

## Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from OK District #5

These are the 1,214 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Steve Russell's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. Jeremy Dixon, Oklahoma, OK, 73118  
No one in their right mind wants to see ISP's become internet gatekeepers in this day and age. The internet is the last bastion of free, open, unfiltered discussion. This decision will take all that away. Never forget a company's main goal is not to look out for the consumers, it's to look out for the company's interest. Consumers need to be protected from this. Go through with this decision, and we will soon see a situation akin to the Great Firewall of China, where censorship abounds, and dissenters are silenced.
2. Ryan Underwood, Shawnee, OK, 74804  
This bill does not increase internet freedom and will effectively and simultaneously create a slow and fast lane for internet traffic and also reduce transparency required by broadband providers. I as an American citizen reject this proposal.
3. zac w, Okc, OK, 73103  
This feels like double jeperdy. I can't believe I have to say it again... Stop trying to break the internet. Oh interesting, this time you guys are going to publish our names and addresses when we file? The FCC is just throwing our info to hackers now?The name interface is clunky.
4. Lord StormWalker, shawnee, OK, 74801  
Yes that is my legal birth name. And it is real, like my opinion on maintaining internet neutrality. It is absolutely essential in maintaining democracy. Unlike the large number of robots saying the exact same thing over and over again in support of eliminating internet neutrality on this site to be claimed as real people with a real point of view. Corruption? KEEP THE INTERNET FREE EQUAL AND NEUTRAL. thank you.
5. Isaac Bednarz, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
I want to voice my opinion on Chairman Ajit Pai's plan to repeal the Title 2 regulation on Net neutrality, I although I do believe in freedom of the open market I believe the ISP companies such as AT&T and Comcast have acted in bad faith towards thier customers and the American people. If the Title 2 is downgraded to a title 1 rating I believe it would allow the ISP companies to take advantage of thier customers, with usage caps,slow speeds and high prices.
6. Barbara Lacke, Oklahoma, OK, 73159  
The "Internet" is a platform for the dissemination of information for all and an educational tool for all. It should not be regulated based upon one's ability to pay. If it becomes a propaganda vehicle of government, like Citizens United, users become classified and equal access/delivery is called onto question. NET NEUTRALITY MUST BE PRESIDENT FOR ALL.
7. Jerry Latham, Oklahoma City, OK, 73159  
Put in brief form: the current 'landscape' of internet providers has not changed substantially since the last examination of the 'net neutrality' issue and should not be altered at this time. Large providers retain virtual monopolies in many regions and can therefore control costs, access and improvements (or lack thereof) to service, all to the detriment of the consumer.The push to revise FCC oversight under the guise of "Restoring Internet Freedom" will actually reduce or eliminate the oversight of large providers such as AT&T, Verizon, Cox Communications, Charter Communications, et. al. This oversight remains a requirement to prevent harm and exploitation of the public at large. This effort is supported by those companies that will ultimately benefit financially should it be enacted at the expense of the American Consumer.
8. Ed Eckenstein, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
This bill is a bad idea. Internet service providers (ISP) should treat all traffic equally. If the FCC passes 17-108 I believe it will harm new startups especially those that are technology related. This can eventually harm or economy. Please make ISPs treat all traffic equally.
9. Andrew McQueen, Oklahoma City, OK, 73129  
I heard about the FCC's plan to scratch net neutrality in recent and I feel it as an attack on our right to communicate freely and organize with all available parties. I don't who are the they to take away a free an open internet when it's been a useful tool for educating and innovation. I hope chairman Pai won't shut it down.
10. Tyler Haynie, Oklahoma city, OK, 73162  
Please do not destroy the internet. Do not remove the current net neutrality laws. ISP's cannot and should not be trusted to do the right thing. ISP's need strong oversight.
11. Garrett McCurrach, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I am anti-regulation but information, of all things, should be something that is protected. I support Net Neutrality.

12. Kristin Burns, Edmond, OK, 73012  
Please ensure the FCC keeps strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
13. James J. Stoecker, Edmond, OK, 73003  
Please keep the net neutral and have it remain under Title 2. We cannot let the ISPs "govern themselves". We saw what happened to the banking industry when we allow that to happen.
14. Stephen Rice, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I support strong net neutrality supported by title II over site of ISPs.
15. Paul, Edmond, OK, 73003  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Please don't kill net neutrality.
16. Greg Porter, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
Net Neutrality- Serving as a PUBLIC TRUST as well as a private company Notice of Proposed Rulemaking entitled "Restoring Internet Freedom" Net neutrality is extremely important to American values. Please protect the FCC Open Internet Rules .ISPs should NOT have the power to block websites, slow them down, make some more accessible than others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer fast lanes to websites for a fee, and Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do just this. But if you let ISPs make some websites fast and others artificially slow for a fee you will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. Although these are private companies they are also granted use of public and government resources such as radio frequencies, access and use of public right of ways etc with the idea they also serve as a public TRUST. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support Title II rules and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Greg Porter
17. Llarin Burleson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Please preserve strong net neutrality and Title II. To do otherwise would be a mistake.
18. Chris Uhland, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
Keep Net Neutrality rules strong and in place. There is no benefit to removing them and it will only cause harm by doing so.
19. Robert Evenson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73103  
I believe categorizing the Internet Service Providers under Title II is the best way to assure Net Neutrality. Left to their own devices ISP's will not look out for the user's best interest, but their own. They will change the way we see the internet and steer us to their own content. If you allow the removal of title II you will become one of the largest failures of this administration. People have a right to a free and open internet.
20. BRIAN HILL, OKC, OK, 73162  
I use the internet on a daily basis for my business, hobbies and communication. Please do not allow the repeal of Title II of the FCC regulations. Repealing that Act does nothing for the betterment of the everyday user. Repealing the Act will open a Pandora's Box of ISP greed and skulduggery. There is nothing wrong for the average user with the Net Neutrality laws in their current state of being. There is no need to repeal Title II only for the sake of large ISP business concerns. Thanks you for your time in reading my opinion.
21. Christopher Henderson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73134  
Internet regulation should remain under Title II. I fully support net neutrality and do not want to see it dismantled for corporate profits. Please do not dismantle this. I'm urging everyone to fight this change.
22. Jeremy Smith, Edmond, OK, 73003  
Do not roll back the title II protections. We need to keep internet free and open, all content needs to remain equal.
23. Abby Jacobson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
Internet Service Providers (ISPs) must continue to be overseen by Title II oversight. Please continue to support net neutrality at its most enforceable and its most reliable by continuing Title II oversight of ISPs.
24. NodeCraft Hosting LLC, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
We are a small startup which provides direct to consumer server hosting services for gamers. Re-classification from Article II or deregulation of internet neutrality will directly threaten the ability for our startup and others like it to ever compete in a free market. There are many companies in our industry that we are able to compete with by our own ability to build infrastructure and customer support in a free market. With open rules or deregulation we would not be able to afford to ensure our services are served at appropriate internet connection speeds. This is a threat to innovation, small business owners, and startups alike. Our future depends on an open and free web. Do not mistake regulation with "freedom", as branded by the giants that would oppose its own profitable needs upon us.
25. Tommy Brown, Oklahoma City, OK, 73159  
I support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's (internet service providers).
26. Andrea Morgan, Del City, OK, 73115  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and ISPs being classified as Title II information services.

27. Lloyd Grant McAlister, Edmond, OK, 73012  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet service providers. It should be obvious at this point that any consumer educated on the situation believes this should be the case. Please stop trying to cater to the whims of the ISPs, it is stifling competition and innovation in what is already a market full of regional monopolies.
28. Sean Lucier, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I support strong net neutrality laws. Please don't scale them back.
29. Net Neutrality, Oklahoma City, OK, 73103  
I strongly OPPOSE any anti-regulation regarding net-neutrality. Internet provide companies should NOT control the internet speed of ANY website. The internet should remain FREE in the sense that I decide what websites I frequent and not be affected by what the companies lobbied to IP companies to get preference. Internet providers already have too much control as they divide themselves the US territory, not allowing for free-market competition.
30. Jakob J. Hertz, Oklahoma City, OK, 73102  
I fully and absolutely support net neutrality. I believe that Internet service providers should not be allowed to curb the speeds of specific sites and services for their own financial gain. The Internet is a public resource, a public utility, and it should be treated as such. Only through federal oversight is this possible. Thank you for your time.
31. Odie Freeman, OKC, OK, 73104  
It is of the utmost importance that the internet remain a free and open platform for the exchange of ideas, business, art, etc. I am urging you, as a private citizen of the USA, to keep the internet under Article II in order to protect and preserve the freedom that we currently enjoy. Making the changes proposed by chairman Pai would expose Americans to greater costs with no benefit. It would place them at the mercy of corporations that have no interest above their own profiteering. The even greater risk is that these revised rules would allow for the restriction of free speech and violation of 1st Amendment rights. If the government has one role, it is to protect its citizens. Please uphold this duty and keep the internet neutral.
32. David Potts, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
Preserve net neutrality under title II. That reese's mug is stupid
33. B J and Jerrie Lynn Miller, Edmond, OK, 73034  
We strongly support maintaining net neutrality by Title II oversight of ISP's
34. Patrick Kennedy, Oklahoma City, OK, 73116  
I am strongly opposed to Chairman Pai's proposed countermanding of the net neutrality rules. I believe Internet Service Providers should be required to enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites. The open internet is critical to upholding our First Amendment rights. We cannot rely on the good will of ISPs to act in the public interest.
35. Zack Walker, Oklahoma City, OK, 73104  
Please do not repeal the Obama administration's protections on Net Neutrality, screwing over obamacare is enough for you all.
36. Lawrence Rothblum, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
I am writing to support the present "net neutrality" status. Under these guidelines, the use of the internet has grown and so has corporate use and plans for increased opportunities for access. Moreover, the profits of those companies that provide internet access have not suffered under the present rules. The new "rules" being discussed provide significant opportunity for abuse. Moreover, the reliance upon the states to provide remedies for abuse or for the Federal government to "monitor" abuse and then correct it seems counter-intuitive. Moreover, there is little or no solid evidence (not opinion), that the corporations that administer/maintain/construct the internet backbone have suffered under the present rules. The new rules do nothing but provide the opportunity for restriction of access in the name of increased corporate profits.
37. Jonathan Brown, Oklahoma city, OK, 73107  
I support net neutrality title 2 doctrine!
38. Atticus Marker, Oklahoma City, OK, 73134  
For many people of my generation, reliability on online resources is a life-saver. Young people, more than ever, find themselves with severe mental health issues as they face a world which, in our eyes, is degrading. We feel guilt for the possibility of bringing children into this world, helplessness about the threat of climate change, and a constant fear of expressing ourselves as hate crimes seem to gain more acceptance. To calm ourselves, to steel ourselves for nightmares before we rest and to face the world in the morning, many use the social groups they can only find or safely participate in online. Many use pleasant videos. Most of us just want a distraction. What you do threatens my generation's mental well-being, in addition to the undeniable harm this will do to small businesses and to freedom of expression. As I can only imagine that anyone reviewing this is an investor, I would like to remind them all that the ability to trade accurately for any stocks is dependent on the speed that information is available. When you open up a trading website, it is absolutely vital that you have information as soon as possible. By rolling back regulations, you are putting your financial well-being more and more in the hands of an Internet Service Provider. In short, to deregulate Internet Access or remove Net Neutrality endangers youths, hurts freedom of expression, and will create chaos in the market. Do not allow the deregulation of Internet Access.
39. James Williams, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
I support Net Neutrality and would like to see it stand. Any one bit of data should be treated like another bit of data. An example of this not happening in past: When the iPhone launched, ATT touted "unlimited" data but then disallowed Facetime data over mobile data. Instead, they should have limited their data plan sizes and left it up to the consumer if they wanted to use their data for Facetime or something else. Please listen to the people over corporate interests on this issue. James Williams

40. Brandon Hoover, Bethany, OK, 73008  
Strong regulations are needed to ensure fair and open internet service. We must keep ISP's under title 2 regulations.
41. James Kliewer, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
I'm asking you to maintain (if not strengthen) Net Neutrality. We have seen in environmental issues that corporations do not voluntarily do what's best for consumers, and there is no evidence that they would do so in this case. Net Neutrality is vitally important for the free flowing of information, which is necessary in a democracy.
42. Christian Jacobson, Edmond, OK, 73003  
I wanted to take the time to express my support for Net Neutrality with Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
43. Spencer Stephens, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Net neutrality is important. The internet should be regulated under title 2.
44. Justin Edrington, choctaw, OK, 73020  
This is a very bad idea. Please leave ISPs as title 2. This will be a disgrace if you allow the ISPs to control our data the way they have tried in the past. This is not good for consumers and only good for the corporations.
45. Ashley Miller, Edmond, OK, 73012  
Support Title 2 Net Neutrality
46. James Herlihy, Edmond, OK, 73012  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISP). We should not allow the fox to guard the hen house.
47. Clint Russell, Oklahoma City, OK, 73134  
Leave NET Neutrality alone, ISPs must remain under Title II
48. James R West, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I wanted to let you know my position on this proceeding: I Support strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title II (2) oversight of Internet Service Providers.
49. Andrew Nicocia, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
"I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Protect Net Neutrality!"
50. Justis Huddleston, Oklahoma City, OK, 73105  
We must protect Net Neutrality under Title II. Pai's "internet freedom" is corporatocracy at its finest. Protect democracy. Protect speech. Protect Net Neutrality.
51. Jim McGrail, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
I support net neutrality remaining under title 2 oversight.
52. aleta.bowers, Del city, OK, 73115  
I support stronger net neutrality that are based on title 2
53. Aleta.bowers, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I fully support net neutrality based on Title 2 and do not approve of the proposed change.
54. Net Neutrality, Midwest City, OK, 73110  
The internet is built around the idea of openness. It allows people to connect and exchange information freely, if the information or service is not illegal. Much of this is because of the idea of net neutrality, but more importantly, net neutrality has enabled a level playing field on the internet. If there is no net neutrality, ISPs will have the power (and inclination) to shape internet traffic so that they can derive extra benefit from it. Without net neutrality, the internet as we know it will not exist. I firmly believe that ISPs should remain under Title II.
55. Net Neutrality, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I also find it ridiculous that you have made the process to comment on this so difficult. Please make it easier for the people to express their views.
56. Jake Martin, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Protect Net Neutrality and Title II. You work for all of us, not just corporate interests.
57. Kelly Douglass, oklahoma city, OK, 73104  
I am not in support of changing the current net neutrality rules. There is a reason the rules were changes from title 1 to title 2 in the first place. Relaxing the rules will have no positive outcome for for users and only help ISP's. Please reconsider listening to voters and US citizens instead of ISP interest groups money.
58. Isaac Kabrick, Oklahoma City, OK, 73116  
I am strongly in favor of Net Neutrality specifically backed by Title II oversight for ISPs, because of the long history of industries promising to self-regulate and failing to do so. Incentivizing specified slowdowns is not in the best interest of the American people.
59. Michael Watson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
Please preserve net neutrality. The internet should be an open forum for everyone and the removal of neutrality rules opens the door for

companies to unfairly play favorites for monetary reasons.

60. Scott Wilson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
I fully support strong net neutrality under article II of the Communications Act of 1934. Corporations have repeatedly abused their power against the interests of citizens, and cannot be trusted to protect the freedoms of expression and information that our society has come to rely on. It falls on the citizens and their government to protect an open internet and allow it to flourish in future generations.
61. Quincy Larson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
The FCC should leave Net Neutrality alone. We need strong Title 2 rules to prevent ISPs from abusing their position as the last mile of the internet.
62. Spencer Hicks, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
I want the net to remain neutral by keeping ISPs under Title 2.
63. Austin Box, OKC, OK, 73118  
Mr. Chairman, I support the preservation of net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. We need the continued support of specific regulation stating that content cannot be prioritized by data companies. We cannot leave it up to those companies to voluntarily promise they won't prioritize any data over the other. The internet needs to be kept neutral.
64. amber niblett, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Please leave the net neutrality regulations alone. No changes!!!
65. Edward Fry, Edmond, OK, 73034  
This needs to be kept under Article II!! That has been working well, and there is no good reason to change it.
66. Shane Melton, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
I support strong net neutrality regulation and Title II oversight
67. John David Brown, Oklahoma City, OK, 73105  
I am in favor of strong Net Neutrality regulations backed by Title II oversight by ISP's.
68. Jordan Hayes, Oklahoma City, OK, 73122  
WE SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY RULES
69. Matthew Labbe, Edmond, OK, 73034  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of internet service providers. Thank you.
70. Rene Roy, Edmond, OK, 73012  
It is imperative that the internet remain a level playing field. This necessitates strong neutrality protections, as provided by Title II. Do not loosen these regulations.
71. Linda Fry, Edmond, OK, 73034  
We need to keep this article 2! It's been working for years. Don't "fix" what isn't broken. Keep the internet free for EVERYONE not just those with money.
72. Jonathan Malatesta, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
I support net neutrality as well as you should too. Please preserve title 2. There is nothing wrong with the way we use the internet today.
73. Net Neutrality, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
I am for strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
74. Michael van der Veldt, Edmond, OK, 73012  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.Reduce the size of that man's coffee mug
75. Christopher Ryan Ray, Oklahoma City, OK, 73103  
It is paramount that the FCC maintains Title 2 regulations to ensure net neutrality is maintained. Considering the limited competition in many ISP markets, we cannot allow hypothetical situations to become reality. Market forces will not create proper incentives to avoid preferential treatment of internet traffic.
76. bryan wenzel, del city, OK, 73115  
Net neutrality is as critical to our economy, our society, and our future as common carrier status was to early phone systems. If it goes away, so do they. Except for the mega-wealthy, of course. Wait, wait, didn't Netflix get screwed by not having Net Neutrality? Even Netflix isn't rich enough to not need Net Neutrality?That's Bad
77. Evan Marshall, Edmond, OK, 73012  
You need to preserve strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's.
78. Jeff Stanolis, Choctaw, OK, 73020  
A free and open Internet is essential for a free society. I urge you to resist attempts to weaken net neutrality protections and entrench Internet monopolies.The FCC needs to keep ISP regulated under "Title 2". The FCC also needs to add Zero Rating to Title 2 net neutrality rules.

79. Drew Hopkins, Edmond, OK, 73034  
I believe in the preservation of net neutrality and encourage the reinforcement of Title II standards. We urge Mr. Pai to listen to the people and find a fair resolution. Also, Mr. Pai's coffee cup is beyond stupid. Kind regards.
80. Joseph Craven, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I believe that strong net neutrality is vital to a healthy, creative society. I support Title II net neutrality laws and oppose any easing of net neutrality expectations.
81. Nicholas Snodgrass, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Get rid of that stupid mug, too.
82. Martin J. Naylor, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
I would like to inform Mr. Ajit Pai and other members of the FCC debate concerning this issue and that I strongly and very specifically support Net Neutrality. This issue is already being enforced and protected by Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Any attempts to "fix"Net Neutrality, in the way that Mr. Pai and others (including several corporations), would result in a degradation of the internet as we know it in favor of monopolizing access to specific and promoted corporate sites. This would be to the detriment of the American people. Title II is there for a reason.
83. Koty Yell, Oklahoma City, OK, 73103  
I support classifying internet service providers under Title II and urge the FCC to protect consumer rights with strong net neutrality regulations.
84. Andrew Hale, Oklahoma City, OK, 73122  
Net neutrality needs to be preserved over all other issues. It must remain steadfast and free of any corporate interference.
85. Matthew Hester, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
I support total Net Neutrality with the belief that, in order to ensure a truly free market, ISPs must not be able to abridge or unfairly tamper with citizen and consumer choice. The Internet has allowed so many to pursue the American Dream in a way not possible before, but that will go away quickly if we allow a handful of established groups to decide what websites people are allowed to browse and what media people are allowed to consume.
86. Justin Dunsworth, Shawnee, OK, 74804  
We support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. To allow ISPs to throttle or control access based on the contributions they receive from companies is wrong especially in a global internet.
87. Jeremy Little, oklahoma city, OK, 73134  
Net Neutrality is a fundamental element of what the internet was supposed to be. I strongly oppose any attempt to further monetize internet access beyond the basic access fee. That includes, deprioritization of smaller sites, which would likely stifle competition and innovation. There should be no "super highways" for sites that can pay more. Every bit over the web should be treated equal. Mr. Pai, while selected by Trump, does not represent the American people's best interests in this instance.
88. Brett White, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
I support \_strong\_ Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II regulation, so I *\*strongly\** oppose 17-108.
89. Ron Cariker, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
I support strong net neutrality regulations under Title 2. I do not want this rolled back like so many other massive mistakes the trump administration continues to make.
90. Benny Christensen, Edmond, OK, 73012  
We need strong net neutrality through Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
91. Steven, Oklahoma City, OK, 73134  
I strongly support strong Net Neutrality guidelines and continued enforcement under Title II.
92. Austin Booth, Oklahoma City, OK, 73134  
I support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! Net Neutrality allows for companies to have freedom of speech without fear of their sites accessibility being hurt by an ISP which does not agree with the speech. Net Neutrality also allows for the open marketplace of ideas where a company such as Netflix has the ability to offer a service without the ISP's limiting the accessibility to Netflix in favor of another company which provides the same service or in favor of a competing service from the ISP itself. Net Neutrality back by Title 2 oversight of ISP's must be kept!
93. Kimberly Vann, Oklahoma City, OK, 73105  
Save net neutrality... Do not remove ISP's from Title 2.
94. Connor Norvell, edmond, OK, 73013  
Hello! I am a web developer, and I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. A non-neutral internet would directly affect my job, directly affect the internet as a whole, and stop new startups from launching. If the internet isn't neutral, large companies will hold a monopoly on online media and websites, this cannot happen in an open marketplace. So I plead with the FCC to keep net neutrality, without it the internet will no longer be a free market. thank you. - Connor
95. Erik Williams, Oklahoma City, OK, 73114  
I SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE 2 OVERSIGHT OVER ISP's

96. Nathan Thomas, Oklahoma City, OK, 73104  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please, consider the longer term effects caused by this decision from the perspective of the people rather than the potential gains of a business/corporation. If you don't understand the concerns made by the people, please take time to do so before making a final decision.
97. David Waggoner, Oklahoma City, OK, 73127  
Leave the net neutrality regulations in place, I vote in every election, this will poison the Republican party for me.
98. Alexandria Talbot, Edmond, OK, 73012  
I strongly believe that the internet needs to remain neutral. It is a utility necessary for communication and has been used in emergency situations. Any stifling of the flow of information is an attack on free speech, freedom of assembly, and entrepreneurship.
99. Alexander Rocha, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
We need to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Please.
100. Jose Tellez, Oklahoma City, OK, 73159  
ISP's shouldn't block or discriminate against any applications or content that rides on those networks. I support the right to freely communicate online without restrictions or caps or allow preference of content online.
101. Aaron Krauss, Edmond, OK, 73012  
I support a free and open web with net neutrality.
102. Eric Martin, Oklahoma City, OK, 73135  
I specifically express support for net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
103. Joe Shelton, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Net neutrality is important to me. Please don't let internet providers determine how we access the Internet.
104. Zachary Troutt, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
I believe that our internet is a vital part of the America's infrastructure. I support Title 2 and true net neutrality.
105. Olivia Faler, Del City, OK, 73115  
Please keep the internet free so we can all have equal access to the content of our choice.
106. net neutrality, Edmond, OK, 73034  
I support Net Neutrality. The internet is, and always should be, a free and open place. It's one of the wonders of the modern world, and ISP's do not have the right to restrict access to this limitless pool of information.
107. Joseph H., Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
Giving too much power to internet service providers to control what happens on the market of the internet is wrong, and should never happen. Allowing such things to happen would kill smaller startups and companies trying to do something worthwhile with the internet should internet service providers decide to slow data transfer for whatever arbitrary reason. Politicians and policy makers may think this looks good on paper, but I guarantee if net neutrality is dismantled, the people who helped pass such laws allowing it will regret it when they want to use a service on the internet and find that accessing them is more time consuming than a competing product backed by some mega-corporation. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers.
108. Kevin Cobbs, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
Net Neutrality is only maintained by ISPs being classified title 2. No company can be trusted to choose what is right over what is more profitable. Reclassifying them as title 1 will do nothing but hurt the internet freedom of American citizens. Do not change ISPs back to title 1.
109. Alexander Howard-Fuller, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
ISPs should stay TITLE II. I do not support the end of net neutrality.
110. Luis Salas, Oklahoma City, OK, 73129  
I as a citizen of the United States ask that you support net neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISP's. Cutting this regulation will eliminate competitiveness in the internet
111. Jarrod Rackley, Oklahoma City, OK, 73122  
Removing it from under title II is an awful idea. Expecting that corporations, who are in the business of making money, aren't going to pull shady shit is just being willfully ignorant.
112. Michael Collins, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
As a supporter of Net Neutrality, I am STRONGLY OPPOSED to docket 17-108. This is an Act clearly slanted in favor of ISPs by removing restrictions put in place for a reason. Stripping away Title II restrictions does nothing to support "freedom of the internet", and its very name is an egregious attempt to deceive the American people into thinking the opposite. In fact, the people hurt by this act are the American public! ISPs want these regulations removed is so they can legally do the very thing the regulations protect against!! If you take the lid off the cookie jar and set it down on the floor, this doesn't suddenly incentivize a child to NOT go and eat the cookies. These rules are in place for a reason. If this was not the case, why would the limitations need to be removed? Removing a rule does nothing except allow others to safely exploit the new lack of oversight. You don't remove a regulation on the "good faith" that an entity will do the right thing and maintain a fair and unbiased system. These Title II restrictions assure that ISPs must maintain fair and competitive access to all web traffic. I support keeping ISPs classified under Title II. I support a fair and neutral Internet for all, not just

a few. I support true freedom on the Internet. I DO NOT SUPPORT docket 17-108.

113. Thomas Labarthe, Edmond, OK, 73013  
As a US Citizen, I believe it to be a grave misstep to consider that net neutrality be viewed under anything but TITLE 2. Don't attack the sanctity of equal internet access by tearing up this rule of net neutrality, no matter what nonsense the administration says to try to do away with a free and open internet.
114. Katy Kinard, OKC, OK, 73142  
Dear Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Sincerely, Katy Kinard
115. Randall Kinard, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
Dear Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Sincerely, Randall Kinard
116. Callie Cochran, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Title II should remain the "legal footing" the net neutrality takes. Let's try to pretend these United States are not a corporate run entity for a change.
117. Adam Warren, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
I am strongly opposed to Docket No. 17-108. However I am in strong support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Please do the right thing and make our world a better place.
118. carol, Oklahoma City, OK, 73119  
Do not allow the wolves to guard the sheep. Keep Net Neutrality alive by leaving the ISP providers under Title II
119. Lauran Blakeburn, Edmond, OK, 73034  
Please protect Net Neutrality for us!
120. Net Neutrality, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Keep the Net Neutrality rules as set by the Obama Administration. Everyone is entitled to the same size pipeline to the internet. Do NOT allow ISPs to determine the size of my pipeline.
121. Quinn Irwin, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Ajit Pai's opinion on this that the internet has thrived without any regulation is disingenuous to the extreme. Comparing the early internet to today's internet is like comparing apples to oranges. There were many ISP's at that time and their primary business was providing access to the internet. Today, most people are very limited in their selection of ISP's, and the ones that do exist are now mostly also in the business of content creation, distribution. Allowing companies to "control their own networks" will create massive disruption to the net as we know it. The internet is an essential tool to all citizens of the nation and should not be restrained so Comcast or Verizon or Cox can make a few more bucks by throttling our access to it.
122. Adele DeWitt, Edmond, OK, 73034  
Net neutrality and Section II is very important for keeping big business from taking over yet another aspect of our lives. Leave it alone.
123. Jesse Warne, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2. This is vital to American's freedom and access to information. Service providers are already involved in content creation and if given the chance would undoubtedly prioritize service which profits them over their competitors. The current regulations put the best interest of the American people first and any change would contradict the mission and purpose of the FCC.
124. Blaine Phillips, Edmond, OK, 73012  
I support net neutrality supported by Title II oversight. Please do not undo this.
125. Dallas Fuller, Edmond, OK, 73034  
I believe it is imperative to preserve net neutrality in order to preserve Internet freedom.
126. conner b, Okc, OK, 73134  
I believe that the delivery of internet, no matter the provider or the vehicle, should be unbiased and equal to everyone and from everyone. The moment we start elevating permissions to individuals with more capital or influence we, as a democracy, begin to cripple ourselves and the principles we were founded on. Anyone that has the ability to vote has the responsibility not only to themselves, but to the very freedom of information to maintain and further the cause for neutrality in all aspects of our communication infrastructure. Thank you for your time.
127. William Edwards, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
Pro title II Pro Net neutrality
128. Spike Alkire, Edmond, OK, 73034  
I am in favor of keeping net neutrality rules intact. I am against tiers of internet service, and I do not wish for my isp to have the power to pick winners and losers.
129. Kyle Kanaly, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Please don't take net neutrality away. Without it the freedom of the internet will be taken away, and will just become disgustingly corrupted.
130. Paul Kirk, Oklahoma City, OK, 73102  
Leave the Title II designation for ISP's in place. Any tampering with the current designation will not effectively protect our right to

browse the internet freely and openly as US citizens.

131. Sarah Glaser, Oklahoma City, OK, 73116  
I strongly urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules, backed by Title II. Please DO NOT loosen current regulations on net neutrality! Respectfully, Sarah Glaser
132. Phillip J Gonzales, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
I believe we should continue to handle the rules of Net Neutrality through Title II.
133. Thomas Young, Edmond, OK, 73034  
Net neutrality needs to be protected. It allows the growth of new companies and is a bulwark against monopolistic behavior amongst already large companies.
134. Eddie Merkel, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Please preserve net neutrality and title II. Don't let the man win!
135. Greg Elwell, Edmond, OK, 73012  
Net Neutrality laws should stay exactly where they are, if not be made more stringent. ISPs have virtual monopolies in every city in America. The number of phone service providers barely provides any competition. Without the FCC's current rules, there is no doubt ISPs will use their power to stifle competition and enrich themselves at the expense of the people. Do your jobs. Stop trying to hand this off to someone else. We need proactive governance, not merely after-the-fact wrist slapping.
136. Khala Crawford, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Net Neutrality under Title two is very important to me and my family. It is the American way. It is the American dream.
137. TJ Kitchens, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
I just want to let it be known that I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
138. Mark Jassey, Oklahoma City, OK, 73116  
I strongly support net neutrality, that is why we need title 2 oversight of ISPs.
139. wayne jarvis, OKLAHOMA CITY, OK, 73132  
Rules should not be changed that undermine net neutrality. Fair and equal access to content is the cornerstone of our economy online.
140. James Bogle, Edmond, OK, 73012  
Your mug is silly and your proposal is bad. ISPs cannot be trusted to self regulate and due to municipal cable monopolies, consumers have no ability to move to other providers who will not throttle content. The fact that most ISPs are allowed to operate as geographically based monopolies is ample reason alone to continue to regulate them under Title II.
141. Katie Rosenhamer, Edmond, OK, 73012  
I support maintaining net neutrality. I make my living working remotely, as does about 75% of the staff of my employer. By allowing ISPs to throttle our internet speeds and/or charge us even more for "priority" access, we will lose our ability to buy affordable and adequate internet service so we can keep working from our desired location. Our employer doesn't subsidize our internet, we pay for it and we have to maintain a minimum speed for work purposes. By "freeing" the internet you would actually be damaging livelihoods and a company's workforce with rules that only help big business and hurt consumers.
142. Sean Wales, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Are we seriously doing this again? I strongly support net neutrality and title 2 enforcement. Let's keep the internet free and open to innovation!
143. David William Robinson, Wewoka, OK, 74884  
Dear FCC. Do not ruin the internet. Maintain title 2 net neutrality. Please understand that if you mess this up, you will be making enemies of everyone in the united states that uses the internet... Which.. Is everybody. And not everybody will react in a calm and respectful way. So save yourself some trouble, be a hero, and maintain net neutrality.
144. Nathan Smith, Edmond, OK, 73034  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. It's ri-goddamn-diculous that we have to do this again 3 years after this shit was put to bed.
145. Carl Symcox, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
I strongly support Title II oversight of ISPs in the name of a Strong Net Neutrality regulatory framework. I do NOT trust ISP's to 'self-regulate,' so I would like to make it clear that I am OPPOSED to Docket No. 17-108.
146. Philip Williams, Edmond, OK, 73012  
Please preserve strong Net Neutrality regulation, at least until such a time as it is made obsolete by fresh Congressional action. I heard Chairman Pai's comments to NPR on the relative benefits of after-the-fact, just-in-time regulation based on complaints and harm, and was dismayed. It's like saying we should legalize murder because it really doesn't happen all that often and sometimes might even be a good thing, and we'll just figure out after the fact, on a case-by-case basis, what we should do if someone manages to file a complaint through some ridiculously arcane system (like this one). We have regulation, it's working, ISPs are still investing in infrastructure, so the existing regulation really isn't doing any harm. And if those ISPs are complaining about the regulatory burden slowing them down, we should ask what exactly they'll get out of regulations being lifted: are they intending to violate the text of the regulation? If we're expecting ISPs to voluntarily abide by the spirit of the regulation, but they still want us to lift the regulation itself for some reason ...

there's something fishy going on that we should absolutely not turn a blind eye to.

147. Conrad Harris, edmond, OK, 73003  
I specifically want strong net neutrality to protect online freedom of consumers.
148. Tad Chapman, oklahoma city, OK, 73111  
Preserve Net Neutrality. It is essential for free and fair usage. Without Net Neutrality, ISP will stifle the growth of small and new businesses in favor of their own interests.
149. James Byers, Edmond, OK, 73034  
I encourage strong net neutrality supported by the vigorous enforcement of Title 2 regulations. Please, let's not turn back the clock to accommodate the wishes of telecommunications companies.
150. Brenna Chenault, Edmond, OK, 73012  
I support net neutrality and regulation of ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. A free and open internet fosters new ideas and capitalism in the 21st century. ISPs want to consolidate their power and pick winners and losers instead of letting the free market decide which services are best.
151. Jared Barger, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I support a free and open internet regulated under Title II. The focus on making the shift from Title 1 to Title II the result of a "partisan" decision making process is quite frankly insulting - thousands of people made this clear that it's what we want, and all the evidence points to it being the right decision to be made. Making the option to be net neutral a voluntary one is worthless - for the protections to be useful (and they need to be) they can't be applied so lightly. The decision to try and change this is wrong and should not go forward.
152. internet neutrality, oklahoma city, OK, 73112  
Please support regulation and Title 2 classification of internet service providers.
153. Goran Licanin, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I strongly support net neutrality laws and keeping ISP's classified under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Relaxing the rules binding ISPs would only serve to harm everyday Americans, and small and large business alike. KEEP THE INTERNET OPEN AND FREE. Thank you.
154. Mary Licanin, Edmond, OK, 73013  
KEEP THE INTERNET OPEN AND FREE. I strongly support net neutrality and keeping ISPs classified under title II.
155. Michael Barton, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I support net neutrality under Title 2 classification by the FCC. I do not trust Congressional mandates or self-mandate by ISPs.
156. Tayton Roy Hadley, Oklahoma City, OK, 73114  
I support net neutrality in its current form
157. Roger Hamilton, Oklahoma City, OK, 73179  
Strong and enforceable net neutrality requires Title II classification. The strength of the Internet was because of the practice of treating all data the same. Without local competition there are no choices in ISPs. And without competition market forces will not correct bad actors.
158. Mike Monroney, Oklahoma City, OK, 73169  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by title II classifications and oversight. The Internet should always remain open and it should be made accessible to everyone.
159. Wesley Graham, Warr Acres, OK, 73122  
Net neutrality is an important safeguard against propaganda and overreach from business and government, and must be protected.
160. Brian Lapsley, Oklahoma City, OK, 73117  
I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Title II oversight is imperative to my job and my industry.
161. 14\*28, oklahoma city, OK, 73103  
Please use title two and support Net Neutrality
162. Tyler Hartgrove, Oklahoma City, OK, 73114  
Dear Chairman Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. Thanks!
163. Tyler Clark, Edmond, OK, 73012  
I strongly and fully support net neutrality
164. Adam Smith, Oklahoma city, OK, 73112  
A strong stance on net neutrality is the only way to safeguard ourselves against unfair practices regarding quality and speeds. Please do not roll back net neutrality protections.
165. jwilck613, Oklahoma City, OK, 73159  
Leave net neutrality as it currently exists. Loosening of regulations will not make it better for the majority of americans.

166. Victor Quezada, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
I just wanted to say that enough is going around the country with the immigration discrimination towards certain ethnicities, the rise in government corruption and just terrible justice all around. Net Neutrality is the only thing that keeps me going on a daily basis and not even spreading my concern on Facebook because of their hidden policies. But having my phone company sell my identity at their description and forcing me to choose certain networks (Comcast) is not right. I already wrote about this but this shouldn't be a thing at all!
167. Blake McCarty, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
I support strong net neutrality and enforcement of title II
168. Clay Hammons, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. This issue is very important to me!!
169. Michael Cheek, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
I completely support strong net neutrality rules where ISPs are classified under Title II.
170. Reza, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
keep net neutrality. internet must be fair and even playing field.
171. Alex Wilio, Jones, OK, 73049  
The last thing we need during this screwed up presidency is for someone to take away our net neutrality. I'm in unwavering support of net neutrality, and anyone who is not is a daft fool. Also, why the hell do you need my address and email to make PUBLICLY AVAILABLE?
172. Ted Johnson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73139  
You really, REALLY need to keep the net neutrality rules backed by Title II strong. This shouldn't even be in question.
173. Rebecca Morse, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
This is a bad idea. I want to keep net neutrality, not have some provider determine when and how I can use the internet. Access to the internet must remain as it always has.
174. net neutrality, Oklahoma City, OK, 73116  
We need strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers.
175. William Corder, Oklahoma City, OK, 73114  
Net neutrality is vital, and keeping ISPs regulated under Title 2 is the only way to ensure fairness and free open exchange of ideas and content via the internet, now and for the foreseeable future. The only way to keep corporations from changing the conversation exclusively toward their own interests and not that of the public is to keep the regulations as they are.
176. Zach Hartpence, Oklahoma City, OK, 73102  
The FCC did a great thing with net neutrality. Please preserve what has already been accomplished. I strognly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
177. Jason Shafer, Del City, OK, 73115  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight. Without net neutrality, new and existing companies will suffer needlessly.
178. Jacob Palmer, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
Net Neutrality is paramount to a free and open internet. We need to keep srtong Title II Net Neutrality laws.
179. Seth Johnson, oklahoma city, OK, 73103  
Please maintain net neutrality under title two. Failure to do this constitutes a direct threat to an open Internet and will cause untold damage in lost opportunities. Please do your job and stop large telecoms from garnering the power to dictate what I do with the internet I purchase.
180. jose rodriguez, Oklahoma city, OK, 73149  
I want net neutrality and title II regulations thank you very much
181. Kori Yeatts, Spencer, OK, 73084  
I have to express worry that the FCC shows so little concern for the freedom of information to flow unabated and free for the general public and content creators to use and enrich the world.Please don't let companies manipulate our connection to the world for their benefit.
182. Mac Jones, Oklahoma City, OK, 73117  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Please preserve net neutrality as it currently stands (as of May 8, 2017).
183. Phillip Dunford, Edmond, OK, 73034  
I encourage everyone to preserve net neutrality and Title 2.
184. Eric Hemphill, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
I urge you to maintain Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II.
185. Chad Stephenson, Edmond, OK, 73012  
Please retain the current Net Neutrality regulations backed by Title II. Prior to these regulations there was abundant evidence that

Comcast (among others) had intentionally slowed certain traffic in an effort to extort money from the providers of those services.

