

Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from TX District #36

These are the 669 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Brian Babin's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. SB Stevenson, Houston, TX, 77059

Unlike our roadway system, which is almost entirely publicly funded and therefore open to all equally, the internet infrastructure is largely privately owned, particularly the last mile to the customer. The FCC quite properly wants to let businesses make their own decisions, without too much regulation. But in the case of Net Neutrality, business interests collide, between ISPs on one side and content creators on the other. We know how to solve this problem. We solved it with electricity, with gas, with land line telephone. The Internet needs a Public Utility business model for the last mile. Here in Houston, Texas, I can buy electricity from any of a number of different providers. The wires to my house are maintained by a Utility, who just move the power as efficiently as they can from the wind farm to my house. We need the same model for Internet access. My ISP should do nothing more than deliver bytes to me as fast as possible. It's up to me to decide where those bytes come from in the larger Internet. This Internet Utility would be prevented by law from even looking at the content of my network traffic except under strict circumstances. It wouldn't offer email accounts or cloud storage or other services, just bytes as fast as possible. Service Providers should not control the last mile to my house.

2. Robert Ketcham, Nassau Bay, TX, 77058

My Internet provider is essentially a monopoly. It is the cable company. Since the phone company is not investing the capital to upgrade the POTS copper to reach speeds faster than 3MBit in our area, we have only one choice for a

higher speed connection. I pay a premium for that connection. I expect the cable company to connect me with who I want, when I want, and at the speed I've paid for. I don't want it able to throttle my communications based on who owns what on the other end, or what protocol I'm using, or what service I'm connecting to. The current payment model which has me pay for my connection bandwidth (through to their connection to the backbone) should assure that I get the speed I pay for without them asking folks on the other end to enhance their revenues. That current payment model has had a significant benefit. It has allowed entrepreneurs a fair shake when starting new businesses (beneficial to our country). It should be kept in place to continue that trend. As best I can tell, the proposal benefits only a few companies. It is detrimental to both consumers and new businesses / entrepreneurs. And it is detrimental to current Internet services, except the local cable companies and the few peers they have providing local high speed services. It should not be put in place.

3. Kevin Bates, Orange, TX, 77632

Hello, I am writing today in favor of Net Neutrality rules put in place by the FCC in 2015. ISPs provide a vital service to the American people, a service that was once dominated by telephone infrastructure. As our country has progressed significantly into the 21st century, it is very important to identify what critical infrastructure services are required to keep the entire country moving forward to a better and brighter tomorrow. I am fully convinced that telecommunication services as provided by internet service providers have reached a new level of importance. Frankly, these services are no longer considered luxuries for active participation in today's society, but rather are a core service mandatory to a healthy and fully functioning populace. In many ways, our telecommunications services are like our highway road infrastructure. The roads exist, and anyone who has a working vehicle (that is up to date on registration), is allowed to access this infrastructure. Everyone has the same speed limits on the roads. Fast food companies can't pay more money so that people who are driving to their stores can go faster/cut in line/run red lights. Everyone understands these rules to be implicitly fair and for the good of everyone. Everyone has equal access, and equal support to get traffic to their locations based on the rules. Telecommunication services are just as vital to our current and future way of life. It is imperative that we protect and open and level playing fields; that Internet service providers do not get a hand in choosing who, "wins" and "loses" on the internet by being allowed to manipulate traffic to sites that they deem have higher or lower priority. Please keep Net Neutrality rules in place. It is important for our country's future growth. Thank you, Kevin Bates

4. Harold Owens III, Houston, TX, 77536

To whom it may concern, Net Neutrality is such a critical tool in our democracy and freedom of speech. We must keep it untouched. We must not restrict the internet. Major broadband companies do not have our best interests at heart.

Internet is as critical as water and electric in this day and age. Please keep it open and strengthen net neutrality. Thank you for your time.

5. Kenneth Aucoin, Seabrook, TX, 77586
Do you roll back or weaken Net Neutrality rules. Internet service providers (ISPs) should treat all data that travels over their networks fairly, without improper discrimination in favor of particular apps, sites or services. It is a principle that must be upheld to protect the future of our open Internet. A free and open Internet is essential for a free society.
6. Kenneth Aucoin, Seabrook, TX, 77586
Please do not roll back or weaken Net Neutrality rules. Internet service providers (ISPs) should treat all data that travels over their networks fairly, without improper discrimination in favor of particular apps, sites or services” is a principle that must be upheld to protect the future of our open Internet.
7. Payton Drewery, Crosby, TX, 77532
Net Neutrality is the cornerstone of innovation, free speech and democracy on the Internet. Do not give control of this public infrastructure to capitalists with only profit in mind. For the good of us all, we need control over the Internet to remain in the hands of the people who use it every day. Please stand with the public by protecting Net Neutrality once and for all.
8. Jake Dougan, Orange, TX, 77630
Net Neutrality must be regulated and protected by the Communications Act of 1934 Title I and Title II, or identical legislation. To do anything to weaken or erase these regulations would be outlandish and frankly idiotic.
9. Joshua Hipple, Orange, TX, 77632
In this day, when Internet connectivity has become so ubiquitous to daily life, we cannot allow corporate interests to capitalize on something that is arguably a necessity to life in our society. The government-endorsed monopolies have done nothing but allow Internet Service providers to get compacent in their service and raise prices for decreased quality. The major ISPs have shown no evidence that they, if unchecked, would operate in the best interest of their customers. Much in the way that other utilities needed to be regulated to prevent abuse of their customers, ISPs should be as well. This is not a restoration of Internet freedom. This is allowing corporations to hold internet freedom hostage for a ransom to be paid by its customers.
10. Timothy Sim, Houston, TX, 77062
I support net neutrality and Title II regulations! Do not let them be dissolved!
11. Joseph Marks, La Porte, TX, 77571

I support Net Neutrality and keeping ISP under Title II, Corporations need strict regulations.

12. Melanie Mallory, Seabrook, TX, 77586
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. PLEASE
13. Thunder Denton, Seabrook, TX, 77586
Please leave the ISPs classified as title 2! We need strong net neutrality!
14. stop, Baytown, TX, 77521
please stop messing with my internet
15. Jeffery Brents, Liberty, TX, 77575
Hello and thank you for seeking public comment on these proceedings. I support regulation of ISPs under Title II. Given the consolidation of ISPs and the recent announcements of streaming services offered by them as well as the cord cutting phenomenon, I do not believe that allowing an Internet Service Provider to self regulate will be beneficial to the American people especially given the limited choices most Americans have for their ISP. Limiting the regulations on an industry that has a virtual monopoly, and is developing their own services to directly compete with established Internet based companies and services, will not protect the American people and emerging businesses on the Internet. Thank you again for asking for public comment. Jeffery Brents
16. Keith Stephens, La Porte, TX, 77571
I am writing to let Mr. Ajit Pai know that I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. It is vital to our robust economy to allow the free market to work within the open internet, and not to let individual providers have access to abuse this fair trade. Thank you.
17. 17-105, Pasadena, TX, 77505
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 for a more fair internet
18. Peyton Simon, Vidor, TX, 77662
It's pretty upsetting that we are even doing this again. Nothing has changed in the last couple of years that would cause public opinion to waiver on this issue. We want Net Neutrality, full stop. You're not being sneaky, you're not being clever. We know there is corruption at the top with your boy Ajit Pai and we aren't pleased.
19. Justin Dees, Lumberton, TX, 77657
I strongly support strict net neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight over ISPs.
20. Tim Claude, Call, TX, 75933
Are we seriously having this fight again? No, we do not want corporations

policing our internet. No, we do not want only the rich and politically aligned sources to be more accessible than other sites. This is something people who identify as both liberal and conservative agree on. Corporations, keep your greedy hands off our internet access.

21. David McGeary, Deer Park, TX, 77536
I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title II. Please don't rob a digital culture of a free and open internet.
22. Rafael Deaquino, Dayton, TX, 77535
I support strong enforcement of net neutrality rules.
23. toohey, orange, TX, 77632
I wish to urge the FCC to maintain strict protection of net neutrality laws so that the individual liberties of every american are not infringed upon. Failure to protect an open and free internet would be a disservice to the citizens of this country, as well as an outright attack on the very principles we were founded upon. In order to maintain said internet openness, it is essential to uphold the Title Two status of Internet Service Provider's (ISP's). Many of these companies have shown monopolistic and greedy tendencies through previous business dealings in industries such as telecommunications, television, and internet provision in rural areas. The internet is the single greatest invention of the modern era and corporations cannot be allowed to control it for personal gain.
24. Melanie Garcia, Livingston, TX, 77351
I support net neutrality rules via section 2 to all Internet proceedings. please do not make it any more difficult to find the best Internet available for what I am doing at the time don't make it easy for companies to cut each other off and and give companies undue influence over each other.
25. Paul Peloquin, Baytown, TX, 77520
I stand with net neutrality, do not let corporations control what is easily accessible to me.
26. Sean Barnes, Houston, TX, 77059
It is imperative that Net Neutrality be protected. And the only way to guarantee this is by strong Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
27. Victoria Craig, Dayton, TX, 77535
I request that you please keep the net neutrality regulation intact from under the Obama administration.
28. Cush Wakelilly, Jasper, TX, 75951
Please keep the net neutrality rules as they are. The consumer needs to be protected

29. John O'Brien, Highlands, TX, 77562
We need net neutrality, assholes. Stop trying to fuck our shit up.
30. Zakary Noel, Baytown, TX, 77521
Keeping corporate entities such as Verizon and Comcast under title 2 restrictions is absolutely imperative to the free and open future of the internet. Allowing such a vast network of information to become a biased tool of consumer-fed revenue for companies which already have the means to remain dominantly lucrative will systematically undermine the very concept the internet was developed in respect of, and impair the development of new, progressive ideas. Please do not allow the exploitation of the open web by profiteering corporate entities.
31. Drew Whatley, Orange, TX, 77632
TeleCom companies have enough power already, notwithstanding mergers consolidating power into fewer and fewer companies (like Spectrum for instance). In my area, there is one provider of Broadband internet, and if that company can throttle users away from sites or to certain services, there is literally nothing we can do to fight back. I strongly support net neutrality rules enacted in the last 5 years. Keep our internet open!
32. Andrew Hicks, Dayton, TX, 77535
I want you to know that i am in support of Net Neutrality backed by title 2 and that i will not support any politician that opposes it because if they do they oppose the basis of Democracy and free speech both of which our country was built on. These People will not get my support or vote in any election
33. Zachary L, Deer Park, TX, 77536
I do not wish to provide companies the potential ability to restrict internet access from different competitive companies. I support net neutrality and support Title 2 over-watch of internet service providers.
34. John Oliver, no, TX, 77586
Ajit Pai, please keep net neutrality and internet providers under Title II. If not you can go fuck yourself and your giant Reese's mug.
35. Adam Tinnel, Winnie, TX, 77665
I support net neutrality under title 2 oversight. Keep the internet free and fair, not pay to play.
36. Sean Lowe, Houston, TX, 77062
Please do not change the rules and allow the isp to fuck us more than they already do. We already get throttled and if you change the regulations nothing will stop them from doing it more and charging us to use sites we want and preventing new companies from starting because they will be strangled out

37. Luke Smith, Baytown, TX, 77523
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2, and do not roll back any regulations that maintains the free use of the internet by consumers. Removing these regulations will inevitably cause Internet Service Providers to pick and choose which websites or online services that they want to allow higher or lower bandwidths towards. This will lead consumers of the internet to have lower speeds on certain websites, such as Netflix, if an ISP, such as Comcast, considers it to be in competition with any of their other products.
38. Andrew VonKanel, DeerPark, TX, 77536
Net Neutrality is essential for modern 1A, free market, and Peru much everything else the modern USA holds dear.
39. Alex Gallo, Houston, TX, 77571
I'm writing to express my disapproval that the FCC is trying to kill net neutrality. Preserving an open internet is crucial for fair and equal access to the resources and information available on it. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you for your time and attention.
40. Dustin Tarver, Cleveland, TX, 77327
The free and open competition of ideas is the cornerstone of any democracy. The equal consideration of ideas is the foundation of any republic.
41. Kristian Greisen, Houston, TX, 77059
The net should be neutral, and any attempt to destroy net neutrality should not be able to pass.
42. net nuetrality, crosby, TX, 77532
Net neutrality is of the utmost importance. it hosts almost the entirety of human knowledge to be spread freely. i wouldn't understand half of the things i do today without it and if y'all get y'all's grubby little paws on it and mess it up it will be another failure to add to the list of things our government has severely messed up or destroyed.
43. Nicholas Page, Webster, TX, 77598
Please, ensure that Net Neutrality continues.
44. Justin Brasher, Pasadena, TX, 77504
Net neutrality is vital to keeping internet companies from choking the wallets of American consumers.
45. Alondra Garcia, Baytown, TX, 77521
I completely support strong net neutrality via Title II oversight of ISPs.

46. Donna Wade, Vidor, TX, 77662
Please keep the net neutrality laws under Title 2
47. Rocio Salinas, Baytown, TX, 77521
Preserve your neutrality and Title II.
48. Charlie Youngblood, Baytown, TX, 77521
I strongly support net neutrality, no isp should have the right play favoritism with their services. This would interfere with entrepreneurs growth on the Internet and will further lead to more monopolies with less competitive competition simply because the inability to pay for the increase cost.
49. Christina Hegman, El Lago, TX, 77586
I strongly urge you to support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP. Thank you.
50. Lucas Foster, Houston, TX, 77062
Net neutrality is the right of the people. Attempting to alter the status is only due to government corruption and a means for large corporations to make even more money while the people suffer. These laws protect small business and give equal opportunity to all Americans.
51. Payton Drewery, Crosby, TX, 77532
The FCC must continue to classify telecommunications corporations like Verizon and T-Mobile as Title II carriers. Any effort to reclassify them as Title I would be a direct attack on the freedom of United States citizens.
52. Joseph Anthony Cano, La Porte, TX, 77571
I am in full support of the strong net neutrality rules that are currently backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This lays out the ground rules for new and current companies seeking to innovate this industry. Without Title II, we would allow major companies to run the show. I'm sorry, but is this not America? Are we not AGAINST monopolies? How would removing Title II be in the best interest of the people? As it is, there is a major lack of options for the American people at the neighborhood/house level. ISPs have too much power being the gatekeepers of the internet. How are we supposed to be able to make a decision on which company best fits our needs if we are only given ONE option? These companies only care monetary gain, while we slowly lose our liberty as an American. If I wanted to start an ISP company, I would be highly discouraged. I urge you to maintain the existing net neutrality rules in Title II as they are. 5/18/2017.
53. Nathan LeVay, Seabrook, TX, 77586
I strongly support Net Neutrality in its current form under Title II regulation.
54. John, orange, TX, 77630

I would like the net neutrality rules to stay in effect. It seems the only ones with anything to lose are the citizens. If it's not going to change how the ISP direct and regulate traffic then why repeal them at all.

55. Nick Boyko, Houston, TX, 77059

Leave net neutrality requirements under Title 1 regulations. Keep the status quo for the benefit of the public. Stop turning over public access to a handful of ISPs for their own benefits.

56. Shona Robin Elgart, Seabrook, TX, 77586

I support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight. I demand access to accessing ALL the internet rather than just the parts my cable or phone company wants me to see. Cable and phone companies shouldn't be allowed to decide which websites, content or applications succeed. Without net neutrality they can block websites or content they don't like or applications that compete with their own offerings.

57. kyle s, webster, TX, 77598

Do not change the current net neutrality. I do not want my internet provider to interfere with anything I do online. It's already bad enough I only have 1 option for an internet provider because of deals that make in my area

58. Nicholas Self, Seabrook, TX, 77586

Title 2 protections for net neutrality are necessary for a free and democratic Internet, and country. To take these protections away is a crime against the American people and the world.

59. Joseph McGibbon, Sour Lake, TX, 77659

Preserve strong Net Neutrality rules, and use title 2 to back it! For the love of all that is holy, please.

60. Amin Radi, Seabrook, TX, 77586

I like to register my opposition to FCC's change in direction change net Neutrality. This will unfairly discriminate against smaller companies, and while it may enrich the pockets of the larger providers, I think it will stifle innovation. Please revert back to the retaining net neutrality rules.

61. Aleta.Bower, Pasadena, TX, 77505

The Internet must stay neutral. I support title 2's restrictions on ISP'S keep the internet neutral

62. Crystal Christoffers, Kountze, TX, 77625

I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Don't fuck this up, it's one of the few things actual human beings can agree on.

63. Thomas Viviano, Houston, TX, 77059

I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is the idea that your pipe to the internet (whether that is your cable internet connection or your LTE wireless internet connection), that pipeline to the internet is not allowed to arbitrarily pick favorites in terms of the content that you consume. Trusting these huge communications companies to self-regulate is a horribly stupid idea. There are multiple examples of ISPs arbitrarily (or not so arbitrarily as they were often aimed at competing services) throttling specific services or web content over the years - many of which started immediately after the Verizon won the court ruling over the FCC a few years ago. I shouldn't have to point out that a few years back, Verizon, AT&T, and T-Mobile at one point shut out Google Wallet from their phones - a product that coincidentally happened to compete with their own mobile payment app. A couple years ago, Verizon was found slowing Netflix traffic and strong-arming Netflix to pay extra fees for a "fast lane" like they're member of the mob forcing local businesses to pay for "protection." These instances show that Ajit Pai, with his statements to the contrary, is so misinformed that it would be funny if it weren't so harmful to anyone who uses the internet - individuals, content creators, and online businesses alike. Like so many ideologues, his ideas are misguided, not rooted in reality, and based on falsehoods that are easily proven to be untrue. Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.

64. Ricardo Sanchez, baytown, TX, 77521

I support strong net neutrality back by title II oversight of isp!!!!!!

65. Nicholas Jackson, Orange, TX, 77632

I support strong net neutrality that's my title 2 oversight of ISPs.

66. Jason Rapp, Seabrook, TX, 77586

Prior to reclassification of Internet Service Providers under Title 2, I regularly experienced throttling of competing video streaming services. Since reclassification I've not experienced that throttling. I'm concerned about the availability of competing services. For a free market solution to work, there has to be competition that I, the consumer, can choose between. In my neighborhood, I don't really have a choice of internet providers if I want enough bandwidth for my household. If I don't have good choices, I can't vote with my wallet. Additionally, there are no options near me for unlimited data usage, every service comes with a data cap, which is demonstrably a money grab. Instead of upgrading their infrastructure to handle the increased traffic, they merely cap users' data to charge them more, thus disincentivizing the use of the service. I'm paying for the size of the pipe, not the amount of data that flows through it. If Title 2 classification is being removed, then other regulations need to be created to force a more open market for internet. Either the government regulates the monopoly, or forces the monopoly to break up. Otherwise the

monopoly exploits customers for its own profit, with nothing to stop them.

67. Matthew, pasadena, TX, 77505
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Also you made this process so difficult to silence the American People.
68. Mark van Esch, La Porte, TX, 77571
I adamantly and without a doubt support Net Neutrality, maintained under Title II.
69. Jake Steadham, Mont Belvieu, TX, 77580
Don't make my internet slower than it already is
70. GPL, Houston, TX, 77058
Protect Net Neutrality!! Keep ISPs under Title 2!!!!
71. Neutrality, Pasadena, TX, 77503
Neutrality and title 2.
72. Nicholas Scofield, Seabrook, TX, 77586
I believe in a free and open internet, preserve net neutrality and Title II.
73. Ian Haber, Webster, TX, 77598
Internet needs to remain classified under title II. The internet companies will just continue to abuse the consumers like they did before title II classification if it were to go back to being classified under title I. I'm sure you want to say that we can just solve the abuses when they crop up, but the whole reason it was classified title II in the first place is because title I does not have enough power to enforce those restrictions.
74. Rob Musemeche, Houston, TX, 77062
I AM IN FAVOR of the Obama-era rules that forced Internet providers to behave more like traditional telephone companies and made it illegal for them to block or slow websites. I encourage you to maintain these vital rules, regulations and laws. Thank you.
75. Daniel Connevey, Libert, TX, 77575
I strongly support net neutrality, please keep Title 2 oversight.
76. Mathew Hart, El Lago, TX, 77586
Keep ISPs regulated under Title II. We NEED title 2.
77. Jimmy D. Ballard, Houston, TX, 77062
My family and I value a free and open internet. Please do not discontinue or change Title II classification for internet service providers.

78. Edward A. Robertson, Houston, TX, 77059
I am highly distressed at the blatant, partisan nature of the actions being taken under the Trump Administration. I strongly support the establishment of net neutrality rules under Title II. Internet access is, indeed, quite similar to other basic utility services.
79. Brandon Snyder, Baytown, TX, 77521
Net neutrality is absolutely vital. ISP's should remain within title 2, and not allowed to determine the speed with which they deliver what I am browsing on the internet based on who is paying them the most for bandwidth. The ISPs' only concern should be how to make sure they provide the best speed and stability for their customers.
80. Carol Anderson, Houston, TX, 77062
I support strong Title II net neutrality rules. The big three ISP providers report to shareholders that the stronger Title II rules do not harm their infrastructure investments. Allowing the ISP to throttle service or force service users to preferred provider sites is anti-Capitalist and anti fair market. Carol Anderson
81. Curtis Vanegas, Livingston, TX, 77351
Please support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title 2.
82. Nicholas Smith, Baytown, TX, 77521
Are you kidding me? We're having to come back here again to convince you to protect internet neutrality? There is no reason to undo what has been done. Net neutrality has not hurt ISPs business, only their ability to take bribes from other companies in exchange for making their services look better than their competitors' alternatives. Leave it alone. Leave ISPs under Title 2.
83. Dominic Moorman, Deer Park, TX, 77536
Do not end Net Neutrality, I do not want my internet provider to screw me and the little guy over because they are greedy.
84. Mykele Kinzel, Baytown, TX, 77521
No blocking, No throttling, No paid prioritization. The internet needs to remain the free place to express our opinions that it always has been. I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II over site of ISPs.
85. Richard N Pickett, Liberty, TX, 77575
Please keep the internet under Title II of the Communications Act. I have first hand experience with Comcast attempting to disallow my TIVO to access Comcast OnDemand even though I was paying monthly for a Comcast Chip (still am). After Comcast said what I was trying to do was impossible, I complained to the Consumer Product Safety Commission and suddenly Comcast sent their "TIVO expert" and access miraculously appeared. When I

asked the technician what he did to make it work, he just shrugged his shoulders and said to call him direct if I had any more problems. Let's not go back to those days.

