

Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from WA District #1

These are the 3,556 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Suzan DelBene's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. David Lamont, Redmond, WA, 98052

The Title II ruling should remain. ISPs should be required to be impartial in data delivery. Allowing manipulation of user requests puts power into the ISPs hands that they do not need. Allowing a service providers to determine at their discretion what I am allowed to view, when I can view it, and at what speed is absurd. All data shall be treated equal! The assumption that users even have a choice in a provider is unfounded. In fact there have been cases of providers using their monopoly to prevent the construction of competing municipal ISPs. It is crystal clear that we cannot trust ISPs to do what is in the best interest of its customers, only that of it's profits. Keep ISPs as common carriers!

2. Michael Frisone, Everett, WA, 98208

Hello, I'm Michael Frisone from Everett, WA. I'd like to register my opinion in support of Net Neutrality. Chairman Pai's recent decision to move toward a non-Net-Neutrality position of the FCC is a poor decision for the American people and will in all likelihood result in higher rates, less competition amongst internet service providers, and a severe lack of innovation due to content providers having all the power. It will also put many companies that rely on the internet at risk as they'll be much more at the mercy of their internet provider offering a truly free and unbiased network. This is far from a Republican or Democrat issue. Maintaining Net Neutrality will result in fewer regulations as maintaining this single position staves off the inevitable need for future regulation once ISPs begin to abuse their power and gouge customers for every penny they can due to the lack of competition in the retail broadband market and the lack of rules in place to prevent such pricing practices. Thank you for registering my opinion on the matter. I hope that the FCC will act in accordance with the will of the American People in regards to this matter.- Michael

3. Dao Xu, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I guess this will get ignored, but "Corporate Sellout" AKA FCC Chairman Ajit Pai who I'm sure will get paid hundreds of thousands if not millions after his term is up by becoming a lobbyist or consultant is impeaching on personal rights by allowing a PAID SERVICE to be twisted by the "rights" of the providing company. WE paid the ISPs for the service of delivering us data. WE paid for other services that supplies the data that we want. WHY the hell do the ISPs get a say on how fast it's delivered to us other than to line it's bottom line with money from BOTH the end user and the service provider in order to delivery us the services we paid for? Oh wait. He's just a corporate shill and already made up his mind and just going through "the process" and moving forward with the new rules even if majority of the people are against it.
4. Jeff Lowery, Monroe, WA, 98272
How many times does the average American have to be screwed for every penny they have in the name of maximizing corporate profit? Where do you think all those dollars go? Back to the people? Have you seen the widening income disparity graphs? Have you never dealt with Comcast directly? How is it every other developed country has faster internet speeds at lower costs? What is wrong with our government? I don't care what your economic theories are or your ideology, just look at where we're at! That's all on you!
5. Peggy CHRISTENSEN, Bothell, WA, 98012
Obama's Title II order has diminished broadband investment, stifled innovation, and left American consumers potentially on the hook for a new broadband tax. These regulations ended a decades-long bipartisan consensus that the Internet should be regulated through a light touch framework that worked better than anyone could have imagined and made the Internet what it is. For these reasons I urge you to fully repeal the Obama/Wheeler Internet regulations. Our Forefathers of This American Republic, did settle together on ALL of the eventual Freedoms, Rights as American Citizens, by The U.S. Constitution, The Laws, and the outcomes of those who plan to destroy the United States of America. And it is each generation's duty to stop the enemy's threat, 'dead still forever'. Keep with the Great American traditions of TRUTH, HONESTY, TRADITION, GENEROSITY, PEACE, & HONOR.
6. Andrew Wagner, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Please leave the internet free and neutral. It should flow like water or electricity! No fast lanes!
7. Stephen McCallister, Bothell, WA, 98021
Undoing the Net Neutrality policies will not result in more Internet Freedom and will, in fact, limit opportunity and a level field for competition on the Internet. Large established companies will be able to cut deals which will limit competition, new start-ups, and the range of expression online. The Internet is both a miracle of modern technology and an engine of innovation and opportunity. Allowing ISP's and others to effectively create walled gardens or preferred partners is hostile to the free market, the free exchange of ideas, and economic growth. Preserving Net Neutrality

should be a guiding principle for the FCC and the government as a whole.

8. Michael, Bothell, WA, 98011

The FCC Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and are critical to American innovation. I urge you to protect them. Most Americans only have one choice for true high speed Internet access: our local cable company. Cable companies (and wireless carriers) are actively lobbying Congress and the FCC for the power to: * Block sites and apps, to charge them "access fees" * Slow sites and apps to a crawl, to establish paid "fast lanes" (normal speed) and slow lanes (artificially low speeds) * Impose arbitrarily low data caps, so they can charge sites to escape those caps, or privilege their own services ("zero rating") They're doing it so they can use their monopoly power to stand between me and the sites I want to access, extorting money from us both. I'll be forced to pay more to access the sites I want, and sites will have to pay a kind of protection money to every major cable company or wireless carrier just to continue working properly! The FCC's Open Internet Rules are the only thing standing in their way. I'm sending this to letter to my two senators, my representative, the White House, and the FCC. First, to the FCC: don't interfere with my ability to access what I want on the Internet, or with websites' ability to reach me. You should leave the existing rules in place, and enforce them. To my senators: you have the power to stop FCC Chair Ajit Pai from abusing the rules by refusing to vote for his reconfirmation. I expect you to use that power. Pai, a former Verizon employee, has made it clear he intends to gut the rules to please his former employer and other major carriers, despite overwhelming support for the rules from voters in both parties. I urge you publicly oppose Pai's confirmation on these grounds. To the White House: Ajit Pai, a former Verizon employee, is acting in the interests of his former employer, not the American people. America deserves better. Appoint an FCC Chair who will protect the economic miracle that is the Internet from media monopolies like AT&T, Time Warner Cable, and Comcast/NBC/Universal. To my representative: please publicly oppose Ajit Pai's plan to oppose the rules, and do everything you can to persuade the Senate and the White House to oppose Pai's nomination. I would be happy to speak more with anyone on your staff about the rules and why they're so important to me. Please notify me of any opportunities to meet with you or your staff. Michael

9. Shimon Mor, Sedro Woolley, WA, 98284

The people of the US need protections from greedy corporation who WILL NOT police themselves in regards to "Net Neutrality". We need regulations in place to ensure that all data transmitted over the Internet is treated equally. If "Net Neutrality" is repealed, consumers will end up paying more for their connections to the Internet because any gateway fees will be passed onto the end user. Also, any innovations that threaten the status quo may be stifled by those corporations who "own" the pipes and gateways of the Internet. Please protect the people of this country instead of protecting the profits of greedy corporations.

10. Lucas Schmidt, redmond, WA, 98052

How could you possibly think that throttling websites and online services might help

customers? I've been advocating FOR net neutrality for years, hundreds of thousands of americans have been advocating for net neutrality for years, the only people advocating against net neutrality have been ISPs and other telecommunications companies. Net neutrality protects consumers from businesses trying to control the flow of information and is one of the founding principles of the internet. I already don't have enough choice in how I access the internet and how much it costs me, why are you trying to weaken my position even further???

11. Andrew Mooers, Clyde hill, WA, 98004
Hello FCC I am reaching out to you to voice my concern over the recent developments into net neutrality. I believe that the internet should be treated as a public entity and remain a level playing field for all who use it.
12. Derek Meek, Woodinville, WA, 98072
NET NEUTRALITY MUST BE PRESERVED FOR THE FREE AND OPEN INTERNET. WITHOUT IT INNOVATION CANNOT TAKE PLACE AND THE TELECOMS WILL CRUSH COMPETITION THROUGH UNFAIR PRACTICES AND REGULATORY CAPTURE. LEAVE THE RULES ESTABLISHED UNDER THE OBAMA ADMINISTRATION IN PLACE
13. Alex Euzent, Bothell, WA, 98011
There is no reason to change the rules in favor of the ISP, they will use this to screw over customers and treat people with even more contempt than they already do. Instead the FCC should be trying to break up existing ISPs to create competition in every corner of the country. Instead you're talking about handing evil incompetent people an even bigger monopoly than they already have.
14. Mike McAulay, Redmond, WA, 98053
I strongly oppose the chairmans plan to reverse the FCCs previous decision regarding net neutrality. To be extra clear, net neutrality = good.
15. Steve Joanou, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please keep the Internet neutral. It is a utility and now required for day-to-day life for 95% of the population.
16. Nathan Johnson, Ferndale, WA, 98248
This FCC action is an obvious sellout to big corporations at the expense of the vast majority of US citizens. The public does not support this, so proceed knowing that this ruling ethically wrong and corrupt.
17. Samantha Blake, Redmond, WA, 98052
Do not gut net neutrality! The US already has the worst internet service compared to other countries. It's an embarrassment!
18. privacy, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
There are so few people protecting consumers. Please don't make this one less. By ending net neutrality we are offering our information to be sold and used in ways

that are not in our best interest.

19. Robert Eberl, Snohomish, WA, 98296

ISP's should NOT be allowed to throttle access to sites not willing to pay up. ISP's should NOT be allowed to charge different prices for preferential treatment. KEEP Title 2 rules in place, keeping ISP's as common carriers like the phone companies. A two-tiered Internet is unacceptable. Americans do not pay different rates for slow or fast telephone service and should not have to for the Internet, either. The Internet is a bedrock component of the 21st Century innovation economy and we must continue to fight hard for a level playing field. An open Internet that provides equal access to all of us is the foundation of the leading-edge and entrepreneurial activity which has been the driver of our economic growth. I strongly support net neutrality principles that protect consumers, innovators and promote economic growth.

20. Denise Ohio, Monroe, WA, 98272

Regarding WC Docket No. 17-108, which is captioned "Restoring Internet Freedom." I am tempted to say something about the Orwellian, "Restoring Internet Freedom," but I won't because it's way too obvious. Funny how quickly people slap the word "freedom" onto new regulations when what they really mean is, "corporate freedom to screw you over." But I digress. Like most people in the United States, I am severely restricted in my choice of internet provider. My spouse and I each run a small business, and in addition to that work, we run an apiary. That's right, we're beekeepers. All of our efforts require access to information and, more importantly, to people. We need to connect with experts, clients and customers, vendors, and others to share research, data, multimedia, opinion, news, and all of the other information we need to solve problems and exploit opportunities across our businesses. And to do this, we use internet technology. The only people who know what we need to find (or who see value in what we stumble over online) is us, not a for-profit company throttling access because it wants to hold data hostage. We already pay over \$600/month for poor service and low upload/download speeds. At minimum we should be able to choose ourselves what online content we want with our crappy internet access. Please retain the existing net neutrality rules under Title II regulation. This proposal is designed to help only ISPs and does nothing to help small and large businesses or consumers.

21. Dara Korra'ti, Kenmore, WA, 98028

This is a travesty; it is a selling-out of small internet business opportunity; it is a handover of control of the most important forms of media to large corporations which have very clear and specific agendas; it is the architecture of censorship. There are no circumstances under which this is justifiable public policy, and yet, you proceed so far anyway. Are you that ready - that eager - to create this dystopian oligarchy? What is wrong with you?

22. Jeremy Forbes, Bellingham, WA, 98226

In defense of net neutrality The internet should remain free - websites should remain unfettered. The ISPs don't own the internet any more than the government owns the internet. Yet, in this analogy, the ISPs want governmental control over the internet,

determining the speeds of access, in a similar vein as the Chinese and North Korean governments, blatantly banning and censoring websites. The ISPs provide a service, in the same way that the government provides a service of representation, and rights protection, to its citizens. Government officials are compensated by tax payers, and are obligated then to listen to the people - the ISPs are no different, with the obvious exception that they are not elected (perhaps that needs to change, being that they continue to attack the free and unfettered internet). Imagine if government set up a system where hearing and approving legislation was levied out as a privilege to those that could pay for a quicker hearing. (Perhaps we don't have to imagine it!) Imagine if the court system heard cases on the basis of a theme park fastpass! This is the analogy! The ISPs want to "fastpass" the internet like it is a theme park ride. This is not what the internet is in any purposeful understanding. The internet, in this digital age, is equivalent to the town square. Twitter has literally stated this capacity. So if this is then the case to be defended, it should be understood that any "throttling", or speeding up, of a website's place within the town square; within the marketplace of ideas, is, an abridgement of free speech! And, more sinisterly, the ISPs could throttle websites into virtual nonexistence, giving them a perfect tool for spinning narrative into "knowable truth", or better understood, they can determine what is seen and what is unseen. This is no different than censorship. Imagine if some online news sources didn't (or couldn't) pay for the fastpass, but others did? Narrative, and truth, would be determined by money. (Another hole in which pay to play, and money in politics will peak out it's reeking, ugly head!) The ISPs can charge whatever they want for access to the internet, and I will fight back on ethical grounds, but to charge a price on the other side as well, effectively determining what I will see, I will fight on moral and constitutional grounds, for there, they are abridging my rights. If this were the electric company, it would be like me paying my electric bill, but finding, upon turning the lights on, that the electric company has determined that only some of the lights in my house will be powered enough for me to be able see in the dark! - because the search for truth is a dark path, intent upon enlightenment, but the ISPs would see that my "enlightenment", is determined by money. The ISPs own the infrastructure; they own their own hardware, but they do not own the internet, and as such, I will not see them sell greater speeds to those that can afford it. I will not have my enlightenment determined. But if these words fall on deaf ears, or find themselves within the teeth of a shredder, and the internet finds herself in the clutches of businessmen, I will nonetheless survive without her. And for those of us who find our words squelched and ignored, I would still hope that the world did not need to hear those words, and was in fact better off without our noise. But if the many unanswered questions of time do find their fulfillment in the voices silenced by money and greed, and tyrannical power, the taste of vindication in these mouths will be a sweet, yet overly decadent fruit. This is my voice; my ideals, hardened by my resolve, though perfectly ready to admit their failure. That's what makes them ideals.

23. Ian Burns, Snohomish, WA, 98290

This rule takes internet freedom away from people and gives ISPs the freedom to control data and restrict free speech and the free market. Any flimsy defense I have

seen so far is made in the name of faux-libertarianism, but this proceeding will restrict choice and remove power from buyers buy propping up monopolistic ISPs and sheltering them from competition. It's disgraceful to pretend that this will benefit the American people, and I urge you to stop chipping away at our freedom to use the Internet as we please.

24. Amy Balazs, Redmond, WA, 98053

I recently became aware of Ajit Pai's interest in reducing government oversight and/or regulation of net neutrality. As a consumer I see this as a huge problem. Net neutrality is vital to equal access and equal opportunity on the internet. By negating or restricting net neutrality and creating a "pay to play" atmosphere, companies with the financial resources to pay for a higher broadband will have an advantage over new sites, independently run sites, start-up sites, and the like. As much as I like streaming on Netflix, ordering on Amazon, and connecting with people on Facebook and Twitter, I fundamentally believe that competing companies, however small or new they may be, should not be forced to compete with internet giants to get traffic. For example, if a new streaming site, MomnPopVidStore.com, "The Internet's Neighborhood Streaming Video Store" could not afford to pay for the higher broadband with internet service providers, they will effectively be neutralized against other streaming sites that have greater capital, such as Netflix, Hulu, Amazon, Crunchy Roll, or numerous others that are already established. Net neutrality is essential for creating opportunity for new business ventures, commercial or not, and preventing a market where only one or two companies dominate a given service area. Save net neutrality!

25. Daniel Drew, Redmond, WA, 98052

MrDaniel Drew

26. Dena Jensen, Blaine, WA, 98230

I understand that NPRM is focused on re-reclassifying broadband under Title I and that they are seeking to revise the law that presently the FCC is using to enforce it. I am concerned that over the years the FCC has tried to enact strong rules regarding net neutrality using many other types of laws and that those laws have not been effective in providing that protection. I am only in favor of a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections as those we already have, but not if they are less protective or ineffective in providing those protections.

27. Christian Nye Nelson, Redmond, WA, 98053

By removing the protections of net neutrality, you allow ISPs to further price gouge their customers, hinder or deny access to content online, and crush start-ups and services they don't wish to compete with. They would do this by throttling bandwidth and charging sites to use a "fast lane", then charging customers to access this lane. Even if they don't do exactly this, no provider has given us reason to believe they would use privileges granted to them for any reason other than for their own profit, at the consumer's expense. Remember that these are the same companies which have instituted data caps to dissuade the use of streaming services over their own television services, stopped cities from developing their own broadband,

pocketed billions of taxpayer dollars that were meant to expand and replace outdated infrastructure, and maintain monopolies in certain regions of the country. I fear much of the relevant parts of our government, including Chairman Ajit Pai, have already been bought by these service providers. If you wish to demonstrate to your constituents that you have betrayed them in favor of special interests, then you must do nothing more than vote aye when the time comes. If, however, you still wish to represent us, your fellow Americans, fight this bill, fight for Title II classification, and fight to bust the monopolies held by ISPs in the United States.

28. Eric Noonchester, Bothell, WA, 98012

Dear FCC Chair Ajit Pai; Your previous employment as a lawyer with Verizon should already have disqualified you for your current position. However, that is not the primary reason for this commentary. Trying to roll back Internet Service Providers (ISP's) to Title 1, for the purpose of undermining Net Neutrality, is the reason for this commentary. Do NOT classify ISP's as Title 1 entities, continue their classification as Title II! Any further actions toward removing ISP's from Title II status will be perceived as corporate cronyism, more so than it already has. Again, do NOT remove ISP's from Title II status!

29. Cody Duncan, Redmond, WA, 98052

Dear FCC, I am writing you today to tell you that I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I'm a programmer, a developer, a gamer, and a researcher. Without an open internet that allows all traffic equally, I cannot perform my work, enjoy recreation, and my very livelihood as a developer of an online game may be at stake. Please keep ISPs classified as Title 2 utilities, and continue to ensure that all network data is treated equally.

30. Steve Brown, Redmond, WA, 98052

We need to continue fair and open access to the internet through strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II

31. Lee Dohm, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers (hereafter referred to as ISPs). ISPs must not be allowed to prevent, hinder or slow my access to any legal content I choose. ISPs must not be allowed to control or constrain how I use my connection to the Internet. And ISPs must be required to provide robust and fast broadband Internet access to all Americans without "redlining" on racial, ethnic, or financial grounds. Broadband Internet is defined by the FCC's own rules as a minimum download speed of 25Mbps and a minimum upload speed of 3Mbps. ISPs provide an information infrastructure that is of critical importance to modern business and our free society, as important as roads and telephones. This is why they must continue to be classified as common carriers.

32. ROhith, Redmond, WA, 98052

I would request to leave the current net neutrality rule as it is, classified to be under Tier 2. Please don't move net neutrality to tier 1.

33. Jeff Sowell, Redmond, WA, 98053
DO NOT PUT AN END NET NEUTRALITY. The USA needs to keep the Internet viable as a utility!
34. Daniel Miller, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support preserving net neutrality and title 2 oversight of internet service providers.
35. burke, Lynden, WA, 98264
by getting rid of net neutrality, you would make it possible for one company to decide to slow down traffic from one site and speed up anothers, and in turn force other companies to ignore net neutrality in order to remain competitive. Please do not get rid of net neutrality so the market on the internet for companies like Netflix can remain truly free.
36. JD Cline, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Keep Title 2 intact! Preserve Net Neutrality!
37. Thomas Andrew Svendsen III, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I support strong Net Neutrality laws, backed specifically by Title II Regulation.
38. Chris Hogan, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support strong Net Neutrality rules under Title 2. For the future of the internet I urge all policy makes to uphold net neutrality. A vote against net neutrality is a vote against the American people you serve.
39. Gigi Martinson., Woodinville, WA, 98072
Net neutrality must be maintained. Self-policing by corporations will not protect internet users!
40. Brian, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Hello, I am very disappointed and upset that the FCC is even considering going back on the decision of net neutrality. I thought that this issue was settled 3 years ago and it is extremely frustrating to learn that the new chairman of the FCC is a former Verizon lawyer and is pushing this issue again. I support Title II oversight over ISPs. Please stop trying to push this issue again and again. I am taking time away from my university studies to have to come here and express my disbelief that net neutrality is under attack, again. I also do not appreciate how it was more difficult to make a comment on the FCC website this time. It does not reflect well on the FCC for making it more difficult for the public to communicate with you.
41. Marcia Cline, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Uphold Title 2. Preserve Net Neutrality!
42. Kari Loney, Kenmore, WA, 98028
It is imperative we maintain net neutrality and title 2 requirements. We need to maintain an open and inclusive internet. Handing the keys over to service providers to set speed limits is wrong. They should be regulated like a utility because internet

is no longer optional, it is a necessary service for the 21st century economy.

43. Sean Standefer, Sultan, WA, 98294

Net neutrality is one of the most critical issues related to rural communities and continuing education for ourselves and our children. We do not have access to major national universities, little access to superior curriculum and no chance of attracting top talent to teach in our rural areas. These facts require rural communities to educate themselves via whatever means available to achieve the modest goals for success we set for ourselves, our children and our communities. To allow ISPs to gain still more control over our ability to access knowledge is an inhuman act upon our future generations of rural citizens. **DO NOT REMOVE NET NEUTRALITY REGULATIONS!**

44. Kristine Aarhus, Everett, WA, 98208

I implore you to maintain the current Title II rules governing the Internet. You **MUST** maintain a free and fair Internet for all citizens of the United States. You **MUST** maintain Net Neutrality for you citizens. Do not allow corporations to control this resource. Please listen to your fellow citizens.

45. Richard Herron, Blaine, WA, 98230

I am against revoking the net neutrality rule. The rules enacted in 2015 must remain in effect. I urge you to maintain the current net neutrality rules and do not allow the Restoring Internet Freedom Rule to go into effect.

46. Conor Lavelle, Redmond, WA, 98052

I am a computer science student studying in the seattle area. I am strongly opposed to any telecom regulations with regards to net neutrality being weakened. Isps will abuse this, they've already tried to impose a 'tax' on Netflix for their massive data throughput. If you think isps will ignore the financial opportunity to create 'fast lanes' on the internet, you are incredibly naive.

47. Jennifer, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Open and free information is essential to our democracy. Please have a legal mandate for Net Neutrality across all ISPs.

48. Tristan McKay, kirkland, WA, 98034

I am for net neutrality, and against economy harming business self-regulation, the same kind of self-regulation that kills thousands of people a year in other industries and in this case will strangle many businesses.

49. Lisa Feld, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Please maintain strong protections for net neutrality under Title II.

50. Laura Ward, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I am writing to express my support of the current FCC regulations on net neutrality and classifying ISPs under Title 2. I strongly oppose any change or reclassification to lessen the requirements on internet service providers to provide equal access.

51. Mark, Lynden, WA, 98264
I feel strongly that corporate greed should not take away freedoms. I feel that is exactly what this is about, allowing ISP companies to reap in huge profits at the expense of small companies and fringe groups who cannot afford to pay for connection to the "open" internet. I strongly urge that you reconsider what this decision means, and think not about how much profit ISP's could make from it but that the real impact will be on the less fortunate. Despite what Mr. Trump believes, the government is there not to increase profits for big business but to protect the little guys FROM the big guys.
52. Darren Parker, Bothell, WA, 98021
please preserve a free and open internet. Do not allow ISPs to make decisions about what sites they allow.
53. Marv Heston, Kirkland, WA, 98033
This move appears to be an attempt to sidestep net neutrality, by reclassifying businesses to be outside the regulations. Please do not proceed with this lightly veiled attempt to sidestep the regulations that protect consumers and small businesses.
54. Jason Kendrick, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I strongly support Title II regulation of Net Neutrality because I support a government which does not support giving companies the ability to censor the internet; the most common form of communication in the modern age.
55. Alan Beene, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I DO NOT agree with overturning current Net Neutrality laws and I will continue to vote against any political party that aims to overturn these rules.
56. Jeff Smith, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Do not touch Net Neutrality laws
57. Jeff Smith, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Strong protections for net neutrality are essential to promote innovation and fairness in the use of the internet for businesses, artists and citizens. We need to maintain the Title II protections already in place. I object to any rollback in these protections and demand that we keep in place the regulations that ensure that all internet traffic is treated equally.
58. Deidre Spencer, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Net neutrality is important to me. Retaining the elements of title II is just ethical. Please do not change this. There's too much greed in this world already, it's getting ridiculous.
59. Russ Parsons, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I favor strong net neutrality regulated under Title II, and I vote.

60. stephanie skenandore, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Please save net neutrality protections under Title II! This is an American right!
61. James Jessen, KIRKLAND, WA, 98034
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Large companies should not be trusted to act in the interest of public good.
62. S. Doesburg, Bellingham, WA, 98226
Please don't roll back ISPs to Category I, leave them in Category II. This is critical to keep the internet an open and fair place. As a small business owner who relies on internet traffic to succeed in feeding myself this would hurt my small business. Also Ajit Pai's should be take away from him.
63. Paul Iatesta, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I have a very strong belief that the internet should remain under Title II classification and be subject to strict Net Neutrality regulation keeping all internet traffic on the same priority level and unrestricted across any ISP regardless to mode of deliveries. Corporations being allowed to self regulate with-regard to internet traffic is not in the end-user's best interest and will not maintain neutrality of traffic delivery.Regards,Paul Iatesta
64. MICHELLE, Bellingham, WA, 98226
I support keeping ISPs under Title II (2) rules.
65. Skye K. Richendrfer, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
It is essential that we maintain Net Neutrality, and strongly urge and support the Title 2 path to accomplish that result.
66. Erica Spellman, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Net Neutrality must be vigorously supported by the FCC regardless of partisan politics. Net neutrality is vital to us all and needs protection from wolves in sheep's clothes.Thank you.
67. Jeff Ballard, Carnation, WA, 98014
As a software developer, it is massively important that my and my company's work be treated no differently than any other packet of data passing to users. Without proper oversight to stop service providers from prioritizing one packet over the other, innovation will be greatly stifled and the careers of millions will be at risk.
68. Stephen Ivie, Bothell, WA, 98012
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP™s. Internet has become more of a utility than a standard commodity. PLEASE help keep the net neutral!
69. David Shasteen, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
Moving away from Title II would be a very anti-capitalist thing to do. Allowing companies to favor themselves over others in terms of connection to the internet

would un-American. The fact that there's even a debate as to whether certain companies would take advantage of the favoritism they'll be able to buy is just ridiculous. Please don't allow anyone's vested interest in the profits of their financial endeavors interfere with the freedom to distribute information through the internet to all that need it.

70. annabel brennan, Monroe, WA, 98272

I support strong Net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Do not fuck with our internet you tiny handed big mugged prick!

71. andrew boll, Bothell, WA, 98021

As a citizen of the United States I urge the FCC to maintain our freedom on the internet. Net Neutrality is imperative to this freedom. I have experienced issues with internet providers before and after the law was changed to Article II. Before Article II an internet provider shut my connection down because I was downloading/uploading information to sites they did not like (no reason given by provider). Even after Article II another (Comcast) throttled my guaranteed speed when I would try to use Netflix or Hulu or any other video streaming that was not their own service. These are not hypothetical situations they exist today and they will be worse if the law is changed. Providers will openly dictate what you can do on the internet you pay for and this will be especially bad for U.S. citizens in areas where there is not competition of providers.

72. Adele Reed, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net neutrality must be upheld as it provides not only a freedom of speech to the users but the security of service regardless of what it's used for. The FCC is here to ensure communication companies don't abuse the consumers, not to help them abuse the consumers.

73. Alex, Woodinville, WA, 98077

Keep net neutrality under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934

74. Nick Ericson, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Do not change the current Net Neutrality rules - ISPs should fall under Title II.

75. Ryan Crandall, REDMOND, WA, 98052

It's important for ISPs to stay classified as Title 2. Where I live, I have only 1 real choice for internet provider. If they are reclassified as Title 1 and they don't want to implement net neutrality rules, I don't have a choice but to keep using them. That is not fair competition.

76. Michael Arnquist, Woodinville, WA, 98077

I support ISPs being held to net neutrality under Title 2, thus preserving an open internet. ISPs hold local monopolies in many areas, so customers are often effectively locked into their ISP no matter their poor performance or behavior, so enforcement is the best choice until there is a truly free market of top tier choices available to every US customer. ISPs also have strong business incentives to favor

their own traffic over that of competitors, or to extort payment for favorable treatment from competitive services, both of which hurt consumers. Lastly, ISPs have a poor track record of acting in the best interest of consumers unless forced to do so.

77. david dheilly, Kirkland, WA, 98033
net neutrality is important to american competitiveness
78. Cameron Bartok, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
If we get rid of net neutrality and lose a fair and open internet, then Mr. Pai should lose his giant Reese's mug.
79. Beth Cachat, Monroe, WA, 98272
Keep net neutrality under Section II. There were abuses before. That's why it was changed to this section. And there will be abuses again the minute corporations can try it.
80. Clark Emerick, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Access to the internet and related content should not depend on how it is delivered or how much you can afford. I don't want the ISPs or carriers limiting my access or filtering sites and content based on their needs. Need open access to the internet. Don't let big business and special interest dictate delivery of internet content.
81. John Purcell, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Do not relax net neutrality rules, or Title II classification of ISPs I am an electrical engineer, and have built my career on the internet. I currently work for a network security startup, for which net neutrality is critically important. Without the free and open internet, legacy providers could choke out the traffic that we need to make our innovations possible. Furthermore, as data continues to get larger and wider spread, having to play with one hand tied behind our backs squashes innovation under the heavy thumb of monopolistic gatekeepers. Net neutrality is important. Not just to casual consumers who want to simply enjoy their content, but also to the entire world so we can continue to innovate and grow at the speed of light... without being crushed under legacy gatekeepers.
82. Grace Kane, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Access to the internet and related content should not depend on how it is delivered or how much you can afford. I don't want the ISPs or carriers limiting my access or filtering sites and content based on their needs. Need open access to the internet. Don't let big business and special interest dictate delivery of internet content.
83. S W Gusenius, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I favor continued Title II oversight of Net Neutrality. Please do not use this issue as an opportunity to further empower multi-billion dollar interests. Please do not propagate falsehoods regarding the stifling of internet innovations. It is obvious that internet is flourishing, and that billion dollar corporations will continue making whatever infrastructure investments are necessary to continue being billion dollar

corporations. We the People rely on the FCC to protect our access to the channels of free speech. Please do not ruin this.

84. Mark Eyer, Woodinville, WA, 98072

We strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs™s. Corporate lobbyists shouldn't be able to buy regulations that hurt consumers.

85. Albert Friedman, Snohomish, WA, 98296

I am fully in support of maintaining Net Neutrality by reclassifying Internet Service Providers as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. It is the duty of the FCC to regulate ISPs for the benefit of all Americans, as opposed to maximizing the profits of a small number of large corporations.

86. Greg K, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I am absolutely in support of strong net neutrality.

87. Corinne Wallace, Redmond, WA, 98053

I support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight backed by ISP's. Do not let the current administration tear down everything Obama did. Net neutrality in a digital world is key.

88. Brad Williamson, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Please preserve net neutrality under title II of the FCC.

89. Bronwyn Deckert, Woodinville, WA, 98072

I support strong Net Neutrality, specifically backed by Title II oversight of ISP's, and demand the FCC makes NO CHANGES.

90. Ryan Scott Hillier, Carnation, WA, 98014

I live in an area where the internet is still a luxury. So the idea that you would restrict my access to sites and entertainment options is an absolute no from me. I love my country damn it, but you Jerks are making it really hard these days. And understand that beyond the normal rhetoric, I am stating that if you pass this deregulation you will receive no future support.

91. Duncan McKinnon, Bothell, WA, 98011

Regulations are the only way businesses can succeed. We need regulations to protect citizens from being manipulated and exploited by businesses, just like we need regulations to protect businesses from being robbed and burned down by citizens. The only way to ensure that internet service providers are going to operate in the interests of citizens is by regulating behavior and backing up regulations with serious penalties. The path of least resistance for businesses tends towards whatever course of action will yield the best outcomes. Do the right thing and make the path of exploiting citizens and competitors for financial profits a lot harder than the alternative.

92. Liz Storey, Woodinville, WA, 98077

I support title 2 backed net neutrality!

93. Jordan Stankowiak, Kenmore, WA, 98028
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai I am strongly in support of preserving net-neutrality and Title 2 to provide a fair, free, and open internet.
94. Alexander Birmingham, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I support net neutrality. Please do not undo the title 2 classification and subject US citizens to preferential treatment of internet traffic. The ISP's have shown that they will exploit this
95. Nick Dimmitt, Redmond, WA, 98052
Title II classification as it stands today is the correct designation for the Internet and protects against paid prioritization. Changing this will "NOT" provide "Better Net Neutrality" Please do not proceed on this rule.
96. Richard Hunt, Bothell, WA, 98011
Do not mess with Net Neutrality. It is working just fine as it is and companies and investing in their own infrastructure.
97. Sidney Van Elsberg, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Do not reclassify broadband Internet service providers (ISPs) out from under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. I believe in net neutrality and I believe that net neutrality cannot be adequately defended unless ISPs continue to be classified as a telecommunications service rather than an information service under the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Broadband internet service must be treated as a public utility.
98. Justin Bruening, Redmond, WA, 98052
Do not remove net neutrality. Keep the title II requirement. The real freedom is requiring ISPs to treat all data equally.
99. Net neutrality and Title II authority, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support Net Neutrality rules and Title II authority. Hands OFF!
100. William K Wood, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net Neutrality needs to be preserved, ISPs should remain under Title II. The last two decades has taught me that Verizon is not to be left to their own devices.
101. Veronica Nelson, Redmond, WA, 98052
WE NEED STRONG NET NEUTRALITY! I do not want corporations and the oligarchy to decide what and how I search and do on the internet and my cell phone. IF anything, we need stronger regulations to protect our freedom to information!
102. Jakob Hindman, Redmond, WA, 98052
Hello there! I would like to say that I would like Net Neutrality to be preserved, in addition to Title II. Thank you so much, and have a most excellent of days!

103. Ivaylo Bakalov, woodinville, WA, 98072
I believe as an American or any person in this world we have a right to freedom of information. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I do not believe any ISP has the right to bottle any information or services available on the internet.
104. Jane Simons, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support strong Net Neutrality rules for all ISP's covered under Title II
105. Addison Koski, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Media is already controlled by only a few companies; we don't need them using corporate money to lobby to control the public's access to information via the Internet. Keep the Internet open and neutral.
106. Robert Doss, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. There is more than enough evidence that ISPs will throttle internet traffic for their own gain (See Verizon and Netflix in 2014) and we must stop this from happening.
107. Lynn Acheson, Sumas, WA, 98295
I do not support this filing. I strongly support NET neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
108. Net neutrality, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Do not repeal the re-classification of the internet as a common carrier under Title II of the Communications Act. Preserve net neutrality rules and the Title II authority used to enforce them.
109. Mike Barmonde, Redmond, WA, 98052
Preserving an open internet is crucial for fair and equal access to the resources and information available on it. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and STRONGLY encourage the FCC to do the same. I believe any person in this world has the right to the freedom of information, more so for Americans, who lead through business opportunities and through individual free-world use. I do not believe any ISP has the right to throttle, examine, block, or otherwise detain, any information or services available on the internet. Internet is a human right, and should be treated as such.
110. Brandon Miller, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please retain ISPs such as Comcast, Verizon, etc. under Title 2 protections. The US needs strong protection of internet freedom to ensure the free and open flow of information and data through the internet. ISPs and internet companies cannot be trusted to do the right thing for consumers. The FCC should protect US consumer's right to privacy and their freedom to use their connection to the internet uninhibited by arbitrary rules and limitations.

111. Nicholas A Hazen, Redmond, WA, 98052
A strongly regulated and enforceable net neutrality is essential for a free and open internet which has been an engine of innovation and opportunity for the last two decades. The internet must stay free and open and cannot be left to the industry to self-regulate behavior in an environment when many consumers have little to no choice in the selection of their internet service providers. Net neutrality must continue to be regulated under title two in order maintain the internet as a truly open space for innovation and competition.
112. Matthew A. Schuette, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It is unconscionable to me that we are even entertaining the possibility of reversing the prior decision that so strongly aligns with the First Amendment. Please let the Internet remain really and truly free and open.
113. Virginia Rathburn, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I want a strong net neutrality yes on Title 2
114. Kyle Wilson, Bothell, WA, 98011
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers; (ISPs).
115. Alex Catlin, Bothell, WA, 98021
Please maintain the Net Neutrality laws put in place during the Obama administration. The Internet is a property of the people, not of business.
116. Benjamin Liles, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I strongly support keeping Title II classification for broadband providers.
117. Mary Muncey, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Net neutrality is important to ensure equal access to the internet. The FCC must continue to treat the Internet as a public utility for the good of all persons.
118. Alexia Bautista, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273
I am writing to urge you to maintain net neutrality. It is important that it remain free!
119. Jason Raney, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. ISP companies should not be allowed to run rampant without strong oversight as described in Title II.
120. Albert G Taylor JR, Masontown, WA, 26542
Please keep the Net Neutrality Act in place and keep TITLE II also to upgrade infrastructure. People who live in rural areas deserve to have access to the same quality of Internet Access as everyone else. I personally am taking college classes online and due to poor internet infrastructure in my area have been rejected by the school's testing facility when trying to take my final exams due to overly slow

internet connection. The area where I live has only one provider available so I am stuck without options.

121. Michael McDaniel, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Don't change the rules!
122. Ben G, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Stop trying to screw over American citizens and continue to give companies excessive amounts of power.
123. Jerry Zatri, redmond, WA, 98052
I am against changes that would remove ISPs from title 2 of FCC regulations. Net Neutrality needs to be preserved such that ISPs are not able to bias commerce on internet. ISPs in the past have had a history of doing so, including preventing google wallet services and slowing Netflix down.
124. Net neutrality, Monroe, WA, 98272
Net Neutrality is what is keeping the corporations actually competitive. Without it, companies like comcast and verizon get to promote who gives them more money and slow down and filter out anyone that does not pay the "fee". America has already stagnated compared to the rest of the world when it comes to the internet, ISP's already charge an arm and a leg compared to other countries for much slower speeds and this is a way for them to be able to charge even more. Giving internet service providers complete power over the internet means the end of small businesses and startups thus leading to a stagnation of innovation putting america behind everyone else. So it is up to you.
125. Kurt Friedrich, Redmond, WA, 98053
As a long term veteran of high tech (VP and or CTO in several major IT companies) I strongly support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I defy you to name one major Internet provider that has not played games to rig the net in favor of their profit. Don't break one of the best things we have today.
126. Anne Mitsoda, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II, and not to bow to corporate interests. You've done the right thing before. Please keep that up, and keep those Title II rules.
127. Debbie Gilmore, Snohomish, WA, 98296
It is critical that you do not change this order and leave it under Title 11. We , as consumers, are already at the the hands of the providers and how they choose to regulate their bandwidth. We need the government to work in our favor to try and make the Internet affordable so that all families and children can access for their educational purposes. We have created an educational system that requires children from an early age to use the internet for homework and research and yet the ways to

obtain internet access becomes more expensive and unattainable for many families.

128. Marci Jeary, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Net neutrality must stay neutral - keep as a Title II.
129. Charles M Pinnell, Monroe, WA, 98272
I support a free unbiased internet.
130. Lynn Millen, Lynden, WA, 98264
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. Do not change it.
131. Jeremy Wilbert, Woodinville, WA, 98072
What Pai is suggesting is about as obvious corruption as you can get. It's painful how he doesn't care that we know he has been paid off by big telecom interests like Comcast. Wheeler was doing what the American public wanted, we want Net Neutrality and we want these monopolies regulated because right now we have no options and are dealing with horrible service/pricing from existing providers. Making it worse by allowing them to pick and choose who wins and loses will make it worse for the majority of Americans. And if you don't think Internet access is a requirement in today's world, you are sorely out of touch and need to be fired so you can enter the real world and see what it is like out here for the rest of us.
132. Candice O'Hara, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Please. Please do the right thing as you did before.
133. Cheryl Brown, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Net neutrality is a necessity! I do not want my information sold to the highest bidder and I do not want my internet speed slowed down! I pay for this internet service, it is not cheap. All information should be treated equally! I have the right to be informed!
134. Drew Johnson, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I am strongly in support of Net Neutrality laws being enforced under Title II authority. Thank you.
135. William Kilby, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Getting rid of the net neutrality regulations under title 2 is a mistake. Allowing ISPs to take bribes to do what they want with the Internet is disastrous. Do not change this.
136. net neutrality, Redmond, WA, 98052
I am for strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
137. Stephen Rice, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net neutrality is a critical component of a free and innovative Internet. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.

138. Jeff Lane, Kirkland, WA, 98034
We need strong net neutrality supported by Title II oversight.
139. Dean Sagafi, Medina, WA, 98039
I would like to express my strong support for the MAINTAINING of Net Neutrality and Title 2. Please don't let my internet service providers slow down my other services just because the government is willing to get bought out by major firms who are trying to increase consumption of their own streaming/downloading/internet services.
140. Noah Konikow, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I would like to state that I am for net neutrality (AKA open internet) and that I believe ISPs should be held accountable, under Title II, to maintain an open internet for all where all traffic is treated the same.
141. Patrick Sharp, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I support strong net neutrality backed by oversight of Internet Service Providers under Title 2 regulations.
142. Spencer Ailts, Redmond, WA, 98052
Hello, Mr. Pai. I am writing this to express my EXTREME displeasure of your stance on net neutrality! 3 years ago myself and the rest of the world showed our desire to make 100% sure that the internet remains open to ALL regardless of the content streaming through it. We crashed this site the last time...and I'm sure we will again. I find your arguments to roll back too title I to be complete bullshit, of the smelliest variety. ISP's will with out a doubt bend the rules to make sure they get an edge on their competition."Power tends to corrupt, and absolute power corrupts absolutely."- John Dalberg-Acton. Do what is right sir, and leave net neutrality alone! I wish you well.
143. Net Neutrality, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I am in VERY MUCH IN support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! I've worked in web companies for years, none of them could've gotten off the ground with out STRONG NET NEUTRALITY! Title 2 regulation is a necessity to keep the ISPs from DESTROYING AMERICAN START UPS and JOBS!
144. Restoring Internet Freedom Act, Nooksack, WA, 98247
I want AS MUCH OVERSIGHT OF ISP'S AS POSSIBLE. Under Title 2 oversight of ISP's. By destroying net neutrality, you specifically, will be responsible for putting ISP's financial interests ahead of the citizens you are sworn to protect. Please do the right thing by the people of America and do not allow yet another example of corporate interests steamrolling the consumer. We cannot match these companies in wealth and power or Super Pac funding. So, we depend wholly on your moral center and integrity to protect us. Do not let America down sir. We are counting on you. Thank you

145. michael boccongelle, ferndale, WA, 98248
I specifically and strongly support strong internet neutrality.
146. Matt Haliski, Bothell, WA, 98011
Greetings,I am writing to you today in support of Net Neutrality in its current form, with the internet classified as a common carrier. It is extremely important to my family and I that ISPs stay out of the game of picking winners and losers.I'm a web developer who works during nights and weekends because I take care of our daughter during the day. My wife is a scientist trying to find a cure for Epilepsy. We are a hard-working middle-class family living in a very expensive part of the country and the little money I'm able to make while my daughter is asleep is of great benefit to our family. My business couldn't survive in a pay-to-play scenario.Please keep the internet free and open, as it has always been, for small business owners like my family and I. I appreciate your consideration.
147. Robert, Woodinville, WA, 98072
I support regulating the internet and ISPs under Title 2 for strong regulation.
148. Maya Kuhns, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please maintain net neutrality via continuing to classify the internet under Title II rules.
149. Geoff Montee, Blaine, WA, 98230
Please do not rollback the net neutrality rules imposed by the Obama administration. There is already very little competition in the broadband industry, and many Americans do not have a choice about which ISP to use if they would like reliable access to the internet. Please do not give these monopolistic ISPs more power over what services consumers are able to use.
150. Dan Graham, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Please maintain the strong protections of Net Neutrality under Title II.
151. Carl Malmfeldt, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Keep ISP providers as TITLE II (title 2). Net neutrality must be maintained and this provides the best avenue for that task. Any push to change the classification would be a disservice to consumers.
152. Sean Reynolds, Redmond, WA, 98052
ISP's are separate from content providers and need to be considered as Title II entities.
153. Erin Stewart, Bothell, WA, 98012
Net neutrality is a cornerstone of our democracy. Every American must have freedom of speech, and the media must not be bought nor censored. Finally, each of us deserves equitable access to the Internet and its content.
154. net neutrality, redmond, WA, 98052

Expressing for maintaining net neutrality. ISPs will not be fair if given the freedom to decide by themselves.

155. net neutrality, Redmond, WA, 98052

Hello, I am writing this because I support strong net neutrality and freedom of what we as consumers consume on the internet backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Getting rid of this would be a huge problem for smaller companies making the ISPs more powerful. I believe this would hinder competition. You wouldn't have huge tech innovation if you got rid of net neutrality. Please do your best to protect it - leave it as is...We all deserve an open, fair internet with title 2 oversight of ISPs

156. Net Neutrality, Bothell, WA, 98021

I support strong Net Neutrality which is backed by Title 2 over site of ISPs. This is not an option.

157. John Enslein, Redmond, WA, 98052

Please keep current net neutrality rules, do not change.

158. Aaron Pecora, Bothell, WA, 98011

Frankly, I can't believe we're having this discussion again. Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

159. J Michael Klop, Snohomish, WA, 98296

Tell Ajit Pai that we support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!

160. Matthew Bragd, Redmond, WA, 98052

I strongly am against loosening of the Net Neutrality rules, by giving them Title 1 designation as opposed to their current Title 2 designation.

161. Gary Schwartz, North Bend, WA, 98045

Please do not change the status of the title of this. Preserve enforceable Net Neutrality.

162. Chris Owens, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net Neutrality is important to the success and continued freedom of the internet. Don't let big corporations decide for us.

163. Isaac Herington, Monroe, WA, 98272

maintain net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.turn internet into a utility.Service my adress with competetive highspeed cable not DSL bullshit.people will not choose to do the right thing. free market policies do not protect against this so you must mainyain regulation.#gofccyourself

164. David Gilman, Kirkland, WA, 98033

It is essential that "net neutrality" be upheld. Expecting ISPs to monitor themselves

is naive and counter to their obvious business interests. If Amazon were to create their own delivery service for their product offerings, would we expect them to also deliver packages for FedEx with the same quality of service guarantees? Do we throttle water or electricity based on usage? It's easy to dismiss this as not a big deal since it's just "content" (entertainment): But what happens if a "content" delivery interest (ISP) starts to deliver content (from themselves or a partner, etc.) that competes with offerings from a competitor (or a competitor's partner, etc.) ; educational content, medical content, financial content, government services, etc. etc. Unobstructed, high speed internet access is critical to today's US society; it should be kept neutral.

165. Kurt, Woodinville, WA, 98077

Please do not roll back anything related to Net Neutrality. Keep the ISPs under the Title 2 designation. It is the FCC's responsibility to regulate this as opposed to just opening it up to the whims of profit seeking corporations. Thank you.

166. Laura Hingley, Bothell, WA, 98011

Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. We need strong net neutrality, backed by Title II.

167. Miguel Ramos, ferndale, WA, 98248

Please retain strong and meaningful net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

168. Kim Grummel, Redmond, WA, 98052

Please keep Title II. The internet should remain free/open and neutral, no fast lane access for certain providers and industry fat cats. Their threats to stop investing in broadband are strong-arming and have no basis in reality. These regulations are necessary to control greed by massive corporations!

169. Ian M Woodington, Redmond, WA, 98052

Support Net Neutrality and Article II!

170. Christine Enslein, Redmond, WA, 98052

Please keep current regulations requiring Net Neutrality.

171. Douglas King, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net neutrality should not be overturned. This is a necessary regulation to protect consumers from having ISPs control our access to information and products from companies other than the ISPs. The assumption that having the industry voluntarily allow equal access is absurd and has been proven wrong in the past.

172. Joshua Loomis, Snohomish, WA, 98296

I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. I oppose any effort to repeal or reduce the effectiveness of net neutrality policy, practice, or legislation.

173. Padraig Malone, Redmond, WA, 98052

We are in favor of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

174. daniel hahn, north bend, WA, 98045
I support net neutrality under Title II. Do not change this.
175. David Smiley, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please preserve net neutrality and continue to classify Internet Service Providers (ISPs) under Title II. Allowing ISPs to reclassify under Title I would be potentially devastating to a free and fair Internet. I am strongly opposed to any reclassification.
176. William, Lake stevens, WA, 98258
We need net neutrality and to protect Title 2. You money greedy whores. You senile care takers. You bastard homophobes. You crazy polo people. You lying sacks. You big recess mug holding fucks. You unfortunate coffee drinkers. You android users. You internet explorer surfers. You non giving 2 shits about people and the internet folk. How are other porn site gong to compete with Porn Hub. If you take away net neutrality Porn Hub will become master race for porn. I don't know about you, but I know for a fact there are many other good porn site out there. What happens if they all go away, we can only use Porn Hub. Im not a fan of Porn Hub. I don't want to spend the rest of my life watching porn from Porn Hub ,So help a homie out. Protect net neutrality and title 2 ya?
177. Tim Macauley, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.I do not believe this will discourage ISP infrastructure investments. I believe this will only encourage healthy market competition where quality of content alone will dictate success.
178. Howard Hughes, Redmond, WA, 98052
I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.I am a software engineer - I hope that you can understand the importance of my claim when I say that my entire livelihood depends on net neutrality. Relaxing current title 2 regulation the FCC has over ISPs will only serve to create more hardship on the part of small business and new startups which may not be able to compete against their larger competitors. These regulations do nothing to ensure that the internet is a fair market place, and will destroy the concept of the internet being a free market.It is imperative, for the future of our nation and all that it stands for, that these title 2 regulations remain in place.
179. Christopher Kohnert, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net Neutrality must be upheld to foster growth and competition across the internet. I support Title II ISP classification.
180. Daniel Tarbuck, Blaine, WA, 98281
Net Neutrality is critical to the development of the Internet. Without strong Net Neutrality in place, incumbent Telcos and Cable operators are incentivized to slow down new products and services to favour their own services (and enhance their own

profitability). This is harmful to competition and harmful to consumers.

181. title II, Kirkland, WA, 98034
We need strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
182. Elizabeth Hill, Carnation, WA, 98014
It is imperative that you support net neutrality under Title II.
183. Dan Adelman, Redmond, WA, 98052
I am a small business owner, and my business relies on access to a free and open internet. I'm writing to urge you to protect the FCC's 2015 Open Internet Order. According to recent news reports by the Wall Street Journal and Reuters, FCC Chairman Ajit Pai plans to reverse the FCC's reclassification of broadband Internet providers as common carriers, and substitute the FCC's net neutrality rules with empty industry promises. This would be disastrous. I oppose any attempt by Chairman Pai to roll back the FCC's net neutrality protections. A free and open Internet is essential for a free society. I urge you to resist attempts to weaken net neutrality protections and entrench Internet monopolies.
184. Peter Ratener, Redmond, WA, 98053
I support keeping the Internet regulated under Title II. I want there to be a level playing-field for all providers of Internet services,. Failure to keep access, bandwidth, and speed equal for all services will disadvantage them, and make them uncompetitive with the major providers like AT&T, Verizon, and Comcast. Reframing the basis under Title 1 is simply a scheme to concentrate more power and influence to the few largest communications corporations.
185. Brian Boese, Redmond, WA, 98052
Do not remove title 2 regulation! The Restoring Internet Freedom act only serves to destroy internet freedom by allowing ISPs to control internet traffic.
186. Derek Hilborn, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support net neutrality and title II. Please do not remove this protection from consumers.
187. cory christianson, Bothell, WA, 98012
Leave net neutrality rules as they are in title 2
188. Daniel, Redmond, WA, 98052
Trump, all day all night! get rid of net neutrality!
189. Marcus Falstich, Monroe, WA, 98272
I support Net Neutrality under Title II classification. Also, I think you're a repulsive lying garbagefire, and I'll see you in Hell.
190. Cynthia Cronkhite, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II. Many small businesses, including

my own, rely on consistently available high internet speed in order to operate. We cannot simply trust that ISPs will 'do the right thing' and put the interests of other businesses or even the American public ahead of their own. Thank you.

191. Diane Dorner, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Title II of the Communications Act is the proper place for legal regulation of Internet service providers. Pei is wrong to want to change it. ISPs have not diminished their investment in internet access infrastructure and modes. There should be NO changes to Net Neutrality (all access to the Internet should be equal and fair).
192. Amy John, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please maintain net neutrality as Title II. It is vital to consumers to ensure all of the internet is equal and available to all users.
193. Robin Takami, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. Creates unfair market for startups and local content, which will have to compete with the big time companies. Without net neutrality, money will control and regulate everything we see.
194. Kristi Anderson, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I fully support net neutrality protections and Title II. Leave it alone!
195. Kevin Cassidy, Monroe, WA, 98272
I support the continued enforcement of "net neutrality" with ISPs subject to regulation under Title II. A move to enforce only under Title I would be unfairly weighted in favor of service providers and ignore the reasonable expectations for equal access to all data for citizens.
196. Adam Stevens, Bothell, WA, 98011
Please keep strong net neutrality rules back by Title II.
197. Bruna Afonso, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support stronger net neutrality regulations as backed by Title 2
198. Justin Blackstone, Bothell, WA, 98011
The current plan to change Net Neutrality to essentially neuter it into something unregulatable would be a huge mistake and a huge insult to the citizens of America. I strongly support Net Neutrality in its current form and believe that if we were to remove these regulations that ISP would favor content and unjustly affect and spy on the traffic of Americans. I strongly believe that title II regulations were put in place to protect Americans from anti-competitive practices of Corporate interests. I would urge everyone in congress to protect Net Neutrality.
199. Amanda Helene Henry, Redmond, WA, 98052
The internet is meant to be equally beneficial to all websites and all of its users. By prioritizing different websites with a "fast lane" and less popular (or wealthy)

websites being throttled, you'll be interfering with Americans' access to information and communication, which will only make this country more uninformed and less aware of the global community that the internet has fostered since its inception. We already have enough problems with corporate oligarchy determining almost every law passed in this country in the last few decades, as well as who gets to run for elections and who wins. Let's not make things even worse by handing over the internet controls to these greedy, self-absorbed, short-sighted people. They will only do what's best for their stockholders' dividends and line their own pockets with as much lobbyist funding as they can muster. Keep the internet as it always has been and always should remain: equal and fair to all web pages and users. Sincerely, Amanda Henry

200. Michael Robert Hammond, BOTHELL, WA, 98011
Please uphold net neutrality, and title 2 oversight of isp's
201. Carol Saylor, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Net neutrality laws are required to prevent abusive business practices. No one, whether government or corporate, should control free and open access to the internet. Keep net neutrality rules fully enforceable by keeping it classified Title II.
202. Jesse Adams, Redmond, WA, 98052
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! Please do not move to destroy this,
203. John, Woodinville, WA, 98072
You little shits. This is bad shit insane what you are trying to do. Net Neutrality is a very important, perserving it and title two! This is all very important to the people. We should not be at the mercy at the companies because we decide to use somebody who is not them. The titles have not slowed infurstructure, so DO NOT DO THIS.
204. Madelyn Mendlen, Sammamish, WA, 98074
I am voicing my support for keeping the strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
205. Jay Ellington, Everett, WA, 98208
I would like to state that I am in strong support of Net Neutrality and the continued TITLE II classification. Changes to this are against my best interest as a consumer, and the free market.
206. George Kramer, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
I want Net Neutrality rules and Title II authority to enforcement them preserved
207. Shahbaz Khan, Bothell, WA, 98021
I support strong net neutrality under Title II and urge the FCC to preserve it.
208. Steven Farnell, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. This

classification would protect consumers who are suffering from a lack of fixed broadband competition and extremely high subscription costs. Fair internet access has become a crucial part of American life, and too big of an economy to leave at the mercy of the most unpopular companies in the United States (I.E. Comcast and Verizon).

209. Adam Harrison, Bothell, WA, 98012

Please preserve Net Neutrality. Removing Net Neutrality is rewarding poor planning by telecoms. For years they have over sold their own bandwidth leading to poor network performance, to which they now want to charge extra to get the performance we were sold in the first place. I bought bandwidth from my ISP. A website I wish to visit likewise paid for their bandwidth. Then our ISPs buy bandwidth from the Internet backbones. So, do you want to allow companies to oversell their bandwidth to the point customers (including the companies running websites) do not get their full bandwidth and service is degraded, and then having the poor planners charge customers extra to get the bandwidth they already paid for. It is an immoral business practice, and I strongly encourage the FCC to keep Net Neutrality and not reward companies trying to double charge their customers for a service they already paid for.

210. Liz Kumin, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I emphatically support strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. This is a basic principle that Internet service providers should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites. It is a direct descendant of the right to free speech and assembly and as a basic tenet of the Bill of Rights, should be fiercely protected.

211. Garrett Woodley, Kenmore, WA, 98028

I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II (2) oversight of Internet Service Providers. I would appreciate the FCC's maintenance of our current communications laws/regulations as well as their enforcement. Removing Title II would not "increase competition". Its removal would likely enable an additional monetary blockade for small businesses. Your Chairman's insistence that self-policing is an acceptable practice is incredibly worrisome for those without the economic clout to handle additional expenditures when starting/maintaining a small business/website. I fail to see the merit of your Chairman's argument as it does not make any logical sense and requires "faith" instead of accountability. I have no reason to trust Internet Service Providers and now even less reason to trust the FCC.

212. Barton Slade, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Please do not remove title II regulations from the internet service providers. Expecting a company like Comcast (who has never had the customer as their first priority) to act honestly and treat everyone as equal is silly and ignorant. Please do the right thing and keep our internet open and free with title II.

213. Devin D Hogan, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

I'm against the Restoring Internet Freedom act as I do not believe it benefits large

companies not the average consumer/internet user.

214. Matt Mariano, Sultan, WA, 98294
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Thanks, Matt Mariano
215. Chad Mangel, Woodinville, WA, 98072
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please take this seriously. It is EXTREMELY important that we preserve Net Neutrality to keep the Internet a prospering and innovative place that all people and companies can utilize, NOT just the ones with deep pockets. Thank you for your time.
216. Bridget Scott, Bothell, WA, 98011
Keep corporate interests out of the delivery/ access of information!!!! It is essential that internet service providers and governments should treat all data on the Internet equally, regardless of provider.
217. Kelly, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
Please keep net neutrality under TITLE II and do not limit internet speed
218. Donna Wallace, Redmond, WA, 98053
I absolutely support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please ensure that the internet remains fair and open!!!
219. Sue Kent, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Urge you to maintain title 2 governance of isp's to help protect net neutrality.
220. Edward A Blakely, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I have worked in telecommunications and internet for over 10 years. A fair, free, and open internet is a platform for technological advancement for all who participate in it. Net Neutrality regulations are required to ensure that ISPs do not do what they have done in the past and interfere with traffic in any specific way. Please keep America at the internet forefront and support Net Neutrality.
221. Ashura Takanohara, Carnation, WA, 98014
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight for ISPs. I do not believe companies hold the moral character to fairly regulate themselves without proper government oversight that is done best by keeping IPS under title II.
222. Gary Webb, Sultan, WA, 98294
I support net neutrality and it remaining title 2 and I vote.
223. Jordan M, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Strong Net Neutrality regulations PROTECTED UNDER Title 2 oversight of ISPs are the only way that the FCC can guarantee a free and open internet. Please consider this comment. I don't want to be beholden to the whims of ISPs.

224. Steven Aldous, Glacier, WA, 98244
We are concerned for the state of the internet and support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Internet is as essential to modern life as electricity and running water. We do not want to see ISP's being in control of who gets the services based on ROI or enhancing their own services while degrading others.
225. tyler cleveland, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I strongly support the regulatin of internet service providers through classifying them as title 2 to ensure equal access to the Internet.
226. Scott Grambo, Redmond, WA, 98052
Ajit Pai. I support very strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isp's. No one should own the internet and be allowed to force their content in front of everyone else's just because they have more money. I do NOT want large companies forcing me to use their services/content over my preferred services/content. Please stop trying to remove net neutrality. Represent us the people, not the few who own the wealth.
227. Vanessa Richie, Ferndale, WA, 98248
Preserve Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. There is plenty of evidence that companies will abuse the power if these regulations are removed. Anyone running the FCC who doesn't understand this needs to actually take the time to understand the department and reasons for the current regulations or resign. There is no excuse for ignorance. If those running the department do understand this, they should be fired for intentionally disseminating false information.
228. Kruthika Ponnusamy, Redmond, WA, 98052
We need to have net neutrality and maintain a level playing Field. Please do not change existing rules. All companies must adhere to title 2 rules.
229. Dennis Atkin, Duvall, WA, 98019
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai,I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please do not roll back these beneficial regulations. I've been involved in with Internet communication since the early 1990s and there's no benefit for American consumers in eliminating these important protections.Thank you.
230. Amy Jordan, Fall City, WA, 98024
The powerful corporations don't need more power over us. Verizon and their fcc lackey are making a power grab over the internet, in the hopes of squeezing more money out of people. The internet should be equal for all.
231. Darryl Mlinar, kirkland, WA, 98034
Please preserve Net Neutrality by keeping ISPs under Title 2

232. Austin Fite, Medina, WA, 98039
As a concerned citizen and computer engineer that relies on the Internet for my job, the independence and openness of the Internet is very important to me. However the proposed rule repealing net neutrality will irreparably harm that independent and openness. Placing national for-profit corporations in charge of what data I receive, from which sources, and how quickly I receive will disenfranchise everyone from individuals to large internet businesses and severely harm American competitiveness on the national stage. I oppose FCC Filing 17-108 repealing the current net neutrality rules, and will continue to oppose any further deregulation efforts until a more pro-consumer solution is found.
233. Adam Lewis, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net neutrality is vital to access information with reduced roadblocks placed by service providers. Allowing service providers to determine which information is available creates bias within the system with incentives to cater to the companies that determine the biases. Abolishing net neutrality damages a user's ability to freely gather information and impinges on a civil society's ability to function.
234. Aaron Warner, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Internet providers need to continue to be governed by Title II. If history has shown us anything it is that companies can not be trusted to do the right thing when profits are involved. To believe that companies such as Verizon, AT&T, T-Mobile, Comcast, etc. will not give preferential treatment to their own content or content they are being paid to promote is absurd. There is also no argument to be made that Title II discourages infrastructure investment by internet providers as there is no evidence to support this. Please DO NOT roll back the Obama era protections for Net Neutrality.
235. Johnmichael P. Monteith, Kirkland, WA, 98033
We need strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Do not undo the changes made by the FCC during President Obama's term or you will end up destroying the internet for the American people -- and they are the individuals you report to - not Verizon and Comcast.
236. Richard KRoon, Bellingham, WA, 98226
I fully support Net Neutrality and am appalled that anyone thinks it is a good idea to neuter it!
237. Kimberly Danner, Bothell, WA, 98011
Please maintain Net Neutrality. People are more important than the profits that big business will enjoy if the protections are removed. Don't be a jerk. Thank you,
238. Denver Johnston, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
I support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. This is very important to me and many others.
239. Gabrielle Richie, Ferndale, WA, 98248

I give pretty much all my support to strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISP. It's one of the few things that is making my life at least a little better as I can use the internet to relax a little and go to an online school. Not to mention I do art commissions to make a little cash as I don't have a job still. Please don't take this right away so companies can screw me and other people over in their greed for more fucking green paper. They have enough already. Thanks and don't do this again in the future. You ass-holes. #FucktheRebublicansintheUSgoverment

240. Lucas Mix, Redmond, WA, 98053

Please retain net neutrality under Title II of the Communications Act.

241. Paul, Redmond, WA, 98052

I strongly urge the FCC to keep Internet Service Providers under Title II to support net neutrality.

242. Mitch Lindgren, Redmond, WA, 98052

I support strong regulations to protect net neutrality.

243. Nick Feeney, Bothell, WA, 98011

I believe is strong Net Neutrally regulations. Specifically ISP regulated by Title 2 regulations. The removal of which is VERY concerning and I DO NOT support.

244. Scott Beddall, Redmond, WA, 98052

To Whom It May Concern,I am writing to voice my support of continuing the FCC's role in the enforcement of net neutrality. There are many arguments to be made that the FCC is not the proper enforcer for these rules, but the fact of the matter is, until there is something better POSSIBLE, we shouldn't throw out what works.Allowing last-mile telecoms to arbitrarily affect content (which would be possible without net neutrality) could have a terrible effect on small businesses working primarily on the web. I live and work in the tech sphere (one of the Big 3 software companies), and my opinion is echoed by practically everyone in my industry. I'm certain that you will be receiving comments from them as well.Thank you for your consideration,Scott Beddall

245. Henrique Polido, Burien, WA, 98019

Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=92vuuZt7wak>

246. Jonathan Eren, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I STRONGLY support FCC Net Neutrality with Title 2 oversight of ISP's.

247. Mitch Franks, Bellingham, WA, 98226

Please keep Net Neutrality and Title One

248. George E Einsetler, Mill Creek, WA, 98012

I support maintaining net neutrality and regulation as set out in Title 2. Please do not repeal or change these regulations.

249. Yuri Bergeron, Carnation, WA, 98014
I believe all ISPs should be regulated under Title 2. Without it it will hurt consumers and small businesses that are so dependent on equal Internet.
250. Jason McKinlay, Point Roberts, WA, 98281
I strongly believe in the importance of Net Neutrality and the need to maintain Title II regulation of Internet Service Providers. Do not make short term decisions that will negatively impact the entire world, ISPs can find creative ways to increase profits at both the consumer and B2B levels without taking this route.
251. Joseph Mertens, Bothell, WA, 98012
I support Net Neutrality I refuse to let you guys do to this, as the internet is a global expression of freedom and the progress we've made as a Human society to connect people. Title 2 FTW keep on rocking in the free world
252. Sinan Ussakli, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. This is a must to maintain fair competition and benefits everyone accessing the internet.
253. Melissa Grover, Deming, WA, 98244
Keep title 2 in place, do not take net neutrality away.
254. Cameron Murdock, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
I support net neutrality and I oppose any changes or full repeal of Title II.
255. Victor Martinez, Duvall, WA, 98019
Please don't reverse Title II.
256. Jane Betzer, Duvall, WA, 98019
I strongly support internet regulation remaining under Title II to ensure internet providers cannot limit access to any sites or services by reducing connection speeds or through other methods of censure.
257. Ethan Caunt, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I strongly agree with Net Neutrality along with Title II.
258. Cleve Littlefield, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I support strong net neutrality for ISPs backed by Title II protections/oversight regulation. The proposed regulation rollback is way too open for companies to make decisions that violate the openness of the internet.
259. Augusto Cesar Righetto, Kirkland, WA, 98034
In order to keep America business competitive, ISPs should continue under title 2.
260. Daniel Reynolds, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I strongly support net neutrality through the regulation of Internet Service Providers under the current Title II classification.

261. Octavian Pop, Kirkland, WA, 98033
This is bullshit, you can not give such power over the consumer to corporations and leave smaller less powerful enterprises that may one day revolutionize the world we live in.
262. Ray Foster, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please support Net Neutrality. Title II seems to be doing its job. If allowed, ISP's WILL charge more money for better access, tilting the playing field against smaller businesses and individuals. This will have a negative affect on innovation, among other things.
263. Matthew Asplund, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Internet is a utility and should be regulated to ensure that ISPs do not favor companies who pay lots of money over what the consumer wants to access.
264. Clayton Martin, Redmond, WA, 98052
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Represent and protect us like you're supposed to and protect the internet like you're supposed to.
265. Kevin Bail, Duvall, WA, 98019
Leave Title 2 protections intact. Support current regulation.
266. Corey Stelton, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please protect Internet Neutrality by continuing to classify the internet under Title 2. This is an incredibly important tool for public communication and information gathering and allowing private companies to dictate what is available is against the core concepts of the freedoms of speech and choice we have here in the USA.
267. Scott Berfield, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I do not support the weakening of Net Neutrality as proposed. This is a very bad idea that will stifle innovation and only serves to enrich already established giant companies.
268. kate linville, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Net neutrality needs to be preserved even more so today because of the inequality issues that are present throughout our country. If net neutrality was repealed companies would certain corporations would dominate the information we receive. That seems very unfair and unjust.
269. Dylan Paris, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Software developer here, and I absolutely stand against the revocation of Net Neutrality rules as championed by the new chairman. As a citizen, I expect:* ISPs treat data neutrally at ingress and egress to their networks* ISPs be regulated under Title 2 of the CA1934 provisionsIn fact, I believe the government should take the following additional steps in order to safeguard our access as citizens to open, unrestricted, and fast broadband:* All tax-payer money which has been allocated to

ISPs over the past 30 years in any form (tax breaks, incentives, etc) for the purposes of building out national fiber or broadband infrastructure should have resulted (and should still result) in some tangible and measurable deployment of said infrastructure, or should be refunded to the American people.* All regional monopolies and local service monopolies granted to ISPs should be placed under review and opened for revocation should the constituents of that area wish to begin the process of establishing a municipal broadband service provided by their local government.* All ISPs, private or public, should be regulated similar to utilities such as water and electricity, in terms of end-use neutrality, pricing, access, and availability.

270. Ryker Van Doren, Redmond, WA, 98052

Freedom of internet usage is imperative for dissemination of information to everyone. Allowing each individual to utilize this service in the manner befitting themselves is inherent to the concept of applying the Title II denomination to ISPs.

271. Alexander Faucher, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Open access to the internet is critical to ensure a competitive marketplace for all companies seeking to do business on the internet. As consumers, we frequently do not have a choice on where that access comes from and need legal protection from internet providers seeking to gain, maintain or enhance their own monopoly by keeping out competition.

272. Allyn Llyr, Kenmore, WA, 98028

I support STRONG Net Neutrality, backed by Title II over site of ISPs. Given their history, and my intimate knowledge of the Networking and security infrastructure of the internet, along with the slimy, false statements of Ajit Pai. Ajit Pai is a consummate liar and should have no post at any regulatory agency.

273. Farrukh Masud, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net Neutrality means that small internet startup can compete with Giants like Google and Amazon. If the Net Neutrality is removed then small startup simply vanish and innovation will stop, creating a society where everything will be controlled by large corporations. Please don't let large corporations take over our freedom and keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II

274. Lucas Plager, Kirkland, WA, 98034

The only guy that'd approve this is the kinda guy that'd fuck another man in the ass without even having the goddamn common courtesy of giving him a reacharound. IMHO.

275. Earl Beede, Snohomish, WA, 98296

Please retain the current net neutrality under Title II. I do not accept as valid Chairman's Pai's arguments on what the current regulation as burdensome.

276. Brian, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 of ISP! The net should be free and

Corporations should not police themselves!

277. Nicolas Pernisco, redmond, WA, 98052
Restore net neutrality! Restore title two. the Internet is a utility and should be free for everyone and no one should lock or restrict it.
278. Jayne Nerrie, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Net neutrality should remain! Keep ISPs from having the ability to be preferential regarding who can see what on the internet. Comcast is the worst, and they would certainly be motivated to prevent its users from streaming content from sources like Netflix and Hulu.
279. Thomas Spencer, Redmond, WA, 98053
The FCC should do the only job it's every been useful for and keep the current Title II Net Neutrality Rules. Enforce the will of the people, not be at the beck and call of cooperate greed and internet service providers like Comcast, Verizon, T-Mobile, etc..
280. Net Neutrality, Bothell, WA, 98011
Removing Title 2 classification from ISPs would be a poor idea with regards to the American people. Without Title 2, there is no way for the FCC to actually enforce ISPs according to the Supreme court (as per Verizon Communications Inc. v. FCC (2014)). Given that the case actually established that the FCC needed to classify ISPs as title 2 to do their job, it makes no sense to remove that classification after they have just been classified as that, ergo, this proceeding should be dropped.
281. jacob, sedro woolley, WA, 98284
keeping ISPs title II is an extremely important part of maintaining net neutrality, protecting the first amendment right in online space.do not be fooled into thinking otherwise
282. Sean T O'Brien, kirkland, WA, 98033
Please do not change Net Neutrality Laws. These companies will act in the best interest of profits. not for the consumers. It will stifle creativity and make it harder for small companies to get started.
283. jim phillips, Rockport, WA, 98283
Time and time again large companies have proven that they cannot be trusted to self regulate. The vertical and horizontal integrations that have already been allowed have already put consumers at a huge disadvantage. True net neutrality MUST be maintained.
284. net neutrality, Gold Bar, WA, 98251
I emphatically support net neutrality as supported by Title II.
285. Maura Burke, Woodinville, WA, 98072
I am against the proposed legislation. I believe that it is critical that the FCC

maintain the current rules regarding net neutrality. The internet is effectively a utility, and should continue to be regulated as such.

286. Evan Bailey, Bothell, WA, 98012

I support Title II and net neutrality. It's important to have regulations in place that prevent ISPs from selling websites favorable treatment.

287. Joyce Reichard, Snohomish, WA, 98296

I support independent net neutrality. Please do not roll back this important policy!

288. Nathan A. Carter, Snohomish, WA, 98296

Reclassifying ISP's to title 1 again would be a massive mistake. To assume that a business would not take advantage of less strict rules is naive. When special interest investors or ISP interest benefits from the slowing down of certain sites they will undoubtedly use that ability. Netflix already proved that ISP's were slowing down their site to mess with qualities. Net neutrality is key and my ISP should not gauge on what products I will be able to consume or enjoy.

289. Zachary Price, Bothell, WA, 98011

I believe in strong net neutrality and the title 2 rules for ISP providers. I do not support changing the current laws/rules on this subject. Also - I find it deeply troubling the ties of the new FCC chairman to Verizon and see this action of changing the current laws/rules as a clear and substantive conflict of interest.

290. Bridget Killion, Granite Falls, WA, 98252

I insist upon Net Neutrality. Individual ISP's should not have the power to control what I view and what services I use on the internet.

291. Ryan Murphy, Granite Falls, WA, 98252

I insist upon Net Neutrality. Individual ISP's should not have the power to control what I view and what services I use on the internet. Your job is to regulate internet usage, not play into the hands of the corporations involved.

292. Paul Davis, Duvall, WA, 98019

ISPs must be REQUIRED to treat all data equally. Voluntary is not good enough.

293. Mark Braaksma, Lynden, WA, 98264

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Please do not compromise net neutrality.

294. Eric Jones, Redmond, WA, 98052

Please preserve strong net neutrality regulations and classification of ISPs under Title II, preventing any possibility of paid prioritization.

295. Seth Marsh, Redmond, WA, 98052

I am voicing my support for Net Neutrality. Internet Service Providers (ISPs) already charge consumers for bandwidth; they shouldn't be able to "double-dip" by

also charging online content and service providers for "fast lanes". Requiring ISPs to treat all data packets equally keeps the internet competitive. Allowing large businesses to further their advantage by paying for better access stifles innovation. Services like YouTube and Facebook started out small, and may have never been successful if they had to pay to compete with large businesses. I, like many informed citizens, just want things to stay how they have been. ISPs only want things to change so they have more opportunities to generate profit. Policies should act in the best interest of the people.

296. Aaron Sadler, Woodinville, WA, 98072
I strongly support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
297. Kyle Sinclair, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II (Title 2) oversight of ISPs. The net neutrality laws need to be maintained.
298. Marques Mackey, Kirkland, WA, 98033
We strongly support net neutrality, and recognize that any attempt to remove the current Title II regulations on ISP's is a blatant attempt to allow control of the enormous benefits of a free/neutral internet by a handful of powerful companies.
299. Gregory Wied, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I support net neutrality and leaving title II designation for internet service providers as a consumer protection.
300. Rodger Prestiy, Lummi Island, WA, 98262
I want to strongly express my support for net neutrality and the continued enforcement of Title II oversight of same. Please continue fair and equitable access to the Internet for all citizens.
301. Drew Loukusa, Bothell, WA, 98021
I am in support of VERY Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I believe taking any action against either Net Neutrality OR Title 2 will be detrimental to the American public at large, especially small businesses and startups despite what ISP lobbyists say.
302. Michael Jones, Monroe, WA, 98272
We need to keep title two and protect net neutrality. It is the right thing to do. Don't let special interest and corporations dictate our lives!
303. David Walker, Clyde Hill, WA, 98004
I support strong net neutrality, enforced by leaving ISPs classified under Title II.
304. Chelsey Ocean*, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I am strongly for net neutrality backed by Title II. Keep it!
305. John, Ferndale, WA, 98248

Title 2 must continue to be used to ensure that larger companies do not control or decrease of small companies when they have access to internet speed. All players must have equal access to the internet, it is the only there can be Net Neutrality

306. Michael Stewart, Redmond, WA, 98052

I am strongly opposed to releasing ISP's from their responsibility to treat all traffic on their network equally. They will NOT be good corporate citizens without FCC oversight and they MUST be held accountable to the same utility-like oversight as conventional phone companies. The Internet is a national resource and must be protected from ISP's that would gouge content providers and selectively limit the content shown to users.

307. Traci Lockhart Hobbs, Snohomish, WA, 98296

Net neutrality is vital in a free and fair society. I am firmly against President Trumps intention to undo current regulations!

308. Michael Longwill, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I demand, as a tax-paying, US veteran, that a strong net neutrality be upheld under a Title II oversight of ISPs. Quit trying to force your money-run dystopia on us. Please and thank you.

309. Jeff Joyce, Mill Creek, WA, 98012

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I am a libertarian who generally agrees with limited government, but this falls squarely within the purpose of government and squarely within the scope of the FCC, and is clearly a better way of handling it than just leaving it up to private companies to control the access of their competitors.

310. Carl Stork, Yarrow Point, WA, 98004

Preserve Net Neutrality and Title III's essential. The ISP are common carriers and should be permitted to favor or hinder access to web sites and services.

311. Kurtis Johnson, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I strongly support Net Neutrality, a free internet. Support and uphold Title II legislation.

312. Ashwin, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Classify Internet (ISP) under Title II

313. Daniel Olson, Bothell, WA, 98012

I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Also, Ajit Pai, if you're reading this: I'll pay you 200 dollars to let me shit in your Reeses's mug. Thanks!

314. Kirsten Olson, Redmond, WA, 98052

Hi! I'm Kirsten Olson, and I would like to take a moment to share why I feel that net neutrality and the Title 2 classification is so important to maintain. By getting rid of

both, it feels like our government is becoming more and more about big business than we are about protecting the rights of the American people. This is not right or fair. We are a democracy, so the voice of the American people should hold the most weight. Also, businesses are not hurt by keeping it anyway. Please represent the views of the people on this issue, and count my vote as one for keeping net neutrality and the Title 2 classification. Thank you for your time!

315. Kyle Love, Redmond, WA, 98052

I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title 2. We cannot give big corporations the opportunity to take advantage of internet speeds.

316. Matthew A Siers, Kenmore, WA, 98028

I support net neutrality - Please do not modify it and do everything possible to protect it. Sincerely, msiers

317. Alex Leary, Bothell, WA, 98011

ISPs should be regulated under Title 2, as utilities providing essential services. ISPs should NOT be under Title 1.

318. Gene Pires, Snohomish, WA, 98296

I feel strongly that we need to maintain net neutrality and that ALL ISP providers must be under type 2 jurisdiction. The internet must be part of the commons and not be interfered with by greedy ISP providers. Companies can not be expected to work for anything in the public good. their mandate is to increase share holders profit which is a direct conflict with common good. To reiterate, yes to net neutrality and no for the idea of relaxing any regulations on ISP providers.

319. corwin cameron, Bothell, WA, 98012

Don't give those filthy lads the opportunity to screw us, keep their dirty hands off the internet's valves.

320. Howard, Snohomish, WA, 98296

I support Net Neutrality under Title 2. Mr. Pai, you have some explaining to do.

321. Dana Charter, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Keep the strong FCC Net Neutrality Rules backed by Title II

322. Robert Beeson, Kenmore, WA, 98028

Please keep the internet protected under Title 2. All the reasons not to keep net neutrality are in the interests of companies limiting free speech and fair competition.

323. Pary, Kenmore, WA, 98028

Do not kill title2, We NEED NET NEUTRALITY!!!

324. Sean Kale, Redmond, WA, 98052

I VERY strongly support Net Neutrality.

325. Eric Sexton, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Preserve the existing Net Neutrality rules protecting our open and fair internet access.
326. Christine Harmon, Kirkland, WA, 98033
It is outrageous that the FCC is considering changing the net neutrality rules/Title II.Preserve Net Neutrality!!Continue with Title II protection!!
327. Ryan Farber, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Keep Net Neutrality rulez and ISPs under Title II. The companiez don't want any changes, it really hasn't hurt them and without this the chance that startups can be taken out of the market is too high. Just look at how Apple, Google, Amazon and Microsoft lock others out of thier products a d help make the world more disfunctional. In the end it really hurts them more helps but corporations have knee jerk reactions that do that all the time. We need to protect the consumers and small companies from these harmful decisions.
328. William Odle, Monroe, WA, 98272
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 over site of ISP's . This is obviously in the best interests of both consumers and small business.
329. Dmitriy Kustov, kirkland, WA, 98034
I unconditionally support Freedom of internet access under Title 2.
330. Ryan Harper, Redmond, WA, 98052
ISPs being under title 2 will not hurt business or investment and will help keep the the internet free for everyone forever.
331. Tim Rogers, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I want ISPs to remain under Title II to ensure net neutrality with a true open and equal internet.
332. Dale Anderson, Kirkland, WA, 98033
The proposed removal of title 2 is a clear power grab and causes harm to the American population. Don't do it.
333. Jason Andrews, Blaine, WA, 98230
I support strong net neutrality, back by Title II oversights of ISPs
334. Michael T Sparacio, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs!
Corporations have proven themselves too irresponsible and selfish to have their leashes taken off!
335. Tom, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Preserve net neutrality and title 2!Free society has equal access to information not controlled by business or government.America was founded on the idea of freedom.

336. max goeke, bothell, WA, 98021

I strongly support ISPs regulated under title 2. Do not roll back to title 1.

337. Travis Einfeld, Kenmore, WA, 98028

I am in support of net neutrality and feel that ISPs should not be allowed to give preferential treatment to certain websites. They should not be allowed to charge more for access (or faster access) to certain websites, and they should not be allowed to slow down/ speed up sites that they want to give special treatment towards. ISPs cannot be trusted to play fair and need to be regulated so that they don't take advantage of the consumer. If major corporations are given control over which sites are faster/slower they will surely take advantage of that to make more money (ie support websites they are invested in by making them run faster, and slowing down websites owned by competitors). This is not in the best interest of the consumer in a world where the internet is becoming more and more integral to society. It is outrageous that the leader of the FCC thinks it is okay for the ISPs to have their way in this. The ISPs have a history of not playing fair, of monopolizing, and of not caring about the good of the consumer. The Commissioner appears to care more of what is best for the ISPs rather than what is best for the consumer, which is a real shame. He says competition will make it so that ISPs will not be able to take advantage of consumers, but this is an industry where there is often little competition. Many consumers have no choice or very little choice as to whom their ISP is. Many also see ISPs acting as an oligarchy where ISPs agree not to drift below certain prices, so that all ISPs can maintain an unnecessarily high price. These corporations have become too powerful. Since there is not enough competition and because the internet is an essential part of our lives, there must be regulation so that the American people are not cheated.

338. net neutrality, Bellingham, WA, 98226

Please continue to enforce net neutrality and uphold title 2 laws. ISP should be considered common carriers and not be influenced by corporate money to determine content priorities. The internet is an open marketplace of goods and Ideas and should be kept level and balanced for all content, and not to be interfered with by select corporations.

339. Heather Mahardy, Bothell, WA, 98021

Preserve net neutrality & Title II!

340. Robert Handschin, Redmond, WA, 98052

I strongly support Net Neutrality. Allowing any individual or corporation the power to regulate content, or the way it is consumed will be a highly detrimental practice to the future of humanity and the United States. This is not what we stand for, and is in direct conflict with market competition, and the rights of the American People. I urge you all to take a firm stand for Net Neutrality that is backed by Title 2 Oversight of ISPs. Thank you for your service, I trust that you will make the best decision for the American people. Thanks bros, Just out here trying to save America

341. Keumars Ahdieh, Bothell, WA, 98011

I recently moved back from living in China for a little more than 5 years and I am well aware of the impact of allowing individual companies and governments to control the data and information allowed on the internet. At a governmental level, the blocking or limiting of content ends up hurting open trade in a free market. On a frequent basis, we would see the degradation of non-Chinese internet services in an attempt to support Chinese services. From the perspective of the Chinese government, this was seen as a justifiable action to increase the profits of companies in China. Placing this power into the hands of U.S. companies will impact things in the exact same way. What protection will there be for an individual if their current ISP determines that it is more profitable to prevent that person from signing up with another ISP? There is already very little in the way of competition for ISPs in most markets. if you eliminate the protections of a free and open internet?

342. David McClellan, Kirkland, WA, 98033

This proposal is clearly an affront to the free and open internet, with an obviously bought and paid for corporate shill in director Pai dishonestly representing the law from what it is: carte blanche for ISPs to do as they please without any strong regulation. We must have strong, enforceable rules and laws under Title II classification in order to ensure the internet remains a fair and open place to do business, consume media, and grow our economy. Rolling back these rules will place immense power in a few corporations who have repeatedly abused it in the past, and will stifle innovation and new entrants from ever having their chance to thrive. That must not happen.

343. Jennifer Bowes, Woodinville, WA, 98072

Please keep Title II Net Neutrality in place. The suggestion that a large corporation would not manipulate services in order to financially benefit themselves and put other companies out of business is not only absurd, it is categorically and historically inaccurate. Title II rules ensure a free and open internet for users and protect consumers from manipulation, coercion, and price gauging by ISPs.

344. Dan Groskopf, Snohomish, WA, 98290

As a computer professional with more than 30 years working in the computer field, I urge the FCC to keep strong Net Neutrality rules in place! The Internet must remain a level playing field for all!

345. Jason Rogers, Bothell, WA, 98021

Keep ISP's covered under Title II for a neutral Internet. The FCC Chairman's desire to change the Net Neutrality issue is based on his desire to keep his old bosses at Verizon happy and so that when a new administration comes in and the he gets fired, he has a job lobbying for the ISP's. Leaving the ISP's under Title II makes the most sense and has not harmed infrastructure spending as he has stated. Those numbers as considered suspect by many sources with a far better understanding of the economics than he has. In short, preserve net neutrality and Title II. Also, please tell Ajit that his obsession with the Reese's Penaut Butter Cup mug makes him look like a retarded man-child and not a professional, which he is supposed to be. Also, please

tell the Chairman that the Reese's Peanutbutter Cup mug is retarded and that he should get another mug that makes him look less like an idiot-man-child and more like a professional.

346. Net Neutrality, Redmond, WA, 98052

I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. It is critical that free access is provided to all applications and information sources without favoring any over others based on their financial resources or investment power or any other possible privileging aspect.

347. Eric Hunter, Bothell, WA, 98021

Net Neutrality is critical to keeping ISP's from controlling the content provided to customers.

348. Robert Taylor, Carnation, WA, 98014

Keep Net Neutrality under Title 2. No matter what Ajit Pi claims, history has shown that service providers will not hesitate to throttle or block competition to their own products. The current rules under Title 2 are protecting the consumer, and must remain in place under Title 2.

349. Mark Perera, Redmond, WA, 98052

A free and open internet should specifically support strong net neutrality that is backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Blocking, throttling and paid prioritization of data does not spur innovation nor provide users of the internet more freedoms. Nearly 4 million people have already shown support of net neutrality by commenting on the previous FCC hearings. Major companies (many of which are regarded as innovators in tech/online space) such as Amazon, Microsoft, Netflix, Google, Ebay, Facebook, Mozilla, Reddit, imgur, and many more have already written (May 4th 2017) alerting the FCC of the importance of net neutrality:"According to recent news reports, the Commission intends to propose rules that would enable phoneand cable Internet service providers to discriminate both technically and financially against Internetcompanies and to impose new tolls on them. If these reports are correct, this represents a gravethreat to the Internet.Instead of permitting individualized bargaining and discrimination, the Commissionâ€™s rules shouldprotect users and Internet companies on both fixed and mobile platforms against blocking, discrimination,and paid prioritization, and should make the market for Internet services more transparent.The rules should provide certainty to all market participants and keep the costs of regulation low. " I specifically support strong net neutrality that is backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not remove these protections!

350. Net Neutrality, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Net neutrality is a vital aspect of the internet, you need to keep it under Title II.

351. Stuart lytle, Lake stevens, WA, 98258

I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, as such, strongly oppose Docket No. 17-108.

352. James Griner, Woodinville, WA, 98072
As a software engineer, I think it's important to give startups the same access to customers that large corporations have. Existing corporations shouldn't be able to stifle competition just because they're able to pay more for access to customers than startups. That's exactly what rolling back the existing regulations would allow.
353. Trevor Berlin, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Hi Ajit Pai, I would like to express my concern with you attempting to remove the net neutrality rules to help govern ISPs from controlling content that customers consume. I support strong net neutrality backed by "Title 2" oversight of the ISPs and do not believe the reclassification should occur as it only benefits the ISPs and DOES NOT protect consumers.
354. Andrew Jaeger, Bothell, WA, 98021
To Whom this May Concern, Preserve Net Neutrality and Preserve Title II. Thank you
355. Christopher Yetman, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I'm appalled that you would somehow consider going back to title 1 is somehow making things better. We ALL pay for our internet connections. That payment should not be for service where an ISP decides who gets a faster path. It was an IMPROVEMENT to go to Title 2. Please keep it.
356. Kevin Sorensen, Redmond, WA, 98053
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Net neutrality rules are in place to protect consumers and keep the Internet open and free for all. We have this now and a change would not seem to benefit anyone but corporations (at the detriment of consumers).
357. Leah Barad, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I support title 2 backed net neutrality
358. Parker Meade, Redmon, WA, 98052
I specifically support Strong Net Neutrality backed by titled 2 oversight of ISPs. Don't fuck up the internet
359. Suzanne Hite, Bothell, WA, 98011
Keep net neutrality under the trade 2. Do not change or shift net neutrality back to Trade 1. With so many ISP's venturing into streaming TV show and movie, they will slow down competitor's speeds.
360. Christina Anderson, Kenmore, WA, 98028
ISP should be kept classified under Title II.
361. Andy Burnstein, Redmond, WA, 98052
I strongly support ISPs being classified under title II to protect net neutrality.

362. Alison Shaw, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I urge you to continue a policy of 'net neutrality' which I feel is essential to upholding the First Amendment of the Constitution.
363. Michelle Eggleston, Bothell, WA, 98021
We need net neutrality. Classify ISPs under Title 2. The alleged harm done by deregulation isn't accurate as represented by Verizon to its shareholders.
364. Eric Schroeder, Bothell, WA, 98021
Net neutrality is critical to free speech. The only supporters are corporations that are making more money by charging for extra access. As a small internet business, I need full access to my customers. Most of all...If you damage net neutrality you damage free speech. If you damage free speech you damage America. That is what Putin wants.
365. Amy Peck, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II enforcement. Thank you.
366. Ryan Pardo, North Bend, WA, 98045
As a resident of a rural area with very limited choices with respect to internet access, I strongly support net neutrality including Title II oversight of ISPs. Voluntary agreements between internet service providers do not provide durable and sufficient protection for access and will allow dominate industry players to limit the growth opportunities for new competitors. This will further have an adverse impact on innovation in the industry and ultimately job creation.
367. Wireline Competition Bureau, Bellingham, WA, 98225
First of all, stop lying to serve big business and line your own pockets at the expense of the public you are supposed to represent! Also, just how much stock do you have in Hershey's that you give free advertisement to??? We have already seen the cable companies throttling Netflix when they did not like the competition for their premium channels and privacy rights sold for profit, what's next, paying extra to play your favorite game online?
368. Net, BOTHELL, WA, 98011
I support Net Neutrality. Do not remove.
369. Jacob Burrell, Bellingham, WA, 98266
Please, for the love of the only thing that makes this country the land of the free. Preserve, protect and enforce net neutrality! May "god" help you if you don't, for the streets will flood with the diverse and thriving people of the web and the gears of a revolution will see their first crank.
370. John R. Stice, Redmond, WA, 98052
Gentlemen: I respectfully request that "net neutrality" continue to be regulated under title 2. Those of us who rely upon internet speed and integrity (electronics design in my case) need regulatory assurance that internet access will not be subject to any

corporate interference. I point out that your decision in this matter will impact productivity. Thanks and best regards, John R. Stice, MSE

371. Steven Gurr, Bothell, WA, 98012

I am writing to urge you to preserve net neutrality and Title II. Eliminating net neutrality will have a very negative effect on how Americans are able to use the internet. ISPs will be able to shunt their customers to web sites that have paid them for quicker access by slowing down access to those sites' competitors. I can see how this would be appealing to the companies that own the paying web sites, and to the ISPs receiving payment, but I cannot see any way at all this is appealing to the people using the internet. To have your freedoms slowed down or blocked because one company paid another company to make it harder for you to go where you want is not an improvement in any sense of the word.

372. David Snyder, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

I strongly believe Net Neutrality should be protected under Title II

373. Daniel Jackson, Kirkland, WA, 98033

It is critical that the FCC continue to regulate ISPs under title II in order to maintain a healthy internet. To do otherwise would be to put all ISPs into a conflict of interest with their customers as they will (and have in the past; see Comcast vs Netflix) favor or zero rate their own content over that of their competitors.

374. Andrew Quast, Monroe, WA, 98272

Please keep strong net neutrality rules. Make them stronger through Title 2! Do not let large corporations control the content of the internet by picking and choosing who gets faster speeds. The internet is a public utility! Treat it as such.

375. Sergio Luengo, Redmond, WA, 98052

We need to preserve net neutrality for the sake of people's freedom of choice when watching content on the Internet. I support strong net neutrality. Please keep it that way.

376. Tittle 2, Lynden, WA, 98264

Please preserve title 2 net neutrality act.

377. Frances Alexander, Kirkland, WA, 98034

It is only in the best interest of big corporations and establishments to interfere with the current state of Net Neutrality. As an average american, I want it to be know and heard here that I am against any changes to the current standing of Net Neutrality. Net neutrality is important and no one should have to right or the power to control the flow of information or entertainment as seen fit by their company or establishment. In the end, these company are bias. They will always be bias. Anyone selling a product or service will be tempted to distort the open and free access to anything that isn't their product and that is disturbing at best. We aren't North Korea. Leave it free, leave it neutral. Leave it Title II. Leave it alone!

378. Aaron C. Smith, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I'm for a free and open internet and demand that you preserve net neutrality and title 2!
379. Elliott Brossard, Kirkland, WA, 98033
We **must** preserve title II regulation to ensure healthy competition. The idea that removing regulations on internet providers such as Comcast is ludicrous and the only "benefit" of doing so is to make Comcast and other monopolies more profitable. Weakening regulations is anti-consumer.
380. Susan Griner, Woodinville, WA, 98072
By repealing the regulation you are subjecting the public to worse provider service. I realize corporate interests are what matters to you especially since the director of the FCC once worked for Verizon, but a frustrated public who already feels jerked around by internet costs and poor service will result in a backlash from the public and potential lawsuits. I still don't understand the Trump policy to put people in charge of agencies who are determined to ruin those organizations. This is a destructive policy of which I am sure there will be many more of. You should also consider the nature of hackers who will not be receptive to internet abuses by corporation.
381. Allen Weiss, Carnation, WA, 98014
I am completely OPPOSED to docket No 17-108 as I strongly believe that Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs is needed. Removing Net Neutrality regulations provides no benefit to the American citizen.
382. Scott Olson, Redmond, WA, 98052
Greetings, my name is Scott Olson and I would like to take a moment to stress how important net neutrality is to me as a consumer. From my point of view, the world is slowly being filled with an almost Corporate sense of Darwinism of the strongest and largest surviving. Corporations are continually growing through the acquisition of small and mid size businesses. With this additional size, comes additional spending and control that a business can enforce on any kind of competition. Because of this, an open internet with strong net neutrality rules, and one that is classified under Title II, is essential. While I appreciate the service my ISP provides, I have no faith in the business to protect my needs. A business, by its very nature, must ensure its own survival above all else. The role of government, I feel, is to help protect the people and do what it can for their well being (within reason). Given all that, I feel the solution is very simple. The FCC should be listening to the people, not the corporations. Continue the title II classification for the internet and please protect our level playing field. As a constituent, I am relying on the FCC to look out for my needs over corporate interests.
383. Rob Detzner, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support net neutrality- I think it's vital for a free flow of information and vibrant competition among content providers. I think the only way it's going to happen is if it's enforced by Title 2 oversight of ISPs by the FCC.

384. Jeff Thomas, Lynden, WA, 98264
I support strong Title II regulations for ISP's. I support Net Neutrality.
385. aiden blau, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
I support strong neutrality backed by title 2.
386. Scott Dessert, Ferndale, WA, 98248
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 regulations. I do not support my elected officials giving control of internet speed, access, or efficacy to companies like Comcast, Verizon, cox, or any other corporation.
387. Edward Cairns, Redmond, WA, 98052
DO NOT REVERSE THE NET NEUTRALITY RULES AND TITLE II. Stop lying to the American people just so isp's can screw them over more than they already are.
388. NET NEUTRALITY, monroe, WA, 98272
I strongly urge congress to keep a strong net neutrality for the equality of every citizen and company in the United States.
389. Madeline Eyer, Woodinville, WA, 98072
We strongly urge you to keep the existing net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Corporations and their lobbyists should not be able to dictate or influence these types of policies. Thank you.
390. Sean Lefebvre, Everett, WA, 98208
We need strict rules guaranteeing open and equal access to information on the internet in our modern society to ensure the free flowing of ideals if we are ever expected to continuing advancing technologically, medically, and socially. Please do not allow internet service providers to dictate what type of information and how we access information by any method of prioritization, capping, or otherwise restriction of ability to view information.
391. Jean de Rubens, Fall City, WA, 98024
I strongly support Net Neutrality and the need to preserve Title 2. I believe this is the only way to preserve strong investments, innovation, and economic growth.
392. Chad Martin, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Net neutrality is vitally important. If you allow corporation to require Netflix to pay Comcast as well as requiring me to pay Comcast they will absolutely do that. The only ones who win are the ISPs. Everyone else has to pay more money. It will also stifle small business growth and be awful in general. Don't be stupid. Please. I know it's hard.
393. Nicholas Draper, redmond, WA, 98052
Please do not make any changes in classifications for isps. keep isps title 2 so we can ensure they have a governing body making sure they play fair and give americans a truly open and fair internet

394. Sam Smith, Bothell, WA, 98011
I want the FCC to continue to regulate ISPs under Title II. I am a registered voter and this is one of my top issues that I cast my vote related to.
395. Peter Bemis, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
The open internet needs to be maintained by keeping ISPs as title 2 entities. If not both freedom of speech and free market capitalism are at risk through restriction of a communication method by private companies.
396. Bill gate, Medina, WA, 98039
internet service providers treat web traffic equally and prohibit providers from blocking or slowing
397. Dale Quigg, Bothell, WA, 98021
Please vote AGAINST this proposal and keep net neutrality by ensuring ISPs stay categorized as title II. Otherwise, we will continue to see behavior like the following; AT&T, Sprint and Verizon - blocked Google Wallet to protect their payment system AT&T: - "Sponsored Data" favoring (newly acquired) DirectTV - blocked Apple FaceTime - forced Apple to block Skype and other VoIP services Verizon: - zero-rating Go90 - "Mobile broadband connect" fees for customers who tether to their phones - injected X-UIDH headers into network traffic to better track/sell user activity Comcast: - zero-rating video streaming through Xbox
398. Paul Coyas, Kirkland, WA, 98033
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai strongly support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
399. Sam Borgen, kirkland, WA, 98033
I am strongly in favor of the FCC upholding the Net Neutrality rules under title ii.
400. Zoey Schlemper, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Pai, I support strong internet neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. There should be no artificial shortening of supply of data transferred by an ISP. Trying to let companies grab onto the data that I transfer over their satellites is wrong on an ethical and financially competitive level. The type and contents of that data should absolutely not influence the rate and quality of my data transfer. The only exception being if it is unlawful to transfer a specific packet of data. The internet is yours, mine, and ours. Keep the net neutral! Sincerely, Zoey Schlemper
401. Krysta Gibson, Monroe, WA, 98272
We need to keep Net Neutrality. Please don't do anything to jeprodize this. Thank you.
402. Wayne Scott, Redmond, WA, 98053
I want the FCC to maintain Net Neutrality by retaining Title 2 designation
403. Austin Petersen, Redmond, WA, 98052

I support net neutrality, and I absolutely oppose this disgusting, anti-consumer attempt to end it.

404. Caleb McGary, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please leave net neutrality as implemented by Tom Wheeler in place. This action has encouraged competition and lowered prices by ISPs in my area.
405. Sierra Montoya, Lummi Island, WA, 98262
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Americans deserve a fair and open internet. Thank you.
406. Rhonda Dickion, Monroe, WA, 98251
It is imperative to maintain net neutrality under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 to preserve a fair and open internet
407. Justin Groden, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Keep Net Neutrality by keeping Title 2 for ISP's.
408. Derek Diel, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
I strongly support strict regulations on Net Neutrality and the continued use of Title 2. It is the ONLY way to ensure a free and open internet for all.
409. Talon Arbuckle, Bellingham, WA, 98226
I support strong net neutrality and strong title II oversight of internet service providers, now and forever after. I disagree with throttling, censorship, and anything that prevents equal and open access to information on the internet. In short: keep the net neutral please!
410. Zachary Francks, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I support keeping strong Net Neutrality with Title II oversight. I am a game developer and small business owner and this affects both my personal and professional life. Despite the blatant lies of Mr. Pai, we have ample evidence of large corporations working in the interest of their shareholders over their customers to selectively impair the performance of websites and internet services provided by their competitors. See Netflix vs Comcast, 2013. This is not hypothetical, this is evidenced. The only thing that is hypothetical is the idea that internet service providers, who already enjoy a virtual monopoly in most geographic regions in the country and which people have few if any alternatives with regards to receiving reliable, full-speed broadband internet, will hypothetically not invest in infrastructure just because they are not legally allowed to arbitrarily block or render services effectively unusable in order to coerce their users, who have cannot switch providers or simply not have internet, to use other, not-discriminated services owned by the internet service provider or those of businesses that have made payments directly to the internet service providers in order to not have their traffic discriminated against.
411. Julian Vrieslander, Bothell, WA, 98011

I am a retired research scientist and educator. I urge the FCC to maintain full net neutrality and regulation of ISPs and related internet services under Title 2. Open and unfettered internet access is vital to researchers, students, and all citizens.. If commercial interests are allowed to determine the availability and bandwidth of sites and services, their profit motives will lead to conflicts and restrictions that will adversely affect the free flow of information. This will impact the ability of the USA to compete in technology - small startups will be stifled, political speech will be muzzled, and our basic freedoms will be at risk. Internet access is the oxygen for the life of 21st century technological progress. Please do leave leave the access to this precious resource subject to the whims and promises of corporations.

412. Jake Shirley, Bothell, WA, 98021

I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Make it clear you are Opposed to Docket No. 17-108! ISPs have a massive amount of power here the screw the consumer. This is a terrible, liberty stifling money grab.

413. Jordan Gray, Bothell, WA, 98011

Net Neutrality is vital. It's not even a conversation we should be having. One of the reasons the FCC exists to make sure large corporations don't abuse their power. Get it right.

414. Pradeep, Redmond, WA, 98052

I support TITLE II regulation to keep cable providers in check. I/we cannot trust Internet Service Providers to not throttle certain web services to their advantage! Please do not de-regulate ISPs from TITLE II regulations!

415. Gwendolyn Banchemo, Kirkland, WA, 98033

We must respect net neutrality and keep in place Title II!

416. Peggy Dunn, Kenmore, WA, 98028

Please, it is imperative that you preserve net neutrality under Title 2. This regulation protects consumers. Do not take that protection away!

417. Tasha Morris, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I strongly support internet neutrality. The internet is very clearly a public utility and should be treated as such. We need to keep strong rules backed by Title II.

418. Andrew Macedonia, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I support Title II regulations for ISPs.

419. Jason Harvey, Woodinville, WA, 98077

Please continue to reference Title 2 of The Communications Act of 1934 to classify ISPs in the United States of America. Doing so will allow Net Neutrality to remain enforceable for the good of all US Citizens.

420. Sean Smith, Bothell, WA, 98011

I cannot stress how important it is to the citizens of the United States to be ensured

unobstructed and unmanipulated access to the internet. I implore you to keep internet access providers classified under Title 2 and keep all of the same regulations that were created by the FCC under the prior chairman.

421. Brian Ellis, Snohomish, WA, 98290

Please uphold title two and preserve net neutrality. I don't think anyone wants to let the ISPs run rampant and engage in, as a wise man once said, "fuckery".

422. Lisa Beliveau, Glacier, WA, 98244

I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II (title two) oversight of ISPs. This is critically important, and I will support organizations working to support this position.

423. Charles Duba, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Please keep our internet providers neutral. Internet providers do not operate in a free market, and I do not want them determining what sources I should be able to use. As corporations, internet providers are forced to maximize profit, and they will be forced to control our access to the internet in a way that maximizes their own profit. This will stifle innovation and small business. Our large corporations are not in danger of failing. Small and innovative businesses are always living under the threat of extinction. Help America. Help maintain 'net neutrality.

424. Brian Cox, Bothell, WA, 98011

Please reserve net neutrality and keep Title 2. The internet is a classy women.
#keepyourhandsoffherISP #feminism

425. Mike David, Seattle, WA, 98011

I am supremely upset that the FCC is considering rolling back title 2 regulations. Net neutrality is extremely important, needs to be protected, and the short sighted, corrupt FCC chair knows this. As with all of the current administrations appointees, Pai is in the pocket of big business at the expense of consumers and citizens. Shame on you Pai. Your intentionally obtuse quoted studies and data are disgusting and obvious. I hope you choke on your giant mug of Verizon's ball sweat. In summation keep title 2 regulations. Keep net neutrality intact.

426. Leigh McGill, Bothell, WA, 98011

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The notion that ISPs will self-regulate solely based on customer pressure ignores a large body of past evidence and is not founded in even the slightest understanding of economics. Large businesses easily deflected allegations of unfairness in the past behind a variety of claims, and they have significant financial incentives to treat traffic preferentially.

427. Heather Kendregan, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274

As a former tech person, I strongly support strong net neutrality and the continuation of Title II.

428. Michael Tenney, Carnation, WA, 98014
Do what is right and do not repeal net neutrality rules! Don't allow companies to track my usage without my consent nor bury it in a terms of service that no one will read. If you do this, you are proving that you care nothing about this country rather than lining your pocket with dirty \$.
429. Sergey Lukyanchuk, Monroe, WA, 98272
Why do we have to do this again? Keep net neutrality under title 2 rulings please. I don't support what Ajit Pai has planned for his Trump-like ideals, especially when he has history being a Verizon lawyer. He's either truly ignorant or knows exactly what he's doing. As a consumer, I never want to have my Internet throttled. Internet providers "promising" they won't do so without any legal bindings doesn't sit well with me.
430. Vincent Simons, Sumas, WA, 98295
I strongly approve of Net Neutrality, and Title 2 of the "COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1934".
431. Maria Dawson, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Net Neutrality is essential to our nation and world. The FCC needs to protect Title II
432. Thomas Skillman, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support Net Neutrality and oppose "Restoring Internet Freedom". The purposefully misleading title is proof in itself of the embedded subterfuge.
433. Shirin Sherkat, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Please protect net-neutrality !!
434. Case Kuehn, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I strongly support Net Neutrality. I want a level playing field for Internet Service providers, and I don't want ISP's selectively manipulating my Internet experience.
435. joe garhan, bothell, WA, 98021
ISPs should remain in the title 2 category. Protect the people not the corporations please.
436. Restoring Internet Freedom, Snohomish, WA, 98290
The internet should be a utility not a marketplace!
437. Jaxon Coward, Redmond, WA, 98052
Ajit Pai, I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please listen to the American people.
438. Steven Kakoczky, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I strongly and specifically support net-neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Putting trust in a company to voluntarily promise to be fair when they could financially benefit greatly from NOT being fair is not good policy.

439. Anh Quach Crandall, Redmond, WA, 98052
Contrary to FCC CIO Dr. David Bray's claim that this is merely an attempt to overwhelm the FCC's servers and not a legitimate comment, this is, in fact, a legitimate comment/concern. I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I resent Dr. Bray's insinuation that the American public does not have legitimate concerns.
440. Michael Whittaker, Snohomish, WA, 98296
I support strong protection under title II for Net Neutrality.
441. Peter Visser, Lynden, WA, 98264
I strongly support net neutrality and do not wish to see any changes.
442. Bryan Hunwardsen, Redmond, WA, 98052
Title 2 must remain, citizens rights are more important than business rights.
443. Frank, Trey, Redmond, WA, 98053
Net neutrality should be preserved under title II protection. Dick heads
444. Alison Hirsch, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please preserve Net Neutrality. Do not enable Internet service providers (ISPs) to limit, slow down access to, or manipulate in any way the choices that consumers make online by slowing down access. Treat all websites equally. Do not allow ISPs to give priority to other sites that pay them more on advertising or via some other pay-for-play type of mechanism.
445. Net neutrality, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not reduce regulation on this issue.
446. Grace Bergman, Redmond, WA, 98052
Dear FCC, I am writing to express my strong support for net neutrality backed by Title II Oversight of ISPs. As a small business owner and app developer, I cannot risk losing competition against big companies based on loose internet regulations. If we lose net neutrality, it will eventually cost us, "the small guys" additional fees to compete. That only generated more fees in the market. Do do not overturn current net neutrality rules.
447. Cobi Seslar, Monroe, WA, 98272
I think it is VERY important to protect our internet access from businesses gaining profit.
448. Stephanie Robinson, Blaine, WA, 98230
Why are you again trying to mess with net neutrality rules, Didn't we address this less than two years ago? I, like many others, strongly support net neutrality as backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please stop caving to corporate interests.

449. Justin Samsky, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support Net Neutrality and its enforcement through regulating Internet Service Providers under Title 2.I find claims by Chairman Pai that the telecoms industry can be trusted to voluntarily self-enforce Net Neutrality to be naive at best, and grossly disingenuous at worst. Furthermore, the Chairman's claims that Title 2 imposes overbearing restrictions that inhibit telecoms from investing in infrastructure are entirely without evidence.
450. Griffin Thompson, Monroe, WA, 98272
I, as all informed users of the internet, support Title II oversight over ISPs to protect net neutrality and keep the internet free. In America we believe in the right to personal freedom, and if we do not protect this we risk the monopolization of American internet. This would put us behind the rest of the world, and weaken our global standing.
451. Morgan Zellers, Woodinville, WA, 98072
You need to keep Net Neutrality under Title II
452. PAUL R BRAMBILLA, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I am in support of net neutrality. Please don't take it away!
453. Julian C. Snow, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273
Please leave Net Neutrality as it currently is under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
454. Victor Mach, Bothell, WA, 98021
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. You hear that, Ajit Pai?
455. Linda Snow, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273
Please leave Net Neutrality as it currently is under Title II of the communications act of 1934.
456. Net Neutrality, Bothell, WA, 98021
I support strict regulation of net neutrality and believe that deregulating would not be in the best interests of the American people.
457. Cory Gehr, Bothell, WA, 98011
Net Neutrality needs to be kept around to protect small businesses and prevent corporations from buying their way to the top (since they already have many methods for this). It's a shame that we the people can only clean house in the government every few years. I promise that I WILL use my vote to put the current FCC leaders out of a job if they re-classify ISPs to allow them to skirt Net Neutrality regulations.
458. Andrew Nisargand, Redmond, WA, 98052
Hey Ajit Pai,I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

459. Dan Jacobsen, Bothell, WA, 98012
Please uphold the title II protections
460. Alex Hill, Bothell, WA, 98011
I strongly believe that net neutrality should be upheld, as I believe that companies will only use the freedom for additional exploitation of the American consumer. I find that title 2 should remain the standard for net neutrality for ISP's.
461. TJ Perillo, Bothell, WA, 98011
Crucial Title II protections must not be revoked or altered. The internet was created with public tax dollars - we paid for it. It's ours. It does not belong to corporations. It must be protected for the public, not private interests. Weakening Title II protections is a raw grab, and the arguments put forth by Chairman Pai are intellectually dishonest and often demonstrably false.
462. Dylan Branshaw, Bothell, WA, 98011
I support Title II classification and Net Neutrality rulings. Removing these rules is going to be a step backwards, further complicating the sub-par level that American internet operates at. I implore the FCC to consider what is best for the citizens of the United States of America, and that means making access of the Internet, and to it, easier and clearer. To be specific, removal of these rules would decrease the quality of my internet subscription. Without government regulating how ISP's operate, there will be no guarantee for my quality of service, and no recourse for if they do not fulfill their contracts. Even in a fairly densely populated area like King County, there is little competition between ISP's, and I am sometimes forced into a specific service because of my apartment's contracts. I have barely any leverage as a consumer and as a citizen and that is WITH Title II and Net Neutrality, and the removal of these rules will leave me worse off on both counts. Please reconsider.
463. Jordan Beland, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support Title II classification for ISPs and for the open Internet and net neutrality. Please keep ISPs classified as Title II
464. Andrew Shapro, Redmond, WA, 98052
I strongly support the classification of Internet Service Providers under Title II of the Communications Act. In order to maintain our inalienable rights as humans and US citizens the FCC must vote to keep ISPs classified under Title II.
465. Dennis Marsh, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Maintaining existing net neutrality laws is of utmost importance to ensuring a fair and level playing field for innovation on the internet.
466. Kasra Tayebi, medina, WA, 98039
We the PEOPLE strongly support Net Neutrality. Do NOT sell out to the large corporations, Ajit. We demand Title II oversight of ISPs
467. Restoring Internet Freedom, Bothell, WA, 98012

I support strict regulation of Net Neutrality through Title 2 guidelines. The Internet needs to foster competition, not hinder it. We should not allow large internet companies to dictate what end users get to see (or how fast it gets to them because this hinders competition from smaller companies. The internet is the ultimate free market where all ideas and innovations are equal and have the opportunity to garner market share based on their merit. Giving internet providers the ability to throttle the flow of information puts them in the position of deciding which ideas get seen and which ideas get buried. The internet is a public trust. No corporation should have the power to dictate its use.

468. Dawn, Woodinville, WA, 98072

Preserve the current net neutrality laws and ISPs under Title II. Free internet for all!!!!!!!

469. Tyler Green, Kenmore, WA, 98028

Keep strong net neutrality via Title 2. it's the ONLY way to keep the internet open and free, and it is essential to american small business opportunities!

470. Gregory A Wolk, Deming, WA, 98224

I would like to express my support of maintaining existing Title II regulations over ISP entities. By removing them from these regulations, I believe they will be financially incentivized to favor certain internet sites and resources over others. I do not believe such incentives are appropriate as they will have a deleterious affect on free speech and other public policies of the highest order. As such, I urge the FCC to maintain the current state of "net neutrality" regulations.

471. Chris Wozniak, Snoqualmie, WA, 98065

Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2...anything else is unAmerican

472. Ralph Dsouza, Redmond, WA, 98052

I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am 100% opposed to Docket No. 17-108. This bill does not have the interests of the people in mind. It is doing the exact opposite of restoring internet freedom.

473. Rory Young, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Please keep Net Neutrality backed by Title 2, keeping the net free helps innovation and education which I hope this administration actually cares about but at this point I don't know.

474. Evan Thomas, Arlington, WA, 98223

Net neutrality is the single most important principle keeping the Internet free and open. ISP must stay classified under Title 2 to preserve our internet moving forward.

475. William Creasey, Redmon, WA, 98052

I believe that Internet service providers need to be regulated and those regulations need strong protection associated with Title II classification to ensure a free and open internet for everyone. There have been many examples of companies abusing

their power over internet access prior to the classification as Title II and I do not support a roll back of the 2015 changes.

476. James Kehoe, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

I am for title II and I believe it is the strongest form of protection we have for internet freedom.

