

Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from TX District #21

These are the 2,601 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Lamar Smith's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. Joshua Yankovich, Austin, TX, 78701

Inhibiting the flow of communication as it is in its present state will have drastic effects on innovation, entrepreneurship and competition. All of which are vital components that allow this country to drive change throughout the world.

2. Grace Miller, Austin, TX, 78749

I fully support the "no-blocking, no-throttling, and no-paid prioritization rules" currently in place. The ability for free information exchange is vital for social growth and political organizing, and has, as mentioned in this document, led to massive growth in the industry. Giving providers the ability to censor internet access is unacceptable, especially as the UN declared in July 2016 that online freedom to be a human right that must be protected. We cannot give organizations the ability to throttle access to particular sites.

3. Jeffrey Buras, Austin, TX, 78704

I work in a competitive industry. Having a website that is equal to my competitors is essential to getting work. I don't want to have to pay extortion fees to ISPs in order for my site to be functional. Please do not allow ISPs to discriminate data. Everyone should be on the same level on the internet.

4. Sean, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133

Net neutrality is an essential part of allowing new businesses reach a massive market in an instant. Without net neutrality the ISPs could determine what websites their customers have access to without charging them more. You

would say "well if they aren't offering you what you would like for a fair price just go to another ISP" the problem that a majority of Americans don't have access to multiple ISPs. Personally I only have 1 ISP available to me in my area so if they decide to charge me outrageous prices for access to what I already have or limit my usage for no reason I can't go to another provider. Data is not a finite resource it is in fact an unlimited resource, the only real limit is the ISP's network which they should be upgrading on a regular basis. We should not be trusting ISPs to self-regulate when they have a track record of not doing what's actually best for their customers. They have throttled usage to sites that use high traffic like Netflix for no real reason as data is an unlimited resource. Net neutrality must be protected at all costs to protect the consumers from ISPs that have proven multiple times that they do not have the consumers' best interest at heart.

5. Betty Frey, New Braunfels, TX, 78132

Please treat internet access like a utility. I believe internet access should be a basic necessity just like power and water. It should not be free, but equally distributed for sure. Internet access has become a near requirement for a successful life in America. There is little to no competition between ISPs, so we rely on the FCC to regulate that cable monopolies so they can't easily unfairly favor big corporations over new smaller businesses on the internet.

6. Stephen A., Austin, TX, 78745

Please retain neutrality. The internet is not a luxury anymore. It is a necessary tool for life in the modern world. Taking away neutrality would be crippling many thousands of Americans in poverty and harming millions who struggle to provide enough for themselves and their families.

7. Alex Gray, Austin, TX, 78741

The internet is essential for the future of society and it is most effective when it is a level playing field for all. Net neutrality is a big part of that and reducing the protection of that ideal is a mistake. This is a pro-business, anti-consumer, anti-citizen decision and should not be enacted. I work for a small business that depends on an open internet. Please don't weaken such a valuable asset to society.

8. Logan Shaw, Austin, TX, 78704

Network neutrality must be maintained. The internet is simply too important for private companies to interfere in who paying customers can practically communicate with. Such interference should be explicitly banned, and by default. The classification of internet service as a communications service already does a good job of this and should be maintained. (The only exception is if some other form of equally strong regulation can substitute for the FCC's regulation.) This isn't just important for consumer rights. It is also important for

our nation's economy. If internet providers (cable companies and telecoms) are able to charge some internet sites more than others, it will stifle innovation because new companies will not be able to compete with established players. Currently, the US is the world leader in the area of internet innovation. I would hate to see us lose that position to another country or region.

9. Michael Parker, Kerrville, TX, 78028
America has some of the slowest internet speeds in the developed world. It is a horrible idea to allow internet providers to slow down traffic for businesses. We don't regulate how fast the electricity turns on, or how long it takes for the water to come out of your faucet and the internet has become a utility just as those services did when introduced. Everyone deserves equal access, including businesses, big and small. Keep net neutrality in place.
10. Shirley Mount, Austin, TX, 78745
Please do not undo the utility classification of the internet or remove it from FCC regulating. I'm at home nearly all the time caring for my 92 yr old mother-in-law. I use the internet for research all the time and I need it to remain neutral.
11. Jody Zemel, Austin, TX, 78704
Without Net Neutrality, ISP's can block content that the ISP doesn't like and can slow traffic to websites or services. Please put the privacy protections and Net Neutrality protections back.
12. Misha Sakellaropoulo, Austin, TX, 78701
Hasn't Net Neutrality been extensively debated and settled? Why bring this back up against the wishes of the people of the United States of America? This is not a partisan matter, Republican and Democrats alike overwhelmingly support Net Neutrality. So who are YOU representing when you adjust regulations as you're trying to? Shameful. Misha Sakellaropoulo
13. John Huntington, Austin, TX, 78745
The principle known as "net neutrality" is not just a tech buzzword; it is essential to American life and the American economy. At this point a majority of communications rely on internet technology, and a majority of businesses use internet-based communications or a web presence as an essential part of their operations. Consumers also rely on data-agnostic internet access to make informed decisions about purchases and investments. Allowing internet service providers to leverage public utility infrastructure to preferentially drive traffic to their own subsidiaries and partners is wrong; it abridges Americans' fundamental right to free communication. However, principle aside, it's also dangerous. The operations of internet service providers (ISPs) is still regulated and subsidized, and the current infrastructure developed under regulations. Giving existing service providers carte blanche in an arena that developed in a protected environment isn't free trade, it's a direct attack on small business and

consumer choice. Undermining free commerce risks a major economic downturn, which will harm all Americans. I, personally, strongly oppose giving companies which provide an important public utility the right to meddle in the content and routing of communications. Given that this infrastructure developed in a protected environment and has become a vital part of American life, such an action amounts to a government-endorsed abridgment of free speech. The FCC has a responsibility to protect internet communications as a public utility safe from the attacks of private actors who do not have the best interests of citizens or the country in mind. I also believe that allowing preferential service is extremely irresponsible in its potential effects on the American economy and our international competitiveness. For the sake of Americans and the strength of the United States, please preserve internet communications as a free channel. Service providers understand the business they are in and can still make tremendous profits by providing the utility they chose to provide. They do not need to consume and destroy a resource we all rely on.

14. John Doe, San Antonio, TX, 78209

I am writing to inform that I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs

15. Justin Fisk, Austin, TX, 78704

It is crucial that the Title 2 classification is kept for ISPs. This is the only way to ensure that all internet traffic is kept on a level playing field. Do not destroy the current net neutrality safeguards in favor of an internet that is less free for every American.

16. Aleta.Bowers, Austin, TX, 78745

I strongly support regulated net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

17. Mo Shafeek, Austin, TX, 78748

Please do not change or update anything regarding Title II in its relation to ISPs. It is tantamount to the protection of Net Neutrality.

18. Ruddy Reyes, Austin, TX, 78705

I want to add to the voices that support the preservation of Net Neutrality and Title II. There are many others who support this, and they will provide better arguments than I can. I just want to add to the cause because I know this is an important topic that cannot be ignored.

19. John Alkire, New Braunfels, TX, 78132

Please Preserve strong net neutrality in Title 2.

20. Jose Mata, Austin, TX, 78703

As a former employee of Verizon, Ajit Pai should know better. We need to protect net neutrality by keeping it under Title II.

21. Brian Westfall, Austin, TX, 78735
I support net neutrality. ISPs should not have the power to dictate content delivery on the internet. It's unconstitutional and contrary to everything the internet is meant to be.
22. Leo Aguirre, san antonio, TX, 78230
I strongly support the title 2 act and net neutrality. It is immorally wrong for us to allow companies to slow others down for their own gain.
23. Ryan Handrahan, Austin, TX, 78704
I strongly support preserving net neutrality and Title II regulation. It is the best thing for the American people and business of all sizes in our time. Clinton era "light touch" regulation does not apply anymore as the landscape of the Internet has changed much and needs protection.
24. Ryan L. Boyd, Ph.D., Austin, TX, 78745
I believe that net neutrality should continue to be strictly enforced. Internet Service Providers should remain under Title 2. I am greatly concerned that the protections that are currently in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any.
25. Jordan Ghez, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Please preserve net neutrality under title II. You guys dont ever get things right but please.. please dont try to break the internet.
26. Andrew Romanyk, Austin, TX, 78705
Net neutrality needs to stay in order to protect all US consumers in areas where they have no choice but to pick one provider such as Comcast. This system effectively moves their monopoly on providing internet to them, to expand to any service they create as they can prefer that service over say Netflix if they make a video streaming service. This is not fair to the american people. Please don't let this time in history be viewed as a time where the people weren't heard. Net Neutrality needs Title 2.
27. William J Martin, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624
Keep ISPs under Title II. Net Neutrality WORKS, and has been working exactly as it needs to. We fought this battle 3 years ago, and it has proved to work to keep these giant ISPs in line with the regulation. Don't mess with this. It ain't broken, don't try to fix what already works.
28. Chris Vitulli, Austin, TX, 78745
I STRONGLY support strong Net Neutrality Laws backed by Title II.
29. Peter Bonanni, Austin, TX, 78701

I started my career of innovation with the DARPA Urban Challenge in 2007 and ever since I've been building startups in both the government and commercial space. As a USAF veteran and an Entrepreneur I strongly support net neutrality and Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers in order to create a more open and fair internet for the free expression of ideas and growth of competitive enterprises.

30. Ashley Sells, San Antonio, TX, 78258

The internet is and MUST REMAIN a wide-open, democratic forum, accessible on EQUAL terms to all who use it. Since its inception, the core guiding principle behind the use of this successful and socially valuable technology has been that NO corporation, government, or other controlling power should be its "gatekeeper", impeding the free and equal flow of communication. Internet Service Providers (ISPs) should treat all data on the internet the same, not discriminating or charging differently by user, content, website, platform, or application. I continue to demand that the FCC uphold this bedrock principle by KEEPING strong net neutrality rules (that prevent a "pay to play" tiered system). Internet access is a Title II telecommunications service and ISPs are common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act. As the DC Circuit court made clear years ago, there is simply no way to effectively prohibit discrimination online without maintaining this regulation. We must never have blocking, throttling, and paid prioritization schemes that undermine the internet as we know it. Securities filings show that publicly traded ISPs increased their investments in broadband infrastructure by 5% in the two years since the FCC's tough net neutrality rules were adopted compared with the previous two years. The ISP industry's executives' OWN statements to investors show that the rules HAVEN'T harmed their businesses in the slightest (despite Ajit Pai's claims to the contrary). Please publicly support Title II rules with regard to the internet and fight to maintain full NET NEUTRALITY. Thank you for considering my views.

31. net neutrality, san antonio, TX, 78209

Net neutrality is extremely important and cannot be undervalued. If anything it keeps the internet fair, please don't screw this up for future generations

32. Susan Cozart, Austin, TX, 78735

I support net neutrality and continuing to have providers regulated under Title II. Please do not roll back the 2015 changes.

33. Devin Byrne, Austin, TX, 78704

Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II you twats.

34. Cameron Tanner, Austin, TX, 78736

I urge the FCC to keep Net Neutrality intact through Title 2, don't sell out the American public to appease special interest groups like ISPs

35. Adam Torres, Austin, TX, 78704
Preserve net neutrality through Title 2
36. William Davis, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133
I strongly support Net Neutrality. I have to pay too much a month in order to get speeds necessary for work where I live to have things be arbitrarily slowed down by isps just because someone pays more than someone else. Strong Net Neutrality must stay.
37. sarah james, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624
I support a strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP.
38. Reecec Lagunas, Austin, TX, 78704
This is about as un-American as it gets. Why is everything democratic in this country under attack?
39. Steven Crim, Austin, TX, 78736
Please keep our internet free and open from "fast lanes". This is our country's greatest resource. Everyone should have the availability to see all the information without limits or restrictions placed by ISPs or other organizations.
40. Megan Kennedy, Austin, TX, 78748
It is important to me that the FCC maintain the strong net neutrality regulations under Title 2. Consumers and businesses must be protected and that is the responsibility of government.
41. Antonio Rivera, Austin, TX, 78748
I want the FCC to support a strong neutrality through the backing of Title II. Please keep this protection as to hold the liberty of internet access for everyone.
42. Jason Blaies, Austin, TX, 78704
The oversight needs to stay under Title 2 so that companies like Verizon and Comcast can't abuse their monopolies and control content people see.
43. Cora Dale, Austin, TX, 78704
I can't believe that you would actually consider changing the current net neutrality laws you idiots. All of you are idiots, and I hope that someone throws a brick at your face (metaphorically, of course). Screw you.
44. MARTA CAVIEDES SCHNURR, Austin, TX, 78736
I DEMAND, VERY RESPECGULLY TO MAINTAIN AND RESPECT
TITLE IIAND NET NEUTRALITY THANK YOU
45. Austin Bennett, Austin, TX, 78745
Net Neutrality is incredibly important for my business, and any strike against it,

such as the proposed changes to its title 2 status or any legislation that seeks to undermine the core principles of an open and free internet, are not in the best interest of the American people.

46. Ryan Baudoin, Austin, TX, 78745

Hello, My name is Ryan Baudoin. I'm a website developer. I mostly work with small mom-and-pop businesses in Texas. I believe that the Internet is too important to my business for it to be regulated as anything but a public utility, and I'm writing this short note to beg you to reconsider your move to destroy Net Neutrality. Personally speaking, my small business, and the small businesses I support, could be negatively impacted by the sort of actions large ISPs were taking prior to Title II reclassification. The internet is too important to my family for it to be regulated as anything other than a public utility. Day to day, we depend on an open Internet for local news that keeps us safe, world news that keeps us informed, and information that helps us make important life decisions. When my daughter attends school, she'll need an open Internet that she can count on for equal access to the same educational information as other children. I hope you will consider these thoughts and fight to protect Net Neutrality for the sake of current and future generations. Thank you for your time, Ryan Baudoin

47. Branden, Austin, TX, 78704

I support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight by ISP's.

48. Seth Shain, Austin, TX, 78745

Preserve net neutrality under title II. Access to the internet must remain free and equal. ISPs must not be allowed to act on greedy, anticompetitive incentives-- they must be scheduled under title II.

49. Matthew Young, San Antonio, TX, 78215

Please maintain the current FCC ISP regulations for Net Neutrality under Title II to ensure a free and open internet.

50. Teresa Holt, San Antonio, TX, 78247

I strongly urge the FCC to keep the rules strong for net neutrality that are backed by Title II. ISPs should not be able to control what we see and how fast or slow we can get to sites.

51. Ron K Johnson, Austin, TX, 78704

The FCC should retain Title 2 provisions regarding Internet Access or in other terms maintain Net Neutrality regulations.

52. Net Neutrality, Austin, TX, 78704

I am in favor of maintaining the current Net Neutrality protections provided by monitoring through Title II classification by the FCC, and would strongly oppose

any effort to weaken Net Neutrality protections by reclassifying Internet Service Providers to Title I. I feel we have sufficient evidence that the ISPs in the past have not always been good stewards of Net Neutrality, as it is often in their financial interest to create an unfair playing field for themselves or others. Allowing this to happen would negatively impact growth of the American Economy and undermine some of our first amendment protections. Even if there were not previous evidence of Net Neutrality failures, it would still be beneficial to ensure the monitoring and protections provided by Title II are continuing to be utilized.

53. Mary Menter, Austin, TX, 78736

I support net neutrality !! John Oliver rocks and is correct!

54. Larry Morgan, Bulverde, TX, 78163

Currently ISP can slow anyone not paying them money. This is like having a fox guard the henhouse. Where will the next Facebook come from is Facebook can pay the ISP for "full speed access" and the new upstart cannot or will not do this? Why should my own hobby website be slowed when a large web site pays for "full speed" access. At present the only way to prevent this sort of pocket lining play is to regulate ISPs under Title II of the Telecommunications act of 1934. I would rather see a new bill with specific language for the internet, but Congress apparently cannot understand technology enough to understand that ISPs are essentially monopolies or duopolies.

55. Bradley Merrick, Austin, TX, 78701

The implementation of ISPs being classified as Title II has not stopped their infrastructure expansion. Companies will continue to try and hurt each other to serve their own needs which in turn hurts consumers. Companies must be regulated to ensure the Net Neutrality is maintained.

56. Aleta.Bowers, Austin, TX, 78751

Do not implement this as it will make it too easy for corruption and preference. The internet should be free and neutral. The Internet was the great surprise of the 20th century. Sir Tim Berners-Lee created the standard that opened the World Wide Web to everyone with an idea and a connection. At that time, few could imagine that this open architecture would fuel such a powerful eruption of economic, social and political creativity. The Internet Freedom Preservation Act "will protect consumers and content providers because it will restore the guarantee that one does not have to ask permission to innovate," Rep. Markey said when he introduced the bill. This is true regardless of your age, social status or location. Net Neutrality safeguards everyone's fundamental right to an open Internet, making it possible for one person's good idea to blossom into the next big business or, even, a movement of millions.

57. Jon Carbajal, Austin, TX, 78704

Internet is a utility that needs strict regulation. Protect net neutrality and keep the flow of internet data open, un-throttled, and uninfluenced by corporate interests.

58. Hassan Malik, san antonio, TX, 78261
I strongly support Net Neutrality under regulations of Title II. What Mr. Pai is proposing is not in the best interest of the Internet, the Market and the American people.
59. Kyle Boyd, Austin, TX, 78745
We need, and I support, strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight by the FCC
60. Sean Nesloney, Bulverde, TX, 78163
It is fundamentally important that title 2 regulations on ISPs are maintained. The death of net neutrality is an absolute threat not only economically, but to the functioning of the internet as a whole.
61. Holly Bell, Austin, TX, 78704
I support strong internet neutrality protected under Title II of FCC regulations. DON'T ROLLBACK THESE RULES!!!
62. Daniel Weeks, San Antonio, TX, 78212
Do not get rid of net neutrality. I support the freedom of the internet. Please do not roll back article 2
63. Mike Scharton, Kerrville, TX, 78028
I support a strong Net Neutrality by keeping ISP's classified under Title 2
64. Vanessa Miller, Austin, TX, 78741
I strongly support net neutrality regulation by keeping ISPs designated as Title 2. Please help keep the internet free for individuals and not controlled by giant corporations!
65. Doug Kaufman, 78704, TX, 78704
Preserve net neutrality and title 2!!! How can we be going through this again?? Corporate greed rules all.
66. Joseph Miller, Austin, TX, 78741
I support enforceable net neutrality and ISPs remaining designated Title 2!
67. Alexandra Hayes, Austin, TX, 78705
I strongly oppose the possible shift in FCC oversight of Internet Service Providers from Title II to Title I. Maintaining Title II designation for ISP's is essential to preserve net neutrality and to change this designation could be

detrimental to the free and open internet.

68. Cody Huston, Austin, TX, 78701
I want to keep my net neutrality. Infrastructure spending by large corporations has NOT decreased or stopped. It is important to provide a fair playing field to all on the internet. Removing these rules could destroy small businesses in the US.
69. James Kelley, Austin, TX, 78747
Please keep strong net neutrality rules in place backed by Title 2
70. Joshua Jorge Morales, San Antonio, TX, 78213
I am firmly and strongly for Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
71. Anne H Ruthven, Bandera, TX, 78003
I am FOR internet neutrality.
72. John Michael Strubhart, Austin, TX, 78745
Keep Title II (Title Two) oversight of ISPs as the enforcement standard in order to keep a truly open and free access to all Internet. Claims that ISPs suffer a burden under this enforcement are either false or misleading. The FCC constitutionally works for the people who consume the Internet and not the companies that profit from it. I thank John Oliver for his service to our country.
73. carson moore, Spring branch, TX, 78070
Preserve net neutrality rules under title 2! Don't you fucking touch my internet
74. Christian Hunter, Austin, TX, 78701
I'm writing to express my support for strong net neutrality (backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's).
75. John Dynia, austin, TX, 78745
Please keep the playing field level and keep the net Neutral. Give everyone an equal chance.
76. Lance Schulze, San Antonio, TX, 78232
I support net neutrality and I support title 2.
77. net neutrality, Austin, TX, 78745
I refuse to allow Net Neutrality to be defeated. The only reason the internet has been able to garner so many successful companies and stimulate the economy is that any player has equal footing in competition against bigger players. Without these protections, you challenge the basis for any success of any non-established player. I ensure you will make the correct decision regarding the nature of the internet. Thanks for your time.

78. suport, Austin, TX, 78735
I support strong net neutrality under title II. It is essential to keep the internet free and open.
79. ivan Kalister, Kerrville, TX, 78028
I support strong net neutrality backed by T II over site of ISPs
80. javier hernandez, Austin, TX, 78748
Please preserve net neutrality for all and do not reverse Title 2.
81. Joe Kaz, Boerne, TX, 78015
You must KEEP TITLE II. It has NOT interfered with any infrastructure investment! Shame on you
82. Jacqueline D Reilly, Kyle, TX, 78640
I heard an interview on NPR with the new head of the FCC. Interestingly, he never answered a single question. He only gave vague platitudes. Sad. History has shown that large corporations cannot be trusted to police themselves. I strongly support new neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
83. jon, san antonio, TX, 78666
*****PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2*** ISPs WORK FOR THE PUBLIC AND SHOULDN'T BE ALLOWED TO PLAY THE GATE KEEPERS OF THE INTERNET BY THROTTLING SPEEDS, PROVIDING PREFERRED CONTENT OVER COMPETITORS, OR IN ANY WAY HINDERING/CONTROLLING OUR ACCESS TO THIS PUBLIC UTILITY. WE WOULDN'T ALLOW THE CITY TO CONTROL HOW MUCH WATER WE DRANK, OR HOW MUCH ELECTRICITY WE USE... WE PAY THE BILLS AND GRANTED FULL UNLIMITED ACCESS. THIS MUST REMAIN CONSISTENT WITH THE ACCESS AND USE OF THE NET. FAILURE TO FOLLOW THROUGH WITH SUCH PRESERVATION WILL UNFAILING LEAD TO ENDLESS MONOPOLIES THAT ARE COMPLETELY BASED ON THE SERVICE PROVIDER YOU CHOOSE. ISPs JOBS BEGIN AND END WITH PROVIDING RELIABLE INTERNET ACCESS; NOT TO DECIDE WHAT SEARCH ENGINE I USE, WHAT CONTENT I HAVE READILY ACCESS TO, AND FURTHERMORE CONTROL OUR USE OF THE INTERNET IN ANYWAY. I IMPLORE YOU TO ACT AND PROTECT THE FREE INTERNET FROM CAPITALISTIC TYRANTS. ***PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2*****
84. James Phillips, Austin, TX, 78703
I would like to express my support against the new "Internet Freedom Act" that would end the title 2 regulation of ISPs that we enjoy now called "net neutrality". The low barrier to entry to create personal and corporate space on the internet acts as public infrastructure for the economy. The lack of barrier

greatly increases the quantity and quality of content on the internet by ensuring there is easy access to the smallest website on the internet. The internet works in a perfect competition world where merit creates economic feedback loops instead of up front capital. The change in regulation would allow for more centralized use of monopoly power, leading to lower quantities of content at higher prices. This is antithetical to the promise and allure of the internet that has been developed over the last 25 years. We should be doing all we can to protect that. Guaranteeing a few companies the ability to make sustained positive profit from monopoly power, is a utility minimizing policy. Even those who are supporting this deregulation from an economic premise, are looking at it from a partial equilibrium model and not a general equilibrium model. Do not let small corporate interests get in the way of the economic, intellectual, and artistic efficiencies created by the extranality of a free and open internet.

85. Jacob Saylor, Austin, TX, 78745

I disagree with the idea that Internet Service Providers (ISPs), or anyone else, should be capable of controlling or manipulating data flow and availability. I support maintaining strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

86. 1, Austin, TX, 78741

Do the right thing, Mr. & Ms. FCC. Keep strong net neutrality issues rules backed by Title II. Thank you. xoxo

87. Ricardo E Mercado, San Antonio, TX, 78213

preserve Net neutrality and title 2. Strengthen regulation for Net Neutrality

88. Shannon Murphy, austin, TX, 78704

I urge the agency to retain net neutrality.

89. Monica Syeda, Austin, TX, 78705

Hey, Ajit Pai, protect net neutrality and Title 2. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

90. Hilary Sullivan, Austin, TX, 78704

I wholeheartedly DISAGREE with the plan to take away net neutrality. By doing so, you are only appealing to big business, not to actual citizens who use the internet on a day-to-day basis. Additionally, you will be hurting small businesses who use the internet. Please do NOT take net neutrality away.

91. Jeff Keen, Austin, TX, 78745

I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY AND STRENGTHENING TITLE TWO OVERSIGHT OF ISPs. // And so does every other sane internet user who understands the internet! Removing Net Neutrality rules hurts smaller players of the internet giving the next Youtube, the next Facebook, the next Netflix, or the next Amazon less of a chance of ever succeeding. Where would the internet be

now if AOL could have stayed on top of the game back in the day? Net neutrality is the common sense backbone of the internet, and removing it is misguided, naive, and not in the best interest of the people of this country. // Mr. Chairman, please don't let however much money you're being offered behind the scenes influence what is ethically right for the planet and the future. As chairman of the FCC, you have a greater responsibility to the 324 million people in this country and the 7.5 billion people on this earth than you do to the board members of the ISPs who are undoubtedly encouraging you to roll back these regulations. We all have the opportunity to choose between what's right and between what pays, and this is yours. Money will only get you so far. All that it buys, you can't take with you"but all that it destroys, you leave behind. // Don't you want to make a positive impact and do something great for the world, and not just for your bank balance? Maintaining Title two protections and supporting net neutrality is your best bet in doing that. Be the FCC chairman the country needs, not the FCC chairman the ISPs want.

92. Aleta Bowers, Austin, TX, 78704

I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.

93. Jesse Spears, Austin, TX, 78735

Stop trying to undo Net Neutrality rules. Stop trying to reclassify ISPs to Title 1. Leave them under Title 2 classification. No matter how much double-speak you apply, ISPs will NOT refrain from discriminating against internet content unless they are forced to. They proved it before the reclassification, and they will start impeding internet traffic again the second it's legal to do so.

94. Randy, San Antonio, TX, 78230

I support net neutrality, Mr. Pai. Go FCC yourself you Reeses-drinking twat.

95. Mark Montgomery, Austin, TX, 78704

Please preserve the current Title II classification of ISPs and the current rules on net neutrality.

96. valerie scarlata, austin, TX, 78704

KEEP current net neutrality rules set by the Obama administration. ISP's should NOT be allowed to throttle access to sites not willing to pay fees. ISP's should NOT be allowed to charge different prices for preferential treatment. KEEP Title 2 rules in place, keeping ISP's as common carriers like the phone companies.

97. Lillie Noe, Austin, TX, 78705

You will DESTROY American tech innovation by removing net neutrality rules. STOP attacking net neutrality and protect the interests of the American people!

98. Britt Taylor-Burton, Austin, TX, 78704
I support current net neutrality rules and want ISPs to remain under Title 2.
Thank you.
99. Scott Hickle, Austin, TX, 78701
I wholeheartedly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do right by the American public and protect net neutrality "anything less is a disservice to the 321.4 million people who are proud to call themselves Americans.
100. Katie Sullivan, Austin, TX, 78735
I support net neutrality and strong regulations under Title II. Given the history of big businesses and their failure to regulate themselves (for example Wall Street and the 2009 market crash) I see no reason to believe that big businesses or even small businesses can be trusted to "voluntarily" regulate themselves when it comes to neutral internet access. I do NOT support deregulation of the current rules.
101. Matthew Sawberger, Austin, TX, 78748
I, as an internet consumer, fully support the current net neutrality rules covered by the Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Removing the title 2 oversight on ISPs will erode the protections citizens need for an open and neutral internet.
102. Violet Rose Spinks, Center Point, TX, 78010
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. There is no excuse for attempting to remove the title II classification but for the greed of the new chairmen. He does not care for what is good for the people.
103. Ryan Perkins, Austin, TX, 78705
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. As a young person using the internet, it is important to me that internet providers are forced to stay neutral and unbiased in internet access. The internet is a valuable resource that must remain independent.
104. Zachary Steadman, San Antonio, TX, 78232
Net Neutrality Rules established by the FCC guarantee citizens who rely upon the internet for work, entertainment, and connection to the outside world that their data flow is not being hindered by their ISP's desire to exemplify favoritism/deincentivise the creation of novel businesses in favor of supporting pre-established megacorporations due to the profit margins received. If I prefer Netflix over Hulu, my ISP should have no right to throttle Netflix's bitflow because Hulu paid them more money that year. The preference in browsing should be determined by the individual sitting in front of the terminal based on convenience, ease of use, and utility, not AT&T/Verizon/Google/Comcast's

Board of Directors and how much money goes in their pockets. Net Neutrality guarantees what should be common courtesy as law, and establishes a way to defend one's self against the manipulations of available access to information.

105. Callie Koch, Austin, TX, 78705

I support strong net neutrality and Title II and this is an important issue for me.

106. Edward Moore, San Antonio, TX, 78209

I favor strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight.

107. Jennifer Kolb, Austin, TX, 78704

I unflinchingly support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II (two) oversight of Internet Service Providers. The attempt to remove protections for citizens against corporate profits is deeply disappointing.

108. Marc Schreiber, Austin, TX, 78745

Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. You can make this shit as complicated as you want but the people are still fucking here to stop you.

109. Marcos Sandoval, Austin, TX, 78741

Dear FCC and Mr Pai, I am troubled by the need to revisit the issue of regulation and the apparent need to rollback Net Neutrality protections. I am a firm believer in Net Neutrality and the FCC's necessary role as enforcer of the free and open internet. The idea that we can continue to have a free and open internet without preferential treatment by ISPs to specific content sans regulations is laughable. I don't blame ISPs for wanting to get rid of Net Neutrality, it makes business sense. I just don't think that it's the best interest for the people or humanity as a whole. I have several reasons for believing that we are better off with Free and Open information, not least of which is a vibrant, even playing field for all business ventures. I will not elucidate further here or give other reasons for my supporting Net Neutrality, but if you would like, I would be happy to express myself further about this issue. Thank you, Marcos Sandoval

110. Robert Arguello, San Antonio, TX, 78261

I very strongly support net neutrality rules that are currently in place due to title 2 oversight of ISPs. Changing the rules to allow ISPs to slow down or speed up Internet traffic for any reason is a huge mistake and will have negative impacts to the public use of the internet in our country.

111. Jaime deBlanc, Austin, TX, 78704

Please ensure that the government does not dismantle net neutrality. We need the net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

112. Chyna Sanders, San Antonio, TX, 78261

I want to express my support of the current net neutrality laws and keeping ISP's

classified as title II. The concept of priority traffic for certain companies who are willing to pay more is outrageous, and does not support the American value of equality, and entrusting companies to voluntarily do the right thing is naive at best.

113. Steven Guzman, Austin, TX, 78745
Dear Agit Pai, I specifically support STRONG Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. It is the will of the people who elect America's officials and keep ISPs in business. Make it so.
114. Avid Ghamsari, Austin, TX, 78704
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please allow creators and dreamers the ability to compete with large corporations with heavy financial interests. The ability for anyone to be somebody on the internet is what makes it beautiful and we should try our hardest to keep it that way.
115. Carlos Enciso, Austin, TX, 78704
Title II needs to remain in place.
116. Sarah Hordern, Austin, TX, 78705
I believe in needing strong net neutrality. The internet is important as a free speech platform.
117. John Blackman, Austin, TX, 78745
Please support net neutrality and prevent access to bandwidth by pay only. Do not let ISPs throttle traffic availability. We need an open internet to support start up businesses and enable equal access to all and not just established brands.
118. Nathan Garza, Austin, TX, 78741
I support strong net neutrality under Title 2 regulations and do not want to risk ISPs slowing down arbitrary sites to make others seem better. I don't like the idea of just having a verbal agreement, and would like that we keep current laws in place, despite what the new chairman and POTUS have suggested is better. Thank you.
119. Kevin Carney, Buda, TX, 78610
Please keep Internet regulations under Title II. We simply can not trust ISPs to not prefer some traffic over others voluntarily.
120. Douglas Harrison, New Braunfels, TX, 78132
Please protect Net neutrality.....and stop making John Oliver made at you :-)
121. Steven Crosley, lake hills, TX, 78063
Keep Title 2 of the internet Neutrality Act..... I served my country so all may prosper, not umbrella corporations, but all corporations in the United States of

America and it's republic island nations. I am a registered republican of the greatest state of America, Texas. Tusu'naa, Aho! Comanche for Peace and prayers!

122. Jason Traff, Austin, TX, 78727

I want strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's - and I vote!

123. michael Davis, Austin, TX, 78745

I strongly support strong net neutrality specifically using Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Don't screw with us.

124. Sebastian Ruiz, Austin, TX, 78704

Please retain net neutrality. Net neutrality is what allows small business to thrive online.

125. John Labinski, Austin, TX, 78704

I support net neutrality and vehemently oppose Ajit Pat's and Jeff Flake's attempts to rollback FCC broadband policy rules. It would threaten free speech and innovation on the web. Thank you.

126. Matt, Hollywood Park, TX, 78232

I support Title II net neutrality. Do not reverse this!!!

127. Alex, Austin, TX, 78701

An open and free internet keeps America ahead.

128. versoLois, Boerne, TX, 78006

I support net neutrality and strong oversight through title 2. Don't let ISPs dictate preferential speeds. The internet is for everyone!

129. Caroline O'Connor, San Antonio, TX, 78209

I want to keep Net Neutrality and Title 2. Keep the Internet free and open as we know it now!

130. Victor Fernandez, Austin, TX, 78741

I support strong net neutrality backed by title II ISP. This is complete bull shit what you are trying to do. Why change something that isn't broken. The ISP make billions of us every single fucking day. Why are we trusting them that nothing will change when we have seen that to be different. I want laws like they have in germany!! I dont want my information being sold to the highest bidder. John Oliver you rock!!!

131. Justin Mills, Lakehills, TX, 78063

I support strong regulation of an open and free internet under Title II regulations. PLEASE do not remove these protections.

132. Michael Barton, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Hi! I like the current net neutrality rules and I think we should continue to regulate internet service providers under title II.
133. Timothy Young, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133
You need to preserve net neutrality and Title classification for ISP's
134. Nancy Maclaine, Austin, TX, 78704
I support Net neutrality rules with Title II oversight of internet service providers
135. Timothy Young, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133
You need to preserve net neutrality and Title 2 classification for ISP's
136. jon dykes, New braunfels, TX, 78132
It is critically important that Internet neutrality be sustained through regulation and that internet service, access and freedom of choice do not get sold out to corporations. Please preserve the current regulations that protect us from corporations selling our rights out to other corporations.
137. Erik Peterson, Austin, TX, 78749
I support true net neutrality and believe it will protect and foster technological innovation and improve our economy.
138. Net Neutrality, San Antonio, TX, 78230
I am a strong supporter of Net Neutrality supported by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Information wants to be free
139. Daryl Chadick, San Antonio, TX, 78247
I do not want the classification for ISP's changed back to Title I. I believe this would allow ISP's free reign, no oversight and would be bad for internet freedom.
140. David Pugh, Austin, TX, 78704
Net Neutrality must be preserved by keeping ISPs as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
141. Erin Doyle, Hill Country Village, TX, 78232
For Gods sake, do the right thing for all of us and preserve Title 2 and net neutrality.
142. Rio G. Tomlin, Austin, TX, 78704
I support a strong net neutrality and free and open internet without ISP restrictions. Thank you.
143. Lee Byrd, Austin, TX, 78704

I strongly believe in current Net Neutrality laws and strongly disagree with any proposed changes.

144. Chris May, Austin, TX, 78704

Revoking Net Neutrality is a short sighted giveaway to the shrinking pool of telecoms/ISPs. They have proven through past actions (including inflated text charges, "Unlimited" plans that are anything but, and spurious service charges and fees, among other examples) that they will never voluntarily not discriminate against competitors' data, so it is the responsibility of the overseeing regulator, i.e. YOU, to effectively govern and ensure all Americans have equal access to all data.

145. Kevin English, Austin, TX, 78741

While net neutrality may seem like a constraint on ownership, it is no more a constraint on owners of data service providers than speed limits are on owners of cars. The argument "It's my car so I should be able to drive it as fast as I want" is not a winning argument in traffic court. Net neutrality guarantees that small innovators can compete fairly for access to data. Eliminating net neutrality will hurt the companies that are the future of our economy the most while helping the large non-innovative companies that farm out as much of their work as possible to India.

146. Noah Maney, Austin, TX, 78747

I support strong net neutrality protection with Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers.

147. Title II and Net neutrality, Austin, TX, 78704

Please preserve net neutrality of Title II.

148. Matthew Cass, San Marcos, TX, 78666

I'm in favor of strong Net Neutrality laws under Title II. Preserve it. Do not sell the Internet to corporate interests.

149. Eric, Austin, TX, 78704

I strongly support article 2, and net neutrality.

150. Doug Kindra, San Antonio, TX, 78232

I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! Deregulating ISPs would have an enormously negative impact on the internet, which right now is integral to almost all parts of our modern life in the US.

151. T. Malkowski, Austin, TX, 78748

I do not understand (outside of partisan political "spin") what "freedoms" are being "restored" by stripping net neutrality regulations, except of course to allow companies to prioritize delivery of certain "preferred" content. I've heard

arguments that internet infrastructure has been degraded since net neutrality regulations were enacted, which is just absurd, seeing as how I now have companies competing to offer internet connections that outpace my wifi speed, yet back when these regulations were adopted, the fastest home internet I could buy was around 40Mbps. My internet connection does not need a high-speed tollway down the middle. Neither the government, nor my ISP, should be in the business of deciding which content I have a legitimate need to access. If the internet is twisted into some multi-tier CDN, everyone suffers. Please, for the love of technology, leave well enough alone. Net Neutrality is not a negative thing, and I think most people know it. You probably do, too. How does that expression go... I think it's "don't do stupid shit"? Seems to apply here. Stop it.

152. Rob Nietupski, Austin, TX, 78704

Quite frankly, I am at a loss as to why we as a country need to have this conversation again. While I don't have the numbers in front of me, I am confident that the mustache-twirling cartoon villains that support rendering the internet vulnerable to corporate shenanigans with a "oh, let's just let them police themselves because when has big telecom ever tried to screw over consumers for financial gain" attitude represent but a minuscule fraction of the American body politic. If our government is to stand for the proposition that, at the very least, the will of the many outweighs the will of the few--and not that the will of the rich trumps the will of the not-so-rich--then the current schema of net neutrality and the open internet backed by continuing classification of ISPs as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 must necessarily remain undisturbed. As I wish to stand for the side of history that ensures that the country that gave birth to the internet does not foolishly destroy that which makes it great and free, I voice my strong support for net neutrality backed by continuing classification of ISPs as common carriers under the aforementioned Title II.

153. steven muldowney, san antonio, TX, 78213

I strongly support net neutrality and view it as directly supporting a free and fair market for the consumer.

154. Arik Cabatic, San Antonio, TX, 78229

Preserve net neutrality and Title II. ISPs should be regulated to ensure they cannot freely throttle bandwidth based on what company pays more.

155. Clark Flato, Austin, TX, 78735

I support the backing of strong Net Neutrality Rules using Title 2 regulations and Title 2 oversight of ISPs leading to a truly free and open internet.

156. Kyle Glaeser, Austin, TX, 78736

Do not move internet access out of Title II Maintain Net Neutrality

157. Tyler Alexander, Austin, TX, 78704
I support the enforcement of Title II Regulations on Internet Service Providers. There is no proof that Title II Regulations and Net Neutrality rules are harming Internet Service Providers or limiting the growth of the internet.
158. Shawn Sattler, Doss, TX, 78618
Do your job, save net neutrality, prove you are not influenced by money and a corrupt system
159. Albert Bustamante, San Antonio, TX, 78209
I support Net Neutrality and maintaining the current protections and Title II.
160. Henry Gillam, Austin, TX, 78704
The FCC should maintain internet service provider status as common carriers under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. I will strongly consider this in my vote for elected officials and their stated viewpoints on this issue. A truly free and equal provision of internet traffic is necessary for both a democracy and a well-functioning capitalist system. The internet is one of the few equalizers when it comes to new ventures competing with established market players.
161. Rickard Robbins, san antonio, TX, 78232
Preserve net neutrality and title II. DO NOT change the current net neutrality rules! Given the opportunity ISP's WILL limit the bandwidth of competing products/services.
162. Bradley Meck Farias, Austin, TX, 78735
Please keep strict net neutrality in place. I have no desire to remove net neutrality due to the affect i might have in hurting the free market of small businesses that cannot compete with larger businesses if priority is given to specific sites and services.
163. christian vega, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624
Keep net neutrality and preserve title ii. Keep ISP under title ii. Thanks.
164. Kenneth Miller, Austin, TX, 78704
In many areas, ISP and Telecom companies enjoy a monopoly on the client facing side. While this is undesirable, it is generally contained to a metropolitan area and can be dealt with on a local level. Incorrectly reclassifying ISPs as Title I will provide them cover to create a second monopoly far more damaging to innovation and commerce. ISPs are Title II entities, and must provide equal access to all parts of the internet. Innovation is created in a free, fair, open market, and allowing a huge, corporate set of interests the ability to arbitrarily select winners and losers is harmful to that innovation.
165. Rafael Gomez, Austin, TX, 78741

Net Neutrality is incredibly important to keep the internet accessible for everyone. Any bill that would allow those in control of the data to alter it through monetary incentive would make a utility as important as electricity a pay-for-play business and corrupt the purity of data access.

166. Anthony Rubio, Austin, TX, 78749

I am writing to affirm my support for strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II classification of ISPs.

167. Sergio Romo, San Marcos, TX, 78666

I strongly support complete net neutrality, and regulation of ISPs under Title II of the Federal Communications Act of 1934. Please don't fuck with this recipe. PLEASE. #t-mobilesupportsISIS

168. Adam Damon, San Antonio, TX, 78216

I stand for Net Neutrality and vehemently oppose the restriction of a free and open internet for the benefit of corporate profits

169. byron barber, San antonio, TX, 78232

I support net neutrality and continued governance of ISP s under title II.

170. Kyle McCray, Austin, TX, 78749

I support net neutrality and the internet as a TITLE II service.

171. katelyn stokes, Austin, TX, 78745

For full net neutrality! Keep it all running at the same speed

172. Jessica, San Antonio, TX, 78261

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of of ISP's.

173. Eric Chavez, Austin, TX, 78748

Stop the greed, protect our internet.

174. Grace, Austin, TX, 78704

Please ensure that net neutrality is preserved. Do not allow corporations to restrict access to information and services.

175. Alex Hanselka, Austin, TX, 78748

I support true net neutrality. The internet and ISPs should continue to be regulated as a utility under Title II!

176. Cameron Hanka, Austin, TX, 78705

As a user of the internet, I strongly support net neutrality and the continued use of Title II classifications for all internet service providers. Please do not seek to degrade and diminish these protections. For the internet to exist as the

productive tool we know today, the FCC and other organizations must commit to explicit and sustained Net Neutrality.

177. Rick Chen, Austin, TX, 78704

I am writing in support of Net Neutrality and my opposition of the Restoring Internet Freedom Act (S. 2602) proposed by the following senators: Sen. Cornyn, John [R-TX] Sen. Cotton, Tom [R-AR] Sen. Cruz, Ted [R-TX] Sen. Lee, Mike [R-UT] Sen. Paul, Rand [R-KY] Sen. McConnell, Mitch [R-KY] Sen. Rubio, Marco [R-FL] Sen. Sasse, Ben [R-NE] Sen. Tillis, Thom [R-NC] The bill states it is to "restore internet freedom" but would in fact do the opposite. The internet is already in a "free" state, and I would like for our government to keep it that way. -Rick

178. Title II, Bergheim, TX, 78004

Please don't destroy internet access for greed. Do not reclassify high speed internet to Title 1.

179. Peter Lubbs, Austin, TX, 78748

Moving forward with Ajit Pai's suggestions is a fast lane for corporate control of a publicly necessary resource. Please do not make these changes.

180. Boyana Balta, Austin, TX, 78704

Re: net neutrality I write this email to express my support for net neutrality and for the FCC to keep the current guidelines under title II. The FCC is such an important branch to our system and the current laws, as written, protect consumers. Net neutrality is important to the very fundamental freedoms as a U.S. citizen. If we allowed companies to control our internet for their personal gain, this would violate our freedoms as citizens to choose and leads to the very core of freedom of speech in our 1st amendment. If I was a company and began slowing your browser because, for example, a conglomerate news company was paying me to make sure that only their website moved with speed and efficiency, this would violate the 1st amendment taking away that freedom and my freedom to seek out information in a market that needs to be governed by you, the FCC. I urge y'all to keep the laws at their current, protecting american citizens from bias corruption based on financial or other gain.

181. Title 2, Austin, TX, 78705

I am a strong supporter of net neutrality backed by classification of ISP's as title 2 organizations. Their reclassification will end with a horribly inequitable internet where corporations will control and shape peoples usage and internet experience. Information control is the first step towards dictatorship and in this case a corporate dystopia.

182. Brett, San Antonio, TX, 78247

Net Neutrality should remain strongly regulated. The only reason scum

companies like ISPs haven't messed with our services is because the law prevents it. Don't mess with my cat videos.

183. Jeff Marty, Austin, TX, 78701

To: FCC Please keep Title II as is. The FCC under Tom Wheeler and Co. made the right decision to keep the internet Open. Let's stay the straight path. Verizon, AT&T, Comcast - They must let profits and innovation win over greed. Ajit Pai, you've got this. Don't let your time at Verizon taint your decision to bend the rules to favor them and their ilk. Thank you for all consideration and efforts from here. May you have a great day! Best regards, JM

184. John Hemmick, Austin, TX, 78723

As a professional in the internet infrastructure industry, it is clear to me that network infrastructure should be operated as a public utility. By allowing companies to control the internet, competition will be stifled -- this bill will only result in the abuses of ATT + Spectrum and other regional broadband monopolies to intensify. The customer will not be protected. For the continued survival of innovation and the march of technological progress to continue, this bill cannot pass.

185. Stephanie Pearson, Austin, TX, 78704

I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. I will be calling my senators and representatives to voice the same comment.

186. Bobby C. Goode, Boerne, TX, 78006

My wife and I both support retaining the Title 2 legal basis of control over ISPs (Internet Service Providers). We do NOT want any change to effective "net neutrality" as is apparently favored by the new Trump-appointed FCC chairman who formerly worked for Verizon, a company that sued the FCC in the recent past over this very issue! In short, KEEP TITLE 2.

187. Sharmila Shridhar, Austin, TX, 78735

We need strong Net Neutrality. Please do not discard Title 2. It is required to stop ISPs to not favor one website over the other. ISPs should not be given power to influence which websites/apps people use.

188. Brian Kutumbos, Austin, TX, 78704

I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title 2 oversight on ISPs. I want to preserve net neutrality rules and the Title II authority used to enforce them.

189. Joseph Drew, San Antonio, TX, 78216

I support the preservation of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.

190. david weis, boerne, TX, 78006

Please ensure that net neutrality remains the law of the land. I want to ensure ISP's do not have the ability to influence the speed of content providers!

191. Samuel, Austin, TX, 78704
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. Help us FCC. You're our only hope.
192. Cole Greenman, Austin, TX, 78745
I believe the internet is intended for free and fair use by average citizens, and should not be manipulated by outside corporate money or influence. Don't be another government institution that caves to the pressures of tyrannical crony capitalism run amok.
193. Stephen, San Marcos, TX, 78666
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Ajit Pai, how you plan to deregulate ISPs shows your choice to put business interests and greed ahead of the well being of your community. Hopefully these responses will force you to go against your own self interests and focus on the american people.
194. Nick Reese, Austin, TX, 78704
Title II classification was the right decision. Don't undo it!
195. Audrey Hartman, Austin, TX, 78705
I urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality and Title II. Please.
196. Vincent Chou, Austin, TX, 78704
Doing away with regulation that protects Net Neutrality is a terrible misstep. Please protect this.
197. Miguel Gonzalez, Austin, TX, 78705
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. If loading time for porn sites is affected I will be pissed. Royally pissed.
198. Amber Hart, Austin, TX, 78747
Hello, I'm writing to voice my opinion as a US citizen about this current Net neutrality issue. Please, NO more mergers! Stop allowing internet companies to slow down services for the sake of competition and give us an open line of information. I, and millions of other users, should not have to pay the debt of greedy companies just for internet service. Thank you for opening up this form of conversation on your website.
199. David, Austin, TX, 78736
Maintenance of the status quo is the best option we have for this situation. There have been many examples of companies taking advantage of your agency's failures previously, please do not let this happen again.

200. Virginia Williams, Mountain City, TX, 78610
You better keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II! Don't be a little bitch.
201. Joshua Allen, Austin, TX, 78745
Protect net neutrality and title 2. Please and thank you.
202. Carlos Castro, San Antonio, TX, 78261
Listen up Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
203. Lauren Svetik, Austin, TX, 78701
I'm writing in support of the preservation of net neutrality under Title II, so the internet remains free and can't be controlled by that loser with the giant mug fetish.
204. brittany riley, austin, TX, 78741
You sold us out, not ok!
205. Isaac Lim, Austin, TX, 78748
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2. The rhetoric from Mr. Pai is very disheartening as his desire to dismantle net neutrality is for the benefit of a few powerful companies and not for the common man or good of society.
206. chris garcia, canyon lake, TX, 78133
I support net neutrality under title 2 oversight of ISPs. and verizon obviously owns Ajit Pai, so i guess f*** me right.
207. Barbara Garza, Austin, TX, 78745
I most definitely support strong neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I believe in equal access and that ISP's should not be able to undermine smaller competitors or somehow affect the speed of access to what I view online.
208. Sean McCarthy, Austin, TX, 78735
Net Neutrality must be kept as is, under Title 2. Any decision to neuter and eliminate this is foolish. It is causing no undue burden to any ISP.
209. Kolton Kubitz, Austin, TX, 78747
Keep Title II. We want strong net neutrality rules, not weakened versions.
210. Alexander Svehla, San Antonio, TX, 78248
I support current net neutrality laws and the classification of ISPs under title II. Changing these laws is an attack on our personal liberties and freedoms.
211. Joel Schutz, San Antonio, TX, 78247

I fully support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY!!! Title II must remain in control in overseeing ISPs.

212. Pamela Daggett, Austin, TX, 78704

Prior to the regulation you are seeking to appeal, there was considerable discussion and consternation regarding net neutrality, and how its loss would be felt among consumers. The regulations were enacted with good reason. The logic for repeal is hollow. Maintain net neutrality under Section II.

213. Carter McLean, San Antonio, TX, 78258

I strongly support keeping ISPs regulated under Title II. Cable companies and other service providers cannot be trusted to regulate their own services when they stand to profit from prioritizing some types of content over others. Net neutrality is vital in keeping the internet a free and open place.

214. Ryan, Austin, TX, 78704

Net neutrality is extremely important to small businesses doing business on the internet. Small shops and content hubs can not afford to compete with big businesses who can pay for "fast lanes." You say the fast and slow lanes won't even occur but Title 2 is the only law keeping the scenario from occurring. Even if you rewrite Title 2, there have to be rules protecting the internet. Cable companies are likely the worst collection of companies in America and it's irresponsible giving them power over the internet. People hate on government "control" but I'll take it over cable company control any day and so will every American.

215. Benjamin Pincus, Austin, TX, 78745

I am a web developer, this affects my career. I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs!

216. Arianna Curry, Boerne, TX, 78006

Leave my internet alone!!!! I'm wasting my breath just telling y'all to stop passing the buck. Stop profiting off of every American with a smart phone. End this madness. (Yeah right)

217. Maxime Lambert, Austin, TX, 78745

I fully support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

218. Douglas McKee, San Antonio, TX, 78230

It is completely disingenuous to say you want to pass net neutrality when the effect of passing it would do exactly the opposite and make your former employer, Verizon, able to control the internet, along with the other major players. Free and open internet is essential.

219. Anthony McCarthy, Austin, TX, 78745

I am against removing Internet Service Providers from Title II of The Communications Act of 1934. I do not believe ISP's need to be heavily regulated, but I do believe removing them from Title II will under regulate them. When the housing market crashed in 2008 causing the market downturn it was mainly caused by deregulation that had been occurring several years before. Deregulating ISP's could have similar consequences. Finally, the Federal Government cannot dictate what we are allowed to be exposed to, whether it be news, TV, radio, or internet, ISP's should not be allowed the possibility to do the same with the internet

220. Ted Oberts, Austin, TX, 78704

I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by tile 2 oversight of ISPs

221. Russell Greiner, San Marcos, TX, 78666

I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs! The internet is no longer optional or a privilege but has become a necessary vehicle of modern life and business. The governments and ISPs of the world should not be allowed to monopolize or maliciously capitalize on something that promotes creativity, expanded commerce, and the flow of information to citizens not just in the United States of America but across the globe.

222. Jeremy Rutman, san antonio, TX, 78212

We need to keep title I and II to maintain net neutrality. Dont put the wolves in charge of keeping the sheep safe.

223. Richard Rodriguez, San antonio, TX, 78240

I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs! Please remember the people are the backbone of America, not the corporations.

224. john ruwwe, San marcos, TX, 78666

Keep the net neutral and preserve title II

225. Nate, Austin, TX, 78741

I support net neutrality. Do NOT allow ISP's to dictate and decide whether they want to play by the rules. Keep net neutrality rules under title 2.

226. Pablo Velasco, Austin, TX, 78705

Don't change it. Stop having large entities control the internet.

227. trump2020, San Antonio, TX, 78261

I believe that net neutrality is a direct violation of my freedom, and the freedom of companies on the internet. Letting the wealthy purchase the right to have the best and most access to clean water would be complete bull. And same should go for our internet. I want this government to be fair and not try to fuck over the little guys. TRUMP 2020

228. Sushantha Sivalingam, Austin, TX, 78745
I am writing to express to the Commission my strong support for Net Neutrality. If Net Neutrality is abandoned or abridged and internet service providers are given discretion to discriminate among various sources of internet content, it will have an exceedingly negative effect on the free flow of information. A free flow of information is essential to a liberal representative democracy. I urge the staff and the Commissioners to preserve Net Neutrality. Thank you very much for your time and consideration.
229. Zeshan Khan, Austin, TX, 78703
Why must this be brought up time and time again. THE INTERNET IS A UTILITY AND SHOULD BE KEPT AT TITLE II CLASSIFICATION. The major ISPs have all stated to their shareholders that they are not affected (bottom line) by being classified as title II. Prioritizing traffic DOES NOT promote competition, it does the exact opposite. PLEASE KEEP THE INTERNET OPEN.
230. Jesse Saletan, Austin, TX, 78704
I'm writing in support of strong net neutrality as currently implemented by Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Internet Service Providers (ISPs) are most definitely common carriers and need to provide open access to the Internet. If allowed to selectively block or throttle traffic it will have an enormously detrimental impact not only to business competition, but also to basic freedom to both access and provide information online. Chairman Pai's suggestion that net neutrality works against freedom of speech is both absurd and disingenuous. Keep the Internet open and free from paid suppression of content. I implore you to support the continued oversight of ISPs under Title II.
231. Mary, Austin, TX, 78745
Please preserve net neutrality.
232. ALLYSON SHORE, NEw Braunfels, TX, 78132
I WANT NET NEUTRALITY. DO NOT REPEAL TITLE II
233. Carlos Ancira, Austin, TX, 78736
I, Carlos Ancira, specifically support strong net neutrality that is backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs!
234. Dawn Miller, Austin, TX, 78748
We consumers have a voice, and we are saying that net neutrality rules should be kept in place. This country already has a problem with the wealthy and powerful having disproportionate influence. Hear our voices.Keep the internet free and open!
235. Michael Sidoric, Austin, TX, 78704

ISP regulation must remain Title II. Neutrality cannot be trusted to self-regulate. The FCC has proven itself incapable of guarding the public interest in policing mergers that have reduced meaningful competition and caused rate increases. EXPECT INTENSE BACKLASH IF YOU MUCK WITH NET NEUTRALITY as currently protected under Title II Michael Sidoric Austin, Texas

236. steven worsham, Austin, TX, 78748

I stand in support of strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isp's.

237. Aaron, Austin, TX, 78748

Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. It's important to my business and personal life.

238. Joseph Delaney, Austin, TX, 78704

Equal access to internet communication is a fundamental right and required to be protected by the US Government. This cannot be left to 'competition' any more than non-monopolistic practices can be. It must be ensured. Every argument which supported the protection of an open Telecommunication industry applies. No Blocking: broadband providers may not block access to legal content, applications, services, or non-harmful devices. No Throttling: broadband providers may not impair or degrade lawful Internet traffic on the basis of content, applications, services, or non-harmful devices. No Paid Prioritization: broadband providers may not favor some lawful Internet traffic over other lawful traffic in exchange for consideration of any kind" in other words, no "fast lanes." This rule also bans ISPs from prioritizing content and services of their affiliates. Keep Net Neutrality.

239. David Stewart, Austin, TX, 78701

I support Net Neutrality in its current form and urge the FCC to keep broadband ISPs classified as Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

240. Jeremy Owens, Austin, TX, 78704

I support the enforcement of Net Neutrality through applying Title II to Internet Service Providers.

241. Connor Perry, Austin, TX, 78705

Please don't let corporations ruin the internet. It's important, both to me and my countrymen.

242. RIT SHUKLA, AUSTIN, TX, 78705

PLEASE DO NOT REMOVE THE FCC TITLE II REGULATIONS, THEY ARE IMPORTANT SO THAT CORPORATIONS DO NOT USE THEIR POWER TO MAKE EVERYONE PAY MORE FOR THEIR INTERNET THAT THEY RECEIVE TODAY. YOU ARE OBVIOUSLY NOT TRYING

TO PROTECT CONSUMERS WITH THIS MOVE. THIS IS AN IMPORTANT MOMENT IN INTERNET HISTORY AND YOU WILL BE REMEMBERED AS BEING ON THE WRONG SIDE, ON THE SIDE OF THE CORPORATION OVER THE SIDE OF THE PEOPLE. LISTEN TO EVERYONE HERE TELLING YOU WHAT THEY WANT, LISTEN TO YOUR CONSTITUENTS. THE PEOPLE WANT ISPS TO REMAIN UNDER TITLE II REGULATION. DO NOT REMOVE THESE PROTECTIONS. THIS WILL RESULT IN INCREASED COSTS FOR ALL CONSUMERS, PROTECT THE PEOPLE OF THE USA, DO NOT SIDE WITH THE ISPS.

243. Cody Michel, San Antonio, TX, 78215

I am strongly in support of the current net neutrality laws, including Title 2 oversight of the ISPs.

244. Wendy Gonzalez, San Antonio, TX, 78232

I am in favor of ISPs being classified under Title II in such a manner that net neutrality is maintained. It would be unfair to small businesses and to consumers for ISPs to be allowed to provide service in a way that gives preference to certain websites or services. Keep net neutrality!

245. william forfang, austin, TX, 78704

I support the extant enforcement of net neutrality under the Title II classification of broadband services.

246. John, san marcos, TX, 78666

I support strong net neutrality under title 2 regulations!!!!!!

247. Matthew Michael Agee, bexar county, TX, 78261

Content and source-agnostic open channels for communication are absolutely essential to healthy public discourse, securing our essential liberties and the infrastructure required to foster organic economic growth. The preservation of true network neutrality, including enforcement of Title II Common Carrier provisions for Internet Service Providers, is a fundamental responsibility of the Federal Communications Commission, and the abdication of this responsibility is anathema to everything the FCC stands for. Over the past two decades, I have watched large-scale commercial pressures slowly erode the United States' pioneering leadership from developing the public internet and burgeoning private infrastructure into a second-rate oligopolistic backwater. This is directly attributable to the FCC's mismanagement of its role serving the public interest. Recent FCC policy under the management of former chairman Tom Wheeler has served as a glimmer of hope toward changing the direction of this otherwise dreary narrative, so I am profoundly disappointed to learn of Ajit Pai's proposed FCC policy regressions away from these steps toward serving the public interest, in favor of instead perpetuating large-scale commercial control over Internet access, content, and speeds. The Internet is the substrate of our

modern society and economy, and neutrality provisions, separating carriers from content providers and devoid of favoritism, is essential to its function in that role. I urge the FCC to preserve an open internet, codify net neutrality, enforce Title II provisions, and embrace its prime responsibility of serving the public good. NPRM 17-108 is a terrible misstep and should be discarded in its entirety.

248. Paul Logan, Austin, TX, 78704

Please preserve net neutrality under section 2

249. Katherine Catmull, Austin, TX, 78741

I urge you to protect net neutrality in order to preserve economic vibrancy and diversity. When big companies like AT&T and Time Warner can force other big companies to pay for fast connections, little companies -- which are the future of our economy--have no way in. Ending net neutrality would be devastating to small business and insanely unfair to the public. I feel so strongly about this.

250. jason price, San Antonio, TX, 78216

As a concerned US citizen I want the FCC to preserve net neutrality and Title II.

251. Robert Stemen, Austin, TX, 78735

I support net neutrality as specifically enforced by labeling internet service providers as Title II communication entities. Having net neutrality in place allows for greater freedom for internet end users, allows for smaller content and service providers to be able to compete in the internet market, and prevents unfair use by large companies and ISPs. All of this at next to no financial or infrastructural cost for the ISPs. To remove these enforcements would eliminate competition and allow for monopolization of an otherwise free and open Internet by large companies or service providers.

252. Mr. David E. Green, San Antonio, TX, 78209

I strongly support Internet Neutrality and believe it best sustained by continuing Title Two!

253. Martinique Duchene-Phillips, Austin, TX, 78745

I support a strong Net Neutrality and the classification of ISP's under Title II. The ISPs have proven time and time again that they will only push forth their own interests. We need strong Net Neutrality processes.

254. t shaykh, austin, TX, 78749

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I vote for governments, not for companies. I want a government of the people, for the people - and not a government of the corporations, for the corporations. Ajit Pai needs to realize that his main job at the FCC is to serve and help the people. With great power comes great responsibility. Corporate greed by the FCC is blatantly evident in this case. On one hand Ajit Pai takes away broadband

choice from Americans by not supporting municipal broadband and then offers the prize to corporates who dont even have call centers in the USA. How can we make america great again? I would give the Al Capone prize to Ajit Pai.

255. Ralph Carpinteyro, Austin, TX, 78704
A free and open internet is extremely important. The internet is a public right for everyone. It must be protected from corporate interests. Please keep internet service providers regulated common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934
256. Nolan Dake, Austin, TX, 78704
Don't ruin the best thing America has ever given to the world... Keep net Neutrality strong under Title 2 provisions.
257. David Piper, austin, TX, 78704
Keep Net Neutrality and strong oversight of ISPs. I am a consumer of 2nd tier content and don't want it shunted aside for more profitable (to ISP) content.
258. Brady Reiter, Austin, TX, 78704
I support strong net neutrality laws and the Title II classification.
259. Hayes Converse, Austin, TX, 78703
I am a strong supporter of ISP regulation through Title II classification. If regulations cannot be enforced, they might as well not exist, and cutting off even the possibility of unscrupulous behavior on the part of ISPs is in the best interest of the American public.
260. David Powers, Austin, TX, 78704
I wish for the strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II to be maintained. I wish to protect small businesses entering the content marketplace.
261. Joe Nunez, San Antonio, TX, 78216
I am writing in strong support of maintaining a free and open internet, specifically with ISP's forced to follow Title 2 rules. Content delivery must be equal across the internet in order to spur new content and technologies otherwise large companies with deep pockets can and will successfully stifle innovation to maintain their market dominance. Every major internet startup owes their success to net neutrality and doing away with the rules forcing ISP's to treat content equally will be disastrous for everyone.
262. Neil, Austin, TX, 78705
Pls don't kill net neutrality kthxbai
263. Chandra Longfield, San Antonio, TX, 78232
Please reserve Net Neutrality and Title II!

264. Andrew Wojcicki, Austin, TX, 78704
I strongly urge the FCC to protect and preserve Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II.
265. Monica Jones, Austin, TX, 78735
Please protect Obama-era net neutrality rules. Do not put corporations over people.
266. David Kealey, Austin, TX, 78704
As a technology worker, I have a first hand view of the importance of ensuring ISP's do not get to choose favorites. I strongly encourage the FCC to preserve Net Neutrality through the Title 2 framework.
267. Rachel Robertson, San Antonio, TX, 78259
Please preserve net neutrality and keep it under the Title II regulations. Reclassifying ISPs would allow them to engage in the paid prioritization of not only media, but information in general.
268. Alec Hernandez, San Antonio, TX, 78259
As an internet user, I am concerned that the New Administration's attack on my freedom to a neutral net would negatively affect my internet usage.
269. Charlotte Werth, Austin, TX, 78704
I support continued Net Neutrality and the Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.
270. Adam Ramm, Austin, TX, 78748
Please retain strong net neutrality regulations and keep ISPs in Title II.
271. Elijah Clifford, San antonio, TX, 78217
Please do not allow internet service providers to hold a monopoly on the utility which is necessary for my livelihood. Please do not allow internet service providers to manipulate my home entertainment and private business. Please do your job and regulate this telecom utility.
272. Devin Kidman, Austin, TX, 78704
Title II is critical to fairness and future prospects of business and access for all internet users within the United States. Because internet usage encompasses the spectrum of education, social interaction, business, commerce and entertainment allowing business to control the flow is no different than controlling the electric grid, streets or water access. It is not for the just for the privileged. Please follow thru on the classification of ISPs as Title II common carriers and keep the internet fair and equal for all.
273. Mathew, Austin, TX, 78705

I support strong net neutrality and want it to be backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's

274. Marcus Triest, Austin, TX, 78701
Protect the american people, protect internet privacy! Anything else is against the interest of the american people.
275. Joseph Alcido, Austin, TX, 78705
Please for the love of God and all things holy preserve net neutrality and Title II, so my life on the internet does not delve further into a cesspool of commercialization and corruption.
276. Anthony Williams, Austin, TX, 78748
The FCC Open Internet Rules (Net Neutrality Rules) are vital to me and my fellow Texans. i urge the retention and support of Title II classification. ISPs do not deserve the right or ability to purposefully slow down or block disfavored content, or to demand premium payments for bare service in rural areas. Treating the Internet as a utility enables the fair treatment of all - providers and consumers. Strict oversight must come from the federal government and not a laissez faire attitude towards profit-based companies.
277. Jeffrey Ma, San Antonio, TX, 78230
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. In no way the ISP should take away net neutrality because of their greed and lust for money. I strongly opposed Docket No. 17-108 and this thing needs to be terminated as soon as possible if you have any morale in your heart.
278. Hayes Urban, Austin, TX, 78704
Weakening net neutrality rules weakens US competitiveness in the international market. And it would further a sense that our government no longer holds the interest of the people above commercial interests.
279. Ray, San Antonio, TX, 78247
+Support Net Neutrality
280. Jason Gagliardi, San Antonio, TX, 78247
Yet again, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's.
281. Kip Keller, Austin, TX, 78704
Keep in place the strong rules for net neutrality currently covered under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
282. Thomas Shieff, New Braunfels, TX, 78130
Title II is a needed regulation against an industry that has repeatedly shown that

they do not in any way, shape, or form deserve the trust of the US Government, the US People, or the World for that matter. Side note: The fact that a lawyer from Verizon was put in control of the very organization that regulates them is a slap in the face to the America that I love. AJIT PAI NEEDS TO RESIGN AND SHOVE THAT REESE'S MUG UP HIS ASS.

283. Connor Argentieri, Austin, TX, 78705

Hello, my name is Connor Argentieri. I am a college student studying computational chemistry at the University of Texas at Austin. The decision made in 2015 that moved ISB to title 2 was one that benefits the average consumer while offering very low costs to a given corporation. Moving this from title 1 to title 2 meant that more oversight could be used regarding net neutrality and fair/equal site trafficking. In this way corporations may be held more accountable, and guidelines may be in place that, if they were not present, would place consumers in a position of vulnerability toward coercion or other passive-aggressive tactics (like intentionally decreasing internet speeds to consumers for competitors' products). Keeping the current law in place not only protects the average citizen now and in the future, the alleged negative effects the current law has regarding corporations' investing seems to go unsupported, most evident in the contrast between Ajit Pai's predictions:

(<https://www.recode.net/2017/5/5/15560150/transcript-fcc-chairman-ajit-pai-net-neutrality-merger-recode-decode>) and a specific phone call to verizon's investors: (https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/the-switch/wp/2014/12/10/verizon-actually-strong-net-neutrality-rules-wont-affect-our-network-investment/?utm_term=.c211d03e6239). If major corporations are truthful that, if the law is returned to its original form (light oversight under title 1), they will adhere to an unofficial "code of honor" to prevent encroaching upon users' rights, there shouldn't be any reason to worry or fear that the guidelines under title 2 ARE in fact official and mandated, if they were to follow them anyway. Thank you for allowing my opinion to be accounted for.

284. Jacob Levin, Austin, TX, 78704

We are in full and unanimous support for net neutrality. You must preserve Title 2 or Title II however you'd like to write it. We want a fully open and neutral internet where all users are allowed to have equal and unencumbered access. We love freedom, we are Americans and we do not want any of these freedoms removed. Protect our rights and support net neutrality! Thanks.

285. Kathleen Roper, Austin, TX, 78749

Access to the Internet has become as essential as access to any other utility. It should be treated the same as telephones with equal access to all.

286. Eric Hall, Lakehills, TX, 78063

As an IT professional and a Microsoft Certified Systems Engineer since 2000, I

can confirm strongly that network neutrality is extremely and fundamentally critical to the foundation of a functional internet over both the short and long term.

287. Angela Jones, Austin, TX, 78748

I do not want net neutrality rules rolled back. We need these protections in place to protect the free flow of information. Do not repeal the FCC's reclassification of internet service as a "Title II" common carrier. ISPs cannot be allowed to obstruct or slow consumer access to websites and cannot be trusted to promise to avoid doing this on their own accord.

288. Matthew Zappitello, Austin, TX, 78745

I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight. The idea that companies will voluntarily do what is best for us, rather than what is best for them is ridiculous. Just look at the when Verizon, AT&T, and T-Mobile blocked Google Wallet from their phones because they wanted to promote their own payment system (albeit one with the truly unfortunate name matching a terrorist group). This example and many others illustrate just how easy it is for companies to do what is best for them to the detriment of their customers when they are not regulated.

289. Charlie, Austin, TX, 78704

I support net neutrality. I oppose any internet provider from having the ability to throttle internet speeds of certain web content or application. It is vital to our nation's free speech, businesses, and innovation that today's main method of communication and information gathering remains unobstructed.

290. Katie West, san marcos, TX, 78666

I strongly support net neutrality under title 2 regulations.

291. Christopher W Collins, Spring Branch, TX, 78070

As a consumer, I would ask the FCC to leave the current FCC policies regarding Net Neutrality in effect. To give corporations the power to act as gatekeepers for information available to consumers puts at risk the free and open exchange of information that is critical to a functioning democracy.

292. Joseph Pineiro, Austin, TX, 78741

I support strong net neutrality backed by FCC oversight of ISPs.

293. Eva Silverfine Ott, San Marcos, TX, 78666

I am writing in favor of strong net neutrality and a level playing field. Title II oversight of ISPs must be maintained. I feel changes proposed by FCC Chairman Pai would adversely affect me as a rural resident . Free and open internet is essential for the economic welfare and advancement of our nation.

294. John Paul Cesar, San Antonio, TX, 78230
I specifically and strongly support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of internet service providers.
295. Jeremy Damon, Austin, TX, 78704
Please retain Title II regulation and protect Net Neutrality. Without it, the internet will cease to be a free and open marketplace of ideas.
296. Rick Gilliland, Austin, TX, 78735
I highly urge you to leave Net Neutrality 'as-is'. Having worked for telecom companies, I understand corporate America, and it is not in the best interest of companies to play on a level playing field. The primary object is to gain any advantage over rivals. In this case ISP providers. We have seen it happen before, and if rules governing net neutrality are altered, we will see it happen again. The only ones who lose are consumers. PLEASE protect the consumer by insuring that internet access remains a neutral playing field.
297. Mackenzie O'Brien, san antonio, TX, 78216
i back net neutrality
298. Brian Lopez, Austin, TX, 78704
No one should be able to throttle the content that I have access to. the content created for all to access should not be restricted by the government.
299. Jesse Stevens, San Antonio, TX, 78230
please keep isp's a Title II under the communications act of 1934
300. Tyler, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Please for the sack of everything that is going wrong in the world, keep the title II classification in place. We need to keep the companies that are running our (utility) internet regulated. It will not promote competition if these companies keep hacking their price to the sky.
301. James Hafner, Buda, TX, 78610
Allowing ISPs to determine which traffic gets throttled and which does not hurts business. I do not support removing restrictions on ISPs.
302. James Tomsett, San Antonio, TX, 78216
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II classification for Internet Service Providers. I do not believe ISPs will ever have anything but their bottom line in mind and need to be thoroughly regulated to ensure all information and data is treated equally.
303. Will Spencer, San Marcos, TX, 78666
I strongly support net neutrality and find Ajit Pai's comments on the matter to be

deliberately misleading. Reclassifying ISP's under title 2 is the best way to ensure that ISP's can't garnish the internet into the dystopian capitalist orgy that Pai is apparently determined to turn it into.

304. Charles Duarte, Austin, TX, 78704
Please uphold the current FCC Internet neutrality under Title II as it stands now. We need to make sure there are no companies slowing down my internet speed on me for whatever reason. Thank you. - c duarte
305. Matt Oglesby, AUSTIN, TX, 78701
I FEEL VERY STRONGLY THAT WE NEED NET NEUTRALITY AND TO PRESERVE TITLE 2. FREE AND OPEN INTERNET SHOULD BE A RIGHT OF AMERICAN CITIZENS -- PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY!
306. Eleazar Galindo, San Antonio, TX, 78258
My e-commerce business relies in strong FCC regulations on ISPs and the Internet, so I I'll like to request the FCC to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.
307. alan, Austin, TX, 78701
I strongly support Net neutrality. Carriers and ISPs should be nothing but a pipeline to porn. All legal porn should be treated equally and fairly and should not be interfered with by the company I pay money to for internet access. Information made available by a website or other electronic medium, especially porn, should be left alone and treated indifferently by the ISPs. Enforce strong Title two protections on ISPs and leave the internet alone!
308. C J Michel, San Antonio, TX, 78215
I am in favor of strong net neutrality per Act II rules.
309. Sean Moore, Buda, TX, 78610
The Title II classification allows the government to hold ISPs (Internet Service Providers) accountable, and without it, they will CONTINUE from where they left off, extorting businesses. Don't be the FCC that created an internet dark age.
310. Jordan Zylberberg, Austin, TX, 78705
I am strongly opposed to Docket No. 17-108. Please uphold net neutrality as backed by Title II oversight of ISPs The only freedom this act established is the freedom for ISPs to sell consumer data without consent. Asking them to "promise" to not sell our data is ridiculous and insulting to Americans everywhere.
311. Aaron Jarrett, San Antonio, TX, 78259
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. It's crucial to have a free and open internet where all traffic is equal and fair.

312. Adam Ochs, San Antonio, TX, 78247
I strongly support net neutrality through oversight of title 2 regulations for the ISP companies.
313. Keith Kritselis, Austin, TX, 78747
Keep the internet free from corporate monopolistic meddling. You work for the American people. Let that guide you.
314. Ryan Stoutamyer, San Antonio, TX, 78261
It is very important to me that you, as an organization, keep ISPs classified under Title II and enforce strong net neutrality rules.
315. Kevin Tong, Austin, TX, 78704
This message is for FCC Chairman Ajit Pai: Mr, Pai, please reconsider your stance on Net Neutrality. I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
316. Tanner Kelsey, San Marcos, TX, 78666
The internet in its current state is and should be regarded as a utility. I will be severely disappointed in any changes to net neutrality.
317. Mark Boudreaux, Austin, TX, 78745
I strongly urge you to keep net neutrality. There is no reason to end it at all except for major corporations to make more money.
318. Sarah Meraz, Austin, TX, 78704
You MUST preserve net neutrality and Title II.
319. Zach Gates, Austin, TX, 78749
I'm strongly in support of stricter FCC control over ISP throttling. Reclassification is not in the best interest of small business.
320. Aaron Arizpe, Austin, TX, 78745
I am writing to you in support of keeping Title 2 regulations for ISP's. I support Net Neutrality to keep the internet free and open, not hoping and wishing ISP's who have proven time and again they will only benefit themselves. The government must protect the people, not the corporations.
321. Lucas Artusi, Austin, TX, 78746
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am very strongly opposed to Docket No. 17-108. Net neutrality is a cornerstone of the internet as we know it, and this docket measure seeks to dissolve the freedom so central not only to consumer use of the Internet, but also commercial.

322. John F, Austin, TX, 78741
In a 2014 Princeton study called "Testing Theories of American Politics: Elites, Interest Groups, and Average Citizens", the authors make convincing arguments that corporate and special interests always override the public interest and that the government is therefore more like an oligarchy than a democracy. This bill was trashed 3 years ago for being blatantly undemocratic, restrictive, wrong, etc. The issue returns at the behest of corporate interests and will likely continually reappear until the corporations are satisfied-though, they never are quite satisfied, are they? Why not postpone the absolute destruction of democracy for a few more years, FCC?
323. Lindsey DeRynck, Manchaca, TX, 78652
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP™s. The free expression of ideas on the internet is central to a democracy in today's era. To hinder the expression of unpopular, or more precisely, unprofitable ideas for the sake of corporate profits is unpatriotic and un-American.
324. Samuel Radcliffe, Austin, TX, 78704
I am one of the many that believes that Net Neutrality is essential to American commerce. ISPs need to be regulated like public utilities because they are a necessity. 51% of all shopping is now transacted over the internet, we should not allow a few powerful companies dictate how we as Americans can spend our money.
325. Christopher Murphy, Austin, TX, 78705
I support net neutrality. Giving any corporations the ability to limit my access to information is anti-American and frankly is a detriment to ease of access of information. Please do not give in to the same beliefs as the rest of the administration by allowing the companies, that you have already allowed monopsony power over internet, to have the ability to limit our access to information and content.
326. August VanHout, AUSTIN, TX, 78741
I support strong net neutrality under section 2. Do not reschedule it to section 1.
327. Christopher Applegate, Austin, TX, 78749
I believe that STRONG net neutrality with Title II oversight of ISPs is absolutely necessary to keep the internet ACTUALLY free and open, not the ISP definition of free and open. The current proposal is short sighted and will ultimately kill innovation and job growth over the long term while consolidating power and influence into the hands of the most loathed and backwards-looking companies on the planet.
328. Garrett Vance, Austin, TX, 78745
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by strong Title II oversight

of ISPs.

329. Andrew Litt, Austin, TX, 78748

I strongly support net neutrality and request that this proceeding not go forward. Net neutrality protects user choice in content providers, especially those in locations with little or no choice in ISPs. The argument that net neutrality hurts competition in providers is terribly flawed as there is often very limited competition in a location, and insurmountable infrastructure costs prevent new players from making those locations more competitive.

330. Daniel, San Antonio, TX, 78216

I feel like I've been here before. Have I been here before? Oh my God, I have been here before. A British man has got me worried that any of my internet activity could be throttled because some Rockefeller-Lord Business-Sauronesque entity doesn't like me or the things that I like. Well color me paranoid enough to write this diatribe because I'll be damned if I will sit quietly by with the looming possibility that someone would ruin my viewing experience of Stranger Things Season 2. I swear to all that is in existence if I see a buffering wheel every 2 minutes during my viewing, I will do nothing for I am a cowardly individual, but I will be very peeved. And to the Stranger Things fan in the FCC that is reading this, please don't let them destroy, re-categorize, re-brand, re-imagine or any other "re-" word to give them the keys to our internet. If not for me, then do it for the other couple of million angry people who are no doubt writing shorter and angrier comments. Anyway, boo-hoo and what have you.

331. Aaron Price, Austin, TX, 78704

I support net neutrality rules and enforcement under Title 2.

332. Michael Chacko, San Antonio, TX, 78218

I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. ISPs have a history of acting against customers interests. I am personally against most regulations, however, some are required including these. Removing these restrictions, will make competition more difficult for smaller internet providers. Imagine the large ISPs blocking access to smaller ISP's websites.....

333. Taylor Granger, Austin, TX, 78746

I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II (2) oversight of ISPs. I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108.

334. Cody Sandel, Austin, TX, 78704

I strongly support preservation of net neutrality and Title II classification of ISPs. I beg of you, please do not reverse net neutrality. Thank you for your time.

335. Ali Heller, San Antonio, TX, 78248

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Watch Last Week Tonight with John Oliver of May7, 2017 if you want more specifics as to why.

336. isaac canales, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Please preserve net neutrality and title II. It would be a mistake to get rid of it.
337. michelle uribe, austin, TX, 78741
I am deeply opposed to any legislation that weakens net neutrality laws.
338. isabelle encela, austin, TX, 78704
leave net neutrality alone and preserve title ii.
339. Nancy Beltran, Kerrville, TX, 78028
"I specifically strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP.
340. Erinn Wright, Austin, TX, 78705
This is an absolute violation of everything the internet stands for and will unjustly favor big corporations over the rights of citizens and equal competition.
341. Daniel Crandal, Austin, TX, 78741
I am deeply opposed to any effort to weaken net neutrality rules. I do not believe that ISPs should have the right to choose which parts of the internet I can see, or manipulate internet access speeds. Corporate self-regulation does not work, and the purpose of the FCC is to protect our right to equal access. Do your job.
342. Miller Hooks, Austin, TX, 78745
Keeping ISPs under title 2 is important and regulation of these companies is important. The internet needs to remain free to access and not be throttled for corporate interests.
343. Eric Ford, Austin, TX, 78741
Tampering with Net Neutrality goes against everything the internet was created for. Please don't give communication companies an opportunity to gut internet equality for financial gain.
344. Dino Cisneros, Austin, TX, 78741
I support net neutrality under title 2. Do not change anything!
345. Justin Garcia, San Antonio, TX, 78258
I am in support of strict net neutrality and continued ISP oversight through title II
346. James Smith, San Antonio, TX, 78209

Maintain Net Neutrality to preserve my internet freedom. Companies should not have more control over our access to free information than they already do.

347. Elizabeth, Austin, TX, 78701
I am strongly in favor of keeping current net neutrality regulations. Do not remove or change Title 2.
348. Silvana Martinez, Austin, TX, 78701
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Do not change this please!
349. Allison Elise Raven White, Austin, TX, 78745
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and I OPPOSE Docket No 17-108.
350. Julia McLouth, San Antonio, TX, 78213
I am wholly opposed to rolling back net neutrality rules under Title 2.
351. Sean Daniel Johnson, San Marcos, TX, 78666
I strongly support the continued regulation of internet providers under Title 2. I believe it is necessary for a free and open internet, and there is little evidence that it negatively impacts the industry to be subject to such regulations. Failure to maintain these necessary regulations would, in my opinion, result in serious negatives for consumers, and the possibility of monopolization by certain business interests.
352. Paul Rolke, Austin, TX, 78704
Preserve real net neutrality with Title II enforcement.
353. Aaron Brack, Austin, TX, 78735
keep net neutrality, specifically Title II
354. Matt Woodrome, Austin, TX, 78701
I support net neutrality under Title II oversight of ISPs
355. Rachel Prichett, Austin, TX, 78735
Net neutrality and its strict oversight are necessary. Uphold Title II legislation.
356. Katie Kuykendall, Austin, TX, 78741
I fully support net neutrality and Title 2.
357. Sam Stephens, Spring Branch, TX, 78070
I strongly support protecting Net Neutrality using Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I love the Internet the way it is, and don't want to see corporations manipulating my access to my favorite sites.

358. Emily Thomas, Austin, TX, 78704
Hey y'all, I wanted to let you know that I strongly support a strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Best, Emily
359. Courtney Christner, Austin, TX, 78741
I want to keep the internet open and free through net neutrality by keeping ISPs under Title II.
360. Michael Parker, Kerrville, TX, 78028
Keep the Internet under Title II and make sure that no ISP can ever regulate Internet traffic. Keep the Internet open to all businesses so that all consumers can benefit. We do not regulate how fast our lights turn on if we use a GE bulb vs a competitor or how fast the water flows through our pipe if we are using a Moen faucet vs a competitor. Why would we ever want to slow down our Internet when the rest of the developed world already out paces us in Internet speed? Thank you for your time.
361. Jacob Holt, Austin, TX, 78741
I want to express my support for a free and open internet with ISP's continuing to be defined under Title 2. Please continue to preserve Net Neutrality!
362. Jarrett Petty, Austin, TX, 78704
The internet cannot be owned by any corporation. I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!
363. Kayla Rowan, Austin, TX, 78701
I ask the FCC to uphold title 2 as taking away the law that says you cannot murder and everyone promising to not muder eachother makes no fucking sense.
364. carl hart, Austin, TX, 78747
No more mergers!!
365. evan bolano, new braunfels, TX, 78132
As an avid user of the internet and Internet services, I believe that I should have the right to access information freely, and that isp's should not impose any sort of limitation on the content that I wish to see (given that the content is legal to share). It is dangerous to give entities so powerful control over availability of information to such a large group or people. Without strong enforcement of net neutrality, the potential for corruption is too great. Therefore, I support strong net neutrality laws under Title II of the Communications Act.
366. Richard Kuester, San Antonio, TX, 78247
I strongly believe in net neutrality. Companies should not be able to restrict anyone's use of the internet, whether by restricting sites nor by limiting

bandwidth, nor charging any one or any company for the carriage of their data.

367. Matthew Wood, San Antonio, TX, 78230

I support Obama-era measure to protect the consumer and net-neutrality. I further promote and support practices and regulations that discourage the current duopoly and allow more competition in the consumer's choice for internet service and reasonable pricing.

368. Carter Ware, Kerrville, TX, 78028

I strongly support moving FCC oversight of ISPs to title II (2). Please do not override the will of the people!

369. Logan Thomas, Austin, TX, 78748

If you remove net neutrality, shame on you!

370. Joshua Michael Masso, Austin, TX, 78704

Many implications of undoing net neutrality will end the internet as we know. Y'all already know this, though. Maintain the integrity of our internet. Don't sell out to the large corporations that have nobody's interest in mind aside from their own bottom line. Everyone will be affected by this, including you. Thank you for your time.

371. Jacob White, Austin, TX, 78704

I am writing to voice my concerns about the future state of net neutrality laws. I believe that net neutrality must be protected and that the FCC should do everything in its power to adhere to the many citizens who are voicing these growing concerns. I urge the FCC to reconsider its movement to reverse the Title II classification.

372. Kimberly S. Peters, San Antonio, TX, 78217

It is extraordinarily important that we maintain net neutrality. While not a specific guarantee under the First Amendment, net neutrality certainly enhances freedom speech. We cannot allow the behemoths to dictate which pieces of information or entertainment are favored. While one may argue that net neutrality flies in the face of a free market, but that is not the case. If an individual has the Internet from AT&T, as an example, AT&T has a monopoly within that household. Multiply that household by the millions of AT&T subscribers, one can see that if AT&T decided to favor one of its own products over another, it is unfair.

373. Clayton, Austin, TX, 78701

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Any less stringent regulation is an infringement on the internet rights of private citizens and threatens to undermine the principals upon which the internet was founded.

374. Thomas Dukes, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Maintain Net Neutrality under Title II rules, the current and most robust protective regime. As an American citizen and internet user, I must stress the need for such strong protections. Prior legal challenges by ISP's, among them Verizon, are at least partly responsible for the move several years ago by the FCC to bestow Title II protections. Any attempt to dismantle this strong protective framework, to revert to Title I or some such voluntary Net Neutrality system, would rapidly erode current protections as ISP's engage in business decisions geared toward greater profit, eroding our Net Neutrality and ultimately causing harm to our nation and its citizens. Maintain Net Neutrality under Title II.
375. Eric Harrelson, Austin, TX, 78741
I strongly support net neutrality and strong rules, backed by keeping internet providers classified Title II
376. Paul Clark, Austin, TX, 78704
Preserve net neutrality! I strongly support strict oversight of ISPs under Title II.
377. Conor Murdoch, Austin, TX, 78746
I want to keep Title 2 and strong net neutrality laws.
378. Moneta Rios, San Marcos, TX, 78666
It is the business of the FCC to protect its citizens. You need to leave Net Neutrality alone. An ISP should not be able to determine the delivery speed of content. Consumers have the right to neutral delivery of content.
379. Alexander Bauer, Austin, TX, 78735
Net neutrality is essential for a free world. Taking away net neutrality takes us one step closer to being an authoritarian country like North Korea. Freedom of information should be right of the american people especially with all the filtered and augmented news we get from mainstream media.
380. Christina Coleman, San Marcos, TX, 78666
I am protesting the proposal to regulate the internet and internet service providers until Article I rather than the current Article II. I want to see net neutrality maintained and prevent providers from favoring companies and sites over others.
381. Andres Herrera, San Marcos, TX, 78666
FCC should keep the neutrality and Title II
382. valarie perez, San Antonio, TX, 78217
I support TITLE II. Preserve net neutrality!