86. Sanjeev Lahoti, Houston, TX, 77059
Please keep ISPs regulated as common carriers under Title II . Internet service is a key utility similar to telephones and electricity and must be regulated as such. It is essential to prevent paid prioritization of internet traffic -no blocking or throttling of apps and websites based on the motives of the ISP.
87. Don DeBardelaben, Mont Belvieu, TX, 77523
Net Neutrality w/ Title II protections. that's all. don't fuck this up you corporate shills. :)
88. Amanda April Robbins, Baytown, TX, 77521
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II protections. Thank you.
89. Kristen Berman, Webster, TX, 77598
You know that Net Neutrality is benefiting Ajit Pais former business of Verizon. So sketchy, can we please all agree that giving more power to the conglomerates that are comcast, and all ISPs is a BAD FREAKING IDEA. Think of all of the service calls when you move and your internet and cable don't work. When it rains and your service craps out. We want to give these people the power to charge us MORE MONEY!? No. Internet stays free, suck it.
90. Douglas Byrd, Orange, TX, 77632
In order to truly free the internet, regulations must be in place to prevent ISPs from providing sub-optimal performance to competitors, or providing special bandwidth or speed services to those that pay a premium. Net Neutrality is a vitally important part of the way that the internet functions, as without it ISPs would have an unfair ability to decide who can make money on the internet and who can not. It can not be assumed that ISPs will willingly hold themselves neutral when a profit can be made by shedding that neutrality. Believing a company who's main goal is to earn a profit would self-regulate at the cost of potential profit is a foolish belief.
91. Adrian Clark, Baytown, TX, 77521
I support Title 2 enforcement of Net Neutrality, and I do not want deregulation of the oversight of the internet.
92. Lynn Pardo, Baytown, TX, 77521
Preserve internet neutrality. Do NOT make this voluntary. Do not trust big business to be fair. All internet access should be equal. Anything else is

discrimination.

93. Joshua Duke, Vidor, TX, 77662
I support net neutrality and keeping Isp's under title 2 regulation
94. Jacob Swain, Houston, TX, 77059
I support net neutrality. Don't change it.
95. ricardo pardo, Baytown, TX, 77521
I believe that no Internet service provider should be allowed to determine which content devices or clients gets preferential speed. I support The current Internet neutrality regulations. I think that voluntary Regulation is useless. I respectfully request that you keep the current regulations in place
96. Maijinn Chen, Seabrook, TX, 77586
I STRONGLY support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Rolling back net neutrality regulation means you are NOT the champion of the people. Claims that this regulation adversely impacted industry investment in infrastructure is BOGUS. Chairman Pai - show some integrity and protect the interests of the people instead of the industry you used to represent.
97. rolando pardo, Baytown, TX, 77521
I'm deeply disturbed that this is occurring once more. The ISP providers should not get to pick and choose which content, programs, apps, or devices get preferential or moderated speeds. The ability to abuse such a thing is staggering, and supposed voluntary regulation is useless and a laughable attempt to destroy any and all accountability to the public
98. Chris Flores, Liberty, TX, 77575
Keep net neutrality. Net neutrality good. Very good
99. Erik Howard, La Porte, TX, 77571
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. No sane person can argue that trusting ISPs to not favor one content over another is a sane idea. Its quite unbelievable to think they wouldn't throttle content if it meant a buck for them. History has shown these kinds of things to be certain. And history is watching.
100. Jennifer Herzberg, EL LAGO, TX, 77586
I fully support the continuation of net neutrality. Please defend our rights to have equal access and equal opportunity to access websites.
101. craig clark, Houston, TX, 77059
I support net neutrality supported by title II regulation

102. Michael Shannon, Lumberton, TX, 77657
I don't need or want my internet providers to be able to restrict or undermine my ability to use the internet as is see fit. Preserve net neutrality.
103. Robert W Murray, Houston, TX, 77034
Do not change the current law. I should not have to pay extra to use the services I want just so large companies can get richer.
104. Abhijeet Venkataraman, Houston, TX, 77059
I support strong net neutrality under title 2. Please don't change this.
105. Jason Ginder, Kountze, TX, 77625
Net Neutrality is important. It is the FCC's job to ensure that we have unrestricted access to all the information available on the internet. This is a matter of Freedom of Speech. Preserve the Title II regulations as currently applied to internet service providers! Corporations should not and can not control the free flow of information in a democracy.
106. Michael Penrod, Beach City, TX, 77523
Please support strong enforceable Net Neutrality, under Title II.
107. Christopher Knight, Houston, TX, 77058
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Internet service providers should be barred from prioritizing traffic destined for customers.
108. Martin, Houston, TX, 77062
I have voted in every election since I was 18. I support Net Neutrality and I do not need a super size coffee mug to compensate for "other" shortcomings.
109. Joe Auten, Seabrook, TX, 77586
Leave our Internet access alone. Do not allow ISPs to have any control over my internet access. We want a free and open internet.
110. Michael Green, orange, TX, 77630
I do not agree with revoking net neutrality and title 2 classification for ISP's. The companies that are against this regulation have only their self interest in mind. Removing this will not benefit the consumer, only the ISP that it is meant to regulate.
111. Bobby Hopper, Orange, TX, 77630
I am entirely opposed to Docket No. 17-108. It is critically important to the future of the U.S. that internet access is protected by rigorous Net Neutrality laws backed by Title II oversight of all U.S. internet service providers.

112. Amber Tyler, Baytown, TX, 77520
I Support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPS. Do not overturn this please.
113. Cindy Tyler, Baytown, TX, 77520
I Support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPS. Please do not change this. I thought we were done fighting for customers rights.
114. net neutrality, Baytown, TX, 77521
Save title II and net neutrality
115. Zandra Russell, seabrook, TX, 77586
Preserve strong net neutrality laws and keep title II
116. scott wray, Houston, TX, 77062
I support net neutrality with the protections of title 2. Please preserve these regulations and do not roll-back net neutrality.
117. erin hopple, Deer Park, TX, 77536
I support net neutrality. Please enforce the current laws without changes.
118. Jacob, seabrook, TX, 77586
Please keep the current internet neutrality rules in place. Less regulation in this matter will not help small internet companies. It will only help large corporations.
119. Debi Liggett, La Porte, TX, 77571
I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title 2 oversight. I do not want any changes to the current agreement!
120. Jake Thompson, Baytown, TX, 77521
Keep the internet free from bandwidth throttling and data discrimination. Keep net neutrality as is.
121. Catherine Seifert, Houston, TX, 77059
I support strong net neutrality and ISPs through Title 2
122. Alexander Adley, Baytown, TX, 77521
I am concerned and frankly disheartened at even the thought of doing away with net neutrality. After even the most brief time researching what net neutrality is and who the repeal will help has shown me that we're truly better off with it, and as a Verizon lawyer, the chairman should know better than to misrepresent the facts in such a way as he did in the interviews I have seen. As an American, I demand net neutrality remain in place.

123. joseph ferguson, baytown, TX, 77521
i support strong net neutrality regulation via title II
124. Carole Clause, Houston, TX, 77062
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of isp providers. I do not believe corporations are capable of restraining themselves from acting in their own best interest, to the detriment if the American people. This is vitally important given the degree to which we are all dependent on a free and fully functional internet.
125. Rob Larocque, Houston, TX, 77062
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP providers. I DO NOT trust these providers to police themselves or act in the best interest of any group except themselves.
126. Daniel Colvin, Baytown, TX, 77520
We need strong net neutrality. We don't need more corporate interference, control, and corruption in the world around us. Without net neutrality the risk of such things will no doubt increase. We want the freedom to choose what websites we want to visit without being penalized just because a few rich people made a deal to become ever wealthier.
127. Matthew Miller, Baytown, TX, 77520
Retain Net Neutrality and Title 2. Repeal Ajit Pai.
128. Jacob Marsh, Livingston, TX, 77351
Net Neutrality protections must be STRONGER, not relaxed. Just because companies are not currently doing something doesn't mean we should allow them to do it. Ending Net Neutrality would be a disaster for American freedom, the spread of important information, and could directly impact my small business.
129. Rebecca Sommer, Seabrook, TX, 77586
Please keep net neutrality in Title 2!
130. Derek Classert, houston, TX, 77058
Net neutrality and Title II MUST be preserved at all costs to ensure fair access to the internet for all users.
131. Gabe Johnson, Houston, TX, 77062
Preserve net neutrality title 2. Doing so is the responsible course of action to maintain freedom on the internet.
132. Joshua Giles, Cleveland, TX, 77327
Are you kidding me??? Why is this even up for debate? Absolutely do not

revert back to title 1 regulations. For 1.) it forms limit investment in infrastructure and for 2.) it's the only way to inforce fair play on the internet. Sure, this would be great for ISP business, suddenly they have new creative ways of making money, but this would be a bane for all other innovations and directly cause unfair competition. Please don't let this happen. My internet is already slow enough at times WITHOUT ISP companies slowing it down on purpose on select websites.

133. Jackson Owens, Houston, TX, 77059
I support a strong Net Neutrality and Title 2 Oversight of ISP's. plz i need my league
134. Michael Rupp, Houston, TX, 77059
We need to preserve strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's
135. Net neutrality, Houston, TX, 77059
The internet in todays age is a basic right. Without it, it is impossible to apply for jobs, apply for education, to pay bills, pay credit cards, sign up for other utilities. It is a necessity in modern life. Therefore ISPs should not be double dipping by taking our money for the service they provide then proceeding to sell our browsing information. I support net neutrality as should all Americans if they value freedom and privacy
136. Ade Farquhar, La Porte, TX, 77571
I strongly support Net Neutrality and hope that the FCC doesn't allow it to be compromised! Also please maintain Title II in order to protect the internet users of the USA from manipulation by ISP companies!
137. Jonathan Sanford, Orange, TX, 77630
I strongly support Net Neutrality through Title 2 regulation of all internet service providers, as anything less has been historically and repeatedly proven to fail at maintaining a fair and functional consumer friendly internet. We cannot allow the control of one of the most important features of both the modern economy and life in general to rest solely in the hands of ISP's who are pathologically incapable of behaving in an ethical and fair manner.
138. john plauche, Baytown, TX, 77521
My wife and I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers!
139. Josh Knight, Pasadena, TX, 77507
I strongly support a strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 regulations on internet service providers.
140. David Alt, Houston, TX, 77034

I strongly support net neutrality and believe it should remain.

141. Craig Curbello, Deer Park, TX, 77536
I support net neutrality & title 2.
142. Net neutrality, Webster, TX, 77586
I want my internet like I want my neighbors. Free. I support net neutrality. Treat ISP's as common carriers. It's the right thing to do.
143. Aimee Hartwell, Houston, TX, 77059
As a mother of 4 kids, I spend a lot of time managing FAIRNESS and equality of opportunity. It's a lot of work, but as I am responsible for their moral development and making sure they don't exploit each other or anyone else, it is necessary. I don't expect my kids to police themselves, and like adolescent children, companies often act in their own self-interest and ignore moral imperatives for fairness. PRESERVING TITLE II LEGISLATION IS IMPORTANT TO FORCE ISP'S TO ACT FAIRLY AND WITHOUT EXPLOITATION OF COMMONLY HELD RESOURCES. Please do not rescind Title II protections for net neutrality. Thank you.
144. Shifatul Islam, Baytown, TX, 77521
I support net neutrality under title II regulation. Ajit Pai's plan will destroy a free and competitive internet.
145. Michael, Bergamo, TX, 77535
Looosers
146. Blanca Mendez, Highlands, TX, 77562
I read about the plan to reverse rules which boost government regulatory powers over internet providers. There should be no buyout of certain internet services. I am a heavy internet user and I strongly support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of internet service providers. All ISPs should be treated equal.
147. Jade Turner, Crosby, TX, 77532
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II policy.
148. David Shores, Pasadena, TX, 77505
I strongly support net neutrality as a title 2 issue.
149. Mary and Justin Zwick, Houston, TX, 77049
Good morning, My husband and I are very strongly for preserving Title I and Title II which protects net neutrality. History shows us that letting corporations control government interest does not work. Protect the people's rights, not corporations. Thank you for your time, Mary Zwick

150. Derek Green, Orange, TX, 77632
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The lines of communication must be regulated to ensure equal access to all users.
151. Jimmy Leger, Kountze, TX, 77625
I support Net Neutrality. Internet service providers should be regulated under title 2. Please do not give these companies the ability to cheat consumers like me. I do not trust them to be left to their own devices.
152. David Hawes, Dayton, TX, 77535
I am against any effort to weaken Title 2 "net neutrality" laws. Please leave things as they are.
153. Joyce Young, Pasadena, TX, 77505
Please maintain internet neutrality. Do not change the current laws. It works and is good for the consumer. Thank you.
154. Enrique Luna, Liberty, TX, 77575
I specifically support strong Net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs and it is essential to keep public digital playing field equal.
155. Richard Johnson, Lumberton, TX, 77657
I support net neutrality and title II for ISP's
156. Brock Duhon, Orange, TX, 77632
I firmly stand for net neutrality, some regulations are good, support the free market of internet with no discrimination. FCC please preserve net neutrality and Title 2.
157. Funmi During, Houston, TX, 77058
Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II over site of ISPs!
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY! SAY NO TO ABUSIVE CAPITALISM! SAY NO TO TRUMP!
158. Gerian Terry, Dayton, TX, 77535
Net neutrality is needed and title two oversight of isp' is the way to do it
159. Stephanie Malone, Baytown, TX, 77520
Please keep the internet neutral, as described by Title 2, regulations. We've already incorporated so much of our government, so I understand your ethical conundrum for those still accepting support from ISP's. Do try and think of what's best for the country. Country Over Party.
160. Michael Sicotte, Seabrook, TX, 77586
I request that the FCC retain the current Net Neutrality rules, regulating internet

service providers as Type II companies and requiring that they treat all traffic equally.

161. Devin Ferris, Livingston, TX, 77351
I strongly support Net Neutrality laws and Tier 2 classification of ISPs.
162. Devon J. Doolittle, Highlands, TX, 77562
I want strong Net Neutrality with Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
163. Steve Gallington, La Porte, TX, 77571
Net neutrality requires ISPs to remain under Title 2.
164. brock owen, Pasadena, TX, 77505
I am filing this this document to express my concern over the proposed re designation of ISP's as a "Title 1" service. In its current form as a "Title 2" service, networking infrastructure growth and future investment is driven by the innovation cultivated on a sacred, level playing field. Classifying ISPs as a "Title 1" will remove the incentive for larger firms to develop leaner and more efficient applications and stunt the efficacy of groundbreaking innovations by the scores of small to midsize technology firms. Another concern is technology being licensed out by vendors will need to be redesigned to handle arbitrary changes in network based on EACH separate licensee's individual relationship with an ISP and not on quality of the product or the deman in the market. There are exponentially more reasons to retain current policies on net neutrality that can and will benefit the American economy in both the long and short run, than there are reasons to make a short sighted major shift in the current paradigm just to give colossal firms a more advantageous legal footing.
165. Michael Mastracchio, Houston, TX, 77058
I support net neutrality, do not get rid of current FCC laws regarding this.
166. michael rodriguez, laport, TX, 77571
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Do not remove the title 2 oversight, doing so would specifically act against the interests of citizens and a future of equal footing for creativity, innovation, and commerce. I support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
167. Michael Kasinger, Houston, TX, 77059
While I agree with some aspects of deregulation, internet neutrality has far too many conflicts of interest for them to be made into a Title I again. Preserve net neutrality and make ISPs remain a Title II.
168. Steven Schuppener, Houston, TX, 77062
Keep the current Title II classification in place.

169. Bunsen Honeydew, Houston, TX, 77058
A neutral and accessible internet is a basic human right in this digital age. The government should have oversight in industries where anti-consumer policies and practices are a daily reality. The FCC should leave the internet under Title 2 and stop it's plans to repeal the consumer protections the previous administration put in place.
170. Aaron Harris, baytown, TX, 77521
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. Thus is important.
171. Allen Gregory, Buna, TX, 77612
FCC Commisioner Ajit Pai, we support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please preserve net neutrality and Title II.
172. William Gatlin, NASSAU BAY, TX, 77058
Please continue to protect net neutrality under Title II. Internet service providers will restrict access as they see fit if the leash is taken off.
173. Krista Adams, Orange, TX, 77632
The internet should be open to everyone! I support a strong net neutrality.
174. Jennifer Shults, Bridge City, TX, 77611
Net Neutrality is incredibly important, and our current administration stands to jeopardize the way we access the internet. We cannot let ISP providers control what we see and when and how we have access to it.
175. matt, Lumberton, TX, 77657
I strongly support the current net neutrality backed by the title two over site of isp's.
176. Samuel Gause, Pasadena, TX, 77505
I am strongly in favour of Title II oversight of ISPs to ensure a free and open internet. I am therefore strongly opposed to docket No. 17-108.
177. 1, 1, TX, 77520
reclassify isp's as a public utility
178. Kevin Lyons, H-Town, TX, 77535
Why did you make it so hard for people to voice their opinion about this important issue? Why is there still a warning below this text box that you intend to make "All information submitted, including names and addresses, will be publicly available via the web." Is that some kind of intimidation tactic? This is absolutely pathetic. If you are going to attempt to do something as universally hated as ending net neutrality, at least act like you are listening to the vast majority of Americans who vehemently disagree with you.

179. Jacob Bennefield, Lumberton, TX, 77657
the only way to protect the internet as an open free market is to keep the title 2 designation. The regulations keep companies from altering and interfering with the networks they control to the detriment of competing products that would attempt to access their networks.
180. Net Neutrality, Deer Park, TX, 77536
I do not agree with regulating the internet under Article I instead of Article II. Why in the world would you allow the internet to be self regulating and at the whim of the people who make the most money off of it? If History has shown us anything in this regard it's that "Good Corporate Citizen" only exist when there are forced to be good or when it is cheaper to be good. Every time we deregulate, the general public pays for it. (Banking Industry as an example) Keep Net Neutrality. I'll consider "restoring internet freedom" when the US enjoys the same speed and access that citizens in the rest of 1st world countries already have.
181. Stephen Tijerina, Houston, TX, 77062
Net neutrality is crucial for allowing fair competition, a free internet, and much more. Please keep net neutrality alive.
182. Carol Proctor, Houston, TX, 77059
Please support Net Neutrality! It is the right thing to do. Thank you.
183. bad, Seabrook, TX, 77586
ISPs want money. If you give them an option to make more money they will. If this is at my expense it is bad for me. If you passed laws where I could change my ISP whenever I want instead of how it works now, maybe this would be good. Till then, leave them as Title II please.
184. Rj Melo, Baytown, TX, 77521
you need to preserve net neutrality and title 2 for the good of the internet
185. Mike Rouse, Spring, TX, 77376
Keep the internet bopen
186. Zachary, Deer Park, TX, 77536
I support title II classification of ISPs
187. Nathan Lindsey, Webster, TX, 77598
Ajit Pai, I specifically support title II strong net neutrality over site of ISPs
188. Logan Womack, Deweyville, TX, 77614
I strongly support the current, strong/heavy, regulations on internet ISPs under Title II and Net Neutrality laws.

189. Charles Champion, Houston, TX, 77062
I strongly support internet neutrality. Keep the internet neutral in all domains and sites. Do not allow bargaining for accessibility to any site. Keep the internet a place of unbiased electronic ability.
190. Justin Washington, Baytown, TX, 77523
You need to preserve net neutrality and Title II!! Stop messing with things that are not broke!
191. Matthew Butler, La Porte, TX, 77571
Please ensure the open, free nature of today's internet. ISP's should remain subject to Title II. They must never be allowed to restrict the flow of data over the network to unduly influence or enrich any party done so at the unfair expense of others. Very respectfully, Matthew Butler
192. Robbert van Nielen, houston, TX, 77058
The current way net neutrality is setup ensures fair use for all providers of online services. In the past it was proven that ISPs under Article I were looking for ways to better themselves by trying to abuse their power as ISP. Examples are ISIS payment system vs Google Pay, and Verizon actively seeking for justification that the FCC had no judicial power to even enforce rules en regulations on ISP. The steps taking in the recent past have ensured our freedom for internet usage, therefore I do not understand the need for changing this law. Innovation is not slowed down by this at all, in fact, in protects entrepreneurs to have a fair and unobstructed way to reach their (potential) customers, namely, the INTERNET.
193. Cody Cantrell, Hull, TX, 77564
It is hugely important to preserve the Title II oversight of ISPs. The idea that we should trust that they will not show favoritism is unacceptable, and there needs to be regulation to ensure that does not happen. We have a very valuable resource with the internet that we cannot afford to taint or obscure. What happens when companies start paying ISPs to load negative press more slowly than positive press? What about government officials and political parties? It is a protection that is needed and not simply a regulatory extravagance.
194. Craig Dinsmore, Houston, TX, 77062
I am writing in support of maintaining net neutrality and providing equal access and capability to all users. Thank you.
195. Travis Boyd, Deer Park, TX, 77536
I specially support strong net neutrality backed by titled oversight or ISPs.
196. David VanOrstrand, Houston, TX, 77062
I strictly support strong net neutrality back by Title II oversight by ISPs.

