

Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from NY District #21

These are the 1,104 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Elise Stefanik's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. Troy Coughlin, Rouses Point, NY, 12979
Vote NO on this proposal. Net neutrality MUST survive and be salvaged.
2. Alex Czech, Poland, NY, 13431
I am against this bill
3. Karen Dishaw, Massena, NY, 13662
The current FCC Chairman plans to reverse net neutrality, stating that it is harmful to the idea of a free market. I don't believe this, and either does many, many other people contacting the FCC right now. It is clear to us that Mr. Pai and the people that he works for have no interest in the people of our country who all use the internet on a daily basis. The removal of net neutrality would only benefit the big name companies by weakening the protections, allowing them the chance to bleed more money out of the people, and what money hungry group wouldn't want that? Without net neutrality our country will be going backwards and be crippled, because big name companies will crush start-up companies, slowing down new businesses and ideas. It will erode the very innovation that has helped us evolved into the technical society we are today. Other countries like Canada have gone the other way around and strengthen their internet laws because they know now important it is to the people and the future of their society. It is people like Mr. Pai that make me sick to even look up the news and see people like him say that something that isn't even broken needs to be fixed. Net neutrality is not broken, and it is not hurting anyone, except for the already fat wallets of the companies that only want more money from the

American people. If Mr. Pai is allowed to get his way, it is going to harm everyone in America. Anyone that uses a phone, a computer, a tablet; everyone will be affected, and only because the cable companies want more money. It's just about money. Enough is enough. We will not stand for this.

4. Gary Lathan, Fort Edward, NY, 12828

A rollback of net neutrality rules under their current Title II designation can result in a less healthy economic environment, and the consumer protections currently afforded could be a thing of the past. The chairman of the FCC is ignoring the fact that the government's ability to protect consumers from artificial throttling and other potential "pay to play" abilities that could be given to ISP's by deregulation of the internet has been in legal flux, and the arbiter of my ability to access content should not be a corporation. I believe in and support the regulation of the internet under Title II as it currently stands and do not agree with a new regulation style unless it guarantees the same or better protections.

5. William Shelmidine, Ellisburg, NY, 13636

https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/wonk/wp/2014/11/12/wonkbook-polling-shows-even-republicans-overwhelmingly-support-net-neutrality/?utm_term=.69873040098cSupport for Net Neutrality is and always has been overwhelming. I ask you directly: why are you selling us out to Verizon, Comcast, Charter, and other such predatory mega-providers? You represent the American people, not corporate interests, and this is a blatant attempt to ignore that fact in the most dramatic, public way possible. Serve us. Don't sell us out. It's your goddamn job.

6. Vivian Roe, Antwerp, NY, 13608

I am for net neutrality! I am against this 100%

7. Max Tubbesing, Wilton, NY, 12831

*****Section "III. ENDING PUBLIC-UTILITY REGULATION OF THE INTERNET" *****The way this section is written completely assumes that the public discourse is done and consensus reached that broadband internet service is not public utility, and you base it on the past 20 or so years of history of the industry. This industry has only recently come into the earliest stage of maturity and you are basically saying we should regulate it based on its characteristics as they existed while it was a new and emerging industry. Remember that smartphones are less than 10 years old, and the ubiquity of wi-fi driven by smartphone use, even younger. The common sense approach to me as a consumer is that broadband internet service needs to be treated just like every other public utility in America (water, energy, telephone), because it looks like one, and it operates like one, and more and more, we consumers purchase it as a utility. It's a requirement to be a normal human being in America. Anyone who

says otherwise, is probably either retired or near so, and while I respect their opinions, the world of yesterday is exactly that; yesterday. The capital cost of the infrastructure involved; Running traditional cable or fiber-optic hard wiring over thousands (if not millions) of miles to enable providers to reach their customers is expensive.. Very expensive. Expensive to the point that only companies with the largest of capital resources can hope to succeed in this challenge. You make the argument that decreasing regulation will make it easier for smaller businesses to compete, which in principle is true, but the physical realities of operating a broadband internet service provider business, at a scale which is attractive to consumers, is not, and never going to be a "mom & pop" business. On top of that, the as-is existing reality of the businesses operating this now, is that there are only a handful of providers, and a monopoly or near monopoly in many regional areas. It's not because of over-regulation. It's because, as I noted earlier, digging, laying, maintaining, miles and miles and miles of cable is prohibitively expensive to all except companies with vast capital resources. The very nature of this industry requires scale to work, which naturally means few operators, and leaves individual consumers open to abuse, ISP's MUST be subject to regulation as a public utility to protect US consumers from abuse by providers, for all of the same reasons we regulate other utility providers. *****In Section IV, Paragraph 72 "Eliminating the Internet Conduct Standard"*****You propose eliminating the Internet conduct standard because it is too vague. I agree that it is too vague, but I believe there absolutely does need to be an Internet Conduct Standard for businesses operating as Internet Service Providers. There should be an explicit prohibition on "throttling or blocking" content providers access to consumers by internet service providers, as well as an explicit prohibition on "throttling or blocking" consumers access to content providers by internet service providers. Any Internet Conduct Standard should also address the relationship between the 1st Amendment of the US Constitution and role the internet plays in modern day life, and specifically the expectation for internet service providers to not obstruct 1st Amendment rights, for individuals or groups, in any way, in the conduct of their business operations.

8. William Davison, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020

Dear FCC, Do not "RESTORE INTERNET FREEDOM" for the sake for corporate profits. Protect Internet Freedom by keeping CURRENT regulations in place.

9. Abigail Spinner, Altona, NY, 12910

Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Companies can't be trusted to do what is best for their customers, only what is best for making more profits for themselves. If there are no rules or regulations binding them to keep internet speeds equal regardless of content, providers will inevitably abuse this and the days of a free and open internet for all will end. This will be disastrous

for the future functioning of our democracy, for an unrestricted and uncensored internet is and will continue to be essential for ensuring that the populace can make informed decisions when voting on issues and for candidates. This internet is for much more than just entertainment, that is why preserving net neutrality is so important.

10. judy simon, canton, NY, 13617
PLEASE keep in place the Obama admin's rules pertaining to maintaining an open internet. DO NOT allow ISP's to limit or slow the speed of content--this would be a form of discrimination. Since the internet is so necessary in contemporary US life, especially for job hunting and health care info, internet access should really be regulated like a utility. thank you.
11. Max Simon Nacht, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
Net neutrality is imperative to our nation's success. Removal of title 2 will make companies like Verizon dominate their competition and create unfair practices. This must not happen!
12. Christopher Schwab, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
Keep the Internet under Title II
13. Heather Gregorek, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
I am writing to urge the FCC to PLEASE maintain net neutrality and Title II.
Thank you
14. NET NEUTRALITY, Gloversville, NY, 12078
I specifically support STRONG net neutrality backed by TITLE II over site of ISPs. I do not trust companies who have such a large incentive to cheat to be on the "honor system".
15. kristen lewis, Whitehall, NY, 12887
I strongly support net neutrality staying under the guidelines of title 2. I urge you to keep our internet and information open and accessible.
16. William Selkirk, West Chazy, NY, 12992
I support strong net neutrality backed title 2 oversight of ISP
17. Reuben Hwang, Watertown, NY, 13601
I would like to express my support to keep internet regulation under title 2. I live in a rural area, and my choices for internet service are very limited. It's bad enough that the few choices I have are either slow, have harsh data limits, or terrible QOS. If ISPs can start picking what sort of data they are going to give preferential treatment to, my choices for ISPs that allow me access to the internet for the things I use it for might end up being no choice. The only way to have enforceable laws that require an equal internet for all is to maintain

regulation under title 2.

18. Mitchell H. Edelstein, Raquette Lake, NY, 13436
Net Neutrality is vital to me and my community. Raquette Lake is a very small hamlet located in New York State's Adirondack Park. Raquette Lake has a year-round population of less than 120 people. We are located in Hamilton County. The county has less than 5,000 people according to US Census count. I urge the FCC to respect the importance of Net Neutrality and keep the internet under Title 2.
19. Karl Mueller, Saratoga Springs, NY, 12866
I want to make my opinion public that we need to continue to have strong enforcement of real/actual net neutrality under title II. Actually, I am livid that the chairman of the FCC is now forcing us to once again voice our opinion based on his disingenuous argument that we don't really need this stronger level of enforcement, and that somehow we should just trust the ISPs, such as his former employer Verizon, to do the right thing. Please, I implore you, do not fall for this sophistry and keep the strong enforcement of net neutrality as it already is under title II. Sincerely yours, Karl Mueller
20. Dominick Ruggeri, Cadyville, NY, 12918
Please retain the NET NEUTRALITY rules that are currently in place. We must have strong Title 2 protections to be in place to that oversee the activities of ISP's. It has been shown that ISP's will NOT act in the interest of people, but rather in the interest of their profit margin. Why would you even think of removing these protections from the American people? Do what's right, and protect the people's internet. Thank you, Dominick Ruggeri
21. Marc, Ogdensburg, NY, 13669
I can't believe that you guys want to destroy net neutrality. If you do this you would prove to me that the feds are serious ASSHOLES. I am against it and hope that you guys have enough brains to see why.
22. Howard Blair, Brushton, NY, 12916
I think the FCC's decision to rescind the Open Internet (Net Neutrality) rules, and the Title II protections, is bad for the Internet, since ISPs need a reason to NOT interfere with competing products. Consumers need protections, as do smaller companies that can be throttled out of existence by ISPs.
23. Alexandra Doney, Glenfield, NY, 13343
I support net neutrality and regulations that promote fair and unbiased ISPs. Please choose not to roll back this legislation simply because it was an Obama era legislation, and rather support entrepreneurship in this area.
24. Sarah Chertok, Porter Corners, NY, 12859

I strongly support net neutrality regulations under Title II. Keep the internet fair, it's the last safe place.

25. Steve Knight, Colton, NY, 13625
Leave the current status alone and do not allow ISP's the freedom (legally) to jack up prices or play favorites with web content creators.
26. Mark Wheeler, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
Persevere Net Neutrality under Title II Do not trust ISP's to fairly control our internet.
27. Daniel Koon, Canton, NY, 13617
Keep the rules as per Title II. The moneyed ISPs are trying to screw over the common citizen once again. Government serves a purpose. Government keeps us free from the tyranny of those who BUY influence. Keep net neutrality strong. Do not weaken it.
28. Andrew McKelvey, Greenfield Center, NY, 12833
It is wrong to dismantle rules put in place to protect net neutrality.
29. Justin Bryant, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
Ajit Pai I support net neutrality and the current regulations. Take that oversized Reese's mug and go back to defending large corporations and leave public office! You don't represent Verizon any longer!
30. Michael Lesser, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
I strongly urge the Commission to preserve current rules on Net Neutrality. To do otherwise would destroy the free internet and adversely affect competition. It would likely enable a very few large companies to dominate the net and make it much more difficult for new entrants and non-profits to have their voice.
31. Elizabeth Nystrom, Hadley, NY, 12835
I VERY PASSIONATELY SUPPORT strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs
32. Derek King, Canton, NY, 13617
Internet neutrality is essential for the prosperity of the United States. I urge the FCC to preserve existing regulations that require internet service providers to respect net neutrality through strong Title II classification of internet service providers.
33. Hearth M Rising, Keene Valley, NY, 12943
I support net neutrality. Please keep "legal footing" of internet regulation under Title II of the 1934 Communications Act.

34. Joshua LaBounty, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II regulations. It is important that large telecom companies like Verizon not be able to choose winners and losers, arbitrarily slowing down competitors and pricing small businesses out of the market. Equality is what has made the internet great, and what has allowed many American small businesses to flourish in the face of competition from giant corporations, and it is important that the FCC continue to enforce the regulations which ensure fair play.
35. Net Neutrality, parishville, NY, 13672
Net Neutrality.... please don't. I am 100 percent behind strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. I'm a gamer and my entire house is wired and in my household our primary source of entertainment are streaming services. I have grave concerns that Net Neutrality would give my ISP, the ONLY in my area, the ability to throttle services at will.
36. jordan guiles, Star Lake, NY, 13690
I support net neutrality to stop internet service providers from channeling preferred content and slowing down content that is not in their interests
37. Gary Moeller, Greenfield, NY, 12833
Preserve Net Neutrality! To do otherwise makes a mockery of Freedom of Speech.
38. Robert J Buttles III, South Glens Falls, NY, 12803
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs
39. Natalie, Greenfield Center, NY, 12833
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. It is very important!
40. Reilly Baker, Glenfield, NY, 13343
I support title 2 net neutrality, and y'all definitely should too
41. Derrick Hooper, Malone, NY, 12953
We support strong net neutrality . preserve net neutrality and title 2.
42. Daniel Lake, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
This is a horrible proposal. The only "freedom" it restores is the freedom for ISPs to discriminate against content. The current regulation of ISPs to prevent them from some of the abuses they engaged in before the current regulations were put into place need to continue.
43. Skyler Green, Watertown, NY, 13601
I strongly support Title 2 regulation and net neutrality. Don't let the Trump

administration fuck this up.

44. Harrison Shore, Rock City Falls, NY, 12863
Save net neutrality! Net neutrality is incredibly important.
45. Jeremy Bailey, Saratoga Springs, NY, 12866
Do no repeal the Title II restrictions.I have zero faith that ISP will act fairly. The ISP are after profit not pleasing their customers (just look at Comcast). If they are not legally forced to comply, they WILL find a way to monetize net inequity.
46. Brian Gurtler, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
Net Neutrality does not need to be repealed. Do not change Net Neutrality. Also you large mug is a joke.
47. Sarah Preston, Watertown, NY, 13601
This law is a joke, and will only serve to allow giant media corporations to continue to harm consumers by charging them exorbitant rates, for subpar service. Net neutrality laws need to stand as is, due to giving real people at least some protection from giant corporations who normal citizens have little recourse against. This law will do nothing to protect true internet freedom - the freedom of real people to use the internet as they see fit - all it is is a handout to giant media corporations, allowing them to harm consumers once again. Do not pass this rule. Keep net neutrality as is.
48. Joseph A, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
Dear Mr. Pai, In regards to net neutrality, I urge your strong support of it. The entire concept of the internet was based out of expanding free and open access to essential information. I applaud the FCC's decision to reclassify ISPs under Title 2 of The Communications Act of 1934, which resulted in better regulation. Going forward, I hope you will adopt a supportive platform for net neutrality, as this would result in much better access as a whole. Thank you.Sincerely,Joseph A
49. Doug Berry, Watertown, NY, 13601
Keep Net Neutrality, fire the current FCC Director as incompetent, corrupt and just being a little psychopathic weasel who just happens to have an over-sized coffee cup. Caffeine; the most abused drug in America
50. Ann Clark, Dexter, NY, 13634
I strongly support net neutrality in its current form. As a voter and a taxpayer, I do not support any changes.
51. Robert Biales, Corinth, NY, 12822
The ISPs have proven repeatedly that they would provide special access to

groups for financial remuneration and actively block other groups they feel promote ideas they dislike. They have repeatedly been caught doing this. Further, the ISPs themselves have admitted the Title II regulations do not notably affect their business outside of being able to play favorites. Nor does it affect their profitability. Therefore it is in the best interest of all Americans to leave the Internet governed as a Title II entity.

52. p hale, Gansevoort, NY, 12831

I am a physician and strongly feel Title 2 designation needs to be continued to safeguard true net neutrality for my patients and for myself. We cannot risk the actions of selfish and greedy industries to damage one of the few things we got right!

53. Brandon Orlosky, Queensbury, NY, 12804

I support strong net neutrality rules backed by strong title II oversight. Do NOT roll back these regulations!!!

54. Scottie Hebert, CHAMPLAIN, NY, 12919

Leave ISP's under Title II.They must stay there. They are not honest and the current FCC Chair is proof enough of that!No company should ever have the option to limit speeds or tamper with what I choose to do with my services.They are paid by me and that is their contractual obligation to stay completely out of my personal choices.The current laws have had no affect on anything other than maintaining my freedom.

55. Net Neutrality, Watertown, NY, 13601

I am in STRONG support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers.

56. Davdi M Beltowski, Boonville, NY, 13309

The Title II reclassification has ensured that all bits are equal on the internet.I support the Title II classification and oversight of ISPs, and believe it should remain in place as is.

57. Timothy Brown, Massena, NY, 13662

I believe that strong net neutrality laws are very important in maintaining a fair and level playing field for all Internet stakeholders. Do NOT remove current net neutrality laws.

58. Jacob Kimball, Whitehall, NY, 12887

I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. I don't trust ISPs as far as I can throw them. Your statements that companies will unanimously decide to do the right thing with no oversight or regulation are either laughably naive or intentionally dishonest. Please take a few minutes to google the Prisoner's Dilemma.

59. Joey Boysuk, Potsdam, NY, 13676
I think the current laws allowing net neutrality should remain. The internet should not be allowed to be played around with by ISPs deciding which content gets priority or anything. If I want to watch Netflix my ISP shouldn't be allowed to limit the quality or speed at which I can access the service.
60. Matt Ezero, Peru, NY, 12972
I'm writing in support of Title 2 and Net Neutrality. An open Internet is essential for maintaining free speech & allowing budding entrepreneurs to thrive, and Verizon, Comcast and the other ISPs should not be able to give preference to their services and content.
61. Mary Kay Ezero, Peru, NY, 12972
Let Net neutrality stand! Let title 2 remain!
62. Chase Jermano, Lake Placid, NY, 12946
Please do not put an end to net neutrality!
63. Andrew Jewell, Queensbury, NY, 12804
I am in support of keeping strong net neutrality through the use of Title II oversight of ISPs. They are already allowed too much freedom to dictate what choices I have in terms of internet infrastructure and usage in my area. They do not need free reign to do as they please and make my internet more expensive and difficult to use just to serve their financial gain.
64. Colin Criss, Old Forge, NY, 13420
Net neutrality is critically important to the citizens of the United States. The idea that fairness inhibits investment is absurd--the fairness is directly having a positive impact on the people, which is the only thing that should matter in this decision.
65. Curtis Arnold, RockCityFalls, NY, 12863
I strongly support Net Neutrality and continued Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
66. Daniel Foote, Victory, NY, 12884
Please protect me from internet companies. Internet access is a need in today's age. For this reason alone all my access to it should be impartial and without scrutiny by my cable company.
67. Daniel Graham, Massena, NY, 13662
I support Strong Net Neutrality with strong oversight of ISPs.
68. Mark Snyder, Morrisonville, NY, 12962
Net neutrality as currently protected under Title II is important to maintain!

69. Kristopher Pirie, Malone, NY, 12953
To Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. We cannot get rid of net neutrality where ISPs will have the power to slow down or speed up content for which they choose. Everyone should have equal access to free and open internet.
70. Richard Plumadore, Saranac, NY, 12981
Please preserve net neutrality and keep ISPs under Title II. Without the safeguards provided under Title II the temptation to restrict certain sites in favor of others will be too great. Keep the internet fair for all!
71. Gary Filippelli, Queensbury, NY, 12804
I would like the Laws on or about Net Neutrality to remain in place as they are. I would NOT want them to be changed. gary
72. Jeff Moore, Bolton Landing, NY, 12814
I ask that the FCC preserve net neutrality under Title II (2). Thank you.
73. Jill Jones, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
I STRONGLY SUPPORT strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. As a small business owner, I do not want my website loading slowly and losing traffic because some bigger company with more money is getting preferential treatment.
74. Richard Chapin, Saratoga Springs, NY, 12866
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. This is incredibly important issue. Please do not sell us out to the corporations, they are already ruining this country in the name of profits.
75. Christopher Brill, Victory Mills, NY, 12884
I fully support Net Neutrality regulations under Title II. Keep these regulations intact.
76. Nicholas Graham, Queensbury, NY, 12804
Net neutrality is critically important to my job in the tech sector, and I support it being protected by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
77. Christian Hankey, Evans Mills, NY, 13637
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY UNDER TITLE 2 YOU DUMD,REESES
CUP LOVING LOSERS
78. Megan Dowling, Greenwich, NY, 12834
Please preserve net neutrality. For the love of God
79. Michael Knapp, Corinth, NY, 12822

Do not remove the protections and benefits that we Americans enjoy by way of the Net Neutrality rules ! Do not try to "fix" what is not broken.

80. Ben Yurgartis, potsdam, NY, 13676
Please protect the internet with Title 2!
81. Javier Yu, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
I endorse net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
82. Jesse Misarski, westport, NY, 12993
Don't get rid of title 2/ the whole net neutrality thing, I know you don't want to get rid of it.
83. Emma Crowell, Canton, NY, 13617
I STRONGLY support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Repealing this would be devastating for our country. DO NOT repeal Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2!!!
84. Ben, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
please suck my mammoth dick Ajit Pai. Do you job and keep net neutrality.
85. JOHN SZYPULSKI, NORTHVILLE, NY, 12134
I WANT THE FCC TO CONTINUE TO PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY BY CLASSIFYING INTERNET SERVICE PROVIDERS AS TITLE II (TWO) PROVIDERS.
86. Ralph Smorra, Cambridge, NY, 12816
I want strong, Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers to remain in effect. Please, do not return ISPs to the weaker Title 1 oversight, which had proven in court to be inadequate for the FCC to enforce Net Neutrality, which is why they were reclassified under Title II. Ajit Pai's argument that ISPs being under Title II hinders investment in infrastructure, directly contradicts the ISPs themselves, which have stated in their communications with shareholders and publicly, that they have and will continue to invest in infrastructure equally, regardless of under which Title they are regulated. Citizens benefit greatly from competition in TV services allowed by being able to choose a 3rd party for TV over Internet. If ISPs are switched back to Title I, they will be able to degrade the quality of 3rd party TV and video, as well as other services, to make their own, traditional TV and/or online video products artificially more attractive. Please keep ISPs under Title II. Thank you, Ralph.
87. Justin Furgison, Ogdensburg, NY, 13669
I support a strong net neutrality, do not weaken it by removing it from title two.
88. Marc Gifford, Queensbury, NY, 12804

I support the preservation of net neutrality under title 2 regulation.

89. Matthew Henderson, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
I support net neutrality backed by strong title 2 oversight of ISPs. I implode you to reconsider your stance on strong Net Neutrality.
90. Steven Wright, Ogdensburg, NY, 13669
Save Net Neutrality. Trusting the industry is beyond foolish, and it has already been shown not to work.
91. Leland Hulbert II, Gloversville, NY, 12078
Net Neutrality, defined as a rule prohibiting ISPs from providing different speed, bandwidth, or price to different network based on the content of the communications, is fundamental to the proper, efficient functioning of the internet. It is as important, if not more, to the rights of the people of our country. ISPs should continue to be governed by Title II regulations as put in place during the Obama administration.
92. Christine Paller, Massena, NY, 13662
I am filing with you today to encourage you to maintain Net Neutrality and Title 2. I am an educator and I worry how losing net neutrality will impact our public schools. Our students need to be able to access any relevant ISPs without worrying about being shut out or slowed down in their work because industry giants are looking to increase their first annual gain. Please continue FCC policies in place that support Title 2 and net neutrality
93. Raymond Carman, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
I support strong network neutrality. Keep internet service providers regulated under Title 2
94. Ben Carman, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
Net neutrality is essential to the free exchange of ideas and information.
95. Gary Moon, Lake George, NY, 12845
I oppose efforts to dismantle Title II classification of ISP's for the purpose of providing Internet Service. I also oppose removing the so-called "Net Neutrality" rules that prevent ISP's from favoring one content creator's traffic over another for any reason. The real innovation you seek to protect comes from independent creators and small organizations who have less capacity to pay exorbitant fees for access to consumers than the large players who lobby for their advantage. Net neutrality is important and must be preserved.
96. Terri McAuliffe, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
Please maintain strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II!

97. Cameron Antle, Canton, NY, 13617
I STRONGLY feel that the transition of ISP's from Title 2 will be detrimental to the freedom of americans and the small businesses that rely on open and equal access to services, customers, or simple communication that the internet provides. Also that large businesses cannot be trusted to regulate themselves in the best interest of the general public, nor am I naive enough to believe that anyone who says they will truly believes that themselves.
98. Phillip Hatch II, Watertown, NY, 13601
I urge the FCC to keep net neutrality protected through Title II rules. Without any legitimate checks, there are no guarantees ISP's will stay in balance and keep consumers protected.
99. Nickalaus Painter, Potsdam, NY, 13676
I strongly urge the FCC to side with the American people and not the corporate interests of the telecommunications. Net Nuetrality is essential to American freedom. Changing these laws to Title 2 is desired only by the corporate entities.
100. Jeremy Iaquinto, Queensbury, NY, 12804
I strongly support net neutrality. We need to ensure that the "information highway" is never hijacked by ISP's. This would be akin to corporations controlling speeds and maintenance of our interstate system. The government needs to protect the internet so that future generations always have access to fast and fair internet speeds. I already pay for a high tier but yet my ISP continues to provide less than half to one third of the bandwidth I pay for. When we go to the gas pumps and pay for 20 gallons we get 20 gallons... not "up to 20 gallons". We do not leave it up to the gas station to determine if they want to give us 5,10,15 or 20 gallons wen we pay for 20. This is a serious issue and we need to protect the people not the corporations when it comes to providing a fair and open internet. Thank you for reading my short comment.
101. Shane A. Thelen, Mineville, NY, 12956
There is no need to give up the freedom of the Internet? For what? For more corporations to get richer and for people to get more frustrated? What is the advantage for the FCC? This is an unnecessary infringement on Americans' Constitutional rights to be treaty fairly, equally, and to speak freely. This makes corporations more equal than people. Thank you for listening.
102. Christopher Estler, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
I support strong net neutrality and maintaining title II regulations.
103. tyler, Champlain, NY, 12919
Why are u doing this is just not right up should be ashamed at what your are trying to accomplish for big business

104. Bill Bateman, Galway, NY, 12074
I support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Any other action by the FCC in this regard is wrong and does not serve the interests of the people of this country. Furthermore, maintaining strong net neutrality will not cause ISPs to stop investing in infrastructure, as a quote from Verizon's CFO testifies to (2014 phone conversation reported by the media).
105. Colleen Law, Malone, NY, 12953
I support net neutrality, with strong oversight by Title 2 requirements.
106. thomas flynn, Johnstown, NY, 12095
I strongly support net neutrality and want the FCC to continue to support it and Title 2 classification for ISPs.
107. Richard Stevens, Hannawa Falls, NY, 13647
I strongly support Net Neutrality by maintaining Title II for ISPs. ISPs are, in many locales, near monopolies. Many consumers have little or no choice in selecting an ISP, though Internet service is rapidly becoming a requirement of daily life in America. ISPs should not be able to prioritize their own (or any other) content. They are a utility paid for by consumers to deliver the content we request, when we request it, and the best available speed.
108. Andrew Green, Chateaugay, NY, 12920
The deregulation of the neutrality of the internet disadvantages every person the FCC is duty-bound to protect. The FCC's obligation is to the citizens of the United States, and not to the corporations that seek to undermine fair and free access to information. Thank you.
109. Jacob O'Brien, Galway, NY, 12074
Keep ISPs under title 2 for net neutrality to keep the internet free and open! that is what makes the World Wide Web great, freedom for everyone. I strongly support title 2 enforcement and its continuation into the future.
110. Camille Nerney, Long Lke, NY, 12847
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Our very democracy depends on it.
111. Net Neutrality, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
I 100% support strong net neutrality supported by Title II oversight of ISP's
112. John Gabenski, Potsdam, NY, 13676
Please keep the internet free for all!!!!!!
113. Carol Lowe, Parishville, NY, 13672
America was founded on the principle of freedom; freedom of speech, thought,

and belief. Net-neutrality must not be compromised lest that freedom be forfeited.

114. Jake Hurtt, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
I'm a strong supporter of net neutrality, and this bill will threaten my UN-supported right to a TRULY free and open internet. I will oppose any and all policies like this tooth and nail so long as I'm able to.
115. Delaney Ball, Potsdam, NY, 13676
Net Neutrality is so important for innovation in the 21st century. There are so many internet giants and without net neutrality, it could be near impossible for new players to enter the game. I suggest that no action should be taken; ISPs should continue to be regulated under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
116. dj rolings, Clayton, NY, 13624
Leave title 2, and net neutrality alone, it is extremely important...
117. Charles Morrison, ballston spa, NY, 12020
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Please Please Please.
118. Joshua Beauregard, Saratoga, NY, 12866
Just like 3 years ago, I have the same opinion: I am in strong favor of net neutrality and Title II and would be devastated should it ever change. I sincerely hope that you see how strongly people feel about this.
119. James Gray, Sackets harbor, NY, 13685
I specifically support strong net neutrality back by title two oversight of Internet service providers
120. Karen Bedard, plattsburgh, NY, 12901
I would prefer that FCC keeps net neutrality rules backed by Title II STRONG!
121. jody gray, Sackets Harbor, NY, 13685
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title Two oversight of Internet service providers.
122. Andrew Gotowala, Gouverneur, NY, 13642
I, as a young American soldier, voter, student, and internet user, strongly specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Don't mess it up!
123. Michael Raga-Barone, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
I writing to implore you to maintain the neutrality of the internet. ISPs must not

have the power to treat data differently. Billions and billions of dollars have been added to the GDP due to business development on the internet. Giving ISPs the ability to treat small businesses different will stifle innovation and will drive up the cost of services to consumers. LISTEN TO THE VOTERS, NOT THE LARGE CORPORATIONS!!

124. Kenneth Harcrow, Fort Drum, NY, 13602

Recently, I've heard Chairman Pai make the argument that since there is no evidence that internet service providers are attempting to violate net neutrality rules, they can reasonably be regulated under Title I rather than Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. You understand, of course, that the fact that they are not currently trying to violate net neutrality could have something to do with the fact that they're not allowed to under the FCC's current rules, right? I mean, isn't that the point of regulation--to prevent folks from taking action contrary to the public interest? If the EPA suggested loosening the Clean Air Act because most factories in the country aren't violating the law, you'd probably look askance at their proposal. Weakening current net neutrality rules on internet service providers only opens the door for them to begin violating the principles of net neutrality, regardless of how vocal internet service providers are in their defense of those principles. Don't get me wrong, if you don't care about protecting consumer choice on the internet, then have at it. Remove all the protections you want. I don't think that the current administration would support that, based on the president's stated position on the importance of competition in providing good services in other industries, notably healthcare. You have to remember, that the internet service providers are almost always going to operate regionally as near-monopolies thanks to the infrastructure required to effectively provide these services. The American consumer is always going to be at the mercy of companies like Comcast and AT&T. The only way to prevent these powerful companies from becoming artificial gatekeepers interfering in the competition of internet content providers is to maintain a high regulatory bar like the one that is in place currently. I've also heard FCC Chairman Pai argue that regulating a service invariably results in people getting less of that service. How, though, does that make any sense in this context? I understand that humans naturally want to arrive at broad, general principles that can be universally applied to all situations, but that principle is obviously at odds with the case of net neutrality rules. By requiring that internet service providers remain disinterested in what content their customers consume online, the rules specifically prevent service providers from denying or reducing content access to their customers. How could that leave me with less internet service? The oft-proposed answer is that by enforcing net neutrality rules, the FCC has discouraged investment in infrastructure by internet service providers. I honestly don't know if the amount of money ISPs have invested in infrastructure has dropped since their reclassification to Title II, but I do know that if it has, you'd better do a whole lot of investigating before you blame net neutrality. If

your argument is that internet service providers have reduced investment in infrastructure because the FCC attempted to impose rules that you claim the internet service providers were willingly following before you imposed those rules, then your argument is specious. If those service providers were already abiding by net neutrality principles, and intended to continue doing so, then codifying those principles into enforceable rules should not have impacted their infrastructure investment. Have you considered other potential reasons that investment in infrastructure could have slowed? For instance, maybe the fact that it's in the very nature of infrastructure that once it's in place, the cost outlays are very much reduced? If those providers had already laid cable throughout the region, they probably didn't need to lay as much cable going forward. As regulators, I'd expect you to understand the importance of distinguishing between correlation and causation. Then again, maybe all you want is to give entrenched regional monopolies that provide internet service to consumers the opportunity to violate the principles of net neutrality to scrape out a little extra profit at the expense of small start-ups that produce internet content. Either way, please stop making such poor arguments in favor of your proposals. It's embarrassing to me, as an American, when my government can't explain its positions.

