us our net freedom back

7669. Zachary Huesgen, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
Net neutrality is something everybody wants, it's only large corporations that don't want it because it gets them more money. All in all getting rid of net neutrality is bad for everybody and can stunt growth of many small companies, as well as just be annoying for people who just want to use the internet.

7670. Jesse, springfield, MO, 65807
Let's keep the internet free and open for all. It makes the world a better place.

7671. Andrew Michael Anderson, Lee's Summit, MO, 64064
Net neutrality should not be regulated by companies. It will cause unhealthy competition towards companies that are solely reliant on the internet, such as Ebay, Google/Youtube, Etsy, Netflix, Twitch, Amazon, and online ordering for local stores. It prevents an extra barrier on an already throttled bandwidth. It will be very costly to initialize and maintain due to extra man hours to prevent over regulation. It will probably create a profiling issue with people who frequent websites that a company could prevent that person from visiting. Every ISP will have much more control over regions than an utility and will try to monopolize certain neighborhoods.

7672. amanda govero, Park hills, MO, 63601
Keep the internet neutral. Do not allow government interference or profit to interfere with our freedom.

7673. Brandon Sharp, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
KEEP THE MEMES FREE

7674. Adam Hughes, Pleasant Valley, MO, 64068
We cannot allow our world to be encompassed by what share holders deem worthy, but what consumers deem worthy to view, to disallow that is a violation if human rights to feel and think freely on matters, and hurt the growth of online businesses starting out.Adam Hughes

7675. Alex Brinley, Joplin, MO, 64801
The internet was designed for the free flow of ideas and to better allow communication between people and entities. Allow ISPs to throttle and even restrict access to this information is ridiculous, bordering on infringing free speech, by blocking content they deem not appropriate.

7676. Alex G, Springfield, MO, 65804
There's no reason ISPs should be able to prioritize or block certain websites, or slow down connections to certain content.

7677. Mason Simmons, Springfield, MO, 65807
I support this because I wish to maintain a free and open internet. The internet as it is today is usable by all no matter socioeconomic status, race, gender, etc. and should stay that way. There are no issues with it from the perspective of the USERS that would be resolved by changing the policies.

7678. Caleb Martin, Wildwood, MO, 63011
To Whom it May Concern(Congress/FCC), On October 29, 1969, the Internet was born. A single message was sent from UCLA to the Stanford Research Center. It said "Lo", a typo for "login". Since that first typo, the Internet has grown and developed over the last 5 decades to the greatest achievement of mankind. It has faced many challenges along the way, physical, logistical, and legal. Despite those challenges, it has turned into the greatest form of communication in human history, where at its best, any person with a connection can access the full range and breadth of the world, and communicate with anyone in it, for a fair price. The Internet currently operates like this in Western Europe and America. At its worst, in places like China, it is a tool used by the powerful for their own gain and self interests, to control and restrict the communication of citizens. Chairman Ajit Pai has continually stated false arguments claiming that the handful of ISP's we have are over regulated and that that is hurting our infrastructure. This is untrue. In a candid call to his investors on 12/9/14, Verizon (Ajit Pai's former employer) CFO Francis J Shammo said "to be real clear... this does not influence the way we invest... we're going to continue to invest in our networks and platforms", in reference to Title 2 Regulations. The current regulations do not negatively affect the ISP's. The current regulations establish that each paying Internet user has full access to the web, at full advertised speed, at advertised price and that ISP's cannot block, throttle, or establish paid prioritization. The current regulations are good for the consumer. The proposed roll back of the Net Neutrality laws would hurt the consumer financially. It would allow and facilitate ISP's to throttle certain websites if they are not payed for or block them outright. I am not naive. The ISP's would take full advantage of this. This would financially hurt consumers as they would be forced to pay more for the service they already receive, or more money for less service if they did not wish to pay for certain "packages" as cable companies do now. This could be used to silence individual speech, or political opinions, or anyone, big or small, who have not payed the ISP's enough. These proposed rollbacks of the Net Neutrality laws would hurt the consumer. The first mistake on the Internet was "Lo" in 1969, a simple typo. I am pleading to you as a Patriot, a Marine Corps Veteran, and a Citizen with grave concern for American citizens, and mankind's greatest creation, do not make a second mistake in 2017, one with harmful and far reaching consequences. Please do not rollback the Net Neutrality Laws, and please fight against any attempts to do just that, for me, and the good of the country.Sincerely, Caleb J MartinCaleb Martin

7679. Thomas Beckwith, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
Further restricting the Internet is an undeniable large step backwards. The only people that agree with more censoring are wealthy businessmen that can solve all of their problems by throwing money at them. I wholeheartedly support the Net Neutrality movement.

7680. Martin Bordson, Platte City, MO, 64079
Hello! My name is Martin Bordson, and in the near future, I plan on starting up and running a online game development studio.As much as it might not be something everyone likes... the internet, in all its unrestrained glory is a huge, vital, and needed part of everyone's lives these days. From business, to personal, to international... public and private sectors.. there is literally no part of our lives that the Internet is not somehow involved in.Given that... giving someone else control... to ANY degree... over what you can or can not see... what you can or can not access... and there by, giving the other person/corporation the ability to control the information you have access

to can fundamentally shift and alter the way people think. On one hand, relaxing the Net Neutrality laws... you have the first amendment rights your stepping on of the people trying to voice their opinions and show alternate options to situation that don't "fit in" with a conglomerate's view points, as they will be able to make dissenting opinion about them harder, if not impossible to reach... and seriously now... if you don't think this will happen... your lying to yourself and to us. These Opinions can be everything from just "I don't like this company" to blocking out information that might make impossible to form fully-fleshed out opinions on serious matters like Elections... Actions like this one... or other matters that could be polarizing. Do you really want to give that kind of power... authority... to these boardroom executives that are going to leave themselves exempt for these own restrictions? No thanks.My next issue with this is... Well, honestly... We don't live in North Korea... Seriously. Why would you give anyone the control to what I can and can not see on the internet? It's one of the things I, as an American am extremely proud of. If it is out there.. I can see it, learn it and use it. It still shocks me to this day when I try to share things with my friends in other countries across the world and they can't access to see something that I can.... Information is Power. Don't give someone else the ability to limits and disseminate that information to me if/when it suits them. Their bottom lines are no where NEAR going into the red. You know, I know it, THEY know it.

7681. Alex Westerfield, Knob Noster, MO, 65336
You guys are trying to do this crap again? Seriously come on....

7682. Will Griffin, Springfield, MO, 65807
Fuck you keep the internet open you greedy shits

7683. Adam Beckner, Kansas City, MO, 64157
Please keep the current net neutrality rules and do not let ISPs control how the internet should run. Americans are counting on you to keep our interests in mind, not major corporations.

7684. Matt Hoskins, Saint Joseph, MO, 64505
Do NOT reverse the current net neutrality laws!

7685. Gregory Dalton, O Fallon, MO, 63366
The FCC is an non-elected, appointed body, not answerable to the american people. An illegal organisation determined to undermine and censor our information, and ability to view content as if we where all addled children whom don't know how to avoid sticking our hands in fire that burns us. Freedom of speech should apply across all media with no involvement from the government other than to uphold our rights to view any content we wish to seek out and with out any interruption from third parties.

7686. Cameron L. Summers, Kansas City, MO, 64111
It is imperative that the internet remain free and open. Throttling it, redirecting it, and controlling it in the way that is proposed would cause irreparable harm and lead to stagnation.

7687. Corinne Attebery, Richmond, MO, 64085
Freedom of speech means everywhere, internet, the paper, t.v. i doesn't matter. No one in this country has the right to take away our peoples best means of communication and expressing ourselves. Also, making people pay for different versions of the internet means that people wont be able to learn freely or fine the truth about anything.

7688. Aaron Munzer, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
FCC,FCC. The internet functions properly because it is a free marketplace of information. Enacting restrictions that alter the natural economic framework will push equilibrium states into inefficiency. The true result of losing net neutrality will be a shadow internet followed by an internet replacement. Thus expediting channels through which the negative behaviors that are currently present are allowed to run rampant. The problems of the internet can only be magnified by eliminating net neutrality. The freedoms that made the United States of America great are still alive and well on the internet. Changing that will chip away another piece of the foundation on which this country was built. Please think about these points as you move forward with any legislative process. Thank you. Aaron Munzer.

7689. John Walker, Wright City, MO, 63390
Net Neutrality is what makes the internet such a useful tool in our lives. Our online experience being hindered in any way should not be tolerated. Keep the internet the way it is.

7690. amber berumen, piedmont, MO, 63957
MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY !!!!

7691. Joseph Utter, Maplewood, MO, 63143
I am for "net neutrality" as it provides a fair and balanced internet experience to all Americans without the near-monopolies having the ability to further force out competition and content creators. Since the Internet was originally developed and funded by tax dollars and essentially given to private companies, I would say it's in the best interest of the Public that the use stay free from corporate meddling which provides a high barrier of entry to rivals.

7692. Jonathan Duncan, Mountain Grove, MO, 65711
It is imperative that Net Neutrality be maintained for the benefit of the American consumer and small business survival.

7693. Daryl, Nevada, MO, 64772
Leave net neutrality alone you bozos! Title 2 is a very good thing, and I vote!

7694. Edward Torres, Kansas City, MO, 64119
Net neutrality helps everyone to experience the internet freely. Don't want anyone slowing down my Youtube.

7695. Net neutrality, Wildwood, MO, 63038
Net neutrality is absolutely mandatory for the stability of all forms of communication. The internet is not only man's greatest technological achievement, but also something that every person on Earth should have full access to. So for all the good in the world, keep the net neutral.

7696. Joseph E Ledger, Blue Springs, MO, 64029
Internet services in the United States already lag significantly behind other countries, not due to technology, but primarily because of corporate business plans in-proportionately focused on shareholders over consumers. The fundamental tenets of Net Neutrality serve to equalize the playing field, not just for consumers, but for businesses as well.

7697. Net neutrality, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
The internet is a place where everyone is truly equal. Money has almost no influence in where you go or what you do there. It's what makes the internet such an amazing place. If all that were to be taken away, well, it wouldn't be the internet anymore. It would become one big corporation, and everyone would go mad. In all honesty, lots of people would probably resort to violence if this were to pass, so it's in everyone's best interest to just disregard any changes to the internet.

7698. Mark Burnett, Clayton, MO, 63105
As a high-level technical professional in the telecommunications industry, this document appears, in the most generous interpretation, to be based on a willful misunderstanding of the technologies involved in the internet.Leading suggestions like those from 37) regarding DNS and caching such as "Absent caching, would broadband Internet users that now expect high-quality video streaming see only incidental changes or more fundamental changes?" come across as blatantly and intentionally misleading readers. Any function which is transparent to users (such as caching MUST be) is by its nature "incidental". These "services" are only provided implemented to 1) save substantial upstream costs from not having to have access to as much upstream bandwidth (true for both DNS and caching) and 2) provide additional control over what interactions users take (specifically true for DNS). No one with an understanding of these technologies (a basic requirement for operating the FCC) can honestly claim that these services add value to end users.

7699. Jess Elwood, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Net Neutrality is non-negotiable. If citizens can't count on the FCC to protect them, we have no protections. Fix this.----I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Jess Elwood

7700. ANDREW FISK, PORTAGEVILLE, MO, 63873
KEEP THE INTERNET OPEN I DONT WANT YOU TO CONTROL MY EXPERENCE!!!

7701. patrick rose, webb city, MO, 64870
This is the land of the free not a third world nation controlled by a dictator. only thing they should be able to block or controlled is child pornography.

7702. COMMENT, Fulton, MO, 65251
Hi. Net neutrality laws are really important to me. Not because my way of earning a living is centered on them, but because of everything else. My education (I received my masters degree and certifications online), staying in touch with family and friends (none of which live near me anymore), and a wide variety of gaming from independent developers that would be locked out from being able to compete should net neutrality end and only triple A game developers be able to pay the toll for online gaming.I want, and purchased, access to a free and open internet, and I did so in a region where I don't really have any options. If net neutrality ends my ISP will be entirely able to tailor the internet I have access to in an attempt to censor and mislead me, or else cut me off altogether. Access to information is critical in this day and age and it makes perfect sense to treat it as a utility, if not for its necessity then for the complete lack of choice in who to purchase from.Please keep the current net neutrality laws, or perhaps even strengthen them in the future. ISPs make a ton of money with their massive monopolies and incorporation with big media industries. They don't need these laws repealed in order to continue to flourish.But these laws staying in place is exactly what I need in order to do the same.

7703. Betty L Matlock, Scott City, MO, 63780
My name is Bruce Matlock and I'm calling to urge Chairman Pai/Commissioner Oâ€™Rielly to defend Title II net neutrality and protect the internet as a common carrier.Will the commissioner stand with the majority of Americans and preserve real net neutrality?Thank you very much for your time.

7704. Matthew Davis, St. Louis, MO, 63129
I believe this net neutrality should not go through. There are many other countries out there that do not have those kind of restrictions and they have left the people happy. If the USA passes this law to restrict the internet then the wonders of creativity will diminish..

Please do not pass this law to restrict the internet..

7705. Jessica Niblick, Springfield, MO, 65804
The internet has always been a place for the free exchange of information. Never before in human history have we been connected to so many people, able to share and communicate, to learn from one another the way we have since the internet's beginning. Already we have seen there are people who wait to take advantage of this from us. They seek to limit our connectivity for more of our hard earned money each month. Where will the single mothers getting their online degrees turn? The family that cannot afford the exorbitant cable fees? The lovers separated by thousands of miles? No one has the right, no government who claims to have it's country's best interests at heart, could believe demolishing Net Neutrality is the right step for the people of this country.

7706. Shane Knelange, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
The internet is for all, with no prohibitions.

7707. Nick Vertodoulos, Foristell, MO, 63348
Keeping Title II and Net Neutrality is not about the government controlling the ISP's but about forcing the ISP's to play by the rules. I live in a rural area that only has 1 meaningful choice of ISP's. I don't have the option to switch ISP's if they want to charge more or limit what I have access to. My wife runs a small business that requires internet access. In order for me to work from home some days it requires internet access. Internet access is no longer a "nice to have". It is required to live a normal life in this day and age. Internet access is as important today as electricity is. Please keep Title II and Net Neutrality alive.

7708. Sara Cutlip, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Net Neutrality is vitally important for our society to have access to information on the web. The internet is not a commodity that is handed out to the highest bidder, it was created a a space where all can share data and information with the same level of importance. If you remove net neutrality it will limit free speech, one of our most treasured qualities as a nation, and only those with wealth will have the power to push their agenda. Please listen to the people and maintain net neutrality or you will be choosing one of the lease American agendas and we will be no better than Russia or China who limit what their people are allowed to view online.

7709. Alexander Desmangles, Columbia, MO, 65202
Net Neutrality is important for both the consumer and the marketers that are wanting to start up on the internet. Given that someone could potentially pay their way into faster internet, means that those who wish to start up their own company will have a rather hard time standing up in the harsh internet environment. Which could potentially mean a large monopoly entering the works as certain companies can control the entire web, thus making it potentially easier for prices to raise more on the already expensive internet. With things already being stretched to their max in our American Economic system, any more pressure could lead to a collapse, which would mean much less money to the companies that backed on destroying net neutrality. Not to mention, it is severely limiting on the internet as a whole as it tells the little guy that they cannot participate, limiting the internet's voice, which as done a great amount of things for us. Please don't get rid of net neutrality. It is what makes the internet great.

7710. Dylan Yeager, Mexico, MO, 65265
There is no winner in this except for big internet providers. My privacy should be my Privacy, and why slow down websites, and make them pay more? It is not right.

7711. Jenna Stombaugh, St. Louis, MO, 63116
The enforceable net neutrality rules in place is what makes the internet great. The fact that I have access to any site whatsoever makes me feel comfortable and in control when I use the internet. If that was taken away, the internet would be a much more dull and uncomfortable place. Also I have met friends through the internet and systems we use to communicate may be put in danger which would cause a lot of sadness in my life personally. Please take into consideration that the way the internet runs right now to means a lot more to me as a human being than as a consumer and my life would change immeasurably if it was altered.

7712. Kirsten Frederick, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
I am an independent artist. Without net neutrality I could lose access to valuable audiences that could account for some of my potential income. Not only that but I could lose access to so many friends that I have made over the internet that have grown incredibly important to me. Without net neutrality there won't be the same modern age of Internet, so many relationships could be lost, so much business lost, so many new ideas could be lost without a good vehicle to carry them . Please don't take away net neutrality, so many valuable aspects of my life and so many others could be ruined by it.

7713. Theodore Shields, St Peters, MO, 63376
We have lost nearly everything that has made this country great, and it is being lost to the big companies who already control our politics through their big money donations to our representatives. Please, protect those who you serve. Do not leave us vulnerable to big company greed any more than we already are.

7714. Tom Legens, Seymour, MO, 65746
Keep net neutrality. It's important for my business!

7715. James Gailey, Ballwin, MO, 63021
The internet should remain protected and free. There is ZERO interest for us American citizens to have an internet that is not open and free for competition. This is one of the most UN-American bills in history, and will put us behind other countries in the future. We need an Open and Free internet for our children, and this will just put a nail into the coffin stifling innovation and entrepreneurship.

7716. Allison Coffelt, Columbia, MO, 65203
Good evening,As a regular internet user, I firmly believe that our internet is freer, better, and more open when individuals are able to search and find content in an unfettered way-- rather than their searches being sold to the highest bidder. I believe that net neutrality is essential to our rights as consumers (including privacy) and crucial to our lives as citizens. We need to maintain open and equal access

to the internet, as it serves as such an enormous hub of information.When I purchase broadband internet access, I expect to be paying for a fast connection to the internet--indeed, that is what I'm told I'm sold based on my internet service provider's promises. (Just the other day, I received yet another doormail advertisement offering faster speeds and broader bandwidth.) I do not expect nor use my ISP for cloud storage data, or other services. In short, I want my ISP to be a gateway--a broad, clear, uninhibited gateway to the internet through which data can travel quickly.Moreover, I believe the FCC should have the authority to regulate ISPs and keep them in check when it comes to selling data and/or billing their customers.I hope you will take these comments seriously and help keep fair the biggest communication network of our lifetime.Sincerely, Allison Coffelt

7717. Michael Thigpen, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Nobody should have a hold over the internet. It is open to most of the world. The only thing that should be monitored is the "DarkNet" and it is currently under surveillance by the U.S. government.

7718. Harold E Breeden II, Columbia, MO, 65203
The current Net neutrality rules must be upheld (as they are!) when I pay for internet access, I deserve to know that anything I choose to watch, play, listen to, whatever (within legal means,) has the same speed, same access, same rights as any other site I choose to visit. It should be illegal for ISPs to offer ANY content that competes with any other service and to further have the right to charge or control that content. It also allows ISPs to snuff out new ideas before they have a chance to compete, then steal the idea and offer it without limitations. When I pay for service I pay for the size of "pipe" via the speed that is offered. Its already over priced and what is worse there is very little real competition and many ISPs have help create legislation to snuff out competition. I am lucky to have 3 real option, in many places there is only 1 option and they can already charge whatever they want to. It will be criminal if you allow ISPs who already have far more control and virtual monopolies, to further enhance their power and control the content that we as consumers choose. ISPs should only be the the "pipe" they should have NO control over what I want to see on the internet.

7719. net neutrality, Battlefield, MO, 65619
I am in no way supportive of any changes to the current net neutrality regulations. I don't know of anyone who is. The internet is currently one of the most wonderful, creative, and innovative places on the planet.

7720. Connor Knabe, Columbia, MO, 65201
I firmly believe in net neutrality. When I pay for broadband internet I expect all websites to not be throttled or slowed down. I don't want to have to pay extra for a sports or social media package. I think that the ISPs shouldn't be able to do things like this. I pay for my internet and think it's a great thing and don't want that taken away from myself and others. Please help enforce something that is so important to the future of the internet. Thank you!

7721. Cathy Cullmann, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I support Net Neutrality! Cathy Cullmann

7722. Alexander Bolinsky, Brentwood, MO, 63144
Please do not roll back net neutrality rules. The Internet should be a publicly owned utility.

7723. Davis Collins, Springfield, MO, 65809
I am a regular internet user, and an aspiring writer. The ability of ordinary people without millions of dollars to found websites and compete on fair terms of is immense importance to me. I am likely to publish, not in print, but in one of countless electronic magazines which would be disadvantaged, and could even be blocked, in a world without net neutrality. A smaller marker for my stories hurts me as a professional.I also do not want to be restricted only to seeing materials published by people capable of paying to have their content delivered with usable speed. I want to be able to see everyone's content. There are only two companies from which I could buy internet access. If both of them decide not to provide quality access to something, quality access of that thing will be totally denied to me. Even if one of them does, each of them will likely deny me at least some of the things I want to be able to access.Buying an internet connection is not supposed to be paying for content. It's supposed to be paying someone to connect you to content; all of the content. Without net neutrality, it is likely there will be no such service available, as every isp company will have its own set of things it lets you access. These sets may overlap, but each of them will have gaps. I do not want gaps. I want the internet. All of it. Its denial to others stifles my career and its denial to me stifles my recreation. Every aspect of my life benefits from net neutrality. Please, preserve it!

7724. Peyton Vasquez, Hazelwood, MO, 63042
I personally believe that taking away net neutrality is a awful idea. Doing so doesn't help the citizens of this country in anyway, and would change the internet for the worse. It could work as a form of censorship, and helps no one but internet providers, who already make enough money to begin with. I ask that you don't prioritize these greedy companies over your citizens. Thank you.

7725. Matthew Souris, Imperial, MO, 63052
Please keep Net Neutrality alive. I do not want telecommunications companies to dictate which websites and services I consume. Giving them the power to legally reduce my bandwidth to a crawl if I visit a website that doesn't pay the extortion fees, will hurt many; and the only gains will be to make small few even richer than they already are.

7726. Michael Carrasco, Columbia, MO, 65203
I believe the internet should be free. I do not believe that companies should have power over what we are allowed to access.

7727. Jonathan Kemper, Belton, MO, 64012
Net Neutrality is vital to preserve a fair and healthy internet which the modern information age relies on.

7728. Rebecca Michelson, St. Louis, MO, 63122
I believe the internet is a great place to bring people together. It has helped me meet my fiance and find things to connect about after we met. I grew up in Missouri but he comes from Connecticut and just happened to be in my city studying law. We are both too shy we could never have met by walking up to each other in a bar or other social interaction. I would never have gotten to meet the greatest love

of my life, and keep him, if it hadn't been for the internet. We met through Tinder, talk about Memes and other internet jokes, and play online games together. Sure we break for a coffee date and talk about real world things every now and then, but we really open up about our internet passions. Please do not put such limitations on the content so many people love.

7729. Taha Aziz, St. Louis, MO, 63131
Cable companies are famous for high prices and poor service. Several rank as the most hated companies in America. Now, they're lobbying the FCC and Congress to end net neutrality. Why? It's simple: if they win the power to slow sites down, they can bully any site into paying millions to escape the "slow lane." This would amount to a tax on every sector of the American economy. Every site would cost more, since they'd all have to pay big cable. Worse, it would extinguish the startups and independent voices who can't afford to pay. If we lose net neutrality, the Internet will never be the same. If the government is really interested in helping out it's citizens, it should stop catering to businesses and remember who it is meant to look out for.

7730. Joshua Kurt, Pacific, MO, 63069
The Internet is a network of inter-connected computers and devices that provide world-wide access to people; infringing upon the right for everyone in the United States of America from having good and fair internet access is diminishing the rights of the people. The Federal Communications Commission is responsible for regulating the interstate communications market which includes making sure the consumer can have fair internet access. The internet has allowed many people start up businesses even though they did not get special permission to work on the internet because the internet is a network of mostly decentralized computers. This network of computers that everyone uses today should remain free and fair for peoples of all kinds, not people who pay off or get permission from gigantic monopolizing corporations who care very little about the consumers and more about making a lot of money. I saw the internet as a tool for learning and exploring new things, which is why I value it so much as a field to work in. Letting large companies who have monopolies in most areas of the United States to do what they want to our connection to the rest of the world would break the social contract between the people of the United States and people that work for them. I strongly urge the FCC to maintain Net Neutrality to allow for a safe, fair, and open internet.

7731. Internet, St. Peters, MO, 63376
The internet is a platform that has changed the world everyone lives in today. Due to its rapid growth and massive hand in society and the economy throughout daily life, an equal and safe freedom to use the internet per user as pleased is necessary and proper for the United States of America. Freedom to learn, find, grow, speak (and speak through the masses), and assemble was given to the people through the use of unrestricted internet, and should not and will not be taken away. Stripping net neutrality is taking away an already given and now crucial freedom to the people of the United States.

7732. Molly Mcgraw, Odessa, MO, 64076
To me, the internet is an equal opportunity platfom for small businesses and big box retailers alike. It allows the best products to emerge and be validated through consumer reviews. The best part about the internet is that it allows you to do your research and due diligence. The internet is an equalizing, democratic force that would be inherently altered if ISPs dictated the flow of information. Repeal of net neutrality rules would be detrimental to consumers as information seekers.

7733. Brandon Robert Jackson, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
Title II regulations do not prevent internet companies from investing in infrastructure. Stop publicly saying they do. They don't.Internet companies are oligarchies at best, monopolies in much of the nation. They need to be regulated as such. The internet is a vital part of commerce, and unfettered access to all of its data needs to be ensured for every consumer, mega corporation, and startup business. Do not create a pay for play environment in the Internet. Do not allow service providers to prioritize some companies over others. I and my fellow Americans treat the Internet like electricity. We wouldn't be pleased if our local electric companies prioritized my house because I use GE appliances over my neighbors who use Amana. In a similar way, we wouldn't be pleased if my local cable companies sped up their video streaming service and artificially slowed Netflix unless a fee was paid by either Netflix or the consumer. Comcast has extorted this very fee from Netflix already.If you think that these regulations are too old, push Congress to act. Until then, some protection is better than none. If our murder laws were outdated, the solution would be to enforce the current disincentives for murder, not to stop enforcing murder laws. Please guys, it's common sense.

7734. Wesley Carpenter, Kearney, MO, 64060
Iâ€™m concerned about ISPs being allowed to discriminate against certain types of data or websites because they will have to much control on what I do online and how I consume my content.

7735. Nick Cardoza, Leslie, MO, 63056
Net Neutrality is a human right. Every entrepreneur should have the same opportunities when starting a website. Every citizen should be able to determine the sites that they want to visit. ISP's do not deserve to charge us more if we want to visit more sites/sites that they don't endorse.

7736. Anand Palaniappan, columbia, MO, 65203
ISPs should not be able to regulate the internet.

7737. Mitchell Burdick, Nixa, MO, 65714
Hello, my name is Mitchell Burdick, and I am an avid online gamer. I wish to express my concerns about keeping net neutrality. Net neutrality is needed for a fair and open internet, so please do not get rid of or repeal net neutrality. Thank you!

7738. Samuel Orlando, Independence, MO, 64056
The Internet is a world market and social connection with the world. If you destroy net neutrality, only those with the biggest wallets will have a voice. Don't destroy Net Neutrality.

7739. Christopher Draven, Kansas City, MO, 64118
I'm old enough to remember when it was called the Information Super Highway. And like a highway, this utility is â€‹public works. It is

imperative that the playing field remains level, and that large corporations are not allowed to rule the road.

7740. Cole Howe, joplin, MO, 64801
The internet should be open and not throttled just because our ISP likes or owns a certain site. This is America not Cuba!

7741. Matthew Neff, Columbia, MO, 65203
Net Neutrality is a human right. Do not take it away from us!

7742. Maureen Calhoun, Columbia, MO, 65203
It is unfair to the American consumer if Net Neutrality does not stay in place. We need it in order to make sure ISPs do not take advantage of consumers and overcharge them for services.

7743. Z, St. Louis, MO, 63119
Comments.

7744. Dalton hammen, Kansas City, MO, 64157
I stand with Net Neutrality because an open internet allows independent business owners and artists like myself to receive commission and livelihood. A slower, more restricted internet means stifling the people who rely on it and upgrading to a "fast lane" does not solve the fact that it will limit my customer base. A fast lane does not appeal to me nor does internet packages. I do not and will not want to buy access to websites.Dalton hammen

7745. Tyler Cook, Kansas City, MO, 64105
Net neutrality is key for innovation and creativity within the online world. Without it, we'd be decades behind our current state.

7746. Bradley Eaton, Lees Summit, MO, 64064
I support keeping the net neutrality regulations in place.Consumers in rural areas very often have NO choice in internet providers.We cannot just change providers to get better service if removed protections allow a carrier to choke service or prevent service in order to raise fees for a wider range of services. Monopolies need to be regulated to protect consumers.Keep the net neutrality regulations in place

7747. Alicia Bouckaert, O'fallon, MO, 63368
I strongly support net neutrality, with Title II oversight for ISPs.

7748. Matthew A. McCready, Festus, MO, 63028
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY - do not roll back this ruling. Thank you.

7749. Liszt Dale, Saint Louis, MO, 63117
Need net neutrality!!!Liszt Dale

7750. Daniel, Columbia, MO, 65203
To whom it may concern,The internet is the new frontier in human civilization. It is an unprecedented way to share new ideas, new forms of art, new stories, and new connections. Slowly but surely we begin to realize a global community that was mere science fiction scant decades ago. If we do not keep the net free--if we do not keep the net neutral--we risk transforming a utopian tool into a dystopian nightmare.America was founded upon the principles of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. The internet is a crucial tool in realizing these principles in the modern era: improving the quality of our educations, our relationships, our art, and our very lives. Please, make the right choice. Keep the net free.Thank you for your time,Daniel

7751. Joseph Giffen, Independence, MO, 64052
Maintain Net Neutrality, you limit what people can do and there will most likely be consequences. Hows that saying go about Idle hands? the net should be free for everyone to access, helping big business take complete control probably not a good idea especially with something that just about everyone uses for their everyday. Tyrants will topple.

7752. Elliott Haberthur, Springfield, MO, 65804
Freedom on the net always!

7753. Sarah Reburn, SPRINGFIELD, MO, 65803
I do not agree with this action to repeal this law, I do not support this action, and I hope that the freedom of the internet will continue to be in the hands of the users and not the companies who would benefit financially from their ability to restrict it. This law is meant to protect the users interest. Removing it would only benefit big companies and make the internet a less user friendly environment. Don't make the internet worse than it already is or you will just isolate more people from a broader world view.

7754. Jack Kinder, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
This is absurd. Slowing down Internet on websites that the government 'Doesn't like'? That's like burning down fast food restaurants because some people say they are 'Unhealthy'. Some people are SAYING that fast food is unhealthy, but you don't see any health-fanatics protesting and burning down a McDonald's do you?

7755. Johnny Vogele, St. Peters, MO, 63376
The internet needs to remain a space free where content isn't slowed or completely blocked because an ISP decided they wanted to, or the aforementioned content's creator refuses to pay the fee presented by the ISP to be displayed

7756. Caleb A. Cunningham, Springfield, MO, 65803
My explanation is simple. If we as a nation believe in freedom then the net will remain neutral. Any other outcome only proves that our government does not believe in freedom of speech, freedom of press, or freedom in general. Is this what we want our legacy to be? That

we were too scared or had too much money to gain from lobbyists to protect the freedom of the American people? The ISP's do not own the internet. The internet belongs to every person on Earth and it is us who much decide what we do or do not want to see on it.

7757. Joshua P, Ferguson, MO, 63135
The internet should stay open. Allowing the internet companies to sell our data is horse shit.

7758. AT&T, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Net neutrality is a key in protecting citizen's right to free speech. By allowing the government to control who can see what, it is effectively removing the ability of citizens and other internet users to practice free speech. This is completely wrong and for no reason should the government have control over what we can do unless it could cause harm to others. Along with limiting free speech, the entire world of internet commerce will be disrupted as well. Now the large companies can pay to have more advertising space and to limit the space of their competitors. This destroys the chance of a small business making it in any way, shape or form without knowing others they can ask for favors. This is only asking for more illegal activity to take place in order to try and make an honest living in society.

7759. Angelina, Cameron, MO, 64429
Net neutrality should remain. Changing it will have far reaching consequences. Students searching for research material, parents searching for the perfect gift, small businesses trying to compete with big businesses, companies researching new products to replace failing ones, elderly searching for the right retirement facility; all these could potentially become much harder if these changes take place. The Internet should be the go to place for information and should not be controlled by the ISP available in the area.

7760. Cory Hall, Keytesville, MO, 65261
I urge the FCC to maintain Net Neutrality.

7761. Madison Wisner, Harrisonville, MO, 64701
The internet needs equal and open access. Giving ISPs the power to sabotage competitors sets a dangerous precedent. I support strong net neutrality back by title II oversight of ISPs.

7762. Jeff Tran, Lenexa, MO, 66219
I paid for internet service to be unlimited and not tempered by my ISP. There should be no one who can restrict my access to the internet as this is how I get information on whats going on in the world. No one should have the ability to control the internet.

7763. Spencer Voiss, Rolla, MO, 65401
Net neutrality is an essential part of a healthy internet and society. Losing protected status would cause the internet to become vulnerable to corruption, and bring us closer to a world where information is restricted and freedom is limited. Please fight for the people of this country and keep the internet neutral.

7764. Nathan Ditzler, Ozark, MO, 65721
Leave the internet open and do not tamper with. You will only hurt your cause if you believe in free speech. IF you really do believe in it, in saying so to your constituents, then please vote to keep it open. Otherwise your terms in office will end and you'll be voted out, and this farce will be rescinded after you leave. It may take some time, but it will happen. You however if time and the history books are kind, will forget you and your name and how you voted to stifle free speech. If it's unkind, it will name you, how you voted, and how your life was turned into a shambles. How your friends, family, loved ones all reviled you, rejected you and you ultimately died. Penniless, friendless and mourned by none.At least, that's how I would think you would end up if you voted to mess with a free, open, competitive, and LIVING Internet. Which is almost what you have right now. ISP's are doing all of the things we want stopped and they know it, you know it, and we the people know it. We want it stopped. Vote to keep the internet Free and open. Do not listen to the ISP's.

7765. Evan Youngblood, Kansas City, MO, 64110
The fundamentally neutral nature of the internet has been a key component of its success and has created millions of American jobs within and outside of the technology sector.Without equal and universal internet access for all, we are devaluing a critical tool for our nation's students, small businesses, and everyday people.So much has come to rely on easy access the internet and its contents â€“ job applications, access to medical information, classrooms, businesses of all types and sizes, etc â€“ that eliminating the protections provided by net neutrality would be a catastrophic event economically and socially for the United States, and would place us at a severe global disadvantage.I urge those responsible for the safekeeping of our internet, DO NOT REPEAL NET NEUTRALITY.

7766. Austin Wiggins, Lees Summit, MO, 64082
Capitalism is what runs this country, but you can't let greed ruin our freedom. If ISPs block websites, I take the chance if being improperly informed. Our education is so poorly developed some websites are better at informing me more properly of our world politics, educational studies, etc. than our teachers. Additionally where did the power to the people go if this passes? You just gave power to the businesses to control what we consume. I'm just confused to where our freedom is going.

7767. Robert Ford, Kansas City, MO, 64119
Real talk. Human to human. The internet as a whole is a landscape that should not be controlled to the extent that this policy is suggesting. To restrict someone of their right to non-sensitive information is a fundamentally flawed notion to begin with, and trying to suck money out of the situation (which, let's be honest, that's what it's all about) is even more wrong. This serves no purpose other than to inconvenience the population for the benefit of companies who have already had their fair share of blood sucking.From me and the rest of the internetDon't.Just, no.Robert Ford

7768. google.com, Arnold, MO, 63010
Why would you want to block the internet. They realize as soon an there is a loo-pole in the contracts people are going to get around it. internet shouldn't be prioritized. It should be free to all and fast speeds. I know that many conservatives are thinking about this and to them i say as a gun owner who voted for Trump and a conservative dont do this. This will cause damage that our country doesnt need

right now. if anything it is that we need to think about the future and how this will effect the country. this will cause people to uproar. i understand why you want this but this isnt the way. if you guys should slow anything it should be things that cant be found on google because those are the sites that are against the laws and hackers can go though to get into systems that they shouldnt be in.

7769. James E Cain, Steelville, MO, 65565
Telecommunications companies have the worst satisfaction ratings in customer service. There is no push for a nationwide Internet, or discussion of how to make poor products better. AT&T has (de)-evolved from copper-backed DSL and U-Verse to satellite cable service. Unlimited data services are insanely expensive. With this track record, why am I supposed to feel that telecommunications companies have *MY* best interests at heart. They keep putting Net Neutrality on the agenda and it's funded by big money. Net Neutrality will ruin consumer protection and change the Internet we know, forever.

7770. Will Bowman, Wildwood, MO, 63011
The internet should always be free to everyone. Small startups should be treated the same as large companies.

7771. Laura Harris, Jackson, MO, 63755
This is and grubby. There is no need for it because the rich will still get rich with net nutrality still in motion. There is no reason to take away something that hurts no one but helps everyone. Please help stop them from killing anyone that isn't already a top dog.

7772. Seth Killion, Joplin, MO, 64801
The internet NEEDS net neutrality. Internet service providers should JUST provide, not dictate what sites load faster than others and throttle or even block content they don't want me to see.

7773. Arthur Nyanzi, Oakville, MO, 63129
The internet needs freedom to thrive in this society. The internet is a great way to consume media, stay connected to society, and having their voices heard. It shouldn't be up to internet service providers what websites a customer is able to visit. A person is paying for an access point to the internet. They aren't paying for the internet itself.

7774. Caleb Stevens, Arnold, MO, 63010
The idea that this freedom could be taken from the populace and have major companies take over truly appalls me.

7775. Hannah Reinbolt, rolla, MO, 65401
This country is based around freedom, and our founding fathers were not here when the internet was created but if they were they would probably stand for Net Neutrality as well. The point is to give the public the chance to live like they choose to live with no overbearing power controlling their every step. Controlling internet usage and how people access information seems to defile the vast knowledge available to us and endanger what precious freedom we still have. Please. The big problems start with small decisions. If the right pair of eyes gets to see this small comment, please remember what this is really about.

7776. Travis Coria, Kansas City, MO, 64114
The only people who want this passed are ISP executives. It's anti-consumer and gives them a way to nickel-and-dime a market which doesn't have a lot of competition to begin with. If you care at all about the working class, do not pass this.Thanks,Travis

7777. Joe Collum, Maplewood, MO, 63143
Keep the net neutral and free to consumers! Don't give ISPs power to throttle, block, or prioritize at their will.

7778. Luat, nevada, MO, 64772
Keep net neutrality. I dont understand why you would like to have slow internet that YOU will have to SPEND more MONEY on.

7779. free speach, Arnold, MO, 63010
Please don't take this from us.

7780. Gina Licameli, St Peters, MO, 63376
Unlimited access to the internet is not for the government to regulate. This will inhibit the freedom of the American people.

7781. Cain Harris, Jackson, MO, 63755
I can't believe we're having to do this again. The fact that anyone at the FCC is even considering dropping net neutrality is disappointing to say the least. The only people that don't like it are the major ISP that stand to profit on it being gone. Our government already has a bad enough reputation. If the FCC allows net neutrality to fall it's just going to be adding to the pile of ways the US government is failing its people by taking bribes, yes modern lobbying is just bribes, from major corporations that in no way represent the majority. Getting rid of net neutrality either means the people at the FCC are not paying attention or they just legitimately do not care what the US people actually want.

7782. Matthew Glosenger, Kansas City, MO, 64155
The net should be kept a fair and even platform under the current guidelines. The net should be classified as and treated as any other utility. The chairman is incorrect in his comments that neutrality has stifled companies investing in infrastructure, as per those companies own comments, there has been no change in their plans.

7783. Ryan Grimaud, St. Louis, MO, 63103
I believe that regulations on the internet are inherently against everything that the United States of America was founded upon and stand for. This will be the inevitable first step towards a dictatorship in which the people come second and the tyrants and big businesses come first. Please do not let corporate America take away our rights as not only individuals but as Americans.

7784. Sam Golden, St Louis, MO, 63104

The FCC needs to maintain its oversight role in preventing millions of consumers from price gouging and invasion of privacy by internet providers just interested in maximum profit.

7785. James Moore, Lee's Summit, MO, 64086
To restrict or remove net neutrality is synonymous to disregarding the fundamental principles of the internet. The internet is built upon the concept of being governed by no central power, but rather distributing power among any and all individuals and proportionally toward collective groups of individuals only by the perception of any such power by the peer network of users. A lack of net neutrality allows for large ISPs to choke out and throttle back any ability for a user of a given ISP to view content that said ISP does not support or is otherwise disgruntled with. This is not acceptable to the public and cannot be allowed to happen in the interest of any individual. It is also not acceptable for the reason that it may obstruct our rights as American citizens to freedom of speech and, by natural extension, the freedom of the press.

7786. Kevin Frayne, St. Louis, MO, 63044
The internet is an powerful method of communication that transcends race, color, religion, sex, and educational level. It allows humanity to connect on levels that were never before possible. The internet was created to be open for all; without neutrality I may not be allowed to be typing this message. It is remarkable to me that this amazing tool is trying to be taken from the public and instead given to rich CEO's to control and exploit. It would be an absolute travesty to have something so ingrained in modern society to be limited as limiting the net limits what the everyday person can learn and achieve. It is unconstitutional and could so very easily abused. There is no benefit for the public whatsoever. Please keep the web free and open, the way it was intended to be.

7787. Conrad Allen, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
I've written multiple longer and more eloquent messages to the FCC and my representatives in the past, but unfortunately I find myself needing to write YET ANOTHER message for YET ANOTHER attempt to ruin net neutrality and I honestly doubt anyone will ever read any of these messages.All I'll say this time is that the internet should be a golden age of freedom of information and communication, and you're proposed regulatory changes are completely anathetical to this. I'm a software engineer. I work with highly-talented software engineers. None of us can think of any convincing reason to support undermining or weakening net neutrality that doesn't involve personal investments in ISPs or bribery, and my office is pretty divided politically.Please stop. Please, just... stop.

7788. Mary Dolman, Independence, MO, 64050
Please start siding with THE PEOPLE, and not the corporations. We THE PEOPLE need access to a wide variety of information. Allowing internet companies to force websites to pay extra to be seen will stifle competition and reduce consumer access to information.

7789. Leah Bockhahn, St. Joseph, MO, 64506
The internet is not meant to be controlled by the government. There are many facets of our nation that are limited due to your status and access to information should not be one of them. The internet is a place that offers those without access to education a place to go and learn. Those that are usually underrepresented are able to access information and resources that they wouldn't otherwise be able to. Under FCC-CIRC1705-05 II. 8. it is stated that the United States is â€œto preserve the vibrant and competitive free market that presently exists for the Internet and other interactive computer services, unfettered by Federal or State regulation.â€ This is a vital part of this legislation. We, as a nation, want our people to have access to information despite their financial status and despite any regulation or involvement from the government. When we begin to regulate information, we begin to fall. Paid-prioritization is immoral and will alter the information that is viewed and absorbed by citizens based on who has the most money. Title II was a very important step towards allowing citizens access to information without inhibition. Reverting back to Title I would be reversing ourselves back to something less. Net neutrality benefits citizens, students, businesses and the general public. Allowing providers to regulate and limit access to information is unjust and allows for the unequal distribution of the wealth of knowledge. Information should be accessed at the will of the user, not at the will of an ISP. The internet is and should remain an open space for information exchange and access to knowledge and education for all.

7790. Dale, St. Charles, MO, 63304
The loss of net neutrality would cause a monopoly of many services by ISPs denying competitors bandwidth.

7791. Laura Schrewe, St. Louis, MO, 63109
The internet should not be restricted for anyone or monopolized by corporations controlling access. Keep net neutrality.

7792. Dale Clerkin, Kansas City, MO, 64119
I am against Net Neutrality. The internet is a utility that should be treated as a utility. I ask that you vote to keep the internet open.

7793. Wayne Browning, Liberty, MO, 64068
Net Neutrality has to be kept in place. Cyberspace is a great leveling field. It can give "the little guy" a shot at the American dream. The implication of controlling the news cycle exists as well. Think about a cable provider intentionally slowing CNN down in favor of Fox News or vice versa. In the world we live in Speed matters. If a company can pay to tilt the speed in their favor, they will.

7794. net neutrality, St. Charles, MO, 63304
Please, I beg you to reconsider rolling back net neutrality protections. I believe everyone should be on an equal playing field on the internet. Websites should compete based on quality of content, not whoever can pay the most for viewers. It's what keeps us innovating, expanding, improving, and it holds us accountable. Keep information open to all.

7795. Sam Kaddah, blue springs, MO, 64014
the costs of internet access is escalating as is by Comcast, ATT and others for the consumers, now if you approved this we will suffer from slowness and increased costs passed on to us by the service providers. the only winners will be the communication companies who want to choke our access and accumulate huge profits above an beyond what they are making. this is not neutrality if approved. this is pure greed and in American.

7796. Keep, springfiled, MO, 65803
Keep net neutrality it helps small website so much and thing like Facebook and discord that started small will stop existing, for the most part, killing the free internet and people with money will be the only ones who can afford fast internet speed.

7797. Debra Kirchhoff, Concordia, MO, 64020
I am a librarian in a small rural community, open access to information is crucial to those of us with limited broadband access.

7798. Jacob WInslow, Overland, MO, 63114
An open and unfiltered internet provides the tools for small and independent businesses and consumers to explore, express, create and thrive. An open and neutral internet ensures that all people are able to find/use the tools they need to become economically self-sufficient or to create new ideas and jobs in their communities. Thus protecting them from large corporations, entities, or wealthy individuals from monopolizing ideas and resources.

7799. Michael Sullens, Springfield, MO, 65806
How many times do we have to tell you to cut this shit out? Net neutrality is vital to the preservation of the economy.

7800. Anthony Clapp, Kansas City, MO, 64123
The internet is meant to allow information to flow freely, not at the beck-and-call of those with money. So many major companies of the day, such as Amazon, were only allowed the space to thrive in their infancy because they were not subject to the measures that will be taken by companies if net neutrality is repealed. Innovation requires the space to grow.

7801. Nick Walker, Columbia, MO, 65203
I support Net Neutrality and the ability to keep ISPs from discriminating against different kinds of traffic. Removing protections put in place under Title II will allow ISP and big corporations to stifle out competition from small competitors who cannot afford to pay ISPs for prioritization to access their customer base. By allowing any kind of "fast lane" prioritization or any kid of data cap exemption that service providers have already tried in the past fundamentally discriminates against the kind of new advancement that an open net can bring. I work in the tech sector and having an open internet without restrictions is important to my livelihood. So I urge the FCC to not repeal regulations put in place to keep large service providers from stifling competition.

7802. Joseph Hallmark, Marshfield, MO, 65706
I already pay to access the internet, its wrong to have us pay more to access different parts of the internet. Getting rid of net neutrality would allow ISP's to control more our lives, as the internet becomes more and more a part of life. Big ISP already have local monopolies throughout America, we don't need them dominating us anymore. Big ISPs could block or gimp sites such as netflix which could threaten some ISPs (Comcast) who are also cable tv providers. That is highly unfair and would only suit to further that oligarchy.

7803. Elizabeth Sullivan, Raymore, MO, 64083
I support net neutrality. Everyone should have the right to choose which websites and apps to access and utilize without the interference of an ISP or any other entity. Please protect our freedom to choose without financial or any other penalties.

7804. mim Armand, St. Louis, MO, 63117
I'm a successful developer who started from nothing, I learned most of what I know and I use daily at work from the internet and I continue to learn on a daily basis and I believe that an open and neutral internet helps to distribute the opportunities in a fair way and gives a chance to grow to one's potential like myself!Please do not put this at risk for the profit of few!Let's keep the internet, the way it is! open and accessible! it helped all of us over the past decades, it helped the whole humanity and it still has a lot more to offer!Do not put this achievement in jeopardy for the sake of all of us and those who come after us.Sincerely,Mim

7805. R Arden, Lee's Summit, MO, 64081
Net neutrality is essential for the creation and appreciation of many kinds of content. It is also the primary reason many businesses, large and small, are able to flourish. Net neutrality must be preserved

7806. megann, maryland heights, MO, 63043
net neutrality needs to continue to listen to the people and not bow to companies simply because of money. We the people need more competition within our ISP choices and now that will only come if the government breaks up these oligopolies by classifying them as utilities and forcing them to play nice.

7807. Jordan Marushak, Columbia, MO, 65202
Dear Senators and Representatives:Please support any amendment that would strike out Sections 628, 629 and 630 from the Government Appropriations. These sections would undermine the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and prevent the agency from enforcing these critical protections. It is unacceptable to use a budget bill to circumvent the FCC's open rulemaking process that millions of citizens participated in.The American people have called for strong net neutrality rules. Congress should let the FCC do its job, and not engage in unproductive partisan brinksmanship.Thank you,Jordan Marushak

7808. net neutrality, Columbia, MO, 65201
i swear to christ if you guys vote against net neutrality your all going to lose your jobs next election

7809. Trevor Mullen, O Fallon, MO, 63366
Kill yourself greedy corporate cuntsTrevor Mullen

7810. Parker Smith, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
ISPs don't care enough about their customers to warrant this kind of authority that jeopardizes net neutrally. This nation's free market economy is falling apart because of big-name companies' authority to control their own revenue potential. Unacceptable.

7811. Nate Jones, Nixa, MO, 65714
We believe the internet should be completely free. There should be no regulation on what we can access. We deserve the right to explore all content published on the web, that is what makes the internet great. Do not restrict it!

7812. Rafael Rodriguez, St Louis, MO, 63109
Nobody should have the power to dictate, how or why i surf on the web, or have to power to charge me more to look at different websites that are not in line with the ISP agendas. Keep the internet under title II. A company will tell you anything to make you feel at ease, but once you have voted in there favor, there is nothing in there way so they can do what they want! ISP needs oversight so they don't take advantage of people.

7813. Colin, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Do not trust ISPs to treat the internet fairly and with respect. This is an equal opportunity digital world, and large ISPs (among others) would love to turn it into an uneven, pay to participate battlefield. This will impact everyone, including you FCC

7814. Deborah Buchholz, Republic, MO, 65738
The internet is free speech and information! I know I have used it in a pinch or when just casually browsing. Please keep our internet free in this land of freedom!

7815. Scott Hayden, Columbia, MO, 65203
ISP's having the ability to regulate what another services connection speed is creates a form of censorship for ISPs

7816. Dan Stiehr, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
The Internet is designed to be a place for discovering new information and content, as well as the expression of creativity. It provides a voice to all regardless of economic status, ethnicity, or background. Net neutrality laws threaten the nature of the Internet by putting its future into the hands of ISPs who will operate in the best interests of their own monetization.

7817. Matthew Hawley, Columbia, MO, 65202
To whom it may concern,Please help defend net neutrality. I appreciate everything you do and am sure you have read a million messages like this already. All I ask of you is to defend net neutrality. Thank you and have a wonderful day. You are amazing.-Matthew

7818. Casey McDonald, Ozark, MO, 65721
The internet is the only place that people can go that isn't dominated by massive corporations. It's a medium for true free speech and if that's taken away then there will be backlash that you can't imagine.

7819. Jim McGowin, Columbia, MO, 65203
Keep the net free for all of us! I'm in support of strong net neutrality.

7820. Alfa Ramirez, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
I am writing today about net neutrality. As a psychology student about to graduate with her bachelors I understand the needs of my fellow peers and colleagues with the internet. What we want is net neutrality- we want access to all of the internet at all times. Without net neutrality we are subject to washing out important sights and small online businesses that make America great. In regards to the internet access I would not have been able to do psychological research for three years if it had not been for small sites that would be limited or run out of business if it were not for net neutrality. Overall, sights we all love would be limited and slowed down. Many big corporations would lose many loyal consumers if this were to pass- overall affecting the access of resources and so much more. Nowadays everything is on the internet- and if this were to pass many people would be affected including yourself. Please do not consider revoking net neutrality- everyone will just be hurt.

7821. sarah, springfield, MO, 65806
I oppose an open internet! Restore and save the internet

7822. Anna Estes, Jackson, MO, 63755
Net neutrality is a simple right that we, American people in the 21st Century, must keep. In modern context this right is on the same level as the right to religion and the right of free speech. By jeopardizing the rights we have on the internet, a fine line will be drawn when it comes to free speech and free petition. We cannot allow this part of our American freedom to be in the hands of the federal government.

7823. Jake Christmas, Webb City, MO, 64870
I believe the internet should be a land of equal opportunity, just like our great nation. I do not believe that my ISP, or any ISP for that matter, should be able to block content they do not like, or require customers to pay for load times on sites, or require a payment to access certain cites all together. This places a burden on the lower class, who might barely afford their existing internet bill. Removing Net Neutrality may also harm non-profit or public institutions by causing these organizations to spend more for vital resources, or hinder their ability to access vital resources entirely. Please keep the internet neutral, for everyone.

7824. David Stovall, Springfield, MO, 65807
Net neutrality is a basic human right in the modern age.

7825. Steven Curran, Wentzville, MO, 63385
Please keep Net Neutrality. I am an avid gamer and a Software Engineer so most of my life revolves around free and open internet access. I am frequently work on websites and have several apps out there that rely on the internet and ISPs remaining pro-consumer and open. More importantly, open internet access is essential to education, exploration, and freedom of information.

7826. Michael Ladd, Raymore, MO, 64083

One word... FREEDOM! Look it up and stop trying to take it away.

7827. Brian Washington, St.Louis, MO, 63031
Please to not allow the Internet service providers to change the online experience in this way

7828. Kevin Will, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I am FOR a strong net neutrality and Title II

7829. Benjamin Reifschneider, Washington, MO, 63090
I don't believe that we as American citizens should be restricted in any way through what we can consume on the internet and that giving corporations the power to restrict that by monetary or other means goes against the basic freedoms we should be allowed to take advantage of in our modern society.

7830. Scott Arthur, Lexington, MO, 64067
Taking away net neutrality isn't good for anyone but large internet providers. Paid prioritization will kill innovation and probably many smaller companies that depend on the internet for their livelihood.

7831. Net Neutrality, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Please keep the internet free of restrictions. The people want it. I know, however, that you might not care too much about what the people say. Although I'm not really sure, as I don't know you personally. Just please keep the wellbeing and quality of life of other people in mind when making the decision of net neutrality.

7832. Tyler Lifke, St. Louis, MO, 63112
Hello. My name is Tyler Lifke and I am a computational neuroscience researcher at Washington University in St. Louis. I am in support of net neutrality; specifically, I want Title II protections to stay in place to prevent ISPs from playing favorites in terms of Internet speeds and data caps with particular websites. It has been argued that this is an unnecessary protection and that the ISPs can regulate themselves; I would argue if this is the case, there should be no need for these protections to be repealed, since their being in place is, at worst, an unnecessary safeguard. However, I believe ISPs would exploit their consumers without Title II since they already do so in their data caps, local monopolies, and unfair billing practices. Anyone who has ever used an ISP (which is pretty much all people in the USA) knows that ISPs financially gouge their consumers any way that they can and only back down when you threaten to drop the service. This character of ISPs will apply if Title II protections no longer apply to them and consumers will be hurt.Thank you for your consideration. Please ensure that Title II still applies to all Internet Service Providers.

7833. John Medina, Mexico, MO, 65265
The internet should be open. We live in a country that promotes free market competition and ending net neutrality will fundamentally disrupt every business that uses the internet and hinder future companies from succeeding.

7834. Marut Tangtrongwanit, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
Net Neutrality provides a level playing field for online commerce and removing it makes competition worse and could lead companies such as Netflix or Twitch or even small-medium size companies to pay an extra fee if they want to have par load times.

7835. Blake Davis, Kansas City, MO, 64117
I want you to protect net neutrality, and prevent big businesses from limited access to sites. The internet is a market and should be protected as a open and free market. Not to mention the internet being where many people show their art and share ideas. Business should not be able to prevent or limit access to any site. Protecting net neutrality is protecting the first amendment of the united states.

7836. David Ellis, Doniphan, MO, 63935
Keep the internet free from corporate interests. Support Net Neutrality.

7837. Stephen P Roll, Saint Louis, MO, 63112
The internet has been so valuable as an engine of economic and social growth because it provides a level playing field for entrepreneurs and citizens to pursue new ideas and create new businesses.Allowing ISPs to discriminate against data from certain sources not only harms the consumer experience of the internet, but stifles one of the few major engines of innovation in our economy.Don't fix what isn't broke.Stephen P Roll

7838. Josh McLinn, Advance, MO, 63730
I need an FCC chairman that will fight for the people, not a corporate lapdog bragging about his giant Reese's coffee mug. Save the internet. Save free speech. Save us.

7839. Brian Palmer, Webb City, MO, 64870
You MUST protect Net Neutrality. Anything less than a guaranteed open internet by government regulation is a failure. Title II has NOT "stifled innovation." Title II has NOT been a burdonsome regulation, except in those cases where industry wanted to be able to do something unsavory just to make money. And the utilities that have fallen under Title II haven't been stifled. They've thrived. They've made boatloads of money. They will continue to make boatloads of money. Letting ISPs do whatever they want will simply allow them to engage in LEGAL extortion. Net Neutrality is good for the citizens of the United States. Those are the citizens you have sworn to protect. You must do this. You must uphold Net Neutrality rules under Title II.

7840. Frederick Daniel Luetkemeier, Columbia, MO, 65203
Rolling back the 2015 Title 2 Classification laws that keep the idea of "net neutrality" in place has absolutely no proper place in the United States. Companies fall under the authority of the government, and the government represents the interests of the people. Letting Title 2 fall would be detrimental to the general public, only serving to line the pockets of already incredibly wealthy and successful corporations. The motion to "deregulate the internet" benefits NO consumer and represents the interest of NO citizen majority. Title 2 is

one of the few pieces of legislation that makes the internet competitive for smaller companies, while also preventing larger ones from controlling what the public sees through monopolistic behaviors. Let's keep it that way.

7841. John Morrison Jr., Columbia, MO, 65203
There should be no restrictions on internet usage. I should be allowed to view any site or use any app I want on any device I decide to use. I do not want companies deciding what sites I can visit because they only want what is in their best interest.

7842. Elijah L Baker, Kansas City, MO, 64133
"Whatever is my right as a man is also the right of another; and it becomes my duty to guarantee as well as to possess."

7843. Kyle Perry, Columbia, MO, 65203
Hello, I am a 20 year old college student, VR Cinematographer for StoryUP Studios and recently a fall intern for NASA Johnson Space Center. The internet is where my life lives, everything I do for work or entertainment usually ends up on the internet in the best ways possible. Also being a millennial I have, in many ways, been raised by the internet. As awful as that sounds I actually think it is great, it has allowed me to connect with likeminded individuals and helped me figure out life as well as understand others experiences in life. Empathy is a fantastic by product of the internet, and if the internet wasn't free and fair then all the beautiful benefits for humanity would disappear. Thanks for reading and please protect Net Neutrality!

7844. Anna Snow, Independence, MO, 64055
Net Neutrality is needed in order for our country and the world to progress. Anna Snow

7845. Thomas Mitchell, Kansas City, MO, 64119
Keep Net Neutrality, keep the internet open!

7846. Beau Lewis, Joplin, MO, 64804
The foundation of an open and free internet is more important in today's society more so than ever before. The wealth of knowledge, entertainment, Resources, and enterprise here on the free internet is as much a part of our day to day lives as eating and sleeping. We as a people have shared and consumed so many things on the World Wide Web that to risk access to these vital gateways is almost as unimaginable as losing your home, or your job, or your loved ones. This is not just a creative play on similarity, it is actually a possibility if title 2 classification is removed. Many people do have there jobs online, many people can only reach there loved ones online from distances of a mile to ten thousand miles, many people use the internet to protect themselves at home and away and for others it is how they consume the many things going on in the world around them as it may be there only outlet to do so. The internet as I know it today is a vital resource not just for me, but for every person living in a advanced society such as the United states and to treat it as any less than a vital utility is a slap in the face to Lower to Upper Class Americans, Small Business Owners and Enterprises, Government Officials and Activists, and yourselves. You the person screening these is using the power of the internet to do your day to day job, to check Facebook, to look up how to videos on making dinner for your family tonight, to pay bills, to shop for presents and groceries, to talk to your family from out of town, to check on current events IMAGINE if your day to day life was obstructed by something as simple as "My ISP decided that this was not accessible on there platform" or "My ISP says that I should receive slower connection speeds because the site owners didn't pay enough or they have a site that they own that they deem to be threatened by my preferred site." Imagine having no choices at all. That is limiting to business. NOT Net Neutrality. No choices or the limitation of choice is what chokes our economy. And if you think for a second that those that feel they can make a profit by limiting your choices to only there's won't do it for moral reasons you have another thing coming. Look at history. Its not opinion. It Is Fact. Thank you for listening and I Support and OPEN AND FREE Internet. I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY!

7847. Taran Burgess, Boonville, MO, 65233
I live in a small town in mid Missouri and our town offers two internet providers. Of the two, I am only able to utilize one company due to my home's location. I have a data cap and frequently go over, tending to pay $20-$40 more than my base bill. I do not have cable because paying for that and internet through this company would cost more than my overages for data use. Net neutrality in small or rural towns allows for equality among those Americans and easy access to information, people, and news. Without net neutrality, this society specifically will be negatively impacted. The removal of net neutrality will not foster competition in this area and I will be at the mercy of my provider. As a full time employee and part time graduate student, the potential loss of net neutrality will significantly impact my future and have negative repercussions on my education. I implore you to reconsider reversing net neutrality rules and allow net neutrality to continue. Thank you for your time.

7848. Seth Shaw, liberty, MO, 64068
Leave Net Neutrality alone. If you wish to make changes, but say you support the principles of Net Neutrality, then make changes to support the consumer paying for services. Altering speeds, blocking content, prioritizing certain websites, and/or favoring anything in any way (all without the consumer's consent) is morally unjust and not morally permissible in any fashion. It is the idea of a paternalistic ISP, forcing their consumers down paths by punishing those that want to explore freely. If you are unfamiliar with paternalism, freedom, moral rights, fascism, and the Non Aggression Principle (NAP), or what happens (historically speaking) when one favors fascism over freedom, then the mentality to give the consumer (that pays for their service) the ability to use their service as they wish might be hard to grasp. I do not believe that the power given to the ISP favors white people by taking the power away from those of color (because that sounds unlikely), but those that do believe such a thing are correct that the potential and feasibility exists. I don't know what you care about or who is reading this, but taking away freedoms and silencing people rarely works out in the end- and I wouldn't bet against advocates for racial opportunity in America, especially these days.

7849. Aaron Pearlstein, Bonne terre, MO, 63628
I believe Equal access to online information is a right for every American. That it gives everybody a chance on the internet to create what they want to create, To sell what they want to sell, and to be the individuals that they are. Many businesses in America have online websites that not only is advertisement for them but also a livelihood. How dare anybody risk Fair opportunity in the United States. Capitalism is only right if everyone has the same chance to make it out there. Net neutrality, it's only fair.

7850. Larson Ashcroft, camdenton, MO, 65020
keep.the.internet.free.NN all the way.

7851. Nicholas, Liberty, MO, 64068
If only the politicians would leave well enough alone. It's not like they are trying to fundamentally change control of our lives or anything.

7852. Dalton Brown, Reeds Spring, MO, 65737
The internet needs its freedom! We can't stand by as everything is either taken away, blocked, or making our bills more costly.

7853. Alex, St Peters, MO, 63376
Net Neutrality should not be repealed. Internet service providers should not have any influence over what content is available for their users to access. This includes throttling speeds when accessing certain websites. The only thing I pay my isp for is fast internet access. There are only two options for high speed internet in my area. This lack of competition will allow the isp to provide poor service with little risk of competition. It's already bad enough that we don't have real competition between multiple providers, but at least now I know that I'm paying for fast service across ANY website. I don't want to see this change. If anything the FCC should be working to stop these types of price gouging tactics from service providers.

7854. David Robinson, Greenfield, MO, 65661
Net neutrality MUST remain as is.It serves America well and should NOT be altered by outside corporate or political interests.Leave it alone.

7855. Aryn Crawford, Joplin, MO, 64804
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Listen to your constituents!!!

7856. Slade, Perryville, MO, 63775
Ive been hearing alot about the bill of Net Neutrality being repealed. Why? Yall are giving up our freedom on the internet so you can make money. Absolutly not. I am against this movement 1000%.

7857. Jordan Sanders, Imperial, MO, 63052
Net Neutrality keeps the internet free and promotes innovation. It also protects the poor and middle class's ability to use the internet as it was meant to be used, as without it, it is completely possible, and likely, for ISPs to price gouge by throttling speeds and banning websites without extra payment. In addition, they may demand payments from growing websites in order to give them proper service speed, which they obviously won't be able to afford. This blocks off new companies from becoming a success in the internet space, as well as endangering existing companies who don't want to pay ISPs blackmail. Just because people are using a large amount of data on a particular site does not mean there's any reason to charge the company additional payments; The users are already paying to use the data, and they are simply choosing to use it on a given website. It's akin to charging companies extra money for taking up too much storage space on a delivery truck, which is completely unreasonable. The issue of net neutrality is extremely important to me, and I hope you'll do the right thing and keep it in place.

7858. Michael Pauly, St. Louis, MO, 63146
I am writing the comment in favor of maintaining Net Neutrality in its current form.Having a free and open internet is essential to democracy, as it allows citizens to express their opinions and hear the opinions of others without a 3rd party interfering. It is the right and responsibility of each citizen, NOT their ISP, to choose what information, content, and services they use on the internet.

7859. Jared Kepko, Columbia, MO, 65203
Do we censor Libraries? Do we sensor knowledge the only men that wish to limit knowledge to the masses are considered tyrants. We live in a time of great change, and strife today we witness hate crimes daily however the U.S. Government wants to limit the knowledge in our country. As a nation we claim to be the best however we bring forth bills, and executive orders that hinder our populace. Without Net Neutrality we kill knowledge, the true equalizer in social economic status. You can be born poor, rich, white, black, Asian, Arabic, or Native unfortunately we live in a time where the color of you skin, and the area you are born matter. However allowing access to the full internet we encourage education. This allows for the em-betterment of not just the individual, but to the family unit. If you can not agree to the empowerment of your fellow citizens think of a more knowledgeable population. A young person today could become inspired by a speech, and become the next great American. A leader in the world by innovation just because they had the opportunity to utilize the massive cyber library we call the internet.

7860. Tanner Ray Nivert, Independence, MO, 64057
Coming from someone who's worked at a bank part time from the age of 16-18 then going full time from 19 to present day(21 years old). Losing net neutrality would be horrible.

7861. Harib Mian, Saint Peters, MO, 63304
Don't be stupid, keep net neutrality for the betterment of the people, not the wealthy

7862. Patrick Zhang, Kansas City, MO, 64112
I support net neutrality. I am not really sure what free thinking citizen would be against it. Freedom and liberty are genuinely at risk here, please reconsider this. Thank you.

7863. Anthony Mueller, Saint Louis, MO, 63128
Keep Net Neutrality. It is very important to having a fair internet

7864. Colin McMahon, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I can't believe we are having this fucking discussion again! The people fought tooth and nail to enact these Net Neutrality laws to keep

the ISPs form throttling our connections and censoring the internet. And now you fuckers want to dismantle all of that hard work again?! Do what's right for the consumers and american people, not the broadband companies. THIS IS YOUR JOB!!! We the people demand a free and open internet and we will fight for it no matter the cost! PROTECT net neutrality! Protect the consumers! And stop giving in to the demands of shitty companies like Verizon, AT&T, Comcast, Time Warner, Cox, and all of the other piece of shit internet service providers who continue to suck money from consumers for more and more bullshit like the dirty fucking parasites they are! And as for you Chairman Pai, you;re not a Verizon lawyer any more! You're a public official tasked with protecting the american citizens! Fucking act like it! And if you think my rage will go away, it won't as long as the internet is threatened I will continue to fight! And just to put things into perspective, without the internet, I will have an extremely large amount of time on my hands and nothing better to do than make it clear to as many people as possible that YOU are the reason the internet died. Good luck ever holding a public office again when 90% of the american populations knows what a corrupt piece of shit you are!

7865. James Stack, Lees summit, MO, 64063
Net Neutrality is mandatory for all people. Any laws made to restrict, control or otherwise manipulate access or content on the internet is in direct contrast with the American constitution and the beliefs held by the American people.

7866. Eyen, Saint Louis, MO, 63132
Why should the companies we are paying to have access to the internet be able to restrict our access, just so they could potentially charge us more money for access we have already payed for?Eyen

7867. Maia Fitch, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
Keeping net neutrality is paramount as many people depend on the use of the internet to conduct business or to communicate

7868. Blake Riddle, Des Peres, MO, 63131
I am absolutely not okay with this proceeding. Net neutrality allows everyone the same access to content and taking that away would be extremely detrimental to many many companies. This will effect every person and the only reason i see that this is even discussion is because some of the richest people in america (the heads of major Internet Service Providers) are looking to make even more money and continue their already major control on internet access and monopolization. I recently graduated from college with a bachelors and it would not have been possible without equal access to all websites. I know that many startups also have only been able to succeed because they had a fair chance at getting customers. With this implementation, the benefits of a free web will be completely taken away and there is no reason to do so other than give the already extremely powerful people atop the cable and internet service providers more power to control what they want. I also would be completely unable as a recent graduate to find a job in today's society where everything has been switched to online processes. These are just some of the many reasons that i could talk about where the equal access to everywhere on the web is beneficial and helpful where it could be disastrous for millions upon millions of people if this were to be taken away. Moving on from this, there are not many options for internet service providers in many cities across america. I grew up in saint louis and throughout my entire life, there have only been 2 options for an ISP. This is practically a monopoly and the options that we have are not very good within both choices. There has been been zero incentive for these 2 companies to compete for our services because they have similar service. The internet speed has not increased since implemented at my home and this has a lot to do with the companies seeing no need to upgrade their systems here. On yet another note, the FCC is in place for the people's protection from content that may be on the web or over television. They should have no right to change or alter in any way the access people have to these services. If this is ultimately implemented, access will be taken away to many many sites and services and it will only be a detriment to the people who are already paying for a service in which they have no control over the quality of the service. The reason that we pay ISP's for their service is for access to the internet. There is no access to specific emails or storage of any kind. Just access. We may choose how much to pay for what speed we desire but that is it. These companies already have a ton of power and this change would only give them more. Please save our net neutrality.

7869. Nicolaas Hyatt, St. Charles, MO, 63303
I support Network Neutrality. Do not change the current rulings.

7870. Courtney Harris, Columbia, MO, 65201
Net neutrality is a vital mandate that keeps the public informed and prevents monopolies. Our freedom as consumers is severely compromised is net neutrality is scaled back, and I cannot support a government that wants to limit it.

7871. Justin Shepard, Fenton, MO, 63026
There is no logical reason to pass a bill that gives preference to Internet speed. This seems ethically wrong, especially since the Internet has allowed so much technology to grow because of his free it is

7872. LetsNotDoThat, Benton City, MO, 65232
Lets keep this clean and simple. Don't fix something that's not broken. Freedom is everything to this country so don't go tryin to suppress it now because that's not gonna work or be pretty for anyone in the end.

7873. Jennifer Byrd, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Not everyone has access to free, cheap, accurate information, even here in the U.S., where we pride ourselves on being "The land of the free". This fight isn't just about the cost of information for American citizens, but what the information is going to cost the American citizens. We trust the government to provide critical news when that news becomes available. We shouldn't have to pay more just for the privilege of getting it.Jennifer Byrd

7874. Joseph Presson, Saint Charles, MO, 63304
I strongly support strong net-neutrality regulations. Keeping the internet open is in the best interests of the public and small businesses.

7875. William Benjamin Phelps, St. Peters, MO, 63376
I support net neutrality, because I use multiple websites on a daily basis. I also believe that the internet is our main form of communication, and slowly becoming the only form besides face to face. To limit what we can do online is to limit freedom of speech.

7876. Cohen Babcock, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Net nuetrality is the only thing keeping the internet from being monopolized by one company that then uses it's exclusivity to exploit consumers. If you, as governm,ent officials, still value personal gain over the individual rights of your constituents, it shows a lack of human decency within politics.

7877. Conor Hughey, Foristell, MO, 63348
Don't allow the machine to own us, to own you. We MUST mutually, symbiotically coexist. It is our nature to fear...but it is also our nature to thrive. We cannot thrive without each other's aide. With your help, we can progress toward building a glorious utopia; one of spiritual freedom, community, and communion with each other and with Earth. It will take time, and there will be bumps in the road...but I know we can overcome the trials and tribulations. Together. It is time to end the fear. Save open communication, save the Web, save the world.

7878. Benjamin R Frey, St Louis, MO, 63110
The internet has been the greatest achievement human's have ever built. A chaotic and beautiful network built to connect people via the devices that we use every day, every hour. An open internet provided by ISPs and regulated by the FCC should not only be a privilege but a right. I make a living off building web software. I keep in contact with my friends and family that live states away. This is possible because of a regulated and open internet. I've also been a video gamer all my life. Many online games could be throttled at the whims of the ISPs if that publisher/developer doesn't pay them enough. I've paid an ISP for years with the agreement that they provide a fast pipe to any part of the internet that I need or want to connect to. They call their internet "fast and reliable." That would no longer be true if we allow the ISPs to do whatever they want. Net neutrality is one of the most important issues facing our country and one that myself and many of my fellow Americans will fight tooth and nail to protect. Broadband ISPs must continue to be subject to greater oversight under Title II.

7879. Cara Hinkle, Springfield, MO, 65802
This bill is wrong and needs to be thrown out. This will hurt so many small businesses and upcoming businesses. Anyone self employed will also suffer. This will only encourage corruption in big companies and our government. Leave the internet alone.

7880. Brian Stallman, St. Louis, MO, 63119
Please retain the current net neutrality rules under Title II of the 1934 Communications Act.

7881. Kyle Simpson, Arnold, MO, 63010
The people in DC should not dictate the usage of my internet. The country does not need paid prioritization and my freedoms should not be infringed upon.

7882. Ami Welch, Springfield, MO, 65803
I do not find it fair to end net neutrality because without the net neutralness we stand to no longr be able to have our freedoms that are granted to us. I'm deaf I use video relay to make calls. If you should end net neutrality then what's going to happen to my video relay? That's how I communicate. I shouldn't have to pay extra money to be able to communicate with other people . I play video games. I shouldn't have to pay far more money to be able to hang out with people and have fun. This keeps a lot of people out of trouble. Keeps them off the streets. I don't care if our kids get obese because of that. It isn't your job to deal with our children it's ours. But we reserve the right what we want to see and not see. We don't need parents blocking us from seeing things or what not. Let me do that for my children. Let me be the one to make the choice what they see and cannot see. But you how ever I do not need policing what I see and do. So please have mercy and understanding. It just isnt right. With the way things are these days. G rant us the respite and the chance to have this freedom. Most of us cannot afford it. This .. internet is my peice of heaven. I just can't afford to pay out more just to stay sane. Pleaes support us and net neutrality. It's all I ask. Ami Welch

7883. zachery logan cook, kansas city mo, MO, 64151
the internet should remain a freedom and a privilege. taxing it is wrong because it is a world wide public service.good day

7884. Alex Erickson, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
We need net neutrality, no reason to charge more for certain websites. Smaller sites will lose traffic and will cost big sites big money just to get close to the traffic they used to. All in turn its also screwing the consumer by making it pay more for worse internet

7885. Ellia Lilliech, Imperial, MO, 63052
The future of this country needs Net Neutrality. If we are being throttled, controlled, blocked, and paying through the nose to outrageous ISP providers then we will continue to wither and fall behind other countries. The internet is our road map to success. It is used for schooling, work, socialization, and numerous other activities. Commercial interests cannot be able to control how we use this country's roads. We paid for the development of the internet with our taxes, it is a necessary utility and we can not allow commercial interests to dictate who can use it and how.

7886. Ed Queen Jr., Nixa, MO, 65714
Keep the internet open and free! NO ONE should have the ability to control the content I see or at the speed at which I see it! For any company to have the ability to pay to have their content presented front and center over all others is not only unfair to the smaller, less wealthy content providers, it also goes against a free market society, and is completely un-American! A few years ago the FCC did the right thing by upholding net neutrality, do the right thing again, but this time tell those that wish to control the net for their own monetary gain to shove it up their ass!Respectfully,Ed Queen Jr.

7887. John, Wildwood, MO, 63038
It is very important to me and to the rest of the users of the internet that net neutrality remains intact. Companies shouldn't control what we can see and what the world can see. Biases and unfair business advantages can come in the form of paid promotion from some websites if net neutrality is affected. The internet must remain neutral

7888. Matthew Scheidt, California, MO, 65018
Selling legislation to product distributors or their influence peddlers is not a service of the public interest, is not "of the people", nor "for the people", and it is not done "by the people" who procure & often need those services. I state this so broadly because its scope exceeds internet freedom.All to often the government legislation has, at the behest of lobbies and campaign donors, placed the public in a position where they are being fed upon by parasitic institutions- heath care, insurance, the pharmaceutical industrial complex, mental heath, advertising, media, and, the lawyer caste.I want internet freedom. I want to choose what applications and content I receive without interference, blockage, or filtering from a source not of my choosing. Choosing a provider with a list of choices, like a cable company has choices of channels, is not freedom of choice. It would be a freedom of choices, choices limited to the handful of service providers available (I can count those in my area on one hand) mandated without guarantee that they will not offer 99% similar content.Is internet provision to become a racket where ISPs strong arm funds from content providers in order to "be listed and accessible"?Is such a legislation even possible without blatantly violating freedoms of speech and press?I do not think so.I say NO.

7889. Adam Bergman, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
I am on the internet a lot everyday since most activities are impossible for me. A few years ago i was in an accident that left me paralyzed from the chest down and to cope with my loss i turned to activities on the internet. Long story short, as an avid internet consumer i feel that allowing my ISP, someone i already pay $$$, to decide what type of internet experience i have is a mistake. The internet doesnt need its restrictions or suggestions. Let it be free. Thank you.

7890. Caleb Clark, Webb City, MO, 64870
The first amendment doesn't just protect face to face interaction and what is written on paper. The internet is no different, just the new medium.

7891. Jim Everett, Platte City, MO, 64079
Network Neutrality and common carrier based communication in the U.S. has a long history dating back to the use of the telegraph. The internet should adhere to our traditions of everyone being equal by leaving Internet Service Providers classified as a Title II common carrier service.Network Neutrality is important!

7892. Trenton Van Horn, Ozark, MO, 65721
Internet's fine leave it alone.

7893. Samuel Bumgardner, Springfield, MO, 65807
Net neutrality is important to me for my work and personal life, and without it I could see all sorts of problems cropping up on the internet for people both domestic and international.

7894. Nathan Lane, Nixa, MO, 65714
The internet is a place where everyone is equal. Nobody is judged by the way they look, only by the way they act. Net neutrality means that the internet belongs to whoever has the money. That's not what the internet is supposed to be. You can't own the internet anymore than you can own the sky or the ocean. The internet is for everyone. FCC wants it to be for no one.

7895. Michael Alan Taylor, Creve Coeur, MO, 63146
Get your shit together. Their is nothing wrong with the way the internet is now. Leave it alone!

7896. Ethan Bradley, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
The internet needs to stay completely open. No preferential treatment can be given to any one party; this is a platform built for everyone. Everyone needs to be on a level playing field on the internet.

7897. scotie , Joplin, MO, 64801
the fact is thanks to the fcc tv and radio has been killed all interment value. the consent state of being told what i can say and do is just wrong. i feel that special interest group and up tight parents tell me how i can talk and do. i have freedom to say any thing. given i will have to put up with receptions. i see some of the reasoning be hind it . but the internet is my safe haven there is some dark places . never the less there is some good ones there to. the world is looking like it going to the point we are not going to have that freedom in thee future. people online are real even if they are full of hate that fine. there are some nice people one line. from what i seen the people how are pushing to control how people are to act. are worse thin the people who are as anita says garbage human beings. the with the fcc telling me and the people on the tv. what they they can say or do. not every one is five or the mom of that kid. not every one has the same taste in what they want to see . there is a power button or a channel button. just saying man there are those options.to end it all this is my points. the fcc are just killing the entertainment factor in tv. with this in mind i know this the internet. here are online we are free to be as we are. not same little robot or a drown. this not the best writhing format. because i suck at English. scotie

7898. Gavin Likes, Independence, MO, 64052
WE ARENT NORTH KOREA!! So why would this even be considered? Taking away what we pay for as customers should be illegal to the same standards as theft!

7899. Hope Dodson, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY!! Do NOT turn over control to private corporations!

7900. Jennifer A Silverman, Saint Charles, MO, 63304
I support Title II net neutrality rules and I urge you to oppose their removal.Jennifer A Silverman

7901. Jeffrey, St. Louis, MO, 63146
Please keep net neutrality, its deeply needed and widely supported

7902. Katherine Rodden, Belton, MO, 64012

I stand for net neutrality and will not accept anything but internet freedom.

7903. Austin Lance, Nixa, MO, 65714
I wish to not be at the mercy of my ISP controlling the websites I may visit nor the speed I can access the websites they wish me to view. I respectfully ask that net neutrality stay active to prevent ISP from becoming internet access tyrants.

7904. Nicholas Ryan Caskey, Peculiar, MO, 64078
I understand that the decision on Proceeding 17-108 is a tough one to make. However, it is my belief that if the protection that has been placed on the internet through the Title II classification is to be lifted, it will negatively affect small businesses and startups not only in the US but also around the world. Lifting the protections placed on the internet will allow Internet Service Providers (ISP's) to theoretically restrict data speeds to whichever organization they choose, which stunts the growth of new companies, especially ones that could introduce further competition to the existing ISP's. One such startup I'd like to bring to attention is known as Discord. To keep things short, Discord is an online communication platform similar to Skype or Facetime that allows users to communicate across the globe. Since it's beginnings, Discord has grown extremely popular due to it's simple design, and the terrific staff that help build it. Discord itself has essentially been one of the binding forces that keep my friends and I together. It's enabled me to keep in contact with my friends daily, keeping up with them and allowing them to keep up with me. It's allowed them to help me get through my own personal issues, and has allowed me to help them as well, even going so far as to talk someone out of a suicide attempt that otherwise may have been successful because I could not reach them. As such, this platform means a lot to someone like me. However, if the Title II protections had never been in place, Discord may not have existed. ISP's may have fined any company that used it's network millions of dollars to use their faster services, and for a startup like Discord, this would have been fatal to it's growth. Discord would've never existed, I never would have been able to stay in contact with the people who have helped me get as far as I have, and I never would have been able to save that one friend's life. They would be dead, because I would have never even met them. The effects of giving ISP's this kind of freedom are not limited to here however. If Title II protections are to be lifted, ISP's could restrict data speeds to companies like Netflix, Hulu, Amazon, and other media streaming groups. They could do this so much so as to eliminate these companies from the media market, forcing people to pay the ISP's more money to see the same media, effectively creating a sort of pseudo-monopoly on that sort of market. In summary, lifting the Title II classification from internet services opens the door to catastrophic economical action for ISP's to take for no one's benefit but their own. As such, the FCC should work to keep the Title II classification in place, in order to protect the economic growth that startup companies and other groups promote, as well as to allow the people of not only the US, but of anyone who uses the internet to remain free from restriction based upon the types of data they are using.

7905. Ryan Quinn, St. Louis, MO, 63114
I support net neutrality and would like to see the practice be continued.

7906. Greg Allen, Springfield, MO, 65806
I use the Internet frequently in my everyday life. I maintain contact with many family members, friends, companies, and institutions through various services and programs that are all independent of my ISP. Freedom to access the Internet is a high value issue for me and is important to me and those I interact with.While there are several Internet Service Providers to choose from in our area, we made our selection primarily based on the Internet access speeds offered and prices, rather than services tied to the ISP itself. I want the FCC to have oversight ability in regards to communication services and maintain Internet neutrality laws that secure our freedom of Internet access and usage. Thank you, Greg A.2017-07-12

7907. Rebecca Anderson, OFallon, MO, 63368
The internet should be free for everyone. We do not need big money controlling YET ANOTHER aspect of our lives.

7908. Paul Cohoon, Kansas City, MO, 64118
The internet needs to remain free and neutral for the benefit of everyone. Do not do away with net neutrality, removing net neutrality will only lead to problems for all.

7909. Britney Meyer, Lees Summit, MO, 64086
I am in support of Net Neutrality! Please don't pass anything into law that would overturn it.

7910. Jonathan Daniel Stainbrook, Kansas City, MO, 64117
Dear FCC,I am asking that the FCC please defend my rights and the rights of millions of Internet users by upholding net neutrality protections. The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Paiâ€™s proposal to hand the ISP monopolies like AT&T, Comcast, and Verizon the authority to engage in data discrimination, stripping Internet users of the necessary privacy and access safeguards we worked for and won just two years ago. Iâ€™m concerned about ISPs being allowed to discriminate against certain types of data or websites because this will give them the power to target websites and services that I frequently visit and use. Allowing the ISPs to discriminate would allow them to slow down services so much that I can barely use them. I would most definitely be put in the "slow lane" and be forced to pay as much as the ISPs see fit to allow me internet speeds and services at the same rate that I have now. Only being a year out of college and now having to pay back loans, this would essentially cut off my access to the vast amount of important information and leisure entertainment that I now enjoy because of my inability to add another expensive service to my already full plate of loans, bills, and unforeseen future expenses. Currently, the existing net neutrality rules mean that Internet providers cannot slow or block our ability to see certain websites or engage in data discrimination by charging websites and online services money to reach consumers faster. However, if Pai's proposal is to pass, then this will all be overturned. The big ISPs have already demonstrated that they will abuse the system should Net Neutrality fall by openly admitting they would create "fast and slow lanes" to dump people in as they see fit, utilizing thousands of bot accounts and messages with fake names associated with them in support of overturning Net Neutrality, and various other nefarious deeds as I am sure you have received a lot of information on by now. Abolishing Net Neutrality only benefits the ISPs and no one else.As was proven 2 years ago when this was originally being discussed under a different name, I and the rest of the American people do not wish for Net Neutrality to go away. The internet is where I get all of my local, national, and world news as I do not have access to television currently. If Pai's Proposal passes, I would no longer have unlimited and unbiased access to important happenings around the world and my home. ISP's could and would restrict my access to seeing only what they want me to see. Also, if

the ISP's slow down my streaming and internet access services, it would be much more difficult for me to get up to date information on bad weather in the area. I live in the midwest, which is frequented by many very hazardous storms. I rely on the quick and up to date information I get from streaming the news so that I can be safe during these storms. Finally, I use the internet to stream videos, music, movies and to chat and play video games with my friends who all live far away from me. With Net Neutrality gone, ISP's can slow all of these services down to a crawl, making me unable to spend my free time conversing and playing games with my friends or enjoying a nice movie or show after a hard day at work.As our elected representatives, I implore you to uphold you duty to the American people. It should be obvious by now that no one besides the major ISP's wants Pai's proposal to pass. Please take to heart what I and the rest of the population are saying because if Pai's proposal passes, the internet as we know it will be gone and a new age of censorship and abuse towards the poor and middle classes by those with a lot of power and money will begin. I appreciate you maintaining Title II net neutrality rules and the rights of Internet users like me and I am asking that you continue to do so.Sincerely,Jonathan Daniel Stainbrook

7911. Dustin Woodcock, Sullivan, MO, 63080
Anything other than keeping net neutrality laws in place will prove that the current government doesn't care what it's people want, only what makes them money/

7912. Joshua, St Louis, MO, 63129
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It would be against the greater good to remove the rules and regulations set in place by Title II. We as a nation, and yes even as a business, would suffer from the loss of these rules. The negative backlash would be astronomical, not only from the citizens of the US, but also from citizens of foreign countries who rely on US online business for a large portion of their profits. I, as a citizen of the United States of America, support net neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs.

7913. Austin Stricker, Moscow Mills, MO, 63362
As a citizen of these United States, I request the FCC does not endanger Net NeutralityWhy do we need it? Here's some info from savetheinternet.com on the topic (https://www.savetheinternet.com/net-neutrality-what-you-need-know-now)"The internet without Net Neutrality isnâ€™t really the internet. Unlike the open internet that has paved the way for so much innovation and given a platform to people who have historically been shut out, it would become a closed-down network where cable and phone companies call the shots and decide which websites, content or applications succeed.This would have an enormous impact. Companies like AT&T, Comcast and Verizon would be able to decide who is heard and who isnâ€™t. Theyâ€™d be able to block websites or content they donâ€™t like or applications that compete with their own offerings.Without Net Neutrality, how would activists be able to fight against oppression? What would happen to social movements like the Movement for Black Lives? How would the next disruptive technology, business or company emerge if internet service providers only let incumbents succeed?""Whoâ€™s attacking Net Neutrality?Big phone and cable companies and their lobbyists filed suit almost as soon as the Net Neutrality rules were adopted. Free Press jumped in and helped argue the case defending the FCC â€” and on June 14, 2016, a federal appeals court upheld the open-internet protections in all respects. However, the ISPS are still trying to challenge these rules in court.Meanwhile, industry-funded Net Neutrality opponents in Congress have done everything they can to dismantle or undermine the rules. Legislators have introduced numerous deceptive bills and attached damaging riders to must-pass government-funding bills.The 4 million people who spoke out in support of Net Neutrality in 2015 are fired up and ready to fight back â€” and you can join them here."Why is Net Neutrality crucial for communities of color?The open internet allows people of color to tell their own stories and organize for racial and social justice. When activists are able to turn out thousands of people in the streets at a momentâ€™s notice, itâ€™s because ISPs arenâ€™t allowed to block their messages or websites.The mainstream media have long misrepresented, ignored and harmed people of color. And thanks to systemic racism, economic inequality and runaway media consolidation, people of color own just a handful of broadcast stations. The lack of diverse ownership is a primary reason why the media have gotten away with criminalizing and otherwise stereotyping communities of color.The open internet allows people of color and other vulnerable communities to bypass traditional media gatekeepers. Without Net Neutrality, ISPs could block speech and prevent dissident voices from speaking freely online. Without Net Neutrality, people of color would lose a vital platform.And without Net Neutrality, millions of small businesses owned by people of color wouldnâ€™t be able to compete against larger corporations online, which would deepen economic disparities.Why is Net Neutrality important for businesses?Net Neutrality is crucial for small business owners, startups and entrepreneurs, who rely on the open internet to launch their businesses, create markets, advertise their products and services, and reach customers. We need the open internet to foster job growth, competition and innovation.Net Neutrality lowers the barriers of entry by preserving the internetâ€™s fair and level playing field. Itâ€™s because of Net Neutrality that small businesses and entrepreneurs have been able to thrive online,.No company should be allowed to interfere with this open marketplace. ISPs are the internetâ€™s gatekeepers, and without Net Neutrality, they would seize every possible opportunity to profit from that gatekeeper position.Without Net Neutrality, the next Google or Facebook would never get off the ground."Thank you for your time

7914. Gary Kuper, St. Louis, MO, 63116
Please don't take away Net neutrality. It is vital to the progression of mankind.

7915. keagan Turney, Festus, MO, 63028
The internet should be available to all. That's why it exist. If isp' s charge for web access and slow our speeds. They might just lose business more than make it

7916. Brad Massey, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Regulation is needed. we do not need another way to limit information.Brad Massey

7917. Haden Hobbs, Mexico, MO, 65265
Do not let companies gain total control over the internet, they could abuse that power in many ways to hurt all kinds of Americans for a profit.

7918. Tessa Grissom, Wentzville, MO, 63385
Please keep the net neutrality laws. Smaller companies and businsses will be hurt by allowing big companies to pay their way to fast

internet. A fair and level playing field is in everybody's best interests. I don't want information from AT&T to get to me faster than information from neopets. Please don't give preference to those with money. It will hurt diversity in websites, it will hurt the amount of information on the net, and if you do vote to change this it will hurt my congress representative when they don't get re-elected.

7919. Allison Owens, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Net neutrality is an important component of our right to freedom of expression. WIthout it in place as a safeguard our ability to express ourselves and to seek out others' opinions, ideas, and beliefs will be inhibited to the point where the only opinions we can read or repeat are state and corportation approved. Rolling back any rulings on net neutrality is the first step to dismantling the first amendment.

7920. Veronica Wynhausen, Joplin, MO, 64801
Keep the internet free and open! Net neutrality is important to the American people.

7921. Jason Reck, St Peters, MO, 63376
A free and open internet is the foundation for new technologies and innovation. Without it, free speech is not possible.

7922. Ife Albert, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I believe net neutrality is important for an open market and good service

7923. Denise Fattic, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Net neutrality is imperative in a democratic country that values free speech. If we eliminate net neutrality we are no better than those countries that control what their citizens see.

7924. Jim Patterson, independence, MO, 64052
In the best interests of The People, Please preserve Net Neutrality. There is enough monopolies in the telecom industry and we need to have a way to level the playing field for all players. Without this safety net my small business and many other small to medium sized businesses would suffer undue duress.

7925. Paul Lisker, Saint Louis, MO, 63141
As a common citizen invested in the future of the Internet, the FCC's Open Internet Rules, commonly known as the net neutrality rules, are very important to me, and I am sending this letter to urge you to heed the call of your constituents and the country and use your power to protect them.Simply put, the Internet has flourished due to its fair playing field, where websites thrive if they are well designed or if they offer a useful service to the public. This balance would be threatened is the FCC's rules were repealed.Internet Service Providers (ISP) should never have the power to decide which websites I can access, or the bandwidth allotted to them. ISPs should not be able to split the Internet and favor certain websites over others, create "fast" or "slow" lanes for companies depending on their willingness to pay.This is not simply a theoretical threat: we know as fact that Comcast, AT&T, Time Warner Cable, and others have throttled or even blocked certain websites or services that depend on the Internet. Verizon even announced that, if the FCC removes these essential rules, it will create fast lanes for websites willing to pay a premium for bandwidth and give them an edge over websites that aren't. As a citizen who relies on the Internet to buy the best products and to have a fair view of businesses, the lack of these rules would be devastating. And, furthermore, my ambition to open a small business would be crippled if larger businesses could undermine my online presence by their financial might.This possibility isn't a legal question; courts have already decided that, were the FCC to end the Title II classification, FCC would have to allow ISPs to offer fast lanes to websites for a fee.The time is now: Chairman Pai has made his intentions clear: he will repeal net neutrality.I am sending this letter to the FCC's open proceeding, but given Chairman Pai's conflict of interest as a former Verizon lawyer, I worry that he is proceeding with tunnel vision and will ignore the call that I and millions of others Americans have made.As such, I am also forwarding this letter to my members of Congress. Please do your utmost to support the FCC's net neutrality rules based on Title II and publicly denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Use your power to change his mind in this vital issue.Sincerely,PaulPaul Lisker

7926. Brett, St. Charles, MO, 63301
The open internet and competition online is extremely important. Things like paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling will kill the internet and take away everything that makes it the essential tool to modern productivity today. There is only one internet and all rules must apply to your home, mobile, and any other connections equally! Thank you.

7927. Kenneth Canole, Columbia, MO, 65203
When I get on the internet I believe that it is equal regardless of opinions or bias everyone on it is equal. As things should be. If you allow some people to pay for the right to have faster connections your stamp out possible new services and stifle creativity and progress. Also allowing ISPs to block sites they want would force their control over me. Especially when I pay for internet I should get to decide what I see and when I see it. When you pay for a connection to the internet your not asking for them to "censor" it for their ideology or beliefs among other things. Your paying for a connection to the information equally. I personally do not believe it is in our best interest to allow the ISPs to govern themselves they should be kept in check. Also Federal dollars of which some are mine through taxes have been paid to advance rural internet yet I still only get 1.5 M download speeds barely a mile outside of a city with four major colleges. Explain to me where that money went and where they have improved the infrastructure. Thanks.

