

Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from PA District #10

These are the 606 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Tom Marino's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. David Manns, Harrisburg, PA, 17101

This bill is bad for consumers, and all about filling the pockets of companies we are paying for internet access. It's name is a flat out lie. This bill will allow the network provider to block sites, or redirect to someone who pays them to direct you to them, with no freedom at all. New goods and services will be expected to pay to play, so not only will you pay to have net access, but the provider will have to pay to access you. Increasing the amount of money we will have to pay to stream video, or buy goods, because the internet provider will want to charge them also, for what we the consumer have already paid for. Not even the phone company could charge both parties for a long distance call, in the past. Now this bill will allow your ISP the one you pay for it block your bank if thy don't like them, or if they refuse to pay... again for something you already pay for. Maybe they will strike a deal and you will be limited to one store, so much for free market. In many places we are limited to one ISP, we have only access to one highspeen provider. We will have no choice, and the FCC knows it, this bill proves they don't care. Believe me we will rouste this government corruption and we will remove you from office, and hopefully extract damages against you personally for dereliction of duties.

2. Sergey, Harrisburg, PA, 17110

Dear FCC,Internet users pay the market price for the Internet access to ISPs. Letting ISP decide which site should be accessed faster and which slower is an equivalent of the internet use price increase without the consent from the

consumer. Also, allowing censoring the access to the internet will nullify the freedoms USA is so proud of and turning USA into the authoritative regime the USA has been blaming for human rights abuses for years. Don't let it happen.Sergey

3. B. Nathan, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
Dear FCC,'Federal' means for the whole country NOT 3 or 4 corporations!B. Nathan
4. Nazario Ruelan, Harrisburg, PA, 17101
I strongly protest the FCC plans to repeal the Obama administration's Net Neutrality rules. Specifically, I do not want the current administration to change the rules governing the Internet from its current Title II to Title I. I intend to follow this up by voting out any politician that supports this plan in any future elections. Thank you for your time.
5. Ellen, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
I specifically support strong net neutrality under Title II Oversight of ISP.
6. Katherine Marotte, Harrisburg, PA, 17101
Net neutrality should be kept the way it is and kept regulated under Title 2. The internet is a utility and not a good/service and therefore should not be regulated in such a way.
7. James Ives, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
Strong net neutrality needs to be preserved backed by title 2 oversight
8. Matthew Sirkot, Hershey, PA, 17033
I want the FCC to preserve Net Neutrality under Title 2.
9. Aaron Raski, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
I support strong net neutrality by title II oversight of ISP.
10. Kevin S. Johnson, Stroudsburg, PA, 18360
I very much support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
11. nathan davidson, harrisburg, PA, 17102
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. An open and free internet that is not only accessible but affordable to everyday Americans is crucial to our democracy. Not only does it preserve first amendment rights such as freedom of speech, press, assembly, and petition, but it also enables the vision of our founding fathers to have a representative democracy built on an educated society. And in addition, rolling back net neutrality puts more power and money in the pockets of corporations and takes it from the American

people. Since the FCC works for the American people, this decision does not seem to be difficult.

12. Daniel Tekely, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs via reclassification as common carriers.
13. Joe Connors, Middletown, PA, 17057
The internet is as important to people as electricity now of days. I support the idea that the internet should be managed under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 and should not be reclassified under Title I. A free and open internet needs to be protected by strong legal grounds to ensure that no particular business interests are treated any differently than any other.
14. Adam Trexler, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
After witnessing the myriad of examples where ISPs were guilty of manipulating traffic and working for a business that was impacted by such (specifically Comcast blocking the protocols used by IBM Lotus Notes software upon which our business depends for communication and management) it is imperative that the FCC leaves ISPs under their current Title II classification. Businesses must be able to depend on ISPs to provide open and unfiltered access to the Internet in order to have reliable operations. They will not be able to do so if ISPs are reclassified or Title II protections are removed.
15. Christer Ask, Milton, PA, 17847
Preserve net neutrality and stop fast lanes, keep internet fair and regulate as utility
16. Brian Harkless, Oberlin, PA, 17113
Net Neutrality is important to the future of the open internet. Title 2 oversight of ISPs must be retained in order to maintain the vast number of businesses that, due to an open and equal connection to the internet and consumers have flourished, making American businesses, like Uber, Netflix or Google, into household words across the world.
17. S Wehinger, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2. I urge the FCC to protect net neutrality.
18. Jonathan Martin, Harrisburg, PA, 17104
I am expressing my sincere belief that net neutrality is the most important issue facing a free and open internet. I support, and will continue to support, strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Thank you.

19. Garrett Ruths, Northumberland, PA, 17857
I specifically support strong net-neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am currently studying computer science, and am fully aware of all the implications of regulations on free markets, however, the internet will not be a free market if you don't regulate ISPs to enforce net-neutrality.
20. Danny, Harrisburg, PA, 17101
As an American citizen I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers.
21. Aaron S. Rokoff, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
The corporate interference against Google Wallet, as well as countless cases with other regulations on other entities, demonstrates that you cannot reliably make companies do the right thing just by asking nicely. Furthermore, ISPs like Verizon have told their own investors that regulations such as Title 2 have little to no effect on corporate investment. In short, there is no reason to remove Title 2 enforcement and every reason to keep it. If Title 2 stops, we can, and likely will, see a corporate dystopia masquerading as personal freedom. Net Neutrality must stay, and must be strictly enforced.
22. Donald Brown, Stroudsburg, PA, 18360
Please protect Net Neutrality by keeping ISPs with their Title II classification. While Ajit Pai talks about how regulations "get in the way" the lack of fairness from the hands of ISPs has been documented in the past. Throttling opposing services has been an issue in the past, particularly from Pai's prior employer, Verizon in regards to Netflix. <https://www.extremetech.com/computing/186576-verizon-caught-throttling-netflix-traffic-even-after-its-pays-for-more-bandwidth> While it seems our culture has fallen in love with neoliberal Capitalist commodification, sooner or later those public services tossed into private hands will negatively affect the end user. The removal of Net Neutrality is one such case, for it allows an issue of rentierism to take place: companies essentially hold and inherit the entire service or system, and the customer is expected to assimilate and settle for the service or system, for all opposition has been commodified to the point of eliminating competition. None of this is a free market, as Pai alludes deregulation will produce.
23. John Mazza, Mount Pocono, PA, 18344
I would like to see the existing net neutrality laws remain in effect and that all ISPs remain in Title 2 so that no company can negotiate or slow down any form of the net.
24. Sarah Cedrone, Hershey, PA, 17033
I support the net neutrality laws as they stand. The internet should be equally available for everyone and I will be flummoxed if you put the power of internet

speed and content into the hands of those who have the most money.

25. Steve Kniley, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
I strongly support the strict enforcement of net neutrality rules under Title II. The proposed change is a transparent attempt to allow ISPs to limit choice, discourage innovation and restrict free speech. To be clear: "Restoring Internet Freedom" is the exact opposite of what this proposal would accomplish. Unless by freedom you mean granting ISPs the freedom to engage in anti-competitive behavior.
26. Suzanne Manna, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I know the difference between facts and manipulation.
27. Sten Hartman, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
I support strong net neutrality! Keep ISP's as a title 2!
28. net neutrality, Middletown, PA, 17057
Net Neutrality is essential to the public safety and good.
29. Samuel Caston, Mount Pocono, PA, 18344
I strongly support Strong Net Neutrality, supported by Title 2 protection.
30. Alexander Ortiz, Stroudsburg, PA, 18360
I believe we should protect net neutrality & Title II. Big corporations should not have the opportunity to influence the internet to their interests.
31. Samantha Reilly, Hershey, PA, 17033
I support net neutrality as backed by Title II oversight of ISPs™s. The FCC Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and the US. I want you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, make some more accessible than others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. If net neutrality is taken away, many businesses will be in trouble.
32. Gary L. Harke, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
I strongly urge maintaining Title II internet regulation. Enforceable net neutrality is essential to our freedom.
33. Shawn McClearn, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
Keep ISPs classified as common carriers. Preserve Net Neutrality!
34. Henry R Bickel IV, Swiftwater, PA, 18370
Internet neutrality must be maintained. Giving internet providers the ability to weaken the strength of a site that they do not own, while boosting the strength

of those they do own, would be restrictive to those of us that only have one internet provider available to us. In affect, it would guarantee a monopoly to that internet provider. FCC chairman Ajit Pai's proposal is meant to benefit his former employer, Verizon, at the expense of their competitors. This unethical action cannot be approved. Thank you.

35. Hunter Lloyd, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Removing net neutrality would be a huge mistake for consumers.
36. Shane Hocker, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
I support Net Neutrality, as well as its enforcement using Title 2.
37. Thomas Boyd, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
I support STRONG Net Neutrality under Title II. We can not trust the ISP's to not mess with out bandwidth. Data is data
38. Ian Short, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
I support net neutrality and the ability of ISPs to be regulated under Tittle II.
39. Laman Snyder, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
Maintain the Net Neutrality status for Internet service providers, and keep the Net Neutrality in the Title II regulations.
40. John Deer, Harrisburg, PA, 17057
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you, bastards :D
41. Cody Thompson, Halifax, PA, 17032
I would like to express my support for strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. As an avid video gamer and video creator who uses both campus and personal wired and wireless internet, it concerns me that private corporations could influence investments into and use of private internet connections, as well as increase or prioritize streaming and connection times.
42. Eric Brzozowski, Hershey, PA, 17033
I strongly support net neutrality and Title II regulation of ISPs. Please preserve current net neutrality rules.
43. Eric Harvilicz, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
Ajit Pai: I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s.
44. Glenn Schiefer, Harrisburg, PA, 17111

The reclassification of Internet service as a utility was one of the greatest victories for the American public of the last decade. This reclassification provided innumerable consumer protections. Any attempt to dismantle these protections should be met with scorn, and utter contempt. Chairman Pai and his ilk should be ashamed of themselves for even attempting to so blatantly pursue a course of action that is obviously detrimental to the American people.

45. Matthew Lasher, Hershey, PA, 17033
Protect internet neutrality and ensure/insure- pick one, that small business and start ups are on a level and fair playing field. After all, our President wants us to Make America Great Again. It is my belief that internet neutrality helps fulfill that goal.
46. Michael Kacey, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
I am writing to urge the FCC to keep the Open Internet Rules as presently defined. The Internet must remain governed under Title II. Thank you.
47. Charles Pollick, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
My Family and I Support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 Over-site of ISP's
48. Jason, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
I am in full support of net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of all ISPs. This is important to me as a person and as a father.
49. brad koch, harrisburg, PA, 17111
I support title 2 control of ISPs. It is important that all traffic going through ISP is u hindered and not throttled or changed for the price of a dollar. All traffic should compete on neutral ground
50. Net Neutrality, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
I strongly support net neutrality and ISPs staying under Title 2.
51. Peter Benjamin Howells, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
Just annoyed by it all. Government, in the 90s, gave a bunch of money to private ISPs to get 45 mbps broadband into houses and it never happened and they never paid it back. Then the same ISPs said its expensive to expand the network (hence money given by government but who should be held to a contract...other than their consumers they take to court over not paying bills...). After fighting google to say they can't use the telephone polls in the same area when they tried to roll out fiber because ISPs are covered under title 2 and they are not. Now the same ISPs that have used the title 2 argument both ways just to make money and not expand broadband service are at it again with double speak and so much bs it isn't even funny to a populous that knows nothing of technology or the infrastructure of what this might entail. So here we are again,

I'm in a technology role and if companies keep using this "we are too poor to be regulated or to cut our subsidies" to screw over consumers, I will leave this country and never come back. Just because you can get away with something, please don't. It will come back and bite the country in the long run, we can all see that but I guess that the best part of being in America, corporations just don't have to give a fuck.

52. Paul Blascovich, Harrisburg, PA, 17111

Please preserve net neutrality by continuing to regulate internet access as a utility under Title II of the 1934 Communications Act. There is evidence of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) abusing their ability to throttle content as part of negotiation tactics (Comcast / Netflix dispute of 2014). Maintaining Title II regulation is necessary to prevent this. FCC Chair Ajit Pai has made claims that infrastructure investment has decreased, yet Verizon CEO Francis Shammo stated in 2014 that Title II regulation "does not influence the way we invest." The removal of Title II regulations is a deliberate attempt to allow companies to force small companies to pay money they don't have in order to have access to the same internet abilities of their larger competitors. Please keep the Internet free.

53. Kevin D Riddle, Harrisburg, PA, 17112

Taking away net neutrality will limit access to information in a way that cannot be held accountable to the American public. Allowing large corporations to do as they wish and take money to speed those up with money while slowing others that can't afford to stop themselves from being slowed is an affront to equality of information access and only serves to limit resources to those that can afford to have themselves heard. The corporations that supply the public with internet access already have a guaranteed source of income in this age of information and allowing them to indiscriminately slow and speed up access to certain sites only opens the door for them to fill their pockets more than they already are. The internet is revolutionizing human society and in the next decades will be feeling the effects of its changes on society. The free dissemination of information allows for facts to be checked, scandals and injustices to be made known, and allows people to learn and better themselves. Many of the sites that allow for those things can't afford to keep internet companies from slowing them. Giving power to throttle the internet freely and for money could push society towards complacency as entertainment companies fight to take over the bandwidth and edge out educational, Do It Yourself forums, social activism, and other sites that people use to enrich their lives.

54. Amanda Batz, Harrisburg, PA, 17102

I strongly support the net neutrality protected by Title II.

55. Michael Chwan, Harrisburg, PA, 17110

I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 support of ISPs. Firm regulation may reduce the financial gains of businesses but it protects the people from which they profit. Please take these views in to consideration. Thank you for your time.

56. Scott Buffington, Middletown, PA, 17057
I support Title II and you better too. Preserve our Internet freedom.
57. Harry Ness, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
The internet is the greatest thing to happen to humanity, and you want to sell us all down the river for more corruption money. Please do not do this. If you do, every subsequent generation of humanity will be the worse for it. Do the right thing. Don't mess up net neutrality. Don't pull Title 2.
58. John "That Guy Who's Not Jon Stewart" Oilver, Hershey, PA, 17033
I am here to ask you to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II, and if you don't, just know that I am coming to beat you over your oversized head with your even more oversized mug.
59. Donald clay, Grantville, PA, 17028
I support Strong net neutrality backed by title II of oversight of isps
60. Kyle, Harrisburg, PA, 17113
I support ISPs being classified under Title 2. STOP FUCKING UP MY INTERNET YOU WHORE BAGS. Get Verizon's dick out of your mouth and do your fucking job.
61. Wert, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
Net neutrality under Title II should be retained. A free and open internet with no potential for interference from carriers is critical for the freedom of access.
62. Perrienne Lurie, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
Preserve net neutrality under Title 2. Stop favoring the telecoms over content creators.
63. Kurt R, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Don't change Title II. Let it be. Net Neutrality works, as is. If anything, allow for open market for internet service providers - Allow more providers - more competition, and lower prices.
64. Nathan Patterson, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
Please preserve Net Neutrality. I'm already without health insurance. If you let Comcast slow my internet searches for black market cholesterol medications I'll probably die. Please leave Title II alone. And stop pretending corporations have our best interests at heart. That's such a blatant lie that it's insulting to be on its

receiving end in this day and age. Best, Nathan

65. Ben Naill, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
Voicing my support for net neutrality via strong title II enforcements.
66. Alexander Payatakes, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
Please keep internet provider regulation under Title 2
67. Net Neutrality, Harrisburg, PA, 17113
I strongly support net neutrality and oppose the conversion of Internet Service Providers to Title I oversight.
68. Nathaniel Grover, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
I believe that ISPs should remain under Title II classification. This kind of classification is incredibly important for maintaining a fair and open internet space for us all, and something with the internet's capabilities for dissemination of information should not be left unregulated for private organizations to use and distribute as they see fit.
69. Curtis L, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
Net Neutrality and the classification of ISPS as chapter II IS NECESSARY. WHAT I DO AND HOW FAST I CAN DO IT IS BETWEEN ME AND THE WEBSITES I VISIT.
70. Eric Lawson, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
I urge the FCC to leave the Title II classification of ISPs unchanged. This is currently the only legal method for enforcing "net neutrality" and there has not been any strong evidence to suggest it needs changed. Thank you.
71. Salaam Bhatti, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
I support net neutrality. Please safeguard net neutrality.
72. Aoife, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
Net Neutrality has done nothing but good for EVERYONE. Keep ISPs on Title 2, and keep the regulations in place. Any deregulation means no regulations because power corrupts absolutely.
73. Jude Maydwell, Hershey, PA, 17033
I would like the FCC to maintain Strict Title II provisions over all ISP's, therefore preserving net neutrality rules we have in place. Thank you.
74. Francisco Aguilar, Harrisburg, PA, 17101
Please keep strong net neutrality protections in place under Title II. As CEO of a startup, I know how important such protections are to keeping competition vibrant and to protecting small players from monopolistic practices by

incumbent firms.

75. Nicholas, Harrisburg, PA, 17103
We need to keep ISPs classified under Title II. Thinking that companies would voluntarily agree to protect Net Neutrality and cut off a potential revenue source (ex. having different tiers of speed connections to popular services) is frankly ridiculous.
76. Dakota Amoratis, Halifax, PA, 17032
Stop trying to take away net neutrality you giant mug having jitbag. Now go drink your shitty coffe out of your shitty mug and have coffee shits you stupid sack of shit.
77. Daniel Schrader, Watsonstown, PA, 17777
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
78. Ruth Moraski Keller, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
Protect Net Neutrality on the internet. Do not delete Title II from current regulations.
79. Anish Patel, Middletown, PA, 17057
Ajit Pai. I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs
80. Austin Stoeffler, Halifax, PA, 17032
I would like it to be known that I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
81. Stacey Semuta, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
Net Nuetrality!!!!
82. Riley McCall, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
Please reserve net neutrality and tile 2! I will be watching carefully to make sure our government protects these regulations and would be happy to protest if your team jeopardizes them. Sincerely, one of your employers.
83. Serena Fill, Canadensis, PA, 18325
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I urge you to preserve them.
84. Lauren McPherson, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
I specifically support net neutrality and Title 2 Regulations.
85. Jeff Welshans, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
I strongly support Net Neutrality, which should be done by having Title II

oversight of ISPs. Thus, I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108.

86. Ereno Lewis, Middletown, PA, 17057
Strong oversight using title II is a good idea and should not be repealed.
87. Tom Dubelle, HARRISBURG, PA, 17111
You cannot trust companies like Verizon or their former legal counsel (the current FCC chairman) to do the right thing. Any person(s) following Trump's lead will likely be going to prison. The pendulum always swing the other other way.
88. Jon Dubelle, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Strong Net Neutrality regulations need to be preserved. The internet is a public utility and therefore needs to be regulated under Title 2. The chairman's proposed "solutions" are a farce. Private companies like Verizon should not profit from regulations they have clearly paid for.
89. Tammy Dubelle, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
I support net neutrality and am appealing to your chairman to leave things as they are now. This works for Americans now. You will not be making America Great Again if you change access, the only group benefitting will be the telecommunications companies.
90. Jesse William Lewis, Cresco, PA, 18326
I am absolutely horrified that right now that the Trump Administration is even considering taking away Net Neutrality laws. This is absolutely absurd I entirely support Strong Net Neutrality laws, no ISP should determine what speed the sites I visit load. If I am putting money down everything I do should have equal speed. So I am asking that you keep the current Obama Era laws of Net Neutrality in tact that were backed by the Title II oversight of ISP's.
91. Joshua March, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
An Internet in which ISPs can prioritize and/or block traffic to certain websites that they do not agree with, that they compete with, or for any other related reason does not serve the American people. Any argument to the contrary reveals that its speaker is prioritizing the views of lobbyists and special interests ahead of those of the American people. Preserve Net Neutrality: it is a pillar of free speech and needed now more than ever to ensure that America does not become a fascist oligarchy or plutocracy.
92. Lauren Kissinger, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
I support strong Title 2 regulations of ISP. There is widespread consensus that ISPs should be strongly regulated under Title 2.
93. Allison Kasmari, Hummelstown, PA, 17036

I support maintaining Title II Classifications for ISPs, and maintaining vigorous net neutrality.