383. Graham Caywood, Austin, TX, 78701
Keep The strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. You know that we cannot trust large corporations to do what's in everyone's best interest.
384. Clay S., Austin, TX, 78704
TO: Ajit PaiAs a venture capitalist, I have a strong knowledge and understanding of innovation, what stifles innovation, and what enhances innovation. I STRONGLY SUPPORT net neutrality and Title II oversight of communications providers and strongly DO NOT support the roll-back of existing net neutrality protections. Small businesses and internet-based technology start-ups, which contribute the vast majority of meaningful innovation in today's business ecosystem, rely on net neutrality protections for a capitalist and fair playing field. If net neutrality protections provided under Obama's administration are rolled back, incumbent internet service providers will deliberately stifle internet-based innovation they see as "competitive". While your proposal is said to eliminate burdensome regulations on business, it will do the exact opposite: it will indeed result in insurmountable burdens to new, technologically innovative, and competitive companies that are a requisite for economic growth and innovation.Clay S.
385. Justin Maldonado, San Antonio, TX, 78232
Net Neutrality should be strengthened, not weakened. With regional monopolies, and large barriers for competition to enter new markets, consumers need to be protected from ISPs. They've already showed that they are more than willing to use traffic slowdowns and restrictions on competitors, as well as allowing certain web companies to not count towards charged data amounts. The practice is already in place, and we should be working to stop it. The internet is the gateway to almost any business and industry in the market, and it should not be limited. We do not allow limiting which businesses may be called on phones, or what streets can be used to access them, and that same free and equal access should apply to the internet as well.
386. William Alan Gibson, Austin, TX, 78735
Maintain Title II for ISP's.
387. Caroline Vassar, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II Thanks :)
388. Kathleen Bryson, Kerrville, TX, 78028
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.Mr. Pai, a former Verizon employee, outrages me by his blithe dismissal of this issue.I depend on net neutrality as my life is organized around the Internet, FaceTiming my globally situated daughters and my precocious toddler grandsons - who understand the issue of net neutrality with greater clarity than Pai. I also support the replacement of Pai by an industry-neutral administrator.

389. Robert Hughlette, San Antonio, TX, 78230
We wish to express our concern over your plan to lessen the regulations from Title II for the ISPs. Leave Title II in place. You are trying to fix something that isn't broken. The consumer should be first in your actions. Follow your mandate to protect the public waves of communication.
390. Bryan Brockman, San Antonio, TX, 78229
Net neutrality should be maintained. It is a precaution to protect against corporate greed. The internet should not be allow to be regulated by private interests but should be fair and equal for all.
391. Amanda, Austin, TX, 78704
Net Neutrality is fundamentally important for this version of our new democracy. Access to quick information, that we choose to view, is our right. For smaller companies it can mean their very survival ... it's about choice ... and that's our right.
392. Michele, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II...thank you!
393. Noah, Austin, TX, 78736
Net neutrality does not in any way hurt business, at least not majorly. Net neutrality is imperative to our every day lives, take it away and there is absolutely no way the man who appointed will get my vote in 4 years.
394. Dani Emfinger, austin, TX, 78745
Stop the attack on REAL Net Neutrality and Title II. Net Neutrality is essential for protecting free speech, organizing business and innovation. I will not stand for any attacks on Net Neutrality or my rights as an internet user. Leave the Net Neutrality rules alone.
395. Paul Voccio, San Antonio, TX, 78266
I strongly support Net Neutrality and putting ISPs into Title II regulation. It is absurd to believe that companies will not fall to the temptation to charge for tiered access to the Internet. If you wish to have neutrality rules, they must be defined and regulated.
396. Timothy Aguilera, San Antonio, TX, 78230
I fully support Net Neutrality and the Preservation of Article 2 along with the VAST majority of Americans. There are far more of us than there are of you.
397. Evan, Austin, TX, 78704
save the internet keep it free
398. Lucinda Nevare, San Antonio, TX, 78232

I strongly support the FCC maintaining important net neutrality rules by sustaining title II requirements.

399. Mark Brown, Austin, TX, 78749

I fully support net neutrality and I believe it is of the utmost importance that Title II net neutrality rules remain in place.

400. Amanda Davis, Austin, TX, 78741

I support Net Neutrality because it is essential to assure freedom of speech. The internet without Net Neutrality isn't really the internet. Unlike the open internet that has paved the way for so much innovation and given a platform to people who have historically been shut out, it would become a closed-down network where cable and phone companies call the shots and decide which websites, content or applications succeed. This would have an enormous impact. Companies like AT&T, Comcast and Verizon would be able to decide who is heard and who isn't. They'd be able to block websites or content they don't like or applications that compete with their own offerings.

401. Benjamin Gaddis, Austin, TX, 78704

The internet is to be free and fair for all. I support strong net neutrality.

402. Jodi Paul, Austin, TX, 78748

Keep the Internet open. Open internet advocates argue that the FCC's net neutrality policy is crucial for US economic growth, online innovation, civic empowerment and free speech. Without net neutrality, many open advocates argue, companies like Google, Facebook, Twitter, Skype, Netflix and other so-called "edge providers" might have been snuffed out in their infancy by the telecom giants whose pipes these firms use to reach consumers. The principles of openness and accessibility that underpin net neutrality were baked into the internet's original architecture, and for the most part these concepts have prevailed for the last several decades. But these principles are now threatened as never before under the Trump administration. That's why open internet advocates are bracing for what's likely to be a lengthy, bruising fight to protect online freedom. And we will fight.

403. Internet Freedom, Austin, TX, 78701

Please do not get rid of my internet freedom.

404. Virginia, Buda, TX, 78610

I support net neutrality as it is now regulated by Title 2.

405. Richard Catron, San Antonio, TX, 78209

Mr. Pai, please maintain net neutrality by continuing to classify ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I know that this runs counter to your stated aims, but you must understand that this is bigger than you and your

former career as an attorney for Verizon, a company that could conceivably benefit from a reclassification. We both know that once your chairmanship of the FCC is over you may return to a career in the private sector (perhaps even a promotion at Verizon?). Please don't indulge my cynicism with the revolving door by reclassifying ISPs simply to further your own future career. To do so would be very "undude" and as heartless as a German nihilist. Please reconsider

406. Aaron, Austin, TX, 78704
The preservation of net neutrality is imperative.
407. Kevin S., Buda, TX, 78610
I support strong net neutrality through title II. The current Chairman of the FCC should never have accepted the position due to an overarching conflict of interest with Verizon communications. I'm not sure how it is legal for a person to head a governmental regulatory agency that oversees a business in which said person has a direct financial stake. It is no surprise that Mr. Pai is trying to reduce regulations which would impact the value of his current holdings. As always, follow the money. Do not take away my ability to access internet content on my own terms so this individual can make his already deep pockets even deeper. Do not take away power from the consumer.
408. Sally, San Antonio, TX, 78258
Ajit Pai, I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's
409. Jennifer Stapelfeld, Austin, TX, 78745
FCC - ATTN: Ajit Varadaraj Pai I support strong net neutrality backed up Title II oversight of ISPs! Safeguard an open internet and protect net neutrality!
410. David Traverso, Austin, TX, 78703
I implore the FCC to maintain Title II regulations and ensure a neutral internet exists for the consumer.
411. chance oppel, austin, TX, 78745
please protect net neutrality and expand title II
412. jerry stotts, San Antonio, TX, 78261
Don't let big business dictate priorities
413. Jacy Warwick, Austin, TX, 78741
Keeping the internet a public infrastructure free from corporate control is the most important thing on the long list of huge issues this country faces. Net Neutrality must not be repealed. It is our internet and we must protect ourselves!
414. Jeffrey Blackman, Austin, TX, 78735
I support strong net neutrality regulations backed by Title 2. There is a clear

conflict of interest in allowing private companies to curate access to websites owned by their competitors. It is also fundamentally non-democratic to allow companies like Comcast, which was voted worst company in America by the Consumerist multiple times within the last 5 years to have any input into what Americans should be able to access on the internet.

415. James Spencer, San Antonio, TX, 78232
I am adamantly opposed to any changes to regulations which go against the principle of net neutrality as protected under Title II. Ending net neutrality would cause damage to both businesses and consumers.
416. Alejandro Katz, Austin, TX, 78704
You cannot roll back net neutrality. It is crucial to avoid massive overreach by large companies. Do not move forward with rolling it back.
417. Andrew Batista, Austin, TX, 78749
We need to support Strong Net Neutrality as supported by Title II oversight of internet service providers. ISPs have no moral or legal right to give preferential treatment to internet traffic.
418. Phil Wienholts, austin, TX, 78748
Please leave the existing net neutrality from the obama era in place. Sincerely, A guy who votes
419. Sean Navarro, Austin, TX, 78704
Dear Mr. Pai I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's
420. Gregory O'Shea, Austin, TX, 78704
Please maintain net neutrality and get rid of the guy with the weird cup obsession.
421. Charles Marvin, Austin, TX, 78748
I support strong net neutrality laws, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Do the right thing.
422. Katherine Dubberly, San Antonio, TX, 78213
Net neutrality is incredibly important! We need Title II oversight of ISPs.
423. Sophia Hong, Austin, TX, 78741
Dear Ajit Pai, I support strong Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs
424. John Reyna, Austin, TX, 78704
i specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight over ISP's.

425. Prentiss Hammons, Austin, TX, 78701
I support Title II regulations for Internet Service Providers (ISPs). Please do not make any changes to current internet neutrality laws.
426. Joshua Austin Stevens, Austin, TX, 78746
Please preserve net neutrality under title 2. Do not roll back regulation.
427. Jason Kovacs, Austin, TX, 78748
I support net neutrality and am firmly against selling bandwidth to the highest bidder.
428. Elyse, san antonio, TX, 78230
fhuk u
429. Michael Mancuso, AUSTIN, TX, 78745
We support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Ajit Pai a former lawyer for Verizon should get out of politics due to conflicts of interest.
430. Roy Mendez, san antonio, TX, 78232
Title II oversight is extremely important to emerging business and is the best protection for citizens rights on the internet. Preserve net neutrality and title II.
431. Dalton Vann, Kerrville, TX, 78028
I support strong net neutrality through Title 2 oversight. My browsing is not someone else's profit.
432. David Lund, Austin, TX, 78704
Do not interrupt net neutrality. The free flow of information should be at the center of our ideals as a democracy. By allowing ISPs to interfere with the speed of access, in the name of deregulation, you inherently create a more regulated market for information. Please do what's best for the users of the internet and not what's best for a few large corporations.
433. Oliver Treadwell, Austin, TX, 78741
Net Neutrality is important for continuing to hold the basic rights we hold as americans.It is important that no action is taken to restrict freedom of expression or freedom of assembly through limiting portions of the net for certain folks.If we are to do so, ISP's and other corporations will gain even greater influence in our media and politics.Please protect net neutrality
434. Chris Rogers, Austin, TX, 78736
I think that net neutrality should remain by continuing to list ISP's under Title of the Federal Communications Bill of 1934
435. Armando Ramos, San Marcos, TX, 78666

It is IMPORTANT to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

436. Heather, Austin, TX, 78748
Please preserve net neutrality and protection under Title II.
437. Richard Goodin, Austin, TX, 78704
Do not kill net neutrality. You didn't invent it. You don't own it. It belongs to all of us. The ISPs have a monopoly on their service and we deserve better.
438. Dustin Goodwin, San Antonio, TX, 78247
Getting rid of Net Neutrality would be worse than that time my brother took a crap on my pillow in retaliation for beating him at Mario Kart 64.
439. Joel McKenzie, San Marcos, TX, 78666
I Support keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I do not support anything that would change the legal footing on which Net Neutrality rules are in place.
440. Marlee Henderson, Austin, TX, 78748
I FULLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE 2 OVERSIGHT OF ISPs. These current efforts to change the protections of net neutrality and title 2 are disgusting, and obviously an effort to allow large corporations to profit. STOP. And someone please bludgeon Ajit Pai over the head with his stupid fucking coffee mug.
441. Net, San Antonio, TX, 78230
I support net neutrality and an unbiased Internet through title II oversight of ISPs.
442. Roberto Manco-Stenz, Austin, TX, 78704
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title Two oversight of ISP's because the Internet is that everything in our world and our lives are based around and giving control of internet speeds to the company that gives access to it is a recipe for disaster. There is no way you will be able to keep them in check to not limit Internet speeds to certain people. As of now and more so in the future this will only hurt our economy which is increasingly based around the Internet. It will slow it down and maybe even stop it and the innovation of the Internet because there is no even platform to access the Internet on, which is something that you should work on, whether that be government issued computers and internet to people who cant afford them or whatever, the Internet and computers is a tool that everybody in the world, regardless of who or what you are or what you look like, ANYTHING should have access to and use as much as the need to without the control of the government and private companies that will do anything they can to make a cent more then what they already make. Keep the Internet free to all and help those who cannot access it.

443. Todd Hittner, Austin, TX, 78745
Please enforce Net Neutrality and leave Title II rules in place.
444. sarah, Austin, TX, 78704
I support strong FCC regulations protecting net neutrality under the current title II structure
445. Andrew Baxter, Austin, TX, 78745
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Leave the Internet as it is.
446. Sylvia White, Austin, TX, 78745
Strongly support NET neutrality and Title II
447. Zackary De La Garza, New Braunfels, TX, 78130
I support strong net neutrality, specifically speaking about the the Title II oversight of ISPs. Please don't get rid of the regulations in place.
448. Hunter Buttner, Kerrville, TX, 78028
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isp's. Getting rid of net neutrality would be a huge embarrassment for this administration.
449. Marcus Higuchi, San Antonio, TX, 78232
Preserve Net Neutrality. A free and open internet benefits us all.
450. Mark Hastings, Austin, TX, 78704
I am in favor of maintaining the Net Neutrality rules established by former chairman Wheeler, and I believe that ISP's should be regulated under Title II. I am opposed to chairman Pai's proposal.
451. Jared Vengrin, Austin, TX, 78705
I'm very concerned by the disingenuous statements being put out in support of moving to Tier 1 oversight by the FCC. A free and fair internet is the lynchpin for innovation and I feel very strongly that we should maintain the stronger regulatory framework that Tier 2 oversight provides.
452. John Woods, Austin, TX, 78704
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Please keep net neutrality in place, it is very important.
453. Beverly Deutsch, Austin, TX, 78745
No rollbacks, keep our protections for Net Neutrality in place.
454. G B Holloway, Kerrville, TX, 78028
Preserve net neutrality and title two. Mr. Chairman stop your lying about this

issue. Clearly you have no intention of protecting the needs of the American public but are the paid for concubine of the industry.

455. Sara Kilmer, Austin, TX, 78705
Strong support of net neutrality.
456. Donna, Austin, TX, 78745
Please protect title 11 regulations on Net Neutrality . These protections are needed.
457. Dylan Coover, Austin, TX, 78741
I support strong Net Neutrality rules as the rest of this country does.
458. William Pendleton, Austin, TX, 78745
I support the current strong net neutrality regulations currently enforced under title 2.
459. Patrick Cleary, Austin, TX, 78704
It is incredibly important that net neutrality is support by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am opposed to 17-108
460. Luke Harris, San Antonio, TX, 78213
Please do not let Ajit Pai infiltrate your organization and do the bidding of the telecoms industry. The FCC should protect the consumer and everyone who uses communication devices.
461. Brian Delano, Austin, TX, 78745
My positive opinion on your success as a government agency is dependent upon your continued effort to uphold net neutrality and strong support of Title II legislation. Protection of an equal, even flow of information is critical to maintaining (and growing) the nation's information equality. DO NOT let large corporations re-write the rules on how WE THE PEOPLE access online content.
462. mike taylor, Austin, TX, 78736
Don't sell me out. I am not an asset, I am a person. I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Make it clear you are Opposed to Docket No. 17-108!
463. Christian Hunter McKee, San Marcos, TX, 78666
I strongly wish that net neutrality be upheld and ISP's remain a Title 2 designation. It is important that the internet remain a forum for consumption and participation without certain competing internet providers gaining an unfair advantage against other providers lest the potential for customers to be gouged and prospective companies to be stifled. Thank you, Christian McKee

464. Thomas Crumley, Austin, TX, 78704
I strongly support Net Neutrality, and would like ISPs to be overseen under Title II.
465. Tyler Wilson, Buda, TX, 78610
Repealing Net Neutrality opens the doors for ISPs to unfairly discriminate internet content. I strongly support Net Neutrality and hope the removal of NN protections is not enacted.
466. Diane & Richard White, Kerrville, TX, 78028
We are strong supporters of an a free and open internet and complete net neutrality that is protected by Title 2. It would be a huge mistake to sell access to the highest bidder. It seems that corruption is the driving force behind the current administration. Do you have no shame? Please do the right thing by insuring a free and open internet for everyone. Thank You, Diane & Richard White
467. Gabriel Petty, Austin, TX, 78735
Please continue to support strong net neutrality rules under Title II.
468. Filer, San Antonio, TX, 78232
I support Internet Neutrality by requiring Internet Service Providers to adhere to FCC Title II regulations.
469. Kevin Perryman, Kerrville, TX, 78028
ISPs should be regulated under Title 2 and should be forced to provide equal access to all web-services. In today's society the Internet represents "Freedom of Speech" which is guaranteed under the First Amendment of our Constitution. Business entities, specifically ISPs, will unfairly grant or block access based on the best possible business case for themselves, thereby effectively regulating Free Speech. This is anti-constitutional and should be regulated by the federal government in order to prevent unconstitutional acts by big business.
470. Blake Bell, Austin, TX, 78703
Please keep the Internet open and do not allow ISPs or any other party to restrict the content I view or speeds at which I access said content. Allowing them to do so would create a situation where only organizations capable of paying high fees to ISPs would be able to succeed on the internet essentially killing the internet we know and love. Please think of all the hugely successful organizations that could have never even started if such practices were allowed during their inception, google, Facebook, Amazon and on and on. A change to the openness of the internet is not only backward but one that would harm humanity. I implore you to protect our internet!
471. Emily Bell, Buda, TX, 78610

Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. I want a free internet.

472. Sara Schuster, San Antonio, TX, 78259
Please keep net neutrality! Keep every site and app on equal playing ground.
473. Stephen C Birdwell, San Antonio, TX, 78258
I urge you to keep the internet open and classified as Title 2. The internet is a fundamental right for all Americans and allowing interference from broadband companies infringes that right. Internet is now as important as a utility such as water, heat, and electricity. The difference is no one can control any of these arbitrarily.
474. Jonathan Grossman, Austin, TX, 78741
I wish to express my support for net neutrality in the current proceedings before the FCC. Unlike the beliefs of Chairman Ajit Pai, I do not believe ISPs should face only "light-touch regulation"™. The Obama-era regulations need to remain in place. Title II oversight of ISPs is absolutely necessary. Consider that the internet is the lifeblood of not only our economy but our culture also. Broadband access is becoming as ubiquitous as oxygen, and I believe it should be regulated like the essential commodity it is. Even in this morally dubious political climate, the internet must remain above the fray of insider deals and fantasies of "self-regulating"™ industries. If the president has taught us anything, it is that successful capitalists take full advantage of every legal loophole. The FCC must maintain as tight a command on these loopholes as possible. Regulatory burden is an issue worthy of consideration, but it is the duty of the FCC to balance the needs of massive companies with the millions of consumers they service. Thanks very much for your government service.
475. Edward Wallace Lucke, Austin, TX, 78749
I am in favor of strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs
476. Alena Keene, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Please keep in place current policies that uphold net neutrality. Keeping a level playing field for all [legal] internet content is supportive of the First Amendment, free speech, and the right to information. Net neutrality prevents information monopolies and allows consumers free and equal choice.
477. Tom Roach, Austin, TX, 78701
Mr Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 regulation of ISPs. Verizon's successful lawsuit demonstrated that Title 1 wasn't sufficient to ensure strong net neutrality, and I don't have confidence in the current legislature to make the right decisions on this. I believe Title 2 regulation is necessary and valuable to protect free and open access without bias from internet service providers. I'm frustrated to have to re-open this issue. The FCC under Tom Wheeler made the right decision here and it has been successful.

478. Colton Robbins, San Marcos, TX, 78666
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am strongly opposed to Docket No. 17-108. Internet access unobstructed by corporate or government restrictions should be a right to all.
479. Oscar Alva, New Braunfels, TX, 78130
I wanted to inform and express my concerns over how the FCC may potentially remove or change net neutrality rules. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. I want to make sure that the rules are left in place and not changed to weaken the enforcement of the rules.
480. David Breeden, Austin, TX, 78704
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY WEIRD. SAVE NET NEUTRALITY.
481. dude dogg, San Antonio, TX, 78261
Keep things the way they are now! Really.
482. Steve Moore, Austin, TX, 78704
I strongly support the preservation of Net Neutrality as is, under its Title 2 status. I strongly oppose altering Net Neutrality to stand on any other legal footing.
483. Alex Garrow, Austin, TX, 78745
Do not ever allow any entity to control access to data or restrict speeds based on monetary contributions.
484. chase Lambert, Austin, TX, 78703
I support strong ISP regulation in the form of Title II. Preserve Net Neutrality, preserve Title II.
485. Shawn Reilly, Austin, TX, 78745
I support net neutrality, preserve title 2.
486. Christine N Lewellen, Austin, TX, 78704
I support strong Net Neutrality. I urge the FCC to maintain strong Net Neutrality Rules backed by Title II.
487. ANDREW VAN HYFTE, Austin, TX, 78701
Please keep net neutrality intact backed by Title II oversight. A free internet is integral to our futures both professionally and personally.
488. Orion Gallagher, Austin, TX, 78704
Please please please do not move ISPs back to Title 1. You know and I know the bigger ISPs will only use access to the internet hostage.

I am strongly opposed to docket 17-108 for "Restoring Internet Freedom". It is imperative that as a nation of free speech and capitalist economics that we support and protect a free and open internet through the firm enforcement of Net Neutrality. The internet has become an essential human right; without the protections provided by Title II oversight, we will almost certainly see less competition on the web, severe limitations for small business' ability to grow, and restriction of channels for free speech and expression.

507. Darlene S. Mack, San Marcos, TX, 78666

Net Neutrality under Title II does not inappropriately restrict nor regulate companies. To change these rules will definitely hurt consumers, like myself, and benefit large ISP companies. There is no reason to change the rules except to benefit corporations. Please do something that benefits citizens, not corporations.

508. Daniel Reese, Austin, TX, 78705

I support strong net neutrality with strong oversight of internet service providers. The FCC should protect the people in the name of free speech and keep access attainable to all income levels. I do not trust Ajit Pai and firmly believe we as a nation need to hold up communication and not overvalue corporations.

509. david sokol, San Antonio, TX, 78231

Preserve title II - net neutrality

510. Gaurav, Austin, TX, 78749

I support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. There is no guarantee of internet freedoms, and freedom from internet censorship. In absence of net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs, the following things can happen that will adversely affect the consumers as well as content creators. 1. Under financial pressures, companies are likely to resort to discriminatory practices to promote their own content over others. A temporary agreement to net neutrality by including it in terms and conditions and user agreements is not a solution. It can be overturned as and when seen fit. 2. They are also going to be more likely to demand payments for restricting high speed channels to the parties willing and capable to pay a huge sum for pushing their content and killing competition. 3. Well established companies can use this as a tool to kill upcoming competition to temporarily buy premium data rates to push their content over their rivals and chase away competitors since they won't be able to pay the same fee. Do not destroy the internet.

511. Brian Vaughn, San Marcos, TX, 78666

Please retain the net neutrality rules including title 2. These rules are paramount to protect everyone access to the internet.

512. Barbara Romans, Bulverde, TX, 78163
For my rights as a citizen, please keep the Obama era Net Neutrality regulations!!
513. Caroline Chamberlain, Austin, TX, 78704
I urge the FCC to retain the current, strong net neutrality rules (per Title II) instituted under the Obama Administration.
514. Internet Freedom, San Antonio, TX, 78232
I support net neutrality via title II oversight of ISPs
515. Rocky Rhodes, Austin, TX, 78746
Ajit Pai and other FCC Officials, As someone who makes a living as an Internet Marketing Consultant, I am deeply concerned by and opposed to your efforts to repeal Obama's net neutrality rules. Doing so will not lead to a more free and open Internet, but instead will lead to a crippled Internet run by monopolistic ISPs, such as your overlords Verizon (Talk to those guys much? Still getting your check every month?), who will be free to distort the truth however they see fit. If you move forward with these plans you confirm every notion that you are nothing more than a well-kept puppet. I'm sure this is falling on deaf ears at the moment anyway, but keep in mind: We did not elect you. We see what you do. Your days in the big boy seat are numbered. -RCR
516. David Navarro, AUSTIN, TX, 78704
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please help the people not corps.
517. Kristin Garabedian, Austin, TX, 78705
I fully support strong and enforceable net neutrality laws. This includes Title II backed oversight of ISP's. I believe this is the best and strongest protection we have to demand and enforce fairness from ISP's.
518. Alex Austin-Puhr, Austin, TX, 78704
this is a very important issue that protects the rights of individuals, small companies and democracy itself. The internet has to be free and available to all in an equal way to ensure that corporations and despots and tyrants don't use it for propagandizing only their versions of news and their views.
519. Chris Plummer, Austin, TX, 78735
I want the FCC to maintain strict Level II restrictions that keep the internet neutral. The internet has become similar to many other utilities and creating a system where internet resources have to pay to play is absurd and bad for the development of the world and our country. Please do not reduce any of the net neutrality rules.

520. Clark Carroll, Austin, TX, 78704
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
Stop being a puppet, Ajit.
521. Isaac Byrne, San Marcos, TX, 78666
Do not change Net Neutrality rules. Leave the Free Internet Alone!!!We know what you are doing. You worked for Verizon. We know how you are spreading lies and misinformation about Net Neutrality. We don't want corporations controlling the most important resource of the century. Leave Net Neutrality alone.
522. mike scannell, Austin, TX, 78748
You have to preserve net neutrality and title 2. Don't let us down. We are counting on you!!
523. Rosa Estrada, San antonio, TX, 78230
Keep Net Neutrality Great...Again?
524. Robert Wilks, Boerne, TX, 78006
Please protect net neutrality as it was established under the framework of Title II.
525. Chanda Spies, Austin, TX, 78704
Dear FCC,Do Not Kill Net Neutrality!Keep Title 2 intact! This kind of fuckery will not stand, man.
526. Jillian Emerson, Austin, TX, 78701
Ajit Pai,I support strong Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs!!
527. Patricia Buitron, San Antonio, TX, 78258
Yes to Net Netraulity!
528. Carlos Rodriguez, Austin, TX, 78745
I support net neutrality and keeping internet providers under title 2.
529. Ryan Park, Austin, TX, 78702
I support Net Neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Why is this still an issue? We need open communications, and a free internet without interference from ISPs. Keep the internet free.
530. Tompall Glaser, Austin, TX, 78735
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II provisions. We sent you there to represent the will of the people; now please do so.
531. James Hicks, Kerrville, TX, 78028

Internet is a required part of life now (be it mobile, or other), there are enough issues with cyber security already that we don't need another huge database of everything everyone does, the government can't actively stop attacks nor can companies who's average discovery time is around 120 days AFTER a breach. Nor do I want large companies paying for priority bandwidth choking out the small guys. Aside from that, we allow google, facebook, etc to sell our information in exchange for using their service (we are the product), we PAY our ISP for access to the internet making use the ISP's customers NOT PRODUCT! Net Neutrality is integral to a competitive and innovative internet, it levels the playing field allows small companies to succeed.

532. Lucretia Castro, San Marcos, TX, 78666

Keep Neutrality and Title II

533. Tyler Chapman, San Marcos, TX, 78666

This is a clear misuse of political power to benefit corporation wallets. No one is convinced that a move against net neutrality is for the common good. I hope that the FCC as a whole will stand up to its chairman and represent this country's people not the greed of billionaire CEO's. I and the rest of my countrymen and women support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Compatriots and I vocally express our support in this to uphold our ideals of freedom, equality, and the sincerest hope that Ajit Pai chokes on his massive dildo and dies.

534. Todd Guerrero, San Marcos, TX, 78666

John Oliver's show just explained what is happening and I am appalled that the FCC wants to change back to Title I. How is that in anyway going to be better for consumers? Government oversight is needed in this area. Do the right thing and leave ISPs under Title II

535. David Monahan, Austin, TX, 78748

I support preserving net neutrality and title 2 regulations of ISP's

536. Dr. Alexander Hutchison, San Antonio, TX, 78209

Net neutrality is crucial to fair business practice. It seems so obvious that although there may not be a great deal of empirical evidence to show that ISP's intentionally slow down data streaming of a competitor, when given the chance, they will. Keep the playing field level. Maintain the level 2 status of the ISP's.

537. Richard Rathman, San Antonio, TX, 78230

I support net neutrality and title II enforcement.

538. Ryan A., Kyle, TX, 78640

Our net neutrality rules are what give internet users the freedom they deserve, and currently possess. Giving ISPs the ability to throttle or block websites

would demolish that freedom, all for the benefit of the ISPs and nobody else.

539. Daniel Hopson, San Antonio, TX, 78230
As someone who personally and professionally uses the internet, I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
540. Radip Uprety, San Antonio, TX, 78229
Please preserve net neutrality.. It is freedom of information. No corporation has the right to limit the access to internet for profit.
541. Lance Rist, Austin, TX, 78749
Net neutrality is important and needs to be preserved. It is clearly not a heavy burden on providers. Without net neutrality, it is the wild west out there where the strong take what they want and the weak are taken advantage of. Do the right thing for the people.
542. Romy, Austin, TX, 78704
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 over site of ISP's!!
543. Ryan Garrett, San Antonio, TX, 78232
I would like to maintain Title II in FCC regulations in keeping with high internet neutrality standards. This is important for a free nation and keeps businesses from interfering in the citizen's lives.
544. adriana perez, San Antonio, TX, 78248
I support net neutrality. Please do not allow providers to pick winners and losers.
545. Julian Bruneault, San Antonio, TX, 78216
I am writing in OPPOSITION of 17-108. Rolling back Title II status of ISPs would be a direct attack on Net Neutrality. A free and open internet only exists with Net Neutrality. With the ability to put data restrictions on certain websites and information, ISPs gain an incredible amount of influence over the flow and influence of ideas on the American people as well as a direct influence on the success of any business with an online presence. ISPs have historically acted in their own self interest. They're businesses and that is their right. But it is the right of the American people to have access to information. It is also the right of businesses to be able to compete without outside influences of other companies that they have no control over. And these rights of millions of Americans and thousands of small businesses should supersede the rights of only a handful of large corporations. So please, as an American citizen, I ask that you DO NOT pass 17-108 to avoid long term consequences on the American economy and the American people.
546. William Tudor, Spring Branch, TX, 78070

Preserve Net neutrality and Title II regulation of ISPs. Do not change the rules ISP fall under. Maintained Title II regulations for ISPs

547. D Hamilton, Austin, TX, 78741
Net neutrality protects consumers against corporate interests, it is utmost importance.
548. Vincent O'Brien, Austin, TX, 78704
Net Neutrality is extremely important to protect all content being shared across the internet in the same way. Title II regulations on ISPs will accomplish this.
549. Ajit Pai, San Antonio, TX, 78230
To whom this may concern, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
550. Elizabeth DeLuca, Austin, TX, 78735
I support strong net neutrality regulation with Title II oversight of ISP's.
551. Scott Schroeder, Austin, TX, 78704
I am writing to support keeping Net Neutrality in full effect. In addition, I believe strongly and support keeping ISPs classified as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act.
552. Michelle Gonzales, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Hello - I strongly support and urge you to keep net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Net Neutrality is essential for protecting free speech, organizing, business and innovation. I will not stand for any attacks on Net Neutrality or my rights as an internet user.
553. Michael Carlson, San Antonio, TX, 78209
I support a free and open internet following Net Neutrality regulations from Title II. PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II .
554. josue burguete, San Antonio, TX, 78216
I fully support net neutrality backed by Title II regulations of ISPs
555. Rebecca Eissler, Austin, TX, 78703
The proposed measures won't insure freedom. In fact, they will destroy a free internet by privileging some browsing and not others. That is wrong and un-american as it will interfere with free enterprise.
556. mary monroe, san antonio, TX, 78216
Strong net neutrality needs to be protected by Title II.
557. Jonah Wilson-Leos, San Antonio, TX, 78258

I saw John Oliver's video on Net Neutrality. You know why I'm here.

558. Kenneth Strother, Manchaca, TX, 78652
I support strong Net Neutrality rules based on Title II of the Communications Act!
559. Gabriel Cleveland, Austin, TX, 78748
I believe strongly that we should continue to have robust net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Anything less would threaten our basic freedoms as internet consumers and private citizens.
560. Brittany Bordeau, Austin, TX, 78748
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II of the Communications Act!!!
561. David Steinmeyer, Buda, TX, 78610
We support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Additionally, please make it easier to comment and participate in future proceedings. The process appears to be set up to deter participation.
562. Mark Bodien, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133
Preserve net neutrality! Support Title Two! This is a MUST.
563. Robert Nunis, Austin, TX, 78701
I am writing to express my extreme support for Net Neutrality. I oppose any action to weaken it.
564. Allen Hubbard, Manchaca, TX, 78652
You must keep Title II restrictions in place and preserve net neutrality. The ISP's must have oversight. Do not remove Title II oversight.
565. Thomas P. O'Brien, Jr., San Antonio, TX, 78259
Please don't destroy Net Neutrality. I don't for a microsecond - no, a picosecond - believe that Verizon or any other big ISP will self-regulate out of the goodness of their little corporate hearts. Corporations are persons, but not humans, and they have no hearts, spines, guts, brains, or consciences.
566. net neutrslity, Austin, TX, 78741
Do not mess with the title 2 oversight of ISPs! I strongly support net neutrality and the true freedom of the Internet, which should be a public utility. Not to be used as a means for corporations, ISPs, or the government to manipulate the public or each other. Please consider these thoughts, it's a dick move to alter this public utility to become hazardous and less beneficial to the public-Citizen and Internet User
567. Matt Hunt, Austin, TX, 78748

I support net neutrality - please retain net neutrality

568. thatguy, austin, TX, 78704
keep title 2 thing please i like it and i don't like title one also come up for a better name than title
569. Robert Ruiz, Austin, TX, 78748
I am in support of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!
Opposed to Docket No. 17-108!
570. David Brian Darby, San Antonio, TX, 78232
Please save our current net neutrality rules! Please keep them as they are.
571. Suzy Sledge, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Please do not change net neutrality and title II laws. It will not benefit the users to have this protection modified. Stop working for big business and do your job.
572. Craig Pedersen, Austin, TX, 78735
I am writing to support current Title II net neutrality regulation.
573. Eric Smith, San Antonio, TX, 78216
We need net neutrality! This is important - we will not allow the business of providers control our information!
574. Alissa Smith, San Antonio, TX, 78216
Preserve net neutrality, and protect title 2.
575. adam beede, San antonio, TX, 78232
Please keep net neutrality covered under title 2. As per John Oliver's instructions.
576. m njus, San Antonio, TX, 78247
I support net neutrality and title 1 and title 2.
577. Greg Henny, Austin, TX, 78736
I support strong net neutrality protections backed by title II oversight due to the essential nature of internet access in the modern world to access information, services, employment, and other vital needs. A neutral network allows for free market principles to enable competition, innovation and drive down prices. Any retraction of these neutrality rules is a slap in the face to democracy and will not stand amongst the free American people.
578. Kevin Hight, Austin, TX, 78738
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality and maintaining the current regulation for ISPs under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934. The government gets

it wrong a lot of the time. This time they got it right. Stop supporting the FCC's Chairman's former employer and leave solid regulation where it stands, under Title 2.

579. virginia, Austin, TX, 78703
We strongly support net neutrality and Title II!!
580. Michael Sarahan, Austin, TX, 78749
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. I am concerned about deregulation and see no evidence that ISPs were burdened excessively by the reclassification.
581. LouAnne Smith, Hunt, TX, 78024
Please keep strong net neutrality in our country, backed by Title II
582. Lisa Notier, Austin, TX, 78704
I support strong net neutrality laws and think that ISPs should be categorized under Title II.
583. Daniel Kodeih, Austin, TX, 78746
As a software developer I strongly support net neutrality and those who do not clearly have no clue what is at stake.
584. Rebecca Ann Reed, Austin, TX, 78749
It is in the best interest of all citizens that Net Neutrality regulations be kept intact. The unfiltered and unharassed voices of the people are responsible for the burgeoning of truth in every aspect of modern life. This dendritic form of communication has become too important to the advancement of every web-connected society on earth and should never come under the control of a selected few. It is in the highest of regard of everyone who uses the world wide web that Net Neutrality regulations maintain this manner of control.
585. Jeremy K, Austin, TX, 78701
Don't repeal the changes the American people fought for. We want a truly open internet void of any middling from ISPs.
586. Tirah Kensinger, Austin, TX, 78704
Please preserve net neutrality. It is very important.
587. Christopher De Leon, San Antonio, TX, 78213
I believe that a free and open internet with enforceable regulations through title II is essential to our democracy and the continued growth of technological industry in the Unites States.Keep ISPs under Title II.
588. Nicolas Last, Austin, TX, 78749

Net neutrality is essential to the continued economic and social viability of the internet. Do not make an irreversible mistake in damaging that.

589. Erika Goyer, Austin, TX, 78704
Net Neutrality Now! Net Neutrality Forvever!
590. Ben Thoma, Austin, TX, 78701
It is critical that Net Neutrality rules be kept in place, with ISPs being held under Title II jurisdiction, to protect the fair and open access to the Internet. This isn't regulatory overreach, and doesn't impede any ISP's ability to operate a successful and growing enterprise. Instead it ensure consumers are protected in their access to the world's information. I see this as my government's responsibility: to act in the public's interest and facilitate open and unfettered access to this vital, modern resource.
591. Jared Scott Phillips, Bulverde, TX, 78163
Net Neutrality is an essential aspect of the internet that MUST be preserved, regulation of the net will not throttle progress, but companies who seek to abuse the internet will.
592. cameron, Austin, TX, 78704
FCC won't let the Internet be me; vote yes for net neutrality! Take your corporate dicks and stick it up your throttled windpipes - you represent us.
593. Jonathan May, Austin, TX, 78701
I strongly support net neutrality and believe it is in the best interest of the people of this country for ISPs to remain under FCC oversight via Title 2. As an entrepreneur and small business owner, the internet is one of the last truly level playing fields that exists. Removing oversight (i.e. reverting to title 1) will not incentivize R&D and infrastructure investment, only the investment of a company's capital into ISP ensuring they are not going to be slowed in the delivery of their product.
594. Katelyn Griffiths, Buda, TX, 78610
I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title 2 protections. Please DO NOT do anything to eliminate them or change them.
595. Jason A Clark, San Marcos, TX, 78666
Net Neutrality must stay under Title 2. This is what protects the consumers from being taken advantage of even more by the ISP's. As it stands right now they already have a choke hold on Internet providers. Pleas do the right thing and keep it Under the better protection of Title 2.
596. Kevin Rathge, Austin, TX, 78704
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service

Providers. The Internet should be treated as a utility and available to anyone without restriction. I am paying that provider to provide me access and I should have full control over my own access to the internet. Thank you.

597. Delfino Ramirez, San Antonio, TX, 78218

As a PC gamer, I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II rules. I don't want ISP to have the power to throttle or cap my internet data and I'm opposed to Docket 17-108.

598. Leila Adell, Austin, TX, 78746

I strongly agree with net neutrality rules!

599. Parnell Springmeyer, Austin, TX, 78704

I specifically support strong net neutrality and regulations on internet service providers.

600. Benjamin Wollam, Austin, TX, 78745

As a proud American who believes in liberty and free commerce, I staunchly support and applaud Title I and Title II. These important regulations need to be preserved in order to safeguard internet neutrality. There is no way that you, or anyone else in the FCC, can guarantee the survival of net-neutrality if these freedom-enabling regulations are removed. I frankly don't trust cable providers, such as Verizon, a company which Mr. Pai formerly worked for, to do the right thing. I know that in this case, the free market will actually lead ISP's to favor certain channels or applications, for example slowing down the performance of Hulu and HBO in favor of Netflix. Therefore, government oversight is necessary. Preserve Title I and Title II.

601. Michelle Weston, Austin, TX, 78745

Support Net Neutrality by keeping it under Title II regulation.

602. Daniel Carney, Willow City, TX, 78675

Please do not change the Title II Classification for ISPs. If the FCC changes the classification, you are destroying a free and open internet. You know it's wrong.

603. Richard Tak, San Antonio, TX, 78258

Not a fan of companies being able to regulate the content I enjoy.

604. PATRICK CRUISE, Austin, TX, 78748

Keep Net Neutrality strong and ISP under Title 2!

605. Bill and Dana, Austin, TX, 78735

Net Neutrality is essential, and dishonest people can't be allowed to undermine it by just re-brand deceitful statements in an attempt to sneak changes past an ignorant public. I support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of

ISPs.

606. Cristin Harper, San Antonio, TX, 78229
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. Keep the internet fair and regulate ISPs because I don't think they should be allowed to regulate themselves.
607. marc, san marcos, TX, 78666
please preserve net neutrality and title 2,
608. jim halff, San antonio, TX, 78209
Maintaining net neutrality is important. Do not overturn current rulings. Do not change the protections afforded by title II. Freedom of information and freedom of choice is essential for a vibrant society and a strong economy. Thank you.
609. Graydon Langford, San antonio, TX, 78247
I support net neutrality and I think EITHER isps shouldn't be monopolies OR isps should be governed under title 2 rules. Please support this important issue.
610. charles plummer iii, Austin, TX, 78704
We strongly disagree with removing regulations on isp's regarding net neutrality. The ability for a isp to decrease the quality of their product for personal gain while negatively affecting the consumer is criminal.
611. Kevin Bass, Austin, TX, 78704
You must keep net neutrality under Title II. Preserve net neutrality.
612. Dirk Visser, Austin, TX, 78746
There are no absolutes. Sometimes without regulation we actually hinder competition and create large corporations that play it safe and do not innovate. Netflix is one of many innovative companies that have risen out of the net and one of many that could have been squashed by petty actions to hamper the quality of their service. The regulation to preserve net neutrality and title 2 is essential in protecting the rights of the many that use the internet, not just the few which look to control every aspect of it. Don't be so short-sighted as to think it is unnecessary! Don't discount me just because I saw John Oliver! How else could I have managed to file on this site?
613. Gabriel Rodriguez, San Antonio, TX, 78232
I specifically support strong internet neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please ensure that this is preserved and not struck down.
614. Jeffrey Wolf (Me), Austin, TX, 78704
We MUST keep Net Neutrality under Title II.
615. Margo Freedman, Boerne, TX, 78006

I urge you to retain net neutrality and to keep ISPs under Title II

616. karen cain, San Antonio, TX, 78232

I support strong net neutrality provisions under title two. Please do not do away with the current protections provided under current regulations. Access to a free and open internet is a right and strong protections are important for consumers and small businesses. Please don't eliminate these protections in the interest of large corporations.

617. Chris Smith, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624

Save Net Neutrality internet is for everyone free and open save Net Neutrality

618. Lee Holt, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624

Please protect the current rights of net neutrality as a title 2 and do not let corporate greed influence this decision. We do not need an internet that can arbitrarily slow us down whenever they wish. Thanks for doing your job FCC !

619. Asaf Ronen, Austin, TX, 78704

I believe very strongly in maintaining the current protections of net neutrality through Title II. Equal access to all that the internet has to offer is a necessity in a free marketplace. I strongly oppose any de-regulation of these protections.

620. Matty Weston, Austin, TX, 78745

Based on the brief history of our current President's appointments I'm assuming that those of you now at the FCC were chosen on a dare or a game of duck-duck-goose in the Oval Office. It would also stand to reason that whomever might read this in all probability has no idea what net neutrality is and why there are suddenly soooooo many comments on such a boring topic. So I'll keep it simple. It is the FCC's duty to protect the American People from the constant overreach of the corporate media and service providers. And the best weapon in your pitiful arsenal is Title II protection. If you don't know what that is- look it up. On the internet.

621. Alexander Clark, Austin, TX, 78745

Net neutrality is critical to keeping the Internet open. ISPs have repeatedly proven that they cannot be trusted to put freedom over profit and should continue to be regulated as Common Carriers under Title II of the Communications act of 1934.

622. Mark Needham, Austin, TX, 78745

I am an American Citizen and Registered Voter. I want Net Neutrality to REMAIN in effect. DO NOT REPEAL, MINIMIZE, OR WEAKEN ANYTHING ABOUT NET NEUTRALITY.

623. Gio Del, Austin, TX, 78748

Do not get rid of the title 2 distinction. It will destroy net neutrality as we know it and will destroy the public's open access to all of the information on the internet. This will allow corporations to essentially censor whatever they choose and will be devastating to our country, democracy and government.

624. Aaron Gavila, Austin, TX, 78704
Please protect Net Neutrality and ensure that it is given Title II protections
625. Curtis Carter, San Antonio, TX, 78209
I wish to express my dissatisfaction for any attempt to remove net neutrality. It is the backbone of the internet, and will lead to the downfall of the web.
626. Daniel Calles, Austin, TX, 78705
Keep Net Neutrality and Title 2, you cannot trick us. We know what Verizon has done and what can happen.
627. scott penny, San Antonio, TX, 78218
I support net neutrality for ISP through title 2
628. Andrew Baron, Austin, TX, 78749
Mr. Chairman, I fully support net neutrality and strengthening title two oversight of ISPs. Sincerely, Andrew Baron
629. John Culver, Austin, TX, 78701
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Nice job on making it harder to leave these kinds of comments this time around.
630. Daniel Fortes, Austin, TX, 78746
We need to maintain and reinforce net neutrality and title II regulations
631. Lindsey Souza, San Antonio, TX, 78247
I would like the law of Title II to be upheld and for net neutrality to be preserved. I believe it is in the best interest of the consumer and also small businesses that communication companies not be able to show bias in download speeds against their competitors as this creates an atmosphere of inequality, not to mention a monopoly by big corporations on internet usage.
632. Rani Lueder, Austin, TX, 78747
So Ajit Varadaraj Pai is willing to put internet neutrality at risk for his own benefit! If the utilities were going to observe net neutrality anyway, why on earth would they be so intent on getting rid of it? If they planned on continuing to adhere to the guidelines, what's the problem? I am sure that when Pai returns to Verizon afterwards, he'll get a gargantuan salary hike. Everybody knows that voluntary guidelines do not work. I'm saying this about voluntary guidelines as someone who has participated in a number of voluntary US and international

guidelines.

633. G. Dandrea, Austin, TX, 78704
I support the current Title II net neutrality rules and ask the FCC to keep them in place.
634. Lisa Senecal, Austin, TX, 78745
I support strong net neutrality laws backed with title II oversight backed by ISP.
635. Brian Aguilar, Boerne, TX, 78006
I specifically support strong net neutrality!!! Do not mess with the free flow of information!! NEVER EVER
636. Doug Havens, Austin, TX, 78701
I support net neutrality and keeping it within title II
637. Lauren B Smith, Boerne, TX, 78006
I strongly support a strong net neutrality
638. Giovanna Delgado, Austin, TX, 78748
Do not get rid of the title 2 distinction. It will destroy net neutrality as we know it and will destroy the public's open access to all of the information on the internet. This will allow corporations to essentially censor whatever they choose and will be devastating to our country, democracy and government. Email Confirmation
639. Kendall Beck, San Antonio, TX, 78232
I support preserving net neutrality under Title II. Please do not reverse these regulations.
640. Ed Powers, Austin, TX, 78705
I don't support the weakening of net neutrality and allowing ISP's to act in a more free manner by doing away with the Title II management. In essence, in no way do I feel it's reasonable to revert back to Title I in relation to the FCC managing ISP's.
641. Dan Verson, San Antonio, TX, 78247
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. Don't be any more of a national disgrace than you already are.
642. Michael Martin, Austin, TX, 78746
As a professional computer engineer who has been following the net neutrality debate for years, I firmly believe that rolling back the Obama-era regulations enacted by former FCC Chairman Tom Wheeler would be a mistake. As you are no doubt aware, the entire reason why broadband internet service providers

(ISPs) were reclassified under Title II regulations was due to Verizon's 2014 lawsuit that essentially rendered any other options legally impossible. While I understand that Chairman Pai feels that these are unnecessary due to the fact that ISPs were not abusing their power prior to enactment of these regulations, I would remind Mr. Pai that the first major cable/ISP merger with a major content provider was Comcast's acquisition of NBCUniversal in 2013, years AFTER the FCC enacted net neutrality regulations under Title I. Thanks to the FCC's rapid response in reclassifying ISPs following Verizon's victory in the courts, we have not really had much opportunity to see what types of tactics media/infrastructure conglomerates like that may attempt to promote their own services. With that said, we already got a sneak peak of this, with multiple ISPs charging Netflix 'tolls' for priority access, so that it wouldn't get throttled (source: <https://arstechnica.com/tech-policy/2014/03/netflix-says-it-will-pay-tolls-to-more-isps-not-just-comcast/>). With AT&T announcing its intention to acquire Time Warner, we may see more of these giants in the immediate future, which means it is all the more imperative to maintain the FCC's proactive regulations to prevent abuse of market position. In any case, I understand that net neutrality is a complex subject, that impacts the businesses of those laying infrastructure just as much as those who leverage it. However, as an engineer involved in some of this, it is clear to me that the future of American innovation is on the Internet, not in the cables that keep it running. I implore the Chairman Pai and the FCC to reconsider their current position, and maintain the strong net neutrality regulations put in place by ex-Chairman Wheeler. Thank you for your time.

643. Mike Trujillo, Buda, TX, 78610

The Internet thrives on fairness. I am in support of Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am in opposition to Docket 17-108.

644. net neutrality, san antonio, TX, 78209

I support strong net neutrality, do not let a man with ties to a company advocating for its reform control this serious issue

645. Blake Liles, Austin, TX, 78704

I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am completely opposed to the betrayal that is Docket 17-108.

646. Berrett, AUSTIN, TX, 78704

I am strongly in support of current net neutrality regulations. In order to preserve access to a free and fair internet, it is crucial that the FCC continues to regulate ISPs under Title II. I am disappointed that the FCC and the current administration is contemplating deregulating ISPs. To ensure that we have a well-informed republic we must ensure equal access to information. In this century we rely heavily on the internet to stay informed. If we deregulate ISPs

we are at risk of putting too much power in the hands of those corporations from which we obtain our information. This opens the door for ISPs to dictate the sources of information we have access to. For these reasons I strongly urge the FCC to continue to regulate ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

647. Alicia Rosner, Austin, TX, 78750

Strong and comprehensive net neutrality is very important to me and our country. It must be based in Title II's strong protections.

648. Kristen Stiles, San Antonio, TX, 78259

Hello, I would urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality under Title II. I believe this to be really important for the future of free and open internet.

649. Chris Pieper, Austin, TX, 78745

The FCC needs to take a realistic stance when approaching Net Neutrality, and assert its granted role in the regulation of communication systems. I support strong net neutrality, with the backing of Title II oversight. Do not hand the internet's freedom over to the individual corporations, who stand to benefit the most, at the direct detriment of the people of America.

650. Greg Keefer, Austin, TX, 78745

DEAR AJAT PAAI SPECIFICALLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II oversight by all ISPs.

651. Kyle Moore, Austin, TX, 78704

I believe it is imperative that the FCC preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.

652. Jordan Duquette, Austin, TX, 78704

Keep Title II you bastards. No fuckery please. Thank you.

653. Mason Fischer, Austin, TX, 78701

I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I'm a Software Developer who's helped launch many successful startups. Verizon and other large corporations should not be able to decide which content has priority on their networks. They will exploit this for their own gain hurting the openness and fairness that has made the internet so successful. Please please please don't sell out on this one. Thanks, -Mason

654. Phoebe Mau, San Marcos, TX, 78666

I strongly believe Net Neutrality's Title 2 status should be preserved.

655. Georgina Molinar, San Antonio, TX, 78216

I support Title II and want to see it preserved

656. Aaron Good, Austin, TX, 78748
I support net neutrality. I don't think dissolving regulations that enforce net neutrality is a good idea.
657. Jeremy, Austin, TX, 78704
I am writing to inform the FCC of my support for Title 2 and the continued use of current net neutrality rules to ensure the use of an open and free internet.
658. Michael Bringardner, Austin, TX, 78704
I think the FCC should keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title 2.
659. Jake Glascock, Austin, TX, 78701
I specifically support the classification of Title II
660. Alexis CossÃ©, Austin, TX, 78704
Keep your hands OFF my internet access. #makeamericaFREEagain
661. Net Neutrality, Austin, TX, 78741
I support strong FCC regulation of ISPs under title 2.
662. Eric D., Austin, TX, 78701
I think Net Neutrality is important and needs to be preserved. Net Neutrality is one the reason why the USA has a vibrant Digital Economy. If your data has to travel from point A to Z, you want it to travel as fast as possible, and that for the small guy who is running his startup in his garage and has limited resources to the larger ones. Slowing data on purpose, and discriminating data will put the inventors/entrepreneurs in front of challenge where they have to optimize data routes, which doesn't add any value to their services and create burden. Thank you!
663. Brandon Gonzalez, Austin, TX, 78704
Net Neutrality is the cornerstone of innovation, free speech and democracy on the Internet. More than 2 million Americans have expressed support for Net Neutrality at Congress and the FCC. They want control over the Internet to remain in the hands of the people who use it every day. Corporations should not be able to tamper and manipulate with the way Americans use the internet. Please stand with the public by protecting Net Neutrality once and for all.
664. Kaytiann Severen, Austin, TX, 78704
Net neutrality should remain under Title II - it's important!
665. 17, San Antonio, TX, 78217
Please preserve internet Freedom by protecting title 2 and net neutrality.
666. Patrick Daly, Austin, TX, 78704

Please please please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I don't care if politicians say that they is "no evidence" of ISP fuckery in the past. Net neutrality needs to be protected by Title 2.

667. Max Pederson, Austin, TX, 78704

I'm writing in concerns of supporting net neutrality for the people, backed by title 2.

668. Dylan Cox, Austin, TX, 78736

Keep ISP's under Title II, if they truly do want Net Neutrality then being under stricter assurance of that shouldn't bother them. Keep the people's best interests at heart and maintain the internet the way it is.

669. Charlie Day, Austin, TX, 78741

I'd really like it if yall could keep the net neutral on account of how i dont think you should be protecting the intrests of rich folks over the betterment of all american people. thank you and have a swell day.

670. Phelan Miller, Austin, TX, 78704

Hey FCC,Please preserve net neutrality. It is kinda a big deal and you are really fucking some shit up.Thanks,Phelan

671. Melissa Chapman, San Antonio, TX, 78217

The FCC should support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This oversight has NOT affected ISP investments.

672. J hiller, San Antonio, TX, 78247

Preserve net neutrality and title 2. Strongly disagree with removing it.

673. Andrew Meyer, San Antonio, TX, 78209

To Adjit Pai,We need to preserve strong net neutrality and title 2!!!! What are you thinking.... Andrew Meyer

674. Rose Marie Eash, Bulverde, TX, 78163

Keep Net Neutrality rules as they are where they are- consumers need protection from manipulation of their internet choices.

675. Kyle PipkinKyle, Boerne, TX, 78006

I strongly support net neutrality. Without a firm ground for IFP's to be held accountable to play by the rules, we will actually be inhibiting the free market and allowing a monopolization by a very small and select few companies. Please, do not let the newly appointed FCC chairman vote clearly in favor of his former employer Verizon which is collusion.

676. Bian Flannery, Austin, TX, 78705

I strongly support net neutrality Mr. Pai. While your Reese's cup is cute and playful, this policy and issue is not. Net neutrality is an integral part of our world wide web usage and we sincerely wish the FCC will take this matter seriously. P.S. How was Verizon?

677. Patrick M. Felux Jr., Spring Branch, TX, 78070

I want to make sure my voice is heard in this debate. When ISPs were classified as Title II it helped to make sure all content was treated the same, no matter the provider or source. This insured that I could always expect the content I choose to consume to be delivered in a way that is fair across all platforms. Reverting ISPs back to Title I will re-open the floodgates for ISPs to control what content I consume and how quickly I get it. This is unacceptable. Let me make clear, Mr. Pai, that I specifically support strong net neutrality back by Title II oversight of ISPs.

678. Pam Sohan, New Braunfels, TX, 78132

Please support strong net neutrality and ISP oversight thru Title 2. Favoritism should not be shown for one ISP over another. Don't let Trump's puppet end net neutrality.

679. Troy Toman, San Antonio, TX, 78212

I support strong enforcement of net neutrality requirements for ISPs under Title 2.

680. Capotosto, Austin, TX, 78733

I am writing to express the strongest support possible in favor of preserving net neutrality.

681. Julian Farley, Austin, TX, 78704

Ajit Pai,I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.Thank you,

682. John White, Austin, TX, 78704

support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™sMurica

683. Justin Foster, Buda, TX, 78610

Please keep net neutrality and Title 2! It is important for the health of our democracy and equal access to internet content. Think of what legacy you want to pass on to your children. Thank you

684. Robyn Kennedy, San Antonio, TX, 78261

I support net neutrality and strong Title II rules.

685. Adrienne White, Austin, TX, 78704

I support net neutrality and would be shocked and dismayed if I learned our

government worked to abolish it.

686. Noah Thornton, Austin, TX, 78705

The free and open internet that classifying ISPs under Title II provides allows for the tech giants that we see today. As a computer scientist, I know how important having a non biased way to distribute applications, media, etc is to tech companies small and large. Startups such as Uber or Google could've been deeply hurt or even destroyed by having unfair internet access, so I urge you to keep ISPs held to Title II standards and would even encourage tighter regulations in respect to mandatory speeds. A lot of developed nations have cheap gigabit internet nationwide, seeing as the US is the largest tech industry there should be no reason ISPs cant provide the US with such speeds. I hope you take my thoughts into consideration.

687. Rachel, Austin, TX, 78704

PLEASE defend net neutrality, it is imperative that we keep ISPs under Title 2.

688. Thomas De Luna, San Antonio, TX, 78217

The preservation of net neutrality is important to all Americans. Equal access to all channels of internet content has far reaching implications that cannot be ignored. We need to protect this as a right and not a commodity to be sold to the highest bidder. The move to Title 2 was done just so the FCC could enforce these rules. When a major network sued and won under Title 1, the FCC was powerless to enforce the policy. Why would we then return to Title 1 and trust the money-driven networks to do the right thing?

689. phil chandler, Austin, TX, 78701

I support a free internet where no companies can pay for preferential treatment.

690. Scott Eshbaugh, Austin, TX, 78703

Don't support Trump, Ajit Pai, and the removal of Title 2.

691. Margaret Martin, Austin, TX, 78704

Hello, I strongly support maintaining net neutrality, especially as backed by Title Two oversight of ISPs. Any limitations to net neutrality would put the profits of big corporations well above the interests of consumers.

692. Daniel Kahl, San Antonio, TX, 78247

I support strong net neutrality. Do not mess my internet.

693. Joseph Becker, san antonio, TX, 78247

Keep title 2. The quality of the media we consume shouldn't be decided by corporations.

694. Gretchen Gonzalez, Austin, TX, 78745

Support and preserve Net Neutrality and title II

695. Raymond, austin, TX, 78704

I want the net neutrality rules under title two kept please. I also want all Reese's paraphernalia removed from public office, everyone knows Kit Kats are better and produce better quality mugs.

696. Kevin Michael Jansen, Austin, TX, 78701

I am a 35 year old software engineer with a BA in Computer Science. I have worked designing backbone internet networks for ISP's at Cisco Systems and am currently creating virtualization appliances for server farms at IBM Research. I know what Net Neutrality means, and I have read the Title I and Title II provisions of the FCC. Title II is the only way to ensure a thriving, fair economy. DO NOT LET CORPORATIONS TAKE CONTROL OF THE INTERNET. One thing Democrats and Republicans like almost as much is Health Care is the internet, and they will notice when ISP's are given free reign. They will be pissed.

697. Michael Cavazos, Austin, TX, 78701

I support net neutrality and am *against* any efforts to overturn it.

698. terrin fuhrmann, san antonio, TX, 78213

i support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs #gofccyourself

699. Janel Galindo, San Antonio, TX, 78215

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP™s. It ensures our freedom as consumers and does not infringe upon giant corporations.

700. Ethan Westerfield, San Marcos, TX, 78666

I support strong Net Neutrality laws, as I feel that, despite what some representatives think, the potential for communication companies to abuse weak laws is far too great. I realize that some of you at the FCC may have strong financial or political motivation to dismantle Net Neutrality laws, but please look at the bigger picture, and make the right choice. Thank you.

701. Chris Prinz, Austin, TX, 78705

Title 2 and net neutrality is essential to maintaining civilians rights to consume whatever content they wish.

702. Jeffrey Berthiaume, Buda, TX, 78610

Having worked at multiple Fortune 500 corporations, I can guarantee that broadband executives who are well compensated for any minor bump in revenue would jump at the chance to take advantage of lax net neutrality regulations. Keep everything in place, or be prepared for even more people to jump into

VPNs and darkweb style sites to try to work their way around bandwidth limiting schemes by the major carriers.

703. Marc Hernandez, San Antonio, TX, 78230
I work in the IT field and support my family by having the abilities from this Act. Removing the rights would hamper current and future opportunities. Please Preserve Net Neutrality & Title 2.
704. Kirby Rosser, San Marcos, TX, 78666
This message is for Ajit Psi. I strongly support net neutrality and it being backed by the Title Two part of the Communications Act of 1934. In order for net neutrality to remain and still be successful we must have it backed by Title Two coverage of ISP's.
705. Andrew Schwneninger, San Antonio, TX, 78217
I am in strong support of net neutrality. Don't take away the balance from internet.
706. Net Nuetraliity, San Antonio, TX, 78230
You have to preserve net neutrality and title 2. The people want it! ISP's want it! You cannot take advantage of consumers. You work for US. Listen to US.
707. Erik Solmundson, San Antonio, TX, 78232
I'm writing to add my support for strong net neutrality protection via the continued oversight of internet service providers (ISP's) under Title II. There has been no credible evidence put forward that Title II oversight has reduced or hampered ISP investment in infrastructure or auctioned frequency bandwidth. The FCC's net neutrality enforcement via Title II oversight of ISP's is currently the best available protection of our existing free market for internet content consumers and content creators. Net neutrality legislation enacted by Congress would be welcomed by the overwhelming majority of U.S. Internet consumers. Unfortunately, members of Congress are lobbied heavily by the ISP's and their special interest organizations to prevent such legislation. Unless and until thIs lobbying effort is defeated, the public interest in a level playing field and equal opportunity for all content distributors and creators will continue to be best protected by Title II regulation of ISP's. Thank you for your attention.
708. Bill Eash, Bulverde, TX, 78163
Keep net neutrality laws as they are. We need the regulation to protect our right to the NET.
709. m s, Austin, TX, 78704
Changing internet service from title 2 back to tittle one is a horrible idea and shows that you're not serving the American people. Rather it appears your are catering to the industry groups and companies. You're an embarrassment to

public service Mr. Pai, and anyone who believes you're doing the right thing.

710. Kerry Hendricks, San Antonio, TX, 78247
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality and Title 2
711. Kevin Tai, Austin, TX, 78705
I support strong Net Neutrality policies.
712. Peter Spencer, San Antonia, TX, 78209
I'm very concerned about the possibility of ISPs disregarding Net Neutrality "pledges" and putting the internet in the hands of big business. Please do not remove ISPs from Title II and reverse the Obama era Net Neutrality rules
713. Amitai Plasse, Austin, TX, 78704
I support a free internet without preferences given for financial concerns. Please preserve net neutrality under Title 2.
714. julio Roman, Austin, TX, 78705
Keep strict regulations on ISPs, involving net neutrality, backed by Title II!!
715. Emily Johnson, Austin, TX, 78704
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I want no company to ever have the option to choose what I have access to on the internet.
716. Sumanth Reddy, AUSTIN, TX, 78745
Please do not take away any rules - the ISPs already have an oligopoly, and making Standard Oil corporations out of them is not going to benefit us. Please leave the current Title II rules as they are.
717. Cheyenne Weaver, Austin, TX, 78704
You must absolutely preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. Anything else would be a threat to an open and free internet and our very democracy.
718. Kevin Yang, Austin, TX, 78749
I am in support of Net Neutrality and against the monopoly of ISPs such as Comcast, Time Warner Cable, and Verizon. I am writing to express my opposition to Docket No. 17-108.
719. Tom Vo, Austin, TX, 78748
To FCC Chairman, Ajit Pai: Having an open, neutral internet is paramount for all citizens and should not be controlled by Internet Service Providers. Access and having equal use of the internet promotes freedom for thought, for innovation, for entertainment, for communication, for education, etc. No ISP's should be allowed to discriminate individuals in favor of large businesses where

individuals are limited by speed of access. Please consider this deeply when there are any discussions that impact individual's use of the internet in favor of ISP's.

720. Phil Mackie, Austin, TX, 78741

PLEASE MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE III FOUGHT FOR THIS 3 YEARS AGO AND I AM BACK.I MAKE 100% OF MY LIVELIHOOD ON THE INTERNET AND THE IDEA OF MAJOR INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANIES UNDERMINING THE HARD WORK OF MY SMALL BUSINESS CLIENTS IS DISGUSTING.BESIDES THE TROLLS, RACISTS AND GENERALLY THE FETID UNDERBELLY OF SOCIETY AT 4CHAN ARE GOING TO DO SOME REALLY NASTY THINGS IF YOU BLOW THIS!

721. Omeed Kazemi, Austin, TX, 78701

Net neutrality is a very serious issue and if you seriously think that letting ISPs decide whats best is the right course of action you are delusional. Please do not roll back the Obama era initiatives regarding this.

722. Phillip Parker, Austin, TX, 78701

I support strong net neutrality rules and maintaining its Title II status. Changing the current rules does not benefit the people and instead only serves the interests of telecoms. Please do the right thing and preserve net neutrality.

723. James M, Austin, TX, 78736

There will not be competition for our dollars if only the big players are able to provide fast service. Equal playing grounds for all.

724. Gilles Muller, Austin, TX, 78748

I support a diverse internet where big or small contributors are treated equally.Therefore I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers.

725. matthew hicks, San Marcos, TX, 78666

LEAVE OUR INTERNET ALONE! Preserve net neutrality & title two!

726. gabriel, san antonio, TX, 78217

keep isp's regulated by title 2. preserving net neutrality is important especially to those who don't realize it.

727. Jamilyn Keeton, San Antonio, TX, 78231

I am in support of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs which means I OPPOSE docket number 17-108.

728. Blaine Scroggs, San Antonio, TX, 78213

I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Net Neutrality is extremely important to ensure that the Internet remains a fair platform for all regardless of income, status, or business affiliation.

729. ziga tretjak, Sunset valley, TX, 78745
Keep internet neutrality strong under Title II
730. Cain, New Braunfels, TX, 78130
Net Neutrality is one of it if the not most important issue in American politics rights now and needs to be protected.
731. Paden Semon, Austin, TX, 78741
Please preserve net neutrality by upholding Title 2. To do otherwise would be a grossly immoral betrayal to everyone who relies on the internet
732. coltn, Austin, TX, 78705
Preserve title 2 to allow a truly free internet.
733. Dorothy Schulze, San Antonio, TX, 78230
I request that we continue keeping the net neutral. It is a lifeline for many who are in rural and otherwise isolated areas, providing them with information, advice, and connection with family and friends. Yet again, our government appears to favor Big Business over ordinary citizens. It seems to me that the internet providers are making quite enough profit already. Net neutrality needs to remain.
734. Haresh Suresh, Austin, TX, 78749
I strongly urge the FCC to keep Net neutrality on ISPs under Title 2 as currently designed for stronger regulation to ensure equal access to all information.
735. Michael Moses, new braunfels, TX, 78132
I support net neutrality with strong Title 2 regulation. The current head of the FCC has been lazy in his examination of the reasons behind the ISPs being classified as Title 2. Or perhaps he hasn't and is merely playing a fool. If ISPs are not required by law to provide equal access of internet service then they will not as soon as it is in their financial interest to do so. Google wallet, netflix, bandwidth throttles to content the provider wasnt offering are only a few of the things that were occurring before Title 2 came around.
736. Diana Atala, Austin, TX, 78705
We WANT Net Neutrality!
737. Aditi Kulkarni, Austin, TX, 78749
Please maintain the current net neutrality laws under Title 2. Republicans stand for innovation through free & fair market, let's remain true to our beliefs.

738. Daniel Smith, San Antonio, TX, 78248
I support ISPs being filed under the stricter category II classification, and allowing the FCC to regulate ISPs so that they are legally required to practice net neutrality. The proposed changes to revert it back to the category I classification blatantly are a money grab by ISPs and would be damaging on a massive scale to everyone but the ISPs, and would take away any chance of America having competitive internet services compared to other nations. As is by being able to skirt monopoly regulations via allowing one ISP in a given geographical region with slower technologies that borderline unusable like dial up as the only other alternative has proven to be unquestionably against the interests of the consumer, and it should be the moral obligation of every employee at the FCC to protect the interests of the American people at large by enforcing net neutrality, and should ISPs be re categorized as planned I hope that every person involved in this scheme is haunted by how much they have sold their soul for their own financial gain. From the interns to the highest ranking officials there is no excuse to reclassify ISPs under category I, while money may be rewarding it pales in comparison to virtue and the feeling of knowmimg that you did something great despite it being against your own interests. I pray that everyone involved in trying to line their own pockets can find the bravery to listen to their conscience and to maintain net neutrality. There is more to the world than money, and it does not make one happy, and the benefit of selling one's soul has never and will never outweigh the cost.
739. Alex Panozzo, Austin, TX, 78701
Please keep Title 2 strong to discourage ISP abuse of the system and consumers.
740. Jeff Patterson, Austin, TX, 78749
DO NOT alter current FCC rules regarding net neutrality or shift the governing statutes from Title II back to Title I. The essential nature of American democracy is to ensure a free and unfetter means of discourse. Removing net neutrality statutes so that ISP carriers can maximize profit will undermine that basic normative democratic principle.
741. Michael, San Marcos, TX, 78666
I support Net Neutrality. Yeet.
742. Martin Alaniz, Manchaca, TX, 78652
I specifically support preserving strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
743. Jennifer Canny, Austin, TX, 78748
Please continue to keep the strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Thank you.
744. Madison Tyson, San Marcos, TX, 78666

I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
We need equal access to online information.

745. NET NEUTRALITY, New Braunfels, TX, 78130
I strongly support net neutrality. Preserve Title II.
746. Sinan Dogramaci, Austin, TX, 78701
Don't repeal the Obama era Net Neutrality regulations!
747. James Nye, Austin, TX, 78704
goFCCyourself
748. Anna, Austin, TX, 78704
Please, PLEASE preserve net neutrality with title two.
749. Jacob Wiviott, Austin, TX, 78705
I support net neutrality and keeping ISPs under title II. I hope you have the insight to feel the same way.
750. Gerardo Barrientos, Manchaca, TX, 78652
Please preserve Title II and Net Neutrality. We do not want Internet Service Providers and/or companies potentially treating the content I choose differently with respect to speed, access and/or cost.
751. Chris, Austin, TX, 78705
I am typing to tell you to keep the current regulations as oppose to reversing them. Allowing major telecommunication companies the ability to control access to internet content will hurt smaller internet companies and internet start ups that are seen as competitors to the major companies' interests. This seems to be contradictory to the American ideal of allowing the freedom of competition in business to thrive. It would also be an attack on the freedom of expression if you let the major companies to prevent people from easily access to content that is seem to be unworthy enough to provide fair access. As such, please keep the internet free for everyone.
752. Brian Pape, Austin, TX, 78703
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II! Thank you.
753. michael kao, austin, TX, 78703
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY. DO NOT TAKE IT AWAY! TELECOM COMPANIES ARE LYING WHEN THEY SAY IT IMPACTS INVESTMENTS. AJIT PAI DOES NOT REPRESENT THE PEOPLE
754. John Zitterkopf, Austin, TX, 78735
Keep ISPs as Title II. The "right" to a free internet is rapidly becoming a

forgone right of the average American. I require my government to keep these "ISPs" in line thru regulation and not allow them to artificially slow speeds for certain apps because they haven't extorted enough money from that application/Company. This practice is already rampant in the Cable TV industry and cannot be allowed to occur on "the internet". Re-Categorizing them ISPs as Title I will give them that power; as it result it cannot be allowed to happen. As our Cellphones, TV (Netflix, hulu), etc become more heavily reliant on "internet" channels for communication; we must be sure that ISPs can not give unfair advantage to their own products. This is even more critical as traditional phone lines give way to VOIP systems and CableTV gives way to internet streaming. Please do not neuter the Net Neutrality clauses which were smartly created under the Obama Administration.

755. Sean Mathis, Austin, TX, 78704

I specifically support Net Neutrality back by Title II oversight of ISP. We see through your nonsense and we do not believe it. We all have the right to equal speed without suffering the risk of competitive whims. Leave it alone or you'll pay the price.

756. Clifford Pedroza, San Antonio, TX, 78232

Please preserve net neutrality. I fear that if net neutrality is compromised, then those who seek to oppress the minority will have the opportunity to develop powerful tools and methodologies that will strategically suppress information that could be useful to make educated decisions about political, financial, and consumer subject matters.

757. Marisa Cavin, Austin, TX, 78735

Keep Net Neutrality! Keep it under Title II! There is no harm to investment or economic downsides to keep these regulations the way they are and to scale back would only allow avenues for internet companies to potentially throttle or control internet access and use based on their interests not the interest of consumers. Please, please, please represent the entirety of American people in this issue - companies are not people - so there continues to be access to free and open internet.

758. Zachary Barrett, Austin, TX, 78705

Stop trying to slow down my internet, you twats. I already pay too much for 5 Mbps.

759. Cole Allen, San Antonio, TX, 78230

Keep Internet service providers under title 2 classification, and keep regulation regarding net neutrality in place.

760. Jeremy R. Cole, San Marcos, TX, 78666

The preservation of our Internet freedoms is of the utmost importance. The

Internet is bringing this world together, ignoring borders and boundaries. Regulation will only put up walls, restrict our growth. It is how we share, talk, play, learn, and fight. It's special, and it's ours. Let's not fuck it up.

761. Jonathan Malkin, Austin, TX, 78704
Please retain net neutrality under title 2. Thank you.
762. jason sale, Austin, TX, 78745
I fully support strong net neutrality regulations
763. Bhavik Patel, Austin, TX, 78704
I support net neutrality and I hope that you do not do anything to change what current laws we have in place. Throttling a website is essentially sending it to it's grave. By supporting the CURRENT laws we have for the internet, we are insuring that anyone can create the next facebook or google. Please do not change that.
764. Valerie E Chermers, Pipe Creek, TX, 78063
Ajit Pai:I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
Sincerely, Valerie Chermers
765. William Kroll, San Marcos, TX, 78666
I am in support of Strong Net Nutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. As such, I am Strongly Opposed to Docket no. 17-108. Do not allow Docket no. 17-108 to come to fruition.
766. Kevin Kim, New Braunfels, TX, 78132
Please preserve net neutrality. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
767. Joel Rosinbum, Austin, TX, 78704
I strongly support net neutrality. Allowing providers to throttle speed of other providers or sights will have a chilling effect on free speech and eliminate the level playing field for small startups. Some of the largest and most successful internet companies owe their success to the same level playing field that net neutrality provides. If net neutrality is kill we may never know what amazing ideas and companies may never be able to come to fruition. Without net neutrality AOL would still be king of the internet.
768. John McNeff, Austin, TX, 78745
Please preserve net neutrality and continue to hold service providers to Title 2. Net neutrality is crucial to allow small businesses the ability to grow and for new services and technologies to make themselves available.
769. Micah, Austin, TX, 78704

I demand that the FCC support net neutrality under the firm legal footing of Title II. I demand that the FCC keep Internet Service Providers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I think it is very important to require, by law, that Internet Service Providers are unable to differentiate bandwidth or any other means of access to individual domains or websites. Please consider my wishes as against Ajit Pai's push for a "lighter touch" approach to the regulations on ISPs. The idea that less regulation on ISPs will be good for the American public is offensive and incorrect.

770. andy mccasland, austin, TX, 78704

Keep title 2 and support net neutrality

771. Areg H, Austin, TX, 78704

I need net neutrality. Stop the bullshit.

772. Joshua Lawler, San Antonio, TX, 78213

I strongly support Title 2 Net Neutrality and will vote against ANY party trying to suggest we don't need this regulation.

773. Jackson Schwartz, Austin, TX, 78705

Friends, I urge you to preserve net neutrality. We can not leave it up to the corporations to police themselves. The FCC needs to stay in charge of marking sure everyone has equal access to internet content. Data preference based on profit is unethical. This is our freedom. Protect the internet for us!

774. Jaron, New Braunfels, TX, 78132

I support net neutrality backed by Title II regulation. Ajit Pai, you know better than to expect ISPs to play fair and simply agree to not block or throttle what they want.

775. Chad Williams, Austin, TX, 78748

As a consumer of a great deal of alternative media, I support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs. Thank you.

776. David Pilzner, Kerrville, TX, 78028

Net neutrality is essential to equal access to information. It is imperative that we all have the same access to information on the web, regardless of who our provider is, where we live, or who is competing with our current provider. Access to information is critical and should never be compromised in the name of big business. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY UNDER TITLE II.

777. Dawn Michal, Austin, TX, 78704

Title II is the regulation that protects citizens and insures fair and impartial access to information. We have all learned from history that human nature will not protect the best interests of the least of our people without clearly defined

and enforced limits. The FCC is tasked with making sure the country's mass communications systems do not fall into the hands of a select few. Requiring these companies who control our communications systems to adhere to Title II will do just that.

778. Edward Champoux, San Antonio, TX, 78209

I am against the dismantling of the net neutrality regulations that help to ensure a fair and competitive internet. I feel that deregulation will lead to the enabling of a few (or one) corporation that could control our access to information--all for a profit. This would lead to a monopoly that would stifle the growth of smaller companies, as well as limit what we as citizens can access. This attack on fairness goes against what this country represents.

779. Joe Izbrand, San Antonio, TX, 78213

I urge you to continue a policy of net neutrality, which allows all to compete equally.

780. Jacob Nowicki, San Antonio, TX, 78259

I am for strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs

781. Doug Heintz, Austin, TX, 78701

Classification of all ISPs as Title II entities is the only way to ensure that a fundamental part of America's future remains free and open.

782. ian rosi, Austin, TX, 78704

We strongly support net neutrality under Title 2 regulation. ISPs should provide equal access...not just who can pay more or access be restricted/regulated based on the ISP choice of customer.

783. Heather, San Antonio, TX, 78231

I AND MANY OTHER AMERICANS DEMAND PRESERVATION OF NET NEUTRALITY. THIS IS FAIR AND GOOD.

784. Heather Martin, San Marcos, TX, 78666

I am writing to express concern over the rollback of net neutrality protections. I find the argument that the industry will regulate voluntarily to be demonstrably untrue -- there are many examples of ISPs favoring their own apps and services, from TWC's suspicious Netflix slowdown, to Verizon's favoring of its ISIS wallet app over Google wallet. Please ensure the continuation of net neutrality protection under Title II.

785. Coleman Edwards, Austin, TX, 78704

Net neutrality made the internet the valuable resource that it is today. Allowing this to deteriorate would be a enormous disservice to the public.

786. George Kleck, Stonewall, TX, 78671
I STRONGLY support net neutrality and Title 2
787. 17-108, San Marcos, TX, 78666
The government should maintain strict net neutrality rules backed by Title II. If companies are able to violate the norm of net neutrality, which they will if they get the chance, it will harm democratic freedom of expression, small business, and the larger economy in general. It is anti-democratic to restrict a free internet.
788. keep title 2, san antonio, TX, 78232
net neutrality a must, no verizon puppets
789. Meghann Sumner, Austin, TX, 78741
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2! Everyone deserves a fair and equitable internet.
790. Curtis Stavinoha, San Antonio, TX, 78217
I support strong FCC Title II oversight in favor of net neutrality.
791. Christine Magers, Spring Branch, TX, 78070
I am in favor of strong net neutrality rules. I vehemently oppose Ajit Pai's plans to weaken Obama-era net neutrality rules.
792. Glenda S. McKinney, Austin, TX, 78701
Protecting net neutrality is important!
793. steven wright, San Antonio, TX, 78247
I support net neutrality and it's continued control under FCC title 2. Title 1 would allow slowing and encumbering users based on internet service and the provider. Leave it alone and do not pass a revision that would revert control under title 1. This would be a disservice to all average users.
794. Christopher Calnan, Austin, TX, 78704
Respectfully, please preserve net neutrality.
795. Blake Coburn, Austin, TX, 78703
This regulation does not harm ISP ability to conduct business and should not be reformed. Even the CEO of Verizon has said this will not adversely affect their company. No ISP should have the ability to limit access to information.
796. net neutrality, San antonio, TX, 78209
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. It should not be changed.
797. Josh Foley, Austin, TX, 78745

I believe the FCC should maintain current Net Neutrality rules to protect the free and open internet and keep ISP's classified under Title II. The mere option of rolling back these rules and trusting corporations on a gentleman's agreement to not show favoritism is asinine. Corporations such as AT&T, Verizon, and Comcast will do whatever they want for their own business means. Title II classifications and Net Neutrality protect the consumer and removing these rules will be detrimental to a free and open internet and lead to corporations having even more power to influence the lives of everyday Americans than they already do.

798. Caitlin Foster, Austin, TX, 78735

Please keep strong net neutrality rules back by Title II. Thank you.

799. Gabriel Samandi, San Antonio, TX, 78232

I am in favor of preserving Net Neutrality specifically through the use of Title II regulations. My rights as a consumer and citizen should outweigh the whims of a business, and I expect the Federal Government to protect those rights.

800. Lyhoung Te, Austin, TX, 78705

Preserve Net Neutrality under article II.

801. Holly Brandt, Austin, TX, 78704

I support Net Neutrality and Title II. Equal access to the web is important for all.

802. Scott Combs, San Antonio, TX, 78209

PLEASE DO NOT END NET NEUTRALITY. KEEP TITLE II DESIGNATION IN PLACE. THIS IS AN ISSUE OF FREEDOM FOR ALL. PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW INTERNET SERVICE PROVIDERS THE ABILITY TO MAKE SERVICE CHOICES BASED ON PRIVILEGE AND WEALTH.THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.SCOTT

803. Milan Rivas, Austin, TX, 78745

I believe Net Neutrality should be preserved by keeping it under the more enforceable category of title 2. I also find the current FCC head's rhetoric underhanded and intentionally deceptive.

804. Michael Hughes, San Antonio, TX, 78209

Chairman Pai has already shown by his public comments that he is only interested in helping his former paymaster to extract more money from its customers at the expense of a free and open internet. His shortsighted greed, and that of the telecom companies that prop up people like him, will do incalculable harm to the spread of ideas, the creation of new businesses, the function of free markets, and to the basic promise of the internet: that all traffic is treated neutrally regardless of its origin or content. I vigorously oppose the Chairman's

move to gate and bifurcate the internet. The internet is already more than a consumer luxury; it must be regulated as a public utility. Keep Title II and keep net neutrality.

805. Michael Gremillion, San Antonio, TX, 78216

The idea that net neutrality and a Title II classification hurt the businesses of internet providing is ridiculous. They cannot and will not regulate themselves in a consumer-friendly manner. Already, Comcast and Charter (Spectrum) are throttling people yet again based on the websites/services used. My friend gets slower speeds only on Netflix. It's in the news, it's been triple-checked, there's a lawsuit filed for it, it can't be denied. I am in favor of a Title II classification and a CLEAR protection of ALL web traffic, regardless of destination.

806. Felix De Portu, Austin, TX, 78746

Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II intact.

807. Jeff Buttaccio, Austin, TX, 78704

I fully support that ISPs continue to be regulated under title 2 to preserve net neutrality. The current regulation under title 2 does not appear to have any burdensome impact on the ISPs. It's naive to believe net neutrality will be preserved without regulation when a public ISP has an incentive to favor their own interests to increase profit.

808. Russell Schreiber, Austin, TX, 78704

Please keep internet open and free! Keep section 2 oversight!

809. Tierney Kersey, Austin, TX, 78748

I support strong net neutrality!!! Please don't screw this up for everyone. Don't take our internet and make it worse. You don't get to pick and choose what websites come through faster. THAT MAKES NO SENSE!!!

810. Jesse Olguin, San Antonio, TX, 78259

The internet has become a staple in everyday life for the majority of Americans. We use it to plan our trips, check the weather, manage our finances, look for employment, and in many cases it's required to submit an application for employment. This service needs to remain Title II to keep private interests from shaping the way we communicate to what is most profitable for that interest. This will keep business competitive as they will have to work harder to earn our business, instead of just being able to purchase a ticket to the front of the line. Some regulation is necessary, keep internet access Title II to keep it an open and competitive space. Shame on the FCC Chair for trying to pull this stunt, shame on anyone who supports this blatant and obvious attempt to align government with corporate interests.

811. Jennifer Medford, Austin, TX, 78745

We need Net Neutrality! Please keep its Internet protections to ensure that Internet providers treat all web traffic equally.

812. Andrew Matthes, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624
Maintain strong net neutrality by not amending what has been in place. Companies shouldn't have the ability to direct internet usage based on imposed speed enhancements/deficiencies nor based on the movement of monies. All companies should have equal access and performance in internet service/delivery of content.
813. Natalie Kendrick, Austin, TX, 78704
I am a strong believer in net neutrality and believe it should be upheld.
814. Bruce Balbin, San Antonio, TX, 78259
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. I believe our country will suffer greatly if you roll back these regulations and that this action will stifle, not enhance, competition. I implore you, DO NOT roll back these regulations! Thank you.
815. Alejandra Young, San Antonio, TX, 78209
I greatly support the preservation of Net Neutrality and Title II
816. Natalie Burge, Austin, TX, 78748
Having a free and open Internet is essential to our way of life and rolling back regulations will give too much control to corporations. Keeping it free and highly regulated encourages competition among service providers and prevents unfair pricing practices. It also supports freedom of speech, which seems to be dwindling in the Trump era. I do NOT support rolling back regulations. It will breed corruption and further contribute to our current problems with echo chambers and fake news. Please keep the Internet open, free and highly regulated.
817. Jason Kupka, Austin, TX, 78735
Hey Ajit, I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs; which will continue to ensure a free and open internet like we've had for decades. And yes, there is (non-hypothetical) evidence of ISPs engaging in rampant wrongdoing in the marketplace on a widespread level -- which is why this re-categorization was originally necessary.
818. Joshua Thomson, Austin, TX, 78749
I support strong net neutrality. Neutrality is best maintained by Title II oversight of internet service providers.
819. Karen Conyngham, Austin, TX, 78746

Keep open and fair internet access available to everyone. All data should be afforded the system's best-effort capabilities for delivery to its destination depending on the network's operating resources. This approach should not offer prioritization or preferential treatment of one data stream over another. Rather it should treat all data in a neutral, nondiscriminatory way. It is imperative that the networks work in this manner. Policy and regulatory approaches should be shaped by the overarching principle of openness, as well as the enabling characteristics of access, choice, and transparency. An Internet-access environment characterized by choice and transparency enables users to remain in control of their Internet experience and empowers them to fully benefit from and participate in it.

820. David Finn, Austin, TX, 78704

Maintain Tier 2 enforcement of net neutrality laws. Don't roll back to tier 1 as current administration is trying to.

821. Mike Jones, San Antonio, TX, 78240

As the great John Oliver pleaded, keep Net Neutrality laws strong and preserve Title 2!!!

822. Donald Bynum, Austin, TX, 78704

Net neutrality and Title 2 classification must remain if I'm to continue living in this country. The UN has claimed that access to the internet is a human right. Please do not allow ISPs the ability to exploit our citizens' browsing.