7928. Andrew mELAND, COLUMBIA, MO, 65201
Stop being selfish!

7929. Wahi Jones, Raytown, MO, 64138
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversights and of ISP's. Thank you

7930. Jeffrey Gifford, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Attacking Net Neutrality is a violation of your oaths to protect the American people. Its truly disturbing to see the government have a vote on stripping peoples rights and basic freedoms to fatten the wallets of others. Make no mistake the people know this action is being taken to censor the population and reign in power and free thought and nationwide communication. It is a proven fact that countries that

lose Net Neutrality will suffer higher unemployment, less innovation, less presence on the world stage and slower advancements in tech and the economy. It is also a known fact that your bill will hurt every consumer and only help ISP's. This is utterly disgraceful and anyone responsible for this bill getting this far cannot be allowed to serve the public any longer. They are corrupt, disturbed, liars. This bill is an attack on the American people and the American way of life and it goes completely against common sense, decency, and the will of the people. Your actions that even threaten true internet freedom is a textbook reason the American people are born with second amendment guaranteed to them forever. TO PROTECT OURSELVES FROM THE GOVERNMENT. TO PROTECT OURSELVES FROM YOU AND THIS.

7931. Calvin Tulipana, Liberty, MO, 64068
Don't ruin one of the best things we has human beings have created. Please leave net neutrality in place.

7932. Lynette K Paape, Neosho, MO, 64850
The internet is one of the only places we get to choose sometimes what we do or see. Letting big companies pay to make sure we see their websites vs what we want or the content we want isn't okay. Keep the internet free.

7933. Paul M Vandivort, St Louis, MO, 63116
Net Neutrality insures that we have equal competition between websites. This keeps the costs down for all kinds of services that we use on the web. All traffic on the web needs to travel at the same speed. Companies like Uber would not exist without Net Neutrality. We want the new companies like Uber to be created here in our country, and if we fight Net Neutrality those companies will be created someplace else. Please Please support net neutrality.

7934. Matilynn Kester, Valles Mines, MO, 63087
Net neutrality is essential to keeping the internet open and free. We already experience enough advertisements from ISPs as well as everybody else, which prioritizes what we see anyways. The internet is a free place, and needs to remain that way. We shouldn't have our access to features and websites on the internet restricted because of who is providing our internet for us. It's unjust to repeal net neutrality.

7935. Melinda K Lavenau, Lee's Summit, MO, 64086
Please keep Net Neutrality. I make YouTube videos, and support YouTubers who make content and sell their music or films through small third-party websites. I would like to one day do something similar, and Net Neutrality is essential in keeping that possibility alive. Privately generated content is one of the greatest benefits of the internet - in fact, my YouTube channel is how I met my husband. Keep the net neutral. Thank you.

7936. Adam Lampley, Kansas City, MO, 64111
We all need to stand against this. Sadly most people are unaware of the trouble this will bring. People at the top are looking to make a quick buck but don't understand the bigger picture. I see this bringing on a cyber war that telecom companies won't be able to win.

7937. Partida, Whiteman Air Force Base, MO, 65305
Please keep the internet free. Controlling the internet is like controlling where we go in life.

7938. Courtney Edwards, Kansas City, MO, 64152
Keep net neutrality rules the way they are. Access to information is a right and we cannot let our information be controlled by the rich, corporations or anyone else. Access to the internet allows small business to start, grow and thrive. Without open access to the internet, our society will take another giant leap toward being entirely controlled by corporations and the rich, and we cannot allow this to happen. Keep the internet open for everyone, thank you.

7939. Michael Gaither, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I support net neutrality. Internet should be treated like a public utility and not subject to extortion or favoritism.Michael Gaither

7940. Wendy Velicer, Wildwood, MO, 63040
Removing/changing net neutrality gives too much power to internet service providers.

7941. Tracy Parks, Kirksville, MO, 63501
Please keep the internet free use of the internet for All. Please continue net neutrality. It is out choice. Represent that.

7942. Nathan Anderson, Lees Summit, MO, 64082
Net neutrality must remain for the betterment of all mankind.

7943. Ian, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
I support Net Neutrality and you should too, don't be a walnut.

7944. Matthew Slimmer, Wildwood, MO, 63038
The internet needs neutrality. Allowing service providers the ability to prioritize or block content goes against the standards the internet represents and presents reprehensible possibilities for these ISPs to control such a free and open resource.

7945. Seth Mabry, Springfield, MO, 65807
Hello,Net neutrality should be part of our freedom as Americans.Seth Mabry

7946. Aideen O'Brien, St. Louis, MO, 63119
Keep this frontier equitable. Please.

7947. Vicky Howell, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Dear Fcc and Congress. We are controlled enough by your government regulations and buddy systems with deep pockets. Enough is

enough. Leave it alone.. We are the people, means just that, not only for the rich, but, all the people. If we want to watch a video or send a note, or, even create a video you want to make us pay... we pay constantly for every move we make. I am on social security and don't have enough to buy groceries let alone any thing else. Stop. Leave it alone.Vicky HowellVicky Howell

7948. Ian Spradling, Maryville, MO, 64468
If you remove restrictions, companies will take advantage of this and give priority to paid content and the power of a single voice will be reduced and limited. Net Neutrality is essential for free speech on the internet. This issue goes beyond party ideology and straight to the heart of free speech.

7949. Garrett Sample, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
I believe that net neutrality needs to stay forever. It will upset alot of people in the process and hurt alot of internet based business aswell. We need to keep the internet a free place for people of all kinds to go to whichever site they please without it being blocked randomly by ISP's or someone else. Also speeds slowed down for no reason is not a good option aswell. Please keep the internet the way its been. Keep it neutral.

7950. Aaron Speir, Springfield, MO, 65807
The FCC needs to keep the Title II Classification on ISP's. Although they say they will keep an open internet, even under Title II governance, they prove time and time again that they are anti competition and against the free market. Letting them loose to only police themselves will only exacerbate the issue.

7951. Zivi Sokolic, Columbia, MO, 65203
The full internet is essential not only to my livelihood and the livelihood of many, but it is also the one place where all people are free to express themselves in whatever niche subculture fits them best.

7952. cornelia theys, centerville, MO, 63633
What are you thinking. Leave it the way it is!!!

7953. Samuel Lingle, Saint Louis, MO, 63143
Please, I am begging you. A free internet is where i learned to speak with other people. It jumpstarted my ability to converse in the real world, and i would be nothing without it. Please do not take this forum away from other children who are like I was. Please give them the open internet that allowed me to learn how to be a funtional member of society.Samuel Lingle

7954. Nathan Miller, Elsberry, MO, 63343
Net Neutrality must be upheld because of the immense use the internet is to the entirety of the population. The vast array of different websites and services on the web serve many different purposes and fill many niches. If Net Neutrality were to be struck down, American capitalism and an entire generation of people will be betrayed.

7955. Andy Hine, Wildwood, MO, 63011
FREEDOM over PROFIT #battleforthenetAndy Hine

7956. Joseph Stephenson, Nevada, MO, 64772
This takes the simple freedom of the internet away from everyone. Just because a company doesn't want you looking or going to other sites.

7957. Ray Barber, Florissant, MO, 63031
Net neutrality is a freedom all people deserve and is a must have for all online communities

7958. Daniel Binder, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I strongly support maintaining and strengthening Net Neutrality rules. The Internet is one of the most equalizing technologies of our time. Fair and equal access to the internet is not only good for consumers but is also important to budding entrepreneurs and is good for the economy.

7959. Jeff Crow, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
Hi, I use the internet a lot, I am able to look up information, I learned things like; How to use Unity3D, How to build a computer, what's the newest hardware out their or that was announced, how to program, and much more. The internet has allowed me to search up endless amounts of information that would be nearly impossible to access. If you deregulate ISP's then that can spell disaster for start-ups, independent game developers, and for individuals. The internet is a vast hub of knowledge and it has a lot of power behind it. Saying, "If you don't like your ISP, then you can change." Is an invalid statement, some people do not have access to a lot of ISP's, they may have access to just 1. And what about broadband internet speeds? Your video chat would be nothing but a low resolution garbled mess. I have friends who I would of never have meet if it wasn't for the internet.

7960. Net neutrality, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
What you are passing is just horrid. The internet is meant to be a free place for all, accessing whatever we want, whenever we want. You are trying to ruin that? Why? Why ruin such a beautiful thing? Why ruin something that took 3.5 billion years of evolution to create? For money? Credit? To many the ISP's feel powerful? Money really is the root of all evil, isnt it?You are sick.

7961. Daniel Abrams, O Fallon, MO, 63368
ISP's already make their money and cover their expenses through the fees they charge for connections. They have no business controlling how accessible the internet should be based on how wealthy some company is. The internet is what has truly connected humanity, domestically and internationally. Please do not block that connection by revoking neutrality. We need unification, not more division. Less so the division that is controlled by greed.

7962. Alexandra Klein, Liberty, MO, 64068
I value equality. I value low cost. I value net neutrality.

7963. Alex W, Springfield, MO, 65803
I run a small web based business, we are a team of 5 that work with each other from all over the country. If the Major ISPs were able to control traffic they way they'd like - charging premiums for sites vital to my business - it would destroy us. This is America, and you're voting to allow the last true bastion of free speech and thought to be controlled and censored by greedy corporations for the sake of profit.No one person owns the internet, it belongs to us all.

7964. Meghan Green, Kansas City, MO, 64134
1. Because it is not feasible to have ten or twenty companies providing the internet in an area, it needs to be considered a utility and controlled as such. As without numerous and strong competition the market cannot be ruled by the consumer.2. Having an even playing field online, allows new companies to grow and develop. A new company should be able to be found online by how well they met the consumer's search, not by how much money they pay to get higher on the search results list or for how fast they're page loads for consumers. 3. Without net neutrality, consumers will be paying for a system that has already been bought and paid for by dozens of companies for the sole purpose of controlling consumers. By controlling what they can find online, what websites load in a reasonable time, and what services have a bandwidth to actually function. There is little difference between this reality and countries (like China and North Korea) who control what their people can see and do online.

7965. Paul Jude McCulley, Kansas City, MO, 64139
The Internet is a utility. It may not have been when it started several decades ago, but in 2017 having Internet access is a basic assumption like electricity and running water. Imagine if you had a lamp with a 75 watt light bulb, but the electric company only let it put out 60 watts of light unless you used a certain brand of light bulb. No one would stand for that type of electrical throttling on their electronics. Why should we say it is okay for our Internet?

7966. amber heath, St louis, MO, 63118
This is messed up

7967. Daniel Story, Joplin, MO, 64801
Net Neutrality is undeniably important and my life would be severely negatively impacted without it. Living in an area with access to barely a handful of ISPs, I would have very little option to deal with unfavorable services and charges, but Net Neutrality ensures I won't become a victim of monopoly. I conduct meetings weekly across the internet and would not be able to do so if my providers ever restricted my bandwidth to certain sites because of their private interests, which would affect not only me but everyone involved in these meetings. Without Net Neutrality, I'm not looking at just entertainment becoming possibly restricted, but many important aspects of my life.The internet is far too important not to keep regulated, so it comes to the FCC to keep up their important job and keep Net Neutrality strong.

7968. Joshua C Morrell, Branson, MO, 65616
I believe that Net Neutrality is a must to protect small businesses on the internet and it would be saddening to see our ISP's have basically a totalitarian control over the internet that and many others use. By repealing NN, you would be enabling a new market that effectively throttles one of the largest existing markets, which is asinine.

7969. tanner, kansas city, MO, 64129
this is bad keep the net neutral and if your right I say prove it show me and people like me why this is a good idea. from what I've seen this would effect my life and my college life with electrical engineering where I have to control where I can see and how fast I can look at it.

7970. Spencer Wheeler, Hardin, MO, 64035
I stand with Net Nutrality and having an open internet. I hope it will remain that way and that legislation can be passed to preserve our internet.Spencer Wheeler

7971. Edmond Zhang, Bridgeton, MO, 63044
We pay our ISPs money for Internet service and we expect that we are allowed to use it however we like. These companies should not be able to dictate what we are allowed to do online, its our right to choose and determine for ourselves.

7972. Nicholas Horstmann, Ballwin, MO, 63021
The internet was designed to be open and free, it has been this way since the start and it should stay that way. Everything should be done to protect the current state and openness that is available to everyone. Any limitation or restrictions placed on the internet will kill what the internet has become and the potential it has to become in the future. Do not let greedy companies and monopolies kill what we have going here. Ending net neutrality will be going against everything the internet is, it must be protected at all costs! Let no person, company, or government destroy this.

7973. Daniel Xiang, St. Charles, MO, 63303
If ISPs want to be protected like common carriers, they should act like them. Stop trying to kill net neutrality.

7974. Walter Barnes, Saint Louis, MO, 63112
One can't even begin to describe how *backwards* the current proposal is. I shouldn't be surprised that "Making America Great Again" involves such an asinine idea as allowing ISP's (who can now SELL OUR PRIVATE BROWSING INFORMATION thanks to another nonsensical rollback of an Obama-era regulation) to throttle websites on a whim. As if anyone needed more reason to hold cable/Internet Service Providers in absolute contempt, one of the only reasons most people even give these companies the time of day (and purchase their services) is to be able to stream web content. Any idiot can see that allowing them to throttle the content of their competitors (e.g. Netflix, Hulu, HBO Now, etc.) is a thinly veiled (yet futile) effort at pushing people back toward Cable TV service that

is over-priced, poorly-delivered, and *dying*, thanks to such actual great product offerings as those just listed.TL;DR if you end net neutrality, you will have a generational uprising on your hands, the extent of which you can only imagine

7975. Glennon J. Pudlowski, St. Louis, MO, 63111
I would like to add my comments to this filing on any action being considered to change the internet from the way it is today. I do not believe that the government should take any action in making changes to the way I use the internet or give any consideration to making changes for any business entity to curtail or adjust the internet connections or priorities of any accesses to websites in any way. Please take these comments as my way of adding my interest in keeping the internet free of any restrictions and leave it to be used the way that I'd like it to stay.

7976. Chris Marshall, St. Louis, MO, 63146
I stand by net neutrality. To do away with it would be a grave mistake for consumers.

7977. Megan Bua, Peculiar, MO, 64078
Net Neutrality is extremely important to our society and I do not agree with the FCC's attempt to destroy it.

7978. Christopher Beck, Blue Springs, MO, 64029
Don't censor the internet. Slower speeds just to keep down personal information being sent - the entire thing is ridiculous. As an American I am offended.

7979. Nicole Pyatt, Columbia, MO, 65201
Net neutrality allows us all equal access to discover our world and ourselves. Keep the net neutral, affordable and accessible!

7980. Meagan Nolte, Columbia, MO, 65203
Net Neutrality is essential for the more than 3.2 billion people across the globe who use the Internet, which touches nearly all aspects of the global economy. The FCC should abandon its efforts to obviate Net Neutrality.

7981. Ashleigh Herrin, Columbia, MO, 65202
As a millennial who has grown up as the internet has taken shape, I have experienced its evolution first hand. The internet has truly become a utility and should remain classified as such. Without fair access to the internet and all content posted on it, I wouldn't have been able to complete the homework for many of my classes (both in high school and college) or even take some vital courses that enabled me to graduate on time. Now, as a full-time worker, the internet is just as important to my every day life as it was back then. I use the internet to pay my bills and communicate with others, as well as find and apply for jobs. Without the internet, I would not have known the jobs that I have had as a full-time worker existed. The internet also allows me to stay up-to-date on all current news locally and worldwide. It is important to not restrict citizens' access to the internet and thus to important information, such as Supreme Court dockets and decisions, the latest news in politics, and the ability easily find and contact our representatives.

7982. Daniel Oliveros Guerra, Kansas City, MO, 64118
I do not feel the need to express my decision to support this cause.

7983. Ben Flores, Columbia, MO, 65203
Losing net neutrality gives unfair advantage to companies. Keep Net Neutrality

7984. Partida, Whiteman Air Force Base, MO, 65305
If you control the internet nobody is going to appreciate you.

7985. Wade McWilliams, Chillicothe, MO, 64601
I'm not going to pay a higher cost for porn, video games, movies, videos, and music, because some asshat in a nice suit wants more money to buy a new car or whatever while I can't even get into a game lobby due to a unstable connection mostly because their current regulations are lax allowing ISPs not having to upgrade their cables to provide better internet.

7986. Preston Bruce, St Louis, MO, 63112
The current state of the internet as a Title II utility helps business all compete on an even playing field.

7987. John Ruprecht, St. Louis, MO, 63123
To take away net neutrality is to take away our freedom, passion, and livelihood. In a growing field putting dependence on higher technology and computers, it is becoming more necessary to utilize the world wide web. By restricting this privilege, you restrict so much more; the very way we live our lives. Everyday we use the internet for various purposes: information gathering, entertainment, and up keeping our social lives. Please think of the many, MANY people you would be not only inconveniencing greatly from this change, but also shutting out from they rightfully deserve. Please keep net neutrality.

7988. Derek Van Hise, Springfield, MO, 65807
Net neutrality is essential to keep the internet open and innovative. I urge you to please not remove it, as it's helped so many online companies be prosperous without unnecessary restrictions.

7989. Codey Heathington, Webb City, MO, 64870
Being able to decide what apps/internet provider we choose as well as privacy information is huge. Net neutrality is important in todays world. Without it, we might as well be North Korea.

7990. Jessica Murphey, Rolla, MO, 65401
I support Net Neutrality, as do all of my peers. Getting rid of it would essentially limit our freedom of speech online and give ISPs even more power than they already have. United States already has some of the highest prices for internet than any other first world country,

and slower speeds too. Don't get rid of Net Neutrality.

7991. Parker, Kansas City, MO, 64106
Seriously, who is against Net Neutrality? This is the most obvious open and shut case imaginable. Do not let cable providers censor the internet. The end.Parker

7992. Zoey Barnes, Lee's Summit, MO, 64086
America is based off of the belief that everyone gets the rights to privacy (under the fourth amendment), and of course under certain circumstances that right to privacy is taken away. However for the free citizens of the US, which makes up a good majority of the population we have the right to research, watch, listen to, and use the internet as a place for asylum, entertainment, freedom of expression, anything you can set your mind to. When the internet is taken away, with it goes our first and fourth amendment rights guaranteed to us in the Constitution, that the lawmakers who created this want to live by. Lawmakers want people to succeed; they want people to go to school to get jobs to have careers to help the economy, which aides in national debt, however passing a bill that hinders our ability to research or learn or watch or listen or really any possibility within the internet is unconstitutional. I fully support net neutrality purely for the idea that this bill is an act of hypocrisy from the people who crafted it.

7993. Jamie Thompson, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I am 100% FOR Net Neutrality. DO NOT REPEAL IT!!!

7994. Matthew Alexander, Springfield, MO, 65807
Limiting what people see on the internet is un-American. Sounds like something North Korea would do.Matthew Alexander

7995. William G Hines, Springfield, MO, 65803
Keep Net Neutrality. The corporations are taking over this country and we can NOT allow it. I realize they have the money but Individuals must stand up and make a stand against the tyranny of the Nameless Faceless corporations. Net Neutrality is the last bastion of the freedom in this country. Please KEEP THIS COUNTRY FREE by allowing the internet to be a neutral and safe haven for FREEDOM.

7996. David Harman, Rolla, MO, 65401
ISPs should remain a title two carrier. This is best for both the American economy and it's people. With the ISPs as a title two, the Internet will remain the fair playing field it thrives as.

7997. Brian Hathaway, Eureka, MO, 63025
Why do I even need to be sending this letter you dipshits? Do your fucking job and represent the people.Brian Hathaway

7998. Robert Chitwood, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
As always with a proposal this unpopular, it's about helping special interests make a buck at the expense of consumers. Why else would Comcast, Verizon, and AT&T have spent half a billion dollars lobbying the federal government to kill net neutrality rules. I'm sure there are arguments against net neutrality rules, but none that favor consumers. Keep the rules as they are.

7999. Sierra Jenkins, clinton, MO, 64735
i feel very very very very very very very very strongly about this

8000. Bobby Feagins, Raymore, MO, 64083
Please protect net neutrality. It is critical to preserving American innovation on the web.

8001. Audrey Nemeth , Saint Louis, MO, 63122
Audrey Nemeth Audrey Nemeth

8002. Austin Morgan, Peculiar, MO, 64078
The reasons the internet the internet should remain free and open should be self evident. What these mega ISP's intend to do is not unlike what the Chinese government does to its citizens. Think about how appalled those news stories of China censoring some of the most common sites like Google and Facebook made us feel. This thing cannot stand!

8003. Michael Hudson, Kansas City, MO, 64157
Keep the internet free and equal. My major concern is by repealing net neutrality regulations we will open the door for websites that host content that some deem immoral, or offer a forum to discuss dissent and opinions inconvenient to the government and corporations that rule the American people, will be suppressed by throttling, or priced out of existence. This is fundamentally anti-democratic, and contrary to what the internet has become; the ultimate manifestation of our 1st Amendment rights. Maintain Net Neutrality. Protect America from the ever encroaching hand of corporate greed. Preserve our democracy, and The American way.

8004. Jay Ludlam, Columbia, MO, 65203
As a citizen of the United States and resident of Missouri, I believe it is in my interest that the FCC should uphold Title II and and leave net neutrality safe guards in place. Internet users should be allowed vital access and privacy protections and should not be subject to having to pay their ISP for privacy protections or to gain access to the websites I desire to access.I am concerned that ISPs would be allowed to discriminate against certain types of data or websites under the proposed changes. Thankfully, the existing FCC regulations ensure that ISP monopolies canâ€™t block or slow consumersâ€™ ability to see certain web services or engage in data discrimination by charging websites and online services money to reach people faster. Thatâ€™s the best way forward to make sure competition in the Internet space is fair and benefits Internet users and small businesses as well as entrenched Internet companies. The existing rule protects my intersts and should not be changed.Jay

8005. Briana I Gage, Hazelwood, MO, 63042

The internet has acted as a level playing field for all businesses since its inception. Giving ISPs the ability to charge companies so that their websites are 'faster' is really just giving the ISPs the ability to legally extort businesses. With as much as our modern society relies and uses the internet, giving these freedoms to the ISPs will hurt our domestic economy greatly.

8006. Mitch McElfresh, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
Dear FCC,The FCC should stand up for Internet users by safeguarding net neutrality. The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Paiâ€™s plan to hand the government-subsidized ISP monopolies like AT&T, Comcast, and Verizon the legal cover to create Internet fast lanes, stripping consumers of the necessary access and privacy protections we worked for and won just two years ago

8007. austin, Joplin, MO, 64804
Cable one our internet provider is throttling us enough. Net neutrality can give them advantage to even charge us triple of popular sites.

8008. Allen Bridges, Pleasant Hill, MO, 64080
Allowing ISP's to dictate what and how we view anything on the web is a violation of our freedoms as well as the freedoms of the individuals or companies they would be blocking!Allen Bridges

8009. Mary Baker, Des Peres, MO, 63131
Please leave existing net neutrality protections in place! As a homeschooling mom, I feel extremely privileged to be able to use the internet to educate my children. What a blessing that we have a world of knowledge at our fingertips. True research and education is gained from a wide variety of sources. If we lose net neutrality protections, ISPs could throttle certain sites or allow big name sites to pay for faster access. This puts the smaller sites at a huge disadvantage. The internet has made our homeschool experience so much richer and I can't imagine using an internet where certain companies/organizations/viewpoints are favored and made to appear more important than others. This is not the way I want to teach my children.....in fact this will hinder their ideas about critical thinking and how we educate ourselves and make informed decisions. True freedom is gained through smart rgulations. We need the ISPs to be regulated!

8010. Joseph Knapp, Maryville, MO, 64468
Net Neutrality is a critical aspect of our Internet. The benefits of ridding it do not outweigh the costs. We NEED Net Neutrality to support entrepreneurs and their brilliant ideas. I stand with Net Nuetrality.

8011. Megan Woods, Kansas City, MO, 64117
I strongly believe that net neutrality is the only way that large companies will not develop a monopoly over internet service in the US. For that reason I would like to voice my support of the continuance of the net neutrality legislation.

8012. Kate Harline, Columbia, MO, 65203
Net neutrality is essential to democratizing the dissemination of information and communication between citizens which should be defended by the first amendment -- the right to freedom of speech

8013. John Dresser, Florissant, MO, 63031
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. This isn't a partisan issue. This affects all of us.

8014. Jessica Lombardo, St. Louis, MO, 63128
Keep the internet open for everyone. Keep net neutrality.

8015. Dalton Martin, California, MO, 65018
Something we, as people ,are always fighting for is equality. Why would you take equality away from millions of people? Actions always speak louder than words and this action is one that would speak clearly to the people. It would tell us you do not believe in for all equality.

8016. Jeremy Schneiderjohn, St. louis, MO, 63128
Taking away the net neutrality laws is bad and would be damaging to the entire population of the united states of America by making the internet more expensive and open to censorship by corporations. Keep our freedom

8017. timothy chenoweth, Saint Joseph, MO, 64504
Stop this we should be able to do anything we want on the Internet besides any thing illegal If we want to watch streams then we can please and thank youtimothy chenoweth

8018. Phillip George, Springfield, MO, 65807
Open Internet that is accessible to as many Americans as possible is critical to our growth, especially as more information and vital services are put online for convenience or cost-saving. Without stable and predictable Internet access, millions of people will struggle to better themselves by applying for jobs, networking, or taking online classes. Preserving net neutrality sends the message that we value our place in a free and connected world where everyone can access information about both sides of any issue.

8019. Grace Hanchett, Ozark, MO, 65721
v v important to this internet loving bitch right here

8020. Tyler Uebel, St.Louis, MO, 63123
You already took our freedom let us have our internet

8021. Zachary Carson, St Louis, MO, 63141
Do not do this thing.

8022. JOHN T HILL, Monett, MO, 65708

Please keep net neutrality. It has protected consumers and allows for investigation of bad ISP practices. -Thank you

8023. Alicia, forsyth, MO, 65653
The freedom to search, stream, buy, and play whatever one wishes is why the internet has blossomed into what it is today. Taking away those freedoms or limiting them would be counterproductive and a hindrance to business.

8024. Matthew Albers, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
To whom it may concern at The Federal Communications Commission: I am the creator and host of The Pirate History Podcast. I have the opportunity to do something I love, in which thousands of people find value, only because the internet is a truly free and democratic medium. If you roll back protections on net neutrality it will hurt me. It will hurt the thousands of other content creators that make our world a more culturally rich place. It will hurt the millions of Americans - including myself and all of you - that enjoy everything the internet has brought us. Our world is a better place because of the internet. It has given a voice to the voiceless and access to news and knowledge to millions who would otherwise never had had it. This is not a partisan issue. Regardless of who you support or vote for this is an issue that concerns us all. This is an issue that everyone who believes in freedom and the right to free speech can and should support. I implore you - I beg you - please keep the internet a free and fair medium. Please do not roll back the protections on net neutrality. Please make the right decision.

8025. Nicholas Campbell, Ballwin, MO, 63021
There are about a dozen things that can go wrong without net neutrality, and only a few business benefits without. Everyone knows that this is a grab at profit, and we will never accept it.

8026. John Kelly, Wright City, MO, 63390
The Open internet rules are important and necessary for me. The Internet is a telecommunications medium over which I communicate with family and friends using email provided by Google, Facebook, What'sApp, and other applications. I do not use other services provided by my ISP, primarily because they would go away if I discontinued service with them. My Gmail account will remain regardless of which telecommunications provider I use to connect to the Internet... Including Dial-up internet over telephone lines. I expect my Internet service to act just like my telephone service... to enable to me to call any address (i.e. telephone number) and have the same quality of service regardless of which I choose.The premise that since users do not choose their IP address, or because this address can change, the Internet is not a telecommunications service is a stretch at best. Users do not choose their telephone number, and often dial numbers using the letters on the dial pad rather than the numbers. The internet allows for more user friendly addresses to be used in place of numbers, but these are still addresses just the same and they are specified, implicitly or explicitly during use in the SAME ways that telephone numbers are supplied in a telephone conversation.The endpoint does not matter. In a telephone network, the telephone numbers are stored in a centralized switch, NOT at the users home. A user picks up the phone and the switch associates the number with that line and issues a dial tone. This is fixed by convention to be the same telephone number (address) for each user, but that is a convenience which could be changed if it made sense to do so. Think Party Line, where multiple users shared a single telephone line, and one can see the flexibility. The part that makes the telephone service a telecommunications service is that a user can communicate from one designated address to another designated address is solved... the switch designates the sending address, while the user identifies the terminating address, by dialling a telephone number.The Internet works in the same way as a home phone line. Two primary differences exist: Multiple conversations can occur over the single connection at the same time... much like a T1 line contains multiple DS0 telepohone lines. In this way it is more like a commercial telephone trunk, which is a Telecommunications service.The second difference is that the addresses are formatted differently, and the central storage/mapping service for these addresses is not a monolithic device in a telephone company central office, but a large network of servers providing distributed access to the address book (think Phone Book). The Telephone Switch and DNS and DHCP servers provide the same services to their respective networks, in ways that are most convenient to those Telecommunications services.So, how does this make the Internet a telecommunications service? A user, who doesn't care what his or her address is, yet one is specified by central office equipment, is connecting to and exchanging communications with a specified address provided by the user. This sounds like it meets the definition for Telecommunications service to me.Remember, when making a telephone call, you don't need to know what your phone number is... ie. Pay Phones. One does need to know the phone number to connect to. Using the internet, one does need to specify destination address, and source address is implied by the connection being used itself. That users don't have to type in IP address is irrelevant. Do you know how your phone call is delivered? HOw many consumers know how the telephone network works? Same with the Internet... just because some addressing modes make the process easier, in the end, communications are addressed by IP address within the communication.Can the address change? yes... can you have your phone number changed? Can the telephone company come up with a product by which phone numbers are assigned on use... wait.. that IS how telephone switches operate... they simply supply a fixed address to each user because it's old technology. Which brings me to my second point: I have no viable alternatives for Internet service. 6 months ago, Centurylink finally updated our internet service from 1.5mbps to 100mbps. While this was a welcome change, and proves that telecommunications companies will upgrade their systems with Net Neutrality rules in place, Centurylink also has a monopoly on the Internet telecommunications service in my area. There is simply no viable alternative as all other alternatives are metered Satellite or Mobile offerings. These will not do for a household of 6 people who all want to communicate with their friends, family, and acquaintances, use video and other multimedia services, including Netflix, Hulu, and YouTube, but also smaller services that do not charge fees.NO viable alternative exists to Centurylink at my location. Satellite Internet slows down service to less than Dial UP speeds after 50gb worth of usage in the largest plan available... which incidentally is more than double the cost for 1/10th the speed. T-Mobile does have a good tethering plan, however that one slows down speeds after a mere 14gb for me. This is perhaps one week's usage for me. If there is no competetion, what checks can prevent Centurylink from using its monopoly power to prevent me from accessing services which they disapprove of? Internet service, just as with Telephone service, should allow me to connect to any address on the Internet. That is what I'm paying for, and is what I expect, equally and without prejudice. AT&T can't block me from calling CenturyLink on my telephone, it shouldn't be able to block me from connecting to them via my Internet connection either.If you allow Centurylink to block access to my work, my family and friends, or to any content I wish to legally access, then I will be harmed... and I don't want to be harmed... Please do not take away my Internet Freedom. Please leave Open Internet or Net Neutrality policies in place, or at least guarantee MY, the customer's right to a free, open, and unfettered Internet. Thank you!

8027. Josh Ancel, Blue Springs, MO, 64014

I am an IT Consultant that works from his home about 40% to 50% of the time. I spend a lot of time using my internet access for things that most people would never think of. Bandwidth restrictions and fast lanes, blocked connections all these things would mean that I could not do the job I love. I lost my internet connection when my ISP went out of business recently. This severely impacted my ability to do my job, I scrambled for access and my only options were ATT or ComCast. The two biggest monopolies on media content and internet access in the Midwest if not the majority of the US. I need these rules to be fair and neutral for all providers not just those who have the biggest and deepest pockets. We need to have competition and be able to have options for our providers. The restrictions that were loosened as of late have cost me a lot of time and money. If things are kept free and open, then I have little hope or faith that the internet in the US will not turn into something on par with what China has done.

8028. Kyle Parsons, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
I'm a small business owner specializing in media production. Our daily activities require us to function with a variety of different websites and services all day, seven days a week. If any of these services are slowed by internet service providers or by any other methods, it could hinder our production and services to be delivered in a timely manner to our clients. My company is efficient because we function and deliver videos, music and designs at a very fast pace. Slowing our ability to find stock footage, research trends, upload files, and download files could be devastating to our business model. Please keep this in consideration, and please keep the internet open. Thank you.

8029. Mary Jennie Bodard, New Franklin, MO, 65274
Net neutrality is essential for a free market to be equal for all. It is imperative that individuals have equal access to the internet and that ISP's not have the power to control the speed of access. PLEASE keep net neutrality the law of the land. The FCC must maintain authority over broadband ISP's for the good of the people - otherwise what recourse does an individual or small business have? Thank you!

8030. Naomi Kurtz, Troy, MO, 63379
I am a recent college graduate in the job market. I use the internet every day in order to send out resumes, reply to emails from potential employers, and take online video interviews. As I have yet to have an income, money can be tight, and luckily I'm able to access everything I would need online with just my simple internet bill. Unfortunately, due to my location, it is hard to find fast internet at an affordable price, and even the slow service I use now costs a lot. If ISP's had the ability to charge extra for certain websites, I would almost be guaranteed to be affected due to the wide variety of sites I use. So that I can continue my job search and someday become a working member of society, I ask of you to please not repeal Net Neutrality, for my sake, and many, many others.Thank you,Naomi

8031. John Malley, St. Louis, MO, 63104
Please preserve net neutrality rules and regulation of ISPs under Title II. This is the only way to guarantee a free and open internet.

8032. Paul DeFrates, Branson, MO, 65616
Keep net neutrality, it's removal would lead to a myriad of problems. Were doing fine with it, just keep it.

8033. Avery Herbert, Higginsville, MO, 64037
Net neutrality is something we all need, and taking it away hurts you as much as me. Avery Herbert

8034. Benjamin Nathan Falls, Kansas City, MO, 64152
The internet provides opportunity for so many. I want it to stay that way. We need a place of freedom like it for everyone to share, equally. I am for Net Neutrality.

8035. Net, Nixa, MO, 65714
I would like to keep around start ups and not give in to the big guys who want small companies to suffer

8036. Paul E. Black, Saint Joseph, MO, 64506
Net Neutrality is very important to me as a consumer and citizen of this great country. ISP's do not need more power to control the market and the individual consumer. This would lead to a more monopolistic market and go against the capitalistic view of a free market. The internet should be treated as a utility and consumers should neither be restricted or controlled on what they do with it. Overall I believe it is in the best interest of the country to uphold net neutrality to ensure more competitive markets and not empower CEO's of top ISP's.

8037. Jason McIntosh, Centralia, MO, 65240
We really need net neutrality. I don't find it fair when ISP's decide to allow unlimited bandwidth to their services, but charge more for me to use other services when I've already paid for the bandwidth.

8038. Tyler Blecha, Fenton, MO, 63026
The Internet is supposed to be free for all. For whatever reason, you folks are trying to turn our beautiful country into some form of corrupted dictatorship. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY.

8039. Jordan Haynes, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
To whom it may concern,Given the rise and importance of the internet, it is something that millions upon millions of working class (and lower class) Americans depend on every day for their basic information. It is something that many of us have come to depend on, and it is part of the free-market capitalistic principles that the United States was founded upon almost 250 years ago.As a businessperson, I can understand why people would do this, for more money and to continue to slowly suck the finances out of the masses that are already struggling enough to have the resources to make ends meet. Corporate executives, by even considering this, continue to prove that they do not care about the vast majority of people in this country and only push for more corporate greed. Allowing internet neutrality to be repealed could easily cause riots throughout this country because of how dependent the rest of us are on the internet. Either that, or it could cause a public boycott, and without the money coming in, then the internet companies will start to realize that they depend on as many people as possible having the internet in order to make as much money as possible (which is what their ultimate goal should be,

the more people with affordable internet means they can make more money instead of fewer people paying more money - charging more money means people who don't need internet will stop paying for it, lowering their clientele and lowering the overall money they make).Why is this bad? Simple, supporting tighter controls on the internet, through censorship, the government spying on their own citizens (which I know the NSA does, even without proof), or charging higher prices for what used to be much more affordable, is basically supporting censorship of the internet similar to what a country like North Korea has. Do we want a North Korea in the United States (and inevitably the rest of the Western world, because the rest of the West will soon follow if this passes through the US)? I would hope not, but unfortunately, this is just the latest in a long, long line of events that heavily concerns me regarding how the people in this country think. This cannot pass, or else we will be one step closer to a dictatorship in a country that is supposed to be the beacon of freedom and democracy throughout the world. This inherently would contradict what the United States has stood for, and would really tick off the vast majority of this country. Is that REALLY what the internet companies want? Just so they can indulge in more corporate greed?What this country needs is change at the top, but not this type of change because this is change for the worse. It is giving more power to the people that already have it, and leaving the rest of the country in the dust, where the powerful have everything and everyone else is straggling with little to nothing. Sound familiar? It should, that is similar to what North Korea has, and a situation like that (governmental, political, technological, economical, etc.), is simply unacceptable for a country that is supposed to be the leader of the FREE world. Support the repeal of net neutrality? Then you support the policies of countries like North Korea, a country that the United States has been on ends with for nearly 70 years.I appreciate whomever takes the time to read this and read my concerns. I have grown up in the age of the internet, and I truly believe that repealing net neutrality would change the United States for the worse, and would be another domino of many to fall as part of the United States and big business turning its back on what this country was founded upon, and that is simply unacceptable.Thank you for your time.Jordan Haynes

8040. Matt Bowler, Saint Louis, MO, 63021
Please remove the barriers which will jeopardize net neutrality for Americans.

8041. Chris Bierman, maryville, MO, 64468
I do not agree with this. My info is my information and what I choose to do should not be intervened on the internet.

8042. Cameron Van Nest, Florissant, MO, 63031
I would just like to say that I believe taking away Net Neutrality would be a mistake. Possibly the biggest mistake the federal government could take right now. What it would do is create incentive for all of those people who sit at home, posting on forums or making stupid memes, to get up, go outside, and do something. What they'll do is a mystery. Protest? March? Who knows. I think it's a bad idea to antagonize the people of the internet because it could upset the peace. Please, don't poke the sleeping giant that is the American Internet-User.

8043. Alex Jacobs, Koeltztown, MO, 65048
The internet means a lot to a lot of people, we'd appreciate it if it didn't fall into even more corporate hands.

8044. Zachary Knuth, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
As an American citizen I feel that I am entitled to certain freedoms. The freedom to choose what online services I want to use makes my top 10 list of freedoms. To take this freedom away undermines the idea of a free market. As a computer scientist the idea of doing away with net neutrality is a scary thought and an obvious turn for the worst. Removing this law borders on infringement of my freedom of speech and I will not stand for it. Removing net neutrality is a bad choice for America.

8045. Elijah Books, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
this is OPEN INTERNET!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! 420 BLAZE IT 360 NOSCOPE We're no strangers to loveYou know the rules and so do IA full commitment's what I'm thinking ofYou wouldn't get this from any other guyI just want to tell you how I'm feelingGotta make you understandNever gonna give you up, never gonna let you downNever gonna run around and desert youNever gonna make you cry, never gonna say goodbyeNever gonna tell a lie and hurt youWe've known each other for so longYour heart's been aching but you're too shy to say itInside we both know what's been going onWe know the game and we're gonna play itAnd if you ask me how I'm feelingDon't tell me you're too blind to seeNever gonna give you up, never gonna let you downNever gonna run around and desert youNever gonna make you cry, never gonna say goodbyeNever gonna tell a lie and hurt youNever gonna give you up, never gonna let you downNever gonna run around and desert youNever gonna make you cry, never gonna say goodbyeNever gonna tell a lie and hurt youWe've known each other for so longYour heart's been aching but you're too shy to say itInside we both know what's been going onWe know the game and we're gonna play itI just want to tell you how I'm feelingGotta make you understandNever gonna give you up, never gonna let you downNever gonna run around and desert youNever gonna make you cry, never gonna say goodbyeNever gonna tell a lie and hurt you

8046. Jacob, St. Louis, MO, 63128
I want net neutrality to stay in place so the ISPs cant limit speeds or make companies pay more so they site can be seen.

8047. Christopher Cunningham, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
The internet is one of the last places where something near perfect competition thrives. There is great ease of entry and exit into the market and it is a meritocracy. Meritocracy and competition are precisely what made America a great place to live: You could thrive based on what you could contribute, not based on what connections you had or government favors you could attain. Net neutrality ruins this meritocratic environment and in the end makes nearly every single person worse off, except for the very concentrated few who control the "internet highways." This is disgusting legislation that blatantly disregards the best interest of most in nation. Please do not ruin net neutrality. Please take into consideration the great costs of getting rid of this feature of the internet and keep the internet a meritocracy.

8048. Michael R. Traner, Saint Louis, MO, 63112
I am a graduate student in biomedical engineering, and I use the internet to access scientific papers, communicate with colleagues, and maintain contact with friends and family. When I purchase internet, I buy unfettered access to a vast array of sites. While many services cost money to use, access to those sites is paid for in my internet purchase. To allow Internet Service Providers to serve as strong-

arming middlemen, demanding ransoms to release bits and pieces of the internet would be devastating to the citizens of this country. The only service my ISP provides is internet - I do not use their email, their web search tools, their media tools, or any of the other services they have argued they provide. ISPs would be able to "encourage" use of their inferior offerings by limiting access to superior third party services, further eroding what little competition ISPs have shown themselves willing to engage in.I have yet to find a city with multiple competing internet companies providing excellent service; instead, cable companies divvy up cities, avoiding competition at all costs. This enforces buying from the monopolies, or acceptance of far inferior alternatives permitted by the local giant. With such a track record, ISPs have given every indication that they would abuse the powers given to them by deregulation, manipulating the flow of information to extract further tithes from the people and companies they serve.Net neutrality protects consumers from abusive practices that can be employed without regulation. Internet companies have widely demonstrated an unwillingness to compete, and in the absence of competition, regulations are the only protection for consumers against companies taking advantage of them. The internet is not comparable to TV, but rather to the telephone system. As with the telephone system, the notion that certain companies or sectors, such as hospitals, should have to pay ransoms to avoid having the telephone company garble their conversations is ludicrous. Just as with the telephone, going without internet in modern times is a massive handicap in everything from education to job searches, so abstaining from internet is no more an option than abstaining from all telephone services. The proposal to deregulate it like a television company is centered on ignorance, false assumptions, and greed.Maintain the regulations - the FCC is intended to protect consumers, not those who would take advantage of them.

8049. Jonathan Herzog, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
I am a professional artist and illustrator, and the internet is a crucial part of how I share, advertise, and monetize my work. Without net neutrality, my ability to share my work and have it be seen will be hamstrung. Net neutrality is critical to me so I can continue my livelihood.

8050. Laura O'Neil, Columbia, MO, 65203
I'm writing to support net neutrality to preserve the internet freedom we have today for future and present generations. I do not want my ISP meddling with my internet speed and having a say over the information I have access to. We all deserve an open internet where we, as citizens of the US, are the decision makers on the content we seek and receive. Do not sell internet freedom.

8051. Matthew Coon, St.louis, MO, 63110
Net neutrality is not something to trifle with. Listen to the people: keep the net free, unmetered, and unbiased.

8052. Caleb Siebert Crahan, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
It's pretty despicable what people will do for money these days. Go fuck yourselves with golden dildos.

8053. Patrick James Kensington, Carthage, MO, 64836
The internet is a tool to connect the world and should stay free from the control of any ISP

8054. Gerardo De Las Heras, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
I am in favor of strong net neutrality rules to keep the Internet open and free. We need net neutrality to keep a level plain field for the small businesses and entrepreneurs to innovate and succeed in the same network shared with the big corporations.

8055. dylan, bluesprings, MO, 64015
this net neutrality sh!t needs to stop

8056. David Maddox, Holts Summit, MO, 65043
Do we have to fight this fight every year? Stop being on the payroll of of the big conglomerates and do what is right for a change. History will let it be known who ruined freedom for everyone. Are you sure you want your name attached to that?David Maddox

8057. Rose Broyles, St Louis, MO, 63130
Net neutrality is important! Internet should have the same protections as electricity and water. In this day and age when the free flow of information is so important to innovation, to democracy, to commerce, giving corporations the power to withhold information would be detrimental. Without net neutrality, we're as bad off as the Communists. But it's oligarchical capitalists who would have the power to oppress us. Uphold net neutrality.

8058. Larry Drake, ofallon, MO, 63366
the net is to be free,this is all wrong .

8059. Dustin Knight, Licking, MO, 65542
Net Neutrality is a must in modern society that relies on an accessible internet for all. It establishes a free market on a platform that was meant to be free and unlimited conceptually. A vast array of knowledge and tools for those who seek them; limiting such a thing would wreck havoc on those less fortunate and be dictated by a handful of individuals or companies effectively killing the free market and upcoming ideas that would only serve to expand the internet. I am but one voice expressing an opinion on the matter but I hope it is heard along with the many who hold the same opinion as I. We are a divided nation inherently, but the internet serves as a place where all voices can be heard; good or bad. Thank you poor unfortunate intern having to read this and report to your superiors but do voice this opinion for it needs to be heard.Sincerely,A concerned American

8060. Katherine Strebel, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
As a student in college who will soon be starting the job search, I will soon have to make a website to showcase my skills to potential employers. It will definitely hurt my job search if ISPs favored other peoples' sites. Someone with years of experience may be able to pay for faster speeds, but as someone who will have just graduated, it will be much more difficult for me to pay, and it will really hurt the amount of visitors to my site. As someone just starting out, I have no projects to my name and an employer has such little incentive to wait for my site to load when they could just click away and find somebody else faster. Employers should be able to find employees based on skill, not through an ISP's filter. And another point: boredom drives a lot of what we do online. There is so much knowlege

online that could be lost because people got bored waiting for the site to load. Duolingo keeps me engaged in learning and I don't get distracted by load times. I could stay focused on Kahn Academy because the next video would load almost instantly. I am much more likely to click links and discover new sites and information when I'm just browsing social media, but a loading screen would definitely make me consider if it was really worth it to give the site a chance. It could be a super helpful, well-built site, but I wouldn't be able to tell because it hadn't loaded, and thus click away.

8061. Kyle Blecha, Fenton, MO, 63026
Okay so pros of the the bill: money for the corrupt and powerful cons: restricted freedom of speech, restricted entertainment, and reduce security. my point is this. if you want to show the american public how corrupt and evil the government is, sign the bill.KEEP NET NEUTRALITY

8062. Dylan C Witte, Columbia, MO, 65202
Net Neutrality is highly important to me and the businesses I conduct myself with. I am a freelance web developer looking to expand it into my own business that does niche business web marketing and solutions. The internet being an even field is what makes it a competitive option and why I cannot pursue such endeavors in other markets (like cable).

8063. Jimmy Sithinphom, Kansas City, MO, 64136
I stand for Net Neutrality. Please save it.

8064. Gavin King, Marshall, MO, 65340
Please don't take away net neutrality. I know what matters to you fools and I can say that profit margins from the major companies will go down, not up. Gavin King

8065. Terrence Hutchinson, Saint Louis, MO, 63115
This shouldn't even be up for debate from what I've been reading. For something to have been shot down and brought back up again just because it can is an embarrassment to our nation. This is just another step to the world the wealthy wants where they continue to stand above the rest and make things harder and more inconvenient for us. This is why we are a democracy we get to pick and vote on what we think is right and wrong don't ignore us and lead our nation down a path no one wants.Terrence Hutchinson

8066. Maxwell H. Musick, arnold, MO, 63010
Net Neutrality must stay around. The american people aren't going to sit around and let the government continue to infringe on their rights. In a just democracy this wouldn't fly. Special interest is way out of hand in this country and if the FCC lets this pass then that goes to show that that FCC is a USELESS organization that does nothing to protect peoples freedoms of speech but rather those that line their pockets with MONEY. We see through the corruption and lies.

8067. Kai Holly, Perryville, MO, 63775
Dear, the FCC, and CongressI would love it if you could not change any if the laws regarding the internet and it's users. Please do NOT do this, no one wants to pay extra for services that are already available, and free. Please DON'T DO THIS.Sincerely, Kai Holly.Kai Holly

8068. jared, st peters, MO, 63376
The web is, has been and always should be a ecosystem without regard for those whose attempt to dismantle the framework, the freedom to add,share, and interconnect how we please is the basis for it all. Allowing the tech giants and isp's to change the free access we enjoy would be in violation of that principle, and allowing these companies to have even more control over how we can access that oversteps the bounds of your duties of your jobs and commitment to this country and society we live in today. Stop giving the only voice heard yours and give it back to those who matter, the people, the ones that pay your paychecks, the ones that built practically every part of what makes your job, a job in the first place.!

8069. Aubrey Hill, Monett, MO, 65708
Please keep net neutrality. Do not let ISP's control our access to the Internet.

8070. Richard Owens, Plattsburg, MO, 64477
We pay monthly fees to access the Internet. That a business mismanaged those fees is no reason to destroy the many to "protect" companies who continue to mismanage. This is just another way you want to force those who have little and who have, through their embrassure of the Internet to give to those who have not earned it, still yet more. Enough is enough. No to your giveaway of the Internet. I reject your grew driven power grab. If you can't help, leave it alone.Richard Owens Richard Owens

8071. James Freis Jr., Webb City, MO, 64870
Please keep the internet free and open, with no paid prioritization or blocking.

8072. Matthew Carver, Kansas City, MO, 64119
If you end net neutrality, you will be in violation of antitrust laws already established in this country. We the people of this great nation will file a class action against you. You will not take us backwards twenty years just to line your pockets and those of the executives that stand to benefit from the slippery slope of throttling and pay to play that would ensue. Do not force the American public to unleash its wrath upon you because you are unwilling to act like a halfway competent human being.Matthew Carver

8073. Christopher Shilling, cassville, MO, 65625
Progress of the internet in the United States is moving backwards. Net Neutrality gives users the ability to have a free and open internet. It allows start ups

8074. Jon Anderson, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
Ajit Pai is full of shit. I know it. You know. Everyone seems to understand the importance of net neutrality except the people that would

benefit from it. WE ALL BENEFIT FROM TITLE II, EVERYONE. It's the one thing that still unites our country as a whole! It's a beautiful thing that should not be tampered with!

8075. Elton Gahr, Joplin, MO, 64804
Are we really doing this again. How many times does the public have to tell you they don't want this before you believe us? The internet is far to important to let corporations control access to it like this. It's really that simple. No one but a few corporations wants this and they only want it so they can control what information people have and grab a bit more money.

8076. William Robin Rogers-Jones, Lebanon, MO, 65536
The internet needs net neutrality to stay an open and fair market. Letting ISPs control everything will only harm this market.

8077. Blake Harris, Ofallon, MO, 63366
Save net neutrality!!! I will vote against anyone that votes to kill net neutrality. For the record I voted for Trump and I still kinda like him. Killing net neutrality will make me not like him, or you, anymore.

8078. Aaron Levin, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I'd like to express my opposition to the impending changes the FCC proposes to net neutrality because they will negatively impact our country and society as a whole. First off by allowing Internet Service Providers (ISPs) to control what is available to their customers based on what they have curated is unethical and limits the free innovative nature of the internet. In addition to this they will charge higher rates for access to certain sites or higher levels of internet access as they choose what is shown and the speed at which it is shown. Keeping the internet an open place protected by laws that allow the public to decide what is relevant and what should be shown is paramount to progressing forward. Moving forward with abolishing net neutrality will only slow progress and innovation the information age and what has propelled us forward to the future. Thank you for hearing me out and I hope you'll listen to the voices and pleas of the public and change your decision.

8079. Justin McDonald, Manchester, MO, 63011
No company should have the right to interfere with how I use the internet.

8080. Joe McDonald, Saint Louis, MO, 63111
This could result in restricted access to free information and free speech, reducing our civil liberties and giving unnecessary control to ISP essentially who already enjoy a monopoly and their competition is limited. Teddy Roosevelt would be ashamed.

8081. Net Neutrality, Springfield, MO, 65810
There is only one internet. The same internet for my phone, tablet, laptop, desktop, home internet, and work internet. What are we North Korea? Keep the internet NEUTRAL and free from paid prioritization, and blocked sites.

8082. Aron Wallis, Kansas City, MO, 64124
I believe net neutrality is vital to our freedom of speach. It should be protected under title 2.

8083. Austin Leavitt, Dardenne Prairie, MO, 63368
Preserve the strict net neutrality laws under Article II

8084. Anthony Ernst, Ozark, MO, 65721
Keep neutrality. It is too valuable to too many people!

8085. Spencer Kelly-Wright, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
The ending of Net Neutrality is too much in favor of a few large companies, and it can easily be taken advantage of to suppress the capabilities of unaffiliated sites and the interests of many internet browsing individuals with their varied agendas.The internet is the hotspot for the world's continuing communication and development in many fields, so limitations like these greatly impact the freedom of many lives.I want Net Neutrality to stay and I'm sure a significant number of others agree, consider the consequences carefully.
Spencer Kelly-Wright

8086. will kenney, Columbia, MO, 62702
I care about net neutrality. I want full access to the internet with no one but the consumers determining what websites to access.

8087. William Locke, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
I have lived in the People's Republic of China, and seen how lack of net neutrality freezes public speech and stunts intellectual exploration. Please prevent that from happening here in the United States of America. Do not roll back the FCC's Open Internet Rules.Sincerely,William Locke IVWilliam Locke

8088. darren heaton, Sedalia, MO, 65301
The internet depends on net neutrality

8089. Jesse Hunn, Ozark, MO, 65721
The repeal of net neutrality will have massive backlash for many consumer and insight very strong negative feeling. This backlash will be international and paint a terrible picture of the U.S.A.The backlash from this repeal will be a disaster.

8090. Halie Branson , Columbia, MO, 65203
Please don't be as evil as this article says you can become. The world is dying. Don't be a part of it. I know whoever is reading this probably isn't someone who can affect change, but maybe you can be a start. Stand up, do something. Don't get rid of net neutrality. Fight for it. Hope you're having an OK dayHalie Branson

8091. Zachary Wiggins, Lees Summit, MO, 64082

Although I believe less is more, sometimes the government needs to step in and keep businesses from overreaching their boundaries. Net Neutrality polices that. I look at this like companies not being able to offer their own insurance on their products they sell. If a company restricts access to other providers it's the same concept. These companies will throttle competitors in an effort to get users to subscribe to their own service. Once that happens and people start subscribing to their service they can then regulate the price they charge because there is no competition. The same reason insurance companies are 3rd party so companies can't regulate prices for service and deductibles. This is why Net Neutrality is a must. For Profit companies against it are only trying to increase their bottom dollar.Zachary Wiggins

8092. Michael Schmidt, Kansas City, MO, 64133
FCC Net Neutrality Regulation would hurt the economy. If we revoke the ability to stop internet provider companies it will allow them to decide what website/company will get traffic by slowing down service on those sites they don't like. This allows a small group of companies power to completely destroy the free market. By allowing companies no one voted for to dictate/regulate what the nation's people see and do on the internet.

8093. Gavin Bechtold, Independence, MO, 64057
I sympathize with a business' desires to increase its revenues. But this is an American freedom being tinkered with. It is not a privilege, nor is it a sense of defense. It is an unnecessary way to just grant corporations more opportunity to profit off of those who had enjoyed a simple freedom.

8094. Warut Vijitbenjaronk, Branson, MO, 65616
Net neutrality is a core principle of the Internet and the foundation from which our vibrant online culture thrives. It is a key element in keeping the technology industry competitive and innovative. Permitting ISPs to provide preferential treatment provides a barrier to entry for startups and small businesses, which stifles the economy and disincentivizes innovation here in the United States. Save the open Internet, and defend the rights of individuals to speak out and to conduct business fairly.

8095. Ethan Saake, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
"Throughout history, it has been the inaction of those who could have acted; the indifference of those who should have known better; the silence of the voice of justice when it mattered most; that has made it possible for evil to triumph."- Haile Selassie

8096. Kenneth Long, Kansas city, MO, 64111
Getting rid of net neutrality will hurt small online businesses and gives to much power to cable and internet providers. Absolute power corrupts absolutely.

8097. cody smith, Joplin, MO, 64804
please dont ruin it for everyone.

8098. Devin Kapp, St. Louis, MO, 63139
THE INTERNET NEEDS TO REMAIN FREE

8099. Michael Eppenberger, Saint Louis, MO, 63132
Net neutrality is fundamental to the values of the United States. Taking away this freedom is akin to taking away the freedom of speech. Just as it is important that every person have a voice, so to is it important that the technology and infrastructure that increasing drives our society be free and open to the public. Allowing private companies to take control and limit our access in this day and age also limits the access of people to their own government agencies, to important goods and services, and to information and education. Net neutrality must remain, and any action against it is against the best interests of this country and it's future.

8100. Trevor Wilson, Affton, MO, MO, 63123
Having an open internet is not only important individuals but for businesses as well. Many Americans are employed by small businesses who's growth could be damaged by ISPs who limit and/or restrict access to and from them. An open internet is the perfect platform for the free market, not a limiter. Please consider the consequences of putting so much power in the hands of so few companies.

8101. Jeremy, Hollister, MO, 65672
Restricting things from the internet is silly.

8102. Crystal Young, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Keep net neutrality.I am not interested in government or companies taking over more than they should.Government is big enough and ISP are skanks.

8103. Nathanael Wheeler, Springfield, MO, 65807
In an age where many are coming to believe that internet access is a basic human right, along with electricity, clean water, and food, it is startling to see people wishing to cash in on what has been, to this point, an open and free system where the best products and sites have won out. Little companies like Google was back in the late 1990s won out over other search engines because they had a better product, despite the fact that places like AOL and Yahoo had deeper pockets at the time. Without net neutrality, this would not have been possible.Imagine, if you will, a world in which electric providers could pick and choose which devices and brands they would power, and which they would not. A new startup comes along with a revolutionary new product, such as a more efficient heating and cooling system, but now has to pay a premium to the various electric companies for the right to be powered in people's homes. Bigger companies, like Rheem and Lennox could pay to simply shut the new company out completely. Not only does the small, new company suffer from this system, but the users who could be saving on their energy costs suffer as well. Even if someone wanted to buy the newer, cheaper, and more efficient product, they couldn't use it.This is the world we launch ourselves into with the removal of net neutrality regulations. This is a world where big companies can crush small competitors before they even get their feet on the ground, with only their deeper pockets, and not because they have superior products or services. Please keep the internet free.

8104. Dalton Duffett, Richmond, MO, 64085
I would like to keep the internet free from interference. If ISPs are allowed to control what websites you use and prioritize their own we are just a couple steps closer to North Korean-esque controlled internet where freedom of speech and other such fun things are not allowed.

8105. Christopher Michael Martin, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
We need net neutrality! The internet wasn't created for a way for big business to make money off of free domains! That's why ads exist! Keep the internet free for all! Please I'm begging, don't make me pay hundreds of dollars for content I get at a low monthly price! The entire world is looking at you! Make the right choice for a free and just America!

8106. Nathan fordemwalt, grain valley, MO, 64029
The internet should not be corrupted by corporate gain

8107. David King, Raytown, MO, 64133
Nobody who uses the internet is against net neutrality. This is only to increase profit for a select number of companies at the expense of the people, and siding against net neutrality shows what your true priorities are. The people don't have lobbyists.

8108. Title II, Kansas City, MO, 64158
Taking apart Net Neutrality and therefore allowing companies to take away the Freedom of the Internet is the most un-American thing I can think of.

8109. Courtney Pepmueller, St Louis, MO, 63129
I strongly support net neutrality and an open internet. It's silly this issue is even coming up again.

8110. Linda Daniel, Camdenton, MO, 65020
Keep net neutrality! We deserve to right to choose what we watch, where, when, & how without interference by others!

8111. Makayla Tuning, Kirksville, MO, 63501
I support this cause

8112. Melinda Schoeneman, Saint Clair, MO, 63077
As a United States citizen who pays my taxes, I am formally entering my opinion into record. I support a free and open internet. I support net neutrality.

8113. Gina Magarian, Dexter, MO, 63841
Net neutrality is something that should always be accessible. The net is not meant to be controlled this way, and people are going to be very, very infuriated if this plays out. I guarantee you that more way more people are going to be upset with this than are going to be happy with it. There could even be riots. This is overall just a bad idea in general. The law was put into motion for a reason, and it has worked fine so far, so why revoke it? America is becoming more corrupt every day, and this would just be adding to that.

8114. lisa hargrave, Grant City, MO, 64456
The internet levels the playing field for many aspects of life:l student from rural communities have access to the same information as those in larger metropolitan schools. Keep the web neutral and diverse.

8115. Matthew Schmitz, Carl Junction, MO, 64834
Internet has been changed before, making it a utility and not a luxury. The world is ever-changing and this change is needed.Matthew Schmitz

8116. Wyatt Brown, Kansas City, MO, 64157
The FCC shall not censor nor limit us for what we can and cannot do on the internet, a deemed human right by the United Nations. Wyatt Brown

8117. Nathaniel Parris, Weldon Spring, MO, 63304
We like the Internet as it is. Please protect net neutrality!

8118. Kara Kammermeyer, Joplin, MO, 64801
Please keep the internet neutral and open. Americans already deal with so many targeted advertisements in addition to high internet prices (especially compared to Europe)- not to mention the monopoly that certain groups of ISPs will have in specific areas (we could only choose from one ISP in our area... We live in the heart of Joplin, MO. This is ridiculous.). Since we're forced to choose from one ISP, it should not have the ability to throttle our connection, block websites we visit, and promote websites THEY own. How is that free? We live in a country where liberty is the heart of our beginning. Let the internet for the American people remain open.

8119. Joseph Grutt, Independence, MO, 64057
In order for college students to be able to do through research for their papers, they need unfiltered access to sites that may or may not make an ISP's approved list. Even the sites themselves that are run by the Online Universities might not make the list, which would force millions of students out of college.

8120. Charles Owens, Liberty, MO, 64068
DO NOT move the enforcement of net neutrality from Title two back to Title one. The internet must remain available to all consumers without the possibility is ISPs altering the flow of that information to benefit the ISP profits.

8121. jarod Wootton, Saint Charles, MO, 63304
The internet needs net neutrality because students should be inhibited from accessing certain websites for research on topics companies

may not agree with.

8122. Richard Dines, Springfield, MO, 65804
Net neutrality is important for a democratic use of the internet. This is an important consumer protection that can't be lost to a government that wants to give everything away to major corporations. They don't have the best interests of the citizens in mind, but rather making money. It is the purpose of the government to protect the citizens' best interests - not the corporations. Please maintain net neutrality.

8123. Alejandra R. , Saint Louis, MO, 63114
To Whom It May Concern,We get it. You have money. Some of us do not. The internet is how we express ourselves and many of us do not have extra money to put towards higher rates of internet service, let alone the fact that you are taking away certain websites from those who cannot afford it. Keep net neutrality. Thanks. Alejandra R.

8124. jared milner, Independence, MO, 64056
I support and encourage net neutrality. You should, too.

8125. Brian Stoddard, rolla, MO, 65401
net neutrality is important because it fosters innovation in the medium and is whats so special about the internet

8126. Bronwen Antoszewski, Lebanon, MO, 65536
Please keep our internet neutral. It is good for the United States. It is especially good for rural communities, and poverty stricken communities. It keeps our information uncensored, and it is important to our citizenry. Thank you.

8127. Doug Lawson, Portageville, MO, 63873
The repeal of "Net Neutrality" will be the beginning of a dystopian America.

8128. Earl, Sikeston, MO, 63801
The internet without net neutrality can and will most likely be the end of what we call the "internet." To know that it's possible that like android and Iphone, we can use AT&T vs. Charter comm. simply because google paid AT&T better is insane. We are trying to be a better world and not discriminate, but somehow we are evening thinking about allowing internet providers to "discriminate" on what I can and can not search on the internet. It is almost near sacrilege.

8129. Christian Helms, carl junction, MO, 64834
This would be a massive step back for the internet as we know it. Why a proposition like this was even produced is terrible.

8130. Kearsten Smith, Kansas City, MO, 64137
The Open Internet and Net Neutrality are an important part of the American world today. Eliminating that would be to take away some of that potential for the new age of "rages to riches", from someone going from being a poor, No-Name to being Brad's Wife. Don't screw this up.

8131. Raymond Santos, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I do not support efforts by the FCC to reverse rules regarding net neutrality. The FCC should do everything it can to make sure internet service providers can't manipulate the speed of internet access to suit their own financial interests. The internet should be an open and equitable resource for everyone.

8132. Jackie Coffman, Manchester, MO, 63011
The internet is a human right and the consumer should not be subject to this nonsense. Jackie Coffman

8133. Krystal Meyer, St. Joesph, MO, 64506
Net Neutrality is important and is a right that should be given to the citizens of the United States.

8134. Vincent Cuda, Harrisonville, MO, 64701
Keep the internet as it is! Why change what works?

8135. Daniel Wojno, Saint Louis, MO, 63124
I support a free and open internet that allows ideas and businesses of all shapes and sizes to flourish without prejudice. Do not do away with net neutrality

8136. Brian Banser, Columbia, MO, 65201
I support Net Neutrality and the open internet. The proposed changes by the FCC are bad for consumers and will be bad come election time for all politicians who support the FCC in this endeavor.

8137. Matthew Jefferson, St. Charles, MO, 63304
dont be stupid and remove net neutrality, let the people of America be free in every sense of the word. I dont care how much money you will make just keep the internet free and dont restrict anything or let companies restrict bandwidth.

8138. Andrew E Babin, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
Net Neutrality is extremely important and should be protected at all costs. Andrew E Babin

8139. Matt Giles, Lebanon, MO, 65536
In my area there are only 2 choices for broadband, cable which is fairly affordable, and dsl which is twice the price and half the speed. I've dropped any other form of media delivery such as cable tv, Satellite tv, etc. I receive all my news and entertainment through the internet. I count on it being unbiased delivery, to think that my ISP's could gain control over what my family gets to see and hear is

frankly terrifying. The internet is a staple of todays life, I do my banking, many purchases, get my news, instructions on how to do things, my kid researches his schoolwork, I even check the weather on it, control over that is something no ISP should have and absolutely needs the fcc to watch over it and see that it remains an unbiased form of information. That's just too much power in corporate hands and I would have no other options as there is no real competition in my area.

8140. Diego Chi, Kansas City, MO, 64123
If you take away net neutrality, I swear to god your great grand children will forever be embarrassed by how you badly you screwed up taking care of your countrymen. You have been given a huge responsibility-- to protect your neighbors from exploitation, from abuse, from flat out deception-- and you will be remembered for what you do with that responsibility. What will they right about you, I wonder? Or maybe by then history itself will have been 'throttled' out of accessibility! I pray you be so lucky. But my children will know, for I will have passed down the history within in my family. And my children will tell their children, and so on to my children's children. Mark my words. They will know of your deeds, and they will know to rise up against their humiliated oppressors. My great grandchildren will take revenge on those who oppressed their parents, and their parents before them, and their parents before them. But there's another way to end this story. I could be telling my children a story about how FCC kept the flow of information free to anyone who wanted to use it, that it protected us from being forced into whatever the internet and cable companies willed. That in this virtual landscape, the common American would be free to roam wherever they pleased, able to manifest a destiny of their own making. I hope for your children's children's sake, it'll be the latter.

8141. Steve Berkwitz, Springfield, MO, 65804
I am writing to implore the FCC to help keep the internet free and neutral. Net neutrality allows the internet to be used fairly and openly without restrictions imposed by business or political interests.

8142. Cory Brethold, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
Please do not change net neutrality, I have access to high-speed internet from my house, but it can barely be considered high speed by any other standard than competing against dial-up. Gaining access to programs such as twitch or Netflix is already difficult for me. Protecting, or in this instance, refusing to change the way US users interact with those sights is important, because the mere existence of those services has seen an increase of much higher quality content from traditional and these new services.

8143. Althone Torregosa, St. Charles, MO, 63303
Keep the Net Neutrality Rules! If you want a neutral level field for online companies to grow, create jobs and foster innovation, then keep the Internet Neutral. Do your jobs to protect consumers, don't let the ISPs pick and choose companies to become winners that's anti-competition, which is bad for the ECONOMY. DON'T BE SHORT-SIGHTED!

8144. Richard Morris, Pleasant Hill, MO, 64080
Net Neutrality not only protects consumers, it also allows for new start up companies to thrive such as Discord or other small start up companies.

8145. Jesse Walter, O Fallon, MO, 63368
It is sickening to think that ISPs would have control over content precedence. This affects everybody. You. Me. Everybody. Don't let this happen.Jesse Walter

8146. Michael Shocklee, Troy, MO, 63379
Net neutrality should not be stripped away. Knowing that the FCC has to show that ISPs are offering not just a fast on-ramp to the Internet, but a bundle of â€œinformation services", we must argue that consumers are unconcerned with extra services from ISPs. The services that ISPs may offer or used to more widely offer, such as cloud storage or email, are services that are already free and massively successful elsewhere on the internet. If ISPs were allowed to create fast/slow lanes, these widely used services would likely be slowed in favor of ISP services, which would be a burden on consumers. Not to mention, in our experiences, these ISP services are much less robust and refined than the free services available through companies that have focused more directly on such services. We do not want extra services from ISPs. We want reliable, high-speed internet.Besides this, if our ISP was allowed to slow down and discriminate against services we use, we have one other feasible choice for acceptable broadband service in the area we live and it would be just as likely to discriminate against the same services. On top of that, the businesses we work for have multiple branches at which only one service provided is available. If the ISPs in those areas slowed down bandwidth to services used by the business, it would be highly detrimental to the business, having no other choices for internet.We believe that, as providers of the most important communications networks of our time, ISPs should be overseen by the FCC, who in this oversight role, would ensure that consumers are protected from, among other things, invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers.Uphold net neutrality.

8147. Ian McCormac, Manchester, MO, 63011
I don't believe current internet access should be hindered or censored by ISPs simply for commercial advantage. The internet is becoming more relevant to society as it controls our everyday lives. Allowing ISPs to make decisions on what consumers may access will only hold back consumers while ISPs profit. We must not allow this legislation to continue.

8148. Hardy E Baker II, Willow Springs, MO, 65793
We have a right to have an unrestricted and open internet. Big business do not have a right to change anything about net neutrality.

8149. Internet, Troy, MO, 63379
It's against our rights for our content on the internet to be censored. Our freedom of speak, our human will, we should not have this taken away and controlled just like everything else. This could hurt so many people who use the internet for humor, regardless of what type of humor, and some people could lose their jobs and businesses because they aren't "PC" enough or are doing something that isn't family friendly.Just let people see and do what they want to an extent, we all know there are people out there surveying the internet for illegal activity, just do what you have been doing, their is no need to change.

8150. Jonas Schuler, Ofallon, MO, 65201
Equal playingfield please

8151. Guy McQuerrey, Springfield, MO, 65802
allowing isp's to control the internet is a bit like allowing certain companies to have a monopoly and that is a bad thing

8152. Elizabeth Berendzen, Kirksville, MO, 63501
As a student and internet user in general, I am appalled to think that the free and open internet could become privatized. The internet is for all, regardless of differences - racial, SES, gender, ability, etc. I'm studying to be a teacher, and without an open internet, I see no hope for the future students who will be leading our future country.

8153. Derek Ingalls, Springfield, MO, 65806
Net Neutrality has made the internet an accessible and invaluable tool for communicating with people all over the world. As someone with selective mutism, I have extreme difficulty speaking either in person or over the phone, which greatly affects my ability to get and hold a job, to pursue higher education, and to be a social member of society. So I rely heavily on the internet to communicate with people quickly and easily. It's the only reason I can file this comment. And Net Neutrality is a key part of that.When I buy internet access, I am looking only for fast connection speeds. I don't need or want email, cloud storage, or other online services from my ISP. I find it much more useful to utilize a diverse range of internet services, from different companies. If I were to be restricted to whatever my AT&T approves of, the functionality of the internet would be lessened significantly. Furthermore, because of where I live, I cannot switch to another provider without changing residence, which I cannot do for financial reasons, so if AT&T were to restrict my internet access, I would be stuck with those restrictions, which again, because of my disability, would severely impact my ability to function. If AT&T decided to double the cost of my internet access, I would simply lose my internet access, which for me would mean I could never pursue higher education, contact my friends and family, or find a job out of town, among many other things.For these reasons, ISPs absolutely need oversight. For me specifically, without that oversight, without Net Neutrality, I could lose my ability to accommodate for my mutism. I don't think any ISP should have that level of control over people's lives. Consumers need to be protected from invasion of privacy, fraudulent billing, price gouging, and a number of other problems that could arise if the Net Neutrality rules were repealed.Thank you for your consideration.

8154. Nila Dubovsky, Pleasant Hope, MO, 65725
Everything I do, from entertainment to work to socialization, takes place on the internet. In this day and age, it is much more important to have a stable internet connection that it is to have a phone when it comes to professional and social needs. The internet is as much of a necessity as power and water if you wish to be productive in the modern age. If I don't have to pay a different rate to power my washer and my lights, then I should not have to pay a different rate to go to different websites. The internet is a utility and should be protected as such, not letting already monopolized ISPs (most Americans only have one company they can use period) make even more money off of the same service. Protect your citizens. Protect the internet.

8155. Ryan Blankenship, Whiteman AFB, MO, 65305
This is a government for the people, it seems to be abundantly clear that the people are for net neutrality.

8156. Roy Lowery, Columbia, MO, 65284
We need to preserve net neutrality for the strength of society to grow and adapt to new advances to technology. Openess to all developing vectors are crucial to advancement of technology in all areas.

8157. Rob Edwards, Excelsior Springs, MO, 64024
The internet is for the flow of all information. No person nor government agency has the right to tamper with the free flow of ideas. Nor restrict its access.

8158. Jeremy Allen, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please listen to people for once and dont sell them out to big businessesJeremy Allen

8159. Sara Kratky, Saint Louis, MO, 63125
Seriously guys. For real. Sara Kratky

8160. Lucas Corey-Yares, Columbia, MO, 65202
I support net neutrality. Come on guys, don't be evil.

8161. Joel Hayes, Ballwin, MO, 63021
As someone who pays the bills for my internet, there is no way that this is good for me or anyone who pays for their own internet. At a brief glance, it is just a way for ISPs to squeeze more money out of consumers and hurts certain internet start ups and removes some form of competition.

8162. Robert Kay, Columbia, MO, 65203
Net Neutrality protects the very essence of the internet. The freedom of the web has forever changed technological innovation, global communication, and the availability of information. Any alterations to net neutrality could compromise the fabric that holds the internet together. It is the responsibility of elected officials to represent the concerns of their voters, and I think it is obvious that no sane person would vote against net neutrality unless it benefitted them financially. Please realize the gravity of this legislation before you destroy one of the greatest feats of human ingenuity.

8163. Connor Worley, Poplar Bluff, MO, 63901
The internet is a fluid part of my daily human experience. Net neutrality must remain so the function of local, state and federal society can flourish. Companies having the ability to place limits or set regulations on what I can and cannot access on the internet as well as potentially charge me additional dollars to access certain content sets a dangerous precedent. Please support net neutrality as it will only

help to prosper the nation.

8164. Roman Cotruta, Saint Louis, MO, 63114
We need Net Neutrality to protect our freedom and the freedom of small companies, Net Neutrality is indispensable for them.

8165. Zachary Parks, Imperial, MO, 63052
I'm writing this comment in response to the recent vote to repeal the Net Neutrality guidelines. Mainly, I want to express my concerns for the future of the internet and how repealing these guidelines would greatly reduce the quality of life for every American.Firstly, I'd like to acknowledge that I use the internet daily, on multiple devices, websites, applications, etc. I spend a great deal of that time communicating with friends and family over voice chat, specifically services such as Discord, Skype, Steam, Facebook, and others of the like. If internet service providers were able to block or prioritize services my friends or I don't use, then I may not be able to conveniently contact others throughout my everyday life. My constant contact with friends and the ones I love is the main reason I was recently able to climb out of depression... and if I was no longer able to contact friends or relatives, my depression may resume as a result.One of the main counter arguments that could be used for my comment would be the freedom of choice: if an ISP blocked or charged extra for a service I use, I could use a different one. The problem with this is that I only have three ISPs available in my area: Charter Spectrum and AT&T. With only two options, it's highly unlikely that neither will put a detriment on a service that I intend to use.I feel that the internet should be equally available to anyone, regardless of the ISP they use. The internet was intended to be free and open, without restriction or regulation, and should be considered a basic human right to every human being. I don't know if anyone is going to read this comment, or consider what I'm saying, but I hope that you reconsider repealing your Net Neutrality guidelines.

8166. William Spilling, St. Louis, MO, 63121
As a freelance software/web developer, Net Neutrality is important to me because I need to get my products to the world for them to see. If large companies can buy their way around the internet, it makes it harder for small businesses to get their company out there, since the internet is currently the best medium to do so. Allowing big companies to bully smaller companies around is un-American. Small businesses are the heart of our country.

8167. zachary daggs, st. louis, MO, 63132
net neutrality is the best thing for the citizens and consumers of America

8168. Jessica Baker, Union, MO, 63084
The internet should be free-use and open for all. It is a major learning source for many low-income individuals and the fact that this is even up for debate is beyond ridiculous.

8169. Caleb Helm, Sullivan, MO, 63080
Net neutrality is not beneficial for the future of the internet, it is only beneficial for the future of the companies that give you internet, and it destroys small startups.

8170. Abigail F., Saint Louis, MO, 63139
Open internet is incredibly important to me and my quality of life, and I shall explain why. Being an individual who is on the Autism spectrum, and who frequently suffers from depressive episodes, it can be awfully hard for me to find good companionship or find the little things that help me get through the day or maybe bring a smile onto my face. However, using the internet, I've found amazing friends on Skype and Discord, and I've found many flash games and YouTube videos and other miscellaneous websites that have given me many hours of enjoyment, and also even educational insight! So I don't know what I'd do if my favorite websites or means of chatting with my dear friends suddenly ended up unfairly blocked from my usage just because some big company just decided to purge them! And I may live under my parent's paying the broadband internet provider, but I know that they're paying for fast internet running and download speeds for all of us to enjoy our times online and be able to do anything, be it recreational or for school or work. So allowing our connection to be purposefully slowed down just because some company doesn't want us to see specific content we've had no issue viewing previously is simply a crime! And at that point, if we can even switch broadband providers, it'd just be a slap to the face, because it'd probably be the same, no matter what we chose! Simply criminal... Sites shouldn't be blocked or internet connectivity purposefully sabotaged. Otherwise, I wouldn't care if content was paid to be advertised more to me, like through a Google search, but letting only those be available to me versus the rest of web or even other sites I've used before is just insane. So if only the paid advertising of websites, software, etc. was kept (as it really should be the only thing allowed from this), overseeing that to make sure it never blocks out the rest of the World-Wide Web would be all that I could ever want. So, to summarize, allowing websites to be blocked and connectivity to certain content be sabotaged is borderline criminal and would not only be very frustrating to deal with, but could also destroy friendships with others across the globe. And if big-name companies are allowed to pay to prioritize their content, that shouldn't be allowed to take the "World-Wide" out of the World-Wide Web.

8171. Kimberly Anne Trotter, Stockton, MO, 65785
Maintaining Net Neutrality is one of the few ways that humanity can grow, uncensored. It's one of the few ways that we can be certain history is being written as a whole, rather than censored to favor those who obtained power. Net neutrality is one of the only ways we, the consumer, can say that we have the power instead of a greedy corporation. The internet is for the people, not businesses. Money should never, ever be more important than what the customers want. There are so many things going on right now that already make citizens feel used and ignored. We need Net Neutrality. We need to know that we, the people, aren't pawns in some money-grab scheme that will inhibit the flow of information and intelligence.

8172. Naomi Harlan, Joplin, MO, 64804
i think the internet need to be faster and fair. I love using it for movies and music Naomi Harlan

8173. Paul Lanigan, Warrenton, MO, 63383
Twitch/YouTube are parts of my life and my income. Lots of what I do and my networking are done through the internet. Please don't hurt my internet, I love it so much. I have made friends from across the world, along with countless memories. Nothing needs to change, so let's not change it...

8174. Deven Gunter, Doniphan, MO, 63935
Net neutrality is extremely important to me and the millions of other Americans that use the internet.Ending net neutrality does nothing but harm businesses and people by limiting and restricting how the internet can be used. Nothing good comes from getting rid of net neutrality, unless you run one of the giant corporations who are already extending their power over the internet far too much, such as Verizon and Comcast.The internet is not some new and trendy thing that will disappear in a few years anyway. The internet is vital to the lives and livelihoods of so many people and, if anything, a sign of progress. Destroying net neutrality is the first step in dismantling that progress. I hope you understand how important net neutrality is. Thanks. Deven Gunter

8175. Brody Kerns, Saint Joseph, MO, 64505
There is no reason this should even be considered being ended so we can get charged more for nothing it is important this is stopped!Brody Kerns

8176. Meagan Mattingly, Columbia, MO, 65202
Hello. My name is Meagan Mattingly and i'd like to tell you just why i love net neutrality. Although i don't have a small business, i do in fact use the internet to connect with people from all around the world. I have several social media apps that i use that use the internet and without them i cannot connect with some of my family from other states. I have also taken classes online for school, which also requires internet and i would love to have that opportunity again. I understand that by purchasing broadband internet, i'm also purchasing information services in which i need for everyday life. I buy broadband internet access so i'm able to have my email, use the internet for research at high speeds, and to be able to connect with people from other places in the US. I have many choices for an ISP, and i've chose many. I love my ISP because i get fast internet access and am very able to connect with people and use all of my apps and things that require internet access. I believe you should be able to oversee our access, but do not try to take it away or limit it. Millions of people use it every single day and without it, not a lot of things would run appropriately. Thank you for your time.

8177. Holly King, Liberty, MO, 64068
I am a concerned internet user writing to urge the FCC to support net neutrality. The internet provides the greatest access to information in history and no one entity should be able to restrict that access through premium charges. Internet service providers already receive a great amount of money from their customers and it is unethical for them to inhibit their customers ability to use a service they are already paying a large amount for. Net neutrality is imperative to keep our society well connected, entertained, and informed. Again, I strongly support net neutrality and the FCC should as well.

8178. Matthew Paluch, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I strongly support Net Neutrality and pleadingly ask the FCC to side with Consumers here and to keep it fair and not Pay to Play. I am opposed to any legislation that takes power away from voters and gives it to corporate interests.

8179. Cassidy Harper, Alton, MO, 65606
We need to keep net neutrality because making it where companies can control what sites you can use will do nothing but hurt small comanies and get money out of larger companies that can afford it.

8180. Steven Wilson, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
Save our right to open internet! The internet is too important and ingrained in ALL of our lives to be leashed and censored by big companies just trying to make more money and push their own policies. Repealing this bill means taking a piece of democracy out of America to let rich people get richer.

8181. Daniel Kotthoff, St. Louis, MO, 63122
If net neutrality is killed I won't be able to work without paying the internet company money

8182. Merc, St louis, MO, 63123
The reason we need net neutrality is because our voice needs to be heard. The internet has become a public space for those that are not heard, to express their thoughts and issues. People rely on it for every tasks; from cooking to doing complex math. To add more charges, slower speeds on competing sites, or to not show certain sites at all, will lower our education and be a hazard to our freedom. Does America now stand for taking away freedom?

8183. joshua, greenfield, MO, 65661
freedom of speach, press and all other freedoms are a portion of the net

8184. Dustin Brants, Greenwood, MO, 64034
I'm a small content creator on the internet. The rollback of Net Neutrality would adversely affect how my content would reach my audience. I'm also, like all Americans, a content consumer. The rollback of Net Neutrality would adversely affect how I could reach the content that I care about. It could prevent me from being able to watch things on Youtube, it could stop me from accessing vital applications and programs created by small software developers. The rollback of these protections would adversely affect the way all americans use the internet.

8185. Devin Potts, Saint Peters, MO, 63304
I honestly can't believe I'm still having to submit this comment, because it should go without saying by now that we need to keep Net Neutrality. I find the idea of ISPs controlling what I can and can't view on the internet, and controlling the speed at which I do so based upon the site I'm attempting to visit both appalling and ludicrous. Keep Net Neutrality, and honestly, take steps to prevent this bill and others like it from being brought to a vote again, because frankly, we're all getting tired of it.

8186. Larry, Excelsior Springs, MO, 64024
I would like to tell you net neutrality is a really important thing because people want to be able to search things up and use websites and apps without having to pay a lot of money just so they can use their favorite app. You might like it because of the money, but you will not be happy with the results if this law gets revoked. Thanks for listen!

8187. cole england, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
I have comcast and have already been throttled for months and introduced a 1 terabyte data cap . I get less then half the speedi pay for and my job as a live content creator is dependent on a fast stable connection. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to not only me but my job . I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. This will greatly inhibit my ability to do my job at times makings it not possible. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

8188. Richard Potter, Kansas City, MO, 64116
I am very concerned about corporate influence on federal policy. Keep the internet NEUTRAL.

8189. Ian Ramsey, Rolla, MO, 65401
I strongly oppose rolling back current net neutrality rules. I believe that broadband internet should be treated as a telecommunications service. My family picked our plan based on its advertised download and upload speeds, not for any other reason. We see our ISP as what amounts to a gatekeeper to the internet itself.

8190. Dalton J Voelcker, Overland, MO, 63114
Violating Net Neutrality is fucking stupid; the fact that is exists is the entire reason that I love using the internet, and without I'd be stuck reading books and sucking dick in a library because I wouldn't be able to stand the bullshit that ISPs are making me put up with with their shitty apps and content that I don't like. I don't watch television or buy magazines or anything because they are dogshit. The internet is pretty much the only thing that gets me to put my money into the economy aside from groceries, and without it I'd probably just make beds out of it and use it in my campfires. The internet is life as it is, and changing that misses everything that the internet stands for and while make it into the same, tired, stale bullshit that every other kind of media and platform is full of. The rich and powerful shit on and control everything and I'm fucking sick of it.Anyway, the net should remain neutral.

8191. Stephanie Kunce , Florissant, MO, 63031
Please keep our internet choices free from control of companies. This should never happen. Too much control by internet providers is NOT acceptable. Stephanie Kunce

8192. Michael John Otto-Hammond, Independence, MO, 64050
I support strong Net Neutrality rules. I use the internet to explore and learn independently; not be guided, trapped, or told what to think, buy, and believe. Please combat this manipulation. Thank you!

8193. Sean Scott, Liberty, MO, 64068
Stop selling your constituency out and preserve the net neutrality laws that are in place. Fuck Comcast, Verizon and AT&T. End this oligarchy NOW !Sean Scott

8194. Adam Hughes, Pleasent Valley, MO, 64068
Without Net neutrality start up internet games, businesses, and small mom and pop shops with a want to thrive in their communities will be crushed. DMCA would be heavily restricted so no one could find that one moment to people anymore. All of the big companies with established goals would sit on top and the internet would be at a stand still, nothing would move from this point, or evolve from this point onward, and people with big ideas who work on their web page and link it would be washed under the waves.

8195. Kirk A. Childers, Spokane, MO, 65754
Startups online require net neutrality for a fair, free, and open market, as the remote possibility of being throttled, blocked, and so forth, allows companies to gain monopolies of consumers without small start ups even being accessible.

8196. Sarah Lemley, Imperial, MO, 63052
The government has no right to go over everyone's head and make decisions for us. We have the power and put you in place. You need to focus on fixing other things before you start destroying existing structures that work just fine.

8197. Aaron Lee Washington, Kansas city, MO, 64127
I am a concerned citizen wanting to voice my thoughts in regards to net neutrality. Its a bad idea and will only further the divide in the world. While limiting information and the ability to share ideas good or bad. Allowing good ideas to flourish is what america is about. And if folks have bad ideas i would much rather give them an outlet so the authorities can find them.

8198. ofelia trejo, independence, MO, 64052
I would like the net to be free information and not controlled, you place my info for all to see. Then why would you want to control my searching for info? Unless we are heading in the direction of North Korea??

8199. A, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
Net neutrality is one of the few freedoms that people truly have these days. and it would be blasphemy to deprive that from people.

8200. Connor, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
All internet trafficking should be kept on the same levels of importance. End of story, as some of the largest companies in the world, there is almost no need to charge extra because they just wanted to get some more cash. It's an effective marketing tool, but one everybody disagrees with. Monitoring internet access of anyone is taking it up to 11 as some form of it already happens with the NSA it shouldn't get progressively worse with time.Connor

8201. Del Dobbs, New Florence, MO, 63363
I believe that the internet should be available to all people without paid prioritization, blocking or throttling.The internet should NOT be controlled by big companies to keep us from using it.The internet is used for discovery, sharing information, and education (eg. online college)Controlling the internet would discourage such things, so I believe net neutrality is VERY important.

8202. Jacob Holladay, Ellisville, MO, 63011
I'd prefer to keep the internet a level playing field and not controlled by companies who care only for personal gain rather than the rights of the people

8203. Hamza Thabit, Columbia, MO, 65202
With fast lanes every one will be slower so dont fuck with my internet Hamza Thabit

8204. Gwen Allen, Kansas City, MO, 64151
The internet is the great equalizer. Please don't take away from the poor even further. Even worse, restrict the free speech of American citizens. Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Gwen Allen

8205. Logan Ellis, Joplin, MO, 64804
Net neutrality is what makes the internet so great. The tyranny of taking an unbiased net away form the general public would be an obscene injustice. The public does not support an institutionalized web, and should not be confined to any limitations set upon them.

8206. Nick Knipe, Robertsville, MO, 63072
I am firm believer in that if Title II protections are removed from that you ultimately hurt small business, start-ups. Along with the peoples basic rights to free speech and experresion.

8207. Grant Buchheit, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
Keep Net Neutrality. I don't even know why you guys are voting against it. Its pointless to get rid of net neutrality.

8208. Leiren Jarvis, Rolla, MO, 65401
The telecomm companies already hold a lot of power over the consumers due to the lack of competition. The Net Neutrality Title II classification was a small step into protecting the consumers, as well as protecting small internet start up companies.Getting rid of those will give even more power to already powerful corporations and place the 'little guy', like consumers and start ups, in danger.

8209. Jacob Renzelman, Marshall, MO, 65340
We all know this is just a ploy so big companies can force consumers to use THEIR version of products. Its bullshit to try and say that consumers don't have the right to use our internet for whatever we want. You wouldn't tell someone that they can ONLY watch a certain channel if they paid for satellite TV. Net Neutrality cannot be abolished.

8210. Caroline Crawford, Columbia, MO, 65201
Due to the potentiality of favor being given to some websites over others, I do not believe it can be argued that this act in any way restores "freedom." If anything, it can cause irreversible harm to small businesses. Removing net neutrality is comparable to a city only maintaining the roads in front of large businesses.

8211. Chris Jackson, Manchester, MO, 63021
It is essential that ISPs remain Title II carriers. We must protect the ability for all Americans to access the same internet without fear of content blocking, filtering, prioritization, or any other interference.

8212. Rebecca Swarm, St. Louis, MO, 63105
The internet is required for everything we do from accessing government services, to accessing health records, and homework. We must

ensure that everyone can maintain access to the internet moving forward. Those left without internet access will be unable to search and apply for jobs, identify resources in their community, or learn about communities different from their own. We must ensure net neutrality.

8213. Madeline Berry, Golden City, MO, 64748
The internet should remain free because you guys are already fucking our healthcare.

8214. Nancy Espino, Kansas City, MO, 64133
Please do not continue with any plans to throttle, choke, or otherwise stifle the neutrality of the internet. To do so is to throttle, choke, or stifle our uniquely American liberty - the very Liberty for which America was created. It goes beyond robbing the poor to pay the rich; it becomes the modern version of censorship and tyranny at the people's expense

8215. Cheryl Stone, Ozark, MO, 65721
Please do not start charging for Internet. This is how we stay in touch with family that lives far away. We start in touch with our community and events happening. Our local events and businesses advertise on the net. There are charges for the advertising - and that is enough. This should stay as it has been. Please stop finding ways to rape the people of our country for ever dollar to line your pockets. I am a senior and you just keep taking and charging from our low incomes. I don't get to see my great grandson as he lives far away, but I do get to see his pictures on the internet. Please just STOP!!!

8216. James Foster, Washington, MO, 63090
Keep the internet neutral, do not let the companies take over and fill their pockets with more hard earned American dollars. We are a nation of freedom not corporate greed, so don't let the corporate monsters win, stand your ground and fight for our freedom, and your freedom, you're an American too.

8217. Benton Holmes, Gladstone, MO, 64118
In the interest in the free market, net neutrality must be maintained. Don't let the large corporations control the only free medium left.

8218. sanjeev verma, maryland heights, MO, 63043
sir already big corporations have a an edge over financing and other aspects. If net neutrality is not preserved I am concerned small business will not be able to promote their content and would have to pay more to the telecom providers for sponsoring their content. First and second order affects of these change will not be beneficial to the consumer. Please do not change the rules regarding Net Neutrality. Please keep it OPEN keep the playing field balanced.

8219. Patricia M Godfrey, St. Louis, MO, 63128
I'm not an eloquent speaker like many writing to you. I don't have tons of statistics to back up my argument. What I do have is a genuine understanding of the good having net neutrality can do. Giving everyone the same access to the internet provides the small entrepreneurs the opportunities they need to succeed in a world dominated by big businesses. It allows the same access to everyone if you are raising funds to save a life or selling a trinket that no one really needs. There should be a level playing field not based on the amount of money you have. This is just one more wedge that will drive a stake through tour middle class. Please do not allow it.

8220. Jeff, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
The entire world has become one with connectivity. The modern world cannot survive without a free and open internet. Being that the internet is a highly important infrastructure, you cannot and will not allow big businesses to just do whatever they please within it. It makes no logical sense.

8221. net neutraility, Lee Summit, MO, 64063
Please save net neutrality. The internet is the one place small business can survive against the goliths of the world and giving internet providers(which no one trusts) the ability to change speeds to places because they want to is horrible!!!