197. Jason Schneiderman, Houston, TX, 77058
I support strong enforcement of Title II to support Net Neutrality.
198. Nicholas Worrell, Houston, TX, 77062
Strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs is the only way for the people to truly have a free and open internet experience unimpeded by the petty and biased acts of their providers. So please, do not roll back any regulations put forth by the Obama administration that brought the web closer to this wish for a democratic and impartial space for expression, intellectual growth and viewing the copious amounts of cat videos posted here daily. Thank you.
199. Tom Campbell-Ricketts, Seabrook, TX, 77586
I strongly disagree that there is any economic need to compromise net neutrality in the US by removing Title II classification from internet service providers, nor any merit in thus giving ISPs the opportunity to freely manipulate last-mile traffic speeds, to favor their own or a third party's products.
200. Latasha Michaliszyn, Crosby, TX, 77532
I support net neutrality and am urging the FCC to do the same.
201. mark riegert, houston, TX, 77059
I want the fcc to maintain net neutrality, by continuing to back the title 2 designation for service providers.
202. Justin Kirk, Lumberton, TX, 77657
With the exception of major elections I don't vote often. In fact I don't believe I have ever voted in a local election. I can promise you though that if net neutrality is repealed I will vote in every election until its reinstatement, and that vote will not be in my your or my current representative's favor.
203. Michael Hernandez, Houston, TX, 77058
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs such as Verison and Comcast
204. Kirby Bucker, Houston, TX, 77058
Net neutrality is paramount for the freedom of the consumer. For those of us that cannot choose our own ISP, we would be forced to bend the knee.
205. Natalie Tinoco, Houston, TX, 77062
I support strong neutrality laws and are in favor of ISP being classified under title II.
206. Shannon Walker, Seabrook, TX, 77586
The Title 2 net neutrality rules as they currently are protect the consumer and start-up businesses, The proposal to relax/repeal the rules takes away consumer

protections and cedes power to the large (and soon to get larger?) ISPs. There is, a very clear difference between what the Googles and Facebooks do business-wise as compared to the Comcast's and Verisons. Burying the promise from an ISP that they will behave inside the terms of service is laughable. Will they also, bury the usual bit about not allowing class action suits and the use of forced arbitration for grievances? Sadly, past performances of these very companies do not lead to a sense of optimism than in this case, they will do the right thing. There is far too much real-world evidence to the contrary. Netflix has already been forced to "pay to play" or have its content slowed. In short, I am against the proposal to weaken/eliminate our current net neutrality rules. Thank you, Shannon Walker

207. JOHN OLIVER, Houston, TX, 77059

I want to keep out internet free. It seems like John Oliver has his facts straight.

208. Angela Matson, Houston, TX, 77062

Continue to protect net neutrality. Plans for corporate controlled internet need to be stopped. Not only is ending net neutrality a threat to freedom of speech online, it means providers such as Comcast and Verizon can demand companies and consumers pay shakedown fees for decent speeds "those who don't pay get pushed into "slow lanes." It's a violation of consumer rights.

209. Lyle Harrison, Deer Park, TX, 77536

Preserve Net Neutrality & Title 2 !!!!

210. Patricia Ellen Taylor, Shoreacres, TX, 77571

Preserve Net Neutrality. I support Title II

211. Taylor Taylor, Shoreacres, TX, 77571

Retain Title Two! We deserve a regulated and fair internet.

212. Kathryn Ley, Douglas Haberman, Nassau Bay, TX, 77058

I am FOR net neutrality regulations - NOT VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE.

213. ISP Title II, Pasadena, TX, 77503

I strongly support strong fcc oversight under title 2 for ISPs and strong net neutrality laws.

214. Bruce Heerssen, Seabrook, TX, 77586

I'm writing to express my full support of the current Title II classification of cable internet providers. These entities should not be entitled to decide which traffic over their networks has higher priority over other traffic. They expressly should not be allowed to sell greater access to their subscribers in the form of faster connectivity or greater reliability to certain web properties to the detriment of others. Subscribers pay these providers for access to the entire

internet, and expect their service to be as consistent and reliable as possible no matter the content they wish to access. The providers have a clear responsibility to provide this. Furthermore, by allowing access providers to pick and choose which content providers have the greatest performance on their networks, they stifle competition from new, unestablished content providers that cannot yet pay for increased performance on those networks. I fear that reclassifying broadband providers will stifle the growth and diversity of the public internet in this and many other ways, harming me personally and millions of American citizens. I demand that Chairman Pai retain the current Title II classification of broadband internet providers.

215. Title II, Baytown, TX, 77523

We need to keep the information on the internet available at the same speed. Net Neutrality is important to keep the internet and all its information available to everyone. If we start slowing down the speed to get to certain websites over others it is a slippery slope to censorship. KEEP THE INTERNET SPEEDS EQUAL FOR ALL WEBSITES!!!

216. Gerald V. Livingston II, Silsbee, TX, 77656

I am a firm supporter of net neutrality with strong Title II oversight of ISP's

217. Pedro Alfaro, Hull, TX, 77564

I'm against dropping net neutrality, as Verizon is the only Internet available to me.

218. Greg Fox, Houston, TX, 77059

An open internet is vital to the freedom of the USA. When a few corporations want to control the flow of information it is inevitable it will lead to corruption and the loss of a free society. It is a conflict of interest for a few corporations to have this control over the internet since their primary objective is to maximize profit. It has happened before that they preferentially steer business to the highest bidder or in a way to make more money. I urge you to keep America free and independent from a corporate takeover of ideas.

219. Himmler, Baytown, TX, 77521

dont change Internet Freedom please keep net neutral

220. j, Houston, TX, 77062

Net neutrality is an important safeguard for many industries. Attempting to dismantle it is destructive and short sighted. We have been through all of that before. Why are we having this discussion again?

221. Taylor Heilers, Kingwood, TX, 77327

Please do not roll back net neutrality by reclassifying ISPs as Title 1 utilities again.

222. Jo Ann Gowing, Houston, TX, 77059
I believe in strong net neutrality and call for ISPs to remain under Title II oversight. Thanks. Peace out, y'all.
223. Shannon Phelps, Lumberton, TX, 77657
Preserve net neutrality. Please.
224. Matthew Smiley, Deer Park, TX, 77536
Do not kill Net Neutrality. Keep ISP provider services under their current Title II heading.
225. Christopher P Mezzolesta, Liberty, TX, 77575
The Internet must, MUST, remain neutral and free from corporate interest and manipulation. Preferential treatment to one party over another due to fiduciary influence, throttling of speed of data delivery for one segment over any other, will make us a 3rd world country as far as the Internet is concerned. So, incidentally, will the wholesale betrayal of trust that the current GOP has already voted in as regards our privacy and information safety. This FCC is so far quite misguided, and MUST remain neutral and free from the corruption so otherwise rampant in DC right now. WE own the airwaves, not the government, not Congress, certainly not corporate interests. Thank you.
226. Mary Castillo, Houston, TX, 77058
I support net neutrality backed by title II ISP oversight. Without this regulation, net neutrality would not be possible. I also believe it is an extreme conflict of interest that the FCC is being run by a guy from VERIZON, the very same company that sued the federal government in an attempt to PREVENT citizens from having a free and neutral internet. He and his idiot coffee mug need to resign!
227. alicia winterle, Pasadena, TX, 77504
I am pro net neutrality under title II oversight of ISP's. In a free Republic, utilizing a Democratic process in today's world, it is imperative that corporations not be able to pick and choose content, speed or availability. Limiting and blocking content is something seen in countries trying to control the populace.
228. Alex Gilson, Orange, TX, 77630
Hello all,Just would like to put my view out there on this important issue. I think we need a strong net neutrality because otherwise it will hurt consumers of the web and give corporations free reign to mess with regular economics. Title 2 provision over the isp's is a good thing and I don't want it to change.Thanks,Alex Gilson
229. Kelley Lambert, Pasadena, TX, 77504

I'm writing to express my disapproval that the FCC is trying to kill net neutrality. Preserving an open internet is crucial for fair and equal access to the resources and information available on it. Without net neutrality, small businesses, low-income individuals, and much of rural America would lose access to affordable, reasonably fast internet service.

230. jan koziol, Houston, TX, 77058

Please maintain net neutrality So that there is a level playing field for big companies as well as small ones. Internet service providers should not be able to meddle in the affairs of consumer preferences.

231. James Evans, houston, TX, 77058

Given the failure of the last attempt to damage/destroy net neutrality you know the public wants to keep it. So, if you care at all about what the public wants you will leave net neutrality alone. The only reason for these changes is to support the interests of large corporations over the peoples interests. Please preserve net neutrality.

232. Rahil Maredia, houston, TX, 77059

ISP's should be treated as a Title 2 common carrier and regulated by the FCC. The Open Internet Rules from 2015 should remain in place as is. Without FCC protection, the internet will become less free and open. It may not happen right away. Content providers paying for better speed will objectively lead to a system dominated even further by the largest websites. This stifles innovation by dis-incentive's new ideas. It provides an environment where an oligopoly will exist. This will benefit few and harm many. Public awareness alone is not enough. Our FCC should protect the internet.

233. Dillon Quinn, Sour Lake, TX, 77659

I support strong net neutrality specifically backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. To roll back these regulations has the potential to lead to an unfair Internet Service that allows for companies with web content with the higher bid to be given priority over other web content. Title II is the last line of defense to prevent this, to consider rolling back these regulations under the guise of a free market promoting economic growth is both a bold face lie and shameful. Do not roll back these regulations.

234. Kevin Adams, Baytown, TX, 77521

I support strong Net Neutrality laws. Not having them is like allowing my phone company to purposely lower the quality of phone calls that originated from competing carriers. Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2.

235. MICHAEL NEWTON, HOUSTON, TX, 77062

What sort of people would exchange equal access for all to the internet (the free and unfettered flow of information being essential for democracy) for

money...?! Could these ""leaders" be public parasites pretending (badly) to be public servants?

236. net neutrality, webster, TX, 77598
I support strong net neutrality and all ISP providers remaining under Title II scrutiny and NOT Title I
237. Barry Evans, Dayton, TX, 77535
Please keep Title II in place for ISPs and support Net Neutrality! Thank You.
238. Brandi Denny, Vidor, TX, 77662
I urge the FCC to keep strong neutrality rules backed by Title II
239. Funmi During, Houston, TX, 77058
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs and do not want any changes made to ISP oversight.
#STOPCORPORATESTIFLINGOFFREESPEECH
#STOPFASCISTDICTATORSHIP #STOPTRUMP
240. Johsua Lege, La Porte, TX, 77571
Please keep Title 2 rules in force!!If you trust them can you trust me and get rid of rules against taking over protected bandwidths, scouts honor i won't broadcast on my ebay purchased transmitter device and if I do and I'm caught i'll say sorry, but don't worry i won't, josh
241. Zachary Elgart, Taylor Lake Village, TX, 77586
Net neutrality protects the integrity of the internet and ensures that it remains a global commons with open access to all. Curtailing the current rules in any way would be a detriment to personal liberties, freedom of speech, and economic growth/development/diversity.
242. Jim ballard, Houston, TX, 77062
I love EQUAL access for EVERYONE to all things important to our collective well-being. One of these is equal access to education and information, both critical for a well-informed and educated public. Without it, there is no democracy. Equality and true democracy equals peace. An open internet gives us one more tool to think and create peace and justice! What's not to love!
243. Brian Kelley, Cleveland, TX, 77327
I support strong net neutrality! title 2 oversight of isps. Please, please, please, don't screw up the internet!
244. James Cleveland, Houston, TX, 77062
Net neutrality should remain under title.2 changing it does nothing for the people you are supposed to be representing.

245. Arturo Aguilar, Houston, TX, 77049
I support strong net neutrality oversight and Title 2 designation of isp. Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2.
246. Shreaya Patel, Houston, TX, 77062
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai,I (a fellow brown person) support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. Thanks!
247. Zachary Cooley, Channelview, TX, 77530
I am very concerned about the possible loss of net neutrality. Freedom in all aspects of life is a core virtue of the United States, and the associated rights should be respected in the field of the Internet.
248. Nicholas Economidis, Houston, TX, 77059
I am writing t express my support for strong net neutrality rules. It is imperative that Internet Service Providers provide fair and equal access to all Internet content.
249. Carl Bane, Houston, TX, 77062
There is no reason to roll back the net-neutrality rule. Keep the internet fair and keep net-neutrality.
250. Roland Kelly, Dayton, TX, 77535
There is no way ISPs should be deregulated. ISPs have show that they can not be trusted to regulate themselves and need to remain title 2. Net neutrality is a basic fundamental human right in the digital age and the kind of issue that will influence my voting decisions.
251. Richard Croner, Houston, TX, 77058
Net neutrality did not happen by accident. It is the culmination of years of work. If you abolish it we are watching and your days of being in charge of the FCC will be numbered. This is not a threat, it is a promise.
252. Ken nils, Baytown, TX, 77523
We've had enough freedoms taken away, net neutrality is essential!
253. Sammy Hamra, Houston, TX, 77059
I want strong net neutrality!!!! Stop lying about not thinking restructuring from title 2 will keep things the way they are.
254. Rebecca Poppenhusen, Thicket, TX, 77374
Do not abolish net neutrality. It is against the basics of capitalism as free and equal access to internet based material and marketing allows small businesses to

start and get known. It allows beginning artists to sell their work. It allows students access to accredited research. Practically every major aspect of modern life, from academics to shopping to instructions to accommodations for disabilities (ex. A verbal how-to video on YouTube is more beneficial to illiterate or dyslexic users than an instruction manual), this free access is what allows us to thrive. It could even be better if there were more competitors, as there are in countries like France where download speeds are faster than many Americans can even imagine at this point. America doesn't need to be "great again". Just make it better than it was before, one step at a time, with what you have power over.

255. Robert Cowen, Houston, TX, 77062

I am in support of net neutrality and the regulation of ISP's ability to restrict access to platforms they do not support. I am in support of regulations on these providers in order to ensure a better playing field for consumers.

256. Umesh Rambarran, Highlands, TX, 77562

I strongly support net neutrality with Title 2.

257. Laura Guerrero, Houston, TX, 77058

I am deeply concerned about the rollback of essential net neutrality protections that will hurt both individuals and small businesses. These protections are essential to maintaining fair access to all content. Furthermore, I come to you as a teacher. In an increasingly complex world, part of my job is to teach students to navigate information found online in order to conduct research and speak out with their own voices. The ability of an internet provider to hinder the access and voices of my students is dangerous and unethical. Every time net neutrality is threatened, the public has fervently pushed back. I strongly urge you to reconsider your position.

258. darla, Houston, TX, 77062

Keep the internet free. It's unconstitutional to favor what sites or methods we use to communicate over another.

259. Michael Gutierrez, Dayton, TX, 77535

It is in the consumers best interest to maintain net neutrality

260. Joseph Bibby, Houston, TX, 77062

I specifically support strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. There has been a clear pattern of behavior necessitating this oversight.

261. blake coleman, Jasper, TX, 75951

I support Net Neutrality with a strong title 2 backing.

262. Kelsey Fox, Crosby, TX, 77532

Please do not change the structure of the net neutrality protections. Regardless, of what they are currently saying ISP are not trustworthy and would do anything for profit so please do not give them the power to discriminate with speed.

263. Ken Jenks, Houston, TX, 77062

There's an error in section I, paragraph 4. The text reads "Investment in broadband networks declined." But referencing the Web site in footnote 3 shows that investment in broadband networks has been relatively steady over the past few years. I recommend deleting that sentence (or the whole paragraph), since increasing private investment in broadband networks is not one of the goals of this rulemaking.

264. Ken Jenks, Houston, TX, 77062

There's an error in Section I, paragraph 5. The text says, "By proposing to end the utility-style regulatory approach that gives government control of the Internet, we aim to restore the market-based policies necessary to preserve the future of Internet Freedom, and to reverse the decline in infrastructure investment, innovation, and options for consumers put into motion by the FCC in 2015." Referring to footnote 3 and footnote 123, private investment in broadband infrastructure has remained relatively steady over the past few years, so there's no need "to reverse the decline." The "Telecommunications Industry Outlook 2017" by Deloitte says that "The growth in smartphone usage signals continuing opportunity for all telecom sub-sectors, including wireless and wireline/broadband carriers, network equipment/infrastructure companies, and device manufacturers." <https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/pages/technology-media-and-telecommunications/articles/telecommunications-industry-outlook.html> This and other industry and government forecasts show increased innovation and options for consumers, not decreased. And, as your recent 2-1 vote shows, the step you're taking with this rulemaking is not bipartisan. The proposed rule does not increase any incentive for private investment in rural areas. I recommend deleting this whole paragraph, because each part of it is incorrect or misleading.

265. Ryan Saunders, Baytown, TX, 77521

I am for Title II. I want to keep net neutrality.

266. Arax Kermani, Livingston, TX, 77351

I am in vehement support of Net Neutrality.

267. michael haynie, Pasadena, TX, 77505

I want my voice heard. Keep title 2 and keep net neutrality rules the same! Your new proposal will not work and it's not what the American people want! "Restoring internet freedom" yeah frickin right.

268. Earlene Hines, Baytown, TX, 77520

I support net neutrality as supported by Title 2. This is a necessary and important regulation that should not be discarded as it protects consumers and businesses alike.

269. Benjamin Lee Blanchard, Baytown, TX, 77520

Seriously? We're still arguing over this? Stop trying to take away the public freedom of the internet. Keep Net Neutrality and stop questioning whether or not, if it's necessary. Everyone needs to be able to search for answers and solutions in their everyday lives, and we want these answers fast. It would be a HUGE inconvenience to have my favorite sites slowed down by greedy corporate companies who can't stop looking at their own Christmas bonuses and wishing they were bigger. The internet is the heart of the growing world, just ask anyone who actually uses it, we don't just want it we need it. Personally I use it almost all day everyday, I need net neutrality to help me with everything. I have questions I have to answer while at work, to especially researching for my next college essay that I have to write. Leave this beautiful internet we have, alone.

270. Jonathan Pierpoline, Houston, TX, 77059

I do not support the removal of title II protections. The internet is a modern human right. Please keep net neutrality in mind

271. James Eastman, Seabrook, TX, 77586

Net neutrality is crucial, ISPs must remain classed Title II

272. Matthew Frost, Seabrook, TX, 77586

I find the name of this proceeding highly deceptive and downright disturbing. Proceeding 17-108 would not restore internet freedom, but rather undermine the freedoms of Americans wanting to use the internet by allowing internet service providers, many of which have a monopoly over rural areas in the United States, to restrict access to certain websites by inflating the costs of using them. This would be a disaster for democracy, which is contingent upon the free exchange of ideas and information--something for which the internet is a perfect vehicle. We are more connected now than we have ever been. Ideas and information evolve and spread faster than anyone is able to keep track of. I am anxious of what this legislation would do. Social media websites, the largest outlet for discussion of issues, would be prime targets for corporations intent on maximizing profit through increasing the costs of accessing these websites. Though these measures would be greedy and selfish machinations intended to inflate corporate profits, they would have the unintended consequence of suppressing the voices of poor and marginalized Americans, something that is already under attack from oppressive measures such as voter ID laws and gerrymandered districts. This is exactly what politicians serving the interests of the wealthy and powerful want. Furthermore, I find it disturbing how

astroturfers are advocating for this policy. Stealing the names and addresses of ordinary Americans, these astroturfers are posting bizarre conspiracy theories riddled with racist and antisemitic dog-whistles. Is this how these policies will be justified? Through lies and falsehoods built on lies and falsehoods? It is disconcerting, to say the least, how propaganda and alternative facts are being used to support increasing encroachments upon the freedoms of everyday Americans; encroachments that serve to benefit only the wealthy and powerful. This creeping authoritarianism must stop. Americans must stand for their rights. I realize that this will be only one of millions of comments, but I believe that it is important to participate in our democracy, and to make my voice heard.

273. Graham Montgomery, Houston, TX, 77062
Dear Ajit Pai and Michael O'Rielly, I and the majority of Americans support net neutrality. Do the right thing and don't put corporate interests above the interests of American citizens. Graham
274. Farid, Seabrook, TX, 77598
It is a shame that big business have so much influence over our government that it can blatantly change or modify our access to information available on internet legally just a few months after Net Neutrality finally was regulated and I forced. A very sad day for little guys and underdogs :(
275. Cody, Lumberton, TX, 77657
We must not lose net neutrality. There has to be a way to stop this attack on internet equality so it does not keep coming back every year.
276. Seth, Lumberton, TX, 77657
I believe net neutrality is a vital part of the internet
277. Scott Bryant, Vidor, TX, 77662
Retain full net neutrality and Title II.
278. Jeremy Kent, Webster, TX, 77598
Net Neutrality is too important to trust ISPs to adhere to it voluntarily. The FCC must retain the Title II classification to maintain a strong regulatory oversight capacity should it be needed in the future.
279. Rebekah Jones, Livingston, TX, 77351
Please leave net neutrality alone, title 2
280. Emma Johnson, Houston, TX, 77059
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. No I'm not a bot, just someone who believes strongly in this issue.

281. THOMAS H SMITH, Livingston, TX, 77351
Strong over site of ISP'S must be maintained.
282. Victoria White, Mont Belvieu, TX, 77580
Ajit Pai - "Restoring Internet Freedom." "The Internet - "ReStOrInG iNtErNeT fReEdOm." Now, if you are at all familiar with life on the World Wide Web, you'll "get that" alone with it's accompanying image . But I have a feeling Ajit Pai, and his ilk, have no clue. Because they see the "net" as a means to catch and never release, what is to them a "fast lane" to bags and bags of cash. The Web for these guys, is nothing more then a land grab, a sweet deal, a check in the mail. But the WORLD Wide Web is US, Society at large. It's the WHOLE world "talking over the fence" with Facebook and Twitter. Writing home to friends and family over email. Sharing vaca pics with Instagram. It's the new online mall with Amazon and Ebay. It's a night at the bar with Tinder. It IS the PEOPLE. It is also INdispensable and has made us INdivisible. Ajit Pai and this administration are attempting to rip apart what we, the people, have created and want to hand it's beating heart to the ISPs and special interest groups. Widening further the rift that is slowly tearing our country apart. I do not think we will stand for this. I HOPE we will not stand for this!
283. Callianne Turner, Houston, TX, 77058
Net Neutrality should remain in effect. As a customer, I pay for all services provided and I expect that service to be consistent no matter what websites I visit or what product that I use.
284. Christine A.. West, Liberty, TX, 77575
I emphatically support net neutrality as backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I do not believe that permitting ISPs to self regulate in any way ensures their adherence to the net neutrality required by Title 2; therefore, Title 2 is necessary. It is common sense that corporations will use any edge to promote their own financial welfare over the public's right to open and equal access to information, even as we pay those same companies to provide us complete and equal access. This should be permanently resolved, as it should be a self evident right.
285. Allie Castellanos, Pasadena, TX, 77505
I support STRONG net neutrality backed by secure title II oversight of ISPs. Please consider the hypothetical conflicts of interest between networks and ISPs that the lack of legal security can, and frankly will, cause. The majority of legislation is based upon outcomes and consequences of hypothetical situations, this is no different. We cannot leave the neutrality of our information network up to "voluntary agreements" between large corporations.
286. Mark Whited, La Porte, TX, 77571

Make the internet neutral!!