477. Jarad Shannon, Redmond, WA, 98052

I need Net Neutrality. I work at a software company. Between the many different connections I make and the large number of late nights, it is critical for me that absolutely all traffic, from Netflix to VPNs to Azure VMs and more, is all absolutely and lawfully required to be given the same priority and bandwidth by my internet provider. Further, it is currently shown across almost all companies that the current Title II Net Neutrality laws do NOT hinder infrastructure growth or provide any true hindrance. PLEASE, do not destroy my neutral internet by removing the laws.

478. Shailesh Joshi, Redmond, WA, 98052

I strongly believe that Internet should be under Title II and not Title I and should be left untouched from what it is now. Anyone who is trying to reclassify it under Title I is opening the doors for the ISPs to do bad things. The ISPs could legally get into a lot of conflict of interest positions that the consumers do not have any protection against.

479. Sumner Lewis, Ferndale, WA, 98248

Net neutrality must be preserved. ISP's under title 2!

480. Net Neutrality, Bothell, WA, 98021

I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers.

481. David Jones, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I am writing this to express my strong support for continuing having internet access and services be classified as title II. Initially, the telephone system was classified as title II because it was of vital national and economic importance, too important to be subject to the whims and corruption of companies. Today, the internet is every bit as, or more important than the telephone system ever was, and needs to stay classified as title II. There is very strong support from the American people for this stance; I cannot understand how the FCC could possibly proceed with changing classification, unless there is so much financial pressure from the ISPs that the members would be willing to sacrifice the good of the American people for personal and economic gain, and for partisanship.

482. Laura Robinson, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I am writing to express my full support for strong net neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs! Thank you.

483. Andrew Rockwell, Bothell, WA, 98012

I urge you to keep the internet neutral by continuing the oversight held by Title 2 as it currently is held. The internet has become a basic and necessary part of your lives and must remain free and open for all to use.

484. Boris Chkodrov, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support strong net neutrality guidelines, specifically, Title II classification of Internet Service Providers. They were reclassified under Title II in order to ensure that the FCC could enforce their regulations, and nothing has changed sufficiently to make me believe that the regulations are unnecessary.
485. Bridget White, Snohomish, WA, 98296
As an American, I firmly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. In an age in which the internet is more and more vital to our everyday life, this protection is necessary. I am strongly opposed to any loosening of restrictions on ISPs. They will not regulate themselves if it is not in the interest of their profit and it is very much not in the interest of their profit. Americans will suffer for the gains of Verizon, Comcast, and the like.
486. Elon Shiu, Bothell, WA, 98021
Strong net neutrality is mandatory in this day and age where free and equal information access is (as declared by the UN) a fundamental human right.
487. Samuel Nortz, Kirkland, WA, 98034
This will not free the internet, it will harm internet freedom, damage my livelihood, and will further push this country towards the dark, corporate run diplopia we free citizens are desperately trying to resist. I support keeping ISPs Title 2, and keeping the strong regulation of the internet set up 3 years ago.
488. Morgan Hendrickson, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Please, preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. ISPs have proven in various ways they will not maintain a fair provision of access to various applications if they are left unregulated. Please keep the checks and balances. Don't leave it up to "self accountability" among companies. Sincerely, Morgan
489. Robin Swan, Redmond, WA, 98052
I fully support the regulation of ISP companies under Title 2 in order to preserve Net Neutrality. Ajit Pai, go FCC yourself.
490. Roger Mack, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Keep strong Net Neutrality rules under Title II. ISPs should not be able allowed to have a "gentleman's agreement" on promising not to throttle speeds.
491. Kathy Brackett, Mt. Vernon, WA, 98274
I support and think it is vitally important to have strong Net Neutrality.
492. Anne-Chloe Olix, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Please maintain the strict oversight of ISPs under Title 2. I don't want Netflix to load

slowly.

493. Teresa King, Carnation, WA, 98014
This is horrible legislation. It is not any company's business with what I do with my time on the internet, which itself is bad enough now that companies can sell my information to others now. The internet is my basic source for news, shopping, entertainment, EVERYTHING! I use Netflix, HBO NOW, Video Games. I shouldn't have my ability to use certain programs curtailed just because my internet provider (Sadly Comcast) wants to basically double charge me for. So please Don't destroy Net Neutrality.
494. Jacob Rumpf, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I believe that Net Neutrality must be properly enforced by maintaining Title II.
495. Jennifer Cockrill, Snohomish, WA, 98296
I COMPLETELY and SPECIFICALLY support strong net neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs. Any other choice can only be motivated by greed at the expense of everyone but the 1%.
496. Chris Leeper, Everett, WA, 98208
I strongly support maintaining Net Neutrality, and keeping ISPs under Title II.
497. Ryan Thelin, Redmond, WA, 98053
I urge you to preserve strong net neutrality regulations and Title II. Net Neutrality is essential to a fair internet environment.
498. Max Irvine, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
I am writing to implore the FCC to PRESERVE net neutrality and reserve the right to regulate ISPs under Title 2 regulations - it's just common sense!
499. Ian Lambert, Monroe, WA, 98272
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. ISPs should not be able to dictate what I have access to on the Internet based on who pays them more. Save internet freedom for the people not ISPs.
500. Caleb Fox, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support net neutrality and maintaining ISPs under title 2. Please do the same.
501. David Barrett, Duvall, WA, 98019
We need to keep the net neutrality rules, approved by the Federal Communications Commission in 2015. We must preserve the open internet and ensure that it could not be divided into pay-to-play fast lanes for web and media companies that can afford it and slow lanes for everyone else. DO NOT depend on companies to self regulate net neutrality.
502. Sean Foley, Redmond, WA, 98052
I object to the removal of Net Neutrality provisions by the proceedings titled 17-108.

We need an internet where the smallest new startups can compete with the large incumbent players. To allow large providers to control access to our internet will severely impede the US's ability to innovate and compete in the new digital economy. How can you create the next Silicon Valley if the rules of the road are governed by the largest incumbent telcos and providers. They will stifle and tax innovation to drive their own agendas - to all of our detriments.

503. Michael Drogalis, Redmond, WA, 98052
I'm in favor of net neutrality under title II.
504. Anthony Pepe, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Net Neutrality is in the best interest of a free market, democracy, open exchange of ideas, and the people of the United States at large.
505. Gary H. Mosher, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support strong Net Neutrality rules governing all ISPs covered under Title II.
506. Michael Alsept, Bothell, WA, 98011
The over all economic impact of equal playing field for all Internet content producers is greater than the short term gains for individual ISP's playing favorites with content providers. Net Neutrality is essential to innovation. The internet should be regulated under title II as so much of every day life relates to using the internet and an open internet is best for all.
507. Samer tirhi, Redmond, WA, 98052
Mr. Pai, I am a Washingtonian who loves to procrastinate homework by going on the internet. Thanks to the internet I am able to see you drink out of and proudly display your "infamous mug". I would rather have to continuously look at that piece of shit mug everyday than having my internet slow down because Comcast isn't making a profit off of the sites I visit. For that reason, I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. JUST GO WATCH THE NEW JOHN OLIVER EPISODE.
508. Alex Green, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Internet companies are all COMPLETELY terrible and try night and day to siphon as much money and give us the worst service possible, they have complete monopolies in many areas, and already try as hard as they can to cut out competing products. If you get rid of net neutrality rules you will be proving that you're either stupid, or evil.
509. Patricia Masserman, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I oppose this plan to reverse rules implemented under President Barack Obama that boosted government regulatory powers over internet service providers. It will lead to unfair advantages among companies and make it more difficult for citizens to access the information they need.
510. Matt Gerhardt, Woodinville, WA, 98077
The abuses of this administration and the corruption of the director of the FCC to

remove consumer protections in the interest of future campaign donations and under the table bribes is so disgusting i am embarrassed to be an American with ideologies like theirs and people like them heading the government. Do not change or reclassify isp's to take away consumer protection.

511. Connor Ledwidge, Bothell, WA, 98021

I am a concerned citizen writing to express my desire that the laws of Net Neutrality remain in tact, and NOT be repealed. Companies like Comcast, Verizon, Time Warner, etc. must all be held to the same standards and not be allowed to circumvent the law in favor of a "free market". They are monopolies, and will not play fairly with new competition, as they have proven to shut out or intimidate them in the past. The internet is a basic RIGHT to all people, and should be left to the hands of companies who do not have consumer's interest in mind. It should NOT be sold to the highest bidder, and the rest left behind. That is not an option in this day and age. I reiterate, do NOT repeal the current net neutrality laws. Thank you for your time. Kindly, Connor

512. Ryan Burgess, Redmond, WA, 98053

I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Make it clear you are Opposed to Docket No. 17-108! Please support the people and not pocket books.

513. Adam Lenk, Kenmore, WA, 98028

I strongly support maintaining net neutrality backed by Title-II oversight of ISPs. The fact that this is even being considered is revolting.

514. Jonathan Picariello, Kenmore, WA, 98028

I value Net Neutrality and and recognize its value to innovation and focus on the consumer and not corporations. The internet needs to stay as a Stage 2 legal filing. It is a utility that should be treated as such. Not left to Verizon lawyers to butcher.

515. Zach, Granite Falls, WA, 98252

I strongly think that Net neutrality and title two must be preserved. I fully support keeping these laws to preserve my freedom to accessing the internet.

516. Arjun Akkala, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net neutrality wanted

517. Net Neutrality, Kirkland, WA, 98034

The internet needs to be completely open in all forms. Allowing Internet Service Providers to filter what their clients have access to will affect me as a consumer and a citizen negatively. If the government does give ISP's the power to filter content then we're basically china but instead of the government doing it we're just letting corporations. The point of the internet is to have free flowing information. As a gamer and streamer a majority of my time is spent online with people from around the world. ISP's have one job: providing internet. Not filtering it. I support Title II classification and Net Neutrality rulings, removing them will greatly affect me

negatively.

518. Christopher Brew, Bothell, WA, 98011
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! To this end, I am OPPOSED to Docket No. 17-108! This proposition would endanger rules that are essential to a fair and competitive internet and would only serve to secure and empower the monopolies that are already in place. Docket No. 17-108 should not and cannot be passed.
519. Net Neutrality, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please maintain net neutrality by leaving Title II in place. The regulations put in place during the Obama administration were supported by research and critical thinking. Do not let greed and ignorance hurt the American people.
520. Anthony Stillman, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Internet net neutrality under title 2 will help is positive.
521. Brian Peterson, bothell, WA, 98021
Title 2 for internet providers should not be a partisan issue and should remain in place. ISPs already have too much power and influence and they absolutely will use their power to influence the internet by slowing down bittorrent traffic (they already have tried this and the FCC stepped in) to slowing down streaming video (they already did this with Netflix by refusing to upgrade key servers handling Netflix traffic). Net neutrality by definition is anti-regulation, by removing it you are allowing the ISPs to regulate the internet. Thank you!
522. Ms Donna Johnson, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. We, as consumers, need & deserve the protections that net neutrality affords us. I am tired of this administration doing everything they can to enrich and help corporations and the already wealthy at the expense of "we the people". We will not continue to tolerate these abuses of power. Preserve Net neutrality and Title II. Thank you.
523. Colby, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I support Net Neutrality and Title 2! Do not take that away. Thank you!
524. Alan McConchie, Bellingham, WA, 98226
I am strongly opposed to any change in FCC regulations that weakens Net Neutrality. All types of internet content must be treated equally. The free and equal flow of all kinds of information is critical to our democracy. I urge the FCC to continue to uphold the principles of net neutrality, and not to weaken these important protections!
525. Pablo Barcenas, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I support Net Neutrality. It is vital to avoid Internet monopolies (especially since most regions only have one ISP), and for a functioning democracy where the free exchange of ideas and services is not restricted by predatory business interests.

526. net neutrality, Kirkland, WA, 98033
As someone who has worked in the mobile wireless industry maintaining net neutrality is a MUST to ensure the public is given equal access to any and all providers. Anything less than that puts the choice into the hands of corporations. Please ensure that net neutrality and title 2 is maintained. This goes to the ideology of "freedom of choice".
527. Hanspeter Ziegler, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please keep ISPs regulated as Title 2. There is plenty of evidence that companies will use openings in the law to get away with what they can at the expense of their consumers. They are only beholden to the money that they make. Regulating as Title 2, just like many other utilities, just makes sense in our increasingly internet connected world.
528. Jordan Beland, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support Title II classification for ISPs
529. Rory Bogel, Kirkland, WA, 98033
As an American Citizen, I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's, and urge the FCC to maintain this
530. Michella Pagliaro, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support Net Neutrality and the Open Internet. Please keep Title II classification for ISPs.
531. Melinda Johnson, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please do NOT roll back net neutrality. I believe this violates our rights to internet access. I suspect Trump would like to roll it back and likely will have some monetary gain or will be assisting his super rich friends.
532. Rodrigo Perez, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support strong net neutrality backed up by division II regulations on ISPs
533. Harshu, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
The American people have spoken, and the overwhelming consensus was that we want the government to enforce Net Neutrality. This gave you a pretty clear mandate to do exactly what you did by invoking title II and enacting net neutrality. I DO NOT WANT fast lanes, slow lanes, and corporate censorship. Getting rid of net neutrality is NOT what America wanted and quite frankly, I'm still amazed this is even under consideration.
534. Tom Vinita, Redmond, WA, 98052
Most big telecom companies in America are major internet and cable providers, and Comcast has already taken steps in the past to disrupt service for Netflix so their cable service can better compete. This is just one example of how a lack of strong net neutrality regulations can stifle innovation by allowing large, entrenched businesses to choke new competitors out of business by forcing them to compete on

an unfair playing field. Don't let the same crony capitalism that has infested our political institutions infect the internet.

535. Valerie Shinn, Bellingham, WA, 98225
Do not get rid of strong net neutrality regulations provided by Title II oversight of ISPs. I strongly support the regulation imposed on companies to provide access to all services equally.
536. Alex, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2. Don't mess this up for the US just because you just want money.
537. Bryan Ferris, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net neutrality allows the internet to be a free-market system; if we allow ISP's to influence browsing choices by changing the environment, instead of by creating a higher-quality product, everyone is worse off. For this reason, it is important that we keep strong regulations in place to ensure that net neutrality is preserved.
538. justin, Mount vernon, WA, 98274
I support net neutrality stop mess with the internet for the profit of big business
539. Andrew LaChance, Redmond, WA, 98053
I fully support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs (Internet Service Providers).
540. Stacy Flood, Redmond, WA, 98052
PLEASE PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY! AND SMALLER MUGS! ;-)
541. anthony leisner, Sultan, WA, 98294
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISP's. Keep the internet a free place!
542. Jacob Ames, Monroe, WA, 98272
I specifically support strong net neutrality legislation backed by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers. This is an incredibly important necessity in order to promote fair, equal access to information and content and to avoid preferential treatment for large corporations.
543. Meghan Smet, Redmond, WA, 98052
It is highly important to me to maintain net neutrality by keeping ISPs defined under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. We cannot rely on corporations to self-govern their actions when profit is on the line.
544. Jorge, Monroe, WA, 98272
I want the internet neutrality regulated under the title 2.
545. Michael Kidd, Everett, WA, 98208

Keep Net Neutrality open and do not allow big companies the option to slow down access to anyone or any company.

546. Meagan Dooley, Bellingham, WA, 98226

Protecting internet neutrality is the hallmark of a democratic system that values open access to information, freedom of speech, and freedom of the press. Allowing internet providers to restrict service to only those that can pay undermines these values. Internet providers already have a monopoly in most areas of the country, where consumers have to pay high prices for the only provider in town. Undoing net neutrality laws will restrict information to only those that can pay, which undermines research, education, and academic efforts in addition to personal freedoms and liberties.

547. Noel Wade, Redmond, WA, 98053

It is important to preserve Net Neutrality and the existing Title 2 status of ISPs and related businesses. The current regulation under Title 2 is the most-effective means we currently have for ensuring that networks and data-providers do not succumb to financial pressures that would drive them to treat data preferentially. In our current society, freedom of expression and freedom of information are inherently bounded by the internet access that commercial enterprises give to citizens. While there's nothing wrong with profit in and of itself, it would be disastrous for our democracy to allow profit motives or business interests to interfere with these freedoms. Many aspects of our current society - from entertainment to education to even critical functions of the government - are dependent upon uninhibited internet access. The government is the only entity that can rise above commercial interests and ensure that this access is preserved for all citizens, and for the good functioning of the government itself.

548. Kris Weber, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Absolutely do NOT repeal net neutrality laws. The Internet needs to be free and open in order for knowledge to be shared equally among all.

549. Tim Olson, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I strongly urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality and Title II regulation of each and every ISP.

550. Brian Smith, Granite Falls, WA, 98252

I support net neutrality. Represent actual people not corporations.

551. Frederick Shean, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Net Neutrality is a fundamental policy of the common usage of the Internet. Keeping the Internet Service Providers as regulated under the title II clarification will not only protect my internet access as free and clear as it could be but it will also support economic opportunity by protecting small startups capable in competing with established corporations who have would be in a position to out spend small organizations. The Internet we have today was grown in an environment of net neutrality the title II classification was only enacted after Verizon attack that

neutrality in court under title I. The court advised that the FCC needed to reclassify ISP as title II entities to protect net neutrality.

552. Jose De Oliveira, Redmond, WA, 98052

Please keep innovation alive by protecting data producers - small and large - ensuring unhindered access to the communications infrastructure, free of tariffs, taxes, rates or any other mechanism that could differentiate access to content for customers. Encourage competition by allowing customers - and not intermediary infrastructure owners - to dictate winners and losers in the data content market.

553. Marshall Bjerke, Redmond, WA, 98052

I 100% fully support net neutrality and believe that the internet should be classified as a title II utility. Please do not take away power from the american people and give it to big businesses. This move would be anti-competitive and break the internet as we know it today. The internet is one of the most important pieces of technology over the past 50 years and it would be devastating to see it ruined by bad policy.

554. Roman Powell, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. The internet needs to stay open and not controlled by large corporations.

555. Parker Berman, Woodinville, WA, 98072

It would be hurtful to the American people if the FCC removed net neutrality protections. These measures protect the consumer, and have not proved harmful to American businesses that are doing well enough. Also, Ajit Pai's mug is ridiculous, and he can shove it up his ass. Seriously, what lawyer and public official brags about having a Reese's branded coffee mug, and why does he need that gallon of coffee at once?

556. Jeffrey Reinecke, Snohomish, WA, 98296

I am filing today to express my support for the classification of Internet Service Providers as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 . I strongly encourage the FCC to protect Net Neutrality by regulating against paid prioritization of internet data by said ISPs. It is of paramount importance to private citizens and small business owners that the data rates and costs are not varied by where the data comes from, as this would allow a few large corporations to censor information and to hobble or destroy companies that they wish to compete with.

557. Derrick McLean, Bothell, WA, 98021

Net neutrality is vital for the continued innovation that has lead to the greatest increase in wealth our history has ever known. Everyone on the internet will benefit from the preservation of Net neutrality and the Title II classification. There are some short term corporate gains that could be made by changing the classification of the internet, but the cost of this will be exponential as years of stifled innovation will hamper the continued technological supremacy of the United States.

558. Mike Koss, Hunts Point, WA, 98004

You must preserve net neutrality. We must not have common carriers priveleging some content sources over others.

559. David T Douthit, Redmond, WA, 98053
Do not remove the Title 2 classification currently attached to ISP providers. Moving them to Title 1 classification will remove a protection citizens currently enjoy. Any change, as suggested by the chairman, is reckless and driven by his personal bias from his pervious Verizon days. Citizens deserve the higher standard for OUR protection. Contact me if you require additional discussion.
560. Andrey Stroilov, Bothell, WA, 98011
I am in support of strong Net Neutrality and oversight of ISPs.
561. Samuel Wang, Clyde Hill, WA, 98004
Please uphold the principles of Net Neutrality and the Title II classification of ISPs. This is vitally important to preserve the ability of citizens to freely access media content via the Internet, specifically because corporations cannot be trusted to refrain from throttling speeds in an attempt to control which services consumers will choose to use.
562. Kerry Cassady, Monroe, WA, 98272
I support preserving strong net neutrality laws & title II oversight over ISPs.
563. Jared Palmer, Bothell, WA, 98011
Keep net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Removing these gives ISPs too much power over traffic and will be a detriment to small internet business.
564. Julie, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please save Net Neutrality! Keep the title 2 in place. And keep my ISP un fucked by the major providers! This is getting out of hand! AJit Pai is just trying trying to pull the wool over everyone's eyes.
565. ben james, Woodenville, WA, 98072
You need to preserve net neutrality and title 2 coverage of isp. Please do not ruin another thing like every other conservative has done before.
566. Andrew Gothro, Bothell, WA, 98012
the internet should be free, meaning largely uncontrolled by near monopolies.
567. Max Klassen, Redmond, WA, 98052
Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thanks
568. Martin Calsyn, Redmond, WA, 98053
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. The Internet should be treated as a utility and available to everyone without bias or restriction. I am a software developer and the creator of internet

protocols and services dating back to 1992 and have multiple internet-related patents and papers. The value and healthy growth of the Internet is best served by the content-neutral dissemination of data over the internet, and the free flow of information serves the best interests of our Democracy.

569. Lindsey Tusing, Carnation, WA, 98014
We support strong Net Neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISP's.
570. Marc Crawford, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I fully support strong net neutrality back by Title II over site of ISP's.
571. Joseph Button, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support strong Net Neutrality laws. ESPECIALLY Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Without this regulatory ability, there is no guarantee that ISP's protect their users right to connect to all sites equally. This is a necessary regulation, as even the UN has stated that access to fair internet is a basic human right(<http://www.businessinsider.com/un-says-internet-access-is-a-human-right-2016-7>). Having ISP's that are allowed to meter or maliciously undermine competitors disrupts the freedom of the internet, and would undermine small businesses ability to compete in online marketplaces.
572. Elizabeth Mohn, Redmond, WA, 98053
Please preserve Title II. Do not jeopardize net neutrality.
573. Karl, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Keep the internet under Title II, keep the current FCC rules.
574. Matthew Hartman, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I fully support strong, Title II regulation of internet service providers. Without regulation, there is no motivation for ISPs to provide neutral access to all online services and a strong incentive to manipulate traffic to their benefit. Nothing short of a law or other regulation will maintain the standard of access that we have today. Please protect consumers' rights by maintaining Title II classification of ISPs.
575. Martin Coetzer, Redmond, WA, 98053
I strongly support net neutrality under a Title II Communications Act.
576. Udit Ranasaria, Redmond, WA, 98053
We need Net Neutrality to stay on the legal foothold of Title II. The ISPs will take advantage of it. Please don't change it.
577. James, Everett, WA, 98208
I specifically back strong net neutrality regulations backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It is very important to maintain a free and open internet.
578. Ross Kristof, Bothell, WA, 98021
I've worked in the tech field for over a decade. In that time I've worked with a wide

array of networking, server, and general infrastructure. Net Neutrality is critical to the success of every single company I've worked at. I frankly don't understand why this is even being considered a reasonable course of action. If you understand anything about how the internet works Title II protections are absolutely necessary. Whats even stranger is all my coworkers have felt the same. The only people that seem to want to kill net neutrality are those outside the industry. I am opposed to Docker No. 17-108 as it undoes these critical protections.

579. Caleb Stromberg, Woodinville, WA, 98077

I support Title II oversight of ISPs. As much as I trust companies to "voluntarily agree [...] to not obstruct or slow consumer access to web content", I would feel much more confident in explicit regulation, rather than false promises. And if you're willing to agree to not do this in the first place, I don't see why you wouldn't want to be held to it.

580. Cynthia MacDuff, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Please maintain net neutrality as it now stands under Title II. Thank You!

581. Steven Malis, Redmond, WA, 98052

I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. ISPs have shown repeatedly that they are willing to screw over their customers and deliver poor service if it allows them to make an extra buck, and Mr. Pai's comments indicating otherwise are disgraceful.

582. David Alan Clemens, Bothell, WA, 98021

Net neutrality is once again being proposed, and that is ridiculous. No consumer wants net neutrality. Stop trying to get slightly richer by hurting the rest of us you scum. Also nice try on making it harder for us to comment on this issue. John Oliver FOR THE WIN!!!

583. Emma Lindberg, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I am in favor of and demand strong net neutrality backed by Title II.

584. Joseph Asmussen, Redmond, WA, 98053

Change nothing, I don't think that companies are not to be trusted I just don't want them to start messing with any aspect of my browsing habits.

585. Timothy Hobbs, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I strongly oppose removing net neutrality regulations. A key part of the freemarket system is allowing businesses to reach their customers with equal ease. Imagine if an automaker charged companies money to allow the driver to park in that companies parking lot. Or, that telephone companies would automatically put customers on hold unless a business paid for imediate connection. These thoughts are absurd. Allowing internet carriers to selectively slow down websites is both unfair and borderline libelous.

586. tony, Snohomish, WA, 98290

Please keep net neutrality don't provide preferences to individual ISP. Every ISP to be equal in access to providing content.

587. John Todd, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 legislation, don't try and pull this shit again.
588. Benjamin C. Brandon, Sultan, WA, 98294
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. it is important that corporations cannot regulate our internet usage based on revenue. The internet should be kept free for a person to chose which products and services they want to use.
589. sheryl manjarrez, Redmond, WA, 98052
Requesting -Do NOT slow internet service. I oppose any potential changes that would give IRL company's the option of slowing service
590. caren warga, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Preserve title 2, and preserve net neutrality!
591. Garrett Beslow, Duvall, WA, 98019
1) Keep net neutrality - it is vitally important to our country. I strongly support having ISPs backed by Title II oversight - and simply expecting them all to 'keep neutral in good faith' is ridiculous. If that was the case, then WHY the push to reclassify as something other than Title II? Please restore faith in our government by listening to the people.2) no reason to make commenting difficult on your website, it means you're scared of backlash, regardless of what it's for.
592. Doug Fink, Blaine, WA, 98230
Don't remove the regulations supporting net neutrality, these protect the free market place.
593. Aadharsh Kannan, Redmond, WA, 98053
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai,I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. The internet is a public utility and it has to remain so otherwise we will impede entrepreneurship in the tech space significantly.Thanks!
594. Joe Schwab, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support net neutrality backed by Title2 oversight of ISPs.
595. Sean Bollinger, Bothell, WA, 98011
I support Net Neutrality and title 2 status for ISPs. Getting rid of net neutrality is greatly against public interest and benefits nobody except large telecom corporations.
596. Kaleb Lovingier, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273

I would like my future to be one involving strong net neutrality with title 2 oversight of ISPs. The internet should remain free and not ravaged by capitalist ideals.

597. Evan Christensen, Bothell, WA, 98011
I support strong protections for net neutrality by classifying ISPs under Title 2.
598. Andrew, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please protect Net Neutrality under title II....our government is supposed to represent the interests of the people and simply not those who spell their last name C.O.R.P.O.R.A.T.I.O.N.Prove to me that Pai is more than a corporate shill!
599. Jennifer Patterson, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Please continue to regulate internet service providers under title II and keep the current net neutrality regulations in place.
600. Devin Sinha, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support strong net neutrality rules to support a free and open internet. I am a professional software developer at Microsoft, and in my personal and professional life strongly believe that a free and open internet is essential.
601. Christopher Bellis, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Net Neutrality is an important part of a free and open internet, which has ushered in the most powerful economy in many decades. Removing net neutrality will threaten that growth, and threaten the opportunity that is presented by the internet for new companies to form. I strongly suggest that the FCC keep net neutrality rules in place to keep the internet the free and open marketplace of ideas that it has been for many years.
602. Matt Greenway, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Ajit Pai is a Moron. The reason for the Net Neutrality is a Title 2 is because his last Private Sector Employer, Verizon, Sued the FCC because Title 1 was toothless. If he did get his JD from Harvard, I would be shocked, since I'd expect any Harvard Grad to have the Research skills necessary to ascertain how something as important as Net Neutrality got the position it is. His assertion that there's no evidence of malfeasance by ISP's is ludicrous, and he either didn't do the research or he did and he's a bald face liar head. There is ample evidence. All he has to do is check with Verizon, Comcast, Google, or Netflix, and there he will find lots of lawsuits, again as a lawyer he should know how to look this up, against each other for blocking content and/or slowing connections down based on content choice.
603. M Arlan, Bothell, WA, 98021
I urge the FCC to maintain internet neutrality regulations including Title II directives.
604. joseph jones, Mount vernon, WA, 98273
Please keep our internet neutral. Uphold title 2 oversight of ISPs.

605. Rachel Anderson, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
I fully support strong net neutrality and the Title II oversight for ISPs.
606. MJS, Morgantown, WA, 26508
As a customer of a smaller ISP, I support net neutrality. To let the big boys pay for prime bandwidth is unfair to the population. Equal bandwidth is a right of every consumer
607. Breana Nguyen, mount vernon, WA, 98273
I am in support of Strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am opposed to docket No.17-108
608. Steve Joanou, Redmond, WA, 98052
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108! Let's keep the Internet fair and let it evolve continuing to bring tremendous value.
609. Ann M Carnie, Carnation, WA, 98014
I support net neutrality! DO NOT UNDO IT!!! The protection against allowing ISPs to throttle traffic is extremely critical to an open and free internet. Undoing net neutrality would be a disaster for the internet as we know it, and give power to companies to enrich themselves and their cronies (like the FCC CHAIRMAN!!!!)
610. Jean Wedenig, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I fully support net neutrality. We need to preserve net neutrality and title 2 to ensure fair and equal access to the internet for all.
611. Christopher Hill, Kirkland, WA, 98033
It is important to keep the Internet open and free of conflicts of interest. This can continue to be done by keeping it under Title II.
612. David Denhart, Redmond, WA, 98053
I support STRONG Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs)!!
613. Liz, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support Net Neutrality and ask the FCC to be vigilant to protect it.
614. Travis Harrington, woodinville, WA, 98027
I strongly support Title II regulation of Internet Service Providers. We need to regulate ISP's, to keep them from using their position in the market place to hinder newcomers or competition.
615. Matthew Filetto, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Do not roll back net neutrality. With the current administration there is no doubt the press will be affected

616. Lihi Ofek, Redmond, WA, 98052
Allowing companies to overturn net neutrality would allow for the formation of monopolies, able to control the market and deny certain people their connection with little to no repercussions.
617. Allen Minner, Carnation, WA, 98014
I support net neutrality. We need a level playing field and do not need companies slowing down their competitors products by throttling back speeds. This is one of the most important things for Americans in the 21 century.
618. Frank Dee, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Retain net neutrality through continued classification under the provisions of Title 2.
619. Carl Lee, Bothell, WA, 98012
I support Net Neutrality and the protections offered all users of the Internet by keeping strong enforcement under Title 2
620. Pete Ferreira, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net neutrality is important to my work on the internet, both professionally and personally.
621. Randall L Blatterman, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support current Title 2 and net neutrality regulations.
622. Clark Morse, Bothell, WA, 98021
I support net neutrality in its current form under Title 2. Please do not remove title 2 protections.
623. Timothy, Carnation, WA, 98014
I support true net neutrality backed by Title II. If the current administration goes against this they will lose this informed constituent as well as many MANY people who support a free and equally accessible Internet
624. Jay, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 now!
625. Emma Drazkowski, Redmond, WA, 98053
I support strong net neutrality laws, and don't support the abolition of them currently be proposed by our government.
626. Michael S. Dean, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I completely support open Net Neutrality rules through Title II regulation.
627. Garret Boling, My Vernon, WA, 98273
I am commenting to ensure that the internet stays open and accessible to everyone. Do not take away Article II and ensure that companies and individuals have access to internet speeds that have in no way been changed to limit competitors.

628. Bryan McLean, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Please for the love of God, please do not pass this repeal. Net neutrality is a cornerstone of the free transfer of information.
629. Kathryn Alva, Bothell, WA, 98021
I urge you to please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I'm worried that revoking protections will open up conflicts of interest for providers and everyone should have the right to access the web equally, regardless of the service they choose. Thank you
630. Samir Saluja, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I support STRONG Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I hope that the career FCC employees understand how repugnant the new proposals are. Please secure an open internet future for your kids.
631. Michelle Shepardson, Redmond, WA, 98052
We absolutely need to keep net neutrality in order to keep a free and open internet. The internet has become a necessary commodity for everyone, and access to/use of it should not be hampered or restricted by corporations or business interests. Please keep the internet open for everyone.
632. Mary Davis, Everett, WA, 98208
I strongly stand for preserving internet neutrality under Title II regulation. Commercial interests cannot be trusted to self regulate under Title I rules. I contend that any movement that would serve to erode the current state of net neutrality is against the interests of the American consumer and would deter future innovations. The lines of communication must be regulated to ensure equal speed and access to all users and providers of content. Never has a tool in history so profoundly impacted mankind like the internet, and as we and our society evolve, we must move to protect the digital environment we have created and upon which our lives and our prosperity depend.
633. Janet Higgins, Bothell, WA, 98011
I want ISPs to stay as Title 2 entities.
634. G K, Blaine, WA, 98230
I support net neutrality with strong Title II protection.
635. Patrick Keith, Bothell, WA, 98012
I am strongly in support of strong net neutrality legislation. Big companies should not be able to throttle internet speed and thusly shut out smaller services and companies. The internet should be equal for everyone. That is the point of the internet's free exchange of ideas and information.
636. Raven T, bothell, WA, 98012
I am storngly in support of strong net nueutrality. the inventor of the net did not patent his idea so that all people could have equal access. This would hurt that goal.

637. Luke Icenhower, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I fully and wholeheartedly support net neutrality, and will actively be reaching out to my senators and representatives to ensure that they work to keep the current regulations in place. Any attempt to dismantle the previous administration's rulings will be met with resistance. Listen to the American people! REALLY listen! Don't just tow the party line.
638. Sara Neal, Darrington, WA, 98241
Net neutrality needs to be upheld, and enforced under Title II. It is vital that access to information and online services remain equal for all individuals regardless of ISP. It is frankly reprehensible that the FCC would even consider impugning on net neutrality a second time, and prioritize corporations' interests over individuals. Corporations should not have more rights and control than actual human beings.
639. Robert C. Ingebretsen, Redmond, WA, 98052
I run and own a web development company and have specific professional knowledge of business practices related to the Internet and I STRONGLY support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
640. Michael Barber, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Ajit Pai - I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of all ISP's. Michael Barber
641. Barry Rutten, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please preserve the regulation of Internet Service Providers under the current Title 2 definition. I do not want the definition switched back to Title 1. I have grave concerns that as ISPs produce and distribute their own content and strike distribution deals, there will be great incentive to slow competitive content.
642. Michael Cruz, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I unequivocally support net neutrality. ISPs must remain under Title II classification in order to ensure Net Neutrality. The inverse investment that Ajit Pai is claiming is happening in the market right now as a result of Title II classification, a baldheaded falsehood. The FCC should not be making moves that would make it easier for companies to shape internet traffic to generate profits.
643. Genevieve Ward, Redmond, WA, 98052
I'm currently a student. I need net neutrality because if America loses it, then I won't be able to study as effectively anymore. Certain websites will be slowed down because they won't be able to pay more to internet providers, which will cause me to either spend more time on a project than I have to (and already I can expect several hours of homework every night) or use less reliable sources that load faster.
644. Walter Wilson, Redmond, WA, 98052
I am very opposed to docket NO 17-108. True internet neutrality doesn't stem from oppressive laws.

645. 17-108 Restoring Internet Freedom, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Do not eliminate net neutrality and Title 2. To do so may lead to large internet providers slowing down content from competitors and lead to adverse impacts on consumers. The FCC is in place to protect and regulate in the best interest of consumers.
646. aleta bowers, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support Net Neutrality and regulation of ISPs under Title II.
647. Jonathan H Parker, Kirkland, WA, 98033
To Ajit Pai and the FCC,I support preservation of strong net neutrality under title 2 oversight of ISPs. Also, you resemble a dingo.Sincerely,Jonathan H Parker
648. Terence Hosken, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I'm strongly in favor of maintaining strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
649. Alan Douglas, Bothell, WA, 98012
Please continue to support net neutrality. I need this in order to improve my career.
650. Eric Campbell, Redmond, WA, 98052
I don't like being used as leverage when ISP's want to strongarm content companies to pay connection fees. I also don't like the chance that this could allow ISP's to prioritize their own content and services and downgrade competitors. We can already see versions of this happening with ISP's implementing restrictive data caps while zero rating their own content. Many people's choice of broadband 'competition' is DSL/satellite (and therefore not really a good alternative to cable/fios), or is also doing the same anticonsumer practices (data caps, throttling, etc.), so consumers can't really choose with their wallets. In a perfect world where ISP's really cared about helping consumers, net neutrality wouldn't be an issue. But again with the implementation of data caps and restrictions of municipal broadband, we can see this isn't really the case. Don't take away the ability to police ISP's.
651. Kevin Johnson, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support strong ISP oversight under Title 2 of the Communications act - do not let ISPs manipulate my Internet access or optimize certain content/providers over others based on (for example) which provider or advertiser is paying them more.
652. Nicolas Elguezabal, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II ISPs.
653. William King, Redmond, WA, 98052
I am strongly in favor of the continued regulation of ISPs under Title II.
654. John Koffley, Kirkland, WA, 98034
The continued protection of net neutrality under Title 2 is essential to a fair and equal internet for all. Allowing any entity to buy their way to a greater bandwidth or

undermine the transmission rate of a competitor in any fashion is a deplorable idea. The proposed changes by the current FCC chairman are clearly intended to continue the work of his former employer under the guise of public interest. While the base of his support stems from the vast clown car of America that enabled his appointment to this office, he should be reminded that these are the same pitchfork toting lemmings that will come for him when they realise you have throttled their porn down to dial-up rates in favor of whatever crap FOX is airing at the moment. You proceed with this plan at your own peril. Walmart is holding its annual torch and pitchfork clearance sale.

655. Alexandra Craswell, Redmond, WA, 98052

I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs™s

656. Scott Barker, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I am very strongly in support of Net Neutrality, supported by Title II classification by ISPs as well as strongly oversight, regulation, and fines for violations. The availability of information, media, and communication should not be restricted or hindered by those who have the deepest pockets.

657. greg garland, Snohomish, WA, 98290

I support title 2. Corporations should not be able to force any person to watch or listen to any content they please. Controlling download speeds to push the corporations content over another is not right. Please let us choose for our selves.

658. Marc Lechowicz, Mt Vernon, WA, 98273

I am for net neutrality as it is, under title 2. Don't change it.

659. Lawrence Joel, Woodinville, WA, 98072

I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by Titled II oversight of ISPs. I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108. Companies still have a competitive edge with Net Neutrality and without it there is a higher chance for companies to abuse how content/services are provided to people.

660. K. Simon, Redmond, WA, 98052

The FCC should maintain net neutrality and keep internet under Title II.

661. Rose Marie Holt, Kenmore, WA, 98028

I implore you to continue to regulate internet service providers under Title 2 in particular to preserve net neutrality

662. Michael Lawson, Bothell, WA, 98011

Keep net neutrality laws in tact!

663. Paige Lui, Redmond, WA, 98053

I support strong net neutrality regulations backed by Title II, and the continued regulation of ISPs. Don't mess with my internet and the benefit to actual citizens for the sake of big business!

664. Michael Reisenbichler, Bothell, WA, 98011
The Internet is important and changing the current net neutrality rules would be extremely harmful to the USA and our interests. Do NOT change the current Net Neutrality rules!
665. Melanie Van Wyk, Everett, WA, 98208
Please maintain the regulations around net neutrality. It's critical that ALL Americans get equal internet service/access.
666. David Lee, Redmond, WA, 98052
Do not charge net neutrality as it is currently defined. The only reason you would change it is for abuse and profit. Shame on you, fcc chairman.
667. David Lykins, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Please do not weaken net neutrality rules or Title II requirements. Keep the internet fair for all!
668. Virginia Lawson, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Support strong net neutrality-
669. Matthew Crisler, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support classification under Title II to ensure an open and free internet.
670. Randy Edwards, Redmond, WA, 98053
I am totally behind keeping net neutrality in place. Do not compromise on this.
671. Robert Mortensen, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
Preserve Net Neutrality. I request that all ISPs continue to conform to Title 2 Regulation.
672. Devesh Srivastava, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please preserve the net neutrality. The user should be choosing what to see and where to see based on their own choice and not on any other factor affected by ISPs. This will kill the creators on many website. This will also kill competition.
673. susie lykins, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Please do not weaken net neutrality and maintain title 2.
674. Torie McDaniel, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I strongly support net neutrality! Please dont repeal current regulations!! Perserve title 2 please!
675. Nate Close, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I strongly support net neutrality and title 2. Please don't reduce the regulations keeping isp companies from altering my internet download and upload speeds to whatever site or application for wish to use. You know itd be wrong to allow ISP companies the ability to do this, they would take advantage of it

676. kjm, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Say no to Trump dismantling net neutrality.
677. Lindsay Kocker, Redmond, WA, 98052
This proceeding will cause loosing internet neutrality. Big companies cannot be trusted with a value that does not bring them profit. It's nobody's fault, that's how the system works. It has happened before (Comcast throttling youtube, forcing them to show a video quality report to a customer, that was experiencing difficulties, exposing that it was, in fact, Comcast's fault)An ISP shouldn't be able to force a customer to pay for different types of internet activity, same as a utilities provider can't charge people for better quality of water. They're both just providers. Additionally, such a move will invoke the possibility of conflicts of interest between providers and 3rd party companies. Internet is such a wide business, that you can always find such a conflict (if not anything else then just turning off their competitor's web pages for example)This situation, if implemented, will cause a huge public outcry. I'm sure if it does it's going to get fixed eventually, but it's better to do the right thing from the start.To add a serious tone to my words, I would seriously consider moving away from this country if Internet neutrality was permanently lost. America stands for healthy business, not one that can trample the people whenever they can.
678. Mary Pendleton, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Net Neutrality is critical to keep corporate ISPs in check. Appropriate regulation is a critical function of a healthy government. Do not let that former Verizon Lawyer have his way by destroying good regulations such as Title II classification of ISPs. Keep corporate greed in check and protect the people. Maintain Title II classification for ISPs. Corporations should not have the right to force thier preferred, more profitable content upon the public by way of choking access to alternative content. Remember, Corporations' sole purpose is to increase shareholder wealth, even if it means sacrificing social and environmental welfare, slowing product development to squeeze more profit for less technology and limiting the choices available to the consumer. When the Government protects Corporate interests at the expense of the taxpayer, the system has failed.
679. Michael Israel, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I support the strongest net neutrality possible, under Title II classification of ISPs.
680. Stacy Drake, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Internet Service needs to stay under Title II. Rolling it back to some "gentlemen's agreement" that the internet providers will do the right thing and not slow services or speed up others is ridiculous. It does not impact investment and it does not help the American people have access to information as intended. DO NOT ROLL BACK. Stay under Title II.
681. Jose Serrano Castro, Redmond, WA, 98052
Keep tittle 2 and Net Neutrality

682. Carrie, Kenmore, WA, 98028

I am writing to express my strong support for net neutrality backed by Title 2. Net neutrality is essential for a free Internet.

683. David Campana, Kirkland, WA, 98033

An open internet has changed the world we live in. I do not trust the ISPs to preserve equal access unless they are legally required. Please maintain Title II status for internet access.

684. Vincent Schweitzer, Snohomish, WA, 98290

We strongly support a free internet and Title 2 regulation

685. Tyler Norton, Carnation, WA, 98014

I support a free and open internet via the Title II protections. Do not revoke our existing safeguards and hand control of the internet over to the media companies.

686. Gayle Lee, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273

Please preserve net neutrality and keep ISPs as title 2.

687. Kevin, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

You need to preserve net neutrality and title 2! We deserve freedom of internet usage.

688. Greg Winters, Snohomish, WA, 98296

In order to prevent ISPs from being able to reduce the freedom of the web by prioritizing some web traffic over others, please leave ISPs subject to Title II rather than changing to Title I or something even less restrictive.

689. ken brookner, lummi island, WA, 98262

I do NOT support the rollback of net neutrality protections. I am in favor of keeping Title II oversight of all ISPs and wireless carriers. I support real, actual net neutrality under Title II.

690. James d pefley, clearlake, WA, 98235

Please leave isp's as title 2 entities.

691. Jason Wakema, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Net neutrality is hugely important to free speech and free market competition. It needs to be meaningfully enforced. There is clear legal precedent indicating that Title I would not provide that meaningful enforcement. There is a long history of common carrier legal protections in the US and the internet clearly should be included in that category. Failing that similar protections should be maintained through other legal means. There is no reason to believe that cable companies should have unrestricted control of such an important mode of communication and commerce. Such control would not be in the public's interest. It would not be in best interest of economic growth. It would only serve to enrich a few companies. Weakening net neutrality is bad policy, bad economics, and bad politics.

692. Bryan, Redmond, WA, 98052
Hello, Being a regular user of the internet (in addition to being employed by the tech industry) I strongly support strong, enforceable net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. I do not trust ISPs to act in the consumer's best interest in regards to how the internet is handled, and it is the government's responsibility to keep them honest. The fact that this is even a debate is frankly, pathetic. It is very obviously anti-consumer, and I hope that the legislators/advisers/public servants have the moral fiber and backbone to do what is right for the American people.
693. Shannon Fleming, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Preserve NET NEUTRALITY and TITLE II. I don't want companies who get tax breaks deciding what content I receive.
694. Tom Carothers, Bothell, WA, 98021
I believe comprehensive 'net neutrality' is best served via the requirements set forth by Title II.
695. Robert Harris, North Bend, WA, 98045
I support Net Neutrality as currently exists under Title 2 regulation. Do not roll back regulations & empower monopolies. Big conflict of interest for a former industry insider - FCC Chairman with really bad tastes in coffee mugs.
696. Don Fleming, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
I would prefer to choose my own internet provider and not be limited to the providers that have the most money and would direct my traffic to their propaganda. Thanks.
697. Kristen Bendixsen, Everett, WA, 98208
we must preserve Title II classification for Internet Service Providers in order to preserve NET NEUTRALITY
698. bill koehler, snohomish, WA, 98296
WTF are you thinking? dropping net neutrality is a joke, everyone in congress/senate and at FCC should have your browsing history published, and then you can go fcc yourself.
699. Joanne Leatiota, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
I strongly support net neutrality, and I want Title II to be preserved!
700. Corwin Swanson, redmond, WA, 98052
I strongly oppose the Restoring Internet Freedom act. I furthermore would like to strongly show my support of both net neutrality and Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
701. Matt Anderson, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I support strong net neutrality enforced by title II regulation. Preserve net neutrality rules enforced by title II.

702. Brendan, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I do not want internet companies to have the ability to throttle internet connections based on the content I choose to enjoy on the Internet! Thanks for your time and I hope you have a wonderful day.
703. Stephanie Bowsher, Bothell, WA, 98012
I support net neutrality, I don't want to see any of the rules changed. I support the obama era rules.
704. Walt Mahoney, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Maintain net neutrality. So one or company should have faster service. Everyone that accesses the internet should have the same speed and equal access
705. Justin Tran, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Preserve net neutrality and title II classification.
706. Nila Desai, REDMOND, WA, 98052
To Ajit Pai, I support Net Neutrality under the oversight of Title 2. Do not reverse it and allow ISPs to control Internet content and experience. Listen to the People's voice. Thank you Nila
707. Valerie Marquis, Snoqualmie, WA, 98065
Please preserve the rules governing Net Neutrality and Title II authority used to enforce them. Maintaining a free and open internet is essential to ensuring a free and open democracy.
708. joshua wixson, sultan, WA, 98294
I ask that you maintain net neutrality. This is important because heavy cash companies will pay to increase their speeds, which in turn means their competitors are not on equal footing for access to customers. Imagine if the companies with the deepest pockets during the Dot.com bubble were able to essentially route customers to their websites. Maybe, innovative companies like Amazon, or Google may never have been able to compete with 90's giants like AOL and Microsoft. Equal Footing to the internet drives innovation. Innovation drives economic growth. Economic growth drives prosperity.
709. Mandy Schwarzinger, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please uphold Net Neutrality and do not allow big businesses dictate what I decide to do with my internet connection. Thank you!
710. Steve Silver, Granite falls, WA, 98252
Please preserve internet neutrality under title 2. Thank you.
711. Louis Hoffman, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Please protect our net neutrality. Do not undo the protections we have in place today. Thank you.

712. net neutrality, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Don't take away our freedom of choosing what internet services we want to use.
713. Oriana Klocek, Duvall, WA, 98019
I SPECIFICALLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE TWO OVERSIGHT OF ISPs. Do not destroy what has essentially become a vital utility for all Americans.
714. Jens Molbak, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Please preserve Net Neutrality. An open, neutral internet is essential to innovation and entrepreneurship as well as neutral access to information.
715. Katie D, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Please maintain the current net neutrality regulations by keeping ISPs under the title II classification. I do not want companies deciding what content I can watch, and believe the internet should be open and free to all. Thank you.
716. Eric DeBolt, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I am a STRONG PROPONENT of NET NEUTRALITY. Do NOT allow Title II to be stricken! This might seem like a good way to raise money but it will lead to a loss of the First Amendment rights we all enjoy and know to be the cornerstone of our great nation.
717. Patrick Hufford, Bothell, WA, 98012
I support net neutrality. I don't want my internet traffic slowed down by Comcast because Netflix is in competition with them for my video streaming dollar. I want all of my internet traffic to be unrestricted by my ISP. Whichever company had the most money shouldn't be able to pay a bribe to any ISP our regional carrier to increase the speed of their traffic over a competitor.
718. Corinne Fargo, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Net neutrality under Title II is vital to an open and fair internet. Do not change these laws and guidelines based on corporate greed. Access to a free internet is important to democracy (and representative democracy) in the United States today.
719. Donald Tomandl, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. They should absolutely be regulated in this way, or they will abuse customers that will be powerless to go to other ISPs (due to the monopolies they have).
720. Joel, mill creek, WA, 98012
Ajit Pail support strong Internet Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
721. Scott Ramsby, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Please preserve net neutrality and keep service providers regulated under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Do not revert to previously lax regulation that

creates incentives for service providers to disadvantage small businesses or competitors by worsening consumer access to their services or requiring non-equitable payments from businesses who rely upon these service providers.

722. Jeffrey William Schoenhals, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I am extremely disappointed in the direction that "net neutrality" is taking under the current administration. As an ordinary citizen, I shouldn't have to be put into the position of spending an inordinate amount of time filtering through service options based which one can pay the most money for non-throttled service and if we do end up playing that game, it will lead to less competition, which is rarely (if ever) in the public's best interest. Please reverse course, now!

723. Kimberly Hilden Pardo, North Bend, WA, 98045

I strongly support net neutrality and believe the key to ensuring future innovation in business and education is an Internet with a level playing field in which Internet Service Providers are regulated by Title 2.

724. Mr. El Snowczar, Bothell, WA, 98011

A free and open internet is important for sharing ideas, which ultimately leads to innovation and higher profits in the long term, something even greedy folks should want. Sharing ideas whether big or small, can make a difference. Ideas are not like mugs. With mugs, clearly bigger is better - and John's was biggest. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

725. William Mapp, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

Net neutrality protects consumers. As a 20 year US government employee in the electronics and IT field, I would declare clearly that it will be a grave mistake to remove consumer protections via the removal of net neutrality.

726. Landon Dyer, Medina, WA, 98039

Killing net neutrality will increase the profits of near-monopolies in the current market (Comcast, TWC, etc.) at the expense of killing the United States' momentum in the technological lead in internet technologies. Killing net neutrality will also further feed the contraction in the number of providers, reducing competition and raising prices for end-users. Over time, the lack of effective competition will lead to a decline in the level and reliability of service (this is a natural outcome that we've seen in many markets before), make it difficult for new and innovative products to reach consumers (without small companies needing to pay exorbitantly for access), and leave the US in the dust (because the rest of the world won't be doing this nonsense). In reality, data is getting cheaper by the month while companies like Comcast are struggling to prop up business models that are failing in the face of new technologies. We should be encouraging innovation, not trying to artificially prop up dinosaurs. The rest of the world is not going away. The US will be better served in a world of competition by encouraging actual competition, by levelling the field and treating data more like a public utility, just as we do with electricity and telephone service. Allowing a few companies artificial monopolies will make a few people rich at the expense of the future of our economy as a whole. The FCC and the FTC should

cooperate to ensure that effective monopolies are held at bay, and that consumers and peerings are charged fairly, in an environment that is competitive.

727. Parul Bhargava, Redmond, WA, 98053

The ability for corporation to self-regulate is not going to result in customers getting best level of service. The corporations themselves are legally bound to maximize profits for investors and not legally bound to provide the best level of service. There are sufficient examples of corporations "regulating themselves" like banks (like Wells Fargo) and energy companies (like Enron) which have shown blatant disregard for their customers in the name of profits. Removing Title II requirements will not result in "free-er" internet, but only more freedom for internet service providers to decide which content will be available and which ones will not. If the premise of there is no proof of this type of collusion exists, I would sooner say that without transparency of operations, how is the informed consumer to know. If the customer is expected to allow the free market decide which service is best, how is the informed consumer going to decide? By what these companies decide to share with us? Please ensure there is adequate protections to disallow corporations from taking advantage of the consumer and not just the investors.

728. David Nelson, Ferndale, WA, 98248

Please do not change the open internet and net neutrality. I DO NOT want others, for selfish reasons, to decide what I should be seeing on the net. The internet should be an open exchange of ideas, entertainment and information. Any changes will show that you and your boss are indeed in the pockets of big business.

729. Brad Clayton, Redmond, WA, 98052

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. The Internet should be treated as a utility and available to anyone without restriction. Please keep regulating ISPs in a similar manner to utilities.

730. Jonathan Richter, Snohomish, WA, 98290

I strongly support net neutrality and support of Title II over ISPs.

731. Mark Relph, Kirkland, WA, 98033

The Internet is a required utility and should be treated as such under Title II. The mobile and cable operators do not need more control or permission to shape traffic for their own gain. I urge the FCC to maintain the current regulations regarding Net Neutrality for the benefit of all consumers.

732. Julian Dominguez, Redmond, WA, 98052

Please preserve net neutrality and enforce title 2 on ISPs

733. Vincent Keane, Kenmore, WA, 98028

Please maintain net neutrality rules especially keeping ISPs under Title 2.

734. net, neutrality, kirkland, WA, 98033

I specifically support net neutrality backed my title 2.

735. Rachel, redmond, WA, 98052
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's!!
736. frank pitsch, everson, WA, 98247
as an educator who teaches in a rural and low income school district, i cannot emphasize enough, that net neutrality and title II are vital to educational equality.
737. Christine Fry, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Title 2 regulation is necessary for a fair and open internet.
738. Jordan Habenicht, lake stevens, WA, 98258
I support internet service providers remaining under title II regulations in order to maintain a free and open internet.
739. Michael McConaghy, Snohomish, WA, 98296
I encourage you to please protect Net Neutrality and to preserve the rules outlined in Title II. Thank you, -MJM
740. Shannon Cram, Duvall, WA, 98019
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. This is very important to me as a citizen and voter.
741. Matt Riewe, Woodinville, WA, 98072
I strongly support net neutrality that is backed by title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISP's).
742. Blaine McCoy, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273
I support the current law for net neutrality. Please do not change the law.
743. Gergely Kota, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Net neutrality is pivotal to innovation. Without it, large companies can squeeze out small upstarts and innovation will lag. Protect net neutrality, it's good for people and good for business*. * - everyone but ISPs, but they shouldn't get to keep the world hostage.
744. Sharon Gottula, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Keep the internet free and fair. Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2.
745. Stephanie Van Y, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 to ensure any single internet service provider cannot influence my choice of internet communication channel and inherently provide one provider inherent influence over the content I receive.
746. Russell Bennett, Redmond, WA, 98074
This is the United States of America; not the People's Republic of China. The 1st Amendment of the Constitution guarantees freedom of speech; freedom of the press

and the right to free association. In the 21st Century, this includes a free and open internet. For many people in the United States, including myself, there is only a single ISP available. The internet, like many other network oriented businesses is a natural monopoly. The regulation of monopolies has long been a function of government. Therefore, the regulation of ISPs, just like telephone service providers, is a function of the FCC. Any attempt by anyone (including the FCC) to argue that the FCC does not have a mandate to regulate the internet (perhaps because the internet wasn't mentioned in the Telecommunications Act of 1934...) is a transparent attempt to bypass anti-trust laws. I utterly reject the notion that *anyone* or any entity, corporate or otherwise, has a right to restrict, constrain, edit, substitute or apply preferential levels of service to my access of the internet. Just because my ISP 'owns' the last mile of service delivery does not mean that they own the remainder of the internet: they do not. When I pay an ISP for access (and I do pay handsomely for this, with a non-linear rate of increase over recent years), I am paying for open access; not a proprietary version of the internet that ISPs can sell to the highest bidder. The ISPs have proven through their words and actions, in countless filings, motions and lawsuits, that they cannot be trusted and that they will not voluntarily provide open internet access. There is no question in the minds of the majority of Americans that net neutrality and internet privacy are basic rights, not terms of service that can be bartered by entities that *do not own* the information services that are being sought. The current Title 2 restrictions are the MINIMUM acceptable level of protection of open access. Any attempt to remove these restrictions; dilute them or to put ISPs on some kind of 'open internet honor system' will be an infringement of the Constitution of the United States and a damning indictment of the FCC and any current or future White House Administration that endorses or facilitates this.

747. Patrick Londino, Bothell, WA, 98011

Please preserve Title 2. Please preserve Net Neutrality.

748. Arshia Rahnemoon, Redmond, WA, 98052

I support net neutrality by title II oversight of ISPs.

749. Peter Ponsen, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273

I would like to state my support for Net Neutrality and Title 2. Terms of service are non binding and do not guarantee quality of service among all services. Verizon has made it clear, maintaining the current status of ISPs does not affect their plans for growth for wireless or wired infrastructure.

750. Amy Raby, Redmond, WA, 98052

I am writing in support of KEEPING NET NEUTRALITY and not rolling back the existing protections. We know that markets work best when everyone has equal access to them, thus making them free markets. The internet is a market for information, and everyone needs to have equal access for it to continue to be a driver of innovation and economic growth. No fast lanes, please, and no tricks involving "legal status." Keep net neutrality the way it is.

751. Ian Vaughn, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support maintaining strong net neutrality regulation supported by Title 2 classification for internet based communication.
752. Kathleen Gorman, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Keep ISPs as Title 2. Keep Net Neutrality rules in place.
753. David Tomihiro, Redmond, WA, 98052
Keep Net Neutrality in place! Keep ISPs as Title II entities!
754. Marc Gottula, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Please preserve NET NEUTRALITY and TITLE II. Do not let providers slow down and throttle the internet.
755. Bradley Gross, Everett, WA, 98208
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Every internet group needs to come together like they successfully did three years ago to support this effort.
756. Emily, Redmond, WA, 98052
I strongly support net neutrality because while eliminating it could profit the corporations, the internet is not for the monetary gain of companies but instead for the people. By giving corporations the power to control internet speeds, sell information and record the websites that we access, we give up the privacy that many of us wish to maintain online. As a student I believe that information should be accessible to everyone without a bias from an outside force.
757. Paul Reinholdtsen, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Allowing ISP's to charge different rates to different traffic of the same type is a recipe for abuse.
758. Nathan Duncan, Redmond, WA, 98052
I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. They cannot be allowed to provide both internet content and internet access without any regulations in place to make sure they do not abuse their position. Having them say "just trust us" is not good enough. This is unacceptable. I am opposed to item No. 17-108.
759. Phillip Nordwall, Everett, WA, 98208
Changing these rules on net neutrality would be detrimental to our economy and the growth of our great nation. It will stifle innovators ability to drive our nation as a progress leader.
760. Jennifer Mallinger, Bothell, WA, 98011
I support net neutrality. Please preserve net neutrality and Title II.
761. Keith Ayers, Redmond, WA, 98052

Why repeal Title II? There is no current burden on the market, and the enforcement is crucial. ISP throttling has happened before, and will happen again - <https://www.extremetech.com/computing/186576-verizon-caught-throttling-netflix-traffic-even-after-its-pays-for-more-bandwidth>

762. clay christofferson, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273

I believe it is the responsibility of the FCC to uphold net neutrality and continue holding this issue under the rulings of Title 2

763. Tony Seeley, Redmond, WA, 98053

I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Do not drop the current restrictions as I have zero confidence in companies like Verizon and Comcast to "do the right thing".It is highly concerning that an ex-employee of Verizon is now leading the change within the FCC to change the current rules that govern how ISPs can control network bandwidth and access.

764. Brent Grossman, Redmond, WA, 98052

As a consumer who values America's internet freedom, I very strongly support the FCC preserving net neutrality and keeping ISPs regulated under Title 2. I absolutely condemn proceeding 17-108, "Restoring Internet Freedom".I think it's incredibly naive to believe that Internet Service Providers will do what's in my best interest by providing me with fast, affordable internet to all sites that I may want to visit if we were to reduce their regulations. They continuously demonstrate their wanting to nickel-and-dime me, my family, and my friends, and it's completely obvious that this will provide them with a huge lever to pull when it comes to doing so.I do understand the thinking of proceeding 17-108, that reducing regulation would free-up funds for ISPs to invest in their networks and platforms. The problem with that is I'm not convinced that allowing monopolistic companies who constantly have the lowest consumer satisfaction scores in the nation across all categories to line their pockets will incentivize them to invest in their networks any more than they do today.I do agree that we need better, faster networks from our ISPs. The thing is, I think we get there by eliminating the near-monopolies that ISPs are granted by our governments. This is the single strongest way that you can entice ISPs to bring better speeds to consumers, and it's amazingly evident when you look at the competitive effect that Google Fiber has on the cities that it is available in: cities announced as just even being on the road-map for Fiber got gigabit speeds from existing ISPs at a fraction of the previous prices within weeks. Now that's progress!To reiterate, ISPs must continue to be regulated under Title 2 to allow more enforceable regulation from the FCC, which will in turn ensure that ISPs act in the interest of consumers. Proceeding 17-108 should not be passed, and Net Neutrality must remain in-tact. If you TRULY want ISPs to invest in their networks and infrastructure, you should eliminate the government-granted ISP monopolies. Doing this will provide consumers with *real* choices again and will let the FREE MARKET take hold in one of America's most languishing markets. That's how you "Make America('s Internet) Great Again," and I would 100% support a proceeding aimed at doing so.Thank you for your time,Brent Grossman

765. Denise McElroy, Redmond, WA, 98053
I support net neutrality enforced by Title II!
766. Anthony Nielsen, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Preserve net neutrality under strong title 2 regulation.
767. Kerem Yuceturk, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Please make sure that net neutrality remains mandated for ISVs under the current rules and ISVs can't pick and choose what content suits their own interests.
768. Net Neutrality, Bothell, WA, 98011
Keep ISP's regulated to stop them from ruining the internet. Keep net neutrality by allowing Title II governing ISP's.
769. mary clarke, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I am for net neutrality. Do not change it.
770. Alyssa Darby, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Keep net neutrality required under current rules
771. Zachariah Kilburn, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
I support continuing the current policy of net neutrality. Net neutrality has been one of the basic, bedrock principles of the internet since its creation. Eliminating net neutrality will provide an unfair advantage to large websites at the expenses of newer, smaller sites. ISPs should treat all websites the same to keep the web the innovative place that it is.
772. Nicole Wells, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Internet neutrality must be supported and protected.
773. Caitlynn Thompson, Everett, WA, 98208
I believe the FCC should keep net neutrality under title II
774. Eric Sortomme, Bothell, WA, 98011
I support net neutrality by having ISPs categorized under Title 2. Please do not change this. The internet is working great for the people, don't ruin it for the sake of company profits.
775. Claudia Ebsworth, Mt. Vernon, WA, 98273
The open, unrestricted, internet is essential to avoid restriction to access by those who need to use it. Large, wealthy corporations should not have control of rates of transmission.
776. michael richter, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I strongly support her neutrality backed by Title II. I urge you to keep the internet free and open to all.
777. Travis Johnson, redmond, WA, 98052

Ford can't buy a road a prohibit Hondas from using it (don't get any ideas), but Time Warner can buy a cable and prohibit Netflix from using it. Smart. Builds competition. Nope. Increasing barriers to entry into a market limits competition and is against consumer interests.

778. Kathleen E Thompson, Everett, WA, 98208

I believe the F C C should keep net neutrality under Title Two

779. Elijah Craig, Arlington, WA, 98223

Please keep the internet free and fast! ISP's have no right to discriminate against the content I choose to stream. The internet has now become a necessity of modern day living. Please don't let huge corporations ruin that.

780. dave lucas, Snohomish, WA, 98290

I fully support Net Neutrality regulated under Title 2. Now!!!

781. veronica Lund, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Please preserve the rules on internet neutrality. The isp title 2 classification protects the consumer who will be either unaware of the slowdown of certain content, while at the same time forced to acquire faster and faster connection speeds. Getting rid of this rule will impact competition, decrease access and encourage unfair business practices. A highway has a speed for all vehicles and is enforced equally, there are no faster lanes for deeper pockets.

782. Edward Fitzgerald, Everett, WA, 98208

Please keep strong net neutrality rules in place backed by Title II. Thank you.

783. Robert Myers, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I strongly support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Please take a moment to consider that an internet that avoids pay to play is a more even playing field for all players. This means a more competitive landscape that will benefit consumers.

784. net neutrality, Bothell, WA, 98011

Save the internet. Make sure NN stays in Title II. The ISP's cant be trusted with fairness and self regulation. Have stronger regulations for the internet and dont take us back to the dark ages

785. Tracy Keeling, Bothell, WA, 98011

I do not trust ISPs to enforce net neutrality on their own. Please continue to enforce strong net neutrality rules by governing ISPs under Title II.

786. Michael Tomazic, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Ajit Pai - I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

787. Elizabeth, Everett, WA, 98208

Please keep Net neutrality on the internet. And don't change the rules of Title

2.Thank you.

788. Dick Breakey, Monroe, WA, 98272
Taking down net neutrality is a step backwards and is a detriment to the internet as a whole. Please do not do this.
789. Peter Galopin, Kenmore, WA, 98028
We strongly support net neutrality and title 2 oversight for ISPs.
790. Ryan Jessup, Redmond, WA, 98053
I support strong net neutrality rules and I fully support title II classification. I do NOT support any attempts to repeal the existing net neutrality rules passed under the President Obama administration.
791. Marcella Nichols, Darrington, WA, 98241
Please preserve net neutrality and the title II classification of ISP's. It is important that our internet access remains unhindered. Thank you.
792. Ben, Redmond, WA, 98052
I am in favor of maintaining strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II
793. Jerney Evans, Bothell, WA, 98012
We need an internet ethics committee. Not allowing small online businesses to be choked out by larger ISPs is a moral, and economic issue. The fact that this is back on the table is an embarrassment.
794. Nikolay Nachev, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net neutrality is essential for balanced and free internet and flow of information. Please do not let partisanship and greed ruin an important protection of free speech from lobbying interests and corporate cronyism.Thank you
795. A. Wahl, Redmond, WA, 98052
I am yet another concerned citizen expressing support of strong Internet neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
796. Andres Blanco, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Please protect Internet Neutrality regulation Title II, ISP oversight. The proposed changes to this regulation will hinder innovation and only benefit ISP bottom line.
797. Gregory Chambers, Woodinville, WA, 98072
I would like to see net neutrality protected by keeping internet service providers regulated under Title 2 oversight.
798. chris, Bothell, WA, 98021
Please preserve net neutrality and title2 protections
799. Tina Thompson, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
I strongly support net neutrality. Companies like Comcast already take advantage of

consumers at every given opportunity. They need regulation. Maintain strong net neutrality and Title II

800. patrick vaughan, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support true net neutrality supported by title 2 regulations
801. Andrew Larson, Bothell, WA, 98021
I strongly support Title 2 listings on ISP controllers. Long live John Oliver.
802. Jacob Pilch-Bisson, Snohomish, WA, 98296
I strongly support leaving net neutrality in title two.
803. David Patrzeba, Redmond, WA, 98052
ISPs should remain classified as Title II carriers and should continue to be regulated under the free and open internet regulations known as Net Neutrality. The internet was founded on the principle of Net Neutrality and it should be and remain codified. The American people were heard on this in 2014 and should be heard again today.
804. Kevin Pilch, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
Please continue to support Net Neutrality in Title 2. As a software developer, I strongly believe that loosening these regulations will lead to a less competitive internet.
805. Restoring Internet Freedom, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I strongly support consumer protection of internet users under Title 2 regulation of Internet Service Providers. I feel that loosening regulatory guidance of ISP's will unfavorably advantage a handful of large providers to detriment of consumers.
806. Nishant Kothary, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I am strongly against the proposed reversal of the Obama era net neutrality rules. Please leave ISPs under Title 2. ðŸ‘ŠðŸ½
807. Kris Bien, Redmond, WA, 98052
It's crucial to keep net neutrality and protect a free, open Internet under Title II. Our country must have the ability to access information, research, and content at any time without ISP control.
808. John Porcaro, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
I am a father of six, and have been employed by technology companies for 30 years. I keep my job and pay my bills when people buy the online services that my company makes, and the consumption of these services relies on reliable, unrestricted internet. Net neutrality needs to be protected by Title II. Please do the right thing.
809. neil figgess, ferndale, WA, 98248
you need to protect net neutrality not diminish it

810. Michael G. Alcock, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support current Title II classification for ISPs that effectively protect Net Neutrality and I reject any attempt to remove or weaken net neutrality protections.
811. Ciaran Murphy, Kirkland, WA, 98034
It is an absolute disgrace that you're even contemplating removing the Title II protection for net neutrality. Do you really have nothing better to be doing with your time?
812. Robert, redmond, WA, 98052
I support Title II against the Isp's
813. Kate Dubose, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I'm writing to demand that the FCC preserve net neutrality and enforce Title II.
814. Marta MCreary, Duvall, WA, 98019
I strongly support net neutrality the way they currently stand incl Classifying ISPs under Title 2.
815. Gorlick, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Net Neutrality is of utmost importance- it's what lets smaller businesses have the potential to thrive and grow, and what makes the internet an equal playing field. Reversing that would cost consumers and businesses immensely, and would only serve to bolster the oligopoly ISPs hold on the internet.
816. Kael Spencer, Woodinville, WA, 98072
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight. I am opposed to 17-108.
817. Dakota Sather, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support strong Net Neutrality and keeping the internet under Title Two.
818. Eoin Murphy, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. Internet access should be a human right available to everyone.
819. Mary DeVoe, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Net neutrality is a cornerstone of democracy. I am insulted by the suggestion that I don't understand how dangerous removing of its protection would be. I will support any legislation that will criminalize civil workers who abuse their power for personal gain.
820. Ryan Logsdon, Kirkland, WA, 98034
DO NOT under ANY circumstance allow private companies to dictate content bandwidth. A FAIR and FREE internet is the only option in a FAIR and FREE nation. Do NOT lie to yourself, we, the PEOPLE, do not want for-profit control of our internet content, PERIOD.

821. Steven E Woods, Monroe, WA, 98272
Preserve title 2 and preserve neutrality
822. Max Williams, Kirland, WA, 98033
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Data equality is pivotal to the internet and ISPs should not be able to discriminate data speeds based on their source.
823. Christina Sampson, Bothell, WA, 98012
I support title II and strong net neutrality enforcement. The FCC should not sell out American citizens for corporate interests.
824. Christopher Higgins Barrett, Redmond, WA, 98052
It is vitally important that ISPs remain classified as Title II carriers. Net Neutrality is **not** a significant hindrance to any reasonable behavior by an ISP, and is absolutely critical to ensure the next Google, PayPal, Amazon, and others can survive their early years as small companies.
825. mandy damaggio, Redmond, WA, 98052
ISPS have to remain under title 2.
826. Nicholas Starner, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support net neutrality and Title II regulations of the internet. I am opposed to 17-108.
827. susan boehnlein, Arlington, WA, 98223
I strongly believe we need to keep title 2 (strong regulation) to keep net neutrality. I work from home and used to be slowed down by Verizon. Others did as well by other companies.
828. FCC, Ferndale, WA, 98248
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight backed by oversight of ISPs
829. Marna Marteeny, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please keep Internet Service Providers under Title 2. The internet was developed with taxpayer dollars and every single American should have a level playing field and the right to an unthrottled internet. I am 100% for net neutrality!!!
830. Donna Kristaponis, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I strongly support net neutrality and see no logical reason to change the rules. Moreover, nothing evidenced by providers shows that infrastructure costs are changing their approach to investing. Do not eliminate or change net neutrality.
831. Andrew, redmond, WA, 98053
Preserve net neutrality. If you allow net neutrality to crumble, you are assisting in the downfall of the Freedom of Speech.

832. Mark N. Wood, Redmond, WA, 98052
I have only 2 high-speed ISP options at my house, so I strongly support net neutrality enforced under Title 2
833. Jessica, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Keep net neutrality! You cannot allow corporations to subjugate people through their internet speed. This would affect millions of people negatively, particularly people with lower incomes, and that is utterly despicable and heinous. You have no right to do such an egregious thing as remove net neutrality. You're here to support the people not the corporations and especially NOT Verizon.
834. Koll Anderson, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Keep Net Neutrality strong! DO NOT RECLASSIFY the Internet as a Title 1. Keep it under Title 2 regulation. Thank you.a family of concerned internet users,Koll, Craig and Michele Anderson
835. Marina, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Please protect net neutrality. It is a very important. Dont let corporations take over every inch of our lives. I know they have consumed our democracy, please give the people a chance. Protect net neutrality to the standards of title 2. Thank you for taking a stand and protecting our freedoms.
836. Lance Masserant, Everett, WA, 98208
I support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am very Opposed to Docket 17-108!
837. 1, Mt Vernon, WA, 98274
Why must you screw around with the independence of the internet. I TOTALLY support maintaining TITLE II to ensure net neutrality. The internet should be regulated just like a utility, it is essential to daily life. It should not just be a profit center for large corporations.
838. Scott Durbin, Bothell, WA, 98011
Keep Net Neutrality under Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Throttling of service based on preferences dictated by the company should not be allowed.
839. Paul Lorah, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations.Net neutrality is part of a social contract between information providers, government, and society. This contract must include a clear regulatory role for the FCC because without it market forces won't automatically provide and protect public goods like information.
840. Brian Terril, Bothell, WA, 98021
Hello, as a constituent I want you to know that I strongly support Robust Net Neutrality as backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Please represent us and oppose

docket # 17-108!

841. Karyn Pereyra, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Please preserve net neutrality, and article 2. Thank you, Karyn Jovanovich
842. Mallorie Udischas, redmond, WA, 98052
As a small business owner Title 2 is extremely important to me. Dont mess with it
843. net neutrality, duvall, WA, 98019
i support net neutrality stop being dumb!
844. Devin Yedo, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I, Devin Yedo, urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
845. Mai Maki, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Do not change Title 2's protection of net neutrality. Any change would make it difficult or impossible to enforce real net neutrality, which is essential to the free flow of information and ideas.
846. Evan Hissey, Redmond, WA, 98052
I want the FCC to uphold Net Neutrality and uphold that ISPs are Title 2 classified. There is significant evidence of poor consumer practices observed by ISPs without this rule.
847. Cody Ryan, Bellingham, WA, 98225
Please consider that in the long term this may take away the incentive of larger ISP's to expand and improve network conditions. Instead of giving ISP's the freebie of charging content providers for "Tiered Internet Services", maybe instead more effort should be spent on improving, implementing and expanding technologies so that policies such as this one become moot. Internet equality is a subject of great importance to myself, I beg the FCC can take it seriously. Thanks for your time and consideration.
848. Kristen Ramsden, Point Roberts, WA, 98281
I am requesting you maintain net neutrality and the status of all ISPs be kept under Title 2.
849. Daniel Tinnelly, Blaine, WA, 98230
Keep the ISP's regulated under Type 2 and don't deregulate them. This will lead to ISPs with invested interests in throttling the speeds to rival services.
850. Karen Petrick, Monroe, WA, 98272
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. I respectfully request the current rules protecting my right to fair internet service be retained.
851. ryan a davis, Monroe, WA, 98272

I specifically support net neutrality....

852. Willson David, Bothell, WA, 98012

Please do not undo protections that exist to ensure internet is open and competitive. Net neutrality is critical to preserve and proposed deregulations will NOT make it a level playing field. In fact, it will do the opposite by allowing ISPs to pick and choose winners

853. Giordan Yunge, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274

Please do not allow the net neutrality regulations to be removed. This does not benefit anyone except cable and phone companies.

854. David Potter, Redmond, WA, 98052

Please support net neutrality and Title II. The American people deserve an Internet as free as their country. Don't let the ISPs destroy the Internet!

855. Megan Rollo, Snohomish, WA, 98290

I support Net Neutrality and Title 2. Both need to be protected!

856. Jordan Wilde, Snohomish, WA, 98290

I support net neutrality and title 2. Both need to be protected and saved.

857. Mollie Twidale, Redmond, WA, 98053

Preserve the neutrality of the internet under Title 2.

858. James Thompson, Monroe, WA, 98272

I work in an internet based business. Having the internet regulated as a utility would greatly enhance the usability and extensibility of the network. After all, My electricity, water and gas providers have no say in how I use their product, and they don't track me aside from gross usage. It should be the same with my bit pipe. Ones and zeroes should flow in and out freely regardless of origin or destination.

859. Kevin McClintock, redmond, WA, 98053

I am concerned with altering the existing net neutrality rules.

860. Zachary Ryan, KENMORE, WA, 98028

Preserve title two net neutrality.

861. linda baker, Bothell, WA, 98021

You need to preserve net neutrality. This is very important for all of us. We all can benefit by preserving net neutrality.

862. Net Neutrality, Snohomish, WA, 98296

Please don't allow ISP's to selectively control internet speeds. Please protect net neutrality. I am against the Restoring Internet "Freedom" Act. This is 1984 level bullshit.

863. E. DeWald, Redmond, WA, 98052

Title II is important. We NEED regulation!!!

864. Chad Reid, Redmond, WA, 98052
Removing ISPs from Title II is only to serve corporate interests. A transfer to Title I will be a death knell to a neutral internet.
865. Jordan Kuszak, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I strongly support net neutrality and Title II. Please do not make further efforts to deregulate the internet; it is truly the last bastion of free speech (for better and for worse--but that's kind of the point) that exists on this planet. I can think of no one who would benefit from such action except for large internet service providers, who certainly do not represent the interests of the vast majority of Americans who make use of the internet on a daily, hourly, and in some cases, minute-by-minute basis (again, for better and for worse).
866. Charles Norman, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Preserver net neutrality and title II.
867. Patrick Carrick, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I find it unconscionable for the FCC to consider treating the Internet as anything other than a utility in this day and age. To allow Internet Service Providers to sell bandwidth to content providers in a preferential manner is the act of a cartel arrangement. To allow the removal of Internet Service Providers from Title II oversight is a policy choice which is indefensible. To allow it would be a clear example of governmental capture and as such a betrayal of the duties that the United States Government in general, and the FCC in particular, owe to the citizenry.
868. Betsy Barclay, Ferndale, WA, 98248
We strongly support continued regulation of internet service providers under title 2.
869. Andrew Reilly, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please preserve our internet freedoms by preserving net neutrality and title 2.
Comcast sucks enough already, let's not make them worse.
870. Brendon Orth-Sheridan, Ferndale, WA, 98248
Don't mess with the internet please. It's good the way it is, seriously it is. Don't misguide the American people by making things seem better with less regulation.
Not cool, not cool.
871. ALEX LALARIO, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I support net neutrality and title 2. Please preserve it
872. Kathryn, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I would like the FCC to work to maintain net neutrality, and keep Title II in place.
873. Gregg McDonald, Woodinville, WA, 98072
The American people are completely and unequivocally opposed to any rescinding

of current net neutrality rules. Any attempt to eliminate them at the behest of corporate ISP financed lobbyists would be a catastrophic mistake that the voting public will remember. Side with the citizens of the United States and maintain net neutrality.

874. Michael McClellan, Redmond, WA, 98052

I am a strong supporter of Net Neutrality. Of unbiased, deliberately ignorant serving of web content. ISPs and other upstream middle men of internet infrastructure have no legitimate business evaluating whom they are serving content from, or to; especially for purposes of blocking, slowing, expediting, editing, filtering or any other manipulation of the content. Because so many of ISs and upstream providers are also content creators I do not feel that they can be trusted to serve content unbiased. Because so many ISPs are deeply involved in political lobbying I do not feel that they can be trusted to serve content unbiased. Because so many ISP customers have no real choice in their ISP I do not feel that they can be trusted to serve content unbiased. Because internet access is so vital to basic participation in today's society unbiased access is vital. Because bias at the ISP and provider level would be extremely subtle to most people, unbiased access is vital.

875. Kevin O'Connor, Blaine, WA, 98230

Don't mess up the internet, keep net neutrality the law!

876. Justin East, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Please preserve net neutrality and title II. It's been working fine and doesn't need to be altered!

877. blake smith, redmond, WA, 98053

I want government regulation for net neutrality!

878. Jarpin, Mill Creek, WA, 98012

Do not repeal the net neutrality laws and title 2. It is important to keep our Internet free, and net neutrality keeps it that way.

879. Regina Menssen, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Please preserve net neutrality and Title II status for ISP's. Thank you

880. Ann E. Wales, Bellingham, WA, 98226

Please keep ISP status in Title II, which will actually protect Net Neutrality. Millions of Internet users need the Internet and cannot afford the higher rates that Telecom companies will charge to have full, speedy access to all sites regardless if they can pay higher rates or not.

881. Danny Chen, Woodinville, WA, 98077

I support net neutrality and Title II classification of ISPs. The internet is a fundamental utility that should exist in every home in America (like plumbing and running water) and it should be classified as such.

882. jeremy, Bothell, WA, 98012
Seriously stop, and do not mess with net neutrality. Companies will take advantage of consumers and smaller businesses. It's your duty as FCC to help keep this from happening.
883. Andy Avenell, Everett, WA, 98208
Please keep net neutrality, Pai. I need my YouTube videos and porn.
884. Daniel c treherne, lake stevens, WA, 98258
spacifically support title 2 oversight of isp's. preserve net neutrality
885. Joe Impecoven, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality. Chairman Pai's intentions to eliminate net neutrality is in direct conflict with the preservation of a free and open Internet and preserves only the interests of corporations, not the interests of the people.
886. Richard Guthrie, Redmond, WA, 98052
I strongly support preserving net neutrality and title 2 protections. Removing these protections will harm consumer choice and make our country less competitive globally.
887. Kate Butt, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net neutrality is vital. I urge you to keep the strong net neutrality rules under Title II.
888. Zach Dunn, Redmond, WA, 98052
Keep ISPs under Title 2 oversight where they belong
889. Siamack Sahafi, woodinville, WA, 98077
We stronly support net neutrality and title II.Cristine DarlingtonSiamack Sahafi
890. monica james, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Please do not change the net neutrality restrictions! I do not trust the big internet companies to act in my best interest.
891. Rebecca Rawczak, Redmond, WA, 98053
I work in mental health and Social Work and I have seen the many ways that corporate interests work against the poor and disenfranchised and restrict the unfettered flow of scientific fact and accurate medical informationAdditionally, as a small business owner it promotes unfair competitive advantage to larger and more established businesses with greater access to resources. This hurts my local economy and my opportunity to succeed on an even playing fried. The FCC must maintain Tier II classification.
892. alejandro escoto, Snohomish, WA, 98290
This is to express my disagreement to this proceeding. I am in favor of maintaining net neutrality.

893. Jennifer Newsom, Monroe, WA, 98272
Please keep strong Title II net neutrality rules. Please. Do your research, don't just listen to the lobbyists. This could go down in history as one of the worst mistakes politicians have ever made. Don't stifle the internet. Do your job.
894. Steve, Snohomish, WA, 98290
don't fuck my net. appreciate it!
895. Erik Porter, Redmond, WA, 98052
I am typically for less regulation, but we don't have a choice to pick an ISP that WON'T track us and sell our data. Do NOT change ISPs from Title 2 to Title 1!
896. Bruce Ochandarena, Woodinville, WA, 98072
I strongly support Net Neutrality rules and regulation of ISP's under Title 2.
897. karl deckard, Mill creek, WA, 98012
I want title II to remain in place and for net neutrality to remain in the form that President Obama left it in.
898. jim, Carnation, WA, 98014
You absolutely must preserve FCC net neutrality through title 2. I'm serious, you must! Don't fuck this up.
899. David Gallina, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's. Thank you for your time.-DG, 29, Medical Student
900. Lea Beard, Redmond, WA, 98052
I strongly support Net Neutrality, and believe ISPs need to be regulated under Title II. Internet service is a utility - like telephones or tap water. We NEED this to work, and be regulated to preserve our freedoms. Making it an optional "feature" for big businesses to comply with on whim is insufficient. Trusting big businesses to watch out for public interest has a history of not working well. Internet access is increasingly critical for participation in our society, and it is critical that this service can be provided in an unbiased, unaltered manner. ISPs and other upstream providers have immense power. Internet is no longer optional: try finding a job without internet access. Internet controls what we see, the news we get, the education we can receive. We NEED to get this right. This is fundamental. This is not something that can be magically solved by lease fare economics. Most places in the US have only 1 ISP available - consumers have no choice. We are at the mercy of our service provider. We need those providers to be regulated.
901. Simon Labbe, Redmond, WA, 98053
I strongly support the use of Title II to enforce net neutrality and prevent ISPs to sell data about their customers use of their services.
902. Adrian Ionescu, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I am concerned about the fate of net neutrality and in support of preserving Title II provisions for ISPs.

903. Terri Hase, Maple Falls, WA, 98266
I am very much in support of continued net neutrality. Don't open the door for companies to throttle, limit, or reduce internet speed or access. Small businesses and citizens deserve equal access to the net. You need to watch the segment on John Oliver's show and pretend I'm saying it!
904. Julie Holetz, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support an open internet and to keep strong net neutrality rules under Title II.
905. Rob, Bothell, WA, 98011
We need to keep strong net neutrality rules for ISPs backed by Title II.
906. dan mrozek, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Support Net neutrality! Support Title 2.
907. April Weed, Bellingham, WA, 98226
I STRONGLY support net neutrality and Title II. It is ludicrous to think that the "honor" system will work to keep ISPs from corrupting services without these safeguards.
908. Diego Perez Botero, Woodinville, WA, 98077
ISPs should remain Title II. ISPs will always have conflicts of interest that may pose a threat to Net Neutrality when not properly regulated.
909. Jeremy Johnston, Monroe, WA, 98272
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Please. Please. My god. Please.
910. Catherine Grossman, Woodinville, WA, 98077
ISPs should remain Title II. There is no evidence that investment has slowed since ISPs were made Title II. If ISPs are correct in claiming that they won't break net neutrality, then they shouldn't mind being obliged to do so.
911. Matt Hunziker, Redmond, WA, 98053
Title 2 and net neutrality -must- be upheld. The fact we have to go through this again is ridiculous, asking ISP's with the history of going as deep as they can for advantages and playing favorites has already been seen. Letting -any- industry make it's own rules tends to not favor the people, but favor the company. In a country quickly losing a lot of the progress we've made in the last few years, things are trying to unravel very quickly. Do not let Net Neutrality fall by the wayside.
912. Tim McGrath, Redmond, WA, 98052
Hello, It's very, very important to maintain the Title 2 legal categorization of ISP's and the rules for Net Neutrality. Please do not take the current rules away from the

people and give corporations free reign in this regard.

913. Karen Dolphin, Ferndale, WA, 98248
The people spoke three years ago and I'm speaking again - preserve net neutrality. Continue Title II regulations. It is absolutely ludicrous to pretend to be protecting consumers when you remove regulations. Speeds and access to all sites must remain equal.
914. Ross Cutler, Clyde Hill, WA, 98004
I strongly support net neutrality. I find the actions of the current administration to reduce or remove net neutrality to be inconsistent with the ideas of the Internet and frankly un-American.
915. Jordan Ellenberger, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Preserve net neutrality by keeping title 1 and title 2 in place. Don't let Comcast and other isps to ruin the internet and equal access to the internet more then they already have.
916. Thierry Douet, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Preserve Internet Neutrality and title II
917. Mariusz Borsa, Redmond, WA, 98052
I hereby petition for keeping in full force the FCC Communications Act of 1934, applied in 2010 by classifying the ISP companies like Verizon and Comcast using Title II of the said act, per right to petition is guaranteed to me by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, in order to guarantee the full Internet neutrality and assure the honest competition rules in business.
918. John Salem, KIRKLAND, WA, 98034
I strongly believe we need to maintain Title II regulations for ISPs. Removing these regulations would severely degrade America's ability to be competitive in the digital arena on a global scale. The ISPs cannot be trusted to maintain neutrality without legal oversight.
919. bob graff, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Please assure net neutrality by maintaining ISPs under Title 2. Thank you for taking my input under consideration.
920. Mary Pat O'Neil, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support net neutrality. Title II was created to make net neutrality stronger.
921. Judd Adams, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Please keep all ISPs under the title II to protect my access to all internet sites.
922. Yasin Shtiui, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support strong net neutrality rules and Ajit Pai is a terrible human being.

923. Benjamin Freedman, Bothell, WA, 98011
I remember when Comcast was throttling Netflix to extort money for the bandwidth its customers were using. Give ISP (or any corporation honestly) the wiggle room to behave like crooks, they will inevitably slip into that behavior. Lets keep a free internet without fast tracks. Lets keep title 2 in place to ensure that happens. Should we remove all laws that justly prevent damaging behavior because they detract from the freedom of an individual ? Surely there are just regulations that deter inevitably bad behavior. Remove these regulations and it is a guarantee that some ISP at some point will start behaving badly again, perhaps that's the point...
924. Pat Stewart, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I support strong #netneutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's!
925. Ronald Harding, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Dear FCC, Net neutrality is important to the future of the United States for ideological and economic reasons. To take a step back and once more give more ground to the telecommunications companies that more and more rule our lives would be a betrayal of the American people and everything we stand for. Our economy is increasingly dependent on fair treatment on the internet. Small companies could be made entirely unable to compete in the digital era. We risk threatening our reputation as an innovator on the world stage. Ronald Harding
926. Timothy Keeler, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Equitable access to the Internet for all is crucial in a modern society.
927. Rachel Molloy, Redmond, WA, 98052
As with previous public statements I have made, I am a full supporter of protecting net neutrality. Please preserve net neutrality under Title II. We must ensure that internet access isn't unfairly restricted. ISPs shouldn't have the power to block websites, slow them down, make some more accessible than others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer fast lanes to websites for a fee, and Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do just this. Doing this will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and concentrate power over the internet in the hands of a few corporations. Thank you!
928. erin, Monroe, WA, 98272
I 100% support strong net neutrality rules backed but the easily enforceable rules of title 2.

929. colleen barker, Monroe, WA, 98272
We urge you to preserve net neutrality rules backed by Title II
930. Ian Harper, Ferndale, WA, 98248
I strongly support net neutrality. The internet has become essential to our lives and needs remain in title 2, common carrier status.
931. Oscar Leon, Bothell, WA, 98011
I STRONGLY support net neutrality and classifying internet service providers under title II. We need an open internet.
932. Katherine Branch, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Our constitutional right to free speech is dependent upon our right to free and open access to information. Please protect internet neutrality through strong regulation and maintaining its Title II status.
933. Ajit Pai, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I specifically support strong net neutrality that's by title II of today's ISP's.
934. Maeva Woodworth, Redmond, WA, 98053
Net neutrality and the maintenance of Title II is incredibly important to uphold. Companies, such as Verizon, cannot be trusted to remain unbiased with the ability to turn greater profits by controlling Americans' freedom on the internet. Our very democracy hinges on the ability to find information without corporate influence and the FCC should uphold our civil liberties. This is an incredibly important decision and one that will effect every American and set a precedent for how we define what is important to us in America: the freedom of knowledge for our people or corporate profit.
935. Ryan Sage, Duvall, WA, 98019
I strongly support retaining strong net neutrality rules.
936. Kathryn Parsons, Bothell, WA, 98021
I strongly support net neutrality and do not want to give ISPs the ability to follow Title 1 regulations or influence what I read or watch on the internet.
937. Everett Kleven, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of internet service providers. This will give me and the rest of Americans the freedom to conduct ourselves on the internet without any inhibitions or deterances.
938. christa boudreau, Mount vernon, WA, 98274
FCC must support net neutrality under title 2! Big telecom needs to be regulated by this - they have tried to meddle in local government control regarding infrastructure and will NOT self regulate. The position that self regulation would occur under title 1 is such a joke. Chairman Pai needs to work for the American people- not corporations. The Internet is essential infrastructure!

939. Chris Starr, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support strong net neutrality protections backed by title II regulation.
940. Abigail Ferguson, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273
I support Net Neutrality backed by title 2 over site of ISPs.
941. Scott Steffy, Redmond, WA, 98053
We need strong net neutrality laws. Allowing ISPs to favor traffic from sites and slow down traffic from others based on who has given them the most money goes against everything the internet was created for.
942. Thomas P Buehrer, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I am extremely concerned about the FCC removing the recently-enacted Net Neutrality rules. These rules were put in place to protect the citizen taxpayers, who have little power in the market, from being victimized by large and powerful corporations. These rules currently do their best to assure that my access to all chosen internet activities will be served with the same network speeds and quality, regardless of the provider. Without these assurances, my access quality may vary greatly, reducing my real choices in the market.
943. Judd Adams, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
please don't change the ISP governance. Keep ISPs under title II
944. net neutrality, Redmond, WA, 98053
Please keep isp's under title II.
945. Yvette Waters, Redmond, WA, 98052
The internet, and neutral, broadband access to it, is now a requirement for business productivity. It's also essential for access to services and information. In short, it now a utility and should be protected as one.
946. Patrik Parsons, Bothell, WA, 98021
I strongly support net neutrality and I do not want ISP's to have any power to limit, or control the content I would like to consume. I have asked my senator and other government officials to uphold net neutrality.
947. N. Bentzen, Snohomish, WA, 98296
I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108 and I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Internet providers should not be able to choose who gets good service and who doesn't.
948. bob roshanravan, Bothell, WA, 98012
Please preserve net neutrality enforceable under TITLE II !
949. Mark Fiebig, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Please maintain net neutrality with Title II oversight. Consumers need this protection!

950. Marlene Butterworth, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I support net neutrality using regulations under Title II.
951. dakota, everett, WA, 98208
I vote keeping for tittle 2! Please keep net neutrality.
952. joshua davies, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Net neutrality is a must. Look what's happened to our roads without it. The poor sit in slow lanes while the rich fly by in the fast lane. Shame. Shame. Shame.
953. Mario Esposito, Redmond, WA, 98052
keep providers under Title II. Do not roll back the progress made three years ago!
954. filters, blaine, WA, 98294
do not fuck with net neutrality!
955. Michael O'Brien, Redmond, WA, 98053
I support net nuetrality. Please maintain the open internet.
956. Nate Reese, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
Killing net neutrality will be a degradation of an essential human right. Speeding up those that pay more and slowing those that can not afford the fee, essentially destroys the internet. Please don't do that. Keep the internet free and open.
957. Hannah, Redmond, WA, 98053
I support net neutrality. Please maintain the open internet.
958. Gary Lutz, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Net neutrality is necessary to keep the internet free of manipulation
959. Kyle Farnung, Woodinville, WA, 98072
As someone with only one viable option for internet access in my home, net neutrality ensures that I can continue to access any and all of the services that I need. There are already inequalities between the on-demand content from cable companies (which do not count against data caps) and streaming services like Netflix or Hulu. I don't trust these companies to do the right thing for their customers and feel strongly that regulation is required. Their business practices (specifically bundling and predatory pricing schemes) have demonstrated that the only concern they have is to their bottom line. It's true that 20 years ago you could make the argument that the internet is not a utility, but today it's an essential pipeline which delivers communications, news, commerce, and entertainment.
960. Joseph Meyerding, Sultan, WA, 98294
I can't make it clear enough. I support net neutrality and any action to take it away would be contemptible.
961. Jarrah Gioconda, Woodinville, WA, 98077

Preserve net neutrality and hold ISPs to Title 2!

962. Karl Voss, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I expect the FCC to protect *MY* interest. Net Neutrality is a requirement of freedom. This is the USA, not some banana republic where politicians can be bought, Please remember whom you are serving.
963. Ronnie Z, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please retain Title II rules for the internet maintaining net neutrality as it currently stands.
964. James Fredrickson, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Don't change current net neutrality rules and ISP being under Title 2.
965. Patricia Henderson, Redmond, WA, 98053
Preserve net neutrality! Internet access is a fundamental service in today's society and everyone has a right to expect fair access without the interference of big business. You are obligated to ensure the needs of the people are met.
966. Jeff Johnson, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Do not lessen the rules on ISPs around net neutrality. Changing this will impact internet freedom and stifle competition.
967. Jason Klinke, Redmond, WA, 98052
I wish to express in the strongest possible terms my disapproval that the FCC is trying to kill net neutrality. Preserving an open internet is crucial for fair and equal access to the resources and information available on it. Relying on voluntary, unenforceable commitments that ISPs will abide by open internet rules is shortsighted and misguided.
968. Jordan Andersen, Kirkland, WA, 98033
As an entrepreneur and technology professional, I support the continued classification of internet service providers under Title II.
969. David Milner, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Net neutrality is supported by the current Title II classification of ISP's. Reverting to Title I classification seriously impairs consumer's impartial access to services and vendors.
970. Andy Bravo, Redmond, WA, 98052
Support Net Neutrality and keep ISPs on Title II. The internet is a utility and must be regulated as such. There are many examples where ISPs have chosen to seek an advantage in exchange for money, such as the case with the company League of Legends made by Riot inc. and Time Warner Cable. There is also the example of Netflix vs. Comcast and a lot of other ISPs. They will not hesitate to screw over companies if it means they can make money. The internet is no longer a gimmick, it is practically a staple and requirement for all urban homes and even most people in

rural homes. It is something everybody seeks because of how important and impactful it is in our lives. Don't let the greed of companies restrict our freedom and destroy the internet.

971. Samantha Webb, Redmond, WA, 98052
Keep internet classified under Title II. Net neutrality is too important to be left to ISPs to self-police!
972. Taylor Cramer, Duvall, WA, 98019
I support strong, enforceable net neutrality rules and Title 2 classification of ISPs. Internet is a fundamental requirement of modern day life, and should be regulated as such.
973. John Orrell, Bothell, WA, 98021
Please ensure strong Net Neutrality regulations. Thank you for your time. The internet is a fundamental part of our society and democracy and we must have equal and fair access to it.
974. Vincent Markham, Bothell, WA, 98011
I support strong net neutrality rules, backed by title 2. Please keep regulating this industry which holds the key to free speech and press in the 21st century.
975. Cori Durdy, Arlington, WA, 98223
I strongly support net neutrality supervised by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not ruin this for all of us.
976. Nichole Whisenant, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Please support net neutrality through title 2
977. andy whisenant, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Please support net neutrality and title 2. We need this!!
978. C Fawkes, Kirkland, WA, 98034
FCC & Ajit Pai, I am concerned about net neutrality and would like it to stay under Title II. I do not think that companies will voluntarily abide by the rules currently in place without the proper incentives and regulations. Corporations have displayed time and again that without regulations that they are willing to hurt their customers and employees without regulations. It is why this issue was moved from Title I to Title II because of Verizon trying to avoid regulations. It is why we have OSHA because of the radiation girls and if you don't know who they are look them up. P.S. - Ajit Pai, your mug and pseudo-friendliness are truly trite and ridiculous.
979. Armand LiSanti, Redmond, WA, 98052
Keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules. I very strongly support net neutrality!
980. Jeremy Schneider, Bothell, WA, 98012
Please keep net neutrality regulation under Title II

981. Brian C Williams, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I strongly support current net neutrality laws and in keeping ISPs under Title II.
982. Jennifer Grimes, Redmond, WA, 98052
I believe the best way for the internet to remain a free and open platform is for ISP's to continue to conform to Title 2 regulations.
983. Michelle Forquer, Bothell, WA, 98021
Preserve net neutrality and the Title II classification for ISPs
984. Luis A. Pow Sang, Bothell, WA, 98021
I specifically support strong net-neutrality.
985. Brian McAfee, Bothell, WA, 98021
Net neutrality, please
986. Jonjo Twist, Redmond, WA, 98052
You must keep title II. Net neutrality must be enforced and regulated.
987. Kimberly Davis, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Net Neutrality must be protected. Please do not reverse its Title II status. The internet is a valuable and necessary part of life today, and large and powerful companies should not get to decide what content gets priority based on how much money the content providers will give them. The fact that this is even up for debate AGAIN disgusts me. It has been proven over and over again that large corporations, in the absence of regulations that keep them from going too far, will screw over their customers to make a little more money. This needs to stop. PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY.
988. Chase Holland, Kirkland, WA, 98034
We are firmly against the "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal, and we would like to keep ISPs classified under their existing Title II classification with the existing Net Neutrality rules.
989. addison kokko, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I strongly support net neutrality and its support from title 2
990. Amy Beazley, Bothell, WA, 98021
Please preserve net neutrality. It should stay under title 2.
991. Rafael I. Rodriguez, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Maintain strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. The internet/WWW is a public utility and ISP's should not be allowed to throttle bandwidth. Internet freedom is American freedom!
992. Kristina Leonard, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Maintain strong net neutrality & Title 2.

993. Jeanne Sheldon, Woodinville, WA, 98072
As a consumer as well as a 40 year veteran of computer engineering and, more recently, internet services, I strongly urge you to maintain the enforcement of net neutrality, keeping ISPs classified and accountable to the common carrier standards under Title II of the Communications Act.
994. Andrew Carlberg, Kirkland, WA, 98034
ISPs should continue to be classified under Title II to insure that the internet remains an even playing field no matter what your domain is.
995. Carlos Zamora, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Net neutrality is a fundamental part of a free internet. Regulations should not be rolled back, keep them in title ii. Internet companies providing this infrastructure should be considered as utilities in fact. BTW, lawyers from companies that are stakeholders in this law should not be working on this. That includes Ajit Pai! Carlos Zamora, PhD
996. Matt Rossmeissl, Medina, WA, 98039
Net neutrality is in the best interest of the public. ISPs should not be allowed to give preferential treatment to some content and slow down other content at their discretion.
997. Carly Lehrich, Monroe, WA, 98272
I'm expressing my desire to continue strong FCC preservation of net neutrality under title 2 regulations.
998. William Vaughan, Bothell, WA, 98011
It is absurd that we have to go through this whole song and dance yet again. Ajit Pai must think we're morons if he thinks we actually believe his claims that companies are going to voluntarily, altruistically maintain net neutrality on their own. The only thing those people care about is money. I support net neutrality, title 2, and regulations. Please put your weed whacker back in the shed.
999. brian c williams, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I strongly support the current Net Neutrality laws and in keeping Internet Service Providers under Title 2.
1000. Michael Dalton, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I support strong net neutrality rules with title 2 oversight.
1001. Don McCrady, Redmond, WA, 98052
Internet service providers should remain classified under Title II to preserve strong fairness guarantees no matter what content is consumed by the public. Strong and enforceable regulations on internet service fairness do not add any significant burden to ISP's.
1002. Emily Flagg, Bothell, WA, 98011

I support a free, neutral, and open internet as well as having strict regulations on ISPs to ensure that it remains that way.

1003. Prashant shukla, redmond, WA, 98053
Please keep net neutrality. This is very important for the success of small companies.
1004. Elizabeth Carlberg, Kirkland, WA, 98034
The internet needs to remain free & open under title 2!
1005. Patty Crawley Campbell, Ferndale, WA, 98248
The internet has become essential to the way we live, work and operate in the world. It should be classified as an essential service and net neutrality must remain in place to ensure equal access for all. It is imperative, retain net neutrality and Title II.
1006. Michael Scarlett, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai,As a software engineer who depends on the Internet for livelihood, I support the existing Net Neutrality rules which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. These rules are necessary to ensure that all network traffic is treated equally. Internet service providers are public utilities which only function to deliver traffic for the internet, not be the gatekeepers. The objective of Net Neutrality is to guarantee the fair and transparent flow of information between Internet applications and their users without this traffic being discriminated against by internet service providers. Regulating internet service providers as public utilities is consistent with the goals of Net Neutrality so that these companies function as "dumb pipes" which deliver internet traffic, similarly to how power lines transmit electricity or a cell tower delivers phone calls.The internet has become an integral part of American society, and it plays a major role in communication, commerce, education, and democracy. Companies such as Google and Facebook would not be possible without an open internet. If Net Neutrality rules are removed, internet service providers would be able to block, slow down, or prioritize certain websites and apps in exchange for financial gain. This would cause anti-competitive, monopolistic behavior which would result in less innovation and a poor consumer experience. For example, nothing would stop Comcast from charging an extra fee to allow users to stream Netflix videos, or Verizon blocking traffic from Skype. Such regulations would also create a dangerous precedent that could eventually result in the government regulating what sites Americans can visit for political gain, if internet service powers are allowed to restrict what internet traffic is allowed.Please DO NOT roll back these regulations to preserve a free and open internet.Thanks,Michael Scarlett
1007. Solomon Lane, Woodinville, WA, 98072
We strongly support the existing regulation of net neutrality under title 2. We are opposed to 17-108.
1008. Net Neutrality, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Strong Net Neutrality rules are ESSENTIAL in maintaining freedom of speech in a modern democracy. Don't let killing freedom of speech be your legacy.

1009. Andrew R Sparrow, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I believe it to be vital to the health of the infrastructure moving forward that strong regulation via Title II be maintained. The potential for abuse or creative interpretation in favor of the carrier is simply too high; allowing this to be self-regulated or for interpretation to be performed by any party with a monetary incentive to bias would be wholly unsatisfactory - in fact, a major concern. Thank you for your consideration.
1010. Stefan Mellem, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Net Neutrality is not a restriction on internet freedom, it is the core principle that allows an open web for all. To describe internet service providers as anything other than common carriers is to deny the reality of the modern world. An ISP very literally acts as today's telecommunications providers. Moreover, the average American is far more reliant on the open internet than they ever have been on open phone lines, taxicabs, or freight. Reversing the 2015 application of Title II to ISPs will harm individual citizens and small businesses alike--and the only entities that will benefit are those who provide an essential service without any competition. If you truly want to restore internet freedom, you should work to break up the monopolies that control Americans' internet service, not empower them to restrict our service further. Thank you very much for your consideration.
1011. Shirley Nyenhuis, Arlington, WA, 98223
Please preserve net neutrality under Title II to ensure the best service for the people of the United States. Corporations are not capable of policing themselves. Instead we need good regulation provided by the FCC which has already implemented net neutrality under Title II. Please do not end it.
1012. Brendan Sweeney, Seattle, WA, 98019
Net neutrality is essential to a functional democracy and a level field for capitalism. Title II is necessary.
1013. Danny Miller, Carnation, WA, 98014
I just wanted to say I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!
1014. D Woodard, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Strongly oppose. Please preserve net neutrality. It is extremely important in many ways.
1015. Yi Liu, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support strong neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP(s).
1016. Janet Hawse, Bothell, WA, 98012
I support Net Neutrality. We need to protect our Internet access and make sure that we keep a level playing field for all. I don't support rolling back Title 2.
1017. Mark Taylor, Bothell, WA, 98011

The internet has become a vital and fundamental part of society and the economy. Net neutrality is too important just to remove regulation and hope that a bunch of for profit companies will do the right thing - they won't. They'll do what they think will increase their own profits the most. Relaxing regulation on net neutrality will hamper economic growth and impede the entrepreneurial spirit this country is known for.

1018. larry tseng, redmond, WA, 98053
You should be pro net neutrality.

1019. Samuel Tjoelker, Bothell, WA, 98011
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I do not support 17-108.

1020. Santhosh Pillai, Redmond, WA, 98052
Keep the ISPs under Title II

1021. Lindsey Smith, Everett, WA, 98208
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP! Do NOT take away title II! Net neutrality is very important for everyone. We cannot trust corporations to refrain from screwing us over. When you start limiting what is available to the American people you are stripping us of rights. This is the kind of slope that leads to more horrific imbalances of power. I am sending letters to my congress and senate representatives, to anyone who will listen. Title II is important, and revoking title II oversight would be such a horrific error. I don't want other people or corporations to control, block, or revoke my access to the internet. Controlling people's access opens the door to controlling access to information or news. The idea that title II is in jeopardy makes me physically ill to my stomach because of fear. If sharing my voice has any influence on government in any sort of way, please hear this American citizen. Taking away Title II means there is no way to enforce net neutrality and without the ability to enforce net neutrality we will not have neutrality. There will always be digital innovation, lots of corporations and people have made so much money on this innovation even with Title II oversight being in effect. They don't like Title II oversight because it means that they cannot stifle and snuff out the innovation of others. They are not upset because their innovation is blocked, they are upset because they care about the potential profits they are missing out on by removing everyday people's choice of product. Controlling the market is the goal for corporations. Don't protect a company's freedom to control Americans by limiting options; protect an American's right to select what company they want to endorse based off of the product they provide. This encourages competition among companies to create the products we want to use or invest time and money in. If there are no applicable consequences for corporations to abuse they system and people, then there is no motivation not to. I cannot express how passionately I support strong title II oversight or how upsetting and infuriating it would be if it would to be revoked. Having net neutrality laws separates us from countries with dictators, repressed

people, and limited sources of information. Net Neutrality is the fairness doctrine! I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP!

1022. Libby Williamson, Bellingham, WA, 98226

I do not support reversing title II net neutrality laws. Listen to your constituents, maintain net neutrality!

1023. Lyle Corbin, Kirkland, WA, 98034

It is a mistake to roll back the Title II classification of internet service providers and wireless broadband providers, and trusting those companies to voluntarily comply with the principles of net neutrality is naïve at best and downright anti-consumer at worst. There are numerous examples of companies showing favoritism in their internet delivery in the past, whether it's T-Mobile refusing to carry Google Wallet traffic, Comcast slowing down Netflix traffic, or Verizon and AT&T zero-rating their own over-the-top television packages, to name a few. Without Title II classification, as ruled by the courts, enforcing any sort of net neutrality principles in favor of consumers will be impossible. I am particularly concerned about privacy of personal browsing information gathered by ISPs. Please keep the existing classification in place and be willing to make rulings and judgements based on it to protect me and all other consumers!

1024. Sai Narayan Natarajan, Redmond, WA, 98052

Dear FCC officials, Please do not roll back the Obama-era regulations on Net Neutrality and ISPs. I fully support the continued categorization of all ISPs under the stricter Title-2 category and wish to continue seeing a free and open Internet where all internet traffic is treated the same.

1025. nicholas borges, Lake stevens, WA, 98258

We need strong net neutrality protections and preservation of title 2. Seriously. The fact I have to even write this is a joke. It should be a given and a no brainer.

1026. Kiersten Schill, Bothell, WA, 98011

We need Net Neutrality! Do not get rid of it!

1027. Jade Hoiby, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

Preserve net neutrality and title I I !!!!

1028. Tina McNaboe, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I support strong net neutrality, including the retention of Title 2. Internet providers must treat all web traffic equally and be held accountable to do so.

1029. Lisa Burlingame, Redmond, WA, 98053

Maintain strong net neutrality & strong Title 2 regulations

1030. Alex, Blaine, WA, 98230

The ISP's such as Verizon have repeatedly been shown that they are incapable of being trusted with net neutrality. They have also clearly related to their shareholders

that their investment is absolutely NOT affected by being classified as title II as Pai has erroneously stated. Do NOT dismantle net neutrality as it is essential to an open and fair internet for everyone. Think of the future and our children and not the profits of faceless corporations. SAVE THE INTERNET AND KEEP IT NEUTRAL!

1031. Toni Wall, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Please DO NOT change the laws governing Net Neutrality.
1032. Carlos Garcia, Redmond, WA, 98052
I'm writing to support net neutrality and to have ISPs remain under title II law.
1033. Michael Betser, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Please keep internet neutrality under title II control !
1034. jesse taylor, Lynden, WA, 98264
Preserve my rights to a free and open internet
1035. Veerakumar Murugesan, Kirkland, WA, 98034
We need net neutrality. All the ISPs such as verizon, Comcast, Frontier, Cox, and AT&T should bread under title 2 classification based on the communications of 1934.
1036. Gina Christian, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Our internet absolutely needs to be open and free from monetary and other influences. Please, do not deregulate ISP's.
1037. Deborah Gandolfo,, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I support NET NEUTRALITY under Title 2 and object to any measures that would leave it up to ISP companied to "voluntarily" say they will keep the internet free and open.
1038. Les Donley, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Do not allow the Internet to become the prize of biased or corrupt deep pocket interests and defend net neutrality. We cannot trust the ISP's to act in the interests of anything other than enhancing their company bottom lines. Don't give it to them.
1039. steve kahn, Redmond, WA, 98052
I believe that a free and open internet is essential, and I am very concerned about the current attempts to weaken net neutrality. The internet is a utility and should be freely and equally available to everyone. Iâ€™m worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way theyâ€™re enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any.
1040. Tony Meier, Kirkland, WA, 98034
As a business owner, I strong support net neutrality with Title II protections. We

cannot allow the ISP to show favoritism by directing more profitable internet traffic. Keep the internet an even playing field for all businesses and consumers.

1041. Gretchen Moosbrugger, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Please preserve Net Neutrality and don't give ISP's the power to throttle the internet.
1042. Holly Clark, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support STRONG Net Neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs! Please DO NOT KILL Net Neutrality!
1043. christopher maloney, Redmond, WA, 98052
Prior proceedings make it clear that Title 2 is required for a free and fair internet. Keep net neutrality. Dont repeal title 2.
1044. Forest Payne, Bellingham, WA, 98226
I am writing in opposition to any rule that would undermine or abolish net neutrality. The internet is a utility. It is vital to our democracy. Our ISP's are already monopolies in most locations. It is immoral to give them the role of gatekeeper to any information or provider on the web.
1045. Travis Lowdermilk, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Please back strict net neutrality rules under Title II. We need a strongly enforced, open, and free Internet!
1046. Hany Farag, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please protect net neutrality. A free and open internet where all traffic is treated equal has enabled the rise of so many startups and has fostered unprecedented innovation. Don't change this by putting Comcast and Verizon in charge! Corporations already wield too much power. This is one of the remaining opportunities for us to have a system that works for the people.
1047. Gerald BLYcker, Duvall, WA, 98019
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Internet content must not be slowed down if the provider doesn't pay large sums of money to ISPs.
1048. Gregory Beitler, Redmond, WA, 98052
As many before me has (probably) posted, the current efforts of the FCC to remove ISPs from Title II classification is not what Americans want and doesn't seem to provide any benefit to them, while removing no impediments around network investments. Chairman Ajit Pai needs to take better means not to explain to Americans not why he is right but why the concerns of so many Americans shouldn't factor to his proposals and why he shouldn't recuse himself from decisions that were made by the FCC earlier to better reign in on his former employer Verizon. Mr. Pai, you know what your country wants and saying there is no past abuse of companies violating net neutrality is cognitive dissonance at best. This isn't a notch of President Trump's tally board here, this has real implications on the USA's future as a world leader in both technology and innovation. Country before party.