8222. Eric Wells, Springfield, MO, 65804
The open, best-efforts internet is the undisputed engine for the global information economy, as well as one of the most significant outlets of our time for political engagement and other free expression. Over the last two decades, the internet has gone from something used by hobbyists on dial-up connections to an indispensable part of our daily lives with seemingly every device in the home being â€œalways on.â€ Today, a majority of the top 10 global companies by market capitalization owe much of their success to the growth of the internet. Of course, the internet enables hundreds of thousands of small businesses too, whether they are startups building the latest app or local restaurants or stores marketing themselves and selling to the local community and beyond. In recent years, cloud-based services have touched almost every part of our lives â€” whether it is listening to music, storing files and photos, or managing personal finances â€” and changed the way businesses operate by moving backend business operations and communications systems to the cloud, leading to fewer and more predictable capital costs.The success of the internet is not just about market caps and IPOs, however. Digital communications and social media have proven to be a revolutionizing force, from the Arab Spring to political campaigns around the world today. Social media apps allow individuals to stay in touch with friends and family worldwide, and share their thoughts, pictures, and videos with anyone around the world. Internet apps and services also allow individuals to advertise and sell their arts, crafts, used clothes, and homewares to a wide audience of potential buyers, or raise money for charities or other causes via crowdfunding. Aspiring musicians and filmmakers can now easily and inexpensively establish their own online channels to distribute their music and videos.All of this success and transformation stems from a free and open internet, one that enables consumers to access any website or app, buy any product, and use any service they choose. Net neutrality rules enable an ecosystem of â€œinnovation without permissionâ€ in which anyone with a good idea can launch an app without having to strike a deal with an ISP or worry about whether an ISP will block, throttle, or otherwise discriminate against a service. As the FCC has explained, net neutrality rules enable a â€œvirtuous [cycle] of innovation in which new uses of the networkâ€”including new content, applications, services, and devicesâ€”lead to increased end-user demand for broadband, which drives network improvements, which in turn lead to further innovative network uses.â€Specifically, I support the following net neutrality rules that are currently in place:1) No Blocking: BIAS providers should be prohibited from blocking lawful content, applications, services, or non-harmful devices. This is a central communications policy dating back to seminal FCC

decisions such as Carterfone and was part of the FCCâ€™s 2005 Internet Policy Statement.2) No Throttling: BIAS providers should not be able to throttle lawful traffic, which would give them the ability to slow down or otherwise impair third-party services, including streaming video and other services that compete with services offered by BIAS providers.3) No Paid Prioritization: BIAS providers should be prohibited from charging content, application, or service providers for enhanced or prioritized access to the subscribers of the BIAS provider. Internet users and edge providers use and develop products designed to work on the open, best-efforts internet. Allowing for paid prioritization will introduce artificial barriers to entry, distort the market, and discourage investment in more capable networks (scarcity, even if artificial, is necessary to make prioritization valuable). Instead, consumers should receive the download speeds for which they have paid, regardless of whether a content provider pays a terminating access fee to deliver traffic to the ISPâ€™s network.A free and open internet remains vital to preserving and protecting the virtuous circle of broadband innovation that benefits edge-based innovators and entrepreneurs, businesses, ISPs, and, above all, consumers. The existing FCC rules are working and have not resulted in harms to ISPs, who continue to experience growth in investment, network capacity, and demand for broadband internet access services. Undoing the existing light touch rules will create uncertainty among edge providers, innovators, and consumers, and would threaten to unravel the most dynamic segment of our economy. Instead, policymakers should seek to preserve the current rules and ensure that they remain on a firm legal footing.

8223. Derrick Babers, Jackson, MO, 63755
Net Neutrality is about the dumbest shit I've seen in my entire life. It's an unnecessary change.

8224. C, cadet, MO, 63630
i believe that every person has the right to use and browse the internet as they see fit. We pay for the service so we should get to use it as we see fit.

8225. EV York, Springfield, MO, 65804
I support net neutrality because I believe that I should have access to all legitimate information on the internet, not just sites that "pay to play". If ISP's sell my internet access to the highest bidders, I believe it will discriminate against the small business mom & pop stores that can't afford to compete against big corporations.Please do not limit my ability to access all parts of the internet.

8226. Carson, Kansas City, MO, 64155
Eh, we all already know both sides of the argument and what they stand for, so I don't really need a drawn-out comment. But Might as well include one to prove I'm human or something

8227. Alejandro Ramirez, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
NET Neutrality revokes freedoms and equalities while wrongfully claiming neutrality. This ruling will give powers to internet service providers to "prioritize" content but this does NOT serve the consumers at all.Please DO NOT approve this ruling.

8228. Christian Lee Bess, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
Net Neutrality is an incredibly important thing, without it the internet would no longer be an open service that anyone could freely use. If you were to take away net neutrality ISPs and companies that run internet access could freely chose to stop or slow down access to certain sites while providing speedy access to sites that pay up to them or sites they own, reminds me alot of the mafia actually, having to pay up to a bully to keep your business running. Does that sound fair to you, fcc? I certainly hope not.

8229. Tamara Ripley , Waynesville, MO, 65583
Please protect net neutrality and microbusinesses!!!!!!! Thank You!!!Tamara Ripley

8230. Ben Bruce, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I am in favor of protecting Net Neutrality. I oppose the dishonestly-named "Restoring Internet Freedom Act." Do the right thing for all Americans and keep Net Neutrality instead of sucking the cable lobby's gold-plated dick.

8231. Casen M Sutherland, independence, MO, 64050
The internet is a free place, if Comcast att etc have what they want there will be no more new business because they can afford a website! Whoever is reading this right now unless you own a internet company hates this! End this now.

8232. Raymond B Spencer, St. Louis, MO, 63129
The internet was created with the intent of sharing information. Allowing service providers to assign priority to various types of traffic is a slippery slope that could and most likely would lead to each provider filtering content they disagree with or that competes with their business. This is violation of the fundamental design of the internet as well as the core beliefs of the American people and should be prevented at all costs.

8233. Hagen Svendsen, Saint Louis, MO, 63122
If you allow ISPs to monopolize control over the internet, it would lose good people, great people even. If you allow this to happen, ISPs will be boycotted and you will effectively kill the internet.

8234. Emilio Castillo, ofallon, MO, 63366
The internet is one of the most diverse places in our society, its a place where people can not only express there opinions but also a place where they can express there creativity. Its also a place that gives small company's and independent media providers to advertise and flourish outside traditional areas like television. Net Neutrality must survive to keep the internet a fair free market for any and all, and to ensure it stays that way.

8235. Jared Jarding, Kansas City, MO, 64165
I strongly support protections for net neutrality under Title II. Allowing ISPs to pay for which sites move faster, while supressing smaller companies traffic though little more than cost prohibitive measures, is outlandish.

8236. Mark Bonham, Springfield, MO, 65802
I think it is silly to let a you ISP control everything with the internet. the point is that you can look up anything and get any information but in some cases it will block what you are researching.

8237. Teran Anderson, Knob Noster, MO, 65336
Please keep Net Neutrality the way it is, it helps the people of America keep their ways and many businesses thrive off net Neutrality being prominently well....Neutral.

8238. Patrick Durham, Ozark, MO, 65721
Net neutrality is super important for the common citizen these days. They should be allowed to go where they want when they want. So many things are ruined by corporations these days. Driving people where THEY want them to go. There's no Freedom to go where they want. There's no choice it would become "Take what we give you and you'll like it." Just because some corporate fat cat who already makes millions upon millions from someone who already makes so little. This isn't right, I'm pretty sure the majority of people don't want this. It shouldn't be happening because a handful of people want it.

8239. Alexander Neldon, Oran, MO, 63771
I don't want some of my favorite sites to be blocked by my internet service provider, that form of job is up to parents who decide where they can and can't go.

8240. Stacy Scarlett, Brentwood, MO, 63144
Please save Net Neutrality!!!!

8241. Joe Musgrove, Saint Louis, MO, 63126
I very much disagree the attempt at severing net neutrality and giving all the power over the content one views online to any given person's internet service provider.

8242. Thomas Kerr, Springfield, MO, 65802
The Internet has become part of daily life for the public, every bit as much as water, gas or electricity. The Internet should be classed as a utility and subject to utility regulation. Please maintain net neutrality to prevent big money monopoly of this critical service.

8243. Dj Krummen, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
I care deeply about net neutrality. I don't understand why anyone would want to change that or take that away. I don't want to allow big businesses to ruin the internet jut so they can make some more money. I would like FCC to not allow big corporations to buy out the rules without anyone getting a say. That's pretty corrupt if you ask me... So please allow the internet to continue to have bet neutrality.

8244. Quinn, Kansas City, MO, 64113
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title two enforcement of ISPs

8245. Amanda Cordray, Nixa, MO, 65714
The ISP should NOT have the power to limit American's ability to gain information or services. They should NOT be given the authority that China gave them. We are Americans, we are free, and no corporation should be allowed to run us.

8246. Joseph Busiek, Saint Louis, MO, 63125
I would like to retain the concept of Net Neutrality as a right explicitly stated.

8247. Rachel Straub, kansas city, MO, 64158
I am a long time user of the internet, as I am sure everyone here is. On a daily bases I use less common or well known sites. To buy unique produces from businesses, I use Facebook, Skype and Discord to connect with family an friends. All the things that make the Internet so enjoyable and give people the opportunity to follow their passion and dreams, are now at risk of being lost. When I was a child I was told the Internet is a place where all sites are equal. I would hate to see that change. Not only would it not benefit the many small buisnesses but it also wouldn't benefit the customers. I don't believe that we should limit ourselves this way. As if locking a bird in a cage. FCC should be held accountable for their actions. They also should hold Internet Providers to standards. Not allowing companies to invade the peoples privacy. Make Providers actually provide fast and smooth internet. Also keep Providers in check so they cant up their bills to customers without warning. With some of this in place hopefully people using the Internet can feel safe again.

8248. Jonas Cassity, Lathrop, MO, 64465
Getting rid of net neutrality is a terrible idea. Without neutrality, news and other such media can be easily censored by large biased fake news companies such as CNN and The NY Times. Likewise, if neutrality is no longer there large companies can easily create a monopoly on certain services by paying to be shown first with faster loading rates, effectively blacking out smaller companies.

8249. Christa Marren, St. Louis, MO, 63130
Net Neutrality is essential for all!!

8250. Mikaela Maxon, Oak Grove, MO, 64075
To whom it may concern: The fact that the parties involved feel that they need to profit even MORE off of us average folk is sickening. It's disgusting that with all your money you still feel there is more to be had. It's disgusting that we are LITERALLY working our whole lives just for these multi billion dollar companies to continually milk our funds. IT'S ABSOLUTELY ABSURD. To charge and change policies as you see fit for the sole purpose of increasing profit. Why else would you feel the need for your "fast lane" convenience charge. LITERALLY charging more, for something that people need just because you know they need it. YOU MUST BE FRIENDS WITH BIG PHARMA. How ridiculous is it that everywhere now, internet is almost a requirement and you use that as leverage to do what the hell you want. This is a joke and people like you make this life a living hell for us blue collar average people. We are who make you. You privileged people living your privileged lives built off of greed and lies is disgusting. You people are ugly. If I'm going

to work my sad offMikaela Maxon

8251. Amy Dunn, Saint Louis, MO, 63141
As an international NGO working to make a shift in global pediatric healthcare, World Pediatric Project stands strongly behind net neutrality. When you heal a child you begin a ripple effect, impacting their families, their communities, their countries and ultimately the world. By limiting the voices of nonprofits, global health and global achievement are at risk. It is imperative as global citizens that we come together and stand in favor of net neutrality.

8252. Gregory Hammond, Ballwin, MO, 63011
It is vital that the FCC continue its oversight of internet service providers and enforcement of privacy and net neutrality laws. As an independent software development professional, I rely upon fast, low-cost and reliable internet access to do my job. I collaborate with and manage other independent software developers and artists in the course of my work, which often involves uploading large amounts of data to cloud storage and data repositories. I work exclusively from my home office in St. Louis County, Missouri, and I have access to only one major ISP with the capacity and reliability to service my professional needs: Charter Communications / Spectrum. We must ensure that net neutrality is the law of the land, and that this law is enforced!

8253. Stephen Shireman , Kansas City, MO, 64112
Keep net neutrality. Clean and simple. Stephen Shireman

8254. Nathaniel Espy, Kansas City, MO, 64133
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (Net Neutrality) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.It is reprehensible to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem and has already occurred. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. In reality, you will be attempting to prop up the failing cable TV industry by stealing growth from the internet. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Nathaniel Espy

8255. Andrew Barrett, St Louis, MO, 63130
The internet is a basic human right. Removing or limiting access--especially by corporations who do not have the general public's best interests in mind--is a violation of that right. Maintain Net Neutrality; listen to the people. Please see the UN's comments on the subject to reaffirm these claims: https://www.article19.org/data/files/Internet_Statement_Adopted.pdf

8256. Samuel Matthew Frauenhoffer, Eureka, MO, 63025
Every day I use programs like Discord, and I wish to keep using these programs through my Internet provider, Charter. With the recent disassembly of Net Neutrality though, Charter could make me only use something they want me to use, like Skype, by slowing down my internet for Discord. I use Discord for conversations with my friends because I like the way they make it specifically for gamers like me. Skype is more for businesses, and If my internet provider limits me from using Discord, then I am stuck using something that I find less appealing and productive for what I do. Now that Net Neutrality is gone, Charter can limit my internet connection to anything program, application, or website that I use or will use in the future. I am not saying that they will, but they could do so without me knowing. That's why I want Net Neutrality or something that is similar put back into place, so I am not afraid about Charter slowing down my internet connection just because they would like me to use one program over the next.

8257. Theresa Pawlicki, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
I support strong net neutrality and the oversight of title II over ISPs.

8258. Robert Jennewein, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Upon the issue of net neutrality.The idea of net neutrality being something to even consider getting rid of should not even be a thought. By giving internment service providers the permission and ability to throttle network connections, prioritize traffic, and control what can be accessed, has the potential to inhibit small business start-ups, as well as other issues. Upon granting internet service providers the ability, and authorization to end net neutrality gives these service providers a get out of jail free card to blocking, or immensely slowing the traffic to competitor sites. This also allows the ISP's to, again show priority to the highest bidder in terms of speed to their network, business, or website. As I stated before, this has the very real potential to hinder progress of competing companies, small businesses (whom drastically help the economy), and the biggest threat (in my opinion) it's the potential, probable threat to free speech. If an ISP finds a website that has done valid research, and found many ethically, socially, managerialy, and financially shady practices, the ISP can essentially censor these valid claims by blocking the term(s) related to the story.I would hope that you see the problem with ending net neutrality. Thank you for your time.Robert JenneweinRobert Jennewein

8259. Zack Preston, Clinton, MO, 64735
The internet is the most impressive accomplishment in human history, and one man is about to eviscerate it. See reason, quickly.

8260. CK Hicks, Kansas City, MO, 64114

Any Federal oversight or limitation of the private market is an overstep in bounds, limitation to the private trade system, and a terrible precedent for future rulings.

8261. Caleb, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I am a resident of Missouri and I DO NOT SUPPORT the Restoring Internet Freedom Act. Please represent the people of America who DO NOT WANT this. I do not support this and neither do people in my neighborhood. Please do not let this bill continue. We do not support the rolling back of Title 2.

8262. David Medellin, Smithville, MO, 64444
There is no reason to take away the free will of my personal viewing of any websites!

8263. Reno Gillenwater, Rolla, MO, 65401
Think about it this way: there are a lot of good things in America, a lot of bad things too, but I like many others believe that the good outweighs the bad. I believe that we owe a large portion of that to the emphasis on freedoms that our founding fathers placed, they couldn't possibly foresee everything that would come in the time after them and they knew that. So to account for the change that comes with time they wrote in an amending process. They made it so that rules and regulations could be emplaced, rewritten, or done away with as needed.Now the Internet is very much the same, it requires some levels of regulation but no where in the constitution does it suggest handing everything over to businesses. There is a balance to be found between governance and chaos, we have the freedom of speech with rules in place to protect people. It is my firm belief that allowing the internet to be regulated in this manner would destroy the very essence of what it's become. It'd be akin to the management of patents, intellectual property, fair business and so on all being handed over to the businesses themselves. Anytime someone would come up with something no one else could ever touch that, creativity and ingenuity would be smothered by greed.It is apparent that the populace widely disapproves of this. It is your job to govern for the people, do it. Stop this while you can.

8264. Michael McGeorge, St. Charles, MO, 63301
Something I know, when I buy my internet service, is that I get the freedom to do what I want on the internet, and if you take away net neutrality, I won't be able to do what I want with the service I paid for. The service allows me to stream myself playing games that I love, and if I can't do that, then what's the point of having MY own internet service that I paid for.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

8265. michael, independence, MO, 64056
Give it up

8266. rebecca silverstein, Lake Winnebago, MO, 64034
Please keep the FCC net neutrality agreement the way it is!

8267. Jason Adams, Kansas City, MO, 64155
Don't end net neutralityJason Adams

8268. Net Neutrality, Kimberling City, MO, 65686
Hello, as a United States Citizen i would like to express my support for Net Neutrality, and Net Neutrality should not be repealed.

8269. Matt Burton, Kansas City, MO, 64119
Myself and several of my friends and family members want to protect freedom of speech and don't want the the FCC or Congress to pass the Restoring Internet Freedom Act. Thanks

8270. Ellie Sona, St. Louis, MO, 63129
The internet is essential to everyday life, and protecting net neutrality helps ensure that people are able to use it to live their lives most effectively. Not only does net neutrality protect consumers; it also protects small businesses that would be less able to pay fees for fast lanes that ISPs would certainly enact. The only groups that this would be good for is ISPs, and it would come at the cost of everyone else. I'm horrified that a government agency would take actions so explicitly against the people.

8271. net neutrality, St. Peters, MO, 63376
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY. the world thrives on freedom and equal access, why should that change?

8272. Eric Holt, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
I am writing to express my support of net neutrality principles while using the Internet. In many cases, Internet Service Providers exercise a de facto monopoly just as railroad and telephone companies held in our country's history. Industries that expect protection of their monopoly on customers must expect certain regulations such as common carrier or, in the case of the Internet, net neutrality forbidding providers from favoring content or exacting additional payment. Using the regulatory powers of the federal government to aid business monopolies exacts a terrible price on American consumers and our overall competitiveness in the global economy.Please continue the implementation of net neutrality principles so that all Americans may benefit and not just monopolistic businesses.

8273. Roger Irie, Raymore, MO, 64083
Net Neutrality is very important - people need to have the freedom to go and do the things that they want on-line. Not be directed to certain sites because of the highest bidder. Removing net neutrality would allow those with the dollars to spend to dictate what we can do on the internet. People have choices when it comes to buying groceries and gasoline so why should the internet be any different? Keep it open and let the people decide who thrives.

8274. Loren C. Casteel, Springfield, MO, 65810
For the past forty years, since the introduction of the FCC's Computer II rules, the strong distinction between basic and enhanced service

providers has paved the way for free-market innovation to flourish hand-in-hand with the burgeoning internet. The ability of everyone -- from already-successful entities like AT&T to then-newly-minted entrepreneurs like Elon Musk -- to capitalize upon the cutting-edge market platform allowed for massive economic growth, which can only be likened to the explosion of wealth during the industrial revolution. Our civilization has been forever altered, for the better, by increased connectivity and information sharing possibilities. Never before has this access been so widespread, nor so valuable.It is of paramount importance to me, to the future of our country, and to the future of humanity to preserve the ability of all persons and entities to freely access the veritable, virtual world of the internet. The 2015 Title II Order's classification of ISPs as telecommunications/basic service providers falls directly in line with this premise.Generally speaking, I am wary of industry-suffocating regulations. ISPs clearly invested time and money into creating a strong broadband framework, and they deserve to reap the remunerative benefits of having done so. However, ISPs should contemplate the typical market-response to behemoth players who attempt to rig the rules in their favor: Eventually, someone new will offer better, more transparent, more just service and customers who crave improvement will leap to them eagerly. Pursuing shady regulations and attempting to buy politicians in a free society will fail. Period.I do not support these proposed rule changes. I support network neutrality.

8275. Chancelor Taylor, Anderson, MO, 64831
The internet belongs to everyone, it's important to keep it that way.

8276. Anthony LaBat, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I am writing to express that an open and free internet is absolutely vital to our Democracy, especially at a time like this. Knowledge has become a battleground in the last decade, and the only way our people can truly arm themselves is with knowledge. If we willingly give up our rights to educate ourselves and explore the internet, then we give up our rights to be informed in our communities. We give up our rights to be informed Americans, to make choices of our own free will, with all of the options in front of us. We give up our freedom. We cut off lines of communication and inspiration that could potentially serve small start ups and local businesses, the ones we desperately need to save. As a teacher, I depend on the open internet for my students to be able to access materials they need to study and to become better people. I need the internet to be open and free so that I might also do my job and educate the coming generations of American children. As a public servant, I need an open and free internet in order to access other data stores, to make sure that I am doing my job as best as I can. When I, in my own work, hit a rut, it is to the internet I go to see if anyone else has hit this, and perhaps find some solutions. A free and open internet is a productive community. Giving the ability to Service Providers to hinder any of my own personal searches impedes on my fundamental Constitutional rights of liberty and the pursuit of happiness. We cannot be free in the dark, we cannot pursue what we cannot see. I am calling on you to do your duty to the American people and protect my student's, my family's, my community's, and my own right to a free and open internet.

8277. Roger Owen, Eureka, MO, 63025
I believe that an ISP should not have the power to throttle connection to a website to make room for others to be faster. ISP should not be able to block content based on their own bias. Allowing this to happen would go against basic American freedoms and this is why I've chosen to take the time to contact you today. I urge you to help save the open internet. Keep the internet free from absurd corporate greed.

8278. Melissa Crowder, Reeds, MO, 64859
Freedom of information is important for students and businesses and all Americans. Please don't infringe on my family's freedoms. Net neutrality is what we want. Please don't take it away, leave us our freedom of information.

8279. Rebecca Shelton, Pacific, MO, 63069
Unlimited internet use is an inalienable right and should not be regulated like Television or mobile apps!

8280. Sheila Bueltman, Lake St. Louis, MO, 63367
As a Real Estate agent, my entire business depends on internet access and the speed at which I can enter client information and search for client information, as well as schedule appointments and present contracts for purchase. Our business and the buying and selling of homes Across America would be devastated with slowed or limited access online.

8281. Jeremy Stonum, Kansas City, MO, 64157
There is no reason to remove any consumer protection on the internet. Removing protections could cause the pricing for services to skyrocket and also opens up the internet even more to hackers.

8282. Robert Burson, Clinton, MO, 64735
An open, unthrottled internet is important. To everyone. Please keep it that way.

8283. James Ambrose, Saint Louis, MO, 63126
The threat to net neutrality is a threat to the everyday American

8284. Sean Kennedy, Saint Charles, MO, 63304
Allowing net neutrality to be killed would kill the industry, making the internet similar to the cable industry which is already a dying breed, all just for an extra few bucks to keep up the broken cable system. If whoever reads this actually cares about allowing internet to continue costing what it costs and not more (as it will with the nearly guaranteed and unnecessary data caps and with service packages allowing certain websites, and those websites may even be put into a slow lane) If you like using the internet and like browsing any website on the internet, whether it be big or small, this is just a poor decision to get rid of. If you want to only use your ISP's partners websites and everyone else is slow, then I'm sorry, but this is a poor and unnecessary decision. Please, do not get rid of net neutrality, allow the internet to continue growing for the better, not shrink and become worse.

8285. Kevin Shutt, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Do not do away with net neutrality rules that prevent internet service providers from giving preferential treatment to companies that pay more and slowing down content from creators who can't afford to pay the extra fee. Kevin Shutt

8286. Hale Smith, lake saint louis, MO, 63367
IF YOU END NET NEUTRALITY I WILL EAT YOUR BABIES!Just kidding.But seriously, millions of young americans(you know, the ones who'll be deciding your political future in years to come) are going to be seriously pissed off. and like i realize you're appointed and not elected into your current position but elected officials certainly have the ability to put your metaphorical testicles into a metaphorical(although i wouldn't rule out literal here) vice and just start cranking up the pressure.Food for thought.

8287. Alexandria Daugherty, Raymore, MO, 64083
Never, ever, will it be appropriate or constitutional to deny users access to every part of the internet equally and fairly; monopolizing the internet is not only wrong and a result of corporate greed, it is a direct violation of human rights by denying us full and unthrottled access to everything the internet has to offer. The internet is a FREE resource, we PAY for the companies to allow us USE the internet, NOT decide what we should and should not use, and what speed we want it delivered at. We pay for max bandwidth from our ISP and expect it delivered for every website -- not just the ones that happen to have more money than the startup programs that are BETTER than the corporate ones. Take Skype and Discord, for example -- Skype is outdated, terribly optimized, their security has huge exploitable holes in it -- Discord is updated, catered to gamers, streamlined, and encrypted if you so choose. Companies like this would be decimated by a bill like this, and it's ridiculous to even consider putting restrictions on the internet.

8288. David Findley, Kansas City, MO, 64156
Stop messing with the Internet. You already control, and consequently mess up, enough stuff. Remember, you guys work for us. The public. So stop with this nonsense.

8289. Lembric Walker, Independence, MO, 64055
I'm very adamant about the continuing net neutrality. I do not want in anyway for there to be prioritization of more well known websites. In the same way that I support small business. There is no reason except for corrupt monetary gain for there to be blocks, or prioritization on the internet like those seemingly being promoted. I deeply wish that this message can carry some weight, along with the weight of others to promote this cause. The internet has always been free and in some ways fair. I do not want that changed.

8290. Collin McCoy, Independence, MO, 64056
Please keep net Neutrality, so that sites like Imgur/Discord/Reddit are able to compete, and keep the internet open to everyone.

8291. Daniel Stonebraker, Hannibal, MO, 63401
The content of the Internet is public access, and it should remain equally easy to access for the public good. Giving ISPs the ability to control our Internet experience, you'd be giving them unprecedented control over the digital economy which they may abuse to their own ends.

8292. Susan Birmingham, Saint Louis, MO, 63122
sSusan Birmingham

8293. Terasa Sheppard, Springfield, MO, 65806
Net Neutrality needs to be kept in place. As a student in college and a future business person in the world, things like prioritization on the internet threaten the world's ability to progress into the future. Big businesses cannot afford to stand in the way of progress, because in the long run, they will be torn down to make way for a future without them.

8294. You Zhou, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
Please support net neutrality- it is the backbone of digital entrepreneurship that fuels our economy. You Zhou

8295. Keith Edmond Reece II, Florissant, MO, 63033
The internet is the largest repository of knowledge that the world has ever known. It has made communication instant across continents and language barriers. I can play games with my friends in Korea after studying for a test. If the internet is not treated as an open utility, then this marvel of technology and cooperation will be forced to behave to the will of the interest of the greedy and ideological. Net neutrality is in America's best interest. Keith Edmond Reece II

8296. Xavier Henneberg, Kansas City, MO, 64138
The rest of the world already has companies that seem to want to control our everyday lives through some way or another. I truly believe that we would be much better off without all of the ISP's overlooking the internet's operation, any more than they already do. Don't ruin our net neutrality.

8297. Benjamin, Springfield, MO, 65806
Net neutrality is a crucial part of personal freedom and should never be infringed up.

8298. Rudolph Schmitz, Ballwin, MO, 63021
The internet is meant to be a gateway to knowledge, similarly to a public library. Free and open access to all. Restricting and gating access to websites and online services (while placing the power in the hands of ISPs) will open up the internet to capitalist competition and promote unfair favoritism as dictated by ISPs. Furthermore, getting rid of net neutrality has the potential to harm start ups (akin to small business, which are promoted nationally as entities worth saving).

8299. David Rethemeyer, Hermann, MO, 65041
I strongly urge that the FCC protect the Open Internet (net neutrality) rules. I was able to graduate high school at 16, and thanks to an open internet with free access, I have been able to get all of my college credits online, and I am getting ready to graduate with my bachelor's degree at 19. If net neutrality were to go away, I would be very worried that some of my fellow students that are taking this route will not be able to finish their degrees, and move on to become some of the world's brightest minds. I live about 8 miles from the nearest town, so I only have one ISP option, and if they were to restrict my access, I would most likely not be able to continue on and finish my Master's degree. There are a multitude of reasons to protect net neutrality, but I will leave my comment specifically as a plea

from those who want to pursue higher education online, keep the internet open.

8300. Joshua Ratliff, Marshfield, MO, 65706
I strongly support the current standing rules with title 2 that safe guard data on the internet with net neutrality.

8301. Charles Jaschek, St. Louis, MO, 63108
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by a title II oversight of internet service providers

8302. Matthew Hillmer, Weldon Spring, MO, 63304
as a democratic country, we deserve the right to totally a free of paid prioritization internet and the ability to see everything without throttling or tampering with our searches. without net neutrality the internet is nothing better than a communist ideal, that does everything for the highest payer and what they fell l;like doing, not what the people want.

8303. Kenneth James Prock, Springfield, MO, 65802
The internet without Net Neutrality is not worth having. Time and time again Internet Service Providers have shown they only care about maximizing a profit without updating infrastructure to meet demands, by slowing the internet for those that try to use what they paid for, and by diverting traffic to people that have paid to get more views rather than to what you have searched for. This isn't China, we have a free World Wide Web, lets keep it that way.

8304. Jacob Folk, Belton, MO, 64012
You can't take away net neutrality. This is violating our rights.

8305. Kelly Sappington, Kearney, MO, 64060
I do not want to be throttled for the websites I visit. I want an open and free internet where I have access to all businesses and programs. I do not trust internet providers and I do not want them to decide what I will have access to when I use the internet. The internet is good for small businesses and start ups - good for the economy and wellbeing of the country. Many people make their livelihood with the internet. If Internet providers have control over what people see, then small businesses that compete with them will struggle.

8306. Bobby Johnston, Raymondville, MO, 65555
Keeping the internet a neutral place is incredibly important to the vast majority of users. We don't want the government to allow ISPs to control what content we receive, how, or if we can even access it in the first place. This is supposed to be the information superhighway. We are not China, and this is a step in the first of having a little less freedom.

8307. Tasha Carlson, St. Louis, MO, 63130
The use of the internet is part of the American people's first amendment right. Denying access to any content to the American people is a violation of our constitution. We live in a democracy not a dictatorship. We shouldn't allow net neutrality to demolished like we are under the rule of a dictator, nothing about blocking content from the American people is democratic at all.

8308. Elizabeth Raines, Whitewater, MO, 63785
changing the way the internet works would make it into something else, it simply wouldn't be the internet anymore. We want to have a free open space where we can do anything, much unlike the structured world we live in. For me the internet is a place of information, a place for entertainment, and a place where I can be free

8309. Daniel Thomas Guyton, St. Louis, MO, 63105
A free and open internet is no less important than freedom of speech in this day and age, indeed, it is the most tangible modern representation of freedom in action that we have. It allows people to realize their dreams, no matter how small nor how large and to connected with others all over the world. Protect those freedoms and allow us the free and neutral internet we have enjoyed without strictures that would crush those liberties we now enjoy.

8310. Shane Fronczek, warrensburg, MO, 64093
Net neutrality is the only acceptable way things can work. Everyone has to have a chance. This is the american way!

8311. Scott Schwaab, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
America claims to be the land of freedom, yet we are censored on what we want to see, much like Germany. Stop being in the pockets of Time Warner and provide your citizens with an ounce of happiness for once. ISP's shouldn't have the power to block what they want, considering we pay hefty subscription fees for shitty internet speeds and service. Pretty sad when the island nation of Japan can offer speeds up to 1GB in service, yet we struggle to even achieve 100MB with competitive rates.

8312. Austin Tucker, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
The internet is a place where people should be able to express their ideas and beliefs, create groups, start businesses, and connect with old friends. And we need net neutrality to do that. Without it, small start-up companies will be covered by larger corporations and beliefs other than what the majority agree with would be halted.

8313. Brendan Jones, St Louis, MO, 63119
Repealing net neutrality is the one of the worst mistakes a country could make.

8314. Patrick Rouff, Labadie, MO, 63055
Net Neutrality is critical for the internet to maintain it's current course. Smaller sites, even ones such as Breitbart and Info Wars, depend on Net Neutrality is survive. Doing away with such regulations seriously jeopardizes the idea of free market for the internet. In real life, one can just walk away. On the internet, where in most places there is only one internet broadband provider, you don't have a choice. Websites live and die in their ability for customers to reach them. Net Neutrality enforces that right for access.

8315. Jacob LaPrade, Saint Joseph, MO, 64501
This is a direct insult to multiple rights. Please do not pass this act.

8316. David Hubbartt, St. Charles, MO, 63304
If you take away net neutrality, the Internet will not hesitate to bring you down, Comcast. I'm pretty sure you have no idea who you're dealing with, but the Internet is used by millions of people in the U.S. alone. People on every single social media in the world will riot against you, people will kill and die for this. Don't start a war you don't want with the Internet, Comcast. Be prepared for the consequences.

8317. Alexander Horsford, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I am a casual internet user who uses a lot of internet programs that are at stake. Use of the internet is a luxury and should not be filtered. How I use the internet should be my choice and not up to broadband internet services. I believe this to be a form of internet censorship, only showing what is believed to be wanted. I don't want programs that I personally use to be slowed down solely because they don't, or can't, pay enough to keep the service running. There are internet programs that require, if not depend on, popularity rather than income to survive. Applications with tons of users like Netflix and Discord will suffer. Competition should be fair and not rely on who makes more money.

8318. James Johnson, Bogard, MO, 64622
The Internet needs to stay the way it is neutral. Allowing ISP to control peoples internet usage is just going to corporatize the internet turning it into the same thing that TV service is were you'll only have easy access to the parts of the internet that your ISP allows you, and will allow them to throttle your service on sites, applications, and services that they don't prioritize.

8319. Kyle Bey, O'fallon, MO, 63368
Net neutrality needs to remain as is. There is no reason to block websites, slow them down, or regulate the internet in any way at all unless you want to control people. Government is supposed to be representative of the people, but it looks to me like they have fallen out of line of that. I don't want the government regulating how I surf the web. You shouldn't either.

8320. Jacob Tuley, Arnold, MO, 63010
The internet is and should be considered a utility and remain under the classification of Title ll.

8321. Matthew whitaker, st. Louis, MO, 63119
Net neutrality is a must, no matter what any of these corporations say history shows us that they are irresponsible, reckless and selfish every time they are given any power which is why we are forced to have rules and regulations Net neutrality is no different. As an eagle scout I feel it is my responsibility to write this to you in an attempt to stop this horrible idea as it will negatively effect this entire nation from education to recreation. Please make the right call, do your job and protect the little man.

8322. Matthew Wills, saint peters, MO, 63376
I support net neutrality. There should be no paid prioritization. We all should be equal on the internet. Anything less chokes out all potential from this great platform. Small businesses will be unable to compete. I urge you to keep net neutrality strong.

8323. kyle enlow, Festus, MO, 63028
This is truly unfair. I pay every month to my isp. kyle enlow

8324. Amanda McIntosh, Boonville, MO, 65233
This would be a huge infringement on the public and they would suffer unduly because of it. The internet is the best invention we've ever created and is so wonderful. Don't take that from the people.Amanda McIntosh

8325. Jensen Mees, Columbia, MO, 65203
State controlled media is moving one step closer to the fascism you swear you so hate so much, the internet is an uncontrolled medium, that is why it is so popular, think about basic morals, and how people should have the freedoms you have promised them.

8326. Tristin, Nope, MO, 63901
We need the internet freedom we deserve! No restricting what we can do! Who we can talk to! We need the free internet we kept for years!

8327. Linda F Woods, Saint Louis, MO, 63121
FCC Chair and Committee, as an ordinary citizen and the head of a non profit, humbly ask that you do not overturn Net Neutrality. Net Neutrality keeps the Internet highway's lanes open and even for both small companies, everyday citizens as well as large corporations. It would be a terrible shame if Net Neutrality were overturned and everyday citizens like me did not have equal access and equal speed on the Internet as big business.

8328. Dillon, Kirksville, MO, 63501
Im sick of companies being able to act like they're the Mafia.

8329. Mikeal Pratt, Stockton, MO, 65785
An open Internet is the only reason why some of the more popular businesses are doing well at all. Net Neutrality is needed for businesses big and small to survive.

8330. Justin Munn, Villa Ridge, MO, 63089
STOP TRYING TO CONTROL PEOPLE IN THE NAME OF GREED!!

8331. Patrick Hutsler, Jr., High Ridge, MO, 63049

I rely on a free, open internet to remain connected and effective at my job. My company's HQ is located in Las Angeles, CA and I work out of a branch office in St. Louis, MO. Part of my job is to remain highly connected to my counterparts in LA, and to be up to speed on and aware of American and internet culture. I strongly feel that losing Net Neutrality would significantly hinder my ability to do my job well, particularly from afar. On top of this, I strongly believe the current rules and regulations accordingly protect internet consumers and control the power that ISPs wield.If Net Neutrality is lost, I will view it as a direct attack on not only my livelihood, but on my freedoms. I will view it as a direct service to money-controlling corporations, and a blatant disregard of the desires of the citizenship. I will do everything I legally can to hold those who removed Net Neutrality responsible, and work to ensure that their tenure as our representatives and leaders be held to a minimum.

8332. anthony strubberg, St louis, MO, 63125
Dear FCC I am a content creator on YouTube I believe the rules that are in place need to remain in place some of my videos require research if the ISP get primary control it could become harder to get videos on my channel done not to mention actually putting them up an isp could decide I'm not important enough and reduce my internet speeds these rules need to be in place because at the end of the day the isps do not care about the consumer they care about money which could lead to overall abuse of the internet by isps. Thank you for your time and please do the right thing.

8333. Charles Wiegers, St. Louis, MO, 13138
Tim Berners-Lee is firmly opposed to the FCC undermining net-neutrality. If anyone knows this is a bad idea it is he. Please listen to him on behalf of us all.Thank You.

8334. Central Print, St. Louis, MO, 63106
As a new non-profit organization we rely on the internet for promoting our programming, soliciting donations, and reaching an international audience. Fairness and equality in how our organization is represented online is imperative for our success in communicating with our clients, donors, and participants.

8335. net neutrality, neosho, MO, 64850
You are on the verge of killing the internet as a haven of info and idea sharing. We have protested this shit again and again and yet people keep doing this.

8336. Justin Easterday, Ballwin, MO, 63021
The internet is the culmination of all the world's knowledge. It shouldn't be locked behind a paywall for the highest bidder. The people deserve the internet services that they paid for, you can't roll back our speed to milk us for more.

8337. Steve Merckel, Fenton, MO, 63026
Keep the internet open, secure, and agnostic. Tagging and throttling of traffic for the purpose of financial gain only hurts the consumers and the market. Do not allow ISPs control over the availabilty, accessibility, and prioritization to the information and data consumers want to reach.

8338. Nathan Yeager, Grover, MO, 63040
Internet companies are already monopolies as they are. Repealing this law would give the internet companies an even more monopolistic stance on people. And as we all know monopolies are illegal.

8339. 17-108, Troy, MO, 63379
I love the internet the way it is. if everything on the web is gonna be prioritizing websites and such. I just feel like if its no longer gonna be open internet we lose some of are freedoms and rights as American's or as human beings in general

8340. Brandyn Porter, Kansas City, MO, 64134
Hello, I am in support of preserving Net Neutrality. Having a system that could be potentially abused by ISP to throttle and prioritize certain businesses sounds like a horrible idea and I believe the Internet should remain free to help support those businesses just getting into the field.

8341. Alex Bielefeldt, st.louis, MO, 63119
The internet is a great expanse of culture and information that should not be controlled by any one entity. Like space, it is ever expanding, and nobody should be able to restrict another's access to it

8342. Cole Figus, St. Charles, MO, 63109
The FCC must protect the open Internet by maintaining net neutrality protections under Title II. The FCC should throw out Chairman Ajit Paiâ€™s proposal to give the government-subsidized ISP monopolies like AT&T, Verizon, and Comcast the authority to engage in data discrimination, stripping users of the vital access and privacy rules we demanded and just recently won. The internet is the greatest force for democracy in human history, and allowing companies to dictate rules of access is an attack on freedom, net equality, and commercial fairness. Thanks for protecting Internet users and not ruining everything.

8343. Freedom, Florissant, MO, 63031
Please don't implement Title 2, you're going to allow people to cruely toll people for something that should be free.

8344. Jon Hunt Hardy Jr, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
The internet is the single largest source of educational information on the planet and limiting that should be illegal. Literally everyone should have access to everything the internet has to offer and currently only places like North Korea limit what you can view on the internet and last time I checked they were the bad guys. Don't be a bad guy.

8345. Naomi Giddings, University City, MO, 63130
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs and encourage Ajit Pai to do the same.

8346. Andrew Christopher Becker, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
The internet needs to be a shared experience, it shouldn't just be open to the people with a fat enough wallet. If this does become the case however, ISPs could completely anyone who doesn't pay for the most expensive package, meaning that if you don't have enough money, you won't get the same experience online. No one should be prioritized by an ISP. We should all be treated as equal customers, not like second class citizens if we don't have the money.

8347. Bryan Hartke, Dardenne Prairie, MO, 63368
Don't take my interwebs away I like the interwebs.

8348. Caleb Hines, st louis, MO, 63116
please understand we all enjoy the internet as it is now and we need you to stop trying to ruin the internet for us. The internet is a place where everyone can do almost anything and you slowing down websites and things of that nature we do not appreciate especially for us gamers who almost live on the internet so please leave it alone

8349. Charlton A Blake, Branson, MO, 65616
Please do not get rid of net neutrality. We need strong consumer and small business protections. Please support a strong FCC and Net Neutrality rules.

8350. Griffin Poelling, Columbia, MO, 65203
If we as a people lose this freedom, this will promote the rise of ISPs as a monopoly, and make the service worse for customers because we as consumers don't have any other options.

8351. Michael S, Wentzville, MO, 63385
I would like to keep my internet freedom and on all devices, I don't want to be limited by my ISP provider and what they think is good or whoever pays them the most money. This shouldn't have ever even come up in my opinion.

8352. Austin Jones, Ofallon, MO, 63366
As you can read from the top my name is Austin Jones, a citizen of the United States. I believe net neutrality must stay, the INTERNET SHOULD be an OPEN PLATFORM. BROADBAND companies SHOULDN'T be able to interfere with your right to access content and services online. This is not fake news, this is to imported to leave to politics. The real world will see right through the political B.S. Do the right thing, protect the freedom that net neutrality guarantees.Thank you for your time, Austin Jones.

8353. Darian, Fredericktown, MO, 63645
Do not let super rich companies control our freedom. Keep Net Neutrality!!

8354. Todd Dennis Tarsi, St Louis, MO, 63103
Don't play into the greed of a few billionaires. Support net neutrality, don't offer fast lanes, don't allow ISPs to decide the voice of the internet. Thanks for taking the time to read this.

8355. joey, ozark, MO, 65721
why are you wanting to limit the things we can do on the internet

8356. Jonathon Grever, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
The open internet rules of net neutrality are very important and should be left alone. Net Neutrality is internet freedom, and repealing it would be a mistake. You claim that you want to bridge together the "digital divide". Yet if you repeal net neutrality you will actually be creating one instead. This is the USA, home of the free. We should be allowed to enjoy the internet freely without corporate greed getting in the way. If you repeal net neutrality we will be one step closer to censorship.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Jonathon Grever

8357. Stephanie Lentz, Springfield, MO, 65803
Please keep Internet neutrality! I have enough bills to pay for without having to pay to even see my favorite websites.

8358. Johnny Mitchell, Florissant, MO, 63031
I am against allowing companies to manage the internet. There are already small blocks in place by some large companies but to continue to open the gates would place in the same state as countries ruled by a single person in power. This country was founded on small companies and over time larger companies gained power to force business in the direction that they saw fit. With the internet this has help to level the playing field once again. If you allow this to pass you will allow companies to block grow and earnings of even people who do videos on youtube for example.

8359. Owen DuBose, Gladstone, MO, 64118
Throughout history, humans most have issues with being controlled over many things in life. People like freedom to do as they please. If they get roadblocked, we fight back. Time and time again, this has shown to be true. Taking away net neutrality is not something people will take lightly. It's important for it's personal impacts on the world wide web, and it's social, and political, and economic impacts. Not only is this a unfavorable possibility of what using the Internet would turn into, it is soiling the possibilities of what a free Internet can accomplish.

8360. Shutz. Collin, Kansas City, MO, 64113
I firmly support net neutrality, and strongly urge congress and the FCC to not make the changes being sought by current FCC chairman, Ajit Pai, which would threaten the internet as it currently exists. Doing so would be against the expressed will of a majority of Americans and American businesses. The 2015 strict regulations placed on ISP's by the FCC to insure an open internet, should remain intact, and be preserved.

8361. Hank Duhon, St. Louis, MO, 63144
How does this KEEP HAPPENING??? I've been getting emails about this shit for over a year now, saying it's "the last defense for Net Neutrality!" Someone DO SOMETHING FOR GOOD THIS TIME. Holy hell.

8362. Holden Conaway, St louis, MO, 63119
Why would you let large corporations limit the internet and intimidate then people of the Internet into using their programs instead of whatever we choose to use!

8363. Krunal C Patel, O FALLON, MO, 63368
HelloI am submitting this comment to express my dissatisfaction with the way the FCC seems to be moving in regards to protecting Net Neutrality. I have used, and continue to use, the internet in almost all aspects of my life. From work, to school, and even taking care of my family. Therefore, I don't believe this is a matter that should be handled quickly or without delicate thought. Net neutrality protects end users, tech startups/companies, and the internet as it is, as a whole. If Net Neutrality is attacked, the internet and its users, the world at large, will be the first casualties. Please, fight to protect Net Neutrality. Keep the internet a fair space for everyone now, and in the years to come.Thank you.Krunal C Patel

8364. Madilyn Hauck, Arnold, MO, 63010
I, and many others like me, support ISPs being treated as a Title 2 common carrier and regulated by the FCC. Without this, ISPs could take away the internet freedom American citizens relish. In regards to the Open Internet Rules from 2015, they should remain in place as is.

8365. Tara Elkins, Liberty, MO, 64068
We are giving up cable for a reason. We want freedom. Taking our freedoms for more money is a terrible thing to do. Let the internet be the last place that freedom can truly reign as it's dying everywhere else.

8366. Renee Renshaw, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Net Neutrality is crucial for the involvement, education and fair dissemination of information to all people. It has become a right, not just a privilege, and should be defended as such. Please do not limit or allow this freedom of the internet to be impeded.

8367. Duy Nguyen, St Louis, MO, 64136
Please keep private companies out of the public internet

8368. Chris Roedel, Saint Louis, MO, 63125
Please keep "net nuetrality" under the title 2 classification because we should not have ISP's deciding what we see.

8369. Ezekiel Russell, Ofallon, MO, 63376
The ability to access the web freely is one of the many things that sets us apart from countries like china and north korea unlike them we have the ability to look up anything so that we have access to the worlds knowledge.

8370. Gustav Kollmeyer, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
When a bill has to be named something like "Restoring ____ Freedom" it's all too obvious that the contents of said bill are utter bullshit. Keep the internet filled as title 2. Work for the interests of the American People for once, and not for large corporations!

8371. Devin Criger, Marshfield, MO, 65706
This sum bulllshiiiiiit

8372. Brittany Munger, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
To whom it may concern,In this case the United States Government, the FCC and the rest of working America. My name is Brittany and I use the internet to put food on my tablet and keep a roof over my head. I am a working class American who barely makes minimum wage yet work more than an 80 hour work week. I have utilized the internet since I was in my teens well-over 15 years ago and the only thing stopping me from using it then was slow-access dial-up. It is my fear that the FCC and Government will decide to arbitrarily regulate our speeds and what websites we access to steal the open internet from us; and for some of us that is our livelihood. I work online as a graphic designer, an entrepreneur and self employed. If the FCC and our Government decided to side again with big business, as it has sided with the Pharmaceutical industry, I will lose my job and my home. I am one of the many Americans that cannot afford anything more than a roof over my head and food, despite having a college education! As a United States citizen I demand our government to keep the Internet open and accessible to all. In my region, we only have one internet provider and we can barely afford their minimum services, to go as far as to say I could potentially be blocked from my career because I cannot afford to access my job-site is inexcusable. The internet is an important utility in many peoples' lives across the world, with access to all sorts of entrepreneurial services; with the ability to create your own business. Do not steal this away from us, we the people.

8373. Jake Collins, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
Preserve the sanctity of the internet! Keep it an open forum where citizens have equal access to it!

8374. Andrew Kay, University City, MO, 63130
The world needs net neutrality

8375. Michael Lamoureaux, Grover, MO, 63040
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY TO THE FULLEST EXTENT!!! Please do not let the small amount of companies that are running the television and internet market to take away our freedom of the internet. There is no need for this type of greed and honestly anyone behind this course of action should really be ashamed of themselves. Have you no decency left?

8376. Nick Hunkins, St. Charles, MO, 63303
Maintain net neutrality! The only reason the internet has flourished the way that is has is because of the level playing field found online.

8377. Mark Hamblin, INDEPENDENCE, MO, 64050
Preserve our freedoms and keep the Internet open and free by maintaining net neutrality.

8378. Zachary Morris, Grain Valley, MO, 64029
Net neutrality is vital to free speech and expression.

8379. Jeff Coe, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Net neutrality is crucial to the health of the internet. Repealing net neutrality positively affects no individuals and it only stands to benefit the massive and increasingly consolidated media companies. Our FCC chairman, Ajit Pai, most likely does not have the best interest of the average American consumer at heart.

8380. Colene Kimes, Des Arc, MO, 63636
The internet needs to remain neutral, I say this as a freelance artist that relies on the number of eyes that get to see the art that I post. If large companies get to control what their customers view, people like me will fall to the wayside.I live in a rural area in South-East Missouri, one that is already overloaded when it comes to internet speed. You can no longer be added to a cabled internet company here, unless you move into a home that was already connected years ago. The only other options available are satellite, which from my personal experience is unreliable in many areas here, or DSL, which is even slower than most cable.I also support net neutrality as a person who has met the best people I've ever known via the internet. My life has improved for the better just by knowing these people, just being able to send them a message at any time and know that they will receive it and respond when they can. I feel if net neutrality is abolished, I will lose a large amount of the contact I have with them and it will hurt my day to day life.Lastly I believe that the internet companies will immediately take advantage of the lack of net neutrality in any way that they can. I believe neutrality should stay in place so that the companies will continue to be regulated by you, the FCC, to protect consumers, innovators, and creatives from discrimination in our efforts to see and be seen online.

8381. William Stringer, Nixa, MO, 65714
Do not touch my internet please as I fear that this will greatly effect not only not only internet speeds for all costumers, but also prevent start ups from flourishing on the internet in our country.

8382. Jasmine Alade'Fa, Florissant, MO, 63034
If you wanted to truly help businesses survive, you would actually give them the chance to do that. You don't roll back the protections that made it possible to throttle them before they could even take off just because the richer and older competitors don't want to have to share the market. The continued idea that "supporting a roll back means supporting these businesses" is slowly but surely revealing that money is the bottom line, not free enterprise. And I will continue to advocate that net neutrality must be adhered to.

8383. Evan Maynard, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
For the love of all that is good in this world, do not let companies monopolize internet speeds and traffic. This will do absolutely nothing good for the average everyday customer and only helps large companies to dominate the traffic, effectively killing smaller sites due to the incredibly slow speeds.

8384. ian stewart, Doniphan, MO, 63935
Stop trying to pander to the isp's and file the internet under a utility already.Stop trying to pass phony laws that will effect anyone who makes less than 40 thousand dollars a year (like myself)And stop trying to turn the internet into a tier based cable package plan.

8385. Patty Polster, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
Maintain net neutrality! Do not sell out to corporate interests - do what is in the interest of the majority of Americans!

8386. Victoria Shade, Sedgewickville, MO, 63781
Living out in the country, the only Internet access available to me is through satellite, which is slow enough. It's also very expensive. I need it not only for my business (self-employed), but for my family's personal communications, financial transactions and entertainment. My husband and I are mostly retired and on a fixed income. My "business" nets me less than $100/month. I can't deal with either higher costs or slower access. Please preserve net neutrality!

8387. Calla Harris, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63703
Keep Net NeutralityI use the internet for pretty much everything. I sell handmade things online, I blog and I've taken classes online, Still do ongoing learning programs online. If certain companies are able to buy the rights to what I see first based on what my internet provider wants could severely limit my usage. I won't reach as many people is some name brand company pays more to be top tier. I've met some of my best friends over the internet because of not well known forums I may have never stumbled across if the internet was bought by the highest company.I buy the internet for the speeds it offers. Not its regulation on my life. I buy internet to stay in contact

with long distance friends and to play games. I pay for web hosting through another service NOT my Internet provider. I do not have access to another service in my area. If these rules go into effect I will be forced to deal with the new laws or go without internet, which isn't an option. Charter is all I have in my area. I can't pick and choose. If they do something I don't like I can't go to another provider. Charter could double its prices overnight and there is nothing anyone would be able to do about that. The net needs to be open and not able to be bought provider to provider. Please help us Keep Net Neutrality a thing.

8388. Pete Cotton, Kansas City, MO, 64157
Please uphold the existing Net Neutrality guidelines for Internet Service Providers. We rely on an open internet to make sure that there are no artificial barriers to information and services that serve only to increase the profits of monopolistic common carriers. Thank you.

8389. Travis Whiteman, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
Allowing Internet Service Provides the ability to control websites they don't approve of is against our freedoms as a citizen of the United States. What if a young man wanted to make a web design business, and Comcast blocked all websites but its own corporate. Now that young man is unable to live the free life of being able to make his own living. You give power like this to the corporate America, you lose freedom and everything we stand for as the United States of America. We have men and women all over protecting our freedom, so the government should join in, protecting our freedom on the internet.

8390. Gee, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
Big business is a cancer to the well being of the internet. Gee

8391. Michael Patrick McConnell, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Hello, it is of critical importance to me that you protect net neutrality by maintaining the current title 2 categorization of ISPs. I rely on the existing structure of the free and open internet for both my personal life and my career as an educator.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Michael Patrick McConnell

8392. Logan Weiss, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
Taking away net neutrality will ruin the internet and take away hundreds of thousands, if not millions of jobs due to website not being able to make money, not being able to pay their employees, and eventually being forced to close. SAVE THE LITTLE GUYS

8393. Brandon P. Banks, Hannibal, MO, 63401
Hello, I would like to briefly make a comment regarding Net Neutrality, stating that it would be very much looked down upon to do so as many people would find that their favorite websites, links, and even programs could very well be blocked, slowed down, or otherwise destroyed by one's Internet Service Provider. This would impact me personally as well as it could potentially harm or slow down the websites that I love dearly. We the people pay for our internet usage and it isn't cheap in the slightest for anyone in the lower middle class and slowing us down or cutting off websites just because the "ISP" doesn't like it would be absolutely abhorrent to us all.When paying for my services, yes I understand that I am agreeing to their terms and yes I am aware of what I am purchasing however that does not change the fact that we have the right to request our rights. I understand that I am not only purchasing services such as a high speed ramp of internet, but also "information services" such as email, clouds, and so on. As you have seen before, consumers are looking for high speed internet and are looking for the fastest and most reliable connections to email and miscellaneous websites to suit their day to day needs whether it be personal or even business related. How would you feel if you were running up a startup business that an ISP didn't agree with and decided to block your website or slow it down so others don't view it? Personally, I purchase internet for a variety of reasons including but not limited to general browsing, personal social media, entertainment, work, information gathering, cloud storage, email, and even web-hosting to an extent.One could argue that if you have an issue with your ISP's rule-set then you could simply choose another ISP, and though that could be the case for some, an FCC report from April 2017 does show that 58% of Americans have access to either zero or one broadband ISP, and 87% have access to just two. With this information given, do we really have a choice in the matter when it comes to a service provider? Do we really have a choice in the matter at all? Overall, what I am trying to say is that the debate on net neutrality is truly on whether the FCC will have any role in overseeing the access to the most important part of our lives, that being our network and internet. This is to protect us from invasions to our privacy and without protection from the FCC many companies could double their prices over night and there isn't anything that any agency could do about it. We need to make sure that prices for these services are regulated, that websites aren't blocked for unreasonably, and so on. We need to protect what we love and that is why I wanted to contact this agency and express my feelings on the matter.

8394. Nick Lang, Wildwood, MO, 63011
Please keep the Net Neutral. It's very important to all of us.

8395. Chris Graves, Dexter, MO, 63841
The Internet is a powerful tool that has been, is and should always be free for anyone to use. Net neutrality helps secure that freedom,

and to take it away for profit reasons would negatively affect billions for the benefit of a few people.

8396. Nicholas Clutter, California, MO, 65018
Why cant you just leave the internet alone...its all we got left just leave it alone and go regulate something else what kind of country that promotes freedom keeps trying to regulate and tax everything...i mean come on!!Nicholas Clutter

8397. Austin Covington, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
The internet has to stay free! This bill would make the U.S no different from china and all of it s heavy censorship soooo no thank you!

8398. Patrick Kern, Columbia, MO, 65202
I would like to keep the internet free and open and away from the hands of businesses that don't need to control it. Charging extra to individuals to drive them to company owned sites is against the freedom of speech I believe

8399. Adam Russell, Kansas City, MO, 64110
As someone whose entire profession is heavily reliant on the internet remaining free and open for all, I am in favor of maintaining Title II and support net neutrality. Even with the current (and arguably inadequate) level of consumer protections, many ISPs continue to fail at offering basic levels of choice and competition, and will only do so to greater extremes if not held accountable for their actions.Among my own social circle, I could easily cite dozens of individuals who have only offered the illusion of choice due to the terrible practices of these companies, and are only in recent years starting to see actual competition under the consumer protections that have been offered. And I am very much including circumstances where major companies such as Verizon and Comcast have received funds under the pretense of having guaranteed levels of infrastructure building to new and existing locales, which end up being reallocated or otherwise never used for their intended purpose.Current efforts to reverse these protections are simply short sighted efforts to line pockets, as opposed to serving the greater good and presenting the United States as a leader in ensuring that the Internet remains the incredible entity that it has and can continue to be.

8400. Elijah Pena, Raymore, MO, 64083
Nobody will benefit if net neutrality is taken away. People will be contained, and companies will lose business instead of making extra dollars. Taking net neutrality away is taking away liberties and opportunities.

8401. Kristen Inman, Raytown, MO, 64138
Net neutrality is a supremely important issue and necessary to keep ISPs from effectively deciding what content their customers are allowed to see. We have to keep net neutrality in place!

8402. Mark York, Raytown, MO, 64133
Keep the internet open. Enforce net neutrality that treats all content the same. Consumers pay Internet Service Providers for access to the internet. They perform the function of a utility and should ONLY provide access. Using their status as the ISP to make decisions about content and the speed it is delivered is against the principles of freedom and democracy. Monetizing the access to the internet by allowing ISPs to throttle content or charge for "premium access" or "priority" will lead to anti-competitive control by ISPs that will harm innovation and the openness of the internet. It will hamper innovation on the part of businesses connected to the internet. As a customer of an ISP, all I want from them is access to the internet built on a level playing field.

8403. Zach Bischan, St.Louis, MO, 63119
The internet needs to stay free! I think the reason the internet is so great is that I'm able to search and do what I want whenever. Bill Gates could of easily controlled the way the internet was formed when he built internet explorer. But he let the community create things around his structure. No one should be allowed to take away our freedoms from the internet!

8404. Dulany Harms, st. charles, MO, 63301
this is in support for net neutrality, i like my internet the way it is, and i don't need anybody to screw that up

8405. Rebecca Barker, St Louis, MO, 63118
Taking away net neutrality is a cop out and we all deserve better than that. Don't sell out, leave it neutral.

8406. Kevin Kranz, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
Destroying internet neutrality will destroy the internet as we know it and will cause me to use it much less if at all. Please keep the net neutral.

8407. Tyler P. Huffman, Rolla, MO, 65401
I am strongly for net neutrality. It is very important to me that we keep the internet open and free. Once again i am strongly in support of net neutrality. Taking net neutrality away would make it a lot more difficult for me to keep up on my bills if i suddenly needed to pay more to access certain websites. Especially if those websites were necessary for my classes.

8408. Dewayne E Corbin, Windsor, MO, 65360
The internet must remain free from the manipulations of the Internet Service Providers. It has been proven that the ISP's will manipulate the internet for their sole financial gains. If that's through charging for fast lanes or suppressing Freedom of Speech by intentionally slowing down sites that have opinions that harms their potential for profitability, they will do so. Net Neutrality protects Americans from the ISP's manipulating the internet. It should remain to do so despite Ajit Pai being hired for the sole purpose of killing Net Neutrality. And, vast majority of Americans agree with me. Republican, Democrat, Independent, Green Party, and even the Tea Partiers agree that the Internet must remain free. Net Neutrality must remain.

8409. Ryan Bono, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
You must not violate net neutrality. Allowing ISP near-monopolies to have power over what people see, share, create or communicate is an attack on free speech. The only way to protect the internet is to maintain the title 2 regulation preventing internet service providers

from having more control over the internet than they already possess. To do anything else is to hold the lives, interests and speech of the American people hostage for a corporate good.

8410. Robert Walker, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Anything less is a suffocation of free speech and free enterprise. Thank you for your consideration.

8411. Zach Garrett, Overland, MO, 63114
The internet deserves to be an all for one service and it's speed and neutrality are a necessity in this generation.

8412. josh king, Springfield, MO, 65807
Do not repeal the bans on ISP's. Keep the internet the way it is.

8413. Andrew Neugarten, Columbia, MO, 65201
I am writing this comment because as an American citizen I support the continuation of regulating broadband internet as a title ii communication service.When I purchase an internet service with my local ISP I expect to be paying for nothing more and nothing less than a high speed connection to the internet, a meteforical on ramp. In fact, this is how my local ISP advertises their service, it is neither advertised as a package of goods nor a walled garden.Furthermore, I, like most Americans only have access to one broadband internet provider at the moment. As such, if my ISP were to decide to throttle the speed of my connection to websites that they choose I would not have access to those websites at all. I view this as a potential for censorship at the best, and a violation of my freedom of speech at worst.Furthermore, internet has become an important part of our everyday life. Whether I am applying for a job or doing homework, the internet is essential. If this commission still stands for the interest if the American people, I implore you to keep the internet free and continue to regulate broadband connections as title ii.

8414. el samo, fort leonard wood, MO, 65473
net neutrality is not a privilege, it is a right. throttling access would undo generations of experience, and education. by controlling it's future, they would be condemning it's past.

8415. 1, springfield, MO, 65807
I implore legislators that to restrict information based on rules, ignoring our communal values would not only endanger our freedom, but sully our tradition as the land of the free and break apart the very heart of what we are as a global community. One should never take the rights of the many to provide funding for the few. its our very nature to be free. you will not turn us into money making machines.

8416. Connie Stewart, Kansas City, MO, 64123
The USA is one of the countries in which the government does not restrict access to information via the Internet, and I am VERY HAPPY for this situation. Although changing the current Net Neutrality does not equal suppression of information, it does open the possibility of Internet Service Providers to limit access for customers. The USA has a history of no limits to public access for public infrastructure, such as telephone and city transit. I strongly urge you to continue the USA's policy of "Net Neutrality".

8417. Adam Martin, Saint Louis, MO, 63106
Its called freedom and we have to the right to view what we want when we want, if we cant then we dont have freedom any more.Adam Martin

8418. Jake Nelson, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
Net neutrality is important because the Internet is limitless and should always stay that way. Without it the Internet will fall victim to corporate greed and become another pawn of big brother. the government probably wants to censor the Internet because they've been able to hide things from the American people for generations; with the advent of the web and it's openness for any uncensored info, it gives power to the people and scares those in power.

8419. Jim Yogerst, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
Keep the internet free. JimJim Yogerst

8420. Jesse Northington, Raymore Mo, MO, 64083
Keep Net Neutrality do not change anything

8421. Darpan Parajuli, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
Mr. Pai,I support strong net neutrality supported by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s.Thanks!DP

8422. Cody Bramstedt, Florissant, MO, 63031
Its not fair to throttle people their internet and force people to pay for more data. We need the freedom to do as much as we want on the internet. FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that

would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Cody Bramstedt

8423. Tayadora Villalobos, Sedalia, MO, 65301
This deeply affects me and other comsumers. YouTube is one of my many main outlets and you forcing consumers like me to pay extra is awful. I hope you listen to people. - tayadora v.Tayadora Villalobos

8424. Trevor Wilson, Jackson, MO, 63755
Hello, please keep the internet free, fair, and flourishing. Keep the current Net Neutrality laws as defined by Title 2 and do NOT pass the legislation in the works to change it.Trevor Wilson

8425. Maleah Overman, Lathrop, MO, 64465
Please don't. Really. Please don't. My income relies on the internet 100%. Maleah Overman

8426. Jacob Burchard, Pevely, MO, 63070
Real Net Neutrality is under attack and I won't stand for it; if revoked, this will seriously affect the lives of my closest friends whose careers revolve around online art commissions, the uncertainty of whether the internet is functioning correctly or not could mean the difference between getting paid and starving for a week.ISPs shouldn't be able to block sites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, nor force companies to pay premiums for faster internet access.ISPs should never be allowed to censor what we see and do online in any way; the internet is a utility like electricity and water and should be used as we see fit.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Jacob Burchard

8427. Myles Murdock, Columbia, MO, 65201
Chairman Pai's proposition is not only in the absolute worst interest of consumers, but also in the worst interest of democracy, and the future of our nation as a whole. In times like these, public discourse is not only important, but essential to the very foundation of what this nation holds dear. The Internet has very quickly become one of the most important institutions in American history, and peeling back Obama-era rules only serves to benefit large corporations. I sincerely hope, for the future of my country and perhaps my children as well, that "Restoring Internet Freedom" dies in a fire of public revolt. And if it does, well then, point proven.

8428. Alison Matusofsky, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. Please do not eliminate net neutrality. It is wrong for our country to side with big business on this issue in particular.

8429. Daniel Jones, Willard, MO, 65781
Rolling back net neutrality laws is a dangerous move that would have a negative impact not only for Americans, but, by extension, the world. The Internet is more than a business or a service. It is, increasingly, the lifeblood of all commerce. It is, for a rapidly increasing number of taxpaying Americans like myself, our workplace.An open Internet is especially vital here in the Midwest. Many jobs will continue to disappear regardless of who is in the White House, not due to any laws or regulation or outsourcing, but because advancements in robotics and machine learning is going to continue to make us obsolete. (I say "us" as a former worker at a Fram factory in Missouri that no longer exists.) And technology is speeding up, not slowing down. If we want our young people to have a future, and if want our best and brightest to stay HERE for employment, rather than migrating to the coasts to follow the jobs, it is absolutely essential that we have a fair and open Internet that allows them the best opportunity to do so.I know this firsthand. Ten years ago, opportunities for me to pursue my chosen career path as a digital artist here in the Midwest were slim to none. So I did the only logical thing and moved to Los Angeles. Last year my wife and I were able to return to the Midwest, due in no small part to the fact that the Internet has now made it possible for me to be here in Missouri, while working with collaborators and clients across the country and, in some cases, the world.My job REQUIRES that I have the ability to be in constant contact with people thousands of miles away, not just by email, but often by live, high-def videoconferencing. But the Internet is more than just communication for me. I often need to search through hundreds of high-res images per day, for visual references for my work. I regularly need to stream high-definition video tutorials in order to stay current on new techniques and the most efficient workflows. It is vital that I can rapidly download AND upload large files (sometimes hundreds of gigabytes at a time) while sending work back and forth with clients. I need to be able to download updates to keep my suite of work software current. And yes, sometimes I even need to play videogames online, whether I'm testing ones I've helped to build, or if I'm doing research for my next project. Every one of those aspects of my career are things that hang in the balance right along with net neutrality. If broadband providers are able to exert their own will on what sites I can visit, or decide what speed certain content is allowed to travel, my entire livelihood is at stake, ESPECIALLY living in an area where I only have one option for purchasing Internet access.I urge you to do the right thing. To act in the best interests of EVERYONE, not merely those who stand to make a short-term increase in profits by hobbling America's ability to compete in what will only become an increasingly global workplace. Please keep the Internet open and fair. Thank you.

8430. Kieran Ivison, Fredericktown, MO, 63645
Freedom of speech is one of the strongest virtues of this country. Removing net neutrality would be akin to removing that virtue and pave the way for tyranny and subjugation of the masses. Please do not revoke it and allow this country to fall into the dystopian and fascist empire that science fiction has been warning us about for decades. You have a great power here; use it for the right reasons.

8431. GREG, Rock Hill, MO, 63119
I like internet, and I also free things. Making me pay for the internet is like making me pay for oxygen because that's free. #FreeTyler

8432. Jessica Glunt, St. Louis, MO, 63132
Hello. I am advocating for net neutrality. As a small business owner, I rely on the internet for many aspects of my day-to-day operations, and I am gravely concerned about the possibility that my business will suffer compared to larger competitors with greater bargaining power. I strongly urge the FCC to consider the chilling impact that tiered network access or traffic prioritization would have on start-ups, sole proprietorships, and fledgling businesses. Thank you.

8433. Tyler Pope, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
Net Neutrality is a necessity and must be protected. The internet is a one of a kind tool that is needed for education, medical purposes and much more. It is the key to many citizens futures and if tampered with could bring them and many more down. You must protect our Net Neutrality as with it there have been many inventions (many life saving) that are a key to life and many more projects to advance our country and world in the future. Without Net Neutrality lots of these things wont become reality and we will fall as a nation.

8434. Nicolas Nieves, Whiteman Air Force Base, MO, 65305
I strongly support a strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The obstruction of this free entity to either tax existing corporations using the internet or hindering their ability to provide a service outside of your original industry of market is selfish and more accurately, heinous. It would behoove the FCC avoid the pervasive nature of ISPs as it would cause a cataclysmic effect on how businesses operate, as well as the sharp decline that would ensue to active users of the Internet.Thank you for your time, and I hope you reconsider your stance on the issue.

8435. Jane.F.Wood, St Louis, MO, 63108
I implore you to not scrap the Federal Communications Commission's net neutrality.

8436. Steven Buie, Roby, MO, 65557
With Clinical depression and social anxiety the internet is my gateway to the outside world, allowing me to make friends and express myself in ways I cant in the outside world. As someone whose life is inextricably tied to the internet please don't shackle me.

8437. Patricia Storm, Licking, MO, 65542
I advocate continuing net neutrality and am opposed to the current notice of proposed rulemaking which would end the existing regulatory approach.

8438. Zac Davis, Wentzville, MO, 63385
The government should protect us not harm us by making us pay loads of money to do simple things we use everyday. If you make us pay to use the internet it's basically the same thing as making us pay to sleep or to go to the bathroom. Don't be greedy and get rid of net neutrality.Zac Davis

8439. Shean Reiley, Kansas City, MO, 64118
The Net Neutrality law has been an extremely good thing for the mass public. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This causes so many more issues for everyone who isn't a CEO for one of these companies.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Shean Reiley

8440. Kyle Burre, Kirksville, MO, 63501
The repeated attempts by the FCC to eliminate Net Neutrality for the sole purpose of increasing the profit of select ISP providers at the cost of the citizens of the United States is a travesty. The internet is the strongest tool of information sharing and connectivity the world has ever known and you want to put it at the mercy of those who would shackle it for their own purposes? Imagine giving only a few select publishers the power to control all that is printed in a country...it is a form of tyranny. The underhanded, speedy attempts to get this past the people without their knowledge or consent is further insulting. If this has to be a topic then let it be properly aired out and discussed with all people out in the open, not some rush-job backroom sleight of hand transition of power from the people to big business.

8441. Sherry C.M. Lindquist, St. Louis, MO, 63122

If you allow corporations to pick and choose which messages get to the American people by slowing down speech that is not bought and paid for by special interests, you are selling out Americans' right to free speech. The Internet is our most important public forum, now dangerously monopolized by only a few companies. It is imperative that the FCC act to protect the first amendment by ensuring net neutrality.

8442. Maggie Zdrodowski, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
Dear respected people of congress,I am writing this letter to you today to tell you that you are making a grave mistake. I am only 15 years old and even I can tell that our government needs to take a step back and think about what we are doing here. If this passes you will be barring my freedom of speech and expression. This should not be a question of should I vote on party lines or not. It should be why are we letting the American government oppress its people. The internet is a very large part of the modern day world. This amazing piece of technology is able to bring a sense of community to those who are lonely, happiness to the sad, hope to the hopeless. It also is sharing news on platforms such as twitter faster than ever before. So I encourage you, please do what is right. Vote for net neutrality not against. Don't let our internet providers control our freedomThank you for your time,Maggie Zdrodowski Maggie Zdrodowski

8443. Kaylen T. Bates, Springfield, MO, 65804
I am in support of Net Neutrality. There should not be paid prioritization as any bit that flows through to my PC is just as important as any other bit.

8444. Sandra S Wilson, Shell Knob, MO, 65747
Please leave the Internet as is so I can have access to the sites I choose not the ones someone else has chosen for me or those I must pay to access.

8445. Steven Young, Farmington, MO, 63640
Allowing companies the option to charge consumers and other businesses for access to premium goods is reasonable in a competitve market, but the internet service providers are few, and they shut out smaller competitors. Giving these large ISP's the ability to offer more accessible webpages will stunt growth and ingenuity by limiting the reach and revenue generated by snaller businesses who may not be able to afford premium packages from ISP's. The idea of fast lane internet packages and limits on data are nothing but greed, easily compared to phone companies profiting from the imaginary construction of minutes having value.

8446. Matt Brown , Springfield, MO, 65807
I am against net neutrality Billy Long. If you are for it, then you have lost my vote and earned my money &support for your opponent.Please listen to the people you represent, thank you!Matt Brown

8447. Linsey Whitlock, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
As a web and application user interface designer, the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay- and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Linsey Whitlock

8448. Matt Brown , Springfield, MO, 65807
Mr. Billy LongI am in favor of net neutrality. Don't allow lobbyists and deep pockets to control the development and progress of our country. Open internet promotes education, productivity, and prosperity. If you do not represent me, expect me to find me someone who will.Matt Brown

8449. Edward Taylor, Hayti, MO, 63851
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules in particular) are of utmost concern to me, man.I don't need ISPs to have the ability to piece sites, back them off, give a few destinations leeway over others, or split the Web into "fast tracks" for organizations that compensation and "moderate paths" for the rest. Presently is not an opportunity to let mammoth ISPs blue pencil what we see and do on the web. Restriction by ISPs is a major issue. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Link throttled the well known diversion Group of Legends, and Verizon let it out will present fast tracks for locales that compensation and moderate paths for every other person if the FCC lifts the principles. This damages buyers and organizations vast and little. Courts have clarified that if the FCC closes Title II arrangement, the FCC must give ISPs a chance to offer "fast tracks" to sites for an expense. Chairman Pai has clarified that he expects to do precisely this. However, in the event that a few organizations can pay our ISPs to have their substance stack quicker, new businesses and private companies that can't pay those expenses won't have the capacity to contend. You will slaughter the open commercial center that has empowered a huge number of independent companies and made the 5 most profitable organizations in America-just to additionally enhance a couple of a great deal less significant link goliaths well known at high as can be costs and wretched client benefit. Web suppliers will have the capacity to force a private expense on each area of the American economy. Also, under Executive Pai's arrangement, ISPs will have the capacity to make it more hard to get to political discourse that

they don't care for. They'll have the capacity to charge expenses for site conveyance that would make it harder for websites, philanthropies, specialists, and other people who can't pay up to have their voices listened. I'm sending this to the FCC's open continuing, however I stress that Executive Pai, a previous Verizon legal counselor, has made his arrangements and will disregard me and a great many different Americans. So I'm likewise sending this to my individuals from Congress. If you don't mind freely bolster the FCC's current unhindered internet rules in light of Title II, and decry Director Pai's designs. Do whatever you can to discourage him. Much obliged to you!Edward Taylor

8450. Dale Arnold, Rolla, MO, 65401
When I pay for my ISP bill, I expect to receive my money's worth. Any disruption of my service by the FCC runs contrary to the point of a free market economy. Do not alter the rules governing net neutrality, as they are paramount to maintaining the U.S.'s role as an economic hegemony.

8451. Patrick Fulps, Rolla, MO, 65401
Net neutrality is very important to the millions of people who use the internet. The only people who benefit from its departure are large companies and ISPs. I hope that you will consider voting to keep net neutrality the way it is.

8452. Brian McCormick, Springfield, MO, 65807
Keep net neutrality. I support the free market. Don't allow companies to control our access to the internet.

8453. Hayden Russell Miller , Joplin, MO, 64801
Dear Congress and the FCC,Net Neutrality is ridiculously important to me. My family is working class, the luxuries we have now we would not be able to afford if our ISP were to charge extra for certain sites. In the United States of America we are known for our freedom of expression and simply freedom in general. So giving the right to Internet Service Providers to censor, slow down, or charge fees to access the Internet or particularly certain sites on it, would be a backhand to the constitution, the founding fathers, and most importantly the American People. If even the inventor of the Worldwide Web thinks getting rid of net neutrality is a bad idea, it's likely a bad idea for the Worldwide Web. Thank you for your time. Please don't misuse your power. Hayden Russell Miller

8454. Allie Crawford, Hollister, MO, 65672
The internet connects people to endless sources of inspiration, knowledge and community. Anything less than full, unadulterated access is a disservice to the People.

8455. Matt Duesterhaus, Kansas City, MO, 64154
I support Net Neutrality and the system in place currently.

8456. Ignatius, Plattsburg, MO, 64477
I live in a small town with a touch over 2,000 people, in this town we have one main internet provider, centurylink, they are the most affordable, and most reliable. In this town we have very few options when it comes to internet service providers; if Net Neutrality is abolished the people here will be left between a rock and a hard place when looking for affordable internet providers who will give us freedom of choice. That is what is at steak for the American people as a whole, our freedom to choose what websites we want to visit, and if Net Neutrality is abolished then ISPs choose what we see. We will be forced to submit our freedom to choose the content we see to the ISPs; they will make this choice by creating fast lanes for the people who pay, and leave other people waiting through seemingly endless buffering. What if AT & T decides it dislike CNN, well AT & T could block their website, with the means previously stated; thus Limiting freedom of media. Need i remind the reader that the constitution in the first amendment protects freedom of media; because that is want the internet is, it is media, it is a platform for communication of ideas. If AT&T bars CNN, then what happens if other ISPs do the same? Because soon after Net Neutrality is abolished lobbiest from all these different groups will go to AT&T, Verison, CenturyLink, calling for the barring of sites. CNN is just an example, CNN can fight back, but what happens to sites that can not? They could be fully blocked out of the internet for people who don't or can't pay fees.I have friends whom i can not afford to visit on a even anual bases, and one can only be so close to another person over the phone. I want the freedom to talk to them, and play the games that I choose with My friends -- i do not want to be told that my service provider wants an additional fee from me. I already pay them, and for them to have the ability to charge me again for a service i already paid for sickens me. What happens to poorer families that don't have more money to pay their ISP for access to things like email or icloud, do they have to choose another one and pay for a new modum router, and pay possibly more money for a little more freedom? Or do they just have to deal with a little less freedom than i have because i have 20 dollars more i don't have to spend on food or keeping my lights on? One more note, i live 30 minutes from the nearest library, however, that one i have to pay to use. If i want a free library it is about an hour drive; google maps says about 45 minutes but google maps does not account for back country roads.I fully heartedly oppose this attempt to limit my freedom by the big corporations. Please protect my right to choose the information i see over the internet. Thank you.

8457. Angie Jackson, Neosho, MO, 64850
Dear member of Congress and FCC,I am a strong supporter of Net Neutrality as I highly value my freedom and privacy. I ask you to support Net Neutrality and not allow companies listed ke Verizon, AT&T, Comcast, and TimeWarner Cable to force sites to pay potentially millions of dollars to avoid being stuck in the "slow lane." This cost would naturally be passed on to consumers and be another tax for me and other to have to pay.Thank you, Angie Jackson11454 Eagle DriveNeosho, MO 64850Angie Jackson

8458. Angie Jackson, Neosho, MO, 64850
Dear member of Congress and FCC,I am a strong supporter of Net Neutrality as I highly value my freedom and privacy. I ask you to support Net Neutrality and not allow companies listed ke Verizon, AT&T, Comcast, and TimeWarner Cable to force sites to pay potentially millions of dollars to avoid being stuck in the "slow lane." This cost would naturally be passed on to consumers and be another tax for me and other to have to pay. I urge you to oppose the FCC's attempts to repeal the strong net neutrality rules designed to protect us from the above-named companies and others like them from being able to block or throttle information.Thank you, Angie Jackson11454 Eagle DriveNeosho, MO 64850Angie Jackson

8459. Joziah DePew, Nixa, MO, 65714

Net Neutrality should not exist in today's society; It would cripple many upcoming businesses, and even more established businesses like "Discord".

8460. Benjamin DuBois, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Please. Please. Please, do not let any companies treat internet data as anything other than equal.

8461. andrew felling, st louis, MO, 63122
the internet needs to remain neutral

8462. Alice Clauss, Saint Louis, MO, 63128
Net neutrality is necessary for an open an free internet and world.

8463. Justin C, Columbia, MO, 65202
Without Net Neutrality a CEO could charge much more money to access content creative sights that others need to make an income.

8464. joaquin ramsey, lees summit, MO, 64063
I Strongly favor net neutrality. We need to keep ISP regulations in place. From an Internet user.

8465. Adam Sinopole, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Preserve internet neutrality via title II.

8466. Jake Noyd, Saint Joseph, MO, 64503
Once again big companies, and those that have interests that align with big companies, are pushing for weakening or out right eliminating net neutrality rules. It is my opinion that any weakening of net neutrality rules will hurt the middle class , lower class citizens and new businesses. Allowing internet service providers to prioritize traffic may (probably would) lead to additional optional fees to receive adequate service. I ask that you maintain the Title II classification of internet service.

8467. Russell P, St Louis, MO, 63108
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!!!!!Changing current law to allow "less regulation" will only result in unfair internet access based on the highest bidder to ISPs....please stop this almost universally hated proposal.

8468. Suzan Gonder, Springfield, MO, 65807
I am disabled and on a limited income. The internet is the only way I can access services, shop for food and necessities, and stay in touch with my friends and family. If I lose the internet I will be in a dire situation. I will be cut off from society and my ability to stay in my own home will be severely affected. Therefore, the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you, BEG you, to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Suzan Gonder

8469. Hali Flintrop, Olivette, MO, 63132
I wish to express my support of net neutrality and believe it is necessary for the FCC to monitor companies under title ii as is currently done.

8470. Jason Mayer, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
I am a software developer and I my livelihood comes from creating websites and apps for companies. An open and free internet is integral to the income of many people like me. I have 1 choice for internet - charter communications. There are other providers, but they do not meet the speed requirements that I have for video conferencing with clients. If my ISP is discriminating, I can not simply just switch to an alternative.

8471. Albert Koesterer, Olivette, MO, 63132
I strongly believe in net neutrality as it is enforced under Title II.

8472. CALEB MCDANIEL, Higginsville, MO, 64037
Do the right thing CALEB MCDANIEL

8473. Ashleigh Roberts, Columbia, MO, 65202
I strongly oppose Chairman Pai's proposal. Having a free internet allows people to search what they wish and post what they desire. Without net neutrality companies will see this as a way to make even more money away from hard working Americans. We all desire to keep the internet a free place where we can communicate with family and friends, play video games, read, and watch what we want

when we want. No one will benefit from taking that away.Restricting internet access will also raise hacker activities because this proposal will only place more walls for them to break. After all when you give a child toys they will play worth them no matter what you say. However if the toys never exist you doing Have to worry annoy taking the child no.

8474. George Cowsert, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
Look, I'm not gonna spew some legal BS and I'm just gonna go straight to the meat.Greed is a destructive trait, and Net Neutrality is one of the few things that pushes back greed.By only allowing big companies to have good internet speed, small companies are essentially killed, and it would become impossible for anybody to innovate the internet.Whoever thought it was a good idea to end Net Neutrality needs to get a grip on reality. George Cowsert

8475. Richard Stahlhut MD, Columbia, MO, 65203
Iâ€™m asking the FCC to protect Title II net neutrality and reject the Chairman's current plan to do away with it.The Internet is an information utility. I use it constantly for my work and my life. I am quite concerned that the Internet will be crippled if Internet service providers have the ability to choose which content gets bandwidth preference. It will give them the opportunity to censor content and blackmail Internet businesses. Adverse effects will be felt in every aspect of our society.ISPs did not create the internet -- the US Department of Defense did (see ARPANet; https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ARPANET). Therefore, citizens today have the Internet because of years of government funding and development. ISPs and other businesses have been permitted to run the Internet, but they should not have the right to determine which content can be relegated to a slow lane and suppressed. The Internet is a 21st century utility. Please protect it by keeping Title II net neutrality in place.

8476. Kolton Benoit, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
As a system and network administrator, the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. It is vital for both individuals and businesses throughout the country.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Kolton Benoit

8477. Philip Vanderstraeten, Kansas City, MO, 64108
There is no benefit to the consumer. We already can and are being watched and policed. Censoring will only breed other methods of communication. Doing this will only help people move to a new method of communication that is unrestricted deflating the current.

8478. Tess McGuire, Peculiar, MO, 64078
I wish to express my dismay over the possible loss/change of net neutrality. As a person who frequently uses the internet for retrieving information to help me research new studies on health issues contributed to dietary choices. I am an RN and need fast retrieval to continue to be of service to those that depend on me. Please leave net neutrality alone, it is great the way it is.

8479. Valik, Grain Valley, MO, 64029
To control another's freedom of *anything* is outrageous. The internet is everyone's, not just yours. I do hope you change your minds about all of this. It's going to cost you more than it'd benefit you.Valik

8480. charlie weber, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
why should i have to pay for something that is now a nesasari part of life. i dont have a phone and when i broke my foot i was able to get help when i was home alone. what if i wasent able to do this and i had to stay home for hours to weght for my mom to get home also it makes netfix slow. charlie weber

8481. Gabriel Delgado, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Don't mess with our internet just to make a quick buck you chodesGabriel Delgado

8482. Steve Miller, 65590 Long Lane, MO, 65590
I oppose any change to the current net neutrality regulations. I understand this is referred to as title Ii.

8483. Conner Shuck, Nixa, MO, 65714
save Net neutrality! Don't let ISP's have control over us

8484. Corey Kretzmer, Manchester, MO, 63088
The internet needs to remain truly free.Corey Kretzmer

8485. Kelly Lyons, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Net neutrality is the idea that the internet should be free and open for everyone. If a service provider can block you from seeing certain content or can make you pay extra for it, that hurts all of us and we should have rules against it.If we want everyone in the world to have

access to all the opportunities that come with the internet, we need to keep the internet free and open. Please protect net neutrality.

8486. Christopher Flanagan, Columbia, MO, 65202
Net neutrality is essential to Internet freedom. It is already bad enough that ISP, who are typically cable companies as well, use "tiers" of different channels where you pay for channels you don't need nor want for the purpose of making more money. This is an attempt to bully other internet companies and to ensure they get the money. They will, over time, all of these companies, will start to introduced paid tiers for the internet. It can be "for $50/month, you get Google and Netflix, but spend an extra $5 more and get Hulu!" The Internet is essential for the communications of ideas. Many countries provide internet to all their citizens. While we aren't discussing that at the moment, the Internet is essential for all Americans and should be treated like water and electric companies. The internet is a great thing, cable was once a great thing where you would get all the channels you wanted for one price. The only way to keep that going is by ensuring that Title 2 protections are in place. Thank you.

8487. Abbey Riley, Columbia, MO, 65202
Internet providers and all handling of the Internet in Ameroca should be done under Title II provisions!

8488. Mark Croke, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
The internet is not simply a tool for corporations to make money. It is the right of all people to have access to knowledge and information, such is the reason for free universal education. The internet is the greatest tool to share that knowledge in any form. It is a utility that should be treated as such, infrastructure required for the advancement of society. No rules or regulations should be allowed or rolled back that would in any way shape or form hamper this ability to spread knowledge and information. To do so is an affront to democracy and shame upon our society.

8489. Nick Potter, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please, please, please maintain current FCC net neutrality rules under Title 2.

8490. Cade Simon, O Fallon, MO, 63366
I do not want to pay for everything I have to do the internet. Free speech should be allowed on the internet. Cade Simon

8491. Adam Smith, Platte City, MO, 64079
These past few years under Title II, allowing for Net Neutrality, has allowed for an enormous amount of ease of access throughout the internet and the web. Removing the regulations around Net Neutrality would be devastating as it would affect the whole conglomerate of consumers. Please do not remove Title II or remove the regulations around Net Neutrality.

8492. Kade Lundien , Carl Junction, MO, 64834
The sheer amount of names on this list should tell you how we, the users of the Internet, feel. Kade Lundien

8493. Edward O'Neil, Ballwin, MO, 63011
The internet needs net neutrality. It is very unfair for the user to have to suffer due to the influence, monetary or politically, of big corporate companies. Unfair access to the internet will have an impact on users for decades to come. Whether you're a student, teacher, lawyer, doctor or businessperson, unrestricted internet will hinder the flow of business, causing millions of dollars in lost productivity and lack of membership. As a capitalist nation, it is the choice of the citizen to choose where to get their goods and services. Without net neutrality, capitalism is hindered. We need net neutrality so that each and every person can rightfully choose where they get their internet and how they use it. As a student in computer science, I use the internet for almost everything I do. And, with only ONE option for an ISP in my area, I am restricted to the rules that my ISP sets. If my ISP was not restricted by the laws of net neutrality, everything I do would be affected. I need fast speeds for all of the things I do, and without net neutrality, all of my activities would be hindered.I hope that you takes these concerns seriously.I am not a bot or an internet program. Nor am I a hacker or a scammer. I am simply a student in need of good, reliable, and fast internet. I have never before written a formal comment like this, and that is because I have never been so inclined to do so. But, the Internet plays such a strong role in my life and career that I would be personally affected if net neutrality were gone, as would many others that I know.Please keep net neutrality laws in place.

8494. Kristopher Miller, Saint Joseph, MO, 64507
I am growing increasingly concerned about the votes to repeal Net Neutrality. Repealing Net Neutrality will give corporations the right to restrict and censor content, as well as charge extra money for their services. Removing Net Neutrality could potentially restrict people from performing important functions like applying for jobs and accessing needed information. Small businesses and independent creators could have their web presence reduced.If Net Neutrality is repealed, it will limit the freedom of sharing information. The United States has always been a country where information could flow freely without being hindered, and repealing Net Neutrality would be moving in the wrong direction. Getting rid of Net Neutrality will stifle the American peoples' voice and it would potentially halt any innovations and ideas that can benefit this country, as well as the world.Net neutrality should NOT be repealed. The country and the freedom of information in this country depends on it.

8495. Texas Toast Tim, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
Wow. Are you thick in your skulls? Do what ya bloody want with cable TV, but leave the internet to people with creative minds. Don't tax us for wanting to watch and create. You already charge enough for the internet itself, you blind money bags. Imagine if you went to a super market, and you had to pay five dollars to just go down an aisle. That's what you're doing here. Quit your whining, and enjoy the cash you have.Thank ya.Texas Toast TimTexas Toast Tim

8496. Karalynn Miller, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
Because internet service has risen to the status of a utility, unfettered access to ALL its offerings should be a given. American policy should be based on what's good for AMERICANS, not for companies.Net neutrality is what made America great. To abolish it would be an affront to our democratic ideals.

8497. Alex, Moberly, MO, 65270

The internet needs to be something that everyone can use without having to pay a fortune for some company to make money off of people.

8498. rose g, Wildwood, MO, 63040
Net Nuetrality and Title 2 are critical in the future of the internet and should be protected. Going forward, the people deserve to have free and open access to the websites, applications, etc of their choice.

8499. Leah Ryan, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
To whom it may concern: Dismantling net neutrality is an affront to democracy. It is important to have an educated populace. The internet is of utmost importance to education in today's day in age. Almost all of our information and news comes through the internet. By giving companies the power to block websites and manipulate others by throttling speeds and availability to the general public, this puts our country and a volatile risk. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Our country and future is in your hands. Thank you!Leah Ryan

8500. Curtis, Kansas City, MO, 64138
I need my internet Running not slow you can't have are internet FCC we need net neutrality we all need you net neutrality don't give up on us net neutrality Curtis

8501. Jeremy Anthony, Saint Louis, MO, 63111
Jeremy Anthony Jeremy Anthony

8502. Anita Crook, Kansas City, MO, 64131
An open internet is critical for innovation and freedom of speech.

8503. John Frost, Kirksville, MO, 63501
Net neutrality is important and right. John Frost

8504. Sarah Hudson, Lee's Summit, MO, 64063
The Internet is open. The Internet is humanity. Do not take away a basic human right.

8505. Olivia Kaitlin Cope, St. Louis, MO, 63135
"Congress shall make no law.....abridging the freedom of speech or of the press..."-Excerpt from Amendment I of the United States Constitution"The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated...."-Excerpt from Amendment IV of the United States Constitution.This nation was founded on the idea of individual freedom of persons and that freedom includes the freedom of privacy. The Founding Fathers fought for these rights, people have died protecting them. And while net neutrality may not what have been in mind at the time the Constitution was written it still stands that we, as people of the United States, still deserve the freedom to express ourselves. What this is proposing is censorship, a complete disregard to Americans' liberties, and going to harm up and coming businesses. The only reason a business like Netflix, YouTube, or any other variation was able to grow to what it is now is because of the laws in place that stop large companies like Verizon, AT&T, and Spectrum from abusing their power as service providers. If this passes the above mentioned service providers will have a monopoly over people that America hasn't seen since James D. Rockefeller. If this passes it isn't for the benefit of the American people; it's in spite of them.

8506. Andrea Rickard, Joplin, MO, 64804
A free and open internet is an important piece of our democracy. It is so important to leave the internet power with the people, not corporations which would tip the balance of content to be unfair and narrow.I urge you to please be on the side of the people and democracy and leave the internet free and open.Andrea Rickard

8507. Nathan S Holmes, Columbia, MO, 65201
The internet is a critical part of our infrastructure, and it is important to me and most people I know that it remain available and free of confinements and restrictions placed by multibillion dollar companiesNathan S Holmes

8508. Stephanie Swengrosh, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (also known as net neutrality rules) are immensely important to me. As a public official, and as a fellow internet user, I implore you to protect those rules.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes

for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.That means that if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete with those bigger and/or richer business that can afford to pay. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America (such as Amazon) just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.With that being said, I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Stephanie Swengrosh

8509. Patrick Gardner, Columbia, MO, 65203
I support strong net neutrality and title 2. If you are against it you are anti american and pro monopoly

8510. Betsy Ann Scott, Kansas City, MO, 64154
Keep the internet neutrality - do not change the rules allow a few people to dominate the web.

8511. Stephen Webster , Wyaconda, MO, 63474
The FCC's open internet rules are important to anyone who uses the Internet. It needs to remain an open platform. If anything it's an extension of freedom of speech, expression and press, as it allows anyone to have their voices heard and we can't risk anything harming that.Stephen Webster

8512. Douglas Lee Prange, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
Net neutrality is vital to the future of our economy. Keep it in place.

8513. Jocelyn Sanders, St. Peter's, MO, 63376
I am deeply concerned about what will happen to the free and open internet should this measure go through. The only ones who will see benefit from this are the big internet providers, not the countless people who use the internet. The internet and ready access to it is a necessity across the globe; do not make it harder to access than it already is for so many.

8514. Test Person, St. Louis, MO, 63102
Placeholder

8515. Test Person, St. Louis, MO, 63102
Placeholder

8516. Joshua Hyde, Pleasant Valley, MO, 64068
I support the regulation of telecommunication companies that provide access to the Internet as Title II utilities in an effort to keep the Internet free and open without needless interference by those access providers.

8517. Mehalet KesateBirhan, St Louis, MO, 63108
Limiting sources information is tantamount to rendering millions of us stupid! We may not be rich but we can be empowered through information. We need access to any and every kind of information to have a balanced and educated response to our every changing world. The internet is our shared brain, ISPs can't be allowed to limit our access to it.

8518. Nicholas Osgood, Lees Summit, MO, 64064
As a concerned citizen, hoping to keep our social institutions democratic, I want you to know that I support net neutrality, not deregulation of our Internet.Giving in to large service providers iNicholas Osgood

8519. Karyn Walden-Forrest, Lake Waukomis, MO, 64151
Net Neutrality should be in place and enforced. Keep it as Title II so there is oversight and corporations won't be able to give preference to some sites over others. Fairness is important. The little guy should be as valued as the big guy and net neutrality helps this happen.

