

Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from TX District #20

These are the 960 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Joaquin Castro's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. Christina Denton, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Good evening, I am writing to request that the FCC enforce net neutrality. Allowing service providers to charge a fee for quicker access puts a burden on sites that are not operated for profit. The highest quality information is generally put out by people without a profit motive. Both for academic researchers and the average person, it is in their best interest if all content is equally accessible. Thank you for your time. - Christina Denton
2. Nicholas Creel, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Net neutrality is pivotal to innovation and to protecting consumers from the de facto monopoly of ISPs. Please put aside the slavishly mega-business above consumer predilections of the right wing ideology and think of what is best for the masses.
3. Rodman L Thompson, San Antonio, TX, 78251
We live in a country of elected officials. Why is big business allowed to lobby (manipulate & pay off) our elected officials afterwards? Our country belongs to Everyone, not just big business! Please reconsider the ramifications of this, and think about what else big business could and WILL do, once they get their way! Where will it end? I don't want to think about the consequences. Thank you for your attention, Rodman L Thompson San Antonio, TX
4. Ryan Russell, San Antonio, TX, 78254
After Net Neutrality became the law of the land, we saw tremendous improvements in internet quality and speed in San Antonio, and the streaming services and other sites I go to regularly are able to be viewed at any time. Without this protection from ISPs, we know what will happen; it happened in the past when Netflix traffic was throttled. With a so-called 'fast lane' (ie: normal lane; all other traffic slowed), our freedom of speech is limited, and streaming services that bring Americans so much joy and happiness may be forced to increase prices above what the average American can afford. The telecom industry giants have shown a track record of taking Government money intended for infrastructure development and wasting this money on executive bonuses and company parties. It is clear that while the rest of the technology world has experienced tremendous innovation and improvement, the large ISPs don't care to improve anything, and only seek to bleed Americans dry. It is for this reason that the consumers, who largely don't have real true competition across all of America to choose their provider from, will be punished if Net Neutrality protections for American citizens' free speech is removed. Our Internet service in America is significantly more expensive for a slower and less reliable product than other countries in the world. In fact, the lack of competition here, and the lack of protections for consumers for so long is a major cause of us not being in the global top 10 for internet speed (also not in the top 10 for best price or best quality). Data caps hinder this significantly too -- as an infrastructure engineer myself, I know all too well that these do nothing to reduce costs nor reduce congestion for their infrastructure (this is well documented). All of these things, and far too many more to list, are why consumers need the protection of Net Neutrality -- an internet free of data caps, prioritization for 'fast lanes' for paying businesses (thus stifling innovation for all those who aren't already rich), and so forth. It is already a global tragedy that these great United States are considering repealing this, and we have become a laughing matter for the rest of the world in our top 1%'s efforts to ruin all that this country has that makes us great. Do the right thing. Don't repeal Net Neutrality, and get rid of data caps.
5. Brandon Beidel, San Antonio, TX, 78212
Giving cable companies the ability to charge different rates for content providers is anticompetitive against small businesses and would heavily impede the growth and opportunities for many. Each bit of information should be treated equally. Do not undo net neutrality rules. The access to the Internet is a UTILITY that every American needs in order to participate in the Economy.
6. Randahl Capurro, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Keep Net Neutrality alive and keep the IPS companies as Title II. Asking any company to self regulate themselves against something that will positively impact their bottom line is incredibly foolish. Calling this the Restoring Internet Freedom act is a gross misuse of the word Freedom, as it does not protect the freedom of the people and will likely lead only to a restriction on the freedom of consumers.
7. sophia cantu, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I strongly urge you to keep net neutrality and title 2.
8. Emily Hawley, Helotes, TX, 78023
I SPECIFICALLY support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
9. N Montez, San Antonio, TX, 78228
I do not support the new FCC chair's intentions to remove Title 2 classification from ISPs. This action Ajit Pai is endorsing will absolutely annihilate any semblance of net neutrality or an open and free internet, as he puts it. If he is successful in removing this classification, it will start an arms race of bandwidth that the profit driven ISPS will be in charge of regulating, rather than allowing the democratically elected oversight take care of this enforcement. Let the people be in command of a free and open internet and don't bow

to corporate heads who are only concerned with profit. Let the people worry about freedom, as the corporate ISPs will only support what makes them rich. Which in this case is less freedom for the rest of us. Do not remove Title II classification or regulations from ISPs. DO NOT. Support Net Neutrality and declare the internet a public utility.

10. Andrea Garcia, San Antonio, TX, 78228
Good morning,I respectfully ask that Federal oversight remain intact in regard to net neutrality. I respectfully ask that Title I and Title II remain the same and not have ISPs reclassified. I do not want my internet service disrupted or slowed because of competition between ISPs. Thank you.
11. William Duffy, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I am writing in support of current Net Neutrality regulations. It is vital to the future of an open and secure internet.
12. Net Neutrality, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Protect Net Neutrality and protect Title one and Title two
13. Edmund, San Antonio, TX, 78229
We need to keep Net Neutrality rules in place. Removing them only reduces our freedom of speech!
14. Nathan Dowell, san antonio, TX, 78254
I support Net Neutrality.. Please do not deregulate this!!
15. Laura Triplett, San Antonio, TX, 78216
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight ISPs .
16. kat, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I support strong net neutraility rules
17. april ledesma, san antonio, TX, 78228
preserve strong neutrality and title II
18. Austin, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Net neutrality is now, more than ever, simply a basic right of all Americans. We all deserve equal, unrestricted internet access and usage, and no corporation should have the ability to alter that in any way.
19. Steven Prezioso, San Antonio, TX, 78253
I strongly support net neutrality in it's entirety. And I believe for the best benefit of the American People, ISP's should remain under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
20. Nicholas Arnold, San Antonio, TX, 78240
As a supporter of Net Neutrality, I strongly oppose any efforts to reclassify ISPs at Title I. The FCC should maintain ISPs' current classification as Title II.
21. James Bair, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I strongly support continued oversight by the FCC into ISPs; specifically, we should continue to regulate internet service providers under title 2, to ensure a fair and truly free internet. Not the freedom to manipulate the internet for corporate profit, but for freedom of the users.TL:DR - keep net neutrality. That's what the entire internet is built on.
22. Lance Escobedo, helotes, TX, 78023
Hello,I am concerned that another attack on the current standings of net neutrality is under way. Corporations should not have power to change any service of internet access. All internet connections provided should run equal and with out interference. or manipulation. It is vital not only for our freedom but for our safety and well being. I would like to say I support a strong net neutrality backed by tittle II oversight of ISPs. Thank you for your time.Thank you,Lance EscobedoHelotes, Texas
23. Brad Chapman, San Antonio, TX, 78229
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II. The pipeline I use should not determine what sites and content I view at what speeds. My pipeline should be determined by me, not restricted by a company who has something to gain when cutting the flow to a competitor.
24. Amanda, San Antonio, TX, 78228
I grew up with the Internet. I made some of the best friends of my life online. The internet is the most powerful resource I've come to experience in my life and it should be open, free and available for all.
25. Robert Madrid, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Net neutrality ensures that the market place of internet service remains free and equally accessible to all people. An open and free internet is essential to our economy and ensuring that America stays at the forefront of digital innovations. While it would be preferable that companies choose for themselves to adhere to the principals of net neutrality, history has shown that they can not be trusted to do so. As such it is the governments responsibility to step in and maintain a fair marketplace by enforcing net neutrality rules.
26. TIMOTHY, San Antonio, TX, 78254
PLEASE UPHOLD TITLE TWO AND NET NEUTRALITY RULES. THANK YOU, AMERICAN INTERNET USER.
27. Andrew J Menchaca, San Antonio, TX, 78249
You need to support net neutrality and support for Title II !

28. Net Neutrality, San Antonio, TX, 78216
I support strong title 2 protections of Net Neutrality. Don't change it. Seriously, What the Fuck?
29. Ariel R Waters, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Keep strong net neutrality supported by title II
30. Daniel Haley, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II for Internet Service Providers.
31. Jerome Stewart, San Antonio, TX, 78251
I specifically support, strong net neutrality backed by title 2 over site of ISP
32. Cody Lopez, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I strongly stand in support of the robust protection of net neutrality afforded to it by title II classification.
33. Xander McAvoy, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Net Neutrality is one of the most valuable things we can have in a democracy. By rolling back Net Neutrality, even marginally, you are threatening free speech and the liberties of American citizens. The only parties who could possibly benefit from a lack of Net Neutrality are ISPs and their investors. Customers and content creators will suffer by the millions if Title II is left behind. Please, keep the regulation of ISPs where it is; keep Title II.
34. Alexis, San Antonio, TX, 78227
Continue to enforce strict net neutrality with e even stricter title 2 oversight! We the people are allowed to choose without retaliation!
35. Kevin Caraballo, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. The internet is the only place left that is wide open to the public and does not favor anyone over anyone else. We need to protect it.
36. John, SAN Antonio, TX, 78240
Please do not roll back Title II regulations. I want strong net neutrality rules in place, forever. The Chairman of the FCC is wrong about this issue and should be ashamed of himself. He seems to be another lackey for the current administration, doing the opposite of what the people want.
37. Adam Lawrence Kirchner, San Antonio, TX, 78212
I support net neutrality, and ask that my representatives do the same.
38. Sergio Leal, San Antonio, TX, 78249
You need to maintain a balance and maintain net neutrality once and for all.
39. Alexis O. Cintron, San Antonio, TX, 78253
I fully support a Tittle 2 regulation by the FCC for our ISP. Ajit Pai's statements are counter to everything that has happened and goes against the best interests of the US population. Less restriction of our ISPs will encourage price gauging and will hamper ALL small entities ability to compete in an open and free market that can be purchased by corporations looking to keep completion from ever becoming relevant.
40. isaac gallegos, San Antonio, TX, 78242
I support an open and free internet with regulation under Title II. If we allow ISPs to maintain net neutrality through Terms of Service, we will be extremely disappointed. Net neutrality can only be maintained by keeping them under Title II.
41. Mike Roberts, san antonio, TX, 78249
Please, keep net neutrality intact by keeping ISP's under article II!
42. Jeremy Siegal, San Antonio, TX, 78216
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality, don't gut the fucking Internet. Claiming ISP's don't need any sort of oversight is the same as claiming that police officers do not need body cameras. If there's nothing questionable going on then this should be an easy decision.
43. Brandan Gerry, San Antonio, TX, 78240
You need to preserve title 2 and keep the net neutral. The fact this is an issue is ridiculous. You should be working on our behalf, not corporations. Your boss should be ashamed of himself.
44. Michael Gutierrez, San Antonio, TX, 78254
I fully support, and expect the FCC, to uphold the rules regarding net neutrality. It would be an absolute shame if we did away with the rules, despite your chairman's obviously biased views on the matter
45. Steven Robbins, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Net neutrality must be preserved. The FCC must retain the ability to enforce net neutrality so that my ISP cannot control what I have access to.
46. Frederic Turman, San Antonio, TX, 78229
I support Title II and believe in net neutrality. It should not be changed.
47. Anthony Morfa, San antonio, TX, 78201
I support net neutrality and for it to continue as it is currently, under Title 2.

48. Bradley Shepherd, San Antonio, TX, 78230
I am strongly in favor of keeping Net Neutrality.
49. Juan Guerrero, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Leave the current Net Neutrality laws as is. Please do not make any changes. If anything, resume your lawsuits against Verizon and ATT for favoring their own TV streaming platforms and not charging data use on wireless networks.
50. Mike Smith, San Antonio, TX, 78251
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Do not change it!
51. Sean Tompkins, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I support keeping strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight by the FCC. Corporations have a strong incentive to filter and restrict content based on financial dealings - voluntary neutrality agreements are worthless.
52. net neutrality, san antonio, TX, 78249
I am very strongly in support of net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP!
53. Jacob Goforth, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality through regulation under title 2. You must preserve net neutrality
54. Abishai Martinez, San Antonio, TX, 78228
I strongly support Net Neutrality. I hope you preserve it and not ruin the internet freedom of your American citizens.
55. Scott Espenshade, san antonio, TX, 78228
I am writing this to say keep the current net neutrality keeping all ISPS under Title 2.
56. VICENTE RICHARTE, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78250
WHAT JOHN OLIVER SAID
57. Jean Hennig, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I support network neutrality and freedom of the press.
58. Cesar Garcia, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I want Net Neutrality so I don't get saddled with content I don't want from my internet provider while limiting my access to things I do want.
59. Mario Mottu, San Antonio, TX, 78240
DO NOT DO THIS! TITLE 2 IS NECESSARY FOR YOUR RIGHTS TO BE LEFT ALONE!!
60. Aldous Gimenez, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I am writing to voice my unwavering support for net neutrality. Leave it alone, and let the internet remain a level playing field for all businesses big and small to compete. There is no other place in the world where a mom and pop shop can compete with big business. The internet is still the only place where its neutrality still breeds innovation and where most of the biggest American Companies owe their existence to. Let it be, and let new companies be born to innovate and grow the American Economy.
61. zel, san antonio, TX, 78249
please preserve net neutrality along with title two.
62. Michael Festger, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I support internet neutrality as it is protected under Title 2.
63. Maxwell Anderson, San Antonio, TX, 78201
I support strictly enforced net neutrality, and "Title II" classification for all ISPs. Freedom over internet access drives American innovation.
64. Norman L Reitzel, San Antonio, TX, 78249
"Innovation" is a two-edged sword. The financial institutions in 2006 brought innovative products to market including CDO's and Credit Default Swaps. These were good for the financial institutions and disastrous for the consumer. "Net Neutrality" definitely interferes with the ability of large corporations to gouge the consuming public. I thought that the entire reason for the FCC was to serve the interest of the public, especially where it conflicts with the interest of corporations and their stockholders (and lobbyists). Please do not let political aspersions interfere with the primary purpose of the FCC, to represent the interests of the public at large.
65. Kevin Balderas, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Retain title II classification and preserve net neutrality!
66. Net Neutrality, San Antonio, TX, 78214
Hello,I was hoping that you would enforce strong Net Neutrality rule under title II. I do not want big companies controlling my broadband speed because all information should flow with same speed. If strong net neutrality rule are not enforce then big corporations can control broadband speed and they will ask user to pay more money for faster speed, which does not seems fair. So please enforce strong net neutrality rules under title II so big corporations can not control internet speed or manipulate how data is flow.
67. Claudia Quintana, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I urge the FCC to support net neutrality under Title II.

68. Nathaniel A Rice, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Net Neutrality is pro business. It helps small and emerging companies. It helps invigorate innovative initiatives.
69. Michael Tovar, San Antonio, TX, 78238
Keep Net Neutrality!!! Keep Title 2!!!!!!
70. Astrid Gonzalez, San Antonio, TX, 78227
Preserve Title 2 enforcement on isp's and keep net neutrality.
71. Kevin Wade, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I support Title II regulation and see it as a benefit to the common man whereas anything less or removal of regulation serves to benefit no user of these services.
72. Jason Bailey, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Keep net neutrality supported by Title II.
73. Jessica, San Antonio, TX, 78221
Title II is the only way to protect ourselves from company manipulation. Preserve net neutrality under title II!
74. Lauren Hernandez, San Antonio, TX, 78221
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and I oppose any actions to remove Title II and Net Neutrality under the Communications Act of 1934.
75. Kelly Bates, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Net neutrality is extremely important. We can not allow it to become jeopardized
76. Dianna Olukotun, San Antonio, TX, 78229
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Making companies add this info into Terms of Service is not binding and frankly ridiculous.
77. Jon Davis, San Antonio, TX, 78240
You need to preserve net neutrality by maintaining the internet and internet service providers under Title 2.
78. Anselmo, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Preserve Net Neutrality! Pi and T Mobile supports ISIS! #GoFCCYourself #JohnOliverForTheWin #SaveTheInternet
79. Mikal Grotte, San Antonio, TX, 78249
There is absolutely no reason for net neutrality protection to be revoked. This is just another attempt by greedy ISPs to make tons of free money.
80. Franklin Moormann, San Antonio, TX, 78229
I'm not happy with allowing internet companies to sell our private data
81. Christine, San Antonio, TX, 78213
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This shouldn't change or be amended for the very reason that the major communication companies want to oversee this in their own way as terms of service. This is not a privilege, it is a necessity within this world.
82. Alfredo Sanchez, San Antonio, TX, 78207
I am in strong support of net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's. I am opposed to this docket, 17-108, and am disgusted at the idea that ISPs should regulate how we receive our internet. It is a basic need and should not be regulated because someone wants to make even more money.
83. Fallon E Foster, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I continue to support strong protections for net neutrality by classifying ISPs under Title II
84. Rodolfo Yanez, San Antonio, TX, 78254
To Whom it may concern, I oppose ANY changes to the current (as of 5/8/2017) distinction of ISPs. The Internet as it is today functions more as a utility, and should be treated as such. That being said, I oppose 17-108 in its entirety, as ISPs should be regulated under Title II.
85. Jose Rodriguez, San Antonio, TX, 78228
I support strong FCC neutrality rules
86. Daniel Silva, San Antonio, TX, 78227
Net Neutrality is integral to us as Americans. By doing away with it, it shows that our government cares more about corporations and profits than tax-paying Americans. We will vote those who support removing our protection out of office. The US is watching. Do what's right for America, not your pockets
87. Daniel Cartee, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I do not support the FCC's actions to remove internet access protections that benefit all businesses and persons. Keep Title II protections governing the internet. Removing rules that protect Americans from monopolistic corporations is like throwing chickens at the fox hole. Please get the gatekeepers under control before you do irreparable harm to the greatest communication tool created to date. You

represent the consumers and your role is to protect consumers from communications companies. Stop trying to aid multi-billion dollar corporations, allowing them to have free reign to harm those pesky little people. Please review the history as to why AT&T was subject to a monopoly break up. Please review history as to why Title II was created in the first place. They will hurt people. But why would you care? They give you VIP treatment that nobody else receives.

88. Ben K., San Antonio, TX, 78226
I strongly support net neutrality and the FCC should too!
89. Jacob, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Please do not go through with the changes you have been advocating so publicly. I do not think they will be a good thing for the economic and personal stability of the internet. In an era where the internet is becoming increasingly necessary to function at a basic level in our economy unfettered access is important, both for economic and market entities as well as for individual persons seeking to express themselves or use services.
90. Luke Williams, San Antonio, TX, 78238
Keep the internet neutral. Do not let corporations control access to the sites we want to see. As internet access is considered a utility, would it seem fair if your power company made it to where only Trane AC units could get your house below 77°F? What about giving priority to Samsung refrigerators causing them to be the only brand to properly cool your food? All because they paid more money to the companies? That would be catastrophic. Eradicating net neutrality would be just as horrific.
91. Samantha Smothers, San Antonio, TX, 78238
Keep the internet open to everyone. This is America, where the little guy is supposed to be given the opportunity to work hard and be just as great as the big dogs. But if we put up a fence to keep the little dogs out and let the big dogs get fatter, then we're sounding more like pre-Revolutionary France than America.
92. aj patterson, san antonio, TX, 78254
save net neutrality u cucks
93. Alex Hernandez, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Tell your chairman that intellectual honesty would go a long way towards the public believing that he has their best interests in mind. Verizon has long been on a campaign to do away with "net neutrality" in an effort to monopolize and monetize data streams. As an ex-lawyer for Verizon, Mr. Pai is now advocating for regulations that will benefit his ex-employer and the general public can clearly see where the FCC chairman's sympathies lay. If net neutrality is done away, the clear losers will be the general public and big winners will be the greedy executives who are salivating at the thought of selling data streams to the highest bidder. The gush of money that will flow into the pockets of ISP's from the elimination of net neutrality will ultimately have to be paid by someone - the ordinary American consumer. NO NO NO! Keep net neutrality. Finally, it would behoove Mr. Pai to stop partnering with the blood sucking leeches who keep creating ways of squeezing more money from everyday average Americans and actually serve the American people who are paying his salary.
94. Lauren Frances Turek, San Antonio, TX, 78229
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. ISPs do not have the consumer's best interest at heart, they have the bottom line at heart and as such, consumers--and their privacy and unfettered access to the internet--must be protected. The potential for abuse is far too great to leave to the ISPS.
95. Poppy Davis, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Keep net neutrality the law. Do not allow companies to voluntarily comply. Weak! Bad!
96. Merrick Miller, San antonio, TX, 78230
As a DJ for all my life and being well versed on net neutrality as well as communication companies. I am HIGHLY in favor of protecting the current net neutrality rules. ISPs will always choose what is best for them and their profit margin. I have seen this first hand with clear channel communications as well as Cox communications. To tear down the rules in place would put the customer last...as usual. Regulations are the only thing that keep these companies in check. PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY!
97. Jonathan Brookins, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2.
98. Thomas Hernandez, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Please do not change the current Net Neutrality title 2 oversight. I support oversight of ISPs for a truly free internet.
99. Stephen Cavazos, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I strongly support net neutrality and title 2 supervision of Internet Service Providers. Please do not take this away from our nation, while not all regulations are necessary this is an important one. Thank you and please keep net neutrality alive
100. Tom Kowalski, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Keep net neutrality. And Title 2.
101. Wayne Stevenson Thomas, San Antonio, TX, 78201
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108. Strong net neutrality is central to the economic well being of the United States.
102. Luis Soto, San Antonio, TX, 78238
I strongly support net-neutrality backed by oversight of Internet Service Providers under Title II of The Communications Act of 1934.

103. Matthew Veley, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Dear FCC/US Government Representatives, I would like you to preserve the current ruling to protect strong net neutrality laws. I believe in a free, equal, and open access to internet for all companies to enjoy. Please vote to keep the internet neutrality laws in place. Thank you for your time and service to this nation.Sincerely,Matthew Veley
104. Logan Miller, San Antonio, TX, 78238
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Net Neutrality is vital to ensuring the free and open internet remains that way and does not become a pay to play platform controlled by ISPs and Media Conglomerates. Do not repeal Net Neutrality. Just like how an Electric Utility cannot control how electricity is used by a customer, ISPs should not be able to control how data is used.
105. Daryl Trimble, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Net neutrality is important to all of those who use the internet. No company should have the right to limit my access to any website I choose. If a government allows this to happen, they are no better than a government willing to turn off twitter, Facebook or other means of communication during times to revolution as they have done in Venezuela, Turkey, Iran, and North Korea. Allowing a company, that I pay, to slow down my connection to all websites but the highest bidder is a few short steps away from them requiring companies to pay them to allow my access.
106. J.Mayer, san antonio, TX, 78254
Keep title II protections for strong net neutrality in place. People are informed on this issue and are overwhelmingly in support of Title II oversight of ISPs!
107. Jeni Brett, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I support net neutrality. We need to preserve it, and Title II. The internet is what makes our world smaller and brings us closer to another. The last thing we need is a bunch of rich assholes breaking us apart.
108. Mark Alan David Jr., San Antonio, TX, 78216
I strongly stand behind strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISP's.
109. Abraham Rivera, San Antonio, TX, 78254
I support net neutrality and title 2 as is and do not wish for that to change.
110. Jill Henderson, San Antonio, TX, 78256
I support keeping strong net neutrality rules under Title II.
111. net, San Antonio, TX, 78213
I want to see strong net netruality.
112. Tim DeLeon, San Antonio, TX, 78228
My wife and I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
113. param singh, San Antonio, TX, 78255
I oppose the changes to the rules for net neutrality.
114. Allen C Pederson, San Antonio, TX, 78229
Please preserve INTERNET NEUTRALITY
115. Byron Harper, San Antonio, TX, 78251
The chairman is wrong, keep internet services under Title II. These are our resources as a public service, it is critical that it stays that. We have already seen what companies like Verizon have done to violate these rules, like the whole blocking of Google wallet because Verizon supports ISIS payments. Changing net neutrality is nothing more than a corrupt corporate give away ad we should not allow it.
116. rodolfo villalpando, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Ajit Pai I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight and regulations of isp's. Presreve net neutrality and Title II
117. Victor Cardona, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. The Internet should be treated as a utility and available to anyone without restriction. Please stop trying to get rid of it..
118. Zachary Austin Lay, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Please preserve Title II for ISPs. Most, if not all, of the ISPs have already gone on record saying that Title II will not change their investment in broadband. The only people this helps are stock holder's bottom lines and you are not in a position to help them you are in a position to help the over all people of the United States of America. Frankly more and more people who are consumers of information through the use of ISPs likely agree it should just be a utility and regulated as such.
119. David Tober, San Antonio, TX, 78237
Protect net neutrality by keeping it under Title II. the internet belongs to the people not the big media providers. Keep the internet open and accessible for everyone.
120. justin center, san antonio, TX, 78249
We need net neutrality.Docket No. 17-108 is awful for the American public and I strongly oppose it.
121. Vinay Menon, San Antonio, TX, 78238
The FCC should support net neutrality and keep ISPs regulated under Title 2

122. Ebrahim Ghazali, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Rolling back Net Neutrality protections will open up consumers like myself to the kinds of abuses seen in New York recently (Riot games and Time Warner Cable), and could lead to small businesses getting priced out of the online marketplace. Net Neutrality is not perfect, but we need greater competition in the ISP sector to prevent monopolies from abusing their power, and right now Net Neutrality is what is stopping them.
123. Stephen Syputa, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Keep ISPs under Title 2. Strong Net Neutrality is important for our nation's future. Keep ISPs under Title 2.
124. Robert John Graham III, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Without Title II protections, ISP would be allowed to use their conflict of interest to crowd out entities for their own services.
125. Paul Cantu, San Antonio, TX, 78242
Net neutrality !
126. Lacy Tull, San Antonio, TX, 78229
It is vital that we maintain net neutrality! It is not the job of an ISP to dictate what websites or services I access. We MUST maintain net neutrality!
127. Michael Grant, San Antonio, TX, 78229
Dear Chairman Pai and others, I believe in an open and fair internet, and urge you all to continue to protect Net Neutrality. Continue to regulate ISPs under Title II and don't let up. The internet is an incredible pathway to information, technology, and freedom, and we can't afford to let ISPs make it unbearable. I urge you to continue the Title II regulation and the enforcement of Net Neutrality.
128. Chris Prince, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. There is no public benefit created from giving ISPs the ability to "control the flow" of internet traffic.
129. Levin Tull, San Antonio, TX, 78229
Mr. Pai, Title II protection for the internet is just as important now as when Verizon, whom you may remember you worked for as a lawyer, tried to stop the FCC from enforcing the regulations that currently stand to create a free and open internet, forcing the FCC to reclassify the internet under Title II regulation. So do us all a favor and put down the mug, then grab your shoulders with both hands and pull REALLY hard, until your head comes out of your ass. Thank you for your time.
130. Chanel Gamboa, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Dear FCC, Please stop allowing this administration to screw over the people. Save us from the cable companies! Join us in supporting strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please!
131. Ted Obringer, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Preserve net neutrality and title II. Do the right thing for our country. Thank you
132. Jordan Wilking, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Keep net neutrality. ISPs have an oligopoly. They should not have more power.
133. William Rodriguez, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I strongly support net neutrality. I've worked in the IT field for over 15 years and I have NEVER met anyone in my field that opposes it. Net neutrality is, frankly speaking, equivalent to freedom of speech, and you can't just strip that away.
134. Jewel Terrell, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78227
Protect Net Neutrality: I support net neutrality and title 2 oversight of our ISP corporations. Internet access should be classified as a utility.
135. Sean Tutor, San Antonio, TX, 78254
I'm filing this because I believe the government is wrong about trying to destroy Net Neutrality. This Internet "Freedom" Act is a lie as the internet is already free. The only "Freedom" it provides is the ability for ISPs to "freely" charge people more money for internet usage. ISPs do not control the internet, they simply allow access to it. The US government does not control the internet because the internet has no borders.
136. Adam Jenicek, san antonio, TX, 78240
I support strong Net Neutrality regulations, because there is NO reason to trust ISP's to regulate themselves. The FCC should keep net neutrality rules for ISP's under Title II.
137. Jacob Johnston, San Antonio, TX, 78251
I'm not going to send you the generic comment that everyone is sending you. I make my living working from home, primarily based around video games. Rolling back net neutrality would destroy my livelihood. I'm 21 and would be starting over fresh, with no other skills. I built my current business from absolutely nothing. Jacob Johnston
138. abe, San Antonio, TX, 78249
4chan sent me.
139. Comment, San Antonio, TX, 78251
The only thing repealing net neutrality helps is corporations who are already filthy rich. No everyday American will benefit from this. Almost everyone uses the internet, and they will all be exploited. Don't bow to corporate interest like so many other parts of our

government has.

140. A. Pena, San antonio, TX, 78249
I'm in favors of you keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. You should be as well.
141. Giancarlo Sanz, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Keep internet neutral under title II. There is no reason to change it and most investments and expansion plans aren't affected significantly. I DON'T want it to change!
142. Robert gutierrez, San Antonio, TX, 78228
I support strong net neutrality and title II!!
143. Monique Allen, San Antonio, TX, 78213
Keep Net Neutrality!! I support net neutrality through Title II!
144. Meghan von Linden, San antonio, TX, 78250
I strongly support net neutrality under title II for what SHOULD be obvious reasons to anyone with strong ethics. Thank you.
145. Aaron Kohler, San Antonio, TX, 78213
I support Net Neutrality. Don't let ISPs find opportunities to create a playing field that isn't level just because the landscape of the internet is relatively immature. Keep the loopholes closed. Be a good steward of the community you're obligated by oath to serve.
146. Johnny Lovejoy, San Antonia, TX, 78250
I am strongly in favor of strong regulations for Net Neutrality and the only way to do that is by keeping ISPs labelled under Title II.
147. Sofia Hebert, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I strongly support Net Neutrality rules backed in Title 2
148. Collin Fehrenbach, San Antonio, TX, 78256
I support strong net Neutrality regulations back by title II oversight of ISPs. The Internet needs to remain neutral for the benefit of everyone not just the incumbents.
149. Christina Coronado, San Antonio, TX, 78256
Net Neutrality exists to protect a free and fair internet for all. Stop being huge asshats and keep title II going.
150. Joseph Remick, San Antonio, TX, 78253
Without Internet Neutrality there are no political or economic mechanisms to encourage good behavior from our infamous ISPs. Please do not undo the hard work we the people put into making Net Neutrality a reality!
151. morgan, San Antonio, TX, 78253
I support keeping strong internet neutrality under title 2 oversight of ISP's.
152. Frank Ruiz, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Current proposed policies by the FCC do not promote an open and fair internet for all businesses and citizens. I have been following this issue for some time. Please preserve net neutrality.
153. net neutrality, San antonio, TX, 78254
I support net neutrality by classifying isp's under title 2!!!!
154. Fangzhi Yan, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Internet provider should not have the power to control people's right of choose on the internet.
155. Joseph Gonzalez, San Antonio, TX, 78251
I am in strong support of Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers
156. Sahna Reddy, San Antonio, TX, 78229
Net Neutrality is the basic foundation of free and equal internet access. The public consumer has a say in the balance between free market and government regulation when ubiquitous goods like the internet are in question. In this case, this public consumer says that there should be rules ensuring that ISPs do not restrict content.
157. Joshua Peck, San Antonio, TX, 78254
I support strong net neutrality rules and the maintaining of the open internet because without these regulations large companies have the power to roll over smaller ones and consumers will be hurt, most especially poorer consumers of the internet.
158. David Szalay, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Please keep strong on net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
159. Esteban Berenguer, San Antonio, TX, 78229
Keep ISPs under Title II to *actually* protect New Neutrality.
160. Shohil, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Internet Freedom without favoring or blocking particular products or websites!