94. Kristy Kissinger, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
Please preserve net neutrality and title II. It is very important to keep an equitable playing field for all parties. Thank you.
95. Rob Baragona, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
Internet service providers should maintain their status and classification Title II. Net neutrality is important for maintaining a fair and evenly competitive marketplace for companies providing service via the internet.
96. Kevin Flory, Harrisburg, PA, 17103
I strongly believe that the FCC should support Net Neutrality to fullest extent possible. Anything less allows entrenched interests unmitigated sway over free internet discourse.
97. John Oliver, Hershey, PA, 17033
Gimme gimme chicken tendies, Be they crispy or from Wendys. Spend my hard-earned good-boy points, on Kid's Meal ball pit burger joints. Mummy lifts me to the car, To find me tendies near and far. Enjoy my tasty tendie treats, in comfy big boy booster seats. McDonald's, Hardee's, Popeye's, Cane's, But of my tendies none remains. She tries to make me take a nappy, But sleeping doesn't make me happy. Tendies are the only food, That puts me in the napping mood. I'll scream and shout and make a fuss, I'll scratch, I'll bite, I'll even cuss! Tendies are my heart's desire, Fueled by raging, hungry fire. Mummy sobs and wails and cries, But tears aren't tendies, nugs or fries. My good-boy points were fairly earned, To buy the tendies that I've yearned. But there's no tendies on my plate! Did mummy think that I'd just ate? "TENDIES TENDIES GET THEM NOW, YOU FAT, UNGRATEFUL, SLUGGISH SOW!" I screech while hurling into her eyes, My foul-smell bowel-dwelling diaper surprise. For she who is un-pooped on is she who remembers: Never forget my chicken tenders.
98. Julie Bowerman, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
Do not change the FCC rules. The ISP's are money-grabbing companies that will not keep the internet free and neutral. They need to be regulated under Title 2 and you should not change the rules just because of the lines the lawyers spin or however many millions of dollars lobbyists pay. Net neutrality matters.
99. Chris Henry, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
I specifically support Title II and strong net neutrality rules.
100. Perry Oddo, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. As such I am opposed to this act and the continued attempts to destroy net

neutrality.

101. Dee Willhelm, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
I STRONGLY support robust NET NEUTRALITY rules. The future of information access is at stake.
102. Josh Flory, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
I stongly support the preservation of net neutrality under Title 2
103. Taniesha Yeckley, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
I support net neutrality and Title II of the Communications Act. The Internet is crucial and companies should not govern this country. The Internet is for the people. The inter ate should remain untouched and available without the risk of tampering.
104. Tom Yeckley, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Keep the net free and unhindered. The ISP should not be allowed to throttle anyone for what they use. The internet is a utility just like water or power. It is needed in our every day Life and should be treated as a utility.
105. Aaron Sutherlin, Gratz, PA, 17030
Keep the net neutral -- keep ISPs regulated under Title II.
106. William Roger, William, PA, 17018
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP, ya damn fuckfaces
107. Jake Guiswite, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
Net neutrality is essential to providing individuals with accurate information and quality entertainment. I strongly support regulation of internet service providers to provide fair treatment to burgeoning websites and their users.
108. Christopher McNally, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
Support Title 2 and Net Neutrality. Get rid of Pai and stop running the internet for the benefit of his client Verizon.
109. Frank Fernandez, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
I support strong net neutrality.Por avida, Frank
110. Frank Luongo, Mount Pocono, PA, 18344
I strongly support net neutrality supported by Title II Oversight of ISP's.
111. Aimee Bos, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
I support a free and open internet that is supported with strong net neutrality rules enforced by the FCC.

112. Sarah Jefferson, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
I support strong protection for net neutrality with Title II oversight of internet service providers.
113. Michael Solomon II, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
I am writing in ABSOLUTE SUPPORT of the STRONGEST Net Neutrality Title II oversight of ISP's (and against the corporate-fascists who would limit our free and open internet and destroy what semblances of remaining Freedom and Free-speech that still remain in our ever more neo-feudal corporate-fascist so-called democracy).
114. Shannon Foley, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This bill will be the exact opposite of internet freedom and will strip away the rights of constituents for a free, unbiased, open internet.
115. Marcus Henley, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. And will do anything to preserve the freedom of the internet. A law should be made so that ISP can have no sway over the laws of the internet. In 2011 the UN declared the internet officially a human right. To diminish a human right is to diminish the freedom we stand for. If an ISP can Dictate our laws then Americans can officially be dictated by any outside source. I wish our country not to be sold and if so we must resist.
116. Patricia Lightman, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
I find it important to preserve net neutrality under Title II.
117. Joshua Bucy, Hershey, PA, 17033
I believe in strong net neutrality laws, and the protection they have under Title II classification. The Internet is a basic human right in today's world, and needs to be protected. Do not let corporations corrupt what has become one of the most valuable resources.
118. Eric Hofmann, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
I support strong net neutrality rules supported by Title II oversight for ISP's.
119. Melanie Hofmann, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
I support strong net neutrality rules that is overseen by Title II for all ISPs.
120. Michael Diaz, Hershey, PA, 17033
The internet is the great utility of the 21st century. It deserves to be reliably guaranteed for all citizens without throttling or prejudice. Net neutrality is the only equal and fair policy.

121. Catherine Day, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
I am urging the FCC to keep net neutrality in place under Title 2. Thank you
122. Melissa Velasco, Mount Pocono, PA, 18344
I firmly support the Open Internet Rule (commonly known as Net Neutrality). Specifically, I demand that FCC maintains its stance to classify Internet service providers under the Communication Act of 1934 Title II (Common Carrier). In addition, please re-open FCC investigation of zero-rating practices by wireless providers T-Mobile, AT&T and Verizon so that Internet Service Providers do not pick winners and losers of content providers. Further, I strongly reject Laissez-faire economics and the hands-off approach toward government regulations espoused by the Chairman of the Federal Communications Commission Ajit Varadaraj Pai.
123. Justin Bordell, harrisburg, PA, 17110
I support strong net neutrality. Thank you for your time.
124. Natalie, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Save Net Neutrality Support Title II Seriously, get your heads out of your asses!
125. Renee J Conner, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
Net neutrality is important to us all. Keep the ISP under Title II.
126. Pauline Guffey, Milton, PA, 17847
Approve internet neutrality
127. justin morell, Hershey, PA, 17033
Please support net neutrality and Title II. Do not allow ISPs to control content.
128. Janice Shields, Lykens, PA, 17048
I urge FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to stop his attack on REAL Net Neutrality and Title II. Net Neutrality is essential for protecting free speech, organizing, business and innovation. I won't stand for any attacks on Net Neutrality or my rights as an internet user. Leave the Net Neutrality rules alone.
129. margaret Rickards, Northumberland, PA, 17857
The internet has become an intricate part of everyday working Americans lives. We pay our bills we get our news all through the internet more exclusively everyday. We entertain her family and attend classes using the internet. Do not allow large corporations to take over one more aspect of American Life to the disadvantage of the American people.
130. tittle, harrusburg, PA, 17104
i support tittle 2

131. Andrew Stepansky, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
ISPs have shown time and time again they are not capable of self regulation. Why is there any need to reclassify ISPs from Title II? We need these rules in place to make sure ISPs cannot take advantage of the power they hold. They cannot be trusted to keep themselves in check.
132. Laura Norton, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title II protection.
133. Jonathan Norton, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
I strongly support net neutrality and internet freedom under the protection of Title II
134. Cory Will, Harrisburg, PA, 17101
I strongly urge the FCC to keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules. Removing this regulation in favor of ISP's is NOT in the best interest of the general public.
135. Alexandra Dini, Stroudsburg, PA, 18360
I strongly support net neutrality through the regulation of title II.
136. Gregory Kauffman, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
I support strong net neutrality background by Title II. The FCC should continue to ensure net neutrality using vigorous enforcement under the provisions of Title II.
137. katherine riegel, hershey, PA, 17033
Please keep net neutrality under title 2. Thank you!!!
138. Amanda Bryson, Hershey, PA, 17033
I would like to urge you to preserve strong net neutrality with Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.
139. Adam Hovne, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
We support net neutrality and Title II. Please preserve it.
140. Jesse Dalton, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers
141. jon ryan, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
Keep our internet open! Keep title 2!!!
142. Christopher J Croft, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
I support a strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II over Internet Service Providers. Thank you.

143. Matthew Mahoney, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
I stand in support of Net Neutrality. I am opposed to any rules that would eliminate the current Net Neutrality measures in place.
144. Brandon Piper, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
A free and open internet are one of the great things about America. Also, ISPs should not be allowed to practice bandwidth throttling. They should not be able to limit (or give priority to) people's access to services or websites. They also should not be able to down throttle the internet speed of people that live in poor neighborhoods.
145. Daniel Venable, Hershey, PA, 17033
Let's just let capitalism work through markets and consumer attraction to products, instead of letting what we have access to be slowed and limited by our cable provider for the price of what amounts to a bribe. Bribes are bad. Right, politicians?
146. Nikhil Shankar, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
Net neutrality is critical to the growth of the American economy. Please do not contribute to economic inequality by allowing ISPs to violate the open internet pledge. There needs to be regulation and oversight of ISPs under title II.
147. Vinod Ram, Hershey, PA, 17033
I support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. We need need neutrality. This is basic internet right !!!
148. Seth McConaughey, harrisburg, PA, 17109
keep my internet free and open and stop companies from controlling my internet
149. Keegan Moore, Harrisburg, PA, 17101
Net neutrality is necessary to maintain fairness and protect the people from what large corporations could, and would, do without these laws. Don't change it! Seriously, if they didnt plan on screwing people over for their own monetary gain, they wouldn't bother trying to get the law changed in the first place. Any other reason is just a facade. Please, keep the Internet fair and equal for everybody.
150. Jason, Harrisburg, PA, 17103
Please keep the net neutrality/title II regulations in place. The downside of what providers may do far outweigh the upside (whatever they may be) to the removal of these laws. Thank you.
151. Chris Steltz, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Please maintain the current state of net neutrality and the Title II designation for

ISPs. Thank you for your time

152. Stephen Brown, Henryville, PA, 18332
Dear Chairman Ajit Varadaraj Pai, I support net neutrality. Please keep it as it is currently, classified as title ii. Please do not roll back the protections on our internet. Thank you.
153. David Bendel, East Stroudsburg, PA, 18301
Please keep the net neutrality rules as they are. The open internet is best protected by remaining Title II.
154. Joseph Owsley, Hershey, PA, 17033
Please preserve Net Neutrality and do not roll back the current policy. It ensures a fair open internet and is in the best interest of the public.
155. Jennifer Owsley, Hershey, PA, 17033
I support strong net neutrality! We all benefit from Title II oversight of ISPs. It limits corruption and internet censorship.
156. ehab, east stroudsburg, PA, 18301
Please preserve FCC net neutrality and title two designation for ISP s
157. Andrew During, Harrisburg, PA, 17101
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am strongly opposed to Docket No. 17-108 and the associated repercussions. Strong net neutrality rules protect consumers and foster innovation. Removing ISPs from Title II oversight would be doing a tremendous disservice to the American people. Once again, I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs and Opposed to Docket No. 17-108.
158. Haley Grace, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
Protect net neutrality at all costs or risk the legitimacy of America as the land of the free.
159. Blaise V Novak, Middletown, PA, 17057
Internet Service Providers need to stay in Title 2 to preserve net neutrality.
160. Emmanuel Wilson, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
Hello, I am a 21 year old college student who is a strong proponent of keeping net neutrality. Please, I implore you to preserve net neutrality and title 2. We don't need to have open door policies which allow private companies to infringe on the websites we use. Frankly that does not allow internet users freedom and is equally un-American. Thank you for your time, Emmanuel Wilson
161. Title 2, Harrisburg, PA, 17102

The transition of Net Neutrality to being protected under Title 2 has not harmed investment of internet providers. The FCC is to protect the interests of the American people, not corporations. Net Neutrality is extremely important and must be maintained under Title 2 classifications to ensure the FCC has regulatory powers, which is the purpose of the FCC.

162. Jessica Green, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
I support strong net neutrality for title 2 isps!!!
163. sabrina nace, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
Ajit pai I support title 2 oversight of isps. We need strong net neutrality.
164. Joshua Hinderliterjos, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
Title II regulations preserve and protect status quo of how the internet operated for years, by allowing the users of the internet to control equally what information they want, what I fear is that one day companies could choose to expand this war on "fake news" to stifle voices of dissent and disagree, views critical of false beliefs on one side, and use this to effectively silence people from engaging in debate I have already personally first-hand seen this happen with google wallet. We need strong title II regulations and anything else is a failure. There is no ideological divide on this this should be a universal view.
165. Adrian Jones, Harrisburg, PA, 17104
I support strong net neutrality rules. I believe ISPs should operate under title 2 regulations.
166. William E Renninger, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
I am an owner of a small business that relies on the openness and reliability for my customers to have unfettered access to our SaaS software. Please make sure you have the best interests of the citizens of the United States and not corporations before making changes to the status of ISP's that are currently categorized as class II
167. Steven Wynne, Middletown, PA, 17057
Do not destroy Net Neutrality, the legal footing via Title I and Title II is already perfectly sound, and the notion of destroying it even further with deregulation is sickening to a free society.
168. Allison, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
I support strong net neutrality, protected by Title II oversight of ISPs.
169. Nathan Foster, Middletown, PA, 17057
You guys are a bunch of actual oafs for attacking net neutrality. You are going to be giving ISPs such a big platform to create monopolies and to completely shut out websites they don't agree with. The internet needs to stay open and

free. There is absolutely nothing wrong with the way that the internet was handled with ISPs regulated under Title II. It protected all internet users from the malicious actions of ISPs. Please if you have any sense at all, keep the internet open and free.

170. Christopher, Tannersville, PA, 18372
The current monopoly status of our internet providers makes Title II and Net Neutrality the only way to safeguard consumer freedoms.
171. Maya, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
I support STRONG net neutrality back by Title II (two) oversight of ISP.
172. L Bricker, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
I am writing to ask you to preserve net neutrality for all and for Title II protections to remain in place.
173. Jatin Bhagat, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
ISP's should not be allowed to regulate which sites should have top priority for bandwidth. The government also allowed monopolies to be made by Comcast, Verizon, COX, DISH, that put us in this position. DON'T ALLOW BANDWIDTH DISCRIMINATION OCCUR TO THE INTERNET YOU BUILT
174. Michael Hallinan, hummelstown, PA, 17036
we need strong net neutrality rules
175. Mark Susinno, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
I strongly support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. I strongly disagree with Mr. Pai's disingenuous and self-serving position on net neutrality.
176. Matthew Griffin, dauphin, PA, 17018
I strongly am STRONGLY against removal of tital 2. DO NOT take away net neutrality!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
177. Jonathan Singel, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
As a web developer, I understand the value of net neutrality. Net neutrality allows me to dream of building services that can compete equally with larger entities on a global scale. Stripping that protection away from the internet could allow the giants of the industry to simply copy an idea of a smaller organization and redirect or hamper traffic to their smaller competitors. Consider for a moment a hypothetical situation concerning HD streaming content on Hulu and Netflix. Without net neutrality, Netflix could pay a premium to throttle bandwidth towards their HD streaming services, and starve Hulu of potential clients. Potentially, Hulu could be forced to offer an inferior product, riddled

with video stuttering and buffering problems, as well as lower quality streams. While ISPs could potentially greatly profit from this, the free market as a whole would suffer and stagnate resulting in untold losses of internet revenue. Revenue that could have been taxed and added to the well-being of our nation. Indeed, my argument is that revoking net neutrality will most definitely cause an enormous drop in the profitability of internet companies offering competing services, resulting in the formation of multiple centralized monopolies over specific services which in turn would create a catastrophic blow to the gross earnings of the entirety of the online internet industry. Thus, resulting in a loss to the total economic GDP of our nation. Please protect net neutrality. Do not let our internet bandwidth be bought and sold as a commodity. Thank you for your time.

178. Brad Williams, Harrisburg, PA, 17109

Net Neutrality is important for me and the vast majority of Americans. We expect that you will not make changes to the current laws that will put corporation interests before the public's. You must keep the ISPs in the title ii utility grade if you want to continue to have public support of the work FCC does for the public. Thanks.

179. Susan Catalano, East Stroudsburg, PA, 18301

I support Net Neutrality. Please support it as well. You see, I come from the video business and the by gone era of rentals. Rental business would have died a swifter death if it hadn't been for "throttling". Netflix streaming was new. But ISP providers noticed you streamed (Verizon) and well you got nothin. They would choose when and what you could watch. It is only a HOP, SKIP and a JUMP to "No you cannot stream John Oliver, but YES look at the Trump Channel all you want" It is only a matter of moments in History that make us a nation of freedoms. Help safeguard the "freedoms" that you are, by the definition of you name (Federal) sworn to protect.

180. Nicholas Zanichkowsky, Harrisburg, PA, 17109

Net Neutrality is a right, it should never be considered a privilege. The internet is the one last domain in which a person can truly be free in both thought and deed. A person can make a startup company supply a service, employ citizens, or they could express an unpopular opinion and not fear the oppression of the masses. Now you basically want to give Verizon and other companies the right to tax those people's opinions and tax job creators because you are corporate shills. The same people who in the physical domain balk at such attempts to do the same thing. Hypocrisy at its finest. The internet should remain neutral, and should remain a utility for the people of not only this country, but the world.

181. Elliot Shibley, Harrisburg, PA, 17111

Net neutrality is necessary, thanks.

182. Mike Mullen, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
I enthusiastically, firmly, and specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers.
183. Adrienne Bross, Henryville, PA, 18332
I support strong regulation of ISPs through Title II oversight. You cannot allow for deregulation, as these ISPs are interested only in money (and in truth, it seems our gov't is also going down this road). They have limited access in the past, and if given the chance, will do so again in the future.
184. Christian Bakopoulos, stroudsburg, PA, 18360
I support ISPs classification as title two over title one in support of a free and open internet.
185. Noah Satterlee, Harrisburg, PA, 17104
I strongly support net neutrality through Title 2 oversight of ISP's and would be against any movements to overturn that ruling, or any ruling that ensures a free internet.
186. Justin Thomas, Highspire, PA, 17034
Do not mess with net neutrality. The internet is already free. The companies need the restrictions.
187. Gregory R. Hill, Stroudsburg, PA, 18360
I strongly support "net neutrality" backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. In my opinion, it is disingenuous to claim that changing to Title I oversight has the same strength as Title II oversight,
188. Joshua Ramirez, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
I strongly urge the FCC to support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. This level of oversight is crucial in maintaining a fair and open internet to support individuals and businesses.
189. Anthony, Middletown, PA, 17057
Preserve current regulations under net neutrality and Title 2.
190. Elizabeth, Milton, PA, 17847
Don't change the internet classification of title II. Please support net neutrality!
191. sarah, Milton, PA, 17847
Please support our net neutrality. Do not change the classification of Title II.
192. Justin Kambic, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Please do not propose to roll back existing protections for Net Neutrality. This is one of the most pervasive issues for all of the American people and the FCC

has arguably no more relevant function in the modern day than defending the neutrality of the Internet, to ensure that its functionality is not controlled by the wealthy and powerful. There is no greater instrument of freedom in the modern age than the Internet. Please don't throttle our freedom.