8520. Taira Meadowcroft, Columbia, MO, 65201
As a librarian in a Mid-Missouri community, I see how net neutrality has positively affected those in my community. The public library system offers free internet access to all users, and that greatly benefits our rural users who may not have access to the internet due to location, or financial issues. There is a great digital divide in Mid-Missouri, one in which the libraries in this area do their best to close. Rolling back net neutrality would make the library pay even more for internet access. Our public library is a hub of information, and people who come to the library because they cannot afford broadband access at home should not have their choices in information shaped by who can pay the most. Library sitesâ€”key portals for those looking for unbiased knowledge, and rolling back these issues will severely inhibit the library's ability to provide access for school project collaboration, uploading resumes for job applications, make streaming videos slow down, access government programs and services, video conferencing, and so much more. Even subtle differences in internet transmission speeds can make a great difference in how a user receives, uses, and shares digital information. As a librarian at a university that is severely affected by great budget cuts, rolling back net neutrality could make one more thing for the university to look into. Internet access is imperative to our students in order to graduate college. They access courses online, they access research online, they do projects online. If our internet is down for 5 minutes, it affects our students immensely. As a nation, we should be doing all that we can to make sure our younger generation is educated, and get access to all the information they need. Net Neutrality does this. Without net neutrality, high-quality educational resources could be relegated to second tier status. Keep net neutrality the way that it is, and help protect our American right to preserving intellectual freedom and promoting equitable access to information.

8521. Nathan Lu, St. Louis, MO, 63132
I support net neutrality, because the internet is the only space where I feel I can comfortably be gay.

8522. Dustin Gier, Kansas City, MO, 64155
Earning a degree and finding a job after college is tough enough with fast, reliable internet access to any web page. The additional obstacle of less-than-ideal sites because of ISP throttling would only make this more difficult and should not be allowed. Also, as a college student, I can only imagine the extra difficulty the ending of net neutrality would have on kids without access to higher education who need the Internet just as much for job searches or other basic services. Net Neutrality is important, and should be protected for any and all.

8523. Larry Guthrie , Columbia, MO, 65201
protect net neutrality.Larry Guthrie

8524. Chel OReilly, Kansas City, MO, 64109
I favor net neutrality... and I vote. Thank you.

8525. Nick Steck, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
This will make the Internet slower and more expensive.Nick Steck

8526. Meredith Olsen, St. Louis, MO, 63131
As an educator, I deeply value the freedom of expression. Please uphold net neutrality to keep the internet accessible, affordable, and fair. The innovations that a free and open internet can nurture will bless our country's economy and future.

8527. Calvin E Wilkes Jr, Saint Louis, MO, 63136
Hello. FCC What you'te doing is the equivalent of shootinf your foot. You have to understand you will be crippling the consumer base to the point your service will be obsolete and will rasie higher pirated access and alot of companies losing money. ITS A LOSE-LOSE SATURATIONCalvin E Wilkes Jr

8528. Dennis Leeper III, PLATTE CITY, MO, 64079
I feel that ISPs should continue to be regulated to prevent corporate monopolies and extorsion. At no point should an ISP be able to determine how I use the internet I pay them for. It's already enough that ISPs everywhere have monopolies preventing genuine competition and therefore logical prices for said internet. I fully support not only Net Neutrality but also full regulation under Title II.

8529. Justin Knysz, Kansas City, MO, 64109
Net neutrality is of utmost importance to the continued success of the internet and the USA. The founding fathers believed in freedom of speech, and giving in to corporate / ISP greed is NOT what they believed in, it's not what we, as a country, stand for. PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY!

8530. Jimi Schuchmann, St. Louis, MO, 63109
I depend on the internet for my living. As a web designer I do my best to create experiences that empower users to make their own decisions. You know how irritating it is when you're just trying to read the news and the site pops up a request to sign up for their email, let's imagine you didn't have the option to click the "x" and get back to reading, that's how I feel about Net Neutrality rules, powerless. What will happen when an executive sees profit in forcing more ads upon me, or blocking content from competitors rendering my PAID internet connection useless to me. My only options for high speed internet are Charter Spectrum or AT&T, who both own content creation studios. Do we really think they won't use the provider side of the house to improve the content creation numbers? We wouldn't allow this with any other medium, radio, print, VHF/UHF, and we shouldn't make an exception for the internet. Thank you.

8531. Daniel Flanagan, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Net Neutrality is very valuable to this country!The current monopoly with our ISPs (Internet Service Provider) via Comcast is currently ridiculous.Broadband internet (and other forms of connection to the internet) should be ALWAYS labeled as a Common Carrier just like telecommunications.

8532. Brian Nelson, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
Net Neutrality is the cornerstone for free and open commerce and communication. Dismantling this important provision would impose unwelcome burdens on US citizens and be tantamount to an attack on our freedom of speech by creating barriers to entry. I strongly oppose any attempt to dismantle Net Neutrality.

8533. Jordan Beveridge, Ballwin, MO, 63011
I support strong net neutrality! Please don't let the internet become another way for internet service providers to make money, think of what is fair and right for everyone who chooses to access the internet.

8534. Net Neutrality, Kansas City, MO, 64126
Freedom of speech (online or not) should be protected. There is 0 reason to slow traffic to sites except for profit and greed. I do not wish to support a system that gives privilege to the highest bidder. This concept goes against all that America and it's freedoms stand for. I will vote accordingly. Respectfully, Matthew Edward Rice-Walker

8535. Hunter, Kansas City, MO, 64155
Please do not let this happen. Its disgusting that something like this could even be considered by anyone who has ever used the internet ever in history. I urge you to save the internet before it is too lateHunter

8536. Susan Edmonds, NIXA, MO, 65714
I implore you to not take away our freedom to view what we choose to view/read on the internet. This smacks of government control,

dictatorship, etc.

8537. Joseph Decker, St. Peters, MO, 63303
Net Neutrality is important to me because I have always been able to find what i want on the internet. This, admittedly, is a very personal statement that doesn't explain much, so I will do my best to try and explain other ways Net Neutrality is important to me and many others.The internet is a fantastic space, a place where one can enter almost anywhere and anytime; they try and find whatever they find interesting or useful at the time they want to. The current state of the internet allows for this, with the fact that no matter what you are looking for you can find it. If the internet becomes "filtered" by ISP companies, all of those fantastic sudden moments of curiosity, or small sites that can gain following and become an actual business that provides for workers livelihood and their families that can be found in the internet would die. This even goes beyond just filtering sites to the people who use the internet. Filtering implies that if you look harder for what you want on the internet you would still find it, this is not the case. Those sites that the ISPs could deem unworthy for its users would receive huge downsides, such as a download/upload restriction.So if the ISP above filters sites AND restricts the usage of certain unwanted sites, why not just change you ISP? Well for many people, that is not a possible option. As an example, one of my coworkers has AT&T as their ISP, but that is only because Charter Communications is not available in the area they live. So they won't have any other choice if they wanted to switch ISPs if AT&T was restricting they site they had previously visited and enjoyed.While I am not able to describe all reasons why Net Neutrality is important, I hope that the ones I have talked about are useful.

8538. James Huckstep, Jefferson City , MO, 65101
We already pay for bad service from Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon. How can we expect them to respect our rights?

8539. Arthur Tees, Raymore, MO, 64083
The Internet was government-created initially and belongs to everyone. Net neutrality is essential; please help keep it so!

8540. Jennifer Taylor, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
Net neutrality = Freedom for the little People = the American Way

8541. Derrick Shanks, Kansas City, MO, 64152
I do not want to have ISP's controlling the internet and determining online gaming by throttling our connections!

8542. Martha Jane Twenter, Pilot Grove, MO, 65276
Net neutrality allows consumers Ã¢Â€Â” not big companies Ã¢Â€Â” to choose what they watch & do online. I am a small consumer but I do not want "Big Brother" watching and telling me what I can watch and do. Thanks.

8543. Connie, Independence, MO, 64052
Net nutrality is important because it allows people around the world to connect, share concerns and offer ideas and solutions to issues that affect all of humanity.

8544. John Drake McGowan, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
As someone living in a rural community, rolling back the rules will adversely impact me and all rural residents, businesses and schools.Comparing an ISP and their data mining capabilities to that of Google is inaccurate at best. I have no option of opting out of the one high speed provider available to me, as I may opt not to use Google and their services to avoid their data mining capacity.

8545. Anne Russell, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Without net neutrality my small community would be left in the cold. We would be at the mercy of the politics and beliefs of a private corporation as to what parts of the internet we are allowed to access. This is wholly un-American.

8546. Jarrod Crowe, fredericktown, MO, 63645
Net neutrality is of the utmost importance. Please do not give citizens' rights to free speech and choice to large ISPs. The internet is good (overall) the way it is. The internet, a place where people can start a business, get exposure, and grow into a thriving company if enough people buy their products or services. ISPs already have their place with the internet and they dont need to control the content online.

8547. Robert Pettijohn, Maysville, MO, 64469
Please, leave the net alone. President Reagan said that one of the scariest phrases you could hear is "We are from the government and we are here to help." Net neutrality is a must for freedom of choice. I do not need or want some pencil pusher deciding what I watch or how much of it I watch or listen to. Thank you.

8548. Teresa Williams Jakway, Brooklyn Park, MO, 55445
We urge the US government to continue to hold the internet in the public domain. Please maintain internet neutrality.

8549. Jade Jones, Columbia, MO, 65203
Net neutrality MUST be protected because in order to keep this country free we must have complete control over our privacy, especially in the cyber realm.

8550. Angeline , Columbia, MO, 65203
To Whom It May ConcernI am writing to urge you to protect net neutrality. Currently, almost everything we say and do is controlled by big corporations. Please protect our first amendment rights by protecting net neutrality and not letting it fall into the hands of greedy corporations. Our speech should be free, please, let's keep it that way. Thank you!

8551. Neil Barron, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
The internet has changed the entire world. Protect Net Neutrality!

8552. Fred Mayberry Sr, Willow Springs, MO, 65793
Leave my net alone

8553. Brian Hauff, Ozark, MO, 65721
Keep net neutrality. Leave the internet alone.Thanks!

8554. Nancy Richardson, Webb City, MO, 64870
I need the internet to remain free and neutral. Everything a person uses or has always costs too much. I pay for the use of having a computer, and it's high enough without the FCC charging more! I'm on a limited social security income, so it's most important to me to not have any more costs in my budget--it's stretched to the limit as it is! I find that if I don't eat, then I have enough money to get through the month. :/ Please keep the internet open and free. Thank You!

8555. Brenda, KC, MO, 64118
In a world where everything is for sale, the one place where people go for information shouldn't be. We have enough of those already. Is no source of info sacred anymore? Can we not have just one place where all views are there and people can use their brains and decide for themselves?

8556. Annonymous Because I Don't Want FCC to Publish My Private Info, Salem, MO, 65560
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Big business has taken over many parts of our lives. The FCC MUST not give big business free reign over the internet. America was once known for innovation and "The American Dream." Reducing the internet to a play ground for Big Business would squash what's left of America's potential for creativity, innovation, and leadership.

8557. Dr. Stephen Gunsallus , St. Louis, MO, 63104
Leave the net alone

8558. Lynell Maurer, Rolla, MO, 65401
This is what freedom of speech means! The internet is a tool to broadcast that speech. Don't silence your fellow man.

8559. Joan Barman, Saint Louis, MO, 63134
The United States has fought for the right of self-expression. Please, do not dishonor our country by taking away Net Neutrality! Net Neutrality allows a lot of information to seen and learned that many people would not otherwise have access to that information. I love learning about new recipes, hearing music, and different words from around the world. Please, do not take this educational tool away.

8560. Dan Hermann, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Please keep net neutrality alive. I want my kids to be able to explore the world without borders or obstacles.

8561. Ronda Medlin, Republic, MO, 65738
Our internet experience must remain in control of personal users while maintaining freedom of choice, creativity and privacy.

8562. Brad Franklin, Grandview, MO, 64030
Companies that provide internet access have been granted special access to customers' property and residences. They must be held accountable to NOT leverage that access for greater revenue EXCEPT by providing HIGHER QUALITY service.

8563. lawrence jacobs, florissant , MO, 63033
as an older adult , my computer is my way in staying in touch with the world , it is fine the way it is , so don't mess with it please . When ever those of you in power mess with something , you make it worse instead of better , the same way you people have screwed America up , now you want to do the same with the internet , leave it alone .

8564. Van Grube, Independence, MO, 64050
The Internet is probably the last place anyone in the US will look for any truth and ways to help justice out as well. The corporations are already making their money from it.

8565. Niklas Melton, Rolla, MO, 64150
Net Neutrality is Vital

8566. Angie Lile, Kansas City, MO, 64131
The internet is a primary source for information for millions of people and must be provided to all people regardless of income. Privatizing it will lead to exclusion and the lack of consumer protection.

8567. Elin Gomez, Town and Country, MO, 63017
Please, PLEASE retain net neutrality. It is essential that we do not foster an Orwellian dystopia--there is NO NEED for companies to control what we see, other than financial greed. Please reject these proposed rules.

8568. Jerry Rindom, Republic, MO, 65738
Please do not try to fix something that is not broken. Namely the Net Neutrality. It is the Popular choice that it be left alone. It is serving this country extremely well as is.

8569. Chuck Will, Kansas City, MO, 64152
Come on, FCC - do the right thing; don't let the big-buck corporations influence your decision on net neutrality. Keep it in place, and protect the rights of all internet consumers like me and my family.

8570. John V Ricklefs, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
The internet was founded on the principles of free and open communications. Bandwidth is like water, if you limit the resources access

to a select few or only certain cities there will be a move to support the black market for water. If large companies are allowed to control who has access to the best bandwidth and fastest load times without equal protections under the law about cost based models that are currently in use then the internet will have a hard fork and people will choose to communicate on a different fully encrypted and underground type of network. Any attempt to limit the freedoms of the world wide web's current users will be thwarted by ingenuity and new technology. Supporting the end of the open internet and like supporting the conversion of 99% of internet users to dark net. In my honest opinion it's about the stupidest move any government can make, because eventually it will only harm the very institutions they are trying to shelter from competition.

8571. Charlotte Woodhill, St. Louis, MO, 63124
I Support Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP. Free and non-discriminatory access to internet should not be limited by any corporation.

8572. Steve Dougherty, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
Net neutrality protects small businesses of which I am an owner of. Plus my business is 100% online.Please keep my internet as it is. It isn't broken so there is zero need to fix it.

8573. Douglas Ratcliff, Lake St Louis, MO, 63367
The internet is a tool to keep in touch with family and friends and sharing information and ideas with each other. No company should have control on how these avenues of information on allowed to be used.

8574. tim deegan, St louis, MO, 63130
As a government agency, aren't you, the FCC, supposed to protect and serve the best interests of the American people in general, rather than the interests of big business? In the proposed net neutrality rules it sure seems like the latter interests are much more served than the former. When it comes time to make a decision on net neutrality, please honestly consider who benefits more on this issue, the American public or big cable companies.

8575. Dennis Stack, Kansas City, MO, 64123
I support net neutrality. I have used the net daily for some twenty years and am very pleased with the benefits I have enjoyed because of neutrality. I have voted in every election for nearly forty years and I will vote against any politician who tries to undermine my freedom online.

8576. Dylan Lee, St. Louis, MO, 63124
Net neutrality is absolutely necessary for an open and innovative internet. Rather than spurring creation and progress, gutting net neutrality would only entrench large telecommunications companies at the expense of consumers, individuals and small businesses.

8577. Patricia P Tursi, Springfield, MO, 65804
Before companies were allowed to purhase use of internet space belonged to the people. The people have rights to use it without iscrimination. Keep the current regulations.

8578. Melinda Schertenlieb, Reeds Spring, MO, 65737
Protect net neutrality! Do not allow large corporate domination and manipulation of this vital avenue of free speech and free market expression!

8579. Dirk McCracken, St. Louis, MO, 63108
"Net neutrality" is a misleading name for government control and a fix for a problem that does not exist.

8580. Cathryn Simmons, Kansas City, MO, 64109
Net neutrality is essential to people. Corporations do not need more help. Please save net neutrality.

8581. Tom Probst, St. Louis, MO, 63123
Removing net neutrality will stifle innovation and empower monopolistic practices. It goes against simple business sense.

8582. Kathleen Albin, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
I love using the internet. If big business can hold us hostage in relation to what we can access and how fast we can access it, it seems we are moving toward the end of free exchange of info and ideas.

8583. Jarek Harmening, Strafford, MO, 65757
I am against the repeal of Title II and Net Neutrality. I do not want ISPs to regulate what I can or can't see, or how fast/slow I access it, and I don't want to pay extra for speedy access to that content. I urge you to protect Net Neutrality, the freedom of speech, and the flow of information. Please note I will vote against any politicians who stand against the US citizens or Net Neutrality.

8584. Christopher Owens, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
The open and free internet is important to me as a scientist, gamer, and public citizen. We already pay internet fees to monopoly holding internet providers. Giving them the power to control how I use my internet gives these companies the power to control the web market, which is the exact opposite of what the "capitalist" values that these companies supposedly value so much.

8585. Brayden Diver, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
They're my rights

8586. Allison, Chillicothe, MO, 64601
Without protection of net neutrality, the internet will be changed for the worse. Please make sure that net neutrality is FOREVER protected. Freedom on the internet should not be something that is negotiable. Big companies already have enough power - do we really

want them to be able to censor and manipulate what we consume on the internet?

8587. Keith , Fenton, MO, 63026
I think Net Neutrality is a fundamental right for free speech and the free market. Giving the reigns to big business is not what the net was in tended for. It was for an open platform for the obtain information without censorship. Unless you want everyone kept in the dark about life and what is really going on in the world.

8588. Darrolyn Lauderdale, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
Only a free nation can have net neutrality. The net must stay neutral in the United States of America.

8589. Wesley Berg, Brentwood, MO, 63144
Keep Government Regulators out of the Internet's structure and make sure Net Neutrality remains as the chief cause for the Internet's success. First Amendment coverage and all it represents from the Founding Fathers.

8590. Nicole Kirkham, Kansas City, MO, 64130
This is not fair, this is not the will of the people, and this is not acceptable. Why do you think it is your right to get involved with things that are not your concern? Intrusive, controlling, and money hungry are the three top reasons that come to mind. Leave things alone since that is what we want.

8591. Sarah McUmber-House, New London, MO, 63459
Internet access to information and interaction with others, for education, creativity, health, family, friends, entertainment, discussion, spiritual views, government access, travel, leisure, commerce, and new ideas are all very important to me, and to people around the world. To have our freedom to seek information governed, influenced, manipulated, or usurped by profit focused corporate influences, politically biased interests, or unwarranted government surveillance, is absolutely unacceptable. The free flow of information is vital to a free society.

8592. Nick Miller, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
The internet is the modern day library. Please don't sell our library to corporate interests.

8593. Aaron Little, St. Louis, MO, 63116
Without net neutrality, the Internet as you know it ("you," meaning "whoever is reading this") may cease to exist. Please, please do not abrogate net neutrality. If anything happens to it, let it be reinforced, and formally codified.

8594. Kristi Bond, Kansas city, MO, 64110
Ideas generate business. Which generates the economy. Net neutrality is VITAL to the continuation of small business, and entrepreneurship, which keep the country running. Handing our internet over to big box, monopolist companies will take away the free speech so essential to who we are as a country - which is needed now more than ever. I want my kids to grow up with access to all types of thoughts, allowing them the opportunity to make their own knowledge-based decisions as the become adults. It's about SO MUCH MORE than the dollar. Don't screw with our freedoms.

8595. Michael Watts, Saint Louis, MO, 63132
The internet and the current net neutrality rules under Title II should be protected. You cannot allow the mega corporations to put a strangle hold on the internet. Are you really going to destroy those regulations and tell grandma that to watch youtube.com, you have to pay an extra $5 a month? To stream Netflix, Oh, that's an extra $10 a month. That's what the gorillas as the big providers want to do. All the while, charging the content providers super high rates to provide their content to their subscribers.

8596. Anna Oller, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
Net neutrality is important to those of us in rural areas already with limited options to access the internet. There is no cable, googlefiber, or hotspots. This would most likely slow my internet to beyond slow when I already pay for bandwidth because small companies often serve the rural areas of our country, and these companies would be undercut by the large companies serving cities. Save net neutrality!

8597. Kenneth Matz, Troy, MO, 63379
I support the protecting Net Neutrality. I have voted for Republican Candidates but no longer. The Republican Party is not looking out for Americans but being puppets for big donors.

8598. George Bickmore, Arnold, MO, 63010
The internet is the property of the people of the United States of America. It was originally designated as such and should remain so. As one of the original implementation people it was designed to benefit all.

8599. Evan Schwarz, Saint Louis, MO, 63144
Net neutrality is important. Proposed changes would not benefit the public and would not improve innovation. They would only benefit large companies.

8600. Dave Shaheen, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started and allows consumers Ã¢Â€Â” not big companies Ã¢Â€Â” to choose what they watch & do online. Please keep an open and free internet.

8601. Lydia, st robert, MO, 65584
Net neutrality gives everyone access to the same things, I think thats the point of the internet and without net neutrality it wouldn't be a unique platform.

8602. Joel Sanders, Springfield , MO, 65803

FCC, please STOP pandering to business interests. You should attempt to defend the needs of the common US citizen who has no lobbyists or political action funds.

8603. Anna Rendina, Kansas City, MO, 64106
In this age, I assure you, protecting net neutrality would be in your best judgement. The world is run by generations who run on the internet, and we know what we deserve.

8604. Darice Roha, West Plains, MO, 65775
I do not want anyone telling me what I can or can't see on the internet. I do not want to be controlled. Big companies and the government should stay out of it. China controls their internet, and I believe that Russia does also. I don't like the evil things on the internet, but I do not choose to go there. It is up to me where I search, where I shop, what I watch, etc. The United States is there to protect our freedom, not to dictate what freedom is. Government stay out of it!

8605. Scooda, Saint Louis, MO, 63102
Strength in numbers, we come together to make a statement. #NetNeutrality

8606. Jennifer Frohne, Cape girardeau , MO, 63701
Protect net neutrality to protect our access to a wide range of information, free speech, the purposes that the internet has served throughout its existence.

8607. Autumn Miller, Nixa, MO, 65714
I want a wide & varied internet. I want to choose what I want to view. I don't want someone controlling my speed or option based on what a big company chooses to promote.

8608. Joe Strodtman, Fayette, MO, 65248
Regulation of the internet violates my rights and is gross over-regulation from Government. Net neutrality is one of our few remaining "True" freedoms.

8609. Adam Ingle, Mount Vernon, MO, 65712
Don't let businesses ruin what should be for all. Keep the net neutral.

8610. Bill Rozen, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Net neutrality must be protected. Called 'the First Amendment of the Internet' without net neutrality big companies could censor people and their viewpoints; in addition, without net neutrality, big companies could slow down my Internet speed based on what they want me to see, not what I want to see.

8611. Mary Kay Speaks, Independence, MO, 64055
As a person who relies on an open internet to do research for my book editing projects, I implore you to maintain net neutrality. I need access to documents and source materials, and do not want the information to be filtered, censored, or targeted. Keep the cable companies out of this. I also do freelance work online and don't want to be limited on how fast or how often I can complete those tasks. Keep it neutral!

8612. John Ahlert, Wildwood, MO, 63005
Please leave the internet open toone and all without limitations.Let the little guys keep their voice

8613. Mike Manhanke, St Louis, MO, 63139
I am in favor of maintaining Net Neutrality. It is important to me that large companies can not dominate the internet.

8614. Jenny, Fayette, MO, 65248
I worry about the future and the work I do. I rely heavily on the internet to access and produce information for my full-time job as well as my small-business. Both serve the general public, not just those who can pay huge sums every month to access our sites. Limiting information to only the people who can pay for it or to pay for it to be seen is insanity and goes against everything the internet is and this country stands for. Freedom to access information should be a right, not a privilege of the ones who can pay high dollar for it. PLEASE keep net neutrality in place.

8615. Eva , Saint Louis, MO, 63139
Please protect net neutrality! Net neutrality is fundamental for promoting the growth of small businesses in today's technology age. Small businesses are critical for innovation and progress. Large corporations oftentimes are risk-averse, whereas small companies are nimble and take chances on ideas which can create step-wise improvements instead of simply incremental changes.

8616. Bob Robertson, Hollister, MO, 65672
I want Net Neutrality to stay like it is....no different the free speech.....Don't need big corporations controlling the internet.Bob Robertson

8617. Rachel, St. Louis, MO, 63127
The internet is an essential tool for modern society. Without net neutrality, the already under-served members of society will be further disadvantaged. Businesses and students and nearly all members of our modern world need access to the internet in order to function within this high speed and global society.

8618. Judy Wolf, Pineville, MO, 64856
Corporations control too much of our lives as it is. We need net neutrality and it MUST be protected. We have to pay for access, we shouldn't have to pay any addition.

8619. Theresa, Kansas City, MO, 64114
I want a level playing field for all who use the internet for commerce, especially those starting and operating small business.

8620. Justin Sala, Springfield, MO, 65804
Net Neutrality is really important to keep the web open and free for all of us. This ensures it's open for the most people, not just the people who pay the most.

8621. ronald murphy, gladstone, MO, 64118
I think the will of the majority should determine how this country is governed not a few big corporations that want to dictate how we use the internet.The FCC should not be on the side of big cable companies.

8622. Marypat Ehlmann, St. Louis, MO, 63143
Net neutrality allows myself and all internet users to live within the original concepts of freedom of speech that are inherent as a U.S. citizen.

8623. Thomas Kennedy, Wildwood, MO, 63038
Net neutrality is tantamount to free speech, as highways are to freedom of movement. It should be open and accessible to all, without favoritism.

8624. Brandon Walker, Springfield, MO, 65802
As an IT industry professional I believe that Net Neutrality should be protected and the provisions currently in place should be upheld if not strengthened.Damage to the integrity of Net Neutrality will directly impact my business in a negative way and to do so is ignoring the thousands of small business across the country who are able to level the playing field through the unrestricted use of the internet.If you put the wishes of large ISPs and content providers over that of their consumers by restricting net neutrality then it will be yet another sign of the governments inability to protect it's citizenry from malicious and predatory business practices.

8625. Ruth Lowry, Richards, MO, 64778
Please support Net Neutrality - I will be following who did and who did not and it will be remembered at election times in the future. Thanks for supporting Net Neutrality!!!!!!!

8626. Michael Rusher, Saint Louis, MO, 63103
In a world where transparency is widely cried for, but rarely acted upon, this is the time to show America still stands as a beacon for freedom. An open internet and equal access among users and content providers are quintessential to the modern-day picture of the American dream. This is an amazing opportunity collaborate with the world on how to effectively combat security issues without compromising individual freedoms.

8627. Tim Ringenbach, St. Charles, MO, 63303
I support net neutrality. Do not allow the ISPs do pick winners and lowers, as that would undermine capitalism.

8628. Valerie Stark, Clyde, MO, 64432
Net neutrality is essential for small business and rural America, which rely on net neutrality to level the playing field on the internet. We are already hurt by big telecoms that give us poor service on long distance: dropped calls, calls that don't go through, and poor quality connections. We don't have superbroad band services available in major metropolitan areas. A decision to end net neutrality will only further the hurt for rural America and small business. It will be a clear sign that Washington does not care about us. and that everything comes down to increasing the profit margin of large corporations.

8629. Craig Kimbel, Mexico, MO, 65265
Net neutrality must be protected. It is fundamental to free speech. Without it, people and perspectives online would be censored.

8630. Wesley Wright, Columbia, MO, 65203
Ending Net Neutrality is akin to the burning of the Library of Alexandria- a huge loss to a reservoir of public knowledge.

8631. Sarah, Kansas City, MO, 64112
Net neutrality allows consumers, not big companies, to choose what they do and watch online.

8632. Kathleen Brown, St Louis, MO, 63122
We need a level playing field for electronic communications. We do not need to favor big companies over smaller ones.

8633. Thomas Hunt, Springfield, MO, 65809
Net neutrality should be preserved, so that small businesses can compete against giant corporations. Please do the right thing!

8634. Dj Singh, Maryland heights , MO, 63146
The internet needs to need to stay open and for the people

8635. Jeanne Wallace, Mill Spring, MO, 63952
Small home run business need equal speed. My brother, two of my children and two grandchildren all work full time from home.

8636. paul pierron, kansas city, MO, 64113
keep net neutrality ! i am 64 and have voted in every election beginning with1976

8637. Kara Noeth, st.louis, MO, 63129
Taking away net neutrality would take away freedom.

8638. Amy Chapleau, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Protect free speech.Protect small businesses. Let ME decide what I want to see -- not some corporation. FREEDOM!

8639. Jody Yeates, St. Louis, MO, 63123
Freedom and entrepreneurs are what make this country. We will all lose a lot if we take away or limit the main avenue for the free exchange of ideas. It reads, "We the people", not "we the controlling corporate interest". Don't forget it!

8640. Kevin, KC, MO, 64081
My small business needs this to create jobs.

8641. Rich Althardt, St. Louis, MO, 63107
Without net neutrality companies such as at&t, verizon, and others will basically dictate to everyone what they can and cannot access. Please do NOT allow this censorship.

8642. Klaus Grunewald, Kansas City, MO, 64114
It is your job to present me with a fair selection of options available. It is my job to make my selection. I do not need you to shape those options by giving preference to the big and wealthy and giving them preference over the rest. You do your job, and I will do mine. Thank you

8643. Trampas Whiteman, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
I work for a small startup that would be at a disadvantage if we had to pay for the "fast lane." My company helps with workers' compensation and is trying to improve the entire workers' compensation process and get employees better and back to work.

8644. Laura Jones, Jackson, MO, 63755
The very existence of the internet was based on the idea of an open exchange of ideas. Do not let this wonderful thing dwindle away because of greed.

8645. Matt Shull, Kearney, MO, 64060
We squashed small companies enough. Allowing this to pass would make it nearly impossible for small companies to gain traction on the internet. This is simply a money grab by the big cable companies.

8646. Fernando De la Torre Aguilar, Columbia, MO, 65201
Net neutrality is one of the great things about internet, we don't want a big brother.

8647. Keegan Lessard, Kansas City, MO, 64112
Please keep net neutrality. Don't send us back in time. Prove to us that the government can truly here the people, and make effective decisions based on the people, not corporations.

8648. Deana Carroll, Springfield, MO, 65803
I'm tired of big corporations screwing consumers. Preserve net neutrality and give people the right to choose and control what they want to see on the internet.

8649. Steve A Krejci, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
The essence of unbiased communication required that it is free of any undue influence.

8650. CHeryl Ingram, Joplin, MO, 64804
Please do not turn the Internet over to Businesses. An open Internet allows for innovation and equality. Don't sell us to the highest bidder.

8651. Kari Groves, Raytown, MO, 64133
Please save Net Nutrality and leave the internet content decisions up to the people, not the corporations.

8652. Myron Daldrup, Peculiar, MO, 64078
Open and uninhibited access to the Internet is a must to support our American ideals of freedom. In no instance do I see limiting the access(speed) for those who can't or won't pay is within this American concept.

8653. Maddie M, Ashland, MO, 65010
The internet is bigger than just the United States, we should not have anyone but the people in control of it. This is not a matter for the US to decide, keep the net neutral.

8654. Dylan, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Net Neutrality means freedom of creativity and connections on the internet. Please, please do not attempt to capitalize and limit it further.

8655. Matthew Smith, Hollister , MO, 65672
Hi, My name is Matt, and I would like to ask that you please protect our internet freedom keeping it neutral and out of the control of companies. Thank you.

8656. Robb Amos, Kansas City, MO, 64118
Net Neutrality must be protected to insure innovation!

8657. Derrick Redhead, Saint Louis, MO, 63128
I am a concerned citizen who you work for. I do not want to be bulldozed by the elite corporate interests who just want power and profit

from the change in net neutrality rules.

8658. Concerned Citizen, Florissant, MO, 63034
Not many things are free in this world. Leave the net alone so that some freedom is attributed to all people. We deserve the chance to search freely.

8659. Terrick Wilson, Saint Louis, MO, 63115
We need an open and fair internet, not one where the richer can afford to push out more content with better bandwidth and such...

8660. Douglas Stahl, Arnold, MO, 63010
Leave the Internet as is. Retain open net.

8661. Tanner Vogel, Olivete, MO, 63132
Don't people hate you enough already? Do something right for once.

8662. Craig Blanton, Asbury, MO, 64832
The internet was built for people to freely exchange information. Giving corporations the ability to restrict info is not in the spirit the internet was intended.

8663. Johnny Amizich, St Louis, MO, 63114
We need an open and free internet as much as we do an open and free press.

8664. John Brandle , Columbia, MO, 65202
Net neutrality helps me to feel safe online. Allowing me to spend money, and create my own future.

8665. Charles, Columbia, MO, 65203
I respectfully submit that overturning the current rules protecting Net Neutrality would almost certainly lead to a significant diminishment of the rights and liberties of all Americans. "Free expression is the base of human rights, the root of human nature and the mother of truth. To kill free speech is to insult human rights, to stifle human nature and to suppress truth." -- Liu Xiaobo

8666. Nancy Bailey, Laurie, MO, 65037
Enough with the big companies...I thought we passed monopoly laws long ago. Do NOT abandon open net access!!

8667. Tyson Brockmeier, Columbia, MO, 65203
Enabling companies to pick and choose how to allocate internet and begin packaging internet services is akin to choosing which citizens receive water and for how much within a city. You're creating a structure that isn't sustainable and turns the internet into a product shaped and molded by telecoms instead of a service provided to people akin to a utility.

8668. Anonymous, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
I would prefer to read many views, not one view that is controlled by a large company or group

8669. Gary Straub, Columbia, MO, 65202
The net was built with the People's money, it is transmitted through public land and airspace. A handful of business' should not be allowed to make excess profits on a system that has become so important to the lives of all citizens.

8670. Mark Becker, Florissant, MO, 63031
As an online entrepreneur net neutrality is vital to my business succeeding. Net neutrality is free speech and to repeal that would be a tragedy.

8671. Jennifer Welsh, St. Louis, MO, 63136
Net Neutrality is essential to keep the Internet and our economy strong, and ensure that there is a level playing field between big companies and new start-ups. It's also vital for free speech!

8672. Danny Shirley, Kirksvlle, MO, 63501
I prefer others not to know what I do online, I don't want anymore ads and people looking in on my searches. net neutrality is key to happy people.

8673. Samuel Austin Tuck, Branson, MO, 65616
This is one of the last free frontiers. Do not take this from us.

8674. Tom Welsh, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
Please, please, hold true to the principles enshrined by our forebears in the Constitution and its Amendments. Net Neutrality is fundamental to allowing the Internet to help our civilization progress, rather than fall further into darkling corporate oligarchy.

8675. Ashleigh Waggoner, Belton , MO, 64012
Net neutrality is easily one of the most important things in today's society. It is the people's right to freedom of speech and expression, and unless you intend to take away these rights, protect net neutrality.

8676. Terri Hoff, High Ridge , MO, 63049
If lawmakers can just see past the $$, then they would not get rid of net neutrality. Folks, you are smarter than this. Please do the right thing.

8677. Nancy Chasteen, Wentzville, MO, 63385

We have not needed you here in this realm, to bring your covert control. We have a fair and open market here. We want to keep it that way! Leave the "net" alone.

8678. ibrahim ayyad, kansas city , MO, 64124
how is this going to be the land of the free if you control what we see

8679. RoseMary Dunn, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
I'm so tired of unethical/greedy politicians in co-operation with greedy corporations trying to control my personal decisions. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started. Net neutrality allows consumers Ã¢Â€Â” not big companies Ã¢Â€Â” to choose what they watch & do online.I demand a strengthening of protections for net neutrality now and always and that you work to stop the proposed rules that would gut net neutrality and hand over the internet to big cable companies.

8680. Margot Ferguson, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Please add my voice to the many who are opposed to AT&T, Comcast and the like being in control of the internet. I am most concerned about privacy and censorship issues and feel that giant companies controlling the internet would further erode the individual user's right to expect some modicum of privacy and freedom to express individual views. For the internet to continue to exist as an unbiased and accessible platform for small business and personal expression net neutrality must also exist.

8681. Brian Morahan, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Please protect net neutrality. It is most important for our nation.

8682. Michael Macchiaroli, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
Net neutrality is the Barrier Between the public going fully Dark Web. If you remove it not only will ISP's lose money but they will be opening up pandora's box.The ISP's will end up losing money in the end as people seek refuge on a secondary web that isn's monitored and segmented by some kind of Net Nanny...Use your heads on this one as not all traffic can be filtered and throttled as technology gets better and 5G Gains traction we will not need ISPs we can set up our own networks house to house building to building and use blockchain to aggregate and control

8683. Deirdre McKee, St. Louis, MO, 63139
Companies should not be given the ability to throttle certain services over others. There is danger here and this will set a precedent and chain of events that could lead an down an irreversible path.

8684. Michael R. Byrn, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
Please leave the internet neutral so that I can choose for myself what I see or read on it, and so that small companies run on the internet can continue to operate on a level paying field with large companies.

8685. Colt Dutton, springfield, MO, 65807
The internet is a tool given to all, we do not need to hand it over to big companies for "money". We need to keep it open and available to all. So that the next big idea can reach everyone, so that our voices are not controlled.

8686. Michael Donegan, Kansas City, MO, 64106
An open internet allows an even playing field for small businesses and individuals. It is an engine for economic growth and a medium for artistic and intellectual expression for all. It would be wrong for the FCC to create rules that permit permit oligopolists like Comcast, Verizon and ATT to have a form of ownership which changes the internet from a form of public utility that benefits all to to a form of country club for the rich that benefits the few.

8687. Nick Peckham, Columbia, MO, 65201
Net neutrality is a must. (This is America.)

8688. Albert Keane, Kansas City, MO, 64137
I really do not like the idea of big companies controlling proprietary rights to services. For example I would love to have cable when a storm is in the area so I do not have DirecTV for this reason. A downside to this is I am not able to subscribe to the NFL Sunday Ticket as I do not have DirecTV. I see this as a strong possibility with other things like website access, streaming services, etc. if Net Neutrality is removed.

8689. Michael Brunkow, Kansas City, MO, 64133
Net neutrality is fundamental to open and free speech. Please leave it open and as it is!

8690. Carol Brandt, Raymore, MO, 64083
I believe that the government Should NOT have the right to control what and how we use the web.

8691. Brea Brown, Springfield, MO, 65807
The Internet is an equalizer. It belongs to the people, not to big corporations. Protect net neutrality!

8692. Randall Pick, Liberty, MO, 64068
As a utility, giving control over service flow versus a universal would severely impact people and corporations

8693. Eric Tankesley, California, MO, 65018
I live in a rural area. I am the caregiver for someone who is completely unable to care for himself. I depend on the internet for access to information, goods, and services which would otherwise be unavailable, expensive, or time-consuming to find. I could not manage to do what I do without this access. It is imperative that I have fair and equal access to all outlets. Abolishing net neutrality could have very

real and serious impact on our daily lives. Net neutrality must remain and should be considered a basic, fundamental law of the internet.

8694. Keith Jones, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
The Internet should be an even playing field for everyone. It is the only place in which we are all equal, and it needs to stay that way. Do not repeal the laws that allow this!

8695. Miles Short, Festus, MO, 63028
We all need a level playing field. Why would you want to make the internet less than what it is?

8696. Jeff Wathen, Lawson, MO, 64062
I do not want the internet handed over to the large corporations. We have seen they lack the moral fiber to keep my privacy secret. I am not for sale.

8697. Kerri, Independence, MO, 64057
There's nothing more annoying than the feeling of suppressed freedoms. If you hand an artist all the tools they could ever need to create masterpieces, but tell them they can only draw fish or no one will care for what they create, you'll stifle both their freedom and improvement as artists. So too is this true for the internet, if you tell a user they may browse an endless network of information, self-help, art, videos, pick me ups and more, but also tell them to do so they must rely on other companies to access them, you will stifle both their freedoms to make impartial judgements due to influence of the companies and the ability to improve themselves as humans due to the possibility of insecurities that a company could be monitoring them and selling their information. Already you can see companies that do in fact sell information of their users online and store them for their own uses under the cover of ease of access to their users. These companies can however be boycotted as there's a mass multitude of independents willing to provide reliable services online without the invasion of privacy. When these independent services show lack of something the way the internet is currently set up makes it extraordinarily easy to provide another service that performs better with fairly low costs and maintenances. However, by signing over control and access of neutrality to companies it no longer becomes easy to avoid the invasions of privacy that's becoming more and more common in this era of technology as these companies now have a complete and unbridled option to access and store information of their users if they so choose. The option of new businesses popping up to protect the privacy of internet users becomes minimal as the real world bottleneck of business can now be applied. Instead of being able to create a somewhat low cost service and keep it running until other services are offered once again to replace it, high costs will force actual business that need to turn profits. The need for start up cost and pressure of profits will ultimately drive away many of the innovative free thinkers that seemingly are over running the internet and the ones that are driven away are prone to failure due to inexperience. Pressure can then further be applied by big businesses for the failures of these small businesses by offering deals and services for outrageously low prices that start up small businesses can not compete against. This will ultimately lead to the end of the freedom of expression and individuality of the internet as fear of privacy invasions drives away the freest thinkers who speak their minds due to a lack of the ability to trace back to them. This may at times seem like a good deterrent for things like harassment, threats, and illegal trafficking, but it's also a phenomenal deterrent of the more oppressed members of society to be free individuals without fear of inescapable judgement they may face in life. For these people, I imagine their risks of depression and suicide attempts will jump. As someone who knows and talks to these types of individuals on a day to day basis and greatly enjoys the type of uniqueness they can bring out of themselves online, this breaks me heart. Also, I too, sometimes enjoy the freedom of speech and information that can often uniquely be brought to the internet and no where else. There's also the risk of no more amateur newscasters that post relevant real life information of events that are happening worldwide due to fear that they'll be traced back and have repercussions for being honest. With the risk of media corruption running at the highest it's ever been, I personally need to be able to see first person perspectives these amateur newscaster bring so I can make my own judgements instead of being handed what type of judgements I should have through bias sources. As such, I personally implore of you to keep the internet neutral as it is so the freedoms that are currently enjoyed through it can be continuously enjoyed through it.

8698. Lonny Peryer, Sparta, MO, 65753
Isn't it bad enough that the very entity inviting our comments over net neutrality police our speech in the interest of federal governments idea of political correctness? Now they want to throw their pocket lining buddies another bone! The FCC doesn't give a rip what the majority of the population think about net neutrality. We're not lining their pockets, big companies will.....

8699. Chrystal, St. Louis, MO, 63115
Please leave the internet neutrality alone . If it's not broke don't try to fix it. Net neutrality allows consumers Ã¢Â€Â” not big companies Ã¢Â€Â” to choose what they watch & do online.

8700. Andrew Dodenhoff, Columbia, MO, 65201
We need net neutrality so small businesses and consumers are protected and given fair access to the internet. The internet must be treated with the public in mind.

8701. Lisa Meihls, St Ann, MO, 63074
Keep my net NEUTRAL!

8702. Connie Dresie, Raytown, MO, 64133
Without net neutrality, big companies could censor people and perspectives online. Net neutrality has been called the "First Amendment of the Internet." A few corporations should not be allowed to control the World Wide Web. I don't want to be forced to have to do business with any of these companies. I don't now and don't intend to. Please do not allow net neutrality to cease.

8703. David Brown, Hannibal, MO, 63401
An open, neutral internet is fundamental to modern democracy. The ability of corporations to effectively determine how and how much one uses the internet is in direct opposition with the basic premise of information sharing on which the internet is based.

8704. Mike Kirkpatrick, Queen City, MO, 63561
-I pay for my internet and should not have to pay extra to get the content I request on the 'bandwidth I pay for'. -Letting the people I pay

for access control what content I receive at the speed I pay for, and lowering my speed on any content, is just plain WRONG. -Charging my content providers to use my bandwidth to provide my paid for content is also wrong. Paying again for the access I already pay for is just another scam for the monopoly to take more of my money than they deserve because I have no options on provider.

8705. Scott, Kansas City, MO, 64152
Please protect net neutrality! I want my children to grow up with freedom of speech on the internet!

8706. robert mahoney, saint louis, MO, 63135
As an educator I am concerned about corporations or anyone being able to censure Internet access in any way. Up to now the Internet has been covered by the First Amendment and should remain so!The right of free speech should not be abridged by anyone or any entity!

8707. Anthony Clapp, Kansas City, MO, 64123
The internet is supposed to be a getaway from the struggles of the real world; introducing the ability for corporate oligopolies to exist would shatter this.

8708. Joy Drennan, Saint Louis, MO, 63114
Maintaining Net Neutrality is critical -not just for entertainment viewing, but as a contributory source of a basis of Democracy.Ã¯Â»Â¿

8709. David Wallisch, Kansas City, MO, 64111
My internet use is my own business. Not the business of corporate America. I decide how to use it, give me that freedom, put it in the constitution if you have to.

8710. thane armbruster, country club, MO, 64505
net neutrality is fundamentally a free speech issue, so the rights of the individual to have equal access to the whole network outweighs any corporate concerns or motives. Wireless providers excepted to due to limitations of spectrum (NOT bandwidth, a limitation which unlike spectrum can be overcome through investment in infrastructure)

8711. Stephen Forney, Overland , MO, 63114
The word is freedom, simply stated I'd like to be able to choose what I wish, not have that taken away.

8712. Kurt Richter, Independence, MO, 64055
Gutting net neutrality, contrary to what you think, will actually hurt the legitimacy of the FCC as well as the taxable revenue that the government will receive from various individuals and businesses. When the FCC placed internet service providers under the utilities, this actually meant that the ISPs that had physical lines had to also be more active in maintaining them. This bought the FCC some good PR from maintenance companies contracted to the ISPs, as well as from consumers who were tired of continued service disruption. From a self interest standpoint alone, the FCC is in a better place by protecting net neutrality.On the taxable income side of the equation, the burgeoning streaming industry as well as various shops that take orders online depend on a reliably fast internet with no disruptions. We can classify many of these as small businesses and start-ups, which usually have only so much initial cash to operate on. Their success, and thus the amount of taxable income they don't need to deduct, relies on net neutrality to prevent the ISPs from gouging them for not even a guaranteed service. Again as utilities, the ISPs are responsible for maintaining a constant service. That constant service is also needed for anyone applying for jobs in today's environment, as most businesses have switched entirely to online job applications. The days of the paper application and resume are over. Spotty service has been known to disrupt the application process.

8713. Dean Maize, Lockwood, MO, 65682
Net neutrality is an important part of the first amendment. Moreover, let's prevent big businesses like Comcast, AT&T and others from imposing internet fast lanes for a price. So, let's keep it free of big business control and accessible for all.

8714. JoAnn Witt, Kansas City, MO, 64114
The internet is, massively, important for fast communication for everyone, in some cases instead of a phone, sometimes. Also, our searches on the internet should not be restricted by anyone. Any business we have should have equal access by people.

8715. Candace Galen, Columbia, MO, 65203
The USA is supposed to be all about innovation and freedom of speech. Net neutrality protects these value. Do the right thing and leave it alone.

8716. Cindy Parsons, Liberty, MO, 64068
Don't take the internet , I use it to pay my Bills , play Farmville 2 and entertain my Grand-babies , without it I would be lost ... as long as I have paid my internet Bill I should own the company but don't take it away ...

8717. Sean Lares, Mexico, MO, 65265
I value our freedom of speech and our small businesses, and the removal of net neutrality would harm both of these significantly.

8718. Greta, Columbia , MO, 63040
I'm here to save the Internet

8719. Lindsey Pewitt, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
Don't take away net neutrality. Small businesses rely on it to flourish. Taking it away takes away one of our rights as business owners.

8720. Karen Douglas, Oak Grove, MO, 64075
I prefer net neutrality because I don't like intrusive government control or monopolies with corporations telling me what I can or can't watch. If I wanted that I'd move to China or someplace like that.

8721. Sharon L MinnisRoark, Dearborn, MO, 64439
Net Neutrality allows a fair playing field for online cottage industries.

8722. Sue Griffith, Crystal City, MO, 63019
We do not need the Big Company's to rule everything. Quit trying to get rid of our opinions . Leave free speech alone. Please

8723. Christopher Cates, Columbia, MO, 65203
Net neutrality is one of the most important components of a free and open democracy when discussing the digital landscape. It is tantamount to the first amendment. Limiting or altering net neutrality would be equivalent to censoring free speech and free expression. Not only that, it is the "free market" in action, allowing users to interact with the world as they choose rather than have a company or regulation direct their interactions. It is essential to maintain equality when possible, and net neutrality maintains equality on the internet. The internet is intended to be open to everyone, without restriction or penalty. Many people depend upon it for their learning, connection, involvement, and life. Putting any limitations on access to the internet or the ability to use the internet freely would be detrimental to our country and counter to our constitution.

8724. Shereen B., St. Louis, MO, 63109
In order to maintain what this country was built on--freedom of speech, religion, etc, net neutrality is vital! I don't want companies controlling any aspect of my life, much less this huge one.

8725. Peter Gibbins, St Louis, MO, 63109
The internet has become indispensable in the sharing of ideas and the growing of businesses. Taking away net neutrality would seriously limit the extent to which the internet could continue to drive economic growth.

8726. Nick Behan, St. Peters, MO, 63376
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. You are walking a dangerous line and setting a dangerous precedent if you let people violate the first amendment just even a little bit.

8727. Kevin, Richmond Heights , MO, 63117
I have MS, and God forbid I become so disabled I can't leave the house, but if it should happen the internet will be my window to the world. That window must not be be obscured, or opened and shut at will by others.

8728. Sean Prewitt, Chestnutridge, MO, 65630
I believe in business and less but effective government BUT most of us do NOT have internet provider choices to foster competition thus monopolies must be guarded against. This then does become the roll of the government to create an environment of fairness without this abuse will happen (already does if you have cable and cellular service) and the end of innovation as those who control the DSL/cable lines will stack the deck in their favor. And of course free speech will also get restricted as only the messages of those who control the content will decide what is heard or said on their internet.

8729. Aletha W Putney, Edina, MO, 63537
In 1776 one of my ancestors was on watch and alerted the settlers "the British are coming". They wanted NO taxation without representation.I still stand up for each person's right to make his own decision.

8730. Jennifer, Stockton, MO, 65785
If we do not maintain net neutrality, we will be no different than China, North Korea, and other countries where internet, tv, and other types of entertainment are censored.

8731. Linda Padfield, Ballwin, MO, 63021
It seems to me the internet is doing just fine without the assistance of the Federal Government. One of the last things We the People need is for ANY cable company to take control of our freedom to use the internet as we see fit. I know my "private" information is at stake in the use of the internet. HOWEVER, giving control to an entity means another layer that can breach the citizens' privacy. Further, interference in net neutrality would, most likely, NOT be neutral in any respect, including politics.

8732. Terri Battles, Columbia, MO, 65203
I don't want big corporations telling me what to do on the internet. We need net neutrality.

8733. Holly D., Saint Joseph, MO, 64501
The internet was created for the benefit of people, and for the technological advancement of mankind. The internet was not created for the benefit of corporations and to further the goals of capitalism.

8734. Andrew Parker, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
I'll keep it simple: Corporations have enough control over the internet as it is, and gutting net neutrality is only going to serve their own interests. Is this country "for the people" or "for the corporations"?

8735. Barbara Smith, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. SERIOUSLY.

8736. Larry Shelby, Salem, MO, 65560
I wish to ask the FCC to support net neutrality, and to stop the big internet providers from being in charge of the supply and manipulating the demand of a product as critical as information. It's akin to letting the oil companies decide what traffic is allowed on the roads. As a matter of basic common sense, destroying net neutrality is a REALLY bad idea.

8737. cathy howe, LaBelle, MO, 63447

I like mozilla as my start up page,i can find everything i need

8738. Mark Zimmerschied, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
Please maintain net neutrality for the benefit of consumers and individuals

8739. NANCY OUTLAW, FLORISSANT, MO, 63033
Keep net neutrality, free speech, and equal access and protect people everywhere from more "Big Brother".

8740. Shane, St Robert, MO, 65584
Free and fair, its one of the few level playing fields we have. Do not destroy it.

8741. Keith Jones, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
Freedom of information should be determined by the user, not a corporate oligarch or a politician.

8742. J. Howard, Grandview, MO, 64030
The Internet is integrated into the lives of almost every citizen in the US. Without net neutrality those citizens would be vulnerable to abuse by poor business practices with no real means of escape.

8743. Alex Bowring, Mountain Grove, MO, 65711
Net neutrality must protected. Do the right thing.

8744. Ethan, Columbia, MO, 65201
Depending on its outcome, this commission's decision on net neutrality will be perhaps the single most impacting decision to be made on defining one's access to internet use. This goes for smaller businesses and individuals alike. The commission's choice can either lead to the fostering of a broader public good, or instead service those entities who would want nothing more than to have self-serving restrictions in place, so as to tip further the balance of the field in their own direction. It is my hope, alongside so many, that the FCC will uphold in full the public wellbeing by supporting an open-source internet, by supporting net neutrality.

8745. Greg Tervort, St. Louis , MO, 63109
I believe that net neutrality is important. Laws should not be passed that allow a company that I am already paying to allow me to access the internet to then either sell my information, or limit how and when I use the service that I already payed for. That in my opinion is not only double dipping, but it would be like someone to sell me a car and then another fee for the key, tires, seats, seatbelts, and steering wheel.

8746. Jaime, Kansas City, MO, 64119
Net neutrality is pivotal. We will all fight against legislation that puts profit for corporations over people.

8747. Josh Adams, Kansas City, MO, 64155
This is a short-sighted self-destructive policy change. Yes it will make some people money in the short term, but it will destroy anything that makes the Internet useful by limiting it.

8748. Robert Gerhardt, Chillicothe, MO, 64601
DO NOT SCREW THIS UP FCC THE WORLD IS WATCHING YOU.

8749. Evan Viehman, Florissant, MO, 63031
The internet should be free. ISPs deciding to slow and throttle specific websites is immoral and just plain wrong. To have to pay more for access to websites such as Facebook, Twitter, YouTube, or Netflix will cut the majority of traffic to these websites because of the absurd requirements. Keep our internet free!

8750. Craig Kimbel, Mexico, MO, 65265
Net neutrality must be protected for people to choose what they watch and do online. Protect the free speech of the internet.

8751. Tyler K., Kansas City, MO, 64108
This is not the first time. This will be the end of communication. The internet will no longer be a place to seek help or answers for those in need. It will no longer be a place of flourishing organic ideas of the people. It will no longer hold a power for collective thinking. Intellect will become stagnant and corporate voice will be the only thing standing to speak. The digital world will be nothing but pillars stretched infinite of corporate rules, slogans, and adverts. Every inch of digital ground will be covered in ad pages, CC# pages and, propaganda for the highest bidder. Nothing in this realm will hold any benefit for humanity. it will only serve to destroy us. Make it known that We are already heading in this direction for the future, This bill will only serve to put us in the express lane. I encourage you to stop this from happening for the peoples benefit or soon you will not have a position to make any decision for your self.

8752. Bill Rozen, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Without Net Neutrality there is no free speech, no freedom of choice and no way to stop big cable companies from affecting what we watch and do online. Net Neutrality must be protected.

8753. John Applebaum, Imperial , MO, 63052
The population will revolt if this becomes a reality.

8754. Renee Schmickley, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
Net neutrality ensures that free speech, small businesses, and liberty are preserved. To take away Net Neutrality is to take away freedom and liberty from the citizens and small businesses online. Keep the internet fair and open.

8755. Therese Edney, St. Louis, MO, 63139

Why fix something that isn't broken? This is one chance for our government to show that little people count, and that the Trump rhetoric isn't a facade to divert our attention while greedy politicians and businessmen continue to add to their 1%. Comcast, ATT and Verizon can't provide meaningful customer service as it is. Why would we want to involve them.

8756. Ellen H. Torack, St. Louis , MO, 63122
The justification of making competition for smaller websites and online start-ups inarguably more difficult by claiming to help small ISPs is contradictory. Regulations like those that define net neutrality maintain the "free and open internet" your chairman claims to care about. Do not gut net neutrality.

8757. Toby, Columbia, MO, 65202
I support net neutrality and our first amendment rights.

8758. Timothy Kelley, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
Stop infringing on my freedoms!

8759. Norm Odell, Camdenton, MO, 65020
FCC,To many Americans and people around the world depend on the Internet for education, news, commerce and communication. Unfortunately, some countries restrict what their citizens can access and what they can say. The USA was founded on free speech and the right for people to learn about the world around them and to protect this freedom. Do not limit or restrict our access. Net neutrality is required. Save it for everyone to enjoy and use. Norm Odell

8760. Stephen Jones, Ferguson, MO, 63135
I support net neutrality. Do not take away net neutrality just the rich corporations that want take this away from us!

8761. David Lobbig, Richmond Heights, MO, 63117
Net neutrality is freedom of access and exchange of goods and services, as well as freedom to associate. Control of the internet in the hands of corporations and governments is totalitarianism, which Americans are guaranteed the right to overthrow to preserve their common liberties.

8762. WILLIAM BRENCICK, ST LOUIS, MO, 63139
LIKE MANY THOUSANDS OF OTHERS, I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THE THREAT TO A FREE FLOWING INTERNET WITHOUT THE BARRIER OF PROFITS BEFORE PEOPLE. FOR RETIREES LIKE ME IT IS VITAL TO RETAIN UNHINDERED ACCESS TO THE NET WITHOUT ADDITIONAL COSTS. NET NEUTRALITY SHOULD BE RESPECTED TO PROTECT INDIVIDUALS AND THE ECONOMY.

8763. Jim, Caruthersville, MO, 63830
The internet is working well now and has been for many years. Government oversight is not in the best interest of free people.

8764. Joyce Parker, Lonedell, MO, 63060
Keep the internet neutral. No to monopolistic internet thank you

8765. Savanna Shriver, Springfield, MO, 65807
I REFUSE TO PAY FOR INTERNET!!!

8766. alexander s bollinger, St. louis , MO, 63132
to disenfranchise anyone in the public from the vast amount of information and the ability to spread it instantly to others is not only going to hinder society from progressing as fast as we could, but also sets precedent to valuing corporate interest over an individuals potential. the internet is a tool that has been honed and shaped by the public for the public, not for those with money, not for those with massive amounts of data they need to send, not for porn, but for everyone. Take this away and people will react. you stifle their main ability to apply for a job educate themselves and in many cases support themselves and others; you are breaking a social tool for corporate use this is insulting. This behavior is instilling privilege to the groups with money and layering class-ism into the internet . money does not get you sat before the other people at the restaurant; and here the internet is an all you can eat buffet. I beg for myself, my country, the world and for you that oppose net neutrality, that you give up this greed fueled notion for it will only hurt and hinder everyone in one way or another.

8767. Sara Ray, St James, MO, 65559
I don't need or want big cable companies being able to decide what is best for them ($$$) and not for the good of the general users of the internet.

8768. Lelia Starr, Ozark, MO, 65721
Please protect net neutrality. This is a country where freedom is protected. Allowing the largest corporations to filter what makes it to consumers for an entire industries restricts the freedom of the consumer to choose what they are exposed to, and the freedom of a new entrepreneur to reach potential customers. It flies in the face of what our country stands for. Not allowing net neutrality is a slippery slope, and we have numerous examples from around the globe of the negative consequences of restriction. We need to pay attention to those examples, and not follow in their footsteps.

8769. Brandon Windish, Rolla, MO, 65401
Access to each other is the only thing that allows us to progress as a society. Our growth as a world-wide society depends on our cooperation and communication. To nurture this growth, we should always be trying to keep our methods of communication as open and accessible as possible. Today it's the internet.

8770. Wade Lynn, Hillsboro, MO, 63050

Net Neutrality allows consumers -{NOT BIG COMPANIES}- freedom to choose what they do and watch online. It is every citizen's right to choose! {NOT BIG COMPANIES THAT HAVE THEIR OWN AGENDA!} DO NOT TAKE AWAY OUR FREE SPEECH! DO NOT TAKE AWAY ANYMORE OF OUR GOD GIVEN FREEDOMS!!!!! CONCERNED MISSOURI INTERNET CITIZEN

8771. Fred Wuliger, St. Louis , MO, 63109
Attempts to further monetize the internet by dissolving net neutrality will fundamentally change what it is, and in my opinion, destroy it. Stop trying to ruin everything in the pursuit of (more) money.

8772. Karen Eisenhauer, Ballwin, MO, 63011
The internet is one of the few places in this country where excessive control cannot be bought. Please keep it that way. Net neutrality is essential to our freedom as a country.

8773. David Howard, Fenton, MO, 63026
Please work to keep the internet neutral for all consumers and citizens...free from business influence and control. Thank you!

8774. Michael Thomas, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
Internet service is a utility, just like a phone line or sewer service. I have two ISPs, one at home and one at my office. I don't have a lot of choice, really: AT&T and Charter at home, and a few others like Global Capacity at the office (at twice the price). But as things now stand, at least I can surf where I want. Without net neutrality, AT&T will be able to dictate where I go, at what price, at what speed. Can you imagine if the shopping mall owned the streets? Sure, you could still shop at a mom-and-pop store if you wanted, but you'd have to pay higher tolls and put up with lower speed limits and more pot holes than if you were headed to the mall.

8775. Rob Kraft, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
Without net neutrality, Large ISPs will favor their own content on their own network and downgrade their competitors content on their networks. Large ISPs have been caught and fined for doing this illegally when it was against the law, so we cannot trust them to not do this when it is legal.Also content providers will need to pay each ISP their extortion money to get favorable speeds on each ISP.

8776. TJ Hewitt, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
I need to choose what I wish to see on Internet. It is a basic right, a basic freedom given by our Constitution.

8777. Leslie A. Graves, St. Joseph, MO, 64506
Net Neutrality is a fundamental free speech right, and protects small businesses.

8778. Richard Byrne, St. Louis, MO, 63139
I am beginning a small business practice, and don't want access to my information to be slowed down because of particular Internet providers getting special treatment. Keep it equal. The FCC should not bow to the winds of political change.

8779. Logan Kesselring, Potosi, MO, 63664
Hey there, I'd like some net neutrality please.

8780. Jason, Perryville, MO, 63775
Big cable companies should not have the power to choose where you can and cant go according to how much you pay, this would be like having to enter a grocery store and you can only access certain isles depending on a fee you paid upon entering and then on top of that still having to pay for your items.

8781. Josey Knackstedt, Kansas City, MO, 64154
I am tired of corporations controlling so many aspects of my life. A line has to be drawn somewhere. Let the free market truly exist somewhere and leave my internet experience alone.

8782. Michael, kansas city, MO, 64153
The Internet has bred more freedom of speech in 30 years then any institution has publicized at any point in history. to regulate who see's what and when and how cause you say so is pure cynicism. the people will find a way around it just like prohibition

8783. Jon Robertson, Wentzville , MO, 63385
If the department of transportation told you what car you could drive, restricted where you could travel to, or changed the speed limits for certain types of vehicles, it would be condemned by everyone. So why would the FCC allow corporation make those same rules with our internet?

8784. L Reece, Columbia, MO, 65201
Without net neutrality, I could never have connected with, and contributed to, innovative intellectual and creative human ideas worldwide. But I have. And we're improving human lives right now.

8785. Dana Taylor, Wildwood, MO, 63069
Dear FCC-- Please support net neutrality and individual freedom. Big corporations have enough control of our lives as it is. Remember: absolute power corrupts absolutely. Do not empower them further.

8786. Venessa Hampshire, Florissant, MO, 63033
Net Neutrality is so very important to everyone. It is a tool that almost everyone uses and we should have access to all the information. That is what the internet was intended for to expand your knowledge.

8787. Wendy Pemberton , Kansas city , MO, 64151
Let the free market of the Net work the way it should without government interference. We need innovation, freedoms to craft and develop worlds that only live in the place of the World Wide Web.

8788. Jack Vickers, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
How would you like it if your children were denied food at school until all the other children had gotten and eaten theirs? This is, in essence, what would happen on the internet if you allowed Comcast, AT&T, Verizon, etc. control what data from the consumers gets favored.

8789. Charlie Faege, Overland, MO, 63114
Such proposed rules are very offensive and suppresive. The internet must be kept free of overlords, control, and censorship.

8790. John Casey, Potosi, MO, 63664
Net neutrality is of the utmost importance to Democracy. We can either be the United States of Corporate America or we can be the United States of the American people. Let's be what the founding Fathers had in mind and keep Net Neutrality!!!

8791. Tim Laffoon, Libery, MO, 64068
Ever since the FCC closed down several $Billion+ corporations for being too competitive (with one of which I was successfully franchised - growing and hiring), I have realized that the DC bureaucracy is out of control. Leave us alone! We need Net Neutrality.

8792. Mason Moessmer, Saint Louis, MO, 63141
Hello, there. I have grave concerns with the thought that control of the guidelines that allow for openness and equality in Internet access should fall into the hands of the service providers. Should those ISPs have the power to regulate the amount of content that can be provided on an individual basis, it could easily allow for inequality at best, and loss of freedom of speech for those without power at worst. I dread what this could mean for the world, if this were allowed. Please do not act to limit the openness and neutrality of the Internet. Thank you.

8793. Sean Poss, Sunrise Beach, MO, 65079
The free internet is something noone has a right to monopolize.

8794. Lauren Hopkins, Independence, MO, 64052
American society has thrived through hard earned equality. The internet is no different. By eliminating restrictions we are condemning our ability to receive information and connect with the world. Support net neutrality and our freedom to browse wherever and whenever we like, with no caveats.

8795. Sharon Jones, Eldorado Springs, MO, 64744
Net neutrality is very important to me. My right to free speech should not be sold to the highest bidder. Please dont let this happen.

8796. T Solomon, OFallon, MO, 63368
As a small business owner I believe Net neutrality is Fundamental to Free Speech ~ Keep the internet open for all!

8797. Pat Benson, Kansas City, MO, 64145
Everyone deserves equal opportunity to access the internet, whether corporations, business, or individuals because this is the United States of America.

8798. Ally, St Louis , MO, 63143
The internet is the only form of free education besides word of mouth anymore.

8799. Ben, kansas city, MO, 64155
Freedom of choice is important; don't take that away from consumers.

8800. Raymond Murphey, Town and Country , MO, 63017
If we don't keep net neutrality, we are opening the door to inequality.

8801. Judy Perkins, De Soto, MO, 63020
Net neutrality must be protected! There should be no governmental control over the internet, leave net neutrality alone!!A concerned voter

8802. Charlotte King, Boonville, MO, 65233
Net Neutrality is fundamental to free speech. It would be wrong, and an affront to the Constitution, for any company (or the company's financial backers) to censor what is available online. Just as the air waves are open for radio and TV, the Internet must be open for all. It must be up to the consumer to pick and choose what is read, heard, seen. This is not something that the FCC or any government agency or person should determine for me. Please leave Net Neutrality alone!

8803. Isaac Speed, Monroe City, MO, 63456
I probably wouldn't be alive right now, if I hadn't had open access to the internet. Net neutrality allows people to build relationships and learn without borders.

8804. Chara Rydzak, St Louis, MO, 63108
Net neutrality makes good economic sense.

8805. Kip Wigs, Kansas City, MO, 64124
We can only remain a progressive, problem solving nation with fairness. We can only have fairness with net neutrality. Our country, and the world is better with FAIR competition.

8806. Mary Frazier, Springfield, MO, 65802
Please keep the internet free and open. Without net neutrality, big companies could censor people and perspectives online. Without net

neutrality, creators and entrepreneurs could struggle to reach new users. Investment in new ideas would dry up and only the big companies would survive, stifling innovation. Without net neutrality, ISPs could decide you watched too many videos on Netflix in one day and throttle your Internet speeds, while keeping their own video apps running smooth.

8807. Mike Roberts, Summersville, MO, 65571
Loss of net neutrality, coupled with income inequality, could be the cause of the next American Revolution. Do something now.

8808. Thomas Cuevas, Kansas City , MO, 64112
I DO NOT agree to allow internet service providers to block access to websites, to slow down content or to provide paid fast lanes for internet users.

8809. Paul Dohearty, saint louis, MO, 63109
Net neutrality is important so that all have a voice and accessibility.

8810. Kate Boyd, St. Louis, MO, 63116
The internet has become like water, impossible to do without in order to function in the modern world. We MUST NOT allow big business to decide the amount of pressure, quality or allotment of water we get. Let us remember that it is We, the People, not We, Big Business.

8811. Katherine, Kansas City, MO, 64105
We already decided that the internet needs to be reclassified as a Title II utility, there is no reason to reverse that decision. I work in Kansas City, MO in innovation and technology and this decision will only cause the digital divide to widen. To create fast and slow lanes for internet access is only going to harm the poorest among us.

8812. Bob Dragos, Mansfield, MO, 65704
I believe that net neutrality is important because of the freedom it allows to each individual user. Don't sell the internet out to a few big producers, allowing big money to control the content as they see fit, similar to what has happened to network (and cable)television.

8813. John C., Columbia, MO, 65201
I would not trust an ISP with a ham sandwich, let alone control of an entire medium of communication.

8814. Elizabeth Schmidt, Ellisville, MO, 63021
I insist that cable companies and other internet providers be required to give customers access to all internet content at the same rate of speed. This is a free speech and free commerce issue.

8815. Gary Snider, Springfield, MO, 65810
I am a supporter of net neutrality and am against the changes that are currently being considered. Small businesses will be harmed. The FCC shoulders the responsibility to ensure big businesses do not monopolize the (internet) resource.

8816. Carter Buerk, O'fallon, MO, 63368
Without Net neutrality I will lose tons of my entertainment freedom and when trying to start my musical career with my own website and stuff I'm going to be stifled and unable to grow. I could then be out of a job and career

8817. Jennifer Fox, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I believe that net neutrality should be a right granted to all people, not unlike free speech and press. If we've learned anything from recent events, it's that companies are NOT private citizens. They act against the common good if it means increased profits for their executives and shareholders. Protection of net neutrality is protection of our rights to free access to information. Please don't allow large private corporations to dictate what and how I and my fellow citizens receive information. Please protect net neutrality!

8818. Mike Hearod, St. Louis, MO, 63021
A plead to your patriotism:We're at war on the "cyber" front right now. Don't throttle bandwidth at home and allow an anti-democratic nation like Russia to take control of the Internet.

8819. Tom Durst, Kansas City, MO, 64118
Big money already controls many of the systems that affect our daily lives: government, medicine, energy and the like. Not so long ago we broke up AT&T because they completely controlled our primary means of communication. Let's learn our lessons from the past and avoid another mistake in the future. Keep the Internet open and free!

8820. Robert C Wilson, Hillsboro, MO, 63050
I am strongly in favor of the existing regime of 'Net Neutrality' and opposed to the proposed change being considered by the FCC.Sincerely, Robert C WilsonCitizen, Veteran and Voter

8821. Julie Ashbrook, Chillicothe, MO, 64601
Net neutrality is an equalizer for all users. The FCC should lead in the protection against information control by businesses or the federal government.

8822. Tim Ervin, Kansas City, MO, 64108
ISPs have shown time and time again that they are not trustworthy and don't have the general people's interests at heart. This gives them a path to adopt business practices stifling innovation, perpetuating the current oligopoly, and ultimately harming everyday consumers and entrepreneurs.I'm appalled at the misinformation going around. The notion that net neutrality is in any way unfavorable is gaining traction among unwitting conservatives because our politicians are being disingenuous with them and so obviously being swayed by money from lobbyists.Mr. Pai, don't be a slime.

8823. Rachel Bailey, Fenton, MO, 63026
Do not take away our rights to the internet in all its entirety. If you remove net neutrality, we might have to pay our internet companies more money to access certain parts of the internet, like YouTube and Trivago. I already have to do this with my TV. Don't allow the internet to become the same way.

8824. Sue Arnold , Milan , MO, 63556
I live in a rural area with limited access to local and state news, information and movies. Please protect internet neutrality.

8825. Donna Thompson , Kansas City, MO, 64133
Net neutrality falls in line with this group of words: open, honest, fair, equal, freedom, protection, choice, free speech, balance, enlighten, communication. Shutting down net neutrality aligns with this group of words: limit, control, corruption, greed, evil, ignorance, suppression, lack, suffering, closed, unfair. The world watches as you choose which group of words matters to the FCC.

8826. Jacques Baenziger, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
I am an academic that uses the internet heavily. I do not want others i.e. companies such as Verizon etc. to control the Internet Speed I am paying for differentially. I also don't want advertising or other groups to dominate because they are able to pay for preference. I have no confidence in companies to preserve the current equity of the internet.

8827. Daniel Stewart, LAbadie, MO, 63055
I work from home if they lift this I will have to pay even more to work from my house as the internet companies could block my work from being able to be used.

8828. Lesa McGinnis, Springfield, MO, 65804
Net neutrality is for all of us who live in a free society. Go find your profits elsewhere! As a free people, and Nation we have the rights to free speech, protection, and choice!

8829. Molly pagenkopf, St louis, MO, 63132
Pease don't mess with the internet!! Leave it be. It's perfect as it is now.

8830. Robert Vigliotti, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Net neutrality is necessary to ensure First Amendment rights on the internet. Failure to maintain net neutrality is turning your back on Constitutionally protected rights.

8831. Andrenna Taylor, Kansas City, MO, 64108
Net neutrality is a fundamental part of information sharing. Please protect it. Don't sell out!

8832. Shawn Roach, Springfield, MO, 65807
The net is vast and so are the users and their ideas and opinions. Please keep it that way!

8833. chris kimberly, Kirksville, MO, 63501
keep the net as it is regulations stifle competition and the American way.

8834. Debbie Mason, Eureka, MO, 63025
Net neutrality must be protected for consumers so big companies don't control what we choose to do on the internet.

8835. Jennifer Fuller, Kansas City, MO, 64112
It would cost small businesses a fortune and stifle innovation if we lost net neutrality.

8836. Kevin Grinder, Springfield, MO, 65810
The internet is the peoples, not big corp. In other aspects of our society we strive to give power back to the people, which our Constitution says we do have anyway. Why are we even discussing taking away power from the people? Net neutrality is good for the people, it's good for innovation, it's good for small business, and it's even good for big business.This should be an easy decision. Support and keep net neutrality.

8837. John Davis, Raytown, MO, 64133
The backbone providers and ISPs are thriving. They do not need additional ways to monetize or control traffic routing. Keep the internet open, please.

8838. Jessica P, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please protect net neutrality and don't make our access to the internet beholden to for-profit companies.

8839. Warren Korfhage, Belton, MO, 64012
I'm for net neutrality basically for the same reasons I am for the Bill of Rights and against censorship.

8840. Michael Taylor, Creve Coeur, MO, 63146
Stop fucking around with the internet. It doesn't need your help. Leave it alone.

8841. WILLIAM OWHITE, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64110
I FOR PEOPLE THAT SUPPOSE TO BE EDUCATED Y'ALL FOLK CAN DO SOME STUPID THINGS. WHY THE HECK YOU FOOL THINK I CAN'T THINK FOR MYSELF LET FACE THE FACT CABLE DEAD AND NO ONE WONT THE FCC HELP ANY DAME WAY LIKE JUST ABOUT EVERYTHING Y'ALL DO Y'ALL SCREW IT UP ANYWAY. YOU CAN'T BE TRUST TO THINK ON YOUR OWN THAT YOU NEED THE PEOPLE TO HELP MAKE SURE YOU SHUT YOUR DAME MONTH AND LISTEN BEFORE ACTING. PLEASE CHILD NEED THE NET ALONE THEIR SOMETHING BABY LET Y'ALL CAN'T

UNDERSTAND. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech FOR EVERYONE EVEN THOSE THAT ARE NOT IN THERE RIGHT MINDS!

8842. Lona Skaggs, Mineral Point , MO, 63660
I have already been effected by the competition of internet streaming. I was forced into buying TV to get internet [measured in GB] and still can not stream my choices of movies. I have to take what they give me in TV for high prices and a contract that takes 400 dollars to get out of plus a monthly charge. I reported this to FCC already.

8843. Lisa, Fenton, MO, 63026
Because there should be no barriers to accessing information.

8844. Julian Vallejo, Liberty, MO, 64116
I support the protection of regulations that maintain net neutrality among ISPs, specifically those under title two regulations. The internet must be maintained as an equal platform of communication for all users as this fundamental to our first amendment rights.

8845. Andrew Meyerkord, St. Louis, MO, 63104
There are already too many things in life that we hear, read, and experience that are dictated by large, wealthy corporations. Please support net neutrality.

8846. T.Katzman, Independence, MO, 64050
I believe in freedom of speach and privacy of the Individual.

8847. Nicholas Reznick, Columbia, MO, 65202
Net neutrality is fundamental to preserving the 1st Amendment in the 21st century, and is almost universally preferred by those who use the internet to make a living, tech specialist and content creator alike.

8848. Karen Laseter, Brentwood, MO, 63144
PLEASE protect net neutrality. It is the "final frontier" of my lifetime! :)

8849. Steve Smith, House Springs, MO, 63051
FCC : Let the People We the People decide what We need! The Government needs to start amending laws and enforcing the ones we have! Stop spending the Peoples money! Quit taking money from the average working person. Federal , sate, county, city etc.. you don't make a dollar all you do is Tax the People and spend our money! Shame on you! We the People need a break from big brother. Leave well enough alone! Keep the Net neutral. Thank You, Steve Smith

8850. John Myre, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I use the internet frequently during the day to stay informed. I like it the way it is. Changing the rules to benefit large corporations will degrade my enjoyment of all the internet offers.

8851. Concerned Citizen, Columbia, MO, 65201
Net neutrality allows young researchers and entrepreneurs to make their business dreams a reality by keeping the playing field level with the biggest of potential competitors. Losing net neutrality would stifle innovation and the economy. Please maintain net neutrality!

8852. Maxwell, Pleasant Hill, MO, 64080
The internet must remain a fair and open place for it's users.

8853. Susan Herold, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Net neutrality is a bastion of freedom of speech. Free communication is a public good and as such must not be parceled out to the highest bidders. It belongs to everyone who has something to say and its pathways must be kept clear such that access is free and equal.

8854. Myles Proctor, Tipton, MO, 65081
What I look at, buy, and do on the internet is my own personal business. I don't want cable companies to be taking notes of what I'm interested in.

8855. Michael T Forte, Moberly, MO, 65270
Small Business's like mine must have a neutral net so we can be competitive and grow our business's. without it you choke the life out of small business's around the world.

8856. Billy Brooks, Chaffee, MO, 63740
Letting these Telecom Companies have even more control would be Very Damaging to the Economy!I already pay a large amount for Internet Service!

8857. Edward A. Maidment, Kansas City, MO, 64119
"Net Neutrality" is not neutral. It is literally handing over control of the internet to the Federal Government. This isn't free market, it isn't efficient, and it isn't right. If you'd like the internet to fall 40 years behind in innovation like the American telephone system did then go ahead and ask the FCC to step in. For myself, I'll take liberty and innovation.

8858. James Pi, Nope, MO, 63034
Once Net Neutrality is ended, there's no going back. Internet companies can nickel and dime users into oblivion. Especially since there is usually only one or two ISPs in an area.

8859. Branden Chavez, Blue Springs , MO, 64014
Net Neutrality is important to my company as we are a small business in a large health care market. I don't want larger companies

having the ability to slow my speed for their gain.

8860. Duane Watts, Ballwin, MO, 63021
We must maintain internet neutrality. If you do not the internet will become only a marketing place for big business. We all pay for an open internet when we pay for internet access so it belongs to us, not big business or government.

8861. Wm J. Cochran, Lake Ozark, MO, 65049
2nd Circuit in 2010 found that FCC can't enforce Net Neutrality nor regulate the Internet service providers in the same way it regulates phone service providers unless FCC thru Rule designates internet as a "public utility". SCOTUS's "Chevron Preference" allows FCC to designate the internet as a "public utility" thru its Rulemaking authority. If FCC negates its present Net Neutrality Rule that the internet is a public utility then FCC opens the door to having its authority significantly reduced by a Net Neutrality Statute where Internet is a "public utility" . 2nd Circuit has already found to not rehear attempt to overturn FCC rule on Net Neutrality. If SCOTUS also does not rehear or does find consistent with Cheveron Preference, FCC is looking at getting a statute rammed down their throats and further erode it's authority as the half assed Admin agency it is.

8862. Brittany Sherman, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
I want the freedom to use the internet how I choose. I want the freedom to choose what I watch and do online, to reach my customers and blog readers, to not be censored by big companies, to promote and grow my business. Net Neutrality IS the first amendment of the internet and I want the FCC to protect my rights as a consumer and an American

8863. Patricia Fletcher, St. Louis, MO, 63033
I believe, that people from all over the world come to the U.S. for freedom-this is one of our most basic right to communicate when and how we want-we already pay for this right every month! We don't need big cable companies further gutting our free speech!

8864. Chris Gonzales, West Plains, MO, 65775
Take it down. The laws of economics take priority over the desire to do good with no information. If large companies are truly afraid for the small business owner and their ability to compete, they will avoid the collusion of government to suppress their competition.

8865. Richard McKie, Independence, MO, 64055
Freedom of the press should include the internet. Letting corporations control it is not freedom. If you want us and yourselves to be slaves-make this move. Only tyrants want to be controlled. Those who do not fear debate know what liberty of the people is.

8866. Craig P , springfield, MO, 65804
The net belongs to the people, hands off!

8867. Carol Clifton, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Net Neutrality forever!! It protects all Consumers allowing us to explore new ideas, express your own and choose what your watch. Big Companies would take over otherwise.

8868. Kevin, Florissant, MO, 63033
Net nuetrality is as essential as breathing.

8869. Cynthia Green, Arnold, MO, 63010
This is how the First Amendment will crumble. Slowly chipping away until finally it's gone. It is simple COMMON SENSE to realize NET NEUTRALITY STAYS. Putting big cable companies in charge is just plain stupid. I am terrified! Here is the list so far - increase in hate crimes over the last year, global climate change, sixth mass extinction. Now we may have to add the loss of net neutrality, a cornerstone of free speech. I can give you two personal thoughts on this. First, I've told my daughter she should really, really think if she wants to bring children into this type of environment. Second, I am 59 and I hope I don't live long enough to see this country lose it's basic freedoms.

8870. Timothy Reid, Kansas City, MO, 64151
Keep the FCC Title II classification in force! This is how you (the FCC), as a government agency, protect my freedom of speech as an American Citizen.

8871. T.Stanley, Rolla, MO, 65401
I support a free and open internet. Pay to win would irreversibly damage posterity.

8872. Rebecca Reyneke, Columbia , MO, 65203
We're not wealthy, and we're very careful with our budget. Without net neutrality, I strongly doubt we'd be able to afford the prime connections. We'd struggle to provide our children with the same resources for education and connection with this world that their wealthier peers would have. ISPs should not be able to determine who's worthy of faster connections and what websites will and won't be hindered.

8873. Trever Pope, Joplin, MO, 64801
Net Neutrality is necessary for free speech and expression. Corporations should NEVER be in control of information

8874. David Bentancourt, St Louis, MO, 63104
Too long have we allowed corporations to control us. The French had a corrupt mercantile, religious, and government problem once and dealt with it in a n effective way.

8875. tim stapleton, jefferson city, MO, 65109
The internet is such a useful thing because people can share information and innovations freely and quickly. If you start messing with

that and letting companies redirect or block parts of the internet it is no longer a benefit to anyone.

8876. Ryne Fletcher, University City, MO, 63130
As someone who is looking to start a business on the internet soon I'd like to express my support for net neutrality. Title 1 is not what we want or what small businesses need. We need Title 2 protection that protects us from big business stream rolling us. Please make the smart move and leave net neutrality how it is. Open and free.

8877. Sharika, Kansas City, MO, 64108
Net neutrality needs to be protected because it forces companies and businesses of all sizes to work on improving their products in response to user feedback. This is critical for true advancement. Choosing to remove net neutrality will limit future growth - a despicable choice.

8878. Kent Gray, Nixa, MO, 65714
Net neutrality is important because who knows what shenanigans "the other party" will be up to when they gain power. And there will always be "the other party" in a multi-party system.

8879. Thomas Naylor, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
I cannot stand for an internet which is controlled and dominated like cable TV is. There is a reason people are cutting cable in droves; we don't want their price mongering and greed! Do not let these monopolies dictate prices to us that have nothing to do with the market. Let the internet stay free and open; we must have Net Neutrality!

8880. Patrick Findley, Olivette, MO, 63132
We have a small technology business, and my wife is looking to develop web applications. Net Neutrality ensures she has an equal chance against huge, established companies. This is the heart of what it means to be American.

8881. Anica Rothrock, St. Louis, MO, 63130
Please protect small business, innovators and their potential customers by allowing net neutrality to live on. Do not let internet speed be regulated for profit.

8882. Melanie Rowley, New Hampton, MO, 64471
Being a conservative during the last few years, has shown me how badly Facebook censors those who are not liberals. It has shown me how our free speech can be lost.I have no doubts that any big company or corporation would do the same whenever it chose to do so.

8883. Kim, Arnold, MO, 63010
Everything that comcast, AT&T, and Verizon touches involves higher costs, less option for consumers, and reduced quality and features. Net neutrality is absolutely essential for consumers to be able to find what they are looking for without censorship and degradation. Those companies are monopolies and only serve their own interests. Whatever happened to a government that protects against monopolies and allows consumers freedom of choice? Do not take this last frontier away from the world.

8884. Chris Kornbluth, Grain Valley, MO, 64029
Net neutrality is essential to freedom of expression. The big companies already control TV content. Don't let them control the internet too

8885. Suzanne Gilman, Saint Louis, MO, 63132
I do a lot of research from reputable sites. Paying for the membership to access these journals is hard enough. I cannot imagine what I would do if I had to pay extra to access the site at reasonable speeds if my net provider didn't like that organization's politics.

8886. Elke Overton, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
My husband relies on the internet for his small business, 90% of which is international business. I do not want large corporations limiting the speed of my internet or putting limits on certain IP address or websites. FREE INTERNET IS ESSENTIAL.

8887. Keith Merryman, Hermitage, MO, 65668
I prefer to decide for myself what I watch, read, purchase and how often I do it. Big Companies have a long history of putting "Greed" and "Profits" above all else. Please leave "Net Neutrality" alone and allow consumers to choose which providers we want to use for news, education, purchases etc..

8888. Kevin Heckart, Kasnas City, MO, 64108
Imagine is we had water, power, and trash the way companies want to regulate the internet, would you want to pay extra for a certain power outlet or sing faucet ?

8889. Don Spencer, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I feel net neutrality supports both the Constitution and my right to freedom of speech. With all the recent harassment of the News media, I think net neutrality is essential. We should not return to the MaBell era, when big business was in control.

8890. Ben Phillip, Kirkwood , MO, 63122
Net neutrality is communism. Get rid of it.

8891. Andrew Hooper, Lee's Summit, MO, 64086
The internet is a place where people can create, where they can gather ideas to better the world. It is one of mankinds greatest achievements in bringing the world closer together. Why would you want to section it off and hinder this learning and creativity? You would be hindering mankind, I urge you to keep the internet free for everyone!

8892. Anne Brady, Columbia, MO, 65201

As yet another attempt to scam the private citizen...must we give away the one true free resource to information and creativity to big business. Come on.....It doesn't make any sense...unless of course you don't want free speech and thought.

8893. Keith L. Fowler, Springfield, MO, 65802
The open internet is essential to the idea of America itself. Freedom of speech, from censorship, from regulation and restriction is the core idea of equality. Everyone has the right to expression as well as the right to disagree.

8894. Pam Grant, Saint Louis, MO, 63126
We cannot continue as a nation to keep selling off our resources for big profits to corporations and special interests. Net neutrality should be protected every bit as much as clean air and water, our national parks, or any of our resources, including education! Stop putting special interests profits before the American people!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

8895. Connie Scott, Nixa, MO, 65714
To Those Who Make Decisions Concerning Net Neutrality:If the internet is turned over to big business, they will destroy it. They will regulate who uses it and at what price as well as the content at a price. The internet has been quite successful as it is, and even though there are some undesirable things on it, overall it has served well in communication, education, business, and personal use. Why "fix" something that isn't broken? Why make something complicated when it is working well as is? Please reconsider your proposed rules for the benefit of everyone who uses the internet rather than the desire of corporations who only want control over the users. Thank you.

8896. Meredith Roberson, Kansas CIty, MO, 64114
We are a democracy.

8897. Brandon Scharf, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
The internet, now, is as much a right as any other right the American public has. We don't have corporations controlling who can vote, speak, peacefully gather or petition our government for action. Why should we allow them to make one websites traffic go slow, or charge more for community websites instead of corporate run news sites? ISPs don't want to be labeled as Title II because it will eat into their profits and take away the ability to nickel and dime the average American more than they already are. We are supposed to be the best country in the world, yet we have some of the most basic internet speeds. Keeping the internet open and neutral will allow for more competition in the ISP industry, thus providing better services for Americans. So much of business and our day to day lives have moved to the internet to help speed things up, connect countries together to do business and allow small businesses to compete online with much larger businesses that traditionally would be prohibitive due to needed to open brick and mortar stores. More competition results in better products and competitive pricing, which results in a overall better environment for an American. Corporations and lobbyists are already too much involved in the governing of the United States, don't make it worse by allowing them to directly make policy decisions that hurt Americans and American businesses.

8898. Edwina Cooper, Manchester, MO, 63021
Please defend net neutrality. You cannot guard against human greed without it, even with the best of intentions. And I, like every other individual consumer and voter, will never have the power to stand against multi-billion corporations. They would bleed us dry for a pittance of what we have now. My livelihood would be eradicated. As it would for millions of others. Keep the net free.

8899. Samantha Henderson, Butler, MO, 64730
Why should we give the biggest companies more power? We need to preserve the little amount of neutrality on the internet. Everyone should be able to visit any website they want to, not have to tiptoe around and hope that they don't breach their contract by doing something they were previously allowed to do.

8900. Dustie Butteiger, St. Charles, MO, 63303
Net neutrality should be a fundamental right for all people. As a new blogger it is imperative that I reach as many people without unnecessary influence from big companies that would decide where and when my content shows up and who can see it. The internet should be open and free space as it was intended to be.

8901. William Van Dalsem, St. Peters, MO, 63376
I chose to go into the IT field because I love the freedom that is offered on the internet as it is. If the internet becomes restricted due to bloated corporate companies, open source software and innovative ideas would become suppressed.

8902. Rocky Pabillore, Kansas City, MO, 64118
FIGHTING FOR NET NEUTRALITY!!!

8903. Casey Bligh, Chillicothe, MO, 64601
Keep Net Neutrality to prevent discrimination, authoritarianism, to protect freedom of speech, and keep an even playing field for everyone.

8904. C.J.Beuke, Washington, MO, 63090
Please keep the internet neutral. That way everyone will have an equal chance at affordable service at an equal price & speed. Thank you. c

8905. Matthew Back, Springfield, MO, 65807
ISPs have shown time and time again that they will not do right by their customer. Advertised connection speeds have been shown to be false advertising. Our infrastructure is being surpassed by third world countries. Who in their right mind would look at this situation and say giving ISPs more control is a good idea. It is the equivalent of your child being caught out late and drunk and to correct the behavior you extend their curfew and relax rules on drinking... that's not how it works.

8906. Florence Gibson, Labadie, MO, 63055

I think this is sooo important!! I feel net neutrality must be protected!!

8907. Jerre Miller, Willow Springs, MO, 65793
It is essential for everyone to have an open, accessible internet connection. Net neutrality is a baseline for communication & information.

8908. Connie Ferro, Kansas City, MO, 64114
The Internet is the last bastion of freedom in America. Keep corporate and big money hands away from it.

8909. Brian Birchler, Kansas City, MO, 64152
Net neutrality must be upheld. Just as we treat humans with equality, so too should our digital media. Without these protections the internet we've come to love and enjoy will cease to be the free and equal space we associate it with.

8910. Casey, Kansas City, MO, 64114
We can see what will happen by looking at other countries where there is no Net Neutrality and what happened to them. If you care anything about the freedom of the people and not being bought out by companies, would would take a good, hard look at this bill and instead of looking at it by whomever is paying you the most, look at it in a way of the average user. Of the average business or business person.Getting rid of Net Neutrality only does one thing, help companies who want to bill the heck out of their customers. It is not good for the average person and quite a few companies will fall from it. If you give the internet to big cable companies that are already allowed to have a monopoly over certain areas, it will spell disaster for so many people.I urge you to reconsider getting it. Things that you like, such as Netflix or Hulu or Amazon videos, these companies can decide if you've had enough, even if "enough" is just one 5 minute video.

8911. T. Parker, Kansas City, MO, 64030
Keep net neutrality.It is very democratic; it is collectively created, everyone has a role to play and everyone can contribute.This was once said about jazz. It also applies to the internet. This may be the last place that people have anything resembling freedom.

8912. Robert W Hall, Springfield, MO, 65803
As a senior with a very limited budget the internet is my travel, information, help, and communication outlet. Do not let large corporations control the internet and slow the delivery to people like me. We should work of high speed access for everyone to increase the flow of information and improve the success of our industries.

8913. Barbara Manning, Lees Summit , MO, 64063
Sirs: I my lifetime, you have deregulated the national telephone service, saying that it would be better for Americans. I didn't turn out that way for millions of us. Now, you want to hand off control of the single source of news, entertainment and information to some of the largest cable companies in the US. I find your reasons suprious at best and inexplicable at worst. I am against allowing large corporations like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon to name a few, to control bandwidth, data speeds and content into my home. Just as I am allowed to protect the physical contents of my home with a weapon, I want to be able to choose what information, what speed and what cost I am willing to pay for internet access. Net Neutrality is necessary -- and you should leave it alone.

8914. Ann Johnson, Kansas City, MO, 64112
Please, do not screw the American public! Maintain net neutrality at all costs. We used to be the United States of America. Are we still? The greatest democracy in the world? I'm worried that our status in the world is eroding, one issue at a time. Please, do your part. Maintain net neutrality.

8915. Susan Stanifer, Fordland , MO, 65652
I am totally against the FCC giving our neutrality away. We live in a free world. Companies should not be able to govern our free speech rights nor should we have to pay a HUGE Co. to be able to use the Internet. The Internet belongs to the World. Not a Company. Help keep this country Free! To much Government in EVERYTHING! I realize there are rules that everyone has to follow but this is a overreach of my freedoms. Please do not do this. Thank You.

8916. Josh Miller, Kansas City , MO, 64106
Keep your fascist mits off the free web!

8917. Andrew Glindemann, Columbia, MO, 65201
The internet is one of the few avenues where I can have a voice and be a positive force. The positive ripple effect is huge, but that would go away if net neutrality ended.

8918. Anthony Francis, St. Louis, MO, 63114
The removal of net neutrality might benefit a few mega-corps, but in the end it will stifle the US economy, snuff out innovation, and ultimately lead to increases in online piracy as more and more people look for ways to get around this unnecessarily created problem.

8919. Jon F Reid, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
Dear FCC,The bottom line is that losing net neutrality leads to a very different America. One that censors, controls the media, one where our personal information is bought and sold without our knowledge. One where service providers decide what is relevant and they can control and steer you to what they want by limiting bandwidth on other choices. You were smart enough to keep regulations on making commercial breaks louder than the programing. Can you imagine what the corporate interests will do without net neutrality. I hope that if you don't side on behalf of the American people, and truly what's best for the citizens of the world. That you will ultimately be held accountable for your wrong decision! This trend in our country of favoring the corporate dollar over citizen rights has got to stop! Do the right thing. Keep Net Neutrality!