1049. kelvin lee, redmond, WA, 98052
please keep title 2 to help maintain and enforce net neutrality.
1050. Adam Zakowicz, Redmond, WA, 98053
The internet and data pathways in this country and across the world must be kept neutral. Just as water companies may not preference high bidders and public schools aren't able to turn away the poor, the internet is a public utility in all but name. It's high time to regulate it as such.
1051. Geoff Busby, Bothell, WA, 98021
I want ISP's regulated under Title II ! They are a bunch of greedy exploitive jerks! I want to be able to choose the services and how I use the internet, not have it dictated to me by extortionists!
1052. Jo Ann Snover, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I strongly oppose changing the classification of ISPs back to Title I where they will be free to resume the outrageous, anti-consumer pricing practices that we saw prior to implementation of net neutrality rules and classification of Comcast, Verizon, AT&T, T Mobile and others under Title II. Transparently self-serving whining from the ISPs that they are harmed when they are subject to simple and minimal regulation should be ignored. The FCC needs to protect consumers - we already have to deal with virtual monopolies in provision of high speed broadband internet access and thus have no effective choices if we are gouged by our monopoly provider. Do your job FCC and leave the net neutrality rules as they are. The FCC should not be an arm of the industry it regulates, spouting the talking points provided by Comcast, Verizon et al.
1053. Landen Robinson, Redmond, WA, 98052
To the FCC, Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.
1054. Franchesca V Havas, Carnation, WA, 98014
I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title II. Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II please.
1055. David Mercer, Bothell, WA, 98012
I oppose the weakening of FCC Net Neutrality rules currently enforced under Title II. The Internet is a necessity to modern life and allowing large companies to impact the content I consume because it enhances their own business goals will negatively impact all. ISPs are in the business for profit. The internet is a necessity to fully participate in modern society. Businesses should not be allowed to impact how I participate in society for their own gains. Doing away with net neutrality will stifle competition and innovation. ISPs are laughably slow at innovating and they will do whatever they can to stifle any and all startups who innovate and threaten their business model. Preserve Net Neutrality.
1056. Pete Boden, Clyde Hill, WA, 98004
Preserve net neutrality and title II! Consumers are entitled to freedom of choice and

access.

1057. Kay Smith, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Please do not reverse the current rules set in place for net neutrality!
1058. Patrick Brennan, Kirkland, WA, 98034
We support strong net neutrality as backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs
1059. Will Gibson, Lummi Island, WA, 98262
This is not the time to change the rules. The idea of having the only guarantee of net neutrality be a corporate policy (part of terms of service) rather than a rule of the FCC and hence protected by law, is stupid and beyond believe. Internet service providers must remain under Title II and Net Neutrality rules must remain unchanged.
1060. DH, Redmond, WA, 98053
I strongly favor net neutrality and I support the classification of ISPs as common carriers under Title II.
1061. Lane Kuhlman, Matt Mansbach, Redmond, WA, 98052
At this point in history, internet access is as much of a public utility as water or electricity. Removing regulations on internet access is like letting water or electricity provides vary the quality of their service based on the faucet or light switch manufacturers used within a given household. We need regulations on internet with standards as high as these basic utilities. Internet access has as much of an impact on people's livelihood and health as water and electricity. We need net neutrality to protect access to information and eliminate the potential for anticompetitive business practice from telecom monopolies that are growing ever larger at dangerously unchecked rates. At a point in time when income inequality in the US is greater than it has ever been, this is more important than ever. I hope you listen to the large majority of the public who is in favor of greater regulation of service and pro net neutrality.
1062. Mike Ton, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I'm voicing my support for net neutrality. And the current rules governing it. I'm strongly opposed to your modifications of the current rules. In particularly reclassifying ISP under title 1, as opposed to title 2. Seriously I have no idea who is driving or asking for this change. My friends or neighbors are befuddled by how this is an issue. Is the new leadership at the FCC is so enamored by his stupid giant mug that he doesn't have the competence to understand what regular, every day citizens want? Just disgusting.
1063. john cummings, Snohomish, WA, 98296
We support strong net neutrality and Title II
1064. Christine Kleinke, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I specifically and very very very STRONGLY support strong net neutrality backed

by title 2 oversight of ISPs! DO NOT remove title 2 support. I do NOT support Ajit Pai's plan to "take a weed wacker to FCC rules" - in fact I find his approach to be immoral and harmful.

1065. Gabe Stocco, Redmond, WA, 98052

The FCC reclassified broadband providers as Title II common carriers in response to direct action by major ISPs to undermine the principles of the free and open internet. Rolling back these regulations will harm investment and innovation on the internet. We don't need "innovations" at the ISP level that help pick winners and losers or manipulate traffic. Chairman Pais own statements indicate that supports the open internet principles enforced via Title II. Let's keep the internet open. The role of an ISP is to deliver the content requested by a subscriber as quickly and reliably as possible, and to act in the best interest of their subscribers. Not to leverage vertical integration or cross promotion to prop up businesses which otherwise can't compete fairly.

1066. Andrew Cunningham, Redmond, WA, 98052

It is vitally important to the future of the internet and our country that you maintain strong net neutrality rules under Title II.

1067. Saad Mazahir, Redmond, WA, 98052

I support strongly regulated ISPs via title 2 enforcement for net neutrality.

1068. Nancy Pence, Bothell, WA, 98021

I strongly support net neutrality and oppose any legislation or regulatory modification that would compromise this.

1069. Hareesh Kumar, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Hi FCC, It is imperative that we maintain the Net Neutrality to progress Human civilization. I want Net Neutrality. Comcast or other ISP should not decide what I can watch and read and listen.

1070. Nathan Rosas, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This is critical for continued innovation and for consumers.

1071. sandeep, Redmond, WA, 98052

I demand an internet that remains classified under title 2!! Large ISPs already control too much , this will kill innovation!

1072. Chris Worland, Redmond, WA, 98052

I think all ISPs should stay regulated by Title 2!

1073. Craig Dolphin, Custer, WA, 98240

I oppose this anti-consumer proposal. Net Neutrality is the only mechanism to ensure that monopolist ISP markets do not become walled-garden sub nets. The regulation is based on nonsense concepts such as single-provider wireline markets

being 'highly competitive' when the opposite is true. A MONOPOLY is the antithesis of competition and Ajit Pai should be ashamed of himself for suggesting anything else. This is a naked betrayal of the American people who have previously shown overwhelming support for the principles of network neutrality. It is also a betrayal of the principle of promoting competition which the FCC is supposed to uphold. Internet access is infrastructure like electricity, water, and other forms of telecommunications. It is essential for accessing digital government services, applying for jobs at most companies, and for other digital services. What we need is local-loop unbundling to break the monopoly ISP stranglehold on access to the internet and stronger regulations to prevent abuse of caps. Ajit Pai will go down in history as a traitor that sacrificed America's digital future on the altar of ideology.

1074. Melissa Worland, Redmond, WA, 98052

Preserve net neutrality!!!! I think all ISPs should stay regulated by Title 2.

1075. Nikhil Ravi, Redmond, WA, 98052

We cannot have ISPs regulate the flow of traffic - that's entirely contrary to the nature of the Internet, and will kill competition and availability of rich content. This is especially important in a country where customers typically don't have a choice of provider. Please don't roll back the net neutrality rules in place today.

1076. Erin LaFrance, Snohomish, WA, 98296

I am in support of Net Neutrality under Title 2. Please do not change the legal classification and keep the internet open and fair.

1077. Brian Tpsch, Redmond, WA, 98052

When a service provider offers an Internet connection this should have a clear definition as a neutral pipe to whatever content and services the end user would like to access. The service provider should adequately provision the backend bandwidth so that end users may use their contracted bandwidth however they wish without encountering limits or controls related to the service provider's interests. Neutrality should be implicit in any product labelled as Internet and anything else should be seen as fraudulent.

1078. Andrew White, everett, WA, 98208

I am in favor of net neutrality and keeping ISP's under title 2 classification.

1079. Kenneth B. Cowan, Woodinville, WA, 98077

Under NO circumstances should the issue pertaining to the internet providers in terms of internet neutrality be relisted under article 1 rather than article 2. Regardless of what Mr. Pai may deem unneeded, we citizens have seen only too well that we need regulation as a preventative measure against unfairness and abuses in any realm where companies may see the chance to reap ever more exorbitant profits to and at the detriment of the public. No...I do not trust the Net Providers, and given that Mr. Pai has worked as a lawyer for at least one of them, I do not trust his impartiality.

1080. Christian Kotitschke, Woodinville, WA, 98072
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Make it happen and put your giant cup where the sun don't shine.
1081. Jourdan Gaub, Everett, WA, 98208
If there were ever an instance where the interest of ISPs were at odds with the interests of their subscribers, Title I classification would protect ISPs. While Title II classification would protect their subscribers. There's already precedents under Title I that could allow ISPs to abuse their power over what their subscribers can and cannot do on the internet. Under Title I ISPs can filter what websites they allow you go to, decide what you can and can't learn on the internet or even control the release of information about any and all things in the internet. If any of that sounds like a bad thing, your out of luck. Because Title I offers little to no protection for consumers against such actions.
1082. Shawna Capp, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Save Internet Freedom!!!! I support Net Neutrality and Title 2 oversight of ISP's!!!! Preserve Net Neutrality!!
1083. Ian Jones, Redmond, WA, 98053
The internet should be treated as a utility and regulated via Title 2. Net neutrality is a core principle of how I believe it should work. Don't allow it to be undermined in any way!
1084. Ian Tucker, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Title 2 status is the only status level that keeps the internet free, and this is 'Merica; Freedom matters. Do not remove Internet service from Title 2 status.
1085. Chris DiNardo, Redmond, WA, 98052
As a concerned citizen who had his connection speed throttled 5 years ago by my ISP because the streaming video content came from a competitor, I strongly oppose weakening the rules currently in place regarding Net Neutrality. Keeping ISPs under Title 2. Their engaging in deprioritization and/or allowing "high speed" lanes to open for data of their choosing does not benefit the consumer.
1086. Barry Shaw, Woodinville, WA, 98077
ISP should be regulated under Title II to ensure that all content providers are treated fairly by ISP's.
1087. Nathaniel Castronovo, redmond, WA, 98052
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I strongly urge you to preserve Net Neutrality & Title 2. Do not allow corporate interest to become the replacement for human interest.
1088. Andrew Klein, Kirkland, WA, 98033
A Neutral Internet is the American way. That is what I believe, that is what my company Microsoft believes, and that is what the overwhelming majority of voting

Americans believe. You take away this and we might as well be communist China where the powerful can decide who can access information.

1089. Mike C, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I strongly support net neutrality, keeping ISPs classified Common Carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Prevent ISPs from discriminating or modifying traffic. Including prohibit ISPs from injecting cookies or other tracking data in user's internet traffic.

1090. robert cockrell, Arlington, WA, 98223

I want strong Internet neutrality under title 2

1091. Robin Pearson, Monroe, WA, 98272

The Internet is a public resource that must continue to be regulated under the Title 2 protections enforcing net neutrality. Allowing corporations to throttle traffic at will infringes on citizens' rights to access information freely, thwarts fair market competition, and undermines democracy. Destroying the commons by allowing corporate interests free reign will cause tremendous harm.

1092. Mary Koh, Kirkland, WA, 98034

pro net neutrality! it's not luxury anymore, it's a necessity!

1093. Brian Klamik, Redmond, WA, 98052

Like many in my area, I only have one realistic choice for fast broadband internet service; and it is cable modem. This service is crucial to way the way I work & communicate in this modern age. I disagree with Mr. Pai who advocates this service should have fewer safeguards than the legacy communication services it nearly deprecates. The service providers have already demonstrated they will not choose in the consumers' best interests in the absence of competition and regulation.

1094. Spencer Fowers, Duvall, WA, 98019

I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2. Please do not repeal those efforts to enforce it.

1095. Diana, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

Please preserve net neutrality and keep it on Title II.

1096. Nicholas A Molenda, Kenmore, WA, 98028

I strongly support preservation of Net Neutrality INCLUDING Title II.

1097. Elissa Cahill, Woodinville, WA, 98077

I want net neutrality and strong title 2 protections.

1098. Brad Wilson, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net Neutrality is crucial to competition on the Internet. Allowing ISPs to create tiered services based on ever-shifting content contracts benefits the ISPs at the expense of the consumers and the content producers.

1099. Joshua Keckley, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net neutrality should be protected under Title II. As an engineer, I fear that this will hurt my ability to access users on a fair playing field and could limit my ability to innovate.
1100. Serina Patel, Duvall, WA, 98019
I live in a very tech oriented region of the US. I work online a good portion of the day and need the equality that net neutrality provides to ensure I am providing my customers with quick, accurate and none influenced answers.
1101. Michael Speer, Redmond, WA, 98052
As a software engineer I believe it is vital to the future of the internet, and software at large, to maintain strong, enforceable regulations on ISPs through Title 2 classifications. The entrepreneurial spirit that the American Dream is built on can only be maintained by allowing for a strongly enforced free, open internet.
1102. Pat Reynolds, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II, thank you very much for your time!
1103. Sharon Cox, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Please maintain current net neutrality laws under Title II. It works. Keep it just the way it is without making changes. I vote and pay taxes. My opinion counts.
1104. Kassidy Cook, Ferndale, WA, 98248
Strong net neutrality is a MUST!
1105. Micaela Reboa, Bothell, WA, 98021
I am writing to ask you to preserve net neutrality under Title II protections.
1106. Jesse Zaneveld, Bothell, WA, 98021
I am writing to urge you to preserve net neutrality *under robust Title II protection*. Court rulings render Title I protection unenforceable, and I companies can't be trusted to be fair brokers voluntarily. The market doesn't really work here because there is little choice in ISPs (e.g. Comcast is a monopoly in many areas). Please preserve net neutrality under Title II!
1107. Jeff Longcore, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support net neutrality, with strong oversight of providers. Please maintain the current regulatory framework under Title II of the Communications Act. Thank you.
1108. Alexzander Bertrand, MOUNT VERNON, WA, 98274
I am strongly in support of net neutrality. Taking action against net neutrality would grant ISPs an unjust amount of power.
1109. Net, Snohomish, WA, 98296
I don't believe that the FCC should change the rules on net neutrality! Keeping the regulations at title 2 is important to the future of not only the internet but America!

1110. Michael Cavelero, Everett, WA, 98208
I've spent 20+ years working in Technology fields including working for Microsoft and working with Intel. Net neutrality is vital to innovation in our industry. Any changes threaten the vitality of technology as an industry and threaten entrepreneurial growth. Both of these factors come to the detriment of our US economy. Net neutrality should not only be preserved, but improved. Equal access has been the foundation of so many startup companies in the last two decades, that if this premise is even so much as eroded, it will have far reaching detrimental and long term effects. You must not allow this to happen.
1111. Kevin Brown, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I am against "Restoring Internet Freedoms" for internet content providers. I am opposed to docket 17-108. I am 100% for maintaining net neutrality.
1112. George Hernandez, Bothell, WA, 98021
Good day, I want to express my discontent with the recent net neutrality situation regarding Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Many companies have been choosing to sell the privacy of the citizens in the United States from various Internet providers such as Comcast and Verizon. Our current politicians do not have the stability to decide on the situation of net neutrality and I would like the information of the citizens Internet usage to remain intact on the privacy it deserves. The government should not sell information to the public from a private citizen's World Wide Web browser. Please see to that this gets discussed at length and a decision is come to.
1113. Phillip Gockel, Kirkland, WA, 98034
As a former Verizon customer that frequently experienced throttling of my Netflix streaming, I'd ask that you not make it easier for ISPs to determine what services I'm able to access easily, especially given the high cost of the "service" in the first place. Please do your job in representing the public before the corporations.
1114. Jonathan Sova, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I am a strong proponent of net neutrality, and a believer that a free and open internet is crucial to both our economy and our democracy. I am absolutely opposed to docket 17-108, as it would turn the greatest invention of the 20th century into a tar pit where the wealthiest control what others are allowed to consume. The internet deserves Title II protection and oversight.
1115. Allison Hays, Everett, WA, 98208
We want and need a strong, protected and enforced Net Neutrality needs to remain a strong, protected, and enforced Title II communications issue. Removing Title II protection is unacceptable. As a former Verizon legal team member, Ajit Pai cannot distance himself enough to make fair and impartial decisions regarding the standards. Leave Net Neutrality STRONG.
1116. David Loveless, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please preserve Net Neutrality under Title II. All traffic should be treated equal and

protected from interference.

1117. Mark Pottorf, Bothell, WA, 98391

There is no reason to tear up the net neutrality regulations from 2015. A fair and balanced internet is what we need. An internet where small businesses won't get squashed by large conglomerates, and everyone can have equal access to everything without the ISPs bias in the mix. Please don't do this.

1118. Heath Stewart, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Small businesses - the kind the GOP claim they support - would be destroyed by large companies like Verizon without net neutrality. Innovative up-starts would suffer if larger companies edge them out for their own offerings. The internet is a necessity like lands lines were - now largely replaced by the internet and cell service. Do not get rid of net neutrality!

1119. Olive Cooper, Point Roberts, WA, 98281

We all support net neutrality!! Preserve net neutrality under title II, immediately.

1120. Margaret C Gordon, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Please keep the Title II restrictions in place in order to preserve net neutrality.

1121. Andrew Wagner, Kenmore, WA, 98028

I support strong net neutrality enforcement backed by Title 2. I also support getting a new head of the FCC because Ajit Pai is a "pants on head" retard.

1122. abraham kannankeril, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Net neutrality should continue to enjoy the protections put in place. The Internet should continue to be regulated under Title 2. I am resolutely opposed to any attempt to roll back existing regulations.

1123. Title2, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

I support net neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISP's. You'd have to be stupid not to... or an ex-lawyer for Verizon.

1124. Carlos Romer, Monroe, WA, 98272

I want the FCC to preserve net neutrality and preserve Title II (2). It protects us as consumers and the everyday users who might not be as knowledgeable about the subject.

1125. James Thornton, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273

I support internet neutrality and the current regulations surrounding it.

1126. Jolie Choquette, Everett, WA, 98208

This is not okay!!! You cannot end net neutrality, this is biased and extremely corrupt. Ps I hate your Reeses mug!!

1127. Jacki Li, Redmond, WA, 98052

Please preserve net neutrality section Title II. This is very important for the internet.

1128. Micah, Ferndale, WA, 98248
Net neutrality is of paramount importance in a society that boasts democratic principles. I trust the FCC to protect net neutrality and prevent corporate interests from interfering with the freedom to access content.
1129. Rachel Traicoff, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support strong net neutrality & title II oversight of ISPs.
1130. Aaron Armstrong, Ferndale, WA, 98248
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. The Internet should be treated as a utility and available to anyone without restriction. The internet should not be controlled by the ISPs. What you see on the internet should only be controlled by the person using it. Not anyone else in anyway.
1131. Brenda Carpenter, COTTAGE LAKE, WA, 98072
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2. I do not want big corporations corrupting or interfering with my internet connectivity.
1132. Jonathan Young, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Stop trying to allow companies to govern what I, as a citizen paying for a utility, have access to. Every company should have a fair shake, and letting people have absolute control over what goes in and out is incredulous, deceitful, and damaging to the people of the United States.
1133. Sheldra Jones, Everson, WA, 98247
I specifically support strong net neutrality laws that are backed by title II over sites of ISPs. Thank you.
1134. Stewart Klein, Kirkland, WA, 98034
It is shameful that the FCC is contemplating changes of any kind to net neutrality. Any chance to be neutrality will cause me to vote against every single incumbent on the ballot.
1135. Thoma A. McWhirter, Concrete, WA, 98237
I support Net Neutrality. Please, do not put our internet access in the hands of corporations. We choose who to purchase internet service from and it is our buying dollars that give us (the citizens) the power to regulate ISP behavior. Do not sell us out to Comcast or the other Media Elites.
1136. Adeel Siddiqui, Redmond, WA, 98052
I am a software developer by trade and am educated on the concept of Net Neutrality. Title II oversight of ISPs is critical for healthy functioning of the Internet powered marketplace so please reconsider relaxing net neutrality rules. We may trust but we must also verify so having this regulation in place is a good for protecting consumers as well as the Internet economy.

1137. Jason Viterna, Kirkland, WA, 98033
It is seriously absolutely imperative that we maintain strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight if we hope to keep our Internet democratized
1138. Mark Santaniello, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support strong net neutrality protection under title II. This is what we need government for. Sometimes regulation is good. This is one of those times.
1139. Lance Hitchcock, Kirkland, WA, 98034
As a concerned american citizen, I want the FCC to support regulation of ISPs under Title II to provide a fair and open internet for everyone.
1140. Matt Wesemann, Redmond, WA, 98052
The internet must be kept free. Please keep net neutrality.
1141. Miriam Berman, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I will not stand for the banishment of internet freedom.
1142. Bryon Whitney, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please do not place internet service providers under title 1 restrictions instead of title 2. This will create chaos in a free market environment. Please, please, don't change the current net neutrality laws.
1143. James Wilson, Blaine, WA, 98230
I strongly support net neutrality and don't think ISPs should have unregulated control over their internet capabilities
1144. Davida Kagen, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Please save and maintain net neutrality! Thank you!
1145. Saneesh, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please keep net neutrality in its current form and have the type II oversight of the ISPs to enable this.
1146. Paul Zimmer, REDMOND, WA, 98052
Net Neutrality = Freedom + Equality. Something anyone of any political leaning can get behind.
1147. James Mooney, Duvall, WA, 98019
Preserve net neutrality. I can't believe this is even a thing.
1148. kiran penta, Redmond, WA, 98052
We want net neutrality with the ISP being classified under title 2. Do not dilute the neutrality.
1149. Phil Hamlin, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Title II protections are the only reason the Internet remains as open as it is. Prevent

censorship by ISPs who know we would be their hostages. Keep those protections in place.

1150. May Azcarraga, bothell, WA, 98011
Keep my internet FREE. Preserve NET NEUTRALITY AND TITTLE 2.
1151. Gail Neubert, Woodinville, WA, 98072
We need strong net neutrality. Keep the ISPs with Title II.
1152. Christian Moulaison, Ferndale, WA, 98248
Preserving net neutrality is of paramount importance. Internet Service Providers must retain Title II classification.
1153. Olof Hellman, bothell, WA, 98011
I strongly support network neutrality. I oppose any weakening of the net neutrality rules.
1154. Falk Richter, Snoqualmie, WA, 98065
I am expressing my concern regarding this proceeding. Equality of freedom of speech between individuals will be at risk if net-neutrality will be altered/restricted/abandoned.
1155. Johnny Adams, Everett, WA, 98208
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY and its PROTECTION UNDER TITLE II. I don't want ISPs to regulate internet speed in order to better sell their content. The internet should be untetherd and certain content should not be allowed to be slowed based on who provides it.
1156. Bruce Le, Snohommish, WA, 98296
I want the FCC to keep strong net net neutrality rules, along side with upholding Title 2 as well.
1157. Kevin Pavoni, Bothell, WA, 98011
Don't kill net neutrality! We have an up and coming generation that isn't technologically incompetent and suddenly, actually cares about policy decisions. Seriously, you must be getting so many of these. Like, is someone reading this? The barnacle has the largest penis-body size ratios of any animal. There you go. If it was your shitty job to read all these, at least you read something interesting today. Have a nice day :)
1158. Eva Jacobson, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
Please, keep net neutrality without any further changes. I do not want ISPs to have the power to block, slow, or control the accessibility of websites or to be able to split the Internet into fast and slow "lanes" depending on what companies are willing/able to pay. Giant ISPs already take advantage of consumers with ridiculous prices and terrible customer service, do not also give them the power to censor what we do online. Keep net neutrality, support Title II rules!

1159. Eric Penner, Redmond, WA, 98052
Let's not forget history here. Ajit Pai's previous employer sued and won against open internet rules under Title 1! Title 2 has been working fine for three years with the exception of free rating which Pai already messed up. It's demonstrably disingenuous to say there's no evidence of throttling or blocking, and insulting to suggest a user agreement is a solution for anything. The last thing we need is an internet "bundle" that looks like a cable bill... Please don't mess up net neutrality Ajit!!!
1160. Andrew Rutledge, Bothell, WA, 98012
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight by isp.
1161. Elena Salaks, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I am concerned about net neutrality. In this Information Age, access to information is a right that should not be controlled by corporations.
1162. Wade Larsen, Ferndale, WA, 98248
Dear Mr. Pai, I support strong internet neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. Please do not dismantle these protections. Thank you.
1163. Kathy Vitue, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Protect and retain net neutrality and Title II
1164. Norman Vitue, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Protect Net Neutrality!!! and Title II !!!
1165. Kyra, Bothell, WA, 98028
I fully support net neutrality under title II. The current policy prevents companies of taking advantage of consumers, especially after the rise in internet usage in the last couple of years. To move the classification would be disasters and show how much our politicians and current FCC commissioner are being paid by these companies, rather than looking out for the good of US citizens. The FCC commissioner, being an ex-employee of Verizon, is clearly not the person to be guiding decisions on net neutrality; and he also needs to be honest or at least provide concrete evidence to his preposterous claims.
1166. Eric Noonchester, Bothell, WA, 98012
To the FCC and its chair, Ajit Pai; Given your previous, and potentially current, dealings with Verizon, Mr. Pai, you should not even be in the position you currently hold. However, that is not the primary purpose of this commentary. Instead the issue at hand is your insistence on classifying Internet Service Providers (ISP's), one of which is your former employer, as Title I entities. Do NOT remove ISP's from their Title II classification! Further attempts of reclassification will only solidify evidence of corporate manipulation of the people's government. As a public servant of the people of the United States of America, it is your DUTY to ensure the general well being of ALL; not, instead, to line the pockets of corporations! Again, DO NOT classify ISP's as Title I entities! DO NOT remove ISP's from their Title II classification!

1167. Jim Bellomo, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support Net Neutrality and Plan 2
1168. Georgia Dolan, Kirkland, WA, 98034
We must maintain net neutrality to maintain the freedom of the press, and the ideal of capitalism. If carriers are allowed to pick and choose what the public can access without filtering, we cannot allow them to control how and what we see on the internet.
1169. Matthew Weber, Bothell, WA, 98011
Please Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Keep the internet open.
1170. David Meade, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net neutrality must be maintained, and under title 2. There is no other option
1171. Jason Rollins, Bothell, WA, 98021
ISPs need to be regulated and not left to the free market. Services that we have today were founded on net neutrality and we would still have sites like Myspace and still be forced to search through pornography on all our search engines. The sites like Google and Netflix would not exist if net neutrality did not exist.
1172. Robert, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Please support strong net neutrality supported by article 2
1173. Robert Thompson, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I wish to support net neutrality and the current status of the internet as a type 2 entity.
1174. Erwin D'Souza, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support Strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This is necessary in order to keep the Internet innovative and ready for the next Google/Facebook/Amazon.
1175. Brad Ringer, Bothell, WA, 98011
Preserve net neutrality and keep ISPs under title 2. It is vital to a free and unobstructed internet.
1176. Ryan Peach, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Please do not remove Title 2 protections from Net Neutrality. I support strong Net Neutrality regulations limiting corporations' ability to throttle a free internet.
1177. Lowe, Arthur, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I am in very strong support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
1178. Dorene Robinson, Redmond, WA, 98052
The Internet should treat all data on the Internet the same, not discriminating or

charging differentially by user, content, website, platform, application, type of attached equipment, or mode of communication. If big companies such as Netflix and Google could pay to get special treatment—faster speeds, more bandwidth—little start-ups would be at a disadvantage. Without net neutrality, Comcast could give priority to video from TV networks it owns—such as NBC—and slow down the signals from its rivals. Neutrality has been a core democratizing principle of the Internet since the day it was born. Internet service should be like phone service: the phone company can't make the connection worse if they don't approve of the person you're calling.

1179. Scott, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I strongly support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP'S, an internet connection is a utility and should be regulated as such.

1180. 17-108, carnation, WA, 98014

I strongly support net neutrality and the requirement that ISP's are held to Title II standards. This is what American citizens want, your inbox was filled last time, it will be filled again. I'm not hopeful as it's clear that the FCC commissioner is bought and paid for by the ISPs themselves, but the result of this will ultimately make that confirmation. When so many American citizens speak out, and you still will repeal the NN and the Title II classification, it will become clear that YOU are the enemy of the USA.

1181. Alexander Storms, Bothell, WA, 98021

Net neutrality is only preserved through strong title II protection. Lawsuits and previous efforts by internet providers have shown that they will not abide by neutrality rules if left on their own. Fortunately, the last few years have shown that Title II regulations have not slowed down investment by providers on infrastructure. It has had little to no impact.

1182. Scott Emmons, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I strongly support strong oversight of ISPs under Title II. Please do everything you can to maintain net neutrality.

1183. Mel Peterson, Everett, WA, 98208

I support strong net neutrality rules. Do not roll these protections for a fair internet back

1184. Annalise Lineman, Redmond, WA, 98052

I support strong net neutrality backed by title oversight of ISPs.

1185. Benjamin Sklar, Kenmore, WA, 98028

Net Neutrality is very important. ISPs must be kept under Title 2 regulation to ensure fairness for websites both small and large.

1186. Katharina Muller, Woodinville, WA, 98072

Please note I strongly support network neutrality and Title II. Please ensure that this

will remain in place.

1187. Mark Rogalski, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I don't trust that any internet service provider will be able to allow fair data throughput to all internet services unless there is a federal mandate enforcing them to do so. I also think the tracking of everybody's web surfing is in violation of my right to privacy. If the FCC revokes these protections it will prove to the world that the only thing American industry cares about is making a profit above all else. Please leave the "net neutrality" laws remain as they are.
1188. Christopher Chovanak, Redmond, WA, 98052
There is no question in my mind that ISPs will take advantage of any weakening of regulations around the throttling of web content, and this will only harm consumers. We need to maintain or strengthen regulations around net neutrality in order to maintain a free and open Internet. Thank you for your consideration.
1189. Caleb Wright, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
The internet is for unrestricted communication of data, and information. It was called the information superhighway for a reason. Like a highway you have "lanes" of data streams, when get rid of net neutrality, you give priority to the highest bidder. If you had a 4 lane freeway, but some rich guy paid the Federal Highway Administration so only he could use 3 of those lanes that would leave 1 open lane for the rest of traffic. That "rest of traffic" are the smaller websites and content on the internet who wouldn't afford to get another lane of traffic. Without net neutrality most of the internet would slow down or stop completely while the large companies sites get priority. That is not okay. The internet collectively agrees, **WE WILL NOT TOLERATE ATTACKS ON NET NEUTRALITY!**
1190. Sean D'Agnolo, Deming, WA, 98244
Keep net neutrality as a basic and necessary freedom of information and communication. Our Democracy depends on our ability to determine freely where our information comes from. Keep net neutrality under Title 2, keep the future of our Democracy free.
1191. Barry Hershly, Bothell, WA, 98012
Net neutrality helps to ensure a level playing field and a free market of commerce and ideas. The best way to make sure that the American public has access to a free/neutral internet is to keep its governance under Title II.
1192. Jordan Maxim, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. I will not support, in any way, those who take away true net neutrality."
1193. Jay Naylor, Everett, WA, 98208
Please keep the internet under Title II to maintain net neutrality.
1194. Wendy McClure, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I strongly support net neutrality: internet providers must treat all web traffic equally. Network Neutrality and common carrier based communication has a long history in the U.S. â€“ dating back to the era of the telegraph. Internet Service Providers should continue to be classified as a Title II common carrier service in continuation of this long-standing American tradition.

1195. Jesse Lovejoy, Deming, WA, 98244

I support Net Neutrality with Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Only reason I can get affordable access in a rural area. Plus, we do not want to start censoring like Egypt or China, do we?

1196. Shawn Roland, Redmond, WA, 98052

Support net neutrality by leaving Title II enforcement in place for an open and free internet.

1197. Liz Crain, Duvall, WA, 98019

Keep the Net neutral. Do not manipulate one of the greatest educational expressions of humanity by enslaving us even more with capitalist propaganda.

1198. Laura Drumm, Woodinville, WA, 98077

I support net neutrality, governed by Title 2. Do NOT change the current policy.

1199. Sean Buller, Bothell, WA, 98012

It is imperative that the ISPs remain under Title II of the Act for the sake of the people and not special interests.

1200. Jerrod Carstensen, Granite Falls, WA, 98252

ISPs should remain as Title 2 companies. The Net Neutrality act should remain in place!

1201. Terry Douglas Rushing, Snohomish, WA, 98290

Mr. Pai. I am writing you again on the same topic to try and dissuade you from selling out the rest of us for your own personal gain. It's a tall task since you have nothing to gain by standing up for the rest of us and no doubt your former employer would pay you handsomely for dismantling the internet after you leave the FCC. However, this is going to impact you. You may not think it will but you are going to get throttled by an ISP because I guarantee that whoever provides your current internet service is offering competing services to other services you consume regularly. They have throttled in the past and will in the future if your organization doesn't stop them. YOU will get charged more. YOUR service will be worse. Ultimately if you continue down this road YOUR job will be lost because people will be after you for this short sighted decision. If reason ever returns to the governance of our country you wouldn't be able to touch another job in public service with a ten foot pole. I think you would become the Judas of the internet and that's pretty bad. People on the internet can be awful and you don't want to be the one all of them despise. I realize that your own delusional concept of government regulation is driving you to do this so I'll assuage your fears. See Verizon, your

former employer, told shareholders in a meeting after being added to Title II regulation that it doesn't affect them or their investments in infrastructure. Also this week SpaceX announced their plan to launch thousands of satellites into low Earth orbit to create a space based broadband network with latencies comparable to ground based systems. So using lack of investment, hindrance of innovation, and over regulation as an excuse isn't acceptable. Also in the news this week Comcast and TimeWarner working together to start a wireless service, Hulu starting an online TV service, and rumors that Facebook is getting in on that as well. For all your bluster about a lack of progress due to an overburdened industry they seem to be moving along just fine without your help! Now I want to point out that if they are already doing just fine then do you really think that you are going to be there here if all you do is allow them to behave badly? No you are going to be their scapegoat when people get so irate with them they start forming lynch mobs. Yet somehow the 300 million Americans who need an open free internet would love it if you did an about face and fought for better service for us all and a free and open internet! It's your choice Mr. Pai! You can be Judas the Internet Scapegoat with shitty overpriced internet or a public hero! I'll personally make a statue for you and post it on the internet where you can exist for all eternity! Until Trump kills us all anyways. Thanks! Doug Rushing

1202. Claire Ann Olsovsky, Bothell, WA, 98011

I support strong Net Neutrality holding Internet Service Providers accountable under Title 2 regulations. Experience has taught me corporations will always attempt to maximize profits and gouge the consumer. Any change in the regulation of ISPs is discriminatory.

1203. Ronald Trachy, Bothell, WA, 98011

Net neutrality is the right thing to do for ALL of us. The internet was established with net neutrality as a vital component!

1204. Tyrone Palmer, Bothell, WA, 98021

Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. These rules guarantee that individuals and companies can not gain special competitive advantage at the expense of common people and the integrity of our global network. In 2017, the people of the United States consider an undiscriminated internet and the equality of access to knowledge that it provides to be a basic human right. Please reconsider your stance on this important issue. Thank you, Tyrone Palmer

1205. Jacob Masen-Smith, Kenmore, WA, 98028

I am a software developer with experience in digital software distribution and network security. The only valuable Internet is a free and open carrier where all traffic is treated the same regardless of origin or destination. Anything else is discrimination on all levels. I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet service providers.

1206. Andrew John Ouderkirk, Kirkland, WA, 98033
We strongly support Title II regulations of ISPs.
1207. Kristian Bottger, Kenmore, WA, 98028
This attack on the internet is unacceptable. The FCC should be embarrassed. I will do everything I can to make sure every single person involved in this never holds elected office again. I'm calling my representatives after this.
1208. Brian Parks, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please do not change net neutrality. Keep it strong and backed by Title Two oversight of ISPs.
1209. FCC, Bothell, WA, 98011
I strongly support Net Neutrality being retained under Title II.
1210. Restoring Internet Freedom, Monroe, WA, 98272
Mr. Pai's recent statements concerning Title II protections for net neutrality can charitably be described as "misleading". His own former boss has said Title II is no barrier to investment. No one believes internet providers will "voluntarily" do anything. That's a dog that will definitely not hunt. Maintain Title II protections for internet neutrality.
1211. Raymond Nichols, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Net neutrality is vital to our democracy and free market. Giving any corporation the power to sequester or favor what we view based on premiums puts a severe damper on the ability for smaller businesses to startup and become successful. If the Trump administration truly values preserving jobs then this is part of that value since millions of Americans startup online now-a-days as opposed to traditional methods. The damage that rolling back title II regulations will far outweigh the monetary gains that the few corporations will gain. Also would like to remind the FCC that the Obama administration originally intended to deregulate net neutrality, however it was the response from the American people petitioning the FCC that led to current regulations.
1212. Roland Carlill, Granite Falls, WA, 98252
I support the Net-neutrality rules that were put in place by the FCC in 2015. I believe the internet should continue to be managed in a manner similar to conventional telephone companies. I do not believe that the current regulations represent either excessive government control or in any way adversely affects legitimate business use and development of the internet environment.
1213. FCC, Bothell, WA, 98011
Please keep Net Neutrality under Title II.
1214. Sita Mansour, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. There is no reason to have the Internet Service Providers regulate

themselves. Internet should be counted as a public utility and should not be self regulated by the companies who will profit from the services that they would "regulate".

1215. Kenneth J Irish, Theresa E Irish, Duvall, WA, 98019
Maintain the current open internet rules based on Title 2. To "trust" companies that exist only for profit to maintain an open internet is nothing but an admission by the FCC that the goal of pending legislation is to allow big money to control the commonwealth of the citizenry for their increased profits to the detriment of Americans. Selling off such control is NOT required for continued investment in infrastructure. Stop the lies.
1216. Marla Hamilton Lucas, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I support keeping regulations of ISP's under Title II to maintain strong net neutrality protections
1217. Scott Strapac, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Keep the current laws on net neutrality on the books. We need an open and free internet.
1218. Karl Kleppe, Bothell, WA, 98012
Please dont get rid of Net Neutrality. ISP's should definitely remain under title ii
1219. David Wall, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Please keep net neutrality for a fair and level playing field. I operate a web-based software company and a key components of our business is that our customers from anywhere get the same access to our services as they would large corporations that would easily spend us into oblivion. Please think of the consumer and the small business and keep net neutrality as it has existed since the beginning. Type II regulations for internet providers of bandwidth.
1220. Maianna Dematteis, Mill creek, WA, 98012
I support net neutrality and title II. Keep isps accountable
1221. Laura McMullan, Bainbridge Island, WA, 98011
I want net neutrality kept in place. Please do not remove net neutrality.
1222. Carl Nelson, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Keep Net neutrality under Title II!The only way to assure net neutrality is maintained is to keep it under Title II, The proposed move of net neutrality rules back under Title I is a step backwards that does not benefit all the citizens and companies of the United States. There are enough examples of how Title I regulation does not work, and that even under Title II the FCC has work to do. Thanks, Carl Nelson
1223. Kevin Woods, Bothell, WA, 98012
I strongly urge the FCC to maintain current net neutrality rules under Title 2 in order

to protect the free flow of online content. It is extremely naive to expect internet service providers to voluntarily uphold net neutrality principles.

1224. Andrew Biddle, Kenmore, WA, 98028

Please, please, please keep tight reins on Internet Net neutrality. Treating ISPs as a utility and governing them via Title 2 is critical in keeping a fair and equitable playing field for all services that ride over the Internet. The Internet is the telephone and broadcast medium of our day and this is how we get information and interaction with people throughout the globe. This should not be at the whim of telecom companies.

1225. Lisa Specchio, Redmond, WA, 98052

I support strong net neutrality which is why Title II oversight of ISPs is necessary.

1226. Nicolas Duchastel de Montrouge, Woodinville, WA, 98072

Keep ISPs classified under Title II. We need open and fair internet. I have worked at many different internet companies and a free and open Internet is essential to my job and my industry. Do not believe current and former executive from companies like Comcast, AT&T or Verizon when they claim re-classification under Title I will help the public. We should rather be moving towards making Internet access more like an utility. Stop thinking like the 19th century and get into the 21st century: Internet is a core utility required by all our citizens.

1227. Jessica Bylund, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274

It is important to leave the current Net Neutrality rules in place. Just saying, "no one will do this, so let's get rid of the rules" is horrific logic. Should we abolish laws against murder since no one should be doing it? No, people and companies are unpredictable. The effort has been made to safeguard consumers, and there is no reason to undo those regulations. They don't hamper investment or expansion by companies, but they do protect consumers. Not all regulations are bad, and I prefer the FCC not use this issue to make a ridiculous political point.

1228. Michael Ramires, Everett, WA, 98208

Please do not remove net neutrality. The ability to be unencumbered while accessing information and making your voice heard are necessary to our society.

1229. Arvind Mallikeswaran, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Net neutrality is very essential. Don't discard the bill 2.

1230. Klay Jones, Bothell, WA, 98021

We must maintain net neutrality. Repealing risks creating monopolies around a basic utility. I use smart electronics, appliances, and home automation. What would stop Comcast from throttling so I have to use their automation, home security, and cameras?

1231. Scott Bothel, Bothell, WA, 98011

It is vitally important that the internet remain free and open and that the current

proceedings to weaken the legal basis of the Net Neutrality rules not be allowed to proceed. Please consider the impact on the american people as major corporations seek to tilt the massive internet infrastructure in their own favor.

1232. Karen A. Hunter, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Internet access should remain under the preview of title II regulation. I can understand why corporations don't support such action, but as a consumer my concern is for equal and un fettered access, not maximizing ceo pay or massive profits at the expense of consumers and society as a whole. NET access is a societal need, much like electricity or water. It drives commerce and provides for community well being in the form of education, job searches, health and wellness, news, etc.
1233. Larry Barnard, Ferndale, WA, 98248
Protect net neutrality, protect Title II. If net neutrality goes the rest of our freedom will go with it, and we will enter a modern dark age. This is more important than it has ever been.
1234. Kevin Albers, Everett, WA, 98208
I support of Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! All online content should be treated equally. I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108!
1235. Tariq Yusuf, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Preserve the title 2 status of ISPs. We should not put blind trust without oversight on companies with a proven negative track record on a service that people rely so ubiquitously on.
1236. craig adams, mount vernon, WA, 98273
Keep the net neutral. Continue Title II status
1237. Linda Santini, Blaine, WA, 98230
President Obama was right: we need to keep Net Neutrality under Title TWO.
1238. Marissa Overcast, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Protect strong Title II net neutrality rules!
1239. Adam Wesley Sturm, Redmond, WA, 98052
My name is Adam Sturm and I am worried about the future of net neutrality. I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. That is all.
1240. Bruce Ford, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Leave the internet neutral. I support title two!
1241. Karin Besaw, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I strongly urge you to keep internet providers under Title II rules! Internet neutrality must be continued.
1242. Paul Verba, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net neutrality is essential to the right of privacy which is essential for the right of free speech and freedom of thought. Do not give corporations and/or any government the ability to profile net users for commercial or political purposes. Big brother will be watching you on the net.

1243. Matt Bath, Point Roberts, WA, 98281

The internet will cease to exist as we know it if net neutrality laws protections are weakened. Ajit Pai is a con artist attempting to persuade uneducated Americans that it's a good thing when it will really only enrich companies like his former employee, Verizon. The internet must remain free. Keep your hands off the internet Ajit Pai!

1244. Katherine Runyon, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

I STRONGLY SUPPORT TITLE 2 rules regarding ISPs to protect Net Neutrality. The current status of oversight is appropriate, and should not be changed back to the unfair system giving preference to large corporations at the expense of equal access for all.

1245. Margaret Adams, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I write to express my dismay that the FCC wants to make it easier for ISPs to favor one internet user over the other based on whatever criteria suits their (the ISPs') interests. Please RETAIN TITLE 2 AS THE GOVERNING LAW FOR ISPs. The ISPs say "Just trust us" and that is not good enough. Too many of today's corporations put the interest of shareholders at the forefront and the regular people get shafted. The federal government should look out for the interests of ALL American citizens, making sure that ISPs provide equal access to the internet and to internet speed. Many rural areas already have problems with limited internet access. The FCC should protect us all. Thank you.

1246. David Schilling, Redmond, WA, 98052

I think it's very important to keep title 2 net neutrality in place.

1247. Eric Johnson, Monroe, WA, 98272

I support strong Net Neutrality under Title II. I do not want ISPs being able to control what web content I am allowed to view.

1248. Matt Lombard, Redmond, WA, 98052

Please keep net neutrality in place by preserving it under Title II.

1249. Pete Peterson, Bothell, WA, 98011

Please keep net neutrality in place. ISPs should not have the ability to affect traffic. I expect ISP companies to act in the interest of the shareholders not the captive consumers.

1250. Erinn Daum, Woodinville, WA, 98072

The internet IS a utility now, like electricity or water, and just as necessary. The internet, as a neutral and dynamic pool of information, is a great equalizer - if you have access to the internet, you have access to the same information as every other

user of the internet, if you go looking for it. If that neutrality is compromised, those who will suffer from being barred/gated from the information are those who need it most... AKA, those who cannot afford to pay premiums for what most take for granted.

1251. Justin Shaver, Redmond, WA, 98052
I strongly support Net Neutrality and the classification of internet providers as Title II Common Carriers.
1252. Janet Granger, Lummi Island, WA, 98262
I strongly support the current Net Neutrality legislation and vehemently resist the proposed changes removing it from Title II
1253. Gabriel M. Bell, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Net neutrality protects small business and start up ability to compete. The internet, as delivered by ISPs, is the market in which new businesses are spawned. To not assure equal provision of access via regulation is anti-business and anti-consumer.
1254. Lindsay Bell, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Keeping net neutrality laws in place is the the ONLY way to keep the internet (especially commerce) truly free. Net neutrality ensures that small businesses are not overlooked or pushed around by huge corporations who can afford to buy access / customers. Please don't repeal the laws, as my small business relies on the competition and equal playing field that net neutrality guarantees.
1255. Glenn Wilhite, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Please maintain policies that provide for net neutrality as intended in Title II.
1256. amanda mitchell, kirkland, WA, 98034
Dear Mr Ajit Pai, It is really in the best interest of the FCC to continue categorizing broadband under Title II. If the FCC loses the trust of the American people all ISPs will be negatively impacted. You Sir are an intelligent and respectable individual; I think you know that maintaining the neutrality of our internet is in your best interest. Proving you are interested in maintaining an open internet (by leaving broadband in Title II) will endear you to Americans. Amanda Mitchell P.S. I really do like your mug.
1257. Daniel Bateman, Redmond, WA, 98053
From someone who has been involved with the Internet almost since its inception, the thought of ISPs having discretionary control over regulating bandwidth is unthinkable.
1258. Alice Marion, Bothell, WA, 98011
If ISPs are allowed to form monopolies and "shape" traffic to give preferential treatment to certain websites, they will stifle innovation and gag free speech. ISPs say they will "be good", but if we learned anything from the last 30 years is that people with power WILL use it for their own interests, regardless of whether it hurts

the American people. Seriously, this isn't rocket science. The Internet needs to be billed as a utility and make equal access for ALL people!

1259. Brian Butt, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

A truly neutral internet is actually beneficial for the most business. We must do everything that we can to ensure that the internet stays free and open.

1260. Peter Nansen, Bellingham, WA, 98226

Net neutrality is very important to maintain open internet use and prevent access to the internet being manipulated by corporate or political interests. Strong federal regulation is necessary to preserve net neutrality and keeping the internet regulated under Title 2 is the appropriate way to do that. Though the internet was not foreseen by the authors of the constitution, it is obvious that the free access to the internet is an important right in the same category as free speech, the pursuit of life, liberty and happiness and due process. It must be protected in the same way that access to education, libraries and a free press are protected as essential to the survival of our society as a democracy. The current head of the FCC seems inclined to use his position to further his personal agenda or that of his prior corporate employer, Verizon, rather than to serve the interests of the citizens of this country.

1261. Maxine Melber, Redmond, WA, 98052

Free and open internet access is a tool for progress. Lets keep moving forward and keep internet neutrality.

1262. Steven Porter, Bothell, WA, 98011

I strongly support the principle of net neutrality and believe the FCC has a legal, ethical, & moral responsibility to the American people to require broadcasters & cable service providers to uphold it. The rules requiring net neutrality have NOT impacted broadband investment or business operations of service providers, as their own executives have stated publicly. Therefore, I urge you to keep the rules currently in place.

1263. Matthew Paul, Bothell, WA, 98012

Per the Last Week Tonight with John Oliver, protect our net neutrality, rather than cater to the whims of some crazy nutjob in the White House.

1264. Andrew Gulmi, Monroe, WA, 98272

Please retain net neutrality(Section 2)! I and everyone I know support it.Thanks!

1265. Philip R. Auberg, Redmond, WA, 98052

Please do NOT change our net neutrality laws. The future of our economy depends on an open utility that is equally accessible to all.

1266. Amit Mohanka, Redmond, WA, 98052

I support net neutrality. I defy discrimination. I think the Government should support and fund innovation that will help achieve a seamless access to internet by all.

1267. David Campbell, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I'm filing on behalf of maintaining Net Neutrality and in favor of classifying ISPs as Title II common carriers. ISPs already play too many games with prices, special deals, limited time offers, subscriptions that can't be cancelled. Please do not give them another tool with which they can take advantage of their customers.
1268. Noah Orr, Kenmore, WA, 98028
The current rules protecting net neutrality must be maintained. The internet must remain a free and open network, without meddling by ISPs. Favoring or blocking particular websites would be an infringement on first amendment rights.
1269. Denise Hazlick, Redmond, WA, 98053
I absolutely support strong Net Neutrality and the maintenance of Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers, most of which are in direct competition of the content they stream. To maintain a free market and the free exchange of information, we can't remove Title II oversight because companies left to the "honor system" repeatedly show that they have zero honor.
1270. Valerie Hoernig, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
I support strong net neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight of ISPf. Why would we go backwards with internet freedom
1271. Quin Fermstad, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Internet service providers belong under title 2, and I do not support loosening ISP regulations because it could threaten net neutrality.
1272. Landon Ward, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2! I don't trust ISPs to do the right thing when they can't even maintain the internet speed that I pay for.
1273. net neutrality, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please classify Internet service providers as public utilities and regulate them accordingly.
1274. Lisa M Matriccino, Redmond, WA, 98052
The Internet must remain neutral. If we are to continue calling ourselves a free country and a democracy, this important source of information and communication must be the same for all citizens. Money should not be the reason that some information is slower than other information. Ideology should not be the reason that some information is withheld and other information is provided. We already fought this battle during the administration of President Barack Obama and this should not be up for discussion again. Keep the internet neutral.
1275. Mark S. Korenkiewicz, Lynden, WA, 98264
I support regulating Internet ISPs under Title II. I want a free and open Internet and that can only be obtained by forcing Internet ISPs to treat all network traffic equally and fairly. In general, I believe Chairman Pai is VERY misguided in ALL his

opinions and view points. FCC regulatory oversight is VERY important to insure that ALL our telecommunication services are managed and provided to consumers in a manner that is most advantageous to the consumer. Removing rules such as those that prevent ISPs from using and/or selling customer Internet traffic should be reinstated. My Internet traffic should not be a product that ISPs can leverage and/or sell without my explicit permission.

1276. john kwiecinski, blaine, WA, 98230
Preserve net neutrality. Keep Title II over site intact.
1277. Crystle Loomos, Lynden, WA, 98264
Net neutrality or GTFO
1278. Mary Lee Kwiecinski, blaine, WA, 98230
Keep net neutrality intact. Preserve Title II protections.
1279. Megan Valenta, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Net neutrality must be protected and preserved under the Title II classification for Internet Service Providers. Chairman Pai, you need to do what's best for ALL Americans, not just big corporations. Stop messing with our net neutrality.
1280. Dustin Schaan, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Hello,I am writing today to express my complaint against this proposed "Restoring Internet Freedom" filing. I believe the only way to allow the American economy to prosper on the Internet is to keep intact: Net Neutrality and Title II. Reversing these decisions will be detrimental to the Internet as we know it today.Please do the right thing and keep Net Neutrality and Title II. These are for the people, which allow the Internet to be as great as it is today.
1281. Bob R., Redmond, WA, 98052
Restoring the previous Net Neutrality standards is imperative to the future of the USA. Without the ability to prevent ISPs from ransoming not just access, but private user information how can we continue to grow our one and only major profiting sector? By getting rid of these rules we not only set ourselves up for suffering for all those who use the internet, but all those who wish to make a living in the USA. The FCC is meant to protect the citizens, not corporations.
1282. Angela Bordonaro, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Please make sure the internet remains neutral and no only for those that can pay.
1283. Meg Lambert, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Type II oversight of ISPs.
1284. Nicole Rutledge, Bothell, WA, 98012
I strongly support Net Neutrality and the use of Title 2
1285. Kurt F. Zwar, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274

Please, please, please do not alter the current net neutrality status. Is it really necessary to go after the working man and woman and cave in to the rich and powerful one more time? The internet is for all Americans. The rich can always buy what they want, but should not be able to buy the Internet for their private domain. America is for all Americans, not just the rich and powerful. Putin buys an American election and now our fearless leader is placing foxes in all of our hen houses. "Let them eat cake". "No one every died from lack of medical access". "What I say is, is" Doublespeak and treason now confront all democracy loving Americans every day. Dictators and thugs are to be admired and courted.....Putin, Un, Duterte. And the new FCC chairman wants to distort the web to prevent regular Americans from using this new aspect of democracy. Got to feed the rich. They are always hungry for more money and power. "Greed is good". Science is lies. Truth does not exist. Journalism is all fake. 1984 is right here, right now. Like Global Warming, it won't go away just because ignorance and Big Lie propaganda fill the REAL fake news medias. Can't confirm your scientific studies. So what. Can't corroborate a news story. No need for that. Just make it up. History a problem? We can fix that....just make it up too. Ask Andrew Jackson to stop the Civil War. I am sure it can be done. I am sure the slaves would VOTE for it. We will all sink or swim together on this one. Do not expect the Brave New World leaders to do anything for Americans who love democracy. They are the ones tearing down our open society. Rebuilding it in the image of Donald Trump, Steve Bannon and the Koch Brothers. They will not help us. They will only help themselves, as that is all they ever do. It is never country first with these greedy con men. Shine the light on all of these dealings. Expose the traitors. Expose the lies. Call the lies lies. Make the powerful walk on the same roads as the rest of us. America deserves that. Deserves much better than we are being served."I will always support my country, and my government when it deserves it" Mark Twain.

1286. Akshat Bhargava, Redmond, WA, 98053

We believe in keeping strict regulations on net neutrality by keeping it in title ii and urge you to keep corporate interests secondary to keeping the Internet neutral

1287. Ryan Sackman, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

Net Neutrality must be maintained and backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

1288. Robin Zahler, Snohomish, WA, 98290

Please leave net neutrality as it is. I do NOT want corporations deciding how fast, how slow, my connectivity is, We rely on Net Neutrality to connect, organize, and make change. Losing Net Neutrality protections now would stuff the pockets of Big Cable, put new fees on consumers, and be absolutely devastating for our democracy.

1289. Jami Voigt, Everett, WA, 98208

I value open and fair internet. Please preserve Net Neutrality under its current Title II legal foundation.

1290. Alexander Cushing, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273

Net neutrality is critical for access to the least biased data and information. The

protections currently in place curtail profit motives impeding our access to such data. This is key, for instance, for scientific analysis. If we remove said protections we jeopardize our collective education.

1291. Lawrence Goodhind, Redmond, WA, 98052

As a senior software developer who has worked in the industry since the early 80's I've had a chance to see first hand how treating access to information as a utility as opposed to a product has helped the US lead the industry. Information IS the electricity of our current economy and giving monopolistic organizations in this limited field will do nothing to help American business other than for companies like Comcast and Verizon. While this legislation will make lots of money for a handful of corporations it will be a huge negative impact on innovation and the productivity of small businesses that have been able to use the Internet to reach all of America on a level playing field. Please retain the current title 2 definitions and general understanding of net neutrality.

1292. Diana Willadsen, Sultan, WA, 98294

It is imperative that net neutrality exists. It is close to censorship if certain activities or sites have their speeds throttled. In America, this should not be an issue.

1293. Ronald Butler, Redmond, WA, 98053

I strongly support title 2 regulation of internet service providers and net neutrality. Zero-rating, interconnect overloading, and other scummy tactics to get around net neutrality should not be permitted in a free and open internet. I'm a systems engineer at Amazon which, as a legacy player, would strongly benefit from abolishing net neutrality laws. Even so, I would rather have to compete with disruptive startups than rest on my laurels and stagnate, secure in the knowledge that competition can't easily spring up without net neutrality.

1294. Michael Noth, Bothell, WA, 98011

Save American jobs, save net neutrality. Preserving net neutrality is the most fundamental mechanism to encourage American innovation on the Internet. Without network neutrality, all Americans are harmed, innovation and job creation are suppressed, and economic growth is curtailed. Past actions and statements by major Internet access providers show that this is fact, not speculation--when left to their own devices, they act in their own interests and contrary to those of the American people. Preserve network neutrality to promote innovation and freedom for all Americans.

1295. Kurt, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I support Net Neutrality, and so should you.

1296. Stuart Long, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Very opposed! I'm concerned that reducing regulations on internet providers will give them the power to hurt consumers. If a consumer lives in an area with only one ISP and that ISP decides to charge more for one type of a content, then that consumer either has to pay more or can't access that content. Internet "express lanes"

will also hurt small business and internet startups which can't afford to have to pay to have their domains on the fast lanes.

1297. michael eichenlaub, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Do NOT make the mistake of changing title 2 and net neutrality. Preserve title 2!
Destroying net neutrality will effectively kill the free internet as we know it
1298. Analeah Williams, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Preserving net neutrality is vitally important to the freedom of United States citizens and the values that our country stands for. Please continue to uphold current net neutrality and continue Title II for ISPs.
1299. Amoshaun Toft, Bothell, WA, 98011
It is imperative that we preserve network neutrality. The ability to freely communicate on an open and equal platform is necessary for an informed and engaged citizenry. Removing network neutrality requirements would endanger the health of our democracy, and would be just plain poor policy, leading to further consolidation of ownership and control of our media system.
1300. Conor Glassey, Bothell, WA, 98012
Keep Title II and maintain net neutrality!
1301. Kathie Flood, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I support net neutrality based on Title 2. Not having this would be devastating to American small business owners like me.
1302. Tyler Cosentino, Bothell, WA, 98021
I fully support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isps. Do the right thing.
1303. Don Lawe, Carnation, WA, 98014
Please uphold Net neutrality under Title II.
1304. Thomas Carmichael, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
I have worked in the networking field for nearly 20 years. Network Neutrality is a cornerstone of a functioning internet. Should this motion pass it will cause direct harm to innumerable people and businesses in the US while benefiting only a few large corporations. In short, this will be undermining one of the foundations of the US economy causing unknown amount of damage in the long run. Don't sell out the majority to give benefit to a few. Please vote no to this proposal.
1305. Alex Robinweiler, Bothell, WA, 98021
I support net neutrality and continued title 2 regulation of ISPs. Thank you.
1306. Sean Martin, Woodinville, WA, 98072
It's simple:1) Net Neutrality is important and essential to both our economy and democracy.2) Keeping the ISPs under Title II is essential for the FCC to be able to enforce Net neutrality.3) Those who are saying that removing Net Neutrality won't

cause any problems are lying. Prior to it being in place the service providers very much used their positions to block competitors and stifle competition. Just one example: Blocking Google Wallet when they had a competing product.

1307. Nicholas Newhard, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Most Americans support true net neutrality, especially when they understand what is at stake. Furthermore, Ajit Pai is a weak supporter of true net neutrality and his post as a former lawyer for Verizon makes him singularly unqualified as an advocate for the American people. Americans demand net neutrality and overturning that goes against those demands. Mr. Pai should be ashamed for kowtowing to partisan politics and media businesses.

1308. Nicholas Newhard, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Most Americans support true net neutrality, especially when they understand what is at stake. Furthermore, Ajit Pai is a weak supporter of true net neutrality and his post as a former lawyer for Verizon makes him singularly unqualified as an advocate for the American people. Americans demand net neutrality and overturning that goes against those demands. Mr. Pai should be ashamed for kowtowing to partisan politics and media businesses.

1309. JAMES B. PADEN, BLAINE, WA, 98230

PLEASE DO NOT TAKE A "WEED WHACKER" TO THE REGULATIONS THAT INSURE NET NEUTRALITY. I WANT EVERYONE TO BE ABLE TO HAVE ACCESS TO WEBSITES AND NOT BE PENALIZED WITH SLOWER SERVICE DEPENDENT ON THE PARTICULAR WEBSITE. LEAVE THE CURRENT REGULATIONS IN PLACE - WHILE IT'S GRATIFYING TO SOME TO TALK ABOUT REGULATIONS BEING AN UNWARRANTED INTRUSION BY THE "GUUUUUBMINT" INTO THE FREE ENTERPRISE SYSTEM, REGULATIONS ARE INTENDED TO BE SENSIBLE AND INSURE FAIRNESS.

1310. Eric Stewart, Redmond, WA, 98052

On my behalf, please preserve the Title II classification for Internet Service Providers (ISPs), and please preserve Net Neutrality. ISPs are a natural monopoly - the backbone of the internet is physical infrastructure that is incredibly expensive to build, and is therefore a difficult market for new competitors to enter. Such monopolies are one of the few cases where Federal government intervention is necessary and proper to ensure the fair treatment of consumers.

1311. Matt Shirley, Arlington, WA, 98223

please do not change the rules for net neutrality. If there is money to be made, providers will without doubt screw over the public as far as equal accessibility to the internet.

1312. Doug Pullman, Duvall, WA, 98019

Don't be stupid. Net neutrality is needed to balance out for those startups when they are disrupting big business and pushing them to improve there own products.

1313. John Schmied, Bothell, WA, 98011
Let me be brief... what are you thinking? Net neutrality is key to protect consumers and the integrity of the internet as a medium for passing information. The next step down the line from weakening these regulations is pay to play, which equates to robbing the poor and giving to the rich. I highly recommend that you reverse direction and leave the net neutrality rules intact.
1314. Sean Hewitt, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Please, Please Please maintain net neutrality and title 2. Nothing ever good for the public has come from letting corporations create their own rules in their favor, even if you think they aren't right now at the moment. Maybe also don't put a guy that used to be a lawyer for Verison in charge of this stuff either. Since they kinda started this whole push.
1315. Robert M Heddle, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I am against the proposed changes to roll back the Net Neutrality rules imposed in 2015. Internet Service Providers should remain classified as Title II telecommunications companies, to ensure equal access for all content providers. Not only is this important for our democracy by ensuring availability of all content and ideas, it is also important for our capitalist system by ensuring that small start-up services can compete on an equal footing with multinational competitors. Lets keep a level playing field for all!
1316. mark whiteside, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Please continue to support Net Neutrality and provide equal access to all content. Given the state of internet access being concentrated in a small number of providers, equal access is the only way to provide open access to diverse content.
1317. Betsy McCormick, Blaine, WA, 98230
Go back to regulation level before Trump administration.
1318. Kevin Leja, Bellingham, WA, 98226
I stand for Net Neutrality and demand the FCC keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
1319. Lynette Scheuing, Clyde Hill, WA, 98004
KEEP STORNG Title II oversight of Net Neutrality rules!! This is very troubling that Pres Trump and reasonable Republicans do not see the implications of this issue. FCC chairman, Ajit Pai, is being blatantly dishonest - do not allow him to push this change through.
1320. David Argent, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Keep ISPs as Title II, as it's the only way to enable strong protections and regulation of net neutrality. Doing anything else is yielding to business interests, and is horrible for the consumer. Also, requiring full address information and then displaying it to anyone, is really lousy for privacy concerns. It almost makes me feel like it was deliberately designed, to encourage people not to comment.

1321. caleb visser, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Keep the internet marked as a title 2 service. Keep net neutrality rules. I am a concerned american citizen and i will help fight to keep net neutrality rules!
1322. Asa Hurst, Duvall, WA, 98019
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II(or stronger) oversight of ISPs
1323. Yogesh Roy, Redmond, WA, 98052
Do not let big companies like Verizon and Comcast to exploit its customers anymore than they already do. Do not reclassify ISPs under Title 1.
1324. linda ruiz, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Do not deregulate net neutrality. Leave it under title 2. ISPs cannot be trusted to regulate themselves.
1325. David Weisenhorn, Ferndale, WA, 98248
I strongly support the FCC maintaining net neutrality by retaining Title II status. Large, corporate ISP's should not be allowed to make money off internet traffic. The rates they are charge are more than adequate to cover infrastructure improvements. Protect individuals and small businesses.
1326. Dave Braile, Bothell, WA, 98012
Favoring strong net neutrality rules and Title 2
1327. Jon Campbell, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support strong net neutrality protections, backed by Title II.
1328. Robert Frostad, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I strongly support the preservation of Net Neutrality supported by Title II regulations.
1329. Jeff Hindle, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I believe eliminating net neutrality would dismantle the very foundation on which the internet operates. Rolling back net neutrality would not serve the public in any way whatsoever, aside from select special interests; interests that are managing to do just fine with the current landscape.
1330. Tom Rash, Redmond, WA, 98052
We need to keep the current net neutrality rules and not move to title I The results of changing would be disastrous and cause different providers to favor their own content and throttle down others.
1331. Mark Engelberg, Everett, WA, 98208
I'm a software engineer writing from the Seattle area, one of America's hubs of software innovation. Nearly everyone in tech agrees that strong title ii protection of net neutrality is essential to protect consumers and, even more importantly, to

protect the ingredients that have made the internet flourish with innovation, creating new businesses and propelling our economy forward. Reversing these strong protections on net neutrality will undermine the future of our country. Protect net neutrality!

1332. Lisa Pollman, Bellingham, WA, 98225
Please keep the existing net neutrality regulations supported by Title II. Thank you for listening to the voice of the people.
1333. Barbara, Lynden, WA, 98264
Keep net neutrality protections under Title II
1334. Max Gordon, Woodinville, WA, 98072
The internet was made to make knowledge available to all, indiscriminately. No one should be permitted to interfere with that.
1335. Mike Smith, Kirkland, WA, 98034
We need strong Net neutrality rules.
1336. mark kostick, monroe, WA, 98272
Stay out of my interenet
1337. Per-Ola Selander, Kirkland, WA, 98033
In today's society, access to reliable, fast, affordable, internet connections is not just a luxury, but a necessity. It doesn't matter if you live in downtown San Francisco or in Polebridge, MT. A lot of interaction with authorities, customers, partners, suppliers, family and friends, take place via services riding on the "internet". Internet access today is just as important as access to clean water and plumbing. It is therefore of the utmost importance that we can trust our connectivity providers (ISPs) to be just that, providers of service, and not "providers of OUR information" by means of selling off our browsing history, or what we do when on line. Net Neutrality as it currently exists is not perfect, but far better than the repeal suggested by FCC. Our ISPs should not be governed by "what" we do and from whom, but instead of equal access to the "connectivity pipe". If I am a Comcast customer for internet, and subscribe to TV service from Netflix or Amazon, Comcast shall not be able to "punish" me for using a service that might compete with their on TV offerings, by giving priority, technically or cost wise, to their own content. If I am buying a 1TB capacity per month, I expect that I can use that bandwidth as I see fit, without having to worry about my service being degraded due to my choice of what I fill that capacity with (of course assuming not breaking any laws). Not in the current docket, but I would go so far as to ask for a total separation between the "connectivity" providers (the physical network), and the content providers. Countries where the "pipe" is just a physical connection, where you are separately fill it (and pay for) with services as you see fit, work far better and offer greater competition, lower prices, wider selection, even broader access, compared to the US. Here we are instead seeing fewer and fewer companies control the physical network, and while those same companies also are buying up more and more of the content by

consolidating media houses, news producers, movie studios, etc, primarily due to the fact that the profits from "basic" connectivity as a result of lack of competition, has been insane, allowing them to acquire more and more companies. So we are slowly getting closer to a time when we will have a few behemoths, controlling both the "connectivity" market, and in extension prices and other other regulations, as well as the "content" market. We need more, smaller, providers to allow for more choices in every market. Even today, many large metropolitan markets only have a choice between two providers, and THAT stifles competition. For now, the best you can do FCC, is to let current regulations governing Net Neutrality remain in place!

1338. Liam Madson, Bothell, WA, 98011
I support Net Neutrality, as put forth in Title II.
1339. shawn shipman, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Keep the flow of data from being manipulated.
1340. Chris Tchou, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Broadband internet service should be regulated as a Title-2 common carrier, in order to ensure network neutrality, an open internet, and prevent abuses by the de-facto monopoly of last-mile network infrastructure companies. Despite 'growing competition,' it remains a fact that almost ALL residential locations in the US have only one realistic option for high quality broadband services. As such, they should be required to adhere to the standards of a common carrier.
1341. Bob Green, Bothell, WA, 98012
Keep the net neutral. Companies should not be able to control what sites we have easier access.
1342. Sameer Kripalani, Blaine, WA, 98230
"I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs". ISPs cannot be allowed to regulate themselves and be expected to do the "right thing," when they clearly have incentive to do what's best in their own interest.
1343. Claire Whiteside, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Please preserve every aspect of net neutrality under title 2 legislation. It is very important to have the correct legislation and regulations in place for the future, and companies shouldn't regulate themselves.
1344. Vaeth Hewitt JR., Redmond, WA, 98052
Please preserve net-neutrality and Title II. Not doing so will put the net in control of the largest players and curb new developments.
1345. Richard Zagroba, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Left to weaker oversight, the ISPs have in the past and will in the future abuse their power to the detriment of the consumer. The primary responsibility of government is to protect the citizenry, including access to the internet.

1346. Paul Doak, Bothell, WA, 98012
FCC should protect American people and our access and freedom to and on the internet. Not turn it over to corporations for the sake of their whims. It should be law and not embedded in some hard to understand lingo buried in a document. Keep Net Neutrality plain and simple- FREEDOM for the People, Of the People and By the People
1347. Nancy Hansen, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Dear FCC, The reputation of Ajit Pai is at high risk if he goes ahead with plans to dismantle services that we are entitled to according to the Constitution of our country. America was founded on free speech and every movement since has been founded on this idea. We will not let our rights disappear in a heart beat due to irresponsible actions by people who only see power and control as a means to get along. You and I know there is far more to life than this. Nancy Hansen
1348. Jeffrey Sewell, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I have made a career out of working on wireless internet access and data and have seen firsthand how easy it is for companies to discriminate. Fortunately they do not because they are concerned about lawsuits and regulations. Please do not remove those controls! A level playing field is the best kind and needs the firm support of the government.
1349. Judith Zajac, Redmond, WA, 98052
I believe we should preserve net neutrality and Title 2. I do not want companies to decide what information I receive / consume. This is very important to our democracy and democratic process.
1350. omar khan, Redmond, WA, 98053
The Laws enacted by the previous administration (2008-2016) are crucial to protecting the interests of private citizens over those of corporations in regards to net Neutrality. Corporations cannot be relied upon to self govern in these matters as has been previously proven to be the case with special regards to ISPs blocking web services which compete with their own proprietary and often times poor offerings. I call on the Government of this great nation to do the right thing for its people and their future by maintaining the legal framework which at the present moment exists.
1351. Kevin Schmidt, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. I am a software developer and have worked my whole career at online software companies that could not have gotten started without Net Neutrality and I believe that Title 2 oversight of ISPs is the only way to maintain this neutrality.
1352. Reiko Usami, Kirkland, WA, 98033
We need net neutrality; don't you dare take it away
1353. Dillon Tellier, Redmond, WA, 98052
As a citizen and a software professional I strongly urge the FCC to maintain Title II

protections for net neutrality. In both my personal and professional opinions, it is clear that net neutrality is essential to the economic and intellectual freedom of our country, and Title II protections are the appropriate tool to strongly protect it from 3rd party interests who seek to undermine it. Arguments to the contrary, especially those espoused by chairman Pai, are misinformed at best and disingenuous at worst and will result in a situation which is not in the best interest of the American people.

1354. net neutrality, Snohomish, WA, 98290

I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Do not mess with it. Thank you for your time.,

1355. Matthieu Boblet, Bothell, WA, 98021

I strongly support Net Neutrality and vehemently oppose the division of the free and open internet by the whims of corporate interests.

1356. Michael Knapick, Sammamish, WA, 98074

I believe it is the government's responsibility to ensure that ISPs treat all internet traffic equally. The incentive for them to provide preferential treatment to affiliated companies and companies that pay them for such treatment is too strong for us to trust them to not manipulate the web traffic traveling on their infrastructure.

Implementing and enforcing regulations so that ISPs must legally treat all internet traffic equally is the correct course of action. Implement and enforce Net Neutrality

1357. Tony J. Lee, Woodinville, WA, 98077

Please preserve net neutrality via Title II. The point is that it gives customers protections as well. ISPs control the pipeline to the internet to begin with. They should not use that position to their advantage when competing with other providers for services by throttling 3rd party traffic or altering or substituting content on its way to my screen from 3rd parties. ISPs have a track record of profit making against their customer's best interests, particularly when there is only one provider in a geographical area. If there were true competition, customers can vote with their wallet. Since there is not in many areas, there's no choice and we're at the mercy of the single ISP providing broadband service. Customers deserve a voice through the FCC enforcing net neutrality rules under Title II that classifies ISPs as common carriers.

1358. Scott Pringle, Redmond, WA, 98052

Please maintain internet neutrality for all.

1359. Daniel Chronister, Ferndale, WA, 98248

Maintain current net neutrality rules. No other reason to change other than corruption.

1360. Kasey Racutt, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Preserve net neutrality and Title II! Verizon itself stated that being Title II will absolutely not impact the way they invest both wirelessly and with FiOS, other providers have said the same. This is a clear partisan attempt to destroy internet

freedom.

1361. Suzanna Schuster, Redmond, WA, 98052
ISPs should remain under Title 2 and maintain net neutrality. I need internet for my employment, and have one option that can support the needed speeds at my home. I know many other citizens in the exact same situation. When there is only one company able to provide me with a basic need it needs to either be viewed by the government as a utility or as a monopoly, so either break up these ISPs and give me options and true capitalistic competition, or maintain Title 2 status and regulate them as the utility they are.
1362. Geoffrey Evans, Snohomish, WA, 98290
As a person with 10 years IT experience, a child with diverse interests, and a rural citizen with zero competition for my ISP, I believe that strong enforcement under title 2 is an absolute necessity for the modern internet. There is no version of events in which I trust market forces to work for me in a rural setting and in which ISPs do anything other than aggressively pursue their own financial best interests at the expense of any market-disrupting force. Without strong enforcement of net neutrality rules under title 2, the future will arrive more slowly and with less diversity.
1363. Maxwell Flynn, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I am a student in the Information Technology field. And I am worried that loosening regulations on ISP's would negatively affect my experience on the internet and make my future business more difficult and expensive to run. Net neutrality is very important to me and I believe that the future of the internet depends on its continued existence and integrity.
1364. Eduardo Kostetzer, Bothell, WA, 98012
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II (two) oversight of ISP (Internet Service Providers)
1365. Patrick Skelly, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Save net neutrality, please.
1366. Chad Weaver, kirkland, WA, 98034
ISPs need to be kept as title 2 as they have shown they cannot be trusted to self regulate. There are many examples of ISPs limiting connections for monetary gain and not having the customers best interest in mind when they were under title 1.
1367. Larissa Stassek, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I would like to strongly urge you to continue enforcing net neutrality regulations. Individual ISP's should not have the power to influence access to specific content viewed by the public.
1368. Dominique Bourgeois, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273
Please keep our current net neutrality laws in order to maintain a free and open internet for all Americans.

1369. David Kerr-Wilson, bothell, WA, 98021
I strongly support Net Neutrality and do not want to see it removed from Title II protections. As a High Tech worker, net neutrality is protecting my job!
1370. Open Internet, Bothell, WA, 98011
I fully support a Free and Open Internet under Title 2 doctrine. Please keep Internet service providers regulated to prevent throttling of any website, this is vital for a pro business and anti-monopoly Internet.
1371. Charity Parrish, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Net neutrality is key to our ability to remain a democracy and not morph into a plutocracy. Information is a human right. Internet service providers must continue to be regulated under Title II. Anything else would be selling out our public for the benefit of corporations.
1372. Revan Sunkel, Redmond, WA, 98052
We support a strong net neutrality backed by Title II.
1373. Sherry Bupp, Redmond, WA, 98052
As a contract worker who works from home, my job depends upon my internet workspace being fast and reliable - whichever ISP I use. For that reason, I specifically support strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
1374. Chantal Sconzo, Bothell, WA, 98012
I strongly support net neutrality. Companies already have way to much control on what we see on the internet, limit's must be set so they don't take that power even further. It must remain under section 2!!!
1375. martin johnson, Lake stevens, WA, 98258
I support strong net neutrality laws with the isps classified under title 2
1376. Joshua Galvin, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I work in the Online Video Game industry and without the Net Neutrality safeguards our business model would be in peril. Our users connect from a variety of ISPs to our online game servers. If that connection would be degraded or even prevented then our business is in jeopardy.
1377. Open Internet, Bothell, WA, 98011
I fully support a Free and Open Internet under title 2, this will prevent Internet Service Providers from discriminating against small businesses and allow a non-monopoly Internet. Title 2 is Vital for this cause, this is not a hypothetical nor a dystopian view of the internet. Any non-regulated Internet service provider not under Title 2 will create a monopoly based Internet where small businesses will suffer. As business have shown in then past, any chance to interfere with competitors will be fully taken advantage of without strict regulations in place Ex. New York Vs. Time Warner Cable, Or United States of America Vs. Verizon Wireless.

1378. David McKenzie, Redmond, WA, 98052
Save Net Neutrality. An open and free internet is a regulated internet, where all entrepreneurs and small businesses have the chance at succeeding alongside large corporations. Don't let the internet become monopolized by the telecomm companies. Keep Title II protections.
1379. Joel Moreno, Redmond, WA, 98052
I want net neutrality, keep the internet under title II. It is a utility and needs to be kept as such. What you're proposing throttles innovation and the free market, is directly in contrast to American values of a free and open utility to use to better yourself and make your own way, build your own business. Don't listen to the copy and pasted comments about how this is somehow a power grab by Obama. Forget Obama. He has nothing to do with this. This is a power grab by major ISPs to maintain their control over the internet, stifle progress in order to keep and expand their massive wealth. And the "hypothetical" threats are hardly hypothetical. Who could guess that companies would exercise their expanded power to suppress competition. ECONOMIES GROW WITH COMPETITION!
1380. Jason Cohen, Fall City, WA, 98024
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 protections of the internet. It is vital that we continue to have enforceable, legal restrictions that keep ISPs from deciding to impact consumer usage of the internet.
1381. johnnie hawkins, Bothell, WA, 98011
I strongly support Net Neutrality under Title 2 that provides strong oversight over ISP.
1382. Cody Mansfield, Monroe, WA, 98272
We need to retain net neutrality protection by keeping ISP regulation under Title II. This is an unwise battle to pick; don't tank your careers for the ability to play fast and loose with your conflicts of interest in the ISP industry until the next President, that would be very shortsighted on your parts.
1383. Kimberly Absher, Redmond, WA, 98052
Keep the internet free and open! I support net neutrality! Keep it under title II. This will be a dark day for the open market and innovation and fairness in general if you remove it's title II status.
1384. sarah carpenter, Redmond, WA, 98052
I am strongly against the FCC tearing apart net neutrality! We need regulations in effect to keep the Internet equal. Keep the net neutrality laws!
1385. Roy Kuntz, Kirkland, WA, 98033
The consumer is already subject to the whims of wireline and wireless broadband carriers. There is proven track record of these companies gauging the consumer to protect their own financial interests - Skype for international toll and WhatsApp for text usage are an example of what innovation has to be done because of carrier

blockages. The carriers throughout the world are currently stalling next gen emergency calling adoption by throwing obstacles in the way instead of working on a solution. There is nothing about this industry to suggest that undoing network neutrality will have any positive results. The claims about reduced infrastructure investing are hog wash...the recent broadband auctions are proof of that. I am generally conservative but this is nothing but politically pandering...stop considering back tracking on net neutrality.

1386. Vivek Soman, Redmond, WA, 98053

I urge you to continue and even enhance strong enforcement of net neutrality that includes keeping ISPs under Title 2 and NOT moving them to Title 1. I do not want ISPs to decide what internet services I use, by having an ability to charge more money for certain internet services to run faster.

1387. Jim Howe, Woodinville, WA, 98072

Protect Net Neutrality and enforce Title II regulations on ISPs. This is important.

1388. Scott McCracken, Woodinville, WA, 98072

I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The idea that ISPs can or will govern themselves is clearly not going to happen. The job of the FCC should be to protect the people of this country and not protect large corporations.

1389. Pamela Pifer, Bothell, WA, 98011

I am writing in support of the Net Neutrality regulations that are already in effect. I strongly oppose any attempt to roll those regulations back which I believe will cause gross inequities in the access people will have to streamed content.

1390. Kristopher R. Katz, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Keeping the internet as a Title II service is the only way to ensure that all traffic on the internet is treated fairly. Many businesses big and small depend on an open internet to continue to exist. Allowing ISPs to prioritize traffic creates a serious risk of restriction whenever an internet business gets on their bad side. I urge the rejection of 17-108, and advocate maintaining Title II protections for the internet. Thank you for your time.

1391. Alyssa Heaton, Snohomish, WA, 98296

I am an American citizen and I believe all websites should be treated equally. I strongly urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

1392. Gluck, Dale, Bothell, WA, 98021

I urge you -- and expect you -- to preserve Title II Net Neutrality benefits for consumers and business. Thank you for defending and continuing these protections to the public.

1393. Hannah Booth, Snohomish, WA, 98296

I support strong net neutrality regulations and want to see title II preserved.

1394. Casandra, Granite Falls, WA, 98252
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs and expect the FCC to uphold the user/customer safeguards that are in place.
1395. TR Andrew, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I support an open and free internet. Please leave isp listed as title II.
1396. Monica Lozano Maciel, Redmond, WA, 98052
I strongly support the net neutrality, I condemn the monsters that say otherwise.
1397. Evan Parker, Kirkland, WA, 98034
The revocation of title II protections would be an existential threat to small to medium sized companies in my industry. I support the strongest possible enforcement of title II classification of internet service providers.
1398. Kim Lehmborg, Everett, WA, 98208
Please preserve laws that keep the internet neutral.
1399. Russell West, Monroe, WA, 98272
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs™s. ISPs should not be in the business of prioritizing my traffic or my service providers' traffic.
1400. Keaton Averman, Redmond, WA, 98053
I believe that the internet should remain fair and open, and remain classified under Title 2. By allowing ISP's to potentially throttle the connection speed of certain sites over others, the very nature of the internet could be threatened.
1401. maria daly, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
I support net neutrality. Do not weaken Title 1 and 2. Yes, net neutrality matters!
1402. Alexander Karlsson, Redmond, WA, 98053
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108! The only people who would support this are either openly corrupt traitors of the American people or idiots who are unqualified to manage anything related to technology.
1403. Justin Nichols, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I urge you to maintain and improve net neutrality. Hypothetical situations will not remain hypothetical for long and can quickly become anti-competitive as we have seen over again through the history. Businesses are greedy and will do whatever they can to improve profits, and this often does little to help consumers - especially in the case of selectively throttling competition.
1404. jerry, Blaine, WA, 98230
I support continued net neutrality in Title II.
1405. Charles Osieja, Blaine, WA, 98230

I support Net Neutrality and the Title 2. The FCC should protect Net Neutrality

1406. Matthew Smith, Redmond, WA, 98052

I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. ISPs have already proven they cannot self regulate and will prioritize their own products over 3rd parties. This hurts consumers.

1407. Kevin Kite, Kenmore, WA, 98028

Please don't restrict our flow of information. Do not damage the utility of the internet. Net Neutrality is of utmost importance to everyone online throughout the world. Do NOT pull the United States backward!

1408. Grant Hill, Bellingham, WA, 98226

I urge you to keep Title II net neutrality in place, and safeguard the Internet from corporate abuse.

1409. Julie Vaught, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Net Neutrality should be of vital importance for the FCC. Commerce in our country to be based on a fair system not a toll road.

1410. Jeremy Bunch, Bothell, WA, 98011

I strongly support keeping current Net Neutrality rules in place under Title II.

1411. Alexander Leung, Redmond, WA, 98052

I'm normally all for competition and self-regulating markets with minimal government interference, but in the case of ISPs which have publicly revealed that they have colluded to create areas of single choice in order to restrict consumer choice and competition, they deserve to be regulated just like our electricity and water. Already we've seen companies skirt the edges of net neutrality law with concepts like zero-rating and charging other companies such as Netflix and Riot Games for priority access. Claiming title 2 regulation stifles infrastructure investment goes directly against statements from the CEOs of these ISPs, in their investor statements. Quite simply put, regulation under Title 2 has shown to be effective, and there is absolutely no justifiable reason for this to not be the case. Do not put the interests of corporations like Comcast (consistently ranked #1 in customer dissatisfaction) over the interests of the American people.

1412. Jesse Bunger, Ferndale, WA, 98248

Please help preserve net neutrality. Regulating the internet and catering to whoever lobbies the most money is going to hurt innovation and society in general. Please don't take bribes- I mean, lobbyist money to regress society. Please.

1413. Kate Conant, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Please maintain the current regulations that protect net neutrality. Do not dismantle the current regulations that protect the free flow of information online. Thank you.
Kate Conant

1414. Amy Henrick, Bothell, WA, 98021
I fully support net neutrality as regulated under Title 2.
1415. Tamaran Underwood, Sumas, WA, 98295
Please do all that is possible to keep the internet neutral. Protecting net neutrality is protecting everyone. Thank you for your time.
1416. Nick Rial, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net Neutrality, in the form of Title II classification of the internet and regulations against ISP's, is something that is of immense importance to me and my family. To remove this Obama-era safeguard would be an insult to those of us who spoke out and demanded that Net neutrality be protected. I urge you to not remove the protections on a free and open internet. And I urge you to understand that for those of us who have not taken money from ISP's, that means strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Thank you, and God Bless.
1417. Alison McSpadden, Monroe, WA, 98272
Pro Net Neutrality!
1418. Jeff Fry, Kenmore, WA, 98028
internet neutrality must be preserved. Title 2 must remain. These changes are ludicrous and wasteful. Free and open exchange of information is needed now more than ever!
1419. Gabe, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Keep strong net neutrality rules the way they are. Without these rules corporations will have too much leeway to unfairly throttle competition.
1420. Kathryn Barber, Redmond, WA, 98053
Internet providers need to be treated the same as phone providers. Giving internet providers the ability to affect or even control the content we can see, or how we see the content, means that they will take advantage of it, if it's monetarily beneficial to them. And of course it would be, to give themselves an edge over their competitors. Given that individual internet providers have essential monopolies over different sections of the U.S., it's not like we can choose to go with a different provider if we think our current one is doing shady things. The only control on whether they get away with this is you, FCC. Do the right thing and protect net neutrality.
1421. Steve Hopkins, Bothell, WA, 98021
The Internet cannot be lost to or controlled by conglomerates. It is like a utility, that everyone needs fair access to, and reasonable pricing controls. It should not favor one user over another user. I support Net Neutrality.
1422. Jennifer Gregory, Redmond, WA, 98053
Disbanding title 2 and returning to previous days of not net neutrality is ridiculous and yet another way Americans are being force fed idiotic standards of the current administration is force feeding Americans big business

1423. Jennifer Guriel, Bothell, WA, 98011
We must preserve net neutrality and Title II. ISPs cannot be allowed to influence our access to information. Verizon has already proven that by their lawsuit against the FCC several years back. The Google wallet fiasco also proves it. We must enforce these laws or our freedom will be impacted. Let's not be China, for FS.
1424. Travis Garrison, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273
Dear FCC / Mr. Pai, I work from home and my livelihood depends on strong net neutrality protections. I cannot afford to have my ISP playing favoritism games with my internet connection if I'm not using their approved web meeting application or file sharing system. Please leave the Title II protections in place. I have only one valid ISP in my area...it is not a competitive market, please don't pretend that there is sufficient marketplace competition. Sincerely, Travis Garrison
1425. marques oliveira, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Please maintain net neutrality and Title II so that we can prevent companies from inappropriately routing traffic for their financial benefits.
1426. Christopher Plourde, Snohomish, WA, 98296
It is imperative that the FCC preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 requirements. As an IT and Engineering Professional the heritage of the Internet, be maintained to ensure unbiased and fair communication. It is unacceptable and inconsistent with principles of the FCC since 1935, that free and fair access to communications could even possibly be impaired. Free and Fair access has been a primary principle of communication in this country, It is required to ensure the broadest availability ensuring profitable commerce and individual communication. Do not move the internet outside of Title 2 controls
1427. Vincent Hase, Sumas, WA, 98295
The internet, for better or worse, has indeed become a utility. In a modern society, it is just as essential (perhaps now even more so) as phone communications, and as important as radio and television (once again, I believe it actually eclipses it's technological predecessors) as a means of informing the populace. The telephone companies are a utility, the cable companies are a utility, internet companies and communication should stay right where they are, under Title II.
1428. Todd Hendricks, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support strong net neutrality and do not believe switching back to Title I from Title II is in the best interest of the American public. Thank you.
1429. Samuel Forster, Bothell, WA, 98021
The FCC must support net neutrality and back it with strong regulations of ISPs under Title 2.
1430. Christina Hendricks, Redmond, WA, 98052
I am a support strong net neutrality and believe that ISP should continue to be regulated under tile 2.

1431. William Wade, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I believe that for an internet to be truly neutral to all parties involved there must be proper regulation by the FCC. Thus I support keeping Internet Service Providers as a Title 2 regulated industry. Thank you.
1432. jeremy dyess, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I support strong net neutrality backed by title ii oversight of ISPs. Don't mess this up you bag of idiots. This is a country of the people not of corporations.
1433. Ann, Redmond, WA, 98052
Removing net neutrality laws removes the internet's ability to be a free-flow of ideas. It reduces competition among businesses which means the consumer loses. Consumers already pay to get onto the internet. They already pay for services like Netflix and Hulu. They should not be punished by their ISPs through throttling of online services.
1434. Lauren, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Preserve net neutrality and keep IPS under title two
1435. David Chambers, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Please preserve Net Neutrality with Title 2 protections.
1436. Martin G. Finney, Bothell, WA, 98012
Mr. Ajit Pai, I don't know how long you or your family have been in the USA, but with a name like yours, I doubt it is multi generational. I welcome you and your family to this country and wish you wealth, happiness and satisfaction. The Agency you manage deals with a relatively new technology, in it's entirety a little over a century. Communication is one of the backbone structures in our form of government, namely one of the Bill of Rights Amendments to our Constitution. Freedom of speech and the right of the free press are controls on the Federal Government and for a good reason. Tyranny of any form is unacceptable in a free society and we are one. The internet is the new "press" and a vastly more effective form of free speech then ever before conceived. To limit it in any way by a service provider would not only be tyranny, it would be disastrous to the growth of the internet, the freedom of it's users, both commercial and non-commercial and would only focus users on a work-around. The stiff regulations in place must be retained and net-neutrality maintained as a fundamental right of the public.
1437. Emily Fields, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support strong regulation of ISPs under Title II. Net Neutrality is essential to modern life and must be protected.
1438. Jennifer Moore, Carnation, WA, 98014
I support preservation of net neutrality under Title II for ISPs.
1439. Halley Harris, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
PLEASE preserve net neutrality and Title II! This is important oversight and it is

definitely needed.

1440. Chris C, Bothell, WA, 98011
This does not restore internet freedom, this allows huge companies to restrict the internet for their own financial gains.
1441. Jimmy Hastings, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I support Internet providers being under Title II so we can have enforceable network neutrality
1442. Christian Owens, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I believe that net neutrality is absolutely necessary to ensure equal opportunity and the potential for innovation and market disruption from smaller players and innovators in the tech industry and other fields dependent on the internet for providing technical solutions to every day problems. Title 2 should be supported.
1443. Paul Anderson, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please preserve the Title II status for all ISP's and do not weaken net neutrality restrictions.
1444. Taya Vercelli, Woodinville, WA, 98072
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. This is very important to me as an internet user and American citizen.
1445. Francesca Wainwright, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Please act to preserve net neutrality and continue regulation of ISPs under Title 2
1446. Maripat Finetti, Monroe, WA, 98272
Please consider the needs and rights of consumers and keep Net Neutrality rules in place.
1447. Net neutrality, Redmond, WA, 98053
I support strong net neutrality protected under title 2 of the communications act.
1448. Shane Eyman, Everett, WA, 98208
Net neutrality is the cornerstone of the internet. It allows for fair competition, not just among ISPs, but between online businesses as well. Do not roll back the decision to classify ISPs under article 2. This hurts the consumer as well as the market.
1449. Suzanne Harris, Everson, WA, 98247
I am in favor of strong net neutrality backed by Title 2. Net Neutrality must be preserved!!!!!! Please!!
1450. Ken Fasnacht, Bellingham, WA, 98226
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. Do not hand the ISPs an opportunity to unfairly restrict bandwidth usage (and you know they will). The current rules are

just fine and should not be weakened or eliminated. Please do not change the rules. Thank you.

1451. Leah Claire, Bothell, WA, 98011
Deregulation of ISPs will not help investment and will substantially hurt net neutrality. The power to leverage content and access should not be in the hands of powerful companies who may or may not have consumer's best interests at heart. Please do not threaten net neutrality.
1452. kristin simpson, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Absolutely keep net neutrality!!
1453. Tim Burrell, Kirkland, WA, 98034
It's insane that this is a thing. No! Just no! Title 2 clarification must remain! We need a free and open internet to remain the best country in the world. Please do not do this to our country simply because you want to make a bunch of lobby money. Please do the right thing. Keep title 2.
1454. Jason Burrell, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please protect net neutrality keep ISPs regulated by title 2.
1455. Alexandra Gilliland, Kirkland, WA, 98033
This is yet another request that you preserve net neutrality and ISP providers' classification under Title II. This administration should value the importance of both of these issues. The backlash over the failure to do so won't be pretty. Also, stripping the previous administration's Net Neutrality regulations raises serious connections about the chairman's connection to verizon.
1456. David, Bothell, WA, 98021
ISPs belong classified under Title 2 for protection against anti-competitive practices. As an employee of a small ISP this ruling will directly impact the playing field as we try to bring our own value-add to customers. If a competitor were to influence our traffic it would be unfair to us. Systemic protection is the only viable way for companies who cannot absorb years of legal fees to be able to know they have a level playing field. I understand your concern that some aspects of Title 2 are arcane but Title 1 has the same problem so the only viable options are to either retain Title 2 status of ISPs or come up with something modern and better
1457. Suzanne Davis, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I strongly urge you to protect internet neutrality by keeping it under Title II rules. Fairness on the Internet is every bit as important as having free public libraries. Private companies exist to make profits, and as such need government direction if they are to put the common good on equal ground. Talk of being fair is great until push comes to shove--when excess profit now inevitably wins out. Keep the internet fair for all. ISP'S are not losing under Title II rules.
1458. john edick, Redmond, WA, 98052

Please do not throttle my internet experience based on what page I'm visiting

1459. Spencer Carey, Bothell, WA, 98011
We support strong internet neutrality protections under Title II.
1460. Mark Sage, Redmond, WA, 98052
As a consumer of content delivered on the internet, maintaining net neutrality is essential.
1461. Michael Greene, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I am a registered Republican and a strong free market supporter; however, I SUPPORT an open internet backed by Title II because a level playing field for future tech companies is vital to US economic growth.
1462. David Zelmer, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I view it as important to the competitive nature of an economy based on capitalism that all Internet traffic must be treated equally. By allowing ISPs to filter and prioritize traffic we are allowing them to create monopolies and stifle the growth of our economy.
1463. Axton Burton, Redmond, WA, 98052
TREAT US LIKE DECENT HUMAN BEINGS PLEASE.
1464. Laura Doerflinger, Kirkland, WA, 98033
ISPs deserve title 2 status. DO NOT CHANGE IT. You can't rely upon the good graces or "ethics" of profit driven companies to regulate themselves.
1465. Donald Schneider, Kirkland, WA, 98033
ISPs require title II status. Don't "change the legal footing" of something that isn't broken. Ajit Pai claims that there was no problem prior to 2015; there's no problem now. The argument that "ISPs will voluntarily do" what Net Neutrality requires is just painfully stupid. If it's the right thing to do, don't change it.
1466. James Webster, Redmond, WA, 98052
I stand with net neutrality and urge you to act in the best interest of the American people not in the best interest of massive corporations. Keep article II the way it is and keep the internet free for everyone forever!
1467. todor nikolov, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please preserve net neutrality! Thank you!
1468. Shannon Johnston, Carnation, WA, 98014
Net neutrality must stand. Keep ISPs under title II. Consumers get screwed enough as is. In fact if you want to tackle the Comcast Monopoly too that would be delightful. I should have more than one internet provider option.
1469. Shayne, Everett, WA, 98208

Net Neutrality is something we want to keep. Don't take it away.

1470. Michael Archer, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support Net Neutrality and the use of Title 2 to protect it.
1471. robin mumm, Bothell, WA, 98011
Preserve net neutrality! We need it companies do not have my best interest in mind, they only want the biggest profit.
1472. Terence Migas, Redmond, WA, 98053
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title two over site of ISPs. This is a no brainer. Please help keep my internet free. Thank you, Terry
1473. Jack, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Preserve net neutrality or all of John Oliver's fans will crash your site again.
1474. Huong Nguyen, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Please preserve the Net neutrality regulations under the ISP title II. Keep the internet neutral.
1475. Marcel J Ortiz, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I support Title II regulations of ISPs.
1476. Matthew Trenter, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I support true net neutrality. Title 2 is needed.
1477. balroop buttar, Bothell, WA, 98021
Don't change anything! Preserve title II I don't know why this won't work but don't change a thing
1478. Josh Hanson, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I strongly support net neutrality by continuing regulation of ISP's under title 2. Please continue to do this. Thank you.
1479. NICHOLE KRAMER, KIRKLAND, WA, 98034
I strongly support net neutrality under the article II guidelines
1480. Lacey Hulick, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of internet service providers. I am confident that the internet and the commerce it facilitates will suffer if title II oversight is withdrawn.
1481. derek, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support net neutrality under the article II guidelines currently in place. Do the right thing.
1482. Jason Sokoloff, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net neutrality is essential for our democracy and to maintaining free speech on the

internet without corporate filters.

1483. Matthew Scudder, Redmond, WA, 98052

I support strong net neutrality regulations, and think it's dangerous to assume that companies which are already attempting to skirt the existing regulations would be responsible with increased freedom and power

1484. Tasha, Redmond, WA, 98053

Preserve title 2. I love my internet and net neutrality.

1485. Matthew Sowders, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I am in favor of preserving strong net neutrality with ISPs covered under Title II.

1486. cali Best, Snohomish, WA, 98290

I support net neutrality and don't want to see the current regulations overturned.

1487. jeremy Guo, Everett, WA, 98208

I support strong net neutrality laws. Net neutrality and title 2 classification of ISPs must be preserved.

1488. Parker Friedland, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Reclassifying net neutrality from title 2 to title 1 will make it less enforceable. Why does reclassifying net neutrality from title 2 to title 1 reduce the incentive for internet service provider's to invest in internet? Reclassifying it as a title 1 regulation does not change the fact that cable companies are still required to follow the net neutrality regulation. Reclassifying net neutrality from title 2 to title 1 only makes net neutrality less enforceable which means it will be easier for cable companies to break this rule. If there is any other difference between classifying net neutrality under title 1 over title 2, please tell me.

1489. Allison Sherrill, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I strongly support net neutrality protections as currently regulated under title II. Please protect net neutrality.

1490. Nick Cuhaciyon, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I want a free and open internet. All internet should remain classified under title II.

1491. Brian Bansenauer, Bothell, WA, 98021

Preserve Net Neutrality under Title II protections as specifically required for a robust defense of internet tampering as determined in the Verizon lawsuit

1492. Devon Acton, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

I support Strong Net Neutrality with Title 2 Oversight of Internet Service Providers. Thank You

1493. Matthew Ian Kent, Redmond, WA, 98052

I would like to express my opposition to the reclassification of ISPs from Title II to

Title I. Contrary to current FCC chairman Ajit Pai's supposition that the reclassification was a partisan or politically motivated issue, it was, in fact, an issue directed by the courts due to the action of Ajit's former employer Verizon and supported by popular public opinion. As a servant of the United States of America, it is the responsibility of the FCC to ensure that communications are handled in an equitable manner and one that reflects the values and dictates of both its people and founding fathers. Reclassification of ISPs back to Title 1 would undermine the free market and threaten free expression of ideas whether through the intent of ISPs or through unwitting changes to promote their own products.

1494. John, Bothell, WA, 98011
net neutrality is essential! There is no reason not to continue the protection of net neutrality under Title II laws. To change this would be catastrophic to the way the internet WORKS!
1495. Lisa Acton, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
I want Strong Net Neutrality with Title Two Oversight of ISP! Thank You.
1496. Stephanie E Shinn, Bellingham, WA, 98226
I believe we should support strong net neutrality laws backed by Title II oversight of ISPs
1497. Farzan Mamaghani, Bothell, WA, 98011
Preserve existing Net Neutrality rules by continuing to classify ISPs under Title II.
1498. Curt Hayes, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I fully support net neutrality. The rules should be kept as they currently exist.
1499. Cliff DesPeaux, Snohomish, WA, 98296
I support net neutrality and want the internet to be classified under Title II.
1500. Clayton Mortimer, Maple Falls, WA, 98266
Please support strong neutrality laws and preserve Title II. Do not allow the interests of private industry to manipulate such a crucial part of everyone's lives and livelihood.
1501. Adam Dudak, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
I strongly support net neutrality under Title II. Do not undo the safeguards of the public internet.
1502. Sam Shinn, Bellingham, WA, 98225
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. Don't be stupid. There is no gain in performance to be had. Just gains in the pockets of ISPs and the companies who can afford stifling out competitors.
1503. Kristen Olson, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I support keeping Net Neutrality with Title II regulations. We cannot have businesses comprising free accessible content for the people.

1504. Brianne Darling Davis, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Please keep internet neutrality regulated. Comcast ruins enough aspects of my life without letting them screw up my internet during times their service is actually working. Keep ISPs classified under Title 2.
1505. Charles W. Wend, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
Please maintain net-neutrality rules. This is important to me. Very.
1506. Maria del Pilar Sterling, Redmond, WA, 98053
Please keep net neutrality by keeping Title II. I love my internet how it is! Thank you so much!!
1507. Elliott H. Drucker, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Dear Commissioners:I have been involved in technology development and analysis in the wireless telecommunications industry since 1979. I can attest that unfettered and equitable access to the Internet has been an important factor in the explosive growth of that industry. Allowing ISPs to favor certain web services over others would, in my opinion, have a highly negative impact on further wireless innovation, which is often spurred by individuals and small companies that cannot afford to pay fees to gain higher priority access to their on-line products and services.In short, rolling back the principle of "net neutrality" is a TERRIBLE idea. I urge you to keep it in place.Sincerely,Elliott H. DruckerPresident, Drucker Associates
1508. michael rafn, Kirkland, WA, 98004
Net neutrality is good for everyone in America!
1509. Nathan Haslip, Marblemount, WA, 98267
Please preserve Net Neutrality under Title 2 !!!
1510. Erik Haakenson, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
Net neutrality is too important to deregulate. Keep Title II protections in effect.
1511. miller, b, Bothell, WA, 98011
Preserve net neutrality now -this new FCC chairman is mistaken, uphold Title II
1512. Mike Cato, Bothell, WA, 98011
P!ease provide strong Net Neutrality laws by classifying ISPs under Title 2.
1513. Sandra Deasy, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
Net neutrality is important. Keep Tittle 2 guide lines current for equality.
1514. Jake Margolis, Kirkland, WA, 98033
The Internet is not a luxury; it is an essential utility for modern, first-world life. Do not put corporate interests over the needs of the general populace.
1515. Michael Weingartner, Kirkland, WA, 98034
We must maintain net neutrality. We can't continue to extract additional means of

revenue stream from internet users. The bills are already too high.

1516. Matt Warhol, Bothell, WA, 98012
Do the right thing and preserve and protect Net Neutrality with Title II legal standing.
1517. Jeremy Bradshaw, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I strongly support net neutrality under the protection of title 2.
1518. John Mark Passinetti, Redmond, WA, 98052
I am in STRONG opposition to Docket no. 17-108, as it would destroy internet freedom as we know it, and the freedoms of all Americans. I am in SUPPORT of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
1519. Lindsay Bradshaw, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I strongly SUPPORT net neutrality under Title II
1520. Net Neutrality, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. This is essential to the competitiveness of all American companies. Any change to this regulation will result in the abuse of communications firms. Please protect the rights of consumers.
1521. Krista Robinson, Bothell, WA, 98012
Stop allowing big business to make all the rules.
1522. Anthony Mueller, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I fully support strong net neutrality enforcement and Title II protections against eroding it. Please support this issue and help ensure large telecom companies cannot interfere with equal internet service for all.
1523. Megan Ortega, Marblemount, WA, 98267
Hi! I care about net neutrality and believe it should be prioritized and maintained under Title 2. Thank you
1524. Bill Anderson, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Chairman Pai's claim that the industry will be able to regulate itself is naive at best. As evidenced by the meltdown of the de-regulated financial industry in 2008, industries left to police themselves will invariably lead to corruption and collapse. It is disingenuous to argue that ISPs would voluntarily pass up the financial windfalls that they would acquire if able to sell selective access to their service.
1525. Lillian Nelson, Monroe, WA, 98272
Maintain net neutrality and preserve title 2
1526. Robert Ludgate, Bellingham, WA, 98225
Keep the Net Neutrality rules in place! Don't weaken them!
1527. Andrea Karim, Bothell, WA, 98012

I am writing to express my support for Obama era Title II classification of telecommunications companies. Changing these regulations would be a mistake.

1528. Jon Watson, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I support Title II Net Neutrality rules and do NOT want them rolled back or weakened in any way. Overturning Net Neutrality would enable ISPs to infringe upon my privacy rights and give ISPs the power to control content and user experience. In other words, Net Neutrality protects my right as a consumer to a free and open Internet.

1529. MICHAEL YELLE, DUVALL, WA, 98019

Net neutrality should be protected to help small businesses compete. I thought this administration wouldn't support small businesses but around net neutrality protections will make it harder for new content or products to reach a wide audience.

1530. Timothy John Callahan, Redmond, WA, 98053

Do not take away our right to a free and open internet. This has been proven to be the most popular position on this issue with the American people. This is OUR government.

1531. Jason Yedinak, Mill Creek, WA, 98012

Getting rid of net neutrality hinders free speech by allowing service providers to regulate the flow of information from specific sites. Open access to the internet is critical for equal access to knowledge, social mobility, and the overall well-being of future generations. The government giving service providers the power to promote certain content is like choosing which news sources citizens can access. Furthermore, removing net neutrality may result in service providers investing less into infrastructure to introduce scarcity and manipulate charges leading to increased profits.

1532. David Lux, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net neutrality is a necessity. Preferential bandwidth allocation is the book burning of the modern era. It doesn't matter what books are burnt, the fact that they are burning is proof something is wrong.

1533. Marc Fernandez, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I agree with strong net neutrality. Keep Title II designation.

1534. Sergio, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Keep Title II designation for ISP's. Strong net neutrality!!!

1535. dan beard, Kenmore, WA, 98028

Keep the internet regulated and don't open it up to Verizon or T-Mobile to do their own regulation

1536. Erin Fraser, Monroe, WA, 98272

Please preserve Net Neutrality Title 2 as it currently is

1537. Matthew Ford, Kirkland, WA, 98033

The FCC must stand up for Internet users by rescuing and strengthening net neutrality. The FCC must reject Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal to give ISP monopolies like AT&T, Verizon, and Comcast the ability to create so-called "fast lanes", for these will strip users of the access and privacy protections we demanded and won just two years ago. I am the COO of a technology startup with more than \$2M funding and growing fast. I have worked on Internet applications for more than 20 years, including at Microsoft and Atari. I know how easy it would be for ISPs to consolidate their money and power by disadvantaging competitors, and do it in a way that will evade detection or take a decade of litigation to shut down. We need the circuit breaker of net neutrality to make sure this can not happen to begin with. I'm worried about creating a tiered Internet with "fast lanes" for certain sites or services because the loop where the ISP power's enables them to make money, and they use that money to buy more political power, must be severed. Thankfully, the existing net neutrality rules mean that ISP monopolies can't slow or block Internet users' ability to see certain web services or create Internet "fast lanes" by charging online services and websites money to reach people faster. That's the right balance to ensure the Internet remains a level playing field that benefits Internet users and small businesses as well as entrenched Internet companies. Pai's proposed repeal of the rules would help turn Internet providers into gatekeepers with the ability to veto new expression and innovation. That's not how the Internet was built, that's not what the Internet is for. It's no different from letting a private for-profit corporation "take care of and improve" public lands. It's not the will of the people to give away this asset, the Internet, to a profit-seeking company. I would thank you for protecting Internet users like me by upholding the existing Title II net neutrality rules.

1538. Cthom, Everett, WA, 98208

I support stronger Strong Net-Neutrality and Title II

1539. natalie smith, Woodinville, WA, 98072

You must Preserve net neutrality And Title 2. It's insane that you want to repeal it back. Many companies have been largely unaffected by Title 2. Verizon CEO even said it didn't affect their investments. Serve the people and not large corporations. Protect net neutrality.

1540. Ritwik Bhattacharya, Redmond, WA, 98052

We need to protect the access rights of all content providers in the Internet, and keep ISPs classified under title 2.

1541. Thomas Seren, Deming, WA, 98244

I am demanding that your uphold strong net neutrality and maintain title II oversight of ISP's!

1542. Trenton Fullerton, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I am strongly in favor of net neutrality and title 2 regulation

1543. Swati Kaul, Redmond, WA, 98053
I am in strong favor of net neutrality and my right to internet cannot be controlled or manipulated by any ISP.
1544. Zach Goodrow, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Net neutrality is an extremely important barrier in preventing ISPs from further monopolizing and extending their power beyond the ridiculous amount they have already. Do not change or undo any existing net neutrality regulations in any way that would give ISPs even an iota more ability to negatively affect consumers. And if you disagree with that, then you can go FCC yourself.
1545. Chris Fogerty, Redmond, WA, 98052
I am strongly in favor of Title II classification for ISPs. I currently live in a location where I have access to two high-speed ISPs, so I am not limited by data caps and prices are reasonable for the service I receive. I have lived (and know people who currently live) in places where this is not the case. Due to lack of competition, these people pay more for slower internet and frequently see degraded service, but cannot do anything about it. Again, strongly in favor of Title II classification for ISPs.
1546. Seth Taron, Everson, WA, 98247
I support Title II and full net neutrality. There is no reason to give ISPs the ability to rob consumers of free choice unless the politicians making decisions are being paid by the ISPs. While I recognize that this is the case and almost all politicians and staff members involved in this are receiving huge amounts of money from ISPs, I hope that pressure from the populace or some deeply buried semblance of a conscience will prevent Title II from being removed.
1547. Ameet joshi, Redmond, WA, 98053
Net neutrality is necessary for fair access to Internet.
1548. jack hu, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please preserve the strick requirements for ISPs under title II. There is no reason for ISP to profit on users traffic information.
1549. Joel Hendrickson, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please leave net neutrality intact. We need strong regulation against monopolies from discriminating against content they don't agree with. Paid prioritization must be outlawed.
1550. John Falcone, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please don't let ISPs determine what can have preferential treatment flowing through the internet.
1551. yannick carapito, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Mr. Pai, I strongly support existing rules protecting net neutrality and request that you do not change them. It would be bad for everyone using the internet and would

chill innovation in a way that would ultimately hurt the United States economy. We want to live in a country where the next Youtube or Facebook can emerge. I also want to point out that you are obviously conflicted on this matter because of your work for Verizon and other ISPs in the past. At the very least, you should recuse yourself from this matter. Thank you, Yannick Carapito

1552. Amy Falcone, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Unbias access to information is extremely important to our free society in America. Having strong net neutrality protection, backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers is central to ensuring a free flow of information. Please keep this stronger protection in place to help preserve our American freedom. Thank you!
Amy Falcone

1553. Estelle Sohne, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Dear Mr. Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by regulating ISPs under Title II.

1554. Grant Ward, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I strongly support strong net neutrality regulations under Title II for ISPs.

1555. Harsha Thulasi, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I guess government selling out to corporations isn't very new; but at least try not to do so. This is not free market by any means.

1556. Petrina Murphy, Bothell, WA, 98012

Do not change ISPs from Title 2 to Title 1.

1557. Sid Maxwell, Carnation, WA, 98014

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. There is absolutely no way you are doing this for the people. Get out of the pockets of the ISPs, do your job and protect the interests of the American people.

1558. Benjamin Heffley, Woodinville, WA, 98072

I strongly support preserving net neutrality and upholding strict title 2 regulations of Internet Service Providers and Data Service Providers.

1559. Katie Gietzen, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Protect a free and open Internet and Net Neutrality by keeping ISPs in Title II.

1560. Brian Kurth, Kirkland, WA, 98034

As a registered voter, and one that actually votes, it is in my interest, as a citizen that the FCC upholds the current FCC regulations most commonly know as Net Neutrality. Any repeal of the current rules are, in my opinion, simply the workings of an Industry man, Ajit Pai, acting for the betterment of the Industry and not for the citizens of this United States.

1561. dave roth, redmond, WA, 98052

I am angered that the FCC is even considering reconsidering the existing Net Neutrality rules. As a professional in the software industry I am keenly aware of tactics that Internet Service Providers use to meter networks traffic, redirect and influence traffic and impact users' experiences for their own gain. Including collecting, using and selling metadata about their customers' usage patterns. For these reasons plus others yet to be seen, we must keep the existing Net Neutrality rules. I trust that your decisions will reflect the end user and citizens' best interests. KEEP THE EXISTING NET NEUTRALITY RULES IN PLACE.

1562. Chad Minaker, Everett, WA, 98208
John Oliver told me to come here. I agree with him. Don't mess with the internet and don't let companies control the internet.
1563. Jeffrey J. Early, Ph.D., Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I can't believe you're considering rolling this back.
1564. mara dillinger, snohomish, WA, 98290
Do NOT repeal TITLE II net neutrality.
1565. Matthias Handler, Duvall, WA, 98019
What is wrong with these titles it has no effect on businesses. Having the open internet gives people access to grow their businesses and give them a voice. What corporations think of is how to make money and giving them the power to control the speed of the internet just becomes a huge monopoly and that will not work for the small businesses. The one question I want to leave you guys with is the open internet is it for the people or for corporations?
1566. sean a, bothell, WA, 98011
Please dont fuck up our internet by allowing this nonsense.
1567. Cory Moll, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support strong net neutrality backed by continued oversight of internet service providers under Title 2.
1568. Victoria Kunze, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I COMPLETELY support Net Neutrality and Title 2. This is not something that needs to be changed.
1569. Artur Moura Aguiar, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net neutrality must be preserved. Guaranteed privacy and equal service regardless of content should be a right of every user of the internet today and in the future. In the information age there is no place for retrograde high-office individuals to allow ISPs to fill their pockets on people's right to an internet free of bias.
1570. Kristof Mazur, Woodinville, WA, 98072
To change the current Net Neutrality rules from Title 1 and Title 2 would not be in

the interest of the free market place. And there is a question of Ajit Varadaraj Pai has a financial incentive to repeal such regulations for current financial gain and be given more in future after his time as FCC chair.

1571. Monica Erwin, Everett, WA, 98208

You seriously think that internet service providers will voluntarily self-monitor themselves, regarding net neutrality?! Come on. Seriously? No, seriously. Think about it. This needs to be regulated and enforced.

1572. Jeff Fenster, Redmond, WA, 98052

I strongly support Net Neutrality regulation and Title II classification and it need to be preserved.

1573. Alex Tran, Redmond, WA, 98052

I support strong regulation of ISPs as Title 2 utilities. I am a software engineer working on cloud architecture, and the loosening of net neutrality regulations poses a serious threat to the future of an open and prosperous internet. Loosening of these regulations has severe potential to cripple up and coming businesses, and stifle competition.

1574. J. Cleveland, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

I strongly support the preservation of net neutrality and Title II. Upholding these regulations is both moral and ethical. A roll back of the net neutrality regulations is a direct insult to the intelligence of the general population, taking away control from the consumer over their own experiences and content engagement.

1575. Phil Costa, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Please keep net neutrality laws in place to protect our rights as consumers. ISP's should remain classified under Title II in order to keep network providers from determining download speeds or interfering with content available based on proprietary bias and financial gain.