125. William Jerard Wildy, Gansevoort, NY, 12831

To the FCC and to the current Chairman Ajit Varadaraj Pai, I William Jerard Wildy, citizen of the United States fully and explicitly support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Mr. Pai's comments on the need to remove regulation to such a degree and the idea Pai himself presented of ISPs policing themselves is laughable and ample evidence that he has no knowledge of the history of capitalism in this country. Time and time again, even when it has been harmful to American citizens, corporations have proven conclusively that when no law or regulation hinders them they will choose profit over the well being of this country. And that someone in such an important position is advocating endangering a vital medium of expressing and upholding the First Amendment is a danger and an insult that cannot be allowed to stand. In closing I William Jerard Wildy again completely support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

126. Matthew King, Johnstown, NY, 12095

As an American, I expect the FCC to preserve my right to communicate freely online; however, it seems that even though my fellow citizens had expressed their overwhelming desire to support Net Neutrality three years ago, Chairman Pai is confusingly pushing policy that takes our country in the complete opposite direction. The policies being proposed could have a profoundly negative impact on the Internet as it currently operates. In my opinion, Internet Service Providers should treat all data equally. We do not need to create artificial tiers of service for information as it flows networks worldwide. Such

actions would essentially pick winners and losers, potentially ruining businesses of all levels... from start-ups to growing firms to large corporations. It seems like the system as it stands already serves as a great market-based equalizer, allowing for robust competition that leads to success based on merit. Additionally, ISPs should not be able to block content or throttle bandwidth when unnecessary to do so from a technical perspective... however it seems that would potentially take place at a greater rate under the proposed rules. As my family holds on to "grandfathered" unlimited mobile data plans, we are constantly dismayed by the offers of new "unlimited" service plans (which somehow has limits on bandwidth). It isn't really "unlimited" now, is it? On another front, ISPs already charge my family a monthly fee for home Internet service. In recent years, they have also charged Netflix (a service we subscribe to rather than a TV package from a cable/satellite/Internet provider) via "interconnection fees" to ensure that bandwidth is available to watch content. It is frustrating to me that they seemingly refuse to take advantage of an option like Netflix Open Connect (<https://openconnect.netflix.com/en/>) to alleviate the bandwidth demands yet decide to charge Netflix directly to ensure content is available. Doesn't it seem strange that the most efficient option is ignored by the ISP (plug in an Open Connect appliance, reduce demands on bandwidth upstream) while it opts to get paid twice for the same data as it passes through the middle man? The "pipes" of the Internet should be "dumb," remaining agnostic to the data moving throughout the network. Given the issues happening with ISPs even today, I am concerned how similar - but undoubtedly worse - scenarios that may play out in the near future should Chairman Pai's push to eradicate the tenets of Net Neutrality move forward.

127. Tyler Barse, Massena, NY, 13662
Preserve our Net Neutrality rules, and the title II regulations that enforce them.
128. Gregory T Shaw, Fort Edward, NY, 12828
I want net neutrality laws and ISPs to be classified under title 2.
129. A StPierre, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
Please do not deregulate the Internet. Regulation is needed so that everyone gets a fair chance to use the system. Deregulation would allow some businesses to control aspects of the net which could make it harder for the American people to access without undo cost. It's time the people who are in our government begin to do things not for political gain but for the betterment of the people who they are supposed to be representing.
130. Donald Burgoyne, Potsdam, NY, 13676
I support net neutrality and the strong oversight and enforcement mechanisms inherent in Title 2. Ajit Pai is ignoring the very obvious will of the people who pushed to establish them.

131. Katilee Turon, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs). The internet must remain equal and accessible for all, including education, small businesses, freelancers, startups, and many more who depend on its unfettered services for their livelihoods and self-betterment.
132. Richard Moore, Queensbury, NY, 12804
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. The internet needs to be open and equal to all. As someone who works in IT, I know that 'favoritism' in traffic occurs.
133. George DeChant, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983
I can't believe this needs to be said, but we MUST HAVE NET Neutrality. To make it clear: Keep net neutrality and smaller novelty mugs.
134. Brady, Potsdam, NY, 13676
Do not try to reclassify ISPs as Title I, no one thinks this will be helpful for people, it is clearly an attempt to help businesses control what is on the internet and will hurt those who can't afford paying ISPs significant sums of money. Please make decisions that help us, not hurt us, you are not fooling anyone.
135. Eric Rucker, Westport, NY, 12993
I am writing to convey my strong support of Net Neutrality and Title II, and to request that you build in robust protections against future tampering, from say "just as an example" corporate lackies who might have recently been Verizon attorneys. I am also writing in support of previous processes of filing comments, which were not transparently designed to discourage direct participation by citizens. The founders of our country are rolling in their graves, you shameless ghouls. I am writing in this tone in order to make this point more concrete: that the truly uncivil discourse is the manipulation of law and dissembling about the true purposes for the manipulation.
136. Dan McFarland, Potsdam, NY, 13676
Please do not eliminate net neutrality. Thank you.
137. Kathleen Kelly, Morristown, NY, 13664
please protect net neutrality under Title 2.
138. heather ellingsworth, glen park, NY, 13601
Regulation is necessary to keep companies honest. Please work for the people of the US and not just the companies.
139. Michael McLaughlin, Adams Center, NY, 13606
Do not remove the ISP's from title 2 classification. I specifically support strong net neutrality supported by Title 2.

140. Andrew carpenter, Milton, NY, 12020
I strongly support net neutrality and believe that we need to maintain structure under Title 2
141. Nicholas Alberti, Saratoga Springs, NY, 12866
I strongly support net neutrality as structured under title 2. All content should be offered at the same speed regardless of origin or which ISP is providing internet access. The internet should be handled as a utility where the "pipelines" are useable by any provider.
142. Betsy Devillier, Watertown, NY, 13601
I am urging the FCC to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.
143. Justin Janack, Madrid, NY, 13660
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers!
144. Jason Hibbard, Watertown, NY, 13601
Preserve net neutrality and protect TitleII.
145. David Wagner, Fort Ann, NY, 12827
I support the continue Net Neutrality. Do not remove it.
146. Elizabeth M Hood, Greenwich, NY, 12834
I strongly support classifying internet service providers under Title II of the Communications Act. Net neutrality is essential to a fair and open internet.
147. Internet Neutrality, Plattsburgh, NY, 12903
I absolutely and specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
148. Joshua Sacks, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
Please continue to support strong net neutrality and prevent ISPs from slowing down or preventing access to sites and services in their own interests. Thank you!
149. Tyler Russell, ballston spa, NY, 12020
I strongly support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am in very, very clear opposition to Docket 17-108. Eroding these protections would be the government directly supporting companies over the people they are suppose to serve and protect.
150. Jared Whalen, Elizabethtown, NY, 12932
Net Neutrality must be preserved to uphold the values that made the internet what it is today. Title 2 regulation of the internet is a necessity.

151. Joseph Haas, North Creek, NY, 12853
The internet should be protected under title 2 regulations and not title 1. It is important that the internet remain a public utility.
152. Dustin Underwood, Gloversville, NY, 12078
I really like the ability to use my internet to access any site without arbitrary bandwidth limitation based upon bribes or politics. So please keep Net Neutrality under title two regulation.
153. Neil Latour, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
I support net neutrality. Please don't get rid of this. Keep it fair.
154. Nathan Hunter, Potsdam, NY, 13676
Please support Title 2 and allow for a free and open internet!
155. John Capobianco, Ballston Lake, NY, 12020
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II! Do good for the people.
156. Mary Lois Clifford, Saranac, NY, 12981
I object to any change in the NET NEUTRALITY law as it pertains to ALL ISP's
157. Steven Gibbs, Fort Edward, NY, 12828
Dear FCC, Please do not hurt the consumer by rolling back the protections of net neutrality. I realize that members of the FCC and the current chair is a lobbyist and former attorney of the telecommunications industry. There have been multiple examples of broadband providers deliberately slowing content including Google and Netflix. Shame on you FCC chair for trying to sell out the American consumer. I strongly support Title II protections for the internet and net neutrality. Do the right thing for middle America, Sincerely, Steve Gibbs
158. Jeanne, Watertown, NY, 13601
I strongly support net neutrality through Title II only.
159. Mary McLaughlin, Saratoga Springs, NY, 12866
I support Net Neutrality and the use of Title II to govern this.
160. Noah Bates, Cambridge, NY, 12816
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I see no reason why these restrictions and regulations should be removed or changed by the FCC. Doing so will only allow for the creation of an internet that is strongly influenced by money and favoritism. So vote against this. No good will come out of removing Title II and not backing net neutrality.

161. Lynsey Urrico, Lake George, NY, 12845
Please preserve the title 2 of net neutrality bill.
162. None; zero; nil; nada, Watertown, NY, 13601
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II ... stop playing silly games with our rights to fit your narrow, pointless agenda. Thank you in advance.
163. Suzanne Charles, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983
Please please keep net neutrality backed up by enforcement of Title 2 oversight of ISPs. This works both ways and could affect the left and the right in a bad way.
164. Thomas Olmstead, Peru, NY, 12972
In summation, I want net neutrality! The net is a not a corporate domain to be used and abused by them.
165. Gebhart Family, Keeseville, NY, 12944
Net Neutrality should be maintained. I do not like the idea of Internet Service Providers regulating themselves. The temptation to throttle connections is too great and should not be in their hands.
166. Michael Kasza, Black River, NY, 13612
I am opposed to the chairman's plan to reverse net neutrality rules. I am concerned about Internet Service Providers having the ability to determine which traffic gets preference on their networks. This is especially a concern given the limited competition and in many cases monopolistic state of the broadband internet marketplace. I fear that these ISPs could use their new power to stifle competition from startup companies offering similar services to those that they themselves offer, or services that are offered by companies that are already established enough to pay ISPs for preference on their networks (e.g. a new video streaming company competing with an ISP's own streaming service or an already established service such as Netflix). ISPs could also control access to information by giving preference to content providers that support their agenda. My electric company does not charge me differently whether I am powering a television or a microwave - neither should an ISP be able to charge differently for a megabyte of data whether it comes from Amazon or Google. Treating all data the same will ensure that the marketplace of internet content remains vibrant and that the companies that succeed are truly the ones providing the best service to their customers. Nearly all Americans use the internet to participate in the economy and access information. To cede this level of power over this critical infrastructure to companies that have repeatedly proven to be faithless actors would be absurd.
167. adam kritzer, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
It is essential that you preserve net neutrality and title 2 oversight on ISPs, as

otherwise they will abuse the system, as they have proven to do in the past.
Please make the right decision. Thank you.

168. Laurie D. Bates, Cambridge, NY, 12816
I support Title II and net neutrality. Please vote against this.
169. Dwight Douglas, Gloversville, NY, 12078
PLEASE MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY. KEEP THE INTERNET FROM
FROM CORPORATE THIEVES.
170. Makenzie McAvinney, Lake George, NY, 12845
I Makenzie McAvinney specifcally support strong net neutrality backed by Title
2 oversight of ISPs, please keep an open internet, thank you
171. Bill Schneider, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983
I support existing net neutrality rules, please do not roll back title II provisions
governing internet freedom and equality.
172. marjan mohajer, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
It is imperative that you preserve net neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISPs.
Please make the right decision. Thank you.
173. Charter Communication Subscriber, Morrisonville, NY, 12962
I strongly support Net Neutrality that's why Title II oversight of ISPs must be
maintained
174. William Powell, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
I support a free and open internet through Title II net neutrality.
175. David Josephs, Potsdam, NY, 13676
Internet Neutrality is very important to keep. It keeps the internet as it is
supposed to be. A land of equal opportunity instead of a dictatorship that is
ruled by only a few.
176. Raymond Taylor, Massena, NY, 13662
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's!!!
177. Kristin Luther, Schuylerville, NY, 12871
Preserving Net Neutrality as a public utility rather than an exploitable
commodity is an essential function of the FCC. Please legally protect Net
Neutrality.
178. Brandon Gazzillo, Glens Falls, NY, 12801
It saddens me that net neutrality is under attack again, the right to a free and
open internet is extremely important to the growth and development of our

country. The fact that the chair of the FCC thinks that multi billion dollar corporations will not abuse the system is ridiculously naive. Keeping ISP's classified under Title 2 is something that cannot go away.

179. Nathan Hall, Watertown, NY, 13601
I urge the FCC to support strong net neutrality, including the continuation of Class II classification for major ISPs. The Internet has become an integral part of living and thriving in today's world and we cannot allow corporate greed to throttle that right based on the wishes of hugely monopolistic service providers.
180. Lauren Wickizer, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
Please keep strong Title II Net Neutrality Laws in effect.
181. shahla miri, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
It is imperative that you preserve net neutrality and title II oversight of ISPs.
182. Francesco Damico, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
I support strong net neutrality laws backed by title two oversight of ISPs!
183. Linda Kazel, Brantingham, NY, 13312
Please preserve all rules and policies enacted by the FCC during the Obama Administration that preserve internet neutrality.
184. Michael Panich, Saratoga Springs, NY, 12801
You NEED to protect net neutrality. You NEED to make it as strong as possible. To do anything other than protect and strengthen it would be grossly unethical. Those who are attempting to dismantle it are at best uninformed and ignorant; at worst, they are evil, power hungry monsters who should be tried for human rights violations. Their progress must be halted: the freedom of information to the goodly people of the world must be protected, and the only way to ensure that is to protect net neutrality.
185. Alicia Seager, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
All Americans should have the right to a equal and open internet.
186. Heather Bradley, Lake Luzerne, NY, 12846
Preserve net neutrality and leave regulations under Title II.
187. EJ Rodriguez, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
Allowing companies to voluntarily monitor themselves is foolish. Government regulation exists to protect us, the consumers. Companies controlling the internet run on profit, at any cost ... AT ANY COST. Propaganda otherwise is simply to waylay us into a sense of security until the time is right for profits to run policy. Please protect net neutrality. Do not let rampant capitalism ruin our society more than it has.

188. Samuel A Rosner, Melinda A Rosner, Leah Rosner, Ari Rosner, Carthage, NY, 13619
I am opposed to changing the current regulation of net neutrality. I support Title II oversight of ISPs and am opposed to proceeding 17-108.
189. MaryAnne Cox, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
I support strong net neutrality; do not tamper with it. Title II net neutrality and oversight of ISP's must be kept. I would like to see the internet classified as a public utility to make sure everyone has equal access regardless of income. I know too many people who don't have internet access because they cannot afford it or because they live in a rural area without broadband.
190. Nicoletta Versaggi, Galway, NY, 12074
Net neutrality must be maintained. Otherwise we'll have to show up at your office or send you post cards. Ultimately it would increase the black market of copyrighted goods and lose money for large companies.
191. Rita Leale, Peru, NY, 12972
I strongly support Internet neutrality. Keep the current Title 2 oversight. DO NOT CHANGE THE CURRENT OVERSIGHT.
192. Bryan Spence, Fort Johnson, NY, 12070
I oppose 17-108! I support Title II oversight of ISPs and STRONG Net Neutrality.
193. Mike Bruce, Wilmington, NY, 12997
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The American people will stand for a free and neutral internet.
194. Joe Portes, Queensbury, NY, 12804
I strongly support net neutrality. It is very important for the internet to remain fair and equal. A loss of net neutrality could eventually become catastrophic. Please keep internet freedom!
195. Robert O'Connor, Brantingham, NY, 13312
To Ajit Pai, I hope you hear me LOUD AND CLEAR, I specifically support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by TITLE 2 OVERSIGHT of ISPs!!!
196. Eli M. Dow, Wappingers Falls, NY, 12950
As a practicing computer scientist and informed citizen, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
197. Kris Coste, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight on ISPs.

198. Katherine Mongulla, Au Sable Forks, NY, 12912
Keep net neutrality in place and title 2.
199. Jessica Rogers, Canton, NY, 13617
Please keep the net open and free. Maintain net neutrality.
200. David Hockey, Caroga Lake, NY, 12032
I am a strong proponent of an open internet and net neutrality that can only assured via Title 2
201. Joseph Z. Kovacs, Queensbury, NY, 12804
I want to support net neutrality and keeping title II in tact
202. Robert, Pottersville, NY, 12860
I support strong Net neutrality under title 2 and strongly suggest it remains under title 2
203. Tristen Barton, Queensbury, NY, 12804
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I have been studying networking and know that net neutrality is what the internet needs. The internet thrives because of net neutrality. Do not get ride of net neutrality.
204. JP Daigneault, Lake Placid, NY, 12946
Keep ISP's regulated under TITLE 2
205. Gieorag Andrews, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
I support regulation of net neutrality and maintaining Title II. History has shown that without oversight, businesses will make decisions in their best interest at the expense of customers.
206. G. Desmangles, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
Maintain net neutrality and enforce title 2. ISP should never have any input in content.
207. Keep Title II, West Chazy, NY, 12992
A strong net neutrality policy, backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers, is the only way to protect the freedoms of speech and press belonging to users of the internet from those that wish to do the founding ideas of the United States of America harm. It doesn't matter whether a few people claim it harms businesses or not, it has been shown that the current rules and regulations don't do harm to users or the economy, so don't go making kerfuffle over something harmless to those it involves! Protect the current regulations and millions will be happy, gut them or change them and millions shall curse the name of the Agency and the names of the people involved!

208. Title II, Galway, NY, 12074
ISPs are leeches. They don't care about the people that depend on them. They can and will take any advantage to make more for less because that's how capitalism works and they are capitalists. The only way to prevent this is to regulate them. Per the lawsuit that Verizon won years ago, the only way to effectively regulate them is to maintain their classification as Title II. Preserve the internet. Do not allow the ISPs to run rampant, which they will. Do not reduce their classification to Title I. Do not kill Net Neutrality in the name of helping people. Reducing ISPs to Title I would not help anyone but ISPs and the rich jerks at the top.
209. David Von Bargen, Watertown, NY, 13601
Internet service should stay under title two. True neutrality is important - ISPs have show that they cannot regulate themselves in the interest of the American people.
210. Erik Robinson, Lisbon, NY, 13658
I strongly support net neutrality. Removing ISP's from Title II regulation would kill this essential even playing field that makes the web what it is today.
211. Charles Filipski, Keene Valley, NY, 12943
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and I expect the FCC to do the same!
212. Nicole Unser, Glens Falls, NY, 12801
Please restore internet freedom for our citizens.
213. Suzanne O'Reilly, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983
Protect net neutrality! We deserve open access to information. Communications providers should not be allowed to throttle content for their own profit.
214. Hope Caruso-Adler, Queensbury, NY, 12804
Keep ISP in Title 2
215. Daniel Patrick Cayea-NY, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
Preserve Title 2 Net Neutrality rules.
216. Gifford, Northville, NY, 12134
I DO NOT want you to curb Net Neutrality regulations whatsoever! It protects consumers! Why would you, Mr. Pai want to harm consumers?! I will guarantee that you are replaced as FCC chair if you curb these regulations! You will be replaced when Trump is VOTED out of office in four years you corporate shill!
217. Lisa, Redwood, NY, 13679
Keep Net Neutrality.....stop catering to this bullshit big business and watch out

for the american people. Do your job. Preserve title 2

218. O, watertown, NY, 13603
please don't take away net neutrality.
219. Justin Haverlick, Keene Valley, NY, 12943
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. They are both important in keeping the internet a fair and competitive environment.
220. Adrian Pilliod, Norwood, NY, 13668
I as an American citizen strongly urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality rules backed by Titles II
221. James Barringer, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
By getting rid of net neutrality you would be giving companies way too much power and taking away our right to choose which services we would like to use. The free market is being killed and those who are the best suited to our needs may not be able to succeed because of excessive pressure from internet service providers. Please consider keeping net neutrality as it is the only way for us as Americans to keep our right to choose. The internet has become such a necessity in the world we live in today and by taking away the right for us to choose which websites we would like to use you are handcuffing us in the technological world we live in.
222. Matt Schyljuk, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
Keep the Internet free competitive and neutral
223. Emily Tironi, Cambridge, NY, 12816
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. Protect consumers right to equal access to all content on the Internet, not big companies.
224. Vihan A.w, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
I would urge the FCC to keep the Title 2 regulation and maintain Net Neutrality!
225. Matthew Dalo, ballston spa, NY, 12020
I support title 2 and net neutrality and believe it should be upheld
226. Andrew Bugenis, Queensbury, NY, 12804
Please keep Internet Service Providers regulated under Title II so that they cannot give preferential treatment to some content over others. It has worked for electricity and phones, and should stay applied to the internet as well.
227. Caitlin Houppert, Carthage, NY, 13619
Yo, stop trying to ruin Net Neutrality. Pai, dude, you don't have an MBA in

business. You were a corporate lawyer for Verizon. If you really want a free market, YOU HAVE TO HAVE A FREE AND OPEN INTERNET. Innovation only comes with free expression, and equitable speeds. If I would rather watch a show on Netflix that TWC has some beef with for some reason, I have every god-given right to watch that show, because I HAVE PAID FOR THESE SERVICES. Throttling data has been a practice for cell companies, like Verizon and AT&T, for years. How does throttling internet pipelines protect consumers???. If I pay for a service, I demand to have that service to a certain standard, as agreed upon by consumer and merchant. If I want to start an internet-based business to feed my nine nonexistent kids, and I have to pay more for space in the pipeline, how am I to grow my business? Don't be tools. Destroying open internet doesn't make the economy stronger - it just keeps you in Verizon's pocket. -a person actually in business school

228. Richard Hoffman, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
I fully support net neutrality as regulated under Title 2. Please do not de-regulate enforcement of net neutrality regulations.
229. Glenn J Tison, Corinth, NY, 12822
This attack on net neutrality is an attack on freedom of speech.
230. James Whaley, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
I am strongly in favor of keeping ISPs under the Title II classification. With AT&T, Comcast, and other companies moving further into generating their own content, there is reasonable cause to believe that they would have incentive to prioritize speeding up delivery of their own content over the internet to their consumers while slowing down their competitors' content. Further, some ISPs, such as Comcast, have increased investment in infrastructure while under Title II, showing they are able to sustain themselves and that the 2015 reclassification is not hurting their business. It is in the best interests of the American people to keep them under Title II. Thank you.
231. Corey Picaro, MALONE, NY, 12953
Keep the Obama era net neutrality rules and the free and open internet.
232. CHRISTOPHER O REYOME RN, BOMBAY, NY, 12914
Preserve Title 2 and Net Neutrality for all .
233. Jacob Vennie-Vollrath, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983
A free and open internet is necessary for free speech and the preservation of the first amendment. Giving corporations the ability to throttle sites and services is not just wrong it infringes on our first amendment rights.
234. Igor Drown, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
I am against the dismantling of Net Neutrality. Please stop accepting bribes.

235. Kathleen Istre, Queensbury, NY, 12804
Do not change from title II regulations to Title I for ISP. The service provider should not be able to alter speed or otherwise prioritize content based on who pays them, on personal profit, or based on political viewpoints.
236. Sean, watertown, NY, 13601
Net neutrality should be preserved under title 2.
237. Joe Versaggi, Galway, NY, 12074
Please do not roll back the net neutrality actions of the last administration : allow ISPs to be regulated under title 2.
238. Charles Walker, Cambridge, NY, 12816
Keep net neutrality. Equality of access is important for spreading information and ensuring that smaller information sources can compete with larger, in business as well as in non-commercial sources.
239. Thomas Howe, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
Good morning/afternoon/evening, Ajit Pai. I share in your beliefs that having a system where we can simply trust internet providers to not be bias towards themselves or their sponsors, in terms of internet speed, would be ideal. Unfortunately, that's not the case, and it hasn't been the case ever. As is human nature, people will do what they can get away with; the past has seen many instances of corrupt internet providers. Only under the watchful eye of regulations can fair internet use for all mediums be supported.
240. Daniel Salazar, Gouverneur, NY, 13642
I strongly support net neutrality policies. In today's day and age, the internet has become as vital as services currently categorized as utilities. Please classify the internet as a utility, which is what it has become with the evolution of technology in today's day and age.
241. George Douglas Casey, Greenwich, NY, 12834
I oppose the repeal the Net Neutrality rules. If this takes place our open Internet will be compromised, ISPs will be free to block content, control the pathways to information and create pay-to-play schemes. All of this will cost me more money for internet access as well as reduce my rights to a open Internet.
242. Len Lambert, Galway, NY, 12074
please keep net neutrality a is ... leave the ISP's in title 2
243. Michael R. Stern, Esq., Fort Edward, NY, 12804
Keep Title II the way it is. Regulate ISPs to provide equal service to all. Do not ignore basic economics and monopolistic drives. Power tends to corrupt...

244. Thomas Boland, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
I am in favor of strong net neutrality and keeping Title II. Large ISP's will not voluntarily comply with neutrality and eliminating Title II will ultimately stifle innovation.
245. Stephen Hayes, Argyle, NY, 12809
I strongly support preserving Net Neutrality and Title 2 regulations
246. Konrad M Lawson, Sackets Harbor, NY, 13685
Net neutrality, sustained by firm regulation of Title II under the FCC is critical for the future of the internet. Any loosening of the regulatory oversight over this would be damaging to the integrity of the net.
247. Robin Lock, Hannawa Falls, NY, 13647
Keep the net neutrality rules strong. Don't cave in to requests from providers to relax rules and allow them to make more money at the expense of all citizens and entities that use the web.
248. RYAN LOPEZ, REDWOOD, NY, 13679
We support Net Neutrality! Keep the internet as open and unrestricted as possible to promote equal ability for people to exploit the benefits of an open and capable source.
249. Aimee Ay, Canton, NY, 13617
I support complete Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of all Internet Service Providers. Thank You.
250. Barbara Wilson, Warrensburg, NY, 12885
Our net neutrality rules must NOT be tampered with. As is they favor all us little guys rather than the likes of Verizon, AT&T and Comcast and it ought to stay that way.
251. Christopher Watts, Canton, NY, 13617
The FCC must continue to support net neutrality regulation under Title 2. I imagine that many who work at the FCC are embarrassed by the disingenuous comments of the Director. He used to work for Verizon, but he works for the American people now, and we would like to see our interests represented. This regulatory power is necessary for our interests. I'd also comment on what seems to be a deliberate attempt to make the FCC website more difficult to use. Disheartening.
252. Nicole Cameron, Corinth, NY, 12822
Net Neutrality is a must to keep the internet open and fair. Removing protections and placing the responsibility in the hands of ISPs will only ensure that ISPs do whatever is in their best interest - not the consumers. No content

provider should get preferential treatment and higher speeds than another, no ISP should be able to push their consumers toward specific content. Reclassify under Title 2 and uphold strong Net Neutrality protections. Leaving it in the hands of the ISPs will only lead to disaster.

253. Jeremiah Bailey, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
I believe ISPs should remain strongly regulated under article 2. Hope is not a strategy as the director indicates regarding his belief that despite deregulation ISPs will not favor certain websites.
254. James Citron, Saratoga Springs, NY, 12866
THE INTERNET WAS FOUNDED ON THE IDEA OF NET NEUTRALITY, KIND OF LIKE THE U.S + ITS CONSTITUTION. NET NEUTRALITY MUST BE MAINTAINED AT ALL COSTS, OTHERWISE THE "NET" BECOMES A WARPED TOOL, FOR POWER-MONGERING + ECONOMIC WARFARE BY THE RULING OLIGARCHY + THE 1%, ON THE VAST MAJORITY OF THE POPULATION, INCLUDING THE WORKING CLASS + ECONOMICALLY DEPRIVED.
255. Lydia Kelley, BALLSTON SPA, NY, 12020
I support net neutrality, backed with strong Title 2 oversight and enforcement policies.
256. piscitelli, Northville, NY, 12134
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by title II.
257. Brandon Rule, Watertown, NY, 13601
I would like to see the FCC continue to do it's job and treat the access to the internet as a utility, with strong net neutrality backed up by Title II.
258. Lindsey Oakes, Watertown, NY, 13601
I support strong Net Neutrality backed up by Title II
259. David Fecketter, potsdam, NY, 13676
Maintain the current status of telecoms in title 2 and fully support net neutrality for all internet traffic. Equal access for all users and data are important for the free growth of the internet and e commerce.
260. Michael Anderson, Wilton, NY, 12831
I am a Republican. I have been a Republican since I could first vote. I am, in general, against intrusive government oversight. HOWEVER, in this case, I feel very strongly that the internet should remain under Title II. The ISP's cannot be trusted to have the public's best interest at heart, nor can they be trusted to maintain a free and open internet via self-regulation. Further, the FCC chairman cannot be trusted to have the public's best interest at heart and I feel he is still in

the pocket of his former company (one of the worst offenders in the open internet issue). His arguments in favor of reclassification are full of holes and demonstrate a corrupt or at least obtuse viewpoint regarding the issue.

261. Justus Raymond Stewart, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983
Keep Net Neutrality please. Yes Jon Oliver sent us. But instead of complaining about that, just keep Net Neutrality. And then maybe this won't happen again. Best wishes. XOXO- Justus â™;
262. James Anilowski, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
Net Neutrality is extremely important to maintain. It is VERY important that ISP's such as Comcast, Verizon and others remain classified as Title II. I feel that Chairman Ajit Pai has a significant bias, being a former attorney for Verizon, and that changing the Net Neutrality rules are not in the best interest of the people, but rather in the best interest of the Service Providers. This action to abolish Net Neutrality is yet another example of government coercion by the wealthy, putting the needs of billion dollar corporations ahead of the average citizen. Truly sad.
263. Douglas Hamilton, Massena, NY, 13662
I do not support this Act. The internet has become woven into the fabric of our society. Any efforts to allow corporations to become the gate keepers is unacceptable. Companies seek to increase profit and revenue. It is certain that they will use this act to line their pockets, at the expense of the American people. If the current GOP is seeking to roll back regulations that pose undue burdens on businesses, this is directly contrary to those efforts and it is clear the sole purpose of this action is to provide financial benefits to large businesses. There is no other benefit to this act. I am fully opposed.
264. Erin Eggleston, Canton, NY, 13617
Dear Chairman Pai, I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Best, Dr Eggleston
265. alicia sikora, Broadalbin, NY, 12025
Please protect net neutrality and do not reclassify the law under Title 1.
266. Rob Brothers, Massena, NY, 13662
Net neutrality should be continued! Please don't let large, rich, monopolistic companies control what I, nor anyone else, view on the Internet.
267. Curtiss Austin, Chestertown, NY, 12817
Please keep ISP's under Title 2. My ISP is a local monopoly. Its management has a fiduciary duty to maximize profits, so they must be regulated so they do not take undue advantage. It's as simple as that. You know this is true, and everybody knows why you might rule otherwise; that's a lot of karma.

268. Karen Lago, Clayton, NY, 13624
Keep net neutrality! Do not allow the Internet to be controlled by corporations the way Congress has given itself over to moneyed interests and left the American people out to dry.
269. Nathanael Green, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
Please preserve net neutrality! Not doing so would be akin to allowing the Postal Service to start offering products and then decide whether they want to deliver Amazon boxes more slowly.
270. Andy Girard, Glens Falls, NY, 12801
We need strong net neutrality rules and regulations to keep the internet fair and accessible to all.
271. Evan Richardson, Philadelphia, NY, 13673
We support strong net neutrality backed by oversight of Title II of ISPs.
272. Rick Johnson, Norwood, NY, 13668
I support net neutrality and oppose giving carriers the license to regulate bandwidth consumption based on content proprietary apps or program usage. I oppose 17-108. Thank you.
273. Robert Philp, Schroon, NY, 12870
The internet must remain neutral. If ISPs are given the option of throttling content to their own benefit, they eventually will. This hurts competition, and the consumer. Until recently, this has been the status quo, so keeping it that way does not impart any additional burden to the ISP.
274. Jeff Vallee, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
I support Title II of Federal Communications Commission that requires that internet service providers treat every website and online service the same way, I'm against plans to loosen these restrictions.
275. Gwen Cunningham, Colton, NY, 13625
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not reverse the progress we've made and give corporations more rights than we the people.
276. Stephanie Petkovsek, Canton, NY, 13617
I am asking the FCC to preserve net neutrality under Title 2. It is important to not allow internet providers to decide which websites to support, but rather have open access for everyone.
277. Ben Hawley, Sackets Harbor, NY, 13685
Please look out for the best interests of individual citizens rather than the

interests of corporations by continuing and bolstering practices that maintain net neutrality. Eliminating net neutrality will only tip the scales of power through information and access towards those who already have power through money. Eliminating net neutrality will make it harder for startup companies and individuals with good ideas but little cash. It will stymie progress, education and creativity. Education, which is already in a sad state of affairs in our country, could be even more of a disaster if lower income schools are penalized because they cannot afford higher costs for higher speeds that their more affluent neighbors may be provided with. This is just one scenario of many where the outcome will be tragic. Because everything is run through an internet connection, giving corporations more power over how it is dispersed to individuals and businesses is akin to regulating how much air they can breathe. If you allow large corporations to throttle the information you are allowing them to choke small businesses and education of the masses to the benefit of no one but those corporations.

278. Katherine Neuner, Glens Falls, NY, 12801

I am writing to urge you to PROTECT net neutrality and Title II. Thank you, Katherine Neuner

279. Mr. Robin J. Curtis, Chaumont, NY, 13622

For once in your lives, do something the people of this great country want, and not what YOU want. Leave the internet alone.

280. Cyler Tucker, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901

Preserve Net Neutrality. You are completely undermining fair business practices and destroying the internet as we know it today. I will never vote for anyone who does not support net neutrality.

281. Frank White, Willsboro, NY, 12996

Net Neutrality was the purpose of our internet when it was introduced. Pleased do the right thing and keep the playing field level. Don't let yet another piece slide into corporate control pay to play. Let people have access to the wealth of information out there. People First- not corporations.