161. David Baxter, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Preserve net neutrality and title two. It is insane that I have to come to this page and tell you not to do something so foolish.
162. Timothy Helmstetter, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I support Title II Net Neutrality. I believe you are deluding yourself thinking that the industry can self-regulate. There have been numerous examples of failing in the past.
163. Rachel Gonzalez, San Antonio, TX, 78251
I am in strong support of Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers.
164. Felicia Latteo, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I support net neutrality and the ONLY way to protect it is through title 2 classification. Republicans are on the way out the door!!!
165. megan, San Antonio, TX, 78216
I support net neutrality and it's enforcement through title 2. Don't Bogart my internet!
166. Nicholas Kramer, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I strongly support strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
167. Susan Rothbauer, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Internet service providers should be governed under Title 2. ISP's should not be allowed to block websites nor should they be allowed to limit or control which websites get less favorable download rates.
168. Eric Humble, San Antonio, TX, 78216
I support the title II of the net neutrality. We need stronger rules and I totally completely support and then neutrality current law of title II.
169. Noah Williams, San Antonio, TX, 78253
I am well over 40 years old and in all this time I have yet to see one commercial group that has regulated themselves to perform in an ethical manner. So as always I am saddened and deeply upset that my parties talk of removing regulations always seems to be on the wrong side. Net neutrality benefits every citizen in this nation under title II. There is no commercial ISP interests harmed and great societal benefit under the current regulations.
170. Abhinav Suraiya, San Antonio, TX, 78229
As an employee of a small internet business and a customer of a gigabit-speed ISP, I strongly believe that ISPs should be regulated under Title II. The internet is no longer a luxury, and is becoming as essential as water or electricity. It is critical that our government protect consumers and small businesses from the whims and profit-motives of ISPs. Since all ISPs will be subject to the same regulations, investments in new technologies and infrastructure will continue as they compete with one another to provide their best service to the largest number of consumers. ISPs incentives need to align with consumer needs, not with that of other large corporations.
171. Kevin Johnson, San Antonio, TX, 78254
I do not think that weakening net neutrality does anything but enrich telecoms. It is a blatant grab by a former telecom employee to curry favor.
172. Jerry Wexler, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Preserving net neutrality and Title 2 is the only way we can keep a free and neutral internet.
173. Bryan De Leon, San Antonio, TX, 78242
I strongly and specifically support Net Neutrality backed by strong Title II oversight of ISPs. Failure of such oversight would lead to monopolistic tendencies and free speech imbalances.
174. Thomas Headley, San Antonio, TX, 78254
I support strong net neutrality. ISP's need to be kept in check,.
175. Marcus E Mondragon, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Save net neutrality!! You greedy fucks.
176. Alyssa Morales, San Antonio, TX, 78253
I strongly support Net Neutrality Title II classification.
177. Lynn Alderman, San Antonio, TX, 78253
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. This is important to keep the internet a level playing field for all of us. Thank you
178. James Phillips, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I support STRONG net neutrality policies and urge the FCC to continue to regulate internet service providers under Title 2. No throttling of content based on source. No pay per view internet.
179. Brian Martin, San Antonio, TX, 78242
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Do not RE-reclassify ISPs back to Title I. Infrastructure innovation has not slowed for the average consumer.
180. Brian Alderman, San Antonio, TX, 78253
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. It is wrong to allow huge companies like Verizon to be able to channel internet speeds to

maximize corporate profits.Thank you

181. Ian Dooley, san antonio, TX, 78240
I am in support of net neutrality that is supported by title two protections. Do not change this! It isn't broken. Don't "fix" it.
182. Krisna DOOLEY, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I am in support of net neutrality laws and the title two laws that regulate them. I do not support the deregulation of the internet.
183. Nick Lee, San Antonio, TX, 78240
American citizens demand net neutrality to support democratic communications!
184. Kellie Clark-Chan, San Antonio, TX, 78229
The Obama administration's net neutrality laws should be upheld. Please preserve net neutrality and Title II.
185. Chris Gunter, San Antonio, TX, 78229
Reclassifying providers under Title One is the most anti free market nonsense I've ever heard. You shouldn't be forced to select a provider based on what sites you visit the same way you don't pick a TV service based on the channels you watch. Comcast doesn't provide better TV service for one network over another and it should be the same for Internet, as it's always been. The sheer lack of evidence to support the chairman's claim that more regulation decreases investment is in itself enough to prove this is a money grab attempt. There is no excuse for trampling the primary means of interacting with the world under the weight or guise of better investment through worse service to the consumer. Lastly, it is the FCC's job to protect the American people, not big business. Remember who pays your salary, not who slides you money under the table.
186. J Resendez, San antonio, TX, 78238
I am in full support of Net Neutrality and Title II.
187. Janis Gonzalez, San Antonio, TX, 78228
Ajit Pai I support strong net neutrality back by Title 2 oversight of ISPs, don't do the stupid thing. Do the smart thing, regardless of what the large corporations are paying you.
188. Richard Stemple Jr, San Antonio, TX, 78227
Assholes!!! Keep Net Neutrality!
189. Christopher Frechette, San Antonio, TX, 78212
I strongly support protecting net neutrality through Title II oversight of ISPs.
190. Derek Donaldson, San Antonio, TX, 78240
If you want to keep the internet alive and well net neutrality is a must. There should be no concept of fast lanes vs slow lanes. All internet traffic should be equal.
191. Joseph William, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Companies cannot be trusted to do the right thing when money is on the line if they are not held accountable with some form of regulation. Thank you for your time.
192. Branden Stewart, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Please keep ISPs classified under Title 2; Net Neutrality is important!
193. Deirdre Foley, San Antonio, TX, 78238
keep net neutrality. keep IP addresses under title 2
194. Jeffrey Locke, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Net neutrality and Title II oversight must be maintained.
195. Martin Alvarez, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I support net neutrality and oversight of title 2 ISO's.
196. Jose Arroyo, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Net neutrality is a must! Don't "gofccyourself" over this.
197. Bob Vanecek, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Please leave net neutrality alone. Title works and should stay the standard for internet use.
198. Abraham Vazquez, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I am in favor of PRESERVING NET NEUTRALITY and TITLE II oversight. The internet has become a utility in the life of millions, it should be regulated.
199. Kenneth Hass, Helotes, TX, 78023
"Restoring Internet Freedom," being pushed by Pai, is bad news for the American people, and further un-levels the playing field in further favor of big businesses. At some point, America needs to get back to "by the people, for the people," rather than further enriching big business. Net neutrality rules, as interpreted in Title II is where they should remain, and NOT reverted to Title I interpretation. For a change, do what's right for THE PEOPLE, not corporate fat cats.
200. Mary Banuet, San Antonio, TX, 78229

We specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Nothing else. Keep net neutrality.

201. Eric Haynes, San Antonio, TX, 78253
I firmly support net neutrality and believe iSP's should continue to be governed under title II. Companies can not and have proven they will not voluntarily comply unless regulated.
202. Jason Thomas, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Title II was not a mistake as FCC Chair puts it. The appointment of a former lawyer for a major telecom corp as head of the FCC was a mistake. The argument that Title II stifles investment is rubbish and nothing more than politicians crowing about the boogeyman that they think regulation is. I can see why when so many of these politicians have received so much money from telecom companies.
203. Tristin Roney, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Please protect net neutrality by preserving Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Thank you.
204. Ryan Swindell, San Antonio, TX, 78254
I am against Ajit Pai's latest changes in reference to Net Neutrality. I support and believe in strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. A majority of America has made their stance clear in support for an Open internet, and it is the governments duty to comply. The actions of Ajit Pai has been done by his own choice and by big named ISPs, and not by the will of the public of the United States.
205. Scott Gousman, San Antonio, TX, 78253
I believe the net neutrality protections should be kept in place ensuring ISPs still fall under Title 2. This ensures the ISPs cannot tamper with bandwidth speeds for competing products.
206. Valerie Barboza, San Antonio, TX, 78228
Keep Title 2 Net Neutrality, please
207. Erin Dunn, San Antonio, TX, 78229
Please keep strong net neutrality intact under title II
208. Jesse, San Antonio, TX, 78211
Ajit, The Coffee Cup, Do you use it to offset the size of your Horse Teeth? I support Net - Neutrality !!
209. Kristi Meyer, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Keep net neutrality rules strong and protect the public at large. This is a hugely important issue for our democracy. Do the right thing and don't give in to corporate greed!
210. Robert Gonzalez, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I strongly support Net Neutrality. Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 for all Internet users, thank you.
211. Neal Budde, San Antonio, TX, 78229
I express my support for keeping Net Neutrality intact under Title II
212. Corey Quintanilla, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78229
I support strong net neutrality regulations backed by title II. This is the only way to ensure a free and open internet, the reversal of these regulations will have a negative impact on the internet.
213. Alex Rios, San Antonio, TX, 78221
I am telling you in agreement to John Oliver's persistent advice to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.
214. Esmeralda Cantu, San Antonio, TX, 78251
I FULLY SUPPORT Net Neutrality and keeping all ISPs listed under Title II enforcement. Fuck big business and their corporate interests. KNOWLEDGE IS POWER--it would be immoral to dictate who can, and cannot, receive equal access to knowledge according to financial means. ðŸ†°ðŸ†,
215. Andrea Zamudio, San Antonio, TX, 78238
I am in support of internet neutrality for all ISPs under title two. We can't expect big companies to do the moral thing. We are a capitalist society and will only look after the bottom line we need internet neutrality to maintain a fair playing field
216. Christopher Cox, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I strongly support net neutrality and current oversight under title 2. Keep the internet open and playing field level. Keep the power in the hands of the consumer.
217. Michelle Gregurich, San Antonio, TX, 78254
I am responding in strong support of net neutrality title two designation and continued regulatory monitoring
218. Christina R. Gonzalez, San Antonio, TX, 78254
" Ajit Pai I support strong net neutrality back by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. "
219. Jeffrey Stevenson, San Antonio, TX, 78213
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Protect the people!
220. Kyler Heintz, San Antonio, TX, 78250

I strongly support net neutrality and title two of the 1934 communications act.

221. Tom Lawry, san antonio, TX, 78229
Net neutrality is vital to the internet under section 2. The proposition to forgo this is completely asinine!!! Net neutrality must stay!!!!
222. jesse, San Antonio, TX, 78201
Life's a garden dig it
223. Salar Ranjbar, San Antonio, TX, 78229
Net neutrality is the backbone of a free and fair internet. There should be no obstacles against free speech, fair competition, and human expression on the internet. Removing the protection of net neutrality will allow these obstacles to be created over time in the name of profit and/or political motivations. The people of this country and the world will not benefit in any way from this removal.
224. kevan govind, san antonio, TX, 78240
tighter regulations on ISPs according to Title II
225. Raul Bribiescas, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I am against the changes proposed to article two. Any rule, law, or stipulation giving one entity the ability to suppress another for monetary gains or any other benefit is unfair. Keep internet neutral, stop interfering at the request of big business and mind the people.
226. Anthony Reyes, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I support net neutrality. The Internet should be treated as an utility and ISP's need to stay under title 2.
227. ivan herrera, San Antonio, TX, 78229
I support strong net neutrality and Title 2. For the love of God, have some sense and keep these rules in place.
228. Jerome Stowe, San Antonio, TX, 78212
I support a free and open internet. As a 30-something who grew up in the internet revolution, I cannot imagine a scenario where corporate influence will let a free and open internet flourish. As we have already seen with smart phones and data plans, corporations, given the chance, will curtail the rights and benefits afforded consumers, in pursuit of means to further squeeze a profit out of them. Any opportunity to permit providers to further nickel and dime consumers for access to the culmination of human knowledge in digital form, goes against the very fabric of American society. We should be free to enjoy technological marvels, the pinnacle of information technology and means to openly express, debate and discuss our viewpoints, without corporate influence. Allowing centralized influence, based upon the financial influence of corporations and lobbyists would stifle future developments which undoubtedly will revolutionize and improve our society even more. Please treat access to the highest-speed internet as an American and human right and opportunity to engage in the advancements of our society.
229. John Guzman, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I support strong Net Neutrality laws with the backing of Title II oversight
230. Johnathan Coley, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I am in favor of a strong regulatory environment on the issue of net neutrality. Title 2 protections are currently the best tool we have to insure a fair, free, and open internet moving forward into the future. To remove the protections that the FCC is currently providing for our access to a free and fair Internet would adversely me and not represent my view or the views of the vast majority of my friends, family, and acquaintances on this issue.
231. Jerry Garcia, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Registering my full support for Net Neutrality and Title 2.
232. ADAM WEST, san antonio, TX, 78249
i am in support of net neutrality backed by title 2. I am opposed to 17-108. KEEP THE INTERNET FREE OF CORPORATE INFLUENCE.
233. Eduardo Gamez, San Antonio, TX, 78252
Internet Service Providers should remain under Title II classification in order to maintain a thorough oversight mechanism . The ability to access information will undoubtedly be hindered if ISPs are reverted to Title I, allowing them to choose which content receives higher priority. ISP Infrastructure investments have not been affected significantly and do not warrant a reversion to Title I.
234. Scott Fowler, San Antonio, TX, 78229
Net Neutrality and the provisions of Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 are essential in maintaining a competitive and fair internet. The deceptively named "Internet Freedom Act" is a thinly veiled attempt at placating the deep pockets of the oligopoly of telecommunications companies.
235. Jonathan Ashkenaze, San Antonio, TX, 78212
Please keep the internet neutral in any way possible. If I pay for 50 Mbps per month of content, I am the one paying for that speed from all content and should not be throttled by limiting any specific content or content provider. Since I am the consumer and the one paying for the service, it is my right to have the service provide the full speed I pay for regardless of the source of the content. Providing less than my full bandwidth to me is stealing from my pocket and charging source or content providers to not reduce their throughput to my home is outright extortion.
236. J King, San Antonio, TX, 78214
It is concerning to my family that there are attempts to declassify Net Neutrality. We ardently support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY

BACKED BY TITLE II OF THE FCC. Unequivocally, we voice our SUPPORT for this important decision the FCC has addressed for the foundation of equal access under the law. Title II classification is NECESSARY, and any attempts to declassify the internet's equal access are against the foundation of American Values and must be protected at times when politicians would prefer to undermine the essence of American Freedom for their own personal agendas. Thank you, The Kings

237. Rachel, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II in place. In our modern, digital landscape the internet is a utility much like electricity and water/sewage. Suppliers (ISPs) should not be able to interfere with how consumers access online resources.
238. Roxana Gutierrez, San Antonio, TX, 78230
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II in oversight of ISPs.
239. William Carter, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Keep net neutrality. Preserve Title 2 control over ISPs. This is critical for our country and the world if we want to preserve an actual open internet
240. John Rios, San Antonio, TX, 78237
The removal of ISPs restrictions is dangerous to an open and free network. Please do not remove such freedoms from Americans
241. Joseph Nida, San Antonio, TX, 78254
We strongly support Net Neutrality, and title II for ISPs It is ridiculous that I have to comment for freedom in the USA when in Germany I felt more free. Seriously USA, get your things together and support title II and net neutrality.
242. Andrew Hecker, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Maintain and strengthen internet neutrality. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers.
243. joshua bentley, San antonio, TX, 78254
I strongly support your efforts towards continued net neutrality and the continuation of classification of ISPs under title 2.
244. KM, San Antonio, TX, 78229
Mr. Pai is a detriment to our entire internet culture. ALL companies, websites, people, etc. should have equal opportunities to operate on the web. I support strong Title II backed regulation of ISPs.
245. E Wayne, San Antonio, TX, 78216
I oppose this legislation that ends net neutrality and allows for the sale of my personal browsing history. I am also against revoking regulations that prevent internet service companies from having tiered services that affect the rate/speed of service delivery because they have special alliances with certain content providers to promote their content over others. When delivered services are purposely slowed as a means to coerce me to use/view a different stream, that is denying me (and all others) a freedom of choice. Net neutrality rules that are in place, protect our privacy and freedom of choice
246. Daniel Ferguson, San Antonio, TX, 78229
I fully support the preservation of the current Net Neutrality regulations including Title II as it applies to Internet Service Providers. As well as harsh punishments for ISPs that act outside the regulations.
247. Adrian R Reyna, San Antonio, TX, 78238
As an educator, I implore you to please do the right thing for our children and all consumers. Preserve net neutrality and Title 2 oversight.
248. net nuetrzlity, san antonio, TX, 78240
i support net neutrality. and it being in title 2. don't change it
249. Sean FitzGibbons, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Keep it Title II, this needs to stay the way it is
250. Rachel, San Antonio, TX, 78216
I implore you to support strong net neutrality regulations that are backed by oversight of Title 2 for ISPs. This allows for fairness and evens the playing field for all companies big and small.
251. Richard Van Ingram, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Net Neutrality is essential now to the lives and work of the people of the United States. Access to and distribution of information ought not be sold to the highest bidder or hobbled in any way, regardless what company rents the right to provide access to the net to consumers and citizens. Leave the net alone, leave it neutral; it functions fine as is. Richard Van Ingram
252. Richard Jones, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Please work to preserve STRONG Internet neutrality.
253. Josh Beck, San Antonio, TX, 78254
ISP's have no right to throttle, regulate, or prioritize traffic. I would hate to see the internet I have grown up with over the last 25 years changed so that ISP's, which are often time also content providers (a conflict of interest), decide whether or not they want to bottleneck my connection based on URL or protocol. That's not how the internet should be regulated!
254. Norma, San Antonio, TX, 78201

Title 2 requirements need to stay in place to insure net neutrality.

255. james mangrum, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Preserve net neutrality, if it is possible for someone to profit from something and they lobby for it. That is their exact intention. L
256. jena, San Antonio, TX, 78216
I support net neutrality. No compromise
257. Alan Barher, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Do not take away our freedom of Net Neutrality!!!
258. Patrick Anthony Martinez, San Antonio, TX, 78250
We the people support net neutrality backed by Title 2.
259. Paige Boldt, San Antonio, TX, 78240
The Internet is often referred to as a basic human right and changing the rules of net neutrality to a lesser legal power is an attempt to privatize these rights. I specifically support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
260. Candice Slater, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I full support strong net neutrality enforcement, particularly, keeping it under Title 2.
261. Kris Mooring, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Net neutrality is not an obstacle to innovation or to companies providing additional services. Net neutrality only prevents ISPs from nickel-and-diming US customers. The economic advantages of pulling back net neutrality will not produce additional jobs, will not create new services to aid customers, and will only push up investment numbers for companies which have a proven reluctance to invest in customer service, reliable product delivery, and infrastructure development. Giving bad companies more money will not make them better companies. Charging folks more for going online will not improve internet service.
262. Ian Minnis, Helotes, TX, 78023
I explicitly support the enforcement of net neutrality and the invocation of Title II as I did a few years ago. Nothing has changed in the market that would make net neutrality no longer warranted. I understand removing net neutrality is a political move but it is in no way in the best interest of the consumer, which I understood to be the purpose of the FCC.
263. Marcus Canales, San Antonio, TX, 78249
To Whom it may concern,It is absolutely imperative that the existing rules for Net Neutrality remain in place. The internet is no longer a passing fancy, or a neat tool that some use to make parts of their day easier; it's an integral part of everyday life for ALL Americans. It is an essential infrastructure which needs to be protected. The Internet has thrived and revolutionized business and the economy precisely because it started as an open technology, and the impact the Internet has on our economy is undeniable. We must protect this, our most valuable communications platform, from those that would choose to overpower or oppress others to turn a profit. The national economy would be severely harmed if the ability of Internet content, service, and application providers to reach consumers was frustrated by interference from broadband telecommunications network operators. The internet has brought with it some profound changes in the way Americans (and Humans) communicate. Social media has become more participatory and personal than ever before and has even opened up new avenues for people to become involved with local, state and national politics. We saw it during the 2008 presidential election when tens of millions expressed their support for Obama and McCain via interactive Facebook, Twitter and e-mail forums. We saw it again in 2009 from the streets of Tehran to the work of organizations like the Sunlight Foundation and the Center for Responsive Politics, which use the Internet as the means to open governments to public scrutiny and accountability. This wave of digital empowerment is the gathering force for a healthier democracy, and it all depends upon a more open, affordable and accessible Internet for everyone. Expanding Internet access alone doesn't erase concerns over what kind of information people will find when they get online. Net Neutrality guarantees that we all have an equal opportunity to play a part. Broadband in America today is not equally accessible: Users are predominantly middle- or upper-class and live in urban or suburban areas. Poorer communities and communities of color, as well as communities in rural areas, have been largely left off the grid. Imagine what it would mean, then, to provide a connection to disadvantaged areas without also extending to them Net Neutrality's guarantee of openness. Dominant ISPs have argued for this exception, saying Net Neutrality prevents them from connecting more people. But it's a false choice and far too high a cost to give network owners the power to shunt ideas percolating up from these communities to a digital backwater. A high-speed connection is useful only if you can connect to everyone else online. Net Neutrality leaves control over the Internet experience with the user. Yet network operators are considering charging extra money depending on where you want to go and what you want to do online. Some are deploying technology that would sift through and filter the content that you share with others online. Such discrimination endangers the open and level playing field that has made the Internet so democratic. In case you're thinking to yourself, "An ISP wouldn't do such things." please have a look here: <https://www.freepress.net/blog/2017/04/25/net-neutrality-violations-brief-history> These include blocking voice-over-IP services, redirecting search traffic, slowing of traffic, throttling all streaming traffic except to one specific site, and more. While net neutrality has been a term since 2003, and the current rules enforcing it were put in place in 2015, ISPs have tried to work around it for years, and sometimes succeeded, only to be caught later. Without rules that allow the FCC to enforce net neutrality at the start, violations will have to be reported to the FTC instead, which can investigate and pursue legal action after-the-fact, but cannot preempt abuses. Please help protect your citizens from the start! Preventing the fire would be much more valuable to everyone than standing outside of it handing out burn cream. We need not look only to protest for evidence of a what happens without Net neutrality. In December 2016, the FCC reported it was investigating AT&T for a Sponsored Data program, that exempted AT&T affiliate video from customer's data limits but applied those limits to other data. On January 11th, 2017, the FCC reported a similar investigation into Verizon's "FreeBee Data 360" program. In both cases, the internet providers made a choice for their customers: Use the video services we like at a discount, or pay full price for other data. That's antithetical to the principles of net neutrality, and shortly before leaving his post, then-FCC chairman Tom Wheeler sent a letter to prominent senators, informing them of the state of play in the investigations and thanking them for unwavering support of an open internet. As more of us rely upon a high-speed connection to do all things media - watch and make video, follow the news, listen to music, Tweet, email and call our

friends' legacy media are too tempted to get in our way, steering us back via old channels where they make all decisions for us. But there's no going back to the analog oligarchy. The internet gave consumers a choice, please don't enable the providers to take away our freedom to choose. Internet Service Providers are supposed to direct traffic indiscriminately, no matter if the user is looking up a blog about cooking or trying to stream a video from Netflix. This is how the internet, as a technology, was designed: to transfer packets of information across vast distances as quickly as possible, regardless of what those packets may contain. Please don't help the ISPs build toll roads on the internet! Please help fight to protect the Citizens of this great nation from being preyed on by the ISPs who are now owned by content owners and providers. Please help to stave off the growing monopoly that the content owners have been building to better milk the population dry. Please leave the existing rules in place. Thanks, Marcus Canales

264. Hollis Rutledge III, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I am in favor of strong net neutrality rules. I believe this would benefit consumers by promoting a free and open internet, stimulate ISP competition, prevent unfavorable pricing practices by large corporations, promote free speech and drive entrepreneurship especially in regards to small and mid-size businesses.
265. param, San Antonio, TX, 78256
Net neutrality is the only way you will make big things happen like one more facebook or google
266. Phillip Manna, San Antonio, TX, 78240
We support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by TITLE 2 OVERSIGHT of ISPs.
267. Robert Hausen, San Antonio, TX, 78253
Title 2 was put in place to protect people like me, why would it even be a thought to try and do away with it?
268. Philip Zapata, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I am in support of strong net neutrality back by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
269. Christopher Adams, San Antonio, TX, 78229
I support stronger FCC regulation of ISPs using Title II.
270. Aaron Marvel, San Antonio, TX, 78254
We must maintain net neutrality - it is absolutely crucial to maintaining fair and free internet for all. This should absolutely never be left up to our politicians, especially those with ties that generate conflicts of interest.
271. Ashley McKay, San Antonio, TX, 78230
I specifically support strong net neutrality supported by Title 2 oversight of ISP. I feel that the removal of net neutrality will lead to censorship and state-approved media akin to the controls in dictatorships. I will not vote for any member of Congress that approves this measure.
272. Rick Stemm, San Antonio, TX, 78201
I support strong net neutrality via Title II oversight of ISPs
273. Garrett Scott, Helotes, TX, 78023
All ISP's should be classified and regulated under Title II of the Federal Communications Act of 1934. This is key to preserving the principals of net neutrality, which ensure equal and non-discriminatory access to the internet and its content for all.
274. John Miranda, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Preserve net neutrality. We are already bound to pay for access. Do not allow my internet access to be influenced by capitalistic or corporate gain.
275. phillip, San antonio, TX, 78240
I support strong net neutrality by the FCC.
276. aleta bowers, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I support strong enforcement of net neutrality rules, specifically Title 2 and the enforcement of it to the fullest extent. The internet is our gateway to information. Having a neutral gatekeeper ensures that Americans have the freedom to learn, innovate and thrive. Corporations jobs are to do what's best for them. Your jobs are to do what's best for us, all of us. Those two rarely align. Thank you for your time, Ryan
277. Derek Lewis, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I support strong net neutrality laws under Title II for ISP's. Conversely and unequivocally, John Oliver is also awesome.
278. Ricardo Facundo, San Antonio, TX, 78254
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Protect the people, not corporations.
279. George F. Best, San Antonio, TX, 78216
I support maintaining the current regulations protecting "net neutrality". Without these protections, I believe it is inevitable that the most powerful corporations in the industry would quickly use their financial advantage to suppress smaller competitors and dramatically reduce consumer choice. The idea that such corporations would voluntarily maintain fair and equitable access to web-based communications is wishful thinking at best. Large corporations are primarily responsible to their shareholders and are necessarily driven by profit-motive and the need to take advantage of any competitive edge that is available to them. Please maintain the current net neutrality regulations and protect consumers and growing businesses from the limitations that would inevitably be imposed on internet

communications by a few large corporate entities.