186. Emily Wehba, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
I believe strongly in net neutrality and stand behind Title II. PLEASE CONSIDER WHAT THE PEOPLE REACHING OUT TO THE FCC AND THE PEOPLE THAT VOTE CARE ABOUT. BIG BUSINESS INTENT SHOULD NOT RULE THIS DECISION! EVERY COMPANY NEEDS A NEUTRAL CHANCE! THAT'S WHY IT IS A GREAT REGULATION AND SHOULD NOT BE REMOVED FOR THE WRONG REASONS! Are monopolies illegal any longer?
187. Brent, bethany, OK, 73008  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The fact that you are attempting to roll back the protections is disgusting and obvious to being in your own favor and not in the interest of the people of this nation. I have no need in listing all the reasons why what you're attempting to do is wrong, you know it as well as anyone so quit lying and fabricating reasons for the rollback.
188. Beth Hartman, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
I'm writing to support net neutrality and keeping ISPs under Title II. Removing the protections offered by Title II will guarantee that ISPs have a stranglehold on content producers and we will all be worse off. STAY AWAY FROM NET NEUTRALITY. YOU ARE COMMITTING POLITICAL SUICIDE.
189. Ramanuja Vokkarane, Edmond, OK, 73034  
I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by the Title II oversight of ISPs! I strongly oppose to Docket No.17-108
190. Beverly Langston, Edmond, OK, 73012  
I demand as a citizen that the FCC ensure net neutrality. We pay tremendous amounts to access the internet, unlike many European countries that have free internet, and we deserve to have equal access to the sites we choose to frequent. Allowing big companies like Verizon, ATT&T, Cox, etc to determine what we access on the internet solely so they can gouge the public even more is immoral. A government agency is supposed to protect the public, not help big companies gouge the public. Also, why did you make it so difficult to make a comment? Is this another case of obscuring the truth in order to aid the wealthy and powerful? Shame, shame, shame
191. Travis Adams, Oklahoma City, OK, 73013  
I support strong net neutrality. Please keep Title II oversight over ISPs. Otherwise, businesses will not be incentivized to look out for individual users of the internet. This regulation is necessary.
192. Celeste England, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
As an attorney, I believe net neutrality is vital to this country. We have laws preventing monopolies to allow all businesses to flourish. Eliminating net neutrality will allow for large companies to purposely block smaller companies from expanding by preventing websites from being loaded at equal speeds. This will cause people to turn to the "faster" sites instead of viewing all available options. Small businesses cannot compete with other net neutrality.
193. Alex Hanna, oklahoma city, OK, 73112  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs to maintain a free and open internet.
194. josh schlegel, Oklagoma city, OK, 73142  
I oppose this bill. Do not end net neutrality
195. Angela Singleton, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
I want to express my support for strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
196. Beverly Langston, Edmond, OK, 73012  
As a citizen of the United States, I demand that the FCC continue to ensure net neutrality. We pay a huge amount to access the internet, unlike many European countries that provide free internet, and we deserve to have access to whatever sites we choose to frequent. Allowing Verizon, ATT&T, Cox, etc, to control internet access just so they can get their greedy hands on even more money is immoral. The government agencies are supposed to protect the public, not act as an advocate of the gougers. Also, making it so difficult to make public comments is just another way to obscure the truth to further help the wealthy and powerful. Shame shame shame
197. Justin C. Cliburn, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
I do not support lifting the "net neutrality" rules.
198. John Nelles, EDMOND, OK, 73013  
Please continue to uphold strong net neutrality rules and keep Internet service providers listed in Title II with strong regulatory obligations.
199. james hoover, Okc, OK, 73120  
I want fairness
200. Mark Howard, edmond, OK, 73003  
Please keep the internet free from corporate abuse. I urge you to keep Title 2 oversight on ISP providers
201. Luis, Oklahoma city, OK, 73112  
I am in support of strong net neutrality and backed by title 2 oversight of isps! I am opposed to docket number 17-108
202. Michael King, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I strongly support STRONG Net Neutrality under the current Title II regulations. Loosening Net Neutrality regulations in the ways that have been proposed would be harmful to American consumers and small business owners.

203. Richard Ho, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
Please continue to keep Internet Service Providers classified under Title II. Title II protections promote fair competition in the market for online services. Net Neutrality is essential in order to maintain equal access to all providers of content to have an equal footing from the consumer's standpoint. Thank you.
204. Kellee Youkhana, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Keep net neutrality. Keep it under title 2. And John Oliver's cup it's bigger!
205. Casey, OKC, OK, 73132  
This is horrible, do not pass. Duh.
206. Amber Niebur, Oklahoma City, OK, 73119  
The proposed attack on net neutrality is an abomination to everyone who holds the first amendment dear. Ending Title II would be an atrocity. You must maintain the codes as they stand. And since when is the head of the FCC allowed to have corporate sponsors? This is despicable.
207. Joseph Carignan, Oklahoma City, OK, 73135  
Current classification is one of the most obviously good ideas the FCC has ever implemented. Leave existing regulations alone and preserve net neutrality.
208. Amanda Roach, Edmond, OK, 73012  
Net neutrality is an important issue to me. I am very concerned that the new FCC chairman, Ajit Pai, has pledged to take a "weed whacker" to net neutrality protections under Title II. I have already contacted my senators, who claim it is merely semantics whether ISPs are regulated under Title I or Title II, but we all know that is not true. Regulation under Title II is required for net neutrality to be legally protected from ISPs' attempts to promote their own products or limit individuals' access to the internet. We depend on you to protect net neutrality and the interests of citizens above that of corporations. Thank you.
209. Shea Hutchison, Edmond, OK, 73034  
I strongly oppose Docket No. 17-108. I believe that a free internet cannot exist without Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. While I am normally not in favor of heavier government regulation, the internet has now become a must in everyday life and I do not want my private information sold to the highest bidder. I also do not care to allow ISPs to charge both consumers and content creators for any sort of prioritized traffic or "fast lane". Once again, I am strongly against Docket No. 17-108.
210. Trevor James, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I support strong net neutrality in America!
211. Adeel Khan, Edmond, OK, 73034  
Net neutrality is essential for liberty. Period.
212. Diana Lynn, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I support the continued use of Title 2 regulations for the regulation of internet service providers. The internet is as vital to modern life as any other utility, and thus should be governed as strictly as one. Do not rollback the regulation as is proposed in this docket.
213. Randi Dodd, OKC, OK, 73106  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Don't be a dick please
214. Mason Williams, Choctaw, OK, 73020  
I support strong Net Neutrality rules. Please keep ISP providers in the title 2 category. This will help to maintain freedoms for all Americans which I know is what you'll would like to do. I would hate to think you'll were trying to restrict freedom and liberty.
215. Dylan Ortiz, OKC, OK, 73120  
Leave our internet alone, you dumbasses. America's been taking L's since we elected that fat cheeto into the White House.
216. Kelly ryan, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I fully support Net Neutrality and believe that we need to keep involved companies under Title II.
217. Daren Daniels, Oklahoma City, OK, 73104  
Preserve net-neutrality and Title 2.
218. Michael McEuen, Oklahoma City, OK, 73159  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. ISP's consistently rank lowest of any companies in terms of customer satisfaction. We should not trust them to self-regulate in this area, which bears great resemblance to other utility-type services like electricity and water.
219. Neha Chataut, Edmond, OK, 73003  
Net Neutrality is a very important issue to many people. I don't want companies incharge of my internet. Don't overrule net neutrality!
220. Randy Dill Jr., Seminole, OK, 74868  
Net neutrality is important. Please don't kill net neutrality in our country
221. James E Freeman, Oklahoma City, OK, 73103  
I strongly oppose docket number 17-108 and support net neutrality.

222. Marc Schadee, oklahoma city, OK, 73112  
I strongly support net neutrality and keeping an open net that does not sell or limit my capability to use data.
223. Grant Cogswell, Oklahoma City, OK, 73179  
Please do not allow the ISPs to go back to Title I. There are rules for a reason. I, nor anyone else, want their internet throttled or blocked simply due to the ISPs wishes.
224. Alan Goodall, Edmond, OK, 73034  
I vehemently oppose the proposed changes to "net neutrality" rules. Please keep the current regulations in place to keep fair and open access to all users and content creators.
225. Bob Hope, wewoka, OK, 74884  
Please preserve current net neutrality regulations in title 2.
226. Tyson Brown, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Keep our internet free. Keep our title 2 protections! It's what the people want!
227. Jillian, Okc, OK, 73106  
I support net neutrality based on title II for ISPs
228. Geoff Swanstrom, Edmond, OK, 73012  
Please do not revert internet service providers to Title 1 status. As we have seen time and again, without some restraint on their actions, these providers will abuse monopolistic positions in many markets to further their financial interests at the expense of their consumers. We saw before the reclassification in 2015, they actively fought open Internet standards and the courts agreed that Title 2 classification was required for the government enact net neutrality regulations. Even Adam Smith pointed out that the government's role was to ensure a well-functioning market, while market forces would often push toward monopolies and abuses of those monopolistic powers. The vast majority of consumers in this country have less than 3 options for high-speed ISPs - that is by definition not a free and well-functioning market, and purely free-market solutions, as advocated by Chairman Pai, therefore do not work.
229. Debrah Kaufman, Oklahoma City, OK, 73103  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II of the FCC Code
230. jeff murray, Okc, OK, 73122  
Fuck Verizon !!!! And the big orange đŸŠ fuckin dick head!!! FREE THE INTERNET!!!
231. Scott R Wilkins, Oklahoma City, OK, 73104  
Regarding Net Neutrality rules: I am writing to urge the FCC to keep strong Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.
232. jerry kernahan, Oklahoma. City, OK, 73127  
You are a lackie for the industry. Net Neutrality keeps corporations from manuplitaing the net. You know the is but being a lucky you choose to ignor the public
233. Kelly Olson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
Like many critical utilities necessary for daily life in America, fair and equal access to the internet is necessary for many people to lead their daily lives. As it stands internet service providers cannot be trusted to reliably offer fair, equal, and neutral internet access without strong regulation. Continuing to regulate ISPs under title two provisions will greatly help to hold ISPs accountable for the services they charge. In addition it will also help to prevent ISPs discriminate based on what data users desire to send or receive, as well as who they send it to. The Internet must remain neutral, and as it stands it must be regulated to preserve that neutrality!
234. Selena Skorman, Oklahoma City, OK, 73104  
I strongly support net neutrality and Title II. Do not relax regulation on net neutrality.
235. Tawna Dickens, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
Please ensure net neutrality by keeping Title II in charge of oversight.
236. Michelle Cook, Oklahoma City, OK, 73131  
I'm in favor of net neutrality. ISP's should not be allowed to voluntarily participate.
237. Dylan Walter, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I support strong Net Neutrality rules including Title 2. Please don't eliminate these.
238. Katherine Brooks, Choctaw, OK, 73020  
America has a long history of information freedom. Restrictions on net neutrality are fundamentally unAmerican.
239. Audrey Goodwin, Edmond, OK, 73012  
I support net neutrality and believe that ISPs should be regulated under title 2.
240. Ben Goodwin, Edmond, OK, 73012  
Please support net neutrality explicitly. Please keep ISPs regulated by Title II.
241. Net Neutrality, Oklahoma City, OK, 73127  
It is our right to have full access to the internet any person company.

242. janie renschaw, Okc, OK, 73107  
I support net neutrality backed and enforced by TITLE II.
243. Arvin Goldsberry, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
Doing away with regulations on greedy unscrupulous corporations is a bad idea. Uphold Net Neutrality!!
244. Jeremy Perry, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
I support strong Net Neutrality laws and find them incredibly important.
245. Julia C Cook, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
I am for strong neutrality supported by Title 2.
246. Jezy Gray, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
We need strong net neutrality rules to maintain free and fair access to the Internet. Obama-era protections should remain in place. Weakening them would be massively unpopular.
247. Becky, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Please keep the net neutral and preserve Title II
248. Sam Lloyd, Oklahoma City, OK, 73104  
We are in support of complete net neutrality through Title II regulation of ISPs. This is of critical importance in maintaining an equal playing field in the growing world of e-commerce and completely electronic delivery of media.
249. Lynn Smith, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
I oppose the FCC Chairman's push to change net neutrality regulations. This is not in the best interest of American citizens.
250. Kyle Marshall, Oklahoma City, OK, 73104  
Keep the internet free and fair!
251. Net Neutrality, Oklahoma City, OK, 73104  
I support the stronger net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
252. Nick Juarez, Oklahoma City, OK, 73119  
I support keeping the net neutrality rules in place as they are now. I do not wish for ISPs to be able to actively de-prioritize traffic, but rather treat all data sources similarly.
253. Phillip Stark, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Protect Net Neutrality and Title II enforcement. As a multimillion dollar business manager, it is absurd to me that any provider would "do the right and fair thing" in keeping the internet speeds the same for all content and without regard to their competition, and is laughable at best. Especially if they aren't forced to do so. It's insulting to me, my education and experience in the business world, to be considered an ignorant child by the FCC leadership that we are to believe removing Title II status on net neutrality is good for the consumer or for competitive fairness.
254. Ben Bowlware, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
We need net neutrality. Title 2
255. Chris Shilling, Oklahoma City, OK, 73103  
Please serve the interests of the public and not internet service providers. Please protect net neutrality under Title II. Thank you!
256. Madhusudan Rao, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
Do not weaken net neutrality regulations. Do not implement 'Restoring Internet Freedom'.
257. rijad suljevic, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
Strongly support net neutrality with tittle II regulations.
258. Mike Fernholz, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Please enforce strong net neutrality under title 2.
259. Lauren Reynolds, Oklahoma City, OK, 73134  
It's been said before, so I'm not sure why we're all here, having to do this commenting nonsense again, but NET NEUTRALITY IS IMPORTANT AND MUST STAY INTACT.
260. Elizabeth Maughan, Oklahoma City, OK, 73127  
Please consider what you are doing. Right now, the internet is a fair place with equal access to all sites, platforms, and companies. If this changes, we will have invisible filters on our internet. We have a free press that operates without influence from the government (in most cases). The same should be said of the internet. Please don't allow companies to influence these important laws!!!
261. Sam Snelling, Edmond, OK, 73012  
We support strong net neutrality laws and regulations. We would like you to preserve the current laws and Title 2.
262. Forrest Bennett, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
If you are anything but a corporate shill, you will support preserving Net Neutrality under Title II, i.e. as it exists in it's current form as of May 8, 2017, as I personally do. Do the right thing.

263. Joshua Miller, Oklahoma City, OK, 73116  
I support strong oversight of ISP's via net neutrality rules including maintaining Title II regulations.
264. Micah Adams, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
Net neutrality is a pillar of consumer freedom and access to information. You see how bad it has been when money was allowed into politics with the citizens united case, this is the citizens united of the internet. Don't freakin make the internet speed something that can be manipulated.
265. McKeever Arnold, Del City, OK, 73115  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. The Internet should be treated as a utility and available to anyone without restriction. Net Neutrality is a must for internet activity. Without it, companies will be able to limit access and block users from seeing certain pages and products. This is taking away freedoms from internet users and cannot be allowed.
266. Clay Ferguson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
Please protect net neutrality and title 2.Thanks!
267. Charles Urbanczyk, Oklahoma City, OK, 73116  
I unreservedly express my support for strong net neutrality rules to remain under title II. Despite many false narratives to the contrary, the underlying principle of net neutrality has been a primary driver and protector of innovation in services delivered over the internet over decades. To undermine this basic principle in favor of the commercial interests of a handful of service providers is to drastically limit the potential yet untapped in this still rapidly evolving information economy. An economy we owe, in large part, to the very idea that every byte is equal.
268. Julia Green, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Protect Net Neutrality! Let us have one thing, ONE thing, be protected. PLEASE!
269. Katy Raybourn, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
I support strong net neutrality! Please don't ignore those who need net neutrality.
270. Scott Plumbtree, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
I am in support of keeping title II net neutrality regulations for the internet. I am extremely concerned about the direction the FCC is leaning in supporting businesses over consumers. Thank you.
271. Mason Miller, Oklahoma City, OK, 73102  
Please maintain net neutrality and a free and open internet.
272. L Wolaver, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Mr. Ajit Pai - I specifically support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP.
273. john farley, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Please preserve current rules protecting net neutrality.
274. Adam Adler, Oklahoma City, OK, 73012  
I fully support strong net neutrality laws backed by Title II oversight. The loss of enforceable net neutrality cannot in any way help the common consumer or citizen, including most companies. Keep Title II provisions intact.
275. keri, Okc, OK, 73120  
I support keeping ISPs classified as title 2 supporting net neutrality. ----because what if trump made the ISPs slow down hbo!? Also, bc goats singing Taylor swift.
276. John Burton, Oklahoma City, OK, 73102  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Just for once, throw us a bone and don't be evil.
277. Hope McGaha, Macomb, OK, 74852  
I strongly support title 2 oversight of net neutrality!!!!
278. eric gameros, Oklahoma city, OK, 73107  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's
279. Marc Klippenstine, Macomb, OK, 74852  
I strongly support title 2 oversight of net neutrality.
280. Brad Heinrichs, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
Keep Title II enforcement on the ISP providers, the Net is working as it is. Self regulation by the ISP providers will never work because the cable broad band providers are monopolies.
281. Stephanie Bates, Edmond, OK, 73012  
I, and all Americans, support true internet neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. Do not roll back the Obama-era protections that ensure net neutrality for the sake of large corporations.
282. Anja Bastian, Oklahoma City, OK, 73117  
I am writing in support of the current net neutrality laws and keeping ISP's classified under title 2. I believe in the American principle of equality for all.

283. harrison schroer, Oklahoma city, OK, 73103  
Please, in the name of democracy, preserve Net Neutrality in its current form.
284. Nathan Cody, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
Please preserve title II regulations. I am not interested in a different legal framework than what we currently utilize to regulate internet providers.
285. John Coleman, Oklahoma City, OK, 73116  
Leave net neutrality in place. I don't want corporations determining or influencing what I can or can't do with my internet connection. Just like banks, if you don't regulate them, they will only do what is in their best interest, not the consumer's best interest.
286. mary williams, Edmond, OK, 73034  
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY UNDER TITLE 2!!!!
287. James Corley, Oklahoma City, OK, 73102  
True net neutrality is as fundamental to the continuity of democracy as freedom itself. Allowing providers to prioritize information or even block access to information would unravel the very fabric of what makes this country and humanity great. The only way to ensure we will remain informed and free is to allow information to also be free. Restricting data flow is not something we the people stand for, nor should you.
288. Riane Fern, Oklahoma City, OK, 73103  
I support strong Net Neutrality protections backed by ISPs being classified under Title II.
289. Cameron Collins, Okc, OK, 73112  
I support strong net neutrality laws. Persevere net neutrality & title 2.
290. Ryan Lorenz, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
I am writing to express my feelings on net neutrality because I fear that our administration's attempt to strip away net neutrality may succeed. I don't want to have my internet being throttled when I'm watching House of Cards just because of Game of Thrones being on premium cable, which could potentially happen. Please keep our internet laws the way they are.
291. Benjamin Mihalik, Non-Student, OK, 73102  
Please keep the Internet regulated as a title 2 entity. Thanks
292. Lucas Battershell, Shawnee, OK, 74804  
I just want to thank John Oliver for his message once again. I'm beginning to wonder if the Bureaucrats and the politicians at the FCC can actually understand what the populace wants and not what the large corporations want. Really this is just so you have to deal with yet another person who is angry at you for trying to destroy net neutrality once again, but hey maybe this time you guys will figure it out and stop trying to mess with this topic.
293. Caleb Masters, oklahoma city, OK, 73120  
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2 or go FCC yourself.
294. Patricia Biera, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I support net neutrality and title II. I believe those should be preserved in order for me not to watch the crappiest shows because everyone hates netflix.
295. Long Nguyen, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Please keep Net Neutrality on Title 2
296. Joey Haug, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Please preserve net neutrality! We are concerned citizens.
297. Shaun, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
Please keep Title II oversight of ISPs. Strong Net neutrality is extremely important. Please do NOT reclassify the ISPs as title 1.
298. Cynthia Gonzalez, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I support net neutrality and Title II. These should be preserved. For anyone who supports small businesses, as I do, this should be a no-brainer.
299. Dan LaBlanc, Oklahoma City, OK, 73116  
Do not change the current Net jurisdiction. The internet has become as important as any utility when it comes to Americans expressing themselves, and exercising their FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS under the Constitution of the United States.
300. Net Neutrality, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
I am for Net neutrality and Title II current rules and regulations, DO NOT CHANGE THEM! a Free Internet is free discussion and should not be regulated under free speech.
301. Jenn, Edmond, OK, 73013  
hey i support strong net neutrality and preserving title 2. nice mug
302. Christopher Burke, Tulsa, OK, 71433  
Please keep net neutrality. Allowing corporations to have the power to influence my Internet speed depending on the site I view is

entirely unacceptable. Do not let corporate money influence government. Please. Our freedom is at stake.

303. Andrew Ross, Oklahoma city, OK, 73142  
I want strong regulation on the isp and internet providers, that ensures fair play and equal service regardless of sponsor or individual motives.
304. Philip Ross, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I support ISPs being covered under title II, and for complete Net Neutrality.
305. Troy, Midwest City, OK, 73112  
I support the current regulations defining ISP's under Title II and preserving the open internet.
306. Corbin, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Net neutrality is key to the future of the internet. You have the opportunity to help cement that for future generations, or you can give in to big business with no regard for consumers. Please don't do that.
307. Chase Calvert, Oklahoma City, OK, 73116  
We saw in the 2008 recession what greedy corporation executives can do to ruin our lives when left unregulated. You may think that the people at Verison or Comcast are good people and wouldnt manipulate the internet connections to create an unfair competitive advantage, but Version, just like every other corporation, isn't a person, and doesn't have morals. Therefore they will do everything in its power to screw over everyone from small businesses to the general public to make a quick buck. Please do not remove the net neutrality rules that are in place.
308. Tyler Todd, Oklahoma City, OK, 73104  
I support regulations ensuring net neutrality. Please do not repeal the current regulations.
309. Brenda M, Oklahoma City, OK, 73122  
We need net neutrality to keep the internet an honest resource for information & services. Giving ISPs the ability to show favoritism to web portals they support is an attack on freedom. Keep title II. Keep the internet free.
310. Kayla Fields, Oklahoma City, OK, 73159  
I support current net neutrality regulations and Title II oversight.
311. jon fields, Oklahoma city, OK, 73159  
I support current net neutrality regulations and title 2 oversight.
312. mark zitzow, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
I ask you not loosen the regulations for net neutrality. These regulations protect the consumer
313. William Riley Weatherford, The Village, OK, 73120  
Please do not allow this to occur. Keep title II and allow internet neutrality to stay the way it is.
314. Ben white, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I strongly support title 2 and net neutrality, I believe the guidelines we have are fine and that they shouldn't be changed or lessened
315. Carolyn Amora, The Village, OK, 73120  
I strongly support title 2 and the current net neutrality standards and they should not be changed.
316. Anna Barbato, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
I strongly support maintaining net neutrality through Title II. Ideally, of course, Congress would write and pass a well-thought-out, comprehensive modern law to regulate ISPs and prevent the implementation of internet "fast and slow lanes." However, that does not seem to be imminent, and in the meantime, Title II is our best option.
317. Galen Luna, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
I fully support net neutrality under Title II oversight of ISPs.
318. Corey Sturgill, Oklahoma City, OK, 73134  
I am in favor of net neutrality, open Internet, and the title II ruling
319. Upendra Prasad, Edmond, OK, 73025  
I support the notion of net neutrality and the level playing field it allows for all companies and entities on the internet. Please be mindful of the sanctity of the equality and freedoms of the consumer and producers of the internet. I hope the FCC will err on the side of fairness and consumer rights on this issue, not Ajit Pai's spineless bullshit.
320. My Nguyen, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! And opposed the Restoring Internet 'Freedom' Act.
321. Nicholas Marshall, oklahoma city, OK, 73109  
the net neutrality rules we have now protect us consumers from unethical business practices. any changes to it are an attack on the rights of the public to an open and free internetcomcast was found guilty before the internet was settled under Title II protections for slowing peoples internet to specific services. the present rules were then settled to stop it from happening again
322. Donald Frey, SPENCER, OK, 73084

Uphold Title II and net neutrality.

323. Kyle Phillips, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please stop trying to create internet "fast lanes".
324. Garrett Anoatubby, Edmond, OK, 73003  
I support net neutrality and title 2 controls on internet service providers.
325. Marina Mangiaracina, Oklahoma City, OK, 73117  
Die Internet kostet zu viel fuer alle Leute. Die Internet ist eine Utility. Alle leute kann lernen mit Internet.
326. Stephen Lancaster, oklahoma city, OK, 73112  
Keep the internet neutral. Who is naive enough to think that ISPs will not protect and promote their own interests? Don't be douchebags.
327. George Sunderland, Bethany, OK, 73008  
The Constitution of the United States guarantees that the government cannot abridge or take away the right of the people to express themselves or communicate with others. The principle of new neutrality was designed to protect that right. Any attempt to abolish Net Neutrality is unconstitutional. The government does not have the right nor can it delegate that right to any organization. The Internet is based on the principle of free communication of ideas. Any restraints or favoring of certain ideas, communications, or media content is censorship as such it is unconstitutional. Any attempt by this administration to eliminate Net Neutrality is unconstitutional.
328. Colton Knox, Shawnee, OK, 74801  
Taking away internet freedom defeats the point!
329. Janice Andrews, Oklahoma City, OK, 73116  
We are filing a protest to the proposed changing of the regulation 17-108 to deregulate net neutrality. We urge you to reclassify Internet carriage as a "telecommunications service," to automatically subject online communications to common carrier protections and prevent Internet users from suffering the undue burdens of rate increases and Internet manipulation. Without deregulation, companies would be able to interfere with speech that makes them look bad, block applications that compete with their own, or increase their profit by forcing developers to pay more to avoid having their data blocked or slowed down. Deregulation, additionally, would violate our civil liberties. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.
330. Stan Evans, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Please do not alter current net neutrality. Providers cannot have the power to alter speed on bandwidth. Title 2 ensures that competition is fair. No changes please
331. Katharine Brown, Wewoka, OK, 74884  
"Specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight . Leave the internet alone and keep your grubby hands off it.
332. Don Lewis, Oklahoma City, OK, 73108  
Keep the internet neutral and do not decrease regulation.
333. Elizabeth Hughes, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
ISP need to be kept under Tier II protection and regulation to guarantee that larger companies cannot stymie competition to promote themselves at the expense of people.
334. James Smith, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
I absolutely support Net Neutrality and the Title 2 classification for open internet. Rolling back these provisions will ensure that companies will slow down/charge for faster access. It has ALREADY happened even with Title 2 provisions in place. What is to stop them if it is rolled back? Comcast charged Netflix money to speed up their service. They will do this to everyone if it is rolled back. This is one of the single most devastating mistakes you will make if this is rolled back.
335. Steven Boatman, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
The fact that we're even dealing with this AGAIN is absolutely insane. The people have ALREADY told you how we feel. Continue to protect Net Neutrality under Title II and DO NOT ALLOW ISPs to limit or change our ability to choose! Reject Trump's anti-Obama agenda and protect the people!
336. jon abrams, Edmond, OK, 73012  
You best leave title 2 alone! I don't support Isis or Verizon!
337. Theodore S Paark, Oklahoma City, OK, 73131  
I am writing to show my support for strong net neutrality currently backed by Title II oversight of Internet service providers to allow true neutrality of Internet data. I am strongly opposed to removing this protection under docket# 17-108. Please protect the internet and keep the flow of information unbiased. Thank you.
338. Natoia Moore, Edmond, OK, 73034  
Do not allow ISPs to slow down or block internet pages to consumers!
339. Rebecca Gorman, The Village, OK, 73120  
Net neutrality (the open Internet) is vital to consumers and content creators alike. I'm deeply concerned about any shift toward weaker/fewer protections for net neutrality -- as a professional working for an online content creator/publisher, an individual and parent who enjoys viewing/listening to/reading both entertainment and news media online through a variety of devices and in many locations, and a Masters-degreed information scientist who sees the modern struggle for information literacy in an era of information overload. On

the last note in particular, it's hard enough for most people to find reliable information today without ISPs treating competing content differently based on their own interests. Please protect net neutrality with the strongest of regulations. We must not allow Internet SERVICE providers to discriminate between Internet CONTENT providers.

340. Patrick Allen, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Keep the internet an equal playing ground for small businesses.
341. Angela Paynter, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I support strong net neutrality by maintaining internet providers under Title II classification.
342. Carlos Lopez, Edmond, OK, 73003  
I support strong Net Neutrality for ISP under title 2
343. Ian Dauteuil, Warr Acres, OK, 73132  
Please don't roll back Net Neutrality laws. There actually have been instances of media providers pushing their technology and inhibiting other technology to their consumer base. This doesn't promote a free market and the laws were implemented to promote new tech by all. Also infrastructure investment has not halted with these laws in place.
344. Dirk Verbrugghe, Oklahoma city, OK, 73142  
Please preserve Net Neutrality. For once, do what's right for the American people and not behemoth corporations who want to stifle access to certain aspects of the Internet that does not fit/comply with their business model. Thank You
345. Coley Beleele, Edmond, OK, 73012  
I support strong Net Neutrality rules regulated by title 2. The internet should be guaranteed free and fair for all and not be up to the whims of the ISPs.
346. John Alan Thompson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73104  
I support strong ISP net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I am against any new rule passage that will undermine network neutrality, or the enforced treatment of any and all data traffic as equal.
347. John Paden, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
I support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Allowing ISPs to shift resources based on their preferences enables corporate censorship. I oppose censorship.
348. Brian, Oklahoma City, OK, 73159  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II as I am in support of both of these
349. Dan Cope, Shawnee, OK, 74801  
Keep The current system of net neutrality. Title 2 is the only way to go. Make it tougher if possible
350. Crystal Watkins, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I urge you to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I do not want my internet to be throttle by capitalistic organizations.
351. Hannah Marchant, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
Net neutrality is important to the future of our society. In a nation where free speech is written into our Bill of Rights, allowing corporations and businesses to filter what we can access on the internet is a dangerous path. The world wide web has become an institution of freedom--it was never meant to belong to any sole person or corporation.
352. Robert Wiley, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
I insist you maintain our net neutrality by vigorous enforcement of Title II regulations on ISPs. Do NOT roll back the previous regulations.
353. Nelda Spraggins, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
Please do not change net neutrality. It benefits people, not special interests. The internet should be treated as a public utility, and remain neutral. Thanks for honest consideration.
354. Sarah Puls, Nichols Hills, OK, 73120  
ensure net neutrality!!!!!! I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs
355. John Krischel, Edmond, OK, 73003  
I support Net Neutrality. If you take it away, it is a power grab by the existing ISP that will ensure only their content is delivered fast. If you take away Net Neutrality, it will ruin America.
356. Ralph Cissne, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
I strongly support net neutrality. The free flow of information is the cornerstone of our democracy. We cannot allow the concentration of power/control by broadband companies or allow website forums to be blocked, controversial or not.
357. Ryan Finken, Wewoka, OK, 74884  
I believe that it is the responsibility of the FCC to preserve net neutrality & keep it designated under Title.
358. C.J. Appleseth, okc, OK, 73103  
I support classification of ISPs under Title II and fully back a strong regulatory oversight in regards to net neutrality. I am of the opinion that these companies operate in markets devoid of true competition and that consumers often times suffer the consequences. ISPs at their

heart are for profit institutions and have shareholders who must be appeased. These companies have a fiduciary duty to these shareholders to maximize the shareholder's value. This duty can be at odds with what's best for the consumer. These companies have huge lobbying budgets to pass legislation that favors them. I have no faith in the current congress to understand the complexities and nuances of an issue like this. Therefore, it is paramount that the FCC get this right and stand up for consumers and support a free flowing internet without corporate meddling in consumer's internet traffic.

359. Thomas Daniel, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
I oppose the listed act and am in favor of Title II classification for ISPs. Further more, I wish for the principal's of net neutrality to be adhered to, protected and regulated by the FCC. Thank you so much for your time.
360. Derrik Ford, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I support strong net neutrality, I believe that is too much risk for internet service providers to take advantage of.
361. Sam Reber, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
Do not give the freedom of the internet to corporations. Do your job as a government body and work for the people. Thank you
362. Bruce Johnson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73127  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II regulations. Rolling back regulations on Title II protections will eliminate the free flow of data and will allow companies to restrict access to information.
363. Jason Wildeman, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
I support Title II and net neutrality laws
364. Reagan McCreary, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
I support net neutrality. Currently, ISPs are regulated in a way that keeps the internet free and open. Call 17-108 what it is: a way for ISPs to close off the internet and try to squeeze more money out of consumers, including many who have no choice in provider. "Restoring internet freedom" will result in reduced freedom of internet access. Keep the current regulations in place.
365. Mike, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by strict Title II oversight of ISPs. Please police and do your duty by preserving net neutrality and Title II.
366. Upendra Prasad, Edmond, OK, 73025  
I support the notion of net neutrality and hope the FCC will realize the importance and sanctity of an even playing field. It is important that the pipelines of the internet stay unbiased and allow consumers and businesses unfettered flow of information and content.
367. Loreli D. Wright, Oklahoma City, OK, 73135  
I want ISPs to be regulated under the tougher Title-II standards. Light-touch regulations under Title I are not enough to prevent anti-competitive behavior from telecommunications companies and, in today's virtual economy, affordable internet access is essential to socioeconomic mobility. If true net neutrality principles are abandoned by moving regulations back under Title I, progress will suffer as a result of the anti-competitive fee structures of telecom companies attempting to price-gouge consumers who seek data provided by the ISPs competitors or potential competitors. I urge you to keep net neutrality rules under Title II. Thank you.
368. Adam Gustaitis, Edmond, OK, 73012  
I support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight by ISPs.
369. Unbreak IT, LLC, Edmond, OK, 73012  
I am small business owner who provides hosted IT services for other businesses. Removing the Title II category for ISPs would not only be detrimental to my business, but to all businesses. With the obvious exception of major ISPs. Ajit Pai has made several misstatements about what Title II means and about the intents of ISPs. Either he is deliberately misleading people on behalf if ISPs interest, or he is grossly misinformed. Either option isn't good. ISPs, specifically those who control the "last mile" of internet access are in a privileged position. There is little to no real competition for most consumers. The FCC needs to protect consumers against ISPs using their privileged positions to hurt consumers, prioritize paid traffic, and stifle competition.
370. Daniel Grimes, Oklahoma City, OK, 73108  
I support Title II oversight for ISPs.
371. Niles Stuck, Edmond, OK, 73034  
I support net neutrality through Article 2 enforcement. I find the recent attacks on net neutrality misleading and insulting.
372. kelly aiken, Edmond, OK, 73034  
I strongly support net neutrality as it is the only way I can access information at a moments notice. The last thing I need it to be is slow, monitored, or used as a propaganda tool. Please continue to enforce Obama's rules as they were good for the American people.
373. JC Welter, Nichols Hills, OK, 73116  
KEEP the net neutrality protections in place. If the FCC decides to roll back these protections it will be another example of how the current administration is intent on reducing the level playing field of the internet. DO NOT roll back these protections. The American people are NOW awake and we have a voice and we intend to use it.
374. Len Nones, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
In a country that prides itself on freedom it is becoming more apparent that those who have been elected and/or appointed only seek to revoke any remnants of what our forefathers bled to secure for their descendants. Reclassifying ISPs is not an option. This is the first

step toward massive censorship of the internet. If I wanted to live like a communist I would move to North Korea or China. I urge that net neutrality laws remain unchanged so our generation and those to come can experience the liberty of choice. True Evil is the denial of choice. If these laws change it will only further the dumbing down of US citizens and line the pockets of those behind it.

375. Richard Brown, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Net Neutrality and Title II classification must be upheld to protect a free and open internet. Listen to the people who have already overwhelmingly voted in favor of Net Neutrality rather than ISPs and lobbyists that stand to profit from a restrictive internet.
376. Morgan Walkup, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and implore the FCC to uphold it.
377. Ryan Martin, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Please do not give ISPs the authority to restrict bandwidth based on content. Keep the current Net Neutrality laws in place. Internet Service Providers should remain under Title II regulation so that consumers can freely choose their content without restrictions based on the source of that content.
378. Alicia Lamb, Seminole, OK, 74868  
I am a firm supporter of strong internet neutrality and title II. It is in the best interest of our nation and the interests of the world as a whole.
379. sam hashemi, Oklahoma City, OK, 73122  
keep net neutrality! keep isp's under title 2, please please please
380. Mary Lamb, Seminole, OK, 74868  
Keep net neutrality strong, keep the title II protections!
381. Frank Fuglestad, Oklahoma City, OK, 73128  
As a small business owner I am strongly in favor of retaining Title II Net Neutrality rules
382. Jackson Haws, Bethany, OK, 73008  
I support net neutrality. Please listen to us.
383. Lee Webb, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
I support strong net neutrality and regulation of ISPs under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. It is not in the best interest of the American people to relax regulations or to allow corporations the legal right to buy preferential treatment for their content.
384. Tyler Slife, Edmond, OK, 73012  
I would like for the FCC to preserve Net neutrality and keep all of the major companies under Title 2.
385. andrew rathe, Oklahoma City, OK, 73102  
I am here to say that I would like for the FCC to keep the strong net neutrality rules that are backed by title II. As someone who is well aware of how much we all need the Internet every day the people shouldn't have to deal with corporate greed slowing this down. We do not need "internet freedom" for the corporations to do as they please. The UN has declared Internet a basic human right and I urge the FCC to treat it this way as well. Thank you for your time. I hope you listen to the people.
386. c solomon, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP. Companies CAN NOT be trusted to do the right thing .
387. Sharilynne M. Dunsworth, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
Leave net neutrality as it is in title 2.
388. Daniel Farris, Bethany, OK, 73008  
Ajit Pai is a completely ineffective FCC employee who resembles one of Obama's failures of judgment in federal appointments, although the previous president to Obama and Obama's successor are at least two standard deviations worse than Obama. Net neutrality should be preserved. There are many examples of companies taking advantage of consumers' usage of the internet (data throttling by AT&T and others, ISIS phone pay app of various mobile companies, etc.) that are examples of boiler-plate ToS agreements that these companies will put in their service agreements detailing their own content's priority to the content of other ISPs. THIS MOVE TO ELIMINATE NET NEUTRALITY TAKES ADVANTAGE OF AMERICANS AND BACKDOORS CORPORATE CONTROL OF WHAT I EVENTUALLY CHOOSE TO WATCH OR PAY TO WATCH, EFFECTIVELY ELIMINATING MY \*CHOICE.\* Stop Pai and his corporate-backed ideology by keeping the net neutral.HRC won the majority vote. #TPpuppetofVP
389. Anna Bui, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
I am completely against the removal of the protections of net neutrality. I think rolling back the Obama era regulations on ISP's to remove Title II is not only short sighted, but it's incredibly irresponsible. Net neutrality allows people to surf the internet freely without any kind of possible interference from my internet provider. I don't want anybody to gain preferential treatment or to be disadvantaged when the internet should be open to all and available to everyone as well.
390. Elaine Hamm, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
PLEASE DO EVERYTHING POSSIBLE TO PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY! This is your JOB. Do not give into to broadband providers who are the only ones to gain from giving or selling access to speedy internet to certain internet services over others
391. John Carmer, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
Title II is essential to keeping the Internet free for everyone.