1576. kaylyn costa, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Please keep current net neutrality laws in place in order to protect our rights as consumers to have the freedom to choose our preferred content. ISP's should remain classified under title II in order to keep network providers from determining download speeds or interfering with content availabased on proprietary bias and financial gains.

1577. sean large, bothell, WA, 98012

Please reconsider removing mandatory compliance to "net neutrality" for internet traffic carriers. There is little evidence that carriers and providers will self-police, or voluntarily comply. The choice to simply buy preferred bandwidth is an obviously good business decision, but is at odds with the fundamental nature of a free and open internet.

1578. Benton Krause, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I strongly support net neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please keep the internet neutral.

1579. Markus Hiukka, Clyde Hill, WA, 98004
Reversing Title 2 will only lead to the throttling of internet for those with only 1-2 options of ISP's and you're silly to think otherwise. Keep net neutrality alive, DO NOT repeal Title 2, I am your constituent.
1580. Sarang Joshi, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please do not become beholden to commercial companies and undo the Title II net neutrality laws put into place by President Obama. The FCC has a duty to the American people, consumers of what is almost equivalent to a public good: the Internet. There is no argument that supports abolishing net neutrality besides living in the pockets of big telecom companies.
1581. Sandra, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I strongly support Net Neutrality. The Internet was born as I was becoming an adult...it is not something that should be bought and sold. Let it be free. DO NOT let it become like our government...pillaged by the dollars of the corporations in order to get what they want.
1582. Braunda Cox, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please place internet service providers under stricter oversight from the FCC to ensure they will enable users access to content on the internet without bias and keep the strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
1583. James Masters, Redmond, WA, 98052
FCC, being created in 1934 was in part for the purpose of helping to break up some of the communication monopolies that existed at that time. Has the FCC lost its mission with its chairman claiming we should just trust these companies to do the right thing - by removing the Title II classification? The Nations 14 largest Cable & Phone ISPs control 95% of the Internet market and most consumers only have 1 cable company to choose from in each market (<https://arstechnica.com/information-technology/2016/08/cable-expands-broadband-domination-as-att-and-verizon-lose-customers/>). I have been running my own web company for 20yrs just down the street from tech giant Microsoft Headquarters. In 20yrs I have not had but 1 cable company to choose from - Comcast. No FIOS or other comparable option even exists in my established high tech neighborhood. So if the FCC is going to turn a blind eye to this clear lack of competition it has fostered through constant mergers - at least follow its Mission statement and protect the consumer. NOT the monopolies it has allowed to happen! I support net neutrality with Title II classification.
1584. Jeston Tigchon, Kirkland, WA, 98034
As a software engineer whose work relies on equitable access to the open internet, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Ajit Pai's proposed "honor system" will continue to erode consumer confidence in the telecommunications industry players already ranked among the nation's least popular

corporations. It would be wise to pick a different battle, guys: some regulations are here to stay.

1585. michael, Monroe, WA, 98272
Please keep ISPs under title 2 classification and net neutrality a real thing.
1586. Cole Hardwick, Redmond, WA, 98052
Absolutely under no circumstances should ISPs be given "more freedom" and should be kept under Title II. Do not mess with net neutrality!
1587. Ann Ewel, Bellevue, WA, 98004
Protect net neutrality by keeping to the Title II standards. The internet should be treated as a utility. It has been said that Title II inhibits major companies from growing their infrastructure. This is not true. Verizon and Tmobile are investing hugely in their infrastructure. Reverting back to Title I puts us all at risk as internet providers can sell our access and the ability for all to freely access all information on the internet. We cannot allow big companies to control what we see.
1588. Keith Rettig, Woodinville, WA, 98077
In short, I am a strong proponent of net neutrality. No content provider should have any advantage over another within the routing of traffic through the internet. There should not be any "fast lane" as well as there should not be any "slow lane". While I understand there may need to be a more dynamic policy with an exception or two but the basic policy should be that the telecommunications companies should be regulated as utilities.
1589. Arthur J. Amende, Arlington, WA, 98223
I am writing today to give my support to Net Neutrality by proper regulation of ISPs through Title II.
1590. Matt Martin, snohomish, WA, 98296
keep title 2 and net neutrality laws as they are
1591. Nole Powell, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Please preserve Title 2 and internet net neutrality
1592. Rick Anderson, Bellingham, WA, 98255
Please continue to protect the ordinary users of the internet by maintaining Net Neutrality rules under Title II.
1593. Anderson Imes, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support strong net neutrality regulations. Internet providers should remain Title 2 and should not be able to artificially shape, limit, or charge for access to Internet content or charge content providers for access to me.
1594. Tom Darby, Duvall, WA, 98019
I support net neutrality, please ensure ISP's remain under Title 2

1595. Frank Drumm, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I urge you to continue to support the existing rules regarding net neutrality. These rules were crafted to support the needs of the many, not the chosen few. And that is your job in the first place as well regardless of who appointed you to that position. Please do not forget that.
1596. Haridas Terhanian, REDMOND, WA, 98052
If FCC is willing to fight large ISP's like Comcast/ATT by making it easier for competition then this Net Neutrality wouldn't matter to me. As it is now, I think we need it because companies like Comcast and ATT have already shown they can't be trusted. They abuse customers with horrible customer service and bad internet pricing because they have an effective monopoly on providing last mile internet service. I urge you to keep Title II net neutrality in place, and safeguard Internet users like me.
1597. Ellen Pearlman, Bothell, WA, 98011
Strongly oppose any change to current net neutrality rules. Thank you.
1598. Tom D. Stephenson, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Freedom and fairness requires that access to news, content, media, information, opinions and programming through the internet remain unfiltered, unregulated, uncontrolled and unbiased - regardless of the ISP used to access it. It is our right to select what we read and through which service it is provided. These companies are free to compete - as long as that competition doesn't choke out innovation and access. Large corporations - created through market manipulation and mergers - must not be allowed to exacerbate monopoly through manipulation of Title I through the courts. Title II is the only mechanism to ensure the internet remains unfettered.
1599. Marcus Amalachandran, Bothell, WA, 98012
You are selling the privacy rights of the American Citizens. This is unethical.
1600. Mike Sancrant, Redmond, WA, 98052
I specifically support strong net neutrality rules backed by TITLE 2 oversight of ISPs. And so does Baby Jesus.PS. Ajit Pai's coffee mug is weak
1601. Sam Michel, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I support net neutrality and believe that revoking it will have major consequences
1602. Stephan Brechtmann, 16700 198th AVE NE, WA, 98077
I am very concerned that the current regulation will be weakened and I urge you the maintain the current status quo to keep net neutrality intact
1603. Lorinda Cook, Mill creek, WA, 98012
Please keep the internet Neutral. Do not allow companies or individuals to selectively inhibit speeds of other services offered to make their services seem more desirable.

1604. Kurt Dresner, Kirkland, WA, 98033
We need strict enforcement of Net Neutrality provisions to prevent ISPs and telecoms from ruining the free and open internet. This is already happening in wireless with zero-rating programs. To prevent this, we need Title II regulation of telecoms and ISPs. Please continue to classify ISPs under Title II and keep the internet free and open.
1605. Andy McMaster, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support keeping ISP under title 2 to protect my rights to access the internet and websites I wish without fear of throttling or denial of access. Keep corporate interests separate from the interests and protections the consumers need.
1606. Andrew Gerlicher, Woodinville, WA, 98072
I support net-neutrality and the internet protected under title 2.
1607. Skyler Nakashima, Everett, WA, 98208
Don't change the legal regulations on ISPs from Title II to Title I. A truly free and open internet is essential to our democracy, freedom, and the 1st amendment rights of the people, NOT corporations. Tell Trump and Anjit Pai if they think they can push this and line the pockets of his buddies at the ISPs, they have another thing coming for them. This is a terrible idea and everyone who reads this knows how bad it is. Stand up for something and reject this nonsense.
1608. James Brian, Granite Falls, WA, 98252
Why would you make the internet worse than you already have. All you have been doing for the past few years is telling everyone its unfair how corporations are not able to regulate themselves in this field (talking about Ajit Pia), but you didn't make the internet. You don't even pay for using the internet (you pay to use the lines carrying said internet) let alone build it. I'm tired of lies, I'm tired of dishonesty, I'm tired of corporations putting brainwashed/broken people that end up effecting more of my life then they should. I will call my rep and tell them the same thing. Thank you,
1609. Michael Orion Jackson, Bothell, WA, 98021
As a US citizen and technology worker, I strongly believe in the virtue of net neutrality in fostering innovation and bolstering our modern economy. I believe that ISPs should be treated as common carriers and regulated under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
1610. Steven Perry, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Continue to regular ISPs under Title II. There have been previous Verizon lawsuits related to enforcing under Title I and the failure of the FCC to be able to do so. There is no situation where speed would not be priced and because ISPs do not have the competition level to have users define behavior it is dangerous to treat them as anything but Title II utilities.
1611. Jenn Brock, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Please preserve net neutrality and continue to uphold Title II oversight for ISPs. Net neutrality is an important component of our freedom of speech, and reversing Title II will severely harm our rights in this regard.

1612. Pete Wilson, Snohomish, WA, 98290

Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. It is my STRONG belief that this would be a mistake!

1613. David Gerdes, Bothell, WA, 98011

This proposal is the exact opposite of what it stands for. This will not protect consumers. This will not promote new business through the internet. This will only allow cable companies and other ISP providers more power to treat the internet as they see fit and reduce the internet from an open and fair platform to a platform of who has the most money. This will also increase costs for consumers in a time when it should be getting cheaper.

1614. Maxwell Brockman, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I literally can't believe I have to keep coming back here and writing this crap. STOP TRYING TO TAKE AWAY NET NEUTRALITY YOU ASS HOLES. STOP LETTING BIG BUSINESS TRY AND MAKE MONEY OFF OF OUR GOD GIVEN RIGHTS. STOP THIS STUPID CAMPAIGN AND STOP BELIEVING EVIL CORPORATIONS WHEN THEY SAY "oh we won't take advantage of the system..." open your freaking eyes. Jesus christ the world is so f*%ckd.

1615. Jeremy Scully, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Please keep Net Neutrality rules and keep ISPs under Title 2 rules.

1616. Net Neutrality, mt vernon, WA, 98273

Conflicts of interest aside this is a poor decision built up on a hill of puffery. Avoiding questions of fair competition when attempting to display this action as fair competition is no way to simultaneously support your own side while scrutinizing opposition. Logical fallacies cannot be accepted as a reasonable position to hold.

1617. ISP Title II, Monroe, WA, 98272

I just believe that we should have Net Neutrality underneath Title II. Thank you.

1618. Shannon Parsons, Blaine, WA, 98230

I strongly favor net neutrality - do not change it!!!!

1619. Jennifer Hansen, Redmond, WA, 98052

I support net neutrality as an important part of a free society.

1620. Jason Holmes, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I support strong net neutrality rules including regulating ISPs under Title II.

1621. Andy Harper, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Please MAINTAIN net neutrality and keep an even playing field for the internet!
THANKS!
1622. Geoff Haugan, Redmond, WA, 98052
The freedom and neutrality of the internet must be preserved for fair business competition and unrestricted access to, and growth of, human knowledge.
1623. Carlos Sanchez, Redmond, WA, 98052
I fully support net neutrality as it currently is under Title II. DO NOT BE A SCUM BAG AND CHANGE THIS.
1624. Bill Agetes, Medina, WA, 98039
This measure is dangerous and foolish. The younger generation can't find jobs. They can't support themselves. They live entirely online. You are proposing to take the bread and circuses away. If you think the administration is unpopular now, the long term results of this legislation will be catastrophic. Do not pass this.
1625. Steve Birge, Bothell, WA, 98021
The Internet must remain neutral to be fairly available to all economic groups. ISPs must continue to be subject to Title II.
1626. Melissa Sandvick, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please continue to support the growth and freedom of the internet by supporting net neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight. Do not allow big business to destroy small business on the web. People are counting on the level playing field of the internet for their very livelihoods.
1627. Gunnar Grismore, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I wish to preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. ISP's will obtain unfair power over the internet without Title 2 and Net Neutrality. ISP's will be able to dictate how the internet is allowed to be view as the will have the power to determine what is allowed or not according to their interests and not the interests of the greater people.
1628. Karolyn Sharp, Bothell, WA, 98011
I support a free and open internet, by keeping internet service providers (ISPs) classified as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act. I consider any attempt to "change the underlying legal framework," that is, classifying ISPs under Title I to be willfully undermining a free and open internet in order to benefit large telecom behemoths. Such a move undermines the very spirit of the Internet and is a disservice to the American people.
1629. Elizabeth, Kirkland, WA, 98034
We need net neutrality. Americans rely on the internet for work (including finding employment), education, and staying in contact with loved ones. ISPs must continue to be classified under Title II. Thank you.

1630. Grant, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please keep Net neutrality and title 2
1631. Karen Flolid, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Please keep the Net Neutrality laws the way they are!!!
1632. Jacob Gonzales, Kenmore, WA, 98028
We need to keep the regulations that keep internet neutrality
1633. Jennifer Dugger, Mukilteo, WA, 98276
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs and do not want any change made to ISP oversight. This will enable corporate stifling of free speech online and is a step to a fascist dictatorship.
1634. G, Redmond, WA, 98052
Title 2 needs to remain, keep it. Any less net neutrality will be a fascist state
1635. JB, Bothell, WA, 98021
Please protect net neutrality. Anything other than full neutrality may result in a disadvantage to consumers. Given that most people have little choice in broadband providers, market forces alone cannot be used to dissuade ISPs from abusing their position as an Internet provider. Internet service is an essential part of our economy and daily lives, and should be treated like a utility. Thank you.
1636. Jon Dufay, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I strongly encourage the FCC to keep in place strong regulatory protection of net neutrality under title II.
1637. Rosemary Nguyen, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
I strongly support net neutrality and regulation of ISP providers under Title II. It makes much more sense to regulate the internet like a public utility because that is exactly what it is. I rely on the internet as much as I do power or water; not only do I use it for my business, but also for banking, shopping, entertainment and social contact. I do not trust that for-profit companies will voluntarily put my needs ahead of their bottom line unless there are strict rules requiring them to do so.
1638. Robert Shuman, Medina, WA, 98039
It is important to protect net neutrality and it must remain in its Title 2 category under FCC regulations. The fact that a public servant with such biasness is allowed to make such changes should shame you. #gofccyourself
1639. Sam Nofziger, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Net neutrality is essential to keep the internet free and fair for everybody, not just the massive corporations. The internet in its open form is critical for everyone in this day and age. That is why I support upholding net neutrality under title 2.
1640. Eric Harris, Redmond, WA, 98052

ISPs should absolutely be classified as Title II entities under the 1934 Communications act. There would be far too much financial incentive for them to favor the speed of their own products over those of their rivals, if this were to be changed back to Title I. Verizon's lawsuit against the FCC's attempt to regulate ISPs and net neutrality under Title I is all the evidence of this that is needed.

1641. Olivia Burton, Bothell, WA, 98011

I strongly support net neutrality because without it, small businesses would go bankrupt, potentially causing an economic crisis, as many people nowadays operate and bank online. Keeping net neutrality helps fight fascism, which goes against the very core of democracy, and helps stop the playing field from being any more tilted in the direction of big money than it already is.

1642. Bob Shuman, Medina, WA, 98039

I am heavily and completely in favor of preserving net neutrality, and through the continued preservation of Title II.

1643. john jackson, woodinville, WA, 98072

I support net neutrality and title two, stop using the fake "regulations are bad" argument to steal from the American people

1644. Kayla Johnson, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II !!!!!!!!!!!

1645. Lytton Gilliland, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Please retain strong net neutrality regulations with title II protections.

1646. Erica Kamikawa, Mill Creek, WA, 98012

Do not roll back the net neutrality laws

1647. Daniel Escamilla, Point Roberts, WA, 98281

Preserve Net Neutrality and the classification of ISPs as Title II.

1648. Raven Richards, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I am a strong supporter of net neutrality. If net neutrality were to be compromised it would be a huge infringement on our first amendment rights.

1649. Jeb Fach, Mill Creek, WA, 98012

I support strong net neutrality and Title II. Ajit Pai does not represent the needs of any average American or any view I have. He seems to be on the payroll of some major corporations trying to stop any small company from being able to compete. I will be contacting my senators over this to prevent these rights being taken away. The corporations that Ajit Pai is aligning with is not how the American people feel and need to be addressed accordingly.

1650. Keoki Sears, Redmond, WA, 98052

I strongly support net neutrality regulations and request that you leave Title II in

place and covering ISPs. I pay my ISP to carry data regardless of source, purpose, or type. I do not pay them to prioritise content for me. Please keep the Internet pipe open and neutral by effectively regulating ISPs as a neutral data transport utility. Thank you.

1651. Lucas Beaver, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I will not stand for this.
1652. dan willis, Bothell, WA, 98011
Please stop selling the American citizens out
1653. Chris Yates, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Protect Net Neutrality by keeping ISPs under Title II
1654. Kelli Segars, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
Net neutrality is essential for fairness in everything from education, employment, entertainment, etc - equal access must be protected. Anything less is unethical.
1655. Tyler Johnston, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I specifically support strong net neutrality rules from the FCC.
1656. Rachel La Fond, Redmond, WA, 98052
I STRONGLY support net neutrality and maintaining Title II.
1657. Jessie Marrs, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I support Net Neutrality under Title 2 classifications for ISPs.
1658. Jared Remington, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I support Net Neutrality under Title 2 for ISPs.
1659. Daniel Segars, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
The FCC must maintain the current net neutrality rules that keep ISPs from adjusting download /upload speeds based on company or monetary incentives. Equal access to the internet should be protected for all as to maintain an equal playing field. Please do not degrade the FCC ability to maintain an equal playing field for all.
1660. Alex P, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Please preserve and maintain net neutrality and Title II. Keep the laws and rules as they are. These rules are essential to a free and successful intellectual property based economy.
1661. Ranga Kalyan, Redmond, WA, 98053
Strongly support net neutrality and Title2
1662. travis nelson, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please preserve net neutrality with title 2 protection
1663. Paul Murray, Redmond, WA, 98053

I firmly believe that Internet Service Providers should remain under Title 2. They, not us consumers, can only benefit from the reclassification. They should not be allowed to slow down the delivery of content I, and my family, decide to receive. I pay them for that service and expect equal treatment of all data. If they want to subject the data I receive to different download speeds, then they need to give their services to us tax payers, not charge us a price.

1664. NICOLE NAVARRE, Bothell, WA, 98011

As a US citizen, tax payer and voter I want net neutrality and title II to remain in effect. Allowing ISP's to govern themselves is unrealistic and will only serve corporate greed by way of selling bandwidth to the highest bidder. Leave choice to citizens and not corporations!

1665. JACQUELYN KRAVETSKY, BOTHELL, WA, 98011

As a US citizen, taxpayer and voter I want net neutrality and title 2 to stay in effect. Allowing ISPs to govern themselves is unrealistic and will only serve corporate greed by way of selling bandwidth to the highest bidder. Leave the choice to citizens and not the highest bidder.

1666. Andrew L Dugger, Kenmore, WA, 98028

I specifically support strong net neutrality blocked by Title II oversight of ISPs, and do not want any change to be made to ISP oversight. Without Title II ISPs will be able to fuck with how consumers access the internet and force them to pay more. Also I think Chairman Pai's giant Reese's mug is both stupid and far too small for him to brag about.

1667. Jeff Gates, seattle, WA, 89109

Please do not give our title 2 protections of the internet away to giant corporations to bleed our nations people even more they already do by their monopolistic practices. Deregulating our internet is a bad idea to everyone but the ISP's (shareholders, lobbyists, and government officials who's campaigns are paid for by these conglomerates). It should remain title 2 to promote fairness and equality. Data is data despite who is providing it. I know money means a lot to everyone but those who are trying to pass these laws only want to squeeze the middle class even more than they already do. Is enough ever enough?

1668. Net Nuetrality, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

Please categorize all ISPs as Title II.

1669. Thomas Nixon, Kirkland, WA, 98034

The Internet has been shifting from a service to a utility in the minds of it's users for many years, and the switch from Title 1 to Title 2 for ISP's was a step in the right direction. I support leaving the previous net neutrality rulings in place, especially in regard to Title 2.

1670. Ben Olszewski, snohomish, WA, 98290

Net Neutrality should be enforced

1671. H Newcomer, Bothell, WA, 98011
As a citizen and as a librarian I am STRONGLY in favor of net neutrality and oversight/regulation required to ensure ISPs provide access to all content and applications without favoring or blocking. Net neutrality is a foundational principle of the internet and it is a violation of the public trust for this administration to advocate for policy that hurts citizens of this country to benefit corporations, which have amply demonstrated their willingness to violate principles of equal access given any excuse to do so.
1672. piper, Redmond, WA, 98052
There needs to be definitive guidelines that prevent large companies to control the speed and content of the internet the we consume.
1673. Shannon Wald, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Do not change regulations regarding net neutrality. Keep ISPs regulated under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. The internet should be open to all, without preferential treatment for those who would pay to have their content prioritized.
1674. Laurie Robison, Bothell, WA, 98011
I believe net neutrality is important. Keep the ISPs honest. Please ensure compliance by enforcing with regulation.
1675. Ken Pacquer, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support strong net neutrality, classifying ISPs using Title 2.
1676. Alma Cardenas, Redmond, WA, 98053
ISPs should not change to title 1, protect consumers, we pay enough for our rights. We care about #netneutrality
1677. David Michael Day, Ferndale, WA, 98248
Preserve net neutrality and title II. Do it now!
1678. Net Neutrality, Carnation, WA, 98014
I strongly support Net Neutrality and keeping ISP's under strict title 2 supervision. Keep the internet
1679. Tzevan Poon, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Dear FCC, I am strongly AGAINST THE CURRENT PLAN TO REPEAL NET NEUTRALITY on the internet. Similar to any utility in the public service, the internet should be a level playing field. Repealing net neutrality will make it harder for innovation to occur, new companies to develop, and will bring added costs to the general public. It will make the playing field uneven and favor one set of highly-capitalized businesses on the internet over others. Thank you, Tzevan Poon
1680. Steven Bennett, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I request that the FCC maintain Net Neutrality rules via title II.

1681. Jessie Liu, Redmond, WA, 98052
Chairman Ajit Pai, I ask you to please support Net Neutrality. Freedom on the internet should not be restricted. Support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight on ISPs. The internet is crucial to business, communication, and many other aspects of everyday lives. People deserve this right.
1682. Evan Lewis, Kirkland, WA, 98034
The internet has become a staple of modern living and its open nature has been integral to its development. Preserve Net Neutrality by reclassifying ISPs as Title II. There should be no control over the flow of information.
1683. Prashant Agrawal, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support net neutrality under Title 2. Please do not let ISPs mandate what i can and cannot access.
1684. laurie hanson, kirkland, WA, 98033
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. Do the right thing to preserve free and open internet.
1685. Erik Jensen, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I strongly support net neutrality enforced through Title II common carrier designation. Most individuals in the U.S. only have one or two (if they're lucky) options for high speed internet over 20 Mbps. This provider forms the only link between the user and online services. It is imperative that the ISP not be able to play favorites.
1686. Daniel Bourke, redmond, WA, 98052
We've already seen when business gets the final say for the net, and it wasn't pleasant. From actively throttling networks, to full on denial of service, I don't want to see another swing of the same garbage. Though the FCC isn't necessarily my favorite company, I can't blame them for stepping in on this matter. I strongly support net neutrality back by title 2 oversight ISPs.
1687. matt zarembo, Bothell, WA, 98012
Please do not roll back any net neutrality laws. I do not trust any large isp to do the right thing. Also most of the large isp's are the worst for customer service and billing mistakes. Large isp's are some of the worst companies we have in our nation and I know this as I worked for them as a network truck for years. Super shady.
1688. matt zarembo, Bothell, WA, 98012
Please do not roll back any net neutrality laws. I do not trust any large isp to do the right thing. Also most of the large isp's are the worst for customer service and billing mistakes. Large isp's are some of the worst companies we have in our nation and I know this as I worked for them as a network tech for years. Super shady.
1689. Eric Zhu, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I strongly believe in net neutrality and would like to appeal to you to keep it. The

ability for the internet to be open is one of the most connecting aspects of our society today and allowing ISP's to impact this would drastically change the world.

1690. Gurjit Pandher, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I would like to see net neutrality remain and ISP providers remain under Title II of the Communications Act. Thanks
1691. Isabel Loos, Deming, WA, 98244
Strong net neutrality is extremely important, and it is something that needs to be safeguarded, and backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
1692. Alissa Dunskey, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please, ensure that all internet traffic is on equal footing.
1693. Sonia Craig, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I do not want any change made to ISP oversight. That could enable corporate stifling of free speech online and more.
1694. Katie Johnson, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
Keep STRONG Title II Net Neutrality rules!
1695. Rocky Votolato, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Please protect Net Neutrality under Title II regulations to ensure that big companies like Verizon, Comcast, and AT&T, don't push the public into the internet slow lane. Please protect the internet as a fair and open playing field for all who use it. Thank you.
1696. Doyle Bough, Clearlake, WA, 98235
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Please roll back internet take over.
Regards, Doyle Bough PO Box 506 Clearlake, WA 98235"
1697. freedom, Ferndale, WA, 98248
Get net neutrality under title 2
1698. Brian Golden, Woodinville, WA, 98072
I am in support of strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II! The current chairman has made his opinions on the matter clear. He is wrong. Revoking Net Neutrality will not benefit consumers or increase competition. Government regulations are a good thing. If a business can't maintain profitability without ignoring regulations, it shouldn't be in business. Stop fucking the country up in the name of private profit, you assholes.
1699. Title II, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I believe it is common sense to leave ISP under Title II regulations.

1700. Betsy Fortman, Duvall, WA, 98019
I am writing to urge the Chairman and Commissioners to defend Title II net neutrality and protect the internet as a common carrier. Keep the net neutral!
1701. Christopher Patterson, POINT ROBERTS, WA, 98281
Consumers deserve better
1702. Barbara Moore-Lewis, GRANITE FALLS, WA, 98252
I work remotely in a rural area. Net neutrality is essential for my income.
1703. Christine Brown, REDMOND, WA, 98053
Killing 'net neutrality' is NOT what the American people want. It's what the big business cable companies want. They are already a monopoly. Why give them more power and \$\$.
1704. Dan Groskopf, SNOHOMISH, WA, 98290
I support REAL Net Neutrality and Brian Schatz and Cory Booker's open letter.
1705. KC Young, KIRKLAND, WA, 98033
Freedom of Speech includes not have corporate control over one of our key forms of open conversation and the exchange of ideas. We need Net Neutrality!
1706. Karen Howard, BLAINE, WA, 98230
I am 71 and have no intention of having anyone interfere in my life or try to tell me what to do or what to buy. Stay out of my life
1707. John Howard, WOODINVILLE, WA, 98072
Horrible idea. We should strengthen net neutrality
1708. Stephen Warehime, BOTHELL, WA, 98012
STOP THESE GREEDY S.O.B's CORPORATIONS are not HUMAN PERSONS
1709. Dallas Swank, MONROE, WA, 98272
The net should be neutral and not be use to scam the public
1710. Ken Albinger, KIRKLAND, WA, 98034
Keep Corporate HANDS OFF my internet freedom!
1711. Kate Butt, REDMOND, WA, 98052
Keep your hands off the net. Must you monetize everything?
1712. Michelle Becker, REDMOND, WA, 98052
Stop allowing corporations to control what has been free to everyone for years. This is not just a US issue
1713. Jan Weisel, WOODINVILLE, WA, 98072
Do not kill net neutrality. Americans deserve to have strong rules that protect an open Internet.

1714. Caroline Curry-Smith, MOUNT VERNON, WA, 98274
I'm sick of the GOP & their big business friends.
1715. Gena DiLabio, MOUNT VERNON, WA, 98274
Net neutrality serves public interest
1716. Damon Calgar, BELLINGHAM, WA, 98225
Who thinks it's a good idea to let the foxes run the henhouse?
1717. Beth Cachat, MONROE, WA, 98272
We deserve free & open internet just like phone service.
1718. Joel Hall, FERNDALE, WA, 98248
The Internet was fully financed by taxpayer money and the promise of the information highway belongs to the people must be kept.
1719. Ann Wales, BELLINGHAM, WA, 98226
We will be holding you accountable for this bad legislation.
1720. Gloria McClintock, MOUNT VERNON, WA, 98274
Government is not for the elite. All should have access to decent internet speed and information.
1721. Andrew Cherry, REDMOND, WA, 98053
The Internet is the town square of this generation
1722. Kim Weers, WOODINVILLE, WA, 98077
It is imperative that we keep net neutrality. Many people count on the net and we need the independents
1723. Dwight Rousu, REDMOND, WA, 98052
We need an alternative channel to the big corporate infotainment owned by old rich white guys
1724. Lori Jirak, CARNATION, WA, 98014
Internet access that is affordable and reliable and fast must be available to ALL. This is how we make America strong.
1725. Rob Lawson, BARING, WA, 98224
stop this fascist movement of big corporate buisness in bed with political leaders on the right!
1726. Keats Garman, BLAINE, WA, 98230
Keep your fingers off my internet!
1727. Trudie Morton, CARNATION, WA, 98014
These companies already know too much about us. They know what shows we watch. They target adds to our buying history. Comcast keeps requiring new

""boxes" that probably have more spyware on them. And then they charge an arm and a leg. Americans need more privacy not less.

1728. Barb Brevik, SNOHOMISH, WA, 98290
What other parts of our lives will Trump dismantle? And Why???? What is the underlying agenda? And where is the outrage?
1729. Colleen Renken, SULTAN, WA, 98294
We don't need anyone messing with the Internet where children learn and adults too. Leave our Internet alone!!
1730. Tara Felder, GRANITE FALLS, WA, 98252
Republican effort to create an enormous gap between BIG and small business is just plain evil. These Evil Doers are setting our country back decades.
1731. Steve Lydolph, FERNDALE, WA, 98248
American scientists invented the internet
1732. brenda elstone, WOODINVILLE, WA, 98077
Don't give knowledge to corporations to sell. It's wrong
1733. Marna Marteeny, KIRKLAND, WA, 98034
We need a strong and open internet to benefit ALL of us in society.
1734. Chris Gray, SNOHOMISH, WA, 98296
There are more individuals using the Internet than there are big
1735. Christine Brown, REDMOND, WA, 98053
WE DESERVE A FREE AND OPEN INTERNET!
1736. Jeanne Pascal, MONROE, WA, 98272
Net neutrality is democratic and fair. No more benefits from Trump to the ultra rich corporations and multi millionaires. This belongs to the PEOPLE and needs to stay neutral.
1737. Rita Margolies, REDMOND, WA, 98053
Do not kill net neutrality. Stop using the FCC as a censor n
1738. Gary Peterson, MONROE, WA, 98272
The internet is successful because it is open. Making it like CableTV with site packages that run faster if you pay more is wrong. It will make it less profitable for everybody and ruin open communication. Do not give up on net neutrality!
1739. James Soares, EVERSON, WA, 98247
Nuremberg
1740. Eric DeBolt, KIRKLAND, WA, 98034
The internet must remain neutral to all users!

1741. Joanna Crocker, KENMORE, WA, 98028
FREE AND OPEN INTERNET - in everyone's best interests
1742. Eileen Herring, BLAINE, WA, 98230
The Internet was not developed for the purpose of creating profit for a few powerful players. It must stay free and available to all.
1743. Kathleen Hancock, CONCRETE, WA, 98237
I use the internet daily to enhance my knowledge of my non-profit consulting for very small drinking water systems. I am entitled to the same speed and content availability as anyone else in our country. I cannot afford to pay extra for the same services that are currently provided. The internet must remain free and open.
1744. Paul Krippner, EVERSON, WA, 98247
The Internet has become a vital and integral element of our nation's communication system. It should not be simply a money-grubbing profit machine for ISPs.
1745. John Ballard, WOODINVILLE, WA, 98072
Why are we having this debate AGAIN??!!? Oh yeah
1746. Toni Penton, SNOHOMISH, WA, 98296
The internet should be the cornerstone of the first amendment. If net neutrality goes
1747. Dion Bottoms, KIRKLAND, WA, 98034
Free and open Internet is the current state of affairs. Stating that regulation of the Internet as a utility would stifle innovation dismisses the vast advancements that have been made with Net Neutrality as the existing norm and belies the true intent of this Chair to provide additional avenues of profit for corporations. It is not the mandate of the publicly authorized FCC to act as an agent for multinational corporations. To the contrary
1748. Michael Nelson, Lynden, WA, 98264
I am asking you to rollback Obama's internet takeover, help restore the trust in government.
1749. Jason Gregori, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II by oversights of ISP's. There is no reason to change this!!!
1750. Brooke Azie-Rentz, Duvall, WA, 98019
Please leave net neutrality alone....i want to choose what i want, not have greed and ego make the choices for me!
1751. Mollie Fuller, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Net neutrality is a thing we want to keep forever!
1752. Catherine L Sullivan, Kenmore, WA, 98028

I am in favor of retaining a strong Net Neutrality protection and also retain the status of the internet as telecommunications services under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. At this time and since the beginning of the Internet there has been a digital divide as has been recognized since the 1990s. It is important to make the internet as a utility since it is absolutely necessary that all citizens regardless of income is supported to get access. The major Internet companies has become the only access to jobs, information, and all types of visual and audio access and they demand a high cost already to use them for internet access, a major barrier for those who cannot pay these exorbitant prices. This act says under : Section 254 Article 3 of this act and I quote:(3) ACCESS IN RURAL AND HIGH COST AREAS- Consumers in allregions of the Nation, including low-income consumers and thosein rural, insular, and high cost areas, should have access totelecommunications and information services, includinginterexchange services and advanced telecommunications andinformation services, that are reasonably comparable to thoseservices provided in urban areas and that are available atrates that are reasonably comparable to rates charged forsimilar services in urban areas.(4) EQUITABLE AND NONDISCRIMINATORY CONTRIBUTIONS- Allproviders of telecommunications services should make anequitable and nondiscriminatory contribution to thepreservation and advancement of universal service.(5) SPECIFIC AND PREDICTABLE SUPPORT MECHANISMS- Thereshould be specific, predictable and sufficient Federal andState mechanisms to preserve and advance universal service.

1753. Claire Bruining, Snohomish, WA, 98296

I support strong net neutrality rules and I am vehemently opposed to rescinding ISP's title 2 classification.

1754. Michael Holt, Snohomish, WA, 98290

Providers are already charging for the initial service, the speed of the service, and in some cases, the amount of the service used. In fine print, providers state that you are not guaranteed the speeds you pay for, and that after a certain amount, you may or will be throttled. This is an aggressive stance toward consumers. The only explanation for charging for the type of content, is to identify another revenue stream. Since the service has already been paid for, this is a likely attempt to force customers (because the charges will be passed on to the customer) to pay again for something which they have already paid for, as stated above. However, there is also another side to this issue -- forcing other businesses out of business. Take for example the case of Vonage home phone service. Comcast has a competing phone service which they constantly try to push on customers, and likely have succeeded in taking a fair bit of Vonage customers. This creates a conflict of interest, because if providers have a competing service, they can charge competitors for services, forcing the competitor to raise rates, making them far less attractive to consumers. This is unethical, anti-competitive, and anti-consumer. Service providers should NOT be able to interfere with or charge more or less for service provided based on content type, regardless whether they are charging the service provider or the end user. In either case, the charges would be passed along to the consumer, and the

potential anti-competitive behavior forces choices out of the market place, allowing the service provider to over inflate the cost of their own service.

1755. Tyler Kamstra, Auburn, WA, 98011

Please maintain net neutrality. Companies don't get to throttle phone calls based on who call, so why should they get to do it for the internet? Allowing ISP's to throttle web traffic will have massive, far-reaching negative consequences that may be hard to predict. The only people who will benefit are ISP's, and everyone else will bear the consequences.

1756. net neutrality, Concrete, WA, 98237

We need and I want net neutrality protected by fully functional Title 2 protection. This is vital to our nation - do the right thing and then do it again, etc

1757. Kody Frazier, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

It is imperative to the fair access of all people on the internet that ISPs remain categorized as title II. In a time of rapid advancement of technology and of the internet ISPs cannot be trusted to not throttle internet for money. The information on the internet is a common right of all people and a company should not be allowed to choose where I can go on the internet or how fast (outside of my plan limitations).

1758. Eric Frazier, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net neutrality is critical for the continuation of innovation. This is my sincere opinion after 20 years in the technology industry.

1759. Judeth S. Davis, Granite Falls, WA, 98252

Save Net neutrality and title 2

1760. Mason Allen, Bothell, WA, 98021

Changing this is not for the people and it will have a negative impact on our country and how we operate. This is a money making agenda and gives too much power to bottom-line corporations who have proven time and time again to be anti-competitive. This will set us back on the world world scale and does not promote the future growth of our society.

1761. Marlina Hubert, Redmond, WA, 98052

ISPs should not have the ability to select which programs are preferred. The speed of delivery of services should be same regardless of who is providing the service.

1762. Andrew Nielsen, Redmond, WA, 98052

Please ensure that internet carriers treat their customers evenly by keeping carriers under Title II. This will promote a more competitive internet environment leading to more innovation and a better internet for all.

1763. Jeremia Sheehan, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I expect my government officials to protect me WITH regulations that limit ISPs ability to interfere with what I choose use the internet for. We should not trust ISPs

to self regulate in situations where they can hurt the other service I use. Protect Net Neutrality.

1764. Thomas Klein, Blaine, WA, 98230

I support strong net neutrality based on Title II. I do not wish to see ISPs throttle my access to other websites based on contributions from those websites to the system. I do not want to see separate access tiers for access to content. The internet needs to be open.

1765. Kevin Jernigan, Redmond, WA, 98052

I am writing in my support of classifying ISPs under FCC Title II regulations. This will ensure we don't have to "trust" ISPs to not throttle their competition or provide fast lanes to the highest bidder. I believe this is the most beneficial solution available to all of us and will allow all businesses and users who rely on the Internet to all be on equal footing.

1766. Karen Augustin, Bothell, WA, 98021

Please maintain the internet for Americans as a common carrier utility under Title II.

1767. James Kardouni, Glacier, WA, 98244

Hello FCC personnel, Please uphold the 2015 decision to protect and promote and open internet maintaining the classification of the internet under Title II as a common carrier public utility. I agree with Commissioner Clyburn and hope that my comment will be considered. Here you have a chance to side with the majority, the people, in our ailing democracy as former Chairman Wheeler did in the past despite his former profession in private telecommunications. It's OK to exercise democracy and civil liberties while not succumbing to oligarchy where money equals power. That's where the FCC can make a difference. Telecommunications companies continue to grow stimulating the US economy, showing no adverse effects on their business despite the 2015 decision. If reclassification occurs under Title I as information their practical monopoly will lead to more unfettered power over the internet where a minority in the form of ISPs may further dictate how internet content will be treated. Such broadband providers have the ability to compromise the functionality of the internet. Of concern is their restraints on consumer protections and ability use the internet to their will to undermine democracy and proper internet functionality. I do not want this to happen as well as many others since you've received over 4 million comments during the 2015 open comment period. Who knows how many will pile up this time but I hope you consider these even though some are appallingly unprofessional. I too work for public organizations and have received comments of the like. Our internet is a public utility necessary in our daily lives utilized as a platform for free speech, daily business, innovation, and commerce. Please uphold the open internet, listen to the people, and you'll find more commerce from a greater variety of businesses and organizations leading to a greater diversity of ideas and a bolstered more diverse economy. As a federal agency working for the tax payers I appreciate the work you do and here's yet another chance to uphold our Constitution and work for the majority, the people. Thank you for your time and consideration. Sincerely, James Kardouni

1768. Amy Hickerson, Woodinville, WA, 98072
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. The internet is an essential utility and it should be treated as such under Title II provisions. It is just as critical to my daily life as the power, water, and natural gas that come into my home, and it should come to my home just as reliably. My ISP should not be deciding which websites and online services I have access to, and how quickly I can access them. Under the current FCC regulations ISPs canâ€™t block, slow, or otherwise manipulate my internet access through the creation of "fast lanes" by charging more for online services or reach customers more quickly, which helps keeping a level playing field. Repealing these regulations would stifle innovation, suppress competition, and muffle expression. Keep the internet equal to all by saving net neutrality, and keeping ISPs classified under Title II.
1769. M.E. Hollenback, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I am writing to let you know I fervently support strong net neutrality back by strong Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Keep the greedy hands of internet corporations off of our right to equal access.
1770. J. DEROSIA, CUSTER, WA, 98240
I STRONGLY SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY AND TO KEEP THE INTERNET FREE FROM CORPORATE CONTROL.
1771. John M. Smith, Clearlake, WA, 98235
Please preserve net neutrality by continuing with Title II regulations. The internet is a vital tool for democratic institutions; don't make it another enterprise for the rich.
1772. Dmitry Kazantsev, Bothell, WA, 98011
Classifying internet as a utility is the only logical and acceptable option. It will provide equal footing for all content providers and consumers. Internet IS current-ear equivalent of telephone, telegraph and whatever previous ears communication technology was.
1773. Tim Stricklett, Bellingham, WA, 98226
I am writing to express strong opposition to any plan by the FCC to dismantle net neutrality. It is vitally important that internet service providers enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites. Sincerely, Tim stricklett
1774. Patrick Johnson, Concrete, WA, 98237
Do not reduce the Federal Communications Commission's ability to enforce net neutrality. There is simply far too much evidence that internet service providers will abuse a lack of net neutrality in order to give themselves an unfair advantage on their service by slowing down anything that competes with their own services.
1775. Robin McGee, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title II, providing a level playing field for all. Companies look out for their own financial interests, which incentivizes them to provide better services for the highest bidder.

1776. Michael LaRosa, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please keep the current Net Neutrality rules to keep the Internet equal-access and free.
1777. Adrian Crovetto, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
1778. Modesty Israel, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273
Please please please MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY
1779. Karyn Zupke, Bothell, WA, 98021
Keep the internet equally available to all! Net neutrality is imperative!
1780. Donald Fudge, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support strong Net Neutrality as it stands today. Preserve Net Neutrality and Oversight under Title II of the 1934 Communications Act. The service providers own actions drove the move for oversight from Title I to Title II. Ajit Pai seems to be focused on the business interests of the providers and not the needs of American consumers.
1781. M Robinson, Redmond, WA, 98052
I am very concerned about the loss of internet neutrality, and would strongly dissuade the FCC from removing it.
1782. Cindy Garrott, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I am writing to express strong opposition to any plan by the FCC to dismantle net neutrality. It is vitally important that internet service providers enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites. Sincerely,
1783. Michael McBrayer, Bothell, WA, 98021
I oppose any plan that would endanger, impede or remove net neutrality on the internet by ISPs.
1784. Nitin Mittal, Clyde Hill, WA, 98004
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs™. I do not agree with the FCC's inclination of reclassifying all ISPs under Title 1. I want the current status to hold.
1785. Tracy Farrell, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
I strongly support Net Neutrality and its title two over-site. Big companies have proved time and time again they cannot police or play nice amongst themselves. DO

NOT abolish Net Neutrality.

1786. Andrew Weckstein, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please preserve net neutrality under title II regulations.
1787. Diane Hastings, Snohomish, WA, 98296
I support strong net neutrality and maintaining Title II oversight of ISPs.
1788. Cody Smith, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273
Net neutrality should be enforced along with the backing of Title II by the FCC.
1789. Michael and Vicki Soule, Bothell, WA, 98011
Please enforce net neutrality. We cannot be willing to sell off the internet to the highest bidder.
1790. Elena Bianco, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273
I strongly am in favor of net neutrality. As a librarian, I am an advocate for equitable access to information in all formats, including on the World Wide Web. Libraries need to preserve our patrons' ability to access bandwidth-intensive library resources. Please do not reverse current regulations regarding net neutrality. We must RETAIN internet freedom, as was established in FCC's 2015 Open Internet Order, not give it away to big corporations.
1791. Jesse Rogers, Blaine, WA, 98230
I am not a supporter of rolling back the net neutrality laws which are now governed under title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I do not believe that companies which are judged based on their profit margins, and share values, would hesitate to restrict access to sites that do not pay the highest bid. Please use your brains to think this one through and not your pocket books!
1792. Tracy MacLean, Kirkland, WA, 98033
As someone who has taught the history of American broadcasting on a college level, I feel strongly that the Internet should be regulated as a public utility. There is concept known as a "natural monopoly," which applies to railway systems, postal systems, etc. The cost of reproducing a service network is extremely high and makes the normal operation of market competition difficult if not impossible. Clearly, Internet Service Providers operate in a category similar to these other natural monopolies. The FCC shouldn't give away an important resource that belongs to the American people, whose tax dollars funded the original development of what we know as the Internet today.
1793. Robert Vargas, Bothell, WA, 98021
Retain Net Neutrality as it stands, i.e, as a title II common carrier. The internet is so much a part of our lives for basic communication, business transactions, health care, personal financial dealings, entertainment, and access to a wide diversity of news and information that we cannot relinquish the regulations that protect this vital public good. Thank you.

1794. Jeffrey Johnson, Redmond, WA, 98052
I absolutely detest the idea of loosening the regulations for enforcing net neutrality. Changing the classifications for ISPs from Title II to Title I is not in the interest of any citizen on the United States. Corporate profits should not be put ahead of protecting citizens equal access to all internet content.
1795. Russ McMackin, Bothell, WA, 98011
As a small business owner dependent on good internet bandwidth, I strongly support net neutrality. Enduring increased costs just to compete with our big competitors would stifle our ability to exist.
1796. max, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Please do not continue stupidity. We need a free but still fair internet.
1797. Kit Vargas, Bothell, WA, 98021
It is essential that net neutrality be maintained by keeping the present regulations including its designation as a Title II Common Carrier.
1798. Sheri Elgin, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
The role of the internet over my lifetime has changed significantly. While it used to be a luxury it has become a necessity. In no way has a corporation ever done anything to protect consumers 'out of the goodness of their hearts' - the idea is absurd. Regulations exist to protect consumers and citizens from abuses. Net Neutrality exists for a reason, it is working to protect consumers, and it is NOT hurting businesses. Removing these rules is based in greed, nothing else. I do not support the removal of these protections. I do not support having a corporation, particularly an ISP that already holds a near monopoly as there are really no other reasonable choices in our area, make decisions about delivery speeds or quality based on monetary reward as these types of decisions would most likely NOT represent my best interests and disproportionately impact the poor and publicly funded broadcasting. Leave Net Neutrality alone.
1799. wim mauldin, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Retain net neutrality. I'm aware that it will not provide revenue for additional innovation or the survival of the wealthiest. However, net neutrality has served us well and the corruption that will follow unfettered purchase of internet speed will be a disaster.
1800. J S., Woodinville, WA, 98072
Honest competition holds the larger service providers accountable to the market for shortcomings. A slow lane would clearly suppress competition.
1801. Fred Karlson, Ferndale, WA, 98248
The internet is a utility that has the power to enrich and change lives. Many people have built online businesses and are able to make a living and create jobs. The internet allows people that learn new information and skills from teachers on the other side of the world. It allows people to create communities and find support. The

internet is an incredibly important part of millions of lives everyday. It needs to be fair and accessible to everyone.

1802. Ann Wales, Bellingham, WA, 98226
I could love it better with a little more regulation on the cable companies. I believe that the world we live in requires open and accessible communication for all.
1803. Sarah Love, Woodinville, WA, 98072
It is a means of communication
1804. Amy Mower, Maple Falls, WA, 98266
accessible means to stay connected with my family.
1805. Ravinder Bajwa, Redmond, WA, 98052
The internet has become my basic source of news and provides an opportunity for airing my views.
1806. Margot Roche, Monroe, WA, 98272
I feel very strongly that the strong laws protecting net neutrality should remain in place and Title II should continue to be used for internet providers. This should not be changed. Do not abolish net neutrality
1807. Mark DesHarnais, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support net neutrality using the Title II laws
1808. Clinton H Ringer, Bothell, WA, 98012
I strongly support Net neutrality under title 2 over site of all ISP's. The FCC is meant to protect consumers not support lobbying businesses.
1809. maggie don carlos, Mill creek, WA, 98012
Net neutrality must be preserved under Title II. ISP regulation should remain as it is currently.
1810. Walter Phillips, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I strongly support net neutrality! Don't mess with it!
1811. Derek, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal sucks. Do not repeal the Obama rules please.
1812. Nora Weisenhorn, Ferndale, WA, 98248
I strongly support net neutrality under title II. Unfettered access to the internet is a cornerstone of education, and an informed democracy - don't allow IPS's to mess with it.
1813. Isabella Wilson, Snohomish, WA, 98296
I think that allowing big corporations to be able to pay to slow down their competitors is a great way to destroy small businesses. And I support small businesses.

1814. Edwin Zhang, Redmond, WA, 98052
Keep net neutrality in place. I don't want to have to pay extra money just to unlock normal speeds for Netflix.
1815. Jon Swalby, Redmond, WA, 98052
If it ain't broke, don't fix it. We don't really care if those greedy idiots at Comcast, Verizon et al want to make more money. They have enough.
1816. Gustave Nylander, Redmond, WA, 98052
I believe in open Internet.
1817. Will Weathersby, Bothell, WA, 98011
If Net Neutrality is being attacked to this extent I'm leaving the country. I won't let my freedom be taken from me.
1818. Karen Hewelt, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
NET NEUTRALITY IS NOT NEGOTIABLE!
1819. Kevin Wildermuth, Clearlake, WA, 98235
Net neutrality is, for all practical purposes, the free speech of our times. Without it our Democracy is not really a democracy because internet speech is the primary way that free speech is practiced today. So nothing is more important than net neutrality to our democracy.
1820. Lisa Bradford, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
As we fought this war of net neutrality last year with Chairman Tom Wheeler and thought we won because he let it stand. Now we have to fight again with Akot Pai and it's going to be a much worse fight, but we will persevere since we will not give up. We won't accept less.
1821. Lewis Kuhlman, Everson, WA, 98247
I care about a free and open internet for everyone.
1822. Stanley Lozowski, Kenmore, WA, 98028
FREE AND OPEN INTERNET FOR ALL.
1823. Geoffrey Quinsey, Bothell, WA, 98011
Think about it: we really need complete net neutrality in order for this democracy to continue.
1824. Sybil Melody, Woodinville, WA, 98072
If you believe in freedom of speech then you must protect net neutrality.
1825. Don and Leslie Bush, Snohomish, WA, 98296
The net should belong to all of us not just a few. It should not be political.
1826. Kayla Spurlock, Kirkland, WA, 98034
we want to keep strong net neutrality rules!!

1827. Marlo Castillo, KENMORE, WA, 98028
The internet should be maintained as an equitable medium of innovation. Net neutrality enables communication access to consumers and innovators around the world. Failure to protect this medium can result in further stifling of the next generation of creators. Please do not end net neutrality.
1828. Angela Maeda, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Please protect the people and not large corporations.
1829. Preserving, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Hello FCC! I'm writing to implore you to preserve Net Neutrality and keep ISPs classified under title two. This is a move that *literally* nobody wants outside of corporations. No matter how many times corporation friendly lawyers turned FCC chairs try to spin it, this move will help absolutely NOBODY besides those companies and their shareholders. This is supposed to be a nation of the people and for the people. Please keep that in mind.
1830. nate young, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Ajit Pai, you're going about this the wrong way. Please listen to people over corporations, and do the right thing. Net neutrality via title II. John Oliver is spot on.
1831. Chace Jones, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Net neutrality is the only thing that can hold internet together without it being destroyed by corporations. ISP's should remain a Title 2, and there's no reason to think otherwise.
1832. Noni Jones, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Net neutrality is important. ISP's should remain in title II
1833. Scott Myhre, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Ok, so the backlash you will see if the net neutrality rules are relaxed from me and my constituents will surely flush you and your kind out of office and into the sewer of history. Do it you dare, but but think carefully about the result for your careers. Leave net neutrality alone!!!
1834. Joe Nichols, Snohomish, WA, 98290
The world does better when everyone is on a level playing field
1835. Barb S, woodinville, WA, 98077
Don't touch my internet!
1836. Jeffery Schaefer, KENMORE, WA, 98028
Net Neutrality allows everyone to use the Internet equally - without regard to paying more for the "fast" lane. Leave your hands off the Internet!
1837. Shauna Startzel, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Protect your right to assemble

1838. Trina Jennings, Kirkland, WA, 98034
A free and open internet is vital to the success of the modern world, including businesses, non profits, and schools. Or cities should move toward internet as a public utility instead of a private service.
1839. Toniann Reading, Sultan, WA, 98294
Democratic principles are in play & equality is imperative!
1840. Cornelia Teed, Ferndale, WA, 98248
The Internet needs to remain a place of freedom.
1841. Maria Morris, Woodinville, WA, 98072
As co-owner of a small specialty graphics business, we depend on net neutrality to ensure that we and our vendors have equal internet access to the big players in our field. As a small business, we are vital to the economy as the ones who provide new jobs and starter jobs. Keep Net Neutrality!
1842. Joshua Diamond, Redmond, WA, 98052
There's already intensive and unchecked monopolization of various realms of the internet by companies like Facebook, Amazon, and Alphabet. Now, you want to allow the screwing of Americans by ISPs like Verizon and Comcast being able to put the brakes on web content for those unable to pay as much as the well off for a 'fast lane'? Shame on you, FCC. Why does everything have to go against the little guy in this country; it's infuriating. No to ending Net Neutrality!
1843. Joel Sparler, Redmond, WA, 98053
the internet is no longer just for entertainment -- it has become a critical part of our communication infrastructure, and should be regulated like the de-facto utility that it is !
1844. James Soares, Everson, WA, 98247
Remember Nuremberg
1845. Dwight Rousu, Redmond, WA, 98052
We need an open internet to help get creeps like Pai out of his role of killing democracy
1846. Patti Locke, Lynden, WA, 98264
I want the FCC to maintain Net Neutrality and Title II in order to not allow phone and cable companies from discriminate against websites and content.
1847. Michael Hutcheson, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Not everything has to be monetized. Not everything has to be for-profit.
1848. Libby DeVore-Regonas, Snohomish, WA, 98290
This is an attack on democracy. They already have the press, save the internet!

1849. Julie Pollack, Duvall, WA, 98019
My job is creating and maintaining small retail sites. My customers need constant, fast connection speeds. Please leave net neutrality rules in place.
1850. Robert Toohey, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Freedom! Remember that? You should, if you are a real American. Yes, I know, it has always been relative, but relatively balanced. In modern times we have lost so much it is hard to see any reasonable balance. Destroying Net neutrality will tip that scale too far. Do not make that mistake! Do not take away that which can provide hope, and jobs, to so many Americans.
1851. Ann Wales, Bellingham, WA, 98226
Leave regulating the Internet in Title II where it should be to protect Net Neutrality!!!
1852. Robin Boynton, Carnation, WA, 98014
I am home-bound, and thus depend on the internet to communicate with people all over the world who have all kinds of opinions on all kinds of issues. This is what the world needs to keep our fully free democracy strong, and to keep all Americans equally able to seek common grounds on all the important issues we face. This will help people of all political, religious, and economic/financial backgrounds to discuss serious issues and begin to work together again.
1853. Mas and Shirley Shimada, KENMORE, WA, 98028
Keep Title II to control Net Neutrality
1854. Gena DiLabio, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
Net neutrality prevents discrimination against private vs. corporate users, content. We already pay too much for internet use and with this ruling we will be paying more.
1855. Tina Lee, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Keep the internet open and free from corporations that seek to control access and speed!
1856. Helen Gabel, Bothell, WA, 98021
We all use and need the internet--just like electricity and clean water. It's a UTILITY.
1857. Nate Marino, bellingham, WA, 98226
My search for work on the internet shouldn't take a back seat because of greedy ISPs. We all need equal access to a speedy internet.
1858. Kirstin Brauch, Bothell, WA, 98011
Freedom is the basis of a democracy
1859. David Gardner, Ferndale, WA, 98248

Net Neutrality is important for smaller content providers.

1860. lucas lopes, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
FOR THE LOVE OF FREEDOM , PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2!!!!!!!!!!
1861. Ed Scheenstra, Mt Vernon, WA, 98273
Very much In Support of Net Neutrality and upholding Title II
1862. Net Neutrality, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I strongly support Net Neutrality for ISPs under Title II. This is important for freedom of speech and freedom of assembly.
1863. Chase Thomas, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Continue supporting net neutrality and Title 2 for ISPs. The internet needs to be unregulated and out of the control of the corrupt.
1864. Ian Wallace-Hoyt, Seattle, WA, 98014
We strongly support current net neutrality rules by classify broadcast as a title II common carrier service.
1865. Dustin Valentine, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please do not hinder or jeopardize net neutrality. Access to the internet and its resources should be free of all economic and political corporate interests. Allowing preferential treatment to companies or users who pay more is inherently wrong. The internet is a public utility and should be treated as such!
1866. Brianne O'Neil, Fall city, WA, 98024
Ajit Pie, I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's.
1867. Clara Ling, Bothell, WA, 98021
Strong net neutrality is important and I support FCC to continue the current enforcement of net neutrality under Title II oversight of ISP.
1868. Brad Gill, Granite Falls, WA, 98252
I support strong net neutrality rules and oversight of isp's backed by title 2
1869. Tiffany Perry, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support net neutrality, and I support strict regulations enforceable at the federal level to ensure that internet providers adhere to the Four Freedoms outlined by Michael Powell in 2003. I support simple but specific language in these rules that prohibit internet providers from blocking or slowing content, with which they are competing. I support a level playing field of access, including a prohibition of paid prioritization.
1870. Jeremy Voigt, Bellingham, WA, 98226
I support strong net neutrality and title II. Please keep it in place.

1871. Ben Zorn, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I strongly support net neutrality and preserving the Title 2 classification.
1872. suzanne zorn, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I strongly support Net Neutrality and preserving the title II classification for ISPs.
1873. Norman F. Kirby, Bothell, WA, 98012
I support strong Net Neutrality and Title 2 oversight of ISPs. This is critical to ensuring equal access to the internet and preventing monopoly practices.
1874. Ben Sheppard, Granite Falls, WA, 98252
I would like to specifically state that I support strong Net Neutrality as a fundamental of our democracy and that the internet should continue to be protected under title 2.
1875. Emily Ridgway, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I strongly support net neutrality and regulating the internet under Title 2.
1876. Benjamin Ridgway, Kirkland, WA, 98034
As a computer programmer, I strongly support net neutrality under title 2.
1877. William Pearce, Blaine, WA, 98230
A free and open internet is critical to freedom of information and a free society. I most strongly support Title II classification of internet service providers. There is zero probability that ISPs will voluntarily maintain net neutrality.
1878. Jason Armenise, Redmond, WA, 98052
This is a message for Ajit Pai. I support strong title II oversight for isp's. Please keep the 2015 (current) net neutrality rules. Thank you for your consideration.
1879. Annie J Kehn, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Hi. I really like not living in Russia or China. Please don't make us the next country who has censored internet access. Except it won't be censored by the government, but big corporations. This will be a huge blow to small businesses and independent artists who make their living NOT being corporations. Please please please please please please please don't fuck us.
1880. Bess Konersmann, Monroe, WA, 98272
I support net neutrality by using strong title II rules and regulations!! Please continue to enforce the net neutrality!!!
1881. U Vaghela, Bothell, WA, 98021
Net Neutrality is very important to me so please keep it as is.
1882. Martha Craig, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I am 100% in favor of net neutrality and urge the FCC to retain policies put in place by the Obama administration.

1883. Keith Becker, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I am writing in support of maintaining strong net neutrality rules under title 2 regulations. Reclassifying ISPs under Title 1 will introduce greater uncertainty for Internet businesses that thrive under the current net neutrality rules and will only benefit Internet Service Providers. ISPs will undoubtedly seek to leverage their monopolistic positions by extorting fees from content providers in what will amount to a digital version of a 'protection racket'. If the FCC truly wanted to help consumers you would instead look at breaking up the ISP monopolies to introduce greater competition in the marketplace.
1884. Trais McAllister, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273
I believe that the regulations currently already in place are enough to allow the Internet to continue to flourish. Allowing the Internet to be grown without potential ISP intervention, has already proven to improve online business growth in markets that apply to the general American public. The familiarity that the public has gained with social media platforms is seeping into other platforms that drive industry in America. The innovation that comes from the entire community that has built the Internet has a greater potential to positively impact America's market than that of a few ISPs. Arguments of inability to maintain and grow public infrastructure are a product of poor budgeting and improper taxation of businesses. This is seen in many industries in America right now. Small to medium sized businesses are caught in a web of tax-brackets, which hinders their buying power for growth. Ultimately, keeping regulation out of the hands of ISPs will ensure that they can focus on their core product, which should be infrastructure. Unfortunately, there are costs to what the Internet provides to the American economy. However, the costs incurred on industries unwilling to adopt the innovation that is bred in a user-driven Internet can bring forth an unfortunate retaliation. I fear that, given more control, Internet Service Providers will continue to attempt to grow their companies by potentially forcing their products upon their customers and throttling competition.
1885. Jacob Schamp, Kirkland, WA, 98034
You must preserve net neutrality. It is the foundation for a vibrant democracy in the 21st century.
1886. Patrick Ngo, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Net Neutrality is incredibly important. Removing this protection is akin to removing murder as a crime and trusting people to not murder other people. Rules exist for a reason. I implore the FCC to make the correct decision.
1887. John Trischetti Jr, Bothell, WA, 98012
Ajit support net neutrality and then maybe we can set up a go fund me account to buy you a cup bigger than John Oliver's.
1888. Steve Schuh, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Preserve net neutrality under Title II. Legally-mandated net neutrality benefits consumers and businesses that don't want Internet communications manipulated by telecom and cable companies for their private profit.

1889. Theo Robinson, Kirkland, WA, 98034
The internet should be a utility with all the guarantees and regulations of power, water, and land line phone.
1890. Alex karptsov, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I am for net neutrality title 2. Stop stealing my freedom and exchange it for money
1891. David Snider, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I would like to request that net neutrality be maintained with Title II status under the FCC, so that broadband carriers do not have the freedom to slow down competitors' content. I believe it would be detrimental to everyday users of the internet, as well as the many vibrant industries that make up the technology sector for these rules to be tampered with. The people are not asking for a change.
1892. Julia Grosvenor, Bothell, WA, 98011
Why do you need to publically post our addresses? Dear FCC, please don't SWAT me, and please protect my internet.
1893. Heather Poindexter, Maple Falls, WA, 98266
I strongly support current FCC regulations on the subject of net neutrality.
1894. Jon Levesque, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I would like to make my voice heard as a voter that i would expect you to preserve net neutrality and title II
1895. Nicolas Newcomb, Redmond, WA, 98052
I strongly support net neutrality. Thank you for protecting it.
1896. Trudy Hoppe, Woodinville, WA, 98072
We must preserve net neutrality - if all data isn't treated equally we risk corporate censorship.
1897. Francois Klier, kenmore, WA, 98028
As a professional computer scientist and husband of a technology based small business founder I am strongly in favor of keeping internet service providers classified under title II and enforcement of the rules popularly known as net neutrality. Loosening of regulations and simply trusting large businesses with inherent conflicts of interest to act in the best interest of the people simply does not work as has been proven repeatedly. The additional costs of potential slowed investment and progress is a reasonable price to pay to avoid the disaster of allowing big money to silence the innovation of small entrepreneurs.
1898. Juleyana Cabrera, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273
I think that the internet should not be run by the people with the most money in their pockets. It is a wonderful resource for all walks of life and provides a way to connect globally. Filtering access to something that is a public resource seems absurd. Please. Don't do this to the public.

1899. Terry Anne McEachern, Blaine, WA, 98230
Please. We need net neutrality. We need Title 2. DO NOT allow ISPs to eliminate competition by favoring their own interests over ours.
1900. Morgan Eason, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please don't mess with Net Neutrality rules.
1901. Alex Givens, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I am in favor of strong net neutrality and Title II. Reverting to Title I is a step backwards for the American people by favoring larger companies with more money over individuals. We all deserve an equal and neutral Internet.
1902. Maribel Coyotl, Bothell, WA, 98011
I believe my ISP should be regulated under Title II
1903. William Schiller, Redmond, WA, 98052
I strongly disagree with removing net neutrality rules for ISP's from Title II classification. These rules are in place to help consumers have free choice when it relates to the internet. Moving away from a Title II classification will cost consumers more and restrict open flow of information this country and the internet were founded upon. Please reconsider this action and leave the Title II classification for ISP's in place. Thank you, William Schiller Redmond, WA
1904. Chad Jones, WOODINVILLE, WA, 98072
Net neutrality is very important to preserving the rights of consumers in being able to access online services independent of who is providing them. Internet service companies have a conflict of interest once they start offering offering online services over their own connectivity services. In many places there are so very few options and when you try and choose the fastest connectivity and can be punished with an inferior experience to some services, it's very unfair to the consumers as well as to the online services.
1905. Heather Deiner, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Strong Net Neutrality and Title II oversights of ISP are important.
1906. Andrew Allen, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
Keep net regulations under title II.
1907. Donald Scott, Ferndale, WA, 98248
I would like to firmly state that it's important that we continue with net neutrality. The internet has become as important to the American people as electricity and water. If we allow large corporations to shape traffic in any way it sees fit we will lose a great deal of innovation and how we communicate with the world.
1908. Jonathan Rush, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Please understand that the internet as it is is not a perfect creation but it is a fair one. Do not enact policies that would enable corporate mindsets to promote or silence

differing companies or voices as they see fit. Keep the internet neutral from regulation. Don't lose Net Neutrality.

1909. Robert Bacht, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Keep them on title 2!! We dont need corporate interference with our internet traffic.
1910. Leila Howell, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Our democracy relies on a free, fair, and open internet!!
1911. Richard Law, Bothell, WA, 98011
I am writing to STRONGLY SUPPORT KEEPING NET NEUTRALITY -- this is a VITAL part of ensuring that all businesses and individuals have equal access to the internet, to do otherwise is to privilege massive corporations and consolidated media and ISP holdings.
1912. Timothy P Marshall, Duvall, WA, 98052
Hello,As an experienced Software Engineer and avid user of the internet, it saddens me to watch as the United States gets dangerously close to allowing our ISPs to control the speed and access to specific content on the internet. Please fight to keep the internet protected under Title II of the Communications Act. Allowing our government to reduce this protection to the legislation of Title I of the Communications Act offers way too much power to our ISPs. While I do not necessarily believe that my current ISP has malicious intent, we are at a critical moment in the history of information technology. Choosing to lessen the protection now will inevitably result in those with the most control over our internet to leverage that control for personal and corporate economic gain.I've always believed that the FCC would do what is right for the people of America and the world. This belief is being tested with the introduction of the new FCC chair Ajit Pai. Ajit either lacks compassion for the American people or completely misunderstands what Title II means for Net Neutrality. Ajit is now in a position where he can do irreparable damage to integrity of the internet in the United States. I pray we live in a world where the FCC, as a whole, will fight for what is right and overcome the mis-direction of Ajit's plan to overhaul/reduce the protections provided by Title II of the Communications Act.Please fight for what is right and fair. Please keep the internet protected under Title II of the Communications Act.Thank you for listening to my concerns,Tim Marshall
1913. Christopher Trudeau, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I believe strongly in net neutrality and Title 2 regulations. You need to preserve net neutrality and title 2. This is absolutely necessary to keep an open internet.
1914. Chris Valleau, Sedro Woolley, WA, 98284
Removing net neutrality regulations have no benefits for the American people. Leave ISPs as Title II.
1915. Donald Dillinger, Snohomish, WA, 98290

I wish to express my support for title 2 of the FCC regulations concerning internet service providers and strict protection of "net neutrality" It is vitally important that public communications channels remain "res publica" and don't become the private property of vast wealthy corporations wishing to further enrich themselves while shutting off or restricting competitors bandwidth.

1916. Katherine Fairbanks, Bothell, WA, 98021
I support Net Neutrality under the Title II regulations. Please retain ISP classification under Title II. Thank you!
1917. Nicholas Kristoffersen, Kirkland, WA, 98034
We need net neutrality to be strong and enforceable. Please keep the Title II requirements for ISPs active.
1918. Jimmy Perales, Monroe, WA, 98272
ISP's should not be able to pay more for their services to run at faster bandwidth speeds.
1919. Martin Fagan, North Bend, WA, 98045
This is easy. Keep the internet open aka net neutrality.
1920. Autumn Peterson, mill creek, WA, 98012
I am in support of net neutrality, it is very important to keep our internet from being controlled by large company agendas!
1921. Ryan Beesley, Kirkland, WA, 98034
To ignore the will of the people is cowardly. To dismantle the protections put in place to protect citizens from predatory businesses will irreversibly damage small businesses and our nation's infrastructure. When so much of our success in the past few decades has been built upon the technical advancements of garage tinkerers like Bill Gates and Steve Jobs, 17-108 will cripple the likelihood that the next advancement will arise from our shores. This is a policy which will drain America of its resources. Support the businesses that keep America great by supporting Net Neutrality and by mandating that grants given to major ISPs are used to improve our infrastructure and decrease costs to the customer. This does not mean that ISPs can charge websites different rates or discriminate and shape traffic. Data is data, like a utility, and mustn't be subject to scrutiny or restrictions. Protect Net Neutrality and do not support 17-108, or any other proposals which will weaken the open freedom of the Internet and weaken our country.
1922. Joe Nichols, Snohomish, WA, 98290
If net neutrality goes away, ISPs will be allowed to charge big bucks to different websites and threaten to ruin the surfing experience of those websites'™ customers if the websites don't pay up. The greatest danger here is to free speech and the free market. Because you could have a startup with a new idea to do something better and they may not be able to get to you because of these toll roads put up on the Information Superhighway. The level playing field has enabled the internet to

innovate and revolutionize our economy. Americans must have a free and fair internet

1923. Brenda Burnett, Everson, WA, 98247

I want net neutrality to continue. Any restriction of equal access to internet content is an affront to a free society and violates my right as a US citizen to free speech .

1924. Jev Forsberg, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Stop f***** around, you jackasses.

1925. David Hargrove, Everson, WA, 98247

ISPs should stay under title 2. It could not be more obvious that the companies will only work I their own best interest and NOT the people.

1926. erin vonderahe, Everson, WA, 98247

Do not rescind net neutrality. Leave it under title 2.

1927. Geof Lindblad, Snohomish, WA, 98296

I am a strong supporter of Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I have not seen any justification for removing it. No one getting hurt by it, no corporations getting hurt. Please keep it for all of our sake. Thank you,

1928. Travis Martin, Redmond, WA, 98053

"Restoring Internet Freedom" is double-speak. The FCC should continue to exercise it's regulatory powers granted by Congress. Net Neutrality as created by Tom's FCC, should remain in effect. It was a lawful and reasonable application of regulation to maintain internet freedom for consumers of the network.

1929. Colleen Lee, Redmond, WA, 98053

Internet access needs to allow all users same access and security for these users

1930. Luke, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I'm shocked the FCC is attempting to limit net neutrality. Please preserve Title II, and please keep the internet free from corporate influence. They have enough control over it as is.

1931. Jamshed Damkewala, Redmond, WA, 98052

I am strongly in support of net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. It is the FCC's responsibility as a regulatory and oversight body to ensure that citizens are protected from ISPs deciding what content is accessible to all. Allowing ISPs to create fast lanes in return for \$\$\$ will stifle the explosive growth of smaller websites that aren't backed by big money and instead favor big money backed content providers out there. How is this good for the citizens?

1932. Ben Norton, Fall City, WA, 98024

Please please please help protect Internet freedom and net neutrality by holding

internet service providers to Title II oversight. This is the role of government. My neighborhood is only serviced by ONE ISP, so if they are allowed to prioritize content, block or inject content delivered to my home I would not have an alternative provider. The Internet is now a primary means of commerce, news, correspondence, and even access to government services. Please do not entrust such a vital function to the profit motives of a handful of companies.

1933. david lenssen, lynden, WA, 98264
Do Not charge for internet access.

1934. Cara Anthony, Bothell, WA, 98012
Net neutrality will preserve our right to communicate freely online. It seems to fall under the concept of free speech. I want to throw my voice (while I still have the chance to do so) onto the side of keeping net neutrality protected.

1935. Kristopher A. Makey, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I specifically support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II. Do not change the existing rules they are working as intended to the betterment of the technology industry and the American people.

1936. Leslie Kreher, Monroe, WA, 98272
"I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPS"

1937. Emilie Nangle, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I am very uncomfortable with the idea of losing Net Neutrality. Please do all you can to ensure that individuals and small businesses have equal rights to access on the internet. Please preserve Net Neutrality.

1938. William Tsang, Redmond, WA, 98053
This is a bad proposal for the use of the Internet for ordinary Americans. Please do NOT proceed with this.

1939. Dave Mackin, Redmond, WA, 98052
Do not change the rules on Internet traffic. Determine which content is available and how fast it's available cannot be the decisions of private companies. The very idea of freedom of speech is at stake.

1940. Jenelle Anderson, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Open internet is key to social and economic equality for our nation. We need and we should have free, equal access to all.

1941. Tom Pickett, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
Preserve net neutrality! The Internet should remain an equal access utility. The ISPs should be required to increase access speed to all (fiber optics to residential homes). The FCC should be working to expand Internet access not to increase ISP profits for existing poor service.

1942. Jon Ramsey, Woodinville, WA, 98072

I strongly oppose the removal of Title II regulation, which is currently protecting consumers from anti-competitive practices by ISPs. I'm a Comcast customer, and just like the majority of people in my area and across the nation, I have ZERO true broadband alternatives for internet. If Comcast chooses to hike prices, prioritize its own content/services over third-party providers or implement artificial data caps in order to hinder the ability of streaming content to compete with its own cable service, I have no recourse. Free market principles cannot apply to this industry because the competition isn't there. Until we have true choice / alternative to choose from, Title II and Net Neutrality rules are the only thing protecting consumers. Wheeler worked for the people and adhered to working to protect consumer interest -- Pai is clearly ignoring data and facts in order to promote the interests of ISPs and the cable industry. If millions of comments aren't enough to dissuade the current FCC from protecting the people they're supposed to represent, I don't know what will.

1943. Jennifer Yetman Wilson, Woodinville, WA, 98077

I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. It would be detrimental to our nation's welfare and economy.

1944. Amy Hickerson, Woodinville, WA, 98072

Once again I'm writing to say I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. The internet is an essential utility and it should be treated as such under Title II provisions. It is just as critical to my daily life as the power, water, and natural gas that come into my home, and it should come to my home just as reliably. My ISP should not be deciding which websites and online services I have access to, and how quickly I can access them. Under the current FCC regulations ISPs can't block, slow, or otherwise manipulate my internet access through the creation of "fast lanes" by charging more for online services or reach customers more quickly, which helps keeping a level playing field. Repealing these regulations would stifle innovation, suppress competition, and muffle expression. Keep the internet equal to all by saving net neutrality, and keeping ISPs classified under Title II.

1945. Hans Luchsinger, Woodinville, WA, 98072

I am firmly against the roll back of Title II classification of ISP. Without Title II classification, there will be no protection for consumers who already are forced to choose between the only 2 providers in their area, having to pay high prices for sub-par service. The old idea that leaving the market unregulated will drive innovation simply doesn't apply anymore, and will not work with ISPs. The current net neutrality rules that are in place have not negatively impacted innovation or growth in the market, and leaving them in place will not harm them in the future. On top of these concerns, I find it reprehensible that decisions that protect consumers should become a partisan issue as it has today. The only reason that actually looking at rolling back this classification is because it was installed during "the other guys"

majority rule, and that the FCC is now looking to roll back consumer protections because they don't like who put them in place. Regardless of political affiliation, this will only hurt consumers and should not be allowed.

1946. Lindsay Hobson, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Net neutrality must remain. No company should have the right to decide what we should and should not see.

1947. Alvaro Castillo, Redmond, WA, 98052

Keep the Net Neutrality open, Restore Internet Freedom. Keep Internet OPEN.

1948. nero, Seattle, WA, 98019

The internet is used in all walks of life for everything from filing taxes to entertainment. This censorship is a violation of human rights- the UN has already ruled so. Good job sending us back to the dark ages!Ps "restoring internet freedom"? Really? Stop preying on the uneducated.

1949. Melissa Mickael, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I support maintaining Title II net neutrality regulations; in fact, I think it's vital to progress and economic balance between big corporation and innovation in small business. I will note that all of the arguments I've studied that are anti-net neutrality in nature have not provided any conclusive evidence that the regulations have been or will be harmful to investment or innovation (some ISPs even clearly stated it made no difference). Rather, the arguments seem to hazily imply that, in order to build more high-speed networks or provide innovative data-heavy content, ISPs will need the ability to selectively prioritize content or, in a few arguments I've seen, charge customers for premier kinds of services. How does this help anyone but the ISPs? Rather, I think that losing net neutrality will stifle and oppress the many innovative start-ups that begin online and become staples of our economy. I also am concerned about the idea of paying for a service that I can't control - it's very similar to buying a TV that only has four channels with clear reception. I also have significant concerns about what it would mean to not have an open and free Internet. This is one of the few arenas where currently, private interest can't dictate what is made available; it's where people can and should be able to access, implement, and exchange services without impediment from large corporate bodies' interference. Finally, to the claims that there can be a free and open Internet without net neutrality, I question: where are our safeguards when we find out you're wrong? Please continue to enforce net neutrality now and into the future.

1950. Katie Gaut, Bellingham, WA, 98226

I do not support this bill. As a small business owner with a website that is a primary driver of business, keeping internet neutrality is critical to my business success.

1951. Ji-Young Kim, Bothell, WA, 98012

I DO NOT want net neutrality revoked. The Internet providers such as Comcast are virtual monopolies, and I don't want to give them even more power to decide winners and losers among content providers.

1952. Cassandra Schwartz Johnson, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I'm writing to express that I do NOT want net neutrality to be taken away. Net neutrality is important for the free exchange of information.
1953. David Walker, Bellingham, WA, 98226
This issue, more than any other, shows me who in government is working for the people, and who is working for the money. This act is about greed, pure and simple. Adding insult to injury, you've titled this act as if it protects freedom, when in fact it takes it away. Net Neutrality is my number one issue when selecting the candidates I vote for and contribute to. Internet service providers should enable access to all content and applications equally, regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking any websites.
1954. Mark Lewis, Blaine, WA, 98230
Please work for the people not corporate greed.
1955. Jan Clarke, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I strongly support net neutrality. DO NOT weaken the current regulation. Keep ISP s under Title 2
1956. Glenna Satalich, Redmond, WA, 98053
Title of filing says it all. We expect internet freedom and net neutrality. It is a public service and should be administered as such. It is not a commodity for big business. Thank you.
1957. Gerald A Cufley, Woodinville, WA, 98072
FCCI am strongly opposed to any reversal of current "net neutrality rules" governing the internet. In particular, news over the internet must not be subject to limitation by a few controlling interests. The news media is one of the pillars holding up our democracy.
1958. James K Suhr, Redmond, WA, 98052
I am NOT in favor of the so-called net neutrality and encourage the FCC to act promptly and decisively in this matter. Just like at any sporting event, one pays a price for the quality of seat one wants, or pays to use (or not use) a toll road for easier travel.
1959. Amarah Ross, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I am quite concerned about proposed changes that will negatively impact our free & open Internet, which is a vital component of our First Amendment rights of free speech & free press. Any changes should preserve & strengthen current policies to maintain a level playing ground for all citizens. I vehemently oppose these changes & do not want them implemented!
1960. Ian Burns, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I am commenting in strong support of title II classification and a free and open Internet. In fact, I would be in support of even greater protections and anti-trust rules

to break up monopolistic networks. Removing these protections will only benefit corporate interests, and will remove my freedom to choose content and use the Internet without paying arbitrary fees for 'premium' access. Title II protects consumers, and ISPs have proven that the classification does not harm their business. While you read through these comments, I urge you to hear the voices of the people above the astroturfing of anti-net neutrality bots that plagued the first comment period.

1961. Mike Taylor, Woodinville, WA, 98072

I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. I am also very concerned about the fact that the new privacy rules for Internet service providers was overturned.

1962. Reza Shojaei, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I am against 17-108. It is not freedom for internet. Keep Net neutrality. net neutrality is critical part of our future. It creates millions of jobs by making sure that internet is free for all players, including small start ups. Without net neutrality, we wouldn't have YouTube, Netflix, Facebook (Each created many jobs) Keep net neutrality and make sure internet is free for users, not free for Comcast and like to milk people and stop innovation. They have done that before, they will continue to do it without strong regulation.

1963. Kellen Malek, Snohomish, WA, 98296

Ending net neutrality would be a terrible mistake that would significantly hamper the freedom of all americans to engage, enjoy, and participate in the digital world.

1964. Wes Noel, Redmond, WA, 98052

In thinking that changing these rules and the Title II designation is the right thing to do, is the FCC stating that the ISPs (namely Comcast) are going to act in a way that will be good for the consumer, without any regulation to do so? If this is the case, and with new streaming services seemingly popping up every week, and some of the existing larger streaming services moving toward a more "TV" like experience (live streaming of CBS, NBC, ABC, FOX, NFL HBO... programming), can Comcast (owner of NBC) be trusted to allow these services unobstructed access the the living rooms of the American people? A prime scenario would be, I want to to cancel my cable TV package, but keep my high speed internet access with Comcast so I can watch Hulu Live. Basically I would be taking money away from Comcast and giving a small fraction of it to Hulu. Would this upset Comcast, and would they penalize me, or Hulu by slowing the connection to the service? Can Comcast be trusted to not charge (extort) these services for faster connection? Charging an extra fee for access could effectively tank a smaller online startups or cause the current online services (streaming/ e-commerce) to charge more to the consumer which would have an adverse affect on the internet at large. This would stifle innovation and kill competition as only the wealthier companies would move forward. These are very valid concerns that many people share. A truly open and free high speed internet is what we all want and need. The need education, entertainment and now basic retail commerce is very important to our economy these days. I would hate to see open

access disappear because of the lack of knowledge and correct action by the FCC.

1965. Cori Durdy, Arlington, WA, 98223
Please support title 2 when it comes to the internet. It is a public utility and needs to be protected. #keepnetneutrality. Thank you.
1966. Dan Ridley Hallock, Bellingham, WA, 98225
Net neutrality is a vital principle and must be respected and protected. Please preserve this by continuing Title II regulation of Internet providers. Consumers, not our providers, should choose what we do on the Internet. Regulation is especially important to enforce this since many of us cannot simply move to another provider, as many providers are effective monopolies in a given geographical area.
1967. Kimberly Shpunder, Woodinville, WA, 98072
I strongly urge that the FCC does not repeal the Title II status of the internet and undo Net Neutrality. Without it we will see the return of companies throttling data from certain companies, just because they are a competitor (Verizon or Comcast throttling Netflix traffic, Comcast purposely blocking HBO GO apps on Playstation consoles) . Without net neutrality companies will be able to censor whatever they want, which isn't right. All data should be treated equally.
1968. Yurii Zubrytskyi, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net Neutrality is what keeps Internet working as it is and allows for new businesses to emerge. Facebook, Google, YouTube, Netflix - all these multi-million companies were able to come to life and success because of free and equal access to the Internet. Please make sure to keep it the same, so the US can remain the leaders in innovation and service for the people.
1969. Alex Garrett Kearns-Twitchell, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Protect net neutrality. DO NOT eliminate the regulations which reclassified internet service providers as telecommunications companies and required them to treat all web traffic equally. You are not "restoring internet freedom", and claiming that this is your aim is disingenuous. The FCC exists to serve the best interests of the public, not the best interests of big business. Stop it Ajit. Stop it immediately, and tell your FCC colleagues to stop it too. And your big mug is stupid.
1970. Nicholas Kinnan, Redmond, WA, 98052
Rules are needed to preserve a free and open internet. If they are not prevented, ISPs will jump at any chance to create fast and slow lanes as a form of artificial market segmentation. This will allow them to hold websites and services hostage, extracting additional money from both them and their customers. It will also allow them to unfairly penalize or block any service or site that competes with their own offerings, giving themselves an unfair advantage. We have already seen this happen. Title II already provides protections against these practices and more, and repealing it would be a mistake. These days, internet service is as essential as water or electricity to nearly all American citizens.

1971. Andrew Biddle, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Please, please, please do not muck with current Net Neutrality regulations. Keeping ISPs operating under Title II ensures that the Internet play field remains fair and pro-consumer. In today's environment, Internet access is absolutely a needed utility and allowing ISPs to create fast lanes and slow lanes means we're subject to what is often a monopoly in many areas.
1972. peter lemme, kirkland, WA, 98033
i support retaining title II status like a utility. Internet content should not be managed by ISP through preferential treatment. Please enforce strict net neutrality rules.
1973. Walter Viebrock, Redmond, WA, 98053
Do not roll back net-neutrality! Broadband is an essential public utility and is a universal platform for news and information. Broadband access is dominated by a few companies and it's critical that they not be able to manipulate, restrict or prioritize information based on its content.
1974. Michael Dahlstrom, Everett, WA, 98208
Do NOT change the 2015 regulatory rules in place. The internet should remain free and open to all, and not be manipulated by giant Telecom companies. Restoring Internet Freedom is FALSE, we all ready have that freedom. What you are proposing means freedom for giant Telecoms to exploit the public and manipulate information access. STOP.
1975. Corinne Wallace, Redmond, WA, 98053
I support net neutrality please do not make changes. Also please check my information as I am not a bot and this should be taken seriously - these are comments from the American people do not disregard their significance. Support net neutrality.
1976. Henry Meyerding, Sultan, WA, 98294
The concept of net neutrality is essential for the Internet to function. I will oppose any government office holder who votes for this legislation and I will actively lobby by friends, family and co-workers to do the same. Supporting this legislation is directly contrary to the best interests of the American people.
1977. Kate Conant, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Maintain the provisions of the Open Internet order of 2015. Keep net neutrality and maintain ISP's as Title II telecommunications service providers.
1978. Diane Hamerman, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I want net neutrality preserved. I believe internet service providers should be regulated as public utilities.
1979. maria daly, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Protect net neutrality. It is unacceptable to allow information to be sold. I cannot even believe this is being consider as appropriate.

1980. HARSH MEHTA, REDMOND, WA, 98052
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title2 oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISP)
1981. Kristofer Moore, Redmond, WA, 98052
Netflix wouldn't exist without net neutrality, my company wouldn't exist without net neutrality, i support net neutrality
1982. Nicholas Lackman, Redmond, WA, 98053
Keep ISPs categorized as Title II. Net Neutrality is a right for everyone and every business. The internet is infrastructure for the world, not just large American businesses. How about the ISPs open their actual throughput to over 100Mbps to the public? How about ISPs actually compete for business and not segment off areas to local monopolies? How about ISPs stop colluding with each other over prices to inflate the market?
1983. Brett H. Lemoine, Kirkland, WA, 98034
As an Internet user, I'm asking the FCC to protect the net neutrality protections currently in place. The FCC should reject the current proposal to give the ISP monopolies like Verizon, Comcast, and AT&T free rein to create Internet "fast lanes," stripping consumers of the necessary access and privacy protections we fought for and won just two years ago. I'm afraid of a "pay-to-play" Internet where ISPs can charge more for certain websites. Access to information is a right, and it should be freely and fairly accessible to everyone
1984. Josh Moody, Bothell, WA, 98012
Internet access should be a utility regulated the same as telephone and electricity is. It is not acceptable that internet providers can decide what their customers see or the quality of their access to different sites - and they should not be able to censor their customers access. Net Neutrality should be strongly enforced and customers protected.
1985. Bretton Murphy, Bothell, WA, 98012
I support Net Neutrality with ISPs being monitored under Title II restrictions.
1986. Kara Duval-Fowler, Redmond, WA, 98052
Good afternoon,My name is Kara Duval-Fowler, and I write to ask you to maintain Net Neutrality and a strong enforcement of Title Two.Free and open access to the internet is vital to the functioning of our modern society. Without a strong enforcement of Title Two and Net Neutrality, then Internet Service Providers (ISPs) will be completely within their rights to throttle access to web content that they want, be it Netflix, CNN, a Search Engine, a University, or even a local school. In effect, the ISP will be able to pick and choose who wins on the internet and impose tolls upon anyone wishing to provide content to the ISP's customers, or more accurately, extort blackmail, and it will be completely legalized blackmail.Worse, the demise of Net Neutrality will offer ISPs the opportunity to cripple innovation by throttling to the point of unusability if not outright blocking of competitors, a

practice that has already been undertaken, So that the ISP can promote their own competing product OR the product of a 3rd party that is paying the ISP to throttle their competition. The demise of Net Neutrality offers an endless array of possibilities that will only serve to harm our modern society. So please, keep Net Neutrality in place. Thank you for your time.

1987. Jon Dick, Redmond, WA, 98052

I feel it is of the utmost importance that Net Neutrality, backed By Title II Oversight of ISPs, be the law of the land. Information and the distribution of it should be free and equal to all, not sold to the highest bidder.

1988. Jesse Alexia, Bothell, WA, 98012

The government cannot be strongarmed into doing the bidding of corporations that would see the most free place in the world - the Internet - turned into a bastion of greed and dichotomy. I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of all ISPs.

1989. Ryan Ducken, Kenmore, WA, 98028

I am in support of maintaining the internet as it is. This means I support net neutrality as I currently understand it for several reasons. If ISP are able to give some people or businesses preferential speeds and limit others it will limit the entire environment that has made the internet so successful and innovative to date. Just look at any number of businesses that would have had such a huge barrier to entry but were able to start up with low costs and compete with financially larger firms and individuals.

1990. Wesley Meyers, Redmond, WA, 98052

I fully support the current net neutrality rules. I am not in favor of any changes which would enable broadband providers the ability to offer preferential treatment to any particular content.

1991. Ryan Finstad, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Full net neutrality and enforcement of/portection of net neutrality is needed and must be upheld.

1992. Ben Bloom, Bothell, WA, 98011

Net neutrality should be conserved. Please preserve Title II classification of internet service providers. Companies should not be allowed to say what gets prioritized for people to see. Forcing people to view what only that company wants amounts to propaganda. Forcing payment to see or outright banning the ability to see content is not acceptable. Especially when certain companies have monopolies over internet access in many areas of the country.

1993. Daniel Gordon, Bothell, WA, 98012

Please keep internet service providers under title 2 classification. Net neutrality is critical for innovation on the internet.

1994. Blake Gross, Redmond, WA, 98052
The internet is a utility that should not be able to be manipulated by large corporate interests. Net neutrality is vital to a healthy internet. I am against any effort to allow corporations to require payments for enhanced bandwidth.
1995. Steve Horman, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I strongly support maintaining the existing net neutrality rules that prohibit ISPs from charging internet users different amounts or varying download speeds. All users should receive the same service from ISPs without having to pay more.
1996. Scott Robert Heron, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
Don't destroy the innovation that created millions of jobs and spawned some of the most important and relevant industry to date. The free and open Universal access to the Internet is what allowed companies like Netflix and Amazon to start and who knows what other companies are waiting to be born. It's too important to the American Economy to leave access to the Internet in the hands of ISPs like Comcast and Verizon who have financial incentive to lock down, throttle and charge for access to customers, or even lock down competing online services all together. Think about the future of the US and leave the Internet where it should be, under Title 2.
1997. Matthew Haliski, Bothell, WA, 98011
Please keep the internet free and open by continuing its classification under Title II. The internet is as much a part of our lives as water or electricity. We should not let ISPs start picking winners and losers. Rolling back the legislation that currently helps protect small business owners, such as myself (web developer), would have a very real impact on our families and the communities in which we live. Please keep Title II in place. Thank you for your consideration.
1998. Andrew Perkins, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
I support strong Net Neutrality backed By Title II oversight of ISPs. Without it, we risk returning to a time like we had in the 70s, where companies can demand specific equipment, slow down technology improvements, and continue to monopolize a great growth opportunity. I support oversight because, as a small business owner, I want to be able to continue to do my work online without having to pay "protection money" to get my message out. I support oversight because the internet is a resource, and the ISPs are using public land to sell me access to that resource. They should have to continue to provide equal and fair access. I support oversight because without oversight places like Montana, Eastern Washington, Southern Oregon, Northern California, The Dakotas, Wyoming, and anywhere else there are small numbers of people will be at the whims of whatever corporation currently owns their access to the outside world. I support oversight because without it, we have no freedom, no access, and no ability to affect change. I support oversight because those who don't are either corporate shells, or people who have been misinformed or are unable to understand what is at stake. I support oversight because it is the right thing to do.
1999. Genevieve Wolf, Lynden, WA, 98264

Please keep our protections in place. I support net neutrality. The internet must stay free and open.

2000. Ben Floyd, redmond, WA, 98052

This is a blatant violation of consumer interest and the very spirit upon which the internet was founded-- as a free and open means to communicate and share ideas. Such tech giants as Facebook, Apple, Google would not exist without the VERY PRINCIPLE OF NET NEUTRALITY. Disruptive technologies that improve our lives and consumers who use them SHOULD NOT BE BEHOLDEN TO THE PROFIT MARGINS OF THE MEANS OF CONVEYANCE, in this case, the telecom industry that under current regulation is governed as a utility. Putting in place barriers to innovation will guarantee the death of commerce in this country. No one owns the internet...that's the point. No one should have control over content such as is suggested in this brazen, pay-to-play dumpster fire. Keep the internet FREE AND OPEN.

2001. Stuart Ketcham, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net neutrality must be maintained. The costs to our society of not allowing equal footing for new companies, and individuals, in this crucial modern medium far outweigh the addition to the profits of 5-10 already very wealthy companies.

2002. Louise Pathe, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Although I appreciate the desire to reduce bureaucracy regarding government agency regulations, the landmark protections passed in 2015 protect the public from ISPs from running amok. This is the information age. Information is KING. Those who control the information have a lot of power. If the ISPs can control the relative speed and delivery of content from competitors, they can effectively silence voices that do not agree with them. Call this paranoid, but it is a real possibility. Please do not roll back these protections. Keep our country great with free speech and a neutral internet. Thank you.

2003. Daniel Grudt, Kenmore, WA, 98028

I do NOT support the proposed change to the current net neutrality rules that were adopted in 2015. The proposed changes are NOT in the public interest and should NOT be adopted.

2004. Laura Anne Gilman, Bothell, WA, 98011

Net neutrality not only protects free speech, it ENABLES it. In a world where corporations hold all the cards because they have the most money (and politicians in their pockets) the ability to signal boost facts and experiences is the strongest tool citizens have. Killing net neutrality kills democracy in favor of plutocracy.

2005. Jeremy Robinson, Lummi Island, WA, 98262

ISP's obviously qualify as "telecommunications" providers; and their neutrality with respect to the content they transmit is essential. ISP neutrality must be protected under Title 2!

2006. Nathaniel Marler, snohomish, WA, 98290
You'll regret trying to destroy our internet.
2007. Drew Stone, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Please be mindful of the freedoms to be afforded to our descendants, and their lives that will be enriched by the connectivity to perspectives of others. Thank you for your work to represent my wishes for support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
2008. William Creasey, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support a free and open internet without for profit manipulation of basic services. I want internet freedoms enforced with the strength that Title II classification provides. Internet Service providers do not have consumers best interest at heart and must be regulated to ensure equal access for all.
2009. Blake Swanson, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I do not want the current net neutrality rules to change. Please leave them as they are.
2010. John Howard, Woodinville, WA, 98072
The proposed action called Restoring Internet Freedom seems to be about the exact reverse of that. The internet should be the most democratically available utility, and I use the word utility deliberately, in the USA. This proposal seems to be about making the internet work really well for those who can pay the most and to heck with the of us. The USA should not be about stifling access and innovation amongst the general public which this act will surely do.
2011. Brett Schock, Bothell, WA, 98011
I am writing to support strong Net Neutrality protections for consumers with Title II oversight of ISPs. It is irresponsible to trust in the decisions of publically traded companies in the face of economic choices which can benefit them and their investors at the expense of consumers. These regulations must stay in place. There is no argument against them which is in the public good. The only arguments against are in favor of opening opportunities for larger profits for ISPs. To expect that these opportunities would not be seized upon is again, irresponsible. Do not change the current Title II regulations on ISPs.
2012. Jeffrey Bowers, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273
As a tax payer and an internet customer, I strongly support maintaining net neutrality and continuing to categorize ISPs as Title 2.
2013. Barb Krause, monroe, WA, 98272
The number of regs that are being scrubbed is Crazy! We NEED net neutrality and Title 2! To begin to think that the companies will do this without oversight is shortsighted and just plain wrong. LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITTLE 2 IN PLACE!

2014. Boris Chkodrov, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support strong net neutrality, supported by title II classification of ISP's. The idea that ISP's would willingly support net neutrality and a free and open internet is frankly nothing more than preposterous wishful thinking at best, and an outright bald-faced lie at worst. There is plenty of evidence which clearly demonstrates that ISP's would not 'self regulate' and that, given the opportunity, they would annihilate net neutrality and hold content producers hostage. Here are just a few examples of ISP's actively fighting against net neutrality in the past: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Net_neutrality#By_issue
2015. Brett Bergevin, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Give my internet liberty or give it death. I will not pay for limited internet, Comcast & Verizon you can have back my bandwidth and I will keep my money.
2016. Jeff, Redmond, WA, 98052
Protect net-neutrality. Keep Title 2!
2017. Seth Taron, Everson, WA, 98247
Please preserve net neutrality and the status of ISPs under Title II. There are huge amounts of case studies showing that without net neutrality laws in place, ISPs can and will try to control users' internet experiences as means to bully other companies and the consumer. This is not good for the economy and ultimately won't be good for major ISPs either, as they will face significant backlash for their actions. If you wish to preserve the American economy, protect the American consumer and prevent monopolization of an industry, protect net neutrality. If you wish to see a few companies gain a cheap profit before burning out, dying and compromising the economy, by all means, get rid of it and accept the consequences.
2018. Fred Schneider, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support the FCC upholding net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers.
2019. Jo Ann Snover, Woodinville, WA, 98077
In spite of the propaganda from the FCC head that the existing rules - classifying broadband providers under title 2 - have stalled investment in infrastructure, there is plenty of public data showing that spending has increased. The proposed changes are a way to allow already hugely profitable local monopoly broadband providers to increase their profits even more. All this at the expense of the consumer. In the modern era, broadband internet is a utility like power and water - essential to existence for work, school, and participation in most commerce. The FCC should not throw consumers under the bus to enrich the handful of broadband providers. We have no protection except via classifying broadband providers under the regulations of title 2
2020. Nancy Hutto, North Bend, WA, 98045
Please preserve net neutrality. It is vitally important to me and my fellow citizens to preserve our participation in our democracy.

2021. Linda Cufley, Woodinville, WA, 98072
The preservation of net neutrality is critical to preserving our Constitution's guarantee of freedom of speech, a free press and the open exchange of information. Deregulation opens the doors for abuse and corruption. Maintain the rules approved by the FCC in 2015.
2022. Suzanne M Davis, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I am adamantly against any changes to the current net neutrality rules. My current ISP is not known for their good ethics. I've had to work hard to keep them from redirecting my searches to their own search page. I have no doubt they would take full advantage of any ability to throttle back some sites or make their own determination as to what I should see. What I watch or search for is my business only. I don't need my ISP to determine what is more important. All our lives are dependent upon a free, usable web. Small businesses depend upon the ability to freely compete with larger, established companies. That is capitalism. That is the American way. The US population made its opinion known the last time this issue came up. We have not changed our minds. Only corporate America has decided that the internet should be theirs. Please do not let them prevail. Keep the internet in the hands of the people.
2023. Trenton Holmes, Everett, WA, 98208
I am strongly opposed to this filing. The protection of Net Neutrality is critical to an open and fair internet. ISPs should never be able to restrict traffic to websites. This would allow censorship, strangle competition, and reduce the choices (if any) to the American consumer. This filing is a terrible idea and not at all in line what the American people are asking for. You lot should be ashamed to be doing this. It's terrible, terrible work that ignores everything
2024. Net Neutrality, Monroe, WA, 98272
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please don't get rid of Net Neutrality... The money isn't worth the freedom of information.
2025. Ian Stratton, Kirkland, WA, 98034
The FCC MUST maintain the Title 2 classification of Internet Service Providers. Changing this classification in any way is an attempt to circumvent free speech, and put profit motive above the needs of the American people. It is wholly unethical, and should not be allowed. The title 2 classification protects the very concept of this nation, that any one person can if they put in the necessary time and effort they can gain the knowledge and expertise to better their station in life. Removing the title 2 classification would allow the ISPs to alter their service to a "pay to play" system causing anyone who does not pay to be put in the "slow lane" and thus be denied viewing traffic from those that would otherwise seek out that content. Additionally, it would allow ISPs to block any opinion that could negatively impact their profit margin by slowing traffic to their site to a point of inaccessibility. DO NOT alter the current Title 2 classification of Internet Service Providers. Thank you
2026. eric oemig, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Preserve net neutrality! Don't let big money further subvert access to information.

2027. Pedro Torres, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support strict FCC regulations and oversight of ISP and a free and open internet backed by Title 2 legislation.
2028. net neutrality, Ferndale, WA, 98248
I am a voter, and I support net neutrality
2029. Brian Bansenauer, BOTHELL, WA, 98021
Do NOT dismantle NET NEUTRALITY - no give-away to the large telecoms at the expense of small startups and the rest of us.
2030. Kevin Lema, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Please, for the sake of our freedom and equality, preserve net neutrality and the title II status of ISPs. Please properly review comments to help ensure they're legitimate and not bot-driven fallacies posting with fake names. Thank you.
2031. Emily McGaughey, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Restore and maintain net neutrality under Title II.
2032. Stuart Will, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Net neutrality allows startups a fair chance of prospering, thus stimulating economic growth. Taking this right away from the people stifles our nation's growth and stunts job growth.
2033. Landon Jackson, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Since the dawn of the modern internet, it's been a free place. Don't ruin this! We're having a great time and we don't need corporations coming in here to ruin the party. Keep the net neutral!
2034. Mike H Damson, Bothell, WA, 98021
The internet has become an essential utility to daily life. To allow corporations to wantonly throttle users and providers based on their profit based motive is wrong. We need to keep the internet neutral, and it is the federal government's duty to ensure that this happens. The rest of the world is far ahead of the United States in providing their citizens with reliable, neutral fast internet. To allow the corporations to place profits ahead of the citizens should not be allowed to happen. Keep the internet neutral.
2035. Shawn Bradley, Bothell, WA, 98011
I express sincere and significant Opposition to Proceeding 17-108, "Restoring Internet Freedom". With the residential last-mile of internet service often being only one provider, ISPs have a de facto monopoly. When you add to that the many overlaps between service providers and content providers, additional regulation should be required. Until other legislation is created, the Title 2 classification is the best means for providing this regulation.

2036. Paul Cotter, Redmond, WA, 98052
I strongly and specifically support Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers!!! SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY! I also strongly question why a former Verizon Lawyer should be the current chairman of the FCC, or at least why he should be allowed to weigh in on this matter, but i guess we thrive on ridiculous....
2037. Michail Clutter, Bothell, WA, 98012
I support net neutrality and the regulation of ISPs under title 2.
2038. Kathy Bell, Arlington, WA, 98223
I support strong net neutrality Title II oversight of ISPs.
2039. Kate Wakefield, WOODINVILLE, WA, 98072
Please maintain Net Neutrality rules and ensure ISPs remain under Title 2 rules. Otherwise there is no incentive for them to do the right thing and no way to measure if they are not.
2040. Alyssa James, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support strong net neutrality - and support companies continuing to be regulated under title 2.
2041. Karen Allen, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Preserve internet freedom and equality. Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2!!!! Preserve it or our children will wonder with disgust what the heck you were thinking!
2042. matt mcnee, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Do not change net neutrality rules. I am a tax payer
2043. Irfan Gowani, Clyde Hill, WA, 98004
We need strong net neutrality rules in the US.
2044. Mary Pinckert, Everett, WA, 98208
Title 2 is appropriate. Don't destroy net neutrality!
2045. Michael Ewald, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Restoring Internet Freedom, as proposed, would do incredible harm to the internet as we know it and small business's ability to deliver content to users. Further, it would give sole discretion to internet service providers in choices of what to allow, disallow, or throttle without clear standards or appeal. There is no need for Restoring Internet Freedom. The internet is a utility and should be regulated as such.
2046. Shawn Nicholson, Kenmore, WA, 98028
We require net neutrality. Service providers have no business modifying anyone's speed because they aren't using a product/service that is paying the provider or because they are using a new product/service who is in competition with someone

paying the provider.

2047. Judith Richmond, Kenmore, WA, 98028

Keep Title II. Keep Net neutrality.

2048. Richard Gilmore, Kenmore, WA, 98028

Keep title 2! The internet technology and basic infrastructure was developed with public money. It is part of the commons. The FCC will needlessly waste taxpayers money defending itself from various lawsuits that will be brought if net neutrality rules are eliminated. Leave this integral part of the U.S. commons alone.

2049. Net Neutrality, Bothell, WA, 98012

Please, please, keep Title 2 status.Changing to Title 1 is allowing censorship for money.

2050. Alexey Leonov, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I am and my family are for strong net-neutrality rules and for strong oversight of all ISP by FCC.Keep Title II on for ever for all ISPs!

2051. Robert Gilbert, Carnation, WA, 98014

The basis of a competitive marketplace ala' Internet access is based upon an open framework by which all citizens and businesses may gain access to the World Wide Web and its content and services. The content I choose to access and the speed at which the content or information is served is currently managed or "throttled" by several factors such as the distance from my computer or mobile device to the requested information servers, the quality of my "last mile" connection to the first leg of the network, the robustness and resilience of my ISPs network infrastructure and the agreements they have in place with the next higher level of backbone provider for bandwidth. I have no visibility to this part of the Internet; rather, I only know when the Net is up or down, fast or slow. I already pay for the level of service (speed) based on the monthly subscriber fees. In my case, Wave Broadband, I may purchase access speeds varying from 1Mb/s up to 100mb/s, according to how much I want to pay for. The reality is that these speeds are theoretical; seldom does any single user consistently achieve the maximum bandwidth speed they are paying for. Although the speed of my local access up to the interconnections at Wave is generally fast, aggregate speed is dependent on local, regional, national, and global demand for bandwidth. Most of this is transparent to everyone save the great folks that are responsible for keeping their respective fibers, interconnects, servers, data centers, and pipelines operational and resilient. In general, this is all good news and as a user, I don't need to be bothered by what is happening behind the curtain, except...When we, (you the FCC) contemplates an artificial method that sounds like a light touch to regulation but is in fact designed or intended to provide yet another revenue stream for ISPs, Backbone Access Providers, and the like. Specifically, if they ISPs and backbone access providers have the ability to charge for the speed at which applications, content, data- my data, is delivered to me when it is already throttled many times as mentioned above only serves to add another layer of complexity to an already very, very complex system. If content providers, and any

other information providers have to compete for bandwidth speed, that is in fact a toll for network access and will bar smaller providers access to the backbone. Further, this will have a cascading, negative effect on consumers by costing them (us) more for our current internet access subscriptions because the local ISPs will have to pass along their added operational costs to consumers. Subsequently, all people will see an increase in their monthly service fees and those at the margins of our economy will suffer further depredations and widen the now well worn "Digital Divide." Here is an additional "on the ground" reality check for you; in my area considered rural, we have only one provider that delivers wire-line access to the Internet, Wave Broadband. Frontier Communication delivers traditional telephony services, and that is it. If the marketplace is driven by the projected ROI of delivering services to a particular zip code, this explains the lack of multiple providers vying for my service dollars. No amount of regulation or deregulation will cause a provider to invest in burying fiber and all the other capital expenditures needed to stand up a competitive service offering. The reason we have only one provider must be due to the lack of profitability inherent in building out the infrastructure necessary to support a market where there is a current provider serving the installed base. I would really like to have more than one choice for Internet access in my area believing that competition is a good thing all the way around and has a damping effect on pricing that seems to increase every year beyond what I think is reasonable. Perhaps the FCC can consider what impact the increase in streaming services of content over the Internet vs. other delivery methods i.e. Satellite TV services. As more and more subscribers move from Satellite to Broadband services and with the proliferation of TVs with 4-20 internet connected devices the need for robust and high speed internet service provisioning will prompt the next round of investment in infrastructure. This is IF we have an open regulatory framework, and I define open as Net Neutral. Net Neutrality is a very good "Public Good" that deserves the FCCs support and the support of our Government. Being Net Neutral levels the playing field for all who desire to provide access to the Internet.

2052. Diane Tosh, Redmond, WA, 98053

The internet MUST stay free and open. Internet is now a basic necessity, not unlike other utilities and by losing net neutrality we run the risk of allowing certain content to be available only to the privileged few.

2053. Scott Spilker, Bothell, WA, 98012

We support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. This will ensure a fair and open internet to all who publish content and consumers of that content.

2054. Karen Comings, Carnation, WA, 98014

I strongly support net-neutrality and would like to see the 2015 Open Internet Order remain in place.

2055. Geoffrey Freeman, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I have been working in the technology field, specifically big data and business intelligence, for 15 years. The open internet is a key factor that has allowed me to

become successful. By no means should the FCC dismantle the Open Internet Order. Net neutrality is key to encouraging economic and innovation in today's connected world. Don't be subjects of regulatory capture. Recognize the hype and stand up for people instead of corporations.

2056. Jim Yourkowski, Everett, WA, 98208

I strongly oppose the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) vote to begin the process of dismantling the 2015 Open Internet Order "or net neutrality. I believe in a free and open internet. Allowing internet service providers to block, throttle and prioritize certain content for a fee is obviously wrong. File this one under "F" for Forget it.

2057. ferdous rubaiyat, redmond, WA, 98052

Net neutrality is fundamental to all our internet based application use. Stop messing around, and helping cable companies. I pay for my internet, and I must have my complete freedom on my bandwidth usage. I strongly oppose to the FCC's recent proposal to dismantle net neutrality.

2058. Eli, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Protect Net Neutrality under Title II and stop trying to ruin the Internet please.

2059. Ryan Schump, Monroe, WA, 98272

Please leave the internet as a Title 2 resource. Having a free and open internet, and maintaining net neutrality is the only way that people will be able to compete and communicate unhindered.

2060. Riley Sullivan, Bothell, WA, 98011

Pass net neutrality

2061. Stephen Clay, Concrete, WA, 98237

I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title 2 oversight of all Internet Service Providers.

2062. Anil Mehra, medina, WA, 98039

I strongly support net neutrality and want to see it governed under Title 2.

2063. Sean van Leuven, Mill Creek, WA, 98012

Dear FCC, Please do not dismantle net neutrality laws. Giving business the powers change the way the Internet works in terms of fast lanes for pay and reducing privacy of end users is not reasonable. Their incentives are not in line with the people paying the bill. Let us the users have a say and keep the Internet neutral. Thank you. Sean van Leuven

2064. Craig Hartnett, Blaine, WA, 98230

Net Neutrality is a no-brainer. This is not an arena in which survival of the richest should dominate.

2065. Kathy Chiles, Woodinville, WA, 98072

Keep net neutrality. Internet should be regulated as a public utility under Title 2.

2066. Net Neutrality, Kirkland, WA, 98034

End users of a broadband service purchase a computer that gives them the capability to generate, acquire, store, transform, process, retrieve, utilize, and make available information via telecommunications (I.E. the user chooses where the information goes or comes from.) That capability exists with or without broadband access, but the machine that grants that capability is required before a user is capable of utilizing a broadband uplink to the various inter-connected networks we refer to as the Internet. The computer that the end users purchase also gives them the ability to engage in electronic publishing, and the computer gives them the capability for the management, control, and operation of a telecommunications system; that is, they can create their own WiFi networks, Voice over IP PBX systems, or other ways to transmit between or among points specified by the user. This computer utilizes a Broadband Internet connection to connect to a point the user chooses, and the content from that point is not altered between those two points; this would mean broadband is a telecommunications service. The offering of a capability for generating, acquiring, storing, transforming, processing, retrieving, utilizing, or making available information via telecommunications is facilitated by a privately owned computer that may or may not be connected to a broadband Internet connection. The capability for Electronic publishing over a broadband is offered by privately owned computers that reside at opposite end points of a broadband connection, but the broadband connection itself does not offer the capability for electronic publishing without the inclusion of resources outside the broadband connection itself. Internet Service Providers that offer broadband access only provide a means for a user to connect to a point specified by an IP Address that the user chooses. The broadband connection itself does not offer any capabilities defined by the definition of an Information Service; the user's capability for generating, acquiring, storing, transforming, processing, retrieving, utilizing, or making available information via telecommunications is offered by the user's privately owned computing device. Broadband Internet Access merely offers the transmission, between or among points specified by the user; the information transmitted is of the user's choosing, and the information is without change in the form or content of the information as sent and received. Broadband Internet access is a textbook definition of a telecommunications service. As a telecommunications service, it stands to reason that ISPs that offer broadband internet access should be regulated under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Furthermore, regulating broadband Internet access under Title I of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 would stifle competition on the Internet, and could disrupt the delicate balance of the largest economic system in the world. Many companies like Netflix, YouTube, Amazon Video on Demand, Hulu, and countless others would not exist if huge ISPs like Comcast were allowed to prioritize their own Stream Pix service, or if Comcast charged these competing services large fees for access; this is despite the fact that those other companies are not Comcast customers, and many of Comcast's own customers are only subscribers of Comcast's broadband service for access to these

other companies. Finally, in the United States, the FCC has found that the majority of fixed broadband subscribers have only one company to choose from for fixed broadband access, and wireless broadband access is stifled by data usage limitations that are crippling low for streaming media. Title II protection of broadband Internet access is the only protection that the American public has against the monopolies of fixed broadband providers in the United States. These companies already charge egregious prices for slow Internet connection speeds that do not compete with the faster and less expensive broadband access provided in Europe, where Internet access is rightfully treated like a utility. Removing Title II regulations on ISPs will prevent numerous future companies from existing in the same way that Information Services had suppressed content creators prior to the Internet. For example, YouTube did not invent the camera, nor did it make cameras more popular. YouTube offered the capability to publish digital content in a way that Information Services did not provide. Amazon did a similar thing in the industry of self-publishing books. These sites are independent of broadband access, but, at the moment, broadband access is the mechanism by which users choose to access content from these services.

2067. Cressey Rice, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
I support internet neutrality-- classism is not an American value
2068. Steve Reeps, Carnation, WA, 98014
Please keep strong title 2 protections for net neutrality
2069. Loren Laird-McConnell, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Youd better not fuck this up if you value america.
2070. Tom Laird-McConnell, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I support NET Neutrality, and will work hard to oust any politician which supports it.
2071. Erica Cannell, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I strongly support net neutrality regulation backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
Thank you.
2072. eric kushman, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support net neutrality backed by Title II regulation of ISPs.
2073. Joachim Veith, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please defend Title II net neutrality and protect the internet as a common carrier. I am writing both as being personally affected as well for my small business where I am concerned to be sidelined by the proposed rules in favor of big companies.
2074. Mark M Moeller, Everson, WA, 98247
I support keeping Internet connections treated like a Telecommunication connection. I support maintaining Net Neutrality. I support protecting the privacy of all citizens use of the Internet. I view the Internet as a utility like phone, power or water. It is a

critical part of functioning in the world now.

2075. Jesse Hart, Kirkland, WA, 98034

As the operator of a small online business, I find it not only important but necessary to advocate for true net neutrality. I oppose any changes to the free and open Internet we currently have, and protest especially those changes based on the greed and agendas of those who would profit off limiting access to information unfavorable to their profits.

2076. Randy Holmberg, Bothell, WA, 98021

Gentlemen,I would respectfully request that the FCC retain protections for Net Neutrality and not roll back the regulations enacted in 2015. Respectfully,Randy Holmberg

2077. Timothy Mentele, Bothell, WA, 98012

Revoking title 2 will hamstring our ability as consumers to enjoy the free market the internet represents. This will stifle creativity and innovation.