280. Jason Hatch, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Net neutrality needs to be preserved by keeping oversight if ISPs under the title 2 classification.
281. Jonathan Bush, San Antonio, TX, 78249
hey there,I just felt like taking the time to express that I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
Thank you very much.
282. Monica, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs !!!!
283. Jason Warman, San Antonio, TX, 78212
I am in strong support of Net Neutrality and opposed to 17-108. Consumers deserve this protection.
284. Paul Nguyen, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Preserve Net Neutrality by keeping the strong rules set in place by Title II classifications!! All websites and web services deserve unbiased and tamper-free connections with all of their visitors and users from Internet Service Providers.
285. kevin barron, san antonio, TX, 78249
Preserve net neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISP's. I am opposed to docket number 17-108
286. Steven Bennett, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Internet communication is a fundamental bedrock of American interests. It is as vital as the electricity we consume, the roads we drive on, and the affirmed right of self expression. There are no limits for its use, and works best that way.
287. Jeremy Paul, San Antonio, TX, 78229
I wish to inform Ajit Pai that I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISP's! The internet is a utility. Treat it as such, regulate it as such.
288. Jacob Grimes, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I urge you to preserve the net neutrality and maintain the current FCC classification of the internet as a public utility under Title II of the communications act of 1934.
289. Chris Gonzales, San Antonio, TX, 78216
I want the FCC to Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. I support stronger regulation of ISP's by the FCC.
290. Restoring internet freedom, San Antonio, TX, 78240
We firmly support strong net neutrality law and therefore support Title II oversight of ISP's
291. Ryan Beltran, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title II (title 2) oversight of isps. Thanks!
292. Nicole A. Davis, San Antonio, TX, 78240
We are in deep need of an equal sharing ground for such a commodity in our every day lives. To switch to trusting companies to not tamper with this commodity is a ridiculous sense of trust in the wrong people. Keep net neutrality and we the people will keep our sanity.
293. Derek Bedell, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Removing Net Neutrality rules from Title II is a terrible move and no one beyond major ISP companies with any sense would support this move to even a minute degree. Pai's arguments for this move are severely lacking and, in fact, only show how willfully blind he is on the topic. Do not touch the Net Neutrality rules.
294. Carol Rice, San Antonio, TX, 78213
I strongly support Net Neutrality and the protections offered by Title II. Keep things on a level playing field so everyone may have access.
295. Bryan Kelps, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I support net neutrality, and that all ISP should remain under Title II.
296. google, San Antonio, TX, 78255
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Without Net Neutrality the poor will stay poor and the rich will get richer.
297. Mike Dawson, San Antonio, TX, 78227
I strongly support net neutrality, please preserve net neutrality and title 2.
298. Sammy, san antonio, TX, 78254
we must keep net neutrality if we dont then it will just become a cluster fuck of big business putting down the things they dont like and as a people and country we cant allow something like that ... how are a people supposed to grow and thrive if we keep getting kicked down
299. Jonathan Rice, Ph.D., San Antonio, TX, 78213

I heartily oppose the FCC rolling back the protections Title II gave net neutrality. Protect the private citizen from the greed and control of corporations,

300. Doug Doehrman, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2. Please do not let the ISPs have the freedom to determine how we use the internet.
301. Joshua Hausen, San Antonio, TX, 78201
Do not take away section 2! don't let the internet companies control what websites i use! big corporations are only concerned with making more money and can not care less about the general public.
302. Austin Lobitz, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Please preserve net neutrality in its current regulations under Title II law.
303. Emily Mulrow, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Please preserve net neutrality under its current Title II regulations.
304. Title 2, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Please preserve Net neutrality Under title 2
305. Hans Laven, San Antonio, TX, 78212
Please keep Internet Service Providers and their products and services under the purview of Title II. Maintain what the public has come to know as Net Neutrality.
306. Jesus Segovia, San Antonio, TX, 78238
I am protesting the FCC's plan to dismantle net neutrality. I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations.
307. Yasen Mosawy, San Antonio, TX, 78230
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's. We are the people!
308. Pablo Bocanegra, San Antonio, TX, 78201
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II(2).
309. Freddy Molina Miranda, San Antonio, TX, 78229
I am in favor of strong internet neutrality, keep it as Title II.
310. Anthony Medina, San Antonio, TX, 78221
This thing will affect our pleasure of having the same speed on our internet, this could potentially affect my long distance relationship where we communicate on a System called Skype and if this rolls out I don't want my internet provider to cap my internet because I'm not using their service of communication.
311. Jacob Slater, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Title 2 classification must stay in place. I am appalled that reclassification is even being considered. Net neutrality is too vital to our economy and democracy to be left at the whims of corporations guided solely by financial considerations.
312. Alicia Richarte, San Antonio, TX, 78240
DO NOT TAKE AWAY MY NET NEUTRALITY!!!!!! And I'm not just doing this because John Oliver told me to!!!!!!
313. Autumn Saleh, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I strongly support the FCC to keep the strong Title II Net Neutrality rules! This is outrageous that the American people are having to waste our time doing what should be already figured out. It is our right to have Title II!
314. simon saleh, San Antonio, TX, 78240
As an American citizen I demand that you keep title two net neutrality in place and continue to regulate ISP companies.
315. Ret. USAF MSgt. Rick A Davis, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Before we begin, we want to point that all the comments that are in support of repealing Net Neutrality appear to be a coordinated effort by the FCC Chairman Ajit Pai himself to make it look like people support the repealing of Net Neutrality by posting fake comments; all the comments are the same, and have no substance. I hope all others have noticed this. We, as a family and as individuals, SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY LAWS. The internet is a platform meant to give everyone equal and fair access to the World Wide Web. Repealing Net Neutrality Laws will undoubtedly make accessing the internet not only more difficult, but downright unaffordable, if ISPs are given the power to discriminate against content providers by charging those providers AND citizens more. An open and fair internet is needed to ensure a thriving community. Repealing Net Neutrality because it "stifles the ISPs innovation" is a poor and unproven excuse. This repealing measure was brought on by FCC Chairman Ajit Pai because he is nothing more than a sock puppet controlled and owned by various giant ISPs. The repealing of Net Neutrality is an attack of greed by the ISPs, and it should not go forward. An open and fair internet is what ensures innovations for all, including ISPs. Again, WE STRONGLY SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY LAWS, and pray that the repealing of such laws does not proceed forward.
316. Katie Marshall, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I support the strongest net neutrality possible backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
317. Laura Haley, San Antonio, TX, 78249
The Federal Communications Commission is not doing enough to protect net neutrality.

318. Heathcliff Lopez, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please preserve the free internet!
319. Ryan Benitez, San Antonio, TX, 78229
I strong support net neutrality back by title 2 isp.
320. Eva Doty, San Antonio, TX, 78228
As a person who uses the internet, the regulations under Title II are an important part of my every day life, and I would prefer they were kept. There is no evidence that Title II regulations stifle business or otherwise hinder the services that ISP's provide. Please do not roll back these important regulations. Thank you for your time.
321. Joshua Mensah, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Protect Net Neutrality and Title II. To be 100% honest with you, companies WILL do what is in their best interest without much care of the people if they could get away with it. The fact that suing companies for substantial losses and damage to the common person hasn't changed much of what they still do that we do not know. Leave the internet alone and as is.
322. Bradley Hamilton, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I support strong net neutrality by Title II classification of ISPs!
323. Donna, San Antonio, TX, 78230
In support of Net Neutrality and Title II - please preserve!
324. hrag kozanian, San Antonio, TX, 78212
Make net neutrality real. Title 2.
325. Aaron shoilekov, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Keep section 2 for the people's freedom to internet
326. Shawn alvarez, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Do not let isps gain easier access to undercutting smaller services and internet/entertainment providers.
327. Kim Arispe, San Antonio, TX, 78228
I urge the FCC to keep Net Neutrality and Title II in place. I believe that abolishing these regulations will allow companies to abuse their power and slow down the internet as they wish. If you think for a minute that these big companies will "do the right thing" just because they are "nice" companies is ridiculous. Regulation is the only thing that keeps companies in check (in most cases) and certainly when we are talking about the AT & T's or Verizons of the world. The average user needs protection against the possible power abuse that could ensue if Net Neutrality and Title II are abolished.
328. Rosa Salgado, San Antonio, TX, 78229
The premise that lucrative organizations are going to look out for consumers, and we should just trust this, while the government relinquishes our protections does not safeguard the citizens rights to choose and be protected from corporate interests.
329. Laura Drawdy, San Antonio, TX, 78212
KEEP STRONG TITLE II NET NEUTRALITY RULES.
330. Sarah Gonzalez, san antonio, TX, 78214
net neutrality needs stronger guidelines. protect us that's your job repealing this does not keep us safe
331. Shannon Rutledge, San Antonio, TX, 78250
The future of our nation and the freedom of our minds depends on net neutrality.
332. Craig Sita, San Antonio, TX, 78201
Preserve net neutrality and retain Title II. Plus, Oreos are better than Reese's
333. Isaac Olson, San Antonio, TX, 78229
It saddens me that the FCC is considering deregulation of ISPs. American industry has thrived where regulations leveled the playing field for all parties involved. One need only look at the earlier telecom industry to see textbook example helpful regulation. Looking farther back a classic example can be seen in the earlier railroads of America. Competing railroads charged premiums on certain shipped goods from certain companies. This lack of regulation allowed cartels to form which in turn discouraged and elbowed competitors out of the market. As a result many regulations were put in place that evened the playing field. Now a flat per pound/per volume rate is charged no matter the sender or recipient. For American to remain the technology innovating center of the world we need to fairly regulate ISPs which means NOBODY gets a fast pass. Everyone pays the same rate for data. Let's do this guys!
334. Israel Diaz-Infante, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. Means a lot to me. K thnx bai
335. Marcos Mirabent, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I am in support of strong net neutrality rules, an open internet, and keeping internet service providers classified under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
336. Cristina Jordan, Helotes, TX, 78023
It's 100% necessary preserve net neutrality and Title III!!

337. Andrea Jones, San Antonio, TX, 78227
Preserve Net Neutrality and and title II regulation of the 1934 communications act.
338. Philip Cox, san antonio, TX, 78201
preserve strong net nutrallity and keep Title II! slowing down speeds for certain websites out of business is nothing but greed!
339. Timothy Theisen, San Antonio, TX, 78227
TITLE II protection of "Net Neutrality" rules is essential to ensuring that entrepreneurship is possible. within the internet area.
340. Ann Rollins, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I strongly urge you to protect Net Neutrality under Title 2.
341. Marion Fosbenner, San Antonio, TX, 78251
I fully support net neutrality and strong oversight of isps under title 2 protection.
342. Zachery SHaron, San Antonio, TX, 78225
The internet should continue to be classified under Title II so that it can remain an open marketplace.I think that the only arguments for deregulation stem from corporate greed, including those of the new FCC chair.
343. Clifford Cook, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Please keep net neutrality in place. Let me know if I could be of any assistance in this process.
344. Justin Proctor, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Net neutrality regulations are important to me as I believe the internet and world is better off when there are lower barriers to entry for internet content (as people are rarely able accurately predict exactly what will become valuable and popular) and easy access to information. Please continue to support the regulations that support this openness and variety.
345. Angel Cruz, San Antonio, TX, 78254
It is of the utmost importance that we preserve net neutrality and title 2. Those of you at the FCC know that corporations can not be trusted to regulate themselves on such matters.
346. Demas Cardenas, San Antonio, TX, 78221
I support Net Neutrality!! We cannot give ISP's the right to govern themselves, they NEED regulation.
347. Cameron Wood, San Antonio, TX, 78212
It's clear that destroying net neutrality would only hurt the consumer and give the corporations more power. The internet is a basic human right. Please listen. Please stop.
348. Jennifer Tarpley, San Antonio, TX, 78250
As a part of the masses that make use of technology and the internet part of their daily lives, I feel it is of GREAT importance to keep the internet free and open, allowing us to share and access information of our choosing WITHOUT INTERFERENCE. I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
349. Matt Garcia, San Antonio, TX, 78254
I strongly support Net Neutrality that is back by Title II oversight of the ISP!!! Ajit Pai we want freedom and not to worry about the ISP in full control on what we view on the internet.
350. Title 2, San Antonio, TX, 78238
I demand you preserve net neutrality
351. Oscar Chavez, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I support Net Neutrality. Please refrain from hurting the American people by tearing away our privileges.
352. Michael Alcalá, san antonio, TX, 78242
we need strong net neutrality covered under title II
353. Michael Hall, San Antonio, TX, 78213
Leave the internet alone. Government by the will of the people is important for the stability of the Democracy. This is an overreach that is being tried when our eyes are diverted .
354. Pet Mas, Helotes, TX, 78023
I specifically support net neutrality effocer by title II
355. Augustus Enger, San Antonio, TX, 78229
Strong net neutrality under Title 2 protections is absolutely essential for the American way of life to survive. Don't kill Lady Liberty.
356. Victor Castano, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I support strong net neutrality regulation by the FCC of ISP's under Title 2 regulation
357. Amy Edwards, San Antonio, TX, 78229
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and vehemently oppose the proposed changes to the law that will enable corporations to stifle free speech.

358. Todd Davis, San Antonio, TX, 78229
I strongly support net neutrality. Do not remove it it is only for the Benefit of isps not the people. It needs to remain title 2!
359. Kyle James Aldrete, san antonio, TX, 78216
I am in support the idea of internet being categorized as title 2. having regulations to prevent abuse in something so universally required for businesses to succeed makes more sense than lowering regulation and potentially leading to strong-arming competition into paying more than they can afford just to compete with larger companies.also the conflicts of interest when a company is in direct competition with the internet service providers themselves.Looking at all of the information i could gather, the ISP companies have a reputation for poor customer service, and do have a history of slowing down service for companies they are negotiating with or in competition with. the idea that a business cannot compete because it is legal for ISP's to rig the negotiations in their favor is potentially very dangerous for company growth and can lead to ISP's gaining unfair advantages that are not what our economy is built around.strong regulations are important when companies can so easily abuse the system they are in, and double-so when the companies have a history of breaking the regulations already in place. arguing they wont do it for ethical reasons is not an argument when they are willing to break the regulations already in place. making it easier would just incentivize it more.
360. Nicholas Dybdal-Hargreaves, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Please maintain and protect net neutrality. Keep ISPs under title II legality. ISPs have stated that their investment and stock holders continued to do well throughout the time the internet was free and open with respect to net neutrality. Sincerely, Nicholas Dybdal-Hargreaves, concerned citizen.
361. David Wasson, San Antonio, TX, 78212
I want the internet the remain under title II, a free and open internet is important to me and my family.
362. Mario Ramirez, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Protect net neutrality. Keep the provisions of Title II. Dont change or weaken the provision that protect the internet.
363. WILLIAM FRENCH, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78251
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II. PLEASE DO NOT CHANGE OR ADJUST THE CURRENT RULES. THANK YOU.BILL FRENCH
364. Zachary Haas, san antonio, TX, 78251
The internet should remain open and neutral. No company or corporation should have any more advantage, access, or control than any individual citizen.
365. stephen cody, San Antonio, TX, 78229
Dear Reader,Net neutrality is absolutely vital to the continued growth and development of a free and vital internet community. To avoid the creation of an internet marked by walled off areas where certain areas are promoted while others are hamstrung should be one of the highest missions of the FCC. Thank you.
366. Cole Erickson, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Regulations are necessary to have for the usage our internet because it imperative that we let it remain an equal level playing field for all people and companies, not just big business. Regulation doesn't hinder innovation, it promotes it by giving more companies the opportunity to compete.
367. Net Nutrality, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Please do not eliminate net nutrality, as I strongly support it. It keeps communication companies from hindering specific sites that compete with products and services with other companies (and even try to black mail i.e. Netflix and League of Legends) from instead of what theyre supposed to do, which is provide their basic communication services. Title II oversight of ISPs is very important to maintaining the ethical standards we hold these companies to.
368. Ben Whittle, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78249
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II in place!It continues to amaze me how America thinks it's the only player on the Internet these days. As a British person who now lives in America, it's astonishing how far behind America is with regards to Internet access. At first I thought it was more an issue with regards to scale, but then I realised it's actually more to do with politics than logistics.Getting rid of net neutrality will only serve to set America back even more for internet access, fueled by the money companies like AT&T, Verizon, Time Warner Cable/Spectrum and Comcast line the pockets of politicians to vote in their favour for their business, being anti competitive and not for the American people.This is anti-freedom and freedom is what the American people quite rightly cherish so much.
369. Mary Graham, San Antonio, TX, 78252
please keep strong net neutrality.
370. Gabriela Perez, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Please for the love of god protect Net Neutrality backed by Title 2.
371. Griselle Jimenez, San Antonio, TX, 78229
We have the right to privacy and the right to express ourselves as we see fit. Companies should never determine what we can and can't see, read or watch. The internet is global commodity that should be kept free and fair to all.
372. EMILIO BORREGO, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Net neutrality must be protected. This is at the heart of level and fair playing field of the American economy. As a regulatory agency you must protect, encourage and foster a fair and level playing field. Compromising net neutrality is a direct violation of your regulatory

responsibility.

373. Benjamin Garza, San Antonio, TX, 78250
PLEASE KEEP NET NEUTRALITY. KEEP ISPs listed under Title II and do not allow them to do whatever the hell they want. The internet needs to remain a fair and public form of communication. It is vital to many critical operations that save lives. Do not deregulate internet service providers.
374. Victoria Acuna, SAN Antonio, TX, 78240
Preserve Net Neutrality! As a citizen of the United States I should not experience lags or "tiers" in my internet usage due to conflicts of interest. Every ISP should be neutral in how it provides internet access, not giving preference to it's own shows and other interests.
375. bernardo, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Preserve net neutrality and title II...
376. Yura Galvez, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I support Net Neutrality and keeping ISPs under Title II. Time and time again, private companies have shown that they cannot self-regulate. This is the reason we have policies protecting consumers against monopolies.
377. Jessica Anderson, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I strongly support net neutrality and keeping ISPs accountable under Title II. Please protect the American people's internet access and preserve the internet as a neutral source of information. Removing proper regulations would leave us vulnerable, and destroy the internet access we currently enjoy. Thank you for supporting net neutrality and Title II. We need equal access to online information.
378. Guadalupe Barboza, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Net neutrality should be protected. My internet service provider should not be allowed to give preferences to the highest bidding websites. This is an issue that affects everybody.
379. Karla Thompson, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Maintain net neutrality via title 2.
380. Kristen Harris, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Keep net neutrality, leave ISP regulations under title 2
381. Samuel D McAlister, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I support keeping title 2 classification for ISPs. Preserve net neutrality and title 2
382. hannah hembree, San Antonio, TX, 78251
I support net neutrality and oversight through Title II
383. Weston Woehr, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Net Neutrality is the principle that ISP (Internet Service Providers) should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favouring or blocking particular products or websites.
384. Leopoldo Maestas, San Antonio, TX, 78254
I strongly support strict oversight with Title 2
385. Gregory Fletcher, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Please support Title II oversight of ISP's. Internet neutrality can only be preserved if internet service providers are forced by law. Ajit Pai has an agenda that is not in the best interest of the American people (former lawyer for Verizon).
386. Christina Davis, San Antonio, TX, 78216
I support net neutrality, so should you.
387. marley, San Antonio, TX, 78216
Hey, preserve net neutrality and title2
388. Flash, San antonio, TX, 78250
Give us our freedom.
389. Zane Rushing, San Antonio, TX, 78212
A neutral net is more empowering to the American economy than a controlled one! American businesses need the ability to create and share openly to compete with the rapidly accelerating pace of technology all across the globe. If net neutrality is lost, the rest of the world will vastly outpace us in development of information technologies and media. We, the creators of content, support Title 2 regulation of ISPs
390. Jorge Ibarra, San Antonio, TX, 78230
I truly believe that we must continue to have a STRICT and FIRM grasp on Net Neutrality. If things were to change, the consumer would suffer from the clear bias of internet providers. Please, someone fill Donald Trump in on why this would be so horrible, I beg of you.
391. Jacob Walsh, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I support strong regulation of ISP's through Title II regulation.

392. Net Neutrality, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I am in STRONG favor of net neutrality
393. Farmer, San Antonio, TX, 78249
We support Net Neutrality and the title 2 classification. Please hear our voices and others in keeping a free and open internet.
394. Steven Hyde, San Antonio, TX, 78232
I am deeply concerned with some of the conservative rhetoric about net neutrality. We should not allow telecommunication the ability to control internet speeds. The internet is a wonderful resource which has further improved our country and expanded the free market economy. Getting rid of article II would only threaten this because now telecommunication will become the gatekeepers to faster internet. Essentially they will be able to pick which site (and corresponding firm) has a competitive advantage. It honestly blows my mind that anyone would be against article II and want to get rid of net neutrality. They are either amazingly stupid/unaware or just straight up corrupt. Since Ajit Pai use to work for Verizon, he is clearly not stupid/unaware. So the only explanation is that he is corrupt because seriously even my 80-year-old grandma can understand the benefits of net neutrality. Please prove me wrong Pai and do not be the douche bag I think you are. Please protect the internet by keeping it neutral and article II.
395. Juana Castro, HELOTES, TX, 78023
Do what's RIGHT!!!!
396. Mick Fox, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78249
The majority of Americans do not have a choice in their internet service providers. Because we can not comparison shop for net neutral providers
397. Wayne Owens, HELOTES, TX, 78023
Please keep the internet open and free. Please do not turn over control to already-extremely-wealthy big money interests. It would be unconscionable to do so.
398. Alicia Helton, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78228
Free access to information
399. Richard Stmepel Jr, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78227
Burn in Hell!!!
400. Noe Tamez, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78250
There is no need to remove net neutrality. Government is supposed to protect people and their interests. Not corporate accounting and their pocketbooks.
401. Jerry Bailey, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight backed by ISPs
402. Maryellen Bailey, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight backed by ISPs
403. roy herron, san antonio, TX, 78228
Keep net neutrality! Maintain Title 2 oversight of the net.
404. Charlton Espinoza, San Antonio, TX, 78201
The FCC should stand up for the rights of internet users and maintain an open and unadulterated access to the utility.
405. Ann Jamieson, San Antonio, TX, 78212
Do not change the rules! I support the strong net neutrality laws backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
406. Lisa Herrera, San Antonio, TX, 78229
I support internet neutrality. Keep Title 2 strong.
407. Al Mallette, San Antonio, TX, 78216
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Anything with Obama's name on it is not good for this nation. He sold us out and will do it again. Everyone know it, but nothing being done. Some refuse to believe it until it's too late. At least with Trump we have a fighting chance. Regards, Al Mallette 7113 San Pedro Ave San Antonio, TX 78216"
408. Courtney Lancaster, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs and do not want any change made to ISP oversight. Proposed changes will enable corporate stifling of free speech online and is a step to a fascist dictatorship.
409. Rolf Laun, San Antonio, TX, 78201
I was extremely displeased to hear that the FCC is considering reversing its decision on net neutrality. It should left where it is, under Title II, which is essential to ensure that all citizen get equal access to the internet services they need. Allowing that decision to be controlled by a small group of companies is likely to result even less competition and innovation than is already become the norm with these near monopolies. Internet service is no longer a luxury; it has become an essential part of many peoples jobs and well-being. The FCC needs to continue to help protect American citizens guard their access by keeping net neutrality under the Title II provisions. Thank you.
410. Lisa Schaefer, San Antonio, TX, 78240

I support strong Net-neutrality for ISPs. Preserve Title II.

411. Daniel Ditmyer, San Antonio, TX, 78240
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Please enter your comment here. Senator Cruz , this ruling of Obamas , has to be taken away !!! Period ! Regards, Daniel Ditmyer 8111 Mainland Dr San Antonio, TX 78240"
412. Debra Wilcox, San Antonio, TX, 78253
I would like to strongly encourage Mr. Pi and the FCC to preserve net neutrality and title 2
413. Ronald Torres, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Do not weaken the rules involving Net Neutrality. What President Obama put in place needs to be left in place. Thank you.
414. Sergio Perez, San Antonio, TX, 78253
I strongly support NET Neutrality oversight covered under Title II.
415. Veronica Franco, San Antonio, TX, 78225
The freedom to get information, to communicate with my family & friends, and do the research needed for my work. The internet has been a most valuable tool in my life and if I couldn't access the open internet I would be in big trouble financially and emotionally.
416. Edward Kern, San Antonio, TX, 78253
I believe that independent websites that are not hampered by slow lanes create innovation.
417. Shirlene Harris, San Antonio, TX, 78249
It is a public utility that has become the number one arena for expressing our First Amendment Rights. An assault on net neutrality is an assault on the First Amendment which, in turn is an assault on the Constitution which, apparently, people like Ajit Pai regard as toilet paper. All of Trump's(excuse me, Ryan's and Bannon's) cabinet regularly use the Constitution for toilet paper and we need to stop it, starting here, if no where else, with net neutrality.
418. Kristina Lopez, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Please continue to protect net neutrality. Deal with ISPs under Title II and do not change it.
419. Brian Hudson, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Net neutrality is final and no compromise is ever necessary, period.
420. Dee S Nutes, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Ajit Pie I SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY, and your mug is shit.
421. gabriel k, San antonio, TX, 78216
I support net neutrality back by title 2 oversight of isp
422. David Gonnerman, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Net Neutrality is critical to the state of democracy in our country, and can only flourish with ISP's being kept under Title II. Keep Title II and Net Neutrality strong!
423. Casimiro Castillo, San Antonio, TX, 78237
Just remember WHO put you there? We need your HELP now.Not the big greedy company's. You may need us next round?? Hello!
424. Forrest Gabitsch, San Antonio, TX, 78214
Protect net neutrality and end the warrantless surveillance of American citizens. Government and corporations need to mind their own business.
425. Shirlene Harris, San Antonio, TX, 78249
internet is a necessity like electricity and water!
426. Sandra Woodall, San Antonio, TX, 78212
When government officials refer me to websites and schools require students to reference materials online, the internet becomes a utility, not an option.
427. Johnathan Saucedo, San Antonio, TX, 78251
We specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
428. Terri Sage, San Antonio, TX, 78216
I support STRONG internet neutrality per Tile II oversight of ISPs
429. Michael L Pleasant, San Antonio, TX, 78253
Preserve net neutrality and title 2 classification of internet connection services.
430. Edward Turpin, San Antonio, TX, 78250
As a software engineer, and user/developer of decentralized computing applications; I urge you to keep Title 2 classification. Ideally, if an ISP abused their service in ways that may increase their profits, but hurt the consumer experience (which is entirely possible, and probable), people would switch to an ISP that did not. However, most people only have the choice between one or two ISPs; and the cost of entry into the space is so high, it would take major abuse for a newcomer ISP to gain a foothold. For this reason, I support strong regulatory power over ISPs.

431. Christopher Saenz, San Antonio, TX, 78214
Leave ISP's under title II. Defend Net neutrality as it protects both American business AND the American people
432. Robert Maurese Edge, San Antonio, TX, 78253
Net neutrality should be maintained in its current form under title 2 because changing it in any form is not in the best interests of the consumer, only the provider.
433. paul pearce, San antonio, TX, 78240
Net neutrality and Title II must be preserved to keep an open and equal internet for everyone! Plans to change this will only open the doors for greed and corruption!
434. Robert Rey, San Antonio, TX, 78251
I support Title 2 for ISPs internet access should be a right for all!
435. michelle salinas, san antonio, TX, 78250
Keep net neutrality strong with title 2 in place! LISTEN TO THE PEOPLE!
436. Justin Constantino, San Antonio, TX, 78256
Do not let companies take advantage of us to make more profit. The Internet was set the way it is for a reason. Our free will is not for sale and this is a travesty.
437. Vicki Mattern, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78249
I support laws that protect net neutrality and support Title II.
438. Martin Schwarzwaldler, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I feel like net neutrality is one of the most important things that we need to fight for I think that it is truly the purest expression of free speech and I think that should be protected not bought and sold to the highest bidder.
439. jose adams, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I and my family Support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's
440. SHAWN JAMES, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78254
PLEASE LEAVE MY INTERNET ALONE THE LARGE COMPANIES MAKE ENOUGH MONEY WITH OVER CHARGING AS IS. REGULATING INFORMATION IS NOT AMERICAN AND SEEMS LIKE SOMETHING THAT IS DONE WITHIN COUNTRIES THAT ARE NOT FREE. I PAY FOR A CERTAIN PRODUCT THAT I PREFER TO USE AS I PLEASE, NOT TO BE CONTROLLED BY DICTATORS AND CORPORATIONS.
441. Michael Carroll, San Antonio, TX, 78201
I strongly support strong Net Neutrality back by Tittle 2 oversight of ISPs.
442. sophia melendez, San Antonio, TX, 78214
I'm against the proposed changes to net neutrality, I believe it will hurt local and small business nation wide as well as limit the content citizens are able to access or make it unaffordable and in doing so will prevent people from making a conscious decisions due limited information. Leave the internet alone and stop selling our freedom!
443. Brendan Beely, San Antonio, TX, 78254
I write to express my sheer and adamant support for classifying the internet and all associated traffic as utilities, and regulating them as common carriers. Net Neutrality is vital to the continued success and growth of out country. Repeal of net neutrality hurts every American, except those very few that run telecom giants.
444. Shawn Jansen, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Ending net neutrality will not benefit the US. Open idea exchange will die along with any commerce that builds as a result. Do not end net neutrality.
445. Marcus Rivers, San Antonio, TX, 78201
Please preserve the net neutrality laws. The throttling of data needs to be regulated and this plan to repeal it is a very consumer unfriendly ruling. I am extremely disappointed in the FCC, this is the information age for heaven's sake, the limiting of digital information by ISP's is the only possible outcome of this foolishness.
446. Matthew Sean Buckholdt, San Antonio, TX, 78253
Please do not allow ISP to charge certain traffic (IP packets) more for faster traffic. There are sites/programs that I use that might charge more if they have to pay more for "faster" traffic.
447. Zachary Mendoza, San Antonio, TX, 78253
I do not want the FCC to change the way Net Neutrality works. It infringes upon our freedoms on the internet.
448. Amanda, San Antonio, TX, 78228
I grew up online. Some of the best friends I've ever made were made online. The Internet is a free and wonderful resource and to think it could be privatized is sick, vile and greedy. Keep the Internet free, accessible and for the people. My job as a social media manager's success is hinged on the fact that our Internet is free and open.
449. Steven, San Antonio, TX, 78238
I believe strongly that we need to maintain a free internet and article II ensures that! Before article two, telecom companies slowed the

internet speeds of other firms they were negotiating with. We don't want this to happen again. Please don't ruin the internet to give just another advantage to the telecom companies.