193. Robert Swartwood, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Please don't kill net neutrality. We deserve a free and open Internet with strong Title II rules.
194. Bryce Alleman, Harrisburg, PA, 17104
The internet has always been a place to learn and discover. It should remain free and never be used as a tool for corporate stooges to profit from.
195. Matthew Gervais, Hershey, PA, 17033
Just adding my support to strong net neutrality protection under title II. Please continue to keep the internet open and free for all users, regardless of ties to ISPs.
196. Gary Rowe, Highspire, PA, 17034
Allowing ISP's to sell my info and/or reduce my speed to promote their own services, in my opinion is a step backwards. I don't believe that this will not allow smaller companies to compete. In fact they should be able to offer different options that would be more appealing than the larger companies. The larger competitors already make enough off of the normal user that they do not need to make even more off selling my traffic\data. The internet has been open source since its inception and should stay open and neutral. Keep net neutrality, that is the only decision to be made.
197. Bill Kratzer, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
I support strong Net Neutrality regulation by the FCC and support the Title 2 classification of ISPs.
198. John, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
I strongly support net neutrality. Please keep the legislation in its current form.
199. Stephen Pechart, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
Please don't kill net neutrality. Net neutrality is merely the continuation of the de facto standard which has made the internet and tech sector of this country great. Though it might never affect you directly, as you're insulated from the difficulties of an average American by your wealth and position, I implore you do do right by your fellow man and not just a handful of powerful companies who have reneged on their promises again and again. Keep the current framework for net neutrality and common carrier classification in place.
200. Laura Fuentes, East Stroudsburg, PA, 18301

Please keep the internet exactly the way it is right now. Do not change Title II. Everyone has the right to be able to use the internet equally.

201. Bill Daiber, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
I believe that net neutrality needs to remain intact. Thank you.
202. Lorraine Rogers, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
PLEASE preserve Net Neutrality and Title II - This is too important to lose.
PLEASE preserve net neutrality and Title II !!!!!
203. Michael Kotovsky, Middletown, PA, 17057
The ONLY way we can protect a free and open internet is by keeping strict regulations. Leaving Broadband as Title 2 is absolutely necessary to prevent monopolistic abuses to what should be a basic right. Anyone who says differently is trying to make money off of it or in the pocket of corporate interests who want to squeeze every dollar out of their customer base. Please try for once to think of the consumer instead of cowing to corporate greed. Keep the internet in title 2, the only way we as a people can guarantee freedom from ISP abuse.
204. Stephanie Frank, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
Keep net neutrality and keep ISPs regulated under Title 2.
205. Paul, East Stroudsburg, PA, 18301
We need internet neutrality
206. Alberto E Sanchez, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
DO NOT REMOVE NET NEUTRALITY LAWS. LEAVE ISP'S ON TITLE 2 REGULATIONS. DO NOT LISTEN TO THE LIES FROM ISP PROVIDERS AND INTERESTED GROUPS.....!!!!!! TITLE 2 IS WHAT MAKES THE INTERNET FREE!!!!
207. Patrick Caloz, Hershey, PA, 17033
Free internet. Simple.
208. Samantha Bednar, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
We need a free and open internet! Keep title II!
209. Antonio Marrero, Hershey, PA, 17033
I support net neutrality in its most common and basic protections of consumer internet access. As a regionally popular medical student and soon to be community physician, I will make sure that I rally my own followers in my district to have a displaced attitude toward any of my representatives or senators that are against net neutrality and the redefinition to Title 1. I will be contacting my representatives and senators with the same message.

210. Daniel Kenneth Cowart, Dauphin, PA, 17018
I support Net Neutrality by Title II oversight of ISPs
211. Pete Schrum, Dauphin, PA, 17018
I firmly support net neutrality and ISP classification under title II. Please stop prioritizing corporation and lobbying interests above citizens and the public interest. You must protect the will of the people and your constituents, not monopolies.
212. J. Merclsdo, Northumberland, PA, 17857
I strongly support net neutrality. Do not take it away.
213. Jeffrey Garofalo, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
Did you know Ajit Pai is autofellating himself, right now?
214. John O'Donnell III, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
Look you know why I am writing here. John Oliver sent me here and I am furious that I have to come back to write the FCC to defend Net Neutrality. I hope this is the only thing that you are getting responses to. As Americans, we depend on regulators, like the FCC, to ensure that there is a free market for American consumers to work and purchase in. Americans already have limited options as to which internet provider they are able to access due to local monopolies. That the FCC would provide these companies with more leverage over the American consumer is frankly repugnant and does a major disservice to your constituents across the US. Given the huge amount of business that occurs online, Internet providers operate as utilities - connecting consumers with businesses online. That they would be able to privilege some internet traffic over others or allow some internet traffic to travel faster than others is a basic violation of American consumers ability to shop in a free market. Given the huge leverage these companies have over the lives and business opportunities of American consumers and American corporations, it is imperative that the principle of Net Neutrality secure. Please continue to regulate internet providers as the utilities they are under Title 2. Best Regards, John O'Donnell III
215. Greg Miller, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
preserve net neutrality and Title 2!!!
216. Kevin Chea, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
I stand for net neutrality. Most big companies can't be trusted to regulate themselves.
217. Jason Liu, Hershey, PA, 17033
I strongly support net neutrality and oppose getting rid of FCC regulations.

218. Noah Scholfield, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
Net neutrality is a very important issue for the future of the internet and technological advancement. I feel that strong net neutrality rules are very important to preserve the equal platform that the internet provides to smaller voices. The best way for the FCC to enforce rules that ensure net neutrality is to keep ISPs classified under Title II. Reclassification to Title I would make it much easier for ISPs to ignore net neutrality regulations and defeat the whole purpose of these regulations. Please retain the current classification of ISPs under Title II to keep the internet the fair and equal place that it should be.
219. Matthew Brown, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
The Net Neutrality rules enacted in June 2015 helped ensure that all Americans will have free access to online content, and can choose which content to consume on their own. By mandating that service providers cannot treat data differently, the rules help create a level playing field where newer companies can actually compete with more established corporations. Innovative companies who become successful by finding new ways to create and distribute online content therefore drive progress in the industry as a whole. Net Neutrality is based on the same general principals which drive the free market which has been so beneficial to the United States since its founding, and is a perfect example of how governmental organizations like the FCC can and should look out for the welfare of all Americans. Any rollbacks on these rules or reclassifications of ISPs to less strict standards only benefits the ISPs, and helps create monopolies which do not have to compete for consumers, leading to stagnation. The FCC should not take the free and open internet away from the Land of the Free.
220. Zachary Konopa, Tannersville, PA, 18372
I feel that it is in the best interest of internet users that in this legislation you preserve net neutrality by keeping the Title II designation,
221. Michael, East Stroudsburg, PA, 18301
Dear FCC,I believe that the Internet Service Providers should stay under Title 2 regulation for the preservation of net neutrality.
222. Maxwell Potter, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
Net Neutrality is an essential part of the internet and it needs to be mandated under Title 2.
223. Andrew Hughes, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
Hello, I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. This is important to protect the neutral dissemination of information to all internet users. Thank you!
224. Annie Hughes, Harrisburg, PA, 17102

I support net neutrality. Please retain all current regulations that support and protect net neutrality.

225. Liz Bosak, Halifax, PA, 17032

I support a free, open internet with strong net neutrality rules established under FCC chairman Wheeler, as written on the FCC website: "The Open Internet rules went into effect on June 12, 2015. They are ensuring consumers and businesses have access to a fast, fair, and open Internet. The new rules apply to both fixed and mobile broadband service. This approach recognizes advances in technology and the growing significance of mobile broadband Internet access in recent years. These rules will protect consumers no matter how they access the Internet, whether on a desktop computer or a mobile device. Bright Line Rules: No Blocking: broadband providers may not block access to legal content, applications, services, or non-harmful devices. No Throttling: broadband providers may not impair or degrade lawful Internet traffic on the basis of content, applications, services, or non-harmful devices. No Paid Prioritization: broadband providers may not favor some lawful Internet traffic over other lawful traffic in exchange for consideration of any kind" in other words, no "fast lanes." This rule also bans ISPs from prioritizing content and services of their affiliates. To ensure an open Internet now and in the future, the Open Internet rules also establish a legal standard for other broadband provider practices to ensure that they do not unreasonably interfere with or disadvantage consumers' access to the Internet."

226. James Hunt, Stroudsburg, PA, 18360

I am opposed to any measures changing the so called net neutrality. It will only serve the interests of large corporations at the expense of private citizens. That is the opposite of the FCC's charge.

227. Karax, Harrisburg, PA, 17110

The FCC needs to preserve net neutrality and title II.

228. Natalie Leitzell, Harrisburg, PA, 17110

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you and good day.

229. Ric Jones, Watsonstown, PA, 17777

We need to keep net neutrality rules in place from the Obama administration. We cannot trust the ISPs or corporations. This needs to be set into law.

230. Crystal Wolf, Hummelstown, PA, 17036

I am writing to support strong net neutrality regulations enforceable by Title 2. I do not believe that revoking these protections will adversely affect internet service providers and I support consumer protections through net neutrality. Thank you.

231. Kristen Ettl, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
Uphold Title II. We need these regulations to keep large corporations in check and prevent them from becoming the "Big Brother" of Orwell's 1984.
232. Femmer, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
ISPs need to be regulated under Title 2. Protect Net Neutrality.
233. Ben Tabatabai, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
I strongly support and demand strong net neutrality rules and the continuation of the classification of ISPs as under Title II.
234. Lindsay Adams, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
I support strong net neutrality. Preserve net neutrality and Title II. PLEASE.
235. Richard Dunlap, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
We support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. You must preserve Net Neutrality & Title II.
236. Elizabeth S Ardner, MIDDLETOWN, PA, 17057
I am writing to ask that you preserve net neutrality with strong enforceable restrictions under Title II. Net Neutrality under any less strict of an oversight will open the doors for organization€s to make decisions, on my behalf, for what I consume on the internet by making some things more difficult to access than others. This flies in the face of a free market where the consumer decides what they would like to do.
237. Joe Poperanck, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Please keep ISPs regulated under title II. These companies have shown that they cannot be unregulated and it is literally the job of the FCC to work for and protect the American Citizens. Thank you.
238. Colin Burke, east stroudsburg, PA, 18301
Please Preserve Title II, and enforce net neutrality. ISPs have the tools to deceive, extort, and corner markets, and have used them to reap unjust dues from public interest. Though their arbitrary-priced markets of few competitors, they grow big enough to make AT&T in the 1980s look pale in comparison. From the outside, The current FCC chair appears to be a shill of the ISPs, and we're all waiting to see what happens to his image when he disregards all these real comments. Wheeler turned around after the comments. I also noticed all the bot comments on here. I sure hope a congressman or a pollster isn't daft enough to use the repetitive comments as any valuable data. Those bot comments can be traced back to anti net-neutrality PACs that ISPs use as shells. Shameful, deceitful, and downright greedy, terrible people are launching these attacks, in direct conflict of the public interest. Title II is important for consumer protection. As a Computer Security professional having been educated in the

court cases of ISPs clearly abusing the public interest for profit, muddying the waters of redefinition of themselves so they can corner markets, the ISPs will do everything they can do influence the federal government, and to bend laws to accumulate giant piles of money, used to buy news companies, media companies, and to use those companies as corporate mouthpieces. Millions of people fought for net neutrality in 2015, and we got it. It was justified, and it was majority demanded, and the public opinion never changed on that issue. Please preserve title II

239. Jeremy E Smith, Harrisburg, PA, 17103

I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP.Keep of my LAN!

240. Tom Welshans, Harrisburg, PA, 17109

I support keeping the the current Title II classification for broadband providers.

241. demetrius pietz, Steelton, PA, 17113

It's the 21st century. Information and access to it are NOT commodities, they are necessities of life and the companies that provide access to it via the internet should maintain a neutral position and not be permitted to adjust, limit, throttle, or block access to legal information.The FCC, as our representatives in the Federal government must be able to maintain control over the providers, treating it as a utility, and insure net neutrality.

242. Dan, Hummelstown, PA, 17036

I support strong net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.Side note, I do not feel it is necessary, nor do I feel comfortable enough to submit my street address.

243. David Bateman, Harrisburg, PA, 17111

As an IT professional I support the current Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II enforcement. To remove these protections will allow the ISPs to do as they please to Internet service / access. Contrary to what is being said the Title II reclassification has not hampered the investment of ISPs into building out and improving their infrastructure. This is a false narrative you are spreading. If you do not believe this then simply listen to their conference calls with their investors. They will continue to invest in these areas regardless of being classified as Title II carriers. Your actions on this just show you have more interests with the ISPs than the citizens and consumers are you to protect. I urge you not to roll back Net Neutrality backed by Title II enforcement.

244. Laura Tittel, Milton, PA, 17847

We need to preserve net neutrality by keeping ISPs regulated under title ii.

245. Jay Mumper, Harrisburg, PA, 17112

Net neutrality is important to consumers because it prevents what any rational person buying Internet access services would consider a conflict of interest -- carriers favoring their commercial content at the expense of other providers' content. As a consumer, I would not tolerate having a car that only ran well on General Motors gasoline but poorly on Volkswagen gasoline, why would I choose to allow Comcast or Verizon content to perform differently on each other's Internet services?

246. Michael P. Manlove, Hershey, PA, 17033
I support strong net neutrality regulations, backed and supported by Title 2 oversight of ISP companies.
247. Mary-Alice Shields, Lykens, PA, 17048
Please support Net Neutrality and protect one of the few non-commercialised platforms of freedom we enjoy. As a country built on the freedom of speech, we should easily understand what is at stake here.
248. Peter F. Swan, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
Please bring back net neutrality and regulate ISPs under Title 2. It would also be useful to stop ISPs from selling my history. I am installing a VPN due to this change. Do you really think that ISPs can be trusted to not favor their own content and/or content that pays to stream? I am sure that rules of economic self interest on the part of ISPs will govern their actions.
249. internet, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
Restore net neutrality under Title 2
250. ebower, east stroudsburg, PA, 18301
please protect the title 2 designation on isps to protect the net from MR. PAI
251. A Deily, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
Dear FCC, do not ignore me and millions of other Americans. We want to maintain net neutrality! ANY reduction in the guarantees made to the public about the speed or availability of internet content would destroy the free and open conversation and communication that any Democracy thrives upon. Net Neutrality must be retained!
252. MARK A HIGGINS, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
I support strong net neutrality by proper measures of regulation on internet service providers.
253. mike smith, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
Keep net neutrality strong and regulate ISPs via Title II.
254. Inna Hely, Harrisburg, PA, 17110

Please do the right thing and do not roll back the Obama era net neutrality rules. Continue to classify ISPs under Title II. ISPs should not be able to prioritize internet traffic.

255. Matthew W Peters, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
I strongly support robust net neutrality rules backed by title two oversight of isps.
256. Lawrence A Esposito, cresco, PA, 18326
I oppose any changes to internet neutrality. Do not change or alter or dilute or rescind 17-108
257. joel millner, hershey, PA, 17033
Please support net neutrality to protect our internet access from abuse by ISPs.
258. Tracy Klinger, Dauphin, PA, 17018
Please uphold current net neutrality regulations and the classification of ISPs under Title II. No one believes that providers will police themselves in these areas and the regulations are 100% necessary to continue the free flow of information available through the internet.
259. Joseph Pomone, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
I strongly support net neutrality for the internet. I don't understand why you would scrap this and remove it from Title II and put it back in Title I where the big money grubbing corporate entities can operate with impunity. such a bad idea.
260. Keep net neutrality, Hbg, PA, 17110
Keep strong net neutrality laws in place, everyone deserves an equal piece.
261. Roberta Blaylock, HARRISBURG, PA, 17112
We already have to pay to access the internet. It's wrong to then limit that access in ways beyond our control
262. Sarah Hancock, HUMMELSTOWN, PA, 17036
Stop taking away our freedoms. PROTECT Net Neutrality.
263. carolyn hazzard, HARRISBURG, PA, 17112
The GOP wants a totalitarian govt giving out info
264. Greg Seibert, HARRISBURG, PA, 17109
keep ur mitts off my internet u fucking nazi pig
265. Debra Macut, HUMMELSTOWN, PA, 17036
Americans deserve a free and open internet. Do not kill net neutrality especially

in this divided time in America. We need open and free access to the internet.

266. carolyn hazzard, HARRISBURG, PA, 17112
Stop taking away our American rights to net neutrality
267. Katie Hinkle, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
I strongly support strong net neutrality enforcement backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
268. Jeffrey J Rossi, Buck Hill Falls, PA, 18323
A free and open internet under Title II regulation is the only legal justification to ensure that the Internet in its current form does not cause upstart businesses to be stifled. My own eSports business The Gosu Crew LLC would not have been possible were it not for the timely rise of a then unknown company by the name of Justin.tv which allowed for the unlimited streaming of gameplay from numerous games that in a world without net neutrality would not have been possible since this company most likely wouldn't have had the resources to build themselves up from nothing. eSports has evolved into an industry that's worth near \$1 billion dollars today and many small businesses and upstart individuals are able to stand behind this strong and open Internet and build careers that generate real economic and cultural benefits that would all be put in jeopardy should the existing Title II regulations be changed for ISPs. We strongly urge you to make sure that everything we asked for a few years ago is preserved so that we can continue to enjoy the open Internet as it is today. Thank you for your time spent reviewing these comments but know that any attempts to change the existing rules will only cause significant financial harm to my own company and I'm sure many others. Thank you for your time.
269. Jonathan Bliggenstorfer, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
I support strong net neutrality and advocate for the preservation of title 2. Protecting equal and fair access to the internet is essential to prevent ISB providers from manipulating the content the American people have access to, as well as the content we contribute. Please continue to uphold the regulations that protect our access to information.
270. Patrick Capen, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
Please preserve net neutrality enforced by title II!
271. rachel clark, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
Please don't revoke the legislation that keeps net neutrality in place. People have the right to enjoy FREE access to information and knowledge without being used shamelessly for profit by ISPs. If this change were to come to fruition, it would negatively affect millions of people in the US and has the dangerous potential to halt or take steps back on progress we've made as a direct result of the access we have to information now. I use the internet daily and

wouldn't be nearly, not by half, as informed as I am today had it not been for my access to it, even considering college classes that I've taken online. Tuition costs aside, will I be able to afford to continue earning my degree if this legislation goes into place? Will other young children be able to follow? Should they, or I, even have to wonder that?

272. Richard Schock, Grantville, PA, 17028

"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Roll back title II regulations!!!
Regards, Richard Schock 8218 Jonestown Rd Grantville, PA 17028"

273. krishnasai, Harrisburg, PA, 17111

We need strong net neutrality. It will be bad to see rules imposed on some websites and not on others.

274. Jeff Yanosky, Hummelstown, PA, 17036

I take this opportunity to emphatically express my support for regulation of internet service providers under Title II of the Federal Communications Act of 1934. Regulation of internet service providers under Title I has proven inadequate in the past, and I believe doing so again would be contrary to the public interest and prohibitive of free and fair public access information.

275. josh houser, Stroudsburg, PA, 18360

I support net neutrality and title II. changing net neutrality laws would be an injustice to the American people and unfairly skew the playing ground for many companies who are entitled to a fair shot at doing business.

276. Dakota Walsh, Harrisburg, PA, 17112

Keep the internet free. The idea of a pay to play internet where companies can charge more for certain websites will kill the internet as we know it and ruin many smaller websites which already struggle to stay afloat. Thankfully, the current net neutrality rules ensure that Internet providers can't block or slow Internet users' ability to see certain websites allowing for freedom and innovation this country needs. That's the right kind of forward-looking stance that can keep our country from falling behind as a super power in the tech markets.

277. Bob Johnson, Hbg, PA, 17111

I (and my family) support net neutrality. Please make sure this gets done. Thank you.

278. Jeff Elward, Harrisburg, PA, 17112

The opportunity to express myself through the right to free speech. It is not speech that can be bought and paid for as an advertisement. It is true freedom.

279. Edmund Good, Harrisburg, PA, 17109

We are already dominated by corporations. This would make it so much worse. We need more, not less freedoms in the modern age.