282. Eli Bashant, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020

Dear FCC, Please preserve Net Neutrality and keep ISP's under Title II. The nation needs net neutrality to aid small business and to afford freedom to the citizens of this nation. Sincerely, Eli Bashant

283. Cassandra Weed, Watertown, NY, 13601

This will not benefit the consumer. It will cripple small businesses like my father's, whose main focus is streaming local events to the web. Please don't let this happen. Should we lose these protections, the damage will be irreparable. Thank you.

284. Adrian Fordrungen, Queensbury, NY, 12804
Please keep intact Net Neutrality and Title II. Signed, Concerned Citizen
285. Timothy Hale, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
Please maintain strict net neutrality in the United States.
286. Rosier Cade, Galway, NY, 12074
Please preserve net neutrality! It's incredibly important to myself, my children, and the communities I love.
287. Andre Soares, Watertown, NY, 13601
Dismantling title 2 and allowing ISPs to self regulate seems as smart as letting a 5 year old diabetic child to regulate their sugar intake on the 1st of November. Please don't allow this.
288. Brian Walsh, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Destroying net neutrality is destroying freedom and an affront to the values the United States is founded upon.
289. Martha Morrissey, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
I support the preservation of strong net neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
290. carlton gamble, ballston spa, NY, 12020
please do not allow the VERIZON or any other ISP to break you and make you change the laws to allow them (the ISPs) total control. These businesses lie and will eventually hurt the poor and help the rich via better content sly marketing schemes to take more money from the poor. I believe in equal access to all.
291. Devon Slating, Watertown, NY, 13601
My understanding is that Chairman Ajit Pai wishes to remove Net Neutrality regulations under the assertion that the regulations are unnecessary and harm freedom. Nothing could be further from the truth. In much of America, there is only one available option for broadband internet. Without the net neutrality regulations, these citizens would be left with no recourse, and no protection from abusive practices, CEO's of major ISP companies have stated their desire to charge companies like Netflix, or startup's that wish to compete with them, extra money for "fast lanes." Here is where the problem's arise: ISPs currently do not exist in a free market, they are very regional. However, companies like Netflix, Google, Amazon, and the small business owners competing with them, do. Without Net Neutrality, ISPs will have free reign to pick winners and losers in these markets. Access to them requires internet access, and internet access is controlled by the ISPs. Comcast, or any other ISP, would be able to demand that a small business pay exorbitant amounts of money or be throttled to the

point that customers will just go elsewhere. Net Neutrality is also essential now that Congress has used the CRA to prevent the FCC from implementing it's privacy rules. The only recourse consumers have, since they cannot chose another ISP, is to utilize a VPN service or TOR. Currently, Net Neutrality regulations prevent ISPs from throttling VPNs and TOR to the point of being Unusable, which would force customers to let ISPs mine their data against their consent. In short, Net Neutrality is essential to protecting the rights of the consumer, protecting the free market and preventing corporate abuse of power.

292. Riyad Zienni, Massena, NY, 13662
Companies should not be allowed to "self regulate". It doesn't work on the long run. Please preserve NET NEUTRALITY and TITLE II.
293. Christopher Andrew Saunders, Queensbury, NY, 12804
I support strong net neutrality, and sincerely believe that quite a bit of damage could be caused by trusting ISPs to police themselves. Keep the full internet available to all!
294. Jamie Nicole Albucher, Glen Falls, NY, 12801
I'm in favor of strong net neutrality under article 2. Do not trust ISPs to do it on their own. Please keep net neutrality under article 2
295. Beejay Landry, Canton, NY, 13617
I would like to file a complaint against FCC chairperson Ajit Pai. Mr. Pai doesn't seem to understand that in the 21st century, everyone needs access to the internet, and it is unacceptable to allow internet companies to selectively slow or deny access to websites of their choosing. The internet is a public good (by the way, it was developed by the government), and net neutrality must be protected. Mr. Pai should be following the mandate of the FCC and regulating the corporations that facilitate internet access, rather than seeking to use his office to manufacture a new, lucrative market for those corporations at the expense of consumers. The move Mr. Pai contemplates in scrapping net neutrality would result in a sort of corporate welfare for ISPs, which is certainly an abuse of his role at the FCC. Protect net neutrality.
296. Julia Fulton, Colton, NY, 13625
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY!! KEEP THE INTERNET FREE AND EQUAL SO WE ARE ALL ON THE SAME PLAYING FIELD.
297. Jared Best, Hague, NY, 12836
I am in favor of strong net neutrality supported by Title II oversight of ISP's.
298. Sheila McIntyre, Potsdam, NY, 13676
Please defend Net Neutrality - keep Title II... I will never vote for another Republican if you allow cable companies to control the web...

299. Brian Walsh, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
I support strong net neutrality rules, particularly title 2 governing ISPs. Removing net neutrality is a reduction of our freedoms and liberties by placing control of our access to information into the hands of billion dollar corporations. Eliminating net neutrality flies in the face of what the United States stands for.
300. Glenwood Rowse, Greenwich, NY, 12834
Net Neutrality must be maintained. We need government regulation in this area to protect consumers and equal opportunity for small businesses with the consumer controlling which business receive internet traffic.
301. Sarah Gabriel, Schaghticoke, NY, 12154
Net Neutrality is essential for equitable Internet access for all citizens. Do not repeal Net Neutrality.
302. Marcus Tuttle, Gouverneur, NY, 13642
I am deeply trouble by the FCC's proposal to remove Title II classification to ISPs. Net Neutrality is the cornerstone of the modern internet, and removing the restrictions that prevent ISPs from treating all data equally would be a tragedy that could impact the internet negatively forever. I cannot argue any more strongly for continuing to classify ISPs under Title II, and view any attempts to reverse this decision as profoundly and obviously anti-consumer. As someone who has grown up and lived in a rural area - one of the primary targets FCC Chairman Ajit Pai has mentioned in his arguments against title II classification, there is a distinct lack of competition from ISPs, and there is no reputable evidence to show that prices or services could be slowed and/or stopped should title II classification be dropped. I strongly desire that ISPs remain under Title II classification. The FCC should be ashamed of itself for even considering this decision that so clearly helps the agenda of telecommunications companies and has no apparent benefit for working class Americans.
303. Nate Hartley, Hadley, NY, 12835
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs, and strongly encourage the FCC to continue it. As a small, independent online content creator, I rely on consumers having a free and open internet in order to access all places where my content, and the content of others, is accessible. Removing net neutrality would have a strong impact on not only my career, but the entirety of the US economy, jeopardizing millions of jobs, and therefore burdening social services programs like unemployment, welfare, and Medicaid. Thank you for your time.
304. Julie Stowell, Glen's Falls, NY, 12801
I support net neutrality backed by strong Title II oversight of ISPs.

305. Michael Moore, Watertown, NY, 13601
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isp's!!! :D
306. Nicholas Paciorek, Watertown, NY, 13601
Net Neutrality is essential in our modern living. Only those interested in their own wallets want to tear it away and create further monopolies for themselves, and those willing to pay to win. Tearing it down is a big F@#\$ You to everyone else, including little veteran artists like me. Preserve Net Neutrality. Period, end of story.
307. Keira Thurheimer, Adams Center, NY, 13606
Net neutrality and the classification of ISP's under Title II need to be protected. I support strong FCC protection for net neutrality and Title II regulation of ISP's.
308. Benjamin Hatch, Cadyville, NY, 12918
As a citizen of the United States, I believe that the Internet should remain an open and free place. This is especially true in the case of Internet Service Providers, who should not be allowed to try to control what content is accessed by a customer of their service. Should a phone company be allowed to block your calls or texts because they don't want you talking to competitors? What if the same system was used in libraries? "Those books are only for Shiny Golden Super Star [TM] users, sorry!" There should be no question on net neutrality. If America is for Freedom of Speech, America should be for Net Neutrality.
309. David Masaryk, Gloversville, NY, 12078
Protect net neutrality.....Tier 2 regs maintained.
310. Antwan, Watertown, NY, 13601
This is a terrible idea, you're killing internet freedom, or if you'd prefer "Rebranding" it. Internet equality and internet neutrality helps this great invention of humanity free and open.
311. Najma, Lake Placid, NY, 12946
Please protect the Internet and the people's freedom to use it. Please keep it neutral.
312. Michelle Ouellette, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
Strong net neutrality laws protect the public. Please keep them in place.
313. Phil Salvino, Galway, NY, 12074
I believe in net neutrality. It's the only fair way to handle the internet. I would hate to see any ISP have an upper hand in providing contact.
314. Andrew Camp, Hudson falls, NY, 12839

Keep net neutrality and title two. Please and thank you

315. William H Schmeelcke, Greenfield Center, NY, 12833
Keep strong title 2 net neutrality laws!
316. Thanagi Turner, Greenfield Center, NY, 12833
I demand you keep strong title 2 net neutrality laws in place
317. Molly Constantine, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
The FCC has a duty to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II, because all Americans deserve equal access to online information.
318. net neutrality, Queensbury, NY, 12804
Protect net neutrality laws! Do not change the classification of ISPs back!
319. Erin Bryant, Lowville, NY, 13367
Net neutrality is important and must stay as title 2. We are watching.
320. Donica Ben, Lisbon, NY, 13658
The unprecedented regulatory power the Obama Administration imposed on the internet is actually fostering innovation, helping the American economy and ensuring small start up companies can compete with the large corporations that are financing this proposed change. I urge the Federal Communications Commission to stop threatening the open internet (known as Title II) and ensures that the regulations that keep it free and open remain in place. The plan currently under consideration at the FCC to repeal Title II is an obvious corporate power grab and is positively a giant step backwards in regards to promoting a truly free and open internet for everyone.
321. Heather VanDenburgh, Stratford, NY, 13470
I fully support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. You cannot trust ISP's to do the right thing, as they have shown in the past to abuse the system. By keeping in place Title II oversight, we are ensuring the problem never occurs. This is something we can prevent! **KEEP TITLE II OVERSIGHT AND PROTECT OUR NET NEUTRALITY!**
322. Dominic Uliano, Clayton, NY, 13624
Hello, I fully support net neutrality and would ask that FCC continue to classify ISP's (Internet Service Providers) under Title 2. Net neutrality is the very foundation of a free and open internet. We need Net Neutrality to continue as it is today to ensure that we (as citizens) are not deprived of our choice of services in the marketplace.
323. Liz Vandenburg, GALWAY, NY, 12074
Please ensure strong net neutrality rules remain in effect.

324. Paul Martin, Saratoga springs, NY, 12866
Net neutrality effects us all we all deserve the same speed and fair access
325. Gary Robinson, Lisbon, NY, 13658
.The FCC's Open Internet rules protect and maintain open, uninhibited access to legal online content without broadband Internet access providers being allowed to block, impair, or establish fast/slow lanes to lawful content. This is literally from your website. Why the hell would anyone want to do away with this except for possibly the ISP's themselves? We the people want the internet to remain free.
326. Timothy Vollmer, Potsdam, NY, 13676
Its incredibly important to maintain a free an open internet, where ISPs are not able to discriminate the traffic that consumers choose. I work at a small software company that has much larger, much better funded competitors, and we rely on the fact that our web traffic is treated the same as anyone else to compete.
327. E, gansevoort, NY, 12831
Preserve Title 2. Keep net neutrality!
328. E, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
Keep title 2 and maintain net neutrality!!
329. lindsay johnstone, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
Please preserver net neutrality! It is your job to protect us from thia disaster waiting to happen! Open and free internet forever!
330. Gianna Mastrion, Greenfield Center, NY, 12833
this is a move against neutrality, horrible idea
331. Jeremy Dukette, Tupper Lake, NY, 12986
Please keep the net neutral. Encouraging strong regulations against company's and utilizing title II. Yes, I saw this on Jon Oliver but those of us that care about this, have been wanting this long before his show was even on the air. Thank you.
332. Donald Krone, Lake Placid, NY, 12946
We strongly support continuing Net Neutrality rules in Title 2.
333. Vervet Huestis, Stratford, NY, 13470
Alright, who's idea was it to let the clown with dam mug try to take net neutrality away. Net neutrality is needed to give everyone a free internet, the general population and small , and big businesses alike. Don't do it..

334. laurie donohue, Watertown, NY, 13601
Protect net neutrality! Why wont you let me file this?
335. ryan donohue, Watertown, NY, 13601
I support net neutrality!,,
336. Andre Cuda, Dolgeville, NY, 13329
Hey big Reese's cup drinker, I hope you spill your giant cup of coffee on your tiny balls. Preserve net neutrality dork
337. Paul Lefebvre, Tupper Lake, NY, 12986
The internet should be just like the telephones and labeled a utility. Reverting to where the companies can pick and choose what they support is not alright. It should be a neutral internet. Where the companies have to offer the same service no matter what it is. If they are allowed to throtal what they choose, it will in time destroy the internet.
338. Charles Shene, Potsdam, NY, 13676
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Use of the internet has become a necessity not a luxury and must remain. open, free and unbiased.
339. Margaret Bartley, New Russia, NY, 12964
It is unfair to let big companies like Verizon (your boss's former employer) Charter, Cox etc take over and manipulate the internet speed an accessibility
The Internet should be keep open equally to all users.
340. Susan Ralston, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
Net neutrality is crucial to the success of small business. The internet should be open to all at the same rate. Do not give in to big business.
341. Jason Dolmetsch, White Creek, NY, 12057
Please preserve strong net neutrality rules of internet search providers backed by title II.
342. Katherine Hamilton, Altona, NY, 12910
Please do not change the current net neutrality laws as they relate to the Title II classification put in place in 2015.
343. Sergia Coffey, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
The FCC should not remove the title 2 designation of the internet which protects net neutrality and biased provision of internet access by companies such as Verizon. Ajit Pai is clearly a biased ex-Verizon lawyer, who doesn't care about net neutrality. There is no evidence that investment by internet providers has been slowed, so this is a false reasoning, so this change is clearly

unjustified.

344. Ajit Pai, Lake Placid, NY, 12946
Ajit Pai,I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. I do not want ISPs regulating who gets what. PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY FOR THE PEOPLE.Thank you,Chris Balestrini of Lake Placid, NY
345. Ben Hamelin, Bloomingdale, NY, 12913
Please preserve net neutrality by maintaining Title II oversight and regulation.
346. Nicholas Weinberg, Essex, NY, 12936
I support strong net neutrality laws and preserving Title 2 oversight over internet service providers.
347. 1, Salem, NY, 12865
Support Net neutrality through title 2.
348. Michael Garelli, Fort edward, NY, 12828
Net neutrality is paramount to keeping my internet up to speed. It needs to be kept in place. Try investigating TWC who ripped off it's customers for years in NYC. If you want to do something useful.
349. Casey Dunn, Tupper Lake, NY, 12986
Keep the internet free and equal, don't sell out to cable companies greed.
350. Jeremy Chiera, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
Keep the internet an awesome place!
351. Meaghan Demers-Peel, Canton, NY, 13617
Changing back to Title I is not about "resorting freedom" to the internet. It gives companies room for deceitful acts which go against the fundamental concepts of the world wide web. It creates distrust between consumers (the actual internet) and providers. If I can't be given proof that internet providers aren't being corrupted and influenced by big-money "supporters", then I demand regulation that ensures it doesn't happen. Any benefit to changing Titles is far outweighed by the negative effects that come with large, influential companies not having to be accountable to the public that they serve.
352. chris lawton, watertown, NY, 13601
i support net neutrality and title II fully.
353. n/a, De Kalb, NY, 13630
Net Neutrality and Title 2 need to not be comprimised i cannot stress this enough as someone who knows the internet crowd well.

354. Brian Miller and Eva Miller, Greenfield Center, NY, 12833
We vehemently oppose any reductions in restrictions to ISP Providers in regards to net neutrality. Net neutrality is at the core of providing a fair and unbiased internet. Self-regulation DOES NOT WORK.
355. Joseph Zeman, Lake Placid, NY, 12946
Keep the internet open. Net neutrality is already a free internet. Don't give into the cable companies!
356. James Woods, Fort Drum, NY, 13603
Preserve our net neutrality, and keep strong title II rules. A free internet is what people need.
357. Christina Knott, Watertown, NY, 13601
Please preserve net neutrality and maintain title II designation. I hope you are aware of the importance of equal public access to information and entertainment via the internet being more essential to freedom than the interests of businesses and corporations. Thank you!
358. emma torrance, Lake placid, NY, 12946
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs
359. Heather Schuerlein, Queensbury, NY, 12804
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title II regulation of ISPs.
360. bryan schuerlein, Queensbury, NY, 12804
For the internet to remain free and open, I support strong oversight of isp's through title II regulation
361. Daniel McFarland, Potsdam, NY, 13676
Please continue to support the rights of consumers by upholding net neutrality. Thank you.
362. Brian McIntosh, Caroga Lake, NY, 12032
We need strong protections to keep the internet open. We need Net Neutrality and unfiltered access.
363. Brad Smith, st. Regis Falls, NY, 12980
Title II rules should continue to govern how internet providers must operate their company Any alteration of title II is unacceptable at this time.
364. David Bryant, Lowville, NY, 13367
Internet needs to be protected under Title II and not Title I. I do not have a choice in internet providers and do not trust the companies to do what's in the interest of the customers since they are a monopoly. This has been reinforced by

the recent merger of TWC with Charter as prices have gone up and reliability has gone down. Also, the planned/promised speed increases have been postponed indefinitely under Charter/Spectrum. Please do not allow this to continue!

365. Dan Scamell, Salem, NY, 12865

Please consider not altering net neutrality as it currently exists. The forms of regulation enforced now do not seem to overwhelmingly harm large companies or ISP to a point where altering those regulations would provide great benefit to them or the general internet using public. Thank you.

366. BRANDON HENKE, HARRISVILLE, NY, 13648

WE NEED TO KEEP ARTICLE 2 FOR NET NUETRALITY, WE DO NOT NEED INTERNET PROVIDERS SLOWING DOWN COMPEDITORS AND BOOSTING THINGS FOR MONETARY GAIN. YOURE RIGHT, WE SHOULD JUST TRUST THE PEOPLE WHO TAKE OUR MONEY NOT TO MAKE IT MORE PROFITABLE FORE THEMSELVES WHILE ALSO LIMITING COMPETITORS

367. Jenny Martin, Watertown, NY, 13601

I believe that we need to continue having net neutrality in the US. Please don't allow shameless politics to divert your responsibilities and/or stop you from doing what is right. As a consistent internet user, I value choice. I feel I can make decisions that are best for me and do not need the company with the most money dictating my options.

368. Dawn ODonnell, Greenfield, NY, 12833

I strongly urge you to leave Net Neutrality as it stands today. You are supposed to protect consumers, not the industry!

369. Casey Bradley, Lake Luzerne, NY, 12846

I strongly believe in an open internet and the protection of net neutrality.

370. Brandon Himoff, Brant Lake, NY, 12815

Ladies and Gentlemen,As a business owner in the hospitality industry, we depend on innovation. As the Internet has emerged as a critical service, certainly more essential than telephone ever was, the industry must have at least some light regulation. Internet carriers certainly fit the idea of carrier and should supply services fairly and without discrimination by content. They have inhearent motives to do so, and since we have limited to no competition in my area and many others there should be strong net neutrality. Without net neutrality, we would be driving to a video store and calling for plane reservations at high cost to the consumer. Net neutrality is a not partisan good that is pro-business, pro-innovation, pro-competition. Even the regulated carriers will make more money in the long run in a flourishing Internet

world. Respectfully, Brandon Himoff
Brant Lake, NY

371. Adam Hill, Canton, NY, 13617

I believe that ISPs should continue to be regulated under Title II as utilities. Broadband Internet access has become necessary for work, school, and recreation for Americans. Nonetheless, in most regions, high speed Internet access remains a virtual monopoly. Net Neutrality is important to me and past evidence indicates that, if allowed to do so, ISP will discriminate in their delivery of information.

372. Daniel Carpenter, Fort Edward, NY, 12828

It is absolutely essential that strong net neutrality is maintained. We need to have a free flow of information in this country, and ISP's will deny this right if you give them the opportunity.

373. Natalie Engel, Gloversville, NY, 12078

Keep the net neutral. It's pro monopoly, and anti-business. Destroying net neutrality will not help business, but destroy it. Many start up companies who have a website, will not be able to access the audience necessary to help them grow. It is shameful and anti-american to destroy net neutrality.

374. Rhan Estling, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983

Title II is essential for preserving net neutrality, do not eliminate this requirement! Innovation often grows from a seed of an idea, and if large ISPs are allowed to self-regulate, there is no telling how many new ideas will be snuffed out before they're allowed to grow when the ISP deems it is a competitive threat. The entry threshold cost of broadband infrastructure is too high to believe that competitive forces will allow ISPs to self-regulate and offer consumer friendly options. They will all choose self-promotion at the expense of startup innovation. The FCC has an obligation to regulate ISPs so that the Internet maintains a level playing field for future generations. Do not eliminate Title II!

375. Phillip Sutter, Broadalbin, NY, 12025

Keep and preserve net neutrality in addition to title 2.

376. Chris O'Brien Palinski, Cambridge, NY, 12816

Please continue to protect net neutrality. PLEASE do not accede to pressure from those who stand to gain financially, while further stratifying our culture. No fast lanes, no slow lanes. Listen to what Americans have said. Thank you!

377. Matthew Carpenter, Saratoga Springs, NY, 12866

Please preserve net neutrality! I do not trust the ISPs to maintain a level playing field for network traffic without strong regulation.

378. Robert H Williams, Mooers, NY, 12958
I have 7 domains under my main site <https://mycommonsenseparty.com/> I do NOT have any advertising to try and make \$\$\$. I do however have FLAT FACT based reality that the government has been lying to American People from a variety of agencies since 1776. I also have interesting science based stories that MEANSTREAM MEDIA do NOT cover. As 65 year old disabled person with Honorable Medical Discharge from the Army, I want America to put FINALLY put the needs of the American people FIRST. Every dollar spent overseas for CIA covert wars, aid to foreign countries including Israel. Israel not only foreign aid money while breaking UN resolution, we GIVE them weapons to Ethnic Cleansing of the genocide of the Palestinian People. All that money could pay for single payer health care for Americans. The CIA has influenced more countries elections, interior uprising, than ANY other country. Getting this Flat Fact out to everyone is my goal, and my sites should not be slowed, blocked, or interfered with in ANY manner what-so-ever. My Main goal is for the 2020 Nasa Rover to land in Cydonia the ONLY Quadrant on Mars where Map Legend says: "Origins:Enigmatic". To not send the rover to Cydonia is Scientific Cowardice bordering on Criminality. Thank You talking public input.
379. Lia Carpenter, Saratoga Springs, NY, 12866
Net Neutrality is essential to every American to keep the internet and it's access fair. I support Net Neutrality now and forever. No business will choose to be fair over profits.
380. William Demick, redwood, NY, 13679
as a U.S Citizen, I am urging you to preserve, and strengthen, Net Neutrality, as well as Title 2.
381. Joan Lilly, Westport, NY, 12993
Our fragile democracy requires open access to information, I request that the FCC maintain Strong Net Neutrality under the protection of Title II oversight.
Thank you
382. Chris Jage, Jay, NY, 12941
A even access to information is a cornerstone of our democracy and economy. Given the critical role that the internet now plays in these arenas, allowing corporations to discriminate against access jeopardizes both. Keep the current net neutrality rules in place and let the internet be a fair and equal playing field.
383. Kathleen Baker, Ogdensburg, NY, 13669
I support net neutrality and Title II. HANDS OFF!!
384. Dawn Alexanian, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II enforcement.

385. graceanne, Evans mills, NY, 13637
I support net neutrality! Do not repeal the law! Repealing it would not benefit citizens, it would only benefit corporations. Leave the law as it is, and prove that you really care about US citizens.
386. Zach Zagrodzki, Glens Falls, NY, 12801
I believe in strong net neutrality rules, please preserve these current rules and title 2 classification. The internet should be a public utility and regulated just as phone service was in the past; being that it is just as vital.
387. shawn fischer, lake george, NY, 12845
we support strong net neutrality laws under title 2.
388. Justyne welch, Lake George, NY, 12845
I support a strong net neutrality, please preserve it.
389. Kelsie Seehusen, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
I urge you not to repeal Net Neutrality rules. Without Net Neutrality I will be unable to access the information and resources I need to complete and pay for my education. Please support Net Neutrality.
390. Jessica Hil, Massena, NY, 13662
Bring back internet freedom my ass. Don't change the filing to make it easier for millionaires to screw us over! Leave it AS IS! And don't think everyone doesn't notice how you're making us jump through hoops to complain about this and let our disgust be heart. People like you are disgusting. Go FCC yourself.
391. michael chitty, Corinth, NY, 12822
I support net neutrality and title 2. Please do not change the current laws in place.
392. Cassie Weed, Watertown, NY, 13601
Keeping Net Neutrality under Title II is critical to keeping the internet free & open. I trust ISP'S as far as I can throw them. (Which is not far, let me tell you.) the potential for corporate abuse is plain to see. Consumers will suffer. Trump has shown that he does not understand WHAT Net Neutrality is, this old tweet demonstrates it nicely: "Net neutrality is the Fairness Doctrine. Will target conservative media." NO. NET NEUTRALITY WILL PROTECT ALL MEDIA. Please keep these current protections in place. It's a slippery slope into straight censorship.
393. Seth Molnar, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
I support net neutrality and Title II. Keep the internet open and fair.
394. Bob Brown, Shushan, NY, 12873

The internet should be kept open. ISPs should not be able to slow down or block sites or in anyway be limited. We need strong net neutrality.

395. Carolyn Anwar, Witherbee, NY, 12998

Among the greatest technologies available to us is the internet: a free and open internet. Regulation of the internet should not be ceded to big corporations. We already pay them to provide the service to us. Recent legislation, which has gutted privacy protections for internet users, seeks to increase ISP profits by allowing the sale of our information to the highest bidder. Now, they want to dictate where we can use their service freely and where they can restrict us simply for the sake of profits. A free and open internet stimulates the free flow of ideas and information. It is integral to freedom of speech, which is basic to our way of life. It drives people to innovation and entrepreneurship. Less regulatory oversight will eliminate competition and nullify any ideas of fair pricing for consumers. Please do not allow these regulatory changes. We are in danger of becoming a nation less concerned with individuals and personal freedoms than one of corporations and profits above all. I believe that this is not the nation that the founders envisioned.

396. marlon galimore, Salem, NY, 12865

"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Roll them back! Regards, marlon galimore 46 E Broadway Salem, NY 12865"

397. James Larrow, Massena, NY, 13662

I support strong oversight of ISP's via the Title 2 designation it is currently under. Please leave it as is.

398. Mark Dalton, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983

I vehemently oppose any weakening of net neutrality. As and IT professional and as a consumer, I do not trust in the inherent "goodness" of corporations to do the right thing in this regard. Strong rules must remain in place with Title II oversight of internet service providers. If the FCC acts to weaken net neutrality, there can be no other interpretation than seeing that this body, and its leadership, are squarely in the pocket of corporate America and have no concern for what is actually in the best interests of the American people as a whole.

399. Gregory Karl, Jay, NY, 12941

I understand the FCC is now accepting public comment on new proposals affecting net neutrality. I would like to express to the commission my concern that the more complete protections of a free and open internet under Title 2, which are now under threat, be preserved. Internet service, because of its essential role in the commercial, social, civic and recreational activities of a majority of American citizens, should be treated as a public utility, unrestricted access to which should not be subject to the petty competitive concerns of ISPs.

Reverting to Title 1 would undoubtedly have a detrimental effect on the lives of countless Americans. Please resist this attempt to carve up the public good for the profit of the few.

400. Tyler Palmer, Queensbury, NY, 12804
Keep ISPs under Title II, this protects consumers from ISPS fastracking companies because they have a deeper wallet than another. We as U.S. citizens already pay on average a ridiculous amount for the internet.
401. Janet Tallman, Warrensburg, NY, 12885
I would like to urge you to preserve strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The only person that should decide what I see on the internet is me.
402. Abdul Chen, NORTH HUDSON, NY, 12855
Obcaecati est magna nisi esse et ex in non nobis aut quam ab eiusmod ut est ad lorem duis.
403. Elizabeth Kochar, SARANAC LAKE, NY, 12983
Business interests are by definition
404. MR. TRACY J TABOR, QUEENSBURY, NY, 12804
LEAVE OUR INTERNET ALONE OR YOU WILL SEE HOW WE RESPOND AND YOU WILL NOT LIKE IT...ITS THE PEOPLES INTERNET.. NET NEUTRALITY FOREVER!!!
405. Kay Thomas, NICHOLVILLE, NY, 12965
The average citizen needs a free and open internet as much as anyone else.
406. Garrett McCarthy, HENDERSON, NY, 13650
This move to weaken ""NET NEUTRALITY" will not go unanswered. Politicians who vote to give corporations greater control will feel voter anger at the polls.
407. Aren Paster, BALLSTON SPA, NY, 12020
I grow weary of massive corporations buying up politicians and creating more problems in my life. Govt should be helping me
408. Diana Stahl, KEESEVILLE, NY, 12944
Another thinly veiled threat to democracy
409. Sherry Halbrook, BALLSTON SPA, NY, 12020
Is it your duty to represent American citizens or to represent corporate profits?
Of course

410. Diane Carroll, WESTPORT, NY, 12993
Internet neutrality is essential to our democracy. Do not take it away!!
411. Diana Stahl, KEESEVILLE, NY, 12944
You have no right to interfere with where we go and what we choose to look at on the internet. NO WAY should net neutrality be touched!
412. Charles Pollard, GREENWICH, NY, 12834
Don't mess with the Web!
413. Stephen Davis, FORT EDWARD, NY, 12828
We already pay enough just for Internet access. Get out of our pants.
414. Susan Yerry, SCHUYLERVILLE, NY, 12871
People and the environment before corporate greed and personal gain.
415. Emmett Haydel, CORINTH, NY, 12822
Keep hands off our free and open internet.
416. Lora Lunt, POTSDAM, NY, 13676
Protect our internet neutrality. It is already expensive enough. In fact
417. Houston Seals, KEESEVILLE, NY, 12944
free flow of information is the lifeblood of democracy
418. Sussy Komala, MORRISONVILLE, NY, 12962
Internet access is as fundamental a "utility" as electricity and water in the 21st Century for a civilized nation. Net neutrality should be a given in the U.S.
419. David Duff, HAMMOND, NY, 13646
We desperately need net neutrality.
420. Robert J. Ritchings, STAR LAKE, NY, 13690
Net neutrality means fairness--a level playing field. That's why Republicans will kiss it goodbye.
421. Carol Clark, WARRENSBURG, NY, 12885
End corporate control of the U.S.
422. Elise Tooley, EVANS MILLS, NY, 13637
STOP putting corporate interests before people! We deserve net neutrality and an open Internet.
423. Margret Felty, PLATTSBURGH, NY, 12901
Keep the net free and open to all. This bill is an outrage. Stop Pai.