ROI for stakeholders. We need the FCC to hold them to the higher Title 2 standards.

408. Jashona Clark, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
I want Ajit Pai and the FCC to PROTECT Net Neutrality and Title 2. I am a concerned citizen that pays for internet and access and this matter is important to me.
409. Catherine Harris, Edmond, OK, 73034  
net neutrality laws should not be moved to title 1
410. Peter Ortega, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please don't change to title 1.
411. Damon Woolsey, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II protections. It is good for small to medium sized businesses, and it is good for consumers who are looking to exercise their freedom of choice in seeking out products and services.
412. Debra Hatchett, Edmond, OK, 73012  
I am very opposed to Docket No. 17-108. Our internet has been throttled in the past and if this proceeding is approved it will allow ISP's to control my internet availability/usage. I pay significant monthly charges to my internet provider and further limiting my access adds insult to injury.
413. Edward, Oklahoma City, OK, 73122  
It's because of net neutrality that the internet is such an engine for entrepreneurship and growth. The web provides open access to education and information. It's the basis of commerce, trade, citizen engagement, and so much more. All of this will be at risk if net neutrality falls. We cannot allow giant telecom companies to determine what you can and can't do on the internet. Please do not change the net neutrality rules in place today. The internet should be free and open and the same speed for all. Individuals and small business will suffer if you change the rules. Do something for the average American once not just the fat cats and large corporations.
414. Vanessa Welshhans, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
I support strong regulations for net neutrality. Rolling back net neutrality regulations would be deeply unfair to small companies and would only benefit big companies. Do not roll back regulations, it would be detrimental to so many in the long run.
415. Brook Romines, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Please preserve net neutrality in it's current form.
416. Jeffrey Jenkins, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Net Neutrality needs to be preserved and Title II needs to remain.
417. Nathan Muschinske, The Village, OK, 73120  
Please address how this change will provide a positive impact on US citizens who pay an internet provider to access the internet. The use of Title II ensures that the FCC regulates an important method of electronic communication used by billions of people around the world. While other agencies could be shouldered with this responsibility, only the FCC has the ability to apply the manpower required to enforce regulations via imposing fines and other appropriate punishments. This change; this so called "Restoring Internet Freedom," will remove that enforcement power allowing large multi-billion dollar companies to do as they please unchecked. Even more absurd as many began as cable companies in the 1980s requiring regulatory protection in order to grow. This merely furthers their corporate welfare. While the statement by Rep. F. James Sensenbrenner Jr. (R-Wis.), "Nobody's got to use the Internet," is technically correct, the massive portion of the US economy that uses and relies on the internet for commerce needs protection for the many, not just the few. This will just be the first step on the slippery slope towards reality where an internet customer must give the provider unrestricted access to their most intimate details of their life so they can sell the information to advertisers or perhaps governments, domestic and foreign to gain further insights into the minds of their targets and aid in formulating methods to unduly influence elections or whatever. While it sounds a bit conspiracy theory-like to me as I type this, the current investigation into the influence Russia exerted on the most recent US election and most recently with the French election. It is egregious and an outrage that such violation of personal privacy will be allowed to occur without any oversight. Questions to be addressed include: How does this benefit the average U.S. citizen? How does this create more internet freedom for the U.S. citizen? How does this impact internet service providers operationally and fiscally? If the FCC no longer maintains common carrier status who will regulate internet privacy? Will that entity have the expertise, manpower, and regulatory power to effectively protect the privacy and interests of U.S. Citizens? If so, how will they? If not, why? and what limitations would be placed on them? While the FCC now desires to remove the Title II classification of the internet, what does a fair, reputable poll of the U.S. public indicate with regards to this change?
418. Caleb St. Laurent, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
Net neutrality is an absolute necessity to ensure free and open competition in providing the most important tool for the better of humanity - the internet. If the FCC intends to eliminate net neutrality, whether directly or indirectly, there will no doubt be greater hindrance to the accessibility of information. By allowing ISPs to freely manipulate their provision of internet service based on what website one is on, we are allowing the internet to become an even more limited place of interaction. Ever since the internet was made a utility, we have had to ensure the most amount of people have access to it. Would dismantling net neutrality rules not give an unfair advantage to larger companies with more customers? The price of "high-speed" internet would increase more and more resulting in an essentially defective utility for those unable to pay for the highest speeds. People need the internet to be successful in today's world. It is absolutely a utility. By allowing ISPs to manipulate internet speeds based on which website or even which ISP you choose, we are inhibiting functional internet use. How could this not be the case if the dissolution of these rules results in the aforementioned consequences? Don't take apart the net neutrality rules we have in place. Work to increase accessibility. Work to increase the chance of people bettering their lives, not hinder it.

419. David Harrison, Oklahoma City, OK, 73135  
Dear Ajit Pai, Chairman of the Federal Communications Commission:I want to retain the current classification of internet providers' offerings as telecommunications services that are regulated as telecommunications services under Title II of the Communications Act.SO TAKE YOUR HANDS OFF MY INTERNET BEFORE I STUFF THAT REESE COFFEE CUP UP YOUR ASS!Very Sincerely and Respectfully,David HarrisonP.S.John Oliver sends his regards.
420. Brian Jarvis, oklahoma city, OK, 73135  
Net Neutrality needs to be protected by keeping ISP's under Title 2, I want to keep a strong net neutrality.
421. Jeffrey T. Dunsworth, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
Keep free Net Neutrality freedom with title 2.
422. Jenna Godfrey, Oklahoma City, OK, 73103  
I support Net Neutrality and Title II!!! Keep our internet free and fair!
423. kaleb alsobrook, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Preserve net neutrality through Article II
424. 1, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
I am writing to let you know that I am a citizen who STRONGLY supports net neutrality as outlined in the Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not weaken or rescind those protections. History is watching.
425. Quentin Pongratz, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
I stand for strong net neutrality laws. In my area I have two choices for internet service providers. I have friends who live in areas where they only have one choice which is no choice at all. With the playing field like this, we need strong protections to protect these companies from abusing this lack of choice more than they already do. The best solution would be more choice of ISPs, but besides that we need laws not to let them screw us.
426. John Wiggins, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
I believe that Net Neutrality should be preserved and protected using Title II of the Communications Act.
427. Matthew Morgan, Edmond, OK, 73003  
ISPs should be treated as a Title 2 common carrier and regulated by the FCC. The Open Internet Rules from 2015 should remain in place as is. Without FCC protection, the internet will become less free and open. It may not happen right away. Content providers paying for better speed will objectively lead to a system dominated even further by the largest websites. This stifles innovation by discouraging new ideas. It provides an environment where an oligopoly will exist. This will benefit few and harm many. Public awareness alone is not enough. Our FCC should protect the internet.
428. Cody Kiker, Earlsboro, OK, 74840  
Preserve NET NEUTRALITY!! I COMPLETELY support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers.
429. Cheryl Bennett, Oklahoma City, OK, 73159  
Internet neutrality and title 2 must be maintained. Freedom of speech is at stake,as well as not allowing large companies to manipulate access to websites for business or political reasons.
430. Aaron Colvin, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
I would like for ISPS to stay under Title 2. I support a strong Net Neutrality. Hoping for ISPS to not show favoritism is naive.
431. net, Edmond, OK, 73012  
What is wrong with your new chairman Pai? I honestly don't think he knows what cutting net neutrality will do. Companies will absolutely abuse and pay service providers to slow down internet to there competition. Nobody is in business to make less money.
432. Brian, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
Please preserve net neutrality - just get someone that is smarter than trump. Let's all share!
433. Bob, Oklahoma city, OK, 73013  
I support strong net neutrality protections under title 2 as enacted under president obama. The current fcc chair is lying to public and blatantly misrepresenting what net neutrality is in order to please corporate interests that so not have the interests of the public in mind.
434. Emma Richardson, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title to overisite of ISP
435. Dan Weatherman, Oklahoma City, OK, 73013  
Do not tamper or harm Net Neutrality as it exists today. Companies cannot be expected nor trusted to use the honor system when it comes to the flow of free speech and content on the internet.
436. leah roberts, Oklahoma city, OK, 73162  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Please leave these regulations in place.
437. Jill Hibblen, Edmond, OK, 73012  
You cannot quietly roll back net neutrality progress. How are you a public servant? How could you allow profit-driven corporations the authority to regulate the most important human information medium in modern society?

438. Jon Anderson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
I support strong net neutrality and Title 2 restrictions on ISPs. This should be a no brainer.
439. Charlotte Lewis, Oklahoma City, OK, 73104  
Please retain the net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
440. Danielle Gross, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
I support Net Neutrality backed by strong Title II protection. Please do not support this regulatory change in framework. Please please do not rely on ISPs to self-regulate.
441. Anthony Young, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
Please maintain net neutrality. I do not want my ISP to control the throughput I have available to particular sites that benefit them commercially. This is a much needed regulation, do not remove it.
442. Amy Fine, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Please continue to regulate Net Neutrality under Title II rules.
443. Zach, okc, OK, 73108  
Maintain Net Neutrality and Title 2 for ISPs!
444. Andrew wetmore, oklahoma city, OK, 73112  
I want net neutrality! Please keep my internet free and fair :-(
445. Paul Bruffett, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I do not agree with an effort to deregulate the internet and have faith that ISPs will act accordingly. The internet is too important. Didn't Netflix get strong armed by Comcast to pay for bandwidth several years ago? You're telling me this won't happen just because we ask the ISPs not to do it? I hope our civil servants serve the interests of the nation, not the industry they regulate, this is an obvious case of that ideal not being realized.
446. Bryan Stanton, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
Please preserve net neutrality and title II. Reducing standards on ISP monitoring would harm our free market and negatively impact our country.
447. Shianling Weeks, Oklahoma City, OK, 73102  
I am in strong support of net neutrality laws. Please support the continued freedom to access content regardless of ISPs' personal interests.
448. terrie gibson, nichols hill, OK, 73120  
respectfully, I join those who request the FCC continue protection of net neutrality under Title II regulations. thank you.
449. Chris Jean, Edmond, OK, 73013  
The opening sentence of the Restoring Internet Freedom fact sheet states: "For almost twenty years, the Internet flourished under a light-touch regulatory approach." This is only mostly true as it ignores business activity over the past few years showing that increased regulation was required to ensure a competitive industry. The past twenty years has seen the internet service provider market consolidate from thousands of internet providers to just a few key players. Currently, 26% of all broadband internet connections are served by Comcast, 22% by Charter (who also owns Time Warner Cable and BrightHouse), 17% by AT&T, and 10% by Verizon. That's 75% of the broadband market in this country covered by just four companies. Considering that each year brings up at least one new huge merger in this market, the next few years are likely to continue the consolidation trend. The past twenty years has also seen the effects of the deregulation from The Telecommunications Act of 1996. This allowed media companies to consolidate since previously there were regulatory barriers that prevented a network or service provider from owning a company that created content. What followed was a flurry of consolidation, the creation of multimedia empires, and the destruction of small businesses in television, radio, newsprint, and advertising as the big multimedia players snapped up as much territory as they could to ensure maximum exposure of their content while gaining stronger negotiating postures with competitors at the network, local affiliate, and content producer levels. The ISPs were a part of this media consolidation as Comcast now owns NBC Universal, AT&T bought DirecTV which also owns some production companies and currently wants to buy Time Warner (which would include Warner Brothers and HBO), Verizon purchased AOL and Yahoo which means that they also own properties such as The Huffington Post, Engadget, and TechCrunch, and most of the big ISPs shuffle ownership of local television affiliates and sports broadcasting rights. So why is all of this pertinent? It's pertinent since we know for a fact that ISPs have discriminated against content in the past and would like to do so in the future. We know this by looking at past behavior and statements: Comcast throttled peer-to-peer connections for an unknown number of months in 2007 and 2008. This resulted in a class action lawsuit against Comcast. Shortly after the court proceedings began, Comcast announced that it changed the policy and was no longer throttling those connections. Comcast argued that it had a legal right to throttle the connections for network management purposes but settled the cases. "broadband providers . . . generally have not charged edge providers for access or offered them differentiated services . . . has no legal significance because the avowed purpose of the rules is to deny providers the discretion to do so now and in the future." - A 2013 argument by Verizon in Verizon v. FCC which essentially argues that broadband providers should not be legally restricted from charging content providers in order to access their customers simply because they have not done so in the past. In 2012, Netflix switched their traffic over to Cogent, and according to Cogent's CEO, as soon as they started carrying Netflix's traffic, Comcast stopped upgrading their connections to Cogent. This resulted in Netflix customers on Comcast connections getting poor quality streams or being unable to stream at all. Comcast offered Netflix a deal where they could buy connections directly from Comcast, but Netflix refused. So, Netflix started buying traffic from other traffic providers, including Level 3, but Comcast stopped upgrading those connections as well. This meant that Comcast had Netflix over a barrel. Netflix's options were to either deal with angry customers or pay Comcast. Netflix caved and agreed to pay an unknown annual fee to Comcast (estimates place the deal at anywhere from \$25 to \$50 million per year). When asked, Netflix's CEO said that "Netflix believes strong net neutrality is critical, but in the near

term we will in cases pay the toll to the powerful ISPs to protect our consumer experience." In other words, even Netflix with over a \$8 billion in annual revenue was not powerful enough to avoid paying a toll when it was demanded. Not long after, Verizon followed Comcast's playbook and also got Netflix to agree to pay them. How many other ISPs has Netflix paid and how many companies did exactly as Netflix did but didn't make any public statements? It's impossible to say."With prioritization, broadband providers convey a message by 'favor[ing]' certain speechâ€”that prioritized content is superiorâ€”because it is delivered faster. Order 125(JA\_\_). This is no different than a cable operator favoring popular channels by placing them on particular cable tiers. By foreclosing prioritization, the Order restricts broadband providersâ€™ editorial discretion to favor their own and unaffiliated Internet content. It also infringes the speech of edge providers likeBerninger who wish to distinguish their content and services by having them delivered faster."- A very transparent admission in a 2015 filing for United States Telecom Association v. FCC which states that ISPs desire to turn the internet into a service like cable television where they can give preference to some content in order to create different pricing tiers and make their own content offerings more attractive.I should note that these examples are just a few of many that show ISPs' willingness and desire to exploit their position as gatekeepers to internet users to bleed money out of internet companies. These examples highlight the issue that Network Neutrality is meant to fix. Without Network Neutrality, the only way for a content producer to compete is to own an ISP (seems even Disney has been sniffing around for an ISP to acquire recently). While it would be great to say that this makes the industry ripe for new ISPs to enter the market to drive down prices and improve service, this won't happen since that would require spending billions to build out redundant networks. All an incumbent ISP would have to do when a new ISP attempts to start up in their territory is to temporarily decrease their price, lock customers into multiyear contracts, wait for the new ISP to fail, and then gradually raise the price again. We're currently seeing that with Google Fiber. Google has huge sums of money yet seems to be shelving their plans as each market they enter has the incumbent ISP suddenly go from 50-100mbps for \$100+ a month to 1gbps for \$70 a month, thus matching Google's offering. In addition, the incumbents use local regulations to bury Google in red tape deep enough to delay build plans months or years. We also see cases where such tactics don't work where municipalities, frustrated by years of empty promises by ISPs to improve speeds and provide service to poor and low density areas, build out their own network which has resulted in states passing laws banning such build outs.An ISP, like any other company is going to look for ways to maximize profitability. Buying up content companies and offering sweet deals on accessing that content to people who buy their service (like AT&T and Verizon zero-rating their own content on their internet services) is a smart way to gain a competitive advantage for your internet service. Being able to generate new revenue streams for free by simply throttling content from competing content companies until those companies agree to pay a toll to stop the performance degradation is too good of a deal to leave on the table. Such throttling would be hard a few years ago, but modern networking equipment has the means to do this built in, to not do it would be just leaving free money on the table. And you can use this increased cash flow to buy up smaller ISPs and media companies, thus increasing your customer base and leverage. If all of these actions result in the closure of small companies that can't afford to pay the toll to access customers, stifled innovation due to fewer people being able to jump into the market, and frustrated customers who are envious of people in other countries that pay far less for far better, so what? You're raking in the dough. You're obliged to maximize profit. You've done your job and can't be blamed for regulatory failures that could have reigned you in.The Restoring Internet Freedom policy will not increase freedom, it will reduce it. The freedom is gained by ISPs at the cost of reducing the freedom of everyone else. Sure, the reduction in freedom won't be direct, but it will be real. Currently, with Network Neutrality, if I create a video service that becomes more popular than Netflix, no ISP has the power to demand money from me in an attempt to either crush me or extort money from me. If they try, I have legal recourse. Without Network Neutrality, I have no such protection and no such legal foundation to fight their actions. If an investor asks me "how can you be sure that Comcast won't just throttle your connection like they did to Netflix while they demand payment?" I could only tell them that I can offer no such guarantee.

450. Stephen Lawrence, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
I do not want my ISP to choose which internet content gets faster access because they were paid my ISP more money. Do not allow the current net neutrality rules to be changed. My ISP can learn to live with the current cost of business.
451. emily ramsey, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
I am for net neutrality. I am against throttling or forcing payment to see sites outside of their purview. I am for the internet being listed as a utility. thank you.
452. Katie Wilson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73114  
Net neutrality is important to protect individuals from having the internet be completely controlled by massive media companies.  
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY.
453. Tiffany Porter, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
I support maintaining net neutrality
454. Derek Holland, Minneapolis, OK, 55401  
I support Net Neutrality and believe it should continue to be tied to Tier II.
455. Sara Jacobson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73104  
Please support net neutrality and title 2 protection
456. Sulman Bhatti, OKC, OK, 71327  
Stop messing around with internet neutrality. it is deeply troubling and will only help big companies get bigger. you're the epitome of educated ignorant if you think helping bigger companies will make things better.
457. net, Oklahoma City, OK, 73122  
Keep net neutrality.. NO NEW Rules!
458. Jillian Harrison, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Please for the sake of keeping America great, preserve net neutrality and Title II.
459. Nathan Braniff, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132

I support the Communications Act of 1934, Title II. Please protect and preserve the full integrity of the writing for Title II at its current state.

460. Adam Dorety, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Please keep net neutrality and title 2. I want a fair free internet and this is the only way. I want bing and google to have the same chance at success.
461. Jet Stine, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Net neutrality is important for both parties and at its base, my American rights. To vote against it violates citizens' rights to a fair economy and eliminates our ability to actively pursue the best option. Our founding fathers required that we be afforded this right, in fact our very democracy is dependent on it. You must vote to preserve our rights, as constituents, to a fair and equal playing ground among private capitalistic companies. Vote for net neutrality.
462. Amanda Pape, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I want to go on the record as being an avid supporter of net neutrality and title 2. I would be extremely upset if internet providers were reclassified into a different group. I feel strongly that they need oversight by the government to assure consumers that they cannot, by law, slow internet speeds. Thank you for your consideration.
463. Liam Whiteman, oklahoma city, OK, 73116  
Preserve Net Neutrality and keep Tittle 2!
464. Charles Molleur, Edmond, OK, 73012  
Keep my internet free and fair! For real though. Stop it.
465. chellee, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I support net neutrality with strong oversight under Title II
466. Walter P Albert, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Anything less is unacceptable.
467. Karen Thom, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I support strong Title II oversight for Internet Service Providers.
468. Jeffery Tingle, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Net Neutrality is the only thing that will help to push innovation, creativity, and expression. Doing away with it will allow telecoms to favor websites and route traffic that would be beneficial to THEIR needs instead of the needs of the end user. This is something that needs to be upheld, and strengthened, as ISPs have made it clear they are 100% anti-competition.
469. Kyle James Mcnew, Oklahoma City, OK, 73103  
We want Title 2 protections, against ISP's. ISP's need to stay under title 2 of the communications act.
470. Christie Miller, Edmond, OK, 73025  
Please continue to protect the consumer in net neutrality. Don't let big business control our internet. DO NOT CHANGE THE RULES to benefit them.
471. Benjamin Weiss, Jones, OK, 73049  
Keep ISPs regulated under Title 2. They're already monopolies in their related geographical areas and have already done illegal, immoral and unethical things with regards to Internet access. I'm a Republican and am embarrassed at how we're allowing greed to overcome our moral center, especially in this regard.
472. Greg Bender, Bethany, OK, 73008  
I want to keep a strong net neutrality. Keep title 2
473. Patricia A. Stein, Edmond, OK, 73003  
Hello FCC, regular citizen here. I wanted to reach out and let you know how I was feeling about net neutrality. I absolutely, 100%, fully and overwhelmingly support net neutrality. I fully support the preservation of Title II. Please listen to the citizens on this one; we know congress and the president won't. Internet Freedom is extremely important and affects all of us. For my sake, the sake of my future children, and the sake of my future children's children, preserve Title II. Thank you for your time and discernment on this matter. I genuinely hope you're having a great day.
474. Caitlyn Gondolf, Oklahoma city, OK, 73132  
I am in strong support of net neutrality, that lease preserve it and title 2
475. Jonathan Jackson, Warr Acres, OK, 73132  
I strongly support net neutrality that is backed by title II oversight of ISP's. we can trust ISPs to self govern this as they have tried multiple time to manipulate speed to specific content in order to benefit their own interests.
476. Kevin Stubblefield, Oklahoma City, OK, 73134  
I am firmly against the restoring internet freedom act.
477. Daphne Talbot, Edmond, OK, 73012  
Net Neutrality should stay. It protects the average person and small mom/pop business' ability to access the information they want without censorship and compete on an even playing field. The FCC needs to keep the net neutrality rules that are already in effect. Of

course large businesses are going to say that they will behave and do the right thing. But the whole point is the law was put into effect because they weren't. They won't if the law falls.

478. Livingston McKenzie Jr., Edmond, OK, 73013  
I am deeply concerned about the consequences that would occur once net neutrality becomes a concept of the past. I am of the firm belief that telecom/internet providers should be regulated to ensure fair play and equal access to all data on the internet, avoiding at all costs any scenario in which companies would have the incentive or ability to "fast track" one content creator or data source over another. Although our new head of the FCC does not believe it to be the case, all one has to do is look back into history to see that when given the opportunity to kill off or hinder its competition, both private and public companies will take the steps necessary to do so. In regards to the internet, this most likely would be in the form of "fast tracking" one service over another once they have paid them to do so. Currently, there is a case in New York where Time Warner is being sued by its users for slowing down access to a game, while at the same time Time Warner was trying to essentially extort more money from the game creator to essentially speed up their end users' access to the game online. This type of behavior is highly damaging to the internet, any and all businesses/industries with an online presence, our citizens' ability and right to access information, and I believe, will erode our democracy and competitiveness in years to come. FCC Chairman, I want to reiterate that I am STRONGLY in favor of ironclad net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight. Please consider this comment and the consequences to your actions in your deliberations.
479. Eric Garrison, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
I do not believe that ISPs should be classified under Title 1. I support strong net neutrality laws which require internet service providers to be classified under Title 2 for enforcement. ISPs have routinely shown that they cannot be trusted to provide the service they claim that they will provide in exchange for allowing mergers to proceed. It makes no sense to think that they will provide equal speeds to websites when they have the option to sell slowdowns to a website's competitors. This is especially true in locations where there is only one ISP. An ISP is a business, and a business's goal is to make as much profit as possible while remaining within the law.
480. Nick Fossey, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
I support strong net neutrality backed. KEEP ISPs CLASSIFIED UNDER TITLE 2!
481. Grant Wilkinson, Edmond, OK, 73012  
I would like to express my support for Net Neutrality, specifically support for the continued existence of protection of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. I hope that you will make the correct choice to ensure the fairness and equality that a neutral internet provides.
482. Vernon Ficken, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I support strong net neutrality protected under Title 2.
483. David Waters, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I support strong net neutrality regulations. Mr. Pai - Just because ISPs have not abused a lack of neutrality on a large scale yet does not mean that it will not. We need to KEEP regulations in place to protect consumers. You are in a position to stand up to corporations - make decisions that are good for the general populace, please.
484. Graham Hnatiw, Oklahoma City, OK, 73109  
Net neutrality is a good idea. Having industry self regulate doesn't work. What is the point of repealing a law that provides opportunity for small businesses to compete on an even footing with the large established ones. History will not be kind to those who vote to repeal this legislation, neither will voters at the next opportunity.
485. Porembski, Margaret, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
I support Title II regulations and net neutrality. Please keep net neutrality.
486. Zach Ritchie, Oklahoma City, OK, 73127  
I, Zach Ritchie, my wife Misty Ritchie, and my son Link Ritchie, support Title II regulations of ISPs to ensure that net neutrality is protected in this country.
487. Kelly Maloch, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I support net neutrality and the continued regulation under title 2.
488. Dana Stone, Oklahoma City, OK, 73116  
Please preserve net neutrality by continuing Title 2 regulation.
489. Timothy bennett, Oklahoma city, OK, 73120  
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I do not want ISP's to have the power to block and or limit content or bandwidth.
490. Gregory Menard, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
We fully and strongly support net neutrality and want the FCC to preserve net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
491. Jarrod Mizell, Edmond, OK, 73012  
I wish to express my support for strong Net Neutrality. I, as an avid user of the internet, fear for the freedoms afforded the average user being threatened if Net Neutrality were to be dissolved. The title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers, is one of the few barriers between ISPs and the next phase of financial manipulation of internet speed/access/costs/freedoms. Please keep the title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers intact, in conjunction with and to bolster Net Neutrality. Thank you for your consideration, and may common sense and level headed judgement be the order of the day.

492. Jordan, Oklahoma City, OK, 73131  
I strongly support net neutrality supported by Title II regulation of Internet Service Providers. Thank You.
493. cheryl herling, Oklahoma city, OK, 73116  
Keep net neutrality strong and under title II
494. Finnian Bender, Edmond, OK, 73013  
The FCC needs to stand up for Internet users and continue to maintain net neutrality. Abolishing it will do nothing but line the pockets of ISPs and their lobbyists
495. Scott Lovett, Shawnee, OK, 74801  
Hello,I would like to express that I support and desire that the FCC support strong net neutrality laws with official government policy, backed by Title Two (2) oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) and everyone accessing the internet. This is my minimum expectation of FCC policy.Thank you,Scott Lovett
496. jamie henson, Oklahoma city, OK, 73120  
We want net neutrality! title 2
497. Nicolette Blizzard, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Iâ€™m worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way theyâ€™re enforced. Previously, ISPs have slowed content based on their own determination of what was allowed/legal, and they ended up in court. I do not want a companies profits to get in-between me, as a consumer, and the information I would like to access online. As part of the government and therefore representing US citizens, I hope you truly represent our wants over the wants of corporations. Thank you for taking the time to read my comment.
498. Jeffrey Hall, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
I support strong Net Neutrality laws. An open internet is absolutely crucial to the fair, timely flow of information to the public. I urge you to keep intact the current laws under Title II.
499. mariah hale, Oklahoma City, OK, 73109  
We need net neutrality under title 2 oversight.
500. Amanda Case, Harrah, OK, 73045  
The internet must remain neutral. Giving phone and cable companies the ability to throttle speeds to competitor sites or outright blocking a website is a detriment to all citizens. This is the United States, we should be better than the likes of North Korea or China. And given our current political climate, it quite frankly instills fear that we will enter a time of government controlled content. Bottom line is this- we're already paying for our internet services; usually forced to choose between a few select monopolies whose boasted speeds are slower than necessary, at prices bordering on ridiculous- Cox, Comcast, AT&T, Verizon et al have NO right to dictate to us what we can rightfully access.
501. joseph magana, Oklahoma city, OK, 73109  
We need net neutrality,under title 2 oversight !!!!!
502. Bryan Gaston, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Please keep the Internet a free and level playing field by keeping providers on title 2 regulations.
503. James Knoll, Oklahoma City, OK, 73179  
Strong Net Neutrality is something which not only benefits our own country, but also the world as a global market. Without it, we face severe problems internationally, both diplomatically and economically, as well as weaken our own ability to exercise our First Amendment rights.
504. Drew Palmer, Edmond, OK, 73003  
I support net neutrality and keeping ISP's classified under Title II. Please do not change the current regulations.
505. Ben Palmer, Edmond, OK, 73003  
Equal access is a good thing, companies need to be regulated on net neutrality, leave the current regulations alone under the title 2 regulations. DO NOT CHANGE THE CURRENT NET NEUTRALLY LAWS.
506. Martin Thom, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
Please preserve current net neutrality under Title II. We've already been down this rabbit hole once and there's absolutely no reason to go through it all again.
507. Vanessa Palmer, Edmond, OK, 73003  
The current net neutrality laws under title 2 need to be left alone. These laws protect our free internet and prohibit large companies from favoring their own interests online. LEAVE THE REGULATIONS ALONE.
508. Natasha Galindo, Shawnee, OK, 74801  
We need net neutrality for equality. I don't want to allow corporations to control what I am able to see and do online or control what I can say.
509. Aneta S. Newton, Shawnee, OK, 74801  
As an individual user and small business owner I fully support Net Neutrality. Neutrality = Equality of use and access.

510. Dale, oklahoma city, OK, 73120  
Do not allow ISPs to buy our democracy. Net Neutrality is a movement for the people to hold their basic right of a FREE and OPEN internet.go FCC yourself if you FCC this up.
511. Christian Moler, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I am a citizen of the United States of American and I am in favor of upholding the current position of Title-2 which considers the internet to be a utility, thus protecting net neutrality.
512. Emily Siemers, edmond, OK, 73034  
Please support the current Net Neutrality regulations under Title II.
513. Stephanie Grace, Edmond, OK, 73003  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight over ISPs and do not want any change made to ISP oversight and also I like the Chairman's mug but that's besides the point. Don't undo net neutrality.
514. Net Neutrality, Oklahoma City, OK, 73114  
I support strong Net Neutrality under title 2 oversight of ISPs.
515. Susan Loving, Oklahoma City, OK, 73131  
I urge you to leave regulations in place ensuring and enforcing net neutrality.
516. Net neutrality, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I am very much for strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP. I do not trust large cable companies will play fair if Net neutrality is dissolved. Please do not get rid of net neutrality!
517. David, Oklahoma city, OK, 73110  
Keep the internet open and free of more regulation via title 2.
518. Henry L Ochoa, Oklahoma City, OK, 73127  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 overbite by sip's.
519. Victoria Fancher, EDMOND, OK, 73013  
I already feel like I am stalked by advertisers. Not only will this open the door into my life it strips away all of my privacy. Worse yet it enlarges the hole for hackers. Please stop this nonsense and protect the internet and the American public!
520. Mary Miller, OKLAHOMA CITY, OK, 73120  
The Bill of Rights of the US Constitution grants all American citizens the right of free speech. Taking away the neutrality of the internet takes that right away from us. For those of us who rely on the internet in order to work will lose our ability to trust the information that we find there.
521. Theron Speer, OKLAHOMA CITY, OK, 73112  
Do NOT give the internet over to corporate/ISP control...
522. Lorraine Gula, OKLAHOMA CITY, OK, 73120  
Net neutrality needs to be maintained
523. ruby ivie, OKLAHOMA CITY, OK, 73118  
The Net should be free & open for all!
524. Robin Patten, OKLAHOMA CITY, OK, 73115  
Please help protect a free internet for the majority of internet users.
525. Rachel Thomas, OKLAHOMA CITY, OK, 73112  
Do not change the rules of net neutrality.
526. Dana Johnson, OKLAHOMA CITY, OK, 73109  
Loss of Net Neutrality amounts to declaring war on individuals worldwide.
527. Brock Henderson, OKLAHOMA CITY, OK, 73118  
In the future
528. Patrick Cullen, OKLAHOMA CITY, OK, 73162  
Users rights trump provider profits!
529. William Cunningham, OKLAHOMA CITY, OK, 73132  
If you kill Title II you are killing the internet. You don't want to know what the internet users will do. You will go down in history of trash junk government.
530. richard campbell, EDMOND, OK, 73013  
Why does the trump White House want to do away with net neutrality????
531. Net neutrality, Jones, OK, 73049  
Please do not modify the net neutrality rules. We live in a rural community and our internet speeds are bad enough as it is and we really

do not want anything to impact what we have now.

532. Mary A Black, Shawnee, OK, 74804  
Net neutrality is too important to be left to voluntary compliance. Restore ISP to Title II and protect equal access.
533. Nancy McClendon, Jones, OK, 73049  
I want net neutrality to continue. It is important to support free speech.
534. Meelaud Boozary, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I specifically request that the FCC classify ISP's under Title 2 for regulation. I want net neutrality.
535. Curtis Smith, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I believe that it is incredibly important to preserve net neutrality and Title II!
536. Thai-An Doan DDS, Oklahoma City, OK, 73134  
I am in firm support of net neutrality. Do NOT allow companies to decide what I can or cannot watch, stream, search, buy etc.
537. Lauren Dow, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
I am writing in support of strong Net Neutrality, it is imperative to the health of consumers that Title II remains in place. This legislation provides protection to those purchasing internet and cable services and ensures equal treatment under the law. Please do not move forward with attempts to undermine net neutrality, a free and open internet is imperative now, more than ever.
538. wenjingwu, Oklahoma City, OK, 73105  
I am for Net Neutrality! And Article II !! Nothing Less!!
539. Leland Muller, Oklahoma City, OK, 73102  
The internet is a resource created via the aggregated knowledge of vast numbers of people and should not be controlled by its providers. These providers were given a chance without regulation and used it against consumers for their own gain. Net neutrality is required to ensure the internet remains free.
540. John Faria, Edmond, OK, 73003  
I request that we retain Title 2 classification of ISPs for continuing protection of net neutrality.
541. Philip Lightfoot, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I fully believe that loosening regulations on ISP's, especially by returning to Title 1 regulations, is a poor decision. Please keep ISP's under Title 2
542. Steven E. Ferguson, Jr., Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Preserve Title 2 protections for the internet. This is something literally everyone that knows what this is wants.
543. Garrett Eller, Edmond, OK, 73003  
There should be strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
544. Jo Miller, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Keep ISPS NET Neutrality. We need government oversight. You know that the super giant cable companies will sell their souls to the highest bidder, and that will leave fewer options to the consumer for gaming, shopping, reading, accessing. Don't pay don't play will be the rule of the day. I am so sick and scared of Trumps selling everything American to the highest bidder. You just can't allow him to take away our freedom of choice.
545. Jeri Liggett, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
I need the internet to do research for my volunteer work with a teacher. I also use it to do research on current issues. I have given up on TV.
546. Donald Winslow, Shawnee, OK, 74801  
The open Internet has opened up so many doors for so many people, from socialization to activism and academia. It is likely the single most important product of our time. A driving force toward a better future. Without open access, so much potential would be lost.
547. Deborah Smith, OKLAHOMA CITY, OK, 73112  
Real information.
548. B Jones, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Because it is a public trust!!! It is a vital commonly shared utility that benefits all of us!!! It was developed and established by a government of the people, by the people and for the people... NOT of the moneyed, by the moneyed and for the moneyed!
549. Jackie Schmid, Oklahoma City, OK, 73103  
it's free speech. it should be equally accessible by EVERYONE. it gives us access to the REAL truth... without it all we will see is the right wing christian propaganda. just like the soviet union during the cold war. is that making america great? i think not...
550. Matt Dickey, Oklahoma City, OK, 73102  
I strongly support net neutrality be regulated by FCC title II. I will not be impressed with the Chairman or his mug if this gets reversed.
551. charles johnson, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Do not take away net neutrality law internet service providers still do not do what they're supposed to do according to the law that was

passed because my internet speed has still been slowed down whenever they feel like it especially when playing online gaming if they're going to throttle back my internet speed they should also throttle back on that price why should I pay full price when they don't get forced to provide what they say they're going to provide by law I mean as an example the city cannot slow down or restrict my water consumption and still charge me a monthly price

552. william andrews, Edmond, OK, 73025  
Please support continued Title II protection of Internet neutrality. The entire network is the result of public investment in ARPANET research and, as such, still belongs to the people.
553. Rob Pendley, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
The FCC should ensure a fair and open Internet for all by opposing efforts to undermine net neutrality by maintaining current Title II net neutrality rules. The FCC should REJECT Ajit Pai's plan to hand the ISP monopolies the legal cover to engage in data discrimination, stripping consumers of the vital access and privacy rules we demanded and just recently won. Chairman Pai's proposal would transform ISPs into Internet gatekeepers with an effective veto right on innovation, expression, and competition, the single most powerful driver of capitalism. I'm a small business owner that relies on an unfiltered internet with the amount of bandwidth I pay for all month long, every month, to keep my own company, and the companies that are my clients, functioning properly. Thankfully, the current net neutrality rules mean that Internet providers can't slow or block consumers' access to certain web services or engage in data discrimination by charging websites and online service money to reach customers faster. I appreciate you maintaining Title II net neutrality rules and the rights of all US citizens, who directly benefit from the protections of net neutrality.
554. Tommie Shea, OKLAHOMA CITY, OK, 73120  
I support ensuring that Title 2 remains in full effect to protect and enforce Net Neutrality. As a consumer, maintaining proper regulations on ISPs are paramount in protecting our right to choose freely, which will drive the digital marketplace; instead of empowering our ISPs to best determine, by greed, what choices should be made available to us.
555. Ryan Shannon, Oklahoma City, OK, 73103  
\*KEEP OPEN ISPs AND NET NEUTRALITY ALIVE WITH TITLE II (2) PROTECTIONS!\*
- #HowMuchVerizonStockDoesFCCChairmanPaiOwn#HowMuchVerizonStockWouldFCCChairmanPaiBeRewardedAtAnAdvantageousVesti
556. Parker Samuel Rup, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I strongly support Net neutrality. I believe IP addresses are currently under the correct designation of title 2. Trusting something this important to the morals of Corporations (or lack thereof) is blind, ignorant and will ultimately and seriously hurt the American people.
557. Cynthia Mize, spencer, OK, 73084  
I am concerned over the proposed changes to Net Neutrality. The internet needs to be viewed more like a utility. Internet providers should not be given the ability to pick and choose what content has a priority, or charge huge fees to maintain the speeds we currently enjoy.
558. Nanci Moll, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I support net neutrality as under Title 2.
559. Chris Russell, Edmond, OK, 73013  
You need to preserve net neutrality and Title 2. This is not a joke. Please stop bowing down to corporate interests for the sake of profit.
560. Jennifer Bradford, Oklahoma city, OK, 73112  
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2 for isp's!
561. Chelsea Hogan, OKC, OK, 73142  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality under Title II.
562. Christopher Black, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
I urge you to support strong net neutrality rules under Title II. The internet has become the single, unified platform for communication of our world. In many homes and businesses, including my own home and office, it has replaced telephone communications which are already administered under Title II. The internet is as ubiquitous as power, which is also administered by Title II. The choice is clear - the internet should be regulated like a public utility under Title II, because it is indeed the public communication platform of this era and the foreseeable future, and should remain neutral.
563. Alex Brown, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
The Internet cannot be sliced into tiers of access based on corporate profits.
564. Bob Watland, Oklahoma city, OK, 73119  
leave our internet alone!!!!I thought this was dead???
565. maryjo meacham, Oklahoma city, OK, 73107  
I am against changing the rules, i want to Keep isp's under title 2 not title 1
566. Bartholomew Allen, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II. ADDITIONALLY, please do your jobs and protect the people of our nation. Please stop with the nonsense. Protect Net Neutrality and stop pretending that this is not an affront to the tax payer and our rights. I would articulate further but you know better. . . You really do. Don't you? Please say you do. #wearewatching #soisjohnoliver #theflashfamilyeventhevillians #ihate#sanddontknowhowtousethembuticanstilllogintosay#thisisridiculousweevenhavetellyouthattitle2andnetneutralityprotectsthepeople

#duh

567. Sean Neese, Shawnee, OK, 74801  
Free speech and fair access are extremely important to me, if anything, you should back off it more than you have, let's have TRUE net neutrality, give Trump one good thing to take with him when he leaves office.
568. B Jones, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
The internet should be treated like a neutral and open platform.
569. Casady Fletcher, Seminole, OK, 74868  
Net Neutrality is the backbone of the internet. It allows everyone equal access and doesn't allow cable companies to choose what American citizens view or post on the web!
570. Kristyn Reid, choctaw, OK, 73020  
People want open access, which we already fought for and won! This action would kill small businesses and nonprofit organizations that depend upon volunteers!
571. Kent Fischer, Oklahoma City, OK, 73103  
The new channels of business and communication via the internet does not need to be a commodity to be sold to the highest bidder or to be paid for by the deepest pockets
572. Jerry Sparks, Oklahoma City, OK, 73122  
We have been through this before. Stop messing with the internet. Citizen's have right to privacy.
573. Tim Caraway, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
For once do as the people want and not your campaign donors! Leave Net Neutrality laws as they are! Or better yet, make them stronger!
574. Dewey Morgan, Oklahoma City, OK, 73119  
We have fought for this for ten years and it is far too important to have it taken away now.
575. Robin Patten, Oklahoma City, OK, 73115  
The majority of internet users need net neutrality. You are supposed to be working for the public, not the internet providers and big business.
576. Ted Johnson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73139  
We shouldn't even have to tell you this, and yet, here we are...
577. Rafael Alvis, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
Freedom is what this country is about... don't change that.
578. Andrew McQueen, Oklahoma City, OK, 73129  
Net neutrality is important to me because I can communicate freely with people and get my news.
579. Will Gable, Bethany, OK, 73008  
Leave the Internet alone, will
580. Garrett Tyler Christian, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
Preserve Net Neutrality and the Title II classification. There is no reason to allow ISPs to control individual user's internet surfing other than helping corporate shareholders of said ISPs.
581. Richard Benson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
Dude, no. Leave regulations on ISPs!! Fuck Pai. Fuck Trump
582. Jacob Landers, Oklahoma City, OK, 73122  
Net Neutrality and Title II are absolutely necessary, no matter what Verizon is paying.
583. Robert Fudge, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
We desperately need to protect net neutrality and Title II
584. Trey Harris, Edmond, OK, 73034  
I support net neutrality and Title II
585. Alicia, Edmond, OK, 73012  
Destroying net neutrality means you destroy one of the greatest freedoms America has. Destroying it means you truly are a bunch of greedy, business mongers who care nothing for the people. Keep it, and show that the cheetah hasn't bought you.
586. David Smith, Oklahoma City, OK, 73135  
I very strongly support strict net neutrality regulations under Title II. The only change I would be willing to support regarding the regulations that ensure a free and open internet, would be making stricter regulations for ISPs and giving the FCC even more power and authority to enforce those regulations.
587. Ian McDermid, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112

Title II protects my rights as a consumer. Your proposal to "restore internet freedom" is baseless and lacks any sound legal argument. Please consider doing something else more worthy of your time and our tax dollars.