823. Brian Boyko, Austin, TX, 78735

As a software engineer, network neutrality is vital to preserving the free and open internet. The move to regulate ISPs under Title I is a clear attack on free speech and innovation. To move to a non-neutral network jeopardizes America's ability to innovate and will destroy one of the foundations of our economy's growing tech sector.

824. Mike Eitel, Austin, TX, 78745

I support strong net neutrality regulations specifically keeping the regulations under title II. As a small business online, if I am forced to pay each ISP a fee to allow people to get to my site without being slowed down I will not be able to continue this business. I do not have to pay extra for people to drive to my brick and mortar location and people do not have to pay more to go to my business on the street than wal-mart. They are free to make that choice themselves and I wish for them to continue doing so online.

825. Kathy Faucheux, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624

Preserve net neutrality and Title 2. Thank you.

826. Courtney Cunningham, San Antonio, TX, 78209

I support net neutrality. ISPs must remain regulated under Title II and should be considered a public utility. We will fight you on this and I don't think it's a fight the cronies at Verizon, Spectrum, etc. can win.

827. Caitlin Butterworth, Austin, TX, 78704

I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II and will vote accordingly.

828. Taylor Paiga, Austin, TX, 78735

I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I have personally experienced throttling with both Netflix and other streaming services through my internet providers, and I don't trust them to regulate themselves nor hold themselves to a 'voluntary promise' to not throttle competition.

829. Daniel Moser, Austin, TX, 78701

I believe both that classifying broadband internet service as a Type II telecommunications service is appropriate and necessary and that the Internet Conduct Standard, or something similar, is a necessary mechanism to ensure access to the internet remains as free and open as it is today. As the report states, the definition of a telecommunications service is "the transmission, between or among points specified by the user, of information of the user's choosing, without change in the form or content of the information as sent and received." From the perspective of a user, this is exactly what an internet service provider does. When I, for example, use SSH to remotely access a computer and transfer data from it, this is what I am doing. I explicitly specify an exact physical machine to which I wish to connect and expect and rely upon the fact that the information will be transmitted without change in form or content by my ISP. More generally, when a user accesses a website online, they are as well specifying a point with which they wish to exchange information and the internet service provider transmits the accessed information without changing its form or content. Again, users expect and rely upon the fact that their ISP is not modifying in any way the content of the websites they are accessing. The suggestion made by the report that the technical processes (domain name resolution, etc) occurring "behind the scenes" in order to enable the information exchange constitutes change to the form or content of information is ridiculous. Indeed, this argument can be made about any form of electronic communication technology going back to the telegraph. Voice signals transmitted via telephone lines or mobile networks, for example, may be amplified, converted from analogue to digital or visa versa, filtered to remove noise, etc. The fact that information may need to be manipulated during transit in order to enable the transmission has not been considered modification of form or content for other technologies and should not be considered so now. At the end of the day, when a user accesses a website through their web browser, the exact HTML code as sent by the web server is received by their browser. Additionally, the contention

that since web users do not know the specific computer to which they are connecting, it does not constitute a "point specified by the user" is also ridiculous. The user of a telephone, when dialing a phone number, does not necessarily know where or to which specific phone they will be connected. A web address can be considered a "point" in the same way in which a phone number can be considered a "point." Regarding the Internet Conduct Standard, while it may be true that the internet we know today was largely built under the lax regulatory regime that existed prior to 2015, without the Internet Conduct Standard, there is no guarantee that it will continue to exist into the future. The Light Touch Regulatory Framework, as proposed by the report, relies on internet service providers to voluntarily avoid practices detrimental to consumers, such as prioritizing delivery of some content over others, even when such practices may benefit service providers financially. This is entirely insufficient protection for millions of internet users who rely on their service providers to deliver the content they request expediently regardless of where it originates. The report asks "Does the standard benefit consumers in any way and, if so, how?" The answer is a resounding yes, by guaranteeing that all online content continues to be treated equally by service providers, the very thing that has allowed the vast diversity of online content and commerce to flourish up until now. The report's contention, that, because the original report establishing the Internet Conduct Standard "did not specifically call for an end to any provider's practices," the Standard is unnecessary and cumbersome is absurd. The fact that service providers have not engaged in the detrimental practices outlined in the Standard is not a guarantee that they will not do so in the future. Simply because no one is currently violating a regulation is not a good reason for repealing a regulation. Indeed, if service providers, as they claim, actually do have no intention of ever impeding access to a fair and open internet, then these Standards should have no effect on them and thus cannot be considered overly cumbersome. While I appreciate that, as the report puts it, "Because the Internet conduct standard is premised on theoretical problems that will be adjudicated on an individual, case-by-case basis, Internet service providers must guess at what they are permitted and not permitted to do" could create problems and uncertainty for providers, the answer should not be to repeal the standard and "not to adopt any alternatives to the Internet conduct rule." If, as the report claims, the broad and non-specific nature of the current Standards creates problems for service providers and discourages future investment, the answer should not be the removal of all regulations. Instead, the FCC should be using its regulatory authority, under Title II, to establish clear regulations preventing service providers from interfering with or prioritizing in any way the delivery of information to their subscribers, thus preserving the free and open nature of the internet as it is today.

830. Samantha Long, Austin, TX, 78735

Please respect and uphold Net Neutrality and Title II. Please be brave and do the

right thing!

831. Chris Cox, Austin, TX, 78745

The internet is a common carrier, and net neutrality should be preserved. Break up the big telecoms.

832. Chloe Ingram, Austin, TX, 78703

I'm a strong advocate for net neutrality. It would be a huge step backwards to allow any ISP to slow down or block any content that it views as competition or a threat to their bottom line. With some cities or parts of a city providing minimal options for internet service, creating a faster service for a cost is likely impossible as many people only have a couple of options. You know this would be the wrong direction to take our internet.

833. Logan Whitaker, San Antonio, TX, 78259

I am not pleased to hear that the FCC is considering removing net neutrality rules put in place during the previous administration. Not only that, but it should be a conflict of interest for your Chairman to come from a wolf pack that you're meant to protect the public from: Verizon. Would you hire a sex offender to babysit your children? Joking aside, the ISPs have shown little to no self-restraint in furthering their own agendas at the expense of customers and broken promises made to the public. They've done this in the past and they've done this again with rate increases after M&A activities consolidated ISP providers in certain regions. So it's mentally defunct to have one iota of trust in these ISPs' ability to police themselves. If the FCC supports deregulating the ISPs and net neutrality... then what is the FCC there for? The FCC must step in and maintain the regulatory framework that reins-in ISPs and forces them to compete fairly with each other and not at the expense of the public (who is footing the bill for both your organizations' bills by the way). Other countries have made landslides in regulating ISPs as a public utility to such an extent that the Internet is cheaper, faster, and more reliable than our own ISPs still pumping us little bandwidth on pre-millennial cable infrastructure yet charging prices like it were year 2050. Don't be a puppet to the new president, your own Chairman, and to big business. If you do then you are no longer a regulatory body protecting the American people, but instead a regulatory body screwing the American people and then slipping into their wallet to grab the cash to pay for it. When we want that treatment we don't turn to our federal regulatory bodies... we just go to Bangkok. Yes, I just drew a comparison between the two.

834. Brandon Shelton, Buda, TX, 78610

I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Internet providers should have no ability to hinder, limit, or in any other way change our ability to access information, especially in order to profit themselves. Keep the Internet open and freely accessible to all.

835. David Wheeler, Austin, TX, 78745

Hello,I support net neutrality and continue to do so. I believe that any sale of my information should require an "opt in" on my account. In no case should my information be shared without my positive consent.I oppose this continued effort to undermine net neutrality.David Wheeler

836. Patrick Waldo, Austin, TX, 78704

I am writing to express my strong commitment to Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The open internet is a bedrock of 21st century markets and commerce as well as our first amendment rights to free speech, an open press, the right to assemble and to air our grievances with our government. There have already been examples of ISPs attempting to throttle or limit access to competing services, such as Google Wallet. For entrepreneurship and innovation to flourish barriers to entry must be low and not controlled by the most powerful corporations in the world.

837. Madison Regan, Gerden Ridge, TX, 78266

I support net neutrality. Please preserve net neutrality and continue to regulate the IPS' under Title 2

838. net-neutrality, Austin, TX, 78703

Please keep the Internet free and open by retaining its classification under Title II. The lobbying interests of Verizon, AT&T, Comcast, and other ISPs should not supersede the importance of keeping the Internet neutral for everyone else. Under Title I, the FCC does not have sufficient regulatory power to prevent these corporations pushing to defy net neutrality. This was the case in many examples in the past under Title I, and a true problem was only prevented by moving to Title II.All of the IPSs will continue to strive, expand, and succeed, as is their legal obligation to their investors - but they must do so without being allowed to impose unfair advantages that give them more control, and more of a monopoly over the Internet services in the U.S. As somebody who works professionally in the tech industry, I cannot stress enough the vitality of keeping the Internet regulated under Title II.This is not a liberal or conservative stance. This is a simple and obvious effort by giant communication corporations attempting to gain more control and thus more profits, at the expense of others. Otherwise they wouldn't be spending millions to lobby for it. Net neutrality is a clear and important advantage to small businesses and freelancers in the tech industry and beyond to empower them with more control over their own products and business, rather than having it strictly regulated by other, more powerful corporations.In reducing regulations by the government, you inevitably allow the self-interest-driven corporations to impose their own regulations instead. At the end, the Internet will always be regulated. Either the FCC does it the right way that's fair for everyone, or you will allow Verizon, AT&T, Comcast, etc. to set those regulations for you - and those will most

certainly not be in the interest of anyone but themselves.

839. Laurie Wicker, Austin, TX, 78745
Please preserve Title 2 protection of ISP supporting net neutrality.
840. d kalister, kerrville, TX, 78028
Internet service providers (ISPs) should treat all legal content and applications equally and not block or slow content.
841. Linda McClay, Kerrville, TX, 78028
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I think Net Neutrality is very important and am very disappointed with all of the Republicans who are sponsoring this, especially Cruz and Cornyn.
842. Scott Steptoe, Austin, TX, 78704
Save Net Neutrality. Do not roll back this policy.
843. Taylor Turner, Austin, TX, 78749
I support Net Neutrality and Title II regulations
844. lyanna jimenez, San Marcos, TX, 78666
Protect net neutrality you dunces!!!
845. Kris Martin, Austin, TX, 78741
Net neutrality is the only way to keep the internet fair, affordable and accessible. Allowing companies to purchase faster speeds only makes the rich richer and the poor poorer.
846. Bradley Bleu, Austin, TX, 78745
I am writing to ask that you preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.
847. Joshua Dodds, Austin, TX, 78745
I support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs. Please listen to the people and keep the internet free.
848. Zabe Truesdell, Austin, TX, 78745
Net Neutrality is an important aspect that should NOT be left to the people who want to abuse it. Leave Title two regulations in place and do not attempt to roll back these protections in any form.
849. Matt T, San Marcos, TX, 78666
How is this change in the best interest of the people? I am all ears if you can answer this effectively, but otherwise net neutrality seems to be a good thing so leave it as is.
850. Michael S Pinnell, San Antonio, TX, 78232

The internet should be treated as a utility, and with that in mind the companies that provide it are not the ones in need of additional freedom, because it is the individual users that are beholden to these massive corporations whose interest the government should be serving in protecting our access to free and fair communication. Additionally through reading of the "Restoring Internet Freedom" notice it seems there is no hard evidence that having this protected under Title II has caused a slowdown in investment and/or development, and in fact that majority of the claims in the article are prefaced with statements such as "We believe". I find this to be most onerous, as your choice of action should not be driven by personal belief, but rather actionable metrics.

851. Mark A. Schon, NEW BRAUNFELS, TX, 78130
I STRONGLY support Internet Neutrality!!!
852. anita, Austin, TX, 78746
Maintain internet competition by maintaining net neutrality and keep Title 2.
853. Casey Meadows, Austin, TX, 78704
The Internet is considered a fundamental human right by the UN. That's one of many reasons why I'm in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! As someone who works directly with the internet for income, I'm STRONGLY Opposed to Docket No. 17-108! Don't let big companies limit our internet freedoms!
854. netneutrality, Austin, TX, 78704
Hey FCC--preserve net neutrality and title II. Thanks!
855. Christopher Avila, San Antoino, TX, 78213
We need net neutrality. If promise they're not going to bias their networks towards some content over others then what's the problem with net neutrality as-is? They will just be legally required to do what they allege they will promise to do as Title I. Don't give them the ability to back out on their word.
856. Michael Elder, Austin, TX, 78701
Net neutrality is an incredibly important aspect of free speech and unbiased information in the world today. Please do not reverse or alter standing legislation that would dismantle or dilute any part of net neutrality.
857. Dr. Thomas and Victoria Springer, Austin, TX, 78704
We strongly believe that Internet Neutrality must be preserved and protected under Title II classification. Accessibility to the Internet must be impartial and unlimited - this is a fundamental and essential characteristic. The preferential access currently proposed is at odds with this key requirement and would undermine the Internet's potential for our society. The Internet belongs to everyone.

858. Alex Cheng, Austin, TX, 78705
Net neutrality is incredibly important. We as consumers already pay for internet. we deserve to have open access to all internet sites
859. Richard Perez, San Antonio, TX, 78217
Preserve TITLE II! Preserve net neutrality!
860. Lauren Blood, New Braunfels, TX, 78132
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
Thank you.
861. Luke Collier, Austin, TX, 78704
I'm in favor of preserving internet freedoms and Title 2.
862. David Goujon, Austin, TX, 78735
Net Neutrality is very important. Please do the will of the people and work towards keeping Net Neutrality within Title II. Thank you.DG
863. David Hickland, San Marcos, TX, 78666
..
864. Janet Irsfeld, Austin, TX, 78748
As a citizen, it is my obligation to speak on the importance of net neutrality. By their own admission to stockholders,,there is no curtailment of innovation or growth. The only winners here are the big companies and greed. Not us.
Preserve the title two status.
865. Paul Thorn, austin, TX, 78705
I strongly support net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. A shift to allow corporate entities to voluntarily adhere to these rules will threaten the very nature of a neutral and free internet.
866. Jana Rzezniczek, Austin, TX, 78704
Putting the ability to control what a consumer is able to access for profit is obscene. If I pay for a service, I should to be able to receive that service as an end user without interruption of manipulation from the ISP. What I consume is none of their business, nor should they force the direction of my consumption to line their own pockets. User are not pawns or puppets. Customer who pay for a service should at the very least be provided PAID FOR service unencumbered.
867. Todd Swoope, Austin, TX, 78735
In regards to Net Neutrality, I completely support it and the regulations that come with Title 2. Net Neutrality supports a free and open internet and is something we need. Voting to dissolve Net Neutrality is not the will of the people, but the will of the ISPs. Do not get rid of the current Net Neutrality

rules, please.

868. Matthew Hager, Austin, TX, 78748

The Internet has thrived under a principal that all traffic on the Internet is guaranteed to be the same speed as all other traffic. The FCC should step in and ensure that the principals of the Internet are maintained and that ISPs should not be allowed to prioritize traffic over other traffic. If ISPs are allowed to do this, the Internet, as we know it, will be eroded. I work in the tech sector, I am Vice President of Engineering for an Internet startup company. If the ISPs are allowed to bifurcate the Internet, my company will no longer be able to compete against those who have more capital to pay off the wide variety of ISPs. The Internet is not, and should not, be run by mafia ISPs who have near zero local competition. I urge the FCC to do what is right and maintain the principals of Net Neutrality. Should the FCC fail to exert this protection for all Americans I fear what will happen to innovation in this country and how many it will make America uncompetitive on a global scale. Thank You! Matthew Hager Vice President Engineering, NextWorks

869. Jackson Battle, Austin, TX, 78746

Please preserve net neutrality in its strictest form. We do not want the internet providers, whether by cable or cellular, to be able to determine the speed or access of content providers. The FTC and anti-trust laws do not begin to provide enough protection.

870. Anthony Cross, San Marcos, TX, 78666

I specifically support retaining and enforcing strong Net Neutrality by Title II oversight of ISPs.

871. Jerre Williams, Kerrville, TX, 78028

I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II, of internet ISP's. Verizon and the rest have done shady things prior to Title II and any change will be cause for problems for ordinary users. The idiot who is now in charge of FCC claims that Congress could pass a law to correct any problems that developed from the changes he proposes. ... He should just not make the changes!

872. Martin Whitmore, Austin, TX, 78745

I strongly support Net Neutrality, and feel that it needs to remain under Title 2. Please do not allow Ajit Pai to undermine Net Neutrality.

873. Laura Gaines, Austin, TX, 78749

You need to preserve net neutrality and Title II. We cannot pretend to live in a free society when our citizens cannot freely access information due to government sanctioned, corporate censorship.

874. Jeffrey Snider, San Antonio, TX, 78215

I believe in strong Net Neutrality and want the internet to be regulated in the same Title II foundation as the phone and electric industry.

875. Rod.Rice, Austin, TX, 78741

I do not want the FCC to give ISPs the right to manipulate my internet choices for their own gain. Keep Net Neutrality rules in place.

876. Michael W Rodriguez, San Antonio, TX, 78209

Net neutrality covered by Title 2 works just fine. why try to fix something that works? Leave it be

877. Elyssa koog, Austin, TX, 78704

I support free and open internet with net neutrality under title 2

878. Bill S, Austin, TX, 78735

I support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs. I also see evidence of disreputable members appointed to the FCC intentionally attempting to mislead in order to sneak detrimental measures past a possibly ignorant public. I would be in favor of consequences and punishment for those individuals.

879. Don Schilling, San Antonio, TX, 78216

I am in favor of strong net neutrality rules. Please do not let Ajit Pai move to weaken the current rules.

880. Bryan, Bulverde, TX, 78163

I am in strong support of an open internet that can only be achieved if Obama era regulations that uphold Net Neutrality are kept. I am in direct opposition to current FCC Chair Ajit Pai and his thoughts on allowing our internet access to be decided by large corporate interests. I am asking that the "Restoring Internet Freedom" bill be destroyed and voted into oblivion. Please for the sake of future generations the internet must be kept free and open. It is now an inseparable necessity to daily life in these days and must be made accessible to all people of Earth.

881. Nathan Levy, austin, TX, 78704

I support keeping net neutrality and title 2

882. Samuel Gonzales, Austin, TX, 78745

I fully support Net Neutrality. I OPPOSE Docket 17-108.

883. Camden, San Marcos, TX, 78666

Preserve title II, Ensure Net Neutrality for my kids and grandkids in the name of truth and light over ignorance and darkness!!!

884. Andrew Hoover, Austin, TX, 78741
The FCC must keep net neutrality laws under title II. Removing these protections will be costly to consumers and stifle innovation and creativity. Removing net neutrality laws will result in a rewriting of US Government textbooks to include Ajit Pai as one of the greatest examples of regulatory capture since, basically the entire state government of Texas.
885. mason schuler, san antonio, TX, 78230
We need net neutrality. I strongly support net neutrality to remain unchanged.
886. Will Thompson, Austin, TX, 78704
In most parts of the country, consumers only have one ISP to choose from for high speed Internet access. Removing Net Neutrality protections will incentivize ISPs to extract fees from Internet-based businesses, and those businesses will have no choice but to pay them or face degraded service quality for their customers. The result is that that ISPs will be given the power to arbitrarily increase profits at the expense of their customers and the Internet-based businesses their customers use, leading to higher cost, lower quality Internet-based services for no new value and no recourse for those consumers and businesses. In addition to siphoning profit from customers and other businesses, rent-seeking by ISPs will give incumbent Internet-based companies an advantage over start-up companies by increasing the cost overhead of running their business. This will reduce competition and job-creation in one of the most promising and innovative growth-markets in modern history. Many or most opponents of Net Neutrality rules oppose them because they believe regulation hurts free markets; however, the ISP marketplace is not a free one. For most customers, ISPs behave as regional monopolies, benefitting from local and state regulations that prevent new ISPs from entering the market. Anyone truly supporting free markets and interested in increased competition for Internet infrastructure should look to these regulations first, and at least in the interim, support Net Neutrality rules to protect consumers from the monopolistic behavior of ISPs. I hope the extreme level of irony is clear, that opposition Net Neutrality is motivated by a desire for free markets, but the most likely outcome of removing its protections will be further entrenching existing infrastructure monopolies in an uncompetitive market and reducing competition in a competitive market.
887. Spencer Brown, SAn Antonio, TX, 78209
Keep Net Neutrality. Require ISPs to treat all content the same regardless of source. ISPs are effectively the utility services of the 21st century and should be regulated as such.
888. Patricia G. Romero, San Antonio, TX, 78247
Please keep Title II exactly as written to insure real Network Neutrality.

Otherwise, the American people will feel the effects when Corporations are able to manipulate internet speeds etc. as they feel inclined. Let's keep all equal. And no I don't believe corporations will be unbiased as already seen years ago with the Google Wallet vs ISIS incident. Thank you.

889. Mandeep Mangat, San Antonio, TX, 78232

Dear Mr Pai and the FCC, Net neutrality is an important feature of the internet that we need to maintain to ensure that there is no bias with regards to the content that we are seeing on the internet. It's foolish to think that we can trust cooperations (like ISPs) to behave in a manner that promotes net neutrality when they have their hands in so many different pots and are accountable to their shareholders only so of course they are going to want to push their content and slow others down. Hence, we need to have FCC regulation of ISPs' through Title II. Be smart Mr Pai. Corporations do not have our best interests at heart.

890. Stephanie Darnell, Austin, TX, 78705

I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It is important that all small businesses aren't squashed by 'fast lanes' for the big guys, which just amount to slowing others down so they look better. In today's world, the internet is all but required for paying bills, school assignments, and maintaining relationships. Please, protect net neutrality.

891. Jacob Burnside, Austin, TX, 78741

We support strong net neutrality. Do not mess with our internet.

892. James Wright E, San Antonio, TX, 78213

Stop trying to destroy the internet. We need protection from major corporations, not someone to hand everything to them. Do your jobs.

893. David Ryther, Austin, TX, 78704

Please reject the proposal to let ISPs self police. Don't let the Foxes guard the hen house! The only way to ensure a competitive marketplace and the assurance of 1st Amendment rights is for the FCC to directly regulate. Keep the internet open for everyone.

894. Douglas Lyon, Manchace, TX, 78652

I want to see internet neutrality preserved under Title II.

895. Gunnar Snyder, Austin, TX, 78704

I strongly support Net Neutrality rules and regulations backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

896. Anna McCormick, New Braunfels, TX, 78130

We deserve a free and open internet! An open internet provides a platform that encourages innovation. The internet was created to be valuable and helpful to

ALL humans, not just business owners and stakeholders. An open internet ensures we have diversity and all inputs, cultures, and environments. Include everyone yall. Communication doesn't need to be harder than it already is.

897. Mel Oakes, Austin, TX, 78704

Net neutrality is the life blood of the internet. We must stay with Title II.

898. James Thomas Battle, Austin, TX, 78749

I am a 53 year old man, professionally an electrical engineer who currently works at an innovative Fortune 500 tech company. I used the internet for years before the WWW existed, and have participated in its growth all that time. I spent 20 years in silicon valley and was an employee in a number of start up companies. Weakening the protections of net neutrality would be a loss to my personally and professionally, and it would act to reduce the opportunity for innovation in the internet marketplace of ideas, something our economy is increasingly reliant on. Finally, I'm a voter, and I have a long memory.

899. Christine Binkley, San Antonio, TX, 78240

Net neutrality is very important to me as an American citizen. Please uphold the net neutrality laws and title II. Thank you for your careful consideration of this issue and what the alternative will mean for American citizens.

900. Kirk Lockhart, Manchaca, TX, 78652

Please keep and continue strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

901. Whitney Wiseman, Austin, TX, 78703

I urge you to not weaken current net neutrality rules that would prevent internet service providers from favoring certain sites by intentionally speeding or slowing down user access. I believe that internet providers and governments should treat all data on the internet equally, not discriminating or charging differently by users, contents, site, platform, app or type of equipment! Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

902. Anders Nelson, San Antonio, TX, 78240

If we lose net neutrality, I have little to no hope for a democratic future in our country and around the globe. un-biased access to information is the only hope we have for a truly free society. It sounds cliché, but it couldn't be more true. Once money starts deciding "what people see and know" then we can't expect the money to look out for anything but its own best interests. The act of providing internet services should be a conduit for information, not a billboard for marketing.

903. Christopher Campbell Clack, Kerrville, TX, 78028

I support strong net neutrality. & John Oliver, need more peeps like that giving

us the heads up on you sneaksters. (sorry if non-sneakster is reading this;)

904. Kunal Khanna, Austin, TX, 78701

I very strongly support net neutrality. I demand that you preserve net neutrality and Title 2. I find it repulsive that in America - the land of freedom - we are changing the rules to limit our freedom of thought. I am shocked that the FCC wants to make it possible for ISP's to curtail certain pieces of information against the will of the American citizen. Each and every American should have equal access to all information. Please don't do this - you're better than this.

905. Douglas Hickman, Austin, TX, 78747

I want Net Neutrality rules. The needs of the citizens of this country should come before the needs of a select group of large corporations.

906. Roman Von Hapsburg, Austin, TX, 78748

Any legislation with the potential to restrict or impede Internet neutrality in its current form will give a few stake holders the ability to restrict access to information or media by decreasing bandwidth to these sites. By default, this sort of strategy could also be used to route traffic to media and information that would result in economic, political or religious benefits to these stake holders. Keep the internet neutral! Your own freedom depends on it!

907. Brandon Carr, Austin, TX, 78741

Removing strong language preventing the favoring of some website traffic over others is an attack on american innovation.I support net neutrality.

908. 17-108, San Antonio, TX, 78209

I am NOT a robot, but the FCC Chairman seems to be a puppet of his once (and likely, future) employer, Verizon. Internet service providers should be classified as common carriers. If this requires them to be in Title 2, so be it.

909. Jon Howard, Austin, TX, 78749

Government-enforced net neutrality is critical. The market will NOT regulate itself.

910. Mathew Latteo, San Marcos, TX, 78666

It is imparative for ISPs to be classified as common carriers under Title II in order to maintain the free marketplace of ideas and information that has been the Internet. Allowing ISPs to control choice of and access to information and entertainment would be bad for consumers.

911. Anna Lisa Chavana, San Antonio, TX, 78216

Do NOT take away net neutrality!!!!

912. Holly Norman, Austin, TX, 78749

I'm writing to encourage the FCC to keep title II designation in place for all ISP providers and for the FCC to recognize the need for net neutrality to be regulated! Keep ISPs under regulation to ensure net neutrality for consumers and to reduce the chance that for-profit companies will restrict content of other ISP, restricts consumer choice.

913. Jessica Buck, Austin, TX, 78703
Internet freedom is important - I support Net Neutrality!
914. Jeremy Benedik, Austin, TX, 78641
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 Oversight of ISPs. Please act in accordance with the wishes of your constituency.
915. Deborah Sours, Austin, TX, 78748
I do not wish to have any roll-back of the net neutrality rules. The idea that the businesses can regulate themselves is laughable. It will not stifle innovation nor does it constitute government overreach - it will hamstring digital access. It will prioritize web contents. Keep the rules as they are and maintain ISPs as Title II regulated entities.
916. Joy Gooden, Austin, TX, 78703
I support net neutrality and Title 2 oversight and I HOPE YOU WILL ALSO!
Joy
917. Michael Blake LaRue, San Antonio, TX, 78247
I am very concerned that the FCC is looking for the telecom to regulate themselves. There are several examples of cable companies extorting content providers and using their ability to limit bandwidth to impact consumers. I would like Net Neutrality to continue to be a mechanism the FCC uses to regulate broadband providers under Title 2. Please do not allow these corporations to influence the FCC and ultimately control our access to content providers. Thank you,
918. James Ash, Austin, TX, 78748
I believe that it is the responsibility of the government to keep internet access fair for all of its citizens. Allowing ISPs to prioritize access for profit will hurt small business and stifle the innovation that makes the internet great. Please do not enact such policies.
919. Susan Kirby, San Marcos, TX, 78666
Do the right thing for the country, not just the powerful cable companies. Please protect net neutrality and Title 2 regulations.
920. Zachary Phillip Brailsford, Austin, TX, 78741
I HIGHLY HIGHLY HIGHLY support Net Neutrality under Title II

regulations, as any other might cause an allowance of misconduct from the likes of wealthy companies who might wish to screw me out of my service and into theirs. Please please please do not move Net Neutrality under a different legal framework, as Title II, under which the ISP's currently reside, is very strong and is exactly what we, as a people, need for a free and open internet for all.

921. Ellen Wiseman, Austin, TX, 78748

Big ISPs should not be given the opportunity to legally decide for consumers which content providers get the most bandwidth. Consumers (paying customers) need to have the freedom to choose the content they want. Equal bandwidth for large and small providers.

922. Jason Hatchett, Austin, TX, 78704

As a software developer who one day aspires to start my own business through the web, I find net neutrality of particular importance to keeping a level playing field for new business wanting to connect with others over the web. Keep it competitive and support new business! For this reason I strongly support Net Neutrality, as put forth in Title II.

923. Thomas Daugherty, Austin, TX, 78745

Keeping ISPs as title II utilities is the only way to insure that a free and open internet fosters new startups and innovation. To change it to any other classification would be felt for generations as ISPs set up a world of pay for access.

924. Daniel Ferguson, Austin, TX, 78704

I support keeping ISPs as Title 2 utility

925. Bradley Jason Clymer, Austin, TX, 78748

I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. There is no evidence to support that net neutrality regulations hamper infrastructure development, however there is ample evidence to support that, given the opportunity, private ISPs will support their shareholders over citizens; they are not utilities, they are not elected, and so the only apparatus mitigating profiteering is regulation. That is why we need title II oversight.

926. Tara, Austin, TX, 78749

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. You should too for the betterment of our ENTIRE nation.

927. Michael King, New Braunfels, TX, 78132

I oppose this proceeding. I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers.

928. Steve Berry, Austin, TX, 78735
The open internet has fueled innovation and disruption by creating a level playing field for the transfer of data across the world. This is too important to jeopardize by allowing the business objectives of infrastructure owners to determine winners and losers in the overall information economy. Internet access is now more critical than access to any other communication medium. The FCC is tasked with looking out for the interests of all Americans and should continue to regulate internet applications under Title II of the Telecommunications act. Steve Berry Austin, TX
929. Zoe Crabtree, austin, TX, 78745
Please protect Net Neutrality. Keep ISPs under Title 2. Thank you.
930. Jason, Austin, TX, 78735
Please keep net neutrality and title II in place, no need to change this at all.
931. Logan Nelson, Austin, TX, 78735
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers (ISPs).
932. Free, Austin, TX, 78705
Hey Pai you fuck, don't ruin the internet. Fuck you, you fucking piece of shit. Don't do this if you have a brain unlike that Orange Menace in office. Also fuck off bitch, no one likes you. Go back to Pakistan you terrorist looking mother fucker.
933. Austin Miller, San Antonio, TX, 78232
I strongly oppose this proceeding. I support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers.
934. Charlie Dornsife, Austin, TX, 78704
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Opposed to docket No. 17-108 (And how about adding a CAPTCHA to this for form) I am a human being
935. Michael Melton, Austin, TX, 78705
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Not all regulation is bad, especially in this case.
936. Ryan Pajares, austin, TX, 78704
please preserve net neutrality and keep ISPs as a class II The world is moving fast, America can't keep letting the world slip ahead of us.
937. Maryann Long, Austin, TX, 78704
I support net neutrality. I ask that you preserve net neutrality and Title 2.

938. Shane Chambers, Austin, TX, 78745
Please keep Internet Neutrality rules strong, and under Title II.
939. Aaron McGarry, Austin, TX, 78704
I support net neutrality and title II. PLEASE KEEP STRONG NET NEUTRALITY LAWS. Removing them is insanity.
940. James Hughes, San Antonio, TX, 78247
I firmly support strong net neutrality rules backed by title II classification.
941. Trinity Aikens, Austin, TX, 78745
This regulation in my opinion is one of the most dangerous things facing our nation but also people all over the world. Information is one of the most powerful things that exists and and potential barriers to that is a danger to all. I truly believe our government in particular is supposed to stand for opportunity but this initiative in in direct conflict with that ideal. Net neutrality is one of the most important issues for myself and those that I know and telecommunications companies have proven time and time again that they are incapable of regulating themselves. It is the governments role to ensure a free market where healthy competition improves the quality of living for all.Please do the right thing.
942. David McGuff, Kerrville, TX, 78028
I vehemently oppose the attempt to change or alter the legal branding of ISPs from Title II to Title I. I support net neutrality and am against any and all changes to deregulate the industry. Your claims are false and will hurt the democratic freedom of the internet and the many who use it. If you claim to support the free market and fairness you will stop these proceedings and the poor, misguided attempt to reframe them as anything else but pandering to business interests of the oligarchy of ISPs at the top.
943. Jody Zemel, Austin, TX, 78704
Support strong "Net Neutrality" backed by Title II oversight of ISP's
944. Enrique H Trejo, Austin, TX, 78704
Don't stick it to the little guy! Just because I don't have deep pockets shouldn't mean I should just take it and get pushed around. This proposal to eliminate net neutrality is stupid. Unless you are a rich guy who wants to play favorites and can charge extra for special privileges. Someone needs to be on the consumers' side and not always side with the large companies! Help! We need help!
945. Sarah Kalmbach, Austin, TX, 78701
Keep OUR Internet free and open. Without these rules ISPs would be free of the regulations that disallow messing with services that are currently publicly available by slowing them down considerably or even make them inaccessible in order to push their own agenda. Network Neutrality is extremely important

for us to live in a world where the internet is open and losing these regulations has potential to put the Internet into a political state and even enable forms of censorship depending on the ISP and agenda that they would like to push. Please don't allow the current administration to take away our greatest source of open information in order to incentivize, monetize, and oppress the ideas of the people expressed in our currently open Internet world.

946. Diana Winters, San Antonio, TX, 78209
We must preserve net neutrality and Title II. You have become a government of social Darwinist monsters and I won't have it
947. Ram M, Austin, TX, 78705
I support net neutrality under TITLE II
948. Dale, Austin, TX, 78735
I am in full support for strong net neutrality and Title II
949. Luke Holland, San Antonio, TX, 78209
protect net neutrality under title II
950. Geoffrey T. Cherer, San Antonio, TX, 78247
A former Verizon employee should remove himself from decisions that could favor that company,'s agenda especially if he is eligible to be re-hired or otherwise rewarded. DO NOT CHANGE TITLE 2 STATUS - KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!!
951. Steven Acri, San Antonio, TX, 78258
Keep the internet as title 2, I am a disabled American who works from home and relies on a free and open internet guarded by the government. We are Americans, we don't do things because they are easy, we do them because they are right, and keeping the internet as title 2 is whats right.
952. Jeff Anderson, San Antonio, TX, 78258
Net neutrality is beyond important for the American consumer and needs to be preserved and improved, not torn apart.
953. Ari Frankel, Austin, TX, 78704
I support the enforcement of Net Neutrality through the enforcement of Title II regulations for ISPs and the internet services they provide. It is absurd to me to expect ISPs to voluntary limit themselves from anti-competitive behavior that is ultimately harmful to all users of the internet, myself - a professional web developer at a start up - included.
954. Eduardo Barrera, Austin, TX, 78748
I support a truly free and open Internet. In order for that to remain a reality

Internet service providers must remain classified under Title II. Allowing ISPs to self govern is a reckless decision and it provides ISPs a form or prejudice not afforded to other utility companies. Other utilities such as water and electric cannot throttle the amount of service they provide to different co-ops and municipalities. The FCC cannot and should not reclassify ISPs.

955. Restoring, Austin, TX, 78749

I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Don't change anything!

956. Meetal Bhamre, Austin, TX, 78704

Net Neutrality is a right of every free citizen in an open democracy. It is essential that every website/service on the internet gets a fair share of treatment. Also, it equally imperative to pay attention to privacy and make sure ISPs are not violating basic privacy of users by selling and profiting from the user's browsing data. Please stop US from becoming China. Support Net Neutrality and Privacy rights of our citizens.

957. Christine Camack, San Antonio, TX, 78240

I stand for net neutrality, and I oppose Docket 17-108.

958. Dimas Gonzales, San Antonio, TX, 78240

Keep the internet fair and open.. I'm in favor of Title II net neutrality

959. Balaji Sridharan, Austin, TX, 78748

Hey Ajay Pai, I support strong net neutrality laws, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. - Balaji

960. Tiffany Han, Austin, TX, 78701

Please preserve net neutrality! It is important that we do not give corporations more opportunities to take advantage of and abuse the users that pay for their services all for the sake of making money.

961. K, Austin, TX, 78745

Please require net neutrality to be maintained.

962. Ian, Austin, TX, 78705

Please leave Net Neutrality alone. Everyone is already happy with how the internet is. There is no need the change how internet service is provided. Everybody benefits from the current system. Don't fix what isn't broken.

963. John Sutton, Austin, TX, 78704

I believe Net Neutrality rules should stand as they are without repeal or significant changes for the time being. Though some believe neutrality rules aren't an issue or that companies will not make it an issue that requires

significant regulation and enforcement in the future, drawing strict guidance now will stave off any problems that could come up as an issue in the future. Net Neutrality rules as they are now are a statement about what the internet should be and what we stand for in America as much as they are a regulation. There are many laws and regulations that we currently uphold that we would like to think shouldn't be required. In those cases they are necessary as an overall statement about what we stand for.

964. Walt Jenkins, Austin, TX, 78745

I am in favor of net neutrality and against any ISP having the potential to have an affect on any other's performance. I also object to the FCC's reported investigation into Stephan Colbert's remarks with regards to Trump/Putin. Although they were offensive the remarks were well within the limits of free speech guaranteed in the First Amendment.

965. Gregory Chu, Austin, TX, 78705

This proposal is horrible for Innovation, the American Consumer, and the American Economy. Title II regulation protects consumers and small online businesses from anti-competitive practices by large corporations and ISP's including Paid Prioritization, and Selective Access to certain websites. The repeal of such regulation will result in higher costs for consumers to access content online and decreased innovation because new small businesses will not be able to compete with larger established firms online. It makes neither economic or ethical sense to allow large corporations to profit at the expense of the American consumer and small businesses which are the backbone of the American economy.

966. zeidan, Austin, TX, 78704

I support keeping title II

967. Cameron Charette, Austin, TX, 78741

I am for a strong net neutrality. Please don't ruin the internet. Thanks.

968. David Early, Austin, TX, 78748

I'm expressing concern regarding the preservation of net neutrality. Net neutrality is the internet's guiding principle and preserves our right to communicate freely online. I oppose any reforms that allow telecommunications companies to prevent the flow of information access on the internet.

969. Sallie Burchett, Austin, TX, 78704

Please do everything you can to support net neutrality.

970. Alex Gurasich, Austin, TX, 78735

I am in support of a strong Title II standing, in support of a strong net neutrality. It is in the greater interest of fair business to have net neutrality in order to

ensure a lack of a monopoly.

971. David Ames, Bandera, TX, 78003
Please preserve net neutrality. My rates are high enough now, I don't want to pay more if you remove controls
972. Chris Clauss, Boerne, TX, 78006
I support strong net neutrality (Title II oversight of ISPs). Thank you.
973. Rey Shah, Austin, TX, 78705
Losing net neutrality would create barriers for a multitude of current and future creators on the internet. Additionally, we would be remiss to ignore the fact that commerce thrives on the internet and that removing net neutrality is decidedly anti-competitive.
974. Daniel Burton, Austin, TX, 78704
I am writing to voice my opposition to Ajit Pai's new plan to reverse Title II categorization for ISPs. Every website and online service should be equally accessible to all people. Net neutrality matters to all Americans.
975. Cody Cox, San Marcos, TX, 78666
I support strong net neutrality rules as created by Title II. Net neutrality matters to all Americans. Please do not go along with the FCC Chairman's misguided plan to abolish net neutrality.
976. John Wetjen, Austin, TX, 78701
I support regulating ISPs under Title 2 regulation and hope the FCC does not diminish its regulation of ISPs.
977. Billi Jene Teague, Austin, TX, 78745
We must preserve net neutrality and Title 2. It is unacceptable to leave this in the hands of corporations.
978. Roberta Ambrosino, San Antonio, TX, 78230
It is critical to preserve net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. My work with training for healthcare providers depends on strong confidence in the internet infrastructure.
979. Justin M Loveless, New Braunfels, TX, 78130
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by title 2 enforcement.
980. Jackie, Austin, TX, 78748
Net Neutrality is not something to be taken lightly nor to be misunderstood. Without Title II the foxes will be guarding the hen house. Believing that the large players in the internet space will police themselves is delusional. Use both

your backbone and your intellect to support Net Neutrality.

981. Net Nuetrality, Bulverde, TX, 78163
I specifically support strong net nuetrality, backed by Title II oversight by ISP's
982. sal silinonte, Austin, TX, 78741
We need to continue the net neutrality regulations set forth under the Obama administration. It's important. Preserve title 2.
983. Charles Bujan, Austin, TX, 78745
We need to protect net neutrality, and keeping service providers like Verizon under the title 2 label is curcial to insuring that net neutrality is protected and enforced.
984. Mary Grace Chang, Kerrville, TX, 78028
I strongly support NET NEUTRALITY! TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISPs, AJIT PAI!
985. net nuetrality, austin, TX, 78735
It is essential that you preserve NET NEUTRALITY and TITLE II in order to keep the internet free from corporate greed and to not slow the rate of increase in the world's standard of living as well as its ability to speak freely
986. Nathan Nunn, Austin, TX, 78745
I am writing to express my support for strong Net Neutrality, and in maintaining Title II status for Internet service providers. I have worked professionally in technology for over 25 years, and am owner/operator of a small business which would very likely be negatively impacted by changes to these rules. Thank you for your time.
987. Brian Rutledge, Sonja Rutledge, Austin, TX, 78701
Please do not revise the FCC Net Neutrality Rules as they have NOT impacted ISPs in any negative way and hold them accountable to an open, free, level-playing-field internet!!!
988. E, Buda, TX, 78610
We Support net neutrality and Title 2 classification.
989. K. Reed, San Marcos, TX, 78666
I support strong net neutrality. Please do not F this up. It's ridiculous to think that I'm having to do this in 2017.
990. chris bilheimer, austin, TX, 78704
I am writing to implore you to preserve net neutrality and keep it files under Title II. I do not trust the telecom industry to monitor themselves and keep a

level playing field. This is incredibly important.

991. Geoffrey S. Gioja, Austin, TX, 78748

I wish to urge you to retain the stricter controls over standards and requirements for ISPs under Title II of the 1934 FCC Communications Control Act. Please understand that I am certain that many distinct and individual justifications for retaining ISP regulation under Title II exist, and rather than enumerating them all, I suggest that in principle, and now more than ever when conditions exist and continue to develop that justify the fear of losing human rights and freedoms that we, as American citizens rely upon for our livelihoods, liberties, and pursuits of happiness, that what is at stake is the boost to our democracy that is an outcome of keeping individual or group wealth from influencing private and public access to choice, a level competitive marketplace, and the success, failure, effectiveness, or reach of any individual, company, organization, group, or population.

992. Daniel Kermany, Austin, TX, 78705

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs (as would any internet user who understands what this implicates).

993. Michael J Hogan, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624

I am a technical manager, Internet application developer, and MBA degree recipient. I am disappointed and concerned with the attempt at reclassification. This is a clear step away from personal privacy towards wholesale customer online profiling.

994. ted, Austin, TX, 78704

Net neutrality is the only way to protect consumers from corporate conflicts of interest.

995. Robert, Austin, TX, 78704

Please protect and preserve net neutrality and title II. Thank you.

996. Cameron Harris, San Antonio, TX, 78258

I support a strong, equal, free internet that is in title 2! don't let pai let this happen.

997. Chris Gerben, Austin, TX, 78704

Keep Title II, keep Obama-era net neutrality.

998. Eric Reeves, Austin, TX, 78745

Net Neutrality is essential to our democracy and world standing. Tom Wheeler heeded the voice of citizens and put in place protections that would help keep the Internet open. I urge Ajit Pai not to make the mistake of undoing the progress of the previous administration. I urge the FCC to preserve the Title II

classification of internet service providers.

999. Daniel Pace, Austin, TX, 78704
I back strong net neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I really don't care about politics that much, but please don't F with my internet.
1000. Kate Smith, San Antonio, TX, 78216
We need to keep net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1001. ajit pai, Austin, TX, 78747
Stop FCC'n with the internet ðŸ™
1002. Ada Drozd, Austin, TX, 78735
Regulation of ISPs must remain under Title II in order to ensure fairness in access and utilization of the Internet among all Americans. To reduce the current protections will undoubtedly lead to corporate influence over the content that American citizens consume. Not only that, but it will greatly undermine competition among internet-reliant companies, which flies in the face of our free market economy. Please protect net neutrality.
1003. Nancy Nowak, San Antonio, TX, 78209
I am writing to express my support for the maintenance of net neutrality in FCC regulations and enforcement, and Title II oversight of ISPs. I am very concerned about any effort to ask ISPs to voluntarily treat all legal content equally. Consistent and strong enforcement by the FCC insures that all ISPs and providers play by the same rules; that market forces don't drive a provider to offer tempting incentives to ISPs to relax enforcement. Strong regulations and enforcement ensure a true marketplace for the ISPs...all operating within the same rules...and remove dangers that providers can use their economic and financial power to compromise net neutrality.
1004. Scott Finlay, Austin, TX, 78749
I support an open Internet, and more importantly, an Internet where the giant telecoms like Verizon and Comcast and Spectrum don't get to set their own rules. They want to squeeze out competition and retain their lazy monopoly, and I believe that there is no greater enabler of information and democracy than the open, UNFILTERED and UN-IMPUGNED access to the Internet that these so-called gatekeepers want to stifle and extinguish. Vote NO on Title 1 reclassification, and keep them under the thumb of Title 2.
1005. Sean Diehl, Austin, TX, 78748
The Internet is as critical to my ability to work as any other utility that powers the infrastructure of our economy. Net Neutrality ensures that access to this critical resource remains fair, especially given the severe lack of competition in most communities for high speed Internet service. Please maintain ISP's status

as Title II entities under the statute.

1006. Net neutrality, Boerne, TX, 78006
Keep Net Neutrality and Title 2. Keep markets free.
1007. Ryan S, San Antonio, TX, 78232
You must preserve Net Neutrality! Title 2 guarantees the internet stays open and un beholden to corporate greed.
1008. Joss Harrington, Austin, TX, 78704
Preserve net neutrality! Please!
1009. Jennifer Quaranta, Austin, TX, 78746
I'm writing to express my strong support of Net Neutrality. DON'T CHANGE IT!
1010. Kellie McLean, San Antonio, TX, 78258
I support Title 2. Net neutrality is vital for a free and open internet.
1011. Janet Kelso, New Braunfels, TX, 78130
Please preserve Net Neutrality. Internet is the most democratic of principles... it allows all to research, talk, meet with like minded people. Stop messing with our internet.
1012. Kristen Leiser, Austin, TX, 78741
I am in support of strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is important for shielding consumers from conflicts of interest and preventing ISPs from granting favor to the highest bidder.
1013. Jon Clack, San Marcos, TX, 78666
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II oversight of ISP's!
1014. Crista Beck, Austin, TX, 78704
I support net neutrality rules under title 2 and they should not be touched.
1015. Aisha Gayle Turner, Austin, TX, 78748
I am a long-time Verizon cellular customer; and we currently use Spectrum as an ISP. I am an attorney, and am concerned by the fact that net neutrality will be threatened if pulled from Title Two protections. Please keep ISPs "regulated" under Title Two. I want free and open access to the internet guaranteed by law. I do not want to rely on anything else.
1016. Jack Lakoski, austin, TX, 78735
I demand net neutrality backed by title 2.
1017. Robi Allen, San Antonio, TX, 78258

I strongly urge you to preserve strong net neutrality rules and Title II.

1018. Elizabeth Montgomery, San Antonio, TX, 78232
I am in favor of Title II protections for Net Neutrality, because:-Your conflict of interest, Verizon has preferentially throttled that harmed other companies-Ajit Pai, being legal counsel for Verizon, should be familiar with the lawsuit that Verizon raised against the FCC-Title II does not inhibit investment and innovation and protects competition-The claim that changing the legal footing of net neutrality rules will not endanger net neutrality is disingenuous.
1019. Winston, San Marcos, TX, 78666
I strongly support net neutrality, and wish to see Title II remain enforced. The growing inequality between people that has tainted other issues such as healthcare, race relations, and income cannot be allowed to seep into their access to the internet and its providers.
1020. Nick, Austin, TX, 78741
I FUCKING support Net Neutrality. Keep your Goddamn Mug out of this.
1021. George Roman, Austin, TX, 78748
I strongly support net neutrality Backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs!
1022. Shane Dillingham, Austin, TX, 78701
Investment is unaffected. Leave Net Neutrality alone.
1023. nathan, San Antonio, TX, 78234
Do not mess with net neutrality. We know your greedy game and we see your true colors, do not mess with it.
1024. Kacie Cardwell, Austin, TX, 78704
Preserve Net Neutrality and keep the Title II status!
1025. Brandon Clark, Austin, TX, 78704
Please preserve currently net neutrality rules and keep ISPs classified under title II
1026. Jackson Bradford, Austin, TX, 78704
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. The modern state of the internet as a near-necessity, in combination with its abstract and highly pliable (and therefore vulnerable to filtering/bias/etc.) form, sets it apart from the free-market approach applicable to most commodities. It is therefore of utmost importance that the internet be properly stewarded and free of bias in order to maintain the great diversity of accessible content we enjoy today.

1027. William Bassett, Austin, TX, 78703
The internet has become a utility and like other utilities it is in the best interest of all humanity to make it equally accessible to all and all of it accessible to everyone.
1028. Rock Bottom, San Antonio, TX, 78212
It is an act of utter corruption to even consider dropping Net Neutrality. Do not kill Net Neutrality. Keep Title 2. Please end this war against the American people. I implore you.
1029. Bryan Daigle, Austin, TX, 78704
Please keep net neutrality strong and leave it on Title II. It will hurt small businesses and help large businesses. (Also, I don't trust a regulator who used to work as a lawyer for the same company that sued the FCC to loosen even the Title I rules. C'mon, seriously?)
1030. August Comstock, Austin, TX, 78704
Please protect net neutrality and do not remove ISPs from Title 2.
1031. Leslie Wolff, Austin, TX, 78703
I'm in favor of preserving net neutrality and Title 2
1032. Angela Rodriguez, Austin, TX, 78749
I support strong net-neutrality and title 2 oversight.
1033. Moriah McCracken, Austin, TX, 78704
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISP™s. In fact, the internet should be regulated like a utility.
1034. Erin May, Austin, TX, 78745
Preserve net neutrality! Keep ISP's class II. Do NOT change their status.
1035. James Rossie, Austin, TX, 78746
I support Title 2 regulation of ISPs to maintain net neutrality.
1036. Michael Furrh, Spring Branch, TX, 78070
If there is financial gain for corporations in limiting their competition they will do it. This is not judgment this is just fact. Our government must protect the even playing field of the internet as infrastructure. We risk stagnation and homogenization as a culture.
1037. Deborah Mills, Leakey, TX, 78873
The internet, with all of its flaws and all of its freedom, is the only pure institution that is left on this earth. It must be allowed to flourish unfettered if humanity is to succeed.

1038. Felicia OSullivan, Austin, TX, 78733
I'm writing in support of the current net neutrality rules, with ISPs regulated under Title II. Changing these rules to "voluntary guidelines" is a ridiculous sellout to the large telecom carriers who have ALREADY shown that they can't be trusted to voluntarily adhere to the principles of a free and open internet -- throttling internet speeds and preferential treatment of their own (or affiliated) content would be just the start. Consumers weighed in with MILLIONS of comments in favor of the current protections in 2014 and 2015. It's appalling that the FCC would turn around and start to dismantle those guidelines for no credible reason.
1039. Ann Robbins, Austin, TX, 78704
Preserving net neutrality and Title 2 status are essential to preserving an open internet. No 'change in legal footing' is necessary or desirable.
1040. 1, Austin, TX, 78748
Preserve the neutrality and title II
1041. Erin, san antonio, TX, 78230
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs motherfucker
1042. Oliver Bernhardt, Austin, TX, 78704
Net neutrality, including title 2, is the utmost importance to American freedom and directly impacts US competitiveness internationally.
1043. Omar Aly, Austin, TX, 78705
Net neutrality is critical to my life as a student. Were ISPs able to charge extra for certain services, not only would they likely charge me extra to access academic journals, but they would also charge me for "heavy" downloads, like engineering software that is critical to my classes. In addition, they would likely restrict access to my university's VPN (to prevent VPNs from hiding traffic from fast/slow-lane controls), which would prevent me from accessing computing resources off-campus, as our remote desktop software requires the use of the university's VPN software. In addition, it would absolutely destroy the internet market near the university in Austin, wherein only 1 company (Grande) serves students who live off-campus, like me. While the fast-lane might improve service for some, as a student with a limited budget (much like most other students), removing net neutrality would make me pay more money that I don't have for a service that I need. In addition, ISPs have not acted in the favor of the American people. Due to them holding many geographical monopolies over the American public, they have no competition to prevent the blocking of certain websites. They have already acted in violation of net neutrality in New York, wherein certain types of service were disrupted via induced packet loss or speed throttling. Also, introducing a fast lane is not necessarily conducive to the free market. While larger companies such as

Google, Facebook, Twitter, and Netflix would largely be unaffected, other, smaller companies would not escape the same fate. This could cause these smaller companies and their jobs to go down. Moreover, introducing a fast lane for already-paid services would be anti-consumer at its core. It would cause people to pay not once, but twice for access to already-paid subscriptions, such as HBO-GO or Netflix. While the prices would not be charged twice, the companies paying to be included in the fastlane can and likely will increase prices to maintain their current profit margins. Finally, giving ISPs control over content would allow them to freely censor speech. If phone companies are not allowed to disconnect or charge more for calls due to the topic of the phone call, why should ISPs be allowed a similar privilege? Please keep the ISPs regulated. Thank you.

1044. Joe, Austin, TX, 78745

I am against the elimination of net neutrality. Please keep ISPs under Title II status.

1045. Adrienne Grubb, Ingram, TX, 78025

Mr. Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you, Adrienne Grubb

1046. Perry Tongate, Austin, TX, 78735

I support strong net neutrality under title two rules. Please do not turn over the internet to unregulated ISP's.

1047. John Griffiths, Austin, TX, 78745

Keep title ii and preserve my net neutrality. New head of FCC is a fool.

1048. Ray Nowak, San Antonio, TX, 78209

I am writing to state that I expect the FCC to maintain the current Level II governance of ISPs as a common carrier so as to insure net neutrality. To compromise net neutrality by mouthing platitudes about "over zealous regulation" and/or to think that by asking ISPs to "voluntarily" maintain net neutrality that they will do so is so disingenuous as to border on contemptible. The FCC was established in 1934 and the plain language of its establishment clause makes it clear that it is to act so as to make available to ALL the people efficient and fair telecommunication service. Variable pricing levels/tiered service is nothing but price discrimination and violates the very principles for which the FCC is created. I expect the FCC to act on MY behalf in maintaining net neutrality, and not as some "tool" of corporate broadband operators.

1049. Janet Beaver, Austin, TX, 78704

You must preserve Net Neutrality and Title II!

1050. Geoff Grubb, Ingram, TX, 78025

Chairman Pai,I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you for your attention to this important matter. I look forward your thoughtful reply.Thank you,Geoff Grubb

1051. Michael B. Morehead, San Antonio, TX, 78261
Please do not repeal net neutrality rules. I urge the FCC to keep the Title II Net Neutrality rules as they currently stand.
1052. Jake Wright, Austin, TX, 78705
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. This is important.
1053. Samuel Marshall, New Braunfels, TX, 78132
If net neutrality is gone, the internet may disappear as we know it.
1054. Brent Bockholt, Austin, TX, 78703
Net neutrality is one of the most important regulations of our time.
1055. Stanford Fleming, Buda, TX, 78610
Preserve net neutrality and title 2 now!!!!
1056. Connie, Austin, TX, 78736
Support Net Neutrality under title 2.
1057. John Webb, Austin, TX, 78703
I **strongly** urge you to maintain the current "Net Neutrality" standards/laws. Please DO NOT allow the monopolistic cable companies affect the flow of Internet data. All carriers should act as COMMON CARRIERS.
1058. Jonathan Joyce, Austin, TX, 78746
ARE YALL THAT FUCKING DENSE? REALLY YOU FORMER FUCKING VERIZON PAWN? KEEP THE GOD DAMN NET NEUTRALITY. Ajit Pai, how can you actually sleep at night while literal shit flows from you mouth? Do you just not get it? Next time you take that fucking giant ass Reese's Peanut Butter cup mug to your lips and suck on down on a giant gulp of shit, remember what your doing to the future. Listen to the actual PEOPLE, not the ca\$h stuffed into your gaping asshole. Give us net neutrality or give us death. Fin.P.s. Please, please, just be better.
1059. James McCuen, Austin, TX, 78704
I would like to express that I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you.
1060. Kara Sutherlin, Austin, TX, 78745
I am OPPOSED to changing the rules of the FCC with regards to ISP's and internet providers!

1061. Philip Arno, Austin, TX, 78748
The Internet should have fair accessibility and not be controlled by wealthy businesses.
1062. robert eggert, Austin, TX, 78704
I support net neutrality because I support free speech. The latter cant exist without the former
1063. ROBERT J MOUTON, Austin, TX, 78748
Please support net neutrality, ISPs and lastmile carriers shouldn't bandwidth throttle, especially based on funds raised through advertising or promotion.
Thank you. Robert Mouton
1064. Chris Turman, Austin, TX, 78739
My work and lively hood depends on my internet speed. Please don't consider moving back to the world where we let the provider I pay every month decided what data is important for me to see.
1065. Ainsley Rose, Austin, TX, 78704
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Keep the internet fair and open!
1066. Alejandra Valdez, San Antonio, TX, 78232
I want to ask you to keep title 2 and maintain internet neutrality. Anything else would be catastrophic ! Please don't give in to the bullshit!!
1067. Jeffrey Spencer, Boerne, TX, 78006
I support strong enforcement of internet neutrality provided for in Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934 and insist that the FCC cease and desist in its intentions to repeal this rule. I will be contacting my representatives in Congress and the U.S. Senate to insist that they oppose any measure proposed to weaken the current regulations.
1068. Melissa, san marcos, TX, 78666
I stand behind net neutrality
1069. phillip morrison, Austin, TX, 78745
Don not change FCC rules with regard to isp's or internet providers.
1070. Ryan Gentry, Austin, TX, 78704
Removing the Title II classification of ISPs is a big government give away to corrupt, terribly unpopular companies like Comcast and Verizon with government granted monopolies. In 2017, the Internet is a utility for vast numbers of people, and access to it should be treated like one, not left up to the whims of shady corporate actors. Keep the Internet open and free for all that

connect to it.

1071. Antonio Duran, New Braunfels, TX, 78130
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Do not remove title II, and or eliminate the protection it provides against ISPs.
1072. Robert Norman, San Marcos, TX, 78666
Preserve title II oversight of ISPs!
1073. Nick Bruskewitz, austin, TX, 78704
I would like to express my feelings toward net neutrality - we must keep net neutrality under title 2 and keep ISP's from having the opportunity to control our internet use. Do the right thing FCC. Thank you.
1074. Benjamin Keeler, Spring branch, TX, 78070
I support net neutrality and would not like the regulations to change.
1075. Jonathan Lofton, Austin, TX, 78745
It is imperative that the FCC does not roll back net neutrality and continue to enforce title 2.
1076. Matthew Canon Dodds III, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II in place!!!!!!!!!!
1077. Morbilim, San Antonio, TX, 78216
FacebookTwitterRedditEmailPrintMyth: Net Neutrality would, for the first time, regulate the Internet. FACT: Massive innovation on the Internet since its creation occurred under pre-2005 Net Neutrality protection. Until last year's Supreme Court Brand X decision, telephone and cable based Internet operators were required to make Internet service "available on nondiscriminatory terms and conditions to all comers." Net Neutrality simply restores long-standing prior law.MYTH: Net Neutrality is a solution in search of a problem.FACT: There are numerous examples of network providers engaging in content or user discrimination that will only grow without Net Neutrality. This year, Time Warner's AOL blocked all emails that mentioned www.dearaol.com, an advocacy campaign opposing AOL's pay-to-send e-mail scheme. Similarly, BellSouth has blocked its customers' access to MySpace.com in Tennessee and Florida. Net discrimination is real and happening every day.MYTH: Net Neutrality will stifle innovation on the Internet.FACT: Today's vibrant Internet economy resulted from Net Neutrality protection during the Internet's infancy. Net Neutrality spurred innovation and commerce by preventing large companies from leveraging market power to stifle competition from smaller, dynamic web innovators.MYTH: Network operators are protecting consumers.FACT: Like any monopoly or oligopoly, network operators want to maximize their profits and minimize competition at the consumer's expense. Network providers

opposed to Net Neutrality such as AT&T, BellSouth, Comcast, Sprint, Time Warner, and Verizon have already shown they cannot be trusted to self-regulate in the interest of consumers in either content or cost.

1078. Zach Anderson, Austin, TX, 78704

I support strong net neutrality and title II regulations. Please have a soul.

1079. Michael Lefkowitz, Austin, TX, 78704

To whom it may concern: Net neutrality is fundamental to both free speech and open competition. Freedom of speech is ensured by disallowing ISPs from throttling or blocking media outlets that they dislike. Without this stipulation, ISPs will be encouraged by market forces to effectively censor sites that host content critical of them. This could be as simple as throttling a critical YouTube video or as shameful as blocking an entire news outlet. Open competition is ensured by disallowing ISPs from throttling or blocking competitor's services. If there is a video service that I would like to subscribe to, my ISP should not be allowed to throttle or block that content simply due to that site's competition with my ISP's offering. This effectively allows the ISP to define who competes when they do, which is antithetical to an open marketplace. Additionally, ISPs exempting their own services (or those of paying partners) from data caps is a form of subsidy that distorts competition in an unfair way. If I would like to watch 4k-quality content, I would be unfairly punished for choosing a third-party service as opposed to my ISP's offering if they exempt their own from a data cap. Open competition requires that competitors are able to provide their services without monopolistic actions being taken to encourage (or force) customers into one service over another. A lack of nation-wide net neutrality rules limits competition because it could make non-ISP offerings cost-prohibitive. In a nation with as little broadband competition as the US has, many people don't have the option to change ISPs, let alone to an ISP with a similar download/upload speed. I hope someone reads these words and understands the implications of the actions being taken by the FCC. If rules that maintain net neutrality can be implemented outside of Title II, then go ahead. If they can not, then dropping ISPs from Title II could be very detrimental to the development of the internet. Revoking net neutrality rules only provides freedom to ISPs; not to the companies who provide services online and not to the American taxpayer.

1080. jessica goulet, San Antonio, TX, 78259

Preserve net neutrality and title II
Do not limit access to an open internet.
Preserve access to unbiased flow of information
This only benefits every individual in this country and should be considered a bipartisan win

1081. Jennifer Paige, Austin, TX, 78701

PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE TWO!!!!!!!!!!

1082. Megan Roper, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133

Please preserve net neutrality. It's vital to freedom of information and our ability to access it.

1083. Sarah Marsh, Austin, TX, 78704
Don't let them buy us. The internet is the penultimate achievement of mankind, providing a world of knowledge that would have previously been closed to many people. Maintain net neutrality and a FREE and OPEN internet for everyone!
1084. Mary Hannah Duhon, Austin, TX, 78745
To whom it may concern:I am writing to express my wish that the FCC maintain the current regulatory rules concerning net neutrality. Please do not give the ISPs free reign to pick the winners and losers of the internet. -Mary Hannah Duhon
1085. WILLIAM LOWRIE, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78240
Please keep title 2 classification in place
1086. Sharon Drinkwine, Sunset Valley, TX, 78745
I support absolute net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1087. Jennifer, Austin, TX, 78703
Please keep enforcing Title 2. Deregulation is not the way to go with something as important as internet access.
1088. Phillip Long, Austin, TX, 78704
Preservation of net neutrality is an essential step in enabling new startup ventures and small businesses to fairly compete with the carriers who own the network infrastructure. We don't need or want digital robber barrons imposing their selfish oreferences for what content you see at what speed.
1089. Ryan Mullan, Austin, TX, 78749
A free and fair internet that gives all participants an equal footing is one of the most important rights of the modern age. Any threat to that neutrality is at best short-sighted and ignorant, and a worst corrupt and malicious. Please do the right thing and keep the true spirit of "NET NEUTRALITY" in place as it was intended (and under the laws passed by President Obama), and do not give in to partisan politics. Slim margins in winning short term offices DO NOT make this a public mandate (after all, Trump couldn't even win the popular vote).
1090. JL Shockey, Austin, TX, 78746
Net neutrality is super important! please don't put the interest of share holders of your former company in front of the American people you now serve.
1091. John Brickley, Austin, TX, 78745

I want to urge Ajit Pai and the FCC to protect net neutrality by keeping the ISPs classified as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Ensuring that the flow of data is determined by the interests of Internet users without restriction by the Internet Service Providers is of the utmost importance to maintaining an open internet.

1092. michael tashnick, Austin, TX, 78703

Please save net neutrality and preserve title 2. Don't let the big isps screw over all their customers. Please listen to the majority of the citizens of this great country who are weighing in here and not the giant companies and their pawns who are just out for money and power and themselves. Do what's right. Thank you

1093. Mitch, Austin, TX, 78705

I SUPPORT strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's! Access to the internet should be equal for everyone, regardless of money.

1094. laura, Austin, TX, 78741

Please uphold Title II of the Net Neutrality clause as it allows for open and fair access to the internet content of someone's choice without the possibility of internet providers using unfair practices such as slowing down the internet speed of competitors. Instead of doing away with Title II. let us amend and expand where necessary so that both corporate giants and relative newcomers have the possibility to grow and thrive in the digital realm equally and allow customers to retain a choice in their content consumption without fear of interference by large corporations. It's extremely important that the Internet remain an open, fair, EQUAL and free place of content and information without the interference of corporate interests. Do the right thing. Thank you!

1095. Martin Fischer, Austin, TX, 78704

It is of the utmost importance to keep strong net neutrality rules in place!

1096. Orion Martins, Austin, TX, 78741

Dear FCC, Please preserve net neutrality, so that the ever growing influence in our lives can support something as virtuous as our culture instead of allowing it to be another capital playing field. In order to protect this endeavor, I implore you to keep all ISP's under the category Title 2.

1097. Taylor Schmidt, Austin, TX, 78705

Leave Title II oversight of ISPs. Leave net neutrality

1098. stop, San Marcos, TX, 78666

Do not take away the regulations regarding title 2!!! Preserve net neutrality!!!!!!
Do not let big companies take over the internet!

1099. William Peck, San Antonio, TX, 78209
I support strong net neutrality based in article 2
1100. Nathan Pena, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Keep net neutrality and title II the way it is. Changing can monopolize major internet providers which is completely wrong.
1101. Jonas Weigert, Austin, TX, 78701
To ensure that startups and tech companies can continue to compete with the establishment, we must preserve net neutrality by ensuring that ISPs remain classified under Title 1 AND Title 2. ISPs now barely deliver the speed they sell (it says so in their terms of service) and they should never have the ability to make more money by threatening to throttle speeds for smaller businesses. That is not a business, that's an extortion racket.
1102. Chelsea Weyen, San Antonio, TX, 78230
I would like to go on record stating that I support strong net neutrality regulations backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
1103. Maggie Wilhite, Austin, TX, 78745
I support net neutrality and Title II! Protect the rights of citizens, not the ISPs!
1104. William Marsden, Austin, TX, 78748
Internet Service Providers should remain under Title II.
1105. David Gignac, Austin, TX, 78736
I am in favor of strong net neutrality laws and title 2 over sight classification. I don't think having an ex Verizon lawyer, Ajit Pai, as FCC chair is ethical. It's bad politics like this that give me pause when asked about the virtues of American Democracy.
1106. Harvey Acosta, New Braunfels, TX, 78130
Keep Title II as it is, because it is the last right we have left and the only way to express our point of view. Thanks
1107. Lina E. Belardo, New Braunfels, TX, 78130
Title II ensures we keep, what I believe, is the last shred of freedom left in this country: the freedom of speech. This self-proclaimed land of the free is getting less and less to be an example of what free speech is to the rest of the world.
1108. Daniel Crowe, Austin, TX, 78704
I strongly support Net Neutrality. The internet is not a utility.
1109. Jennifer Hoskins, Austin, TX, 78704
We support Title II Net Neutrality. Please protect the consumers and keep the

title in place. We need competition and its far too common that we don't have it when it comes to media providers. Please keep the title in place so that we can count on at least one aspect being open. Thank you, Jennifer Hoskins and Cameron Kalka

1110. Sheila Dunn, San Antonio, TX, 78232
I support maintaining net neutrality and regulation of ISPs under Title 2
1111. brian fryer, Austin, TX, 78701
As a technology professional working on Internet-related businesses, I find it alarming that the FCC is considering so strongly repeals for net neutrality. ISPs should not, under any circumstances, be allowed to give preferential treatment to traffic from one source va another. Please, do not remove the regulations on ISPs that are already in place. Rather, look to enact more forward-thinking rules that are consumer focused. Thank you for your consideration.
1112. Elizabeth Bermel, San Antonio, TX, 78216
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. This is a key part of having a functioning modern democracy.
1113. Glenn Washburn, Austin, TX, 78704
We the people of the United States need you the FCC to preserve "net neutrality" and Title II status for ISPs. The internet has become a key part of our economy. By allowing the service providers to stifle competition you threaten the dynamism of the internet economy, thus weakening a key strategic advantage of the United States.
1114. Mark Mastrangelo, Austin, TX, 78704
I support the classification of ISP under title 2. I also support strong net neutrality rules.
1115. stacy gomez, Austin, TX, 78704
I support Net Neutrality and that the internet should remain under Title 2 regulations. Do not hand this over to corporate interest!
1116. Mitchell Boren, San Marcos, TX, 78666
It is very important that we keep ISPs classified under Title II. Title II classification protects not only online competition and innovation, but free speech, privacy and the delivery of content without interference by monopolistic ISPs.
1117. Ashley Walton, Austin, TX, 78704
I'm writing to urge you to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Thank you!

1118. Christina Purcell, Austin, TX, 78745
I fully support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's!
1119. Hailey Bartholomew, Austin, TX, 78705
I explicitly support Net Neutrality backed by the title II oversight of ISPs to classify themes common carriers for the public interest.
1120. Mitchell Ford, San Marcos, TX, 78666
I strongly support the statutory power reserved by the authority of Title II provisions and regulations regarding the subject of Net Neutrality. This non-discrimination policy is extremely vital to the American economy, to small businesses, to the individual, to use the internet as a reliable and uniform tool; it needs to be uniform specifically in regard to this non-discrimination policy. Internet service providers (ISPs) should not have the legal authority to pick which websites are more of a priority to its consumers over another site -- access to all websites without any hampering or win/losing is paramount to freedom and digital equality. FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, support net neutrality. Respond to the public; you are a public servant, and so should you respond to public support of the existing Net Neutrality regulations. I strongly urge you to support Title II Net Neutrality regulations and non-discrimination policy.
1121. Eric Pribramsky, Austin, TX, 78704
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2. The FCC should throw out Chairman Ajit Pai's plan to give the government-subsidized ISP monopolies like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon the legal cover to engage in data discrimination, stripping users of the vital privacy and access protections
1122. Adam N Honhera, Austin, TX, 78704
Net neutrality helps maintain open competition on the web and encourages entrepreneurship. Please keep the American consumer in mind for once.
1123. Matthew Zlotnik, Austin, TX, 78705
The FCC needs to keep Net Neutrality in tact and preserve Title-II. I want Netflix.
1124. Christina Feindel, Austin, TX, 78745
The internet is an essential utility for modern life. In the past, ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible and had to be forced to stop by the courts. Regulation is NECESSARY. I'm also concerned by mobile providers who say a plan is "unlimited" but throttle sites and services that aren't part of their approved zero-rating network when you reach your data cap. Access to online information and services should remain free and open regardless of your ISP!
1125. Matthew Sepos, Austin, TX, 78704

The idea of pushing back on Net Neutrality is ignorant. As someone in the industry for a profession, it is widely know that you can limit or push certain IP addresses. This is a another push at breaking up progress in the US. We can't get rid of Trump for 4 more years, but lets try not to completely destroy our country. Common sense required here.

1126. Lauren Liedtka, San Antonio, TX, 78232
I very strongly support Net Neutrality , and I want Title II oversight of ISPs. Letting companies pay to sabotage the speed of other websites will definitely lead to monopolies and censorship.
1127. Johnny Fotschky, Harper, TX, 78631
Please consider what this does to the freedom and great spirit of the internet. We are not yet under tyranny and I would hope the FCC reconsiders this docket.
1128. fcc, austin, TX, 78741
I support Net Neutrality. Ajit Pai do NOT remove it!
1129. Andrea Gentry, Austin, TX, 78748
Please preserve net neutrality & Title 2 over-site.
1130. chris gentry, austin, TX, 78748
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2 over site. Thank you, chris gentry
1131. Kyle Evans, Austin, TX, 78748
Please preserve the current net neutrality regulations under Title 2 oversight.
1132. Jesse Kumm, Austin, TX, 78741
We must preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 to maintain open and fair access to all. Open access is essential to democracy and free markets.
1133. hannah ortega, san antonio, TX, 78209
I support the strict regulations on net neutrality and title II classification of large conglomerate communication corporations like version and comcast.
1134. Moctezuma Seth Gonzalez, Austin, TX, 75217
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Do not allow corporations to take advantage of what is supposed to be free.
1135. becca floyd, austin, TX, 78703
I very strongly support net neutrality!
1136. Kendall Bell, San Marcos, TX, 78666
It is essential that the net neutrality stays under title II so it is enforceable. The FCC should not allow providers to mess with the open and free internet

1137. Elisabeth Garry, Austin, TX, 78745
Please preserve net neutrality and keep ISPs regulated under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
1138. Marc Leblond, Austin, TX, 78704
I'm writing to express concern about commissioner Pai's new plan to change the current regulatory regime that enforce net neutrality. Net neutrality is essential to a healthy internet ecosystem. While ISPs would certainly prefer to have more power to throttle, promote, zero-rate, and otherwise degrade various internet services, the end effect will almost certainly be a worse, more expensive internet experience for the vast majority of consumers. The idea of a voluntary system where market pressures will create incentives for ISPs to voluntarily treat all services equally is unfortunately unrealistic given the current uncompetitive marketplace for internet access. For a large portion of Americans, there is only one broadband provider available. For most others, there are only two. Very seldom do Americans actually see a competitive landscape in their ISP choices. Without a competitive marketplace, ISPs are free to rentseek, to extract the economic profits inherent in monopolistic or oligopolistic markets. I certainly recognize commissioner Pai's idea would make sense if the market for the Internet were competitive. I would be happy to reconsider my objection to undoing net neutrality if the US forced local loop unbundling, allowing competition into concentrated markets. This would close the gap between the US and the rest of the world, where broadband speeds are better and prices lower. Incidentally, this would return the US to the hypercompetitive market that used to exist when dial-up modems were standard. Except now, at broadband speeds. Respectfully, Marc
1139. Bart Burkhard, Johnson City, TX, 78636
Not all regulations are bad. Net neutrality is necessary to ensure that all services and views are treated the same across the internet. I support keeping ISPs within Title II.
1140. Allison Stewart, Austin, TX, 78747
Keep Title II rules!
1141. eric Elliott, Austin, TX, 78747
I strongly support the net neutrality rules put into place by the FCC under the Obama Administration.
1142. Carrie, Kerrville, TX, 78028
We need to have strong Net Neutrality rules and keep Title III!!!
1143. Madison Searle, Austin, TX, 78745
Preserve net neutrality and Title II! This is essential for a free knowledge ecology.

1144. Lewis Flores, San Antonio, TX, 78258
Trump will censor "fake" news except for FOX news if you get rid of net neutrality. America needs title II or we might as well be Iran. Do you want to be Iran? Is that what you like?
1145. Ryan Schulz, AUSTIN, TX, 78703
We've been over this before. You cannot trust any industry to do the right thing for consumers when money is on the line, we've seen it time and time again, when push comes to shove a company is going to focus on that bottom line first. That's capitalism. There is not enough competition to keep these ISPs honest. By allowing, ISPs to give preferential treatment you are hindering a company's first amendment rights. To those that say the internet is not a necessity, try applying for a job via phone call to HR or by standard mail. Until the government stops letting ISP giants sue and buyout smaller competitors attempting to enter their markets, I will not support anything less than Title II regulation.
1146. Devin Ripps, Boerne, TX, 78006
I support strong Net Neutrality Popularity of a website or content should be decided by the internet not ISP's
1147. Joe Ranzau, Boerne, TX, 78006
I support strong net neutrality rules by regulating ISPs under Title 2. There is little burden on their business to comply with this regulation.
1148. aaron wentworth, san antonio, TX, 78258
Net neutrality is vital and needs to stay in under the title II regulations. Removing this will be unfair to the average American and will hurt the principal reason that the internet was created.
1149. louis ortiz, san antonio, TX, 78209
Please maintain net neutrality with continued use of section II controls of companies. It is ridiculous to say that the rollback of net neutrality rules won't result in abuses by ISPs. Please don't let this become a reality, I would like to browse a free and open internet that is treated appropriately, like the utility it is.
1150. Justin Noormand, Austin, TX, 78704
Please leave internet service providers under Title 2 guidelines as it preserves neutrality in regards to the content those service providers serve. It allows for a free and open internet where companies that do business are more easily able to compete without worrying if their content might be limited or slowed by competitors paying ISP's for preferential treatment. Additionally, maintaining those Title 2 guidelines prevents ISP's from abusing their power and establish their own content distribution networks that get preferential treatment over competitors. Lastly, Chairman Pai seems like an ill fit for his position as his

employee history as a lawyer for a major ISP presents a visible conflict of interest. His statements indicate, whether intended or not, a distinct naivety regarding his duties to the American people he serves and still seems to act solely in service to companies he once worked for.

1151. Heather quimby, Austin, TX, 78735
It is extremely important to preserve and protect net neutrality. This bill destroys it. Preserving an open internet is crucial for fair and equal access to the resources and information available on it.
1152. martin leach, Austin, TX, 78736
I demand that you abandon your desire to change the net neutrality legislation because you are trying to hoodwink the public and lie to us all about your real intent.
1153. Sarah Darlington, Austin, TX, 78736
Do not take away net neutrality!!!!!!
1154. Dorie Pickle, Austin, TX, 78704
Please keep our internet open- Preserve net neutrality and title II.
1155. Brittany Macken, Austin, TX, 78704
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Thanks!
1156. Jade Dagsaan, San Antonio, TX, 78258
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP!!!!!!
1157. michelle cherry, Austin, TX, 78735
I strongly support Net Neutrality. The companies should not be given a choice with the services we as consumers pay for!
1158. Kyle Mihalski, san antonio, TX, 78247
I am against any legislation that would allow ISP's to move away from the consumer protections provided by Title II. Please do not vote to repeal this and do not allow ISP's to regulate themselves.
1159. Mark Williams, Austin, TX, 78704
Please retain true net neutrality by maintaining Title 2 oversight over ISPs--as a business owner I am faced every day with decisions where the ethical thing to do and the financially prudent thing to do appear diametrically opposed. Knowing my customers and employees personally makes it much easier to do the right thing in those situations: but large corporations, whose decision-makers are far removed from the employees and clients who might be harmed by their decisions, have no such aids to conscience. Government has a responsibility to

protect its citizens from those more powerful--including corporations and their executives--and, sometimes, from themselves. In the case of the internet, people are often willing to trade short-term gains (faster access to data) for long-term risks (decreased control over the data they receive, de facto reduction of the choices of data sources they receive, etc.). Removing net neutrality represents one such trade-off. Please keep ISPs under Title 2 oversight. Thanks for reading.

1160. Mark Bowers, Austin, TX, 78746

Please preserve the laws defined by net neutrality and title ii of the federal communications act of 1934!

1161. a, Austin, TX, 78741

please Preserve net nuetrality and title II. it is in the best interest of everyone involved.

1162. Joe Englewood, Austin, TX, 78703

I support net neutrality it is important. Please do not take our country to a dark place. As a veteran I would have died for this country. Now with actions like this being proposed, now I'm not so sure. NET NUTRALITY NOW

1163. Jon Anderson, Austin, TX, 78748

It is unfortunate that our government appears to always act in the best interest of powerful corporations and special interests instead of the people whom it is intended to represent. It is critical that you maintain classifications of ISP as Title II information services. The internet should be maintained as a neutral forum for carrying all kinds of traffic equally. Why should I, the consumer, who pays for premium services to deliver content at the speeds I pay for, ever have the content that I wish to have delivered, artificially slowed down because the provider at the other end who may also be charging me for service is not paying the ISP enough to deliver their content at the speed I already paid for. Also, why should these huge ISP's be given power to pick winners and losers or squash new technology based upon who can pay the highest price to deliver their content rather than provide a neutral playing field for these companies to thrive. These massive companies, with tremendous resources, should not be provided preferential treatment because they can afford armies of lawyers and lobbyists to push their agendas over the small guys. Do not kill net neutrality by reclassifying ISPs as Title I services. Give a win to the people and the consumers, and don't pretend that this reclassification is somehow in our best interest because it's disingenuous. Preserve my rights as a paying consumer that requires a modicum of protection from what is supposed to be my government.

1164. Annalisa Heck, Austin, TX, 78704

It is very important that we keep the current classification of ISPs under Title II.

1165. marianne newsom, Austin, TX, 78704

I strongly support strong FCC controls of internet neutrality. Do not move back to title one! Preserve title two.

1166. melissa dl, San antonio, TX, 78249
Preserve neutrality!!!
1167. Gerald S, San Antonio, TX, 78232
Don't let ISPs control how I view the Internet, maintain net neutrality and Title 2.
1168. Tim Blair, Buda, TX, 78610
I support net neutrality through its current oversight by title 2, allowing for more strict enforcement. Please do not make the proposed changes.
1169. Thomas King, Austin, TX, 78704
Preserve net neutrality under title 2, we can not trust businesses to regulate themselves. Thank you.
1170. Shannon Skeens, Austin, TX, 78745
Preserve Net Neutrality and encourage small business growth and fair competition.
1171. Ry Dauphin, Austin, TX, 78701
Net neutrality is fundamental to an open internet, and the only way to enforce it is by maintaining Title II regulation of it - ISPs must remain classified as Title II.
1172. Lanie Leek, Austin, TX, 78703
All web serves should be treated equally! I am for net neutrality!
1173. Christopher Simpson, Austin, TX, 78745
I am writing to you to ask you to preserve existing net neutrality regulations in support of a free and open internet. The internet is a public service platform that should not be subject to arbitrary restrictions by internet service providers.
1174. Hannah Farmer, Austin, TX, 78704
Keep strong net neutrality regulations in place under Title II. Net neutrality rules not only protect smaller start-ups and keep the market competitive, but more importantly protect consumers...you know, the people paying the ISPs in the first place.
1175. Colin Rinehart, Austin, TX, 78704
Ajit Pai + FCC,I strongly support Net Neutrality. Not regulating open internet and net neutrality is like saying "we don't need laws, because we can trust that everyone will behave themselves anyway." Laws are not and should not be

written with the intent to restrict, but instead with the intent to protect and ensure the freedoms of everyone. That is exactly what net neutrality does.-
Colin

1176. Luke Gaines, Austin, TX, 78745
Please support Net Neutrality by keeping ISP's under Title II oversight. It would be a huge step backward for our country to do otherwise.
1177. Justin Holder, Austin, TX, 78704
Please preserve net neutrality and keep ISP's classified under Title II. Any lift on this has high potential to how the average citizen operates and allows control over something that has become an integral part of the way we live. Veering away from net neutrality will allow for corruption in an influential system.
1178. Victor Carrillo Magallanes, San Antonio, TX, 78258
Keeping net neutrality is so important. I dont want companies to even have the option of what content they can choose to have faster speeds. As a representative of me, as a government agency your aim is always to protect the people. You are the barrier between me and these companies completely taking over everything I consume. This is a consumer issue. These companies cannot force us into the products they prefer especially since we are paying customers for their internet service.
1179. Clayton Scott, New Braunfels, TX, 78130
I believe in net neutrality. To allow ISP's to throttle speeds and play favorites to large, wealthy corporations, would stifle innovation and the growth of new technologies. A free market can't thrive if we allow companies to shut down smaller start-ups.
1180. Jonathan, Austin, TX, 78735
Net Neutrality is important. Stop screwing with it
1181. Ryan McCormick, Austin, TX, 78745
We must not undo Net Neutrality rules in place... we must add more protections. The internet should be the same no matter what provider you use, what software you use, what device you use, or what country you are in. We must not allow big companies to be able to pay big service providers for faster pipes and no data use. We need to go further and not allow "media" to be licenced for desktop and not mobile, nor for the US not Canada. It is none of their business what I am using to access or where I am accessing from. If it is put on the internet, it needs to be free for all to see. Why? Because this is already the case for people that understand how to mock browser ID's and use VPNs. A computer is a computer, and a computer can pretend to be any other computer. This is truth and fack. Allowing companies to pretend otherwise just puts the general public at a huge disadvantage vs. the technically savvy. It is the FCC's job to protect the general

public from that sort of nonsense. Please uphold all Net Neutrality rules, and add more!

1182. Rebecca Leach, San Antonio, TX, 78209

I support strong net neutrality by Title II oversight of ISPs and do not want any change made to ISP oversight. This will enable corporate stifling of free speech online and is a step toward a fascist dictatorship.

1183. Morgan Southworth, Austin, TX, 78705

It will be very troubling if Net Neutrality rules are repealed. I'm a big user of the internet and a student. I want the information I access to be EQUALLY accessible. If Net Neutrality rules are repealed, internet service providers could slow my internet access considerably, making day to day research I do much more time consuming. Furthermore, ISPs could block sites for political reasons (which directly contradicts freedom of speech). I want to have equal access to my information, whether or not my cable service provider agrees with an article on global warming or if they want me to use their apps instead of another party's app. I want to use MY preferred search engine, not the one paying my cable provider the most money. It's very, very important to me as a voting aged citizen of the United States for Net Neutrality to exist. Otherwise the accessible information on the internet will be severely unbalanced.

1184. Justin Hurst, Austin, TX, 78748

Literally everybody that uses the internet and isn't a multi kajillionaire, myself included, wants net neutrality. It's the FCC's responsibility to apply consumer protections like title II. I know you aren't elected officials so you don't have to worry about the general public replacing you, but we are asking you to do the right thing and preserve net neutrality. It's bi partisan.

1185. Dax Moreno, San Antonio, TX, 78209

I believe in a free internet and that net neutrality is vital to small start-ups and our economy as a whole. Please keep our net neutrality policies and laws as-is.

1186. Lindsey DeSilvio, Austin, TX, 78704

I support strong Net Neutrality- I'm an American citizen who is not in favor of it's deregulation or reclassification of Net Neutrality from a Title 2 regulation. If Wall Street and oil companies have taught us anything, it's that voluntary self-regulation leaves room for large companies to make short cuts and capitalize on a statute vacuum that ends up hurting citizens (or if you would rather, we can just say 'consumers')

1187. thomas gage, Austin, TX, 78701

I support the principle of net neutrality and am upset by the possibility cable companies being regulated under act 1 rather than act 2.

1188. Garrett Willis, Austin, TX, 78701
I support Title II oversight of Internet providers as I'm smart enough to know deregulation is just a fancy word for "bending consumers over a barrel." Also I hope Ajit "Ahmadinejad" Pai chokes on his stupid Reese's mug. Nobody gives a shit about his mug and frankly his very existence has made me start to sympathize with Trump supporters' feelings regarding brown people.
1189. Savannah Opre, Austin, TX, 78705
There's already enough inequalities existing in the US - lets at least make the internet neutral, alright? This is the beginning of the end of individual thought - at a time we need it the most. The internet is the greatest socioeconomic equalizer the government should guarantee as a right to the pursuit of happiness as guaranteed by the Constitution. For example - MIT has an open source software & just basic "How to" videos on Youtube both give anyone with access to the internet (aka - free wifi), the access to knowledge essential for achieving a socioeconomic status to be happy.
1190. John Kestner, Austin, TX, 78704
The FCC needs to stand up for Internet users like me and keep the net neutrality rules that are already in effect. Chairman Pai's proposed repeal of the rules would help turn ISPs into gatekeepers with an effective veto right on innovation and expression. That's not how the Internet was built, and that not what we want. My business and career are built on the opportunity the open Internet has provided. Net neutrality rules protect that opportunity. No one is asking for those protections to be thrown away except for the ISPs. Please protect Internet users and employers like me by upholding the existing Title II net neutrality rules.
1191. Stephen Petmecky, Austin, TX, 78741
Net Neutrality is vital to our Country, our Lives, our Democracy and the health of Capitalism. The FCC must NOT change ISP's back to Title 1, but should remain in Title 2. Do Not Reclassify ISP's.
1192. Carolyn Eaton-Nowinski, San Antonio, TX, 78232
One of the most important aspects of a truly free and open society is the free and open access of information - information that is free of business and governmental manipulations and manipulators. To maintain a free and open society, we must continue to have well protected net neutrality under Title II regulations. This is the only way to protect the American people and protect our rights and privileges from companies whose only goal is profit.
1193. David MOSRIE, Austin, TX, 78749
Time for the federal govt to protect interest of hard working American families v wealthy and powerful tele-corporations looking to pad already ample profits. We support net neutrality and vote.