287. Robert C. Dempsey, Houston, TX, 77059
While the proposed bill is correct that "Americans cherish a free and open Internet" these rules not only flaunt that intent but does it in spite of widespread disagreement. I do not agree with the arguments to treat as an information service. Per paragraph 79 I do believe providers would behave differently and do think we do have a need for the no-blocking rule. Likewise, per paragraph 82 I think there is a need for a no throttling rule. I understand providers want to make profit - they are allowed to, but this is a critical resource that is not like other services (say for example HBO or Netflix provided by the internet). Regarding the provision on throttling of bandwidth, I think this is dangerous and will mostly impact middle to low income people who already have fewer choices. While a cost benefit analysis sounds very reasonable such analyses depending HEAVILY on the assumptions made. I do not trust your commission to do so in a truly neutral manner and therefore do not support adding a CBA to the process in this case.
288. Jake Augustini, Deer Park, TX, 77536
I strongly urge you to preserve net neutrality and Title II as it stands. Failure to do so would be an attack on a free and open internet, and is not what Americans want.
289. Jayce, Baytown, TX, 77523
The internet is a place for people to be innovative. A place for people to thrive and be free. Handing the internet over to big companies will destroy all those dreams, efforts, and original ideas that people built up from nothing. I only ask for consideration to all sides of this decision. Thank you for hearing me out.
290. Cullen Elledge, Baytown, TX, 77523
Fundamentals to capitalism are being put at risk without open internet. America is supposed to be a place where the small business owner has a voice and stripping us of our rights to access to speak on our beliefs and promote small business is downright wrong.
291. Jessie Wagner, La Porte, TX, 77571
Net neutrality is an important right to protect all from unnecessary censorship. We need an open internet for small and home buisnesses to thrive. We deserve the right to privacy and the freedom of choice in what we watch or learn or view. I hope you will treat us like human beings when you leave net neutrality in it's place.. Think of the people.
292. Gail Severin, Onalaska, TX, 77360
Please DO NOT let big cable companies dictate the terms under which we use our internet, etc. Life is already TOO expensive for retirees living only on

Social Security to afford. Anything more will cut off our ability to connect "live" with our loved ones and friends. Thank you for your consideration.

293. Ross Lumus, Baytown, TX, 77521

My wife and I recently cut the cord on traditional cable/satellite TV. We are now exclusively using internet based streaming services like Netflix, Sling TV, etc. This saves us over 100\$ every month. Without Net Neutrality, our ISP would have the power to choke the bandwidth to the services we pay for in favor of the ones they have financial ties to. This is unethical, and flies in the face of the tradition of anti-trust laws in the U.S.

294. Dale Rogers, Vidor, TX, 77662

Please leave the internet alone. This is the last source of First Amendment freedom for Americans. We are bombarded with Fake News everyday. Do Not take away our freedom to express our views and read real truth. This would only be another case of the Government taking away property and freedom from Americans.

295. Laurine Hartman, Vidor, TX, 77662

As a consumer of internet services, I support keeping the Internet neutral and oppose any additional regulations which will limit neutrality. This is essential to free speech and small business.

296. Dwight Palma, Deer Park, TX, 77536

We need to save and secure net neutrality and prevent big companies from manipulating our system all in the name of profit.

297. Emily Wilkinson, Pasadena, TX, 77505

The internet is a vital public resource that should be readily available and easy to access for everyone.

298. Daniel Villarreal, Houston, TX, 77058

DoNOT remove the regulations that protect and ensure an open and equal access speed to all users via ALL ISP's.

299. Carole Nance, Orange, TX, 77630

I prefer to have more customer control rather than big company control over what I do with my internet services.

300. Frances Cheshire, Kountze, TX, 77625

Leave us alone! The FCC why net neutrality MUST be protected. We have our rights too!!

301. Alex, Silsbee, TX, 77656

Lets just say you were a small business owner and you decided you'd like to get

out there more and spread word about your business to people, but wait, you can't. Now you've spent most of your life on this business you had hope for and it was foiled because some big time people decided the bigger companies should run the internet. Or should I say OLD companies with their OLD ideas. You take away the good new things by doing this. Hope you're happy.

302. Maria Thomas, LaPorte, TX, 77571
Please keep the internet neutral. I want to be able to see all points of view, in order to make my own decision regarding finances, professional research and political decisions. Furthermore I do not want a company limiting my choices or control my media. Lastly, I can protect my own children.
303. joseph, kirbyville, TX, 75956
please dont take our freedoms
304. Erik Morfin, Baytown, TX, 77521
Don't be idiots, please.
305. Michael Eason, Kirbyville, TX, 75956
I am a combat wounded veteran. I served during a very unpopular war. I have been in numerous foreign countries and witnessed first hand the result of control of big corporations. I think the FCC is perhaps our last hope to keep freedom of speech alive. Keep America Net neutral.
306. Eugenia Gormley, La Porte, TX, 77571
I need to be able to search and communicate without restriction or fear. Do not let Big Brother become a reality for the masses and Freedom for the wealthy few.
307. Nathaniel Naranjo, Deer Park, TX, 77536
The internet needs to be open to everyone! We can't have big business telling us how to consume online!
308. Denise Kleypas, Houston, TX, 77058
The FCC Needs to Leave the Internet AS IS. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech.
309. Adelle, Deer Park, TX, 77536
Keep America free. This includes the internet. Leave neutrality alone.
310. Richard Jasso, Pasadena, TX, 77505
Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started. Changing the existing FCC rule on net neutrality will harm the economic free market.

311. Leon Phillips, Silsbee, TX, 77656
Please leave net neutrality alone. no fast or slow lanes- just open internet.
thanks
312. Sheryl Pannell, Liberty , TX, 77575
I am vehemently against any transfer of market driven internet. In other words, the so-called "net neutrality" needs to remain. Free market is part of the fundamentals--the foundation--of this country. It must remain protected by curtailing government intervention in the supply-demand market system in any way.
313. Adin Thatcher, Vidor, TX, 77662
I heart the open web!!
314. Devin Brady, Lumberton, TX, 77657
Please No, if these big cable companies have control over the internet, they are going to shovel even more commercials and product down our throats and it makes me depressed because i feel like these big companies dont care about how we feel and think, they only care about the money in their bank accounts! The internet is still filled with ads but still far from T.V. The FCC has made it this far with the internet, dont change anything! Keep Net Neutrality!
315. Austin Upchurch, HOUSTON, TX, 77058
I have been in support of net neutrality for years. It is important that we do not let monetization impede having an unbiased gateway to information. I also believe that losing net neutrality will decrease the incentive to install and update network infrastructure as isps can regulate down the issues with monetary dissensitives for high bandwidth content sites. Keep net neutrality!
316. David, Houston, TX, 77058
Please preserve net neutrality under title 2. We need a free and open internet. Do it for the kids. Thanks.
317. Devin Burch, orange, TX, 77630
Corruption is too obviously visible within government and corporate sectors for ANY RATIONAL person to HONESTLY believe there wouldn't be any in this one industry because they make an unbinding, unforceable promise. You simply out yourself as either corrupt or an idiot thus ending your career. To simplify: we support net neutrality, inforceable, with title II status
318. Miguel Perez, Baytown, TX, 77523
Leave the Net Neutrality rules as they are, if there are no regulations to keep these providers in check, we will all end up suffering. The internet has become a necessity to some extent and we cannot be left at the mercy of providers. Please leave the rules as they are.

319. Kris Thompson, Seabrook, TX, 77586
I support strong net neutrality with title 2 oversight by the FCC
320. Matthew Honeychuck, Houston, TX, 77062
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Reclassification of broadband Internet access services under Title I of that law, and/or weakening net neutrality rules, serves only to boost the profits of large ISPs at the expense of small businesses and consumers. Despite FCC Chairman Ajit Pai's claims, there is no concrete evidence showing that the 2015 net neutrality rules harmed business innovation and growth. On the other hand, prior to 2015 there were already several cases demonstrating that ISPs, without strong net neutrality regulations, will take advantage of businesses and by extension the consumers who subscribe to them. Moreover, there are countless examples throughout our nation's history of unregulated corporations infringing on the rights of consumers in order to turn a profit. As a consumer of broadband Internet, why should I trust that large ISPs will voluntarily act in my interests without strong regulations forcing them to do so? A free and open Internet is crucial for promoting innovation, spreading ideas, and protecting free speech. Large ISPs, or any corporation, should not be given control over who accesses what content. I urge the FCC and its chairman to preserve and strengthen the current net neutrality rules and preserve Title II classification for broadband Internet access services.
321. Rachel Zoch, Deer Park, TX, 77536
My name is Rachel Zoch and I'm from Texas. I encourage the FCC to protect the current net neutrality rules, not the proposed rules which favor corporations over consumers, users and free speech. This proposed change could greatly harm businesses across the country. I work for an online media company, and these changes would likely have a major impact on what we do. We rely on open access to reach our readers, and I rely on open access to do research for my job. I oppose any changes to the net neutrality rules in place and urge the FCC to not make any changes to the rules that rely on Title II of the Telecommunications Act.
322. Javier Hernandez, Houston, TX, 77059
The internet deserves to be a utility available to all Americans. Allowing private corporation to tailor it as they see fit is UNAMERICAN and restricts our rights to freedom of speech and view. Please KEEP NET NEUTRALITY.
323. Charles Smtih, Deer PARK, TX, 77536
Don't take our internet freedom away. This would be a big step in the wrong direction.
324. Aaron Goddard, Houston, TX, 77058

Keep the net neutral and freedom of speech and expression a valid ideal and law

325. Zaid Hussain, Seabrook, TX, 77586

Please maintain net neutrality and do not take away the Title II classification of ISPs. As the world becomes more and more connected, it becomes increasingly important to not allow ISPs to do as they choose when it comes to displaying content. The Internet, much like all content we consume nowadays, should be protected under our Constitution, and not be a commodity to be bought and sold by lobbyists. I urge you to please reconsider repealing Title II classification as it is better for all of us Americans overall.

326. Conor Kulig, Baytown, TX, 77521

Internet should be a basic human right in this day and age and allowing ISPs to have even more control over who gets what content is unacceptable!

327. Kevin Everett, Deer Park, TX, 77536

The internet, like water, is now an essential service and right provided to the people by their government and corporations. We the American people demand internet freedom, free from the corruption of detached and greedy private interests. As it stands, Americans are at the mercy of gluttonous regional monopolies for their home internet. These corporations ironically call themselves internet service providers when they intentionally decrease quality of service in order to line their pockets, Their profits are already filled to the brim from already outrageous prices for an inferior, outdated product. You, the FCC, whose duty is to the American people, should be remedying this current fiasco, and not considering further enriching such gold-hoarding curs by allowing them to control our internet usage in any sense. Look to our allies in South Korea for a model network infrastructure. They designed theirs with their citizens in mind, not for financial gain.

328. Nathaniel Kelley, Baytown, TX, 77521

Failing to protect net neutrality will allow businesses yet another way to screw over consumers protected by the law. Please put your constituents ahead of ISPs.

329. James B, Houston, TX, 77062

The internet is too important of a utility to be hampered by paywalls. Keep it fully open for everyone.

330. Travis William Prothro, Houston, TX, 77058

We do not allow electricity, water, trash disposal, or emergency service providers to have certain faster or more promoted services over others, and neither should this be an option of internet services. If I'm paying to be provided internet service at a certain speed then it should always be provided at that speed no matter what tier the host website is at with the ISP. Furthermore I

would feel allowing such a tactic of ISP being allowed to tier speeds based on preference would be akin to censorship. It would give those that can pay the larger voice to those that cannot and is not what as an American. We cannot just accept in good faith these policies wouldn't be abused by the ISP. I feel we should have learned that lesson from companies such as Enron, Wells Fargo, Volkswagen, etc. The other personal note on this matter is I enjoy playing online video games with my friends as a means to relax. I can foresee should net neutrality be compromised ISP levying an added expense against such companies as they know their games are vitally dependent on certain speeds needing to be maintained to be playable. This can also easily be overlapped into many other industries such as video, audio, or entertainment. It's not my ISP's business what sites or how I use my internet service and at what speeds. That is why I pay for the service to begin with and why I pay as much as I do for such a service to be given no matter the content or source from which I am partaking.

331. Luke Smith, Baytown, TX, 77523

Making it legal to disregard net neutrality will only be a positive thing for Internet Service Providers and the people that profit from them, while being a negative thing for everyone else in the US that uses the internet. Capitalism is a beautiful thing and is a major factor as to why our economy is one of the strongest in the world, but it doesn't work for everything. That's why things we deem absolutely necessary, such as policing or education, are either heavily regulated or entirely controlled by the government for the benefit of the people. The internet has become something that is absolutely necessary to be fully integrated into society, whether it be to obtain knowledge or to submit a report for your job or your college class. Some jobs and school assignments can't be completed without the use of the internet, so having companies have complete control of what websites you can go to or how fast they load can make it impossible for some people to do their work to the best of their ability. Capitalism doesn't work when you their isn't competition (monopoly), so expecting competition to prevent negative things like blocking sites or throttling them is just ignorant. ISP's already have enough control of the internet when they run monopolies in rural parts of the country and are able to charge outrageous prices for minimal bandwidth. Adding more fuel to the fire by removing net neutrality will ultimately undermine capitalism and hinder our entire economy as a whole.

332. Abid Steele, houston, TX, 77059

Repeal of net neutrality would be a terrible idea. Just another way to help the rich and limit the poor. Innovation will stagnate as corporations make more money doing less. Our internet access and speeds will fall even further behind the rest of the developed world. DO NOT REPEAL

333. 17-108, Houston, TX, 77059

As someone who uses 10s of GBs of data monthly, net neutrality is really important to me. These proceedings will make it so that companies can abuse us to make more money. The two companies I can use around here right now are AT&T and Comcast. These companies in the past have done things just for money and have terrible service in our area. This will make it easier for these companies to abuse us. Other countries with less freedoms have better standards for their internet. Please vote against these proceedings to protect not just us but yourself and your people from these terrible ideals. Thank you

334. Freedom, Baytown, TX, 77521

I don't know much about Net Neutrality, but the little I do know, I would like complete freedom of what I watch and do on the internet. It in itself is a form of freedom and now it wants to be taken away from us? I really don't like it. Net Neutrality needs to stay.

335. Tommy lim, Dayton, TX, 77535

Keeping the internet open could save people trust me lots of people like me browse the web everyday to escape from our everyday stressful lives if you limit the internet then you will be destroying us and causing riots as we speak.

336. Paxton Downing, Houston, TX, 77059

Please do not restrict, monetize, censor, or otherwise harm the open internet.

337. Cary Clark, Dayton, TX, 77535

Leave the net neutrality rules as they are. Do not give ISP companies more control than they already have over things like this.

338. Jace Flournoy, Channelview, TX, 77530

I'm a business owner and my main source of advertising/reaching potential customers is through the web. I use apps such as Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, etc. to keep in touch with clients and branch out to new clients. ISPs being able to throttle which sites I use to the point where they're unusable in order to further push their own content is downright wrong. What are the little guys supposed to do? With the evolution of technology, the web is becoming almost a UTILITY, without it... it's hard to do anything these days. Imagine being forced to use something that you don't want to because the thing you want to use is blocked, too slow, or just completely inaccessible. I pay a large amount of money monthly to use internet, and I use a whole variety of different services. I expect a completely open internet and when I buy internet access, I expect reliable access to all the Internet has to offer and fast speeds. I don't buy it to get a special email address, cloud storage or some other bogus online offer provided by one specific company. Please make the right decision.

339. NET, Whitesboro, TX, 77657

The Internet should be free from ISP regulation.

340. Moriah Ibarra, Orange, TX, 77632
Please keep Net Neutrality. It has done good so far and I really think we could not do without it. Thank you.
341. Kurt Burrow, Orange, TX, 77632
I beg you not to repeal the net neutrality rules, I don't want even the slightest chance that service providers collude to create a channel-based internet, or many of the other things they block that are very much in the realm of possibility. I don't want to lose the sites I love because I can no longer afford to view them, or them not be able to afford to stay online with any new rules, keep the internet rules as they are, even create more protections to prevent corporations from abusing it's consumers.... but at the very least, don't repeal those we have.
342. Dale Boyett, Kountze, TX, 77625
The internet should be free, just like our speech. Our nation was founded on freedom, our government is supposed to support us, the people, not limit what we can see or talk about. Keep things free, and let us make the choice. Thanks you for your time.
343. Brian Herrick, La Porte, TX, 77571
I fear that classifying Internet Service Providers under Title II classification would make them liable for unlawful material and that a legislative or executive branch could use this to prevent free speech on the internet under the guise of Cyber Bullying and or Hate speech laws.
344. Matthew Brousseau, Seabrook, TX, 77585
It is imperative that you stop net neutrality from being lost, the internet is an important factor of freedom of speech and to deliberately let companies and individuals limit our access as they choose is unconstitutional
345. Cole Duprie, Vidor, TX, 77662
If you take away Net Neutrality then I would be sad and that would be unfair!
346. Kris Zavala, Pasadena, TX, 77504
Keeping net neutrality is what the people want. Aren't you supposed to be looking out for whats best for the people?
347. Zachary, Lumberton, TX, 77657
Keep Net Neutrality. I want my home and mobile internet treated equally. Don't let the ISPs sell us out.
348. Phoebe Devereaux, Baytown, TX, 77520
Stop trying to put all of us in debt even further. There ARE people out there that barely scrape by, and some have to use the net to find work, networking with

their jobs, and other things. To do this will make it more expensive, and we are tired of you breaking our backs. We don't work for YOU, YOU WORK FOR US.

349. Deitric Phillips, La Porte, TX, 77571
Keep the net neutral -- That means no blocking websites, no paid prioritization, and absolutely no throttling!
350. Aaron Hatcher, Buna, TX, 77612
We must keep Net Neutrality in place. When corporations are allowed to slow down bandwidth, which is slow in my area at best, it turns the internet into a drudge. With all of our various devices, and dependance on this modern tool, it would be in the best interest of the American people to keep Net Neutrality. Thank you.
351. Jonathan Templeton, Baytown, TX, 77521
Don't sell off my rights.
352. Sipriano Lopez, Liberty, TX, 77575
Filing in order to keep net neutrality, please keep the Internet free and not shackled by the dominant Internet service provider! You can't let one company determine the speeds of our music and video streaming, that's just inhumane.
353. Karina Valadez, Deer Park, TX, 77536
I believe the internet should be open for everyone. People should not be limited to what they can see on the internet just because they did not pick the right internet company. It would be like phone companies not letting you call certain people because you do not have the same service provider, it just does not make any sense.
354. Kyle Mendoza, Vidor, TX, 77662
Keep the internet free. Don't repeal net neutrality.
355. Raymond Hudson, La Porte, TX, 77571
Net Neutrality is a Must!! any control of my internet by anyone other than myself will be, and lead to more, CENSORSHIP! Also like the Cable TV and such.. Fees will ultimately be required to visit sites or seek certain information. Another LARGE BILL, costing the consumer \$\$\$\$\$ and pricing the lower income portion of America Out of the Information Highway!! Leave it Alone!!
356. Miles Bradley O'Keefe, Seabrook, TX, 77586
I want cheap, fast and unlimited bandwidth!!
357. Mary Zwick, Houston, TX, 77049
Net Neutrality is very important to maintain. As a public servant it is your duty

to do what is in the best interest of the people, not what is in the best interest of corporations (even if they are trying to pay you a bunch of money).

358. Hunter Maxwell Harper, Channelview, TX, 77530
We should have the right to freedom of information with no filters or censors!
359. TJ Powell, Houston, TX, 77059
I strongly support a free and open internet, and oppose ANY attempt by any party to impose restrictions or fees on data, including packet "sniffing", slow-downs or paid speed-ups. No company should be allowed to "buy" an advantage over any other party on the internet. Free and open access for everyone - regardless of size or purpose.
360. Zachary, Baytown, TX, 77523
pls dont
361. Frank Collier Farnell Jr., Anahuac, TX, 77514
In the age of the millennials, there are going to be more and more startup companies that will heavily rely on their online presence to advertise their business. This is one of the easiest ways I have spread word about my past services when I was self-employed and can be more effective than any other form of advertising. Nixing Net Neutrality will hinder a bunch of budding businesses since we live in the digital age, and there is no going back from this. If we go forward with allowing ISP's to throttle and control routes on the internet, you better believe ONLY big-profit companies will benefit, and that is a good way to ensure big corporations are the only ones to have the best quality of service. Why is it that the United States broadband is slower than countries like Bulgaria, Latvia and Romania? Because ISP's in the US can throttle bandwidth and charge much more for slower speeds, and what makes you think they won't do this once they are allowed even more power? I imagine it as if the US road system was owned by private companies, you better believe you would see more tollway's, throttling our speed unless we paid up.
362. Sean A Taylor, Deer Park, TX, 77536
Land of the free, not land of the pay to be free!
363. Timothy Lapointe, La Porte, TX, 77571
Attempting to access the internet is something that has allowed humanity to advance into a technologically booming age. Every bit of information is available with a few keystrokes, and without access to this information, I would never have been able to start my own contracting firm. The internet has bound me with my closest friends whom I met across numerous video games that to this day are standing with me. In a few months, these friends will stand along side me at my wedding, which would never have been possible without having access to these games at fair and unrestricted speeds. I am -not- buying my

packages of internet in order to get 'bundle deals.' I am using the internet to access my company. I am using the internet to play games at fast UNRESTRICTED speeds. Many claim that if you're being discriminated against in speeds, that the rules aren't necessary because if your ISP is discriminating, you can always "choose another," but this is absolutely false. On April 2017, an FCC report showed that 58% of Americans have access to either zero or one broadband ISP, and 87% have access to just two. With such, you can basically guarantee a slow down in internet by throttling bandwidth, which is absolutely unacceptable. Control of the internet must be free at all costs. An open internet must be preserved if mankind is to maintain its growth, and no one, least of all giant corporations, should hold that power in their hands.