280. Alexander Clark, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
Open internet allows me to support faculty activity from home during evening or weekend hours. My faculty (approximately 90 of them) appreciate being able to get support when they need it. I appreciate being able to work from home as needed. Open internet supports education!
281. John and Betsy Robinson, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
It is imperative that you preserve net neutrality.
282. Stephanie, Middletown, PA, 17057
I support sound net neutrality rules under Title II protection.
283. Jose Galofre, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
Leave Net Neutrality freaking alone!
284. Brenda Barrett, Harrisburg, PA, 17104
Please keep the internet ISPs under Title II and do not loosen up regulations on internet providers.
285. Michael Kirchner, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
It's is a right, like free speech.
286. John Six, Middletown, PA, 17057
Because it is the right thing and the right way!
287. Ajit P, harrisburg, PA, 17110
I support net neutrality. Do NOT make ISPs regulated under Title 1. They should be regulated under Title II.
288. E, Harrisburg, PA, 17104
I would like to express my support of Title II regulations as the best way to protect net neutrality, and to prevent manipulation of internet provision by for-profit interests. We're watching the FCC and our Congress members to ensure the protection of net neutrality going forward.
289. SR Weiland, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
Please keep net neutrality intact. ISPs should not control/favor content.
290. Mel Woolf, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
Preserve net neutrality, via Title Two..
291. colton miller, Middletown, PA, 17057

We need to preserve net neutrality and title 2 for ISPs. In no way does it harm ISPs other than by preventing them from harming others.

292. Brian D Stephenson, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
I support net neutrality to the strongest possible degree. Absence of same will mean internet providers can restrict my access to desire content in favor of content in which I have no interest. Restrictions include diminished video quality & slower load times, added charges, data capping and more.
293. Sarah Deaven, Steelton, PA, 17036
Keep net neutrality and the title II classification for ISPs.
294. Donald Teets, Middletown, PA, 17057
I strongly support net neutrality rules backed by Title II protections.
295. Daniel Martin, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
Please preserve Net Neutrality and a free internet. Do not change the regulatory schedule of ISPs.
296. date ranges, East Stroudsburg, PA, 18301
We support strong net neutrality backed by Title II classification, and do not support weakening of net neutrality standards currently in force.
297. Tim Kennedy, Hershey, PA, 17033
Please retain strong internet net neutrality rules under Title II. It's important for equality of information flows in the United States and around the world.
298. Luke Grim, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
The only "freedom" in this proceeding is the freedom of ISPs to do whatever they want with the traffic that travels over their networks. The internet has grown into the backbone of our society and the entire global society. Changing the classification will give ISPs incredible power and will make them the king-maker. They will choose winners and losers. The very large wealthy corporations will get richer because they can pay for their traffic to have high priority and small internet websites and businesses will suffer. This will also force more and more consolidation and exacerbate the problem of dwindling competition in all sectors of our economy. Make no mistake when middle class and low class jobs suffer because of internet restriction from the ISPs it will send ripples across our entire economy. The problem with an extraction economy built upon the backs of the middle/low class is when there is no more money left to extract, the whole system falls apart. The internet is too important to every aspect of our world to NOT have Title II classification. The internet is the great equalizer for the small against the large. It allows global reach with very little investment. It is the great balancing force. We should be strengthening the provisions of net neutrality and using Title II to fully enforce

fairness and neutrality of all internet traffic. It is insane to think that ISPs won't begin potentially lucrative practices of internet tiers or filtering or prioritizing because the vast majority of Americans have so few internet provider choices that it is an effective monopoly. Thus this is not a small action. This is a very large action and when its waves destroy people and communities the generations that experience this will not ever, ever, ever forget the name Ajit Pai and that he was the one who tipped the first domino to destroy all that was built. We will scoff at the name Ajit Pai and he will be a punchline in public conversation. No amount of ISP industry lobbying money is worth what will happen to your name. You will go down in history as a pariah.

299. Michael K, Harrisburg, PA, 17109

Lol at this attempt to not only infringe upon our rights, but try and control what types of information we receive and how quickly we receive them.
#NotMyPresident

300. Olivia Cummings, Henryville, PA, 18332

I support net neutrality. Broadband providers should not have the power to control and censor the internet. Eliminating net neutrality threatens our democracy.

301. Joseph Magee, Harrisburg, PA, 17109

I support keeping the net neutrality rules with strong Title II protections.

302. Krista Mauger, Middletown, PA, 17057

I am writing to express my support of strong Title II backing. It is in the best interests of American consumers for net neutrality to remain an issue that is strongly regulated and not left up to the discretion of the corporations in question.

303. M Wade, Harrisburg, PA, 17109

I support net neutrality and title two!!!

304. chris olian, harrisburg, PA, 17109

I am against all motions to take down net neutrality. This is a travesty and will not be tolerated. IF IT ISN'T BROKE DON'T FIX IT.

305. Julia Kenny, Hummelstown, PA, 17036

As a concerned citizen, I feel that we must continue to treat internet access as a utility to ensure that this vital tool to both commerce and culture cannot be unjustly manipulated by private entities intent upon providing preferential treatment to one form of content over another or to certain people over others. The days of the Internet being an optional past time are long gone. It is now a vital service for any citizen looking to contribute to society. Please do not adjust the current status quo at the behest of lobbies and big business who are only

looking out for their own best interests. Net Neutrality should be upheld and protected. This resource is a vital and necessary tool for the access of information & innovation for citizens of all ages on a global scale. Furthermore, it is a cornerstone to any free and civilized society in the digital age and the hampering or removal of such a service would be detrimental to the populace. Please take these stances into consideration.

306. WILLIAM JENS, Hershey, PA, 17033
Net neutrality is necessary for fair business and freedom of press/speech. Reversal would lead to restrictions that strangle the principles of the constitution and will limit business.
307. Daniel Hippensteel, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
I strongly support net neutrality and believe the FCC must keep Act II to ensure that.
308. Ian Everhart, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
Keep net neutrality rules under Title II! Big media/telecom companies deserve scrutiny and regulation over their near-monopolies. Don't fall for the AstroTurf campaign; real people care about keeping net neutrality as it exists under existing FCC regulation. Thanks in advance! Remember not to fall for regulatory capture!!
309. Thomas R. Coleman, Halifax, PA, 17032
Please do not limit my freedom to choose, without added cost, my search methods, or what content I access, or slow it down based on those choices. Providers of bandwidth should not have influence over WHAT you see.
310. Damian Mahaffey, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
Net neutrality is needed to keep all ISPs honest and give all content a fair shake. Removing net neutrality would be censoring content available to the public. I pay an ISP to be able to use whatever content streams I desire, not wanting to be pigeon-holed into viewing content they either produced or have been paid to provide at better speeds. The content on the internet is not only the future, but it is presently the best place to find unbiased material and news. Removing net neutrality would be greatly restricting public interests and instead giving all the power to big corporations to force-feed us the content they desire.
311. Jonathan Veli, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
ISP companies belong under the Title 2 regulations. this proposal only give more freedom to ISPs and not American citizens, who will be subject to less internet freedom.
312. Thomas Iwancio, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
The internet is the life blood of modern society. It should remain a title II utility.

313. Sten Hartman, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
I'm in favor of net neutrality and believe the internet should be under the supervision of title 2
314. Brian R, York, PA, 17048
I support the present rules for net neutrality that were put into place 2 years ago. Do NOT roll back these rules!
315. Sarah Hutchins, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
I specifically support strong net neutrality, that's backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
316. Olivia Cummings, HENRYVILLE, PA, 18332
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and must be protected!Corporations should not have the power to censor online information. Allowing them to grant more access to the biggest payer is dangerous to our democracy.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Don't let money ruin the internet like it has government.!
317. Robert James Ziegler, harrisburg, PA, 17102
This is outrageous that you want to give big business another way to weed out any competition. This change will be terrible for small business around the country and yet you feel it will create jobs. Just one more way you wish to hurt the middle working class. I would greatly appreciate it if large businesses didn't sway you into thinking otherwise through their growing ability to lobby.
318. Derek Cohick, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
I support regulation that preserves net neutrality. Please continue the classification of ISP companies under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934.
319. Kevin Chea, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Being able to trust the ISP to not regulate and throttle is like trusting a mugger not to take my wallet.
320. Evelio Segura, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
You freaking morons. You better not allow the greedy ISP's to control the priorities of what I download/watch/interact with on my internet connection. I totally support ISP's being under title II and I do not feel safe without you implementing net neutrality
321. Matt Jennings, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Net neutrality is a bedrock principle of today's society. In a world where the

rich and powerful can get away (quite literally) with murder, people expect that the one thing that keeps everyone connected is a fair internet. Any attack on net neutrality is attack on our most basic human rights

322. Charles Varga, Oberlin, PA, 17113

I specifically support strong net neutrality rules backed by title 2 oversight of internet service providers.

323. tim miller, Millersburg, PA, 17061

Please keep net neutrality backed by title 2

324. Cole Young, Northumberland, PA, 17857

Save net neutrality! I am worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they are enforced. I do not support losing any protections, and I do not trust big companies to look out for my best interests.

325. Karen Edwards, Harrisburg, PA, 17109

I want net neutrality!! No one and nothing should be allowed to access my information.

326. Michelle L. Camilli, Harrisburg, PA, 17112

The FCC needs to leave "net neutrality" protections as they are. Here are just a few examples of what the Internet will be like if Net Neutrality protections are repealed: *Censored Speech and Content. Without Net Neutrality, network providers can block or slow down access to sites they don't like. Earlier this year, AOL blocked all emails that mentioned www.dearaol.com, an advocacy group that was challenging AOL's pay-to-send e-mail scheme. *No User Choice. Without Net Neutrality, network providers "not consumers" will determine what services and equipment you can use on the Internet. For example, Cingular Wireless, run by AT&T, bars access to PayPal because it has struck a deal with another online payment service, which pays Cingular for that privileged status. *Banned Chat Rooms. Without Net Neutrality, network owners can dictate whether you are allowed to visit popular chat rooms or if you will have to pay a cover charge to enter them. Think it can't happen? Think again. Just this summer, BellSouth blocked its customers' access to Myspace.com in Tennessee and Florida. *Online Gamer Restrictions. Without Net Neutrality, fan sites, mod communities (individuals playing against each other), and MMORPGs (Massively-Multiplayer Online Role-Playing Game) might find their online gaming shut off. Network providers will be able to charge gamers tolls to access their favorite games, in addition to the broadband connection fee that most users already pay. Network providers would also be able to restrict gaming access to their own online gaming companies, shutting off any competitors. *Expensive Downloads and Pod-casting. Without Net Neutrality, network providers could charge you more to download your favorite

videos or music, or to use services such as Rhapsody, YouTube, Napster, and iTunes. Network providers can also tell you which download service you have to use, charging you a toll if you decide to use one of their competitors.

327. Janelle E. Egan, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
Please maintain net neutrality and Title 2 regulations of ISP's.
328. Nicholas Keeney, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
I support strong Net Neutrality laws, including Title 2 oversight. The internet should remain free and open, and the information on it should flow freely independent of platform or provider.
329. Thomas, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
It is unfortunate that the FCC is considering removing the protections of Title II for net neutrality. I implore you to keep Title II as the basis for regulations of ISP's, so that they cannot boost speeds for content competitors. Shifting ISP's back to Title I would be huge mistake. This would only hurt the average consumer and help only ISP shareholders.
330. wendy graham, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
Net neutrality is essential. Keep title 2.
331. Catherine Boswell, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
I support strong net neutrality and title two oversight for ISPs.
332. Annette Clewell, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
We need FCC Title 2 rules in place! I'm pretty sure that even though their shareholders may feel differently, the huge communications industry leaders are already making massive amounts of profits. Now they want even more!!!! Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. This would be a further advancement into communistic tendencies that the administration appears to endorse. All along I have been told with a Republican administration "the rich get richer and the poor get poorer" WOW it has never been made clearer than at this time in our country's history.
333. Eric Smith, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
I oppose Chairman Pai's plan to let corporations control how we use the Internet. Article 19 of the UN's Universal Declaration of Human Rights says "Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and

impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers." I believe Pai's plan is as blatantly an assault on that as one can possibly get - not just in principle, but also as an assault on human rights. Only authoritarian regimes seek to clamp down on the Open Internet like this. In the interest of making money, Pai wants to further hurt Freedom of Speech and access to information. His plan will make it easier for Ultra-partisan conspiracy sites to get exposure while hurting honest news media sites. Pai's plan will bring the United States further to a dystopia if the government is allowed to mandate what websites are ALLOWED to be viewed. I support the existing Title II plans on Net Neutrality because they leave the Internet a free and open source of information. I urge other Congressmen and Senators to see and understand this, and vote to support it and not Pai's self-interests. The Internet is a right, not a privilege only the ones who can afford it can use. Eric Smith

334. Justin Mitzel, Harrisburg, PA, 17112

I stand in favor of keeping net Neutrality and Title II rules in tact. I know you're being super-lobbied right now, but once you retire you've shot yourself in the foot because you can't enjoy the benefits an open internet reaps. Also, to the person reading this; think of what you can do to convince your superiors to stand with an open internet. I know apathy is easier than action, but you can make a difference.

335. DON R. LASCH, NORTHUMBERLAND, PA, 17857

JUNE 2017 Dear Sir/Madame, I have always believed in and supported Æœchange, Æœ even if the path may be unpopular. Some change advances mankind, in some positive and in some negative ways, while other changes may not be advances. Is this not a step in learning? History has always played a role in the steps of learning and you are now faced with the need to make a decision that will advance mankind or not. Below are some articles to help you decide and may God be with you. God Bless, Don Lasch 1) Untethered: Teenagers then and now <http://www.bbc.com/news/av/world-39582427/untethered-teenagers-then-and-now2>) The reasons you can't be anonymous anymore <http://www.bbc.com/future/story/20170529-the-reasons-you-can-never-be-anonymous-again?ocid=ww.social.link.email> Perhaps you feel energised that you can use this opportunity to experience life on your terms, at your own speed. But whatever your feelings would be, you would at least safely assume that you can enter this isolated situation without being monitored or tracked by a far-flung company or individual Æœ“ right? Wrong. What you Æœ™re experiencing as you walk into that room is anonymity: a sociocultural phenomenon that Æœ™s afforded privacy and freedom. But in the year 2017, it Æœ™s pretty much all but dead. It Æœ™s emerging as one of the major challenges of our age: how should we go about both ensuring national security and enhancing our lives through technology, whilst also maintaining a basic right to privacy that feels like it has existed since the

beginning of human history?ÃçÂ€Â

336. Joshua Kochvar, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
An open internet is a crucial part of a healthy future for America. Access to information and communication is freedom.
337. Mrs. Judy Hancock, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
With family and friends scattered across the country, it is the most reliable and cost effective way to keep in touch. Also, with news media the way it is it gives me more opportunities to research various means. My faith is very important to me and I have the opportunity to collect information from various resources. A paid internet environment would most likely curtail many users the ability to go online. Please reconsider this and keep the internet available to ALL USERS.
338. KELLI BURLINGAME, STROUDSBURG, PA, 18360
Choice. It is the basis for American democracy. We need "net neutrality" to keep everyone in the game. Please keep free speech alive and well.
339. Corinne Sechrist, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
I don't think this is a good idea because companies could take advantage and limit what you can do. It would be like taking away the freedom of speech. Please don't allow this to go into effect.
340. Christie Perry age 70, Grantville, PA, 17028
I want the internet to be free to be an obnoxious and sometimes dark place. This freedom allowed the people of Egypt to overthrow their government. Let the web become whatever it turns out to be.
341. Tiffany Kuhn, Middletown, PA, 17057
My children, ages 10 and 12, are growing up in a world that is dependent upon technology to thrive. My hope for them is to make a difference in the lives of themselves and others, and that can only be done by interacting with dissenting opinions and other points of views. Net neutrality is so important for this reason - a web in which things can be censored is a web in which new ideas or dissent cannot thrive. Please protect net neutrality and reject the latest proposed internet rules.
342. carol hershey, middletown, PA, 17057
I believe we need competition in the internet to have good service. Leave the internet alone.
343. Matthew Graybosch, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
As an independent author I depend on an Internet where telecom monopolies like Comcast and Verizon aren't permitted to pick winners and losers to reach my audience.

344. Claire Sinz, Hershey, PA, 17033
I support net neutrality. I am considering starting my own business upon completion of my education. Undermining net neutrality would be at a disadvantage to my small business and to me. Net neutrality preserves the free market, from which the world as a whole benefits.
345. Edith Warfel, Harrisburg, PA, 17103
I pay my internet bill so I should be able to binge watch some movies when I have the time.
346. Frederick Shane, Halifax, PA, 17032
I support the open web. I believe that net Neutrality is to be interpreted as the first amendment right of the internet. With large companies controlling the internet monopolies will chose what we are watching and only large companies would survive. Entrepreneurs would be stifled and unable to reach new users. The consumers should choose what they watch and do on the internet not big business.
347. Daniel, hummelstown, PA, 17036
Gutting net neutrality will effect everyone.
348. Naomi B. Lemmon, Harrisburg, PA, 17113
I want to keep net neutrality to continue using Mozilla which helps me most. Please do what every is possible to save net neutrality!
349. Toni Fisher, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
Dear FCC,The internet, in its entirety, was created by people, individuals, each bringing their own unique contributions, innovations, and dreams, together building a community of openness, equality, sharing and freedom previously completely unknown. We have been able to bring together Nations and Peoples in ways that has never been done before. Ways which large corporations simply are not designed to do nor should be expected to do. Instead, the internet should be a place of utter equality and as much in the Spirit of freedom as can be done, as has been done, as should continue to be done. The internet today is a global energy that should continue to exist as it started, in the ways in which it functions at its absolute best, in freedom and by individuals. Please, FCC, do what you can to make sure the internet, which is your and all our internet, remains a place of freedom and openness and equality.Thank you,Toni...Pennsylvania, USA....
350. Michelle Hogan, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
The internet is a level playing field, maybe the only one left on the planet. It is the manifestation of the First Amendment. Every voice can be heard. Net Neutrality accomplishes that. Allowing Big Business to control the internet allows Big Money to control voices. Our founding fathers never intended that.

Please protect Net Neutrality.Thank you!

351. Ruth Long, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
Net neutrality is fundamental to FREE SPEECH, and allows consumers to choose what they watch and do online!!!!
352. Ron Benbow, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
Huge multi-media corporations already have too much influence over our lives and our communication. Our ability to communicate freely and to find reliable information must NOT be further degraded.
353. Joshua Kochvar, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
PLEASE, Let people have access to information. I vote for freedom.
354. Mariano Russo, Hershey, PA, 17033
I support net neutrality as I view it as a form of preserving free speech. We should not need a commitment from providers to preserve free speech, it should simply be part of the rule of law.
355. dale miller, e. stroudsburg, PA, 18301
huge companies should not be given, what would effectively be a monopoly, thus having the power to regulate the speed with which i can watch shows.
356. Roy E. Bateman, Jr., Harrisburg, PA, 17110
Small upstart businesses Like netflix, Facebook, ect... Grew and provided a massive, Positive economic impact thanks to web neutrality. Big established companies would stop that, cause they don't want the competition.
357. Joseph Ritzman, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
The internet should be open to everyone. Not just a few.It should not be censor in any way.The American people want their freedom now and always.
358. Darby Giles, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
The FCC has a previous engagement with licensing local or regional telecommunications companies to better the environment of an informed public. Please tell these anti-trust moguls that life is short for all. So make the most sense of it and not the most dollars of it. Therefore, reel in government efforts toward the goal of giving access to the internet to the practically 25 percent of the population that has no access. This would be a fine democratic gesture in the face of the oligarchy that otherwise determines your brief tenure on earth in the current capacity you call your own. That! can only, only be true if ORIGINAL standards of the FCC are maintained.
359. Douglas Hitz, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
I am in favor of Net Neutrality. As a consumer, I think it is important to keep

the internet equal in opportunity - that means ensuring everyone has equal access - predictable, reliable access to the internet.