1194. Michael Eagen, Austin, TX, 78735
Do not change the rule regarding Net Neutrality as it will negatively impact consumers/voters and increase costs for users of ISPs and protect monopolies and discourage new businesses growth and formation. Protect us.
1195. Tyler Block, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Net neutrality is essential to the way the internet functions, and allows for fair and equal access to all information available. No matter what, all information should be available at the same rates / speeds - and ISPs shouldn't be allowed to charge for premium speeds. Net neutrality affords the freedom to gather any information from any source, so being against it is essentially being against freedom. If you are against freedom, you are basically anti-American. Please keep net neutrality and keep ISPs categorized as part of Title II.
1196. Jennifer Miller, Austin, TX, 78741
I support strong net neutrality laws including governing ISPs under Title II classification. Please keep and enforce the federal regulations on net neutrality that were enacted under the Obama administration in place.
1197. Travis Jourdan, Austin, TX, 78704
We need net neutrality. This affects all of us, our votes, and your position.
1198. ajit pai, Austin, TX, 78746
I strongly support strong net neutrality and by title 2 oversight by isp's. I do not support Internet service providers prioritizing certain types of web content over others. Stop trying to fuck us please.
1199. Ryan Benton Miller, New Braunfels, TX, 78132
I support strong net neutrality laws and governing ISPs under Title II classification. Please keep the regulations on net neutrality that were enacted under the Obama administration in place.
1200. William Rodriguez, Austin, TX, 78701
I am certain in my support of net neutrality and its backing by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1201. Alex Spinnler, Austin, TX, 78704
Title II is the bee's knees. Regulation is a must to ensure equal access to information for all across the WWW. Support Title II!
1202. Valentina Rodriguez, Austin, TX, 78705
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and do not want any change made to ISP oversight. This will enable corporate stifling of free speech online and is a step to a fascist dictatorship.

1203. DONALD PEARSON, NEW BRAUNFELS, TX, 78132
Opening the opportunity for ISPs to create Internet Toll Roads will cause immense harm to the future of America. We don't allow our power companies to charge anybody differently according to what appliances electrons are going to. Computer networks are nothing more than electrons with a pattern and we should not allow ISPs to charge anybody differently according to what computer those electrons are going to.
1204. Mary Moore, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78212
Stop with the corporate overkill. Leave the internet neutral.
1205. Adrienne Schwartz, AUSTIN, TX, 78748
Do not destroy Net Neutrality and the spirit of innovation that makes this country vibrant and economically healthy.
1206. Jacqueline D. De Hon De Hon, CANYON LAKE, TX, 78133
The Internet must remain free
1207. Delilah Bluem, PIPE CREEK, TX, 78063
Let Trump get the same kind of deal for his internet use! He will not even release his tax returns not wanting to share his privacy! He needs to be treated as he treats The Americans who love their privacy as much as he does his!
1208. Bruce Gardner, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78259
America First? Corporate America First? Government of
1209. Dorrie Woodson, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78209
I totally agree with the accompanying statement.
1210. Kathleen Bryson, KERRVILLE, TX, 78028
I live in a rural area and net neutrality
1211. Jackie De Hon, CANYON LAKE, TX, 78133
President Trump is destroying America...now the FCC chair is trying to kill net neutrality. DO NOT ALLOW THIS TO HAPPEN. THE PEOPLE HAVE RIGHTS...don't allow gazillionaires to buy special treatment and leave The People only crumbs. We need a fair and open Internet!!!
1212. Billy Carson, KERRVILLE, TX, 78028
Please leave the internet alone. Doesn't the Government have enough on its plate. Leave Netflix
1213. sandra l lawrence, KERRVILLE, TX, 78028
Internet service providers exist to *serve* not to dictate. Free and open Internet!

1214. Gautham Kamath, AUSTIN, TX, 78735
Killing net neutrality will make my internet slower when using netflix and will make it more expensive.
1215. Heather Hall, Austin, TX, 78745
Net neutrality is an important right for all people. I want the FCC to not just recommend it from ISPs but mandate it. Please take all necessary steps to reserve net neutrality and Title 2.
1216. Rusty Foster, Kerrville, TX, 78028
Please do not allow the large Telecom Companies to be allowed to monopolize the internet. There are many customers such as I, who depend on the ability to use the internet as it exist today - use without a carrier preventing what sites we visit. Thank you for your time.
1217. Judy Lackritz, San Antonio, TX, 78209
The internet should continue with the FCC net neutrality rules. It is disingenuous to use a term such as "freedom" to describe a system that is likely to charge for access to the internet. Please also check the sources of the complaints you are considering. Apparently some "anti-net neutrality" robot contacts are coming in that when checked are not being confirmed by the so-called senders. Although my contact information is available for FCC use, permission to use it by anyone else is not given.
1218. Kenneth Lowrie, San Antonio, TX, 78266
Please continue to support rules that maintain strong net neutrality for the Internet and title 2 classification for ISPs. I believe and support the statements issued by the Startups for Net Neutrality letter to Chairman Pai on April 26, 2017, and in particular, these statements:"Rather than dismantling regulations that allow the startup ecosystem to thrive, we urge you to focus instead on policies that would promote a stronger Internet for everyone. We applaud efforts to remove unnecessary barriers to construction of new networks, which would foster increased competition and faster, more affordable, open Internet access. We appreciate your consideration and stand at the ready to provide insights that support this work."Thank you for your consideration and continued support of strong Net Neutrality rules.Regards,-ken
1219. Linda Poullard, San Marcos, TX, 78666
Preserve Net Neutrality rules as outlined in Title II.
1220. Alison Brooke Monfort, Austin, TX, 78745
How many times does the public have to rise up and fight off efforts to alter net neutrality? Your reasons are not fooling anyone. BACK OFF INTERNET NEUTRALITY! We all know damn well what will happen if providers are allowed to decide who/what gets the fast lane. "Trust me" is not something any

American should believe from corporate America.

1221. Sarah Conway, Austin, TX, 78745
i strongly support net nutraslity
1222. Jesse Jones, Austin, TX, 78748
Please preserve Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
1223. Chris Stein, New Braunfels, TX, 78132
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. Removing these would be detrimental.
1224. Taylor Brown, Austin, TX, 78704
I don't believe ISPs will remain impartial without the regulatory oversight of Title II regulation or its equivalent, and fear that the Internet will no longer be a fair, competitive space where the best ideas and companies can thrive.
1225. Matthew Sartain, Austin, TX, 78704
I fully support the free and open internet, specifically Title 2. Do not change net neutrality rules, it works well as it is. Please do not let lobbyists and greed control this.
1226. Jerry Troy III, San Antonio, TX, 78247
Please keep the internet under title II. The internet is great because even small start ups can compete with major companies. I do not want my service of choice slowed down because my provider because they provide a competing product.
1227. Akhilesh Persha, Austin, TX, 78701
Dear Ajit Pai,Please support "title II".
1228. title 2, Spring Branch, TX, 78070
Please don't tamper with the internet.
1229. Don Sims, Austin, TX, 78745
I believe that the FCC should support net neutrality to protect the users of the internet from abuse by the various isps. If you do not defend us, who will?
1230. Ayla Pinthcovski, Austin, TX, 78704
Net neutrality is of the utmost importance to me! Title 2 must remain. I want strong net neutrality rules.
1231. Sharol Garber, Austin, TX, 78745
Please keep net neutrality. We need a free and open internet.
1232. Hope, Leakey, TX, 78873
One of the greatest inventions of all time should remain free as a resource and a testament to humanity!

1233. Kazel Morgan, Austin, TX, 78745
I am writing to adamantly oppose the "Restoring Internet Freedom" rule. Today, a free internet is vital to a functioning democracy. The FCC must protect our democracy by protecting equal access to the internet for all people. Moreover, taxpayer dollars paid for the internet. The internet belongs to the people and not to the ISP's. The FCC must apply strong Title II rules to the internet and protect neutrality. Nothing else is acceptable. Thank you.
1234. Keith Faz, San Antonio, TX, 78247
Protect strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs
1235. Ankur Makkar, Austin, TX, 78749
Please protect & preserve net neutrality and the title II in its current form. It is essential for the internet to remain in it's current form which allows for the small businesses to compete with big companies.
1236. Steve McCord, Austin, TX, 78704
This is complete bullshit that you want to shift the regulations of open internet back to a looser control. This does not benefit anyone but the big companies who want to make more money. It does not protect the American people. So please do not follow your heart of money but actually do your job and protect my rights to access information unimpeded.
1237. Dan, Austin, TX, 78745
I Strongly support strong net neutrality back by title 2 oversight of ISP!
1238. Melissa Ayala, San Antonio, TX, 78201
I am writting to express my support for STRONG NET NEUTRALITY, backed by Title II oversights of ISP's.
1239. Jacob Hartzler, Austin, TX, 78749
I support strong Net Neutrality supported by Title II oversight of ISPs. I do not agree with Ajit Pai's sentiments. There is no evidence that Net Neutrality has stifled growth. I do not see any argument that is representative of the American People that disagrees with Net Neutrality. The fake comments are just further proof that those opposed are willing to go to illicit ends to see the rights of internet users taken away for the sake of profit. Keep Net Neutrality.
1240. Daniel Wyleczuk-Stern, San Antonio, TX, 78209
I strongly support net neutrality. A free and open internet is essential to the continued strength of the American economy. Don't let the greed of corporations slow down growth and privacy.
1241. Jacob Morgan, Austin, TX, 78741
Please preserve net neutrality by keeping the conditions established by Title II.

We need a free and open internet to maintain our democracy and freedom of information.

1242. Brandon Kilian, Boerne, TX, 78006
I 100% support having net neutrality. Pai and Trump are too far out of touch with reality, and they both need to be put in their place.
1243. Harvey Kitzman, Austin, TX, 78749
We support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 Oversight of ISPs. Please continues this current practice. DO NOT change the Net Neutrality rules.
1244. Ed Perry, New Braunfels, TX, 78132
At 81 it is my lifeline to the world,
1245. Greg Sells, Austin, TX, 78741
It's the library of today. Treat all equally.
1246. Vince Mendieta, Austin, TX, 78745
I enjoy learning about strange things on the internet, like ancient life. Net Neutrality assures I will get the same download speed as everyone else. If Net Neutrality is ended, I fear my favorite sites will move to the slow lane and I will get HORRIBLE download speeds.
1247. Greg Holt, Spring Branch, TX, 78070
It's critical to freedom of speech and freedom to share information. As a society we're all more educated and aware because of it!
1248. Carolyn Soling, Austin, TX, 78704
The open Internet is vital for the promotion of diverse sources of information. Americans have largely lost faith in mainstream media outlets, and an open Internet makes it possible for experts who lack corporate backing to share their knowledge and insights in ways that break beyond mainstream platitudes. The open Internet is crucial for strengthening democratic discourse. By contrast, privileging well-funded servers only contributes to cheap, clickbaity fake news.
1249. James Walker, New Braunfels, TX, 78132
I work for an Internet startup. The open internet platform has been pivotal in our ability to expand.
1250. Rafael Alvarez, Austin, TX, 78741
Keep Net Neutrality. Keep ISPs classified under Title II. Net neutrality is what allows the free market to function as far as internet accessibility and visibility.
1251. Alexa Lang, Austin, TX, 78741
I care about protecting net neutrality and keeping ISPs classified under Title II.

1252. William Connolly, Austin, TX, 78705
I strongly urge the FCC to preserve Net Neutrality rules by continuing to classify ISPs under Title II. The vast majority of constituents support this and rolling back these rules would not be in the best interest of the American people.
1253. Stephen Smith, San Antonio, TX, 78232
Please uphold net neutrality and title 2 for ISPs
1254. pj corpora, Austin, TX, 78735
I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title two!!!!!!
1255. Amy Hovis, Austin, TX, 78703
I am writing to urge the FCC to keep strong Title II and Net Neutrality rules.
1256. Robert Thaden, Austin, TX, 78735
I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title 2. There is no justification what so ever to give monopolistic ISPs the ability to stifle competition, which is exactly what they have done in the past.
1257. Rob Abodeely, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78261
I support continued net neutrality and Title 2.
1258. David, Spring Branch, TX, 78070
Maintain Net Neutrality and Title II. There is no question that ending net neutrality or modifying it by removing it from Title II will result in unfair competition, impeded services, and less consumer choice of internet services.
1259. Matthew Perry Marchioni, Canyon lake, TX, 78133
Title II is the only thing protecting our internet in a Democratic way. Taking it away will only take power away from the individual and further devolve our current internet market.
1260. Gregory Speir, austin, TX, 78704
I support keeping ISPs as Title 2 thus keeping the internet a truly free place to birth innovation.
1261. Michael Matson, Austin, TX, 78704
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. We all beg for you to make the decision that supports free speech. It would be anti-American to do otherwise. Preserve net neutrality.
1262. Kristine Cooley, Austin, TX, 78704
I strongly support keeping the Net Neutrality rules under Title II
1263. Larina Dennis, Austin, TX, 78741

I strongly support net neutrality. It is vital to ensuring that everyone on the internet remains on equal footing so far as being able to both watch and share what they want. It is naive to think that cable companies would not use their newly granted capability to sell better service to the highest bidder and slow down or even shut down competitors or those not paying them what they want. In fact, wanting to engage in such practices likely seems the reason that some cable companies are fighting net neutrality so hard. Why else would they want it gone so badly? Please maintain net neutrality and keep the internet a fair and equal place for all.

1264. John Travena, Austin, TX, 78703

The Internet is now so essential to so many vital interests of citizens - banking, medicine, navigation, to name only a few - that it is now a utility. To create toll lanes in this network is to favor some passengers over others. We will fight to preserve a neutral internet.

1265. Peter Mulshine, Austin, TX, 78703

the Net HAS to be unfettered & unrestricted,,

1266. Ross Smith, AUSTIN, TX, 78704

Net Neutrality is one of the single most powerful policies to facilitate free speech on the Internet. As a tech policy wonk, as a technologist, as a programmer, I believe this to be true: Net Neutrality is a keystone in the policy structure of a free Internet. Striking this policy down is not simply dangerous or risky for our freedoms - it *is* an attack on those freedoms. It *is* the damage, not the risk of the damage, giving control to corporations to silence dissenting voices. Please don't enable this downward spiral. Please don't let Net Neutrality be struck down.

1267. Peter Freeman, Austin, TX, 78748

THIS IS ABSOLUTELY FUNDAMENTAL AND CRITICAL TO KEEPING THE INTERNET OPEN DEMOCRATIC!

1268. Coco Gordon, San Antonio, TX, 78209

We are on to your reversing the facts! Take heed! The freedom of the internet is not for sale or up for your grab.

1269. Chris Kermiet, Spring Branch, TX, 78070

DARPA net (forerunner of the internet) was created by the government, and paid for by our tax dollars. You can't simply give that to private business.
UNACCEPTABLE!

1270. Val Sanfilippo, San Antonio, TX, 78247

Free speech for democracy not hate

1271. Evan Selby, San Antonio, TX, 78231
Net Neutrality is an absolute right of the people and a core democratic principle in the 21st century. Maintain and strengthen Net Neutrality!!!
1272. Allysa Rettler, Kerrville, TX, 78028
Leave Net Neutrality alone and do your real job: Serve us.
1273. Patrick Farrell, San Marcos, TX, 78666
The only truly open and free forum available should not be in any way limited or regulated.
1274. Gary DeCamp, Austin, TX, 78704
As somebody in the industry for decades, I feel strongly that a free, open internet has been the root of its success for the benefit of all.
1275. Mark Switzer, Austin, TX, 78704
Don't roll back net neutrality rules. I like that I can use whichever website I prefer and that companies can't control the speed of my traffic.
1276. ryan mckenna, Austin, TX, 78704
I strongly believe it is dire that Net Neutrality stays in place and support title 2.
1277. Kelly Nalle, Austin, TX, 78745
I support strong net neutrality. The preservation of Title II is vital. Please listen to the people and not giant companies or corporations. You are supposed to work on behalf of the people.
1278. Melissa Gamma, San Antonio, TX, 78232
We support Title 2 for strong net neutrality enforcement.
1279. Daniel Hayward, Austin, TX, 78745
Freedom of information and Net Neutrality are critically important to our democracy and quality of life! Please do not aggravate the public by doing something so deuschy and inexplicably anti-citizen as removing Net Neutrality. Thanks, I like information to flow freely. While you're at it, please make it illegal for ads to be allowed to run in the middle of a running video or feed you're trying to watch.
1280. Ohara Hartmann, Austin, TX, 78745
It is important to me that I have unfiltered information from my ISP's. This is not China or North Korea. Thanks.
1281. Joann Thompson, Terrell Hills, TX, 78209
Do not allow the rules regarding net neutrality to change. Do not allow internet providers to slow or obstruct internet access to consumer web content!

1282. Tyson Hunter, San Antonio, TX, 78234
We need stronger net neutrality laws and ISP's need to remain Title II.
1283. Chelsea Hartness, Austin, TX, 78745
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP companies.
1284. Jessica Herrera, Austin, TX, 78745
I support strong net neutrality rules and specifically the Title II designation of Internet service providers.
1285. Nick Mollberg, Austin, TX, 78704
I STRONGLY support net neutrality. Any attempts to sell out to corporate interests and remove true neutrality from web traffic is immoral and against the interests of the american people.
1286. Ukeme Daniel, Austin, TX, 78741
Lets keep ISPs under title 2.
1287. James Basler, Boerne, TX, 78006
I support stronger regulations on ISPs, and continued existence of net neutrality under title 2. Corporations should NOT have self regulatory power over the type and amount of content members of the public.
1288. Mike Diaz, San Antonio, TX, 78258
I support strong Net Neutrality rules for the internet and keeping ISPs categorized as Common Carriers under Title II.
1289. John William Meyer, Austin, TX, 78701
I strongly support net neutrality backed with strong Title II oversight of ISPs. We cannot let our internet be controlled by an oligopoly. This is incredibly important as the internet is a right for all of us.
1290. Tom Howard, Austin, TX, 78701
Net neutrality is vitally important and I expect you to uphold it and keep the Title II designation.
1291. Liz Schwartz, Austin, TX, 78745
Dear FCC Staff: I am writing to express my support for strong net neutrality protection utilizing title 2. We need to keep the internet open and continue with a level playing field for the benefit of all. Please do not weaken the laws currently in place. Sincerely, Liz Schwartz - Austin, TX
1292. Chris Akers, Lakehills, TX, 78063
We must keep internet neutrality and stay under title 2. We can't trust corporations at their word, that's why we have laws.

1293. Net neutrality, New Braunfels, TX, 78132
I support net neutrality. Keeping internet service providers under title II encourages fair play, entrepreneurship, and I believe possibly even our liberties to come. I will not support individuals who infringe or hinder net neutrality.
sincerely Dr. Hall, DPT.
1294. Stacey Edwards, Austin, TX, 78704
Protect Title 2 and DO NOT get rid of net neutrality!!!
1295. Carl Athans, Austin, TX, 78704
The internet is a means to communicate and access information happening in a bandwidth that the American people gave to telecommunications industry with the understanding that, like radio and broadcast television, we the people would have unfettered access to it.
1296. Michael Reavis, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624
I do not need anyone interfering with the information I am able to receive on the internet. It sounds like a loss of free speech.
1297. Sandra Lawrence, Kerrville, TX, 78028
Net Neutrality does NOT = "a problem of socialism." (Get a grip.)
1298. Raymond Fisk, San Marcos, TX, 78666
Net neutrality is one of the most important ways the United States government can reduce digital inequality for its citizens. The Internet should serve everyone fairly.
1299. Margaret Hutchinson, Austin, TX, 78745
This is about free speech unregulated by corporations. Do NOT take the side of censorship.
1300. Ken Box, Austin, TX, 78703
Free speech, Free airwaves, Free Ethernet. The Internet is a public utility. It was established purely for unfettered communications. It must stay that way.
1301. jcatz Jazz, Pipe Creek, TX, 78063
Trump is a beast ,Thinks he can become a dictator by pushing the middle class & the poor out of our life! Why does he think we will take this sitting down doing nothing?!He better think again!
1302. Carolyn Semiglasow, austin, TX, 78748
I already fought for it and WON.
1303. Tom Peterson, San Antonio, TX, 78231
This is an unfair struggle - the powerless versus the behemoths of corporate

strength. Net Neutrality levels the playing field at least a little and gives everyone an opportunity that will be ignored when it is destroyed.

1304. Becky Reavis, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624
I back strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title II, of all ISPs.
1305. Ed Perry, New Braunfels, TX, 78132
Access to information needs to be on a level playing field
1306. Stephen Stoker, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Do not force this issue. Do be clear about this: the consumer ultimately rules.
Remember that. Remember who you serve.
1307. Brian Schill, Spring Branch, TX, 78070
Powerful people and businesses have no more right to the internet than everyone else.
1308. Melanie Cordell, San Antonio, TX, 78212
A free and open internet is the only tool of democracy we have left in a world of corporate owned fake news.
1309. Victoria McGrady, San Antonio, TX, 78231
The internet was always intended to be open. Taking away this freedom to line big corp's pockets like everything else this administration is doing is unconscionable.
1310. Lisa Maenpaa, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Please maintain net neutrality! It is absolutely critical to the future of the internet.
1311. Andrea Ramos, Austin, TX, 78736
Protect net neutrality & title II
1312. catherine martin, Austin, TX, 78741
We aren't fooled by this. Leave net neutrality under Title II. Stop trying to game the system.
1313. Rachael Burkett, 78258, TX, 78258
I want the FCC to keep current net neutrality regulations under title 2 net neutrality rules. Please listen to the people of the United States.
1314. Christine, Austin, TX, 78705
Keep Title II of the Communications Act in place in order to maintain net neutrality and ensure equal access to internet resources.
1315. Taia Lubitz, Austin, TX, 78739

I support net neutrality and oppose the internet freedom bill. The internet is a public utility and should be regulated as such. Freedom to information is a hallmark of our democracy. Preserve net neutrality under Title II.

1316. Chris Garrett, Austin, TX, 78741

Please try and keep the American people in mind here. We simply do not want this. Keep the internet neutral.

1317. Robert Baptist, Austin, TX, 78739

I strongly support net neutrality under title II. Please keep the Internet as it is-- a utility with a level playing field for content providers. Do not allow ISPs to determine which parts of the Internet I can view optimally. This is vital for individual freedom and business interest. Thank you.

1318. Tonia Roberts, Austin, TX, 78736

PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2! I am primarily concerned about net neutrality not being protected if the internet is not treated like a utility, as defined under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934, because big business is not going to look at for the wellbeing of its consumers unless its government mandated. My second concern is focused around privacy. If the internet is government regulated, much like the phone companies, there is no reason we cannot give the internet the same level of consumer rights as we have for our phone services. Thank you for your consideration of my viewpoint on this matter. I believe it is a critical issue, and would like to see the Republican party stop voting along party lines to let the FCC tear down common sense legislation around net neutrality and privacy

1319. Bloyce Britton, San Antonio, TX, 78212

I support strong net neutrality and keeping isp's under title II

1320. william romney, Austin, TX, 78701

I am in full support of net neutrality backed by title 2.

1321. ramsey pawlik, New Braunfels, TX, 78130

Please preserve net neutrality by keeping it under title II! We do not need ISPs unfairly regulating bandwidth to any sites they choose!

1322. net neutrality, san marcos, TX, 78666

Hey! Whats this that I hear about net neutrality??? Keep the internet free; protect title II. Don't let me down. I have no other support besides the US government. If my midget, ebony, GMILF, bukkake is slowed down in the slightest I WILL SHIT BRICKS. JOHN OLIVER SENT ME HERE BITCHES DONALD DRUMpFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF!

1323. jennifer, Canyon lake, TX, 78133

WE THE PEOPLE are watching you. Don't screw this up. Keep regs of isps under Title 2.

1324. Michael Morander, San Antonio, TX, 78230
I support net neutrality and enforcement under title II
1325. Ben Adams, Austin, TX, 78703
I am writing to express my strong support for net neutrality and Title 2, and feel it is essential to an open and free democracy in this country.
1326. toni donofrio, San Antonio, TX, 78259
The FCC must preserve Net Neutrality and uphold And enforce Title II
1327. Elisabeth Elizondo, Austin, TX, 78741
What do I want? Strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Also, probably less weed whacking. Thanks, The Internet
1328. Austin Walker, San Marcos, TX, 78666
Net Neutrality should not be done away with or phased out. If given the opportunity there are those who would dissolve everything that NN stands for and protects in the name of the almighty dollar. We need Net Neutrality to keep the internet fair and balanced, to keep it as a world changing technology and not just another commodity that can be profited from. Changing some of these essential rules now would be forever detrimental to the future of the internet for all users worldwide. Trying to monetize every aspect of living existence is already turning people against each other, the Internet is designed to bring people and information together. Please preserve this technology for future generations . Save Net Neutrality! Thank you for your time.
1329. ashley odell, San Antonio, TX, 78217
Net neutrality!!#gofccyourself
1330. Martin Cook, Boerne, TX, 78006
I feel strongly that net neutrality should be maintained by continuing to use Title 2 laws to regulate ISPs. Trusting them to do it on their own is foolish at best. They have already shown that they will self-promote their products over those of others through their ability to limit access to services (see ISIS payment system vs. Google Wallet). Do not change the current regulations.
1331. Blanca, Manchaca, TX, 78652
The American people are strongly in support of net neutrality and any attempts to roll back these regulations will undermine the will of the people. Do not allow corporations to have this control over our government; keep the regulations under Title 2

1332. Emily Quitta-Carney, Austin, TX, 78703
I support net neutrality and the guidelines of Title II!
1333. Kristi Godden, Austin, TX, 78748
I support net neutrality and regulation of ISPs under Title II. It is imperative that we have a free internet in this country. Net neutrality is critical because it furthers the goals of free speech, free enterprise, and free access to information. No company should be allowed the kind of power that Verizon and similar entities are currently asking for. There has already been evidence of abuses of that kind of power prior to the regulations enacted in 2015, and there can only be one reason why ISPs are asking for a dismantling of that same scheme. They want that power back and they WILL abuse it.
1334. Laura Austin, Austin, TX, 78748
FREE THE WEB!
1335. Matthew Stutz, Austin, TX, 78748
Please keep Net Neutrality protections, including the Title II protections, intact and do not reclassify net neutrality. Please.
1336. Baba Buehler, Austin, TX, 78749
The FCC should KEEP net neutrality rules enforced and retain the Title II clause. ISPs today are fundamentally a utility that consumers need in their homes, just like water and electric services. Consumers rely on this service as the number of IoT devices and online content delivery services such as Netflix rises. It is fundamental that the FCC prevents ISPs from throttling the speed of competitors'™ websites and thereby control our Internet experience. Net neutrality is critical for human rights, online privacy, and competition. DO NOT REPEAL net neutrality and RETAIN the Title II clause.
1337. Richard McBride, San Marcos, TX, 78666
Preserve internet neutrality. Leave control in Title 2
1338. Eric Steinau, San Antonio, TX, 78261
Please do not change existing net neutrality regulations. There is no evidence to support a claim that there needs to be a "roll back" on the current regulations. Also , communicate to Mr. Pai to please stop taking photo ops of drinking coffee from his over sized Recess mug. It's not funny, or cool. Please. Stop.
1339. jacqueline porteny, kyle, TX, 78640
I'd like to express my support for net neutrality and my opposition to its removal in any way.
1340. Kathryn Meador, Buda, TX, 78610
The internet is full off varieties of websites or different companies that talk

about different topics and share different beliefs and opinions. Limiting the internet would be like limiting our First Amendment and limiting the Bill of Rights.

1341. Chris Gardner, San Marcos, TX, 78666
Establishing gatekeepers for the internet imperils the free flow of ideas.
1342. brandon reveles, san antonio, TX, 78259
I support a strong net neutrality and want to keep it under Article 2
1343. William Green, Austin, TX, 78704
Our ability to share ideas and information freely over the existing internet in the last decade has spurred technological innovation in the US, which gives us a great advantage economically. Our technology is going to change so rapidly in the next few years, and allowing network providers to filter content to protect and enhance their own self interests and revenue stream will only stifle the unforeseeable opportunities we will probably have in the next few years. This is an appeal in favor of keeping our current FCC Net Neutrality regulations in place. Thank you, William B Green
1344. Tyson Hunter, San Antonio, TX, 78234
We need stronger net neutrality laws protecting network bandwidths. ISPs need to remain Title II companies and have stricter regulation protecting all net users.
1345. Gilberto Miranda, Austin, TX, 78704
Strongly support retaining Title II oversight over ISPs in the interest of net neutrality. Stronger regulation is vital for maintaining a free, open internet for all participants.
1346. Scott Phillips, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Please preserve Net Neutrality and title 2. It's the right thing to do
1347. Tim Kaupas, Austin, TX, 78701
We must preserve Net Neutrality. Our democracy and pro-business government depend on open and fair internet access for all. The abolishment of Net Neutrality places the internet in the hands of a few wealthy business owners which would be economically devastating to the American dream, small business, and consumer options.
1348. Benton Peret, Austin, TX, 78704
Title 2 oversight for ISPs is very important. Let's keep it how it is and focus on change in other areas. I'd like to know that my governmental organizations are protecting my freedoms. Why would the fcc or any corporation invest time to overturn or change a rule when they say they are going to keep doing the same thing after a change. It's transparent dishonesty. Hold firm, there are millions of

people counting on you!

1349. Shelley Salinas, Buda, TX, 78610

Please do not end net neutrality. I, for one, do not want to be dependent on the good behavior of internet service providers. My experience with internet service providers is that they are looking out for themselves only, not their customers. It would be a mistake to give them more power than they already have.

1350. Phil, San Antonio, TX, 78247

I am in support of strong regulation of isp's towards maintaining net neutrality under Title II oversight.

1351. Catherine Lozano, San Antonio, TX, 78217

I support net neutrality and believe ISP's should be regulated under title 2 of the communications act of 1934.

1352. Jason A Katims, Austin, TX, 78746

To Whom it May Concern:I strongly support maintaining oversight of Internet Service Providers under robust Title II "net neutrality" rules that prevent ISPs from discrimination on the basis of content. The existing net neutrality regulations are important for protecting consumers. As an attorney who practices some consumer law, I have severe doubts about the ability of consumers to utilize Terms of Service agreements to protect the themselves. Even if a particular consumer is in the fraction of percent of people who read or are aware of the content of their Terms of Service agreement, only an even smaller subset of consumer has the ability to monitor and police relative internet speeds across their usage while being savvy enough in the legal field to properly vindicate their rights if violated. And an even smaller fraction still, if any, have the time, resources, and perseverance to wage a court battle on their own or try to get certified as a class. This consumer does not exist. Only Title II FCC oversight of ISPs can guarantee net neutrality. Sincerely,Jason A Katims,Attorney at Law

1353. mandy boutillier, Austin, TX, 78745

I support and insist on the preservation of Net Neutrality and Title II

1354. jameson Keller, Bulverde, TX, 78163

I support net neutrality! Please protect the open and free internet.

1355. Sinkevich, Austin, TX, 78704

Chairman's Pai's plan to destroy net neutrality under the guise of a "light touch" is nothing more than a cynical attempt to pander to the large communication companies. I believe that most people can see through the hypocrisy - particularly once they break through Chairman's demagoguery on the subject. But beyond the concerns of the public to preserve access to a fair Internet, every

business leader should also ponder the consequences if Pai's misguided, half-baked plan. Open Internet had led to a proliferation of new businesses and various platforms in the US, but now - making it work only for the largest providers - it will constrain the US business competitiveness. In 2014, Anjit Pai asked for hearings and economists' review of the then-proposal - now, he runs away from such reasonable considerations. What is the point of Pai's desire to destroy net neutrality? Instead of the public having to argue to preserve net neutrality, Anjit Pai should be required to prove beyond reasonable doubt - with no obfuscations and no double-speak - the utility of his proposal. If he cannot do that - then just one advice to him: Don't mess with our Internet, Mr. Pai!

1356. James Nathan Reiff, San Antonio, TX, 78247
Maintain Net Neutrality by leaving the current regulations governing such under Title Two of the Communications Act of 1934. Removing any Net Neutrality protections is a disservice to the American public.
1357. James Parker, Austin, TX, 78745
The FCC should keep it's net neutrality laws as is under title 2. Do not take away a free internet.
1358. Nicole Greer, San Antonio, TX, 78217
As a voting citizen of the US, I express my commitment to net neutrality wherein certain companies are prevented from monopolizing access and speeds creating an unequal access to internet services. In a democracy, legislators and appointed officials are obligated to represent their voters. The voters bipartisanly disagree with regulation that violates the essential elements of net neutrality. Thank you.
1359. William, Austin, TX, 78745
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Internet, a public resource like electricity and water, should not be given unequal governance for profit by crony corporations.
1360. R Sue Long, Austin, TX, 78704
There is nothing wrong with the internet as it is now working. I strongly disagree with Chairman Pai's proposed rolling back of regulations that give the FCC authority to ensure that internet providers treat all online traffic equally. The current protection for the open internet that is now quite functional should NOT become a political party issue and NO additional legislation is needed.
1361. Ron Prescott, San Antonio, TX, 78259
I support strong network neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs
1362. Shirley Mount, Austin, TX, 78745
I do not want the FCC to change net neutrality rules. The proposed changes

would negatively impact individual (me) constituents and small businesses alike. Vote NO on May 18th.

1363. Cassandra Hudlow, San Antonio, TX, 78232
I strongly support net neutrality. Please do not get rid of title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1364. Restoring internet freedom, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Please do not turn over control of the internet to communications corporations. The taxes of the American people paid for the development of the internet and should rightly retain control. For-profit companies should not be allowed to limit how we use it.
1365. Internet, Austin, TX, 78704
I support net neutrality and industry regulations backed by title two and strong oversight. This is truly important to having an open internet. Please take into consideration the people you are meant to serve. Thank you!
1366. Ronnie Bredahl, Austin, TX, 78735
Vote no on repealing net neutrality. Don't be a Mother Trump!
1367. Michael G. Albrecht, Austin, TX, 78704
Please do not weaken Internet protections as currently exist. The Internet was developed with US taxpayer dollars and should remain available accessible to all at the same cost. No free rides for corporations on the backs of Internet users. Thank you.
1368. monica vaughan, San antonio, TX, 78216
Please vote NO on changing the net neutrality rules. I want you to protect net neutrality. Individuals and small businesses will lose our freedom if you vote to change these rules. Save net neutrality!
1369. Moriah McCracken, Austin, TX, 78704
We cannot rely on ISPs to "police" themselves. Rolling back net neutrality opens up the door for companies to control and exploit information entirely for profit. Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. They will likely try this same method again if the rules are changed. I'm also concerned by mobile providers who say a plan is "unlimited," but when you exceed the data cap, only throttle sites and services that aren't part of their approved zero-rating network.
1370. Nikkye Vargas, San Marcos, TX, 78666
To FCC Chairman Ajit Pai and Commissioner Michael O'Reilly:Gentlemen,To

say that I am dismayed by the FCC's vote today, Thursday, May 18, 2017, would be an understatement. Your attempt to do away with Net Neutrality, in favor of what you are calling "internet freedom", is a clear case of quid pro quo, involving Mr Pai's former employer, Verizon Communications Inc., as well as other large telecom companies. Mr Oreilly's obstruction of the efforts of municipalities to provide their communities with free and/or reduced rate internet services does not go unnoticed. I would like to formally express my vehement opposition for yours, and the FCC's position in this matter, and I would urge you to reconsider your position, with respect to the freedom of information currently enjoyed by the people of these United States, rather than the profits of a few large telecom corporations. Enough is enough. Net Neutrality now! Net Neutrality forever! Thank you for your time and consideration. Sincerely, Nikkya Vargas Citizen of the United States of America

1371. Patricio Grg, San Antonio, TX, 78258

Tell Adjit Pi (maybe idjit-pi....not sure how to best spell) - I SPECIFICALLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE 2 OVERSIGHT OF ISPs! Regulation protects us ALL from the buffoon-ish rich big wigs with their nonsense oversized coffee mugs :/

1372. Nereida Mercado, Austin, TX, 78704

I'm worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. This is NOT restoring internet freedom--this will potentially be limiting Americans' access to a free and open internet. Please reconsider this decision. Thank you for reading my comment.

1373. Jenny Shepherd, San Antonio, TX, 78217

It is important to keep the net neutrality laws in place. Otherwise competition will be stifled by big business and start ups, young entrepreneurs will be at a significant disadvantage. It will effectively stifle competition. Republicans have always favored the free market.

1374. Nanci Wilson, Austin, TX, 78746

I do not want my internet provider to control what content I see or force certain providers to pay in order to make their content available. Repealing the rules will result in higher costs for all and stifle free speech. Net Neutrality is important to our Democracy. Please do not repeal the protections in place. Thank you.

1375. Micah Bond, Austin, TX, 78749

I strongly oppose the insultingly named "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal. Repealing Title II oversight of ISPs will be a catastrophic blow to the free usage of the internet as we know it. I urge the FCC to keep these oversights in place.

1376. Skylar Bond, Austin, TX, 78749

The "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal allows for the monopolization of internet services, and has the potential to stifle competition among content creators on the internet. As a person who supports independent content creators, small businesses, startups, and local companies, I can see the potentially dangerous impact of removing Title II protections that are currently in place. I oppose the passing of this proposal.

1377. Tyler Goulet, San Antonio, TX, 78259

FCC comment for Proceeding #17-108 Dear FCC, We cannot abolish the Title II consumer protections that went into place in 2015. The Title II regulations allow for real teeth to enforce extremely fair and unobtrusive regulations. Stated simply, ISPs must be treated as a CORE UTILITY and not be granted the power to manipulate traffic for their own additional financial gain. ISPs have one task, deliver of content from the Internet to your home – that’s it! No more and no less. This is the service that we pay them for and we may them a lot of money for this service relative to other places in the world:

<http://www.pbs.org/newshour/updates/internet-u-s-compare-globally-hint-slower-expensive/> Without this regulatory oversight, big businesses (who keep getting bigger via mega mergers), will become gatekeepers that have absolute power in terms of what their customers see, how they see it and what pricing tiers they want to corral traffic through. We must leave the traffic unaltered to maintain the integrity of the Internet. Without these protections, Internet service will become a financially draining swamp that will become much more expensive and complicated to police. The best way to win here is to keep it simple. ISPs cannot be made to allow arbitrary modifications in traffic for additional financial gain. They are essentially looking for new ways to charge more for the same service. What they want is analogous to putting toll road fees on existing roads that have already been built and were paid for largely by other parties. In fact much of this infrastructure was subsidized by the US Government AND tax payer money. Telcos / Cables companies didn’t even cover the investment entirely to begin with. Why are they entitled to charge bandwidth and pay lanes to behind with? Several hundreds of billions of dollars in customer paid money have gone into infrastructure improvements over the years – see below article [1]. There’s also the “tax” that consumers already paid for upgrades (which likely just went into revenues): [1] - http://www.huffingtonpost.com/bruce-kushnick/you-have-been-charged-tho_b_6306360.html And there’s also situations like this in Tennessee, where tax payers again are paying the bill for incumbent ISPs to take over the rural areas whilst not allowing Municipal server providers to expand to compete [2]: [2] <https://revolution.news/news/tennessee-republicans-just-gave-comcast-att-45-million-welfare-check/> These businesses have a history of manipulating internet traffic to gain their own way in an unfair manner to the rest of the business community in which barely has any competition. Just take a look at this

quote from the CEO of Comcast, Brian Roberts, who during the context of defending the Time Warner Cable mega merger had this to say regarding a "lack of competition" [3]:[3] - Roberts added that Comcast does not compete with Time Warner Cable, in terms of both broadband and video, because the companies are not available in the same markets. "They're in New York. We're in Philadelphia. They're in LA. We're in San Francisco," said Roberts, from the Re/code Code conference in Rancho Palo Verdes, California. "You can't buy a Comcast in New York. You can't buy a Time Warner in Philadelphia. So there's no reduction in competition in broadband or in television." Source: <http://www.cnbc.com/2014/05/28/comcast-ceo-defends-time-warner-cable-takeover.html> These businesses continue to enjoy record profits and have impressive profit margins, they don't need to play middle man on whether or services reach you and how they do so at the last mile when you are already paying them to provide this service, unaltered. Big ISPs admit, on record, that they don't compete directly with each other because they carve up each regional the market space for utter domination. Because of this lack of direction competition, there's absolutely no way Title I "information service" classification will be enough. There's simply no incentive for these big self serving corporations to keep the best interests of the American people in mind. There isn't enough choice or options to force them to play nice. It's brutally unfair to allow any arbitrary service manipulation simply because your provider has a competing service or business interest. It's a horrible precedent to set. And once it has been set, it will just become incredibly difficult to undo via future generations that will have to suffer through it. Please allow businesses to compete on even footing. Without this level playing field, there's virtually no way for small startups will compete. As such, this would be a TREMENDOUS blow to innovative thinkers and entrepreneurs in this great Country. If I start a new video streaming service tomorrow, I simply cannot compete with a massive provider that controls content creation, delivery and distribution (such as an NBC Universal Comcast or a Time Warner Cable+Charter/Spectrum. Especially not when they are going to go one step further and provide their customers with free bandwidth via Zero Rating for competing streaming services. Bandwidth is not cheap (although it should be) and having to pay to transfer data to from streaming service to my customers (in addition to my service rates), will simply deter my customers from using my service, even if they prefer it. My competing service will always be inherently more expensive as a result and there's no way I can counter free bandwidth without also becoming an ISP. This is not a practical way to compete. In fact, it's pretty much impossible. Especially with the battle for the utility poles that raging now. Even a company as established and successfully as Google is struggling in this area. You can see these companies have a history of cheating and deceiving customers as well as the government and we need to put it a stop to it. Title II is the only hope we have. The FTC and TOS agreements are just not enforceable and we clearly cannot take these

companies for their word alone. There simply isn't enough competition in the market space to deter them from making false promises. I hope you folks read this and review the source articles and conduct your own independent and additional research and do what is best with this information in the interests of the American people. It's critical to the future and ensuring that the US remains an attractive place to be for innovation and development of new technology and applications that continue to enjoy unimpeded access to the pipes that connect their end users. Thank you for reading and considering my points. -Tyler Goulet

1378. Shannon Martinez, Austin, TX, 78704

I am strongly in support of the preservation of Net Neutrality and ISPs being governed by Title 2

1379. Joy Williamson, Austin, TX, 78745

Please preserve Net Neutrality and the use of Title 2 for internet regulation. This is a straightforward issue of protecting consumers against the interests of businesses and there is no good reason to change the rules we have.

1380. Emily BUsby, Austin, TX, 78748

I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Keep the internet free.

1381. Matthew, San Antonio, TX, 78230

I support strong title-two classification of ISP under the Telecommunications Act.

1382. chloe becker, Austin, TX, 78735

Keep net neutrality!! We need it

1383. Carol Gonzales, San Antonio, TX, 78247

I am a disabled vet, living on a budget and reliable internet access has been a vital utility that I have used throughout college and my career and continue to use every single day. I would not have had the opportunities I've had, if it weren't for Net Neutrality. Now I work for a small business in San Antonio and we use the internet for almost everything in our office. Our office phones are VOIP phones. All the bid we submit for projects, about 70% of those bids are now submitted via online portals. We also do a lot of work for school systems and municipal offices all over the state of Texas. We also serve the local military bases. Each entity we work for requires various forms filled out for us to gain access to the job site. A majority of this work is done over the internet. If affordable and reliable internet access is not protected, it will hurt our business and many other small businesses across the nation. It will bring small businesses to a screeching halt. It will force us to print out many forms and mail them, increasing our costs and turnaround time on jobs. In this day and age the internet

truly is a utility. I urge the FCC to respect every American's right to access the internet at an affordable rate and reasonable speeds. This will protect consumers, small businesses, schools, universities, health science centers and the list could go on and on. Please do what is right and protect Net Neutrality.

1384. Ashley Sells, San Antonio, TX, 78258

The internet is and MUST REMAIN a wide-open, democratic forum, accessible on EQUAL terms to all who use it. Since its inception, the core guiding principle behind the use of this successful and socially valuable technology has been that NO corporation, government, or other controlling power should be its "gatekeeper", impeding the free and equal flow of communication. ISPs should treat all data on the internet the same, not discriminating or charging differentially by user, content, website, platform, application, or type of attached equipment. I continue to demand that the FCC uphold this bedrock principle by KEEPING strong net neutrality rules (that prevent a "pay to play" tiered system). Internet access is a Title II telecommunications service and Internet Service Providers are common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act. As the DC Circuit court made clear years ago, there is simply no way to effectively prohibit discrimination online without maintaining this regulation. We must never have blocking, throttling, and paid prioritization schemes that undermine the internet as we know it. Securities filings show that publicly traded Internet service providers increased their investments by 5% in the two years since the FCC's tough net neutrality rules were adopted compared with the previous two years. The ISP industry's executives' own statements to investors show that the rules HAVEN'T harmed their businesses in the slightest (despite Ajit Pai's claims to the contrary). I want you to fight to maintain full NET NEUTRALITY.

1385. Title II, Austin, TX, 78705

Please protect the open internet and preserve net neutrality under Title II.

1386. Pedro Limon, Manchaca, TX, 78652

I support broad band internet remain under STRONG BACKING OF TITLE 2 regulations and OPPOSE TITLE 1 regulations.

1387. Ryan Reiffert, San Antonio, TX, 78212

I support Net Neutrality and I oppose any changes to the rules contemplated in 17-108. I am a libertarian and a strong believer in free markets, so I would typically support any kind of deregulatory initiative. However, the telecommunications/ISP companies enjoy a regulatory monopoly and it's extremely difficult for new entrants to enter the market. Antitrust law 101 is that the power of entry is massively important. Entry is extremely difficult in the telecom/ISP space, therefore the danger of market power is likely to be high. The only company that's even able to halfway make a run as a telecom/ISP provider

at the moment is Google, and they're in a single-digit number of cities and operate a separate business that's highly lucrative. If there were a few other competing service providers, I might be in favor of changes to net neutrality (then a consumer could switch if they disliked the deals their provider made with regard to traffic speeds, fees, and so forth). However, the vast majority of the country only has access to 2 or 3 or 4 service providers. The danger of collusion is exceptionally high. Do not change the net neutrality rules.

1388. Gregory Dayton, Austin, TX, 78745

The FCC should keep the current Title II rules for net neutrality. A change of the rules and/or the designation will ultimately lead to less competition and would not benefit the public.

1389. John Burcham, Austin, TX, 78735

The 2015 Net Neutrality rules should remain in effect. The outpouring from citizens and tech companies alike has been enormous in the past in favor of Net Neutrality. ISPs should not have the ability to censor the internet or make certain businesses be forced to "pay to play".

1390. Rick Swan, Austin, TX, 78705

I support net neutrality through title 2 because I do not trust ISPs if you relax restrictions.

1391. Angela Norton, San Marcos, TX, 78666

It is critical that we maintain the net neutrality standards set forth by Title Two in order to maintain the integrity of access to information by the general public. By removing these standards, the FCC is prioritizing financial gain of businesses over the free access to knowledge by the electorate, and by allowing any number of biases go unchecked, effectively increases the influence of big businesses on the general population, destroying its ability to maintain a reasonably informed democracy.

1392. John Williamson, Austin, TX, 78703

I support a free and open internet and strong title 2. Please don't ruin the internet you guys have already ruined the country, and yes I'm a veteran. Hate to say it but I would t be willing to die for this country now.

1393. Maria Lima, San Antonio, TX, 78232

I urge the FCC to keep Net Neutrality. It is absolutely necessary to all Americans. A free and open internet is the single greatest technology of our time, and control should not be at the mercy of corporations. A free and open internet stimulates ISP competition, helps prevent unfair pricing practices and promotes innovation. Net neutrality promotes the spread of ideas and protects freedom of speech. Please keep the Net neutral.

1394. Julie Kubelka, Austin, TX, 78704

Companies should not be able to use their buying power to control the content that people can access via the internet. This restricts access to knowledge and in turn, independent and critical thinking. I support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

1395. net nueratlity, Austin, TX, 78701

Net neutrality rules are essential to the fair and even keeled function of all internet services. I support it and it should stay in place

1396. Brandon Schumaker, Austin, TX, 78701

I support retaining Title 2 and the protections it provides for a truly neutral internet.

1397. Michael Q Spencer Jones Abili, San Marcos, TX, 78666

Dear FCC, Please keep Net Neutrality under Title II. Even though the rule sounds like it is a regulation, the rule is one that says there should be no regulation imposed by anyone except this one rule. By keeping Net Neutrality under Title II, this will insure that everyone plays by the same rules and that the next Uber or Apple will have the chance to engage in a free-market and not be killed off because of businesses fearing they may become irrelevant. Take for example Facebook. Before Facebook there was MySpace and before MySpace there were other social networking websites. When Facebook just started they could have been killed off by MySpace encouraging ISPs and other businesses to provide Facebook with the worse kind of service and give MySpace the better service (service here means bandwidth speed, advertisements, servers, etcetera). Thankfully this did not happen and Facebook became the premier social networking website of the last decade. Now what happens if someone creates a better social networking website? This new social networking website will start small and not have the revenue and investors needed to compete against Facebook, if Facebook decided to encourage ISPs and other businesses to favor themselves over the newcomer. This would be disastrous and stifle the American ingenuity that has managed to keep our nation not only the best when it comes to technology, but lightyears ahead of other nations. If Net Neutrality goes away from being under Title II, then this could spell trouble for the nation's military as well. The United States military relies heavily on the internet and if Net Neutrality under Title II is no longer being enforced by the FCC, there is nothing stopping ISPs from forcing tax payers to pay astronomical fees for the United States military to have fast bandwidth for military operations. Another consequence from not having Net Neutrality under Title II, would be that ISPs could become like Apple and Google and take a percentage of each transaction that occurs between a consumer and their bank or the consumer and a service like Netflix. This would force every service on the internet to increase their fees and directly hurting their customers and stifling the economy, as citizens would

be forced to decide if they should go spend their money at a Wall-Mart or forgo the trip because they have to make sure they have enough money to pay higher fees on all their services that now are only available through the internet. In conclusion, I beg of you FCC. Please do not repeal Net Neutrality or remove it from being under Title II. If you repeal Net Neutrality or remove it from being under Title II, you will have single handily sent the United States back into a recession and forced our nation to fall behind other nations. Thank you for reading my comment and I hope you do the citizens of the United States justice by keeping Net Neutrality as is and under Title II.

1398. Ryan Baudoin, Austin, TX, 78745

Hello, My name is Ryan Baudoin. I'm a website developer. I mostly work with small mom-and-pop businesses in Texas. I believe that the Internet is too important to my business for it to not be regulated under Title II, and I'm writing this short note to beg you to reconsider your move to destroy Net Neutrality. Personally speaking, my small business, and the small businesses I support, could be negatively impacted by the sort of actions large ISPs were taking prior to Title II reclassification. The internet is too important to my family for it to not be regulated under Title II. Day to day, we depend on an open Internet for local news that keeps us safe, world news that keeps us informed, and information that helps us make important life decisions. When my daughter attends school, she'll need an open Internet that she can count on for equal access to the same educational information as other children. I hope you will consider these thoughts and fight to protect Net Neutrality for the sake of current and future generations. Thank you for your time, Ryan Baudoin

1399. Bryan Reilly, San Antonio, TX, 78209

I oppose this proposal and believe that Net Neutrality is vital for the U.S. and any attempt to monopolize and restrict ones ability to operate freely goes against everything the internet was based upon.

1400. John Rogers, Austin, TX, 78704

Please reconsider this proceeding. The federal communication commission is meant to serve the interest of American citizens, and there is no betterment to the citizens with this motion. This only empowers corporations that already enjoy monopoly status, and sets up a dangerous precedent to allow our most free source of information to be less so. Net neutrality should be a given right in a country that values freedom of speech as inherent to a democratic society. Please consider the rights of the American citizens before moving forward in this dangerous direction.

1401. Cornelio Morales, San Antonio, TX, 78216

I support net neutrality. Title II's designation of the Internet as a utility should remain. Please do not ruin the internet by allowing businesses to circumvent the

net neutrality rules and create "fast lanes" or "slow lanes." Doing so would allow companies to hamper competition for various sites and demote the free expression of ideas.

1402. Blaine Scroggs, San Antonio, TX, 78213

I'm in favor of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. At the point that we've reached, the Internet has become a utility. Whether we like it or not, it stands to reason that a best effort approach of all signals being transmitted is best. We need to encourage Net Neutrality to ensure that the little guy can't be discriminated against and that service providers can't exhort companies to force higher charges that will then be passed on to consumers who are already having their budgets stretched to the breaking point.

1403. Tyler Olson, Austin, TX, 78703

I specifically support strong net neutrality that is by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please log this support. We need this to keep it strong.

1404. Rogers, Austin, TX, 78701

Please, for the love of God, don't do this. This is clearly an attempt to enable ISPs more freedom to do what they want, and I understand that! The ISPs are under major restrictions now, and that makes their job a little bit harder. But! Before we let them do whatever they want, let's look at the benefit of those restrictions on the other side: complete freedom for the users of their services. That's something that enables EVERY USER IN AMERICA to be confident that their information is safe, and their end-services are in effective competition, and not being unfairly treated by the ISPs. Also, it's ridiculous to say that ISPs are not Telecoms. Saying that just because they cache some data in order to provide their transmission services automatically makes them GENERATORS of such data makes no sense at all! Let's draw an analogy: If the Transportation department builds a new highway, and there's a shoulder, and a car pulls onto the shoulder so the driver can make a call, and then they hang up and start driving again, would anybody argue that the Transportation department "generated" that car? Of course not! It provided a small break for it as it transferred from one point to another! Who else acts like this? The ISPs!!! Please do not change the classification of ISPs from Telecom companies. I am very much in support of a strong and open Internet, and believe that Title II classification is the only sensible way to accomplish that within the current environment.

1405. Christina Feindel, Austin, TX, 78745

The internet is a vital utility for modern life, whether business or personal. Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II!

1406. Andrew Shiminski, Austin, TX, 78701

Classifying internet under Title II was the best decision the FCC has ever made

and rolling back this landmark ruling would be horrible for the American consumer. Net neutrality is needed to protect our internet, and unfortunately due to the ISP monopolies, their ability to buy politicians, and total lack of ISP competition, the only way to guarantee this is through Title II. If there were real competition among ISPs, consumers could simply chose the ISP that doesn't block or throttle content they want to access. But since most consumers only have one broadband choice, the ISP can do whatever they want without losing any customers. To claim the internet wasn't broken before 2015 is complete nonsense. Simply look at how Netflix was being throttled by ISPs and forced to pay extra money in order to get content to their users. Once they paid up, their service magically sped up. Users already pay for internet and already pay for Netflix, ISPs simply want to add even more to their record profits by blackmailing content providers. Once Title II was passed, there was also magically no more congestion or issues. ISPs have also admitted to their shareholders that Title II and net neutrality have not and will not hurt them. They simply lie about this to politicians and consumers as an excuse to get what they want. ISPs have also posted record profits and show no sign of being hurt by the Title II ruling. The American public has shown massive support for Title II and net neutrality, please don't let corporate interests/influence hurt consumers even more than they already have. Do the right thing and leave Title II alone.

1407. Krista Skujins, Austin, TX, 78701

Internet access is quickly becoming considered a basic human right, and jeopardizing net neutrality so that corporations can not only increase profits but also create bias in the form of content availability is a real shame. Please work on behalf of consumers and not corporations, and keep the internet open and unimpeded by corporate interests.

1408. Amanda Taylor, San Antonio, TX, 78209

For a country who prides its self on freedom, I find it deeply concerning to know that our internet freedom may become selectively limited for no reason but to benefit large corporations' bottom lines. A change to Title II will allow internet companies to alter their service in ways that behoove them and only them. I do not have money that these companies and lobbying groups have, but I do have a voice. Please consider the voice of the majority over the dollars of the minority. We already have some of the worst internet service in the industrialized world in terms of speed, price and service providers. Please, at least, preserve net neutrality and the protections that Title II affords internet's every day users. Thank you for your consideration.

1409. dustin, San Antonio, TX, 78259

I Support title 2. Please do not change net neutrality

1410. Anthony Bishop, San Antonio, TX, 78209
This will do nothing but increase the ISP's stranglehold on the market, reduce competition and increase monopoly. This is a ridiculous and egregious power grab by the massive ISPs. They should be regulated as utilities not given free reign to choose what we see.
1411. Bruce Balbin, San Antonio, TX, 78259
I urge the FCC to stand up for net neutrality and safeguard Title II protections. The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal to give the ISP providers, essentially monopoly providers, the authority to discriminate in data transmission, thereby stripping millions of users meaningful access and privacy protections we worked for and so recently won. I'm concerned about ISPs being allowed to discriminate against types of data or websites because we will have fewer options, and it could be more difficult or impossible for startup's and small service providers, such as Podcasts, to freely access the internet. The present Open Internet rules ensure that Internet providers can't slow or block our ability to see certain web services by charging extra money to reach people faster. That's the best way for our country to ensure the Internet remains a level playing field that benefits small and large businesses and consumers as well as entrenched Internet companies. Chairman Pai's proposed repeal of the rules would transform ISPs into unacceptable gatekeepers with the ability to veto new expression and innovation. That's not the kind of Internet I want to pass on to my children. Please uphold the existing Title II net neutrality rules!
1412. cullen rollins, San Marcos, TX, 78666
Do not repeal existing regulations. Net neutrality is internet freedom. Do not let trump screw up the internet.
1413. C. Baldwin, Austin, TX, 78741
I fully support Net neutrality and think the FCC should do everything in it's power to protect consumers from abuses of power by the Telecom industry, and to protect citizens' private information, and right to free access of the internet. Do your job, and say no to the lobbyists.
1414. Andrew Batista, Austin, TX, 78749
This bill is nothing more than an attempt for corporations to put their hands even deeper into the pockets of the american people who are already struggling to make ends meet. Offering up the common man as a sacrificial lamb to line your own pockets is deeply un-American and this bill is disgusting for allowing the opportunity.
1415. Patrick Cleary, San Antonio, TX, 78230
I support Title II being upheld by the FCC while also keeping ISP providers responsible for a free and open internet. The lack of competition for ISP

providers is already monopolistic, and allowing them to curtail small business to have open competition in the market only hinders innovation in America.

1416. Michael McClenny, San Antonio, TX, 78259
I am for the strictest net neutrality rules. I want Title II to remain.
1417. Allyson Goodpasture, New Braunfels, TX, 78130
Preserve net neutrality and title 2, for free and unrestricted sharing of information we must have this in place. If providers are able to filter which results we see and slow results not profitable to them, we lose the the beauty of the internet and all it means.
1418. Patrick Fleischmann, Austin, TX, 78745
I support net neutrality and will vote for polititians and leaders who also support it.
1419. Kathryn Leach, Austin, TX, 78748
Network neutrality is crucial for the growth of both new and established companies; virtually all sales, from the largest company to the smallest new business, now rely on the internet. Eliminating unfettered access to internet content and services would hamper these businessesâ€™ ability to grow and would negatively impact economic growth nationwide. Please protect net neutrality.
1420. Chris Hederman, Austin, TX, 78704
I urge you to reinstate net neutrality to keep the internet free and clear from monopolies
1421. Jaime Hirtz, Austin, TX, 78746
Preserve internet freedom! I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Do what you know is right for the people, not for corporations.
1422. Michael Dobson, Austin, TX, 78701
No one asked for this other than the telecom companies. Telecoms are naturally greedy, and they also face some pressure to find new revenue sources as people move away from cable television. Yet the Internet was designed as an open platform. It is not the place for a power grab of services, and the people will not stand for it.
1423. Athena Estrada, Austin, TX, 78745
Keep Title II on ISPs. Net neutrality HAS TO STAY. From a business prospective, net neutrality is the greatest chance our American innovators have to grow and thrive on an equal playing field when competing with major corporations. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!

1424. Nikkye Vargas, San Marcos, TX, 78666
To FCC Chairman Ajit Pai and Commissioner Michael O'Reilly:Gentlemen,To say that I am dismayed by the FCC's vote against Net Neutrality, on Thursday, May 18, 2017, would be an understatement. Your attempt to do away with Net Neutrality, in favor of what you are calling "internet freedom", is a clear case of quid pro quo, involving Mr Pai's former employer, Verizon Communications Inc., as well as other large telecom companies. Mr Oreilly's obstruction of the efforts of municipalities to provide their communities with free and/or reduced rate internet services does not go unnoticed. I would like to formally express my vehement opposition for yours, and the FCC's position in this matter, and I would urge you to reconsider your position, with respect to the freedom of information currently enjoyed by the people of these United States, rather than the profits of a few large telecom corporations. Enough is enough. Net Neutrality now! Net Neutrality forever!Thank you for your time and consideration.Sincerely,Nikkye VargasCitizen of the United States of America
1425. Amy Rost, Austin, TX, 78701
I specifically support strong net neutrality that's why I support Title 2 oversight of isp's.
1426. Daniel Rost, Austin, TX, 78701
I specifically support strong net neutrality that is why I support Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
1427. Christopher Avila, San Antonio, TX, 78213
ISP's should remain classified as a common carrier under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 and Section 706 of the Telecommunications act of 1996 to protect freedom for citizens. This proceeding being titled "Restoring Internet Freedom" is deceitful as it misrepresents what this change would mean for citizens.
1428. Dianna Faulk, San Antonio, TX, 78209
I am ashamed of this commission for putting corporate interests ahead of free speech and small businesses. By giving internet providers the PERMISSION to REGULATE ACCESS TO CONTENT you are destroying one of the only truly democratic institutions we have. Studies show slower speeds cause people to leave a website. You are allowing them to artificially induce that. The internet providers you have acquiesced to have already shown they cannot and should not be trusted with this power—they have historically placed their financial interests first and have no interest in the average American citizen. I want you to know that YOU, yes you, are crippling our democracy which will profoundly change your children's and future generations lives. Maybe try actually thinking about the long term for once. You're an embarrassment.

1429. Taylor Walla, AUSTIN, TX, 78704
stripping proposed net neutrality legislation is a terrible idea. internet "fast lanes" are doubletalk, in reality you're giving ISPs carte blanche to selectively disallow, censor, or throttle content based on arbitrary guidelines of their own choosing. any threat to net neutrality is a threat to free markets and to american technical innovation - one of the precious few areas that we still excel globally in. do you want to create a brain drain on high earning tech talent? keep going the way you're going with this bullshit and you'll get exactly that.
1430. Frank Ford, San Antonio, TX, 78258
I oppose the proposed FCC action. The old net neutrality rules should remain in effect. The internet was developed/invented with tax dollars. Therefore, it should be treated as a public asset, not the property of internet service providers.
1431. Roger Gordon, Austin, TX, 78704
Please uphold strong net neutrality rules! Voluntary agreements by the ISPs to not favor particular providers are completely insufficient.
1432. Martin Sherrill, Austin, TX, 78735
Please give consumers Net Transparency rather than Net Neutrality! Enabling public visibility into service level details will provide a basis for competition and free market solutions.
1433. Otis Grigsby, Austin, TX, 78704
Hi, please do not re-classify ISPs under title I and allow them to voluntarily agree to net neutrality. It makes no sense that enforcement is unnecessary given all of the evidence we've seen to the contrary. The Internet should be open and rolling back good regulations just for political purposes is foolish and does not achieve the common goal of openness. Please do not do this. Please protect the greatest economic engine this country has ever seen. It belongs to us all no matter who provides the access.
1434. Saranjit Kaur, Austin, TX, 78701
I unequivocally support strong NET NEUTRALITY
1435. Jeff Russell, Austin, TX, 78704
Consumer freedom is more important than corporate freedom. This is why we must maintain net neutrality.
1436. William P Kroll, San Marcos, TX, 78666
All I am here to say is that I am opposed to Proceeding 17-108, and would much prefer that internet access continue to be protected under Title 2 Telecommunications standards.
1437. John Fisher, San Antonio, TX, 78216

Net neutrality is vital to the survival of the Internet and democracy. Please keep the power to choose in the hands of the paying users. To succumb to the few providers' wills destroys the safety of free will--much like terrorists are trying to do, true? Support and insure net neutrality.

1438. David Butler, Austin, TX, 78745

I strongly support the concept of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. DO NOT roll back these Title II protections. It would be just another example of the current administration's determination to reverse anything and everything the Obama administration accomplished, regardless of the harm it would produce in our country.

1439. Martin Fass, Garden Ridge, TX, 78266

Expressing my strong support for net neutrality under Title 2.

1440. Jay Fisher, Alamo Heights, TX, 78209

Please keep the net neutrality Title II rules. This will keep a few large companies from unduly influencing what we can do with the Internet, and keep the Internet safe for new startups.

1441. Kelsey Parr, Austin, TX, 78701

Please uphold strict net neutrality.

1442. ALFREDO VELASQUEZ, San Antonio, TX, 78259

Please preserve Internet Neutrality under Title 2.

1443. Fawn Pruet, Austin, TX, 78704

Do not mess with net neutrality. Giving the internet to corporations and government would be a disaster and force other options to be developed. Limitations on the free exchange of information is a limitation on human progress, advancement of knowledge, our economy, and democracy itself. Letting corporations chose what is freely exchanged goes against everything it means to be an American. Please do not destroy net neutrality.

1444. null, San Antonio, TX, 78266

Keep the internet equal if you value innovation more than your bribes

1445. Darren Hoops, Austin, TX, 78736

The removal of net neutrality protections acts against the common American. I do not support the action taken to rollback the protections that have allowed American citizens equal access to the internet.

1446. Maureen McKeon, Austin, TX, 78704

Please keep the net neutrality regulations in place under title II. I do not want my isp to control my internet experience.

1447. Colin Grant, Austin, TX, 78741
I believe the FCC's proposal introduces too great a risk of collusion and vertical integration between content providers and content deliverers (ISP's), and so must be opposed. The proposed reforms will allow such entities to impose strong monetary and performance-based incentives on their users with the effect of restricting users freedom to access content and information on the web. This goes against the spirit of the internet, places excessive power in the hands of large incumbent corporations, and raises undue barriers to the entry of new competitors. It is bad for consumers, and bad for innovation and the American spirit of entrepreneurship, and runs counter to the mandate of a public regulator.
1448. Sara Cruz, Austin, TX, 78703
Please do not reverse Title II. Please do the right thing!
1449. Ryan Miller, Austin, TX, 78704
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight for ISPs. I believe that any change to current regulation of net neutrality presents a truly sinister opportunity for the control of information and access to information by a small group of private companies. The Internet is the great equalizer of our society in terms of education and information access. Please do not make any changes to how ISPs are classified under Title 2
1450. Karen Kelly, Austin, TX, 78745
I fully support net neutrality and title II. Do not let the internet be suppressed by ISPs.
1451. nicholas lopez, San antonio, TX, 78006
Please keep title 2 in place to control net neutrality of ISP. We do not want our internet compromised
1452. Michelle Friesenhahn, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Keep the playing field even. Net Neutrality needs to be under the purview of Title 2.
1453. Brennan, Spring Branch, TX, 78070
Title 2 regulations were put in place to protect the rights of consumers and should remain. The FCC is supposed to protect the citizens of the US and not corporations. Allowing companies to slow down content or block it entirely because it is not owned by them is wrong and the essence of what preserving net neutrality is about. Do not allow the regulations which were put in place to protect our access to all content to be over turned or otherwise corrupted. Thank you for your attention.
1454. Mark Greco, Austin, TX, 78735
I strongly support net neutrality with Title II oversight. Please stop supporting

terrible decisions that no one would ever vote for, e.g., allowing ISPs to sell our browser history. Keep the Internet free!

1455. Dianne Eichenseer, Austin, TX, 78749
Keep ISPs governed under Title II
1456. Deborah Tate, Austin, TX, 78745
Net neutrality must be maintained to protect free speech. The internet is the only place left in American society where a citizen does not have to spend large amounts of money to be heard by large numbers of people. The internet is our modern public forum. Killing net neutrality is the modern equivalent of charging a fee to enter the town square, stand on a soapbox, and give a speech.
1457. Robert Yang, San antonio, TX, 78232
I explicitly support net neutrality under the current framework of Title II. We the people do not want net neutrality to be pulled.
1458. Net Neutrality, San Marcos, TX, 78666
DO NOT REMOVE TITLE 2 AND ITS REGULATIONS ON INTERNET PROVIDERS. WE NEED NET NEUTRALITY, SO THAT I CAN KEEP WATCHING LAST WEEK TONIGHT WITH JON OLIVER WITHOUT YOU SLOWING DOWN MY INTERNET BECAUSE YOU DON'T LIKE HIS TRUTHS.
1459. Kayla Sokol, Austin, TX, 78745
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not ruin what is already working, by screwing up a good thing. Thanks
1460. Lata Karna, Austin, TX, 78704
Chairman Pai's proposed plan to repeal net neutrality protections would put a huge burden on microbusinesses like mine. By rolling back the bright line rules that ensure net neutrality, Chairman Pai is not only taking away our livelihood, he is also putting up barriers to entrepreneurship for a whole cohort of Americans. My business growth depends on equal access to consumers. Any rule that allows broadband providers to negotiate special deals with some companies would undermine my ability to compete online. We need a free and open internet that works for everyone, not just telecom companies that stand to benefit from the FCC's proposed rules. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding and to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II and microbusinesses like mine. Thank you! Lata Karna
1461. Courtney Stoker, Austin, TX, 78741
I support strong net neutrality, supported by Title II. Do not strip it!

1462. Pat Krouse, Austin, TX, 78701

I encourage all to support a free and open internet under Title II. Please support net neutrality on behalf of startups and small businesses to continue to create jobs in the US and help the American people.

1463. Eric, San Antonio, TX, 78248

Title 2 is the only ground that we have. DO NOT GET RID OF IT.-The People

1464. Nicole Goad-Hayes, Austin, TX, 78735

The FCC should serve to protect the rights of consumers and not those of big business. I'm asking you to safeguard net neutrality by rejecting Chairman Ajit Pai's plan. The only interests who will gain anything from this proposed law are Comcast, Verizon and AT&T, who are already recipients of large government subsidies. They will be able to control who has access to the internet and what websites one can view. I'm worried that these titans will be given carte blanche to do whatever they want with our data. The bill provides little to no oversight in how these companies would be regulated. Imagine a world where Comcast charges less money to Facebook to operate because they bring in large advertising dollars but makes Wikipedia pay double because they don't represent a strong revenue source for the organization. What will happen to small sites run by local businesses? How much will a local restaurant pay to drive up traffic to their site? What will the structure look like? These are questions I haven't seen answered nor seen taken seriously by anyone at the FCC. Our nation is built on diversity and so should our internet options. I'm concerned will exist in a future where the only opportunities for online growth will be occurring for organizations with large budgets and good lobbying skills. The existing regulations we have in place ensure that ISPs can't slow down access or even block access to web services, nor can they engage in data discrimination by charging online services and websites money to reach customers faster. These rules ensure our internet supports free speech, civic organizational opportunities and solid, fair competition. Please don't grant ISP titans the ability to destroy anyone who won't "pay-to-play". I use the internet daily and I love being able to explore new website sites with all of the options right at my fingertips. Let's keep the Title II net neutrality rules right where they are so internet users like me are protected. Thank you, Nicole Goad-Hayes

1465. Andy Wigginton, Austin, TX, 78704

Removing net neutrality will be a blow to small businesses (of which I am one) and a boon to corporate America.

1466. Jeffrey C Guidry, Austin, TX, 78704

Dear Mr. Pai, Please maintain Title II classification for Internet Service Providers and support net neutrality.

1467. Brad Smith, Austin, TX, 78748
Keeping access equal for all content is critical for innovation. If a content provider can pay extra to have better speeds, large companies will have a major advantage over small companies like startups. And if the content provider and the internet service provider are the same, they'll have an unbeatable advantage. This is very bad for free enterprise. I've heard the new director say that it's better to wait for an infraction before making new rules. But if there are no rules guaranteeing neutrality, how would anyone know about the "infraction", since it's not "wrong"?
1468. Ryan Myers, austin, TX, 78735
Keep the internet the way it is. Keep title 2 regulations. Internet service providers, or any business in general, can't be trusted to not try and make extra money off of consumers.
1469. Robert J Cardenas, Buda, TX, 78610
Please preserve net neutrality and the Title 2 classification for ISP's.
1470. Patience Cain, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Net neutrality is an absolute must for a free society!
1471. Megan Lane, San Antonio, TX, 78209
PLEASE PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II! HASN'T OUR COUNTRY SUFFERED ENOUGH AT THIS POINT???
1472. greg hirsh, austin, TX, 78704
Net neutrality should be preserved under the current title 2 classification.
1473. Patricia ford, Austin, TX, 78745
Etsy Shop Fiberfigments.etsy.comDear FCC, I'm just a small business Dba fiber figments on Etsy.com. There are many small businesses such as mine who need the support of a free internet. Please reconsider and oppose Pai's plan. Thank you.Patricia ford
1474. Tonianne Guerrero, Medina, TX, 78055
Restricting internet speeds and bandwidth is all about profits, nothing else. Cars wiped out the horse industry, digital cameras took over the old film cameras, Netflix closed down the video stores. Progress comes with change, and change comes with loss of profits in certain fields. Those people move to new areas and careers. Trying to force it to keep generating a profit is like demanding Blockbuster is reinstated and everyone has to buy a VCR machine. Growth and progress will come from allowing the internet to open up to more users and faster speeds. Blocking or slowing down internet speeds is ONLY about short-term profits to the companies who provide it, in the same format as if Blockbuster had demanded that everyone owned a VCR machine. It makes no

long term sense for the profitability of all businesses. Please don't eliminate the Title II classification.

1475. Chris Impicciche, Austin, TX, 78741

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me, Chris Impicciche. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. These are un-American ideas. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Now or ever. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Simply put, it's a dick move. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. (Comcast is routinely voted the worst customer service in America) Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Chris Impicciche

1476. Molly McKee, Austin, TX, 78748

It is important to me that the FCC keep strong Net Neutrality rules based on Title II of the Communications Act, giving internet users the strongest protections possible.

1477. John M. Huntington, Austin, TX, 78745

Existing telecommunications companies have piggybacked on public infrastructure to reach their current size and profit; to allow them to set policy for how public telecommunications may be used is a gross abdication of the

FCC's responsibilities. The principle of net neutrality protects a resource which belongs to the American people - and not to the special interests who exploit it for profit - to keep it free and accessible. Public waterways can be utilized in certain ways by businesses, but they cannot be owned and operated in such a way as to exclude citizens from accessing them. This is true even if parts of the waterway are privately improved. Similarly, no private entity has, nor should have, the right to throttle, censor, or otherwise interfere with traffic in the space of public discourse and information, even if they have improved the physical infrastructure of the telecommunications system. Handing over control of these resources to private entities is a grave security risk to the nation; a betrayal of the FCC's mission; and arguably an infringement on citizens' legal rights.

1478. Matthew Langbehn, Austin, TX, 78703
Net Neutrality is an important part of our society. Keep ISPs regulated under Title II.
1479. Thomas Petmecky, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133
I strongly support strong net neutrality rules! I support Title II oversight!
1480. Jason Kupka, Austin, TX, 78735
Hey Ajit, I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs; which will continue to ensure a free and open internet like we've had for decades. And yes, there is (non-hypothetical) evidence of ISPs engaging in rampant wrongdoing in the marketplace on a widespread level -- which is why this re-categorization was originally necessary. Jason Kupka
1481. Eric Englert, Austin, TX, 78701
Net neutrality is a key principle and required for a truly free and open internet. To call removing the provision, "Restoring Internet Freedom" is insulting to our intelligence as people of the United States. We cannot get rid of net neutrality. Service providers have a monopoly in many areas, and they've shown time and time again they need to be regulated and do not act in good faith.
1482. Shawn Billings , San Marcos , TX, 78666
Leave net neutrality alone. It's only fair
1483. Carlos J Matos, Austin, TX, 78704
Despite the comically Orwellian name, there is absolutely nothing about this proposal that will help keep American businesses more competitive in an increasingly information-driven world. Allowing ISPs to pick and choose which traffic receives preferential treatment will kill innovation and make it nigh impossible for small businesses dependent on internet access to operate unless they want to fork over extra money to be on the fast lane. It will accelerate America's descent into pure oligarchy as technology giants are effectively able to pick winners and losers as they see fit. In an environment where giant

telecoms with little interest in anything other than maximizing shareholder profit suddenly become both gatekeeper and keyholder, innovation will die a slow death. The next Amazon, Netflix, or Google won't be an American company if this comes to pass—it will be born elsewhere, in a country with a more enlightened approach to internet access.

1484. Internet neutrality, Austin, TX, 78746
I support strong internet Neutrality. Please, make sure it happens.
1485. Brendon Fallquist, Austin, TX, 78705
We asked once, and succeeded now you want to change. Keep the internet truly free and open with strictly enforceable rules under Title 2. Changing this will do everything but help the internet thrive.
1486. Della Fernandez, Austin, TX, 78704
I strongly support net neutrality as it is under the Title Two regulations.
1487. Janine Garcia, San Antonio, TX, 78231
I believe in a free and open internet! Overly-regulating the internet is a slippery-slope. Please treat all of our data on the internet equally.!
1488. Angus McLeod IV, Austin, TX, 78749
Moving the regulations for ISPs from Title II back down to Title I would be a huge mistake. Net Neutrality is incredibly important to ensuring the free flow of information to consumers across this country. Additionally, reduced regulation of ISPs will limit the growth potential of online startup businesses. If only the biggest companies can pay to get through the ISP sieve, then smaller, poorer companies will be at a loss. Like many aspects of our economy, deregulation will lead to consolidation, less innovation, fewer consumer options, and ultimately higher prices (either directly or indirectly). DO NOT REPEAL NET NEUTRALITY RULES.
1489. Bradley K. Button, Austin, TX, 78745
I adamantly oppose any Title I reclassification of current ISP regulations. Rules governing the ISP's should remain under current Title II classification and oversight indefinitely.
1490. Stephen Sobek, Austin, TX, 78704
I strongly support keeping net neutrality as it is, and keeping it under Title II of the Communications Act.
1491. j, Austin, TX, 78702
Preserve net neutrality and title II regulations!!! The internet needs to be free and open not controlled by corporate ISP fuckoboy!!!

1492. April Murphy, Austin, TX, 78748
Please ensure that the internet remains neutral. I use this technology daily for help with my work, my education, and my life. I schedule doctor's appointments online. I keep in contact with my family online. I believe that the FCC should continue protecting consumers from invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by broadband providers.
1493. Lauren Collamore, Austin, TX, 78704
I believe in free speech and that every business deserves to be able to be compete with "the big guys". Please uphold net neutrality
1494. Nancy N Anguiano, San Antonio, TX, 78217
Our privacy on the internet must be private and big companies have no right to censor people and perspectives online. We the People of the USA, ask FCC to fine these companies for violation of our Civil Rights under the 1st Amendment to the United States Constitutions. If people are censored, these companies must pay heavy fines. Fines should to children of the family and thier families for a free education or Grant.
1495. Samuel Watt, Manchaca, TX, 78652
Everyone deserves the right to expand their minds at the same speed no matter their financial background.
1496. Apgar Tamara, San Antonio, TX, 78209
I want the FCC to maintain Net Neutrality so that all Americans get equal access to information, without censorship or transmission interference. Having large corporations control information is a violation of our First Amendment rights. I want all information delivered uniformly rather than having large corporations decide how to shape my access to the internet for their benefit, not the benefit of the consumer.
1497. John Weston, San Antonio, TX, 78217
Please support net neutrality. As a citizen and a voter I believe that such a positive source for information should NOT be given over to corporate interests to decide what information should be given priority based on their bottom line-
\$\$MONEY\$\$!
1498. Brenda Venable, San Antonio, TX, 78232
I am disappointed that net neutrality is being threatened by an agency of my government. I want to maintain my ability to view what I choose, to say what I choose, as often as I choose. Easing regulations in any business only benefits the ones at the top of the pyramid, where profit is reaped. Regulations provide a semblance of fairness, and they protect individuals from corporate greed. And, no matter WHAT the Supreme Court says, corporations are NOT people. Easing regulations on the internet will skew it toward corporate interests, toward

censorship, and away from unfettered liberty and pursuit of happiness, as set forth in the Declaration of Independence in 1776. It was a good idea in 1776, and it is still a good idea in 2017. Keep the net NEUTRAL.

1499. Philip Johnson, New Braunfels, TX, 78230

You should leave net neutrality alone. My access is just as important as anyone else's. Fairness is needed and demanded.

1500. Whitney Torres, Buda, TX, 78610

I trust the uncensored nature of the internet to document, store, and reference all kinds of things. If there were a governing body which was responsible for oversight and censorship, that trust and sense of freedom of information would be gone. It's like Space: it belongs to everyone. You can't plant a flag in space (notwithstanding the irony of the USA moon landing). Thank you to the FCC for supporting net neutrality.

1501. robert a. richey, austin, TX, 78736

Ending net neutrality is an attack on free speech. Whether we agree with what someone says or not, they should be free to express him/herself.

1502. Bruce Kinzy, Bulverde, TX, 78163

I'm in favor of the internet remain net neutral. In my opinion if special favors are allowed for big companies no new innovative companies will stand a chance or rather even less of a chance than they have now.

1503. Mary Pattie Butters, Center Point, TX, 78010

As a teacher by profession, I think it is extremely important that net neutrality be protected. The net allows people of any race, limited finances, and limited education to learn. I met a woman who helped her daughter who had a sick child. The grandmother (who had a limited education) went on line and enabled her daughter to communicate with the doctor so that the child got the right medication. I met a 90 year old man with limited education. He went on line and learned about the world and how to travel; thus enabling him to travel abroad. I live in a small town with no library. To use a library that is in the county, but not in the city with the library, a person must pay an annual fee, which a lot of people can't afford. I have a bachelor's degree and a masters, but I have still benefited from net neutrality.

1504. Jackie De Hon, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133

The Internet was built through the hard work and participation of many thousands of people. IT--LIKE THE AIRWAVES--BELONGS TO THE PEOPLE. You must NOT allow greedy corporations to control or profit from this vital "Heartbeat of the World!" KEEP OUR INTERNET OPEN AND FREE TO ALL!!! Jackie De Hon, Ph.D.

1505. William Summers, Austin, TX, 78749
I believe that net neutrality is of paramount importance to assure that the Internet will remain free of all interference from large companies, ISPs and others. The internet has fostered a place where new ideas can be freely explored and critically examined without interference. If net neutrality is abandoned then in my opinion ideas, small businesses and creative innovators and innovations will suffer in the United States. Please don't end or gut net neutrality. I have watched ideas and products and innovations expand since the first bulletin boards came in to existence. Don't choke all this freedom.
1506. Hayden Yates, Kyle, TX, 78640
Please protect net neutrality....
1507. Ingrid Gillespie, Austin, TX, 78733
Protect net neutrality! Consumers want to be able to use the net for free speech. People want to be able to choose what to watch and do online. Entrepreneurs and innovators need to be able to easily reach new users. Please choose to support and protect the precious rights of the individual consumer.
1508. K, Bulverde, TX, 78163
I dont have any desire to be controlled like a sheep.
1509. Michele Lawrence, Austin, TX, 78704
1st amendment allows us for net neutrality. You all are for smaller government so act like it. Start working for the people and not corporations who want to restrict others for profit.
1510. Rick Juarez, San Antonio, TX, 78261
This is what makes America great. Leave our internet free!
1511. MaryLee Calmes, Kerrville, TX, 78028
Net neutrality is very important to me, everything in this country is over regulated. Stay out of it. As a small business owner I could never compete without net neutrality.
1512. James Pridgen, San Antonio, TX, 78232
Please leave the net alone. I don't think regulation is a good thing, in this case. Net neutrality MUST be protected.
1513. Wyatt Sheffield, San Marcos, TX, 78666
An open internet is vital to competition for small and large businesses alike. Without an open internet in today's connected age, would-be entrepreneurs will have no chance to live out the American Dream.
1514. Bob Brown, Austin , TX, 78703

Please keep the net neutral! Don't hand over yet another public freedom to corporations. Our country is already owned and controlled by a select few. Keep freedom of speech and ideas out of private hands.

1515. Autumn L., Austin, TX, 78704

Net neutrality should be protected. Please do not pass any measures that would change our ability to freely interact with the information available online.

1516. Jeanne Evans, Austin, TX, 78704

Destroying net neutrality would close the doors to an open and free exchange of ideas. Please preserve it. It's one of our most important venues as we move forward as a united global community. Thank you.

1517. Howard Urick III, San Antonio, TX, 78216

Freedom of speech is one of the basic principles upon which this nation was founded. Any attack on freedom of speech is an attack on the American people.

1518. Rowan Stone, San Marcos, TX, 78666

I will not stand for the internet to be owned and exploited by big business at the expense of free speech and small businesses. The internet has always belonged to the people and it needs to stay that way for the health of this country and its economy.

1519. Drew, Austin, TX, 78745

I strongly urge you not to mess with net neutrality. Free speech, entrepreneurship and the internet as we know it now are so important to our society. Please keep the net neutral.

1520. Laura Strickland, Kerrville, TX, 78028

Abolishing net neutrality is a sell out of the American people to gate keepers!

1521. John Taylor, Pipe Creek, TX, 78063

Net neutrality = free speech, little guy chooses not the big companies. Small business need support. Not so much the large companies. Why is this even being considered?? Could it be the \$\$\$\$\$? Please do what's right for the majority. Thanks if someone read who cares.

1522. Justin Furr, Comfort, TX, 78028

Freedom of information has a huge impact on true democracy, especially in a time where "fake news" is actually being spouted from the White House, independent news outlets need net neutrality to spread truth.

1523. Gary R Fowler, San Marcos, TX, 78666

Please do not abridge my right to free speech as guaranteed in the Constitution. Retain net neutrality and retain my right to unfettered choice of what I watch on

the Internet.

1524. Dominic Cavallini, Pipe Creek, TX, 78063
Freedom and Liberty - Nothing Else
1525. Kimmie Boydston, San Marcos, TX, 78666
America is the land of the free, and of the free market. This idea to hand over one of our first amendment rights goes against both of these beliefs, and is unconstitutional. The people, not big corporations, not the government, are in charge of the internet.
1526. Whitney Borgman, Austin, TX, 78704
Do not allow corporations to buy First Amendment rights. Please stop the repeal of net neutrality regulations.
1527. James Oberkrom, Austin, TX, 78704
Net neutrality is one only way to keep the internet fair to everyone regardless of assets.
1528. James Carson, Canyon Lake , TX, 78133
So this is how we are going to drain the swamp! Putting large corporations in-charge of anything is a bad idea! FCC just do your job - monitoring the internet is part of that!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
1529. John Reilly, Kyle, TX, 78640
Without Net Neutrality, we will be making another large stride towards the oligarchy which will eventually kill our democratic way of life.
1530. Lee Anne Reams, San Antonio, TX, 78216
Please leave the power of choice with the people and not with big business. Americans cherish our freedoms, and this is a part of free speech that the framers of the Constitution would certainly have included if the Internet had been around in the 18th century!
1531. sara miller, ausitn, TX, 78704
I dont need or want anyone to tell me what I can and cant do on the internet, what I can and cant see, what I can and cant buy or access, so please, keep the net neutral!
1532. Mingo, San Antonio, TX, 78216
The internet was created to allow free speech and net neutrality allows just that. Free speech is fundamental to a healthy internet. Big business has NO business dictating to internet uses.....now or ever.
1533. T. Pedersen, Austin, TX, 78749

I support net neutrality for the simple reason that this great resource, the internet, must be free and open for all so that free exchange of information and ideas can continue to improve society. It's a public trust. Don't betray it for the sake of profiteering.