450. B Boyd, San Antonio, TX, 78230
support the classification of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act. The argument that ISPs have been hurt by this classification has been proven false time and again, and it has also been admitted as untrue by some of the largest ISPs in the country. Allowing ISPs the freedom to make decisions about the openness of the Internet is not in the best interest of the American People. What has been proven as fact is allowing things like "fast lanes" for certain service providers, like Netflix, and requiring those service providers to pay for those fast lanes, prevents competition. Less competition is bad for all consumers. Fast lanes allow ISPs to earn money from providers for something which they've already been paid. There should never be an option for ISPs to limit my ability to reach the Internet, and all that it has to offer. Nor should ISPs be able to limit the speeds at which I communicate with anyone or anything on the Internet, as that prevents new competition from entering the market if they can't afford the "tax" being imposed for a fast lane. Finally, removing Title II classification as common carriers for ISPs, simply put, opens the door for the US Internet experience to be censored. I have always been proud to live in a nation where I do not have to be concerned with the idea of censorship in my speech, public communication, or the Internet. Removing Title II classification for ISPs would be an enormous first step to a censored Internet for Americans, and that is wrong.
451. Pamela Gutierrez, San Antonio, TX, 78240
The rules for net neutrality should stay in place that does not allow any ISPs or Mobile carriers to create different rules or lanes or priorities for websites, applications or corporations. The removal of such rules and removal of true enforcement reduces competition and innovation. True enforcement of the rules needs to include heavy fines, such so that the corporation that receives it actually feels pain and not some silly fine of a small percentage of their profits, otherwise, the rule is a rule in name only. If I create a new app or corporation but I don't have the money to spend to buy the "fast" lanes or "priority" internet lanes, then I will be unable to compete with other corporations who are already paying for that. That also reduces any money for new innovations. It becomes unfair. Who is to say that later on, this technology or dismissal of net neutrality could be used for banning certain pages or opinions such that is done today in other countries. The United States has to be better. We cannot let corporations decide the rules they want to follow. As has been shown, corporations would prefer no rules as they can make more profit that way or have rules that benefit only the corporations. Consumers are already unable to compete or even have competing ISPs, why make it worse for the consumer? That seems heartless. I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY and ENFORCEMENT.
452. BENNIE RUSH, Helotes, TX, 78023
Please support net neutrality. By not doing so, you are limiting Americas access to the internet.
453. Jon Anderson, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Leave net neutrality where it is. Do NOT move it anywhere!
454. Paul Nguyen, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Filing this again to make sure my voice is heard, please keep Net Neutrality strong by keeping Title II classifications on ISPs. ISPs can sweet talk us into believing that they will play fair but when it comes down to profits they and any content provider willing to pay them can and will crush competition if they're allowed to anyway they can. So why even leave that back door open? I like my internet connection fair and for lack of a better word UNFUCKED.
455. Aaron Blandon, San Antonio, TX, 78228
I support Strong net neutrality standards, in particular, by way of upholding internet service providers' Title II classification as 'telecommunications services'. It's time to put in place rules to preserve the #OpenInternet that has become an indispensable part of our daily lives. #TitleII--Tom Wheeler, former FCC Chairman I refer you to FCC 15-24 Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554 In the Matter of Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet))) GN Docket No. 14-28 REPORT AND ORDER ON REMAND, DECLARATORY RULING, AND ORDER Adopted: February 26, 2015 Released: March 12, 2015. I would also like clarification, consideration, and investigation of Chairman Ajit Pai's interests where they may conflict with those of the public, particularly in light of his previous role as in-house counsel for Verizon. Stop selling the public good to corporations.
456. Alexander James Mains, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Net Neutrality under Title 2 is essential. The internet is a Utility and we shall not revert these changes for they will create problems under our free market economy. Allowing ISPs to blackmail services is anti-consumer and non-competitive. It will kill companies that get throttled and force ISPs to create or push services for the companies that don't pay the fee. Example: If Netflix doesn't pay and their streaming service gets throttled (Which will absolutely kill the service, as data streaming is expensive in memory), Spectrum could absolutely push forward their Television plan, thus creating an unfair advantage for Spectrum, as they control a majority of the internet connections in the US. Allowing ISPs that get big, like Spectrum, the ability to create a monopoly over these internet exclusive services is authoritarian economically. Only allowing those who can afford these hiked rates for specific services creates a disgusting class divide and restrains part of our constantly advancing culture. No one should have to pay extra in order to achieve a normal speed for a website on a certain list, they should only need to pay for the speed of data.
457. Lucas Chavez, San Antonio, TX, 78229
I support Title 2 and Net neutrality
458. Frances Sepulveda, San Antonio, TX, 78216
Please do not end Net Neutrality, it is imperative that all companies, regardless of wealth, have equal uploading abilities as the big corporations, we cannot punish small business for not having enough revenue and unable to pay for the "faster" lane, this is not only horrible, this is just plain wrong.
459. Todd Walker, San Antonio, TX, 78212
It is my opinion that Net Neutrality is one of the most important issues before the FCC. Please consider carefully before enacting any

regulations that would allow companies like Comcast to restrict the flow of information as they have already been shown to do. It is a matter of public record that some of these companies would like to restrict their customers' ability to get to various parts of the internet. If this is allowed, the internet will stagnate. The immense growth of the internet has come in large part because of the ability to connect to any system that wishes you to. As more services move online, our economy needs an open internet to continue to recover. The fact that companies like Comcast own the content and the delivery pipe troubles me. They have every incentive to protect their existing business by preventing their customers from viewing video content from another source. As many interesting sources of content have been created online, in competition with the old sources that Comcast owns, I see it as a Freedom of Speech issue if Comcast is allowed to prevent me from viewing the content of their competition. Comcast is just one example. Many of the other cable and telephone companies are just as guilty in this regard. And, in many areas, there is only one decent speed option for internet access. Allowing a monopoly to crush online competition is against the very freedoms our country should stand to protect. As the existing companies have proven that they can't be trusted with the internet, I wonder if a model where the content and the delivery system need to be separated would be more beneficial. That would prevent the anti-competitive situation we often see in much of the US. Thank you for your time, Todd Walker

460. Austin Whittington, San Antonio, TX, 78229
I support ISPs being regulated under Title II, which includes disallowing them to throttle or filter traffic based on its source or destination.
461. Alfonso Gonzalez-Betancourt, San Antonio, TX, 78229
Look out for the interests of the American citizenry, not your previous employer. If you are unwilling or unable to do so, either resign or at least have the decency to put a bullet in your brain.
462. Logan Miller, San Antonio, TX, 78238
The FCC needs to ensure the internet remains open and fair by maintaining Net Neutrality regulations with Title II classification of ISPs. The FCC serves the public, not the ISPs.
463. Tyler Tway, San Antonio, TX, 78251
History has shown that Internet Service Providers cannot be trusted to not enact anti consumer policies. Title II status ensures that the internet can remain an open marketplace for ideas, innovation, entertainment, and communication. Without this protection end users and content providers alike are at risk. Title II ensures startup businesses and established corporations are able to benefit. Content filtering by Internet Service Providers, already attempted numerous times, would kill off access to the invaluable tool that is the internet to small businesses unable to pay the fee. For the end user, the wealth of knowledge at their fingertips could dry up if Service Providers were able to restrict content via paywall. The only entities that stands to benefit from a reclassification of Internet Service Providers, are the providers themselves. The consumers, the content creators, the innovators, are all likely to be hurt by a reclassification away from Common Carrier status.
464. Bonita Blayney, San Antonio, TX, 78227
I am in support net neutrality and Title 2.
465. Jacqueline Villarreal, San Antonio, TX, 78237
I fully support strong net neutrality backed by Title II over site of ISPs
466. Michael Hewitt, San Antonio, TX, 78229
Hello again. Just a reminder that Net Neutrality is incredibly popular among American citizens, and allowing the rollback of protections and letting already oligopolic ISPs choke out their competition or 'undesirable content' is the first step on a short road to becoming China.
467. John Hashop, San Antonio, TX, 78240
This comment is in support of Net Neutrality and keeping ISPs under Title II regulation. I can see how a free and open, difficult-to-manipulate internet would be distasteful or inconvenient to many boardrooms, but I'm going to have to side with the humans over the corporations on this one.
468. Jesse Oswalt, San Antonio, TX, 78256
My livelihood depends on users having unbiased connectivity. In order to maintain my lifestyle and support my family I stand for internet neutrality.
469. 17-108, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Please keep Net Neutrality laws in effect and keep the internet transparent and equal for all.
470. enter, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISP's! Get it done!
471. james, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Do NOT remove oversight of net neutrality. Leave the internet alone !
472. Jeremy Johnson, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Please keep the internet as title 2. Net neutrality is important
473. Daniel Veliz, San Antonio, TX, 78227
To Mr. Ajit Pai and his administration, I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you. Also I've read articles about Comcast and Verizon using real people's names (without their permission or authorization) to troll the comments section, please do something about that.

474. Thomas Applebee, JBSA - Lackland, TX, 78236
Preserve Net neutrality. Internet freedom cannot be trusted to the "kind hearts" of corporations. Telecommunications companies are in business to make money and will not hesitate violate customers internet freedom to that end. There is no evidence that being covered by title 2 has caused any significant losses for any of these companies. Stand for the rights of the people and maintain the current protections.
475. Michael Shands, San Antonio, TX, 78216
Unrestricted internet availability is equivalent to free speech. Ending net neutrality will allow a few powerful companies to be in control of speech in the US. The internet is no less important to US citizens than newspapers, telephone, television, radio, or the public square. Please do not allow the continued diminution of the rights of American citizens.
476. Jesus Gonzales, San Antonio, TX, 78201
I fully support the efforts to enforce Net Neutrality and believe in strong protections under Title II of the Federal Communications Act of 1934. ISP should be classified under the more stringent Title II and should NOT be allowed to police themselves under Title I as they will undoubtedly carry out unfair and anti-competitive business practices to harm other competitors. As they have already demonstrated.
477. chris barragan, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I am in support of title 2 the preservation of net neutrality
478. Tom Register, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Keep net neutrality and for God's sake quit playing these games
479. travis womack, San antonio, TX, 78253
Keep ISP's under title 2. History has shown to do otherwise will lesson compition, and allow big corporations to stifle freedom of religion and freedom of speech. Keep America great and keep the net neutral.
480. Tim Santy, Helotes, TX, 78023
Net neutrality is critical to keep ISPs from favoring higher paying larger companies and potentially crowding smaller companies out of equal service. Classification of all ISPs under Title 2 in order to be able to enforce net neutrality is imperative. Do not allow the classification to change.
481. Arthur Hernandez, San Antonio, TX, 78228
I object strongly to any effort to change the rules related to net neutrality and moving ISPs from Title II. Do not weaken the protection of consumers!
482. George Sauseda, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality and Title 2!!!!
483. Mauricio Eduardo Flores, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I believe net neutrality has become a pillar of democracy, and that the proposed changes would be an attack against the principles of the United States of America. Internet providers such as Comcast and AT&T should not be able to decide what kind of Internet access any citizen of this nation is allowed, and the rules in favor of net neutrality upheld in 2015 must be upheld again. As a scientist, access to a neutral Internet is crucial to the work my colleagues and I do, whether it is access to existing research that informs new projects or conducting effective outreach to our local and state communities (and the wider national community). The proposed changes would only harm the country by essentially abolishing an equal Internet and impeding ISPs from being regulated fairly. I support net neutrality, and so do most Americans and institutions. The FCC must keep net neutrality as it is.
484. Brian T. Walker, San Antonio, TX, 78213
Keep net neutrality under Title II rules. DO NOT end net neutrality.
485. Sandra Woodall, San Antonio, TX, 78212
I need to use the internet to submit this comment, to contact my representatives, to work with educational institutions, to communicate with co-workers. People who don't use the internet are a minority and at a disadvantage. The internet has taken on the same importance as the telephone and, like the telephone, should be a utility.
486. Elsa Hafez, San Antonio, TX, 78201
Dear FCC, We the people of the United States of America are against Ajit Pai's Plan !!!!Elsa Hafez
487. Zach Faddis, San Antonio, TX, 78256
Net Neutrality is an important principle which must be maintained in order to ensure a fair and open internet for all. This is especially true when almost all Americans get their access to the internet through a small cabal of ISPs. The classification of ISPs under title 2 is our, the american publics', best protection from the oligarchy that the ISPs have become. It would be a grave weakening of our rights as digital citizens if the title 2 status of these ISPs were to change.
488. Justin Constantino, San Antonio, TX, 78256
Dear FCC,Net neutrality was made for a reason. Don't let large companies take advantage of us. The FCC should be on our side and protect net neutrality. Do not let Ajit Pai's plans to go through. Do not prioritize the will of companies-for-profit over the will of the citizens. This is an outrage! Why are you poisoning this once great country? PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY! Justin Constantino
489. Jacek Walasek, San Antonio, TX, 78229
I am writing this to urge the FCC to maintain net netruality, and Title 2. These laws ensure a level field on the internet and protects the interests of all users from deceptive actions.

490. Andrew Boyd, San Antonio, TX, 78251
If the FCC decides to give in to ISPs requests to redefine themselves under title 1, the FCC will singlehandedly dismantle freedom in a way that would be more catastrophic to humankind than the nazi genocide and the massacres of ghengis khan COMBINED. This type of intellectual assassination would be more detrimental to society than the bubonic plague was. Please please please do not allow these mega companies to dismantle the freedoms of true Internet and human intelligence.
491. David Kinnamont, San Antonio, TX, 78249
It is so frustrating to me as a paying customer that ISPs are placing data caps on internet to make even more profit off the backs of American People. This is the only country in the world where this is a problem. Why is it that my cell phone now has unlimited data whereas my home internet is capped. It has been proven, and even admitted by ISP lobbyists that Data Caps serve no purpose other than to pull more money out of the customer. I am happy to pay for the use of the cables that provide me internet, however ISPs are a "last-mile" provider. ISPs do not control nor do they own the internet, they are simply the driveway to get me home from the main cable of the internet already paid for by the government. The internet is a necessary utility in this age, and people have a right to access it, these data caps just lead to another unnecessary fee and further block access to this vital utility. The United Nations has even stated that it considers the internet to be a human right. Specifically, an addition was made to Article 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), which states: "Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers." Section 32 adds "The promotion, protection and enjoyment of human rights on the Internet" and another 15 recommendations that cover the rights of those who work in and rely on internet access. It also applies to women, girls, and those heavily impacted by the digital divide.
492. Elizabeth Lucantonio, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Please leave the 'net' alone... neutrality is essential to small businesses, and to free speech, a concept this country was built on! Big business have interfered in our choices far too long and if net neutrality is not maintained, the net will become just another casualty of the war against individual freedoms.
493. Paxton Frombaugh, San Antonio, TX, 78228
Net Neutrality MUST be preserved. Anything less is pure economic apartheid, and constitutes oppression.
494. Nathan Revilla, San Antonio, TX, 78253
pls leav net neutrelity alon pls.
495. Jacob Townsend, San Antonio, TX, 78251
I personally believe the neutrality of the internet to be of most importance to the development of the modern world. With an internet that is "owned" by an entity, modern entrepreneurship will struggle to exist.
496. Charles Houser, San Antonio, TX, 78227
Don't hurt the little guy. Keep it the way it is.
497. Don Hogan, Helotes, TX, 78023
To take away net neutrality is implicitly if not explicitly a violation of 1st Amendment rights. Please leave net neutrality intact.
498. chrysoula caragonne-chilcott, san antonio, TX, 78249
What always tips the scales is always what's down to earth and real. Keep it real we r waiting for the good things to come love wins in the end. We have a winner! WeloveloveloveUThat's the royal we 2 U!
499. Scott Potter, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Dear FCC, I am writing to tell you that I am against your plan to end "net neutrality" rules. I sincerely believe net neutrality is a great benefit that protects free speech and consumer choice. Thank you for your consideration in this important matter.
500. Nicki Phillips, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I am not a person who makes a living online, but I believe with all my heart that the internet is the best of America; and the world. Allowing all comers space to express their feelings on almost any subject. It is a cliché how much junk is on the internet, it is a cliché how much time we all spend on the internet, it is astounding that we have almost the entire knowledge of mankind at our fingertips. It is true that social media can be manipulated for a specific purpose or cause, or to denigrate a public figure, or to cause someone to suicide. It also can spread the truth. IT IS SO IMPORTANT that we retain as much freedom as we possibly can, it is the only way we can counter fascism. Politically correct spasms do not increase knowledge.
501. Gloria, San Antonio, TX, 78238
Taking this fundamental (net neutrality) right and allow "big daddy" take over this precious right we enjoy, will lead to more outrageous takeovers. We must stand and say No. "Ya basta" with this takeovers by bigger companies. We must hang on to what rights we have and if necessary fight against this greedy takeovers. I'll cancel all my AT&T services and others should do so. As we say here in Texas 'Don't mess with Texas'
502. Charles Gustav, San Antonio, TX, 78213
Net neutrality is simply necessary to ensure freedom of speech on the internet. Without it, companies can cut off access to sites that criticize them, or the government. This is a situation we cannot allow. Please, keep the internet neutral, and free.
503. B Jepson, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Allowing ISPs to stratify access to the internet will strangle internet innovation. Gating newcomers behind a paywall runs counter to the entrepreneurial spirit our country values.

504. N Posos, San Antonio, TX, 78228
I want to feel secure in my internet activity as a senior citizen; we are victimized too often as it is now.
505. Adolph H. J., San Antonio, TX, 78237
As a online consumer and a small online business owner it is crucial. For my customers to be able to see my products enables for the economy to grow. Net Neutrality also helps other entrepreneurs and creators to create new jobs. It is also important for me as a consumer to be able to see other small business like my own and have the ability to have a choice to pick the business that best fits my needs. Larger companies do not always benefit the whole.
506. Don Hogan, Helotes, TX, 78023
It's incumbent that Net neutrality continues and that the internet not be regulated. As part of the modern day version of "the American dream", stomping out net neutrality will hurt the little guy and start up businesses that must rely on e-commerce for their success. Inevitably, this is a free speech issue and our First Amendment rights will be curtailed if this administration has it's way."
507. Christian Borrowman, San Antonio, TX, 78253
The internet is too often required by so many of my college classes that an internet run by Comcast, or another large company, would essentially become an education for corporations, by corporations. It would hobble the flow of rightful and correct information streaming into classrooms and houses. An internet treated like television would throw the united states into a spiral of ignorance and only serve to dumb down the populace.
508. Amir saleh, San Antonio, TX, 78249
The people don't need any more influence from large corporations. Keep the internet free of corporate greed and corruption
509. Blake McAnally, San Antonio , TX, 78249
I want the internet to be available to as many people as possible just like electricity and water. It's a public utility and should be protected from corporate interests
510. Vanessa Garza, San Antonio, TX, 78250
The internet is essential in our society. Net Neutrality MUST be protected to help ensure equality. It is the least that can be done. Do you care more about your citizens or the businesses? That is the real question.
511. michael goeken, San Antonio, TX, 78255
Let me - the user - decide what I can and cannot see on the internet. DO NO let providers make the decision!!!!!!!
512. Alejandra Vinton, San Antonio, TX, 78213
Per the NCTA- Costs have gone down and speed has gone up, with the U.S. now in the top ten countries for overall average speed. That totally smashes the counterargument.
513. Sharlia McGee, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Why must the big companies be the ones in charge of everyone's access to the internet or broadcasts? What happens to freedom to the people, to everyone having their individual choice, to competition - a fair market? Please let each person and each company having a voice and choice! Sharlia McGee
514. Dr. Kirk M. Jones, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I believe that net neutrality is required for free speech to exist in the modern world. I further believe it the the job and moral obligation of the government to protect us from institutions that, because of their size, can freely ignore individual citizens.
515. Kimberly Molyneaux, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Without net neutrality, purely online-based ways of making money would be effectively neutered. Streamers, Youtubers and other online content creators rely on that protection. Without it, people who go against a particular companies values would be subjected to bans and restrictions. As a streamer and consumer, I rely on my cable company giving me the rates they advertise and I pay for. Protect my rights as a consumer.
516. George McDill, San Antonio, TX, 78216
It is OUR right! Tell the greedy corporations to take a long hike on a short pier.
517. Dale e. Moore, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Leave it open for all!!
518. Olga, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Please preserve net neutrality. I do not want the internet and big cable companies to control what we can watch and do online!
519. Xero Vargas, san antonio, TX, 78256
I have constant trouble with all internet companies as it is. I can only imagine the damage it would do to small business, independent news sources and every virtual transaction to be made. Imagine a world where financial institutions are at the mercy of a cable company. Simply absurd.
520. kaisar yamin, san antonio, TX, 78228
The internet is a type two civilizations communications systemmaintaining its mobility is important. it is the only best way to progress humanity farther in its evolution
521. Mary Ann Lovett, San Antonio, TX, 78256
Net Neutrality is important to me. I've always been in favor of having access to a broad perspective of views and thoughts. As an older

person, having access to the information and research that the internet provides is far better than any newspaper. Free speech is essential in a democracy where informed citizenship is essential. All perspectives both the powerful and the small are a mark of a free America. Let every voice be heard. Let every outlet be available.

522. Steve Oettinger, San Antonio, TX, 78212
This is the land of the Free! A lot of brave men and women fought to make it that way! If you legislate our freedom away, "We the people" will fight to get it back! Don't rob us of our freedom!
523. Jorge Luis Gamboa, San Antonio, TX, 78228
Net neutrality creates is the competitive common ground that is necessary for the entrepreneurial spirit of our country. By maintaining our open access we allow for local genius to thrive and create value within our own means.
524. Tyrena, San Antonio, TX, 78227
I believe we all love America because we have freedom of Speech. The media is already stopping our ability to express our views calling us hate mongers and all sorts of names if we disagree with the left. If we do not have the internet the left has won.
525. Jay, San Antonio, TX, 78213
Net neutrality is needed because if it goes away it makes it harder for the everyday Joe to use their internet. Hackers and criminals will always find a way around the defenses, you're just hurting your own people.
526. Eric Tate, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Protect net neutrality because if not, Internet companies will make Netflix super expensive, effectively ending the age of "Peak TV" that I have so enjoyed these past few years. I'd rather not say GOODBYE to all my favorite shows.
527. Mary Light, San Antonio, TX, 78240
The First Amendment gives us free speech, including on the Internet. Net neutrality MUST be protected.
528. Warren Delamar, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Net neutrality is important to me because open communication and the free exchange of ideas are important to me. Net neutrality prevents censorship such as is commonly seen in China and Turkey. It also prevents large corporations from tailoring and limiting our access to what has become a basic utility in the modern world.
529. Jack Evans, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I believe Net Neutrality is best. I believe Net Neutrality is fair. I believe Net Neutrality is proper. Please do not allow ANYONE to have power over speed, access, or cost of the internet. I am dismayed that anyone could advocate for anything but Net Neutrality. Thank you.
530. Harendra Sahani, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I strongly support net neutrality, as without this Internet providers can charge a toll to companies or make them pay more to have access to an "Internet fast lane." Giant tech firms, able to pay the toll, could then provide services that newer startups couldn't, and giant companies would have a leg up on more nimble competitors. The competition that has made the American technology sector possible, in other words, would calcify. Regulating for net neutrality protects small business without imposing demands on it. Making net neutrality the law, in fact, would just preserve the regulatory scheme as it's essentially been over the last couple decades.
531. Robert Del Huerto, San Antonio, TX, 78253
Net neutrality must be protected to allow the continued growth and innovation. I remember the days of "getting online" with a 300bps modem so I have seen tremendous change. Handing control of the Internet to corporations would slow down all the progress we have seen so far.
532. Ervie E Braun, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Please protect net neutrality. Why should monolithic cable companies be allowed to shut out poorer Americans from equal access to the Internet?
533. Adolio Garza, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Protecting our free speech rights and ensuring a level playing field for small and new businesses is paramount to our society. Protect net neutrality.
534. Lee Markell, San Antonio, TX, 78213
The internet is like a public library. In a library, some books are not suitable for children but that doesn't mean those books should be banned or otherwise made unavailable. The internet is a huge repository of information. No one - not the government nor an internet service provider - should have the authority to decide what is good or what is bad or what I can or cannot read.
535. Edward Bloom, Helotes, TX, 78023
Little by little, this administration is chipping away at the very core of what use to make the United States of America the greatest country on the planet: the free exchange of ideas. In this day and age, the internet has become a basic and indispensable tool to that end. With respect, I urge the FCC to leave net neutrality in place and allow consumers to control their online experience.
536. JOSEPH GONZALEZ DIAZ, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78240
America was built on the idea of a level playing field, freedom and equality on a grand scale created to allow for progression and freedoms for those before unable to pursue them. Information should be neutral to ownership. Our government should be neutral to corporate bias. And the net should be indifferent to any form of outside manipulation beyond that of those willing to create within it.

553. Daussen Baker, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Restricting the freedom of the people is the most un-american, tyrannical thing I can think of. Don't do it to the internet.
554. Robert Castro, San Antonio, TX, 78242
I need to voice my support for net neutrality. I believe it is not fair, for example, if entrepreneurs who sell craft chocolates and coffee who could never compete if Godiva and Starbucks paid for faster carriage due to net neutrality revocation. By paying for my ISP I understand that I am buying it to get reliable access to all the Internet offers at fast speeds. In my location there is around roughly two providers to choose from at the same prices. We need the FCC, which is tasked by law to oversee communications networks, to continue overseeing access to the most important network of our lifetimes. This role includes ensuring that consumers are protected from, among other things, invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers. If the FCC is left without authority over broadband ISPs, Comcast could double its prices overnight, and there wouldn't be anything the FCC or any other agency could do about it. We need to build the strongest possible record if the net neutrality rules, and an open Internet, are to be preserved.
555. Antonio Holen, San Antonio, TX, 78250
DO you not know of the documentary the internets own boy its about someone who was deeply involved towards the end of his life concerning internet equality and such and there was actually an incident in africa where someone developed a cure for something through the information he made readily available that is an undeniable fact and i also feel that i disagree with separating the internet into different zones of availability and hence potentially taking away a portion of the readily available internet that might be available to someone AND HENCE ALL THE CURES WILL DISAPPEAR FROM REALITY BC THEY NEVER WERE FOSTERED
556. Joel Buchanan, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Knock it off...
557. Elysa Sawyer, san antonio, TX, 78254
Please do the right thing and keep the internet free and open. As a student studying biology and public health, open access to research and various sources is detrimental. If this information is harder to access and leads to use of biased research which skews results and affects solutions, there could be very negative repercussions. Don't let a fair and true education be put on the back burner for profits, please.
558. Alejandro Flores Jr., San Antonio, TX, 78253
Please don't destroy our internet
559. Jonathan Ciesinski, San Antonio, TX, 78249
The reason I buy internet access is so that I have a connection with the modern world. With this bill passing, I believe that we would lose that access and be restricted to whatever the broadband companies want us to see and hear.
560. Brandon Beals, San Antonio, TX, 78249
If net neutrality isn't saved then the the world would no longer be a the same. It would be a world controlled by the big internet providers, as they would filter anything they deemed inappropriate. We would become essentially China, and the US would no longer exist as it did. We would be chastised under a tyrannical rule for even having a different thought than what they want. If net Neutrality dies, everything does...especially the Economy.
561. Matt, Helotes, TX, 78023
The removal of Net Neutrality is an act that allows corporations to censor people's internet just to extort their customers to pay for a 'premium' service. Net Neutrality keeps the internet free and allows everyone to be equal, but if the ISPs get their way people who can afford it get access to a superior internet, while the rest have to suffer a restricted internet that can be controlled by our internet service providers. Nobody benefits from the taking away of Net Neutrality but the wealthy businessmen, while EVERY OTHER person who uses the internet in the USA suffers.
562. Alan Aguirre, San Antonio, TX, 78212
I believe net neutrality is a very important issue and would like the FCC to keep it that way.
563. Christian, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Internet access is a huge part of my, and many others lives. Some people live by selling items over the web on their own web stores. Some people get their education from online classes the colleges provide. If we start to allow ISPs to start choosing favorites some of these people would be impacted negatively.
564. Christian Yawn, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Ending Net Neutrality is essentially ending the Internet. Don't introduce big business to the internet. We thrive off individuality, and being able to express ourselves and gather with one another over a digital platform regardless of social status or wealth is what defines the internet. Ending net neutrality kills this dream. Do not sell the freedom of the internet to the highest bidder.
565. Sabrina Palmer, san antonio, TX, 78254
I believe the internet should remain free to public access! It follows our beliefs of the foundation of this country to have things remain the way they are. To take away those rights and put it in the hands of who has the most money would degrade what we as a nation stand for. Freedom!
566. Adam, San Antonio, TX, 78214
the internet needs to stay open please
567. Philip, San Antonio, TX, 78229

I am in favor of strong legislation that supports open net neutrality

568. Beau Deckert, san antonio, TX, 78240
Don't repeal or change the net neutrality
569. Eduardo Morales, San Antonio, TX, 78214
A net neutral internet has opened the world to me. I grew up in a poor household and was not literate in technology as i was raised. However when i was able to afford my first smart phone, my world changed as have my point of view in life. Due to the internet, i was made aware of products and services and ideas i may have never heard of if ISPs' were bias towards products and services they have a corporate interest in. Due to services like amazon, craigslist, netflix, ebay i am able to afford a fair deal on a used car, live in an apartment on my own, cheap and used products such as phones, PCs, TVs, i am able to enjoy entertainmet that isnt reliant on old TV broadcast channels. In short my quality of life sky rocketed because of my access to the internet. How much of my life would have changed if my access was restricted, pushed or promoted if it werent for net neutrality.I was led to belive the FCC was tasked by law to oversee communications networks. The issue of net neutrality is weather the FCC will have any role in overseeing access to the most important network of our lifetimes. This role includes ensuring that consumers are protected from, among other things, invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers. If the FCC is left without authority over broadband ISPs, Comcast could double its prices overnight, and there wouldnâ€™t be anything the FCC or any other agency could do about it. I trust in the free market of ideas as well as the open market of products. A neutral Internet is the epitome of both an open and free market, all the results of capatalism when it is allowed to grow. However i do understand these services are provided by private intrests, and that a regulatory system or agency contradicts my view of the free market, but this would not be the case if there were several comcast like entities offering the same services. But there arnt any or at least not as large or as far reaching, it would be a monopoly. Now i love the boardgame but in practice this is not the way to promote good business, in my humble opinion of course.Please take a second to imagine if ISPs' had the power to censor the services of their customers. How long or how fast would it take for these ISPs' shut down or decentivize consumers to certain people, goods, ideas. In honesty, if it weren't for the internet and its culture, and alternative media that has fostered in this open free market enviroment of ideas and products as it is now I personally wouldn't have defended and promoted this current Presidential Administration, please dont make me regret that.Thank you and have a good day.
570. twitch, san antonio, TX, 78249
keep the internet open and let it be competitive do not limit any users of internet services.
571. Krystal , San Antonio, TX, 78229
Dear FCC & Congress,We the people feel that this is really unnecessary to revoke our freedom to explore the web in a neutrality. This goes agents what the founding fathers of our nation wanted to be FREE and I mean that with big words. We are supposed to be "free" in these times. Yet you worry about the web when there is more impotent things then the web it is our right to do what he we went on here with limitation, but this is our freedom that will be taken away if it happens. Krystal
572. Samantha Lee Flower, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Net Neutrality is vital to maintain a strong market that reflects our American values. The world today is increasingly tied to the internet, and to stifle this fair market is to stifle humanity.
573. Brandon Graff, San Antonio, TX, 78213
We must protect net neutrality. It is a level playing field where the best and brightest shine. Getting rid of it would simply allow those who have more money to kill off any competition and prevent the free market from correcting bad business.
574. Brandon Cox, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Please do not get rid of net neutrality. It is a necessity to every American's way of life. Our economy functions off this and a repeal to this will destroy small businesses and ruin many peoples livelihoods. By removing this bill you will be harming america is such a way that it would be similar to cutting peoples electricity and water from there homes. I say this one more time. Do not repeal net neutrality.
575. Internet, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Please save Net Neutrality! Do not allow the big companies to kill our small businesses.
576. Ben Huron, San Antonio, TX, 78237
I'm a student in high school and we use the Internet often because classes require us to. Slower Internet would make my education worse because the teaching possible by the teacher would be shortened just for buffering times.
577. Tyler Shafer, san antonio, TX, 78237
The internet needs to be free of corperations running and the ability to throttle. that is what makes the internet great. dont ruin it
578. John Thomas Menchaca, San Antonio, TX, 78228
FCC regulations should explicitly protect the United States public and their freedoms by ensuring internet service providers cannot, even theoretically, throttle internet bandwidth to any site. John Thomas Menchaca
579. jeff seitz, helotes, TX, 78023
Keep net neutrality, it is important to keep our open internet.
580. James Sanchez, San Antonio, TX, 78251
I believe that the internet should be equally available at the same speeds and reliability to all consumers. We pay for the access to the internet, not to have businesses determine how we should use this medium, or what they think or feel we should be okay with as far as speed and access goes. Giving the corporations the legal authority to limit either sites we have access to, or the speed at which we visit websites, or competitors is not only going against the idea of free and open internet, but taking away the options and power of the consumers, who are the people I strongly feel the FCC should be on the side of. It's not corporations who abuse, or find loopholes that

disenfranchise businesses and take away their options, it's the other way around. When we pay for a service, we shouldn't have to accept that a business or corporation will suddenly decide arbitrarily that the consumer should be able to "live with" data caps, and slower internet speeds than paid for. This does nothing at all, to help the consumers either with the quality of service they're provided, or to decrease the ridiculous price we have to shell out for access to internet. I strongly believe that in this time, the Internet is a vital resource that is used on a daily basis, for such a wide range of reasons, that eventually it will become, if it hasn't already, as normal in our lives as electricity to power our houses, access our e-mails or other such services for communication, security purposes, etc. It's all around us, and slowly creeping into everything we do, at this point, it only makes sense to keep it as a utility, that people should have access too, without limitation. It's bad enough that the Chairman thinks that ISPs deserve the right to it's consumers data and the ability to sell it, stripping us of our privacy. Please don't make the mistake of destroying net neutrality as well. Protect the consumer, FCC. If you don't have our backs, who else will?