424. Helen Tooley, EVANS MILLS, NY, 13637
You have to power to keep the Internet free and open. Use your votes for the people
425. Bernie Buddington, MOOERS, NY, 12958
I know this is falling on deaf ears
426. Kristin Tooley, WATERTOWN, NY, 13601
Don't kill net neutrality! Stop supporting corporate interests over people!
427. Ann LeClaire, MASSENA, NY, 13662
Maintaining net neutrality is critically important!!
428. Brenda Arley, GLENS FALLS, NY, 12801
Keeping free access to the internet is foundational to democracy. Do not put controls on it or allow corporations to profit from it!
429. Terri Sartoris, PLATTSBURGH, NY, 12901
Please do not kill net neutrality. The net is for everyone not just for the benefit of business. Listen to the people for once.
430. Ruth Kastner, GLOVERSVILLE, NY, 12078
Dear FCC: Stand down from your attack on the internet and preserve strong Title II rules.
431. Jane Cutler, SCHROON LAKE, NY, 12870
The LAST thing we need is to have the internet providers controlling us like the bully boys in Russia and other anti-democratic countries do! Thanks
432. Carmen Ross-Roberts, GLENS FALLS, NY, 12801
Maintaining our freedom on the internet is critical to our freedom as Americans. No one company should have the power to threaten that freedom.
433. Mark Regan, QUEENSBURY, NY, 12804
We need to continue to have free and open internet access - the Verizon Lobbyist who is seeking his business interest (I mean the head of the FCC) should be discounted as part of the Swamp. Lets put the people first.
434. Dina Kennedy, LONG LAKE, NY, 12847
I want to choose what I see not have it decided by others. For free.
435. Laurie Goodhart, CAMBRIDGE, NY, 12816
Free speech and open communication are the foundation and source of all that is great in America and all human society.\r\n\r\nEven those at the top

436. David Zachar, QUEENSBURY, NY, 12804
I fought hard to insure the internet remained neutral and open for all to use without giving priority to any one group. I do not other monopoly internet providers to interfere with my ability to control what I do online.
437. Clair R. Touby, SARANAC LAKE, NY, 12983
STOP DONALD TRUMP'S PIRACY OF PRIVATE INFORMATION!
438. Cliff DeCarlo, Schaghticoke, NY, 12154
Please do not kill net neutrality! Allowing ISP's to reduce the throughput of some traffic based solely on revenue is a very bad direction to be going in. Please do not allow those with deep pockets to kill internet freedom.
439. Marc Goff, Saratoga Springs, NY, 12866
Strong net neutrality protections are required to keep the internet a vibrant, growing service that is fair and equitable for all consumers. I support the 2015 Open Internet Order and oppose any changes that would weaken the current strong protections on net neutrality.
440. Gary Hiatt, Queensbury, NY, 12804
I support Strong Net Neutrality / Title II oversight of ISPs! I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108 Do not tamper with the existing regulations (don't "take a weed-whacker to it") I don't want ISPs to have the power to block, slow down, or give some websites an advantage over others. I don't want ISPs to have the power to split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. ISP's will turn the open internet into a "tiered internet" just like cable tv, with popular sites on separate tiers, forcing the price up. If some websites can pay the ISPs to have their content load faster, startups that can't pay won't be able to compete with large established websites. You will kill the open marketplace. Thank you
441. Andrew Craig, Fort Drum, NY, 13603
I support strong net neutrality using TITLE II oversight of ISPs.
442. Laura Hunter, Potsdam, NY, 13676
I am concerned that the loss of net neutrality and removing Title II restrictions on ISPs will hamper students', such as myself, ability to do independent research and pursue academic interests. I also worry that access to public archives such as SDSS data releases will be greatly restricted.
443. Jackie Desnoes, Wilton, NY, 12831
I support Net Neutrality. It enables free, easy access to information. It facilitates commerce. It allows us to reach friends and families who are far away. It opens up our world. The FCC must continue to enforce net neutrality rules. The internet should remain free and open in the United States.

444. roy fuller, Caroga Lake, NY, 12032
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Rollback Obama's Internet Takeover! Regards, roy fuller PO Box 392 Caroga Lake, NY 12032"
445. Paul Borghard, Shushan, NY, 12873
I support Net Neutrality under Title 2!
446. Joshua Noble, evans mills, NY, 13637
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality. It's the best.
447. Matt Phillips, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by title II regulation!!
448. Max English, Greenwich, NY, 12834
The idea of an anti-regulatory proposal against the title 2 classification of isps is baffling. To think that someone supposedly concerned with equal opportunity and freedom would propose something championing a move which may strip away our opportunities is simply nonsensical in my eyes. This proposal to change isps back to title 1 classification statuses jeopardizes our access to free and equal information, and it can lead down a slippery slope to corporate (and government) controlled media and news and selective censorship
449. Marcus Hanson, West Chazy, NY, 12992
Net neutrality and Title 2 need to be preserved. In the face of constant threats to our Agency and freedoms we, as a people, must be well informed at all times. The Internet is a fount of limitless information and that fount must not be stopped in the name of greed. This reclassifying of one of the most highly consumed utilities in the world must be prevented at all costs. I implore you to think of the future for all, not just the future for the oppressively wealthy.
450. Ken Hebb, Hermon, NY, 13652
Preserve sting net neutrality and title 2 regulation. Thanks.
451. Ken Hebb, Hermon, NY, 13652
Preserve strong net neutrality and title 2 regulation. Thanks.
452. John Collins, Canton, NY, 13617
Please preserve strong net neutrality and Title 2 regulation!!!
453. Tammy Keating-Malark, Malone, NY, 12953
I want to express that we need to keep an open internet for all users. I am in favor of the net neutrality rules that protect consumers.
454. Tayler Malark, Malone, NY, 12953
I am in favor of the net neutrality rules that protect consumer safety. I am for

keeping ISP's classified under title 2.

455. Tracy Tabor, queensbury, NY, 12804
An open internet is vital for maintaining freedom of speech.
456. Michele Wojnar, Port Leyden, NY, 13433
I can find out about health recommendations for my family. Information for my student children is at their fingertips. Staying in touch with areas of political concern is easy. Without it we are all poorer.
457. Matt Ezero, Peru, NY, 12972
An open internet fosters learning and the creative commons. It allows for students, educators, and the average layperson unimaginable access and knowledge. With accessible, available internet, citizens can better the environment, better their communities, connect with others, and better themselves.
458. Brian Heyler, Porter Corners, NY, 12859
free ideas = democracy
459. Shan M Russell, Brushton, NY, 12916
Save the Internet and bring it to more rural regions!
460. Heather, Saratoga Springs, NY, 12866
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs."Fingers crossed we won't do anything insidious" -Basically every corporation pushing to control the internet. Yeah, don't exactly trust that. Like they won't jump at the chance to take more money for people scraping by as it is.
461. Steve Lee, Glens Falls, NY, 12801
This is an absolute disgrace of what this administration is doing to damage the present net neutrality laws. KEEP THEM IN PLACE!
462. Lauren Meyers, Schroon Lake, NY, 12870
We strongly support FCC regulations regarding net neutrality backed by Title II classifications of ISPs. Ajit Pai's personal and professional background poses a clear conflict of interest in his ability to make a fair and unbiased decision and is borderline corrupt.
463. Laura Eggleston, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
ISPs should not be able to enforce what is lawful or permissible, and have not been able to be trusted to do so ethically in the past. The internet is an important part our society. The freedom of information is what makes our country so great, please don't let the internet turn into something where the only information we can access is controlled by the rich and powerful and everything

else is throttled and otherwise limited.

464. Martha Arreguin, Potsdam, NY, 13676
Net Neutrality is essential to our democracy
465. Yvonne White, Hudson Falls, NY, 12839
It is Un-Constitutional to infringe on our right to freedom of speech..I think the Big Internet Businesses will find out when we sue!
466. Ramon Carabeo, Hagaman, NY, 12086
NET NEUTRALITY IS SACRED
467. Richard Colon, Queensbury, NY, 12804
Bryce this smart kid is writing an essay on net neutrality, he told me to come and sign this in his essay. Thanks.
468. Steve West, Gloversville, NY, 12078
My freedom and privacy is more important than your wallet!
469. Jose Figueroa, Porter Corners, NY, 12859
My privacy isn't for sale nor should it be put in ANY danger!
470. Mark Wertel, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
I feel it is necessary to have Net Neutrality on the internet to preserve the access of all providers of content on the web.
471. Justin McGiver, Lake Placid, NY, 12946
I support the protection of net neutrality under title 2. Keep the internet free and don't let any orange men get their tiny little hands on it.
472. Bonnie MacLeod, Westport, NY, 12993
I make my living through research on the Internet. Do you have any idea how many jobs will be affected by your move to deregulate and put more money in the hands of your friends? I urge you to support net neutrality and leave it in Title II.
473. Janice Burns, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
Open and free communication is a sure sign of democracy in action. An attempt to change the free internet will mark you as against an open society and a true democracy. Keep the internet FREE and OPEN!
474. Steve Barnard, Potsdam, NY, 13676
Dear FCC,I urge you not to undo the progress made on "Net Neutrality" by altering broadband's Title II classification. Doing this would not bring more "freedom" to the Internet--at least not for the vast majority of users. Instead, it

will provide the ISP oligopolies with even greater power, and strip away the public's ability to regulate one of the most important utilities humanity has ever seen. Please do not give in to the lobbying arm, and instead listen to the American people, who are overwhelmingly for Net Neutrality and against your proposed changes. Sincerely, SB

475. Tracy Tabor, queensbury, NY, 12804
keep your hands off our internet it is not yours to touch it was created by an individual and given to the world as a gift it is the people's internet mess with our internet and you will feel our Wrath.
476. AJ Averett, Potsdam, NY, 13676
An overwhelming majority of us Americans demand that Net Neutrality be maintained. Trump-Pence LOST the popular vote by nearly three million and have THE lowest approval rating since that parameter began to be measured. Therefore, you -- and they -- have ZERO mandate to take to actions that you are. 2018 is shaping-up to be a bloodbath for the sociopaths, misanthropes and sadists that are the GOP; pity that we have to wait that long.
477. Katy Johnson, Salem, NY, 12865
The internet, like Free Speech, is for everyone, not just for those who want to control the flow of information. LEAVE IT ALONE!!!!
478. Jeff, Ogdensburg, NY, 13669
I earn my living via the Internet as do many people. Losing Net Neutrality could possibly put me out of business if it results in censorship or increased costs. Net Neutrality is very important for small business people so please don't ruin it for us and possibly send us to live on the streets.
479. Michael Knapp, Corinth, NY, 12822
Mr Pai, the vast majority of Americans enjoy all the benefits that Net Neutrality laws provide. We feel protected from an industry that would otherwise sell our private information and destroy our internet experience as it now exists. Do not try to "fix" what is not broken. Leave Net Neutrality alone!
480. Anthony Masala, Dolgeville, NY, 13329
The FCC needs to leave net neutrality alone. For all of the obvious reasons, this is the best option for consumers. You need to remember the purpose of government agencies, including the FCC is to serve the best interests of the public...not private corporations.
481. Raymond Crannell, Hudson Falls, NY, 12839
a free society needs open and equal access to the internet. As far as I know only non democratic countries limit equal and open access to the internet. Net neutrality is essential.

482. Glen Mattiello, Plattsburgh, NY, 12962
I for one will not sit by and quietly succumb to this arrogant, ignorant tyrant in training.
483. Dan Ling, Middle Grove, NY, 12850
As an American, I support Net Neutrality. If the Founders were alive today, they would rally around this issue and surely would have included equal access to Internet resources as a basic American principle and right, like free speech, a free press, free markets, etc., and interestingly, all of these American principles are directly affected by Net Neutrality. In an age where money and power are trying to gather to those who already have it, it is especially important to protect Internet resource access to small businesses, and the ideas of the "little guy." Allowing powerful corporate elites to control access to Internet resources is fundamentally un-American. We don't need a monarch, nor oligarchic controls on our free access to something that was invented and developed for the purpose of providing access to shared resources for research, etc. That Mr. Trump is clueless is no surprise, but it has become apparent that Mr. Pai has no clue when it comes to Internet technology. The people who intimately know (and the ones who built) the Internet are NOT with him on this.
484. Marcia Migdal, Hagsman, NY, 12086
More and more communications are being done on the internet, which makes it a requirement that we ALL have access to it on an equal basis.
485. Edgar Mills, Chateaugay, NY, 12920
We want to reduce Big-Cable's control over the market. The Internet should be regulated like the utility that it is.
486. Nancy Berggren, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
Are you kidding? We all need access and equality in our communication with and exploration of our world.
487. Darby Tarr, Harrisville, NY, 13648
The internet is a UTILITY...equal access for all.
488. John Scarlett, Hammond, NY, 13646
Net neutrality has been called the first amendment of the internet. Do not let Trump and cronies whittle away at our freedoms.
489. Chris Ebey, Watertown, NY, 13601
I support net neutrality and want internet providers to continue to be regulated under Title II rules. I'm a professor at a community college. Now more than ever we need to have an unfiltered internet. If my students want to learn more about global warming, but their ISP was in an agreement with Exxon to slow access to that information, that would prevent my student from becoming an informed

citizen. That may be a ludicrous example, but if recent events have taught us anything, it is that the ludicrous is plausible. Once again, please continue to regulate ISP under Title II. Thank you.

490. Joshua trombley, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
I strongly support Net Neutrality and we need to maintain Title 2 classifications of ISP providers. A fair and open internet is in the best interests of our citizens.
491. Magic Walnuts, Lake placid, NY, 12946
Don't change title 2 or reclassify ISP's. Leave things the way they are.
492. Alexandra Williams, LaFargeville, NY, 13656
I as a citizen of the United States would like to strongly suggest that the FCC keeps Internet Service Providers under the Title II sections of the Communications Act of 1934, and keeping strong Net Neutrality regulations in place. While there are many reasons for this the main one is that these ISP companies should not be allowed to influence and direct consumer choices, nor should they be able to work about freely with little regulating as they have the potential to tamper with internet speeds, prices, etc over a multitude of reasons.
493. Net Neutrality, FORT DRUM, NY, 13603
We the people of our great Nation have a responsibility to ensure the free world remains in continued support of Net Neutrality Laws & Regulations to help secure a future of equality, free thinking, overall health of our digital ecosystem, this infinite space we call the Internet. If the United States decides to rollback these motions it will start a series of events that will choke out our digital world. The Internet of today is much more than wires strung along power line poles and subsystems, it has become a neuro-network of our society and the overall voice of the World, those who control these Gates will have great influence and leverage to do what ever they wish, to this I say no. DO NOT ALLOW such FREEDOMS to be taken away from us the PEOPLE, support and protect us for those who have voted for You or Your Leaders into the positions that you have today. Thank You, -SGT Stocking 9 Year U.S. Army Vet-
494. Andrew, Hudson falls, NY, 12839
Keep net neutrality and the title II the way the are.
495. Mark Sturges, Keene Valley, NY, 12943
It is important for the internet REMAIN neutral for everyone who uses the internet
496. Jason Duke, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
Net Neutrality needs to be defended
497. Amir Hrnjic, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901

I wanted to let you know that I support preserving Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.

498. John Warren, Chestertown, NY, 12817
I'm writing to remind you that the FCC needs to stand up for Internet users and entrepreneurs like me and retain the current net neutrality rules. The FCC should abandon their Chairman's plan to give the ISP monopolies the authority to throttle whatever they please. The neutrality of internet service providers keep me and those who work for and with me the same access to consumers as anyone else. It's simple fairness. Thanks for protecting Internet users like me by upholding the existing Title II net neutrality rules.
499. Mark Hotaling, Saratoga Springs, NY, 12866
I am opposed to any changes that would put Net Neutrality as a voluntary option to ISPs. We need to keep the use of Title 2 in place.
500. Eric Groesbeck, Johnstown, NY, 12095
I am in support of strong net neutrality policies for all forms of media transmitted over the internet.
501. Nicholas Sanges, Gloversville, NY, 12078
Modifying net neutrality rules isn't the answer. Strong Net Neutrality rules under Title II of the communications act of 1934 are required to keep it a even playing field. Stop ruining things that work.
502. James Keith, Diamond Point, NY, 12824
Preservation of Net Neutrality is Paramount for the defense of the media's rights and obligations to disseminate messages of criticism and organization for protest or support of laws and action by government that affect the lives of the Americans they serve. As the airwaves are free, so should be the Internet. Commercial options and payment systems will support the growth and maintenance of the facilities, as they have throughout the life of the broadcast system over the airwaves.
503. Clare Paulson, Bloomingdale, NY, 12913
Preserve net neutrality! Do NOT let ISPs manipulate the choices we make on the internet and do not allow big businesses to have an advantage over small businesses. Protect and defend a truly free and open internet!
504. Erin Kelley, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
I firmly support keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
505. Joseph Ludwin, Gloversville, NY, 12078
Keep the internet under title II. Protect net neutrality rules. The Internet must remain free and open. Allowing ISP's to throttle the Internet in order to play

favorites is fundamentally wrong.

506. Jason Brill, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983
I specifically support strong NET NEUTRALITY backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. The internet is a utility like electricity, sewer, and the telephone used to be.
507. Anthony Masala, Dolgeville, NY, 13329
Seriously, why are we revisiting this issue. ISPs cannot be expected to self-regulate. They currently charge inflated prices for poor service, poor customer support, deceptive practices, and they are expected to be able to self-regulate? The purpose of the FCC is to protect the consumer. How does this do so? Title II classification must remain for ISPs. Net neutrality must be maintained to protect consumer choice. Leave it alone!
508. Charles arney, Johnstown, NY, 12095
When I signed up for my internet I was specifically asked if I wanted to pay more to increase my internet speed. Isn't that a violation of the net neutrality title 2?
509. Lachelle LaClare, Potsdam, NY, 13676
Commenting against the repeal of Title II and Section 706, the internet should continue to be free and fair (level playing field.)
510. Joshua Nelson, Alexandria Bay, NY, 13607
I strongly oppose the FCC proposal to roll back Obama era regulations on Title 1/Title 2 classifications for internet providers. This deregulation would greatly harm consumers, as it would give internet providers the ability to throttle internet-based programs that compete with their own interests. This could prevent companies such as Netflix (who have well known conflicts with the ISPs that would benefit from this action) from having fair access to bandwidth in a truly open marketplace. Our country was founded on freedom, but our internet needs help to keep it free for Americans. The regulation previously enacted to preserve net neutrality provided this help and maintained this freedom, and I would be deeply upset if this freedom was lost in the name of corporate greed.
511. Suzanne Frauendorfer, Schuylerville, NY, 12871
Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. ISPs routinely throttle content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and were forced to stop via the courts. AS WELL, cellular providers sell "unlimited" data plans, but in reality, upon exceeding the data cap, they throttle ONLY sites and services that aren't part of their approved zero-rating network. LEAVE THE INTERNET FREE OF CORPORATOCRACY.DO NOT ALLOW ISPs TO CREATE A INTERNET

CLASS SYSTEM. STOP THE DECISION TO REVERSE CURRENT NET NEUTRALITY REGULATIONS -- IN ITS TRACKS -- NOW. YOU, the FCC ARE PAID BY AND WORK FOR ONE -- and ONLY ONE -- THE AMERICAN PEOPLE. PERIOD.

512. Barry Maisel, saratoga springs, NY, 12866
preserve net neutrality & title 11it s important for commerce and innovation to keep the internet as free and open as possibeKeep net neutrality Thank you
513. Stephen C Gaines, Watertown, NY, 13601
Please keep Net Neutrality and classify ISP's as Telecommunication providers as they should be.
514. Karen Rappaport, Upper Jay, NY, 12987
I am opposed to eliminating net neutrality rules and the classification of home and mobile Internet service providers (ISPs) as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act.Net neutrality is essential for open access to the Internet. Net neutrality is the equivalent of the First Amendment for the Internet.
515. Annie Miller, Greenwich, NY, 12834
As the director of a library in a small town, I see first hand how critical high speed internet access is for rural people. Filing taxes, applying for jobs and college, contacting government representatives and many other necessary life activities have moved online. Eliminating net neutrality will allow companies to choose which of these activities my neighbors have access to because libraries are often the only place rural people can access high speed internet and libraries certainly can't afford to pay premium prices. This isn't about who gets to watch movies. It's about who gets to take an online college course or Skype with a military spouse. If these things become privileges available only to the "haves" our economy, society and democracy will suffer.
516. Dominick Ruggeri, Cadyville, NY, 12918
Dear FCC,Please preserve net neutrality. We don't want "fast lanes" or some other form of the internet. We want the open and free internet that is present today, on this date of 23May2017. Internet service providers (ISP's) are NOT information services and shouldn't be classified as such. That designation is reserved for websites like facebook and google. ISP's are much more like telecoms and should remain classified that way. For the sake of fairness and TRUE FREEDOM, so that normal people, small businesses, and all communications can continue to grow and open new frontiers in information, development, discovery, utility, and safety, please keep Title 2 in place. Thank you,Dominick Ruggeri
517. Roshni Allen, Watertown, NY, 13603

As a concerned citizen I feel that we must continue to treat internet as a utility in order to ensure that this vital tool of both commerce and culture will not be unjustly manipulated by private entities intent upon providing preferential treatment to one form of content over another. I humbly request the FCC to not adjust the current status quo at the behest of lobbies and big business. Thank you.

518. Tina Hamaker, Paul Smiths, NY, 12970

I firmly request that you maintain net neutrality. Big cable companies shouldn't control what we say and do online.

519. Patrick Taylor, Saratoga Springs, NY, 12866

I am writing this comment to voice my support of the continuation of strong net neutrality backed by title 2. Thank you for your time.

520. Daniel Lake, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901

This is a horrible proposal. Allowing an industry to regulate itself, which is what this amounts to, is effectively no regulation at all. Instead, what you'll see happen is the infrastructure owners expanding on their already monopolistic behavior by extorting content providers into paying additional fees or their traffic will slow down. That's hardly in the public interest, though it may be great for Comcast and the like. The fact that you would consider supportive comments posted by bots just compounds the malfeasance involved in this proposal.

521. Tyler Barse, Massena, NY, 13662

Don't remove our current net neutrality laws under title II restrictions. Having them promise not to do it in their terms of service is completely asinine. Not only is it necessary for start ups and smaller businesses, but people too benefit from net neutrality.

522. Bill Smith, Malone, NY, 12953

I strongly urge the FCC to keep Net Neutrality as the law of the land and preserve our freedom to communicate openly. Without these rules, ISPs will be able to throttle or block websites at will and shake down website owners for added payments. The ISPs (primarily Verizon and Comcast/TimeWarner/Spectrum) have disclosed in statements to their shareholders that Net Neutrality rules have had no effect on their investment in broadband infrastructure. But more importantly, the Internet has become the "Commons" of our society -- it is one place where people can communicate and discuss ideas openly and freely. By rolling back these protections, ISPs will be able to dictate to their customers which sites their users are able to access. This impairs our fundamental First Amendment freedom but I would also point out that retaining Net Neutrality rules will serve three important functions: 1) It will

prevent a tidalwave of class action lawsuits when aggrieved consumers sue their ISPs for throttling and limiting access to websites on the open web. A customer is paying an ISP for access to the Web -- if ISPs begin throttling traffic, that means they are not providing the product to consumers that the consumer has paid for. With the help of the EFF, Google and other providers, this will open all ISPs to continuous, unending litigation.2) Protecting Net Neutrality will provide important protections for new Internet startups and will encourage business innovation and entrepreneurship. Facebook, Google, NetFlix and so many other titans of tech were able to launch, grow and thrive due to Net Neutrality ... by removing those protections, new startups will find it harder and harder to find startup funds because they will be at the mercy of ISPs that can demand "fast access" payments. These payments are nothing other than extortion and will result in 1) massive unending litigation for ISPs from disgruntled consumers.3) Imagine, if you will, when ISPs and other providers are allowed to throttle and block websites if Net Neutrality is removed. Imagine then, when providers such as Google and Bing begin blocking access to your favorite websites -- say all of the Federal government's sites? Or redirecting users to other sites and preventing them from finding you because they have been removed from search results. Imagine what happens when Breitbart is blocked and redirected to HuffingtonPost or all sites favorable to Donald Trump are blocked ... all of these things could happen. And if I were Google or Netflix or anyone else who will be negatively harmed by the removal of these fundamental protections, you bet that is the first thing I would do. So, keep Net Neutrality and protect the rights of ordinary Americans. Or, alternately, remove Net Neutrality and do yet one more thing that will remind Americans that the Trump Administration serves the interests of big business at the expense of ordinary Americans and usher in a landmark midterm election where the House turns blue.

523. Michael Fulton, West Stockholm, NY, 13696

I am against the rollback of net neutrality based on the classification of ISPs as a Title II provider. Net neutrality must be upheld in America. Your described function of ISPs as "The offering of a capability for generating, acquiring, storing, transforming, processing, retrieving, utilizing, or making available information via telecommunications, and includes electronic publishing, but does not include any use of any such capability for the management, control, or operation of a telecommunications system" displays an inherent lack of understanding of the function of an ISP vs an edge provider. How in God's name you are allowed to create policy relating to ISPs is beyond me.

524. Siri Allison, Salem, NY, 12865

I am a board member of our small town library. It is undemocratic to deny our library patrons the same access to the internet as a more moneyed person. We cannot maintain a fair society with unequal access to information and

opportunity.

525. Susan Getty, Salem, NY, 12865
SAVE NET NEUTRALITY! It is very important to all Americans to have a free and open internet!
526. Regina Chabarek, Chestertown, NY, 12817
I am a trustee at our local library. I support equal access to information. To be informed citizens, individuals have an absolute right to equal information. Corporations and businesses should not have priority, and telecommunications companies should not be dictating our right to equal information. I support net neutrality.
527. KC Scott, Greenwich, NY, 12834
Net neutrality is imperative. The internet is not entertainment. It is a utility. It became a utility when the IRS and the states began requiring us to get our forms on line. Our bills are paid on line. Applications for jobs are made on line, as well as numerous other applications. It has become a necessary part of daily life much as our electric bill. Most of us have internet access, and those that don't must use a public forum such as a library. These access places must be kept available at affordable rates. All access, private and public, must retain net neutrality.
528. Jonathan Kowalka, Schroon Lake, NY, 12870
I support Title II. I want strong regulations supporting Net Neutrality. 97% of legitimate posters on this website agree with me. I encourage you to not take this lightly. Do not ignore the people. Protect everyones right to access the Internet equally. Do not let big companies monopolize the system.
529. Suzanne Lyons, Dexter, NY, 13634
America is falling behind other countries because corporations have an upperhand. Denying us what should be a basic standard of net neutrality limits access to information that would be freely available to most of the rest of the world, by potentially allowing corporations to make access to competing data so difficult to access that noone tries. This puts us at a disadvantage in every way, trampling on the idea of free speech and a fair chance for all. The idea that our government would take what should be a basic utility for all Americans and put control over both access and consumer cost in the hands of telecom corporations is absolutely disgusting.
530. Elias Socolof, Queensbury, NY, 12804
I am opposed to the rollback of the "net neutrality rules" that would potentially allow internet service providers to "throttle" access or give preferential access to certain websites. I am concerned that the proposed changes will compromise my access to the open web or potential limit the ease with which I can access

certain websites as compared to others.

531. Andrew Wright, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020

To whom it may concern, I would like to voice my desire for strong net neutrality laws and protection of citizens right to access internet content equally regardless of the creator. I'm worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. Thank you for taking the time to review my comment.

532. Nickalaus Painter, Constableville, NY, 13325

I am commenting again, because we must keep the internet free. We should not allow large telecom companies to dictate what content we have access to. Reclassifying to Title II will only hurt freedom in America. I plead, please, hear the people's voice and keep the internet neutral. The potential for abuse of the system at the expense of the American people is real, not hypothetical. There are many instances of internet throttling and content denial that have been dealt with by the courts and these must be acknowledged. Remember: the purpose of the FCC is not the pad the pockets of telecom executives.

533. Anthony Piparo, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901

I strongly support the preservation of net neutrality back by Title 2 oversight of ISPs

534. Internet freedom, West Chazy, NY, 12992

This exemplifies the basics of free speech. Holding our ability to communicate and organize in a peaceful manner hostage is a violation of our rights. We pay to access the internet, but part of that payment is not to let someone else control what I can see or access,

535. Bill Ross, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983

Please support a fair and neutral internet and retain Title II status. Broadband providers should be neutral common carriers and should be held the toughest regulations like any public utility.

536. Donna Fulkerson, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983

I believe broadband providers should be held to the same standards as common carriers and utilities. They should retain title to status.

537. Alison Power, Massena, NY, 13662

I am very much opposed to any change in the net neutrality laws. The proposed changes would not benefit consumers, only companies.

538. Timothy D. Harney, Corinth, NY, 12822

I do not support the removal of the Net Neutrality law.

539. Title II, Gloverville, NY, 12078
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. For the FCC leadership to purpose change just allow big companies to attempt control what sites we use is wrong, and should be stopped. Thank you!
540. SC Eyester, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
Net neutrality allows for competition, a the fundamental basis of capitalism. By giving monopoly power to internet providers, like Verizon (Pai's old boss), it will reduce competition, entrepreneurship and creativity, that net neutrality fosters. This economy is based on capitalism and the competition that net neutrality allows has provided for growth and innovation, which monopoly power will destroy.
541. Katherine, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
Keep Title 2 Net Neutrality
542. John Acton, Massena, NY, 13662
Do NOT allow Title I rules to resume for the Internet. Keep all of our ISP's under Title II regulation. Or else I move to Canada which is only 5 miles away!
543. Joe Stankovich, Wilton, NY, 12831
Please keep net neutrality and Title II. I support strong government oversight of ISPs and please enforce it!
544. net neutrality, Ticonderoga, NY, 12883
You need to keep your hands off net neutrality!!Creating a fast lane on the internet for those who can afford it is a gift to the internet service providers (i.e., Comcast, Spectrum, etc.) and is harmful to consumers and entrepreneurs like myself.
545. Thomas Chiantia, plattsburgh, NY, 12901
Please preserve Net Neutrality and title 2 oversight over ISP's. There are not enough "last mile" broadband ISP providers in my area to provide healthy competition and a "free market" solution. Because of mergers, there are not enough choices for internet access providers and therefore title 2 oversight is fair until monopoly control is removed.
546. Allison S. Wheeler, Cambridge, NY, 12816
I am in favor of retaining the current net neutrality rules. As a longtime librarian, I know how much the public relies upon libraries of all types for access to the Internet. For those with low incomes, the prospect of having slower access to the Internet than those who have greater ability to pay for a higher level of service is not a pleasant prospect. Please retain the current rules; they are the only way to provide equity of access to ALL Americans! Do not create a "privatized" inequity of service based on ability to pay.

547. Caroline Boudreau, Ft. Drum, NY, 13603
Preserve net neutrality and title II !!!
548. Daniel Boudreau, Fort Drum, NY, 13603
Maintain strong Net Neutrality regulation in accordance with title 2.
549. Ryan Carrera, Glens Falls, NY, 12801
Internet freedom should not only be a law, but a right as a citizen of the United States. The world is more digital than ever before, and technology is only advancing forwards. We will need the freedom of the net to continue advancing as a species, and as a nation.
550. david, New York, NY, 12134
I strongly support net neutrality backed by strong Title II over site
551. James Eyester, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
Stop destroying the internet by taking away net neutrality. Net neutrality is important to allow competition and creativity.
552. Tiger Smith, Keene Valley, NY, 12943
I support a fair and open internet. Please do everything possible to insure a legal basis net neutrality. Thank you for your time.
553. John Duffy, Malone, NY, 12953
Does "OF, BY AND FOR" ring any bells? Please don't let profit erode that. Wouldn't it be nobler to keep "WE THE PEOPLE" moving on? First Wycliffe then Lincoln and now We get to affirm what will be for "ALL THE PEOPLE".
554. tim baird, gloversville , NY, 12078
enough w regulations first the health insurance bs we are all forced to take then then get fined u dont have it !? whats next leave well enough alone
555. Thomas Scehovic, Bolton Landing, NY, 12814
As I see it, the largest and richest ISPs are looking to become even larger and more powerful and richer. It's always about the money. Leave the net neutrality rules in place.
556. Gordon Bigger, Queensbury, NY, 12804
Protect our freedom of speech and freedom of choice by preserving net neutrality.
557. Wesley Bishop, Queensbury, NY, 12804
Why would the FCC ever even consider letting the fox oversee the hen house? This would definitely be the end of free choice for the average person. It's a "we gotcha" for companies like AT&T, Comcast, Verizon, and others.

558. Jacqueline Buskey, pLATSBURGH , NY, 12901
HI MY JACQUEINE BUSKEY DONT MONEY ISSUE
559. Ryan Deuel, Potsdam, NY, 13676
In 2015, the FCC's Open Internet Order reclassified broadband internet under Title II of the Communications Act. By embracing Title II authority, the FCC enacted the strongest net neutrality rules in history and created clear rules against blocking and discrimination. The argument against net neutrality seems to be advocated by anti-regulators and ISPs -- massive corporations such as Verizon, Comcast, AT&T, etc. -- which stand to gain huge revenues by forcing content providers to pay more for delivering the same content to users, the American people. The American people will lose if the FCC rolls back net neutrality protections under Title II. While ISPs will benefit, content providers will simply pass along any additional costs imposed by ISPs onto the user, resulting in a less-free and more expensive Internet for Americans. The argument that Title II impacts infrastructure investment does not seem to hold true. For example, Verizon told investors in 2014 that it would continue to invest in infrastructure regardless or reclassification under Title II. I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Title II classification is critical in protecting our fundamental values of universal service and consumer protection when accessing broadband networks.
560. Burgess R Field Jr, Ticonderoga, NY, 12883
IF IT'S NOT Broking,leave it alone. Fix the gov. first.
561. Mike Lyford, Glens Falls, NY, 12801
I support the protection of Net neutrality, it's removal would harm for business growth and normal user activity.
562. Linda Attreed, Gloversville, NY, 12078
I believe freedom of speech is an integral component of our Constitution. When we lose our freedom of speech we no longer have rights and privileges our forefathers died for..We do not want big business controlling our every move..
563. suzanne collins, Norfolk, NY, 13667
to the FCC please leave the net alone. we like it just the way it is..... thank you
564. Roger James, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
Protect my freedom of Free Speech!!Protect from Corporate takeovers!!
565. Leisa Ward, Nicholville, NY, 12965
I support this
566. Tina Willis, Dolgeville, NY, 13329
Don't take this away from citizens, for some it is all they have. Enough of big

business interest start taking care of the people

567. Garrett Endres, Dexter, NY, 13634
If you think it's somehow "ok" to charge me more for one website than another, you need to get checked. All Politicians against Net Neutrality are a joke
568. John B. Cozzens III, Queensbury, NY, 12804
Net neutrality is important to me because I, not my ISP, should have the power to decide which apps and sites I use. Big companies should not have the power to target users and alter their ability to utilize the web based on their browsing history, personal wealth (or lack thereof), or frequency or intensity of use.
569. Richard J Trombley, Lake Luzerne, NY, 12846
net neutrality equates to free speech
570. ALAN, BLACK RIVER, NY, 13612
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech . Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started. Net neutrality allows consumers "not big companies" to choose what they watch & do online. Big corporations already have too much control over our lives and influence in government.
571. Jessica Kenyon, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
Net Neutrality is key to the survival of small businesses in today's economy. These small businesses would not be able to compete with larger corporations if they were unable to purchase priority access to their websites and online services for their users. Such fees would impact small businesses that rely on the web far worse than larger, established companies.
572. Andrew Hotelling, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
Information should be free, and not limited behind a pay wall. Stop greedy companies from trying to hold the internet hostage, forcing us to pay more for full access. The internet is not the same as cable TV, and should not be treated the same way.
573. Linda, Hudson Falls, NY, 12839
As I am all in favor of free speech. It's a constitutional right. What is happening to our country? Net neutrality protects small businesses get started.. Big companies are stifling innovation and entrepreneurs. Stop this rape of our national freedom.
574. Mark Forsyth, Redwood, NY, 13679
Many of us who use the internet have very small income but the internet is extremely important and very valuable to us. We cannot afford to be burdened with paying for individual websites when it is all we can do to pay for a

connection.