1534. Adam Shutz, San Marcos , TX, 78666
I prize my privacy. The new rules to upend net neutrality would be a serious and devastating blow to not only privacy but to the internet as a whole, diminishing one of the greatest inventions of the 21st century. Orwell warned of the government turning into big brother. But what we needed to fear, and what Orwell never could have guessed, was giant cable companies becoming big brother.
1535. Ann Hilborn Bowen, Spring Branch, TX, 78070
Turning the Internet over to large corporations is akin moves us one step closer to control by government and big business. First you attack the media, then you take control of the people's means of communication and one more freedom is taken from you, one piece at a time.
1536. Douglas Varga, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624
The FCC's proposal would put Internet service providers like in charge of what I see and do online. In short, thev FCC wants to make the internet a playground for big cable companies, at the expense of my consumer choice and my freedom. Please back off!
1537. William J. Beres, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Please let the small businesses survive on their ownwithout being censored by big companies.
1538. Jeremy Gooch, Austin, TX, 78704
I care about free speech and I don't believe a handful of private companies should not be allowed to censor in any way the rest of the public. The future of our economy depends on a free and open internet.
1539. William nolan, Kerrville, TX, 78028
I believe the internet is working well now. If placed under government control or under the cover of cable companies, which can not work together nor do they work well by themselves. The neutrality we have today would be lost. There would be constant infighting to gain control and for the highest profit. Left alone the internet will work well.
1540. Robert Hurst, Austin, TX, 78745
Getting rid of net neutrality is not what the public wants and it stifles creativity. New startups will not be playing on an even playing field.

1541. Beki Halpin, Austin, TX, 78736
Net neutrality is important for small business entrepreneurship and success. We need the little guys to be able to compete with the big guys online, fairly, with equal access or the small business person will gradually fade as they try to push up a steeper and steeper hill if net neutrality is eliminated.
1542. John Cesar, San Antonio, TX, 78230
The internet is a vast, wonderful, and most importantly, completely free space for all types of content, opinions, and ideas. It deserves to remain that way with ZERO influence from the companies that provide our link to the internet. This is how it has always been, how I have loved it, and how I want it to remain; beautiful, impartial, and even a bit chaotic. Please preserve net neutrality.
1543. Ellen Cabluck, Austin, TX, 78704
The danger in allowing any special interest control the internet is real. We must maintain net neutrality to keep that from happening.
1544. Lisa Maenpaa , San Antonio , TX, 78209
Net Neutrality is extremely important. Having a fair and open Internet that is free from corporate manipulation is critical to its future function. A vast majority of the public supports net neutrality. Please do the right thing and preserve our open Internet.
1545. Matthew Ulmer, San Antonio, TX, 78232
Please do not err with the wrong side of history where net neutrality is concerned. Think of technology in the long term, and how the FCC can encourage competition that better allows all economic brackets to information, not stifle it. Please maintain the balance of regulation, as you have done in the past, for the good of the people.
1546. Dianne Bowen, Boerne, TX, 78006
Consumers should be able to freely and quickly access their preferred content on the Internet. Protect our right to free access. Leave net neutrality ALONE!
1547. Charles Willgren, Blanco, TX, 78606
An open, unthrottled Internet is essential to the free movement of information and the market. Allowing a few large corporations to throttle or disable websites in order to extort more money from the consumers or the websites (or protect a monopoly on their own services) will destroy the Internet.
1548. Nicholas Robert Walker, San Antonio, TX, 78231
Net neutrality must be protected by you (The FCC) because Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon will inevitably exploit the free internet for monetary means. We have already seen these three companies in particular do this with cable, radio, and phone services which have left consumers with little choice. This will harm

the global public in ways we cannot even imagine yet. Respectfully, I declare it is your duty as the Federal Communications Commission to protect the public (me) against the communication sector's share of corporate greed and to uphold the free internet as it stands today.

1549. Ronald Culp, Kerrville, TX, 78028

Keep the internet open! Net neutrality is fundamental to my constitutional right to free speech. It protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started from being squelched by big companies pushing their own agendas. Net neutrality allows me as a consumer "not big companies" to choose what I watch & do online.

1550. Eric A. Barth, San Antonio, TX, 78217

As with a free press, a free Internet without excessive commercialization or suppression of responsible differing views is essential to a truly free society.

1551. Michelle Stern, Austin, TX, 78701

Please keep laws allowing consumers to choose what exactly they want to view intact. It needs to be our choice to choose what we see not an internet service provider or corporation.

1552. gregory lynch, fredericksburg, TX, 78624

we will have no freedom online if this bs passes.

1553. Alan Ogden, Austin, TX, 78704

Net neutrality works for all and maintaining it is the only appropriate approach for a public utility like the internet. To do otherwise sacrifices the democratic values of our forefathers.

1554. Katherine Koen, Austin, TX, 78704

I consider this a First Amendment issue. Corporations should not have the power to silence individuals.

1555. W. O'Brien, Austin, TX, 78701

Net Neutrality is central to innovation and economic opportunity for a range of technologies. Internet is a form of public good - it should not be controlled by corporate interests.

1556. JJ Garcia, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133

I am currently employed from home. This is very helpful as my wife is an active Army reservist. This allows me to take care of the kids while she serves our country. Any slowdown on my internet connectivity would have a negative impact on my ability to work from home. Please DO NOT proceed with this really bad idea.

1557. Charles Pendleton, Kerrville, , TX, 78028
I personally will give up the internet for good...
1558. Ignacio Salas-Humara, Comfort, TX, 78013
Please leave net neutrality alone. It is the single best thing for an open democracy. Please do not hand it over control to any entity; that's what they do in China and North Korea. Thank you for your consideration.
1559. Patricia Rangubphai, Kerrville, TX, 78029
IT is always a mess when big companies take over something such as the internet. And it always gets very expensive as those companies take over. We have done fine for many years the way it is. Like they say when something is working do not try to fix it.
1560. Phillip Wood, San Marcos, TX, 78666
Free speech is essential to prevent what the propagandists want you to hear and see. Everybody should be free to seek their own truth. When information is controlled by few, it leaves the others in the dark. Light is rarely offered by those in power, because in doing so, the slaves might be free.
1561. Steven Braver, Austin, TX, 78735
The internet has become a dominant platform for research, debate and discussion. Ending net neutrality will allow ISPs to infringe on the free speech rights of Americans by slowing down non-commercial and traffic. It will also increase costs for any content provider who is concerned about speed of delivery. The internet must be open to everyone on an equal footing just like the broadcast spectrum and telecommunications infrastructure
1562. Anita Crane, Kerrville, TX, 78028
Internet neutrality gives every user a fair deal.
1563. Liz Turner, San Marcos, TX, 78666
Your duty as appointees by representatives of we, the common constituents of the United States, is to protect free speech and other basic rights online and across other modes of communication. We already live in a time of mass information manipulation. Giving giant companies free reign to control what they do and do not want to be seen on the internet will infringe on the right to assembly and protest. Net neutrality must be protected in order to preserve our existing democracy. Do your job.
1564. Kamry, San Marcos, TX, 78666
We're in the land of the free! America was founded to allow everyone the same rights, with out fear of persecution. Handing over the internet to corporations and companies? Our founding fathers did not have the technology we do today, but rest assured they would have fought to keep the internet, along with her

people, free from any reign or tyranny that would hinder rights in any fashion. Let us not monopolize the internet. Let us come together as free individuals to maintain, protect, and advance internet capabilities and all technologies involved.

1565. Elizabeth Lambert, Fischer, TX, 78623
Without net neutrality, ISPs could decide that I watched too many videos on Netflix or used the Internet too much in one day and then throttle my Internet speeds, while keeping their own video apps running smoothly.
1566. Paula smuck , Austin , TX, 78741
Please don't do this. It only hurts small business and we need all the help we can get. Stop with the preference for the giant players. And while I at it, bust up media consolidation. It is hurting democracy
1567. Robert Sandoval, San Antonio , TX, 78230
I thought President Trump his campaign for the little guy on the street who elected him and now we're supposed to give up free speech, new innovation and freedom of choice on the Net to large companies who already control communication via cell phone and cable options. I think NOT.
1568. Danny, Austin, TX, 78745
Because I want my internet left alone.
1569. William Wittman, San Antonio, TX, 78217
It is extremely important that the American People have Net Neutrality! This is a right given to us by our fore Fathers-the right to freedom and happiness!! We should have the right to privacy!!
1570. Gary Coulton, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Dear Sir or Madam:It's apparent to me that net neutrality is an essential aspect of free speech in society today. Please do not infringe upon this right. Thank you.
1571. David Todd, Austin, TX, 78704
I urge the FCC to protect net neutrality. As a small, non-profit developer of online educational materials, we are deeply concerned that our First Amendment rights will be abridged by larger and commercial operations that are able to pay for priority in content delivery. Thanks for considering our views.
1572. Michael Hartan, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624
Please do not eliminate net neutrality. It will be an expense the people do not need to be saddled with at this time. It works fine now. Why let a few big corporations expand and take over the internet ? That is not a good decision for any one but them.

1573. Greg Janecek, New Braunfels , TX, 78130
The Internet should remain neutral. Allowing companies to limit Internet speeds and the content that passes through their servers is a strike against free speech. Our freedom of speech is what make America one of the greatest countries in the world.
1574. Carolyn Mann, Austin, TX, 78704
Net Neutrality is free speech. It works well - for everyone. It gives one-person businesses to huge corporations a fair chance. KEEP net Neutrality!
1575. Earl Eubanks, San Antonio, TX, 78217
Don't let big money take control of the internet. Keep the internet freeSan
1576. Jay Vasquez, Austin, TX, 78723
The internet is like the wilderness, we need to be free to explore and roam!
1577. james brisbin, spring branch, TX, 78070
i support net neutrality. iam a small time entrepreneur and my web marketing is critical. i am afraid more regulation will inhibit my ability to attract more customers. i also believe that if we don't enforce Title II rules it is contrary to our freedom of the press.
1578. Van Jackson, San Antonio, TX, 78258
The Internet is the most important invention in the last 100 years. What makes it thrive is the creativity and innovation of millions of people across the globe. It is the only true Democratic platform for anyone to use. The last thing we need is for Corporate America, especially Big Cable companies to dictate and control content and access. Please keep the Internet free.
1579. Chris Pieper, Austin, TX, 78745
The FCC would best serve the American people, and the global connected population, by maintaining Title II oversight of ISP's. Strong Net Neutrality enforcement is the only way to guarantee an open and free internet economy. Allowing ISP's to put their financial interests before their customers' privacy is nothing more than selling out the American people.
1580. debbie schiller, austin, TX, 78735
I support net neutrality 100%. More and more of our freedoms, thoughts and ideas are getting influenced by big money and big business. Lets protect individuals to be just that INDIVIDUAL. Sounding more and more like Russia and Hitler's Germany..Too much power to the elite.
1581. Joshua Wisdom, Austin, TX, 78704
Access to a free and open internet is and integral part of the fabric of our society. I strongly urge the FCC to protect net neutrality, so the citizens it is

chartered with serving can remain free. Furthermore, loosening protections on net neutrality will also decrease competition in the market, as large companies will pressure smaller companies out of existence via throttling and paid access schemes which small innovators can simply not afford.

1582. Nancy Terry, San Antonio, TX, 78215
Just the mention of AT&T alone is enough turn me off. Give it a chance to control the internet is threatening. Using gov't OK's results in a minimum of 5 items (usually mail) soliciting to have all media with them. There control keeps me from finding better service. Imagine internet control.
1583. L. D. Hamilton, Bulverde, TX, 78163
I believe in net neutrality. The internet is for public use not big business.
1584. WL Caldwell, Boerne, TX, 78006
Please do not stifle net neutrality.
1585. Bobby Zirkel, Medina, TX, 78055
Please do not penalize us by closing and open and free Web. Leave it alone....it does not need to be regulated or owned by the government of a free people.
1586. Andrew Meyer, San Antonio, TX, 78209
A free internet is the only way to keep democracy strong. When you commercialize it you ruin it.
1587. beth LaPenna, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624
I am for a net neutrality - that is open to all, doesn't choose favorites and gives each of us the privacy we deserve. Please hear us.
1588. Eric Lamberson, Garden Ridge, TX, 78266
I believe that the full resources of the Internet and means to operate on it should be easily accessible to all individuals, companies and organizations without restriction or censorship. I strongly support net neutrality principles.
1589. Susan D. Pendleton, Kerrville, TX, 78028
I am very concerned about the issue of internet neutrality. Our Government, funded by We the People, created the internet, and we expect it to be kept open and free for our use. Do NOT hand over OUR internet to big corporations. It seems that all the wealth is being taken from the people and given to the rich. Not acceptable!
1590. Isaiah White, Austin, TX, 78745
Keep the Internet Open and Free, an ISP has no right to monitor my internet activity and throttle me as they see fit. We do not support your suggested changes to net neutrality. Do the right thing for once in your lives...

1591. internet, San Marcos, TX, 78666
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's. You can either be a champion for the internet and the principles in which it was founded or a corporate shill whose morality has been perverted so far that you may actually end up believing the bullshit you've been spewing.
1592. Francisco Cano, Austin, TX, 78704
Its to voice your support for net neutrality. Here is what I wrote:We are strongly in favor of net neutrality backed by Tile 2 oversight. Please continue to have strong rules that protect consumers from being unknowingly manipulated by their service providers.Sincerely,F JAVIER CANO
1593. Ajit Pai, San Marcos, TX, 78666
I've learned the specific meaning of the terms, and would like to express support for strong net neutrality regulation of Internet Service Providers under Title II classifications. The path that data take across the internet should be maintained as open to all traffic equally, as it is now. The free movement of data is what makes the internet great.
1594. Andrew Noe, San Antonio, TX, 78248
I strongly support Net Neutrality under title 2 and strongly oppose Ajit Pai's views on net neutrality.
1595. Jason, Austin, TX, 78704
It is vital that you preserve strong Title II oversight and maintain Net Neutrality. Please do not be so short sided as to devalue free and open communication by trusting it to profit-driven companies.
1596. Sean Casey, Austin, TX, 78749
Please leave the Internet to be governed by the Title II rules. The American people need a free and open internet so that whether you are a beggar on the street or a wall street banker, you have access to the same speed of internet. We do not need a country where the internet is owned and governed by big money interests. Please leave it regulated like it is and therefore safe for the moneyless or the millionaires. Thank you.
1597. weldon lewis, San Antonio, TX, 78232
I support SRRONG ENFORCABLE NET NUETRALITY backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's . Quit lying to the public about regulations !
1598. Matthew Vitlin, Austin, TX, 78749
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. While I like to believe in the general goodness of man, laws must be in place to protect society from the "bad eggs" that will take advantage wherever they can.

1599. NICOLE TRIVINO, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78213
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs.
1600. Thomas Benton Reynolds III, San Marcos, TX, 78666
I support current net neutrality regulations. I also would support a look into current ISPs and telecomm companies violation of anti-trust laws. The internet is the last bastion for open-source and public communication without interference from large corporations and financial influence. For the good of America this absolutely must be protected. The head of the FCC should also release his financials in order to clear any possibility his rather massive former employer is still paying him in some fashion. Or donating to the campaigns of the officials who had him appointed. Save Title II, Save Net Neutrality. Protect this country and our right to unhindered and unbiased communication.
1601. net neutrality, San Antonio, TX, 78230
I support net neutrality protections under title II. No suppression of internet content should be allowed by any organization including ISP providers or their affiliates.
1602. Marvin, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624
Do not eliminate Title 2. I am strongly against this "freedom" bullshit. Call it any name you like, ultimately this will kill net neutrality and screw everyone over.
1603. david graham, San Antonio, TX, 78258
Please support net neutrality and title 2 oversight.
1604. Zach Schudrowitz, San Antonio, TX, 78259
The whole point of government regulation is to keep large companies from trampling the citizenry. Keep the net neutral and keep ISP's under Title II. It is farcical to think that ISP's won't take advantage of us if they are moved under Title I. They are in business to make money and they don't care if we'd rather choose a different service or product. It is your job as a regulatory agency to keep my choice and freedom open. It is not your job to collude with big companies and do their bidding. Grow a backbone and keep the ISP's under Title II.
1605. Trae Wiles, Austin, TX, 78735
Preserve Net Neutrality. Equal access to online information is a must for this country.
1606. Beth Plummer, San Antonio, TX, 78261
I am in favor of net neutrality. As a consumer, I should have the right to rely on data that is free from manipulation.

1607. marnie sager, austin, TX, 78748

Dear FCC, I support Net Neutrality and strongly oppose to deregulating the internet into the hands of the Cable Companies. I am appealing to you to uphold the protection of the American Consumer and keep the Title II classification in place. Regardless of their other "offerings," ISPs provide the same service that they did in the days of modems, a connection to the internet similar to dial-up. Countless consumers like myself use their an ISP to connect to the internet via laptop and use that same provider as their phone carrier...then also use their mobile phone to connect to the internet. There is not a substantial difference in what these companies provide that warrants a reclassification and removing the protections the public is entitled to under the telecommunications rules.

Furthermore, once on the internet, countless consumers such as myself are using a variety of different services for email and cloud storage, few of which are affiliated with ISPs. The fact that Gmail and iCloud are top rated providers of such services and neither Google or Apple are ISPs demonstrates that the "bundling of services" argument for reclassification is false. Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. It is clearly possible they could do this again. I am also concerned by mobile providers who say a plan is "unlimited," but when you exceed the data cap, throttle sites, and services that aren't part of their approved zero-rating network. I am direly concerned that without oversight prohibiting ISPs from prioritizing traffic for profit, the educational value of the internet will be severely affected. We have a wealth of information posted by academics, educators, museums, non-profits, public media, activists, and others with no financial motive or gain that are some of the greatest gifts the internet has given society as a whole and particularly students. To jeopardize access to any of the aforementioned information or to favor other content only because it is monetized would be an intellectual tragedy. We simply cannot afford to sell the integrity of our scholarly resources to the highest bidder like they are adspace. The beauty of an open internet is that it does not do so and this needs to be recognized and protected. My final point is regarding privacy of personal information. There is presently little enough control over what personal information and browsing activity is collected and how that information is shared. Even the most vigilant and educated user cannot prevent seeing some targeted advertising or sending "diagnostics" that share personal data because it is part of the ISP's Terms of Service. Weekly we hear of unauthorized use of personal information by companies and security breaches, yet consumers are forced to give up their anonymity and to provide their personal demographics to use basic services like email. Without oversight, we can only expect these invasions of privacy and data mining to continue and worsen. That is not fair. To make matters worse, in many locations there is only one ISP to choose, so essentially they can demand and monitor any information they want as part of the Service Agreement then sell it to the highest bidder or

bidder. It is due to critical concerns like those mentioned here that I appeal to the Commission to uphold the present rules and protect the public interest, Thank you sincerely for reading my comments. M. Sager

1608. Andrew Oldham, San Antonio, TX, 78217

Please do not do this. I am against censorship of all kinds, and the fact that I will not be able to access some of my favorite sites because of the ISP I sign up for does not sit well for me, or the rest of the world. This is just another case of the 1% wanting to have all the control just because they were either born rich or they got lucky. To quote Uncle Ben from Spider-Man: "With Great Power, Comes Great Responsibility." Do the responsible thing and let the Internet itself remain neutral, it's still one of the best assets that we have in terms for absolute world peace. Andrew Oldham

1609. John Webb, Austin, TX, 78703

Please KEEP NET NEUTRALITY. A free, open, and unencumbered has been the engine of so much success in the US. To put that into the hands of large communication companies who will then be able to decide "winners" and "losers", puts a lot of innovation and discovery at risk.

1610. David Codron, Austin, TX, 78701

This is un-American. This is Standard Oil. If AT&T has the ability to tell websites "pay us or else we'll shut down your access," an environment of thuggish, corporate activity will occur. AT&T already has their own streaming services. Do not let them price gauge other video service providers in order to make people realize that faster streaming comes only from them.

1611. Nikhil Batra, Austin, TX, 78704

Maintaining a free and open internet helps spur healthy competition, which ultimately fuels innovation and keeping the US ahead of the world!

1612. Daniel Rourke, Austin, TX, 78703

While I want ISPs to be fairly compensated for their investment in bringing reliable internet service to my home, that doesn't mean they should be able to leverage that service to unfairly compete for my other applications and services - email, news, video. I strongly want to keep net neutrality. It has been the source of great competition, progress and innovation for this country and has served my needs extremely well.

1613. Robert Berg, Austin, TX, 78703

I am in deep support of preserving Net Neutrality as it is enabled today. It is the right of the consumer to determine which sites deserve time and attention. It is not the right of the ISP to block or throttle sites for any reason, whatsoever

1614. net, Austin, TX, 78704

The internet and its openness is the very reason we have made the technological advances we have to this point. We are connected now more than ever, and it's accelerating us into the future. We need to keep net neutrality intact so we don't lose our connections with each other. We should not be on the back burner because of ISP red tape.

1615. Robert Rodriguez, Austin, TX, 78745
We need to keep a free an open internet and prevent corporate interests from shaping consumers buying, viewing, and spending powers. Eventually a new internet will be created that provides us with better access and less restrictions, please don't make it come to that.RR
1616. Kelli Rourke, Austin, TX, 78703
We have seen no evidence that Internet Providers have anything other than profits in their view screen, and without net neutrality and the protections it guarantees, commerce, communication and free speech will all be in jeopardy. I strongly support net neutrality and do not trust any commercial entities gaining control over the Internet. I want to be free to choose.
1617. Eric Willman, Austin, TX, 78748
free and open internet is the single greatest technology of our time, and control should not be at the mercy of corporations. A free and open internet stimulates ISP competition, helps prevent unfair pricing practices and promotes innovation! We MUST have a free and open internet for all United States citizens that promotes the spread of ideas, drives entrepreneurship and protects freedom of speech. Without an open internet, big corporations would have tight, illegally limiting control over how we access websites and services. Please vote to keep the internet a cornerstone of freedom and opportunity.
1618. Joshua Cheatham, Austin, TX, 78701
We need to keep Net Neutrality. Its the basis for our healthy internet. Keep net neutrality!
1619. Rachel Bingham, San Antonio, TX, 78247
This issue has already come before the public resulting in a clear and decisive cry to maintain neutrality. The move from title 2 to title 1 is just another attempt for near monopolies to increase profit . The fact that they already have enough sway to continue to try another route when the people have spoken is of concern. Our country is supposed to be by the people for the people. Not by the wealthy for the wealthy. The internet has been critical in starting to level the playing field of business and politics. Getting rid of net neutrality would move these types of equality backward.
1620. Dave Wirth, Austin, TX, 78704
I specifically support strong net neutrality. Do not get rid of these regulations.

Also, please stop drinking from the silly Reese's mug. There are so many better mugs around to choose from that won't make you look so silly.

1621. Christopher Tokaz, Kerrville, TX, 78028
Net neutrality is the lifeblood of communication. Companies should not be allowed to control the flow of information across the internet. It hampers creativity and chokes out enterprising businesses by leaving control in the power of the largest established industry giants. Many already have effective monopolies by distribution and only net neutrality regulations prevent them from utilizing onerous practices to further squeeze profits and hedge out competitors. Do not cave on this. Keep Net Neutrality strong and the internet a place where ideas can grow.
1622. Tyler Ohlenforst, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133
ISP's should not have any control over the internet. At all.
1623. Steven DeNoia, San Antonio, TX, 78259
Net neutrality is needed. By rolling back net neutrality you are letting monopolistic practices happen and working against consumers like me and for corporations that benefit from hurting the consumers.
1624. Richard Hay, Austin, TX, 78704
Please do not rollback net neutrality rules. It benefits everyone to have a free and open internet with free and open routing of traffic.
1625. Rachel Guyette, Buda, TX, 78610
We need to keep the internet free! Save net neutrality!
1626. Sam Stephens, Spring Branch, TX, 78070
I'm a regular person who uses the Internet for a variety of reasons. It keeps me connected with friends via live group chats. It helps me accomplish my studies. Most importantly, it keeps me up-to date and informed about current events. I like the internet just the way it is, and don't want to see any laws that protect my rights get repealed. That's why I believe that the FCC should oversee ISPs, so that massive corporations can't trample on the rights of the everyman.
1627. Stanley Chan, Austin, TX, 78704
Keep the internet free and unfettered. This allows the most innovation and creativity. I do not wish for "premium" access or "preferential" services. Data is data, fair is fair, and I want to access the internet super highway freely and without trouble.
1628. Gaurav, Austin, TX, 78749
Net neutrality is what allows startup to gain footing in an Internet dominated by big web companies. This is possible because the Internet does not discriminate

and ISPs are not allowed to give preference to any particular company in return of financial or other benefits. Erosion of net neutrality would discourage competition and would give undue advantage to the big companies making millions of dollars over start ups with little financial power. Please, do not curtail net neutrality freedoms for the many average individuals and skew the Internet in the favor of a few big corporations.

1629. Ryan Reddoch, San Antonio, TX, 78247
You want to lift restrictions on telecommunication businesses thereby giving them more power, and you think they will not abuse said power?
1630. Connor Walsh, san antonio, TX, 78213
Do not allow ISPs to turn the internet into a Cable subscription playground for themselves. Keep the internet open and free. I support net neutrality.
1631. Restoring Internet Freedom, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Net Neutrality is important to the fabric of American life. Our ability to listen to opposing views and being able to research ideas and philosophies that are different than our own is one of the things that makes America great. When I buy internet service I am expecting fast reliable access to the internet. I should not have some services being slower than others simply because the ISP (comcast,time warner, etc) deems one should be faster than another. My Netflix should be just as fast as my HBO otherwise I would be subjected to back room deals between conglomerates
1632. Alessandro Crinzi, Austin, TX, 78749
I am in vehement favor of internet neutrality.
1633. John McFarland, San Marcos, TX, 78666
Freedom of Press and speech are in jeopardy. This is a slippery slope. Choose wisely. This is not a communist country. Such a filing is unconstitutional and would be overturned or met with such radical public backlash, your agency would be severely scrutinized.
1634. preserve, Austin, TX, 78750
Please preserve title II oversight and protection of the internet
1635. Joseph Hendren, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133
the corruption and blatant disregard for the want of the citizenry must end! net neutrality grantees a fair platform and is what will allow the internet to provide the fertile grounds from which the people may reap the benefits. it is beyond reprehensible this idea that isps should be allowed to drive there competitors out of business not by service but by making them harder to use which no matter how many times your head lies we know they have and will. Protect the freedom of the internet and the rights of the citizens maintain net neutrality and

do not bow to the corrupt corporate attempts using falsified comments. do as the citizens wish and protect net neutrality

1636. Steven Acri, San Antonio, TX, 78258
Keep Net Neutrality! Keep the internet as title 2 classification!
1637. Kathryn Golden, Austin, TX, 78741
I am a masters student in the field of humans and technology. In the modern world, ESPECIALLY the western world, internet access is no longer a luxury. It's a necessity. With public spaces (libraries) that provide access losing funding, without personal access people cannot function. They cannot connect, find jobs, do their work (for many jobs constant email access is a requirement), connect to family, or take part in a large part of the current social world. Personally, I am a UX researcher and designer. I am also a human-computer interaction major, so I have read the research that has shown how important the Internet is in the modern day. In my area there was one internet provider, Spectrum. I was not allowed to seek my internet from anywhere else. This, and the probability of Spectrum to be able to hike their prices whenever they chose in their trapped market, is frankly inexcusable and why an oversight committee is a necessity. Internet is not a luxury. It's a utility and should be treated as such. Open internet policies need to be maintained.
1638. Charles Tabak, San Marcos, TX, 78666
In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. Isn't it possible they could do this again? I'm worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any.
1639. Joseph Buckles, San Antonio, TX, 78229
The internet is the greatest advancement for the education of the common man since the written word. Inhibiting net neutrality will jeopardize this advancement and set this country well behind it's peers regarding nearly all business, public, and private affairs in which use of the internet has become so ubiquitous.
1640. Fabian Dominguez, Austin, TX, 78704
The internet is the America of the future. Being progressive is the way we started. It's also that mentality that has helped us to become a world wide influence. Being progressive is the American way, If we want to conserve this very American value, we cannot allow corporations to greedily manipulate our access of information. In the modern world, the internet is the most valuable tool an American can have.

1641. John Goure, San Antonio, TX, 78209
I am in support of net neutrality. The information I have been given shows that net neutrality protects the consumer. Without it internet service providers could negatively effect our freedoms.
1642. ERIC HEINS, AUSTIN, TX, 78703
keep net neutrality. It's critical for America's innovation leadership.
1643. Jacob Lewis, 240 Galbraith Avenue, TX, 78028
Those who control the information control the world. Keep the control in the hands of those who would benefit humanity, not those who only seek their own growth.
1644. Daniel Matteson, Austin, TX, 78704
Please don't get rid of net neutrality. I, and many other concerned citizens, can see that having disastrous effects on our personal freedoms. ISPs should not have the power to prioritize certain web content over others, as that can compromise the freedom of the Internet. Please, listen to the people.
1645. Sidney Soeun, Austin, TX, 78701
Dear FCC & Congress,Fuck you for even thinking about limiting humanity from information. Love,SidneyxoxoSidney Soeun
1646. Timothy Walton, San Antonio, TX, 78232
Please keep the net neutral. Not doing so is just another step in removing freedoms. We have to pay for the bandwidth we should be able to use it how we want.
1647. juan pagan-ferrer, san antonio, TX, 78232
Unfortunately your definition of net neutrality ignores that currently this form of communication is a utility for all intents and purposes, it has become necessary for any efficient business and for my career indispensable. Also the fact that your proposed changes are to boost the losses of cable companies due to my generation preferring online content and not paying for ridiculous cable coverage seems to go against the idea what a free market should be, seems like using regulation to subsidize losers in the economy. Please do not do this and make yet another sector where the privileged have an advantage and I say this as a professional earning 250,000 yearly, but I am able to recognize the changes proposed will yet again only benefit people in my bracket not the general population.
1648. chelsea go, san marcos, TX, 78666
i support net neutrality and believe a free and open internet empowers people.
1649. I, Kerrville, TX, 78028

You should never hold back a whole nation, especially one with guns.

1650. William Cross, boerne, TX, 78006

We need net neutrality not less control over our futures.

1651. Blaine, garden ridge, TX, 78266

getting rid of NN would put us on the levels of china. Do we really want to stoop down to the level of communism?

1652. Jared Gates, San Antonio, TX, 78216

I don't tend to involve myself in these sorts of things, but I can't stand idly by while you guys remove the protections that keep the internet open and fair. If you remove these protections, you're opening the door to companies like Spectrum to control the sort of websites their customers can or cannot view. This cannot stand.

1653. Jessica Nunley, Boerne, TX, 78006

Net neutrality is absolutely vital to our society, and private companies should not have the power to block, censor, or prioritize information according to their own agendas.

1654. Farid Chada, Austin, TX, 78735

Please maintain net neutrality. We have progressed as a society to the point where internet connectivity is no longer a tool in our day to day lives, it is essential to our industry, our education, and our socialization. Allowing corporations to dictate what information is more easily accessed is tantamount to limiting free speech.

1655. Mackenzie Wayne, Austin, TX, 78736

Access to knowledge is a fundamental human right. We have the right to educate ourselves, have global experiences, and share our way of life with others using the technology available to us. These rights should not be hindered, throttled, or monitored. No entity, especially those with both biased and vested interests that may conflict with the individual, should be allowed to limit, control, monitor, or hinder that access and experience in any way. In addition, those entities that would try to do so should be clearly regulated. These entities should be required to adhere to the utmost levels of transparency with the public and consumers in their operational and commercial dealings which pertain to internet, data, and communications access and services.

1656. Robert Bolt, Austin, TX, 78705

I am all for net neutrality. Please, do not allow these big company's to take away what I love.

1657. Paul Gooch, Austin, TX, 78748

Keep the internet unrestricted and open. Special interest groups have no right to control what people do on the internet.

1658. Nicole Cupps, Austin, TX, 78745

We the people have the right to have our internet the way it already is. We should not have to have our networks limited, monitored or changed. I am against this act and I want the net to stay free

1659. ZACHARY MCGOWAN, Austin, TX, 78745

Fuck this censorship shit. Love you bitches xoxo

1660. Memes, San Antonio, TX, 78216

I want my privacy gorsh derning

1661. Privacy, San Antonio, TX, 78216

The interwebs should be able to have its freedom and privacy, I WANT TO MAKE MEMES SAFELY WITHOUT CNN RAPING ME PLEASE

1662. Trevor Bond, San Antonio, TX, 78232

Please reconsider what you are doing. The internet should remain in it's current state.

1663. Michael walser, Austin, TX, 78747

Leave the internet as is. Attempting to change it is only going to hurt the internet and those who rely on it. Trying to remove the current standing is wrong and a clear sign that you are attempting to cave into big money interests. Removing the current standing would mean that internet companies can effectively legally discriminate and in some cases destroy your websites activity if they so disagree with it. As someone who works with the internet every day, I know how important it is to have a open and free internet. This is wrong and companies that are in favor of it are just simply wanting to over charge. It is all for the sake of these internet companies making more money.

1664. Ricardo Mendez, Austin, TX, 78741

Net Neutrality give people the power to choose which websites and apps are best.

1665. Amanda Butler, blanco, TX, 78606

The internet needs to be kept free and out of the hands of businesses. This proceeding potentially only wants to roll back the current rules to make a quick buck based on internet usage and it needs to stop. The internet is a free place where that kind of jurisdiction does not exist.

1666. James Swallows, San Antoino, TX, 78258

The internet has been, and always will be a huge part of my life. The internet

and everything it encompasses stands for free speech almost as much as our flag does. You limit the internet, you limit America.

1667. Greya, San Antonio, TX, 78247

The internet needs net neutrality. Without it we're helpless against the ISPs and whatever trash for the public at large they desire. They can slow down apps and sites and even prevent some from being used and force the use of the ones they own or have deals with. This regulation is needed.

1668. Alex Spinnler, Austin, TX, 78704

Please protect the Open Internet. Internet is now a fundamental need of society and, in the West, is trending towards becoming a utility like water, electric, police, etc. Please protect the Internet and net neutrality! Your concerned constituent, Alex Spinnler. Alex Spinnler

1669. netneutrality, Bulverde, TX, 78163

Net Neutrality must be maintained. The internet is entirely based around the free and open and unrestricted exchange of ideas. Repealing the current rules would be akin to how the church suppressed scientific endeavors during the dark ages.

1670. Morgan Sarrack, San Antonio, TX, 78217

Do not steal our freedoms, our democratic access to the internet is a large part of what keeps the U.S. ahead of places like China and now Germany that are censoring the media available. You know it's wrong, and you have more than enough money, just stop.

1671. Makijah Rion Clark, Canyon Lake, TX, 78132

Keep net neutrality rules. NO paid prioritization. NO blocking. NO throttling.

1672. Michael Morgan, Austin, TX, 78705

I can imagine that some would say the internet is a symbol of freedom. You can look at, do, and say whatever you want, with no one telling you otherwise. Allowing ISP's to control what we are allowed to do will ruin so many lives, and greatly reduce the value of having internet access. Picture the internet as an endless library with floor to ceiling shelves full of books waiting for someone to read. There is no limit to what one person can do in this library, and there are no extra charges. If these laws are rolled back, our library analogy will not seem so sweet. Many of these books that have great value to people will be sitting in a back room, not on a shelf accessible to everyone. Other smaller unique books will be bullied out by bigger and more generic books because they are more profitable. What I'm trying to say is that the internet's purpose is not to be a cash cow for big internet corporations, it should be an open source, and accessible market for anyone to use and add to. Bottlenecking and blocking won't kill the internet, but it will take away the one reason the internet is as great as it is.

1673. Net Neutrality, Austin, TX, 78747
Keep net neutrality so that we can have full and unimpeded access to the whole internet. The internet should always be a no-mans land where anyone and everyone is free to go about their business, and not something that can be regulated or shaped by corporate interests.
1674. Trevor Snodgrass, San Antonio, TX, 78216
The Internet is not a playground for businesses
1675. Seth Schnedler, Bulverde, TX, 78163
I'm no conspiracy theorist, but ending net neutrality is legitimately and unequivocally a step towards some Big Brother type of future. Beyond that, more and more people are using the internet to form independent careers, which would be immediately endangered by the implications of ending net neutrality.
1676. Mia Goldstein, Austin, TX, 78705
Protect net neutrality!! Keep the internet out of the hands of corporations!!
1677. Robert Langford, Manchaca, TX, 78652
Many Americans have little to no choice when it comes to ISP. If you allow an ISP to choose which sites to speed up or slow down, or which sites you can connect to at all, you are taking away the free speech of millions of Americans. Shame on you.
1678. Joseph K Stutzman, Austin, TX, 78749
The internet works because it is a free and open playing field. Do not allow the greatest invention in history to be fundamentally changed just because isp's are asking for it. No service works with 0 regulation. Net neutrality works for all people, not just some. It's the regulation the internet needs.
1679. Dakota , Austin, TX, 78748
The internet should remain free for the people. ISPs should not have the power to control what we see and do on the internet. The internet is for the people. Much like how our Government used to be. Stop letting money control politics. Listen to the people. Do your job. Do not let the internet fall into the hands of greedy corporations. It is your job to represent the people. We the people would like to keep net neutrality in place. Dakota
1680. Steven hughes, Bulverde, TX, 78163
Dear Senators and Representatives:Please support any amendment that would strike out Sections 628, 629 and 630 from the Government Appropriations. These sections would undermine the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and prevent the agency from enforcing these critical protections. It is unacceptable to use a budget bill to circumvent the FCC's open rulemaking process that millions of citizens participated in.The American people have called for strong net

neutrality rules. Congress should let the FCC do its job, and not engage in unproductive partisan brinksmanship. Thank you, Steven Hughes

1681. Derrick Steele, Austin, TX, 78748

As a owner of a small consultancy, open Internet access has been extremely important for my business to operate. If I had to pay extra fees to reach my clients, it may not be possible for me to continue as I have been. The United States has historically been a leader in free and open Internet access, which led throughout the 1990s, 2000s, and present day for American companies to flourish. If the country is truly committed to continued American excellence, the FCC will support net neutrality.

1682. open internet, Boerne, TX, 78006

Keep the internet open and clear for everyone. ISPs should not have the power to chose what we can and can not see, nor how fast what we want to see will load! As a Night shift worker, internet is important to me at all hours, and with popular trends in internet usage it is assumed that internet companies such as Comcast (which has had a lawsuit in the past for this exact issue) would throttle or slow down internet speeds during the night to save money whilst not affecting a large percent of people. However laws restricting throttling and things of the sort are what keep the minority of us using the internet during all hours of the night able to use the same internet that the day shift gets. One argument is to just choose a new ISP considering they're the ones restricting use, but where I live there is only 1 high-speed bandwidth ISP that reaches my home. All others are satellite internet which does not provide the reliability and speeds needed to do my job.

1683. Michael Hertzling, Austin, TX, 78701

The Internet was created and gifted to the public as a free and open platform for sharing ideas, content, and innovation. There is only one Internet, and it need not be regulated by corporate ISP interests. Allowing paid prioritization, throttling, and blocking of any aspect of the web undermines our nation's prided principle of free speech. Further, the global community that has surfaced on the Internet has provided an opportunity for dialogue between users all over the world to express our shared humanity. Abolishing net neutrality would set back the United States' presence on the Web and consequently set back the competitiveness of the United States on the world stage.

1684. Timothy Parker, San Antonio, TX, 78213

I am tired of this coming up - not because of people telling us about it - but because of this CONTINUING to be an issue. The internet needs remain open. Period. Final. Do NOT take away net neutrality. Normally my comments are calm, collected, and detached, but I am absolutely sick of this becoming an annual fight that we have to keep writing in to remind the FCC again, and again,

AND AGAIN, that we do NOT want Net Neutrality taken away, nor the ISPs given control over content. I don't need to explain because you already have thousands of letters doing that for me. So the next time the ISPs come to you asking for this, do not negotiate, do not compromise. DEFEND net neutrality, and please just tell them NO. This should NOT be up for debate.

1685. Mohammed Zayed, San Antonio, TX, 78259
Hello, my name is Mohammed Zayed, throughout my life I have used the internet to escape my daily struggles. Whether it be my family problems or financial struggles and when I have reached my breaking point, I'd come to the internet to always cheer me up. We live in a world where we have the power to change anything and keep hope alive, why waste our only chance to be better people by ruining the internet, creating fear and not feeling comfortable to do what we want. Please do NOT repeal the Net Neutrality Bill.
1686. alessandro dellamico, Austin, TX, 78705
who the fuck thinks they can restrict what porn i watchalessandro dellamico
1687. Thomas Darlington, Austin, TX, 78741
The internet brings the whole world together. Don't let corporations interfere with that.
1688. FCC, Austin, TX, 78745
Net neutrality benefits all Americans while repealing it hurts them. The FCC should protect Americans not hurt them.
1689. Net Neutrality, san antonio, TX, 78213
As a native U.S. citizen I believe it is important to keep net neutrality as it insures that all businesses are treated equal. When buying internet access from my local provider I'm assuming I get all rights to the internet but if net neutrality is not a thing ISPs are given the right to hold websites hostage and force users to go elsewhere, essentially screwing up the market. As the internet has become a major function of the world currently and can be considered far better than the postal service in transferring data; I feel that without Net Neutrality existing you are putting a loaded gun in the ISPs hand to use against the free market.
1690. Javier Cavazos Weems, Austin, TX, 78749
Net neutrality is the only thing that allows poor people to freely use the internet without having to pay exorbitant prices. The internet has a font of inspiration coming from it and if that was taken away from the people it could only be considered as an injustice
1691. Ramon Mejia, Austin, TX, 78748
Please do not allow major corporations dictate what we can and cannot watch and at what speed our content is to be delivered.

1692. Garrett Lowe, San Antonio, TX, 78230
I am against net neutrality. Internet service providers should not be given the ability to throttle, block, or promote their own businesses and affiliates on their own accords. The internet is a wonderful place that connects people. Net neutrality totally restricts people from their own basic privacy as well as creating a filtered internet that spoon feeds people stuff that they may not want depending on the ISPs interests. Please reconsider and repeal Net Neutrality.
1693. Benjamin Larose, San Antonio, TX, 78213
Net Neutrality is a must for any free democracy, if you vote to gut Net Neutrality you are voting against the future of a healthy democracy. #Voteno to the misleadingly named "Restoring Internet Freedom".
1694. salman al-alawy, Austin, TX, 78745
No priority lanes
1695. alexander pence, austin, TX, 78748
open internet is not something the government should have the right to revoke. it is ludicrous that people in power believe they can pay for more publicity or to suppress someone or something else on the internet.
1696. Aaron Vincent, Austin, TX, 78748
Please keep the Internet a free place for everyone! Keep Net Neutrality and Save the Open Internet!
1697. William Carlson, Austin, TX, 78704
Please keep an open internet and don't allow paid prioritized traffic.
1698. Nan Palmero, San Antonio, TX, 78213
Please do not choose the power and money of the telecom companies over the voice of the people. Make America great by keeping net neutrality and not stacking the chips against startups and benefiting the people that pay. It's wildly unAmerican.
1699. darryll silva, Austin, TX, 78735
I support net neutrality where ALL services are treated equally and a byte of data is not scrutinized and treated differently. I support title II implemented by FCC chairman wheeler and the Obama administration.
1700. Geoffrey T, Cherer, San Antonio, TX, 78247
Net neutrality, please. One cannot go wrong by standing with integrity and country-over-politics.
1701. Patrick Finucane, San Antonio, TX, 78232
I support net neutrality. As a small business owner, I need a level playing field

to keep my business alive and to continue to pay my employees. Please keep Title II classification for the ISPs. I have very limited choices in selecting an ISP. I have to buy internet access from a big company with terrible customer support and products, because that is the only way to get access to the internet. Please don't allow the big ISPs to use their size to kill competition. Please don't let them insert themselves between me and my customers. Thank you,

1702. Ryan Mallowney, Austin, TX, 78705
The internet needs to remain open and free, without restrictions that would be imposed by removing Net Neutrality. Restricted web access and a pay-to-play type of mentality towards the internet is wrong, and takes power away from the people. Please strongly consider not imposing any laws or rules that limit the strength of Net Neutrality.
1703. Bryan Burkhardt, San Antonio, TX, 78259
Don't suppress the net!
1704. Mark Elphick, Boerne, TX, 78006
Please keep the internet neutral and don't allow ISPs to have control over our internet experience. It's the purest form of self-expression we have left.
1705. Oliver Chua, San Antonio, TX, 78217
Preserve net neutrality! Keep internet free and unbiased.
1706. Brad Baker, Austin, TX, 78745
I fully support the open internet and maintaining the current status quo of regulation. I do not want to roll back regulation to grant further power to ISPs and carriers. I do not support the change suggested by Chairman Pai.
1707. Theodore Lano, Austin, TX, 78701
The internet must remain free and open, without paid prioritization. Delegating the access of information into the hands of a few always results in a bias towards an agenda they support. This is an affront to our open democracy, and its demoralizing to watch our individual freedoms slowly erode away due to our toxic political climate. This has been said time and time again - even if net neutrality is sustained, something else will come back in a few months to try and dismantle it again. Ajit Pai should be ashamed; I will forever associate his name with betrayal should he continue to blindly act in the interest of his political party and special interests as opposed to the citizens he's supposed to represent.
1708. Arthur Patino, San Antonio, TX, 78217
Do not give any ISP the ability to prioritize traffic in any way.
1709. Canaan Davis, Austin, TX, 78745

Net neutrality needs to remain intact!!

1710. Martha Cantu, San Antonio, TX, 78248

The world wide web is a necessary utility and not an option, just like electricity and water. United States citizens already over pay for this service. If the FCC allows for the opportunity to increase costs the implications will create a huge negative impact on Americans. Nowhere in the world do citizens pay more for this utility.

1711. Jason Cerrato, Austin, TX, 78705

The Internet is the modern day public square. It is vitally important that voices are able to be heard equally. Giving Internet Service Providers the power to limit the performance of particular websites is akin to giving private corporations the power to discriminate against the platforms or voices of their choosing. Even if the discrimination is not malicious or intentional, there is always the danger of unintended consequences. The US population is already disillusioned with the cable companies and with Congress. This will only fan the flames.

1712. Taylor Penn, Austin, TX, 78704

In today's day and age, access to the internet is just as important as access to running water. I consider it an essential utility for people. Any hindering of access to specific websites or throttled traffic is a violation of our basic rights as listed in the Constitution. I strongly disagree with the proposal presented by Ajit Pai and think it would greatly harm the public. We deserve to have the ability to search and navigate the internet without the interference of huge monopolies that are looking to data mine and create personalized ad and sales campaigns towards us.

1713. Nick Cromwell, Austin, TX, 78745

Net neutrality is the lynchpin of the internet. Without it, ISPs choose winners and losers in a constrained market. This is antithetical to the American system of free-market capitalism and freedom of expression. DON'T DO THIS. PLEASE DON'T DO THIS.

1714. Andrew J. Geonetta, Austin, TX, 78748

There are a ton of articles out there on 'how to write a good FCC comment'. And I'm sure you've had your share of slapdash comments, your copy-and-paste comments, and comments from Mickey Mouse & Leslie Knope. I'll spare you those comments and try write you something that you may actually enjoy reading. When I was a kid, I sat in front of an Adam Computer (remember those things?!) where I would spend a lot of time just typing. I did that mainly because I loved being in front of this electronic, futuristic device. Over time, that evolved into x86 computers that my dad would bring home from work. I'd use my 'SimCGA' program (not app...a program!) w/ my trusty amber monitor

to simulate a 4-color CGA monitor so I could play games like Space Wars or Kings Question or the like. I remember the day I got a sound card, the day I used CDs instead of discs, and the first time I ever built a computer for myself. I tell you all of this so that you get the point that I adored (and still adore) computers. They helped me through those awkward teenage years and well into my college life, when I built them so I could have another source of income to help pay my college expenses. All of that would eventually pale to the wonder I felt when I first experienced the Internet. I could not believe the access to the amount of relevant (and, admittedly, mundane!) information I had. To give you some idea, Iâ€™m one of those people that go to Wikipedia for one thing. And then, an hour later, Iâ€™ve magically found myself learning about something completely unrelated. For the first time, my curiosity and my need to learn everything I could was satiated. Not only could I find all kinds of helpful information so that I could learn more...I also found that I could communicate with people all over the world with the stroke of a few keys. In fact, one of the longest friendships I've ever had (20 years) was the result of a conversation on a now-defunct chat service. And so my adoration evolved from a love of computers into a love for technology in general, and I began to see the same vision that my dad must've always had, which was that technology for the sake of technology means nothing. But when you inject the human touch into it, it becomes something truly special, truly connective. I graduated college in the summer of 1999 and I landed my first job as a Digital Producer for an advertising agency in Cincinnati, OH, where I cut my teeth on managing the production of various sites, banners, communications, and even games for Procter & Gamble. From then to now, I've had the pleasure...the honor, even...to be a part of this communications and technology movement. Without the experiences I was introduced to, first by my dad and then through the various business ventures I've been a part of, I would not be the person I am today. Technology...and specifically, the Internet, allowed me to organically find myself. I chose my path. I learned what I needed (and sometimes what I didnâ€™t need!) I was (and am) a part of building things where there are opportunities so that others can enjoy finding their own path too. I take this to heart every single day I wake up, and thank my version of God every day that I was fortunate enough to be a part of this evolution. It has been a wonderful, life-changing experience for me. And I want that possibility for everyone else, too. Perception is a very powerful thing. The ability to change it by my own volition is one of the most powerful abilities we humans have. It can make the difference between something as mundane as what I choose to go out and buy at any given moment to something amazing as choosing where and how I will live. I want as much ability to control my perception based on objectivity as possible. And that's why it's so important for me, personally, to have the current rules maintained. While I absolutely believe that businesses have as much right to be on the playing field as everyone else, I don't believe they should own the playing field. By owning the playing field, the rules can be manipulated to

create artificial rather than organic outcomes. The best ideas can be buried if it places current business interests at risk. What would have happened if Blockbuster had been able to simply purchase the ability to block Netflix from having their streaming service come to market? What would have happened if Microsoft had been able to purchase the ability to divert traffic from Apple to their own sites? What would have happened if the hotel industry was able to create a deal with ISPs to stop people from seeing that places like HomeAway or AirBnB existed? I don't want to live and play on a landscape where innovation is the result of whomever has the deepest pocketbooks. It's already slanted in those folks' favor. The Internet as it currently exists helps us even things out a little bit and gives those of us without as many resources at our disposal a fighting chance to create amazing things. Please don't change the rules. My life and the lives of a vast number of others wouldn't be the same if the information we have access to is controlled by those with the most resources. Let's give our future generations the chance to experience the same things we have by keeping the rules as they are.

1715. Ted Oberts, Austin, TX, 78704

Please keep the internet open and not paid for performance

1716. Roy Shamir, Austin, TX, 78736

Don't allow ISPs to turn the Internet into cable TV. We want full net neutrality!

1717. Bryce Wark, Austin, TX, 78749

Do not pass this unethical bill. This is one step closer to censored internet and media just like Russia or China. Be better than Russia and China.

1718. Matt Murray, Austin, TX, 78745

The more recent advances in technology in the past two decades have been in large part due to the internet, not only are the connections important but the accessibility that is tied in with that. Any changes that would allow such restrictions as "preferred internet lanes" or giving the ISPs permission to block connections to ip addresses, and the like would not only be detrimental to progress within technology itself, but also to humanity as a whole as far as technology and communication is what keeps driving innovation. PLEASE to not let anyone or anything destroy what works so well already.

1719. Arthur Stieren, San Antonio, TX, 78229

I am commenting to express a desire to maintain existing net neutrality rules. The premise of differentiation between websites based on service provider is against my interest as a citizen of the United States. The repeal of these rules also has the potential to harm future career opportunities as a software developer by allowing services to compete on the basis of established money interests rather than inherent design advantages. Any and all rulings should protect innovation in technology above all moneyed interests at all times.

1720. Caleb Brown, Austin, TX, 78704
Hello,I am a software engineer and I have had a successful career building technology for startups, non-profits, government organizations, and many other industries in the last 15 years. When I purchase my internet, I expect that every website I visit for commerce, entertainment, banking, or government services, that my experience will not change based on the discretion of the internet provider that I am paying. I am fortunate enough to live in an area that has a few options for internet providers, but even if I have options, allowing a service provider to throttle my connection based on their own whims will gravely impact every aspect of my life. I think the FCC should be responsible for ensuring that what I pay for is what I'm getting and hold ISPs accountable for providing a consistent experience no matter what for all consumers. Thank you for your time.
1721. Ryan Magargle, Austin, TX, 78745
I support the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules), I urge you to protect them.
1722. Jay Rowland, Austin, TX, 78704
Internet access should be a basic right of everyone, without throttling those of us that use it more frequently. Please consider all input from individual citizens equally democratically as the process continues.
1723. William Shank, San Antonio, TX, 78232
Please preserve net neutrality for the American people!
1724. Stuart Alan Bendele, Manchaca, TX, 78652
Net neutrality is NOT stifling the internet, it is protecting it for the PEOPLE instead of the CORPORATIONS. Republicans will ALWAYS side with the money. Please side with US for once. Keep Net Neutrality!
1725. Price Lecuona, Austin, TX, 78735
Keep net neutrality, there should be no reason we are fighting for this. It is ridiculous that these corporations are trying to throttle our internet usage for more money.
1726. Tom Watson, Austin, TX, 78704
Net Neutrality is essential to ensuring a level playing field for all new Internet startups. As a former entrepreneur, I can unequivocally say that my firm could not have gotten off the ground in 2003 if wired and wireless carriers could have tolled us for our users' usage. Nor could we have survived if ISP's could have taken money from the "big three" companies in our field to block or slow our traffic. All free markets require regulation to ensure free and fair competition (ie to ensure that large incumbents cannot abuse their dominant positions to prevent newcomers with better technology).

1727. Dalton Mulkey, Austin, TX, 78738

The Title II support for net neutrality is paramount for the Internet to function as a nonpartisan source of information available to the world. Without the provisions in Title II, ideas and political statements could be censored at the whim of internet providers. Opponents of net neutrality have used backlinks to post copy-pasted comments in opposition of Title II. See reason, and the actual voice of the real people, and keep Title II. Please

1728. Ben Yeager, Austin, TX, 78704

Like many Americans, I enjoy access to a free and open internet. Please keep it this way by maintaining net neutrality.

1729. Parnell Springmeyer, Austin, TX, 78704

My name is Parnell and I rely on the internet to make my living and for many of my informational needs. I am a software engineer that works remotely and internet that is protected by net neutrality and Title II enforcement is crucial to what I do because without enforcement of fair treatment, resources I use on the internet might not be able to survive. I was also a startup entrepreneur of three internet-based businesses and Title II enforcement protected me and my fledgling businesses from paid prioritization and other unfair treatments by ISPs with competing products. Generally speaking, Internet Service Providers should be delivering internet access that is agnostic to the source or destination. Title II enforces this behavior. Repealing Title II classification would harm me, the tech startup ecosystem contributing to economic growth and health, and people who use the internet for information access that they otherwise would not have the financial resources to acquire. When I purchase a paid monthly subscription to access the internet I understand that I am paying for internet access and not for an email account, website hosting, or some other service. If I am forced to have those services just to access the internet, I will choose a different provider that does not force me. When paying for internet access, I ONLY WANT INTERNET ACCESS. I want my internet access to be AGNOSTIC and WITHOUT paid prioritization. As a consumer, the current environment with Title II classification gives me MORE CHOICE. As a business person I can remain confident that my business won't die on the vine because an ISP doesn't want me competing with them. Title II classification is crucial to the flow of information on the internet. If that flow of information is allowed to be shaped by special interests than many of the wonderful innovations we enjoy today might go away. Open, flowing information must be the bedrock of the internet. Without Title II enforcement: our economy will be harmed (less competition); our society will be harmed (less open, flowing information since special interests may choose to deprioritize something they do not like); our global presence will be harmed (again, less open, flowing information makes it harder to know what is happening elsewhere in the world); the disadvantaged will be harmed (less able to help themselves because there will be less open

information)I will be angry and upset if Title II enforcement is not upheld.

1730. Courtney Burton, Austin, TX, 78745

We support net neutrality and urge you to support it as well! We buy internet access to get reliable access to all the Internet has to offer at fast speeds. We get our cloud storage (Apple, Dropbox), web hosting (Squarespace) and other services from someone other than our ISP. We do NOT select ISP's for any of their "services", filters, blocks, or prioritized websites; ONLY for fast, reliable, affordable internet access. Some areas only have 1 or 2 ISPs to choose from, yet, all Americans should have the right to choose from everything the internet has to offer regardless of their ISP! Thank you, Courtney & Joseph Burton

1731. kohl, san antonio, TX, 78258

Large companies should not be able to take advantage of the internet by charging asinine prices, throttling, caps, etc. the internet is a tool that should be made available to everyone. Cable companies already charge enough for it. There should be no reason to limit it even further

1732. Ben Steen, San Marcos, TX, 78666

Open internet is a right, without it will have super powers in the industry worse than we do now!

1733. Sara Shusta, Austin, TX, 78703

We need to ensure there is a free and open internet. ISPs should not be allowed to block sites based on their own financial gain.

1734. Daniel Pena, Austin, TX, 78705

I want the same net neutrality that we have had, not what the new FCC is proposing. ISPs are already making me pay more compared to other since there is little competition in my area. Now they want to control the internet? This benefits the bigger cable and phone companies and hurts the average consumer and smaller businesses. You even have like big companies like Microsoft, Google, Apple, Amazon and many more. They would benefit more from these new rules, but chose to defend the current net neutrality. The man running the FCC worked for one of these ISPs. He is biased and could have ulterior motives.

1735. Craig Balter, San Antonio, TX, 78209

The FCC should not change any of the rules for an open internet. As someone that believes the internet is a basic human right and should be provided to all to use for their advantage. Corporations should not be given the option to control any of that because they will always monetize it to the detriment of those that may not be able to afford it.

1736. Russell Karloff, Austin, TX, 78704

Good morning. I wish to express my strong desire to maintain Net Neutrality. It

is my firm belief that repealing these protections would lead to the disastrous and irresponsible stratification of users into a caste system based on household income level, education, and even users' own personal beliefs. In so many ways the society we live in today prefers and supports the rich and affluent as it actively works against all others. As Americans, this is increasingly clear with evolving issues such as healthcare, job market, home prices, government lobbyists, and more. Though the internet is still a relatively new technology, it has already quickly become a daily necessity: a nearly insurmountable disadvantage to anyone who does not have access to it. Simply put, we must make sure everyone has access to a fast, reliable, and unbiased web-browsing experience. Perhaps one day it may become a Right for all Americans, but today we must act decisively to ensure it remains a tool which is equally accessible to everyone, regardless of background, race, beliefs, income level, or privilege.

1737. Nicholas Menghini, Austin, TX, 78741

An open internet is fundamental to how I make a living. As a remote employee having access to online services, cloud storage, video/screen sharing softwares, and many other online tools is key to being a productive and connected member of my companies workforce. In the booming tech industry having remote employees is a major contributor to many companies success. Many small tech startups have risen grown large because of the freedom that an open internet provides them in seeking out great talent from a remote work pool. I support strong net neutrality rules because it not only secures my future and ability to make a living but it does the same for many others. The free flow and easy access to information is key in a rapidly growing tech industry and businesses and employees alike should have the right to hire who they want and work how they want.

1738. Austin Griffith, Boerne, TX, 78015

A free and open internet should be a right for all people, and shouldn't be manipulated by ISPs. Giving ISPs the freedom to selectively limit or promote a users bandwidth dependent on whether their internet browsing is lucrative to their bottom line isn't beneficial to any internet user. Net neutrality is an important regulation, and shouldn't be repealed.

1739. Louis Borrego, San Antonio, TX, 78258

How to not hurt millions of people for the power of a few Hello I am from a company called LNB broductions. A company that with two brothers and a couple ideas has sustained my family and I over the past two years. Its a small business, we are young 25, and 26 (18 months apart), my brother and I. We have fought tooth and nail for this business and we couldn't have done it with net neutrality. This equal opportunity has given us a new lease on so many opportunities not just for our company but to make a real difference locally with other business's and people. Please I beg you to reconsider, small business is

worth an equal opportunity, we help fuel the economy tremendously and it helps millions of people make new jobs and make a living for themselves.

1740. Dante A Battaglia, Austin, TX, 78741
The American people have already spoke and the overwhelming consensus was that we want the government to enforce Net Neutrality. This gave the FCC a clear mandate to do exactly what they did when Title II was invoked and Net Neutrality was enacted. We said, with a strong and clear voice, that fast lanes, slow lanes, and corporate censorship are not to be tolerated and the FCC listened. Neither Pai, nor anyone else, can say that this is in America's best interest when Americans told the FCC exactly what they want already. I'm amazed this is even under consideration.
1741. Jessi Enriquez, San Antonio, TX, 78259
Free and open is an American ideal, lets keep our internet american!
1742. ryan mcurry, austin, TX, 78745
PLEEEEEASE! do not do this..this will hurt every small business out there on the internet AND EVEN YOUR SELF!! also google them selves don't like this idea at all on the fcc change that ya'll want to do..PLEASE FOR THE LOVE OF GOD BE FOR THE PEOPLE NOT AGAINST THEM!!!
1743. Eric Oltersdorf, Austin, TX, 78745
I am strongly against repealing Title II and ending net neutrality. I depend on the internet for a large part of my business and indeed my everyday personal connections with friends and family. I consider the internet to be a telecommunications service and should be considered a utility at this point. Not having access to the internet or having access throttled at the pleasure of broadband ISPs will only hurt our citizens and make America fall further behind the rest of the world. Moreover the FCC should protect consumers and have oversight over the broadband ISPs. There is no upside for consumers when monopolies are allowed to flourish. The repeal of Title II would do just that. In conclusion I consider your wish to repeal as anti-competition, anti-business, anti-capitalistic and against our very way of doing things in this great country of ours.
1744. Don Rutledge, Austin, TX, 78705
PLEASE DON'T TAKE AWAY THE INTERNET! The Internet should be a right, not throttled by a few people at the top for financial gain, but given to everyone so anyone can go without having to pay fees to go to certain websites. By going through with this, you are taking away another freedom and feeding it to corporations so they may twist the basic narrative of the Internet. Please don't.
1745. Sebastian Ruiz, Austin, TX, 78704
Net Neutrality is important to business and democracy. Fight the ISPs

1746. Patrick Rogers, Buda, TX, 78610

How is this not clearly seen as black hat? Dishonesty? You cannot give Internet Service Providers free reign to control content. It destroys free market, since a well-implemented site that might have done everything right, and is a perfect match for a given search, could be buried by inferior sites that had the right connections or enough money? Also, throttling or blocking some content? That's just as bad or worse, as it convinces users that the site being throttled is simply a poor performer, not well made, and inferior to other, better performing sites. Performance has always been a benchmark, and a first-impression as to quality. Allowing an ISP to slow them down is ridiculous. There can be no reason for supporting this, unless the supporter is benefiting from the dishonest money to be generated from this. Do the right thing. Even if you stand to make personal money, you are in a position of trust and it is your obligation to make choices that benefits the people.

1747. Felix Garcia, San Antonio, TX, 78213

Title II is necessary. If I want to start a small business, then it will require an online component. Removing Title II is removing competition. Competition is the lifeblood of commerce. Who will protect small businesses if the US Government's FCC will not? Even competition among the largest corporations do not exist in important ways. There is one option for broadband (25/3 minimums) in my area, which is inside a large city. Nearly everyone in this city has one option, over 2 million people. Title II will allow the FCC to help competition exist where it already should but does not due to a lack of competition for many years. Finally, I do use IP addresses to determine where I get information. My ISP is merely for access, nothing more, and it should stay that way. I get DNS information from Google by specifying an IP address. Many others do the same. Not only do I believe that internet service is a telecommunications service, but I believe that the product should operate "without change in the form or content of the information as sent and received." What data I send should get there, like the words I type here will hopefully reach you unchanged and any confirmation I receive will also be unchanged. This is the expectation and reality, just like voices over a phone line, so please keep what the courts have upheld. The opinions of the few should not outweigh those of the many nor should they outweigh the facts. You cannot fail Democracy, the USA, or its citizens by deciding for us, without consensus or consideration of legal definitions. Thank you.

1748. john fulbright, Austin, TX, 78745

Keep the internet free from monopolies and keep it neutral! not all of us have a choice.

1749. Brandon Reyes, San Antonio, TX, 78240

Just don't do it. I mean come on.

1750. Paul Greco, Austin, TX, 78701
Internet is an integral part of our lives and it remaining neutral is paramount to protecting what makes this country great; Freedom. I don't want ISP's to decide what content I can use or make the internet an all around worse tool. ISPs have done very little to prove their competence in keeping a useful internet: terrible customer service, datacaps that are already biasing their own content, throttling while it is illegal. Don't give in to greed. Keep the net neutral.
1751. Bryan Baca, Austin, TX, 78745
I believe open access to all of the internet is important to everyone.
1752. Cinthia Tanner, Austin, TX, 78741
The internet needs to be a neutral source of information for ALL!!!
1753. Aubrey Davis, Austin, TX, 78704
A neutral internet allows for free access to information for all people, please maintain this freedom.
1754. Emily Thomas, Austin, TX, 78704
Hello, I support net neutrality and title II. I am against rolling back these regulations. Best, Emily
1755. Katie Clark, Austin, TX, 78749
This message is in regards to net neutrality rules. I am strongly in favor of net neutrality and do not wish to see prioritization of sites, the blockage of particular sites that someone else thinks I shouldn't see, or anything that would affect changes to net neutrality. Anything short of total neutrality is corruption and it is despicable. I do not want this to interfere with my experience using the Internet. The Internet is an amazing place because of all the freedom that comes with it, and I am strongly in favor of keeping that freedom. Yours sincerely,
Katie Clark
1756. Michael Pantoja, San Antonio, TX, 78258
The internet has always been neutral and should remain neutral. All content should the equal opportunity for success and growth. All content should be accessible, and delivered equally.
1757. Benjamin Smith, Austin, TX, 78748
I support the existing net neutrality rules in place under Title II. Despite what the big businesses will have you believe, the internet is thriving in its current form. I don't want an internet where the ISP gets to decide which websites I visit or which applications get the best bandwidth based on who paid them the most. This will hurt consumers, small businesses, and non-profit groups who rely on the internet to make a living or to make a difference in the world. Removing the existing net neutrality rules would limit competition and potentially allow ISPs

to crush our freedom of speech on the internet by restricting access to political content that opposes their ideals or business strategy. Please keep net neutrality in place in its current form.

1758. Eleazar Galindo, San Antonio, TX, 78258

If Net Neutrality is abolished by the FCC; my business that depends 100% on free internet will go bankrupt. Getting traffic on the internet to sell your good online is very difficult as it is and very expensive. Imagine how difficult will be if Internet Service Providers throttle the data to users. United States has always been a country that encourages innovation. Why would this country regress the greatest creation of this era? Do the right thing and keep ISPS as common carriers.

1759. Ian, Austin, TX, 78741

It is extremely important to not wage WAR on yourself... If it is allowed to happen, throttling and paid prioritization, on the MILLENNIALS????????? Eventually, one of us will find you and make you pay..... Internet use is fundamental to developing the youth of our future, if it becomes "up for sale", than the balances of life that allow order and progress, will lean too far towards the "evil and greedy" of the world.... which in time will force the opposition to fight back... basically what I am saying is.... if you idiots allow this, the world will END!!!! thank you, have a pleasant day!

1760. Julian Blunk, San Marcos, TX, 78666

I already get slow enough internet out here in rural Texas, without it being chugged down even more. Besides, have you even read Orwell? We DO NOT need to take one step closer to Thought Police. Totalitarian movements are ALWAYS BAD! There is no justifiable reason for ISPs to control what we see. In fact, it should be considered downright criminal to give an entity full control over what individuals are allowed to see or hear?

1761. Charles Schreiber, Austin, TX, 78704

Corporations/businesses should not be allowed to pay ISP's to deliver their traffic or give their traffic priority over others.

1762. Chris Jowett, San Antonio, TX, 78259

Leave Net Neutrality in place. My ISP should not be able to control what content I get to what content I don't. Removing Net Neutrality would go against Free Speech and would add another straw onto the proverbial "camel's back" for reasons that this country, one that I have fought for as a military veteran, is not as great as it should, and can, be.

1763. Kathryn Price, San Marcos, TX, 78666

Net Neutrality needs to proceed as is. A small business and large business should have the same accessibility to a customer. By breaking this and giving

"protection" to who pays more will cause the salary gap to increase between the upper class and everyone else. If it wasn't for net neutrality Netflix would most likely not have been a success. Internet companies are in conglomerates with cable companies ex. Spectrum. If the cable industry caught on to what Netflix was doing early they would have no barrier to slow down the connection for netflix.com. Net Neutrality has allowed for revolution in the entertainment industry. Now there are more production companies taking risks on tv shows, because Netflix allows for more creativity. We are seeing a larger range of skin colors, gender and body types now than ever before. The entertainment industry is for entertaining, but it also reinforces social norms. One never knows what a change in a butterfly wing can do to the world.

1764. Brian Halverson, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Keep net neutrality, protect small businesses.
1765. David Pugh, Austin, TX, 78704
A free and open Internet is vital to our modern democracy, economy, and culture. As such, Net Neutrality must be preserved by keeping Internet Service Providers as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
1766. Marie Renee Kuhles, Austin, TX, 78745
As an Academic Librarian, I believe that strong, enforceable net neutrality rules are critical to the functioning of modern libraries because we rely on the internet to collect, create and disseminate essential information to the public. Furthermore, I firmly believe that the open internet is also a vital platform for free speech and intellectual expression.
1767. Paul Watson, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78209
Maintain a fair and level playing field for all on the Internet. Do not increase inequity by giving an unfair advantage to some.
1768. Miguel Vega, Austin, TX, 78704
I support a free and open internet including Title II protections to consumers. Removing these protections will not lessen the burden on the largest corporations, but rather allow them unchecked power in regulating information delivery via the internet. Please keep these protections in place.
1769. Nathan Bayless, Austin, TX, 78748
There is little I can think of that is more important than the preservation of Net Neutrality. To strip it from us would be the most explicit statement our government could possibly make that they don't care about or trust the American public and would gladly sacrifice our well being for short-term profit. The internet is the primary tool for managing most aspects of our lives. It's how we socialize, engage in commerce, manage our affairs and finances,

conduct business, communicate with our representatives, share and develop ideas, consume media, and research and plan nearly every part of our existence. There's little that isn't touched by our relationship to the internet, and it's only going to get more immersive. As online presence becomes an increasingly integral part of daily life, I don't think I can possibly overstate how important maintaining Net Neutrality is.

1770. Philip Arista, Austin, TX, 78705

The internet is an amazing tool that allows people equal access to information and an opportunity to voice their opinions like never before in history. To put this incredibly powerful network of communication into the hands of a few elites is regressive and anti-democratic. Please preserve Net Neutrality.

1771. Brandon Russell Hunter, Austin, TX, 78704

Net neutrality is absolutely essential. Allowing ISPs full control over internet access will only lead to a new form of censorship and information control. The ISPs one and only prime concern is profit.

1772. Congress, Austin, TX, 78745

Why has this country become a business!? Why is it that Corporate America get to decide? When did money become more important than people. Its sad that anyone in congress would support this, why? Because of being "bribed" with some little bit of pocket money. It is sad to see what this great country has become. We all know a capitalist country only worries about its income and willing to sacrifice the weak as long a steady flow of money keeps coming, but now you're trying to suck the blood out with every opportunity you have. Who will finally stand up to those who oppress and rob the poor. Where has American Values gone? Will you help us?

1773. Joyce LaRose, SanAntonio, TX, 78213

This is not freedom only for those providing the service. Internet is a service like elctric, mail, sewer, and water. Please leave it alone.

1774. Matthew Zerweck, San Antonio, TX, 78247

I have been around since the beginning of the internet boom, and I cannot support turning it into a utility. It should be something that can be used freely!

1775. Katie Slusser Gay, Austin, TX, 78704

Maintaining a free and open internet helps spur healthy competition, which ultimately fuels innovation. That's the true meaning of capitalism! The more and more we chip away at our freedoms the more and more we chip away at the heart of this nation. The time is now to restore internet freedom.

1776. Michael Brand, San Antonio, TX, 78247

The internet without Net Neutrality isn't really the internet.

1777. Delaney, Austin, TX, 78741
Don't ruin something good. Delaney
1778. Aidan Bea, Spring Branch, TX, 78070
The Internet is the single greatest invention of our time. Limiting access to it will only hurt the American people.
1779. Alyssa Peters, Austin, TX, 78703
It is of the utmost importance in our world today that ISPs not be able to control or throttle access to certain sites on the internet. A free and open internet is our greatest tool in providing people free education, access to information, and opportunities for jobs and to create small businesses. I am a designer of mobile applications, websites, and software. If access to sites were controlled by ISP interests many of my clients might not see the value of creating their own digital domains and I and much of my industry would falter. I and many of my friends and colleagues take online courses to further our education and pick-up new skills. We go to the internet for inspiration and entertainment. Giving control of such a powerful and ubiquitous force in today's world to the hands of a few giant corporations would be a crime against humanity. It would be like letting the water companies control when and how much water we can drink. I pay my internet provider for access to the entire internet. That is all. Their power should be in providing this service and nothing more.
1780. John Michael Strubhart, Austin, TX, 78745
My daily existence depends on a free and open Internet unencumbered by filtering of any kind. I bank, shop, consume media, store data, communicate and continue my education. I do not have a choice of ISP. I live in an apartment complex that essentially forces me to use Spectrum as my ISP. In rural areas, the situation is even worse. My mother, who lives in a small town, has only one choice for ISP. The claim by the FCC that consumers have a choice is only true for some consumers - not all. Interference with net neutrality serves only greedy corporations, takes away my choices of content providers and interferes with services that are necessary for my functioning as a taxpaying consumer and citizen. Why are you siding with corporations on this issue? No corporation has suffered from net neutrality. They are all raking in money and paying taxes as a result. I pay taxes too. I pay taxes on my access to the Internet at home and in public institutions. The FCC is beholdng to the American taxpayer - not corporations. The FCC needs to maintain strict oversight of ISPs to prevent price gouging and interfering with consumer access to content providers. I strongly urge you to keep the needs of consumers foremost in your mind as you make decisions regarding net neutrality.
1781. Sam LeFebre, Austin, TX, 78704
KEEP the FCCs Open Internet rules in place. I urge you to protect them. DO

NOT give ISPs the power to decide what consumers have access to on the internet and at what speeds. As someone who has lived in multiple apartments, I've never once had a choice in ISP. The building contracts with one and you are stuck with them. The large portions of the country who live in multi-unit dwellings would be discriminated against in our access to content. ISPs have never once offered me over the top services as a primary selling point. Every single message, call and mailer advertises mainly their data speed and their price. That's it. ISPs are purely in the business of selling an on ramp to the internet. They are gatekeepers. They offer no meaningful products beyond that, though they try to pass off horrible imitations of other companies' services as useful. The reality and the truth is that they provide a data connection, and nothing else of value. Keep Net Neutrality in place!!!!

1782. Ben Neville, Spring Branch, TX, 78070

This isn't rocket science. Dismantling or watering down current Net Neutrality laws WILL negatively effect Americans. There is a long history of abuses and infringements upon consumers and their rights without this legislation. Even after the legislation, there have been multiple instances of large fines against ISPs and telecom corporations that STILL didn't follow the laws. Why would anyone believe that these overreaches would be lessened with fewer regulations? That's idiotic and completely detached from reality, which is why I support strong Net Neutrality with Title II oversight of ISPs. Without this, the American public will surely be on the losing end of the deal. P.S. Do something about the spam. If you can't, you're severely misrepresenting your technical competency and have no business drafting/influencing/enforcing legislation for technical industries.

1783. La Mar Holmes, Austin, TX, 78704

Please fight for net neutrality or become part of the reasons that it is harder for the next generations to start small, medium and large businesses.

1784. Marshall Thompson, san marcos, TX, 78666

This is a terrible idea and another way for big corporations to control what people do. Is this a country for the people by the people or is it one for the corporations by the corporatison?

1785. Hunter Barron, Austin, TX, 78701

I am for Net Neutrality and believe that the rolling back of policies that protect it is a decision that is being made without consideration to the people the Government is meant to serve. In this day and age, the internet is no longer a luxury. The internet is truly a necessity and to roll back these protections is a selfish move of the people who we have been forced to place our trust. I ask that you please listen to the American people instead of following your natural impulse to tell yourself you know what is best. The evidence is overwhelming

that we want Net Neutrality and to ignore that takes a very corrupt code of ethics. There are other ways to profit instead of taking advantage of the power you've been trusted with. Do the right thing.

1786. Luke Patterson, San Antonio, TX, 78209
The internet should remain free. It should not be sold to the highest bidder. Please do not allow this to pass.
1787. Karen Marsales, Austin, TX, 78735
Net Neutrality is foundational to competitive, free enterprise, entrepreneurial market entry. It protects our freedom of speech and allows access for all, not just wealthy people.
1788. George Trujillo, San Antonio, TX, 78247
The FCC should under absolutely NO circumstances repeal the current Net Neutrality rules.
1789. joeseph dale, austin, TX, 78748
I am writing concerning net neutrality. As a previous small business owner I support net neutrality. If it were non existent, I would not be able to go toe to toe with the conglomerates. It is already tough for small business to pop up early in online searches. Net neutrality at least gives the small business owners, the backbone of the U.s. A chance in this ever increasing online world. Also, net neutrality stops the internet providers from banning or hiding ideas & things that they do not agree with. That would be un American to allow such behavior.
1790. Albert Jackson, San Antonio, TX, 78230
We are tired of you people filling your pockets, scamming every nickel and dime from us. The battle for Net Neutrality isnt a "Topic" its a statement, a statement that your reach has limits and when you raise suggestions such as this, that we will unite under a single voice in defiance. We will not allow this.
1791. Ed Riggleman, New Braunfels, TX, 78132
I think that the Internet should remain open and free and that no company should be allowed to block content or slow down access of content in a discriminatory manner or solicit other companies for paid prioritization. I also think the Internet is a utility; however, Title II may be a bit dated for an information age utility such as the Internet. I support preservation of net neutrality rules, but without the archaic Title II stipulations.
1792. Yvonne Cullinan, Austin, TX, 78703
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Do

not let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay "and slow lanes for everyone else" if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the five most valuable companies in America. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.

1793. David Velez, San Antonio, TX, 78213

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I do not support the repeal of this proposed by Chairman Pai.

1794. William Phillip Resch II, Austin, TX, 78748

As a business analyst and web developer for a startup company who caters to other startup companies, I find it disturbing that the fundamental neutrality of the internet could be revoked. The neutrality of the internet allows for a fair and open marketplace online. This marketplace is reason that the clients that I work for are able to exist in the first place. If Internet Service Providers are allowed to selectively throttle the internet, then larger companies will have the capacity to block these startups from even coming to market. My company and its clientele depend on the internet and its neutrality to do business. I also know for a fact that they are not the only ones. This decision could leave many people without a job. When I purchase access to broadband internet from a company, I expect to be able to access the entire internet. I don't want to think that a website that I host might be slow or inaccessible depending on what network I'm on. A free and open internet means a free marketplace for ideas, products, and services. Without this freedom, our innovation will be stifled. The strides that we have made in a mere matter of decades will be halted and some of the efforts may be doomed to end outright. As a customer on the outskirts of a major city, I am fortunate to have access to broadband internet. Even still, I do not have much

choice in which ISP to use. There are few options and even fewer affordable ones. With such limited choices I would be forced into a narrow range of web access entirely based on my location. While this is a rough prospect for me, it could be an altogether nightmarish one for those in rural areas. Those who have only a single option for their ISP would be given no choice in what websites they would have access to. The FCC has been given an opportunity to be stewards of a free and open internet, to preserve a free market, to save countless jobs, to champion innovation, to protect free expression, and to preserve a vital resource for the world. Please make the right choice and keep the internet neutral.

1795. Brian Mokrzycki, Austin, TX, 78745

I strongly support net neutrality and all of the protections provided by the FCC classifying internet service providers as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I urge the FCC to stand by these rules and to not overturn them.

1796. Johnny Quest, Austin, TX, 78735

We already have Net Neutrality; ending that would be a step backward and a poor choice for consumers and the FCC. I support Net Neutrality and protecting the Internet under Title II.

1797. Whitney Chagnon, Austin, TX, 78704

Hi there, confused about the reason I'm even having to write this stupid thing. We need a free and open and accessible internet for everyone. There's a reason why the big companies are trying to keep the little companies down. We can't let new businesses get stifled; we need everyone to have an equal shot. Don't let a monopoly happen. These companies are already too big to fail. They don't need help. The internet should remain a Title II. It is a basic human right in the United States. More people have the internet than have home phones. Protect the citizens, and not the corporations.

1798. David Martin, AUSTIN, TX, 78741

I am in support of net neutrality and title 2 moving forward as a concerned citizen. A free and open internet is as essential to democracy as a strong educational system. With increased corporate and government special interests of this day and age, title 2 is exactly what we need to prevent potential abuse from moneyed interests, or backdoor political deals. It is a slippery slope to a Russian/Chinese internet where it is ran by the state and oligarchs, preventing citizens from having an open communication line with themselves and the world at large. My land of America, and my state of Texas, should not fall to this corruption.

1799. Jacob Primeaux, Austin, TX, 78748

Net neutrality must be preserved, and the tools to enforce it should be robust.

1800. Sean Cooper, New Braunfels, TX, 78132
Net neutrality must not be compromised. The internet is crucial infrastructure and as such will see no benefit from any type of throttling, priority by payer or traffic type or ISP filtering. If the FCC or any entity or organization tries to change the current net neutrality it will be an utter disaster. Though I'm highly educated in this area it is common sense that dismantling the system built for all, that works amazingly, to line the pockets of the few is clearly bullshit. Do the right thing for the good of the world rather than succumb to the pervasive model of greed.
1801. Willis Harvey, Austin, TX, 78705
Don't let the internet become like cable. Allowing ISPs to manipulate traffic will stunt innovation and hinder people doing good work.
1802. Brian Lutz, San Antonio, TX, 78212
The internet must remain open to provide a level playing field for all concerned.
1803. Joanie Murta, Austin, TX, 78748
What kind of world do you wish to live in? A world where a handful of corporations control what everyone has access to know, read, or buy? Allowing Net Neutrality to breakdown is another step into a scary, horrible world I don't want for myself or for the next generation. What exactly are the goals here? The unintended consequences? This is unacceptable for America!
1804. Andrew Clement, Austin, TX, 78735
I support net neutrality for many reasons, but the biggest reason, which I'll share, is that it allows for small businesses to thrive. If net neutrality didn't exist, then sites like Netflix, or even Facebook wouldn't have been able to compete due to the high premiums that ISPs would give these small businesses to make their service faster. It is ludicrous that taking away net neutrality is even being considered.
1805. Adam Furgison, Austin, TX, 78748
I am submitting this because I believe that the internet should not be limited based on what a cable company wants to charge.
1806. Josh Jennings, Austin, TX, 78745
I do not support the removal of net neutrality. I believe it will significantly impact the freedom of people on the internet negatively.
1807. Thuy Tien Trinh, San Antonio, TX, 78247
I am for Net Neutrality! It should always be free!
1808. Mike M, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Trying to abolish net neutrality is a ploy for money hungry capitalists to make

more money. Net neutrality is the only sane and logical choice.

1809. Christopher Burke, Austin, TX, 78704

The FCC should safeguard Internet freedom by keeping the bright-line net neutrality protections in place and upholding Title II. The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Pai's plan to hand the telecom giants like AT&T, Comcast, and Verizon free rein to throttle whatever they please, stripping consumers of the vital privacy and access safeguards we fought for and so recently won. Title II and net neutrality are simply locking in the internet status quo since the inception of the internet. The physical networks that power the internet are clearly a natural monopoly, and incumbent carriers need regulation to prevent them from taking advantage of consumers. The idea that Title II is hurting broadband investments is simply ludicrous, as numerous companies have made material statements to their shareholders that that the exact opposite: -In December 2015, AT&T's CEO told investors that the company would "deploy more fiber" in 2016 than it did in 2015 and that Title II would not impede its future business plans. -In December 2016, Comcast's chief financial officer admitted to investors that any concerns it had about reclassification were based only on "the fear of what Title II could have meant, more than what it actually meant." -That same month, Charter's CEO told investors, "Title II, it didn't really hurt us; it hasn't hurt us." -Just a few days after the election, Cablevision and Suddenlink's parent company Altice reaffirmed its plan to deploy FTTH [fiber-to-the-home] service to all of its customers and told investors that it remained "focused on upgrading our broadband networks to drive increases in broadband speeds and better customer experience." With statements such as these, and these companies consistent rankings at the bottom of consumer satisfaction surveys, there is simply no legitimate reason to change the Title II classification. This is simply a power grab by incumbent carriers to try to put a toll lane on all internet traffic that passes through them. I am also dismayed by the FCC's lack of action on the clearly fake comments in support of removing Title II. This shows the true level of regulatory capture of the current commission; when any reputable poll shows strong bipartisan support for Title II classification, Ajit Pai is happy to use clearly fraudulent comments to advance big-telecom's agenda.

1810. Nicholas Sohadaseni, Austin, TX, 78741

I have many friends and family living across the globe. The free internet has helped me stay in touch with many of them. I know this is the case for millions of people across the States. I am blessed to have access to Google's Fiber ISP. But, obviously, most of the population of our country does not. The internet is a means for free speech, to purchase/sell goods and services, to stay connected, to entertain and be entertained. The FCC's role should include ensuring that consumers are protected from, among other things, invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers.

1811. Jason Ramm, Austin, TX, 78745

I want to make sure that all internet traffic is treated equally. The last thing we need is a monopoly of specific websites through our internet providers. I already get price increases quarterly from my ISP without any increases to my services, I don't want to have to pay more for less.

1812. Rebecca Stinemetze, San Antonio, TX, 78247

An open internet is important to me because it allows me to connect with friends and family members all over the US. I Skype or Google Hangout with my parents almost every week. My 14 month old daughter won't just know a voice over a phone, but a living and moving image of her grandparents and they will get to see her actually walking, talking and interacting with her. In our house we buy broadband internet from AT&T for the usage and speed. We understand that they also provide email addresses and cloud storage, but mainly we use it for the connection. I use other services for email address (Google) and cloud storage (Dropbox and Apple). Where I live in San Antonio, TX I believe we only have access to a few ISPs; AT&T and Spectrum (Time Warner). It's not like walking down the toothpaste aisle at the grocery store and choosing from a multitude of brands. Switching ISPs is a pain as well. We suffer a financial penalty if we switch before the end of the contract, new equipment is needed and time off of work is necessary for installation.

1813. Bart Burkhard, Johnson City, TX, 78636

I believe in the free flow of data, content, ideas, etc. and in the long-term, this is only possible when providers and consumers operate on an equal footing. Outside of common-sense controls that are required for a stable and safe internet, ISPs should not be allowed to block, throttle, etc. based on their own business rules.

1814. Dustin Orrick, Austin, TX, 78703

Reasons why Net Neutrality are needed: 1. We are not China and don't need a great firewall of internet providers 2. Don't drive folks into VPN only access to countries that are still net free 3. If you want to lose business based in the USA, then restrict free internet and watch how fast they leave

1815. Regan Parks, Austin, TX, 78703

It is important to keep the net neutrality in place as no company or companies should be able to control what I have access to online. Data discrimination is a real threat and not something companies should be allowed to participate in. I would not want Comcast deciding that I can't use Skype to talk to family members in another state because they are releasing their own version of a video chat app. I wouldn't want Netflix intentionally slowed down so I am forced to use another streaming service. Thankfully, the current net neutrality rules ensure that ISPs can't slow or block customers' access to certain web services

or engage in data discrimination by charging websites and online services more money to reach people faster. That's exactly the right balance to make sure competition in the Internet space is fair and benefits consumers and small businesses as well as larger players. Chairman Pai's proposed repeal of the rules would transform ISPs into Internet gatekeepers with an effective veto right on expression and innovation. That's contrary to the basic precepts on which the Internet was built. I urge you to keep Title II net neutrality in place, and safeguard Internet users like me.