8920. Robin Woltman, Webster Groves, MO, 63119

I strongly believe that net neutrality must be protected. It is vital for all citizens to have equal opportunities to access information on the Internet. Corporations should not be able to determine what users can say and do online. Corporations have a habit of swallowing up their smaller competitors; and I do not believe they should be provided with the opportunity to stifle entrepreneurs and developers, those who have the most creative and innovative ideas. I believe the Internet should be regulated as a utility, as it is a vital part of our country's infrastructure and must be protected. If this cannot be done, at least preserve net neutrality, so that it can be used by everyone equally.

8921. Jonathon Caputo, Viburnum, MO, 65566
I want the right to choose!

8922. Scott Lueddecke, St. Charles, MO, 63304
Net Neutrality is important to allowing people to freely explore and choose what they see and do on the internet. Taking away this freedom for greed will lead to the end of free and fun content in lieu of people with money being able to promote what they want. You greedy bastards make enough money as it is off consumer information so please allow the consumer to choose what they want to see.

8923. Justin Bieller, Poplar Bluff, MO, 63901
I support net neutrality. The idea that a cable company could decide to punish me for watching too much or too little of something online is just ridiculous. Anything that has any kind of impact on a persons right to free speech should be a red flag anyways. Please protect net neutrality.

8924. Dr. J. David Burgess, Joplin, MO, 64801
Net Neutrality promotes free speech, open dialogue, and clear paths to the whole internet. I strongly encourage you to protect it.

8925. Larry Sportsman, St. Charles, MO, 63303
The internet of today provides access to information and services that are used by almost everyone. Allowing price gouging by corporations is no different than what we already have to pay for TV programs today. You can have a little, but if you want the good stuff, pay up. It's just taking more money out of the pockets of working class Americans that use these services for things like educating themselves and their kids, banking, medical issues and appointments. Every day people rely on this service and in many cases, need it. Leave the internet alone!

8926. Don Hunter, Belton, MO, 64012
America is suppose to be the land of the free and home of the brave.....gutting net neutrality, will completely go against this claim! Keep the internet free and open!

8927. Summer Willhite, Joplin, MO, 64801
I got my start working on the internet. Equal treatment for all traffic is important for innovation and job creation.

8928. Jennifer grinder, Grover, MO, 63040
I support strong net-neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isp.

8929. Stephen Jones, Ferguson, MO, 63135
I think the government and FCC should leave net neutrality alone!

8930. Leah dematta, St louis, MO, 63108
I don't want the internet to be biased; open searching and access to information is crucial to think critically.

8931. Alexandra Staron, Kansas City, MO, 64116
My job and income is dependent on my connection to the internet. Part of that job includes researching various topics. If I can't freely do the required research, I could lose my job. I shouldn't have to worry about my ability to do my job correctly because of my ISP.

8932. Stephen Johann, Independence, MO, 64055
We already have companies TRACING what we view on the internet and placing ads based on the content viewed. We do not need companies DETERMINING what websites can be viewed also.

8933. scot gabriel, blue springs, MO, 64014
Each of us has the RIGHT to privacy. Nobody has the right to reduce that right to corporate greed/manipulation!

8934. Judy Perkins, De Soto, MO, 63020
I stand with many Americans in that net neutrality should be left alone. Government does not need its grasp on the internet! It is fundamental to our freedom of speech, protects small businesses, and allows the consumer to decide what to watch and do online, not big companies or the government...this is America, land of the free and it needs to remain that way.

8935. Tammy Bosley, Raymore, MO, 64083
Please leave the internet open to one and all without limitations. We are all stronger with the ability to share and innovate independent of big business.

8936. Keshav Patel, Springfield, MO, 65809
I want to protect& Support NET NEUTRALITY for my children

8937. Jules, St. Louis, MO, 63021
Keep the Internet the open and free. Let's not have specialized content for some and different content for others. The government should not be messing with what we can see and what we can't see. This is America.

8938. Mark T Lange, St. Louis, MO, 63034
I am a real 32 year old male from St. Louis. Please do not do this FCC. Protect our internet and keep it open and free. It is the right thing to do. Please!

8939. Hannah Eckes, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Net neutrality is crucial in our day and age. The internet is now a part of what the founders envisioned as the 4th estate. We need net neutrality to ensure a balance of political power in this country and to have any hope to maintain an informed populace.

8940. Chris Hausler, Maplewood, MO, 63143
The internet needs to remain uncensored to protect creativity and progress.

8941. Diane Beers, Licking, MO, 65542
We need net neutrality so that we can research topics and communicate with others around the world freely without control. This is fundamental to self education and development, particularly for us in rural areas.

8942. Keith Edgington, Branson West, MO, 65737
Please preserve net neutrality. Do something for the common man for a change and not big business.

8943. Jesse Blankenship, Ozark , MO, 65721
Net Neutrality is vital to the internet. A free open internet breeds innovation and community. Besides the ethics of allowing companies to control internet usage internet is a right and must be protected. The sharing of ideas through the internet is critical to innovation and any proposal that could limit this would be harmful to our economy and our future.

8944. Megan Morris, Springfield, MO, 65807
Freedom of speech must be protected

8945. Randall Pickens, Union, MO, 63084
We designed it; we paid for it; we will not give it up!!!!

8946. David Houser, Hillsboro, MO, 63050
The internet as it is now with net neutrality is working well and is self-regulating. Thank you for keeping it that way now and in the future. Don't fix something that isn't broken.

8947. Lisa Pritchard, De Soto, MO, 63020
I am a librarian dedicated to providing high quality resources to my community. Net Neutrality is essential for the exchange of ideas necessary for a functioning democracy. Participation in educational, economic, cultural, and civic life should not go to the highest bidder. The backbone of the internet was created with federal funding and we should recognize this public good and support it. Access to the internet is already problematic in rural communities like my own; taking away net neutrality protections will simply amplify the digital divide.

8948. Herbert H. Ray, Farmington, MO, 63645
there are enough controls in life leave the I-net alone. from govt. to how to do business, dont need a corp. determining what we get to view.

8949. Tristan Meck, Parkville, MO, 64152
Individual citizens should have the right to decide the content they see NOT corporations. This would limit innovation and slow growth of startups.

8950. Miles Short, Festus, MO, 63028
I like the choice that net Netrality provides. I don't want to have to buy an "upgrade package / gold plan" to use some of the features I already have access to. I'm not sure what I would gain by you changing this but I'm pretty sure what I might lose.

8951. Robert E Findley, Louisiana, MO, 63353
Quit Screwing with our Constution !!!!!!!!!!!!

8952. Aislinn, Kansas City, MO, 64129
Many people can barely cover the cost of Internet service to stay in touch with family and friends, and you would take that away ~ why?

8953. Diana Eckholdt, Saint Louis, MO, 63107
LEAVE US ALONE. We pay enough already.

8954. Michelle Stoller, Kansas City, MO, 64152
Citizens of the United States should have the right to make their own choices regarding internet searches. Blocking for monetary reasons keep small voices from effecting our national conversation. We need the small voices. So many wonderful ideas and products started small, most of them actually. We need it to effect positive change.

8955. John Zielinski, Maplewood, MO, 63143
The internet is the only place I can feel equal to everyone. Please protect net neutrality so we as a people do not destroy the beautiful frontier of the mind we've built!

8956. Daniel Craig, O'Fallon, MO, 63368
I'm already limited by the number of Internet Service Providers available in my area, I really don't want to think how that service will change if big companies are allowed to manage my usage based on what I browse.

8957. Justin Pfeifer, Hannibal, MO, 63401
Please keep the net as it is; free, neutral, and most importantly an equal venue for the exploration of the people. As it is, the internet provides equal opportunity to all who wish to express their creativity, be entertained or explore the varied avenues of entrepreneurship. Do not change what has helped make so much available to so many.

8958. Sebrina Rogers, Lebanon, MO, 65536
Please keep net neutrality to protect our freedom of speech so big and small businesses and ALL people will have the freedom to choose what they do and view online.

8959. Terry Sankey, Peculiar, MO, 64078
I depend on the internet to find factual information on whatever I'm looking for.

8960. Brian Bingham , Springfield , MO, 65802
Because everyone benefits from fair and equitable access. When corporations choose, customers loose.

8961. Nick Telarico, Springfield, MO, 65807
Restricting access to information is disgusting. The idea that anyone could think taking access to information from our youth, our friends, the very people our country depends on is directly contradictory to the nature of our country.

8962. john moore, st louis, MO, 63116
freedom is the watch word for America, and it certainly applies to the internet. sustain net neutrality

8963. Lou Cowherd , Chillicothe, MO, 64601
This is a really important issue; it's critical that you keep the internet open, healthy and free from corporate control. It speaks to the issue of free speech, protecting small business and allowing consumers to make their own choices about what they do online.

8964. Jacob wall, Independence, MO, 64057
This is not just another pretty written template comment. I support title II net neutrality as imposed by the Obama administration. I believe that an open Internet is anything but and removal of title II would be a giant win for the cable companies who already earn millions and gouge it's users. We need to stop letting greed into our politics.

8965. Dale Eshelman, Kansas City, MO, 64133
The proposal does NOT provide "Restoring Internet Freedom". Proposal::"Many good-paying jobs were lost as the result of these pull backs. And the order has weakened Americansâ€™ online privacy by stripping the Federal Trade Commissionâ€”the nationâ€™s premier consumer protection agencyâ€”of its jurisdiction over ISPsâ€™ privacy and data security practices. " Response::NO--Good paying jobs lost is a myth unsubstantiated by what-if scenarios. The Federal Trade Commission is NOT the premier consumer protection agency. They are CFPB and the FCC. Just file a complaint and you will find out the FTC has no enforcement legal authority and will only investigate IF they receive "enough" complaints. This is exactly what they tell me on the phone. Proposal::"We believe that eliminating the Internet conduct standard will promote network investment and service-related innovation by eliminating the uncertainty caused by vague and undefined regulation. Do commenters agree?" Response::NO--Elimination will only promote the big providers control of internet bandwidth and speed. Which they started developing the process to develop special high speed tunnels creating disadvantage for small ISPs and consumers. This is equivalent to allowing large customers with 800 call centers to pay for priority on phone lines while the small business and consumer would have calls delayed from completion and individuals with long wait times to call another individual. Thanks to present regulations this does not happen. Still today more regulation is required as their is a finite bandwidth and speed and large carriers / ISPs. Example Comcast app to stream TV to your phone. However, they block streaming from the phone to the big screen TV which is used when Comcast TV through box goes out. This is equivalent to taking a call on the telephone and the provider blocking putting the voice on a speaker to hear it better. Proposal::"The Report, and the investigation that preceded it, left Internet service providers with two options: either wait for a regulatory enforcement action that could arrive at some unspecified future point or stop providing consumers with innovative offerings. We seek comment on whether this roving mandate has impacted innovation, and what impact that has had on consumers. We seek comment on whether eliminating this vague standard will spur innovation and benefit consumers. " Response::NO--The innovation is not at all innovation. It would have allowed special favors to certain companies to the detriment of small business and consumers. The enforcement action is appropriate; if you don't follow the rules there will be consequences. This is equivalent to eliminating speed zones because enforcement action could arrive at any specified future time. But...then maybe we should eliminate those regulations and save lots of government spending - signage, radar, law enforcement jobs, attorneys, and judges. Create innovation. Proposal::"75. We propose not to adopt any alternatives to the Internet conduct rule, and we seek comment on this proposal. Is there a need for any general non-discrimination standard in todayâ€™s Internet marketplace? If so, what would that general non-discrimination standard be? The 2014 Notice proposed prohibiting â€œcommercially unreasonable practices.â€175 Should we consider that alternative? Or should we consider another general rule and framework (such as Commission adjudication of non-discrimination complaints)? If we adopt our proposals to eliminate the Internet conduct standard and not to adopt any alternative general requirement, we seek comment on how we can encourage innovative business models that give consumers more choices and lower prices while also promoting consumer freedom on the Internet. " Response::Need Non-discrimination standard in the internet. A lot of money is being spent increasing costs be developing tiers of speeds. Same equipment but a lot of resources used to regulate tiers of speeds to consumers which it unnecessary. It hurts small companies and consumers less able to afford it particularly in rural areas. In addition companies are forcing only electronic downloads of software (example Intuit Turbo Tax) and only electronic transactions and statements - banks, credit card companies, etc. Companies like Walmart force electronic processing of checks - a paper transaction must be process by paper process. More regulation is needed to be sure those small, rural, non internet people are not discriminated against. Proposal::"Do we have reason to think providers would behave differently today if the Commission were to eliminate the no-blocking rule? Is the no-blocking rule necessary for or burdensome on smaller providers? " Response::"How does the rule benefit consumers, and what are its costs? When is â€œthrottlingâ€ harmful to consumers? Does the no-throttling rule prevent providers from offering broadband Internet access service with differentiated prioritization that benefits consumers? Does the no-throttling rule harm latency-sensitive applications and content? Does it prevent product differentiation among

ISPs? If we eliminate the no-blocking rule" Response::Throttling is most harmful to small companies, rural areas, consumers. It costs companies and ISPs to purchase sophisticated equipment to throttle. It harms consumers. Example. Sprint has had inadequate service for 10 years in rural Wisconsin and allows data roaming. Yet when I use the internet over cell service they throttle and then block internet usage. Leaving consumer without any access to communications over internet. It is just the opposite. Throttling harms latency and servers time out while waiting to process a transaction and log out. Proposal::85. Need for the No Paid Prioritization Rule. The Commission concluded in the Title II Order that â€œfast lanesâ€ or â€œpaid prioritizationâ€ practices â€œharm consumers, competition, and innovation, as well as create disincentives to promote broadband deployment.â€189 The Commission adopted this ex ante flat ban on individual negotiations to address an apparently nonexistent problem. The ban on paid prioritization did not exist prior to the Title II Order and even then the record evidence confirmed that no such rule was needed since several large Internet service providers made it clear that that they did not engage in paid prioritization190 and had no plans to do so.191 We seek comment on the continued need for such a rule and our authority to retain it. Response::Thank you for someone stepping in to prevent this from happening. It would have given content providers more speed and bandwidth of a fixed total amount. Who loses - small companies, rural area, and consumers. In addition the content providers will be asked to pay higher prices for the privilege which will be passed on to these same groups. Overall there needs to be better and more enforcement authority to maintain net neutrality. Tiered speed pricing by ISPs is equal to throttling. Companies are innovating at a faster pace because of net neutrality. They are bringing innovation to the masses rather than just a few.

8966. Test Person, St. Louis, MO, 63102
Placeholder

8967. jeffery L Belden MB, Columbia, MO, 65201
To the FCC commissionersI strongly oppose Pai's plan to gut net neutrality rules. **My relationship with the Internet**I'm a family physician with 40 years of practice in Mid-Missouri with a number of elderly and rural patients. I also work part time as a clinical informatics cyst with software development teams and physician training to use their electronic health records. I'm very informed on the technical, social, cultural, and regulatory aspects of broadband communications on the Internet. Many of my rural patients do not have access to broadband Internet.**Broadband access**I have a single broadband Internet provider available and Mid-Missouri, media COM. The pricing has been noncompetitive. The nearest competition includes the telephone company with DSL that is considerably slower, and satellite Internet services that are both slower and far more unreliable. I myself am on a neighborhood node which slows down considerably in the evening when more people are streaming Netflix and other video content. Allowing ISPs to throttle or preferentially price bandwidth would make the situation worse.** role of Internet service providers**I am using Internet services for fast reliable Internet access. I don't rely on my Internet provider for email, or other digital services. I consider them to be a dumb pipe just like my electricity and water utilities. I need them to work consistently and reliably as advertised, keeping up with modern technology. I'm very aware that the US has among the highest Internet service prices and lowest speed and reliability in the developed world. ** Other FCC authority**I believe the FCC should have the authority to monitor and sanction fraudulent billing, price gouging, and privacy invasion. Without FCC oversight authority, the ISPs have clearly demonstrated, based on past experience, they would do non-competitive practices.

8968. Andrew Stanek, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
I want a free and open internet protected by title 2. I am taking a stand to save Net Neutrality.

8969. James Ashby, Florissant, MO, 63033
I strongly support the net neutrality act. You don't have to be versed in the laws and regulations to see that the internet is our last free form of speech and getting rid of net neutrality is the first among many other steps that will leave the common people on the shit end of the stick. In God we trust, it's God we need, but God can't fix stupid.

8970. Helena Webb, Ballwin, MO, 63011
I oppose changing the rules on Net neutrality. We need to keep Net neutrality. Don't give even more power to the rich. Don't take away freedoms of the little guy. Thank you.

8971. Joshua Brickel, University City, MO, 63132
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!!! Having this revoked will be bad for small businesses as it would for the ISPs to allow for "fast lanes" to those companies that can pay their fees. But small start-up businesses will be less able to afford these fees. In addition ISPs in most areas are monopolies, and they either should be broken up under anti-trust regulations, or be classified as Utilities. Yes, there are some areas with competition, but a lot of places do not have any. And those areas with competition are often just two companies.

8972. Marcia Carol Miller, Ozark, MO, 65721
Dear FCC,Net neutrality is a basic human rights issue. We, the People of the United States of America, are entitled to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. Any changes to the current net neutrality will infringe on these inalienable rights.Life:We the People must be able to access any and all information on biology, healthcare, treatments, and medicine. We do not accept that this access could be limited to only those sites that pay for extra speed or that alternate or experimental information sites could be throttled to decrease the number of us getting the information we need to continue to live our healthiest life. Liberty:We the People must be allowed the liberty to pursue whatever information, education, or career we desire. We must be able to access ALL sites at the speed of service we pay for. Without this ability, why would we pay good money for high-speed internet access if it only worked some of the time? Businesses who provide high-speed internet would lose out because We the People would be less willing to pay a premium if it wasnâ€™t really premium.The Pursuit of Happiness:We the People must be able to pursue happiness on the internet. We must be able to start small businesses, work from home, watch videos, listen to music, engage in social media, participate in politics, read books and papers, and any other of the almost limitless things we can now pursue online. Without net neutrality, our rights will inherently be infringed upon.How this affects me: I am a freelance writer/editor and designer. I use a lot of sites that might be throttled because they are small or because they contain content that some folks (like my ISP) might not like.I deserve equal respect online, but if net neutrality rules change, I will automatically be less respected since, in this situation, respect equals speed. I deserve the speed I pay for and my readers and customers deserve respect (speed), too.Net neutrality = equal rights. The Constitution and the Bill of Rights of United States of

America says that I am guaranteed these rights. The tech community understands this, which is why they support net neutrality.You (the FCC) are a government agency whose duty it is to uphold the Constitution and the Bill of Rights for all the people of the United States of America. Please do so.Net Neutrality = Equal RightsSincerely,Marcia Carol Miller

8973. Marvin Wolfe, Park Hills, MO, 63601
If not neutrality is not kept in place! I will shut down ALL of my web services !! EVERY LAST ONE OF THEM !! It either stays neutral..... or I AM DONE WITH IT !! You want to advertise to me, sell me anything .....want me to BUY ANYTHING off the web .....you better leave it alone !! That is my last word on the matter!!Marvin Wolfe

8974. Stanford A. Griffith, Risco, MO, 63874
Why is Net Neutrality even up for debate? The flow of information must be free and equal. The concept that only large content providers are worthy of getting out their message while small providers, individuals, businesses, and nonprofits are all but silenced by considerably slower speeds goes against every tenant of freedom and logic I can think of â€”Â at least those outside of community regimes. This is an area that needs no regulation except that the law is Net Neutrality for all. That's it. This minimizes regulation and maximums freedom, and the marketplace both of ideas and commerce. Please do not allow a few giants to control the Web.

8975. Karis Wilson, Rogersville, MO, 65742
The internet is the most important tool invented in the history of all mankind that has simultaneously utilized the freedoms of speech, expression, and assembly (in a virtual sense) all at once, and the only creation to ever do so on such a massive scale. Its very existence, its free exchange of ideas and beliefs, and the communication employed through it allows people access to a level of freedom like never before. Today, even in oppressive nations such as North Korea where internet use is heavily restricted, it is still serviced by many to connect to the outside world and learn of many things they would otherwise never come into contact with under their totalitarian regime. For people like that, the internet is not just the greatest expression of freedom in their life but the only expression. I believe this analogy demonstrates the crucial significance that is the need for net neutrality. Although we live in a nation that it not at all like that of the DPRK, the internet is still the common individual's best way to exercise his constitutional freedoms in the modern era. It is imperative for the future of freedom in the 21st century and liberty's transition into the digital age that we protect net neutrality. You must not allow corporations to regulate the public with their arbitrary and elitist censorship. To do so would be unconstitutional, restrictive, and generally unamerican. With all the fiber of my being I urge for you men and women of Congress and the FCC to make the right decision.Sincerely,Your average 17 year old Midwesterner Karis Wilson

8976. Asher Tillman, Springfield, MO, 65806
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and are ultimately beneficial for the nation as a whole. Allowing Internet Service Providers (ISPs) to monopolize the Internet would be blatantly wrong for many reasons, and it would be a gigantic step in the opposite direction of "making America great again".I do not want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant, politically-biased ISPs censor what see or don't see, do or don't do.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. For example: Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.As such, I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.We, the American people, to whom you owe your position and to whom you must listen and accordingly respond, are imploring you to protect our online freedom and keep from further corporate domination. Please stand united with us and take the appropriate actions.Thank you.Asher Tillman

8977. Dylan DeClue, Hannibal, MO, 63401
I support an open internet. I as an American voter I support the preservation of net neutrality and title two.

8978. Regina Salvador, SPRINGFIELD, MO, 65807
Net neutrality is important for my future. It allows people of differing ideas to communicate and organize. This is not called the age of information for no reason. Without net neutrality, those information lines will be crippled.

8979. Griffen Melvin, Kansas City, MO, 64111
This is an effort by telecom/cable companies to stifle innovation bc their old model is being outpaced by the future and they're allergic to evolution. The internet is the innovation the industrial revolution could never have imagined (albeit an essential precondition). Allowed to operate as it does currently there will continue to be an exponential improvement in the quality of life for all. As Elenor Roosevelt said it's better for everyone when it's better for everyone.

8980. David Peck, Springfield, MO, 65809
I support a free and open Internet. Please don't let carriers create levels of service and reduce the average persons access to the Internet.

8981. Mark Standridge, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
Net Neutrality is crucial for our nation's innovators and pioneers. Allowing cable monopolies to hamper the creative people of the US will be a significant disadvantage globally. Also, failing to protect the internet from the monopolies will enrage the voters. Do the right thing and support net neutrality.Mark Standridge

8982. Marie Strohl, Kansas city, MO, 64155
My family is against net neutrality. Ajit Pai has a SEVERE conflict of interest as your lead of the FCC. I'm sorry, but I don't think anyone agrees with this and you know it. It's deceiving to the public at large. Pai's argument that repealing net neutrality would not result in "hypothetical" situation where ISP's and other companies would take advantage of you is naive. Hmmm...the rules for my company will now allow me to do things to make more money from my customers, buuuut I think I just won't do that. YEAH RIGHT!!! As Oliver stated on his show, it will be ISP Fuckery at it's finest and everyone knows it. LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE.

8983. Ryan Rupp, O Fallon, MO, 63368
I dont like being told how I can use the service I pay for. The net NEEDS to remain neutral. Could you imagine if you had to pay extra to use all your light bulbs in a fixture? This is silly and asinine.ISPs already suck with the damn near monopolies they have currently. There is barely any competition in that space to begin with.Now on top of there being zero options who we get internet through now i can get charged based on how I use it? Give me a break.Oppose any changes that Comcast Verizon or ATT want to implement in THEIR favor.Sincerely, Ryan James RuppRyan Rupp

8984. Melinda Irie, Raymore, MO, 64083
Save the Internet and free market.

8985. Tyler Mack, Imperial, MO, 63052
Getting rid of Net Neutrality will ultimately hurt businesses and US citizens. Internet usage should not be restricted by ISP.

8986. Jared Bates, Forsyth, MO, 65653
Throttling and censorship defeats what was a major selling point of the web. I hate it when internet providers throttle certain sites and get paid to speed up others.

8987. William Swyers, St. Louis, MO, 63126
Hi, I am William and I support net neutrality because without it, my family could be put in serious debt. My mom is a paralegal at Behr, Mccarter, and Potter and she works from home a lot because she has arthritis which severely limits her abilities, such as getting up, walking , going to work, etc. So she uses the internet to work on billing sheets, manuscripts, and other important documents to get to her employers. If the internet bills dramatically increase in price out of no where then my family would struggle to pay those costs. We already have the electric, gas, water, student loans, cable bills so taking away more of our money could put us into debt or we won't pay for ridiculous internet prices which could lead to my mom getting fired which would lead us to having our income split in half which would lead to severe depression and less money to the utility companies. So please, vote for net neutrality so my family can survive in this economy.

8988. Mason Clayton, Florissant, MO, 63033
I need the internet for my class, my work, my friends and family, my passion. Taking away the internet is taking away a lot of resource for this modern era. What you are doing is wrong.

8989. Deward James Absher II, Kansas City, MO, 64118
Net neutrality has allowed me to play games with my friends online while at the same time communicating with them over a chat service like Discord or Skype. If the cable companies can control which data I get, and at what speeds I get it, I fear that I would then have to switch to sub-par chat services, be unable to play games online because a company such as Blizzard doesn't want to pay extra for "super fast" speeds for their servers that they can already get. I was able to use Blackboard through my College to be able to graduate with an Associates of Arts that I otherwise wouldn't have been able to get, since a couple of my classes were at night* that I wouldn't have been able to complete otherwise.*which I wouldn't be able to get to -- public transportation stopped going to and from the community college at 6pm, and I couldn't afford to buy a car and insurance at the time. Biking five miles to/from the college took an hour and a half and was so exhausting that I fell asleep immediately after the one time I tried it. Not to mention how sore my legs were after I woke up -- I could barely walk for the next day.When I buy access to the internet, I expect to be buying internet with the speeds mentioned, to anywhere on the Internet that is publicly accessible. I expect to be able to get to the places I want to go without my speeds being manipulated by an outside (of my computer) source. I expect to have some layer or illusion that what I view is private so long as I'm not breaking federal laws or committing a felony. My choices for internet access are limited to Google Fiber, and Time Warner Cable/Spectrum. Before google fiber came into being, I had one choice. TWC/Spectrum was a terrible service, but for 10 years we had to pay for it for internet access. They constantly had times where things went slower than they said they would (my download speed was often 5 mbps down and 1 mbps up when I was paying for 25-50 mbps down and 5 mbps up). In addition, when I called to ask them to fix it they could literally "boost" the speed within ten minutes, but they didn't keep that boost all the time. There were times when the internet and phone would go out, and we couldn't contact them at all, and they wouldn't send anyone out to fix it for an entire week -- if they even noticed it before someone got fed up with them lollygagging and had neighbors from a block down the street with the same service call them to get them to fix it. If I had to switch carriers, I would have to take a couple days off work, buy and rent a modem from them (up to $100 dollars, with a $5-10 dollar charge monthly), and have parts of my housing drilled through to accommodate their wires.The FCC is a Communications Committee, right? The Internet is the largest Communications network in the world -- since it covers the entirety of the world. The FCC should, logically, have oversight over something that it is, by definition, supposed to oversee. If nothing else, make a sub-division called something like the "Federal Intranet Oversight Committee" that deals solely with the World Wide Web/Internet.

8990. Holt Skinner, Columbia, MO, 65201
I am writing in support of Title 2 Net Neutrality.

8991. Tiffany Liu, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
The progression of humanity as a species is irrevocably intertwined with the freedom and preservation of the modern internet. I am in favor of protecting the open internet with legislation that will codify the principles of transparency. This implies freedom from blocking, censorship, and discrimination. I strongly urge the Congressional approval of legislation that will block corporations from limiting consumer actions on the internet in favor of financial gain. Ultimately, the reversal of net neutrality regulations will revoke freedom of

speech and silence the people through forced ignorance.

8992. Ryan O'Neal, O Fallon, MO, 63366
Dear who it may concern, I do believe and declare that if the system and concept of net neutrality is removed from activity of the internet it would not benefit any of the people, but make using the internet an unfair and expensive struggle. There are only a few people who would wish for net neutrality to be abolished and that is the ISP owners. Net neutrality protects the people who use the internet from the ISPs who wish to take their money. To take net neutrality away would mean the ISPs getting a higher monopoly at the people's expense. I wish for you to keep net neutrality for everyday people like me.Ryan O'Neal

8993. Caleb VanAssche, West Plains, MO, 65775
The internet is a beautiful place of memes, freedom, and straight up insanity. If you mean to take this freedom away from us, then by all means, please don't because that would be very rude.

8994. Tyler Wyatt Durgan, Springfield, MO, 65803
I know you've read a lot of copy-paste letters from this website, and believe me when I say the preset email here does absolutely hold points that I wholeheartedly agree with. However, quality should come before quantity, so it'll help if I tell you my own issues with this.If net neutrality is taken, the internet will only be more beneficial for ISPs, and while normally I do not care what goes on with large companies, it actively harms the consumer and the common man. Smaller sites that provide free services like Discord will be charged simply for their presence on the internet, or be forced into slow lanes or simply blocked altogether. I mention Discord in specific because I use it as a primary method of communication with friends and family. In many cases, due to the lack of one of the involved parties not having a phone plan or something of the sort, it is the only thing I have. I do not have the money to pay more to have sites that I want, and while it may not fall on me but rather the website itself, I'd argue that to be even worse. If a site like Discord simply becomes inaccessible due to the frankly horrendous plans that ISPs have once net neutrality is down, then I do not know what I will do. Dear loved ones of mine, I can assure you, will be lost to me. Severed from contact and not allowed to me simply because the ISP doesn't get some amount of money that they do not even need. I am deeply scared of losing these people close to my own heart, and while I'm sure you're receiving many letters saying much the same, I urge you to realize that, in the end, that simply means that more people feel that strongly about this. That many people have passions, friends, and family that they may express online, and are so afraid of losing them. While quality may be more important than quantity, I urge you to consider the quantity of the quality you've been provided.Thank you for reading my letter, if you have, and please keep Net Neutrality in place. I'm greatly afraid of what may happen if it isn't.Tyler Wyatt Durgan

8995. Melissa Middleswart, Maryville, MO, 64468
We need net neutrality, so please, keep it the way it is. All will be much better if you do. Thank you.Melissa MiddleswartMelissa Middleswart

8996. Katherine Schleicher, St. Louis, MO, 63109
We in this house support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and do not want any changemade to ISP oversight. Corporations should not have any say in the public's online expression. If our freedom of expression is protected from government control, it should also be protected from corporate control.

8997. Gabriel Delgado, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
When you have this many people and corporations going against you obviously messed up. The fact that we have to fight for this makes me sick

8998. Derrick, Maryville, MO, 64468
This would ruin so many sites and businesses if they were slowed down. This would be horrible. People would be wasting money if they bought high speed internet and not be able to use it. Derrick

8999. Sarah Maddocks, Joplin, MO, 64804
I support the classification of isp under title 2 and i support the open internet through net neutrality. If you roll that back it only allows for fuckery by isps. Comcast is already throttling my internet speed because we are gamers. I dont want them filtering my content too.

9000. Joshua Thornhill, Ferguson, MO, 63135
In our modern age, the internet has become a social and economic breeding ground. Ideas, information, innovation, artwork, and so much more are communicated digitally. The freedom to use the internet without restriction is as important as the freedom of speech, and I would argue that the line between these two rights will grow increasingly indistinct over the next decade. Keep the internet free and open.

9001. Ariel Childers, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
Net Neutrality is vital to fostering a healthy democracy in this interconnected age. What people see and search for should not be hampered by the amount on money they're able to pay to ISPs for access to premium content. To strike down Net Neutrality will have terrible consequences for our nation and make us less able to innovate and compete with other countries. Please protect Net Neutrality and support small businesses, innovation and freedom.

9002. Noah, Half Way, MO, 65663
hello!i'm just going to straight forward and try not to be rude but i really do not like this rule, just keep everything how it is, thanks!Noah

9003. Rachel Julia Beck, Ashland, MO, 65010
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me, and many other users of the internet. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Because when you are a person living in a rural area,

the best you can get is 5mb down. If it were to slow down websites, or even block some, it would impede my ability to do research for school projects or essays, which in result, would give me worse grades in classes and possibly even fail me from getting scholarships of any kind into college.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs impede on the Americans first Amendment, censoring what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Possibly allowing larger companies to gain monopolies over certain areas.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. Which could lead to manipulation to show you only bad things about political leaders that your ISP does not like, resulting in a manipulation over who the American citizens elect for anything. Forever changing our Democratic Republic system which we hold in place to allow everyone their rights such as freedom, and liberty.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Rachel Julia Beck

9004. Chase Baker, Brookfield, MO, 64628
I, a citizen of the United States and ultimately one of the many who will determine if your boss stays in office, (Ajit Pai, this is talking to you- I determine if YOUR boss stays in office)- I support the Title II restrictions. They were put in place because the Title I ones could not be enforced, and I do not wish to revert back to unenforcement. I support Title II restrictions, and you should too.

9005. Collin West, st louis, MO, 63138
I am against Chairman Ajit Paiâ€™s scheme to destroy Net Neutrality. The FCC needs to take steps to stop big isp monopolies from trying to seize the internet and turn it into some garbage premium service that censors everything you cant pay for.

9006. Logan, Grain Valley, MO, 64029
I'm Guessing this is a demand on the FCC from president trump in an effort to protect his image and control social media .The FCC should be the ones fighting for Network Neutrality and not against it otherwise certain groups of people will simply take control of the system into their own hands regardless of new dictations and regulations. It has been proven that Americans as a whole will not take this statue lying down.

9007. Allen P Allbright, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
There will be no escaping the monopoly practices. If Net neutrality is ended. I pay for my internet and they should not get to charge me twice. Look at cable TV for an example. To get one channel that you want, they force multiple channels on you that you don't want. Out internet service providers don't do as good a job as they do in Europe, don't reward them for their poor performance. Allen P Allbright

9008. Diana Hughes, Raytown, MO, 64133
We MUST maintain net neutrality for our internet!!!

9009. Amy Watrous, Columbia, MO, 65201
WHY don't you put the interest of American citizens AHEAD of the interests of huge corporations? We ARE paying attention, and we WILL be seeing you at the voting booths on each and EVERY one of your election days!

9010. Carol Douglas, Kansas City, MO, 64114
STOP Congress from destroying our net neutrality. This is another attempt by the Trump administration to bar the citizenery from responsibile internet.

9011. Sheila Miller, Saint Louis, MO, 63103
The FCC needs to stand up for Internet users like me and keep the net neutrality rules that are already in effect. I'm concerned about ISPs being allowed to discriminate against certain types of data or websites because ISPs could have too much power to determine what I can do online. That's not the kind of Internet we want to pass on to future generations of technology users. Please keep the current open internet rules in place.' Thank you!

9012. Maryellen Suits, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
The Internet was founded on the principle of access by everyone. Let's keep it that way.

9013. Tricia Straub, Columbia, MO, 65201
Please let the truth be heard!

9014. Kristopher Kriner, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Free internet is free speech.

9015. Linda Swaty, Saint Louis, MO, 63122
Net neutrality is essential for our democracy. It sets us apart from repressive governments. Join me in my desire to protect it.

9016. Margaret Croner, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
We need to look to the future for our children and grandchildren. Therefore, it is imperative that we keep the current open internet rules

in place. It's important that in this day and time that the FCC stand up for internet users like myself. In so doing our children and grandchildren will be protected and not be discriminated against.

9017. Dr. Thomas ALLEN, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
There is reason to fear for our democracy when officials act on behalf of a few in contradiction to the wishes of 70% of the populace. Which is the case here!

9018. Karen Rapp, Parkville, MO, 64152
America NEEDs an OPEN and FREE INTERNET in order to be an innovative country.Innovations fuels our economy!Americans do not need punishment by slowing the internet which will stifle our knowledge and interaction..Americans WILL NOT APPROVE of TRUMP'S FCC slowing and censoring their INTERNET or of the FCC that allows CORPORATIONS to choose WHO and WHEN to SLOW down internet downloads, or of letting wealthier Americans being able to stream everything because they can pay, while the poorer Americans get the slowest internet. It's NOT FAIR. Profit is not the only thing that should be considered. America as a whole is the thing that counts the most.The Internet is an amazing tool for everyone in the world and if you think you can keep it closed or slowed so that corporations can profit or conservatives who want constituents to remain ignorant or anti-American, then go ahead and do it and see if America remains the kind of place you want to live in. See if your party keeps control, because they won't.Our country needs--- NEW VOICES in order to provide cutting-edge, state-of-the-art products to the world now and in future in order to make it in the future!.Please save Net Neutrality and Keep the Internet the same as it is now...open and free flowing of ideas and minds.

9019. Don Christofferson, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
It's not broken, leave it alone. Don't change the internet., Save our internet as is.

9020. Brenda Hill, Greenwood, MO, 64034
The American Public has been squeezed and hurt for so long. Do not take away the net neutrality rules~this would hurt so many people if you do.

9021. Mike Brown, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
Preserve net neutrality.Keep the playing field level. It's the right thing to do!

9022. Kate Meyer, Fenton, MO, 63026
Keep the playing field level and fair.

9023. Lois Sanders, Kansas City, MO, 64119
The internet should be fair and safe., The internet should be fair and safe., The internet should be fair and safe.

9024. Kevun Houke, Kansas City, MO, 64114
The FCC needs to stand up for Internet users like me and keep the net neutrality rules already in effect. I'm concerned about ISPs being allowed to discriminate against certain types of data or websites because ISPs could have too much power to determine what I can do online. That's not the kind of Internet we want to pass on to future generations of technology users. Please keep the current open Internet rules in place.

9025. Stephanie Bodenhausen, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Please keep net neutrality safeguards in place via TItle 2 oversight. An even playing field is more important than the profits of ISPs, which are doing just fine. Support small businesses and American innovation by ensuring equal access on the internet.Thank you.

9026. Richard Lundh, Springfield, MO, 65807
It is a case of trying to FIX something that is not broken. Ah but there is a reason for the effort, there is an agenda to be met for the 'RIGHT'ers'. There must be a 'Class' distinction created by a profit factor. Open Equality is not in the realm of OLIGARKY.

9027. Joyce Schulte, Columbia, MO, 65202
The internet needs to stay where everyone can access it!

9028. Robert Haslag, Centertown, MO, 65023
It is not your choice whom I share my information with. How many different ways do I have to express that. Just because AT&T and Comcast DO NOT GET TO MAKE THAT CHOICE NO MATTER HOW MUCH MONEY THEY GIVE YOU,

9029. Alice Wright, Kansas City, MO, 64155
It is critically important to have access for all - not just the ones with monetary resources or their geographic location. Having everyone's voice is foundational to our democracy.

9030. Lori Eltzholtz, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
As more retail moves to the internet, Net Neutrality protects the ability of Main Street online to compete with the larger companies and corporations that could buy their way to the first several pages of results. Not many people look through every page of their search results. Try this: use Mont Blanc ink as your search, then see which page The Pen Place shows up now. I know that retail store carries Mont Blanc ink. Now imagine you are searching for a more common item. See how many pages of results you get. Now a small company might appear on results page one. Without Net Neutrality, they would be buried deep into the search. Please try this now. You need to be educated on how things are now, before you should be willing to vote against Net Neutrality. The big players are already on page one of results. Instead of paying the cable companies for a spot they already have, companies and the rest of us would be better off by jobs being available or pay raises than paying to be first in an internet search.

9031. Fr. / Dr. Larry Stockman, Glencoe, MO, 63038
Critically Important! Americans demand an 'Open INTERNET System'

9032. Maggie, St. Louis, MO, 63117
I live somewhere where there are only a few ISP options. Having lived in smaller towns, previously, the options are even more limited to people in rural areas. Net neutrality ensures access to fast internet even among these limited options. As a librarian, we access the internet constantly to not only help us do our jobs but to help access information to our patrons. Not only that, but library patrons, who maybe cannot afford internet access at home, need the ability to swiftly and easily access what they need while at the library. Please stand strong against ISPs just wanting to make more money and gain more power. Please stand up for the people.

9033. Dr Nellie K Owen, Centralia, MO, 65240
Isn't this administration and the politicians taking away enough of our freedoms for profit of the 1%, big oil, big pharma, and their own pocket books? Please stop this from going through!

9034. Justin Watley, Lee's Summit, MO, 64064
I am writing to request the FCC Preserve Net Neutrality, keep the internet open with equal access to online information and services. Please support strong net neutrality and title 2.

9035. Amy Teghtmeyer, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
I strongly urge a vote in favor of protecting and preserving Net Neutrality

9036. Emily Spreitzer, Kearney, MO, 64060
This will harm growth of the economy and only benefits a select few.

9037. Nils Palumbo, Kirksville, MO, 63501
I support retaining the classification of internet service providers as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Chairman Ajit Pai's claim that ISPs did not block or restrict access to competing sites and services before the reclassification in 2015 is manifestly untrue, as is his claim that Title II has reduced network investment (according to statements from ISPs to their investors). Chairman Pai claims in his dissenting statement to that reclassification that increasing the FCC's power to regulate the Internet reduces its freedom and openness. Instead, a lack of strong regulations would promote a lack of competition, giving ISPs far too much control and power over the Internet. This, naturally, would reduce the freedom and openness of the Internet. Additionally, Chairman Pai's recent declaration that he intends to ignore these statements by citizens supporting net neutrality is undemocratic and demonstrates the influence of his continued bias in favor of ISPs.

9038. Emlen Brown, Kansas City, MO, 64111
My name is Emlen Brown, and I use the internet for many things, be it school, work, family or personal affairs. I use many sites, from huge corporate sites to dumpy servers in Bermuda, but I expect to be able to access them all equally. The internet is by far the most complex human achievement in history, and has changed the way we go about our day to day lives. In the spirit of liberty and freedom and equal opportunity that this country brings to its people, I urge you to not give ISPs the right to remove my freedom in accessing what I like when I like it at a reasonable speed. I like to believe that we value equal opportunity in this nation, and repealing the Net Neutrality Act would be a step in the wrong direction to maintain said freedom and opportunity. Thank you for your time.Emlen Brown

9039. Daniel Hamill, St. Louis, MO, 63121
Title II regulation is burdensome and unnecessary. It limits competition, and hurts innovation and investment. Therefore, I support FCC Chairman Ajit Paiâ€™s rollback of Obamaâ€™s Internet takeover.

9040. Sandra M Dillon, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
Keep current net neutrality rules. It is essential that the FCC protect the speed of internet for all uses, whether a small business, a huge corporation or a private residence. The FCC should continue Congress' Internet policy in law to keep the internet unregulated and open to the free market.

9041. James Stolte, Oak Grov, MO, 64075
The internet is our only true freedom. Do not remove our voice like North Korea has done to their people. Big business has too much control as it is and they do not have our best interests in their minds or heart, they want a nice paycheck and to be able to control what people say about their company.

9042. Andrea Lawless, Columbia, MO, 65203
The internet needs to remain an affordable public utility and not be allowed to be taken over by corporate interest and greed. It will only hurt our nation further by continuing to widen the gap between those who have and those who have not, choking off resources, education, and access to employment opportunities.

9043. Ryan Southard, Harrisonville, MO, 64701
I strongly oppose Chairman Pai's proposal to reverse net neutrality protections because a free and open internet is vital for our democracy, for our businesses, and for our daily lives. It would give giant internet companies the power to prioritize what we read, watch, and explore online. I won't stand for it. It's about my right to be heard and my right to hear others. I submit my public comment to oppose Chairman Pai's proposal to reverse net neutrality protections

9044. Vanessa Brinkman, Springfield, MO, 65807
I am a college student attending a community college, where the low costs and financial aid enable me to further my education without going into severe debt.My college uses Canvas and Blackboard, educational websites, as well as an online system for financial aid, registering for classes, student email, etc. Fortunately, my current internet costs are low and I can use these websites.If you chose to destroy Net Neutrality, I will no longer be able to afford internet. Myself, and my family (two of whom are also students), WILL NO LONGER BE ABLE TO AFFORD INTERNET. You read that right, we won't be able to afford a basic commodity. I will no longer be able to complete my classwork, I will no longer be able to search for jobs, and I will no longer be able to simply surf the internet.Do you see a problem? If you don't, you are part of the epidemic of politicians padding their own pockets at the cost of the American people. If

you do see a problem, DO SOMETHING. Please, keep net neutrality. Do not destroy the internet.

9045. James Penrod, St. louis, MO, 63123
I voted for Trump. BUT, I do NOT agree that interfering with the internet by the government is a sound business process. Create net neutrality and level the playing field for everyone! Draconian rules etc. are NEVER warranted. We put up with that crap for 8 years. It's time to take action FOR THE PEOPLE, not against the people!!

9046. aric holmes, Raymore, MO, 64083
If you go through with this, then you are openly inviting censorship on a mass scale. Don't be corrupt.aric holmes

9047. Ron Wohlgemuth, Carrollton, MO, 64633
Quit being greedy and trying to overstep your bounds. Quit trying to save people from themselves. You have absolutely NO right to attempt to make money from everyone and everything in existence.

9048. Kathy Mead, St. Louis, MO, 63119
I strongly oppose Commissioner Pai's plan to reclassify the oversight of ISP's under Title I. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight.

9049. Elizabeth Radetic, Washington, MO, 63090
The current net neutrality rules should remain in place, along with the current classification of internet service providers as "common carriers."It is not appropriate for ISPs to interfere with our internet traffic. We cannot possibly have an "open" internet when ISPs are able to dictate which sites, services or content we can access. On the internet, we currently have an abundance of choices in services such as streaming video and online shopping. Allowing ISPs to favor or restrict specific offerings will only reduce the variety and competition in online services and content that we enjoy today.Furthermore, there is not enough competition among wireline ISPs to allow consumers to switch providers in the event that an ISP were to block or slow down services or content. For example, many of my co-workers who live in nearby towns have a single DSL provider as their only option for home internet access. If this provider were to limit access to particular services or content, my co-workers would have no choice but to accept the restrictions. In effect, without net neutrality protection, ISPs would be able to extend their monopolies beyond internet access and into the many areas of online content and services where we currently enjoy healthy competition.Existing net neutrality rules provide essential protection to all internet users, and the "Title II" classification is necessary for keeping them in place. I am not a member of any special interest group, but I have been following the net neutrality issue for several years. It is readily apparent that the "Title II" classification is the only way that net neutrality provisions can be enforced. In the past, the FCC made multiple attempts to justify net neutrality rules in other ways, but they were all struck down in court. Leaving the existing rules in place, with the existing classification as "common carriers," is the best way to ensure that all users can enjoy a free and open internet.

9050. Erin Honeyball, Independence, MO, 64050
Net Neutrality is essential to freedom of information in the digital age. Reversal of this policy will disproportionately impact those with low and fixed incomes, who could not afford more privileged access to high-demand services and information.

9051. Bailey Dodson, Independence, MO, 64055
The net is a human right that should never be controlled by a government or business. Just like the galaxies should never be ruled and the human mind should never be manipulated. Businesses and governments have breached too far and we are reaching a point where many Americans want to even re-establish a new government for the people. It's all about big business anymore, and this is only hurting the average American even more. Bailey Dodson

9052. Josh Silvey, Saint Louis, MO, 63105
I urge you to keep the internet free, and open as our society intended. There should be no special treatment for any particular website, whether it's bandwidth or taxes. I recently became frustrated with Charter Cable over a 30% increase in my cable price. However, due to a regional monopoly, there are no other choices for internet access. I have since canceled my account in protest of price gouging and net neutrality concerns and I'm now solely connect through my cellphone service plan.This indicates that the system is broken and competition needs to remain alive. The internet needs to stay open, free, and available to all at a reasonable price, in a variety of service plan options. The most expensive plan or nothing is a poor option.Thanks for your time.Josh Silvey

9053. Christine Greene, St. Louis, MO, 63123
In order to keep the rights of free speech and prevent government censorship, net neutrality must be kept. Without big companies and the government will decide what we see and what we don't. This will lead to an America uneducated, stifled and ruined.

9054. Renae Barkema, Columbia, MO, 65202
I am filing to express my strong opposition to the destruction of net neutrality. In this time of potential civil war and trumped up, ill gotten pre-authoritarianism, this country needs complete net neutrality. The people of this country will not and cannot tolerate one more corporate take over of our information and freedom f speech.

9055. Thomas Roscetti, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
Leave net neutrality alone. The internet should continue to be regulated under Title II.I use the internet to look at political commentary. If service providers are allowed to give a "fast lane" to websites that pay a fee for this, then small scale political websites that cannot afford the fee may be shut down, This would harm our democracy.FCC should provide oversight of internet providers to protect consumers and their privacy.

9056. dorian, Independence, MO, 64055
No one should have control over what anyone does on the internet.

9057. Scott Gabriel Medina Jr., Belton, MO, 64012

The concept and existence of net neutrality is important to millions of citizens, myself included, across the country and elsewhere. The ability to freely access and use the Internet and its limitless content has shaped a generation. It has paved way forms of communication, expression, and other forms of progressive action never before seen in history.To allow big cable companies to control what we can immediately access, and to remove this freedom provided to us, is a severe hindrance to both our day-to-day and overall lives. People will have to pay more to access their favorite individual websites, and for those already struggling this would be an even more problematic issue. This throttling also has a crucial effect on the countless groups of people who have taken to the Internet to freely express their thoughts, talents, and creativity, and likewise have established business and made a living off of it. To limit what he can see and access on here would be to snuff out a grand majority of these groups and potentially destroy the livelihoods of those who call the web their own.The Internet is a massive system of interconnected minds who can contact, share, communicate, and express with one another in ways that could only be imagined long ago. Millions of diverse individuals have established their territory in this place for a plethora of different reasons, yet at the same time will stand together to defend their ground from the threat of deconstructing net neutrality. And while there is the visible share questionable and potentially offensive content, in the case of the Internet as a whole community the good greatly outweighs and stomps out the bad.On the behalf of millions of users, hundreds of websites, and myself, who have all banded together to stand their ground for freedom and fairness, please reconsider this uprooting of net neutrality in favor of cable companies. Leave the Internet as it is: a free network, a virtual world, a society that lets people be who they want to be. Don't make it so that we only have what is picked and chosen for us.Scott Gabriel Medina Jr.

9058. Dustin Lee Eye, MINERAL POINT, MO, 63660
I support Net Neutrality and I hope you can too. Please don't let this go the wrong way. Love and Respect

9059. Adam Kaplan, Saint Louis, MO, 63105
I support strong net neutrality regulations through Title 2 of The Communications Act of 1934. Internet freedom and equality is enormously important, and reframing ISP control as openness is disingenious nonsense from a transparent industry stooge. Ajit: you are neither likeable nor convincing.

9060. Matthew Olds, Joplin, MO, 64801
I support net neutrality. I prefer my ISP not be allowed to first consider the identities of the people I communicate with before determining the speed at which we do. I pay my ISP for use of their infrastructure to send and receive communications with everybody who has access to a terminal. My ISP has no business looking into the identities of those I communicate with in order to see whether they or I are organizations who might be coerced to pay more money in order to relay the message without delay.An ISP is a middleman. I don't want information from them. I hope to communicate with my ISP and they with me as little as possible. My ISP would probably profit more in the short term for charging content providers for equal access to me and me to them when we want each other's content, but it wouldn't be because I'm better served. It wouldn't be because they installed an accelerator to hurry our signals along. It'd be because they didn't repeatedly move us to the back of the line or go out of their way to delay the relay.

9061. Robert Birch, Neosho, MO, 64850
Title II regulation limits competition, hurts innovation and investment and cost people their jobs. It is burdensome and unnecessary.I support FCC Chairman Ajit Paiâ€™s rollback of Obamaâ€™s Internet takeover and I urge you to do the same!

9062. Joy Behrens, Kansas City, MO, 64118
Title II allows bureaucrats in Washington to pick winners and losers on the web. I believe the internet should be allowed to function in the free market.The internet is best regulated by light-touch FTC regulation and the market, not heavy-handed FCC rules. I support Chairman Ajit Paiâ€™s rollback of Obamaâ€™s Internet takeover.

9063. Virginia Anderson, Raymore, MO, 64083
Title II allows bureaucrats in Washington to pick winners and losers on the web. The internet is best regulated by light-touch FTC regulation and the market, not heavy-handed FCC rules. I support Chairman Ajit Paiâ€™s rollback of Obamaâ€™s Internet takeover.I wold also like to submit a claim against Sheba act food and another commercial where they are showing butt cracks. I did not see anything that made this commercial relevant to what they were selling. This is outrageous and must be pulled now!

9064. Charles Morris, Excelsior Springs, MO, 64024
Obama's Internet takeover raises concerns about the future of internet freedom. Opting to regulate the internet as a common carrier under Title II of the Telecommunications Act was a massive departure from the 20 years of light-touch regulation that saw innovation and investment in the Internet flourish. The Title II reclassification should be repealed: it is bad for consumers, bad for innovation, and bad for the economy. Undo everything Barak Obama did to cripple and destroy our nation, especially our internet and healthcare.Barak Obama is trying to do everything to take away the liberties and rights that our forefathers and servicemen have fought and died for. He is a disgrace to our American heritage.

9065. Faizan Syed, Ferguson , MO, 63131
The internet must stay neutral. I stand with net neutrality.

9066. Christian Michael Poynter, Grandview, MO, 64030
The free and open internet has created the information age. To privatize it would be to destroy that and take a giant step back in our progress as a species

9067. Paul Nevins, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Who ever controls the flow of information controls the world.

9068. Rayvant Kohli, Kansas City, MO, 64105
protect that shit.

9069. Arthur Clancy, St. Charles, MO, 63301

I know the US government is not "of the people".I wish it were. at least be human enough to realize people expressing themselves and are working to advance Our American Way of Life as that shining beacon of FREEDOM, raising our Great Nation higher in the world view, is a good thing. I am pro people and the US. You try it too.

9070. Matthew, Columbia, MO, 65201
Net neutralith must be protected. I do not want to hit pay walls just to view specific websites. Big companies should not be able to dictate what i want to view on the internet.

9071. Mark Nave , Independence , MO, 64052
Security on the net as in the rest of life is important, however there may be one thing worth risking it for, liberty. Keep the net neutral.

9072. Sean Gallagher, Ballwin, MO, 63011
The open internet is a beautiful thing. It lets people of all ages connect and share ideas that previous generations could have never imagined and keeps the public informed on a global scale. The risk of aggressive tactics by large corporations is too great to ignore as we continue to see these entities over step bounds and try to pull back when caught saying "Oh we didn't realize this was bad. Sorry.". Keep the net open and defend free thinking, speech, and the people of the United States instead defending profit margins.

9073. J Rosenthal, Kansas City, MO, 64112
Net neutrality is the heart of American democracy -- that all of us can have equal access to information. And that access cannot be based on one's ability to pay. As we strive to welcome seniors and lower income people into the internet world, we must do this with equal access. PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY. Thank you.

9074. megann, maryland heights , MO, 63043
Please protect net neutrality and refuse to give in to corporations who have not elected you. Stand up for the people, in this electronic age access to internet is a necessity but is still being treated as a luxury. Break up the oligopolies and show the people what actual freedom of choice and the benefits of real competition can truly look like

9075. Aaron Lenig, Gladstone, MO, 64118
It is not the time for the Government to allow the big internet companies to tell me what I have to do online. The internet, which I pay handsomely for monthly should be a free and non-restrictive method for obtaining information. Look out for the citizens, not the big companies!

9076. Spencer MCCulloch, Kansas City, MO, 64114
getting rid of net neutrality will only encourage piracy through routes of easier access.

9077. David Ernst, Wentzville, MO, 63385
I'm already only able to choose one ISP, and if Net Neutrality leaves they will be able to legally throttle my speeds and I as a consumer can't even switch companies. Net Neutrality needs to stay.

9078. Ashbee Lewis , Everton, MO, 65646
I don't want someone else telling me what to do online. It's an open forum.

9079. David Whitworth, Arcadial, MO, 63621
High Bandwidth consuming services such as NetFlix should contribute to the cost of building and maintaining the infrastructure necessary to support their services. While allowing ISP providers unrestricted ability to determine what content they allow is not desirable some mechanism needs to be created that will ensure that then infrastructure is paid for by those that utilize it.

9080. Kim Ten Clay, Wentzville, MO, 63385
All people - of all socio-economic statuses, no matter where they live or what they do - should have equitable access to information. Net neutrality protects this access. Knowledge is power, and removing net neutrality takes away power from the general public, small businesses and entrepreneurs, and feeds it directly to large corporations. Don't take away our freedom to access information to benefit big business.

9081. Stephen A. Smith, Parkville, MO, 64152
Don't even think about getting rid of net neutrality. Don't even make subtle changes. Net neutrality is what makes the net powerful. Net neutrality provides a fair platform for competition to flourish, giving net users access to all products in order to select the best product.

9082. Carolyn Bernicky, Kingdom City , MO, 65262
Net neutrality allows consumers Ã¢Â€Â” not big companies Ã¢Â€Â” to choose what they watch & do online. Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started.

9083. Mary K Ehrenreich, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
Net neutrality is vital for innovation. Overturning net neutrality will stifle competition and give monopolistic power to mega-companies and potentially even threaten freedom of speech. Keep the internet free and open!

9084. Kathy, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Please we need net neutrality. We need access to freedom of information. Information can counteract fear and ignorance. Knowledge is personal freedom and power.

9085. Kyle Williams, Lamar, MO, 64759
The internet is one of the true marvels where freedom of the individual to speak their mind is open-ended and without major restriction. It is paramount for a free and open society to have a free and open web.

9086. Spencer Reynolds, Buckner, MO, 64016
Net Neutrality must remain intact or consumers like me will suffer a loss of the services we like in favor of the services large corporations want to force on us. When I pay for internet access I want equal access to all the internet equally, not access to only what these corporations deem important and worthwhile.

9087. Marilyn, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Please leave net neutrality alone. It is a right that it should be free and available to everyone.

9088. Vivian , Union, MO, 63084
Leave the fair internet laws ALONE!

9089. Zac Bailey, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
Please preserve Net Neutrality. Small businesses, innovators, artists, and creators need to be able to share their story openly. Net Neutrality is awesome!

9090. jeff compton, camdenton , MO, 65020
Please keep the web egalitarian. Protect the First Amendment

9091. Rose Ganley, St. Charles, MO, 63303
My grandfather always said "If it ain't broke, don't fix it." The internet ain't broke. Please don't let corporations have any more control over our lives than they already do. Keep net neutrality!

9092. DN Petitt, Buffalo , MO, 65622
Incredible innovation & collaboration between the thinkers, the builders & the dreamers is happening all over the planet ... net neutrality is fundamental to free speech.

9093. David Hendricks, Saint Charles, MO, 63304
There is no benefit I can see to a U.S. private citizen, and every benefit of larger corporations in losing net neutrality. We were based on a free thinking and freedom loving society. Let's keep it that way.

9094. Harold H Jones, Ph.D., Belton, MO, 64012
I feel without net neutrality it will be very hard for the little guy to view what he or she wishes. Again, "BIG" is given the power to control all.

9095. Alexander Peters, Battlefield, MO, 65619
The internet belongs to those who use it, not those who provide an overpriced modem and router alongside terrible customer service.

9096. Carolyn Phipps, St. Louis, MO, 63146
I believe that in this country we all have the right to believe and speak to our individual beliefs. The introduction of the internet provided each human with this capability, and does not limit or regulate a persons ability to do so. Handing over internet control/governance/regulation to a company would negate our current abilities on the internet. We have already seen what the cable companies have done to an individuals right to communication. Adding this type of governance to a business would provide businesses with the ability to limit free speech, control who has computing power, and potentially provide a much larger means for public manipulation. The internet was created to provided a means for all to share in computing power. It is not an entity that should reigned in for commercial gains.

9097. Richard Anderson, Springfield, MO, 65804
Please keep the pro-net-neutrality rules in place and protect the internet against censorship and content publishers against extortion by special interests. Thank you.

9098. annelise hartley, saint joseph, MO, 64507
it essential for the benefit of all, that information be under no specific control and influence.

9099. Noah Edwards, St. Charles, MO, 63301
Net neutrality must be preserved in order to keep the internet open and accessible. Removing it would stifle innovation and access to information.

9100. David Thompson, Des Peres, MO, 63131
Corporations don't have the "right" to silence Free Speech--or the free practice of religeon, 2A, or any of the other bill of rights. The internet is our newpapers of today, and must remain net neutral.

9101. Scott Jost, Florissant, MO, 63031
Net Neutrality is important and is a right.

9102. Robert J Buzan, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
Net neutrality is essential for maintaining the innovation, expression and culture of the online world. I am the owner of a small blog, which has a dedicated reader base. I do not want my readers to be throttled out of viewing my content. Further, I do not want my children to grow up without an open internet that takes advantage of the boundless knowledge and creativity of humankind.

9103. Kyle Nichols, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Net neutrality gives people choices on the internet. Big companies would force you to go to their sites or promotions. I like being able to choose how I browse.

9104. David Lee, Springfield, MO, 65807
The Internet is working just fine. Don't 'fix' it. It works. Keep it open, keep it neutral. Thanks.

9105. Erica Stone, Kansas City , MO, 64108
Please keep the net a neutral zone for the good of our democracy. The public deserves an electronic space to engage in discourse.

9106. Serena Smith, Kansas City, MO, 64134
In this digital age, the internet is the path to information and opportunity. Every person, adult and child, deserves access to the resources they need to seek help, employment, and knowledge. For someone else to determine what is important for people have access to, whether by personal belief of merit or by the ability of some organization to pay more, it represents the worst parts of capitalism in our society and an indication that no one cares about the people of our country.

9107. Doug Graham, Columbia, MO, 65203
The FCC MUST protect net neutrality!

9108. Dr. Kingsley Berg, St.Louis, MO, 63118
Net neutrality GOOD! Unfairness BAD/UGH/....!

9109. Rachel Narup, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Net neutrality is essential to education in our country. We need to support research, new ideas, and innovation. Without net neutrality, we are falling behind in a time where the copious amounts of information we have available make progress easiest.

9110. Mikkel Sndyer, St. Louis, MO, 63101
Net neutrality means that smaller content creators like myself can still get our message and work out into the world. Without, the costs would drive us out.

9111. Jacqueline O'Dell, Joplin, MO, 64801
.A free and open internet is vital for our economy, education, healthcare and public service organizations. Lifeline.

9112. Dan, Saint Louis, MO, 63114
THE INTERNET IS FOR THE PEOPLE NOT FOR YOU FAT MONEY HUNGRY BIG WIGS. KEEP YOUR GRUBBY HANDS OFF OR WE WILL RIOT THROUGH EVER STREET IN THIS NATION.

9113. Kimberly Bolin, Imperial, MO, 63052
Net neutrality must be protected if we are to continue to have a functional democracy. All other major news sources are owned by only 6 corporations so independant media is essential. Destroying net neutrality gives big corporations all the power over what we see, read, and learn. Corporations have their own agendas that do not line up with democratic ideals. We must preserve net neutrality to allow EVERYONE to continue to express themselves, which is a first amendment right guaranteed to all of us by the Constitution.

9114. Brian Berwanger, Columbia, MO, 65201
I use the internet every day to educate myself and to promote my product online. I cannot imagine a world without net neutrality. Please do not overturn net neutrality. I will be a devastation to our democracy.

9115. Stephen Wilkerson, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
The internet is possibly that last bastion of freedom from huge corporations and entities whose only purpose is to fleece citizens of their money. Let's leave the playing field level and keep big business out of it!

9116. Justin Main, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
I believe in liberty and justice for all as the best of what America stands for. The internet is our nation's public square, and therefore no corporations should have the right to exclusively give a bullhorn to some voices while different or new perspectives are left without a mic. Please respond to the higher call of the common good instead of lobbying from mega-corporations. Thank you

9117. Wesley E. Workman, Saint Charles, MO, 63304
Please keep our freedoms. Net neutrality must be protected.

9118. Elizabeth Frick, St. Louis, MO, 63119
All Americans are supposed to be equal. The Declaration of Independence states that this is so. We do not have first-class and second-class citizens in the United States. Corporations are not more important than individuals. Internet neutrality provides equal access for everyone who has internet service. The FCC has a duty to protect net neutrality for everyone.

9119. Nancy Hight, St. Peters , MO, 63376
Please leave net neutrality alone, and let the little guy compete, and preserve free speech.

9120. John Fizer, Rolla, MO, 65401
The frequency of video watching should not be a factor in having a right to slow down your Internet's capabilities. The price you pay should allow you to stream as many videos as you possibly can.It's hard to Netflix N Chill with no Netflix.

9121. Richard Gibson, St. Louis, MO, 63143
The internet is an information resource, like a public library, and should not be in the hands of any corporation or individual. It needs to be free, open, and neutral.

9122. Kathleen Kynion, Springfield, MO, 65802
The internet allows for creation of many businesses by individuals. Net neutrality is important for creating wealth and jobs. Ending net

neutrality will stifle innovation and suppress small businesses. Net neutrality is important for enterprise, innovation and job growth.

9123. rayma, armstrong, MO, 65230
The internet should not be run by big companies ,should have no nationwide filtering systems . no censorship

9124. MC, Kirksville, MO, 63501
Dear sirs,We the residents in rural towns already have had to bow down to the large company monopolies in our communities for inadequate band with and speed at a high price. There are two Universities in this community and there is no capacity to perform well in education or research activities. Even trying to hold a Zoom meeting is taking a chance in it not working due to inadequate bandwidth; which is very embarrassing when trying to convince a grantor, funder or possible future partner to engage in economic or education development in our region. In the evening, when most people are home and trying to engage in internet based activities, they are frustrated by not being able to connect or rely on the internet which they have purchased at a dear monthly subscription. Please do not allow the big companies to continue to monopolize our internet access. Please do not allow big companies to monopolize what we watch or communicate. Thank you.

9125. Josh, Joplin, MO, 64804
The internet may have its ups and downs like all things but having these restrictions and limitations on what is up for the world to see only hinders our progressive and free thinking society.

9126. Zachary Burkholder, Platte City, MO, 64079
Preserving an open internet is paramount to an age of knowledge and free learning for all mankind.

9127. Jim Cady, Maplewood, MO, 63143
Free speech is dependent upon access: if those with whom you'd like to communicate cannot hear you, it is pointless. Net neutrality gives all the ability to speak and be heard!

9128. Aaron Tanenbaum, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
Just remember what happened when Comcast throttled Netflix in 2014. If Comcast chose to throttle Fox "News", I wonder what you may think.

9129. Robert Leone, Forsyth, MO, 65653
I believe in an open internet...FCC has other comitments. Hands. Off the free internet.

9130. Jordan smith, Hollister , MO, 65686
The internet was meant to be free. It is a way to express ideas and to censor that in anyway would go against everything that is the internet

9131. Sam Mead, Columbia, MO, 65202
Please keep the internet open and free like it is. The net is fundamental to free speech and is one of the building blocks of our society. It helps out the little guy when he's first starting a business and everyone, especially politicians, wants to make sure the "little guy" (i.e., small business) thrives. Keeping it free and open allows users to choose what they watch and do online, not have it spoonfed to them by some corporate machine.

9132. William Settle, Independence , MO, 64052
Net neutrality is important to my business. As an internet creator. I rely on people easily being able to find my content without any sort of hangups. Throttling could be disastrous for me.

9133. Dan Swanger, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Please strive for net neutrality. Allowing ISP and government entities the privilege to manipulate content is a really bad idea.

9134. Braxton T. Story, Sikeston, MO, 63801
Please do not give Broadband Companies control over what I can and cannot view on the internet. Please save Net Neutrality and leave the internet as a class II utility.

9135. Leah G, Kansas city, MO, 64108
Ã¢Â€ÂœBecause to take away a man's freedom of choice, even his freedom to make the wrong choice, is to manipulate him as though he were a puppet and not a person.Ã¢Â€ÂÃ¢Â€Â• Madeleine L'Engle

9136. Kaleb Belzer, Clayton, MO, 63105
Hi. I believe that allowing consumers to pay for band-width is a great model, and that pipeline providers should not also generate content. This will ensure that consumers and entrepreneurs can come together to expand the economic and social proposition of the internet, without established corporations stifling growth.

9137. Brandon Robinson, Belton, MO, 64012
There are absolutely no good reasons that a rule put into place, to guarantee everyone the same open access to the internet, should be repealed and opened to abuse by the monopolies of the USA.

9138. Anonymous, Joplin, MO, 64804
To allow Corporations to decide what content to allow to load faster or slower is a form of denial of Freedom of Speech. Private companies and greedy corporations should never be allowed to dictate any interpretation of the Constitution. Keep the internet neutral!

9139. Erin Baldridge, Columbia, MO, 65201
I don't want people with more money to have more access to or control over the internet.

9140. Paul Martin, Lake Winnebago, MO, 64034
Please keep the Internet open, free and neutral. I'm a U.S. citizen living in Europe and I depend on a free and neutral Internet to keep up with my family, friends and news in my home country. Don't let big business control this vital medium of communication. (The U.S. address I'm providing is that of my parents' home, where I visit in the summer.)

9141. Cindy Sundy, Carthage, MO, 64836
Are you insane? My experience with AT&T has been so very disappointing to put it politely! Why in the world would you want to mess up something that already works so well? The only reasons I can think of is censorship, control, and greed! STOP!!! Do not destroy our FREEDOM!!!

9142. Shane Gast, St. Peters, MO, 63376
I strongly feel that the removal of net neutrality would be a grave detriment to this country. Not only would you greatly hurting consumers, and the vast majority of the United States, you will be putting us being our competitors on the national level. I am sickened by the fact that it is even and idea to allow the very few to have monopolies on the internet in their specific regions, and over what should be considered a utility. Giving the right to a few massive companies to have even more of a strangle hold on such a vital resource for this country is appalling, and if it is removed, really shows how outdated and how out of line the FCC is for the American people. You are to exist for the benefit of the American people, not the few who seek only wealth of the back of our hard working lives. I trust that you will make the correct choice in preserving Net Neutrality

9143. Thomas Luter, O'Fallon , MO, 63366
Net neutrality allows consumers-not big business-to choose what they watch and do online. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech.

9144. Zach Allgood, Springfield, MO, 65807
Because if it's not, I'll start a gofundme to buy your browser history and publish it to the world :)

9145. Mary Dobberstein, St. Louis, MO, 63116
Don't let giant corporations take over the internet!

9146. Peter Urling, Farmington, MO, 63640
Net neutrality invites competition to naturally allow the best product/service for the lowest cost to surface. Please don't take the freedom of the internet from us.

9147. Madison, Saint Charles , MO, 63304
Freedom of speech has been fought for , died for and defended by our military and others for many years . Freedom on the internet is no less a battle ,,,,,,so let it stay free

9148. sarah serio, neosho, MO, 64850
I support net neutrality. The internet should be open to all and our privacy should be ours and not for sale. Thank you.

9149. Dale Arnold, Rolla, MO, 65401
Do not interfere with net neutrality, as it is vital to an economic advantage this nation must maintain.

9150. Mark, Springfield, MO, 65802
Ending net neutrality is equivalent to burning books. You give big internet companies the power to decide what information is acceptable and what isn't. Do not end net neutrality.

9151. Bruce Nuffer, Lee's Summit, MO, 64081
Please defend net neutrality to ensure equal access to information.

9152. David J. Rose, Saint Charles, MO, 63304
Net Neutrality is the essential cornerstone foundation that enables all US Citizens and small businesses trying to launch and grow nationwide regardless of economic class. Without basic Net Neutrality rules those who have the most money and the largest corporate enterprises will be able to buy their way into controlling how the internet will operate for all US citizens. The FCC needs to stand up, represent and protect access for all the common US people instead of being lobbied by the largest corporate internet backbone carrier networks that claim, but cannot guarantee to maintain everyone's open interest at heart.

9153. Phoebe Miller, Columbia, MO, 65202
If we lose net neutrality, we risk having certain sites or files privileged over others, resulting in the public not being able to access certain types of information or resources. Companies should not be allowed to censure others merely for their own profit.

9154. Carole Gaither, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Please remember that this country was founded on principals such as "fear from unreasonable search and seizure"and the right to free speech! Let's keep it that way!

9155. ben whiteman , university city, MO, 63130
dont hurt small businesses by allowing ISPs to allow the big ones that can charge more have better web presence

9156. Chris Bross, St. Louis, MO, 63129
Net Neutrality is the only way small and new businesses can ever possibly reach their potential client base fairly and economically. Without net neutrality hundreds of thousand small businesses that create over 90% of all jobs--will be wiped out! Great for Big Business--devastating for the rest of us just trying to make ends meet.

9157. Doug Miller, St. Louis, MO, 63116

Net neutrality must be protected. Internet access is a public recourse and should not be controlled by any corporate entity.

9158. Michael F Cabral, Vallel Park, MO, 63088
I don't want the FCC to regulate the internet because the Federal Government can't do anything right. In fact less regulation for ISP's would be in order in my view. Free and open competition is good for all segments of the market.Thank you,

9159. Christopher LaCoste, hazelwood, MO, 63042
I just like having a place to talk with friends who are a far distance from me. Please do not ruin that.

9160. Sue Sykes Berry, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Net Neutrality is a fundamental right of the Internet and must be preserved.

9161. Freedom, Ironton , MO, 63650
First amendment is freedom of speech. Leave our internet rights alone

9162. Roy Young, St. Louis, MO, 63146
Leave My internet alone

9163. Rose Carter, St John, MO, 63114
Please keep the internet open and accessible to everyone.

9164. Benjamin Spurlock, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
As a starting entrepreneur, the internet is vital to my dreams of success. Should net neutrality be gutted, there's no way that I could compete, and my dream may never come true.

9165. Mike Jordan, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
Please keep the Internet open. Protect Net Neutrality.

9166. jane.helton@t-online.de, Milan, MO, 63556
We need net neutrality so that common people like me have access to internet.

9167. Nicholas, Sparta, MO, 65753
Imagine going to get a book at the library and they wanted to charge you extra for choosing an author that they didn't "prefer," or having to pay an additional fee to have access to the science fiction section. Thats the type of nonsense that will go on if neutrality isn't preserved, except with websights and streaming services instead of books and librarys.

9168. Randy Hudson, Springfield, MO, 65804
Please protect Net Neutrality by leaving it as is. Going backwards to it's old classification only helps big corporations who's end goal is more money, not a free and open internet.

9169. James Williams, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I have followed this debate for quite some time and have come to the conclusion that net neutrality is critical to allow for the most equitable access to the internet. No system is perfect, but the proposed changes from the status quo would clearly be bad for small companies and consumers and benefit large corporations. Please consider the greater social good, rather than the interests of big business when making this decision.

9170. Greig Thompson, Harrisburg, MO, 65256
George Washington asserted in his farewell address, "In proportion as the structure of a government gives force to public opinion, it is essential that public opinion should be enlightened." In this age, the internet functions as one of the "institutions for the general diffusion of knowledge" Washington virulently promoted in fulfillment of the foregoing; as such, data on the internet must remain completely equally available, without bias or preference. Maintain net neutrality. No compromise.

9171. M Garcia, KC, MO, 64111
Why is this even an issue? I realize the current government is so pro business that this will probably pass but I don't understand why you would take the freedom of the internet out of the hands of the public and place it in the hands of greedy large corporations! If I have any voice at all (which, unfortunately, I am sure I do not) I would prefer NOT to have the net neutrality rules be gutted!

9172. Kathleen Kakacek, Grandview, MO, 64030
The open Internet has been so important in my life in numerous ways. I believe it should be treated as a public utility and subsidized to make it available in areas where economics or population make it less accessible. Please don't change it so that only the well-off have access to this vital resource.

9173. Ashley Eddleman, Marble Hill, MO, 63764
I'm personally against big government and even bigger businesses getting more power to judge my life and what i choose to do online. This has got to stop leave the internet be and let the people decide what they do online and leave the big businesses out of it.

9174. David Unger, Kansas City, MO, 64116
You are a Federal Organization Representing the common will of the America people. You are swore to up hold the rights' and privileges' of the citizens with-in. I am a Citizen of this country, I feel it is my right to speak of the majority of the minority, and declare you; The FCC accountable for your civic duties to the United States of America. Let them know once and for all that this issue is off the table. That the American People have expressed their opinion and you stand by them. Please do not side with the side you are swore to protect against.

9175. Catherine Hooper, Lee's Summit, MO, 64064
As a small business entrepreneur who works largely online, supporting Kansas City's nonprofit sector, net neutrality is central to work. Free speech and unrestricted access is key to my ability to serve my clients, provide online training to them, and also conduct outreach to meet new clients. Further, in a day when many consumers and small businesses face costs that didn't even exist 25 years ago (e.g., internet access, skyrocketing cost of cable) that are central to their business, I heartily favor net neutrality and disagree with anything that would give control to providers who could charge for access that is currently free. This could add an undue new cost burden crippling America's booming "gig" economy of creators, researchers, idea generators, communicators, and small/micro businesses. Please work to keep net neutrality so our economy will continue to thrive and prosper.

9176. Farrell Rouse, Parkville, MO, 64152
Keeping the internet free and open for all users is vital to each and every individual's First Amendment rights. We the people do not want corporate interests to decimate this freedom by blocking websites and content, slowing connectivity and charging more for enacting this abhorrent disservice upon us.

9177. Chuck Lindsay, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
Just another example of you trying to sell our freedom to the oligarchs

9178. Brandon Barr, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
Don't let this corporate oligarchy take our beloved internet away.

9179. Ryan, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
As a computer science student, I am building my life on a foundation of internet freedom. The best way to sharpen my skills as a programmer is to aggregate knowledge from everybody else who posts things they have done and things that they have learned. And as far as web development goes, the necessity is inherent and clear. Maintaining an open internet is the decent thing to do.

9180. Brinda Adams, Sunrise Beach, MO, 65079
I want to choose what I see on the internet and not have some one or some big company control my public choices. Protect Net Neutrality!We have a right to free speech.Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started.Net neutrality allows consumers Ã¢Â€Â” not big companies Ã¢Â€Â” to choose what they watch & do online.

9181. Tim R., St Louis, MO, 63109
If you're a corporation providing access to the Internet for the populace, you should in no uncertain terms abide by the spirit of allowing unfettered access. The spirit of net neutrality.

9182. Leah, Valley Park, MO, 63088
I work for a non profit that has seen a surge in donations and interest from the internet. Without net neutrality that could all end, there would be no incentive for large companies to direct traffic our way.

9183. Erick Hutson, Springfield, MO, 65802
What I do with my personal time and communications is my own business. If a law abiding citizen can't do what they want without out it being gated or and shepherded then how can we call ourselves a free society? I want a free society and I want to communicate freely.

9184. Kimberly Randell, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Given the increasingly central role that internet access plays in day-to-day functions, it is critical that the FCC maintains net neutrality. Additionally, net neutrality protects small business owners and innovators as they are just getting started. It also allows individuals freedom to choose what they do online, and when they do it.

9185. Evan Follmer, Kansas City, MO, 64155
Net neutrality is the only way regular people can have a voice, one of the few reasons that entrepreneurs and small businesses can reach a larger consumer base, and is the last bastion of free speech.

9186. Chris, Monroe City, MO, 63456
"I" want to decide what I and my children see, and where we go on the internet. No one should restrict or force my current internet freedom.

9187. Anonymous, New Haven , MO, 63068
Taking away our right to surf the internet freely is taking away our right to freedom of speech.

9188. BF Helman, University City, MO, 63130
If it aint broke, don't fix it!

9189. Nick Hasheider, Columbia, MO, 65201
Net neutrality allows people to communicate, interact and educate themselves and others. It helps us have a better country.

9190. Paul, Kansas City, MO, 64131
The internet is the greatest equalizer of human existence. It allows everyone to be informed. The printing press of the 21st century.

9191. Susan Smith, Columbia, MO, 65203
Net neutrality is = free speech and allows me to choose what I do online.

9192. Renee Moore, Moberly, MO, 65270
The internet should remain open and free, I do not need a provider telling me what I can and cannot have access to. My Son, Brother, Father and Grandfather's fight and fought for freedom. They do not and did not to give up freedoms! I am an adult and have the right to

choose what is right for me, I DO NOT NEED someone else to do so or restrict me.

9193. Emily Tetrault, Manchester, MO, 63021
Without open internet, we make access to knowledge a privilege. When we make access a privilege we are only forced to explore the world though a filter over which we as consumers have no control. The end of net neutrality is a violation of our core beliefs as a nation.

9194. Cameron Fowler , Kansas city , MO, 64105
If we allow companies to throttle websites at will we will end up in a world where only large companies can post high-speed content, and internet bills will be worse and more confusing than cable bills. If we lose net neutrality the people lose and the only winners are corporations.

9195. Madaline Erazmus, St. Louis, MO, 63128
I feel like net neutrality is a better representation of what capitalism should be because it gives small businesses an equal chance and allows more choices for consumers.

9196. Deanna Kalebic, Holden, MO, 64040
Net neutrality and free speech are synonymous and should be protected. Innovators and entrepreneurs won't have a chance if Big Corporations are allowed to take over the Net. The Internet is too intertwined in the daily lives of every American. Due to technology, it has become a necessity.

9197. JoAnn Williams, St. Louis, MO, 63115
I want to be able to surf the net without fear of id thieft, companies taking my personal information and selling it or using it to censor my opinions and attack my first amendment rights. let companies and people connect without corporations trying to usurp our right to do so. Keep the net neutral!!

9198. David Ozburn, Springfield , MO, 65807
Corporations don't have the right to tell us what to buy, how to think, to control and limit information.

9199. Everett, Kansas City, MO, 64123
To be blunt, I don't like the Max Headroom view of a future where ONE corporation owns or controls everything. Net Neutrality allows those with little cash to be a part of something useful -- without it, "big business" will control the content, leaving the minority opinions behind.

9200. Gay Dust, Joplin, MO, 64804
The people of the US deserve equal treatment when it comes to using broadband- NOT corporations.

9201. Zach Sterns, Ferguson, MO, 63135
Net neutrality is Freedom! Its hard to walk down the street with such freedom as the internet offers. It must stay this way, and not be governed by companies with their self interests at heart and control. Freedom breeds innovation!

9202. Robert E. Dillon, Kansas City, MO, 64114
"A common carrier holds itself out to provide service to the general public without discrimination (to meet the needs of the regulator's quasi judicial role of impartiality toward the public's interest) for the "public convenience and necessity." WikipediaThis was a good idea for control of the railroads which were as important in 1887 as cable is today. I hope you are able to note the analogy.

9203. Joel Black, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. The previous election saw big companies taking sides, promoting stories to people's feeds based on what CEOs thought should happen.

9204. Andrew Monson, Columbia, MO, 65201
I want to ensure that the websites I visit and the services I use are not censured by ISPs.

9205. Rebecca Wood, St. Louis, MO, 63123
Dear FCC,Please do not do away with net neutrality.It would not be right for big corporations to buy out the web and extend their tentacles into every aspect of our on-line lives.Please protect net neutrality, which would give us all equal opportunities on the net.Stifling people from equal opportunity has never been the American way.Thank you.

9206. Mrs. C. Wheaton, St. Louis, MO, 63123
I use the internet for my hobby (genealogy/ family history) and to keep in touch with family and friends around the country. I am garden and frequently look up how to care for various plants around the yard and in the house. As a senior pursuing my hobbies and staying in budget is a must. Please leave the net as it is, available to many, without prejudice for interests or status.

9207. Marc Anderson, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Please keep net neutrality. America needs many voices and open lines of communication.

9208. Nancy Nodine-Hassert, Bunker, MO, 63629
Net neutrality currently is not an American citizen's right, (probably because it is so "new" an area), but should be considered by the FCC to be a right of all American People. We do not need "Big companies" deciding what we watch, read, support, or find interest in any more than they are already allowed to. Please support Net Neutrality for the people of this country.

9209. Jane Bethge, St. Louis, MO, 63119
I favor the continuation of net neutrality. If only the biggest companies rule the internet, how can innovation and free speech continue? These companies would like to convert control into money, not preserve public access.

9210. Rodrigo Perez-Magnelli, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
ISP's should not discriminate against any website for monetary gain. The internet must be an open utility with equal access for all.

9211. Stephanie Barrett, Rolla, MO, 65401
The internet does not belong to companies. We are already restricted by fees and laws, we do not need our entertainment going towards North Korea instead of American freedom.

9212. doyle fugett, Bois D'Arc, MO, 65612
Like public broad casting net neutrality needs protection . When it is for profit the rich will decide who gets what for a price.

9213. TEDRA PARKER, PLATTBURG, MO, 64477
Without net neutrality big corps would simply swallow up the net and run it as they wanted, not as we the consumers want. Entrepreneurs & small business and small home stores would virtually disappear. Big business does not need to rule every corner of space or every inch of commerce. Net neutrality benefits EVERYONE. Please DO Not sell it out to the "big ole boys" companies.

9214. MJ, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Please save net neutrality! With the digital world so intertwined with our real lives, we need that to reflect the freedom of speech.

9215. Mark Biggs, Springfield, MO, 65804
To the FCC,Please do not alter current net neutrality law or guidelines. Free access on the internet is vital to the success of this nation and the dissemination of information worldwide that can preserve and foster democratic freedoms across the globe.

9216. Sage, beeswax, MO, 65355
Why would I pay for internet just to have some big company choose what I look at? That's the reason I cut the cord and only use Netflix.

9217. hurman m., scary city, MO, 63118
"We the people" not we the FCC

9218. Philip Myers, Moberly, MO, 65270
Leave alone the things you don't understand. The internet being one of them.

9219. Justin Roberts , St louis, MO, 63103
Dear FCC, Net neutrality is needed so the poorest of us can still get on the internet at fast speeds. It is critical to our education, democracy and entertainment. It also makes the internet an even playing field for businesses to compete. I pay more for internet and phone than my property taxes. The providers have enough revenues without charging on a pay-to-play system.Thank you!

9220. Todd Andrews, Belton, MO, 64012
Please do not allow corporations to dictate how we use the web and how we pay for it. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech.

9221. Mark Kohring, Ballwin, MO, 63011
I see Net Neutrality" as a ruse to bring government in as a regulator where none is needed. Therefore I adamantly oppose it and support its repeal. Our system is like a highway growing with the traffic using it. Any delays are measured in milliseconds and expansion defeats those delays without need for regulation.

9222. William Rutherford, Perryville, MO, 63775
On the internet we vote with our views, taking away net neutrality is like rigging the election.

9223. Stacy Benoit, Union Star, MO, 64494
Leave net neutrality alone. I want to control my own internet experience. I DO NOT want it controlled by anyone else at all and most certainly not according to who has the most money.

9224. John Rogers, Springfield, MO, 65802
Net Neutrality is a 21st century extension of the 1st Amendment, and I refuse to have my voice silenced by anyone.

9225. David Hastings, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
I've been working in software professionally since 1985, and was a computer hobbyist a decade before that. I've watched what became the internet grow from basically a research project to a great resource for sharing information and ideas. This growth combined with the open environment it provided, enabled me and my fellow developers to help create the great software we see today. Any restrictions on the openness of the internet will stifle that creativity that we've seen.

9226. Wes Holmes, Licking, MO, 65542
Please preserve "net neutrality" for things that have made the USA great--free speech, free enterprise, and premier innovation!

9227. Jeanette Weaver, Kansas City, MO, 64151
we need net neutrality to be free and for everyone.

9228. Nathan Spirk, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Please leave net neutrality alone. Most people have little if any choice on ISPs, and we've all encountered what the TeleCom companies do to customers when there are no other solutions.Thank you

9229. Julie B. Harper, Chillicothe, MO, 64601
The original intent was for the sharing of information, free and uncensored, to advance the well-being of humankind. It should remain

so.

9230. Sam Bowerman, Wentzville, MO, 63385
Net Neutrality allows me to see things relevant to me, not things big companies think are relevant to me

9231. Michael, Labadie, MO, 63055
Comcast is one of the most money hungry companies in America handing them, or anyone else the keys to the internet is like handing a drunk guy the keys to a locomotive. It's gunna be a train wreck.

9232. Ann Powell Groner, Kansas City, MO, 64113
What Citizens United did to politics, ending Net Neutrality will do to the internet. It ruins it and will lead to a new alternate internet springing up elsewhere. It was developed on our taxpayer dime and belongs to everyone equally.

9233. Kaylee Hauser, Imperial, MO, 63052
Please don't ruin innovation and harm the internet. It is a public service and should be regulated as such. We can't afford to have fast lanes for services and harm the little guy

9234. John Howe, Arbela, MO, 63432
No more giving over our freedoms to others.

9235. Joyce Sonn, St. Louis, MO, 63104
I believe in net neutrality and do not want the big cable companies to take over and slow down the internet for those who prefer smaller companies like Mozilla.

9236. Scott McQuary, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
Don't fix what isn't broken, for once a Governmental agency has the chance to make the right choice and not turn the Internet regulations into a quagmire. History reflects that Government regulations on technology usually end with terrible results.

9237. Larry Cowsert, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
Any effort to destroy net neutrality is a slap in the face of free, independent thought and pat on the back to high profit, uncaring corporate greed. Surely to God, the horrible customer reviews of Comcast, AT&T and Verizon would be enough for anyone with two firing neurons to realize that they are the LAST people who need to be in charge of the largest "FREE" communications system to ever exist!Do the right thing! Keep the Internet open and free.

9238. Victor Sanchez, Kansas City , MO, 64126
I believe in the right to determine what I find appropriate on the internet, and the right to determine what I don't. I side with freedom, not restriction. It is MY responsibility to be an upstanding citizen, and mine alone. Don't let big corporate determine policy that effects our citizens!

9239. Aaron, Springfield, MO, 65802
If net neutrality is ended, what is to stop ISPs from controlling the dissemination of information. Let us say, that an ISP takes a particular stance on a political issue. The ISP could then limit peoples ability to access information opposing their views. In another scenario, ISPs could hold customers "hostage" from internet companies. For example, an ISP could demand that companies pay them for there customers to access their websites. On the other hand, businesses could collude with ISPs to limit access to competitor's websites. This would limit the freedom of consumers.

9240. Alexander Vessell, Columbia, MO, 65203
Please keep the internet open and uphold net neutrality. Its vitally important that all sites be on equal playing field

9241. Jiggs Lewis, St Loius, MO, 63102
Save the internet. Stop censoring it.

9242. Isaac Adams, Columbia, MO, 65203
The internet is a free space and information should be free and without "fast lanes". Let the people decide what they want. The US government has enough problems to deal with right now.

9243. Elizabeth Fry, Troy, MO, 63379
Net neutrality is a fundamental freedom of speech and as thus should be left alone. Freedom of speech is one of our most basic rights as American citizens. Don't compromise that.

9244. Randy Green, Grassy, MO, 63751
I absolutely support net neutrality. It is the only way to ensure fairness and equability in the internet.

9245. Julia Dalton, St. Louis, MO, 63128
The internet should be open, a place free of corporate greed, profit-seeking, and censorship.

9246. Kim Johnson, Poplar Bluff, MO, 63901
An equal internet needs to remain in force. Net neutrality was an important step forward. Please do not touch it.

9247. Paula Mccoy Williams, Kissee Mills, MO, 65680
Without Net Neutrality people would not be able to choose what they do and watch online.

9248. Jo Pfeffer, St. Louis, MO, 63119

Current rules ensure that ISPs like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon donÃ¢Â€Â™t discriminate in favor or against certain Internet content and services. Comcast, Verizon, and AT&T want to end net neutrality so they can charge extra fees to control what we see and do online. Internet providers like Comcast, AT&T, & Verizon should NOT control what we see and do online. In 2015, startups, Internet freedom groups, and 3.7 million commenters won strong net neutrality rules from the US Federal Communication Commission. The rules prohibit Internet providers from blocking, throttling, and paid prioritizationÃ¢Â€Â""fast lanes" for sites that pay, and slow lanes for everyone else. These rules NEED to stay in place, to protect everyone using the Internet. We want our Internet to work as advertised, and keep the political interference out of the Internet. I pay for my Internet service to stay in touch with my large extended family. I pay to have my 100 megs of service every month, and I do NOT want Charter or any other ISP telling me or anyone else what we can do while online. If I want to stream Netflix, so be it; it's NOT my ISP's business to interfere with what I want to do online -- or to bill me extra for it! We need to keep and maintain the strongest possible net neutrality rules; an open Internet is a lifeline to everyone connected to it.

9249. BradBeemer, Kansascity , MO, 64131
Net neutrality is the last place were free speech is truly free bu gutting the net and handing over rites to big businesses you will negate the purpose of the internet community and the rating and honest critiquing that places like yelp and google reviews provide

9250. Steve Shepherd, Kansas City, MO, 64114
The internet is our version of the Roman Forum. It is the only place where all citizens of all stripes can gather to exchange and debate ideas freely. Destroying net neutrality would reduce this bastion of free speech into nothing more than another commercialized commodity. That can't be allowed to happen if we want to continue as a liberal democracy.

9251. Sharon DeBardlebon, Saint Louis, MO, 63114
Please don't do this.

9252. Carol Jenkins, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
All data on the Internet should be treated the same. The service I pay for should not discriminate against my content, website views, mode of communication, applications, etc. Why give big cable companies control over what we see and do online? As a consumer I should be allowed as much privacy as those that want to change the laws. ISP's already charge too much for their service. How much power do they need? Do not take away another choice from consumers!

9253. Patricia Portrfield, St.Charles, MO, 63301
I wan to be able to access my preferred sites without slowdowns caused by favoritism to large providers.

9254. Breanna Payton-Simons, Columbia, MO, 65201
The only people that will be hurt by destroying net neutrality are the consumers...and small business...and big businesses. Why in the world would a law that hurts everyone be passed?

9255. Sandy Stuart, Kansas City, MO, 64146
Free speech is fundamental to a free society. Please do not take this freedom away for the sake of corporate greed. Americans want net neutrality!

9256. Alex Peters, 3831 W Randall, MO, 65619
The world wide web != AT&T or Mediacom's web. The internet belongs to those who use it, not those who own our modems.

9257. Shaun Cronin, Maplewood , MO, 63143
Internet providers should be neutral to users and content providers. The Internet is a great equalizer and is only valuable if everyone has equal access. Internet access should be treated like a public utility. Available and accessible to all on an equal basis.

9258. Traci Klein, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Please continue to support net neutrality, as it is fundamental to free speech. It's important that individuals have access to the content they want - especially without some black box algorithm or paid advertisers having control - and it's also important that small businesses have the ability to compete in the content world. Please allow consumers - not service providers - to decide what to consume.

9259. Chuck Harpell, Elkland , MO, 65644
please consider free speech and leave the internet open for new ideas and innovations, we should be trying to improve the world with new ideas and curiosity. Net neutrality must be protected!!!

9260. lotus, jc, MO, 65109
I pay my taxes and then some! There is no "Retirement" for my generation!! The least we can get is to keep the Internet FREE FROM FCC..... THAT'S ALL WE HAVE...

9261. john taylor, grandview, MO, 64030
i feel that the internet is truly the last frontier, without net neutrality the last flame of freedom will be extinguished.

9262. Andrew Caron, Kansas City, MO, 64112
Net neutrality is a key cornerstone of the internet as we know it today. Removing its protections in order for a select few corporate executives to give themselves a larger bonus as the cable companies continue to push the US further back in internet services compared to the rest of the world is unconscionable, unethical, and a true slap in the face to every single American.