360. Alexandra Barbush, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Net neutrality must be protected to continue to be a free America.
361. William P. Carter, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
By letting big companies like Comcast AT&T and Verizon they will run the internet in their own best interest. As a consumer I know for a fact that these large corporations best interest is not the consumer best interest. We need net neutrality to stay in the hands of the consumers not big corporations.
362. Angela R. Leshar, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Allowing "big business" to control our speed of communication is a violation of my rights. It's imposing a handicap upon those who cannot afford "the fast lane" to what is and should remain readily accessible communications. It is equivalent to amputating my feet when I have to walk to work. You need to understand this. You should not be obeying their commands for additional profits. You s/b protecting my rights to free speech. Thank you.
363. Josh Maneri, MIDDLETOWN, PA, 17057
Please preserve a free, open, and neutral internet! Do NOT benefit the massive Telecom giants as it's only evident throughout history they possess a "if we can, we will" attitude toward any means of maximizing profitability at the expense of anyone else.
364. Rachel McVey, Hershey, PA, 17033
It's part of the American dream that an average person has the same chance to make a name for themselves as the big guys. I urge you to protect net neutrality. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high

prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Rachel McVey

365. Douglas J Dittmer, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
Please keep net neutrality forever. You have to realize as those who represent our country that the VAST majority of people want a free internet.
366. Raul Laureano, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
I want what I pay for and I want the entire internet to be free of access. It's the best way to be informed, entertained, and have access to a great and vast shopping experience. Taking these freedoms away will only make things worse. There is only one internet and all rules must apply to my home and mobile connections equally.
367. Samuel Caston, Mount Pocono, PA, 18344
I cannot support the systemized discrimination of data and the abuse the lack of infrastructure will allow.
368. Nicholas Eckhart, Mount Pocono, PA, 18344
Why restrict the use of the internet when it is so apart of everyone's life anymore.
369. Anthony Harley, Harrisburg, PA, 17103
In all honesty I know anyone in their right mind wouldn't allow this bill to pass. All what it's gonna do is create back door programs and other means for other people to access the information you need. Making dark web and other underground sources nearly a must for other internet users. Be smart and just let people browse the internet like it was meant to be. After all: passing this bill borderline crossing our human rights.
370. Alexandra Kohr, Williamstown, PA, 17098
If our federal government is so deeply concerned with protecting our Constitution, then give me my right to surf the internet, to browse it freely and to have neutrality for all!
371. Joshua Eng, Harrisburg, PA, 17109

I'm writing this comment in favor of keeping the 2015 net neutrality rules and Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. A free and open internet has become paramount to the success and happiness of the people of the United States. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NAxMyTwmu_M We pay telecommunication companies for the delivery of data, not to control the content that we have access to. Since they have the physical lines, most consumers do not have a choice with what company to go through, so if that company chooses to restrict their access, they can not change service. Even worse, if someone is a content creator, and they have to pay through a gate so that their stuff can be seen, that is just an unnecessary and unfair cost that would restrict their upward mobility. Repealing these rules would be a terrible mistake for the Republic.

372. Wesley Lower, highspire, PA, 17034

ISP dont deserve to have any say in what a consumer sees or does out side of activities that break current laws. to take away personal rights of a consumer as to how they use and access a service they pay for is unjust and unconstitutional. if ISP want priority in anything they should have to pay for it not the consumer. As a daily internet user and one who uses the internet for social and business, having my rights and away of life taken from me is unlawful. i pay for full access of the internet and you at the FCC have no right to take it from me.

373. Benjamin Alexander Kresch, Hershey, PA, 17033

The current FCC is trying to bring about one of the most disgusting reveals to ISP protections which would not only sabotage but destroy the current free internet, I am disgusted I have to send this email when it comes at the tail end of thugs and bullies being paid off by a cartel of service providers doing their best to squeeze people for every last cent they possibly could like old fashioned criminal empires trying to control communities through what amounts to extortion with their fangs so deeply hooked in a clown trying to spearhead a bill that would kill net neutrality and favor a company that he was once employed by. If this was not one of the greatest hackjobs in the united states history I don't know what is, I stand for net neutrality. I will always stand for net neutrality.

374. Nazario Ruelan, Harrisburg, PA, 17101

I've been generally disheartened by the availability and cost of high-speed internet in this country compared to others. The one bright spot was the passage of Net Neutrality laws designed to protect the internet in this country from greedy corporate hands. Rolling back the passage of these laws would be a grave mistake for a country that prides itself on freedom. The internet is too important to trust in the care of companies that might stand to profit from it.

375. Trevor Romberger, Harrisburg, PA, 17112

Limiting bandwidth as an isp sees fit will have more implications than just what affects the average home user. This will effect productivity of businesses,

education, etc. The Internet is a place of fairness and equality. No one should be required to pay an isp for a higher tier of service so that others can share in their resources.

376. dhill, harrisburg, PA, 17104

free, that what the internet should be. you cant limit a person right to get info.

377. Dennis Houser, Harrisburg, PA, 17113

You can't throttle the internet for very long, so don't bother. If any sort of censoring or corporatizing happens it won't be long before the younger generation is sitting in seats of power and repeal every decision. I like Americas internet. I don't live in China or N.Korea so stop trying to treat us like we're as sheeple as you want us to be.

378. Bradley Uffner, Harrisburg, PA, 17112

The principals of net neutrality are the foundations of the internet. Removing those principals will destroy the internet that we have all come to love.

379. Donna Leuschner, Harrisburg, PA, 17112

I am asking you to support Title II regulations to keep the internet free for ALL. The internet should not be a commodity that businesses and corporations can use to make money on the backs of the American public. I believe it should be regulated as a public utility. Don't allow Comcast and the like, to control the flow of information I am allowed to receive based on how much I can afford to pay. Thank you!

380. Chris Kouris, Henryville, PA, PA, 18332

Please refrain from granting unprecedented power to the ISPs, allowing them to monetize different levels of services other than speed. The internet should remain free and open. I am for net neutrality and I think the FCC should reinforce that instead. This is not just a matter of quality of use, it's a matter of continuing unfettered access to the most powerful information tool humanity has today. Thank you!

381. Michael Kotovsky, Steelton, PA, 17113

The FCC needs to safeguard Internet freedom by keeping the bright-line net neutrality protections in place and upholding Title II. The FCC must reject Chairman Ajit Pai's plan to give the telecom giants like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon free rein to engage in data discrimination, stripping consumers of the necessary access and privacy protections we fought for and won just two years ago. The internet is vital infrastructure, supplanting the use of the phone in modern digital times and needs to be kept protect for all to use fairly. To remove Title II classification will only serve to line ISP pockets and hurt the american consumer, inhibit innovation. Don't bow to corporate lobby and money and keep Title II in place. Thank you for keeping Title II net neutrality

rules in place to protect Internet users like me.

382. alexander ortiz, Stroudsburg, PA, 18360
Keep the internet free & do not give control to corporations to decide who & what gets the most bandwidth.
383. Joshua Porr, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
All data should be treated the same. Keep the internet open and free.
384. julian hahn, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
Prioritizing information flow is BS. Please keep net neutrality
385. Christian Duff, harrisburg, PA, 17110
The internet should be open and should be a level playing field. Do not repeal the Title II regulations. The FCC should do what's best for the consumer and keeping a fair, open internet is what is best.
386. Daniel Zelesko, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
Net Neutrality is a foundation of the internet. I oppose what is essentially the commodification of access to internet content. Analyzed in terms of possible restrictions or blocking of content, undermining net neutrality will result in violations of the First Amendment by impeding on the ability of private citizens to freely express themselves. Currently, the internet is a great example of the power of the free market. Allowing ISPs to prioritize, block, and/or throttle can and will impede on the ability of American entrepreneurs to launch their innovative ideas.
387. Avery Bridges, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
Please protect Net Neutrality. Keep the internet expansive, and free of political posturing.
388. William Carter, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
The only hope that working people have is small business either physical or on the internet. It's in all our best interest to grow any small business and keeping the internet Neutral definitely help small business thrive. Bill Carter
389. Vijay Panikar, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Leave the net alone. Do not mess with it.
390. Jay Mumper, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
To ensure my views are noted, I am contacting the FCC regarding the matter known as, "Net Neutrality". My concern is that by allowing commercial network carriers the right to diminish or stop some network traffic and to prioritize other traffic based on their own financial benefits and not the choice of consumers who are purchasing open and equal network access services presents an

unreasonable intrusion into those customers' exercise of free association and free choice. No commercial entity has the right to limit nor promote the type of content customers wish to receive. If this were a physical library system instead of the Internet, the act of stopping users from making it hard to access some books while promoting others would obviously be offensive and obviously inappropriate. Why does it make sense to do that with access to Internet resources? Thank you, --Jay Mumper

391. Dan Gilbert, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
We need to protect Net Neutrality to preserve free speech, ethical business practices, and the right to a free internet. I am against the Restoring Internet Freedom Act.
392. Jake Limm, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Net neutrality is good for us all, don't buy into corporate greed.
393. Debra Trautz, Middletown, PA, 17057
I don't understand why this is even being considered. This happened in 2014, why is it happening again? It's the complete opposite of internet freedom.
394. Blaise Novak, Middletown, PA, 17057
Do not repeal President Obama's net neutrality protections. Big cable and telecoms cannot be trusted to not throttle speeds and abuse their customers.
395. Jason Milletics, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
The internet should remain free and open, able to foster new thoughts and ideas. The repeal of "net neutrality" would stifle creativity and free speech, and give an unreasonable level of control and oversight to the monopolies that provide access.
396. Daniel Loffio, East Stroudsburg, PA, 18301
The internet shouldn't be controlled the way that the ISPs will end up doing so.
397. Matthew Benway, Milton, PA, 17847
Please do not remove internet neutrality. This will cause providers to reap us of our hard earned cash even more.
398. Eric Cestero, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
I support net neutrality. The internet needs to be regulated to stay fair for all companies doing business. Otherwise, established businesses have an advantage over smaller business (sounds like monopoly power).
399. Colin Yohn, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
With no net neutrality, the internet will no longer be a true platform of free speech. Only the people with money will be able to access certain websites,

restricting the amount of information spread in such a revolutionary platform. I implore you to stop this at once and keep Net Neutrality, for the sake of future generations to come.

400. Mustafa Ali, Stroudsburg, PA, 18360
We want internet
401. Jason Falchek, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
Calling this 'restoring Internet freedom' is incredibly misleading. You aren't protecting anyone's freedom, unless possibly you mean 'protecting the freedom of ISPs to discriminate along arbitrary lines.' Keep the Internet free for the PEOPLE. Protect Net Neutrality.
402. Tim Moyer, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
Ending net neutrality would completely change the internet for the worse. This could put many people out of work and would ruin everybody's internet experience.
403. Richard A. Abel III, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
Undoing net neutrality hurts citizens by giving companies the power to allow paid prioritization. This does not help consumers at all. Giving companies the power to slow/charge extra for certain services but not others only helps companies, not us.
404. Matthew Beacham, hershey, PA, 17033
Maintain net neutrality rules. Stop sucking the lobbyist cock, and think about the future of the entire nation for once, you spineless pieces of trash. You don't matter and you can't take your money with you when you're dead, but the future of this nation and its economy do matter and the impacts will last for much longer than your greedy lives.
405. Patrick Chiarolanzio, Hershey, PA, 17033
Please keep net neutrality, as it promotes free dissemination of all information equally. No person should have their resources filtered or blocked by a third party. We are not China!
406. Garrison Kunst, Lykens, PA, 17048
I strongly support net neutrality backed up by Title 2. please do not screw us over, the internet companies already screw with our internet speed constantly. Please do not make it even easier for them to do that. This is a serious issue, please treat it as such.
407. Jacob Evans, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
While I would agree the ISP should protect the ignorant, they should not manipulate my connection to the internet without my explicit consent Opt-In

Only.

408. Jesse Pick, Milton, PA, 17847
Keep Net Neutrality. There are no legitimate reasons to remove it.
409. MCE MCT, Harrisburg, PA, 17103
Keep Net Neutrality Neutral. It has already been decided. We DO NOT NEED YOUR INTERVENTION. We did not put you into office to destroy our livelihoods and make the 1% richer. Go find some legislation that needs to be attended to.
410. Adrienne Bross, Henryville, PA, 18332
My name is Adrienne. I am a writer and a reader, a librarian and a patron. I spend my days doing research for the library I work at and my nights doing research and writing for my own pleasure. I believe that, when paying for my Internet access, I pay for the ability to access information I need for research, for writing, and for day to day informational needs. I utilize cloud computing between work and home, and would be lost without the ability to access the information I need when I need it. The FCC should be there to PROTECT the people. This means ensuring that consumers are protected from invasions of privacy, price gouging by broadband providers, and the like. Without Net Neutrality, it becomes the decision of these corporations what to charge, what information to allow and limit access to, and what privacy rights they deign to give the user. Corporations cannot be depended on to do these things because they are, almost by definitions, in this market to make money. Money takes precedence over the people who actually earn them this money. Thank you for your time.
411. Ryan Belka, Canadensis, PA, 18325
Just as we have freedom of speech and freedom of the press, we should have the freedom of the internet, as it is one of if not the most popular way of spreading information today.
412. David E Cowan, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
I consume 99% of my daily media through the internet. I support people who's lively-hoods are dependent on it. We literally cannot afford to not have it taken away or broken up or slowed down.
413. Justin Weidman, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
Keep the internet open and free from discrimination!
414. Jacquelyn, Middletown, PA, 17057
The internet is becoming increasingly valuable in the daily lives of every citizen. Limiting its accessibility could immensely hinder the lives and growth of many people.

415. Priscilla Glusko, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
The Internet should be neutral
416. Steven Williams, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
The internet is a resource used by many and should remain unbiased. If allowed to disadvantage some and advantage others our basic freedoms would be infringed upon. It would be no different from letting some have access to radio and others not.
417. bill stephens, harrisburg, PA, 17110
Please don't change the internet. it is an extension of our amendment right of freedom of speech
418. Rosa Perez, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
I support strong net neutrality rules. Keep the internet innovative and fair by keeping title II!
419. Mary-Alice Shields, Lykens, PA, 17048
In a country built on the ideals of freedom, including the freedom of speech, and in a country with laws in place to prevent the monopolizing of business, net neutrality is an absolute necessity.
420. David Rothstein, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
The FCC's net neutrality rules are very important to me, and I urge you to keep them in place and not overturn them. As a web development professional and an avid reader of various websites, I have seen firsthand how the open Internet empowers small organizations and individual people to organize together and get their voices out to the public. I disagree with allowing ISPs to be able to treat content on the Internet unequally, because this could have the effect of suppressing those voices. This is a real problem that has already occurred several times in the past. The open Internet is very important to me, and I urge you to preserve the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II. Thank you.
421. Marshall Passmore, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
I am strongly opposed to getting rid of net neutrality. Please do not take away our rights on the internet. I know the internet is not perfect, but this is something that would make it much worse for everybody. The ISP's already have us by the balls when it comes to our bill and there not being another option. We do not need to give them another weapon to use against us. DON'T TAKE AWAY OUR NET NEUTRALITY!
422. Janice Shields, Lykens, PA, 17048
Net Neutrality means an internet that enables and protects free speech. It means that ISPs should provide us with open networks "and shouldn't block or

discriminate against any applications or content that ride over those networks. Just as your phone company shouldn't decide who you call and what you say on that call, your ISP shouldn't interfere with the content you view or post online. Without Net Neutrality, cable and phone companies could carve the internet into fast and slow lanes. An ISP could slow down its competitors' content or block political opinions it disagreed with. ISPs could charge extra fees to the few content companies that could afford to pay for preferential treatment "relegating everyone else to a slower tier of service. This would destroy the open internet.

423. Douglas Marion, Steelton, PA, 17113
for the love all all that makes sense in this world. LEAVE THE INTERNET FREE.
424. Mark DePauli, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
I am in favor of keeping strong net neutrality rules in place to keep the internet free and open, and I am also in strong support for title 2 classification.
425. Shane Garland, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
Leave our open internet alone.
426. Elizabeth Fulton, Middletown, PA, 17057
I am not okay with ending net neutrality, what it means for me and for my country. Giving the control of the internet to companies is dangerous. Enact permanent net neutrality
427. Natalia Perez, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
The internet needs to stay open for everyone.
428. Alexander Teeple, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
As someone who uses the internet for both leisure and work, a free and open internet is of paramount importance to me. So important in fact, that should net neutrality disappear, it would mean to me that the government has ceased acting at the behest of the people it serves, and as the seminal document of this country, the Declaration of Independence, so clearly states: 'We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights, that among these are life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. That to secure these rights, governments are instituted among men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed. That whenever any form of government becomes destructive to these ends, it is the right of the people to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new government, laying its foundation on such principles and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their safety and happiness.' The onus is now on you to preserve Net Neutrality, and by extension the government of this nation, or repeal it, and watch as your actions bring this

corrupt government to its knees. Thank you.

429. Jason O'Donnell, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Net Neutrality and an open internet is essential to sustaining growth in the United State's technology sector. These proposed rollbacks give too much power to ISPs to throttle services, block content and give unfair advantages to gigantic corporations. Keep the internet open, it's essential to the United States to be the bastion of the worlds greatest invention: the Internet.
430. Matt Baumbach, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Please keep net neutrality it is vital to our freedom.
431. William Leuschner, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
(Note: for whatever reason, your system strips all of the newlines out of my comment. I apologize for how hard that makes this wall-o-text to read.)As an American, I do indeed cherish a free and open internet. The internet is an amazing technology that has enabled an amazing expansion of human connectedness and brought innumerable new business opportunities to American citizens. It has flourished to an almost unimaginable degree thanks to a light-touch regulatory approach. While I generally approve of rule changes that reduce the size of government, the Obama-era FCC's decision to *increase* regulation on ISPs is one that is far too important to roll back. Before I get too far into this, it is important to make a critical distinction. There is a difference between regulating *the internet* and regulating *Internet Service Providers*. These two ideas are quite similar, and are often confused in the debate over this issue. Most people who argue against Net Neutrality say that implementing Net Neutrality will stifle innovation and generally make the internet less of what it is today. If you take "Net Neutrality" to mean "Regulations on the Internet", then they are entirely correct. Increasing regulation on the internet will absolutely have this chilling effect, since the regulations, by definition, restrict the openness of the system. If you instead take "Net Neutrality" to refer to "Regulations on Internet Service Providers," that criticism falls apart. Internet Service providers are the companies that American consumers pay to provide them *access* to the internet. For example, Comcast, Verizon, Spectrum, and Charter are all examples of common US ISPs. These companies have a long and ignominious history of taking every action in their power to increase their already astronomical profits, often at the cost of both consumer freedom and Net Neutrality. For example, here's a brief list of times that ISPs have violated Net Neutrality principles, since 2005: * 2005, Comcast: Blocking Peer-to-Peer technologies on their network, even when used for legal and beneficial purposes, like distributing open-source software. (<https://www.eff.org/deeplinks/2007/10/eff-tests-agree-ap-comcast-forging-packets-to-interfere>) * 2005, Telus: Blocking the website that supported a labor strike against them. (<http://netneutrality.koumbit.org/en/node/5>) * 2007-9,

AT&T: Blocking VoIP services (an internet-based competitor to AT&T's phone service) on the iPhone, which was exclusive to their network at the time. (<http://fortune.com/2009/04/03/group-asks-fcc-to-probe-iphone-skype-restrictions/>)* 2010, Windstream Communications: Hijacking Google Toolbar search queries and redirecting them to Windstream's own search portal. (<http://www.savetheinternet.com/blog/10/04/05/phone-company-helps-make-case-net-neutrality>)* 2011, MetroPCS: Attempted to block all streaming video except for YouTube on their network. (<http://www.wired.com/2011/01/metropcs-net-neutrality-challenge/>)* 2012, AT&T: Blocked FaceTime for iPhone users who didn't subscribe to a more expensive wireless plan. (<http://www.freepress.net/press-release/99480/att-blocking-iphones-facetime-app-would-harm-consumers-and-break-net-neutrality>)* 2016, T-Mobile: Allowing users to stream video from certain popular sites without counting it against their data plan, and capping it at 480p. (<https://www.wired.com/2015/11/t-mobiles-zero-rating/>)