1816. Cassandra Gonzalez, Austin, TX, 78704

As the internet becomes more and more of a necessity in daily life, as opposed to a privilege, it becomes even more imperative to keep the internet neutral. I would hate to see the common man manipulated one way or another by larger corporations, forming monopolies and destroying individual freedoms. Please keep the internet evenly accessible to all.

1817. Christopher Gennarelli, Austin, TX, 78704

Internet Service Providers should not be permitted to block or throttle the sites that they choose for their own financial gain. Maintaining a free and open internet helps spur healthy competition, which ultimately fuels innovation

1818. Alina Khan, San Antonio, TX, 78258

Net Neutrality is something that must be protected at all costs. Right now, the internet is a free place where small startups and large corporations alike have equal access to. All consumers have equal access to the internet- it's unlike anything else in the world. We don't want to change that. No matter how many times net neutrality is threatened, we will continue to fight for it.

1819. Mark Starr, Austin, TX, 78703

ISPs should not be permitted to block or throttle the sites that they choose for their own financial gain. Maintaining a free and open internet helps spur healthy competition, which ultimately fuels innovation.

1820. Brendan Drummond, San Antonio, TX, 78216

The internet must remain open.No matter what I am doing, I should be free to do so on the internet as long as I am paying my bill. I pay for unrestricted access to the internet and you provide. What happens next is not up to you.Getting rid of net neutrality marks the start of a very slippery slope. ISPs can decide what you get to see or do on the internet, and who knows how far they would push it. What would the rules be? Could my gaming habits be impacted if my ISP suddenly decided they didn't like my gaming service's company (Steam, Origin, Blizzard) and restrict my access to them?Keep net neutrality. Stop being greedy! Stop trying to take away our rights just so you can make a little extra money by blocking websites, selling our information, or favoriting other websites.

1821. Cody Jarmon, Austin, TX, 78745
Enabling a pay to play environment is no different than creating an oligarchy. Why are you trying to get rid of consumer freedom and healthy competition?
1822. Donna Kent, Austin, TX, 78703
Net Neutrality - Please protect my place of employment and the way we do business as well as my personal freedoms.
1823. Patrick Edelen, Austin, TX, 78705
As a student, programmer, and entrepreneur, I support strong net neutrality and title II rules that keep everyone on the same playing field and let websites compete based on content and quality. If ISPs are allowed to discriminate internet traffic I worry that new startups will not be able to prosper without paying money to keep their sites quick. I also worry that ISPs will filter content that they deem 'unworthy' and censor the internet. For me and many others, I'd rather be away from water than the internet for a few hours. Treat ISPs like the common carriers they are and keep the internet free and equal for all.
1824. Megan Sherrod, Austin, TX, 78704
I would like to express my disapproval that the FCC is trying to kill net neutrality and the strong Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Preserving an open internet is important for fair and equal access to the resources and information available on it. An open internet provides Americans with the ability to express themselves, gather information, connect with others around the world, and have equal opportunities for growing their businesses online. I strongly support an open internet.
1825. Brittany Selcer, Austin, TX, 78748
An open internet is non-discriminatory, healthy for both the economy & the people who use it to live their daily lives.
1826. Penelope Nederlander, Austin, TX, 78745
Net Neutrality is crucial to future development and existence of the internet as we know it and would like it to evolve. I support a free and open internet.
1827. Colleen Smith, San Antonio, TX, 78232
I think it's funny that some think it's necessary to have a free and open stock market for the benefit of the economy but a free and open internet is the bane of the economy. Every internet user can see the hypocrisy.
1828. Brian Steele, Austin, TX, 78704
By allowing some web traffic to be slowed down, or some to have preferential treatment, it allows someone to have the power to shape the free market of ideas of the internet. The free market of the internet MUST be allowed to be exactly that, free, open and not controlled by anyone. This is a free speech issue and an

American value.

1829. Ben Rogers, Austin, TX, 78748

Net neutrality is core to the philosophy that has guided the internet. A fair pipe to all sites encourages innovation, allowing all to have a level playing field. We're already going down the behemoth path with just a few companies owning vast swaths of the internet's infrastructure. Let's keep the net neutral so that we don't complete this path such that only a select few control what we see and say on the internet.

1830. Trace Etienne-Gray, Austin, TX, 78748

I support current law and guidelines that preserve net neutrality. I object to any new law or regulation that would change the current status quo.

1831. Micah, San Antonio, TX, 78259

Net Neutrality is important to me because I love to read and learn, the greatest part about the Internet is that you can search any subjects and find information from a wide range of sources; both highly funded and ones that have zero funding. I love to see what "the little guy" has to share and say. You simply do not get that level of information on TV or in published books. Internet is a part of who I am. My life on the web has shaped who I am, and has on the whole enriched my human experience both on and offline. I've had many stories and learned many things because of my time spent on the web, and none of this would have been possible without Net Neutrality. It's also because what makes America great is the freedom to start your own business and realize the "American Dream." The Internet allows niche businesses to succeed because they have the ability to reach their unique customers all across the world, this world of niche business has truly thrived due to the Internet. Please keep and protect Net Neutrality.

1832. Ethan Lane, Austin, TX, 78704

Protect net neutrality. The so-called "Restore Internet Freedom Act" does anything but. Keep the Internet free as it always has been to foster innovation and communication and stop ISPs from taking power from the people.

1833. Aaron Millikan, Austin, TX, 78748

Keep Net Neutrality Rules intact. Net Neutrality is best for small businesses and consumers. **DO NOT REPEAL NET NEUTRALITY!**

1834. Linda Webb, Buda, TX, 78610

Please do not get rid of Net Neutrality. It seems big brother is here, and it is not the government but big business. I don't want to be controlled by big business.

1835. Adam Strickland, Austin, TX, 78703

We already have enough 'big government' in our lives with television, the

internet is one of the last free places. Advertisements that are plastered all over every site is enough already, but putting peoples agenda's in the forefront of what the individual wishes to find, watch, read, etc... is too much.

1836. Debi Evans, Austin, TX, 78704

I urge you to protect an open internet and free competition online. I want to be able to access the entire internet free of paid prioritization, blocking or throttling as I can today. The internet is an amazing tool that I count on every day to purchase goods, compare products and services, find information and get help doing every day things. There is only one internet and the rules must apply to my home and mobile connections equally. This is super important to me. Thank you for listening.

1837. Magdalene Thomas, Austin, TX, 78723

Net neutrality is critical to maintaining an open and free society. Just as a free press is (and will continue to be) the cornerstone of democracy, so has unbiased access to information online become a cornerstone of society.

1838. Michelle Gilliland, Austin, TX, 78703

The Internet is built around the idea of openness. It allows people to connect and exchange information freely, as it should. The internet is intended to act as an unfiltered and unrestricted data source. The internet is already influenced enough. Let's leave it be. Internet Service Providers should not be permitted to block or throttle the sites that they choose for their own financial gain. Maintaining a free and open internet helps spur healthy competition, which ultimately fuels innovation. A free and open internet is necessary for the health of the online ecosystem. A neutral internet puts the power in the hands of the people, where it should be. An internet without net neutrality will force users to find another source for organic uninfluenced affordable data. Abandoning net neutrality would end the era of democratic access that has driven unprecedented innovation, creativity, and empowerment for millions of people online.

1839. Adam Lewis, New Braunfels, TX, 78132

Net Neutrality is essential for a fair marketplace and continued innovation.

1840. Alex Esparza, San Antonio, TX, 78258

I wanted to voice my support for net neutrality. The internet is one of the last bastions of American freedom. A place where we can express ourselves and connect with others around the world. The FCC wants to kill net neutrality in favor of large cable companies so that they can restrict and whore out different parts of the web. Large companies should not be allowed to slow down certain parts of the web either for profit or censorship, which is what will happen if net neutrality is gutted. It's already bad enough that internet providers have massive monopolies over their internet speeds. The FCC should be fining and punishing companies that throttle certain content on the internet. It is ridiculous that this is

even an issue when an overwhelming amount of Americans support net neutrality. Keep the internet free!

1841. Benjamin Njus, San Antonio, TX, 78247
The internet should not be monopolized. Companies should not have total control over our internet experience or the ability to throttle what ever websites they choose.
1842. Cynthia Nagel, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133
Internet Freedom. Stop restricting our freedoms and liberties.
1843. Linas Vepstas, Austin, TX, 78703
Ever try to pull your car out of the driveway, and been told you aren't allowed on the street? Never? Me neither, but I have been blocked from contacting my customers because their ISP was blocking my traffic. My livelihood depends on the Internet, and suddenly loosing access to 20% of my customers is simply not acceptable. I know why they did it -- they had recently acquired another business that was competitive with my own. They were blocking my traffic to hurt my customers, and to hurt my business. Net neutrality is supposed to prevent ISPs from playing dirty games like this -- don't get rid of it. I need it to survive.
1844. Lydia Jarjoura, Austin, TX, 78704
I don't want my internet controlled anymore than it already is. It's enough that I can't get onto my computer without ads being targeted to me; but I don't want imagine it going any further than it already is. Isn't this country founded on "freedom"?
1845. Gareth Tilley, Austin, TX, 78704
Keep Title II Strong Net Neutrality. PLEASE!
1846. Chris Missal, Austin, TX, 78704
As a software and web developer, I have experience in taking websites from nothingness into popularity. If we allow ISPs to have different offerings of their services based on the content they're serving, this will have a huge negative impact on our society. Brand new content will not have a place in this type of an internet and growth and prosperity will suffer from it. If we consider our country to be the "Land of the Free", our access to information and online services should also remain open and free. I do feel that the FCC has a place in oversight of ISPs. I believe that it is the duty of the FCC to ensure that the people are not being taken advantage of regarding, price, speeds, content, and privacy. These are the most urgent issues that affect the people.
1847. Patrick Bradshaw, Austin, TX, 78704
Please support net neutrality. The internet needs to remain free and equal for

everyone. NO LIMITS OR CHARGES FOR SPEED. EVER. Everyone is EQUAL on the internet. Anything else is wrong.

1848. Shawn Stoller, Austin, TX, 78749

This generation is the most educated in history. This fact is strongly rooted in the accessibility to information via the internet. Don't restrict the performance and accessibility to information! You threaten progress and prosperity. Keep Net Neutrality!!

1849. Joseph McAden, San Marcos, TX, 78666

I would like to keep net neutrality and maintain an open free internet.

1850. Stephen F, Austin, TX, 78703

Net neutrality is important to provide a level playing field for companies of all sizes to compete online. Allowing ISPs to block websites, slow them down, or give some sites an advantage over others would harm consumers and many online companies. Please protect the Net Neutrality rules already in place. Thanks for your consideration.

1851. Lauren Bard, Austin, TX, 78745

I strongly feel that the internet should remain a fair and level playing field for all. The internet should not be sold to highest bidder.

1852. Mike Scharon, Kerrville, TX, 78028

Net neutrality is something that we desperately need. This current administration could do more to protect the internet freedom of the country. Stop this horrendous event from happening.

1853. Elana Wakeman, Austin, TX, 78745

The FCC should ensure that consumers are protected from invasions of our privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by broadband providers. If the FCC is left without authority over broadband ISPs, Comcast could double its prices overnight, and there wouldn't be anything the FCC or any other agency could do about it. The Internet has thrived precisely because of net neutrality. It's what makes it so vibrant and innovative—a place for creativity, free expression, and exchange of ideas. Protect our free speech, our privacy, and commerce on the Internet. Thank you!

1854. Collin Norwood, San Antonio, TX, 78230

Open and free internet access is a human right.

1855. jose lopez, fredericksburg, TX, 78624

I want good information, news and freedom of expression this action threatens to take away. If america is no longer the america that cherishes freedom i will find another country to live on or i will fight to defend the freedoms so many

other have died to protect.

1856. Bradley Rockhill, Austin, TX, 78741
PLEASE KEEP NET NEUTRALITY don't let corporations destroy something, everyone utilizes!
1857. Alex Bartlett, San Marcos, TX, 78666
The Internet Needs to be free. I do not believe that there is any drawback to neutrality.
1858. Sheila Karner, Austin, TX, 78703
Net neutrality has enabled many companies to become what they are today. Companies depend on the fair competition ensured by net neutrality to offer consumers with an ever increasing breadth and depth of quality and affordability. A free and open internet is necessary for the health of the broader travel and tourism ecosystem too. Please don't make changes that would roll back net neutrality and put internet service providers in charge without any way to enforce net neutrality rules. This is a very bad idea and would be detrimental to so many and, as a result, it would also hurt economy.
1859. Michael McClenny, San Antonio, TX, 78259
Please keep Net Neutrality. The Internet is a public good that was built with tax payer's money. Internet providers have the option of pulling out of the business of providing internet access if it is not profitable. Allowing ISPs to control the speed of my access to information depending on who paid the ISP is against the public good of equal access to information. Please keep the internet the public utility that my tax dollars paid to develop.
1860. Savanha, Austin, TX, 78745
Do not monopolize the internet, keep it free and accessible to all, do not capitalize on internet speed, it's 2017 we are a technology based society.
1861. Seyed Miri, Austin, TX, 78704
The internet should be free of interference by parties interested in determining what I should watch, where I should surf and what information I can access. This is completely ridiculous and the beginning of the end for freedoms that we should be allowed to enjoy and not open the way into needless censorship so that rich companies can profit further. This will deteriorate the internet, it will allow for only certain viewpoints to be seen, and content will eventually be narrowed until the greatest things about the internet are gone!
1862. Jesper Drlicka, Austin, TX, 78704
The internet is a free and open place for information. It's a universal library of useful and nonuseful information for people of every nation and culture. To repeal Net Neutrality would be unconstitutional and wrong as the American

people have the basic right to have access to any information at their desire in order to fully educate themselves and make us the best people in the world.

1863. Bryan Phillips, Austin, TX, 78745

Net Neutrality is important to me and I urge you to keep the Internet open and unencumbered by corporations. I'm free to use my phone as I want without certain texts delayed. I should be free to use my Internet connection as I want without my traffic filtered/altered by destination.

1864. Sam Itskin, Austin, TX, 78735

This is the entire basis of our economy and progress. There is no reason this should even be considered at all.

1865. Ryan Hutchings, Austin, TX, 78703

I fully support the premise of a free and open web. If privatized corporations or industries are allowed to filter, adjust or manipulate the use of the internet it will ALWAYS be driven by self-motivated intentions. Decisions made by a small few who sit at the top, and in turn are beholden to financial performance metrics that cloud judgments that should ultimately be fair and impartial. Please do not allow this to happen.

1866. Rico Bendimez, San Marcos, TX, 78666

Do not ask "What can I do for my internet service provider," but " What can my internet service provider do for me?"STOP NET NEUTRALITY!

1867. jacob maldonado, austin, TX, 78748

I want to keep the internet the way it is free of censoring and am opposed to meddling from the ISP with cutting net neutrality.

1868. Margaret Vassar, San Antonio, TX, 78209

The government is being run by big business. Net neutrality is vital to a free and open internet.

1869. Louie Ramos, San Antonio, TX, 78209

For the benefit of all, please keep our internet free and open to all

1870. Lucas Piper, Austin, TX, 78746

Please help us!

1871. Brock Bouchard, Austin, TX, 78704

Don't let corporate interests screw up the amazing value that the Internet provides to humanity.

1872. Gerrod Noordsy, San Antonio, TX, 78259

I don't wanna pay for a premium that shouldn't exist. Why should I be throttled

from a well of infinite knowledge.

1873. Matthew Stephens, Austin, TX, 78704

I want to voice my support for Net Neutrality and Title 2 and urge the FCC to preserve these two critical elements that make the Internet so great.

1874. Joshua Gatewood, Austin, TX, 78704

This is absolutely ridiculous that you guys are trying to take away the net neutrality rules. Could you guys please try not to be so corrupt? Either do the job we pay you to do or find a new line of work. Don't repeal the net neutrality rules!

1875. Karson Elmgren, Austin, TX, 78704

I consider net neutrality essential to the future of our society and the use of the internet as a tool for both free expression and free enterprise. I strongly oppose any efforts to end net neutrality.

1876. Jordan Dillard, Austin, TX, 78704

I believe in an open and free internet where companies cannot control the speed of connection or what you see.

1877. Arkady Apelchuk, Austin, TX, 78741

This nation was built upon the idea of a free-market system. The internet should see no different arena just because it is not as tangible as goods and products. I support strong net neutrality rules that give people the power to choose which websites and apps are best on their own. Please do the same.

1878. Eva Camarillo, San Antonio, TX, 78247

We live in America; also known as the land of the free, a government for the people BY the people. Yet it doesn't feel like it. STOP SCREWING US OVER! The internet is for EVERYONE.

1879. Reid Streidl, San Antonio, TX, 78232

I'm very tired of those with money attempting to destroy the freedoms this country has for profit. Corporations should be taxed, not given the steering wheel to our government. Reid Streidl

1880. Haden Brock, Austin, TX, 78741

Connectivity with the internet needs to remain neutral, and not a pay per play viewing experience only for people who have enough money to be at the front of the line.

1881. Mason Matthews, San Antonio, TX, 78209

Howdy FCC, I am writing today in support of the net neutrality campaign. I might not be the most unique voice, and I'm certainly not the loudest, but I am a citizen and a veteran and a lover of this great nation. Please take the time to

consider my comments regarding the FCC and its roll in guarding the American citizen against those who might take unfair advantage. My primary concern is the lack of choice when it comes to broadband internet access in my area. Where I live, there are two options for broadband internet, AT&T and Spectrum. I work at a public library near China Grove, Texas and the options for my patrons are even more limited. In some cases they have no broadband availability whatsoever. In a market with such low competition, there are far too many opportunities for providers to take advantage of their customers. Not only can companies charge higher prices, they can get away with poor products and services. I'm sure my concerns may seem elementary or under-educated, so please forgive my lack of knowledge in these areas. Please don't let my shortcomings detract from the weight of my submission. I hope you have a pleasant day, and that your organization can continue to serve and protect the American people.

1882. Kevin Kingsbury, Austin, TX, 78745
Keep Net Neutrality, preserve the open internet. Internet companies, innovative startups, and millions of internet users depend on these common-sense protections that prevent blocking or throttling of internet traffic, segmenting the internet into paid fast lanes and slow lanes, and other discriminatory practices. Thanks in part to net neutrality, the open internet has grown to become an unrivaled source of choice, competition, innovation, free expression, and opportunity. And it should stay that way.
1883. calina walker, fredericksburg, TX, 78624
we need net neutrality so that we can remain a free country. taking away net neutrality is opening the doors to dictatorship.
1884. RYan Cavalier, new braunfels, TX, 78132
Internet is what you pay for. People dont need to be slowed down
1885. Madison Masterson, austin, TX, 78705
I agree with the concepts behind Net Neutrality because the internet is much too vast to start segmenting it. It's completely unfair and will begin to diminish fair competition on the web. Those who will be able to pay for faster service for their customers will, and eventually they will begin to monopolize. This chokes the creativity of the internet and should not happen.
1886. Dominic Cerminara, Austin, TX, 78701
Grow up.
1887. Trent Zou, Austin, TX, 78745
The FCC should safeguard Internet freedom by keeping the bright-line net neutrality protections in place and upholding Title II. The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Pai's plan to hand the telecom giants like AT&T, Comcast,

and Verizon free rein to throttle whatever they please, stripping consumers of the vital privacy and access safeguards we fought for and so recently won. Title II and net neutrality are simply locking in the internet status quo since the inception of the internet. The physical networks that power the internet are clearly a natural monopoly, and incumbent carriers need regulation to prevent them from taking advantage of consumers. The idea that Title II is hurting broadband investments is simply ludicrous, as numerous companies have made material statements to their shareholders that say the exact opposite: -In December 2015, AT&T's CEO told investors that the company would "deploy more fiber" in 2016 than it did in 2015 and that Title II would not impede its future business plans. -In December 2016, Comcast's chief financial officer admitted to investors that any concerns it had about reclassification were based only on "the fear of what Title II could have meant, more than what it actually meant." -That same month, Charter's CEO told investors, "Title II, it didn't really hurt us; it hasn't hurt us." -Just a few days after the election, Cablevision and Suddenlink's parent company Altice reaffirmed its plan to deploy FTTH [fiber-to-the-home] service to all of its customers and told investors that it remained "focused on upgrading our broadband networks to drive increases in broadband speeds and better customer experience." With statements such as these, and these companies consistent rankings at the bottom of consumer satisfaction surveys, there is simply no legitimate reason to change the Title II classification. This is simply a power grab by incumbent carriers to try to put a toll lane on all internet traffic that passes through them. I am also dismayed by the FCC's lack of action on the clearly fake comments in support of removing Title II. This shows the true level of regulatory capture of the current commission; when any reputable poll shows strong bipartisan support for Title II classification, Ajit Pai is happy to use clearly fraudulent comments to advance big-telecom's agenda.

1888. Marko Cekic, Austin, TX, 78704

I strongly oppose any proposal to reverse net neutrality. As a critical tool for managing daily life across innumerable dimensions, impartial, non-prioritized internet access is a daily essential and a bedrock of free society. Chairman Pai's proposal does not in any discernible way provide benefits for either consumer or internet users at large. It seems specifically geared to addressing the economic challenges of network providers who are seeking a way to leverage their status as a provider to increase their ability to profit. While there is nothing necessarily wrong in driving incremental profits for infrastructure providers, it should be done through a different mechanism than paying to prioritize Internet traffic. Beyond that, just as plumbing providers do not control what you can put down the drain, ISP's should not control what you can access online. This is a gross overreach of authority and serves no one's interest in this case but the ISP's. I hereby submit this public comment to oppose Chairman Pai's proposal to reverse net neutrality protections.

1889. Courtney Giblin, Manchaca, TX, 78652
Net Neutrality is vital to protect the freedoms of the American people. Without Net Neutrality our Freedom of Speech is being violated. It allows large corporation to monopolize the control of the greatest technology of our time. Not only does this add money to the pockets of corporations at the expense of the American people but ultimately it will lead to a single corporation monopolizing consumerism and running the United States. Net Neutrality encourages open ideas, sharing of information, entrepreneurship, innovation and ISP competition - these are the very things that make America great - that support the American dream, that allow American to excel and be the world leaders. Protect our rights for Net Neutrality.
1890. Ludwig Salomon, Austin, TX, 78749
I support strong Title II oversight of ISPs in order to preserve strong Net Neutrality rules. I've got friends and family who make their living by selling goods and services over the internet, and for the FCC to give ISPs free reign to prioritize their preferred content will cost my loved ones their livelihoods. Not to mention, the idea of scrapping the concept of the internet being a level playing ground for all content is just absurd. ISPs have created local monopolies, and limited choices. We should not give them even more opportunities to gouge us on prices and scale back on the services that we've come to rely on. Please do not ruin the internet. We need to maintain Net Neutrality, we need strong oversight under Title II.
1891. Nicole Majeske, San Maros, TX, 78666
It is an important part of our democratic system that everyone has equal access to the internet, regardless of social or economic class. Do not roll back the protections we worked so hard to implement in the first place. Thank you.-
Nicole Majeske
1892. James Barrett Dudley, AUSTIN, TX, 78703
Net Neutrality is of the utmost importance for keeping the internet fair, open, and democratic in nature. Please do not let the media conglomerates have any type of control over what is available to be accessed and at what speed. This completely destroys the entire essence of the internet and would prevent smaller operations from ever succeeding. We don't want the big corporations controlling what we see and what we don't see. Net Neutrality must be protected!
1893. Stephen Schauer-West, Austin, TX, 78705
The FCC should protect us consumers from invasions of privacy, fraudulent billing, and ISPs' price gouging. This issue is important to me, and the internet should remain open and free from privatized throttling. Using the internet is a BIG part of my life, as it is for most Americans: if net neutrality goes away, something should replace it. I'm worried that the protections that are in place

will be weakened if we change the way they are enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any.

1894. Lauro Salinas, Austin, TX, 78735

I believe that Net Neutrality should remain and be protected.

1895. Amelia Crawford, Austin, TX, 78704

I am writing today in favor of the Net Neutrality rules implemented under the previous administration and against their proposed reversal. I live in a city known for its many tech industry jobs, yet I am thoroughly unimpressed with my options for internet service providers. I do not believe that giving these companies more power is the answer. I am not interested in them making more money. I am interested in receiving better service. Despite their advertising claims, I have never felt that any internet provider in my area was concerned with providing quality service, and I do not believe that giving them more freedom to control the content I view will improve my experience as a consumer of information. The bottom line is that no ISP is concerned with my best interest. Their primary concern is making money. These days internet access and access to information are crucial in a democracy. I do not want ISPs to be given any more discretion to manipulate, influence or determine the content I can access. Their desire to increase revenues is secondary to my right to be an informed citizen.

1896. Christopher Seymore, San Antonio, TX, 78261

Please keep net neutrality. Please don't allow the de-evolution of the American education accelerate because people can only find a limited view of information because one company says so.

1897. Joshua Schnieders, San Antonio, TX, 78232

This Proceeding Should Not Pass. The rules in place protect american consumers and businesses from domestic and foreign exploitation and should stay the way they are now.

1898. Jesse Brodkey, Austin, TX, 78704

The FCC is responsible for protecting the public, not the ISPs. Keeping access to the internet neutral insures innovation and information have equal footing, which is most certainly in the public's interest.

1899. Marianne Nader, san antonio, TX, 78258

This internet has always been a place that allows people from all over the world to interact freely with one another. By removing Net Neutrality the ISPS will virtually control the internet by placing restrictions on whatever they choose to on the internet and this could ruin small businesses and entrepreneurs who rely on the internet to get their products and names out there. My father owns a small

pizza restaurant and if every time somebody searched "pizza places in San Antonio" and the majority of the ones that came up where the large chain corruption ones and it wasn't until the third or fourth page the locally owned ones appeared my father's restaurant would be gone and so would my chance along with all 3 of my others sisters chances to go to college and get an education. Allowing large corruptions to control the internet will not only ruin freedom of speech as stated in the first amedment but it will also harm the us economy and many small local busniesses and middle class families who depened on the internet to sustain their jobs and work. So please think about the famillies and all hard working people removing net neutrality would harm and think about the harm it will do to the United States economy when all these busniesses go out of busniess.

1900. Antonio Llongueras, Austin, TX, 78704
Net neutrality is crucial for the free market. There is no benefit to the consumer or community by revoking our net neutrality.
1901. Michael Joseph Dobson, Austin, TX, 78701
ISPs have tried this before and surely they'll try it again. Every time, the people, and industries that value the Internet as one of humanity's most influential achievements have stood up and said no to monopolizing it. With the exception of big business, and the scumbags like Ajit Pai who they employ, no one wants to see this happen. By the means of media control, advertising, government lobbying, etc - so much of how we think is already controlled by big money. The Internet is one last frontier we wont hand over.
1902. Gregory Williams, Austin, TX, 78704
When I buy internet access through an ISP provider, I am buying access to a single, massively scaled distributed network of resources (THE internet). What I am NOT buying is access to specific websites or services. This is a basic technological definition. Do not remove net neutrality protections; do not let ISPs pick and choose what gets to exist on the internet. Removing net neutrality protections amounts to the creation of a state-sponsored corporately-owned censorship board. This isn't right, morally or technically.
1903. Sarah Hopko, Austin, TX, 78705
Net Neutrality is very important. It keeps the internet open to all information and prevents companies from buying in information or sites. Do not repeal Net Neutrality.
1904. Nathaniel Webb, Austin, TX, 78735
FOR REAL DO DON'T YOU FUCK UP THE INTERNET TOO YOU BASTARDS I AM TIRED OF THIS SHIT. IMA LET YOU KNOW BACON IS GOOD FOR ME, JUST LIKE THE INTERNET.

1905. Tim Keitt, Austin, TX, 78703

I urge you to maintain Title II common carrier status for ISPs and work to establish permanent internet neutrality. Thank you.

1906. Sheila Parr, Austin, TX, 78741

I support strong net neutrality rules. Efforts to replace the 2015 Open Internet Order are not based on economic fact. ISPs have failed to prove that the Order specifically necessitates reversal of FCC policy. Even if this were not so, a free and open internet supersedes the issue of depressed ISP investment in my mind. Please consider my comments and do not roll back net neutrality.

1907. Aakash Chopra, Austin, TX, 78704

Like our US flag, our constitution, and the other symbols of freedom we hold in the highest regard, the internet, as it exists, is also a beacon of freedom. The idea of not maintaining neutrality as it relates to the internet is an attack on freedom. As champions, ambassadors, and promoters of free, thoughtful, and intelligent societies we have to admonish those that would enact to diminish the values of freedom that the internet provides to ALL of its users. I have visited other countries in the world where end users do not get to choose what they have access to in regard to content available on the internet. It's an experience that made me feel like I was being held back from information I needed to be productive. It's important to note that I would not have known I didn't have access to the information I sought had I not known that the information existed. Indeed I did know that it existed - but only because I had seen it on the web before. Do we really want to live in a society where its members are kept guessing whether they are seeing the whole picture? Allowing such things would simply be unproductive. Do not be mistaken, by doing things like blocking access to information, allowing corporations to determine which users have priority over others in regard to the information they attempt to access and the speed at which it is delivered - IS AN ATTACK ON OUR FREEDOM. I support a level playing field in regard to delivery of information over the internet. ISPs should NOT be able to prioritize, block, and/or throttle content under ANY terms.

1908. Yevgeniya Vinogradova, Austin, TX, 78704

Hello, Please consider internet as a utility and a basic human right. Access to fair internet standards cannot be enforced without guidelines that protect consumers and innovative companies from predatory pricing.

1909. Ben Penney, Austin, TX, 78746

If there are certain websites that shouldn't be visited then use the current technology to blacklist those websites. Everyone shouldn't suffer from browsing what they want just because people don't know how to control it on their own networks. The internet is a place of freedom and expression. If ISPs can control

what people browse than where is the sense of American freedom.

1910. Sarah Nelson, Austin, TX, 78741
Strong Net Neutrality rules are better for the United States economy as a whole, because monopolizing internet access restricts taxpayers to a limited number of online vendors, which limits our spending and cuts off other vendors from what should be a free market. A free market creates more jobs and generates more spending, which benefits everyone instead of the few. Please help us keep the internet economy open to all.
1911. Max Tibbits, San Antonio, TX, 78213
Please keep the internet open neutral
1912. Christian Monte, Austin, TX, 78745
Please do not abolish Title II. This will only serve to cripple net neutrality.
1913. Asher Bumgarner, Austin, TX, 78736
The internet needs to remain open and free. This only benefits the ISPs and hurts the customer.
1914. Aron Hoag, Austin, TX, 78704
The Internet has always thrived when regulated under Title II protections (aka Net Neutrality). Removing that protection is a form of regulatory capture. It's handing ISPs a monopoly over the First Amendment. It's anti-free market. Anti-free speech. Anti-freedom of the press. And most importantly anti-American. The FCC should support Title II protections and keep Net Neutrality as a principle for all of America's equal access to the internet.
1915. Patrick Woods, San Antonio, TX, 78261
The FCC's proposal greatly complicates the single-cost access, which in turn does not make the internet freer or somehow more efficient or even business-friendly. To put this another way--once you pay toll to get onto the road, is it really business friendly or efficient to also charge folks for how fast they use the toll road or which exits they can take? Net Neutrality, for these reasons, must stay under Title II. Returning to Title I would not only gut consumer protections, but would also limit the freedom to choose across the entire internet spectrum, such as VPNs, streaming services, and thousands of retailers as ISPs regain the ability to make these choices for their consumers. The title of this proceeding is deceptively called "Restoring Internet Freedom"; it does anything but that. Stop the madness.
1916. Helge Judenau, Austin, TX, 78703
How many insane republican attempts at net neutrality must we get through before paid industry tools like Ajit Pai realize that they are in the bleak dumb minority and dead-wrong?? It's a terrible idea, everybody agrees on that, so let's

scratch it and move on! No one profits from these changes other than internet providers but everybody else stands to lose. This is a terrible idea, poorly thought out and horribly executed. In that much, it fits in fantastically with every other Republican attempt at policy making as its done by incompetent swindlers and con-man - and it matches what it does to the population at large, making them less informed, less secure and less well-off. STOP IT NOW and keep your cheating hands off it for good!!!

1917. jack lemke-bollinger, austin, TX, 78705

if you repeal net neutrality ill quit my job so you never see another tax dollar from me

1918. Mason Merrill, Austin, TX, 78704

I support a free, open, and competitive internet free from the influences of those who would decide what I should or shouldn't be watching by prioritizing websites and apps that benefit them, blocking websites, or slowing down connections.

1919. Angus Cann, Austin, TX, 78741

Please keep the internet free & open! We wouldn't allow the power company to dictate who gets access to electricity; access to the internet is just as essential as our electrical grid.

1920. Kathryn Tester, Austin, TX, 78745

I am an internet marketer that works for Comcast ... via a few other channels. So while this feels weird to write, the internet is my business. I have spent my career working with search engines to get users to the right content for their needs, not just who pays the most. Don't believe me? Google changed the paid search arena to bring the quality and relevance of the content of the ad into how much the advertiser pays and if they can every bid on that term. The internet is for everyone. Not a place to throttle or lead us down the dark path of blocking content someone might disagree with. Good or bad, knowledge is knowledge. You don't think it'll happen, but if human nature and history are to be trusted, it will. The big business that is dying because it doesn't know how to make money should be forced to figure it out. They are, I know this first hand. They don't need this. We don't need this. Keep the internet free and neutral. ISPs are there to connect us to information, no more. We are lucky in Austin as we have a choice in my neighborhood. We chose to go with Google Fiber, but that is not a choice for everyone. We need more competition in this space.

1921. Carl Hargreaves, Austin, TX, 78704

I am against the current FCC proposal. We need to protect the foundations of an open, democratic internet that has created an engine for innovation since its inception.

1922. Lauren Liebowitz, Austin, TX, 78704
Net neutrality is a deeply personal issue for me. I was an early adopter of internet communication back in the 90s (when I was a preteen) and since then I've made some of my closest friends online. My mom met my stepdad online and they're very happy together. So much of modern communication happens online, and I find it horrifying to think that corporations could directly influence who is able to talk to whom. I earned an MFA degree in a low-residency program, meaning I was dependent on online communication with my professor and classmates between our in-person residencies. Should a corporation be able to throttle my access to education that I am paying for? I'm an online performer and entertainer; I play music live and lead a cooking show and have an online following. This has become a supplemental part of my income. And I've taken on a paid role as the head of marketing for an online organization of fellow musicians who live all over the world. Should a corporation be able to throttle my access to my employment? Access to the internet is no longer a luxury. It is something many people depend on for many, many reasons – socially, academically, professionally. I don't trust corporations to have my best interest at heart; so many of them are focused solely on how they can make as much money as possible, without regard to the effect on society. I implore you to keep corporations in check so that our society can flourish and we as Americans can enjoy our freedom. Please do what is best for the American people and not what is best for the corporations who want to maximize their bottom line at any cost. Thanks.
1923. Madeline Colley, San Antonio, TX, 78230
As a small time server owner/small time web host, I am VERY strongly against allowing PRIVATE companies the power to purposefully throttle speeds and load times of websites who refuse to pay. That sounds an awful lot like blackmail to me. The internet is a service used by all and in this technology age, many people use the internet for their jobs. By making the internet something other than a utility, you are making life difficult for anyone without the resources of a large company and limiting the small business economy.
1924. Tara Combs, Austin, TX, 78749
Please do not take away Net Neutrality. Listen to the American people and not a handful of lobbyists. Thank you for your time,
1925. Jordynn Gibbs, San Antonio, TX, 78216
please keep net neutrality, I really really like the internet and would like it to stay independent
1926. Jeffrey Martinez, Austin, TX, 78748
Keep Net Neutrality. Keep it for the children.
1927. Paton LaBelle, Austin, TX, 78735

Please protect the internet from corporate influence, and preserve it has it should be, a medium of free exchange of ideas, cultures, discussion, and entertainment, for now and future. The Internet is a rare beacon of creativity, and truly equal exchange where nationality and wealth don't matter, and giving companies the ability to censor it through refusal of service or slowing access down to sites they don't like will do irreplaceable damage to this great achievement.

1928. Mark Switzer, austin, TX, 78704

Please don't sell out american citizens to cooperate interests.

1929. Jordan Emanuel Jones, Austin, TX, 78705

Please vote to keep Net Neutrality. It is incredibly important to me and to this future of the internet in this country.

1930. Restoring Internet Freedom, Austin, TX, 78703

I believe that the current rules for the internet should not be changed. Net Neutrality for ISPs should not be a choice, it should be a principle by which they all must abide.

1931. Austin Cashion, San Antonio, TX, 78217

I support net neutrality rules in order to protect a free and open internet.

1932. Grace Almada, Austin, TX, 78745

I am in support of Net Neutrality to keep the internet open and protected from corporations who would use it to charge more money to hard working individuals who are already paying more than what they can afford. Practices such as throttling or making you pay more money for a faster speed is ridiculous. If this passes, you know they will slow the internet down for everyone and increase their prices if you want to have a faster internet. Please keep the internet safe and do not allow these large companies to sell our usage in order to make a buck. I want my usage to stay private. Thank you.

1933. Derek schuchardt, austin, TX, 78705

do not limit the internet.

1934. Virginia Kingsford, San antonio, TX, 78232

I the internet need to be be free and open.

1935. Josh Motlong, Kerrville, TX, 78028

I am a small business owner with 2 online companies. If ISPs are allowed to throttle or provide privileged traffic where they deem fit or strike business deals, it could be detrimental to our business. Additionally, the region I live in only has 1 reliable high-speed ISP that I rely on for work purposes. If I wanted to switch providers, I would be stuck on a low quality network not fast enough to do the online work that I do to make a living.

1936. Gloria Lee, Austin, TX, 78704
Keep the ISP rules as pro-active restrictions! This will protect all citizens! rather than those few individuals and entities with money to litigate.
1937. Justin W, Austin, TX, 78736
Keep the internet OPEN like it is!
1938. Kristi Nail, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133
We are supposed to be a free country. We need an open internet. Keep net neutrality!
1939. Vidhya Krishnan, Austin, TX, 78705
This is necessary for freedom
1940. Joe McReynolds, Austin, TX, 78748
Joe McReynoldsJoe McReynolds
1941. Mark Caperton, Austin, TX, 78745
Net neutrality is critical to an open and fair internet. I can not stress enough how important this issue is to me and I will vote based on it alone. I implore you to listen to the millions of voices asking for net neutrality and ignore the few voices of the telecom industry and its lobby asking for restrictions. This issue is too important to be based on how much YOUR next job as a telecom lobbyist pays. Net Neutrality forever!!! Mark Caperton
1942. Lee, San Antonio, TX, 78258
Please do not reverse the Net Neutrality law, this sounds like a complete disaster. Freedom is not only important in speech and in life, but its also important online. If a entity is allowed to control what is allowed on the internet, that sounds a lot like communism, which isn't that what the US has gone to war over several times before? Why would we want to make the internet more socialist? Please leave the internet alone, let it be. We do not need to interfere, this reversal will do unfathomable harm rather than any remote good. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY, we don't want government interference! Also, our ISPs make enough money from us already, so I would rather them not have the ability to spy on our data, to sell to third parties for marketing and ad purposes. What I do online, what I purchase, and browse online should only be viewable to me. If you were to reverse this, then there would not be any variety for online web browsing and purchasing, it would limit competition and monopolize the internet. Honestly, government interference really makes things worse for the most part, the reversal of net neutrality would mean goodbye to privacy from our Internet Service Providers. I dont want them spying on me anymore than they already do, they would get complete access to my history I am not ok with that!

1943. Nickalus Jones, San Antonio, TX, 78259
The internet has evolved into a public service, same as electricity, water, etc, and should be treated as such. You must not allow internet service providers the ability to regulate the power of streams, or lack there of, simply dependent upon the websites that consumers choose to visit.
1944. Ashley Shea, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133
Iâ€™m writing to urge you to keep our Open Internet rules based on Title II in place. Without them, we could lose the internet as we know it. Net neutrality is the guarantee that service providers such as Comcast or TimeWarner, cannot restrict the transmission of internet, or discriminate between internet content under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. The reason why we should consider cable-broadband providers as a common carrier rather than an information service is because of the distinction made in the Telecom Act of 1996 where it defines telecom as a service that gives connections to consumers. Cable-broadband is that service that gives connections to consumers and should therefore be subject to Title II regulations. Classifying cable-broadband as anything else would be a violation against the two aforementioned statutes. I hope to urge the FCC to stand by net neutrality, because it is the only way to ensure that content creators and consumers are not taken advantage of by internet service providers.
1945. Jesus Cantu, San Antonio, TX, 78230
We the People of the Internet need this to not pass. It leaves it open for companies to restrict us of Freedoms we currently have and any other Future Freedoms that will inevitably be built upon through the internet. There are numerous possibilities for the internet but this is not one of them it will only push us backwards. Save the Internet and Defend #NetNuetrality
1946. Rick Swan, Austin, TX, 78705
Please don't revoke the Internet's title II status.
1947. Frank Smith, austin, TX, 78704
I believe that the internet should be a public utility and treated as a public good. The internet should not be turned over to corporations that seek to improve their profits instead of improve services to consumers. As if the FCC, I believe you should be protecting consumers from invasions of privacy, fraudulent billing, and price gouging by their broadband providers. Thanks.
1948. Christopher Lange, Austin, TX, 78745
The FCC has tried to provide "light regulatory oversight" of broadband without Title II and lost in court over its ability to do so. And even after Title II was "applied", the FCC (through forbearance) gave broadband providers exemptions to large portions of Title II - hardly regulatory "overreach". We need Title II protections because Internet Providers have already proven that they understand

they are not in a competitive market and are willing to abuse their monopolistic accesses at every turn. They are willing to throttle competing streaming video services, hamper online game performance, and impose artificially low data caps to discourage use of competitor services through data zero rating. When the market attempts to provide actual competition - such as when other companies or even local Municipalities try to build out expensive new infrastructures because the entrenched companies are not regulated to require infrastructure sharing - the entrenched companies will sue to block or delay access to the poles required to install the infrastructure in order to make competitor installation even more expensive and delayed. And when a competitor finally does get through and sink enough money into ridiculous legal battles and construction fees to offer a competitive service to locals? Magically, the entrenched providers' service gets 5-10x instantly faster AND substantially cheaper than it had been mere days before! This is exactly what happened to my service with TimeWarner Cable (now Spectrum) when Google Fiber became available. But Internet Providers are not plentiful and often do not compete directly with one another in a large majority of cases. 30-70% (depending on how you classify "Broadband") of Americans either have no broadband provider, or only one option. Those with no choice in provider should not be left to the monopolistic abuses of an ISP not regulated by the (limited) amount of Title II that is actually applied to them. That is not "free market". That is not "competition". Net Neutrality should be enforced by the FCC to ensure the growth of broadband access across the US at fair and reasonable conditions for both the consumer and the corporation. According to the courts, the only way to legally do this is through classification under Title II. That is why Title II classification should stay. It's not over reach. It's not stifling innovation. it's not anti-competitive. Those badges of dishonour belong to the Internet Service Providers that are currently using their size and monopolies to provide artificially reduced services at artificially inflated prices because the consumer has no choice. Do not give ISPs more legal authority to continue these anti-competitive, monopolistic practices. Defend (and enforce) the limited amount of Title II classifications previous FCC members have issued to allow the FCC to continue to do its job of fair, legal oversight over broadband.

1949. Pro Net Neutrality, Austin, TX, 78705

Ajit V. Pai, screw him. I'm pro Wheeler pro Net Neutrality

1950. Carter Cochran, Austin, TX, 78746

I want to keep net neutrality the way it is. We do not need to help ISP's anymore than we already do. How would you feel if we limited access to how much water you could drink and when? Do the right thing here, this isn't difficult.

1951. Violetta Gonzalez, San Marcos, TX, 78666

I am pro-net neutrality. Net Neutrality, and Title 2 defending it, is certainly one

of the United States' most recent achievements that can truly be said to have the American peoples best interest at heart. It justly defends the freedom of speech, and innovation in the market. Much of American success relies on freedom of innovation and growth of small business and individual creatives. Much of our knowledge and communication is shared across the internet. The repeal of Title 2 and net neutrality is an indisputable attack on such freedoms and growth, by those who wish to restrict and monopolize for their own selfish reasons. The attack on net neutrality is most definitely strictly in favor of selfish individuals that seek to fool and steal from the American people. Those who advocate for the repeal will certainly not be getting my political vote or funding. **NET NEUTRALITY IS THE RIGHT OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE AND MUST BE DEFENDED.**

1952. Daniel Burton, Austin, TX, 78704

Please maintain net neutrality. This is an important cornerstone of a properly functioning internet, flow of information, and ultimately, society.

1953. jennifer lewis, san antonio, TX, 78216

I am for net neutrality and the innovation it fosters. If net neutrality ends it will result in censorship and higher costs for businesses and consumers.

1954. Nathan Ghidoni, San Antonio, TX, 78247

To the point, I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. My friend and I produce a Podcast that we post on YouTube, access and speed are crucial not only to upload our shows but also researching topics and accessing vendors, artists, shows, movies, craftsman, and general information to review and to provide reliable links to their sights for listeners that are interested in their products and or information. Thank you for your time
Nathan

1955. Chance mitchmore, San Antonio, TX, 78247

It would be unjust to do a thing such as block sites and domains. Not including illegal due to amendments set in place for the fact that this can't happen
Chance mitchmore

1956. Ethan Chen, Austin, TX, 78705

I already get speeds below the minimum promised speed once every few days, and if net neutrality is removed that just gives ISPs even more power to give inadequate service. Keeping net neutrality is what's fair and good for consumers that are already being screwed.

1957. Hunter Jackson, Austin, TX, 78749

Please don't give ISPs the ability to control my online experience. Your proposed rule changes would fundamentally alter how every American accesses the internet, with no legitimate basis for doing so. The move would also have a

disparate impact on low income individuals, who wouldn't be able to afford to access content they may prefer. Thank you.

1958. Samuel Marshall, New Braunfels, TX, 78132
Hello there. I would like to tell you that we the people that use the Internet would like to have net neutrality up and running so that you and I would continue to view whatever we need to see on the Internet, such as pictures, videos, news, articles, and the like. It's also imperative net neutrality is kept up and running so that we may better communicate with each other. That is all I have to say. Thank you.
1959. Ashley Magro, Austin, TX, 78705
No internet censorship
1960. Kay Llanos, Kerrville, TX, 78028
We support an open and free internet. Do Not dismantle Title 2.
1961. Jana Tate, Austin, TX, 78745
PLEASE keep ISPs @ Title II. The only way the internet can remain open is if NO ONE has controls of both the content and the connection. PLEASE leave the rules as is, and work on bettering open access to everyone, instead of adding even more \$\$ and power to corporation. Thanks!
1962. Lorraine, San Antonio, TX, 78216
There is only one internet and rules should apply equally, and not be controlled by the highest bidders.
1963. Jason Molin, Austin, TX, 78704
Please DO NOT overturn net neutrality!!! I'm a web guy by trade. Making the web less than neutral from an ISP's perspective is a horrible idea, not good for people, only for profiteers who don't care about the quality of the web.
1964. Andrew Dickens, Austin, TX, 78735
I support net neutrality, and oppose the actions proposed in proceeding 17-108.
1965. Anthony Morales, Austin, TX, 78745
I'm a small business owner, father and a minority. A free and open internet is the foundation upon which my career and business are based. I could not open my business, Cubit, without net neutrality. I believe that the barriers to opening a business on the internet - and increasingly brick and mortar as well - would be impossible to surmount if carriers are allowed to favor one business over another. The Internet has become such an foundational system to our lives, it's as important to web-based businesses as it is to restaurants, services firms, etc., in that customers expect an online presence. It's as important to businesses as electricity, water, etc. The practices against which net-neutrality protects are

anti-competition. Further, if carriers are allowed to favor one media outlet or another, I believe it will be detrimental to our democracy.

1966. Colin Briley, Austin, TX, 78748

I believe the internet should be available to everyone and be as open/free as possible without having to pay for access like it's a tv subscription. The fact that ISP's can already slow your connection down as much as they want and charge ridiculous sums of money because the consumer has no option is insane. At the very least it should be uncensored and not gated to certain people who are willing to get bent over by ISP's. Keep Net Neutrality and do something about the blood hungry ISP's who want to abolish it.

1967. Sara Kendall, Austin, TX, 78704

I am a freelance writer and editor and I depend on net neutrality for business exposure. Without it, I would lose out to big companies (called "content farms") that give out writing and editing jobs for far below minimum wage. I'm sure many other small businesses would suffer similarly without net neutrality.

1968. Ryan, San Antonio, TX, 78231

Access to the internet should not be decided by ISPs and others. Rather, the internet should be equally accessible for everyone. True free market Republicans must see this is the only way to stop the government from picking winners and losers.

1969. William de Marigny, San Antonio, TX, 78209

Internet providers already have a choke hold on access in many areas. The services offered and prices demanded are setup to intentionally block competition or new companies coming in to offer better alternatives. In my area, Google Fiber announced they were installing and within weeks, what had been a stagnant offering suddenly saw service offering 2-5x previous speeds and prices dropped. All ISP's should treat data the same, otherwise we will see greater consolidation between media and delivery companies such as AT&T and DirecTV who will then zero-meter services in favor of their own products while blocking others.

1970. Brandon Gambucci, Austin, TX, 78701

I think it's a fairly well known statement that ISP's within the US operate in a bit of an oligopoly. Passing this bill will take away rights of average Americans and allow large corporations to take advantage even more so. This will damage small businesses that use the internet as a means to compete and make it even more difficult for more American businesses to grow and be competitive resulting in reduced innovation. We need net neutrality.

1971. twitch, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133

Don't ruin the internet with unnecessary restrictions. No one and I mean no one

wants that. Keep net neutrality.

1972. Grace Miller, Austin, TX, 78749

It's essential the internet remain open, without companies deciding which sites and information are "premium". It would be unconscionable and devastating to open the door for censorship through repealing Net Neutrality.

1973. Nicholas Brink, Austin, TX, 78735

Keep the internet free from control. The internet is a special place because people can express themselves freely. Controlling people via the internet will not do anything besides oppress those who are using it for good.

1974. Jennifer White, austin, TX, 78704

Hello, My name is Jenny White. I live in an area that chose specifically to live in for the broadband that I can get here. I need this so that I may work from home when needed. I also know many people who make a living off of working for themselves and live broadcasting via their broadband connections. This is a necessity for many many people please keep net neutrality. Regards, Jenny White

1975. Jason, San Antonio, TX, 78209

I could maybe see why if the internet was completely free, but we're paying for the service, not the content. The content providers themselves should have the option to prioritize access for members/subscribers etc. not the ISP's.

1976. Stephen Siedo, Austin, TX, 78745

I work for a small business that depends on an open internet to survive. It's already very difficult to do so while competing with numerous large retailers. Having an open internet gives a small business like ours a chance to compete on a relatively equal plane with large corporations and allows us to stay alive. Revoking net neutrality would be an enormous blow to our ability to compete and thrive among larger retailers. Personally, I use a number of services on the internet including email, cloud storage, web hosting, audio streaming, video streaming, and general communication. None of these services are provided by my internet service provider (ISP), Time-Warner Cable/Spectrum. My ISP is there because I need access to the very necessary services listed above. I would not be able to conduct a normal life without these services and access to them. It is also very important that my access to these services is unimpeded by profit-seeking individuals looking to squeeze a few more dollars out of a hard-working person who can barely afford access to the internet in the first place. Additionally, in my service area there is only one ISP, which gives them a monopoly over internet service where I live. This allows them to provide poor service at a high price with no consequences for their actions. Removing the FCC Title II protections would leave consumers in my area at the mercy of their ISP even more so than at present. If I had a choice I would never do business

with Time Warner Cable/Spectrum again in my life. They are a terrible company that overcharges its customers for sub-par services and fails to provide any customer service. Companies such as this should be shut down, not given more power to dictate services for its victims. Please do not revoke the Title II protections for internet access afforded to consumers of telecommunications services. The internet is the most important telecommunication service there is and should have more, not less, protection for consumers. ISPs need more oversight, not less.

1977. Marcos E Hernandez, San Antonio, TX, 78261

Controlling the internet is a violation of my first amendment right.

1978. Alejandro Carbonell, san antonio, TX, 78259

The internet needs to stay open and competitive. Don't ruin it for us!

1979. Gabriel Golden, Austin, TX, 78741

My name is Gabriel Golden, and I've never considered myself a particularly political person. I have, however, used the internet almost since its inception. I've used skype to contact friends and family while I was on deployment during my time in the USMC and I'm currently completing a graduate degree in engineering largely through remote classes and video lectures. I do not now, nor have I ever, considered my ISP to be anything other than a necessary evil that allows me to connect to the content of my choice without discrimination, and the notion that I would permit a company I likely didn't have much say in selecting to exert an influence on the information I consume is utterly farcical. I would argue that in modern society internet access is a need, not a want. I would further argue that the lack of meaningful choices in most markets re: internet provider makes it all the more important that ISPs be regulated as the telecommunications services they are. I do not pay my ISP to provide me with an email address, cloud storage, music streaming, online shopping, or anything beyond the simple ability to connect to the internet. The relationship I want with my ISP begins and ends with the notion that I want to have a network connection to the internet, full stop. All the shenanigans with content curation, fast lanes, advertising, and collecting and sharing information about me with 'partners' of various flavors are things I put up with for the simple reason that my other option is 'no internet connection' and I value that connection highly enough to tolerate a lot of crap rather than lose it. I believe that the internet was created with the inherent idea that all traffic is equal, and now we're seriously entertaining the suggestion that some are more equal than others. I'm disappointed that we've reached this point, but I still care enough to post a comment and say I think it would be a shame if we went down that road.

1980. Matthew Schultz, Austin, TX, 78703

Why should service providers be allowed to determine what content is available

to me? Destroying net neutrality doesn't make the internet more free, it only promotes monopolistic behavior by service providers. Anti-competitive provider behavior should not be encouraged nor allowed.

1981. Adam Adrian Villarreal, Austin, TX, 78748
Net Neutrality under Title II is essential to keeping the internet a free on open market. I love my internet as it is, and Net Neutrality Title II keeps it that way!!!!
1982. Justin, San Marcos, TX, 78666
Net neutrality is crucial. It should not be violated.
1983. Renee Kilbourn, San Marcos, TX, 78666
We need net neutrality! The Internet is just fine with its current net neutrality rules. Don't change them.
1984. Sara Paulin, Kerrville, TX, 78028
Internet access improves quality of life in so many ways. I used the internet to build a stronger friendship with students at the college I commuted to, eventually entering a relationship with a good friend, and I would never have gotten to know the love of my life as well as I know him now without Facebook, Discord, and online gaming. I use the internet to keep up with friends and family in other states and countries as well. When my father was dying in his early 50s, I used the net to seek emotional support for my family. Learning, friendships, and so much more has benefited me that I couldn't have accessed in the same way without the Internet's assistance.
1985. Rob Gonzalez, San Antonio, TX, 78232
The internet is, and will continue to be, a large part of my life. I constantly rely on innovative start ups for everyday services. Without net neutrality I might not have access to these services. I hope FCC will choose to keep net neutrality, and promote a fair innovative platform on the internet.
1986. christopher gonzales, san antonio, TX, 78217
an open market that works against us , is not what we need. we need safety which we only get from having net neutrality. i support net neutrality.
1987. Net neutrality, Austin, TX, 78748
Please keep this there would be no reason to do this!
1988. Matthew Starks, Austin, TX, 78747
Allowing these rules to be removed will move us further towards a dystopian future. We cannot allow corporations to control what was meant to be free and equal for all people. The fact that this is even being considered is disgusting. Please think of the people that this will effect.

1989. Net Neutrality, San Antonio, TX, 78213
If this gets approved, then we will no longer see the next Facebook, Discord, Netflix, Youtube or Hulu come to be. By doing this, you kill off all future businesses from having a chance at starting up. They will be pushed under larger websites, corporations and businesses and never get that first foot in the door! Keep it how it is so we can keep our smaller businesses protected!!!! If it wasn't for our strong Net neutrality rules the internet would not look like it does today. I mean that in the most negative way possible!
1990. Miguel A Zapata, San Antonio, TX, 78247
Protect net neutrality. If not you truly don't understand the technology, much less the internet.
1991. Taylor Jacob Walla, Austin, TX, 78704
Instead of sending a form letter I'm just going to let you know Ajit Pai is an enormous asshole who couldn't possibly be any deeper in the pockets of big telecom. Title II is good for American consumers, jobs and innovation. The only ones who stand to benefit from this are entrenched incumbents who can afford to buy legislators - this goes completely counter to the spirit of American innovation. I'd urge you to consider against any legislature repealing Title II especially since technical innovation is one of the few areas we still excel in. Taylor Jacob Walla
1992. Howard Anderson, San Antonio, TX, 78209
As of 2016, there are 1,315,561 licensed attorneys in the US, 26% of which are self-employed. That means 342,046 self-employed attorneys like me depend on net neutrality (e.g., legal research, filing pleadings, etc.) in order to provide much needed legal services to folks who cannot afford to hire lawyers working in law firms.
1993. Austin Grooms, Boerne, TX, 78006
I don't think companies should get to control the bandwidth on certain websites. The internet should remain free of the hands of profiting companies that only serve their own interests. Though it seems to be all politicians do these days anyways. I hope you see how this will open the door for big money to control our lives. The internet should remain a neutral domain. Thank you.
1994. Andrew Herrin, Austin, TX, 78704
I strongly support net neutrality, and am equally opposed to the repeal of Title II. Please listen to your constituents, please do not bend to big money. Uphold net neutrality and a free and open internet.
1995. Bobby Nowell, New Braunfels, TX, 78132
Allow net neutrality to stay in place Thank you! Bobby Nowell

1996. Alan Custer, San Marcos, TX, 78666
I'm writing to ask that the FCC maintain net neutrality. The internet allows humans to connect with each other and with knowledge. Ending net neutrality will fracture the internet for corporate profit, at the expense of humanity.
1997. David Malone, Austin, TX, 78705
Please, protect the consumer. I value net neutrality and the protections it gives me. The internet needs it in order to continue its greatness.
1998. Joseph Duffy, Austin, TX, 78741
Allowing telecom oligopoly to dominate internet infrastructure is utterly incompatible with market principles. Competition and service quality is tremendously benefited by Title II oversight and the only people who will say different are some of the most reviled corporations in the USA--which is really saying something. Please do not adopt Comcast and Time Warner's anti-competitive, anti-American stance.
1999. Danny M., San Marcos, TX, 78666
Leave my Internet alone! Leave my porn alone! Leave my wallet alone!
2000. Alex Jones, Austin, TX, 78701
In the past twenty years, access to the internet has only become more widespread and service today is far faster for many people "including "ordinary" people " than it was twenty years ago, or even ten years ago. Today, broadband in Europe, where the internet is more tightly regulated, has less reach than it has in the United States. The administration's plan is rather innocuously called "net neutrality," but in fact it has nothing at all to do with neutrality and is just a scheme to vastly increase the federal government's control over the internet. I have facts hear that its a product of the illegal aliens mole people their liberal snowflake agenda to take america jobs while suppressing Conservatives from getting the word out on. The administration insists these measures are necessary because " even though there is no evidence that this has actually happened " it is possible that at some point in the future, internet service providers could restrict some content and apps on the internet. Thus, we are told, control of content should be handed over to the federal government to ensure that internet service providers are "neutral" when it comes to deciding what is on the internet and what is not. Although goods allocated in this fashion are " according to the administration " not being allocated "neutrally," the fact is that more people now have more service at higher speeds than was the case in the past. Furthermore, even if firms (or the government) attempted to allocate goods in a neutral manner, it would be impossible to do so, because neither society nor the physical world are neutral. In his recent interview on new neutrality, Peter Klein used the analogy of a grocery store. In modern-day grocery stores, suppliers of

food and drink will negotiate with stores (using so-called "slotting allowances") to have their goods advertised near the front of the store or have goods placed on store shelves at eye level. If government were to tell grocery stores to start being more "neutral" about where it places goods, we can see immediately that such a thing is impossible. After all, somebody's goods have to be at eye level or near the front of the store. Who is to decide? A handful of government bureaucrats, or thousands of consumers who with their purchases control the success and failure of firms? In a similar way, bandwidth varies for various ISP clients depending on the infrastructure available, and the resources available to each client. And yet, in spite of the administration's fear-mongering that ISPs will lock out clients of humble means, and the need to hand all bandwidth over to plutocrats, internet access continues to expand. And who can be surprised? Have grocery stores stopped carrying low-priced nutritious food such as bananas and oatmeal just because Nabisco Corp. pays for better product placement for its costly processed foods? Obviously not.

2001. Malia Moss, Austin, TX, 78703

KEEP NET NEUTRALITY! Seriously.

2002. Keep, San Antonio, TX, 78231

The internet should have free and unfettered access by everyone, not filtered access through whatever services. No paid prioritization, no blocking and no throttling of any websites or other services provided over the internet. This is not North Korea, this is America, and we demand the freedom of choice of how we use an institution created not by governments but by other people all over the world.

2003. Jessica Sekula, San Antonio, TX, 78216

Please keep net neutrality. I believe this should reside with the FCC. I don't think that providers should have the ability to restrict and therefore control what I can view on the Internet. Many places only have a couple of choices for isp's so it is terrifying to think that just a couple of companies can have that kind of control over what so many people can view on the Internet. It is incredibly important to keep net neutrality in place and in the fcc.

2004. Gabriel Dowd Tostado, Austin, TX, 78745

Revoking Net Neutrality harms consumers. There is no upside for consumers in any shape or form and you are limiting the free market. Currently Internet is treated as a utility in the same way water is. Revoking Net Neutrality would no longer consider internet a utility and would allow large Internet service providers to gain more money for individual services if the same were done with water you would be charged for what you were using the water for which would be ridiculous. please consider this and understand why these infamous internet service providers are pushing so hard to revoke net neutrality,

2005. michael martins, Austin, TX, 78745
fight the power@!
2006. Mark Ramsower, Spring Branch, TX, 78070
I feel allowing the Internet providers to choose what we can view on-line is an opening to abuse.
2007. James Hutto, New Braunfels, TX, 78132
The internet is not just a way of making profits, keep the internet neutral. Giving priority to some websites will only weaken the voices of millions of people, the web is the most influential social outlet to ever exist, never before could people freely voice their opinions and get heard. Thousands of companies will be destroyed simple because they can't pay enough to get noticed by the ISP's.
2008. Laura McMaster, San Antonio, TX, 78230
The internet should be a level field. Don't let monopolies control the flow of the internet.
2009. Chris Avila, San Antonio, TX, 78213
Save Net Neutrality. ISP's shouldn't even be afforded the opportunity to dictate how the internet is used. It will stifle creativity, contrary to what the ISP's are saying, as it would be possible to pay for preferential treatment vs making a better product than your competitor.
2010. Amanda Faulkner, Austin, TX, 78705
All people should have free and equal access to the internet and the crucial services it provides. Protecting net neutrality is critically important.
2011. Andrew Krohn, Austin, TX, 78704
I am an electrical engineer working on products focused toward the future of the Internet of Things (IoT). Thus I have a great interest in matters pertaining to the internet traffic and access. So many personal devices are coming online nowadays that it's important we not add more limits to people such that only the wealthy can have full access. Imagine having to pay extra to access health tracker information online, or to gain access to home smart security devices. This could essentially give internet service providers (ISPs) the ability to shutdown some companies if they chose to block them. If there were more competition for ISPs where you had more than one choice depending on where you live this wouldn't be as big an issue, but since that is almost never the case Net Neutrality is extremely important.
2012. Jakin Mattern, Austin, TX, 78745
This is a VITAL right to keep the internet free and open for ALL people.
2013. David Antonio Olivares, San Antonio, TX, 78213

The intended gutting of Net Neutrality has me rather concerned. Mainly, I'm worried that the protections that are in place will be beyond weakened/irreparably damaged if the protections are to be changed to where Net Neutrality no longer exists. Regulation is one thing, but I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections; not if we lose any. Stripping Net Neutrality is a misguided attempt at bettering the technologically based era we the American people are living in. As to which specifics I'm talking about, in my research on the issue, it refers to what's known as throttling or at a Layman's level "favoritism" of websites (that to me reads as censorship.) Content by startups, or sites that cater to say LGBT interests and issues can be subject to near obscurity and censoring all because ISPs want to have more say than they should on the issue. Saving Net Neutrality will allow creativity, expression and in the bigger picture, a platform for people to put themselves out there in the digital era the American people entered almost 2 decades ago. All I'm saying is that to me, saving Net Neutrality is imperative for Americans to thrive in the digital era from which we cannot ignore.

2014. Ann Prue, San Marcos, TX, 78666

I need the free unblocked, unfiltered internet to live and work in this ever changing environment. I know there is this pressure from companies, but the American people need this freedom more than corporations. This freedom is integral to the growth and innovation that makes us a world global leader. Please don't give cable companies more power over the American people. We just want to watch YouTube, do our homework, research and tell our grandma happy birthday over Facebook. Please don't take that away from us!!!

2015. Ethan Denson, Austin, TX, 78736

I'm just starting college at Rice University down here in Houston, and I'll be majoring in computer science. When I graduate I hope to be able to start my own tech company after a few years. Without an open and free internet it will be so hard for the new ideas to succeed. Please keep the internet a place where smart innovations and good ideas thrive, not a place controlled by monopoly holders who decide who can succeed while padding their pocketbooks. Thank you for your time.

2016. Blake Wagner, San Antonio, TX, 78216

Net neutrality enables the free and open communication the internet ought to be used for. No matter what idea or preference, a user can express themselves freely without difficulty or extra charges. This promotes free speech, diversity of ideas, and commerce. Net neutrality enables the common man to have equal footing with those around him in the marketplace of ideas. Slashing net neutrality does nothing for individual citizens while fulfilling the control and profits of the large ISPs. When deciding on whether to keep or throw away this legislation, think of whether it will help me and my neighbor, or help large

corporations.

2017. Gray Harp, Center Point, TX, 78010

Defend Net Neutrality! In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. Isn't it possible they could do this again? I'm also concerned by mobile providers who say a plan is "unlimited," but when you exceed the data cap, only throttle sites and services that aren't part of their approved zero-rating network. I demand transparency in the contracts I participate in. Living out in the country with spotty cell coverage I rely on the internet for all communication. I'm worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. Please don't undermine all the social progress the net has helped us accomplish. Please protect the net from the corporations we trust to deliver it to us intact and undamaged. Thank you for reading my comments

2018. Britt Spaulding, Austin, TX, 78705

Net neutrality is so extremely important to me, and I feel it is important to those that may not even recognize that net neutrality may affect them. Please refuse any action to differ the open access internet as we know it.

2019. Ian Fleming, Austin, TX, 78704

The Open Internet rules (net neutrality) are extremely important to me. The future of the country in no small part relies on the internet remaining open and unrestricted by ISPs. I am strongly in favor of the current regulations that protect this idea remain in place.

2020. Evan Kosnik, San Antonio, TX, 78216

I believe the government should preserve net neutrality rules to keep the internet free and open to everyone.

2021. Lee Leschber, San Antonio, TX, 78247

I feel the importance of keeping the internet available for all without limitations can't be overstated. I've been able to start learning new languages and find out about other cultures and customs because I was able to use the internet. Being a university student it's essential that I'm able to do the necessary research for my studies, something that would be slowed down, or even worse halted, by Internet Providers who may not agree with the sources I use.

2022. David J. Knie, Austin, TX, 78748

Please keep the web fully open to people, and let them make the choice of what they think suits them best. Don't filter. Please. It's wrong.

2023. Robert James Rayfield, San Antonio, TX, 78232

The internet should not be another corporate cash crop. We already have basic monitoring in place to track illegal or otherwise harmful activities. Illegal sites can already be blocked, or if they are based in United States jurisdiction shut down and prosecuted. Putting extra leashes on the internet and internet providers does nothing but harm United States citizens and infringes our Constitutional rights with unnecessary regulations and restrictions.

2024. Wynne A Hexamer, Austin, TX, 78741

I wish to plead with you to keep net neutrality the law of the land.

2025. ellen Willmore, Austin, TX, 78704

We need to protect our rights, as consumers, to choose which websites and applications we think are best. Internet Service Providers should not be permitted to block or throttle the sites that they choose for their own financial gain. Maintaining a free and open internet helps spur healthy competition, which ultimately fuels innovation.

2026. Hana Shin, Austin, TX, 78705

Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, this needs to happen.

2027. Bradley Lewis, Austin, TX, 78745

I am strongly in support of the current laws that surround the issue of Net Neutrality and urge the FCC to not change these rules that protect our right to an open and free internet.

2028. colin gray, Austin, TX, 78748

I believe in net neutrality whole heartedly and wish for the internet to remain an equal and fair place.

2029. CJ, San Antonio, TX, 78213

The Internet should be treated like clean water rights and electricity to homes. His service should not be restricted because of greedy corporations that rip off consumers.CJ

2030. Kyle O'Connor, San Antonio, TX, 78216

I subscribe to an ISP to play games, watch videos, share pictures, and learn. I researched the few ISP's in my area and voted with my wallet for the one with good speed and without data caps. Please help by doing your part to keep the internet on even footing, allowing small startups (Discord) the same ability to be reached as the major sites (Amazon). It's a tragedy that censorship like North Korea is being considered for America.

2031. Brady Reiter, Austin, TX, 78704

I support strong net neutrality laws. Keep the Title II classification in place.

2032. Cameron Valdez, Boerne, TX, 78006

I do not believe that the internet, and all of its vast information, should be gated behind money. Already we pay internet providers with various amounts of money according to what speed a user wants, why expand that to specific content? Do not give companies the ability to regulate information as there isn't a reason to.

2033. Jonathan Martinez, San Antonio, TX, 78216

Here are the arguments against Net Neutrality, and why I believe they are wrong. 1. Title II is a depression-era rule intended for regulating the AT&T/Ma Bell monopoly. TL;DR: A law from another time, yes, but a strong one that's been updated. The age and regulatory environment of the statute under which the current net neutrality rules are enforced is a common refrain. Title II is in fact part of the far-reaching Communications Act of 1934, which was indeed instated during a period of depression and monopoly but characterizing it that way is a bit like saying the Declaration of Independence is an Enlightenment-era rule written by anti-government extremists. Industries that carried products across state lines, such as railways, had for many, many years been subject to special regulations as "common carriers" in order to ease interstate commerce. These regulations exist at the federal level and split authority with states, which have their own laws for how companies comport themselves within their borders. Telephone service had begun crossing state lines by this time in 1934, and a unified body for regulating it and the companies that provided it (which were indeed acknowledged monopolies) was called for: hence, the FCC. And Title II is the part of the law that gives the agency authority over common carriers providing interstate or foreign communications services. The FCC and the 1934 act were not solely created to break up Ma Bell or AT&T; it's a major law that extended existing and working federal rules for interstate commerce to an industry whose growth necessitated it. Of course it would be silly to apply those exact same rules to a vastly different era that's why we had the Telecommunications Act of 1996, which extensively modernized the original with new definitions and rules. Notably, no one seems to complain about all the other businesses, including some broadband connections and mobile services, that were governed under Title II before the 2015 order and will continue to be so should it be rolled back. Apparently depression-era monopoly rules are just fine for those. It's also worth mentioning here that if people are really afraid of broadband providers being governed by anti-monopoly authorities from the early 20th century, that is a precise description of the FTC, a bona-fide antitrust body established in 1914, and the agency to which regulatory authority would return should the FCC be relieved of it.

2. The 1996 Telecommunications act says the internet should be unfettered by state or federal regulation. TL;DR: It was "fettered" for years and did great.

plus, that part of the law isn't law, and it's about porn. You can read the Act here; only one section really gets specific about the internet, and it does indeed say this: It is the policy of the United States to preserve the vibrant and competitive free market that presently exists for the Internet and other interactive computer services, unfettered by Federal or State regulation. Seems pretty straightforward, but context matters: 1996 was a very different time. Broadband was extremely rare and dial-up was the rule. The very fact that most people reached their internet provider through a telecommunications provider (regulated under Title II) made things fundamentally different. Think AOL and Earthlink, not NBC Comcast Universal (or, for that matter, Oath). When the internet provider is essentially also the telecommunications provider, in charge of the cables, switches, DNS, and so on, that changes things a great deal. It wasn't as common in 1996, and the internet and web have grown to encompass a whole different paradigm since then. Part of the argument is that Title II was never meant for broadband services, and that the industry flourished under the "light touch" of Title I. Kind of an inconvenient fact, then, that DSL "the most popular broadband service by far for many years" was regulated under Title II until 2005! In fact, some major DSL providers petitioned to stay under Title II at the time. Do you remember the 1996-2005 era of internet use and provision as being a particularly oppressed one? It was in fact, as the 2015 order's detractors have pointed out themselves, a period of unprecedented growth and economic prosperity, which the Title II regulation of a huge proportion of Americans' internet access clearly did little to dampen. Also, and this is more of a "by the way" thing: that part of the 1996 Act is actually under Section 230, "Protection for private blocking and screening of offensive material." Read the whole thing and you find it's basically a diplomatic way of saying that things like porn, as on cable TV, shouldn't be blocked by federal regulation, but by the likes of parents and computer administrators. This isn't a joke, it's an important part of the Act that prevents censorship, but it really isn't about the issue at hand. And one last note: Section 230 isn't actually a law, just an statement of policy intended to help guide interpretation of the law. And courts, whose job it is to interpret law, have long known this and still accepted "even encouraged" Title II regulation.

3. The rules have discouraged investment. TL;DR: No company claims this and the numbers are inconclusive at best. Readers of TechCrunch in particular may be cognizant that strong statements like X caused Y financial trend are to be treated with caution "especially when they're based on only a year or so of data. That's certainly the case here: the Open Internet Order came into effect in mid-2015, so we basically have the second half of that year and a chunk of 2016 to work with. The current FCC administration has suggested there was a decline of about 5 percent in capital expenditure by broadband providers after the Order took effect. But other analyses suggest that some of that apparent decline was planned well ahead of time (a carrier winding down a major infrastructure

rollout, for instance), and that overall investment is up. This long report from Free Press (PDF) extensively documents the SEC filings and investor relations documents bearing this out. Executives of telecoms are on the record saying that net neutrality and Title II won't be affecting their investments much if at all. If they were, they probably would have shouted it from the rooftops, since it would be helpful in the fight to change their classification. The truth here is that there's not a lot of data, and what we have isn't decisive. However, it's disingenuous of FCC leadership to treat it as though it were, especially in the direction opposite that suggested by industry leadership.

4. It stifles small businesses with reporting and restrictions. TL;DR: Potentially, but there are already allowances for this. Being regulated under Title II may be more burdensome than the way it was before, but by how much, and is it really a problem? Claims have been advanced by Chairman Pai on behalf of several small businesses and ISPs that supposedly have had to delay or cancel features and services because of the rules. In letters sent to the FCC by cable associations, small ISPs allege that the rules have increased costs of compliance, and this is certainly possible – but none of the letters include any numbers to back that up. I've asked several of them for specifics like how much they've had to spend, features they've had to abandon, etc, but have yet to hear back from any. (I'll update if I do.) The cost of figuring out new paperwork was always going to be greater in the first year or two of the new rules, something the FCC acknowledged by exempting carriers under 100,000 subscribers from the transparency reporting part of the rule – a limit later increased to 250,000, despite the fact that the small ISPs complaining in these letters are mostly under 1,000. I'm skeptical that the no-blocking and no-throttling rules are preventing any useful services from being rolled out, as several ISPs claim. The only one anyone has really pointed at is zero rating, and that's a dubious one. And since the companies claim they're not interested in breaking the other rules as it would be against their interests, there doesn't seem to be any objection to enacting those rules. The main issue is the money. The CEO of Sonic, a medium-sized (small compared to Comcast and its like) ISP in San Francisco, has repeatedly said that the rules aren't a problem for them. But it could easily be a different story for a company with 500 subscribers and 3 employees. An allowance or tax break for small companies temporarily under increased cost of compliance would be a great way to prevent this problem. The FCC is also already attempting to limit the volume of compliance paperwork and has genuinely always made attempts to accommodate those burdened by its regulations. We already tweaked the rule to make it easier on small businesses once, why not do it again? As a last consideration here, the opponents of net neutrality have characterized it as protecting against "phantom" offenses that have not yet occurred. Leaving aside that this is the purpose and definition of preventative regulation, many of the issues brought up by the smaller ISPs and magnified by FCC leadership are equally phantasmal. Potential problems

cannot be considered worth accommodating at this scale but ignored at the larger scale of national ISPs. _____ 5.

The “general conduct rule” is vague and open-ended TL;DR: So change itThe 2015 order has three “bright line” rules: no blocking, no throttling, and no paid prioritization. A fourth, less bright one has been singled out as being so vague and broad as to make almost any practice subject to FCC scrutiny:[Broadband providers] shall not unreasonably interfere with or unreasonably disadvantage end users’ ability to select, access, and use broadband Internet access service or the lawful Internet content, applications, services, or devices of their choice, or edge providers’ ability to make lawful content, applications, services, or devices available to end users.The order’s critics have a point. This clause, however well-intentioned, is quite open ended, something that those being regulated have every right to object to. And former Chairman Tom Wheeler made a severe error when, upon being asked what types of practices could be found to be unreasonable, replied “we don’t really know.” Too honest by half, unfortunately.The general conduct rule is behind the inquiry into zero rating, a practice that didn’t clearly fall under any of the other rules and yet poses a serious threat if misused. It’s stuff like this that the FCC couldn’t predict, and so created a “catch-all standard.” All the same, it’s hard to disagree with people who call this particular rule too vague.But ending net neutrality because one rule out of many is poorly defined is the very picture of throwing the baby out with the bathwater. FCC rules are revised all the time; why not revise this one? The general conduct rule could be restricted or even eliminated, and that would leave the other, more critical net neutrality rules intact. That option, however, doesn’t appear to be on the table. _____ 6.

We’re not trying to remove net neutrality rules, just Title II TL;DR: Removing the rules is literally in the proposalIt is frequently said that the point is not to remove the rules themselves, just change the authority to something a little less heavy-handed.This is a puzzling assertion to make when the proposal itself asks over and over again whether the “bright line” rules of no blocking, no throttling, etc should be removed. It’s pretty clear that proponents don’t think the rules are necessary and will eliminate them if they can. Just because they frame their preference in the form of a question doesn’t make it any less obvious.A sort of corollary to this argument is that internet providers will voluntarily adhere to suggested practices. This is a pretty laughable suggestion, and even if it were true, it self-destructs: if companies have no problem subjecting themselves to these restrictions, how can they be as onerous as they say?We’ll know more about what is and isn’t on the chopping block when the final text of the proposed rules is made available, at which point I’ll update this story. _____ 7.

The rules work without Title II anyway TL;DR: Nope, we tried this alreadyEven if we were to allow that the rules in the 2015 order aren’t in danger of removal (they are), the argument is they don’t need Title II

authority to work. They do, and this is supported by years of attempts. The FCC tried for the decade before 2015 to enforce non-discrimination and other net neutrality rules using other legal authorities as a basis. Several different tracks were taken: ancillary authority under Title I and Section 706, both of which were rejected by courts as being insufficient for these kinds of strong rules. Hints that Title II was the only way to go have been dropped court after court and eventually the FCC took them at their word. The FCC's proposal provides no alternatives for authorities under which it would be able to enforce the rules "except for ones already tried and found lacking. Make no mistake, the rules will not work without Title II. This isn't speculation" it's already been tried.

8. The internet wasn't broken before 2015 and ISPs don't block or throttle. TL;DR: It remained unbroken because of constant vigilance, not because ISPs didn't try. As evidence that Title II-based rules are unnecessary, critics point to the success of the internet before 2015. Leaving aside that, as we noted above, internet access was governed by Title II for a good deal of that period, this argument is true. Of course, it's only true because of constant vigilance by regulators! Internet providers have attempted to throttle traffic by type or by user (Comcast in 2007), have imposed arbitrary and secret caps on data (AT&T 2011-2014), hidden fees that had no justification or documentation (Comcast in 2016), and tried to give technical advantages to their own services over those of competitors (AT&T in 2016). These attempts were only revealed in retrospect once they were discovered and lawsuits filed. If the deterrents those lawsuits provided eventually had been part of preemptive rulemaking then these practices would never have been attempted at all. 2015 wasn't some magic year, either: the FCC and Congress had proposed net neutrality rules going back more than a decade before then. It's only in 2015 that they made them stick. Now, even if we were to grant that ISPs had not attempted these things when they clearly did, it would be unreasonable to think that they wouldn't attempt to in the future. Voluntary agreements not to are hardly a substitute for strong rules against anti-consumer practices known to have been instituted before.

9. It takes authority away from the FTC, which has historically guarded privacy rights. TL;DR: It does shift authority, but with good reason and no loss to consumers. The Federal Trade Commission is another agency formed in the early 20th century that chiefly deals with punishing unscrupulous businesses. And it did in fact have authority for years over broadband providers, and it did punish them for bad practices. However, the FTC is fundamentally a reactive organization: it waits for something bad to happen, then brings a suit against the actor, leading to fines or changes in practice. For example, it is currently in the process of wrestling with AT&T over shady "unlimited data" claims the company made over the course of several years starting in 2011. The FCC, on the other hand, preemptively creates regulations by which companies must abide. If some of the rules it has created were in place in 2011, AT&T would have been immediately in violation had it not plainly stated the

limits of its "unlimited" plan. For the case of enforcing strong net neutrality rules, the FCC seems the logical choice. Part of the issue, however, is that the FTC is barred from regulating Title II carriers, which is how the 2015 Order classifies broadband providers. This was expected, of course, and the FCC quickly created the transparency and Broadband Privacy rules in order to make sure consumers were being provided the same or better privacy protections as under the FTC. Of course, the same crew that makes the argument listed above seemed to be fine with it when Congress repealed that same Broadband Privacy rule, leaving ISPs with no oversight whatsoever. Their hand-wringing about what's best for everyone's privacy seems rather hypocritical in that light.

2034. Nicolas Bergbauer, Austin, TX, 78705

Internet service providers should not be allowed to block, slow, or charge extra for certain websites over others. Chairman Ajit Pai is making a mistake if he thinks removing net neutrality is beneficial to anyone. Opponents of net neutrality either do not understand what it is or profit financially from its removal at the expense of the vast majority of American citizens and American companies. Keep the internet free of interference from powerful corporations and monopolies. Betraying the American people will not be easily forgiven nor easily forgotten.

2035. Annie Brillhart, Kerrville, TX, 78028

Without net neutrality, you are actively targeting and discriminating against start-ups, small sites, charity organizations with little traffic, the list goes on. Regarding ISPs, I thought monopolies were illegal.

2036. Sarah Reedus, Kerrville, TX, 78028

The freedom of the internet is important for anyone that wants to learn about anything be it history of their family to the history of the country they live in. It gives a platform to anyone that creates anything to share what they make and get feedback on it. It allows kids or grown ups to go to school where one said it was impossible to because of health issues.

2037. Mikel Matthews, San Antonio, TX, 78261

Please do not dismantle the net neutrality regulations.

2038. Marcus Marinovich, Austin, TX, 78624

I believe that classifying ISP under Title II, as they currently are, is the best approach to preserving the openness of the Internet. I am opposed to this proceeding.

2039. Elaine Ryan, San Antonio, TX, 78229

This is a devastating blow to our freedom. I can see no positive outcome to this beyond hindering smaller businesses, such as myself as a freelance painter, from

obtaining our livelihoods in allowance of larger businesses thriving. I am absolutely not for this, and I feel that this is devastating to our freedom to move ourselves forward into a greater country.

2040. Edward Tashjian, Austin, TX, 78745

Net neutrality is critical to protecting our First Amendment rights. Without net neutrality, ISPs gain the right to effectively censor the internet by blocking/slowing down accesses to any site they choose. Our politicians regularly deride China and Russia for allowing censorship in their countries. It is hypocritical for them to then allow American ISPs to do the same.

2041. Nicolas Cortes, Austin, TX, 78705

I support net neutrality. I do not support private entities to control the flow of information.

2042. Janie Moore, Austin, TX, 78745

Don't wreck democracy by making the biggest freedom we have available, the Internet, another big business

2043. Joshua Boutte, Boerne, TX, 78006

Net Neutrality is extremely important to the future of the internet. Without it companies will have every right to dictate what sites users can go to, how fast the access is, and even up charging if users want to access a site or service. There isn't anything good about removing net neutrality. Thanks, Josh

2044. Craig Jones, San Antonio, TX, 78247

Getting rid of net neutrality is nonsensical. There's no practical reason to get rid of it.

2045. peter champion, san antonio, TX, 78230

I am filing this comment to voice my opinion on net neutrality. The internet and access to it has become a necessity for commerce, banking, communication, expression, and entertainment. The FCC had previously ruled that internet access should be treated as a public utility. As such it should be free of preferential treatment of the traffic flowing across it. This was a welcome and necessary ruling that allowed for greater competition amongst internet providers and internet services. However the current powers that be at the FCC have chosen to throw out that previous decision. Instead stating that somehow the previous ruling was over regulating the internet and was hampering competition and infrastructure investments. You now want to allow providers to police themselves on the honor system and trust them not to prioritize their own data or services over a competitors or outside service. Previous actions on record show that ISP's are only interested in protecting their income and not interested in competing. I would implore you protect the neutrality of internet data by law and prove the public wrong in their opinion that you work for and answer to

lobbyists, special interests, and the incumbent industry players instead of the common citizen.

2046. net neutrality, San Marcos, TX, 78666

This law doesn't protect internet businesses it endangers them to greedy corporations and blood sucking politician like the authors of this bill.

2047. Zachary Lopez, San Antonio, TX, 78213

I am born and raised on net neutrality and, while I think too much freedom can allow for very inappropriate activity, I believe it is something that should be sacred and protected since most of the media people in my age group consume is through the internet. I think its a danger to fellow consumers of internet media and to free speech itself to put any sort of limits or restraints on the media.

2048. Kevin Wiser, Austin, TX, 78741

I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. As an internet engineer, and tech entrepreneur, I cannot over emphasize the import of enforcing Net Neutrality rules on the ISPs. There is no Plan B. Infrastructure investment was unaffected by Net Neutrality. There are examples in the market place of ISPs (including cell carriers) messing with data and access (for example the ISIS payment software, and Netflix's and Google's own data capping studies) already in the market. Strong protections are needed. Arguments which claim that "previously there were no issues" are flat out wrong. Traffic shaping is a relatively new technology, and the ability to do it quickly enough across large amounts of data are newer still. Chairman Pai, tell the truth. The people know what you're about, and who you're really working for. Lay your cards on the table.

2049. Edward Bonelli, Austin, TX, 78741

We the people of the united states demand that you protect internet freedom and Net Neutrality laws. Stop forming your rules and forcing them on the people. We the people have already voiced our wants and needs when it concerns net neutrality. We are FOR the open and free internet.

2050. John Lucifer, Austin, TX, 78704

The internet should be free. We pay for this service, and we should have the decision on what we can and cannot see. not our ISPs or the government.

2051. Esteban DeLaRosa, San Antonio, TX, 78217

I'm a college student. Most of my classes are either centered around or are partially dependent on internet tools and services. Ending net neutrality would cripple my education&”my ability to become a stronger member of society. Without ensuring that internet service providers abstain from unethical practices, millions of others in the same situation as I would have their learning experiences hampered by the loss of net neutrality.

2052. Kristopher King, Austin, TX, 78748
Many successful websites were started by people with little financial resources. The internet would not be what it is today were it easily regulated or restricted when it first became a consumer service. Please put the interest of the consumer over the profitability of the internet monopolies. Let's keep the internet American in ideology.
2053. John W. Reynolds, Austin, TX, 78749
The internet belongs to those who paid for its development - U. S. taxpayers. If telephone service had been bottle-necked to serve only the mega-corporations of that day, AT&T wouldn't be around to try and muscle taxpayers aside from the internet we've all come to depend on.
2054. Nicole Laile, Austin, TX, 78749
Please keep the internet open and keep the ISPs from having an internet monopoly. Save the internet.
2055. Nathan Dayvault, Austin, TX, 78705
The internet needs to be an open access database that doesn't get influenced by those whom ISPs deem worthy, but instead by the people that use it. Allow the market to dictate the supply, not
2056. Terri Koen, Austin, TX, 78745
I believe in the free exchange of information across the internet, not one controlled by large media companies. As a blogger and yoga teacher, I need my website to be visible and accessible for my business. Please support net neutrality.
2057. Yolanda Villarreal, Austin, TX, 78748
Support for NET NEUTRALITY!!!
2058. Mark Travis, Austin, TX, 78701
If you repeal net neutrality I will cut my dick off and mail it to my Congressmen in multiple pieces. JK I would never do that please don't arrest me NSA. OR WOULD I?????!!?!?
2059. julia whitmore, San marcos, TX, 78666
Net neutrality is what makes the internet the amazing and powerful tool it has become. It's the only truly democratic public forum we have. Limiting this would turn the internet into something like television or radio, where only the powerful can successfully broadcast their messages. It is vital to our society to keep the internet as democratic as possible.
2060. Tina Weyand, Austin, TX, 78704
I want to maintain a free and open internet. We need to make sure companies

providing internet through cable, wireless, or other means are not allowed to control or manipulate what we see and do online and maintain the U.S. Federal Communications Commission (FCC) guidelines to ensure providers cannot interfere with consumer access to sites, e.g., creating "fast lanes" for sites that can pay more, and "slow lanes" for everyone else.