588. Johnny Moore, Oklahoma City, OK, 73114  
Keep, protect Title II. Protect Net Neutrality.
589. maddie coffey, nichols hills, OK, 73116  
I support strong net neutrality. That's why title 2 oversight of ISPs is necessary.
590. Jessica Showers, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
Net neutrality MUST be regulated and protected. There are so many reasons. 1. Without net neutrality we cannot maintain stable and secure global communications. 2. If protections are removed throttling will occur. Companies will use whatever tools are legally available to grow their business. Without a doubt losing these protections will stall growth and development of new business. 3. Loss of net neutrality protections will give internet companies motivation to take a more active political role and provide a means by which internet companies could silently impact federal policy by slowing sites that favor specific candidates or legislation while giving a speed boost to content that favors the company. 4. Reducing protections will help to make the world even more of a slimy cesspool of corruption. No private citizen who understands this issue wants the internet to be even more biased. If protections are removed the internet will become virtually useless. We need to insure people have the ability to locate factual, relevant data when accessing the internet and making important decisions. Forget about how this will impact entertainment, consider how these regulations could impact the decision making tendencies of the entire nation. Reducing net neutrality puts every person accessing the internet at risk of manipulation as quality information may be throttled and biased information given a speed boost.
591. Billy Chandler, Oklahoma City, OK, 73149  
Please protect and enhance net neutrality. This is a critical issue as the internet continues to evolve and become a bigger part of our everyday lives. Out service providers should provide equal and unrestricted connectivity for any and all data.
592. micheal mccooy, Oklahoma city, OK, 73142  
Please do not mess with it.
593. Brian N Young, Oklahoma City, OK, 73108  
I am writing to request that Title II regulation of the internet remain in place. Net neutrality should remain protected and service providers should not be allowed to increase or reduce speed based on type of content
594. Ruth Lampi, Shawnee, OK, 74804  
I strongly support the current regulation style, and am deeply concerned that a new system will allow for and even encourage a deeply flawed inequality of speech and content access. My small online business is able to stay relevant in the open marketplace we enjoy. If large corporations get to regulate and present content according to a pricing structure they get to make up, my company and the jobs I provide are threatened. I'm worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I would support a new regulation style ONLY if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. The Title II regulatory structure is working just fine.
595. Allison, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
I urge you to keep Net Neutrality in place. ISP Companies and CEOs are very anti-consumer and will raise prices in areas where there is little to no competition, which it just about everywhere. To give them that much trust is foolish. They will screw over the consumer. Always have, always will. This would also cause many subscriptions services to raise prices. If Netflix is taxed, and it most likely will be, it is guaranteed, Netflix would have to raise the subscription price to the consumers. This will only appeal to the greed of the corporations. There are many small towns that only have 1 ISP. If they cannot afford to move, they are out of luck. Many individuals looking to start up businesses would not be able to. It is inevitable that the ISPs will have a pay wall to nearly every. single. site. if Net Neutrality is revoked. DO NOT GIVE THEM THIS MUCH OPPORTUNITY TO SCREW US, THE CITIZENS OF THE USA, THE CONSUMERS, OVER. I URGE YOU. KEEP THE NEUTRALITY RULES IN PLACE. DO NOT ALLOW ISPs TO CHARGE EXTRA FOR SPECIFIC SITES. DO NOT ALLOW ISPs TO BLOCK CONTENT. THE INTERNET IS NOT LIKE TELEVISION AND SHOULD NOT BE TREATED AS SUCH. This is the exact same as charging to access a public sidewalk. We already pay monthly for our connection. It is well enough especially in areas that are OVER CHARGING consumers.
596. Allison, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. I urge you to keep Net Neutrality in place. ISP Companies and CEOs are very anti-consumer and will raise prices in areas where there is little to no competition, which it just about everywhere. To give them that much trust & opportunity is foolish and show no concern about the consumers. They will screw over the consumer. Always have, always will. This would also cause many subscriptions services to raise prices. If Netflix is taxed, and it most likely will be, it is guaranteed, Netflix would have to raise the subscription price to the consumers. This will only appeal to the greed of the corporations. There are many small towns that only have 1 ISP. If they cannot afford to move, they are out of luck. Many individuals looking to start up businesses would not be able to. It is inevitable that the ISPs will have a pay wall to nearly every. single. site. if Net Neutrality is revoked. DO NOT GIVE THEM THIS MUCH OPPORTUNITY TO SCREW US, THE CITIZENS OF THE USA, THE CONSUMERS, OVER. I URGE YOU. KEEP THE NEUTRALITY RULES IN PLACE. DO NOT ALLOW ISPs TO CHARGE EXTRA FOR SPECIFIC SITES. DO NOT ALLOW ISPs TO BLOCK CONTENT. THE INTERNET IS NOT LIKE TELEVISION AND SHOULD NOT BE TREATED AS SUCH. This is the exact same as charging to access a public sidewalk. We already pay monthly for our connection. It is well enough especially in areas that are OVER CHARGING consumers.
597. Lindsey Miller, Oklahoma City, OK, 73121  
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight. My husband owns a software company that employees 26 United States citizens and I work for a hosting company. We rely on the internet for our work and for employing others. We cannot allow larger, more

influential companies decide who gets access to what information. Our country is built on small business and we must make sure all things are equal in business terms on the internet.

598. Sarah Powers, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
I am commenting to state that I do NOT want the FCC to change net neutrality rules. A change in the rules would take away our freedom on the internet and negatively impact individual constituents and small businesses alike. I ask the FCC board to vote NO on May 18th. Thank you for protecting net neutrality.
599. Cody James, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
The internet should be treated as a basic human right. Allowing ISPs to control the flow of information is a detriment to society. The FCC needs to listen to the masses rather than a few lobbyists for corporations.
600. David William Robinson, Wewoka, OK, 74884  
Dear FCC, please keep net neutrality under title 2. "Absolute power corrupts absolutely". Net neutrality as it has been for a few years now is not detrimental to companies big or small and the fcc regulations as they were before today's vote need to remain.
601. Emily Priddy, Edmond, OK, 73013  
As a business owner I am very much against the FCC changing the current rules of net neutrality. As a citizen, I am a little perturbed that I am having to explicitly state my objections to this issue more than once to the exact same regulatory agency. Didn't the incredible flood of comments previously from so many people get the point across?
602. Alexandra Woolsey, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I do not support the FCC's ridiculous decision to get rid of Net Neutrality regulations. I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
603. Heather Ann Skiba, Oklahoma city, OK, 73107  
ISP is in fact a common carrier / utility and under the communications act (this is a form of communication) needs to remain as such in law. Removing net neutrality will HURT our countries majority public and only help to serve corporations of already massive wealth. There is no good and moral reason to remove net neutrality. It was put there for good reason. Removing net neutrality will only serve to slow this countries progress further.
604. Rashad Khan, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
Net neutrality must be protected in our society at all costs. It's as simple as that.
605. Doyle, oklahoma city, OK, 73102  
I support internet freedom. Ajit Pai is not interested in consumer protection. Ajit Pai should be barred from the FCC because of anti-consumer anti-FCC actions. Anti-FCC because FCC is in favor of consumer protection. FCC was created for consumer protection as well as being a governing body for radio and telephone technology. Ajit Pai is also anti-American by his own public admittance of anti-consumer intentions. Please reverse the decision and keep internet freedom moving. The internet knows no laws.
606. Kyle Marshall, Oklahoma City, OK, 73104  
The internet is a free market, and should remain a free market. It allows innovation, collaboration, and freedom of expression. Being able to purchase bandwidth speeds, in order to gain favor with consumers, destroys the true foundation of the internet. Keep the internet free.
607. David Carter, OKC, OK, 73132  
Keep the Internet Open, Support Net Neutrality.
608. Zachary, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
Protect net neutrality. Keep ISPs under Title II and enforce these protections. Please.
609. Michael Thomas, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
As an ardent advocate for the freedom of speech, democracy and the free market, I fully support net neutrality and the title II classification and I am opposed to the 17-108 rule change. Those who do not support net neutrality may feel like they are doing so in order to protect capitalism and the free market. However, sometimes we have to regulate one market to protect another. Since the internet is fundamental to almost every business in the nation, we should consider what rules might be necessary to protect those businesses, even at the cost of profits for the handful of large internet service providers. In this instance I believe a free and open internet is the only way to defend the future of capitalism. The ability for a political party or corporation to use their purchasing power to overwhelm their competition on the internet will crush small, innovative companies trying to compete with multi-national corporations. Amazon has invested millions upon millions of dollars to increase the speed of their web pages by fractions of a second. Why? Because they know that it is that speed that allows them to out compete every other e-commerce site on the internet. As it stand, however, a smart, innovative company can still find a way to get their information out at a similar speed. If the internet becomes a place where only large companies can afford the fast lanes, small businesses will be unable to compete. No one will shop at a store where page load times are 5-10 seconds a page. This will crush the free market on the internet, the place where more and more of our business is done every year. The same effect could be seen on our freedom of speech. Small newspapers and independent journalists must be able to compete with CNN, Fox News and the New York Times. Otherwise we risk allowing a single, powerful media group to overwhelm our citizens with information contrary to the truth. They can buy the right to control public opinion. Sure, anyone can still post an opposing position. But, in the age where we must consume information quickly or be left behind, no one will read those posts on a subpar version of the internet. Please do not change the Title II classification. Please do not stop net neutrality. Please do not allow partisan politics or the influence of large cable companies to interfere with your job as an elected representative to do what is best for the interests of your constituents. I do not exaggerate when I say that the decisions you make on this rule will almost certainly affect the freedom your children enjoy. I pray that you choose to defend that freedom, rather than reduce it to serve the interests of the telecommunication

industry.

610. Jerold Mark Middlebrook, Edmond, OK, 73013  
A quote from paragraph 26 of 17-180 : "Whether posting on social media or drafting a blog, a broadband Internet user is able to generate and make available information online. Whether reading a newspaper's website or browsing the results from a search engine, a broadband Internet user is able to acquire and retrieve information online. In short, broadband Internet access service appears to offer its users the "capability" to perform each and every one of the functions listed in the definition "and accordingly appears to be an information service by the definition. We seek comment on analysis." First, most broadband providers simply don't offer the services listed. Does Comcast have a blogging platform, a news service or a search engine that anyone actually uses on purpose? No, Comcast offers a pipe through which to reach other services. Reaching other services is the service that it itself offers! There are even safe harbor laws shielding it from liability for transmitting the things provided by and through those services "because ISPs have no control over them! Second, broadband providers often aren't even aware what information they are transmitting, because it is encrypted. They cannot transform it, generate it or process it because tampering with encrypted data is immediately evident to the users. While "drafting a blog," as I'm doing right now, the ISP only knows that it is handing packets between the router at this coffee shop and the IP address /port belonging to WordPress. It doesn't know I'm publishing something contrary to its interests. Sorry, ISP. Third, most services that are in fact offered by the ISP, such as DNS lookup, error pages, caching and routing, all have to do with reasonable network management "the work of getting packets from one place to another properly. That class of things has a big cutout in the net neutrality rules because the internet is a complex place with lots of moving parts. This analysis is like saying that because someone built a bridge, they also created the entire city on far side of it. It's absurd, and in fact the argument was already tried and found wanting in a federal court . If you had any sense at all you would stop trying to chain the internet down. No one wants it save for the mega rich corporations, and I think everyone would agree that they are already doing just fine.
611. Chris O'Leary, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
I support upholding Net Neutrality policies as they are the best way to ensure freedom and equality to data.
612. Philip Aken, Oklahoma City, OK, 73159  
I support keeping title two regulation. Do not let communication companies set their own rules. Businesses can not be trusted to self regulate. The US has seen time and time again what happens. That's why we have unions. That's why we don't have child labor. That's why we have a minimum wage and need a higher minimum wage. The communication companies must be held accountable by men and women not on their payroll. Keep them under title two. I support net neutrality and I support title two.
613. Phil Mayfield, Wauwata, WI, 53086  
Net neutrality is FREEDOM OF SPEECH. And it should be classified as such with all the same protections as in the First Amendment. If you can own the "Cloud" then what is left of freedom?
614. Tyler King, Edmond, OK, 73003  
Millions of people were in favor of Net Neutrality in 2014-2015 when this issue was last seen. This stance has not changed since (as shown again by the millions of comments in favor this year). People expect to be able to visit any place on the internet without worry of limited/reduced speed or blocking by ISPs, which is protected by the current rules. These protections are questioned here in paragraphs 79-87. By taking away the current rules and regulations, you take away protections and leave the people open to manipulation by ISPs. Please do not make any changes until you can guarantee to the people that their current protections will stay in place.
615. Jake Martin, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Don't give communication companies like Verizon, AT&T, Comcast, etc. the ability to throttle Internet speeds. It only serves to benefit the telecommunication companies, and does not benefit the American citizenry in general. Your responsibility is to the American people, not to increase telecommunication company profits by allowing them to emphasize services they provide such as speeding up something like Hulu while slowing down Netflix. Even beyond that it is a form of Internet censorship and it disgusts me that you have the audacity to describe your attempts to line the pockets of moneyed interests at the expense of potential innovation by smaller companies as "Internet Freedom."
616. Abe Farani, Wewoka, OK, 74804  
Please do not revise the classification of ISP's from Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 to Title I. There's a reason it was done. Stop being partisan for once and take a slow step back and realized that during times of deregulations and/or no regulations....bag things happen.
617. Daniel Turner, Oklahoma City, OK, 73116  
Internet Service Providers provide access to the world wide network called the internet. If that wasn't the case then the word 'internet' wouldn't be in the name. Contrast this with America Online and Compuserve's early days - these services provided access to their own services. These services were fully self contained where you could only communicate with other members of that system, only access information hosted by that service. They hosted these services internally. Access to the internet allows you to access services provided by companies who's only reason for existing is to provide the service in question - Netflix lets you watch movies, youtube lets you watch home videos or music videos, facebook lets you share way too much information with the rest of the world, and twitter lets you rant to the public. These companies create the content that my ISP's connection lets me use. The physical connections provided by most ISPs are nearly identical to the connections that my employer provides me, or that I provide the computers in my house - its a mostly dumb 'pipe' that allows my computer or phone or even TV to request data from content providers and content storage companies. There are technologies that ISPs have implemented that allow them to reduce the stress on their infrastructure, and these technologies may blur the line making it seem as if the ISP is generating content, but its only an illusion - they're not generating it, they are simply storing it to make their operations cheaper. If you're going to restrict freedom on the internet do so openly and intentionally, don't do it behind a lie that ISPs are anything but providers of a pipe that I, and millions of others, use in exactly the same manner as a land line telephone. My ISP does not generate, store, or transform my data - if they did any of that I would sue, since I've not given them permission to do so. I don't want them to. I want them to continue to do their job, allow me to connect to other services and content providers.

618. Net Neutrality, Shawnee, OK, 74804  
I support net neutrality and title II backing
619. James J. Stoecker, Edmond, OK, 73003  
Do not rescind the Open Internet Order]. If there's no referee on the field, the companies and internet service providers will do what they do best: answer to shareholders and double down on market share. Broadband and the internet is the most enabling platform of our time. It should not be a regulation-free zone. We need to make sure there's a referee there to keep the internet neutral.
620. James David Brown, Oklahoma city, OK, 73008  
I would like to express my strongly held opinion that ISP's continue to be regulated under title 2 oversight. I support net neutrality, and believe it is in no one's best interest if internet service providers are allowed to choose which programs or websites should have the fastest speeds. This would be a financial win for ISP's, and a significant loss to the average person of equal access and a neutral internet. Thank you for your time.
621. Greg Gabbard, Oklahoma City, OK, 73134  
Net neutrality needs to continue. Allowing large companies to discriminate access, speed, or priority should not be acceptable in any free country, let alone the United States.
622. Adam Miller, Edmond, OK, 73034  
I previously commented that I strongly and vehemently support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight/regulation of Internet Service Providers (ISPs). That still stands. I wanted to add that this regulation/oversight via Title 2 is especially important due to the repeated and continuous refusal of many corporations across many industries in the United States to act in the interest of the social good, instead emphasizing short-term profits. If left to their own devices, history has shown that corporations will not act in the best interests of their employees or customers. This is incredibly important in the case of net neutrality, which deals with the free flow of information, and access to that information by the public at large.
623. Tyler J Halbert, Oklahoma City, OK, 73114  
Internet freedom is important to the people.
624. Benjamin Slater, OKC, OK, 73118  
The manipulation for profit of a service which at this point is so ingrained in our society and culture, that its i severe handicap to go without, is criminal. Internet should be a service regulated like the telephone, ensuring fair service and access. Allowing ISPs to hold ransom any part of the internet would be akin to allowing one company to pay to cut off road/post/phone traffic to a competitor, forcing all their business to be conducted through several miles of winding back alleys.
625. Carlo, oklahoma city, OK, 73120  
I support net neutrality as a Title II classification
626. Chris Carder, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
I support strong internet oversight, specifically Title II classified regulation, or the existing Net Neutrality.
627. Icy Coons, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
I support the existing Title II Net Neutrality.
628. steve lindauer, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Pai...you BETTER MAINTAIN "NET NEUTRALITY REGULATION" OR FACE CONSIQUENCES! YOU BIG TOOTHED,ARRO-GANT FUCK!
629. Stacey Harris, Oklahoma City, OK, 73103  
Please keep business tactics out of the openness of the internet. Keep the open market open, free from bullying and tyranny.
630. David Waters, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I strongly believe that internet providers should be subject to title II oversight. Please do not allow our internet connections to be controlled by the highest bidders. Mr. Pai - you were a lawyer for Verizon. You know the implications. Don't be a corporate cat's paw.
631. philip mosca, Oklahoma City, OK, 73151  
I believe we need to keep net neutrality regulations under title 2
632. Gary Miller, Choctaw, OK, 73020  
Keep net neutrality, big corporations would clearly abuse this and cause irreparable harm to the consumer.
633. Neeraj Verma, Village, OK, 73120  
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. An open internet is critical for a level playing field and to ensure that extreme power is not handed to ISP's.
634. Patrick Hanscom, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
Please keep the Net Neutrality Rules as is and in place. It must stay neutral for all. Preserve title two!
635. James Allen, Bethany, OK, 73008  
We need net neutrality. If you give this away they will screw us over just like they always do. They will mess with the download speeds and figure out new ways to make us pay more.
636. Brett Ladiski, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132

I support strong regulation of internet service providers through title 2

637. Nathan Robinson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
I disagree with getting rid of Net Neutrality. Those who are for getting rid of it, have yet to prove an accurate argument on how it would ultimately benefit the public and small business.
638. Suzanne Kreidler, Edmond, OK, 73025  
Do not remove isp's from title twoWe need title two
639. Michael Murphy, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Whatever you do, do not remove ISPs from the classification of Title 2. A certain amount of deregulation is a good thing, but due to the basic monopoly or trust agreements between the mafia-like ISPs, customers will suffer without the opportunity for the market to fairly correct itself. We support net neutrality!
640. Kris Zimelman, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Keep Title 2 net neutrality standards in place
641. Amanda Ewing, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
I'm an average American who uses the internet in a myriad of ways in my personal and professional life. I support net neutrality and urge you to maintain it. Please continue to regulate broadband under Title 2. Such a technology warrants heightened regulation. The internet is a democratic utility right now. Let's work to make it more and equally accessible by all Americans. Revoking net neutrality would do exactly the opposite. Thanks for considering my comments!
642. Arjun Reddy, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I specifically and strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
643. Cheri McKinney, Oklahoma City, OK, 73135  
I strongly support the preservation of net neutrality laws and Title II under the Communications Act. I do not believe that we can trust companies to regulate themselves. They will most certainly use the lack of regulations to control what I have access to in my own home. Please preserve net neutrality. It is vastly important.
644. Kerry Leehan, Oklahoma City, OK, 73102  
Net neutrality guarantees the free market by allowing innovation, new ideas and small, startup businesses to challenge the giants. It's un-American to enshrine monopolies and reward predatory utility companies. The internet must remain free and neutral
645. Abbigale Brown, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I support the act to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Without it, ISPs have the freedom to slow down/hinder our ability to explore the internet as we please.
646. Grant Johnson, Edmond, OK, 73012  
I strongly support net neutrality and destroying it will only show how perversely the ISPs have bribed the government. Don't do it!
647. David Joubert, Oklahoma City, OK, 73159  
Please don't turn the Internet into what the news has become. We need to be able to get unbiased facts from somewhere. Also how will this benefit the people?
648. Steven Cotton, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I strongly believe that the only way to preserve a freely competitive internet is to classify Internet Service Providers under Title 2. Regulation will not harm infrastructure investment as the ISPs have themselves stated. Not regulating the ISPs will result in the temptation to engage in commercial censorship on the part of the ISPs, to restrict or slow access to commercial web sites or services that they compete with. This includes voice over IP telephone providers, streaming video services, cloud storage services, etc. The ISP's first duty is to make a profit. The government's first duty is to serve its citizens.
649. Jamison K. Shaffer, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I support net neutrality and believe that the only way to ensure the free flow of information is to regulate internet service providers as a utility under Title II oversight. The larger, and more imminent, cause for concern to the consumer is that ISPs will prioritize their own competing business lines over 3rd-parties since this conflict of interest and anticompetitive threat has been allowed to develop unimpeded thus far.
650. Andrew Young, Oklahoma City, OK, 73122  
Every day it feels like privacy and freedom fall more and more to greedy corporations pulled straight out of a dystopian sci-fi novel, hellbent on the tyrannical control of the everyday life and liberties of not only citizens of the US, but of the Internet and world. I desperately plead: please laboriously protect Net Neutrality and Title II, and support a strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
651. Jace Prince, Edmond, OK, 73012  
Free enterprise requires a free and open internet.
652. Joseph Denney, Choctaw, OK, 73020  
Please don't change the playing field to allow large media and telecom companies to stifle innovation and growth. As a small business owner and entrepreneur, I'll never be able to compete with multi-billion dollar companies. Keep the internet a level playing field so people like me can innovate and continue to create amazing things.

653. Jody Ayres, Shawnee, OK, 74801  
Net neutrality is important because I want to be able to visit web sites that I want to view instead of what a certain ISP company wants
654. Russell Butler, Oklahoma City, OK, 73119  
The Government or private corporation should not have a say over what is sent over the Internet.
655. Jaymi Groves , Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Net Neutrality keeps the internet opened and equal for everyone. Please don't leave the future of the internet subject to the whims of those with only profit in mind.
656. Dru Townsend, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Net Neutrality Protects Me As A Small Business Owner And As An Avid Internet User.
657. Thomas Kinman, edmond, OK, 73120  
Net Neutrality is whats saving us from going from a average internet service in our country to a poor one that is riddled with fees and poor service.
658. Ragan Schurman, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I am fiercely against the removal of net neutrality! The only authority I want to have control over content in my house is me for my children's safety!!
659. Joel Dean, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
Please do not end Net Neutrality. We have anti-Monopoly laws in this country for a reason and ending this provision will give too much power to the companies that but up against those laws at every chance they get. I work for an organization that exists almost entirely on the internet. If they can throttle our speeds or service based on what we can pay we will go under. The internet should be free and fair. Do not have to pressure from companies who consistently get the worst customer service records and are always the most hated.
660. aileen novess, edmond, OK, 73013  
Keep the Internet out of the hands of corporations. Net neutrality will encourage the creation of future scientists, philosophers, medical advancement, new businesses, and capitalism. Keep it neutral so we can achieve our highest potential.
661. Elliot Faulk, Edmond, OK, 73034  
Privacy is a big concern and the monitor of data allows throttling...
662. Victoria Nowell, Oklahoma City, OK, 73105  
I see no benefit to the average user if the FCC does away with net neutrality. As a former card holder of an FCC tv license (as it was required when I started my tv career), I find it deplorable that the FCC would be the vehicle to impose an undue burden on the low and middle income AMERICANS who utilize the internet as much as the more wealthy. The coming rate hicks to service will barely be felt by the wealthy; conversely, the middle/poor people will feel this intensely. While I agree access to the internet is not a right, it is a necessity in our country for school, and business alike. Removing the net neutrality laws is purely motivated by money with no regard for the people of this country.
663. Betty, OKLAHOMA CITY, OK, 73162  
NET NEUTRALLITY IS FUNDAMENTAL TO FREE SPEECH, NET NEUTRALITY PROTECTS SMALL BUSINESSES AND INNOVATORS WHO ARE JUST GETTING STARTED, AND NET NEUTRALITY ALLOWS CONSUMERS -NOT BIG COMPANIES TO CHOOSE WHAT THEY WATCH AND DO ONLINE.
664. Philip D. Mann, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
The internet isn't a convenience or nicety any more, and access to it is as fundamental and necessary as our access to clean water and electricity. Leave aside the discourse about what is or is not freedom of speech, there are basic data and information services that we access constantly, and which are indispensable in our modern world. School books, applying for jobs and directions to job interviews, email, and access to historic documents and records are all essential to someone -- students, job seekers, policy makers, teachers, parents, etc. -- day to day, everyday.
665. Robert Sherry, Oklahoma City, OK, 73109  
I believe that without Net neutrality we would be forced to conform to what the ISPs want us to do. Some of the ISPs own TV broadcasting companies and would slow our access to places like Netflix and Hulu to cause us to buy from their companies therefore double dipping. I feel that it would be a violation of my and other people's rights for that to take place. There would not be much use for the internet if it were controlled by the ISPs like as if we were under communist rule.
666. Corey Chaves, Edmond, OK, 73034  
Net neutrality must be protected because otherwise small website owners will be penalized for lacking the money to pay for high speed delivery of their site. This is not acceptable. It would also prevent people from using their internet service as they choose, allowing ISPs to arbitrarily throttle speeds if the user is making use of a service that the ISP is in competition with, such as a cable company that also provides internet throttling Netflix to try and entice people over to cable.
667. M L Cross, Oklahoma City, OK, 73129  
Net neutrality is our only weapon against those who want to stifle free speech and the only protection consumers have against the corporate giants.
668. Emily Sewell, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I think the last several months have provided evidence to show that Net Neutrality is more important than ever before. My husband and I

have stopped our cable services because we know that providers such as ATT and Cox Cable in Oklahoma are getting rich off of families who do not want all the expensive programming they include with basic cable services. We only have Internet provided by ATT at this time and stream the tv programming we cannot obtain by using an inhouse tv antenna. I do not feel like restrictions should be placed on this- the Internet should be free to everyone. In addition I don't feel that large corporations should have access to my personal information. It is a restriction of my right to freedom of speech. Please consider my comments.

669. Zane Fry, Edmond, OK, 73013  
The Internet has grown to become the communications backbone of our civilization. To do anything less than to fight desperately for its protection is inconcievable to me as anything other than the most basic and disgusting form of selfish apathy. We will not stop fighting for our means of communication.
670. Caitlin K O'Toole, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
The collages, companies and people who really created the Web saw it as a free and open place to exchange ideas and content. Turning this work over to a few large users to control is just wrong. I'll go back to peer to peer dial up if I have to!
671. Jonathan Lux, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
I will be watching what representatives vote against net neutrality and plan to be as active as possible in organizing my network to oust them; choosing corporate interests over the public good is wrong and while I realize the life of our representatives can seem like death by a thousand cuts in that regard I think that when there are very obvious examples of that dynamic, public officials must be held to account.
672. Mike Norwood, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Limiting creators free speech will continue to allow big companies to dominate the internet. Individual creative freedom will keep the internet open honest and free.
673. Ken Weatherford, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
As a current small business owner and web entrepreneur, I believe net neutrality is imperative for someone like me. It also believe it is critical for the growth of America to keep big business out of monopolizing small and internet businesses. If government and big business wants to remove net neutrality, I will fight with my own time and money to stop it.
674. Connor Hanan , Edmond , OK, 73013  
A free and open Internet is essential for future growth and prosperity of the Internet. The title II classification must stay in place to prevent ISP from taking advantage of us consumers
675. Stephen Bishop, Choctaw, OK, 73020  
Leave the internet alone! If you try to regulate it in any way, you will be limiting my free speech which is protected by the 1st Amendment.
676. Linda, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Without an open internet, big corporations would have tight control over how we access websites and services. An open internet needs to always be available to EVERYONE, the richest and the poorest. An open internet promotes new ideas, free speech and helps educate millions on all kinds of topics. It would be criminal to end the open internet.
677. P. Wheatley-Grimes, Tecumseh, OK, 74873  
Dear Sir or Madam, I am a student and free access to the web allows me to complete my assignments. Without this access, I would not be able to obtain the information needed to make the grades needed for my funding. For a person with limited funds, I hope Google Scholar, YouTube, and other needed networks for the struggling students are not hindered. Thank you, Wheatley-Grimes
678. Patrick, Edmond, OK, 73034  
I stand for Net Neutrality! Let there be a free internet!
679. James Grimes, Tecumseh, OK, 74873  
we do not need big business taking over the internet so that they can make it more expensive than it is. we already have to pay them for service we do not need to give them more power over our lives.
680. Christopher Tse, Oklahoma City, OK, 73114  
I am a senior in university pursuing a bachelor's degree in Computer Science. Net neutrality is important to me because I believe that smaller, new businesses and startups contribute very much to innovation, and I would like to work for one in the future, or even start my own. These businesses require protection from the harsh penalties that could be inflicted without the Title II regulations in place.
681. Andrew Furmanski, Edmond, OK, 73003  
Net neutrality MUST be protected - it is the single most important issue concerning innovation and education in the 21st century.
682. Carolyn Corn MD, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
Please preserve net neutrality. The cable companies do NOT have a record of fairness, and would not apportion band width in a manner that would benefit all. I am afraid that searches of scientific literature would be negatively affected, among many other issues.
683. Stephen Allen, Edmond, OK, 73003  
Don't regulate my internet!! The money I pay for it should mean that I get all access. It was never yours to make this decision!
684. Jackson Miller, Edmond , OK, 73034  
The Internet should stay a free and open public space and stay free from corporate controll.

685. Crystal, Oklahoma City, OK, 73139  
Without Net Neutrality, big companies would monopolize the internet and take away the individual's right of what to watch, when to watch and how much to watch. Taking away Net Neutrality sounds like something North Korea would do. Therefore, leave it alone, please.
686. Jamie Willis, Choctaw, OK, 73020  
I support free speech and I do not support the internet being turned over to big cable companies that want to onlly push their left wing agenda. I am sick of it. Free speech, freedom to choose, freedom to listen to all opinions and not just the opinions of those who control the media. That is loss of freedom. I vote NO handover. Thank you
687. James M. Branum, Oklahoma City, OK, OK, 73104  
Strongly support net neutrality. And strongly oppose the major corporations who are seeking to control and dominante the internet.
688. Patricia Carey, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Freedom of speech and choice MUST not be up for sale to the highest bidder or the most powerful corporations! The internet has become the universal mode of communication worldwide and equal access to it MUST be protected.
689. Amanda Bowen, Edmond, OK, 73003  
I use the internet to look for things that are NOT the popular search results. Losing net neutrality would limit my ability to do my job. Please DO NOT DO THIS!
690. Hanna Saadah, Edmond, OK, 73025  
Let the market regulate itself. When government interferes, establishments decline and with them the country declines. Bureaucratic webs are stifling to natural freedoms and lead to mini dictatorships, which destroy what this country is about. Good regulation comes from public enlightenment and not from miring the public in muddy laws. Think of the welfare of the country over the next hundred years before you apply band aids to scratches that would otherwise heal with enlightenment.
691. Charles, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
Keep Net Neutrality. if it weren't for Net Neutrality companies like Chess.com, Facebook, Tweter, Yahoo, Google, Drugs.com, Mozilla, Orange Street news, Amazon, C-span, and thousands more web sites might never have existed. We would be stuck with static web pages of "this is me and this is my dog".
692. Kerry Bayley, Edmond, OK, 73034  
Do not hand over the internet to a few large companies.
693. Josh Dowdy, Bethany, OK, 73008  
Ridiculous. This needs to be left alone.
694. Gala Lowry, Luther, OK, 73054  
I oppose handing over the internet to big cable companies that might try to control what consumers watch and do online. Internet neutrality is fundamental to free speech.
695. Ron, Oklahoma City, OK, 73116  
The internet is a utility. Since there is no competition, the price should be regulated just like gas and electric.
696. Jeremy walker, Tecumseh, OK, 74873  
Taking away net neutrality would make us a western China or North Korea, where we don't have the freedom to look up what WE want too. I don't believe anyone has the RIGHT to limit a vast and endless resource like the internet. To control the internet through the big cable/net companies is just plain wrong, wrong, WRONG! I can't think of one good thing to come of this, NOT ONE! Control of the internet to big conglomerate corporations is going to send us back to the dark ages. I would rather see the internet crash and burn to never return rather than have corporate big shots tell me how, when and where to access the internet.
697. Marcus S., Edmond, OK, 73012  
There are small businesses that offer network-dependent services such as VoIP, or Cloud Saving that would be threatened by ISP blocking/filtering of Net Services. Please keep the Net Neutral!
698. Brian Wright, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
The internet has enabled economic growth and personal improvement since its inception. It did this by not being restricted. Leave net neutrality alone.
699. Lora Davis, Shawnee, OK, 74801  
Small business will disappear without net neutrality.
700. Michael Thomas, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
Please do not pass the proposed rules weakening protections for net neutrality. As representatives of a free democratic society, you should put the wishes of the people above your own interests or the interests of those who donated to your campaign. Net Neutrality is an essential part of keeping the internet open and free. It might seem like the proposed rules will permit more commerce, but rather it gives large corporations the ability to crush individuals and small businesses, preventing them from participating in an economy that increasingly relies on the internet. Moreover, it is only a matter of time before the lack of these protections will result in further control of our elections by foreign powers and large corporations. Americans who understand what net neutrality is do not want it to be removed. If you represent your constituents, you will not pass the proposed rule.