364. Dave Midkiff, Jasper, TX, 75951

My internet is already metered, so it makes little sense to stop net neutrality.

365. Sean Dickey, Baytown, TX, 77520

Keeping a free and open internet is exactly what America is supposed to be about. This country was founded, in part, on the idea of being able to freely express and share ideas without censorship. But now the corporations want to control our internet access just as they wish to control every facet of our government. We need a free and open internet. Our ISPs already have enough power with a colluding oligopoly across our nation. They have ability to cap the amount of data we can use per month (despite it costing them nothing more to provide) and sell our browsing history to other companies for the purpose of advertising. Do not give them even more power to decide what we see and at what speed we see it at. All Americans should be able to get the same sites at the same speed from every company.

366. Paul Peloquin, Baytown, TX, 77520

Net Neutrality rules are vital to American Freedom. I do not want my personal information sold by my internet service provider, and the government needs to act as a protective force against this.

367. Kenny McCoy, Baytown, TX, 77523

Hello, I would just like to say that I believe you're viewing the internet in a very wrong way. It is not television, it is the world wide web. I get it, trying to make more money, but this is not the way to do it. You'll be significantly hurting American business, interests and potential careers by making the internet into preset bundles. There are a lot of content creators in a free market, finding market inefficiencies and data for target audiences, is that not what America needs right now? Young people finding new ways to stimulate growth? Anyways, stifling the internet should not be a thing, considering the rest of the world will not be doing it and they'll just advance further ahead of this great country. Let's face facts, there are more countries around the world with better

education, healthcare, and quality of lives, including better internet infrastructures. This is a step backwards if it goes through.

368. Restoring Internet Freedom, Lumberton, TX, 77657

The internet is such a basic part of everyone's lives in this age whether it be from work to play that it isn't right to make people pay more for the same thing or even less than what the internet now. Not only should that not be allowed but internet ISPs being allowed to throttle sites that are competitors to them just for the gain of themselves is very sketchy business behavior.

369. Brian Holden, Sour Lake, TX, 77659

I'm a freelance developer whose livelihood depends on the open internet. If ISP's are allowed to prioritize services to the highest bidder, it will be that much harder for me to get my name out there over larger development firms. In addition, if they can increase prices or throttle speeds whenever they like, it'll be that much more difficult to make ends meet. The area I live doesn't have a wide assortment of ISP's to choose from; if mine decide to raise prices or throttle data usage, I'd have to move away from my entire family to an area with more options in order to continue my career. I cannot urge you enough to keep a free and open internet for all.

370. james wooten, Kountze, TX, 77625

I support net neutrality in every way possible. Please do not kill our open and free internet.

371. Pete Webb, Crosby, TX, 77532

As a gamer, I am in extreme need to no be throttled or limited on the internet. It is what I call home when I'm not working my life away at my job. If Any of my personal hobbies that include the internet get pinched or kinked in any kinds of way, it's going to affect my life dramatically and take away a portion of my "freedom" we so cherish and love about the United States of America. I pay in taxes every year, what the average person makes every year and if all that overtime and extreme taxing my check just goes to the government who can't even give me freedom within my home to browse however I want to, then why am I working so hard to just have a controlled life at home?

372. Jay Mahan, Livingston, TX, 77351

Living out in the country, we only have a couple of choices for internet providers. The choices we do have aren't great and I do get throttled, which means I can't play or communicate with my friends. I get terrible cell service where I live and rely on the internet to be able to make calls with my phone, text, etc. Without it I wouldn't be able to easily call for help.

373. MELISSA CARDENAS, BAYTOWN, TX, 77523

I CARE! I want the power to choose which websites and apps are best.

374. Stephanie Cox, Crosby, TX, 77532
The internet should be left as is.
375. Stephen Turner, Pasadena, TX, 77505
Net neutrality is vital in preserving freedom of expression on the internet. Without it the companies will be able to extort money from many of our favorite websites with threats of their "slow lanes" this is unacceptable and must be prevented.
376. Paul Chance, Houston, TX, 77059
No one owns the internet, keep it open and free!
377. Paulo, Baytown, TX, 77520
Hey guys, look. Please don't do this. I want to keep the internet free and available to everyone not just big company's. Please don't allow for the big company's to take over our internet, you know what that means? No more cat videos at 1080p :(
378. Matthew Massoud, Spring, TX, 77368
I support net neutrality as I believe it to be my right and everyone's right to use our paid services how we like. ISP's make me sick and I am currently obligated to use AT&T as it is the only internet in the area. I don't have a choice on my provider but I do have a choice of what I do with that service. The fact that ISP's want to repeal net neutrality is despicable and I wish I could do something more than this to express that. Sincerely, Matthew Massoud
379. Net Nuetrality, Baytown, TX, 77521
I am almost thirty years old and pay an incredible amount of money each year for my internet. No one should be censoring or deciding what websites I visit as long as they don't include heinous things such as human trafficking, child pornography, and the like. I'm a graphic designer & a gamer! I use the internet for information and entertainment practices. I am a proud Texan and don't think anyone should filter or change anything in my life.
380. Rodolfo Issac Alejandro II, Webster, TX, 77598
It is imperative that the net neutrality laws are held in place for the freedom of citizens to utilize and enjoy as a utility not as a commercial product as with retail. These laws ensure the stability as well as equality of service across the most powerful communication tool in the world. It is a direct benefit and unjustified proposition from corporations that are not intent on keeping a wholistic society and thus segregates not just the sites and applications we use but the entire American community, in keeping persons of interest within the grace of the companies consumers which utilize their products and literally none others. This move also tests the area of antitrust laws given that if these proposed plans come to fruition it will cause a direct improvement for certain

companies over others and thus be a detriment to fair competition. Please understand that it is the right of people around the world and, America has always been the shining example, that we need to continue to prove that information should be shared equally in it's content as well as by it's medium to proceed in making a better society and better political environment. Thank you for your decision which kept these laws intact thus far, I only ask that you continue to defend them for the right of the status quo.

381. Jerry Demary, Seabrook, TX, 77586

I am strongly in favor of net neutrality and a free, open Internet. Please deny all efforts to replace net neutrality. Thank you.

382. internet, Livingston, TX, 77351

We must keep our freedom or fall to dystopia. Do not support prioritizing data if you believe in the freedoms of America.

383. Deborah Hirsch, Livingston, TX, 77351

I strongly urge you to keep net neutrality. All of humanity benefits from an open web.

384. Kaleb Lare, La Porte, TX, 77571

Net neutrality is fundamentally flawed and goes against the core concepts of free speech. As a student, it is incredibly important to me personally that all information on the internet receives equal opportunity and net coverage to keep information fair and unbiased. If a website that is biased is simply not loading or taking a considerable amount of time to load, I know how easy it is to just skip it and go to the next website. This can create biased information being filtered to people seeking legitimate, unbiased information. Coverage already seems to be bottlenecked for the sole purpose of milking extra monthly payments from customers, the information and sites containing it being bottlenecked based on which service provider you have is simply not a reality i want to be a part of. Keep net neutrality.

385. Alan Perez, Baytown, TX, 77521

I am an aspiring teacher that will utilize the web to facilitate learning in clasrroms. To successfully accomplish this with the hundreds of students assigned to me, will require a net neutrality in order to ensure quality and efficiency in class.

386. george martinez, baytown, TX, 77521

we need to save the isp from controlling the internet

387. Christian Rios, La Porte, TX, 77571

I am here to stop Net Neutrality rules from being repealed.

388. Desmond Antoine, La Porte, TX, 77571
Its time the internet finishes its evolution to become utilized in the proper way that our lives demand it to be!
389. Marcos Quiroz, Baytown, TX, 77521
Being able to freely roam the internet is freedom that was given to us and is something that should continue to be given to the public. we pay for the right to have access to the web, why should that be throttled?
390. James Robison, Baytown, TX, 77521
Leave our freedom alone
391. Kyle Napier, Baytown, TX, 77521
Trying to sensor the internet is not why the internet is here in the first place. By trying to control the internet will not go well.
392. Ricky, pasadena, TX, 77505
protect internet user
393. jonathan simmons, Vidor, TX, 77662
Please keep the internet they it is i dont to pay extra fees to my isp to acess certain websites. Neither do i want them to have all the power as to what i choose to do on the internet.
394. Matthew Gwinn, Houston, TX, 77062
Net Neutrality is an important aspect of the world wide web, and allows it to maintain its attribute of being a global connector and library. Companies should not be allowed to force us into helping them make a profit off our social, occupational, or personal dependence on this global network.
395. Brian Hellstrom, Pasadena, TX, 77505
Removal of the current net neutrality from a Title II status would be one of the biggest mistakes our government has made in furthering us as a leader in innovation. We should not hinder a service in the free world we created. Please refrain from making the incorrect decision of removing the Title II status on the internet.
396. Net Neutrality, Deer Park, TX, 77536
Please keep net neutrality because it would be unfair to control what websites I can look at just likes it unfair to have packages on cable providers.
397. Jerry Broussard, Baytown, TX, 77521
Keep the net free, as it was intended to be!
398. Randel Schoenberg, Dayton, TX, 77535

Taking away net neutrality might as well be the equivalent of taking away our 1st amendment rights. I feel the repercussions of net neutrality being dismantled are far greater than anyone who is for dismantling it can even fathom.

399. Shelby Matczak, la porte, TX, 77571

I absolutely support net neutrality. It allows small businesses to compete with large corporations without infringement, promotes the sharing of ideas, and the internet is now a necessity of our society. The FCC should be able to regulate ISPs and insure they are not hindering an open internet to the public.

400. Bob Jones, Lumberton, TX, 77657

The reason for net neutrality is very simple. It satisfies consumers needs and the internet is fine just as it is. There is no need for politics to enter into this equation for your own monetary gain and control . This is what your agenda is and it is sad that individuals want to gain more and more power and control over citizens of these United States. Leave things as they are and move on to your next agenda.

401. Mary Kelley, Corrigan, TX, 75939

Living 3 miles from the nearest cell tower in a home with a metal roof, wifi calling is my only option to use my cell phone as no cell signal can go through the roof. My choice is satellite internet or satellite internet. I do not use Hughesnet for cloud storage nor do I use their email services or website services. I need the internet to communicate by telephone. It is my only source of long distance service and my only phone. It is already difficult to use satellite service during peak demand times. I can only imagine the nightmare if businesses were given priority over consumers. Why not have everyone treated the same?

402. net neutrality, Baytown, TX, 77520

Everyone has used the internet at least once in their lives, and the people that use it regularly (such as myself) or for things such as marketing or other business endeavors shouldn't have to live in fear of what ISPs could do to them. I love the internet, and I strongly believe that it should be free and unfiltered for everyone to use. I shouldn't have to worry about not being able to access my favorite websites, my rates going up, or my connection slowed because a corporation says it should be that way. It's the responsibility of the government and agencies like yours to protect and serve the people, and without net neutrality, that'll be harder than you might think.

403. Steven Nerey, Houston, TX, 77058

Corporations should not be able to determine what I can or cannot access on the internet under any circumstance.

404. Jason Rodriguez, Pasadena, TX, 77504

Come on. The people always win. As many times as this has failed it you must have learned something. The people will not be denied freedom. The day that ceases is the day the world falls into chaos, for there are no forces greater than the masses uniting for a cause.

405. Michael West, Seabrook, TX, 77586
I am against the right to get throttled on the internet.
406. Michael West, Seabrook, TX, 77586
I am against the right for companies to throttled the internet.
407. rachel langnau, Deer park, TX, 77536
The internet should be a free place of ideas and information for everyone. Censorship and trying to control it is wrong and you know it. We don't live in a communist country so you should keep your nose out of the internet
408. Jim Stuckey, Nassau Bay, TX, 77058
Please keep our internet open and free and keep our privacy.
409. umar Babar, orange, TX, 77630
Please Keep net Neutrality
410. Ashly Horner, Houston, TX, 77058
I strongly support Net Neutrality staying under Title two communication act. I only pay internet service providers for access to the internet. I don't use ISP e-mails or any other service. My main concern is keeping unrestricted access to all content available on the internet as fast as possible without favoring or discrimination against any particular website.
411. Ellen Cyr, Houston, TX, 77062
This email confirms my position to support net neutrality on the Internet.
412. Lane Wright, Orange, TX, 77630
I urge that members of the FCC vote to keep net neutrality with the interest of the people in mind. I support net neutrality, along with many, many others and wish for it to still stand.
413. Vincent Hagan, baytown, TX, 77520
Keep net nuetrality. How dare you even consider letting companies pay to control the interenet. The greatest place in the world. and you want to destroy it.
414. Cannon Robertson, Mont Belviue, TX, 77520
This is unfair to the people and limits our access to the information that should be ours this is simply something that benefits business and NOT THE PEOPLE OF THE US

415. Ashley, Pasadena, TX, 77505
A free and open internet promotes spreading of ideas, and drives entrepreneurship. A free and open internet, most importantly, protects the freedom of speech.
416. Jimmy Ballard, Houston, TX, 77062
Keep Title II! Please reject all actions that would give Big Telecom control of the internet. Disregard their smoke-and-mirrors stories about how they want to connect rural America. If Big Telecom truly wanted that, it would have been done long ago. Just say no!
417. Margo Sikes, La Porte, TX, 77571
I'm a freelance artist who is lucky enough to have a following of fans and customers spread across the world thanks to the internet; imagining a world with internet fast-lanes that supports only the largest companies makes me wonder if things like this would be possible in the future for small-time artists and entrepreneurs. No one likes slow internet, so I can imagine it'd deter many from browsing small, personal websites. I think it's important that the FCC has a role in overseeing our communications networks so that Comcast and similar broadband ISPs can't decide on their own who the most worthy of us are; I believe keeping the internet a neutral and level playing field for all is important to everyone without direct ties to big businesses. I think it's important for all of us to have a chance on the internet; not just the big guys. I would rather have a general, singular internet speed than a combination of blazing fast and snailishly slow speeds determined by the content I am accessing.
418. Adam Mangrum, Bridge City, TX, 77611
Please keep Net neutrality, It is very important to let us have our freedom online. I imagine taking away that freedom would just end up angering people across the country and just make things worse than they already are. Do we really want to make things worse? Do we really want to take away people's freedom? Probably not huh?
419. Cody Watson, Houston, TX, 77058
Please preserve Obama-era Net Neutrality protections. PLEASE do your job and protect consumers against ending net neutrality. We are depending on you as our rights are whittled away further and further. Thank you.
420. Jared Groves, Vidor, TX, 77662
75% of Americans support Net Neutrality, and yet this subject is being pushed year after year. How many times does the electorate have to say no? The nation wants a free and open internet. Keep Net Neutrality.
421. Everardo Perez, Baytown, TX, 77523
You need to quit that shit. The internet was by the people and for the people, so

the government doesn't need any say so of what we can and can't see on here.

422. Sarah Burnett, Livingston, TX, 77351
The internet should remain neutral, DUH!
423. Julian Garcia, houston, TX, 77058
I support a strong net neutrality by maintaining ISPs under Title II oversight
424. William M Griffin, Jr., Seabrook, TX, 77586
In this country based on freedom, there is already much of our world that is proliferated with advertisements and forced content of some sort to subconsciously sway your preferences. i just want to watch anime and funny shows on hulu, maybe play some video games, end the day with some good old fashioned american online prostitution. i mean porn, just the only way to pay a girl to have sex with you is if you film it and put it online for people thats pretty weird to me anyways i digress. im a simple man with simple pleasures and i dont appreciate the idea of my net getting throttled based on what content im trying to view, and having to weed through an ocean of promoted content to get there. i already pay enough to comcast in time and money getting cable to work in the first place. dont do this to me
425. Allan Lewis, Lumberton, TX, 77657
ISPs are the most monopolized industry in the entire country, the net neutrality rules barely keep them in check as it is, removing those rules would allow them free reign over our knowledge availability. It would essentially null and void the freedom of information that we currently have and love. It is a threat to our democracy as well, these companies could easily restrict access to any website with an opposing view to their own. Please, for the love of all that is Holy, do not allows these companies to become all controlling tyrants over our knowledge base.
426. Zach Ashworth, Deer Park, TX, 77536
Keep net neutrality in place. I should not have to pay more just to be able to watch Netflix or stream movies because AT&T decided to slow down their services.
427. Christian Guzman, pasadena, TX, 77505
I am just doing my part to help.
428. Alan Tran, Seabrook, TX, 77586
Internet needs to be free and open. ISPs did not create the internet, therefore they should not be able to control what us consumers can view.
429. Michael West, Seabrook, TX, 77586
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are really important to me.I

don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Michael West

430. Erin Eldridge, Seabrook, TX, 77586

I support Net Neutrality, and I fear that if we lose it we will descend into bundling, exclusivity, and abuses spurred by lack of competition between internet providers. I have used the internet for more than 20 years and seen many different forms of it. I remember using Prodigy and America Online and, in all practical terms, being locked into the services they provided. The internet was beyond those walls. Since then, every major innovation on the internet hasn't been provider-driven. It's started somewhere else. Practical search of the internet from Yahoo, Alta Vista, and Google. Practical streaming video from Youtube. Practical, useful e-mail from Google with huge amounts of storage and working spam filters. In the meantime, the various services my actual internet providers offered--smaller, difficult-to-use, spam-filled webmail, or their own internet portals/homepages--stagnated and died.

431. Joel Collier, Lumberton, TX, 77657

I don't have a choice of ISPs. If my ISP is allowed to control, limit, or modify my Internet-using experience, there's nothing I can do about it short of moving

somewhere else.

432. Margaret Offill, Houston, TX, 77044
The Internet is an equalizer in a turbulent time, surpassing gender, class, ethnicity and geography. The existence of the Internet closes gaps between those who have and those who do not. Access to information must continue to be free from and independent of corporations who seek to manipulate the populace out of greed or misplaced humanity. We need all people to be able to access and participate in the opportunities provided through Internet access. In some ways, it's no different than controlling access to clean water or oxygen. Corporations such as major ISPs control enough through their regular business practices; subscription fees for their services is part of first world living. It is in no way fair or just for the Internet content or access to be restricted. Keep it free and accessible. I am very concerned about this issue. As an educator, providing a wide range of information to students is ESSENTIAL to their growth as global citizens. Please consider this issue carefully. The way of the world depends on it. Freedom of the Internet is universal...who are we to judge what is/should be "good" and "allowable?" Some people want cute cat videos, some want medical advice. Some just want to communicate in the world. Gaming, learning, watching...whatever suits. Internet must remain free and available.
433. Chris Peter Francis, Houston, TX, 77062
Net neutrality is an essential piece of how the internet works and giving companies the power to decide on domain preference is a violation of interests of the American people.
434. Rachel E Byrne, Seabrook, TX, 77586
I support stronger net neutrality under title Ii oversight.
435. Richard Dang, Houston, TX, 77059
Please keep Net Neutrality. Let's try not to be on the wrong side of history.
436. SteveNguyen, Bridge City, TX, 77611
Net Neutrality should be upheld.
437. Russell Geyer, Houston, TX, 77062
Net Neutrality should be preserved at all costs. If we allow ISP's to create fast lanes, it will irreversibly damage american innovation and how people use the internet. This would give ISP's the ability to censor any content they would like to, thus ruining the single greatest medium for free speech in history.
438. Kristofer Clingen, Deer Park, TX, 77536
Please Protect Net Neutrality as no company should be able to regulate which websites succeed and which are doomed to fail.

439. Ashlyn, vidor, TX, 77662
strong net neutrality is a good thing, and if our isps get ahold of it, they could shut down youtube, twitch, or netflix on a whim! i think that it should not be repealed AT ALL, because its a bad thing.
440. John Paul PlauchÃ©, Baytown, TX, 77521
I care about an open internet and competition online. Keep ISP's at a distance and do not trust them. Keep NET NEUTRALITY!! Do not sell off our internet!
441. Jonah Morris, Vidor, TX, 77662
Net neutrality is important. Keep it.
442. Paula Lewis, Lumberton, TX, 77657
Internet access needs to maintain its neutrality to insure freedom of information!
443. Jacob Hayes, Huffman, TX, 77335
ISP's should not have the ability to control what I do on the internet. Blocking or throttling a website because of the ISP preference is against the 1st Amendment of the constitution. Countries that block content from their citizens gain a lot of disapproval from the citizens. For example the enemies and/or potential enemies of the United States and democracy itself such as Iran, Syria, and North Korea use this to control their citizens, hence a totalitarian government. Passing this bill would completely defy democracy and the freedoms we have as Americans. Please take this information and think about what you are doing. Life is not 100 percent about money, do the right thing.
444. James, Seabrook, TX, 77586
I'm a strong supporter of net neutrality, ISPs need to either sell access to the entire internet or no service at all. The internet should be equally accessible to everyone with no limits on speed or accessible sites.
445. Curtis Vanegas, Livingston, TX, 77351
I am a teacher that uses the Internet often to be able to teach the children at my school. We don't have the money to get textbooks that cover the constant change in curriculum that the state requires, so we rely on the internet to be able to find materials(worksheet, notes, etc.) so that we can teach our students properly. So I have internet at home so I can be able to do my job, I also have it at home so I can stay in touch with friends, play games online, download games that I purchased, and stream videos. So a reliable fast connection is a must for me. But sadly I do not have fast connection where I currently live cause I only have on option for internet, an older DSL connection that only offers 10MB that cost me over a \$100 a month and most have a landline phone which I do not need and never have used.I believe that the FCC should be involved so that I can have my privacy on the internet, actually have a choice in provides and speeds, and don't have to pay over \$100 a month for a fast and reliable service

that is needed in today's world. Without the FCC involvement, I believe that I will lose access to my privacy, sites, materials I need for my job, and still have to pay over \$100 a month for it.