2061. Laura Archambault, Austin, TX, 78745
Please keep Net Neutrality. There are enough tiers in life that allow for individuals with more money to receive nicer or more luxurious products. Keep the internet available at one price that allows all people to access the beautiful depths of the internet and allows all websites to be accessed equally. America should NOT be a place where the government has the power to limit the availability of your internet based on socioeconomic status.
2062. Alberto Cruz, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Keep the internet free of censorship.
2063. Eric Swinny, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Keep the internet neutral, The internet is a place for information to be shared easily and freely not for corporate limitations on the already slow speeds some of us experience.
2064. Mary Hannah Duhon, Austin, TX, 78745
I strongly support net neutrality. I am writing to express that stance and also that I hope the FCC will not hand a big wad of money to the ISPs in exchange for no substantive public benefit.
2065. Jonathan Coleman Hopkins, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78232
Please help uphold net neutrality. Support startups and competition. Don't let greedy ISPs give the richest companies the biggest slices of the bandwidth pie. Don't be fooled. Please look up "bandwidth" and understand what it means to offer a "fast lane" to some. Please understand that when the masses reach out and ask you to look out for their interest, it is your responsibility to listen. Don't be bought. Please.
2066. Greg Denton, Austin, TX, 78704
Net neutrality! Get your shit straight or else. Greg Denton
2067. Michael Eric, Austin, TX, 78704
Keep a free and open internet. KEEP Net Neutrality.
2068. Rachel Ward, Austin, TX, 78704
Hey FCC and U.S. Congress, I have an idea, let's provide the real innovators in this country the tools required to innovate: A free and open internet, not beholden to any party. Provision of internet service is not an area of innovation;

let's treat internet service providers like what they are, a utility -- like gas & water. ISPs are a regional monopoly, or duopoly at best -- they cannot operate in an unregulated environment. Do what makes sense. Thanks, Arie Israel Rachel Ward

2069. Pablo Velasco, Austin, TX, 78705

Don't let corporations become in control of the internet.

2070. Hayden Davis, Austin, TX, 78748

Net Neutrality is the most important issue in our world today.

2071. Kimberly Gardner, San Antonio, TX, 78209

Please keep the internet open and free by keeping the net neutrality in place.

2072. Price, Kerrville, TX, 78028

It's a bit upsetting that this sort of argument has to happen. The internet epitomizes what American values, where anyone in their garage can produce a service or good to release to the world and let the market decide who wants to visit these sites. Dismantling these protections lets the internet service providers win over anyone trying to use or create a website, and its very disheartening that our congressmen are deciding between the internet of America, and a check from a lobbying internet service provider. -Average American Price

2073. Nhan Do, Austin, TX, 78705

The internet is more than consumerism. It's my generation's #1 source of information. Ending net neutrality could potentially cause some informational websites much more expensive to access. Why? Because the company felt like it. If public libraries are free for all to use, free to share knowledge, then shouldn't the internet have the same liberty?

2074. Lincoln Harris, San Antonio, TX, 78230

I support an open and free internet. I support the net neutrality laws currently in place. Not one governed by cable companies trying to protect their own interests.

2075. Juris Rodriguez, San Antonio, TX, 78230

We want Title II to remain. Leaving in the hands of the corporations to do right would be a wrong decision. They do not care about people and their access to their freedoms to view, watch, stream. They think only of their profits. I don't want to view what they choose for me involuntarily. I should have the choice.

2076. Rebecca Rodriguez, Austin, TX, 78745

We need regulations requiring internet service providers to treat all data and customers equally online.

2077. Elvis Medero, SAN Antonio, TX, 78213
The internet grows well because it has the freedom to. It evolves because we do not bottle neck it. If we remove net neutrality it will be like removing democracy and installing a communistic government telling us what we can use.
2078. Caleb Morgan, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Keep the internet free, you all already have enough money. Quit being greedy, for the sake of us all.
2079. Deon Jackson, San Antonio, TX, 78216
If we want to continue to be known as the land of opportunity and to truly be free, we will keep Net Neutrality as it is.
2080. Michael, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Net Neutrality is an important part of an educated society. To remove it is to express that the government values monetary gain over the well being of citizens.
2081. Michael Perry, san antonio, TX, 78259
The internet is amazing because it is free and unrestricted by ISP's. preventing us from accessing certain sites because we do not pay them enough is ridiculous and unamerican. I see no benefit for us, the users, in destroying net neutrality. Having unrestricted access to all of the internet requires websites and primarily internet based companies to keep their product at its best because otherwise they will receive no traffic due to a competitor doing the job better. Its the basis of the Free Market System, and amazing things, like Google, Facebook, and Steam have all come out of this fair and free internet.
2082. Anthony Cargile, Austin, TX, 78704
The limitations of an open internet is against the very ideals which make this country great.
2083. Kyle Corases, San Antonio, TX, 78240
In short, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs that is in place today and reject Chairman Ajit Pai's plan. Allowing ISPs to govern access to content, by making someone pay more for "fast lanes" as an example, is nothing but attempting to stifle creativity and innovation. I believe the internet is something that should be equally accessible to all and should remain neutral and not allow ISPs to regulate. I believe this will only allow ISPs to try and push a narrative of their choice and will show how disingenuous ISPs actually are given the power to do so. The FCC should be advocates for the people to protect them and Chairman Ajit Pai's plan is not in the best interest of the people.
2084. Andrew Griswold, Austin, TX, 78704

Please keep net neutrality. The rebel alliance always win. The force is not something to be controlled or bent to ones will. Heed my words carefully friends, for you have been warned.

2085. Jayme Vaniyapurakal, San Antonio, TX, 78261
Yeah how about you don't ruin the internet. Because after all, taking away FREEDOM is un-American. Net Neutrality promotes open competition which is great for smaller businesses. By taking that away you run the risk of demolishing smaller online businesses and promote authoritarian control over a free market.
2086. Patrick Matuich, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Protect Net Neutrality like your website depends on it.
2087. Caroline Olson, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Save the open internet! The internet is something that can bring joy and knowledge to any average human in the United States. Why let the government ruin that, too?
2088. Keep Net Neutrality, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Save neutrality this is madness i know what you are doing and it is not OK just because you want big companies to succeed and run the country doesn't make it great it makes it worse for the little guy HAVE YOU LEARN NOTHING from past history, when the little guy gets treated unfairly, their is often Rebellion. Take French history, preferably the bits of King Louis the XVI when the poor and dying finally had enough of their unfair treatment they took action. Don't make that same mistake. Please keep Net Neutrality. Yours truly, Liam Gonzalez
2089. Benjamin Dion Balentine, Austin, TX, 78704
Net neutrality is vital to preserving the technological advancements we've made over the last several decades. Striking it down would open the door to gross abuse by telecoms--stifling free speech, access to information and research, and threatening to censure citizens whose views are decided to be unprofitable by private interests. It's my view that net neutrality MUST be preserved and strengthened in order to protect internet users from manipulation and fraud.
2090. luisa coss, austin, TX, 78741
I believe that Net Neutrality should stay in place for as long as the internet is in existence. Taking away Net Neutrality is like taking away our freedom. I do not want to be controlled by my own government and will fight for that to never happen.
2091. Sandra Ernsberger, austin, TX, 78735
Net neutrality is essential to an open and prosperous internet. I run my small

business primarily through the internet, and net neutrality had enabled this. Please keep current net neutrality rules.

2092. Maryann Long, Austin, TX, 78704

I'm opposed to any efforts to end net neutrality. The current state of neutrality favors no one provider or service over any other, and that's the way it should be. ISPs must not be able to control what we see and how fast we can see it and they are already making enough money off of us. This attempt on their part to exert such control is just another manifestation of corporate greed and is antithetical to the founding principles of the internet. Please preserve net neutrality.

2093. Christopher, San Antonio, TX, 78209

Do not take away our privlages. that is not right

2094. Rosa Guthrie, Austin, TX, 78748

Access to the Internet and subsequently the WWW has become a necessity in lieu of a "want" for everyday life. For someone that works from home as a System Administrator and accesses a slew of online services that require high-speed access, MBPS/GBPS is always on top of my list. It is important, nay, VITAL, for me to keep my job and for my company to succeed as a whole to have high-speed reliable access to vendors such as AWS, slack, G Suite, ring central, okta, and many more! In addition to being professionally impacted, in my personal life I rely on services such as Skype and Japan's LINE to keep in touch with my family and friends abroad. As a hobby I maintain a couple of websites for friends and need secure, reliable access to manage content and use their domain's webmail. I was recently impacted and aghast when we moved neighborhoods in South Austin and we only had two choices of internet providers which provided nothing higher than 100 mbps. The speeds here have impacted me to the point of having to sign up and spend additional money on a coworking office when I need to be 100% sure that an important VoIP call or video conference won't be affected by the sudden horrid drops to 3 mbps in the middle of my workday. Please do not allow ISPs to have the power to control / throttle or even block access to certain websites or services. And Even more concerning, please do not allow the ISPs the ability to provide fast lanes to those that can pay, and leave everyone else in the slow lane. The internet is NOT just for deep pockets.

2095. Aaron Sinkar, Austin, TX, 78748

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked

FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Aaron Sinkar

2096. Nicholas Munoz, San Antonio, TX, 78258

Keep internet availability neutral! I don't want my ISP deciding what I get to view because they sold to the highest bidder!

2097. ZoÃ« Crabtree, Austin, TX, 78745

Please maintain net neutrality by keeping isp's regulated under title 2.

2098. Amanda Lueke, Austin, TX, 78745

Please maintain net neutrality by keeping ISPs regulated under Title 2. A free and open internet is important to me and is important to our country as a space to share ideas and creative content. Removing net neutrality will benefit only large, wealthy corporations while making the consumer's experience of the internet worse. Please choose the interests of the majority over the interests of the wealthy few.

2099. Geoffrey, San Antonio, TX, 78259

Everyone in society needs internet to function. Everyone. To get a job, rent an apartment, go to school. Allowing the already-a-monopoly telecom industries to move even further is a move calculated to enrich those companies, not serve the people of the United States. If you really care about those people, all of them, then you'll support net neutrality.

2100. Morgan Marion, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Internet net neutrality is how we set an example for the world on how an agency like the FCC is designed to step in and protect the individual over the corporate interest.
2101. robert arlauskas, Austin, TX, 78745
Protect net neutrality! Don't let Trump Administration changes go through!
2102. John Sealy, Austin, TX, 78735
Don't make the internet a Pay2Win scheme for internet service providers. Please allow for good service and good business practices to be rewarded in this market.
2103. Michael DuFresne, San Antonio, TX, 78209
I support a free and open internet. Please keep the net neutral.
2104. Laura Greenberg, austin, TX, 78746
I am for maintaining net neutrality
2105. Richard Silverman, Austin, TX, 78746
I want to continue to support an internet which is open to all, without restrictions made by ISP (internet service providers). I will not give support to any actions that will hinder the continuation of free speech, free exchange of information, or by ISP's profiting by cutting out portions of the internet in lieu of additional payment. I support Net Neutrality in that I support a continued free and open internet for all.
2106. Mark Fierro, Austin, TX, 78741
I work in a hospital, frequent a local coffee shop, and watch Netflix at home regularly. My day to day life revolves around reliable access to internet services. These services, that are equal to everyone, help me at the hospital to get doctors access to patient diagnoses faster, allows an upstart business a path to success, and gives me a means to relax after a hard day's work. To put access to these services behind paywalls does nothing to benefit anyone other than ISPs, and will only harm businesses and people's livelihoods. I hope that you act in favor of Net Neutrality, so that way everyone has a level playing field online.
2107. Dan Loment, Austin, TX, 78748
I do not have a business online therefore I am not impacted by the change that may unfairly tilt the marketing/performance scale against small/startup businesses but I do believe that this is unethical and uncharacteristic of American principles. I am a United States veteran and I have served this country away from family and friends on three tours in our great United States Navy to protect and honor our American freedom, net neutrality is included in that freedom in my opinion. There are many factors that will have a disastererly

impact on average American citizens, so if competing companies have to outbid one another for marketing/performance advantages the FCC has to question how long would it take for those large companies to increase their prices to compete? This is obviously theoretical but there is still a realistic possibility of this occurring if you repeal net neutrality. Also the FCC has a responsibility to the American people to not allow ISP companies to control which websites they are allowed to access, though this change may have good intentions I imagine, there is a gargantuan amount of optimism on the FCC side to even consider this. ISP companies may or may not abuse that power but this change will allow them to decide instead allowing the American people to operate freely. Although this repeal may have great intentions, those intentions are immaturely optimistic. I hope you will reconsider this repeal and keep the great intention and regulations of net neutrality.

2108. Jeffrey Martinez, Austin, TX, 78704

An open internet is important to me because it allows myself and other two-bit artists to express ourselves freely and support each other, such as streaming work progresses and other self-improvements. We make ourselves in a viral community with little concern of what other people think. I fear having the net neutrality rules (Title II) tossed out would really hamper all that.

2109. Israel Marte, San Antonio, TX, 78232

The current policy is fine and if it is repealed, American Internet is ruined.

2110. Mathew Wehbe, San Antonio, TX, 78209

keep our Internet free for all please. We are a country founded on freedom and liberty, please save and protect our right to freedom and liberty

2111. Christopher Mosure, Austin, TX, 78704

Access to a free, open and unrestricted internet is of the upmost importance

2112. Blake Rogers, Austin, TX, 78704

The internet is a form of information and has fallen under the scope of press, speech, and is the embodiment of our rights as american citizens in the modern age. by allowing private entities to regulate it with such a heavy hand we undermine the modern age and revert our rights as citizens to an archaic era. If government cant limit content, then why should private companies?

2113. Reno Solis, San Marcos, TX, 78666

I am a small business owner of a fitness facility. As such, I understand the importance of having the ability to create and sell a product. A neutral net allows other entrepreneurs to create a product/business from an idea or passion. I purchase internet from my provider to have access to my business website, my accounting software, news, business & personal emails, and so much more. With a non-neutral net, my services may be slowed resulting in damage to the

success of my business. I believe it is the FCC's duty to protect the consumers from invasion of privacy, price gouging, and fraudulent billing from our broadband providers. PROTECT THE PEOPLE, WE WANT NET NEUTRALITY

2114. Matthew, Austin, TX, 78748

Net neutrality is going to be important moving forward, and what is decided on this ruling is going to make a lot of determinations as to how we as customers interact with ISP's. The same way someone requires clean water from their water company, we should be able to gain appropriate internet access for our homes as well. Many Americans this year more than ever are telecommuting and working from home, whether it be finishing up work or working full time from home, and are dependent on their connection for their income, if things like prioritization and such open that doorway this will downgrade their experience and affect their ability to make a living. On a side note these are the companies that do not replace years old copper wire to save a buck on rolling out infrastructure. Some of my customers I interacted with while working for an ISP were paying \$80/m for 256/kbs down. These ISPs are milking every hard earned dollar they can, if companies like this have the ability to monopolize an already corrupted market, things will be getting worse and worse for the consumer. Thanks for your time, Matthew S. Ash

2115. Charles Proto, San Antonio, TX, 78261

It would be great if you guys didn't screw up the internet for a fistfull of dollars thanks.

2116. Colin MacAlpine, Austin, TX, 78748

Please keep Net Neutrality. It goes against the free market principles that help make our country thrive. We need competition online. So please keep strong net neutrality rules and keep the open internet!

2117. Gabriel Walker, Austin, TX, 78745

The internet is a service that must remain neutral in order to serve our citizens in the best way possible.

2118. David Walsh, Austin, TX, 78701

When I purchase internet access from an ISP, I expect and demand that I am purchasing fast, unimpeded, and equal access to all hosts on the internet. I want no more and no less from my ISP.

2119. Kim Bui, Austin, TX, 78701

KEEP NET NEUTRALITY. It's pretty simple. Please do not cause us to go back into the Stone Ages of dial-up and blocked content based on monetary reasons. Our country is already seeing side effects of selfishness within the White House. We are on our way to becoming a restricted dictatorship.

2120. Jacob Cross, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I use the Internet for various reasons, such as information gathering, entertainment purposes, etc. As an adult, I find it very intrusive that ISP holders tell me what I can and can't do on the Internet.
2121. Mairi Vannella, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133
As an avid user of the internet, particularly to cultivate the minds of my students as well as to reach out to followers, friends, and loved ones, I believe that an open web is imperative for the people of the modern age to stay connected, to have a platform for free speech, and to do business on an evolved communication platform. To take this away would be a disservice to both the common man and the business, and would be one of the first steps toward a totalitarian regulation of word and thought.
2122. Andrew Johnson, Austin, TX, 78704
I support net neutrality including maintaining the title 2 classification. We must keep the internet open.
2123. Arturo Wallace Rodriguez Segesman, San Antonio, TX, 78232
I believe that the FCC should protect consumers from invasion of privacy, fraudulent billing and price gauging by their broadband provider. I don't want ISP to choose which websites and apps are best for me, I want to make that decision for myself. When I pay to have access to the internet I want access to the entire internet, not limited access to only a few websites because someone paid a lot of money so it is that way. There is only one internet and all rules must apply to my home and mobile connection equally. I want a free and open internet.
2124. oscar monroy, buda, TX, 78610
We don't want companies snooping what we do online
2125. Brandon Jankins, san antonio, TX, 78261
As someone who plays and builds communities around video games having easily affordable accessible access to different online platforms has lead me to meet some great people and make friends that will last a lifetime. If the FCC does not regulate an open net it would only further divide internet communities based on the ISP's regulations and internet packages. Those less fortunate may not have the opportunity to use the internet as a positive outlet if net neutrality is not maintained due to additional ISP charges and monopolization possibly causing future youth to turn to drug or crime related activities out of boredom.
2126. Cassie Kincaid, Buda, TX, 78610
The internet contains so much knowledge and has become such an integral part of daily life. It should be protected from people who would throttle and block websites that they cannot gain profit from. Don't be greedy. The internet should

remain free and open for all to benefit from.

2127. Lynne Patterson, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Rolling back Net Neutrality will hurt far more people than help them. An incredibly small minority will benefit from this change.
2128. Sheena Connell, San Antonio, TX, 78209
As an educator, it is paramount to have unabridged and unfettered access to free, non-sponsored and uncensored content. The importance of access to government, non-profit and international websites at the equal level as paid and commercial websites cannot be overestimated. As an educator and administrator who deals directly with countless students from around the world, U.S. and foreign immigration regulation, as well as staying up to date on political and cultural shifts, it is a matter of not only student's security but the security of our campuses, neighborhoods and cities. Uncensored access to all informational, political and culturally relevant websites is necessary to perform my job efficiently and contribute to the 32.8 billion dollar industry that is international education. The role of the FCC includes ensuring that consumers, which include educational institutions, are protected from, among other things, invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers. I fully support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans to undermine consumers and whole sectors of the economy.
2129. Elizabeth Hilbert, Boerne, TX, 78006
No person or government agency should be able to control what we can see on the internet.
2130. Jeffrey Paul Mitchell, Austin, TX, 78749
I would like to voice my support for the FCC's Open Internet Rules. When I shop for an internet service provider, all I care about is getting the fastest, and most reliable connection to the internet. I expect to receive the same bandwidth, latency, and access to all web servers, not just the websites and services my ISP deems are preferred. I believe it is the responsibility of the FCC to ensure that the internet remains an open and equal playing field for all.
2131. Benedict Lagarde, San Marcos, TX, 78666
The Net Neutrality doesn't have to change. It is not right for websites that have more money to be above everyone else's.
2132. Joseph, Austin, TX, 78748
I don't agree with Net Neutrality.
2133. Trinity Aikens, Austin, TX, 78745
This could set a dangerous precedent of impeding the free education for people

all over the world. Please do the right thing. This is a global issue.

2134. Alexander S Hayes, austin, TX, 78705
"America will never be destroyed from the outside. If we falter and lose our freedoms, it will be because we destroyed ourselves"
2135. Louis Whitworth, San Antonio, TX, 78258
Net neutrality is a fundamental right to everyone. Anything else would be violation of rights of a citizen. Louis Whitworth
2136. Omar Shamaa, San Antonio, TX, 78259
Net neutrality is not a matter of regulation. It limits communication companies to overreach because their regulations are inadequate and can be changed without notice. So by preventing the government to overreach and set regulations for these companies, they are allowing these companies to overreach into other companies. Net neutrality is the perfect medium for a lower cost to business to create and deploy services, such as this one, without extra fee's. Removing net neutrality will benefit communication companies which operate off a TIER BASED SYSTEM (e.g. If a tier 1 ISP implements some of the restrictions that you are now lifting the tier 2 and tier 3 ISP's that depend on them will have it too without choice!). As a developer I would start using foreign only services to prevent from receiving these costs which does not help the US at all, so in turn I have to ask, why are you trying to remove net neutrality laws the very laws which prevent communication companies from controlling your lives?
2137. Dennis Whiteside, Austin, TX, 78735
Stay out of the internet, government intrusion is not welcome...let free enterprise control the market. Dennis Whiteside
2138. Matthew Marinelli, San Antonio, TX, 78258
Net Neutrality should and is protected under our constitutional right to freedom of the press. Nobody has the right to choose what we can and can't see. A vote against net neutrality is a vote against the constitutional rights of Americans.
2139. Hollie Polk, Lakehills, TX, 78063
Don't be stupid my dudes. We get it, companies want money, but everyone else just wants a good time, the internet.
2140. Andrea Dunning, San Antonio, TX, 78216
Please Dont, the internet is a place that people should explore freely and SPEAK/EXPRESS themselves freely on. Not a place in which companies can decide what you are allowed or not allowed to see in order to increase their profits. Its a place in which you should ve able to find out events that are going on and speak your mind about them freely,without restrictions. Andrea Dunning

2141. Marshal Penn, Austin, TX, 78748
Good Evening. As a United States citizen, I am wholly opposed to any changes to existing Net Neutrality protections. If the FCC attempts to revert to a "Light-Tough" policy, the online products and activities of ISP-sponsored businesses will be favored over all others. Consumers and smaller businesses will undoubtedly be harmed by the removal of articles such as Title II, and in some cases, may even see their content censored. In the interest of keeping the Internet truly open, I fully support the existing Net Neutrality regulations set forth by the FCC under the Obama Administration, and hope that they are here to stay. Thanks for reading, --Marshal W Penn
2142. Chris Valentino, Austin, TX, 78745
Please keep net neutrality, it's a basic freedom of the age of mass media to have access to the internet like we do today. Any limit to this right will be prohibition all over again.
2143. Steven Havill Jr, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133
The internet is meant to be a free space where anything and everything is meant to be easily accessible, this type of freedom shouldn't be limited or limiting potential for those that want to start up web business or simpler things like web comics or online portfolios etc. Keep our internet just the way it is, no slow downs, keep ads to yourself, and keep the web service we already pay for up and running.
2144. Jonathan Tindall, San Antonio, TX, 78247
The internet should be a place to express and share information freely.
2145. Caitlin Murphy, Austin, TX, 78741
I'm a jeweler about to launch a small business and am concerned that large corporations steering traffic to big box stores will lose me potential customers. I think perhaps the people writing this policy don't understand what the word "freedom" actually means.
2146. Chaz O'Mahony, Austin, TX, 78748
Net neutrality has done nothing but a great deal of good in my life. Growing up as a wannabe artist, it was important that I get impactful resources, critique, inspiration, and more importantly, friends, to help further what little of a career I have. Musical and artistic influence has done well for me, and there are sites out there far lesser known than Youtube that help accomplish those influential goals. It helps when the internet, free from the constrictions that this law would pass, offers many different substitutions to cloud storage, web hosting, and many other incredible services! In my area, there are not a lot of choices for the internet, and even fewer plans that are particularly outstanding. To cancel net neutrality between these plans, or even say "simply switch if one discriminates", means I have to worry about my already loose financial stability. It would mean

to have to wait days for the new plan to take place, to worry about funding, and what if that plan discriminates against other websites that I continue to favor? Net neutrality is nothing but good. Not just for me, but for my friends that I talk and play with each day. For the people who's company depends on Etsy and other more vague websites that could be left behind for provider-specific options. For everyone that could want to make a living off the basic principle need of having a free internet.

2147. Kristen Greiner, San Antonio, TX, 78247

I need 4chan
Kristen Greiner

2148. Brody Wallis, Fischer, TX, 78623

Limiting the internet is very similar to limiting how much you can learn. Please don't set limits to our learning by limiting our internet. Thanks!

2149. Net Neutrality, Bee Cave, TX, 78738

Howdy,I am firmly opposed to classifying ISP's under Title One, and think they are more accurately classed under Title Two. As an American, I can not believe our government is even considering changing ISP's to Title One. This will only serve to line the pockets of ISP shareholders at the expense of all americans. If sites like Facebook, Google, Amazon, or Youtube started under the culture that these ISP's want, they would not exist today. If anything, the FCC should be more strict on these ISPs, and enforce anti-trust laws against ISPs. The CEO of comcast himself stated that he does not compete with Time Warner (Now Spectrum). That is a much more complicated issue however, but classifying ISPs under Title 2 is a no-brainer if you claim to represent the american people.Regards,Rob Tuckfield

2150. Net Neutrality, Austin, TX, 78704

I support strong net neutrality rules and regulations because capitalism can only function when a competitive free market exists. Throttling bandwidth and restricting site access is antithetical to our great nations' philosophy. Allowing for large corporations to restrict and amend our access to the internet will only harm us economically and culturally. In order for a healthy market place to exist, every company must maintain homogeneous internet access otherwise large corporations will destroy all small business. Without competition, large corporations can treat their customers with ill regard because no other option is available. The internet is the only leveling field that exists, compromising it will destroy any chance of income inequality. Please for the sake of our economic viability support strong net neutrality rules that keep the internet open to everyone.

2151. Dylan Eggleston, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624

We believe the internet should be available to all, at any time, and never controlled.

2152. Alena Keene, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Maintain net neutrality. ISPs should not be able to control our access to media and information. We should be able to access all sources of media and information at equal speeds and without potential blocking of sites and services. By not maintaining net neutrality our First Amendment rights would be infringed because free speech and freedom to access information could be suppressed.
2153. Matthew Lusk, San Antonio, TX, 78247
Please do not make it legal for sites and providers to throttle speeds and inhibit the growth of lower income businesses by removing net neutrality. This is an act in detriment to small businesses and violates consumer rights.
2154. Dylan Najvar, New Braunfels, TX, 78130
It is the right of all internet users to freely access whichever websites they please (assuming they contain legal content) with no additional cost outside of the monthly service fee.
2155. Benjimin Isenberg, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624
I doubt you will find this letter amidst the storm that has likely been created. If you do find this though, you should know that there is a chance here to rise above. We can all be better people, a good man is good to all men. Be excellent to your country men, turn this thing down before the country gets torn down. One day there will be a reckoning and all things will be considered, what will history say of your actions? what will you leave the children? Consider everything and do what is best, not best for you, but best for all things. Can you rise above? are you uber mensch? Ben I. Benjimin Isenberg
2156. Valeri Alexiev, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Please keep Net Neutrality! Without it we can't have free and open internet. Internet toll lanes will hurt businesses and users alike and give even more power to monopolistic ISP.
2157. Hannah Evans, Austin, TX, 78705
Eliminating net neutrality would take away a non-dangerous and non-damaging freedom.
2158. Gavin Jones, San Marcos, TX, 78666
I run a small Software company that relies on speedy offsite back-end services. If these back-end services, as well as our clients who use them don't have full access and speed, they will never be able to compete for no other reason than a lack of money.
2159. John Lape, austin, TX, 78704
I have been spending a substantial amount of time abroad over the last few

years. I'm a frequent user of video chat/call services like Skype, Facetime, and Whatsapp. I use whichever service best suits my needs at the time and am grateful that my ISP is not throttling my choices to promote their own competing products. I transfer currency using Transferwise, a startup which wouldn't be nearly as disruptive as it has been if major banks could pay ISPs for better throughput. Fundamentally, the world is a better place when you can trust that all information is flowing freely and not prioritized.

2160. Logan Schumann, Austin, TX, 78745

I believe that it isn't okay when business or government begins to infringe on the freedoms of the American people. Why should anyone be allowed to say what can and can't be viewed on the internet, or the way the internet is used. That in it's very nature is restricting freedom and then what are we left with. As long as what is happening isn't illegal it should be allowed whether or not I agree with it.

2161. Chance, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133

NopeChance

2162. Shehrazad Irani, Austin, TX, 78704

Net neutrality is necessary. Infringing on the right to free use and expression on the Internet is a violation of our rights based on the contents of the US Constitution.

2163. Elyssa Barnes, San Antonio, TX, 78247

We live in a society that revolves around the internet. Giving cable companies the power to decide what we can and cannot access online gives them too much of an advantage over their online competitors. They will have the power to decide what can succeed and what can not.

2164. Shehryar Ahmed, Austin, TX, 78705

The internet without Net Neutrality isn't really the internet. Unlike the open internet that has paved the way for so much innovation and given a platform to people who have historically been shut out, it would become a closed-down network where cable and phone companies call the shots and decide which websites, content or applications succeed. This would have an enormous impact. Companies like AT&T, Comcast and Verizon would be able to decide who is heard and who isn't. They'd be able to block websites or content they don't like or applications that compete with their own offerings. SO DO EVERYTHING IN YOUR POWER TO STOP AJIT PAI

2165. Ryan Herron, Austin, TX, 78701

An argument in favor of Net Neutrality: Removing protection of Net Neutrality allows a small number of ISPs to control the internet market, instead of a free internet market operating as the best example of capitalism. ISP's are not driven

to invest by competition, but rather consumer demand for more and better internet service. Their revenue is generated from individual consumers that do not have many competing options. Therefore, if ISPs are to grow revenues, they must improve their product to boost its value and increase customer spending. If ISPs are allowed a new revenue source that does not depend on end user demand, they will no longer have a reason to better their service to consumers. Their responsibilities will follow their sources of income. Net Neutrality is critical for free market capitalism to thrive and expand. Lifting this protection would only temporarily benefit ISPs at the long term expense of the larger internet economy.

2166. Bailey Conner, Fischer, TX, 78623

Net Neutrality is essential for the continued protection of our first amendment rights. ISPs have a vested interest in slowing traffic to sites with political views that don't favor big business, as such groups may contribute to the passing of legislation that negatively impacts their profits. More directly, an ISP could charge big-name domains a fee for faster connection speeds. Even if legislation forbids an ISP from downgrading any domain, it could instead charge to upgrade a domain to the same effect. A business' interest is profit, and it is any good business' duty to maximize their profits in any way legally permissible. Because of this, a government agency considering granting a power to a business MUST consider how the practices in the best interest to upholding the rights of citizens align with the practices in the best interest of profit. If these practices differ significantly, it would be a deplorable and unconstitutional act to grant any business said power.

2167. Andrew J. McKee, Bulverde, TX, 78163

This is basically taking our freedom and rights away Andrew J. McKee

2168. Dan Rupp, austin, TX, 78704

As a long time computer scientist with a strong understanding of how technology and the internet works the idea of lousing net neutrality is a shocking and scary concept. Only those who do not have a strong technical understanding of what that means would be for it. While some would profit from it it would steal from the entire world and especially the United States.

2169. Jennifer Cardenas, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133

This movement threatens to topple the Internet from a place of relative neutrality into another media medium that super corporations have major or complete control over. Giving these organizations even more advantages over smaller web businesses is fundamentally un-American as much as it is unethical.

2170. Sean Dugan, Austin, TX, 78739

Removing net neutrality only plays into the hands of an industry with a

stranglehold on the market.

2171. Colorado Brown, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Nobody wants internet treated like cable. It'll only serve to make the rich richer and could potentially and probably will hinder freedom of speech. Please do not.
2172. Joshua covin, San Marcos, TX, 78666
We Researchers at Texas A&M rely on a free and open internet as it is with net neutrality to work with our global partners to make strides and advancements in the medical, Veterinary and physical sciences.
2173. Mason Pohler, San Antonio, TX, 78259
Paying for access to the internet should allow you to access the whole internet, not just the parts that some think should be prioritized.
2174. Johanna Wiswall, Austin, TX, 78745
Please do not remove/alter/degrade net neutrality laws. They are essential to the free market's ability to function. Monopolizing internet in an age where internet is key to helping people connect and find opportunities is wrong and damaging. Please do not alter these laws. They are not broken.
2175. net, austin, TX, 78704
net should be free and open with no perks to the highest bidder. grow morals and don't push back the bar of freedom.
2176. Nichola Nijland, San Marcos, TX, 78666
Net Neutrality is something that needs to be protected as it is fundamental to free speech. I don't want big companies to have control over what I watch and what I do online and have my internet browsing not only limited, but censored. If Net Neutrality was to be repealed it would also be massively detrimental to small businesses and startup companies when it comes to reaching new customers, as it would allow only big business to thrive and new innovation to be stifled. Please take these points into consideration and maintain and protect Net Neutrality.
2177. Joseph Hollis, San Antonio, TX, 78261
There has already man a ruling on this decision 2 years ago ish. if we can all recall. The FCC website crashed when people expressed there concerns on this net neutrality issue. DO we need to do it again. Do we need to remind the big companies that we want this. WE need to remind them that we are the voice. not them
2178. Anthony Williams, San Antonio, TX, 78207
The internet shouldn't be overtly controlled or monopolized, ever.

2179. Trevor Merkle, austin, TX, 78705
I believe in an open and free internet. I find the countless and repetitive bot comments disheartening.
2180. Rachel Claire-Marie Berent, San Antonio, TX, 78232
freedom of information exchange, to allow humans the right to educate themselves and be exposed to other ideas. global communion is a necessity
2181. Ethan Falks, San Antonio, TX, 78216
Treating all traffic on the Internet equally is an important part of what makes the Internet so useful. Throttling connections and censoring data goes against everything that facilitated the growth and development of the web to what it is today. Please protect Internet Freedom.
2182. Scott, San Marcos, TX, 78666
It's the 1st amendment, stoopid.Scott
2183. Daniel Waldron, Austin, TX, 78745
The internet has always been, above all else, about freedom. Freedom of expression. Freedom of choice. Freedom of speech. Allowing ISPs to dictate what their customers can and can't have access to would take away our freedom and bring us closer to the ideologies of those countries whose governments are afraid of their citizens having too much freedom.
2184. David, San Marcos, TX, 78666
I use sites like Discord and Youtube not just as a source of entertainment but also as a way to learn. Ive met many people who have taught me so many things I didn't know.
2185. Adam Quintanilla, san antonio, TX, 78258
Net neutrality is extremely important to maintain, small business would suffer as well as the flow of free information.
2186. nicki sacco, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Please keep the web free and neutral. I am opposed to the pay to play approach. Access to all sites should be equal.
2187. Ryan Jasse Pelarski, Austin, TX, 78736
I am commenting to express interest in the keeping of Net Neutrality. In order to keep our freedoms under the First Amendment of the Bill of Rights under the Constitution we must protect all form of media from tampering and control. To hand over power to ISP, they will always prioritize profits of rights and freedoms. Thank you for your time and consideration.
2188. Carter McEachern, San Marcos, TX, 78666

Access to the internet is a basic human right. This goes directly hand in hand with the freedom of expression and opinion. The ability to regulate this access whatsoever is greedy, immoral, and completely unacceptable.

2189. harris Schanhaut, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Any restrictions on the internet are a violation of the constitutional right of free speech. Please keep the internet open for all.
2190. crystal Shines Bright, Austin, TX, 78745
Leave the internet alone!!!! Free market net neutrality!!
2191. Jay Young, San Antonio, TX, 78232
My family of six voting aged adults strongly support net neutrality. Please represent our interests. Thank you. Jay Young
2192. Michael J. Miller, Austin, TX, 78745
The INTERNET is as important to daily life now as a phone, or a mailbox, OR THE RIGHT TO FREE SPEECH! It MUST be and remain a UTILITY and operated as such for the advancement of all communications of an OPEN SOCIETY!
2193. wesley, buda, TX, 78610
net neutrality is a vital part of the internet as a whole and the general operations and it is suffered null and void without it.
2194. Matthew Anderson, Austin, TX, 78746
My name is Matthew Anderson and I am an incoming freshman at UT for computer science. I got a part time job programming over two years ago and have used many online services to be able to communicate with my office and get my job done online. From services like Slack and Discord to GitHub and Stack Overflow, being able to use this unhindered by an ISP throttling my data has greatly increased my productivity and shown me the power of the internet. I use the internet not only to get my job done, but to learn and communicate with people I would never have had an opportunity to otherwise. Where I live, I only have access to about two ISPs, and living in an apartment just complicates the hassle of switching if my ISP is discriminating. On top of that, our phone is bundled with our internet creating the threat of an increase in phone prices if we switch ISPs. I also believe that ISPs should be subject to oversight by a government agency. The internet is not a luxury good and should not be treated as something that people can just stop using if they can't afford it anymore. The internet is the framework for the life and jobs of many people I know, and for them to lose access to it would be catastrophic. Thank You.
2195. Brittany Baranowski, Austin, TX, 78703
To represent me and my opinion I would like for you to SUPPORT net

neutrality. Thanks

2196. Steve, San Antonio, TX, 78261

Keep net neutrality. No blocking, no prioritization, no throttling. Access should be unlimited to what the user wants not what ISP's or government or other interests want.

2197. internet, Austin, TX, 78749

Please keep Net neutrality. Don't let Isps upcharge us for something that should be open to everyone. The internet is an important part of free speech and should not be hurt this way

2198. Ethan, San Antonio, TX, 78217

America is a country that is all about freedom and the only thing that this will do is take away our freedom in one of the most important media devices.

2199. Kenneth Prince, Austin, TX, 78736

I am writing in support of Net Neutrality. ISP's should not be able to show preference to internet traffic. An open and free internet is essential. Kenneth Prince

2200. Joseph T Lapp, Austin, TX, 78736

I am developing technologies that maximize the capacity of humans to solve problems as a species. I'm laying the groundwork for turning the Internet into a giant brain in which humans are the analogues of neurons, acting as information processing nodes. This solution requires equal access by everyone to everything. Without that, we'll be handicapping our intelligence capacity as a species, and humans may never be able to band together to avoid that comet impact or travel to other planets or stave off a global pandemic that governments by themselves cannot contain. PLEASE RETAIN NET NEUTRALITY SO THAT OUR SUPER-INTELLIGENCE FUTURE MAY HAPPEN. Thank you!

2201. Kincaid Leonard, Austin, TX, 78704

The reduction of net neutrality is not beneficial for any common person. The only reason to reduce net neutrality is to reduce government intervention in the ISP market. This can be valiant, as there are good reasons for reducing government intervention in other sects of the market, but this has absolutely no upsides for the common man. You are putting faith in ISP's to have integrity and put their interests aside for us. This will absolutely not happen. Prices will go up, loading times will go up, websites will become unavailable, packages will be offered to access more parts of the internet. This is disgusting, You cannot seriously be considering this. This is blatantly pandering towards money behind ISP's. Voting for this shows greed and a complete lack of integrity. Even you will be affected by this. This will be an attack on free speech, as ISP's will be able to choose what we see. This will hurt your political standing with the

people and will be extremely detrimental to your career. Please consider voting in favor of net neutrality.

2202. Robin Swope, austin, TX, 78748

Rolling back neutrality is in direct opposition to the best interests of the American people and the constant education and growth potential provided by un-restricted instant information as well as an infringement on common freedom. Please defend neutrality and the American people. Do not prioritize corporate profit over our country's people. This is not Nam, there are rules.

2203. Tyler Danford, kerrville, TX, 78028

Hey dude net neutrality is important, keep the internet open and accessible to everyone always.

2204. Ben Neubauer, San Antonio, TX, 78247

Net Neutrality is a good thing. I am a father of four and working two jobs as an x-ray tech. In my free time I go to online school. I don't have a whole lot of free time as you can imagine so being able to access my online university and get into the lessons quickly is the key to my success. I don't want to have to work two jobs to provide for my children if I can help it. I would much rather actually spend time with them. If Net Neutrality goes away, my access to my course work could be slowed to the point where I couldn't do as much in my spare time. If Net Neutrality goes away, I may not be able to properly finish and submit a test on time (yes, sometimes I go up to the deadline but never because of procrastination, simply because my schedule won't allow anything else). With all that we use the internet for in our day to day lives, it hurts to think that the freedom we enjoy might be going away. I hope and pray that the FCC listens to reason and keeps Net Neutrality in place.

2205. Matthew Reyna, San Antonio, TX, 78209

Please don't, just, please. Don't.

2206. Amanda Wilmotte, Bulverde, TX, 78163

Please save Net Neutrality. The internet should continue to be an open space for all, don't let corporations have that much power. We the people want to keep Net Neutrality! To do otherwise is not in the interest of the common person, but in interest of corporations.

2207. Laura Tabor, Austin, TX, 78748

Net neutrality is paramount in a free country like the US. I attended a lecture on the Internet in some Middle East countries and it was surprising to hear the difference, They had 2 different Internet providers and both had numerous issues and massive slowdowns and removal of sensitive materials by the government. We need to keep net neutrality-thank you for listening.

2208. Andres Morales, San Antonio, TX, 78209
I support strong net neutrality rules to ensure that the scientific research community is not hindered by ISP blocking or throttling.
2209. Rachel Robinson, Austin, TX, 78703
Internet access is absolutely essential for today's society, from individual constituents to small businesses to large corporations. Net neutrality maintains the rights of internet users -- this is what I'm most concerned with when considering the Restoring Internet Freedom proposal. I would support a new regulation style if it maintains the protections that we currently have or even extends them, but not if we lose any. Please consider ISP's as Title II common carriers, even if it's just the ISP portion of those larger corporations that are cable providers as well. Internet access should not be a premium service by now, but rather a necessary utility from which all of us should benefit.
2210. Connor Cardenas, Buda, TX, 78610
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY, IF YOU'RE PART OF THE US GVMT. YOU SUPPORT FREEDOM. REVOKING NET NEUTRALITY TAKES AWAY OUR FREEDOMS ON THE INTERNET
2211. Antonio C Carcur, San Antonio, TX, 78259
The Internet should remain a neutral field. All data treated equally. This helps small start-ups compete with bigger ones. A neutral Internet increases competitiveness for everyone. Internet Service Providers just carry data, just like the electric company delivers electricity to each home. And in today's day, access to the Internet is no longer a luxury, but a necessity. ISPs shouldn't be allowed to determine what data gets priority, or which data can't be allowed.
2212. Cody Powell, Buda, TX, 78610
Pulling back regulations for ISPs is damaging to the free market the internet provides. It will also allow ISPs to censor topics that do not fit with their business, essentially giving ISPs control of content that is viewed. The internet provides a place for information to flow freely and should remain so.
2213. Andy Ward, Austin, TX, 78701
Yes to net neutrality! Andy Ward
2214. FCC, Austin, TX, 78705
How dare you even CONSIDER getting rid of net neutrality! Does anyone in your organization actually believe that this is for the good of the public? Because I see it becoming detrimental to me and everyone I know while lining the pockets of rich cooperations-yours most of all-at the expense of people just barely getting by. DON'T YOU DARE even claim that you're doing it for the public good, because we know what this is and what it does. Limiting access to parts of the internet could prevent unemployed people from finding jobs, as

everything is online now. This is an unnecessary expense to be imposed. The public DOES NOT WANT THIS! Literally NO other progressive countries in the world have anything like this, while some governments with strict holds on controlling the actions of the greater public have something similar. Is that the model you wish to move towards? What do you want America to be if not free? How the world will laugh at us all when they see our internet limitations that are available and open to everyone else.

2215. Erik Brkich, San Antonio, TX, 78259

The internet must have net neutrality. there should never be paid prioritization, blocking and throttling of internet speeds.

2216. Cory Jackson, Austin, TX, 78736

How anyone can believe that giving priority or preference to specific data on the internet is a good idea, that won't be abused and used to give even more control to the ISP/Telecom's is baffling. The internet is not a finite resource, though I understand bandwidth and other overhead costs - however if the Telecom's would spend some of their multi-billion dollar a year profits on actual infrastructure, instead of lobbying against things like Google Fiber being able to be installed in cities because they're too afraid of competition (read: "we already have oligopolies, why fix what's broken in our favor") or getting even more city ordinances/laws for zoning and what-have-you to block anyone else from being able to start up their own ISP (which is totally NOT capitalism, by the way, it's totalitarianism). That's not even touching the possibility of ISP/Telecom bribery from Government entities, domestic or foreign, to censor or completely block internet traffic to certain sites or locations. The internet should always be a place to get the most wholesome of information, as well as the most vile and detrimental, as that is true freedom. The internet is intertwined into every aspects of our lives now. To take away internet freedom, is to strangle future innovation by corporate greed, to give in to the fact that nearly every American already believes that the Federal agencies are controlled by the powerful corporations and just prove us right (not that you don't have to, we really do already know, but this is so blatant). So, as someone who's grown up with the internet being in it's infancy, to seeing it's full growth into the amazing tool that it is today for anyone, large or small, to be successful and find out literally anything they desire, to be distracted from the daily woes via Netflix or other outlets, to connect with family and friends from across the world without worry that they're not paying \$15 an extra a month to the ISP that's just supposed to be the supplier of the data and no more, I am appalled that we have to go through this fight every couple of years because the Telecoms are so greedy that they're giant mountain of money isn't enough. The world outside the US already has 10x better infrastructure and speeds for half the cost we pay, but the ads and propaganda being flung at us from the Telecoms treats us like they're doing us a favor by being who they are. Whatever happened to good, old fashioned,

American customer service, where the company did their best to be good to the customer - not drain them for every penny they had because the service was so needed. The internet is a necessity. The companies providing it, are not. And they need to be reminded of that.

2217. 2015 Title 2 Classification laws, Austin, TX, 78704

We need Net Neutrality and you probably don't care about what I say but I have a team that communicates over Discord, which transfers lots of data over the internet. If the 2015 Title 2 Classification laws were called back, Discord would not exist anymore. I am not the only one. There are countless amounts of people and kids like me who depend on Discord to organize teams, games, and just make friends in general. Please consider not rolling back the 2015 Title 2 Classification laws, or else I and so many others may not have access to their teams and friends.

2218. Colin Strong, San Antonio, TX, 78209

I oppose the new proposed rules and support the current Network Neutrality Title II rules. A repeal of the current Network Neutrality rules would be disastrous for the future of our economy, for our country, and for the future of the world. The internet should be treated as a utility, it's a powerful tool that assists in the growth of small businesses and in sharing knowledge around the world. History will not look kindly on privatization of this world-class tool. Would you regulate and slow the sharing of books, or paper? Of knowledge? That's what getting rid of Net Neutrality would be.

2219. Brooke Laurie, Austin, TX, 78749

I use the internet in my everyday life. I view broadband access the same as a telephone line - it is a means to connect me to the internet. I have chosen the broadband provided that provides the fastest speed within the price that I can afford. This is how I chose the telephone service provider I use and the mail services I use. These should be the only factors that matter when selecting a broadband service provider. I want the freedom to choose which websites I view. Allowing the broadband service provider to prioritize certain websites or services over others would impinge on my freedom to choose and my ability to get the information I want from the internet. Changing broadband service providers is expensive. Each service requires the use of a different hardware, which must be purchased or rented, fees have to be paid to cancel service, fees are paid for installation and appointments with the provider company must be accommodated. Net neutrality is important and its elimination would be expensive and significantly impair my ability to use the internet.

2220. Mitchell, Blanco, TX, 78606

Out of all the stupid things I have seen on the internet, removing net neutrality definitely takes the cake. I live in a place where the only internet I get is so slow

that I can hardly download pictures. If certain websites are given prioritization while the rest are slowed down, I might as well throw out my pc, because after that I won't be able to use the internet without waiting for hours on end. Net neutrality is essential to myself and many others, and getting rid of it will take this country 1 step forward and 20 steps back.

2221. Derenthea barbaree, austin, TX, 78745
Please Keep net neutrality or I will be out of a job! I am a small business owner. I sell on Etsy and Ebay
2222. Robin Lee, Austin, TX, 78705
Please give us equal access to the internet! In a space where anyone has the freedom to be whoever they want to be, law abiding, of course, giving ISPs the power to openly control and prioritize what everyday citizens see and access not only is disturbing, but is encouraging a class based system where only those with substantial financial security has more access compared to the average citizen. So please, don't be blinded by pressure from constituents that only have their ambitions and financial goals in sight, and hear the voices of the common people.
2223. Nick Steger, San Marcos, TX, 78666
I tried to send in a comment a few weeks ago but your the FCC site comment period was closed. I hope this this reaches you. I am against ending net neutrality. Please leave the laws as they are or make stricter laws against slowing internet speeds in any way. Thanks! Nick Steger
2224. Adrian Ortiz, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Dear, Ajit Pai We the people support strong enforced Net-Neutrality backed up by Tittle 2 oversight of ISP'S. PS. F*#@k your mug, you sold out B+#@!
2225. Johnathan Clark, San Antonio, TX, 78216
Censorship and unequal treatment of businesses is everything I despise. I am currently sharing this concern with several boards of 4chan and groups from Facebook. We as American citizens will be sending massive amounts of these messages to you. Back off on your attack on net neutrality and keep our freedom of expression alive and well without corporate regulation immediately.
2226. Tanner Kulick, san marcos, TX, 78666
The internet fundamentally is not something that is meant to be controlled by some limited number of companies. Its a web of information that overall internationally people struggle already to have full and unlimited access to it . Are we really going to take away the freedom to browse and do what we want on the internet and let it be decided by what gives companies the most profit instead of what we the people want to do.

2227. Michael Sesate, San Antonio, TX, 78217

Don't do this. I beg of you, if you already have people doing illegal activities with this normal internet why even restrict it even more. You are poking the bear and you will get mauled. Keep the internet the way it is, a simple low flat rate that everyone can use and experience. Don't be a An Cap and make every a premium. Michael Sesate

2228. Drew Callahan, San Marcos, TX, 78666

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) areI don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Drew Callahan

2229. Gerald Topel, San Antonio, TX, 78261

As a small business owner, I do not want to have to pay additional fees on top of the fees of being a small business owner. And because of internet neutrality, I was successfully able to complete a Bachelor's degree that I used to help aid the United States Army's Cyber division as a soldier. Net neutrality afforded me the opportunity to do things that most people would shy away from. I use the internet to digest information that help me with my career of aiding the United States government and feel that the revocation of internet neutrality will hinder

my ability to help safe guard the country. Why are Internet Service Providers being given so much power to control what we do on the internet in a country that was founded on freedom? I implore you not to allow internet neutrality to be revoked.

2230. Keaton Rohwer, Austin, TX, 78748

As a frequent user of the internet, net neutrality is very important to me. It is essential that all websites, programs, and content are treated fairly by ISPs in order to protect the freedom of internet users such as myself. Removing net neutrality would essentially give ISPs a dictatorship over the internet. I ask you to please think about how important net neutrality is and realize that ISPs will take full advantage of the internet if it is removed.

2231. Paul Carrera, San Antonio, TX, 78216

The is no reason for 3 companies to control something that has always been free to search and play what you wanted. As a gamer i believe that we are in a golden age. More and more people in the gaming community are coming together. I feel it will hurt the community so much. Most gamers wont be about to put up the money to play online with paying for internet, subs for your games and then the new fees from the end of net neutrality. This will kill so many peoples outlets. crime in young people will rise. this is going to ruin so many things.Paul Carrera

2232. net neutrality, san antonio, TX, 78259

I support net neutrality. Cooperations in America should not be able to limit my freedom of information

2233. Rachel Carneglia, Austin, TX, 78704

PLEASE protect Net Neutrality by keeping Title II in place. We cannot allow for-profit companies to dictate the way we use a public utility.

2234. Aidan Potts, Austin, TX, 78745

The internet has been my gateway to escape bullying, depression, and life it's self. Having my true happiness restricted would be the end of me, and it would be best to be free.

2235. Miguel Villarama, San Antonio, TX, 78209

Destroying Net Neutrality would be an affront to the freedom of netizens like us. The internet is one of the last true safe havens for human activity. It is the one place where everything has an equal chance to exist whether it be personal blogs, streaming services, artist portfolios, charity organizations, etc. Everything on this virtual world has an equal chance of thriving because of the free roads of Net Neutrality. I will not stand by and let our government and service providers limit the freedoms of millions of internet activities just because they don't think it is profitable, especially when it comes with the possibility that we will be

monitored NSA-style and our privacies be violated. It would be like restricting every average joe to walk one long arduous path to whatever destination he wants while big companies have to charge us for shortcuts to said destination. Destroying Net Neutrality would be pretty much destroying another one of the freedoms that we actually still have on this earth. But hey, freedom is something that isn't profitable, right? If Net Neutrality dies, then you can bet we'll fight for it, even if it means taking the entire internet down with us.

2236. Orion Weldon, Spicewood, TX, 78669

Maintaining Net-Neutrality is vitally important to equitable access to free speech in this country ensured by the constitution. It is also essential to the economic growth of start-up business, as we as our economic prosperity into the future.

2237. Neumeyer, Frederick, Austin, TX, 78704

The FCC is spending resources to solve a problem that does not exist. Instead, the FCC will create a problem. Since bandwidth is limited, a single company could purchase a large portion such that others would be essentially blocked out. Imagine we allowed this with city plumbing systems. The rich could buy up a large portion of the sewage bandwidth, using a series of valves that they've now inserted into the system, which don't need to be there except for this reason, and now the average user has his toilet overflow daily unless he uses it between midnight and 2am. This is an obvious example of the FCC being in the pocket of big business. We the people are speaking out. It is government by the people, for the people. It is not government by big business, for big business. We see through your facade. To say that ISPs will not use this to their benefit (and the detriment of their competitors) is an outright lie. This has already been demonstrated with Comcast throttling Netflix and AT&T blocking FaceTime. We know what the ISPs want, and we know what the people want. They are at odds. The FCC is currently trying to pass legislation in favor of the ISPs over the will of the people. I stand in protest.

2238. Frank Vernon, San Antonio, TX, 78232

Please do not go through with this. We are a non-profit and this would hurt us greatly. This is not what the internet was intended for.

2239. Jorge, san antonio, TX, 78230

FCC director Ajit Pai, WE THE PEOPLE, your boss want "NET NEUTRALITY." We demand it since it is now a requirement to express our freedom of speech. If you allow of ISPs to set parameters that controls speed and other things, I will personally organize a group of citizens to sue YOU personally in the court of law for violating our constitutional rights. Let me remind you that part of your oath to office is to uphold The Constitution. The Constitution is nothing with out WE THE PEOPLE. The decisions you make are

supposed to benefit US(THE PEOPLE) and not the lobbyist filling your pockets.

2240. Andrew Nguyen, San Antonio, TX, 78259

It's already hard enough for my family, what with college debts and bills. don't do this to the internet. Please.

2241. Hunter H, San Antonio, TX, 78209

The last thing we need is to monetize a previously neutral area of our culture. If we do, the wealth will flow from the many into a very few's pockets. If this bill passes, it only proves that our elected officials are not for the people, but for the people that fill their wallets. Do the right thing.

2242. Erik Shrunk, Buda, TX, 78610

The internet needs to remain free and open!

2243. Bryan Bowers, Austin, TX, 78748

I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 of ISPs. We need to keep the internet strong & open.

2244. Lorenzo S Garza, San Antonio, TX, 78209

Listen FCC I am an American who uses the internet every day, whether its to play video games, watch shows/movies, contact games, or watch porn I am concerned about how you guys are taking a stance about Net Neutrality. If you remove Net Neutrality it will allow internet providers to charge us consumers no matter what site it is and if we decline they will block the site or slow down the process for the site, which considering how the world is becoming more chaotic and fucked up is bad (I want to be able to watch my no no's without having to pay so). Thank you for reading this.From, Lorenzo GarzaLorenzo S Garza

2245. Dylan, Austin, TX, 78748

I think that dismantling the existing regulations on current ISPs is an incredibly poor decision. Current restrictions are in place to guarantee that everyone has the opportunity to enjoy the internet without having to pay premiums regardless of where they live. I am for net neutrality and always will be.

2246. Gabe Kirchner, Austin, TX, 78745

A free and open internet is the greatest technology of our time. Control should not be at the mercy of corporations. Traffic shaping is a technology that when monetized will destroy the internet as we know it. Just so some rich corporation can turn a better Q3 profit. It is obscene and anti-democratic. This is a money grab and there is no benefit to the vast majority of internet users.

2247. Megan Stillman, Austin, TX, 78745

I am writing to urge you to keep the Open Internet (Net Neutrality) Rules in

place. As an American I hold very dear my rights to freedom of speech and press, as well as access to research, scholarship, knowledge, and information of all kinds. Without an Open Internet, my access, and that of my fellow patriots, will be restricted to that which our Internet Service Providers, major conglomerate corporations, choose. Information and knowledge should be free and open to all, regardless of the source of that information or the amount of money one can pay for it. A well informed and free-speaking society is one of the very tenets on which America was founded, and to restrict us will harm only America and its citizens. I worry for the future of our great nation if we are to begin restricting such valuable freedoms to the American people.

2248. John Owens, San Antonio, TX, 78261

Please preserve net neutrality under title II. This is critical to the free market of both ideas and commerce on the internet.

2249. Net neutrality, San Marcos, TX, 78666

Keep the net neutral, is tv next with you allowing only some in color and or HD.. Same difference yes?

2250. Brooke Follett, Austin, TX, 78735

I urge you to keep net neutrality in place and to keep the updated definition of broadband. To not do so would be an injustice to the American people and a violation of our rights. By altering neutrality and giving power of decision to cable companies, you are preventing the common American from presenting themselves fully on the internet.

2251. John Huntington, Austin, TX, 78745

I encourage the FCC and Chairman Pai in the strongest possible terms to maintain the Title II status of internet service and current Net Neutrality protections. I, like most Americans, use internet-based services for almost everything. Filing taxes, finding employment, communicating with health care providers, keeping in touch with friends and family. I also work at a small independent business which does a significant portion of its sales and marketing online - business which could be crippled by special service fees charged by ISP tiered price plans aimed at extracting profits from larger entities. We've already seen definitively that lesser net neutrality protection - guidelines applied to internet service as a commercial service rather than a necessary public utility - does not and will not hold up in court. Ending Title II protection for internet service would be handing entire responsibility for an essential public service to companies lobbying for just such a move in order to increase their own profits. The largest of these lobbyists, Comcast, is notable for its inability to maintain consistent coverage in its monopolistic service areas and for its notoriously unhelpful and unresponsive customer service. These are not the people we want in charge of determining what communications are important to Americans, and

what speech should have to be paid more or less for to be transmitted. Indeed, the entire idea of charging differentially for different speech is profoundly un-American. In addition to discriminating against small businesses and the self-employed, differential data pricing also threatens a vital component of American strength and competitiveness: research. Researchers rely on a free and open internet to share information, and research budgets do not always allow for extra expenses. In an era when American economic power is waning and our national reputation for innovation is seen as fading into irrelevance, it's more important than ever to keep channels open to keep us in the lead technologically. Maintaining net neutrality is vital for the good of the nation and the rights, freedoms, and well-being of the people. It is entirely in line with the core mission of the FCC. Please maintain or, better, strengthen net neutrality provisions under Title II. Thank you.

2252. Maria Calcagno, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Help the small internet companies to survive. Help the media class to survive.
2253. Joshua Bussell, San Antonio, TX, 78230
I support net neutrality because allowing internet service providers to throttle their customers at their own whim, is detrimental to my future. I am a self-taught, future game designer, and throttling my internet speed interferes with my education.
2254. Peggy Irwin, San Antonio, TX, 78259
Keep Net neutrality for all.
2255. JeMaine Benjamin, Austin, TX, 78748
Relaxing Net neutrality is not the way to go.
2256. jacob collins, Kerrville, TX, 78028
Don't end net neutrality it will kill innovation and it will allow big business to ruin the internet like it has ruined the real worldjacob collins
2257. Todd Day, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Keep net neutrality. I want the next generation to have the freedom of the Internet how it is now.
2258. Gethin Nyren, Austin, TX, 78704
Reject Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal to hand the telecom giants like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon free rein to engage in data discrimination, stripping Internet users of the meaningful access and privacy protections we fought for and so recently won. I'm worried about creating a tiered Internet with "fast lanes" for certain sites or services because Oh great more potential for exploiting Americans. Thankfully, the current Open Internet rules ensure that Internet providers can't slow or block Internet users' ability

to see certain web services or create Internet "fast lanes" by charging websites and online services money to reach customers faster. That's the right kind of forward-looking approach to ensure the Internet remains a level playing field that benefits consumers and small businesses as well as entrenched Internet companies. The proposed repeal of the rules would help turn Internet providers into Internet gatekeepers with the ability to veto new innovation and expression. That's not how the Internet was built, and that's not what we want. In reading the FCC's explanation of the current initiative to rollback oversight of conglomerate ISP's I copied one particular line. "By exploring ways to reduce needless red tape". Out of context, yes, however a dangerous assertion designed to lead the naive to believe that regulation is inherently bad. However, if you actually believe that giving profit factories like Verizon and TWC free reign over the internet will not result in immediate censorship and exploitation of small businesses and the average citizen, it is the FCC who is being naive. We built institutions like the FCC to protect us from those who consider their own interests above those of the masses, and to that end, you are either with us or against us. For many Americans, "freedom" to live the life we wish, is rapidly dissolving due to the nearsighted decisions of morally bankrupt bureaucrats. Too long money and greed have ruled this nation and the lives of those who call it home. I implore you to rise above. Break the mold. Protect the open internet or be remembered for encouraging discrimination, censorship, exploitation and quite frankly a blatant disregard for the people of this country.

2259. timothy brown, Austi, TX, 78704

Please fight to keep the net neutral. It's so vital to have a level playing field for new business and innovation. I have many friends with small internet business who could not pay nearly as much as the 'giants' for the bandwidth and presence online.

2260. Evan Wegner , San Antonio, TX, 78261

I'll be honest, I like my internet AS IT IS and I'd rather not pay to access certain websites. It's just scummy, this whole garbage about getting rid of net neutrality is garbage. I want to keep it. I like my internet the way it is, and if isn't that way for the rest of my life, things aren't gonna go well in the long run. The fact that something like this was even brought up is just stupid, getting rid of net neutrality violates our privacy, the people's privacy. Just whatever happens, don't. Just don't. Most of America practically needs the internet to live. Limiting access and seeing what people search up, just lowest of the low. We go to the internet to escape the horrific place that is the real world. Either to tell funny stories or read them. Or to vent when no one you know in real life will listen. I haven't dug too deep into this whole thing mainly cause I'm not big on politics, but all I know is that if whatever law gets passed will screw up the internet for everyone. In my opinion, I hate whoever had the stupid idea to begin

with.Evan Wegner

2261. David Blevins, San Antonio, TX, 78247
Don't destroy freedom. Quit pretending the free market hasn't been corrupted. There is no positive aspect of this. If you wish to create more unrest and agitate the populace further then yes do it. I understand that no one really does something out of pure malice. Whatever you think or have been convinced is to gain is a misconception. So please before you look back on this as a regret, look to yourself because I know something somewhere has told you this is a bad idea. Let this be a affirmation to that sign or feeling. David Blevins
2262. Sarah Wilson, Austin, TX, 78703
There is already enough controlled content with the ads that are surfaced. Internet is written forms of communication...written speech. Our freedom of speech should continue on to the internet. We should have the freedom to read, find, use, explore any content that is on the internet. I support net neutrality.
2263. John Vaniyapurakal, San Antonio, TX, 78261
Let me speak to you in the language of my people, memeshttps://img-9gag-fun.9cache.com/photo/aAD1Xwo_700b.jpgDo not touch the people's internet. If you dare touch this, people will revolt. We are more passionate about the internet than TrumpRussia and Hillary's emails combined. Do not h*ck with something we need every day.
2264. Jaron Castilleja, New Braunfels, TX, 78132
There is no reason for allowing ISPs to police themselves with false promises not to ever introduce traffic prioritization in the future. I urge you to check out the website <https://www.comcastroturf.com/>, which shows that Comcast STOLE the identities of its customers in order to post fake comments against Title II regulation for the industry, using buzzwords like "Obama" and "power-grab." Please don't sell the citizens of this country out.
2265. Cindy Heifner, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624
Stop this nonsense! We as a nation are better than this - stick with net neutrality!
2266. Charles Coon, Spring Branch, TX, 78070
I need net neutrality so that I can aquire multiple explanations for the subjects I am studying in college to become an accountant. With out net neutrality, my choices will be extremely limited and I am most likely to fail out.
2267. Cynthia Dorfler-Hederer, Manchaca, TX, 78652
I do not want the FCC to gut Net Neutrality. It is fundamental to free speech and without net neutrality, big companies could and will censor people and perspectives online. They are already trying to do this. Net neutrality has been called the "First Amendment of the Internet."It also protects small businesses

and innovators who are just getting started and without net neutrality, creators and entrepreneurs could struggle to reach new users. Investment in new ideas would dry up and only the big companies would survive, stifling innovation. Affecting the economy of the country. Net neutrality allows consumers & not big companies "to choose what they watch & do online. Do what is right and protect it.

2268. Eric Z. Willman, Austin, TX, 78748

The Internet is an essential infrastructure, The national economy would be severely harmed if the ability of Internet content, service, and application providers to reach consumers was frustrated by interference from broadband telecommunications network operators. We must stop would-be gatekeepers from re-routing the free-flowing Web for their own agenda and profit, and to protect our right to free speech under the First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States, the United States must doggedly defend a clear policy preserving the open nature of Internet communications.

2269. Aaron, Austin, TX, 78704

The internet has been and should always be free, corporations are doing fine how they are. They don't need to stick their nose into the people's personal business just for some extra pocket lining.

2270. William Turner, Kerrville, TX, 78028

I believe the American people are being monitored and controlled enough already. We do not need large companies that is only concerned with their bottom line controlling the internet. What we need is a way to subscribe to the stations we want. We do not need packages where most of the channels are garbage.

2271. Brock Mangold, San Antonio, TX, 78232

Net neutrality is vital to the lifeblood and success of the internet as a medium for business, sharing of innovative ideas, and as a place where everyone is free to speak their mind about anyone or thing. Without net neutrality, small websites would be jeopardized, big companies could censor people or perspectives, and the freedom of the internet user to choose what they watch, explore, or use would be taken away. Please, for the sake of a free internet that benefits us all, protect net neutrality.

2272. Paula Smuck, Austin, TX, 78741

Keep net neutrality. Stop corporate monopoly takeover of media.

2273. Jeremy Coates, Austin, TX, 78748

With the internet being a must-have resource in our lives it is imperative that Net Neutrality be protected. It is very clear at this time that the FCC in its current iteration is dedicated to only handing an unfair advantage to companies

with the financial clout to rig the internet in their favor. This election cycle this likely be the top voting influence for me.

2274. Joshua Savior, austin, TX, 78705

Net neutrality is a killing blow to anyone trying to make a name for them selves in the world. If you filter and throttle any content its just more money to the people in control of the pipeline. Who gives you the right to do that? This is absolutely insane we have to defend our rights for a free web.

2275. Kristin Daum, Austin, TX, 78741

My company relies entirely on the web to do our work. The internet is a necessary public resource and must be protected. Without net neutrality, the only people who win are huge corporations (the ISPs). American businesses and individuals will be the losers. Please don't sell us out!

2276. Paul Hawkins, Austin, TX, 78736

My professional career has been during and due to the development of the Internet. Removing net neutrality will cause the USA to be less innovative and allow the desires of a few to come to fruition at the cost to the many.

2277. Bart Rogers, Boerne , TX, 78006

Restrictions applied in every sense to control or administrate from a central authority can never be unbiased when a economy is increasingly more wanting to embrace the net business model. The net is no place to legislate or dictate who shall deserve freedom of speech. The FCC has a legacy of bad policy moves always giving in to interest other than the general public ..Most of US citizens believe the Big corporate mob are terrible to do business with and have no credibility..Giving power to these groups make the FCC guilty by association..We all know it's a censorship move.. A monster of a un sustainable business model....NO ..stay out of free market ideas..the net does not belong to a group of special players that get paid to suppress thought and innovation, then stick a meter on it to charge for a peek.. ...

2278. Brian Mayfield, Austin, TX, 78748

Net Neutrality ensures an open market where new ideas, new industries, and new technologies can flourish without being choked out by large corporate greed entities.

2279. Parker Nagle, Austin, TX, 78705

The internet cannot be a victim of powerful lobbies - net neutrality is too important and the consequences are too devastating. This is a constitutional issue of free speech, it affects worldwide communication and commerce, and impacts technological innovation. PLEASE don't give our internet away.

2280. Vel, San Antonio, TX, 78213

Neutrality must be protected because it is our right to view anything and everything on the internet. Stop trying to capitalize on my clicks and views!

2281. Janet Jeanpierre, Bulverde, TX, 78163
Net Neutrality is my First Amendment right.
2282. Angela Smith, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624
To Whom It May Concern, Thank you for allowing me to voice my concern regarding net neutrality. As a small business owner and single mom, the internet has been a true friend when I needed it the most. I have not only been able to share new ideas with perspective customers, I have been able to learn quickly and efficiently all that I have needed to provide for myself. I appreciate that innovation in all areas of commerce has a free place to be expressed and I believe that without net neutrality, our world will experience a myriad of unintended consequences. Thank you for NOT imposing stifling rules that would gut net neutrality.
2283. Robert Henderson, San Antonio, TX, 78232
Keep net neutrality the way it is to allow for freedom of all expression and information exploration around the world.
2284. Nancy N Anguiano, San Antonio, TX, 78217
Please keep Net neutrality because I don't want other companies to see what I watch.
2285. Robyn Herry, San Marcos, TX, 78666
Net neutrality helps maintain citizen equality. Keep the internet free!
2286. Rob Hutchins, Austin, TX, 78745
Net neutrality is very important and necessary to the average US citizen. Do not allow big business to take it away, please!!!!
2287. Anita Crane, Kerrville, TX, 78028
Neutrality is American equal rights.
2288. Sean Hanko, Austin, TX, 78701
Please, leave the internet alone. Do not turn back the clock on these regs. Net neutrality is important to me as I am sure it is to almost all of the citizens. Only special interests would benefit from the changes you are considering.
2289. M. Camero, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Keep the net neutral. Keep this venue open to expand education and exploring!
Keep free speech!
2290. May Schmidt, Austin, TX, 78703

We need to preserve open access to information.

2291. kirsten, Austin, TX, 78735
net neutrality is worth protecting!
2292. Brendan Blanks , Austin, TX, 78741
Net neutrality is absolutely crucial to ensure protection of free speech and a fair and open market in today's society. As a US citizen, I strongly urge you to support sustainment of current net neutrality protections; this is our essential rights on the line. There's a reason it's the very first amendment!
2293. Chris Gallo, Manchaca, TX, 78652
What might it be like to look back in 50 years and pinpoint these rules as an event that dimmed the progress of civilization? New rules stripping freedom and not even pluralistic enough to be considered part of this democracy.
2294. Daniel Reed, Austin, TX, 78741
I am in support of Net Neutrality. Don't let corporations dictate content and bandwidth. Don't let the US slowly turn into China with a throttled censored internet.
2295. Doc Hecker, San Antonio, TX, 78261
The open internet represents the epitome of "Freedom of Expression" as guaranteed by the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America ... it should not be regulated or abridged without the consent of the People.
2296. Anthony Reed, austin, TX, 78735
Net neutrality is vital, do not abandon your people.
2297. Susan Sommers, Kerrville, TX, 78028
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Don't take the net away from people and give it to big corporations. As it is now we have plenty of free market advertising and we pay for the connection. Leave it that way.
2298. Stephen Mohacey III, San Antonio, TX, 78259
The internet is the greatest marvel of the modern age. It is the largest library ever constructed, encompassing the entirety of human knowledge. It is the largest forum ever constructed, inviting conversation from every corner of the globe. It is the largest stadium ever constructed, featuring uncountable entertainments both familiar and exotic. It doesn't need a scalper selling tickets, it doesn't need an usher evicting innocent attendees, and it certainly doesn't need a Bowdleriser deciding what gets to be shown to whom.
2299. Randall Houston, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624

Because of the actions and behavior of congress and most other "trusted" federal agencies during the past eight years, and before; I expect the lobbyist for the mega communications companies have already bought the internet, as of yet it, just hasn't been delivered. The ethics and moral values in most federal agencies has been diminishing steadily for decades, especially since the "cold war" was WON? Freedom, on all sides continues to be restricted in reality, but is broadcast to the nation of the United States as remaining intact and even increasing. Look at the freedom in South Texas; there's so much of it that the republic has almost vanished!

2300. Dorothy Branton, Kerrville, TX, 78028

Net neutrality protects freedom of speech. It allows the citizen in free countries to discern for themselves what is true, what is reliable, what is accurate, what is biased, or what is propaganda. Free citizens must be informed to be fully responsible for their life choices, for their personal and political governance.

2301. Raul Trevino-Ibanez, Buda, TX, 78610

I grew up in the era of DSL. The internet was as strange to me as a new language. Through middle and high school, I learned how to navigate myself around the internet to find whatever information I needed or wanted. It's safe to say that the internet is like another school, yet never ends and you can never learn enough from it and it's participants. I fear the day when we place such restricting limits on curiosity that is so important to the inquisitive minds needing a helping hand. In a time where conglomerates and monopolies are the norm and seem to always grow more controlling, please, let us have this.

2302. Marjorie Cobb, New Braunfels, TX, 78130

In this day and age the internet is a vehicle for free speech. Net neutrality must be protected in order to maintain the rights to speak freely in the modern era.

2303. Carla Staufert, Austin , TX, 78748

To whom it may concern, As a private citizen and tax paying one. I want you, the governing people of the FCC to leave net neutrality alone and don't sell us to the highest controlling companies like, ATT, Verizon, T-MOBIL, and any other money driven companies to control the content of the internet as long as it does not harm anyone. As a taxpayer and private citizen I hope my opinion is heard and counted when making decisions that affect the public domain. Sincerely, Carla Staufert

2304. Chris Pieper, Austin, TX, 78745

As an entrepreneur in technology, the only way to guarantee the ability for us to succeed, is to guarantee the openness of the web. Don't sacrifice the future innovations of the internet, by signing its future over to the large ISP's. Keep the net neutral!

2305. Eric Broome, Austin, TX, 78741
Net neutrality is crucial and was the whole PURPOSE of creating the internet as we know it today. Keep control of the internet within the USA as well as out of the hands of private interests.
2306. Ralf Buschmann, Austin, TX, 78748
Please preserve Net neutrality so that the average joe can have a voice that can be heard over the screaming. it's not perfect but better than the big companies alone controlling us. Who's going to control them? This Government? Ha!
2307. Matt Donaldson, Austin, TX, 78745
Small businesses require an open internet to reach the audiences that keep them afloat. Don't let big providers be gatekeepers for our digital marketplace.
2308. Camille Adams, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133
The internet is the last frontier where freedom and liberty for individual rights still rule...if you can afford the cable bill that is. It's a "pay to play" business model. If the big companies threaten to throttle back download speed, for which I pay for, like in any other market the economic rule of substitution will kick in. My substitution is go have sex with my husband. Thank you for the space to comment, Camille Adams
2309. Andre Korenak, Austin, TX, 78735
Net Neutrality is the principal that prevents monopolistic and oligopolistic censorship of the internet through subversive means. Basically if we get rid of it the thing we lose is freedom. Of speech, of thought, and of information. Freedom, the cornerstone of our democratic republic. Don't let us lose it.
2310. Earl Eubanks, San Antonio, TX, 78217
No company should be allowed to control what should be free communications.
2311. CJ Dukes, SAN Antonio, TX, 78230
The internet belongs to the people to stay informed & to grown intellectually so we can continue to create a better tomorrow. The internet is a right just light clean water & electricity. STOP allowing big companies to brib there way into stealing our rights.
2312. Bryant Conaway, San Marcos, TX, 78666
The FCC's open internet rules are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them.
2313. Ed Yoo, Austin, TX, 78745
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Monetary interests should ***NOT*** be the focal point of legislation or restriction for a medium such as the internet due to its need for free speech and its ability to reach others.

2314. Harry Theus, San Antonio, TX, 78209
I've build my career in the technology industry here in the United States working for small software companies. They will not have a chance if you destroy net neutrality. Do not give away America's technology jobs to other countries. Keep the internet open to all!
2315. Jennifer Gooding, Austin, TX, 78704
Net neutrality in 2017 is as important as a free press. Your voters are watching and won't forget your actions today.
2316. R Ohman, San Antonio, TX, 78209
The forces that would diminish net neutrality is another example of the continual attempts to increase the gap between haves and have nots by shills of corporations and the rich.
2317. Susej Tsrhc, Austin, TX, 78704
NET NEUTRALITY IS IMPORTANT TO ALL HUMANS. The desires of a few powerful people in big corporations (who only want more money and control) ARE NOT AS IMPORTANT AS FREEDOM on the internet for all Americans, Humanz, etc. Keep the internet open! Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech!!! Net neutrality protects creators and small businesses!!! Net neutrality puts the power to the people, not to the large corporations controlling information.
2318. Hayden Yates, Kyle, TX, 78640
In this world of fast technology and communication, expression must remain equal whether you are an individual or a corporation.
2319. Tripp Holden, San Antonio, TX, 78259
Media outlets and channels are already tightly governed by big business; consumers should have the right to choose what they want.
2320. Beth Koenig, Austin, TX, 78701
Leave net neutrality alone! This is our free space, and we don't need corporations controlling it!
2321. Sriram Venkatesh, Austin, TX, 78701
Net neutrality must be protected since we as consumers need to have control on our data.
2322. Loretta Blackburn, San Antonio, TX, 78232
Since the government can't handle what it already thinks it's in charge of, why would it want to add the internet regulation to its plate? Leave my internet alone.

2323. vanessa smith, austin, TX, 78745
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Net neutrality is our right and is a big part of what makes this country the innovate and abundant country that it is. We will not stand for anything else! Your position is to protect the PEOPLE, not the companies.
2324. Devin Ripps, Boerne, TX, 78006
The internet needs to be free in order to continue to flourish. If net neutrality is taken away it will be the end of free speech were everyone is equal
2325. Cody McDonald, Ingram, TX, 78025
We spend the majority of our lives working and being told what to do. There is no reason we should enable big companies to monopolize our free time as well. We are individual, free-thinking human beings--not programmable robots that dispense money.
2326. TCL, austin, TX, 78745
Leava net neutrality alone. It is fundamental to our freedom of speech. You guys make enough money so go bark down another tree!
2327. Shawn Stoller, Austin, TX, 78749
Knowledge is power. However, if you allow ISP to impact the performance to different sites, you limit access to education.
2328. Patricia A Scott, Manchaca, TX, 78652
I do not want "Big Business" deciding what I can or cannot say or do on the Internet. Those decisions are mine. I want the Freedom to decide, with no Business giving me their opinion of where and what I should be going and / or saying.
2329. Russell Smith, San Marcos, TX, 78666
Net neutrality is on of the last areas where we can see information that is not "spoon fed". Yes a lot is erroneous and lies, but we have the chance to dig deeper into the information and learn more sides to every story. The ongoing media fight over the last election is a prime example of what happens when the main stream media wants to push an agenda. Please do not allow the cable providers dictate what we see, hear or learn. There is a path to profitability within the current system and and bet someone will find it and make this whole discussion mute. Thanks, Russell Smith
2330. S Patton, San Antonio, TX, 78232
Net neutrality benefits the little guy - individual consumers and small companies. Protect us from big companies who have the upper hand in survivability if you hand over the internet to for-profit companies. Do the right thing! Keep the net neutral.

2331. Tracey Knouse, Spring Branch, TX, 78070
Net neutrality must be protected and kept. Removing it would be an invasion of privacy in violation of our constitution and loss of personal privacy.
2332. Sarah Hemmi, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Net neutrality must be protected to keep our largest information resource open and equal for everyone.
2333. Luc Alexander, Austin, TX, 78741
The internet is no longer an option for many people and for many, is a source of income. Passing this means limiting people who rely on the internet for income and this will surely slow progress for our society. Please think hard and long about what this will effect in the long term, and for the smaller cog in the machine; the people and the consumers. Luc Alexander
2334. Hayes Converse, Austin, TX, 78703
I strongly oppose the FCC chairperson's plan to roll back net neutrality regulations. Internet service providers are not obligated to act in the best interest of their customers, and their regulation in protection of the American people is a duty of the American government. In addition, a free and open Internet is critical to some of the ideas upon which our national identity is based: fairness, equality, and evenhanded access to information. The FCC should continue to classify ISPs under Title II, and thus continue to safeguard the Internet-using citizens of the Republic.
2335. Rechar De La Chapa, Spring Branch, TX, 78070
I'll put what I can regardless of my lack of intelligence. Net neutrality is incredibly important to the internet society. Ridding of it would not only destroy the internet, but could cause much worse in the real world. I shouldn't have to explain what would happen. We shouldn't have to pay to speed up every single online search we do. Think of how many people this would affect, and the type of people this would be affecting. (This letter went nowhere, but as I said, lack of intelligence) Please, for the sake of our sanity, don't do this. The internet is a (Well, MOSTLY) beautiful place. Don't destroy it with one mistake. We're doing fine with the rule, I don't see why you would want to rid of it. Please, don't do it. ~CRechar De La Chapa
2336. Paul Morana, Austin, TX, 78741
I support Net Neutrality in its current form because it has allowed me to create my own online business. I support a variety of clients who advertise and promote their businesses through various online means, and if the regulation of the internet is changed in such a way that companies would need to pay additional to get their voices heard, I'm afraid that I would lose a significant portion of my business. The free and open internet without "fast lanes" that would give those with deep pockets an advantage is very important to me. When

I signed up for my internet connection, it is to access a variety of services that aren't provided by my ISP. So if the ISP were to limit what resources I can use, and at what speeds, that would be detrimental to my online usage. I wouldn't be able to switch ISPs because most places I've lived in this area don't offer a choice of ISPs, so there is no competition. I don't believe that lifting the Title 2 regulation will do anything other than harming consumers, and small businesses. And the argument that these regulations stifle investment and innovation by small ISPs is also void.

https://www.eff.org/files/2017/06/27/isp_letter_to_fcc_on_nn_privacy_title_ii.pdf Do not allow corporations to gain more footing than they already have, leave the internet regulations alone. Thank you, Paul

2337. Rebekah, San Antonio, TX, 78209

I am writing in support of Net Neutrality and in opposition to the current proposal to allow companies to tell us what we are and are not allowed (or able to afford) to do on the internet.

2338. Stefanie Fix, Austin, TX, 78704

I support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. I submit my public comment to oppose Chairman Pai proposal to reverse net neutrality protections.

2339. Aaron Spencer, San Marcos, TX, 78666

My home internet is already as slow as it pretty much can be, why make it worse by letting ISPs slow it down more if they don't make more money from what I do on the internet?

2340. Gerardo VILLA, San Antonio, TX, 78213

YOU CANNOT CONTROL WHAT IS SEEN ONLINE. THE INTERNET IS A RIGHT, NOT JUST A UTILITY THAT IS GOVERNED. PLEASE KEEP THE NET NEUTRAL
Gerardo VILLA

2341. Leon Houston II, Bulverde, TX, 78163

The rules that are in place are enough for the control of the internet. Anything more is classism.

2342. Michael Porter, Austin, TX, 78748

Free speech. The internet is DEFINITELY a part of that, and scrapping our rights to give money to a corporation is not right. Actually, it smacks of some communist countries control.

2343. Lewis Cook, Austin, TX, 78745

Mr. Pai, you could do your best by simply not doing anything. Let it be. If you want your 15 minutes of fame, then consider your legacy. To so readily support 'big internet' corporate interests is very telling about your capacity as a 'public' servant. Please show some humility and don't try to fix something that isn't

broken, but will be by pressing the demise of net neutrality. The American people deserve something they can have faith in. They deserve what the majority of open societies around the globe have, which is fairness, openness and NEUTRALITY. Please protect our interests and not those of Verizon and others who only care about profits and shareholders, not the Greater Good.

2344. Sheila Rosart, San Antonio, TX, 78212

Destroying net neutrality amounts to censorship, data manipulation and betraying the American people to benefit corporate communications and ISP companies.

2345. Alston Daergel, San Antonio, TX, 78258

I just started watching "Master of None" on Netflix, and I can't have that taken away from me. Please keep net neutrality for all the binge-watchers of America.

2346. Raul Silva, San Antonio, TX, 78229

Please preserve net neutrality as it is. We cannot have ISPs have the power to favor or block content, products or services. This would be detrimental to the very essence of our great country; freedom.

2347. William O'Brien, San Antonio, TX, 78230

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are needed and should be protected and expanded. The internet must be open and access should be equal across all sites, browsers and ISPs. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their

voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! William O'Brien

2348. Collin Vauk, Austin, TX, 78749

this will only hurt the consumer and give more power to the big corporations. This will make an enormous monopoly and destroy freedom of speech by ISPs being able to censor information they don't like. Vote this down. Collin Vauk

2349. Serena, Austin, TX, 78704

I strongly oppose the new Chairman's proposal to reverse net neutrality protections. A free and open internet is vital for our democracy, for our businesses, and for our daily lives. It would give giant internet companies the power to prioritize what we interact with online. Saying that the companies will have self control and do what's best for the public is silly. Of course they won't; their priority is shareholders and therefore their bottom line. Don't let net neutrality change.

2350. Venecia Kelly, Austin, TX, 78748

I pay my ISP for fast and reliable access to content of my choosing. I do not want (nor should it) my freedom of choice to be undermined by giving my current or future ISP the power to limit or slow down access to websites I want, and instead, steer me to websites of an ISP's choosing. The regulation should remain as it exists to assure that my freedom of access also remains intact. I continue to struggle with the idea of limited government that results in power of a few over the masses. In other words, deregulation of this and other issues becomes the regulation of many. Do the right thing by American Internet users.

2351. Gene Reshanov, Austin, TX, 78748

I pay my ISP for fast and reliable access to content of my choosing. I do not want my freedom of choice to be undermined by giving any ISP the power to limit or slow down my access to websites I want, and instead, steer me to websites of their choosing. The regulation should remain as it exists to assure that my freedom of choice also remains intact.

2352. Margery Hines, Austin, TX, 78703

I am writing today, to request that the internet remain protected by Title II. When I was studying for my PhD in electrical engineering, the obscure websites that I needed to learn from, were not only available but came through my modem with the same bandwidth as popular sites. In today's troubling political times, where people are more divisive and partisan than ever before, Title II prevents the ISPs from slowing down, or worse, blocking completely, a news

organization that can't pay a fee or has political leanings that disagrees with the ISP. I understand that some have said, "well if an ISP blocks these sites, you can chose another ISP" but I would like to emphasize that I do not get to chose my ISP. In the last 10 years I have leaved in 4 states, and at 6 different locations. At each location I have only had one broadband ISP available to me, and this is a problem for millions of Americans (as made evident by the FCC's Internet Access Services report from 2016). And so, I am forced to pick the best package that the 1 ISP has available for me. Usually this means the 50Gbps download speed, since I live most of my life on the internet - whether it be for work where I need to VPN in to our corporate office, or for gaming with my friends online because I chose to move 1000+ miles away from them. And what I expect for my \$50 per month, is that I can use my internet and every single website will be accessed using 50Gbps download speed. Imagine if our electric companies told us that you can pay for electricity, but we chose which appliances can get power. Then the electricity company makes a deal with Samsung that they will only send electric to Samsung TVs, and they will block the electric going to LGs and Panasonics. Or better yet, we'll only power the new 4K screens, and Samsung will give us a cut of each sale because we have now forced our customers into buying their latest \$5000 TVs. No one would stand for this. But that would be possible with one of the most powerful tools of the 21st centry -- the internet. I understand that Ajit V. Pai argues that the hypothetical possibilities of removing Title II are just that, hypotheticals. But I think it is a terrible argument to assume that once Title II is removed these exploitive business practices won't occur. We have already seen evidence of ISPs blocking, and slowing sites. And in the end, ISPs are businesses whose only responsibility is to earn their shareholders the most money that they can. Please keep the internet protected by Title II.