2078. Christopher Lee Fraley, Woodinville, WA, 98077

We need strong net neutrality rules and enforcement. In the short-term, this means internet access should remain protected by Title II classification, until Congress can pass legislation to require net neutrality without the need for Title II classification. Allowing ISPs to be more than a "dumb pipe for IP traffic" to the internet (of course, allowing for reasonable and standard-practice network management) puts every single consumer and every non-ISP company at risk of not being able to access resources needed, or being able to do so by paying additional fees to the gatekeeper. (While such a model might work if consumers had a choice among 10-20 ISP at every location, the truth of the matter is that any given location typically has 1 or 2 choices of ISPs that operate at speeds of 25MB/s, and that is simply not enough competition to function as a healthy market. ISPs (like all similar monopolies) can charge unreasonable fees which burden the whole economy to their sole benefit.) Strong Net Neutrality is to benefit of our economy and our society. Conversely, removing Net Neutrality is harmful to all who are not ISPs or their close associates. I want what is best for America, and that is very clearly strong Net Neutrality.

2079. net neutrality, lynden, WA, 98264

Please keep current isp's as title 2

2080. Nawoki Ishino, Redmond, WA, 98052

It is insane to think that our government agency is bucking to the influence of big corporations! Please protect us from big corporations and protect net neutrality!

2081. Jacob Hoogerwerf, Woodinville, WA, 98072

Net Neutrality is an inherently important part of the internet. I support strong net neutrality and the idea the ISPs should be classified and regulated under Title II.

2082. Benjamin Zabback, KIRKLAND, WA, 98034

I support strong net neutrality regulations with Title II (2) oversight

2083. heather bechard, everett, WA, 98028

No one wants this, why are you doing this? listen to the people you represent, we say no. it shouldn't be any more complicated than that. This is bad for consumers who already have no choice in who they use as their ISP. in my area we have 2 choices and they are basically the same because they have no competition. if they decided to screw us over and start their "fast lane" we would have no option but to take it. please don't do this. these companies make billions in profits they don't need more. if anything they need to be broken up so we as consumers have more options and they are forced to give us better service. if you push this through you are lower than low because you are not serving the american people.

2084. Lucinda Jones, Woodinville, WA, 98072

I fully endorse keeping the 2015 Open Internet order (net neutrality). Internet Access has become as essential to American life as other utilities and must be regulated as a utility. Allowing ISP's to block or limit access to legal web sites will limit access to information, harming all consumers. Limiting access to legal content takes us back to the ISP sandboxing of content - something AOL and Prodigy did 20-30 years ago. Why would we move backwards like that? Lawsuits in recent years have shown that cell phone carriers have throttled bandwidth to mobile devices - often without telling the consumers they were doing so. In short, the 2015 Open Internet order (net neutrality) needs to remain in place to protect consumers.

2085. Steve W., Snohomish, WA, 98296

Net neutrality is foundational for a free and open Internet. Keep it under Title 2 as ISPs will always monetize whatever they can whenever they can!

2086. Sophia Kuo, Lynden, WA, 98264

Dear FCC, Please protect net neutrality. Sophia, real person. Real voter Sophia Kuo

2087. Becky McGuire, Mill Creek, WA, 98012

Please preserve net neutrality. It should be a right of every American to have their information provided via internet use private and protected. Allowing big technology companies to sell information will increase identity theft and decrease the safety of the internet. Also, allowing big technology companies to charge extra fees to protect privacy unfairly disadvantages the middle, lower and poor class. It is an economic burden for the middle class, whose income is already stretched to the limit, and may be impossible for the poor. Please do not allow this injustice to occur; ensure citizen's rights are protected.

2088. eric hausner, Bellingham, WA, 98226

Keep Title 2 regulations in place and maintain Net neutrality. Quit working for the man and work for people once in your life.

2089. Andrea Riedel Hausner, Bellingham, WA, 98226

Keep title 2 regulations in place and maintain net neutrality

2090. james rasmussen, Redmond, WA, 98052
I strongly support net neutrality backed title 2 oversight by the FCC. If you ever get something right in your life, make it be these.
2091. Nate Beck, Redmon, WA, 98052
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Anything less than this is unacceptable.
2092. Paul J Murray, Snohomish, WA, 98296
To whom it may concern,I would like to make it known that I firmly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.Thank you,Paul
2093. Jyota Snyder, Bothell, WA, 98012
I am a US citizen and want the FCC to support and enforce strong net neutrality rules. I have used the internet since the 1990s and have always appreciated being able to access any content made publicly available in a free manner. ISPs should not be allowed to prefer what I am able to access and download/upload efficiently.
2094. richard schneider, Ferndale, WA, 98248
The people who use the internet want net neutrality to stay the way it is. I strongly support the regulations under title II of the telecommunications act
2095. Ralph Kappelhoff, Redmond, WA, 98052
You need to keep the ISP governed by title II. I have Frontier and Comcast just to get one to connect decently to the internet - and this is when both can't play games with my access.
2096. nathan wortinger, Gold Bar, WA, 98251
Do NOT get rid of net neutrality keep isp's on title 2
2097. Milo Rusnak, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
Dear FCC,Net neutrality is extremely important to me, and I hope you will protect it.ISPs should not have the power to choose which websites succeed and which fail. If a page takes too long to load, most people just click away. As a small business owner who operates online, net neutrality is crucial to my business success and my future.Net neutrality has allowed startup businesses to succeed. Allowing ISPs to control which websites load faster may seem like a smart move, but in reality it kills innovation by crushing startups who are competing with big brands.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses like mine.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. Under his plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't

pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans and small business owners. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. For small business owners like myself, startups, and anyone simply hoping to succeed on the internet who is not wealthy this is a serious risk. For me, this is a risk to my future business success. Thank you! Milo Rusnak

2098. Annie Peterson, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

I urge you to strongly support net neutrality and continue Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Free and equal access to a wide range of sites is important for all of us to have available without manipulation by big providers in order to increase their profits. The direction you are headed is understandable from a corporate point of view, but your salary is paid by us...the American people...and you work for us and are not paid by us to do the bidding of Comcast, Verizon and other corporate giants. You should be protecting us, your bosses, not corporate America...get to it!!!

2099. Olivia Stratton, Snohomish, WA, 98290

I'm writing to state my unequivocal support for Net Neutrality. I believe Internet access service should be classified as a telecommunications service subject to public-utility regulations under Title II, not as an information service as proposed in the "Restoring Internet Freedom" Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. Such changes could decrease competition between web-based businesses and content providers as well as enabling censorship of controversial content.

2100. Usman, Bothell, WA, 98021

Leave Net Neutrality alone! This is pushing too much control into the hands of corporations. I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISP.

2101. joel murray, Monroe, WA, 98272

In today's world there is a lot of discrimination based on race, rights, and now the internet it seems. Why would you restrict access to the internet to somebody who can already barely afford their house? For me the internet is an escape and paying more to use all the websites I have access to is just absurd. Think about this. I mean really, think about this.

2102. Nrusimha Ayyalasomayajula, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net neutrality is absolutely vital to the Internet industry and removing it will cause a lack of competition. The network companies will act as a pseudo government to slow down start ups and speed up their competition, as well as block out their own competitors to make sure people only see what the Internet providers want them to see. It's not a stretch to see NBC's Comcast blocking ABC or Fox's websites to help their parent company, either by slowing down or blocking the website completely. This is the equivalent of allowing phone companies to choose who you are allowed to call and slow down connections of people who they don't like. Some

say that net neutrality should be abolished as it is unnecessary regulation and the power of the free market, however they ignore the fact that Internet providers often collude to split up territory and avoid competition, allowing them to jack up their prices and offer no alternative to their slowing down of start ups. This will have a ridiculously negative impact on the economy as silicon valley moves to places with a more start up friendly culture

2103. Adam Higley, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Dear FCC, The prior legislation which the Commission is considering rolling back has been tremendously effective in improving the speed of many important services I use on a regular basis. Without Title II protection my internet provider Comcast, which is the only ISP to offer service in my area, has the ability, motivation, and a proven history of throttling media services such as Netflix, Twitch, and others in order to promote their own services. I urge the commission to maintain the Title II status of internet service providers, in order to keep the internet a level playing field for online businesses, and not let ISP monopolies burn both ends of the candle. When ISPs charge their customers to reach businesses, and businesses to reach their customers, they are using their monopoly power to double-dip. This is an abuse of their position on a critical communications line, and fully warrants the full power of regulation available to the commission. Thank you, Adam Higley

2104. Todd Sears, Arlington, WA, 98223

Retain title 2 for ISPs.

2105. Susan M Sears, Arlington, WA, 98223

Please retain Title II for ISP's. Retain net neutrality.

2106. Gabrielle Kaplan, Snohomish, WA, 98290

I strongly condemn the reversal of the 2015 Open Internet Order, and urge the rejection of the Restoring Internet Freedom proposal. I fully support the preservation of Net Neutrality. Telecommunications companies have sought for more than 20 years to treat the Internet as a luxury, like cable TV, instead of a utility. But Americans know the reality: we are now as dependent upon the Internet as we are for basic phone and electrical service. Everything--from finding jobs, to finding homes, to enrolling in school, to paying our bills, to purchasing car insurance, to completing our taxes, to contacting our government--is handled online. Video rental and record stores are now a scarce relic of the past; we stream movies and entertainment over the Internet. The unfulfilled corporate promise of competent and fair stewardship of this vital utility has left America lagging. We rank 28th among developed countries in available Internet speed, especially Internet speed vs. cost per Mbps. For high-speed Internet, American ISPs charge us an average of \$2.90/Mbps. For low-speed--a maximum of 2-up-2-down, to which many more remote or poorer Americans are consigned--that rate is closer to \$15/Mbps. In terms of saturation--or the percentage of households who have broadband access--we're at about 68%, on par with Israel, but behind France at 70%. The "fast lane" concept is one advanced by telecoms claiming that the exorbitant rates they charge still don't fund infrastructure improvements, and don't punish us enough for daring to use the infrastructure that

we have funded (both with our public money, and our steep service subscription fees) for streaming content. Telecoms like to cry poor; their balance sheets say otherwise. With Net Neutrality still in place, in 2016, Comcast's financial disclosures, for example, are very sunny indeed: "Consolidated revenue increased 7.9%, operating income increased 5.4%, operating cash flow increased 7.0%...Earnings per share increased 10.2% to \$3.57." Verizon shareholders enjoyed a 20.7% return on their stock in 2016. Time Warner bumped their income by over 9% to nearly \$13 billion. These are good times for telecoms; there's no suffering here. Not only is there no financial need for telecoms to charge consumers pay-to-play fees to access the sites and content we want, but considering the significant public investment in their infrastructure, there's really no right to, either. When telecom companies pay the public back for our massive investment of funds in their infrastructure, they'll have more call to charge discriminatory, usurious, content-based fees to use this equipment. Until then, we will stay net neutral, which is what the majority of Americans (more than 60% of registered voters) want. Corporate self-management (with a hefty dose of decades of corporate welfare and public subsidies) was supposed to bring all these great things: increased competition, greater consumer choice, widespread availability, and innovation. But instead, we have consolidation and monopolization, not competition; we have entire swaths of the country where only one provider (sometimes not even that) is available; and we have embarrassingly slow speeds (our upload speed is a fifth of what you can get in South Korea or Sweden) at exorbitant rates. Service providers lobbied to eliminate the universal service requirement, so they can now simply opt not to provide the infrastructure they promised rural (read "less profitable") communities. In WA, in the 1990s, for example, our esteemed Governor (then State Representative) Jay Inslee lobbied for public money for Qwest Communications, promising that if we bankrolled their infrastructure, they'd provide universal service to rural communities that didn't even yet have basic phone lines. Neither Qwest nor the companies that came after it fulfilled this promise, while nonetheless being content to take our money. The idea that these giant megacorporations built and own this infrastructure all by themselves--and must extort more money from users to continue to provide their customary level of abysmal and perennially low-ranked service--is risible. Telecoms had 20 years to give us the smorgasbord of consumer choice and impressive innovation that their lobbyists promised, if only we'd give them free public money, land, and tax breaks. They failed. Now they want to make the service provided by our significant investments discriminatory in an intolerable way that would be unimaginable for other utilities, by prioritizing whose content travels faster, based on tiers of bribes paid by both site owners and consumers. Net Neutrality is the last buffer of protection for Americans against letting obscenely profitable and indifferent telecom companies free-drop the quality, affordability, and accessibility of our Internet service from the rank of 28th in the world to the very bottom.

2107. guillaume colomb, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I support net neutrality and title 2 regulations. The access to internet has become a foundation in our culture and neutral access to any kind of information we choose is

critical. Isp providers should not use their power to influence what we can get. Just like radio channels, internet website should be treated equality when it comes to access. Thank you.

2108. Lia Hardy, Kenmore, WA, 98028

The protection of open access to all web content is integral to the development of our culture and progress in modern society. Today, we live partial lives, run businesses and maintain communications via the virtual world wide web that is the Internet. If we lose net neutrality, we inhibit the success of smaller businesses and entrepreneurs while creating an unfair advantage to those with small businesses unable to pay an ISP tax on their own web content. If we allow for corporations to bribe their way onto our screens via the ISPs, then we are supporting the commoditization of censorship. Please maintain net neutrality. I support the strong net neutrality backed by Title II Oversight of ISPs. Thank you for your time! Lia Hardy

2109. Rory Silva, Blaine, WA, 98230

I want Net Neutrality. Through the history of business I can see that businesses are out to make money in any way they can and it has been the people banding together to force those businesses to change and the government to create rules to make sure that all businesses to comply. I do not want my Internet Service Provider to have the option to choose what information comes to me and at what speed it should come. I want Net Neutrality.

2110. Brandon Poe, Redmond, WA, 98052

Protect net neutrality and keep ISPs classified under Title 2

2111. NEt, Everett, WA, 98208

I would like to see stricter oversight for Net Neutrality, use Title 2 that the FCC fought for back in 2012 and 13.

2112. Louise Butler, Bothell, WA, 98012

Ending net neutrality will further the digital divide -- it's just another barrier to low-income technology adoption. Please DO NOT end net neutrality.

2113. Kevin Carrington, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Corporations already control too many of our freedoms. It's important to keep information open, available, and free of predatory bias.

2114. Lynn Hicks, Lynden, WA, 98264

Freedom of speech should remain a human right. People should be free to express themselves. If the three letter agencies feel they need to follow someone, so be it, but humans are uniquely different from one another, and it makes the world a beautiful place. FREEDOM!!!

2115. Aimee Cervenka, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Net neutrality must be protected! Big companies have no right to decide what we do

and do not have access to when we already pay them for access to internet. If the proposed rules are allowed to go into affect, the internet would be forever changed for the worse, allowing big companies to pick and choose which sites they want us to be able to easily access. This would mean unacceptably limiting freedom of speech and access to information. That is not the world we want to live in. Protect net neutrality now!

2116. Debbie Dean, Everett, WA, 98208

Sirs: Please consider that we, the people of the USA do not need more babysitting, I mean protections. Please do not continue to squash us under the thumb of 'for your own good'. Let there be freedom. Already my carrier has limited my streaming, downloading of pictures and documents and internet speed. Please do not insist on governing every aspect of my life; leave me the agency to use the web, change my carrier and stream when I want, what I want from the source of my choosing.

2117. Sharry Wade, Ferndale, WA, 98248

Free speech is one of the fundamental rights of all Americans! Nobody should have the right to track our personal preferences and choices or what we say or do online. I believe my business is my business and my info should not be limited so that I only hear what someone decides I should hear or tracked to make it easier for people to try to sell me things.

2118. Kevin Boxx, Ferndale, WA, 98248

I believe the current Net Neutrality rules are a benefit to the public. I am strongly opposed to allowing individual multinational corporations to police themselves on this matter. Making this change is not in the best interest if the American people. Focus on them, not big corporations.

2119. Rob W, Monroe, WA, 98272

No one should be trapping my traffic, limiting what I can and can't do and where I can go. Leave it alone. Don't hand it over to big corporations to do what they want behind closed doors.

2120. Jim Wheeler, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Net neutrality has become largely the sole medium for multifaceted dissemination of information, and is indispensable for a democratic society.

2121. Sara Singleton , Bellingham, WA, 98226

Net neutrality is crucial to my mission as a college teacher. I use the internet extensively in classes so that students can explore a wide variety of sources and perspectives on the issues of the day. This encourages civic engagement and critical thinking , as well as making a college education more affordable. Please the internet free and open by maintaining net neutrality.

2122. erika defazio, kirkland, WA, 98033

this is a SOOO important issue. do not allow this to happen.

2123. Dale Kim, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I am completely against weakening our net neutrality law.If ISPs are allowed to charge differently for traffic, they should also be completely responsible for the traffic they carry?
2124. James Parker, Arlington, WA, 98223
I make my home in a rural area where the ONLY internet connection available is via satellite. It is slow and unreliable for for solid two-way conections. Ending the Net-Neutrality rules would reduce my expensive and limited bandwidth. It would also force me to pay for more nuisance advertizements that I do not want. Please do not end the Net Neutrality rules.
2125. Linda Sisson, Sedro Woolley, WA, 98284
I have been using the Internet since 1991 through Western Washington University. Education, Especially adult Education uses computer based lessons, online classes and academic research.I am 70 and still use the internet daily. I do not want money to be a regulator of what I view like news, small business research and personal interests.
2126. Jr Gurrola, Bellingham, WA, 98226
Please leave the net alone and don't give ISPs any more power than they already do now.
2127. Christine Nielsen, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
Our country is founded on FREE SPEECH, in person, in writing, on the air waves and on the internet. We the people.....want control of our lives and sharing our thoughts, feelings and opinions.
2128. Don Levens, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Please keep the internet for people to use as they legally see fit and not put it further in the hands of large corporations.
2129. Gregory Morton, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net Neutrality has been proven to be a popular, bipartisan bill that puts internet freedom in the hands of the people. The only groups who are against it are those like Comcast who want to control what we can do online.
2130. Laurie Stephan, Deming, WA, 98244
Net neutrality helps guarantee our democracy
2131. Ethan Tuck, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Without net neutrality, smaller companies would have a difficult time exposing their new technology to the world and even the big companies who could benefit from the tech wouldn't be able to be exposed to it and use it.
2132. John Lynn, Bothell, WA, 98011
A free and open internet is the lifeblood of an informational society. No good can

come from violating net neutrality. Prove that you remain aloof from corporate interests by upholding it.

2133. Barbara Saulie , Lynden, WA, 98264

Net neutrality is a form of free speech; our country was founded on that principle. Please don't compromise.

2134. Anastasia Tucker, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

Maintaining net neutrality is imperative for ensuring some semblance of equity on the internet. Big business has many established inroads and advantages in commercializing just about everything, and their already enormous (and unwelcome) presence does not entitle them to an extra share of the internet.

2135. Huda Syed, Redmond, WA, 98053

The internet was meant to be about freedom and open competition. Look at it nowadays, and you will see that it increasingly monopolizes every year. We have our specific website that we use for certain types of information. These sites are often owned by large corporations and without net neutrality, these corporations will have even easier access to capitalizing on market share. So what happens to the upcoming sites, the personal blogs, etc? These will be given low priority and thus we get on the Internet what we see in our physical world. Only major companies competing in every market, while small companies have to struggle to compete within their area. Please don't make business more difficult on the internet and allow for start-ups and small business to compete with the likes of Google, Amazon, Microsoft, Facebook, etc.

2136. Carol M Scace, President, Energard Technologies, Bothell, WA, 98021

As president of a small company, I know that net neutrality is essential to our being able to continue in our business of monitoring and reporting energy use for hundreds of properties all over the US and Canada. Without a neutral internet, we're out of business.

2137. Mary Lohnes, Snohomish, WA, 98290

To the FCC, Please keep net neutrality. It is essential to maintain freedom of speech and use of internet. I am afraid of the limitations or even loss of privacy that could occur if companies are allowed to randomly limit my internet access based on their arbitrary rules. Please keep net neutrality. I have concerns that companies will charge me more if, by their arbitrary rules, it is determined that I have used too much internet. I am more concerned that certain areas of internet use may continue to run at normal speeds if I watch what they want me to watch (again freedom of speech is impacted). Please maintain net neutrality. I understand it may give large companies an unfair advantage over small businesses by limiting access. I think there is enough influence of large companies in our lives. Not everything should be up for sale. Please keep net neutrality. Thank you for your time, Mary Lohnes PS: Doing away with "free TV access" is a perfect example of big companies controlling citizens. Now we have to pay for what was once free, still see endless commercials, and most of the content is trash.

2138. Kedar Mishra, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Give us net neutrality, give innovation a chance!
2139. Verna Legaspi, Redmond, WA, 98052
One open Internet, please. No fast or slow lanes. Equal access for all is essential for global prosperity.
2140. David Huls, Redmond, WA, 98052
We've already handed politics over to the corporations, please don't do the same with communications!
2141. rosa anchondo, Snohomsih, WA, 98296
Dear FCC I am reaching out to you to stop large corporations from taking over the internet. As an American I have the right to chose what I want to watch and read on the internet. Please do not allow this to be taken away.
2142. Mark C. Palmer, Arlington, WA, 98223
Please ensure net neutrality. Protect our rights! The internet is the town square of today, the place for people to gather & communicate openly. A place for new business to flourish. Protect the interests of the people from the companies who want to control the internet.
2143. Monroe Shindelar, Arlignton, WA, 98223
When making your decision about net neutrality, take a moment to think about what that would mean for the American people. For the average american, removing net neutrality doesn't benefit us in any way. I've always thought that politicians were supposed to be appointed to represent the voice of the american people, and removing net neutrality flies directly in the face of that. The fight to take away net neutrality shows me that this isn't the case, and makes me lose faith in the political process. As a young American I was exited to be able to express my opinion at the ballot box, and I can assure you that my vote will never be cast for someone who disregards what the American people want to turn a profit for the internet service providers looking to make a quick buck. I am a college student, so being able to freely access the information on the internet is very important to me. The internet is the last bastion of freely accessible information, and to ensure that the youth is well informed I believe that it must stay this way. When you are going to make the decision about net neutrality, just please try to think of one reason why removing it would be beneficial to the American people, if you have a hard time thinking of one, then please vote no. This is an issue that my generation holds very close to their hearts, please don't ruin the internet, for the good of the american people.
2144. Filip Curic, Redmond, WA, 98052
As it's human right to be able to express himself and it's not just right but obligation to protect himself and people around him, net neutrality **MUST STAY** as it's protect those human rights - the most important - free speech, small business owners and innovators. Choosing not to, government is protecting only a big companies and them self - politicians against own people.

2145. Jeff & Brenda Gaston, Darrington, WA, 98241
Keep our internet open! One speed. Free speech!
2146. Caela Wilburn, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I don't want big companies telling me what I'm able to watch, and how much of it. I have a right to do what I want on the internet without being controlled.
2147. Michael Bannister, bothell, WA, 98011
"Without net neutrality, big companies could censor people and perspectives online..." this shows that net neutrality negatively impacts everyone including politicians. The slow lane / fast lane theory is flawed and will only cause problems if implemented. Please consider protecting net neutrality for your sake and mine.
2148. Ryan Nutley, Everett, WA, 98208
Using the internet is pivotal to my small business. Fast lanes, slow lanes, or further prejudice or scrutiny affecting it's openness is of utmost importance for the future. Innovation only happens as a last resort with ISP speed (G. Fiber's entry, etc) and oops we can do 1gbps speeds.
2149. Sarah Bickford, Duvall, WA, 98019
I value free speech and appreciate our countries protections of it, and in such areas as monopolies. Internet is a public utility, please protect it as such as was done with telephone service. Corporations are not public entities and as such are in no place to govern what is shared or *prioritized*. Please keep the power on the side of people who use this utility, not those who seek to profit from it.
2150. Ted, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Net neutrality is important to protecting our freedoms online and helps prevent us from becoming an overly censored nation like China.
2151. George Smith, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
A giant NO to net neutrality! Consumers need a break from the hassles of corporations having personal information and the freedom to use it. Please, no.
2152. Pablo Garcia, Morgantown, WA, 26505
Numerous crises have amply demonstrated that giant corporations cannot regulate themselves. Rules must be enacted and enforced so that everyone can have equal access to the internet, which is now an essential service.
2153. Alexander DiLuzio, Kirkland, WA, 98034
My Job literally depends on a free and open internet. Don't take my job.
2154. Brian MacKay, Ferndale, WA, 98248
If you go down this slippery slope, it's one more step toward a world government. I blame you if this happens. Just so we're clear, I am absolutely against a world government.

2155. Quinn Bertino, Monroe, WA, 98272
Ignoring the overwhelming support for net neutrality is going to be the doom of your political careers. Net neutrality is the most important factor to a free and open internet. Don't mess this up for everyone.
2156. Leanne Bishop, Blaine, WA, 98230
As somebody who has used the Internet since the very beginning, I am aghast that any one country would try to control it. The Internet belongs to us all, not just the ISPs.
2157. Eric, woodinville, WA, 98077
Without net neutrality, big companies could censor people and perspectives online. Net neutrality has been called the "First Amendment of the Internet." Furthermore, Without net neutrality, ISPs could decide you watched too many videos on Netflix in one day and throttle your Internet speeds, while keeping their own video apps running smooth.
2158. Karen Carlson, Blaine, WA, 98230
Please honor our history of transparency and freedom. Support the people's will, not the multi-national corporations agenda.
2159. Chris Ottesen, Kirkland, WA, 98033
The internet has become as essential as any public utility. As such the internet providers of that public utility need to be overseen by us so that they follow fair practices. Allowing internet providers free reign will stifle the freedoms of the public and the individual. Absolute power corrupts absolutely.
2160. Michael McConaghy, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Please preserve Net Neutrality. It is both fundamental to free speech and supplies an equal footing to small businesses and innovation investment.
2161. June Schenck, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I support net neutrality. It has been my personal experience with every big cable or satellite company for TV and internet that prices soar, choices are limited and most service is sub-quality. The customer service is almost non-existent. Anything other than maintaining net neutrality is a major disservice to consumers.
2162. Richard Rogers, Medina, WA, 98039
I support net neutrality so that I can have equal access to all points of view without big money interests having an inside track.
2163. Laurie Reiser, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
Net neutrality is important to maintain! We do not want big business to control our personal lives and our independent thinking.
2164. Marcio Ferraz, Monroe, WA, 98272
Free access to information is essential to a democratic society. I want to be able to

access ANY information available on the internet without restrictions from companies or government. Anything short of this infringes on my constitutional rights.

2165. Suzanne Davis, Snohomish, WA, 98290

The right to free speech is absolutely essential in a free society. If the internet in the US is made no longer neutral, then that free speech begins to erode. The internet is a unique item. It cannot be controlled by businesses without check. By definition, private business thinks of itself first. Government, by definition, looks out for its residents. We cannot and should not give business that charge, as it has been proven to NOT WORK. Since the issue of net neutrality is in the public interest, it is in the government's purview to make sure that internet is a fair and neutral as possible. Business has no need to meddle in my searches, views, and communications online. It is MY right to be able to have access to it without being monitored or having to pay extra for certain common usages.

2166. Evan Armstrong, kenmore, WA, 98028

Quit being selfish and listen to the people.

2167. Steve Lydolph, Ferndale, WA, 98248

The American people paid for the development of the internet (originally ARPA), so it must remain open to all. Net neutrality is a right that Americans have already paid for.

2168. Melinda Gillie, Snohomish, WA, 98290

I am a small business owner who relies on the open web to drive traffic to my business. I will not survive if net neutrality is slashed. PLEASE do not sacrifice the freedom of the web.

2169. Olive Zody, Snohomish, WA, 98290

Net neutrality must be protected for many reasons, but there are two which I find especially compelling. The most important to the FCC should be the fact that internet access is considered a basic human right and net neutrality thus akin to the first amendment of Free Speech. This is a basic principle upon which our country was founded and thrives. It is what we pride ourselves upon in comparison to the rest of the world, this freedom, and net neutrality is a central freedom we are entitled to enjoy as free Americans and free citizens of this world. To end it would be to say that we are not free. Secondly, to protect small businesses, net neutrality must be kept or these seedling start-ups will have less visibility (and thus less economic prosperity) as they will struggle to reach users. That means fewer jobs and more strain on the welfare systems. To protect net neutrality is to protect the American Dream, the dream of freedom and the ability to start from nothing and create something wonderful. New ideas, new & continued prosperity, and continued freedom: this is what net neutrality protects and this is why we must protect it.

2170. Manuel Rocha, Bellingham, WA, 98226

Please save my internet privacy because it is a very important factor in people's lives

in our country.

2171. Peter Manusos, Mount vernon, WA, 98274
I support net neutrality. There is no advantage I can see by allowing certain web sites to have priority. It looks like nothing more than an advertising method.
2172. John Hale, Bellingham, WA, 98226
The internet must be considered as a utility for the public good, not as corporate property.
2173. Brian Pearson, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
Without net neutrality, myself and my siblings wouldn't be able to do our homework. Please keep net neutrality!
2174. Justin , Kirkland, WA, 98034
All bits should be treated equally. One person's bits should never be preferred over another's bits.
2175. Paul Arlen, Deming, WA, 98244
Please preserve Internet neutrality. We surely need to.
2176. Byron Burnette, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Net Neutrality is vital for the health of small internet startups, without NN, large cable companies would be able to throttle connections to companies that offer competing services. This is a non partisan issue, and the only people who benefit from removing net neutrality are the big cable companies. Net Neutrality needs to stay in place.
2177. Beverly Baker, Everett, WA, 98208
FCC: You must protect the neutrality of the internet to insure the free speech of the internet.
2178. Mike Gordon, Woodinville, WA, 98072
The Internet must remain open and not divided into slow or fast lanes. Please recognize that Net Neutrality benefits all people and must be supported though FCC policies.
2179. Terre Jones, Duvall, WA, 98019
Equal access to all. Making a decision that affects such a vital tool, should take into account all citizens. The U.S. government is there to serve everyone and to look out for the good of everyone. Net neutrality protects and provides for all citizens. Gutting net neutrality is like setting up a caste system in this country and that is not acceptable.
2180. Alice Marion, Bothell, WA, 98011
Support net neutrality and title 2! I really don't want ISPs such as Comcast (who already has a monopoly in my neighborhood) forcing me to pay extra to stream

Netflix, or slowing down the traffic to my private Minecraft server. The internet should be equal access for EVERYONE!

2181. Richard Willard, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Why fix what isn't broken, why does Government have to meddle in how we communicate? I support First Amendment rights and don't like the idea of large corporations having further means to control our use of the Internet.
2182. Dan Rogers, Bothell, WA, 98011
Please don't put companies above consumers. These organizations already have what is essentially a monopoly on this product that is really an utility. They make an unbelievable amount of money while providing generally terrible customer service. Don't give them another revenue stream while hurting smaller organizations and individual consumers.
2183. Adam Farrell, Blaine, WA, 98230
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. This is a fundamental right that is not yours to give away.
2184. Rosa Anchondo, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Net neutrality allows consumers not big companies to choose what we watch and do in the internet. It is also a fundamental right to free speech.
2185. Victoria Hunsicker Sanko, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net neutrality (NN) is essential as an open forum for communication and expression of ideas. I see it as supporting freedom of speech - it is critical to all of us to prevent further loss of our privacy of communication and open discussion by maintaining NN.
2186. Teresa Avalon , Kenmore , WA, 98028
It's absurd to believe that allowing companies to pay to play won't destroy what is great about the internet - the level playing field. Don't change the rules, they are working just as they should!
2187. Anna Ball, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Net neutrality is vital to the core principal of Americans which is freedom. I deserve choice, freedom, and access to new ideas.
2188. Andrew Maher, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I have my right to freedom of speech
2189. Jim Ashe, Granite Falls, WA, 98252
Dear FCC - The one important element of the of net neutrality is that "everyone" has a voice. To turn it over to corporate tyranny will end an important media of free expression, speech and information just to name a few. Don't put the nail in the coffin of net neutrality. It must be protected or what does the definition of Democracy mean in terms the precepts of our Country's freedom's of expression.

2190. lisa simpson, snohomish, WA, 98290
I am frustrated and outraged by the FCC's repeated attempts to chop away at something that is so obviously a necessary pillar of America. From free speech to free markets and basic consumer protections- net neutrality is a critical element of our nation. Please, please, please: ensure that this issue is protected for American citizens and not sold to the highest bidder. We rely on governance to do the right thing on our behalf, please do so now and protect net neutrality.
2191. Thomas Riecken, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Ending title II will kill innovation from startups, it will prevent the internet of things, slow progress in virtual reality, it will allow Europe & BRIC to far outpace the US in fintech. It will turn this country into an economic backwater and I will attempt to expatriate, and will encourage all peers in both industry and academia to leave.
2192. James Duff, Redmond, WA, 98077
I feel as though getting rid of net neutrality is a breach of the 1st Amendment, and that trying to control what people see on their day to day lives is a monstrosity in itself.
2193. Laurie Dahms, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
Please protect net neutrality. Don't let the net be taken over by big corporations.
2194. J. DEROSIA, CUSTER, WA, 98240
LET'S KEEP THE NET FREE FROM CORPORATE CONTROL!
2195. Nancy, Arlington, WA, 98223
Net neutrality is absolutely essential to your freedom. Stop giving control of your life to corporations.
2196. Paul, Monroe, WA, 98272
Net neutrality is at the core of modern free speech and free enterprise. Handing control over to large conglomerates will completely destroy what thousands of people have fought and died protecting.
2197. Kathryn Garberich, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Net neutrality allows consumers like me "not big companies out for profits for shareholders" to choose what they watch & do online. As a consumer of Comcast and Verizon I expect net neutrality.
2198. Kevin Reed , Woodinville, WA, 98072
Honest and fair access to the internet is an economic and social equalizer for U.S. citizens. Selling off control of this vital engine of American success is equivalent to privatizing our military or putting the federal reserve in the hands of private banks. I work in a global manufacturing company and have travelled the world. The one constant I see in free societies is equal access to the internet while oppressed societies universally have restricted and monitored access. Why would any rational politician think that abolishing U.S. net neutrality is good for America? As a

Republican voter I urge you to reconsider this action.

2199. K. Byrd, Everett, WA, 98208

I value what privacy I have left while using the internet. These companies (Comcast, Verizon, AT&T, etc) are already monopolies and cost a ton of money each month just so I can be connected. I can just imagine what it will be like if you give them even more power over the control of internet content. Please do not allow the further gutting of net neutrality. Thank you for listening.

2200. Kenilee Campbell, Gold Bar, WA, 98251

Please, it is already costly for our budget to receive the internet through Comcast. We are seniors and value our internet speed and availability, please do not allow Comcast and their ilk to deny us internet by slowing the delivery to "impossible to read" and/or by raising our rates for higher speeds. Thank you for asking.

2201. Francesca Bennett, Mill Creek, WA, 98012

Without regulations to preserve net neutrality, inevitably powerful companies that provide internet services will abuse their powers. Which websites will they slow down? What services will they allow users to access? The issue of net neutrality is most important because not everyone has a choice in who provides their internet. My grandparents live in a rural area, and there is only one provider available to them.

2202. Richard M. Corroone, Woodinville, WA, 98072

We simply cannot trust huge tech companies to keep the internet "neutral" and fair for all users. It should not always be about money--one should not need to be well off to use the internet. I think classifying it as a utility is a great idea.

2203. Alexandra Pinget, Bothell, WA, 98011

A new plan, this is unacceptable, in fact the cable companies are monopolies now and they want more control. The success of our country has been our freedom laid carefully by our forefathers. Keep United States free, keep net neutrality!

2204. Alvaro Erickson, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Net neutrality must be protected. Otherwise, those who control the internet (i.e., the AT&T, Comcast), will have the ability to dictate who will get better speeds. Or even worse they could censor certain traffic they do not like or if someone or company does not pay them enough money. Honestly, I would support the removal of net neutrality IF (and this is a big if) the ISPs actually competed with each other for my and every consumers internet access. Currently, they act like a cabal or monopoly carving up the US and not competing with each other for us. So, until true competition happens for consumer access to the internet, then net neutrality MUST be protected.

2205. Jared Cohen, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I believe in net neutrality. The framers of the constitution would have as well, I think. The Internet is a public forum for the expression of ideas in a digital format.

The closest thing the framers had to the Internet probably would've been a town hall meeting. It would've looked suspicious back then if there were men at the door, with any sort of interest over who enters the building. My vote is for protecting net neutrality. I think this is a case where deregulation is dancing a little too close to our First Amendment protections.

2206. Darian Rosebrook, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273

I believe that net neutrality is a vital thing that helps creators and independent business thrive online. We pay taxes, we pay people and other businesses who in turn pay taxes. By allowing this to disappear, you have the large potential of allowing small businesses and creative thinkers to disappear halting the last 20 years off growth of small business.

2207. Robert Low, Woodinville, WA, 98077

The internet is one of the few places where equality has been achieved. Strict regulation of net neutrality should be maintained by the FCC. Removing net neutrality is one more step toward a country ruled by financial interests rather than the people.

2208. Phil McRee, Blaine , WA, 98230

I want two things: # 1The internet to be regulated as a public utility and #2 a widely publicized list of politicians opposing free and equal access.

2209. John W Davis, Blaine, WA, 98230

I am a small Biz owner and I rely on an open internet please don't mess with Net Neutrality

2210. Ivan Albrecht, Gold Bar, WA, 98251

I believe the internet should be free and open for personal use by the public and not regulated by a few major or large companies thus removing personal freedoms. It is just as important for the internet to be free as it is to have freedom of the press.

2211. Jeffrey Kitts, Kirkland, WA, 98034

The internet is for the people, the individual users, not for companies to stifle our viewing choices or throttle our internet speed at their discretion.

2212. Sue Toy, Bellingham, WA, 98226

The internet was built by the government using taxpayers' and the public's money. Public built, public paid and public owns the internet. The Internet belongs to the public. Do not hand over the public/government control of the internet to private companies. Retain the public's ownership of the internet to retain Free Speech without any interference or hinderance.

2213. tyler williams, Kirkland, WA, 98034

The companies cannot be trusted to police themselves or promise in anyway that will convince me that they will not try to make more money anyway they can by screwing customers. Most places are only served by a monopoly and they know it.

2214. Dale Wick, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Please preserve Strong Net Neutrality.
2215. Elaine B Wick, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Net neutrality must be saved. Do not allow them to get away with taking it away or reducing it.
2216. Tyana Kelley, Snohomish, WA, 98296
I am a small business owner, and without net neutrality, I will not be able to succeed. Big corporations should not be allowed to control who gets what information at what pace. We are constantly told to "pull ourselves up by our bootstraps," and most new jobs are being created in the tech industry. Millions of Americans will lose the ability to be their own bosses, and thus the American Dream. Please keep the Internet as a level playing field for all Americans to succeed. Thank you.
2217. neutrality, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support net neutrality under Title II. Stop fccing around about it.
2218. Jake Cuddihy, REDMOND, WA, 98052
I am deeply concerned that if the current protections in place are weakened there will be nothing stopping ISPs from reverting to past behavior and throttling content based on their own discretion. Please maintain net neutrality under title 2.
2219. Christian Schafleitner, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Net neutrality is important for startups and small businesses to be able to compete and innovate next to the big players. Keep ISPs classified as title 2. Thanks!
2220. b.c. francis, Bellingham, WA, 98226
Please keep Net Neutrality (NN) and Title II rules in place for ISPs. This is important for the freedom and equality of all US citizens.
2221. The Second Coming Of Jesus Christ, Gorilla ass Burrow, WA, 42069
This is seriously fucked up, I mean what are the downsides to losing net neutrality.
2222. Rob Callaway, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support the support of net neutrality under the controls granted by classifying ISPs as Title II
2223. Stuart Branham, Bothell, WA, 98012
Net neutrality is critical to curbing the local monopolies and anti-competitive practices that Verizon, Comcast, et al already exercise. They continue to consolidate control with each acquisition. The big telecoms and their lobbyists, some of whom sit on this very commission, are not good for consumers. Left unchecked, I have serious concerns about the future viability of the Internet and the spirit of innovation that it has fostered. We must protect neutrality with tough regulations. These regulations do not stifle innovation; they protect it. Classify data as a utility or quit

your jobs before we, the people, force the issue.

2224. Ananda Destefano, Blaine, WA, 98230
Net neutrality is a right and freedom every American should have, just like Freedom of speech and the freedom against oppression. DO NOT ALLOW THIS ADMINISTRATION TO TAKE AWAY ALL OF OUR FREEDOMS!
2225. Amber Still, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I wish to encourage you to protect the current net neutrality rules, not the proposed rules which favor corporations over consumers, users, and free speech. I do not support any changes to the net neutrality rules in place and urge you to oppose any changes to the rules which rely on Title II of the Telecommunications Act. Thank you for your time.
2226. Ishan Narula, Redmond, WA, 98052
Killing net neutrality would stifle innovation throughout the industry
2227. amanda lauck, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I want you to preserve net neutrality and chapter 2 so large companies cannot mess with my internet speeds.
2228. tristan, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I want my rights
2229. Nikki Honey, Bothell, WA, 98021
Just attended Seattle Town Hall with Senator Maria Cantwell and Commissioner Clyburn. Learning how important net neutrality is for our state's and country's economy and citizens' daily life, I am in support of restoring Net Neutrality to the 2015 standards and regulations. Please consider this crucial action in your landmark FCC decision regarding these proceedings. NH
2230. anita damjanovic, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Internet freedom is essential to our democracy.
2231. Peter Allard, Point Roberts, WA, 98281
Internet for all not the few Peter Allard
2232. K. Simon, Redmond, WA, 98052
Changes proposed may affect pricing. Schools who don't have extra funds will be negatively impacted by these changes. Net neutrality should be preserved. We cannot trust companies to have citizens' best interest in mind.
2233. Bradley Garrett, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. Net Neutrality is incredibly important as a consumer. We are paying a high price to ISPs for a service, connection to the internet, and they should in no way be able to further profit by enabling preferred access to certain sites. This is a no-brainer issue and I have no idea why this is being

brought up again when consumers have been overwhelmingly against deregulation or a move to different regulation on this issue.

2234. Elizabeth Standal, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Please preserve net neutrality, keeping the internet free and fair for all users, not just huge corporations (which are not people). My spouse runs a small business and depends on the internet. As a teacher, I also depend on the internet to communicate with students and post their grades, assignments, etc. In addition, we both depend on the internet to stay in contact with friends and family members from my spouse's home country, across the US, and around the world. Our ability to do our jobs and our quality of life would be significantly harmed by losing net neutrality. Thank you for your assistance.

2235. Alex S.T, Burlington, WA, 98233

I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY> We fought specifically to get Net Neutrality enacted. Why would we want it to go away again?! The only 'freedom' this gives, is it gives ISPs the 'freedom' to decide what their customers actually have access to by throttling speeds to different websites. Why is freedom of corporations more important than freedom of individuals?

2236. Jacqueline McGourty, Snohomish, WA, 98296

Regarding the 'Creating Internet Restrictions Act' There is already a severe inequity in access and availability of internet services around the country. The only provider in my area refuses to upgrade equipment and access that is decades old, in spite of providing the latest technology to developers and others within a mile, because it isn't profitable enough for them. So we are at a built-in disadvantage with no avenue to progress within our budget. Allowing a small group of people (company executives) to limit access and reliable service only to the highest bidder, for their personal profit, will kill public communication in this country and decimate small businesses, including mine, which I operate from my home. In the best interests of the American people, you must preserve Net Neutrality.

2237. Jennifer Davies, Kirkland, WA, 98033

As a US citizen, I ask you to please NOT implement rules that diminish net neutrality. A level playing field allows for innovation by small businesses who may be the next generation of content providers. It gives me as a consumer more freedom to choose without negative impacts to service caused by selective throttling by ISPs. At a more fundamental level I am concerned about the implications for free flow of information and ideas - an important underpinning of our democracy.

2238. Beth Lenholt, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I ask the FCC to vote against the "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal, because it does not create freedom. It turns the Internet into a marketplace with access based on how much money is paid. I would like the internet to remain an open place to exchange views with access for all.

2239. Arriba Stature, North Bend, WA, 98045

I support net neutrality and Title II oversight. To turn the internet into another for-profit endeavor will be another strike against our most favorite freedom. Freedom of speech.

2240. Erik Teutsch, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Hi there, I'm concerned about the effort to radically alter the rules governing 'net neutrality' and the significant advantage these changes will give to large corporations at the expense of smaller companies who have historically been the lifeblood of innovation in America. I believe giving such an advantage is un-American in the extreme. Specifically regarding throttling, paragraph 82 asks whether it should be regulated. In the past ISPs had made their own decisions of which content was throttled and the only recourse for a content provider who had been throttled was through the legal system. A return to that state of affairs would give a potentially insurmountable advantage to a large corporation vs. an innovator. Protecting a few large players - be they the ISPs or large conglomerates who produce content - is anti-competitive and ultimately anti-capitalist. ISPs in particular net billions of dollars managing a public right-of-way and do not need further protection, and large content providers already have a significant advantage over entrepreneurial enterprises and should NOT be handed a tool with which they would simply bludgeon disruptive competition like baby fur seals on the shrinking Arctic ice pack.

2241. Douglas G. Murray, Kirkland, WA, 98033

It is clear to me that internet service providers are providing Common Carrier services by any plain reading of Title II. The insignificant components of ISP services that might be construed as a data service does not materially change the nature of the reason that people subscribe to ISPs; that is, they want to communicate with many different end points in a manner that is essentially identical to the telephone communications that Title II was originally written to regulate. The use of the internet for internet telephony, video chatting and other real time person to person communications clearly demonstrate the essential equivalence of the internet to traditional telecommunications. Additionally, the use of the Common Carrier telecommunication system for data communications and early internet services during a time period of several decades further establishes the equivalence of ISP services to traditional telecommunications. Based on this equivalence, it is clear to me that Title II is the proper regulatory framework for Internet Service Providers. As a citizen, I hope that the FCC, will honor the broad interests of citizens for non discriminatory access to information, services, and person to person communications over the narrow interests of corporate entities by retaining Title II classification of ISPs.

2242. Sheri Elgin, Mill Creek, WA, 98012

I am an IT support professional, and the internet is critical to my job. As a mother of 2 young students, we also use the internet for multiple entertainment platforms and schooling. I am strongly against any sort of tiered or filtered approach to how content is delivered to any device in my home. ALL data should arrive equally -- same speed -- regardless of origin or content. I support net neutrality and Title II

oversight.

2243. Kevin Cogger, Redmond, WA, 98052

As a software developer, I've seen firsthand how innovative businesses have flourished in today's Internet age, strengthening the economy and enhancing quality of life both in the United States and abroad. For this reason, I strongly support net neutrality and Title II oversight, and oppose the proposed changes in this proceeding. This proceeding argues that it merely restores Internet regulation to its 1996-2015 status, but does not account for the fact that the Internet--and the businesses built on it--are very different today than they were twenty years ago. Today, the majority of Americans do not have a choice of high-speed Internet providers. (See the FCC's "Internet Access Services: Status as of June 30, 2016", at https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-344499A1.pdf, which states that 58% of census blocks have zero or one Internet providers with speeds of at least 25 Mbps down/3 Mbps up.) Allowing these providers to throttle access to competitive content clearly opens the door to monopolistic behavior, because most consumers will have no other option for Internet access. This contradicts the goal of this proceeding, as quoted from the Telecommunications Act of 1996, "to preserve the vibrant and competitive free market that presently exists for the Internet." This proceeding attributes the success of companies like Google, Facebook, and Netflix to the lack of Title II oversight (paragraph 2), but these companies--along with many other technology companies, large and small--uniformly support net neutrality. This should be as sure an argument as any that what worked twenty years ago, in the infancy of the Internet as we know it, is not what works today. Please listen to the voices of those who've made the Internet what it is--as well as the millions who rely on it daily for business, communication, and entertainment--and preserve net neutrality and Title II oversight.

2244. Rew Adams, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net Neutrality is a core principle that supports very basic principles of American democracy and, to the degree it can, levels the playing field for all Americans to ensure everyone has the opportunities our country can provide. As an American grateful for the opportunities his country has provided to him, I implore you to maintain the principle of Net Neutrality now and into the future.

2245. Sam Kuttler, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Net Neutrality is critical to the continued innovation of the tech industry in the US which accounts for over 11% of the private sector GDP. This industry employs millions of people making high salaries and paying high taxes. Why would a bunch of old white men that barely know how to check their email, think they know what's best for this industry? If it weren't for net neutrality, would Uber or Dropbox ever be able to succeed? Look at the list of Unicorn startups... how many of these rely on fast and equal access to consumers that are attempting to reach them? Without this equal access, I fear that the latest wave of American innovation will die, progress will slow, and we will cede being the innovation capital of the world to western Europe or Asia.

2246. Eric Kraft, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
Please do not limit my access to the internet!!
2247. Jing Teng, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net neutrality is vital to a open and fair society!
2248. cameron, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Keep net neutrality. Can't believe you are folding to big business like this.
2249. Kathy Townsend, Everett, WA, 98208
Please maintain strong net neutrality as backed by Title II with ISP oversight maintains the right of ALL U.S. citizens to equal access to the interwebs / internet. One of the strengths of the interweb is that all citizens are guaranteed equal access no matter their economic status and removing net neutrality oversight and allowing for monetizing of interweb access speeds by internet provides removes equal access for all. Do not remove the oversight provided for in Title II. Congress has already given away the public airways by the allowing monopolies in cable providers, don't allow the same thing to happen to interweb access. Thank you! Kathy Townsend, Everett WA
2250. Yen Chen, Redmond, WA, 98053
As an independent software developer, Net Neutrality is critical to my livelihood. It allows me to provide quality services hosted in a variety of locations and remain price competitive. Allowing ISPs, private companies with private interests, to prioritize or otherwise apply business rules to the internet traffic they facilitate will create a nightmare scenario for my customers and everyone on the internet. It will make it exponentially difficult to ensure uniform quality to everyone, and in some cases force higher costs for areas that are served by less accommodating ISPs. Allowing private companies to control the free flow of content is tantamount to allowing these same companies to control traffic on the freeways and the roads in the cities. The millions of customers that these ISPs serve are the ones that will be harmed. I urge you to keep the Net Neutrality rules as they are today.
2251. Beverly Wolf, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Keep Net neutrality so consumers are free to use /visit the websites they prefer. Do not give the power to the ISPs.
2252. joshua allen, Carnation, WA, 98014
Please protect net neutrality. Keep opportunity and freedom of access equal and open to all.
2253. Leong Lim, Redmond, WA, 98052
I DO NOT support the proposed rollback of Net Neutrality protections by the FCC. I support a free and open internet and the current Net Neutrality provisions in place. Please represent the citizens and consumers that Net Neutrality empowers, and not the corporate interests who wish to destroy Net Neutrality.

2254. Maggie Clark, Redmond, WA, 98052
I encourage FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to protect a free and open internet. Net Neutrality laws are essential to protecting the free and open internet equally to all participants.
2255. Sarah Steever, Gold Bar, WA, 98251
This is crony capitalism at its worst. The internet is our last hold out for innovation and free exchange of ideas. It's the only place where someone with no capital can start a business and work their way up. Allowing slow and fast lanes takes that away, hurts America and further encourages outsourcing and companies to take their business elsewhere. We pledged allegiance, some of us took an oath, allowing complete corporate control over our information networks is treason. Sarah Steever
2256. Michael Reyna, Snohomish, WA, 98290
If internet service providers are allowed to throttle content they do not wish to support and speed up content produced by their partners we will find ourselves with a severely restricted internet. An internet that no longer fosters freedom of ideas and innovation. Moreover, by pointing consumers in the direction of a small set of predetermined sources of information we will create a society with a homogenized view of the world that will be ill equipped to adapt to future changes in the natural world and society.
2257. Ingo Bringemeier, Kirkland, WA, 98033
In *Near v. Minnesota* (1931) and *New York Times v. United States* (1971), the Supreme Court ruled that the First Amendment protected against prior restraint—pre-publication censorship—in almost all cases.
2258. Brie Christ, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net neutrality is vital to keep a competitive market and ensure US citizens are not restricted to information allowing for free discourse and interaction among all.
2259. Faheem Ahmed Khan, Redmond, WA, 98053
Free and open internet is an absolute must for the current and future generation to grow and thrive. Letting ISPs or a smaller group of providers control what's visible on the internet is only going to give more power to a smaller group of people and restrict growth for humanity. It's against what we stand for even as a country.
2260. Rachel Regelein, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Keep net neutrality! Killing it will be a disaster for free speech and consumers everywhere!
2261. Cedar Thuotte, Woodinville, WA, 98072
net neutrality is utterly necessary. without it, companies like Verizon and Comcast who provide internet can slow down or even block websites that they don't like. they can literally stifle the free speech and expression of the internet. that's not okay and it's not good and it's a huge step backwards--it's a terrible decision. I also can't help noticing how many of the people here have the exact same comment, WORD FOR

WORD. bots much?

2262. Catherine Sullivan, Kenmore, WA, 98028

I have been a supporter of stopping the gap for the digital divide since 1996, almost since the take off of the Internet began. The divide is not only still present 21 years later, it is widening. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me because many Americans are already behind in getting into the mainstream for internet availability. This will only increase the cost for every citizens to access important online information they need in order to keep abreast. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Catherine Sullivan

2263. Kobbie Maneevong, KIRKLAND, WA, 98034

I DO A LOT OF STUFF ON THE COMPUTER. I DON'T NEED STUPID COMPANIES THROTTLING MY INTERNET SPEEDS!

2264. Miguel Rivera Salazar, Redmond, WA, 98052

I want an open internet! I don't want the internet to turn into cable, which has some of the worst service and I have to pay for channels I don't want or use!

2265. David Heidhoff, Kirkland, WA, 98033

It is in the public interest that the internet remains neutral.

2266. Brandon Taylor, Redmond, WA, 98052
Keep net neutrality. The internet is an amazing tool and commodity for business, big and small. Do not allow corporations to gain the upper hand in this market as well.
2267. Alexander Sohn, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Net neutrality is held close to my heart. Destroying it would break my heart.
2268. Sai Narayan Natarajan, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please do not reverse Title 2 net neutrality. We need a free and open internet. Not one that kowtows to large MNCs.Thank you!
2269. Junior Farias, Burlington, WA, 98233
There are aspects of life that need protection from private business and the internet is one of them. How can we call ourselves the land of the free when we are selling our infrastructure to superfluously wealthy board members? They already have monopolies on specific regions where only one ISP is available to the people. It is your duty as the FCC to protect the interests of the people and not a few select individuals.
2270. Derek Chambers, Bellingham, WA, 98226
Companies running the internet is a joke.
2271. Matt Crowley, Bothell, WA, 98011
The internet permeates almost every part of my day. I use it at work, at home, on the road ... without it my life would look very different. Repealing net neutrality rules has the potential to destroy the internet as we know it. I urge you to consider whether the benefits of repealing these rules truly outweighs the effect it will have on Americans, now and in the future.
2272. Ethan Silvas, Bothell, WA, 98021
We need net neutrality, the internet is a right given to us and it shouldn't be poorly regulated.
2273. Emma, Redmond, WA, 98053
The point of the internet is that everyone can use it EQUALLY. The country was even founded on a similar principle--equal rights for EVERYONE not just a select few who have more money than others. THIS IS NOT WHAT DEMOCRACY LOOKS LIKE. Seeing as a lot of the politicians in DC need a review, here's the Oxford English Dictionary's definition: "1. A system of government by the whole population or all the eligible members of a state, typically through elected representatives. 1.1: A state governed under a system of democracy 1.2: Control of an organization or group by the majority of its members. 1.3 THE PRACTICE OR PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL EQUALITY" (OED 2017). Take a hint and maybe try and do your jobs, try focusing on more pressing issues, like PERHAPS MAKING IT SO THAT PEOPLE DON'T DIE OF PREVENTABLE DISEASES JUST BECAUSE THEY CAN'T AFFORD HEALTH INSURANCE, SO THAT EVERYONE DOES IN FACT HAVE EQUAL RIGHTS, MAKE EDUCATION A

FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT, STOP RIPPING OFF STUDENTS. Those are just the first things that pop into my head, but I can sure think of more if you need tips on doing your job. Sincerely, a student incredibly frustrated by the political situation in this country

2274. Marshall, Bothell, WA, 98012
Comcast already provides a bad product for large sums of money and gets away with it because there is virtually no competition, They don't need the ability to tailor an already barely worth it product to make them even more money at our expense. Keep net neutrality.
2275. david, everett, WA, 98208
The internet should remain as neutral as possible, and in order to help preserve online markets . I believe there is a possibility for ISP companies to exploit things such as fast lanes. When I purchase internet I expect it to have the same speeds no matter the websites or servers I am trying to access, artificially decreasing these speeds is unnecessary and greedy, and as such leaving it up to ISP's to regulate themselves opens the door for them to behave in ways that impact the consumer.
2276. Carter Schmidt, Bellingham, WA, 98226
Net Neutrality is important to keep in place because without it, ISPs can control or influence the online market which is unfair for both small businesses and consumers.
2277. Nicholas Berk-Sohn, Blaine, WA, 98230
Net neutrality is important to stop large corporations from forming monopolies. Strangling the internet, strangles competition, the very foundation of America's capitalism.
2278. Caleb, Bothell, WA, 98021
I'm not normally one who goes out of my way to address topics on the internet. However, as a person who uses the internet on a normal basis, I find that even the consideration of getting rid of Net Neutrality is a foolish way to allow virtual monopolies across the globe. I like to be open to multiple sides of conversations for the purpose of seeing things from all angles. However, in this particular situation, I see almost no benefit to the public by doing this. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!!!!
2279. Reilly Miller, Bothell, WA, 98011
Net neutrality is vital to the functioning of a free, democratic society.
2280. Robert Traylor, Redmond, WA, 98052
When choosing an ISP (if a choice is available, and I have lived recently in places where there is no choice) I do so expecting high speeds, access to the entirety of the internet, without restriction in the form of either blocking where someone else decides that I can't go, based on their own prejudices, or in the form of throttling, to effectively make information that they don't approve of harder to access. I expect to be able to access cloud services, a trusty email, everything that I need for a productive online experience. Further, I expect the FCC to have my back rather than

to step aside from this government-subsidized-and-created experience and hand all control to incredibly wealthy corporations with a vested interest in making criticism of their policies more difficult (if not impossible!). I also expect the FCC to look with a wary eye on the motivations and good nature of any entity that would spam this process with automated comments from the deceased.

2281. carlos martinez, ferndale, WA, 98248

In the Matter of Restoring Internet Freedom, WC Docket No. 17-108 I am a writer and a concerned citizen. I am submitting comments to express my strong support for the existing Open Internet Order. The Order has allowed the Internet to remain an open platform where consumers, rather than a few powerful gatekeepers, decide what content and information they want to access. By protecting the free market online, the Open Internet Order promotes free speech, competition, investment and innovation. The Internet is a transformative platform for free speech and creative expression. The ability to bypass traditional gatekeepers and innovate without permission has enhanced competition and transformed industries. The news, information and entertainment offered online have driven broadband adoption, and the growth of online video in particular has driven demand for faster Internet speeds. Allowing Internet service providers (ISPs) to decide what content consumers can access and on what terms threatens this progress. For content creators, the open Internet has been critically important. Faced with an increasingly consolidated industry where a handful of companies decide whose stories are told, the open Internet has brought forth new buyers and distribution outlets. Billions of dollars are invested each year in online video programming. In this year alone, WGAW members will write upwards of 100 original online series. But this new market constitutes a competitive threat to the traditional media programmers. Without the current rules, distribution conglomerates would have the power to eliminate new competition. Under the proposed plan, we can expect the Internet to turn into cable television, with a few corporate gatekeepers controlling what content and information are available to consumers. The threat is not hypothetical: prior to the 2015 rules, Comcast, Time Warner Cable, Verizon and AT&T degraded Netflix's traffic even though their customers had paid to access the content and services of their choice. I strongly oppose the FCC's proposal to rescind the Open Internet Order and to reclassify broadband Internet access service as a Title I information service. These actions will eliminate the FCC's ability to enact and enforce rules that prevent discriminatory conduct or censorship by ISPs. The D.C. Circuit Court twice rejected the FCC's open Internet rules when broadband was classified under Title I. I urge the FCC not to undermine the existing open Internet rules. It is shortsighted and harmful to the body of our polity.

2282. William Carey, Snohomish, WA, 98296

I believe the internet needs to stay neutral. Our country is built on the foundation of freedom and taking away one of them to line the pockets of internet providers is just wrong. This applies to home and mobile internet access.

2283. Alex Ames, Woodinville, WA, 98072

I support net neutrality. Do not repeal it. For the sake of keeping an open internet, and doing what is best for the people.

2284. Prad, Redmond, WA, 98052

A neutral internet has been responsible for providing a level playing field for startups and big companies. If the internet is not neutral then that would be a big impediment for new companies to compete with existing players

2285. Eric Martin, Kirkland, WA, 98034

This is obviously a special interest backed initiative. Don't sell out. Represent the People.

2286. William Dumas, Redmond, WA, 98053

The internet should be an indisputably protected utility.

2287. Justin F Robichaux, Ferndale, WA, 98248

The revolution will be live streamed.

2288. Sean Quinn Ferguson, Redmond, WA, 98052

The Internet is humanity's most important creation. The Internet is us, every single one of us.

2289. Brady George, Everett, WA, 98208

Please keep our net free! Don't let corporations control us!

2290. Matthew Weber, Bothell, WA, 98011

I care about the open internet and competition online. I support Net Neutrality and a free and open internet.

2291. Christian Reynolds, Bothell, WA, 98011

The fact that I even feel the need to write to you here is flabbergasting. No one should have to tell you that getting rid of net neutrality is an awful idea both ethically and economically. I can't believe that anyone would support letting these giant corporations choose what we get to see or how we get to see it. Honestly, where does this end? How much common sense do we need to throw out the window for the sake of bringing complete oligarchy to America (and by doing so, affecting the whole world). This is a joke, and I am saddened that in this day and age, ANYONE is considering supporting the elimination of net neutrality. I hope the FCC will make the right decision and show that our entire government is not in the pockets of giant corporations. Do the right thing for the people, not for big money.

2292. Katherine Pringle, Ferndale, WA, 98248

Taking away open internet is an infringement on freedom of speech, belief, and expression. Unless someone's actions on line are harming someone else directly (i.e. a part of an illegal action), they should not have their participation on the internet restricted by the government.

2293. Jeremy Bradford, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net neutrality is essential for keeping internet traffic unbiased. Internet companies shouldn't be able to demand money from games or online services just to allow their traffic through. That's like the government not allowing large trucks on public roads unless they promise to pay millions. The United Nations has ruled that Internet access is a basic human right that should be guaranteed and protected. Repealing net neutrality allows internet providers to limit that basic human right by slowing traffic or disabling access to certain sites altogether. Please think in the interests of the American people and not the corporations - do not repeal net neutrality.
2294. Winson Han, Redmond, WA, 98052
It is a violation of the core values that make the free sharing and access of information that the Internet provides us so wonderful. Equal access that isn't hidden behind corporate money is important and absolutely necessary to maintain the future of information.
2295. William Mao, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I cannot believe a republican government would allow the infringement of an individual's internet freedom be dictated by powers beyond their control. A truly repulsive and hypocritical decision of modern america's conservative party.
2296. francis walton, snohomish, WA, 98290
I strongly oppose this measure. I support net neutrality
2297. Brennan, Ciaran P., Blaine, WA, 98230
Please keep the internet open and free. ISPs should not be able to throttle internet speeds, no more than the should be able to control what sites get to you. The idea that ISPs would be able to control your access to information is unfair and un-American. Please classify broadband internet access under Title II to protect one of our greatest and freest resources. The idea that ISPs could charge more to competitors might hurt American innovation and industry, and would certainly make life worse for a great deal of Americans.
2298. Juniper Carpenter, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273
Keep the internet open, allow access to the entire internet without privatization. Keep net neutrality!!!
2299. Jarod Guerrero, Bothell, WA, 98011
The internet should be kept completely open to competition. I am against prioritizing traffic.
2300. Brooke West, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273
PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY!! DO NOT LIMIT MY ACCESS TO THE INTERNET TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER!
2301. Briana Falb-Joslin, KIRKLAND, WA, 98034
The repeal of Net Neutrality will only hurt all the progress we have made in

technology. Not to mention, it will severely hurt many different internet based industries. I urge you to not repeal net neutrality.

2302. ree, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
reee

2303. Konrad Miklautsch, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Yo, fuck off. You know people don't want this, stop trying please.

2304. Merrelli Munk, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Keep the internet neutral. It's the last bastion of a free, democratic society. Don't let anyone own the internet. It's what the founding fathers based our government on--for the people and of the people.

2305. Jeff Thomas, Lynden, WA, 98264
I support strong Title II laws, governing ISP as utilities. I support a free and open Internet with strong net neutrality laws. We, as humanity, need a free and open Internet.

2306. Aaron Brese, Granite Falls, WA, 98252
The only point to destroying net neutrality is to give the internet companies more money, when the expense of having a decent connection (which i pay for) would be completely and utterly made useless.

2307. Matthew Lesnak, Kirkland, WA, 98034
As a citizen working at a large tech company, I rely on the internet for communication, personal enjoyment, and my livelihood. From my perspective, the role of an ISP is to function as a provider of access to the internet, not govern how I use it. Just like PSE doesn't dictate how I use the electricity they provide me with access to, ISPs should have no right to dictate how I use the internet. ISPs should merely be the conduit by which we access the internet, not a controlling interest and/or gatekeeper in that process. With how critical the internet is to everyday interactions for the majority of Americans, beginning the push towards treating the internet as a utility is something we should be pushing forward, not rolling back.

2308. scott maynard, bothell, WA, 98021
Hey, the internet provides us with access to knowledge. If you censor the internet, you are taking away the right to knowledge. The lust for money is disgusting, ISP already have a huge monopoly on the market. When is enough money enough? NEVER for these corporations fueled by greed. They are suppose to be providing a service to the people, not restricting their access to information. It really sickens me that people/corporations would even try to push such a thing. What is more sick is that even though the PEOPLE already spoke against this, they are back at it again trying to push their greed and censorship. Please do the right thing and shoot this bill dead in the street. Thanks. God is watching.

2309. Colin Campbell, Bothell, WA, 98021

I am in support of net neutrality rules. Access to the internet is a utility, there should be no paid prioritization. All connections need to be treated equally.

2310. Randy Mongenel, Bothell, WA, 98012
The Internet is the underlying communications mechanism for our entire planet. The network needs to be kept neutral, open, and fair for everyone. Undoing net neutrality now is nothing short of screwing the consumers who demanded and enacted neutrality to make more money for corporations.
2311. Cole Wheeler, Bellevue, WA, 98004
Keep the net neutral, don't throttle the creative lifeblood of the world.
2312. Jesse Bryan, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Net Neutrality is vital to keep entrepreneurship alive and well on the internet. Please keep net neutrality.
2313. bao, millcreek, WA, 98012
i want neutrality
2314. Alexander Steele, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Is this even the land of the free anymore if we can't browse what we want?
2315. Jonathan Forest Young, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Please stop trying to allow corporations to control what I absorb for content. This is getting ludicrous and frankly quite upsetting.
2316. Joshua Stratton, Monroe, WA, 98272
Please keep net neutrality, don't let ISP's allow higher paying companies to be prioritized, keep the internet open and neutral.
2317. Lucas Kopec, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Do NOT let the public down! Protect net neutrality! The internet is my generation's library. Whenever I or my friends want to know something I am SO happy to live in an age where I can pull out my phone or hop on my computer and instantly get the answer. There is NO ROOM on the web to allow carriers to give preferential treatment to big business and websites. Would it be fair if big publishers could buy out a public library and force them to only carry their books? That would be un-American, and so would tampering with net neutrality! I have limited choices for internet access in my apartment and I know my parents have even less of a choice out in the farmland of Ohio. They can only get high-speed wireless via the only private business that supplies it out there. I guess others don't find it cost-effective to run the cable lines past their house to supply them with other options. If the FCC is going to allow carriers to limit the websites and information their customers have access to by lifting net neutrality, they should also force those carriers to supply equal access to ALL Americans for their ALL their products. Easier still... Just keep the internet neutral.

2318. Andrew Deane, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Please keep net neutrality. The internet has been deemed a basic human right, don't take it away from me. it is 2017.
2319. Tanner Powell, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I'm a recent college graduate, and I've been online since the day my parents first got our family computer. Though my early years were spent mostly playing online video games, I have watched the internet evolve and grow. Platforms for communication and free speech have risen and fallen. Social media has ingrained itself in our everyday lives. Information throughout the entire world has become available at our fingertips. That is, so long as net neutrality remains intact. For us to grow as a worldwide community, we absolutely need to have access to our most valuable resource without corporate intervention. As it stands, we as consumers are able to pick and choose--propagate--new ideas. Websites we have, and websites in the future will continue to rise up and change our lives for the better. But we will never know if ISPs are able to pick and choose who or what gets what attention. That's absolutely too much power for such a vital piece of our everyday lives. The worst part of this is that a majority of the US is at the mercy of only a single ISP in their area. Should net neutrality be repealed, it's terrifyingly possible that these individuals would lose access to major websites through throttling of non-child company ISP competitors or overpriced service packages. ISPs could purposely slow down and cripple the internet from what it is to fit their personal agenda. This is NOT something that consumers want. I repeat: the people of America do NOT want this to happen. I'm sure that there will be an influx of messages today; July 12. Many major websites have come together to try and spread the word of this scary and potentially real future. Net neutrality has been under seemingly-constant attacks from ISPs. There is already so little that we as consumers can do to fight against their grabs for power and control. Please, please, please do not let our pleas go unheard! We do NOT want this, and it will absolutely cripple the innovation of the world. Thank you.
2320. Jacob Argue, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Net neutrality is an issue I find critical to our generation, and I will not stand idly by as it comes under attack once more. The sanctity of the internet as a free, truly free place, is a continuation of the American idea. A great frontier of boundless promise, the Internet of today would be crippled, corrupted and corruptible, fundamentally flawed if the neutrality it and we take for granted is compromised. Therefore I urge you to oppose any interferences with all your collective might.
2321. Eric Manley, Redmond, WA, 98052
We NEED Net Neutrality - save the Internet!
2322. Kevin, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
This is wrong and you know it. So stop it now before you do something we'll all regret.
2323. Thomas McQuarrie, Kirkland, WA, 98034

The internet as it is is completely and utterly unique in the best way possible. Introducing paid prioritization among other changes for the worse would completely destroy such a beautiful thing. Please, save the open internet.

2324. Natha Burns, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Repealing net neutrality will have lasting effects on America for decades to come. Curbing growth and expansion.
2325. Prabhjot Singh, monroe, WA, 98272
ISP already have a monopoly in many markets allowing them to charge differently just adds to their bargaining power for a small web company if I will be charged differently then bigger competitors it will effectively make it harder for us to compete
2326. Jadon Ruscha, Monroe, WA, 98272
I believe that net neutrality is very important.
2327. Net Neutrality, Snohomish, WA, 98296
The internet is an essential part of modern day life. Keep the internet neutral! Its good for the industry, its good for the people, its good for America!
2328. Ian T. Gilbert, kirkland, WA, 98034
i agree with this document
2329. Larry, Woodinville, WA, 98072
an Open internet expands knowlegde
2330. Remy Zebulon, Redmond, WA, 98052
Technological advancements have cultivated a society in which the internet needs to be recognized as a basic, universal right, one which is necessary to have up to date access to in order to operate and function in today's society. Certain corporations want the power to choose what content we can access and under what circumstances, in order to serve their own biased monetary interests. Letting them do so is against the absolute core concepts of equality, and freedom from censorship, upon which our country is founded, and will decidedly put our country, its people, their communication, and their lives, in the hands of rich CEOs who will quickly write us and our quality of life off as an insignificant figure on paper that doesn't have real consequences, and real lives, behind it. Safeguard net neutrality against shady corporations who are more interested in their own pockets than anyone else's freedoms.
2331. Matthew Kieren, Monroe, WA, 98272
The Internet is one of mankind's greatest inventions, please don't take it away from us by crippling the principles it is built upon.
2332. s, snohomish, WA, 98290
The internet is not just for me, it is for everyone world wide. People can make

friends and communicate and pass time and make a living on the internet. So, why take that away from the entire world? I care about the entire open internet, and so does everyone else. Don't take that away from us. You guys can earn money other ways, not just by taking away certain things for people who don't pay extra. Let the people decide what they want and what they like best instead of choosing for them. We demand access to the entire internet, free of paid prioritization, blocking and throttling. There is only one internet, and all rules must apply to all of our home mobile connections equally.

2333. Danila Sokratov, Woodinville, WA, 98072

I am not in favour of repealing net neutrality laws. As a member of the growing up generations, internet is essential to both my development and the development of my peers. Privatizing the internet will only benefit cable companies and establish a monopolistic market.

2334. Callan Starck, Bothell, WA, 98021

In this age, access to the internet is no longer a luxury, but a necessity. Many aspects of modern life in America rely on it. Without access, it prevents individuals from finding a job, and remaining informed, which is crucial to being successful. By reducing access to the internet and its services, you place further pressure on groups that are already struggling and at risk. This is far larger than Netflix, social media, or other frivolities. The world runs on the internet. Very few aspects of our lives don't rely on it in one way or another. Please take a stand against greed. Put the future of our people and our country above personal gains.

2335. Vishal Bansal, Redmond, WA, 98052

Keep the internet neutral and not allow anyone to prioritize or subsidize any parts of the internet.

2336. Tarek Chaya, redmond, WA, 98052

The internet is for everyone. Paid prioritization is a terrible idea.

2337. Internet, Everett, WA, 98208

The internet is very important to the people as a means for freedom of speech. These net neutrality laws aim to keep it free. If you remove the laws that are still set in place today, we will not have a free internet. We will have a violation of the 1st Amendment and every shred of humanity this country still has left. Keep the internet free. Keep the net neutrality laws.

2338. Net Neutrality, Bothell, WA, 98011

Why are we still having this discussion. Can we for one second just realize how great the internet is and how annoying it would be to constantly be dealing with speed throttling and prioritization to companies like Comcast. This is honestly so frustrating to me. Why can't we just kill this argument and never discuss it again.

2339. Brandon Panek, Redmond, WA, 98052

i like my internet

2340. Grady Gratt, Redmond, WA, 98053
Please preserve the internet as it is, others need it to make their voice be heard.
Thank you.
2341. Linus Chan, Redmond, WA, 98052
I oppose paid prioritization, blocking websites, and slowing down connections. The internet should be kept open and accessible to all at the same quality. In this day and age, the internet is a powerful and near-necessary instrument for everyone's voices to be heard. I have friends and family, all creatives, who are involved in their own startups. I myself hope to have my own business someday. We don't have the capital to compete with big corporations who do have the capital and will spend to either censor or severely hamper their competitors' visibility under these changes. Repealing net neutrality would be a disservice to the innovative spirit of the Internet.
2342. Matthew Everett Carson, Kirkland, WA, 98033
The internet should remain free and unprioritized for business related proceedings. It will become a type of socio-economic inequality for people in different areas if businesses are able to gain regional or topical throttling or prioritization for different content especially combined with the limited ISP options people have available. The fake news control that the big media outlets have had to deal with will become even more of a problem if ISPs are able to steer traffic and search results to different sites. The only exceptions I can imagine would be emergency streams for 911 type information, military, or medical data for life saving operations.
2343. Eric Zhu, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Net neutrality is very important to me and I want to save the open internet.
2344. James Clugston, Redmond, WA, 98052
I literally can't imagine a world without the open internet. Having been born while the internet was in its infancy, I find the idea of limiting my access to information or websites in any way unacceptable. I stand against the removal of Net Neutrality rules, and I think you'll find many who support the IA in this endeavor.
2345. Boe Erickson, Ferndale, WA, 98248
We can't allow these larger companies to further monopolize internet usage. I have very limited options as it stands on who I can use for services. These services already are severally over priced, throttle and control the speed of my internet already and experience poor customer service. Now with net neutrality is at risk again I may have to face even more charges that I can't afford. To be forced to pay for more things that I shouldn't have to purchase and pay for. Being redirected and be completely controlled by the company that I purchase services from. I won't be able to search for information freely anymore, everything tracked and logged. Do not get rid of net neutrality, it'll impact the life styles of millions and will be the start of what is already a monopoly.
2346. save the internet, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
The internet should remain a free market, for creators to be able to keep making

amazing stuff.

2347. Beck Werny, Bellingham, WA, 98225

There is only one internet available in our free country, so allowing ISPs to create paid prioritization that turn us towards apps and websites that only they want us to see, limits this freedom. I spend quite a lot of time using the internet for school work, video games, and leisure. If I am not able to freely access the internet it will greatly impact my ability to study and research if ISPs are controlling what I look at. The future is online pushing more and more business and competition there, so why let lobbying ISPs hurt that?

2348. Net Neutrality, Snohomish, WA, 98290

The proposed initiative to remove Title II Net Neutrality is very likely only in the interest of short term monetary gain at the expense of long term online democratic freedom. I am not claiming to be a legal expert, but the situations which can and inevitably will arise from the removal of Title II Net Neutrality will without a doubt violate the antitrust laws so bitterly fought for in the 19th and 20th centuries here in America. The removal of Title II Net Neutrality is unconstitutional and undemocratic, only seeking to undermine a number of freedoms which the American people are by birth entitled to. Giving ISPs such as Verizon and Spectrum etc control of the taps in the watering hole of the internet provides these ISPs with a form of soft power over their competition and any other dissidents they seek to silence or eliminate. Not only does charging two companies very different premiums for the exact same service discourage a free and fair marketplace among internet services, it also creates a snowballing monopoly over the services which smaller businesses may be unable to afford should the larger ISPs decide to charge at unfair prices. Such a situation directly leads to corruption amongst the providers at our digital watering hole, as bribery or partnerships would surely equate to more affordable premiums for those who can afford it, and the closer the marketplace gets to a monopoly, the less it will seek to innovate. After all, why fix what's broken when nobody else sell? All the more, with the internet service marketplace controlled by so few hands, it is very easy for a major company to exercise their soft power over another smaller company which may be growing enough to compete with the major ISP providers by raising the premiums until said smaller company can no longer afford to continue operating in the internet service provision industry, effectively eliminating competition. The very same principle can be applied to a company or group which speaks out against the major company, and thus must pay higher premiums in order to effectively silence whatever opinion was expressed. The abolishment of Title II Net Neutrality is in violation of the First Amendment as well as against the spirit of a free and fair marketplace which Americans so valiantly pride themselves in maintaining, and the majority of consumers here in America are incredibly opposed to its removal. I have not even mentioned the fact that this decision being made by a select (and small) group in one country of North America will affect ALL other countries which are connected to the internet, whether they agree with the decision or not. This sounds an awful lot like something I have heard about or celebrated recently... could it be... American independence? Considering

our country fought so hard to become our country because of the taxation decided by a select (and small) group in one country of Northwestern Europe, a decision the then-colonists had no hand in making, I declare that this attempt to stifle Title II Net Neutrality is not only unconstitutional AND undemocratic, but ALSO unpatriotic through direct parallels with past blunders as the Stamp Act. I implore the FCC to do what is right, not just for you, not just for me, not just for America, but for the rest of the world who is arguably more affected and less involved than any of the either you, me, or our country are.

2349. Kyle Kraft, Redmond, WA, 98053

This proposal puts way too much power in the hands of the ISP's. Allowing fast lanes inherently discourages and stifles and prevents and hinders and disallows all competition and web startup companies. This creates a market of exclusively monopolies, which are bad for the american people as well as all the people of the world. It also is a plain and simple money grab from the ISP's. This allows the ISP's to make more money and that's it. This is what they want, no one else wants this. It should be called the bill for internet freedom (of monopolies). Seriously, will Comcast use this money for good? By their own precedent, no. Comcast is really a shitty company when it comes to customer service- this is a well known fact. And they only turn a profit because they already have a pseudo monopoly. Internet choices for ISP's do not legitimately exist for me as well as most consumers. Only one viable internet company operates in my area. Implementing this bill would directly hurt my free and open access to all sites on the internet without any benefit to me. The only benefit is to the massive ISP corporations profit margins.

2350. GRAYSON HJALTALIN, Bothell, WA, 98021

Nobody needs to be controlled just because of a dollar. We as humans are better than this. Trust each other and your life/others will thank you. GRAYSON HJALTALIN

2351. Justin Hedge, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Eliminating net neutrality would create a total monopoly for a company like comcast.

2352. Austin Calder, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net Neutrality is a key protection for everyone on the internet. Throttling, blocking, and paid prioritization are all the opposite of what I want from an ISP. I support Net Neutrality!

2353. Kenton Pace, Bothell, WA, 98012

STOP TRYING TO PASS THIS. THE INTERNET IS NOT YOURS.

2354. Jacob Cacka, Woodinville, WA, 98072

Keep the internet free!!!!!!!!!!!!

2355. Justin A. King, Arlington, WA, 98223

In most places in this country, there is only or two viable ISPs. In my area, for

example, an overwhelming share of the market is owned by Comcast. If net neutrality rules are repealed, who is to say that Comcast won't raise their prices, while throttling any web page requests for their competitors, leaving no free market options to consider. I'm sure that I don't need to point it out, but that's called a monopoly and we, as Americans, have a history of fighting those. An ISP monopoly would leave customers with only two options: pay whatever rates Comcast demands and deal with their abhorrent track record for customer service, or to not have Internet at all. I know that there are some out there that still think of Internet as a luxury service, but the truth is that open Internet access is a part of the modern human ecosystem. The amount of people that I have met through video games and video gaming communities over the Internet is too many to count. More so, a number of those people have become life long friends. People who resonate with who I am, as an individual. If the net neutrality rules weren't in place, who is to say that I would ever have been able to meet these people the way that I did? What if the ISP that I have decided that they wanted to slow down traffic to a particular game service, because they had a dispute with the company that makes the game. That would effectively kill not only the game company's revenue, but also the community that the game fostered. No access, no game, no community, no life long friends. Not to mention, it would transform the multi-billion dollar industry that is video gaming from a landscape that is ruled by free trade (if the market deems a game bad, it doesn't sell), to a pseudo Chinese-style system where all video game development and ideas are filtered through ISPs before they can hit market. That doesn't sound like an open market to me, and I don't particularly like the thought of a board of directors deciding what content is and is not good enough for me to throw money at. I know that my story is video game-centric, but this notion that a group of people who stand to make a profit control what the market is able to invest in terrifies me, as an American citizen. Additionally, I know that the current ruling party favors less regulation. Small government. Frankly, I agree that the government should not have its hands in so many pots, but on this issue there is no question to me. Without regulatory oversight, the Internet as we know it will devolve from its current state of a true open market (something that every American who ever tasted the idea of the American Dream can be proud of), to "The Internet, brought to you by Comcast." Regulated not by trust busting agencies of a nation whose modern core is built on the concept of free trade, but instead by a board of directors with dubious morality and the man with the deepest pockets. Let's do the right thing and keep the Internet free. Also, as an afterthought, it's worth mentioning that I was motivated to write this by Twitch.tv (an Amazon company). Amazon, a multi-billion dollar corporation. The proverbial "man with the deepest pockets," who stands to make a dime from less regulation on his industry of choice, is telling the people that something isn't right. Think on that.

2356. Corey Alumbaugh, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273

The internet is something my generation has grown up as a tool to express and use our right of Freedom of Speech. Taking away our internet the way it is now, would be taking away one of our freedoms, taking away a tool EVERYONE uses daily to express themselves. You really want to deprive our children, grandchildren, and

more people from the ability to use the Internet freely? The internet is a place of freedom and knowledge, making that harder to obtain for ANYONE is Not Right. Making it a tool solely used for Profit will tarnish internet providers as horrible, and the politicians who let this bill pass as greedy money hungry people. I want to tell my children the great feats that my government accomplished after I cast my vote. Taking away our rights, let alone a right of free expression for something as silly as money, would truly make me question if I would want to have my child learn of the American Government at all. This bill will just be a start for these Companies, it will open the door for more ways for them to manipulate and certainly change the internet however they please to make more money. Please do not let them take away our internet, keep our speech unhindered by paywalls, keep our movies loading at a reasonable speed, Keep These People Who Wish to Charge for Freedom, Away From Our Internet. This is Signed by people of the Alumbaugh Household and Family. We believe in a fast internet service for all.

2357. David Roberts, Redmond, WA, 98052

I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is essential to maintaining the open internet, and protecting consumers from corporate greed.

2358. Riley James Vibbert, Kirkland, WA, 98034

The internet is something that needs to stay neutral. It is not something that should be able to be manipulated by whoever provides it. The internet has become a way of life, and because of this it must not be taken control of by ISPs. There is absolutely no reason that internet providers and the highest bidder should be able to control what people do on the internet, other than to fill your own pockets. By allowing anyone to control which sites have priority over all others, the people responsible including the ones who pass any bill to allow this to happen are no better than any fascist state which prevents the public from serving their time on the internet as they desire or keeping them in the dark by blocking any site that may be damaging to the state.

2359. Jameson Li, Redmond, WA, 98052

Please keep net neutrality as it is so we can keep the internet free and open to everyone.

2360. Tim Culhane, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net neutrality is important. There is a reason that pretty much every tech company (Google, Microsoft, Amazon, Netflix, and many, many more) are uniting with so many Internet using citizens to protect it.

2361. Joshua Nelson, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I believe that an open and free internet is one of the greater creations of mankind in the last few decades. It allows anyone to express themselves however they want for better or worse. Since anyone can use the internet, it was bound to happen that unsavory items would be created since there are some bad people out there. It could be said that the logical decision would be to cap the content that people can access

for their safety, but I believe in free will and the ability to choose what sites I go to for better or worse. It also doesn't help that most service providers would potentially be in a better position for dictating how we would use the internet. I may just be one voice of many, but I vote to keep my ability to choose where I go on a free internet.

2362. Shivani Singh, Bothell, WA, 98011
please keep our freedom safe

2363. Jacob Espy, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Protect Net Neutrality. Listen to the people. Democracy does not restrict people, it frees them. Do not restrict my ability to utilize my full potential by constricting my access and ability to utilize the internet to its fullest potential. Thank you for your time.

2364. Killian Anderson, Kirkland, WA, 98034
The internet is for everyone, and selling off the single most used platform for free speech is unconstitutional.

2365. Matthew Morris, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net Neutrality is essential to a functioning democracy and free market economy. The internet has become a utility of the modern era, and needs to be treated as such. We must uphold Title 2 classifications and keep the internet open and free. No throttling speeds. No 'fast lanes'. I support Net Neutrality, and urge you to do the same. Matthew Morris

2366. Joshua Sundseth, Lynden, WA, 98264
Net Neutrality is a necessity for a proper platform of competition between internet service providers and website owners. Allowing [ISP(#1)] to (under a non-net-neutrality friendly law) throttle the internet traffic to [Website (A)] and openly advertise [Website (B)] in order to inflate [Website (B)]'s user base. Mind you, both websites could have offered to pay [ISP(#1)], and in this case, [Website (B)] offered just a bit more. [ISP(#1)] (if net neutrality is not supported) can legally throttle users of [Website (A)], and direct the traffic towards [Website (B)]. Under no circumstances, would this be fair for new, or even most current websites. The same principal applies to new businesses. Nobody wants the ISPs to go behind closed doors with big internet businesses and sell their assured internet connection to the highest bidder, except for the ISPs themselves. This, in definition, is a Monopolization of internet services. ESPECIALLY so for those that do not have access to more than a single ISP to their home. In a world without net neutrality, ISPs will show you what they want you to see, use what they want you to use, pay what they want you to pay, and you'll like it. Because the internet is a necessity, and what we need, is the freedom to establish new websites that oppose Facebook.com, oppose Netflix.com, oppose Twitter.com, without those fat stacks of cash getting in the way of going onto Minds.com, Newegg.com, or even the now desolate Myspace.com. Without this natural competition, there is no way that Facebook could have overtaken Myspace as it did in the late 2000s, there's no way that Netflix could have overtaken Hulu and filled the screens of millions of people. We need net

neutrality, because if we don't and the next big thing comes, who's going to even hear about it?

2367. net neutrality, mount vernon, WA, 98273
please keep the net free!

2368. james M., Carnation, WA, 98014
This bill cannot pass. The internet freedom that matters is the consumers ability to choose for themselves what content to view and enjoy without getting impeded by the big guys. As a creator, it deeply saddens me that I have to take time away from my schedule to protest such an egregious overstepping of this administration into the creative rights of small companies. Why must you change a system that seems to work fine? How much are lobbyists paying you?

2369. Hayden Falk, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Im not doing this bullshit stop this Hayden Falk

2370. Benjamin Gehlke, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Please keep Net Neutrality a reality. In November of 2016, my ISP Xfinity added a one terabyte data cap to my internet. Is it a coincidence as Xfinity's TV subscribers decline they include a one terabyte date cap to their internet to limit the view-ability of internet content. During the same month of the policy change my family sighed up for an OTT (OVER THE TOP) TV service. Over time the data cap became a hurdle because of the policy being implemented. Xfinity's reason putting this policy into place is to keep the low data users' internet at a lower price and high data users' at a higher price to be "fair". Although Xfinity has a discount program "Flexible Data Option" it'll only save customers \$5 monthly off their bill if they use 5GB or less; where as a high end user will be charged a \$10 fee if they go over their data limit. What I am saying is this policy never helped the consumers' save money. As the same goes with the passing of this bill; it'll not help the consumer one bit. It'll only hurt the American consumer, and help ISPs grow their profit margins.

2371. Michael Gunter, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I am less concerned about the possibility that an Internet Service Provider *might* restrict access to certain sites and more concerned that it would be legal for them to even consider it. I use my internet connection as my primary means of communication and indeed use a phone provider that relies heavily on offsetting data usage on cell networks by utilizing Wi-Fi whenever possible. I use the internet as a Utility and it should be treated as such. I cannot stand for the possibility of having my type of traffic throttled because some ISP decides it doesn't want to allow me to use internet access as my phone.

2372. Julie Garren , Kirkland, WA, 98034
Hello. As someone who both produces and consumes independent content online, the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast

lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Julie Garren

2373. Save the open internet, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Keep our internet neutral. It's our human right as Americans to have the freedom of speech and post things for FREE. Being charged will make people who are poor not be able to access a lot of things they can't afford. So do the right thing and keep our NET NEUTRAL!!

2374. Natalie Howatson, Woodinville, WA, 98077

Preserve NET NEUTRALITY and Title 2 - PLEASE do not let COMCAST and other cable/internet monopolies control us more than they already do. They should not be in charge of what we can view, at what speed can we view it, or even when we can view it. PLEASE PLEASE PLEASE let the internet stay a free speech zone. DO NOT let COMCAST become an even bigger power house monopoly that controls how we use the internet.

2375. Josh O'Bryant, Arlington, WA, 98223

The Internet was created with public funds and it must remain a public utility if its usefulness is to be maintained. I realize the United States is an oligarchy and what the majority wants doesn't matter but even the few ISPs arguing against net neutrality don't realize they're lobbying to make themselves as irrelevant and useless as cable television. The death of the Internet is only beneficial to the public library system as they would once again serve a purpose so please do not kill the Internet to appease ISPs wanting to outlive their utility.

2376. Arthur Amende, Arlington, WA, 98223
Net neutrality maintains the internet as the largest platform for freedom of speech and as an open market where all companies big and small may compete. We must maintain net neutrality for both our democracy and economic growth.
2377. Andrew D Johnson, Woodinville, WA, 98072
If my isp limits my use of some websites i have no alternate isp to switch to. Isps should continue to provide a 24x7 regulated service like water and electricity. Not allowing isps to favor one site over another enables me to choose which innovating sites to visit.
2378. Tom Pendergast, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I strongly support the Internet Association's effort to keep net neutrality strong, and to ensure that ISPs can not decide which content is preferred.
2379. Timothy N Garner, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273
I care about the open internet and competition online. Net neutrality ensures that both new and established services, whether offered by an established internet company like Google, a broadband provider, or a small start-up, have the same ability to reach users on an equal playing field.
2380. Mike Koss, Hunts Point, WA, 98004
Bits are bits; internet service providers should not be allowed to discriminate traffic through their network based on origin or service type without customer consent. Protect the openness of the Internet by preserving access to all types of data and services.
2381. Jarad Shannon, Redmond, WA, 98052
I need net neutrality. Between my work and my hobbies, the internet must be completely available to me at the same speed, for the same price. Please tell Ajit Pai to stop being a corporation paid shill.
2382. Vincent Litchard, Kirkland, WA, 98034
The Internet needs net neutrality. It must be kept for the freedom of the free world.
2383. Theodore Dilworth, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Preserve Net-Neutrality! Don't boost the profits of the fat cat corporations demanding a change that only makes for money for the few by hurting the poor. Net neutrality preserves our right to free speech.
2384. Taylor Morgan, Blaine, WA, 98230
Please do not repeal net neutrality. My family is already forced into an expensive plan with limited data because we live in the county and there is only one provider option. We need our ISP to be held more accountable to us as consumers, not less. Everyone has seen how corrupt these companies get when left on their own, and they're doing just fine with Net Neutrality in place. They already make millions of dollars and will be able to sell speed to the highest bidders. Why not look out for the

little guys instead?

2385. Michael Skelly, Monroe, WA, 98272

As we all know, the FCC is part of the federal government. The federal government is "For the people, by the people." By the FCC allowing net neutrality to be dismantled, and to give ISP's full control over the internet traffic, is not what "The people" want. Your job is to look out for what's best for the people of this country. Allowing ISP's to prioritize traffic is not fair for small businesses or educational websites, as they will not be able to afford to pay the premium fee to ISP's to prioritize their traffic. Imagine if this was the same scenario with voice telecommunications. I would be able to call designated businesses of the phone providers choosing, but if I want to call my aunt and that wasn't prioritized or "part of the plan I purchased", that wouldn't be fair at all, and the people wouldn't stand for it! There is no difference with internet traffic. Please stand up for "The people" of this great country and keep the internet neutral.

2386. Diane Cole, Bothell, WA, 98011

I believe that ISP's should be subject to oversight by an expert agency just like other communications networks are. The services they provide are critical in society today just like the other communications networks. Consumers need protection to ensure their privacy is not violated, the rates for the service are fair to all parties, the service is provided equally to all consumers and the service is NOT prioritized to businesses also owned by the service providers. The internet is how the world communicates today. It must be regulated to ensure equal access for all.

2387. matt, Kirkland, WA, 98034

stop fucking up the internet, k thanks

2388. Lukas Peterson, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Please vote to restore fair and neutral internet.

2389. Eric Lindberg, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I work remotely, and my occupation depends on a reliable low-latency internet connection to our office in California. Title II regulations ensure that the interconnects between my ISP and the office in CA remain in place with predictable performance. Regarding whether ISPs ought to be classified as telecommunications or information services, I strongly favor the former. In my case, my ISP provides me with fast 100Mbps internet access and nothing else. I have zero interest in any other services besides access to the internet, nor do I know anyone who actively uses any additional ISP services. Please keep the current open internet regulations in place.

2390. Anne-Marie Impero, Maple Falls, WA, 98266

Please leave the internet as it - open and public without deregulating. Thank you!

2391. Wilfried Mack, Redmond, WA, 98052

We need to keep the internet an open resource for all, without preferential treatment. We must support net neutrality.

2392. James C Wade, Woodinville, WA, 98072
We cannot give control of the Internet to corporations as it will defeat the purpose of the Internet presently. The Internet should be maintained along the same lines as other public utilities as it has become just as important a resource as clean water and electricity in this technological age. MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY!
2393. Harsh Mehta, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please keep title 2 oversight for internet service providers
2394. Sara Morris, Redmond, WA, 98053
I would like to insure the internet remains free and open. Companies should not have the ability to filter my content or speeds.
2395. Matthew DesJardien, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Net neutrality is very important to me, and I want to see the protections for it stay in place. Please don't repeal the net neutrality rules that enable an open and free internet. The optics of it would be bad, the long term financials of it are bad, and the anger created among the populous is an obvious indicator of how actual people feel about this.
2396. Brandon Mahler, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273
Repealing Net Neutrality will create unstoppable bastions of cyber crime. Pursuing the millions of offenders that use illegal means to fraud ISPs will lead to more offenders. Americans have enjoyed freedom of internet access for decades; as soon as they see a site they frequent is blocked by their ISP, there will be retaliation.
2397. Alexander Armantrout, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Please do not get rid of Title 2 regulations. Net neutrality is very important to me as a consumer and I believe that large Internet service providers do NOT need any more power. Please do not give them the power to charge consumers more money for the same service and slow down competing websites. Thank you for reading this and I look forward to you making the right decision for American Consumers, who you should be serving, and NOT siding with large ISPs against consumers
2398. Brandon Donnelson, Arlington, WA, 98223
Please support net neutrality! Do not let the big corporations create paid tiers. Do not let them add contents to my traffic!
2399. Michael Thompson, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
The internet should be free and open for everyone. Pay to play with big corporations destroys new business and innovation and puts us in the hands of big corporations seeking to pad pocket books and keep things stagnant. Let the internet grow and be open and unimpeded by big corporate greed.
2400. Calvin, Redmond, WA, 98052
Currently living in Redmond, WA, home of Microsoft and Nintendo of America, I have TWO options for internet service providers. When my options for ISPs are so

limited that I hate having to choose between either of them the whole concept of "vote with your dollar" goes completely out the window. When it comes to Net Neutrality if either of these ISPs get worse in terms of service I'll have to choose between picking one of their terrible services or not having internet at all. In this day in age not having internet it just not a reasonable option. I HAVE to have internet to most of the things I enjoy and I know for a lot of my friends, their job also relies on having internet connection. It's completely disgusting to imagine that at some point my ISP choices could possibly get worse and there's nothing I could do about. People need to stand up and do the right thing.

2401. Rhett Robinson, Kirkland, WA, 98034

A free and open internet, equally accessible to all, is critical for the development and security of our nation and the world. The internet must be maintained with net neutrality to keep it open and equally accessible, providing the opportunity available for all people to use. As a software engineer, I understand the importance for a level playing ground. Without it, some of the most valuable companies of our time may not have been able to come into existence. Denying net neutrality would be preventing great unknown companies from coming into existence, and would mean the end of the United States as a leader in the world.

2402. Matthew Landis, Bothell, WA, 98011

Without a competitive isp environment net neutrality is necessary. When everyone has many high quality high-speed isps to choose from and entering the market is not difficult we can discuss this again.

2403. John Krantz, Lynden, WA, 98264

We have been over this before, leaving the internet open to all, at the same rate, the same cost, the same speed is required to guarantee the freedom to innovate, without this the web will be screwed by the big providers, I can't believe anyone could be so short sided or corrupt to see it differently, we are watching, we expect things to remain the same as today, free and fair, do your job, or in this case leave well-enough alone!

2404. Elizabeth Pickens, Redmond, WA, 98052

Don't roll back net neutrality. It's unjust and you know it.

2405. Daniel Ngo, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I believe that this filing will hinder the ability of small and medium businesses to thrive in the online marketplace. ISPs should remain under Title II because the Internet service has become a basic service much like the telephone service. Especially when looking at American businesses, we need to ensure that technology startups have the ability to compete on an open and unrestricted Internet backbone. Failing to do so will hurt the overall economy of these United States.

2406. Laura Bertram, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Keeping the internet neutral for all is essential in this Information Age. Allowing for paid prioritization will negatively impact our own freedoms and equalities to have

access to any and all information out there. Keep the internet neutral.

2407. Robert Moehle, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Eliminating Net Neutrality will generate a bidding war among ISPs. This will discourage competition by tilting the playing field in favor of large enterprises that have the resources to outspend smaller competitors. Therefore, Internet-enabled small businesses would be among those hit hardest by new fees and tiered services. Please maintain Net Neutrality
2408. Tori Gaumond, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273
Seems pretty obvious we should have net neutrality.
2409. Kyle Mizell, Bothell, WA, 98011
Keep the internet unbiased and don't let the internet providers dictate what websites we have access to, or the priority of the traffic. Thanks.
2410. John Headley, Redmond, WA, 98052
I really like the internet and want it to be equal for all. Please don't let ISP's do this.
2411. Protect Net Neutrality, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Protect net neutrality. I am a freelancer in addition to my day job and I need the internet to conduct that business. I believe my work will be affected by removing these protections. Protect small businesses. Protect free speech. Protect net neutrality.
2412. keepnetneutrality, Redmond, WA, 98052
The internet has become one of the most important aspects of our daily lives and should be regulated as a utility. I urge all people voting on this matter to think of people first and corporations second. All traffic on the internet deserves equal access.
2413. Brandon Floyd, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Let's keep the internet neutral instead of some lawful/chaotic-evil place for corporations and governments to suppress and control content.
2414. Michael Southwick, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Dear FCC/Congress. The rules on net neutrality are extremely important to me. Without it, having filters on what content I can see is extremely illogical. So stop thinking about how to make successful companies more successful, have a heart, think about the People, not about yourself. Michael Southwick
2415. Jeremy Seeley, Snohomish, WA, 98290
The internet should remain an uncensored and free source of all information. Restricting access to any information in any form is detrimental to the economy, education, science, and the future of a free people.
2416. Leonard E Scarpelli, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273

I am totally against any reduction in net neutrality! Net neutrality absolutely must be protected and maintained. I urge you to support and protect net neutrality in its strongest condition.

2417. Donald Wennick, Monroe, WA, 98272

Network Neutrality ensures a level field for competition and uncorrupted capitalism to work it's "magic hand", while ensuring consumers the 1st amendment relevant access to unfiltered information, without the distorted filters of commercial interest.

2418. Kitt Weatherman, Snohomish, WA, 98296

Keep the internet free and open for all. It's a public service and should be regulated like a public utility, without prioritization built to allow large ISPs the ability to charge for faster access.

2419. Ken Jensen, Blaine, WA, 98230

Stop trying to give more money to the rich. Net neutrality is of paramount importance to the future of this country and the world.

2420. Kenneth Klinzman, Woodinville, WA, 98072

As an IT professional Net Neutrality plays a daily role in my life. From home I am expected to have unfettered access to both work and commercial sites to accomplish goals required for my job. The loss of net neutrality would mean ISP's supplying my area would be able to restrict access, throttle speeds and fundamentally change the way I am able to use the internet that would have a negative personal and professional impact. I currently use the internet for a variety of personal and professional reasons, including keeping in contact with relatives far flung across the globe, streaming from a variety of services, and I feel like ISP's when free of net neutrality would unfairly gate such access to force increased revenue for themselves. I buy internet access for connection to email, work and personal resources and cloud services that are not offered by my ISP, and even if they were I am not utilizing such ISP offerings. In fact the advertising from ISP's does not even note their Email or cloud storage, instead focusing on higher speeds and faster downloads. In my area I am lucky to have two ISP's to choose from that qualify as broadband under the FCC's rules. That being 25 download and 3 mbps upload, these ISP choices would require taking time off work, and contract cancellation fee's, time to return old equipment and receive new ones, costing me time off and time at work. I would incur severe work penalties for being unable to connect to the internet even for a short amount of time further complicating any switch and it's unlikely the two ISP's would offer different plans that would entice such a switch should net neutrality be abolished. The FCC is the agency that is currently in the only position for oversight of the communications of citizens of the United states, the FCC is the de-facto watchdog of ISP's. Without the FCC protection there would be nothing preventing monopolistic companies like Comcast or Time Warner from doubling their connection fee's overnight, or gating access to websites or providers who are not able or not willing to pay them for deferred access. The FCC's role should be the enforcement of a fair and level field on the internet similar to what the rest of the

world enjoys. Not leaving consumers to the mercy of unscrupulous ISP practices determined to extort both commercial entities and consumers, stifle American leadership of the internet due to restrictions of access and the ability of ISP's to charge and entry fee. In the end much of the American access to the internet was in some ways paid by the Taxpayer and not the ISP's. ISP's are already woefully under investing in American infrastructure in comparison to the world in order to preserve profits, there is no indication that without FCC oversight into their practices they won't continue to author practices that only benefit themselves. It's of serious importance Net Neutrality remains as-is at the very least, if not becomes more stringent and harder enforced in areas with limited ISP presence.

2421. Patrick Smith, Carnation, WA, 98014

I strongly urge you to keep the internet free with no ISP meddling of speed. Internet neutrality is very important to me. Please listen to the American people not the corporate interests of a few looking to make more profit.

2422. Sam Hubler, Woodinville, WA, 98072

In this day and age of high internet usage, internet speeds are extremely important. In communist countries like the DPRK, internet usage is highly regulated. By allowing ISPs to regulate what search results show up first or who gets higher speeds is almost like calling someone on your phone but instead of reaching them you are put directly into a call center selling vacuums just because that vacuum company paid money to be promoted. This is extremely unfair and very conflicting to the First Amendment.

2423. Lawrence Smith, Deming, WA, 98244

Please keep the internet as it is .

2424. Kesia Lee, Redmond, WA, 98053

Keep net neutrality. That's really all I have to say. Just keep it. I'll be back next year to say the same thing. Cheers, K Lee

2425. Nancy Hutto, North bend, WA, 98045

Preserve net neutrality! Stop the internet giveaway to large corporations. We all need and deserve equal access to the internet.

2426. Christopher Barrett, Bothell, WA, 98021

This change would not in fact increase the freedom on the internet. Governmental oversight in this case is to protect the end users from abusive corporations wanting to control how people get access to whatever the corporation wants them to. Keep the internet free by not allowing this change.

2427. Michelle Garcia, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Please allow us citizens to continue to browse the wonderful web openly and freely. That is one of the many great freedoms we are allotted here in the U.S.A. We can freely express ourselves, and practice whatever religion we please, and bear arms if we please; do not start censoring us by censoring the internet (unless its porn...that

rots parts of our brain, and creates an unrealistic view of relationships, as well as self-love).

2428. Harout Hedeshian, BOTHELL, WA, 98021

Net Neutrality is extremely important when options for internet service providers are limited. In many municipalities, ISPs are often granted a monopoly over cable installations making it impossible for a competitor to offer service. Allowing service providers to degrade service in order to prioritize their own offerings without allowing for sufficient options to switch ISPs is a very bad move and will harm small businesses and users such as myself who rely on stable connectivity for work. Do not roll back NN.

2429. Janet Shen, Mill Creek, WA, 98012

Net neutrality is the reason I was able to teach myself web design, drawing, writing, and countless other things growing up. Knowledge is what can bring the poor out of poverty, and it's vital that we don't throttle or cripple this rare lifeline.

2430. Erik Shipton, Kenmore, WA, 98028

Net Neutrality is extremely important to maintain the innovative edge. Without this the US will fall behind many other nations.

2431. Richard Sampson, Bothell, WA, 98012

I firmly support existing net neutrality under Title II. I currently live far from my family, and net neutrality allows me to communicate with them using applications of my choice without having to worry about my ISP limiting or restricting that use based on its preferences.

2432. Tinia L Miller, Woodinville, WA, 98077

I do not want net neutrality rules to change in any way to limit access or speed of access to information on the internet. I spend many hours learning from vastly different fields/categories of information on the web. This type of self-learning should never be compromised because of the possible owner of the information or how it is accessed. Leave the internet open. Let the generations learn to regulate themselves. Hopefully, the other censored Countries can one day enjoy this freedom that American's already have. Keep America Great. Keep your hands off our internet.

2433. Augusto Cesar Righetto, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Net neutrality is essential for business competitiveness and freedom of expression. It's important for people to continue being able to access their favorite website without having to be penalized by slow data transfers artificially created to favor large organizations to keep status quo. I want to be able to watch Netflix, Amazon Video Prime or any other video stream service from small companies without any glitches. I want to be able to purchase from Amazon or any other small online store in the same amount of time. Please keep net neutrality as is.

2434. Devan Munn, Ferndale, WA, 98248

The prioritization of traffic on the internet does not help consumers like myself in any way. Many will argue that net neutrality rules "aren't necessary because if your ISP is discriminating, you can always choose another." This claim is 100% false, because people like me have only ONE choice of a broadband provider. Competition in the broadband industry is practically non-existent. The large companies leave each other alone in different geographic regions of the country, effectively granting each other a monopoly in that area, since there is no one to force them to compete with pricing. If ISP's are granted the ability to prioritize traffic then they will have even more reason to charge consumers like me for access to, what they subjectively deem "preferred content." I have been paying \$90 a month for 150 Mbps internet through Comcast. Why? Because Comcast is the only high speed provider in my area. People in cities with competition get to pay \$70 for internet speeds up to 1Gbps (NEARLY 7 TIMES AS FAST, for less money). How does that make any sense? I pay more for less, just because Comcast doesn't have to compete in my area? Please DO NOT ALLOW ISP's to create priority lanes for conglomerates with endless resources to demand top tier access to people. This hurts 100% of the consumers in this country.

2435. Dylan Espino, Redmond, WA, 98053

I care about the internet being free of paid prioritization, blocking or throttling of content. The internet should be about open competition, not paid for priority. This type of freedom should apply to my home and mobile connections.

2436. Abi Ullattil, Bothell, WA, 98021

We already have Net Neutrality; ending that would be a step backwards and a poor choice for consumers and the FCC. I support Net Neutrality and protecting the internet under Title II. Abi Ullattil & Tina Varghese

2437. jeff, Bothell, WA, 98012

Net neutrality I feel should be part of our rights. It's basically freedom of speech and you guys are trying to limit what we see/read when neutralizing the Internet.

2438. Matt Hallinan, Kirkland, WA, 98033

The Internet belongs to "We the people" and exists for the betterment and welfare of us all. If the FCC does not protect our freedoms to drive commerce, access educational resources and openly share knowledge without censorship by corporate interests then America is truly no longer a democracy and the FCC has no value. Please stand firm and protect our rights to open access to the Internet. Corporations are ill-equipped to act in our best interests and do not have personal welfare at heart (just try calling an ISP for help today). Only the FCC is in a position to stand in the way of invasions of privacy, price gouging and outright fraud that will doubtless follow. Remember the FCC is also made up of good citizens who are "We the people", so please take a stand for the rest of us.

2439. Maryanna Price, Bothell, WA, 98011

The power of the Internet to connect us is the budding of what we have sought for millennia as social beings. We have striven throughout our history to create these pathways to and pools of knowledge and communication. We need to cultivate this

technology while it is young so that we can reap the magnificent benefits of it in the future. It has already changed our lives and our minds.

2440. heidi waltner, lake stevens, WA, 98258
We want a free and open internet without paid prioritization.
2441. A. William Whalen, Monroe, WA, 98272
Net Neutrality is the greatest asset to a public office like the FCC. If you want the best way to keep a pulse on public opinion, a field unhindered by cable company discretion is your best bet. I strongly urge, in your own self-interest, to maintain the neutrality that has made the internet such a powerhouse and asset it is today.
2442. Katy Anker, Ferndale, WA, 98248
I want internet neutrality. Please do not change what has been put in place to make this a more fair internet experience. I vote to keep neutrality.
2443. Theodore Fishman, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net neutrality is one of the most important issues of our era. ISPs have an effective monopoly in American, and removing net neutrality is no way helps the American People, it only benefits the ISP who can ramp up prices or filter content based on whatever they want. DON'T ROLL THIS BACK, YOU REPRESENT THE PEOPLE NOT CORPORATIONS.
2444. John F. Harris, Medina, WA, 98039
Net neutrality is fundamental to innovation in the U.S. Please maintain net neutrality to keep our innovation ecosystem healthy and viable.
2445. Megan Teubner-Foster, Kirkland, WA, 98034
ISPs have failed to prove the 2015 Order has had a negative impact on investment. IA research pulls from the same data sets as ISPs. ISP investment is up over time, and shows no decline as a result of the implementation of strong, enforceable net neutrality rules in 2015.Keep this in mind, please.
2446. Susan, Redmond, WA, 98052
An open free internet has improved the quality of life for every person in this country, including staffing at the FCC and members of all of our government branches. To hinder these benefits by allowing ISPs to throttle content and selectively exclude members of the internet community using financial incentives is a malicious attack on the freedoms America has been founded on. Discrimination against legal content for the highest bidder will have global consequences, and would be looked back on as the tipping point against America's greatness in our history. An open internet with competition online is critical, and I demand access to an entire internet free of paid prioritization free of blocking or throttling equally on all devices. Thank you for your time.
2447. Tonya Gisselberg, Duvall, WA, 98019
Net neutrality is important to me because net neutrality protects free speech. Free

speech is protected by the First Amendment and is one of our fundamental rights.

2448. Brian Haug, Kirkland, WA, 98034

We need net neutrality. All internet traffic needs to be treated equally, without ISPs selecting the traffic THEY want to allow and at THEIR speeds. It also is important to allow equal opportunity for the voice of website creators to share their content with the world, and not regulate the internet as another business model for the highest paying customer. That could turn into a slippery slope of an oligarchy with major corporations buying speeds, or blocking access to competitors. It is critical that net neutrality is left in place for the future of the US economy and job market.

2449. v, Woodinville, WA, 98077

Keep Net Neutrality in place without modification. Ending the current Net Neutrality is a play to support big corporations and looks to be political payback. Politically, overturning Net Neutrality will erode conservative support for the Trump administration. The argument suggesting there will be less investment is untrue - a brief bit of research shows the current law works.

2450. Jeffrey Biell, Mill Creek, WA, 98012

If this was to be repealed this would put me out of a job pretty quick as the company i work for is web based. Not only myself but a lot of people would be out of business.

2451. Aparna Emerson, Bothell, WA, 98011

The internet is a great equalizer. Open and uninhibited access to internet and connectivity services is crucial to a functioning and healthy democracy and literate population. The internet is knowledge and knowledge is power. Just look at any public library. The open access and net neutrality of the internet providers is key to protecting the gateway that allows us to inform ourselves (read news), perform basic tasks (pay bills), and communicate (email). Net neutrality also protects our privacy and keeps our information our own. Please ensure that internet providers are providing fair and unbiased access.

2452. FRANK MARASCO, Sumas, WA, 98295

We need the net to be neutral and not owned by big business.KEEP THE NET NEUTRAL AND NOT REGULATED BY MONEY AND GREED !!!

2453. Richard Gebbia, Redmond, WA, 98052

The internet is the greatest platform for collaboration that humanity has ever created. Please keep it an open and equal one.

2454. Joseph Gilliland, Redmond, WA, 98052

The open internet is the gateway to advancements in technology, art, culture, and understanding. Sharing across the world depends on the freedom to do so, and by opening up the possibility of monetization and internet curating, we endanger society with stagnation of progress. Keep the internet free.

2455. Tara Griffith, Monroe, WA, 98272
Ending Net Neutrality will significantly harm small businesses, who will be unable to compete in the marketplace against companies who are already successful. There won't be any room for new innovation, with this extra hurdle standing in the way of the entrepreneur. The American Dream is struggling as-is, there's no reason to impede it any further.
2456. Blaine Martin, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Net neutrality is important to innovation and ensuring an even playing fields for smaller Internet companies, who would be affected by policies that would require payment to ensure enough bandwidth to support their applications.
2457. Stuart Copeland, Redmond, WA, 98053
An internet without neutrality is worth nothing to anyone but companies seeking to give themselves an unfair advantage
2458. Andy Ruiz Cabrera, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I believe we should keep internet under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 to protect net neutrality. I believe that if it is reclassified into something weaker, it would allow the ISPs to abuse its costumers and competitors. I think it is against the public interest to allow ISPs to control the flow of content we get. We are already paying them for their service. It is not fair that we get punished by them because they choose to slow down the content we are consuming in order to get more money or support something else they are in favor of. We must not rely on their good will to play fair. If left unregulated, companies will try to take as much advantage as possible of the situation. It is naive to think otherwise. Don't let them manipulate the internet in their benefit.
2459. Crystal Taggart, Monroe, WA, 98272
Freedom of speech is one of our most basic rights. Please keep net neutrality and do not allow ISP providers to decide what we see.Thank you.Crystal Taggart
2460. Paul Petterson, Sultan, WA, 98294
The internet needs to remain free. No blocking, not throttling, no paid prioritization.
2461. Lacey Hulick, Redmond, WA, 98052
I write this in support of the preservation of Title II protection of the internet, commonly known as net neutrality. Ending the title II classification of ISPs only helps the ISPs make more money, at the expense of literally everyone else in the country. I don't want an "a la carte" experience of the internet, nor do I want my Internet service provider to be allowed to play favorites. Likewise, allowing ISPs to censor content that they find objectionable to their business model is deeply offensive to me; I find it similarly offensive to the general censorship of information. For those politicians who have claimed to support small businesses, repealing Title II classification of broadband services would irreparably harm countless small businesses that rely on the even-handedness of the internet to allow them to compete with much larger corporations. Don't try to claim that ISPs might not act unethically.