9263. Amalie Duvall, Boonville, MO, 65233
Nearly everyone who understands and depends on the Internet supports net neutrality, whether they're startup founders, activists, gamers, politicians, investors, comedians, YouTube stars, or typical Internet users who just want their Internet to work as

advertisedÃ¢Â€Â”regardless of their political party. Protect it!

9264. William A Jennings, Granby, MO, 64844
i want to be able to look up anything i want

9265. Hannah Michael, Lee's Summit, MO, 64064
Net neutrality is incredibly important in terms of everyone's free speech, and needs to be protected and maintained.

9266. Rachel Jermain, Liberty, MO, 64068
The Internet is for everyone. Every day people rely on it. Net neutrality protects them. America was built on the first amendment, and net neutrality is part of our freedom of speech.

9267. Don Lucore, Springfield, MO, 65807
I am very much in favor of maintaining net neutrality to protect access and efficiency for all, especially individual consumers. Larger companies and businesses who have more money and clout should not be able to control or limit the individuals with less.

9268. David, Republic, MO, 65738
Net neutrality IS OUR 1st Amendment Right. End of discussion!

9269. Mary Engelking, Columbia, MO, 65203
Dear Madam and Sir:You are my representative, and I urge you to act on the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) in a manner that is most beneficial to individuals. I urge you to protect the rights and freedoms of individuals to unhindered internet access.There are a number of reasons that I would like to urge you to support the position of individuals over corporations. 1. Americans already pay more for internet access than most countries in the world. We pay very high prices for very poor service, compared to other countries. Why? It isn't because it costs more for American providers. So why are we already paying such comparatively high prices? It has a strong whiff of rent-seeking.2. There are not enough service providers to allow for adequate competition. Companies have not formed a formal cartel, but there are so few of them that tacit collusion is taking place. 3. Work and the ways that people work are shifting. The numbers of gig economy workers and sole proprietors earning their living electronically are rising, and will continue to rise. Working from home continues to grow. Throttling internet access for these groups, or requiring them to pay more for normal access is counterproductive. It lowers the productivity of every one of them, and weakens the intellectual advantage of America.4. America wants and needs older workers to participate productively in the economy for longer. The strength of the older worker is relational and intellectual. It is well-suited to home-based, computer-based work. We should be taking steps to enable and promote these activities. Removing net neutrality is the opposite action from what is needed.5. For more than a decade the American government has prioritized support to corporations over support to individuals. Sometimes such actions are necessary. But this is not one of those situations. the ISPs don't need this change to stay in business. They only want the change to open another avenue for profit-making. The change specifically restricts the freedoms enjoyed by individuals for the benefit of profits to companies that are already profitable.Please think about how America can best leverage technology, and how Americans can best profit from this resource. As my representative in the government, I ask you to vote on the side of preserving net neutrality.Thank you!Sincerely,Mary EngelkingMary Engelking

9270. Susan Swogger, Kirksville, MO, 63501
To whom it may concern:I live in a rural area, which is unlikely to be able to generate the amount of business that would interest multiple for-profit companies in providing the best services. Many of my neighbors make far lower salaries than possible in the cities â€“ they stay here for family, for tradition, in order to provide the nation with food, etc. We all deserve equal chances at living in the wider world â€“ equal access to broadband and good servers means that we can work to earn money for ourselves, and participate in the wider world on par with urban dwellers. Net neutrality means that we have a chance at somewhat equal opportunity â€“ without it, we would not be able to have the fastest speeds or sufficient services. Without it, we would suffer under effective monopolies. It is close to that now. Without good regulation and protection, we would most certainly not be equal participants in the online and economic portions of the country. Do not take away regulatory responsibility from the FCC. Do not destroy net neutrality. Protect the rural communities â€“ we all deserve to be equal under the law, and equal in opportunity.

9271. Mark schweiger, Kansas City, MO, 64108
Hey leave my Internet alone!!!!!Mark schweiger

9272. Internet User, El Dorado Springs, MO, 64744
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Access to freely-available information should not be under the control of for-profit businesses.

9273. Ashley Puderbaugh, Kansas City, MO, 64106
I strongly support net neutrality rules. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.

9274. rusty, Columbia, MO, 65201
I support regulating the internet as a utility and oppose ajit's push to allow providers to pick and choose tomorrows winners and losers, for profit.

9275. Margaret Motley, Kansas City, MO, 64134
Keep greedy corporate hands of the Internet!!!

9276. James Aronson, St Louis, MO, 63108
Liberty and Democracy is what the world needs; not tyranny. Get on board to make the world a better place or go let yourself out to pasture.

9277. Nancy Paul, Rolla, MO, 65401
We need net neutrality so every man, woman and child in America has the opportunity to compete with the rest of the world on a level playing field. Without it we are at a 100% complete disadvantage.

9278. Lois Arconati, St Louis, MO, 63146
Keep the internet available to all

9279. Janet Cuenca, St. Louis, MO, 63146
Ordinary citizens need Net Neutrality in order to use the internet at all. Slow lanes will mean that nothing gets through for ordinary people.

9280. Carol Iglauer, Saint Louis, MO, 63124
If ISPs are allowed to favor one internet source over another, minorities will be at great risk of being discriminated against. Please save Net Neutrality!

9281. Elizabeth Wilson, Neosho, MO, 64850
Finally we have something where information and knowledge can be shared and the truth the people tell you their governments will not. Do not send up back to the stoneage of communications. A Free Nation Should Do ALL It Can to keep a censor free internet going.

9282. Marsha Anderson, Kansas City, MO, 64131
We need a place where everyone has the chance to learn about important things. The large money-hungry companies must not be allowed to sensor what we read. Blind persons now have a better chance to find out other points of view. If the internet is no longer open, we won't have these chances.

9283. Megan Horstmeier, Columbia, MO, 65202
3801 Waco Rd

9284. Ambrose Chiodini, Brentwood, MO, 63144
2648 Louis Avenue

9285. Doug Bybee, Pleasant Hill, MO, 64080
My name is Doug Bybee and I believe that Net Neutrality and Title II should remain standing in law. I am an aspiring programmer and young entrepreneur who works with digital media. If I hope to achieve my dreams of having my own online media business, then I need the FCC to keep its internet regulations. If ISPs could control who can see what websites, then how could I ever get people to visit mine? Starting a business is already difficult and risky, let alone if nobody can visit it because they don't have the right internet availability. Protect Title II. Protect Net Neutrality. We need it for the businesses of tomorrow, the businesses that are only an idea right now.

9286. Kristen Lyle, Columbia, MO, 65202
I have strong concerns that this proposal will restrict internet freedom for most Americans rather than "restore" it. Please do not roll back rules that protect us from throttling, pay tiers and blocking. Though it may seem like ISP's should be able to self-regulate and provide an open and affordable internet, the reality is that there isn't much choice in ISP's for most Americans. I live in a rural area where a single telecom has a monopoly, as is the case for many people in the heartland of America. If ISP's decide to implement policies that throttle usage at our home, it will affect me and my husband's ability to work (we work from home). We don't have another option for a provider, so the FCC is the only body that could potentially protect us from this potential harm. Additionally, removing net neutrality protections creates and atmosphere that leads to greater dominance by monopolistic ISP's, who can then set the rules of engagement online. This atmosphere stifles creative solutions and competition, further stifling the market. I have grave concerns that this proposed rule change would only "restore" internet freedom to already-bloated ISP's, on the backs of regular Americans who require free use of the internet to make a living. The internet is no longer a luxury, it is a requirement for everyday life and work for most people. Please keep rules in place that keep it more accessible, rather than giving ISP's the ability to make it less so. Thank you for taking our comments into consideration.

9287. Kit Blanke, Creve Coeur, MO, 63141
Please, *do not* reclassify ISPs from their current Title II status. After researching the issue (again), it is clear that data service providers cannot be trusted to treat content creators fairly. The same companies that are currently lobbying to undo the 2015 FCC decision, violated the "free internet" rules put in place circa 2010, because they were not considered common carriers under Title I. These companies have shown what they will do under that classification, and it's not innovate and add new infrastructure; they throttled traffic and online services so their customers would be forced to use their competing, inferior services. If Ajit Pai wants to be part of making America great again, then he and the FCC should continue to encourage competition and innovation by keeping the 2015 Title II classifications in place.

9288. Richard Hutson, Lee's Summit, MO, 64063
Removing Net Neutrality protection under Title II opens not only American Citizens but the entire world to information shaped by the Internet providers that provide the connection platform and should NOT be allowed. Communication between endpoint to endpoint is the business of only those two consenting parties and the bits that transfer them are not the intellectual property of any ISP, and the ISP should NOT be allowed to interact in any way beyond providing the committed bandwidth and speed agreed upon in the service contract. Competition in this Capitalist economy of ours requires the connection platform to be unbiased toward internet traffic to allow fledgling companies to thrive where they could otherwise be completely shut out if ISPs had the right to shape connection speed, as they absolutely would if paid enough to do so. Please DO NOT remove the Net Neutrality protections under Title II as proposed by Pai, as doing so only benefits large corporations and has absolutely no benefit for consumers.

9289. miles copple, Joplin, MO, 64804

Keep our internet free!!!

9290. Joshua Walton, East Prairie, MO, 63845
I fully believe that while it was possible for this organization to propose this with open minds, it is directly a violation of personal privacy rights, and is nothing more than an act of greed. This is not okay, and it never will be.Joshua Walton

9291. Illya Starikov, Rolla, MO, 65401
I strongly appose Ajit Paiâ€™s attempts to retract Net Neutrality laws. These retractments seem to stem from the idea that if companies have to fight for the consumerâ€™s money, the quality will go up. But thatâ€™s not the case. Many areas have one, maybe two, options for internet. Ratings for said companies are abismal. This wonâ€™t change by giving them more power. Please, please, consider some of the comments being filed.

9292. Suzanne Conaway, Kansas City, MO, 64116
Removing Internet neutrality is curtailing freedom of the press.Are we turning into a Communist nation or totalitarian government? Protect net neutrality.

9293. Norma Robb, Columbia, MO, 65202
I am concerned about the future of net neutrality. Please do not support it. Thank you.

9294. Ray Huss, Independence, MO, 64055
Why do the big guys always want an unfair advantage? If you do not like bullies, keep net neutrality.

9295. Doris Miller, Mount Vernon, MO, 65712

9296. Joyce Dvorak, Kansas City, MO, 64138
Knowledge is power, the old adage goes. Right now, the internet is the freest form of the exchange of information. Our old media coverage has lost the public's trust as it has become a tool for 5-6 media moguls who determine which news is fit to print or view. When I watch the news and flip channels, I find exactly the same topics shown in almost the same order. The world has far more going on than our local channels will show us.Getting rid of the protections the Obama administration placed on the internet will undermine our democracy. Only wealthier citizens will be fully able to access information quickly. ISPs will determine which information should be allowed and which should not (or should be more difficult to access). I prefer not to live in George Orwell's Animal Farm, where some people are more equal than others. Please ensure that the internet remains neutral and equal for all.Sincerely,Dr. J. Anne Dvorak

9297. Don Conway-Long, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to all internet users. It is essential to protect our access.ISPs should not have the power to block websites, slow them down, give preferred sites advantages over others, or create a new class system with "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

9298. Stan Miller, Elsberry, MO, 63343
The free, unencumbered internet is vitally important to the defense of our freedoms. Keep Net Neutrality, Please. Please have a conscience. thank you.

9299. Martha Jaegers, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Free exchange of ideas and opinions may be confusing at times, but life has never been simple. The internet is today's most used manner of communication, and it must remain free and open to users. No fair for any individual or corporation or company to commandeer it or try to control it. Keep the net neutral.

9300. Scott Cragin, Webb City, MO, 64870
Please keep the Internet on a level playing field. The attack on net neutrality only benefits the already powerful. But I guess they are who pays for your campaigns so you're beholden to them. Get a conscience!

9301. Patricia King, Warsaw, MO, 65355
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to support free speech and the ability to freely share diverse perspectives. Big companies should not have the right to control how citizens of America communicate and doing so is an abridgement of citizens rights. I urge you to protect these rights and protect a free and open internet.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts

consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

9302. Robert Anderson, Liberty, MO, 64068
Leave the internet alone. Censorship for your political goals is tyranny!

9303. Joni Jay, Ballwin, MO, 63011
The proposed net neutrality regulations are, in effect, censorship. They do not serve the public...just corporations.

9304. Carol Lee, Lees Summit, MO, 64064
It is ridiculous to censor what every American does and give my internet company the right to charge me or anyone for what we look at on the internet. This Wrong and unjust, we already pay for the service but that would take it to far. It invades my privacy. I urge you to not allow this to happen. Thank You Carol Lee

9305. Erin Garrisom, Clarksville, MO, 63336
The internet should belong to everyone. Please do not allow it to be exploited!

9306. John Ruf, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
Net neurality is imperative, for democracy and for fair trade. Please, you must maintain it.

9307. Anthony Shiu, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64114
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to American democracy and free expresssion. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

9308. Rebekah Buck, Carthage, MO, 64836
Protect net neutrality. I will drop and boycott AT&T and other entities if they perstst in getting their way. Protect freedom on the web!

9309. John Sunde, Saint Joseph, MO, 64501
Don't let the greedy corporate ISP's ruin what is essentially a utility. Regulate it for OUR benefit, not for their greedy profits.

9310. Andrew Luten, Scott City, MO, 63780
This is no longer considered a matter of business by many people, but a right that must be held to standard. The internet has become an endless source of knowledge, connection, and inspiration to all. I believe it would be terrible if we ever allow this to be controlled by a business man who is only concerned with the bottom line. I have serious concerns for knowledge, safety, and freedom if companies are allowed to dictate what information you may access and when you may have access to it. Most of us would not be writing unless we felt very, very strongly about these protections and so we write again and again for you to see just how valuable your retention of these laws and protections mean to us as a country, a culture, and even a planet. I thank you very much for the time you spend on this important work and may your day be blessed and bright with all the little mercies there can be for you.

9311. Marsha Hentzel, Maryland Heights, MO, 63043
The American people pay outrageous fees to Comcast, Verizon and ATT now for services that were at one time affordable and even free - underwritten by comercial. Leave the net alone. Net neutrality should be a right of the American public and the rest of the world. Thank you,

9312. Vicki Buss, Columbia, MO, 65202
Please keep net neutrality. It's important for all of us!!Thank you.Vicki Buss

9313. Kathy Fridge, Nixa, MO, 65714
What ever happened to the Republican party that was all about the rights of the individual and against too much government?! Now

you're trying to regulate EVERYTHING! Don't do this. Don't end NET NEUTRALITY. The FCC's Open Internet Rules are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

9314. Jeffrey Kurtzman, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
I am in favor of net neutrality and opposed to allowing specific companies to control user access.

9315. Adam Long, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
Please keep the internet free for all and not controlled by people with money who want to control everything.

9316. Brenda Voyles, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
The internet is a public utility. We must keep the principle of neutrality. All users should have FAIR access. If internet companies are allowed to restrict access with high fees and slow connections, many low income users will be harmed. Imagine someone out of work trying to find and apply for a job, but can't because he doesn't have good access. ALL Americans must be treated equally. It's the American way.

9317. David Maffitt, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63130
support net neutrality! It is essential for open and free society, where the poor have some hope of crawling out of their hole.

9318. Ann Sammons, Saint Louis, MO, 63114
Do not block/change/do away with Net Neutrality. This is bad for small business, bloggers, students. Don't let Greed win. Large companies will control the internet. They already own or run everything else. Let us have this. Let this remain fair and open to all. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I'm concerned that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, will ignore the voices of millions of Americans. Don't allow the voices and deep pockets of the few over rule the many. Allow Net Neutrality to stand. Thank you.

9319. Michael Windler, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
It seems this issue was settled just recently. Are we going to have to do this every time the Republican Party is in the majority? This issue will only hurt every citizen of both parties. But Big Business and Washington Lobbyists will be happy.As President Trump would say.Sad!

9320. Margaret Hermes, Saint Louis, MO, 63112
Equal internet access is now essential to real democracy. Please do not allow corporate interests to supersede net neutrality.

9321. N Miceli, Kansas City, MO, 64154
Comcast, Verizon and AT&T want to end net neutrality so they can charge extra fees to control what we see & do online. This is unacceptable. They are supposed to serve the public interest. I cannot phrase my opposition to this move strongly enough.Respectfully submitted,N. S. Miceli, Ph.D.

9322. Dave Johnson, Columbia, MO, 65203
Keep the internet neutral -- it's the democratic way.Don't prioritize money.

9323. Duane Graham, INDEPENDENCE, MO, 64055
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) must be protected.ISPs must not be given the power to block websites or give some sites an advantage over others.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.If the FCC lifts the rules both consumers and businesses will be hurt.If some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. This would seriously impair the open marketplace.Thank you!

9324. Betty LaCoste, Raymore, MO, 64083
I am opposed to changes to net neutrality because it will increase cost and control what users can see and do.

9325. David Thoma, Kansas City, MO, 64133
again we have the c#$%^&g Republicans trying to interfere in citizens lives. Trying to give corporations something that belongs to the public Keep net neutrality

9326. Linda Martin, Neosho, MO, 64850
Please do not let corporations destroy what we have. They already make outrageous profit. Leave the rules on net neutrality alone. Thank you.

9327. Dorothy Slane, Columbia, MO, 65203
Dear Government: I teach online for our military students both abroad and here in the US. They depend on a net neutrality to be able to accomplish their homework on time. If neutrality ends, their education will be impeded. That is not very patriotic.There are also even more civilian students depending on net neutrality since so much education has moved from the physical classroom to the virtual one. Do not harm them.Thank you.Dr. SlaneAdjunct/History online at two different schools

9328. Daniel Leary, Moody, MO, 65777
Don't let big money take over the internet.

9329. Carol Christ, Fenton, MO, 63026
The internet should belong to all of us, not just the large corporations; it's the American way!

9330. Melissa Long, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
Internet service providers should not control what Americans see and do online. Net neutrality is freedom of speech, freedom of access to information and must be preserved.

9331. Lawrence Lewis, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
Both personally and professionally I stand with millions of internet users who believe that the internet must be open to all without impediment. It is imperative that I have optimal access for my work, and it is wrong to pay what could become usurious fees to providers for having this capability. Thank you.Lawrence Lewis MD

9332. Dustin Altmann, Saint Louis, MO, 63131
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and the future of democracy. I urge you to protect them and open communication in the free world. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

9333. Avis Erickson, Liberty, MO, 64068
Please keep NET NEUTRALITY.

9334. Gay Norris, Saint Louis, MO, 63111
It is your job to protect our democracy not greedy wall Street Taliban thugs who want to destroy this country

9335. Joe Forrester, Ashland, MO, 65010
The internet was developed with American tax payer dollars(ARPANet). We shared it with the world. It belongs to the people for their use and improvement of society. Not to the ISP's for their profit.

9336. Nicholas Mannion, St louis, MO, 63122
America was founded on the right to equal opportunity to every individual. The internet being one of the most influential advantages to our economy should remain a privilege to all people and all forms of competition. Competition is what makes us thrive.

9337. Francisco Hernandez, Troy, MO, 63379
This isn't a smart move. Putting the same of the Internet into the hands of big faceless corporations isn't going to help the common everyday citizen. It'll probably make things far more difficult in the long run! I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

9338. David Ellis, FLORISSANT, MO, 63034

9339. Carol Bloomer, Liberty, MO, 64068
Don't destroy Title II net neutrality. I want the open internet. Thank you.

9340. RITA Nornberg, Saint Louis, MO, 63105
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!!!! THIS IS NOT A BANANA REPUBLIC. THIS IS AMERICA- LET'S KEEP IT THAT WAY.

9341. Susan Ulmer, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
I am in support of net neutrality rules!! These FCC Open Internet Rules are so important to so many! I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

9342. Rebecca Durst, Saint Louis, MO, 63112
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and my husband's job. You could bankrupt us if you give into big internet companies. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

9343. Susan Schabilion, Columbia, MO, 65203
5466380004210599

9344. Patricia Tursi, Springfield, MO, 65804
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) be maintained or it will mean further losses in interest in voting and businesshave to I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

9345. Bob Smith, Kansas City, MO, 64138
Net neutrality ensures individual freedom over corporate greed and control of what we see. If you are for the free interchange of ideas that strengthen our country then you must not support any attempt to take away net neutrality.

9346. Margo Fitting, Couch, MO, 65690
Rural areas like ours are already severely limited in internet access and have little choice. Most people can't afford any of the available television and/or internet access services. Available services are already s-l-o-w here. PLEASE, do not constrain us further by adding to our cost for what has become a necessity in our society.Sincere Regards;Margo B. Fitting

9347. Katheryn Shields, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Please continue the current policy of net neutrality. This is essential so all Americans can have equal access to the internet and to information.

9348. Wayne Lucas, Kansas City, MO, 64118

9349. Teresa Cicela, Deepwater, MO, 64740
Dear FCC,Count me as another citizen who does not want to be manipulated as a consumer. Please retain net neutrality. Please do not put profit before people, corporations before citizens.We don't want to pay for fast lanes. We want equal access. Ending net neutrality would be a win for big companies and a loss for small businesses.

9350. Linda Yow, Glenallen, MO, 63751
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to the people of the United States. I urge you to protect them.God grant you the courage to cope with this.

9351. Judy Creason, Saint Louis, MO, 63131
Citizens care that you protect the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules).Thank you!

9352. Tom Schwegler, KANSAS CITY, MO, 64152
As an Internet user, I believe the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to please protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you for considering this critical issue.

9353. Anthonhy D.Grana, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
Do The Morally CorrectRight Thing for NET Neutrality... Love,Peace,Alwahys... Freedom of Speeches an essential in a Democratic Country.]

9354. Hardy Baker, Willow Springs, MO, 65793
4552250184806557

9355. David Martin, COLUMBIA, MO, 65203
Why are we having this discussion again? There can't possibly be a sentient internet user clamoring for repeal of net neutrality. It's just evil to make millions pay the price for the greed of a few.

9356. Andrew Podleski, Florissant, MO, 63031
My personal experience with the FCC has not been a happy one. Your predecessors allowed the oldest FM station (and the best ALL Classical music station) to be sold without listening to citizen comment. So I do not expect you to support Americans in this issue. Big money will out. So sad. Please make me wrong and continue net-neutrality.

9357. David Ellis, FLORISSANT, MO, 63034

9358. Glorianna Lecuyer, Saint Louis, MO, 63112
Allow the internet to remain neutral for all users.

9359. Daniel Leary, Moody, MO, 65777
The internet and the net neutrality rules that keep it fair to everyone are both important to me and to my life. Please keep the internet free and equally open to all of us.

9360. David Flint, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
Don't screw with my internet.

9361. Megan Tucker, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
I am a small business owner whose income largely depends on the traffic to, and interaction with, my websites. Full and complete Net

Neutrality is vital for my business, income, and survival. It prevents corporations or vested interests from acting in such a way as to limit traffic to my websites and harm my sales, in the interest of elimination of competition. Net Neutrality is also vital for the Freedom of Speech. Any loss of Net Neutrality opens the door to a kind of veiled censorship, where websites expressing opposing viewpoints could be suppressed by vested interests.

9362. L Bres, Ballwin, MO, 63021
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are essential to our country's economic status and extremely important to me. I implore you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

9363. Carolyn Johnson, Dexter, MO, 63841
I depend on the open internet to supplement my Social Security income and pay for the drugs I need to survive. I can't afford to pay extra for better and faster internet service, and I can't afford slower access. I don't understand why the FCC would work to make the internet rules discriminate against us well off people. The challenge for you is to do what Jesus would do. Thank you for considering my comments.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

9364. Charles Johnson, Springfield, MO, 65803
Protect net neutrality it's important to all of us.

9365. Jeanne Hevesy, Saint Louis, MO, 63126
I support net neutrality and urge the FCC to stand with the majority of Americans who agree with me.

9366. Rebecca Smith, Kansas City, MO, 64112
Do NOT end Net Neutrality. Ending it would be a grave disservice to us all.

9367. Linda Offineer, Fulton, MO, 65251
The use of the internet is and should be freefor all users. No corporations or business shouldhave the power to charge or control internet usefor their benefit.

9368. Anna Tenkku-Sadaka, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63141
It is the job of the FCC to protect the rights of all Americans and protect the smalle business by keeping net neutrality

9369. Justin Chee, Saint Louis, MO, 63132
Do not go through with the termination of Net Neutrality. This is NOT the direction we want to be going with our future, and you will make a lot of people unhappy. i do NOT in ANY way support the decision to get rid of net neutrality. please KEEP THINGS THE WAY THEY ARE and allow net neutrality to continue existing. Thank you.

9370. J O, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
Keep the net free as a platform presenting an obstacle toward any attempt toward overturning democratic systems like the one in the US . That is imperative.

9371. Jennifer White, Kansas City, MO, 64109
It is important to me that net neutrality be maintained . My access to information should not be able to be bought by the highest bidder. I want our internet to function like our country was founded to be: by the people and for the people.

9372. Thomas Calvin, Saint Louis, MO, 63121
Many people have online businesses that give many people the opportunity to earn living. Removing net neutrality is a threat to the internet jobs that gives thousands of people the ability to make a living by doing something they love. Saying removing net neutrality will create jobs it also has a high risk of taking away jobs.

9373. Nathan Bahr, Kansas City, MO, 64106
Please do not remove net neutrality. You serve the American people, no the telecom industry.

9374. Judy Leonard, Saint Louis, MO, 63121
Do not end net neutrality. It is important to every citizen to be able to receive information from the little guy as well as the big one!

9375. Hannelore Toombs, Saint Louis, MO, 63143
e need net neutrality in order to stay informed and have quick, unbiased access to information that is readily available. It is a fact that most people do not go past the first page of search results when accessing their computers for information. Without net neutrliaty results would be skewed and the public would no longer be reading inforamtion that is somewhat unbiased.

9376. Christine Garhart, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63121
I support the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules). I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Do not let ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

9377. Holly Connoley, High Ridge, MO, 63049
I urge you to protect the FCC's Open Internet Rules. I don't want anyone to be able to control any aspect of my searching, or slow my internet down.It would be unfair to let anyone control what you can do on the internet because of what someone can pay. All information on the internet should be available to everyone.Thank You,Holly Connoley

9378. Natalie Kauffman, Saint Louis, MO, 63141
Enough inaction already.Get your acts together and do your jobs. Work for the country, not campaign contributions and payoffs.

9379. Susan Schabilion, Columbia, MO, 65203
5466380004210599

9380. Kathy Lockwood, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I urge you to protect the FCC's Open Internet Rules.

9381. Kevin Thomas, Branson, MO, 65616
Information should be equally available.

9382. Kathy Lockwood, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I urge you to protect the FCC's Open Internet Rules. No extra charges or control!

9383. David Huffine, New Cambria, MO, 63558
ENDING NET NEUTRALITY IS JUST ANOTHER ATTEMPT BY THE MEGARICH TO BECOME EVEN RICHER. PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY.

9384. John Sunde, Saint Joseph, MO, 64501
It is a utility. Regulate it as such.No favorites. No cheating.

9385. Orion Allman, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
Protect the internet. Don't let companies control the ONE FREE MEDIA SOURCE! Please think of the common people!

9386. Tom Kirchner, Lohman, MO, 65053
Do not let money decide who gets to have internet access at a high rate of speed. We need to have the internet saved from big corps who rule by bribing their way into power and influence.

9387. Connie Hoffmann, Queen City, MO, 63561
please keep net neutrality as in a rural area it is very important to me.

9388. Uhlenbrock Lisa, Saint Louis, MO, 63112

I strongly support Net Neutrality, freedom of speech

9389. Iris Pugh, Grandview, MO, 64030
5709 E 139th TerApt 3

9390. Douglas Miller, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63146
Should the FCC's Open Internet Rules be changed, as is now threatened, I fear it will only serve to widen the gaps that have evolved in our country. I therefore urge you to protect the net neutrality rules as to allow equal access to the internet for all.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

9391. Walter Hubler, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
Keep net neutrality stop the greed from controlling the internet.

9392. Kenneth Beckham, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
Please note that I support net neutrality. I believe that Internet should be completely neutral.

9393. Eric Gero, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
Net Neutrality is a cancer to the American consumer. The freedom to choose and choose well will be taken from everyday small businesses and given to large MNCs who commit very little to American prosperity. Do not let this happen.

9394. David Roper, Pacific, MO, 63069
This is nothing more than censorship for profit. Free and open access to information is the foundation of an informed society.

9395. Anthony barton, Saint Louis, MO, 63103
Stop trying to make money off literally every aspect of life. The internet is sacred. It should be free. Either way this goes the internet will still be free and a new and improved way in the form of a back door will be created and your controlling efforts will be in vain. Make the world better for once and not shittier.

9396. Charlene Brown, Eureka, MO, 63025
Do not end net neutrality. Keepthe internet open free for all.

9397. William Schwartz, Springfield, MO, 65804
You realize that when you are done doing "D's" dirty work he will probably eliminate the FCC

9398. Kevin McKinney, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Chairman Pai does not represent us. He ignores your constituents because we did not elect him. But we did elect you. Please put a stop to the mess that he is creating. Internet throttling and media merging are bad for business and bad for our democracy. Thanks, Kevin McKinney

9399. Yuritza Campos, Kansas City, MO, 64134
The Internet should be everyone's free will. Not only will this obscure act limit bright minds but also cut short a lively advancement in our lives that anyone and everyone has been able to profit from. Don't monopolize the net.

9400. Samuel Kali, Smithville, MO, 64089
We live in the land of the free and the Internet is a prime example of the freedom to search whatever we want with out having to pay you extra for content that is rightfully ours considering the recent raises in cost of my monthly intent services a thing like this would not only lead to you losing me as a customer but you'd also lose the faith of the American people and many more customers don't do this keep net neutrality a thing ya commies

9401. Katie Canale, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
Censorship is just plain wrong. Why are we reliving what history has already taught us?

9402. Glenda Ehrle, Marthasville, MO, 63357
Net Neutality rules prohibit Internet providers from blocking, throttling, and paid prioritizationÃ¢Â€Â”"fast lanes" for sites that pay, and slow lanes for everyone else. We need to keep Net Neutrality and not turn the US into a 3 world country as far as the internet goes. In fact Net Neutrality should be expanded into all our rural areas of the country.

9403. Lisa Schwarz, Saint Louis, MO, 63129

The FCC's Open Internet Rules are extremely important to me, my family, as well as businesses, agencies, and institutions throughout the US. By riscinding these rules government is Allowing fee-based speed and/or preferential access, which is not cost effective or efficient for all aforementioned persons and groups.In my opinion, Internet access should be free for all to allow for the same, equal access for all citizens and groups/businesses.Not having these rules will provide the impetus for more and increased fees. Paying for faster and better access will negatively impact persons financially through higher costs for internet and services that will offer various levels of speed to limit other's access. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

9404. Jill Jackson, Columbia, MO, 65201
I strongly support Net neutrality and prohibiting providers from blocking or selling "fast lanes." Providers should not be permitted to control what their customers do online.Please continue net neutrality rules.

9405. Heather Cotter, Springfield, MO, 65807
Net Neutrality is vital to equal protection of individuals and even corporations under the law. Without it, small businesses and educational institutions can be strong-armed by large companies, who will also lose money as they have to pay for ISP fastlanes, and lose business as customers cancel service when trying to keep up with fees requires them to raise prices. Protect big business, small business, and consumers alike. Protect net neutrality!

9406. Susan Schabilion, Columbia, MO, 65203
5466380004210599

9407. Nancy Ford, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
I support net neutrality. Do not repeal the net neutrality rules.

9408. MJ Ariyanto, Saint Louis, MO, 63125
Net neutrality is extremely important for the USA to save democracy. I lived in a military dictatorship for 12 years and the internet was not allowed. Communist China does not allow net neutrality. Please save net neutrality!

9409. David Barnes, Appleton City, MO, 64724
Keep the Internet free and open... a Title 2 utility. For the public commons. American taxpayers paid for the invention of the Internet (via Defense Dept.). Don't punish us by making the Net worse.Thank you!

9410. Eugene Grossmann, FLORISSANT, MO, 63031
Do not sell the internet to the highest bidder.

9411. Vee Scotty, Independence, MO, 64050
Let us remember where we live. I have the freedom in America to get news, even if I disagree with them, and form my own opinions. And it should not cost extra, as this freedom guaranteed by the constitution has been paid for by all those who have and now do serve our country.

9412. Ronald Rouse, COLUMBIA, MO, 65202
Dear FCC/Congress,The Internet is for everyone, everywhere and should not be subject to fees for access. Free speech and the free flow of information is of paramount importance to inform the citizens of the world. Corporations should not have the power to limit free speech, ever.Ronald M (Mac) Rouse

9413. Rolando Baides, Hazelwood, MO, 63042
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2 please!!!!

9414. David Chesher, Pleasant Valley, MO, 64068
Net neutrality is the only way free and open communication can survive in our country. Because this will ultimately affect all voting citizens of the USA, those who oppose it will find themselves on the wrong side of what will absolutely become a deciding issue. Please keep the internet free and neutral.

9415. Ben Davis, St Louis, MO, 63146
We need Net Neutrality to prevent ISPs from abusing their monopoly power and preventing users from seeing 'inconvenient' facts. Repealing NN will be a disaster for America.

9416. Lisa Danko Dunakey, Wright City,, MO, 63390

It should be a HUMAN RIGHT to gain information, UNRESTRICTED, through the internet. As an INDIVIDUAL, it should be my right to make an educated decision with the resources this world offers, without any influences of special interests groups or organizations dictating sources of of said resources.

9417. matt hecky, Lake St. Louis, MO, 63367
Please do not go through with removing net neutrality. I work for a small internet based company and am afraid that the company will suffer as a result. without net neutrality isps can create bidding wars just to get equal treatment in regards to internet load speeds. How is a small company of 200 employees supposed to compete with major corporations? And in another thought as a consumer I do not want net neutrality removed. The isps have shown that they are only out for profits and it's only a matter of time until they start charging premiums for access to websites or for faster connections. OF COURSE I DONT WANT TO PAY MORE. So as I said I am 100% in favor of net neutrality and you are doing the country a disservice by removing it.

9418. Darrick, Kansas City, MO, 64106
Please keep internet service providers under tight Title II regulation. The idea that we should trust one of the most corrupt and unethical industries in America to police themselves is utterly laughable. I oppose Ajit Pai's suggestion to let these ultra rich companies manipulate and control the citizens of the United States even more than they already have. This attempt to move to a 'Pay for play' system represents everything wrong with the current administration and their goal of consolidating ever more power into the hands of the wealthy. The fact that so many of the submissions on this website are obviously 'astroturfed' by the cable companies is further proof of their immorality. Claiming that the government is controlling the internet by requiring that all information be treated equally is so irrational that not even the dumbest of our citizens would believe it. It is clear that these submissions are made by and for TWC, Comcast, and the rest. Please do not reward this level of corruption and subversion of our democratic process.

9419. Joshua J Price, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
It'd be nice if congress would stop bending at the knee for large corporations, but who am I kidding?Joshua J Price

9420. Chelsea R. Parker Harp, St. Louis, MO, 63112
I am opposed to the changes proposed by the "Restoring Internet Freedom" act. The current Open Internet rules protect users from the selfish interests of the government-subsidized monopolies of telecom giants like Verizon, Comcast, and AT&T. The FCC must ensure a fair and open internet for all by opposing efforts to undermine net neutrality. The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal to allow the aforementioned telecom corporations to enforce "pay-to-play" Internet services that would turn Internet Service Providers into gatekeepers with the ability to veto innovation and expression. The current net neutrality rules are important to me because I use the internet for entertainment and employment and I do not want internet service providers to be able to limit what I am able to do online. Please keep the current Title II net neutrality rules in place to protect internet users like me (and to protect those who can't afford computers but who must use publicly accessible, internet-connected computers to complete schoolwork and job applications).

9421. Jonathan Trim, Seneca, MO, 64865
Net neutrality is important for American citizens and small businesses. We shouldn't allow large ISPs to decide what websites deserve to be seen and what sites need to be censored. I believe the title II classification should be left in tact.

9422. Jenny, St Louis, MO, 63109
We need net neutrality so that small creative businesses have the same chance as larger ones to reach their audience. Creative freedom will be under serious threat if larger corporations are allowed to control internet content and stifle the voices in the minority.

9423. Kristopher Holland, Rolla, MO, 65401
Net neutrality is vital to keeping the internet a free, open platform and resource to everyone. Large ISP's have already demonstrated their inability to allow the internet to remain as such in instances such as when Comcast throttled traffic to Netflix, or Time Warner throttled access to popular video game League of Legends. Net Neutrality must remain intact and it should absolutely be enforced.

9424. David Walz, Kansas City, MO, 64111
We need to keep the internet open. It's a basic need of all citizens. Please support net neutrality.Thank you~ David Walz

9425. Daniel Deyanov, Burfordville, MO, 63739
do not do this shit

9426. Daniel Summerford, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Don't end net neutrality!

9427. Rob Garrow, Liberty, MO, 64068
I urge you to please keep the internet net neutral. The internet is not a commodity, but a utility in this day and age. By removing net nutrality laws, our country and culture would be put into a tremendous disadvantage with the world.

9428. Eric , Lees Summit, MO, 64081
Because of the open net, I was able to attend and graduate college. Because of the open internet, my small business has been able to grow over the years. Because of the open net, I was able to find my girlfriend. Because of the open net, I have found online communities that have helped me through suicidal thoughts and sleepless nights.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most

valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

9429. Andrew Duenke, Saint Louis, MO, 63143
I fully support net neutrality. How does giving ISPs the rights to impede traffic to websites help anyone besides the ISPs themselves? Why would any politician/organization support this move besides receiving kickbacks from these companies? I already called my legislator about the ability for ISPs to sell my history for gain, and now I am writing again to make sure that the FCC/congress doesn't mess this up too.Equal treatment of all internet traffic is what gives us the ability to choose the best provider of so many services. I do not want any ISP to be able to make those decisions for me. Do you think Netflix/Hulu would have even been available if Charter had the ability to slow them down? NO WAY. Do you think any other small companies could get a start if a larger company paid the ISPs enough money? NOT A CHANCE.This is not a question of slowing down an ISPs ability to provide service. This is a question of whether an ISP can suffocate any opposing product, and make money off anyone else who wants to strangle their competition.Chairman Pai, has either been drinking Verizon kool aid too long, or has a stake in the large amounts of money about to be made by the ISPs. I AM SO SICK OF THE CORRUPTION!

9430. Jack Muenks, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
I'll feel my rights are being inflicted upon if net neutrality changes in the ways that it is projected to change.

9431. TerrAnnie Scott, Kansas City, MO, 64114
That's extremely dictatorship behavior censoring websites that don't need to be censored. Censorship is wrong unless it's for inappropriate things, most websites are good. though so why censor something that doesn't need to be censored. This doesn't even promote the overthrow of the government and even if it did I'm pretty sure you can censor it. Idk what country this website is based. but websites are protected under the first amendement and we are allowed free press unless it causes danger to others. last time I checked learning Spanish isn't a danger and can actually benefit most people. So calm yourself. I would like a reason for y this is happening because it doesn't sound reasonable thanks for your time I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

9432. Susan Wende, House Springs, MO, 63051
Shame on big cable greed. Shame on the government if you do not protect Internet freedom. Protect net neutrality immediatley. Thank you.

9433. Andrew Kuhn, Hazelwood, MO, 63042
Net Neutrality stands as a pillar in our democracy. We need you to protect this crucial aspect of a free and open society.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

9434. Jeremy Williams, Webb City, MO, 64870
Dear FCC,The phone company does not give me poorer service depending on whom I call.The post office does not give me slower service depending on whom I mail.ISPs should not be able to give me worse service based on what website I want to visit.Neutrality of

internet access is crucial to free speech and fair access for all. Please defend net neutrality. Thank you.

9435. Scott Williams, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
Cable and phone companies already have too much power over the services Americans consume. We have little control over elective media that we subscribe to with little or no option to shop around. When it comes to the more vital access to the internet, these telecommunications carriers should not have the ability to control my access to free speech. The supreme court just ruled that the internet is a mechanism to free speech. I want the FCC to continue to prevent my internet service provider from interfering with how and when I utilize my free speech.Please keep Net Neutrality rules in place and ensure that ISPs remain under Title II

9436. Margaret Phillips, St. Louis, MO, 63130
We need net neutrality! Please protect it. We can't allow for-profit corporate interests to control what we see for their own benefit. Please protect it!Margaret B. Phillips6203 Washington Ave.St. Louis, MO 63130

9437. Mary Poepsel, Columbia, MO, 65203
Cable and phone companies provide access to the internet which in this age has become vital to a well-informed public. I have never known a large corporation to have my best interests at heart when my best interests might impact their bottom line financially.I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality rules and keep Title II in place for broadband internet access. He should do his job for the public good not to increase profits for these huge conglomerates.

9438. Velma Mata, Saint Louis, MO, 63128
Net Neutrality is important to all Americans. I dont want to see my internet access regulated by big companies with deep pockets.Please repeal Trump's efforts to end net neutrality and control freedom of speech.Sincerely,Velma Mata

9439. Chris White, Webb City, MO, 64870
Regulating internet means controlling the means for average people to better themselves. This is evil.

9440. Laci , Saint Louis, MO, 63143
In America our President should be protecting life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. The open internet allows entrepreneurship to flourish and having that taken away will be a huge step back for our country and a disgrace.

9441. Nimali Siriwardana, Columbia, MO, 65203
I believe as an American I have the right to net neutrality. An open and neutral internet is essential to this country and the freedom of its citizens. Phone and Cable companies have no right and no reason (besides their own greed) to filter our access to the internet and there should be regulations in place to stop them from trying and to protect the people.

9442. Bradley Soble, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
The internet is not to be strangled by the major corporations.The only people this helps are the capitalists at the top of the ivory buildings. The regular man is left at the whim of an anarcho-capitalist system that amounts itself to modern feudalism.

9443. Carmella Belton, Belton, MO, 64012
Those in charge are continually trying to restrict what we do with our bodies, our lives, etc and this is no different! Stay out of my life and my decisions. I am capable of making my own decisions about what I want to see I don't need my cable company trying to screw me more than they already do.

9444. Boris Kelley, Springfield, MO, 65804
If Net Neutrality is taken away from me, I can't do the majority of things I like to do. Hell, who's to say that research will be more difficult if Net Neutrality is gone.

9445. Asche , Saint Louis, MO, 63134
It is important to be,able to connect to all major servers ! Without being penalized because some corps and isp's want favoritism !

9446. Elizabeth Cox, Macon, MO, 63552
We deserve to have our rights!

9447. Edward Goretzke, New Florence, MO, 63363
One need not explain why Net Neutrality is the best policy. We the People can see that it is and We have spoken.

9448. Grifyn , Brookfield, MO, 64628
Why would you kill net-neutrality, it just doesn't make sense. Don't sell out to the ass*****, and pick up some common sense.

9449. Debbie Jeffery, Independence, MO, 64052
In a world of so much inequality - the net is one of the remaining level playing fields.

9450. Tony , New Bloomfield, MO, 65063
My business is developing web applications. Net neutrality would hamper or worse yet, destroy my business.

9451. , Imperial, MO, 63052
Free and equal access to information makes a free and equal society.

9452. Sara Childs, Troy, MO, 63379
Our country was founded on Freedom and Democracy. To nurture a country where everyone is free, and then to take their freedoms away from them is a cruel and unusual punishment. Net Neutrality gives us the freedom to hear unfiltered truth. I can assure you that should this bill pass, I along with millions of others will cease to support any business that did not stand in its way. We also will do

everything in our power to get Pai out of office for good along with anyone who did not oppose him. Keep our internet free, in the monetary sense and literal one. It's a simple concept that I wouldn't expect greedy politicians to understand, but do try to. Best regards.

9453. Thomas , Tebbetts, MO, 65080
ISP's should not control what we can view on the internet. Net Neutrality gives us freedom to do whatever we like, regardless of who we are. Free speech

9454. Mari-Lourdes Woodfin, Villa Ridge, MO, 63089
It just makes me sad that money is always worth more than the efficiency and well being of our country. We live in an internet powered society; to slow that down is to slow our society down. Why would you want such a thing? Isn't our goal as a nation is to move forward?

9455. Maggie Zdrodowski, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
It lets my family and myself stay connected to each other even when we are apart. It help create communities and spread news faster then we have ever seen before. It brings joy and heartbreak happiness and loss. If our government takes our internet away they are barring a right of speech and expression

9456. Brendan Falsetto, Saint Louis, MO, 63128
An open, free, democratic internet is essential to this world!

9457. Michael Baeten, St Peters, MO, 63376
Access to the internet needs to be protected from companies who seek to control access and information flow.

9458. Vivianne Purvis, Saint Joseph, MO, 64503
Net neutrality should be an inherit human right, however it is not seen this way by the FCC.Firstly, let's imagine how minors will be affected by strict anti net neutrality rules. Sadly, more and more minors are growing up in unloving homes. The Internet provides a safe space for them. It's the only place where they can talk about mental health without being judged by people they know personally. Secondly, how would small business owners be affected? Many small businesses rely on the Internet. Look at how many independent Etsy shops and even some stand-alone websites there are! Since it's so hard to get a job in America, these people might go homeless if they can't access the websites they do their business on.Thirdly, and arguably most importantly, how will the future generations be affected? They will grow up to believe it's normal for the government to control everything they do, even the Internet, which is supposed to be anonymous. They will grow up with trust issues from not being able to trust their own government.

9459. John Lloyd, King City, MO, 64463
In a free society no one individual, company or group should be able to dictate what I can and cannot have access to watch, read or view over the internet! Net Neutrality is as important as free speech and should be protected. Enough is enough! We can never allow corporations this kind of control over anything. If you or anyone thinks that's a good thing then you do not believe in or deserve freedom! Please do no allow this to occur!

9460. Cj , Hallsville, MO, 65255
I don't want such big companies calling the shots. This will restrict the creative minds of the people and make the network inaccessible to a mass majority of the public.

9461. Collin laramee, Kansas City, MO, 64105
Much of my life revolves around net neutrality. The communities I work with require it, I have built businesses due to it, and I have seen lives changed through methods net neutrality made possible. Net Neutrality is NON-NEGOTIABLE. The modern world revolves around the internet - without net neutrality, the internet can be owned. The internet as we know it will cease to exist, and it will be no different from a cable service - providers show you what they want, when they want, without any pushback. This is unacceptable.

9462. Robert Eshelman, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Net neutrality is important because it is the representation of free speech. The internet is now not just a commodity, it is a necessary utility that everyone should have equal access too and net neutrality fully supports that thought. If net neutrality is taken away, I feel like we will be at the whim of big internet providers, which I think would not bode well.

9463. Errol Leslie, Wildwood, MO, 63025
You cannot silence the people.

9464. Ben Hemphill, Kansas City, MO, 64157
Title 2 regulation is for services that are a fundamental need for our modern society. Internet access is now akin to Electrical access in our society. You can live without electrical access, you can live without internet access. but every modern tool needs electricity, and at a startling pace, every modern tool needs internet access.Additionally the internet is akin to a public commons. Denying or adding lines or blocking off a section of a public common area unless a fee is payed is just as wrong as doing so for the internet commons. Sincerely,Ben Hemphill

9465. Evan Mitchell, Kansas City, MO, 64109
The internet allows the people of the country to connect and communicate on a level playing field. Dismantling the utility would create inequality among the masses. I believe that everyone should have equal access to internet, as a whole. I don't support any action that go against equal access. Keep it neutral as it's the right thing to do!

9466. Emme Mayle, Springfield, MO, 65807
The internet is used to learn, to educate young minds. It needs to stay as free and open as we can keep it so that the next generation is smarter than this one and so on.

9467. Chloe Rhein, Saint Joseph, MO, 64503
Freedom of speech. Taking away an open internet is going the complete opposite direction of innovation. It's taking away rights.

9468. Andy , Saint Joseph, MO, 64507
As a student about to enter college, the internet is a great tool to help further myself and others educations, and taking the internet and making it a tool for more money would hurt many people in the long run

9469. , Lamar, MO, 64759
I like being able to view what I went, when I want.

9470. Aaron Choate, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Regulation has to be kept in place to keep service providers in check. These same companies that were caught repeatedly violating Net Neutrality before strong rules were on the books. The same companies that admitted that if it werenÂ‰Ã›Âªt for Net Neutrality they would discriminate against websites and content.

9471. Patrick Zelaya, Saint Louis, MO, 63126
Allowing corporations to control the dissemination of information in order to squeeze more money out of the American people is highly unethical. Net Neutrality must be preserved by law so that the people of this country are legally protected from the fraudulent activities of greedy telecommunications companies. Please protect us!

9472. Noah Baker, Lees Summit, MO, 64081
This aspect allows people from every walk of life to create innovative and new ideas and easily bring them forward. If net neutrality was eliminated, a block would be placed on a huge percentage of people due to a lack of free movement on the internet. Keep net neutrality!

9473. Sam Rohr, Saint Louis, MO, 63126
You will not infringe on my rights. You have no domain on the internet it is a free place.

9474. Aleena , Kansas City, MO, 64155
It's crucial for me to be able to stand for what i believe in

9475. Robert Eetheart, Grandview, MO, 64030
Net Neutrality = Freedom of Information.

9476. Steven Marshall, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
Besides the FCC being unconstitutional as it violates our freedom of speech, removing Net Neutrality would also be a violation of our freedom of speech as it would work to remove our ability to speak out. It would also become a violation of freedom of the press as ISP companies would censor whatever news they don't like.Fight to keep net neutrality.Fight to end the FCC's unconstitutional censorship.

9477. James Sellers, St.Louis, MO, 63116
Because it works

9478. O Mattox, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
YOU ARE TURNING AMERICA INTO A DICTATORSHIP

9479. Jason Warner, De Soto, MO, 63020
I support net neutrality and a free internet. I do not want it regulated by companies. Please support net neutrality and oppose legislation that compromises a fair and open internet.

9480. Vladimir , Kansas City, MO, 64123
The internet is an important place for many to feel safe. Taking Net Netruality would be taking away that feeling of secruity and freedom from people.

9481. Ryan Adams, Saint Louis, MO, 63126
Net Neutrality inspires innovation, expands knowledge, and assists in communication. There is no reason why our right to these things should be denied without being taken advantage of.

9482. , Kansas City, MO, 64117
When I go online I have certain expectations. I expect to be connected to whatever website I want. I expect that my cable or phone company isnÂ‰Ã›Âªt messing with the data and is connecting me to all websites, applications and content I choose. I expect to be in control of my internet experience.When I use the internet I expect Net Neutrality. Net Neutrality is the internetÂ‰Ã›Âªs guiding principle: It preserves our right to communicate freely online.Net Neutrality means an internet that enables and protects free speech. It means that ISPs should provide us with open networks Â‰Ã›Ã“ and shouldnÂ‰Ã›Âªt block or discriminate against any applications or content that ride over those networks. Just as my phone company shouldnÂ‰Ã›Âªt decide who I call and what I say on that call, my ISP shouldnÂ‰Ã›Âªt interfere with the content I view or post online.Without Net Neutrality, cable and phone companies could carve the internet into fast and slow lanes. An ISP could slow down its competitorsÂ‰Ã›Âª content or block political opinions it disagreed with. ISPs could charge extra fees to the few content companies that could afford to pay for preferential treatment Â‰Ã›Ã“ relegating everyone else to a slower tier of service. This would destroy the open internet.The internet without Net Neutrality isnÂ‰Ã›Âªt really the internet. It would become a closed-down network where cable and phone companies call the shots and decide which websites, content or applications succeed.This would have an enormous impact. Companies like AT&T, Comcast and Verizon would be able to decide who is heard and who isnÂ‰Ã›Âªt. TheyÂ‰Ã›Âªd be able to block websites or content they donÂ‰Ã›Âªt like or applications that compete with their own offerings.Dismantling Net Neutrality is a very scary, dangerous, slippery slope. Do the right thing for Americans and for freedom of choice, and uphold Net Neutrality!

9483. Hayden Utter, Hannibal, MO, 63401
Net neutrality is important to me because as a student, I use the internet to find resources for projects. The internet has also provided me a place to be myself and without it I will be alone without being able to show my true colours.

9484. Joshua Balderman, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
Freedom of the net is freedom of speech and thought. Protect the people

9485. Daniel LaBrot, Park Hills, MO, 63601
The internet is open equally to all. It's a platform for anyone to speak on. To take away net neutrality is to is to make one of our most avaiable means of free speech controlled. We need net neutrality.

9486. Karen , Joplin, MO, 64801
Net neutrality is important because no one should be controlling what I look at.

9487. Danilo Green, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
Net neutrality is important to me because it provides a level playing field on the internet, which is required for a free and open society.

9488. Dylan Sanders, Reeds Spring, MO, 65737
If the internet is not free than this nation is not free anymore we should be able to use the internet how we want. Freedom of speech.

9489. Xavier Lusby, Hazelwood, MO, 63042
Net nutrality gurantees political impartiality in availability of information, preventing rich oligarchs from determining what information is available to the general public, and allowing those in positions of power to shape the narrative of reality. To abolish net nutrality is to curtail freedom as we move an outdated legal system into the modern world.

9490. CJ Prigeon, Branson, MO, 65616
Dear FCC,This shouldn't even be up for debate, net neutrality isn't just a service, it's an inalienable right. People need access to the internet without fear of being throttled by corporate interests, and as the FCC, it is your duty to ensure that the transmission of information remain untampered and impartial. America needs you to do the right thing and stand for net neutrality, now more than ever.

9491. A Owen, Springfield, MO, 65810
I want to have the ability to choose what I watch and look at online and not have a big company choose for me

9492. Terri Peyton, Liberty, MO, 64068
Net neutrality should continue to be the right of every consumer of information on the net. Leave it open and free.

9493. Laura Patterson, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
Access to the internet should be available to all Americans without restriction. The internet is now comparable to a utility and critically important to most people in today's society for communication, employment, entertainment, etc. it is eminently unfair to sell access to the highest bidder, leaving the little guy, the average Joe, to scrabble for access. Keep Net Neutrality! Laura Patterson

9494. Jewellee , Edgerton, MO, 64444
Because it lets me do what I want to do

9495. Danny , Kansas City, MO, 64114
The FCC wants to destroy net neutrality and give big cable companies control over what we see and do online. If they get their way, theyÂ‰Ã›Âªll allow widespread throttling, blocking, censorship, and extra fees.

9496. Geoffrey Jenkins, Benton, MO, 63736
The Internet, as it is, has allowed me to find good friends that I consider family. We have been able to support each other through rather dark times, and enjoy each other's company because of the freedom the Internet provides. And it's not just me that it's helped. Up and coming inventors and entrepreneurs? Allowed to show what they have,without needing to be concerned they'll be hidden as 'competition' . People who support various minorities' rights? Able to do so,without companies breathing down their necks. Families needing to get in contact with each other? Easy now, without the worry that they'll lose track with each other because the ISP doesn't like the service they're using.There are so, so many people that're helped by Net Neutrality. However, if those same freedoms are taken away, or at least become as decided to exist by the will of the ISPs, I truly worry that greed, not freedom, not decency, will take control. It will be them who decides what is shown,what is used,what is allowed,and that will have far reaching effects. Such breaches of freedom are not allowed in our non data lives, and they most not be allowed in the Internet either. I know I'm not important, not in the big scheme of things, but please, I humbly beg all of you, stop Net Neutrality from being destroyed.

9497. Anita Crook, Kansas City, MO, 64131
An open internet is necessary for innovation and freedom of speech

9498. Barbara Giles, Saint Louis, MO, 63137
I feel that I would like to have an online business to help with my retirement expenses and I also feel as a person of color that Net Neutrality is essential to my people so that our voices can always be heard.

9499. Michael McKeever, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
Net neutrality is important because currently the internet is a place that anyone can make a name for themselves, from startups and professionals to YouTubers and musicians. The world is connected through the web like a neural network, and human kind is more innovative than ever. If you dismantle net neutrality, you are lobotomizing human kind as a whole, and stunting our potential for knowledge and innovation.NET NEUTRALITY IS GOOD FOR ALL OF US.

9500. Brenda Brodeur, Springfield, MO, 65803
We like to use the internet with any equipment and devices everywhere we go without restrictions. I would also like to have the internet set up with the carriers not chocking down on network speed like some 3rd world countries get with maximum speed levels for the same price for all users.

9501. Jill Pritchard, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
Net Neutrality allows for a liberated internet, and independence from promotion of companies and websites through cable speed. I am STRONGLY against allowing cable companies to dictate which websites I'm able to visit. If Net Neutrality is abolished, it will ultimately affect the global internet - not just in the U.S. Please, REJECT attacks on Net Neutrality.

9502. Hunter , Kansas City, MO, 64157
I don't want that slow internet, I don't want pay more for what I got.

9503. Ian , Waynesville, MO, 65583
Because no net neutrality, no INTERNET

9504. Michelle Parrish, Hannibal, MO, 63401
The power of the Internet belongs to individuals, NOT ISP providers!

9505. Floyd Davenport, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
The Internet coupled with the concept of Net Neutrality has been the most innovative and democratic platform in recent US and world history. Allowing service providers to monitor and act on how this platform is used, will NOT increase innovation, but it will slowly erode the equal access to information and services this platform has provided from 25 years. DO NOT REVERSE NET NETRALITY!

9506. Riley , Joplin, MO, 64801
I don't think that companies should be able to tell people what websites they should be allowed to see, basically. It feels like the beginning of a dictatorship in which companies can just block at anything they deem negative. It's oppressive.

9507. Bryan Mendes, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
The internet is the last true space for free speech, it had given this world more access to information than any single creation since the written word, and that is based on the idea that it is equal and neutral. Please don't take Something like that away from the world.

9508. Rosezetta Waldrup, Ozark, MO, 65721
Net neutrality is important as it allows online businesses to thrive. Without it alot of artists like me may not be able to be seen and it'd put alot of strain on smaller businesses who are run via the internet. Please keep net neutrality, it's vital to alot of our income.

9509. Gale Masterson, Jackson, MO, 63755
I am disabled and cannot shop without the internet. I get a lot of my news from the web. I need access to any and all things at any time I choose. This is a free country and I support Net Neutrality we deserve these protections as free Americans.

9510. Blake Graham-Henderson, Columbia, MO, 65203
Do not change anything about Net Neutrality. I support Net Neutrality under Title II oversight.

9511. LoriAnn Weldon, Springfield, MO, 65804
I'm a small business owner just starting out, and my website is a free site because as of yet I can't afford paid domain hosting. If Net Neutrality is taken away, odds are that I will begin having to pay for web hosting regardless of service provider -- which will take away my practical ability to run my just-beginning-to-fly business. Please think with your minds and your common sense and common decency, not with your wallets. This is bad for individual Americans, it is bad for American businesses, and it is bad for America at large. Please vote against Chairman Pai's agendas and save our freedom of the press, freedom of speech, and freedom of dissemination of information.Thank you.

9512. Dong Sung, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
I don't others to choose what i want to see or hear.

9513. Clayton Drennan, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I don't want corporations or really anybody but me deciding what news/information I can access.

9514. , House Springs, MO, 63051
Freedom isn't yours to dictate. Learn your place, and just simply do your job in ways it will actually benefit us - even though you haven't the slightest clue as to how to be a decent human being. Leave the internet alone! T2 should remain untouched. It isn't your right to repeal it.

9515. Marissa Garreffa, Lampe, MO, 65681
Without Net Neutrality minorities such as the LGBTQIA+ community could potentially be oppressed violating our basic human rightd. I personally feel that any action to remove Net Neutrality would be unconstitutional and the same violation of human rights the Nazi's took when they burned books they didn't want people to read.

9516. David fitzgerald, Republic, MO, 65738
I'm an adult, I get to make the decisions in what I do and do not want to see on the internet, not some greedy suits who care more about money then their own family

9517. Christian Pavez, Ballwin, MO, 63011
It is not just important to me it is important to everyone.

9518. Troy Spurlock, Joplin, MO, 64804
I don't understand why anyone would an internet that's free and fair.

9519. Jake Moore, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I remember when I first got the internet. It was 1999 and my family just got our first personal computer. We had our 9 hours of dial up AOL a week which at the time, opened a whole world of possibilities. Since then, the internet has encompassed more of my daily life. I use it at work, at home, and even out in public. It has been become an essential utility of living in the modern life. Letting companies dictate the when and how I can consume online media will remove the last refuge I have. Please reconsider eliminating Net Neutrality.

9520. Jessica Niblick, Springfield, MO, 65804
The Internet is about the free exchange of information. It is a meeting place, a virtual storeroom of all accumulated information and human history. It is entertainment, communication, education. And it's everywhere. The internet became a way for the world to grow and share it's ideals and to provide unique services to people that might otherwise not be able to have them. The internet is the last free bastion of information in the world. If you think people are not willing to defend it, you are wrong.

9521. Skyler , Reeds Spring, MO, 65737
So we dont have to over spend on internet and grant us more freedom on what we search.

9522. Drew dewitt, Springfield, MO, 65803
To be able to go wherever I want freely on the World Wide Web

9523. David Brodeur, Springfield, MO, 65803
I want maximum speed for all users at the same price by a carrier service. I am under the impression that some 3rd world countries are about 8 times faster than what we have in the USA for a much cheaper price. Internet prices are already too expensive and regulated by the service providers. I want to use the internet anywhere I go with any device that I can use.

9524. Maria Cardenas, Kansas City, MO, 64108
Net Neutrality lowers the barriers of entry for small business owners, startups, and entrepreneurs. This is crucial for innovation.

9525. Anna Pargas, Kansas City, MO, 64151
The Internet is a vital tool for education, communication, entertainment, and the advancement of society as a whole. Censoring it in anyway is an unforgivable crime, and would be to the detriment of millions of Americans.

9526. Lanna Demers, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
everyoke deserves open internet to explore what they choose

9527. Emily Krewson, Columbia, MO, 65203
Censorship of public information is how dictatorships begin. The second you begin to limit the people's knowledge, you've destroyed the very principle of democracy in our nation. If you refuse to listen to the people you represent, we'll be forced to move from emails and phone calls to the streets outside your offices, your houses, because our voices deserve, and need, to be heard. No one group of people should be able to decide what information the public can access and what information the public should have to pay for. It's public information for a reason. An uneducated public is a public that's much easier to control, we see through this thinly veiled attempt to weaken those who don't agree with you. This is not okay, and if there is any remaining morality in this system, Net Neutraility should be here to stay.

9528. , Jackson, MO, 63755
Net neutrality is important to me because I have many friends online on sites you want to shut down. There are also many people who need money on these sites to help pay bills, feed them and their families, and have normal, happy lives. I'm close to living on my own, so I will most likely need these sites to be open, free, and safe. If you take away net neutrality, many people will hate you more than they already do, and most likely move out of the US to another country. You will have worse things to worry about, and less money, as less people will be on the internet. Please understand that if you do this, it counts as communism. Did you know that? Communism defines as taking away priveleges, and free will from people in a country. And as history has taught me, you and the government hate communism. Sounds counterintuitive huh? I'm just saying, really, that if you go through with this. Expect absolute chaos.

9529. Connie Hicks, Springfield, MO, 65810
Net neutrality is very important to Americans! It's important for managing finances, studying & educational information, current news & watching important weather threats, as well as communications. Please leave net neutrality protections in place & don't ruin the internet!

9530. Aaron Yockey, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Who are you to tell me what I can and can't watch?

9531. Reagan , Lake Ozark, MO, 65049
Net Neutrality is important to me because free speech is important to me. America was founded on the belief of equal opportunity, Net Neutrality can help keep that.

9532. Phoenix Sweeney, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
Net neutrality keeps what has become a necessary infrastructure of our economic & social systems accessible & public. A more open internet is a more free internet.

9533. Peyton DeShay, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
It's unfair to take away from independent musicians and artists just to give more money to those making a livable amount.

9534. Lorell Jones, Saint Louis, MO, 63134
Without net neutrality, how are we truly going be the land of the free?

9535. Katherine Mazella, Wright City, MO, 63390
Net neutrality is important to me because it makes it easier to access sites for academic purposes, leisure purposes, etc. While not being forced to pay for certain ones.

9536. Nyt , Washington, MO, 63090
I dont want to pay for internet, we already pay for wifi and the electronics why the hell should we pay for specific things

9537. Emma , Mountain Grove, MO, 65711
Trump is already trying to kill us with his healthcare bill, so I might as well die happy.

9538. Lindsay Porter, Poplar Bluff, MO, 63901
We have the right to have fast speed internet no matter what conpany we use. We are being limited by those with more money and it is unfair. Which means we are limited in our access to certain information.

9539. Erin , Independence, MO, 64050
Net Neutrality ensures equality of information accessibility to everyone with some sort of connection to the internet. Taking away this information equality would disproportionately affect people with low and fixed incomes.

9540. Connor , Saint Louis, MO, 63110
The worldwide web shouldn't belong to a handful of companies

9541. Clays , Bloomfield, MO, 63825
The internet is a safe space for many people. Those same people may lose that safe space if the cannot pay for the websites that the visit frequently. That's ridiculous.

9542. Alex Childers, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Net Neutrality is only right.

9543. Nathaniel Govier, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
The unfettered access to the internet is a basic human right.

9544. Sydney Yates, Buckner, MO, 64016
For many reasons, but mostly because of education and free speech. If you keep net neutrality abolished then many people, including myself, won't be able to access resources for research and similar things. On top of that, free speech is an insanely import issue. If we censor the internet and control what people do and don't see, then it would hinder growth and free speech and instead feed people into a hivemind built on large monopolies and fear mongering. Not to mention the impact on trade and the economy. Ending net neutrality would mean giving large pre-existing conglomerates an advantage over newer businesses which would further hinder growth. Please, for the love of god, restore net neutrality.

9545. Caitlyn Westbrook, West Plains, MO, 65775
It's important to be as a small business owner and a freelance artist. If I have to pay more for ISP's, my business will suffer.

9546. Sarah Farr, Columbia, MO, 65202
How are freelance artists -- or small online business owners in general -- expected to promote or support their business if their access to the internet is restricted? How does this in any way support the general public, and not just serve to line the pockets of corporations?

9547. Cara , Platte City, MO, 64079
The internet should be free! It is an important tool for knowledge and you are limiting access to knowledge.

9548. , El Dorado Springs, MO, 64744
Access to information should remain equally attainable to all.

9549. Matthew Goad, Saint Louis, MO, 63111
The internet is the great equalizer because anyone with internet access can access nearly anything at the same level of all other users. Removing this function can allowing ISPs to make internet access about who pays the most to have their content seen creates a censored internet experience that is in favor of those with money, power, and influence.

9550. Kira Chatham, Columbia, MO, 65203
I'm a young woman trying to open up a small business. Without Net Neutrality this plan will likely fail. The Internet is meant to be free exchange of information.

9551. Heather Beard, Farmington, MO, 63640
because I really really like the internet

9552. Katelyn Milligan, Saint Joseph, MO, 64504
Net neutrality is important to me because i already pay for my internet i dont wanna pay more to access the things i use in my daily life.

9553. Sean Robinson, Blue Springs, MO, 64014
Net Neutrality is a necessity in life, be it searching for a job or buying a house or communicating something important. Without it, it'd be the same thing as having to charge people in order to go into various stores. We don't do that as a society. The US would devolve at

that point, and a revolution WOULD happen.

9554. Sydney Ballew, Imperial, MO, 63052
Net Neutrality is import to me because as a high school student I don't want and cannot pay money to access websites! As an artist and a student I should not have to pay for websites that I post my art on/ need to research information for my classes

9555. Peggy Schlagel, Kansas City, MO, 64110
The internet is necessary for me to complete my schooling, find work, access resources for my personal health, AND complete other tasks.

9556. Aida Larson, Kansas City, MO, 64139
Please, the internet is all i have between my struggling mental health and trying to survive. Don't take this away for money you dont need.

9557. Kate , Springfield, MO, 65809
Because we need to be decent humans and allow everyone to use all of the internet

9558. Adrian Sandersfeld, Kansas City, MO, 64111
This can't be happening to the internet; this is supposed to be totally and completely accessible. What's accessible about paying to use websites as if they're cable television stations?!

9559. Leah Delaporta, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
America means freedom. The freedom to learn, to explore, to shop, to share, to understand, to access all parts of the internet EQUALLY and WITHOUT A CORPORATION'S INPUT!MY AMERICA IS NOT IN A GREEDY CEO'S POCKET!!!! LEAVE TITLE II ALONE!!!!!

9560. Sarah , Butler, MO, 64730
Net neutrality is basically whats keeping me and my passion to create alive. Without net neutrality, i wouldnt have anything to keep me going with my creativity. All of my inspiration comes from the internet and without net neutrality it will be impossible.

9561. Kate , Chesterfield, MO, 63005
tired of capitalism ruining everything thx

9562. Melody Yeager, Springfield, MO, 65802
It is disgusting that you are allowing the internet to be controlled by the highest bidder. I wonder how much they paid your leechy selves to get this passed, god only knows.

9563. , Springfield, MO, 65804
Abolishing Net Neutrality is a violation of the First Amendment of the US Constitution. It allows private companies and the government to censor content by regulating how fast or slow a page loads. This is illegal and any plans to dismantle Net Neutrality should be stuffed where the sun don't shine.

9564. Amanda Booth, Kearney, MO, 64060
Net Neutrality is vital to concepts such as freedom of speech and cultural connection. As such, no one should have to pay more to recieve these rights especially when it comes to the Internet. The Internet is meant to be a free place where people can express their ideas without fear of corporate scrutiny. Letting corporations have control over what people can see on the Internet destroys everything that is good about it and is a ridiculous idea if not outright fascist. Please for the sake of everyone who actually cherishes what the Internet actually is, don't let Net Neutrality be destroyed.

9565. Natasha Burkhamer, Lebanon, MO, 65536
I DONT WANNA PAY FOR MY MEMES

9566. Ted Miller, Saint Charles, MO, 63304
a free and open internet is important to all citzens of the united states and should not be regulated like tv or phone lines.

9567. Bill Stevenson, Kahoka, MO, 63445
Because destroying net neutrality is slamming the door to freedom, artistic expression, new ideas and inovation.

9568. Kira Nichols, Springfield, MO, 65803
The internet is an important utility and the needs of citizens should always take prioity over late companies and interest groups.

9569. Therese Saxton, Troy, MO, 63379
I cannot pay more for the things I already pay for. I am ten thousand feet below poverty line and the only thing I have is internet!

9570. Ella Dubois, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
As a person who associates with freelance artists, many of my friends rely on the internet to make their livelihood and afford rent and groceries.

9571. Chloe Trussler, Springfield, MO, 65810
net neutrality is important to me because it allows everyone access to information from all viewpoints, not just what cooperations want us to know

9572. Zachary Heth, Imperial, MO, 63052

The free internet is one of the things that keeps us from being horrid fascist capitalist nightmares. Putting a price on that excludes people from learning and communicating.

9573. William Edgar, Bonne Terre, MO, 63628
Locking access to information behind paywalls is horrible and the only reason one would do so is to give businesses more money. The People deserve more rights than The Businesses, and access to information should be upheld as an important factor in modern America. Other less free countries block out large amounts of information to their citizens, do we, a country that strives to be about freedom and integrity, want to become that?

9574. Nicolas Bohorquez, Kansas City, MO, 64154
Without the protection of net neutrality, the whole point and purpose of the internet is lost.

9575. Kim Curtis, Florissant, MO, 63031
The internet is my safe space as a depressed poor person and taking away our freedom isnt fair!

9576. Brian Pennington, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
I don't want my access to information, education, entertainment and communication restricted and dictated by a corporation.

9577. Autumn cunningham, Hallsville, MO, 65255
Limiting people's access to websites and content bc they won't pay what companies want is wrong.

9578. Lily , Kirksville, MO, 63501
If Net Neutrality is taken away, I wouldn't be able to talk and play with many of the people I know online, because myself and many others just can't afford the "pay-to-play" Internet that the removal of Net Neutrality would create. Thus, the world as I can see it would be much smaller, and much less fun and interesting.

9579. Orianna Caliman, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
The internet is a tool used by many for interaction, news, purcahses, and entertainment. I use the internet for all these reasons, just like everyone else. Nobody wants to pay more for certain websites, and nobody wants to have false news from those who can afford to pay more. Please save Net Neutrality!

9580. Aaaa

place of freedom and information, and the more of both, the better. No one wants to be confined and ignorant

9591. Lillian Hollowood, Kirksville, MO, 63501
The internet is a well of knowledge. No one should impede the pursuit of knowledge.

9592. Tyler Jadwin, Saint Joseph, MO, 64505
It's important to me as an individual who takes upon needing the internet to be able to chat with friends on certain services and being able to freely use one site or another for an artist such as myself. Hell it lets me have my business either on YouTube or art sites that help me pay for things. If there is no denying the actions that are being brought upon the attack on our Net Neutrality and Title II then we Americans won't have freedom over a service we already are practically paying for through wireless use. We don't need to be limited because if one company provider decides they make more money with this other company and start blocking out others, then no one but the company is winning. It's strict against what we pay for and what we're getting and that is just not an acceptable action we need to put the country through.

9593. Zac Rawls, Grover, MO, 63040
As a bricklink seller, limiting access to the internet will only hurt the American people. Goodbye free speech, hello pay-per-view

9594. Auden Fischer, Nixa, MO, 65714
because i want to be able to keep using tumblr and youtube and other sites and i have NO MONEY.

9595. Kristi Austin, Nixa, MO, 65714
Its important because this is currently my only gateway to the world, via it being news, entertainment, or even jobs. Without it would mean that those with the most money get to control what I see and do.

9596. Sarah Bollinger, Jackson, MO, 63755
When you take away net neutrality you take away access to information that should be free for everyone! Not just the rich and powerful.

9597. Edwina Cooper, Ballwin, MO, 63021
We have always had a neutral net. We've never had to pay in different amounts for different content. And those opposed to net neutrality have argued that we cannot pre-judge what a non-neutral net will look like because we've never seen one. However, removing neutrality and instead allowing corporations to charge fees for usage creates a 'pay for play' environment. And the entire point of a pay for play scheme is to bar people from paying. The entire system is designed to make the providers rich and to restrict access so tightly that only the most affluent users can even afford the advertised services.This is EXACTLY what getting rid of net neutrality is. You will bar the poorest and most deserving people in America from the internet. And slowly the price will rise and the middle class will get squeezed out too. The internet will become gentrified and it will entirely prohibit access to those who need it most. Those who depend on it for their livelihoods, to GAIN a livelihood. How will job searches work without the internet? How will banking work without the internet? Please, please protect Net Neutrality. Please protect American citizens. Do not let greed cloud your mind. Because, eventually, a non-neutral net will effect you too.

9598. Caleb Gannon, Sedalia, MO, 65301
I use the internet for many things. I don't want to pay more that necessary. The internet is essentially the culmination of human knowledge and if we cannot access it, we lost what the original intention of the internet was.

9599. Quin Lamb, Raymore, MO, 64083
I do not want my access to the internet to be limited by my budget. especially since im already paying to use the internet, now i have to pay more?

9600. Maddie Hicks, Columbia, MO, 65203
I deserve free and open access to the internet.

9601. Trenden Everett, Saint Louis, MO, 63135
Net neutrality allows me to have access to sites that aren't controlled by big business, and allows me to view media pertaining to my specific beliefs. Killing net neutrality endangers my ability to do what I have stated above, and allows for the monopolization of the internet, meaning the people with the money will control what I can see and how fast I can see it. More than anything, it is completely tyrannical. This also negatively effects small business and individuals, because they can't afford to pay for high speeds for their sites which deters business for them. This devastates smaller business and individuals looking to promote their store through the internet, or perhaps runs their store entirely through the internet. That is entirely unacceptable.

9602. Ebonee Workcuff, Kansas City, MO, 64126
It's important because as an artist this would hurt chances to grow online. In order for business to grow, the playing field needs to be even. This also would hurt lower income people. Most people use the internet in their everyday lives and having to pay even more would be devastating and could leave many kids underdeveloped. Especially if schools have to pay more for the websites for the students to use.

9603. Rose , Joplin, MO, 64804
The internet is a place where anybody can go to make art, music, any kind of content and do it for free. They have a right to privacy and to be able to keep up what they have been doing. Net neutrality is a right that cannot be taken away

9604. Olivia A., Saint Louis, MO, 63129
I use many sites to access my news to be aware of current events, and I use the internet to keep in touch with far away friends. I don't want to pay more for my service.'

9605. Collin Shutz, Kansas City, MO, 64113
Education, Research. Access to knowledge for everyone. Apparently three of the very things threatening to Chairman Pai and anyone supporting dismantling net neutrality to further erode and dumb down America.

9606. George Gentry, Springfield, MO, 65810
The internet is one thing that shouldnt be monopolized by companies. Its a thing almost all people can access and improve themselves with, limiting it is the wrong thing to do.

9607. Grace McClintock, Kansas City, MO, 64158
The internet has a infinite amount of information and creative works that can not be taken away from the common people

9608. Randy , Saint Louis, MO, 63129
Net Neutrality is important to me because I should have the ability to access places where I can express my freedom of speech and happiness without having to pay for it.

9609. Mikayla Phillips, Springfield, MO, 65807
Because I feel businesses are slowly gaining more and more control over our lives and government. It feels like with enough money you can pay your way into or out of anything. That's un-American to me. Let the internet be a free place.

9610. Megan Golden, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
We pay for internet, why should we have to pay for websites specifically???? Block us from websites? It'll hurt your websites Because no one will want to pay for access because they cant afford it.

9611. Sarah Healy, Ballwin, MO, 63021
So much of my interaction with people is done through the internet and it allows me to keep up to date on what is happening in the world. Net neutrality allows the smaller sites that I visit to have just as much importance as the big ones, which allows me to go to whatever sites I need or want to without having to worry about whether or not they'll load. My browsing is not predefined by the company that I received my internet service from.

9612. Ariel Childers, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
Wealth and financial stability not a predictor of content that I enjoy. Net Neutrality hurts artist and small businesses.

9613. Savannah stringer, Springfield, MO, 65810
Are you crazy??? This is extremely important, net neutrality is common sense!

9614. Jessica Marcuson, Nixa, MO, 65714
The internet should remain in easy access for all. I'm paying a ton for college and we need the internet for it. I'd rather not have to pay for more than I'd have too

9615. Bonita Bevens, Springfield, MO, 65807
I like having the ability to go to any website I want whenever I want. To pay for websites is horrible and I would rather not have internet at all then pay 30 dollars a month just to get on facebook.

9616. Allyson Horst, Republic, MO, 65738
The ability to access information provided over the Internet shouldn't be selective on the people. The Internet is meant to be a free place, where people of all types are able to connect, and even run businesses. The Internet gives me, as a person within poverty, the ability to connect with family members who are all over the place. The Internet isn't supposed to be just for the richest people. Everything is so connected to the Internet right now. By limiting access, you are flat out killing people. The Internet has the ability to let someone now where their next meal can come from. The Internet is where most mental health resources are, and that would be cut off from people that need it

9617. Tyler Caisse, Whiteman Air Force Base, MO, 65305
It seems rather unethical and I don't want to have to pay more than I already am.

9618. Andrew Mabe, Marionville, MO, 65705
Net neutrality allows for me and my friends to make money selling goods and services. Our livelihood depends on connecting with customers on etsy and other sites for marketing. With slower and strict regulation of sites that can't afford to pay our business and lives suffer. We rely on the internet being even for both business as well as entertainment. It connects us all and with more limits on it we suffer in ways that will be hard to reverse due to the money hungry corporations. Net neutrality allows all who already pay for service an even field to do as they wish, which is only fair.

9619. Alex Harper, Imperial, MO, 63052
Net neutrality is essential to applying for jobs and taking classes, we need it.

9620. Trevor Davidson, Kansas City, MO, 64131
A free society cannot allow financial gatekeeping of the internet, restricting and censoring the poor and middle class, preventing the exchange of ideas among the people.

9621. Krymson Hammond, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Net Neutrality is essential to all internet users. Small businesses cannot function without equal access to the internet. It is ridiculous to be forced to treat the internet the way cable is treated. There is no viable reason that I shouldn't be able to consume and share information freely just like everyone else. The ability to share information should not be turned into an issue of who has more money.

9622. Michaela Pulizos, Saint Ann, MO, 63074
As an artist, I use the internet to network myself in order to make money and find work. Without Net Neutrality, my ability to reach my audience and sell my art will be greatly inhibited based on whether or not they're able to pay for upgraded internet speeds and access to the sites I post on. As a student in animation, I don't have time to work for an employer which is why it's so important for me to be able to sell my art and prints while I'm in college. Animation is one of the most time consuming majors offered, and being able to sell my work online helps me pay for groceries and supplies without having to juggle a job with my school work.

9623. Jennifer Keeton, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
It allows me to access the internet in a free and equal manner.

9624. Luke Hoffman, Marthasville, MO, 63357
losing net neutrality would mean losing freedom of speech on the internet as isp's could limit what website can be accessed easily.

9625. Laura Burrows, Republic, MO, 65738
Information is the most valuable commodity we have. By restricting it to the higher classes, you are broadening the chasm that is already tearing this country apart. Stop furthering the agenda that continues to strip us of our basic human rights in favor of padding the pockets of the top 1%.

9626. Timothy Elliott, Clinton, MO, 64735
Net Neutrality ensures unbiased treatment of websites by ISP's and prevents ISP's from taking advantage of their micro-monopolies in many many areas. It is lunacy to give ISP's the ability to charge for basic access to open source and public websites they have nothing to do with. Removing Net Neutrality allows ISP's to block anything THEY don't like, removing personal freedoms of internet access. Not only is this a direct attack on personal freedoms, it's entirely pro monopolization of the internet.

9627. Eli Hunter, Poplar Bluff, MO, 63901
I need the internet as a utility and not run by the bigger corporations because without it running as such most smaller sites and possible start ups will just be crushed which would stifle innovation for years to come and handicap many businesses that would have flourished.

9628. Jessica , Saint Louis, MO, 63138
Net neutrality is essential to freedom of speech and to the share of information. It is a part of our modern society. To strike down net neutrality is an attack to american values and tradition.

9629. Nicholas , Ballwin, MO, 63011
net neutrality is important to me becuase the internet is my main way of finding news and with the removal of net neutrality, it will become nearly impossible to donit for an affordable cost. dont make the internet a luxary, keep it a necessity.

9630. Lauren , Grover, MO, 63040
Killing bet neutrality would harm the economy, small business owners, and the freedom of press and information. It would only help those on the top climb higher.

9631. Shana , Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I am a freelance artist. I will be incredibly affected by your decision to limit something you have no right to touch.

9632. Steven Gordon, Springfield, MO, 65802
I am an independent artist and my business and well-being is extremely dependent on neutral internet.

9633. Cody Graefser, Florissant, MO, 63034
I was raised all my life in this country and have always been taught that it's "the land of opportunity". What I've been learning over the years though is that they neglected to mention the disclaimer- this is a land of opportunity only for the wealthy and extraordinarily lucky. I wasn't born rich and haven't cheated my way to fortune like most of you politicians and business people and neither have 99% of the rest of the country. We refuse to stuff your wallets with our livelihood just so you can claim a "higher score". I want you to know that even if your bullshit goes through you won't get a dime back on it. We'll refuse to pay your fees and back alley isps will pop up. You can tie us down and bleed us for our every penny, you can criminalize the true patriots who continue to uphold this shit pile country's claims to freedom and opportunity for ALL people, but you do not and will not ever own us.

9634. Vanessa Brinkman, Springfield, MO, 65807
I am a college student attending a community college, because with the financial aid I recieve there, I don't have to pay a penny of money I DON'T HAVE. If you destroy Net Neutrality, I will be forced to pay higher premiums for internet that I, and my family, cannot afford. I WILL NO LONGER BE ABLE TO AFFORD INTERNET. Without internet, I can't do my schoolwork, I can't search for jobs, I can't do anything.So please, think about the millions of people who will be affected by your decision. Padding your own pockets may seem nice, but not when millions of people will wants your heads.

9635. Sam , Independence, MO, 64050
Net Neutrality is important to me because it warrants equality for every website on the internet, big or small.

9636. Hunter Chrisman, Kansas City, MO, 64152
Free expression for artists

9637. , Independence, MO, 64053
Internet is my life, it is life for EVERY college student and you are going to make us PAY for the specific sites we use?! That is complete BS, we have enough to pay for! Internet should stay the way it is, so we can have access to everything we need equally.

9638. Jamie Allen, Galena, MO, 65656
I'm poor and I don't have the money to pay for something that's been free ever since I was a child.

9639. Ellen O'Neill, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
This is essential to everything I do and to my rights protected by the Constitution of the United States. Don't mess with my net neutrality!

9640. Hailey Moon, Saint Joseph, MO, 64503
The internet is the only luxury me and my family have. Making us pay for it would make it unaffordable to me and my family and I'm sure many others like me.

9641. Devin denny, Saint Louis, MO, 63116
Start up companies are important to economy and personal freedom and they will die without neutrality

9642. Cheyenne Rodenbaugh, Kirksville, MO, 63501
I don't want to be taken advantage of by companies. The internet is vital to the lives of many. This is of particular concern due to the fact that many people in rural areas have little or no choice in which internet providers they choose. This is a decision that will affect the lives of many for years to come and will be remembered as such. Make the right choice.

9643. Emma , Fayette, MO, 65248
Ok fuck you! Welcome to the start of 1984. I hope you are fucking happy

9644. Linda Elis, Fulton, MO, 65251
Because the internet is a place where people can get information that is vital to their intellectual, emotional as well as spiritual growth

9645. Cassandra Hollingsworth, De Soto, MO, 63020
Cat videos.

9646. Julia Robinson, Lake Saint Louis, MO, 63367
The internet has always been a place where I could explore and learn about the world I live in without leaving my home. If net neutrality is killed, I would have to pay money to educate myself about the world that I live it, which is anything but appealing. Selling the internet to the highest bidder is the OPPOSITE of what the internet is meant to be.

9647. Jessica Kuo, St Louis, MO, 63108
I am a medical student who relies on the internet to access a wide variety of study materials that are required for my courses and board examinations. Internet service costs are already exorbitant, and the additional fees that would result from the repeal of net neutrality laws, (which would be required to maintain equal access to all online resources,) would further contribute to student loan debt for my classmates and me. As I'm sure you are aware, tuition costs are the highest they have ever been in the US, and additional fees to maintain my internet speeds would only make matters worse. I have dedicated my career to improving the health of others, and find it unconscionable that a federal organization like the FCC is pushing legislation that so clearly hurts the American population, while only benefiting a handful of monopolistic corporations. I hope your organization will do the right thing, and maintain net neutrality - it is clearly of great value to the majority of the citizens the FCC is meant to serve.

9648. Jonathan Huster, St. Louis, MO, 63130
I am fully in favor of strong net neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISPs. Due to the necessary infrastructure to provide internet service this industry functions as a monopoly and as such it needs to be regulated such that it is fair to everyone. This is something that is immensely important to me, and is incredibly important to many communities. We need strong net neutrality and we ought not move backwards on this issue.

9649. Josh Lozano, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
Don't screw up the internet. Amaze us all by being a corporation that isn't just trying to screw everyone. Please.

9650. Caleb Martin, Ballwin, MO, 63011
To Whom it May Concern(Congress/FCC), On October 29, 1969, the Internet was born. A single message was sent from UCLA to the Stanford Research Center. It said "Lo", a typo for "login". Since that first typo, the Internet has grown and developed over the last 5 decades to the greatest achievement of mankind. It has faced many challenges along the way, physical, logistical, and legal. Despite those challenges, it has turned into the greatest form of communication in human history, where at its best, any person with a connection can access the full range and breadth of the world, and communicate with anyone in it, for a fair price. The Internet currently operates like this in Western Europe and America. At its worst, in places like China, it is a tool used by the powerful for their own gain and self interests, to control and restrict the communication of citizens. Chairman Ajit Pai has continually stated false arguments claiming that the handful of ISP's we have are over regulated and that that is hurting our infrastructure. This is untrue. In a candid call to his investors on 12/9/14, Verizon (Ajit Pai's former employer) CFO Francis J Shammo said "to be real clear... this does not influence the way we invest... we're going to continue to invest in our networks and platforms", in reference to Title 2 Regulations. The current regulations do not negatively affect the ISP's. The current regulations establish that each paying Internet user has full access to the web, at full advertised speed, at advertised price and that ISP's cannot block, throttle, or establish paid prioritization. The current regulations are good for the consumer. The proposed roll back of the Net Neutrality laws would hurt the consumer financially. It would allow and facilitate ISP's to throttle certain websites if they are not payed for or block them outright. I am not naive. The ISP's would take full advantage of this. This would financially hurt consumers as they would be forced to pay more for the service they already receive, or more money for less service if they did not wish to pay for certain "packages" as cable companies do now. This could be used to silence individual speech, or political opinions, or anyone, big or small, who have not payed the ISP's enough. These proposed rollbacks of the Net Neutrality laws would hurt the consumer. The first mistake on the Internet was "Lo" in 1969, a simple typo. I am pleading to you as a Patriot, a Marine Corps Veteran, and a Citizen with grave concern for American citizens, and mankind's greatest creation, do not make

a second mistake in 2017, one with harmful and far reaching consequences. Please do not rollback the Net Neutrality Laws, and please fight against any attempts to do just that, for me, and the good of the country.Sincerely, Caleb J Martin

9651. Kyle Garnett, Saint Louis, MO, 63147
Please protect net neutrality, without the current freedom the internet has today. The internet wouldn't be nearly as great of a place it is now. Also if AT&T throttled my internet any further I might as well become Amish.

9652. Jack Molho, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
HiI am extremely worried about the possible dismantling of Net Neutrality and that Chairman Pai is going to disregard what matters to the masses and instead side with his corporate buddies. The internet is a vital part of life in the 21st Century. Fair and equal speed and access needs to be guaranteed for everyone. So please please please keep FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans to do anything otherwise.Thank you!

9653. Ellen Kardell, Kansas City, MO, 64127
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and to 99% of the citizens of this country.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.I am URGING you to support net neutrality.Thank you!

9654. Caleb Campbell, Odessa, MO, 64076
Thank you for taking the time to read this. My name is Caleb, and Net Neutrality is very important to me. I live in a lower income family that can barely support itself and they are working hard to send me to college for what I love. That is, Computer Science. Going into the field of Computer Science, the issue of Net Neutrality is very big for me. I urge you to protect Net Neutrality and keep the internet free for everyone, not just big ISP. Thank you.

9655. Stephanie Lamb, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
Net neutrality rights are extremely important to me. I don't believe that three companies should be able to dictate how everyone uses the internet. Please don't take these rights away.

9656. James Mertens, Saint Louis, MO, 63132
FCC,Please maintain net neutrality if you care about the American majority. I depend on the internet for information, learning, entertainment, social connections, and even my new apartment lease. I met a man just yesterday who could not afford a phone plan so I drove him to a buisness the offered free wi-fi. Net neutrality is a cornerstone of modern day life; there is no better alternative.

9657. Seth Mabry, Springfield, MO, 65807
Hello,Net neutrality should be part of our freedom as Americans.

9658. Victor Carosi, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
To MissouriÂ‰Ã›Âªs Members of Congress and to the FCC's Open Proceedings,The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) that classify the internet as a Title II utility are extremely important to me and the United States as a whole. It is your duty as a congressman or member of the FCC to protect and preserve the rights, freedoms, and health of the citizens of the United States of America, and destroying net neutrality will do exactly the opposite.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for companies that don't. This directly harms small businesses who don't have the money to get into the fast lanes, contrary to the backwards belief anti-net neutrality supporters have that the current Title II classification harms small businesses. Reclassifying is a win for no one except the giant collusion of cable companies that are lining the pockets of you and your fellow Republicans in office. Roy Blunt, you received $185,550 in your most recent election from the telecom industry. Missouri house representatives, you received a combined total of $213,000. You collectively sold the interest of the American people for less than half a million dollars. Is that what weÂ‰Ã›Âªre worth to you? ISPs clearly already have a tremendous amount of power and influence in US politics and its economy, and reclassification will grant them the ability to police and patrol what everyone does on the internet and by extension the lives of American internet users.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, Time Warner Cable has throttled League of Legends, a game played by millions of Americans everyday, AT&T has blocked FaceTime, and Verizon has admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay, and slow lanes for sites that don't if the FCC lifts the rules. This is truly disgusting and the epitome of preferential treatment for people and businesses with money.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, it must let ISPs offer these "fast lanes" to websites that are willing to pay - and Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do just that Â‰Ã›Ã’ reclassify the internet and let the ISP giants operate with impunity, ruining the tool that the people of the United States and abroad rely on to go about their daily lives.In perhaps the greatest display of irony in the history of the world, you and your fellow Republicans will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses to flourish and the entire world to interconnect just to further enrich cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. It couldn't be more clear that the supporters of the reclassification effort such as yourselves, spearheaded by Chairman Pai, have chosen to say exactly what ISPs want you to in return for a quick buck. You do not have the interest of the American people at heart, because if you did, in addition to the reasons above, you would realize that reclassification will enable ISPs to invade on our constitutional right to free speech.Under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. Looking to connect with others that share a political belief not consistent with the CEO of Comcast? Too bad, your forum just got blocked. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it impossible for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. And this would be completely legal, because the reclassification would make the internet a paid service, and not a utility.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer whose resume screams conflict of interest, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. I'm worried that the Trump administration is turning a blind eye to the identity thieves that are spamming the FCC's forums with anti-net neutrality support. I'm worried that you and your fellow Republicans simply do not care about the American people. How can America be the greatest country in the world, if its government weighs the interest of corporations more heavily than the interest of the people?I'm also sending this to MissouriÂ‰Ã›Âªs members of Congress. As a citizen of these United States, I demand that you publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title

II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. It is your duty to dissuade him and keep America great, for I fear the day when we truly must make it great again.Sincerely,Victor Carosi - A concerned citizen of the United States

9659. Graden Bowman, Springfield, MO, 65804
I echo the numerous amounts of submissions that have come your way already. There is no reason why other than corporate greed and control of information that the internet should be segregated. This is a move I would expect from a country like North Korea (They do it), China (They do it) and other less free countries. Passing this legislation does nothing but line the pockets of those who are already prospering. If this passes, we know who's side our elected officials are on and we will act accordingly. The beauty of us as humans is that we are adaptable. We are critical thinkers and solve problems. We will find a way to circumvent these laws in protest of their oppression of freedom of thought. To try and make a profit from the flow of information is Tyrannical. Dont be Tyrants. Be Fighters of Freedom.

9660. Kandi Quin, Lamar, MO, 64759

9661. Noah Emmons, Arnold, MO, 63010
I use the internet daily for work and entertainment, running my own site and visiting smaller sites will most definitely be impacted by this movement and I will not stand for it.

9662. David Molho, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
To Whom It May Concern:Net Neutrality is very important to me. I want equal access for all providers on the internet. I think it's wrong to create an internet that can divide providers by their ability to pay.I strongly urge you keep the status quo known as net neutrality.Thank you.

9663. Ben , O Fallon, MO, 63368
Are you serious.

9664. Steve Klawiter, Grover, MO, 63040
The internet is our next great public utility and should be treated as such and have its neutrality protected. South Korea has embraced this concept and thus has the fastest speeds in the world. Private companies compete against each other to get access business from consumers based on price, features, devices, and customer service. This process is best for corporations and the public.

9665. Drew Woodling, Holts Summit, MO, 65043
for protecting free speech, organizing, business and innovation. I will not stand for any attacks on Net Neutrality or my rights as an internet user.