This is not an exhaustive list. If ISPs are allowed to wreck the open internet in order to profit, they absolutely will. Now, I would like to touch on some of the points in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking at which comment is requested. I am a computer scientist, not a lawyer, so I will refrain from commenting on legal implications. However, I believe I can offer informed commentary on the first portion of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, the part which deals largely with technology. On page 9, you request comment on whether internet access is a telecommunications service or an information service. Your analysis asserts that ISPs offer "the capability for generating, acquiring, storing, transforming, processing, retrieving, utilizing, or making available information via telecommunications," and that therefore makes internet access an information service. This analysis is incorrect. All of those capabilities require an application running either on a client device, a server device, or both, and cannot be done with merely the presence of an internet connection. Furthermore, your definition asserts that all of those things happen "via telecommunications." In the interpretation where internet access is not a telecommunications service, what serves that role? I propose that internet access is indeed a telecommunications service, and that other things users can do with internet access are information services. As a corollary, the final statement of paragraph 28 is invalidated. Internet access is the service being offered, and without server and client applications, which are not exclusively offered by the ISP, it is incapable of doing any of those things for consumers. Paragraph 29 asserts that the internet is not a telecommunications service because a user does not specify the points between and among which information is sent. This is incorrect. In terms of web browsing, when a user makes a request with a Uniform Resource Locator (URL), they are specifying that they want information to go from that server to their own device. Physical location is irrelevant; the user is specifying that they want information in a virtual space, and their mental model reflects that. When my mother wants directions, she

goes to www.mapquest.com. That URL *is* the location to her. She does not care whether her request is answered by a datacenter in Missouri or by a virtual server in Amazon Web Services. It is my opinion as a computer scientist that the phrase "points specified by the user" should be interpreted to mean URLs and IP addresses. There is no sense in tying the definition to physical locations when those locations are irrelevant to users in most cases (the exception being people who play online games and require low latency). Paragraph 30 asserts that network management tactics like backbone firewalls and IPv4-to-6 tunnels are not mere transmission. While this is technically correct, it has no relevance to most users. To use my mother as an example once more, it does not matter to her that her "landline" phone is actually being routed via Comcast's DOCSIS modem network. She just wants to be able to make phone calls and understand the person on the other end. In the same way, it does not matter to her whether her computer is speaking version 4 or version 6 of the internet protocol when she gets directions from Mapquest, she just wants the directions. Additionally, there is a distinction between firewalling for network management purposes and for user protection. It is entirely justified for ISPs to employ backbone-grade firewalls to prevent congestion on their networks. After all, ISPs (especially wireless ones) spend a lot of time complaining about how they need to manage their networks to prevent heavy users from overwhelming lighter ones -- how are they supposed to cope with the additional traffic of a Denial of Service attack without firewalls? Although my knowledge is not exhaustive, I do not know of any ISP that runs a firewall explicitly for protecting their users from unwanted intrusions; such providers usually leave that task for users to complete by installing software on their computers. Paragraph 33 states that "the highly prescriptive regulatory framework of Title II is unsuited for the dynamic broadband Internet access service marketplace." This is untrue for multiple reasons. First and foremost, the broadband internet access service marketplace can be called many things, but none of those things are "dynamic." Some phrases that leap immediately to mind are "oligopoly," "unwarranted rip-off," and "slower and more expensive than South Korea." For many Americans, the broadband internet access marketplace is limited to one or two choices, and both of them are expensive and barely qualify as "broadband." I agree that Title II might not be the best fit for broadband. It was not written with the internet in mind. However, Title II is better for protecting Net Neutrality than Title I is, and the current market offers no incentives for ISPs to remain neutral. It is imperative for the FCC to continue to uphold strong protections for Net Neutrality under Title II. In paragraph 36, you assert that users want both fast and reliable transmission of information and fast and reliable capability for generating, etc. information. This is true. However, the conclusion you draw from it, that this makes internet access an information service, is again incorrect. Internet access merely enables a user to connect to information services. I argue that the previous FCC's decision here should stand, and that you should preserve strong Title II regulations. In paragraph 39, you request

information on what actual harms have resulted from light-touch regulation. Please see the list above, where I lay out a limited selection of examples of actual harms resulting from Net Neutrality being violated. In paragraph 40, you request comment on the mutual exclusivity of telecommunications and information services. In my opinion as a computer scientist, they are indeed mutually exclusive. In the case of the internet, a telecommunications service (broadband internet access) is required to connect to and interact with information services (web sites, online services). Conjunctively, broadband internet access services offered by ISPs should be regulated differently than the information services those companies also frequently offer, like complimentary e-mail. Finally, in paragraph 44, you claim that Title II regulations have depressed broadband investment and reduced innovation. This is patently untrue. In fact, broadband investment in the entire market has gone up by 5.3% in the two years following the implementation of Title II regulations. (<https://www.freepress.net/sites/default/files/resources/internet-access-and-online-video-markets-are-thriving-in-title-II-era.pdf>) Furthermore, at the Q4'16 shareholder meeting, Verizon's CFO said: "The other thing that I would mention, though, as you think about that, we make investments for many years given the nature of the business that we are in. And our investments aren't focused on just who happens to be in office today. We're making investments that are for 10-plus years and I think our record stands for itself, that irrespective whoever the administration is run by and the regulatory regime, that we are effective. And that will continue to be how we focus our investments." That doesn't sound at all like he's worried about the negative impacts of Title II regulation. (<https://www.verizon.com/about/investors/quarterly-reports/4q-2016-quarter-earnings-conference-call-webcast>) Title II regulation is currently the best regulatory framework for protecting my choice as a consumer and for protecting the ability of American businesses to begin and prosper online. Although I eagerly await the day when Congress passes new legislation that enshrines Net Neutrality in the law of the land, and provides an even better regulatory framework than Title II, that day has not yet arrived. I implore the FCC to retain the strong protections offered by Title II and preserve Net Neutrality for all.

432. Brian D, Henryville, PA, 18332

The FCC's CURRENT Net neutrality rules are extremely important to me. Do NOT let them change them. Thanks, Brian Brian D

433. James K George, Cresco, PA, 18326

If it ain't broke, don't fix it! The internet and our economy have thrived under current structure and protection of net neutrality. Removing the net neutrality protection puts everyone at jeopardy! Even the lawmakers and lobbyists pushing for such a reform. We are a democracy and a democratic system should favor the will of the people and not the greed of corporations! Please do not remove net neutrality protection!

434. Sofia Marino, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
As the owner of a small ecommerce business, I depend on a free and open internet to sell my goods and services. Without net neutrality, large corporations would be able to limit or deny access to my business entirely while selling their competing goods. We need to ensure equal access and opportunity for all in order to prevent anti-competitive behaviour on the internet.
435. Nathaniel Lawrence Kelly, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
It looks like someone is trying to control the internet again. I'm one of those damn millennials that everyone is complaining about, but please listen to what I have to say. I work a 9-5 job with benefits and a salary which puts me pretty well off already. But I can barely afford to have an apartment, phone, internet, and grocery budget with anything left over for retirement. Millennials cut the TV cable because it's overpriced and we don't need it. Now the cable companies are trying to wring everyone for more money by making it their playground; forcing websites to pay for better service which can turn ugly for the users by making us pay just to use a website so that website can stand up to the competition. It's pretty clear why this is happening and it's pretty clear that it Net Neutrality is VITAL for Americans. Americans need the wild open frontier for creativity and innovation. THE INTERNET DEMANDS TO BE EQUAL!
436. George Long, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
Please keep the Internet open. Big Corporations should not be in control of the Internet. It should be free to whom ever needs access.
437. Nicholas A. DiPeri, Harrisburg, PA, 17104
We need to keep Net Neutrality to prevent service providers from controlling the internet.
438. Jordan Dickey, Steelton, PA, 17113
We are constantly pressed by the current politics of our country, where we stand as the land of the free, but to place limitations on the internet in any way is a limit of freedom. So the government can tax us, imprison us, and now silence a verifiable form of freedom of speech and of happiness. This is just wrong.
439. christine diperi, Harrisburg, PA, 17104
Pro Net Neutrality. Corporations should not dominate the internet
440. Gideon Buckwalter, middletown, PA, 17057
I'd just like to say that internet service providers should not be allowed to give preferential treatment (speeding up data transfers) to specific websites. As I understand it, on the technical level an ISP cannot provide faster service from specifically chosen websites without intentionally slowing down service from others. So called "fast lanes" are just normal lanes at the expense of other peoples' service. To "boost" content, you'd have to limit content somewhere

else. There is not enough competition in the market to allow ISPs to make choices about whose content to limit.

441. Shane Thorndike, Harrisburg, PA, 17101
I am petitioning as a fbla member to keep the freedom of information act in place so we may have economic prosperity
442. Allison Faust, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
The government has no right to take away Americans' free and unfettered access to the Internet. Conservative lawmakers love to point fingers at repressive regimes such as North Korea, but we're creating our own propaganda machine right here in the U.S. This is unconstitutional. Put The People first for once and stop listening to corporate greed.
443. Jeffrey Lu, Hershey, PA, 17033
Net Neutrality is incredibly important for allowing the people to express themselves freely and for free access to knowledge that betters us as individuals. Allowing for the repeal of net neutrality invites large corporations to lead us around on leashes (as if they don't enough already).
444. Ariel Welshans, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Keep Net neutrality, keep the Internet open and free as it is. I support net neutrality and oppose any changes that would jeopardize it.
445. Peter Joseph, Stroudsburg, PA, 18360
stop with the madness, you are selling yourself and the future of the internet right out from underneath everyone. stop with the agenda and do what's right~!!!
446. Clarence Johnson, Hershey, PA, 17033
This will completely monopolize the internet as we know it.
447. Maxwell Fuller, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
Much like electricity and water, the internet should be treated as an utility. Everyone has the right to equal quality power and water service and it should be no different with internet. The internet is a necessity for modern life and to allow the highest bidder to control what sites run well which one don't, what information is accessible to everyone and what is only accessible to those who pay more is immoral.
448. J R Krebs, Middletown, PA, 17057
Let the internet alone! It's bad enough there is not enough competition when it comes to the cable industry and only have 1 cable and ISP provider bundled together - which is just dismal. Allowing ISPs control the flow of data is going to stifle growth and put us even farther behind countries. In the US, speeds are slower than in Europe and Asia. Allowing ISPs to throttle data even more could

cripple our economy. Heard all the reasons you want to limit speeds - and just talking points that ISPs want... NOT what consumers want.

449. Robert Noss, Harrisburg, PA, 17109

Please keep the net neutral. A free net breeds creativity and innovation while informing the masses.

450. Thomas Brown, Middletown, PA, 17057

As an individual who utilizes the internet in both official and non-official capacity having the freedom of the internet is vital. Setting aside personal opinions, America is a place meant to help disseminate information in all possible manners without hindrance by one source or another. This has been what has kept this nation reasonably sound along with progressive. Providing companies with the ability to Block, change or adjust how the internet is handled would be setting America back as a nation and making us more like China or Russia. As a citizen I don't approve of this nor do I find the idea that a company has the ability to sell my information without permission. If Net Neutrality is not preserved and upheld then there will be the loss of competition which will make it harder for people within the middle and poor class to also access the internet which is, by all rights, becoming a vital asset to our country. The internet is no longer a simple add on to our everyday lives, rather a utility which is a MUST have, much like water and electricity. By ignoring this, the individuals who vote against internet neutrality are voting against the American people and the free flow of information and should no longer be trusted. For these individuals are focused on their own personal pockets and or agendas rather than ensuring that the American people are able to compete within the growing modern world. I implore those who think this a good idea, to get rid of net neutrality, to think about what might happen to your information. What might happen to your children's ability to access various things. This is not the right answer, and if this wrong answer is made it will come back to affect you as well as those who you ignored. Please, consider better options and make stand for the people of America. For if you fail to stand for the common people of America you fail to uphold what the founding fathers built the country upon.

451. Jeremy T. Johnson, Swiftwater, PA, 18370

I am commenting in support of the proposed rule change. I agree with the chairman's ideas about "light touch" regulation. The internet is not, and should not be treated as, a public utility. If so it will become the same bureaucratic nightmare television and phone service has been for the last century. There has never been any government legislation enforcing "net neutrality" before and I like the internet just as it is. If it ain't broke, don't fix it.

452. Erik Wright, Harrisburg, PA, 17111

I am expressing my disapproval of the FCC's movement to repeal net neutrality laws. It is clear that the American population does not support this repeal, as shown through the millions of citizens who have protested and written messages to your own organization. There is absolutely no way that repealing these laws would help the people of America. The FCC, as part of our government, is supposed to work for the people, not take advantage of them by accepting bribes from corporations. I strongly urge the FCC to think of the millions of Americans, as well as their children and grandchildren, who will have to deal with the ramifications of this decision.

453. Casey, Harrisburg, PA, 17109

I stand with the majority in the matter of keeping our internet free from corporate corruption and interference.

454. 1, Harrisburg, PA, 17102

Uphold Title 2 and Net Neutrality!

455. Alek, Harrisburg, PA, 17109

The Open Internet is such a wonderful thing and it is the consumer's right and freedom to choose which websites and applications are the best ones for them. They should not be chosen for us. I enjoy being able to come home everyday and browse the internet as I please. The internet is not something that should be controlled and limited. Leave it the way it is. Please do not take away our internet freedom.

456. Daniel Rose, Tannersville, PA, 18372

Net neutrality is something that ALL consumers want.

457. Matthew Gervais, Hershey, PA, 17033

Adding my voice in support of continued net neutrality enforced by government regulation and not by companies themselves. No citizens of the United States want throttled or tiered internet. No voters think that the internet should be available to the highest bidder. This is an action benefiting only large corporations, and if this is truly a government by the people, for the people then please continue to protect internet freedom for all.

458. Michael Aimino, Hershey, PA, 17033

I support net neutrality and everything that protects it. I will not support anyone or anything that does not protect net neutrality from anything that would seek to remove it.

459. Christopher Valleri, Stroudsburg, PA, 18360

I would like to keep my experience on the internet my own, and not what my ISP says it should be.

460. John Rodriguez, Henryville, PA, 18332
No one should be able to dictate how I use the internet and what I access
461. Andrew Boyer, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
The internet must be open and equally accessible. This is clearly a move to increase the money and power of ISPs which are already practically a duopoly. All websites should be able to be accessible without restriction changing cost.
462. Kraig Minner, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
Please don't let the telco carriers destroy internet like they have wireless. The Internet is a right, not a privilege to access. Treat it like a utility!
463. Brad Diodato, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
I care about the open internet and competition online. Throttling websites for monetary benefits is morally wrong.
464. Christopher Martinez, East Stroudsburg, PA, 18301
Removing protections is terrible.
465. Omar Ziadeh, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Please do not block out net neutrality, the internet in the modern age is almost like a basic freedom.
466. Michael J. Flynn Jr., Hershey, PA, 17033
Net neutrality is a vital and integral part of how the internet works, and ending it will have disastrous effects on our economy, including economic damage to start up businesses. Furthermore, ending net neutrality would limit the ways that Americans can communicate on the internet by allowing internet service providers to pick winners and losers by throttling bandwidth to their competitors. They have already attempted this in the past, and would have no reason not to do it again. Keep net neutrality, or you will condemn Americans to having less freedom on the internet than the citizens of almost every other country on earth, including those of our enemies.
467. Scott McCord, East Stroudsburg, PA, 18302
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Voluntary industry self regulation is never completely followed when companies can find a way to increase profit. Especially by directly affecting a service that has become integral part of daily life not only for business' but on a more personal level for each and every American.
468. Mathieu Sonderman, Hershey, PA, 17033
Nothing good can come from limiting the internet. It is the best form of modern communication and censoring it accomplish nothing.

469. Joshua Wilkins, Harrisburg, PA, 17104
I am strongly in favor of Net Neutrality regulations and am opposed to the FCC's proposed roll-back of neutrality protections. The internet in our modern times is an essential service that should be maintained/regulated as a public utility.
470. Markiis Hunter, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
Keep the internet free and unrestrained, the way it was intended
471. Alex koehler, henryville, PA, 18332
Keep net neutrality as it is right and just. Just think of what Captain America would do in this situation he would fight for the rights of the people like and keep freedom in their hands.
472. Michael D'Ercole, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
Please do not give private companies the ability to limit my freedom. This is not the kind of thing a country that prides itself on freedom should even consider,
473. Carl Satterberg, Middletown, PA, 17057
I live in an area that only has the "choice" of Comcast for a broadband internet provider. As it is, I have to deal with their tricky, nearly deceptive sales practices. If they want to charge me more for the same service, I have nowhere else to go. Destroying net neutrality would give this one company too much power over my life. The internet is a world, a resource that's value can't be overstated, and a crucial part of everyday life. The company that gives me access to this resource should no be able to control my life by choosing what I am allowed to do when I use it. Throttling, setting limits, and blocking websites that could be allowed by this legislation seem like the phone company preventing me from calling certain friends of mine, or forcing me to pay more for the right to receive calls from my grandmother. What if the postal service or phone carrier made it harder to contact the office of a political party that they were against? The same sort of thing would be frighteningly possible if net neutrality falls away. I recognize the importance of a free and open internet, regulated by the federal government to keep it that way, and to protect my rights from companies who would limit my freedoms to help their bottom line.
474. Frederick G. Leuschner, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
As an educator, I firmly believe that the internet is the most amazing information source in our history. It permits the instant access of information in a manner that closely resembles how an individual's brain actually works. There is a limitless inter-connectiveness and cross-referenceable thought stream. At that the same time, the direction of a search and the depth of that search is only limited by the fortitude and desire of the individual. The internet is presently the great equalizing tool in today's society. It is available to all. Not just those who

are affluent. Not just for those who are powerful. Not just those who have inside connections. It is available to everyone. Title II is currently our best option for protecting Americans' ability to use the internet in a fair and equitable manner. I strongly urge the FCC to continue the current protections under Title II and preserve Net Neutrality for all.

475. Tyler Waltz, Hershey, PA, 17033

I think net neutrality is a necessity to the American internet experience. I do not want my internet speed or access to be changed according to the websites or video streaming platforms that I use. I believe in freedom of the internet. Giving power to corporations/individuals that seek to limit or otherwise alter user experience is an unacceptable future for the internet. Security of the internet is necessary, but net neutrality does not deal with internet security. ISPs already receive large sums of money from simply providing access to the internet for many Americans, I think it outrageous that these same ISPs should be given the power to block, slow, or otherwise inhibit access to websites according to their preferences or profits. Just as physical libraries allow for virtually all content to be equally accessible to any and all Americans at any time, so too should the vast knowledge and entertainment of the internet.

476. Eric Clark, Harrisburg, PA, 17112

I support the current rules of Title II and Telecommunications act of 1996 by reclassifying broadband access as a common carrier. I feel like without these rules in place Internet Service Providers will be able to block or throttle web sites and/or charge extra fees and harm consumers directly or in-directly for their own profits. Any prior comment submitted under my name at my address or previous addresses or email address was not me and this is my first time submitting a comment to the FCC.

477. Ryan Barnes, Hershey, PA, 17033

Protect the neutrality of the internet. As a consumer and researcher I do not want service providers to be able to change my access to certain sites based on their financial interests. Please keep the internet an environment safe from such manipulations.

478. Victoria Toohey, Hershey, PA, 17033

Save Net Neutrality, keep the internet open to new business and big business alike. Get rid of a paywall for the 'fast track'. Keep the web working equally.

479. CJ Hernaez, Middletown, PA, 17057

Hopefully many others have also filed. Net Neutrality is essential. Thank you for your time.

480. rachel, Harrisburg, PA, 17112

Protect net neutrality!! Corporations don't need any more control over American

lives. Freeeedooooomm!!