701. James Burson , Edmond, OK, 73003  
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech in the modern age. The large companies do not need the FCC's help to be competitive. Keeping the net neutral will keep playing field level for small business and innovators. Reversing net neutrality will be discriminatory in favor of big companies, who do not need any help. Keep the net neutral. Thanks,
702. Michael Robinson, Del City, OK, 73115  
Net neutrality is important to keep a level playing field. If we give away net neutrality, we are inviting big companies to use their money to influence what we do with our freedom, thereby limiting it. We are in essence signing away the ability for small companies to compete effectively with big companies, and by proxy limiting control to the latter. We are telling big companies that we want them to control how we use our time and effect our creativity. Freedom is something that has been hard won and should not be treated lightly. Freedom can be given away, but why on earth would anyone ever do that? I say yes to freedom. You should too.
703. Sam S., Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
Net neutrality is the First Amendment of the Internet! Please continue to protect it.
704. Bubba Ralston, Edmond, OK, 73003  
Why the heck can't government let things alone. All the heck they want is something for big business. LET IT ALONE FCC
705. Marc Weinmeister, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Net neutrality is about freedom. Free speech, free association, free assembly. Equal access denied is equality denied.
706. Teresa Svedman, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
I urge you to protect Net Neutrality. I already feel restrictions through my Browser choices. I hate that band width has limitations which I believe is very unfair and extremely costly to American citizens. Faster speeds allow me to be more productive, which helps me provide a better service to my customers. I'm sick and tired of this fight. Listen to users and do what we've asked you to do.
707. Mark Grant, Edmond, OK, 73012  
The Internet was founded as a University and Military network devoted to the free sharing of ideas. A lot of innovation has come from that basic premise, but there is much more opportunity waiting to happen. Net neutrality where all users are equal can ensure that innovation continues to happen. I have been an Internet user since the early 90s and remember when for-profit came into the picture, and now that old Internet powers a major part of our economy. I view the Internet like our city streets. They are there for everyone to drive on. There are no restrictions on any specific person, but simply the vehicle. The Interstate highway system serves as a backbone. Everyone has equal access to those highways. That's the same way the Internet should work. Equal access to all. They payloads may be different, but the journey to deliver is the same, be it a family going on vacation or supplies to a department store. Whether its streaming movies, booking an airline reservation, or purchasing shoes, we should all have equal access.
708. Dennis A Bolay, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
Please, do not eliminate net neutrality regulations. We do not need fast and slow lanes. We only need one open Internet. Thank you.
709. Adrienne Loucks, Oklahoma City, OK, 73119  
Net neutrality is vital to small business and students, both of these groups are in low income categories and need unrestricted access to succeed. The internet is a venue to creative expression and is a form of free speech, the open internet brings knowledge into the hands of those who can not afford traditional education. Each individual should have a right to decide what they chose to view, turning that over to big business is another form of commercial influence over the population. Government should be concerned more with state of affairs, global warming, overpopulation, pollution and threat of nuclear war. Keep your hand off my internet and keep big business out too!
710. Timothy Dilbeck, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
Keep up the good work, Commissioner Pai. End Net Neutrality, and keep the internet from being regulated like a railroad. Stay safe.
711. Peggy Big Eagle, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
As a Native American I know that net neutrality is essential because we have a better chance to confront stereotypes and promote traditional tribal values online. We need this First Amendment of the Internet.
712. Curt Carberry, OKC, OK, 73132  
I urge you to retain Net Neutrality and Title II
713. Zack Shadid, Edmond, OK, 73034  
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2 regulations for ISPs.
714. Luke Ray, Shawnee, OK, 74801  
Keep the internet neutral for all net neutrality is a must.
715. Abby Dougherty, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
We have the right to communicate and move freely on the internet. Just as my cellphone provider doesn't dictate who I can make calls to or text, my internet provider shouldn't either. No ISP should be allowed to slow down my speeds to a website that they might deem as a competition or who doesn't pay them as much in ads. You must keep Net Neutrality in place. Thank you!
716. Andrew McQueen, Oklahoma City, OK, 73129  
Dear FCC,I value Net Neutrality for it gives me the freedom to seek any information I could find useful and to organize with activists on issues concerning the American people. To strip away a free and open internet would cripple libraries and online businesses.Support Net Neutrality.Thank you!

717. Donald R. Hackler Jr., Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
I am a supporter of strong net neutrality rules.
718. Kirsten Lynn Grooms, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
Ajit Pai should be Kirsten Lynn Grooms
719. Kirsten Lynn Grooms, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
Ajit Pai should be ashamed of himself for even considering gutting net neutrality. Money grubbing a-hole. Kirsten Lynn Grooms
720. Herman Smith, Tecumseh, OK, 74873  
Please protect our net neutrality Herman Smith
721. Grae Rose, Oklahoma City, OK, 73134  
A free and open Internet is key to many aspects of modern life. It has removed the gatekeepers in several industries, bolsters political engagement, democratizes the flow of information, and allows for unprecedented communication, connection, and creation. FCC's Open Internet Rules are extremely important, and should be preserved at all costs. "Fast lanes" and "slow lanes" greatly increase the starting costs (both financial and emotional) to starting new projects on the Internet, leaving a few broadly successful companies to run the show while crushing the ambitions of millions of individual creators, curators, and entrepreneurs. Lifting the FCC'S rules fundamentally undermines the principles of a free market by limiting access to resources that should be available to everyone, not just the already-successful. ISPs should not have this power, especially not in pursuit of their own profit. If some companies can pay ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America, just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants, famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. This essentially allows internet providers to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they disagree with. The fees they will charge for website delivery would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay enough to have their voices heard. It is more vital now than ever, in our volatile political times, that giant ISPs not be allowed to censor what we see and do online. Attempts to throttle particular websites have already begun. Promises to create fast and slow lanes should Title II be lifted have been made. We stand on a precipice. Will we join the ranks of other nations who have participated in overt regulation of the Internet? Will you sacrifice this slice of freedom at the expense of the American people? Please, do not ignore me and millions of other Americans. This is a vital issue, and should not be taken lightly. The world we live in will fundamentally shift should Internet content be split into those who can pay and who can't. You have a vital voice in this fight. In the name of the American people and the freedoms that make us great, please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Grae Rose
722. Kirsten Grooms, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
Net Neutrality is good and Ajit Pai is a money grubbing liar.
723. Net Neutrality, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
I support Internet Service Providers (ISPs) being classified as Title 2 entities and, as such, subject to increased regulations to ensure that content creators and businesses using the internet are not subject to predatory speed caps (such as, but not limited to: competitors paying to slow service to a site) or predatory speed tiers (such as, but not limited to customers accessing at site at dial-up speed because the site cannot afford faster service). I also support increased regulation to force/encourage ISPs to provide service at rates such that America is a leading country (top 5 to top 10) in terms of access, prices, and speeds.
724. Joshua Miller, Oklahoma City, OK, 73116  
The internet should be kept completely free of paid prioritization and ALL current Title II regulations should remain in place.
725. Mason Sharum, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Keep net neutrality and preserve title two. It is good how it is so don't change it. Cable companies have enough power already they don't need the power to dictate what we watch.
726. Nick, Oklahoma City, OK, 73114  
We cannot afford to let this happen. Being slowed down and being told what to do is not an idea of a good time. Net Neutrality must remain otherwise we will all suffer. Please do not let them win.
727. Austin Schafer, Edmond, OK, 73012  
The Internet was by the people for the people. It should stay that way. I would not be who I am now if the Internet was not what it has been and will always be. I would not be able to see my nephew over in Germany without the Internet, talk to my Dad who is stationed at Ft. Lee, and communicate with my brother in near future who is almost done with Navy Basic Training.
728. Bradley Maples, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
No paid prioritization. No blocking or throttling of websites. Please maintain an open internet. It's the most important and real representation of a true DEMOCRACY that we have left.
729. sky splawn, Oklahoma City, OK, 73105  
I was able to build a living working at various small internet startups. There is no way they would have been able to exist without having the same level of access to bandwidth as their competitors. Its not broken, so don't try to "fix" it, please.
730. Kenneth Young, Oklahoma City, OK, 73122  
I would like my internet rights preserved so please don't eliminate net neutrality.
731. Josh Forrester, Tecumseh, OK, 74873

The loss of net neutrality would be tantamount to the loss of THE thing that the United States of America is supposed to stand for. Net neutrality is FREEDOM, and we the people are disgusted by the idea that corporations can manipulate the law to effectively take control of something that should be classified as a utility. Not only is the internet an amazing resource for people all around the world, it has become a necessity in this age. Control of the internet would be like attempting to monopolize water or electricity. To lose net neutrality would be irreversibly damaging to our republic.

732. Abigail Lowder, Oklahoma City, OK, 73103  
Net neutrality is so important. Not just for big websites but also for small businesses trying to technologically advance their company in the digital age. Internet is considered a human right in some instances and all school requires the internet in some form or another, and it needs to be kept at an even keel so disenfranchised youth don't become more disenfranchised and the future generation can continue to succeed and thrive through the internet.
733. Gideon Pennington, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2. Seriously, if it ain't broke don't fix it. I can think of no other reason for making changes to the current title than greed.
734. Adrian Semones, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
Net Neutrality is super important, and the idea that the internet as a privilege rather than something that everyone gets is laughable. Don't be jerks. Defend net neutrality.
735. Kyle Flanagan, Del City, OK, 73115  
Net neutrality is needed in order to preserve the integrity of business and government legacies. Too long have both been all too eager to turn away from the public, forgetting that without that public they have no power. If you take away 1 too many freedoms, they will quickly rediscover the power that made it possible for them to have their positions of authority. Make this the first step to winning your public back.
736. Tyler Millan, Oklahoma City, OK, 73114  
I respect the rights of our people and the freedom of our country that we are trying to preserve and this violates both.
737. Ryan, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
The repeal of Net Neutrality will open the door for an internet monopoly and is an infringement on the bill of rights.
738. Jose Contreras, Oklahoma City, OK, 73122  
Net Neutrality is essential to a free and fair internet, it is unethical to abolish it.
739. WGP, Oklahoma City, OK, 73108  
I believe Internet service providers should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites. To this end I support strong net neutrality laws and classifying ISPs as common carriers. Common-carriage principles require network operators to serve the public regardless of geographical location, district income levels, or usage. Telecommunications companies are required to provide services, such as phone access, to all consumers on the premise that it is a necessity that should be available to all people equally. If the FCC's ability to regulate this aspect is removed, providers could cease to offer services to low income neighborhoods or rural environments.
740. Alexander Visor, Edmond, OK, 73013  
As a citizen and veteran of the United States, I strongly believe that maintaining Net Neutrality is important to the continued information and data freedom that we experience as a country today. Please do not limit this country's freedom to share information. Thank you.
741. Jacob Michael Lopez, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
All I ask is that you please stop, no one likes a bully.
742. Brady Mehlhorn, Oklahoma city, OK, 73139  
I support Net Neutrality and an open internet. I do not want ISPs to be able to split the internet into "fast lanes" for the companies who pay and "slow lanes" for any who don't.
743. Kelly Taylor, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
If we lose net neutrality, I'll just stop paying for internet altogether and live without it.
744. Tam, Oklahoma City, OK, 73134  
Restricting our internet and fluctuating our market restricting our speeds will have a negative impact on all of us. Big or small
745. Aaron Langston, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Net Neutrality is absolutely necessary for the internet and a fair market to continue to exist. The ISP's are working against the entire US population to push their own interests. This is absolutely unacceptable, not american, and it is anti-consumer. NO to Restoring Internet Freedom Act. Not only is an attack on the rights of the american people, it is a dishonest title that confuses people for the purpose of stealing our freedom. ABOLISH Restoring internet freedom act.
746. Christian Jacobson, Edmond, OK, 73003  
I would like to express my views about net neutrality and state that I support enforceable Net Neutrality regulations on the internet. Title II oversight is important to having a free and open internet.
747. Richard Cimbalo, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
Please preserve net neutrality! The internet is the new voice of society and it should remain open to all, not filtered by those with greedy

self interest.

748. Holly Yeargain, Shawnee, OK, 74801  
What you are trying to do completely goes against the Net neutrality that is in place. You are taking away our freedom by trying to set up this restriction so that these company's make more money off of hard working people who are already paying for things they shouldn't have to.
749. net neutrality, Del City, OK, 73135  
Guys what the heck, net neutrality is important and you need to keep it. THx.
750. James McMahon, Edmond, OK, 73012  
With all the concern on the recent election and fake news. We are going to be forced towards things like this. What other services would you say this is a good plan for? None! So why go there with something like the internet that seems to be one of the most misunderstood things in the world. Stop this foolishness! This is insanity.
751. Tim Houseman, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
Broadband communication companies have already proven that they will charge whatever fees they think they can get away with. Allowing them to slap fees on popular websites due to bandwidth usage that is already paid for is a really bad plan that I believe will be immediately abused. Please keep Net Neutrality in place so that all users and internet based companies are playing on level ground.
752. catrina stockbridge, Edmond, OK, 73013  
The internet should remain a free industry, not something that can be gatewayed by service providers. We're not China, and we shouldn't be. It is important that a free and open internet is maintained for everyone who uses it. Do not give added powers to service providers, do not take protection and free speech away from those who use the internet.
753. Steven Birkes, Oklahoma City, OK, 73108  
I support the current Title II Classification for broadband service. My ISP currently charges too much money for too little service (\$55/month for 15Mbps downstream) and the only other option available to me is wireless service which costs even more for even slower speed. The thought that they could begin charging other services money so that I could access those services at an acceptable speed is ridiculous. If it wasn't for services like Netflix, Youtube, Gmail, Xbox Live, and Amazon, just to name a few, the service offered by my ISP would not be worth having. I already pay my ISP for access to these services so why should these services have to pay my ISP so that I have unthrottled access to them?
754. Jacob Frerichs, oklahoma city, OK, 73114  
net neutrality is essential. it isn't right that a company can pay to have a faster connection to get more views. its almost like saying since a big company paid a fee they can use the US dollar and a little start up company who cant afford it can only use bit coin, it will kill businesses. also, to anyone who says ISP will not take advantage of this is delusional. most of the major companies have already said they would take advantage of the repeal of net neutrality. this is a terrible idea to repeal. it only helps big companies and does not support start ups on a platform that everyone should be able to have a fair chance to conduct business.
755. Gareth Johnson, Del City, OK, 73115  
We need to keep Net Neutrality. I would prefer to have my entire internet experience open.
756. Ronnie Williams, Edmond, OK, 73012  
The internet should be equally available to all, not governed by those few who make the most money. Keep net neutrality.
757. Tyler Moody, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
The internet has become a basic necessity for the citizens of the United States. If net neutrality is not maintained, the end results could be catastrophic for our citizens. As a 23 year old, I have applied for jobs, kept up on both national and international news, and leveraged google and youtube to no end to learn how to do various tasks. Without net neutrality, my ability to do this affordably will most certainly be taken away. For the sake of the growth of the United States and it's citizens, please uphold net neutrality.
758. Kamyar Movaffagh, Edmond, OK, 73003  
Just leave it alone you dicks!! Why does everyone with a small dick feel entitled to fuck with everything and cause a mess!?! It's been fine since the invention of the internet, just fucking leave it alone!!
759. Anna Farha, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
I'm expressing my support for net neutrality because the Internet should be the same for everyone. It is already difficult enough for the most vulnerable of populations to access it, and removing net neutrality would make this worsen. It would be beneficial to everyone to have access to this ever-growing resource.
760. Aloo Bharta, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
Please let the internet be free. This is new age power that should not be controlled by few corporates.
761. Kyle Palmer, Oklahoma City, OK, 73116  
The internet should be treated as a utility.
762. James Thornton, McLoud, OK, 74851  
This is America, and rolling back this is exactly what America does not stand for, who are we, North Korea? C'mon guys.. think this one through..
763. John H Earnheart, Edmond, OK, 73034

Keep the open and free Internet. Leave Net Neutrality where it stands today. John

764. ward whitehead, Shawnee, OK, 74804  
I support net neutrality. I am asking the FCC to keep the net neutral.
765. John Pollock, Harrah, OK, 73045  
I work remotely as a software developer across state lines, and so rely on my Internet service for my livelihood. I have only one option...a 2Mbps wireless connection. LTE is not available, nor is cable, DSL, or fiber. The lag time for satellite would make my work impossible. So I have one slow option that is barely passable with no competition. The ability of my ISP to restrict access to services would simply leave me without those services. There would be no competitor I could go to. I need my ISP to be a "dumb pipe" and let me choose what I do with it, without interference. I am concerned this will be undone by the proposed rules changes.
766. calvin hull, Oklahoma city, OK, 73118  
Keep the internet free and open. Like this will slow down the development of new network technology, and stagnate the market.
767. Jorj Chisam-Majid, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
The Internet must remain Neutral. Our access to the world cannot be censored for profit for if that happens we are not free.
768. nicole cumm, edmond, OK, 73003  
I feel there are certain things in life that should left be alone and I believe net neutrality is one of them!! LEAVE OUR INTERNET RIGHTS ALONE!!! make a living selling on Facebook and if net neutrality was lost my business would fall apart
769. Ami Deleon, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
I live in a place that there aren't many options for internet, but the internet is how I have been able to stay in touch with friends and family who live far away from me. It's lonely sometimes being far away from the people you care about and love, please don't make that harder for me. Don't make it harder to be able to communicate with them. The internet helped inspire me continue on to grad school and to actually become someone in this world. Being able to express myself and meet new people has helped me come out of my shell. Don't make me lose this confidence and happiness I've gained by being able to stay in touch with people I never would have met and be able to stay in touch with, if not for the internet and net neutrality. Please keep Net Neutrality. Don't take away my right to choose what web sites and apps I want to visit and use. Please take consideration in how this will affect all out lives. Not just yours. But everyones. Sometimes, it's not just about the money, it's about doing the thing that makes us all equal and just in this world. We are all equal right now, let's keep that way. Please. Thank you for taking the time to read this comment. May the Force be with you, and all of us fighting for Net Neutrality.
770. Michael Armstrong, Edmond, OK, 73003  
I am currently a student attending college for a degree in game design. If net neutrality is not maintained I could easily lose access to web only graphic design software like Adobe because another company can pay an ISP to block or hinder the use of competing software. While the argument of a free market and the ability to choose which ISP I subscribe to is a fair one, in reality that is not true. Most people don't have access to more than one ISP and all the ISP lines are piggybacked off other ISPs. All ISPs have a vested interest in maintaining each others services which would lead to them all being relatively the same or they would risk losing their shared line services with the other ISPs.
771. Brandon Tipton, Oklahoma City, OK, 73114  
As a frequent user of the internet, I have certain apps I like to use, and with the change of the set rules of net neutrality, I could lose the ability to use those apps. I do not wish to have my ISP choose what I can and cannot purchase, access, or use when I am using the internet.
772. Ross Dunlap, Edmond, OK, 73025  
The internet needs to stay neutral. Do not let providers have control over what sites I can view, when, how many videos, I can watch.KEEP THE INTERNET NEUTRAL.
773. joshua french, Shawnee, OK, 74801  
The internet is the last truly free place on the planet. Where people can be who they really want. Allowing ISPs to restrict paying users access to the web is not only wrong, its un-American.
774. Ban He, Bethany, OK, 73008  
The internet should be open. No prioritizing, throttling, or blocking.
775. Net Neutrality, Edmond, OK, 73141  
Net Neutrality must be maintained. It affects each internet using citizen and many businesses who use the internet as their primary means of business. The internet should stay free
776. Seth Dean Bowerman, Edmond, OK, 73012  
Choose people over corporations
777. LynnR Yates, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I am appalled that our government would consider doing this, when so many people rely on an open internet as there main main source of news, shopping, business and connecting with each other. Please keep net neutrality rules as they are now!
778. Matthew Frech, Edmond, OK, 73003  
We already have Net Neutrality; ending that would be a step backwards and a poor choice for consumers and the FCC. I support Net Neutrality and protecting the internet

779. Conrad Harris, edmond, OK, 73003  
In this day and age, the internet is essential to our world. If you repeal net neutrality rules, it could have catastrophic effects on the world at large. Please don't do this.
780. Thomas Labarthe, Del City, OK, 73115  
The ways in which one's access to a free, open, and equal internet are essential to quality of life in this country are too numerous to list, but suffice it to say that I stand with the majority of Americans who oppose the deregulation of net neutrality or the dismantling of Title II. That said, as a future physician, I can see at least one specific way wherein an internet that plays favorites will stand in the way of delivering care, and that is in the many vital telemedicine (and tele-mental health) initiatives that allow delivery of care to remote rural communities around the country.
781. Charles Crews, Oklahoma City, OK, 73135  
Letting this pass would be ridiculous. Forcing folks to suffer at the hands of ISP's even more expensive rates for what will become essentially a monopolization of a product a service only provided by fewer companies is not how this should be.
782. Matthew McLead, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Capitalism is the heart of the US Economy. If Net Neutrality gets taken down, then capitalism is not too far from falling too. The U.S. LOVES being number one. Don't let this fall, or we will too.
783. Gary Walker, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Please do not rollback Net Neutrality rules. Don't let ISPs pick winners and losers. With net neutrality, everyone wins!
784. Benjamin Wood, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
Net neutrality is very important for people to continue to use the internet freely without having their access throttled or censored for ISP profit. Keep net neutrality in place. We, the american people, have shown that we do not agree with these attempts to take away net neutrality in years. Keep it in place.
785. Dakota Canaday, spencer, OK, 73084  
Do not change our rights. We as people deserve a free and open internet. It is downright wrong to change the law just so a couple old guys can make more money.
786. Mr. Michael D. Bates, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
We oppose the removal of net neutrality safeguards and urge the commission to oppose as well.
787. Jani Berghuis, Oklahoma City, OK, 73105  
I am a strong supporter of net neutrality and, like many companies and individuals, am very against the new FCC idea to roll back net neutrality regulations. Allowing companies to restrict individual experiences for the purpose of profit is wrong and unconstitutional, a direct violation of individual rights.
788. Robin Roundtree, Shawnee, OK, 74804  
I am contacting you regarding possible end to net-neutrality enforcement by the FCC. I use my internet access to complete daily tasks (bills, work and personal communication), keep me up-to-date on world happenings, keep in touch with family and friends, find out about events in communities, education, and so on. I have recently connected with a long lost friend, thanks to FB and net-neutrality! My internet access is also allowing me to complete a degree! The internet is a major part of how we all connect to the world. Why potentially take all this away by removing internet protections currently in place? I purchase fast internet access, not AT&T products. I'm not buying email or storage services, I want fast internet access without being tethered to a company's agenda! Removing net-neutrality puts me at risk of invasion of privacy, fraudulent billing, price gauging, and restricted access to sites Of my choosing. And switching between my 2 local carriers isn't much of an option. To do so would require I miss work, typically 1-2 days, from my new job as well as the same problems with the other company. This vital communication tool, the internet, needs FCC oversight. I should not be forced to see, read, or choose what some conglomerate wants. These protections are already in place. This is the job I want the FCC to continue to provide. I am asking the FCC to continue to protect Me and all American consumers. Keep net-neutrality!
789. Matt Stailey, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
I think that free Americans should have freedom to access whatever information that they would like in order to enhance their lives.
790. Michael Agcanas, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
Keep the net neutral! As active duty military, the men and women of the armed forces use the internet to stay connected to their friends and family back home and it shouldn't be throttled our controlled by private entities. Keep the power on!
791. Christina Greenberg, oklahoma city, OK, 73120  
Open access internet ensures fair and equal access to information among all persons, regulating what sites can or cannot be visited based on provider blocks is baseless and a scam. Unlike television there is no cost for an internet provider to allow access to all websites, that cost is paid by the web host themselves.
792. Justin, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
An extremely greedy way of making money. This law needs to die quick.
793. Samuel Absher, Edmond, OK, 73025  
A competitive market is not always attained through laissez-faire policies. Instead, the government must sometimes intervene to preserve the right of innovators to enter new markets and encourage competition. This drives economic growth and improves the consumer's wellbeing. Net neutrality is this sort of regulation. Removing it is not pro-capitalism, it is pro-crony capitalism.

794. Kalob, Oklahoma City, OK, 73131  
Net neutrality needs to have strong rules. One of the main things we embrace in America is freedom and by taking Net neutrality away, you taking some of our freedom away!
795. Tiffannie Gee, Oklahoma City, OK, 73129  
Net neutrality, what it means to me. It means I would have the best that the internet has to offer without restrictions by my ISP. Not being over charged or charged by how many hrs I spend on the internet. I am disabled, and the internet is my way to travel the world, to learn new things, to be able to make my own decisions by investigating a topic by surfing other websites to come up with my own individual thoughts on any and all subjects. The internet is my entertainment, my, learning tool, my exploratory device. As a disabled person on a fixed income I don't want to be gouged to death by my ISP just to use the internet. My ISP has already upped their prices many times and have already began slowing down my internet because how much time me and the other disabled people in my home use the internet. People with disabilities can travel the world through the internet, when we cant in real life. The internet is our get away from reality, from our pain, our sorrows and day to day life in general. Please don't take that away from us. Please don't allow price gouging, slowing of the internet, or prohibiting websites that we freely choose to visit. Don't allow our ISP to take that control, the only control of our lives away from us disabled. I would prefer if the FCC would work harder at making the internet safer to use, put more into preventing hackers, viruses and malware/adware, spying to a stop. Don't bother the average person/ disabled people. I'm worried about what's in my pocket. I don't care about my ISP bottom li8ne is. My ISP ups their prices almost every 6 mths because of some agreement my state legislators made with them. All these extra fees and paying for franchises etc. and so on. We want to keep Net neutrality . I don't want to deal with forced pop ups or forced websites etc. and so on. These ISP needs to be regulated so they don't take advantage of people as they already have been. We also need competition in our area so prices will go down.
796. Kerry M Leehan, Oklahoma City, OK, 73102  
The maintenance of net neutrality is CRITICAL to a free and fair internet, maintains competition and is the ONLY form of regulation in alignment with free market principles. Allowing established companies to starve and cut out new and innovative business is antithetical to the way we should do business int he USA. Internet is a utility and should be regulated as suchwe must maintain Title II protected Net neutrality.
797. Shivani Mann, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
Keep net neutrality. The internet is a major part of peoples' lives, and internet freedom is a huge resource for knowledge and sharing ideas.To enable companies to limit whole internet access to the wealthy is incredibly discriminatory and only serves to drive down the overall quality of living and set the US back in relation to the rest of the first world.
798. Erica Mederos, Oklahoma City, OK, 73114  
It is imperative that broadband companies including, but not limited to, Comcast, Spectrum, Verizon, and AT&T, remain categorized as Title II telecommunications services. The broadband market is so small that "rolling back " this policy will not create greater competition and in turn better prices for consumers. Instead it will pad the pockets of these large companies and do very little for the average American. Half of Americans only have access to one broadband provider. Another 38% have two choices. So, 89% of consumers in the US have, basically, no competition. Many rural Americans still have dial-up as their only choice for internet access. Have you used dial-up recently? It's absolutely awful. I remember waiting for webpages to load, one line at a time. I can't imagine going back to that. The fact that there are people living in this country who have only experienced dial-up makes me cringe.FCC Chairman Ajit Pai is using the decrease in broadband investment while under the Title II classification as reasoning for why the rule needs changed. However, the numbers he is choosing to utilize for this argument include AT&T purchasing DirecTV and investing in Mexico instead of its network in the US. Using this metric alone creates incentives for large companies (monopolies for many Americans) to cease or slow network investment until the regulatory changes they desire are enacted. He is also ignoring, by not sharing, data that indicates broadband providers are barely competing while charging consumers more and more. The simple fact that Netflix cared about net neutrality in 2014 when the company was still growing and no longer cares should speak volumes. Reed Hastings stated that "he's not too worried...even if the formal framework gets weakened, because consumers know they're entitled to getting all of the web services." This essentially means that Netflix will be fine because no broadband provider is going to mess with Netflix and their 50 million subscribers. This turnaround is exactly why broadband providers should be regulated under Title II. The little guys and small companies need to be able to compete. If every new company has to pay to play then more dollars are being sucked from innovation and investment.Please do not do this! Keep the Title II classification!
799. David Clayton, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
Net neutrality, and the ability to openly and freely access the entire internet is very important to everyone in this country. The internet is a platform in which millions of people are able to explore the world, share ideas, and express their views. Creating a situation that allows ISPs to slow down or even block access to the internet would be detrimental to everyone who uses the internet. Requiring users to spend more money or make special provisions will hinder and limit access to the world wide web. DON'T ROLL BACK REGULATIONS THAT WOULD GIVE CORPORATIONS CONTROL OVER WHAT WE SEE AND DO ON THE INTERNET.
800. Parker Rupp, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I strongly appose the Restoring Internet Freedom Act and believe that internet services should remain under Title 2. I find Ajit Pai's continued support of this act, despite strong backlash, to be very troubling and I hope that he realizes that we, the people of the United States of America do not want our internet access in the hands of corporations who will abuse this power.
801. Jeffrey L. Kruck, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
As a teacher, research student, and engaged citizen, it is necessary to have equal and free access to all news (Video and text), as well as popular cultural interpretations of present events. By creating a privatized tiered system, my access will be limited by my income and determined by my provider. Thus my teaching and research will be limited and skewed by the views of corporations as opposed to the wealth of voices that I can access now. Preserve net neutrality.
802. Patrick Lunn, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112

Don't repeal net neutrality. The internet should be considered a utility.

803. james spence, Oklahoma City, OK, 73135

I wouldn't have been born if it weren't for a free and open internet, and I sure as hell wouldn't have stayed alive this long without access to niche resources that are unique to the net as it is now. Killing net neutrality will kill thousands of people, a lot of them kids. Keep the internet equal, and help keep them alive.

804. Adrian Franco, Oklahoma City, OK, 73159

I believe we should be able to have net neutrality for many examples. Small businesses, YouTuber creators, Work from home who require to have a good connection. Many people rely on the internet for many reasons. There has been cases of teenagers coping from Depression by watching certain media on the internet. Its the biggest way for communication nowadays.

805. Chris Jean, Edmond, OK, 73013

It has come to my attention that current chairman Ajit Pai argues that internet connections constitute what is known as an information service rather than a telecommunication service since the ISP controls how my connections function. This is patently untrue for me and millions of other users of the internet. First, I do not use my ISP's DNS servers. Rather, I use DNS servers provided by OpenDNS, Google, and at times, my own personal DNS servers. Thus, my ISP has no impact on how my software (browsers, operating systems, etc) convert a domain (google.com) to an IP address (172.217.9.142). In addition, I can use my /etc/hosts file to manually override DNS in order to avoid DNS failures, stale caches, and to run tests on a new server before updating DNS records. Second, I work as a web developer and frequently connect to servers. My server connections connect directly to IP addresses rather than to host names (server.domain.com). Thus, at no point does my ISP decide what server to connect me to as I am explicitly connecting to the specific system. If an ISP ever decides to interpret that one of my server's IPs actually should route somewhere else, they are violating my expectations of what I pay for. Third, while an ISP, as with any other large network, can make routing choices, those choices are made based upon pricing and performance considerations and do not constitute some kind of editorial control. If my ISP were to attempt to claim some type of editorial control over how they route connections, that would violate my expectation of the service I pay for. Fourth, I do not pay my ISP to provide any service other than a connection to the wider internet. I have never used an ISP provided value-added service such as email address (except as required to interact with their support or billing departments), web hosting space, etc. I pay an ISP to provide a dumb pipe that gets me a connection to the internet with the fastest speed at the lowest price. In addition, I do not use the connection simply to consume content, the internet is a two way communications system that I use daily as part of my job and hobbies to access content as well as send content. This can take a variety of different forms: SSH (secure terminal connections to do work on remote servers), HTTP/HTTPS (two-way web connections), FTP/SFTP (file uploads/downloads), VPN (tunneled connections that let my computers merge with remote private networks), POP/SMTP/IMAP (communications between email servers and my computer), VOIP (place VOIP to VOIP and VOIP to POTS telephone calls), proprietary custom connections I create myself (test and develop various kinds of upcoming internet technologies), XMPP/IRC (chat program protocols), NTP (sync system times to ensure my computers automatically update their clocks properly), Git/SVN/Mercurial (pull down and sync changes to software repositories), NFS/SMB/SSHFS (access remote file systems as if there were local), RDP/XDMCP/SPICE (access remote GUI desktops locally), custom proprietary protocols on non-standard ports (personal projects for testing or future development), third-party proprietary protocols (backup/file sync services, encrypted chat services, games, video chat, cloud-based GUI applications), and so on. To suggest that I pay my ISP simply for them to feed me content ignores the majority of what I do with an internet connection. An internet connection that does not support the previously-listed set of services stops being an internet connection and becomes useless to me. I would also suggest that a very large percentage of internet users use similar services regularly at home or at work without realizing how the service works and how it makes use of the ability for anyone to create services on the internet without sanctioning by ISPs. Fifth, it is well understood that telephone service is a telecommunications service. As with many other services (cable television, home security, proprietary camera networks, etc), telephone now runs on the internet and not on proprietary isolated networks. To have the definition of telecommunications include telephone service that runs over the internet yet not include the internet itself is irrational. Clearly an abstract infrastructure capable of providing both telecommunications and information services must at a minimum also be considered a telecommunications service. Going back to my list in the fourth point, the services that I listed, which I use daily on my internet connection at both home and at work, are almost exclusively telecommunications services in nature as content is being created, modified, and destroyed on both sides of the connection. These services in no way constitute a mere information service where my computer is simply consuming content fed to it by remote services. In conclusion, both the network neutrality rules and the internet classified as a telecommunications service are important to me both personally and professionally. Without such protections, I fear that ISPs will attempt to fragment and limit the internet as they seek new revenue sources to offset the year-after-year reduction in the number of cable and satellite television subscribers. This in turn would slow the pace of innovation online as services both new and old would have to negotiate with ISPs and potentially funnel money to them in order to provide what they are currently freely able to provide without any ISP negotiations.

806. Sean Baldwin, Oklahoma City, OK, 73127

Net neutrality is very important to maintain the quality of the internet. Please reconsider the proposed changes rolling back Title II

807. Nathan Velders, Bethany, OK, 73008

The internet is something that should be fundamentally free. An Internet Service Provider, while having the right to charge for access to the internet, should not also have the right to dictate which sites their users should use by way of curating, blocking, or throttling speeds. The consumer should be the one to decide which sites are best. In addition, throttling speeds is fundamentally wrong. This is because a user should receive the internet connection he/she paid for while accessing the entire internet. Advertising a speed and delivering another would be a form of false advertising, even if the speed is delivered only to select sites. While I am generally against regulation, there are instances when regulation is necessary to support and protect the majority of the citizen body. This is one of those instances. Regulation should prevent individuals alone or companies alone from deciding which parts of the internet are more profitable, or provide a richer experience. This decision should be left to an unmanipulated consumer body as a whole.

808. michael gallagher, oklahoma city, OK, 73120

My name is michael gallagher and i am against the upcoming legislation for removing net neutrality , this legislation will directly effect

me and my family i want to have a free internet where i know i will be getting all the information i know i am getting the unbiased information free from isps agenda i feel like we will be losing a great deal of freedom if this legislation is passed and is definitely a bad decision on this administrations watch.

809. Shea Hale, Oklahoma City, OK, 73103  
I will be a full time college student in August. Throughout my high school career, I have learned that the internet is an amazing thing. It has helped me countless times in pursuing my education, from something as simple as studying to something as important as researching scholarships and colleges. Without a neutral internet, not only I, but millions of other students will lose that connection and all opportunities it provides.
810. Chris Pfeifer, Edmond, OK, 73013  
The internet needs to stay under title 2 protection. It's disgusting that the American government would rather be in bed with big cable cartels than the American people.
811. Jennifer Speicher, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
Please keep net neutrality alive. Net neutrality protections are imperative to the rights of the American people. You can't allow ISPs do not own the internet or it's content and should have no right to restrict our access to it.
812. Brogan Pfeifer, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Keep the internet under title 2 protection. Save the internet.
813. Caleb McGaha, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Please do not allow ISPs to restrict or throttle the internet. Net Neutrality is something that directly impacts my everyday life, as well as the lives of most of my generation.
814. Nick McKinley, Edmond, OK, 73013  
This idea is ridiculous. Stop attempting to strip away the small amount of freedoms we as a people still have. This does not protect anyone; it only fills pockets of those not in need.
815. Gary William, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
FUCK corporations
816. DENISE BASINGER, OKLAHOMA CITY, OK, 73107  
I AM IN SUPPORT OF NET NEUTRALITY! SAVE THE OPEN INTERNET. DO NOT MAKE LIMITED ACCESS POSSIBLE!
817. Rod Costner, Edmond, OK, 73025  
I am a devout conservative who believes that net neutrality will always be right. Taking away net neutrality will not create a free market (the market is already free), but simply make it possible for a few people at the top to acquire insane amounts of wealth, while the average American or small business owner suffers from a diminished net experience. There is no justification for ending net neutrality. PLEASE do not do this just to further line the pockets of corporate types.
818. Zee Najam, OKC, OK, 73142  
Let the Internet stay the way it is. Freedom to the people. DO NOT repeal the rules on Net Neutrality. Let Net Neutrality stay the way it is. DO NOT let the rules be taken from us.
819. Gray Woolsey, Edmond, OK, 73013  
We live in the information age where everyone has access to any information or educational materials they could possibly want via the internet. Allowing our Internet Service Providers to pick and chose what websites they want us to see or have reliable connections too will really soil the title of "Information Age" because it's going to allow them to forward their agenda and advertisements.
820. Dereck Hurt, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
Net Neutrality is the core of what we in the United States say we stand for; freedom. There is no reason a monopoly of ISP should be able to stifle competition, discourage new market entrants and deceive the public about the negatives of net neutrality with our government right behind them giving approvals the entire way. The internet is something that affects every US citizen, on either side of the political spectrum. Allowing our free internet to be subverted by a few greedy CEO's will NOT be forgotten. Net Neutrality is for the people. Be for the people.
821. Jason Herndon, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Protecting net neutrality is important. Do not let this happen.
822. Matthew Williams, Bethany, OK, 73008  
The internet needs to remain free from regulation from ISP's. No entity should be able to charge more for a utility depending on what you do with it. Everyone should have equal access to content and information found online.
823. Jared Manchester, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
Hi there, It's sad that I even have to write a message conveying my belief that the internet should be open, it's pretty disappointing that you are once again even considering repealing the laws that protect consumers. When I purchased internet access from Cox (and Comcast before that) I did so because I wanted free access to the internet, that's all. Repealing net neutrality only allows Internet providers the ability to impose restrictions on us, the consumers. It's bullshit. Why are we moving to less access and freedom on the internet? That's the same kind of bullshit China is doing to their citizens. Keep the internet open.
824. Collin Ferrell, Edmond, OK, 73034

There is only one internet and we the people should decide what is best for us. This is capitalism at its core. If we pay for internet, we should be allowed to access all of the internet and not only the sites that bid the highest to ISPs. Keep Net Neutrality.