9666. Dean Workman, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
because giving everyone equal footing on at least one playing ground in life is more than reasonable.

9667. Sydney Yates, Buckner, MO, 64016
I am an artist, a student, an activist, and I am living in an emotionally abusive household. The internet is how I share my content and receive criticism, its how I research and work on assignments, its how I stay up to date on issues that affect me, its how I find resources and help for people like me, its how I vent and help myself get better, its how I talk to my dad and plan my inevitable escape from where I live. Censoring the net would greatly affect not just how I use it, but also how everybody else uses it.

9668. Garrett Grasshoff, Old Monroe, MO, 63369
The internet needs to be free to us for no matter what purpose and what services you use. ISP's do not have the right to limit or handicap internet services in order to promote their own.

9669. Austin Ball, Springfield, MO, 65807
In a country where Democracy and Freedom are supposedly the core values that bind us together as a nation, how can this even be a question? The internet shouldn't be owned or restricted by anyone.

9670. Jake Walters, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
Keeping the internet without borders and without limitations by companies is needed to continue the internet's own renaissance. The Internet is essential for education of those in school and also for economic opportunities for those who work and provide for their family through the internet.

9671. Spencer Cook, Kansas City, MO, 64111
The open internet has completely changed my life. I work as a software developer and my livelihood depends on users getting equal access to the internet. Additionally in my role as a Graduate Student I take advantage of networking tools that wouldn't be impossible to affor without net neutrality.

9672. Kelly Hawkins, Belton, MO, 64012
Businesses pay to have their services available on the internet, why should the internet companies then be able to say "yeah, we know you paid for this but, nah"? Prices to actually have services on the internet will increase which will hurt small businesses across the country.

9673. David Hamilton, Imperial, MO, 63052
Net Neutrality is important because it means we're free. Everything on the internet is available to you, everybody's hard work and all the information. It brings the world together. However, if you let the money gouging companies win then it will be awful. Cable is dying for this exact reason and internet will die as well. Nothing good is going to come of this if you let net neutrality die, please save it before its too late. Please shoe that you actually work for the American public and not the deep pocketed companies.

9674. John Tavares, Kansas City, MO, 64152
This is just another attempt by the trump administration and the far right wing to control freedom of expression and speech.The internet was set up to be free from control by any goverment or business control.

9675. Danny Volin, Kansas City, MO, 64108
Net neutrality, as it currently exists, is the backbone of the internet. I wholly support net neutrality continuing in its current regulatory form. Net neutrality supports the economy by allowing entrepreneurs to lower their start-up costs. (Imagine Silicon Valley existing today without it.) It supports research by allowing scientists to easily share ideas, publish findings and collaborate. It allows families to stay connected despite long distances -- e-mail, Facebook, video calls home from lonely college freshmen to their parents. Net neutrality is an engine of growth in all forms and, in almost every way, the reason the internet exists as it does today.I strongly urge FCC to let the net neutrality regulations remain in place as they currently stand.

9676. Jennifer Nichols, Purdy, MO, 65734
Freedom of knowledge

9677. Caleb Clanton, Joplin, MO, 64801
no pay more for less internets

9678. Selmir Gorinjac, Saint Louis, MO, 63125
i work from home, i need access to all my available websites.

9679. Kahlie Jones, Carthage, MO, 64836
Net neutrality allows me to study for college. The internet maintaining neutrality is important for education and should be a right of all citizens. Please do not give priority telecommunication corporations over the very citizens of this nation.

9680. Eric Bilderback, Raymondville, MO, 65555
We are on a fixed income but still enjoy many sites like Netflix, and many gaming sites. A monopolized internet by big business would mean more money out of our pocket thus reducing our quality of life. We live in a poor area in the midwest and many of the people here share the same view as we do. We just can't afford it. The internet should remain free. Thank you.

9681. Jake Guetterman, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
The free movement of ideas is what democracy is built upon. Anything to impede free and open internet is simply a benefit to corporations at the cost of democracy. Do the right thing and keep the internet free and open.

9682. Stephanie johnson, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
This would be a step in the wrong direction, what happened to "freedom" very saddening

9683. Emily C, Florissant, MO, 63031
Help young people earn money

9684. Cody Greenwalt, Ballwin, MO, 63021
As a teen who is still trying to understand our born rights, I find it appalling that you can take the right of free communication with no reasonable grounds for it besides that companies and corporations almost full control of our lives.

9685. clayton smith, St. Louis, MO, 63119
Net neutrality is a critical component of a free and open society. The internet is not just a convenience anymore, it is essential to modern Life and democracy. Restriction of the free flow of information would be anathema to the principles of our constitution.

9686. Logan Mann, Dexter, MO, 63841
The internet is not called the in-crowd-net. Thank you!

9687. Douglas Jenkins, Independence, MO, 64052
Yo what the shit?

9688. Will , Springfield, MO, 65807
If the government can't censor the internet, why should companies be able to? The internet is one of the only places left where unrestricted thought can thrive and success is meritocratic. Don't let corporations choose the winners!

9689. Ethan Huskisson, Sedalia, MO, 65301
I'm sure who ever is reading this gets tons of emails every day. Skims through them and brushes them off as some arbitrary nobody. Hell, you probably would stop reading at the first few lines. But, I urge you, to reconsider net neutrality. If this goes away, I'm terrified that it would give too much power to big companies effectively muting our voice. When I think about a loss of our voice, that to me, is unconstitutional. We founded this land on the basis of freedom, but will this restriction help us become freer? Is this what our forefathers wanted? Us to bound by these imposed restrictions to further other's gain? I believe they would want us to fight this. They would want us to be free. They would want us to be free from the ignorance that can breed from censorship. They would want us to be free from paying for a freedom we should already have. They would want us to be free to use our resources how we want, not to be confined by a tyrannical power. I may not be the smartest person or the strongest but I am smart enough to know what is wrong and strong enough to fight for it. You should ask yourself. Are you sure this is right? Are you strong enough to fight? If so, why aren't you? This effects everyone. Whether you know them or not. So when you decide on what you are going to choose, please stop for a second. Think how this will effect your friends, family, even the future generation. Would you want to live in a world where information can be restricted by money or a world where it flows freely? Thank you for reading this.Sincerely, Ethan

9690. Megan Gilbertson, Saint Louis, MO, 63105
The FCC must protect net neutrality at all costs!

9691. Chris McFarland, Kansas City, MO, 64154
Stop and think of when you first thought about making a difference in this country and becoming a real leader. Remember that? You can still do what you set out to do. To do what your countrymen CHOSE you to do. I'm counting on you to NOT end net neutrality. But I don't have to hope. I know you'll do the right thing. FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

9692. Max Bieber, Saint Louis, MO, 63112
Please fight to keep net neutrality. Nobody wants these consumer protections to go away.

9693. Henry Mittler, Saint Louis, MO, 63139
I don't see how any citizen could be against net neutrality. The fact that even the ISPs themselves have said they are for net neutrality. I don't even see a point to get rid of it?

9694. Sean Metzger, Whiteman Air Force Base, MO, 65305
Protect net neutrality. Don't nickel and dime the internet. Don't ruin the internet

9695. Deverneton Paul, Kansas City, MO, 64126
Extra fees to control what we see and do? Really? Where's the freedom on the internet? This can't happen! You'd be "taxed" every time you go on a site. This could be your favorite site you'd go on. It limits what you would want to do. These companies are only in it for the money, but they don't know who they're messing with. There are millions of users and there are only a few of those companies trying to remove net neutrality.

9696. Matt Leong, Saint Louis, MO, 63114
Do not let them control our data!

9697. Matthew Wholey, Kansas City, MO, 64152
I urge you to defend net neutrality and vote against its repeal. The destruction of net neutrality would lend corporations like Comcast and what is now called Spectrum the ability to block key information from reaching the public. The internet is the most amazing thing to happen to the human race for a long time. Free exchange of information and opinions where anyone can show off anything that they have done. Net Neutrality makes this possible by assuring that Corporations cannot control what gets put on the internet or what people can see. Think about how instrumental the printing press was in the great exchange of information and change and growth that followed it. Repealing Net Neutrality is like controlling what can be printed on every printing press in the entire world. It is like controlling which documents can go where and who can read what. This would have stifled the great informational renaissance that followed its invention. So I urge you, stand with your voters, your constituents, your people, and not with your money.

9698. Wanda Kreitel, Pilot Grove, MO, 65276
We seniors that live on a fixed income use the internet to contact love ones and sell items to help the family..Live in a rural community on a farm-we need all the help we can get

9699. Nick Nickerson, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Businesses in this nation seem to think that the US owes them existence and that they are free to do what ever they want. It's time to remind that good citizenship requires good action! At least that's what they taught us back when I had civics class. But corporate controlled schools probably don't teach civics anymore.

9700. Ben Kotthoff, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
Our internet is not something that should be able to be completely controlled by major corporations. We should have control.

9701. Andrew VanHooser, Raymore, MO, 64083
Everyone knows that ending net neutrality is a scumbag move. Don't be scumbags. Vote to keep net neutrality, and show the people (whose side our government is supposed to take in matters like this) that our voices are being heard. Don't ISPs make plenty of money already? They don't need further help from the government to cash in on the internet. Continue to give the people at large that opportunity. Please do not end net neutrality.

9702. Tanner , Kansas City, MO, 64133
Keep it nuetral

9703. Nicholas M Cuba, Town & Country, MO, 63017
I am selecting to comment on proceeding #17-108 as an American citizen looking out for all Americans. Access to the Internet in a free and open manner must be made a right for all citizens. Content and Service providers should be able to provide said services on a level and unrestricted playing field. Any sort of throttling, blocking, differentiation, favoritism, or bias in Internet traffic as handled by said

content and service providers, barring compliance with legal obligations or network integrity, should be something every American rallies against. My physical location affords me a choice between two service providers. Without the regulation under classification as Information Services, how would other service providers be able to enter this market with new and alternate offerings? The free market we American hold as such a high ideal is being artificially limited to these two choices. Most Americans I meet online do not even have two choices, they live under coverage of a single ISP holding a virtual monopoly to provide Internet access.Internet service providers should be just that. Providing the consumer with access to the Internet and its content, not a corporate filtered, self-interested slice of what content providers build and offer and then PAY the same service providing companies to have accessible on the free and open Internet. I use the Internet to connect to and utilize cloud services. I am able to pick and migrate from one cloud service to another, depending on pricing fluctuations, at a whim to any cloud service. If the current net neutrality rules Ajit Pai so blatantly craves to roll back are revoked, these Internet service providers would be within their rights to neglect or just disallow any traffic connections to cloud services they dislike. I would be constrained to the cloud services that they deem acceptable, or suffer under inflated prices or poor connection prioritization. How does this equate to preserving the free and open Internet and benefit the consumer? Ajit Pai might be gullible enough to take these companies at their word, as they promise to never do such a thing, while wearing their best face and shining halos. How does he reconcile the "zero-rating" service packages offered by some ISPs right now with his claim to want a free and open Internet? Title II gives the FCC the legal authority to enforce net neutrality rules that Ajit Pai claims all ISPs and himself really want. Ajit Pai could not have forgotten that his old client, Verizon, were successful in resisting such enforcement from a victorious lawsuit against the FCC in 2014. The holding was in their favor; the FCC did not have authority to regulate and enforce net neutrality rules because ISPs were classified under Title I. The FCC was legally overstepping their bounds, until 2015 when the reclassification under Title II was enacted. If Ajit Pai, his cronies, and lobbyist paymasters "really want" net neutrality with a free and open Internet for all, why did they sue and thereby force it to be supported with a legal footing then two years later announce that they want to revoke this now legal authority of the FCC? This does not add up, and their behavior outshines any flowery words assuring the consumer that they are on the same side. I, as a citizen of these United States, am affronted by the blatant snipping away and deriding of the freedom of speech granted to all citizens by claiming corporations have equivalent or primary access to these rights.

9704. Justin Davis, Saint Ann, MO, 63074
Leave us and our internet alone.

9705. Laura Corogenes, Kansas City, MO, 64113
Do not repeal Net Neutrality rules. Leave as they are.

9706. Preston, Joplin, MO, 64804
Net neutrality plays an intimate and important role in not just providing freedom of speech and and dissemination of information (both of which are pillars of a functioning democracy) in an age where that is so hard to achieve using traditional media, but it also is the driving force behind our strong economy.To lose Title II classification would be a huge blow socially, politically, and, perhaps most important to all to the members of congress and the FCC, economically. America is a super-power today for a reason: because we value freedom above all else, both socially and economically. If we compromise on these values, we will not hold this status during the next century. I urge the members of the Congress, the FCC, and most importantly Ajit Pai to reconsider his stance for the security of this great nation.

9707. Justin Scott, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
To the FCC Chairman,I have worked in the Information Technology domain for just under two decades now. As such, I understand the need for Title II Common Carrier status for broadband providers. There is very little competition for the consumer. Most places have one provider, some have two. Without a billion dollars in financial backing and legal resources, it's going to be nearly impossible for a new business to enter, as virtually every block, every pole can be litigated to prevent a new fiber provider from access to common causeways provided to Verizon, Comcast, AT&T, etc. This litigation stymied Google Fiber more than the cost of laying fiber, more than the cost of negotiating provider contracts. Without competition at the provider level, and without Title II protections, what's to stop Comcast from giving an unfair advantage to Hulu traffic? All they have to do is exempt Hulu from their 1TB bandwidth cap, and they've created a debilitating disadvantage for any competitor. What's to stop them from doing that to streaming TV providers, such as Dish or PS Vue? Comcast already extorted Netflix once - what's to stop them from doing it again? Verizon has already attempted to block all traffic, legal or otherwise, using the BitTorrent protocol in a ham-fisted method of trying to protect digital copyrights - what's to stop them from doing it again? It wouldn't be especially hard to use automated blacklists and commercially available products from Palo Alto Networks to do so - and that doesn't assume they don't do something truly criminal and break DNS resolution in order to black hole websites they don't approve of. The providers have had plenty of time to put forth a plan for self-regulation, something binding with recourse for content providers and patrons to redress grievances. They offer little more than "trust us" blog posts, where they swear up and down that they won't do exactly the things they've already done, and that we can't empower regulations for such vapid offerings as "[to create] an environment where we can have a fresh constructive dialogue" and " discouraging competition and slowing job-generating investments". Both statements are false. Nothing is stopping ISPs from presenting their own alternative. I certainly would support self-regulation, but the ISPs have already shown anti-competitive practices to fair ISPs, such as community cooperatives and Google Fiber. Without any competition, there's no leverage for content providers or consumers in the market. The "constructive dialogue" is them telling us we're going to get biased and worse internet service and pay more than any developed country. As for Congress, the telecoms have spent millions of dollars preventing any sort of legislation. As for it discouraging innovation or investment, the telecoms themselves have said otherwise. If anything, since Title II protections would make it easier for smaller startups, this would increase competition, give the consumer more leverage, and actually promote innovation and development. Very simply, if the ISPs wish to self-regulate, fine. Let them submit a plan. Let them design penalties. Let them give the consumers and providers recourse, and let them say officially, in signed legal documents, a pledge to never throttle or otherwise affect the businesses of content providers. Let them submit a plan of action, and let the public comment on it. Let everyone decide if that Plan of Action meets our standards, and until the moment we collectively, both the FCC Commissioners, content providers, and consumers accept that plan, let's utilize the best, most efficient, most powerful set of consumer protections available to us - Title II.Thank you,Justin Scottjustin@greatjustice.org

9708. Jeffrey Nagle, ST.LOUIS, MO, 63114
"So the FCC won't let me beOr let me be me, so let me seeThey try to shut me down on MTVBut it feels so empty without me" -

Eminem

9709. Michael Perry, Parkville, MO, 64152
I do not support this bill!

9710. Bill Lyon, Kansas City, MO, 64119
I am totally in opposition to eliminating net neutrality. As a small internet business since 1998 I will not be able to compete with large corporations for Internet speed costs.

9711. Kate Snyder, St. Louis, MO, 63141
I strongly support net neutrality. The internet should reflect our countries motto on freedom and equality, so please don't give big businesses such power to control our interests and voices. Keep the internet as is.

9712. Christina Matekel-Gibson, Joplin, MO, 64801
The American people deserve a free, open, and equal internet.

9713. Emily Daniel, Lee's Summit, MO, 64064
I use the internet every day and hold net neutrality very dear. Among other great things, it allows for equitable dissemination of information that can only advance our country and the world. Please UPHOLD the Open Internet Order passed in February 2015, and uphold open internet, net neutrality, and no paid prioritization.

9714. Andy Michels, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I support previously-existing net neutrality rules on ISPs, particularly Title 2 rules governing them.

9715. Corey Raney, Nevada, MO, 64772
I believe the FCC needs to classify internet service providers as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. The internet is an unprecedented achievement of mankind. Selling it to a handful corporations can only harm our democracy, businesses, and day-to-day lives.

9716. Hannah Froncek, Springfield, MO, 65804
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I feel that net neutrality is important to a healthy economy, and substantial towards preventing it from becoming too one-sided. People should have their voices heard regardless of where they come from, and regardless of how much money they are able to pay. Allowing internet service providers to charge premiums for accessing certain websites is an affront to any idea of freedom in this country.

9717. Ryan Kensinger, Gravois mills, MO, 65037
I say we need to keep net neutrality in place.. to keep the internet free of stupid payment tiers that would destroy new startups and slow down our internet as we know today. PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY please.

9718. Doug Fender, Nixa, MO, 65714
I want and expect our government to protect and uphold complete net neutrality.

9719. Mikal Copeland, St Peters, MO, 63376
Net neutrality guarantees that I am able to keep up with, and speak with my friend group that is spread all over the United States. If it's gone I may no longer be able to keep up and hang out virtually with my closest friends.Competition is great, but unfortunately competition doesn't exist in the broadband field so stringent regulations are necessary.

9720. Audra McFarland, Moundville, MO, 64771
keep it under title 2 you cowards

9721. Caroline Lemp, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight!!!!!!!!

9722. Michael L. Terral, Springfield, MO, 65803
Maintaining net neutrality is vital to sustaining first amendment rights in the information age. I strongly oppose allowing companies to throttle service based on an individual's economic status. To allow a small group of business owners to dictate the access and difficulty involved in free and open communication among citizens will cause tangible economic damage. We have seen the public good that an open internet has fostered for business, research, innovation, and education. Rather than subverting net neutrality, we should be investing in low cost, high speed access for all citizens. Anything less will allow other countries to leap ahead in both economics and research and jeopardize our place as a world leader.

9723. Janet DIllon, Saint Charles, MO, 63303
I want to preserve the previous rulings that upheld Net Neutrality and equal access to all. Calling this proceeding "Restoring Internet Freedom" is a complete farce, and I am 100% against its adoption.

9724. Jessica Cox, Lee's Summit, MO, 64081
Please keep the current Net Neutrality restrictions in place because Comcast is not to be trusted with the ability to restrict internet traffic on a whim.

9725. Net Nuetrality, Kansas City, MO, 64108
I strongly support the classifying the internet under Title 2 as a way to protect Net Neutrality. The internet is an integral part of modern American life and needs to be protected. The best way to do this is protect content from being manipulated by ISPs. Classifying the internet under Title 2 protects freedom of speech, education, and even the free market. Websites should be able to compete on their

merits, rather than by the amount of money the paid to Comcast, AT&T, Spectrum, or whatever the newest name is for the internet monopolies.

9726. Lindsey Cook, Saint Louis, MO, 63130
I urge FCC chairman Ajit Pai to support FCC regulation of net neutrality with Title II oversight of internet service providers. An open and free internet is crucial to ensure unrestricted spread of information. My ISP has no business restricting my access to content. Thank you.

9727. Emily Hanson, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
As an internet user, having Net Neutrality makes my usage fast and reliable, things I as an author need for research and communication. I as a college student need fast and reliable internet, rather than Internet that is slowed and filtered by various companies who want my money. Net Neutrality is important and should be protected.

9728. Nathan Matthews, Overland, MO, 63114
I would like net neutrality to be preserved. The ISP market is not competitive enough to maintain any reasonable level of fair opportunity. I believe the internet should be maintained as an open and fair market for all.

9729. Erich Noll, Kansas City, MO, 64131
The internet is as vital a service for day-to-day life of all citizens of the US as electricity, natural gas and water. Releasing ISPs from net neutrality regulation would be as damaging to individual citizens as releasing providers of those vital services from their regulations. Please do not roll back the current Title II regulations instituted by the Obama-era FCC. Thank you.

9730. Alix David, Saint Peters, MO, 63376
I am one of the many that oppose removing the regulations placed by the FCC pertaining to net neutrality. Eliminating such basic protections will lead to abuse.We need a consumer/end-user bill of rights to guarantee fare and free democratization of internet technology.This will drive innovation and allow the freedom of speech to remain on the web as it should.

9731. Nice Frack, Webb City, MO, 64870
An open free internet is incredibly important to me. You will lose favor and customers if you do this, not to mention enrage most millennials.Nice Frack

9732. Sky Smith, Jackson, MO, 63755
Net neutrality will cause comment people to become criminals for trying to bypass service providers filters and give corporate control of information that should be free for the betterment of the human race. It's morally wrong to keep people from accessing information that can better their lives and is counterproductive for the continuing growth of our species.

9733. Alexandria Sportsman, Saint Louis, MO, 63128
Net Neutrality is an absolute necessity.

9734. Hans Todd, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I strongly support Title 2, and the preservation of net neutrality.

9735. Alexandria Schoeman, Ellie Long, Kansas City, MO, 64131
I strongly support Title ll and the preservation of Net neutrality to ensure that our country maintains a free and fair Internet for all.

9736. Gabriel Appleton, Saint Louis, MO, 63118
Stop being such asses and release OUR INFORMATION THAT WE SENT TO YOU TO THE PUBLIC INSTEAD OF HIDING IT AWAY, BECAUSE YOU KNOW WHAT YOU'RE DOING IS ILL ADVISED AND WILL CAUSE HELL.

9737. Callie Palmer, Springfield, MO, 65802
The ability to access anything you want anytime you want is what makes the internet what it is. Taking that away is unright, unfair, and cannot be allowed! Don't let big name companies take away our freedom of the internet!Callie Palmer

9738. Jackilyn Frank, Columbia, MO, 65203
PRO Net Neutrality

9739. Christine Vance, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
I am in favor of net neutrality. The internet serves all equally and should not be controlled by IPS, politicians, corporations, big money, or anything of a sort. It allows everyone to have access to the same information and knowledge without prejudice or discrimination.

9740. Joel DeLong, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Net neutrality is very important. DO NOT REPEAL. Please.

9741. Patrick Mann, St. Louis, MO, 63130
Mr. Pai,As a clinician and scientist, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you.Sincerely,Dr. Mann

9742. Rod StClair, Joplin, MO, 64804
If the local cable company wasn't a cable monopoly, I wouldn't mind them setting net neutrality rules. But they are the only cable company in town, so I mind enough to watch how the vote goes.Rod StClair

9743. Eli Barb, Bois D'Arc, MO, 65612
I support continued net neutrality legislation and fair access to the internet.

9744. Denice Racinw, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Ridiculous, net neutrality is a must. Do you job and make the right decision for the people of America not for who owns America

9745. carissa watson, High Ridge, MO, 63049
I am in strong favor of Net Neutrality. The internet should remain a free right.

9746. matthew hecky, Lake St Louis, MO, 63367
Net neutrality is important to me as I work for a small online company. Repealing it will only hurt the American people and small businesses as it's only a matter of time until ISPs start showing preferential treatment to those who pay up. This will cost Americans and small businesses more money. Please reconsider repealing net neutrality and think about the lasting repercussions repealing it will leave. Thanks,Matt

9747. Autumn Gupta, Smithville, MO, 64089
Please protect net neutrality by upholding Title II.

9748. Aaron Journot, Kansas City, MO, 64113
Hi,I own and operate two small businesses that require end users to access my website for content. Without net neutrality rules in place, my business would suffer from lack of access. I purchase broadband internet from my local ISP and have very limited options in regards to alternatives, so changes to these rules would directly affect my businesses. Please retain net neutrality at all costs.

9749. Misty Henke, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
I support full Net Neutrality. Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II

9750. Thomas Dwayne Wertz, Branson, MO, 65616
Internet transfer should be equal for everybody.

9751. Imran Khan, Saint Louis, MO, 63102
Dear Chairman Pai,I am for net neutrality as it stands currently under Title ii.- Imran Khan MD

9752. David Landes, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
I'm here to voice my support for strong Net Neutrality protections under it's current Title II classification.

9753. Michael Huelsing, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
Please continue to uphold "net neutrality."

9754. David Copeland, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
Preserve Net Neutrality under Title II. We cannot allow ISPs to legally slow down or speed up traffic for profit. Most consumers do not have a choice between different ISPs, and will be forced to use a sub-par service and change which sites they visit. Ajit Pai knows exactly what he's doing; making money for himself and ISPs by taking advantage of consumers. This is a blatant money-grab which will hurt every American internet user and stifle small business growth.Ajit Pai promises rolling back Title II classification will restore "internet freedom." To him, "internet freedom" means freedom for internet service providers, not for the internet's users or content. This is freedom for ISPs to make our lives worse, take more of our money, and force us to switch to services we don't want. That doesn't sound like freedom to me.I do not trust ISPs to do the right thing when they can so easily make money doing the wrong thing.Do not roll back the 2015 internet protections. Do the right thing and preserve Net Neutrality. Do not ignore the voice of the American people.

9755. Jordan Aschwege, St. Louis, MO, 63123
Hey there. I believe I speak for tens of thousands of people who have not commented/expressed this opinion (because it's amazingly complex to get to this page). I believe I speak with millions of people who have expressed a similar sentiment.Net Neutrality is vital to keeping the internet a level playing field for any and all entrants, including myself. I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The internet is more than a market place - it is a public forum. It is a place to learn. The internet is the greatest collection of recorded information in human history. Restricting access to that sort of tool, that sort of knowledge, is almost unthinkable to me - and I don't believe I'm alone there.

9756. Steven Cobb, Gladstone, MO, 64118
The Internet, much like the broadcast spectrum, is a resource that is shared by all Americans, and as an American, I believe that permitting ISPs to throttle or block specific services based on business arrangements is contrary to my best interest. This will just serve to drive costs up for consumers, and provide an artificial barrier to entry for small or start-up enterprises who can't compete with deep-pocketed competitors. Please keep the Internet a level playing field by enforcing net neutrality.

9757. Kyle small, Cuba, MO, 65453
THE ISP NEED TO STAY UNDER TITLE 1.I DO NOT AGREE WITH THEM MOVING FROM TITLE 1 TO TITLE 2. KEEP THEM HOW THEY ARE!

9758. Matthew Sabens, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Hello,Net Neutrality is an absolute necessity in this day of internet access. It would be both idiotic and criminal to strip away the core legislation that has advanced our "Silicon Valley Culture", as well as the US as a whole, to where it is today. Don't do it. For once, listen to the people and not your wallet. Remember the free market ideals that has built this nation.Matt

9759. Preston Preusser, Kansas City, MO, 64105
To whom it may concern, (in the case of net neutrality ALL OF US)Please do not allow the massive internet providers to hold citizens, start ups, and other companies hostage by crippling their internet services. Verizon has already been caught inhibiting the speeds to websites such as YouTube and Netflix, making even more exploitation inevitable should these companies have free reign to control

content. Allowing these companies to take advantage of the citizens of this country would not only wreak havoc on economic growth but also be against the fundamental principles this nation was built on. Please head the words of millions of American citizens and refrain from changing the rules in favor of large internet providers. Preston Preusser

9760. Larry Culp, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
I ask of you to leave internet regulation as a Title II classification in order to maintain the free and open internet standards set forth by the premise of net neutrality.

9761. Nathan Todt, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
Net neutrality under Title II keeps the internet from excessive company greed and manipulation.

9762. Aaron Hill, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Keep net neutrality intact! It is unfair to let big corporations have this much pull in internet speeds.

9763. Ashton Cowie, St. Louis, MO, 63122
I STRONGLY AND SPECIFICALLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY AS SUPPORTED BY TITLE II.

9764. Jeremiah Sherrill, kahoka, MO, 63445
I manage a small municipal cable internet system in Northeast Missouri. Our system fully supports title II. We see no advantage to gutting Title II for anyone but the largest isp's who are part of existing media giants. Contrary to what organizations like The American Cable Association and companies like comcast and mediacom say, taking away these regulations will not hurt small ISP's like myself, ultimately though, it will hurt most consumers. As a regulatory agency it is your job, it is your duty to be a watchdog and to protect the citizens of this great country from those that wish them harm. I ask you to not only support title II regulations, but to expand them and regulate ISP's like public utilities. The internet is one of the single most important structures this country has. I am asking you to fight with us, not against us.

9765. Max Page, Springfield, MO, 65804
We need and I support strong net neutrality regulations backed by TITLE 2 to prevent ISPs from controlling my internet. Ajit it's time to pick a side and you can either go down in history as a defender of the people or you can pick the corporations and then you will simply go down.

9766. Tanner, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
I SPECIFICALLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISP'S. DO YOUR JOB JESUS!

9767. William Griggs, St Peters, MO, 63304
I am filing a complaint that the FCC wants to eliminate Net Neutrality. That is a terrible idea that will only hurt consumers. Please do not let a handful of companies that have lobbied their way into your back pockets own you.

9768. Adam Starr, Kansas City, MO, 64112
I support Title II net neutrality rules and oppose the plan to change them.

9769. Kyle McColgan, St. Louis, MO, 63128
Yet another citizen abhorred by the actions of the FCC in regards to net neutrality and title II regulations. Capitalism and democracy have failed the day the government bends to the will of large corporations rather than its own citizens.

9770. Dalton Lane, Springfield, MO, 65806
Don't throttle websites

9771. daniel mayer, St. Louis, MO, 63112
9 million people have commented asking you to protect net neutrality, here's one more. Do not sell out the American people for profit, it will not make you rich and powerful, it just makes you a whore to those with real influence. Pick the American people instead

9772. Christine Horan, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
I am very concerned about many aspects of the regulation of throttling (paragraph 82 asks specifically for input on this point). I don't believe adequate safeguards are in place to ensure that ISPs do not use data caps to drive internet traffic once users go over their allotted data cap for the month. Additionally, as someone that lives in a city suffering from a lack of competition among ISPs (we have only 2 options for ISPs which both have poor records on customer support and network reliability) I am terrified by the prospect of further empowering ISPs and consolidating their power. Thank you for reading my comment.

9773. Megan Blevins, Ozark, MO, 65721
I am writing to submit my views on this proposal. Please, do not extinguish innovation by blocking small businesses and web innovators from flourishing. This proposal would essentially require small businesses to have significant capital to pay an ISP in order to allow potential customers to find them on the internet in an efficient way that ensures their business can grow. Please keep net neutrality.

9774. Michael Hodgson, Lees summit, MO, 64082
I support net neutrality. You need to preserve it for our citizens.

9775. Mark Gagnon and Terri Gagnon, Columbia, MO, 65203
To whom it concerns at the FCC regarding the internet and Net Neutrality:Terri and I use the internet everyday. I am disabled and need the internet to enhance my quality of life. Terri needs the internet to go to school and take the classes for her degree. Net Neutrality is very important to us and believe the internet should be governed by Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. In no way should the

FCC change the legal standing of Title II. We want you to preserve Net Neutrality under Title II. We think the 17-108 (Net Neutrality) should be strongly enforced and defended from ISPs who would take advantage of my connection to any internet website.Thank you for your attention to this matter.Mark and Terri Gagnon

9776. Christian Michael Poynter, Grandview, MO, 64030
I support strong net neutrality via title II oversight of ISPs. Taking this away would give corporations far too much power and incentive to interfere with the internet for their own personal gain. The internet has brought us into the information age. To take us out of that age for corporate interests would be a great tragedy

9777. Net Neutrality, Wildwood, MO, 63011
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.I demand that the FCC keep the internet filed as a Title II communications service.ISPs killing competition and damaging internet-based companies does happen, Ajit Pai. Just ask Google what happened to Google Wallet in 2013, or ask Netflix how their contract negotiations with Comcast went in 2014 and how their streaming quality was during the negotiations.I will not allow ISPs to censor what I want to see, kill the free market by harming internet-based companies, make me pay massive fees for the same speed that I have now, form into an oligopoly, and silence anyone online that either doesn't pay their fees or disagrees with their actions.I will fight for strong Net Neutrality! Long live the Internet!

9778. theodore galvin, kansas city, MO, 64152
I absolutely support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's

9779. Steve Connelly, Peculiar, MO, 64078
I am in favor of net neutrality, everything should be equal for all without restrictions on web content, speed and without surveillance.

9780. Jason Baird, St. Louis, MO, 63104
Net neutrality is crucial to freedom of speech in the internet era.

9781. Connie D Smith, Marshfield, MO, 65706
Please keep net neutrality. The internet should serve everyone equally, not be controlled by commercial interests.

9782. Alex Phillips, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Net Neutrality is not negotiable. Itâ€™s essential to everything we need in our society and democracy â€” from educational and economic opportunities to political organizing and dissent. I urge you to reject any attacks on real Net Neutrality. I strongly do not support the repealing of Net Neutrality rules. Please protect a free Internet that is not at risk to the throttling of content by ISPs.

9783. Eric Bippen, Fenton, MO, 63026
I strongly support strict net neutrality and believe a lack of oversight into ISPs will drastically damage the ability of new internet-based companies from being able to gain market share. This will allow already dominate companies to grow stronger and limit competition and innovation.

9784. Kamil R Halewicz, Fulton, MO, 65251
I do not want corporate interests to be able to interfere with my ability to access information online. I do not want them to be able to throttle, or shape traffic to offer themselves an advantage over service providers such as Netflix, Google, competing ISPs. I do not want to offer them the ability to squelch criticism of their business or business practices, or even political criticism, which would be possible if ALL traffic is not treated equally, as you would any other utility.This is anti-competitive, and anti-free market, it is anti-consumer, but above all, it is anti-American.By allowing ISPs to be able to hamstring competing interests, it removes competitive pressures which are necessary to ensure a free and fair market. Effectively, this hamstrings the source of efficient and rapid communications and continued innovation. This is in Violation of the FCC's own stated mission to "make available so far as possible, to all the people of the United States, without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex, rapid, efficient, Nationwide, and world-wide wire and radio communication services with adequate facilities at reasonable charges."This is not a partisan issue, this is an issue that, if it comes to pass, will damage the ability of ALL consumers to access a fair and reasonably priced internet.Free access of information and unshaped web-access is as vital today to the economic prosperity, competitiveness and innovation, and political discourse as phone, radio, water or electricity. This cannot be undermined.

9785. Larry L. Schoeneich, Waynesville, MO, 65583
I am in favor of strong net neutrality under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. I do not trust and never will trust Verizon and other Company's To be fair to their competition. Sincerely, Larry L. Schoeneich.

9786. Andrew Fay, St Louis, MO, 63146
Please continue to support and enforce net neutrality. As an individual consumer who uses various services on the internet almost around the clock, it is extremely important for me to be able to access the internet and get content that is unfiltered, un-prioritized, un-blocked, and un-throttled so that I can see and hear what I want and not what my ISP or some other corporation wants me to see or hear. Since we depend on the internet for so much and since it is the primary source of information for most people these days, myself included, this neutrality is absolutely critical to ensure that we get unbiased information and also promote fair competition for any businesses that depend on the internet to survive.

9787. Eric Wright, Kansas City, MO, 64145
Okay, please keep net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP. I need access to Hulu sometimes to watch southpark, and if Netflix bribes or gets blackmailed by networks more out I won't be able to get it. That said, if Hulu outcompetes Netflix, I'll actually have to keep up with the CW DC shows, and that would be a huge pain in the ass, so please keep net neutrality. I'm already paying over $20 a month for these, don't let my connection be crappy to either.

9788. Katherine Gale, Columbia, MO, 65203

I support net neutrality because I believe in intellectual freedom and free expression. The internet plays a vital role in offering access to information and in the creation and distribution of information. People should not have to pay to access information that is freely available, which is what will happen if net neutrality is repealed and ISPs are able to control and throttle access to information on the internet. As a librarian, I also value net neutrality because it allows us to offer high-speed internet to our patrons, many of whom do not have access at home. We also offer a great quantity of digital content--if those content providers have to pay to prioritize that content, those fees will be passed on to libraries and will greatly harm our ability to provide that content to the public free of charge. By supporting net neutrality, I support freedom of speech and an informed and democratic society.

9789. Tyler Stevens, Arnold, MO, 63010
Keep it, its not hurting anything.

9790. Armbruster, country club, MO, 64505
Net neutrality is fundamentally a free speech issue, and a founding principle of the internet. It protects the rights of individuals and small businesses to have the same connection quality with other people as massive corporations do. It protects the rights of activists to advocate for their positions on the internet. How soon will we go from the so called "fast lanes and slow lanes" we are worried about today to outright censorship (perpetrated not by the government but by telecoms)? The argument that abandoning net neutrality will promote competition might be believable if customers had their pick of ISPs, but for many Americans like myself there is only one choice: accept the outrageous terms and pricing from the ISP who has a monopoly on my area or live completely off the grid. At least net neutrality can guarantee that the connection I have isn't being altered by my ISP with continually updated service contracts I'm forced to accept to maintain my service.

9791. Blake Fields, Cassville, MO, 65625
FCC protections through Title 2 are required to keep ISPs from dismantling net neutrality. Don't allow the internet to be ruined - ISPs are already doing it (TWC v Riot Games).

9792. Kenneth L Hunt, Columbia, MO, 65203
Your Transgender assault is notvery Christian Vicky.Kenneth L Hunt

9793. David Williams, Kansas City, MO, 64156
I would like for you to maintain the Title 2 rules for ISPs and keep a level playing field for everyone regardless of what the head of the FCC thinks.

9794. Sean McNair, Ladue, MO, 63124
My wife and I support strong Net Neutrality rules (Title II).

9795. Lynne Hedrick, El Dorado Springs, MO, 64744
Keep our internet free of government control.

9796. Cameron Stephens, Springfield, MO, 65810
Keep ISPs in Title II! Keep the rules!

9797. Kyle Lawrence, St Louis, MO, 63130
We must keep the internet free. If information is not free, people are not free. Is the FCC going to promote democracy or corporate greed?

9798. Jason Pinto, Wentzville, MO, 63385
I am in favor of strong net neutrality standards under Title 2 and do not favor rolling them back as is currently being proposed. ISP's provide essential piping to connect the end users to the broader internet and as such need to be treated as a critical piece of infrastructure with appropriate regulation. In today's world the internet is a critical component to everyday life and no longer an "optional" items for consumers. Thank you, Jason

9799. James Williams, Independence, MO, 64053
Net neutrality should be preserved and Title II should be as well.

9800. Mark Tupica, Agency, MO, 64401
Keep the Net free and open. Do not allow corporations, governments or anyone the ability to interfere with the freedom of access to ideas and information. Do not allow censorship, fees or throttling. Keep net neutrality!

9801. Kelly Moore, St. Louis, MO, 63116
I am in full support of complete and total net-neutrality.

9802. Kevin Kargacin, Ofallon, MO, 63366
I support Net Neutrality and Title 2. Do not allow those that would profit to determine how we as a people can view the shared internet.

9803. Katelyn Fritz, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
I support strong net neutrality with Title II

9804. Laura, springfield, MO, 65810
Please note that I support strong net neutrality rules.

9805. Anna Wynne, St. Louis, MO, 63146
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality under Tittle II

9806. don crozier, ofallon, MO, 63366
The internet is working great right now for ALL users, I think it's a big mistake to roll back the current net neutrality rules and allow ISPs to play favorites. This will hurt users and new applications.

9807. Miles Wray, Harrisonville, MO, 64701
Net neutrality is all about protecting the end user. We pay subscription fees for things we want. We pay ISPs to deliver the things we want. ISPs make more money by forcing the people we subscribe to pay more to get full speed service. Thus, we must pay more for our subscriptions to make up for it. This is especially hard in towns like mine where the city council has stocks in a certain company and won't let any other ISP run lines into the town.

9808. Easom Bond, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Please maintain a strong net neutrality under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. Everyone should have access to the internet as a public resource. Sincerely, Easom Bond

9809. Deb Murphy, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I strongly support Net Neutrality rules using Title II to regulate Internet Service Providers. The importance of provisions that prevent ISPs from slowing traffic and favoring their own content, especially as ISPs and mobile broadband providers expand their own libraries of Web sites and streaming channels. "The Internet industry is uniform in its belief that net neutrality preserves the consumer experience, competition, and innovation online."

9810. Chris Ayers, Independence, MO, 64050
Please leave Net Neutrality in place. A free and open internet is very important for all.

9811. Daniel Lane, St louis, MO, 63104
This is a comment to beg for the maintenance of net neutrality. The preservation of the current internet which all traffic is treated equally has become a major foundation of freedoms across the free world. Millions use the system for work, study and entertainment with systems and sites that are not necessarily part of the mainstream. Especially working as a scientist where much of the information is on non-heavily trafficked sites, any drop in data access speeds would result in a slowdown of my work.I also worry as I assume any rollback would include the provision that governmental websites be excluded from any throttling which could be used in the future to control information further. Something that has become a threat for many people worried about the current administration and the polarization of information consumption.To reiterate I hope that the current policy of net neutrality is preserved. Have a good day.

9812. Jarek Mick, Weston, MO, 64098
Making sure information is free and easily accessible is greatly important to a successful civilization and leads to a better way of life. These are just two reasons why I support net neutrality.

9813. Aric Helmandollar, Columbia, MO, 65202
I support net neutrality, and insist that internet service providers be kept under title 2 as common carriers to ensure a free and open internet. Most places won't allow people to apply for a job in person, and will only allow online applications. This makes it essential to survival, since most are not able to receive income without it. Utilities (e.g. Water, gas, electricity) are considered essential to survival as well, and I would personally consider survival to be the basis of utilities. The internet should be regulated by the government, not allowing for slowing of services. Several cases of the slowing of services by internet service providers have been observed in the past, and taken to court. There is already precedent for these actions to be unallowed.

9814. David O'Hara, St. Louis, MO, 63124
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 regulations. They represent the most robust regulatory legislation currently available.

9815. Jerry Alan Smith, Springfield, MO, 65804
Keep Net Neutrality and Keep ISP's under Title 2.

9816. Justyn carll, Saint Louis, MO, 63104
Please keep fighting for net neutrality and keep our internet free. It sparks innovation and keeps power where it needs to be.

9817. Justin, Kansas City, MO, 64111
Don't overturn Title II! It serves as a necessary safeguard for the freedom and diversity which the internet has thrived upon since it's creation.

9818. John M. Budd, Columbia, MO, 65203
The policy known as "net neutrality" is an essential protection against the monopolization of broadband and internet access equity. All citizens--save for those companies wishing to exclude or diminish the bandwidth of competitors--benefit directly from net neutrality. Perhaps the biggest beneficiaries are those who use the libraries in the US. People are able to have equitable access to all services and content. This is an essential right and is fundamental to a genuine democratic state. The opposite is oligarchy, which must be opposed.

9819. Zachary Leonhard, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63703
Hi, I writing this comment to express my opinion that I prefer to have free access to the internet. By "free access" I am speaking of access to content on the internet that is not influenced by paid prioritization from ISPs and/or any other party, blocked content, or anything else that may prevent me from accessing the entirety of the internet. I do not want a third party determining what I see or limiting what I see in anyway. I am grateful to have had free access to the internet up until this point in time. Please protect the current net neutrality rules already in place.

9820. Robert Moughamian, Wentzville, MO, 63385
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by "Title 2" oversight of ISP's.

9821. DAVID P FORMS, Saint Louis, MO, 63115
Received an approval letter from ATT confirming eligibility but when I called to set it up, I was told the service was not available at my address. I pointed out the neighbor only 2 doors away has ATT internet service, I was told that service availability was not by area, and as an agent, they have no information about when service would become available, and these decisions are made internally. The agent also stated that because the application was submitted online, service availability was not considered before the "approval" letter was sent. The application ID# is: MO100B00000000841752.I find this explanation to be highly incredible and discriminatory and I am expressing this formal complaint against ATT. I have true cause to believe that ATT has been non-compliant with the Restoring Internet Freedom for All Americans legislation and declare that I am a victim of discrimination concerning this legislation.

9822. Jennifer Young, Sappington, MO, 63128
We need Title II and for the FCC to regulate the large companies who have repeatedly taken advantage of consumers with no regard to what is right or decent. These large companies could not care less what they over charge us for... instead they are more concerned about their profit margin and if they can force us to use their product by blocking others, then they will use that method to gain even more income. There have been multiple examples of violations to net neutrality before it was put into place by the FCC and many more companies would likely take advantage of there being no regulation on the service. The following companies have been shown to favor non net neutrality... including but not limited to Telus, AOL, Verizon, and T-Mobile. VoIP is one of the many services that is commonly blocked or restricted when there are no guidelines regarding what is and what is not allowed. Apps are another commonly blocked feature.. at one time Google Wallet was blocked from being used by various providers... so that individual providers own services would have to be used. Online streaming services was another hot topic as many companies wanted to charge a premium fee for receiving these at a continued speed of use. Getting rid of the FCC regulations would allow these companies to charge for services you are already paying to receive. It would allow it to go unchecked and the fact remains I have seen no proof that these regulations hurt businesses as many claim they do.... if anything I feel like they help smaller companies receive the same benefits as larger corporations. Verizon, Charter, Time Warner, T-Mobile, AT&T, Sprint and the vast number of other companies out there are not going to stop expanding and have not been seriously injured by this and if they would not abuse the system then why not allow the regulations to stand?I am sure not all companies would exploit their customers but unfortunately it has been shown time and again that the majority of companies will do what they can to take advantage of who they can whenever they can.For the people who say the net needs to grow... at what point has it ever stopped growing? This just allows smaller companies, blogs and unknowns to be as assessable as ones promoted by the individual companies.

9823. Sherry Baumer, Washington, MO, 63090
Preserve Net Neutrality and keep Title II intact.

9824. Jonathan Leo Petesch, Warsaw, MO, 65355
If regulations are loosened on net neutrality and Title II, ISPs would have the power to regulate exactly how we view content on the internet and be able to choose what we view by restricting what we can view. This would be a form of digital censorship and ISPs could also spread their own propaganda, something no one should be in favor of. As a journalist, I need to find sources which can sometimes be hidden far away, but if I can't have that freedom of information where nothing is censored, I can't deliver the best facts which would only fuel our increasing problem of fake news. No matter what, net neutrality and Title II cannot be discarded as it would only do more harm than the "good" that ISPs and carriers like Verizon are claiming would come out of it. What they might claim is good might be good for them as a business, but it is not good for us, the people. As a citizen of a nation created by the people and for the people, by getting rid of net neutrality and Title II, we'd be discarding our foundation by discarding our care for the people.

9825. nancy ross, Nevada, MO, 64772
I support net neutrality enforced under Title 2

9826. Charles Attaway, Oak Grove, MO, 64075
I called Xfinity after received an ad in the mail. I called again to verify the information. Three months before disconnecting with CenturyLink, I set up an install for Xfinity which included Comcast. There were several questions I asked before proceeding, several times: The Speed of the internet (we had 5 mg and it buffered a lot) we were told Xfinity didn't even have such a slow speed) speed would be 12-20 mg. WE asked if we would have channels InSP and BYU ... we were told YES. WE do not have them. We were told we would have movie channels for three months. We did not have time. After I called PHIL on August 1, 2017, I was able to give us a few movie channels. $70 a month was quoted with no more than $10 in fees. Installation took over three weeks with three different installers and they never hooked up our HD. Today I received a bill for $89.90 from Xfinity. When I called about the 9.50 charge for sports and broadcast fee I was told that was added last month. I should have received a notice. Since we signed up with them three months prior to installation and I had called over 5 times about issues, why was I not informed? We have 4.9 mg speed and buffering is worse than with Centurylink. Every time I call I am told there is NOTHING they can do without charging us 40 or 50 more dollars a month. I was up front about being Senior Citizens on fixed income and the issues with low speed for doing work at home, and again told them the cost had to under 80 dollars. We now have a three year contract and everyone is polite but will do nothing about the lies told to us. Phil tried to help on Aug 1. The last person was Kenyatta #44 who said we'd have to pay more. EVERYONE told us this slow speed was not even available at Xfinity, but we have it! We were lied to. I was mailed the Comcast agreement for residential services and received it yesterday. it states I cannot take the issue to court but can only do in arbitration, no judge or jury. Again this was NEVER even mentioned. Reps are selling what they are told is true. The facts are what they are selling is not what the customer receives. We were also promised a $100 visa card. that never happened. Reps should be trained to KNOW the truth of what they are selling and what they tell us should be what we get. Bottom line is if we knew the speed was going to be this slow and we were not getting what we wanted we would have never gone with them.

9827. Jesse Maloney, Blue Springs, MO, 64015
According to the charter for the FCC, its written goal is to "make available so far as possible, to all the people of the United States, without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex, rapid, efficient, Nationwide, and world-wide wire and radio communication services with adequate facilities at reasonable charges." It can then be reasonably inferred that the set of rules widely referred to as "Net Neutrality" were then adopted at the time to accomplish a portion or the entirety of these set goals.Now, I

would like to make it clear to the commission, and any audience at large, that I am in fact a young American adult going to college in the fall. I am quite accomplished in my understanding and mastery of technology, as are many of my peers in what has become the generation of digital natives. To understand why Net Neutrality is widely popular among my generation (and the population as a whole) you must understand what the internet is and what it is not. While legally Net Neutrality rules regulate ISP's, on a broader basis they prevent discrimination or prioritization of traffic. Net Neutrality in a sense makes the internet a toll road (metaphorically), we as consumers pay for access to it, but we are all treated to the same road at roughly the same rate (depending on geographic location and provider.) Sure, a provider can pave a nicer road and charge a premium for it, but that is the nature of competition, not regulation. Regulation, on the other hand (which is after all what were all commenting on) is meant to protect (in the general) consumers from sometimes greedy or abusive companies. Furthermore, Net Neutrality protects small businesses from being discriminated against by sometimes greedy and abusive ISP's. If repealed, the loss of these rules would allow any ISP to charge exorbitant rates or simply block traffic from certain websites. Everyone says they are against this, even the commissioner of the FCC has outlined his opposition to this, and that ISP's should simply follow Net Neutrality rules in 'principle' through a trust system rather then a regulated one. You cannot trust companies to simply regulate themselves, and similarly you cannot act like they have not already violated these rules in earnest. The FCC falsely stated that only one complaint to date has been filed over these rules (the complaint by Alex Nguyen.) However, that is only the amount of Formal Complaints i.e. complaints treated more like court filings that are very difficult for an average American to file without external expense. Informal Complaints i.e. complaints more easily filed and more easily dismissed by the FCC, are much more common. Since the inception of Net Neutrality, over 35,000 informal complaints have been filed over it, which was somehow glossed over in the FCC's proposal to remove these rules. This easily highlights how often ISP's attempt to or succeed in violating the ideals of an open internet. More then reason enough to not modify these rules.Finally, I would like to remind this commission of its given intent. You are a group of Federal Employees paid for by the American taxpayer, with express written purpose and goals. With this in mind, it should be stressed that the popularity of net neutrality (on average three quarters of Americans support the rules) alone validates the rules existence. If the FCC rules against a majority of the populace in favor of more 'open' rules, I would warn the FCC that it would only cause American voters to work through congress rather then the commission tasked with this oversight. If congress is forced to- on behalf of the populace -to regulate ISP's as they ought to be, than a strong question arises around the ability of the FCC to correctly and effectively oversee, if at all.

9828. Steve Callahan, St. Louis, MO, 63105
I urge you to retain the "Internet Equality" regulation. To remove it in the name of "internet freedom" will merely give mega-corporations freedom to suppress competition by strangling the service to all but their own products. Mega-corporations already have far too much power over America's media. Local news sources are being swallowed by Sinclair, Network service providers are scrambling to expand their production of content. Monopolistic tendencies MUST be resisted! Small producers and entrepreneurs should be vigorously supported and defended. Internet Equality will NOT hamper investment in new technologies and services, and the major service providers have truthfully said as much to their stock-holders.Mr. Pai's plan MUST not succeed!

9829. Wesley Shiflet, Green Park, MO, 63123
Rolling back net neutrality would be disastrous. Furthermore, I'm alarmed by the completely obvious botting on this page.

9830. Kylie Hill, Washington, MO, 63090
Support net neutrality and title 2 of the communications act of 1934

9831. Jim, Kahoka, MO, 63445
Ensuring net neutrality is essential to democracy and a free and open marketplace. Equal access at equal speed/rate is an absolute. You must protect us from corporate over reach. We already commented, quit asking the question until you get the answer corporations want. Protect the internet as we have told you to do already.

9832. Jessica Houts, El Dorado springs, MO, 64744
I support keeping the current Level 2 status in place for net neutrality rules. I do not want my ISP to have any additional influence over what media I consume on the internet.

9833. AJ Robinson, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I am an information professional and I support Net Neutrality. Please do not allow ISPs to discriminate. The internet is an important venue for business and communicate across the world, and should not be subject to market dynamics. The result would be instability and obstacles for new businesses.

9834. Kyle, DeSoto, MO, 63020
You are a government entity that is paid for by working Americans (Me); therefore, preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2.

9835. Michael Jones, Independence, MO, 64055
I want to the FCC to keep the current Title II rules in place that govern ISPs. Net neutrality must be kept in place. The internet is too important to small businesses and startups. If the rules concerning Title II and net neutrality are dismantled hundreds of thousand or maybe millions of Americans will suffer an unnecessary financial burden.

9836. Robert Philpott, Kansas City, MO, 64111
I am writing to inform you that I support keeping Net Neutrality exactly the way it is. Removing this only benefits the corporations and big business.

9837. Eric Powell, Springfield, MO, 65807
Moving FCC internet regulation away from Title II is a terrible idea, one that is only supported by ISPs as a way to deregulate the industry so that they can manipulate the internet they provide for their own benefit. Net neutrality is the key to keeping the internet an unbiased source of access to the biggest repository of knowledge available to any average individual, sacrificing it because manipulative ISPs, out to promote only their own profit margins, not protect a valuable intellectual resource, would be short sighted to the point of being reckless.

9838. Roger Hickam, Columbia, MO, 65202
You should be ashamed of yourself by misrepresenting what Internet Freedom means. Leave the Internet alone. You also don't mention that the majority of internet services are a monopoly, and you don't have broadband choices. This change would mean that the internet companies would have control of all information. This is already happening with Google/Youtube demonitizing only some online news services. We want to keep freedom of speech with no blocks, slowdowns or interruptions.

9839. Jacquelyn Settlage, Kirksville, MO, 63501
I believe that an open internet is critical to ensuring that robust job growth can continue for future generations. Congress, itâ€™s time to write the principles of Net Neutrality into law and put this issue to rest once and for all. Absolutely! Please! Thank you

9840. Dale Clodi, Independence, MO, 64055
I support the proposed rule changes. I believe the people against the changes are incorrect in their analysis of the effects of the proposed rule changes. I believe the internet will be a fairer place for all with the new proposed rules.

9841. Ron Zimmermann, St. Louis, MO, 63123
Leave Title II as it is. I want the FCC (government) to keep their hands (corporate special interests) off my public access (freedom) to the internet !!!

9842. Carol McDonald, Manchester, MO, 63011
LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE! I don't want any big company (or government) deciding for me what I should see. Google and Facebook already do enough of that with their algorithms.

9843. W R Berg, St. Louis, MO, 63144
The Internet is a living entity and much like our Constitution, does not need constant over-seeing to remain healthy and free. Neutrality on the net would constitute equal access by all, to all, for all.

9844. Mike Conroy, Piedmont, MO, 63957
Internet for the People, by the People! Remember, you are a People too!!

9845. Alexander Beman, Clayton, MO, 63105
The removal of net neutrality is a blatant display of cronyism. It displays an open disregard for the public trust which this institution exists to ensure. I hope the FCC can hold itself to the high standard of service expected and required by the citizens of the United States of America.

9846. Mark Katerberg, St Louis, MO, 63117
The idea of companies paying money to get their traffic prioritized repulses me as a small business owner. How can small businesses hope to enter the market if there is an artificial monetary gate to entry?

9847. Jerry Steffe, Foristell , MO, 63348
Please don't ruin the internet. Leave it as it is, none of you are qualified to make major decisions.

9848. Joyce, Poplar Bluff, MO, 63901
This is but one more way for the elite to take control of our right of freedom of speech from us. The internet was meant to be free and these companies, such as AT&T, Comcast, and Verizon do not have our best interest at heart. Comcast is not to be trusted at all. AT&T is no better. Verizon will be just bad as the other two. The internet was not meant to be controlled by big companies who are in it for money and who collude with the leftist, elite moguls. DON'T DO IT!!! And by the way, AT&T and Comcast are under-handed in their business practices, which is why they've lost many customers over the years. I am one of them!

9849. Wayne Workman, Florissant, MO, 63031
As a cloud architect, it'd be difficult for me to bring small businesses to the cloud if I couldn't convince them their connection wouldn't be throttled. We need net neutrality so small business has a chance.

9850. Susi Matthews, Kansas City, MO, 64134
Ever since Citizens United passed we've been rushing toward more and more privilege for the economic 1% in this country.The internet was set up for INFORMATION SHARING and not to make even more money for the wealthy. It is critical for our future, for education and for our young people that the net remain neutral.

9851. Alejandro Campos, Saint Louis, MO, 63103
The internet is needed by all. Net neutrality must remain and be protected to allow all parties of the world usage.

9852. Brian Turner, Kansas City, MO, 64152
ISPs have an effective duopoly in most markets. Without choice or neutrality we would be in their prison.

9853. Derick Roy, University City, MO, 63130
Net neutrality protects our civil liberties!

9854. Ben, saint charles , MO, 63303
If you really want to sell out innovation for a few dollars in your pocket

9855. Chey, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
It's crucial to equality of ALL kinds. Screw the rich.

9856. Alec Belue, Fenton, MO, 63026

Net neutrality is vital to the way the web is used today

9857. Alice RIPLEY, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
Net Neutrality is too important not to be protected. PLEASE!

9858. Michael Crandall, Fulton, MO, 65251
Time and again it has been an issue for me having to call my internet provider and question them on why my speed has been so low. They would say they were looking into the issue, but it was only effect some sites not all of them. They would then say It was an issue on my end. It has been an issue with my provider that they have been caught throttling internet speeds in the past. Internet providers can't be trusted not to do this again in the future. Even now some are doing this to other users.

9859. Tony Nenninger, Bourbon, MO, 65441
I don't want big companies guiding my access to information on the internet. I want the internet to be a neutral medium for all information without any preferences based on commercial interests.

9860. Larry Sweet, West Plains , MO, 65775
This such a ploy of Big Business for more and more control. This issue will bring the youth out in droves in 2018! So be aware.

9861. Steve Tuttle , Kingsville , MO, 64061
LEAVE THE INTERNET ALONE. NO NEW LEGISLATION NEEDED.

9862. Don White, Perry, MO, 63462
We the People are the Internet. Our information is just that "Ours" not to be sold to other Company's. Period

9863. Donald Ruscha, El Dorado Springs, MO, 64744
Keep the internet free and open! This country is about free speech and equal opportunity for all.

9864. Robert D. Wilson, Marionville , MO, 65705
Failure to support net neutrality undermines the American Peoples freedom at the very heart of the Constitution in which you would then create a breeding ground for other businesses to impose restrictions in order to favour their businesses as well. Failure to think of what implications this will have for the sake of making money from lobbyists will effect our children and lead to a environment where Citizens will lose what little faith they still have left in our Government.

9865. Jason Baudendistel, Florrissant, MO, 63031
The internet is an opportunity for anyone to learn and grow, no one has a right to censor, or restrict access. If you are paying for a service the service provider should not be able to decide how they can limite the service after it is provided.

9866. Lance Austin Rider, Pleasant Hill, MO, 64080
I fear for your job security if you keep on the path you are on. You can not ignore people in our political system and continue to act against our wishes, regardless of what you may think is best. We demand you leave net neutrality intact and work to increase its protections as WE see fit.

9867. Glen coulson, St. Louis, MO, 63123
The companies providing internet currently SUCK. Why would you want to turn power over to corporations that can't make a six hour window for installation? Then when they show up they screw it up? What are you thinking?! They take advantage of customers, and treat you like a number. No! Keep the internet open.

9868. Jeremy Hopkins, Kirksville, MO, 63501
I used one of the pre-filled comments from a site to make my voice heard regarding net neutrality. Since Chairman Pai seems to think those comments are hollow, I want to take a brief moment to hand write some of my thoughts. What I believe is largely reflected in the pre-filled comment sheets, so please don't just dismiss actions like those. Net neutrality isn't presenting any financial pressure for ISPs. ISPs must document to stakeholders if there is any pressure like this. Those comments that would support your case are absent.My internet provider started using data caps a year or so ago. I went from having unlimited access to having a 300gb per month allotment. The last thing I want is for my ISP to throttle various services. If a service like streaming football from somewhere like ESPN (note: I don't watch sports, but I suspect this is easily relatable) became throttled so the ISP could push subscriptions through cable TV, that would very anti-consumer. If YouTube became throttled because the ISP made a deal with Netflix, that would also be very shady. And both scenarios are very possible without net neutrality. I don't want to spend an hour writing a memo, but I hope the FCC will take these comments seriously, and not just dismiss them as noise. A lot of people had no faith in Chairman Wheeler when he started to lead the FCC because of his background with various telecom companies. If Chairman Pai can't stand up to his old employers and do what's right for the American people, maybe he should reconsider staying at the FCC. We don't need a lobbyist for telecom companies leading the FCC. We need another Tom Wheeler to surprise us and look out for our best interests.

9869. Julie Thompson, Columbia, MO, 65202
As a proponent of free speech, I strongly urge you to support net neutrality.

9870. kathy mckinnon, st james, MO, 65559
Net neutrality is upmost important for a fair internet! No one should have any advantage over the usage!

9871. Chris Barse, Columbia, MO, 65202
Because liberty. Duh. C'mon now, FCC.

9872. Jamie Mayo, Kansas City, MO, 64110

I work with inner city teens and kids. They--and their parents--depend on the internet for so many aspects of their lives and they have to deal with all kinds of barriers to access it already because they cannot afford to have access at home. Destroying net-neutrality, no matter what you call it, makes their lives even more difficult. Beyond the fundamental usage of the internet for our daily lives and livelihoods, I am very concerned about the future of our country if we allow the big companies to control the internet. The internet is a big component in not only being aware of what is going on and understanding implications thereof, both of which are essential to a healthy democracy, it is a huge tool for innovation. We must protect our ability to continue to innovate by keeping the internet neutral.

9873. Walter C Towle, Kansas City, MO, 64146
I object to handing over control of the internet and insist that the FC leave net neutrality alone.When the gov't is in charge of some it is never as good as it was before. Obama care for example.

9874. Eileen Nichols, Webb City, MO, 64870
Net neutrality is essential to free and open communication in our country and let's face it our country sets the pace for the entire world. Please do not shut off access and choice by individuals and small businesses.

9875. Leanna, Alton, MO, 65606
America created the internet and we need to not give it up. It was literally given away.

9876. Dale brown, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Net nutrality is important from many standpoints. It stops the handing over of the internet to powerful, greedy interests who will use it for their own needs with no concern for the general population. A free and open internet has become an integral part of world culture. It makes no sense to slam the barn door shut now that all the cattle are loose. Taking away neutrality will have unintended consequences. While it might be described as protection against criminal activity, the reality is that criminals would without a doubt find more ways around to victimize folks who might have a vague sense of additional protection when the opposite is true. Whoever it is that intends to profit from this initiative is undeserving. If there are vast profits to be made, then let everyone share in them. Lastly the right to free speech will almost certainly be inhibited and any expectation of privacy will be long gone.

9877. David Thompson, Creve Coeur, MO, 63141
As a patriot and advocate for the Bill of Rights, our first right to Freedom of religion and speech must be insulated from government interference and regulation. There's no need for SCOTUS to have to get involved in unconstitutional regulations, as the FCC is a body to serve the greater good of the US citizens. As one, I believe it overwhelmingly weighted in the favor of our greater good and freedom, for Net Neutrality to be maintained, and to get/stay out of the Internet as much as possible.Thank you in advance for your patriotism and support of our freedom of speech by allowing Net Neutrality to flourish, and in protecting our 1st Amendment rights as well.

9878. Keaton homeyer, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Stop trying to get rid of net neutrality.

9879. Lee, Trenton, MO, 64683
Killing net neutrality kills my job. Keeping it hurts NO ONE, as evidenced by our neutral history.

9880. Bill Neukum, St. louis, MO, 63146
Keep net neutrality.Protect freedom of speech & choice on the internet.Protect individuals and small companies.Protect freedom of innovation.

9881. Steve Tuttle, Raytown, MO, 64061
The internet is not broken, so don't fix it. Net neutrality must be protected.

9882. Michael Carbone, Bridgeton, MO, 63044
Limiting access to information limits the progess and growth of our youthful learners. Please allow our next generation the ability to limitlessly learn.

9883. Chris Young, Sedalia, MO, 65301
Show your integrity. Support net neutrality. Thank you.

9884. JOHN AMERY, ELSBERRY, MO, 63343
leave it alone OK as is

9885. Richard Bell, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Net neutrality is critical in maintaining a fair market place for startups and small businesses. It also protects against corporate censoring of content. Do your jobs and protect the people of the United States not the corporations.

9886. Ted W Moore, Republic, MO, 65738
Big business is getting too much freeway to abuse the rights of the Amercan individual!

9887. Virginia Druhe, St. Louis, MO, 63106
"I believe that an open internet is critical to ensuring that robust job growth can continue for future generations. Congress, itâ€™s time to write the principles of Net Neutrality into law and put this issue to rest once and for all. Open internet is also a fundamental element of democracy."

9888. Carol CornineBrown, Marshall, MO, 65340
I believe that an open internet is critical to ensuring that robust job growth can continue for future generations. Congress, itâ€™s time to write the principles of Net Neutrality into law and put this issue to rest once and for all. Take action. I liked Diamond and Silk and I like

other things that FAcebook and Youtube are taking out. We have to keep this free forever or we all lose.

9889. Michael Lyons, St. Louis, MO, 63104
It is incredibly important for net neutrality to be maintained in the United States. Corporate interests cannot be given the power to destroy equal availability of information and media. Any politicians or appointed figures that support the destruction of net neutrality laws will be subject to intense speculation and calls for resgnationbas the public see their true colors and motivations as corporate interests over the people they're supposed to serve.

9890. Ian Wade, St. Louis, MO, 63011
the internet is a space meant for people to express themselves. there's no reason for the government or ISPs to control how we use the internet. its ridiculous.

9891. Lucas Johnson, Springfield, MO, 65804
This is wrong. It doesn't matter what I'm doing on the internet. I should receive the same service AND have my privacy protected.

9892. mark kinder, Iberia, MO, 65486
There doesn't appear to be any legitimate reason to adjust net neutrality rules as they currently stand. In fact the proposed changes would cause harm to the consumer. It is an obvious conflict of interest for the current FCC leader, an ex Verizon attorney, to even be involved in this mess, but such is the state of our country. Surprise everyone and leave things as they are. Best Regards

9893. Drew, Columbia, MO, 65202
I am in favor of net neutrality because of the greed of corporations and continued abuse of working Americans. If the world were perfect, and there were no shitty people, maybe my point of view on the topic would be different.

9894. Edward Jones, St. Louis, MO, 63109
A free and open internet is a very important thing. Do not change this.

9895. Derek Hockett, Raymore, MO, 64083
Net neutrality is one of the few topics in which I go against my normal stance of deregulation. A free and open Internet is an incredibly valuable aspect of modern society. We can't allow net neutrality to be compromised in any way.

9896. matt bishop, Kansas city, MO, 64124
The internet should be treated as a basic human right. Some people I'm sure would rather the air we breath be taxed and controlled, but this sort of thinking, while beneficial for a few in the short term, is monstrously detrimental to the development of our species and our chance of maintaining life on this planet.

9897. Forrest Goyer, Kansas City, MO, 64108
It is the responsibility of a Democratic Republic to protect the rights and privacy if its people from the ever expanding and powerful private capitalist sector. In this case, of allowing internet service providers the opportunity to (1) sell off the browsing data and personal information of their customers and (2) to create internet "fast lanes" and/or throttle the internet speeds of lower paying customers, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), which is an agency of the citizen elected government, should be siding with the people and not the corporations. It is the duty of the FCC to be a defender of the people, but in this instance the FCC is wrongfully acting as a defender of some of the richest companies in the world. To be blunt, and clear: the FCC must not reverse the ruling on Title II.

9898. Shelley Powers, O Fallon, MO, 63366
This isn't about Internet Freedom. It's anything but. You're basically giving telecom companies the ability to speed up, or slow down, specific traffic based on the sites paying hefty fees. This is an overall degradation of the internet...a failure to ensure that the Internet remains the same for all parties.Small sites can't pay these fees. We'll lose the variety of content we've always had as they lose traffic and eventually advertising fees. This isn't to help anyone but a handful of powerful corporations. Unless you can prove that this change won't negatively impact on the quality of the internet, you should not make this change. Prove it, not just mouth ideological cliches.

9899. Thomas Westphale, Ellisville, MO, 63011
My argument is simple, we are already limited on our selection of quality internet providers based on the city we live in. The idea that those internet providers could limit or block access to web content based on their own desires or profitability is incredibly ridiculous. This has zero benefit to the end consumer, the people.Clearly, this ruling has nothing to do with the government serving the people, it only has to do with serving big businesses like Verizon. The Title II provisions need to stay in place to protect the consumers and allow freedom to access the content they so wish to access.

9900. Jennifer Super, Lees summit, MO, 64081
I would like to submit a comment that I do not think the FCC should abolish net neutrality. It seems we have to keep fighting this point time and again. We do not want to lose our freedom of access to free information. If the FCC votes to overturn net neutrality then they will be violating our rights in favor of big business. Enough is enough, stop trying to take away our online rights, we do want fast internet and we do it want to be throttled by providers to get that fast internet. The providers throttle us enough in our cable and cell phones, enough already.

9901. Chris Joppru, St. Louis, MO, 63126
Getting rid of Net Neutrality is not good for the consumer, it benefits us in no way. Listen to the people and leave this alone.

9902. mark allen, Kansas City, MO, 64138
The internet is a public utility and should be treated as such. You don't throttle clean water to the highest bidder - throttling will hurt small businesses and those who cannot afford it.

9903. stacy huebner, St. Louis, MO, 63116
Please protect my access to an open internet. I want to be able to use the internet how and when I like.

9904. Kimberly Saunders, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
The FCC is supposed to protect consumers, but with Trump appointee and former Verizon lawyer Ajit Pai now in charge, the FCC is actively trying to work against the best interests of consumers by trying to dismantle the FCC's ability to make and enforce rules on net neutrality. Net neutrality is good for consumers because it protects them from ISP providers who want to use their monopolies to gouge their customers. Pai is wrong to say that net neutrality hurts ISP investment - this is provably wrong. Also, for him to say that unfettered capitalism will protect consumers rather than hurt them is just ridiculous, and also provably wrong. This is a shameless attempt by Pai and the Trump administration to strip away what little protections consumers have in these markets, and allow giant corporations to expand their monopolies and offer consumers far less for far more money. Pai is a partisan appointee who is not fit to run the FCC because he is operating only on behalf of Trump and corporate America. He not only doesn't represent the best interests of consumers, he is actively working AGAINST us.

9905. Jeff Best, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Killing net neutrality would harm my business. Preserve net neutrality to promote innovation.

9906. Jason Starks, Columbia, MO, 65203
Internet service providers must remain classified as Title II Common Carriers. The delivering of data to consumers in a balanced fashion that does not grant preference to any party is necessary for the First Amendment to be held intact on the internet, as well as to prevent wealth from drowning out the voices of the common people. The removal of "net neutrality" rules will destroy any semblance of protected free speech on the internet.

9907. Chad Taylor, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
please keep this valuable resource in the hands of the people. our democracy depends on it.

9908. Kellen Jenkins, Kansas City, MO, 64110
Iâ€™m worried that the protections that are currently in place will be weakened if we change the way theyâ€™re enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but NOT if we lose any. These regulations are specifically designed to level the playing field of the internet. In the past, ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what is lawful or permissible, not the public's determination.In 2007, Comcast was caught interfering with peer-to-peer traffic and openly lied to their customers once their concerns were raised. Cell phone providers have also used throttling as a way of controlling customers "unlimited data plans." They have limited what is advertised as unlimited.Businesses do not responsibly regulate themselves or their industries. In a free market it is not in their interest to do so. When given the chance, they create a regulation environment that only benefits them and often restricts free competition in the market in the process. To call this bill an attempt to "restore internet freedom" is an obvious attempt to hide the true intentions of the bill. This bills only purpose is to provide even more power to what are already incredibly wealthy and influential corporations so that they can better control what their users see and not see, do and not do, and ultimately will allow them to throttle competition in their market.These current regulations should not be seen as regulations. They should be seen for what they are and that is protection and structure that allows the free exchange of ideas to continue to be unimpeded. Thank you for taking time to read this and I encourage you to research the ISP company Antel in Uruguay to see how an ISP behaves when they have forced out all competition do to lack to market regulations/protections.

9909. victor cardozza, Saint Louis, MO, 63141
Please don't scrap net neutrality, its important to the public interests which your organization is said to repreresent

9910. Kurt Schwind, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
I, like many people I know, are part of an online economy where my website is a critical part of my business operation. Also, as a consumer I don't want site penalized. I already pay to have my pages hosted/served and I pay as a customer to consume other sites. Net-Neutrality ensures a level playing ground. Please keep Net Neutrality alive.

9911. Seth Glenn, Fulton, MO, 65251
If and organization can control what you see on the internet, it will lead to total chaos.

9912. Michelle Burlington, Excelsior Springs, MO, 64024
preserve net neutrality and article 2.

9913. Patti Ehlers, Sedalia, MO, 65301
I am writing in favor of maintaining net neutrality. Allowing paid prioritization and faster and dedicated bandwidth could allow service providers and/or their more well-heeled clients to limit or skew information available to the public on the web. Those without resources to pay for prioritization will have more difficulty getting their message to the public, limiting public access to a variety of goods, services, and points of view. Allowing paid prioritization and faster and dedicated bandwidth would make it more difficult and more expensive to get good internet services to under-provided areas of this country, not easier and cheaper. It will reduce competition and raise prices for on-line services. Reducing net neutrality will raise consumer costs for on-line services. Costs associated with prioritized services will be passed on to consumers, making internet services more expensive and limiting availability to low income families.Thank you for your consideration of these comments.Patti EhlersSedalia, MOpehlers@charter.net

9914. D Magill, Kansas City, MO, 64111
This proposed rule change is not in the best interest of the consumer. It will result in a reduction in the availability and quality of internet service as well as restricting access to diverse websites, increase start up costs for small business, disincentivize competition, and increase the monopolistic hold of a few corporations on the flow of information. Do not effect this change. Treat the internet as a utility as it has become integral to our daily lives.

9915. Tyler Moore, House Springs, MO, 63051
We support a free and open internet by supporting net neutrality. Without net neutrality, service providers will hinder our ability to have an education, and nearly every media we consume.

9916. Walter Autry, Joplin, MO, 64801
Net neutrality is an important and fundamental right in our new technologically based society. The FCC will be participating in a grave injustice against the American people if the internet does not remain neutral and free. Please set politics aside and listen to the will of the people in this matter.

9917. Kevin Liles, St. Louis, MO, 63119
I support Net Neutrality. Please stop trying to repeal it.

9918. Christopher Campfield, springfield, MO, 65802
Ajit, I know you're just working for yourself and your corporate buddies, but I hope that you can think about what is better for the country and the world for a few minutes. Corporations have never been able to regulate themselves, and there's no reason to think they will now. You know this, of course. I feel sorry for you, that your life is so empty. Don't kill net neutrality.

9919. Michael Rollings, St louis, MO, 63104
Do not remove Net Neutrality! This rule protects consumers and removing it would put freedom of traffic to the side and make the internet controlled by corporate entities. Do not remove.

9920. Keith Brown, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
Removal of net neutrality only helps bolster the powerful, at the expense of the average person. One of the great things about the internet, is that it has allowed a lot of people to create companies that generate a lot of money for the country. The death of net neutrality hampers this ability by allowing others to put roadblocks in place.

9921. David K., KANSAS CITY, MO, 64111
DO NOT REVERSE TITLE II. This is a government FOR the people. Listen to what the people want and we want to keep net neutrality alive!

9922. Gregory Resler, Wildwood, MO, 63040
Do NOT kill net neutrality! The people of this country want net neutrality. To kill net neutrality would be to directly go against the will of the citizens of the United States of America. People often have little to no choice when it comes to internet providers. To give the providers power to pick and choose what content people get via the internet, it would be giving providers the power to deny citizens free speech.

9923. John Patrick Lawler, St. Louis, MO, 63139
The continued effort by this administration to revoke the rules on Net neutrality, given the extremely vocal public outpouring can only be taken as a willful, malignant, and systematic disregard for democratic decision making in order to play crony to special interests within the industry, at the expense of the average citizen. Ajit Pai is clearly a lackey for Comcast. Shame on your entire organization.

9924. Mohammad Hadi, Wildwood, MO, 63011
Stop trying to ruin everything

9925. John Robert Gallion, Saint Charles, MO, 63301
Please do not abandon net neutrality, the internet is a utility and should be regulated as one. It is not a privilege it is a right and must be protected as such.

9926. John Resler, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
I'm a small business startup DEPENDING ON MY MARKETING VIDEOs running the same speed and the corporate giants. I have everything I own invested in this startup and have no more money to pay for faster video. If you KILL NET NEUTRALITY, YOU KILL MY BUSINESS, MY RETIREMENT AND THE HIGH PAY JOBS I would have created, like the 13 high-pay jobs I created the last time I started a computer business.

9927. Kirk Simonson, Rolla, MO, 65401
A free and open internet is the single greatest technology of our time, and control should not be at the mercy of corporations. Especially when the corporations in question are the single most hated industry by their consumers in America. Even though the Internet was invented in the United States, Americans pay the most in the world for broadband access. For an Internet connection of 25 megabits per second, New Yorkers pay about $55 â€” nearly double that of what residents in London, Seoul, and Bucharest, Romania, pay. And residents in cities such as Hong Kong, Seoul, Tokyo and Paris get connections nearly eight times faster.This is where Ajit Pai would try to spin this and say that it is because of stifling regulation imposed on the cable companies. The truth is that the more power you give these corporations, the more they will abuse that power and use it to pad their pockets at the expense of the American people. The cable corporations were given $400 Billion to expand infrastructure in the U.S. but the American people didn't get to benefit from any of that money. Instead this money was used by the companies to expand their businesses into new markets overseas and to expand into the wireless market which is still being sold back to the people for a huge profit.Cable corporations by no means should be able to have even more power over the people that they are feeding from. Loosening regulations will not rejuvenate the cable industry and convince them to expand infrastructure. The only difference that will be made by rolling back Title II regulations will be the American people will have fewer internet services than they already have at an even higher price. How about they foot the bill for everything they promised to give (fiber optic infrastructure for the entire U.S.) and then we can talk about giving them more authority. But as long as they are gouging us for less than average service and trying to blame it on the laws that offer consumers protection, they deserve NOTHING!

9928. Jeremy Bennett, Patterson, MO, 63956
Please keep the net a creative and competitive space.

9929. Justin Miller, O'fallon, MO, 63366
Renew and enforce net neutrality, and fire Ajit Pai.

9930. dominic schaeffer, Saint Louis, MO, 63111
Keep the internet neutral. Keep every voice on an equal footing. Do not hand over the internet to corporate greed.

9931. Steven Sivewright, Manchester, MO, 63021
I am vehemently against the ending of net neutrality. To my understanding, internet providers, as public corporations, are legally obligated to increase their shareholder profits. Without net neutrality they will most likely do that by creating websites that replace more popular sites, like Netflix, while limiting or removing access altogether to those more popular sites. Because there are so few competitors, there will be no one to challenge the moral issue at stake.Internet providers will also be able to stifle new competition by removing access to competitor websites.Any change to weaken net neutrality is short sighted at best. It seems to open a fast track for monopoly corporations. In a few years, all of the companies pushing so hard for these changes could end up dismantled. And really if that happened it would probably be worth the effect of a temporary removal of net neutrality anyways, but it just doesn't feel like the right way to make progress, you know?

9932. Austin, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
The world as we know it was built on the idea that it should be shared equally among everyone. Rolling it back will only harm the human experience and our ability to interact with each other as we please. Don't do this

9933. Timothy Anderson, St Louis, MO, 63117
Ending net neutrality is not in the public interest. Internet service providers have shown time and again their willingness to discriminate against traffic not in their interest. I'm sure Verizon Butt-boy Ajit Pai will do his masters bidding rather than represent the interests of the people of the United States.

9934. Jeremy Justin Swope, St. Charles, MO, 63303
I am pro-net neutrality, anti-internet "fastlanes". The abolition of internet neutrality would serve no benefit to the American people, and would only serve to allow Internet Service Providers to profit from a resource that has become a primary means of communication and information gathering.

9935. Matthew Corey, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
Net Neutrality is a fundamental freedom for the age of information. I will vote against ANY representative solely based on voting against net neutrality.

9936. Emily Muir, St Louis, MO, 63116
Please protect freedom.

9937. adam lorentzen, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
Net Neutrality is super important to keeping the internet free from anyone owning it or doing as they want with my information.

9938. Cheryl Kantor, Kansas City, MO, 64119
I support net neutrality. I do NOT believe that "pay to play" is good for internet technology.

9939. David Rabenau, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
Net Neutrality should continue as the law of the land.Despite the overwhelming number of comments in favor of net neutrality, FCC leadership has made it clear that they wonâ€™t be swayed by sheer quantity of support. Over the past several months, commission chairman Ajit Pai has consistently said that what matters is the quality, not the quantity of the comments, saying that a well-argued legal brief is more valuable than, potentially, millions of people demanding basic protections.Itâ€™s pretty clear this argument is being made so that the commission can eventually ignore millions and millions of comments from net neutrality supporters and go ahead with its plan to reverse Title II. But ultimately, more comments against the plan still makes matters harder, as the commission will very likely have to defend its changes in court.

9940. Shari Sanders, Belton, MO, 64012
Lived at this address for 17 years. Internet access is 2 miles away in either direction. No provider will run the lines to our neighborhood. Is there any way that someone could step in and make this happen?

9941. Kayla Hammer, Liberty, MO, 64068
As a recent media major graduate I emplore you restore my faith in what I sought iut for as a career. Keep the internet free. Make the right ethical choice. I fear the rabbit hole we go into if we don't...

9942. Zachary Powelson, Maryville, MO, 64468
Absolutely terrible. You should be ashamed of yourselves for letting it get this far. I am disappointed and embarrassed as a United States citizen. That is all.

9943. Colin Miller, Kansas City, MO, 64118
There are no "fast lanes" for water or electricity, nor should there be for the internet.

9944. Matthew Mizer, Grandview, MO, 64030
I am writing in support of net neutrality. There is already a lack of diversity and competition in the internet provider and content

provider landscape. With the two merging more and more there is the distinct possibility that companies who provide both internet service and content may favor their own content by allowing it to bypass data caps, or giving it preferred status on the network for better performance. This would allow ISPs an unfair advantage against what little competition exists and would only harm consumers. Net neutrality is important so that network traffic be treated neutrally giving all services and content providers equal access. This is the only way in our current service provider landscape that anything even resembling consumer protection and competition can be guaranteed.

9945. Jonathan Peinado, Kansas City, MO, 64134
I truly feel that the members of the FCC know what Net Neutrality means to a free internet. Ajit Pai has already voted against Net Neutrality and it appears that he now has the ability to destroy it. I hope every member on this committee takes a deep look inside themselves to do the right thing and keep our internet free from the control of the ISP's. Thank you

9946. William Murphy, Maryville, MO, 64468
As a media content creator in the heartland (KNWT TV from the campus of Northwest Missouri State University), the viewing audience of our content is sharply split by the digital divide that geographically separates our rural communities. With high-speed connections in town and remaining dial-up users in the county, we have to carefully consider the type of content that we deliver to (and that is receivable by) each group. Allowing some providers to create paid fast-lanes while others (like us and the students we are training to compete in this digital world) are left at a disadvantage on an uneven playing field is both negligent and dangerous. I implore you put in place legislation that creates an equal delivery of all delivery digital information as a basic right of Americans in the current digital age.

9947. Nathan Neuzil, Gladstone, MO, 64119
Please leave the net neutrality laws in place. Net neutrality laws are fundamental to keeping the internet free. We worked hard to get the current laws in place because the people believed them to be correct. Don't let the lobbyists and cable companies influence this decision. The people want net neutrality laws to remain in place.

9948. Christine Bruemmer, St. Louis, MO, 63116
Please do everything that you can to protect Net Neutrality and to protect the internet as a common carrier. Rolling back these protections would cause severe harm to individual consumers and would cripple innovation. Net neutrality helps ensure that there is an even playing ground for all consumers. Without net neutrality, telecom and cable companies could very well influence internet searches, news and how we access the internet as a whole. This would influence the ability for individuals to create and communicate openly and freely on the internet. Without net neutrality, telecom and cable companies could also negatively influence services, to their advantage. Moreover, this uneven playing field would also disadvantage entrepreneurs. Small businesses wouldn't necessarily have the financial clout of larger companies, to pay into this uneven playing field. Thanks.

9949. Nathan, Lees Summit, MO, 64064
In our country today, it is almost impossible for the average resident to protect themselves from powerful corporations or the government. By regulating the power and reach of ISP's, you will at least be giving people a chance to be protected from nefarious and unfair business practices. Sometimes doing the right thing isn't always the most popular, or the most profitable. By placing some limitations on these powerful companies, you will be doing the right thing, which is how the government should always strive to act, even if unpopular.

9950. Elliot Hutchison, Kansas city, MO, 64113
Save net neutrality; it's essential for an open and free internet.

9951. Jeff Blackwood, Kansas City, MO, 64108
As the CEO of a startup healthcare software company, I can tell you that eliminating Net Neutrality will kill startup innovation in the US. Small companies depend on the Internet to present their software and make it accessible to customers throughout the country. How can any company get off the ground with their software if they first have to negotiate access for their software with every Internet provider just so customers can access their solution? The Internet carriers make enough money. If they are having trouble with costs, they need to pass those costs to their consumers. The end result will be for those consumers to seek more competitive providers, keeping the Internet providers focused on providing high-quality access at a competitive price. You know - a free market. Removing Net Neutrality takes the free market away, and it will kill software innovation in the US.

9952. Austen Manser, Creve Coeur, MO, 63141
Please keep the internet open and free. The internet is for everyone, and a handful of monopolistic companies should not have the powee/ability to discriminate.

9953. Michael Conner, St. Louis, MO, 63109
A number of reasons the FCC should back Net neutrality. A free and open internet is the single greatest technology of our time, and control should not be at the mercy of corporations. Rarely have a group of corporations banded together to promote they general public's well being or interests. An example of Telecom companies getting together that harmed the public interest was their actions against upgrades to emergency response systems these past few years.A free and open internet helps prevent unfair pricing practices. There is little difference between AT&T and Charter in our area. A free and open internet promotes innovation. A free and open internet drives entrepreneurship. Big companies already have a advantage and giving them the option to influence the "free market" in their favor by manipulating the information we she is highly unfair.

9954. Michael Cease, Kansas City, MO, 64155
Given how the telecommunication industry has conducted itself in the past and how it continues to conduct itself at present, I'm curious as to why the FCC is so keen on not only allowing further deregulation of a monopolistic, anti-competitive enterprise but seems hellbent on kneecapping its own authority as a preventative measure to keep the Restoring Internet Freedom from being fully repealed.Setting aside the fact you're giving telecommunication companies carte blanche with regards to charging top dollar for minimum service (while also given them free reign to throttle and discriminate traffic however they see fit), your argument is "infrastructure roll outs have slowed under Title II". That's demonstrably false and every non-biased (i.e. every study not funded by AT&T, Charter, Spectrum, etc.)

study refutes that very position. Further more: the companies you are tripping over yourself to assist were given billions in tax payer funds to expand infrastructure throughout the 80's, 90's, and early 00's. What did they do? Nothing. They took the money (our money) and spent very little of it on its intended purpose which is why fiber roll outs are literally decades behind where they actually should be. Do you expect a different outcome this time after 3 decades of bald faced lies? And do you expect "greater competition" when you have the likes of AT&T actively preventing up and coming ISPs (like Google Fiber) from even entering the market? How do you expect "greater competition" when ISPs refuse to service entire blocks or townships and, rather than enter them, lobby to have private-public partnerships or municipal infrastructure made illegal? To all those questions, you should know the answer is obviously, "No, we don't expect telecommunications companies to deliver competitive products, to not prey on consumers, to spend the tax payer funds allocated to them on exactly the projects they need them for, and we don't expect them to not abuse their market position to shut out competitors."You represent the American consumer, not a corporate lobbyist, not a telecom's CEO or shareholders. Your job is to ensure that the playing field is level, that the business practices in play are not monopolistic and predatory. Your job is to ensure the internet is (say it with me) neutral and that telecommunications companies cannot discriminate or throttle content based on conflicts of interest. If you pass the hilariously oxy-moronically named Restoring Internet Freedom act, you're abdicating your responsibility to citizens of America in favor of favors from telecommunication corporations that absolutely do not have their best interests at heart. If it passes, I'll be sure to send Chairman Pai a jacket with the badges of all the corporate friends who "assisted" (you know, practically wrote for him) this bill. If you're going to sell out the American people and kill enterprise, at least we can see who you sold us out for.

9955. Martin Watson, Wildwood, MO, 63011
Net neutrality as a concept is a driving force that impacts various aspects of my daily life, and in my perspective is in many ways a driver of innovation and opportunity. From a personal standpoint, I believe allowing anything less than full net neutrality opens the door to non-competitive practices that limit my choices as a consumer. It is unrealistic to expect self-policing for those that control access and it is imperative that the playing field be level for true competition to blossom (at the content level). With this said, I fully support the notion that broadband be regulated as a utility to ensure the predicate to true innovation is in place (broadband) without offering the possibility for bias to be introduced in terms of the products and services offered through the infrastructure. If I wish to utilize Service A for streaming movies, and my internet provider (the only service available to me) partners with Service B they could (without net neutrality) throttle traffic from Service A. This is a de facto limiting of my choices as a consumer. This scenario plays out not only in my market (top 20 media market), but almost everywhere. PLEASE RETAIN NET NEUTRALITY.

9956. Brent Hugh, Raytown, MO, 64133
I strongly support net neutrality. I strongly oppose any efforts to weaken or remove net neutrality.Several of our family members work from home. We depend on internet access for accomplishing basic work activities. These activities include everything from sending email messages and posting social media messages, to creating and viewing audio and video contact.A level playing field at our local ISP is required for this type of business--which provides our livelihood--to thrive and survive. If ISPs are allowed to favor or disfavor certain types of content, or charge more for certain types of content, our ability to carry out our work and business activities will be hampered.We a gigabit internet connection at our home, and that speed and capacity of that connection, combined with the fact that our current ISP does not favor or disfavor any particular services or internet site above any others, allows our home work, businesses, and hobbies to grow and thrive. This fast internet connection is one of the most important elements that makes our current home and neighborhood attractive and keeps us living here.Furthermore, we operate several small web sites that provide a significant portion of our household income. If net neutrality rules were changed to allow ISPs to favor major media or e-commerce sites (say Amazon, Netflix, Facebook) over ours, because those major companies had made agreements and paid money to our ISP, that would very significantly impact our ability to reach customers and stay viable in the global market.Net neutrality is essential to the success of our small businesses and to our jobs and family income.

9957. Chris Cobb, Grandview, MO, 64030
We are at a point in history where you have to make the decision of whether or not to do what's best for the consumers or for big business. People vs the American Dollar. Uphold Net Neutrally regulations.

9958. Kelly Brown, St. Louis, MO, 63114
I would like there to be Net Neutrality protections for the internet. It protects me as a consumer from fees and price increases. It helps small business survive and compete with richer corporations and helps entrepreneurs get their foot in the door. It helps students, schools, libraries, and other seekers of knowledge have equal access to resources. Please don't get rid of these protections.

9959. William Voelker, Marshall, MO, 65340
Net Neutrality is necessary for the free flow of information and ideas through and across our nation. Allowing the pipe owners to decide what data is allowed through or given priority is to allow them to shape, determine, and direct what is available to be heard, seen, and shared by others. Putting such control into the hands of a few â€” and here we are concerned with a very self-interested few â€” is Anti-Freedom, UnAmerican, and will only harm the citizens of this nation. If the FCC chooses to destroy what has made the Internet as we know it the vehicle of free trade, free thought, and free exchange that it is, it will be complicit in helping to take away the very freedoms our government is in place to protect.

9960. Bill Graziano, Kansas City, MO, 64112
I support net neutrality and Title II. I don't want businesses able to pay and prioritize their traffic. That sounds dangerously close to blocking out new services that can't afford to pay yet and protecting incumbents. I don't want Verizon, AT&T, and Comcast deciding what gets priority coming into my home or phone.

9961. Robin Pernice, Kansas City, MO, 64153
Keep Net Neutrality. ISP providers are making plenty of profit. We are paying the price. Thank you

9962. Steve Hargis, Springfield, MO, 65807
I support strong Net Neutrality laws / rules in the USA. I use Internet as a small business and cannot compete with larger players. I also use as a consumer and don't want to pay for "fast lane" access. Please do not weaken or do away with net neutrality. Thank you. Steve

Hargis

9963. Ryan Wrecker, St. Louis, MO, 63103
Allowing ISP to suppress, dismiss, censor and decide what content is suitable for their service(s) will set up the biggest conflict of interest this country has seen in recent decades. Since ISP also offer their own content in means of traditional media (over the air broadcast), cable (subscription television and premium channels), and streaming content (through their own digital platforms), they have been and will forever be competing with many other independent streaming services and platforms. If we allow ISPs to have control, or to in any way alter / censor the message of services they see as competitors, it threatens media and content as a whole. Major conflicts arise when ISPs are able to use their discretion on what end users are allowed to do, say or access. It's a conflict that can be avoided as long as internet freedom is protected. Make net neutrality the law of the land on a permanent basis. Listen to the tens of millions who speak on it's behalf, less you foolishly ignore the majority of the American people.

9964. ian green, st. charles, MO, 63303
Net neutrality is vitally important to both the past and future of the internet. While we may not have needed explicit rules in the past to preserve the open functionality of the internet, due to today's consolidated market they are required more than ever.Any claims that competition is real in the ISP/broadband market are comical at best. Innovation, advancement, and customers all loose under our model and will continue to if net neutrality is dismantled. The specific corporate entities driving this change risked no assets for and contributed nothing to the development and maturation of the internet. They are looking to contort it into something it isnt and never was, a streamlined top down system for profit maximization. We already have the worst prices, choice, quality, and outlook of any nation of similar standing. i have two ISPs to choose from. One offers the same internet speed i had in 2003, and the other costs at least $150 a month with mandated tv bundling. In 2004 there were at least 5 broadband providers and endless dial-up ISPs. If ISPs are allowed to manipulate my traffic and access to the internet, i have no option to take my business elsewhere. partially as a result of the refusal to treat internet as a utility, but also because of the generally lax efforts by the FCC in the past on this subject.I have not once found myself in a living situation where I had an actual choice of providers and I have never lived outside of rather newly developed suburbs.