481. Kyle Annis, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
Vote for net neutrality. Vote no on Restoring Internet Freedom Act.
482. Abdul-Rahman Jabri, Stroudsburg, PA, 18360
ISPs should not be allowed to throttle my internet speed or blocked certain websites due to paid prioritization. Especially the consumer is paying for internet service! This applying to home internet and mobile internet. PLEASE KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!
483. Dennis E Ferguson, Steelton, PA, 17113
Net neutrality is important to the nation, as a free and open flow of information necessary to society is not forthcoming by allowing corporate control of the flow of that information. Society must be able to see all of the information available, even the information considered by some "objectionable", to insure that decisions are made in an informed manner. The Internet was first set up so DARPA personnel could freely exchange information even in the event of a national emergency where "nodes" had been taken out, and society itself needs that capability as well. I voice my strong support for net neutrality to remain in effect.
484. Maxwell Potter, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
I am almost always using the internet, to learn, to be entertained, and sometimes to find work. If people lose fast access to all the websites on the internet, people will lose so much information, so much convenience, their ability to speak freely will be limited, and our country will reach a new low-point because of our stifling of the power of the internet when we could have used it instead to improve upon our country. Maxwell Potter
485. Dustin Paugh, HARRISBURG, PA, 17102
The internet needs to remain a neutral market. It's not fair to allow large corporations to control the internet just so they can charge a royalty to the end consumer and reap all the benefits. Consumers get the short end of the stick all the time. Net Neutrality is essential for modern day society,
486. Luke Wilson, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
Don't strip me of net neutrality, please.
487. Ruach Jan Henning, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
Net Neutrality is of paramount importance for the protection of everyone's right to expression as well as to freedom of the press. Net Neutrality ensures that everyone has an equal chance to have a voice. Taking it away will put a damper on those voices.

488. net neutrality, harrisburg, PA, 17111
Net Neutrality needs to stay in place. If we let the cable companies regulate speeds so they can get more money out of us to keep streaming netflix and hulu, then we are just creating a monopoly of big business thinking they know whats good for everyone. When in reality they just care about their pockets.
489. andrew fisher, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
Giving corporations to control access to 3rd part content is a slippery slope we should not go down. Please consider keeping neutrality rules in effect to keep the internet equally accessible for everyone, big or small.
490. Alex Arthun, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Please keep the current Net Neutrality rules in place and continue to classify ISPs under Title II provisions. The Internet should remain a free space for all sorts of legal content, delivered equally and without bias. It should remain protected from any threats to that freedom by the interests of a small number of parties. The Internet is no longer a luxury in our society, but a necessity for schools, employers, and the general American public. Do not restrict our access to this essential utility.
491. Josh Dries, Milton, PA, 17847
Please let us keep our internet freedom. We're already paying for it...
492. Chelsea Feldmeth, East Stroudsburg, PA, 18301
This is a form of government-controlled censorship and will do nothing in the progression of American culture and society.
493. Tyler Burke, Enhaut, PA, 17113
The World Needs Net Neutrality. Without Net Neutrality We May Face Problems As The Consumer. There Are People Who Live In Retirement Homes And The Only Way They Stay In Contact With Their Children Could Be Facebook Or Any Other Social Media App. Say Net Neutrality Wasn't A Thing.. How Would Those People Be Able To Contact Their Loved Ones, Saying The ISP They Have Blocks Facebook.
494. Alex Walton, Stroudsburg, PA, 18360
When ever in history, did taking freedoms away from people go well? , last time i checked, never. And this time is no different. People deserve to be able to access the internet equally, with no elevated or lowered privilege given to anybody. Equality is key, and it needs to be protected, by any means necessary.
495. Christofer Brenner, Halifax, PA, 17032
Net neutrality is very important to me, As an adult, I believe we shouldn't be charged, tracked, or blocked for using a service we are already paying for. Censorship has no place in our country or the world as a whole and is against

what our soldiers fight and die for. As long as no one is harming others or breaking the law, they should be able to whatever they wish and express themselves in whatever way they want to on the internet for no extra charge, and without their ISP deciding what is and isn't okay for them

496. Tyler Fuller, Harrisburg, PA, 17102

SUBJECT: PROTECT THE FCC'S OPEN INTERNET RULES (NET NEUTRALITY RULES) I urge you to protect The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. This is not fair. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Website throttling hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore the messages of millions of other Americans. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Thank you, Tyler Fuller

497. Annette Clewell, Harrisburg, PA, 17111

Keep the internet Free for the consumers choice!

498. Tushig Battulga, Harrisburg, PA, 17112

Hello, I'm a high schooler student that has recently been informed on the recent situation of net neutrality. I personally think think this is a disasterous idea. The whole reason why the internet has so many users is because it is a free and open net! So taking that away downgrades the quality of internet for EVERYONE. Please do not succumb to the top 0.001% of ISP's and think about the common good of the people. Thank you very much for taking the time out of your precious day to read my request. Tushig Battulga

499. Robert William Johnson, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
I know that you've already gotten a surplus of these letters, but let me give you one that's been personalized. Look, I know you don't have to listen to my small voice and you don't have to take me into consideration, but I'm a person and I pay my taxes like most Americans do. Let me have my rights, let me keep my right to have the ability to freely surf the web with no extra charges after my initial payments for the internet provider itself. Please don't take my right away.
Robert William Johnson
500. Joshua William Davis, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
The internet must remain neutral for the benefit of all.
501. Chris Kaschak, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
Why are we taking away more freedom and interfering with our own constitution?! Our President is a slap in the face to this country and now you want to take away our freedom of speech as well? Comcast has consistently been voted the most hated company in America and yet we're going to support what they want, why?!?! Freedom is the one thing that makes America great and every day people are trying to take that away from us. We can't let them! If this bill passes what is next? Do we really want corporations and the government to rule every aspect of our daily lives? I for one do not and I pray and hope that you will feel the same. We need net neutrality to remain a free country. Thank you for your time. Sincerely,Chris KaschakChris Kaschak
502. Austin Stoeffler, Halifax, PA, 17032
I strongly oppose Chairman Pai's proposal to reverse net neutrality protections, and specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
503. Garrett Vranicar, Steelton, PA, 17113
I strongly support net neutrality, and hope that the regulations that we're and now currently will stay in place.
504. Na'ilah Burston, Harrisburg, PA, 17104
Dear FCC & Congress,Why do you want to suck America and whoever else is a customer to your services dry? Why do you want your customers to feel overwhelmed with so much bills? Do you not realize that too many payments will only make your customers want to switch to a different, and much cheaper service? All you're doing is setting yourself up for failure by monitoring what we as your customers can and can't see. How would you feel if you were on the other end of the stick and your phone/ internet company wanted to make you pay for extra for a "bundle" just to access your desired website? Would you still want to stay with that company? Would you pay for the bundle? Or would you just say "screw it" and be one with nature and forget about technology

completely? Think about your customers before you think about your bank account. Thank you Na'ilah Burston

505. Dennis Keller, Middletown, PA, 17057
The Internet was designed for ALL users, not huge corporations. If this goes through it will be the beginning of an Orwellian age of Big Brother!
506. Kati Clendenin, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
Freedom of speech is what our country is based upon. I believe that net neutrality is part of our first admendment right. Please leave net neutrality alone. Thank you.
507. Randal & Kim Van Horn, Watsonstown, PA, 17777
Yes I agree with the freedom of what we see and watch. It is no ones business in what we do or say or see online. Please pass this so we ALL have freedom.
508. Dave Weit, Middletown, PA, 17057
Without Net neutrality you will have no neutral nonbiased platform for information.
509. Briana Pierce, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
Net neutrality IS free speech. Help keep America free, and in the hands of its people - not its corporations.
510. Dana Wren, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
Without net neutrality, smaller businesses like start-ups and mom & pop shops will suffer greatly. Capitalism thrives when all businesses have fair competition and this puts large corporations at a greater advantage. The only ones who win are the internet service providers and the politicians who vote in against neutrality.
511. Howard West, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
As an American who has lived abroad and been in countries that control their people by denying them internet privacy I am appalled that our government and elected representatives want to take away my privacy for financial and political gain in the form of proposed restrictions on net neutrality. I do not support current plans to compromise net neutrality!
512. Dave Weit, middletown, PA, 17057
Without Net neutrality you will have no neutral non biased platform for information.
513. Evan Williams, Elizabethville, PA, 17023
The internet was to be an open forum for information and idea exchange. I would prefer it be evidenced based and scholarly; however, any limitations to

the essential freedom may be problematic.

514. Greg Stuller, Steelton, PA, 17113
Leave the internet open and free and stop trying to control us like a communistic country.
515. Jeremy, Halifax, PA, 17032
Not having net neutrality will open pandora's box. Those who don't learn from history, are bound to repeat it. That is one mistake we don't need to repeat.
516. Jody Wood, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Please do not limit knowledge. We need to have uncensored access to information in order to stay competitive as a nation.
517. James Withrow, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
I say yes to the First Amendment, and no to Bio Comm..
518. Jordan D, harrisburg, PA, 17104
We need internet neutrality to keep those of who are small in the ring of possibility, no one other than big businesses like Coke or Pepsi would have any chance on the internet. Internet gaming would crash a lot because of the lack of freedom, no one should have power over others. You shouldn't have the ability to pay to be on top of the boards it's unfair and unjust. DON'T TAKE AWAY INTERNET NEUTRALITY!
519. Cindy Schlangen, Carlisle , PA, 17105
freedom of speach
520. Esther Whiting, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Without Net Neutrality, corporations and governments could censor religious or political viewpoints that they disagree with, and promote only the ideas of a carefully chosen few, allowing propaganda to replace facts.
521. Tyler, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
What are we North Korean?
522. Aidan, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
Net Neutrality must be protected, as the spread of unhindered, multi-viewed knowledge is paramount to the continued advancement of humanity.
523. DON LASCH, NORTHUMBERLAND, PA, 17857
GENTLEMEN AND LADIES, I MAY HAVE ALREADY SUBMITTED A COMMENT. IF NOT, THEN READ "ANOTHER COUNTRY" BY MARY PIPHER AND LEARN WHAT WE, AS A SOCIETY, DO TO THOSE WITH SMALLER VOICES. OR LOOK INTO HILTER'S HJ YOUTH GROUPS

THAT STARTED BEFORE WWII AND SEE HOW THOSE THAT DO NOT CONFORM HAVE NO VOICE AND ARE TREATED IN SOCIETY. YOUR DECISION WILL BE SEEN AS CHANGING HISTORY. THE HISTORY OF THE INTERNET. DO YOU WANT TO HELP US HOLD ONTO OUR CORE CHRISTIAN VALUES OR BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR THE LOSS. I PRAY GOD GIVES YOU ALL THE WISDOM NEEDED TO MAKE THE "RIGHT" DECISION. THANK YOU AND GOD BLESS, DON LASCH

524. Sam Matrisciano , East Stroudsburg, PA, 18301

I am a concerned and upset citizen who does not want to see large companies having control over individuals for no reason . There is no good reason that has been given for this law pass therefore it should not. Sam Matrisciano

525. Sergej Jagodin, Harrisburg, PA, 17112

A protection of net neutrality to avoid monopolizing the Internet must be protected

526. John Charlton, Harrisburg, PA, 17112

The monopoly internet service providers are anxious to force customers to use their content. Internet should remain an open highway or public utility not a privately owned monopoly. We only have two internet providers and both are monopolies offering very little to choose from.

527. David Colgate, Harrisburg, PA, 17111

The internet needs net neutrality. Paid prioritization among other things is terrible.

528. Nate Apperson, Hummelstown, PA, 17036

THIS IS OUTRAGEOUS AND BULLSHIT. this is going to ruin the online gaming community and much more. "smaller sites like this will need to pay for advertising their own merch and online "fast lanes" " ...NO fuck that. 95% of the US revolve around technology and its perfectly fine the way it is, and how about congress worry about paying back the national debt back because nobody has payed it back after andrew jackson payed it back and now were stuck in the broke situation, AGAIN! If you do this, nodoy will ever use any site again because it costs money when it should be free. this will hurt thousands of companies large and small. your fucking america and yourself in many ways, especially phone companies Nate Apperson

529. Stephen Pechart, Harrisburg, PA, 17110

Please keep Title II classification and net neutrality regulations in place. Depending on the free market to regulate ISPs is unreasonable in given the extremely limited availability of alternative provider options. The internet operates as a utility and should be regulated as such. A neutral playing field has been vital to the growth of new internet technologies and businesses, and we

must protect it.

530. James M, Nowheresville, PA, 17113
You have enough. You will not have what doesn't belong.
531. William Dale, Harrisburg , PA, 17109
Net neutrality protects businesses and innovators who are just getting started.
532. Patrick Ribblett, Grantville, PA, 17028
I fear that abandoning net neutrality will compromise many of our American freedoms. We cannot hope to maintain freedom while embracing the practices of our nation's enemies. We need free and open communication. We need free markets. We need the to be informed and we need to have the right to choose for ourselves as free Americans.
533. James Cameron, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
Why are you even considering this. Greedy.
534. Brian Eagle, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
My electric company does not decide how much power I'm allowed to use, I merely pay for access to the grid. My ISP, as a utility, should not dictate which sites I might use, nor limit the speed of my service based on my usage. Restricting which sites are available restricts our right to free speech.
535. D. England, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
The big companies already monopolize everything else. They should not be allowed to monopolize me, my ideas, and my freedom of speech as well.
536. Sara Hendrick, Middletown, PA, 17057
It should not be up to individual companies to control what is viewable on the internet. The internet is a resource and should be accessible to ALL. Internet presence is crucial for businesses these days and without the ability to be seen in a search, it will be much more difficult for new businesses to get a successful start. It is important for the economy to keep the 'net neutral.
537. Steven Backenstoets, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
Some things in life are more valuable than money. The internet is one of them.
538. sue waldschmitt, harrisburg, PA, 17112
KEEP INTERNET FREE AND NEUTRAL. No "BIG Brother" deciding what you can or can't say or read on the net.
539. Alissa Coffey, Hershey, PA, 17033
I cannot fully express how opposed I am to Ajit Pai's plan to dismantle net neutrality protections. Companies in the United States and elsewhere have

proven time and time again that they cannot be trusted to regulate themselves, even in the context of the net neutrality debate. We NEED the protections currently in place. We use the internet so often that it's become a given. We use it to learn and communicate and stay connected. A free internet is a beautiful thing. Please don't ruin it by giving companies the power to control what we can and cannot view. The fact that we even have to debate this is frankly appalling. Net neutrality is one of the few issues on which almost the entire internet agrees.

540. Ruth Simons, Harrisburg, PA, 17102

The problem speaks or rather reads for itself. We already pay and yet there is more profit to be made off the backs of people who cannot function without internet.

541. Joan Carroll, Milton, PA, 17847

Net Neutrality is extremely important to keeping our country fair and equal to all. It would be one more nail in the coffin, crushing any views that don't align with big business.

542. Naim White, Stroudsburg, PA, 18360

I'm listening to "Wiz Khalifa Radio" on Pandora. <https://www.pandora.com/station/play/3513891601142771809>

543. Ian Hayman, Hummelstown, PA, 17036

You should support a free and open internet for two reasons. First, in support of capitalism you cannot allow companies to control access to the internet. This will prevent an open market and allow ISPs to suppress their competition. Second, the loss of net neutrality will directly impact our freedom of speech by allowing companies to censor content they do not approve of. Please retain the designation of the internet as a utility.

544. Allison Klopotek, Hershey, PA, 17033

Freedom matters! We should have universal and affordable Internet access, diverse media ownership, vibrant public media and quality journalism.

545. Nicholas Giordano, Harrisburg, PA, 17113

Net Neutrality is important to not just me, but America as a whole. without it small online business would die if Comcast decided to block the ISP if that business couldn't pay their ransom, this alone is disgusting, but it could very easily turn into censorship if a news company or even an individual can't afford the extra money it will cost to have the ability to spread information to the public.

546. Joshua Frisby, Middletown, PA, 17057

A free and open Internet is how I am able to enjoy the content that I do today; if

that is threatened, I might not have as much access to that content and I won't be able to support the makers of that content, financially or otherwise. The entire Internet, and everyone that it matters to, will be set back until a more responsible policy vision among our lawmakers emerges and triumphs.

547. Alden Clark, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Need to keep the internet free and fast
548. , Hummelstown, PA, 17036
Net Neutrality is important to me because it is the right of consumers like myself and others to decide which content and information that we consume. It is NOT the right of corporations, using a puppet chairman, to decide for us.
549. Rodney Dennehy, E. Stroudsburg, PA, 18301
Avoid discrimination against websites & content.
550. Nicholas Maulfair, Halifax, PA, 17032
I want to be free on the internet. I feel the internet is supported by the first amendment. I should be able to look at what I want. I like playing games on my computer in my free time, If my ISP doesnt like games then they could slow down the game provided such as steam. Then playing the game wont be too enjoyable as ill be lagging all the time.
551. Deborah Nafziger, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Net neutrality is important to me because it makes the internet what it is. I don't want big companies deciding what information will and will not be heard. In a way, this would be a restriction on the freedom of speech that is so central to our country. Taking away net neutrality would restrict information, limit entrepreneurship and education, and silence the voices of far too many people. Please do not allow the FCC to take these freedoms away from Americans.
Thank you.
552. Brad Diodato, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
I am a gamer and a streamer. I do not want my speeds throttled because some companies paid isps more money than others.
553. Michael Wiatrowski, Elizabethville, PA, 17023
To remove Net Neutrality will only cause problems. It will make fast and slow lanes to access other websites as possible to other sections of the same website. It can lower prices IF anything for the service, but will NOT get the same freedom to use the internet. MANY people like myself get my news, entertainment and shopping online. I WILL NOT STAND FOR THIS!
554. Lindsey Simmons, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
The internet is not just social media. It is what everything is based on. We have

a lot of content for our college classes through the internet, and you can't sensor education. People like me and so many others also use the internet for things such as building businesses. Every American deserves to say, see, and discuss anything they please in their daily lives. It is not okay to just strip that right from us.

555. Reagan Whitaker, Harrisburg, PA, 17110

Without Network Neutrality, humanity's ability to accomplish amazing things will be crippled. We would essentially have to pay for our own thoughts and ideas; and put future possibilities at risk. No one knows exactly what the future will bring, but that's the point. If restrictions are allowed, there a good possibility that we'll never find out.

556. Alex Hall, Hummelstown, PA, 17036

The internet is a good source for everything, even politics. What if social media was more expensive to visit? People express their ideas openly a lot on social media. What if it was a less fortunate person who couldn't afford to pay more? And this was their only way to express their opinion? To rip the grasps of a fair internet is the same to rip off free speech. So please keep this act so all can show opinions. Instead of making those opinions controlled and manipulated through companies.

557. Isabella Pham, Harrisburg, PA, 17110

It is already difficult for many individuals to purchase smartphones or computers because of financial circumstances. Forcing people to pay additional fees other than the standard wi-fi/data charges is nothing more than an act of corporate greed.

558. Kaitlin , Hummelstown, PA, 17036

The internet is necessity.No one charges people for using banks.No one charges people for using grocery stores.No one charges for LAND LINES anymore.It is impossible to exist in the world today without internet and not all of us can afford to pay through the nose for something that has become a NEED and not simply a want.I am one of them.Please put the internet back the way it was.Thank you.

559. I Radanovic, Hummelstown, PA, 17036

I rely on it for income and communication

560. Alex Zhao, Harrisburg, PA, 17110

I'm currently about to head into my freshman year of college for a bachelor of the fine arts in Illustration and one of the key things for my well being will be the access and ability to showcase my art on the internet in a ever growing tech based society and the lack of ability to access websites and distribution of them with the cost will be completely unmanageable.