581. Alexander Badillo, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Please preserve net neutrality by keeping the internet Title II.
582. Jade Taylor, San Antonio, TX, 78254
the internet is not your personal check. it is a tool for everyone to use and there should be no bias of business to interfere with the further indulgence in intelligence, information and discovery. you know this is wrong. if you are to do it regardless I will remember this for the rest of my life, and you will see no help from me as a voter or as a citizen.
583. Steven Kern, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Please insure that the internet retains it's neutrality. Thank you.
584. David Ramos, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Repealing net neutrality laws would not only force users to pay higher prices but would also result in a few large corporations monopolizing internet traffic and eliminating the free market competition America is known for. Please, do not allow a few special interests take over something meant to be for all people. After all, higher net prices would force a number of Americans with lower incomes to no longer be able to access what fast becoming humanity's most important method of communication, commerce and in some cases, civilization.
585. Tracy Chacon, San Antonio, TX, 78227
Internet Service Providers (ISPs) should not be able to slow down internet connections, block and or prioritize websites. Many others and I think that strong, enforceable net neutrality rules should not just be paid lip service.
586. Stephanie Driessel, San Antonio, TX, 78251
I'm an artist and I occasionally sell my work on Etsy. Net Neutrality rules as they exist today protect people like me because I can grow my business in a way that works for my family. Despite claims from behemoth Internet Service Providers, the rules as they are do nothing to limit economic growth for ISPs - in fact, the existing rules foster an environment where small businesses can find purchase and thrive in an economy increasingly dominated by corporate conglomerates such as Amazon and Walmart. ISPs are demonstrating more and more that what they are offering is simply this: paid access to high speed internet. That's all I pay for when I pay my ISP. I use a host of other platforms (both paid and free) for my phone, email, and cloud storage - I pay my ISP strictly for access to fast internet. The ISPs should continue to be regulated under current FCC rules, and they should continue to provide unencumbered, uncensored, unthrottled access to the service for which I am paying them. And that is especially true because, as a consumer, I have basically one choice for high speed internet in my area. If my ISP was granted the authority to determine which websites loaded quickly or could determine if I could even gain access to specific web pages, I would literally have no other options to access high speed internet. "The Market" cannot be the sole entity determining which web sites work and which ones don't based on how much those web sites pay to be in a 'fast lane' if I, as a citizen and consumer, have NO OTHER OPTIONS to access high speed internet. The role of the FCC should be to maintain a free and open internet for all United States citizens. Please maintain net neutrality rules as they are now. Protect our right to a free and open internet. Thanks! -Stephanie Driessel
587. Net Neutrality, san antonio, TX, 78255
Keep the internet truly neutral.
588. Blake Dennis, Helotes, TX, 78023
Keep Net Neutrality in place and Make the Internet Great Again! It's what your boss wants! Seriously, though, don't ruin the internet and the internet-based economy by destroying Net Neutrality.
589. Calixto Valdiviez, San Antnio, TX, 78242
To Whom It May Concern, As a consumer and user of the internet I want to make clear that internet has an impact in my daily life. I use multiple email providers, I access files from different platforms, and shop. I work for a hosting company so the internet is my life and there's nothing I can't do without the internet. I believe the free internet has been the reason why so many companies have grown and become successful. It's a level playing field for all companies and removes any blocks from companies to start their business on the internet. I believe that giving better access to the highest bidder will actually tarnish what the internet has created. A level playing field for all, mom and pop shops to world leading companies that are changing the world. Those startups that started off with a small idea have grown to be profitable because they didn't have to have better internet access to reach consumers. I also want to state that in my neighborhood we only have one true internet provider that can provide the speeds I need at home to handle all my connected devices. It is essential for my household to have these speeds and even-though I get letters, pamphlets, and all other sorts of advertisements that other companies can provide certain levels of speeds I am lucky enough to know how to test and research their true speeds and choose the right provider. ISPs have had their way for many years and cheat people out of money and by giving them access to prioritize bandwidth to the highest bidder we would essentially be giving them another way to suppress the entire internet community. We, the internet user/consumer, etc. , have made the internet what it is today. The internet is great and has made our country a leader in anything internet related. Why change something that isn't broken? Why add blockers to smaller companies? Why are we providing tools for larger companies to have an even greater arsenal to defeat smaller companies? By doing this we will start seeing companies grown not

because of their great ideas and hard work, but because they have the cash flow available. Let's keep the internet about great ideas that anyone can have and profit from them. You hear about different stories every day about how someone started their business on the internet out of their home and are not extremely successful. Let's keep that entrepreneurship alive and please vote "For" keeping a free internet that is neutral to all.

590. Joshua Bilderback, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Please keep net neutrality. We can't have large corporations that want to maintain the status quo dictating how the internet should run and operate. It should be open and not controlled by big companies. This is wrong and we're only having this problem because of corporate greed and lobbying. Please don't make a big mistake. Keep net neutrality.
591. Prentice Harvey, San Antonio, TX, 78249
The restoring internet freedom act (or whatever BS name it is given next time) is against the interests of the American people. I am in favor of net neutrality under title 2 restrictions. Please, please, PLEASE, don't let greedy Internet service providers push this ridiculous act through for the sole aim of milking Americans for more money to use our favorite websites.
592. Bryan Kiernan, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Please do not repeal Net Neutrality. This internet is the last, true frontier of free speech. Verbal dissent in real life is often met with violence, televised discussions are flanked with advertisements, but the internet is a place where individuals can express themselves with a general sense of security. Net Neutrality is a direct threat to this area of life that allows people to practice their first amendment rights. Repealing this will put a price tag on our freedom, and it is truly unacceptable. These companies make enough without the repeal of Net Neutrality.
593. Jordan Michael Alonzo, San Antonio, TX, 78229
For all that is reasonable - stop this bill now! The internet is a system made up of thousands of miles of publicly laid infrastructure, which was created to allow quick access to information provided by both government and conversation with utilities, much like phone lines once were, and still in many places are. Removing Title II restrictions will allow Internet Service Providers to limit and charge for access to publicly available utilities, and will threaten common transactions such as banking, online rent and utility payments, and so much more by allowing Internet Service Providers to potentially charge smaller utility and service companies to access a site using infrastructure that the Internet Service Provider does not even own. End this lazily false-named bill now! Do not give public infrastructure away!
594. Blake Dennis, Helotes, TX, 78023
My ISP is strictly a conduit for access to the internet. I don't use any of the inferior services they provide (e.g. an email account). When I pay my bill each month, I'm not paying for such services; I'm paying strictly for a fast onramp to the internet. And if the price of that onramp becomes too high, I'm screwed. I rely on my internet connection for work (I work from home and there is no company office within 100 miles), so if my connection becomes unaffordable, I'll have to change jobs, which will likely imply a negative financial impact. Switch ISPs, you say? Sorry, not an option for me. None of the other players in town reach my house with the necessary speeds (≥ 25 Mbps down, 3 Mbps up). Abolishing net neutrality leaves me vulnerable to the whims of the only ISP I can ever hope to use. My family and I will be worse off if net neutrality is destroyed.
595. Julio Benavides, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Net neutrality rules that set in 2015 should stay intact. My family and I catch up with relatives that live on the other side of the world and find relatives that we have lost contact with through Facebook. I understand that the broadband companies are stating that these rules are keeping them from investing, but they are still reporting high net profits on their quarterly/yearly financial reports. The internet nowadays has become an integral part of our lives - it is the way that people communicate with loved ones, it is how they get their news and find out what is going on in the world, it helps them with their school work and also helps them be productive at work. The internet helps small business owners stay competitive with the big mortar stores. If these rules are done away with, ISP's may be tempted to start increasing their fees for broadband access that consumers may not be able to afford because "they are now able to start investing in better infrastructure to make their broadband services better". They will start implementing extra fees for companies that want to have better/faster access to their websites that will cause new, smaller companies to not thrive because they may not be able to compete with the larger companies that have the larger financial resources. The ISP's may start to provide access to their own media content and start charging other paid services more to have their customer access their services which in turn these companies, like NetFlix, will pass those fees on to their customers. The Internet has become a necessity nowadays and deserves to be classified as a Title II utility. The Internet has become so important to our daily lives that it is just as important as access to electricity, water, and landlines. The Internet is part of our way of life now and if these rules are abolished, our way of life has become less and less promising.
596. LAURA ESPARZA, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78213
Fast & reliable internet is no longer a "nice to have"; for too many it is a necessity!
597. Gary Muller, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Net Neutrality is more than just a saying or catch phrase it is a way of doing business for ISPs that benefits America. Without the protections net neutrality offers ISPs would be free to pick winners and losers, they could throttle companies that don't pay for fast access, limiting entry into markets and stifling growth of existing ones. The idea that having a marketplace with rules that keep everyone on one level playing field will hurt America is foolish at best, these rules have been in place for two years and there is no evidence other than ISPs clamoring, that keeping a level playing field has hurt investments into internet infrastructure, and no evidence that it has made it harder for people to get internet access. Please support keeping the Title II protections of consumers in place and resist the whims of big businesses that only care about their bottom lines.
598. Ezra Pina, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Taking Net Neutrality away will be a HUGE step back for all things Internet. Giving the ISPs the ability to control what services perform better than other or even outright block them, is bad for the consumer and all startup companies. This is just another way for ISPs to squeeze more money from consumers and service providers by giving fast and slow lanes based off who decides to pay the most.

This is outright wrong. Net Neutrality must remain in effect as it is vital to what the Internet is and will become in the future.

599. Nicholas Martinez, San Antonio, TX, 78229
As someone who frequently used the internet everyday, I believe that net neutrality should not be changed and kept the way it is because not only it would be a very bad situation for consumers or hugely silence free speech, but it will slow us down competitively against other nations.
600. CARLOS C. BAYRAKTAR, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78242
PLEASE do NOT allow ISP's to segregate the internet as an asset available to the wealthiest ONLY, and keep it equally accessible to ALL consumers and content providers... DO NOT allow the creation of FAST LANES that can be used and offered to select groups, and relegate others to the "bottom of the spectrum band" where they will be lost!
601. Brian Austin Day, San Antonio, TX, 78250
It is important to keep the internet free and open to all. Repealing Net Neutrality rules will only hinder that. Do not allow big telecom companies to control the internet, keep Net Neutrality.
602. Charles Campbell, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Please keep net neutrality as it stands in order to ensure a fair and open internet experience for all.
603. Julie Dao, san antonio, TX, 78255
Families and individuals have the right to have full free internet access to educate without the financial burden that they are already facing. It is like paying high prices to use the public libraries.
604. Henry Elliott, San Antonio, TX, 78250
The Internet is the new frontier for information and business. Giving ISPs the power to slow down or even block websites that do not fit their agenda will not only harm businesses and consumers alike, but will also affect ISPs businesses as well as consumers will seek alternatives that provide better services.
605. Matt Garcia, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Do not roll back Title II. We need these protections for our internet and to have control on how big Comcast and AT&T get. With Title II there can be more competition and variety instead of living with one or two companies to choose from. I am in support for Title II and Net Neutrality.
606. Melanie Romero, San Antonio, TX, 78228
We the consumers would like to keep our net neutrality laws, we don't want to big companies to rule over us like in so many other areas in the USA. Big businesses are taking over and that's not wha the people want. Keep net neutrality
607. Matthew Choma, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Net neutrality is a crucial need for society to flourish, and making any changes will be a negative for everyone. Keep regulation where they are. Don't give corps the option to take over.
608. michael alcalá, san antonio, TX, 78242
how dare you try to turn our internet into north korea's
609. Elizabeth Flagge, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Net Neutrality is a necessity for any country that presumes to call itself democratic or associate itself with freedom. That this legislation is even being considered is an insult to the founders of the US and the constitution itself. Information should not be a controlled resource.
610. Josie Byers, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Keep my net neutrality!!!!!!
611. Elysa Jui, San Antonio, TX, 78250
How is this fair? You guys are supposed to be representing the needs and wants of the people not selling us out to internet service providers.
612. Jordan Howe, San Antonio, TX, 78254
There should be strong title 2 net neutrality to protect freedom on the internet and encourage innovation.
613. Hoang Nguyen, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I disagree with turning the internet into a utility. It should be free to use as it always has been!
614. Jared Cook, Kristen Cook, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I'm a web developer and have built websites for small business and individuals over the past 15 years outside of my day job. These people and businesses rely on interested parties being able to access their websites quickly in order for their businesses to survive and thrive. Allowing ISPs to prioritize internet traffic for higher-paying customers will over time cause these small businesses to struggle and die as consumers on the internet become increasingly impatient with sites that don't load as fast as their wealthier competitors who have paid for prioritized traffic. We all know that in a capitalistic society competition is important for bringing about positive change, including new innovations and better consumer pricing. Additionally, free speech is a fundamental right of American citizens which could be limited if ISPs were allowed to treat internet traffic differently. While not all ideas are good ideas, the free exchange of ideas makes us stronger as a nation. We already see increased political polarization negatively affecting Americans due to our ability to subscribe only to content that we agree with (via algorithms that serve articles which we are more likely to agree with based on past

behavior). This is likely to get worse if ISPs are also allowed to prioritize internet traffic they want or are paid to prioritize. Please don't allow ISPs to prioritize internet traffic differently. Data should be treated like free speech and be treated with neutrality unless it's being used to perform illegal acts.

615. ronald pennix, san antonio, TX, 78227
I dont like how the how people feel that can just take things away from the public just so they can make a quick buck. I dont feel the have the right to take away the public internet so that can only push the websites they want us to use. If i'm paying for it I should be able to use any website I want cause it my hard earn money.
616. George Gonzalez, San Antonio, TX, 78249
As an avid user of the internet I would love to have my voice heard when it comes to the sanctity of the internet I use on a daily basis. I am here to advocate to the FCC to keep net neutrality for betterment of the internet.
617. Adam Mitchell, San Antonio, TX, 78230
I am a supporter of internet neutrality. I have benefited greatly from the services, projects, and information that thousands have worked hard to make available on the internet. I would hate for those people's ability to reach and help other people to be jeopardized by rolling back title II. I understand that the FCC feels that ISPs are overly burdened by regulation, but will rolling back title II increase the burden on us as consumers? Removing this title strongly appears to be an action that would increase the power of the monopolistic/oligopolistic entities of ISPs. One must wonder why potentially enlarging barriers to entry in the internet market is worth risking in order to benefit ISPs. I for one do not believe that it is good. Title II should stay in place.
618. Jorge Garcia, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Please keep the net neutral. LISTEN TO THE PEOPLE. NOBODY wants net neutrality to go away.
619. James Miller, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Keeping net neutrality is important to the world. We can't let it snowball. The internet is something that should not be controlled in a way that keeps people from information. Corporations should not have control over the media we access or the people we talk to.
620. Shontee Bush, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Dear FCC, please keep Net Neutrality.
621. Kristopher Haman, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I work in the tech industry and the only way Americans and small companies can have a fighting chance to make money or express opinions is in an open and neutral internet. The internet should not be controlled by anybody, much less large corporations keeping out the little guy.
622. Juan Perez, San Antonio, TX, 78237
Keep the internet the way it has been as there are no issues with how it performs as far as us, the people connecting to sites we love and enjoy! Allowing companies to technically hold those sites hostage either behind a "pay wall" or throttling our services just because they want to is not fair in any way shape or form to the people who use the internet in their daily lives weather for work or for relaxation .
623. matthew arguello, san antonio, TX, 78228
keep net neutrality. Save the open internet
624. Dianna Elkmann, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Hello my name is Dianna and I would like to let you know that we really need to keep net neutrality. I have a very small online business selling my sewing and crafts. I'm not a big name even in the crafting world but I do make some money with selling my wares to others. Unfortunately if net neutrality is to go away it'll be much more difficult to raise awareness and business for myself. It could even get to the point where the entry cost of selling will be far too high for me to run any sort of small online business. We need to look at this as a public good, especially since so many people use it to put themselves out there for connection, business, and even education.
625. C.A. Lucas, San Antonio, TX, 78238
I strongly oppose the proposal to reverse net neutrality protections because a free and open internet is vital for our democracy, for our businesses, and for our daily lives. DO NOT REVERSE the 2015 rules that keep the internet open, free, and democratic for all. I am a disabled person who uses the internet extensively; it is my window to the world. I don't need some big corporations breathing down my neck in 'Big Brother' fashion, telling me how and when I can use it; and also I am already paying too much for what I DO have. Do the right thing and PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY.
626. Benajmin Kelley, San Antonio, TX, 78249
The internet is an open platform for all people to use freely and openly. We are demanding access to the entire internet as it exists now. Anything less would be a threat to our basic freedoms and our fundamental rights. There is only one internet and it is expected that all rules apply to home and mobile connections equally.
627. Cristina Jordan, Helotes, TX, 78023
Why do I have to keep telling you guys this over and over? This is about the 3rd time. Please leave the internet alone. Or make it easier and cheaper to access if anything. The internet has become a utility and is no longer a luxury. Let's treat it that way!
628. George Esquivel, San Antonio, TX, 78221
I believe the internet should be free from regulation and should not be owned.
629. William Mora, San Antonio, TX, 78253
The internet is a place that no company should be able to tell you what you can and can't look at. Net Neutrality makes sure of that. If

what we can and cannot research, look at, watch, or listen to is decided by a company whose sole purpose is to make money for their investors and ultimately their pockets then you might as well as remove the 1st amendment. or at least change it to state that only those with money and power can make their opinions known and all other avenues of thought will cease to exist.

630. Joshua Heim, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Net Neutrality needs to stay in place as long as we have regulations protecting corporate interests allowing for monopolies in Internet Service Providers. Either treat them as a utility, or remove ALL regulations allowing other companies to compete against existing ISPs and let the market sort it out.
631. Michael Hovgaard, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Let me make it clear to you I am Opposed to Docket No. 17-108!
632. Jack Garcia, San Antonio, TX, 78238
I can't believe I need to write this comment, but it seems like more than common sense is required. Please keep our internet the way it is now as it shouldn't be controlled by the ISP's.
633. Christopher Lucas-Melanson, San Antonio, TX, 78229
Electricity does not have prioritized throttling based on which appliances will most benefit the utility companies bottom line. Neither should the internet, which has been deemed a basic public right by the UN. Please respect the rights of citizens and small businesses by not kowtowing to the greed of large ISP corporations.
634. Wade Yuker, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Leave the internet alone. It was created and designed to be free and open to the public, not restricted and made a pawn of companies or governments. If you're against Net Neutrality, move to China. They love setting limits.
635. isa fernandez, San Antonio, TX, 78251
It's anti-democratic to even suggest such measures.
636. Charlene Headman, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Please keep company interests out of politics. Net neutrality is critical, we already lag behind other developed countries in this and other areas. No company deserves a greater share of the bandwidth pie than anyone else, no matter how much money they want to waft. Keep net neutrality type II.
637. Mason Zolkoski, San Antonio, TX, 78254
The FCC has done a great job throughout its existence in balancing between the roles of appropriate censorship and maintaining open and fair access to radio/television etc to the American people. Please continue to do the same with internet access and help prevent intensive over-corporatization of the web. Keeping the internet playing field equal is in the best interest of the country. Thanks.
638. Erin ONeal, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Net Neutrality matters to me as a Mortgage Loan Officer in San Antonio, Texas because of the community I serve. I work for Credit Human Federal Credit Union and our primary goal is to serve members of "modest means" and to assist in improving their lives and the community around them. Our members rely upon the services, products, and programs we provide as a local credit union, that are not offered by national banks (Bank of America, Chase, Wells Fargo). Without Net Neutrality, ISPs will be able to favor the national banks in search results and our members of "modest means" - the people in our community who need assistance most - may not be able to find our information when they search the internet. As a Credit Union, we do not possess the funds to advertise the way the national banks do, so we rely on the unbiased results produced by internet search engines under Net Neutrality regulations. So when our members cannot obtain the assistance they need from the banks they will be able to find without Net Neutrality regulations - the national banks "their lives and communities will remain stagnant instead of improving. While it may sound dramatic to imply that Net Neutrality can impact a community in this way, it is not out of the question in a world that is increasingly digital" those who control the digital information will be able to control those who utilize it any way they see fit, which is historically not in the user's best interests. Thank you.
639. Brian Mathew Cox, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Why would I want Internet Service Providers to dictate what services I use, or make me spend money for each service on the internet. That is too much power being given to them.
640. Benjamin Garza, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Please keep the internet classified as a public service.
641. Paul Lehberger, San Antonio, TX, 78238
To remove the previous administration's rules on net neutrality is to make the internet into one big battle where money is king and bandwidth freedom is no longer free. The profit motive is currently ruining this country and, while clearly a great motivational device, it has run amok and big money and big business is now trampling people's rights. Please flavor your decisions with some human decency. "Corporations have no soul."
642. Pablo Rodriguez, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Please do not rescind the net neutrality rules that were put in place by the last chairman. If you have read the news lately, you are aware that many Americans have access some of the slowest internet at the highest price among developed countries! I am one of those Americans. My apartment complex only offers ONE internet provider and I live in a major Texas city. There is no option for me to choose among ISPs. The ISP I do have only offers very few packages at high prices with data caps. I am also a customer of T-mobile and I have seen the great innovation brought by that company. The company has really forced other cell phone providers to compete on

price and to offer more unlimited data. I believe that the current net neutrality rules would further encourage competition and only new competitors to enter the field without getting crushed by established internet companies. Thank you for your time and consideration!

643. Nicholas Dracopoulos, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I am in strong support of net neutrality and an internet experience free of paid prioritizing, blocking and throttling for all consumers.
644. Jonathan Houston, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Keep net neutrality because vast knowledge is power!
645. Manuel, San Antonio, TX, 78242
KEEP THE INTERNET FREE!!! STOP NET NEUTRALITY!!!
646. Katherine Graves, San Antonio, TX, 78240
My husband goes to school online and if things go wrong we will never have a future.
647. Michael Bashford, San Antonio, TX, 78240
The ability to roam the internet freely is a given right as it pertains to freedom of speech. You must not allow net neutrality to be abolished so we can continue to browse the web according to our terms. Companies should definitely not be in control of a service we pay for to connect to a world wide system to communicate and trade and barter with others.
648. Trenton Petty, San Antonio, TX, 78249
We need strong net neutrality laws in place to prevent the rise of monopolies. (There happens to be quite a few laws in place stating that the US gov't. will actively disband and quash all Monopolies in the States.) The net neutrality laws allow the freedom to look and find a plethora of products and services that best suit our needs. The repeal of net neutrality laws would allow the ISPs to control what all their customers can see and obtain to an extent and impede citizens of freedom of choice. This change would also drive out of the market (any market) any company or persons that does not pay/approve of, by the ISPs, from reaching their desired market.
649. Anelisa Huff, San Antonio, TX, 78201
Through apps and websites like Facebook, Instagram, Snapchat, GroupMe, and Kik, I've been able to keep in touch with classmates from elementary school, middle school, and high school. At the same time, I've also met and gotten to know many of my future classmates at the university I will be attending in the fall. Because of the open internet, getting into my university was significantly easier than it would have been if websites had been slowed down or blocked because my service provider didn't agree with or support the content on those pages. Because of Tutor.com, I was able to access help for my Pre-Calculus class that my parents couldn't otherwise provide me. If that website had been blocked or slowed down, I may not have ever fully grasped the concepts of sine and cosine and why their sum equals one. My mother once told me to never be ashamed to learn more about what you're interested in. My parents both told me that college is a time to explore what you want to explore and to learn things because you think that they are useful and important. There are many institutions that offer online courses and classes that are helping people gain knowledge that they find to be valuable. If ISPs block or slow down certain sites, students may not get the education they deserve from the schools that are the best fit for them. I spent hours and hours of research comparing and cross-referencing different sites for information on potential schools to make sure that the institution I chose would be a good fit for my work ethic, my personality, etc. If people's information resources are limited, then how can we trust that the information we do have access to is correct? Honesty is the best policy. It's important to me that when my parents or anyone I love purchases Internet access, they get what they pay for. If my parents pay for access to the Internet, our landline, and cell phone service, they should get it. I don't want my father to get lost or hurt while on a business trip because our ISP blocked the websites or apps that he uses to commute or find his way in different locations. My family only trusts and uses one ISP because it's the only one whose service reaches out to my grandmother's house in nowhere, California. She's independent yet aging and very, very stubborn. She uses Google Maps to make a couple trips a year from our neighborhood out to her house to make sure that everything is still in order. She uses the Skype to contact her family "back home" in the Philippines, her sisters in Canada, and us when she's away. She Skypes every day. I want to help change the world. I've been reading up on the global water crisis, and other environmental problems across the world that seem to stem from agriculture. From forums and articles online, I've learned about ancient and inefficient irrigation methods that are still being used today in areas that could seriously benefit from saving and re-purposing the water that is wasted as runoff from those plant-watering methods. If some of those sites and pages had been blocked or slowed down, I may never have been inspired to pursue an education in a field that could enable me to help develop better and more environmentally friendly ways for people everywhere to both conserve water and generate a substantial crop to feed and support their families and communities. The FCC should monitor the ISPs to make sure that they are providing untainted internet access to all their customers. It's not fair that anyone should be restrained from tapping into the greatest source of information the world has ever seen because of corporate will. The Internet is an important part of every day life for many, many people. Don't slow down the sharing of new ideas for the sake of money. Over time, even money depreciates, but innovation will always be valuable.
650. Jason Zieschang, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Internet service providers already have regional monopolies on the internet. They already have the ability to charge whatever they want and deliver a subpar internet. America has one of the slowest average internet speeds of any western nation yet pay near the most, how can that be? American people need protection not more corporate entities.
651. Troy Sanchez, San Antonio, TX, 78228
The internet is a place where people can escape the greedy hands of corporations. The internet is the last true place of freedom. Don't let the greedy hands of corporations taint and ruin this last freedom.
652. Christopher Moreno, San Antonio, TX, 78225
Hey there, my name is Chris. I grew up using the internet if it wasn't for the internet I would have never made a wide variety of friends whom have encouraged me to grow as a person. The internet hasn't only helped me socially but it has helped me with getting my artwork out there because of a free internet I'm able to make and sell art, yes you heard me FREE just like the principles this great country was founded on.