The push for Title II classification of broadband services came about precisely because ISPs were using their ability to treat the internet unequally to make money. Your responsibility is to the people of the United States of America, and your influence is global. Please don't sell us out to unscrupulous internet service providers.

2462. Soleil Golden, Woodinville, WA, 98072

It is absolutely essential that internet service providers remain under title 2. The internet is a basic human right in this day and age; allowing private companies to control it without regulation would be absolutely criminal!! I implore you, do not deregulate internet service providers!!

2463. Benjamin Tixier, Bothell, WA, 98012

I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. The internet should be considered a public utility.

2464. Charles Bronson, Kenmore, WA, 98028

Please make sure our internet is free and open. Please maintain current net neutrality rules.

2465. Calvin Haluza, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net Neutrality is part of our freedom and these rules should not be revoked. If ISPs can control how their subscribers see the internet this will destroy competition and the advancement of internet technology as websites that may not be the best will just be able to pay for better performance for a certain ISP. ISPs should only be able to provide internet service, not manipulate the way people use the internet.

2466. Robert Ackerman, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I oppose any attempt to suppress net neutrality, and I oppose any attempt to allow ISPs to throttle internet bandwidth arbitrarily or to charge different rates for access to internet bandwidth.

2467. Wesley To, Snohomish, WA, 98296

Without Net Neutrality Companies could easily move traffic to what they want to be seen. That is not freedom. People should be able to see what they want and at the speed they pay for.

2468. Gunnison Farrell, Sultan, WA, 98294

Net neutrality is important, people shouldn't be blocked from viewing their favorite content just on a whim of a company who already charges them too much for their connection anyway. Nobody but me should have control over what I get to see on my personal computer in my own home.

2469. Johanna Carazo, Redmond, WA, 98052

The FCC's Open Internet Rules need protection for the greed of companies that want money first, freedom last. ISPs should not have a final say on this. This is one of the

most important inventions of our lifetime. It provides intellectual, social and lifestyle benefits to all; from those with family abroad who wish to keep in contact, to the disabled who can seek a bit more independence with online services, we cannot allow for these benefits to come with extra charges! We lost our privacy already. We cannot lose our freedom. The internet is one of the very few things left where we are all the same, we get the same content, no matter our social and economic stand. It is equal to all, and should continue being so! Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

2470. Matthew Koscumb, Redmond, WA, 98052

Dear Sir or Madam; I have been a broadband internet customer for eight years now (six in my current location) and I feel it necessary to voice my opposition to repealing the FCC Net Neutrality rules. I currently pay nearly two-hundred dollars to Comcast per month, a rate which has been raising every year in excess of inflation, for their broadband internet service. For that, as a customer I expect what I would expect of a utility similar to a phone line: High up-time and fair access to any legal service I require. One must understand that in Redmond Washington, there is no option but Comcast, there are no other companies to compete with, thus no fair and free market. Redmond is not alone in the United States, there are many areas that have one Internet Service Provider, and customers only have a single option. This puts the Internet Service Provider in an incredibly powerful position where it comes to allowing access to any service, far and beyond what any Utility should be able to inflict upon their customers. Extrapolating logically (and politically) lets consider the following: Comcast gave over twelve million dollars in the 2016 Election Year. 95% or more of this to Democrat candidates. Under the new rules, what would stop Comcast from removing access to any and all Republican websites (or friendly news

sources)?I request that these rules not be repealed. The fair and equitable access to the internet is a requirement for in the modern age our republic to flourish.Thank you for your time,Matthew Koscumb

2471. Renee Beasley, Bothell, WA, 98011

As an avid internet user, net neutrality is important to me. I used the internet for the bulk of my college degree, and I use it now on a regular basis in my career. In my leisure time I use my connection for videos, online gaming, researching topics that my friends and I are having a rousing conversation about, and of course looking at cat memes. Internet has become such and integral part of life that I feel it is important to maintain the availability of equal access and neutrality. I think it is important that the FCC have the power to regulate the cost and implementation of internet services.

2472. Brandon Juhl, Mill Creek, WA, 98012

I believe in and support Net Neutrality. In fact, I demand it.

2473. Keith W Marshall III, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Net neutrality is a key protection for consumers. Stop allowing giant corporations to dictate what should an open public service. **DO NOT REPEAL NET NEUTRALITY**

2474. Gene Chatham, Snohomish, WA, 98290

I absolutely support net neutrality. As a small, two-person business, we rely on a fair and open Internet to build and grow our recipe-related Internet start-up. We may not have the extra cash to pay fees to ISPs so our product can be delivered at the same speed and quality as Internet giants with deep pockets such as Allrecipes.com or the Food Network. Increasing the cost of doing business for companies operating on the Internet (which is nearly ALL companies) so that giant, already wealthy and already very-profitable ISPs can make more profits is a bad idea. A quick look at the government's SBA data shows that small businesses make up 55% of all jobs in the US! (<https://www.sba.gov/managing-business/running-business/energy-efficiency/sustainable-business-practices/small-business-trends>) That is a huge part of the economy that stands to be hit hardest if net neutrality rules are removed.

2475. Steven McMahan, Mt Vernon, WA, 98273

If current Net Neutrality rules are repealed, and the major ISP carries are allowed absolute control over the internet the biggest losers will be small and competing business. Further, Americas internet infrastructure is already below many other westernized nations, this act would only further hurt our country and make us far less competitive with any and all services provided by the internet.

2476. Jerod Gummer, Nichole Gummer, Woodinville, WA, 98072

The internet is a utility that everyone accesses. Like Power and Telephone of ages before. Do the right thing keep the internet as free as it can be.

2477. Daniel Stokes, Kenmore, WA, 98028

Net neutrality is vital to the survival of the free public in the future of the

information age, and it is unthinkable that we should wish to dispose of such neutrality so readily.

2478. Jenny Spurgin, Ferndale, WA, 98248

Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on subjective judgments made by individuals. The internet is a public good and all users deserve equal access to all its content regardless of their ISP. The internet's power and presence in our daily lives dictates that it should not be left to corporate interests to regulate or censor content. Thanks for reading my comment.

2479. Jesse Lovejoy, Deming, WA, 98244

Do not undo Net Neutrality. It would only hurt people like me in rural area by allowing companies to charge whatever they want in order access the internet. It would also be easier to censor the internet and the last time I checked the only countries that do that are authoritarian and places like China.

2480. Kristin Anderson, Everett, WA, 98208

The internet is an essential utility for all Americans. It is especially important for education and research. If ISP's are allowed to show preference to certain websites or have the ability to limit accessibility to others, the integrity of academics is put at risk. The people of America need to be free to choose what they believe is a valuable resource, not their ISP.

2481. Sean Poole, Lynden, WA, 98264

I write because as a small creator who makes his living via the internet, I abhor the idea of internet fast-lanes where smaller sites like my own would be left the scraps of the larger companies when it comes to bandwidth and quality of service. The FCC's new stance on net neutrality would be extremely detrimental in the majority of neighborhoods around the country where there is a singular option for an ISP.

2482. Jacob Jeannot-Schroeder, Bothell, WA, 98011

Keep the net neutral! Do not reverse the Title II reclassification of ISPs. Do not allow paid advantages for the internet.

2483. Jeffrey Fabre, Redmond, WA, 98052

The Internet needs to remain open and free. Revoking certain net neutrality rules would not only be unethical and immoral, but it would allow internet providers way too much power in deciding what they think we should be allowed to see, or what's okay on the internet. It doesn't belong to them though. They could also begin throttling websites they don't agree with or disapprove of, and this could extend to upstarts and new business ideas trying to get off the ground. These internet providers as they currently stand are businesses, and I do not trust in them in that they will abide by their word once it starts to effect their bottom line. The internet should remain open and free and I support the current existing net neutrality rules, not the ones the FCC are trying to change.

2484. Dimitar Tcholakov, Redmond, WA, 98052
NET NEUTRALITY is vital, killing it only serve corporate interests... stop serving corporate interests....
2485. Lily Gearhart, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Net Neutrality is something that has been around since internet was first invented. It has been one of the few things that hasn't been monopolized in this country. Please help keep it that way.
2486. Carissa Coslow, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Eliminating net neutrality is an atrocious attempt at controlling the Internet experience of this country's citizens and continues to deep the divide in trust between citizens and the politicians in Washington.
2487. Amy Hickerson, Woodinville, WA, 98072
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. The internet is an essential utility and it should be treated as such under Title II provisions. It is just as critical to my daily life as the power, water, and natural gas that come into my home, and it should come to my home just as reliably. My ISP should not be deciding which websites and online services I have access to, and how quickly I can access them. Under the current FCC regulations ISPs can block, slow, or otherwise manipulate my internet access through the creation of "fast lanes" by charging more for online services or reach customers more quickly, which helps keeping a level playing field. Repealing these regulations would stifle innovation, suppress competition, and muffle expression. Keep the internet equal to all by saving net neutrality, and keeping ISPs classified under Title II.
2488. Michelle Patzelt, Bothell, WA, 98021
The Internet is a utility, not a luxury, to function in an equitable manner for all citizens and to perpetuate the ideal that America's anyman can have the same opportunities for success as those with more resources. True net neutrality is a standard that we should not modify for hopes of profit, especially at the expense of the people.
2489. Thomas Driscoll, Granite Falls, WA, 98252
The internet is a priceless source of information, entertainment, and social interaction. I spend many of my days browsing the internet, be it for an important paper to write or a nice song to listen to, and I would be beyond upset if these simple things were denied to me by my ISP. When I pay for broadband internet access, I believe that I am purchasing the whole package. Everything that the internet has to offer. It's called the world wide web for a reason and that is what I expect to have access to. What I do not expect is some watered down version of the internet that my ISP has chosen for me to see. Furthermore, it wouldn't be as simple to switch ISPs as it would be to switch a pair of socks. I live in a pretty backwater area up in the Pacific Northwest and I doubt I have access to all ISPs under the sun. I recently switched to comcast and it was a major upgrade for our download and upload speed

and I would hate to lose it just for the sake of having access to one or two other important sites with the possibility of much worse download and upload speeds. I am fine with the FCC overseeing the internet, but that should be focused on important things such as protecting a user's safety against privacy violation or fraudulent billing, not paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling. Thank you for taking time out of your day to read through and understand my comment.

2490. Courtney Bohland, Redmond, WA, 98052
Keep the internet great- protect net neutrality!
2491. Paulo Santos Alves, Redmond, WA, 98053
Please do not go through with jeopardizing net neutrality. The internet is supposed to be a free space, which already has the necessary regulations in place to maintain it stable and accessible to Americans and people around the world. I implore you not to let bureaucratic and business affiliations and inclinations impact your perception and actions regarding net neutrality. I would like to think of the internet similarly to freedom of speech: besides very specific occasions and scenarios, it is not to be restricted, it is meant to be open and accessible to all.
2492. Joseph Maris, Carnation, WA, 98014
I am a technology worker in rural Washington who uses the internet to connect to many cloud services outside of my ISP. Due to the amount of data I use, there is only one effective provider where I live, so I have absolutely no choice in who I use. Therefore, I believe it is important that we have regulations in place to prevent providers with no competitors to abuse their trapped customers by creating different swim lanes within the data stream that I already pay over \$200 for. Maybe one day the United States will have ISPs with credible competition, but today is not that day.
2493. Drew, woodinville, WA, 98072
Allowing a company to silence someones freedom of speech by denying me access to their message is unconstitutional. Net Neutrality is protecting our freedoms.
2494. Kurt Gilbertson, REDMOND, WA, 98052
The internet needs to stay neutral. The opportunity to learn and enhance your self through free and equal access to the internet is very important for myself and future generations.
2495. Zach Csolti, Burlington, WA, 98274
This continues to be an issue of big business needs and wants placed in front of the needs and interests of the citizens. I find it disgusting that this would be considered and find your arguments to be non-persuasive. You do not speak for the people who overwhelmingly wish net neutrality to stay in place. You are beholden to big corporations.
2496. Emma, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Net neutrality should be defended so that students like me can have accessible and affordable information for research without giving certain sources and sites priority.

2497. jeremiah Deckard, Redmond, WA, 98052
Internet providers should not be allowed to decide what content I view. Just as energy providers do not have control over what appliances are plugged into my power outlets. The internet is a community filled with many diverse types of websites. It should not be left to my Internet providers what type of websites I want access to or receive quick service from. Websites and web-services are a form of public speaking, there is a person or a group of people behind the creation of that website and the content it is providing, allowing ISP (Internet Service Providers) to pick and choose which content its users can see is disrupting that persons right to public speech.
2498. Brennen McCormick, lakestevens, WA, 98258
i care for the internet because it is a way of expression of creativity and if you allow people to make it so internet companys can control what we go on because of the websites they own could ruin the internet for everyone and cause Up roar of the entire united states and cause everyone to riot uncontroabliliy so please dont allow this to happen or it will be worse then if north Korea would launch a missle and there is only 1 internet and all rules must apply to my home and mobile internet connection
2499. Doug Parry, Everett, WA, 98208
The next generation needs Net Neutrality to compete and succeed online. Consumers need it to ensure fair pricing. Small businesses need it to be able to compete with big ones. Only big telecomm benefits from ending the policy of Net Neutrality. Does the FCC and the government work for all of us or just for the fortunate few?
2500. Julie Meghji, Redmond, WA, 98052
I SUPPORT AN OPEN INTERNET AND STRONG NET NEUTRALITY RULES!!! *** DO NOT SELL ACCESS TO THE INTERNET TO THE HIGHEST BIDDERS! ***
2501. Andrew Calvin, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Do not roll back the Title 2 restrictions. They keep a fair and free internet! Rolling these back will enable ISPs to do whatever they want and ruin the internet as we know it today.
2502. Todd Gash, Kirkland, WA, 98033
The internet must remain open and free for all. It is a critical utility for modern life and we must ensure service providers are neither allowed, nor able to choose what content is prioritized.
2503. Kristina Podesva, Bellingham, WA, 98226
I am writing to express my unwavering support for Net Neutrality. As a person who works from home in a rural area, I rely on online technology to facilitate my work via email, Skype, online research, cloud computing, and social media. Currently, I only have 2 choices for buying Internet service and buy from both companies because I need to switch to different networks when one of them slows down or

fails, a daily occurrence where I live. I would like to note further that neither network/ISP is providing my home and family with the best, fastest service. If there were more competition in ISPs where I live I believe I would be able to choose a better option, but right now I am stuck with the two providers in my area and neither of them are good enough to be able to allow my husband and I to both be on video chats at home at the same time when we have concurrent meetings. The result of this situation is that our day-to-day work is hindered by the substandard service provided by our ISPs. Thus, I am writing to ask that the FCC play an oversight role in the protection of consumers from the unfettered power and control exercised by broadband providers. It is clear that without oversight, these companies will continue to exploit their customers, have little incentive to provide better service, and will create obstacles to our work.

2504. Ryan Seaman, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Title II must be kept. Further, all internet service must be moved to a utility classification, as per a recommendation by the FCC. If this does not happen, I'll be contacting many representatives to override FCC rulings with state and federal laws making it such.

2505. David Patrzeba, Duvall, WA, 98019

ISP's should continue to be regulated under Title II and Net Neutrality should remain in full effect among all internet providers. The web was founded on the idea of openness and fairness. Net Neutrality has allowed new ideas to fairly compete with old ones, and billions, if not trillions, in new wealth to be created via this medium.

2506. Katie Burke, Kirkland, WA, 98034

The internet needs to remain open. As consumers we should have complete access at our disposal and not be limited to companies and their discretions.

2507. Travis Shurtz, Snohomish, WA, 98290

Keep Net Neutrality! The restoring internet freedom Act does the opposite of what its name suggest.

2508. Colleen Molloy, Redmond, WA, 98052

This shouldn't even be up for debate or discussion. Net neutrality keeps corporations from censoring speech, from suppressing competition.

2509. Nancy Osborn Nicholas, Bothell, WA, 98012

I strongly urge you NOT to rollback, or amend, the net neutrality protections put in place by the Obama administration. As a small business woman I rely on a fair, neutral internet to promote my business, engage in intellectual discussion and make the best decisions for me and my family regarding most aspects of our lives. Putting these decisions into the hands of a few corporations is akin to turning over our free will and responsibility to the CEOs and their Board of Directors -- NOT what the internet, as an ubiquitous utility , is for. Thank you.

2510. Samuel Meredith, Bothell, WA, 98021

Do not, roll back the net neutrality laws, it would fundamentally ruin the idea of a free and open internet

2511. Maninder Singh, bothell, WA, 98012

I Support Open Internet and should be our choice rather than the corporations.

2512. Net Neutrality, Sumas, WA, 98295

I support strong neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2.

2513. Boris Chkodrov, Redmond, WA, 98052

I am in support of strong net neutrality guidelines and Title II classification for internet service providers (ISP's). It has been proven time and again that ISP's have no intention of preserving net neutrality, regardless of what they may claim, and as such, they must have government oversight and regulation.

2514. Daniel Crowley, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273

Please do not rollback the net neutrality laws. Data should be treated the same no matter where it comes from. ISPs should not be able to block or slow down traffic if it competes with their own services.

2515. Elizabeth Edmondson, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I'd like to express my support to have continued net neutrality to allow fair competition within the internet and not allow a select few corporations control what we see or do online. I think continued net neutrality is in our best interest as a nation and aligned with the principles our nation was founded on for free and open market competition.

2516. Michael Medcalf, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274

As a citizen of this great country I want rules and regulations to protect me, not to give clout and control to organizations that are more interested in my money than my rights as a citizen. Local monopolies eliminate options giving these organizations too much control without giving their consumers...the same citizens you are employed to protect...the ability to use alternate services.

2517. Emmett Koch, Arlington, WA, 98223

I think net neutrality is important to keeping the internet a usable resource. If companies can pay / are made to pay to keep their traffic flowing then only the wealthiest of websites will be able to get their sites seen. Having the ability to abuse a non-neutral internet will cause companies to abuse it. If they can make a profit off of selling traffic priority, a corporation must by law make as much profit as it can.

2518. Chris Hurst, redmond, WA, 98052

The internet has been shaped in large part by common people. Remember an earlier simpler time, an individual could impact the way ideas and content was created or shared. Pastimes, recreation, liveliness, and websites were built upon the ideas and failures of common people. Look how far we have come and how great the internet

is now. The biggest voice of complaint against net neutrality do not come from the content creators and innovators. Do you think after all this time that killing net neutrality will help the internet prosper? Why change something that isn't broken?

2519. Samuel Sommers, Monroe, WA, 98272

As long as these large conglomerate companies have monopolies on the internet / mobile network markets, and we don't have the benefits of fair and open competition between companies, these companies should not have the freedom to control our access to the internet, a utility that is fundamental in an individual's freedoms this day in age.

2520. Jason Stephens, Bothell, WA, 98012

Please keep the internet free and open. Net neutrality ensures that both new and established services, whether offered by an established internet company like Google, a broadband provider, or a small start-up, have the same ability to reach users on an equal playing field.

2521. Joshua Lewis, Redmond, WA, 98052

"Internet Freedom" means maintaining the freedom from premium fees, speed restrictions, and much more. The Internet must be considered a utility - it provides access to information and entertainment for anyone with access, and more and more small businesses depend on a net neutral environment for their success. It would be immoral to restrict this access to only those who can "afford it." Repealing current net neutrality legislation would be the opposite of "Restoring Internet Freedom"; it would be tantamount to enslaving it.

2522. Brian Moudy, Kenmore, WA, 98028

I have worked professionally for technology and internet-enabled companies for the past 19 years. The internet has transformed the way we communicate and share information and has brought people together. I use the internet to communicate with my wife throughout the day, stay connected to my brother and his family each week, and my extended family regularly through video calls, online games, and email. It is safe to say the Internet is vital to my professional life as well as my personal life. This vital resource must remain open and free. The idea that an ISP will reduce the bandwidth to a specific service because it competes with their own offering is repugnant and goes against the very definition of a broadband provider. Net Neutrality must remain in effect. Limiting or gating internet access in the name of higher profits for Comcast, Verizon, Sprint and other ISPs will only stifle competition and lead to more monopolies in this space. As each of these companies champion their own content above their competitors they will find it too tempting to resist promoting their own above all others on their network. We must maintain Net Neutrality.

2523. Ralph Alan Dsouza, Redmond, WA, 98052

I support existing net neutrality rules, which classify ISPs under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. Thanks!

2524. Marco Grigolo, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Net Neutrality is essential to keep the US Competitive in web services. You cannot have Amazon, Google, Facebook or any other company who started as a startup against established players in the field, if they cannot play on a fair field. Without net neutrality, we could be still using Myspace and altavista search had the ISP be allowed to make a profit. US Web based Companies deserve an equal playing field
2525. Max Hughes, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Net neutrality is protecting free speech.
2526. Chris Williams, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I fully support Net Neutrality. Please keep a free and open internet available to all, with identical performance for everyone, regardless of their ability to pay.
2527. Josh Kirby, Bothell, WA, 98012
Repealing net neutrality and giving isp providers more power is bad. Don't give in to corporate greed!!!!
2528. Alex Hunte, Kirkland, WA, 98034
It will only damage end-user experiences with the general Internet but hit small businesses the hardest.
2529. Peter simonton, monroe, WA, 98272
keep keep our internet a free space, don't allow company's to decide where we surf or how we spend our data. throttling is theft and should be treated as such.
2530. Sarah Negugogor, Redmond, WA, 98052
Ending net neutrality benefits a few companies over the entire mass of the American people. I am all for the free market, but there is no actual choice in most places for internet providers. Either fix that problem, or keep net neutrality.
2531. Peter and Kay Talbot, Redmond, WA, 98053
Keep the internet open and available to everyone! Allowing corporate interests to manipulate the internet for their own profit is a breach of our First Amendment rights.
2532. Dylan Cronkhite, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I strongly support net neutrality. The internet is an evolving race, you do not put restrictions on evolution.
2533. Ashis Kumar Kotal, Redmond, WA, 98052
Internet needs to open and neutral to every website. Net neutrality should be kept.
2534. Cecelia Porto, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I believe that Net neutrality is vital to the United States and the world.
2535. Joseph, bothell, WA, 98021

Do not reverse the net neutrality laws. We see you. We will not forget.

2536. Erik Johnson, Bothell, WA, 98021

I understand the desire to deregulate and simplify the rules in place for ISPs. However, I have not seen an alternate plan which would prevent ISPs from engaging in anti-competitive practices (such as favoring their own content, or content owned by their parent companies, and/or charging other content providers for equivalent access/speed). I do not consider the word of the ISPs to basically/informally abide by net neutrality rules in the absence of oversight to be good enough. Moving ISPs back to Title I classification would create too much opportunity for the ISPs to exploit their positions by engaging in such anti-competitive practices, hurting the free market and consumers in the process.

2537. Darrel Spayth, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Please DO NOT make changes to the net neutrality rules.

2538. Varun Nelakonda, Bothell, WA, 98021

Our constitution was built upon the foundation that secures privacy of a citizen from corporations and governments. The government or a corporation should not be allowed to spy upon every citizen within the nation with no regards to privacy without due process or reason. I am strongly against removing net neutrality because it is the exact opposite of what the internet was created for.

2539. Ryder Donahue, Kirkland, WA, 98033

The internet is the most vital piece of human technology ever invented. It is paramount that it access to the internet as well as content contained there, to be fairly distributed and accessible. If we allow any specific party to control any aspect of the web, we will see this 'greatest of human inventions' be transformed into a tool of special interest and misinformation.

2540. Mark Mahan, Clyde Hill, WA, 98004

We are requesting that you leave Title II in place. Ensuring that net neutrality is enforceable requires strong rules, i.e., Title II. Leaving these strong rules in place can guarantee that carriers do not have financial, transmission speed, or reliability advantages over other companies, be they large or small. This has been vital to the growth of the internet in the past. As you know, there are documented instances of carriers violating net neutrality in the past. Letâ€™s make sure that there is even footing for competition in the future!

2541. Andrew Bruce, Woodinville, WA, 98072

I'm a Software Engineer residing in the Seattle area. I strongly disagree with the repeal of existing net-neutrality laws. If we allow corporations to control the content provided to the citizens we are in fact allowing corporations to dictate the level of freedom that our citizens enjoy. How can small businesses compete against corporate entities in this unfair market if their content isn't being delivered at the same rates as big businesses? How can we, as Americans, allow ISPs to dictate what we are allowed and not allowed to use our internet connections for? I hope that the

FCC will see the light and realize how grossly unpopular and unfair this repeal is. Please, for the sake of the freedom we enjoy as Americans, don't allow this repeal to take place.

2542. Roman Dufrene, Snhomish, WA, 98290

I am an independent contract and rely on a neutral internet in order to earn a living. I do not want ISP companies to have the ability to dictate who has an advantage in the market through the creation of so-called fast lanes. All internet traffic must be treated equally, and net neutrality must remain in place.

2543. Chris Waschke, Bellingham, WA, 98226

I am for Net Neutrality. It helps keeps the internet a fair and open place. This would do nothing but hurt the consumers and help the big ISPs.

2544. Cole Astaire, Redmond, WA, 98052

I am interesting in defending net neutrality. The internet allows for so much intellectual exploration, and as long as users know that they are not limited to any source, and are free to find the best or worse sources as they see fit, they will remain enthusiastic about learning new things. In my opinion, an open experience online crucial to the development of young people, because giving them the freedom to explore any subject equally gives them the freedom to be equally passionate about any subject. If we were to be restricted on what we have the ability to see online, we would also be restricting the developing youth to the subjects, media, and content that their ISP's believe are the best fit, and that is not the ISP's responsibility.

2545. Heather Christensen, Snohomish, WA, 98290

Hello. I frequently work from home. I am required to be on-call should emergencies arise on the Microsoft campus in Redmond, Washington so that I can assist with building-wide notices, etc. My work demands that I have access to fast, reliable broadband internet, however I have only ONE choice for broadband ISP in my area, and it is already insanely expensive. I am not at liberty to choose my provider, so if my current ISP, Comcast, were able to bottleneck my speeds at their whim, my job could be severely impacted. My lack of ability to have access to fast, reliable broadband would impact tens of thousands of Microsoft employees. If my ISP were to jack up their prices any higher than they already are, I would have difficulty affording broadband at all. Please, FCC. We need you to help protect us consumers and regulate these ISPs. Without your help, I have zero doubt that these companies who have already proven to be greedy and money hungry conglomerates with little to no regard for their customers (especially in areas when we don't really have a choice BUT to purchase their services) will take full advantage of a lack of net neutrality. We consumers are relying on you.

2546. Stan Dotloe, Kirkland, WA, 98033

As someone who works in the tech industry Net Neutrality is an important issue to me. Please keep the internet free so that competition and innovation can continue to flourish. Thank you! Stan Dotloe

2547. Duncan MacDonald, Woodinville, WA, 98077

Please don't mess with the Internet. It seems perfect just the way it is and government meddling will NOT make it better. Better to spend time and money on more important things like health care reform.

2548. Dheeraj Mehta, Redmond, WA, 98052

I as a user should decide & chose what I want to access. Data providers shouldn't control (mind feed) content to users. This will lead to polarization of opinions & will threaten the very fundamental reason behind the existence of this country:
"Freedom"

2549. Matt Probert, Snohomish, WA, 98290

Telcos/ISPs are the gatekeepers to the internet. If you don't regulate the gatekeepers...then they can hold their users hostage. Many ISPs are also in the content business (comcast tv, verizon has plans to launch streaming service and have had music services in the past as well). So the ISPs compete with many of the high bandwidth video/audio companies on the internet but at the same time are the gatekeepers between users and these content providers.-----Children use the internet primarily for entertainment. For most adults it is an essential service.-----
-Email has replaced postal mail in many cases (including for formal things like invoices)-----Online newspapers have replaced print newspapers.-----Online weather and traffic information has replaced the television news cycle.-----
Online maps have replaced the thomas guide.-----Voice over IP telephone service has replaced traditional phone lines. In fact it is very rare to see traditional phone wiring for businesses or houses anymore other than perhaps to carry a DSL signal. Many ISPs have started including phone jacks on the back of Cable and DSL modems and include phone numbers with internet service automatically because the ISP is in effect a replacement of the classic telco.-----Even things as simple as booking an appointment at a government office is often done online. Sure you can do this by phone but how do you look up the number? Maybe you have an old phone book, but your phone connection goes over the internet.-----It is becoming common for things like banking to be done 100% online.-----It is good for a user to have a choice of multiple services as that promotes competition and innovation which leads to commerce. When the ISP can give advantages to specific services (including their own) over others, this is a problem. When a user can access some services on one connection but not another it leads us back in time to a very fragmented portal based internet where have the MSN people, the AOL people, the comcast people, the verizon people and none of them are able to reliably talk to each other.-----The internet is an essential service. Internet users see their connection as a "pipe" that connects them to online services. Users expect that their experience not to significantly change when they roam from say their mobile data connection with T-Mobile to their Wifi at home with Comcast or their Wifi at work with Level-3. -----You may not be aware, but many ISPs today are already using "deep packet inspection" in order to spy on a user's browsing activity in order to sell that data to advertisers. This is generally done without any explicit consent by the user. This is one example of the types of things that can happen when business that

provide essential services (like a utility) are not regulated.-----The argument that none of that will change and that this is just about not overburdening the ISPs with regulation doesn't make sense. Utilities need to be regulated to some extent to enforce good behaviour because at the end of the day they are businesses and if there is something that makes them more money and is allowed...they will do it. Imagine a nuclear power plant that is managed by a for-profit company. If safety regulations are not in place, then the only protection against dangerous behaviour is the conscience of the business operators who often seem to be more motivated by profits (take a look at what the banks were doing before for the economic collapse). If it isn't illegal there aren't any consequences for bad behaviour other than waiting for the market to correct for it...however in the case of ISPs where there is very low competition the market can't correct.-----True that an ISP is not like a Nuclear power plant or a major bank holding people's retirement savings. However a major ISP does have an impact on the economy, just look at the market cap of large internet companies that are not ISPs. -----You may not realize it, but in many markets an given address will only have a single choice for a broadband internet provider. So many consumers don't have a choice about which "pipe" they use to the internet. This lack of competition is reflected in the pricing which is already very high relative to most of the developing world. Do you know that you can get 10 Gigabytes of LTE mobile data and unlimited minutes for \$30 in Spain without a contract? Do you know you can get a 100Mbit cable internet line in Germany for \$35 and it actually speedtests at 100Mbit...both including tax? It is common in the US to pay over twice as much for less than half the speed/bandwidth...don't be fooled by introductory pricing that goes up after a year and doesn't include taxes.----

-----If it isn't clear already I am opposed to this proposal. There needs to be a balance between too little regulation and too much regulation. That means regulating the right things with the right rules in order to protect businesses, consumers, our environment and natural resources. This proposal is a step in the wrong direction and highlights that the leadership is either out of touch with how the internet works or is just corrupt.-----Here are some suggestions since the FCC needs some good ideas for something to do:----- Rules that encourage the ISPs to raise the downstream and upstream capabilities of their networks. -----I would like to see the ISPs be required to offer a basic broadband plan much like the basic cable plan carrying free-to-air stations for consumers without an antenna. \$15/month for 16Mbit down and 3Mbit up would suffice. Many essential services are moving online and low-income consumers often can't afford basic internet service. This bandwidth/pricing is common in many places outside the US.-----I would like to see the ISPs required to offer multiple internet service plans at varying speed, bandwidth and price. Many today only offer a single (expensive) plan even though they are the only broadband provider available to service a given address. Or they force you to bundle with other products (for instance content products like TV packages) in order to get the top packages.-----I would like to see price regulation in markets where there is only a single broadband ISP available.

2550. Chad Jones, WOODINVILLE, WA, 98072

Please preserve existing title classification for internet providers. Without such, they

will abuse their monopolies and subtly favor their own business dealings and not provide fair service for their customers.

2551. Laura Dong, Woodinville, WA, 98072

I urge the FCC to uphold net neutrality. The internet is a means of communication that has been essential to the way I access information, discover businesses, support creators, and engage with communities. We cannot enable ISPs to manipulate this basic human necessity for profit.

2552. Morgan Eason, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Please do not mess with NET neutrality laws or policies. Thank you.

2553. Aubrey Chambers, Kirkland, WA, 98034

No private body, corporate or otherwise, should ever hold the power to control access to the internet. The internet is a vast place where all information, knowledge, and expressions from all over the world can be freely exchanged. If one were to control access to this information, whether it's by blocking all access to it or by requiring a premium payment, you deny the people of their rights to exchange this information. To end net neutrality would also be unconstitutional; by controlling information, you control people's speech. Do not enforce the end of net neutrality.

2554. Todd Bruce, Redmond, WA, 98052

I care about keeping the internet open and neutral. Do not allow the ISP's to determine what content I see and how fast it is delivered.

2555. Zachary Folkman, Redmond, WA, 98052

The uninhibited flow of information, of any kind, is our best and final defense against the descent into fascism and oblivion. If our nation cannot protect the discourse, art and information in our internet data from being divided and prioritized by private interests, why should we believe it would protect it's people from the same fate? And why would those people defend such a nation?

2556. Laurie Benaloh, Redmond, WA, 98052

Please keep the net neutrality regulations. ISPs should NOT be allowed to differentiate the speed with which information is delivered to me based on who sent it.

2557. Nick A., Kirkland, WA, 98034

The internet has become an indispensable and necessary service to all Americans, as important to our modern livelihoods as clean water, electricity, sidewalks, and roads. It is both utilitarian and intensely adaptable, functional, and expansive. For these reasons, and perhaps against the wishes of those who operate the fabric the underlies the internet, it should be treated as something special, something that is more important than the hardware that makes it up. And this means that those who operate the internet need to be held to extremely high standards. They hold the keys to something greater and more meaningful than themselves. Perhaps this isn't fair, perhaps this isn't what these companies wanted or expected, but it's the reality now.

Companies and infrastructure are as much entangled in the fabric of society as people are, and like we have rules recognizing the greater impact of our personal behavior, we need rules that recognizes the greater impact of companies, includes those who run internet infrastructure. Dear FCC, please recognize that the internet is not the personal domain of those who operate it. They may have built their little parts of the internet, but in connecting their parts to the greater whole, they signed a pact with society to provide an indispensable service, unencumbered by person goal. It's time to codify this pact and for ISP's to held to the high standard of being neutral gatekeepers. This is a privilege, and should be treated as such. Thank you, Nick A.

2558. Jordan Chandler, lake stevens, WA, 98258

I defend net neutrality. Internet freedom.

2559. Carson Bowles, Monroe, WA, 98272

The internet has been the most influential force in the progress of humanity for the past 25 years. Get of net neutrality and yoi get rid of the internet. Don't let this happen. No body wins without net neutrality

2560. Joshua Cunningham, Redmond, WA, 98052

I support net neutrality and do not want it to be repealed. It is critical that we maintain fair and equal access to the internet which represents the fountain of knowledge of humanity. No one should be charged more or be censored by their Internet Service Providers. DO NOT REPEAL net neutrality.

2561. Andrew Algard, Snohomish, WA, 98290

I strongly oppose the repealing of the net neutrality bill

2562. Mary Mellott, Kenmore, WA, 98028

Do not reverse the 2015 rules that keep the internet open, free, and democratic for all. An open internet is the basic communications network for everyday life. It gives us access to innovative services online, free speech, education, a free market for starting businesses and creating jobs, community organizing, and civic engagement. Any proposal that undermines net neutrality violates our freedoms of speech, expression, and inquiry, will hobble our schools and impede healthcare, and risks unfair discrimination against low-income communities and communities of color.

2563. Aaron Schrader, Kirkland, WA, 98034

ISPs sell bandwidth. They should not be gatekeepers, determining what may be accessed or shaping the manner of the access in a way that penalizes other services. I felt lucky to be able to sign up for Verizon FIOS six years ago. It was fast, 25Mbps upstream and downstream. Since then, Comcast has improved its services to match. But, that's still only two choices, and it's hard to define much difference between their bandwidth rates or their prices, so not as much of a choice after all. I have enjoyed knowing that whatever I wish to do, it's available to the best of my destination's ability. This includes shopping, streaming movies, playing games, and learning about any topic that comes to mind. It's all been available, from any site on the Internet. I want that promise to continue. That's what "net neutrality" means to

me. People deserve those guarantees. I support the FCC continuing its role of overseeing ISPs and ensuring equal access to the Internet for all.

2564. Jason Salameh; Shaza Salameh, Bothell, WA, 98012

Keep net neutrality! it's for the better good

2565. CJ Anderson, Kirkland, WA, 98034

PLEASE keep net neutrality. The neutrality of the internet is one of the last remaining freedoms we have left...

2566. Alexandra Nalimova, Redmond, WA, 98052

I am against the "restoring internet freedom" proposal, because I am worried about the impact that it will have on entrepreneurs, other small businesses, and consumers. Currently, net neutrality prevents throttling or paid prioritization. This ensures that all companies and websites, no matter how much money they have, are equally accessible to consumers. If these safeguards are removed, large companies could pay in order to be more readily accessible, thus pushing smaller companies out of business. I want to be able to visit a vast array of different websites owned by different people, just like I currently can. I'm worried that overturning these protections will limit my choices to websites owned by large companies that can afford to pay internet providers to prioritize them. I enjoy being able to quickly visit thousands of different websites, and I'm worried that your proposal would take that away.

2567. David Lervik, FERNDALE, WA, 98248

The internet should be free and open to all. No company shall have the ability to control that and limit what the people can see or do. I run a website selling apparel and other merchandise and would not be able to compete with any large online retailers if there was no net neutrality. America is supposed to be the land of the free. Let's keep it that way by keeping the internet open and free

2568. jesse carlos, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Net Neutrality is extremely important to me at work as well as out of work. My line of work I need to look for and shop competitors, the internet working the way it does now allows me to find competitors and be able to give our customers the price and options they are looking for and to be comparable if not better than the other competition. Outside of work, I need the internet for the same thing with my hobby of reselling my old clothes. If I can't find the correct prices colors and what not, I can't price accurately, if I can't price accurately I can't make the income I need to provide for myself and for my wife and daughter. We all pay a good amount of money for data and internet whether it be at home or at work..... on our phones, laptops, or even TVs. We should have the access and freedom to search for what we want when we want and not have to pay more just for that. Also not have to worry about someone pushing certain brands or ideals on us. This is not Russia, this is not Korea.....this is American, this is the land of the free. A place where we practice freedom, and not "freedom under the watchful eye of our leaders". We have sent millions of people to war, essentially to die for this country to give us freedoms such

as these. I want to roam the internet, which I pay for and be able to go where I want and see what I want. Same for my family especially my daughter. Freedom is a choice and a right us an American citizen have. Anything other than that for our internet servers is un-American and down right un just to the American public. We are all America, not just a select few who have their pockets lined to give into to the majority.

2569. Vu H Dinh, Kirkland, WA, 98034

The arguments made against Net Neutrality are far too weak in comparison to the injustice that will occur when absolute power goes unchecked in the ISP's hands. Do a better job.

2570. taylor jones, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Getting rid of free internet is like taking away free speech, and it's a step in the wrong direction and towards dictatorship.

2571. Mark Engelberg, Everett, WA, 98208

I create and program online educational games for children. I take pride in the fact that I am able to make a living doing good for the world. As someone whose livelihood depends on a free, fast, and open internet, I understand that we need strong, enforceable net neutrality rules, and an FCC that takes an active role in protecting consumers from ISPs that all-too-frequently abuse their power, since they are effectively monopolies and people have little choice in their provider. (Remember when Comcast throttled Netflix before Netflix got too important and/or cut a deal with Comcast? I do. It sucked.) Nearly all technical software engineers who work with the internet are in agreement, this is vital to the future of the U.S. economy.

2572. ronald logn, woodinville, WA, 98077

The people of the USA gain nothing by eliminating net neutrality. Is it not your responsibility to serve the best interests of the people of the USA, and not large corporate interests?

2573. William Verthein, Kenmore, WA, 98028

The FCC's open internet rules are extremely important to me. As a new small business owner who depends on online sales and service, I depend on the fairness provided by the internet to allow my site to operate quickly and efficiently. Unlike a select few gigantic companies, I cannot afford to pay an ISP to be in a "fast lane" to get an advantage for my business. Splitting the internet into "fast lanes" and "slow lanes" to give business advantage to a select few, and giving the ISP the ability to block certain sites or purposely slow down content is completely wrong. Allowing the few ISPs to block content (e.g. AT&T blocking FaceTime), slow down service (e.g. Comcast throttling Netflix, Time Warner throttling online games), and setup of "fast lanes" and "slow lanes" (e.g. Verizon already has a plan in place for such a structure) is censorship. Censorship is not what this country is about. This not only hurts small and large businesses, but individual citizens as well. This opens up the threat of political speech, nonprofit organizations, blogs, artist sites to be blocked or

censored because the views do not align with those overseeing the ISPs. I'm contacting my own representatives in Congress as well regarding this issue. Please support the existing net neutrality rules and denounce Chairman Pai's (a former Verizon lawyer) plans to ignore the interests of millions of American citizens, including myself. Thank you.

2574. Ethan Steensma, Monroe, WA, 98272

Im, commenting to save the internet. Getting rid of net neutrality only gives more power to ISP, such as Comcast and Time Warner Cable making them having an even further monopoly on the business. Once you get rid of net neutrality, ISP will have the power to censor the internet meaning if the company is swayed by a specific political party they can and WILL censor. Im here as a consumer and i do not want ISP's to have even more power over the internet, the internet is not the same as cable and should not be treated as such.

2575. Stuart Ketcham, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net neutrality must be maintained to ensure the freedom of individuals to express themselves and for providing businesses with an even playing field.

2576. Anthony Tutt, Duvall, WA, 98019

I believe that Net Neutrality is a core principle to a working internet & as such, I believe that cable companies should continue to be regulated under Title II rules

2577. Shane Swathwood, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I want to take a moment to recommend retaining Title 2 designations for Internet services provided throughout the United States, which were originally put into place on June 12, 2015. The use of the Internet has grown exponentially since its public inception to the point that, should you ask nearly anyone on the street if they make use of the Internet daily, they would say yes. Because of this widespread usage and, indeed, necessity in daily life for matters such as managing finances, searching for jobs, and general day-to-day communication, the protections offered by ISP's Title 2 designation are absolutely required. I ask that you weigh on this matter for the good of the American populace to better ensure that everyone, regardless of socioeconomic status or regional disparity, will have appropriate access to this necessary utility.

2578. Alexander Elder, Kirkland, WA, 98033

This is a no brainer I dont want my life controlled by the people I pay to give me internet

2579. Cynthia Horst, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I run a small business selling a parenting education service and it's hard enough to get traffic there. I certainly cannot afford to pay an extra fee, and my potential clients will not tolerate slow access. I believe in Title II and maintaining net neutrality, and I need it. The country needs it. Thank you.

2580. Ryan Everts, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Utility-style regulation of ISPs should be upheld. The internet is a platform of commerce and connectivity, losing access/having our access throttled negatively impacts consumers and small businesses alike.

2581. Meaghan Cooper, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Do not reclassify ISP s under type 1!! Keep the internet free and neutral!
2582. Ryan Seghers, Kirkland, WA, 98034
This proposal seems to be entirely driven by corruption. Net Neutrality is good for consumers and good for competition. The only informed and rational reason to oppose Net Neutrality is to get some sort of payoff from the large companies that can leverage it's absence to reduce competition and extract more money from consumers.
2583. Elizabeth Johnston, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support net neutrality. Please keep the Internet Neutral.
2584. Jonathan Robinson, Redmond, WA, 98052
Your mission, specified in 1934, was to ensure all Americans have equal access to communications. Don't forget that.
2585. Paul Andersen, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Don't give in to monopolies, and artificially hold our nation back in the process. Net Neutrality is a good step forward.
2586. michael mcallister, kirkland, WA, 98034
Please do not support this legislation to roll back net neutrality!!!!
2587. Tony Garand, Kirkland, WA, 98033
The internet was made to be free. We live in a free country. FREEEEDOOOOM!!!
2588. Nicholas Taijeron, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net Neutrality is integral to the digital world. Without Net Neutrality, my work which takes place in the cloud and internet would be subject to the whims of an internet service provider instead of the free market as it should be. Rolling back the current Net Neutrality rules would be a significant step back for the US.
2589. Ben Parsons, Bothell, WA, 98012
I support strong net neutrality and an open internet. It is not only critical for a free market that the internet be an equal access platform, it is a moral necessity that corporations not be allowed to maintain undue power over individual citizens.
2590. Richard Norris, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
This is an unfair attempt at taking a service and establishing a way to squeeze more money from the consumers and web sites for offering what we already get today. I understand the desire to bring in more revenue but this seems to be unfair

2591. Ryan Smith, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Thank you in advanced for listening to and protecting the freedoms of internet users like me by upholding the existing Title II net neutrality rules.
2592. Mikhail Kalesnik, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Removing net neutrality will disable competition in the IT sector of economy. New companies won't be competitive to IT giants. And as consumers we will all suffer from such action.
2593. Robert Lenoir, Snohomish, WA, 98296
The FCC's Open Internet Rules are important to me. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let ISPs censor what we see and do online. Our nation's ISPs have shown that extra revenue will be used to maximize shareholder value, and not improve the quality of our nation's infrastructure. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and the nation's worst customer service. Thank you!
2594. Tom Ollerenshaw, Redmond, WA, 98053
I'm writing to express my support for maintaining the existing laws which allow for net neutrality. I am 100% against repealing these laws, which would lead to Internet Service Providers being able to pick e-commerce winners and losers and allow ISPs to basically get away with legal extortion of web sites. Also, I think it is negligent that the FCC is not investigating and removing feedback on this issue coming in from bots (regardless of what side of the debate the bot generated feedback is supporting). Illegitimate comments need to be identified and removed from consideration as part of the FCC's decision making process.
2595. Justin Harper, Monroe, WA, 98272
The internet belongs to everybody...not Verizon, AT&T, and Comcast. We the people DEMAND that it be kept open and neutral. All internet traffic should be treated equally.
2596. Brandon Garcia, Ferndale, WA, 98248
The internet is for the people. It's the people's internet. It is already a partially monopolized industry due to the cost of entry. Government regulation only further reduces the power of the consumer. What benefit comes from a weakening of the people?

2597. Monica Hodges, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
Please save Net Neutrality! An open and accessible internet is necessary to freedom of expression, of thought, and of the press. The internet has become the great equalizer of our time, and the oppression of the internet by large corporations will lead to the oppression of Americans all over our country.
2598. Kevin Chubbuck, Redmond, WA, 98052
I can't believe this is a subject that is up for discussion. To me, this no different than prioritizing electricity based on the manufacturer of your light bulbs. It opens the door to burying citizens in costs and fees for using a 21st Century utility.
2599. Valerie Hodge, Monroe, WA, 98272
Internet access is a basic right. Adding "tiers" is classist and dividing. Know how the entire country bonds over a Game of Thrones? If net neutrality is squashed, we become divided on a cultural front.
2600. Carol Valdivia, Bothell, WA, 98021
Giving the companies who have the most money more preferences to buy their way into the faster internet is not fair nor what the open internet should be about. Prices for TV and Internet are already skyrocketing due to monopoly of carriers in specific areas. This is only going to make things more insane.
2601. William Vong, Hunts Point, WA, 98004
Please keep the internet open and accessible for everyone. Net neutrality is in the spirit of why and how the internet was invented, for the free and easy distribution of communications and information. It levels the field for all, not just for some.
2602. Sterling Dietz, Ferndale, WA, 98248
Dear FCC Commissioner Ajit Pai, I would like to say how much a free internet means to me. Being able to access all information freely and equally is an amazing accomplishment. Please don't change it. All information and businesses should have equal footing in order to promote competition and create higher quality content across the board. Thank you for listening.-Sterling
2603. Kimberly Davis, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Protect the Title II classification of ISPs! I do not trust corporations to police themselves, and I do not want my internet provider choosing what content will be accessible to me. Removing regulations will harm businesses, strengthen monopolies, and cause consumer prices to rise. The internet is an integral part of the way people communicate, access services, learn new skills, look for apply for jobs, and even pay their bills. Don't jeopardize people's access to this important resource by allowing ISPs to prioritize some content over others and charge higher and higher rates for access. Thank you for considering my comment.
2604. Bryce Rowe, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
I would like to keep the internet as is and not make changes to neutrality.

2605. Timory Burleson, Woodinville, WA, 98072
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai -- we need to keep the freedom that the open and free internet provides everyone to create, be heard, innovate and connect. Please don't let money win this and rule the Internet. If you want to serve the American people, and serve them correctly, then you must keep Net Neutrality in place.
2606. Leon Dubinsky, Redmond, WA, 98053
The net neutrality is vital for the development of new and exciting products. We need open internet to get the next Google off the ground. Please do not sacrifice the tremendous potential of the Net in favor of short-term financial interests.
2607. Valerie sabado, Custer, WA, 98240
Please keep Net Neutrality and an open internet. It is not fair to small users to be blocked or throttled, or to have internet content censored. The internet should remain free and open and uncensored.
2608. Nathan Deebach, Arlington, WA, 98223
Net Neutrality rules are supremely important to keep the internet a level playing field. The internet is right up there with the invention of the printing press as a founding element in sharing knowledge, it has changed the world like nothing before it. It is imperative we keep the internet open for all and do not impose a hierarchy that could lead to behavior where only those who can afford it can take advantage of all it offers. On a personal note as a person with a severe physical handicap it has opened my world and helped foster my social essence. Do not allow a system that could eventually price the blessings of educating yourself and connecting with others out of reach. It is analogous to our democracy itself in that we all have access to the voting booth on an equal basis, we should all have access to the wealth of information on an equal basis. If knowledge is power, do not lock it behind a wall that would eventually lead to only corporations and those super rich who could afford it.
2609. Adam Sampson, Redmond, WA, 98052
While I would love to expound on the virtues of Title II classification for ISPs - how it prevents an already awful local monopoly from entrenching even further, hampering communications, stifling innovation, stalling the economy, and destroying an important part of the global Internet for purely bureaucratic reasons (a sloppy transfer of jurisdiction from the FCC to the FTC), I sincerely believe that this comment will fall on deaf ears. I have very little faith that these comments will be considered or even read given the actions and statements of Chairman Pai. But in the hopes that someone sufficiently influential is reading this and has the power to actually change things, please support net neutrality. Please prevent rent-seeking ISPs from further sapping their customers dry on both ends of the cable. Please listen to us. Please don't ruin the Internet.
2610. Steven Davis, Snohomish, WA, 98290
"We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life,

Liberty and the Pursuit of Happiness." Note the word equal. If sites are blocked that's definitely not equal. This should be a no brainer.

2611. Seth Sopher, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273
The internet needs to be free and not have ISP's try to choke its users out of more money.
2612. Michael Gagne, redmond, WA, 98052
The internet is one the last bastions of free speech, to suddenly impose regulation that would allow ISPs to throttle and influence what the American people can and can't view would be the biggest travesty of free speech imaginable. To think you would even CONSIDER giving such a power to ISPs is beyond absurd and lost me all faith in you as an organization. That faith can be won back how ever, but only if you continue to maintain the freedom of the internet and the free speech it supports, it is a simple concept that this country is founded on and it must not be disregarded. Television is not comparable to the internet in anyway shape or form, as information more free flows between people on the internet where as television is a one way communication, as such speech of those on the internet must be protected from business practices that can and will be used to stifle unwanted opinions.
2613. Arthur Armstrong, Woodinville, WA, 98077
We need to keep the internet neutral! Dont let it become corporate.
2614. Michael Entin, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net neutrality is important both for economy and freedom of speech in USA.
2615. Janet Jewell, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Greetings: I am a career Waldorf Educator and I have an opinion about net neutrality I would like to share. The internet provides a venue for worldwide communication to the benefit of every US Citizen. ISP's should not be allowed to create fast lines for the corporations that can pay the most. A fair and unbiased internet is crucial to our democracy and to the education of our children and populace. Please respect the rights of every American to information provided by the internet. Sincerely, Janet T. Jewell, M.Ed
2616. Kelley Zhao, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net neutrality is important to keep when considering the interest of the general public. Being swayed by ISPs to change these rules should be considered as one of the biggest crimes against the people of the United States
2617. Umang Someshwar, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Internet needs to be neutral, as it exist today.
2618. Doug Graham, Snohomish, WA, 98296
There is only ONE internet and it should be equally accessible from my home, business and mobile connections. The whole internet. Free from restrictions and throttling. Please keep Net Neutrality and protect online competition.

2619. net neutrality, Redmond, WA, 98052
I want to express my strong opposition to your plans to take away net neutrality protections that are in place today. Net neutrality protects start ups and new ventures from predatory pricing and discrimination by telecom giants like Comcast, TimeWarner Cable and Verizon. By letting telcos charge differently for access to different parts of the internet, you risk killing the start up ecosystem, the single biggest driver of jobs in the new economy. Wasn't the promise of more jobs the reason this president and administration was elected? How do you square one with the other?
2620. Lidia Harding, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Keep our internet safe! Keep Title 2.
2621. Alexandra Ferreira, redmond, WA, 98052
net neutrality is the most important tool of advancement for the future. this is not an issue of commerce; it is an issue of free speech. without it, peoples perception of the world will be entirely warped by the controlling companies. without net neutrality, the internet is basically useless.
2622. Stephen Douge, Lynden, WA, 98264
Net Neutrality is important to the ability for all businesses, large and small to innovate and maintain the US dominance in online innovation and business growth.
2623. Casey Colella, Kenmore, WA, 98028
We should be protecting net neutrality. We wouldn't have things like netflix, amazon, or reddit without it.
2624. Seema Bhandari, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please keep the internet equal for everyone!
2625. Rebecca Rodgers, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I firmly believe that NO company or government agency should be allowed to do any type of content prioritization, blocking or throttling on the internet. I, as a paying subscriber, should be allowed to view any content I want regardless of what any content or service provider thinks. Especially if I am paying for another service - such as a paid video/movie service, newspaper/magazine service or game/app service. I use the internet daily for staying in contact with friends (Facebook), communicating with the organization I volunteer with, maintaining a cordial relationship with my ex-husband (as talking on the phone is not healthy for either of us), watching TV or movies with my teenager (bonding time which as a full time single parent is vital), learning new sewing skills to help my at home business, and applying for jobs (in order to support my two children - one of which is in college). I would also like to say that my choice of broadband carriers is severely limited even here in the tech company metropolis area of Seattle. It was the same when I lived in Raleigh NC. Repealing the current rules won't help me as a low income customer to find a better, cheaper or even ANY option for service. I also believe that the FCC is currently in the best position to oversee the regulation of the ISP's and their services.

To remove them from the FCC's oversight would be a grave mistake.

2626. Timothy Sullivan, Blaine, WA, 98230

ISP's already have a monopoly when it comes to installing internet for a lot of areas, they don't need a monopoly on the actual internet as well.

2627. Trevor Born, Ferndale, WA, 98248

The internet should not be controlled by large companies and our ISP

2628. Restoring Internet Freedom, Kenmore, WA, 98028

Please don't ruin our internet. Us little guys need a way to stay alive. I make indie video games. We must have rules that keep the Internet open to everyone. The big ISPs are so greedy, that they will run themselves out of business and they don't even realize it. If it is allowed for them to take away net neutrality rules the internet will become useless as a tool. Subscribers will start dropping like flies, people will go back to cable. Net neutrality is needed for everyone involved. The talking points of AT&T and other big ISPs have all been debunked. So please stop this madness.

2629. Matthew Goodwin, Woodinville, WA, 98077

I feel that the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and that you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. In this country we are divided over so many issues however this issue is so important that it brings us all to the same side. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Matthew

Goodwin

2630. annabel brennan, Monroe, WA, 98272
Keep your tiny hands off my internet you assholes
2631. Kristin Wallio, Bothell, WA, 98021
Please keep net neutrality rules in place so that the internet remains open and fair for all providers and all Americans.
2632. Matei Armanasu, Redmond, WA, 98052
This attempt to dismantle net neutrality is not only irresponsible, but a gross overstatement of power at the hands of the government. When viewed against the extreme backlash across all sects of citizens and companies, it is hard to see this as anything but an act to seize control. Indeed, unless there are provisions to protect communications sites such as Facebook, Twitter, Reddit, or even sites such as The Onion or 4chan I find it hard to see this as anything but a violation of First Amendment rights. Repealing net neutrality allows for censorship of speech on one of the most critical fora in our modern age. It doesn't even make sense when cast against pushes to loosen gun control. Can you really say with a clear conscience that you want to restrict the internet more than the sale of deadly firearms? I know this will probably never be read, but be assured that this sentiment will remain throughout the population. Even if this just becomes part of some statistic, it is a statistic that speaks volumes about what the public thinks about this act.
2633. Kathryn Savelesky, Gold Bar, WA, 98251
Please do not lift the net neutrality laws that have been enacted to keep the internet accessible and vital. Thank you.Kathryn Savelesky
2634. Arian Weatherman, Snohomish, WA, 98296
All companies and individuals should have the access to the same internet speed, regardless of size or other reasons. I use the internet for personal and business use. I work for a small company and re rely on quick speeds. As a full-commission sales person, I rely on speed to service my customers quickly and do research from my supplier's websites and I often work from home. I'm against changing the net neutrality laws currently on the books.
2635. Steve Anderson, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support keeping the internet how it is and not allowing corporations to pay to control our experience online.
2636. Dane, Renton, WA, 98053
please dont mess with my internet
2637. Campbell, KIRKLAND, WA, 98034
This is ridiculous. Instead of working for the interests of profit and appeasing soulless companies how about y'all do something good in this world and keep the internet for everyone.

2638. Mai Umezawa, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I need the internet for the job I have.
2639. Justin Hathaway, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I believe in net neutrality. Everyone has the right to have access the different parts of the internet. The internet shouldn't have paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling.
2640. Jonathan Wills, Bothell, WA, 98012
The NET is freedom. Allowing companies to do with it what they want is a nightmare come true.
2641. Stephen Liberty, Snohomish, WA, 98290
As an IT person, the idea of rolling back "all traffic is treated equally" is very concerning. Part of the wonder and success of the internet is how regardless of whether the data is coming from a Big Name (Amazon, Google, etc) or small potatoes (startups, niche sites), it gets to people without roadblocks, slowing down, getting filtered. Anything less kills innovation. When we have to grease the palms of those in charge to give us enough priority for our web applications to work, ideas become expensive. Beyond that, from years of using various ISP's, I *KNOW* that none of them have our best interests at heart. The moment these protections are rolled back, pockets will start getting lined at the expense of the consumer. Don't let this happen. Stop enabling these corrupt monopolies.
2642. Sarah Zilz, Medina ` , WA, 98039
Hi ~I am a internet user, I use the internet constantly, on my phone, at work, at home. I use it to discover new information, keep up with the news and simply enjoy myself on the day to day basis.I ask we allow this freedom to stay as it is today, open and accessible to anything and everything so people around the world can have the entire internet at the tips of their fingers.Thank you.
2643. Net Neutrality, Monroe, WA, 98272
Net Neutrality protects Freedom of Speech, Small Businesses, and prevents monopolization of the accessibility of sites via the internet.Without Net Neutrality, internet service providers would have the ability to rate limit sites or content that does not match the view of the company while promoting fast connections to sites or content that reflect the view of the company. This would create a bias in the content available to the end user.Without Net Neutrality, internet service providers would have the capacity to require companies to pay a fee to avoid being rate limited. They would effectively control the ease of access that an end user would have to specific company sites. This would allow them to promote the sites of specific companies, while limiting access to the sites of others.The internet today has become a commodity. Nearly all job applications are done online. An email address is required for contact within most companies as well as between companies and their potential future employees. Many phone services have been moved to Voice Over IP or VOIP such as Skype. These are frequently also used as a replacement to standard phone services and may be used for applications to jobs and contact with potential employers.Without Net Neutrality, there is a potential for the contact with these

employers to be limited based on the views of the internet service provider. While this would not reflect well on the ISP, they would still have the capacity to implement these limitations which would negatively impact not only the companies, but the end users of the ISP. Please vote to keep Net Neutrality

2644. Alex, Everett, WA, 98208

Net neutrality is important because the internet gives small or big online business owners the chance at making a living. Many people make a living online. Why do cable and phone companies get to decide the fate of these websites? We all pay a lot already for our phone lines, cable, and internet access. It isn't the internet's fault for the failure of brick and mortar. Technology and trends move at a fast pace. Store fronts don't work hard enough to appeal to the new demographic. Just do better! Without startups (like twitter for example), Trump would have no outlet for his tweets. Your children will have a hard time doing their research for classes. Students will suffer. Businesses as a whole will suffer. Everyone will suffer in the long run. Restricting the internet will only make things worse. By restricting us, you lose our faith, trust, and support.

2645. net neutrality, Kenmore, WA, 98028

Do not allow Internet Providers to create tiered services. Access to information and the ability to freely navigate the Internet without favoritism is an important to people of all economic classes. In addition creating a structure where large businesses (such as Amazon) can pay a fee to get preferred service for their customers will add additional barriers for small business and increase costs to consumers.

2646. Madelyn Kinzer, Redmond, WA, 98052

The internet is a key method of communication and business. As such, I am hugely in support of strong net neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Without equal access, the visibility and accessibility of businesses will depend on how much they can afford to pay, which will strangle small businesses and allow large companies to establish a monopoly. This harms Americans. Net neutrality is vital to a free market.

2647. Michael Joseph Cormier, Redmond, WA, 98052

The internet must remain classified as a Title II utility for proper net neutrality to be enforced. Corporations have proven that even with Title II they will skirt the rules as much as they possibly can. They cannot be trusted without strong government regulation to curtail their negative effects of their profit motives.

2648. Kelly Cochran, Snohomish, WA, 98290

The FCC needs to keep net neutrality. I don't see why I should be charged more to watch a movie vs. checking my email vs. sharing photos with my family and friends. Furthermore if net neutrality is removed that means internet providers have the ability to deny access to certain services and websites like VPN, Skype, Netflix, TedTalks, etc. This means I would have to pay a higher cost to work from home, couldn't insure my own personal privacy when checking my email or banking information and possibly wouldn't be able to access common or even uncommon

websites.

2649. Justin Hathaway, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I believe in net neutrality. People should have access to an internet that is free from paid prioritization, throttling, and blocking.
2650. Shawn Tabrizi, Redmond, WA, 98052
It is ridiculous that the public has to fight for net neutrality yet again. Do not let our ISPs control the future of our internet. Our society has grown tremendously as a result of an open and fair platform for communication. If this changes, we risk stifling the development of our world. I personally feel powerless to actually make a change here, and as a result I am filling this form out so that you may do the right thing on our behalf.
2651. Nico Hickman, Duvall, WA, 98019
Net neutrality is the only thing keeping the internet--possibly the greatest invention ever made by humanity--free. Please keep net neutrality for all generations to come. You cannot let ISPs control the internet.
2652. Jacob Barnett, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
The ability to freely trade information over the internet is the single greatest achievement of humanity. It has allowed us to excel not only as individuals, but as a race, allowing us to learn better about the sciences and about each other at the blink of an eye. Frankly, commercializing and adding an authority to that kind of free space can be nothing but detrimental. Allowing this commercialization will do nothing but hold us back as an entire civilization. Please, do not take away the most beautiful gift we've ever given ourselves.
2653. Irwin Dolobowsky, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I am in favor of net neutrality; we must maintain it to ensure an open internet.
2654. Klaas Meyer, Everson, WA, 98247
I'd like to appeal to you about Net Neutrality. Please, don't let ISPs dictate how we use the internet. Let small companies have a chance to flourish. Let those with dreams of making a space on the internet for themselves and others enjoy themselves without having to spend untold amounts of money. Don't let the big businesses take this from us, creativity thrives in an environment that's equal to all.
2655. Alexander Kozlov, Redmond, WA, 98052
There is too great a disconnect between these agents of change and the people they claim to represent or benefit. This is incredibly unwise and will lead to exploitation and abuse.
2656. Tyler Fargo, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Do not roll back Net Neutrality. I support an open internet and do not want to see the internet controlled by big money internet service providers.

2657. Ruth Cohen, Redmond, WA, 98053
As a maker with a small craft business at Etsy (online), I am challenged to promote my items and reach my customers. Please protect Net Neutrality as without it I could lose any presence on the web, especially if sites like Amazon are able to affect how smaller sites run. Thank you for listening.
2658. Devin Kelly, Ferndale, WA, 98248
This is AMERICA, LAND OF THE FREE. Do not limit our internet, don't be fascists!
2659. net neutrality, Redmond, WA, 98053
I support net neutrality. If I want something to be throttled down, I can do it myself. If I want to prioritize any thing, I can do it myself. If I want a website to be blocked, again, I can do it myself. I do NOT need someone else to determine what I would need. Because if we ever reach that point, it's not about what I want anymore. It's about what's profitable for the people in charge.
2660. Tanner, redmond, WA, 98052
I am for strong net neutrality rules because it gives people freedom. Freedom to create and imagine new ideas. The freedom to start your own business. The freedom to interact and cross paths with anyone. The freedom to choose what YOU want to see, read, play, or experience. When you starting taking that freedom away, that's when this becomes more biased. Only I know what I want to see or experience when using the web. So STRONG "Net Nuetrality" is an absolute must.
2661. Sean Lefebvre, Everett, WA, 98208
Dear Senators and Representatives:Please support any amendment that would strike out Sections 628, 629 and 630 from the Government Appropriations. These sections would undermine the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and prevent the agency from enforcing these critical protections. It is unacceptable to use a budget bill to circumvent the FCC's open rulemaking process that millions of citizens participated in.The American people have called for strong net neutrality rules. Congress should let the FCC do its job, and not engage in unproductive partisan brinksmanship.Thank you,Sean Lefebvre
2662. Jonathon Thompson, Vancouver, WA, 97006
Internet should be treated like a common carrier or other utility. I pay for access and bandwidth and the content I pull down should not be governed or regulated by the ISP's whether it's email, video's, or some obscure mom and pop shop trying to sell wooden damnits in their retirement years.Open internet allows all citizens the ability to pursue their interests (within the law) without bias or influence of monopoly organizations or dictatorship of information.
2663. Twila Taylor, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Net neutrality needs to stay. Big business should not be allowed to pay to have their webpages load first. When I buy a TV from Samsung I don't believe they have the right to tell me what I may watch on that TV - just sell me the equipment and stay

out of my way. The internet should be the same! Please do NOT allow net neutrality to be lost. Thank you!

2664. Garrett Blits, Monroe, WA, 98272

Allowing entities that provide wired and mobile access to the internet to control the speed and/or availability to legal content/services should be something the FCC fights to PREVENT on behalf of the citizens of this great country. The internet should be open to all. I support Net neutrality.

2665. David Retherford, Duvall, WA, 98019

With absolute power, comes absolute corruption.

2666. Bogdan Shmat, Everett, WA, 98208

Please send Comcast and other ISP's to North Korea where this type of stuff is okay. Huge infringement on FREE SPEECH.

2667. Jesse Hibbs, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net neutrality is very important to many people, and benefits very few people in ways that can be damaging to members of the united states of america.

2668. Nathan Leete, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Please save the internet as we know it, and do not allow corporations to control our access to information and education.

2669. Philip S. Pharazyn, Arlington, WA, 98223

Keep net neutrality rules, The statements made by the current head of the FCC with regards to this topic are illogical and seemingly an obfuscation to legitimize reversing net neutrality rules for certain corporate interests.

2670. Nick, Everett, WA, 98208

The Internet is the single most influential form of communication in the modern world, and ensuring that everyone can have fair and equal access to the Internet is important to uphold the rights guaranteed to us in the First Amendment. That is Net Neutrality. To vote against Net Neutrality is to support monopolies, to support tyranny, and to disregard the First Amendment. Nick

2671. Jordan, Redmond, WA, 98053

As a future college student who is working to save money to get there, Net Neutrality is something that is borderline required for someone like me and many others. Access of websites and the internet needs to be a utility that is accessible and affordable to all. It is also important on a personal level, people use the internet and services provided by it to communicate with friends, family, and other loved ones. It is a scary thought to think that something like that could be put in severe jeopardy. Keep Net Neutrality. For the sake of everything.

2672. Keaton Robinson, Redmond, WA, 98052

The internet is only interesting and useful if people can make interesting and useful

stuff on it. Stifling innovation goes against what we hope to gain from the internet. Don't allow anyone's data to be given preferential treatment.

2673. Kai Hokland, Bothell, WA, 98021

Please keep the internet free and neutral, for the benefit of society and small businesses.

2674. Kimberly Correa, Bothell, WA, 98021

The Internet has connected to an amazing world of people and helped me grow my freelance business. Without telecommuting, I would be without many job opportunities. It is vital that we keep net neutrality.

2675. Jeff Bacon, Redmond, WA, 98052

I'm against this.

2676. Austin Minich, Snohomish, WA, 98290

I love how the internet is. Allowing the users to choose what can be accessed and not have their ISP (internet service providers), such as Comcast, control what is possible to be reached through the internet. Having the consumers not being able to choose what is accessed makes it a monopoly. Which is banned in the United States. It would be nice if this doesn't happen since if it does then the FCC would be frowned upon even more than it already is. Thank you!

2677. Net Neutrality, Everson, WA, 98247

Net Neutrality is extremely important to me and obviously the vast majority of the entire country. Having the internet as a fast, open, and affordable service for everybody is essential for the well-being of countless individuals, industries, and the country as a whole. Our way of life today revolves around the amazing accessibility to the internet. If we are to save the planet from the evil that is the Trump Administration, saving Net Neutrality is a step in the right direction. Please listen to the American people.

2678. William Gaynier, SNOHOMISH, WA, 98296

Do NOT roll back the net neutrality laws!! Have a backbone for God's sake! The internet belongs to everyone, don't bend over backwards for corporations.

2679. Jake Meeks, Bothell, WA, 98021

I think there is a lot of fluff and noise around net neutrality. I would like to say, and I would like to say it clearly, that net neutrality is the most important modern challenge of freedom. Everyone who values freedom supports net neutrality, even if they do not know it. The only reason the entirety of the population is not advocating strongly against net neutrality is simple: They do not understand what it means to them. From day one this country was supposed to be BY the people and FOR the people. Net Neutrality opposition spits in the face of that sentiment. Enough of the bureaucracy - Let us all decide wisely on what is best for the entirety of the population. I think it is very simple. One choice is for the people, the other is against the people.

2680. Charlene DiMeglio, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Keeping the internet neutral is very important to me. I have a wide variety of uses for the internet, and it would be sad if one use was prioritized over the other. In school, I used the internet to complete my research for my thesis on a niche topic, reading 100's of sites and online papers, and would not have been able to in a timely or thorough manner if the speeds were not consistently fast. Having moved around a lot in my life, keeping up long distance relationship would be come tedious if the internet speeds were not consistent. I also use the internet to help me with my job in the software industry, both with keeping focus and obtain information. if my access were to change, I'd have trouble completing my job. I purchase Internet access to obtain information from all parts of the net at high speeds. If my provider started to change what speeds I could access content, I'm not sure what I'd be able to do, since I only know for sure I have access to that one provider, with a potential possibility of one other. That isn't much of a choice.
2681. Rebecca Martinez, Kenmore, WA, 98028
An open internet provides opportunities to people who have few. We need free movement of and equal access to information. No one should be barred from full use of the web based on their economic status. Net neutrality helps ensure that we don't end up with a "separate but equal" situation online when it comes to learning and educational opportunities.
2682. Ryan A. Crabtree, Bothell, WA, 98021
I run a podcast called Aquacast.net that seeks to teach people about the wonders of marine biology and the importance of environmental conservation. Without Title II net-neutrality protection, my voice could be silenced if someone did not like what I have to say, whether through website blocking or content throttling. My way of life and my passion depends on a neutral, open internet. Please don't take away what has become the most important medium to humanity by putting the control into the hands of corporations who will filter what they don't like and in the process gut free speech on the internet. Keep Title II protections in place! Long live net neutrality!
2683. Jocelyn Hernandez, Bothell, WA, 98011
Please don't change the net neutrality rules. I very much care about the open internet and competition online
2684. Brian Peterson, bothell, WA, 98021
Please listen to the over 80,000 online companies protesting against removing Title 2 regulations and for keeping net neutrality intact and stronger. If there is ever a case of government supporting corporate interests vs the interests of the population who you are supposed to support then this is it. Please stand of the side of the people and not against them. Thank you!
2685. Darryl Aoki, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Given the importance the Internet has taken on as a medium of dialogue and speech, net neutrality has become a free speech issue. As such, allowing corporate entities to restrict Internet access on a "pay-for-play" basis constitutes a threat and an

abridgement of the freedom of speech and of the freedom of the press. While this wouldn't be a direct government abridgement, it would constitute a violation of the spirit and intent of the First Amendment to the Constitution.

2686. Daniel Whitcomb, Monroe, WA, 98272
Removing net neutrality could give rise to removing freedom of speech to many parts of the internet, and as the lawmakers would know, that is pretty important to the our constitution and freedoms we have as citizens of the United States.
2687. Kyle Whitham, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
We can't let companies control what we can and can not see. the internet is a space of free speech
2688. Declan Barker, kenmore, WA, 98028
We need net neutrality, otherwise the internet will be slow, censored, and will cost more. Corporations control so much of our lives already, and to give them reign of the internet would be the final push into giving our freedoms to them completely. the freedom to go where you want, when you want on the internet would be lost if this passes, instead having a corporation tell you where you can and can't go at all times, and on top of all that, making you pay for it as well. It is our right as citizens of the USA to have freedom in this manner, and to take that from us is as good as being imprisoned.
2689. Ron Ball, lake stevens, WA, 98258
Control of the internet does not and should not belong to governments, commercial conglomerates , or any other party seeking to control its use and/or content.
2690. Jarrett Thorsted, Kirkland, WA, 98033
In order for a democracy to function the public must be well educated and able to explore whatever topics they will. Rolling back Title II in anyway will fundamentally threaten our ability to function as a nation. What happens if my ISP decides it supports one candidate over the other? What happens if it decides to obscure any information about its competitors to ensure its client base isn't threatened by a new innovation? Keep the net neutral!
2691. Dan Koopsma, Kenmore, WA, 98028
The internet is more than the profit of a handful of telecoms. This is a utility, and should be free from prioritization, paid fast lanes, and monitoring/selling of user data. It will stifle small business, startups, and creativity. Economically speaking, the more choices we have the better off we are a society. There shall be no throttling, blocking, or paid prioritization of online traffic. Do NOT mess with access to information.
2692. Derek Schlicker, Kenmore, WA, 98028
It is vital that the internet remain neutral. Cable television is proof that monopolies and non-competing markets are bad for the consumer and provide skewed, rent-seeking incentives to cable companies. The internet is at its most effective when the

flow of information is free flowing and without compromise by for-profit institutions who have a vested interest in gardening off the flow of information for their financial benefit. THIS CANNOT HAPPEN. Please back consumers in this fight and not those who would profit financially at the expense of the many.

2693. Daniel Jacobsen, Bothell, WA, 98012

I am entrepreneur who provides 3d Printing services and could never compete if Sculpteo or UPS (yes they do 3d printing) paid for faster carriage it would greatly hurt my ability to do business and prevent other from starting their own businesses. When I signed up for my internet I got it with the expectation to get reliable access to all the Internet offers at fast speeds. My choices for internet are very limited even though I live in a major city. My whole life (post dial up modem) I have has been with one carrier and that was not by choice. I believe the FCC should be in charge and have the power to enforce neutrality. Additionally the FCC should ensure that consumers are protected from, among other things, invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers.

2694. Steve Watts, Snohomish, WA, 98296

We need Net Neutrality and an open and democratic internet. Paid prioritization is against the principles that make the internet such a powerful and promising tool and idea. If we head down this path, startups will be stifled by large corporations, innovation will grind to a halt, and de facto censorship by corporations will be rampant. The internet has and should continue to be a fair and open playground for innovation, new business, and new ideas.

2695. Quinn Taylor, Woodinville, WA, 98077

The internet's beginnings came as a result of the amount of data being processed at CERN but it was always there for public use. Then as the internet matured we chose to have it free and available for all and we want it to remain this way. Giving corporations and ISP's the power to decide what people see and at what speed only takes away from the consumer.

2696. Jonathan Reed, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I would like for my information to remain in the hands of my person. And would like to not see a corporatists take over of this wonderful free and unbiased space us humans call the internet.

2697. Net Neutrality, Kenmore, WA, 98028

Repealing net neutrality in any way shape or form is complete madness. The internet is meant for all, not just those how can pay to access the parts they want nor to line the pockets of the already wealthy. This along with health care are two items that will be an immediate vote against anybody associated with supporting these ridiculous means of limiting our freedoms and making more money for the 1%. DO NOT REPEAL!!!!!!

2698. Lionel Godolphin, Bothell, WA, 98012

Keep Net Neutrality - it is essential for the innovation our country is founded on.

There is only one internet. It is absolutely un-American to sell priority access to this vital resource to just a few. Keep it open & free and let innovation flourish!

2699. Charles Martin, Redmond, WA, 98052

A free and open Internet is imperative to my livelihood as a software developer. Without net neutrality my job, and thousands of others like it, would be in serious jeopardy.

2700. Joshua Shlemmer, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I already have a data cap on my internet connection with Comcast even with the protections that Title II gives. Please maintain these consumer protections as I can only assume based on Comcast's current behavior in my area, throttling would be the next thing to come without title II. In case whoever is reading this actually believes the public statement Comcast released, all I have to do is look back to 2005 when Comcast was throttling all peer-to-peer services, legitimate or otherwise, to see what they would do without restrictions in place.

2701. Neutrality, Redmond, WA, 98052

Please keep the internet free, america is about freedom; freedom of expression. Don't start another prohibition, it will only get worse.

2702. Christina Sagrelius, woodinville, WA, 98072

During my undergraduate career I used fast speed internet to research material and to complete assignments. I relied on equal service to websites to educate myself. I still rely on equal coverage as a graduate. However, since I have moved away from reliable highspeed state university internet; I have been let down by the sole provider in my area. I am not receiving the speeds that are being promised and sometimes the connection is too poor to use. I rely on a reliable internet, as a young artist, to find customers who would like to buy my art and artistic services. I use small company websites who would not be able to afford to pay extra for the same download and upload speeds that larger companies could afford; thus my personal well being would be in danger. I also rely on neutral internet speeds to research subjects like politics and world news. It would not be fair some some websites to be slowed down possibly for political gain. I would like to see a free internet where every website receive equal access regardless of company or political alignment.

2703. Alessandra Avalos, Bothell, WA, 98011

I strongly support keeping Net Neutrality rules because the only people who benefit from the loss of those rules would be ISP's and cable companies. Without Net Neutrality people like me, a 19 year old college student who uses google, my schools website, Spotify, Netflix, and other online services not only for academics but also for entertainment, will not have access to those services because I will not be able to afford it. We could lie to ourselves and say that cable companies won't take advantage of this opportunity to charge whatever they wanted, but that's not how the world works. As a college student, it is unfair that I will have to compete academically with someone who will be able to afford the online services. With the world focusing on getting more people access to computers for education, it seems

counter productive to make online services something that only the rich will be able to afford. I hope when making this decision you think of the common man and the people who have enough to worry about without a huge new internet bill to add to the stress. Please do not let Net Neutrality rules disappear and the internet bills go up.

2704. Lukasz Bakun, Woodinville, WA, 98072

I support strong Net Neutrality rules because simply put, the only people would benefit from less Net Neutrality rules would be ISP's and Cable Companies, everyone else would be losing, consumers could be forced to pay more than twice as much for basic access to websites that they already get right now. This also will affect the economy greatly. If people have to pay more for online shopping, or pay more for basic internet usage, it will be harder to get jobs, and there will be less money in consumers hands to go to any other source. Those that work minimum wage will definitely not be able to afford internet if there are less net neutrality rules, as most minimum wage workers right now have little to no spending money on things outside of what is necessary to live. Lessening net neutrality rules is an obvious error especially in such an age where the internet is close to the center of everything that people do, including communication, events, jobs, sales, and information.

2705. Yesenia, Kirkland, WA, 98034

the internet is a resource that should provide valuable information and education to individuals regardless of financial situation people already pay for wifi why add onto that fee

2706. filter?, lake stevens, WA, 98258

You're the US govt, and you are supposed to protect your peoples rights. This act is going directly against everything you are supposed to protect! For money... If you actually go through with this not only will you be violating your most fundamental duty, but you'll be despised by massive amounts of the population. Do your job, and look out for the citizens, not your bank account.

2707. Jason Nelson, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274

I'm writing to comment on the efforts afoot to dismantle the net neutrality laws put in place during the Obama administration. To eliminate these safeguards is NOT to restore internet freedom - the wording of this effort is absurd and not aligned with reality. I share these things as the founder and CEO of an IT services firm and ISP. Keep Net Neutrality intact.

2708. Karen Martin, Carnation, WA, 98014

This is completely outrageous and a complete subversion of the free-speech ideals of the Internet; and it will lead invariably to higher prices for consumers. I care about an open Internet and demand Congress keeps net neutrality protections in place. ALL members of Congress work for the people, NOT corporations! Congress, do the people's work and KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!!!

2709. Net Neutrality, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I believe that everyone should have access to stable and fast broadband internet. I do not believe that ISP's should be able to pick and choose the speeds for the content on the internet that I want to access when I am paying for their service. We are limited to carriers available in our area, and are already forced to deal with poor customer service and potentially unreliable internet access due to hardware issues of the specific carrier that we may choose. Giving the ISP's more power over what should be a free and open Internet is simply unacceptable. Sincerely, Melinda Cromer

2710. Sean, Maple Falls, WA, 98266

We rely on the internet for our livelihood. Throwing the internet to Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon is like denying common people access to FREE information. Don't devolve our country into a totalitarian regime. They always crumble and it's not the police, not the army, not the politicians, but the people that suffer the most when that happens.

2711. Sukeerth Vegaraju, Redmond, WA, 98053

Net neutrality is very important. Especially to me as a student to be able to access information I need to learn.

2712. Paul Chaban, Woodinville, WA, 98077

There is a large majority of people who provide entertainment to the public using the internet, a good share of these people even make a living off of doing this. By getting rid of Net Neutrality not only are do you risk putting these people out of a job, but you are also getting rid of many different entertainment and information resources. How would you like it if you need to look up a recipe for a certain cake, but your network provider is trafficking you away from doing so?

2713. Mike Ton, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Net neutrality and the open internet works as is. The actions being considered to alter the current governing law is harmful to me and my community.

2714. Brenden Calvo, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

Save net neutrality, dont let big corps take control of the internet. The land of startups and opprotunity

2715. Auston Reykdal, Bothell, WA, 98011

For me it is vital the internet remain open and that when purchasing service from an ISP we get what we pay for. If they are able to prioritize websites that they want us to see, place limits on the amount we can view websites without paying extra, etc. we are headed in the wrong direction. It is important that the internet remain a place that is open to future generations without there being regulations directing where we can go and what we can view.

2716. Brian Armstrong, Bothell, WA, 98011

So it comes down to the will of the people vs. the corporate lobbyists. Who do you serve?

2717. Sean Kenworthy, Duvall, WA, 98019
The internet needs to stay free to promote the creation of new businesses and proper competition
2718. Jeremy Lindh, Woodinville, WA, 98077
The Internet is a community whose primary value is its commitment to free speech and the equal availability of all information. By allowing corporations to control the Internet speed of certain websites and applications, this effectively gives control of what information can be perused to those corporations, which is a dangerous power to give entities that exist solely for profit. Do not kill Net Neutrality.
2719. J. B. & Anne Rawlings, Duvall, WA, 98019
Net neutrality is essential to equal access for all. Money and power should not dictate who has access to the internet and the level of services available.
2720. Evan Berge, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Maintain net neutrality, stop allowing telecommunications consolidate market power to unreasonable levels for little to no benefit. It's inefficient and we are getting a poor service for too much money, they are literally attempting to undermine a free market, smash the trust or at least build some better infrastructure.
2721. Taylor Troost, Snohomish, WA, 98296
I hope you will do what's best for all and not just some. Protecting Net Neutrality is a simple and effective way to equip every person with tools to better themselves. Ending Net Neutrality would show what is truly valuable to you and the people who pay the price will likely have some more time on their hands to show you how they feel. Please, look out for the consumer, the student, the small business owner, the artist, it is the least you can do. Taylor Troost
2722. Clayton Leyerle, Kenmore, WA, 98028
To whom it may concern, Removing Title 2 from regulating ISPs will do nothing to benefit the general well being of all people. Instead it serves to consolidate and fortify the already absurd power that oligarchic ISPs hold. Following through with this abomination of a decision would do irreparable harm to the democratic values and symbolic freedom that the internet represents. It is the machinations of those who would see the world stand still rather than go forward who stand behind this. I sincerely hope that you see the damage this will do in the long term rather than prioritize the short term profits and payoffs that the ISPs, and maybe yourself, will see if it comes to pass. In short, Net Neutrality yes, re-categorization of Title 2 no.
2723. Brett Miller, Bothell, WA, 98011
As a person who grew up during the birth of the internet, I feel like I am keenly aware of the benefit it has brought to society. Fresh ideas for commerce and trade, revolutionary changes to the ways we experience entertainment, online communities that prompt important discussion, or just funny pictures, easily shared to brighten someone's day. None of this would be possible without the Internet's Net Neutrality structure. If this is removed, the Internet Service Providers will soon do exactly what

their shareholders want them to do: increase profits. How will they do this? They have the options to either slow speeds to certain high traffic sites and then sell a more expensive package that restores that speed or unduly influence discussions/messaging of events by throttling certain sites to encourage more traffic to others running at a higher speed with whom they might have an advertising contract. The majority of Americans do not want their access to the Internet compromised in these ways. As one of those citizens, I am asking you to please do the right thing and maintain Net Neutrality. Thank you for your time.

2724. Kyle Johns, Redmond, WA, 98053

Internet Service Providers must not be given the power to favor one flow of information over another. This gives them too much power to censor and discriminate and will ultimately give them the power to raise the cost of accessing the internet.

2725. William Wright, Kirkland, WA, 98034

As long as my realistic choices for internet remain so small we need to keep the options we have free and open. I don't trust my internet provider enough to give them the decision on what content gets priority

2726. Jared, Redmond, WA, 98052

Keep true net neutrality

2727. Austin Sloane, Bellevue, WA, 98004

I am contacting the FCC to keep their Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) in place. I am very concerned that Internet Service Providers will raise their prices even higher without these laws. I have access to Comcast broadband internet and the only other internet access available is DSL, which has terrible speeds. I am taking online courses, including job training, that require video upload and download, that would not be possible with DSL speeds. However, I do have a friend who switched to DSL because Comcast kept trying to raise his price to exorbitant amounts. I don't have the option of switching to another provider, because I need this training, so I am forced to pay whatever amount Comcast demands of me, even though I constantly call to ask for them not to raise my costs.

2728. YOUR NAME, Snohomish, WA, 98296

I'm filing in my continued support of classification of ISPs as a Title 2 Telecommunication Service. This is an important regulatory step in ensuring a free and open internet, in which access to information cannot be dictated by the highest payer. Indeed, support for America's founding principles demands that all Internet traffic be treated equally, regardless of content. Please show your support for America over special interests by not rolling back these regulations.

2729. Zack Holmes, Deming, WA, 98244

As someone who is pursuing a creative career in digital media, net neutrality is important to me. Having a fair shot at distributing my works online is critical to my success. If service providers are given the ability to throttle connections to certain

locations on the internet, it will move the spotlight even further away from independent artists and creatives. The internet should remain free and open to all, so that it may continue to nurture people's ideas, allowing them to build careers and lives off of their creations as it has since its invention. Do not abolish net neutrality.

2730. Max, Redmond, WA, 98052

The Internet is more than just a tool for entertainment and recreation; it's a source of information and a reminder of our achievements as a species. My political opinions (both left and right views), understanding of the arts, and personal contacts have all been improved and established thanks to the freedom to learn what I want, when I want, how I want. Corporations don't care about politics, the arts, or people. They have one goal: assimilate as much paper units as possible (get rich). Money isn't how they do things, it's why they do them. In short, many corporations have become Greed incarnate. ISPs will provide poor services to online consumers and poor services to those who wish to provide online services (which I hope to do someday (but not if the price would put me out of my home and onto the streets)). They will push down whoever, however, whenever in order to put more money in their pockets (money they blatantly don't need). Do your job and don't let those rat faced bastards move technology and society backwards for a quick buck. Thank you.

2731. Chris Hagen, Kenmore, WA, 98028

I am in favor of net neutrality because of all the advantages it provides to the internet user, whereas the loss of net neutrality only stands to benefit ISPs. As an avid internet user, I understand that the loss of net neutrality would mean that my choices of various websites and web services could be discriminated upon. They could be throttled for slow download speeds or outright blocked by my ISP, and they shouldn't have any right to do so. ISPs would also start offering packages of various services that no one wants. I don't pay my ISP for email, data storage, etc. I get those services already from other providers and I get them for free. I pay my ISP for internet access and that's all I need from them. Not to mention, I have a limited number of options for internet providers in my area. To the best of my knowledge, there's only 2 I can choose from. Being forced to pick between 2 options is already frustrating enough. Being forced to choose between only 2 options that are trying to sell me stuff I don't need? That's even more frustrating.

2732. Thomas G. Schessler III, Redmond, WA, 98052

Internet should be a utility like water, electricity and garbage removal. These ISPs can't even handle giving citizens a solid internet connection. Why let them make it worse for us legally?

2733. Jacob, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

Don't mess with net neutrality, for the love of god. The internet needs to stay as it is, there is NO reason to give isp's that much control other than for pure greed and to gouge people out of more money. The world as a whole will advance so much faster with out this being "regulated and restricted". So please, don't ruin the internet.

2734. Randall Partridge, redmond, WA, 98053

Net neutrality rules need to stay in place to have a honest and open internet.

2735. Alyssa Raley, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Keep strong Net Neutrality rules in place. An open internet is important for the flow of information and for creative growth. The internet should remain a place where ideas can flourish organically, not restricted by certain corporations.
2736. Scott Hark, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Keep the internet a fair playing field! Not just another thing in the pockets of big business.
2737. Tyson, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Getting rid of net neutrality is horrible and makes the internet a lot less reliable, and should be kept.
2738. James Seifert, Redmond, WA, 98052
I expressly plead to the FCC to not repeal the laws on Net Neutrality. It will not "open the market" but simply allow ISPS to cut up services and slow down streaming (and even silence speech they don't like online). This isn't TV, this is the internet! More then just entertainment is on here. Businesses, politics, and more are here. Repealing Net Neutrality would be like cutting up access to part of a city based off of corporate leanings. We can't just take their word for it. We need Law. Not Word. It is just too easy for someone out there to segment the internet, if not a lot of someones.
2739. Jarem Wylie, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Net neutrality is an important thing for a safe, prosperous internet. Taking it away will cause all types of problems and destroy small businesses. Put the people and freedom before money.
2740. Tyler McMahan, snohomish, WA, 98290
I am here to file a comment stating that we need to keep the net neutral and that letting business have the complete control of us and having anything but an open internet is something that I just do not want to have
2741. Ryan Quast, Ferndale, WA, 98248
This Country already has enough shit to deal with, don't let them influence the Internet any more than they already have.
2742. Kelsey Souza, Monroe, WA, 98272
I've noticed that there are plans to roll back title II net neutrality rules. If you wish to keep your job low on stress and angry phone calls, please reconsider.
2743. Trenton Kress, Bothell, WA, 98021
I do not support the idea of repealing net neutrality at all because it was put in place for very good reasons. It helps smaller websites and new companies grow by allowing them to advertise and be hosted alongside everyone else. Allowing larger

ISPs to shut down companies they don't like because of their own personal reasons is not the type of power I would like to see in their hands at all because it isn't their responsibility.

2744. Austin C, Redmond, WA, 98052

I am absolutely against removing any of the Title II protections from the internet. It's just sad that Ajit Pai lacks any shame, and has no respect for the will of the people.

2745. Cory Minnig, Granite Falls, WA, 98252

The internet was not created by a multi-million dollar company. The internet is not owned by those who own the Cellular Towers and the Cable lines. The internet is open source, creates huge opportunities, and new ideas every day. The internet keeps us informed, and lets us get information from all over the world. The internet is not yours, Comcast's, Verizon's, or AT&T's. Don't stifle innovation. Don't stifle free speech. Don't stifle small startups. Don't stifle new ideas. Stop letting corporations own the world. When you lead with the ideas of the few, you stifle the brilliance of many. LEAVE THE INTERNET ALONE.

2746. Stephen Manley-Buser, Redmond, WA, 98052

I support a free and open internet, backed by Title II oversight on ISPs. The internet is not a product, and allowing ISPs to control access to it as though it were is harmful to our society. Giving providers - corporations with a primary commitment to a small group of shareholders - the power to determine what content is and is not permissible silences voices both in our country and around the world. The internet is not their platform - they do get to decide what it hosts. I implore you to uphold and strengthen Net Neutrality laws in this country. The internet - all of it - is for all of us. Let's keep it that way.

2747. Samson Jinks, Redmond, WA, 98052

I request that net neutrality be maintained, not only for my own protection but for the protection of the hundreds of thousands of websites, companies, start-ups, and other works that have been given such an important level of freedom up to this point. There is so much to be lost by removing net neutrality.

2748. Kathryn Barber, Redmond, WA, 98053

Support net neutrality. Don't let internet service providers dictate what websites people can see, or let them throttle access to websites that don't pay them boatloads of money. Keep internet service providers from hurting other people's and other companies' access to and presence on the web.

2749. Name Withheld, Kenmore, WA, 98028

If we lose Net Neutrality, it's simply another step towards tyranny.

2750. Andre Yinn, Kirkland, WA, 98034

KEEP THE NET NEUTRAL! Net Neutrality enables small businesses to find their customers, it enables independent artists to find their audiences, and it allows grass root movements to effectively mobilize. Allowing corporations to dictate what can

and cannot be easily accessed inherently threatens free speech, a competitive online marketplace, places too much power in the hands of corporate interests, and is, definitely, un-American.

2751. Hans Blomberg, Woodinville, WA, 98077

We consumers have literally nothing to gain if these laws are passed. Why should ISPs have the power to choose how I use the internet? The fact that this is even being considered disgusts me. I will not stand to lose my internet rights.

2752. Aleta Bowers, Woodinville, WA, 98072

I am handicapped by a mental illness. Net Neutrality would inhibit my resources of help I might need.

2753. Molly Maddock, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

I go to school internationally, net neutrality in the US allows my loved ones to contact me via Skype. I have maintained a healthy and happy relationship because of the access I have overseas and the access my significant other has. Part of why I have made the decision to stay a citizen of the United States is our laws regarding freedom of speech. I have the ability to speak my mind in all things, an outlet which makes me more creative, more open to criticism, and overall grows me as a person. I view the FCC overseeing communication networks as a loss to my freedom of speech. There is a risk that Comcast could double its prices overnight without this regulation. I would rather support Comcast's right to drive up costs than support a society that nears Totalitarianism. Being a Millennial I have grown up with the internet. I remember when my family got our first dial-up computer. Part of my education has been incorporated with computers. Starting with learning to type, a skill that allows me to write this comment, to accessing information on the internet. I have learned how to evaluate a website for their credentials. I am amazed by the abundance of information and this abundance continues to improve my life. I believe everyone has this capability to learn what is good information and what is bad. We don't need the government spoon feeding us, we can decipher it ourselves if we are taught how to accurately criticize. I fully support net neutrality and an open internet.

2754. Daniel Dorman, Bothell, WA, 98011

The internet is much like a public utility, such as electricity, and should not be restricted by corporate whims. Once the laws are altered to allow for content manipulation, it would be similar to a "foot in the door" concept. A precedent will be set and further additions/alterations to the laws will become easier over time, as people stop paying attention to this issue. This type of thing has been seen throughout history and we cannot let it start for internet access. The eventual result would be ISPs partnering with content distribution networks for marketing campaigns ("service XYZ delivers the best performance for this site"), then "exclusive content" for a particular ISP, and finally eventual buy-outs of the content distribution networks. This inevitably leads to a poorer experience for everyone not using that ISP, which results in people being unhappy with their current service, and having an incentive to switch to the larger ISPs which had the cash to obtain the content distribution networks. Smaller ISPs would either die or get absorbed,

thereby conflating the ISP monopoly problems we're already leaning toward.Reducing choices and competition always ends up creating a stagnant and punishing conditions for the consumers.

2755. Natasha Lamb, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Net Neutrality needs to stay. In my area (and many others I'm sure) I only have 1 choice for an ISP. If this went through, I would have no choice but to deal with whatever my ISP decides, even if they promote websites I don't like and throttle ones I do. It will also be hard for me to get my website out there, where possible employers can find me, as I wouldn't be able to pay them to promote it.Even if I had multiple ISPs to choose from, I wouldn't want to be forced to switch based on which websites I like using. Keeping the internet equally available to everyone is very important. Allowing companies to control this would greatly inhibit creativity and people's abilities to get their work out for others to find.
2756. Mark Mattis, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I support strong net neutrality rules. The only way to maintain a free and open public discourse is to maintain a position of net neutrality. Anything else is a surrender to private interests for the purpose of profit.
2757. Trisha Walton, Bellingham, WA, 98225
Please keep the internet open and DO NOT limit access.
2758. Layla Tromble, Ferndale, WA, 98248
Keep Title II and retain net neutrality. This is not an optional thing. The internet is a utility and cannot be trusted to ISP companies who only have profits in mind and not the needs and will of the people.
2759. Scott L. Evensen, Snohomish, WA, 98290
The internet should be open to everyone equally. Keep net neutrality!!
2760. Daniel Oliveira, Redmond, WA, 98052
We need to retain Net Neutrality. Losing it would be awful for so many citizens, companies, and it would be a blow to free expression. Please defend Net Neutrality.
2761. matthew thomas snelling, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Please don't be evil and remove our freedom of global communication.
2762. Colton Musitano, Monroe, WA, 98272
While the internet isn't as free as most people would like it to be keeping this around is a step in the right direction. I believe that if we as a group push forward we can make a change for the better.
2763. Aryan Nagpal, Redmond, WA, 98053
I really like the internet and I don't want it taken down!
2764. Melissa Chapman, Bremerton, WA, 31516

The internet is a segue to information. I am a teacher and I don't want to force feed what ISPs deem worthy to my students. I teach them to search and dig for information and find facts and proofs. However, when the real potential of the net is locked down due to the big guys with big money it limits their education and abilities. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. My area already is a disadvantage when it comes to shopping for an ISP. The internet is created BY THE PEOPLE! It should also be the people's choice on what to look at and where to shop. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. We already are taxed and fee'd enough when it comes to gas, groceries, and even soda now--but those rules apply to everyone. Courts stand up for the people! Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

2765. Justin Michael Gallaher, Kirkland, WA, 98033

The internet is and should be a public service. Like water.

2766. Emily Rayson, Redmond, WA, 98053

Ajit Pai, Chairman of the FCC recently wrote an article on bridging the digital divide to bring higher broadband speeds and internet access to rural Americans. I applaud his efforts, his support of equal access for all, and the strategies of "promoting competition" and "removing barriers to investment" that he counsels are the right things to do to spur investment and innovation. Which is why it should only be logical that we would want to follow those same strategies and values when it comes to net neutrality. Is it really the American way to give a few businesses "the ISPs" the ability to prevent or throttle competition, investment

and innovation for all other internet based businesses? Does the FCC want to create more digital divides by effectively sanctioning barriers to internet access for all Americans by not allowing them the ability to purchase unencumbered access, but instead making them the involuntary recipients of a stunted market oligopoly where the internet is portioned out to the highest bidders and entrepreneurial innovation is slowed because of higher barriers to entry? As Mr. Pai wrote, “But too often, rural communities find themselves on the wrong side of a digital divide that keeps the benefits of broadband out of reach.” Put simply, rural consumers have fewer broadband options at slower speeds. If fewer options and slower speeds are a problem when it comes to rural consumers, it should be a problem when regulations or lack thereof result in fewer options and slower speeds for all consumers. It’s time to stop being political about regulations and start being smart about them. Some regulations are necessary and keeping internet data equal and accessible to all—the principle of net neutrality—is one of those regulations that the FCC should feel duty bound to keep for the benefit of consumers and the US economy. The “highest and best use” is not the one that benefits ISPs at the cost of everyone else. According to Mr. Pai, “Closing the digital divide isn’t a Republican or Democratic talking point. It’s an American priority.” Prohibiting new digital divides should be an American priority as well.

2767. Dexter Dengler, Redmond, WA, 98053

I would rather net neutrality stay around. I don't want sites to pay extra to keep up with big wigs.

2768. James McShane, Bothell, WA, 98021

ISP should be treated as a public utility. The internet is as much of a utility in today's world as the power grid and should be protected in the same way.

2769. Jeremy Roy Reyes, Bothell, WA, 98012

Net neutrality prevents negative monopolies from being formed. If net neutrality is lost, then abusive monopolies will be formed similar to the historical railroad monopolies.

2770. Angela Hester, Redmond, WA, 98052

The internet is America's last true bastion of freedom. By removing neutrality on the internet, you remove competition for a healthy online economy. Businesses like Netflix and Amazon Prime Video will go the way of Blockbuster due to cable companies charging them extra for users to access their content. Startups such as Discord chat programs will also falter under this proceeding due to companies such as Microsoft - owner of Skype - having much deeper pockets to flood out the competition through paying the higher fees and lobbying with internet providers for better speeds. In short, it is a dirty ploy to put honest companies out of competition of the big box marts on the internet.

2771. Kristine Gibbons, Redmond, WA, 98052

Even in the early days of dial-up Internet access, my high school classes often required Internet research and email for assignments. Roughly half my college

courses depended on the Internet, from delivering course information and materials, lectures delivered online, research, class collaboration, to assignment submission. There was not a single professor in my college degree that was reliably contactable outside of class through anything but email. Fifteen years later, it is a struggle to contact -any- organization without the Internet. Even cable providers, when calling them to report an Internet outage, direct you to their webpage. Due to severe health issues, I am largely homebound. All my entertainment relies on the Internet. I am a heavy reader, and my book purchases and library service is almost entirely through e-books. Without the Internet, most of my social group would be unable to interact, because we are spread across the world. My news is delivered through the New York Times, NPR, PBS, and international news websites. My family's and friends' businesses and jobs rely on the Internet for communication and transfer of information. Comcast holds a monopoly on Internet service in my area. And they are incompetent. For the last three months local Internet has randomly dropped for roughly 5 minutes every day. The last several times Comcast has needed to service my neighbor, they mistakenly started digging into my drainage pipe. Were there any feasible alternatives, most everyone I know would use a different provider. But there are none. Revoking Net Neutrality would close the stranglehold on the public even more. Our government has laws against monopolies expressly so that companies cannot use the power of being the only provider of any service to abuse customers. Yet we not only overlook Internet providers in applying these laws, people are suggesting giving Internet providers even more power over the public? Like it or not, the Internet is now a vital resource in everyday life, like water, heat, and power. The government cannot and must not allow Internet providers free reign over distribution, and restriction, of that resource. Net Neutrality is vital.

2772. Matthew Staehely, Redmond, WA, 98053

Please do not allow corporations to dominate our lives. Net neutrality is a vital protection and allows for greater freedom of access to information and empowerment. When the time comes, please do the right thing. Protect net neutrality.

2773. Thomas Erickson, Blaine, WA, 98230

This is bullshit and all of you know it. You're just trying to create a money pit and regulate the American people even more. You guys need to take a step back and look at what you're doing and the consequences of your actions for once and then maybe one of you with an IQ that actually reaches double digits will actually see the problem you will be creating as well as the backlash you shall receive.

2774. Gavin McRae, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

This could damage the initiative of free speech on the internet as we know it, therefore possibly violating the first amendment. "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances."

2775. lucas olson, bothell, WA, 98011

the internet needs to remain neutral company's should not dictate what i choose to be informed about or how i choose to spend my time based on there bottom line let the choice remain with the consumer

2776. Sam Li, Redmond, WA, 98052

The internet is not to be monetized.

2777. Matthew Caldwell, Redmond, WA, 98052

In this modern age, internet is a necessity for most, if not all, people. Keep it neutral.

2778. Jo Bigham, Redmond, WA, 98052

I think hundreds of people have put this better than I ever will, but that should be enough to say that net neutrality is important.

2779. Aaron Dona, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Net neutrality is a must. Basic internet information and usage is mandatory to be successful in modern society. Allowing large service provider companies to punish the poor and pander to the wealthy will advance nothing in society other than greed and hurt the middle class.

2780. Sam O., Kirkland, WA, 98033

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I believe that ISPs like Comcast and Verizon are directly incentivised to restrict the flow of free internet. They are bound by a fiduciary duty to increase shareholder wealth, which is not an obligation that will protect the public and unobstructed access to the internet.

2781. Patrick Maxwell, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I work in an highly competitive and mature tech industry in Seattle, WA. In addition to the multitude of personal, lifestyle, and entertainment uses I have for an independent internet, I also rely on it to do my job and ensure our business partners get everything they need, when they need it. Rolling back net neutrality would allow ISPs to throttle not only my personal uses but essentially implement a private tax on our business dealings if we want to be able to do business in this area. The FCC should serve the American people and businesses by regulating ISPs - especially in areas where there are only 1 or 2 ISPs that can provide business services in the 21st century. We literally cannot afford otherwise.

2782. Ian B, kirkland, WA, 98034

Open Internet rules (net neutrality) are important to make sure that ISP's can't just decided what content can load fast or slow. Saying that before this rule was established that everything is fine doesn't disprove the need for it now. Companies already tried to suggest having "fast Lanes" which should never exist.

2783. Neil, Redmond, WA, 98052

giving ISP's the power to selectively improve or hinder connection to certain websites will promote underhanded deals with website hosts to improve connection to their sites in exchange for compensation. users of the internet deserve free speech

in the form of net neutrality to keep the internet's usage as a global tool of information and socialization from monetized censorship and favoritism

2784. Nathan Mascardo, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Net Neutrality is the reason why the internet is amazing as it is today. When people pay their monthly bills to access the internet, they pay for the freedom to reach the content they want when they want it. Eliminating Net Neutrality takes away that freedom for everyone and would only favor content that is pushed by companies with the money to do so. Access to information and knowledge should not be in the hands of people who will receive a financial benefit from controlling this access.

2785. Damien Echols, Bothell, WA, 98011

The internet needs to remain open and free, no paid prioritization!

2786. Kolby Chien, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I am concerned the proposed rollbacks to FCC oversight over the Internet would give Internet Service Provider's (ISPs) too much power to control what Americans see on the Internet-whether it be creating payment packages to access certain websites, blocking competitor's websites, or even restricting any content they arbitrarily deem unsuitable. Access to the Internet provides a wide amount of information to all Americans. I am afraid if 17-108 were to pass, ISPs would have no incentive to maintain this freedom of information that we currently enjoy and would slowly restrict access to the Internet.

2787. Sarah Evans, Woodinville, WA, 98072

In the state I reside in we already have a very slim market for who provides our internet. By allowing companies or corporations to have any control on the type of internet access or accessibility we have to certain sites, we the consumer would be pigeonholed even further. We are already forced to choose between very few options, and giving those options control over what content I can access at what speeds can not occur. This could impact small businesses as well as create a monopoly for the highest bidder in control of internet speeds.

2788. Allen Pedroso, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Net neutrality is in real jeopardy, and weâ€™re banding together in support of strong net neutrality rules that give people the power to choose which websites and apps are best for us.

2789. Ross LaBrant, Woodinville, WA, 98072

Let me start by mentioning that where I live I have two options for internet: Slow and my ISP. There is only one choice that meets my needs. My primary occupation is an online entertainer - I broadcast gameplay, creation, and other commentary on Twitch.tv. While Twitch might not be a small company, the amount of access necessary to keep broadcasting at the cost that it is, as well as allowing viewers open and free access to viewing me is extremely important to me. I believe in an absolutely open and free internet experience with more government regulation and oversight rather than allowing corporations to choose what they think is important

for myself and my community to experience. Thank you for your time.

2790. Gerry Noy, Woodinville, WA, 98072

As an American citizen and user of the internet, it's only right that I should fight for net neutrality. It's something I value greatly in my daily life, to be able to access my full range of interests online without the determination of my ISP. We can't let corporations win the internet. It's a place that we all own, NOT the big companies, and it should stay that way so that we all have a chance to succeed.

2791. Kyle Discher, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

Over the past ten years, I have seen the growth of the internet as I have grown up. Now, I am a Computer Science student at CWU and I believe that Internet should be unregulated. The FCC should do its part to help keep this tool free. Keeping this tool free helps with many different things, such as cancer research through the Folding@Home project, keeping the public informed about what is happening in the world and in their communities, and helping them connect with friends and family. Having left home for the first time just under a year ago, being able to connect with the people that I left behind to pursue my career has helped me grow and stay grounded. With all of the new technology that has developed over the past five years, we need the internet more than ever to keep jobs and revenue in many industries in this country.

2792. Aaron Newsome, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I am opposed to any attempts to repeal net neutrality or the Title II classification of ISPs. The internet is the gateway to America's future in this ever increasing technology based world. The repeal of current net neutrality would only serve to benefit the top players in the industries and to hamper startups. One example would be when Netflix was not near as successful as they are today and supported net neutrality passing in 2015, now that they have grown and as their CEO Reed Hastings put it they are now "big enough to get the deals we want" and they have softened their support. America cannot afford implementing cyber toll lanes to line the pockets of the ISP monopolies while the rest of the world passes us by.

2793. Michael Baughman, Redmond, WA, 98052

Getting rid of Net Neutrality was a mistake that set us back in the advancement of our future. The importance of money is not more important than the equality of the internet. It hurts our right to freedom of speech for having to pay to have the said freedom. Net Neutrality is important.

2794. erik ortiz, lake stevens, WA, 98258

FCC can go to hell! I like non filtered porn.

2795. Jacob, Lynden, WA, 98264

Dear FCC, How in the hell is this a good idea? Sincerely, A very, very, VERY concerned citizen Jacob

2796. Amir Kojouri, Mill Creek, WA, 98012

HANDS OFF OUR FUCKING INTERNET.

2797. Edward, Point Roberts, WA, 98281

Do not do anything to tarnish the freedom of the internet, Net Neutrality must remain intact. Listen to the people and make the decision that the majority support, do not allow yourself to be influenced by a small few and have that influence negatively impact the populous. I urge you to fight for what the average man, woman and child desires and not to ignore the mass voice of your country. Thank you.

2798. Nathan Dillon, Redmond, WA, 98052

If you think that the people of the world will sit idly by and allow you to destroy the one thing that we love, then you're wrong. . We will not allow this to happen, and if you don't see or don't believe that, then you deserve every repercussion that you will receive for ignoring the words of the people.

2799. Michael Bernard, Redmond, WA, 98052

"The open Internet drives the American economy and serves, every day, as a critical tool for America's citizens to conduct commerce, communicate, educate, entertain, and engage in the world around them. The benefits of an open Internet are undisputed. But it must remain open: open for commerce, innovation, and speech; open for consumers and for the innovation created by applications developers and content companies; and open for expansion and investment by America's broadband providers."The opening sentences of the 2015 Open Internet Order (FCC 15-24) hold just as true today as they did two years ago. An open internet is good for consumers and businesses alike.Chairman Pai's "Restoring Internet Freedom Act" does not restore freedom to the internet, it impedes freedom. The act will allow ISPs to throttle sites that choose not to pay extra or that the ISP does not like. It impairs my freedom to choose what videos to watch, what political speech to read, what art to consume. ISPs should not have the power to dictate what sites, marketplaces, or forums I have easy access to. This proposal will harm the economy by making it very difficult for startups, artists, and others who cannot afford to pay a "fast lane fee".These risks are very real and we've seen such problems in the past. AT&T blocked FaceTime, Comcast and Verizon have both throttled Netflix. I do not want the FCC to remove the protections that are currently in place.I hope that you will support our current net neutrality rules and reject the FCC's proposal.Thank you!

2800. Lena Berberich, Redmond, WA, 98053

I'm writing to express my concerns over your recent announcement regarding your intention to roll back net neutrality rules. I believe that rolling back the progress that was made under the Obama Administration, would cause severe harm to the individual consumer. Net neutrality helps ensure that there is an even playing ground for all consumers. Without net neutrality, telecom and cable companies could very well influence internet searches, news and how we access the internet as a whole. This would influence the ability for individuals to create and communicate openly and freely on the internet. Without net neutrality, telecom and cable companies

could also negatively influence services, to their advantage. Moreover, this uneven playing field would also disadvantage entrepreneurs. Small businesses wouldn't necessarily have the financial clout of larger companies, to pay into this uneven playing field. As someone who has worked extraordinarily hard to work my way up, I'm frankly appalled by this legislation. Stand on the right side of history.

2801. Chris Taylor, Woodinville, WA, 98072
ISPs must remain Title II carriers. This is the only way to ensure that they are required to deliver an equal level of service to all customers, as the internet is a central tenet of daily life.
2802. Ross Vixie, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Net neutrality is the most important issue facing our country today. A free open internet without any restrictions is as important to our free speech as the first amendment. An restrictions on net neutrality would be severely detrimental to the future of our country and the world
2803. Sophia Cui, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net neutrality is as essential to America as free speech.
2804. Matthew waschke, Bellingham, WA, 98226
This will only help companies not the people. I want to be able to go to any websites I want to, and not worry about companies slowing me down. I don't want to worry about the download speed of my games just because a company doesn't like the contents. As an American citizen I ask you, don't be foolish, and keep net neutrality around.
2805. Benjamin Carter, Bothell, WA, 98011
Do not dismantle net neutrality or the open and free internet. Throttling and restricting service to certain websites is unacceptable, and only makes sense for the most powerful players in internet service. It is totally anti-consumer and anti-small business that this sort of bill is coming up once again, with another misleading name. I'm sincerely disappointed and I thank you for reading my message.
2806. Patrick Miller, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net neutrality enables the democratic spread of ideas. Abolishing net neutrality benefits only corporate giants, such as the ISPs. Please do the right thing and preserve/protect net neutrality.
2807. Anthony Brigante, Redmond, WA, 98052
Removing Net Neutrality is antithetical to our beliefs as Americans and goes against everything we fight for.
2808. Russ Rudesill, Bellingham, WA, 98226
Keep the net neutral, Please don't let ISP's block my internet. Free speech is what makes the United Sates the only country I want to live in. Lets keep it safe from greed don't let anyone slow down or block content of mine or any ones connection to

content "they" don't like, I'm an adult and can make intelligent decisions of my own and I do not want someone, whom I pay for service greedily restricting my ability to view free speech content...Russ Rudesill

2809. Michael Popham, Ferndale, WA, 98248

The internet needs to remain neutral as it is the will of the people and is in our best interest.

2810. john snow, woodinville, WA, 98072

Freedom of speech, guaranteed by the 1st Amendment to the US Constitution, is critical to the freedom of US citizens. Internet access has become part of free speech, and today is inseparable. Destroying net neutrality is exactly the same as government control of free speech, just as totalitarian regimes do. Allowing private companies to control internet speed based on such factors as political views, religious views, or other arbitrary views runs counter to the American philosophy of free speech, and is UnAmerican.