2353. Kristin O'Neill, Austin, TX, 78748

I support Net Neutrality and oppose any legislation that would weaken or remove it.

2354. deborah mersky, johnson city, TX, 78636

Net neutrality is essential to maintaining the balance between the power of corporations and the individual citizen. Clearly net neutrality promotes true democracy, it MUST be protected.

2355. Lance Higdon, Comfort, TX, 78013

Our country's prosperity and security is built on the basis of our freedoms--of the press, of speech, of belief, and of association. Unrestricted, untiered access to and dissemination of information is crucial to maintain those freedoms. For this reason, it is imperative that the FCC reject regulations that grant undue advantage to select corporations and, rather, maintain net neutrality for every citizen.

2356. Wayne Graefen, Kerrville, TX, 78028
Freedom of individual expression is, in every consideration, superior to control by anyone else and the consequences of the statement are owned by the individual.
2357. John Raba, San Antonio, TX, 78261
Net neutrality must be protected in order to catalyze innovation, protect free speech, and grow private enterprise.
2358. Jessica Reese-White, Austin, TX, 78741
Net neutrality supports the individual's right to free speech, allows consumers to choose what they do online, and creates equality for big and small businesses. It allows a free and equal market, don't allow cable companies to control net traffic.
2359. Michelle Morse, Austin, TX, 78701
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Do not prioritize the needs of big cable companies and take away the freedom of the public.
2360. Gilbert Enriquez-Trevino, San Antonio, TX, 78209
This is America. They plan on taking away our freedom of the internet. What kind of "free" country does that? Net Neutrality will STOP monopoly's and will allow us to have the content WE WANT WHEN WE WANT IT.
2361. Dianne Lindig Lovett, Bandera, TX, 78003
The internet is a necessary part of life for everyone- whether rich or poor, privileged, or under-privileged. It is absolutely imperative that it not become a tool only for the wealthy, or for only the largest companies on earth. Keep it neutral.
2362. Lynn Whitten, Austin, TX, 78704
I support free speech, the little guy vs. giant corporations, and a free market to receive internet services. I don't want regulations that stifle an open market and choices for consumers, including high speed internet access for all. I support net neutrality.
2363. Whitney Torres, Buda , TX, 78610
The internet should not be controlled by corporate greed, as so many things unfortunately are. The internet is a source for all for information and should not be biased based on the revenues of any corporation.
2364. Charles Tabor, San Marcos, TX, 78666
The Internet has become a major component for providing free speech. We cannot allow ISP's the ability to censor and thus suppress people from sharing such views. This censorship could easily affect legitimate news agencies that do

not share the same values as the ISP. Please leave net neutrality alone.

2365. Lesa McFadden, San Antonio, TX, 78216
I believe that net neutrality is central to our First Amendment rights in the age of internet. Large companies should not be able to dictate the content of what I peruse on the internet. The ability to affect download speeds could do just that. Net neutrality allows me, the consumer, to choose the content of what I see on the internet. Isn't this the basis of a free market society?
2366. Steve Baysinger, Spring Branch, TX, 78070
We the People DEMAND the RIGHT TO FREE SPEECH, aka the First Amendment, be respected and observed. Translation: the federal government has no right to interfere with our God-given right of free speech as guaranteed by the Bill of Rights. Ergo, net neutrality MUST remain exactly that-- NEUTRAL!
2367. Scott Wilcox, Austin, TX, 78703
Net neutrality fosters small businesses and innovation. It is fundamental to the first amendment of the US Constitution.
2368. Austin J Richards , Blanco , TX, 78606
If Mozilla, no matter the issue, is aligned with a MORON that is AL Franken I fear that I MUST end ALL association with you. AL Frakes is a left wing communist that is an embarrassment for our great nation. You should be ashamed of yourselves.
2369. Drew, Austin, TX, 78745
I implore you to protect net neutrality! I and many, many people I know are paying attention. I hear people talking about it often. We will not forget. I have also seen INCREDIBLE support for net neutrality today on the internet. I also heard somewhere around 70% of the AMERICAN PEOPLE support net neutrality. I implore you to act in the best interest of the American people. Thank you for your time. Have a good rest of the week,
2370. James Carson, Canyon Lake , TX, 78133
Hey, FCC quite trying to duck your responsibilities. Letting Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon control the internet is the equivalent of allowing the airlines to do their on safety inspections. It is bad policy and you know it. How does Trump support this?
2371. Collin, Austin, TX, 78749
The internet is how I do almost everything from shopping, to entertainment, to keeping up with my friends who are away while in the military or just scattered around the world. Without Net Neutrality, my ability to interact with both the world at large and my family and friends will be severely negatively impacted.

2372. Aaron Noe, San Antonio, TX, 78232
Net Neutrality is too important for any number of words. It offers freedom to consumers, and prevents censorship to the masses. Without that, we might as well be in Nazi Germany.
2373. Terry Carter, San Antonio, TX, 78215
Net neutrality is imperative to keep the internet a place for all - not just those who can afford it. The internet is the great equalizer. I personally know from my work with disadvantaged children that a free internet, unfettered by the financial interests of big companies, levels the field between the poor and the affluent. Please do not allow business interests to impact the free exchange of knowledge and information by undermining or destroying net neutrality.
2374. Joshua Brian Ellis, Kerrville, TX, 78028
Net Neutrality is freedom. It allows many perspectives, and the exchange of information and ideas across the world.
2375. Tymothy Belseth, Austin, TX, 78741
Your generation will try and chain the internet to corporate interests. My generation will work to free it.
2376. Sean Poole, Bulverde, TX, 78163
Freedom to choose
2377. Gregory Blackford, Austin, TX, 78745
Keep the title the same as what Obama did. The internet must remain open and free or it will contribute to worsening inequality in America. Consumers will lose by having to pay more for less or be stuck in the middle of bandwidth throttling by ISPs restricting access to content creators who are forced to pay to deliver content at reasonable speeds.
2378. Sheridan Walker, Garden Ridge, TX, 78266
Isn't life difficult enough as it is? PLEASE don't change our net!
2379. Thomas Booker, San Antonio, TX, 78247
This is the 21st Century, not the 19th. The internet is not a resource to be subject to monopoly. Please cease thinking like a robber baron, and uphold net neutrality. Thank you.
2380. Beth McMahon, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624
Please do not overturn the 2015 regulations. I use the internet daily for work. Throttling the speed of some websites, while leaving other websites, would result in the loss of work place productivity. Please don't make this a game of whoever can pay more for the faster internet speeds gets the faster websites. Who do you really think would win that game? Not your constituents, who

voted for you. And besides, do you think the government is going to splurge so that their websites can be run at a decent speed?

2381. miryam bujanda, san antonio, TX, 78218
Keep internet with current rules. In a democracy, for a civil society, we need equal access in speed, freedom to visit sites and as many as desired, without new rules that make it a tiered, "only for the ultra privileged" who pay for fast, unlimited sites access.
2382. John Leclair, Austin, TX, 78736
In the interest of the public good, convenience and interest. Internet service providers should remain classified as public utilities to ensure fair and free access for all.
2383. David Stricklin, Lakehills, TX, 78063
I am in favor of keeping the internet open and free of the influence of any agency, government or private.
2384. David Reeves, San Antonio, TX, 78209
The Internet is not a privilege anymore, it is a right that everyone has access to. As a right, it should be available to everyone fairly and evenly. To prevent large companies from controlling major parts of the Internet, net neutrality needs to be upheld. Just like equal access for all people, all online websites should have an equal chance of being seen, accessed and used.
2385. David Sabre, Austin, TX, 78705
Net neutrality keeps the control of content in the hands of creators, not corporations. It is fundamental to the continued growth of fair, web-based commerce and the privilege of free exchange of information that millions of Americans hold dear. Net neutrality doesn't favor one group, one ideal, one race, or one party; it is the ability to grant all users the same opportunity online regardless of any of those metrics that divide us.
2386. Michael Carmona, Austin, TX, 78741
I enjoy the freedom to watch what I want, when and where, for as long as I want, without limits. Without, I wouldn't be able to explore archeological treasures, new ideas and entertaining content. LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE!!
2387. Steve Jackson, San Antonio, TX, 78247
Net Neutrality should remain without any changes. I am very concerned that cable alternatives such as Playstation Vue and Sling will be throttled down by ISP providers such as AT&T, Comcast, and Time Warner/Spectrum.
2388. lawerence , san marcos, TX, 78666

It's not right to hand over the internet to corporation's, this is absolute madness.KEEP NET NEUTRALITY

2389. Hal Herrick, Kerrville, TX, 78028

Net Neutrality is the democratic way to go. It is necessary in order to maintain free speech on the Internet, and is the only fair option to treat large and small companies equally.

2390. Kellen Zale, Austin, TX, 78741

I am a lawyer and law professor, and I strongly disagree with the proposed repeal of the bright-line net neutrality rule which prohibits ISPs from blocking, throttling, or engaging in paid prioritization. Contrary to the statements in the FCC's proposal, this bright-line net neutrality rule does not involve over-regulation of the market. Rather, it simply provides a common sense, bright-line rule of the road that access to the internet is open equally to all. The rule prevents anti-competitive behavior by ISPs, which is exactly the type of behavior that harms consumers and which the government should seek to discourage, so that the market - and the internet - can function in the ways that the FCC says it hopes for. The very success stories that the FCC's Fact Sheet celebrates - Facebook, Google, Netflix - might not have become the successes they are if the FCC's "Restoring Internet Freedom" approach were in place when they were small start-up companies, since ISPs could have charged different content providers differently, or blocked them entirely, and thereby stifled innovation. If the FCC repeals the net neutrality rules and returns to the so-called "regulatory light touch" approach, the freedom of the internet the FCC supposedly celebrates will be at risk.

2391. Eric Setter, Austin, TX, 78748

There is no more freedom in land with ownership or regulation gripping what is, the internet is the new open and vastly expandable wilderness. We must treat it with respect and ensure that those who maintain it adhere to ideals that ensure freedom and equal treatment of all traffic. This is vital. Tyranny approaches from all sides, tension must be held.

2392. Judi Free, San Antonio, TX, 78209

As a small business owner, gutting the net neutrality rules would make it difficult for me to compete against larger and more powerful competition, who will be able to spend more money for faster carriage. If the FCC reversed the 2015 determination that broadband ISPs are telecommunications services, which for us is the truth since our business depends on ISPs that offer secure cloud services that house sensitive information and are not just a way to access the internet.

2393. William Ulmer, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133

It's discrimination otherwise.

2394. laura strickland, kerrville, TX, 78028
Do not change net neutrality. A level playing field for everyone is best. Do not ghettoize it. Thank You,Laura Strickland
2395. MaryLee Calmes, Kerrville, TX, 78028
Protect net neutrality. Stay out of regulating my life.
2396. Mert Gokkaya, San Antonio, TX, 78259
Big companies have no place to constrain free market. Individuals should have free will to choose what they want
2397. Larry Rogers, Austin, TX, 78749
A free internet allowed it to grow to the service it is today. It's ironic that the country that created the internet wants to stifle it. Other nations have realized an equal access internet is fundamental to their countries future.
2398. Chris , San Antonio, TX, 78258
Without net neutrality, we will be charged for information. We will be charged (more) to learn. Our ability to learn about different values, thoughts, and cultures will be hindered.
2399. Heather Rosenqvist, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Literally the first amendment of our constitution guarantees all citizens the right to free speech. Net Neutrality is fundamental to allowing these rights to continue.
2400. Aaron Hubbard, austin, TX, 78704
Our current social and economic structure depends on continuing a policy of Net Neutrality. A person in this country is practically unable to function as citizen or as an entrepreneur without open unimpeded access to the internet.
2401. Bruno Tristan, San Antonio, TX, 78247
leave the neutrality alone..greed is this is about.
2402. Sammy Rose, Kerrville, TX, 78028
I want to keep Net Neutrality.
2403. Mellen Chrzanowski, Austin, TX, 78745
The FCC should preserve people's right to equally access information and services on the internet. Please use Title II of the Communications Act to classify them as common carriers, like phone companies.Kate Cox of The Consumerist says it best: "In the same way that your copper-wire telephone carrier couldn't block you from dialing AOL or charge you extra for calling CompuServe 25 years ago, your cable or wireless ISP can't block you from using Netflix instead of Hulu, or charge you extra for reaching Google instead

of Yahoo."Please protect the interests of the consumer and not bend to the greed of the internet providers.

2404. Eric George Englert, Austin, TX, 78701
I unequivocally support strong Net Neutrality protections backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Any attempt to roll them back puts unprecedented power in the hands of telecommunications corporations and endangers both the freedoms of the nation and our economy.
2405. Michael Revord, Austin, TX, 78735
This is all part of Trump's Fascist agenda to censor and control the internet and prevent free speech. We cannot allow this to happen.
2406. Shirley Mount, Austin, TX, 78745
Please read the letter. It says everything I believe.
2407. Shakti khalsa, Austin, TX, 78704
Stop the injustice
2408. Shirley Aldana, Austin, TX, 78704
Where is our Freedom from oppression?
2409. Sandra Huey, Austin, TX, 78745
I want to support net neutrality. Internet is for the people, not just those who can pay extra
2410. Brenda Ford, NEW BRAUNFELS, TX, 78132
Since Congress made it possible to allow our private internet history to be COLLECTED and SOLD to anyone, companies like Microsoft can come into your computer and download new software updates, delete data from your files, freeze files, all without your permission. By this action of Congress, Microsoft installed Windows 10, without my permission, deleted precious photos and sound bites of my deceased husband and many others, many which my service provider was unable to recover. Though they did a great job of recovery. However, many documents were lost, permanently. Now, under the push by a former Verizon lawyer, Chairman Pai, wants to take away free speech, allow unlimited censorship, and for the Everyday American, make use of internet no longer feasible or affordable. All so the large corporations like Time Warner, AT&T, Comcast can make even more Billions. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me, and to all Americans. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time

Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Censorship is what they do in China, N. Korea, Russia, many Middle Eastern countries. It is NOT what America does.Squash this attempt by FCC Chairman Pai's plans to gut the Title II protections of a neutral internet, and give us BACK our internet privacy, removed by Congress.Thank you!

2411. Joan Penzenstadler, Austin, TX, 78704
I urge you to keep and protect the FCC's current open internet rules.Thank you
2412. Mamie Bondy, Austin, TX, 78735
DO NOT DO THIS. THIS IS PURE GREED.
2413. Ann Young, San Antonio, TX, 78230
A free society must have Net Neutrality!
2414. Alan Pogue, Austin, TX, 78703
The internet must remain a level and equal field of opportunity for communication. Our democracy depends on this.Sincerely,Alan Pogue
2415. McKenzie Williams, San Antonio, TX, 78232
Stop being greedy
2416. Sherri Stockman, Wimberley, TX, 78676
I know I live in Texas, and I know that my legislators tend to value big businesses much more than the actual people who live here. But keeping net

neutrality is like keeping Democracy. And I DO hope you still value Democracy. I am a 60 year old woman living in a rural area, and I depend on the internet in order to make a living. We need Net Neutrality. Please do all you can to save it. Sherri Stockman

2417. E Ward, Austin, TX, 78704

Freedom to use the Internet is crucial to our economy and to our freedom of speech. Do not violate this right!

2418. Elizabeth McLellan, Austin, TX, 78745

I don't want my access to the internet censored and I don't want some entity controlling what I see online. I want net neutrality to be protected, not exploited for commercial gain. Stop trying to modify the current access to the internet!

2419. Dick Marshall, Round Mountain, TX, 78663

The internet is effectively a public utility. It benefits users while still allowing ISPs to profit, from subscription fees and advertising revenue. No one but the three largest providers, Verizon, AT&T and Comcast, favors an end to net neutrality. Allowing them to charge extra fees to control what users see and do online ISO a signal to all users that these three corporate donors are more important than they are. It would be yet another instance of taking from average Americans in order to benefit the clients of well-funded lobbyist donors. Please do not end net neutrality.

2420. Phil Lewis, San Marcos, TX, 78666

Don't ruin the future.

2421. LaVerne Wallace, San Antonio, TX, 78247

Let freedom of the press continue. Please do not block free expression on the internet. I support keeping the internet free for all. Don't allow these internet carriers to charge more money to view certain content. I support Daily Kios.

2422. Jann Rodriguez-Carter, San Antonio, TX, 78209

I urge you to continue the support of net neutrality...our freedom of speech. It is entirely reflective of our basic American rights and supports the belief and reality that we are all equal under the law.

2423. Aletha Huston, Austin, TX, 78704

It is extremely important to me that you retain internet neutrality so that all communications have the same opportunity to be sent.

2424. Clifton Wigtil, San Antonio, TX, 78259

It's very important to me that access to publicly available websites not be limited in any way. I demand Net Neutrality.

2425. Brenda Ford, NEW BRAUNFELS, TX, 78132

Chairman Pai was a lawyer for Verizon. His position as Chairman of the FCC is a flagrant conflict of interest. Now, the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules), extremely important to me, are threatened by Chairman Pai, who wants to gut the Title II protection of Net Neutrality. I strongly urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Protect our freedom of speech. Protect Americans from censorship, as we see in China, N. Korea, Russia.... Thank you!

2426. Sybil Morgan, San Antonio, TX, 78230

I am opposed to giving control of the internet to giant corporations. Preserve internet access for the little guy. Preserve net neutrality!

2427. Marion Bernstein, San Antonio, TX, 78230

As a former radio journalist, the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor

what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

2428. Sharon Dukes, San Antonio, TX, 78209

The FCC's current Title II Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are important to me. I urge you to protect them and do nothing to weaken or diminish them. ISPs should not have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. We as a nation cannot afford to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T has blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of

the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

2429. Marisa Gonzalez, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78248

Please protect the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules.) I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Censorship by ISPs hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

2430. Jim Boldin, Austin, TX, 78704

Net neutrality must be preserved ! I vote and will track how you voted and will remember at election time.

2431. Jennifer Prevost, San Antonio, TX, 78213

Comcast, Verizon and AT&T want to end net neutrality so they can charge extra fees to control what we see & do online. On July 12, we take the first step to stop them. This is a battle for the Internet's future. Before you do anything else,

send a letter to the FCC & Congress now!

2432. Jason Kupka, Austin, TX, 78735
Hey Ajit, I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs; which will continue to ensure a free and open internet like we've had for decades. And yes, there is (non-hypothetical) evidence of ISPs engaging in rampant wrongdoing in the marketplace on a widespread level -- which is why this re-categorization was originally necessary. Open your eyes and quit bending over for big cable. ;-p
2433. Amanda Cambre, Austin, TX, 78748
Stop it. Free speech includes net neutrality.
2434. David Schrantz, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78266
I strongly support "net neutrality" and certainly hope it is not changed by Congress!
2435. Stephanie Blevins, Austin, TX, 78745
Please protect an open internet. It's not broke so no need to fix it. It belongs to the people, not to AT&T or Verizon. Thank you.
2436. David Hardaway, San Marcos, TX, 78666
Ending net neutrality will lead to the downfall of our democracy.
2437. Oakes Pat, Austin, TX, 78704
It is absolutely imperative that the 2015 net neutrality regulations be maintained and continued by the FCC. There must be a level playing field for ALL users.
2438. Drew Carpenter, Spring Branch, TX, 78070
Anyone who votes for this bill should be prepared to have legions of internet users vote them it of office.
2439. Stephen Stoker, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Do not allow this. This will be tantamount to censorship.
2440. Dian Donnell, Austin, TX, 78703
Please do not take away the many freedoms an open internet provides. Please deny extra fees that control what we see and do online. Protect the future of the internet for whatever might be coming that negatively affects our future.
2441. Angela Hopkins, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78259
a
2442. Douglas McKee, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78230
The so called net neutrality is anything but neutral. Kill the push to let it go to

the most powerful.

2443. Justin Benzer, Austin, TX, 78746

I live in an area where I have access to only one broadband provider. It is currently providing great content and internet access. I do not get throttled when viewing Netflix or Amazon, services that are disruptive to the core broadband business model. I am concerned that relaxing current restrictions will negatively impact my ability to use internet services and I will have no alternative providers to get my internet services. Market solutions only work if customers have access to the market. Further, I see no evidence that current regulations are in any way stifling competition. In other words, if it ain't broke, don't fix it.

2444. Lewis James, Austin, TX, 78705

Net neutrality is as important as free speech. Please preserve it

2445. Lewis James, Austin, TX, 78705

Net neutrality is as important as free speech. Please preserve it.

2446. Justin Hurst, Austin, TX, 78748

Hey. The internet was born open and free by design, and the people, the people you work for, want to keep it that way. Don't mess with free society. Don't mess with the internet.

2447. Melanie Cordell, San Antonio, TX, 78212

The suppressiveness of private enterprise to mass communications is proven out in the main stream news in print, radio and television, where all the outlets are owned by a few wealthy multinational corporations. The internet is the only place where I can get real news, all news, truth, and alternative viewpoints. I don't want these anti-consumer for-profit mega giants in charge of the internet. It is the last avenue of true open communication in this country, and access to real communication is the basis of democracy.

2448. Dorothy Batto, Bandera, TX, 78003

Preserve net neutrality. I live in a rural area and depend immensely on easy access to the internet for my small ministry. I and my neighbors will never have the income to compete with high paying others, yet we need equal opportunity to work and the right to enjoy life's pleasures. I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality rules and keep Title II in place for broadband internet access.

2449. Richard Powell, San Antonio, TX, 78259

I need it to protect my job and my way of life.

2450. Carter Lassetter, Austin, TX, 78735

Because discriminating against certain sources should not be allowed. It's

painfully obvious that this is the first step to censorship which is NOT okay.

2451. Brandi Yarbrough, Ingram, TX, 78025
It is our freedom! We have a right to not be manipulated by the rich!
2452. Ryan Packer, Austin, TX, 78735
LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE!! Net Neutrality
2453. Connie Weirich, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624
It's a question of freedom of speech1st amendment!!!!
2454. Rich Bowden, Austin, TX, 78704
Democracy can't survive without the free flow of information.
2455. Bettye Short, Austin, TX, 78745
I don't really watch TV much but this is important to me because I believe those who want to watch should be able to do so/
2456. Lauren mcmurphy, San Antonio, TX, 78261
Net neutrality is a no brainer. The internet is a vast and mostly american made interconnection of people and businesses that is a modern miracle by most social standards. By choking off people arbitrarily for corporate benefit, you are actively shutting down the small businessman of the country, pushing the American dream farther and farther away from the average person once again. It also punishes the average blog user, the obscure reddit threads and general access to entertainment that people have set up for themselves without any good reason. The internet is also a vast wealth of knowledge that should not be hindered or restricted in any way. Tearing down net neutrality is opening a can of worms that will ensue anger in the people and is not what this country wants as a whole.
2457. , Blanco, TX, 78606
Many people who have access to the internet use the internet (obviously) and Net Neutrality helps make it available to all of those people. Let's say that without Net Neutrality, people who are low on funds probably won't be able to use the internet anymore which means a lack of information for a whole lot of people. And a lack of info is obviously not good at all. It doesn't benefit anyone. If people are ignorant because they can no longer get the info that they need, then a lot of people would just stay ignorant because they can't fact check like they need to do and they might not trust the common news sources that have regularly gotten things wrong. To sum it all up, no Net Neutrality=ignorant people=lack of trust(so, you know, they don't get fooled by the lies of people who would try to take advantage of their ignorance.)
2458. Summer Purler, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624

because the repository of all human knowledge should be neutral

2459. Luxsamee Pajak, Kerrville, TX, 78028
It's wrong to ma
2460. Hazuki Rei, San Antonio, TX, 78259
I need it to connect with friends in other states and I definitely can't pay for luxury price internet
2461. Bonnie Prejean, Bulverde, TX, 78163
I am an online content creator and this will limit or disable that.
2462. Julia De La Cruz, San Antonio, TX, 78259
The internet is an important resource for me to learn and to create. If net neutrality is lost, I fear that the websites I use will be forced out of existence.
2463. Lilly , Austin, TX, 78735
If net neutrality is taken away, I won't have access to websites I would need for things like schoolwork. Everything is done on the internet now, and forcing people to pay for things like checking their banking account, putting up a go-fund-me for their sick child's surgery, or even something as simple as checking on an over-seas friend, is completely idiotic and is a huge step back in society. I know you money-grubbing morons just wanna see poor people crushed further in the dust, but just remember that in doing that, you're endangering the lives of countless adults and their children.
2464. Alicia Hill, Austin, TX, 78735
Net Neutrality is important to me and everyone who uses the internet. Many people connect over the internet, and if some don't have the income to pay more for certain sites, they will lose touch with their friends. This may seem trivial, but for some people, online friends may be the only thing keeping them alive, or helping them through hard times. Freelancers and other small businesses would also be greatly effected by this change. Without access to certain websites because of lower income, small businesses wouldn't survive. We need new businesses and freelancers to create new ideas to improve our world. Students would also suffer, as some may need to research for papers and projects, but if they can't afford certain websites, they may not be able to get the information they need. In the end, Net Neutrality protects the little guys and connects us all. Destroying it would harm our world, our relationships, and our education.
2465. Rachel Ward, Austin, TX, 78704
Hey FCC and U.S. Congress,I have an idea, let's provide the real innovators in this country the tools required to innovate: A free and open internet, not beholden to any party. Provision of internet service is not an area of innovation; let's treat internet service providers like what they are, a utility -- like gas &

water. ISPs are a regional monopoly, or duopoly at best -- they cannot operate in an unregulated environment. Do what makes sense. Thanks, Arie Israel

2466. Mike Makuch, Austin, TX, 78703

Keep the internet open. Just like the public road system. Ford, Chrysler etc do not own the roads. Roads are owned by the public and everyone uses them. The Internet should be the same - owned by the public! Thank you Mike

2467. Richard Lira, Round Mountain, TX, 78663

If this is what must be done just to avoid greed and cages, so be it.

2468. Kevin Yang, Austin, TX, 78749

Hey Congress & FCC, I promise you that this submission isn't a bot. Please don't omit my and many others' submissions because there are other suspicious letters. It is the responsibility of our leaders to take into consideration all opinions, including Joe-Schmuck and Fancy-Pants-Robert. At the moment, ISPs have a monopoly over many areas of the US and many consumers are unable to make a diverse enough choice on what to pay for a necessity in this technological age. Internet has become such a crucial resource, from paying bills online to applying for jobs online. I believe that ISPs should remain under heavily regulated by Title II and be categorized as any other utility. Major and large ISPs, in the past, have acted purely in the interest of their own profit and wallet, instead of focusing on delivering a suitable product and service to the consumer. Thank you, Kevin Y.

2469. Joseph Cardona, Austin, TX, 78735

YO LISTEN. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are EXTREMELY IMPORTANT TO ME AND ALL OF YOUR CONSTITUENTS/TAXPAYERS. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices

and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

2470. Alexander Lewis, Austin, TX, 78745
Keep net neutrality! I would like to surf the internet as I please, thank you.
2471. Sarabeth Flowers, Austin, TX, 78735
The FCC's Open Internet Rules, I.e. net neutrality rules, are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect net neutrality and please quit this nonsense. Thank you!
2472. Brenan Herendeen, Austin, TX, 78704
Please do not kill net neutrality. We should have free and open access to the internet. We need net neutrality!! Plain and simple.
2473. Lyndsay Mills, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133
i do not want to end net neutrality. i don't want to have to pay extra to use certain websites. the internet is supposed to be a free space, available to everyone.
2474. Timothy Guenther, Austin, TX, 78704
I support net neutrality because it's important to keep the level playing field that currently exists in the open internet. Allowing ISPs to be the gate keepers of Internet content will have dire consequences for future small startups that are essential to innovation and economic growth. Timothy Guenther
2475. Lauren Meyer, Austin, TX, 78738
Removing Net Neutrality hurts everyone. The Internet is a level playing ground where anyone with access can get to anything. Your news is not limited by the political views of your ISP. You are destroying that for nothing but profit.
2476. Larry Lee Fridell Jr., Ingram, TX, 78025
Hello, please preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet service providers.
2477. Brad Emmons, Austin, TX, 78704

I am in favor of net neutrality and opposed to Chairman Pai's new rules to dismantle net neutrality. The FCC should be a steward of the public trust, not a tool for corporations. I am neither Republican nor Democrat; my vote could go any direction next election, but this is a voting issue for me, and as a voter, I am not happy with what Mr Trump's FCC appointee is doing.

2478. Mike Stricker, San Antonio, TX, 78259

My name is Mike Stricker and I am a 15 year old high school student in Texas. People always complain that us 'millennials' and 'generation z' are always on technology. It's because of these people that you cannot stop net neutrality. Some peoples entire lives are in some form of technology. People's work involve quite a lot of the net, and removing net neutrality could be catastrophic. It's wrong to take the internet and turn it into a club of big wallets and bigger egos. ISPs cannot control the internet. It is a service that is vital to many people around the world. It is a staple of the modern world and we can't live without the internet. It is a medium to practice free speech, religion, activism, and many more things. In conclusion, net neutrality must stay and the entire arguement must end. Mike Stricker

2479. Patrick Kemp Woolard, Boerne, TX, 78006

I do not support the "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal on any level, and wish Net Neutrality rules to remain in place. As the FCC, your job is literally to regulate interstate communications. Part of that duty is to protect consumers and competition in the marketplace, and by letting the big ISPs virtually do whatever they want you're effectively killing consumer choice and preventing smaller ISPs from competing. Read your own mission statement before bending over for Time Warner, Verizon, Comcast, AT&T, etc. and remember what you were created for: "Make available so far as possible, to all the people of the United States, without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex, rapid, efficient, Nationwide, and world-wide wire and radio communication services with adequate facilities at reasonable charges." Don't let the big ISPs become any more monstrous than they already are--protect us, keep Net Neutrality rules in place, and don't fold for the promise of a quick buck.

2480. Mary Ley, Austin, TX, 78704

Why isn't FCC Chairman Ajit Pai listening to the millions who have written or phoned in support of net neutrality? Is he just trying to please Verizon, his former employer? American citizens want an FCC that listens and protects the open, net neutrality, internet for them, instead of being bought and sold by companies like Verizon and Comcast! Keep it up, Mr. Pai, and you'll make even more Americans hate the Republican Party! Mary Ley

2481. Makijah Clark, Canyon Lake, TX, 78133

I strongly support net neutrality under the Title II classification of ISPs.

2482. Phil Swain, Austin, TX, 78745

I wanted to voice my concern and displeasure with the idea of rolling back the net neutrality regulations. The regulations are important in my opinion and I am writing my congressman to complain about this. Please reconsider changing the regulations.

2483. Sumanth Reddy, Austin, TX, 78745

I don't want a gatekeeper in my ISP who charges me fees anyway, but also makes money by telling on me, by collecting money from people to meet me. I want the ISP out of my way.

2484. Taylor Walla, Austin, TX, 78704

KEEP TITLE II, GUTTING NET NEUTRALITY IS ANTI-CONSUMER, ANTI-COMPETITIVE, ANTI-INNOVATION AND ANTI-AMERICAN

2485. Robert Hughlette, San Antonio, TX, 78230

I am in favor of keeping the net neutrality rules in place.

2486. Jared Orion Selcoe, Austin, TX, 78745

I am strongly in favor of protecting the open nature of the internet by continuing to keep ISPs' Title II classification. I fully appreciate the significant investments that ISPs must make to maintain and improve the sprawling internet infrastructure that they have created, but the internet plays too integral a part in all of our lives to just leave its implementation solely in the hands of self-interested parties. The internet should be treated as a utility because it is a fundamental, essential part of existing in our society. I understand that the infrastructure ISPs build is more complex than traditional utilities because of the ever-changing nature of the technology it requires, but that doesn't mean that they should not be considered utilities all the same. Yes it may impose more onerous requirements on them, but that is the collective burden they bear as an industry - it doesn't single out any 1 company so the competitive landscape remains as it always was, but simply in a different context. I would love to believe that ISPs will act in our collective best interest by not de-prioritizing traffic from competing services or levying fees against companies that will then simply be passed on to their customers, but the history of ISPs' actions speaks for itself. Years of blatant abuse of the Universal Service Fund which paid for the very lines that we are being told ISPs need more money to maintain, a decades-long line of broken promises to local communities to provide high speed access that were then reneged upon with little consequence due to powerful lobbying efforts and a lack of capacity for the communities to legally defend themselves, and the already-ensuing effort to skirt Title II rules by providing "zero-rating" services leaves me with little faith that ISPs will self-police effectively. I understand that that ISPs aren't charities, that it's OK for

them to act in a self-interested manner, and that zero-rating provides immediate benefits to their consumers, but viewing this issue through those simple lenses ignores the profound roles the services they provide play in our lives, and ignores the already-demonstrated lack of consideration these companies have for that role outside of their own immediate interests. Removing Title II classification would remove the protections for the most dynamic and powerful component of the internet - its ability to scale services from 1 user to a billion users with relatively little financial overhead compared to physical, real-world services. This capacity to scale is what has spawned the most successful companies in the world's history, and is what will be the engine for future innovations as well. Permitting ISPs to levy fees on companies by virtue of their size will effectively make them a private tax collector on our digital services, which would stifle the very innovation we are trying to protect. Please, protect this integral part of our modern world. Keep Title II classification. Thank you so much for your time and consideration. Best, Jared

2487. Martin Tower, Austin, TX, 78704

I strongly support preserving the current net neutrality rules and the regulation of internet service providers under Title II.

2488. sarah tower, Austin, TX, 78704

I support preserving the current net neutrality rules and the regulation of internet service providers under title 2.

2489. Brittney Dimes, Austin, TX, 78748

Preserve net neutrality and Title II! Strive towards the internet being a utility.

2490. Justin Clark, San Marcos, TX, 78666

ISP should not be allowed to control the free flow of information on the internet. Keeping ISPs under Title II is the only way to insure they do not abuse their power to stifle free speech. Keep net neutrality.

2491. Kristen, Austin, TX, 78748

I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 11 oversight of ISPs.

2492. Justin Cravatt, San Antonio, TX, 78247

I support isp's being classified under title 2.

2493. Dimi Mestier, Austin, TX, 78703

I strongly support the existing version of net neutrality, including the categorizing of Internet Service Providers under Title II of the Federal Communications Act of 1934. I encourage the FCC to leave things as they are and let consumers get all content without any sort of prejudice from the ISPs. Chairman Ajit Pai's bias toward business should not force consumers to suffer the consequences.

2494. Todd Phillips, san antonio, TX, 78247
I oppose any changes to the rules affecting "net Neutrality". ISPs should not be allowed to slow, obstruct, prioritize, or charge a premium for any internet content over other content. I am a registered voter, and I vote in every election. Do not change the rules affecting "net neutrality" . Thank you.
2495. Joseph Helminiak, San Antonio, TX, 78258
I am writing IN FAVOR of net neutrality. Lack of protection by government mandate will open the floodgates for businesses both to enact charging structures to increase profits (e.g. if you don't pay, you loose access/speed) and to invade privacy for data mining to sell to businesses. This has already occured, and is the subject of interest and legislation at the state level. As a veteran who spent 30 years in uniform, I served to protect the personal freedoms of our citizens while choosing to give up some of mine to serve. I sincerely hope and pray that my choice to serve, including going to war, to protect those freedoms doesn't end up being for nothing. Please support/restore Internet Freedom
2496. christophe jouas, san antonio, TX, 78248
I am all for Strong net neutrality and the enforcement of title 2 on is. this needs to be a priority as the internet needs to be free and open without restriction
2497. Rebecca Jouas, San Antonio, TX, 78248
I am all for strong net neutrality and for the enforcement of title two ISPs.
2498. Jeannie Frazier, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Dear FCC,Please protect the current net neutrality. Free speech is integral to the American democracy and net neutrality provides that forum to all. It also protects the privacy of individuals in limiting what can be observed and tracked about internet usage. Please do not move to give control of the internet to the big cable companies.
2499. Kate Gonzalez, San Antonio, TX, 78230
PLEASE, net neutrality. Big companies are ruining our democracy.
2500. Michael Rivera, San Antonio, TX, 78231
It is Time to End All governments oversight of what we have built, End It Now. The Government makes Nothing, but Only takes or Taxes Us. Stop This Now!
2501. MP Hartman, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624
Net neutrality is necessary in a free society ike the US. To hand the internet over to big companies is un-American. Net nuteality is fundamental to free speech and a free class of American people. To hand it over is like something from a communist country.
2502. James Stark, Austin, TX, 78735

I've yet to see a single ingenuous reason for striking down Net Neutrality provisions. You know this is anti-consumer. I urge you to do the right thing.

2503. Nancy Battle, Fredricksburg, TX, 78624
I'M IN TOTALLY SUPPORT OF NET NEUTRALITY !!!
2504. Tracey knouse, Spring branch , TX, 78070
Net neutrality protects free enterprise and free speech both espoused freedoms in our constitution and founding American goals
2505. Jared Selcoe, Austin, TX, 78745
I am strongly in favor of protecting the open nature of the internet by continuing to keep ISPs' Title II classification. I fully appreciate the significant investments that ISPs must make to maintain and improve the sprawling internet infrastructure that they have created, but the internet plays too integral a part in all of our lives to just leave its implementation solely in the hands of self-interested parties. The internet should be treated as a utility because it is a fundamental, essential part of existing in our society. Removing Title II classification would remove the protections for the most dynamic and powerful component of the internet - its ability to scale services from 1 user to a billion users with relatively little financial overhead compared to physical, real-world services. This capacity to scale is what has spawned the most successful companies in the world's history, and is what will be the engine for future innovations as well. Permitting ISPs to levy fees on companies by virtue of their size will effectively make them a private tax collector on our digital services, which would stifle the very innovation we are trying to protect. Please, protect this integral part of our modern world. Keep Title II classification. Thank you so much for your time and consideration.
2506. Robert Kane, Boerne, TX, 78006
The internet has grown to be what I consider a basic human right. It should be treated as such in regards to regulation or lack there of.
2507. Sarah Culpepper Chen, Austin, TX, 78735
I and my family are OUTRAGED at the thought that the fcc is considering returning the internet to title one!!!! I can not express this enough. This is deplorable and despicable and to have Commissioner Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, appointed to care for the public's best interest- advocating instead for the big internet providers instead of the citizens he is meant to serve. Shame on you! This is completely unacceptable and net neutrality MUST remain protected.
2508. Ren, San Antonio, TX, 78261
Net Neutrality is paramount in keeping the internet fair for everyone. It improves growth for companies of all sizes, allows everyone from any walk of life express themselves openly and keeps public information accessible to all.

Net Neutrality makes ISPs from cheating the poor, under privileged and average internet consumer. ISPs would monopolize the internet if Net Neutrality is not enforced. ISPs are the problem when it comes to new start-ups. A competing ISP couldn't start because the current ISPs already own all the infrastructure, dark fiber, etc. If the ISPs are unfair, a competitor can't step in to fix the market exploit because the capital investment for the infrastructure is so absurdly high that it's never going to happen. It will be a type of market failure. A free market can't adequately address something that requires such a huge capital investment infrastructure to be competitive

2509. James Fong, Austin, TX, 78746

The net neutrality rules established by the previous FCC commissioner Tom Wheeler must remain. The internet remain the greatest enabler the world have ever seen. In order for data, innovation and wealth to flow through the internet, it must remain free and open. Without the net neutrality rule, ISP would become the lords and rulers of an divided and closed internet. Video and site throttling will become the norm and both start up and establish business will find itself under the thumbs of the ISP. Tom Wheeler's decision on Net neutrality ensure that the free internet remain so, without the judgement or interference by the ISP. It is important that the new FCC commission Ajit Pai continue the policy set by Tom Wheeler. Keep title II and retain net neutrality.

2510. John Craig, San Antonio, TX, 78258

Do not give more power to the massive billion dollar companies that already want to control government, keep the internet in the hands of the masses!! Getting rid of net neutrality will utterly destroy the free market.

2511. Ajit Pai, San Marcos, TX, 78666

Internet Service Providers (ISPs) such as Time Warner, Comcast, etc; should be held to strong rules under the Title II set of regulations. ISPs should be forced to treat all data that flows through their networks equally, and should be punished should they deviate from this practice. The few firms that control the vast majority of internet access should only function as services that provide a public utility, on the same level as power or water companies. The internet currently functions as an incredibly level playing field and incredibly free market due to the lack of data discrimination. If there are multiple tiers of internet speed (which Title II prevents), then the level playing field of the internet ceases to be.

2512. Jakeb White, Austin, TX, 78705

It's embarrassing that we should have to type these messages. Keep our net free.

2513. Derek Olson, san antonio, TX, 78259

Hello, The free exchange of information on the internet is integral to our freedom as modern human beings. There are few matters of greater importance than this. If companies are allowed to restrict and limit internet access however

they please, this will surely be used in corrupt ways. A large percentage of internet service providers are also media conglomerates. There is a very large and clear conflict of interest here. Clearly, these companies can limit access to their competitors or silence dissenting opinions if they can shape traffic freely. Information is power, unrestricted internet access is a basic human right now. Internet access is integral to living in our society in many ways. Most companies only allow you to fill out a resume online, for instance. Please consider the extent of your actions before proceeding. Thanks, Derek

2514. Alex Abbott, Austin, TX, 78705

Net neutrality is important because it's a utility that every American uses in their day to day lives. You can't choose to not use it the in this day and age. Every resume needs an email, most job applications are online, and there are resources that are absolutely necessary for people to do their job. This isn't even counting the various social and entertainment purposes the internet provides us. The only people who would be helped by a ruling like this are the rich and the ones who own the cable companies. Please, don't ruin the internet.

2515. Robert Capazzi, Austin, TX, 78741

Stop trying to take away our freedom to the internet, you are all a bunch of greedy crooks!!!! How much are the cable companies paying you?

2516. Amy Turner, Austin, TX, 78705

Net neutrality is important because people cannot afford for the internet to become a privilege or a luxury. As large and connected as the world has become, net neutrality only seeks to close off individuals, especially poorer individuals, from accessing knowledge and others in a way that is safe, equal, and affordable. Making net neutrality a luxury will cut off poorer individuals from gaining access to jobs that must be applied for online, or from jobs that require phone apps to use. It is only convenient and profitable to the wealthy if net neutrality is done away with, and is definitely not something that would help a majority of Americans. The internet is simply too large, too advanced, and too widespread to become a luxury now. Literally everyone uses it, and cutting off a majority of Americans will not be a politically or socially smart move. Deliberately taking access of a relatively cheap source of knowledge, information, and income is entirely detrimental to the large amount of lower class, under privileged people in the United States. Quite simply, this is another move that shows the government's lack of support for the education and well-being of the poor, and for its support of the wealthy and the corporate.

2517. Anna Wilmes, austin, TX, 78748

I am strongly against FCC's plan to kill net neutrality. FCC's plan to break apart Title II is not what I, as an American constituent, want to see happen. I want to see guarantees that the internet will remain FREE and OPEN.

2518. Lee Wright, Boerne, TX, 78006
The internet should be regulated like a utility, and be not be under the authority of a corporate monopoly. All internet traffic should be treated equally. Please don't gut net neutrality.
2519. Margot Newcomer, Austin, TX, 78735
Please keep net neutrality. It's important that our internet be open.
2520. Cody Webb, Austin, TX, 78705
I am deeply opposed to the proposed plan to kill net neutrality. Internet Service Providers are some of the biggest monopolies in this country and they don't need more ways to rip off their customers. I pay over \$70/month for internet and I'm not interested in having the content that I need for my business and entertainment restricted because AT&T wants to hold those services hostage until I pay further premiums. I support net neutrality and I will oppose any attempts to restrict the internet or impose further cost onto consumers. Thank you
2521. Sky Ramos, Austin, TX, 78745
Is there really a debate over whether corporations should have EVEN MORE control over what we see, hear, do, and consume everyday? Even a child could see that you are full of crap if you think that we American people are so stupid as to be fooled by the underhanded movements of lobbying money-bloated parasites like Ajit Pai with his stupid Reese's coffee mug. You may be able to pass this social terrorism as casual legislation, but know that WE SEE WHAT YOU ARE DOING and we DON'T BELIEVE YOU. Thanks for taking the time to read my comment!
2522. kyle contino, Austin, TX, 78748
Do not let large ISP's control what the public has access to. Keep the internet open.
2523. Errol Schweizer, Austin, TX, 78736
Your plans to kill net neutrality are awful. It should be the government's role to maintain a free and open internet, not to favor the needs of corporations that will hurt consumer access and availability to information and resources.
2524. Phillip Mackie, austin, TX, 78741
Don't make the internet "pay to win" I am a digital marketer and my job is to increase the traffic to my client's site. Giving monolithic companies with large budgets greater access to web traffic and users will stifle the efforts of the small businesses and business owners that I represent. It used to be that America was about allowing the little guy to succeed. Each time the Net Neutrality Debate rolls around, I begin to doubt that....

2525. David Cohen, Austin, TX, 78745
Do not allow companies to limit access to information based on the ability to pay. This does not allow for fair access for all and is simply a regressive tax that favors the wealthy. This could set a dangerous precedent whereby the poor are ill informed and those in power control what information is available.
2526. gerard mendoza, Austin, TX, 78749
Please don't change our net neutrality laws. The internet IS a public utility and should be treated/governed as one for many reasons.
2527. Patrick Griffin, Buda, TX, 78610
Killing net neutrality will put far too much power into the hands of ISP's, as well as limit the amount of choice consumers have when shopping for online-based services. One of the greatest things about the Internet is that small and medium-sized businesses can go up against established giants by having a good idea and some basic knowledge of how the Internet works. By removing net neutrality, it makes it nearly impossible for these small-to-medium sized companies to compete with the giants, as it makes it possible for the giants to simply bribe the ISPs to give them faster access to their sites and impose artificial limitations on the smaller businesses that couldn't afford to pay for the "fast lane".
2528. Chris Lundberg, Austin, TX, 78745
Please preserve net neutrality. It is tremendously important to a free and democratic world, as well as to the continued prosperity of the nation.
2529. lucretia beard, Austin, TX, 78748
I strongly support net neutrality and believe we need it backed by Title 2 oversight Of ISPs. You are not fooling anyone Chairman Pai.
2530. Elijah Clifford, San Antonio, TX, 78217
Please keep Net Neutrality on the books. Without this uninterrupted channel for telecommunication my computer hardware resale business (Techware Retail) could not exist. The unthrottled web is the only place where I can take my skills and knowledge and turn a profit from them. Without this telecommunications channel I would not be able to keep in touch with my family in distant parts of the country, or with many of my friends who live overseas. Without this telecommunications service in it's current form I would never have been able to attain my degree in computer science. Please continue to regulate Internet Service Providers under the Title II telecommunications regulatory aegis.
2531. Barbara Gettelman, Austin, TX, 78704
Maintain net neutrality! The internet will be consolidated and monopolistic like broadcast media if you destroy this principle.

2532. Mark Brown, Austin, TX, 78741

Dear FCC, I support of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The FCC should block Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal to give the telecom giants like Verizon, Comcast, and AT&T the authority to create Internet fast lanes. That is, I'm concerned about ISPs being allowed to discriminate against certain types of data, websites, and competitors because it limits the public marketplace. Thankfully, the existing Title II net neutrality rules mean that ISPs can't slow or block Internet users' access to certain websites or engage in data discrimination by charging online services and websites money to reach people faster. Title II ensure the Internet remains a level playing field that benefits small businesses and Internet users as well as established ISPs. Chairman Pai's proposed repeal of the rules would help turn Internet providers into gatekeepers with the ability to veto new innovation and expression. That's contrary to the basic precepts on which the Internet was built and by it which grew to the phenomenon it is today. I use the internet to keep current on local, state, national, and international affairs. It helps me be an informed and engaged citizen. It helps me keep in touch with family, manage my finances, and interact with professional colleagues worldwide. I'm a board member of a small nationwide 501(3)c non-profit. We conduct our meetings via internet video chat. Title I, or self oversight, could raise the cost of this service by reducing competition and reducing our ability to attract talented board members. Thank you for protecting Internet users like me by upholding the existing Title II net neutrality rules. Sincerely, Mark Brown

2533. Ivan Stout, Austin, TX, 78748

I am against the "Restoring Internet Freedom Act" for the following reasons: 1) It actually reduces Internet freedom by weakening protections against an extremely small subset of stakeholders using their unique positions to increase their concentration of power, so this act's name is contrary to its purpose. I am against things named the opposite of what they do. 2) I am for democracy and free markets. Such things thrive in environments where power is decentralized and are stifled by concentration of power. This act will result in an increase of power concentration. 3) Ajit Pai has continually shown that his interests are not aligned with those of the American public. He supports this act, so this act must undermine the freedoms and potential prosperity of the American public.

2534. William Richardson Blair IV, San Antonio, TX, 78259

I am opposed to any measure that would compromise net neutrality. Please strengthen net neutrality protections.

2535. Jonathan Wickham, Austin, TX, 78735

ISPs shouldn't be gatekeepers.

2536. Rodney Mendoza, San Antonio, TX, 78209

Net Neutrality rules are what keeps allows this nation to have free speech and what I have fought for as a member of the Armed Forces. Do not allow the ISPs to charge more for access to certain types of traffic or specific websites. This would be a huge injustice to the people of the United States. Internet is a necessity like any other utility and should be treated as such.

2537. Thomas Matheney, Austin, TX, 78701

This country has become a breeding ground for corruption and greed and this is the type of stuff that fuels it - led by politicians who should have been forced to retire 20 years ago. There will be an uproar from companies and individuals alike, hence the millions of comments you have gotten opposing this unethical proposition that you are undoubtedly throwing away and ignoring. May God have mercy on your souls.

2538. Danielle Trace, Austin, TX, 78745

I use the internet on a daily basis, especially to communicate with my family that lives all the way in Wisconsin. I support net neutrality because it will keep me in contact with my family on any of the websites I choose to use. I already pay for my internet usage and it would be difficult for me to live within my means if such an important service increased. I would probably have to stop using it which is unthinkable in the advanced technological world we live in. Thank you for reading my comment.

2539. Zenzi Griffin, Austin, TX, 78703

There are many good reasons to preserve net neutrality. I'd like to call attention to the role of internet access in education and equity. I am a professor at the University of Texas at Austin. Web content plays an increasing role in education. I can speak primarily from my experiences in higher education but it is also important for grades K-12. Many college students do not live on college campuses and depend on whatever access they can get in their homes, coffee shops, public libraries, and so on to access class content. Children often do homework at home and rely on whatever access their parents can provide. Wealthy private universities and schools may be able to privilege their content but poorer ones certainly will not. Thus, loosening regulation on speed of information will serve to further increase differences in opportunities between rich and poor in this country. Please preserve net neutrality. Sincerely, Professor Zenzi Griffin

2540. Mark McCartney, Austin, TX, 78704

I write to protest in the strongest terms the repeal of net neutrality. I ask that the FCC NOT reverse its 2015 determination that broadband ISPs are 'telecommunications services' subject to greater oversight under Title II. I pay for internet access to get reliable service to all sites on the internet equally. Violating this guarantee and privileging some companies at the expense of

others is unethical, and destroys the internet's promise as an egalitarian environment. There are already too few competitors providing internet service -- in Pennsylvania, where my family lives, they have only one provider offering high speed service and they pay over \$100/month, an exorbitant amount, for service. The FCC must preserve its authority to regulate broadband ISPs.

2541. Jay Thompson, Austin, TX, 78704

Please keep the Internet neutral. The way the Internet works now has enabled much of the innovation and economic growth we've seen in the past 25 years.

2542. Rachael Harmon, Austin, TX, 78704

There should not be discrimination towards any a site I would like to visit. I purchase my internet expecting fair treatment to every site regardless of status. This would deeply affect the small business and artist community in which I am a part of. This movement could be detrimental to our livelihoods. Please reconsider.

2543. Samuel Radcliffe, Austin, TX, 78704

As a Texan I think it is important for the future of our country and our technology industry that we keep net neutrality. Without it, we face monopolies that will overcharge for subpar service and take our freedom to choose away from us. That is not what will Make America Great Again. God bless, Samuel Radcliffe

2544. Nathan Parks, Austin, TX, 78704

The Internet should be treated as a necessary utility and regulated as such. ISPs, backbone providers, and other entities must be held accountable to citizens, NOT their shareholders. The FCC broke up the phone company, it can sure as hell handle this. Don't be lazy.

2545. Meagan Moore, Austin, TX, 78748

Do not repeal net neutrality. We, the people, deserve a free and open internet.

2546. Lee Insinga, Austin, TX, 78701

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. " The FCC proceedings to repeal the 2015 Net Neutrality rules would not only harm our business, but harm innovation in our market and many other markets as the large companies would have access to service that the small companies could not afford. As a consumer, when I purchase broadband Internet for my home, I am looking to buy fast access to the Internet. When I see advertisements from ISPs, I overwhelmingly see transmission speed and reliability as features that are not only advertised, but highlighted. I do not use my ISP's services for anything other than fast Internet access -- I don't use their services for email, instant messaging, web hosting, etc. I get these services from other companies. Fast Internet access is what I expect from buying these services, and it is all I

expect. For my home, there is only one company that provides broadband Internet access. Because I do not have another choice, I cannot take my business elsewhere if I do not like the service I am getting or the decisions my ISP company has made. I believe that it is the role of the FCC to ensure that all Americans have access to broadband Internet that provides access to the entire Internet. In addition, I believe that it is the FCC's role to protect consumers from (among other things): invasions of privacy by ISPs, price gauging, and erratic and irregular pricing. Therefore, ISPs should be subject to broad and complete oversight to protect the interest of consumers." I did not write the above, but i 100% echo my friends sentiments above.

2547. Joshua Morris, Austin, TX, 78749

This is a terrible idea pushed by terrible people.

2548. Joaquin Berrios, Austin, TX, 78749

I wanted to express my opposition to repealing or weakening the current net neutrality rules re-classifying Internet service providers under title II. I feel the current rules help to protect innovation by preventing service providers from abusing their position of providing connectivity to the Internet to control content subscribers can access. I fear that if ISPs are given latitude to control and restrict content, they would abuse this position to favor services provided by themselves and business partners at the expense of services provided by competitors. The modern digital economy is a vibrant one because of the ability of innovators to make their services available to everyone via an unencumbered network. Weakening regulation that prevents ISPs from degrading service to services from competitors will create an environment where innovation from smaller players will suffer, and anyone who is not a huge ISP will be much more disadvantaged to compete fairly. ISP should provide utility connectivity to the Internet, and not be in the business of restricting access to content (except where legally required or for network management reasons). Otherwise it would just make too much business sense not to abuse this position to further their own financial goals at the expense of a vibrant, innovative global Internet community. Further, allowing ISPs to control and filter content is akin to allowing PSTN providers to dictate what phone numbers you can call, and permit them to redirect calls from the number dialed to a number of their choosing. This would be overly intrusive and not what most consumers of Internet connectivity expect when acquiring service. Title II classification for ISPs provides the regulatory framework to enforce regulation that preserves a fair, innovative and dynamic global digital economy and should be preserved.

2549. Cortney Easterwood, Austin, TX, 78704

A free and open internet is essential not only for preserving our democracy but also for our very safety. My friends and family in Houston have been working with those of us in dry areas to save lives - REAL HUMAN LIVES - by using

the internet to guide rescuers to those trapped by the floodwaters of Hurricane Harvey. I have been personally involved in several internet-based human rescue chains that simply could not have succeeded without everyone involved being able to access a free and open internet connecting those in a terrible situation with friends, family, strangers, rescuers, and medical care givers.

2550. Nathan Isburgh, San Antonio, TX, 78266

I strongly support the existing net neutrality regulations, and am absolutely against removing or altering them. In my opinion, the chairman has not provided any compelling evidence to suggest the regulations (and title II designation for broadband suppliers) have hindered or slowed investment in infrastructure around the country. In fact, many ISP's have openly discussed their investment strategies and have indicated that the regulations had no impact on their planning. Further, it is also my opinion that removing these regulations can and likely will result in catastrophic repercussions across the entire internet, damaging the economy, stifling innovation and entrepreneurialism, slowing growth and even depressing sociological understanding in our country and around the globe. To lend some credibility to these opinions, I would like to share a brief personal history. I own and operate an advanced IT company called EdgeCloud, which has been providing highly technical consulting and training services for over 10 years. I am 36 years old and have been using Unix and Linux for 25 years now, of which 19 years have been in professional roles including systems administration and software development. Thanks to my father, also an IT expert, I started programming in the second grade, then began tinkering with Unix around the sixth grade, and I got my first job in the IT industry in high school, working at Lockheed Martin on satellite communications, Unix system security, networking and various additional projects. I have a very deep knowledge of Linux, systems and applications programming, security, performance tuning, networking, databases and more. At EdgeCloud, we have a team of similarly seasoned experts across a diverse range of IT disciplines, providing consulting, training and staffing services for companies and governments around the globe. I spend each day solving issues in complicated systems, or researching new technologies, or training IT professionals about Linux, security, virtualization and more. I regularly work in most of the technology layers in use today, from the hardware side, including discrete electronics and embedded systems, to Linux kernel design and programming, to systems administration, application programming, network architecture and security. So it is with this background that I confidently express my concerns surrounding the chairman's intention to dismantle the 2015 net neutrality regulations. I believe I have the technical understanding to recognize the abuses that will come, and am authoring this comment in the hopes that the Commission moves to keep regulations and Title II designations in place. I feel extremely fortunate to have grown up surrounded by computers, steeped in technology. We were running a heterogenous local area network (LAN) of

SunOS, DOS, Coherent, Windows and eventually Linux systems at home when most people didn't have computers. I have been accessing external networks since the 1980's, including countless Bulletin Board Systems (BBS's), educational networks, corporate networks and the old curated network interfaces like AOL. As the internet grew and matured, we started connecting through dedicated internet service providers, purchasing their service to provide unfettered, simple access to the interconnected web of networks that comprised the internet. At the time, the most common alternatives to dedicated ISP's were providers like AOL, which I like to refer to as a 'curated' provider, because they provided an entirely controlled and rigidly regulated subset of the information on the global networks. AOL decided what you could and could not see, where you could and could not go, and even how you could access the information (through their proprietary AOL software). This was not an ISP by any definition - this was software as a service, 1980's style. Needless to say, we never bothered with AOL again (like everyone else!). Through the years, we sought out the fastest providers of network access. Nothing more, nothing less. Personally, I feel this is a critical fact of the matter. The chairman seems to think that people ordering internet access are wanting something besides basic network access. For some reason, the chairman views broadband like the old days of AOL - you would get some proprietary software, heavily filtered content, an email address, news, etc. But that is not how consumers view broadband access today. Today, consumers are only interested in a reliable, fast pipe. I can not think of a single person that uses their ISP's shabby attempt at email, news, search engines or otherwise. And for good reason - why should my selection of services be restricted to my provider's offering, when the internet at large has so many countless alternatives? Many of which are far superior to what my ISP is offering. Broadband actually is like a utility and should be regulated as such. The fact that you can't choose your provider in almost every part of the United States is one of the biggest reasons consumers need these protections. For example, at my address, I didn't even have a provider. No one wants to come out here because the "living unit" density is not high enough for attractive margins - all of the properties are 10+ acres with no multi-unit housing. Through an unbelievable amount of effort, bypassing all the normal channels and going straight to an engineer contact, I have been able to cajole a 3 Mbps (maybe 3 Mbps if I'm lucky, they said) DSL connection from AT&T, which they might install soon. It's critical to note that this is my only option. My only way of getting access to the internet. And if the chairman rolls back the consumer protections afforded by Net Neutrality, I'm going to have to hope that AT&T decides to filter and re-prioritize traffic in a way that aligns with my beliefs, morals and opinions. If not, I have no choice but to move, which isn't a choice at all, of course. Basically, we're going backwards 30 years and it's America Online (AOL) all over again! AT&T will decide which content on the internet will be accessible, and which content will not. AT&T will decide which sites appear performant and which ones do not, thereby steering and controlling my

interface with the internet, impacting me at both the personal and professional level. This problem has wide-reaching ramifications! Consider a basic scenario: EdgeCloud has been tasked with analyzing online software providers to solve a client need. Depending on where EdgeCloud is physically located, the results will appear one way or another, not based on the software providers' abilities, but based on the current ISP. Which will likely be different at the client's site, prompting them to question the results because they themselves see something different! It's important to remember that nearly everywhere in the country, your physical location dictates your ISP. And if the ISP has the ability to negotiate various profit-driven deals to filter and rate-limit access, that ISP can also influence every single business in the world."That ISP can also influence every single business in the world." I chose that wording very carefully, not to lend grandiosity to the prose, but to emphasize the scale and magnitude of the internet. If the conglomerate that is Comcast bought a small startup which was competing with a company in Europe, you can bet Comcast will be "shaping" traffic such that their new startup subsidiary will have an edge over competitors. Remember, we've already seen companies doing this, despite the regulations!!! Another consideration, along the same lines: if network providers can negotiate filtering and rate-limiting deals on the side, how can a consumer ever hope to obtain a clear picture of online business availability and capability? This issue goes beyond the consumer's physical location, too! Maybe you did move to a different house so you could have a different broadband provider so you could try and align your beliefs with the providers' beliefs and make sure your provider doesn't filter the content you want. That's great, but you can't control every provider between you and your targeted internet services. At some point, your traffic will move across some other provider's network, and they'll have their own agreements about filtering and rate limiting which will impact your traffic, but you can't do a thing about it. There is nothing safe in allowing corporations, particularly the mega-corporations monopolizing the broadband industry, to make any kind of decisions about the flow of information. It is not hard to imagine (and in fact, it's already been proven many, many times in cases across the industry) that a company which provides a service in one industry, say entertainment, and simultaneously provides service in another industry, say telecommunications, will seek to lead consumers to their own services over a competitor's if given the ability. This is a well-used example, but would AT&T try to influence consumers to use their own TV service, Direct TV, over others? Absolutely! When companies do this legally, it's called advertising, and it's completely up to the consumer to decide. But when they can negotiate deals to slightly alter the perceived performance of competitors, and consumers are left with no other choice, should we still consider that legal? I sincerely hope I have been able to make some of my concerns clear, but if not, I am always available to discuss further, should the Commission or any of its agents have questions.

The current net neutrality rules should not be removed due to the monopoly or duopoly status of broadband providers available throughout most areas in the United States. If a consumer has no viable alternative to switch to, then there is no market pressure against ISPs that abuse them. Until there is sufficient competition between providers, regulations need to remain in place to prevent bad behavior. That is the cost of being a monopoly. Major ISPs have shown little to no interest in expanding their markets or building infrastructure. Starting a new ISP requires immense up-front capital, making it very hard to go up against an established ISP in a given region. Since most attempts at municipal fiber have been blocked via extensive lobbying by incumbent ISPs, that doesn't seem to be working either. I see no good way to increase competition short of allowing local government to build out the last-mile infrastructure and having multiple ISPs hook into a colocation facility to provide service from there. As long as competition is non-existent, keep the rules in place.

2552. Ronnie Bredahl, Austin, TX, 78735

Do not get rid of net neutrality just b/c our bastard President wants you to. He is a goddamn idiot! Please think about the country and the greater good.

2553. Sean Riley, Austin, TX, 78704

I believe that internet should be protected under title 2. As its technology has progressed, it has become an essential form of learning and communication, and the growth and health of the internet requires open access to all new and existing sites. I am strongly against the decision to make internet no longer a public utility.

2554. Jaden Black, Austin, TX, 78704

It is unconscionable for the FCC to even consider the roll-back of Title II classification of internet service providers. Title II classification was necessary to ensure ISPs cannot arbitrarily block websites, reduce service speed, or charge more for access to internet "fast lanes." Even with this classification in place at least one company has been caught gouging consumers and breaking the laws brought under Title II classification. Should this proceeding be successful, the limited competition these companies enjoy will be further constrained, removing incentives to investment in innovation, and eliminating downward pricing pressure from the now mandated free and open market created and ensured by Title II classification of internet providers. It is absolutely false and counter to the facts that this proceeding would improve online investment or innovation, it would only serve to increase the monopolization of internet services, and create a closed market open only to those wealthy enough to pay.

2555. Kat Chang, San Antonio, TX, 78229

Hi,I would like to voice my opposition to the loosening of rules against blocking and throttling by ISPs. The internet is an open place, and it is a public resource. Sure, private companies can and should make money off the providing of internet services, but they should not have the right to hinder certain sites. If paid prioritization is used, IT MUST BE DISCLOSED. I think about the many small businesses I support in my area and in niche industries online. I would only have found them because of the relevance of the search results. If we change the search results to bias those that enrich the ISPs, that defeats the free market open democratic ideals of the internet.

2556. Nicole Cupps, Austin, TX, 78745
The net should be free for all

2557. Ryan Schulz, Austin, TX, 78701
I oppose any rollback on the current open internet rules that does not treat all data equally. For those who say the internet is a luxury and not a necessity, try applying for a job without utilizing the internet.

2558. Michelle Rueda, Austin, TX, 78704
Keep the Title II classification for ISP's! They must be held to a utility company standard in order to make sure the services do not impinge on our rights as free citizens.

2559. Houston Roby, San Antonio, TX, 78232
The Internet has become too important a tool in today's society, and will likely become only more indispensable in the future. The playing field must remain level for all users and not become vulnerable to segmental or special interest interference or pricing. I strongly oppose this proposal.

2560. William Hartman, Austin, TX, 78735
Comments to the FCC regarding Proceeding 17-801 Please retain the classification of Internet service providers (ISPs) under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. We need net neutrality, and the case for removing ISPs from Title II is unjustified. The stated justification is to promote competitive investment, but there is no need to rescind Title II classification to do that. Here are several reasons why:Â· The Internet functions as a utility and should be regulated as such. The Internet has become a basic part of Americans' lives, as basic as water and electricity. Both of those are utilities, and the Internet should be regarded as a utility. Title II mandates common carriage: if a consumer wants to use a certain service and is willing to pay a reasonable price for it, the service provider can't discriminate against that customer and deny service. As providers of a utility necessary for Americansâ€™ prosperity, ISPs should be required to abide by common carriage rules. And the only legal way for the FCC to do that is to retain Title II classification.Â· The American broadband market is not competitive. Rescinding Title II would not change

that 89 percent of Americans have but one or two options for broadband, and one of them is often much slower than the other. This is not a situation ripe for fierce competition and lower prices. ISPs have little incentive to invest in better service for consumers. Rescinding Title II classification would allow them to charge higher prices for certain content, but would not incent them to invest in better service.Â· Broadband investment is dominated by a tiny handful of corporations. Measuring their investment alone is not a good metric for assessing the public interest in the Internet. There are other obvious metrics the FCC could use to measure the state of broadband in America: the number of ISPs providing equivalent services to various American cities, wired and wireless. You could measure the average cost of broadband services (which are flat to up) or the average cost per megabit of data (which is going down). You could measure broadband adoption. You could just measure the average speeds and data caps available to citizens, and their relative cost. All of these metrics indicate that there is no need to rescind Title II classification.Â· Title II hasn't hurt network investment, according to the ISPs themselves. No single publicly traded US ISP ever told its investors (or the SEC) that Title II negatively impacted its own investments specifically.

(<https://www.freepress.net/sites/default/files/resources/internet-access-and-online-video-markets-are-thriving-in-title-II-era.pdf>) In December 2015, AT&T's CEO told investors that the company would "deploy more fiber" in 2016 than it did in 2015 and that Title II would not impede its future business plans. In December 2016, Comcast's chief financial officer admitted to investors that any concerns it had about reclassification were based only on "the fear of what Title II could have meant, more than what it actually meant." That same month, Charter's CEO told investors, "Title II, it didn't really hurt us; it hasn't hurt us." Just a few days after the election, Cablevision and Suddenlink's parent company Altice reaffirmed its plan to deploy FTTH [fiber-to-the-home] service to all of its customers and told investors that it remained "focused on upgrading our broadband networks to drive increases in broadband speeds and better customer experience." ISPs have continued investing in order to expand network capacity. Comcast spent \$7.6 billion on its cable segment capital expenditures during 2016, the most the company has ever invested in a single year. Charter Communications, an ISP, dramatically increased its network investments during the two years following the FCC's Open Internet Order vote. From 2015 to 2016 Charter's pro forma capital investments [including newly acquired Time Warner Cable and Bright House Networks] topped \$14.5 billion, a 15 percent increase which came despite hundreds of millions of dollars (or more) in synergies that Charter claimed following the May 2016 closing of the deal. Verizon's capital investment total increased during the year following the FCC's adoption of the Open Internet Order (just as the company said it would a month before the February 2015 vote). And Verizon's total two-year post-vote capital expenditures were 3.1 percent higher than they were in the two years preceding

the vote, even as the company divested its Florida, Texas, and California systems to Frontier Communications. Since Title II classification has not caused ISPs to reduce their investment, there is no need to rescind it.Â· Internet service providers are not the entire Internet. The FCC should encourage all Internet economic activity, not just that of the ISPs. People care about content. Americans value the content and services they get on the Internet and do not want them meddled with. They do not, however, love their internet service providers. The conflation of Internet access with the Internet is dangerous and misleading. Major technology companies such as Apple, Google, Microsoft, Facebook, Netflix and Amazon have thrived because of open and unrestricted access to the Internet. The FCC should not give broadband providers in an uncompetitive market the ability to act as the gatekeepers to this much economic activity. We should not threaten the market conditions that have allowed our most innovative and valuable companies to thrive.Â· Rescinding Title II classification would be anti-competitive and would harm new entrants to the market. If smaller players and new entrants to the market for Internet-enabled services and commerce have to pay for access to be competitive with the major players, then innovation is stifled. That is not in the interests of the American public. For all these reasons, please retain the classification of Internet service providers (ISPs) under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Regards, Bill Hartman745WLH@gmail.com

2561. Kristofer Tatsch, Austin, TX, 78745

The current policy being proposed by the FCC is a misrepresentation of the internet neutrality and regulation issue, and is harmful to all citizens and businesses of our nation, and indeed of the international community. While I do not believe in undo or unnecessary government regulation, the internet is as essential as any other utility including water and electricity. The only reason to not bring internet access under Title II regulation as with these other utilities is if the FCC intends to completely overhaul the regulation methods of all utilities, which obviously seems to be out of your charge. The previous decision was not in fact a partisan decision, but rather one made on behalf of a majority of Americans who believe that access to a free and open internet is critical to our freedom as a democracy.

2562. Matthew Mastrodicasa, Austin, TX, 78705

Hello FCC, I am a gunloving landowning republican but want to keep net neutrality please listen to me. Thanks, Matt

2563. Matthew Dietz, San Antonio, TX, 78259

This hilariously (and I assume, ironically) named law would do nothing but serve the biggest corporate players at the expense of literally everyone else in the world. Most traffic passes through the United States, and to incorporate for-pay access lanes is absurdity of the highest order. Every one even remotely

involved in this farce should resign in disgrace, effective immediately.

2564. Will, Austin, TX, 78703

Dear FCC, This is not a political party issue. This is an American freedom issue promoted by some of the most powerful companies in the world looking to grow that power. Net neutrality is fundamentally one of the greatest equalizers in our modern society, and to alter Net Neutrality in any way would deform and end that equality. Because of Net Neutrality free speech is still alive. The poorest voice can be heard or, at the very least, have the opportunity to be heard. However, without net neutrality, big companies could censor people and perspectives online. This may sound great if you are affiliated with one of these big companies. But what happens when the big company is no longer there? What happens when you're not part of the "power"? When this censorship starts affecting you negatively? Who will hear your voice? I fear the day we, as Americans, lose one of the most important forms of our First Amendment rights. My heart hurts thinking about all the voices we lose and all the creativity that gets forcibly ignored. Personally, I have very strong passions and ideals of my own. And I often hate hearing other's seemingly narrow views on the internet, but they also deserve a voice and they deserve the same freedoms as the majority. From another lens, Net Neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started. This stimulates our economy, keeps America strong, our GDP up, and truly makes America great again. Without net neutrality, creators and entrepreneurs could struggle to reach new users. Investment in new ideas would dry up and only the big companies would survive, stifling innovation. I don't want to live in a country or a world without net neutrality. In that sad world, ISPs could decide I have watched too many videos on Netflix in one day and throttle your Internet speeds (what if I was an educator using educational shows to help enforce a topic in my classroom and the ISP decided I've used enough?) Overall, Net neutrality allows consumers "not big companies" to choose what they watch & do online. It promotes a modern form of free speech and makes America free. Without Net Neutrality, I do not believe President Trump would have been elected. I'm not a fan of him, but I think it would have been unAmerican if ISP prevented him the opportunity to run and have his voice heard. Please keep Net Neutrality alive.

2565. Russell Turner, Austin, TX, 78745

Leave the internet in its current state of neutrality. Treat it like water, electricity or any other utility. We are not baby-boomers. Those of us born after 1980 are far more aware of this situation than many of you in the FCC may understand. We are well aware of the consequences of what you intend to do. Deals will be made to show favoritism or disfavor to various sites, limiting internet freedom of speech. Imagine if companies could legally throttle natural gas and water as they see fit, based on what is ultimately most profitable to themselves.

2566. jemery harel, austin, TX, 78741
DO NOT REPEAL NET NEUTRALITY PROTECTIONS. WHY ARE YOU DOING WHAT CORPORATIONS WANT INSTEAD OF THE PEOPLE?
2567. Hannah Lacy, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624
Net Neutrality keeps me and my right to view and say what I like safe! Taking it away is an attack on free speech and puts power into the hands of big businesses. Restore our rights! It is not the government's right to take away!
2568. Dennis Ludwick, pipe creek, TX, 78063
GOO WILL REGRET THIS IN 2018 ELECTIONS!!!
2569. George Kleck, Stonewall, TX, 78671
It's your job as a recipient of taxpayer paid salary to work for the benefit of The American People. You no longer represent Verizon
2570. Rachael Petersen, austin, TX, 78748
Don't gut net neutrality! The internet has improved my quality of life, and my ability to learn and self teach more quickly!!!! So much out there for me to have, and all it takes is a little bit of discipline.
2571. Cathy Greunke, San Antonio, TX, 78258
I want better Net Neutrality, not worse. With the recent disaster of the hurricane, Harvey, it is better to have an open Internet to ask for help, be helped, stay informed, and share. People should not have to worry about limits of what they can send in situations like that, as an example.
2572. Kevin Sauer, Austin, TX, 78701
I am very concerned that the FCC is thinking of doing away with Title 2 net neutrality protections. I support strong net neutrality. In the past, I have read about internet service providers throttling content to their customers to spur payments from the content providers to the ISP. Specifically, I am thinking of an instance involving Netflix where Netflix was forced to pay money to an ISP to get the ISP to stop throttling Netflix connections. Let's not return to a system where that kind of behavior is ok. When content is throttled, corporations gain the ability to influence the content viewed by Americans based on who is paying them the most money. Please, please, keep the internet free and open. Thanks for reading my comment.
2573. Eva Frank, Austin, TX, 78704
Please do not reverse or weaken the Net Neutrality regulations introduced by the previous administration. Net neutrality is essential for our economic future.
2574. Jake Wilk, Austin, TX, 78704
The internet needs to be free and it should not be up to the internet providers to

charge more for better access: it is classist and exclusionary and furthers the economic divide that already exists in this country. The internet can be a safe place for people of all socio-economic backgrounds to participate equally and interact with one another. You can certainly pay more for better service, but that is a choice. And this would essentially take power away from the people and put us more at the whim of corporations who care more about profit than they do about empowering their customers. "...ISPs couldn't arbitrarily block websites, couldn't reduce service speed, and couldn't charge more for access to internet "fast lanes." A Title II common carrier can't "make any unjust or unreasonable discrimination in charges, practices, classifications, regulations, facilities, or services," obliging them to operate "in the public interest." Do you want websites to be arbitrarily blocked? Why would we not want Title II common carriers to act in the public interest? By doing away with classifications for Title II classifications for ISPs, you are bringing us closer to the type of censorship and control we see in China and the way their government handles internet access. We do not want the internet to be regulated in this way.

2575. Dylan Magoun, San Antonio, TX, 78216

please keep the title II classification for all ISP's.

2576. eris castrogivanni, Austin, TX, 78704

Please do not repeal net neutrality. It is important in order to keep ISPs from overstepping their bounds and engaging in anticompetitive behavior. In a world where ISPs also own content providers, this kind of regulation is necessary.

2577. Jonathan Thomas De Jesus, San Antonio, TX, 78229

Ideas are what drive American Ingenuity and Creativity. The internet allows access to new and novel ideas, much like the library served to proliferate ideas in the age of print. In addition it allows for new ideas to be posted and distributed equally to people. To allow the internet to be throttled down or unequally allotted based on internet provider will hamper individuals from the expression of ideas and may hamper future productivity of the US. In order for the US to compete in both domestic and foreign markets, the US must consider net neutrality paramount to ensuring that our ideas, our products, and our companies (large and small) are given an even playing field to compete with other global agents.

2578. J Workman, Austin, TX, 78704

I support net neutrality. People deserve access to a free internet that isn't controlled by companies.

2579. Mike Hardy, San Antonio, TX, 78209

I am submitting my support for maintaining net neutrality. "The Internet Freedom Preservation Act of 2009 establishes the basic rules of the road for an

open Internet. And its arrival couldn't be more timely. We are amid the greatest technological transition in our media since the invention of the printing press. An open Internet is driving this change. It's a communications tool that, while still in its infancy, is already storming the gates of media's old guard. But they're not letting us in without a fight. Traditional media fear a system that is more decentralized, participatory and personal. While their outlets still dominate, mainstream media are threatened by a generation of users who have embraced the Internet to control their information experience. These users no longer passively consume the news; we actively participate in it. We no longer limit our civic involvement to watching television ads and reading editorial pages. We Google candidates to learn more, create our own political networks on Facebook, and use Twitter to stay on top of the issues we care about most. As the Internet breaks down old political, economic and social barriers, it raises new concerns about free speech, control, privacy and equality. The Internet Freedom Preservation Act will safeguard the basic rights of our emerging media democracy. It makes Net Neutrality the standard, locking in the network's greatest strength: its ability to give everyone a chance to be heard -- whether a little-known blogger, local environmental group or giant multinational corporation. Without Net Neutrality, this democratic Internet could fall prey to the companies that deliver Internet services. For them our new found media freedom is a threat that needs to be controlled for commercial gain. We must act now to pass this bill. Here are seven reasons why:

1. Economic Recovery and Prosperity "The Internet has thrived and revolutionized business and the economy precisely because it started as an open technology," Eshoo said in a statement on Friday. The Internet is so closely tied to U.S. economic recovery that President Obama and Congress earmarked more than \$7 billion to help build out more high-speed connections at a time when our economy needs it most. Obama and Congress also recognized that the economy cannot benefit by building a closed Internet. The American Reinvestment and Recovery Act requires that all federally funded networks be services that meet "nondiscrimination and network interconnection obligations" -- that abide by Net Neutrality. "The Internet is an essential infrastructure," declares Markey and Eshoo's bill. "The national economy would be severely harmed if the ability of Internet content, service, and application providers to reach consumers was frustrated by interference from broadband telecommunications network operators."
2. Free Speech Freedom of the press extends only to those who own one -- or so the saying goes. It once rang true in a world ruled by newspaper chains, radio and television broadcasters, and cable networks. But the Internet has changed all that, delivering the press -- and in theory its freedoms -- to any person with a good idea and a connection to the Web. This extraordinary twist to "mass media" has catapulted many an everyday YouTube auteur to celebrity-status, while turning ideas born in a garage or dorm room into Fortune 500 companies. It is the reason so many Americans are now passionate about protecting their free speech rights on the Internet. The Internet Freedom

Preservation Act would stop would-be gatekeepers from re-routing the free-flowing Web. "To meet other national priorities, and to our right to free speech under the First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States," the bill says, "the United States should adopt a clear policy preserving the open nature of Internet communications."

3. Civic Participation
New media are more participatory and personal than ever before and have opened up new avenues for people to become involved with local, state and national politics. We saw it during the 2008 presidential election when tens of millions expressed their support for Obama and McCain via interactive Facebook, Twitter and e-mail forums. We are seeing it in 2009 from the streets of Tehran to the work of organizations like the Sunlight Foundation and the Center for Responsive Politics, which use the Internet as the means to open governments to public scrutiny and accountability. This wave of digital empowerment is the gathering force for a healthier democracy, and it all depends upon a more open, affordable and accessible Internet for everyone. Expanding Internet access alone doesn't erase concerns over what kind of information people will find when they get online. Net Neutrality guarantees that we all have an equal opportunity to play a part.

4. The Marketplace of Ideas
The Internet was the great surprise of the 20th century. Sir Tim Berners-Lee created the standard that opened the World Wide Web to everyone with an idea and a connection. At that time, few could imagine that this open architecture would fuel such a powerful eruption of economic, social and political creativity. The Internet Freedom Preservation Act "will protect consumers and content providers because it will restore the guarantee that one does not have to ask permission to innovate," Rep. Markey said when he introduced the bill. This is true regardless of your age, social status or location. Net Neutrality safeguards everyone's fundamental right to an open Internet, making it possible for one person's good idea to blossom into the next big business or, even, a movement of millions.

5. Social Justice
Broadband in America today is not equally accessible: Users are predominantly middle- or upper-class and live in urban or suburban areas. Poorer communities and communities of color, as well as communities in rural areas, have been largely left off the grid. Imagine what it would mean, then, to provide a connection to disadvantaged areas without also extending to them Net Neutrality's guarantee of openness. Dominant ISPs have argued for this exception, saying Net Neutrality prevents them from connecting more people. But it's a false choice and far too high a cost to give network owners the power to shunt ideas percolating up from these communities to a digital backwater. The Internet Freedom Preservation Act guarantees equal and unbridled access to the Internet's engine of opportunity, leveling the playing field so that we all have a chance to be heard.

6. The Rise of the Gatekeepers
A high-speed connection is useful only if you can connect to everyone else online. Net Neutrality leaves control over your Internet experience with you, the user. Yet network operators are considering charging extra money depending on where you want to go and what you want to do online. Some are deploying

technology that would sift through and filter the content that you share with others online. Such discrimination endangers the open and level playing field that has made the Internet so democratic. As more of us rely upon a high-speed connection to do all things media — watch and make video, follow the news, listen to music, Tweet, email and call our friends — legacy media are too tempted to get in our way, steering us back via old channels where they make all decisions for us. But there’s no going back to the analog oligarchy. The Internet Freedom Preservation Act keeps the gatekeepers at bay."The above quote was from a blog post by Timothy Karr. We should be moving towards a world where interconnectivity is a guaranteed right, and thus it behooves the government to keep that responsibility out of the hands of corporations, whose primary obligation is to shareholders, not consumers. Thank you

2580. Brian Bahr, Buda, TX, 78610

I support the current Title 2 net neutrality regulations. Repealing these would not be in the public interest.

2581. Maura Windlinger, Kerrville, TX, 78028

I believe that internet service should be made available to everyone living in the United States. We live in a rural area and our only provider, Windstream, is not willing to provide adequate service to our area, even if we agree to pay more for the service.

2582. John W Reynolds, Austin, TX, 78749

The internet seems to have been quite successful over the past few decades just as it is. If it ain't broke, neither Verizon nor ATT nor Comcast can fix it. Keep the internet open.

2583. Tom Thayer, Austin, TX, 78736

I urge you not to repeal the "Net Neutrality" regulations put in place by the previous administration. The government has a responsibility to protect consumers and businesses from ISPs that will give preferential treatment to those with more money or those they agree with ideologically or for other reasons. The fact that there is limited competition among ISPs makes government intervention even more needed. Making ISPs treat all users equally will give rise more innovation, especially from individuals or small businesses. There is no evidence that Net Neutrality will hurt the overall economy, though it may hurt vested corporate monopoly interests. Prior to Net Neutrality, the internet in the US lagged behind other countries where the government plays a larger role in telecommunications. Net Neutrality will only help the majority of Americans and further innovation and entrepreneurship.

2584. Chris Buckner, San Antonio, TX, 78247

Title II needs to be in place and ISPs should NOT be under Title I. This must be done to ensure that all ISPs play fair with the traffic to our homes. I back strong

net neutrality. (Title II) Please help preserve the internet as is for all. Companies large or small.

2585. Stephen Dyer Mayo, Comfort, TX, 78013

I sincerely hope you keep the internet in its most free state possible. It is still far too young to begin throwing shackles on its social and economical abilities, especially for the sake of a few peoples' wallets. Keep the internet free and open, for the love and nourishment of mankind. Thank You

2586. faulk, dean, San Antonio, TX, 78218

I support Net Neutrality in The strictest form. I believe that any organization or company using my information, without my permission I find that to be quite disturbing.

2587. Kindyl Boyer, Austin, TX, 78704

Preserve net neutrality and title ii. Thanks.

2588. Mary Kerns, Austin, TX, 78745

It is imperative the FCC preserves Net Neutrality and Title II. The people want net neutrality as it currently stands. Keep it under Title II.

2589. John Heaner, San Antonio, TX, 78209

I'm expressing support for the existing rules protecting Net Neutrality. The telcos have both stated and demonstrated their desire to use the duopoly/monopoly position of ISP choice throughout much of the US to extract higher profits from lower standards of service, including reducing service speeds under the guise of "consumer choice" to extract higher rates for service speeds lower than those across most of the developed world. The telcos have used lobbying and the courts for the position of becoming effectively utilities and they should be rewarded by being regulated like utilities. If they want reduced regulation they should be forced to truly compete on a level and open market rather than using their lobbying dollars to block competition from municipalities and new competitors.

2590. John Erwin, San Antonio, TX, 78247

The internet and access to it has become a crucial and integral part of every American's life the same way that water, electricity, and telephones did decades ago. This makes the internet a utility and so it should be regulated as such. FCC-CIRC1705-05 claims that prior to the adoption of the Title II order that high-speed internet access proliferated at affordable rates, but that is entirely not the truth. I have lived abroad and experienced high-speed internet at affordable rates, and only one company offers a service that's anywhere close to that, Google Fiber. Unfortunately, Google Fiber is available to very few residents of America. The graphs contained here,
<http://www.pbs.org/newshour/updates/internet-u-s-compare-globally-hint->

slower-expensive/, based on data prior to the Title II Order show that Americans pay more for less when it comes to internet. Why should we trust telecommunications companies to change this by returning them to the rules that allowed them to create this poor value proposition situation in the first place? The solution is to treat the internet from the backbone to the consumer's home/mobile device the same way electricity is treated through shared infrastructure at regulated prices ensuring that we all have access to the same high-speeds at affordable rates no matter what content we're consuming from whatever website/company we choose to consume it.

2591. shaun vanderkaap, Austin, TX, 78704
Relying on large companies to self regulate is not a recipe for free and transparent practices. Just as reducing taxes does not increase investment, adding or maintaining this regulation will not impact current or future hardware rollout

2592. ziga tretjak, Sunset Valley, TX, 78745
Please preserve net neutrality under title II

2593. Mary Thomason, Boerne, TX, 78006
The Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) that protect freedom of expression and access to information on the Internet are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want government-sanctioned monopoly ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let these giants censor what we see and do online. Censorship on the Internet is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the Open Internet rules are repealed. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the five most valuable companies in America, just to further enrich the government-created cable monopolies that are famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Monopolies like Comcast and AT&T will be able to impose a tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for alternative voices and others who can't pay up, to be heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a

former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Mary Thomason

2594. Gregory Nelson, Spring Branch, TX, 78070
We support strong oversight with Title 2 over the ISPs. Do not move them back to Title 1 and allow for throttling and taxing of the internet.
2595. Jason Meise, San Antonio, TX, 78259
I strongly support net neutrality and article 2. I do not believe leaving the control of internet speed up to the providers will allow equal opportunity to companies.
2596. Lindsey Gudritz, Austin, TX, 78704
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am a free-market conservative, and I believe a free market can not exist without fair and judicious laws that provide a framework for competition to thrive.
2597. Christopher Vallejo, Austin, TX, 78704
The internet needs to be free for God's sake. Preserve net neutrality and title 2.
2598. Darren Hahne, Fredericksburg, TX, 78624
I strongly support STRONG net neutrality rules.
2599. Estell R. Williams, Austin, TX, 78701
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2! Just DO IT!!!!
2600. Jim Gabbard, New Braunfels, TX, 78130
We strongly support Strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's
2601. allan lopez, San Antonio, TX, 78217
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 over site of ISP's. Further more I think we can all do better. this is a step in the wrong direction and will hamper generations to come.

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used national language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. <https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9f0e3ed36a6>.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.