446. Jonathan Smith, Baytown, TX, 77521
I am filing this to say that I am against taking away Net Neutrality. The internet has and always should be a free place and not blocked to users. Monetizing the internet more than it already has been is criminal and should not be allowed to happen. Keep the internet free. Keep Net Neutrality.
447. Elan Thompson, Lumberton, TX, 77657
America is supposed to stand for the freedom of its people and destroying net neutrality is taking away the freedoms of Americans.
448. Bill Powell, Livingston, TX, 77351
It is our right for all human beings to be educated and be able to seek truth and better themselves intellectually. this will stunt our ability to evolve as an intelligent and caring society. We will have the chance to view others opinions and allow us to understand empathy and how other parts of the world work.
449. Morgan Thrift, Seabrook, TX, 77586
The internet should be a free and neutral place for all. Please do not let corporate interest and greed come before the freedom of the people.
450. Cody Girouard, Orange, TX, 77632
Net neutrality is important! Don't mess with it.
451. Christine, Highlands, TX, 77562
This is malarkey!
452. Jessie, Seabrook, TX, 77586
I do not think you should repeal the net neutrality rules. The internet service providers should not be able to charge to control what websites we can see or use. It creates unfair burdens on smaller companies and on the customers themselves. We lose choice when the ISPs can control what we can see and how easily we can see things. A lot of people already pay subscriptions and if the ISPs can slow those down to speed up others that have the ability to pay extra then we are being unfairly burdened also. Thank you.
453. William Noland, Houston, TX, 77059
This benefits no one except ISPs and could stifle competition and stagnate our economy. 0% of the public benefits
454. Randell Dickey, Baytown, TX, 77520
The internet should be totally neutral. Internet service providers should enable

access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites. Anything less does not encourage competition and favors the rich and powerful over the less so. The purpose of the government is to level the playing field and foster competition instead of favoring a few. Keep the internet neutral.

455. Aaron Ronquille, Sourlake, TX, 77659

Remember the last time we deregulated the stock market and the Government thought that the corps could handle it?By abolishing these rules and regulations we will give corporations more power. Leave it alone

456. joseph marks, La Porte, TX, 77571

The internet needs to be open to everyone without ISP being able limit our access.

457. Benjamin Ashley, Pasadena, TX, 77505

The Internet has always been an environment that encouraged the creations of things, whether useful or creative or just plain pointless. If we give companies the opportunity to decide what sites can be viewed for what price, the ability to create and share will only be for those with permission.Allowing certain Internet providers to filter out or charge "admission" for web services or sites is practically squelching these creators' freedom.

458. Jesus Torres, Orange, TX, 77630

We need net neutrality, without that it will cost a lot of people more while limiting what they can do.it will also give cable companies the authority to dictate whatever they want to be viewed on their service.

459. Kris McBride, Winnie, TX, 77665

If the internet is making it difficult for politicians to hide what they are doing, then it is doing exactly as it should. Our forefathers determined "the right to bare arms" as a fail safe to keep our government on the straight and narrow. How is this any different? If the internet is restricted, then it is an excessive breach of rights pointing society toward totalitarian dictatorship. Restricting internet is simply communism

460. Matthew Nelson, La Porte, TX, 77571

These regulation rollbacks are more about allowing corporations the freedom to do whatever they want with the signals and will stem the productivity of the united states as a whole while draining the funds of Web-going Americans to greedy and abusive Internet service providers such as AT&T, Comcast, and TimeWarner Cable. The internet is the future, Don't let our future become filled with charge per the minute web time, subscription packages to now free streaming services, Gigabyte data caps for heavy users. These are detrimental to not only our wallets but our sanity. This will not further competition, and only

fuel the anti-competitive practices of internet service providers.

461. David Carpency, Seabrook, TX, 77586

I am joining the petition to stop Net Neutrality from being repealed. Net Neutrality has helped me and many other small people be able to sell objects on websites such as Etsy and without net neutrality, the possibility for success of people such as myself is incredibly diminished and can be completely eliminated because I can't afford to get any reasonable amount of traffic to my page, or because ISPs diminished traffic to a smaller website such as Etsy (directing traffic to Amazon or other major websites that can pay). If Net Neutrality is eliminated, then the possibility of any success for many small people such as myself will also be eliminated.

462. Jeffery Rohde, Jasper, TX, 75951

I extremely support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isp.

463. Quinton McDaniel, Sour Lake, TX, 77659

The removal of net neutrality violates a basic human right and will lead to the restriction of free knowledge and communication across the globe. Squeezing consumers for their dollars and disrespecting what the internet is-a place for anybody of any age of any gender of any race of any nationality to freely participate in the freeflow exchange of information back and forth-destroys what little freedom Americans have left.

464. Isaac Chavarria, Pasadena, TX, 77503

It is important to keep the internet free of charges, due to its multi usage around the nation. If Net Neutrality were to be taken away and replaced with constraints coming from internet providers, usage of the internet would decrease.

465. Timothy Sim, Houston, TX, 77062

I strongly stand for Title II Net Neutrality Rules because they keep the Internet open for everyone and allows new great content to come through such as those of Etsy, Kickstarter, Discord, even Vimeo. These are what they are as popular places on the Internet, and they were made popular thanks to Net Neutrality rules. Without these rules, we would never have seen these websites/companies in the first place.

466. John, Baytown, TX, 77523

We need to respect the current manifestation of net neutrality. Passing any legislation will negatively impact internet start-ups that I rely on (such as Discord, as an example). Without the persistence of net-neutrality, many jobs, services, and online communities will suffer a direct blow from any attempted changes to they way online communities interact. On behalf of myself and all others that prefer to have freedom of our own choosing on the internet. The

current positions that are being held are in direct contrast to 1st Amendment rights and give precedent to companies rather than the individuals who consume content and even have their livelihoods attached to such entities. Thank you for understanding and I hope the right decisions are made in keeping the power with the users rather than shift that to whomever is willing to pay the highest bid.

467. Matthew Butler, La Porte, TX, 77571

Given the small space allowed here, I shall be brief. Please ensure that the internet remains an open, free environment where all have equal access. Net neutrality must be protected. Thank you.

468. Cody Megehee, Anahuac, TX, 77514

As a patriotic american, I cannot sit by and let huge ISP's (who already have monopolies over parts of the U.S., if you debate that then why is it that out of the 3 states I've lived in there's only been one choice in provider?) begin to control the internet. Either all sites are treated equally or we will lose what makes the internet a valuable tool for human progress. I cannot sit by and let our country fall further and further behind technologically because of big money in politics. If this passes I will spend the rest of my life thinking about it, and campaigning against whoever passed it.

469. Brett Langford, Baytown, TX, 77520

Please do not gut internet neutrality! This bill will hurt both consumers and small businesses tremendously, and it is fundamentally at odds with freedom of speech.

470. Michael Burgason, mont belvieu, TX, 77523

Im pretty sure the amount of these you are gunna get is gunna be insane so you will never read this. But man do I pity the people trying to push this through

471. Rebecca Palmer, Vidor, TX, 77662

Uphold net neutrality. I want the internet to remain an open, un-gated community.

472. Kevin Hughes, Cleveland, TX, 77327

Keep it free access for everyone. Let us choice where we can and can't go. Not the ISP companies.

473. Oscaar Vega, Pasadena, TX, 77504

I do not want my internet to be shitted up on. #MakeInternetStayTheSameAgain

474. Jacib Gordon, SEabrook, TX, 77586

My ISP already takes enough money from me. I don't feel they should be aloud to charge more or throttle certain sites they dislike. it is just plain unethical.

475. kristy spicer, seabrook, TX, 77586
Net neutrality is important for free speech
476. Shawn Stout, Orange, TX, 77632
I strongly oppose repealing the net neutrality laws as they are today.
477. Benjamin Sallies, Orange, TX, 77632
I want the internet to be open and free to everyone. All websites should be treated equally.
478. Albert Alvarado, Highlands, TX, 77562
Please stop throttling and blocking the internet. It hurts consumers and only helps big business.
479. Conner Garza, Crosby, TX, 77532
Look, I know not everything on the internet is great, but it is available to everyone. If it is beneficial or not doesn't matter. I grew up with the internet since I was a child and it still remains the last stronghold for true freedom. It is ever changing and adapting. Those that intend to control it in some form or fashion might have good intentions at first, but the internet is still an unknown quantity and has limitless potential and power within it. If that power is handed to a select group, it is going to corrupt them no matter what. Please do not relinquish that sort of power to the few so they can subjugate the masses. The internet represents the best and the worst in human society. Giving people opportunities to do some real good, or commit atrocious acts. That doesn't mean it should be tied down and forced to be what some people want it to be. It was created by all of us for all of us. The best way to make the internet great is to leave it alone and let it continue to thrive in it's freedom the way it is now. Don't mess with the internet. If it ain't broke, don't try and fix it.
480. Travis Tabuena, Deer Park, TX, 77536
Net neutrality is the cornerstone of the Internet. Take it away and we don't have the Internet anymore.
481. Mike Ferullo, Houston, TX, 77062
Net Neutrality must be protected to ensure an equal footing for new and upstart businesses. If Net Neutrality is removed we limit our economic growth of the internet to a very small set of companies and their websites. Please keep Net Neutrality.
482. Betty Martin, Houston, TX, 77062
Net neutrality must be maintained. I want and expect protection from invasion of my privacy and price gouging by my internet provider.
483. Doug Aleshire, Houston, TX, 77062

My ISP (Comcast) is always throttling my internet speed down 75% of the time today. It's a constant battle to maintain the contract speed I pay for. The relaxing of Title II net neutrality laws will make things even worse for the poor, it will diminish education, it will impact communication, commerce & numerous other facets of our lives, just so the corporate executives achieve higher salaries & bonuses. To get the higher internet speeds prices will soar with no recourse to receive your contracted ISP minimum speed. We've been down this road before when we only had Title I. There will not be more jobs created in the ISP companies as we slide back to Title I laws; only corporate greed will benefit the few (1 percenters). The business model of an ISP is to constantly grow their infrastructure based upon satisfied customers & that's based upon delivery of a good product & services; not the uncontrolled/ungoverned slowdown throttling of everyone's internet speed to satisfy the wealthy corporate users that can already afford it while they consume bandwidth at a discounted rate. The infrastructure will not have to grow under this "constraining" mentality. What kind of kick back is Ajit Pai going to receive from his previous employer, Verizon?

484. Fernando Ocon, Seabrook, TX, 77586

It's unbelievable that this still has to be said. Ajit Pai and the telecoms do not give a damn about anyone but themselves, and I am for a free and open internet, which can only be maintained by upholding net neutrality.

485. Gerald Monroe, Houston, TX, 77059

Access to the internet is an essential utility, of equal importance as access to electricity or water. Just like there is finite space in a city for power lines, there is finite opportunity to run new telecommunications wires. This means that the owners of those wires enjoy a natural monopoly and in the absence of regulation, could abuse their monopoly as they wish. Regulate internet access like a utility. Require ISPs to disclose their costs, and regulate their prices and service the same way a utility board regulates the power company. Similarly, don't permit data overage charges that have no connection to the ISPs actual costs.

486. Net Neutrality, La Porte, TX, 77571

Net Neutrality is important for people all over the world to have access to the things they love and need to know. If you take this away you're cutting off a majority of the world from the information they need.

487. Shawn George, Pasadena, TX, 77504

So first off the web is where I can communicate with people from all over the world and with anyone I want I play video games as my second job and I need the freedom to be able to do that. I'm tired of hearing all the he said she said crap and everyone saying the internet is bad. Well maybe those who don't know

how to use there services need to learn that they can block certain things because when they keep saying stuff like that they will hurt everyone else in the long run so keep the net freedom to an all time high because thats how is should be.

488. Justin Hammerly, Orange, TX, 77632
I support title II internet. Please do not change this.
489. Nabeesa Saleem, Pasadena, TX, 77504
Net Neutrality is something the US prides itself on along with its abundant freedoms and opportunities. Taking that away tarnished the image of the US and gets rid of a reason that people all around the world look up to us for.
490. John Hartline, Crosby, TX, 77532
We need a strong net neutrality under title 2 regulations. Please keep the internet free!
491. David Zucca, Seabrook, TX, 77586
The internet should remain free and open for every site as is already the case.
492. Cory Harris, Deer Park, TX, 77536
No Paid Prioritization, No Blocking, No Throttling. Keep Access to entire internet
493. Kaitlyn McManus, Village Mills, TX, 77663
Net neutrality is essential to keeping the internet a level playing ground. All Americans should have equal access to the internet, not just those who can afford it.
494. Kathy Kinsel, Woodville, TX, 75979
We need better internet access in the USA, especially in rural areas like mine. Please do not allow interference and degradation of the internet. Please keep the internet free and open. Please maintain net neutrality.
495. Robert Reinartz, Pasadena, TX, 77505
I want net neutrality. We NEED net neutrality
496. net nuetrality, Baytown, TX, 77523
It is irresponsible to allow large corporations' interests in monopolizing the access and distribution of information to the larger public, especially in a democracy where information is increasingly important. In order to preserve the state of the internet as a public and unhindered source of entertainment and information that cannot be openly corrupted, the government should enforce this regulation stopping the creation of monopolies that challenge the nature of the free market and open capitalism, rather than abandon small businesses in the

hopes of pleasing the corporations that don't really need pleasing for personal political or monetary gain.

497. Brian Charles Rieger, Seabrook, TX, 77586
I believe in an open internet that is free of paid prioritization, ISP throttling and ISP blocking. Unrestricted access to information around the globe is a key enabler to a prospering American economy. Access to the internet should not depend on which device is being used, like a smart phone or tablet instead of a personal computer.
498. Raj Penumetcha, Seabrook, TX, 77586
Please Keep internet neutral, thats the only way to keep it free of control. Thank you
499. Net Neutrality, baytown, TX, 77520
I never asked for my government to push this out there. Why wouldn't I oppose people who want mess with my rights to open speech and information. I'm completely against allowing IPS even more control than they already have.
500. Roy Arguelles, Houston, TX, 77062
Net Neutrality is a critical foundation of the Internet as a marketplace, as a medium of communication and free speech, and as a provider of information. Allowing Internet Service providers to limit or manipulate access to the Internet would cause irreparable harm to me, and to all Americans. The FCC should work to protect access to a free and open Internet by strengthening Net Neutrality regulations.
501. Robert Andrew Brown, Sour Lake, TX, 77659
I am a long time supporter of the internet and the technology behind it. Many people watch TV and play video games as a source of entertainment in their daily lives. For myself, the internet is my only source for entertainment. The internet has taught me many things that I did not learn in school. For instance computer programming, cooking, healthy eating, working on my vehicle, money management, and much much more. To think that these resources could be blocked because my ISP doesn't like and/or approve of them that I may not have access to them. Ever since the age of 16 I have been working and paying taxes. I know that my contribution is small given the size of our country, but I do have a say. I do NOT approve of the way things are going. Do NOT take the internet from us or throttle it or block websites that I may want to visit. I demand equal and unrestricted access to both mobile devices and personal computers. Any type of restricted access is poor move. Do NOT let the ISP's have control. Please! Sincerely, Robert Brown
502. michael lam, la porte, TX, 77571
MAKE THE INTERNET EQUALITY FREE AND NOT MONEY PAID

503. Jason Schneiderman, Houston, TX, 77058
I currently only have one choice of internet provider for my home. As a scientist who works from home often internet connectivity from home is essential for my job, which is supported by network neutrality. No matter the source I am connecting to for my work, be it a university, government agency, or private institution, I know my connection will not be throttled, filtered, or prioritized by my internet provider. If these rules regarding net neutrality are taken away then my work will be greatly effected, for example if my ISP signs a deal with a cloud storage provider and hence slows down or blocks connections to over storage providers, any time that I am working with an organization that uses another storage provider my work and productivity would suffer. Given that the majority of my work is as a contractor for a federal government agency, any throttling, filtering, or prioritization of content by my internet provider would only cost the federal government money due to a loss in my productivity.
504. Christos Patelis, Seabrook, TX, 77586
Keep the internet neutral! A strong democracy is formed when its participants have access to information (e.g. the internet). Regardless of which side of the aisle you belong to, a neutral internet is in line with what it means to be an American.
505. Stephen O'Brien, Highlands, TX, 77562
Net Neutrality is of vital importance to myself and other Americans and free speech. Repealing it would allow the major ISP companies to control what is accessible on the internet, enabling them to push their own agendas, or charge us more just to watch a movie in high quality. Please vote against its repeal. The fact that Chairman Pai was an attorney for Verizon should raise some eyebrows
Stephen O'Brien
506. ashley weseman, seabrook, TX, 77586
the internet is doing just fine the way it is. don't change anything about it; there's no need to.
507. Tyler Elkins, crosby, TX, 77532
Please do a little research and stop just being a puppet of the party leaders. Think of your constituents for once like a real government official. Thank you
508. Kennen Riley, Baytown, TX, 77521
I want the freedom to look at what I want, not what the government or large corporations want me to look at.
509. Jake , Seabrook, TX, 77586
For once think of the people. Not the corporations. Not the money: this world is fr the people, not for the huge companies I gain every sort of handle on our everyday lives to reel all of the money out of every individual. The internet is

for everyone from everywhere. It allows people from different ethnic backgrounds, and different amounts of pay to come o one place and to feel connected to one another free of price. This is the only place where views can be heard and freedom can be experienced. We will continue to fight back for our freedom. The internet should have no strings attached to allow anybody to a source of information to learn and to connect with others. I'm asking you not to ruin this. The internet is the one place where you can do what you want without any interference, please do not allow these major corporations to have strings over it. It's not fair to the people. The internet is a multiplex data base for anybody any where to access. To not destroy the beautiful establishment of the internet for money. This world is for the people not for the millionaires. Jake

510. Mallory, Deer Park, TX, 77536

I strongly support Net Neutrality. The entire online ecosystem benefits when consumers can access to the entire internet, regardless of how they connect. ISPs should not be able to control my access to websites.

511. David Seijas, Pasadena, TX, 77505

If you care about innovation, you'd keep net neutrality. If you only care about money, then you're just hurting people and not letting them innovate

512. Loren Green, Channelview, TX, 77530

As a person who uses the internet to express myself creatively o the world I speak out in support of net neutrality. Please don't make changes that will adversely affect our internet freedoms

513. Patrick Alexander, Houston, TX, 77062

I don't want to feel restricted when using the internet.

514. Terry D Bates, HOUSTON, TX, 77058

Net Neutrality must be left in place to ensure free and open access to the internet.

515. M. Smith, Houston, TX, 77059

Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I was able to continue with my college education by completing courses online instead of taking a year off and I truly believe that important Internet benefits like this would be lost if Internet Service Providers had the power to discriminate against certain content and services. I buy Internet access to get an email address and to get reliable access to the Internet at faster speeds. I do not have many choices for broadband internet access and the costs of switching ISPs in my area would be high. I would most likely suffer a financial penalty, have to buy new equipment, and wait for installation. I also believe that that broadband ISPs should be subject to oversight by the FCC, and the FCC should protect all consumers from invasions of privacy, fraudulent billing, and price gouging by broadband providers.

516. Jesus Avalos, Pasadena, TX, 77504
Net neutrality is necessary for the people's individual freedoms.
517. Ethan Hann, Dayton, TX, 77535
The internet is a wonderful place full of very helpful and informative information. I believe that we don't need some grand overseer such as the FCC to censor and block or even slow down websites that are deemed "unsafe". You don't get to decide what's best for everyone.
518. Andrew Arrendell, Cleveland, TX, 77327
Like many others I am writing to plead the case to keep the internet a free and competitive enterprise. Were the best entrepreneurs can compete without some great monopoly like Verizon, Comcast, Amazon, At&t, or any others dictating what is shown to me and at what speed. Americans are paying hefty bills for access to the internet not the "Products and other services" that opponents to net neutrality are trying to promote. Should the 2015 decision be repealed a handful of large, untouchable, and undemocratic organizations will be allowed to directly censor what we see when we search and restrict the speed at which we are accessing that information. If it should pass then America's policy will be no better than China and their authoritarian censorship practices. Please fight to keep this system free and under the protection of our Government.
519. Mario Barrera, Baytown, TX, 77521
Nothing good will come from this, I promise. This will cause lots of disruption in society.
520. net neutrality, El Lago, TX, 77586
As it's been said time and time again, the current state of internet access is essentially composed of regional monopolies. Before worrying about giving the ISPs more money through getting rid of the regulations that provide the medium for so many Americans to do business in the modern era, restructure and simplify the ways to get internet access, and provide more options. Going through with this repeal despite the public outcry clearly demonstrates loyalties to corporations and money over the public's best interest. A government should be of, by, and for the people, not a select group who happen to have more money and own companies. Thank you for hearing the public opinion in this forum, and please act with it at the center of the decision making, not partisanship or other interests.
521. Matthew Frederick, Bridge City, TX, 77611
Don't do this. Stop trying to govern something that doesn't need to be governed, everyone can see that this is just a chance for you to line your pockets with money of ISP providers. JUST. STOP.
522. Tamara Rezendes, Woodville, TX, 75979

Keeping the net neutral allows people to retain their freedom to gather information from wherever they want. Giving internet companies the power to choose which websites users can and cannot go to removes the user's freedom of choice concerning where they want to go on the internet, one of their most basic human rights.

523. Yonathan Valles, Pasadena, TX, 77505

I demand access to the entirety of the internet, free of paid prioritization, blocking and/or throttling.

524. Breanna, houston, TX, 77062

This was something I supported years ago and still do today. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please stop bringing this up as an issue. This change would really be a slippery slope for real internet freedom and reduce smaller businesses access to consumers. For the first time in our history small business failures are increasing at greater rates than startups. Now is certainly not the time for such a barrier!

525. JoLynn Homin, Vidor, TX, 77662

I do not support changing net neutrality in a way that would jeopardize the internet freedoms that we have. Everyone deserves the right to internet access and exposure.

526. Jeff Tave, El Lago, TX, 77586

Keep the internet open for all. I want net neutrality! I want the power to choose which websites and apps are best.