825. Dane Kitchens, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
The internet is one of the most important inventions in the history of mankind. It needs to remain free and easily accessible to EVERYONE
826. Izzy Minor, Edmond, OK, 73034  
what the fuck y'all keep the internet away from greedy, selfish, and old government men. FREEDOM FOR ALL OF THE INTERNET,,,,, THEYRE PRETTY MUCH TAKING AWAY OUR RIGHT OF EXPLORING ALL OF THE INTERNET WHICH IS FUCKED
827. Matthew Day, Edmond, OK, 73012  
Keeping the internet a neutral playground for innovation and allowing all consumers to experience any content on the internet at the same speeds as others provides an equal playing field for tech companies or any company who uses the internet to distribute information. My entire job and skill set revolves around an internet that is open. My job of providing websites and internet driven applications requires a steady and strong internet connection for all users to maximize the experience for the consumers.
828. Andrew Elmore, Oklahoma City, OK, 73104  
I believe that data is data and all internet traffic should be treated equally. This requires strong Net Neutrality with Title Two oversight of ISPs.
829. Carl William Kizer, Oklahoma City, OK, 73131  
Please opt for fairness. The internet plays too important of a role in all our lives for it to be controlled by corporate interests. Corporate interests already have too much control of the means by which we receive the internet, they should not have the right to pick and choose winners and losers, charging some more and others less just so they can make more money for their shareholders. Do the the right thing FCC, the ethical thing, and the fair thing - keep the net neutral for everyone.
830. Austin McCauley, Oklahoma City, OK, 73104  
Net neutrality is essential to an internet that can facilitate free and open dialogue. Never before has there been a means for people across the world to rapidly and easily communicate, consume art, keep up with world events, and hear new perspectives. That system depends upon the neutrality of the lanes through which that information travels; allowing entities like ISPs to control those lanes of traffic gives those entities broad control of what information can travel to people, and who can transport that information. Small businesses or entrepreneurs could become subservient to the demands of larger organizations, and information could begin to be censored to suit the ISP's interests. This is an unacceptable outcome. Do not revise these rules in such a way that those companies could gain that level of power.
831. Bao Nguyen, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
A brief statement of why we need wider access is because it would further limit possibilities of knowledge and growth. With restrictions on the education system as it is, it makes it worse when potential personal growth and education is halted.
832. Daniel Aaron Austin, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
KEEP THE INTERNET NEUTRAL. The fact that the internet is an even playing field promotes competition and allows the American Dream to continue to be a reality. People that are from the bottom can rise to the top. If big companies get to choke the living life out of smaller companies at will on the internet we have lost. Stand by internet neutrality and stop the big companies from throttling the internet.
833. Tyler Underwood, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Protect net neutrality, a free and open internet is the best thing for everyone!
834. Spike Alkire, Edmond, OK, 73003  
Please keep net neutrality intact. I would ask that you please reconsider your plan to favor a vast minority of Cable Company executives over a vast majority of the people you are supposed to represent. Thanks.
835. Michael E Campbell, Warr Acres, OK, 73122  
I am extremely pro net neutrality. This MUST be stopped.
836. Justin Drury, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
I can't believe this is even in question. How could a small hand full of companies out weigh hundreds of millions of people and tens of thousands of other companies? Apparently Capitalism and Control over Democracy. Or China over the US which would be a better analogy. Removing Title II has never been about "innovation", which is seriously the weakest argument a multi billion dollar company can make. The internet should remain a highway. I should never have to take toll roads to get to where I want to go.
837. Jon David Blackburn, Oklahoma City, OK, 73127  
ISPs should not be able to control my access to information. period. They provide me with access, and I should be able to completely choose what I want to access and know that my search results are the most relevant to my needs. Not the needs of some CEO's pockets. This is bullshit.
838. John McDowell, Oklahoma City, OK, 73127  
The world today is one that is, in essence, smaller because everyone is easily connected via the internet. This is a good thing. Communication between people across the globe allows for the enlightenment of humanity. Through the exchange of knowledge, we can grow beyond the threat of self-annihilation. In the same sense that power companies are not allowed to prioritize the flow of power

to building based upon some third party willing to pay more to limit or improve their access. The same goes for all other services that enable the basic standard of living for citizens of the United States (gas, water, electricity, phone service, etc). Internet connectivity may not be seen as needed for the basic standard of living today, but it will within the next few years. Taking the steps to regulate internet access as a utility would be in the best interest of humanity. Doing it now will reduce the efforts needed to cover future issues that may arise from it.

839. Kara Carter, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
As an IT professional, the Internet is an extremely important vehicle for my livelihood. I work remotely from home, and also connect to many services to facilitate mailings, maintain websites, utilize CMS, access Cloud platforms and myriad other tasks. Also, as an employee/contractor of two nonprofits, I utilize small businesses that focus on the nonprofit sector. Without net neutrality, my workflow as I know it would be in jeopardy. I purchase Internet access for speed and reliability so that all of the above can be accomplished in the most efficient way possible. My ISP is not my absolute service provider, but the infrastructure that I use to make a living. In my area [metro OKC], I only have two broadband services to choose from. Switching from one to the other is costly due to breaking contracts. The FCC should be tasked with ensuring that consumers are protected from, among other things, invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers.
840. Blake Swarnes, Choctaw, OK, 73020  
Uncalled for. Keep big companies hands out of politicians pockets .
841. Nathan Williams, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
I do not support the "Restoring Internet Freedom Act," because it does the exact opposite. By removing the Internet from Title II protections, the FCC would endanger the freedoms of Internet consumers, who could be at risk of paying more to ISPs to get the same level of service that they already get, but also companies and people who deliver content over the Internet, who would be at risk of having to pay ISPs premiums to not be throttled or blocked. Let's maintain net neutrality by keeping Title II protections.
842. Amy, OKC, OK, 73121  
The freedom of the internet is critical to research and innovation. The idea that companies would be allowed to pick and choose what we have access to is disastrous.
843. Sam Ladwig, Oklahoma City, OK, 73121  
This is not a partisan issue. This is an issue of keeping the playing field level for all.
844. Joseph Karch, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I live in Edmond, Oklahoma and there are only two major internet providers. Both providers have instituted data caps recently. Several months ago I had unlimited internet usage. Now they have limited my usage and are charging the same price. How can this be justified? If I could go and find a better ISP like Google fiber, I would. Unfortunately there are no other options in the city. My parents live in a small town in Illinois where there is only one provider, Comcast. They dislike Comcast and want to cancel, but they have no other option. Because there is no competition in this market ISPs should be regulated like utilities.
845. Joseph Short, Edmond, OK, 73034  
Net neutrality is extremely important in a free and fair internet. I urge you to save net neutrality. Joseph Short
846. Paul Nichols, Edmond, OK, 73012  
The Internet is one of the very few places that is widely considered to be "free" these days. People are able to express themselves and find communities to belong to that may not exist in their town or city. It lets people know that they are not alone. Of course, this can have negative ramifications in some ways, but, ultimately, taking this away would be a net negative. The Internet's access to information is one of the few true equalizers we have in the United States. That only works if everyone has equal access. Don't mess this up.
847. Ian Byrd, Oklahoma City, OK, 73159  
Blocking sites for the person paying the most to ISP could help reduce costs but, also has a heftier price. Do you think a small start up named google would have become so influential in today's market if it had to spend every last dime it had to keep up with larger companies buying out the only ISP option they had? That may be an extreme example but, it's the easiest to understand. This law hinders expandability of the internet and it hurts ISP's in the long run. Right now when someone has a good idea they can just do it and if it sticks everyone is on it like white on rice. ISP's win because more people are paying the service they actually need in the market. This hurts everyday people when everyday people can't make a good idea and just have it stick with little to no risk for themselves. There probably is a much better way to get ISP's the support they need for the growing demand to certain services along with a serious amount of bits going up and down the network. COX Cable here in OKC just recently started upping their Upload speeds which helps my streaming on Twitch.tv. The internet is only getting faster and more taxing on ISP's I get it.
848. Ryan Lorg, Edmond, OK, 73003  
ISPs are not equitable to Google, Facebook, etc. Users can choose which websites they visit and which they do not. However, most ISPs hold regional monopolies and users do not have a choice if they want internet access. The Internet IS a utility. ISPs should not be allowed to sell users' personal information, including browsing history and information mined from their use of their ISP's services. Also, ISPs should not be allowed to prioritize traffic to certain websites or block access to others. They will undoubtedly abuse these powers for their own gain and to the detriment of their competitors. All of this is common sense to the average person. So let me finally appeal to you as an American. This country is a democracy, the government is intended to carry out the will of the people. Yet an overwhelming majority of the people you serve are against the repeal of the net neutrality laws. This is a deliberate subversion of the American system of government. The same system that has likely given you a job and has made our great nation what it is today. Please reconsider and leave the net neutrality laws intact.
849. Sean Baird, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
There are no citizens asking for this. How can any agency of the people possibly make this happen. Please move to have net neutrality

protected. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. Sean Baird

850. Taylor Haring, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
Broadband ISP provide me with email, video streaming services, hosting, and, of course, fast internet connection. All of these directly contribute to my ability to earn a living and enjoy entertainment experiences. Deregulating ISPs will compromise all of these services. Therefore Net Neutrality must stand in principle and practice
851. Jason Hayes, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
The internet should be open to all and not filtered by the interests of for-profit companies looking to increase their own revenue.
852. Lauren Vaughn Watson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Net neutrality is vital. We cannot let a few large corporations dictate what content and data we stream and how quickly we stream data just so they can make more money.
853. Clayton Estes, Edmond, OK, 73013  
The internet needs to be neutral. No government has the right to censor it and give any isp the authority to control what people see.
854. Jason Thompson, edmond, OK, 73003  
Please keep our internet open and free, we need to keep the net neutral. We need to preserve net neutrality, for the sake of competition, free speech, and all that makes the internet great.
855. Jeff Tresner, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I support net neutrality and title 2. Seriously, why are we having this conversation again? Ajit, As the FCC chairman you should be looking out for ALL Americans and not just your buddies at the broadband companies. Instead of trying to roll back regulations you should concentrate your efforts on forcing network improvements and increasing competition.
856. Jeffrey Ginter, Edmond, OK, 73012  
Ending Net Neutrality is an attack on free speech. It is a conduit through which billions communicate. This is a destruction of the most innovated and integral part of our society for the financial benefit of a very small minority. Americans pay more for worse service than tens of millions of people in other countries. Access to internet is important not only for communication and entertainment, but education as well. What is being done to our country is horrific.
857. Joshua Mayo, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
The internet is the most important form of current and future communication. As such, Net Neutrality is an absolute necessity. Internet Service Providers already disservice their customers frequently by charging egregious amounts for often poor quality service due to the de facto trust that they have formed. Giving up Net Neutrality would only make this situation exponentially worse by allowing the internet to be controlled by companies who only care about lining their pockets. Further, without Net Neutrality, Americans will be opened up to a new form of infringement on their First Amendment rights due to those ISPs being able to control the content uploaded or viewed using their service. Repealing Net Neutrality is a step backwards from protecting the freedom of Americans and a step towards a fascist Orwellian society. Please make the right choice.
858. Rex A. Pellerin, Oklahoma City, OK, 73109  
I did not serve in the military to have our own government keep taking more and more freedoms away. The Internet has nothing to do with the government and it NEEDS to remain this way. Everything the government touches reduces the Freedoms of our public. This Must be Halted, or we shall become a country that is NOT the country this was meant to be.
859. Michal Carson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73103  
Dropping the rules on Net Neutrality is not in the interest of working Americans who depend on Internet connections for communications, research, school, news and entertainment. Net Neutrality must remain in place. Dropping these rules is another self-serving act by the Trump administration and it's rich elites. It will create a new revenue source for some (who already have enough) and cut out others who are unable to pay. It will choke out the voices of dissidents, bloggers and small business. It will reduce the effectiveness of the Internet to bring transparency and democracy to the world.
860. Jackie Calderon, Oklahoma City, OK, 73127  
Save net neutrality! Jackie Calderon
861. Joshua Kinney, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
Keep Net Neutrality! In no way should any Internet Service Provider be able to say how the internet based services are provided. The internet should be open and accessible to all. DO NOT REPEAL NET NEUTRALITY!
862. Zachary Burns, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
Protect net neutrality. The internet should remain a free and fair and open platform for all content and all websites. Please protect it. Do not throw these protections.
863. Andrew Lisenby, Edmond, OK, 73012  
I believe that ISP's should still be regulated under title 2, and these regulations should not be dropped
864. Randell Baze, Edmond, OK, 73034  
Keep Net Neutrality equal for all services such as streaming video, audio, etc. Do not allow corporations to dictate how the American people view or use the internet. Keep Net Neutrality.
865. Jarrett McConnell, Oklahoma City, OK, 73179

The speed at which webpages on my computer load all determine which ISP I am getting service from and the package I have purchased from them. It is entirely up to me and my financial position to determine how fast my internet is. The idea of paying for fast internet but certain webpages getting throttled is fraud. I am paying for fast internet. Not fast internet for every webpage my ISP wants me to look at while still paying full price. Also, the idea of throttling certain websites for the sake of "competition" is simply preposterous. Even if there are multiple ISP's out there, there are very visible differences between the services they provide. Some ISP's have fiber and some don't. Some don't offer good upload speeds while others do. There is already a competitive scene for ISP's and their services. The internet is a public park that simply has multiple gates leading into it. Those gates shouldn't have any jurisdiction over what is viewed and what is not in that park, unless it is illegal, of course. If I want to view a website from Japan, I should be able to without getting throttled. I'm paying for the service and it is the ISP's responsibility to provide it. That simple.

866. Isabella Jennings, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
I'm writing to urge you to keep our Open Internet rules based on Title II in place. Without them, we could lose the internet as we know it. The proposed changes to FCC rules would allow fast lanes for sites that pay, and force everyone else into slow lanes. We've already seen access to streaming services like Netflix, popular games like League of Legends, and communication platforms like FaceTime slowed down, or even blocked. Conditions like this hurt businesses large and small, and penalize the users who patronize them. The changes also open the door to unfair taxes on internet users, and could also make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. Please leave the existing net neutrality rules based on Title II in place. Thank you! There are hundreds, perhaps thousands of websites that cater to an audience of Black, Latino, Arab and Muslim, queer and transgender, women and young people that may find themselves unable to pay enough to be heard. Online ethnic media and media targeting communities pushed to the margins of public debate have provided a needed respite from the scapegoating and stereotypes of mainstream cable news. Websites like Essence.com, Very Smart Brothers, Jack and Jill Politics, the Root and so many more, too many to name, might find themselves unable to reach their audiences. Their websites aren't the only ones that will be affected. Many of the start up companies like Etsy, Task Rabbit and more may find themselves unable to compete against older and wealthier companies. If net neutrality is repealed, the next website unable to thrive may be yours.
867. Tara Siebert, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
I am a current student and future educator who relies on internet educational services for both learning and teaching.
868. Dakota Freeman, Oklahoma City, OK, 73159  
Please preserve Net Neutrality, otherwise individuals such as myself will be robbed of the opportunity and the freedom to experience the internet in its totality. Information isn't the enemy; ignorance is. I can differentiate between false and true information without the government's and the ISPs' help. Websites are just files hosted on a computer connected by cables to other computers. Why should an ISP throttle, blacklist, or otherwise hinder my access to such files. I can't wait for the day that an entrepreneurial genius to develop an internet configuration that doesn't really rely on ISPs, but until that day comes, we must stop Net Neutrality from disappearing.
869. Thomas Arata, Oklahoma City, OK, 73134  
Don't take away my internet rights you fucks.
870. Ty William Pfeiffer, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
The FCC is embarrassing itself by valuing the whims of corporations over the best interests of consumers. All points leading here have made it clear that net neutrality is in the best interests of the population.
871. Dalton Bourns, Luther, OK, 73054  
Please reconsider the rollback of net neutrality, America was founded on the idea of capitalism, is the lost support of every youth in the nation worth the meager sum ISPs can pay you? Please think of long term consequences.
872. Brock Bannecker, Edmond, OK, 73013  
The reason I am reaching out in regard to the importance of net neutrality is to call attention to the fact that people have now just become bottom lines. Bottom line numbers and profit seem to be all that matters in our society anymore. And to me, that is disheartening to see. Somewhere along the way, our society has come up with this fallacy that the world is an endless economy with the ability for infinite profits on a planet that has finite resources. These two ideas do not coexist. And while at first glance that may not seem important to this issue, it seems to embody and promote this kind of culture. Repealing the current net neutrality rules feels like just another way for the giants of the business world to grow even larger, while the opportunities for exposure to smaller entities shrink. As a consumer, the reason I buy internet access is to have an open internet at a speed that is not throttled because a service provider wants to gouge a consumer base for more money. And based on the potential repeals, ISPs would be able to throttle specific sites as they see fit. Talk about conflict of interests. And many citizens do not have access to multiple ISPs when choosing their provider. It isn't like being in the cereal aisle at the supermarket, where a customer has seemingly limitless choices. Most are simply not afforded the option to choose between many providers, and that limits their ability to choose a service from a company that shares the same values and policies. The FCC should be doing everything in its power to ensure that the consumers are protected from price gouging and the monopolization of the Internet by the ISPs. As a consumer of these products, I sincerely hope that the net neutrality rules are not repealed and the open internet continues to be available to everyone. Thank you for your consideration.
873. LAM WEISMAN, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
THE INTERNET HAS BECOME A VITAL NECESSITY TO ALL AMERICANS. NO CORPORATION SHOULD HAVE THE 'RIGHT' TO CONTROL OUR FREE AND UNFETTERED ACCESS TO SAME. LAM WEISMAN
874. Daniel Barten, Del City, OK, 73115  
Net neutrality is one of those things you either know nothing about, support, or will benefit monetarily from its nonexistence. I don't think there is another side to this story, and it sucks that the internet has to come out every few years and defend a measure with a crazy popular approval rating.
875. Jason Cates, Edmond, OK, 73012

Net neutrality is important. Many people's livelihoods will be negatively impacted without it. The internet is no longer a luxury, but an important part of all people's lives. Please remember this.

876. Wesley Thurman, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
I use a good majority of websites that would not be "sponsored" or "promoted" by ISP's and these websites are fantastic and beneficial to me and my well being believe it or not.
877. tamlyn jordan, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
The internet without net neutrality (NN) isn't really the internet. Unlike the open internet that has paved the way for so much innovation and given a platform to people who have historically been shut out, it would become a closed-down network where we would have to pay more to access certain content. Americans already pay more to access the internet than all other "first world" countries. This would have an enormous impact. Companies like AT&T and Verizon would be able to censor content they don't like, and block applications that might compete with them. I don't want to have to pay more to stream movies. There's a reason Netflix, Facebook, Google, Wikipedia, Amazon and other internet giants are all fighting this. They know it will stifle business and they could lose customers. Without NN, independent, American voices would be shut out. We need a free and open internet, and I DEMAND you protect net neutrality for all Americans! Sincerely, Tamlyn Jordan
878. Devin Miller, Edmond, OK, 73012  
I believe net neutrality is a necessary concept in today's very internet reliant society. A company should not be able to pay to be given preferential treatment on such an essential part of everyday life. What should determine the internet usage isn't who has the biggest pocket book but what the end users are ultimately using the internet for. The internet is literally used everyday by millions of people for various reasons, I don't believe you as a federally commissioned entity should have the right or the ability to force changes to the services they pay for, including limiting access or placing inhibiting restrictions on entities that are less funded than the big companies' lobbyists. Net neutrality is ingrained in the internet community and to simply think you can change it because you see a revenue opportunity that is being underutilized is not representative of the people of the United States.
879. Mark Flores, Oklahoma City, OK, 73115  
I strongly believe that net neutrality is something we should hold on to and not allow major companies to control what we can or can't do online. This country was founded on the idea of freedom and I want that belief to extend to this as well. Thank you for your time. Mark Flores
880. Lori Hairston, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
Do not roll back the net neutrality protections. Small and micro businesses should not have to pay for priority access. Many Americans use the internet to sell their handmade products and many support their families with their income. Many of these Americans are women. Do not make it harder for American women to support their families. Lori Hairston
881. Dillon Ausmus, Oklahoma City, OK, 73108  
After being subjugated to limited ISP options that monopolized my previous address and required payments 5x times greater than a service that provided 10x times the speed available just 2 miles down a single road, I do not wish to remain under the thumb of those that provide what can now be considered a basic utility to function in modern day society.
882. David Van Singel, Oklahoma City, OK, 73134  
It is not right to make the internet pay for tiers. The internet is not supposed to be a "pay to play". This will create ramifications that even you do not intend. This will turn into your greatest failure while you may be looking for success. Government is supposed to be for the people. That is democracy. If this goes through, you are creating a Corporatocracy. This is not what America is supposed to be. Please completely uphold Net Neutrality and never let this debate come up again.
883. Miguel Lopez, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
This could very well be one of the worst decisions in recent memory that our government have tried to enact upon the general population. Allowing this to happen, is essentially taking away from our freedoms as Americans. Yes, we do pay private companies for the right to use their services, but seeing as how there aren't any companies that are guaranteeing to not be cooperating in this we are left without any options. Do not pass this, it will change the path of our country forever.
884. Jaquelin Lopez, Oklahoma City, OK, 73108  
As a student Net Neutrality is important to me because the internet is a crucial tool in my education. I require access to the internet in order to do a lot of my schoolwork ,research, and prepare for college. I also use the internet to search for and apply for jobs. Switching to a different ISP may not be affordable for me.
885. Gregory Barfelz, oklahoma city, OK, 73106  
I don't think ISP's should be able to tell me where to go for certain things or throttle my access if im paying them for it, the main reason i use the internet is to calm myself over my anxiety and other mental disabilities i have, if i didnt have the internet to be able to talk to friends from the UK, Ireland, or any other country my online friends are from then my depression would have won over me a long time ago, i only have access to 2 forms of internet in my city, and 1 is DSL (which untill recently, and only in certain areas) has finally been able to provide over 25mbps down. Now, Cox Communications in my area has been fantastic so far, but i fear that if Net Neutrality is changed or removed then my options for internet will drastically change and my internet use will be horrible. If the FCC has to oversight the issue then i think they should force the ISP's to not prevent website views or interrupt my service unless if breaks a law or my contract with them, i also think the contracts should be overseen to make sure blockages or "changes" to my internet are not done just because i didn't visit their website for a service they provided and instead went some where else, ive always used Gmail for my e-mail ever since it was started, the e-mail i had with Cox Communications was never any good, and i told them that repeatedly, and i would never use their e-mail. Citizens should be protected from big company's who just see us a dollar signs instead of people needing to use this feature for self perseverance to calm themselves or to forget the troubles they have by watching a video of someone speak about problems they have, or to play a game and relax knowing that the hard day they will have tomorrow will have a end and they can come

back and relax and enjoy everything they have been.Sincerely,Gregory Barfelz

886. Joseph Inness, Oklahoma city, OK, 73134  
I believe in an open internet and the more the FCC and ISPs see to squeeze more money out of citizens and invade our privacy, we soon become a dictatorship and not a democracy. This land was built upon our Liberty and Freedom and Justice for all and what injustice are we doing to the American people if we sully their rights as citizens of the United States of America. I request the FCC keeps the Net Neutrality Act the way it is and do not give any more power to these power hungry conglomerates.
887. Dakota James Evans, Oklahoma City, OK, 73134  
Keep the internet free of paid express lanes for data and make sure all information is given equal share of the data pathways.
888. Milissa D Lucas, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
I strongly support net neutrality. This is one freedom the United States has that is not yet corrupt by money. If we do away with net neutrality I believe it will only effect us negatively. Imagine the truth being out there but certain entities don't want the general public aware of it, so they'll just "slow them down."Let's not give way to greed. I strongly support net neutrality!
889. Zach Ritchie, Oklahoma City, OK, 73127  
I strongly support continued FCC Title II classification for internet services, and want net neutrality protected.Zach Ritchie
890. Justin Adams, Oklahoma City, OK, 73108  
The government should not be allowed to control the internet and dig into our private lives. YOU DO NOT CONTROL THE PEOPLE, THE PEOPLE CONTROL YOU!
891. Justin Huebner, Oklahoma City, OK, 73179  
By allowing a service like access to the internet to be in any way perverted would adversely affect entrepreneurship in the United States. Small businesses need the internet to be as useful to them as it is to international corporations.
892. Jake White, Tecumseh, OK, 74873  
Get your globalist hands off of my internet.
893. Kristen Parham, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
Net Neutrality should remain intact. The internet as it stands should not fall under government regulation. If cable companies and big businesses are allowed to govern internet content, it will hurt small business in a big way since consumerism is moving online and it will inhibit any start-up, small business, or new content creators that cannot afford to purchase customers. I am a beginning web developer and am concerned that I may fall into the category of content creators and freelancers who have no way to make ends meet if the protections in place are weakened or removed. I am also one of many thousands and thousands of Americans who rely on the internet to stay connected with family, friends, and colleagues. Internet service is often cheaper than phone service and removing someone's ability to access it or make it much more expensive (depending on the whims of the providers) would be an atrocity. I urge you. Please do not weaken or repel the open internet.
894. Jonathan Mai, Edmond, OK, 73012  
Net Neutrality gives us the chance to interact with the world and better understand it. On a daily basis do I speak with people from Canada, Finland, Austrailia, and many other places via the internet when I don't have the means to be there in person. Net Neutrality also gives students access to an immense bank of knowledge, something very important to the future of our world. If you would restrict that, you would halt and destroy the futures of those many who are unfortunate. An educated and growing people is more valuable than money. Net Neutrality will ensure you that you will have this, so please support Net Neutrality.
895. Arianna Timko, Oklahoma City, OK, 73102  
I am a graduate student in psychology. I use the internet to complete academic work, for entertainment, and to keep in touch with my loved ones when my studies and training keep me away from home. The apartment complex where I currently live in Oklahoma City offers ONE option for ISP. This company has a monopoly in my area; if net neutrality were to be lost and this provider wanted to favor some websites or applications over others, or block some sites entirely, I would have no choice as a consumer but to be negatively impacted. I require the internet for all of the aforementioned reasons; I do not wish to be at the mercy of a large company with huge financial interests when it comes to how I spend my time (and how much time I spend) on the internet. Please protect net neutrality.
896. Paul Marshall, oklahoma city, OK, 73119  
ISP's should NOT be allowed to throttle access to sites not willing to pay up. ISP's should NOT be allowed to charge different prices for preferential treatment. KEEP Title 2 rules in place, keeping ISP's as common carriers like the phone companies.
897. Robert Paulson, Pink, OK, 74873  
I support strong net neutrality rules. Keep the internet free.
898. Scott Horton, Seminole, OK, 74868  
The continued growth and evolution of the internet require it to be an equal ground for all.
899. Rachel Taylor, Oklahoma City, OK, 73003  
I support net neutrality, and encourage you to do the same. Please work with each other to overturn the existing cumbersome rules at the FCC and enact lasting legislation that will solidify core Internet freedoms the citizens of the United States deserve.
900. Cole, edmond, OK, 73034  
fuck big cable. keep the internet free

901. Kenneth Brown, Edmond, OK, 73034  
Net neutrality is essential to the internet to keep information freely flowing. Allowing ISPs control over the content viewed by consumers is inherently anti-consumer and will certainly lead to abuse of power by these entities. Keep net neutrality.
902. Dylan Moseley, Seminole, OK, 74868  
I believe in Net neutrality, and think that repealing them would give too much sway/influence to ISP companies. Manipulating or limiting people's access to the internet can have a very big, negative impact on people's lives.
903. Matt Davis, Oklahoma City, OK, 73150  
The beauty of the internet is the fact that it provides an actual level playing field promoting capitalism in this new age. Please keep the internet free to all and on equal footing; not in favor of the rich and already successful entities locking the door behind them and further restricting freedom for small businesses to grow in this new digital era we all take part in.
904. Sam Cox, Edmond, OK, 73003  
I think net neutrality should always be in place to keep bigger companies from overpowering smaller companies.
905. Patrick Shaw, Oklahoma City, OK, 73104  
Getting rid of the Open Internet brings us closer to a nation of censorship. The internet is the modern day average man's pen and paper and stifling it spits in the face of the First Amendment.
906. Jeremy Allison, Edmond, OK, 73003  
Remember the 1st Amendment guys, it is not for you to decide which information we can see. That kind of government control might work over seas but if you like that kind of thing, get a boat.
907. Cindy Meyer Hanchey, Shawnee, OK, 74804  
Net neutrality is IMPERATIVE for democracy to work.
908. Micheal Schrembs, Edmond, OK, 73013  
With the rise of the internet, more and more people are using it and blocking or slowing the people who use it isn't the correct answer. The target should be the ones who plan to abuse it and not everyone also prioritizing what websites people see isn't the right answer. All this is saying is you want the people to see what you want to see and not enjoy themselves. I believe we should have the right to enjoy the internet as is and not be limited by someone who plans to not use it right.
909. Chandler Rostochil, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
Internet was built on freedom of restrictions, it needs to stay this way.
910. Cody, Oklahoma city, OK, 73127  
Please keep the internet free for everyone
911. Keenon Davis, Oklahoma city, OK, 73119  
The access to open internet has helped mold me into the person I am today. Whether if it is just watching youtube videos and gaming broadcasts to help ease my mind, or diving in DIY tutorials and training videos, videos on how to fix my car, forums with like minded people that have helped me with countless things. There are some people that are my friends for life because we met on the internet. Please Save The Internet, Save the world. Thanks for your time.
912. Brian Goff, Edmond, OK, 73013  
We need net neutrality rules and no data caps for an open and free internet. ISP should not be able to limit how much data we consume, what speed we consume the data at or what content we consume in a month or any period of time.
913. David, Edmond, OK, 73013  
No and No. The world is already going to shit and the internet is the last bastion of escape.
914. Jason Ball, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
We support net neutrality and do not want rollbacks to the current laws protecting us and the web. ISPs should not be able to bully sites and services to play by their rules. If ISPs are allowed to call the shots we risk losing a chance at an internet that supports the world and American free market.
915. Cooper Wright, Edmond, OK, 73012  
The internet is and should always be an open and independent resource. You might think this could get some money in the short run, but consider the people you're supposed to be acting for. This is not an action that any moral human being could take, so pull your head out of nether regions and remember that this decision affects you and the millions of people who can get their state representative to take action against you. Keep the internet free of throttling and free of ISP favoritism.
916. Net Neutrality, Oklahoma city, OK, 73112  
We the people, do not want ISPs to take control of the internet. In Oklahoma we already have a major ISP Cox that is putting data caps on their plans. We don't want to pay more to access websites. We do not want to be throttled on our internet. We don't want to pay more to the ISPs for no reason other than to fill their pockets. We need to stop this greed.
917. Kenton Standard, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Please do not allow ISPs to force services to pay for fast lanes. Consumers will have to pay more for these services as well. If this is allowed, the integrity of this organization will fall to an unprecedented level. Please don't dig yourself a grave and don't make everyone make unnecessary payments.

918. Lyle Govig, Edmond, OK, 73012  
This is crazy! We the people have already fought and won this argument. The Internet has been a great windfall for AT&T, Verizon, and others and it was always supposed to be free. Giving these fortunate companies even more advantage is criminal. The internet is working fine. Don't mess it up for the rest of us.Lyle
919. Leonelhernandez, Oklahoma city, OK, 73129  
I care for net neutrality and should be kept equal for everyone, why make it worse? we as people have agreed in this negative motive
920. Eric Redwine, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
This is unconstitutional
921. Caroline Reckner, Edmond, OK, 73034  
Net Neutrality is vitally important to every American citizen as it is the embodiment of free speech and free expression on the internet. Let the people see what they will, and not be unnecessarily censored in what information they can receive.
922. Net Neutrality, Del City, OK, 73115  
The Internet should remain open. This is an act by the government to extort more money from its citizens. To start charging us on something that should be free is just awful and the Net Neutrality needs to remain intact.
923. Net neutrality, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I like the internet the way it is, thank you very much.
924. Cooper Johnson, Edmond, OK, 73013  
I voted for Trump because I thought HILLARY would be the downfall of our freedoms. The internet is a place to exchange ideas and exercise free speech. To restrict and control the internet is to restrict and control free speech and our freedom of expression. You wouldn't allow a city to outlaw Dunkin Donuts and favor Krispy Kreme. Or outlaw an On Cue and favor 7-11. Please do not allow ISPs to control the web.
925. Damon Hombs, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Please don't pinch my tubes.
926. Jonathan Darley, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
Net Neutrality is essential to my and my family's use of the internet. Services we depend on are vulnerable to behavior possible without net neutrality, and so many of the things we love and benefit from were only possible in the atmosphere innovative atmosphere fostered by net neutrality.
927. Kevin wickware, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
I strongly support net neutrality as defined by title 2. You are supposed to help us! Not help destroy the small businesses so these massive companies can get richer. I cannot believe this is a real possibility.
928. Jordan Ward, Oklahoma City, OK, 73116  
Net Neutrality is vital to a just society.
929. alex clubb, edmond, OK, 73012  
do not leave this in corporations hands, they obviously will cater to business partners. it will allow isps to have too much control over information
930. Phil Fox, oklahoma city, OK, 73120  
This is not in the best interest of the public; and clearly gives all the power to the big ISPs and their backing corporations. We already don't have infrastructure that they claim this could stifle.
931. Regan Shorter, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
Keep the internet free for expression and for everyone. It should not be a place chopped into bite size segments for the profit of companies at the expense of consumers. Please protect our web!Thank you!ReganRegan Shorter
932. Jerry Clyde Dark, EDMOND, OK, 73013  
I would like to make a statement: It appears some people want to undo certain rules that have been applied to the internet in recent years. The internet should not be a gated community where the few Internet Service Providers ("ISPs") get to control the experience of the consumer. The internet is more than who grants access to it. It's more than money. It is a place to share creativity and learning. It's a place to gain knowledge and see wonders. Allowing ISPs to have control over our access to the internet is un-American. We the people; Not, We the corporations. There should be little in what the ISPs have control over, if anything at all other than price, and there should be more done to break up the monopoly that the two biggest ISPs have over most Americans. Corporate greed is not what drives this country but the sweat of the little people, and if all we want is unaltered access to the internet and all of its amazing content then I do not think that is asking too much. I remember the days when the ISPs were notorious for throttling internet speeds. That only makes for a frustrated consumer, who, with little to no other options, just has to suck it up if they want to continue using the internet. With all due respect, please do not roll back the net neutrality rules. Keep America Great Some More!
933. Net Neutrality, Edmond, OK, 73012  
The best part of the internet is that most of the content is free, easy to access and fair game. If somebody can afford internet, they deserve to be able to enjoy it like any other user to the fullest. I don't see the reason on the repeal, there is nothing that you can offer me that would make me think paying for internet packages is a good idea. Net Neutrality is clearly a very popular standard for reasons. There doesn't need to be a cap and control system on everything we do. Stop being greedy and leave the internet alone. It's not broke

don't fix it. Stop being money grabbers and find other ways to generate revenue, that doesn't make people angry.

934. Edmond, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
Support and preserve Net Neutrality and Title II Don't let big businesses play Monopoly on the internet, I don't want to lose the equal freedom we all have online. Always looking out for the little guys no matter where they live.
935. Bradley Lehman, Oklahoma City, OK, 73012  
I believe we need to keep net neutrality as it is under title 2
936. Evan Kirzinger, Edmond, OK, 73034  
I believe net neutrality backed by title II oversight(classifying internet service providers as common carriers) to be extremely important to the freedom of all American citizens. The internet is an immensely powerful tool that everyone can access to better themselves and keep in contact with those far away; without net neutrality, it is possible that internet service providers may put up a paywall that would force many individuals of poorer economic standing to go without these tools which are essential to today's society. The tools provided by the internet should not be discriminated against. Corporations can not be trusted to uphold the freedom of the internet, and therefore access to these tools, when exploiting and privatizing the internet is far more profitable. By repealing net neutrality many Americans will be put at a systematically induced disadvantage.
937. Jody Randle, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
Good morning!Please make serious consideration of protecting Net Neutrality. The Internet is an essential infrastructure, to which all citizens should have equitable access. It provides a place for small businessmen to start with nothing more than an idea and hard work. It is also an essential forum for free speech and civic participation. Allowing bandwidth to be reduced for all but the parties with the deepest pockets will end the equal footing that average citizens have a right to in a free society.Thanks for your time,Jody RandleOklahoma City OKJody Randle
938. Adam Gustaitis, Edmond, OK, 73012  
Net Neutrality is important for the free flow of information.
939. Upendra Prasad, Edmond, OK, 73025  
It's appalling to see something as basic as net neutrality being challenged by the likes of Ajit Pai. Not sure which lobbyists pays his salary, but he clearly isn't working in the best interest of the citizens and consumers of the US. Net neutrality needs to stay on as it has since the inception of the internet and remain an open platform for all to compete, without any hurdles or unfair advantages being imposed on any individuals. Maintain net neutrality.
940. Rebecca Duitsman, Oklahoma City, OK, 73135  
Net Neutrality is important because it keeps cable companies from effecting smaller smaller businesses. I frequent Netflix and other websites like that and if Title two is revoked then what is stopping major corporations from using their money and power to make it so there isn't a reliable option to watch or enjoy anything other than from them. It would not be fair to anyone but the fat cat executives of these companies that will benefit from this and tons of consumers will become disheartened and frustrated by once again being forced to rely on the corporations for entertainment. We live in an age of new media and Net Neutrality is a key factor as to why the internet is as popular as it is. These companies aren't threatened by the smaller businesses but want a stranglehold on the markets so there is no other option than the one they provide. Please keep Net Neutrality in place via Title Two, everyone uses the internet in this age. Everyone in your office must use the internet so this will effect you as well as everyone else. It is important to keep the internet a fair and neutral place for all.
941. Erin Davis, Choctaw, OK, 73020  
1. please dont send me mail2. Keep the internet free and open. People shouldn't have to pay to be able to check their e-mails and go to their favorite (free) sites.3. Iâ€™m writing to urge you to keep our Open Internet rules based on Title II in place. Without them, we could lose the internet as we know it.The proposed changes to FCC rules would allow fast lanes for sites that pay, and force everyone else into slow lanes. Weâ€™ve already seen access to streaming services like Netflix, popular games like League of Legends, and communication platforms like FaceTime slowed down, or even blocked. Conditions like this hurt businesses large and small, and penalize the users who patronize them. The changes also open the door to unfair taxes on internet users, and could also make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who canâ€™t pay up to have their voices heard.Please leave the existing net neutrality rules based on Title II in place.Thank you!
942. Beau Sparks, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
Keep net neutrality. Do not allow ISPs the power to block or throttle service to specific websites.
943. Bret Valenzuela, Edmond, OK, 73013  
This is ridiculous and no one but large corporations want it.
944. Steven Shimp, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
The Open Internet Rules of the FCC are important to me. I want them to be protected.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to

impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Steven Shimp

945. net neutrality, del city, OK, 73115  
We can not allow ISP to have the type of control they would if we amend net neutrality. This would allow ISP's too much freedom to twist download speeds to fit their agenda. We need to keep the internet at equal playing fields for all new and existing streaming services not just the ones that pay them the most money. ISPs are already practically a monopoly in their local areas and this would just further that.
946. Bradley Clark, Edmond, OK, 73034  
We need free internet access and not companies controlling it.
947. Alex Valleroy, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Keep net neutrality. Losing net neutrality will be detrimental to the economy and the way of life that citizens of the United States have been accustomed to.
948. Lee, Oklahoma City, OK, 73127  
Free speech and freedom of information is vitally important to the American way of life. Don't penalize everyone for the sins of a few and don't allow anyone or any group the ability to curtail the information people want to access. Internet safety is important, but so is parents taking responsibility for what their children view. Don't give another duty to the federal government. Please.
949. Net neutrality, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
Please let us uphold the very essence of the internet by keeping it the way it is.
950. Kyle McCorkle, Bethany, OK, 73008  
Keep the net free. I am in support of strong net neutrality.
951. Chance Rathbun, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
STOP ATTACKING OUR FREEDOMS.
952. Colton Anglin, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Seriously fuck you greasy power hungry whores Colton Anglin
953. Trenton W. Bozeman, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Please do not restrict the internet, you'll create yet another black market and disable millions from accessing free information and bring us one step closer to a thought controlled society.
954. Holly Gibbons, oklahoma city, OK, 73118  
Are y'all even kidding me right now. keep your grimy and godforsaken, hell-sent, astronomically disgusting hands away from the internet and free usage of it. you are single-handedly contributing the erosion of democracy in america and then you KNOW y'all gonna go and blame the left for what you did. i don't even know why. leave the internet alone and go back to regulating things what ought to be regulated, like the amount of sugar put into kids' food, something that actually needs to be regulated. you can't take your money with you when you die and corporations are not people. shee-it.
955. Matt Hall, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
The internet should be a right for all Americans. It is vital in these times to be able to do your own research. I don't want to be limited in a time where information is being twisted. Fake news? Let me find out for myself. If my data is limited or my internet is being controlled then it is impeding my right to the truth. That cannot happen.
956. Joel Love, Oklahoma City, OK, 73108  
The internet should be an open and level field where all content is judged on it's merits, rather than on how much money the person saying it has. We've all seen what happens to the political landscape in this country when the rich get more say - they take more for themselves directly from everyone else. Allowing cable companies to charge content providers for fast-lane services doesn't create jobs or provide any new beneficial service - it's just charging more for the same thing, which will just be passed on to consumers. Another way to further exploit the American people. I doubt these comments will do anything since our unelected chairman Mr. Pai was already purchased, but a cursory look at our own history should show you what happens when the American people are pushed too far.
957. Aaron Campbell, Bethany, OK, 73008  
Don't ruin the internet. Leave it open and unrestricted.
958. Andrew Callander, Edmond, OK, 73034  
We need equal internet for all! We also need these ISP monopolies to stop! Price hikes and data limits is unfair and we need to not be tied down to ISP because they own their own agreed regions and become millions off it!
959. Dalton Kramer, Edmond, OK, 73034  
Net neutrality definitely should not be done away with. I am an Economics major at the University of Central Oklahoma, and I can tell you that blocking competition in any sector of our nation's market is just bad for consumers. Please rethink your position on net neutrality as it is one of the things that makes the internet so useful and diverse. The more firms that are allowed to compete in the

internet sector, the better off consumers in the United States are.