575. Jesus Rangel, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901

Please leave the internet as it is. For some, it's actually a sort of safe haven from the outside world. By putting something like a restriction to it, you are just taking a way from the last thing that in a way, feels free to many.

576. Philip E. LaBrie, Tupper Lake, NY, 12986

Net Neutrality IS freedom, which is what this country is all about!!! Brave Men and Women have fought and DIED to preserve the freedoms we have in this county. The death of net neutrality would be the death of freedom. "To preserve, defend, and protect the Constitution of the United States", that's the pledge, so, congressmen and women, support the pledge! Defend our right to free speech and net neutrality!! Failure to do so brings us one step closer to the end of the United States as we know it, and would be a direct SLAP IN THE FACE to all who serve to protect our county from people who want to take away our freedom!!!

577. Davin Cronquist , Glens Falls, NY, 12801

If I have to be forced to watch one more add for a car I don't need, food I don't need, or alcohol I don't need I will go insane. Do not regulate the internet you scumbags. Keep building your NSA backdoors into programs we all use and keep collecting data at your huge facilities, I'm fine with constant surveillance, but STOP WITH THE ADS AND THE SHIT TV.

578. Andrea Smith, Old Forge, NY, 13420

Net neutrality is crucial to freedom of speech. Corporations should not be allowed to choose how people communicate and obtain information on the internet.

579. william silva, ballston spa, NY, 12020

I do not believe or appreciate our open internet being even considered to be handed over to big companies to control how to handle internet speeds, what we see and who gets the best connections. Big corporations all ready dictate what is seen on Television and what takes first priority of what we have to make choices on entertainment, advertisements, purchase information and research. Our freedoms are being taken away by letting corporations decide.

580. Henry, Granville , NY, 12832

Net neutrality is the people, not corporations. Let the internet stay as is.

581. Joshua Render, Harrisville, NY, 13648

I am not rich by any means. I do not have unlimited amounts of money to spend on whatever I want. I need to make choices to stretch the value of my dollar. I want my daughter to have access to things like the Internet to help her prepare

for her future. In this day and age, the Internet is far more important than television. In the interest of keeping my costs down and stretching dollars, we stopped subscribing to a cable television package 8 years ago. We purchase Internet and make decisions on the best entertainment choices for us. Long ago I grew annoyed at being forced to support channels I have no interest in. I hate sports, but I was forced to buy ESPN so it could be cheaper for people who do like sports. The rise of the Internet has allowed me to make those choices and significantly reduce the amount I spend on television. When it comes down to it, I would rather pay \$10 a month for something I would watch, than \$80 for 100 things I have no interest in and maybe 3 or 4 that I do. I still save money. The threat against net neutrality could impact this ability to buy only what I want. It is also a slap in the face of the taxpayers of this country who funded the development and invention of the Internet. Comcast, Charter, and others were not even in existence at the time of the creation of the Internet. They would be focused only on television services if not for that government funded effort to create the Internet. They have expanded to offering Internet services and telephone services. They owe us that. Our money did that for them. The least they can do is not threaten to throttle products that compete with them. Keep the Internet fair and open.

582. Noah Grove, Redwood, NY, 13679

Net Neutrality must be preserved, any attacks on it are attacks on free speech. I urge you to protect this fundamental right.

583. Bob Yarger, Gansevoort, NY, 12831

The internet is the most important communication device invented since the telephone. It must be kept free of powerful influences that wish to control its content.

584. Charles Boglisch, Morrisonville, NY, 12962

Without net neutrality, big companies could censor people and perspectives online. I want to decide what to watch and how long i want to watch my choices and no speed control etc. Just leave well enough alone !

585. edward graves, Indian Lake, NY, 12842

Do nOT mess with net neutrality. If you go against people, to serve the big net companies, we will vote you out of office. Stop trying to take freedom out of the internet. Don't your greedy contributors have enough money yet? But the biggest thing is that your plan will stifle free speech.

586. Tina Hamaker, Paul Smiths, NY, 12970

Net neutrality allows consumers "not big companies" to choose what they watch & do online. Big companies already have a stranglehold on much of what we do and access in our lives. Please, leave net

neutrality alone.

587. Ilen Pew, Watertown, NY, 13601
Net neutrality must be protected because no one should own it or control it and that freedom must stand for all people as if not it will get corrupted.
588. Jerry Kozma, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
I don't want my isp to be able to control what sites I can go to or how often, like they do with their tv stations on cable.
589. Judy Simon, Canton, NY, 13617
An open internet helps smaller or newer businesses compete with older, bigger ones, so if you favor competition in business, the 'net must stay open. Also, why should my ISP get to play favorites with my 'net destinations? I pay for their service, and I should be able to choose which websites I visit [as legally available] without their download speeds being limited. Thank you.
590. Stephen Arvay, Rouses Point, NY, 12979
Please consider the just, equal access for all, open internet!
591. Donald Leisure, Clayton, NY, 13624
The internet must be kept neutral because local government agencies, non-profits, etc depend on the availability of public data, via the open-internet, for emergency management, asset management, and other useful enterprises. These resources are deemed public and therefore should not be controlled by private companies acting as "managers of the internet."
592. Deborah Kozlowski, Porter Corners, NY, 12859
With all media centralized in the hands of a very few people/organizations, a neutral net is one of the few sources of uncontrolled news available to us. I want to see what fellow citizens have to say, show, and share. I learn so much. I'd hate it to be filtered in such a way that it leaned toward items that were profitable or that supported the 'acceptable' line of thinking.
593. John Burdo, Plattsburgh, NY, 12903
I believe net neutrality preserves the individuals right to have a fair and equal right to free speech and equal opportunity. If money equals free speech then the vast majority of average Americans will have far less free speech and those with the money will dictate what we see and when we see it.
594. Barbara Wilson, Warrensburg, NY, 12885
Allowing big corporations to interfere with how we the people use the internet makes no sense at all except to those same big corporations. Please respect our individual privacy and right to choose and protect net neutrality.

595. Noah Spivak , Salem , NY, 12865
Companies are not people. They have resources most people do not. That is not a reason to allow them the ability to censure the people. Making the net cost anything, making it not neutral, will censure those who need to speak but wont have the resources to.
596. Alex Risenhoover, Schuylerville, NY, 12871
I'm currently striving for a career that pertains to the internet, I for now and the foreseeable future do not want to be forced to pay premiums based off of what my network traffic is rather than how much. In no way does getting rid of net neutrality help anyone else but ISPs. It doesn't increase competition, all this will do is increase the bill I have to pay every month.
597. Barry Todhunter, Watertown, NY, 13601
Keep net neutrality. Do you really want the companies that own the internet access to decide what opinions can be seen. Simply providing faster access to one site over another would achieve this goal. Think of it like one news station having a 24 hour lead over all the others, by the time the other channels were able to tell the story your opinion has already been formed.
598. Barbara Burdick, Watertown, NY, 13601
the net was established to be neutral, not to be another tool for commerce and big business. Free speech is basic to our democracy. Net neutrality should be left alone without encumbrances and censorship.
599. Linda Bigger, Queensbury , NY, 12804
Save the freedom of speech and our right to make choices. Protect net neutrality.
600. Ronald Denslow Jr, Boonville, NY, 13309
We don't need anymore "Junk Advertisements" on our web pages! We don't need these big companies showing off their POWER and making them even bigger!!!
601. jody francis, saranac lk, NY, 12983
Don't be part of the problem by taking more freedoms from the American people; by censoring them and price gouging !
602. Adrienne Constant, South Glens Falls, NY, 12803
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. This is a basic right that has been a corner stone of our country. Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started. This is key to maintaining a healthy and sustainable economy. Net neutrality allows consumers "not big companies" to choose what they watch & do online. If we are truly the land of the free, then we should have control over what we watch and how we

watch.

603. Peter Dudley, Glens Falls, NY, 12801
Please leave the internet alone. An open internet is vital to free speech.
604. Jessica Kenyon, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
Net neutrality protects small businesses online who might otherwise not be able to compete with larger established businesses which can afford to pay for higher priority service.
605. Jeffrey N. Ronald, Queensbury, NY, 12804
Why should a technology, which was developed by the U.S. Government (and, therefore, U.S. taxpayers) be sold to the highest bidder for the benefit of private investors?
606. Charles Boglisch, Morrisonville, NY, 12962
Enough is enough!! We the people don't need any more restrictions on what we want to watch or do on the internet. Instead do something more constructive for the people. How about monitoring and restrict the ever rising cost. We the consumer's are tired of big companies taking over and squashing the little guy. Good example pay attention to what's going on right now. NO BIG COMPANY CENSOR SHIP!
607. Andrew McKelvey, Greenfield Center, NY, 12833
An open internet is crucial to the well being of humanity.
608. Dee Carroll, Westport, NY, 12993
Net neutrality is important! We do not need to create another situation or system that makes people feel like second class citizens. There is already too wide a gap between the 1% and the other 99%. I work from a small family-owned business in the Adirondacks. Our clients range from NYC to Boston to Montreal. We do not need to slow down our service and customer interaction and satisfaction because of slower internet service! Stay neutral. Stay democratic! Stay equal opportunity! Thank you!!!
609. Sarah Mulverhill, North Bangor , NY, 12966
Net neutrality must be maintained. We live in rural Northern New York and just bought a house in September. We have fiber optic internet available because of a grant supported by the Obama administration. Rural areas like ours are largely lacking in any internet access at all.
610. Philip Collins, Colton, NY, 13676
Proposed rules to destroy net neutrality is an infringement on my rights of free speech and! Stop pursuit of this egregious violation now.Thanks

611. Jo Tyo, Potsdam , NY, 13676
Leave net neutrality alone. We dont want the global giants controlling the net the way they control cable networks and phone networks. The small businesses need net neutrality to survive.
612. Mark Forsyth, Redwood, NY, 13679
The end of an open internet would be the start of internet discrimination,an attack on free speech,and a roadblock to free enterprise.
613. susan gardner, greenfield center, NY, 12833
Dear FCC,I am a retired, middle class American who is convinced we must maintain net neutrality. Our society does not allow for upward mobility without it. My grandparents all immigrated here, from both London and Italy, for a better life. Don't let that be a mistake.
614. Barbara Burdick, Watertown, NY, 13601
I am already paying an outrageous amount of money to be on the internet. I need it to conduct my daily business and it is my source of entertainment for myself and my husband. He has Alzhiemers and it keeps him calm and occupied, not to mention engaged. Net neutrality goes hand and hand with the first amendment and it would be a travesty to stifle freedom of speech and a slap in the face of the US Constitution. I don't want our government to hand over any kind of control to greedy corporate companies.
615. Marlene Hurley, Glens Falls, NY, 12801
It's Constitutional!
616. Andrea Smith, Old Forge, NY, 13420
I believe net neutrality is a fundamental right of the people for freedom of speech, information, and free commerce. Do not allow the corporations to steal that from us.
617. brent dupee, plattsburgh, NY, 12901
Too much junk mail. Businesses should be restricted to one advertisement a day.
618. KrazyK Gaming, Adams, NY, 13605
There is no reason why big companies should be able to tell us what we can and can't watch or what sites to visit while browsing the internet. The internet is a basic human right.
619. Cheryl Craft, Rainbow Lake, NY, 12976
Dear Federal Communications Commission Representatives, My guess is that you are being inundated with pleas to preserve net neutrality. You can add my name to the list of citizens who are counting on you to do the right thing for us:

Do not allow large corporations to control access and speed of communication on the internet. They are doing just fine, and if a particular company is not able to prosper on a balanced playing field, they need to modify their business plan. They should not be propped up by rules that will keep small innovators from succeeding, and potentially prevent advances we need to happen for us all to prosper. Beyond and surpassing that problem: All of us who use and rely on the internet need to have unimpeded access to it, or our freedom of speech as well as freedom to learn and do business will be irretrievably damaged. This is not in the best interest of us as individuals, nor our country as a whole, nor does it serve free enterprise. We need net neutrality rules to stand.

620. Bruce Copeland, Lisbon, NY, 13658

This is Tesla and JP Morgan all over again. Lets squash our freedom of speech and had it over to the people already making absurd profits. Want to encourage entrepreneurship and the things this country was founded on? LEAVE THE WEB NEUTRAL!!

621. Tricia Amore, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901

Net neutrality is important to protect free speech, it should not be controlled. By doing so, new entrepreneurs will be stifled, the American people's access to information controlled and the right to voice opinions diminished. This is against the constitution. Protect net neutrality.

622. Bryan Bartow, Hadley, NY, 12835

Leave the Web alone. What makes it a beautiful thing is the ability for anyone and everyone to have access to develop and share opinions, businesses, art, and the list goes on ad infinitum. The Government does not need more control. that's what this is really about.

623. Michael Brophy, Theresa, NY, 13691

To FCC: The Liberties of America need to be protected. Any regulation or excuses for regulating the internet is another step in the wrong direction toward Central Power. The free internet is something that I care about and should remain free. Thanks skycormac

624. Michael Prosch, Glens Falls, NY, 12801

There is NO reason to meddle with net neutrality. FCC should be supporting all American people, not a few huge corporations.

625. Linda Napolitano, Wilton, NY, 12831

Why must the FCC propose the rule to allow big business control the internet ? This clearly is a violation of freedom of speech, private business . Butt out FCC.

626. Jennifer Gokey, Watertown, NY, 13601

We can't have our freedoms and privacy violated!

627. David Cook, Queensbury, NY, 12804
We don't need any more control over our freedom, ust leave internet neutrality the way it is.
628. Rudy Miller, Mooers Forks, NY, 12959
Leave the net neutral, not to the advantage of the rich and powerful.
629. Marc Mularz, lake george, NY, 12845
We need strong net neutrality in the USA. Please make sure that happens and that we have oversight of the ISP's to make sure they are following the rules
630. Rebecca Fasulo, Corinth, NY, 12822
I'd like to make my opinion known. I strongly believe in Net Neutrality. It is important that the service providers that we purchase Internet access from do so without bias. So many small businesses, small libraries, and modest income families rely on their ability to fairly access the Internet without surcharges or extra fees. Additionally it is important that nothing is hidden from consumers such as allowing large corporations to purchase, through back room negotiations, faster service for their products.
631. Shane Delaney, Watertown, NY, 13601
Keep the internet for the people and not for big businesses. The internet is an important tool for sharing and connecting. Keep net neutrality laws.
632. Chelsea Gaboury, Watertown, NY, 13601
Preserve net neutrality! PLEASE don't fuck this up!
633. internet, altona, NY, 12910
The FCC should leave the classification of the internet under the authority of title 2. I never voted for Ajit Pai, so I do not believe it is his place to openly lie and mask what he is doing to dismantle internet neutrality under the guise of an 'open internet'. I also demand that all of the fake, copy-pasted comments be investigated. The United States of America is about Liberty and Justice for ALL citizens, not unlimited wealth for corporations at the behest of the people.
634. Devon Shipp, Potsdam, NY, 13676
The FCC needs to keep strong "Net Neutrality" laws and keep Title II oversight of ISPs. ISPs cannot be trusted to allow competitor's information/content to travel via their infrastructure, but this is clearly not in the best interests of the consumer, especially since many Americans receive their internet via a company that operates essentially in a monopoly (in my case, Spectrum is the only provider of cable internet, and they changed their name from TimeWarner Cable recently largely because of their terrible reputation). The FCC's primary role is to protect users/consumers, not be a pawn of communication companies (for example, if your previous employer happens to be Verizon doesn't mean

you have to do what they want you to do). KEEP TITLE II OVERSIGHT!!

635. Jennifer Koval, Stillwater, NY, 12170
I support net neutrality freedom of speech. Jennifer Koval
636. Mark Bezrutczyk, Morrisonville, NY, 12962
This is just asking for trouble.
637. Jeffrey Marshall, Willsboro, NY, 12996
Internet should stay open for all people.
638. Finn Deuel, moreau, NY, 12828
I need net neutrality, I need an open access internet connection to connect with friends and family as well as run a graphic design business that solely relies on the internet and long distance commissioners. Taking away net neutrality would take away business from me, other small local businesses as well as disconnect me and millions of others from talking and engaging and socializing. Keep net neutrality!
639. Justin Furgison, Ogdensburg, NY, 13669
I do not want this bill to pass. I wish to keep net neutrality the way it is. I currently only have access to one ISP and it is quite slow to begin with. If this is slowed down even more by getting rid of net neutrality then I might as well not have internet. Do not pass this bill. Thank you.
640. Jake Corkern, Queensbury, NY, 12804
The internet acts and should continue to act as a sort of worldwide town square, and the mere notion that to access it's multiple parts requires a greater investment than the initial price of admission, is ridiculous, especially when it has become so integral to the lives of everyone ranging from a young child needing to access school work to an engineer needing to be in a conference call with their colleagues.
641. Andrew Azotea, Saratoga Springs, NY, 12866
Having net neutrality is important because if it is not preserved then the internet could become something that is completely sponsored by big ISP companies. It would hinder other smaller websites from possibly becoming popular and make already popular websites the only things that we see. I don't want my internet to become like TV where only the biggest dogs get to eat and the smaller ones die off from starvation and only the players with the most money get to shove their content down my throat. All these big company websites have come from somewhere and it is my fear that great new chapters in the story of the internet will never get their chance. Right now the internet is cool and it is for a reason. It's because things that become popular on the internet become that way because they are what the people want. Allowing ISPs to regulate what people see will

destroy the internet in a way that isn't for the good of the masses but is good for these giant companies to make even more money than they already do. With every ounce of my being, I support net neutrality and hope that the internet stays cool.

642. James Downs, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901

Any legislation that allows an organization to obtain power beyond their right is something that I do not agree with. Allowing internet service providers the ability to take advantage of their position by slowing down the connection of those that don't agree with them is unfair and something that I cannot allow to go forth without my disagreement being known. I hope that the members of Congress take my and other people's opinions on this issue into consideration when votes are being cast for the future of net neutrality. James Downs

643. Daniel Quay, Lowville, NY, 13367

Not only should the internet be neutral, it should be a utility provided by the government for the people.

644. sean lewis, watertown, NY, 13601

ISP's already have too much power in the U.S they should not be able to control the internet any further

645. Alexander Woodcock, Gansevoort, NY, 12831

I disagree with Restoring Internet Freedom. By allowing ISP's to slow down the content I like just so I have to chose the content they want is not freedom at all. This should not be allowed and is not acceptable.

646. Alysa Honeywell, Theresa, NY, 13691

The Internet should remain open and net neutrality should be supported because the open Internet provides the opportunity for people to develop their minds through uninhibited research. Mind development is important in the creation of a better citizenry. By exploring the world's ideas, one becomes able to understand others, empathize, and try to help bridge gaps in communication between groups of people for better community cohesion. Everyone holds ignorances and by filling those, people become better civilized. Community cohesion is vital for the development of a strong civilization. Denying access to the open Internet will lead to a further collapse of society. As people become more fragmented, selfishness, hubris, illness and crime increase.

647. William P Ross, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983

Please keep and expand strong net neutrality rules. All ISPs should be strictly treated as common carriers and required to provide a level playing field for all Internet users. Thanks!

648. Maxwell I French, Watertown, NY, 13601

It is imperative that Internet Service Providers and intermediaries not be allowed to treat any data differently. Zero rating and "express lanes" empower wealthy and incumbent entities and stifle the innovation that smaller entities can bring to the world. It will also increase costs, which are already significant in an ISP market that has no competition in most locations, including my own. The Net Neutrality rules already in place are not perfect, but they are better than nothing. They should be strengthened and improved, not discarded.

649. Taz, Salem, NY, 12865

Uphold Net Neutrality by reclassifying ISPs as common carriers!

650. Al Fitzgerald, Gansevoort, NY, 12831

Good morning, I am a resident of Gansevoort NY and I oppose any rule or law that would limit the access, usage of the internet. I am in support of net neutrality. The internet is a vast library and learning center for all to view free from their home. Allowing ISP's to control this would deal a direct blow to the education and freedom that we have come to enjoy in this country. In closing, please consider backing the net neutrality so that all can enjoy the freedom of an open internet for all. Thank you, Al Fitzgerald

651. Victoria Brouwer*, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983

The dishonestly titled "restoring internet freedom" act is anti-consumer, anti-competitive, monopolistic, and is against the basic principles of the free market. We want net neutrality.

652. David McDougall, Gloversville, NY, 12078

I support strong net neutrality regulation and believe ISPs should continue to fall under Title II classification.

653. Derek Kosciolk, Canton, NY, 13617

I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Under no circumstances do I want internet providers to have the capability to control data flow rates.

654. Johanna Kosciolk, Canton, NY, 13617

I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not allow internet providers to have the capability to control data flow rates.

655. Nick Graam, Queensbury, NY, 12831

Net neutrality is extremely important, and should not be infringed in any way. Keep ISPs under title II and keep them properly regulated like utilities to maintain a free, open, and fair internet.

656. Sean Scott, Watertown, NY, 13601

I support a free and open internet. Net Neutrality is important for the free

speech of Americans to be upheld on the internet and for the free exchange of ideas and information. ISP's like Verizon, Comcast, and At&t don't need the Government to watch their backs, but the common persons of the U.S.A. do. I support Title 2 classification for the protection of the consumers, citizens of the United States. Continue to prohibit ISPs from blocking or throttling lawful Internet content and to prohibit paid prioritization. Protect my freedom.

657. Phillip Hatch II, Watertown, NY, 13601
Protect net neutrality by keeping Title II rules. Without oversight ISP have the power to wreck an open internet.
658. Michael Wangerin, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
Please maintain net neutrality; it is particularly important to small business owners who rely on the Internet.
659. brian dresser, Ballston spa, NY, 12020
The internet should be a free domain for all. Like it or not, it is basically a human necessity now, one that should not be controlled by any one entity.
660. Jeff Larrow, Chaumont, NY, 13622
The internet should be available to anyone, not just anyone who can pay for it. Keep the net neutral.
661. LOUIS DAVID LABARGE, RENSSELAER FALLS, NY, 13680
OUR FAMILY BELIEVES NET NEUTRALITY SHOULD REMAIN AS IT IS.
662. Net Neutrality, ellenburg, NY, 12901
Keep the net neutrality alive people!!!
663. lindsey lagoy, saranac lake, NY, 12983
this is a strong issue that needs to be addressed about my internet privacy i would like to be able to block certain websites and apps from seeing and tracking my personal information i strongly think that this is paramount and i strong believe that this is keeping my privacy safe
664. Richard English, Galway, NY, 12074
The internet is an essential utility with access and pricing that should be controlled similarly to other public utilities.
665. Brendan Lennox, Potsdam, NY, 13676
Net neutrality NEEDS to stay. Opression is the beginning. Do not let corporate America control the internet.
666. Raymond Boucher, Glens Falls, NY, 12801

Who in the FCC is making money on this? The only reason you're doing this is to generate revenue by commoditizing the internet, however by doing this you will stifle small business. You're putting up artificial barriers to entry just so an ISP can generate revenue out of thin air.

667. Aaron LaBrake, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
Please save Net Neutrality! We want open access to the internet, not to have in controlled and governed by the powers that be.
668. joe ilardi, queensbury, NY, 12804
Leave the internet the way it is. Plaese
669. Michael A Bronson, Winthrop, NY, 13697
We need Net Neutrality. We are one of the few countries where this is even a discussion; that is sickening in and of itself. We the PEOPLE, not corporations, are the ones who should be making the decisions about what sites and services we use. We the PEOPLE are the ones who are to be served by the FCC, not corporations. We the PEOPLE are who elect officials represent us. It is our interests that should be in the forefront of all policies, not the interests of lobbyists and corporate entities. Do your jobs and keep our Net Free.
670. Brian Hatch, Warrensburg, NY, 12885
I oppose removing the Net Neutrality laws currently in place. If it contains outdated provisions that are unduly restrictive, revise those portions without removing the basic protections.
671. Joshua Vogel, Old forge, NY, 13420
There should always be net neutrality. Changing this will have horrible results that will cause bigger problems down the line, and you know it!
672. Kyle Halstead, Potsdam, NY, 13676
As an avid Internet user, Iâ€™m asking the FCC to protect the net neutrality protections currently in place. The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Paiâ€™s proposal as the risk of monopolizing the experiences of the millions of Americans who use the internet everyday is far too high in this case. Our privacy is one of the key tenants that makes America what it is today and has been for centuries and by allowing this proposal to go through, you are allowing companies and private enterprises to pry open our private lives for PROFIT of all things. Not for safety. Not for the good of our country or the world. For profit, plain and simple. The companies that would benefit from this proposal are already in bed with so many lawmakers in our country and have such a large influence in the daily lives of Americans everywhere that giving them this would be the final key to a kingdom that holds no king and never will. I urge you to keep Title II net neutrality in place, and safeguard Internet users like me for you are vanguard that protects us and keeps order across the beautifully

diverse net-scapes that make our internet what it is and has always been:
Free. With hope, Kyle Halstead

673. Desiree Tucker, Star LAke, NY, 13690
Save the interwebs!

674. Collin Cardinale, South Glens Falls, NY, 12803
Please keep net neutrality there should never be something on he internet that takes priority over anything else it is a place where everyone can be themselves and find people of a like mind and if someone has different values than you they should get a slower connection just because some site cant pay for a faster connection the net is global and should remain neutral in all aspects

675. Eric Erskine, Dolgeville, NY, 13329
While I do not like the idea of heavy-handed government regulation, companies here in the U.S. have proven time and again that the cannot and will not self regulate in a manner that protects the American consumer. The opening paragraphs of the proposal point out that the ISPs invested more than 1.5 trillion into the Internet ecosystem. However, I am certain that this was done for profit motivated reasons instead of any type of philanthropy as the proposal seems to intimate. The argument as to whether ISPs should be classified as Title II common carriers does not negate the fact that Net Neutrality is crucial to the freedom of internet use we as Americans now enjoy. I fear that ISPs will use the end of Net Neutrality to censor or block content that does not serve their commercial interests. This will impact our freedom of speech as well as end the internet's fair and level playing field. ISPs could block speech and prevent dissident voices from speaking freely online. This negative impact will be greatest for communities of color, minority groups and small business owners who rely on the internet to grow their business. It has been suggested that the industry would self regulate to prevent these civil violations. However, Chairman Pai set the tone of the true intent of this proposed regulation by unveiling his plan in a closed-door meeting with industry lobbyists, not in a open forum with all stakeholders. The current administration has shown that they only support big business and has done everything in their power to clamp down on dissent. I am writing this to express my deep concern over my civil liberties that I believe will be violated by the removal of Net Neutrality.

676. Elliott Munger, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
The corruption of guidelines for net neutrality is a hypocritical attack on free-market values; erasing these minor hindrances for telecommunications companies will only open the floodgates for monopolies on public information.

677. Bradrick Rush, Cadyville, NY, 12918
As a proud student of Plattsburgh State University of New York and a heavy

internet user, I highly oppose the reversal of the Net Neutrality rules. Every day, I use the internet to shop for items online that I otherwise cannot get anywhere else or if there is a better deal there as opposed to shopping it in stores. I also make YouTube videos to entertain an audience and even educate viewers on what I'm talking about. I even have friends online that I talk to daily. That's not all though. I also use the internet for college and so far I made it out with better grades and never could have been happier. Even my State University relies on it with regards to everything they do. With that being said, what you are doing is handing over control of the internet to big companies and allowing them to either restrict access, throttle internet speeds, or all of the above. This is nothing more than being unnecessarily greedy and careless for the American people. I firmly believe in a free and open internet and I think that everyone should have an affordable fixed price on a particular service they want and get full access to everything on the internet. If you reverse the current Net Neutrality rules, I won't be able to shop for items that I can't get outside of the internet, talk with my online friends, make YouTube videos, especially the way that I want to make them, and most importantly I'll be guaranteed to do poorly in my next semester at college. Even the college itself will suffer tremendously as a result. Not only that, but the internet bills will go through the roof. All of that because of big companies wanting nothing but money. So, I strongly suggest that you keep the Title II net neutrality rules as it is or I, along with the American people, will never forgive you.

678. Jeff M, Gansevoort, NY, 12831

The internet is a utility, simple as that. History has proven that putting control of something so fundamentally important to society in the hands of a few powerful entities has disastrous consequences, see the Interstate Commerce Act in response to railroad management and pricing at the turn of the century. Broadband internet has replaced telephone as the de-facto communications method globally. It is as critical to society as access to electricity once was. No provider or entity should have influence over the content delivered, speed, or use of broadband internet. The USA should be protecting net neutrality by extension every citizen's access to information. This country should double down on treating broadband internet as a public utility and start investing directly into the delivery of broadband to bring this country to the lead globally in connectivity and quality of internet access. In our time nothing will have more influence on narrowing the divide between economic success and failure than access to best-in-class internet service. Simultaneously, removing controls to enforce net neutrality could increase the social divide in this country, further harm the economic potential of rural areas, and incentivize harmful paid content practices by ISPs.

679. nick hayes, PLATTSBURGH, NY, 12901

Keep the internet free and open! Keep net neutrality.

680. Charles Schumann, Ogdensburg, NY, 13669
I absolutely support keeping the internet "Neutral", keeping it the way it is right now 7/12/17. Fast lanes on the internet for the highest bidder gives all the power to those who can afford it only, NOT FAIR WHATSOEVER.
681. Justin Springer, Amsterdam, NY, 12010
I want a free and open internet for everyone. Protect net neutrality.
682. Colton, Ft. Drum, NY, 13603
Do not infringe on our Net Neutrality
683. Pete Ruppert, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
Net Neutrality is VITAL to freedom of information and the health of our country.
684. Nathaniel C Davis, Blackriver, NY, 13612
I believe in a free internet, and one in which my monthly payments allow me untethered access to the content i want.
685. Alex Dantz, Stillwater, NY, 12170
I purchase internet access to be able to use what I choose to use on the internet on a daily basis. I don't even have access to REAL broadband internet where I live and have to resort to using DSL service at extremely low speeds, but it's enough to be able to browse the web and do what I choose to do. Further removing that from my abilities is only kicking a man while he's down. Furthermore, having somebody to police ISPs so they can't do something exactly like doubling their prices for no reason just to make a quick buck is important. Favor people over ISPs.
686. Richard Pinter, Elizabethtown, NY, 12932
If net neutrality rules are removed and certain information is allowed to take priority over other information, the internet will no longer be a true medium of informative communication.
687. George, albany, NY, 78624
Do not end Net Neutrality. The internet within a free country can not be regulated because it would limit the power of the individual exponentially, and within a free country the power of the individual over themselves is key. The net itself was never intended to be regulated-- its purpose is to connect people and things in an unbounded pursuit of exploration. No authority should be able to preside over a public domain, for it would resemble the era of silencing speech no doubt.
688. james boyce, Lake placid, NY, 12946
Please support net neutrality and keep the web free and open

689. Glenn Kelly, Watertown, NY, 13601
The internet should not be treated as a commercial product or service; it is a resource, the pinnacle of human knowledge and communication. To let ISPs abuse the rules as to how this resource is accessed would be a travesty of our freedom.
690. Barbara LeBarron, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
Net Neutrality is a MUST. No one or agency has the right to tell the public (your employer) what or who or how we should accessing the internet.
691. Elias Gayton-Sawatzky, Hartford, NY, 12838
The internet should be free to all. Net neutrality may be the single greatest invention that mankind has created. To take it away would do far more than hinder human progress and hurt the modern world that we live in today.
692. Megan Jackson, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
Please preserve net neutrality - a free and open internet encourages the exchange of ideas and information, which is critical for the preservation of a functioning democracy. Allowing for corporations to control access to information is akin to state controlled media, and is a direct threat to our freedoms.
693. Christopher Corriveau, Lisbon, NY, 13658
Please keep net neutrality! The end user deserves it! The internet should be fair and open to everyone, not the highest bidders.
694. Jeremy Alvarez, Hogansburg, NY, 13655
I support open internet. I feel like it is a vital component to our Democracy. I should be able to choose what I view on the Internet.
695. Jeremy Korb, Glen Park, NY, 13601
Access to communication is not something companies should be able to prioritise based on how much they are being paid.
696. Matthew Cameron, Heuvelton, NY, 13654
Net neutrality is extremely important for the economy and for maintaining American freedoms. I urge you to enforce net neutrality.
697. Kenneth Zajesky, Greenwich, NY, 12834
dont ruin my internet experience please
698. Heather Cox, Fort ann, NY, 12827
I run a small digital marketing company in upstate New York and currently employee 7 people. In an area where economic growth is continually stifled, a neutral internet is imperative for my businesses growth. A neutral internet

allows my small business to compete with businesses around the world to bring much needed growth to my rural area.