527. Anna Gawlik, Houston, TX, 77062

The internet is now intertwined in everyday life. It is used by students to access new information. People use it to pay bills, access their account information, purchase new items and find information on services. Entrepreneurs, who potentially provide local jobs, need access to it to complete transactions. Even the unemployed and homeless are expected to have access to the internet to find the services they need. DO NOT hand control of access over to big business. It will be those big businesses who will benefit, and it will be the individuals who will pay in the end.

528. Hayden, Lumberton, TX, 77657

Censorship needs to be stopped. Hayden

529. Steven McKinley, Crosby, TX, 77532

How about I mandate a tax that requires you to pay an extra \$5 to change a 'fixed' setting on your houses HVAC system? So in the winter when you want your house to be warmer than 50F, you gotta pay \$5 just to turn on the heater to be comfortable in the winter. Oh, and the fixed rates change based on the season.

Gotta keep with the customers demands, right? So in the summer, if you want it to be cooler than 89F, you need to pay an extra \$10 each time you turn it on. It strains the HVAC system harder to keep your house cool in the summer, harder than it does to keep it warmer in winter, don't you know? I work in the HVAC industry. You'd be pissed off if WE CHARGED YOU EXTRA just to use the service you already paid for, right? So cut this crap with trying to control the internet. It's getting asinine at this point. Steven McKinley

530. Matthew Qin, Houston, TX, 77059

Ok yeah so I'm a teen. Just getting that out there. I'm trying my best to secure a future for myself and possibly a family. If ISPs's want to charge more money to stuff their already fabulously wealthy pockets and stifle freedom to information, then I have three words for them. Up yours asshole.

531. Joseph Conger, Baytown, TX, 77523

I live in an area with little to no choice in ISPs. If they are allowed to limit access to websites, I don't have the option of going to another provider. I shouldn't have to pay more or live in certain areas to access the "WORLD WIDE" Web.

532. Lindsay Cole, Lumberton, TX, 77657

It is unfair for ISPs to charge more for certain content. If anyone should have that ability it should be the owner of the individual website. Keep our internet free and open! Education and freedom of expression are something we should all support!

533. Jared Martin, Bridge City, TX, 77611

I support net neutrality because the internet should always be open and free. Imagine if your utility company had the ability to prioritize appliances in your house. You would think it was absolutely insane that you had to pay more money per month to be able to use your microwave at full power, because you already pay for electricity right? So the only way that you can use your microwave is on low or if you upgrade your current power plan to include full power microwave usage. Well the utility company has their own microwave that you can use at full power without having to upgrade your power plan. This sounds pretty anti consumer right? Replace the utility company with an internet service provider and the microwave with your favorite streaming service, or website, (Netflix, Hulu, Spotify) and it's pretty obvious that we have to keep Net Neutrality.

534. David Painter, Houston, TX, 77058

First, let me state I understand the complexities of the Internet and come to this comment as a 61-year-old consumer and a person that has lived through the plain old Telephone, OTA Television, Cable Television, and the Internet. I must

say as complex as everyone is making out this Net Neutrality to be, it basically needs to be viewed and regulated under two (2) different systems or FCC regulations, connectivity and content. The Internet is full of regulatory complex issues, but this debate is not. The ISPs need to be regulated as a common carrier, just like the phone companies, as they are a conduit to get the Internet information from their servers to the consumer, no different than the telephone switching office to the consumer. If the ISPs want to additionally provide services, they can spin off a services company that would be regulated as a content provider. Just because the major group of ISPs are large corporations that can do both, delivery and content, doesn't mean they should restrict how I receive Internet content using their multi-functional business model. I understand, due to the size of the corporations involved it places a lot of pressure to help the American business climate, however, this is not good for small business or the consumer and needs to be removed from consideration. The Internet, due to its reach into all forms of communication needs fair connectivity to all users without the interference of the ISPs. Allowing the ISPs to charge extra, beyond what is required to connect-publish on the Internet or even just deny access has no benefit to anyone except the ISPs. FYI, this will be copied and sent to my Congressman and Senators so hopefully, a law can be developed to end this debate every few years and provide a steady regulatory policy for the Internet may bring about positive change, so connectivity is not so one-sided.

535. Andy Martinez, la Porte, TX, 77571

Internet service providers should not have the power to block content they don't like; slow down access to specific content just because they don't like the content; nor should a single provider have access to lay a "tax-like" payment for internet, more than it is now. With Title 2 being reenacted, it will cause a severe shift of power between the average American and Internet Service Providers. It will cause numerous conflicts should an ISP not like content one person is watching, or providing via LiveStream, or FaceBook, or YouTube, or Twitch, et cetera.

536. Ashley Tomblin, Lumberton, TX, 77657

To pass this law would be an impingement upon the rights given to us in the First Amendment, as well as vastly limiting our connection to the rest of the world. Just the thought of this becoming a reality is incredibly frightening. I urge you to take action against it. Ashley Tomblin

537. Martha Finney, Houston, TX, 77062

I would like to add my name to the list of those protecting net neutrality. Content should not be decided by providers & funds. It undermines our democracy. There should be EQUAL ACCESS, EQUAL CONTENT from the internet for all no matter who the person is, where they live or how much

money they pay.

538. Leslie Stenerson, Houston, TX, 77062
An open net is something that we need. It allows for free expression, something that is protected by the 1st amendment, which covers freedom of speech, why would this not be included under that protection.
539. Paul Walston, Vidor, TX, 77662
Please don't remove Net Neutrality. The Internet itself is a paid privilege to have and everyone in the world who has it can submit useful information and get together as part of a community. To make people pay even more to have access to this source of information, which is built by the community, is to take away our freedom of speech and our free information sources.
540. Kris McCain, Baytown, TX, 77521
Don't be such idiots to remove net neutrality. I didn't fight in 3 tours of the same war and serve this country for 12 years to come home to some bullshit like this.
541. Philip Ruke, Houston, TX, 77062
Net Neutrality is what is keeping our internet the amazing host of websites and knowledge that it is! Removing Net Neutrality is going to do nothing but harm and everyone can see that. There is nothing to gain from getting rid of Net Neutrality and everything to lose. Please do not mess up what we have going for us! We have a miracle at our finger tips and I and everyone I know wants to keep it that way!!
542. Jason Ward, Cleveland, TX, 77327
I firmly believe that for smaller ISPs net neutrality is an advantage for their business, and as such a benefit for the residents of rural areas most smaller ISP's serve. Taking away Title II from ISP's will result in the large ISP making deals with large data companies like Netflix and getting paid to prioritize data. This will result in a large company being able to offer a lower rate and undercut competition from small ISP's that don't have to pull to compete to get paid to prioritize. This will only solidify the quasi- monopoly the large service providers like ATT and Verizon have across so much of our nation. As a resident of a rural area, I fail to see how taking away the basics of net neutrality will assist me in getting quality broadband. I firmly believe Title II provisions need to remain in place.
543. James Jay, Seabrook, TX, 77586
The internet is a beautiful thing. It has allowed friendships to form despite the distance or nationally. It has some who may suffer from an illness the opportunity to make a life for themselves. The internet can do so much for so many people, please do not take this beauty away.

544. Oscar Landin, Anahuac, TX, 77514
The Internet should remain neutral
545. Autumn Dumis, baytown, TX, 77521
The net needs to remain free. It's a creative outlet for SO MANY PEOPLE and destroying that would be horrible.
546. Robert Carrier, La porte, TX, 77571
the internet needs net neutrality without it this is just another form of slavery that has been used in the states for far too long
547. Bryan Rosales Bush, Bridge City, TX, 77611
We need Net Neutrality! Trying to get rid of it will only hurt startup businesses (which if it weren't for entrepreneurs, this country wouldn't be where it is today) and all the common people who have to get throttled by major ISPs- and not to mention the fact that many internet-based companies will change their main headquarters out of the U.S. because a change like this will cause international disturbances. But, hey, who cares? All major ISP companies have to do is bribe politicians with money to remove NN and cover it up under the guise of capitalism!
548. Joshua Stephens, Pasadena, TX, 77505
There is only one internet, and its rules must apply globally to all connections.
549. John Baumann, Houston, TX, 77062
It is a crying shame that the FCC would so blatantly go against their stated mission to "make available so far as possible, to all the people of the United States, without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex, rapid, efficient, Nationwide, and world-wide wire and radio communication services with adequate facilities at reasonable charges." By siding in opposition of Net Neutrality, the FCC would allow Internet Service Providers to charge their customers unreasonable fees for access to the internet.
550. Guadalupe Alcantar, Crosby, TX, 77532
The Internet is a powerful tool this day in age. It connects everyone around the world and has a true freedom to it. The Internet should not be constrained by anyone, not only would it not be fair it would diminish the Internets ability to be a powerful tool that anyone could use.
551. Anna Hall, Crosby, TX, 77532
It connects everyone around the world and has a true freedom to it. The Internet should not be constrained by anyone, not only would it not be fair it would diminish the Internets ability to be a powerful tool that anyone could use. Since i use the internet to access information for school, having information or resources held on the back burner to more profitable resources in unfair.

552. Justin Whitehead, Lumberton, TX, 77657
Removing Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 would undermine the purpose of the Act. Under Title I section 1 it states that the purpose of the Act is to "make available, so far as possible, to all the people of the United States... a rapid, efficient, Nationwide, and world-wide wire and radio communication service with adequate facilities at reasonable charges". If Title II were to be removed, section 202, which states that "It shall be unlawful for any common carrier to make any unjust or unreasonable discrimination in charges, practices, classifications, regulations, facilities, or services for or in connection with like communication service, directly or indirectly, by any means or device" would also be removed. This would make it possible for companies to charge more than what would be considered "reasonable" for certain sites. The companies could demand a charge from sites to get full broadband network access, and those that didn't pay would have little to no connection to consumers. This could harshly affect the hundreds of businesses who rely on the internet to sell and market their goods.
553. Justin Whitehead, Lumberton, TX, 77657
In my previous submission, I forgot to add that perhaps an alteration of Title II would be more beneficial than its complete removal.
554. Richard Gibson, Pasadena, TX, 77505
Save The internet. Please protect net neutrality!
555. 17-108, deer park, TX, 77536
Open internet is as democratic as it gets, plain and simple.
556. Carson Andrus, Baytown, TX, 77523
I am highly disappointed that the FCC is considering rolling back its stance on Net Neutrality. As a citizen I am appalled that the government is willing to throw away rules that protect start ups and consumers in favor of supplying massive cable companies like Comcast with ways to make even more money. I am absolutely against the destruction of Net Neutrality.
557. Robert Lauzon, Houston, TX, 77062
The internet should be a free place void of paid prioritization, content blocks, and Throttling. If nothing else this is a direct attack on the free flow of information to the voters and people of the united states.
558. Alexander Mustain, Crosby, TX, 77532
kinda crappy to try and take away more internet freedoms, all that really does is move us one step closer to becoming like Russia, or a dictatorship, though there isn't much difference between the two.
559. matthew baran, el lago, TX, 77586

Keep net neutrality alive you greedy pigs keep my internet free and keep away from our free speech and marketing you NAZIS

560. Matthew Baran, el lago, TX, 77586
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE TWO FOR GREEDY INTERNET NAZI'S KNOWN AS ISP'S
561. Angelina Torres, Onalaska, TX, 77360
Please leave the Internet as it is. This whole thing is so silly. The government has control over everything already -- leave this to the people for once.
562. Emily Kampen, La Porte, TX, 77571
Without a free internet, I would not be able to contact my internet friends and girlfriend, whom I have known for years. I rely on an open internet to find people to talk to who share my interests. I also take pixel art commissions over the internet, and I would be entirely unable to create and share my art without the internet. I implore you to keep the internet free and open for everyone.
563. Adam R Lake, Vidor, TX, 77662
Internet and data service providers shall not "throttle" my access speeds to particular interests of their choosing. I access multiple servers for multiple purposes, each purpose being individually separate of another, making each access type billable by an ISP if net neutrality is infringed. This is an apparent money grab so that I can be additionally charged for things I am already paying for, we the people own the internet, we the people paid for its development, and we the people do not want our internet in the hands of special interest organizations. The internet is a utility, not a product to be sold with special colors and a limited amount of channels.
564. Cameron Hogarth, Houston, TX, 77059
I support the continued Title II regulation of the Internet and strongly urge the current leadership of the FCC to reconsider their position, as their decision to repeal the regulation will only serve to infringe upon the rights, safety, and privacy of every US Citizen. Net Neutrality is essential to the continued intellectual, technological, and economic growth of the nation. Please do not prioritize the desire of corporations already enjoying a duopoly of the national internet market to further line their pockets over the rights and needs of the American people.
565. Quinton McDaniel, Sour Lake, TX, 77659
Taking away net neutrality destroys the freeflow exchange of information that has led to successful startups and business that now lead America's economy on the global scale. Taking this away is going to destroy America's economy, worse than it already is, and plunge us farther down the ladder.

566. Nat Bujnoch , Houston, TX, 77062

As a citizen of the Internet, I do not desire to see content creators abused by any entity. And ending Net Neutrality allows for ISPs to create situations where they can mistreat Web based companies along with Internet consumers. Ending Net Neutrality creates the possibility for limiting communication, companies, and the Internet's potential as a world changing technology. Thus, I beg you to save Net Neutrality. Thanks for protecting Internet users like me by upholding the existing Title II net neutrality rules.

567. Matthew Qin, Houston, TX, 77059

Net neutrality is important if we wish to be able to progress. Risking this for the profit of huge ISP corporations is unexcusable. Matthew Qin

568. Zacariah Guien, Crosby, TX, 77532

Zach Guien Zacariah Guien

569. Peter Morgan-Dimmick, Houston, TX, 77062

This country was founded upon freedom of choice and freedom of information. Keeping net neutrality is of utmost importance to the flow of information. Do not allow ISPs to control American citizens' access to the open exchange of ideas and information. Do not allow ISPs to put profit over country and give the the power to decide what information is acceptable for Americans to access. Keeping ISPs under Title II classification will prevent abuses of power and help keep this nation free.

570. Brandon Dunbar, Baytown, TX, 77523

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are of much concern to me. I urge you to protect them. I believe that ISPs should not have the ability to legally block websites, slow them down, prioritize some over others, or otherwise control the internet experience of myself or others. I urge you to stand for the rights of the people you have sworn to serve and to show the notorious backbone of a Texan when standing up to ISP censorship. ISPs have been manipulating user content openly and it can no longer be ignored. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices

and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Brandon Dunbar

571. Dillon Hotz, Seabrook, TX, 77586

This is a bad decision if you do this it will make everyone depressed and unsocial
Dillon Hotz

572. Moriah Hargrove Anders, Houston, TX, 77586

This issue of net neutrality is my biggest single issue right now. As a student who just recently graduated from engineering school, there is simply no way I would have managed without unlimited and unrestricted access to small, one-off tutorials and explanations of concepts. In my current job, I have to reference many sites in order to verify how certain technology works. I would have a hard time finding the information I need if certain sites were given priority bandwidth. The ISPs that would be in charge of the internet cannot even keep our TVs running well all year -- why would we entrust them with the internet? Additionally, I don't believe that there are enough ISPs for the market to truly be competitive. In my part of Houston, there was one ISP that I was allowed to sign up with. It didn't matter how much they charged - I was going to buy it anyway. But, that's just not fair to consumers. The ISPs already try to squeeze the last financial drop out of consumers, why is it necessary to give them even more control? If my internet changed and certain sites would have priority and certain sites would disappear, then I would not purchase it. The reason the internet is amazing is because I can do anything with it -- including buying a knitted sweater from a small shop owner in Colorado. I don't want it to change.

573. Drew Hood, Seabrook, TX, 77586

Please protect net neutrality. Don't let the enticing title "restoring freedom of the internet" fool you this is really freedom for big business to control and throttle the internet. The rolling back of net neutrality is freedom for "The Man" not freedom for The People!

574. Omeed Ashtiani, Jasper, TX, 75951

I firmly believe that ending net neutrality would have similar effects as the censorship of internet in other countries. China censors their internet for

primarily political reasons, but others may suffice. Though we are using a capitalist approach, the result is the same. Big companies will use this to promote their brand and those who pay them, thus censoring the internet. I understand that they may lobby for you to support them, but I believe you should stand for the people and fight against it. I know many people who are against the ending of net neutrality but are not advanced with computers. They travel to their specific news sites that may come from other countries. Furthermore, as a son of a small business owner, the ending of net neutrality would have been detrimental to our startup. Please keep net neutrality in the hands of the consumers and not the large companies.

575. Michelle Garza, Pasadena, Texas, U.S.A, TX, 77504

Net neutrality is a fundamental of free speech, it protects those who want their voices to be heard. It supports small businesses who would otherwise fail, and it allows the people to choose what they want to do and watch online.

576. Jason Street, Pasadena, TX, 77505

Ajit Pai (current FCC head) has stated in the past that removing title II (net neutrality) will allow ISPs the room to innovate and improve the customer experience. How have the big ISPs not had the room and money to do this until now? Why are we to "trust" they will do the right thing, when until now, they have only acted in self interest and profit?

577. Nathaniel Naranjo, Deer Park, TX, 77536

A free and open internet is the ultimate equalizer. Little guys can compete with the big guys and actually have a fair chance of winning!

578. Susan Wheelless, Laporte, TX, 77571

Internet is the new medium for this country and the world. If free speech is to be constitutionally protected it should also include the internet because once corporations with agendas own these entities free speech will not be protected and will die.

579. Alvaro Rodriguez, Houston, TX, 77059

I am urging the FCC to protect net neutrality. The great innovations brought about by the neutral internet would be diminished and new potential innovations would be stifled. I urge you to maintain net neutrality

580. RP, Seabrook, TX, 77586

I DO NOT consent to the destruction of net neutrality. The internet should stay classified as a public utility and remain open. It is one of the last bastions of free speech and I would not have it run by corporations who would seek to undermine that fundamental right just to increase their profits. That is NOT what democracy looks like!

581. Bruce Williams, Taylor Lake Vilage, TX, 77586
Dear FCC, Your agency has had a legacy of ensuring a level playing field for all participants in the communications market; please keep it that way by ensuring net-neutrality remains the law of the land.
582. joel, seabrook, TX, 77586
To give these big companies power over our internet is the worst idea ever why should they have this the airwaves belong to all of us and everyone who uses the internet must be treated equally
583. Paola Castillo, La Porte, TX, 77571
Free speech is the first amendment and our rights must be protected.
584. Matthew Gwinn, Houston, TX, 77062
Net Neutrality is an important aspect of the world wide web, and allows it to maintain its attribute of being a global connector and library. Companies should not be allowed to force us into helping them make a profit off our social, occupational, or personal dependence on this global network.
585. Pat, Pasadena, TX, 77505
There are just a few companies that sell internet service to my area there is no competition and these companies can slow the speed down at any time and hold me hostage for higher payments. Break these companies up!! Also I will not be held hostage to you or them just so you can line your pockets and theirs at the expense of the people.
586. Amanda Goode, Seabrook, TX, 77586
The internet is a public commons that should be left as such.
587. Aric Lange, Orange, TX, 77630
The rights of people to equal access of information is vital to the futures of American people economically, politically, and spiritually. Do not let net neutrality be thrown aside for supposed benefits to corporations and the "customers" they claim to represent.
588. Liz Freeman, Houston, TX, 77049
The internet has become the primary form of communication from most of the Western World. It should remain an open exchange, free from control of the 800lb gorillas of industry. Even as someone who holds fairly conservative personal views, I recognize the importance of the free exchange of ideas. All persons are entitled to equal opportunity to be heard and to hear others.
589. Stewart Wooten, Crosby, TX, 77532
Please keep us "Little People" in mind when you making your decisions about our net.

590. Sharon Clutter, Seabrook, TX, 77586
FCC, it is right to gut net neutrality and get the government out of regulating the internet. Allow capitalism to flourish without government interference or collusion.
591. Isaac Stoddard, Houston, TX, 77062
Net neutrality is the democracy of the internet. We must not imperil democracy anywhere.
592. Melinda Auten, Seabrook, TX, 77586
Keep the net open and free for all! Don't sell out your fellow Americans to the greedy corporations!
593. Greg Polk, Livingston, TX, 77351
LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE! I am tired of the FEW telling the MANY how it's going to BE. I hope this is the beginning of the end of Corporate Americas strangle hold. Our voice matters! Perhaps in this matter WE will again find our Spirit that made America great.
594. Hunter Langley, Deweyville, TX, 77632
We have a right to see what we want, with no limitations.
595. David French, Deer Park, TX, 77536
A group of small men, should not, and cannot be allowed to continue to persecute the masses. Free speech is the last line of defense against such an enemy.
596. Justin Guelker, Pasadena, TX, 77503
Comcast, Verizon and AT&T want to end net neutrality so they can charge extra fees & control what we see & do online. This is going to cripple the common people, please, publicly support the existing rules regarding net neutrality. This will benefit everyone that uses the internet. The only reason the net neutrality is currently being contested, is so that major corporations can bleed more money out of consumers. Thank you for your time!Justin Guelker
597. larry bland, orange, TX, 77632
keep the internet open , net neutral , for the people not the companys that are just greedy money hungry they already make way to much also used to have dial up connected at 28.8 kbs and that is terribly slow dont want to see internet like that again think about the people for once
598. Jake Watson, Pasadena, TX, 77505
This is completely unbelievable! What ever happened to freedom of speech!?
Jake Watson

599. Javier Ortegon, Baytown, TX, 77520
We have the right to bear arms for the security of free state against a tyrannical government. I want the rules in place so it eliminates even the slightest possibilities of ISP's being able to undermine startups, throttle me because I use more data than others, (even if I pay for *unlimited*) or even restrict my access because it is in their interest. It does not matter that they have not done any of these or not. (which they have) It is that they will be able to do these things. (which they will)
600. ethan acres, houston, TX, 77520
Do not take away our internet freedom. Do not roll back net neutrality rulings. Net neutrality is what the internet should be about.
601. Sean Gassner, La Porte, TX, 77571
STOP SCREWING OVER EVERYDAY PEOPLE TO SATISFY YOUR GREED. EAT A CANDY BAR INSTEAD. YOU'RE NOT YOU WHEN YOU'RE HUNGRY. Sean Gassner
602. Steven Hyman, Pasadena, TX, 77504
We need to keep Net Neutrality under Title 2.
603. Susan Bales, Livingston, TX, 77351
Don't fix it, if it isn't broken!! Leave our internet alone!!
604. Eric Norris, Houston, TX, 77059
STOP MESSING WITH OUR INTERNET!
605. Howard W., Pasadena, TX, 77504
No way. I hate the idea of being watched, and the extra fees would only put more stress on my family! I absolutely am against this and I hope this absolutely doesn't happen. Howard W.
606. Kristin , Baytown, TX, 77521
The fact that we are fighting this again is ridiculous. The abolition of Title II classification of Net Neutrality would - in no way benefit any American citizens and would only benefit bigger corporations and or ISPs. This is our right to have a free and open internet without the need of having the pay extra just to access certain websites, or the very concept of having internet service providers control what you see online. Leave Net Neutrality under the classification of Title II.
607. Jeremy Chapman , Cleveland, TX, 77327
Why not just quit using the internet so much if they do give power over it to big companies? You would literally make their power useless. I honestly don't think you have the courage to boycott the internet if it happens but I am so sure this

campaign will stop the FCC from accepting money to end net neutrality.