960. Brent, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
Open Internet- I understand that money is a powerful thing and can sway many an opinion. Of all the areas this has affected our society and market places, the internet is one that should remain neutral. Please consider how important an open network is to educators, creators, scientists and all those who wish to explore places and things they cannot from home. Being able to log online, without restriction, we are able to learn about other places and cultures on our planet. It allows us to share knowledge back and forth instantly and grow our collective resources. Open internet also helps individuals start businesses that may not have been possible under normal circumstances. It helps political forums shape new policies and law. A neutral net provides a voice for people who need help and allows the local and global communities to gather and lend a hand. Please strongly consider keeping the internet a strong and open network..this will only benefit us in the future.Thank you.
961. Chris Whitman, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Maintain Title II of the Communications Act and support Net Neutrality!Chris Whitman
962. Will Allen, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
This turns us into North Korea. Do you really want to starve like they do?In all seriousness, we created the internet so we could connect with people around the world instantly. Why would we take that ability away from everyone? Especially with our technology getting faster and faster (Google Fiber, Cox Gigablast, etc.) I feel like this will hinder the ability to move forwards faster for technology, hurt the ability to connect with amazing individuals from across the world, and ultimately make the experience online worse.
963. Andrea Emmons, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
I am dismayed that we are having to defend Net Neutrality again. The internet should be an open platform where everyone can express their opinions and search for content they want to see. This is an essential part to our first amendment rights. Additionally, giving preference to large corporations could have potentially catastrophic consequences for small businesses that rely on the internet to provide goods and services, and damaging to the economy overall.
964. Leon Nguyen, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
I do not want net neutrality to be hindered by these monopolistic corporations. I believe that an open, unfiltered internet is essential to the livelihood of society.
965. Dennis Colton Morris, edmond, OK, 73012  
We need to keep things the way they are, paid prioritization, the ability to block certain websites, etc etc, that isn't fair but more pay to play and that isn't how the internet works.
966. Jimmie L Brown III, Newalla, OK, 74857  
I wholly support net neutrality. We should have oversight over ISPs to protect consumers.
967. William, Oklahoma City, OK, 73117  
Keep the internet open and neutral for all. Corporations are involved in my life enough as it is already and I would prefer to have a free space and want to view content I decide on.
968. Joseph Caraffa, Shawnee, OK, 74804  
Do not give ISP's the power to alter internet behavior based on destination URL's. Keep Net Neutrality as it is, there are no reasons beyond corporate greed and manipulation of data to change these rulings.
969. David Emmons, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II regulation. If ISPs aren't planning to abuse a lack of net neutrality, then why do they want it gone so badly?
970. Bren Russell, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Without open access to the internet, we are truly tantamount to a third world country in the age of information. Knowledge is a click away, and taking that away from our citizens is nothing more than another blatant slap in the face of any informed society. If America ever wants to achieve greatness, and it has yet to ever do so, it must remain true to its origins and continue to apply those ideals to our ever-changing world. Freedom of information and open access to the internet is only the enemy to those who wish to control others.
971. Jeremy Hales, Nicole Gedeon, Oklahoma City, OK, 73127  
My wife and both make our living online through various Streaming and online videos, via Youtube and Twitch. This new repeal could seriously hinder our livelihood and monthly income. We stream videos directly and upload them for views to Youtube. If you hinder those video services you hinder our 1st amendment right for Freedom of Speech. Please reconsider this reform and Keep the Internet Free for all.
972. Connor Galvin, Edmond, OK, 73034  
An open internet is necessary to provide for general citizens across the United States equal access to all entities across the internet regardless of their content.
973. Daniel Sean Baird, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
It is in the public interest to keep and strengthen Net Neutrality. Please do not dismantle all that has been constructed to protect the rights and will of the consumers over corporate interests.
974. Randy Huynh, Edmond, OK, 73034  
The elimination of net neutrality is a violation of our right to privacy. I believe that the protection of our internet privacy is a right that

must be granted in all cases except in violation of other laws.

975. Michael Bjorkley, Shawnee, OK, 74801

Leave the net neutrality alone. Please, be the one governmental agency that doesn't blatantly pander to corporations. The internet is a wonderful thing-- repealing these laws will literally kill the start up, the budding web designer, the "everybody and anybody" that doesn't have big money behind them. Keep every packet sent over the internet equal.

976. Joseph Thornburg, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107

I want Net neutrality so I don't get Throttled in my connections to smaller websites. Small sites, startups, and small organizations cannot have an online presence if net neutrality fails. The big ISPs will throttle my connection to these websites solely because they don't pay the ISP to let their customers have access. Sounds a lot like CHINA to me. If this fails, it would essentially be the US federal government allowing these smaller startups to fail because they cannot garner the internet traffic that they would need to grow and sustain them selves. Could you think of the world without a garage startup like Apple, or HP? If Net Neutrality is allowed to fail, it will be the death of thousands of startups, small family owned businesses, and organizations. I, as a voting US citizen, request that the support for net neutrality is not diminished, not now, or ever. The freedom of information is vital to the standing of our country as a world power. If we are allowed to be ran by money hungry corporations, this "free" market experiment will fail completely. Thank you for your time.

977. Kimberly Thornburg, Oklahoma city, OK, 73107

I am a concerned citizen of this issue. Why is there a need to limit our internet sources to the highest bidder? The potential for growth for smaller companies that cannot compete on the same level such as Google or Bing will never have a chance at the American dream. Since when do we limit our constituents under the premise to give other companies a bigger chance to monopolize the market even more. I know it may not be a true monopoly in the sense because other companies will have the chance to play at this game of the highest bidder, however I feel it is impeding on my civil rights as an American to have as many choices as I want for a resource. I don't wish to have these companies like Cox Or Comcast be able to make the decision for me and upon the lowest bidder get the slowest streaming ability. You might as well say our education system is going down the drain as well, in the sense that if you limit the resources our future children will have, what kind of future will they support? This is outrageous and nothing but a bigger pension for the big guns. Stop making legislature for greedy politicians and lets put laws and regulations where it actually counts! How about the future of our earth and becoming more of a fossil fuel free country? That would be a nice start. Thank you for your time. I hope you take all of this into consideration.

978. Seth Glenn, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162

Net Neutrality needs to be saved because ISPs in my area need to be held accountable for poor service and low speeds when there are no other options available, even in heavily populated areas. With only two options for broadband internet in OKC, at expensive rates, and Google Fiber backing out, ATT and Cox both halted or severely slowed their planned roll out of fiber/gigabit internet.

979. Brady Beasley, oklahoma, OK, 73118

Keep the net neutral, open, and for all to access, its our greatest tool for our future and for our kids of the future. we need the internet to stay open for everyone

980. Alexander Allbritton-Wood, Shawnee, OK, 74804

Net Neutrality is as important to the American lifestyle as Apple Pie and being angry about political leaders. We just wouldn't be America without it. Please consider this.

981. Justin Harlow, OKC, OK, 73162

The importance of a fast and loosely regulated internet is just under air and water to most of my generation. My livelihood depends on internet commerce and trade - not to mention my personal time for entertainment and education. The actual physical internet across america is complicated and robust. Only the absolute minimum amount of regulation is tolerable and frankly I am not sure giving up any of my privacy is worth the regulation(s). You sit in a high chair with many eyes on you, to me there is no reason to prioritize nor limit any type of data.

982. Josh Cooper, Mccloud, OK, 74851

Giving into the demands of what the people can't understand and what the big business really want to happen will ruin and destroy the futures of many businesses, youth, old, and anyone trying to move forward. The future is the internet and we as a people have to understand the gravity behind losing the freedoms we take for granted. These are the freedoms, I feel we should be allowed to take for granted because it's an open and free expanse of information, people, content from creators, and so much more. Losing our ability to have this freedoms, only means more to lose ahead. I stand behind Internet Neutrality and hope you understand how big of a loss, for everyone, it would be without it.

983. I am against this, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132

The Internet needs to be free from corporate hands as much as possible. There should never be limits on a resource such as the internet. Do not let this pass. All the PEOPLE are against it. It does not free the internet. It RESTRICTS it.

984. Nathan Fox, Oklahoma City, OK, 73103

The internet is something the public has built from the ground up, and it shouldn't be just handed to a small group of entities

985. Doyle, Oklahoma City, OK, 73102

I use internet for Youtube, Email, News, and information relating to software defined radio. We need to keep the internet free of all non-competitive ISP's from trying to monopolize on the open realm of the internet. The internet is not meant to be stifled to congestive tiers. IPv4, IPv6 cannot handle it. Internet main lines cannot handle the congestion if net neutrality loses. ISP's need to accept the internet is a free open realm and cannot be regulated as a business infrastructure.

986. Daniel Armstrong, Mcloud, OK, 74851  
Smaller internet websites and communities are important for people with hobbies and interests and it would be terrible for traffic to be prioritized only to places like Reddit (and only for the correct sponsored ISPs). Telecommunications companies are also very opportunist despite their huge relevance in every day life and often certain regions are not able to have much of a choice in what ISP they have so it is important that fair regulations allow fair prices and fair service.
987. Clayton Williams, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
The internet needs to stay a neutral to maintain it's status as a free market. If the internet was not neutral many if the top websites today would not be here.
988. net neutrality, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
Net neutrality needs to be maintained. Over the years we have experienced a regression in services due to the greed of providers. Unlimited data has not been capped at extremely high prices for overtures. Cell phone providers have throttled without notification to customers. I have even experienced ISP (Suddenlink) charging for higher speeds than what were actually delivered and attempting to convince me as a consumer that the fault was my own instead of their infrastructure. The idea of trusting these companies is fool hearty. This services should be free to communicate the ideas of its people much like the government should be speaking the ideas of its people. This is not the idea of the nations people but of the few that want to drain the populace of what funds they can. Please don't let this happen.
989. Michael, Oklahoma City, OK, 73119  
thank you discord asked us to fill this out.
990. Jessica Henderson, Edmond, OK, 73012  
Keep the internet open double paying for something isn't okay.
991. Net Neutrality, Oklahoma City, OK, 73122  
Pai's Plan sounds really evil to take down Title 2 and this here is a problem to our live, this planned seems really bad because how are we suppose to play games with our friends, text with our friend and how the heck are we suppose to do anything on the internet, well says the one who's gonna shut it down, no! I won't let that happen the internet is really important to us in our live it provides information, news, and everything, like how are we gonna cook, how are we gonna build something, how are we suppose to do things that we should have done in the first place, well that's the internet is for! and Pai's plan seems really bad at this point so screw the Title 2 shut down! I don't want this to happen! Internet is important to our live this is how we live in our lives for the internet!
992. T Kline, Jones, OK, 73049  
Net neutrality is one of the cornerstone issues of communication and innovation in the 21st century. Its implications are political, social, and economic. Please don't turn over the biggest global platform to large corporations for short-term profit at the expense of everybody else.
993. Matthew Kerekes, Oklahoma City, OK, 73117  
The internet is a place for all types of things. You can find what you are looking for in a few simple clicks that a majority of Americans use on a constant basis. To limit access to content would be the same as what North Korea and Russia have implemented. What happened to America being the free world? The only beneficiaries from this will be the selected few internet companies that have lobbied for this rule and the politicians who have lined their pockets from said companies.
994. Brian Stephens, Oklahoma City, OK, 73135  
Good morning adjudicators, As much as everyone talks about the US trying to remain an open and free market, paid prioritization lies in opposition to that concept. Paid prioritization is simply an extra expense to large companies but it stifles the creation of small business. It creates an extra barrier to competition that many start ups would not be able to overcome. Paid prioritization stunts the growth of our ideas when the US needs innovation and cost cutting the most. As a small business owner, I need to be able to see the best data. I need the most actionable intelligence to make wise business decisions in a timely manner. I need the best anyone in the world can offer, not the one who paid the most to get to me. Blocking should not be on the table at all. We are not China. We are not North Korea. Their countries have decided they can decide what's best for their citizens. Maybe that works for them. Here in the US, we advocate freedom and competition. Blocking implies a lack of freedom and competition. All goods and services should be reachable by me through the internet and I can choose what to buy, not buy, see, not see. There are plenty of programs that help us parents choose what to let our kids or other adults be exposed to and it should remain this way. The internet should have no filter so that I have access to all it can offer. Then, if I decide to filter I can do it by choosing the best software to assist me in that goal. Throttling is an interesting concept that I think has been abused. By some companies making certain services practically unusable, it discourages competition which indirectly stifles innovation. Suppose I had a new product or service in mind. Now also suppose that internet providers were going to throttle my bandwidth, or companies that paid a fee were going to slow my access to the public to a crawl. I might decide my newer, cheaper idea that could revolutionize an industry or create a lot of local jobs and make me a lot of money is not worth the hassle of scrapping with the big dogs or the establishment. Thank you for taking time to read through my thoughts. My intent was to provide perspective from small business owners having 1-100 personnel wishing to grow long term. Warm regards, Brian Stephens, CPA
995. Sarah Montgomery, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Keep net neutrality. People want options for where they shop and where they look for information. People want to support each other by shopping on sites like Etsy that encourage hand-made products, novelty items, and obscure collectibles. If ISPs allow large businesses to push their own ads and slow connection speed when someone visits a site not supported by the ISP, that's a form of overreach that frankly ISPs should not possess. Changing ISPs is not easy, nor a viable option as a "remedy" either. Where I live, our choices are either Cox or ATT, and depending on which part of Oklahoma City you're in, one may have a monopoly in a zip code and it's the only option available. We want options, freedom to choose, freedom to search, and freedom from the mundane and repetitive things we already deal with from large businesses. The the public should not be at the mercy of ISP's preferences when we get online to visit a website, whether well known or not. It would also hurt the many millions of people who sell hand-made products, including myself,

where it's already difficult to advertise yourself as it is. Artisans and craftspeople across the United States, many of whom have managed to make a living wage by, would be greatly impacted in a negative way if net neutrality isn't upheld. Big businesses are already successful, they are making profits and are known well enough to not necessitate any more excessive advertising. Ending net neutrality is a step backwards in progress and a step forward in total overreach. People are sick of every fiber of their lives being controlled by corporations and businesses that don't care about their consumer's well-being anyways. Net neutrality needs to be upheld for the benefit of all people and for the progression of our collective evolution. A true dictator wants only to chain and bind the herd and pull it along behind him at his whim; but a leader will teach and educate his fellow people, walking among them and allowing them to make their own choices. Even if the choices they make are ill-informed, the principal of having the freedom to have made that choice them self is the foundation of progress. This is why net neutrality must remain.

996. AUDREY J NELSON, Wanette, OK, 74878

I urge you to protect the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules). The U.S.A is supposed to be a place where the ordinary citizen is protected from the overly wealthy and powerful folks. It is time to fulfill the intentions of the founding fathers and take care of the little people. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. It seems that the laws in this country are changing to support the idea that one can BUY whatever "justice" they want. I do not think this is what our founding fathers had in mind. Our government was set up to help the little people. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! AUDREY J NELSON

997. Jared Patterson, Edmond, OK, 73012

Net neutrality is essential to keeping the idea of competition, free market, and overall capitalistic values in a mixed market on the web. Without it, monopoly and unfair business practices alone will be rampant, and completely unfair. We have already seen all of the benefits to the United States government system, alone, that resulted from the independent development and competition of the web, and without it, we are bound to stifle our own growth.

998. kyle comstock, McLoud, OK, 74851

net neutrality is a freedom. the government doesnt own the patent to the internet and payment for internet usage should be left strictly to the internet provider. stop taxing the people on more stuff or youll start seeing more riots than there already is.

999. net nuetrality!, Jones, OK, 73049

Net neutrality is a good thing and should be protected. As a consumer unable to get true broadband internet I cannot see how forcing companies to pay for prioritization will benefit me. The downstream effect will mean I will end up paying more services. In other words, I doubt my internet bill will be cheaper! This action would effectively turn the internet into a pay to play, which is very un-American. Please protect us and keep all telecom companies regulated as title 2.

1000. Thomas Young, Edmond, OK, 73034

This proceeding will attempt to roll back the steps that we have made toward net neutrality, an action that does not cater to the needs of the people, but instead rejects their interests in favor of lobbyists who only act in their own self interest.

1001. Victor Rivera, oklahoma city, OK, 73106

I believe the internet should be alone especially with how slow it is already and how much we are paying if you guys want to manage our internet usage make the internet be easy to access in every home ,but till then america need to catch up to the other countries like japan south korea with crazy speeds they have for cheaper prices

1002. Kiwi, OKC, OK, 73118

Internet is a necessity and a basic element of each home, you absolutely cannot make this a luxury privilege to hurt the people around.

1003. net, okc, OK, 73162

the internet is supposed to be for everyone and its up to them on how they choose to use....dont mess it up for everyone.

1004. Grey Alexander Powell, Edmond, OK, 73003

Please do not do away with net neutrality. There aren't many places left where someone small can grow to be bigger and if this protection goes away, I fear that there won't be anywhere where "coming from the bottom" is anywhere near reasonable.

1005. Ryan Mullins, Edmond, OK, 73013

The Internet is an important place of freedom that allows you to experience many things without any sort of hassle. The Internet in itself is a service, which are defined by the websites and social media platforms that you're able to access. Turning the Internet into a cable-like service where websites turn into billions of services that can only be given to you by choice would be devastating to the entire purpose of the Internet. The Internet is not television. It's more than that, and limiting where you can travel on the Internet is like blocking certain parts of a massive city (like New York City) until you pay a fee to access a little more of it. Ultimately, blocking access

to the Internet on mass would destroy the purpose of the Internet, and pretty much waste years of work for millions of people.- Ryan Mullins

1006. Benjamin St.John, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
Please do not get rid of net Neutrality. We do not need to give More power to the Corporations. This will hurt small businesses and the american public.
1007. Clay Hammons, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
Keep net neutrality! i feel strongly about this!!!
1008. Alex Johnson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Net neutrality is one of the biggest concerns facing the US today. All other current events, political discussions, financial workings, and entertainment rely HEAVILY on keeping a even neutral internet for equal access to any material, websites, information, or discussion. This decision will have long reaching consequences, many of which I feel will be irreversible and detrimental. Keep the internet open, even, and fair. Big corporations don't need to be able to purchase a "fast lane". They have plenty of advantages already. Don't give them more. Do what is right.
1009. Marshall Reisdorph, oklahoma City, OK, 73159  
This is bigger than any company, please keep the internet neutral.
1010. Aaron Smith, oklahoma city, OK, 73115  
I am voicing my support in favor of keeping net neutrality. I currently have one choice in broadband provider that can provide the speed my house hold needs. Without net neutrality I am concerned that they would be able to limit where i can go and what i do on the internet. I am also worried about them limiting speeds and monthly data limits. I am also concerned how this would effect my job. I vpn to work nearly every day to handle support calls and fix issues on our systems. we also have broadband connection in every one of our stores. If they increase the price for this, we would probably increase the cost of our goods to pay for this. So it would end up being the end consumer taking the hit for the cost increase. Mind you I am not one of the people that makes that choice for the company I work for.
1011. Lance Freeman, Oklahoma City, OK, 73115  
Net neutrality is extremely important to all. The internet is a right. I urge you to protect our net neutralityLance Freeman
1012. Jordan Parker, Bethany, OK, 73008  
Greedy money hungry rodents, get out of my face you genuine rats.
1013. Net, Choctaw, OK, 73020  
Hey, knock it off. Net Neutrality is a staple of my community and of myself.
1014. Daniel Journell, Oklahoma city, OK, 73142  
I do not support disbanding of the rules the enforce net neutrality. I deserve the right to equal access to all sites on the internet. Furthermore any people, groups, newly formed, young, or preexisting companies, or any other individual seeking to put a idea, product, or any creation deserves full, equal and unfettered access to the internet. The removal of net neutrality hurts the American people and helps the rich owners of the telecom companies. DO NOT ELIMINATE NET NEUTRALITY.
1015. Jason Carpenter, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Please save NET Neutrality and Title II!!!
1016. Sunny Summan, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
I like net neutrality, and don't want to let corporations have sway over it.
1017. don't know what filter is, Shawnee, OK, 74804  
Please keep the internet as it is now. us on SS don't have much but the internet to keep in touch with our family. any changes will affect us greatly. We do vote.
1018. Ahzim Jones, Oklahoma City, OK, 73135  
The internet is a worldwide resource for information, one of the most seen forms of business advertisement, and a breeding ground of creativity. Taking the power of the internet away from the people and into the hands of service providers ruins the fundamental idea of the internet. I support Net Neutrality.
1019. Brea-Anna Macias, Edmond, OK, 73034  
The Net Neutrality is an important thing to have, the websites we can access now are amazing, they provide entertainment and keeps the general public happy. If you take that away there will be many people upset and will retaliate against it. When you have millions of upset citizens that protest and disagree with the idea, dont you think you should listen to them and consider what they are saying?
1020. Matthew Cavanaugh, Oklahoma City, OK, 73122  
It is my desire for the FCC to maintain and expand its position in support of net neutrality. ISPs should not have the ability to shape traffic based upon their own economic interests thereby creating uncompetitive environments. These companies were awarded contracts based upon their promise of service to customers, and are allowed to operate largely outside of competitive pressures. Absent these key pressures, free market arguments given by those who oppose net neutrality do not account for this competitive vacuum. It is necessary and proper to limit the unilateral powers of ISPs much like other utility companies. Access to internet is far too important in this modern age to treat it as a luxury.

1021. Shelby Button, Edmond, OK, 73034  
Consumers do not like added fees, additional charges, changeable rates, or other financial instruments that will substantially alter the amount billed to the customer. There is no need to categorize internet traffic in order to charge customers. There should be protections for user privacy. The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal to give the telecom giants like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon the legal cover to engage in data discrimination, stripping Internet users of the vital access and privacy protections we demanded and won just two years ago. America is suffering because people are going bankrupt from unknown charges on phone bills, non-competitive pricing on medical bills, and scams from foreign countries. Do not allow ISP providers to engage in unethical billing practices. I appreciate you maintaining Title II net neutrality rules and the rights of American taxpayers like me.
1022. Joey Bruce, Harrah, OK, 73045  
Protect Net Neutrality! Corporate censorship and these monopoly-promoting anti-regulations hurt competition!
1023. shaiesha jordan, Oklahoma City, OK, 73127  
The internet needs to stay an open market to promote small business and freedom. America is about freedom and if we have to pay to look at sites then its not free is it. come on dude.
1024. Howell Felsenthal, Oklahoma City, OK, 73103  
I am writing in favor of keeping strong net neutrality rules on the books. I get my broadband access from COX cable which is the only real choice in Oklahoma City. (AT&T has DSL but it is much slower and no one I know has liked it.) I use my broadband access as an on-ramp to the internet - I do not get any of my internet services from COX. I get email and cloud storage from Google, news from New York Times, backup from another company, weather from another, and so on. I think I clearly use it as a telecommunication service and would have a lot to lose if COX had control of what I could see and do on it. This is very important to my quality of life!
1025. Jennifer Ann Dean, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. Thank you!
1026. Jered Davis, Tecumseh, OK, 74873  
I believe net neutrality is the best course of action cause it lets the consumer decide what data is important not the ISP'S who could potentially sell out to companies limiting consumers who pay for the service with hard earned cash to view information that they want and on the speed THEY PAY FOR. If you wanted that speed you would have to pay more for it. I honestly believe it would be detrimental to online marketplaces as well as a direct assault on consumer freedom, which as we all know is what this country is founded on. Freedom
1027. Paula Gunn, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
5510442139772046Paula Gunn
1028. Frankie Dixon, Midwest City Oklahoma, OK, 73110  
The entire internet should be available to all consumers. It allows freedom of expression, access to news, public forums, social media, and the like, as well as freedom to view what it is that we enjoy most without any setbacks, excluding those set by the laws of our resident countries.
1029. Zach Yokell, Edmond, OK, 73012  
The past two or so decades have been a wonderful time for humanity. We've been able to communicate like never before. People have been able to learn and experience things they may have never known about. People have been able to talk with others from widely different backgrounds to learn of other cultures. People in various minority groups have been able to find others like them, able to sympathize with problems others may not understand. These things are possible through an unrestricted internet. Maybe for the first year or two, ISPs will act ethically and not throttle websites they disagree with. Given the option, though, it is hard for me to believe that they won't eventually abuse their powers if net neutrality is shot down. If net neutrality doesn't stay, we risk losing all the things that make the internet great.
1030. Topher Owen, Oklahoma City, OK, 73114  
Keep net neutrality rules strong and support and maintain title 2!
1031. Shawna Elmore, Shawnee, OK, 74801  
As a student, as a consumer, as an American as well as a global citizen it is of the utmost importance that net neutrality is safeguarded and the internet remain free from corporate bias. The internet is the only place where everyone's voice has the potential to be heard and I will not stand by and have that opportunity taken away. In my city there is only one broadband option which means these Net Neutrality protections are the only defense against an entire city being subject to the whims of its only connection to the internet. If you get rid of Net Neutrality, you are sacrificing the choice of Americans for the sake of greed.
1032. Janice Yeary, Oklahoma City, OK, 73122  
Net neutrality is paramount for everyone. It must be protected. It is our right to free speech.

1033. Ronald Coudron, del city, OK, 73115  
The internet is a place for innovation and growth and should always be left open and free!
1034. Tammy Apala, Wewoka, OK, 74884  
DO NOT!!!!!! let these companies monopolize the internet. They rip people off enough with the control they have!!!!
1035. William L. , Newalla, OK, 74857  
Net neutrality must be protected.
1036. Brett Barney, Edmond, OK, 73034  
I believe the internet and it's content would become too expensive for many people to enjoy just as satellite and cable television programming has become an expensive luxury. Cox Cable and AT&T already have a monopoly in my city and giving big corporations such as these even more control would drive prices even higher and in my opinion be detrimental to free speech and choice.
1037. Emily Sewell, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I am opposed to the change of Net Neutrality laws that would allow Internet Service Providers to access private information and to charge for more filters to allow users to control their privacy. The internet should be a free, equal opportunity to allow individuals to access information when they need it. Any other use including pay services is infringing on the rights of all Americans to access critical information to progress in a technological world.
1038. Monique Mogilka, Edmond, OK, 73013  
The internet is the last "free" space. We cannot let businesses with interests censor anyone online to protect their, and ONLY their, interests. I personally feel like there is already rampant corporate corruption in the name of profit, and the internet is a source of hope for me that people cannot be silenced or our media controlled. My boyfriend was streaming video games to the internet until our ISP throttled his upload speeds. Until we made a call, it was nearly impossible for him to do what he loves and enjoys.
1039. R Assaf, OkC, OK, 73118  
We need Net Neutrality.
1040. AJ Smart, OKC, OK, 73131  
History PROVES that ISP's will slow competition to favor its content over others... given Mr. Pai was attorney for Verizon he's well aware of this issue; including their suit against the FCC to allow this anti-competitive scheme. This administrative fiat will serve him very handily... sadly, it will destroy small businesses and the competition which Trump & the GOP champion. A lifelong GOP voter - I'm disgusted and disheartened by the GOP (and it's FCC appointee) choosing big money over small businesses.
1041. Valarie Jennings, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
FCC is taking away our 1st amendment rights. This must be protected! I don't want to be controlled by the big companies telling me the what I can and can't view.
1042. Cassandra, Bethany, OK, 73008  
The net is for EVERYONE one to use equally! We do not want "Big Company's" telling us and controlling how much internet we should use. This is not freedom of choice this socialism if big company's control the internet. We do not want big internet company's controlling the internet!
1043. J.R. Bradshaw, OKC, OK, 73112  
Let us continue our own freedom of choice and options without larger companies taking over and guiding us to what we should watch/view conveniently to empower them!
1044. john adams, edmond, OK, 73034  
zionism both democratic and republican is destroying our freedom. we as a nation must abolish the united nation and the federal reserve
1045. Eric Granata, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
The internet is one of humanity's greatest tools for knowledge and information sharing - laying the foundation on which we may have a greater understanding of our fellow man. Please don't muck it up.
1046. Donna Compton, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
This country is experiencing many efforts to control information and to promote certain points of view. It is happening in the ownership of print, television, and radio. If net neutrality is not maintained and enforced, one of the only remaining sources of information and outlets for free speech will again be subject to 'ownership' by big media companies. Since the FCC weakened and then destroyed the Fairness Doctrine, the ability of ordinary citizens to rebut blatant editorial bias, one of the only platforms for challenging that bias is the internet. This would allow the destruction of one of the last 'free speech' outlets available. I also know some young people who are working very hard to make a positive difference on 'the net.' This would almost certainly thwart, if not kill, their efforts.
1047. Myron Schoenfelder, Tecumseh , OK, 74873  
Free Speech online now and forever.
1048. Dru McInroy, Shawnee, OK, 74801  
We have a right to free speech, and that is exactly what net neutrality does for the people on the internet. Why should a corporation somewhere be given command of what we see and read on the internet? They shouldn't and you as Americans know this. Do what is right. You know what that is.
1049. Steve Bell, Edmond, OK, 73034

I have one choice of an internet provider where I live. The FCC should not allow my access to content to be dependent on the whims and profit motive of that provider.

1050. LeeAnn Zeringue, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
I'm a freelance illustrator whose audience is less than 10,000 people. I could be homeless and jobless without net neutrality.
1051. Tyler Dougherty, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
In a world where misinformation runs rampant it's important that we preserve an open and unbiased internet. Knowledge is power and no one should restrict how it flows.
1052. Matthew Jackson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
Net neutrality allows the things I most enjoy about the web, individual content creators, to be treated as fairly as Netflix. This is essential to internet as we know it.
1053. Jennifer Keenum, Bethany, OK, 73008  
I believe in a free and open internet. It matters to the building of a free and independent public.
1054. paul, bethany, OK, 73008  
we must keep net neutrality it is our free speech
1055. Michael Haxton, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
Please leave the net neutrality rules in tact and the way that they are currently written.
1056. Jodie Fox, OKC, OK, 73118  
Please don't give my internet away to big business.
1057. Elizabeth Graeber, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Net neutrality keeps free speech, which is a constitutional right, in the hands of the people. Without net neutrality, we will be censored and controlled. This country was built for the people, and this is a big step in the opposite direction. Please consider our freedoms and how much freedom would be taken away without net neutrality.
1058. Carolyn Corn, MD, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
I do not trust the large cable companies to effectively run the internet, which is what will happen without net neutrality. Consumers with pay a huge price, both in lack of access and increased charges. KEEP net neutrality!
1059. James A Collins, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
I encourage the commissioners to preserve net neutrality. Net neutrality insures that small businesses have a "level playing field" for robust competition. It also insures the average consumer has equal access to a wide source of information, regardless of provider.
1060. Paul, Del City, OK, 73115  
Losing your freedom can happen in many different ways. Freedom is one of the most important things about this country- don't let it erode!
1061. Steven Hopkins, mcloud, OK, 74851  
Without Net Neutrality the internet communications just as well Pyongyang North Korea. There a lot of poor people can't afford internet. Thank you for your time. S. HopkinsPS. I don't have Cable TV.
1062. Brittany, Edmond, OK, 73034  
I want a fair and open internet where I don't feel pressured by big companies to follow their rules only. I care about net neutrality.
1063. Eugene Barnes, Edmond, OK, 73034  
I feel that we need to protect the ability for a company, technology, or disrupting action to have the same access to the masses as anyone else. Please protect the Internet by not allowing the blockade of others just because some have already gotten a position in the space.
1064. Chris Odneal, Shawnee, OK, 74801  
Net neutrality is vital to small business and users everywhere. Big cable companies already have all the resources they need to compete in the market. Don't compromise neutrality for the few big cable and telecom companies.
1065. Stephen Wade Allen, Edmond, OK, 73003  
We deserve the right to move freely on the internet. Please don't change this freedom.
1066. Jacob Kibben, Oklahoma City, OK, 73111  
Without net neutrality, it will be chaos.
1067. Clay Withrow, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
It is important that net neutrality be protected. It is fundamental to free speech and allows consumers to choose what they watch and do online.
1068. Sam Jenkins, Oklahoma City, OK, 73134  
Net Neutrality is a must! We should never throw away our freedoms, not to mention how discrimination may happen due to income-disparity; which is already a major issue within society. It already feels like cable companies have a monopoly, within a regional range. Such as AT&T and Cable playing this game where folks go back to back- not to mention how unreliable both are nor do they seem to be accountable to a higher power how things sit now. NO, there definitely needs to be net neutrality. The internet should remain a place

where there is no limit to the possibilities of innovation and information sharing. This would most certainly bring the beginnings of the end to the Internet being a forum for free, dynamic, and innovative thought! There may need to be a system for checks and balances, which I can understand. By limiting the internet, it is the same as trying to slow down or stop a person from learning. Knowledge is power, even if people are not in a place of influence- ignorance is not bliss. People should have the freedom to learn and understand their situation and see if things are or can be done differently. This is a right, not a luxury.

1069. Christopher Rose, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Net providers should not be able to discriminate against users anymore than electric or gas providers should be able to discriminate against their customers. Providers charging for a service is fine; allowing them to shape our speech, our access to information, and what we can see is not.
1070. Ben, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
I put myself through college and medical school using numerous free resources that the internet provided in order to minimize my student loan debt. The distribution of information should be free, and access to it should never be limited for financial reasons.
1071. Mitchell Manley, Oklahoma City, OK, 73114  
Leave the internet alone! It's for all of us, not just the few!
1072. Internet User, seminole, OK, 74868  
The freedom of information on the internet needs to remain free.
1073. Sydney Bartell, Del City, OK, 73115  
If this country is supposed to be for the people and by the people, then why not the internet. We have the freedom of choice, and to restrict it would be unconstitutional in my eyes. Also as an artist and creator, I would like the opportunity to be able to get my work out there, and not have it quashed by big companies making me pay out the wazoo.
1074. Jason Jenkins, Shawnee, OK, 74801  
Net neutrality is of the utmost importance to this country. If the cable company is allowed to decide what sites can be viewed and at what speeds, then our federal government will be complicit in the creation of trusts. We would be putting our entire economy in the hands of three companies. AT&T Comcast and Verizon would have a significant advantage and leverage over many companies. Each could create their own streaming service to try to put Netflix out of business. If they partner with a certain retailer they could seal out amazon.com, which would be devastating to our economy because so much of our spending is done presently. Furthermore, these companies could decide to seal out certain news channels. This is how dystopias form. When powerful companies are allowed to make decisions that are democracy should make. It also undermines capitalism. If a company like in NBC we're able to get a majority share of news being put out, the American public would mostly see left-wing material. Much like if Fox News was able to get a large share of the market, the right-wing would have a significant advantage. Many Americans in rural areas already have a hard time finding reliable internet service providers. If these laws were allowed to weaken net neutrality entire populations could be isolated and politicians could decide what information got to them and what they were allowed to purchase. This is a serious matter, and I would never vote for an elected official that I knew voted for this awful piece of legislation. Jason Jenkins
1075. Rami Salous, Oklahoma City, OK, 73179  
Don't allow them to end net neutrality Rami Salous
1076. Taylor Wayne Acker, Wewoka, OK, 74884  
The people of America deserve Net Neutrality rules to be in place to avoid big isp companies from controlling what information is available to us and bullying every small company out of a chance to grow. TL;DR, Net Neutrality encourages the free market to remain free.
1077. Jaedon Barnhart, Choctaw, OK, 73020  
We shouldn't have to pay for internet. It hurts the economy. Jaedon Barnhart
1078. Scott Bradley, Oklahoma City, OK, 73151  
I wish to offer my thanks to Chairman Pai for having the courage and honor to break "Net Neutrality". I feel that the claimed intent/reason/problem it claims to address does not exist. Further it would result in creating new problems- many being exactly what it states it wishes to prevent. I see a lot of claims and no evidence such a \*solution\* is needed. It is designed to remove choice from both consumer and service provider. Even if every reason( actually mostly theories) were 100% accurate and could be proven. Net Neutrality as I understand it, would be possibly the worst \*fix\* one could imagine. Chairman Pai you are a great American and I thank GOD that you are willing to spend your time and talents protecting your fellow Americans right to choose.
1079. Alekzan Powell, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
We must keep net neutrality its the only option, please dont destroy the internet ive grown up with.
1080. Lonney Corder-Agnew, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
If it works, and it does, don't fix it.
1081. Tom Haidek, Oklahoma City, OK, 73139  
The internet was originally designed and invented to share ideas, designs, and other information. To end net neutrality would be to diminish if not extinguish that founding principal. A company that has a sole purpose in accessing the internet, should NOT have a say in what is or is not accessed. That is imposing one's own beliefs upon another person against their wishes. That is the first step towards an oligarchy/dictatorship, in which as a constitutional republic, that supression has no place.
1082. Phillip Matthews, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118

We need free access to the internet!