653. Scott OBine, San Antonio, TX, 78250
keep Net Neutrality alive and in place.
654. Joel Valadez, San Antonio, TX, 78228
KEEP THE NET NEUTRALITY
655. Jose Hernandez, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78237
I'd really rather not have to deal with legal throttling and censoring my internet experience. Thanks.
656. Robert Wilson, San Antonio, TX, 78251
If you do this, you are putting limits on creativity, education, expression, communication, and innovation. Don't take two steps back
657. Tyler Smith, San Antonio, TX, 78212
I strongly support net neutrality and keeping the internet open, fair and competitive for ALL. This is the home of the free, so lets keep it that way! Thank you for taking every individuals thoughts on this matter into consideration.
658. Chris Walsh, San Antonio, TX, 78252
The internet has been a giant open landscape of potential ideas. There is really no end to the possibilities for innovation, new technology, and ideas that come from a think tank of limitless minds. The openness of the internet has given us the opportunity to not only be connected but to have instant knowledge at our fingertips. There is no limit, no restrictions, and no hours of operation. If we have a question all we have to do is log on and ask. I feel like this accessibility is a beautiful thing because we as people have been in the pursuit of knowledge all throughout history. The ideas of cable companies to charge and pick which site they deem worthy is destructive. I agree to the fact that it would limit our growth as people and cut of the future inventors, social leaders, and new businesses from becoming a reality. We are living in a world where teens have never been without internet access in their lives. I feel they have a huge advantage. We can not allow our children to go from working in the open world to working in a closed box. So to answer the question, I feel like these internet providers should not create a "pay to play the internet" but should leave it as it is. I think 20 year of the internet is long enough to see what good this open market internet has done for us. Sites like Kickstarter are perfect examples of how an open internet works. Many new products, music, and movies have come from people helping each other over the web. Charities started by children bring thousands of dollars to people in need. The ability to stay connected with family and friends helps bring us closer together. The internet needs to stay the way it is if we as a people hope to evolve to deal with the problems that face us today.
659. Walter Garcia, San Antonio, TX, 78207
Keep net neutrality. I want to browse the websites I choose, and at the speeds I pay for. It is my money, and deserve to have every right to browse the internet in any way I want.
660. J, San Antonio, TX, 78249
The net needs to stay neutral. All this bill does is help large corporations. I want to be able to watch whatever i want without restirctions.
661. Benjamin Fisher, San Antonio, TX, 78242
People deserve real choices when they embark on a venture, whether that be what store they go to, what house they buy, or what product/services they use. Giving ISPs this sort of power over what we see is idiotic. Using dirty tactics such as blocking connection to competitive sites, or slowing speeds to information they don't agree with is censorship, pure and simple. We PAY these companies for access to the ENTIRE internet, full and unrestricted. A physical world analogy would be a city blocking streets to businesses they don't particularly like, when it's the taxpayers money that pays for those streets to be maintained and used. In short, this is a really bad idea. PERIOD.
662. Thomas Vargas, San Antonio, TX, 78254
I support strong net neutrality rules, don't let greedy corporations run the internet.
663. Frances Sepulveda, San Antonio, TX, 78216
We will not stand and watch you undo Net Neutrality that only benefits especial interest, the internet is for the people and we are ready to take it to the streets, we will no longer be bullied, you must end this fight and restore Net Neutrality.
664. Michael Johnston, San Antonio, TX, 78238
Hello,Internet neutrality is needed in order to foster a better tomorrow. The internet is a great resources to student and professional alike. On top of there are so many things on the internet that are just fun. We need to ensure that everyone has a fair playing field and if net neutrality is repealed then the information highway of the world will cease to exist. I fear that the internet will become a shell of what it is today. This decision will effect generations to come. This should not be taken lightly. Please look at what the country gains by keeping net neutrality and at what we gain by repealing it. If you do, I believe you will see that the only choice is to protect the internet we have today. Best Regards,Michael
665. Iris Cervantes, San Antonio, TX, 78256
By allowing this bill to pass, how will we be any different from communist countries who monitor what their people can and can't see? Let's be honest, you are only doing this because you are interested in the money that will be gained for the big companies. This isn't the America I thought it was.
666. Nancy Williams, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Please protect the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) to protect individuals, instead of caving to the lobbyists for the large internet service providers who want to censor internet usage via additional charges and blocked sites. This is America, not North Korea .What we can see or do online should not be subject to censorship. A free internet should fall under all American's right to freedom of speech.Thank you.Nancy Williams

667. Matthew Wood, San Antonio, TX, 78254
I am opposed to the Restoring of Internet Freedom act. I support the Title-II classification and its importance for net neutrality.
668. Alex Yakovlev, San Antonio, TX, 78240
The American majority does not want the way the internet works and regulated to be changed in the manner as presented by lobbyists. It is hard enough to obtain internet in the United States due to the monopolies of Verizon, ATT, Comcast, TWC etc. So as an American, I wish that my government will hold and protect my first amendment, and not submerge into corruption. Net neutrality is of great concern to me, my colleagues, and many Americans.
669. Evan Hansen, San Antonio, TX, 78212
This proposal is un-American. If the ISPs get to choose what we can see or not see, our kids and grand-kids will grow up with a limited view of the world. The ISPs are losing money, because people are watching less TV, and that's the only reason why this proposal exists. You want the American people to pay more for internet, but have less access? Where is the freedom in that?
670. Al Savell, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Net Neutrality is a necessity. We're lagging behind other countries in so many ways and this is one of them.
671. Sandra, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Keeping the internet open is akin to a free market. It is pretty transparent, to all of us American Citizens, that the new rules are meant to discourage competition and give priority to the corporations to which you are all beholden to. This will inevitably lead to further the transfer of wealth from poor/middle class to the 1% earners in our country. The free market is savage, and amazing, and should be left alone.
672. Net Neutrality, San Antonio, TX, 78253
The internet should not be controlled by ISPs who want to impose blockages on websites to gain a profit.
673. Gilbert Garza, San Antonio, TX, 78237
There's nothing wrong with Net Neutrality. But Net Tyranny? There's all sorts of wrong with that. Keep Net Neutrality going, everyone will be happy.
674. Ann Opsahl, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Hell NO to net neutrality ending! Ann Opsahl
675. The Blunderbuss Special, San Antonio, TX, 78242
To the point, I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. My friend and I produce a Podcast that we post on YouTube, access and speed are crucial not only to upload our shows but also researching topics and accessing vendors, artists, shows, movies, craftsman, and general information to review and to provide reliable links to their sights for listeners that are interested in their products and or information. Thank you for your time The Blunderbuss Special
676. Jonathan Anderson, San Antonio, TX, 78250
The internet needs to stay free and open. The FCC needs to protect Net Neutrality, because it only benefits American residents.
677. Drew Mathews, San Antonio, TX, 78238
Keep the internet as one internet! It is one of the greatest tools ever created. ISP's should not be allowed to prioritize, block or throttle us from using the entire internet.
678. Internet, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I demand access to the entire internet. Free of paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling. All rules must apply to my home and mobile connections equally. Access to the internet should not be ruled by ISPs, but by the consumers. I believe net neutrality is important.
679. Leander Valenzuela, San Antonio, TX, 78249
The internet needs to be free because polarization of Televised and print media has made it increasingly more difficult to obtain objective information.
680. Jacob McGee, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Leave the internet alone. All you will do is damage the economic potential and accessibility of thousands of companies and millions of US citizens. There are few more blatant examples of the US government doing the opposite of what its citizens want.
681. Net Neutrality, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Net Neutrality and the future of a free and open internet are issues too complex to allow for the current administration to glaze over it with broad-stroke legislation to ensure profits. The internet also plays too large a role of democratizing agent to be in any way changed to benefit those already in power without significant negative consequences to those not in power. Please keep the internet free and open.
682. Josue Rodriguez, San Antonio, TX, 78250
The internet deserves to be open and level for up and coming sites. If net neutrality is repealed ISPs will have unfair advantages over geographic regions of internet users looking to access certain sites. This is unfair for competition and essentially censorship of free speech.
683. Zachary Ramirez, San Antonio, TX, 78237
no foot plz
684. Andrew Hammil, San Antonio, TX, 78249

We need Net Neutrality. The idea of throttling or restricting specific types of data is absolutely preposterous. Revoking it risks serious disadvantages to small start ups and content creators. Greed is without a doubt a factor here. Think about why ISPs are lobbying against it. There is a reason, and its not for the benefit of the users.

685. Daniel W Kipp, San Antonio, TX, 78238
Net neutrality is more important than any corporation or ideological/political boundary. Current regulations help to curb abuse of net neutrality rules and do not place any undue burden on telecom companies. It makes sense for Internet providers to be regulated under Title 2 since the judiciary has ruled that this is needed to enforce the regulations. Besides that, ISPs are exactly what is described by those companies that are supposed to fall under Title 2 along with phone companies.
686. Alex Vela, San Antonio, TX, 78254
The concept of net neutrality is of vital importance to the continued preeminence of the United States as a mecca of technological advancement and opportunity. It allows for corporations and individuals to operate on a level and competitive playing field as would be desirable under free-market principles of competition and informed consumer choice. Limiting the free market by allowing corporations to essentially "block out," undesired competition through internet throttling or "fast-lane" charges would have the effect of entrenching extant monopolies, increasing prices, and reducing competition, hurting America's position in the global race for technological attainment, and putting further pressure on the checkbook of every American to support the bottom line of a few entrenched interests.
687. Michael Jung, San Antonio, TX, 78238
As a democracy we should be able to vote for what we want. No one wants Net Neutrality to go away. Why would you take it away? It's ridiculous that we have a government that is not listening to it's people.
688. Denton Lewis, San Antonio, TX, 78255
We, as free Americans, have the right to access the entire internet. This means no paid prioritization, no blocking, and no throttling. Our freedom and rights should take precedent over monetary gains. Going through with this will restrict those very freedoms that we fought to get and we fight to maintain.
689. Amit, San Antonio, TX, 78240
It's our right to access to the entire web regardless of websites chosen by the authorities. I can see how the ISP's will make huge profits by charging websites to make it available, but 'WHAT ABOUT US'? We need access to the entire internet and every bit available online.
690. Cheri Carter, San Antonio, TX, 78240
If companies are allowed to engage in behavior that is ethically questionable, but truly profitable, they will inevitably do so. Don't make it easier for some companies to profit by making choices regarding internet usage and access.
691. Oscar, San Antonio, TX, 78212
Net Neutrality helps create a healthy ecosystem for the internet and removing it will only lead to malicious control over people's ability to choose between various ISPs and be unable to reach or watch their favorite content without having to pay extra.
692. Malachai Oder-Kies, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Please don't allow ISPs to control the internet. Leave the internet as is. Don't fix what isn't broken.
693. Taylor Brauer, San Antonio, TX, 78229
The internet needs to be kept an open and free resource. It is the ultimate in freedom of expression and one of the best outlets for learning. Vote to keep net neutrality.
694. Net Neutrality, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I am a strong conservative, but having the majority of republicans to want to repeal net-neutrality has not made a single shred of sense to me, and clearly to the population who is also filing these forms.
695. DeLanea Gilbert, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Keep the internet neutral. Big business and Big Government should be telling me what websites I have to use, or slowing down the ones that they don't want me to use!
696. Save, San Antonio, TX, 78240
The internet should NOT become a market. Controlling what we are able to view, and pushing us to view websites that have paid PRIVILEGES is NOT AMERICAN. The internet is a open, free environment for all people to voice their opinions which should not have to be paid for, and certainly not taken away.
697. Christopher Harper, San Antonio, TX, 78227
I do not support repealing net neutrality laws. It is not up to our ISP's to block access and throttle access to websites they don't approve of.
698. Joseph, San Antonio, TX, 78228
The internet must stay equal, for allowing to give permissions to the highest bidder will be the decline of the U.S. and all of free speech. It will create a weak spot for other nations to gain a foot hold into American families and businesses for their personal gain, most likely for fraud. Keep the internet equal.
699. Blake Erwin, San Antonio, TX, 78249
The internet should be free and open. Payable fast lanes allow for already rich ISPs to further hurt small start up businesses and go against the American spirit by suppressing competition and the ability to improve your situation.

700. Freddy Gonzalez, San Antonio, TX, 78214
The only ones who would benefit from this are cable companies. We pay enough. No fast lane no BS.
701. David Lopez, San Antonio, TX, 78229
By allowing companies to have a hand in what people do on the internet is the basic freedom of expression and right to free speech. If this were done on the streets everyone would be in a uproar due to people being able to spend money on certain items because the company likes it better! This hurts the consumer by not giving them the service they paid for, and also allows to the ISP providers more control over their customer base.
702. Adam West, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Keep internet free of commercial priorities. No premium fees for bandwidth. Equal opportunities for all
703. S. Groff, San Antonio, TX, 78238
Internet Neutrality is essential to a free and open democratic society, and all digital content should be transmitted equally. Clearly, internet access has become an important and essential part of our society as well as the flow of information around the world. It has become an essential part of a peaceful and vibrant society as are other general utilities and public services; water, sewer, police and fire protection. True Net Neutrality must be established unambiguously in law and in actual practice. The lack of free and open access should not be masked by fancy, superficial wording that in the end allows special interest organizations to restrict access in the name of profit and "open markets".
704. Demaris Cliffe, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Open internet is a necessity, not a luxury.
705. Reggie, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Please keep net neutrality it's for the best interests of the people... Removing it will be a massive mistake and slippery slope. My father and my family's life is depended on net neutrality since he built his website from the ground up all by himself. Knowing that someone can ruin our lives because they have more money is heartbreaking. Please don't allow this to happen!
706. Carl, San Antonio, TX, 78256
Please keep net neutrality. Not doing so would hold back society as a whole and hurt everyone in it. The only reason to abolish net neutrality is for the greed of already large companies. Please keep net neutrality, the internet is a beautiful place that allows anyone to access and freely speak. It is a way of communication, education, entertainment, and so much more. Please keep net neutrality.
707. Daniel De Jesus S Turgeon, San Antonio, TX, 78216
WHY WOULD ANYONE PURCHASE INTERNET IF THEY ARENT GOING TO GET ACCESS TO THE ENTIRE NET I LOVE THE INTERNET PLEASE DONT DO THIS.
708. Wesley Juett, San Antonio, TX, 78229
As a student, I constantly use the internet as I research topics for classes. We live in a world where the entirety of human knowledge lies at our fingertips. The idea that my access to that information may be throttled frightens me. If you care about the future of this country, then please, realize that open access to information is a major necessity in this technological age that we live in.
709. Steven M Janecek, San Antonio, TX, 78250
The internet was never intended to be owned.
710. James Truong, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Please do not kill net neutrality. I use the internet for work and research. Throttling internet speeds would hinder my ability to function as a physician who needs to read to keep up with the growing medical literature which is widely available on the web. In my current location, I only had access to one internet service provider so there was no true competitive market for internet. In fact, my ISP can change whatever they want and I would have to purchase it, if I were to choose to continue to have internet in the home. This is terrifying as the internet has become vital to my livelihood. In a world where there is no net neutrality and ISPs are able to co-exist with no competition, there is no free market economy. Please do not vote to end net neutrality.
711. Miguel Robles, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Keep the internet diverse and unlimited
712. Daniel Hernandez, San Antonio, TX, 78211
Make America great again and stop the net neutrality rules from being repealed.
713. Zachery Schwarz, San Antonio, TX, 78256
(The Open Internet rules (net neutrality) are extremely important to me. I don't want the ISP to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites advantage on others, or split the internet into fast lanes for companies that pay and slow lanes for the rest. Don't allow corporate America to control our life. Our internet is our access to knowledge and bridge between cultures and people.)
Hello I am Zachery Schwarz and even though what is above is cut and pasted for a website I believe it to hold true. There is no honest reason while we should have to potentially have to pay twice for something that it up on the internet the websites themselves should be able to charge a premium for viewing there site but we should not have to pay more for a service IE our ISP just to view potential premium websites that they deem. just like everything else you wouldn't want to buy a car for full price with all the bells and whistles just to have a credit card scanner attracted that would require you to pay more every time you use it or allowing gas stations to charge whatever they like for gas. Then why would you allow this to happen on the internet? It makes no logical sense and as you can see I am sure no one else other then anyone benefiting this want to see this happen either so please do the right thing.
714. Elovía Alcalá, San Antonio, TX, 78201

To whom it may concern, I'm here writing to you about the important matter concerning the abolishment of net neutrality. I considered not speaking up, but I felt this was important to talk about. I'll be honest, I never get involved with things such as this. Usually, I quietly cheer for a team but keep my opinions to myself. But, this time, I have to say something. I have to get involved. And, even though my voice is small, maybe even compared to a grain of salt, I want to at least try. So, here it goes. Like most every internet user, I ask of you to not go through with abolishing net neutrality. I can sit here and tell you it would affect my social life, how it will tarnish the friendships I have online, or how it would disrupt the flow of things. But, it's more than that. It's more than me. If in the long run this decision helped people more than hurt, then I would have disregarded it and I wouldn't be writing to you. But this change doesn't help people at all, the only ones benefiting from this change are the big companies. What I love about America is that even the poorest child with brilliant ideas has a chance to do something with their ideas when reaching adulthood. What we have always known as Americans is that if you work hard enough, you can achieve anything. With today's modern society, our way to achieve big is through the internet. Small businesses want to sell their product and have the chance to be recognized. They want to have an equal chance. But, with this deal, it won't work. Small businesses wouldn't have a fair shot and the idea of that child being able to achieve his/her dreams would be abolished. It's not like back in the day when a merchant could go outside and start selling and avoid the technical aspects. Everything now revolves around the virtual world, and you have to embrace it if you want to be successful. We can advertise any product and not be limited to a neighborhood but reach out to people across the country, across the world. If this decision follows through, then you can say goodbye to the American Dream. Of course, outside the business aspect is the social aspect. People depend on the internet for information, for knowledge, for interaction, for support, for almost anything. People can expand their knowledge by having the world at their fingertips. They can gain new ideas, find love, friends, etc. And, it's so easy with the internet being available with the same easy access and acceptable speed for all. Yet, that won't happen if the deal is approved, people won't have access to what their heart's desire so easily. They will become frustrated and leave whatever sites they are on losing touch with the people they have grown close to. With this change, big companies may possibly gain some revenue, but it won't last. Americans have grown used to the neutrality act and accepting this new new system if changed, will be difficult. Change is good but when it affects a system of things we have grown used to, something we are so pleased with and something in which we have become so dependent, it will cause a serious disturbance in our lives. Why fix something that is not broken? I know, this may sound drastic, but humanity has surprised us from time to time. America was based on the idea of not allowing authority to overrule the people. Our voices need to be heard. Our opinions should not be easily dismissed. And, this deal, will contradict the principle we have been protecting for so long. Do not make this mistake, if you do, no one will really win at the end of it all. Thank you for taking the time to read this. I hope I can make a little difference somehow, somehow. Please stop the considered changes regarding net neutrality. Let the people's voice be heard. Sincerely, Elovia Alcalá

715. Kyle Dechert, San Antonio, TX, 78201

Net neutrality is an important part of all American's lives and is needed to help small businesses compete with the much larger companies that can afford to bar the small businesses effectively putting them out of business and removing their competitors. I use small apps to help communicate with my friends who have moved away in different parts of the world and I will use it to communicate with my family when I soon head out to a college and move on with my life. If Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 is repealed, and with the expenses of going to college, I will not have the money to simply waste switching back and forth trying to find an internet company that will allow me to view a simple website or app I enjoy, but threatens their own business. I do believe that the Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 is a way to keep our nation fair and equal to all, rather than just those who own much larger businesses and that it should stay in effect.

716. Sam R, San Antonio, TX, 78216

Don't change the internet! While not perfect what this will do is anger a lot of people, and this is not the time for people to be mad. We need to be positive and stick together, especially with everything going on in the world!

717. Alexander Mudrovich, San Antonio, TX, 78249

Keep Net Neutrality. I don't want ISPs obtaining any more power than we need. We don't need another Telecommunications Act giving companies responsible for information distribution means that have become such an integral part of the world and how it works.

718. Aaron Castro, San Antonio, TX, 78251

The internet needs competition for new websites/products for little businesses. No one should be able to control what we watch and the speeds that we watch it at.

719. paul karisch, san antonio, TX, 78240

Keeping the net neutral is key in the sense, that everyone uses it freely based on their own paid access. When people want to access certain sites on a daily basis based on their own personal preferences, this should not effect the content that they see. Also that being said if someone also pays for a certain speed it is not to be throttled back to a slower speed, with data caps, the time of use is understandable but, if someone is using their internet at 3 am in a certain area, one would expect to get full access to the speed at which they are paying for. Using multiple speed testing sites will vary speeds of actual access while using one site will show what you are "actually" getting. I use quotations there because when using said site, the packets like up like good little troopers and file in place accordingly to show the best speed. but other sites use current access and those packets do not line up as told by the site. so this show true access to the internet based on speed currently being used by ones own system. Both are unethical and the ISPs should not allow for certain sites to get more access while some others are cut off, and not throttle back their paying customers based on what they are paying for versus what they actually get/

720. Travis Womack, San Antonio, TX, 78253

Keep the internet open, do not let major providers stifle the flow of ideas, productivity and knowledge. We need open access to guarantee the freedoms granted in the First Amendment, and get the benefits of competition. Experience has shown that caving to big business will Not cause them to upgrade their infrastructure, they will just increase prices and pay bonuses to upper management.

721. Patrick Pulliam, San Antonio, TX, 78251

The ability for equal and shared access to such a great commodity as the Internet is an extraordinarily important aspect of modern society, and reflects the greatness of any long standing institution. Net neutrality is an extremely important concept to keep the Internet a

fair place for ideas to grow and nurture to allow those who access it the freedom and opportunity to grow. Granting the power for businesses focused solely on their own bottom dollar to control what information can be accessed or throttled based solely on their own business desires is the first step towards creating a society controlled by those very same companies. It is tantamount to book burnings in the older days, restricting access to information and allowing the disruption of healthy competition in the online environment. net neutrality must be preserved in the future.

722. Elijah Monsivais, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I support open internet and the current fcc regulations. Specifically title two.
723. Michael Adam Cabeza, San Antonio, TX, 78229
The internet connects the whole world. To some, it's all they have in order to learn about what goes on around them. Please don't interfere with the internet. Save it for them.
724. Stephanie Melcher, San Antonio, TX, 78240
KEEP. NET. NEUTRALITY.
725. Iriscilla Ayala, san antonio, TX, 78229
I am a scientist-in-training (grad student) and the fact that this is still an issue disturbs me. Science would not as much progress as much as it has without open communication. As you know the internet is now one of the major means of communication and the fact that this is threatened by biasing such communication could result in also biasing science. Please keep net neutrality in place.
726. Fianna Gomez, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I personally think it is unfair for viewers and those looking for entertainment. Plus, not everyone has the money to pay and it is quite the hindrance and drawback for you needing to pay possibly for bad content or just a short stream. Not much harm is done due to the Net Neutrality and I don't see a problem with it in the future. I feel as if enforcing this law will put everyone in a disadvantage.
727. Jason Martin, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I was able to use the public knowledge from internet use to complete a Bachelors Degree in Computer Science. Regulating the internet will constrain higher education and potentially impact jobs in demand.
728. Jared Brown, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Access to the internet without having to worry about your IPS deciding which content is view-able at full speeds or at all is imperative. Information allows people to be informed, a must for a free market system to function correctly. By allowing IPS the power to influence people's decisions by limiting information you are saying you do not believe a free market system is important.
729. Andy Villa, San Antonio, TX, 78228
I would like for you to reconsider keep net neutrality
730. Addison Watson, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Keep Net Neutrality. It's irresponsible to pass anything that will stunt the progress the internet allows. Like, plain and simple. If it's just for something as petty as money start dabbling in the stock market- people keep telling me all about it and how it's "triple A."
731. Aaron Cummins, San Antonio, TX, 78229
We have to keep net neutrality to ensure a free and open internet. Without it as a safeguard, corporations and private interests will gain control of something far too crucial to leave in their hands. Please keep net neutrality, it's in everyone's best interests.
732. Sean Hatfield, San Antonio, TX, 78254
I am writing this to oppose the monetization of all facets of the internet. The internet should be for all to use and free to use. I use websites like YouTube and other sites that could be easily monetized. I can't see somebody like me using the internet much if I am being penalized just by using it.
733. William Ludwig, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Net Neutrality rules keep the internet and access to it open and free to all. Without Net Neutrality, Internet Service Providers (ISPs) could effectively adjust user experience to the point of absurdity. It already has been seen in the past with companys such as Comcast, Verison, AT&T, and Sprint; Which thanks to Net Neutrality rules, the policies were either rolled back or ended completely. In United States, as a country that touts freedom in so many areas, it seems foolish to allow consumers to be subjugated into a system where a corporate entity decides what to see, how much to see, and how well it can be seen. Please stop this madness. Keep the internet open and free of ISP meddling.
734. Erika Demel, San Antonio, TX, 78229
I am a PhD student in biomedical sciences. I use the internet in my studies in order to access scientific articles, look up and troubleshoot procedures for experiments, and access informational databases. Lack of net neutrality could potentially limit the visibility of scientific findings, cause free shared databases that promote collaboration among scientists to have slower connections causing less use and less scientific advancement. Lack of net neutrality could mean sensationalist articles claiming dangerous falsities such as vaccines causing autism could be promoted over the numerous scientific studies disproving anti-vaccine rhetoric. An internet service provider could decide to block access to any scientific articles about vaccines, climate change, the dangers of smoking etc. Having multiple businesses providing similar services equally accessible on the internet promotes competition and innovation which improves services for customers. If one company is able to make deals with internet service providers to have better connections and speed than their competitors, smaller businesses providing superior services will be shut out unfairly. The ability of internet service providers to give preference to certain sites is setting up a system to create monopolies which will lower the quality of products for customers and decrease innovation. Where I live I only have 2 potential internet providers. If I lived in a different apartment complex or a different town I could have only one company available to provide internet. If both of the large internet service providers I can do business with

fail to maintain net neutrality I will be forced to either pay for services I disagree with or live without the internet and be unable to do school work and perform research, unable to stay in contact with my friends around the country and the world, unable to access the increasing number of services provided through the internet.

735. Liliana Esparza, San Antonio, TX, 78229
The internet needs to remain open, I do not want a version of the internet where the content is curated based on the highest bidder.
736. justyn gottlieb, san antonio, TX, 78254
the internet needs to free and not regulated. the corporate companies will take advantage and bring down the internet and how it operates if given the opportunity.
737. Adam, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Stop being selfish morons.
738. Michael G., San Antonio, TX, 78240
To all the Cyber geeks out there, Chairman "Apple" Pai is trying to finesse the American Public's right to roam the Internet freely. What kind of B.S. is that? Do you guys want this? Michael G.
739. Sarah Kelton, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Limiting our internet for profitable gain is wrong and should not be instituted. I know money and lobbyists are going to pass it anyways but hopefully this will serve as a show of outrage for consumers.Sarah Kelton
740. Jordan Delgado, san antonio, TX, 78227
To limit what websites I can view, is to limit the american people's freedom.
741. Isaac Earleywine, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Conglomerates cannot be allowed to impede on the Federal authority of internet usage. Doing so would strangle online enterprises would bring us back in time when monopolies ruled the country. Despite your preferences, federal authorities can legally declare if businesses are breaking the law. Have a heart, and lay down a decision that let America be a place for anyone to prosper again. Thank you for your time, Isaac Earleywine.
742. Lucas Nyreen, San Antonio, TX, 78204
I do not believe the ISP's (who have a history of being some of the least customer centric companies on the planet) should be in charge of regulating how they serve the public, and I believe in strong, as strong as we can get, federal regulations and doing everything in the FCC's power to enforce them.In short no light touch, enforce strongly and in favor of customers and while you're at it, define internet services as a public utility as it should be.
743. Robert Hill, San Antonio, TX, 78228
The current status, net neutrality MUST be preserved! It is absolutely ESSENTIAL. The neutrality is what makes new buisnesses possible from Google, Facebook, Ebay, Netflix, Discount Tickets, rate comparison sites....and the list is endless. By giving ISP's the ability to restrict, manage, and direct flow of this information, you restrict this necessary freedom. The public interest, like was done with phone line management, requires this. It is an essential characteristic of being an American that the freedom to communicate, the freedom to start a business to meet a demand, the freedoms that cherish MUST be applied equally. Net Neutrality now and forever.
744. Joseph Huizar, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I am strongly in favor of a free and open internet. Rolling back protections that keep the internet neutral is a threat to our freedom.
745. Michael Waldmeier, helotes, TX, 78023
I believe in a free and open internet. please keep net neutrality.
746. Richard Zapata, San Antonio, TX, 78249
The internet needs to be free and not controlled by those (ISPs) that only care about pushing their own agenda.
747. Eilise Evans, San Antonio, TX, 78212
Keep net neutrality, protect a free and open internet. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
748. Chris, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Why try to control what I do just so you can profit a little off the internet?
749. Giancarlo Sanz, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Keep Net Neutrality rules applied! The rules are for the people and I don't want the companies to be deregulated!
750. Kevin Hawkins, San Antonio, TX, 78209
Net Neutrality is critical to the workings of our Democracy. The right to freedom of speech and freedom of commerce are at the core of the Constitution. Do not sell out to the corporations. It will be a catastrophic mistake. We must maintain net neutrality.
751. Joseph Gonzalez, San Antonio, TX, 78237
The Open Internet rules (net neutrality) are extremely important to me. I don't want the ISP to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites advantage on others, or split the internet into fast lanes for companies that pay and slow lanes for the rest. Don't allow the Trump administration control the media streaming. Joseph
752. David Moody, San Antonio, TX, 78250
To act against net neutrality is to act against free speech and defaces the pillars of democracy. The Internet may not have started out as a

necessity in life but it is now, to treat it as anything different is simply wrong. The internet is a utility and all citizens must be allowed equal, unhindered, uncensored access to its entirety.

753. Danny Garcia, Helotes, TX, 78023
Keep net neutrality so innovation and creativity will not be hindered by unnecessary barriers.
754. miguel garcia, san antonio, TX, 78240
you can't scare us.
755. Louis Melgarejo, San Antonio, TX, 78240
The absence of Net Neutrality is a disgrace to a healthy free market on the internet.
756. Tim, San Antonio, TX, 78229
Please don't limit what we can and cannot access online. That's one step closer to becoming North Korea. Net neutrality is what makes America "Merica!" or "America!". It's the freedom we have to make our own decisions, to live the way we want to live, to search what we want to search, and to view what we want to view. Please... Don't be like North Korea.
757. Chris Daniels, San Antonio, TX, 78230
I am writing to show my support of the current net neutrality rules. Much like how a water company should not be allowed to discriminate how you receive water or force you to use sub-standard water supplies, so too should no company be allowed to discriminate against or for any user of the Internet. The Internet should always be considered a public entity, accessible to all without limitation or restriction. The plan to repeal the existing net neutrality rules is alike to an attack on the civil rights and liberties of the American people.
758. Net Neutrality, San Antonio, TX, 78254
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and hundreds of users around the world. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, or even completely aggravate sites, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Imagine those with depression, those who escape reality with the connection of 4 bars. Those who have disabilities and only can use the internet for employment. Imagine disabled individuals who will have to wait longer to pay taxes online, and do not have the ability to physically go to an IRS station. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Shejan Shuza
759. Javier Garcia, San Antonio, TX, 78249
The internet needs to keep being a free place not dictated by the concern of a specific provider limiting where you have the right to navigate would just ruin the internet for everyone
760. Alexander Goodlefsky, Helotes, TX, 78023
All of our websites should be equal and free from ISPs.
761. Free, San Antonio, TX, 78213
Keep the internet free man, it needs to be F R E E like America itself.
762. lucas compton, san antonio, TX, 78216
LEAVE INTERNET ALONE IT DOES NOT BELONG TO YOU IT BELONGS TO EVERYONE YOU ALREADY CHARGE OUTRAGEOUS AMOUNTS OF MONEY FOR CRAPPY SERVICE LEAVE IT ALONE I KNOW YOU PEOPLE LOVE TO FIND WAYS TO PUSH YOUR AGENDA BUT NOT THIS WAY YOU ARE ALREADY RUINING THE SCHOOL SYSTEM WITH YOUR BULLSHIT AND I WONDER WHY SOMETIMES PEOPLE CAN'T THINK FOR THEMSELVES THEN I REMEMBER THAT'S ALL YOU PEOPLE HAVE BEEN TEACHING PEOPLE TO DO IS LISTEN TO WHAT WE SAY WHATEVER YOU THINK IS WRONG THE ONLY WAY IS OUR WAY AND ITS BULLSHIT LEAVE THE FUCKING INTERNET ALONE
763. Jonathan Mafield, San Antonio, TX, 78230
The rich need to stop feeding off the poor
764. Monica Stolte, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I support net neutrality. I don't think the internet should be regulated by any government or corporate entities.
765. Oscar Garcia, San Antonio, TX, 78225
please do not take net neutrality the internet is for everyone to enjoy not to be changed and take freedom away. People do a lot of things and by taking away net neutrality it may take away some things and tools people all around the world may need.