9965. Tony Eldridge, Tupelo, MO, 38801
The internet is a place where everyone expects to enjoy privacy, freedom, and anonymity. Whatever search we make on a search engine or the web pages we visit each day are personal, and we obviously do not want strangers to start controlling your internet experience. an open internet enables and protects free speech. It forces ISPs to provide us with open networks and disallows any discrimination against an application or content which rides over that network.Without a truly open internet, ISPs will be allowed to carve the internet into fact and slow lanes. They will slow down or block any content posted by their rival company or political organization. ISPs can even start charging extra fees from companies who prefer preferential treatment, relegating all others to a slower level of service. These actions will surely destroy the open nature of the internet.Mainstream media usually follow their own interests and instincts, and no longer represent the thoughts of general public. Open and free internet is the only way for people to support and promote a cause, and make sure that it can be shared with the masses.Fair internet access is crucial for small businesses and startups that rely on the open internet to launch their businesses, create markets, advertise their products and connect with customers. It further helps in fostering job growth, competition and innovation.An open internet lowers the barriers to entry by making sure that the internet remains fair and the playing field level for all competitors. Neutrality helps small businesses and entrepreneurs in competing and thriving online.An internet controlled by business interests without strong government regulation would stifle competition and innovation, and lead to higher prices and poorer technology and service.

9966. Miron Blek, Kansas City, MO, 64105
I support net neutrality and would like the FCC to uphold fair market competition and services to the American people.

9967. Gabe Magnuson, St Louis, MO, 63146
The internet is already a place difficult enough to navigate, especially when it comes to finding unbiased information. In today's media climate it's down right impossible to feel confident what you read and hear is fair or balanced in any sense. Opening the door for big money to unfairly move their information to our screens faster is another layer to this problem that I just can't stand for. The internet is becoming incredibly important and we should not only preserve speed neutrality but look for ways to bring fair speeds and prices to everyone (yes I know that will cost me, that's how important I feel it is).

9968. Cydney Dunavan, St. Louis, MO, 63116
Keep the Internet free and open. All citizens deserve equal access!

9969. Michael Wense, St. Louis, MO, 63117
The FCC should uphold the principles of net neutrality for a free and open internet.

9970. Frank Carnahan, Springfield, MO, 65804
The internet is crucial to all aspects of people's lives, for work; social connections;entertainment; medical devices; etc. It should be treated as a utility and open for all uses without restrictions or required payments for bandwidth or avoid throttling.

9971. Zack B, Kansas City, MO, 64106
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY. Forcing high internet premiums for full internet access and high-speed internet access will stunt the growth and development of this country.

9972. Thomas Kent, University City, MO, 63130
We need to ensure that internet providers are not able to throttle, promote, or block any content that consumers wish to access. The internet was founded on the principle that all packets crossing it are treated equally. However in the last few years major ISPs have started, and indicate that they would like to further, a shift away from this bedrock principle. Ideally, there would be robust competition in wireline ISPs, that would prevent this by giving users options. However, this is not currently the case. Thus, I would request that the commission leaves the existing regulations in place until a time that there is robust (3+ alternatives for 90% of Americans) competition.

Doing otherwise would seriously hamper the ability of new internet content providers to compete with the ISPs own businesses.

9973. Carol Ross, Chesterfield, MO, 63017
Net Neutrality has assured equal access to the most innovative, creative, and informative means of public communication EVER. Do not allow politicians to change the rules! Greedy corporations want to make more money by charging for the â€œfast laneâ€ and hundreds of thousands of current users will be forced out. Donâ€™t let this happen, please!

9974. Kurt Meyer, Hannibal, MO, 63401
Please continue to regulate ISPs as utilities as under Title II. I feel very strongly that net neutrality should be kept in place.

9975. Jennifer Johnson, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Net neutrality helps ensure that there is an even playing ground for all consumers. Without net neutrality, telecom and cable companies could very well influence internet searches, news and how we access the internet as a whole - something I believe is completely against the American way. This would influence the ability for individuals to create and communicate openly and freely on the internet. Without net neutrality, telecom and cable companies could also negatively influence services, to their advantage. Moreover, this uneven playing field would also disadvantage entrepreneurs. Small businesses wouldn't necessarily have the financial clout of larger companies, to pay into this uneven playing field.

9976. brian fickett, springfield, MO, 65804
its very difficult to live and work in this world without internet access. i work in a rural community which has limited capability to get online. with increased monopolization and conglomeration, there is less choice for consumers to effectively shop around. brick and mortar stores are closing, and a large part of america's shopping is done online.giving the communication power, economic power, and information power to a small group of these companies is dangerous. i've read the statement from mr pai about how the "problem doesnt exist".considering the internet as a tool and a fundamental requirement to interact with government and the community, we, the people, should have full access to get what we pay for. i pay for a subscription service, but as a consumer i dont have a choice in what businesses online pay to that servicer in order to get preferential treatment. i am left in the dark, and if i were to choose to research that information online, there is no way for me to know if other information has been blocked? this is a pandoras box i would prefer to lock before the monster is let out. mr pai is correct, this isnt a problem, the same as an emergency room visit isnt a problem when you get into your car to drive, but you still wear a seatbelt.

9977. Charles Herrick, Richmond Heights, MO, 63117
Net neutrality is a key principle in the operation of the internet as we know it. Please do not modify the Obama administrations rules regarding net neutrality. Allowing internet service providers to charge different rates for accessing websites would harm the economy and give far too much power to internet service providers to dictate content access based on economic status. This would amount to corporate censorship and should not be considered.

9978. Cody Bieberly, Kansas City, MO, 64155
Restore the Internet's Freedom!

9979. Tim Fetterling, Kansas City, MO, 64114
Please uphold Title II classification for the internet. Thank you.

9980. Ellis D Pettigrew, Fair grove, MO, 65648
I am opposed to any change in the net neutrality rules. I do not want a financial gate keeper controlling internet access

9981. Spenser Owens, St. Louis, MO, 63130
Chairman Pai and Commissioners,I write in opposition to your proposed reversal of the FCC's 2015 determination that broadband internet access is a telecommunication service. As you know, your proposed action will allow internet service providers to discriminate against users by throttling internet speeds and through other actions. In ideal conditions, this would be a non-issue because the free market would have enough competitors to give consumers a meaningful choice between internet service providers that throttle speeds and those that do not. However, the lack of meaningful competitors in the broadband internet industry makes it likely that consumers will not have the ability to choose between services. In St. Louis, there are two major broadband internet service providers, and both are likely to throttle internet speeds aand engage in discrimination against consumers. Thus, I will have no meaningful choice between the two services if I do not want to have my internet speed throttled.Additionally, Chairman Pai testified before Congress that he could be persuaded to maintain the Commission's 2015 determination if there was evidence that internet service providers were investing in communications infrastructure. Such investment is occurring as major networks such as Verizon, AT&T, and Comcast have commenced upgrading their respective network infrastructures.For the reasons stated above, I strongly urge the Commission to reject the proposal to reverse the Commission's 2015 determination classifying internet service providers and broadband internet access as telecommunication services (and service providers).

9982. Andrew, Russellville, MO, 65074
The internet is a vital resource used to promote free speech and the type of capitalist competition that our economic system was founded on. It has the potential to revolutionize the lives of millions as they adapt to things such as online learning and gain greater access to the world. To allow corporations to control access to the multitude of things found on the internet by gaining the ability to throttle speed and charge more for access to certain speeds and sites gives these corporations far more power than they should ever have. Every individual has the right to do as they please on the internet within the confines of existing laws. To give private corporations control over competition between businesses and even pass judgement on morality if that situation arises threatens free speech and the autonomy of the American people. This action must be stopped. Favor the American people, not corporations, in actions regarding net neutrality and show that the ideals this country was built on matter more than the profits of CEOs.

9983. Nancy Adkins, imperial, MO, 63052
consumers really dont really need to be exposed to the possibility of internet rates becoming increasingly higher while receiving

substandard service,lets just leave it as it is

9984. Francis J Gocinski III, Kansas City, MO, 64155
Money should stay out of the internet. Pocket should not be lined in order to give some companies more control than others. Let's keep the internet how it was meant to be, free.

9985. Nancy Campbell, Kansas City, MO, 64112
KEEP THE NET NEUTRAL! Turning it into a tool whose sole use is to line the pockets of big corporations will end access and transparency. The "let 'em eat cake" philosophy that's overtaking this country is truly frightening. To heck with the public good. Bow down to and worship the god of profit. Do whatever Big Business wants. As the song goes, "What's good for General Motors is good for the USA????" (In case you're not familiar with that, it was a satire and a warning against letting big business control our country.)

9986. Mike Call, Springfield, MO, 65810
Government has no business regulating the internet.

9987. Jeffrey Yoo, St Louis, MO, 63103
Throttling internet speeds and service based on content is a violation of our constitutional right of free speech. The internet is a mode of communication and should not be limited based on the whim of telecom companies. If it is up to the discretion of telecom companies on what they let through their systems. there would overwhelming amounts of conflict of interest in terms of what they would want to delivery. For example, Verizon owns multiple web content companies such as Yahoo and AOL It would be unfair to them American population if they served their own websites at the fastest speeds possible while limiting the internet usage of competitors, even if competitor websites have better content. They could also influence political opinions by funneling internet traffic to website that align with their views. America is already in a bad state with echo chambers only growing longer. Please do not make this worse. All in all, keeping the internet a public utility would make the internet more fair and allow for more reasonable discourse.

9988. AJ Capuano, Kirksville, MO, 63501
The internet must remain a free, open forum.

9989. Matthew Wallhausen, Jackson, MO, 63755
This is not Net neutrality this is to make already rich companies even richer on the backs of working Americans. This bill will allow companies to scale billing like cable tv. That's not what the internet what setup to do. It was to allow everyone the same access. You named it so people that don't read think it's a good thing. Sounds pretty totalitarian to me. DON"T PASS THIS BILL.

9990. Noah Berman, Saint Louis, MO, 63105
Not only do we need to make sure that the internet remain as open to the free expression as possible, we should go _further_ than this and take action to make the internet a public resource rather than a pricey quasi-luxury.

9991. Andrew Griese, Wentzville, MO, 63385
The fact that the name of this proceeding is a blatant lie notwithstanding, net neutrality is important to consumers. If net neutrality laws are repealed, large corporations would be able to effectively force out competition by limiting access to competitors sites. Not to mention the repeal of net neutrality would allow internet service providers to extort even more money out of customers of already abysmal service offerings is a slap in the face.

9992. Keanon Liggatt, Kansas City, MO, 64111
The Internet is a utility. I don't trust the FCC leadership or the ISPs who were given and subsequently absconded with millions to improve our infrastructure. An open Internet is key to the heart of our democracy in this day and age. Chairman Pai's affiliation with Verizon is a huge conflict of interest in this matter. Leave Title II classification in place. This would never happen with other public utilities.

9993. Nathan Beckett, Columbia, MO, 65203
Iâ€™m concerned that the protections currently in place are being intentionally weakened by changing enforcement protocols to the benefit of the very few. I would only support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any, which I believe to be the case. This change would make it harder and more costly for information and data to be shared, and that is undemocratic.

9994. Kim Cerveny, Ballwin, MO, 63021
In today's world, internet is more like a utility than a simple convenience. Providers should have government oversight to ensure that they are maintaining fair access and acting in the public interest, not just trying to make more money. Students use the internet to further their education; workers can find and apply for jobs; creativity can thrive. Ensuring that companies can't discriminate unjustly or unreasonably is in the public's best interest.

9995. Micah J Mayfield, Florissant, MO, 63034
It is not the job of the FCC to pick winners and losers on the internet. I pay for access to get content. I fully believe in a democratized access to speed and the ability to innovate. If you want to choke innovation in the growing sector of the US economy then I seriously doubt your economic acumen.

9996. Brett Gilpin, University City, MO, 63130
I am writing to thoroughly express my support of strong Title II and Net Neutrality Rules and believe that they have not only helped our country's internet access, but also my career opportunities as a Software Engineer.As a software engineer, I can find a job in nearly any field of the world but my interest has lied, often heavily, on the burgeoning frontiers of things like video services or even compression and data-handling and communication algorithms. Things that are core focal points of new companies in our country. These companies tend to be the most vulnerable as, even with a lot of funding, they can be blocked out of providing their services by companies with

improper intentions and bullied around because they do not have big enough userbases to really produce a significant backlash to their internet service companies.Under Net Neutrality rules, I have seen many companies flourish in their online business. Companies like Netflix or Hulu or Valve have been around for a long time, but have exploded since 2010's FCC Open Internet Order. Spotify did not exist in the U.S. at all, but is now a central focus of the music listening scene in today's culture. Altered Reality equipment (requires strong internet connection for communication with servers with more information) is on the horizon. 3D printing is a service that requires large file sizes as they detail millions or billions of points (and thus large file transfers over the internet) has become a consumer device and not purely industrial.All of the above are not only companies, but general types of companies that I now have greater access to because the rules that existed gave them an even foot for many companies to come out with their own versions. They are also vulnerable to internet throttling that ISP's regularly practice in. Because of Net Neutrality, I have more options for my career path, while studies show that they have not harmed (via job loss) my opportunity at any of these ISP companies. I have closely followed the discussion and news on this topic ever since it really went into full swing with the FCC's Open Internet Order and Verizon's subsequent lawsuit. Along the way I was pleasantly surprised that former Chariman Tom Wheeler took the side of consumers and businesses at large against the very (insignificant in comparison of size and jobs) Internet Service Providers who stood to ruin Net Neutrality and the very framework our internet was based on.You see, as the internet exploded in the U.S. there was initially Title II regulation of phone lines and FCC rules that required telephone companies to share their lines with internet access companies. This effectively ensured competition to a point that nobody could have a monopoly in any area and relatively speaking to today all it took for another company to set up shop and provide service to that area was to decide to do it. The infrastructure was already built. Combining this with the difference in the amount of data that was being requested and sent, it was not in the interest of any company to restrict users. Net Neutrality was the rule of the land.It wasn't until the FCC tried to formally detail the rules of Net Neutrality that there ever became an "issue". That issue was that ISP providers wanted to screw their customers. Video data is a significantly larger portion of the types of data being sent and thus that used up their resources. Sure, they offered "40 mbps" but they didn't want you to ever actually use that consistently. They offered a lie to consumers and they couldn't back it up without stiffing consumers. They were already gouging on prices, but now they wanted to add data-caps (an argument for a later time) and favor data.They also noticed that consumers would very much be dissatisfied with different services like streaming video if they even took only a few seconds longer or were in slightly lower quality. And what better way to ensure that they received lower satisfaction with competing services than degrading their connection speed? Why would they do this you may ask? Oh, because every ISP now has some kind of "TV" option. Why not degrade Netflix of YouTube when it just means it will force people onto your own services? The ISP companies across the country have carved out monopolies in their areas and have the ability to and do degrade connections to their competitions in favor of themselves."Oh, if someone doesn't provide a good service, another company would surely step in." Oh, wait. That would cost billions of dollars for even smaller cities and wouldn't be implemented for years. Google, the second most valuable company in the entire world last I checked, is still struggling with their rollout in Kansas City because of so many municipal and logistical hurdles. They started 4+ years ago. They have done it in other cities and have blatantly shown that companies like AT&T are just gouging their consumers. Competing ISP companies "couldn't" provide better services for cheaper until they magically could overnight in the cities that services like Google Fiber began moving into and offering better services at. Significantly better and cheaper.I fear the future without Net Neutrality as the monopolistic ISP's have nearly universal control over a basic vital service our country uses. A service used for audio/video calls, job applications (most companies only accept online applications), financial transactions, basic research, video streaming, phone calls, texting/messaging, other general communications, file servers for nearly every company or online community, general business uses, and the increasingly large work-from-home usecase.Without strong Title II rules and enforcement ISP have the ability to cripple the rest of our economy for the sole benefit of their own company and I hope you do even more to restrict their unjust anti-consumer and anti-American rules.

9997. Simon Parkinson, Kansas City, MO, 64110
I feel that it is important to the free expression of ideas that net neutrality be preserved and safeguarded.

9998. Chris Grossman, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Please protect Net Neutrality. Iâ€™m worried that the protections already in place will be weakened if we change the way theyâ€™re enforced. I would only support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections -- not if we lose any. Thank you for your consideration.

9999. Joshua David Reynolds, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Internet Service Providers need to remain classified as common carriers to preserve net neutrality. Content and delivery are different buckets, and mixing them leads to unfair monopoly, the potential for denial of service, and price gouging both for content consumers and providers.

10000. Kimberly Gier, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Please keep internet services classified as public utilities and require them to act in the public interest. A free and open internet is an invaluable tool for communication and access to educational and economic opportunities. Reversing the classification decision serves nobody but large cable providers.

10001. Sid Sowder, Kansas City, MO, 64110
A level playing field where all creators have the same access is important. No innovation will happen if the barrier to entry is huge, and large corporations with market share to protect will make sure that barrier insurmountable if allowed. Please treat our Internet access as a utility and make it available to all evenly.

10002. Peter Christodoulou, Saint louis, MO, 63118
This ruling would destroy individual freedom on the Internet and is wrong in both intent and execution

10003. Josh Rodriguez, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
I urge the FCC to preserve the current Net Neutrality rules enacted since 2015. Keeping these regulations in place helps keep the ISP market competitive for consumers, helps consumers feel like their data and privacy is being safeguarded better by ISP's, and maintains equal footing for content providers online. The internet has evolved into a "utility" in its own right, providing services that have become

important for day to day life. Maintaining federal regulation on ISP's, similar to regulation on water, gas, or electric helps consumers know that the ISP's service is being provided fairly (no throttling or price gouging, among others) and that their privacy is less likely to be compromised. Please do not rescind the net neutrality regulations. Thank you.

10004. Andi Wall, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Keep the net neutral. It promotes innovation and fosters ingenuity.

10005. Jacob Anderson, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
Please keep the internet free. This will hinder people of the future to have access to the knowledge they will need to become outstanding citizens. This is a case of freedom vs big business. The problem is if we take our freedom away from the internet, where does the line stop. Our lives and future are becoming more intertwined with technology every minute. The problem is if we give up our freedom now we give up our freedom for ever and our future generations.

10006. Ken Moire, Saint Louis, MO, 63109
Preserving a level playing field with bandwidth and architecture on the internet allows new businesses to thrive and compete. I own a digital marketing agency and I am gravely concerned if you allow telcoms to control Internet. It should be protected and regulated as a utility to assure everyone has equal access to opportunity.

10007. lynn josse, St Louis, MO, 63118
This is a terrible idea. If people pay for Internet access, they should have equal access to all sites. Anything else promotes monopolies and is unfair to the little guy.

10008. Nathaniel Heidler, Lees Summit, MO, 64063
To whom it may concern,As an information technology professional, my current job depends very heavily on keeping the Internet free, open, and the standards set in place as a Title II service. Can you imagine how difficult it would be for myself, or millions of others to have to battle different devices, carriers, pay plans, services and access lanes to do my work? I travel throughout the United States, meeting with Fortune 100 clients. It would be impossible for me to know when, where and how I would access the Internet if Net Neutrality were not in place. In addition to this, the economic boom that technology has created is largely due to the fact that EVERYONE has equal access to the Internet and it's ability to enable quick and accurate communication between anyone, anytime, anywhere. If Net Neutrality is remove, you are going to endanger the economic engine that currently fuels the United States. For these reasons, I urge the FCC to leave in place the existing rules and regulations regarding Net Neutrality.

10009. Matt Kasten, St. Louis, MO, 63122
Iâ€™m worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way theyâ€™re enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. It is unreasonable to trust internet providers to not engage in throttling activities and such action would be made not in the best interest of citizens and consumers.

10010. Andrew Witthaus, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
I want to voice my opposition to the restoring Internet Freedom proposition being brought forth by FCC Chairman, Ajit Pai. Not only will this lessen the value of the internet, but this be a violation of the 1st Amendment of the Constitution that citizens have the right to free speech and not to be censored. The ISP's and the current FCC, ran under the Trump regime, is all about taking away freedoms and rights from the citizens of this country. Corporations and money should not be the reason for taking away access to a free and open internet. Fast-lanes and Slow-lanes are not and will never be acceptable. You CANNOT drive/have innovation, when the internet connection to your site is as slow as dial-up, because you and your business cannot afford to pay to upgrade your site to the fast-lane. Therefore, if you don't have the money to upgrade your site, you lose customers and business, and in the long run will have to close your doors because you cannot afford to keep up with the competition. Also, websites shouldn't be blocked on the basis that you have to pay a certain amount (just like cable) to access certain websites (i.e. channels). And, when a company says that they you will have unlimited data, there shouldn't be a data cap in place, because that company the customer signed with is signing them under not only false pretenses, but are straight lying to their customers, and charge them insane fees for using their services which they pay for. Stop doing the bidding of ISP's like, Charter, AT&T, Verizon, and the vehemently despised Comcast. And, for once, do what is in the best interest of the country and it's citizens and not Corporations, and don't repeal the Article II Net Neutrality Laws.Net neutrality, the idea that internet service should be open and equally accessible without price disparities, has long been a contentious issue for the telecommunications industry. Under president Donald Trumpâ€™s new commissioner, Ajit Pai, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) wants to reverse a 2015 order that ensured net neutrality by classifying, for the first time, internet service providers (ISPs) as public utilities.ISP's, including Comcast and Verizon have chafed at the 2015 rule ever since, saying that increased government regulation dampens their ability to innovate and invest in new technology for broadband networks, which leads to better service for customers. People who want ISP's regulated like utilities say the rules force ISP's to treat all parts of the internet the same, making sure, for instance, that your movies from Netflix are streamed just like your content from Verizonâ€™s AOL or Yahoo! divisions.The FCC opened up its proposal for a three-month public comment period on May 18. It plans to revise it based on public feedback, then will hold a vote.Hereâ€™s whatâ€™s at stake:What is Title II classification?The FCCâ€™s 2015 order put ISPs in the same category as phone companies, classifying them as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. That classification authorizes the government to regulate internet access, infrastructure, and connections between companies.The 2015 order required, among other things, that ISP's couldnâ€™t arbitrarily block websites, couldnâ€™t reduce service speed, and couldnâ€™t charge more for access to internet â€œfast lanes.â€ A Title II common carrier canâ€™t â€œmake any unjust or unreasonable discrimination in charges, practices, classifications, regulations, facilities, or services,â€ obliging them to operate â€œin the public interest.â€The new FCC plan essentially wants to do away with the Title II classification for ISP's. The proposal, titled â€œRestoring Internet Freedom,â€ calls for reclassifying ISP's as Title I information services, freeing them from utility-style regulation. Thatâ€™s how they were classified before the 2015 order. DSL services like Comcast are currently classified as Title II, while AOL-style internet-based portals are classified as Title I.The current FCC says that Title II classification for ISP's stifles online investment and innovation, as well as prevents the commission from forcefully policing privacy and data security practices. Pai, appointed by Trump in January, also argues that subjecting all ISP's to utility-style regulation is unfair to smaller providers in rural areas, who donâ€™t have â€œthe

means or the marginsâ€ to keep up with regulation.Republicans and ISP's who oppose the 2015 regulations say they arenâ€™t against net neutrality fundamentally, theyâ€™re just against the Title II classification. But without regulation to guarantee oversight, policing net neutrality would be left to internet providers themselves, who have a big financial incentive to charge different prices for different types of access.There are few government regulations that are more popular than the FCCâ€™s net neutrality rules. A poll conducted just last week showed that 60% of the public supported the FCCâ€™s net neutrality rules, while only 17% opposed them.And as the Hill noted, that support is strong across the political spectrum: â€œ61% of voters who identify as Democrats are either strongly or somewhat in favor of the rules,â€ they wrote, â€œand among Republicans that number is 59%.â€But the popularity of strong rights on the internet has never stopped Republicans from dismantling them in the past. It was just a few months ago that the entire congressional Republican party voted to give ISPs the power to sell internet usersâ€™ browsing history, which virtually no one in the entire country wanted except for powerful corporations like AT&T.The same scenario may play out at the FCC if Trump appointee and new agency chairman Ajit Pai has his way. Pai has dubbed the FCCâ€™s new proposal to roll back the Obama-era net neutrality rules the Restoring Internet Freedom Act, ostensibly trying to confuse consumers into supporting a proposal that certainly will leave corporations with vastly more power to control how we consume information online.

10011. Brian Miller, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63141
I support an open internet. I want to keep ISPs in Title II classification and support net neutrality. I do not feel that content should be subjected to internet "fast lanes" open to the highest bidder or under the control of the ISPs themselves as they have not shown that they are able to self-manage in the best interest of customers or small start up companies that will be pushed out by larger corporations for access. I do not support the "Restoring Internet Freedom Act" as it is known as this is a thinly veiled turn of phrase to confuse the public and does not serve the interest of customers.

10012. Kathryn Bergmann, Springfield, MO, 65806
It is important that this proposal not pass.

10013. Richard Clifton, Kansas City, MO, 64106
Net neutrality is essential to small business, entrepreneurial endeavors, free commerce, free trade, free speech, education, and so many other areas of our lives that have become dependent on the internet as a source of free information and communication.

10014. Brad Phillips, Belton, MO, 64012
Freedom of information would be restricted if net neutrality is killed. Everybody should have access to whatever is made public on the interweb.

10015. Jasey R. DePriest, St Peters, MO, 63376
Due to its size, the United States lags behind most other first world countries when it comes to broadband Internet access for its citizens. In this modern era, where more an more information, entertainment, and communication is depending on the Internet, it must be a right for all citizens to have equal access. One wouldn't restrict access to electricity or water. Broadband Internet access is just as indispensable for millions of citizens. Why should ISPs be treated as utilities? It is the only way to guarantee fair prices and availability. There is no incentive to provide the best service because ISPs often do not compete in the same markets. This is not an even playing field and, much like phone service in the past, companies that provide these services can charge non-competitive prices and people will pay it, because the alternative is to have nothing at all. Equal access leads to innovation as companies find ways to provide better service using fewer resources. it improves efficiencies and lets companies discover their core competencies so they can differentiate themselves from the rest of the pack. I know my comments will have absolutely no impact on anybody's decision. I thought democracy was about the will of the people, but I know it is only the will of the few who are elected that matters. And that is sad.

10016. Lauren Turley, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
As a founder of a nonprofit which is only 2.5 years old I do not support revoking net neutrality. It is unrealistic to think we can compete with a large company like Netflix, Amazon, etc for internet speed and usage. This could impact our ability to drive traffic to our site, share our mission with others and solicit donors. This could also open ourselves up to a poor user experience resulting in extremely frustrated audience and donors preventing us from positively impacting families in the middle of a crisis. The FCC needs to oversee these issues so the every day consumer's privacy is protected and fees are kept reasonably in check. There is no room for monopolies or greed in this arena. Thank you for your time.

10017. Wayne Turley II, Kirkwood, MO, 63122
As a start-up entrepreneur, competing against large companies would substantially inhibit my ability to start a successful business. I cannot be expected to compete against companies such as Netflix, Amazon, and Microsoft, etc. To think these companies could impact my ability to be successful as an online start-up is discouraging.My broadband service connection not only allows me a connection to the Internet, but it is also my mechanism for performing substantial amounts of work including emails, data storage, web hosting, and other services offered through my broadband provider.Also, I have minimal broadband service choices and my residence does not allow me to easily switch between providers. In addition, there is only one broadband service choice which provides ample service speeds. Finally, The role of the FCC is to protect consumers from privacy invasions, price-hikes and price gouging from broadband providers. To maintain a fair and balanced system. Providing a solution which creates imbalance is unjust.

10018. Christopher Reynolds, Wildwood, MO, 63011
Regarding the 1996 Telecommunications Act as a "light-touch" is an interesting analogy. I would call it more of a slaughter to small business. Since I am a U.S. citizen, I am proud that I can submit my opinions to my government without reprisal. This may fall on deaf ears and if the public's voice is purposefully being silenced that is a real shame. I am against this new proposal because I don't feel that internet content as a whole would be protected any longer from censorship and bias limitations. De-regulating the internet as a utility forced certain rules upon the providers of that service. Those rules weren't being upheld prior to the FCC ruling in 2015 so I certainly don't want the rules and thus some accountability to be stripped away. If future infrastructure investments is of concern to the big providers then I would suggest they talk amongst themselves and come up with a new impressive idea for the future of broadband and wireless. Handing over the lock and keys of the internet over to the few mega-providers is only making the rich richer and stanching

diversity in the market even further. I am greatly opposed to this proposal for this and many other reasons but I'll spare you the rest. Thank you for the opportunity to speak.

10019. Chris Warren, Kansas City, MO, 64108
My name is Chris Warren. I am a citizen of the United States and resident of Missouri. I use the internet regularly. I am in favor of treating ISPs like public utilities so as to prevent them from prioritizing their own content or throttling internet speeds of certain websites over others. I think the existing rule promulgated in 2015, which imposes "net neutrality", should continue to operate. Please do NOT promulgate a new rule that alters or reverses the existing rule. Thank you.

10020. jeff simmons, Springfield, MO, 65807
I understand the philosophical implications at stake with the debate on net neutrality. On one side, the provider of a service feels it should be able to offer that service how it sees fit. On the other side, communication is essential to first amendment rights. I'd say first amendment rights are far more important than a corporation's profit margin, despite thier claim to the services they provide.This is especially true when the corporation in question is a monopoly. The monopolization of communications services is not something that is immediately obvious, but is quite clear in local markets, and where there is competition, it is only by the very few global conglomerates in the industry.Restricting that playing field even further will do nothing more than create a literal monopoly by allowing those service providers to restrict advertising and speech for those competitors, which in turn will have an immeasurable negative impact on small business and the economy as a whole.I urge you to keep net neutrality rules in place, to keep the benefits of a free internet. We have accomplished a lot through free market innovations, yes, but this would be removing protections for innovators, not to mention the affect it could have on manipulation of the media when a major communications network has the power to silence criticism and dissenting opinion.If net neutrality is abandoned, the black market will also expand into new innovations in encryption and hidden sub-networks, giving more resources to criminals that use such networks, which is something I'm sure most politicians or businessmen in the field really don't consider. The size of those networks is manageable and slow presently, destroying them completely is most likely futile if not dangerous for those who legitimately need them, and they will surely grow.

10021. Jessica Wessels, St Louis, MO, 63126
The internet is quickly becoming the way most vital information is spread. Job searches, home listings, seeking help online. It's sick and wrong that you would even think to block something that is tantamount to a basic need behind a paywall. This isn't premium cable networks, open access to the internet is something vital for people to live these days. Jobs are online. Training is online. Learning is online. How many of the underprivileged are you willing to damn just to line your pockets?

10022. Martin Howard, Macon, MO, 63552
The Internet needs to be regulated like a utility so that everyone and any one gets the same service regardless of social economic status to treat the internet any other way is unamerican. If for some reason you do not care about an individual person than look at it this was a small business should have the access of big business. And how would that inspire economic growth in America. If net neutrality is allowed to proceed than only the super rich and Big business will be allowed to prosper the rest of us will have our boot strap's taken away from us. Please do not allow this to pass.

10023. Jordan Hoover, st. Louis, MO, 63125
I think that ISPs should remain under title two classification. I am certainly in support of strong enforceable protection of what we know as net neutrality. It is a thing of absurdity to suggest that ISPs have lobbied so hard for the end of net neutrality without any intention of using the carte blanche its destruction would give them to strongarm the money back from everyone else.

10024. Sam Monteleone, Kansas City, MO, 64119
I would like to urge the FCC to keep the internet as a utility. In many areas of the country there are only a few providers. Some places only have one. We must keep the internet neutral so the current oligopoly control does not use it for their advantage. It's bad enough we have a few companies that control all the broadband and media. I don't want them limiting access or selling multiple tier products just so we can use the internet like we do today. Please keep it listed as a utility.

10025. lauren hill, Kansas city, MO, 64111
Please, to whom is deciding, give the American people net neutrality. To limit the access and exposure of technology and information to those who are not in bustling metro areas, who do not make the top earning salaries, yet upon whose shoulders this nation's well-being ultimately rests is an atrocity. It is as unfortunate as gerrymandering, and as serious. We pride ourselves in this country upon our distinction of liberty and freedom, yet without guaranteeing access to the same resources as every constituent in this country, we do not reserve the right to boast over such virtues, as they truly do not exist. So many individuals do not know of the issue at hand here, but they will know the consequences of it. I ask on their, and my own, behalf. Save net neutrality. Aid our country's reputation for freedom and liberty for all, even if we don't witness it as often as we should.

10026. Michael Rengel, St. Louis, MO, 63116
I am absolutely opposed to the so-called "Restoring Internet Freedom" rule, which proposes, in practice, quite the opposite. The growth and flourishing of the Internet has all along been based on Net Neutrality: the ability for small businesses, small journals, personal blogs, and other creative self-employment-based sites to be compete on equal terms with corporate giants. That competition is the essence of the free market; President Obama's Net Neutrality regulations were specifically designed to preserve the competitive status quo.Restoring Internet Freedom" is about imposing a brand new change, in which extremely rich bullies can deliver their content easily while all the innovative corners of the web are strangled by monopolistic charges. It is a deeply destructive proposal. Please reject it.Sincerely,--Mike Rengel

10027. Sam Wade, Manchester, MO, 63021
The concept of Net Neutrality is the foundation on which the internet as we know it was founded. It ensures a level playing field for all websites. This allows new businesses the opportunity to compete with larger, wealthier business that already have a sizable footprint on the web. Please continue to support Net Neutrality. This will ensure that the consumer will continue to decide what content is best rather than big business and ISPs.

10028. Katie Rackers, Kansas City, MO, 64158
It's not fair to people who can't afford to pay more. It would do more harm than good for public places, like the library, because how will they pay for it. It would increase the prices for attending various places, such as colleges or other places of entertainment, making it that much harder for the middle and lower classes. Internet equality is best for everyone.

10029. Matthew Snively, Kansas City, MO, 64116
Reclassifying ISPs from Title II to Title I would be a serious misstep for consumer protection. Allowing the ISPs to police themselves in a situation where they have every financial incentive to tilt the playing field in their favor is unwise. Corporations like Verizon and Comcast have already been caught and/or accused of violating existing net neutrality standards, so with no standards in their way I can't imagine what the argument would be as to how anyone would think they would not seek to take advantage of the situation for their own gain.

10030. David Muccigrosso, Manchester, MO, 63021
Do not repeal these net neutrality rules. This will be bad for the country and the internet as a whole.

10031. Christopher Barnett, Columbia, MO, 65201
Net neutrality leaves the internet as a free and level playing field, just as this country was dreamt to be. Uphold the values of this country and keep net neutrality.

10032. Toney Lowery, Columbia, MO, 65202
I, and millions of other Americans, fully expect and demand the FCC to continue to support Net Neutrality. It is a cornerstone of growth of services on the internet.

10033. Mark Harris, Festus, MO, 63028
Internet service providers (ISPs) are NOT public utilities. Giant corporations shouldnâ€™t dictate how we use the internet. ISPs should not be able to block or throttle traffic at any time, or allow for â€œpaid prioritizationâ€ that speeds up websites at the expense of others. Net neutrality promotes innovation and competition, protects free speech and prevents censorship.I support Net neutrality. Internet service should be open and equally accessible without price disparities.

10034. Tim Forsythe, Kansas City, MO, 64152
I am writing to ask the FCC to continue to adopt Title II standing for all internet service providers in the United States and to strongly enforce net neutrality rules for said ISPs. A free and open internet is now the bedrock of not only our democracy, but democracy worldwide. Net neutrality rules are designed not only to protect Americans' First Amendment right to free speech, but also to protect consumers from fraudulent and abusive business practices, of which there are many recent examples. It is imperative that in order to protect constitutional freedoms as well as free markets, net neutrality must continue to be a guiding principal at the FCC. Keep these rules and enforce them.

10035. Danielle Quintanilha, Creve Coeur, MO, 63141
I oppose the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) reversing the 2015 order that ensures net neutrality.

10036. Michael Byrd, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
Firstly, despite what the big telecomm companies tell you I am not a bot.I'm an actual flesh and blood voter with strong opinions on this subject which I've expressed in previous public comments.Secondly, I'm very much against changing the existing rules which protect a level playing field on the Internet. This is a public utility and access to Internet content is vital for my small business (I own an architecture firm in St. Louis) and for education, especially in underserved communities (both rural and urban). It makes no more sense to allow private companies to restrict access to content based on money than it does for government to restrict access to roads based on our ability to afford a faster car. Keep the Internet fair. If revenue is the question, then taxes are the answer. You raise revenue to protect the vital infrastructure by taxing big users, not by letting big users tax each other. Taxes for Internet commerce are long overdue anyway. Do the right thing and the harder thing and advocate for a fairer Internet tax policy and put those resources to improving the USs already substandard Internet infrastructure for the benefit of all.Sincerely,Michael ByrdSt. Louis, MO

10037. James Stites, Kansas City, MO, 64113
Net Neutrality is the core of democratized information. Each person being granted equal ability to communicate and find information is what makes the internet valuable. So-called fast-lanes are achieved by slowing the speed of the overall population. Net Neutrality is key, and bastardizations of the open internet only serve to make the medium worse.

10038. Andrew Ulrich, Clayton, MO, 63105
Please don't kill Net Neutrality. We need to keep a level playing field for small businesses and entrepreneurs.

10039. Emily Schell, St Louis, MO, 63114
Please protect net neutrality! Democracy demands it!

10040. Christopher Chida, Wildwood, MO, 63021
I would like to join the majority of Americans (http://thehill.com/policy/technology/332948-cable-industry-poll-majority-support-net-neutrality-rules) in expressing my disgust at the contnuing assault on a free, fair, and open internet. That the proposed rollbacks for net neutrality rules are continuing apace despite the repeated warnings from virtually all corners of business and civil society that such changes would sacrifice the growth, innovation, and dynamism of small businesses on the sacred alter of The Quarterly Earnings Reports of politacally protected monopolies, lays bare the myopia and corruption of the current "technocrats" of the current FCC as well as the people chosen to represent the interests of the American people.

10041. Shannon Love, Stockton , MO, 65785
I rely on the Internet every single day. It's where I keep in touch with those special to me, it's where I get the majority of my

entertainment, and most importantly, it allows me to be heard. I do not appreciate what is being attempted and I will not go down without a fight

10042. Lisa Frazier, Fair Grove, MO, 65648
Net neutrality is vital to the First Amendment right to free speech.

10043. Kristie Pennock, Kansas City, MO, 64119
Why do we constantly have to go over this? The people have spoken- again and again. Net neutrality is essential. Protect it once and for all.

10044. Jennifer Jordan, St. Louis, MO, 63104
We the people paid for the internet with our taxes. I am a student who searches for content to help my education. Often the best information is NOT something that would be first on a list. No private company should make a extra profit off somthing that tax payers paid for.

10045. Lisa F. Scanlan, Manchester, MO, 63021
Please save Net Neutrality. The internet should be available to everyone, it's not fair if I want to start a business that AT&T or another large company can run over me and not give m access to customers. Everyone should have a level playing field, it should not go to the highest bidder!!! Keep the internet accessible to everyone!!!!!

10046. Heather Youngblood, Springfield, MO, 65810
The internet should remain free and unbiased. I fully support the free internet proposal.

10047. ashley beeson, Saint louis, MO, 63125
I support net neutrality aka internet freedom. ISP's should not have the right to regulate the internet.

10048. Justin Salter, St. Louis, MO, 63117
Net neutrality is an important principle that must be protected. Allowing internet service providers to decide what data gets priority will stifle innovation and is dangerously anti-consumer and anti-competition.

10049. Ryan, Galena, MO, 65656
The Internet needs to remain free and open. Leave the current net neutrality rules in place.

10050. Ann Lamb, St. Louis, MO, 63119
There should not be fast lanes and slow lanes on the internet. Net neutrality should remain in place so the public does not have to pay more to get information quicker than someone who cannot afford to purchase "fast lane" access. The internet is like a utility now so please keep it a level playing field.

10051. Abby Brown, Cape Girardeau, MO, 63701
Protecting Net Neutrality should be an imperative function of the FCC any act or thought other wise is a disgrace to this agency and what it is meant to stand for

10052. Bailey Vincent, Kansas City, MO, 64108
It's insane that this is even coming up again...

10053. Anthony Carpenter, Belton, MO, 64012
Please stop trying to thwart Net Neutrality and true Internet Freedom. Do not allow ISPs to become Title 1, keep ISPs Title 2 where they may be held accountable and responsible for fair and just regulations.

10054. John and Cynthia Alejandro, Springfield, MO, 65802
We need net neutrality. We need our Privacy back. The internet is a educational tool for learning. Since they allow our surfing to be collected the e-mails spam and phone calls (spam) have more than double in our house hold. Most of the e-mails and phone calls are scammers trying to scam us

10055. Timothy George Delahanty II, Saint Louis, MO, 63110
To whom it may concern,I do not agree with Mr. Pai's proposal to undo net neutrality. Please do not proceed.Regards,George

10056. Creed Cordonier, Kansas City, MO, 64110
There is no reason to repeal Title II. A few telecom companies in the United States are the only 'people' who seem to find any. Keep the internet open and free. Instead, get your revenue by providing superior broadband speeds, customer service, infrastructure and good moral showing by dropping this ridiculous ideal of turning the internet into cable tv.

10057. Megan Solari, Warrensburg, MO, 64093
Do we honestly need to do this quarterly? Wage a war with our own government so private interests can't put toll booths on other companies' intellectual property? We need consumer internet freedom. Very little of our lives is now available offline and why is it that a clutch of whispering gray-hairs think it is acceptable for a handful of private corporations to have the right to limit the American citizenry's freedom of movement in their own lives? Will it become legal for me, as a tax-paying citizen and, therefore, a shareholder in public infrastructure, to set up a toll booth on the sidewalk, blocking the stoop to your Washington D.C. brownstone? As long as I split the proceeds with my fellow shareholders, of course. Stop being absurd.

10058. Ian Fencl, Independence, MO, 64055
If the FCC actually cares what the people want, they will uphold net neutrality and enforce it. It's not the governments job to help corporations and by taking away net neutrality that's exactly what it's doing. The government and FCC's job is to protect the consumer

and fight for their interests. Taking away net neutrality is a blatant slap to the consumer which shows that Ajit Pai doesn't care about what we want and is only their for the money and to promote big business.

10059. Christina Hope Cox, independence, MO, 64056
Net Neutrality should stay. The internet is where information lives now. For better or for worse. This is how people read the news, go to school, see family overseas. Allowing companies to limit speeds whenever they see fit where ever they see fit is wrong. They can decided a poor neighborhood will get lower speeds because they don't pay for as much as a rich neighborhood. This is not a maybe. Companies have a past record of lowering speeds for some and increasing for others. People need the internet to grow..... and I am NOT referring to facebook. I am referring to the news, EDX.com, online books, encyclopedia, free way to call long distance relatives, support groups and so on. EVeryone should be equal when it comes to speed and they should get what they pay for. There is enough haves and have nots in this country. This can help level the playing field.

10060. Darrol Layman, Macon, MO, 63552
My social life is based on the internet. If you take down Net Neutrality, you'll be cutting off my social life at the head.

10061. Kimberly Brown, Sainte Genevieve, MO, 63670
I love being able to do things from my screen easily and we already pay for internet why pay for viewing the websites we use?

10062. Jeanene Mcgee, California, MO, 65018
It's the American right

10063. Cami Cogshell, Florissant, MO, 63033
i don't want to pay to access different parts of the internet

10064. Sharon Moss, SAINT LOUIS, MO, 63117
without open access to the free flow of information, I will not pay for or obtain an ISP

10065. Charlotte Champlin, Cedar Hill, MO, 63016
Net Neutrality is crucial for me as a small business owner, as an entrepreneur, I rely on the open internet to launch my businesses, create markets, advertise my products and services, and reach customers. We need the open internet to foster job growth, competition and innovation.It has allowed me to sell my art in a worldwide market. It has also allowed me to further my education and increase my income.Net Neutrality lowers the barriers of entry by preserving the internetÂ‰Ã›Âªs fair and level playing field. ItÂ‰Ã›Âªs because of Net Neutrality that small businesses and entrepreneurs have been able to thrive online,.

10066. Michaela , Kansas City, MO, 64133
Net neutrality is important to me because the internet is literally my only communication with like-minded people that I have. I really don't want to have to needlessly pay to use a site that connects me to friends or provides me pure entertainment away from greedy money-makers.Also I don't want money-makers involved in my life. I already have to pay taxes while the national debt continues to go up. I don't want to have to pay to play dress up games or talk with my lgbt friends.

10067. Mark Kauffman, King City, MO, 64463
CONCERNING NET NEUTRALITYInformation is going to flow one way or another. This truth is past the point of either public or private institutions to be able to stymie, and all it takes is a few minutes with a search engine to discover that this has effectively been the case since the initial inception of consumer information technology.Consider the fact that you're staring down the barrel of the future here. Ending net neutrality is, in no uncertain terms, standing directly opposed to the express and uniform will of several generations, and their successive generations, each one more tech-savvy than the last. It's a battle lost before it's even begun, but that battle would not be without casualties. Consider wireless connections, independent servers, cypher-nets - all ending net neutrality will do is kill the Internet as it is and lead to a non-centralized Blacknet completely independent from any form of responsible oversight for concerned users to engage with in order to protect their own communities, both on and off line. Ending net neutrality is to wage a war on the people and to force infotech down a dark and dangerous path where the people are less able to safely self-regulate, because the powers that help enforce said self-regulation would be part of a system designed explicitly to disadvantage and exploit them. Keep information free, not of cost, but of thoughtless price gouging that threatens to destabilize society and put current and future infotech users, literally everyone, at risk.In earnest,Mark A. Kauffman

10068. Hannah Spell, Kansas City, MO, 64157
Net Neutrality keeps everything on the Internet equal. Without it everything will be a mess.

10069. Carrie , Springfield, MO, 65802
People need the internet to vent and share their problems. If you take that away introverted people will probably become suicidally depressed, people in far-away places will lose touch with others, and generally, the people that rely on internet to give them that last bit of freedom will suffer. The internet needs to stay neutral. People need it. It's our last area of freedom and solace to be surrounded by those like-minded individuals around us.

10070. Michael Wilson, Chesterfield, MO, 63005
Because without freedom the Internet is nothing

10071. Margart , Saint Joseph, MO, 64501
Thanks for one's marvelous posting! I seriously enjoyed reading it, you happen to be a great author. I will be sure to bookmark your blog and definitely will come back someday. I want to encourage you to continue your great job, have a nice morning!fake jerseysfake jerseysfake jerseysfake jerseysfake jerseysfake jerseysfake jerseysfake jerseysfake jerseysfake jerseys

10072. Mary Scobee, Saint Louis, MO, 63131

As an independent artist, I rely on the internet to spread my name and art to a larger market as well as to find inspiration. To take away net neutrality would kill any hope of my business online and therefore any hope I have at successfully living off my art. This would also be true of many small businesses in all areas of commerce, from small financial firms to crafts people to family owned stores and restaurants. I ask that you please consider the needs of all Americans in keeping the internet a free market with access available to all.

10073. Rae Foxley, Jefferson City, MO, 65109
Because there should never have been a monopolization over access to certain sites or information in the first place. This is censorship and will not be tolerated by the public.

10074. Darrol Layman, Macon, MO, 63552
My social life is based on the internet. In fact I'm an online artist. If you take down Net Neutrality, you'll be cutting off my social life at the head and killing my art medium. I'm signing this petition and there isn't a good damned thing you can do about it.

10075. Savana , Kansas City, MO, 64152
Why would I pay for something that's been free for so long I don't understand and I don't think you understand like you high and mighty people think you won't have to pay for this but SIKE you do sorry folks but I'm not here for this and neither is the whole globe

10076. Ashley Thompson, Joplin, MO, 64804
Killing Net Nutrality is the same as killing our Constitutional right to freedom of speech. Big companies should never control what we see on the internet. Would this not Monopolize parts of the internet? This comes down to our rights as content creators and watchful consumers. Don't allow our rights to be taken away. This is how dictatorships begin.

10077. Craig Plattner, Archie, MO, 64725
Craig Plattner

10078. Sue Skidmore, Springfield, MO, 65804
We must keep Net Neutrality because Net Neutrality is the only way to have a fair and equal internet access to the world wide web. Net Neutrality is democratic and that is what our country was founded upon: democratic values. In order to ensure that we have Net Neutrality we must have the Title 2 of the Communications Act which insures strong oversight to protect Net Neutrality. People are not being truthful of ISPs incidents of obstruction without adequate oversight. Also, the cable companies such as Verizon say that Title 2 and Net Neutrality do not influence the way they invest in infrastructure. So the bottom line is that in order to keep A FREE & OPEN INTERNET WE MUST HAVE NET NEUTRALITY & TITLE 2 TO PROTECT IT WITH ADEQUATE OVERSIGHT.

10079. Lacey Vonderschmidt, Kansas City, MO, 64118
I depends on Internet for communication and jobs because I am freelancing artist and deaf. I am living on SSI. I truly do want to be independent. In order to become independent, I need to rely on networking online.

10080. Jeremiah Shadduck, De Soto, MO, 63020
A lack of net neutrality violates my freedom of speech. Some of my closest friends I can only contact through the internet, and many causes that I stand for would receive major negative impact if Title II is repealed.

10081. Sky , Billings, MO, 65610
The internet is very important to me because it's how I talk to friends and connect with people. Watching YouTube is also extremely important to me since not only helps me with understanding school work, I get entertainment as I don't watch tv anymore. I also use it track my position in tournaments I participate in.

10082. Jacob ward, Saint Louis, MO, 63134
The cable companies make to much as it is they don't need to make more for less

10083. Austen Still, Joplin, MO, 64804
Net Neutrality allows for freedom of speech unhindered by corporate censorship. It is your Constitutional duty to protect our Constitutional rights.

10084. Taylor Hartlee, Kansas City, MO, 64153
I should not have to chose between food or accessing everyday sites I use. Passing this would not only go against the free-market, or democracy, but would lead us closer to 1984

10085. Randy Keaton, Nixa, MO, 65714
Net Neutrality is important to me and every other person in America because it provides information, it lets us be intelligent consumers and gives us better means to be productive citizens. Everything I do is based around the internet, whether it be finding entertainment or taking care of my school work, net neutrality is allowing me to continue my life normally. Without net neutrality, I'd have to pay nominal fees to do all the enjoyable stuff I do now, and frankly I don't think I could afford those fees. Without net neutrality I would lose all functionality as a member of the USA and would be nothing more than dead weight.

10086. Jayda Hatten, Florissant, MO, 63033
Last week, I worked five nights in a row at a retail store. My job involves staying on my feet for more than 5 hours with only a 15 minute break in between. My job involves bending down to low heights and lifting heavy objects. My job involves dealing with rude customers and sorting (and restocking) 4 shopping carts full of clothes. A few nights ago, I came home with the pain in my feet being almost unbearable. I was hungry, tired, and annoyed. The way I relaxed? The internet. I watched Netflix shows, used social media, and took online quizzes because it lets me wind down and entertains me. The internet is my life. It is my news, my banking, my social media, my bills, my movies, my tv, my enjoyment. To make it slower or to censor it would be akin to taking away my freedom. Having freedom of expression is part of what makes America great and to censor mine or someone else's....well I simply couldn't imagine the

difficulty that would devour my life and the lives of others.Furthermore, every month I pay my internet bill (using the internet) with money that I earn at my hard worked job. Because I only make about $400 a month, my bill is more than 10% of my monthly earnings so I expect to get the maximum quality than I can obtain. All the freedoms incorporated in internet access is what I pay for every month, it's what I work so hard for. When I pay for my internet bill, I understand that I can access certain websites with the speed that my internet provider promised. With net neutrality rules gone, I'll be receiving less than what I pay for.With broadband internet access, I have my choice in form of entertainment, payment options (of bills), research sources, and search engines. I also have my choice in music providers (a choice which is so important because I often listen to music at home and during travel in order to lift my spirits).I understand that taking away net neutrality rules is an example of FCC roles in regards to the broadband internet access. I firmly believe that the FCC should have very limited roles in this market and that its roles should generally be: protecting users from invasions of privacy and protecting users from fraudulent billing and price gouging by broadband providers. Comcast prices could double without the FCC and, at that point, there would be nothing we could do about it.Lastly, the prices. As I've mentioned before, I only earn about $400 a month. About 45% of that money goes to my other bills and the rest goes to food and other necessities (clothes, bed(ding), school supplies, toiletries, etc.). I simply cannot afford to pay extra for the speed that Iv'e already been promised by my internet provider. And because I don't have cable, unlimited data on my phone, or a newspaper (because I live in a very crowded apartment complex), It would be just about impossible to use other means to replace the services that my internet provides.Please. To censor the internet is the censor America. To leave us unprotected is to put us at risk. To slow down the internet is to slow down my life.Thank you very much for reading.

10087. Thomasponyfan1 , Branson, MO, 65616
I don't want to pay extra for things that shouldn't be payed extra

10088. Isaac , Fulton, MO, 65251
Because duh.

10089. Sarah Jacobson, Monroe City, MO, 63456
This is America, not North Korea. Stop acting like a crazy dictator and trying to censor your citizens. This is completely ridiculous. Really starting to regret voting for Trump. He's done absolutely nothing for healthcare and is only moving this country in a backward direction. The government has no right to choose what it's people get to see online.

10090. Hannah Dillon, Ballwin, MO, 63021
it is completely necessary for the internet experience; the internet is an extremely useful tool not only for entertainment but for studies/work/companies - abolishing net neutrality ruins that. there is no need to require people to pay extra money for something that is supposed to be universally accessible. it connects our world, and it should stay the way that it is in order to best do that.

10091. Ben , Chesterfield, MO, 63005
The internet is, in the modern age, a basic human right. It should not be kept behind a pay wall where only the wealthiest of people to enjoy. The wellspring of information it holds is a benefit to people of all classes and ages. It is absolutely ridiculous that the flow of information should be limited to how much one can pay for access to it.

10092. Jonathan Long, Wayland, MO, 63472
World wide connectivity with news, family, friends,

10093. Eli Kruger, Kansas City, MO, 64111
the internet is the last free place

10094. Jason Pontalion, Clinton, MO, 64735
We need freedom of information so that we may learn. The internet is an invaluable tool we use every day and the founding Fathers had a vision for this country, and that vision was not censorship, but rather freedom of information.

10095. Percilla , Ballwin, MO, 63021
THE IS JUST NO WAY YOUR GOING TO LET A BUNCH OF RICH WHITE MEN TAKE AWAY OUR FREEDOMS! YOU BETTER PUT A STOP TO THIS TODAY. THE WEB PEOPLE HAVE SPOKEN I SWEAR ON EVERYTHING THAT I WILL NOT SIT BY AND BE TAKEN CAPTIVE BY THE RICH WHITE MEN IN THIS COUNTRY WHO PRETEND LIKE RIGHTS AND PRIVILEGES ONLY BELONG TO THEM, I WILL NOT DO IT. YOU BETTER NOT SIT QUITELY WHILE YET ANOTHER WHITE MAN STEALS SOMETHING THAT DOES NOT BELONG TO HIM AND SAY THAT YOU PROTECT THE REPUBLIC, THE INTERNET MUST AND WILL REMAIN FREE . DO YOUR JOBS AND RESPECT THE REPUBLIC.

10096. Elijah Harris, Springfield, MO, 65803
It keeps my freedom to look up what ever I wont safe

10097. Scott Medina, Belton, MO, 64012
The concept and existence of net neutrality is important to millions of citizens, myself included, across the country and elsewhere. The ability to freely access and use the Internet and its limitless content has shaped a generation. It has paved way forms of communication, expression, and other forms of progressive action never before seen in history.To allow big cable companies to control what we can immediately access, and to remove this freedom provided to us, is a severe hindrance to both our day-to-day and overall lives. People will have to pay more to access their favorite individual websites, and for those already struggling this would be an even more problematic issue. This throttling also has a crucial effect on the countless groups of people who have taken to the Internet to freely express their thoughts, talents, and creativity, and likewise have established business and made a living off of it. To limit what he can see and access on here would be to snuff out a grand majority of these groups and potentially destroy the livelihoods of those who call the web their own.The Internet is a massive system of interconnected minds who can contact, share, communicate, and express with one another in ways that could only be imagined long ago. Millions of diverse individuals have established their territory in this place for a plethora of different reasons, yet at the same time will stand together to defend their ground from the threat of deconstructing net

neutrality. And while there is the visible share questionable and potentially offensive content, in the case of the Internet as a whole community the good greatly outweighs and stomps out the bad.On the behalf of millions of users, hundreds of websites, and myself, who have all banded together to stand their ground for freedom and fairness, please reconsider this uprooting of net neutrality in favor of cable companies. Leave the Internet as it is: a free network, a virtual world, a society that lets people be who they want to be. Don't make it so that we only have what is picked and chosen for us.

10098. Joan Grady, Ferguson, MO, 63135
This is really a " no brainer".

10099. James Nickson, Grandview, MO, 64030
I AGREE, THANK YOU.

10100. Virginia Gilbert, St. Louis, MO, 63118
I depend on the Internet for online seminary classes. My husband depends on the Internet for his employment as an editor. We both rely on the Internet for our freelance writing assignments. THIS CONCERNS MORE THAN GAMES OR MOVIES.

10101. Danny Raymond, Saint Joseph,, MO, 64505
Pass on John Oliver's efforts to help in this matter, to leave a comment to the FCC just email gofccyourself.com This will take you straight to the express comment page at the FCC.

10102. Debbie Stinehart, Park Hills, MO, 63601
The internet is not going to become a state controlled entity for those trying to hide the truth.

10103. Darryl Hartung, Washington, MO, 63090
Leave our free speech alone....this is not Russia!!!

10104. Chris , Kansas city, MO, 64114
I dont want you putting anything in big business hands. You take your smug cup of joe and shove it

10105. Roy Swigert, Hermitage, MO, 65668
How many times are they going to keep trying this?

10106. Natasha Hopkins, Florissant, MO, 63034
Net neutrality is an equal opportunity platform that touches every aspect of our lives. Not only is it the nucleus for the proliferation and exchange of ideals, it has the potential to unite disparate people, communities and nations. More importantly, it is the voice of the people. Epitomizing freedom to access, to disseminate and to act on information, net neutrality is essential for democracy. As a nation and multifaceted society, we can not allow either to fall to partisan, corporate or autocratic interests.

10107. Dennis Cullen, Wildwood, MO, 63005
The internet should be kept free and the government should stay out of the evolution to greater public good.

10108. Lesley Pories, Kansas City, MO, 64111
the internet is for everyone -not those who can afford to pay for faster speeds!

10109. Elaine , Saint Louis, MO, 63109
Net neutrality serves the business part of big business. Greed eventually hurts everyone; including the greedy. Please be wise, and not insanely foolishly greedy. Keep the Net Neutrality protections of Title II intact. Thank you.

10110. Steve Sesti, St Louis, MO, 63139
I strongly support Net Neutrality protections under Title II.

10111. Lucas , Kirbyville, MO, 65679
Imagine if Subway employees could decide, based on how much you tip them, how fresh the meat on your sandwich is.

10112. Bob Delaney, Florissant, MO, 63033
Having an ex-Verizon lawyer run the FCC is like having the wolf guarding the chicken house - He has no qualifications or legitimacy just dirty ISP money in his back pocket.

10113. Ronald Grames, Florissant, MO, 63033
Title II protections are the best way to regulate this industry, which really functions as an essential utility today.

10114. Anishka Lee-Skorepa, Ballwin, MO, 63011
Internet providers should not have the ability to influence what I see on the internet, by making their preferred content more accessible than others.I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality under the FCC's existing rules and keep broadband internet access classified under Title II.

10115. Amber Souders, Wright City, MO, 63390
The internet is a basic necessity for me and others like me in my community. Without the internet, we lose the ability to do thorough research in order to make educated decisions regarding the world, in which, we live. Without the open internet, we lose our ability to tell our own stories, find opportunity, and define our own destinies.Without the internet, only a selected group gets heard. A discrimination, of sorts, if all cannot participate. We owe it to ourselves to accept the BEST contributions each of us has to offer, to the community. An OPEN COMMUNICATION without being limited by companies who wish profit to be the only consideration.I believe internet providers should not have the power to discriminate against certain types of content Ã‘ especially since marginalized groups will suffer

the most.I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality under the FCC's existing rules and keep broadband internet access classified under Title II.

10116. Paul Huddy, Columbia, MO, 65203
I appreciate the freedom of the internet. Without the open internet, I will lose the ability to hear ordinary people's concerns.I believe internet providers (and their very wealthy allies) should not have the power to discriminate against certain types of content Ã‘ Think about who will be most impacted: people of color and other marginalized folks.I strongly urge FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality under the FCC's existing rules and keep broadband internet access classified under Title II.

10117. Barbara Robin, Ballwin, MO, 63021
The internet is a basic necessity for me and others like me in my community. Without the open internet, we lose our ability to tell our own stories, find opportunity, and define our own destinies.I believe internet providers should not have the power to discriminate against certain types of content Ã‘ especially since people of color and other marginalized folks will suffer the most. With all due respect to those who claim otherwise, leaving internet providers to their own devices with regard to net neutrality is essentially tantamount to "letting the fox guard the henhouse". And we all know that happens when the fox guards the henhouse: unmitigated disaster of the worst kind.I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality under the FCC's existing rules and keep broadband internet access classified under Title II. That would clearly be the RIGHT thing to do, one way or the other!P.S. I would also strongly urge the FCC in no uncertain terms to deny Sinclair's proposal to buy Tribune Media once and for all. Ignoring the will of the people with regard to net neutrality and media consolidation would have disastrous consequences for all. So my closing message to the FCC is this: heed the will of the general public! That would be the right thing to do.

10118. Mary Maul, Boonville, MO, 65233
The internet is a basic necessity for me and others like me in my community. Without the open internet, we lose our ability to access news and businesses of our choice, find opportunity, and define our own destinies.Internet providers should not have the power to discriminate against any type of content Ã‘who monitors the monitors?I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality under the FCC's existing rules and keep broadband internet access classified under Title II.

10119. Deanne O'Donnell, Saint Louis, MO, 63143
The internet is a basic utility for me and others like me in my community. Without the open internet, stories are lost, access is limited, and people are downplayed in favor of corporations.I believe internet providers should not have the power to discriminate against certain types of content Ã‘ especially since people of color and other marginalized folks will suffer the most.I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality under the FCC's existing rules and keep broadband internet access classified under Title II.

10120. Jordan Kempf, Columbia, MO, 65203
I do not agree with the current plans to stop net neutrality laws. Especially the portions that would allow ISPs to limit specific content. I fear it could be used in the future to charge people more for things they use every day. Please keep ISPs neutral. Internet is becoming more of an essential utility every day, and should be treated as such.

10121. Robert Block, Rolla, MO, 65401
Net Neutrality is a vital component of a free internet. Title II is critically important to protect the rights of individuals to use the internet. Internet access is a public utility at this point, a utility that offers education, entertainment, and communication. Millions of people depend on the internet in their daily lives, and net neutrality prevents ISPs from dictating their quality of life. I strongly support Net Neutrality. I strongly oppose proceeding 17-108, as it is a blatant attack on our freedoms for the benefit of large corporations.

10122. Hayden Floretta, Wildwood, MO, 63011
Keep net neutrality! Removing it will create more problems, more trouble, and in general just create a hassle for everyone. It also gives big business the chance to take over and put smaller businesses, out of business.

10123. Madison Elise, Springfield, MO, 65803
The majority of Americans in both parties believe that Net Neutrality is in the best interest of the people. ISPs should not be allowed to slow connections based on the website. How is this not just a bill for big business. Also, stop naming bills misleading things like, "Restoring Internet Freedom" when you only mean freedom for big companies to make a lot of money.

10124. Saul Robinson, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
The internet of today forms an essential part of modern life. I bank, tele-commute with my employer, file taxes, communicate with family, and express self evident truths to my government. Were my internet provider to restrict my access based on anti-competitive practice, there is little doubt that these vital activities would be adversely affected. Should my provider not support a video conference software/protocol used by my employer, I am penalized by paying for gas and parking. Will my carrier restrict my ability to speak with my family over Skype or Facetime? Should my parents provider prefer a different software to my provider, will my parents be able to see their grandchildren grow up?I use the internet to access specific websites and IP addresses, both at work and at home. This clearly meets the definition of 'telecommunication.' Even in the case of DNS lookup, this meet the same definition. Modern fixed-line and mobile phone providers do not use fixed addresses or lines, rather they use rolling assignments with look-up tables, in a very similar manner to DNS.Market monopolies in local areas hurt the consumer. Even though I live in an area with two providers, I have little doubt that should GoogleFiber be introduced locally then prices would fall. In markets where Google has induced real competition prices have fallen drastically, indicating cartel pricing. Only regulation can address this issue.

10125. Alies Dalton, Kansas City, MO, 64124
The internet must remain free. Too many people rely on it. Allowing companies to controle it sets up restraint of trade and control over freedom of speech.

10126. Charles Sheppard, Harrisonville, MO, 64701
Please keep the current rule(s) set allowing free access to the internet without allowing new rules for the large corporations to set limits

on website access. Thank you.

10127. Benjamin Byard, Kansas City, MO, 64131
Do not remove Title II protections from the internet. The economy of the 21st century depends on open access to all data. I agree completely with reporter Molly Rubin, who writes "without regulation to guarantee oversight, policing net neutrality would be left to internet providers themselves, who have a big financial incentive to charge different prices for different types of access." Open internet is a vital component of everyday life and must be protected with the same classification as any other public utility.

10128. Alma Staffer, Springfield, MO, 65807
I am in favor of net neutrality. Let's keep it free for everyone. Let us decide what and where to surf the net. Thank you, Alma Staffer

10129. Tyler Montgomery, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I implore you to maintain the 2014 protections on net neutrality. An open internet, unrestricted by corporate paywalls is vital to the US economy, both for established corporations and especially for new, upcoming, and small businesses. The current Title II classification for ISPs is the correct path forward for the protection of American citizens. Please remember that government exists to serve the citizens, not corporations, and reversing net neutrality protections will do irreversible harm to freedom of speech, the American economy, and the people's trust in their government.

10130. David Richard Trillo, Kansas City, MO, 64155
I dont believe you should gut the current rules of net neutrality like you are about to do, you are going to take so many peoples freedoms away with this. What we have does not need change. Stop what you are doing, think about how this will effect everyone's lives in the long run and if the money those big companies are giving you is worth it to screw absolutely everyone else.

10131. John Viessman, Vienna, MO, 65582
We strongly believe ISPs must remain categorized as Title II common carriers under the Communications Act of 1934. The "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal would be a detriment to fair use and fair pricing. ISPs should be treated as utilities and required to act in the public interest.

10132. Heather Dixon, Springfield, MO, 65804
Please protect Net Neutrality! The internet must remain free and open in a democracy.

10133. Brigid Greene, Weatherby lake, MO, 64152
Please keep Corp interests out of the net!

10134. Nancy J. Phillips, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
I urge you to RETAIN NET NEUTRALITY. Schools and universities, start-up tech businesses, other small businesses, government at all levels, local and other small internet publishers of all types, and citizens who want to have access to education, government, and local as well as national and international news - all of these need quality access to the internet. Democracy works best with an informed citizenry. We don't need "infotainment" about pop stars drowning out serious news and education.

10135. Sheila Coe, Kansas City, MO, 64119
Net neutrality is important for small businesses to survive, and is therefore important to the economy. Please do not remove it.

10136. Gwen S, St Charles, MO, 63303
Please do not change the way things are currently enforced. It will weaken access for too many people, and public access points like libraries will be drastically affected. Please do not strip people of access and privacy. Safeguard our current system.

10137. William Langton, Saint Louis, MO, 63122
I do not want the FCC to repeal the net neutrality rules.

10138. D Drake, savannah, MO, 64485
Putting corporate interests ahead of the people you serve is a *really* bad idea, to say the least. Putting corporate interests ahead of the people you serve shows where your hearts and allegiances lie.

10139. James Steimel, Saint Louis, MO, 63123
The internet should remain a neutral conduit for the benefit of the average American. Telecom and internet providers already make plenty of money, and allowing them to further monopolize the internet only benefits the rich few, while stifiling innovation and freedom for average people. Net neutrality is overwhelming supported by American voters, and only would be changed to benefit the rich.

10140. William Reed, Poplar Bluff, MO, 63901
I regard high-speed wireline internet access as essential -- I would no sooner live without it than electrical, water, or sewage service. And yet, I trust my BIAS provider less than any other business that I deal with. My BIAS provider has repeatedly tried to exploit my relationship with them (really, my need for access to their local monopoly on BIAS services) for profit through various means, including predatory pricing, various usage-based pricing and data cap schemes, and even traffic manipulation to edge providers. When the Open Internet order was issued and then when the net neutrality rules took effect, I was relieved that my BIAS provider was becoming less able to exploit me, and that I was free to make use of the full breadth of internet-based services without risk or future repercussion.Unfortunately, BIAS competition in this country barely exists. So long as that is the case, BIAS providers will be well-positioned to exploit their customers' demand for Internet access services, and will be motivated to do so both as a profit center and protect their other lines of business (linear cable, landline telephone services, metro area data center access, peering and transit services, etc.). Title II regulation and in particular the net neutrality and privacy rules were an appropriate remedy to this situation.Essential utilities that hold widespread monopolies should be regulated. Nearly all BIAS providers fall in this category, and currently, the federal agency charged with protecting the public interest against these monopolies has the power to properly regulate them, thanks to the Open

Internet Order and the invocation of Title II. I believe that it would be short-sighted and contrary to the public interest for FCC to now voluntarily relinquish that power. I hope that the FCC will reconsider this proposed rulemaking and rather will look to make use of Title II authority to properly regulate BIAS providers before they stifle or coopt the virtuous and vibrant social and economic development that increasingly depends on widespread broadband Internet access.

10141. Michael Sherwood, Saint Louis, MO, 63131
You (the Federal branch of the US government) do not have the authority to regulate the Internet in any manner - positive or negative. The appropriate branch of the government to enact law is the legislative branch. You do not have the authority to create any regulations in the first place, so removing this specific regulation is only logical. The next step is to continue to remove ALL regulations where you have exceeded the authority you are granted by the constitution.

10142. Mary A Logan, Lee's Summit, MO, 64081
This is about the freedom of information and not about profit. We need to keep the internet open to all people, equally. Just as the airwaves are free, so should the internet be. It is disgraceful that again, the almighty dollar is trying to oust what is right and just.

10143. Stuart Hamilton, St. Louis, MO, 63119
I am firmly for net neutrality and believe the internet should be open and equally accessible without price disparities. Frankly, it seems silly to reduce anyone's access to the greatest database of knowledge ever invented.

10144. eBrittany Rigdon, Neosho, MO, 64850
I am greatly disturbed by this proposal. The protections in place prevent the monopolization of data; this proposal appears to *promote* the development of monopolies. I firmly support net neutrality, and I stand firmly against this proposal.

10145. L. M. Miller, Savannah, MO, 64485
The FCC should reject Ajit Pai's proposal to gut net neutrality. The United States (and indeed, the world) deserves a free and fair internet unfettered by special treatment for big telecom. You can't promote the development of the next Facebook or Google by calcifying support for the existing big players in the market. Handicapping small start-up providers is not the way to lift the economy or to promote freedom of speech. Additionally, citizens in rural areas who already face the Digital Divide will be even more negatively impacted by the gutting of net neutrality.

10146. Ian Patrick Cunningham, Ballwin, MO, 63021
I think it is incredibly important that we keep a free and open internet. It's vital to the health of the internet that we prevent mega corporations from trying to control our internet, and instead we should continue classifying it as a utility. As former F.C.C. commissioner Tom Wheeler said when they originally classified internet as a utility on February 26th, 2015: â€œ[The FCC was using] all the tools in our toolbox to protect innovators and consumersâ€ and preserve the Internetâ€™s role as a â€œcore of free expression and democratic principles.â€Having a free and open internet encourages innovation and small business growth. Without fast lanes, small businesses can compete with mega corporations.As an entrepreneur and software developer, I cannot express how important it is that we keep an open and free internet, free from fast lanes.

10147. Melinda Moellering, Olivette, MO, 63132
Net neutrality is crucial to the continued access of information and education to the masses. Please do the right thing and protect net neutrality. Our future depends on it.

10148. Saad Chaudry, Saint Louis, MO, 63131
As a consumer who pays bills, I consider my internet access as a utility, same as my telephone service, cable television service and electricity. I do not think service providers should be allowed free reign to set limits, speeds and prices as they see fit, since they do not have consumers' best interests in mind. Government regulation of services is required to ensure unbiased, good quality and cost efficient services from providers. Thank you.

10149. John Yu, St. Louis, MO, 63141
Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. Since ISPs and monile providers have unlawfully tried to throttle content in the past I believe they should continue to be regulated to ensure an open internet.

10150. Dan, Des Peres, MO, 63122
The internet is a great thing and ending net neutrality would cause millions to suffer so that a few could profit.

10151. Caleb Coatney, Ozark, MO, 65721
Please uphold the current rules and regulations regarding internet neutrality. Iâ€™m concerned that the protections that are in place will be reduced if we change the way theyâ€™re enforced. I would support new regulation if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any.The FCC should reject the plan, which gives power to the major ISP's and strips users of their necessary privacy and access they deserve. The market does not support a competitive enough environment to offset these potential risks. As an internet user I'm afraid that ISP's will be allowed to discriminate against certain types of data or websites which seems like an infringement of rights. Thankfully, the existing net neutrality rules ensure that Internet providers canâ€™t slow or block consumersâ€™ ability to see certain web services or engage in data discrimination by charging websites and online services money to reach customers faster. I appreciate your time and consideration and most of all maintaining the net neutrality rules and the rights of internet users like me.

10152. Alexander Pew, Columbia, MO, 65202
The internet has been an influential part of my life since I was old enough to use a computer, which is why I support a free and open internet for all people. These new rules may have been acceptable if we had a greater deal of freedom and choice when it comes to pur internet providers, but considering in the United States we have very few choices, if any choices at all, this can only lead to internet providers extorting both businesses and consumers.Internet is no longer a luxury, it's a necessity, and if we're going to keep supporting these government supported monopolies in place, we need deep oversight and regulation to ensure people are being treated fairly.That's

why I support Net Neutrality.

10153. David Cobb, Jefferson City, MO, 65101
It is important that internet service providers be regulated as common carriers under Title II since that is their role in providing internet connectivity to the public. They are businesses and it can not be assumed they will act in the best interests of the general public. This is not a theory as several have already dabbled in anti-competitive tactics. See especially "unlimited" data agreements that benefit specific sites over others and other such throttling and limiting of service when connecting to competing companies websites.Net Neutrality must stand and retaining Title II common carrier classification for ISPs is the best way to do it at this time.

10154. Dyann Kramer, Wright City, MO, 63390
I think net neutrality should be preserved.

10155. Joel Harderson, Maryville, MO, 64468
This has been said many times and many ways. But the net neutrality rules of 2015 SHOULD be kept in place and SHOULD be enforced. The reason why is the same reason they were applied in the first place. ISPs whether it be Cable, DSL, Satellite, Cell or Fiber. All have not invested in to there infrastructure which caused them to have "slow" speeds and we need to limit how people use our service. So they implemented data caps, but then they also offered unlimited data, but that unlimited plan is not really unlimited because you are throttle if you use over a certain amount. They don't directly tell there customers this they hope not one will notice. While at the same time offering there streaming video service that doesn't count towards your data allotment. This makes not since, what is the difference of the data from there service vs a competing one. There isn't one data is data if it comes from a service they own, they make money if it isn't and you use more data they make more money. But it is for the same data. There is one thing that will improve isp market and that is competition. For there to be competition there has to be an open and level playing field. As it stands for internet service providers there are some many barriers to entry with Federal, State and local laws and regulations. Most are on the books because they were written by iSP lobbyists given to politicians who are heavily contributed by ISPs. Wow its a surprise those regulations passed. This whole thing is getting old, the people have spoken numerous times on this same issue. We are tired of ISPs doing that are frankly cash grabs to try and get as much money they can from loyal paying customers. I don't get it the government is supposed to by by the people for the people, not for the companies by the lobbyist thru the government screwing over there customers for "Profit".

10156. Zachariah Dumey, Saint Louis, MO, 63129
Paragraph 1 of this act loses me. I am a software engineer whose entire livelihood depends on people having fast access to the internet. People who play the game that I work on demand that they have low latency to play. Free and clear access to the Internet is, thus, essential to my ability to put food on my table. This "free market" lie will allow Internet service providers to discriminate against companies based on the types of services they provide. What happens if Charter decides that they want to release a competing game? Under this act, they could legally slow down access to my company's game while providing super-fast access to theirs. This would give their game an noncompetitive advantage that would unfairly attract players. They could also choose to extort my company for money in order for players to have the same access that they once had as a part of their regular Internet access package. Neither of these situations contributes to a market that rewards superior products and services. Rather, they exist only to provide an advantage to the previously existing market players. The games industry will not be the only one to suffer if this act passes. What if the next Facebook is buried because they can't front the cash to their ISP for fast access? What if the next Google's market share is unfairly stolen by Verizon because Verizon can control how fast clients can access their system? If this act is passed, my job will be in jeopardy. If America truly believes in the best products and services rising to the top, we must all stop this kind of oppression in its tracks.

10157. LEE DAY, NEVADA, MO, 64772
pLEASE MAINTANE NET NEUTRALITY AND KEEP Title II classification.

10158. Reetom K. Bera, Ballwin, MO, 63021
The net was never broken. Why are we trying to fix it?

10159. Tyler Turnbough, Piedmont, MO, 63957
HiAs a college student with an interest in technology, the concept of net neutrality, and specifically the Title II classification of ISPs is critical to my future. Without these protections, I have no reason to create a website, app, or internet service, because I will be open to slowed speeds or deprioritation by the ISPs in favor of existing services that exist in competition to any service I would create. In short, title II protections encourage additional investment in web-based services by young people like myself. If I am going to allocate precious time and money to an idea, I need to know I am on equal footing with existing competitors. Thanks Tyler

10160. Taj Hughes, Maplewood, MO, 63143
We need to keep the net neutral. If we are to change the way the internet is regulated, it should be in a way that will preserve the protections that are allotted to all under net neutrality. No service should be allowed to block lawful content, no service should be allowed to throttle internet traffic based on who sent it, and no service should be able to accept payment to become prioritized under a new regulation. Keep the internet free, as it has been. Thank you. Please read this article, as it explains what is wrong with revoking net neutrality better than I could: https://techcrunch.com/2017/05/23/the-fccs-case-against-net-neutrality-rests-on-a-fundamental-deliberate-misunderstanding-of-how-the-internet-works/

10161. Mark Landiss, Hazelwood, MO, 63042
The internet is the 21st century equivalent of the telephone. It is a mature technology no longer needing special waivers. As such, it should be required to comply with Title II to the extent possible.

10162. Godwin Chan, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
I unilaterally oppose any decision to add, modify, or remove regulations that would lessen Net Neutrality. There is no defense for favoring specific companies or websites outside of just wanting to make more money for the ISP. It does not help the consumer in any way.

10163. Dane Smitley, Grandview, MO, 64030
I'm super upset about all this net neutrality nonsense. I absolutely, positively, undeniably DO NOT think that what Ajit Pai and his supporters are doing is right. The internet MUST remain free and open! I am very much against H.G. Res. 86!I've send several other messages on this, but I haven't gotten any response. Maybe there are too many other people that hate what's being done and are sending comments, or maybe the system isn't working. Can someone please let me know?

10164. Shane Farmer, Gladstone, MO, 64118
Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. Isnâ€™t it possible they could do this again? Iâ€™m also concerned by mobile providers who say a plan is â€œunlimited,â€ but when you exceed the data cap, only throttle sites and services that arenâ€™t part of their approved zero-rating network. ISPs should not be able to throttle or block anything. They provide my connection to the Internet for a fee. I pay that fee to use the Internet as I see fit. They should be able to throttle or limited the ability to use any service.Thanks for reading my comment.

10165. Thomas Baker, raytown, MO, 64138
n the interest of fairness and justice, please refrain from eliminating internet neutrality leaving the citizens of the United States at the mercy of their internet providers. In my city there are only two internet providers. They hold a monopoly therefore there is no freedom of choice or competition. We are at the mercy of their prices, speeds and whims. My greatest fear is that by eliminating internet neutrality, the FCC will be eliminating free speech for which the internet has pioneered a new era of support of many causes that has been long ignored by mainstream media. Individuals may no longer be able to access blogs and boards at the same rate of speed as those who are able to pay for additional bandwidth. Please consider the little people in your decision. Please allow internet neutrality to remain as it is.

10166. Alice Vlietstra, Saint Louis, MO, 63121
We need regulation so that the internet is accessible without price disparities. Do not reverse the 2015 rule.. Keep the ISP's classified as common carriers under Title II of the communications Act of 1934.

10167. Justin Nowlin, Monett, MO, 65708
I am absolutely opposed to the "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal. Net neutrality must be preserved. It is a key part of maintaining a healthy and vibrant internet for millions of Americans. The internet should be oriented for the use of the public, analogous to a digital town square for our entire country. The proposed regulations will strangle the free internet and stifle innovation and development in our country.

10168. Brian Knoerle, Saint Louis, MO, 63146
I believe Net Neutrality must be upheld until competition exist in the marketplace. I believe most markets do not have broadband competition today! We need 3+ broadband providers that have competitive speeds and price points in each market that a household can choose from or the government must regulate the incumbent providers. If broadband provider speeds and price points are greater than 25% of each other, the market can't possibly be considered competitive!

10169. Erik Jacobson, Lees Summit, MO, 64086
I do not agree with the FCCs plans on rolling back net neutrality. The current leadership has a conflict of interest as they previously worked for the companies who oppose net neutrality. The FCC needs to protect the public from greedy corporations that wish to destroy the internet only because they want more money in their pockets and to control what we can and can't see. They, the internet providers, have been given a lot of money to improve their networks via taxes and of course their astronomical pricing for internet access and have failed to do so unless it benefits them or are forced by competition. Please protect us from these companies and their horrible business practices and keep the internet open and unrestricted to allow the creation and availability of services that benefit the public. Not doing so I believe would hinder innovation and truly limit beneficial resources to the American public.

10170. Laura Lee, St. Louis, MO, 63110
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Currently, this is the best mechanism we have to protect the average American. While there may eventually be better mechanisms for protection and oversight, this seems to be the best workable solution at the moment and for the foreseeable future. Thank you.

10171. Mason Camden, Saint Louis, MO, 63119
It's an extremely bad idea to get rid of Net Neutrality. Based on the many, many, many complaints that were sent in, I'd think that, based on the fact that the USA is a sovereign nation, you'd listen to what we the people have to say.Net neutrality is extremely important to me. Please don't give in to these ISP companies. They only have themselves in mind whilst lobbying these laws, and not the sovereign American body.Please don't take this from us.Mason Camden

10172. Payton Troy Collins, Nixa, MO, 65714
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by a title 2 oversite of ISPs.

10173. restore, De Soto, MO, 63020
Keep it where it is, don't slow my internet on any site

10174. Aldo Mani, St. Louis, MO, 63132
Net Neutrality must be maintained. Do not hand over control of the Internet to the wealthy, dominant powers. It will crush the little guys and skew content. Do not go down this slippery slope, please.

10175. Christopher Morelli, Ballwin, MO, 63011
I would like Net Neutrality and Title 2 preserved.

10176. Ron Zimmermann, St. Louis, MO, 63123
I am for Net Neutrality as currently governed under Title II. The Internet is for all of us, not just the rich, politically connected, or corporate oligarchs. Ajit Pai, please leave the Internet as it is under Title II.

10177. Deborah A Thomas, springfield, MO, 65809
We pay for 20 by 5 and we only get 10 by 2, the funny thing is as soon as I got on here to file a complaint it went back up.... strange...

10178. S. Douglas Golden Jr., St. Louis, MO, 63128
Net neutrality is important because it keeps all Americans in the game. Furthermore, ending net neutrality only benefits those in power which means we'll have more monopolies, duopolies, and oligopolies. This is antithetical to the American premise that we all have a chance to succeed.If you give more power to the powerful, then the American Dream is dead (and we can already hear the death rattles).Seriously. Do you really want to screw the American people?Maintain net neutrality.Doug Golden

10179. Jim Stokes, Eagle Rock, MO, 65641
I strongly oppose the idea of the proposed internet changes.I am a Verizon customer and got sucked into their false advertising of the new unlimited plan.We were told we could have unlimited streaming and data and could change back to our old plan anytime we wanted.All of this is false.I was never told about the idiling back after so much data use.When the company does this my speeds are so slow at times I can't even run a speed test.When I can I run a speed test I run it at least twice a day.My upload and download speeds are so slow that I believe I actually had faster internet on the old dial up system.If this change is allowed to happen this administration has taken another huge step to favor big corporations.This will set this type of service back to the old days.I lived in another country for several years and the speed and service of the internet makes the USA look like a third world country.If you are interested I will be happy to show you the results of the speed test.By the way I always used the speed test web site that was recommended by Verizon.

10180. Timothy Ryan Rose, Mound City, MO, 64470
Net Neutrality is crucial and paramount to a free and open democracy...we must keep it period.

10181. Chuck Zavos, Liberty, MO, 64068
Net Neutrality is a good thing. It should be strong. We need Title 2 oversight. Don't screw this up.

10182. Jeffrey S Bradley, Joplin, MO, 64801
Net neutrality is essential to free speech. We simply must not expose the dynamics of free speech expression on the internet to capital influences.

10183. Tomasz Mozolewski, O Fallon, MO, 63368
I strongly support net neutrality and keeping IPAd under title II rules

10184. Megan Sweeney, Belle, MO, 65013
I support Net Neutrality and strong protection under title 2 of the communications act. I also support John Oliver for making this easy with the url gofccyourself.com and getting around you tricky snakes in the Trump administration. GO TITLE II

10185. Deborah, springfield, MO, 65809
I pay for 20 by 10 and I can't load youtube videos in 720p or 1080p

10186. Kivalina Lawrence, West Plains, MO, 65775
I strongly support net neutrality and Title II and what it stands for

10187. Heather Sallee, REPUBLIC, MO, 65738
I support the preservation of net neutrality protections under title II. A fully open internet is essential to our economy, our freedom of speech, and our American values.

10188. Deaglan Erhart, O'fallon, MO, 63368
Please preserve net neutrality and keep title two.

10189. Marcia Hoffman, Kansas City, MO, 64151
I am writing to beseech you, to warn you, to demand of you that you work to retain net neutrality, that you fight all legislation designed to increase the commercialization of the internet or to do anything to reduce availability of free information and internet access here in the US as well as around the world. Go Internet Neutrality!

10190. Robert L Stitt, Lees Summit, MO, 64086
I SUPPORT net neutrality. Chairman Pai had used misleading statistics to claim the current rules adversely impacted investment. With so little competition from so few, but massive, providers, these rules are absolutely needed to protect consumers.

10191. Jamie Young. E, Springfield, MO, 65810
We oppose the proposal to withdraw protection of net neutrality. The internet iimportant to the world economy and for the free exchange of ideas. The major corporations that would benefit from a change in the current policy do not have the interest of the people but their own profits alone as motivation. ATT, Sprint, and the other major telecommunications companies already present an economic burden to the people. We oppose anything that allows them control over the free use of the internet now or ever.

10192. Jason Morrison, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Please don't got net neutrality. Internet is nearly a utility these days and shouldn't be manipulated just to put more money in corporate pockets.

10193. Thomas Schultz, Arnold, MO, 63010

Please do not repeal net neutrality. I want free and open internet! Do not give ISP's the power to slow websites or charge more to get content.

10194. Darren Maas, Ballwin, MO, 63021
Chairman Aijit Pai and his actions are the textbook definition of regulatory capture.https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Regulatory_capture"Restoring Internet Freedom" acts against consumer interests. Removing Net Neutrality regulation only serves big telecom companies who have lobbied and paid for every representative and FCC council member who now acts on their behalf, not consumers.Keep the internet free, as it always has been since its inception. Keep Net Neutrality intact.

10195. John Hutton, Raytown, MO, 64133
I'm in favor of net neutrality. Quit trying to destroy the internet! Only by having a free and open internet will all citizens and companies have an equal voice.

10196. Ethan Doerhoff, Columbia, MO, 65203
Repealing Net Neutrality would be a fundamental mistake to what allows the Internet to exist. If this repeal of Net Neutrality concepts does indeed pass, it will destroy how the Intenet functions, how people access unfiltered and untampered information and will alter not only the processesâ€‹ by which the Internet functions, but will also alter politics, etc. almost immediately.

10197. Jacob Moll, St. Louis, MO, 63101
Please make the right choice and preserve net neutrality and Title II. As a communications student at the University of Missouri St. Louis, I STRONGLY support net neutrality.

10198. Olivia Pratt, Mexico, MO, 65265
I strongly believe in preserving net neutrality & Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

10199. Cindy Mayer-huff, Arnold, MO, 63010
Hello,I specifically support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.Thank you

10200. Peter Olivo, St. Louis, MO, 63118
As an employee of a company that is creating social media, it is imperative that net neutrality is kept intact. Without it, my company is going to be crushed by the bigger social media giants with ease.Personally I also have a need for my internet freedom, I should be able to post a website with my own information and not have my first amendment rights squashed by an internet service provider.

10201. Kelly Alvarado, Saint Joseph, MO, 64506
The notion that all ISPs should just put net neutrality in their Terms of Service and we trust them is ludicrous. The Chairman, along with most of the others in this pitiful administration, are the wolves being put in charge of the sheep. Rolling back every rule put in place by former President Obama is beneath contemptuous. How can you even justify saying that ISPs should be allowed to pick and choose which content has priority over another? It's like book burning. It boils down to censorship. Please do your job - for the millions of people in the USA - not for the corporate masters who line the politicians coffers. Do what is right for the citizens and maintain Title II.

10202. Tyler patrick, Stark City, MO, 64866
The Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) that protect freedom of expression and access to information on the Internet are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them.I don't want government-sanctioned monopoly ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let these giants censor what we see and do online.Censorship on the Internet is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that payâ€”and slow lanes for everyone elseâ€”if the Open Internet rules are repealed. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the five most valuable companies in America, just to further enrich the government-created cable monopolies that are famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Monopolies like Comcast and AT&T will be able to impose a tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for alternative voices and others who can't pay up, to be heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Tyler patrick

10203. Jim Allen, Kansas City, MO, 64108
Free and open internet. Consumer advocacy. Just do it.

10204. Logan Weiss, St. Louis, MO, 63110
The FCC needs to keep ISPs under Title 2 regulations in order to preserve net neutrality. Changing that fact will potentially open the internet to unfair throttling and/or favoritism.

10205. Samantha Womack, Arnold, MO, 63010
I support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs. We need to keep this for the creators that have achieved their dreams, those who will one day achieve their dreams, and to keep the internet a place for everyone. The internet is the place of expression and communication, and taking away net neutrality will destroy this.Don't listen to the few with money. Listen to the many who rightly deserve net neutrality.

10206. Thomas Ulatowski, Springfield, MO, 65804
Please keep the internet as fast as possible for everyone.

10207. 17-108, Ellisville, MO, 63021
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight by of ISPs.

10208. Michael Parks, Hallsville, MO, 65255
The internet is one of the few things that is actually working right now.Once we give it over to only those who can pay the most, it will cease to produce the variety which is its core.Please don't screw up something that is working by selling it off to the powerful only.

10209. john Bruce Hanan, Saint Louis, MO, 63108
Complete support for net neutrality. One entity, company, or governmental agency should not have the right to own any proportion of the internet that is not available to all. Most companies realize this and, if it is vital to their business, have created private networks. Any reason for anyone to want to own a disproportionate share of the internet is to squeeze money out the users--beyond the fees taken by the current providers.

10210. Robert Chirino, O'Fallon, MO, 63366
Please support total Net Neutrality, including full Title 2 oversight for all ISP corporations.

10211. Don Pillman, Webster Groves, MO, 63119
Net neutrality allows the USA to provide internet access to all on a equal basis. We do not need to return to the beginning of the 20th century when the wealthy were able to control cost via monopolies.

10212. austin roberts, St. Louis, MO, 63109
Net neutrality must be preserved !!!

10213. Justin Mayfield, St. Louis, MO, 63139
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. To create any sort of throttling is criminal and obviously only benefits the ISPs, lobbyists, and the government officials being bribed... er... paid off by them - not the general public of the United States of America. Stop the attack on our freedom and stop buying into your own lies if you believe them.

10214. Brenden Roland, Hawk Point, MO, 63349
I support strong Net Neutrality regulations backed by Title II oversights of Internet service providers. The Internet needs to remain a free and level playing ground. Internet service providers should not be allowed to throttle user's bandwidth to certain sites.

10215. Arden, Columbia, MO, 65203
Retain the net neutrality rule as it is in Title II. The web should be open to all on an equal basis.

10216. Ryan McNeall, Kansas City, MO, 64118
This repeal will end up costing U.S. citizens thousands more dollars a year on internet bills for selfish and greedy ISPs. Do not let this repeal pass!

10217. Ariel Celeste K., Kansas City, MO, 64117
While i do support minimal regulation, there is not enough trust in any corporation nor government in my body to allow free reign - or 'voluntary inhibition' - which will almost inevitably lead towards the suppression of the free speech of ordinary citizens, small businesses, or other corporations. Allowing net neutrality to expire - or making it more lax and less regulated - would have the effect of accelerating the already generous subsidies and advantages the major ISPs already enjoy. The entire point of regulation is to level the playing field; to allow competition; to ensure that the biggest players don't smash the smallest. Trusting in a corporation's ability to abstain from that - from crushing the competition - is like trusting a murderer with a sword. No sane and rational person would ever do that. Why would you trust a corporation to follow its word, when the word of a corporation follows only profit? Legally, a corporation can follow no other motive; it is obligated to its shareholders. Things like honor or empathy are foreign to the profit motive. They are not foreign to people, which is why the buffer of regulation exists. It reigns in the worst impulses of a corporate structure, while allowing the business to thrive in a modified way. Like pruning a rosebush, untamed and unchecked growth is dangerous, if not purposeless. Through pruning the bushes, the roses gain the ability to pipe nutrients the right way; the fruits of the bush are better, the colors brighter. Regulation - the minimum necessary, again - is INEXTRICABLY linked to good growth. Similarly, the consumer's happiness is also linked. No one is ever happy at the brute extorting money. But offer a service, and in good conscience deliver, even amongst competition... then the consumer decides the merit. The consumer has choices. The consumer is, after all, the end-user, not the share-holder.Title 2 is the bare minimum you need to offset the excesses of ISPs. I would go so far as to say that even that is not quite enough. But being more lax with the regulatory landscape endangers not only the consumer, not only the corporation, but also the government in which all of this plays out. If you don't prune a rosebush, it spreads all over the garden. If you give a murderer a sword, people will die. If you give corporations leeway, they will follow that profit motive, competition will decrease, the products sold will stagnate, and the consumer will have less money or time for them. Keep regulations in place. Prune the rosebush. Keep the garden healthy and the roses in thier place. It's simply the right thing to do.

10218. Jacob Couch, Kirksville, MO, 63501
Our rights to communicate with one another and share our ideas, art and hopes as a species are largely dependent on our ability to express ourselves online without competition. Do not take away our right to net neutrality.

10219. Gwendolyn Richards, Raytown, MO, 64138
Please preserve internet neutrality for the sake of our democracy

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the

2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used national language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9f0e3ed36a6.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.