1083. Carlos Sanchez, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
As a student and as a future small business owner, net neutrality is important to me now and in my future.
1084. Shannon McGee, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
To FCC,Hi! I really do not want Net Neutrality to be repealed. As a consumer I would hate to have to pay extra fees to access my favorite sites such as Netflix, YouTube, and other great sites that I access on a daily basis. I really hope you guys strongly consider not repealing Net Neutrality, for us consumers. Thank you! Shannon McGee
1085. Holly Hunter, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. Please do not sell us out to Big Business. Keep a level playing field for the little guys.Thanks!
1086. Chris Rogers, Oklahoma City , OK, 73120  
The internet needs to stay an open place for people to communicate, share their lives, and ideas, art, and knowledge, and most of all to learn. For many it is the only way people can gain a skill or get an education. If that is allowed to be taken away by greedy corporations for the sake of profit, it would set everyone back by decades. Imagine not being able to talk to some one you love, watch cinema, or in general do anything with out some saying weather you can or not because you don't pay enough money. That would be corruption at it's finest. I am in the position I am in today because of a free and open internet. It allowed me to teach my self when I was robbed of a basic education. In my state where education takes a back seat to literally everything, learning on the web is paramount to people having successful lives in the future. Removing net neutrality and allowing a handful of greedy people to control what we can and can't view or say would hurt the nations economy and hurt businesses across the board. I hope with all my heart you will not allow net neutrality to cease as it would be one of the most negative days in the history of this country and would only prove further that the government does not care about the citizens of this nation or their well being. A good and just government cares about it's people and works for the betterment of it's citizens.A good government is also just in it's decision making. We have not seen much of that lately. Please prove that wrong and keep net neutrality and throw out ANY propose bills or legislation that would have anything to do with threatening net neutrality today or in the future.
1087. Rob Alba, OKC, OK, 73149  
Fight big money's attempts to collude w/ the government in implementing the ability to bully us and further bridle our freedom of choice  
-KEEP THE INTERNET NEUTRAL!
1088. Adam Dearing, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
Isn't the FCC meant to protect the public interest? Most internet providers can't or don't provide the speeds we pay for with net neutrality. Doing away with it will screw consumers and put our internet capabilities even further behind most other developed countries.
1089. Justin VanNest, Warr Acres, OK, 73122  
Net Neutrality gives my small business the opportunity to grow without being stifled by bigger businesses that don't have an interest in my success.
1090. Lou, Oklahoma City, OK, 73116  
The internet has become a basic resource in the world that we live in and net neutrality is important in continuing the growth of American innovation.
1091. R Gage, Oklahoma City, OK, 73131  
Net neutrality protects our fair access to the internet. It has become a public communication medium just like the telephone and television have been. It must be regulated and protected for the people. Companies are not evil, but their duty is to shareholders and not the public interest. Fair and open access to the internet is in the public interest. You as the FCC must protect this.
1092. Joseph Johnson, Shawnee, OK, 74804  
Someday, your beliefs will be in the minority and need a venue to express your views freely and unite with fellow believers without those in power silencing you.
1093. Robin Patten, Oklahoma City, OK, 73115  
The majority of internet users like me can barely afford the open internet we have now. If the big companies start charging more for faster service, we'll be shut out of most of the internet we enjoy now. That will affect a lot of the smaller businesses. Please help protect regular people.
1094. Mary Lane, Oklahoma City, OK, 73114  
Please protect internet neutrality. Keep free and open access for small and startup businesses, and all points of view. This has been so good for our country, and many do not realize what a change it would be for only the largest companies to have the most control.  
Thanks.
1095. Naila Aziz, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Net Neutrality is important for freedom of expression. These kind of restrictions in developing countries have led to censorship.
1096. Christian Fleming, Arcadia, OK, 73007  
It is unlikely that the average U.S. citizen understands the issues surrounding net neutrality in its full breadth, however, if the destruction of net neutrality does indeed allow larger corporations to have greater control over such an invaluable resource of free information, then

net neutrality should not be done away with lightly.

1097. Adam Ferrari, Oklahoma City, OK, 73105  
As a librarian and educator, I urge you to preserve net neutrality so that anyone who logs onto the internet still has a fair chance to access whatever information they want to learn about. Online learning shouldn't just be for people who can pay for acceptable loading times, and it shouldn't be harder for people to access information that isn't backed by big companies.
1098. Jennifer Thiessen, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
I believe that everyone should be able to search and view websites as they wish without the fear from legitimate websites' integrity and functionality being compromised. The internet should remain a neutral interface that citizens can use for various functions: 1) research; 2) entertainment; 3) social networking; 4) shopping; 5) personal banking; 6) online discussions; 7) ad infinitum....Our search histories, etc. should also not be shared by our internet service providers for the benefit of others' without the user's expressed permission. Our constitutional right to privacy is one of the highest values that we hold as Americans. I advocate for the internet to remain neutral and that user information stays confidential.
1099. Edward Luissner, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
I support Net Neutrality. An open and free internet is the equivalent to the first amendment. Freedom of speech is the cornerstone of a free society. The companies against an open net are those whose greed exceeds their social morality.
1100. Anne Springall, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Net neutrality must be protected to promote a growing creative economy and fair business practices. Large internet and cable companies must not be allowed to push their monopolistic agendas. Please stand with the people for net neutrality.
1101. Steven Bittle, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
The impact a free and accessible internet has had on our world is not unlike the impact the first printing presses had. Books became easier to produce and knowledge more readily available. Prior to that, they were inaccessible to all but the most wealthy. To allow corporations to place controls on the internet for personal gain would stifle the technological advances and free exchange of ideas we have enjoyed in the decades since the birth of the internet. For this reason, net neutrality must be as protected as free speech otherwise we will start down a slippery slope that will lead not only to controls on the amount of traffic but quite possibly the content of what is available on the web, leading to unfair competition at best, to outright censorship at worst.
1102. Emily Brou, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
Net Neutrality is the life blood of this country. Without it, we are no better than China and their internet restrictions. We would be the same as communists, except we would be fascist. Then all of the wars, and all of the soldiers who died to protect our freedom have died in vain.
1103. Laura, Choctaw, OK, 73020  
As a concerned citizen who utilizes the internet I urge you to protect net neutrality. I like to support start ups, artists, and crafters and net neutrality enables me to do so by not relegating them to the "slow lane" of the internet. Please keep the internet equal for all.
1104. David, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Net neutrality is something that the people want you to leave alone and something that the communications companies would like you to change. The government works for the former.
1105. JT Caldwell, Edmond, OK, 73034  
Keep America great by keeping net neutrality--for us, for our future. America will not thrive without it. Thanks for listening to the people.
1106. Rhonda Bennett, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Net neutrality keeps mega corporations from monopolizing the internet. The giants already control our cell phone usage. Do not allow them to dictate how we use the world wide web!
1107. William Cunningham, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
If they kill net neutrality, the internet will die for business use. Business will lose the savings they have made by delivering their billings via email. They will have to start mailing again.
1108. Dewey Morgan, Oklahoma City, OK, 73119  
We have fought too hard to have it taken away from us.
1109. Robin Patten, Oklahoma City, OK, 73115  
Please help regular people. The wealthy and big business don't actually need the help.
1110. Charles Cox, Oklahoma City, OK, 73102  
I am opposed to the planned repeal of the 2015 Open Internet Order. The future of the internet is at stake.
1111. Johnie Matthews, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
I support net neutrality. Don't you? I hope so.
1112. Donna Davison, Edmond, OK, 73003  
1305 Apollo rd.
1113. Carol Bierer, Choctaw, OK, 73020  
The last thing we need in this country is an American Isis or Taliban, or even a Stalin. Stop corrupting democracy.

1114. Robin Patten, Oklahoma City, OK, 73115  
Please keep the internet open. The majority of internet users like me can barely afford the internet we have now. It would be a huge problem, if not a disaster for some if we have to pay even more just to get the same access. Small businesses especially would be hugely affected without the same access. Big businesses don't actually need more help.
1115. Hugh Buckingham, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Please, under no circumstance ever approve a cruel, profit driven "Net Neutrality," move whereby atheistic motivated capitalist Jesus Freaks would love to allow HIM to control the internet from the beyond. Hugh W Buckingham Edmond, OK 73013
1116. Brenda , Oklahoma City, OK, 73134  
Make it Stop! Omg what is happening to our country, our freedoms? Make it Stop!
1117. Mark Keenan, Edmond, OK, 73034  
Please do not go with this! The millions of internet users are telling you this! Listen to our voices!
1118. Deb Tipton, Bethany, OK, 73008  
Keep internet neutral, please!
1119. Leah Roberts, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162
1120. Carl Rod, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
I am opposed to the loosening of the internet rules by the FCC. For many rural areas, as well as suburban areas, there is limited competition for internet service. By loosening the rules, the ISPs will have no limits on what they can charge as well as set restrictions on accessibility to many sites. The internet is a service which we, as taxpayers, helped pay for the development. The open internet has allowed greater communication between citizens as well as offer opportunities for shopping and education that would not be available if the rules were changed. The internet, like power lines and roads has become an essential part of our economy and political strength. The proposed changes will have untold limitations and unintended consequences that will hamper our prosperity.
1121. Dewey Morgan, Oklahoma city, OK, 73119  
Net neutrality must remain free and open like we fought for in 2015. Nothing could be more important.
1122. Carl Rod, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
I am opposed to the loosening of the internet rules by the FCC. For many rural areas, as well as suburban areas, there is limited competition for internet service. By loosening the rules, the ISPs will have no limits on what they can charge as well as set restrictions on accessibility to many sites. The internet is a service which we, as taxpayers, helped pay for the development. The open internet has allowed greater communication between citizens as well as offer opportunities for shopping and education that would not be available if the rules were changed. The internet, like power lines and roads has become an essential part of our economy and political strength. The proposed changes will have untold limitations and unintended consequences that will hamper our prosperity.
1123. Mackenzie Israel-Trummel, Oklahoma City, OK, 73103  
Please protect net neutrality. The country wants net neutrality. Ending it would be very unpopular.
1124. Lori Hairston, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
Do not roll back the net neutrality protections. Small and micro businesses should not have to pay for priority access. Many Americans use the internet to sell their handmade products and many support their families with their income. Many of these Americans are women. Do not make it harder for American women to support their families.
1125. Jake McCleery, Edmond, OK, 73034  
Hey, don't be a bunch of jerks... no one wants this and you know it. don't ruin the internet for everybody
1126. Jocelyn Muller, Oklahoma City, OK, 73114  
ISPs cannot be trusted to regulate themselves. They are businesses only concerned with the bottom line. If ISPs are given the opportunity throttle access to content they disagree with unless someone is able to pay artificially inflated rates, they will so do. It is the government's responsibility to protect consumers from corporate greed. The consumer protections provided by classifying broadband internet as a Title II service are crucial to maintaining the freedom of expression and access to information provided by an open internet. I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality rules and keep Title II in place for broadband internet access.
1127. Donald Winslow, Shawnee, OK, 74801  
I host a webserver in order to provide useful information to the public for no charge. I don't want anyone to have to pay extra to access my content.
1128. Amanda galarde, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
Internet providers shouldn't be able to control what you do and charge outrageous prices just to use certain things because they've blocked it until you do so. Its not right.
1129. Topher Owen, Oklahoma City, OK, 73114  
Net Neutrality is essential for human survival. Without it, we will continue our march into ignorance and our ultimate demise as a species.
1130. Bella Burke, Edmond, OK, 73003  
I think that Net Neutrality is important to me because, the internet is apart of our lives today. A lot of people make money off of the internet and if it was destroyed, some people could be left with little or no money at all.

1131. Will Allen, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
We have advanced so far with the internet that we would lose decades of work if we just throw it away.
1132. Chaz , Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
Trump administration's attempt at lining his pockets at the cost of the american citizen's expense.
1133. Kevin Wilson, Bethany, OK, 73008  
As a sports writer the Internet is my source of income.
1134. Allison , Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
I urge you to keep Net Neutrality in place. ISP Companies and CEOs are very anti-consumer and will raise prices in areas where there is little to no competition, which is just about everywhere. To give them that much trust is foolish. They will screw over the consumer. All ways have, always will. This would also cause many subscription services to raise prices. If Netflix is taxed, and it most likely will be, it is guaranteed, Netflix would have to raise the subscription price to the consumers. This will only appeal to the greed of the corporations. There are many small towns that only have 1 ISP. If they cannot afford to move, they are out of luck. Many individuals looking to start up businesses would not be able to. It is inevitable that the ISPs will have a pay wall to nearly every single site. DO NOT GIVE THEM THIS MUCH OPPORTUNITY TO SCREW US, THE CITIZENS OF THE USA, THE CONSUMERS, OVER. I URGE YOU KEEP THE NEUTRALITY RULES IN PLACE DO NOT ALLOW ISPs TO CHARGE EXTRA FOR SPECIFIC SITES DO NOT ALLOW THEM TO BLOCK OR CENSOR CONTENT
1135. Robert Garrett, Edmond, OK, 73013  
What the big companies and corporations don't understand is that this is just like how the British treated us back before we gained independence. They are basically making it impossible or near impossible to just enjoy simple every day things like when the British taxed our tea and paper and even glass. Now the companies and corporations want to keep us from going to websites of our choice. Hell we pay for the damn internet, it's not just a privilege, it's a right. It's a right that we have had since the 90's. The internet is essential to our generation's culture and how it makes America the way that it is. If Trump still wants to "make America great again," then this is not the right way to go. This will put us back to the definition of Britain's "great America."
1136. , Oklahoma City, OK, 73108  
Greetings FCC, I am here to add my voice to the majority of those who oppose this plan to erase neutrality. I am starting to get an impression that the people are making this request is trying to make some more money. However doing this will reduce the amount of customers that the sponsor will have in the long run. Please research this thoroughly before you decide.
1137. Ahmaree Ross, Oklahoma City, OK, 73122  
it's important to everyone
1138. Kaitlynne Heilaman, Shawnee, OK, 74801  
because this is a free country and i should be able to access the web without a ridiculous amount of fees
1139. Wade Duncan, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Free and accurate flow of information in and out.
1140. Gable , Asher, OK, 74826  
I'm not gonna let huge cable companies fuck me over, like everything else they do to me in the real, I will not stand by and them ruin the Internet even worse than it already is!
1141. Milo H, Seminole, OK, 74868  
I am a freelance artist who does all his dealings online. Without net neutrality, I'll have no access to my customer base and they'll have no access to me. I would prefer to keep my job.
1142. , Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
I realize that internet access isn't a basic human right, but it is increasingly harder to function in society without it. And if you allow certain sites to require payments or extra fees to access their sites, how are underprivileged people's supposed to function? What do they do when the sites they have previously used to find jobs start charging them every time they access their site? How are children with absent parents supposed to learn new things or access websites meant to help them learn how to do basic math problems when suddenly it asks for money they can't legally pay? Net neutrality protects citizens from the greed of multinational corporation. Net neutrality is one of the most important things in our time.
1143. Tess , Oklahoma City, OK, 73102  
I need to be able to access the internet to survive. Not to blurt out obscenities like Trump. Having access to the wealth of the internet FOR FREE is important to the american way of life.
1144. Jose Baeza, Oklahoma City, OK, 73109  
You're all idiots if I need to explain why it's important.
1145. Seth Camp, Oklahoma City, OK, 73115  
I've been using the internet for very many years, and having to charge for websites is an archaic way of trying to control what people want to access. You will destroy online business, and company's if you want to charge people to access websites.
1146. Courtney Castle, Bethany, OK, 73008  
Because I rely on the internet for numerous things. 1. Support, I've hit some low points in my life and without the internet or some websites I would've suffered for way longer or might've not even stopped struggling. Net neutrality protects my rights to these websites

and without it I would not be able to afford all of the extra websites that will inevitably cost more. 2. Education, I have used countless of different websites for research to enhance my knowledge. Without net neutrality and the accessibility to knowledge, discoveries will begin to go to a stand still. Humanity will have no where to go if thousands of people lose this access. Not only will this help communities but also low income individuals, low income schools. Net neutrality ensures that everyone has access to humanities greatest achievements. 3. Politics. With not everyone having information on what's going on with our government. This will eventually allow the government to become unchecked and we all know how dangerous an unchecked government is. 4. This is a human right. We have the knowledge and we have the ability to disperse it everywhere so why not use it to our advantage. So many things can be accomplished if we keep net neutrality.

1147. Amber Wood, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
The internet was made for the public and to charge for it is just counter productive.
1148. Kayla Effinger, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Access to information is incredibly important for EVERYONE
1149. Caleb Taft, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Big businesses already have too much power. The last thing they need is another way to starve out competition. America is ridiculously behind The curve on too many things without taking this step backwards too.
1150. Chloe Walcher, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
The internet should be a free place for everyone.
1151. Alexander Hite, Edmond, OK, 73013  
It's unprecedented to have content be limited by what the ISPs decide is allowed. It's morally terrible.
1152. Brittany Watson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
Everyone should be able to access the internet fully even if they don't have as much money as the next guy. Just because you have money shouldn't raise the bar of how much access you have. The internet is for everyone and should always be available.
1153. Morgan , Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
LEAVE THE INTERNET ALONE YOU HAVE NO REASON OTHER THAN MONEY TO KILL NET NEUTRALITY. THIS EFFECTS YOU TOO. IF YOU USE THE INTERNET IN ANYWAY THIS WILL BITE YOU BACK.
1154. Chris Whitman, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Maintain Title II of the Communications Act and support Net Neutrality!
1155. Matt Huycke, Oklahoma City, OK, 73151  
I appreciate that you "drained the swamp," but I don't like that a big communication now runs the FCC. Please protect net neutrality.MH
1156. Jenika armstrong, Bethany, OK, 73008  
FCC , I am a 16 year old student in high school , by making restrictions or taking away our internet is going to be extremely hard for students to get the information they need for , schoolwork , studying , etc. this act is basically taking our freedom of knowledge away. The internet plays a huge role in our society & without it this world would become even harder then it is right now. We've come so far with technological advances & if we stop now , who knows what we will be able to achieve in the future? The internet is an extremely fast way for businesses to grow & by you making them pay for it will make it so much harder for them. You're taking away one of our precious keys to society that helps us learn and grow. Sure there are some bad sides to the internet so just ban those sites. We shouldn't have to suffer because companies are greedy and want to make more money.
1157. Matt Baker, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Dont you dare sell us out. For the sanctity of our collective mental and emotional health---don't fucking do this. Have some goddamn integrity.
1158. Christian Cox, Edmond, OK, 73013  
You would victimize millions of people by restricting their access to things they love or from research competition to get a better deal. This is the one cause I've ever tied myself too and I hope it's successful in shutting down net neutrality.
1159. Rachelle Thibodeau, Edmond, OK, 73003  
Net Neutrality ensures a future where innovation thrives, new businesses have a chance to change and improve our economy, and my future children are given the opportunity to succeed. You see, our world is constantly changing and adapting, and the internet is now necessary to have to be able to get a job, and to get an education. To treat the internet like cable television is a joke. No one needs a TV to survive, but everyone needs a job to. There are fewer and fewer companies which operate with pencil and paper applications. If net neutrality goes away internet prices go up and another barrier is put in place to keep those who want to work hard to make a good life for themselves and there family is nailed into place. For an immeasurable number of people the situation will become I need internet to get a job, but I can only have the internet I need to get the job if I use my food budget, or my gas bill money, or if my kids don't go to day care. This means DHS who helps people in these situation will have to choose between possibly offering internet stamps or increasing the dollar amount of help they provide. This will be another cost for the government on top of everything else. This may sound ridiculous to you, but it is close to a potential and plausible reality. Getting rid of net neutrality will cause poverty rates to rise and the middle class will disappear more and more. It may not happen right away, but one day it will. The internet began as a luxury item, but it has advanced to an integral part of life. Especially for businesses. If there is no more net neutrality then less than a handful of companies will benefit and everyone will suffer. Not just consumers but also innovators, entrepreneurs, those in poverty, and government offices. We are already in enough debt do not open the door to an issue which will make it worse. It is the governments job to look to the future and see all aspects of life a policy change will affect. It is the job of those who back getting rid of net neutrality to

see the future only as far as the next big paycheck opportunity. Please back net neutrality to keep our future bright and hopeful for as far as u can see.

1160. Rena Guay, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112

An open Internet, such as we now have, is of immense importance to me. It is vital to our continued freedom, equal opportunities and prosperity. The FCC set up rules to assure it is maintained. I urge you to protect them. I approve and co-sign the following message. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1161. Mikey Simpson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73116

Please continue to classify the internet as a telecommunication service. Equal access to competitive information is essential to a functioning democracy and healthy economy. Internet service providers should operate exactly how all utilities operate, fairly and openly. We tolerate monopoly control of utilities due to the nature and high cost of building infrastructure, however, it is vital that this inherently noncompetitive service operate as an impartial partner. Allowing internet service providers to base rates solely on the content of data is unfair and undemocratic. It should never be allowed.

1162. Grant D. Taylor, Edmond, OK, 73034

The party line against net neutrality seems to be that certain parties will suffer from a lack of infrastructure investment should ISPs not be free to throttle service as they see fit. This argument does not hold water. For one, it ignores the opportunity cost of creating barriers to entry on the internet. An open internet has allowed American entrepreneurs to grow an acorn of an idea into multi-billion corporations, employing thousands of Americans. All the while, internet consumers were able to enjoy low-cost, high quality services. If ISPs were allowed to throttle internet speeds or charge for access, these services and the wealth generated within the American Tech sector would have been smothered in the cradle. Secondly, the elimination of net neutrality ignores the fact that many of these ISPs have invested heavily in 'content' in recent years. Allowing ISPs to pick and choose winners and losers is akin to the FCC itself picking winners and losers, because ISPs have an inherent advantage in favoring their own content. This will not create a market-based range of services for the consumer, but rather a pre-selected range of services dictated by a cartel. Lastly, infrastructure investment does not hinge solely upon whether ISPs can charge for 'fast lanes'. There are other means for these companies to generate revenue, and certainly other ways that the government can incentivize these common carriers to build infrastructure in under-served areas. If the concern is a lack of investment in rural areas, or the deterioration of infrastructure, why not lobby for a tax advantaged system similar to pipeline MLPs? Why not charge a few cents to all customers for such an undertaking? The dismantling of net neutrality is bad for entrepreneurs, bad for the economy, puts the FCC in the seat of picking market winners and losers, creates markets which are not free, and does not directly address the issue of underfunded infrastructure. Furthermore, this decision puts the FCC on the wrong side of public/consumer opinion, and squarely on the side of monied lobbying interests. It smacks of crony capitalism and graft.

1163. Jonathan Miller, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112

The end to Net Neutrality will end free and open access to the internet. Companies will begin to place limitations on access to content and programs they deem not in their best interest. This will effectively allow ISPs to censor the internet and people's freedom of speech.

1164. Steven Boatman, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132

PLEASE continue to treat ISPs as Title II entities! We have already seen in the past how they are willing to strong-arm companies into paying more for increased speeds. They all did so to Netflix just a few years ago. You MUST not allow them to go back to using those tactics! It is bad for small businesses AND bad for consumers. We are all more than aware that the new FCC chief is a former lobbyist for ISPs. We are watching VERY closely to see what he does.

1165. Marcus L. Prince, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112

I support an open and free internet. I support net neutrality. As a volunteer for a NPO, it would be very difficult for my Organization and it's people to get any work done and reach out to donors without Net Neutrality. I urge the FCC to not reclassify or eliminate the current Laws or Rules pertaining to Net Neutrality.

1166. Delaney Fulmer, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120

To maintain a free, open, and neutral Internet is to maintain a system that truly embodies the American dream by allowing those with good ideas and the will to see them through to prosper. To do away with this freedom is to ruin that dream as well as to destroy the principle that has allowed the Internet to flourish. Keep Net Neutrality. It should also be noted that any other filings in my name to the FCC have been fakes using stolen information.

1167. Nathan Glidewell, Harrah, OK, 73045

I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is important to all those who use the internet, and getting rid of it would hurt everyone who uses it. Please listen to what the people want.

1168. Luis Caballero, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
This should not be allowed.
1169. Chris Russell, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Dear Ajit Pai, Seriously man, what are you playing at? No one wants you to remove title 2 except the ISPs. The ones that are raking in the cash. How many people, yourself included, do you know that could go even a week without their internet connection? The internet is a basic and essential utility in modern life. Please listen to the people and maintain net neutrality and Title 2 status. Thanks a bunch,-  
Everyone Ever
1170. Joseph Ayon, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
Net neutrality evens the playing field, increases competition in the markets and is good for freedom of speech and democracy. If you don't support net neutrality you're going against the will of the people who use the internet on a daily basis. The internet has become an integral part of our lives, just like the roads we use everyday to go to work school or church. If these roads have roadblocks or tolls they can hinder commerce, personal and family connections as well as connections to our communities and the greater world. I support net neutrality, and I expect you to do so too.
1171. Stanley J. Alexander, Oklahoma City, OK, 73162  
The constitution protects freedom of speech. Don't use the internet to stifle the free flow of opinion and views. If you charge for internet usage, only the rich will be able to afford free speech.
1172. Robert J Evenson, Oklahoma City, OK, 73103  
The direction this FCC is taking the internet will help only major providers to control the internet by making it burdensome or even impossible to visit sites that don't line their pockets or compete with those that do. If you give away oversight then of course companies will exploit any means they can to make a profit and crush competition. Monopolies or duopolies already exist for most Americans needing internet. Don't kill the small ISP because the larger ones back the current leadership. A free internet gives people access to so many resources.
1173. B. Chris Mitchell, Del City, OK, 73115  
I work for a major Fortune 500 IT company so this is something that would directly impact me and impact my job, including my ability to work from home (which is frequent.) This is NOT the way to go about doing business, especially with the lives of literally billions of people being dependent upon the internet. If America kills net neutrality, it will hurt only us, because we'll be left behind competitively by the rest of the world. This is a resounding NO to the proposal as it stands.
1174. Chris Vail, OKC, OK, 73112  
This is sickening. FCC Chairman Ajit Pai cares for nothing in America except for the dollar sign in his bank account. The repeal of net neutrality proves that point. Why listen to millions of Americans who want, what should be considered a basic human right in this day and age, their ability to access and use the internet protected from predatory telecom companies pricing tactics. I DO NOT SUPPORT THE REMOVAL OF THE CURRENT TITLE II CLASSIFICATION. The removal of this classification will only benefit large telecom companies. I'm already getting notices from my Internet provider that I now have a data LIMIT and will be charged as soon as I go over said limit. What the Telecom companies have said they wouldn't do they are already doing.
1175. Megan Dickson, Midwest City, OK, 73110  
Net neutrality needs to stay. A free internet is what makes the world innovate. Please don't monetize and stop innovation.
1176. Stephanie Bates, Edmond, OK, 73012  
Please keep the current net neutrality laws in place. People need open and free access to all sites, not just the sites that make money for the ISPs.
1177. Rachel Giles, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
I'm calling on the FCC to safeguard net neutrality and Title II protections. Keep important net neutrality protections intact. The FCC needs to reject Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal to give government-subsidized ISP monopolies such as Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon the authority to engage in data discrimination and strip users of the necessary privacy and access protections that we the people of the USA want and so recently won.
1178. Jeffrey Hall, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
Net neutrality is the key to a successful Internet.
1179. Adam Mills, Oklahoma City, OK, 73103  
Eliminating the Title II status for Internet Service Providers is an idea that is bad for citizens. Net neutrality recognizes that the speedy (relatively), faithful, and open delivery of any and all internet content, regardless of origin, type, or who paid for it, is how Americans, and the world, expect the internet to work. Not as a series of walled gardens, with content segregated, preferred, derogated, or banned, based on who can pay which Internet Service Provider how much of a bribe to get their content served. That is not how the internet should work, and Commissioner Pai's naked and transparent toadying to monopolistic interests and large corporations should be shunned and reviled as the terrible idea that it is. The Net Neutrality rules that are currently in place, while not perfect, should stay, to protect the people that the FCC is supposed to serve - the American people, not a few giant telecom companies and their army of lobbyists.
1180. Amanda Ewing, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
In Oklahoma, there are still tens of thousands of people who don't have regular access to the internet. It can be very expensive to get service in rural communities. This proposal will make it even harder. Keep the Internet free and equally accessible for all. Don't repeal Net Neutrality! Listen to everyday Americans - we don't want the repeal and we are the voice that matters most! Thank you for considering my comments.

1181. Philip Crow, Oklahoma City, OK, 73132  
Please do not allow companies to vary the rates at which internet traffic travels based on fees, even if it is to offer their own content at a discount to customers, and especially not if it is to charge originators extra for special access. Keep the internet neutral. Leave it as a Title II service. I do not want to receive content at different speeds or to be charged more or less depending on the origin of content.
1182. Jeremiah Doxey, Bethany, OK, 73008  
Title II Net Neutrality rules must be allowed to remain intact, otherwise companies such as Comcast & Verizon will begin throttling content, and controlling what you and your family see and do online. Content will be blocked, and access fees will be charged for that content. You can already see evidence of this based on Verizon's current throttling of its customers, even though it breaks the current Title II rules. In January, Verizon shockingly brought back their unlimited plans due to mounting competition from the other carriers such as Sprint & T-Mobile. Now that Title II rules are in jeopardy, they have turned a 180, and now plan to charge even more for their "unlimited" plans. They have chosen a tiered plan that blatantly says the cheaper plans will have throttled video streaming, and that you must upgrade to the more expensive plans in order to get HD-streaming quality. And even then, the more expensive plan has a 22GB cap before they will throttle your speeds "during heavy congestion". The lower plan has no cap, and simply states "During times of heavy congestion, your speed may be slowed". This suggests they are simply going to throttle you all the time in order to force you into their more expensive plans. This is a direct violation of Title II rules, and exactly why we need them in place! Please protect the consumer, and stop this ridiculous attack on the freedom of the internet! Keep Title II rules in place, and ensure that all data traffic is unrestricted and accessible.
1183. Jera Winters, Oklahoma City, OK, 73159  
I do not believe that internet providers should be able to control the speed of service to customers based on other partnerships. All information should be able to be accessed in the same amount of time and with the same freedom. Otherwise, less popular content would be less accessible, or could even lead to a position where people would be unable to access true information if false information has more money behind it. The internet was created as a space to freely exchange information, and should remain so.
1184. Nathan Patrick Dennis, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Keep my internet free. Targeted advertising is bad enough, screw off with this shit. Corporations aren't people, keep money out of Washington.
1185. Internet neutrality, Edmond, OK, 73034  
Please don't make us pay more for internet! This will turn a beautiful and wonderfully free source of information into paid advertising
1186. Evan Kirzinger, Edmond, OK, 73034  
Net neutrality has strong bipartisan support, yet is still being opposed by chairman Ajit Pai; what then is really being accomplished by repealing net neutrality rules? Do those of you planning on voting in favor of the repeal have no shame at all for what could be done to the American people? If net neutrality is dismantled, internet service providers will have free reign over the internet, including all information that passes through it; this has grave potential for malicious misuse. Knowing this, will you continue to support the repeal of what appears to be one of the most popularly supported rulings in recent history?
1187. Bradley Griffith, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Please preserve net neutrality for the sake of intellectual freedom and equal access to information regardless of how much money its sponsoring organization has to spend on bandwidth.
1188. Keara Phillips-Berlin, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Net Neutrality has democratized the spread of information. Internet service providers should give ALL consumers access to all legal content and applications on an equal basis, without favoring some sources or blocking others. Without net neutrality, ISPs may charge consumers for access to the internet, THEN charge institutions and sites for "preferred" access to those consumers. Meaning any organization that doesn't have deep enough pockets may be forced by ISPs to load much slower than those who pay a premium to those ISPs. This could (and likely would) severely hinder or kill small businesses, as they wouldn't be able to compete. We cannot let the internet become like cable TV, with corporations dividing up and parceling out information selectively and charging for access to it. The destruction of net neutrality would have massive negative economic effects, particularly for those of us who rely on the internet- steady access to which we PAY for as a utility just as we do water or electricity - to do business, sell goods, etc. More importantly, this would throw our country into an intellectual dark age, rendering us unable to compete and collaborate with the rest of the wired world.
1189. Lindsey piccirillo, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Right to view what I want
1190. Quyet-thang Van, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
Net Neutrality is extremely important to me because it is part of my everyday life. Almost everything requires the internet, from education to social life. The internet should be free to all.
1191. Nathan lindsey, Oklahoma City, OK, 73120  
Big greedy companies that monopolize communications of the people do not need more power in order to control the flow of money into their greedy pockets. Cable is out-dated and without net neutrality they would try to cripple their online steaming competitors in order to make themselves relevant again. I think that they are obsolete and a neutral free internet is already accomplished and the fact that they have been fighting it since it became a thing proves my point as well as everyone else for net-neutrality. They are threatened by not having control and seek to prevent losing their grip on money flow. America is built on the idea that this is a land of opportunity and without a neutral internet-that ideal is at stake. People seeking ways to make something out of themselves by way of the internet would be hindered to the point of being silenced by big cable. Taking away the neutral internet is a violation of our freedoms, no one should be able to own the internet much like no one could own the air we breathe. Its for all of us to share equally. Big cable would be able to shut-down, block, slow, and eliminate whatever they deem fit for their plans and that in itself is inherently wrong. I ask if the internet has been neutral during this past time than what has changed to where it needs to be reversed?

1192. Faith Bruton, Oklahoma City, OK, 73119  
The internet should be a place where people can connect and express themselves without the government interference.
1193. Emerald, Shawnee, OK, 74801  
I like enjoying myself without having to pay for anything, and having no commercials or whatever else this entails.
1194. Joshua Hughes, Konawa, OK, 74849  
I use the internet for everything in my life and if it costed money to use the things I enjoy and learn from I would no longer be able to enjoy them.
1195. Delanno Thomas, Oklahoma City, OK, 73111  
Net Neutrality is extremely important because it allows people to spread information and their creativity and their businesses FOR FREE. That's what the internet is for. To be able to spread info and all sorts of things without having to pay more than a monthly bill. If Net Neutrality is gone, that just defeats the purpose of internet altogether, and it'll make people way less likely to be on and about. The internet is FREE, we shouldn't have to pay to access places that are/were previously free because people want more access to our personal information and our pockets.
1196. Joanne Kondratieff, Shawnee, OK, 74801  
NEVER EVER vote for a Republican again! Repubs' ONLY interest is making the rich richer and the rest of us sheeple by keeping us from being able to communicate FREELY with one another!
1197. Robin Patten, Oklahoma City, OK, 73115  
Please keep the internet open for the majority of users that need it.
1198. Darwin May, Oklahoma City, OK, 73142  
Net neutrality is consumer freedom. Stop trying to harm the American public with shady new rules every three months. All this amounts to is a corporate handout. Bits are bits regardless of who's paying for them.
1199. James Lambertus, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Keep the internet as it is--free and neutral!
1200. Robert Steele, Warr Acres, OK, 73122  
I strongly support upholding and enforcing current net neutrality rules and regulations.
1201. Bob Holliman, Oklahoma City, OK, 73118  
Net neutrality is essential to democracy. Without it, we will have a pay-to-play electorate wherein only privileged classes can afford access to the data required to function as informed, responsible citizens. Attacks on net neutrality are attacks upon the American way of life. Say no.
1202. Brian Riddle, Oklahoma City, OK, 73114  
Net neutrality is hugely important. My wireless carrier is already creating fast lanes, charging more to give people access to the same internet. In addition, wireless internet is nowhere near fast enough to be a primary internet source and I have a single residence dwelling. Being connected to the world via the internet is seen as a civil right in other countries, and the United States should be ashamed to rank so lowly on average connected internet speeds. The ISP market is already so tightly controlled by a few companies that there is little to no competition. The restrictions the FCC is considering repealing would serve to lessen competition, strangle innovation and be an enormous disservice to the American people. Please, don't sell the people of this country out. Don't make it even harder for new companies to bring true competition to markets that have had no real choice. Keep the internet free and open, as it was intended to be.
1203. Taylor Jones, Oklahoma City, OK, 73106  
Keep net neutrality. Don't allow the internet to revert to an "information system" and allow companies with a financial stake determine the best way for access. The internet is for everyone, equally.
1204. Zachary Ross, EDMOND, OK, 73012  
I'm against these changes as it seems they remove protections for people and give too much power to companies. I wouldn't be opposed to changes if they increase the protections for people.
1205. Ryan Querbach, Jones, OK, 73049  
I am writing in support of net neutrality. My family, my friends, my colleagues, and I owe a great debt to the Internet. For many years now, it has served as an excellent source for education, entertainment, communication, and business. It has helped me throughout many moments in my life, from high school, to college, to the professional world. It has allowed me access to many things that I love, including the worlds of sports and music. It has offered the same to many. It has offered the same opportunities to anyone lucky enough to use it. For these reasons, I find it crucial that net neutrality be maintained. To me, and to many others, access to the Internet has become a basic right, and that access should be the same for any person, regardless of socioeconomic background or location. Net neutrality helps guarantee that said access remains a right. Eliminating it risks eliminating a right that we all share, a right that we cannot afford to take for granted. The Internet is part of the past and is heavily included in the present, but without net neutrality, it may not exist in the same fair, balanced, and protected form in the future. These are risks I am not willing to take, and nobody else should be willing to take them either.
1206. Richard Thomas, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
DO NOT REMOVE TITLE II STATUS FROM ISPs DO NOT GUT NET NEUTRALITY. So say's "I" and 98% of legitimate comments on this proceeding. Ajit Pai's apparent foregone decision to do so is blatantly arbitrary and capricious in blatant disregard for the Administrative Procedure Act. If the FCC does so, all while failing to admit the SWARMS of bots using identity theft to overwhelm

legitimate unique comments, intentionally lying to the public on the reason fcc.gov was unavailable during what was claimed to be a DDOS attack (which was since disproven), and outright refusing to respond to FOIA requests constitutes a complete disregard for rule of law, and transparency in government, to say nothing of the apparent disdain for democracy as a system that a failure to listen to the people of the US shows.

1207. Logan Davis, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
I believe this move does not restore Internet freedom. This move should be heavily studied, and the effects upon the citizenry advertised. Passing such a motion will fundamentally change how the Internet operates, and not only this, the power welded by individuals versus corporations, Internet service providers. The Internet should be viewed as a public utility; currently, the United States holds the keys to the Internet as we know it. It should stay this way, and any move away from this could weaken us as a nation, degrade our freedom, and set us back globally. The changes within here are unprecedented, and we should all tread quite lightly when discussing and implementing such grandiose changes. Ask yourself, is this what my children would want?
1208. Samantha Kickingbird, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112  
I support strong net neutrality laws and want to preserve title II.
1209. net neutrality, Edmond, OK, 73034  
I support net neutrality and strongly urge that the internet be kept free.
1210. Michael Relland, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
please preserve net neutrality given in title 2. thank you.
1211. Veronica Zan, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISP's.
1212. Rick Cheek, OKC, OK, 73107  
The protection of Net Neutrality from infringement by ISP's or other corporate or government entities is absolutely necessary to a free society. Internet access should be a right and the ability of municipalities or other local government entities should not be infringed.
1213. Nicholas Ward, Edmond, OK, 73013  
Why can't the federal government help the citizens move to a mesh network, reveal the dangers of electronic devices, and start marketing the Yagi antennae commercially.
1214. net neutrality, Oklahoma City, OK, 73107  
Please preserve net neutrality. The Internet empowers democracy.

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used natural language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. <https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9ff0e3ed36a6>.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.