2811. Amelia Shepard, Duvall, WA, 98019

Net Neutrality is tantamount to freedom in America, as well as Capitalism. We are a Capitalist nation, in what way is granting telecom companies a monopoly on flow of information freedom beneficial in any way other than benefiting the (remarkably) few in DC who get a paycheck for it? Repealing Net Neutrality would be a gross error on the part of everyone involved and would effectively kill the American Dream. America was built on the dream that anyone could work hard and prosper. Presently, this means doing business and generating ad revenue online, working with partners to cede information to the general public. Killing Net Neutrality means killing small business, and limiting many businesses that could become big business, which could benefit everyone, resulting in their eventual death. Consider Facebook, whose ad services generate so much revenue they've become one of America's largest business groups, and elevated Mark Zuckerberg to the status of SIXTH richest person in the world. He has done a good deal of pioneering work for the world, work for human rights, and significant charity work around the world, up to and including agreeing to donate 99% of his (and his wife's) fortune over the course and end of their lives. If Net Neutrality were to be viciously murdered, companies Facebook and the large business group it has now become, would cease to be. These largely beneficial companies and conglomerations are what make America great. By stifling this process through the execution of Net Neutrality, you would effectively limit the business and ability to prosper of America itself, allowing oligarchical corporations to hold the market on nearly everything. Many of these large corporations, ISPs, state that honesty, fairness and transparency will be upheld whether Net Neutrality exists. For many in Washington this is a compelling argument, however, we have publicly seen in many of the deals, surveys, analysis and service tests that these are not even being upheld now. Several of these companies have made clear that deals, behind the scenes as to not be caught (since they are illegal), have been brokered to allow them to work together rather than compete. This is not honest, fair or transparent. Prices and deals, as well as packages

for many of the ISPs who use bundles, are designed to extort more money for better service, proving, once again, that they are not upholding their own ideals. Abolishing Net Neutrality will, in essence, provide a more streamlined way for these corporations to be less honest, more unfair and significantly less transparent. The only people to make money from this will be the leaders of the ISPs and a few of the 'faces' behind the bill in Washington DC. We have to ask ourselves what is truly right, what will benefit the people. Nothing about the proposal to kill Net Neutrality is right, or beneficial to the people. This is a short-sighted, narrow-minded goal of a few who seek to line their pocket books more, rather than do what is right. Scummy business practices are not part of America, impede the citizens and the government from working efficiently, and should NOT be encouraged. This issue is paramount, it is a human rights issue that needs be addressed with the dismissal of the bill and dismissal of all future bills. The death of Net Neutrality is a threat that cannot be borne by the American people. Please, under no circumstances, do not allow this to pass. I, and all of America, even the world, beg you. Please do not kill Net Neutrality.

2812. Shreedhan Shrestha, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please leave internet open, it's not even free anymore
2813. Marissa L., Woodinville, WA, 98072
Please keep the net open and don't let companies make fast lanes- that leaves everyone else in the slow lane. And that's horrible for business and entertainment.
2814. Allen C Krause, Bothell, WA, 98011
The Internet needs to remain free and open to all, without priority given to those who have more money. The Internet is information, and nobody should have to right to limit information.
2815. Erik Oberlin, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Hey there FCC, This is Erik: I have friends and family spread all across this country of ours. This great country that has a Constitution that protects many of our rights life liberty pursuit of happiness, and one of those things it also protects is freedom of speech. Of those friends and family about 80% of them have served in our nation's military in one capacity or another. Having lived in a military family we moved around a lot depending on where my father was deployed. Growing up it was a bit rough because it meant making friends and moving away from them. Let's fast forward a bit, about 7 years ago I moved again for my own reasons to WA state, making me the farthest from any of my family members. Not long after I moved a old friend from High school looked me up and managed to find my email address and introduced me to Facebook. Say what you will about facebook, but what it did was efficiently use the internet to reconnect with friends and family all across the country faster than ever would have been possible with post or having to spend incredible amounts of money to travel. As an adult paying for Internet services there is an expectation of being able to maintain that connectivity with everyone I've finally reconnected with, New friends I've made through my hobbies, interests, and community, and friends I have yet to reconnect with which I still search for. The

address of which I currently reside I have very few options on how I connect to the internet (surprising I know when you consider I'm not too far away from the company that designed the operating system you are likely using to view this comment). While the internet is a relatively new resource, it should be considered a utility; without it I would be unable to perform my duties at a non-profit where I help people on limited incomes get connected to transportation, Keep our drivers and passengers safe. Limiting, or slowing down the process of communication will not only hurt how we connect to each other, but how we do business, and how we help others in our community. Please I implore you Technology should be used to bring us together, not divide us from each other. Please keep the net neutral, keep the nation connected. Thank you for your time.

2816. Eric Martin, Ferndale, WA, 98248

I support net neutrality! having access to the whole internet is a big part of my life both for entertainment and daily life. Getting rid of that would quickly become a problem when internet providers get greedy and start demanding money for things they have no right to demand money for.

2817. Jillian Miller, Woodinville, WA, 98077

I'm 17 and I don't feel as if you should have the right to change the rules of the internet without the people. The people own the internet. It's very unfair towards people with less money to make them pay more for good service, just as it's very unfair to small companies who can't pay for good service as well.

2818. Marcel van den Dungen, Redmond, WA, 98052

Keep this internet honest. Don't give more power to monopolistic companies (ISPs) with bad customer service reputations! Keep them classified under Title II.

2819. Renzo Zevallos, Redmond, WA, 98052

Keep net neutrality. Don't kill small and big business. Don't kill the freedom of information. You need to protect us. Not harm us.

2820. Molly Odell, Kirkland, WA, 98033

We need net neutrality. It's a basic necessity.

2821. Trevor Velasco, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

Internet should be like a utility, too many people use and rely on it nowadays for everyday life, much like electricity. Many even use it as their primary source on income. Do not let corporations ruin their livelihood by unfairly throttling their internet speeds.

2822. Sara Johnston, Lynden, WA, 98264

I believe true net neutrality is vital to our democracy, because it allows all citizens to search for and access multiple sources of information, compare and filter biases of those sources and then form their own rational opinions. I believe true net neutrality also fosters community and promotes peace, by allowing individuals who are separated by geographical distance to connect, form friendships & alliances, and

freely exchange information unhindered by any institutional repression or censorship. I also believe that true net neutrality is vital to upholding our Constitutional guarantee of the right to free speech.

2823. Seth Paxton, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Net Neutrality should be a standard, not an ideal
2824. daniel, Kirkland, WA, 98034
dont get rid of netflix please <3 :)daniel
2825. Steven Olson, Granite Falls, WA, 98252
As an internet consumer I have to say I strongly disapprove of the attempt at destroying net neutrality. Everyone has the right to an open and fair internet and frankly i find the FCC s current actions disgusting. All you will do is make the internet worse and cost more. Its like AHCA but for the internet a poorly thought out and horrible idea. Please keep the internet as it is and just leave it alone the world uses the internet its not just us in the USA. You need to really think about what you're doing and not just money because I am sure that is what this is all about at the end of the day.
2826. John Ryan, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Please keep net neutrality in place. A free Internet is vital for democracy and humanity.
2827. Richard Winn, Carnation, WA, 98014
I am absolutely opposed to the FCC changing it's rules on Net Neutrality. I am a technology professional, my career has been built on pushing the bounds of the internet. My team delivered the first global scale native broadcast of 4k over IP. I know what I am talking about. The only people the stand to benefit from the proposed change in net neutrality are the lobbyist, the politicians, Comcast and Verizon. This is absolutely not a decision that benefits the public in anyway. It will stifle innovation, it will ultimately increase our costs for internet, in re-enforces the monopolies in place to the detriment of the public and it will not make a difference to the availability of rural internet. It is purely a PAY FOR PLAY MOVE by corrupt politicians for their benefit. This proposal is anti American and anti public, and I will absolutely be joining any law suit to prevent this disgraceful travesty from happening. You should be ashamed for even proposing this. This action steals from the american public now, and damages the future of innovation in this country. NO on changes to Net Neutrality. Oh and BTW I am a real person, you can look me up, call if you want, unlike the thousands of bogus postings paid for by Comcast and Verizon... just so we're clear...you should be serving us not stealing from us FCC. Shame on you.
2828. Cullen Glassner, redmond, WA, 98052
I am for net neutrality and keeping isps under strict title 2 rules.
2829. Julien West, Snohomish, WA, 98296

Don't fuck my rights you silly ding dongsJulien West

2830. Sarah Anderson, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I am a simple internet user, and it is important to me that Net Neutrality remain the law of our land. Without net neutrality, you are putting my first amendment rights into the hands of my ISP, where they do not belong. They do not get to decide what services I use or how fast I'm allowed to use them. All data should be treated equally. Keep Net Neutrality.

2831. Andrew Morin, Redmond, WA, 98052

Don't do it. You know exactly what I mean. You think its a good idea, but I promise you its not. You know what I'm talking about, don't deny it. If you listen to them, the world will change for the worse. Make no mistake, you will live to regret your decision if you choose wrong, as the decision you are making affects you just as much as the rest of us. So choose wisely. Don't listen to the big guys with lots of money. Choose the 99%. Trust me, it's better in the long run, but you can only see why if the net is free. So make the right decision, save the net, and trust me, you will live to reap the benefits. Actually, you will probably live either way, but if you make the wrong choice, it won't be much fun.Keep calm, keep our net neutral, please.

2832. Leviticus James Rhoden, Snohomish, WA, 98296

In paragraph 25 you state "our goal of benefiting consumers through greater innovation, investment, and competition." I fail to see how this proposal will meet this goal. Most American households have only one ISP available to them. This gives ISPs little incentive to provide people better service. I'm sure you know the basics of how markets work, without competition ISPs can do whatever, they can censor huge swaths of the internet, but since people have nowhere else to get internet, they will still be in business. You again in paragraph 34 talk about "preserving the free market." This will either refer to ISPs (which I just talked about) or the companies who use the internet. If the later is the case, it also an illogical argument. Allowing ISPs to slow down companies who cant afford to be put on the fast lane. A lot of startups have very little money. They will thus have a hard time competing with established companies that can afford the fast lanes. This would immensely stifle innovation on the web, blocking competitors from challenging the established companies. This makes the leading companies not only a monopoly, but untouchable, even if innovation comes from a start up company that would, given the same internet speed, provide a better service to people. In conclusion, Removing the Internets title two classification will ruin competition on the internet, as well as create household ISP monopolies, were people are stuck with no guarantee of quality from their ISP, as they have one option, and the ISPs know that. To keep competition in silicon valley, we need net neutrality.

2833. Kai Mihata, Redmond, WA, 98052
Plz

2834. joseph dunn, redmond, WA, 98052
keep title 2 regulation for isp's

2835. James Boulter, Redmond, WA, 98052
The internet should be a free and open place. Classify it as a utility, regulate prices, and keep the evil ISPs off my access. No ISP should be able to discriminate against the data I send or request. We pay for access as a whole, not access to pieces!
2836. Weston McNamee, Snohomish, WA, 98296
The internet needs to be open. Net neutrality provides a discrimination free environment. Without it, ISPs can discriminate against whoever they want.
2837. Benjamin Jones, Seattle, WA, 98019
The internet is now the backbone of our telecommunications infrastructure. As such, it is crucial that it be given similar protections and regulations as the telephone network which preceded it. I strongly believe that Title II classification of the internet and its infrastructure is the best way to nurture innovation and protect both businesses and consumers.
2838. Russell Hanson-Davidson, Monroe, WA, 98272
I am a Twitch Livestreamer/YouTuber on Twitch and Obviously YouTube as well. I use the Internet for my second source of income that is taxed! Being limited by throttling my upload or download that could hinder my ability to make myself a living isn't OK for my livelihood. In my opinion, this would be considered a monopoly to those ISP's and that isn't right.
2839. Jamae Nelson, Redmond, WA, 98053
Please do not end the regulations that provide internet neutrality. I believe this would result in a limited and far more expensive internet. The internet should remain as unhindered and low cost as possible. I believe that rolling back the regulations would result in less competition which would be a huge loss to consumers.
2840. Marcy Spiker, Snohomish, WA, 98296
We have one option for internet service provider in our area and I work from home so internet speed greatly affects me
2841. Rae, Kirkland, WA, 98034
We should not allow the internet to be controlled in any way other than to stop internet crime. What is being discussed is a way for someone with all the power and money to shut down everyone else. Right now this might seem like a minor change but once you allow one action to occur there is no telling how far this will go and what it will do to the economy when business get shut down cause they cant afford a higher internet speed to their website. Who's to say that this action today wont one day end with removing access to certain websites and having people being able to control the websites we can go to and visit and what kind of information the citizens will be able to have. Its like someone slowly throwing out all the books in all the library's slowly over time. I see no benefit to this other than to big corporations.
2842. Brady Estes, Duvall, WA, 98019
Keep net neutrality. We as people deserve freedom, privacy, and rights to internet

use.

2843. Luke Bailey, Kenmore, WA, 98028
I do not want ISPs determining internet capabilities, speeds, or access. I want to be able to access the internet from both mobile and computer-based devices without ISPs determining how or what I access.
2844. mike stoccardo, Carnation, WA, 98014
Our present internet is a vital resource for the country. All business, large, small and startup all require equal access to good service. The current proposal to abolish net neutrality will hurt many more Americans than it help.
2845. Jaedon Devilliers, lynden, WA, 98264
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY ALIVE YOU DUMB FUCKERS
2846. Matthew Sullivan, mill creek, WA, 98012
The few sites I visit on the internet (youtube, netflix, soundcloud) are wonderful places that have provided me with great memories through the media they provide. I've met people and shared things with friends. I don't want this experience disrupted by some millionaire-politicians who know nothing about the great culture and experiences on such sites.
2847. net neutrality, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Without net neutrality we would be left with a stagnant web with little room to evolve and grow. Worst of all we would be denied the right to a free exchange of information and ideas by now monopolized companies which in turn slows the evolution of mankind's knowledge.
2848. Net Neutrality, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Net Neutrality is something that should be a contitutional right and has no business in being taken over by cooperations who want money
2849. Travis, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please keep net neutrality in place. Losing it will be a loss for humanity.
2850. Isaac Hwang, Redmond, WA, 98052
Internet has become an essential utility for everyday living for most Americans. It is both immoral and against American principle to discriminate internet traffic based on individuals and/or organizations.
2851. roger legg, monroe, WA, 98272
Net neutrality should be a cornerstone of the internet. Do not be a pawn to the current government's policies.
2852. kyle healy, Lynden, WA, 98264
Please maintain the current net neutrality rules. A few and open internet is in the best interest of everyone. Thanks

2853. Benjamin, Seattle, WA, 98014
If you rule against net neutrality, you are actively participating in an extreme crime against all of the United States. Take some time to think about who you are committing this crime against (the citizens of the United States), and what society does to criminals.
2854. Jonathan Young, Blaine, WA, 98230
the current net neutrality rules prevent internet companies from predatory behavior such as prioritize websites and apps they own blocking any websites they choose or even slowing down our connection to content they don't like the net neutrality laws create a fair and open market for internet based business and services. the american dream is already half dead for small businesses don't finish it off by allowing virtual monopolies on the web
2855. Net Neutrality, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Dont remove title 2 and net neutrality for your own financial gains you bloke of a cunt.
2856. Tyler Muller, Bothell, WA, 98021
The internet needs to be a free and open access information network; these companies should not be controlling what free american people can, and cannot see.
2857. Charles Sheffield, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net neutrality is exceedingly important to me. The internet absolutely is a basic need for living in the modern world. Our society is entirely too dependent on internet to have its content be controlled by whoever has the biggest wallet. The area I live in is completely monopolized by large corporations like Comcast. With them over me, I literally have no other options to turn to for an internet provider. If net neutrality is repealed, my entire life and career will be sold to the highest bidder, leaving me to scrounge for scraps in the new internet world they curate for me. This is not acceptable. As a citizen of the United States of America, where we love to throw the word "Freedom" around a lot, I will say this: Freedom on the internet is of paramount importance. Net Neutrality MUST be upheld. Repealing it would be a mistake of colossal proportions, and would show the voting public that the only thing the FCC cares about is lining the pockets of their corporate friends and families. Uphold Net Neutrality for all access points (home/work, and mobile). Do not fail the American people.
2858. Arthur Nevins, Kirkland, WA, 98034
You shouldn't need any uplifting and convincing argument. This is more than business, this is the lives of 300+ Million people in the United States. When we look back on History we see civilizations that do stupid things and think, "Man, they were stupid." In a couple hundred years people will look back at this moment in history and think either, "Man, they were stupid" or "Good for them." Don't be an asshole. Keep Net Neutrality.
2859. Arthur Ramazanov, Redmond, WA, 98052

I would like you to please support title 2 and net neutrality. Do not repeal title 2 - Net Neutrality is very important for new startups - you would never have google or facebook or twitter without net neutrality. Please uphold title 2!!!It is the right thing and the most moral thing to do.

2860. Zabreezy, Carnation, WA, 98014

The freedom of the internet is what has led to unfathomable progress as humans. Our ability to share information through history's most advanced telecommunications system has brought us to this heretofore-unseen incredible standard of living. What the internet offers is greater than the sum of its parts. Hindering humanity's ability to communicate will absolutely set us back in terms of social advancement, scientific progress, and all other metrics of human growth. Sharing and cataloging information is perhaps mankind's greatest strength. The internet NEEDS to be free and unrestricted in order for progress of all kinds to made.

2861. Lindsay Saunders, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Preserving internet neutrality affects nearly every American, of every economic and social class. Disrupting that right will not go uncontested.

2862. Kartik Rishi, Bothell, WA, 98012

With the evolution of the internet, the service itself is an incredible utility provided to the People to enable commerce, innovation, and communication. We should strive to ensure consistent & equitable access to this resource -- just like water, electricity. Let's protect Net Neutrality for the future.

2863. Grant Pachosa, Bothell, WA, 98011

Reserving Net Neutrality is extremely important to me as a private citizen and voter. The internet must remain free from restriction and regulation, especially from private entities. Keep the internet free.

2864. Mark I Thompson, Snohomish, WA, 98296

To maintain the power and flexibility that the Internet currently enjoys it is essential that data transfer remain neutral. Allowing commercial players to control the flow and content of data through their servers and networks will stifle the broad based financial and freedom benefits that are now in place.

2865. Isaiah Smith, snohomish, WA, 98290

i am expressing my disapproval for the recent move to repeal internet neutrality, we are all entitled to a fair and balanced internet experience free of corruption and greed.

2866. John Hagman, Mill Creek, WA, 98012

How is this even a question? Letting big businesses use their pre-existing wealth to dominate the internet is a terrible decision. Let the people choose what sites and services to use, don't force them to use the only "competent"* ones. This is an exceedingly important issue, and I am advocating for strong net neutrality backed by

title 2 ISP's.*: Big business sites/sources that are the only ones with decent or high speeds

2867. Dennis Geels, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I work at a small internet technology company. Without net neutrality, we would find it difficult to break into markets dominated by huge corporations like Google and Facebook. In the name of fair competition and innovation, please retain and strengthen the neutrality regulations that have made the internet so powerful and amazing already.

2868. Alexander Brannon Carswell, Bothell, WA, 98011

I really appreciate the unique privileges that people in this country possess that allow us to have unfiltered access to the internet. In many countries there are websites and information that are blocked due to their governments' beliefs. I understand that this issue is not necessarily the same, but the outcome could very likely result in the same consequences: websites and information that is hidden from the public. However, in this case, information would not even be granted the courtesy of being hidden by a government, but instead by corporations. To me, this has very serious and frightening implications, and I would imagine it does for many others as well. So please, please, do not remove net neutrality for the sake of all internet users in this country.

2869. Chayce Johnston, Arlington, WA, 98223

Equality and Freedom are an important part of who we are. Without it, we are nothing. We shouldn't be restricted or have to pay more for something that we already have because someone wants to make a quick buck.

2870. Kate A Sprague, Redmond, WA, 98053

Keep the internet open and free, I am for net neutrality. This should be a non-partisan issue, what the heck are you guys thinking? Kate A Sprague

2871. Jason West, Snohomish, WA, 98296

This constant fight that the people need to fend off the large corporate interests is getting old. Any politician that will sell out their constituents for the sake of corporate greed will be remembered when the next vote comes around. Please, do not let the internet lose its utility status. The press has changed, but the freedom shouldn't. Thank you.

2872. Ryan Blake, Woodinville, WA, 98072

We need an open internet to keep ISP's from further abusing consumers. Lowering the restrictions will only cause problems for all users of the internet and kill online competition.

2873. Makenna Todd, Granite Falls, WA, 98252

I support small businesses that depend on net neutrality.

2874. joe internet, Redmond, WA, 98052

I cannot believe that this would be approved. This would lead to a decrease in Start Up ventures, as they will have to pay to get streamlined services, giving even more to the bloated isps

2875. Harley Thompson, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Communication using the internet has become as basic a human right as water, and it is expected that everyone should have fair and equal access to it. ISP's controlling what websites are prioritized over others, and throttling bandwidth on websites that express ideas they disagree with flies in the face of peoples rights to be heard. Please consider keeping the net neutral.

2876. L Rinehardt, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

Leave our internet alone. Net neutrality allows us to communicate freely without being further manipulated by corporations that are already too powerful.

2877. f vanengelen, Bothell, WA, 98011

I am writing to urge the FCC to maintain net neutrality standards. The UN considers internet access a basic human right. I purchase internet access from my ISP to get access to a great variety of sources of information and providers of services. Enabling my ISP to control what services and information I have access to or in any way influencing the convenience of that access is unreasonable and undemocratic. The lack of competition (I have only a single viable option for ISP at my home) any rolling back of net neutrality would have a tremendously negative impact. FCC has a legitimate role in maintaining oversight of ISPs.

2878. Henry Hu, kirkland, WA, 98034

Even if internet never becomes a government-distributed public good (which I'd be sceptical of ever happening), Net Neutrality should be a fine line where the buck stops, based on freedom of speech.

2879. Jordan Halsey, Woodinville, WA, 98072

I support title II, and net neutrality

2880. Lucas Carpenter, redmond, WA, 98052

As a software developer I constantly have to be doing research in order to effectively do my job. One of the most critical avenues of research is the internet for which I require constant access to fast, stable, and unrestricted internet. Treating ISP's under Title II, and by extension Net Neutrality, is critical for all three of those.

2881. John, Ferndale, WA, 98248

I disagree with the changes being presented and want things to remain the same.

2882. Ausitn Stanley, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274

It disgusts me that anyone would want to censor the internet. Please for the love of everything still right in the world, leave the internet alone.

2883. Gustavo Calix, Bothell, WA, 98021

When only 6% of the American people want to do away with net neutrality, that's when you know there's a severe problem with our government who would rather listen to corporate fat cats than the vast majority of decent, hard-working people.

2884. Magenta Widner, Glacier, WA, 98244

Please keep net neutrality. I was able pursue and obtain a Master's Degree remotely because I have internet service. Moving to pursue the degree was not a viable option--I would have had to quit my job and uproot my family. I live in a remote area that was identified by a Seattle Times article as being on the 'dark side of the digital divide'. At the beginning of the program the only choice I had was satellite--it was difficult at times to participate fully due to slow connection speeds and buffering. I regularly experienced broken connections, long delays while using video chat and an inability to watch required online videos without traveling an hour to the nearest 'fast' internet connection. Two years into my studies, DSL was offered in our area. While this may seem slow to some, it was a considerable upgrade and made it possible to finish the program and graduate. Access to the internet is becoming (if not already) required for full participation in our society. The FCC should be regulating this form of communication, and should ensure that consumers have equal access to all licit information on the net regardless of their economic status or the depth of a website's pocketbook.

2885. Andrew and Lori Glass, Woodinville, WA, 98077

Having studied and been involved in the conversations around net neutrality since 2007 , I consider the current plans by the FCC to curtail net neutrality provisions as misguided and dangerous. Most of the current large ISPs in the US inherited their position in one way or another from the initial monopolies set up by the various governments in the United States. To now suggest they have a "right" to profit from their position at the cost of consumers, businesses, and innovation is both a restatement of history and a path to eventual abuse due to the monetary incentives it will create. Respectfully, Andy and Lori Glass

2886. David Jung, Redmond, WA, 98052

The internet is too important to humanity as a whole to grant the ISPs this much ability to influence it.

2887. Evan Meckelnburg, North Bend, WA, 98045

Contrary to the opinion stated in this proceeding, I believe that a relaxation of regulations on Internet service providers (as this document suggests by removing the Title II Order) would result in less "investment and innovation" than leaving these regulations in place. The majority of those two activities is concentrated in the websites hosted by the Internet service providers, as a result of the Internet's design allowing all websites an equal chance at success. Internet service providers, however, operate on an uneven playing field, where there are no barriers to the monopolization of entire communities by a single provider. Because of this, Internet service providers may see some investment in areas without existing infrastructure, but there is hardly any innovation or competition. Government regulation is exactly

what prevents market stagnation, and that is exactly what will happen to websites and other internet services if the failures of the Internet service provider market are allowed to enter the market of online services, as would happen if the Title II Order were to be taken away. As a result, I oppose the rules proposed in this proceeding.

2888. Darryl Carver, Bellevue, WA, 98004

Eliminating Net Neutrality will maintain the current single provider situation in our area, eliminating any potential for competition, keeping prices high and service poor. This would only benefit the large corporations that control the limited access we currently have, it DOES NOT help the consumer in any way. Don't listen to your buddies running these corporations, think about the benefits of true competition to the consumer, think about the possibilities for creative programming and innovative solutions that can be had with a free and open internet.

2889. Brittanie Campos, Bothell, WA, 98011

Please do not repeal Net Neutrality. Having access to the internet is having access to a community forum comprised of people from all over the world. There is a lot of good work being done to make the world a better place offering access to college courses from universities across the globe, assistance to entrepreneurs making the next big thing and/or families who have overcome tragic circumstances. This access allows consumers to research products and services in order to make sound financial decisions which could be compromised by companies directly prohibiting access to reviews that do not shed a positive light on them whether or not the allegations are true. This would give those companies an unfair advantage against small businesses and startups offering better services, in turn, stifling their growth and preventing the free market from functioning as it was meant to. Right now I am paying a service provider not because I think they offer the best service for the money but because it is the least worst of my two options and I live in an area where I actually have options to choose from. I think if this proposal were to pass I would have even less of a choice when it comes to ISPS, and innovation in the industry would also be at risk since larger companies would have to compete less for clients. Other countries have left us in the dust when it comes to internet speeds and reliability, I can only see this worsening if Net Neutrality were to be repealed. When I pay for internet service I do not purchase email addresses or any other service other than access to the wealth of information on the internet. Anyone who has purchased internet services knows that those providers tack on additional services like storage or email addresses, but I have never used or wanted those services from an ISP. In my experience they are not trustworthy or well developed. I use google email primarily because it is the best service available, it is free, and I can make unlimited addresses. As far as cloud storage is concerned I also use google for this, once again because it is the best service available and it is free. I have also used DropBox for cloud services for the same reason, these companies have developed reliable well constructed products and they deserve my patronage for their superb work (I pay for additional storage space). For ISPS to make the statement that cloud storage and emails are the services people knowingly purchase with their money and not fast reliable access to the internet is ridiculous as those tacked on services (email & storage etc) have absolutely no

value. When I find ISPs I don't see any of that in their ads, the one thing I look at is Upload and Download Speeds, it is the only decision to be made when making a selection. Is their speed good,? Is the service reliable?I really don't see how this proposal helps anyone but the current ISPs with the majority of the market.

2890. Kevin Hance, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Net neutrality is what drives the free market. It's far more beneficial for business than deregulation.
2891. Victoria Pollock, Blaine, WA, 98230
I support Net Neutrality! Do not limit my internet access!
2892. Cameron Cronkhite, Kirkland, WA, 98033
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY. Without it the internet will crumble.
2893. Francis Ingels, Redmond, WA, 98052
How does additional regulation enrich the general public's online experience? What current problem does metering, packet prioritization, or other flow control schemes solve? How can an entity like an ISP determine which classes of people should benefit more than others as far as the internet is concerned? Hard questions with few answers. How about some easier ones: What motivation do you believe ISPs have to push to break down net neutrality laws? Who gains? Who suffers? "From the FCC history listing on it's website: Concerned over the growing power of large corporations and conglomerates, the administration of President Franklin Roosevelt wanted the FCC to make sure the country's budding mass communications systems did not fall into the hands of a select few."Please continue on the same mission for which the FCC was founded. Keep the internet free from interference. Maintain Net Neutrality.
2894. Miranda Shook, Bothell, WA, 98012
The internet needs net neutrality because we the people have the right to free expression and speech. I buy internet for information, that is usually how I get my news, I also use it as an outlet for stress by browsing through videos and reading articles it relieves some of the stress I get from my job and bills. Blocking websites and links aren't going to help society. It will bring our creativity down and take what freedom we have left away. The internet is what connects us and brings us together in weird ways, taking that away will only bring us down as a nation and take away opportunities for the next generation to become something better than us. Is this the way we want to pave the way for the people who are still growing up in this world? Or take away the last thing they expect and need from the united states and what we are known for, "freedom".
2895. Zachary Church, Bothell, WA, 98021
Keep net neutrality, seriously it's what is best for everybody
2896. Stephen McQuitty, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
I support net neutrality. No reason for the large companies to be the only ones who

"benefit" from this changing.

2897. Net Neutrality, Woodinville, WA, 98077
The internet should be FREE FOR EVERYONE TO USE. Nobody wants corporations to rule the web.
2898. Landon Burgener, Kenmore, WA, 98028
If internet providers are given the right to block, throttle, or otherwise curtail certain websites, it will essentially be an attack on the ability of Americans to freely communicate and express themselves. This is against the founding principles of our country. Net Neutrality should be maintained.
2899. Max Sweatt, Medina, WA, 98039
I have not only built my career on the internet; I've built a way of life. The internet is a living, breathing community, and by disregarding net neutrality, you are taking away quality of life.
2900. Nicole Carver, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I want to protect our internet and keep control away from corporations.
2901. Ryan, Kirkland, WA, 98033
The internet desperately needs net neutrality to defend free speech and encourage the open dissemination of information in today's fast paced multi-faceted international community,
2902. Susan, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Do not roll back protections put in place by President Obama. Leave our net alone.]Thank you.
2903. Patrick O'Leary, Bothell, WA, 98012
This will restrict upon Freedom of Speech and must not be allowed
2904. Andrew Cherry, kirkland, WA, 98033
Net neutrality is the only way to guarantee open and free communication amongst all in the new medium of the internet. There is no argument against net neutrality that is not based primarily around those whose only interested is their own financial gain, that is not ultimately in the interest of the country and society as a whole. If every interstate highway was a toll road and each town or city along the way charged people for its use would the country have grown and the interstate economy and transport of goods have developed to where it is today?
2905. Kevin Shipe, Bothell, WA, 98011
I believe net neutrality is essential to provide a forum for research innovation and access. I don't want a politically biased internet nor do I want my content dictated to me. I disagree with the mission of the FCC as it is currently being run and believe a ham handed attempt to censor and mediate content will not lead to better security.

2906. Barton Slade, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Retaining Title II protections for the internet is extremely important. It was a huge step to keeping the internet free and open. If we remove Title II protections, we will stifle innovation and overall growth of the US economy. Keep the American dream alive and retain Title II protections!
2907. Vincent Ste. Marie, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I work in the games industry. My projects, releases, and products all rely on a free and open internet. Allowing ISPs to exert control over what services get what priority has the potential to cause massive damage to both my industry and my livelihood. Net neutrality as a whole allows my profession to thrive and communicate and operate unhindered. This decision could very well cause my job, my career to grind to a halt. If the interest of the American government is the freedom and prosperity and job retention of its citizens, then it is in the best interest of the FCC to allow Title 2 to remain in effect.
2908. Jordan Renshaw, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Can you hear the people sing?Jordan Renshaw
2909. Riley, Medina, WA, 98039
The Internet should be a place where anyone can roam free, no matter what they use. This rollback will change all of that, letting major companies basically control what they want you to see and what they don't want you to see. Just because you use a certain internet provider should not prevent you from using the full potential of the internet. I fully support the fight against the rollback, because if we let this come true, the internet will turn into a savage battleground for dominance, and the only ones hurt here are the consumers.Riley
2910. Levi Lowrie, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273
The internet is a tool which should be free, open, and usable by all humans.
2911. Peter J Kizer, Redmond, WA, 98052
Keep the internet access the way it is - do NOT restrict it. Do NOT "rollback" the current FCC ruling.
2912. Ryan Baerwolf, Woodinville, WA, 98072
The Internet needs to remain neutral! A free net is crucial for the growth of our economy, the exchange of thoughts and ideas, and even the development of our society. ISPs shouldn't be able to restrict what sites can be visited and what information can be shared. If net neutrality is eliminated, the power to filter content will be held by a handful of people. This is unfair, unjust, and would cause irreparable damage to the moral fabric of our nation. Please, please, PLEASE don't let ISPs take away our freedom of speech!
2913. Chase Wilson, Redmond, WA, 98052
Save net neutrality! It's the only way new companies can compete and it prevents the ISPs from playing favorites.

2914. Emily, Bothell, WA, 98011
Both of my parents run small businesses that are beginning to rely more on their webpages to continue thriving. They need Net Neutrality to continue to do well and bring in business.
2915. Adam Radabaugh, LAKE STEVENS, WA, 98258
The Internet is vital to today's economy, health, education, and science. The Internet flourished in the early years without regulation due mostly to irrelevance. Today, however, that has been changed. The Internet is the basis of our modern society and the primary communication platform for business, consumers, scholars, researchers, artists, working professionals, and many more. It is imperative that we now allow a few gateway companies to dictate control over this modern marvel and to benefit from doing so; it does not belong to them, it belongs to all humankind!
2916. Andrew Jarvis, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
Please support Net Neutrality. The internet is a wonderful thing that should be open and available to all. Please do not revoke the rules protecting our internet. Freedom of speech is crucial to the world, and America should be leading the efforts to broaden this freedom to others, not removing it from ourselves. Freedom of information is vital in this information age and being able to manipulate what is seen or delivered is too powerful a force to give to anyone, especially for-profit corporations. Please protect the internet.
2917. James Roberts, Bothell, WA, 98011
Please make sure we keep net neutrality. I understand that the web can be a place that people can take advantage of people and get away with it, but that is not the mission of the entire campaign against net neutrality. All the main people pushing for it are web providers who would benefit a ton off of it by being able to shut out competition. It's basically allowing them to monopolize and control the web which is everything that America is supposed to be against. We're about freedom. We're about giving people a chance to grow. Every single start up is against this because it would completely annihilate their business. This is just another way to control the populace and make sure the top big wigs stay the top big wigs. Please listen to the people you represent. I can understand that it can be dangerous going against the higher ups and I can understand that you end up seeing masses of people as moving pieces on a board at some point. I know how it can make you feel detached from the rest of the rabble, but please--oh please--take it all into consideration and make the decision that's best for the majority. Thank you for your time and thank you for your hard work.
2918. Jake Strieb, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Don't let companies censor free speech
2919. Caleb Thompson, Everett, WA, 98208
I believe in net neutrality! I stand with Netflix and Reddit on this one.
2920. Larry Brickey, Arlington, WA, 98223

Keep Net Neutrality. Otherwise those of us on fixed and lower incomes will be without it eventually.

2921. Wyatt Chapman, Redmond, WA, 98053
The internet is the best invention mankind has ever created. Net Neutrality is one of the big pillars that allows this awesome invention to exist in its current capacity, please don't take that away from us.
2922. Vince Axley, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Please keep net neutrality--don't screw with this freedom
2923. Conrad Parker, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Weakening net neutrality regulations would be catastrophic to the free world. It would encourage monopolies in thousands of industries and leave the US even further behind the rest of the world in broadband internet speeds. The proposal to weaken regulations is unabashed rent-seeking behavior from cable companies and must be refused.
2924. Jesse Matthyse, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Net Neutrality needs to be protected, do not repeal any net neutrality laws.
2925. miles stauffer, Bothell, WA, 98011
This is my notification to keep the internet neutral.
https://netneutrality.internetassociation.org/action/?utm_medium=email&utm_source=google&utm_content=2+-+Tell+the+FCC+that+you+want+to+keep+the+I&utm_campaign=20170712dayofaction-6a&source=20170712dayofaction-6a Thank you, Miles
2926. Tyler, Redmond, WA, 98052
Why is this even a concern? You should be doing what you can to protect us. Not giving in to those internet providers. Tyler
2927. Cameron Padron, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I'm pretty sure we live in the United States of America, and taking away parts of the internet from the people infringes on our freedoms as American citizens. Not to mention this is completely going to monopolize portions of the business world. You can't restrict the internet from people, it's not right.
2928. Jennifer Desilets, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I believe it is in the interest of the American people to have an Open internet for everyone that is not controlled by a small number of companies. As an American citizen, I support net neutrality Jennifer Desilets
2929. Jeff Ermak, Kirkland, WA, 98033
The internet is great because of net neutrality. Don't ruin that.
2930. Clarise Mahler, Duvall, WA, 98019

I support a strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPS. It is a basic freedom to have access to an open internet.

2931. Kevin Chan, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I care about an open internet and demand the ability to maintain access to it in its entirety.

2932. Steven Hood, Bothell, WA, 98012

Net Neutrality is a key enabler for the US economy. If large ISPs are allowed to throttle, prioritize, and charge different prices for what's become a basic utility, the innovative spirit that powers the American economy will be stifled. Can you imagine if Facebook, Netflix, Amazon, or Google was never created because their founders weren't able to pay the fees that revoking Net Neutrality will allow? I might be OK if Facebook never existed, but they all have gone on to enable millions of Americans to do things they never would have been able to do. The US needs Net Neutrality to continue to compete in the global economy. Thanks!

2933. Jason Shaver, Lynden, WA, 98264

I believe net neutrality should be maintained for many reasons. One of which is utilitarianism. The open internet is a wonderful tool that many use to create and discover an innumerable amount of things, most of which is beneficial to others. The main reason there has been an attempt to take this away, is because some people think that such a useful tool should not be available for nothing. This is just another way to make already rich companies richer at the expense of crippling worldwide creativity and business.

2934. Kris Gray, Redmond, WA, 98052

I work for Salesforce.com as a Web Developer and have been in this field for 17 years. The web is one of our greatest resource for this country and if you destroy its growth and openness for money, you'll only end up destroying it in the long run. Please, let it continue to grow, let the flow of communication be free and don't allow others to restrict what is visible for money.

2935. Jack Gerrits, Redmond, WA, 98052

The world relies on the internet for so many facets of life, myself included. Work, recreation, communication, education. It really pervades every part of society. It is so important that we set the precedent now that the internet is a place of equality where EVERYONE gets a fair go. Keep net neutrality. This is one for the people and not the big corporations.

2936. Kevin Russell Slover, Woodinville, WA, 98077

I support net neutrality. Just like the financial collapse of 2008, a hands-off approach with only a handful of major players providing these services puts too much power in the hands of too few. The temptation of the pipe owners to maximize their own value over those providing so much content will be too great. This 21st century infrastructure needs a 3rd party free of conflicts of interest to ensure that everyone is being treated fairly. Don't take your eye off the ball because dealing with it later will

be so much harder. A "free" market doesn't mean that the fox gets to oversee the hen house, that's still the farmers job.

2937. Erin Moore, Duvall, WA, 98019

Everyone uses the internet, except for really old people and North Koreans. We deserve to see reality, not what someone thinks we should see, or whatever paid more. Taking away net neutrality would ruin pretty much everything. Don't ruin pretty much everything. So many others countries have, so I don't know where I would move.

2938. Anna Gimera, Redmond, WA, 98053

I'm a student who takes residence in Washington State, in a particularly forested area where it so happens that only two ISPs deliver service to. One of them is a somewhat new arrival to my area, so my family and a large majority of our neighbors have a membership with only one. While we're aware of what we're purchasing, both ISPs offer similar plans with similar pricing, topped with significant costs for switching--so we're stuck anyways. From our current ISP, we know we're purchasing a finite amount of broadband internet, data, and an email service. Besides this, as a student, I believe if I am not granted access to the entire internet from our one ISP, my studies and my still-developing span of principles and knowledge will be hampered. I couldn't imagine the effects it would have on a classroom if each student went home to a different Internet to complete their homework with based on where they live or what they can afford, but I cannot see a positive outcome for it. Also, I've completed multiple online high school courses to add to my transcript so I can meet/exceed requirements based on my future goals. I've tutored over Skype and been tutored over other online chat programs. As the Internet has predominantly become the primary source of information for Americans, if it is not kept free and open regardless of ISP, our country will have suffered a loss of liberty, equality, and the pursuit of happiness.

2939. Vishal Chauhan, Redmond, WA, 98053

Net neutrality is essential to growth and liberty.

2940. Joseph Havas, Carnation, WA, 98014

net neutrality is what allows the internet to keep it's charm, without this companies can feel free to block thing that would be a threat to their business model. It also allows independant sites, you know the ones that PAY THEIR TAXES, to continue going. I honestly don't understand why you pander to the big companies like this, you KNOW they dodge taxes, it's not fair that not only do they not care about you at all, they only want more from you and will keep taking until you have nothing to give, want to fix the debt? start being tighter on their taxes

2941. Patrick Steward, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

Please ensure that Internet providers are considered common carriers under the law. For the free exchange of information among our citizens and with the rest of the world, it's vital that ISPs play fair with our data and don't place self-serving constraints on web traffic to make an easy dollar.

2942. Sandy Daoust, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Net neutrality should be maintained. It is important to free speech and our access to information. It should not be controlled by those few who have money to limit and control the information.
2943. Nick Kemp, Bellingham, WA, 98225
Not cool people. Not cool.
2944. Adam J. Snell, Redmond, WA, 98052
Taking away net neutrality, I believe, is a step towards controlling what I view and how I view it on the internet. I believe it would also allow ISP's to further distort the speeds and services they provide, as most if not all advertised features and speeds will be based on their "preferred" websites/services, and not relate to actual consistent performance. Thus, it would not only perpetuate but inflame an ongoing lie told to customers about what they will actually receive in exchange for their hard-earned money; resulting in the customer having to pay more for the same service we get now. I am completely against taking away net neutrality, please leave the ISP's in their current classification.
2945. Danielle Reed, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Hello. I am sending this message on behalf of saving Net Neutrality. I do not particularly appreciate what this will mean if we lose the ability to surf the web as freely as we are now, and I am willing to stand against this movement if it implies I am not forced, entitled, or obligated to conform to new internet laws which may hinder my (already so sluggish) network experience. Please reconsider. Thank you.
2946. Karen Story, Kirkland, WA, 98033
The internet has improved my life in uncountable ways, allowing me to connect with friends all over the world, to learn things, to plan trips, to share photos and stories. Please preserve the 2015 net neutrality rules to ensure that all of us continue to have access to an open and free internet that is not based on corporate greed at the expense of users.
2947. Heidi Kott, Everson, WA, 98247
The net should always remain neutral. With ISP selection ideas and beliefs can be imposed and thoughts steered. A truly open internet is fundamental in an open and transparent society. Narrowing perspectives inadvertently results in bigotry and hate.
2948. Andrei Grigorev, Bothell, WA, 98012
I need net neutrality because I pay my ISP to be able to send and receive IP packets to and from any remote host equally, not only to those my ISP deem worthy. Internet is not a set of services, it's a global network IP protocol and all IP packets should be treated equally. Abolishing net neutrality would provide leverage for the bigger corporations over smaller startups which would not work too well for the competition and would lead to monopolization of certain services that use internet for communication and lowering their overall quality.

2949. Christopher Lugo, Kirkland, WA, 98034
ISPs have no right to throttle, censor, or propagate any free market internet regardless of their holdings. Keep the net open and neutral.
2950. Brian J Klingensmith, Maple Falls, WA, 98266
Keep net neutrality so that start up companies dont get slowed down by large companies when they cant pay large fees.
2951. Heather W, Everett, WA, 98208
Making people pay extra to visit various sites on top of our already large bills is the same as charging extra to those who use water to fill a glass or water their lawns on top of their water bill.
2952. Caroline Chapman, Redmond, WA, 98052
The internet works best for business, education, and research when is free. Support net neutrality for all. Caroline Chapman
2953. Elizabeth Binion, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Please protect net neutrality. The internet should operate as a source of free information unhindered by corporate interests. We care and we are watching.
2954. Larry Rust, Everett, WA, 98208
When I buy broadband internet, its a pipe to access what I want and decide to search. The speed and performance shouldn't be altered in any way to prioritize traffic. Don't let these money hungry corporations ruin a good thing.
2955. Nathan Johnson, Bothell, WA, 98012
Stop. Open access to all content without prioritization or monopolization is the foundation of the internet.
2956. Concerned Citizen, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Net Neutrality, while possibly inconvenient for the expansion of telecom companies, ensures that all consumers will be treated freely in the American economy. My opposition is not to business, but rather in favor of human rights. If the government sees fit to restrict Internet access, then we are taking a step back. America's strength lies in the ability to acknowledge and weather criticism, not to shut it out.
2957. Holley Moran, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Yes on net neutrality
2958. Emerson Jones, Pullman, WA, 98028
Net neutrality should be a given if the UN recognizes as it has that internet access should be a human right then eliminating net neutrality would be a direct affront to this right.
2959. Gabriel Chenier, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net Neutrality is important for me, as someone who relies on the internet for both

my professional development and much of my entertainment. The same is true for all my colleagues. Both are equally necessary for me, and seeing facets of it be jeopardized or over-monetized would be disastrous for myself and all of those whom I know. Also if you read this, have strength; there are likely millions to come. Thank you

2960. Jonathan Ackerman, Redmond, WA, 98052

It should be clear by now that a lack of Net Neutrality is not just harmful to everyone as citizens and consumers, but also morally reprehensible to consider. Please help maintain our (you, me, your kids, maybe even my kids one day) right to choose what we consider valuable. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to everyone. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Jonathan Ackerman

2961. Cara Hillstock, Bothell, WA, 98011

We absolutely need to protect Net Neutrality, for the future of our internet and for the United States economy. I can't believe big companies can't see how hamstringing the internet for their needs will profit them in the short term, but will long term lead to a frustrated userbase and market. We don't need to give more incentives to enhance technology and industries to other countries. Boo. Anyone who helps this pass - I will be marking your names and companies down, and I will boycott your products and any political campaigns. When it's so clear the citizenry dislikes this,

you are making a mockery of our democracy.

2962. Trevor Zomerfeld, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Paid prioritization of internet services and websites stifles the growth of online communities the "organic" transmittal and generation of new ideas and technologies. Please do not hinder growth.
2963. Eric S. Dodd, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Please. The internet needs to be free and unfiltered. It is the purest form of information freedom and it is bringing the world closer all the time. Do not let the mega corps gobble us up and spit us out. Thank you.
2964. Jessica Tuttle, Blaine, WA, 98230
I wished to express my concern with the recent possibility of losing Net Neutrality. I think this is one of the biggest issues for our current culture, and we cannot let the greed of mass corporations overshadow what the Internet does for the people. There is a huge part of the population that relies on the Internet - for small businesses it is a lifeblood, and for people with limited resources or disabilities it is a fountain of information. For everyone, it is a place of community and a place to flourish. This cannot be held in stop by pay walls that will make it accessible only for the wealthy. Please do the right thing and keep the Internet accessible for all. Thank you for your time.
2965. Peter Konig, Medina, WA, 98039
Please maintain net neutrality in it's current state. Keep the internet free of corporate meddling!
2966. Sai Krishna Vajjala, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net Neutrality to internet is like a free market. Free market is what helped led the rise of United States. If Net Neutrality does not exist, whatever happened to the economy of countries without free market will happen in the internet marketplace for US.
2967. Laine Strutton (Munir), Sedro Woolley, WA, 98284
Free access to information is absolutely fundamental to democracy. Without out it, America loses its standing as a world model for governance, creates inequality in access to knowledge, and joins more repressive nations in an effort to diminish public access to the internet. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Laine

Strutton (Munir)

2968. Robert James Olson, Bothell, WA, 98021
Net Neutrality is important to this country and should not be corrupted by corporations.
2969. Glenda Downs, everett, WA, 98208
Keep Net Neutrality! Corporate america already has everything else.
2970. mckenzie wilson, Bellingham, WA, 98226
stop trying to give the power over the internet itself to money hungry corporations that want to manipulate our ability to use the free internet for there own monetary gain , this is fascism
2971. Artem Astapchuk, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I used to use skype to stay in touch with my friends when we don't see each other in person. However, I realized that skype was a little slow, bloated, and full of ads for my taste, so I found an alternative, namely discord. Discord is a recent startup, and for me, it's perfect. I use it to chat with my friends, as well as participate in online communities, on a regular basis. Without the protections of net neutrality (which you are trying to roll back), large companies like microsoft (owner of skype) would be able to pay off ISPs to slow the services of its competitors to a crawl, and small startups like discord wouldn't stand a chance. The "free market" philosophy of the United States is aimed towards giving the People the freedom to choose the product or service that benefits them most, and that is exactly the kind of freedom that net neutrality regulations provide. Please do not destroy that freedom.
2972. Quinlan A Reade, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I do not want the future of the internet to be squashed by people who believe they can "run it better". The internet is a place where ideas, creativity, and debate can flow freely, which will not be possible if net neutrality ends. If this happens, much of the free expression we once celebrated will be choked off, and there is no way that we can allow that to happen.Quinlan A Reade
2973. James Klinman, Arlington, WA, 98223
This is a rough draft of my 500 word essay about why I want net neutrality to stay.What keeps me up at night is my worry about the fight for net neutrality. It is an issue that can have a large effect on how I live in the future. Since I was eight I have had internet that allowed me to go online and watch YouTube, play video games, surf the web, and talk to my friends. Over the years I have grown more attached to the internet as I talk to my friends more, play online with them more often, and use websites to gather information or download files to make something work. All of this has become an integral part of my life and makes me who I am, a teenager that uses the internet to socialize, relax, and learn. But with the large internet service providers attempting to get rid of net neutrality this all could change. I worry that if they are successful in gaining control over how their users use the internet, then I will have to find loopholes or completely change my lifestyle since I likely

wouldn't be able to afford all the fees they would charge. I worry that I wouldn't have access to Snapchat or it would be slowed down, and it is how I talk to my friends on a daily basis throughout the day. I worry some of my friends wouldn't have access to Snapchat and we would become disconnected since some of them are too busy to hang out often. I worry that I may not be able to talk to my cousin through Snapchat, and we can't get together more than twice a year since he lives in California and I in Washington. And this worry extends to all other aspects of the internet that I use, such as playing my video games through Steam, enjoying discussions on Reddit, or with smaller websites that I use as resources. Online communities will suffer if they are slowed down, charged, or blocked. For me online games would have fewer participants if people can't access Steam. Texting my friends would become more difficult since I don't get cell service half of the time. The communities I follow on Reddit would dwindle in size and less content lose quality content. All of these things are a part of my life and have influenced me to be who I am, and they haven't just influenced me they have influenced millions of lives in the rest of the country. There are thousands of small communities where people can talk about shared interests or help each other. There are a lot of examples of people helping other people through the internet with fundraising campaigns or just personal counseling if someone is going through a rough time and wants to stay anonymous. And if net neutrality is lost and these websites are blocked or charged then some of these acts of kindness won't get an opportunity to happen. This is why the loss of net neutrality keeps me up at night.

2974. Wendy Turkatte, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I encourage you to represent individuals, entrepreneurs and small businesses who don't have the financial power of the big corporations to influence policy. The American government needs to look out for those who can't. We don't need the likes of Verizon, Comcast and Time Warner dictating how our internet is run. Democracy needs to be protected, and an internet protected from domination by the giants is ESSENTIAL to our democracy. Thank you.

2975. Heather Newcomer, Bothell, WA, 98011

I support Net Neutrality. It is a vital part of democracy, information equality, and quality of life.

2976. Anthony Frankenberger, Redmond, WA, 98052

Censorship is among the top forms of oppression. Allowing corporations to manipulate what we can and can not view is a step in the wrong direction.

2977. Aaron Boltz, Redmond, WA, 98052

Case is clear to keep net neutrality.. nothing new to say.. do what's right

2978. Steven Thatcher, Bothell, WA, 98012

I feel it is best that we maintain and uphold net neutrality and keep ISP's under Title 2 to protect us all from shady corporate f***ery. Please hear the collective voices from the internet and do the right thing. If I can be of any aid in achieving this, please contact me at the attached E-mail Address.

2979. Kendra Souza, Monroe, WA, 98272
The fact you fuckers keep trying to push for this proves that this isn't the land of the free and that your aim is in fact a dictatorship and that the very idea of freedom as you define it is a farce
2980. Paul Kankiewicz, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. Living in a world with a neutral net is extremely important to me. Thank you!
2981. Caitlyn Johnson, Redmond, WA, 98053
I believe that we should have the ability to look at what we want and search what we please without companies glaring over our shoulder and deciding whether or not we get to see the content we want. This proceeding would take away any chances that the small start up companies already have to fight hard for. This is America, not China. Why promise freedom, if you are only going to take it away?
2982. Tony, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Protect our open web!
2983. Rhainy Carter, Blaine, WA, 98230
Net neutrality is very important to everyone's right to surf the 'Net as they see fit, considering they pay for the service. Not keeping Strong Net Neutrality, is basically another form of censorship. This would not be acceptable to almost anyone who truly understood just what "Net Neutrality" means.
2984. NetNeutrality, Kirkland, WA, 98034
As an American I pride myself on my privileged access to any and all websites. It's fundamental to American culture. It gives me great pride to be able to tell my nieces and nephews that we have complete access to just about any information we could possibly desire. Also, I'd like to point out that the kind of control that these companies are trying to take will affect everyone that votes. It will cause your children to have a censored experience of the internet. Whenever they're at school, whenever they go shopping, whenever you'd like to show them pictures of their relatives. My RIGHT to look up information and learn about what net neutrality is in the first place will be being threatened. If this passes it will continue to put America behind other countries and lead to a future where ignorance permeates. That is not the image of America that I believe is shared among the majority. Please don't get rid of net neutrality. It only benefits deep pockets.
2985. Net Neutrality, Woodinville, WA, 98072
The Internet won't still be the way we've come to know and love it if the content on it or the access thereto can be manipulated by parties other than those that put it there. Furthermore, ISPs are paid to provide equal access routes between each of their customers, not to preferentially treat certain customers.

2986. Mark Terrano, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support STRONG Net Neutrality as backed by Title 2 support. A free, fair, and open internet is essential for education, business, and other essential services. It is too important to be subject to the monopolistic ISPs. Multi-tier traffic regulation by ISPs will have far-reaching systemic impact that is bad for the public. Continue Net Neutrality Title 2 regulation.
2987. Internet Freedom, Bothell, WA, 98021
Net Neutrality is a necessity in our generation. Do not be the administration that goes down in history for corrupting America's ability to access information. I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight backed by ISPs.
2988. Eric Nelson, Ferndale, WA, 98248
The internet allows people across the globe to share and exchange ideas and produce products on a more even playing field. Net neutrality is important for the preservation of free speech and the continued growth of small businesses that rely on the internet to produce, sell, and distribute products.
2989. NetNeutrality, Ferndale, WA, 98248
Net Neutrality is essential. Without it our freedom is blocked, no more voice for the people who USE the internet the ones who provide it will have full control and an easy victory. They will control what we want, how we think and what we do through the internet, and restriction of freedom is everything America does not stand for.
2990. Thomas Nelson, Everett, WA, 98208
Those who wish to repeal Net Neutrality have nothing but the interests of the rich few on their minds. Net Neutrality in no way disadvantages them, but repealing it would give them control which they desperately want, and which they should by no means have.
2991. jack gr, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Access to internet and information should never be limited based on another persons view and or profit.
2992. Austin Hargrave, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Taking away net neutrality would be a disaster.
2993. Bernard Franklin Binckley, Duvall, WA, 98019
I strongly support net neutrality in it's purest form - fair and equal access for all, regardless of their means. The internet has become a part of modern life, and the functionality/services provided by unfettered access are endless. I am personally challenged by a very spotty memory, and make extensive use of cross-platform scheduling and reminders, as well as maintain communications with family and friends across great distances using the internet, not to mention my preferred pass-time activities of online multiplayer gaming and reading. The latter would be especially impacted, as it would be difficult for any but the largest companies and websites to be able to get quality service if net neutrality were set aside. The desire

for a lack of neutrality and deregulation is fueled by nothing more and nothing less than greed, something that has run rampant in our nation for far too long. There has to be a line drawn somewhere to stop this issue, and this is one of the most important places in this day and age, as it can have an impact on more than just these United States, but for the world.

2994. Jeremiah Leatherman, Snohomish, WA, 98290

as an american citizen i have the right to view whatever I so choose to view without corporations having a say in it. if the corporations to gain power like this then it will mean the end of democracy as we know it. therefore i plead that the FCC restore net neutrality to ensure the life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness for all.

2995. Jordan Jacobson, lynden, WA, 98264

To do away with Net Neutrality and the principles with which it protects, ergo no prioritization or excess charges for preferable treatment, would be a grave mistake. I believe it is in the best interests of the FCC and the General public to uphold the legislation keeping Net Neutrality in place.

2996. Adam Scott Chapdelaine, Redmond, WA, 98052

No matter what company executive tells you how restricting and archaic Title II Classification is for their business, it is the only thing that is keeping those businesses regulated for the greater good of everyone, yourselves included. They are still running businesses after all is said and done, at the end of the day they are going to want to make more profits, and they will look for any way to technically get away with saving more to line their pockets with. I am open to the idea of reform in the reclassification of what constitutes a free and open internet, but that is to assume we agree that no person on this earth should be held back from the global communication network we have as if they are leashed, just because they cannot afford to be leashless. That really is what is on the line here, and there's no glossing over that. Be the voice of the people and not the people that are enticing those pockets with their paid lies.

2997. Grant Preston oney, fall city, WA, 98024

The internet is the purist freedom in the entire world nothing that the current United states comes close. your current plan will ruin that for everyone.

2998. William Gessaman, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

Do not allow Internet providers to bias delivery of services in their favor! Keep Net Neutrality in place!

2999. Kevin Slawinski, Blaine, WA, 98230

Please allow everyone to have equal accessible access to the internet. It is a basic necessity in this day and age in order to get by. People are already struggling as is and companies have no right to make it harder/profit from them. Kevin Slawinski

3000. Merrill Ferguson, blaine, WA, 98230

Net neutrality is a fundamental aspect of internet freedoms and it is very important

to me that it is maintained.

3001. Terry Rushing, Snohomish, WA, 98290

Mr Pai, lets have an opposites day where you try to make the country better for the rest of America instead of the self serving interests of you, your party, and the wealthy internet providers you formally worked for. The best part is all you have to do is nothing! Doing nothing should be right up your alley! I mean you spend a lot of time doing stupid stuff like drinking from an oversized Reeses coffee mug, spouting false statements about net neutrality and canceling investigations into wrongdoings by corporations you are supposed to be regulating. Really what the American people want is for you to do nothing! To sit there and stop doing anything at all because you have just made things worse. Now I know you don't think you are making stuff worse but you are. When your former employer, Verizon, said in a shareholder meeting that the increased regulation from the FCC wasn't going to impact their business model they were not wrong. They didn't need your help and they still don't. The only people who want this kind of change are people who intend to use it for the sole purpose of generating profit and despite what you think that isn't good for America it's just good for a handful of Americans and you are supposed to be serving us all not just your buddies. I wouldn't normally waste my time trying to convince you what you should be doing but lets face it you are getting these marching orders from somewhere else and like a good baby duck you are following your extremist overlords right into oncoming traffic. I mean god knows you are not smart enough to make reasonable, rational decisions or else the hundreds of thousands of Americans who have repeatedly come over here and asked you idiots to stop trying to fix something that isn't broken wouldn't be here asking again. You also wouldn't think that stupid cup makes you cool. Anyways, I know me insulting you doesn't help. On the off chance you actually read my comment, which you won't because you have already stated you intend to ignore public feedback, I know that my insults will make you dismissive of my position and entrench you further in your belief. The sad part is I don't care. I am insulting you because it makes me feel better. We shouldn't even be having this conversation because of how stupid this concept is yet here we are so you get to make me feel better by enduring some of my wrath. Anyways, I know you are going to vote against net neutrality. It has been the cornerstone of your political career. You changing your mind on this simply isn't going to happen. Being open to possibilities, changing your mind based on information, and coming up with the solutions that Americans need in order to make this country a better place is hard work. I know you are not up to that hard work. Getting a degree, even in law, doesn't make you smart it makes you educated. There is a difference. Some of that isn't your fault. You are being fed lies as facts and as long as you have been a Republican you have been taught that anything that doesn't support your beliefs is either a lie or an outright conspiracy. That's not true, it's extremely dangerous, but I know it happens because I used to be a hard line Republican and now I am an American. I figured out that Republican leaders were lying because I tried to be a good Republican and convince others to join the cause. I discussed politics with lots of folks and tried very hard to use facts to support my statements because facts should be able to sway anyone....except I had virtually no

facts to use. The more I dug the more I realized most of the things the leaders were saying were bullshit. I couldn't find reliable sources or peer reviewed papers that proved what I was saying was right. What I found was a sea of opinion pieces, blog posts, biased "news" agencies, and an entire industry built around the idea that lying to the American people to push an agenda was okay. So I am not really a Republican anymore but because I am reasonable, rational, smart, and think the only way our nation can function is based on facts, science, the rule of law, and effective government I guess I never really was. You still shouldn't destroy net neutrality and stop drinking from that stupid cup mmm'k?

3002. Ana Stoudt, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274

I urge you to protect the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) as they are extremely important to me. You will immediately be giving some companies a leg up on competitors, no longer making it a fair and open trade market. This will stifle innovation, communication, consumer protections and the open market, if net neutrality is taken away.

3003. Net Neutrality, Medina, WA, 98039

If net neutrality is not protected, I WILL have words with government superiors.

3004. Mary Curry, Snohomish, WA, 98290

I am a small business owner and work with school and after school programs all over the US. Having a free and open internet is Paramount for teachers and educator to find me and for me to provide them a quality program to benefit students math growth.

3005. Alex Freeman-Smith, Kenmore, WA, 98028

Everyone deserves a voice on the internet, not just the huge companies that can afford the additional charges for bandwidth. Net neutrality protects the internet from becoming a pay-to-play wasteland of creativity.

3006. Heather LaBouy, Kenmore, WA, 98028

Please continue to provide a open internet that is not dependent on service providers controlling access based on cost of services. The internet must remain a free service, available to all and while service providers can restrict access to cable channels, they must not inhibit internet use.

3007. Carolyn McKenna, Redmond, WA, 98052

I am learning two new languages completely online by having fast and reliable internet. I have discovered new places in my town because of its public webpages, and I am hiking Washington's state and national parks because I can read camping information and trail reports online. I support several small businesses in my town because I found their wares via the internet. Without net neutrality and ISP regulation, these websites may not exist. Comcast is the only provider I have access to. If they impose data caps or slow down webpages, there isn't another ISP I can switch to. The FCC should regulate price gouging by ISPs, slow speeds, lack of ISP competition, and private information gathering by ISPs.

3008. David Ellis, Kirkland, WA, 98034
The internet should be an open expressway for all users, without limitations or restrictions imposed by governing bodies.
3009. Sara Rantschler, Kenmore, WA, 98028
This is not freedom, it is the antithesis of it. Please keep the Internet free and stop this legislation in any way possible.
3010. Nicholas Katris, Redmond, WA, 98052
Keep net neutrality in effect! Do not allow the internet to become filtered, prioritized, or otherwise subject to privatized or government influence!
3011. Steven E. Bourne, Bothell, WA, 98012
Keep Net Neutrality. DON'T ALLOW paid-prioritization, blocking or throttling. Thank you.
3012. Adam Croft, Redmond, WA, 98052
In this day and age open access to the internet should be a right for all American citizens. Don't let corporate greed win, the people of America should come before the corporations of America. Non-competitive, monopolistic tendencies are the reasons that our ISPs and cell phone coverage are so bad already. Getting rid of net neutrality would undo years of technological progress as well as handicap the poor who cannot afford the premium web packages. Why should the poor not have access to the same websites as the rich? That will only serve to increase the divide between the haves and the have nots.
3013. Symantha Reagor, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please save the open internet and protect Net Neutrality.
3014. Delmar Davis, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Do not end net neutrality; it is an important part of reducing risk for big businesses while still supporting economic growth resulting from free-market evolution. Currently, big business on the internet can get stale without profits being disrupted because newer and better ideas are available to purchase and absorb. If you take away net neutrality, only the best, most disruptive start-ups will succeed. Most importantly, we should think careful about even giving precedent to letting communication companies (currently the most powerful organizations on the planet) have sweeping control over commerce. Thank you!Delmar Davis
3015. Lauren Pate, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I support net neutrality. I don't see how anyone that values free speech and the free exchange of information could not. If we allow businesses to limit what we have access to what's next? Can they come into our homes and limit what we eat if it's not their brand? What we discuss if it's not their agenda? If we do no retain autonomy on the internet it's granting permission to someone else to pick and choose what we can access, only according to their interests - not ours. This is an issue of freedoms and if we allow businesses to control what we can access online, when, and how then we're

no better than the dictatorships we claim to fight against. Do not allow this travesty.

3016. Ryan Durand, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net neutrality is important. The internet is a tool for science and information, not just GIFs and Netflix, and without net neutrality nothing is stopping ISPs from throttling or blocking sites of important value to suppress important information and research that could impact them or their parent companies. Time and again its been shown that the ISPs can not be trusted to police themselves, and with the already rampant oligopoly that exists matters can and will only get worse without net neutrality.

3017. Suzanne, Redmond, WA, 98052

Please keep the Internet neutral for all to use. Paid prioritization privileges large carriers over smaller ones and is unfair. Giving ISPs the wholesale right to block websites is unfair. Net neutrality laws and rules were put in place for a reason. Don't dismantle them now.

3018. Ryan Monahan, Kirkland, WA, 98034

We support the current Title II statutes surround Net Neutrality.

3019. Bryce Robinson, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Don't kill net neutrality... that's just a really dumb idea. I feel like we can all recognize its a really dumb idea so don't do it.

3020. Kaitlyn Schmidt, Redmond, WA, 98052

I currently pay Comcast for a connection to the internet. The only factors I care about when looking for an internet service provider are bandwidth speed, stability, and technical support when the connection is broken or slow for any reason. I use the internet as a paid-for service to communicate and connect with friends and family, an educational tool to learn about the world around me and how to do my job better, and a way to express myself as an individual. I maintain my email accounts with MSN and google, I host my own website through lypha, and I host many communication servers through Discord. I search for jobs on multiple job boards, like indeed, monster, gamasutra, or other more specialized search sites. Where I live, there is only one provider of high speed internet access above 30 mbps. I have to choose Comcast as my provider if I want speed that satisfies my large household. Frontier offers 25 mbps, but that is not satisfactory for my household with dozens of devices. The prices are very similar for similar speeds, about \$60 a month for 25 mbps as of this writing. Switching providers would involve a day or two of awaiting installation and paying installation fees, and possibly buying new equipment. The Federal Communications Commission definitely must play a role in regulating the internet service providers. It is, primarily, a form of communication. It is an invaluable tool and indispensable to the modern life. The current landscape of internet service providers is a dismal collection of de facto monopolies, and deregulation would only exacerbate the issue. It's Standard Oil all over again. The citizens of the United States need the FCC to be their Teddy Roosevelt, to ensure fair competition and fair use, to create fertile ground for start-ups, to protect

customers against abuses by colluding monopolies.

3021. Gabriel Archer, SNOHOMISH, WA, 98296

I am writing in the hopes that you would defend open internet / net-neutrality. Letting a few large corporations have access to faster speeds and be able to put their content in front of me before websites from average citizens will squash creativity. The horrible implications of this cannot be overstated. The beauty of the internet is that you can go where you want to go, create what you want to create, decide what to watch, buy, and listen to. Why should that choice belong to anyone other than each individual? Thank you for your time!

3022. Jennifer Martin, Kirkland, WA, 98033

There is already too much corporate control of far too many industries that put profit ahead of the people. The internet is a blessing and very much a commodity in the 21st century. As a citizen who pays each month for internet service, a student who heavily relies on it for research for school and a parent of children that strongly believes my kids should have equal access to internet, I can state that the Internet Service Providers are already making their profit through their monthly bills and should have absolutely no right to restrict or control what we the people can access and at what cost. FCC this is a strongly capitalistic idea that will only benefit the wealthy, further manipulate what information is readily available and burden the poor, keep corporate greed out of how we access information.

3023. John D Browne, Duvall, WA, 98019

The internet is a level playing field thanks to Net Neutrality regulations. The current proposal to remove those regulations will have an adverse effect on many small technology companies in Washington state and harm Washington State consumers.

3024. Joshua Rehbein, Granite Falls, WA, 98252

The internet isn't, and shouldn't be, a corporate controlled entity. ISP's shouldn't be able to filter and control what I see. What if your electric company throttled your power because you were using an appliance made by a company they competed with? it would keep you from being able to enjoy quality products at the lowest prices. With Net Neutrality, we can shop for the internet connection that fits our price range without worrying about what services will be blocked. ISP's don't want net neutrality because they're suffering. they've hit a wall on how much money they can suck out of consumers. They want to control the medium they're providing to get consumers to buy more and more expensive packages to get the same product they have now. they can partner with websites to give premium access to these sites, and block or slow rival sites. I have a huge issue with this because internet providers are already some of the lowest rated companies in terms of customer satisfaction. Only 3 years ago, Consumerist named Comcast the WORST COMPANY IN AMERICA. This in the same category as Seaworld and Monsanto. In a world without net neutrality, we're going to give our online freedom over to these people so they can make MORE money and give us LESS? Sounds like a pretty raw deal for the consumer.

3025. Andrew Aldrich, Lynden, WA, 98264
Hello, When I moved to this area one year ago, there was only one ISP available. I depend on my fast, reliable internet connection and unfettered access to applications and services in every corner of the internet in order to support my family and generate value for my company. This year, another ISP option came into the area with faster speeds. I selected this service provider in order to get faster unfettered access to the internet free of advertisements and prioritized products and services (I don't require e-mail, cloud services, or ANY OTHER services from my ISP except for fast, unfettered access to the internet.) This is what I believe I'm buying when I'm paying my ISP bill. Access to the internet. The whole internet. Without prioritization on websites or applications that may generate additional profit for my ISP, while de-prioritizing access and to websites and services that I actually need to do my job and support my family. I believe the FCC should exist to protect consumers from the powerful ISPs, that because of market consolidation, represent an oligopoly that can collude to benefit the entire ISP industry at the expense of consumers. I believe the FCC should enforce the existing net neutrality rules that allow for companies, big and small, and consumers, personal and corporate, rich and poor, to have fast and unfettered access to the WHOLE internet. Please consider me, a poor, lowly consumer with extremely few options for internet service, totally dependent on the quality and speed and affordability of that internet service in order to provide value for our economy, pay taxes and not burden the government, and benefit my community and society through my work and volunteerism. Thank you.
3026. Samantha Auchenpaugh, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Free and open internet is a key to a successful society and growing economy in the new world. Please keep the internet open.
3027. George Nash, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Keep net neutrality in place. The net should be classified as a Title II of the communications act of 1934. With Title II, the second subsection (202) clearly states that common carriers can't make any unjust or unreasonable discrimination in charges, practices, classifications, regulations, facilities, or services. This is what I want. An internet that can not introduce fast lanes and preferential treatment of those who pay more.
3028. April Adams, Carnation, WA, 98014
I believe Net Neutrality is necessary for a free and unlimited internet community to exist. All people are allowed to post content and exercise free enterprise from a level playing field of equal access to all sites. It should not be assumed that all users have a choice in where they obtain internet access. In my own case, I live in a rural area where the options are limited, and if my ISP decided to limit fast access to only sites they deemed worthy, my ability to handle my personal business and hobbies would be negatively impacted, and I would have no other choice of ISP to move to. I believe that selecting websites or online services to visit should be considered telecommunications under the lawful definition: "the transmission, between or among points specified by the user, of information of the user's choosing, without

change in the form or content of the information as sent and received." This is true regardless of whether I specify the IP address in particular to use and is true for the purposes for which I use internet access, that is for selecting websites or online services to visit, and to get reliable access to all the Internet offers at fast speeds. One benefit of the free and equal access internet is the ability to connect at equal speeds to all websites, large and small, and that benefit would be lost should ISPs be able to pick winners and losers on the Internet. It would suppress free speech to have access speeds adjusted for some sites to be faster than others. Please keep Net Neutrality rules in place.

3029. Curtis Henke, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

The internet is where I have met so many friends and made so many great memories that started from a website. Please don't change it.

3030. Evan Hudson, Bothell, WA, 98012

I am 100 percent in favor of Net Neutrality! the Internet should be a free place and not decided by the the few heads of a ISP

3031. Kurt Loidl, Redmond, WA, 98052

I'm a small business owner working in the digital entertainment space. I rely on unadulterated access to online marketplaces large and small to ensure people can access my creations however they choose. Digital experiences incorporate incredible visuals and sounds, and this requires an immense amount of data to be transmitted to the consumer. With traffic limitations in place, the work of myself and numerous other creators would become inaccessible. Additionally, many of the experiences I create rely on players connecting to one another, as moments shared with other real people often prove to be the most memorable and rewarding. If internet traffic was constrained or manipulated due to a loss of net neutrality, players would be unable to freely engage in this kind of play, and my ability to continue this work would be crippled. Without net neutrality, developers around the world would be irreparably harmed and our culture would be the worse for it. Please do not revoke the rulings already set in place that ensure net neutrality.

3032. Justin Richie, KIRKLAND, WA, 98034

Please do not take away Net Neutrality. It is a vital part of the internet and makes it what it is. To take away these outlets would be devastating to our freedom here in this space. For many, this is a place where everything is created and shared equally and I really believe it should stay that way. Any content the consumer wants should be readily available with proper guards and warnings, of course, set in place for mature content. But for someone else to prioritize our content is wrong. Thanks for hearing me out. And I hope we can all make the right decision. Thank you.

3033. Terry L Knight, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

Please consider maintaining the current rules that keep the internet open and available to all Americans without financial favoritism, metering, throttling etc. which could only impede the free flow of commerce and information. Any such change in rules could easily erode or delay the current growth in open technologies

development as well as free educational resources to many Americans.

3034. Adrian P Aucoin, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
c'mon guys

3035. Trevor Williamson, Bellingham, WA, 98226
The internet needs to be free, open, and impartial. The idea of net neutrality has been the foundation of the internet for the past 25 years of its existence. This idea to allow internet fast lanes and prioritization will kill small businesses who can't afford to join a fast lane, and even indirectly contribute to censorship of free thought on small blogs and indie news websites. There is a reason that the current congressional approval rating is only 20%. It's because there seems to be a massive corrupt disconnect between lobbied politicians and every day Americans.

3036. MARION COILLOT, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
I am absolutely for Net Neutrality Comcast has bullied and over priced their services for over 10 years of services ...even hacked my bank account (admitted by Billing Manager) stole money from my account ...been recorded! They run..the internet sloppy and crooks .no customers services except rudeness and I always paid my bills ...they have countless illegal actions to customers ...I am a angry customer !!!! I refuse to tolerate anymore bad services ..I would give up internet completely just to stop them ...I personally think that they should offer it free to customers that they victimized!!COMCAST NEEDS CONTROLLED !!!MARION COILLOT

3037. Michael T Moore, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Please do not allow companies to throttle internet speeds. Please, keep the internet free! It would be a detriment to every American if it wasn't.

3038. Max Seuberlich, Duvall, WA, 98019
Passing this measure to privatize the internet and remove Net Neutrality would be a massive blow to freedom in the United States and the world.

3039. Russell Graham Hoyt, Monroe, WA, 98272
Is this the home of the "Free"? Is this the home of the "Brave"? Or is this the home of Corporate owned Democrapussy? PLEASE KEEP "FREE" THE GOD DAM INTERNET!!!!!! Please and thank you. This country is about being free its part of our culture lets not corrupt the very values that founded this country. The values that gave people the strength to fight a major war almost every generation. Go ahead sap the nation of hits value system the American people have been involved in a global conflict for almost every single generation for the past 300 years. I'm sure we would all appreciate having our reasons to fight for this country taken away piece by piece. I'm sure you will find a very willing and docile populace willing to accept the slow eroding of their very core beliefs.Yours truly, Concerned Citizen.

3040. Open, Hamilton, WA, 98255
This is absolutely ridiculous the internet is a free place.

3041. Net Neutrality, Kirkland, WA, 98033

In the next few months, net neutrality may be in jeopardy, and this is a massive issue to consumers everywhere. I have one example that may help change (at least a few) of your minds. If in the 7 billion people alive today, and the 3.2 billion people who have access to the internet, there may be someone who is close to finding a cure to a well-known, widespread, and fatal disease. For this example, let's use cancer. If, at one point, someone is close to finding a cure to cancer (or other deadly disease), by eliminating net neutrality, this research could be delayed. Information is humanity's greatest weapon, and by eliminating net neutrality, information and knowledge to the public could be at risk. I ask not only to keep net neutrality, to protect it, but also why eliminating it is a good idea, other than gaining more money for personal gain. The internet is a wonderful place, where many have made friends, and long-lasting relationships on. Why restrict it?

3042. Corey Guidry, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I am SPECIFICALLY in favor of KEEPING Net Neutrality! Do not get rid of it!

3043. Bruce Barnbaum, Granite Falls, WA, 98252

I do not want to have second-rate internet service compared to anyone or any corporation or government entity. I want to have the same service as anyone else. Do not change the current equality on the internet. Thank you! Bruce Barnbaum

3044. Pete Harris, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Please act to ensure equal access to the internet for all. Please keep net neutrality. Do Not Repeal. Thank you.

3045. Scott Margerum, Bothell, WA, 98021

There is absolutely no rational reason for the laws that keep any and all traffic on the internet to be handled properly. Allowing private companies to buy up a faster lane over the rest of the net content or worse dictate that they should be able to control how stuff moves across their lines is wrong. I should not have to worry about my ISP prioritizing their personal services over those of their competitors...AT&T / COMCAST (whatever they call themselves now) ... their bandwidth cap was wrong especially when they say their streaming services get a pass on bandwidth use vs say NETFLIX who I had to use some of my quota for... if ISP's want to scream and moan about how much things are costing them then they need to spend some of those profits of theirs on upping their infrastructure.... the fact all parts of America don't have a 100 MBPS minimum speed offered everywhere is freaking insulting... and are they trying to fix that? ... no ... they are trying to curb traffic instead... No way, No how, I strongly oppose all people who try to suggest net neutrality is anything but good for all Americans ... and those who say otherwise are being paid off to do so... that anonymous dirty money needs to be ripped out of politics completely!

3046. Sid Maxwell, Carnation, WA, 98014

Ajit Pai's proposed reform is absolutely ludicrous. This is not made to help the American people. This is made purely for the benefit of ISPs and corporations that

have NO business being accommodated here. The internet is and HAS TO ALWAYS BE free from ISP control. They provide a public service, often a monopolized service, and in no way is it right to give them the power to throttle for profit. This will lead to the ISPs being allowed to censor or show information as they please by making it impossible (or infuriatingly slow) for those not willing or able to pay their fees, and that is not AT ALL beneficial to American consumers. This is a farce, and in no way should anyone support this.

3047. Jeff Krajewski, Everett, WA, 98208

Net Neutrality is important for the democracy of the internet. It must be maintained.

3048. Erica Zieber, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Given the amount of letters you've no doubt received already, you should be well aware of the public's opinion on net neutrality. Instead of reminding you yet again how important a free and equal internet is to everyone, I thought I'd let you know what will happen if you vote to end net neutrality. Ending net neutrality will send a clear message to the entire country as to what your priorities are. Choosing to bow to the will of internet service providers at the cost of every other citizen will not be forgotten. If net neutrality ends, then every time a website lags when it shouldn't, every time we see a message asking us to pay extra to access something that used to be free, we'll remember that this is your fault. And the next time an election comes around, all your opposition will have to do is remind us of the time you betrayed your duty to represent us so the rich could get richer. The fact that this is even still a debate does a well enough job of illustrating how little you care about what we want. So if you can't bring yourself to do the right thing for our sake, please at least do it for your own. Erica Zieber

3049. Jaime, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Keep Net Neutrality!!!!!! Quit making bad decisions and screwing up our internet and lives!

3050. Bill terKuile, Monroe, WA, 98272

I support Net Neutrality and Title II protections. This "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal is nothing more than an attempt to control internet content and access. Those that pay get the best access, the rest are relegated to the back of the line. We citizens already pay enough to a small cartel of providers who control the majority of the internet access. Net neutrality guarantees the free and unfettered movement of content and ideas that is the backbone of our Republic. No New Tolls for Internet Access.

3051. Pandora Spocks, Blaine, WA, 98230

The fact we are arguing this goes against everything America stands for. The open internet is the last frontier of freedom and anonymity both for speech and for knowledge. To reduce these to another commodity goes against everything free expression stands for. It would severely limit the learning capacity, content creation, and American ingenuity it has birthed since its creation. This is not a time to put corporate interests first, this is too important.

3052. Jerome St.Martin, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Destruction of net neutrality for the purpose of corporate profit is an example of one of the many ways in which capitalistic society must be held in check in order for it to remain beneficial and wholesome to humanity. A neutral net available freely and unrestricted to all is necessary, Necessary, for the continued peace under this current global economic system. Failure to uphold this basic human right would be an egregious failure of government, such that its benefit will no longer be worthy of its cost.
3053. Hunter Fite , Fall City, WA, 98024
Please don't. We will find a way around it at best, lose our ability to learn at worst, and do you really want a culture where power tools and electronic theory are at arms reach but no more manipulative media to keep us down? We won't be paying for internet to a surplus, so political and advertisement won't reach us the first few waves of the media stall. We will have power but no guiding force. No more easily satisfied thirsts will cause more exploration, which when that can't be satisfied leads to angst and anger. Be for the people, please don't let all those pseudo science books about end of the world be right. We need education and college is to expensive. If that gets taken where will our energy be put forth? Money is important, but isn't the point to have a good society?Individualism is key, but when your damaging others chance at individualism isn't that against itself?Hunter Fite
3054. Beth Pan, Redmond, WA, 98052
We need to preserve our right to communicate freely online. Without Net Neutrality, how would activists be able to fight against oppression? What would happen to social movements like the Movement for Black Lives? How would the next disruptive technology, business or company emerge if internet service providers only let incumbents succeed?
3055. Christianity Knafla, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Hello,My name is Christian and I personally believe that passing this is not a good idea. The way this is set up is not only going to harm the companies that aren't paying, but it is also going to harm the customers that are paying for that service. The only people this won't effect is the 1% that own the company. Christianity Knafla
3056. Ashok Shivani, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Please maintain net neutrality. Freedom and equality of information are needed for a just society.
3057. Jacki Li, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support Title 2 Classification laws for internet service providers (ISPs) which provides the legal groundwork for treating all internet traffic as equal. Equal internet is important to us as a country to proceed. If this law get roll back, small businesses will not be able to survive and will be "tax" heavily by ISPs, which will heavily impact our nation economy. Therefore, I support Title 2.

3058. Philip R. Auberg, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please ensure that we maintain our net neutrality protections. Access to the internet is critical for a small business like mine.
3059. Victor Garcia , Snohomish, WA, 98290
Please don't let, Verizon ,AT&T and Comcast win this fight! They shouldn't be aloud to slow us down from sites we get visit on the Dailey bases! They shouldn't have the right to make smaller business close! Please stop them from doing this!
Victor Garcia
3060. Ralph Smith, Bothell, WA, 98021
The only freedom in this motion is to allow internet providers to charge more to some companies and provide poorer service to the masses.This is purely a means to allow more profits at the expense of the public whom the FCC is supposed to protect.
3061. Elaine Crawford, Bothell, WA, 98021
The Internet should remain a public place and I urge the FCC and Congress to support net neutrality. To take it away should not be a consideration! DO THE RIGHT THING AND SUPPORT WHAT THE PEOPLE WANT AND NEED!Elaine Crawford
3062. sheree wen, Medina, WA, 98039
It is citizen's constitution right of Access for all and right of Privacy. Please duly keep net neutrality and the Title II. SEC. 222. [47 U.S.C. 222] PRIVACY OF CUSTOMER INFORMATION. Every telecommunications carrier has a duty to protect the confidentiality of proprietary information of customers, A telecommunications carrier shall not use or sell such information. All release requires affirmative written request by the customer, to any person designated by the customer. All aggregate release requires remove customers' name.
3063. Dylan Larson, Everson, WA, 98247
The open internet is extremely important for citizens of the U.S. It is important that we have access to anything and everything that is on the web without hindrance from our ISPs. ISPs should not and never should have control over what we can or cannot see on the internet.
3064. Zach Okemah, Kirkland, WA, 98034
smh
3065. William Gross, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net Neutrality is extremely important for every American and should remain under Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality back by Title II oversight of ISPs.
3066. Daniel Mishler, Redmond, WA, 98053
The internet is not only important in today's society, it is required. so much of our economy, lifestyles, and day to day existence is tied to the internet. Without

neutrality, complete control of all of that is put into the hands of the ISPs. The claim that neutrality blocks innovation is just buzzwords. The executives at the ISPs and those they bribe are the only ones who would benefit at all from the removal of neutrality. They would get more power and money, and the consumers who rely on the internet for many aspects of their life will pay for it, literally and figuratively. Imagine if the water companies started controlling how you use your tap water, or if a power company started adjusting your electricity depending on what you were using it for. Imagine if gas companies started charging different prices depending on what car you are driving. No good can come of removing neutrality and it only serves to feed greed. Do the right thing, don't let greed destroy us. We need net neutrality to allow everyone to use the internet with equality. Thank you for listening. -Daniel Mishler Daniel Mishler

3067. Net neutrality, Sultan, WA, 98294

These laws infringe on the rights of every single American citizen that is, in any way, connected to the web. We cannot allow large ISPs the power to control how any of us use the internet. It is simply NOT okay. I should not have my internet throttled because frontier doesn't like what I'm doing. Plain and simple.

3068. Aaron Caluya, Redmond, WA, 98053

The internet has importance to myself and others beyond the obvious. My friends do not live near me, so the internet is my only connection to them. It lets me see what is happening beyond my doorstep, as well as lets me affect things outside my reach. Net neutrality insures that I can communicate and work freely through the internet without being limited or throttled to certain web sites or companies. The freedom to access information without it being controlled by the ISPs is a vital part of life and giving away our freedoms for money is reprehensible. It enrages me that removing Net Neutrality is even being considered after how hard we fought to get it in the first place. The situation is ridiculous, the ISPs making false, unproven claims to try and convince people that this is anything other than a grab for easy money. The facts are clear, save Net Neutrality! -Aaron Jareth Kamehameha Caluya Aaron Caluya

3069. Darlene Smith, Duvall, WA, 98019

I am in favor of strong net neutrality (and really, I'm astounded that anyone wouldn't be) backed by Title II regulations. Why do we still have to keep fighting for this? A free internet should be a given at this point, except for people living in a censorship-centric authoritarian regime. Let's avoid having it come to that, shall we? Don't let corporate entities decide what information people can access.

3070. Donna Noto-Diaz, Blaine, WA, 98230

If this goes through, it will make big companies able to make little users pay more, as it is I pay Xfinity/Comcast \$60 a month just for internet in my home. Will this double for me if I want my internet as fast as Google? The FCC reclassifying broadband as an "information service" instead of a "telecommunications service" is putting lipstick on a pig, it still is making it more expensive for the little people. I get internet service for email, Facebook, twitter & selling my crafts, this would not be fair for my tiny business. It is still an INFORMATION SERVICE. Mr. Pai needs to

think of REAL people & not all he billionaires in Trumps swamp with "contribution" props, how much money you have shouldn't be in the equation

3071. James K Suhr, Redmond, WA, 98052

I am IN FAVOR of eliminating the so-called net neutrality. Just like there are toll roads and bridges, I feel the 'players' in the action should be able to pay for the speed of service they desire.

3072. Hakeem Zikusooka, Blaine, WA, 98230

Chairman Pai, I'll make this quick. You say the current rules are too strict, you say they were made up because of "ghosts", but you are willfully ignorant. Simple as that. Regardless of the rules being strict they're perfect and don't need to be changed at all even if the cable companies aren't doing anything shady, it prevents the mere thought. The fact you think anyone with half a brain can't see you're doing it for the money is honestly more disheartening than Trump winning by a long shot. Hakeem Zikusooka

3073. Damian Peters, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273

I oppose the role back of title 2 net neutrality regulations

3074. Krysta Rose, Woodinville, WA, 98077

Ajit Pai, if you want people to see photos of you and your cool-guy-large mug or videos of you and your mug, please support STRONG net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you.

3075. Andrew, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

I am in support of keeping Net Neutrality

3076. Barry Martin, Bothell, WA, 98011

As a small business owner relying upon the internet to reach new customers I need net neutrality to ensure I can compete.

3077. J B Stiglitz, Bellingham, WA, 98226

With all the movement to remove freedoms in order to placate the corporate overlords we need a free internet. It won't be the prettiest thing, but it will sure beat the hell out of Fox, Sinclair, and the Republican Right telling us what we can or can't watch or follow.

3078. Michele Vance, Monroe, WA, 98272

Net Neutrality allow us consumers (and not just big corporations) to watch what we want online. Without net neutrality the corporations could choose to throttle back our internet speeds grinding us consumer's speed to a halt.

3079. keaton santi, medina, WA, 98039

The internet is for everyone. It is an educational tool and unless you invented it, you have NO RIGHT to touch it.

3080. Chris Ottesen, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Early in the United States history states tried to block and control interstate commerce to their advantage. The federal government understood that a free flow of interstate commerce was essential to the well being of the country as a whole. The federal government stepped in to ensure that this free flow of goods and services would benefit everyone. A free and open internet is no different. Allowing corporations the power to control the free flow of information is essentially un-American. A free and open internet will benefit us all rather than a few corporations. It is not un-American to make a profit but it is un-American to put profits ahead of individual American rights.
3081. Andrew Talcott, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Net neutrality is essential to making sure the internet stays open and free. There is no credible reason to eliminate it other than to help telecommunications companies, who are already too big and have monopolized internet access in many areas with terrible service. Please protect neutrality!
3082. Rafael Rodriguez, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Net Neutrality is the platform to open and fair access to the WWW resources. It is the truest and fairest forum for all who access it and allowing businesses to gain speed advantages will not allow for a even playing field.
3083. Ben Biggers, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Keep net Neutrality alive! Stop large internet providers from taking advantage of the internet and forcing us to use their services.
3084. Carol Cornish, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
It makes no sense to gut the net neutrality of the internet. It was just a short time ago we broke up the giant telephone company which made service more economical for everyone. I like to see entrepreneurship allowed to prosper. I want to access what I want to see not what some giant company wants sell me. That is why I no longer watch network TV
3085. Bill Odle , Monroe, WA, 98272
Net neutrality is true democracy for the world. Democracy trumps capitalism.
3086. Trinya, Kirkland, WA, 98034
The internet needs to remain a free space for speech, creation, sharing, and communication. This should not be a corporate mandated "those with the money get the service" system.
3087. Daniel Kuster, Snohomish , WA, 98290
Seriously? WTF is wrong with you? 2 tier? Poor and rich, huh?
3088. Nam Nguyen, Bothell, WA, 98021
this will do no good to anyone except for the people already in the 1%. You are killing the american dream and this is unconstitutional. You will make the US the

next North Korea if you pass this

3089. Liz Sirjani, Kirkland, WA, 98033

All the carriers should be neutral to the types of data that is flowing through their network. If not, I won't have real choices. I will be stuck with what is provided. There will be kickbacks and that is not fair. I am tired of certain people, groups, businesses trying to make more profit without the concern of the people, users. STOP trying to control things, monopolies, as well as lack of transparency are bad for the consumer.

3090. Patricia Maass, Bellingham, WA, 98225

Net neutrality is very important to me and about free speech. I want my freedoms protected and I vote accordingly. Thank you,

3091. Stephanie Wright, Kenmore, WA, 98028

Net neutrality allows consumers "not big companies" to choose what they watch & do online. Net neutrality is for the people... the people you serve.

3092. Katrina Urso, Woodinville, WA, 98072

I firmly believe that net neutrality must be protected, as that is what makes the internet amazing! The fact that anyone has a voice on here. Each individual site may regulate what can and can not be said, but I do not believe that the whole should be regulated by any one, or any group!

3093. Robert Decker, Redmond, WA, 98052

This action is the now regular redirection of goods and services to remove from middle class and put into business/high class

3094. Victoria King, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273

Net Neutrality protects us from Big Brother.

3095. Collin Spradlin, Snohomish, WA, 98290

Losing net neutrality would be another nail in the coffin of the American Dream.

3096. Noelani Keaomelemele, Kenmore, WA, 98028

The last time I remember the Govt taking apart a monopoly was 1974-1982 (Bell Telephone). With progressive deregulation since R.Regan, monopolies & big corp are now everywhere. Now the FCC wants to let them do ANY damn thing they want? Destroy the neutral(?) Internet? It looks to me like all the various preparations for a dictatorship and the FCC is helping. Gee....thanks Mr. Donald Jackass T-Rump. SO MUCH FOR CONSUMER PROTECTION.....

3097. Hannah Hamilton, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Capitalism is great, but net neutrality is better. In fact, net neutrality is capitalism. Please keep net neutrality.

3098. Donald Levens, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

Net neutrality is a right as an American-not a concept!

3099. Marina, Monroe, WA, 98272
Leave this open resource as such - for exploration, education, and endless opportunity to be accessed by ALL.
3100. Jim, Kirkland, WA, 98034
The internet is more than just pages of text, more than just videos, more than entertainment or research archives or instant messaging. It's the closest humanity has come to allowing anyone, anywhere, to participate in a community that spans the globe. A handful of companies - much less a single nation's businesses - should not possess powers of governance over such a community.
3101. Julie Struble, Bothell, WA, 98021
The internet is not the place for bias.
3102. Matt Penning, Blaine, WA, 98230
Net neutrality must be kept and enforced for a truly effective and free internet.
3103. Daniel Doran, Snohomish, WA, 98290
The Internet has become an integral part of the world, and of the American people. An unfiltered connection to it allows access to the largest storage of information available to man. It is important to me, that access remains open and uncensored so that (reasonable) freedom is maintained.
3104. Marie, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Net neutrality must be protected. It IS absolutely part of our first amendment, and should not be taken over by any a company. No company is going to decide what I can and can not view - it is the information highway, and no one should limit where I go, but me.
3105. Mel Peterson, Everett, WA, 98208
I support net neutrality and the resulting control of large corporations who would control internet access if they have the opportunity.
3106. Anna Martin, Acme, WA, 98220
My family runs a small farming business that faces very large challenges on a daily basis from weather uncertainty to high labor costs to increasing regulations. The most effective way to reach our customers, which is the lifeblood of our revenue stream, is online. It's not within our reach to pay high rates for net priority. In fact, we already pay nearly \$300/month just to access the internet via Verizon because we live in a rural setting and have no other options. Please consider the damning effect this can have on our economy. YES to net neutrality.
3107. Richard Adams, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Net Neutrality IS free speech. Money is NOT. The First Amendment guarantees this freedom. We The People demand our freedom be safeguarded. Not listening the The

People is not a good communication skill. We expect you to listen, and act on the behalf of All of Us. USA is US, eh?

3108. KR, Blaine, WA, 98230

Net Neutrality informed the design of every Request For Comment. If it had not been the spirit of how the tool would be used the majority of advances which allow for an information age would not have happened and access to the Internet would not have been so broad.

3109. Don Maybury, Blaine, WA, 98230

Please keep "Net Neutrality" so the internet is truly free and accessible to all who wish to use it. We should not be controlled by anyone for any reason as we search and use the web. Furthermore we should not be charged, regulated, or controlled for how we use the web. We need a fair playing field for all NOT just some "PRIME" companies. You MUST keep "Net Neutrality" for the sake of all users.

3110. Anita Damjanovic, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I do not want small businesses and bloggers to be hurt by slower load times due to inability to afford faster internet. I do not want the information and services I see as my options to be thwarted by load times.

3111. Jessica Welker, Woodinville, WA, 98077

The internet has revolutionized the way people use information. Protecting net neutrality is critical to keeping it that way.

3112. TJ Wood, Snohomish, WA, 98290

I should be able to choose what I see - not Big Money

3113. Mary, Deming, WA, 98244

Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech and allows us little people to choose what we do online.

3114. Nastasya Matthews, Redmond, WA, 98052

The internet is the safe haven for the freedom to express the mind and spirit. Where people from all walks of life can connect down the the minutest niche interests. Please help maintain Net Neutrality to keep the internet a free and open space for all.

3115. Michael Womer, Sedro Woolley, WA, 98284

Monopolies do not contribute to a healthy economy. This is not in the best interest of the people.

3116. Paul J. Grass, Snohomish, WA, 98290

Net Neutrality is necessary to prevent the ever continuing growth of power large corporations like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon have over the citizenry. The citizens have a right to freedom of speech and assembly, currently the most common form of speech and assembly is through the internet. Internet providers should not have the power to limit or censor the citizens of this or any country by throttling speeds or

denying access to websites. Net neutrality is necessary and **MUST BE PROTECTED**

3117. Dawn Lamb, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Net neutrality must be protected to protect access to information for all and provide an environment where eCommerce businesses can compete fairly. Competition in the market is good for consumers and businesses. Without net neutrality some businesses will make access to others terrible. It will be like if the streets are privately owned by one store and the owners restrict access to streets that go to other stores to force consumers to their store. That is just a bad idea.

3118. Sharyn, Snohomish, WA, 98290

Once you give the internet off to the big companies you will never go back...so don't start...make the internet safe and equal for all.

3119. Leigh Christianson, Index, WA, 98256

Keeping the net neutrality is essential in keeping information free and flowing. Allowing the possibility of censorship is counter intuitive to the principles that have guided America since its inception. What have we learned from history? Keeping our rights to free speech and freedom of information keeps us heads above other nations who censor, and we do not want to go there.

3120. Christine Schindler, Lynden, WA, 98264

It is asinine that it isn't obvious why we need net neutrality and that it is necessary that I even need to address this issue. If the FCC takes away net neutrality, I will bite the bullet and cease using it. Humanity lived without it for centuries, I can do so for the next 20 years of my life. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech and having access to uncensored/manipulated information. Net neutrality allows consumers "not big companies" to choose what they watch & do online. Without net neutrality, ISPs could control what you have access to and how much you use your access. I am fed up with "government" employees and representatives not representing the AMERICAN citizen they are supposed to be serving.

3121. Jeff, Ferndale, WA, 98248

Net neutrality is an integral part of a free and open society. Please don't put corporate profits above free speech.

3122. Karen Carlson, Blaine, WA, 98230

Keeping the internet open and neutral not only promotes understanding and brotherhood among the world, but helps to restore the faith in politicians. Please do the right thing and convince us that you are not "bought".

3123. Dan Janiszewski, Kirkland, WA, 98034

It's very dangerous to change something you don't fully understand. Ford didn't create the automobile and assembly lines to change the way cities are designed and lives are lived. Leave the internet alone and everyone will be better for it.

3124. Linda Early, Lummi Island, WA, 98262
To whom it may concern at the FCC,Our country was built around freedom and net neutrality is a very important part of that freedom. Please protect it. Sincerely,Linda Early
3125. John W Davis, Blaine, WA, 98230
I use the internet to run my biz from my computer from Starbucks in THREE states...this can't be done with different download speeds on different sites. True net neutrality is important to my Business. Thank you for listening.
3126. Giacomo Ferrari, Redmond, WA, 98053
The loss of net neutrality has a high chance of destroying the small, niche supportive communities that ensure everyone has a home, regardless of their interests or affiliation. We have the world's first truly public global forum, let's not deprive future generations of its benefit. Mass media has its place: as a peer, alongside everyone else.
3127. Benu Wyman, Bothell, WA, 98011
Net Neutrality supports innovation and helps consumers. Do not allow monopolies to control how internet traffic is sorted, as we have seen, they will extort consumers and content creators for the 'right' to not be throttled. Do not allow internet providers to determine what content people can see and use.
3128. Alex Reinking, Redmond, WA, 98053
The only question is whether you want to be gouged by telecom companies or not. I know my answer.
3129. Jeremy Perry, Lake Stevens, WA, 98043
Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started.Without net neutrality, creators and entrepreneurs could struggle to reach new users. Investment in new ideas would dry up and only the big companies would survive, stifling innovation.Do not let the internet be bound and tied by big interests, big money or big companies.
3130. Brian Tran, lake stevens, WA, 98258
We cherish freedom in these United States and net neutrality must be a central tenant of the internet in accordance with our freedoms.I support net neutrality.
3131. Phoebe Bachleda, Snohomish, WA, 98290
To Whom It May Concern,Net neutrality must be protected. It is the basis of our ability to communicate freely and openly independent of one's financial worth. We must not allow cable companies to limit access to the internet. Net neutrality protects free speech and encourages small business start ups. We need to be able to choose what we want to see on the internet - not a big cable company.Democracy is best served by net neutrality.
3132. Amy Raby, Redmond, WA, 98052

I am a small business owner, and net neutrality is a must for people like me who use the internet to reach customers. Destroying net neutrality would allow big corporations to stifle small businesses and startups, which will hurt the U.S. economy and free market. Protect net neutrality.

3133. Laura Stutz, Snohomish, WA, 98290

Net neutrality allows us to exercise our Constitutional Freedom of Association. We need this. It allows us to choose which browsers we use, browsers that don't collect our data. If I have to look up something embarrassing, like a medical question, I don't want that being recorded by some big data company. I want that to be kept private, the way it would be if I went to a library and grabbed a book off the shelf without checking it out. Respect our choices and our privacy by supporting net neutrality.

3134. Alvaro Erickson, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Net neutrality must be protected. Otherwise the ISPs (e.g., Cable, Phone companies) will be able to direct internet traffic as they please. They can force companies (large and small) to pay them to use fast internet, speed lanes. If these companies will be forced to use the slow internet, speed lanes.

3135. Jonathan Galanti, Bothell, WA, 98012

Net neutrality should be left alone. If this bill passes big companies like Comcast and Spectrum could silence free speech on the internet by slowing down speeds to websites like facebook and twitter.

3136. Jacob T Burton, Bothell, WA, 98012

Let's be 100% honest with why companies are petitioning and lobbying to end Net Neutrality. If they say for any other reason than INFORMATION CONTROL and PROFIT, they are LIARS, CHEATS, and CON ARTISTS. They move to undermine the very core principles of what make the world wide web what it is today. Throttling and "bottle necking" connections, denying access to IP address and putting faster connections or specific sites behind pay walls only stand to benefit the companies that implement them and their shareholders. They have NO BENEFIT to the end user other than EXPLOITING them for more money and CONTROLLING how they use the internet. Its a DISGUSTING attack at FREEDOM and the very attempt of destroying net neutrality highlights the very worst problems that can arise from exploiting our political system and capitalism itself.

3137. Linda perez, Fall city, WA, 98024

This is absolutely ridiculous. Horrible idea.

3138. Nick celms , Bothell, WA, 98021

Free internet access is the modern day fourth estate.

3139. Katherine Reinleitner, PhD, Redmond, WA, 98052

As A medical researcher, I use the Internet daily. Allowing it to become a tool of big business is a travesty that will make this research almost impossible. Money is NOT

free speech.

3140. Carol Saulsbury, Monroe, WA, 98272
The Internet belongs to everyone, and the attempts to limit access are transparent attacks on our personal freedoms.
3141. Colleen CosMaria, Redmond, WA, 98053
Neutrality must be protected because everyone on this planet deserves the right to access information. We live in a digital world, I work online, my child's daycare communications are online, my communications to my family, video chat/email/ims are all digital. Those who would be unable to access the web because big cable companies own the mechanism that makes these basic communication rights possible, is not humane. We already have a world full of the haves and the have nots, taking away the right to access news, family, work, entertainment, education online, is ridiculous. Don't put access to free education in the hands of a few big businesses. The future depends on it.
3142. Andrew Geels, Bothell, WA, 98011
I don't want my kids to grow up in a world where free speech has become speech of the highest bidder.
3143. Derelict Red, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273
Please don't pave the way for this century's Big Brother.
3144. Rosanne Hyatt, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
I want to have net neutrality. I do not want anyone to decide what I watch and do on the internet.
3145. Pat, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Leave the internet as it is. Protect net neutrality.
3146. Fred Felker, Kirkland, WA, 98034
I want open with internet neutrality
3147. Nicholas Johnson, Monroe, WA, 98272
It doesn't matter to me that telecom companies insist they won't prioritize traffic or charge for specific use-the possibility that they could is unacceptable. Besides, what would stop them from doing exactly that anyway under the new proposed rules?
3148. Russell McFarland, Woodinville, WA, 98077
A free internet helps maintain competition that continually improves internet affordability for the majority of users.
3149. Jim Bouma, Lynden, WA, 98264
The Internet is too important to give up my freedom of choice to some company or government who thinks they know better than me, what I should be searching for or trying to find. Leave it to the PEOPLE!

3150. Nicole Blake, Granite Falls , WA, 98252
The United States is about Freedom, we are still in the United States while on the internet. Taking away net neutrality is taking away our Freedom.
3151. Roy Tallman, Bothell, WA, 98011
Please do not weaken net neutrality and give cable companies even more power.
3152. Connie Dunn, Redmond, WA, 98053
Net neutrality is vitally important for several reason, not the least of which is the ability of anyone---young, old, rich, poor, any color, gender, religious or political affiliation---to communicate widely and freely. I may not agree with everyone, but I will stand behind their right to say what they want to say. This is a fundamental right of our country. No company should have the right to prevent or limit what one says or sees.
3153. Justin Pfeifer, Snohomish , WA, 98296
Since most of our communication happens over the net this will cause a loss of free speach and free press. These our the principles our country was founded on.
3154. John M. Beck, Snohomish, WA, 98296
The only reason to have information be closed on the Internet is to try to spread false information. If you have nothing to hide then let people share information freely and they will decide for themselves what is true.
3155. Zachary J Perniola, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I cherish my ability to trawl through vast amounts of information online for my studies in law school, for my job as a linguistics researcher, and in order to help run a family business. If monopolies like Comcast and Verizon are allowed to throttle internet speeds, restrict access to websites they wish to silence, allow large corporations to pay ISPs for greater access at the expense of small businesses like my family's, or otherwise block and censor information I need to access, then both the economic vitality of the internet, and my hope in the promise of the free market will be irreparably shattered.If the FCC and our legislators side with these monopolies by ending Title II classification, then it will confirm a suspicion and fear I've held for years - that government and monopolies are in bed together, and they don't care about me. The service provided by these monopolies is already genuinely, pathetically embarrassing, and by further pressing the issue for money at the expense of information and human needs, I question the basic humanity of all involved.The world ticks by at the speed of the internet. We grow when we have open and fair access to information. As an American, as a free-thinking human being, and as someone who already pays for access to the internet, I have a right to resist such disgusting, unchecked corporate greed by using what these monopolies fear the most - Information. If the FCC and the federal government at large finally prove that personal greed trumps the political will and basic needs of Americans, then I will never stop speaking up and fighting back.
3156. Chris Matthews, redmond, WA, 98052

Control of information, and access to it, is the handle of every institution of abuse and profiteering. Maintain Net Neutrality, as information is a human right.

3157. Kevin Baker, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I do not see the benefit to consumers at all. As a public utility, the positive impact of a neutral internet far outweigh the consequences of restricting access. Do you want the USA to be compared to China? Because that's how you get compared to China.

3158. John Underwood, everson, WA, 98247

Free discussion is the truth of freedom.....

3159. Mary Teesdale, Bellingham, WA, 98225

Keep the internet neutral. We all pay for it. BTW FCC, you are supposed to be working for and representing the people of this country, not big business.

3160. Victoria Hunsicker Sanko, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net neutrality is essential to sustain an open and equal communications network for our global Internet. ISPs should NOT be allowed to restrict websites, companies, and Internet users from equal access and equal treatment when using or accessing information on the Internet. We are all equal. No one should be held above another even if they have "deeper pockets." Equality, not one's affluence or ability to pay more for faster or more extensive service, should influence this core foundation of the Internet.

3161. Grant Reed, Mill Creek, WA, 98012

Net neutrality is important and should be treated as a modern cornerstone of democracy and freedom. All Americans should have unfettered access the internet, just as verbal free speech should be protected. My online commerce should not be hindered or taxed by any third party businesses.

3162. Maria Morris, Woodinville, WA, 98072

We have a small successful niche business, but our margins are thin. We need net neutrality to ensure that people can get equal access to our business as well as the large multinational players. The policies that promote monopolization are killing the entrepreneurial spirit of our country.

3163. Michael andersom, Redmond, WA, 98052

Please keep your tiny orange hands off the internet

3164. W. Murphy, Redmond, WA, 98052

The evidence is plainly visible all around us and continues to accumulate regarding the damage inflicted upon US citizens when federal, state and local governments "give away" infrastructure for short term gain and then allow private enterprise to effectively set its own "rules" in major areas of commerce: banking, transportation, treatment of mental illness, prisons, the list goes on and on. When controls or stops are proposed to limit or correct the most flagrant abuses, these proposals are usually throttled or stopped by the influence of highly paid lobbyists. The bottom line is : It

is a slippery slope once privatization gets a foothold. The internet should remain free and open to all users on an equal basis. The present system has opened the entire world to business, education, communication, entertainment and more that some would never have dreamed of. Net neutrality can preserve and continue this. Despite what proponents would have us believe, allowing a few comm. companies to buy larger and more selective bandwidth can and usually does eventually lead to a "top of the pyramid" effect when companies merge, merge again, are bought out all in the name of economies of scale. Checks and balances on the misuse of this business behavior have not appeared to work for the past 30 or more years. Witness: radio, television, newspapers, book and magazine publishers and again the list goes on and on. It's safe to say that once a "crack in the dam" starts it becomes very difficult to patch. Please consider this and vote to keep Net Neutrality in its present form.

3165. Aaron c cagle, Kirkland , WA, 98034

Leave the internet to the people! Net neutrality is ours. It's so important for people of all generations to have the internet as it is to network, start careers, express themselves, and so much more. Get out of here with this crap!

3166. Matthew, Snohomish WA, WA, 98296

Net Neutrality is the reason the internet is what it is today, without it freedom of information and innovation is placed at the whim of corporations who may benefit from quashing either, while the average person does not. Our history is one of increasing freedom and a drive to decentralization and public access to information and ideas. Net neutrality is central to maintaining those fundamental principles in the future.

3167. Vaughn A. G., Redmond, WA, 98053

Please don't allow the ISPs to make the decisions. The people running those companies already make the decisions that affect my life in every other way, and I've seen how skewed it can get.

3168. William Schlegel, Monroe, WA, 98272

The founding Fathers wanted limited government. Read the Declaration of Independence and see why we separated from England.

3169. Philip Wells, Kenmore, WA, 98021

This is a blatant act directly against the wishes of the the people, I'm going to pay very close attention for supporters of this bill the next time I vote.

3170. Robin Blair, Clarksburg, WA, 26301

Do as you please but keep in mind that you are elected and can and will be replaced. Doing what you plan is only hurting the public and the public pays your wages

3171. Jim Wheeler, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Internet neutrality is critical to avoid being exploited by the highest bidder; should be a utility.

3172. Brian Lawrence, Redmond, WA, 98052
It's hard to believe that net neutrality is at risk because, how massively unpopular it is to consider. Consumers pay for the conduit that is the internet through ISPs so, leave it to the consumers to decide what to do with the valuable tool that is the internet.
3173. Herb Hainey, Arlington, WA, 98223
Please maintain net neutrality. I see it is very important to the citizens of the USA. Don't sell out on this.
3174. Janine, Bothell, WA, 98021
You cannot even consider letting companies like Comcast have control over our internet, they already monopolize one industry don't let them have this kind of power over everyone.
3175. B. Baker, Everett, WA, 98208
Net Neutrality is a most important issue with us the little user; please consider us.
3176. Angela Bey, Mt. Vernon, WA, 98273
I support net neutrality so that the internet is a level playing field for all. Large corporations should not run the internet for their own profit or to advance personal agendas.
3177. Colin Matteson, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Net neutrality is a must. The FCC should side with the best interest of the people, not big cable companies that are already doing whatever they can to price gouge and value profits over people's needs. Overwhelmingly the people of this country support protecting and even STRENGTHENING net neutrality, not gutting it. You undermine our confidence in your ability to represent us when you side with corporate giants and pad your pockets like you're attempting to. So to the FCC, and to Congress, we're following this issue closely. The champions of net neutrality will be remembered favorably by us and by history. We will rally behind you if you stand up for net neutrality. We will never forget the great strides you took to go to bat for what the majority of people in this country want. If you choose to side with the corporations and their ever-growing obsessions with profits, however, we will be there to oppose you every step of the way.
3178. Sae White, Redmond, WA, 98052
I met my closest friends via the internet, many years ago. The kind of websites we met on, would be the kind of websites regulated to the 'slow lanes' if the net neutrality laws were lifted. Had it been a hassle then to access these sites, or if I would have to pay to reach them, I would have never met some of the most important people in my life. Net neutrality allows us to branch out, and keeps us from being locked into one tiny corner of the internet by an ISP that does not care for its customers.
3179. Matthew, Redmond, WA, 98052

As a computer scientist, net neutrality is of grave concern to me. Doing away with net neutrality would have a radically negative impact on the development of new technology and markets. Please protect our American freedom of free enterprise.

3180. Richard Nelson, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please, don't allow big business, Internet providers or government agencies to arbitrarily censor our access to the internet.
3181. Barbara Kane, Everett, WA, 98208
When you lose net neutrality, you lose the beating heart of the Internet; it becomes a vehicle tied to special interests. It will be abandoned by the general public.
3182. Austin Fite, Medina, WA, 98039
As a Computer Engineer my livelihood depends on properly regulated Internet Service Providers and government enforcement of a free and open Internet. Keep the net neutral.
3183. Darlene Stroschein, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
The internet is the best way to get away from "Fake News." The internet must remain neutral, because we want to protect our freedom of speech.
3184. Alexnader Auxier, Snohomish, WA, 98296
I've firmly believed in what is fair and just in life, and tried to maintain that balance as I've aged. I don't understand how benefiting the top few ISPs is going to help any industry. The propositions on net neutrality will only benefit a few businesses, and hurt the vast majority of them. I have limited choices for usable high speed internet, and there is no actual competition in my area for that tier. I can't imagine how much worse things could get with everything so limited.
3185. Jason Slade, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Please protect Net neutrality. It is important for small business growth. Allowing big business to control the internet would be detrimental to the economy and would allow them to squeeze out small businesses.
3186. Helen Gabel, Bothell, WA, 98021
A free and open internet has been is essential to economic prosperity and political freedom. Our current systems depend on it as on any other public utility. It was developed by public funds and should remain free and open. I STRONGLY OPPOSE THE CURRENT PROPOSED CHANGES TO RULES GOVERNING NET NEUTRALITY.
3187. Max Irvine, Mill creek, WA, 98012
I support net neutrality, no throttling or monopolizing in my country thank you.
3188. Graydon Fuller, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Net neutrality is essential to how our internet works and rolling it back would allow Telecom companies to capitalize on charging consumers more for less. Please do not

implement this bill to roll back net neutrality laws.

3189. Luke Manrodt, Kirkland, WA, 98034

The internet is my livelihood and my only connection to friends and family. I don't make much money so having a fair and open internet is really important to me.

3190. Ellisa Bonning, Redmond, WA, 98052

Unfortunately our former Obama Administration's Internet takeover raises concerns about the future of our American internet freedom. Opting to regulate the internet as a common carrier under Title II of the Telecommunications Act was a massive departure from the 20 years of light-touch regulation that saw American innovation and investment in which our Internet was able to flourish. The Title II reclassification should be repealed. It is terrible us as consumers, terrible for our innovation, terrible for our jobs and our American economy.

3191. Ruth Barrett, Deming, WA, 98244

I insist that you preserve net neutrality and Title II. Equal access is of paramount importance.

3192. ian jones, Redmond, WA, 98053

Please preserve or strengthen the current net neutrality rules. As a game developer and tech enthusiast having a neutral internet without bias is critical to the past and future success of the internet. I rely on being able to reach customers on the same footing as existing companies. It's already hard enough to create new and compelling intellectual property selling it or interacting with it should come with additional hurdles from today that I believe would come if the rules were softened. Worse if they did, we would stand to lose our leadership of the net as I don't believe other countries would follow suit and my business and industry might be forced to relocate or refocus outside of the United States to exist or thrive.