608. Eli, El Lago, TX, 77586

The telecommunication companies involved in the debate over net neutrality are not only being duplicitous in my opinion, their arguments that increased regulation of internet practices will have a detrimental effect on their businesses just don't hold water. They argue that regulations will deter investments and hinder expansion while at the same time communicating to their investors that Title II has not impacted business. In 2016, Comcast's CFO admitted to investors that any concerns the company had were "fear" based and AT&T told their investors in 2015 that regulations would not impede the deployment of fiber for their infrastructure. The deceit is mind boggling. Moreover, I argue that if they in fact face any challenges (which again, they are telling their investors do not exist), then it is up to them to develop strategies and solutions to overcome those challenges. It should not be the burden of the people, we should not be giving up our rights just so that their research and development teams don't have to do any heavy lifting. I would argue that it is in our best interest, as a people and as their consumers, to push them to be better at what they do. They will innovate and they will benefit from it as the providers, and we will benefit as the consumers. It is this sort of dynamic that makes America and our companies great, not control over markets. Adam Smith, one of my favorite philosophers, wrote in "Wealth of Nations" that "To give the monopoly of the home-market to the produce of domestic industry, in any particular art or manufacture, is in some measure to direct private people in what manner they ought to employ their capitals, and must, in almost all cases, be either a useless or a hurtful regulation." And a quasi monopoly is exactly what would be created by not protecting a free and open internet. Protecting net neutrality in turn protects healthy competition, removes barriers to free trade, and thus allows for the greatest good for our people. Moreover, Net neutrality protects our freedom of speech, our freedom to liberty, and thus our right to be free of the fear of restraint or control in aspects of our interactions with the internet.

609. Travis Mounce, Houston, TX, 77062

Net neutrality must be left alone because everyone deserves to have equal access to what they are searching for whether it is to keep up-to-date on current world events, research for school assignments or to shop, stream videos, or play games. Net neutrality is fundamentally the First Amendment of the Internet, and without net neutrality consumers of the big cable companies, such as AT&T, Comcast, and Verizon's assurance to consumers allowed to choose what they search, watch and do online would be very difficult not only for them, but also for the companies as well. Not to mention without net neutrality it would be difficult for creators and entrepreneurs to reach new users, and it would be a barrier to come up with new ideas and innovation. Thanks to net neutrality it was less steep throughout my studies in art and design in community college. It

helped me to make new ideas for my creativity, and thus expanded my ability as a personal artist to use digital art software like Adobe Photoshop. So far, it has expanded my job opportunities for me to get out of minimum wage, and start surviving in decent paying while working in what I can do and that I am passionate about. So I insist the FCC not to kill net neutrality, and not to hand over the Internet to the big cable companies.

610. Jim Rice, Baytown, TX, 77520

The internet is so managed at this time as to be the voice of the GOP!

611. Stephanie Foster, La Porte, TX, 77571

An open internet is vital to freedom of speech, and it is especially important to vulnerable communities who are underprivileged, suppressed, and need to make their voices heard. Net neutrality is also necessary in order for small businesses to have a fair opportunity to grow. If we forsake net neutrality, we turn our backs on the American values of freedom and equal opportunity in yet another way. That would be a step in the wrong direction. Please protect net neutrality; the internet without it is no longer fair or free.

612. Joanne O'Donnell, Dayton, , TX, 77535

Please keep the internet neutral for my grandchildren. It is important to keep it neutral for the sake of FREE SPEECH!

613. Richard Pruitt, Cleveland, TX, 77327

The Internet is not broken so why change it?

614. Todd Cameron, Houston, TX, 77059

I am writing because the FCC's Open Internet Rules are extremely important to the continued vitality of our nation's economy, and I urge you protect them for everyone's sake. Internet service providers (ISPs) need to remain classified under common carrier rules to ensure that the internet remains a free and open market that will continue to foster innovation, business, and communications in the future. ISPs should not be given the ability to choose the winners and the losers on the internet—something they were already beginning to engage in prior to the implementation of these FCC rules (e.g. Comcast was throttling a direct competitor, Netflix, and AT&T was blocking Apple's FaceTime video chat service). ISPs should not be given the ability to create paid "fast lanes"—which Verizon and others have already commuted to, should these rules be rescinded—that serve to slow down everyone else who doesn't pay for this privilege (this would be like replacing one lane of a three-lane highway with a paid toll lane that only existed in front of the businesses who ponied up their own fees). This same technology could throttle traffic to websites espousing political speech inconvenient to ISPs, or even the websites supporting certain political candidates. Again, ISPs should not have the power to pick the

winners and losers. I've submitted this message to the FCC's open proceeding, but I have doubts that Chairman Pai will listen to my words or those of millions of other Americans demanding for a fair and free internet. As such, I am also sending this message to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and do whatever you can to dissuade Chairman Pai from his plans. Thank you. Todd Cameron

615. rahil maredia, Houston, TX, 77059

1. The organization failed to prevent malicious fraud or identity theft by unknown actors when the technology and method to do so is widely known and easily implemented. 2. The FCC under Pai actively ignored the desires of the people, including their own forum they opened for public input on the direction the FCC should take on the action. In this way, any single action the FCC takes to remove Title II becomes very clearly a pro-industry, pro-regional monopoly action.

616. Danelle Cass, Deer Park, TX, 77536

As an American, a voter and an internet user, I feel the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and

denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

617. Claude Cook, Wallisville, TX, 77597
A free access Internet is important to me. Internet access should not be controlled by corporate giants.
618. Claude Cook, Wallisville, TX, 77597
Internet should not be controlled by monopolies who have a vested interest in controlling user services. Please defend net neutrality.
619. Billy Carter, Cleveland, TX, 77327
Please help stop evil power hungry money grubbing 1% scum from taking away freedom from civilians of a supposedly free nation. I've already had to deal with the thieving AT&T scum who ramp up internet prices with some monthly "internet equipment fee" because they won't let me use my personal modem/router. When I got AT&T dsl service, I was basically loaned a router that, if I were to keep it after ending service with AT&T, I'd owe them \$100, otherwise it's free to use as long as I'm with them and I could use my own router if I were so inclined. In February, 2017 I tried to get the loaned router replaced because it needed to be restarted several time a day to keep from bogging down at less than 1% promised DL/UL speeds. I contacted them 8 times, and every time I was promised a replacement within 24 hours, but it never came. Eventually, they sent me a different model router than the one promised (I had NV5 G510, they promised NVG590, but after 2 months I finally received Uverse 5268AC) and this new router came with a surprise \$7 per month internet equipment fee even though I was previously using their crappy router without this fee and they wanted to charge me this internet equipment fee for the 2 months that I had been trying to get their faulty router replaced. Eventually I came to a compromise, \$3 increase internet plan cost from \$57 to \$60 and dropped the \$7 internet equipment fee and the unjust fees for the 2 months I was waiting for a router that never arrived. Seriously, I know everyone who gets a taste of power become evil self absorbed power hungry scum, but try to do the right thing even though it goes against every fiber of your being. Stop standing in the way of goodness. Support net neutrality.
620. Jeramy Fountain, Kirbyville, TX, 75956
I'm a registered Republican who occasionally votes Libertarian. I'm against government overreach in all of it's forms. However, using the FCC to keep giant corporations from extorting money from common people for a utility that most schools and jobs require they have is not overreach. If net neutrality is killed, there's a possibility that the only ISP available to me could price me out of the market. That would leave much more time for me to do things like fishing, bike riding, and voting for a Democrat. Until there is more competition between ISP s

in rural markets, net neutrality rules are the only thing between me and corporate greed pushing me away from opportunity.

621. John Fraser, Jasper, TX, 75951
We absolutely are against any fees, charges or control of what should always remain a free and open internet with no intrusion from government or business.
622. Arax Dior Kermani, Livingston, TX, 77351
Please do not revoke net neutrality rules. I believe, personally, that preventing corporate filtering of information protects all internet users from censorship which could become destructive to American ideals of free speech, free press, and our access to those things. I beseech you, instead of considering what this proposal is, consider what it could lead to. Thank you.
623. Luke Gonzales, Houston, TX, 77062
I really don't appreciate the notion of limiting a resource that is open to everybody, and that we have to pay for in the first place. Please don't ruin something that is so influential in our society today, purely so the people on top can benefit while the little guy has to climb a mountain. Having large companies control what we see and do is not only stupid, but not a decision of yours to make.
624. Cassandra Thomason, Pasadena, TX, 77505
Net neutrality is important to me, please protect it. Thank you!
625. Shelby Smith, Spurger, TX, 77660
I live in a rural area where the internet is already slow. Any possibility of it slowing any more is discriminatory against websites and content. I do NOT trust the phone and cable companies to do the right thing.
626. Jason Tribun, Village Mills, TX, 77663
The internet is a free place to share ideas and thoughts, business shouldn't interfere with the act of free speech. By getting rid of net neutrality, you would be stepping on the first amendment's free speech. Since the internet is a public place people shouldn't have to pay premiums to go to certain sites.
627. Richard Scott, Lumberton, TX, 77657
Net Neutrality to me, among other things is freedom of knowledge. We live in a world where you have an infinite amount of information at you fingertips everyday. Censoring the internet would only hinder current and future generations. We need knowledge to advance in this world. Censorship is not knowledge.
628. Felipe Arriaga, La Porte, TX, 77571
I live in America i don't want to feel like I live in China

629. Will , Silsbee, TX, 77656
We should be free to go to any website we want.
630. Rhonda Roberts, Seabrook, TX, 77586
I will fight for net neutrality. It is as important as 5th ammendment rights.
631. Zach Howard, Livingston, TX, 77351
Net neutrality is important to me because it allows for the flow of information that would otherwise be controlled by a single or a few sources potentially corrupting any of that information or just not allowing it entirely.
632. Sabrina Howe, La Porte, TX, 77571
Net neutrality is important to me, please protect it.Thank you!
633. Lucas Granger, Orange, TX, 77630
The internet is a tool for everyone, and it needs to stay that way.
634. Emily Wollam, Pasadena, TX, 77505
I dont want to pay for internet
635. Savanah , Seabrook, TX, 77586
I'm a freelance artist, that means I depend on the internet to SURVIVE. Not all of us have millions of dollars in our bank account and can pay for access to websites. Some of us can barely pay for internet service as it is with the monopolies of different internet providers. The internet is a place of learning, how can you take that away from people? Our main goal in life is knowledge. Its how we pursuit happiness, how we make our selves stronger. Knowledge is how we grow. You take net neutrality away and major companies will have the ability to pick and choose who gets what. I don't know about you, but companies are complete sketch. They're greedy and they'll do whatever it takes to get the most money. I don't want companies controlling the internet. The internet is supposed to be a free learning base, something everyone should be able to access. It's how we connect from across the globe, learn about different cultures, see things that we wouldn't have ever seen before. Don't get rid of free internet. If you do, not only are you screwing a lot of people over, including the next generations to come, but you're also screwing yourself over. I thought America was the home of the free. Apparently, we've reverted back to our ancestors tyrannical ways. Almost 250 years ago, men and women died so that we could be free. You kill net neutrality you're no better than King George III.
636. Vivien creed, Bridge City, TX, 77611
Net neutrality is important to me to make connections with people from all over the world, and learn New things to enrich my life that I would otherwise not have access too.

637. Katey , Silsbee, TX, 77656
Net Neutrality is important to me because it creates an all equal space for everyone. It's an assurance that I may one day open my own online shop without worries, it's an ability for me to be in contact with anyone across the world without extra charges. It's important, because it's there and it's reliable and it's neutral.
638. Miranda McEwin, Lumberton, TX, 77657
I'm pretty poor and use the internet for entertainment, friendship and selling my crafts. I cant afford to buy packages or have slow internet because I wont buy something.
639. Jose Torres, Orange, TX, 77630
I need affordable access to the internet as a college student.
640. Allison Halsey, Baytown, TX, 77521
Net neutrality is important to me because the internet is my main resource I use to go to school and to talk to my family that lives far away. Creating slow lanes for internet is limiting the equality that America stands for and it is unexceptable.
641. Matt , Baytown, TX, 77521
Because the internet is a (for the most part) free place for people all over the world to connect and learn and access entertainment. Not everyone can afford to pay if limited sites are available for free and others cost money
642. Alexandra Levy, Houston, TX, 77059
Net neutrality guarantees equal internet access to all, which is incredibly important; particularly to those of us who aren't able to afford very many pleasures in life.
643. Faith Keel, Silsbee, TX, 77656
People can make their living because of Net Neutrality! You're killing small business!This is SO WRONG. Net Neutrality is our right. How dare you do this. WE DON'T WANT THIS. WE VOTED AGAINST THIS. LISTEN TO US FOR ONCE!Fix this. You destroying SO MUCH.I'm FURIOUS.How are my friends who are freelance artist gonna get clients like this?Am I even gonna be able to talk to them without paying extra for some fancy website bundle!?I can't believe you even let this motion see the light of day.
644. Akira Lear, Houston, TX, 77062
Net Neutrality is important in every section of my life, from entrepreneurship to my career to finding volunteer opportunities to socializing to learning about others. By making people pay up access information, it limits the innovation of our society. It's incredibly important to everyone in my life and I.

645. Alexandra , Baytown, TX, 77521
The Internet is a place to express ones self without strings attached.
646. Israel , La Porte, TX, 77571
Net neutrality is the reason why people love the internet in the first place.
647. Connor McDermott, Vidor, TX, 77662
Keep the net neutrality laws and rules as they are. Do not change them. I do not want any changes to net neutrality.
648. Samuel Gause, Pasadena, TX, 77505
I am strongly in support of net neutrality rules preventing ISPs from throttling data and picking winners or losers on the internet. Before the current rules were put in place, ISPs were already showing that they were willing to throttle website data from those who weren't willing to pay for "fast lanes." This puts a significant burden on new or smaller companies who may not be able to afford these fees (or not allowed to if they compete with a service the ISP prefers). What sort of services would we have today if an ISP had decided that they didn't like Google, or Facebook, or what if it significantly slowed one news website in favor of another, giving a larger voice to the people that favor them over those that disagree. Please keep the strong regulations keeping these things from happening in place so that future innovators will be able to use an open internet to help grow their ideas and help make our country great.
649. Ana Guzman, Houston, TX, 77059
In support to keep net neutrality
650. Matther Darsey, Woodville, TX, 75979
Abolishing Net Neutrality is an unjustifiable way for Cable and Internet providers to work together and hold a monopoly over the United States of America and the world using money power and bribery.
651. Cameron Mitchell, Houston, TX, 77062
Without net neutrality the free market of internet services ceases to exist. Any company can pay ISP's to throttle information in their favor. Quality of services shouldn't be up to ISPs. Without net neutrality, censorship could also become a large issue. If an ISP decides news or information will have a negative impact on them, they can decide to withhold that information to the public.
652. Christian Cortez, Seabrook, TX, 77586
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2, other wise you are easily feeding into your own corruption and continue to show that the FCC is a flawed and unnecessary branch of the government
653. Benjamin Smith, Lumberton, TX, 77657

I support Net Neutrality... Unlimited Internet MEANS NO LIMIT
VERIZON!!!!!!

654. Shawn Garrett, Orange, TX, 77630

Please vote for strong Title II enforcement of internet net neutrality. Internet fast lanes is not something any consumer wants. Pay-to-play access to the internet would be devastating for all consumers.

655. James M. Ruhnke, Houston, TX, 77062

Though my home is currently surrounded by water from the Hurricane Harvey floods in Houston, I am taking time to comment here because I feel strongly about this topic. Paragraph 50 requests "comment on specific ways in which consumers were harmed under the light-touch regulatory framework that existed before the Commission's Title II Order", with the implication that until consumers are seriously harmed, no action should be taken. Comcast has admitted that prior to the 2015 Open Internet rules, it performed covert manipulation/corruption of user data traveling across its network, intentionally interfering with user data. It has also been proven that Comcast and other carriers have covertly reduced throughput speeds of user data based on quantity and content type without user knowledge. These techniques and practices have largely stopped since the 2015 Open Internet rules took effect, illustrating a clear protection of user interests. Reversion to the pre-2015 Open Internet days seems likely to result in a return to constraints and limitations on user data transmissions. This might be good for internet businesses, but it is not clear how this will benefit internet end-users. The risks to end-users are great: Unlike "highly regulated" telecommunications providers (cell phone companies), internet end users have few options in terms of choosing alternate internet providers, so there is little option to "vote with their feet" and transfer service. If they don't like the practices of a given ISP, I do not want to have roads where some lanes are reserved for GM cars, or where Teslas have a different speed limit than Fords. Neither do I want my internet to have similar "freedoms".

656. John-Patrick Hoffeld, Beach City, TX, 77523

Ending net neutrality would be the most idiotic thing you could do chairman Pai. You will be blamed for the loss of freedom of speech and the decline in commerce because the companies buying you out will kill start ups.

657. John Gracia, HIGHLANDS, TX, 77562

I support Net Neutrality and think that all websites and services should be equal. Prioritization of certain services to customers who pay a premium is an invasion of privacy and blocks our freedom on the web.

658. Megan Claudel, Call, TX, 75933

If republicans are for a free market than attacking net neutrality is a hypocritical

action. It shows the truth of both parties. United in invested interest and only true difference in opinions are a farce. This monopoly will not stand. We the people will not allow it.

659. Eliza Brewer, Baytown, TX, 77521

As of April I am now a registered voter in the United States of America, and as a voter I felt it was my duty to become as informed as possible about issues that could potentially affect me and my family. I have since come to the conclusion that I cannot and will not support any action to repeal Net Neutrality laws or those who move to have them repealed. It is my right as a consumer to choose the content I view and to be assured that my privacy and free speech are protected. The internet is an open space and should remain as such. It is also a space for opportunity and progress as any company, no matter how small, can introduce new technologies to its user base and be given the same opportunities to be rejected or embraced by its users based on its quality, not on the size of its budget. It is for this reason that an attack on Net Neutrality is an attack on progress and a clear support of corporations' interests over consumer rights. I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality rules and keep Title II in place for broadband internet access.

660. Mika Dawn, Highlands, TX, 77562

I need net neutrality because of artistic freedom

661. Chris Hatch, Vidor, TX, 77662

Do not betray the American people. Leave the internet alone!

662. Kevin, Orange, TX, 77630

Net Neutrality is one of the most basic freedoms offered in modern America. "Deregulation" is a mess, and it helps no one but the rich at the expense of the consumer.

663. Shelby Issacson, Pasadena, TX, 77505

Net neutrality personally affects me in that I refuse to allow the free internet to go the way of TV, net neutrality is vital to the continuation of the internet's success. The internet is killing TV because net neutrality allows us access to all things equally, to change this is to kill the internet.

664. Dianna Van Vorst, Bridge City, TX, 77611

I realize you and the rest of your plutocratic friends consider the public so much dirt under your shoes and don't care at all but the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem.

Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

665. Luis Valencia, Baytown, TX, 77521

It was made free and then manipulated to cost a car note and be shitty in rural places. Keep it free and neutral or beware of the outrage and cyber attacks that awaits when people get taken their freedom away.

666. Joseph Wilburn, La Porte, TX, 77571

Stop attacking the common people's rights and freedoms

667. Jeremy Hanshaw, Houston, TX, 77058

I live in Houston, TX. At the time of writing this, my city and many others across SE Texas and Louisiana are experiencing historic, life altering flooding thanks to Hurricane Matthew. I'm not telling you this to extract sympathy, only to note that though I'm currently residing in a city struggling with the effects of climate change, I find this issue worth taking time out of surviving to address. It is VITAL that we keep the internet free and neutral, that we don't allow major ISP's to control what bandwidth they offer for individual websites in order to extract more money from consumers. I'm currently teaching myself web development online and open source tools that I use are making it possible for me to break into the middle class in the near future without relying on government funded services, workforce grants, etc. I'm doing it on my own with the aid of these amazing tools, like codecademy and KhanAcademy. If ISP's

like Comcast and AT&T have their way, small but socially positive sites like these will be forced to pay more for server bandwidth or I'll have to pay additional fees to access them, meaning I would no longer be able to pursue my career goals. These mega corporations do not need additional revenue at the expense of the public! Keep it the way it was meant to be: Open and Neutral. For all of us, not just the top 1%. Please.

668. Carson Taylor, Houston, TX, 77059

Please support strong net neutrality policy and law!

669. Avis Lair, Livingston, TX, 77351

The Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) that protect freedom of expression and access to information on the Internet are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want government-sanctioned monopoly ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let these giants censor what we see and do online. Censorship on the Internet is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the Open Internet rules are repealed. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the five most valuable companies in America, just to further enrich the government-created cable monopolies that are famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Monopolies like Comcast and AT&T will be able to impose a tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for alternative voices and others who can't pay up, to be heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Avis Lair

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao

used natural language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. <https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9f0e3ed36a6>.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.