699. Zachary Laddison, Hermon, NY, 13652
The United States of America was founded on the primary principle that the government would be the voice of the people, not the guardian, not the commander, and certainly not an entrepreneurial business. As an American citizen, and speaking for many others who agree with me, listen to the people. Leave net neutrality well alone. The changes will only hurt the American people our government claims to represent. The people are speaking: 'keep this issue at the status quo'. Represent our desires, or don't claim to be a representative government.
700. Dana McCormick, Ogdensburg, NY, 13669
Keep the internet equal! Big business shouldn't control the internet, that's some dystopia fodder.
701. Matthew Bailey, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983
I use the internet to talk to my friends all over the world, stream for a small group of people who enjoy watching my show, learn new skills and trades, and share my knowledge of skills and trades every single day. Please do not continue down this road of unnecessary censorship of millions for the very few people who stand to make money off of censorship. Please prove that our country is not owned by the corporations that exist within it and is rather owned by its citizens.
702. Dillon Christman, Lowville, NY, 13367
Net Neutrality being repealed is Horrible. The internet being limited by ISPs and other factors is equivalent to Limiting Free Speech as people use the internet as a platform to exercise free speech. Taking that away is violating rights of People. All I have to say.
703. Chris McGilpin, South Glens Falls NY, NY, 12803
Internet service providers should be just that, providers of internet service. They should not be able to control who, what, or how fast the people access content on the web, they should just merely provide the service. The internet should remain free and open, and not at the whim of corporations whose sole intent is to increase profits.
704. Kellogg Humphreys, Schuylerville, NY, 12871
Open access to platforms of communication are vital for growth and development as a society and ultimately for human civilization. Allowing privately funded and operated companies to filter and or prioritize access to what is becoming an essential part of every day life will stifle growth of our personal and economic development. I view this as essentially the same as a

form of censorship on the people of the United States and it should be avoided at all costs.

705. Robert Vandy, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
The FCC needs to KEEP Net Neutrality rules in place. I do NOT want the ISPs to have the power to control content, and the manner, speed and depth to which it is made available to me. Changing the rules as contemplated could give ISPs undue influence over what I access, and how well I access, the internet. I want more freedom and objectivity in the internet, and I do NOT want these changes to move forward.
706. Net Neutrality, plattsburgh, NY, 12901
The internet needs neutrality, we dont want to have all sources of media controlled by a condom of yam soup proclaiming "fake news"
707. Angela Walker, Queensbury, NY, 12804
Please keep net neutrality intact. It is a very most basic principal that the Internet as we know it is and always has been based upon. If you kill it, if you let the powerful and rich have their way on this, it will destroy everything that has made the Internet great. Ending net neutrality creates an unfair and uneven playing field that will destroy small business, hamper innovation, and take away the "free market" aspect of going online. The proposal to do these terrible things is only one more example of a ruling that would make the rich and powerful more rich and powerful, while hurting the everyday citizen. People really care about this - please do the right thing and keep net neutrality protections in place!
708. Joanne M Gavin, Lake George, NY, 12845
Keep Net Neutrality protections in place. Keep Open & Free!
709. Dane DeGrace, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983
I desire net neutrality and access to the entire internet, not throttled down, paid prioritization, and blocking by the FCC.
710. Sam, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
Don't regulate the internet! Leave the internet as it is! Fuck monopolies!
711. Michael, tupper lake, NY, 12986
This is terrible. It will allow companies to essentially control what we see and read. The worst is censoring news. Companies forcibly pushing their own political agendas onto the consumers. With how corrupt Trump's entire crew is right now it's essential that this does not happen. They will absolutely buy the loyalty of ISPs and make sure that only false news is spread to further keep the populace stupid and complacent. We absolutely need net neutrality to ensure that everyone has the right to see, read, and believe what they wish to believe.

Even those with whom I disagree with have the right to read the news they want to read or see things they want to see.

712. Cathy Matier, Fort Ann, NY, 12827
All traffic should flow at the same speed on the internet. Anything else is Censorship, and challenges my freedom of speech, and consumption of information I deem worthy.
713. TRACY J TABOR, QUEENSBURY, NY, 12804
KEEP YOUR HANDS OFF OUR INTERNET LEAVE TITLE II RULES IN PLACE AND LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE!!!THE INTERNET IS THE PEOPLES AND OUR LAST BASTION OF HOPE FOR INNOVATION, FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION AND BUSINESS OPPORTUNITIES!!! KEEP GREEDY CORPORATE PIGS AWAY FROM OUR INTERNET!!! WE ARE FED UP WITH THIER GREED AND CONTROLLING AGENDAS. DO NOT PUSH THE PEOPLE ANYMORE WE WILL PUSH BACK!!!
714. nicole ulloa, Carthage, NY, 13619
This is another way for greedy companies to screw over the poor. This is a never ending class war.
715. Corey Mundsack, Watertown, NY, 13601
Ending Net Neutrality for internet 'fast-lanes' is a huge mistake. This will kill any and all small businesses if they are unable to pay for these 'services'. Freedom of speech via the internet through blogs, vlogs, and other media outlets would be nonexistent if ypu repeal net neutrality.Allowing ISPs to censor the greatest form of communication to their standards is a crime and undemocratic. I plead with you to uphold net neutrality, and keep the net neutral and democratic for all users.Thank you.
716. William Shelmidine, Ellisburg, NY, 13636
I support net neutrality, and oppose the Restoring Internet Freedom proceeding. The internet is a utility. Pretending it isn't benefits no one but telecom conglomerates, and frankly, those guys are doing just fine without your help.End this asinine madness. Protect us from corporate abuse. IT. IS. YOUR. JOB.
717. Sawyer White, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
As a student librarian, I have experienced the benefits an open internet firsthand. I believe it is absolutely necessary for my patrons to have access to a wide variety of websites for all their information needs. A limited internet will inevitably lead to a less informed public. As an individual, there is nothing I want more than to experience all that the internet can offer me in my lifetime. Because of the internet, I have made incredible friends, experienced hours of unforgettable entertainment, and been able to become a much more informed

person. It would be terrible for me, and for anyone else who has had experiences similar to mine, if that was lost.

718. andrew spoor, Glens Falls, NY, 12801
Don't let the internet become a wasteland. My child has learned so much from YouTube and allowing ISP companies to throttle our service would be awful.
719. net, gloversville, NY, 12078
My ISP is all ready pretty bad for what I pay for and isn't this America and shouldn't I have the right to go on whatever legal website and not be forced adds? If I get even more throttling than I currently get then Im basically being robbed every month and that is not right.
720. Robert Walsh, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
Maintain net neutrality protections and resist commodification of web access. Thank you.
721. Shannon, Whitehall, NY, 12887
Net neutrality is a matter of freedom, we don't want to be like North Korea where absolutely everything we hear and read is approved and cleared by government
722. Rebecca Smith, Moreau, NY, 12828
Unless we stop the federal government's efforts to gut net neutrality, the Internet will cease being a marketplace of ideas and instead become just another place where the rich get richer while the rest of us are stuck staring at the "Loading" screen.
723. Shelby Spaulding, Ticonderoga, NY, 12883
As a student I rely on net neutrality to research sources for class. Without guaranteed access to websites, periodicals, and online resources I will not be able to earn my degree.
724. Maggie, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
American people deserve to have the freedom of educating themselves via the internet. If companies are able block content, I see them doing more than blocking content that doesn't benefit them. I see them using this power to help block content for others as well. We were supposed to continue the tradition of keeping the freedom of the people. We need to have choices. If you cant survive as a company, unless ruining the freedom of choice in a capitalistic society, you need a better business plan. There is only one internet and all rules must apply to our home and mobile connections equally.
725. Eva Schweber, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
I am a remote worker and my livelihood is dependent on my ability to

consistently access broadband at a reasonable minimal speed. In a time where remote employment is on the rise, retaining net neutrality is a critical component of economic development, particularly in rural areas.

726. Amy Taylor, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901

Mr. Ajit Pai and members of the FCC, Please consider the actual feelings of the American People as you make decisions on the net neutrality. This plan is drastically unpopular across the political spectrum. The spin I have seen on this issue that claims to "restore internet freedom" are misleading at best and lying at worst. Leave this internet how it is!

727. Eugene Kruler, Gansevoort, NY, 12831

A limitation on a free and open internet puts our nation in the same realm as nations like China, Russia, and North Korea - where the free and open exchange of information is subject to policing. If companies are permitted to limit or impede those websites and/or viewpoints that they disagree with, we become no better than those nations and ways of life that the US has spent decades proclaiming to be 'wrong' and 'inhumane'. At that point, we may as well give up on democratic ideals, and join the ranks of nations that tell their people what to think and when to think it, and punish those who dare to have a thought counter to the policies of their government or commercial masters. I urge you to think before you act, and remember that corporations can not be trusted to keep the best interests of a nation of PEOPLE in mind - that is the job of the FCC. Companies will always look to their bottom line, they don't need the US government to do it for them. Eugene Kruler

728. Bill Gross, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983

I am completely against any division of Internet Services and allowing companies to charge extra money for the convenience of faster internet access. The people who would be hurt most by this are people in low-income area who arguably need better access to begin with, not being pushed behind farther.

729. Alicia Fowler, Porter Corners, NY, 12859

Net neutrality is an important part of modern day life, without that the inequality in the real world transfers to the internet. If people can pay to make their website faster, or dictate what is "correct" information and "false" information then we'll end up with an uneducated general population. Which is obviously what the Republican party wants, Trump himself is strongly for controlling the media and this would be just another way to dictate the American lifestyle. You know what people who dictate what is acceptable knowledge and not are called? Dictators. Trump wants to be a dictator, if you allow him this ability then we may as well move to Russia because that's what Trump wants. You might be saying "aren't you blowing things out of proportion?" You know that slippery slope that Republicans so fondly talk

about, this is one of those slippery slopes. If you let them have their way with this, then you are signing away our freedom, our future, our children's education. I personally love the internet, I was not allowed to go to school as a child, my parents were fundamental baptists and forced their way of life on me. If it wasn't for the internet I wouldn't know how to write, how to properly care for myself, how to protect myself, I relied so much on the internet to get me to the point where I could get my GED and try to go to college. Without net neutrality that ability for other abused and neglected children to find how to survive and thrive in a modern world will be impossible. I learned because of a free internet, where everyone is equal, whether they are an abused child or a rich billionaire. We can't take that away from those children, please don't take that away from them. I used to be suicidal and I had no hope, the internet made me survive so I could escape. It gave me information about therapists and psychiatrists, it had educational information for every question I had. Don't make the children deal with this alone. Keep the net neutral for our children so they can build a better future not just in the United States, but by bridging the gaps between countries we know nothing about and realizing we all are human no matter where we come from. I realize this might be disjointed and emotional. But to me the internet is more than just a utility or a means of communication, it's the one freedom I had growing up, it was the one place I was allowed to make friends or learn about the outside world. Don't destroy that for me and for millions of others.

730. Gregory Lindsay, Lake Placid, NY, 12946

I demand a strong stance on strong rules for net neutrality and title II as a way of ensuring fair competition on the internet driving innovation and small business.

731. Margaret Kells, Keeseville, NY, 12944

Save our free and open internet. Preserve net neutrality!

732. Paige Hewlett, Brasher Falls, NY, 13613

The internet needs to have net neutrality. It is unfair to everyone who has access to the internet that some websites and apps will gain preference over others in terms of how fast they run. As someone who is about to start graduate school, I worry about whether the websites I utilize to complete my education will be affected by net neutrality being rolled back. Will it take me minutes compared to the seconds it does now for me to reach my websites? And once I'm done graduate school I have plans to become a teacher. Will I struggle to access websites to teach my students with? The internet needs net neutrality to remain that, neutral and fair to all.

733. Dan Baldewicz, Greenwich, NY, 12834

The attempt to eliminate US internet protections is corruption on the highest

level. The internet as we know it today is a culmination of the hard work and ingenuity of its creators, many of which were Americans. This power play by service providers is an insult to the American public, and must be stopped. A tiered Internet will result in costs at all levels of the market, from end users, to businesses and many content creators. There is absolutely no justification for this proceeding, which could stand to hurt us even more as a nation, which produces much of the key content and software on the net. Content creation is the current export of this country, as automation and outsourcing has moved core manufacturing overseas. We are literally jeopardizing our status as a top tier nation by restricting a key channel of our innovation and engineering. What happens when telcos pay to play model increases costs for major companies across the US, a country increasingly becoming less and less relevant on the international marketplace. In the mainstream technical and content marketplaces, a slow tier of Internet is as damaging as allowing a preferred and low tier power service in manufacturing. All these companies would have no choice but to pay into this additional tariff as a cost of doing business. What is truly horrifying is absence of the normal level of justification seen in US regulatory proceedings. For example, the US Department of Energy must address many business and personal impacts of any appliance efficiency regulatory proceeding prior to going final. The plain lack of due diligence on this net neutrality proceeding is a clear indicator of the hard facts, that the loss of US Internet protections is bad for the American people and bad for American business.

734. Michael Struchen, Watertown, NY, 13601

Net neutrality is freedom in a world which depends on the internet for knowledge, communication, and innovation. Prioritizing the interests of the few at the expense of the overwhelming many who truly benefit from its existence and usefulness is the wrong move, and tone-deaf. Hear this: keep the 'net open for all, and see what we can do.

735. Bill Radloff, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983

Keep our Internet free, and keep Net Neutrality working as intended. There's no reason why ISPs should be allowed to decide what sites I can and cannot visit. If it comes to pass...then are we really any better than places like China and Iran, who block off any website they feel is not "in the national interest"?

736. Treye Billups, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901

Allowing the Internet to fall into the hands of a select few powerful people can end in nothing but disaster, just like government. Since its inception the Internet has been a free place where people around the world can express their ideas without restriction or danger. The proceedings going on in Washington threaten to bury that in the past. Should the proposed change go through, corporations will have more freedom to censor and destroy dissenters and even smaller companies via removal of their presence in the Internet. For the good of the

people, this needs to be stopped.

737. Gary Fenton, Massena, NY, 13662

Lately we've been seeing what the government is trying to do - take away our freedoms. We as Americans have the internet free and open to all for commerce and communication. It should remain that way. We need to show the world and countries who don't have the freedoms we do, how it should be. The United States should set the example.

738. Dan Robinson, Au Sable Forks, NY, 12912

Do the right thing-keep net neutrality

739. Jeff Yette, Parishville, NY, 13672

Keep the internet open paid prioritization or throttling of a competitors services would created an unfair financial burden the average consumer.

740. Mary Beth Fedak, Schaghticoke, NY, 12154

I support net neutrality, and do NOT want the changes that the FCC is currently considering. The essence & promise of the United States of America is a level enough playing field to allow individuals to strive and achieve. By biasing higher speeds of internet availability to whoever can pay the most, smaller players will be crowded out of the field and the market will be tilted towards monopolies by large companies. And it is no leap at all to understand that rural users -- already at a disadvantage due to lack of infrastructure -- would be left behind even more if they are relegated to the lower band widths. Please keep the net neutrality that we already have, and do not make the changes favored by Ajit Pai. Thank you.

741. shannon dodson, Fort Drum, NY, 13603

Don't change net-neutrality. It is one of the few things that holds this world together. The internet serves as a common ground for different cultures, people, ideas, religions, and social-classes to interact, share, and learn from one another in a safe and equal manner. Further privatization of this will only slow down and reverse the progress of our civilization as a whole. "Government of the people, by the people, for the people" -President Abraham Lincoln. The people have spoken, we have decided. Listen to them.

742. Ammbrose Souza, Watertown, NY, 13601

We want to keep our freedom.

743. anthony roberts, mooers, NY, 12958

the elimination of net neutrality jeopardizes the freedoms of each american as well as our democratic system

744. Andrew Johnstone, Paul Smiths, NY, 12970

An end to net neutrality would be a government-endorsed act of censorship. A pillar of democracy is at stake.

745. Lexis Williams, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
The open web is a privilege everyone should have access to equally. The United States is very proud and nationalist when it comes to it's freedom. Allowing ISP's to block and limit internet access would be taking away a part of the freedom citizens are promised when they become a part of this country; therefore, we need to maintain net neutrality and maintain the freedoms Americans are promised.
746. net neutrality, Greenwich, NY, 12834
Freedom of speech, press, etc. can be effected if we do not keep net neutrality.
747. Evan Bowker, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
The internet is THE most important invention of modern day (possibly of history.) This is how we citizens now communicate with eachother. If you give ISP's the right to obstruct this, you give them the right to take away our first amendment rights. Please, DO NOT LET THEM TAKE AWAY OUR RIGHTS!Evan Bowker
748. Gary M. Long, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
The internet should not be restricted based off of what an ISP "believes" is important to me. I pay for internet access and my ISP should not be able to control my access in any way, shape, or form. I do not agree with my ISP selling my browser history, nor my personal information just so they may profit off of it. Keep the internet free for everyone, as it should be!
749. Francis Lloyd Staats IV, Essex, NY, 12936
The internet needs to remain untouched by ISPs.God bless America.
750. David Coons, Potsdam, NY, 13676
The FCC has a duty to keep the internet open. The internet unites the country, and the world. ISP's should never have the right to give their customers an 'edited' version of the internet. I demand that the net neutrality rules remain in place.
751. Jackie Desnoes, Wilton, NY, 12831
Keep the internet neutral the way it is now. The internet is a marketplace of ideas and has created thousands and thousands of businesses and jobs. That will stop if the Federal Government gets it way and guts net neutrality.
752. Kevin Postlethwait, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
The internet is one of the last free places left in this world and it needs to be kept that way in order to keep information itself free and out of the control of

those who already have such great influence on what we hear every day.

753. James Dickinson Jr, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
We must not repeal the 2015 network neutrality rules, Many of us do not have the option for other internet services in our areas and having these protections in place for us allow it so that we are safe from being abused by ISP's. These rules in place also keep website owners safe from having to pay an arm and a leg just so people will be able to visit their sites uninterrupted, much smaller known sites would never gain attention if Net Neutrality rules were repealed including educational sites that people may use to try and better themselves. The Internet in todays day is used by almost everyone, its used more than home phones once were, it is essential to so many peoples lives it needs to be protected.
754. Ricky Haviland, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
Keep net neutrality. This is a free country, the internet should not be controlled on that level
755. Charles Stearns, Ogdensburg, NY, 13669
Leave the internet alone. This is a covered under the Constitution as part of Freedom of Speech. It must remain as it is and taxed free.
756. Kristen Austin, Potsdam, NY, 13676
I have finally gotten to a point in my life where I know what I want to do with it. After a failed attempt to get a degree in Secondary Education and failures in a rough job market, I realized I was going after the wrong goals. I now have the opportunity to go to school online and earn my degree in psychology while being connected to resources that will allow me to fulfill my goal of becoming a counselor. I don't want to have to worry about whether or not my ISP will allow me to attend my online courses or even connect me to resources I may need for research. The internet is full of funny cat gifs and silly trends, but it is a tool for education. Do not make the mistake of limiting access to education for those who may not have any other resource for it. Not to mention, I'd be really sad if you took away my cat gifs. Please do what is right for our country and it's citizens, allow them free access to the internet so we may stay connected as a society in culture and understanding. Let us build and use resources to learn more about literally anything. Let us keep finding new ways to influence cultural and personal growth.
757. Clifford A Reilly Jr, Potsdam, NY, 13676
Net neutrality is extremely important and I do not support the suggested changes by the FCC. There are fundamental rights that all Americans are entitled to, including freedom of speech and press, among others. Net neutrality ensures that many of our rights are not tarnished by self-interest and corruption. Net neutrality allows for all voices to be heard equally on the internet. Some

may argue that the elimination of net neutrality promotes capitalist ideals, however, it certainly does not when examined more closely. It works against capitalism to create monopolies on web services and prevents the little guy's voice from being heard, effectively crushing the internet version of the American dream. This, in turn, can limit what web services I have access to, preventing me from being well informed about politics, science, and consumer products. I cannot and will not support this type of blatant censorship for profit. The proposed changes are anti-consumer, anti-civic, anti-science, and most importantly, anti-American.

758. Laurence Hogan, Gansevoort, NY, 12831

This is a HUGELY important topic, and I cannot stress strongly enough how very, VERY important it is to ****maintain Net Neutrality****. Really, how could we NOT? As an admittedly "heavy-duty" computer user since the mid '80s, the (existing) level playing field of equal access and speed to any site that I might need information from... well, that's simply paramount. As a former computer graphics instructor and I.T. guy/administrator of about a hundred computers in my old school system, I came to depend upon the inherent RIGHT to have the NET be NEUTRAL. After all... why wouldn't we want that? So... PLEASE make every effort to KEEP Net Neutrality in place. Thank you.

759. Peter Garnsey, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983

I consider the internet a public utility. It is for the public good and everyone should have access to bandwidth, and people, not corporations, should be able to choose how to use that bandwidth. Classifying ISP as public utilities makes sense, because they are public utilities. Imagine if you had to wait in line to call certain numbers, but not others. That makes no sense. Keep a free and open, consumer orientated internet. Title II is the best way to do this. Peter Garnsey

760. Tony Dillon, Glens Falls, NY, 12801

I am worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way that they are enforced. I feel that a free an open internet is the only way to ensure that we can communicate across the world, whether it is for personal or business reasons. Millions of Americans work for online companies and this will put their ability to support their families at risk. Not all companies will be able to afford to pay for preferential treatment if internet providers decide to throttle speeds for those that cannot afford "internet fast lanes". I would support a new regulation if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not one that will cause us to lose what we already have.

761. Jacob Saltsman, peru, NY, 12972

The future of commerce is intertwined with the internet. By not supporting net-neutrality, you would be contributing to the downfall of the future of our free market economy. The mere concept of not supporting net-neutrality is un-

american, and would make the founders cringe. How dare you even consider destroying net-neutrality. Please re-think your position on this issue so that you don't further destabilize our economy.

762. Jennifer & Thomas Purkey, South Glens Falls, NY, 12803
I disagree wholeheartedly with the plan to cancel out net neutrality. It is doing a grave disservice to Americans and once again favors corporate America. I can hardly pay my bills as it is and were going to allow the companies another way to gouge the American people? America is supposed to be about freedoms of the PEOPLE not freedoms of the CORPORATIONS. They are NOT PEOPLE and they sure don't act American!
763. Brandon warner, Johnstown, NY, 12095
Hello, it's a mistake to change the internet. Allow freedom where freedom is deserved. Brandon warner
764. Ryan Surprenant, Middle Grove, NY, 12850
Net Neutrality is vital to our country and our world, and limiting can only hold us back as a civilization.
765. Nathaniel Doepper, Argyle, NY, 12809
NET NEUTRALITY IS RIGHTFUL UNDER THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. DOWN WITH THE GREEDY JEWS WHO CONTROL OUR GOVERNMENT! Nathaniel Doepper
766. Sam Mawhinney, Keene, NY, 12942
To whom it may concern: Please please please keep the Internet open to all. What side of history do you wish to be on? The People's side or the Elites? Which decision resonates with your heart? My wish is for the net to remain an open tool for The People. I ask you to imagine which story you want your grandchildren to tell their children. Thank you for your time. Sincerely, Sam Mawhinney Sam Mawhinney
767. David Zachar, Queensbury, NY, 12804
The Internet is the public square of the 21st Century. Without net neutrality, the voices of the digital haves, who can afford to pay for preferential treatment, will drown out the digital have-nots. I strongly urge you to keep in place the federal government's efforts to protect net neutrality.
768. Jeff Miller, Glens Falls, NY, 12801
Net Neutrality is what we need. Everyone has access to 1 ISP, we don't have much for options. If you get rid of net neutrality the web will have serious issues. Things like VPN will screw with these rules regardless. Limiting web access is greedy and sad. If there isn't enough feedback yet, just wait until this happens. The majority will not be happy.

769. noel coulantes, lake placid, NY, 12946
Protect net neutrality instead of giving up access to monied interests.
770. Nathan Liberatore, Champlain, NY, 12919
We the people of the world need net neutrality now more than ever before if we are to remain a free people
771. Andrew Torres, Wilmington, NY, 12997
Shame on the ISP's
772. Brendon Kondrat, Middle Grove, NY, 12850
It is my belief that it is my government's job to stop major companies from having the ability to control certain aspects market or utility such as the internet. I believe net neutrality does this and abandoning it would be against the interest of the majority. The majority being the internet's users and all other companies that rely on the internet for revenue.
773. William Condon, South Glens Falls, NY, 12803
The internet is a place of self expression and the pinnacle of worldwide connection. This is an intrusion of our freedom of speech when you restrict websites, especially blogs. Those in charge of the FCC most likely did not grow up with the internet like the those born in the 90's otherwise this net neutrality wouldn't even be a discussion. This would also cost tax dollars that could be used towards more useful thing other than the FCC looking for more funding and giving them more jobs. Thank you.
774. Ryan Poupore, Watertown, NY, 13601
The internet should be considered a basic right to every human being. We should be trying to get everyone access to it so that we can quickly better educate all of the world. Limiting the internet would be one of the worst decision of our existence.
775. Nathan Gingrich, Potsdam, NY, 13676
There is no reason for broadband internet to be restricted in the same way that a finite resource is. Data is inherently limitless, and should not be treated like tangible materials. I have only one option for internet access. Keeping the internet open is the best future for the US.
776. Ryan Cassidy, Queensbury, NY, 12804
The current FCC's Open Internet Rules are not something that should be repealed. You know this, we know this, and ISP's know this. So the only question is do you actually care about the wants, needs, desires, and well being of the American people, or just about your next bonus? Be a decent human being and act accordingly.

777. Giovanni Brocato, Watertown, NY, 13601
Really shouldn't let this happen. There would be many things across the internet that nobody would be able to access simply because the isp we have will block them saying "i don't like it" yet try to get us to go to other sites that offer similar services/etc of which they choose not to block. Personally I do not support the officials on this subject. Internet browsing is freedom of the people and should stay this way.
778. Keely nickel, Evans Mills, NY, 13637
I don't want to use Bing, this will not solve any issues. Save the internet
#netneutrality
779. Liam Price, Tupper Lake, NY, 12986
Net neutrality is an important thing to keep in the United States of America because the consumers in a democracy must be protected by the government from those who would exploit and inconvenience them over a few extra dollars. Many people have jobs that rely on a stable and reliable internet connection and taking that away from them is a detrimental attack on freedom.
780. Alan Nudi, Hudson Falls, NY, 12839
Thank you for taking the time to read my submission. I love that I still have the freedom to visit this site without my bandwidth being throttled, manipulated, or even blocked. I love that I live in a country that allows us to submit our ideas, via the internet nonetheless. It is absolutely imperative that we protect and foster an "Open Internet" in America and around the globe. It is completely un-American to want to control every minute piece of data that is transferred via the internet, and a complete violation of privacy. If we want to live in a world where fair communication is favored over segmentation, throttling, or even blocking, then we must agree to have an "Open Internet" culture. I find it shocking that America, the land of the free, would even suggest the possibility of ripping the greatest accomplishment of mankind into pieces. Unfortunately, that's what greed and politics will do if we don't stop it. Now is the time to teach everyone the importance of the free web, or else we all will find out what real oppression is. We will be constantly monitored by our ISPs and the government, and they will be able to control the sites we visit and the quality of the data we transfer. Never again will there be private communication on the internet if we allow it's "openness" to be put in peril. We will literally be destroying freedom for the world. Please, do not succumb to dollar signs. Freedom should be worth much more than that. Thanks again for reading and listening. Freedom awaits your decision.
781. Kathleen Roberts, Hampton, NY, 12837
I am an internet user who likes the idea of it continuing to be a level playing field for everyone to use. If the rules which protect all users equally were to be

rolled back, allowing richer players the advantage because of their wealth, it would be the equivalent playing a football game on a tilted field where the poorest team is always running uphill towards their goal. It might be fun for the first time around but when the fans are aware of the pay to play/win slant, they will lose interest and stop investing time and money into a rigged game.

782. Brian, Queensbury, NY, 12804

this is one thing that cannot be infringed upon, by ANYONE, or ANYTHING. leave the internet alone!!!

783. Darlene Phillips, Queensbury, NY, 12804

Iâ€™m calling on the FCC to stand up for net neutrality and safeguard Title II protections. Iâ€™m concerned about ISPs being allowed to discriminate against certain types of data or websites because users will have fewer options and a less diverse Internet. ISPs could have too much power to determine what I can do online.

784. Martha M. Evanoff, Blue Mountain Lake, NY, 12812

Open and free internet without interference.....I stand with other New York State citizens.....slowdowns and service interruptions were often the result of a deliberate business choice by Spectrum-Time Warner Cable to squeeze higher fees out of content providers, like Netflix, and the networks those content providers relied on to reach customers. Meanwhile, New Yorkers who signed up for internet werenâ€™t getting the service and speeds Spectrum-TWC promised and charged them for. Unacceptable!

785. Noah Newell-Dodds, Canton, NY, 13617

I support strong internet neutrality and Title II enforcement.

786. Peter Vuchich, Fort Ann, NY, 12827

Once again, one can only hope that the outcome has not been long ago bought and paid for by interests who can afford such legitimized graft. Do we hope in vain? Time will tell.

787. Michael Weil, Potsdam, NY, 13676

I oppose Chairman Pai's plan to scale back Net Neutrality. The internet is a public utility today, used by almost everybody, for an ever increasing number of purposes. I use the internet daily for email, Facebook and Netflix and the opportunity for large ISP's to gerrymander the otherwise free use and competition is too great to trust to large corporations. I also am using the internet recently for researching medical issues for me and my family. If the rules are reversed, the prime beneficiaries will be the big corporate ISP's; the prime losers will be the public. From the FCC's website: "The FCC's Mission. The Federal Communications Commission regulates interstate and international communications by radio, television, wire, satellite, and cable in all 50 states,

the District of Columbia and U.S. territories."The internet is no different than radio, TV, satellite or cable. Do not roll back Net Neutrality.

788. Sean Spero, Queensbury, NY, 12804
This is a violation of our rights.
789. C.Daniel Chase, Lake George, NY, 12845
Please keep the Internet a level playing field for everyone. Net Neutrality helps small business to creatively develop new ideas and services. But, they can't compete if you give the big guys an edge.Thank you!-Dan
790. Alexander L. Brandes, lake placid, NY, 12946
i think that the minority groups (groups of people with different interests than most other people in the country will get shoved to the side in favor of more popular groups which in my opinion just goes back to how some things were in school, which is a horrible way of going about everything in life. Acting like school children
791. Nichole Merlich, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
I'm sick and tired of this motherfucking throttling on my motherfucking Internet!
792. Jen Shepherd, Corinth, NY, 12822
Keep the internet free and open. Find another way to line your greedy pockets. If your plan succeeds, however would our idiot POTUS sully the Internet with his unintelligent and ridiculous tweets?
793. Roarden Myer, South Cairo, NY, 12842
I feel as though the FCC was a general mistake, and this is some pretty harsh stuff. You're easing your way into taking away our freedom, and nobody appreciates this. I've not met ONE person that thinks this is a good idea.
794. Robert Yarger, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
We have seen what unlimited money has done to government and politics. Abolishing net neutrality will have the same bad effect on the internet.
795. THERESA STAMATES, Broadalbin, NY, 12025
PLEASE DO NOT END MY ACCESS TO THE NETTHERESA STAMATES
796. william barber, potsdam, NY, 13676
You regulate enough things. leave the freedom of the internet to the ppl that use it. It doesnt need to be profited more than it is already.
797. Alex Bender, Watertown, NY, 13601
We need strong net neutrality. America is supposed to be a land with equal

opportunities for all. We know that to not be true, but please stop moving our country in the direction where only the rich can succeed. We deserve better. Your fellow country men deserve better. So for once in your long career of making choices to line your pockets with gold, please make the right decision and keep strong net neutrality rules

798. Brandon M. Besaw, Peru, NY, 12972
Hows this for brief? NO!
799. Brandon Orlosky, Queensbury, NY, 12804
I support net neutrality, for a free and open internet. NO PAID PRIORITIZATION!
800. Dylan Cardinale, south glens falls, NY, 12803
The internet needs to have new neutrality. Internet needs to stay free.
801. Dylan Johnston, fort edward, NY, 12828
So many jobs depend on the internet remaining uncensored and open with high speeds. Changing the way the internet works in this way would jeopardize millions of people's livelihoods.
802. Jon Ralston, Massena, NY, 13662
Net Neutrality is something most people take for granted but don't realize how fundamental it is to most users. Removing the rules of Net Neutrality will seriously harm just about everyone who uses the world wide web for almost everything. Please keep the rules in place!
803. Tyler Ostrander, Northville, NY, 12134
To allow corporations to control internet freedom is to completely disregard the founding principles of our nation. If we are a country where "all men are created equal", then why should we allow a few corporate leaders dictate how everyone else gets to enjoy the internet?
804. Evan Walrath, Gloversville, NY, 12078
I am very much so in favor of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
805. Alison Thompson, Potsdam, NY, 13676
I SUPPORT A STRONG NET NEUTRALITY. Internet service providers MUST enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and WITHOUT favoring or blocking particular websites. I truly hope FCC considers the popular consensus on this issue, that we the people deserve a free internet viewing experience. Thank you for hearing me, and many other internet users, out.