3193. Robin Bailey, Ferndale, WA, 98248

It is totally wrong to allow corporations to control access to the internet beyond what they currently do. FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most

valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Robin Bailey

3194. Travis S. Craig, Redmond, WA, 98052

I believe your analysis of ISP's fails to separately classify their telecommunications services and their information services. The offering of one or more of their own information services does not negate the fact that they are also (and for many of us, mainly) providing telecommunications services, which should be regulated as such. To see that, it helps to look at the parallels to voice telephone service. Both the telephone user and the Internet user communicate point to point, with the routing of the communication handled, usually transparently, by the telecommunications service provider. Both systems modify the physical form of the information en route, such as conversion between analog and digital forms in the telephone system and various digital format conversions in the Internet. Both systems include ancillary telecommunications services, such as caller ID and caller blocking in the telephone system and firewalls in the Internet. In neither system should the provider access the content of the information it is transmitting or interfere with its transmission. I hope you can see your way clear to separately regulate the telecommunications services and information services on the Internet, even when they are provided by the same corporate entity.

3195. Nicole Hamilton, Redmond, WA, 98052

I am writing in opposition to Chairman Pai's plan to undo the 2015 Open Internet Order and roll back Net Neutrality and Title II Protection. I am a Life Senior Member of the IEEE, a registered professional engineer in TX and MA and a lecturer in electrical engineering at University of Washington Bothell and in computer science at University of Michigan Ann Arbor. As an expert in these fields, it is my belief that net neutrality and Title II protections against blocking, throttling, paid prioritization and unreasonable interference or disadvantage are crucial to protecting our first amendment rights. Without net neutrality, we risk not having free speech on the internet. It's that simple.

3196. Greg Kull, Bothell, WA, 98011

Don't sell the fast lane on the Internet to the highest bidder! Over the past 25 years, the Internet has been the fuel for incredible growth of the world's economy and ability to communicate in a myriad of ways, most of which have not even been

discovered yet. With the dawn of IoT on the horizon, we can't restrict the infrastructure to the few and the rich!

3197. Donn Terry, Woodinville, WA, 98072

Repeating the specifics about net neutrality here is pointless, except to summarize: the net should be, and remain, agnostic to the content it carries, in the same way as telephones, telegraphs and the USPS are. That is, the current regulations under Title II of the communications act should be retained as is. Since the courts have said that Title II is necessary for that regulation to be legal, it should remain Title II. The Open Internet gave us many businesses that might not have started in an environment that was controlled by financial interest. Google comes to mind. There were a number of search engines before Google came along; they were uniformly mediocre at best, but a couple were trying to be profitable. Had it been possible to limit Google's ability to search the internet and respond to users, particularly when it was just a couple of "College Kids" experimenting with a new tool, we might not have the powerful and responsive search engines of today. Amazon similarly. Many others similarly. As an example in another area that's simpler to understand, but very similar in many ways, consider our city streets: what would happen if most city streets were toll roads: There'd be lots of muddy back alleys, of course, but companies like Uber might not have started, and it's unlikely that Lyft would have been able to start and catch up. Competition makes all that sort of company better. Consider also small companies not directly in the transportation business: their geographic reach would be limited by the "friction" imposed by such tolls. Yes, there are toll roads, and there may be a place for something similar in communications, but like express delivery in physical transportation and actual toll road usage, it should be the consumer, not the provider, that chooses to pay for the extra speed. Donn Terry, Ph.D (CS), LM IEEE

3198. Ken Cowan, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Please do not dismantle Net Neutrality and Title II legislation. If the ISPs can make more money by blocking, throttling, prioritizing our internet, they will do it and we will suffer. Thank you,

3199. Richard Ouimet, Bothell, WA, 98012

Net neutrality - yes.

3200. Don Knull, Granite Falls, WA, 98252

I strongly support net neutrality and the protections afforded by Title II. This includes No Blocking, No Throttling, No Paid Prioritization, and No unreasonable interference/disadvantage standard. I also strongly support the existing Title II Section 222 regarding Privacy of customer information.

3201. Ty Caron, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

Enough freedom is taken away we don't need more restrictions and lock ups Ty Caron

3202. Erin Rodgers, Duvall, WA, 98019

I support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs!

3203. Vladan Jovanovic, Redmond, WA, 98052
I am writing to voice my opposition to the changes in the present net-neutrality law. I feel very strongly that ISPs should remain classified under Title 2, and that they should not be allowed to throttle or block any lawful internet traffic, or prioritize in other ways any traffic based on their preferences, financially motivated or others.
3204. Kyle, Kirkland, WA, 98033
The entire point of the wide web is the freedom to post whatever we want, no matter how controversial without companies shoving their dick down our throat. We can get any message across and it will be ours, that gives us immense freedom of thought. Taking that away will destroy all of what we've built so far, and I would hate for that to happen.Kyle
3205. Lorien Gremore, Redmond, WA, 98052
I wish to express my belief that Net Neutrality is the best way to protect Internet consumers. My experience as a user is that I rely on ISPs solely for access to the complete array of Internet content. As a parent and a professional, the potential for an ISP to decide at their discretion to limit my access is alarming. This could mean loss or limitation of access to resources I rely on for a wide variety of things including:* Keeping me safe when I travel internationally* Creating lesson plans for my speech-delayed daughter* Staying up to date with articles that help me do my job* Keeping in touch over video chat with my familyIn summary, I do not use my ISP for services like email or cloud storage - the ISP is strictly the method by which I access information freely. The idea of needing to research, install, and monitor my ISP with regards to their blocking and throttling policies shifts the burden of regulation to me as a consumer, while providing me with no tools to empower me in this role. I urge the FCC to continue treating broadband ISPs as common carriers. This is the accurate classification for the industry. Net neutrality is a common good, and belongs with the FCC to enforce.
3206. Gloria McClintock, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
The internet was founded to allow every person access to information and to support the education of the average person. It was not set up to enrich the pockets of millionaires and billionaires and to limit the average person's ability to navigate online. ISPs should not control on-line access.,
3207. Dwight Rousu, Redmond, WA, 98052
Net neutrality protects independent and diverse news sources; critical for a democracy.
3208. Lyn Meyerding, Sultan, WA, 98294
This country needs a free and open Internet if it is going to compete in the world of the future. The people of this country need a free and open Internet if they want a fair chance for each person to succeed.
3209. mary+jo eldenburg, Kirkland, WA, 98033
The internet has become a vital part of everyone's lives. It needs to be protected for

everyone's use. It should be considered a utility to be protected...not exploited for profits.

3210. Jill Jones, Redmond, WA, 98052

Eliminating net neutrality will never be ok in any way, shape, or form. Give it up.

3211. Dion Bottoms, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Removing Title II protections on the Internet does absolutely nothing to spark innovation, it simply allows price gouging. How does this help when, as a small business with innovative tech, I'll be charged more to provide my service at the same speeds as a large, established business? There's nothing in your proposal to prevent abuse and self-regulation has always led to abuse. This is beyond damaging to innovation, it further provides for consolidation of power in the hands of a few corporations at the expense of innovation. The Chairman's remarks about 2015 were disingenuous as all that did was codify the existing structure of the Internet. The very same structure that provided for the doubling of human knowledge every 13 months since its inception. Stop the patently corporate takeover of our Free and Open Internet.

3212. Stephen Bailey, Deming, WA, 98244

The internet is every bit as much a part of our commons as are our roads, our public lands, our rights under the constitution!!PRESERVE, PROTECT, AND STEWARD A L L !OF OUR COMMONS!!!

3213. Ling Niu, Redmond, WA, 98052

We need to continue on our current net neutrality laws under title two.

3214. Ellisa Bonning, Redmond, WA, 98052

Title II allows bureaucrats in Washington to pick winners and losers on the web. Really, are you kidding me? What is going on back there in the Washington DC Swamp? As American consumer voters, we know that the internet is best regulated by light-touch FTC regulation and the market, not strong arm or heavy-handed FCC rules. Please know that that this voter and veteran supports Chairman Ajit Pai's rollbacks of Obama's Internet takeover.

3215. Corgongrious, Redmond, WA, 98052

You'd better keep net neutrality or I will kill myself Corgongrious

3216. Connor, Carnation, WA, 98014

I support strong net neutrality laws. Connor

3217. Margaret Schwecke, Kenmore, WA, 98028

Preserve net neutrality. I don't want my ISP determining what I can and cannot see on the Internet. Keep the Internet free and open.

3218. Eyal Ofek, Redmond, WA, 98052

PLEASE keep Net Neutrality. It is the best thing for innovation, new startup

companies and also big ones. Letting a few ISP control the communication with fee, will lead our nation to be behind the world. If you do care about the USA - Please keep the network free. Thanks in advance, Eyal Ofek, Ph.D.

3219. Kaarin Shumate, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Net neutrality is important to me. I want to be able to access content without ISP censorship ("fast lanes" for big companies that pay and throttling for everyone else that doesn't). While ISPs need to be able to manage their network traffic, small businesses and nonprofits must have the right to compete to deliver content to me.

3220. Priscilla Tomlinson, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I am writing to request that you keep the internet classified under Title II of the Federal Communications Act. The internet has become necessary for school, work, and commerce, and should therefore be treated as any other utility with equal access for all. Allowing internet service providers to extort their costumers will further burden the poor, making escape from poverty even more difficult than it already is. Poor students will find it more difficult to succeed at school. Small entrepreneurs will find it more difficult to start a business. If an ISP disagrees with the contents of a website, it will become easier for them to censor it. Protect the hundreds of millions of American citizens who would be harmed by the abolishment of net neutrality. Don't feed the greed of a handful of ISP CEOs.

3221. Melissa Parrish, Everett, WA, 98208

As a person who uses the internet frequently as a source for entertainment, education and a possible career connection, I find that title II and net neutrality is an important protection for me and others. What these two foundations provide is a safe and stable way for interactions amongst people and is a convenient way to have access to information that aids in learning. It also helps in banking and other monitary interactions. Many of these could be hindered by a repeal of protections that have been put in place. When I purchase a service for the internet from a provider, I expect to have access to any and all sites and have a decent loading speed across all of them. When I am looking at a list of what their service provides, I expect it to be clear and easy to understand, without any omissions of sites that may be inaccessible without paying extra fees. ISPs should not have any right to limit access to any site or slow down any sites and then charge people for the right to access them. If any website that I frequently visit is suddenly limited, I may not be able to afford to pay any fee that is needed to access that website. This would greatly affect my and other people's lives who rely on the internet for interacting socially with people and self employed people who use the internet as their main source of income. I very much support net neutrality and keeping the internet open for everyone who wants and needs access to the internet and its services.

3222. Ellisa Bonning, Redmond, WA, 98052

Title II allows bureaucrats in Washington to pick winners and losers on the web. The internet is best regulated, not by heavy handed FCC rules, preferably by light-touch FTC regulation and the free-enterprise market. This American citizen voting veteran fully supports Chairman Ajit Pai's rollback of Obama's Internet takeover.

3223. Ellisa Bonning, Redmond, WA, 98052

Yikes! Replacing light-touch with heavy-handed regulation that grants the FCC the authority to regulate Internet conduct will certainly thwart innovation and slow the deployment of next-generation high speed broadband networks. The FCC offered little opportunity for the public to comment specifically on the re-classification to Title II; therefore revisiting the rule is important. Obama's Internet takeover should be repealed!

3224. Ellisa Bonning, Redmond, WA, 98052

In 2014, Obama's liberal cronies on the FCC forced a government takeover of the Internet on the American people. These liberal lackeys put crippling rules and regulations on the Internet, killing good paying American jobs and preventing U.S. American citizens from enjoying a better, faster Internet. Our U.S. President Donald J. Trump has pledged to undo Obama's liberal regulatory legacy; his new FCC Chairman Ajit Pai wants to undo these Obama Internet regulations, making the Internet freer, faster, and better for us. For many reasons, our U.S. President Trump was elected by millions of supporters with the mission of MAGA. This Internet takeover issue is one of the many examples why voters enthusiastically voted for Trump. We appreciate our wonderful and courageous U.S. President Trump's daily efforts. Trusting that we, the U.S. American citizen voters, will continue to see a profound improvement in our beloved country because of POTUS Trump's efforts combined with those of his dedicated Team Trump and newly appointed Cabinet Team. Hard working Administration Officials, we respectfully ask that you support the roll back of Obama's internet take over. Support the roll back of Title II regulations, now.

3225. CARL CHRISTENSEN, Bothell, WA, 98012

Obama's Internet takeover raises concerns about the future of internet freedom. Obama's Treason plans need to GO in the dumpster. Keep America Great and STOP THE LISTENING IN ON AMERICAN LEGAL CITIZENS BEFORE CHARGES ARE FILED AGAINST THE OBAMA AFTER OFFICE COMPENSATION CRIMES. Opting to regulate the internet as a common carrier under Title II of the Telecommunications Act was a massive departure from the 20 years of light-touch regulation that saw innovation and investment in the Internet flourish. The Title II reclassification should be repealed: it is bad for consumers, bad for innovation, and bad for the economy.

3226. Ellisa Bonning, Redmond, WA, 98052

Submitting comments today, urging FCC Officials to undo Obama's harmful actions regarding Internet takeover. Without a doubt, Obama was one of the most power hungry presidents ever. Not only did he take over our health care system but he took over our Internet too! It's true. In 2014, Obama's cronies in the FCC imposed stifling regulations on the Internet; thus, killing good-paying American jobs. To add insult to injury, POTUS Obama at the same time gave us all a slower Internet. This is unacceptable! Submitting these formal comments. Undo

Obama's Internet takeover. Rollback Obama's Title II regulations.post script: In advance, FCC Officials, many thanks for undoing Obama's Internet takeover today.

3227. Daniel Bourke, redmond, WA, 98052

Net neutrality is one of the few safe havens for lower or middle class people in the US. Some people have built their whole lives on the net, and throttling their liberties on the net would only hinder what is already an unstable job market. I know the FCC has fought for net neutrality in the past, so we ask of you again to continue to do so.

3228. Matthew Currier, Snohomish, WA, 98290

Net Neutrality is the back bone of internet freedom. Taking it away allows companies to start controlling the internet and its consumers. The internet was built as a free place to spread ideas, connect people, and allow people to openly express themselves. Net neutrality must be protected, taking it away destroys the very foundation on which it was built.

3229. Margaret Tremain, Bothell, WA, 98011

The internet was not invented by, nor is owned by any company or group of companies. It is a public resource and increasingly vital to our ability to do research, find different viewpoints, educate ourselves, buy and sell, promote, innovate and communicate. Putting the ability to censor and commoditize content into the hands of any group of corporations violates all principles of it being an open source of information. These companies benefit by the existence of the internet and the investments made by small and large companies and organizations, they do not own the content, do not pay for the content. They benefit from the international network infrastructure outside of their networks. Yet we, the consumers, are already dependent upon them for our access points. They already monetize their product based on the bandwidth individuals/companies use. Giving them the ability to censor and monetize according to content source gives them effective monopolies over what consumers will see and can do within their markets. We, the public, have no choice and there really is no competitive landscape worth discussion. Please do not destroy this great resource.

3230. Troy Roper, Woodinville, WA, 98077

Having owned a ISP I fully support net neutrality. There is no reason Internet service should not be treated as a utility. Equal access for all.

3231. Sheri Elgin, mill creek, WA, 98012

As an IT Professional, I rely on the internet for my job. As a mother of 2 young boys, we rely on the internet for school and entertainment. I do not agree with throttling, filtering or otherwise giving preference to some data packets over others based on content or source, particularly if speed or quality are based on monetary factors. Net Neutrality must be protected.

3232. Tom Sanko, Redmond, WA, 98052

Internet must remain open and free, not controlled by ISPs or other corporations. My business and livelihood depend on unrestricted access.

3233. Carol Sayler, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Without net neutrality, big companies could censor people and perspectives online. Net neutrality is also critical to ensuring fair play towards small businesses.

3234. Kalyn Gabriel, Deming , WA, 98244

Net neutrality (the FCC's Open Internet Rules) is very important to me and I urge you to protect the open internet. The internet has been a godsend to me in the last ten years, as I've struggled with the onset of multiple chronic medical conditions. The Internet is an extremely useful resource that I can use from home to research medical information, stay in touch with my doctors, health insurance, family and friends. I can't afford to add one more expense to my limited budget. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for people and companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses to succeed. As I understand it, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay to have their voices heard. I implore you to maintain and protect the FCC's Open Internet rules. Thank you.

3235. Roman Dufrene, Snohomish , WA, 98290

I am an independent contractor in Washington state. I rely on a neutral internet in order to earn income and support myself. Net neutrality makes it possible for independent workers like me to compete with already established brands and companies.

3236. Patricia Duncan, Kenmore, WA, 98028

True democracy can only be achieved with freedom of information. All opinions and perspectives are resources that Americans are guaranteed through upholding the First Amendment. Net neutrality is our right.

3237. Stephen White, Kirkland, WA, 98034

The idea of cable companies controlling the speed of specific domains is terrifying. I will not vote for anyone who does not protect Net Neutrality.

3238. Ann Wales, Bellingham, WA, 98226

Protect Net Neutrality for all Americans! This is our avenue for free speech, research, socializing, and very important for me: communicating with my doctor. I cannot afford to pay more for Internet service as I am disabled and need to communicate regularly with my doctor and do research about new treatments and developments specific to my condition. These types of websites are NOT big, commercial ones and these entities cannot afford to offer these services online if

costs rise. Net neutrality will allow me to manage my health concerns as I see fit, without the intrusion of big companies either slowing my access or charging me more in order to reach the sites I need. Please: the Internet belongs to the American people; it cannot be "sold" to the highest bidders and ruined!

3239. JERRY DEROSIA, CUSTER, WA, 98240
KEEP THE NET FREE FROM CORPORATE OR GOVERNMENT
MANIPULATION. FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND THE PRESS IS NECESSARY
TO MAINTAIN A FREE DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC.
3240. Evan Armstrong, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Don't ruin the one free things we have left
3241. Sara Gilbertson, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Fair and open access to the internet is vital and needs to be protected, we need it for
work, for communication, and for news.
3242. Siobhan A. McComb, Bothell, WA, 98021
It is the peoples internet, not the internet for the corporations.
3243. Andrea McBeth, Kirkland, WA, 98033
This is a no brainer. We need internet for everyone without favor or discrimination.
3244. Autumn C, Kenmore , WA, 98028
Keep the internet free. I don't want corporations to decide what I see and don't see.
3245. Kelly Bonilla, Kirkland, WA, 98034
The internet is more than business and entertainment; it's the exchange of ideas and
a method for voices to be heard. NO ONE, company or individual, should get to
decide which ideas get heard over others by imposing fees on how we access
them. Keep the net neutral.---Kelly Bonilla
3246. tom lattimore, duvall, WA, 98019
Leave net neutrality in place. It is not broken so stop trying to fix it.
3247. Megan Bragg, Redmond, WA, 98052
Please protect us from wealthy corporations. They are taking over everything! Please
keep net neutrality. Thank you.
3248. David Brownell, Carnation, WA, 98014
I used to believe, albeit jokingly, that the internet was in its golden age, or it was
upcoming -- it was hard to be sure. The end of net neutrality would be the definite
end of the golden age of the internet.
3249. jim easterly, duvall, WA, 98019
We need net neutrality to keep freedom on the net!!! It's IMPORTANT!! Thanks for
what you can do.

3250. Dwayne Taylor, Snohomish, WA, 98290
A free-thinking society is predicated upon its ability to share information in a like manner. I'm deeply concerned that the FCC doesn't understand that net neutrality is the means by which our ability to do so via the internet remains intact. By proposing to hand over the internet to corporations, the FCC is putting one of the greatest instruments of opportunity for our society at risk.
3251. Jeff Hughes, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Universal internet access is every bit as critical today as universal phone service was in the past. We cannot allow a small number of very powerful corporations to act as gatekeepers to the Internet. Companies should be allowed to deliver their content to consumers without paying a toll to gatekeepers. It's the only way we'll see small, innovative companies pop up to serve the needs of consumers. Killing net neutrality will stifle innovation and put the brakes on a very important source of jobs and growth for our economy.
3252. Mary Kenney, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
A free and open internet is crucial to the free exchange of ideas, and information. The internet should not be controlled by corporate interests under any circumstances.
3253. Robert Talmadge, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273
I support strong net neutrality for a wide variety of reasons, but my most important one is that the internet allows people to build and explore both massive and intimate online communities built by people from all across the world, and keeping ISPs from picking and choosing which ones are available will help people continue making those connections.
3254. April Rickard, Kirkland, WA, 98033
The net belongs to all of us! It's not to be chopped up, censored and then doled back out to us.
3255. Sean, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
The Internet has become an essential component of free expression and democracy and as such it must remain protected from the brazen overreach of telecom monopolies. Allowing these companies to throttle the bandwidth of any site they choose by repealing net neutrality would be detrimental to the Internet as a whole. I STRONGLY URGE THE FCC TO VOTE "NO" ON REPEALING NET NEUTRALITY AND KEEP THE INTERNET FAIR AND OPEN!!!
3256. S. Rickey, Redmond, WA, 98052
My ISP already charges me plenty. The service is poor. I do not want them to control and charge netflix and youtube more on top of my existing service fee
3257. Michael Bolender, Kenmore, WA, 98028
The Internet was created to exchange information and ideas. Putting restrictions on the internet limits that exchange.

3258. Elleory, Redmond, WA, 98053
Without net neutrality I wouldn't be able to get unbiased information as readily as I do now and that is really important to me and my studies.
3259. Robert Perry, Seattle, WA, 89109
ISPs already use much of the infrastructure created and paid for by the people. Very little competition exists for unencumbered high speed services. In many areas of the country cable providers own a monopoly of the area and want to flex their lobbyist muscles to manipulate the market. This is not capitalism it is extortion-ism. Keeping the internet as a title II service ensures that the US will be a global competitor for innovation. Allowing providers to restrict and control data will prevent innovation and restrict competition.
3260. Marisa Nesbit , Kirkland , WA, 98034
Net neutrality is essential for free speech, ending it causes paid speech which is bad for competition and new innovations. Net neutrality allows an even playing field for small businesses to compete. Net neutrality is good for consumers so that they can find and use what they want. Without net neutrality, all of this is at risk.
3261. Reginald Olsen, Bothell, WA, 98021
Please leave net neutrality in place. Please protect it. It is a form of freedom of speech.
3262. Joe Thompson, Redmond, WA, 98053
The internet is a fundamental part of our digital society. Much the same way that roads can be driven by big shipping corporations and families on vacations, the internet needs to be a utility that all can access equally.
3263. Eric Thumma, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
As a web developer for a small company, I believe net neutrality is important in allowing these kinds of businesses to grow and thrive.
3264. Michelle Brown, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Internet is a very important thing that equalizes all people, regardless of race, religion, sex, and class. To change this because a few billion dollar companies want to make MORE money is ridiculous. The Internet gives everyone a chance that they wouldn't otherwise have. We didn't always have the Internet, but in this day and age it is a necessity in life. it is a RIGHT to open and free Internet.
3265. Kelsee, Redmond, WA, 98053
For artists like me, most of my items are sold online and I rely heavily on keeping myself open to a wide variety of clients. People cannot afford to pay more for convenience.
3266. David Livingston, Bothell, WA, 98011
Do not gut net neutrality leave it in the hands of the people where it belongs.

3267. Douglas Hamar, Monroe, WA, 98272
Would you put a muzzle on your watch dog? I think not. Retain Net Neutrality.....Please!
3268. Janice Hardisty, Woodinville,, WA, 98072
Access to the internet cannot be dictated by corporations. It must be open to all without restrictions and limits. That was its design. This is the United States of America. We must preserve this ability for all. No corporate squeeze!
3269. Michael, Kirkland , WA, 98034
If you are not in the pocket of big business or the two political parties then you must leave the internet open. If you are then shame on you. If the internet is restricted what democracy we have will be snuffed out like a candle because the internet is how the people stay informed. America as we know it has no chance of survival if it is restricted.
3270. Kirisa Ellis, Ferndale, WA, 98248
Without net neutrality, our right to free speech could be in danger. Who are you to take away one of our fundamental rights?
3271. Michael Gorsuch, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I am already concerned about how much privacy and control I feel like I have lost in my life as a US citizen. The Internet has allowed grass roots organizations to collaborate and have a voice amidst corporate domination.
3272. Jenni Brotnov, Everett, WA, 98208
Free speech is very important to me and net neutrality is the epitome of freedom.I don't want companies like Comcast, AT&T and Verizon to have any advantages over small companies. Small businesses have it hard enough.I don't need someone else deciding for me what is best for me and my family.
3273. Sherman Perry, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Net neutrality protects my free speech and should remain equally accessible to all.My small business depends on net neutrality.
3274. Ezechel Barsan, Seattle, WA, 98011
Im 22 and i need the net to stay as it is because I take college completely online. I cant afford to spend time and money commuting to school, and online college is cheaper and offers better mentors. I want to be able to get an education i choose. I don't want that Trump University education.
3275. Dean, Ferndale, WA, 98248
Human civilization has gotten this far with an open internet. Your average person is more well-informed and has benefited greatly from access to different points of view, the free sharing of ideas, and helping one other from a free and open internet.Many don't realize how many benefits all of us take for granted from open access to the Internet; if you've ever visited a country whose state/private ISP blocks

websites a private user can access, you would realize this. Also, no American ever likes their ISP; they are nearly all consistently underhanded and find the average consumer as cattle to harvest money from. Why we would essentially give such anti-consumer corporations more control over Internet access absolutely baffles me, and others. Please do the right thing and reinstate rules on net neutrality, to help avoid aspects of the cyberpunk future I and others see is hurtling towards us with increasing rapidity. Thank you for your time.

3276. Heather Rodgers, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Net Neutrality is one of the most pressing issues facing our freedom as consumers and information-gatherers in modern times. Allowing our speedy access to internet (something that the majority of Americans rely on for the most basic of tasks on a day-to-day basis) to be influenced by large corporations and the highest bidder is a gross infringement on our freedom and puts even more power in the hands of already too-powerful large businesses.

3277. Ross Kuhlman, Redmond, WA, 98052

Taking away net neutrality is as un-American as it gets. Allowing corporations to restrict what information we have access to has countless ramifications

3278. CARL CHRISTENSEN, Bothell, WA, 98012

Title II allows bureaucrats in Washington to pick winners and losers on the web. Wipe OUT OBAMA'S TREASON to USA from His Foreign student VISA to his THREATS. The internet is best regulated by light-touch FTC regulation and the market, not heavy-handed FCC rules. I support Chairman Ajit Pai's rollback of Obama's Internet takeover.

3279. Ellisa Bonning, Redmond, WA, 98052

Obama's government takeover of the Internet in 2015 was destructive. His unprecedented power grab simply destroyed good-paying jobs for Americans and kept American citizen voters from getting better Internet. President Obama put the Internet under the control of the regulatory state. Respectfully submitting that replacing light-touch with heavy-handed regulation that grants the FCC the authority to regulate Internet conduct thwarts innovation and slows the deployment of next-generation high speed broadband networks. The FCC under the last Administration offered little opportunity for the public to comment specifically on the re-classification to Title II; thus, revisiting the rule is vitally important. Obama's Internet takeover should be repealed. Instead, let us encourage freedom and innovation!

3280. Albert Jen, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I support an open Internet under the Title II classification. Title II classification is necessary to preserve the internet and it unequivocally neither stifles innovation nor cripples small business ISPs. Removing the classification is a gateway to allowing monolithic ISPs to abuse customers with outrageous pricing plans. Once again, I support the Title II classification of broadband as a utility.

3281. Hannah, Monroe, WA, 98272
As someone young who hopes to enter a career surrounded by an Internet that enables and encourages small creators, small business, and nonprofit organizations, I urge you to preserve net neutrality. The Internet as it exists today is a crucial resource that allows people to inform themselves on political, educational, social, economical, and governmental issues, and allows people to freely speak their minds. None of what a website owner or user wants to know or say should ever be filtered by the amount they can afford to pay a cable company. Please, listen to EVERYONE in America who uses the Internet and keep Title II safe. Thank you.
Hannah
3282. Net Neutrality, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Hello - I'm writing briefly to express my concern over the FCC passing laws allowing ISPs to control and prioritize the content available to me on the internet. When purchasing internet access from my ISP, my intent was to have access to 'the internet', not some phony version curated for me. As America is struggling with how to move forward after a splintering (and eye-opening) presidential election, we all need access to pure, unbiased information. We need to open our minds to the realities of our fellow Americans, not close ourselves off into a fictitious little world where we're surrounded by people that share our own opinions and worldview. I'm shocked that anyone in the USA would even consider this to be reasonable. Do we really want to follow in the footsteps of the Chinese government? Please keep the internet neutral for all! Thank you! Brent Cochran
3283. Ellisa Bonning, Redmond, WA, 98052
Title II allows bureaucrats in Washington D.C. to pick winners and losers on the web. The internet is best regulated not by heavy-handed FCC rules instead by light-touch FTC regulation and the market. I enthusiastically and strongly support Chairman Ajit Pai's roll back of Obama's Internet takeover.
3284. Dolores Purnell, BLAINE, WA, 98230
Net neutrality works Let's not fix what isn't broken.
3285. Kathleen Hancock, Concrete, WA, 98237
I provide technical assistance to small public drinking water systems. I depend on the internet to gather information for those clients. All citizens deserve the best quality drinking water and need fast, dependable information to assure the quality and quantity for their drinking water.
3286. Mike Purnell, BLAINE, WA, 98230
Net neutrality is fine!
3287. Donna Gustin, Bellingham, WA, 98226
I strongly oppose Chairman Pai's proposal to reverse net neutrality protections because a free and open internet is vital for our democracy, for our businesses, and for our daily lives. It would give giant internet companies the power to prioritize what we read, watch, and explore online. I already pay a substantial fee to my

internet provider and with this fee, I expect to obtain open access to the internet. For those who cannot pay this fee, they deserve open access even if they use public computers at the library. Net neutrality allows motivated individuals from all backgrounds to access educational and business opportunities equally. When net neutrality is not protected, the door is open to censorship and propaganda. This is inconsistent with basic tenets of the U.S. Constitution. Protect Net Neutrality!

3288. Marty Folsom, Snohomish, WA, 98296

As an educator, I am concerned for free and open communication to further an educated population who are able to research and communicate with freedom and ease of access that is open to all. Government regulation on the internet is a burden on basic rights and needs to be addressed as it affects one of the great advances of modern times and needs to be protected from control.

3289. George Werkema, Lynden, WA, 98264

Please maintain net neutrality. We can't afford to give more power to the big corporations.

3290. Peggy Stewart, Ferndale, WA, 98248

Comm. Pai, Net neutrality (Open Internet Rules) is very important to me and my family. Using the internet is a right and this needs to be protected. It is necessary to be able to use it without worrying about an ISP's ability to choke certain websites if they don't pay the tolls required. This is especially important to smaller businesses that might not have buckets of money to throw at an ISP. Their access affects our equal access to big and small websites for our work, our information, our job hunting, our education, and our democracy. I urge you to leave the existing NET NEUTRALITY rules in place. Thank you,

3291. 2c547a67@opayqcom , Kenmore, WA, 98028

I support net neutrality. I believe that everyone should receive equal access to the Internet. What the US decides for itself is sufficiently problematic. But it should also be remembered that whatever road the US sets itself upon, other countries usually find themselves (for one reason or another) inevitably following. So whatever decision is made on this issue today, know that it affects not just one nation, but pretty much the entire planet. Please decide wisely.

3292. Dara Korra'ti, Kenmore, WA, 98028

I wrote my own letter, but it got eaten, so here's a short version to trigger your "unique letter" filters. Chairman Pai wants to gut net neutrality to let large ISPs mash down competition. It's anti-competitive and the plans already announced are almost all anti-competitive actions, like Comcast's throttling of Netflix, a direct competitor, and AT&T's blocking of FaceTime, another direct competitor. It will also be used to throttle political opinion, and we all know this. Imagine Sinclair Media getting to slow down everything to the left of the literal neo-Nazis running around in the so-called alt-right? That's the kind of games they will be playing. This is a shatteringly bad decision, and Chairman Pai does not care. Somebody has to stop him, and that means you. Sorry your job sucks, but there it is. So. I demand that you publicly

support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, denounce Chairman Pai's plans, and do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

3293. Elizabeth Gray, Woodinville, WA, 98072

A free and unrestricted internet, like free press, is a matter of democracy for all Americans. Please do not allow large corporations to buy our access to communication, news, and social contact. Thank you.

3294. William Rose, Monroe, WA, 98272

Net neutrality is extremely important to me and is a vital component of a free and open society. I ask you to please maintain the rules that have assured Americans of equal access to the internet without manipulation of that access by any forces, whether political or economic in nature. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

3295. Marguerite and John Rietz, Blaine, WA, 98230

So what's next - charging big bucks for the air we breathe !?! The internet should be equally available to all!

3296. Paul Mathew, Bothell, WA, 98021

Corporate greed has gone completely out of even the illusion of "control." Especially so in the realm of Internet / Cable Communications where huge corporate entities own everything and buy political advantage wherever and whenever they chose to do so. Stop Them! Stop It! Paul Mathew

3297. Gloria McClintock, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274
I already pay both Verizon and Comcast a sizable portion of my retirement income each month. I am not interested in them controlling both my internet access and speed. I don't need Big Electronic Brother watching me as I exercise my right to information.
3298. James Soares, EVERSON, WA, 98247
Nuremberg.
3299. Jared Cohen, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Dear FCC and members of Congress--Please do not create data fast lanes by ending net neutrality! The Internet is a public resource and should be compared to a public utility. Net neutrality guarantees free and equal access to the Internet, to the extent that the infrastructure can bear. Without that guarantee, we would be taking care of big data providers at the expense of our children's education and at the expense of our own self-education. The other thing to consider about net neutrality is the overwhelming majority of tech companies that are in favor of it. In fact, it is a small list of tech companies that are not in favor, mostly the ones that are selling the Internet. Ending net neutrality benefits ONLY big data providers. It does not benefit anyone else. I will not support further assistance to the key players in what is practically a monopoly market to begin with. There are so many other reasons not to do this! Privacy issues? Additional fees from data providers? Fair Internet access for those that cannot pay? The last time net neutrality was on the chopping block, more than 1% of the entire country said no. That's a good turnout and a clear message. Thank you, Jared Cohen
3300. JoAnn Stager, Snohomish, WA, 98290
We do not need the provider of Internet to regulate or have any more power over what an individual does online.
3301. Mary Shank, SNOHOMISH, WA, 98296
Dear FCC members: Please preserve the FCC net neutrality rules in the interest of preserving free speech and equal access to information to all users. Thank you.
3302. Leslie Smith, Kirkland, WA, 98034
We demand net neutrality!
3303. Jay Joslin, Redmond, WA, 98053
Net neutrality is important to me. Please do not do away with it.
3304. Pascal Miller, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Open net neutrality is important in maintaining a level playing field for all forms of information. The consolidation of power in a limited set of internet service providers is extremely dangerous for the wellbeing of variety and competition in producers of information & content.

3305. Saralyn Brown, Monroe, WA, 98272
The internet should be free. Trump don't screw this up too.
3306. Sylvia Cunningham, Bothell, WA, 98011
Please keep net neutrality in place! It should be equally accessible to all!
3307. Bill Trueit, Everett, WA, 98208
If the FCC is charged with regulating communications on behalf of the citizens of the United States, then it must favor public citizens over the wishes of private corporations. The internet should provide for all citizens to have full access to the internet to fully and freely exercise our 1st Amendment rights. Net neutrality insures this right. Allowing corporations to privatize the internet further shifts the balance of protections and freedoms from private citizens to corporations and those with money. Internet providers should not control what we see and do online and your decision on this matter should reflect this basic principle of individual freedom. Thank you!
3308. Christopher Casey, Redmond, WA, 98052
In my city, high speed internet growth has stagnated. We have only one provider available, and we are at their mercy for pricing. Frequently, they will change their rates and introduce new fees, and we are powerless to stop it as they have an effective monopoly in my area. Many apartment complexes will have exclusive deals with a single provider and will not allow consumers to choose another ISP. This lack of choice leads to abusive customer practices. We need regulation on the internet like we need the government's regulation for other necessary utilities like power and water. It is critical that all Americans have unfettered access to the most important communication tool of our generation. Net Neutrality and Title II regulation are fundamentally necessary for our country's continued growth in science, technology, and the arts. Please put consumers first before big-business interests.
3309. Anderson Anderson, Bothell, WA, 98011
Please keep the internet free
3310. Lori Jirak, Carnation, WA, 98014
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are essential for a strong America. I urge you to protect them. Don't give ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses, and diminishes opportunities for many. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some

companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

3311. Helen Gabel, Bothell, WA, 98021

An open internet is essential for economic dynamism and political freedom. The current social system depends on it as on any other public utility. It was developed with public funds, and should remain universally available. I totally oppose overturning net neutrality rules.

3312. Juliane PotterMarx, Ferndale, WA, 98248

Please protect FCC's open Internet, PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY. I am active on issues, and I will be watching.

3313. Laura Goldberg, Arlington, WA, 98223

Don't mess with our Net Neutrality! We need our open internet.

3314. Ronald Spring, Redmond, WA, 98052

I am writing to express my strong support for Net Neutrality in regard to internet access. It is important to remember that corporations did not start the internet. They only decided to get involved when they saw that there was money to make. So, they take over providing access to the internet and decide that they can make even more money by selling access to only those that pay the most. I don't know how they became the gatekeepers to the internet but as we have seen throughout our history, granting corporations unfettered control over any industry will result in actions that are in the best interest of the corporations. the internet is too important to allow corporate greed to win the day. If you think this really has to do with free enterprise, you are either ill informed or not acting in the best interests of the citizens of the United States. For example, US taxpayers paid for our nation's interstate highways. Why would we just let corporations take over those highways and charge what they want for access to the highways and restrict who can use the highway. I can see them requiring individuals to travel in a slow speed limit lane while allow those that pay a lot to travel at fast speed. It again begs the question, how did the corporations get gatekeeper status to something that they didn't build, they didn't invent and, in fact, only make money from it. Please do the right thing for the citizens of the United

States by keeping net neutrality in place.

3315. Marco de la Rosa, Kirkland, WA, 98034

The FCC's Open Internet Rules(net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to PROTECT them.I do NOT want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others or split the Internet into " fast lanes " for companies that pay and" slow lanes " for the rest.Now is NOT the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay - and slow lanes for everyone else - if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer " fast lanes " to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will KILL the open market place that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in the USA - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and ABYSMAL customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the US economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, non-profits, artists and others who can NOT pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon crony, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other US citizens.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules under Title II, and DENOUNCE Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you !

3316. Julie Helling, Blaine, WA, 98230

Keep net neutrality! Corporations should NOT determine what we can stream.

3317. Michael Noth, Bothell, WA, 98011

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are of critical importance to the future of American freedoms and the American economy. I urge you to protect them.If ISPs are not required to adhere to net neutrality rules, they will block innovation, stifle creativity, and suppress freedoms in the name of profits. This is not a theoretical risk. Major ISPs have already throttled or blocked sites such as Netflix, FaceTime usage, and an online game with tens of millions of players. Real harm has already been done prior to the enactment of enforceable net neutrality rules, and much more will come.Killing the net neutrality rules will kill the Internet, and with it, millions of Americans and thousands of American businesses will be harmed. Small businesses and innovative start-ups will be harmed the most.On top of that, ISPs will have the ability and financial incentive to censor and shape political speech. Without net neutrality, ISPs will act on their powerful financial incentives to

suppress speech that they don't like, causes that might hinder their ability to act as rent-seeking profiteers, religions with whose beliefs they disagree, or movements or political groups whose actions may increase competition. Letting ISPs do these things is profoundly un-American. I'm concerned that FCC Chairman Pai has already decided on plans based on what he thinks is best for a tiny number of large companies and not what's best for all Americans. Please support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II. Ensure that Chairman Pai's decisions are best for all Americans and American freedom, not best for a tiny number of companies.

3318. Marcia Ellison, Redmond, WA, 98053

STOP any bills or efforts to end net neutrality, PLEASE

3319. Leigh Christianson, Index, WA, 98256

I strongly oppose the proposal submitted by Chairman Pai to reverse net neutrality protections because a free and open internet is vital for our democracy, for our businesses, and for our daily lives. It would give giant internet companies the power to prioritize what we read, watch, and explore online. I will not stand for it. It is about my right to be heard and my right to hear others. I submit my public comment to oppose the proposal submitted by Chairman Pai to reverse net neutrality protections.

3320. Carol Doerr, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I oppose the provisions of the "Restoring Internet Freedom Act", especially the paragraphs (78-84) that have to do with no-throttling, no-blocking, and no-paid-prioritization rules. As a consumer, I can choose to pay more to have a faster download speed. But if my ISP can override my subscription by blocking or throttling sites that don't pay for prioritization, they are cheating me. That is not right. It is especially not right since there is little competition in the ISP world. In most neighborhoods, consumers have only one choice of cable TV/internet provider. If their provider chooses to throttle a site they care about, they can't take their business elsewhere. So your transparent goal of returning the internet to "free market" control is a fallacy, because the infrastructure of broadband does not allow for adequate competition. And by the way, your document reads like a cable company wrote it.

3321. Jordan Gray, Bothell, WA, 98011

Removing Net Neutrality will create an uneven playing field.

3322. Kate Pritchard, Duvall, WA, 98019

Please protect net neutrality and internet freedom for all!!! I am already concerned about the censorship I see on Facebook, Twitter, Youtube, etc, and how selective it is. (I agree that ISIS shouldn't be able to recruit on these platforms, but others get thrown off for silly reasons.) But I'm especially concerned as a small business person how giving huge corporations more control of the internet will affect business opportunities for all! I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let

giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. If some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. THIS IS ALREADY HAPPENING on the channels mentioned above by those entities, and any compromise to net neutrality will make it much worse and will threaten our democracy! So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

3323. Ty Fast, Ferndale, WA, 98248

Private enterprises have no business disrupting the free market through legal measures. If the interests supporting censorship and control of the internet want more money they should do so by creating incentive for more people to buy from them. Offer better products, better services, moral reasons to support their business. They should not, try and legislate where the cash flows. Competition drives everyone to higher standards of living, a rigged system leaves everyone broke eventually. There will come a time when there will be nothing left for the takers to take.

3324. Nick , Everett, WA, 98208

The Internet is the single most influential form of communication in the modern world, and ensuring that everyone can have fair and equal access to the Internet is important to uphold the rights guaranteed to us in the First Amendment. That is Net Neutrality. To vote against Net Neutrality is to support monopolies, to support tyranny, and to disregard the First Amendment.

3325. Robert Kirchgessner, Redmond, WA, 98052

The internet is arguably the most powerful invention of humanity. It has turned the human race into a collective mind; able to share and evolve information on a global scale. The internet is why humanity is getting smarter, and why our technology is booming. If the internet is analogous to the hive-mind of humanity, then the people are the neurons, and the connections are the ISPs. If those connections are no longer enabling the free-flow of information, then what is that analogous to? A disorder. A malfunction. A disease. The loss of a neutral net is analogous to the demyelination of our exponentially-powerful hive-mind. We need a neutral net to ensure the uncensored free-flow of information. Without it, we suffer. And that isn't even addressing the aspects of net neutrality from a political/economic standpoint. The end of net neutrality will enable companies to nickle-and-dime consumers even more than they already do. ISPs are not investing in improving the internet backbone in the US, which has already fallen far behind the capabilities of other nations. Even

with government subsidies, ISPs have shown they have no interest in improving our infrastructure. They only care about their bottom lines! The rates that ISPs charge nationwide in the USA are significantly higher, with poorer performance and lower data caps, than offered by competitive markets in other developed parts of the world. With the end of net neutrality, this will just get worse. The ISPs already own our information and sell it for profit, and soon they will control what information we can see. What country do we live in? Keep net neutrality. Keep the internet free. Don't let this disease spread in the US. I support net neutrality. Thank you for your time. Robert Kirchgessner

3326. Kim Finger, Bellingham, WA, 98226

I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality rules and keep Title II in place for broadband internet access. PLEASE! This is so important to free speech and democracy.

3327. Nick Litz, Woodinville, WA, 98077

We live in a democracy (barely working) not a plutocracy. Allowing ISP's this power violates John Locke's idea of America that James Madison reiterated with the Constitution. Aside from property, the pursuit of happiness. Many people are unable to enjoy the world as I, and most do, and are confined to their quarters. Restricting their access is removing their political free speech. ISP's will 100% cause collusion during elections as they will block or slow down opposing views content. This is the beginning of plutocracy or borderline totalitarianism. Do NOT let America become an example of a historical downfall. I want the FCC to retain the ability to stop my internet service provider from interfering with my communications choices. The courts have already told the FCC that to do this, ISPs must remain under Title II. I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality rules and keep Title II in place for broadband internet access.

3328. Heidi Bohan, Carnation, WA, 98014

I am shocked that creating any blocks to my access to the internet is being considered in light of this administrations clear antagonism towards the press and free speech. I have decided that if I saw any move towards blocking free access to the internet for communication was undertaken by this administration I would take to the streets. This looks like that very effort. Please! I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality rules and keep Title II in place for broadband internet access.

3329. Tara Mikosz, Woodinville, WA, 98072

We should retain the ability to stop my internet service provider from interfering with my communications choices. Please preserve real Net Neutrality rules and keep Title II in place for broadband internet access.

3330. Julia Richardson, BELLINGHAM, WA, 98225

Cable and Phone companies should not be given the right to censor or slow down my speech and my access to online content. Broadband service providers should not decide who I communicate with and what information I transmit. I want the FCC to

retain the ability to stop my internet service provider from interfering with my communications choices. My entire family is urging you, FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, to preserve real Net Neutrality rules and keep Title II in place for broadband internet access, for all United States citizens!

3331. Tim Osborne, Woodinville, WA, 98072
The American people, through taxes, funded the invention and deployment of the fundamental internet technologies (via ARPANET, NSFNET, etc.). This was eventually commercialized, spread world-wide, and has become part of the fabric of everyday life, much as the power grid. To allow those who carry the data traffic to throttle/divert/forbid data traffic - based on criteria they decide - makes as little sense as limiting travel to certain cars on our (taxpayer-funded) highways. ISP operation is functionally part of the commons now. I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality rules and keep Title II in place for broadband internet access.
3332. Penelope Frey, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Net neutrality is crucial for the way we live today and to allow full access to everyone on a level playing field. Please do not change it.
3333. Nick Payne, Ferndale, WA, 98248
I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality rules and keep Title II in place for broadband internet access. For me, the issue is about the FREEDOM of an open Internet, which aligns with the principles on which our country was founded. Why allow Internet service providers chip away at the people's open Internet freedoms to benefit the few at the expense of the many?
3334. Ian Mott, Redmond, WA, 98052
I work in IT if you make this change you are going to put millions of Americans out of work. This will be the end of American IT business all jobs will go overseas and will never come back. All business related to IT is at risk. The internet and the speed of business are critical to every aspect of IT. Without a level playing field small businesses will cease to exist and medium size businesses will be next. The big companies will slowly be destroyed by large nation backed industry out side of America. If you make this change you will be killing American JOBS and LIVES!
3335. Diane Harman-Hoog, Redmond, WA, 98053
People who work at home as well as the general public, need fast and neutral access to the internet to do their work and to pursue their interests. I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality rules and keep Title II in place for broadband internet access.
3336. Catherine Tarver, Redmond, WA, 98053
Access to information should be available to all! It should not be just to those who can AFFORD faster better internet access!!!
3337. Andrew , Snohomish, WA, 98290

Internet companies shouldn't be allowed to choose what websites I visit, or how much bandwidth I use to visit them. since these companies are close to a monopoly, the government needs to regulate them so they can't take advantage of their position, for the betterment of their pockets, and the worsening of society.

3338. Robert Seaman, Lynden, WA, 98264

The internet is part of the commons that belong to all the people. Just like the airwaves.

3339. Nathan Shepard, Woodinville, WA, 98072

As an up and coming Computer Scientist currently studying in high school and soon to be going to Western Washington University to study there, the entire field of computer science relies on net neutrality, open sourced projects are what thrives on net neutrality, not isp sponsored products. Did you know a 100ms delay costs Amazon 1% of annual sales, that's roughly 136 million dollars in 100 milliseconds? If you want to destroy the internet industry by allowing isps to slow any product they want you will kill entire sectors of internet products, not even including the detriment that could cause to areas of the country who rely upon the internet and computer science as primary industries such as Washington and California. Please support net neutrality millions of young computer scientists who can offer so much to the world could be thrown away for corporate greed.

3340. Marissa Mellinger, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I am able to get my education from all over the world.

3341. Jack Vilardi, Bothell, WA, 98011

Net Neutrality, the idea that data should be treated equally, is the cornerstone of modern free speech and business. The internet is a place where anyone and everyone can make their voice heard and express themselves no matter what, but without Net Neutrality, ISPs (Internet Service Providers) will destroy the internet as we know it, and turn it into something out of an Orwellian nightmare. If the Returning Internet Freedom Act, which would get rid of Net Neutrality, goes through, it would take away the freedom the internet provides. The RIFA (Returning Internet Freedom Act) would allow ISPs to censor the internet in any way they see fit. If a website expresses dissenting opinions towards an ISP, RIFA would allow them to slow that website's data to a crawl, essentially making it so no one could see it. Net Neutrality is also important for modern business. Almost every business in the world, from small locally owned coffee shops, bookstores, car washes, and restaurants; to massive international corporations have websites and rely on the internet. Without Net Neutrality, ISPs would essentially be able to hold other businesses hostage, forcing them to pay exorbitant premiums to allow people to access their websites, this could cripple large corporations and kill small businesses that rely on the internet to make the majority, it not all of their income. A free and open internet has become an integral part of modern society and without Net Neutrality that will disappear. The internet will become a place monitored and controlled by the ever watchful eyes of the ISPs if RIFA is allowed to pass. This is the United States of

America, land of Freedom, Liberty, and Truth, and I stand by and watch as ISPs try to turn my home into some demented North Korea copycat.

3342. Fast lane, Sumas, WA, 98295

Information that is sometimes the only avenue we have to news and issues important to me should never have a censorship program

3343. Aly Burns, Woodinville, WA, 98072

Net Neutrality is important to me because it allows me and millions to freely access the website of our choice. With Net neutrality we are able to do what we want when we want, our providers take no place in dictating where/what we visit on the internet. This is the way it should always be. We shouldn't have to blindly trust that our providers will remain honest and act accordingly when we know that even before strict rules were set they constantly violated Net Neutrality .We shouldn't have to possibly pay more for access to whatever website we want, our time on the internet shouldn't be dictated by what we can afford to spend.

3344. Laura Bragg, Kirkland, WA, 98034

I think it's unconstitutional for you to limit what we can and can't see and to slow down our speeds whenever you want. Seems like another case of the rich just trying to get richer at the expense of everyone else!

3345. Anthony Garcia, Sumas, WA, 98295

Non-monopoly, access to information, FREEDOM OF SPEECH.

3346. Peri Countryman, Snohomish, WA, 98290

In love there's not a lot of level playing fields. If you take away net neutrality you're basically giving the monopoly you major sites and feeding a lot of money into internet providers who are already rich on selling crap phones

3347. Joseph Yencich, Bothell, WA, 98011

Are we seriously having a debate about whether or not access to information is a right when the only countries that say "nah" are known for the repression of their people?

3348. M , Carnation, WA, 98014

Unfettered access to the net is responsible for much of our current prosperity. The telecom's have a bad track record of open capitalism. They prefer unfair advantage. Let all net traffic be equal. More growth and tax dollars will result.

3349. Steve Resende, Rockport, WA, 98283

Equal access to the world's knowledge is important and promotes democracy.

3350. Ivan Hernandez, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Being able to access any site I choose and get the same speeds is paramount to be able to continue with life in this day and age. Not being able to watch the show I want, or not being able to use the bank I choose, or not being able to see comments

that dissent from my ISP's views or not being able to talk to my family if my ISP wants to prioritize crappy reality TV is and will always be a huge detriment to freedom and is completely unacceptable. Do not let ISPs prioritize whatever they chose and screw up the internet as we know it. They are already monopolies in most areas. Until they are not, there is no choice and this will certainly limit freedom of speech.

3351. Janus Kober, Maple Falls, WA, 98266
The internet must be kept neutral. It needs to be equal for all including smaller businesses and individuals. Keep regulations away from the internet!
3352. Susan Kilgore, Lummi Island, WA, 98262
By the peopleFor the people
3353. Rustin Klein, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Don't like paying more money for internet.
3354. Oliver Nicholson, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Net Neutrality is important to allow freedom of the press and speech, letting people organize big events that would protest harmful working conditions to certain companies is important. The people will not be quiet if you allow companies to take away our rights. Net Neutrality could almost be considered a basic right in a country where internet access is so widely available.
3355. Kerrie , Woodinville, WA, 98077
It's unfair to gate any domain on the internet so people have to pay a premium to access it. We already pay to connect, we will not pay extra to visit sites.
3356. Andrew Roth, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Allowing small business who cannot pay for preferential treatment from ISPs to compete with big corporations. Allowing people who do not have a choice in broadband providers to access any site they wish. The Internet is a utility, like roads. It would be similar to the road outside your house blocking certain makes of cars if the manufacturer didn't pay extra money.
3357. Olivia Williams, Kirkland, WA, 98034
My audience for my writing is on the internet, and losing net neutrality is going to hurt my viewership like it will hurt small business owners and everyone who relies on the web.
3358. Antonia Hagelin, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Being able to visit sites for research and school and career without having to pay for a service to do so means that I get to eat food and still fulfill my needs in school in and work. I can't afford to pay for subscriptions to things like phones, tv, cable, and netflix. I already pay for my internet provider, now if you make me pay to visit certain sites as well, you will effectively be preventing me from having access to news, work, my income, my connection with family and friends, and so much more.

Please don't take this away from me, or for our future generations.

3359. Michaela Yonkman, Sumas, WA, 98295
The internet is literally access to the entire world and is a crucial part in global communications, business, and leisure and I will not let Trump take that away from us with his tiny little hands.
3360. Kasaundra Stevens, Sumas, WA, 98295
There are too many things to list here to even begin. Just leave net neutrality alone.
3361. Maria Domenico, Carnation, WA, 98014
Stop grabbing for money and think about other people for once. Let people have joy.
3362. Noah Negrón, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Net neutrality is democracy in its most basic form
3363. Em Braden, Woodinville, WA, 98077
Net Neutrality is essential so that I can get access to information easily and for free, information that can determine anything from what food I buy to which insurance I trust.
3364. , Redmond, WA, 98053
I don't have to fucking pay a bunch more money to use the internet just so the rich business owners can fuck over the poor more than they already have.
3365. Aubrie Raposa, Redmond, WA, 98053
My happiness and life should not be turned into some corporate marketing plan. The internet is a free place to express yourself, and I am not going to stand for it becoming some bidding lot for who can afford the most websites.
3366. Gabrielle Richie, Ferndale, WA, 98248
Hey. Me again. I just really don't like this idea. That's all. Most don't I believe. There's been a lot of reposts of this on both Tumblr and Twitter. But, I'm wondering WHY you guys thought this was a good idea. I mean it's just money. A useless piece of paper that only has value because we humans say it does...but at the end of the day it's just part of a dead tree that was cut down for no real purpose. So, if it really money you value then it is pretty much pointless. An empty existence, what a sad and disappointing one. A stupid thought that manifests itself because some greedy government people thought there's no other point to life other than what makes THEM comfortable. It truly is a sad life for those people. It's also unfortunate that they have to make others suffer because of their own thoughtlessness. But yeah. I still strongly support Net Neutrality. Also if it goes away at least hackers will have more of a better reason to do what they do. So yay I guess. -Expect more from me- I'm a lonely person- A tired US Citizen
3367. Jen Pletcher, Redmond, WA, 98053
We all use the internet every day. Let at least the internet be equal for everyone.

3368. Sadie Bedford, Darrington, WA, 98241
The internet is a necessity in life now and without net neutrality corporations will corrupt our online communities with capitalism for their own gain.
3369. Jonathan Munstermn, Snohomish, WA, 98290
My work rely on using so many different sites that this would kill our business
3370. Michaela Martin, Blaine, WA, 98230
Abolishing net neutrality will be devastating to anyone who runs an online business and millions will suffer and be without their previously reliable income. Many rely on the internet for income, or additional income, because the job market and economy in general is currently in turmoil. By removing net neutrality, hundreds of thousands of people will sink further into poverty.
3371. Christina Tkach, Blaine, WA, 98230
Internet is a so much a part of everyday life that it is a utility and a means of communication. Censorship of free speech? Come on!!!
3372. Bee Black, Woodinville, WA, 98077
We already have to pay for internet you punks stop being this way
3373. Abigail Pollak, Kenmore, WA, 98028
hey bitch um sorry we can't all be born rich, but yk some of us need the internet to sell shit and SURVIVE
3374. jonathon ford, Woodinville, WA, 98077
A lot of people use the Internet for their income and livelihood this could disrupt a lot of peoples careers and essentially drive them to bankruptcy
3375. Ben French, Kirkland, WA, 98034
The internet is a free space for everyone to use and shouldn't be exploited for profit
3376. Denny Hendry, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Because internet should not be dictated by ones ability to pay.
3377. Chloe Wones, Carnation, WA, 98014
In this day and age, internet access is a necessity for everything, from work to school to shopping. Net Neutrality keeps it accessible to all people, not just wealthy. And if you're trying to kill that, well then you're just an asshole.
3378. Hunter , Woodinville, WA, 98077
offers a diverse and widespread community with people all around the world. also helps with getting my degree and finding jobs.
3379. Penni Gregor, Redmond, WA, 98053
I want free and open internet
3380. John Kutz, Bothell, WA, 98011

Paragraph 80 asks for comment regarding the need for a codified no-blocking rule. I believe that without a codified rule, internet providers will very likely engage in blocking traffic that they deem competitive with their own offerings. I do not trust that ISPs will resist the temptation to skew the playing field in their favor.

Therefore, I strongly support maintaining the no-blocking rule codified in the Title II Order. Likewise, paragraph 83 asks for comments regarding whether a no-throttling rule is duplicative of antitrust and other regulations. In my view, regardless of whether the no-throttling and other rules that protect the consumer currently in place are duplicative, it is highly desirable to maintain the existing regulatory framework to provide protection from ISPs throttling competitive traffic for competitive reasons. Finally, paragraph 84 seeks comments on the need for the No Paid Prioritization Rule. Prioritization of certain web traffic means all other traffic will be slowed down. If too many prioritization relationships are formed between ISPs and content providers, the effect on non-prioritized traffic will be such that customers would be unwilling to pay for the slower service and defect, thereby creating losses for the ISP. Also, it's unrealistic to suggest that since large ISPs are not currently engaging in paid prioritization, they would not do so in the future. There is no need to scuttle this rule. Thank you for considering my comments.

3381. Devin Oller, Bothell, WA, 98012
net neutrality is essential, our future is actually dependent on it. Without it we can and probably will be forced to see what others want us to see or have our experience by limiting to a certain amount of data, viewage or any other restrictions someone said we can have in the name of capitalism.
3382. Cameron Suraci, Bothell, WA, 98021
By removing Net Neutrality, American citizens will only have access to information that the 4 big internet providers want them to have. This is the equivalent of censorship present in North Korea currently. By ending Net Neutrality, you take just another bit of the American people's freedom away.
3383. Mark Knutson, Duvall, WA, 98019
Makes constant speeds so everything from data files to video takes the same amount of time to download. Keeps an open market and prices low by preventing those with the hardware from charging more for certain services
3384. Alex Arzoumanian, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Because I want to follow my passion of entertaining people
3385. Zane Montfort, Blaine, WA, 98230
I'm a student, and I depend on the internet often as I complete my degree.
3386. Giuseppe Ruggeri, Redmond, WA, 98053
Distribute the wealth you cunts
3387. Nick Paavo, Kirkland, WA, 98034
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are a necessity to protect the

freedom of speech and access to information. The internet is undeniably an instrument of communication. No individual should have control over what public information is available or tamper with the speed at which that information can be accessed, ESPECIALLY not a corporation. Ask yourself when was the last time you trusted a corporation to do the morally right thing when they weren't legally obligated to do so? We have given corporations too many inches and lost too many miles as a result. It is imperative that a firm stance be taken on net neutrality here and now- Corporate interests are never to be favored over those of the common citizen. We are a nation of diverse people with diverse ideas. It is nobody's right to decide which of these ideas can be accessed if one takes the time to listen and learn.

3388. M Taylor, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Please do not take away the neutrality that supports small businesses and prevents corruption and monopolies that control companies by holding them hostage for bandwidth speeds. It's terrible just thinking about a world where Verizon and Comcast can control what we get to see on the internet. I am in high school and I do not want my future to be tainted by the horrible decision to end net neutrality. So please, don't do it.

3389. Becky Ballbach, Everett, WA, 98208

This letter is in support of internet neutrality.

3390. Connor Keyes, Bothell, WA, 98011

I don't care that my letter will be lost in a sea of others and never be read, I'm sending this anyway for some reason. Corporate interest has no right to govern the people, who clearly have a dissenting opinion. If the government rules in accordance to the interests of multinational corporations rather than the interests of the people, we are no longer a democracy. Whoever this message is sent to (or briskly skimmed through by an intern before inevitably being deleted and never shown to legislators) , you already understand all of the benefits of net neutrality, and you already understand that bills destroying net neutrality are just ploys for the rich to get richer and the rest of the public poorer. But net neutrality isn't the only problem; today it is net neutrality, but tomorrow, where does it end? Are we ruled by corporations, or does our democratic republic really represent the wants of its own people? This is more than a battle for net neutrality, notwithstanding net neutrality's importance. This is a moral battle. Please do the right thing. Retain net neutrality and shut the door to the rich governing everyone else for their own benefit.

3391. Peter Sawyer, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net neutrality should be maintained. In my area (Seattle, WA), there are only two realistic ISP providers - others resell lines from Comcast or Verizon at generally higher prices. Also, when I sign up with an ISP, I expect ACCESS ONLY to the internet. My ISP should not be in any way involved with what services I use or receive from the internet, nor should my ISP be able to affect the speed with which I use various sites. In fact, I use NONE of my ISP's offerings, other than high-speed access to the internet. Do not change this. Do not screw up the internet for us. Removing Net Neutrality will make internet usage worse, not better.

3392. D.B. Schubert, Woodinville, WA, 98077

I am opposed to the proposed changes and rollback of Net Neutrality and Title II, which protect internet users'™ constitutional rights of free speech and equal access with clear and enforceable rules for both fixed and mobile broadband internet access services. Broadband providers should not be allowed to block, throttle or prioritize who and what American Citizens access on the internet. Our constitution guarantees freedom of speech, and this requires unfettered means of communication, which in today's world means the internet. The proposed changes don't help me or my fellow Americans, but they are only on the table as political payback to a few special corporate interests. Leave the internet Free and Fair.

3393. Nancy Chibazakura, Bothell, WA, 98011

I am completely against ending net neutrality!!Nancy Chibazakura

3394. Dana Buchman, Redmond, WA, 98052

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and everyone I know. I AM URGING you to protect them!!I don't want ISPs to have the power to block any websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Comcast can't get away with suing what is effectively a corporate whistleblower. Its offensive and disgusting, they stole customer info and sent in MILLIONS of FAKE anti-net neutrality comments in. Don't fucking let them get away with that or take the fake comments into consideration.DONT TURN US

INTO RUSSIA Dana Buchman

3395. Sarah Kadish, Kirkland, WA, 98034
We need net neutrality, do not get rid of it!
3396. Scott Bracken, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Net neutrality is important to small business owners like myself. My wife and I own a small graphic design business and having equal access to the Internet is vital for us to grow. Big companies like Comcast and ATT should not control what I see the speed at which I access the Internet or anything concerning how fast and what I choose to access on the Internet. Keep it neutral!
3397. William Fu, Kirkland, WA, 98033
I am completely and entirely supportive of net neutrality and preventing ISPs and companies from influencing what should be a government regulated matter.
3398. Cameron Murdock, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
I support strong internet neutrality backed by Title II overnight of ISP's.
3399. Judi Realph, North Bend, WA, 98045
Please do not approve this. Internet is supposed to be for every idea. We pay many dollars for access. Do NOT allow a couple of companies to decide what we see or how fast we get our service. So ridiculous. Judi Realph
3400. MATTHEW B COBB, REDMOND, WA, 98053
Keep the network equally available for all.
3401. Mary F Curtis, Kirkland, WA, 98033
Preserve net neutrality. As a physician who sometimes has to access medical records from home to take care of patients, I need that access swift and secure, not slowed by best access being sold to the highest bidder.
3402. Brandon Seiler, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please protect and sustain net neutrality.
3403. Ann Lazaroff, Bothell, WA, 98012
Thank you for continuing to stand up for Net Neutrality. Please do everything you can to block Chairman Pai's plans. Thank you. Ann Lazaroff
3404. Jay Hodgson, Everett, WA, 98208
I work for a small non-profit biomedical research organization (called Sage Bionetworks) pushing for open access data sharing. These products are accessed via the Internet. This frequently involves transferring large amounts of data (genomic, proteomic, ...). If those transfers were throttled (until either we or our customers paid a surcharge), it would significantly impact our company mission, as well as scientific research.

3405. Carlos Knippschild, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Net neutrality is what made it possible for the small game development studio to have a chance to compete with the behemoths of the industry. Should they be able to buy the prioritization of their traffic there would be no way our studio would be able to compete. The FCC should regulate broadband with the intent of keeping the play field level and fair and net neutrality is an essential component to achieving that goal.
3406. Kevin Hagey, Bothell, WA, 98012
This proposal hurts everyone except large businesses and ISPs. If you FCC commissioners have an honest bone in your body, you will not support this. How am I supposed to pay for "access" to all these sites when I go to college full-time? All this does is let ISPs make more money for doing something they already do. That's messed up.
3407. Kylie Keyzer, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support net neutrality. Please do not overturn net neutrality regulations
3408. Steve Johnston, Snohomish, WA, 98296
I support strong net neutrality backed by title is oversight of ISPs, just like everyone else that actually understands technology and innovation.
3409. Sean Boyer, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Please do your job and work for the interests of the public, not for multi-billion dollar corporations who have no desire to serve their customers. By removing net neutrality you will simply create an environment where a middle man can extract value from those who are creating true value. That stifles innovation and only serves entrenched big business. There are little to no options for most customers to choose an ISP. If you go through with this, consumers will be faced with two crappy choices: either having no Internet or getting raked over the coals by their ISP in terms of privacy and service delivery. Good thing this decision will likely be made by old, white men who couldn't even set up their own home wireless network let alone understand the workings of the Interwebs.
3410. Dale Burris, Duvall, WA, 98019
For the last 22 years of my Internet usage, all websites and circuits have been treated as equals. Some *physical* circuits may or may not have been as robust, however there has never been such heavy-handed governmental oversight over how my data is treated. What has changed, such that 22+ years of Internet access now needs such oversight? The only thing that has changed has been the leaders of the FCC and the White House. Please understand that the vast majority of users who know anything about Net Neutrality, do not want this new legislation. To push this legislation through is to prove that your interests are strictly monetary, and not the well-being of the US general population. Please prove to all Internet users that our government has our best interests in mind when it comes to Net Neutrality. Thank you.
3411. Emma, ferndale, WA, 98248

I need my internet. dont let it get taken away.

3412. Can Hatipoglu, Redmond, WA, 98053
Do not take away net neutrality. Hands off the internet, title 2 is necessary! !
3413. Michael Pierce, Redmond, WA, 98052
Economic viability of the United States of America, and economic supremacy in the world, require a fully functioning and capable networking infrastructure. That corporations should be in control of the internet in the USA threatens our country's ability to stay competitive. And allowing companies and corporations to maximize their profits over the competitive viability of our nation undermines our national prosperity. Enacting rules and regulations that mainly benefit investors (in the internet service providers) is short-sighted, bad policy, and therefore I support net neutrality and Title II oversight. Regards, Michael W Pierce
3414. Darren Pryke, Woodinville, WA, 98077
I am in favor of strong net neutrality under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. Sincerely, Darren Pryke
3415. Trevor P Robinson, Snohomish, WA, 98290
I support strong ISP regulation under Title II.
3416. Viktoriya Yanchuk, Bellingham, WA, 98226
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2 of the communications act.
3417. Ryan Gilmore, Mill Creek, WA, 98012
As a tax payer and registered voter, I strongly support an open and free internet by upholding net neutrality and supporting title II oversight of internet service providers. The argument that title II oversight over ISP's has stunted economic investment in additional infrastructure is false, as major service providers themselves have stated that title oversight has not affected their expansion. Removing title II oversight of ISP's would be a disaster for online small businesses as well as internet consumers as a whole.
3418. Anthony Holloway, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Further empowering ISP's further impoverishes the citizen trying to raise his socio-economic status. It will increase the disparity between the rich and poor, increase all the social problems in America, and reduce basic freedoms. If you want an America where self determination is possible, do not make it more difficult for lower income people to compete. If you want an America where critical thinking is made more difficult, empower ISP's to further control information. If you want an America with more violence, suicide, hate, ignorance, poverty, restrictions and conformity, by all means create monopolies and give giant institutions the right to further oppress. Do not move America backward. Allow all citizens freedom.
3419. mia lehn, Bellingham, WA, 98225
I want access to the ENTIRE internet for free without paid prioritization, with

throttling, and without blocking. I want this to be the same on all computers. I think this is very important, and will be very upset if there is no net neutrality.

3420. Cameron Rau, Mill Creek, WA, 98012

In my area, Comcast has engaged in predatory action using the lack of competition as a means of customer lock-in. Comcast charged me \$30 more for the exact same service tier and offerings as an associate of mine who signed up in the same area at the same time. The only difference between us was that his address was eligible for a competing service where mine at the time was not. Comcast did not deny this assertion when I spoke with representatives. Comcast has also reinstated a data use cap that is tantamount to extortion on top of already unreasonably increasing price of service. If household has a home office, and uses primarily streaming and internet download for entertainment purposes rather than Comcast's TV offerings, it is not only possible but extremely likely to exceed that data cap. Once the cap has been exceeded, you either pay up to \$200 dollars OR you can opt to pre-pay an additional \$50 a month for service you already paid handsomely for. It is clear that the cap is meant as a disincentive to users consuming media from any source other than Comcast's. Additionally it has been shown in public record on more than one occasion that the meter for the data cap is often inaccurate, but Comcast offers no remediation in those cases and refuses to allow its data use claims to be audited. I was lucky enough to have a competitor enter my neighborhood, had that not occurred the only options outside of Comcast were dial-up or wireless internet - neither of which are even remotely acceptable for my regular home office use nor for media/entertainment consumption. It is clear that the FCC chairman has no integrity at all. It is clear that the rules and protections desperately needed to reign in corporate greed, rules that will boost development and open doors for new competition, are under attack by industry for the express purpose of harming consumers. Regulatory capture is real and present threat to the institution meant to safeguard public interest. Net neutrality under Title II classification is absolutely necessary and beneficial to the public interest. To claim otherwise is patently dishonest.

3421. Stephanie Zero, Redmond, WA, 98052

Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. We need Title II to support a strong Net Neutrality! Thank you!

3422. Daniel, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net Neutrality should remain intact, it is an absolute farce to believe that any change would benefit the consumer. Removing Net Neutrality would serve only to benefit ISP's by creating less options but more billable services. Internet access should remain open to all.

3423. Jacob Johanson, Arlington, WA, 98223

I support net neutrality backed by Title II. Don't let our online freedom die.

3424. Arash Moghaddam, Bothell, WA, 98011

I support Net Neutrality under Title 2 and urge that this law continues under its

current state.

3425. John Purdy, Deming, WA, 98244

In brief, I oppose, strenuously, the proposal to change the regulation policy for those companies that provide services to the public. I believe the Internet is a public utility and "just like to electrical service or phone service" it has so insinuated itself into all aspects of our existence, it must be protected from manipulation by self-serving interests. Furthermore, in order to maintain a free flow of ideas and information, it must be monitored to ensure it remains free from control. Over the years of its existence, we have seen tremendous innovation and advances in its shape and uses so the argument that these have been stifled is utter nonsense. I live in rural Washington and I am, quite literally, on the end of the cable line. I have cable, but neighbors a half mile away do not. They rely on satellite for service. Why? Comcast did not see them "all 100 of them" as a viable market worthy of service. So, I have to bump heads with that company on a regular basis to keep them from raising my rates and scope of service unilaterally, which they have done in the past. And, recently I received notification that they have changed policies unilaterally and now will not be responsible for anything that may happen due to their service "my being hacked, my files held for ransom, etc." while I will be held responsible for a whole litany of potential problems. If anything, we need more accountability for these monopolies rather than less. The same holds true for the only cell company I can get service from: Verizon. Finally, I founded a small nonprofit, a public charity named Write Place, and we are heavily dependent upon the Internet for support and the dissemination of services and information to a web of citizens around the country. Any slowdown of service or increase in the costs of usage of the Internet from Comcast, and we will have to shut the doors and give up our good works. So, please leave the policies as they now stand, or strengthen regulatory oversight. The current policy has proven itself and tinkering with it will benefit and empower, even further, companies that operate with little or no concern for the people of this country. If you don't believe this, call one of their customer lines and complain, but be ready to have your Internet access flow decrease and/or fluctuate when you need it most. That's what will happen if the companies are given free rein. Thank you for considering these comments. John Purdy Deming, Washington

3426. Steven Tachell, Carnation, WA, 98014

I do not want private companies or government controlling my internet access and activity. The internet should be a medium of communication open to all.

3427. Laurel Winter, Redmond, WA, 98052

We don't want corporations influencing what parts of the internet we are able to go to!!

3428. Greg Bleil, Bellingham, WA, 98226

Basic freedom, it's not free if major corporations are in control of what content is available. I shouldn't even have to point this out!

3429. Ken DeRoche, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Net neutrality is free speech, a right guaranteed by the First Amendment.

3430. Jeff Nutting, Ferndale, WA, 98248
Net neutrality is a critical component to free speech in the digital age.
3431. Donald Levens, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Please keep the internet people-NOT-company directed.
3432. James Attebery, Kirkland, WA, 98034
ISPs already have too many methods to abuse their clients. Without net neutrality laws companies like Comcast will have the ability (and will use that ability) to charge their customers extra money in order to use third party services. On top of the subscription fee someone pays to Netflix, they'll have to spend another X amount of dollars for Comcast to actually let them use that subscription, and it can only get worse from there.
3433. Lincoln Torgerson, Sedro Woolley, WA, 98284
It is repulsive to think that your agency would put business interests ahead of the American people who you are tasked to serve. Your job is to establish laws that reflect the best interests of us all, please remember that when you make decisions that will affect so many of us.
3434. Ann Wales, Bellingham, WA, 98226
Plain and simple: Selling off rights to the Internet is a violation of our right to Free Speech. We will sue for this right if you deny us Net Neutrality. You are there to protect our rights, not try to abridge them. Please do your real job and stop undermining our rights.
3435. Shane Neph, Snohomish, WA, 98290
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Do not step on our individual liberties.
3436. Frank K Williston, Everett, WA, 98208
Net neutrality allows smaller businesses, startups and cottage industries to have the same access to customers as larger companies who can pay and make agreements with service providers. Don't turn the internet into another tool of big business to control the market and competition.
3437. Andrew Howe, Snohomish, WA, 98296
The loss of net neutrality would be one of the worst things that could happen. Without it smaller start ups could fail not because they weren't good but simply because the ISP's don't like them, or their competitors had more money to spend than they did.
3438. Vanessa Richie, Ferndale, WA, 98248
As a freelance technical writer, Net Neutrality is essential for my work. I need to be able to conduct research and analysis to complete projects and jobs. The majority of the sites I use will not be able to pay for a fast lane, which will adversely affect my

ability to complete work. The airline industry is one of the most detested in the country, and losing Net Neutrality will take the Internet in the same direction. Losing it takes away from all Americans without providing any service benefits. It would be like demolishing part of a house and charging more for the smaller portion of the house. The point of the US government is not to benefit the few, but the many. Clearly the discussions of getting rid of Net Neutrality only benefit a few and harming the vast majority. It severely hinders a free market over nearly every single industry to enrich a few companies, which is completely against capitalism.

3439. Chelsea Smith, Bothell, WA, 98011

Protect net neutrality, stop Internet and wireless companies from throttling and capping what is already the slowest Internet in the industrialized world, and work to bring broadband to rural areas. Our lives and economy depend on these.

3440. William Smoke, Bothell, WA, 98011

Net neutrality is one of the defining characteristics of a free and open modern society. Groups from cancer research institutions to schools depend on this openness every single day. Please don't allow our internet to go the way of China's.

3441. Matthew Charles Gauthier, Redmond, WA, 98052

I think having Internet available to everyone in US should be a basic right, just like water, electricity, and telephone. It should be affordable and high quality (i.e. fast.) The Internet is how we communicate, learn, shop and interact with the world. I pay for Internet access. My fee should be enough to run the service plus a small profit. Letting ISPs charge services to get bits to my house seems like double dipping. Letting ISPs setup fast and slow lanes is just another way of saying they'll charge more and services not willing to pay higher fees won't be able to compete. My fee should cover every byte of data, no matter what kind it is (video, text, pictures, data what have you.) I am o.k. with ISP charging for usage... just like electricity or water. The more I use the more I pay. Heck, there could even be peak charges like electricity. But the industry needs more regulation not less. It's a total disaster right now; people are paying way too much for crummy, slow service. And now the ISPs want to sell my data too, ugh.

3442. Sean Hewitt, Woodinville, WA, 98072

What are you people even doing? You are taking this in the wrong direction. The internet is a necessity of modern life. Almost everything I do now involves an internet connection, including this. You shouldn't be figuring out more ways to change for this. You should be making it a public utility to make sure all Americans have access to fast affordable internet. At what point did you sell your soul for a campaign donation and stop working in the interest of the American public?

3443. Brian Self, Mount Vernon, WA, 98274

Killing net neutrality is a Monopoly handout to ISP corporations. This country already has an ISP Monopoly in every neighborhood. This will make it worse and allow them to charge more for providing less. Where are you going to go if you disagree with them overcharging? Nowhere, there is no other company to go to.

Please don't allow corporations to buy a tool that has become integrated into our society so tightly, that without it a citizen is crippled compared to other citizens who do have access. This will just allow further division of the rich and the poor.

3444. Will Jones, Bothell, WA, 98021

Although at this point I cannot expect that these comments will sway the FCC, I remain convinced that I must add my voice to the chorus. Net Neutrality is a proven and fundamental truth of the Internet, and defending it should be one of the FCC's major charters. I strongly condemn the changes proposed by Chairman Ajit Pai and urge the FCC to re-evaluate their plan to revert Title II protection.

3445. Todd Thorson, Duvall, WA, 98019

Please enable your brain. Removing Net Centrality will hurt consumers and give the power to cable and ISPs. Ajit Pai doesn't have a clue and has pockets lined with money from the cable industry.

3446. Darin Hoover, Redmond, WA, 98052

I am in opposition of removing the Title II classification from internet service providers. I am in favor of net neutrality based on my current understanding of its costs and benefits.

3447. Ian Burns, Snohomish, WA, 98290

It is outrageous that this proposal is entitled 'Restoring Internet Freedom,' because it does exactly the opposite (unless it refers to a freedom for ISPs to exploit Internet users). This rule is the very embodiment of greed, and will only serve a small number of massive corporations that are already reaping obscene profits from the public. Net neutrality is vital to small business growth and personal freedom in the 21st century, Title II classification is necessary to prevent loophole exploitation by monolithic ISPs to pad profit margins. If this regulation is removed or weakened, consumers will be faced with decreased privacy, increased prices, and less freedom of choice for content consumption. Net neutrality is about freedom, and this proceeding is about greed. Listen to the people.

3448. Wing L Mui, Redmond, WA, 98052

Please do not kill net neutrality a functioning democracy depends on it. I mean unless you're already being paid to ignore the fact that we're supposed to be a functioning democracy then I guess just do what your dark masters tell you to do.

3449. nicholas johnson, Monroe, WA, 98272

It is of vital importance to the freedom of information that companies nor agencies are allowed to dictate or decide speeds available based on how much people can pay. Small business and individuals will be the ones to suffer most. The people who the FCC IS MEANT TO BE PROVIDING protections for. Please keep our net freely flowing and do not allow big money to determine what we can connect to and when

3450. Colby Fulton, Blaine, WA, 98230

Net neutrality is best. Don't sell out a national resource like the Internet to private

businesses. All our roads and sidewalks are used freely and so should the Internet. Thank you.

3451. Alex Kearns-Twitchell, Bothell, WA, 98028
SAVE net neutrality. SAVE IT.

3452. Kate Sprague, Redmond, WA, 98053
I am a generally open minded person and am willing to change my opinion should a better counter argument be made. However, I haven't encountered one yet to be against net neutrality. The internet is a place for a free exchange of ideas, even if those ideas are occasionally controversial their transmission must not be bottlenecked. Keep this particular status quo please.

3453. Zach Roberts, Bothell, WA, 98021
Please preserve net neutrality. I rely on stable and reliable internet for both my professional and personal life. Net neutrality allows all internet content, whether it be provided from businesses, government, institutions, or consumers, to be delivered without filters or limitations. This is the way the internet should be and I would hate to see our society succumb to an ISP-dominated internet where the people have no say and the cable companies have all the power. Once ISPs have this power, there's no going back and it will forever affect our future technological development. Favor net neutrality so that the internet can remain a viable and affordable resource for generations to come.

3454. Michael Atlee, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Ending net neutrality takes the choice of where the consumer wants to go out of the hands of the market. Net neutrality protects the consumer from ISP's pushing their own agenda and acting with a conflict of interest. To give them control of both the data stream, and also allow them to control who gets the fastest data turns them into a cartel. Please protect the small consumer and do not repeal net neutrality.

3455. Tyler Pierson, Pullman, WA, 97128
I am for Net Neutrality and a free flowing internet. Without it providers would throttle the web to manipulate users into viewing what they want you to see. Don't let this happen.

3456. Brendan Reeves, Kirkland, WA, 98034
The internet must remain neutral in directing information to sites. No site must gain an upper hand or lose ground due to not paying for an internet fast lane or whatever term is cooked up by marketing.

3457. Mark Terrano, Redmond, WA, 98052
As an independent developer of internet games I am concerned that removal of the net neutrality protections will harm my ability to reach customers and provide entertainment competitively. People in most areas don't have a choice of ISPs and leaving traffic prioritization up to companies that have clearly shown an unrelenting focus on the bottom line and not on customer needs, support or satisfaction is a

grave mistake. Retaining common carrier protections will allow the FCC to protect privacy and protect consumers from capricious and untrustworthy ISPs. I urge you not to remove the common carrier status from internet providers.

3458. John Washington, Kirkland, WA, 98033

This action serves only to enrich and entrench the internet service providers it disingenuously mislabels. The concern is obvious, we live in a nation without choice for internet access (like Railroad in the Guilded Age). Like the robber-barons of that age, we have those who seek to empower and enrich themselves in direct attack on the concept of a "free market". As we saw then, crony capitalism creates inefficient infrastructure propped up on monopolies and kick backs. Network effects mean that any and all trends in the telecommunications industry converge to fewer and fewer players. We need regulations to keep the door open for new businesses, not building playgrounds for protection rackets. As these companies have already claimed to be Common Carriers I urge the Commission to take them at the word of their legal filings and keep them regulated as such. Packets should be common goods, carried at a common rate, with a common priority.

3459. Dylan Scott Grafmyre, Everson, WA, 98247

I have come to understand the concerns that net neutrality only addresses the symptoms not the cause, but I urge you PLEASE PROTECT the Internet we all need by requiring all Internet service providers comply with net-neutrality as a regulation and back it with Title II, this case was obvious-enough to the committee that founded the FCC, to found the FCC to instate and protect it SO PLEASE DO. My life, freedom, career; and the life freedom and careers of everyone I know would be disastrously impacted by continued data caps and other information oppression brought on by poorly-incentivized ISP monopolies and oligarchies that have formed and stomped out real competition to foster their investors income. Honestly and Frankly, I am embarrassed that the best Internet link I can pay for is vastly outclassed by a single poor-mans cat5 cable for ten years ago, boasting capacity orders of magnitude better than the best link I can pay for. I'm embarrassed that mailing hard drives is real competitor to data service. What is going on, seriously.

3460. Angelina Fogle, Blaine, WA, 98230

Keep the internet free! Please support title 2, thank you.

3461. Shea Robinson, Seattle, WA, 98034

I work in the tech industry and plan to start my own business. If Net Neutrality is not protected, I will not start a business... Not in the US anyway. The barrier to entry for new small businesses will be so much higher when ISPs have power to control the content on the internet.

3462. Ron Critchfield, Woodinville, WA, 98077

I am very much against the recent FCC plans to deregulate broadband service and roll back net neutrality rules. There is a reason that companies such as Comcast and Times/Warner have such low customer satisfaction surveys. Because they have consistently shown that customer service is a much lower priority than profits.

Statements by executives claiming that the majority of customers are satisfied with their bandwidth/caps when independent surveys have shown this to be not true only underline the need for FCC oversight. I consider the current FCC plans to deregulate broadband service and roll back net neutrality rules to be nothing more than payback to the corporations who so generously fund Republicans.

3463. Jeremy Croy, Redmond, WA, 98052

The Net should be Neutral, I already pay for a fastlane, I don't need my ISP gating content. If there are Fast lanes, then all of my content will be in the slow lane.

3464. Jordan Carroll, Kenmore, WA, 98028

I strongly support Net Neutrality, as should you. It's the fundamental principle behind why the internet has been able to become the important tool it has: no access bias. If private corporations are able to throttle traffic to serve their own interests, competition will almost certainly be stifled. It's concerning that this is even being considered, but here we are. I implore everyone involved to consider the long-term ramifications of this decision and vote overwhelmingly in favor of Net Neutrality.

3465. Kyle Nelson, Monroe, WA, 98272

Please do not kill net neutrality. If this does end up happening, I will be forced to cancel all of my internet subscriptions because that is the only way to show that I am not complicit in my country acting like China and killing internet freedoms. This is the USA where companies shouldn't control our access to information, everything should be available to everyone without any restrictions or manipulations.

3466. Jeff Lowery and Susan Schreyer, Monroe, WA, 98272

The internet is vital for the free exchange of ideas and culture. As soon as walled gardens are put up, the value of it diminishes for everyone. The average American citizen is not a resource from which every last dollar should be extracted.

3467. Alex Dexter, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258

Keep NN as is. Do not make changes. It's fine as it is. If you want to tinker make it tougher for ISPs to sell information or to block competition... not easier.

3468. Joshua O'Madadhain, Kirkland, WA, 98033

The existing policies supporting net neutrality, introduced in 2014, should stand. There is no new information that suggests that those policies are not having their desired effects, or are having anything other than good effects on the culture and citizens of the Internet. Both my job and my personal side projects--each of which relates to software engineering--depend on an open Internet, which the current policies help to preserve, and the proposed policies would jeopardize. The FCC should reject this politically motivated proposal to change these policies; they benefit only the largest existing players, and will stifle innovation and reduce customer choice. Please keep the existing Title II protections in place.

3469. Frank Sands, Bellingham, WA, 98226

I believe that the net neutrality laws should stay in place. We need freedom to use

the internet how we want without large companies dictating where we go.

3470. Douglas Hubbard, Everett, WA, 98208

The removal of the current net neutrality provisions would be a grave disservice to the public at large by allowing misuse and abuse of the internet by those who carry traffic. Those companies should not be able to put other companies they own at an advantage simply due to being the (sometimes) only choice a consumer has for internet traffic. Please leave the existing rules in place

3471. James Fletcher, Kirkland, WA, 98033

As someone who works in software development and has seen the transformative power of the internet first hand, I am strongly against the repeal of the Title II protections of net neutrality. An open internet allows for the possibility that even one person can grow the kinds of disruptive innovations that have driven technology for the last twenty years. Allowing for carriers to control the degrees of access means also allowing them to pick winners and losers (including themselves) rather than letting the markets and the consumers have a true chance to make those choices for themselves. A market where only big, established players can feasibly win is not a market.

3472. Lindsey LaMont, Woodinville, WA, 98072

Internet is a place to learn, to laugh, and to educate. Please don't make it about money and brands. KEEP THE INTERNET NEUTRAL!

3473. Daniel Burgoyne, Redmond, WA, 98052

Repealing net neutrality will severely damage the free flow of information that has made the Internet among the most impressive technological marvels in the history of man. Repealing net neutrality will lead ISPs to throttle content from their competitors and from content providers who don't pay the fees they demand, resulting in extortion practices that will ultimately crush small ISPs and result in a corrupt monopoly that controls what content can be consumed by whom. That is not the America I want to live in and it is not the America my children will want to live in. I am a professional computer scientist and I make these comments from an informed perspective.

3474. Leda Buller, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Protecting Net Neutrality is critical to our Democracy and fairness of access for everyone to the Internet which permeates the entirety of our society today.

3475. David L. Dawes, Heather Dawes, Carina Dawes, Ben Dawes, Kenmore, WA, 98028

Please do not end net neutrality. The "internet freedom" is anything but free, as the ISPs (who provide lousy service and are protected by government created duopolies and are completely insulated from effective competition and thus overcharge horribly for cheap crappy service) are now turning their government guaranteed excess funds into lobbying tools to turn around and gouge content providers as well. The additional funds will of course be used to manipulate the government into improving their business models and making competition impossible. None of it will

be targeted to improving networks. In fact, by eliminating low end service providers (their business models simply do not work if they have to pay ISPs) they will decrease traffic and allow the ISPs to wait even longer before bothering to invest in infrastructure. Good for the ISPs, but bad for service providers and consumers in the US. As a software engineering professional, it is now easier and safer to do my development in Japan or Korea; in the US we have a severe disadvantage due to profiteering ISPs who charge many times more than Japanese and Korean ISPs while providing only a fraction of the bandwidth available for far less overseas. We're already not competitive using home access via ISPs, now you propose to make the US markets non-competitive for service providers. When we create services over the internet we are not stupid about it. You are forcing us to move to overseas first models, proving our tech and marketing works when we don't have to be extorted by ISPs for access to our customers, then we will consider providing the service to the US market. For consumer applications, many services will simply not be worth providing to the US due to the ISP fixing the government to require massive additional funds from service providers to ISPs for the privilege of using the bandwidth the customers already paid for. So appeasing the ISPs, freeing them to extort content provider funds or choke off access to customers, simply forces me to create applications and services for Europe and Asia. Sadly enough, the pro-monopoly/extortion approach favored by the ISPs will damage our industries and force technical leadership overseas.

3476. Warren Burch, Redmond, WA, 98053

A free and open internet is essential to innovation and progress. This can only be assured by treating this as an essential utility. No ISP should have a say in what traffic is carried.

3477. Amy Bellamy, Duvall, WA, 98019

Net neutrality is required for a better economy, more opportunities for small and new businesses, and for a baseline equality for all Americans.

3478. Marek Moreno, Redmond, WA, 98052

The internet has been a beautiful place where people can express and educate themselves, connect with one another, and contribute to our economy through innovative business. This democratization of information and ideas has done countless good the world over, and the rules of the internet should still be set up to benefit the common person first and foremost. Net neutrality is one of the principals which allows the individual to pursue their dreams and desires undeterred by corporate interests or the interests of any other political body. "Restoring Internet Freedom" is a misnomer, a proposal which rails against the free landscape of the internet which has done so much good. This proposal, and other ones that have come before and will undoubtedly come after, seek to rob power from the individual and regulate bias as law, motions that will not stand in the face of anyone who values a free internet. I oppose RIF and any such proposal which seeks to destroy the internet as we have known it thus far. I will continue to support a free and neutral internet free of such regulations.

3479. Dupler, joey, woodinville, WA, 98077
I strongly oppose the proposed repeal of net neutrality rules. My opposition is based on many factors not least of which is the harm changing the way ISPs are regulated will do to our economy. By reclassifying ISPs and allowing them to throttle access at will, smaller companies will be at a disadvantage to compete on online markets. I believe changing these regulations will serve only to line the pockets of a few large telecommunications companies at the expense of the American voters and business and create not only economic monopolies but usher in a new type of information monopoly. To reiterate my position I stand in strong opposition to the weakening of net neutrality regulations or an reclassification that will allow blocking, throttling, bottlenecking, or any form of paid prioritization.
3480. Manish Sheth, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Please maintain net neutrality. It is the best tool to maintain US competitive advantage over the rest of the world.
3481. Jacob Robertson, Redmond, WA, 98052
I support both retaining and especially enforcing strong net neutrality rules.
3482. Daniel Jost, Redmond, WA, 98052
I grew up with an open Internet. The ability to explore any of my interests on a whim allowed me self-educate and grow my perspectives. I am now employed in the software industry and I know the value of an open Internet is more important and more at-risk than ever before in the United States. We need to be a bastion for free speech and not allow the profit-driven corporations dictate what we can and cannot see.
3483. Colin Zink, redmond, WA, 98053
I believe that net neutrality is incredibly important to keeping the internet usable to everyone and needs to be put on the books.
3484. Jennifer Lehmann, Everett, WA, 98208
Net neutrality is vital and taking it away is just another giant step backwards in terms of progress. To allow corporations to dictate how we utilize our internet services is disgusting. DO NOT TAKE NET NEUTRALITY AWAY.
3485. Corey B, Kirkland, WA, 98034
In today's world the internet is a commodity. There should be no ability to pick and choose who gets what content and at what speeds. This would be akin to your local utility company saying your reason for wanting water isn't good enough so you get a lower flow this week, or a power company saying your use of electricity is powering a tool that we don't like as much so I'm going to reduce your wattage. The bill as written favors the industry and hurts consumers - it is that simple.
3486. Ted, Kirkland, WA, 98033
The Internet IS A UTILITY that MUST REMAIN NEUTRAL to serve ALL citizens, not disproportionately for the benefit of corporations or their shareholders.

Allowing internet service provider corporations to charge bandwidth fees for selected websites will have the effect of restricting access to independent news and other media information that interferes with the ability for citizens to be informed. Corporate control of the information we citizens currently receive in the main-stream media, has for many years increasingly suppressed information such as Climate Science, Voter Suppression, Corporate Crime, Environmental Issues, and many other subjects since the Fairness Doctrine regulation was ended under the Regan administration. Virtually every argument against net neutrality has been made by corporate profiteers and agencies they pay to argue their case (e.g., American Enterprise Institute, Heritage Institute) that strongly desire to control access to information by our citizenry. Maintaining Net Neutrality Is Essential to Protecting Our Citizens And Our Democracy.

3487. David B. Brown, Snohomish, WA, 98290

I strongly support net neutrality. Everyone, individual or corporation, must have equal access to the Internet. Those who do not have the financial means to get priority service must the same service as those that do have the financial means.

3488. Max Leason, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Existing FCC regulations that protect the internet under title 2 are essential to preserving the internet as we know it today. Undoing these important regulations would allow ISPs to use pay to play schemes against websites and allow throttling against those websites who don't pay (e.g. Netflix being throttled Comcast). These practices (which ISPs have already used in the past) would limit the free market of the internet and reduce competition which hurts the consumer.

3489. Landen Robinson, Redmond, WA, 98052

I support Title-II and wish for Net Neutrality to remain so that we, the people of the United States of America, can use the Internet however we choose.

3490. Marc Sharrow, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273

I tend to vote conservative and believe in limiting government whenever possible. It has been proven time and again that a free market with competition and limited government intervention almost always is more efficient and best for consumers. However, free markets only work the way they should when there is competition, and currently there is virtually none when it comes to online access. Most people in this country have access to one internet provider that can provide true broadband speeds, and that one provider is and acts like a monopoly. It has been proven time and again that monopolies have very little incentive to innovate, provide a quality service at a competitive price. On the contrary. Until there is true competition ISPs should be subject to strict government control like any other monopoly, including being forced to adhere to net neutrality. Without it, ISPs can and will charge content providers for better access which will make it harder for startups to compete (thus limiting innovation), and providers that do pay for better access will pass the cost on to their customers (which is bad for consumers). We need net neutrality until there is true competition for internet access. I don't see that happening anytime soon.

3491. Jonathan Russell, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273
I support retaining * AND ENFORCING * strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. To do otherwise runs the risk of stifling innovation and paves the way for monopolies in the future, monopolies that may run counter to current interests. Consider this before committing to such a reckless action.
3492. Nathan B Johnson, Ferndale, WA, 98248
Net neutrality is highly important to me, and it seems like my opinion is in line with the majority of Americans. Our voices should be listened to. Unfortunately, it seems like certain special interests have corrupted our system.
3493. Tyler Preston, Kirkland, WA, 98034
This is literally like taking away free speech if you don't have the money to pay for it. Take a look at yourself for a minute. Do you really need more money from us?
3494. Reva , Redmond, WA, 98053
In this age of technology, Net Neutrality goes hand in hand with our first amendment rights. To jeopardize that is unconstitutional and goes against everything the USA stands for.
3495. Kristen Philistine, Woodinville, WA, 98072
It reduces the right to freedom in the US by companies controlling what material we can view on the internet that we have the right to know.
3496. Abby , Snohomish, WA, 98296
I don't need big internet companies censoring my information for their own profit.
3497. Mary Kissinger, Everett, WA, 98208
Net Neutrality is important for everyone. It shouldn't be just the corporations with loads of money making decisions about the net. It should continue to be for everyone. Taking away Net Neutrality is a travesty. Save Net Neutrality!
3498. Tina McDonald, Lynden, WA, 98264
It allows me to keep in contact with other employee's and managers. Also allows me to talk to those that might be overseas, and to friends that I cannot see face-to-face.
3499. Chris , Kirkland, WA, 98034
less govt control over things is important to me considering how few other things that need attention don't get it.
3500. Kimberly Nguyen, Bothell, WA, 98011
because you can easily take away freedom of speech - one of our most important amendments!
3501. Madisyn Gardner, Snohomish, WA, 98296
Net Neutrality prevents higher, big corporate companies (ex; xfinity, century link) from lording over the Internet and making smaller websites suffer. It is unfair and

impacts every internet user. There are thousands of valuable, small websites that would be devastated and destroyed by the killing of net neutrality. Phone and internet companies may be fair in the beginning but their avarice for money will eventually prevail. Destroying net neutrality only helps those at the top and harms the rest of us.

3502. Lucas Beaver, Woodinville, WA, 98077
You are putting America below the global standard. You will not escape reprisal for this.
3503. Apollo , Kenmore, WA, 98028
Im poor and cant afford to pay more to use the sites i like
3504. Kay ranta, Sedro Woolley, WA, 98284
Do not end
3505. , Snohomish, WA, 98290
The internet is a form of speech. Killing net neutrality is restricting our first amendment right as Americans to free speech. Regardless of political party, almost everyone uses the internet. People will go ballistic if their internet is restricted. Companies may pay for campaigns, but people still vote you all in and OUT of office. If the internet is restricted, you can bet the general public will vote anyone for voted for it out of office.
3506. Angela Anderson, Snohomish, WA, 98296
If the internet is no longer a safe place for free exchange of ideas, what next?
3507. Slawomir Porowski, Woodinville, WA, 98072
Let's not have "equal" and "more equal", like in "Animal Farm"!
3508. Josanne Lovick, Point Robert's, WA, 98281
It is essential that we have this stay.
3509. Beth Thorpe, gold bar, WA, 98251
WE ALREADY PETITIONED FOR THIS! And succeeded! Stop undoing our democracy!!!
3510. Adam , Carnation, WA, 98014
Seriously! Aren't we looking to progress and thrive as a collective supportive whole!? Stop this madness please!
3511. Dan Wilson, Kirkland, WA, 98034
Common Carrier is a basic building block of free enterprise. Once broadcast became two way communication, the bylaws should have immediately fallen under common carrier rules, just as our telephone and mail systems. I fear the power of massive companies to abuse their ill gained powers similar to ISP providers stealing [bribing Republicans] the right to sell our personal data.

3512. Karen Howard, Blaine, WA, 98230
There is no theory under which this could be acceptable. It has to do with greed and getting paid to invade my privacy.
3513. Corinne Kotter, Ferndale, WA, 98248
I view any changes to limit net neutrality as a power grab by corporations and as detrimental to technological advancement, culture, and education. I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality under the FCC's existing rules and keep broadband internet access classified under Title II.
3514. Jonah Blevins, Bellingham, WA, 98225
Free flowing internet sites should be a right that all companies have. Using money and power to put your own message above the rest is something we have to deal with in almost every aspect of life. The internet should NOT go down the same path! Please keep CURRENT regulations in PLACE. Thank you for your time.
3515. N Rocchio, Bothell, WA, 98012
In regards to proceeding 17-108, the Restoring Internet Freedom Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, I have serious concerns in allowing Common Carriers to revert to Title I. During the course from 1996 to 2014, in the FCC record, growth of internet and information markets had grown, as it should have. It has also reached the point at which rules designed to protect the consumer could not be legally enforced, allowing larger companies to enforce monopolies and proceed in unethical acts which, when brought to a court's attention, warranted the need for regulation. Since the enshrinement of section 706, confidence in the FCC's protective status has increased. We seek to remove that status under the guise that the free-market will provide the same protections to consumers. That same market has produced natural monopolies that no small to medium business can crack. In Chairman Pai's argument, referencing "2016 Broadband Capex Survey: Tracking Investment in the Title II Era", he points out that multiple companies have been negatively affected by the reversion. However, only one carrier, AT&T, posted a significant loss due to the possible conversion. The other three are multimedia companies that rely on other revenue streams that have lost significant market share due to a possible change in the marketplace. Additionally, it is the only source used to determine that Title II is negatively affecting large common carriers, aside from letters written directly to Mr. Pai with no public avenue for review, unless FOIA is invoked. Mr. O'Rielly has stated the need for a cost-benefit analysis in the comparison of Title I vs Title II regulation. I agree with that statement. Unfortunately, no additional analyses have been presented. Even Chairman Pai requested a minimum of 10 studies prior to enactment of the 2014 Open Internet NPRM. Prior to any change in this law, we should at least see the commission of a non-partisan study for economics. Mr. O'Rielly should dissent until such analyses are provided. I am still reading the pages of the notice to create a more coherent argument for each line item, but have not personally scrubbed the entire document for all support or at least discussion of the points made. A recommendation for future filings would be to allow public input on each line item vice posting a singular item that makes this such a contentious issue.

There are points that need to be addressed, but this notice is too broad for anyone to support. I recommend voting against this overly broad rule.

3516. Ian Cox, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net neutrality absolutely needs to remain intact. Allowing ISPs to double dip on charging for traffic coming and going is simply ridiculous, and it's doubly so as millions of Americans suffer from few real choices when determining ISPs, leaving both consumers and service providers without any ability to seek a better deal.

3517. Clark Morse, Bothell, WA, 98021

Net neutrality is very important to maintaining the competitive nature of the internet and is one of the primary reasons that so much innovation has occurred online. Please do not roll back these important protections - the internet should be treated as a utility because that's what it is. This model should remain in place to ensure a fair and innovative internet.

3518. D. Miller, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net neutrality is an important hallmark of our Internet and accords with fundamental principles of free speech and expression in our Constitution. Among other things, it allows the best ideas and entrepreneurship to flourish, without infrastructure companies treating some customers favorably and others unfavorably based their own prejudices. When I purchase internet service from my ISP, I'm expecting my data to be transported at agreed-upon speeds and volumes for an agreed-upon price. The lawful sites and services I choose to access using that connection, do not and should not have any direct bearing on my ISP, and should not affect my ability to access or price I pay; nor the price that the parties I'm communicating with pay. Net neutrality is what ensures this. Please continue to uphold net neutrality.

3519. Jeff Stelzner, Redmond, WA, 98052

Net neutrality is important to me as an individual and a consumer. I run personal cloud services in my home office to ensure data privacy and integrity - if corporate/commercial interests obtain favored access to bandwidth and peering, it impacts my ability to use common Internet service to address my needs. When I purchase Internet service [from Frontier in my case], it is solely for connectivity, i.e. core telecommunications services, not for any other services, since I use none of the poor-quality email / web offerings from my ISP. That being said, it is not easy to switch ISPs as most impose startup / installation fees and time-limited promotional pricing that makes it very expensive in the long term to switch. I need the FCC to impose oversight on ISP carriers to ensure that consumers are treated as valued customers, not victims. At this point, Internet access is required to be an effective consumer, citizen, and business operator. Please ensure that the existing neutrality rules remain in force, and the the FCC acts in the best interest of US citizens by continuing its oversight of these telecommunications services. Thanks - Jeff

3520. Thobias Jones, Kirkland, WA, 98033

This issue shouldn't even be under debate. Net Neutrality increases competition and is a benefit to consumers.

3521. Kenneth Kou, Blaine, WA, 98230
Please, please, please, do not approve of this new rule. The internet needs to maintain free and neutral, and this proposal would greatly impact a neutral internet.
3522. Evelyn Edeen, Lake Stevens, WA, 98258
Access to the internet for all.
3523. Brian Edge, Blaine, WA, 98230
I support Title II classification for internet service providers. Not having Title II will be a disservice to the customer and to the public. It will stifle smaller companies and startups who bring innovation and new ideas to the world and to the economy while allowing the big monopolies even more control. If ISPs say Title II will stifle their growth and jobs then they do not know how to grow or innovate. I support Title II because it keeps freedom of choice for end user and consumer, no one should be forced into using only the ISPs own streaming product over a competitor due to the ISP charging for access to the competitor. Do not remove Title II. Keep Title II in place.
3524. Mathew Mooty, Bothell, WA, 98011
I am against enacting "Restoring Internet Freedom". I support net neutrality and I don't think that "Restoring Internet Freedom" will help net neutrality. I think that it will lead to monopolistic practices by data providers like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon. I think that if this is enacted, those companies (and others) will be able to force other companies to pay them a "ransom" in order to keep having a fast internet connection, and they will be able to create "tiered" internet for consumers, where I would have to pay more money in order to get to less "favorable" sites. I think that net neutrality is part of what makes the internet great, and part of what makes America great. Please do not enact "Restoring Internet Freedom".
3525. Kenn Christianson, Bothell, WA, 98011
Please keep the internet open, fair and neutral. There are many access points to the internet at many levels: from the millions of individual consumers to an ISPs, each ISPs to one or more internet backbones, interconnections between backbones, and every web site, from the small blog to the large like Facebooks, Netflix, etc.. all are located in data centers where part of their charges includes network usages. Any usage cost can be balanced across existing charge points. There is no need to add an extra fee structure to the Internet; there are more than enough already.
3526. Travis J Martin, Redmond, WA, 98053
I support Title II designation of ISPs. All the advertisements I receive from Comcast primarily reference their internet service, which is just a simple common carrier transport of bytes. I feel it is obvious that congress intended basic communications services like broadband internet to fall under Title II. Until we have very meaningful competition in the wired broadband internet market across all segments of the population, Network Neutrality MUST be enforced otherwise our single providers will do their best to lock us in to their bundled services. Repealing Network Neutrality is obviously bad for consumers. It's also clear that broadband internet

providers will continue to invest in infrastructure. Sorry if I previously commented on this subject, it was months ago and I can't remember if I got all my points in...

3527. William Pearson, Redmond, WA, 98052

I strongly support net neutrality as it currently exists. All traffic should be treated equally, as it's just data - the sole purpose of an ISP should be to act as a utility to transfer that data, and different data shouldn't be slowed down simply due to the source or destination.

3528. matthew stanley, Bothell, WA, 98011

I want my internet neutral and free! I support title 2!

3529. Jody Nace, Ferndale, WA, 98248

I'm writing to express concern that the protections that are in place to preserve net neutrality are at risk of being weakened by changing the way that these protections are enforced. The rules that the Commission adopted in November of 2014 (no blocking, no throttling, no paid prioritization, and transparency) are crucial to keeping the internet free and equal for all users, fostering constructive exchanges of information and promoting a climate that encourages new business growth and expansion-helping both our economy and employing Americans. In answer to some of the questions in paragraph 36; Broadband transmission has been marketed as access to the whole of the global network. It is sold at different prices based on the speed that the provider is allowing the user to upload and download data. The service being provided by the ISP is simply access to the internet. I do not use my ISP's homepage, e-mail, or any other aspect other than this access. I view these complementary services as gratuitous perks that are thrown in as an afterthought but poorly made and implemented. That is why I use my ISP to gain access to transmit but do not use any of their services. Allowing protections to relax could permit ISPs to force or encourage users to switch to the ISP's services limiting competition and innovation. I would support a new regulation method if it guarantees the same or better protections to the American consumers, But I cannot support any regulations that allow us to lose these protections.

3530. Carl Wilber, Sultan, WA, 98294

Title 2 needs to be kept in place for ISP's to insure the internet access is not infringed on by ISP's. ISP have shown a willingness to throttle and degrade service in the past and will do it again if freed from title 2.

3531. Nicholas Ver Hoeve, Redmond, WA, 98052

Although I am indifferent about some of title II as it applies to the internet, some form of net neutrality enforcement MUST exist. The internet is to follow the "dumb pipe principle", which disallows any and all unnecessary discrimination of data. This is the apex of simplicity, and deviation from this model will be costly for everyone. Prioritization and suppression of particular data types, particular technologies, data to/from particular companies or individuals... all of this data must be treated blindly by routing technology. This is crucially important for both economic reasons and for technical reasons (the internet scales poorly with uncoordinated ISP

boosting/throttling schemes). Please ensure that some mechanism, be it legislation or regulation is present to guarantee that all ISPs must avoid data discrimination and instead follow the "dumb pipe" principle.

3532. J.B.Stiglitz, Bellingham, WA, 98226

I believe the Internet, like the airwaves, should be free.

3533. Lisa Holling, Kirkland, WA, 98033

I strongly oppose the repeal of the Title II designation for the internet. This is not heavy handed regulation by the government, and it is not stifling investment. The ISP industry is pulling in record profits and is not in any way unduly restricted by the imaginary narrative which their lobbyists and cronies like Ajit Pai have concocted. These are consumer protections establishing what the entire world has agreed- net neutrality is a critical factor in supporting innovation and freedom of speech. The opposition to this rule change is extremely clear, and going against the will of the people here to support the corporate interest is a perversion of the fundamental charter of the FCC. Anyone supporting this measure should be ashamed of themselves, if they weren't bots submitting carbon copy comments using deceased people's addresses. Shame on you Ajit Pai, I hope you end up in jail for the lies and fraud you've committed.

3534. Jack Chan, Snohomish, WA, 98296

Internet access is very important, and being able to have an open internet is key. If we allow the ISPs to have different service levels depending on other influencers, this would be the downfall of the open internet. It also puts out a lot of small companies who then cannot compete because they don't have the heft that the ISPs are throwing around.

3535. Tawn LaLonde, Ferndale, WA, 98248

It is regretful that the FCC would even consider changing the current rules regarding net neutrality. The internet should be free and equal to all. Lowering standards should not even be an option. I don't want some ISP deciding what I can and can't access. It would be a stretch to say the speed I get is even close to what they say I will get up to. I live in rural WA I only get 1.6 mps, On a good day I can watch a video. My kid can't even do homework. So since when is listening to big companies more important than american citizens? I am ashamed for America letting big corporations convince the very agency that is supposed to protect us into changing what protection we have.

3536. Kyle Robert Keezer, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Net Neutrality with Title 2 in my opinion is good for the protection for us. Removing it and allowing the ISPs to go on an 'honor' system that they wont throttle back certain locations on the internet isn't a smart idea from my prospective. Small online businesses, even the bigger ones, need to be able to compete on an even playing field.

3537. laura neumann, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Protect the FCC's Open Internet Rules! I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.

3538. Erin M. Akers, Mill Creek, WA, 98012

I strongly support complete net neutrality. There needs to be title 2 oversight of ISP's!!!!

3539. Alpa Dave, Woodinville, WA, 98072

I strongly support net neutrality. Please do not remove Title II protections.

3540. Dana Sorton, bothell, WA, 98011

Yes. Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. Thank you.

3541. Diane Clayton`, Woodinville, WA, 98072

Dear FCC, If it ain't broke don't fix it! That means don't screw this up! Keep net neutrality. Keep Comcast and all other internet providers out of the open and free internet market place. You wouldn't give Comcast a chance to control the lanes on interstate 5 would you? Don't do it with the internet!Be non-partisan. Be my Hero!Diane

3542. Daniel P Pflager, Kirkland, WA, 98034

Having Ajit Pai as the commissioner of the FCC is an inherent conflict of interest due to his strong ties to Verizon. He should resign.Telecommunications cannot be trusted to regulate themselves as Worldcom and others famously demonstrated when

the industry was deregulated. The FCC should write more stringent regulations, with real penalties both to the corporation and its managers/executives, for violation of net neutrality, as it is a species of monopolistic exploitation of the public.

3543. Christian Bird, Redmond, WA, 98052

I support net neutrality and strongly oppose repealing it.

3544. Max Ashworth, Redmond, WA, 98052

Keep ISPs classified under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934! Net Neutrality should stay a requirement, not something ISPs get to opt in and out of when they feel like it. Please do the right thing!

3545. Thomas Svendsen, Kenmore, WA, 98028

To whom it may concern, Upholding current Net Neutrality infrastructure is in the best interest of the consumer. Allowing ISPs to control how information is transferred over the web is a conflict of interest and completely unacceptable. I am completely against repealing Net Neutrality as it is currently defined under FCC regulations. Best Regards, Thomas Svendsen

3546. Brian D Gawthrop, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Net neutrality is extremely important to the entire country. Please vote to keep it.

3547. Ryan A. Hill, Ferndale, WA, 98248

Dooba dooba dooba.

3548. Sriks, Bothell, WA, 98011

I support strong net neutrality regulations backed by Title-2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. Internet is as essential as a telephone and is a vital communication link in this age. I rely much more on my internet connection than on my Phone for my day to day activities. Therefore it should be regulated under Title-2.

3549. fadi khuri, Kirkland, WA, 98033

Preserve net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight. It's critical that we allow net neutrality.

3550. Darcy Mac, Mount Vernon, WA, 98273

Maintain net neutrality. It is not the place of the US Government to make deals with private companies and then implement that narrow-view to the public. It is not up to one individual to lead the US public down a dark path of control and service and price fixing. A step like this will contribute to the downgrading of innovative practices and hurt small businesses by limiting exchanges and add additional fees. This move would further constrain those Americans who have limited access to the world and further promote ignorance and isolation. This move would restrict online education at all levels of learning. I urge the FCC to use their logical minds and think of the individuals, the businesses and the ability to help this country and citizenry move forward and keep a semblance of decent communication.

3551. John Krumwiede, Kenmore, WA, 98028
Keep net neutrality. It affects our free speech.
3552. Jo Crocker, Kenmore, WA, 98028
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY. Ending net neutrality will disproportionately and adversely affect seniors and other low income, low mobility, and/or disabled Americans by making it difficult for them to gain information and make transactions they need for every day living and working.
3553. Julianne Bach, Monroe, WA, 98272
Keep the internet open and free. The United States government has an obligation to protect the rights of free access to information for all people regardless of social status, economic ability and race/creed. For a republic/democratic system to fully function, the populace needs access to information that is sourced by independent individuals and not just high-interest parties. The government maintains regulation to protect and serve the people from high-interest, controlling, financially backed businesses. Please maintain net neutrality.
3554. Connor Teal, Bothell, WA, 98021
I support net neutrality and I'm against the proposed changes to harm our internet by the fcc. The people of this country want net neutrality.
3555. Anna Kasprick, Bothell, WA, 98011
Do not rollback the current net neutrality regulations.
3556. Thomas C Gorordo, SNOHOMISH, WA, 98296
Don't fuck with the internet. A free information and communication network is key to advancement.

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used national language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. <https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9f0e3ed36a6>.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.