561. Megan Bogden, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
Why is this even up for debate!?
562. Shea Stebakken, Hershey, PA, 17033
Net Neutrality is important to me because I already pay a ridiculous amount of money for tv and internet. Just thinking about having to pay even more to be able to access the websites that I want is breaking my heart. If the destruction of Net Neutrality actually goes through, thousands of people will lose access to the unlimited well of information that comes with the internet, and countless small businesses will be negatively affected by this as well. Over all, this is just a very bad idea, and nothing but a horrible example of corporate greed. Don't let them have any more control over the American people.
563. Gabrielle Longreen, Hummelstown, PA, 17036
I'm in college and therefore cannot afford to pay for the research I can get using google scholar and other scholarly websites.
564. Jack Shope, Middletown, PA, 17057
The freedom of knowledge and education provided by the internet is the greatest achievement by human kind so far. To limit it is to remove inalienable rights from American citizens.
565. Brianna Simmons, Hershey, PA, 17033
It isn't right to make people pay for something that everyone should have access to, in this day and age the internet is needed for almost all points in life. Without the way the internet is now I wouldn't have the resources to pass college or help my local community. Honestly, if I had to pay to use the internet, more than I already do for the router with my cable company, I will no longer have the internet because I won't pay. And that money would go elsewhere, somewhere it can be used to help others and people who need help. Maybe instead of cutting off people to the internet and how people communicate you should help those who can't already afford it. Food for thought, I hope you make the right choice, because yes there is a right and wrong choice. Thank you for your time, have a nice day.
566. Veronica , Harrisburg, PA, 17101
Keep Net Neutrality. Keep the Internet free for all people. It is for the good of the public that the internet remain the way it is!
567. Aspen , Middletown, PA, 17057
Imagine all the resources you are cutting off from students to do well and write essays. But, of course, you won't imagine them, because this administration cares very little about the future of children. Think about all the people who need to reach out to someone because they feel suicidal, and they need that suicide hotline number to call because their hands are shaking and they want to

wrap the noose around their neck, or chug that bottle of cleaning solution because they feel alone. But, you won't imagine them, because depression, according to our president, is a pre-existing condition, not something that can develop due to inaction. And imagine the LGBTQ+ community members who need resources to learn what to do when they're raped to become straight, when they have been kicked out of their house and need a place to stay. But, you won't, because the president has little concern about the safety of transgender students and views the community more of a fetish. Imagine. It doesn't take much to do so. But imagine.

568. David Walker, Hummelstown, PA, 17036

So far, I've been less-than-impressed by giving gifts away to corporations as a reward for playing along with what passes for a government. I'm being lied to by the president, his family and in-laws, the vice president, the leaders of the senate and the house, and my state government as well. Having seen the desecration of the government, the abandonment of the protection of citizens by 45's cabinet, the willingness...no, the casualness of kicking citizens off a health care system that's not the best but which offers some protection. Taxpayers, voters, citizens treated like so much dirt in favor of those who are unworthy to live in this country. In light of the last 7 months, I'm expected to believe ending net neutrality in favor of higher charges and restricted abilities? I think not. I'm already forced to use Comcast; do I have to live by their idea of what's good? Why in the name of anything that's left that's good can't something just remain intact for the citizens? David Walker

569. Kevin Hillman, Harrisburg, PA, 17104

As a soon - to - be small business owner, I'm terrified of a future in which big corporations can say my data isn't as valuable as that of other companies. I urge you to vote to protect net neutrality as it exists today.

570. Tyler Waltz, Hershey, PA, 17033

Please do not institute this!

571. Abimael Roque, Harrisburg, PA, 17109

To whom it may concern, Please, stop this madness. Our human rights can't be suppressed, so why do the same with the internet? Please, think about what all of you are doing. Don't do anything we wouldn't do. Sincerely, Abimael Roque'

572. Brandon Mullin, Milton, PA, 17847

I am in favor of strong net neutrality. Discrimination of use inside of networks is no different than discrimination of use of roads and highways. What if people with the last name "Pai" were only allowed to use the roads once a day and were only allowed to drive 15 mph while on it, while the last name "Smith" were allowed to use it all day long and go up to 65 mph, sound like

discrimination, turn this in pai.com and smith.com and you have an equally unamerican scenario.

573. Leslie Avila, Harrisburg, PA, 17109
Keep net neutrality! This is not what freedom looks or feels like. Abraham Lincoln said: This country, with its institutions, belongs to the people who inhabit it. Whenever they shall grow weary of the existing government, they can exercise their constitutional right of amending it, or exercise their revolutionary right to overthrow it. What do you want?
574. Andrew Schwenk, Hershey, PA, 17033
I am in support of net neutrality because I don't want ISPs to be able to pick winners and losers by throttling down network speeds.
575. M.J. Rudolph, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
Do not roll back Net Neutrality Regulations because it will hinder the United States' online e-commerce, entertainment, and online educational content. Thanks for your understanding.
576. Brandon R Piper, Harrisburg, PA, 17112
I support Net Neutrality and I am against bandwidth tampering and bandwidth throttling by ISPs. It is also sad that the US ranks the 28th country in highest average internet speed worldwide.
577. net neutrality, Northumberland, PA, 17857
It is important to keep strong net neutrality in place, simple because doing otherwise would interfere with the freedoms that we as Americans hold dear. By keeping the net neutral, and making it impossible for individual service companies to favor certain sites and services over others, we are allowing our citizens to think for themselves, learn for themselves, and speak for themselves. If this were to go away, we would be taking one step closer to a totalitarian future which no one, not even the most politically conservative among us, could ever wish for, and which we are actively fighting against in countries all over the world.
578. Virginia D. Keister, Steelton, PA, 17113
If you take our net neutrality away from us you have taken another one of our rights away. Small businesses and our free speech are our rights. And my grandchildren's rights. Leave net neutrality alone.
579. James Bond, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
GET YOUR SHIT TOGETHER AND KEEP THE GODDAMN NET NEUTRALITY, SPECIFICALLY TITLE II. YOU FUCKS.
580. Cade Auman, 17857, PA, 17857

I believe that this is stupid.

581. Kris Wingert, Harrisburg, PA, 17110

The plan to close the Net Neutrality loop would be a devastating blow to both my family and others. This will allow rates that internet service providers charge to sky rocket out of the range of most hard working families. I cannot even afford to have cable television anymore due to deregulation. This caused rates to go so high that the basic package with phone, internet and television was close to a car payment each month. I , as well as others, have gone to just cell phones, basic internet service and outdoor antennas to obtain the basic of programming to keep us in the loop of the world today. I am a network technician for a local school district and see how much low and middle income families like mine, struggle to help give their children an education that requires having internet service that is affordable to help them succeed. In conclusion, please think of us and those who you will financially and scholastically hurt before you decide to terminate this agreement. I know big corporations are looking for a big payout on this decision, don't give it to them. Thank You, The Wingert Family

582. Edward F Liona, Stroudsburg, PA, 18360

I am against any and all attempts to dismantle Net neutrality .There should be NO different levels or speed of access to the consumer .The providers of internet access should be required to treat their lines like a dumb pipe , where they are not allowed to pick and choose winners and losers according to who pays them more for preferential treatment . We the consumer need more choice in our service providers and true real world internet speeds not the data cap limited plans we are now forced to live with due to lack of competition in the market place such as we have now.

583. Smith, Harrisburg, PA, 17109

The present net neutrality rules are reasonable and keep the Internet both a free and open source of information. Repealing the current Title II laws, in my opinion, will be a slippery slope to destroying freedom of information, freedom of speech and expression.George Orwell wrote about the Ministry of Truth in 1984. They're the propaganda arm of the country, the only legal source of information and in charge of making sure the country sees what the government wants it to see. Repealing the Title II rules is essentially making the FCC the American version of the Ministry of Truth.Dictatorships and regimes do this. Russia, China, North Korea and Turkey are among the countries that control where its people can go on the Internet. The United Nations called access to the Internet a human right because it offers freedom of information and expression. So killing free access to the Internet isn't simply tearing up laws, it's also violating a human right. That's sad in my opinion, but Trump and Mr. Pai so clearly want to be despots, so who am I to tell them no?Here's what I see

coming: I see ISPs making it financially impractical to view news sites that the Trump administration disagrees with. Instead of getting my news from sites I trust, they're going to redirect me (and you reading this) to sites like Breitbart or Fox. Those two sites and organizations have long given up trying to be news: now they're real propaganda arm. You'll make it easy for me to go there, but I will never trust them to give me unbiased information, and you'll never make me. I see us lost in a world of stupid people and constant infighting because Betsy DeVos is killing education standards and Pai helping redirect Internet users so they get the Breitbart/Fox/Trump versions of history and alternative facts. I say this knowing it won't matter. I know Pai's proposal is going to win the endorsements of all the Republicans through the House and Senate no matter how public the opposition is, and that Mein Fuhrer Trump will sign it into law forever and there isn't a damn thing we the little people and Democrats can do about it. If it doesn't happen now, then we'll just go through this whole thing again and again until Trump and Pai get their way. I just want someone to see that I said this and see what it is we're heading toward.

584. Charles Roberts, MIDDLETOWN, PA, 17057

Mr. Pai, I urge you to reconsider your intent to undo Obama-era Net Neutrality rules classifying ISPs as common couriers. Your argument that there is no harmful activity that is prevented by the rules is ludicrous. Take for instance the fact that companies like Netflix were forced to pay companies like Comcast for equal access to their Internet customers. This is not a theoretical...this actually happened. These customers aren't paying Comcast for access to Comcast information services, as was the case in the old AOL days....they are paying for global internet access which requires that their ISP not deprioritize their traffic simply because they are accessing a competing service, or a service that didn't pay a fee. Simply put, to roll back the rules will promote and encourage ISPs and other others in power of Internet traffic to hold the dollar over the fair access of citizens. Doing so could roll back invention and progress of the human civilization, not just infringe on individual rights. Again, I urge you to consider as this is not merely a rights issue, but a potentially career ending move on your part.

585. Kenneth David Nelson, Middletown, PA, 17057

The internet was started as a free platform and its use should remain neutral to all parties using it. Allowing corporations to give priority to paying customers is like making the fast lane(s) on interstates a "pay to use" lane. The freedom of the internet made it what it is today, and changing that fundamental concept will spell the end of freedom. Don't allow for profit companies to decide whose data is more important based on how much they pay!

586. George Donner, Harrisburg, PA, 17112

I am against Title II for it creates inequality. Access to the internet is also

becoming somewhat antitrust in nature. Once becoming shy of an antitrust, companies that hold the territories can dictate prices and quality at their own free will. This is unfair to the American consumer base. We must have more choices and say in this matter.

587. Christopher Bellman, Stroudsburg, PA, 18360
Net neutrality is a consumer's right!

588. E. Webb, Harrisburg, PA, 17102
The 2015 FCC order to classify ISPs in the same manner as phone companies was a logical and much needed update to the common carrier definition found under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Placing ISPs under Title II is good for our economy and for the continued viability of a fair and functional Internet. To suggest otherwise (I am looking at you Comcast, AT&T, et al.) is disingenuous and greedy. Clearly our growing 21st century Internet is as vital as was the 20th century's telephone technology for its day. The ramifications of allowing ISPs to speed up or slow down Internet traffic will only encourage the already monopolistic (and rent-seeking) tendencies of ISPs by allowing these behemoths to pick winners and losers--meaning, ISPs will determine which Internet traffic is prioritized or stymied. This is calamitous. It is obvious that traffic affiliated with ISPs and their partners will be prioritized, competitors and those unwilling to pay the ISP a "toll" to upgrade the speed of their content will be penalized. This sort of gate keeping is not how a free market is supposed to work. Please maintain the 2015 Title II rule to ensure a more egalitarian Internet. Do not let a few companies and their high paid lobbyists act against the communal and financial interests of the many. The greed shown by these ISPs will choke the very core principles of innovation this country was founded upon. Thank you for your time, I hope you will make the right and easy choice to support the free market over the the staggering, grim alternative.

589. Peter Kabatek, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
Maintaining net neutrality is very important to me.

590. Lyndsey Hadary, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
I am writing in support of net neutrality. Removing the title II classification only seeks to serve corporations without any consideration of the rights of average citizens in this country. Making it easier for corporations to provide inadequate internet resources to those with lower incomes is reprehensible and likely if the net's title II classification is removed. Our country can achieve more success if a greater number of its citizens have appropriate, unfettered access to the internet and the resources it makes available.

591. Mark Proper, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
I want to express my support of net neutrality and why it's needed. There are

many instances already where ISP's are going against net neutrality, or even more where they go against the spirit of it. Some examples are Comcast restricting P2P or Comcast throttling Netflix unless Netflix agreed to pay more for a "fast lane." More recently, so-called zero-rating of their own content. The thought that they can monitor themselves is laughable considering they have shown time and time again they will take every opportunity to prioritize their own content or otherwise charge more for the consumers to access content or more to the content providers to offer content on an equal footing across the internet. Regulation is needed to protect the internet as it is today.

592. james h wise, hummelstown, PA, 17036

Your idea of net neutrality is seriously flawed for the average internet user, but delicious for the cable companies and big business. Basic business 101: if you are big, you get preferential prices. Great - but the small user gets screwed. right now, these folks are competing nicely with each other (who offers the biggest download capacity for the best price. Everyone then has access and choice. Until, behind hidden internet protocols, they restrict your access speed above a certain usage or similar themes designed to screw users (since you have to sign up for two years pay an outrageous buyout). Even this putative competition scheme, although clearly deficient, is better than your net neutrality. Telling the few serious cable providers they can give large price breaks to big customers at the expense of the smaller customer is simply another attempt at creating a monopoly like ATT tried with the telephones in the early day. These yoyo's must be regulated. Clearly, against all of the influence peddling of the cable guys, giving the big guys price break is ok if you also insure the little guy does not get usury pricing for their service, or bears the cost of installing new fiber when the existing fiber gets choked off in a few years. This whole thing is a pure power grab at the expense of the little guy like the Robber Barons of the 20's. Change your bill to insure fair treatment of both big and little users. We have no problem with a fair business practice of giving big users preferential pricing, but not paid for by all of the other users. Small businesses cannot grow with an unregulated internet with usury pricing and choked off services. Explain that to Trump and friends and your electorate next time you ask for their vote.

593. Nathaniel Wilson, Hershey, PA, 17033

Mr. Pai, I am completely and utterly opposed to eliminating Net neutrality in any fashion! The free unrestricted internet, is the feature of the internet that makes it such a beautiful community. Everyone is in essence on the same playing field. It allows small businesses a chance to establish themselves and flourish next to the "big guys." Everyone no matter their background or wealth is equal on the internet, and I find that to be a quite beautiful thing. As a member of the young generation, I wish to see this free unrestricted, equal internet remain for the rest of my life and indefinitely! I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.

594. Kristin Cook, Bartonsville, PA, 18321
Please do not change the net neutrality guidelines. Rolling back the rules will give large internet providers too much control over the content people access online. Without net neutrality rules, it'll be harder for smaller companies to enter the market in the future and easier for big companies to restrict access to information. This is agenda is not for the people, its for big business and will affect innovation, growth and prosperity of the American people. Keep net neutrality.
595. Sarah Hipple, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
In this day and age, internet access is as essential as access to any other utility. It is necessary to perform school work, to stay connected with friends and family, and to do our jobs. Given the level of essentiality of the internet in our lives, I believe the internet should keep its Title II classification. I believe that the ability of my internet provider to determine what websites should be blocked or any other accessibility issues would impair my ability to perform necessary functions in my life. Given the necessity of the internet to my job, my schoolwork, and my ability to communicate with friends and family, I believe internet providers ought to be obligated to operate the internet in the public interest.
596. Kris Wingert, Harrisburg, PA, 17110
Without rules to keep a level field and to ensure we all can afford internet access, it is just business as usual for the big three telecom companies. This country was built on innovation, and this will destroy that,
597. Skye , Hershey, PA, 17033
because it's how i keep in contact with my family who lives far away from me.
because it will be how i keep in contact with my sibling when he goes to college in another state.
598. Ronald Aruda, Harrisburg, PA, 17111
It's an outrage to learn that net neutrality is at stake. You can not let billionaires and large companies to take the free internet away
599. Wayne , Hershey, PA, 17033
Remember Republican's smaller Gov't.
600. Tim Butler, Middletown, PA, 17057
When the internet was created, it was supposed to be like a library, full of information, and free. Net Neutrality should be a non-issue. Taking away net neutrality endangers our civil liberties and freedoms. Taking away net neutrality breaks the equal protection clause in the 14th Amendment, and could be considered cruel and unusual punishment. Taking away net neutrality should be considered an act of terrorism. Our children are too precious to have this happen

to them.

601. Jacob Poulsen, Hummelstown, PA, 17036

I am highly concerned about the proposal to change, weaken or get rid of the no throttling rule in paragraph 82. Wired internet is a public utility and Americans have few options to choose from and some have only a single provider in their area. Because of this, the impact of major ISPs deciding to throttle certain content or sources would have a detrimental and in some cases inescapable effect on consumers. Imagine if residential water suppliers struck deals with Maytag and General Electric and could throttle the flow of water used for washing machines of other brands. This would be an outrageous overstep and would harm consumers. ISPs, like water utilities should be able to charge based on usage, but not what we choose to do with their service. Thank you for taking the time to read my comment.

602. Dominick J Valentic, Harrisburg, PA, 17111

I would just like to voice my disapproval for reversing putting ISP's under jurisdiction of Title II. While I do agree that a light is needed in the beginning of a new industry, the corporations that now control a majority of our access to it have proven time and again that their best interests are not in the public good, but in their shareholders interests and to themselves. To release them from these rules would in my opinion have more of a detrimental affect than a positive one. Thank you.

603. Bruce Nace, Halifax, PA, 17032

I have been talking to my internet service provider about the speeds I receive for my DSL. Every time I talk to them they just say I will get 3 Mbps Download speed and 1 Mbps upload and just try to get me off the phone. We pay \$34.99 for Download speed: as fast as 6 Mbps, Upload speed: as fast as 1 Mbps. when I run a speed check I get 2.33 Mbps download and .28 Mbps upload. I have talked to my other neighbors and they have called them and got no fix to these issues as well. I work from home sometimes with my job and can't use myDSL to work from home. I emailed Frontier questioning the slow speeds a week ago and no one has contacted me and this is getting very old. We have no other options for internet and I think they are taking advantage of us due to that.

604. Daniel Petruzzi, Hummelstown, PA, 17036

I encourage the commission to continue the policy of Net Neutrality supported by strong Title 2 oversight with regard to individual ISPs and internet service providers. By regulating broadband as a public utility the internet will continue to be a level playing field where all content is accessible without powerful economic interests favoring preferred content. Removing Title 2 enforcement will result in a caste system of access where wealthy and powerful interests will control the flow of information and make content that is critical of said interests

inaccessible, restrict access to alternate points of view, giving monopolistic advantage to those whose sole purpose is to further monetize what should be a free and open medium of cultural and social exchange. Contrary to the assertion that maintaining Title 2 regulation will slow investment and hamper roll out of infrastructure and faster broadband speeds, service providers have a poor track record when it comes to the speed of services currently provided and the breadth of service areas when compared to broadband service in other nations. The free market has a demonstrable modern history of putting profits before service at the expense of the public, while crying poor and seeking federal or state financial support in the promise of better service or a greater good to the commonwealth. Private control of the valuable infrastructure of broadband communication should come with responsibility to the nation and not carte blanche permission to exploit the public.

605. Alek Guzzo, Harrisburg, PA, 17109

Please keep the internet open and free. As someone who uses the internet everyday for personal use and business, I think it will be extremely unfair to control how we use the internet. We already pay to use the internet so why would you want to make it even worse for consumers and make them pay more to use services and platforms that were already free to use in the first place. You will make things very difficult for people who run businesses online or people that create content online like the content creators on YouTube. People rely on certain platforms and services to live their lives because that is their job. We should have our freedom to do what we want on the internet. It shouldn't be controlled or cost anymore money just because the ISPs like Verizon, Comcast, and TWC are greedy for more money in their pockets. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY. THE INTERNET SHOULD BE OPEN AND FREE TO EVERYONE WITHOUT ANY STRICT OR UNNECESSARY REGULATIONS.

606. John Wilburne, Hershey, PA, 17033

I encourage the FCC to support net neutrality backed by Title Two oversight over ISPs™s.

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used national language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. <https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net->

[neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9f0e3ed36a6](#).

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.