806. Jeremy Allen, Evans Mills, NY, 13637
Keep Calm and the Internet Free!
807. Samantha Crozier, Watertown, NY, 13601
Keep net neutrality. It would be a great injustice if you were allow the death of the open web.
808. David Fuller, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
Removing the current regulation will have devastating effects on the profit margins of every American business excluding the largest Internet providers. And the smaller Internet providers will be forced out of business entirely. The decreased tax revenue would harm the government. And our economy would be crippled. Not to mention the disastrous effects it would have on the advancement of Internet connectivity and of technology as a whole. It would also stifle the creativity and social aspects of the world wide web. The consumers will be forced to pay more for less, and the market will no longer be driven by competition. When 80,000+ companies state that they don't support this change, and the sole reason you provide for it's change is because the Internet Service Providers say they won't abuse it, do you truly believe that this is in the best interest of Americans? No, of course it isn't. Net neutrality protects free business practices in this country.
809. William Gaynor, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
Please keep the internet free and open, save net neutrality.
810. Stephen J. Alexanian, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
I think the Internet should be left alone and not tampered with. The proposals I have read about do nothing but ensure limited and controlled websites and restrictions or timely delays with other sites. This is restriction of free speech against principles America was founded on. An open and free internet as it is serves the majority of the people in the best way. Tampering with it will produce winners and losers and not serve the greatest interest of all. Please do not allow the changes being proposed.
811. Elisa Leibowitz, Greenwich, NY, 12834
A free and open internet is an important democratic institution and deserves protection from corporate control. Free and open access and exchange of ideas and information is what a free, open internet facilitates. Please protect net neutrality.
812. Tyler Gwin, Valley Falls, NY, 12185
Please keep net neutrality. It is what keeps the internet fair for all businesses and people alike.
813. Susan Ward, Ticonderoga, NY, 12883

Net neutrality allows people to view what they would like regardless of whether it is being sponsored by an influential company or not. Please don't take it away.

814. Dan Canty, Wilton, NY, 12831

It should be clear from the reaction to the NSA's collecting information that this too will not go well. We have entered into a new technological age, and this piece of legislation will undoubtedly hinder that progress as well as future endeavors. If you look at the Constitution you will clearly see stated at the very top "We the people..." And as (if) you read this, you will see Us the people putting in our input on this matter, and that should count more than any signature from a government official signing their name for millions of people behind that signature, and the nice wad of cash in their pocket that they have recently received. It's frustrating enough to deal with blocked sites at school or work from web filters, and with this you will make that an everyday struggle for every single person, except for those putting their names on that document.

815. Lenich, Willsboro, NY, 12996

Net Neutrality allows users to browse the internet without the fear of censorship. If net neutrality is removed, massive amounts of information would be inaccessible.

816. John Stoddard, Moriah, NY, 12960

An open and free internet is the key to US innovation for the past few decades. Our country should lead by example and keep it free and allow our citizens to continue to innovate and create a bright future for everyone. I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP.

817. Katrina, Gloversville, NY, 12078

Trying to control the internet or throttling the web pages is not only a not smart move it is something North Korea is doing. This whole thing screams communism not democracy. Every website should all be accessed at the same speed that we as paying customers to the internet pay for, receiving any less speed from any site that isn't what we pay for is just theft in my opinion. I feel you are supposed to make the internet a free to roam place with everything being fair, equal and just free to roam as is. I do not believe in this throttling of some websites and boosts for others that's just so unfair to everyone. I do not support this at all. Please listen to us all, don't do what North Korea did.

818. Robert G. McIntosh, Cambridge, NY, 12816

I regard access to the internet the way I regard my access to electricity. It needs to be there when I need it. Please don't roll back the existing rules governing internet neutrality.

819. Colin Studenroth, Glens Falls, NY, 12801

Net neutrality is paramount to maintaining a competitive American economy.

Allowing ISPs to set the standards for what content is accessible to people and businesses will harm the majority of Americans. Please reconsider reversing the standards of net neutrality.

820. net neutrality, Brasher Falls, NY, 13613
The internet is supposed to be a free and safe place for the expression of ideas and communication between people all around the globe. Removing net neutrality is telling the American people that ISP's and cable providers are worth more than they are. Stand up for your citizens
821. Andrew Strohmeier, Argyle, NY, 12809
Keep the internet neutral. Support Net neutrality
822. Shawn Trombley, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
We NEED net neutrality to keep fair practices among isp's the fact that this is even up for debate blows my mind. we don't need service providers throttling our connections just because they don't like a certain app or website. Please keep net neutrality the way it is!
823. William Kuzmich Jr., Greenwich, NY, 12834
Keep the net neutrality act as it is so information can continue to be shared "freely" without increased censorship.
824. Shane Putney, Warrensburg, NY, 12885
This kills small business.
825. Michael Atamanchuk, Queensbury, NY, 12804
SICK OF THE MONOPOLY CORPORATION BULLSHIT THESE COMPANIES HAVE... I WANT GOOD INTERNET THAT DOESN'T COST AN ARM AND A LEG... I ALSO WANT RIGHTS TO LOOK AT THE ENTIRE NOT CENSORED INTERNET SHIT LIKE REDDIT, TWITTER AND FACEBOOK. SICK OF THEM PICKING POLITICAL SIDES AND CONTROLLING WHAT PEOPLE SEE.. SICK OF IT ALL WE ARE BASICALLY BECOMING A DICTATORSHIP COUNTRY IF WE ALLOW ANYONE TO CONTROL WHAT WE SEE AND WHEN WE SEE IT.. SIMPLE CONCEPT LET OTHER COMPANIES COMPETE WITH EACH OTHER FOR THE BEST PRICES AND INTERNET IN TOWN I DON'T WANT TO BE STUCK WITH A SHITTY PROVIDER WITH NO UPGRADE POSSIBILITIES AND THROTTLED SPEEDS BECAUSE THEY HAVE A MONOPOLY ON THE AREA (I'M TALKING ABOUT YOU TIME WARNER/ SPECTRUM!)
826. Thomas Brownell, Winthrop, NY, 13697
The internet is a not only a useful tool in this day and age, but a necessary one that millions use to make new friendships, educate themselves and get

important information at a moment's notice. The thought that you would restrict access to it for the monetary benefit of corporations is truly appalling.

827. joshua franklin, fort drum, NY, 13602
equal and unhindered access to the internet ensures common access to both information and learning tools, and provides startup and small companies the means to compete or at least contend with large companies. removing net neutrality would only serve to create monopolies within the the commercial cyberspace and harm the capitalism and the competition within it that provides jobs and new revenue. IF you belief ending net neutrality than you are either a dictator loving fascist or a complete idiot.
828. Sergia Coffey, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
Net Neutrality is important for competition for small businesses, innovation and freedom of communications. In the 21st century a the internet is important for everyone, net neutrality allows equal access to the internet. Internet providers should not pick winners and losers and who gets to have access to the internet, or who gets high speed connection and who does not. Net Neutrality should not be restricted.
829. Michael McNally, Queensbury, NY, 12804
Net Neutrality ensures fair access to the internet for all users. Telecom companies are not like retail stores which have numerous competitors that they have to battle against. Telecom is made up of a few massive corporations with little to no incentive to improve their service. 51% of all US internet users have access to only the one provider! If a company does something that is anti-consumer, those consumers have to put up with it or stop accessing the internet. In 2017 internet access is a necessity for work as well as play. If a company can discriminate on speed it can ensure that services like Netflix get low speeds while the telecom providers own streaming services get clear sailing. It can prioritize the telecoms VOIP communication client over Skype, Facebook or whatever other service someone wants to use to communicate. Small internet startups will need to pay a premium for people to access their services at reasonable speeds. This is simply a means for telecoms to reduce competition in an already non-competitive market! Those at the FCC are aware of the intense popularity of keeping the net neutrality rules, and in the interest of supporting competition in the market it simply must be kept! Until steps are taken to ensure that the giant telecom agencies lose their local monopolistic power, net neutrality is the only way to ensure that they at the very least treat their customers with some respect. Please leave net neutrality as it is, for all of the citizens in this country who use the internet everyday. Thank you, Michael McNally, Upstate New York.
830. Henry Araujo IV, Gloversville, NY, 12078

Usage of internet on a casual level has been fine it is, it's used as entertainment, be it videos, music, or artwork. It is popular for it's ability for social media so people can keep in touch and hear about important event, those who require it for connections could possibly miss an important message that could be life changing, if the person's net is stunted any way this could negatively impact them even though they personally pay more just so they can get these messages.

831. Zane Lashway-Voytko, Woodville, NY, 13650

I want the Internet to stay open.

832. Andrew, Broadalbin, NY, 12025

For people of my generation the internet is as necessary to everyday life as water or electric, if not more-so. Therefore it shouldn't be regulated any differently. You should pay a base price for access to anything on it. Without fast or slow lanes. Please do the right thing for the future. Thank you! Andrew

833. Thomas Gadway, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901

Net Neutrality is necessary. To permit a few well placed monopolies to control who gets to be received by the user/customer in a timely fashion, or at all, is to artificially disadvantage the entry of newcomers, startups, and small businesses to a growing market. It has been shown time and again that it is such small risk-takers who are the primary sources of job growth, and they should be afforded the same protections as their predecessors. Thank you.

834. James Rexford, Canton, NY, 13617

I don't believe that there should be limits placed on the internet by ISPs. The internet needs to stay a place where all information is accessible, not just the information that ISPs want us to view.

835. Devan Kidd, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983

I personally think that the internet should stay open to everyone. ISP's shouldn't be allowed to have paid prioritization, they shouldn't be able to block websites, and they also shouldn't be able to throttle them either. An open internet is much better than having it in the hands of ISP's that want to make money of the public.

836. Matthew Feidt, Potsdam, NY, 13676

My opinion is that net neutrality is essential to freedom. It should be protected at all costs.

837. Quinn, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901

you should be ashamed of yourselves to even entertain the idea that the bloated wannabe monopolies of comcast, verizon, and AT&T could have this kind of totalitarian control over our internet content. they already control the type of cable providers and phone services we have access to. a website's success,

popularity, and content value is placed SOLELY upon the american people that have the freedom to visit them, uninterrupted. i, along with the millions of people across america, support net neutrality and the open internet. the type of control that these companies will exert on internet access is on par with facism and dictatorships that our country fought hard to keep off our shores. keep net neutrality. don't let these companies pick and choose for us. that isn't democracy. that isn't liberty. it's wrong. now i'm rambling because i'm terrified that no matter what i say, it won't get into your head. stop being greedy fucks. think of the people that don't have your power. Quinn

838. Justin Morse, Lake Pleasant, NY, 12108

The Internet needs to stay as it is. Corporations have control of everything else, they don't need the ability to slow down, restrict access to, or prioritize certain websites over another. If you screw with net neutrality, you screw with the last bastion of freedom of speech that isn't pure word of mouth.

839. Tyler Smith, Queensbury, NY, 12804

Listen to the voice of the people and make the right decision

840. Trevor Johnson, Ogdensburg, NY, 13669

I'm alarmed that this is even up for debate. The rules currently in place protect consumers and ensure access for all, no matter what their social or economic status. To change them and allow providers to filter content or to allow users to pay premiums to view certain websites is absolutely unacceptable. Access to the internet in today's day and age IS a basic human right. To vote against this right would not only be detrimental to the US economy and its citizens, but have implications across global economies as well. I strongly urge the FCC to maintain net neutrality in the interest of all Americans!

841. Net Neutrality, Peru, NY, 12972

The basis of American Values is freedom and equality for all. If one person has the ability to control what we are allowed to say and do on the internet, that is, by my standards, unconstitutional.

842. Eric Lamb, Philadelphia, NY, 13673

Companies should not now or ever own or restrict our freedoms as American citizens, these rules they are trying to implement are unconstitutional. I will not lie, I will go to porn sites, but it is my right to do so because the internet is free and that's how I choose to spend my time, no one else has the right to make that choice for me. If these laws pass you might as well burn the Constitution, because it's going to let these companies do whatever they want to make more money while everyone who doesn't pay into their wallets will suffer.

843. Justin Morse, Lake Pleasant, NY, 12108

Net neutrality is important to the open repository of information, social side of,

and connection to the internet that we all share today. We are at risk of losing any sort of free browsing and free speech on a platform that is very much one of the last bastions of it. Small upstart companies online will be unfairly treated due to this, as will anyone that doesn't pay a fee to get priority over other web pages. Reminder that a lot of platforms used are also worldwide as well. It's an extremely corrupt position to take, being against the open internet, and most who take that position do it out of self-gain.

844. Michael pitts jr, theresa, NY, 13691

isp's and any other companies should not have any degree of ability to control what is on the internet or the internet speeds of specific websites it borders on controlling freedom of speech and will only cause monopolization of the internet

845. Jan Keichline, Johnstown, NY, 12095

Net neutrality has proven itself a source of unconditional knowledge and education for me. I have found information on the internet that I likely wouldn't be able to find anywhere else. I have found family and friends that I would have been lost touch with otherwise. In addition, I use the email and the social networking sites to keep in touch with a cat rescue organization that I volunteer in. It is crucial that I know when I am needed and when emergencies arise with the organization. I do not have many options when it comes to my broadband choices. If I am left with a service provider who decides to limit my access to these important social connections I run the risk of losing access to my long distance connections. I run the risk of being unable to help my Rescue. I believe the Net Neutrality laws should stand as they are and the FCC should support that.

846. Alexandra Williams, LaFargeville, NY, 13656

The FCC should protect New Neutrality by keeping heavy oversights of ISPs' in place as well as having ISPs remain under Title II classifications in order to protect our current free and open internet. This is important to us in everyday life from leisure time, work and even big things such as protecting our democracy. ISPs should not be able to control what we do and see online.

847. Collin Michael Sovie, Ogdensburg, NY, 13669

The Internet as we know has become a fundamental part of society in such a way that limiting it would do far more harm then I think anyone can really fully understand. Its used in almost every facet of people's lives now: communications, schooling, business transactions, the list goes on. Allowing any sort of control over this enables people in positions of power to have the opportunity to control how this data is to be distributed, or even censor whatever they feel to their own liking. In a country like the United States of America, a country built on individual liberties and opportunities, something

like this cannot be allowed.

848. Nathaniel B. Hawes, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020

To whom it may concern: I am an American and I believe in freedom of opportunity in this great country. I believe that the internet is in the public interest, and much like a road, the electric grid, or water network should be treated as such. The water network provides the most important resource for human life, water. Roads transport goods, people, services and make our society function. The electric grid delivers the energy which increases our productivity and makes our comfortable lives possible. The internet serves as a conduit to bring knowledge to the people. People without access to higher education can avail themselves of any number of free university quality classes. The internet serves as a great equalizer, bringing what was only available to those privileged enough to all. In my own life, I am an engineer, one of my co-workers mentioned to me once the concept of "effective IQ" which was defined as "everything you know off the top of your head, or know how to look up within 5 minutes." In my line of work my effective IQ is chiefly important. Without the internet my effective IQ probably falls to 30% of my current capacity. Said differently, with the internet I do the work of 3 engineers who don't have the internet. All of these benefits are predicated on the free movement and free exchange of ideas through the internet. Reversing the rules on net neutrality threatens that exchange of ideas. By allowing an ISP to be a gate-keeper you allow a giant corporation to dictate what ideas may or may not be on the internet. Effectively, ISPs would be able to shake-down small internet startups, the growth engines of the economy, to allow their data to flow (or flow more quickly). In my small rural area, there is no comparably fast alternative to my cable company for my ISP, they are effectively a local monopoly. Without a guarantee of net neutrality, that ISP may decide at a later to dictate just exactly what information I can obtain through the internet. I sincerely thank you for the commenting period on this issue, and hope that you will decide that personal freedoms should supercede an industry's desire to find another revenue stream. Best Regards, Nathaniel Hawes

849. Sean O'Connor, Wells, NY, 12190

I can't come up with a nice, articulate, drawn-out, well-worded letter like what was pre-written, so I'll just say this. When will y'all realise that folks just won't let you kill net neutrality? Gods willing, this underhanded tactic of repeatedly attempting in hopes that citizens will grow weary of defending net neutrality won't work. Throttling sites that don't pay off ISPs amounts to extortion and censorship. It's wrong to let the money and influence of major ISPs to stifle those who can't or won't pay up. Plain and simple. Quite honestly, I'm appalled that this is even being seriously considered, not even for the first time. So I ask of y'all this: don't sell yourselves out to the money-grubbing snakes trying to buy laws that would let them run a protection racket on the internet. Cheers, A

concerned citizen Sean O'Connor

850. Todd Beecher, Glens Falls, NY, 12801

I am writing in support of maintaining Net Neutrality provisions. The ability to access information or communicate should not be influenced by an individual's or corporation's desire for profit. The internet is an indispensable tool for education, commerce, research and education. It is unthinkable to me that we would give for-profit corporations, unaccountable to the public, such power over it. The decisions made in regards to this matter will heavily impact my future voting.

851. Alyssa Buschman, Queensbury, NY, 12804

Consumers who pay for internet access should be able to openly navigate the web in an unbiased, unthrottled manner. Repealing net neutrality would allow internet service providers to prioritize which webpages are seen and which ads are seen more often. This would openly allow providers to indirectly drive client traffic well as allow providers to discriminate at will against any internet based company or web based organization which did not pay for promotion. That could destroy the concept of the open market on the internet and turn the web into a corporate-interest driven crapshoot.

852. Daniel Scamell, Salem, NY, 12865

Please consider retaining current internet regulations, as removing those which are in place could have serious implications regarding the freedom to access information on the internet as we have grown accustomed to. Please also consider incentivizing for ISPs which self impose regulations similar to those which are in place now to make the practice worth the while of providers should the new regulations be implemented. Thank you.

853. Ethan Payne, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901

Net Neutrality is essential to a free and enlightened society, viewpoints should not be buried or hidden just because someone wants to make them disappear ever. Knowledge and free speech are sacred and endemic to healthy society and they should be treated as such.

854. Britn Haviland, Watertown, NY, 13601

You don't want your guns taken away, but you're going to allow a private corporation to tell us what can be on the internet? It's the same thing as burning books. May whatever invisible man in the sky you have a psychotic belief in pretend to care for your evolved monkey brain.

855. Bryan Schmidt, Harrisville, NY, 13648

If the internet is restricted in the ways that I'm thinking of, then we may as well call ourselves Korea. I'd much rather have the internet remain laissez faire, being an open source for everybody and everyone.

856. Wynter, Poughkeepsie, NY, 12801
The internet has become a vital tool in our modern world, and thus it is urgent that net neutrality must be maintained as a right to the people.
857. James EM Woods, Fort Drum, NY, 13603
The internet needs strong protection in order to maintain neutrality and its best traits as an information and communication medium. The people need a free internet in order to express ideas and share research. Keep net neutrality strong and maintain the freedom that the American people need.
858. Brandyn Ead, Bombay, NY, 12914
I firmly believe in net neutrality simply because it's one of the best things for us. Allowing others to control our data, what we watch, what sources we retrieve said data from hinders us from growing and expanding as a people. And yet blocks us from furthering ourselves as a whole. While the internet is 50% laughs and giggles, there's information and unbelievable facts out here that would get lost if something were to control its content.
859. Adam Celeste, Argyle, NY, 12809
How about, no? We really don't need to make the internet a stomping ground for big money.
860. Jared Markham, Norwood, NY, 13668
Eliminating any elements of net neutrality would probably result in annoyance to users and therefore reduce overall use of the internet.
861. Kerry, Johnstown, NY, 12095
Dear FCC, The FCC must protect the open Internet by maintaining net neutrality protections under Title II. The FCC should throw out Chairman Ajit Pai's plan to hand the government-subsidized ISP monopolies like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon free rein to create Internet fast lanes, stripping users of the necessary privacy and access protections we worked for and won just two years ago. I'm afraid of a "pay-to-play" Internet where ISPs can charge more for certain websites because ISPs could have too much control of what we can see online and the quality of access could be stunted for small businesses. This is important to me as a disabled woman because of my personal needs have to come at the best bargain that I can find for most things. I will not be able to find such things if throttles are put on small businesses who would be willing to give me a better deal on a product or those that are the only ones out there that sell the things that I may need. I have a history of being abused and the thought of someone out there able to pay for my information is truly terrifying. Make the right choice and keep our internet free and private.
862. Alexander Quinn, Queensbury, NY, 12804
The internet should be a completely free and open resource!!!!

863. Merryl Logie, Tupper Lake, NY, 12986
This is ridiculous, you shouldn't need to be told that this is an asinine plan that just restricts freedom. Stop this bull. It's just orwelian and greedy.Merryl Logie
864. Merryl Logie, Tupper Lake, NY, 12986
Your current efforts are an absurd attempt to take away our rights to a free and equal internet, protected by the government. Corporations should not be allowed to regulate themselves like this, it's an absurd assumption that they're built on anything other than greed and selfishness. Keeping internet providers under Title II is the only way to ensure equality in america, and the only way to keep us from being a fascist state where the government is pitiful compared to corporations that can bully anyone they want.
865. Christopher Brown, Galway, NY, 12074
I am for Net neutrality
866. Janice Lau, Saratoga Springs, NY, 12866
I am strongly opposed to Chairman Pai's proposal to reverse net neutrality protections which would have the effect of giving corporate internet providers the power to prioritize what we read, watch, and explore online. I believe net neutrality and the internet as a public communication utility is essential to the workings of a democratic society. It is unacceptable to reverse net neutrality protections because a free and open internet is vital for our democracy, for our businesses, and for our daily lives.Chairman Pai's proposal threatens independent news outlets, small businesses, start-up blogs, grassroots activist groups, and any ordinary citizen who uses the internet. The FCC should be advancing policies which protect citizen interests rather than policies which are clearly designed to benefit corporations and allow them control over the information we consume every day.
867. Kathryn Brown, Galway, NY, 12074
Keep net neutrality protections in place!!!
868. Scot David Gaylord, Turin, NY, 13473
We need to keep Net Neutrality alive and well. In a free and capitalist country we need full access to the internet and in turn the information in the internet to advance farther into the future. Taking the first step by breaking Net Neutrality will lead to a slippery slope where people will only be able to see what a company wants them to, and this becomes a problem in areas like mine where the options for internet are very limited. This prevents people like myself from being able to exercise my rights as a consumer to keep these companies in check, through taking my business elsewhere.
869. Lisa Stengel, Greenfield Center, NY, 12833
Hello,My name is Lisa and my career is social media. The brilliance of the

Internet is that I can reach people, products and services from across the world with a click of a button. What happens to my job when you control the Internet? The creativity and passion is gone. The Internet is supposed to be a rabbit hole in which you can discover new products and services and/or people from across the world. If you controlled the top websites and the content that the majority of Internet users see - there will be no viral videos, or comments; no more curiosity and no more aspirations for people to have their own slice of the world. Stop trying to take a good thing and turn it into a product - let culture reign over greed.

870. Colin Knapp, Adams, NY, 13605

No comment needed other than removing net neutrality is only beneficial to the corporate elite and the other major stock holders that make up the Congress and government bodies. Removing these and allowing for things such as 'paid priority access' to internet lines when some people are already paying more than they have to for terrible dsl lines is robbery at its finest.

871. Miranda Zigler , Watertown, NY, 13601

I support net neutrality and don't want the larger companies to have the ability to alter how my family can browse or stream on the internet. Whether they come out and say it, they ultimately are just trying to find ways to take people over the coals and nickel and dime them in what ever way necessary! They see other companies as threatening and are trying to make it harder to use them or make people have to pay more to use those sevices! I'm so not ok with that and want the net neutrality to stay the way it is!!!!I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it

harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Miranda Zigler

872. Kasandra Okosky, Saratoga Springs, NY, 12866
I pay too much for subpar service. I pay for a 50 mbs service and it runs at 15.
Kasandra Okosky
873. Benjamin Golden, Cape Vincent, NY, 13618
The internet can not be controlled by corporations for the sake of keeping all information out there and free, not just what they want us to see.
874. Audrie Ward, Ticonderoga, NY, 12883
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY! The internet is a vast place-- the primary method of communication and learning in this day and age. If parts of the internet are blocked off, slowed, or end up requiring payments to even access, you are thoroughly hindering the chances for people to get whatever information they require, disable communication throughout the globe, and destroying the internet for what it has always been made and known for; a free for all world.
875. Alex R, schuylerville, NY, 12871
My disabled siblings use the internet everyday for they have trouble with physical activity. My family already pays nearly 300\$ a month for internet, I'd prefer there to be no more charges just to be able to access certain sites. Removing net neutrality is damaging to EVERYONE except for the big businesses who get to charge extra for the same services they've been giving for decades.
876. Connor Ferris, Potsdam, NY, 13676
Destroying net neutrality will leave the American public and the average internet user worse off. Instead of being a free and open place, where anything can happen and creativity shines, the internet will begin to look like something approximating paid cable television. Big ISPs make enough money as it is, there's really no reason to hand them more when doing so only makes the common internet user worse off. With any sort of prioritization of certain content and slowdown or restriction of content ISPs don't like, the internet will become less of a free and open place. Less creativity, less content, less tools, less of a reason to go there. The internet should remain as it is in its current state to preserve the freedom it creates, its usefulness and its content.
877. Daniel Newton, Adams Center, NY, 13606

Net neutrality is a needed thing, especially for college kids who barely make any money, which I will be soon. I do not want to have to pay into my college more than needed because they need to pay for over a thousand students being on the internet and having to have special access for the necessary sites. Please, don't pass this. Free internet benefits everyone.

878. Eddie Smith, Saratoga Springs, NY, 12866

Lots of people like the internet. Making rules that the majority of people don't want doesn't work very well, just look at how prohibition worked out. I say don't go with a broad "fix" that no one will like for whatever problem you perceive. Do the work and pinpoint the issues. When you have a problem, ask the internet. If your problem is with the internet, ask the people who use the internet. If changes of any kind are made to the internet, it MUST be with the full consent of those who use it, or there will be many issues popping up all over the place that no one wants to deal with.

879. Korey Fylypek, Hudson Falls, NY, 12839

Without Net neutrality, small businesses and internet startups will suffer at the hands of ISPs. Removing net neutrality will allow ISPs to further reduce competition, and will be detrimental to the experience of consumers.

880. tyler whaley, Evans Mills, NY, 13637

After serving in the U.S. military i attended schooling online in order to further my education and open more opportunities for employment, if ISPs are able to pick and choose internet content this would not have been possible for me to accomplish. I believe what i am paying my ISP for is simply fast internet, for other things like email and voice chat to connect with family from out of state i need to use other companies. also where i currently live i only have access to one internet provider that is not satellite connection as satellite does not offer speeds and latency i need for schooling and communication. I believe that ISPs need oversight from other external agencies, without this consumers could very easily be negatively impacted by price increases and filtered content,

881. Connor Perrea, Mooers, NY, 12958

the idea that this topic must even be discussed is outrageous and i do solemnly believe that the fact that this basic right is being threatened is equivalent to threatening the access of a populations food or water supply

882. anthony galy, Champlain, NY, 12919

Hello , I think we should Keep Net neutrality the way it is the way its meant to be open for everyone . We shouldn't let Trump and his bully's tier down the net and all the good things it has to bring to everyone not only the kids all over, small business, Gamer's like me ISP shouldn't not be able to tell you what to do on your on internet you pay for and we the people have got going for the ISP.

Seeing that if we all didn't have there internet then they wouldn't be here .Please save NET Neutrality. Thanks Anthony .

883. Rachel, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020

Leaving the internet to the companies will just encourage further suppression of the rights of the public - the right to educate themselves on things they need and want to know, the right to happiness in entertaining themselves in watching or playing what they want, the right to wellness and communicating with their friends and family they can't as easily talk to in person due to distance.

Companies have been growing so much in power recently, and it's alarming how little power the common man is having in return. The rich are already running the country, the internet is the last place where people are fairly equal - your wealth means nothing. If this goes through, many self-employed people and those who run small businesses who need the internet to operate, this will destroy them.

884. Mark Wheeler, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020

Preserve Net Neutrality. We need to continue to have a free and open internet.

885. Wendy M Gordon, Plattsburgh, NY, 12903

Net neutrality keeps the new American economy thriving, because new companies will have the same access to markets as well-established firms. This rule was adopted after careful consideration - please retain it.

886. Darius DeJesus, saratoga springs, NY, 12866

You represent the interests of the people. And the people need open access to information. People need the ability to choose. People need net neutrality.

887. Teresa Ptacek, Greenwich, NY, 12834

I submit these comments in my capacity as the executive director of the Agricultural Stewardship Association, a small nonprofit based in New York State. We oppose provisions in the FCC's April 2017 Notice of Proposed Rule making "Restoring Internet Freedom" to end the utility-style regulatory approach of internet service providers. We believe these provisions, if enacted, will permit internet service providers to prioritize higher paying customers and raise internet costs for everyone. As a small nonprofit with limited funds, we cannot afford higher costs. We urge you to retain the guidelines as currently drafted with respect to the provisions mentioned above.

888. RCWRJayfur, Bolton Landing, NY, 12814

STOP RASING THE FUCKING FEES YOU DICKBAGSRCWRJayfur

889. Dominic, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020

The freedom of speech is the foundation of our country and the internet is the last true bastion for that freedom. It does not care who you are, how old you are,

what you believe or what your gender is. If the internet ever becomes moderated or censored, in anyway, we would begin to see attacks against our first amendment rights.

890. Wayne Richter, Greenfield Center, NY, 12833

I support net neutrality and oppose removing the current regulations requiring net neutrality.

891. Daniel Mucilli, Amsterdam, NY, 12010

ISPs already charge an absurd amount of money for decent internet service. In most cities there are very few options for ISPs to begin with meaning there already is a monopoly. An internet provider with the power to restrict what we see online is a complete violation of the first amendment. The ISPs can quite literally render sites useless if it is something they do not like therefore not allowing people to view said subject. The net neutrality policy was put into effect for a good reason and I believe nothing should be changed. President Trump needs to stop opposing everything President Obama put into effect and accept the net neutrality policy has done nothing but good. The ONLY person gaining if the policy is rid of is the ISPs and not the other 300 million Americans. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY. Thank you Daniel Mucilli

892. Lawrence Poole, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983

I am very much in favor of maintaining Net Neutrality. The US general public already suffers from some of the slowest internet speeds and highest costs for internet access when compared to most of the rest of the World's developed countries (and many third world countries as well) . To further aid the internet service providers by giving them the ability to offer favorable service to a select few will be a real disservice to the general public and further put the US in a disadvantage in giving its citizens the access they need at a reasonable price. The internet has become the equivalent to a public utility where equal access for all should be maintained. Chairman Pai's suggested changes will only "line the pockets" of the large internet service providers and kill the little person's ability to have equal access to the internet.