766. Gerald Wright, San Antonio, TX, 78238
Keep the Net Neutral. Don't let ISPs control what content I can and cannot view!
767. Daniel Anderson, san antonio, TX, 78229
THE INTERNET SPEEDS AND NET NUTRALITY. LEAVE NET NUTRALITY IN PLACE!!!
768. Greg Ake, San Antonio, TX, 78253
Having spent my adult life as a network analyst and government employee, I understand what is at stake for our privacy and access. Do not degrade laws that protect and keep the internet open and unhindered to shaping, buffering, throttling, or prioritizing data. You are an organization that is supposed to protect the consumer, stop representing big industry while throwing our right to privacy away.
769. Brandon Jones, San Antonio, TX, 78254
The internet needs to remain free and open, with no high speed lanes or special privileges for some providers, for the sake of allowing small companies to compete!
770. Alexander McDowell, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Free and open internet has allowed me to stay in touch with friends after I moved away. I have been able to still be apart of their lives even though I am far away and I might not be able to do that when ISPs have complete control. Currently in my area there are two companies that have control of internet access in my area and push out any providers that try to come into the area. ISPs should not be allowed to have a monopoly across most of the country.
771. Parker, SA, TX, 78240
Access to the entire internet grants me the privilege to view certain websites and databases to further my education and research. Without this, I am subject to a disadvantage as opposed to those who pay to see them.
772. Jonathan Branan, san antonio, TX, 78238
I for one do not agree with removing net neutrality. Information like the world wide web should not be monetized. This is the only barrier for capitalism taking over the United States portion of the internet. The FCC should remain neutral towards the internet connection of the citizens of the United States of America. Please reconsider abolishing this imperative law. There are no advantages for the citizens of the United States of America. Just benefits for the corporations for providing the internet. What will happen is most ISPs will throttle certain internet packages and will drive clients to to an ISP that does not throttle the internet speeds. Please do not abolish net neutrality laws. It is important for everyday life as a citizen of the United States of America.
773. David Kowalkowski, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Net neutrality keeps access to the internet on a fair plain. Also go screw yourselves, selling out the American people to make money off internet service providers. You guy's are disgusting greedy pigs. I hope you and your ISP lobbyist all burn in hell with the money you're selling out the American taxpayers for. Middle finger emoji.
774. Alain Tio, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. If some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!
775. caroline mossing, San Antonio, TX, 78212
I support net neutrality. ISPs should not be allowed to speed up or slow down traffic to sites or other resources.
776. Miguel De La Cruz, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Save the internet. Protect our freedom and rights!
777. allison scherr, San antonio, TX, 78249
Please do everything you can to maintain net neutrality and enforce it. This is the only way to keep the internet a fair and safe area for anyone, regardless of wealth or beliefs. Not only would this be unfair to small startup companies and individuals with lower income, forcing them to pay more for faster speeds, getting rid of net neutrality gives ISPs the power to censor media, which is a direct violation of our right to free speech. This is immoral and wrong and you cannot let this happen. For the sake of every American do what is right and enforce net neutrality.
778. ellis cooper nichols, San antonio, TX, 78242
Net neutrality is important because if i start my own website others will not see it because internet service providers will be able to monopolize data sent over their services.

779. Brandon White, San Antonio, TX, 78251
I love the internet Please keep the internet free
780. Austin Weinreich, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I do not believe that the removal of Net Neutrality would be beneficial to the citizens of this country.
781. Arthur Love, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Keep it free. LEAVE IT ALONE
782. Lloyd Rosario Partosa, San Antonio, TX, 78240
When I use my computer, I expect to have a service which would expediently, safely, and competently connect me to the World Wide Web. That has not been so, with how certain major internet service providers (ISPs) in the San Antonio area have been treating their service. I have seen no reliable improvement in their services. I have seen no interest, on their part, to change this and offer fiber as an option to the community. And I have seen local government, impaired from what I believe to be paid representatives, drive out a superior ISP, Google Fiber, from making changes that would instigate competition among the ISPs to better improve their services. With the upcoming repeal of the 2015 network neutrality rules, I am worried at how much free reign ISPs will have in cooperating with each other against the best interests of their consumers. This monopoly of internet services, services which pale in comparison to the quality and speed of internet services offered in other nations, can only serve to bring the progress of our nation to a slow, a halt even. Many people are reliant on their internet services for new jobs in this time. I would even say, that all people are now reliant on the internet for any job, because of the requirements to have an email address now. In short, I can't see how mitigating the strength of the FCC and leaving ISPs open for greater ability in downplaying consumer's rights, shirking the improvement of their own services, and monopolizing a commodity which everyone is reliant on, can be for the greater good of our nation. I have seen no reason to trust these ISPs won't act in their own interests to price gouge consumers, hamper their own services over making repairs/improvements, or monopolize the market. Without a strong, overseeing, government body, it is in my belief that these already diminishing providers in my area will quickly hike up their costs and continue on with their mocking facade of offering a safe, expedient, and competent connection to the internet.
783. Elijah Garza, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Keep the internet free and untouched.
784. Abit Gurung, San Antonio, TX, 78228
Keep net neutrality!! America is a free country, if isp can control what you can do on the internet then what's the difference between America and any other communist country?
785. Olivia Martinez, San Antonio, TX, 78212
I believe it is important to keep the internet free and not allow a monopoly on speed. I use the internet for job searches, to buy from smaller business and to sell my art online. Small business and artists like myself have no way to compete with larger companies backed by billions of dollars. It is vital people have access and options to all sites and companies.
786. Joe M Gamboa, San Antonio, TX, 78227
I am in support of net neutrality. I feel it is in favor of consumer's and it has the American people's best interest. Please do not repeal net neutrality . Keep the internet free. Thank you.
787. Nicolas Morales, San Antonio, TX, 78212
Preserve net neutrality under Title II !!!!!!!
788. Nathan Lloyd, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Please leave net neutrality ALONE!!! This is the best thing to happen to the modern world and I'd hate for the Government to get their hands on it.
789. Jonathan VanHandel, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Net neutrality is extremely important for smaller free startups that would never be able to compete with larger, established, and more financially stable companies.
790. Joshua M Aaron, Helotes, TX, 78023
Spectrum, Verizon, and some of these other companies have been trying to monopolizing on Internet throttling for years now and I am sick and tired of it. Keep the internet open and free!
791. Jacob Shaw, San Antonio, TX, 78229
Keep freedom on the internet!!
792. Steven, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I like the privileges and freedom we have online i would not like to see it changed the way we currently browse and make use of the internet is great.
793. zelig garza, san antonio, TX, 78249
keep net neutrality. save the open internet
794. Fernando Pena, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Removing the FCC regulations will not be a slippery slope. It will be an avalanche. Companies in unison will push the limits of what pricing customers will endure knowing that there is little to no competition or alternatives. I don't typically show up to vote for anything other than electing the president, but I will absolutely show up just to vote against anyone that supports these measures.

795. KEEP, San Antonio, TX, 78250
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!! How is this even a question for these idiots??
796. Jay, San Antonio, TX, 78253
Keep Net Neutrality alive. We need to have a free internet.
797. Aaron Dietrich, San Antonio, TX, 78253
I believe in net neutrality. I think the internet has changed the way we grow as a whole. The wealth of knowledge available from around the world is literally at your fingertips. I do not believe it should be controlled by who pays the most for it. Handing the internet over to ISP's will only be a slippery slope for them to reach further into what we have access to as a user.
798. Chantra Em, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Net Neutrality is protecting the integrity and freedoms of communication among users and communities both domestically and internationally. The consumer base deserve an open freeway for all network traffic, not to be locked down behind corporations that will demand access to the dollar. Please keep Internet access (net neutrality) free and open for everyone, not for the privileged.
799. Shawn Fichthorn, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Keep the internet as it was meant to be, accessible to anybody anywhere unhindered by anybody else.
800. Liz Perry, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Keep things as they are...
801. Joshua Guerra, San Antonio, TX, 78254
By eliminating net neutrality, you pave the path for monopolies to take over the market and decrease competition causing serious economical implications. Protect our freedoms and oppose this striking down net neutrality.
802. Julia Davis, San Antonio, TX, 78251
The state of our nation, including the world, depends on an open internet free from 'private regulation'. An open internet is an essential platform where our freedom of speech and expression must be allowed to flourish unhindered, where our economy must be allowed to prosper, where opportunities for economic competition will be welcomed, and where conveniences can be innovated and improved upon. To argue that Net Neutrality actually "hurts and stifles" innovation is a poor argument with absolutely no evidence to prove such, where such an argument is a rather poor play from industry giants like AT&T, Verizon, and all other broadband providers to make it easier for them to charge and burden Americans, domestic and international businesses (both small and large), and non profits with extra redundant fees... just so they can get even richer and more influential. Not only that, but scrapping Net Neutrality would open a dangerous path towards open 'censorship' of the entire internet, presenting private and governmental entities, lobbyists, and even radical ideologists, with an opportunity to promote targeted censorship and selective media coverage. Scrapping of such laws would be akin to a country like the United States becoming like its worst enemies... like North Korea and Iran. Such a repeal of rules that benefit Americans as a whole would set a bad precedent not to all of our citizens, but to all those all around the world. Furthermore, a sudden proposal to scale back Net Neutrality should be seen as an effort by the very major telecom and broadband providers out there through the very man who benefits financially from them... FCC Chairman Ajit Pai. He not only not recognizes the benefits of Net Neutrality, but he openly refuses to do so, AND openly criticizes Americans and companies who had come up in opposition to his proposal. He has no interest for acting on behalf of the American people and businesses! He only has the interest of more money, money that is provided by the telecom and broadband industry giants. Where Donald Trump is all about bringing jobs back to America, Ajit Pai is acting AGAINST his agenda by proposing the rollback of Net Neutrality. This is lobbyist motivated, nothing more. There is no solid argument against Net Neutrality, and there shouldn't be. Net Neutrality shouldn't be seen just as a Democratic effort. It shouldn't! This concerns EVERYONE! Democrats, Republicans... EVERYONE! We should be all in this together, because we all live with each other in this country. We drive this country. We are the blood and soul of this country. We MUST let Net Neutrality stand strong and unopposed! Rolling back such a rule would be at best a treacherous unpatriotic act. I urge everyone to please oppose this action, and support Net Neutrality! Our country depends on it, and SHOULD NOT depend on the best interests of the telecom and broadband giants! I urge the FCC to please NOT go through with the rollback! Julia Davis
803. William Rodriguez, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Please support net neutrality and the open internet. The only way to ensure free speech on the internet is to ensure that everyone has a level playing field. Without net neutrality, many of the biggest tech companies in America never would have been born. Repealing the Obama era net neutrality rules wouldn't increase innovation, it would hamper it. In order to stay competitive, America MUST have a free and open internet.
804. Steven Maldonado, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I am a strong supporter of net neutrality. This dismantling of neutrality will be unconstitutional and detrimental toward the first amendment. Steven Maldonado
805. STEVE B ARRIOLA, San Antonio, TX, 78254
The FCC's open Internet rules are important to me because i like to know what is going in in my country and I do not want to be charged extra for a service I already pay an arm and a leg for that is already throttled. STEVE B ARRIOLA
806. Christian Wilson, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Please keep net neutrality. It is the best thing for the country.
807. Xander McAvoy, San Antonio, TX, 78249
The internet is one of the modern wonders of man. Any person with a connection has instant access to an infinite library of knowledge on every topic, as well as a wide selection of news sources. It has never been more easy to educate oneself or keep oneself informed of current events. By jeopardizing net neutrality and giving ISPs the ability to censor, throttle, and extort, the US government will be

dealing a grievous injury to modern free speech, free thought, and--truly--modern Democracy. A government that doesn't believe in a free internet does not believe in a free American citizen.

808. Anais Turrubiates, San Antonio, TX, 78254
The internet has impacted, improved, and "upgraded" my life for nearly 20 years. I do not appreciate the FCC taking away some of my quality of life for corporate interests. I do not appreciate the FCC grossly overstepping my personal boundaries and allowing service providers to take advantage of me as a customer. I believe in Net Neutrality. Thank you.
809. Nolan Sisco, san antonio, TX, 78250
I Nolan Sisco wholeheartedly support net neutrality because I don't want my ISP tailoring my internet experience to what they think i should be doing. Being on the internet is about exploring until three hours deep into random content thinking "how did i get here?" without somebody throttling my internet speed because I'm not visiting the websites or using the apps that they want me to use. Nobody has the right to decide which content on the internet gets to have the fastest speeds. Without net neutrality startups like one of my favorite apps Discord would barley be able to survive and never flourish. Furthermore i find it appalling just thinking of the idea of my ISP blocking a website that i go to because they don't like it. They DO NOT own the internet they merely provide a service for many people to access it and should not be able to censor any part of it that is within the law.
810. Aaron Schneider, San Antonio, TX, 78249
We need to save net neutrality. If laws are changed that allowed throttling, blocking, and prioritization, people can control what we watch and where we get our information. Information streams should be controlled by companies or the government. It is not right.
811. Janna Shannon, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I believe that companies (Internet Service Providers) should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source. They SHOULD NOT have that ability to dictate, favor or block any product or website. KEEP THE INTERNET OPEN.
812. Donovan Lewis, San Antonio, TX, 76249
Internet service providers shouldn't be able to decide what their users use that internet for, information should not be controlled for profitable gain.
813. Patrick Aleman, San Antonio, TX, 78252
The internet without privacy? Is that some sort of joke? The internet is an extension of ones self for many people and restricting such a freedom is a huge step backwards. In a time of progressive ideologies and acceptance how did this come to be? The becoming of Net Neutrality will only aid in setting our nation and society back. Nothing good can come from it.
814. John Nguyen, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Please keep net neutrality for our country. The internet is a vital resource we need to maintain efficient communications of all sorts. Our market and economy relies on the vast range of the internet to reach customers.
815. David Wilkerson, San Antonio, TX, 78237
I don't want the way the internet works now to be changed for the worst.
816. Joel Gonzalez Jr., San Antonio, TX, 78229
As a person in marketing, with fewer people watching TV and prefer online entertainment sources, having net neutrality is more important than ever before for local businesses and self-employed individuals. It's already bad enough that people use ad-blockers to block invasive or irrelevant ads and I hope to change that in the future for better consumer online consumption. I will not be able to do this without the benefits of net neutrality and ask you to please support this cause.
817. Nathan Reimer, San antonio, TX, 78240
I support strong net neutrality rules, and I deeply care about the open internet and competition online. The internet means the life to mean as I use it to speak to many of friends through VOIP like Discord every night. If we do not have these strong net neutrality rules, innovative startups like Discord will most likely never be able to grow.
818. Daniel Fowler, San Antonio, TX, 78242
Please keep the internet neutral. Let us keep our net freedom.
819. Linda Nash, San Antonio, TX, 78254
The internet as we know it is one of the few great equalizers in our society today. If providers are allowed to control what we see, and raising prices will do that, much of the internet will become unavailable to many. The internet will lose its power, will lose its value for most of us. Please keep the internet free.
820. John Cosby, San Antonio, TX, 78201
Content based throttling is ridiculous. The idea that a company could progress by legally paying service providers to make the competitions' presence unavailable or painfully slow is un-American.
821. Nicholas Townsend, San Antonio, TX, 78228
We do not need corporations having total control over the internet. If passed, this will allow them to ruin a great resource provided to our society.
822. Jill Scoggins, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I do a lot of online business as a small business. I already pay for the option of having a website. If I have to pay additional fees to each of the ISP's in order to have my small massage business even show up, then I won't have any traffic. Corporations such as Massage Envy and Massage Heights will have all the business. My small business will fold. I also pay the ISP additional amounts every month to

have faster internet just so I can play MMORPG's like Final Fantasy and World of Warcraft. This would seriously deter my social life and stress relief with this new bill as these companies will have to pay larger amounts just to be able to get their programs the amount of broadband to simply be able to play the game. I won't be able to afford much more cost. And yes this is my social life. I go to their real life events not just video game events. I see these people in real life. However, this is how we stay in touch. I also use Skype several times a week to keep in touch with family members. And we play online games together. My parents live in one state and my siblings all live in other states. Online gaming and Skype are how our family plays together and keeps in touch. For Christmas we can't be together. So, my parents, siblings, and all the children play Civilization through a portal called Steam. This is an online strategy game. We talk on Skype while playing the game. This game can take 7-8 hours and we never finish. But, we have a grand time. This would limit our abilities and completely restrict a Christmas tradition we have had for 15 years. These companies are already limiting our broadband usage for online gaming. If this bill goes through our cost will increase too much and we will not have this option. The fact that I already have to pay over \$100 a month to simply have internet is outrageous (I don't have cable tv or phone). This bill will increase this cost. Now figure out how someone who makes about \$21,000 a year can afford more than \$100 a month to stay in touch with friends and family while paying all the other costs of living, car, licensing, website advertising and the like. This bill will shut down small businesses and put us back in the 1980's of communication. I really don't want to go back to paying \$.35 a minute to talk to my long distant family. I also want to have control of what I can view online. China has some great censoring on their internet content. This has that feel to it. Giving the ISP's control of what content I can and cannot see is an infringement. I pay for the ability to have full access to the internet. Every little bit. I pay to have the ability to choose what I search for and what I view. I am not a child that needs to have my content censored. I don't want to only have access to those with the most amount of money. I like to support small business. I love Amazon, but I also like Paws Basics (local pet food store). This will force many small businesses to rely way too heavily on Facebook and such portals that limit what can be on their pages. If I want to have a full 50 pages of information on my site, I want the freedom without additional costs to do so. I know I will not be able to pay GoDaddy more a year for my website, and as GoDaddy will have to adapt packages to get small businesses the top billing with ISPs I know that I will no longer be able to afford a website. Please don't kill my small business. Don't restrict and censor my access to information. Don't cut me off from family and friends. Don't kill my social life. Don't remove my ability to release stress. Don't kill my fun.

823. James Smith, San Antonio, TX, 78249
The net should be free from throttling and other corporate ideas.
824. William McInnis, San Antonio, TX, 78240
you will kill small online retailers. This is not good for jobs at all.
825. Mike Smith, San Antonio, TX, 78251
I do NOT want any restrictions placed on net neutrality!
826. Adrian Gonzalez, San Antonio, TX, 78254
I grew up in an era where the internet was this new and exciting connection to the world, where people were just beginning to realize the potential a global interconnected infrastructure could bring. I watched it grow from a fledgling little time killer with flash games and occasional savior of book reports to quite literally the backbone of my everyday life. I wouldn't have graduated college, meet the friends that I have now, or partake in most of the hobbies with nearly as much enthusiasm and accessibility without the internet as it was; a free and open landscape where anybody could plant their feet and build themselves a legacy. When I purchase my broadband connection, I'm buying access to the internet. Not just the video streaming portion that provides countless hours of entertainment that I want to see at my demand, not just the information portion that helps me learn and grow as a person, not just the social media and communication portion that lets me connect with those I both know and don't know, and certainly not just the FCC site that I'm expressing my opinion on an important global matter that affects billions. I'm getting it all. No tiered subscription plans, no soft caps on my access to any given service, no favoritism and prioritization for anyone. Just the information frontier that I can explore with no restrictions. Even now, not everyone has access to the collective of all human knowledge, and among those that do, have very little option in choosing who gets to provide that access. We have areas at the heart of major cities right on top of incredible 21st century infrastructure that have to rely on spotty, unreliable, limited, and sometimes downright broken connection to the great world wide web. And when it comes to fixing these problems, to ensuring the consumer has access with little to no interruptions, the ISP suffers no repercussions in turning a blind eye, oftentimes because the consumer has no other option to turn to. In a society where we must vote with our wallets to make a change, but simultaneously need to connect to this fast paced highway of information, it's a shame that the lesser of two evils is to continue to cash out just to try to reach out to the ears of those who can make a change. To those in office, to those able and willing to protect our ability to discover the world around us at the touch of a button, please: Keep Net Neutrality. Keep it free, keep it open, let it grow and mature into something even greater than it is today. And make sure the people can do so without the watchful eye of big government spying on everything they do. Keep the internet as the internet.
827. Nicole DeArman, San Antonio, TX, 78251
As if we're not already being screwed over by American corporations, this is happening. Americans say no. We reject old school ways of doing things. We reject giving companies more power and money. Nicole DeArman
828. Ed Conde, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Fucking bullshit leave the internet how it is.
829. Jacob Lopez E, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Dear FCC chairman Pajit, I cannot afford for the net neutrality rules to go away. I'm a college student in my second year of college, I cannot afford for the ISPs to charge me more for content I should already be able to have that I paid for. I think you should really consider from a consumer's perspective. Don't let the big companies control you sir. Stand up for internet freedom
830. Cameron Cummins, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Do NOT allow the Internet Service Providers to compromise the free and open internet. Companies should not have the power to limit speeds and restrict access to competition and other web services. The internet has become a utility on level with electricity and water, it

cannot be restricted for the benefit of individual corporations. A free market is also not effective in this scenario because of the regional access dominated by current Internet Service Providers in the United States. As your duty to represent the people, NOT CORPORATIONS, do not damage net neutrality.

831. Paul Greco, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Just leave it the way it is. There's no need to fix what isn't broken. The net has been fine for this long, we don't need others telling the people what we can and can't look at.
832. Amber Vela, San Antonio, TX, 78238
For as long as I can remember the Internet had been the one place where we were all equal. Equal in interactions, equal to pursue knowledge or watch informative videos. On the other hand, the internet has always been there as an assist when it came to entertainment. YouTube is the earliest and best example, while other services are quickly catching up. Netflix, Hulu, I have at one point or another greatly enjoyed all of these. It is unfair and even inhumane to cut the internet up and sell it to the highest bidder. It's such a violation of all that we have been living with that the very real, very scary idea that it could all go away just leaves me, and many others, with the question. Why would anyone do this? What kind of heart is there that would lead anyone to pursue this? Please, consider all those that would hurt intellectually from this move, please do not rip the internet away from us. Thank you for your time.
833. Joshua Abas, San Antonio, TX, 78254
In what line of logic does reducing peoples freedoms on the internet make it ok? The country that praises freedom as a fundamental baseline of its existence is contradicting that with this attack on net neutrality. If companies began to privatize and prioritize websites they allow you to be able to visit how different would that be from communist countries who controls what their citizens watch and do not watch, can and cannot see? Net neutrality is a necessary freedom period.
834. Emmanuel Martinez, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Being in this age technology pretty much everyone has a need to access internet. Myself and peers use it on a day to day basis for school, work, and leisure. By rolling back Network Neutrality this gives companies unjust and immoral control on what citizens are able to access. They will monopolize to their hearts content and then the public's view of the world will be skewed to what the providers will put out. Please do not let this happen and keep the internet open.
835. Sahiba Mann, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Taking away Net Neutrality would be akin to taking away our First Amendment rights. The Internet is the only place that we, collectively around the world, can exchange information, ideas, and media, among other things, and share our thoughts, likes, dislikes, on a universal platform that has brought us closer. Destroying Net neutrality is, in my opinion, verging on creating a dystopic world where all its inhabitants are so controlled, it's straight out of a movie. The internet is our right, end of story.
836. Maximiliano Naves, San Antonio, TX, 78228
What you are doing is not restoring internet freedom; quite the opposite, actually. What you are doing is allowing Internet Service Providers to slow down competition, charge consumers with extra fees, and even down right censor opposition. This is the third time you guys tried to end Net Neutrality and once again, we the people have to come in and stop it. You got to leave Net Neutrality alone. Like water and electricity, the open internet is a utility that everyone uses. And if you take that away from us, you will take away our rights as Americans. Please Federal Communications Commission, keep Net Neutrality alive!
837. internet, San Antonio, TX, 78227
I support net neutrality and do not want to see big cable companies and ISPs have the ability to throttle my speeds or block content that they do not approve of. I pay for a service and expect to be able to do what I wish with that service and changing the rules on net neutrality will give all of the power to the big cable and ISP companies and the consumer will catch all of the fallout of it. I should not have to pay more to get faster speeds for certain sites and I should not have my access to sites blocked based on what my ISP thinks I should be able to see.
838. Belen Aguilar, San Antonio, TX, 78240
We need to KEEP Net Neutrality. I am in favor of unrestricted Net Neutrality. Our government should be acting on behalf of the greatest good of its people, not in the monetary interest of corrupt corporations. The FCC needs to protect the rights and freedom of the majority of people. I am disappointed that they would even try to take Net Neutrality away. We have to fight to keep our basic human rights.
839. Michael Grazulis, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Okay, I'm and 23 yr old man in college. It's already hard to keep everything running and I'm already on minimal internet speed and I can barely search something up without a huge spike in lag. What you're trying to do, is push me farther into financial trouble. I highly support net neutrality just because it liberates our freedom. By destroying net neutrality, is destroying our freedom. Isn't the reason you became a congressman is to protect freedom of the people? The companies want it just for the profit it brings but what about the normal people that own small companies? You may get paid more if you side with the big companies, but what about your moral fiber? Keep your morals intact and side with the people! We need the support too. Thank you if you read this to it's entirety.- Michael
GrazulisMichael Grazulis
840. Daniel Nall, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I know you've seen enough copy & paste letters in recent days. However, please be aware that each and every one of us, no matter how eloquent, cares deeply about the freedom of the internet and the individual voices on it. We do not care for the freedom of a couple big companies that want to walk all over us. Net Neutrality and censorship are hot topic issues that millions of Americans care enough about to sway votes. It's one of the first issues I look at when deciding how to place my votes. Please remember this. Thank you for taking the time to read this. A Concerned Voter Daniel Nall
841. Alexander Harrison, San Antonio, TX, 78254

I'm making this comment in support of keeping internet access as a utility under title II. Giving ISP the power to do whatever they want, which they are already trying, would be against all American citizens.

842. Morgan Hoover, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I'm writing to you, good people of the FCC, fine senators, and upstanding representatives, to ask you to please reconsider your plans to remove Title II from the Communication Act. The Internet, as it exists now, is a foundation for innovation, education, equality, freedom, and yes, entertainment. Please hold firm on Net Neutrality and keep the Internet open to everyone, and outside the reach of ISPs and others who would block sites, slow down service, charge extra fees, and otherwise tamper with the Internet freedoms that those of us in the U.S. currently enjoy. Allowing ISP's to control content is going to far. Especially since at 25mbps, 75% of Americans don't have a choice of ISP provider. You are going to put 75% of the country's content in the hands of Corporations. The reason the internet is so successful is the ability to have open communication across the world. If you allow ISP's to control the content they provide, you are limiting our citizens ability to educate themselves and do adequate research. The changes the ISP's will make to charges, and bandwidth packages will make the internet even less accessible for the people. We should be working toward making the internet easily available to all people, not harder to access, and more limited. Lifting the regulations that were put in place, allowing ISP to financially double dip, to limit content, and to charge small business for customer access. Are just some of the things that will drastically effect the people and the economy you have been elected to protect. Your job is to stand for the people in Texas. I encourage you to look at how this issue will effect Texans. The small business's here and our economic growth. Please please reconsider your stance on removing the regulations that were put in place to protect your people.
843. Eric Birkelbach, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Please do not remove Title II. Keep the broadband and cellphone companies under FCC rules.
844. The Firefly, San Antonio, TX, 78240
I would like to make the point that the connection quality of the internet, in general terms, is very poor. The average person has a fairly slow connection as-is. Making the World-Wide Web slower, at any rate, has the potential of rendering it entirely useless to certain people. That being said, the potential restrictions on Net Neutrality can sow havoc and the ruling of corporations by means of a virtual "iron fist." Under no circumstances should any being or group of beings own the internet. This is some piece of advice that I believe is very crucial and powerful information. That is my stance. I am the Firefly, and I stand with Net Neutrality, and against the ownership of the internet. These are my words, full and complete. I hereby declare my position in this specific scenario to stand with the freedom of the internet, among all other forms of media. So says the Firefly, and with those words, I conclude this letter. The Firefly
845. Chris Rodriguez, San Antonio, TX, 78228
This is suicide for yourselves as business and countrymen.
846. Ruth, San Antonio, TX, 78201
Preserving and strengthening net neutrality and Title 2
847. Andres Nunez, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Look I'm going to make this short and sweet. This whole idea of making other companies be able to charge me just to go to a certain website is stupid and nonsensical. As a college student I know I'm going to use the internet very often to use for research projects, but to be charged on websites that I need to use in order to gain information for a class I need to pass is just hindering my education. Also families less fortunate than I in finances will have more problems for they can no longer gain free information on the internet as they use to, they'll have to pay for it and most can not because they can not afford to spend that extra money somewhere else. So in turn you are creating away to make a less educated future, if people have to pay to gain information that was free before it is most likely that they'll not buy it because they simply can not. Thank you for reading Sincerely Andres Nunez P.S. this is also a really dumb business move if you haven't noticed, what consumer would actually buy it Andres Nunez
848. Rosa Salgado, San Antonio, TX, 78229
Net neutrality protects the consumer and is fair competitively, we cannot presume that companies will not look out for their own benefits without regulation.
849. Craig Coroneos, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Net neutrality is based on the democratic principles this country was established on. It is imperative that net neutrality be preserved.
850. Miguel G, San Antonio, TX, 78201
I'll be very brief... With all due respect.. Net "neutral"ity. neutral. not helping or supporting either side in a conflict, disagreement, etc.; impartial. <http://www.dictionary.com/browse/neutral> I'm not assuming the FCC isn't familiar with the root word of neutrality. I speak for myself, neutral doesn't apply to corporate giants which slowly chip away at our privacy. Neutral is the wall of privacy I lean on, which contains my insanity. I'm sure others would say the same. Hmm imagine that.. Of course, I do speak metaphorically.
851. Miguel Moreno-Orta, San Antonio, TX, 78250
If you want to help innovators than allow a fair share of bandwidth to all. If you want to be about the people, allow your actions to show this by giving everyone a fair shake. We know you come from a company that wishes to destroy net Neutrality, so do the right thing and give a fair share to everyone.
852. Brent Dupont, San Antonio, TX, 78253
Net neutrality is fundamental in the protection of free speech.
853. Bradley Shepherd, San Antonio, TX, 78230
The Restoring Internet Freedom Act is a lie and does the opposite of what it says. The Internet is already open and free and I will fight to keep it that way. This notion represents all American citizens.

854. Andrea Edwards, San Antonio , TX, 78229
Freedom of speech, extends to the use of internet. Keep big cable companies away from being able to censor content.
855. Daniel Scott, San Antonio, TX, 78227
The internet is too important to a free and informed citizenry to allow corporations to decide, on the basis of greed, who gets what access and who has favored access and who has to pay for additional access. Their profits are already excessive. They should not be allowed to restrict access to further their own greedy self interests.
856. Pablo Castillo, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Don't put the freedom of information behind a pay wall!
857. Austin, San Antonio, TX, 78250
There's nothing in the world you could offer me in exchange for net neutrality.
858. Megan Keys, San Antonio, TX, 78253
Don't touch net neutrality! I can't believe this even needs to be said. ISPs should not have the ability to throttle or cutoff my access to certain websites or services. It puts small businesses on an even footing with larger competitors; helping to avoid monopolies. Do not touch net neutrality!
859. Bianca Guerrero, San Antonio, TX, 78228
The Internet is a huge part of the every day life of many Americans and people around the world. No one should be allowed to determine what amount of the internet is enough for people. Its vast and full of knowledge, entertainment, and social interactions. Limiting any part of that in any way is wrong and we need to do everything we can to keep the Internet an open world free of biased regulations.
860. Randall Hodgins, San Antonio, TX, 78201
American citizens already made our position plain; Net Neutrality is something we will fight for.I use the Internet for work, communications and leisure. The loss of a Free, Open Internet will be a grievous harm to myself and my family. No group - particularly a group with a financial agenda - should be permitted to limit, throttle, censor or otherwise impact a world resource. The arrogance of their self promoting statements in support of such actions are typical of the decay of our society that began when Corporations successfully abused their wealth to influence the Judicial branch of government and be granted the benefits of citizenship.The PEOPLE should remain the beneficiaries of our internet industry.
861. Steve Oettinger, San Antonio, TX, 78212
Keep big feet from stepping on the common man! Keep Internet free from domination! Keep Internet neutral!
862. Anthony Medina Colon, San Antonio, TX, 78221
Net neutrality must be protected because i tent to use skype all the time to communicate with my long distance relationship with my girlfriend through skype and i dont want to have been changing to different applications to be able to see her
863. Bryan, san antonio, TX, 78216
The Internet was created in UCLA in the 1960's for the people so let it be by the people.
864. Christian Garza, San Antonio, TX, 78228
I want a fair choice for where I go online and not to be limited in my options by load times.
865. brenda kellel, san antonio, TX, 78216
As a small business (family owned since 1972) net neutrality insures a fair access to my customers.
866. Ali Kolahdouz, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Net neutrality is to the internet what EEOC and Title VII are to employment. Due to the prevalence of the internet in our daily lives, it is critical that the FCC protect consumer interests and keep the internet open and neutral for all.
867. Nick Mesquiti, San Antonio, TX, 78237
Net neutrality is something we need to have and not ever change. I read it is the First Amendment of the Internet." Please protect Net Neutrality. God bless you
868. Denovice Jackson, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Cable companies don't have the people's interest in mind. We expect the FCC to stand in the gap. It's the people not providers that have moved the internet forward. The internet is still in it's infancy, don't hobble it.
869. Barbara A. Sumlin, San Antonio, TX, 78228
As an educator, it is so important that we have web neutrality. I have personally seen how ATT, etc. exploit consumers. Everyone needs access and not to be held prisoners of corporate America which is what would happen in only two or three control the web.
870. Christine Giovannelli, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I am in support of net neutrality and would like you to support it. Thank you
871. Nelson, San Antonio, TX, 78230
The Internet should belong to the people not the corporations! We the taxpayers paid for the research into its creation!
872. David Wasson, San Antonio , TX, 78212
Please just leave the internet alone,it works good like it is.

873. Cynthia, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Net Neutrality must be protected because we have to be informed to make good choices. Net Neutrality is also central to free speech, which is protected by the Bill of Rights.
874. Erick Cerritos, San Antonio, TX, 78211
I am running a website to promote what I do for a living, it's for a small business, and I'm already paying so much for internet. Please don't take Title II away from me, it has ensured people like me have a chance to share our voices equally on the World Wide Web.
875. Eil Ekaf, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Don't take away our rights on the internet. Eil Ekaf
876. Ana Munoz, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Leave internet as is and allow net neutrality Ana Munoz
877. Wayne Ernst, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. If net neutrality is rolled back it will create the ability of some providers to choke off their competitors and disadvantage the common users. Thanks!
878. Jerry Bailey, San Antonio, TX, 78240
How about doing something for mainstream America. The wealthy people who will benefit from your attempt to take away net neutrality are already rich. Try to do the right thing at, least this time., Leave Net Neutrality as it currently is. Don't let big business buy the internet., Leave Net Neutrality just as it is. Do Not Allow big business buy the internet.
879. Robert Parrott, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Keep the internet free of gov. Intrusion!
880. Shirlene Harris, San Antonio, TX, 78249
the internet is IMPORTANT to everyone...we must have net neutrality!!!,
881. Gwen Peters, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Please protect net neutrality. I am a teacher who constantly needs to research in order to make lesson plans for my students. I don't have a huge budget, so access to open source information without being throttled by my ISP is very important to me; That way I can give my students the best quality education I can afford. The internet is also the main source where I learn the most (more than the library, in fact). The internet has also been crucial for buying and selling my cars, and for job applications. I pay AT&T (my ISP) to get full access to the internet at high speeds-that's it. I get email, TV and cloud storage from other entities. AT&T is also only one of two options where I live. I did not have much choice, so my partner and I opted for the service with better speed. I think ISP's should continue to be subject to an oversight agency like the FCC, that way the consumer is protected from privacy invasion, fraudulent billing, and unfair throttling. The way I see it- the internet is a utility. Much like our roads, it is a network of channels for trade, work, hobbies, education- even staying in touch with loved ones. What would America be without the free lending library in the land of equal opportunity? I'm asking the same question here. I am all for capitalism, which means some things simply need to be a utility with oversight, rather than a monopoly that can make prices as high as they please.
882. Greg Hood, San Antonio, TX, 78251
Don't let the government propose rules to gut net neutrality; support net neutrality for all!
883. sharon aditya, san antonio, TX, 78216
Please stop this madness!
884. Charles Jewell, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Please leave the internet alone. It is part of our heritage and free speech.
885. Jose Salazar, San Antonio, TX, 78228
With the majority of people in favor of net neutrality, why do you persist in creating monopolies for the cable companies.
886. Mario Fuentes, San Antonio, TX, 78254
AS a federal agency you are required to place the well being and interest of the general public before corporations. You have a duty to keep net neutrality in place.
887. Robert, San Antonio, TX, 78254
I wish to be anonymous when surfing the web and to be forgotten when I leave it.
888. robert s. walker, san antonio, TX, 78212
Please, please don't give the Internet to the giants. They already own enough of me. AT&T and that bunch will just run it to enhance their bottom line. They've got enough! Help me keep a little bit -- my neutral internet.
889. Derek Ellis, San Antonio, TX, 78230
Net neutrality must absolutely be protected. Without net neutrality, internet service providers will be held to even less of a standard than they already are. Throttling connection speeds for different websites and applications will become a rampant issue as it was in the past. Furthermore, given its necessity in our modern world, we should be working toward regulating internet service provision as a utility as we do with water and electricity. Thank you for your time.
890. Gerald H Bussure, San Antonio, TX, 78249

Please don't give control of the internet to the big cable companies. I can't afford cable tv so I rely on broadcast tv and what little I can get for free on the internet. Net neutrality is our only safety net at present for the internet. Big companies do not know "free" but know "control".

891. Sheila Lowe, San Antonio, TX, 78254
The Congress needs to stop infringing on the rights of citizens. My web use is not the business of Big Business. Free speech and Free Web.
892. Patrick, San Antonio, TX, 78229
My internet use as a student requires much research and surfing of databases throughout the internet. Without net neutrality, I may have to pay to access almost any database and I simply can't afford that. Please keep net neutrality.
893. norm hastings, san antonio, TX, 78228
Internet FREEDOM is important to me. It appears to be the latest Big Brother Government / Globalist Elitists moves to enslave us all. DO NOT let this happen on YOUR watch!!
894. michael martinez, San Antonio, TX, 78204
This is a violation of free speech and the First Amendment the internet is used for everybody People have the right to use the internet and to help small business and more importantly just Express their opinion and right to use free speech Dent what net neutrality is please keep net neutrality and protect the internet do not censor it please do the right thing
895. Keith Marquess, San Antonio, TX, 78249
Net neutrality is the keystone for a fully functional global communications platform. Without it, financially driven prioritized traffic will effectively censor the internet. Net neutrality is as important as the First Amendment and should be protected.
896. Geoff Knitt, San Antonio, TX, 78229
Protect the "little guy", one of whom created the Internet, in the first place.
897. Patrick Huerta, San Antonio, TX, 78250
By blocking websites many people will either lose jobs or never be able to get jobs. Please leave the internet alone. So many voices depend on the net to either be heard or just to vent.
898. Christopher Toepel, San Antonio, TX, 78230
The USA is only great because its citizens are happy, secure, and ensured certain liberties. Just as I appreciate freedoms of speech, travel, entrepreneurship, and religion (all with very slight limits), net neutrality is something I have appreciated my entire life until the recent political events of 2017. We may need to create an amendment to the Constitution to protect the speed, equality, and neutrality of our vitally important ISP connections. Make a step in the right direction and don't choose corporate interests over citizens' rights. The more you side with the ISPs, the more likely "We the People" will push to socialize the ISPs.
899. Carol Rice, San Antonio, TX, 78213
I implore you to treat each of us fairly, giving us free and equal access to the internet. Support net neutrality!
900. Febronio Guzman, San Antonio, TX, 78201
Leave the internet alone and free.
901. Kevin Richardson, San Antonio, TX, 78240
902. Anwar Khan, San Antonio, TX, 78251
I do not in any way support the striking down of Net Neutrality rules. This philosophy of an open internet is absolutely essential to our modern era. Without it you do not have the social media revolution. Countless startups and major corporations alike depend on Net Neutrality. Without it you have no Uber, Facebook, Amazon, Netflix, Google, or even sendyourenemiesglitter.com. ISPs have shown time and time again that they care about profit above all else. The citizens of the internet do not care about their profit, they want to be able to create websites, or use whichever ones they want, without the most meddlesome middle man interfering with that. There is no such thing as an internet fast lane. Everyone knows what will happen when they are instituted. You will essentially have to pay for packages that include the websites you want to use as opposed to just paying for the bandwidth and going to whichever websites you choose. Please don't ruin the internet. Sincerely, Your boss
903. Diane Hoekstra, San Antonio, TX, 78254
I am writing to let you know that as a citizen of the internet, Net Nutrality is an important part of my life. I want every branch of the federal government to support the principle for the health of our democracy and economy.
904. John Nikolatos, San Antonio, TX, 78228
The WORLD Wide Web belongs to the WORLD, not to profit-seeking corporations. Doesn't this come under the 1st Amendment???
905. Jassiel Gomez, San Antonio, TX, 78211
If this letter doesn't stop net neutrality from being tampered with here, there's protests for that. Protests that won't stop and voices will be heard. And boycotts for the companies.
906. Franklin Garcia, San Antonio, TX, 78225
Our Information should not be sold for private use. We have a right as Americans to how our personal information is shared as well as what we can view and how we can view it. LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE PLEASE!
907. Corbin , San Antonio, TX, 78250

The internet is a place where I and many others can be free, and it needs to remain free. Without Net Neutrality, I wouldn't have been able to access the sites I use on a daily basis. I don't have the kind of money to pay even more for websites I may or may not need. What if I need to look up something for a research project in college, and I can't because the sites aren't in my "internet package deal", and I'm already paying for student loans? What do I do then?

908. Nieves Pena, San Antonio, TX, 78237
I want to have the internet to research and make my own bias opinion.
909. Mike Davis, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Net neutrality is the 21st century embodiment of the founders "free press" ideals. It ensure the equal distribution of ideas and unfiltered information. Save Net Neutrality.
910. Robert Dietrich, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Without a traffic-speed neutral internet, innovation by small businesses cannot compete. Donald Trumps and Ajit Pai's plan to wreck the internet as we know it in favor of big business profits is criminal.
911. Shannon R, San Antonio, TX, 78238
As a small business owner it is essential to the prosperity of my family. Destroying net neutrality would ruin my potential as a tax paying citizen of this country.
912. Melissa Romero, San Antonio, TX, 78237
Freeing to make my own unbiased opinion
913. Jimmie Draper, San Antonio, TX, 78250
The internet should remain open as a forum for free speech. Any effort to restrict that should be struck down effective immediately. Keep the internet open, and neutral.
914. Marble tea, San Antonio, TX, 78242
The internet is where people including myself can speak my mind freely and its how i am an artist. i wont have the resources i have now if i didnt have the internet in full availability. my and tons of other families are way to poor and cant afford your terrible plans. PLEASE. do NOT end it!!! do you realize how bad you would EFFECT people?
915. Marissa Terry, San Antonio, TX, 78201
Please don't take away our current net neutrality laws. As a citizen of this country, a citizen situated in the poorer class of the nation, I see the unlawfulness of giving internet service providers the power to regulate themselves. If it were possible for large corporations to not busy themselves with only trying to find ways to further extort their customers, the laws we have wouldn't have been necessary in the first place. If isps are allowed to do as they will with now laws in place to stop them, it will surely hinder the information age. And if that's what the end goal is, our government entities are truly no better than the leadership in North Korea where the citizens are onpy allowed to know what their government wants them to know. I don't wosh to pive in a country where I only have access to extremely biased information, where large corporate interests rule over those who can't justify paying exorbitant fees just to be sure their content is easily viewable and accessible. And as a poor person, I certainly can't afford the additional costs I am sure to incur from the companies that will pay to offset their own costs. Please don't take away net neutrality.
916. Evelin Ortega, San Antonio, TX, 78242
After hearing the news that Net Neutrality will soon be dismantled, a sense of dread and fear hung over me. Like many of this country, I depend and use the Internet for information, entertainment and communication. To see it be monopolized like this and to know that small business and independent creators will have their work and their livelihoods jeopardized because of this ridiculous and selfish decision breaks me. Please allow Net Beutrality to continue, so many depend upon it and I for one will not stand to see it dismantled.
917. Imogene Daily, San Antonio, TX, 78250
Is this not an attack on the freedom of speech?
918. Burgundy , San Antonio, TX, 78238
Net neutrality gives me freedom to choose many websites without costing as much as it is
919. Michael G., San Antonio, TX, 78240
To all the Cyber geeks out there, Chairman "Apple" Pai is trying to finesse the American Public's right to roam the Internet freely. What kind of B.S. is that? Do you guys want this?
920. Yvonne Acuna, Helotes, TX, 78023
I do not agree with this proposed control of internet usage.
921. Jacob Greene, San Antonio, TX, 78250
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me, FOR THE LIVELIHOOD OF MY FAMILY. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5

most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you from a concerned family member,

922. John Scissons, San Antonio, TX, 78251

The state of our nation, including the world, depends on an open internet free from 'private regulation'. An open internet is an essential platform where our freedom of speech and expression must be allowed to flourish unhindered, where our economy must be allowed to prosper, where opportunities for economic competition will be welcomed, and where conveniences can be innovated and improved upon. To argue that Net Neutrality actually "hurts and stifles" innovation is a poor argument with absolutely no evidence to prove such, where such an argument is a rather poor play from industry giants like AT&T, Verizon, and all other broadband providers to make it easier for them to charge and burden Americans, domestic and international businesses (both small and large), and non profits with extra redundant fees... just so they can get even richer and more influential. Not only that, but scrapping Net Neutrality would open a dangerous path towards open 'censorship' of the entire internet, presenting private and governmental entities, lobbyists, and even radical ideologists, with an opportunity to promote targeted censorship and selective media coverage. Scrapping of such laws would be akin to a country like the United States becoming like its worst enemies... North Korea and Iran. Such a repeal of rules that benefit Americans as a whole would set a bad precedent not to all of our citizens, but to all those all around the world. Furthermore, a sudden proposal to scale back Net Neutrality should be seen as an effort by the very major telecom and broadband providers out there through the very man who benefits financially from them... FCC Chairman Ajit Pai. He not only not recognizes the benefits of Net Neutrality, but he openly refuses to do so, AND openly criticizes Americans and companies who had come up in opposition to his proposal. He has no interest for acting on behalf of the American people and businesses! He only has the interest of more money, money that is provided by the telecom and broadband industry giants. Where Donald Trump is all about bringing jobs back to America, Ajit Pai is acting AGAINST his agenda by proposing the rollback of Net Neutrality. This is lobbyist motivated, nothing more. There is no solid argument against Net Neutrality, and there shouldn't be. Net Neutrality shouldn't be seen just as a Democratic effort. It shouldn't! This concerns EVERYONE! Democrats, Republicans... EVERYONE! We should be all in this together, because we all live with each other in this country. We drive this country. We are the blood and soul of this country. We MUST let Net Neutrality stand strong and unopposed! Rolling back such a rule would be at best a treacherous unpatriotic act. I urge everyone to please oppose this action, and support Net Neutrality! Our country depends on it, and SHOULD NOT depend on the best interests of the telecom and broadband giants! I urge the FCC to please NOT go through with the rollback!

923. Nora Idrogo, San Antonio, TX, 78251

Let the internet remain "net neutral"....allow my searches to be my own & not determined by a company's (or government's) financial gain.

924. Amir Saleh, San Antonio, TX, 78249

We strongly support Net Neutrality under TITLE 2. Stop messing with the internet

925. Joshua Stephens, San Antonio, TX, 78249

The Internet is a place of creativity, knowledge and innovation its a place of free speech, opinions and vast amounts of information its a place where people can share ideas, converse about there favorite topics and chat with friends and family from long distances, a place to play games online with friends, to learn whats happening in your community for the people who don't have access to the news, a place to learn about recent events or even simple things like check the weather but most of all it is the largest store of information and knowledge ever known to man, made by man as a way for every person rich or poor to share this information to advance our knowledge as a whole and by removing that accessibility and freedom you are damaging our largest source of knowledge, discriminating against who can afford it and who can not and dividing us more as a species all by not having free access to all sources information for everyone.

926. Joe W, San Antonio, TX, 78252

An open and neutral internet cannot be achieved by "light touch regulation" as Mr. Pai posits. The only logical end to light touch regulation is ISP's abusing their newly gained power to exact the greatest profit margin possible at the expense of consumers with little to no alternative service. As of June 30, 2015, 48% of census blocks with housing units only had one provider that could provide the broadband standard (25 down, 3 up), and 30% more had NO providers that could provide the broadband standard. If a ISP was not bound by federal regulation, and was instead concerned solely about it's bottom line or it's profits to tout to shareholders, there would be no incentive for these ISP's with NO competition to provide fair, reasonably priced service to their customer base, there would be little incentive to expand to hard to reach areas of this nation, and there would be no incentive to improve speeds or the ISP bandwidth capabilities. 78% of the census blocks in this nation with housing units would be stifled by the greed of the corporate bottom-line. Leaving that large a population vulnerable to monopolistic practices not only fails to create an open and neutral internet for all, but instead is at best a dereliction of the FCC's strategic goal (all 4 of them), and at worst a purposeful (and deceitful) step in the opposite direction of consumer protection and furthering the public interest. Prove to the citizens of this great nation that this express comment system is not going to simply fall on deaf ears, or only those automated bot messages that confirm Mr. Pai's bias will be considered. Consider what the public at large has to say about this topic and adjust your views accordingly. The internet is newest frontier in expanding education, business, and personal enjoyment; please don't let it fall victim to the greed of the corporate bottom-line.

927. Naim Emerllahu, san antonio, TX, 78249

I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight by ISP's

928. Christina Keller, San Antonio, TX, 78201

Please keep the internet free! It would be a terrible blow to our modern age to restrain it.

929. Frank DeVilbiss, San Antonio, TX, 78249
To whom it may concern: The Internet is a fundamental tool in our democracy. It allows citizens to express their opinions and share ideas on how to make our republic a better place. Allowing ISPs to route traffic without regulation is worrisome to me. It gives excessive power to a small body of corporations to influence how Internet traffic is routed and ultimately consumed. By extension, it also gives ISPs an ability to bias this medium of free speech in their favor whether it be motivated by financial gain or other interests. Their interests and the interests of everyone else do not always align and it is important to allow the Internet to continue in its invaluable role as a medium of free speech. I firmly support Net Neutrality. Regards, Frank DeVilbiss
930. Rebecca Clayton, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Keep the net neutral - don't allow service providers to change how the web works. it should be the same for everyone, regardless of their location or service
931. Antonio Garza, san antonio, TX, 78229
I support Title 2 and strong net neutrality laws.
932. Michael Morris, San antonio, TX, 78249
I support title 2 and strong net neutrality laws. I want free and open internet. Regulations need to be had!!
933. Mary Banuet, San Antonio, TX, 78229
I fully support net neutrality under title 2. Protect net neutrality. Keep the net free. stop the corruption.
934. Francisco Torres, San Antonio, TX, 78254
the internet should be a utility. this is exactly what Enron tried to do in California with electricity when power was deregulated.
935. Adam Gutierrez, San Antonio , TX, 78227
i can speak my mind because of the constitution. I should have that same freedom when it comes to the internet.
936. jorge guerrero, san antonio , TX, 78230
Net neutrality not only allows us to maintain freedom of speech but also an equal footing verses the big corporations. Between big government and big money freedom is being eroded
937. kyle sulak, San Antonio, TX, 78229
Ending net neutrality, will negatively impact our country's future growth, especially for lower income families like myself. The benefits of the proposed plan will only be for those corporations that provide Internet services. This is a step in the wrong direction in the effort to continue to improve our nation.
938. MIGUEL RINCON, SAN ANTONIO, TX, 78254
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY. IGNORE US AT YOUR OWN PERIL.
939. james fisher, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I value net neutrality as it ensures equal, unencumbered access to all of the diverse sources of information I consume. When I pay for broadband, I'm buying a line to this content. A line that must be free and clear of biases and not weigh one source over others or let a market decide which sources matter. I believe that democracy requires open discourse, and net neutrality cuts to the core of our ability to have this discourse
940. Adrian R Reyna, San Antonio, TX, 78238
Commissioner Pai, Please do not end net neutrality. I was in grade school when the internet was first coming online. We were one of the only houses in our neighborhood to have internet access. Despite the snails pace our internet used to be, simply having it was the advantage. But now, almost 20 years later, I am now an educator. I cannot express how valuable technology and telecommunications services are for my low income students. However, the advantage no longer comes with simply having internet access. The advantage now lies within reliable and fast internet access. My students and I use the internet for a multitude of reasons, which have begun to level the playing field among my low income students and those across town with more money. The internet is not a place for websites and big businesses to use their fiscal might to drown out the smaller independent sites or businesses. Commissioner Pai, please don't limit my students' ability to access quality internet thereby increasing the already grand canyon-esque gap between the "haves" and the "have-nots." Please do the right thing. Side with the people not with the special interest groups. The FCC doesn't limit or favor any phone numbers I can dial on my phone why should anyone be able to regulate the websites we visit or the speed at which we can access them? The internet is a telecommunications service let's regulate it as such. Remember, information is not the enemy, ignorance is. Have a great day. Respectfully, Adrian Reyna
941. audrey fisher, san antonio, TX, 78240
Allowing the business community to have the final say will be bad for the citizens (aka the users) of the Internet. Have you somehow missed the recent events via the storm / Harvey. How were many people saved? By using the social media, that current FCC is saying that the financial and business owners should have control and all will be well. There is zero guarantee that a business will or has ever worked in the best interest of the people, instead they could just as easily look to monetize such a disaster as good for shareholders. Allowing these Private Companies to control the access speeds will more likely lead to deaths of actual people. That is bad for the US and it's peoples. Please consider the safety and welfare of ALL citizens, not just those that can profit from this change.
942. Matthew Garcia, San Antonio, TX, 78254
I do not want Title II to be taken away. We need better regulation for these internet companies. If you take it away, there will be no chance for start ups to survive having to pay extra for faster lanes of internet. Verizon already has started with their unlimited plan tiers.

Due to the fact they network can not handle the workload. With Title II companies have the opportunity to invest better infrastructure and expand networks to areas that do not have a reliable network. I say again do not take Title II away and build.

943. Olivia Bustos, San Antonio, TX, 78216
Please do not remove net neutrality. This has absolutely not benefit to the american people and can only do harm!
944. William Lodge, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Please DO NOT reclassify so we can maintain Net Neutrality. In a world where so much of people's everyday lives is impacted by internet access, access to the internet should remain as a utility. Everything from education, business, entertainment, and health are linked in to the internet and by repealing the Title II restrictions, you WILL affect everyone's access to these. The vast majority of people that have commented are against you repealing the Title II classification. Only internet carriers stand to benefit from reclassifying; these benefits are purely financial. As an entity that is supposed to protect people, why are you trying to ignore the people?
945. Denali Endicott, San Antonio, TX, 78249
I vehemently support net neutrality. Internet content should not be served up according to the aims of Internet Service Providers (ISPs). ISPs should remain neutral: carriers of a utility and nothing more. There should be no throttling of and no fast lane for sites or content. In other words, ISPs should be in the business of providing fast Internet, period. They should not get to pick favorites on the Internet. Internet access is becoming more and more important for the average person. It stands to reason that protecting the average person's rights in regards to choosing and accessing content, whenever they choose to access it, without interference, has also become more and more important. The American people are depending on the FCC to do the right thing, as they did under Wheeler. Don't be the team that let the American people down.
946. Matthew Fugate, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I am, as a concerned member of the United States, support strong net neutrality rules.
947. Joannes Laven, San Antonio, TX, 78212
Maintain the net neutrality we now enjoy. Do not hamper our access to the sites we choose to visit. The providers of the connections of the lines and cables are remunerated and deserve no part in choosing fast tracks and slow tracks for internet connections.
948. Martin , San Antonio, TX, 78242
Well it's what makes the internet Internet
949. Makayla , San Antonio, TX, 78250
It's unfair for the big companies to continue to step on their customers. There's a reason why the cable industry has plummeted in the recent years. The US, one of the most powerful nations in the world, is already behind their neighbors when it comes to internet, so why are we trying to fall more behind?
950. Wilbert , San Antonio, TX, 78238
Don't be a greedy, neutrality is one of the most important meanings in my life
951. Marcus E Mondragon, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Save net neutrality. Stop lining your pockets with our hard earned money. Fuck Trump.
952. Emily Krebs, San Antonio, TX, 78230
I strongly support reserving net neutrality and ISP providers being held to the restrictions of Title 2. Assuming that ISP providers will just voluntarily decline throttling content that serves their own monetary ends, especially when one of the main ways companies online make money is through ad revenue and promoted content, is incredibly ignorant to how internet financials work as well as naive as to how companies will rationalize why what they are doing is noble or okay (claiming they make a better product or that their service/website is more popular). Customers should maintain the freedom to choose what they personally find enjoyable and relevant, and they should be able to access that with the same expectation of speed as someone who makes a different choice. Provider is in the name; they are just providing me the ability to get to content online. They should not be able to promote or demote that content, which is what Title 2 ensures. That is a dangerous amount of power and is not what I am paying for. If the ISPs do this, I won't have any choice but to only interact with what they serve me. It's not like me voluntarily choosing to use outlook vs gmail for emails or the Associated Press vs Fox News for news. Because the providers are the fundamental gateways. So if they choose, there is no choice. So, I as a customer and citizen am opposed to any proposal to allow ISPs to skirt Title regulations. Doing so is only to the advantage of the few running what are already technically illegal monopolies and no benefit to the average consumer. Do not allow this predatory move to occur. We've seen how other similar, old, established industries abused their consumers when there were no firm, clear regulations (aka see the housing market crash). Don't let another wretched large-scale abuse occur.
953. William Rodney, San Antonio, TX, 78213
Keep internet neutrality, and do NOT allow internet service providers (ISP) to vary speeds on internet lines in accordance to price. This provides incentive for worse service and corruption for a public good required to keep the USA as a global power and technological leader.
954. William Wright, Helotes, TX, 78023
I believe the government needs to keep the existing controls in place to ensure families and business have full access to the Internet. Without the governance, the US will fall further beyond in high-speed access and ISPs will continue to limit use of this critical infrastructure.
955. michael Evans, San Antonio, TX, 78212
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title Two. It is of the utmost importance. thank you,

956. Miguel Martinez, San Antonio, TX, 78201
We need net neutrality! Preserve it or it will cause corporate prejudice over free content!
957. Shaun Kenner, San Antonio, TX, 78230
I strongly support net neutrality and keeping oversight of ISPs under Title II.
958. Kendra Michael, San Antonio, TX, 78240
Yes, to strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs!
959. Jeremy Lange, San Antonio, TX, 78254
Loss of net neutrality would be extremely disappointing.
960. Jarrett Perkins, San Antonio, TX, 78250
I am for strong net neutrality rules with Title 2 oversight. Thank you.

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used natural language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. <https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9ff0e3ed36a6>.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.