893. Kyle Paradis, Gansevoort, NY, 12831

Net Neutrality is the backbone of our world. To take away the internet as we know it and turn it into a even bigger money making scheme would set the USA back and put us on the level of 3rd world countries that still charge by the gigabyte. It would also deny us a basic human right that is needed by every American for day to day tasks. Maybe soon you can figure out a way to control the sun and air supply and charge us for that too. Kyle Paradis

894. Rachael Warner, Remsen, NY, 13438

I urge you to consider the benefits of net neutrality, and perhaps more

importantly, the disadvantages of corporations dictating even more of our lives. This is an important decision and I hope that it is not being taken lightly. Rachael Warner

895. Lynn Johnson, Lake George, NY, 12845
Big cable companies must not control the internet. Net neutrality must be protected in order to maintain innovation and creativity for ALL on the web.
896. Alan D. Roberts, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983
Dear FCC and FCC Commissioners, I fully support Net Neutrality and oppose the hand-over of this public domain resource to the control of Corporate America and large communication companies such as Comcast, AT&T, Verizon, Spectrum, etc... The prospect of Corporate taking control over what can be legally viewed or shared on the internet is a threat to my First Amendment Rights and therefore control of the internet and its content should not be handed over to these corporate entities. Keep The Net Neutral and Protected for Everyone!
897. Kevin Butler, Waddington, NY, 13694
Leave the Internet alone! You've got no right to try to tell us what can, and cannot be done with it!
898. Jenna Woginrich, Cambridge, NY, 12816
I make a living as a single female farmer in rural America. My blog and social media are my marketing tools that pay the bills. PROTECT Net Neutrality for small businesses and individuals using this amazing resource to compete fairly online!
899. Steven Geiger, Johnstown, NY, 12095
Leave the Internet alone! It has altered how we all live our daily lives and has permitted open communication amongst people that would never had the ability! It also allowed me to create my own web sites and advance my career. Do not allow Internet Service Providers control over the Internet in any way. There is nothing wrong with the Internet as it is and we are already being charged too much for it as it is!
900. Kelli Chandler Murphy, Glens Falls, NY, 12801
The internet should be equally reachable by all - regardless of income or location. Freedom of speech and the press are the pillars of our country - and in this day and age, we need the internet for both!
901. Joseph Babcock, Glens Falls, NY, 12801
Net neutrality is something that naturally Must be protected. Big Business does not need any more advantages. It has too many at this time.

902. Rich Merryman, Watertown, NY, 13601
Putting restrictions and throttling services, sites, etc, takes away the innovation and entrepreneurial opportunities that Internet currently offers. Big companies DO NOT have our best interests at heart. You will severely cripple this medium for everyone and will stifle growth. Stop this now!
903. Emma, Saratoga springs, NY, 12866
Net neutrality should be protected because we all deserve this wonderful tool that's the internet
904. Katherine Martin, Canton, NY, 13617
Please allow the people to choose not the big companies--its wrong to allow a few to decided what we watch and do online
905. B. West, Hudson Falls, NY, 12839
Leave Network Neutrality as is please!
906. Eudora Watson, Canton, NY, 13617
I live in a rural area and count on open access to the Internet to find information that is not "mainstream" in this area (and that's almost everything). Big cable companies already do too much to "tailor" my experience. The flow of information needs to be protected from these companies, not handed over to them.
907. Jordan Buck, Plattsburgh , NY, 12901
Your chairman is a wolf in sheep's clothing. Do your part to protect the consumer, not the telecom giants whose only motivation is greed. And don't be fooled; they are they only ones who will benefit from this in any way whatsoever. The consumer will lose in every metric. Don't let greed ruin yet another great American institution.Net neutrality MUST be protected!
908. Louise Aiken , Dickinson Center , NY, 12930
Net neutrality is like the first Amendment for the internet, it is the gateway for small business and the little people of the world to have equal position in the market place. Big companies would monopolize the internet .
909. Tyler Whitney, West Chazy, NY, 12992
I don't want to be censored and redirected to specific sites that my ISP endorses, I want innovation and positive experiences with services to win over ISP favoritism and endorsement.
910. J.Schroeter, Warrensburg, NY, 12885
I live ichance at n rural America. The internet is my gateway to the world. Net Neutrality means I have the same chance as any other citizen to get un-biased information to make good decisisions.

911. Lins, Fort drum, NY, 13603
Net neutrality is imperative for a free country.
912. Lorne MacDonald, Richville, NY, 13681
If net neutrality fails to be protected it will hurt small business and goes against our first amendment rights!!
913. Vincent Slone, Old Forge, NY, 13420
The Freedom of Speech is the First Amendment for a reason. Put before all else, the people are entitled to the freedom of speech, press, assembly, and to petition. The Internet is a modern outlet for all of these. Limiting it would be a crippling blow to all of modern American society, as well as an admission of our defeat in our battles against fear.
914. Mitchell, Hudson Falls, NY, 12839
I enjoy my privacy, leave the internet alone.
915. Martin Grutter, Hammond, NY, 13646
At present you have six people running the newspaper industry and now you want to create a similar condition with the internet being subverted by the few for their profit. Seems we need more Congress people so we can have a few not in the pockets of the corporations about 8000 into the House should be enough to make it too expensive for the lobbyists.
916. Darcie LaPatra-French, Watertown, NY, 13601
Ending net neutrality is the antithesis to freedom and a direct violation of the First Amendment. It is unethical and un-American to grant control of our activity online to the highest bidder.
917. Patrick Gallagher, Lake Pacid, NY, 12946
net neutrality will do more to grow world economies than any other single initiative currently on the table...
918. suzanne, morfolk, NY, 13667
i am a concerned citizen that uses the internet daily and i want my rights protected. To the FCC, leave the internet alone and let the people see what ever they choose.
919. John D. Smith Sr., Jay, NY, 12941
Keep stinking big business's out of and off from my internet!
920. Martin Grutter, Hammond, NY, NY, 13646
Further dividing of the internet pie among the 'fat cats' is unacceptable as we in the rural areas already suffer from your myopic view of who should have the high speed access city folks and other high density populations enjoy. Using

your logic I should be talking over a pair of wires strung along the road for my telephone service in other words pre-Carter phone court case! This is totally wrong for a tax payer developed system (thru DARPA) to be hand over to greedy, now billionaires, with only their profits to guide the direction they would send internet growth.

921. Susan Wilson , Gloversville , NY, 12078
The door to the internet should not open so large companies can push their agenda and play BIG BROTHER. For them to decide, "what is acceptable and what isn't acceptable" is a very slippery slope. Their bottom line is always their PRIORITY not the internet user's interest or for their protection.
922. Al Hobesh, Saratoga Springs, NY, 12866
Play Fair!
923. stephen arvay, rouses point, NY, 12979
Preserve previous net neutrality rules that were in place to protect a free flowing internet service.
924. Brad Countermine, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
Using the internet shouldn't be pay-for-play.
925. mark delosh, watertown, NY, 13601
my small business should not be more expensive to run because of big player partisanship reducing my options, they dont own it it is not theirs.
926. timothy s dennehy sr., clayton , NY, 13624
INTERNET OF THE PEOPLE BY THE PEOPLE AND FOR THE PEOPLE.LETS NOT SCREW IT UP LIKE:::::'GOVERNMENT _____ ETC."BIG BUSINESS HAS RUN ENOUGH INTO THE GROUND ITS TIME TO STOP.
927. Grover B. Katznan, Dekalb Junction, NY, 13630
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech! We are to quickly loosing our rights and freedoms in this county STOP IT NOW! I did not fight in the army for this to happen to my U.S.A. freedoms and rights
928. Edward L Morrow, Fort Ann, NY, 12827
Without net neutrality, big companies could censor people and perspectives online. Net neutrality has been called the "First Amendment of the Internet."Without net neutrality, ISPs could decide you watched too many videos on Netflix in one day and throttle your Internet speeds, while keeping their own video apps running smooth.Thank you for taking time to read my comments

929. Matthew Darou, Watertown, NY, 13601
The internet is becoming more and more of a necessity these days, and we should not be punished by large corporations seeking to exploit that need. Keep net neutrality.
930. Kirby L, Glens Falls, NY, 12801
I expect censorship in North Korea or China but NOT the USA! Leave the internet alone and focus on more important matters.
931. Chana O'Leary, Constable, NY, 12926
I live in rural America. Many of my friends rely on dial-up already. Complaints to my service provider (I rely on the Internet for work.) - fall on deaf ears - because I'm not "profitable". Not preserving net neutrality means further disenfranchisement or diminished economic opportunities to communities that desperately need high-quality Internet access that is sorely lacking. The companies wanting to roll back Net neutrality will destroy what the Internet has grown to be. They don't need more money - they need the will to do the right thing.
932. James Frier, Dexter, NY, 13634
Please leave net neutrality alone. It's very important to me and society as a whole that everyone's voice is heard!
933. Russell Logerfo, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
Conserve net neutrality. It is the the fair and right thing to do.
934. Brent Stansfield, Corinth, NY, 12822
The Person whom you voted for was supposed to be a Republican, less Government in your life? Well looks like that's not true, any Bills sitting waiting to steal our internet should be stopped now. Let your Representatives know this NOT the type of Government you voted for!
935. John Dietrich, Johnstown, NY, 12095
Leave things the way they are. The net does not need to be dominated by Big Business. There is nothing wrong with it now, so why "fix" it?
936. Wesley Bishop, Queensbury, NY, 12804
As it is it works for everyone. Why change something that is working if not to control it for the benefit of a few? Spin it as you will it is about corporate profit!
937. Clara Fargo, Canton, NY, 13617
Keep things equitable!
938. Margaret A Gowans, Johnstown, NY, 12095
I want to be in charge of what I see and do on the internet...I don't want those

decisions being made by corporate America. Freedom of speech applies here every bit as much as it does in other areas of communication. Please recognize the importance of net neutrality and keep the internet out of the control of cable companies. Thank you.

939. Phil Nicholas, Greenwich, NY, 12834

Dear FCC, Please do not adopt any internet rules that will benefit large corporations and others, over the general public. Everyone should have equal access and equal ability to communicate on the net. Protect net neutrality. Thanks, Phil Nicholas

940. Paul Thomer, Middle Grove, NY, 12850

My access to information is restricted in many ways already. To be a responsible citizen, I need to be able to keep myself informed. If more entities are able to restrict free flow of information on the internet, I have every reason to believe that would prove detrimental to myself and every other citizen when trying to get true information upon which to make decisions.

941. Chales Boglisch, Morrisonville, NY, 12962

Cable companys are too strong and runing you! TIME TO START THINKING ABOUT US THE PEOPLE.

942. Travis Knight, Watertown, NY, 13601

I want the freedom to choose what I use my internet for! I want to protect small businesses and inventors! Stop corporate greed!

943. Stephen Ettinger, Saranac Lake , NY, 12983

I want to keep my current protections. Net neutrality must be protected.

944. Vernon E. Bailey, Glenfield, NY, 13343

Keep the Net free and open. Think of the people.

945. Dorian Gossy, Jay, NY, 12941

The internet was born of a desire to share information freely & widely. Without a firm commitment to keeping this vitally democratic tool fair & safe for everyone, the collective human need to touch & be touched dies a little. Let's fight for net neutrality together instead of bonding over which ISP you hate the most.

946. Kate Kellman, Galway, NY, 12074

It is Mankind's right to be free.

947. Bruce Laplante, Gansevoort, NY, 12831

DARPA developed the Internet at public expense. That is the Internet's development costs were socialized. Thus, the internet is a public good.

Universal, unimpaired, unthrottled access to all Americans--net neutrality to wit--must not be compromised for the benefit of the wealthy few.

948. Richard Billings, Massena, NY, 13662
The Air waves must remain free and do belong to the public! Remember this as a servant of the Public or the Public will find someone else who will!
949. Rudolph Miller, Mooers, NY, 12958
Keep the net neutral for everyone.
950. John Miner, Keeseville, NY, 12944
If countries and big companies are allowed to purchase, and in effect censor, internet bandwidth, what is to stop them from manipulating global online markets through the controlling of information and site access?
951. Dennis Ulery, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
Keep the Internet open to all. Do NOT create fast lanes for those who can afford to pay more. That will slow the service for the small business and create a disadvantage for them. I currently have Internet service through Spectrum and already I have increased the monthly payment for service to improve the connection and its download speed. My home business uses cloud storage, email, and streaming services. I am concerned that having tiers of payment will require me to pay even more for a connection quality that is slower than my current connection.
952. Mark Miller, Jay, NY, 12941
I support the existing Title II net neutrality rule and I oppose plans to repeal it!
953. Steven Naputi, Fort Drum, NY, 13603
What really bothers and frustrates me is that two years ago the American people came to the consensus that we wanted net neutrality to stay. So why are we back here again? Chairman Pai's plan should be thrown out the window as the internet needs to be a free and open space. Net neutrality ensured that the internet was an equal and open playing field where small businesses could become large companies, look at internet companies like Youtube. As the child of a military service member my family has moved all over the country. The internet is a very important tool for me to keep in contact with both the friends I've made as well as keep me in contact with my father when he deploys overseas. We should never have to pay for internet the way we do for TV. Americans should never hear from their ISP's that they can't access a certain website because either it's not included in their service package, or because that website hasn't paid that ISP enough to receive preferential treatment. If the FCC guts the rules that had been laid down during the Obama administration then ISP's can and will snuff out small businesses. All of the growth, creativity, and innovation that the internet has experienced was because of net neutrality. So

please for the love of god leave net neutrality in place.

954. Chris Fisk, Kattskill Bay, NY, 12844
We support NET NEUTRALITY! The internet companies are making plenty of money off us. Keep the net neutral.
955. Clair R. Touby, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983
The FCC needs to stand up for Internet users like me and keep the net neutrality rules that are already in effect. I'm concerned about ISPs being allowed to discriminate against certain types of data or websites because ISPs could have too much power to determine what I can do online. That's not the kind of Internet we want to pass on to future generations of technology users. Please keep the current open internet rules in place., IMEACH TRUMP!
956. Karl Mueller, Saratoga Springs, NY, 12866
I want a free, open Internet and do not accept this sophistry coming out of the new chairman of the FCC (i.e., Ajit Pai) that alleges that somehow innovation/investment will in any way be negatively affected by continuing with the current Title II protections. Please keep the current oversight protections in place!
957. Stephen Davie, Fort Edward, NY, 12828
It is working well the way it is. Changing it will make more money for the greedy and mess it up for rest., It works well the way it is.
958. Kyle Ugadle, Bolton Landing, NY, 12814
none of this is fair or a benefit to anyone. As usual, only the businesses who can afford to pay more will get customers, hurting the small startups. It hurts artists and the disabled, people who have a tight budget and may not be able to pay more to connect to freinds and customers. its another potential separator for the bedbound whos live are online.
959. Dale C. Simmons, Antwerp, NY, 13608
Please keep Net Neutrality. In the long run, it will benefit us Internet Users.
960. Ellen Darabaner, Antwerp, NY, 13608
Net neutrality is essential to the free exchange of ideas. I live in a rural area where connecting with the wide world in a free and open way depends on net neutrality.
961. Robert Elder, Lewis, NY, 12950
As it stands, internet is hampered by internet service providers giving speeds that other countries have access to for far less. If net neutrality isn't kept, they'll be able to control what we do and even what the internet looks like. Things as simple as bottlenecking can make people avoid sites they enjoy every day

normally. Please represent the people in this, FCC.

962. Wade Roggie, Croghan, NY, 13327
Net neutrality encourages competition by allowing small companies and entrepreneurs compete in an open market. Without net neutrality choices will be limited.
963. Milner Grimsled, Chase Mills, NY, 13621
It is important to me that no matter which ISP I have that I choose the content, not them. Please protect this egalitarian regulation.
964. Tom Hackett, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
So the excuse is "Net Neutrality" stifles innovation. No, it makes everyone in the Internet industry play by the same rules. It makes things fair and provides the FREE flow of information to ALL of Us. Stop pretending taking away Net Neutrality isn't about making a profit for a very few and taking away Freedom from very Many. Net Neutrality MUST be protected for the good of ALL.
965. joan, alexndria bay, NY, 13607
us little guys want to keep our e-mails and the way to keep in touch with our relations and friends
966. Brandon Martineau, Ellenburg Center, NY, 12934
As a US citizen we all deserve the rights of the 1st amendment. Big companies have no right to censor or prohibit certain content for which we have been paying for.
967. John Freda, Watertown, NY, 13601
The proper role of government is to provide for its citizens what they can not provide for themselves. The regulation of large corporations for benefit of all and not just shareholders or profit margins is a perfect example of that proper action by the government.
968. Jonas wu-norman, Cambridge, NY, 12816
I work for one of the major telecoms. I can tell you now we NEED net neutrality for a free and open internet!
969. Alexander Handschu, massena, NY, 13662
Our freedoms of expression and assembly must not be regulated!
970. Samantha, Fort Drum, NY, 13603
Stay out of our business. Net neutrality is good, I am pro net neutrality.
971. Michael Perry, Massena, NY, 13662
We Are An Free People That Need An Free And Open Internet, Or We Will Go

Down An Rabbit Hole Like China,Russia Or Venesuela!!!

972. Bob Yarger, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
We have seen what big money has done to government and politics. Killing net neutrality will be the worst thing that has happened to the internet.
973. Cheryl Colt , Glens Falls , NY, 12801
I support internet neutrality.
974. Christopher Schwab, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
Without the Title 2 Classification of broadband internet we would have widespread suppression of our freedom of speech and the internet would no longer be a cradle of free ideas but a massive opiate of the masses used to suppress our free thought and keep us from thinking critically
975. Priscilla McBride, Wells, NY, 12190
Please protect net neutrality, we need the freedom in this aspect of our lives. Thank you for your consideration !!
976. Joseph Paciolla III, Gloversville, NY, 12078
The thing that makes this country great is the freedom to be unique and not being told exactly what you can and can't do - Taking away net neutrality would remove that right away from all Americans...
977. Holly Chambers, Potsdam, NY, 13676
I want the internet to remain open and neutral, without preference given to some users and companies over others. I don't want my ability to receive content that I choose to be controlled or stifled by internet service providers. The current rules should be left as they are; that will be the best service to the vast majority of consumers, of what is truly a 21st century public utility.
978. Stephen Davie, Fort Edward, NY, 12828
Net Neutrality is what the Net was designed to be. Freedom.
979. Luis pestana, Gloversville, NY, 12078
Title II allows bureaucrats in Washington to pick winners and losers on the web. The internet is best regulated by light-touch FTC regulation and the market, not heavy-handed FCC rules. The role of gvt is to safeguard the rights of all. Those who provide porn are the enticers to some weak minds to commit crimes against under age persons. Therefore any and all enticement should be prohibited. I support Chairman Ajit Pai's rollback of Obama's Internet takeover.
980. Caesar Alarcon, Johnsburg, NY, 12843
Please stop this nonsense.

981. Rebecca Badlam, Waddington, NY, 13694
I'm sick a fee for everything and everything taxed to death
982. Catherine Crank, Salem, NY, 12865
Net neutrality is one way we can preserve some equality in the world. Please protect this valuable rsource.
983. Matt Ezero, Peru, NY, 12972
The ISPs shouldn't be able to give preferential treatment to certain websites. Please preserve Net Neutrality and save the open Internet.
984. Denise Hostettler, West Chazy, NY, 12992
Vu
985. Karen Stolz, Keene, NY, 12942
As a small business owner, an open internet is essential tony survival. Please keep the internet available to all users. Please do not allow for 'fast lanes" or blocking or throttling based, and support strong net neutrality.
986. Dwight Tuinstra, Potsdam, NY, 13676
Perhaps Net Neutrality is inconvenient for the major ISPs, who wish to "monetize" as much of it as they can (despite their already huge profits). But Net Neutrality is essential for the vast majority of US citizens, who need guaranteed access to essential information and products, regardless of their income.
987. Matt Ezero, Peru, NY, 12972
The ISPs should not be allowed to control the content we consume. Please preserve Net Neutrality and save the open Internet.
988. Stephen Davis, Fort Edward, NY, 12828
It is important that the internet remain neutral and out of corporate hands.
989. AJ Averett, Potsdam, NY, 13676
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to the overwhelming majority of us REAL Americans -- irrespective of where we fall on the political spectrum. We DEMAND that you protect us actual living, breathing citizens -- which, irrespective of the "opinion" of any brain-dead politician -- including those wearing black robes -- ARE NOT PEOPLE.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will

introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.You F**K with the increasingly vital utility known as the Internet AT YOUR PERIL.

990. Dave Martin, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
Keep the open & diverse.

991. Tom Speer, Long Lake, NY, 12847
Please support net neutrality and don't let the big ISPs control the content of the Internet nor show any favoritism.

992. Diana Stahl, Keeseville, NY, 12944
I think eliminating the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) would be appalling. Blocking open access to information is the tool of despots, not of a democracy. And charging people extra for access to certain sites - to be determined how and by those whose interests lie not with the public but with corporations - plays into the plans of those whose goal is to subvert public opinion and curtail freedom of information. I urge you in the strongest possible terms to protect net neutrality.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts

consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

993. Susan Lacy, Keene, NY, 12942
DO NOT end net neutrality. A government that claims to support small business or free speech would not end net neutrality. Thank you.
994. Rebecca Kane, Glens Falls, NY, 12801
Net Neutrality is essential for a democracy and to support human rights. Losing it is paramount to fascism. ATT, Comcast, Verizon— you are filthy rich. When will you finally have enough money. Where is your sense of decency ?
995. Karl Mueller, Saratoga Springs, NY, 12866
Please keep the current title II protections in place in order to enforce an actual, open Internet. This is only fair!
996. Diane Cuff-Carney, Long Lake, NY, 12847
Do not end Net Neutrality. Protect the rights of US citizens over major corporations.
997. Kay Johnson, Greenwich, NY, 12834
No one, business or government, has the right to control the internet. Protect all of our freedoms, one of which is net neutrality!
998. Paula Trainham, Watertown, NY, 13601
I'm shocked and alarmed at the prospect of losing our net neutrality laws. Without those laws, Internet Service Providers can create artificial "slow lanes" providing normal services for higher fees. They could also prioritize

certain sites in search results, based again on fees: effectively burying search results for small businesses. Perhaps worst of all, ISPs would become the gate keepers of information as businesses with a vested interest in political outcomes, they could showcase or bury stories and information based on their own profits and corporate agendas. As citizens and voters, we need a free and open internet to participate in our representative democracy to educate ourselves, to fact check, network, and maintain dialogue with our representatives. America needs engaged protective citizenship now more than ever. We don't want our internet experience to be curated and dictated by the highest bidders. Please save our net neutrality laws.

999. Janet Burrows, Clayton, NY, 13624

Net neutrality is actually a form of a right to and access to information we all should be able to reach without GOVERNMENT intervention. Republican up and get gov't out of this issue. NEUTRAL.

1000. Caesar Alarcon, Indian Lake, NY, 12842

I am against this.

1001. Shawn Hedrick, Schuylerville, NY, 12871

You should not and cannot stop our freedom of speech, and equal rights by way of the internet. To reclassify an internet provider as an information service, is just a sneaky way of breaking our rights and freedom. Cheap shot by way of changing the wording of something. Anybody with a brain knows that for somebody to do that means that they are trying to do wrong - AKA being deceitful to the American public.

1002. Orlo , Gloversville, NY, 12078

We will vote you out!!!

1003. Ray Town, Cape Vincent, NY, 13618

Please do not mess with net neutrality.Regards,Ray Town

1004. Thomas Morris, Greenwich, NY, 12834

With the increasing concentration of all media in only a few corporations, net neutrality is the last hope of saving democracy.

1005. Nicole Clarke, HAGAMAN, NY, 12086

I am writing to urge you to protect the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules).ISPs should not be given the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Do not allow giant ISPs to censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will

introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Do not enable internet providers to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, ISPs should not be able - as permitted by Chairman Pai's plan- to make it more difficult to access political speech they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't afford to pay to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has already made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.Therefore, I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

1006. Nicolas Hall, Hudson Falls, NY, 12839

Net Neutrality is a right that all humans should have. For big corporations to charge fees and to censor what we see online is an outrage, and should not even be considered as an action to set in motion. It goes against freedom of speech, one of America's most basic civil rights. I hope that the FCC and Congress make the right decision and vote for the continuation of Net Neutrality.

1007. Jeff S, Ogdensburg, NY, 13669

In today's economy many small businesses depend on the Internet. Many of those conduct business exclusively on the Internet. If Net Neutrality is not maintained a lot of small businesses will be negatively impacted and even forced to cease operations. I implore you to continue Net Neutrality to allow small businesses to stay in business. Thank you.

1008. Cierra Mason, Northville, NY, 12134

Today, on July 12th, fate may change for the Internet in America forever. Today, I sign this petition in order to stop Ajit Pai's proposal in order to remove Title II of the Communications Act. If it is taken away, companies will gain full control over the internet. I as a person graduating in Computer Information Systems degree in a year or so, wish for net neutrality to continue existing in this country. So please, reject the bill that Pai is proposing, so that we may have a freer internet.

1009. Dan Ling, Middle Grove, NY, 12850

I think what you are doing with the Internet is both unethical and unconstitutional. First, ISPs should not be able to surveille us whenever we use any Internet device. This removes all control we have over our privacy regarding our use of the Internet, and the surveillance being encouraged is clearly an invasion of personal privacy. Second, Net Neutrality allows me as a free person to pursue opportunities that others can pursue equally, both to explore economic opportunities and to freely exchange ideas among other things, in a democratic and open and equal arena of accessible electronic media. In this era of unfair advantage of the rich and powerful over the middle class businessman and worker, of control and manipulation of people by fake news, and corporate-skewed and politically-skewed news, it is more important than ever before in the history of the world's most important free society that the people retain free (unencumbered) open (uncensored) and equal (high speed high bandwidth) access to the global economic marketplace as well as the marketplace of ideas. This is fundamental to the survival, let alone success, of American democracy itself, and in turn the success of the individual. The exploitation of valuable personal data, stolen through unconstitutional surveillance, for use against us by criminal means, by governments to affect democratic elections, to gain all manner of undemocratic advantage in the marketplace of ideas through disinformation, the denial of fair treatment by hiring companies who act against job-seekers because of questionable data about their private lives, denial of insurance, etc. must be controlled by simple laws making it illegal to collect and maintain data records about people without their express permission. The FCC needs to act conservatively with regard to allowing surveillance under existing law, until new laws can even begin to catch up to powerful new technology that is rapidly eroding our democracy and our fundamental rights. I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality rules and keep Title II in place for broadband internet access.

1010. Gloria Bolesh, Gansevoort, NY, 12831

I prefer to preserve choices for consumers.

1011. Alexandra Garry, Queensbury, NY, 12804

We deserve the right as Americans to have the freedom of speech and thru our advances like the internet! Please give us our rights!!

1012. Nadine Oakes, Potsdam, NY, 13676

Net Neutrality is critical to any internet user. Internet service providers should not be given power over their users internet activity or be allowed to access their consumers private information and browsing history. Please don't allow the internet activity of myself and the millions of other internet users in this country to be sold to the highest bidder. Protect Net Neutrality.

1013. Earl Brown, Gloversville, NY, 12078
Small business won't be able to compete with larger companies for paid internet traffic.
1014. Joanne La Barre, Norfolk, NY, 13667
Prices need to be controlled and information should remain private.
1015. Eric Hannon, Winthrop, NY, 13697
I live in an area where there is only one internet provider, there is no competition to restrict them.
1016. Rory Carroll, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983
Free press
1017. Hayden Jones, Ogdensburg, NY, 13669
I want to be able to access information without any regulations. I feel it is my right as an American citizen to have freedom
1018. Bianca Braman, Bolton Landing, NY, 12814
I believe in my cyber rights and the freedom we have been provided living in the U.S. Taking that from us by getting rid of net neutrality will be a step in the wrong direction. Our internet freedoms are more important than ever, so now more than ever it looks and is terrible that you would consider taking them from us. We believe in you as American citizens to stand up for our rights like net neutrality, not take attack against them. Please consider actually looking out for the betterment of our country.
1019. Lisa , Whitehall, NY, 12887
The internet is an amazing place, and it wouldn't be fair to us that ISP's will be able to do whatever they want, block whoever they want, and give sites that pay extra more benefits. I love the internet, and I don't want it to change. I've made great friends thanks to it, and I want to keep experiencing all it has to offer with out having to pay extra or wait 30 minutes to check a message.
1020. Karen Markert, Watertown, NY, 13601
We are already losing most of our free speech and human rights to affordable health care. I want to know why it always boils down to big companies making a buck and also when did it become OK. For big companies to make the choice of where Americans can explore on the information network. I as well as uncountable numbers of people use this information network daily. To better our lives and the lives of our famly members from oldest to youngest. How can we raise generations to come to be itechnologically. Equipped to take over and care for our world with limitations set upon them concerning obtaining and learning what was the human race need to know to continue to evolve and grown and learn??????????

1021. Roman , Lake George, NY, 12845
It's important to keep it free.
1022. Joshua bouchard, Chazy, NY, 12921
Whats good comes of making the paying and working people pay more for internet nobody wants this! Do not insight such foolish destruction of perfect laws!! Please revoke your attack on net neutrality!!!
1023. Noel Strong, Watertown, NY, 13601
I can barely afford to pay gas to get to work. I can't afford my college. I can't buy food. I can't afford luxuries. The Internet is perhaps the most important resource of our time, and removing its freedom is sickening and wrong. It shows us that those who are rich are exactly as we thought- disgusting and selfish and ignorant of the plights of others. The internet connects the world. Without it, only more struggle will arise. People will not be able to be informed and will not be able to apply themselves to opportunities around them. The technological and cultural innovations that move through the world so swiftly will not be shared at all. This negatively affects several aspects of society and various fields of study. We can and will fight for a free internet. The oppression has to end, and I'm willing to bet drastic change will come about within the next 30 years. Be on the side of change for the better. Don't be the villains children will read about in their history books.
1024. Noura Moussa, Keeseville, NY, 12944
People always say they want the next new thing but without net neutrality you'll only get access to websites of whoever can pay more. That means, no one will get the next new thing. No startup company has that large sum of money so they can have more people come to their website. Maybe there's an organization wanting to do good in the world but can't because big companies payed more to ISP's. I know money isn't an issue for you, but it's an issue for everyone else. Stop being selfish. Do what's right for the people. Do what's right for the future. Net neutrality didn't hurt us before, so why is it now suddenly bad? If it was really that bad, we wouldn't even have had net neutrality to begin with. Stop thinking with your pockets in mind. Some ISP's have repeatedly violated net neutrality before. What makes you think they'll listen to you? They'll do the same thing and go behind your back. As a computer science student, I support net neutrality because it isn't just the right thing to do, but it's what will give people equal opportunity to get their ideas and ambutions out to people.
1025. Ai Masochist, Westport, NY, 12993
I don't wanna pay for the internet
1026. Isaiah , Watertown, NY, 13601
Many teens these days rely on internet videos for entertainment. Without the

ability to get a job, they would not be able to have the fun they once had. No parent will pay for websites.

1027. Kristopher Groth, Calcium, NY, 13616
We are supposed to be a free market, with loads of competition the causes prices to be low, and advancements to occur. Instead we want to give the BIGGEST companies, EVEN MORE power. This is against everything I was raised on, that is the exact opposite of what this country is about! Give me back my America Give me back my competitive markets. GIVE ME BACK NET NEUTRALITY.
1028. Mitchell Hall, Glens Falls, NY, 12801
The internet is not controlled by one person, which suffices it to say it is not owned by one country. The internet brings people together, and the entirety of it should be accessible to everyone, always.
1029. Alex Staley, Morrisonville, NY, 12962
I'm a budding artist that uses the benefits of net neutrality to let my art be seen. I also sell these pieces online and it would kill the chances of selling anything without net neutrality
1030. Eleanor Wilton, Brantingham, NY, 13312
I can't believe I have to put a fucking reason for this. Fine! Destroying Net Neutrality could be the end of the internet - and it'd be all your fault. Fuck you.
1031. Victorea , Morrisonville, NY, 12962
Last I checked, this is a free country. Let's try to keep it that way
1032. Galen Oey-Langen, Canton, NY, 13617
The internet should be a free resource for all regardless of standing, not a monopolized luxury for the rich only
1033. Georgina , Malone, NY, 12953
Net neutrality is a part of anyone and everyone who uses the internet. And it is not negotiable because it's a right we have as people. Net neutrality was fought over for a long time and it was not just so it could be revoked a short time after.
1034. Monica Shattuck, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
because the internet is everything to me and so many other people, and it's wonderful the way it is.
1035. Yalonda Mackey, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
Without net neutrality my business will be affected and so will my freedom to learn, watch and enjoy speaking to my friends. I will have no social life and be isolated from most of society.

1036. Steven , Watertown, NY, 13603
In what world would I want my ISP to tell me which websites I can visit? Why would I ever want to pay for my internet the way I pay for my tv cable? Where I have to pay a lot of money for "packages" that allow me to watch only the channels included in the package. Leave net neutrality as it was otherwise you can bet your bottom dollar that ISP's are going to limit my internet access. The internet is a wonderful tool, I can go to any website in search of either information or entertainment.
1037. Kaley Schrecengast, La Fargeville, NY, 13656
IF YOU TAKE AWAY EASY ACCESS TO THE INTERNET YOU ARE SAYING F*** YOU TO THOUSANDS OF SMALL BUSINESS OWNERS WHO RELY ON THE INTERNET. Know what other demographics you're saying screw you too? Kids who are being homeschooled College students- already being burdened with debt- with more debt to have access to the precious education that everyone now needs and is being shoved down their throats in order to have any semblance of financially stable adulthood Artists Like did any of you even think about this? At all? Did you stop and think that you guys in your fancy seats, getting paid when the government shuts down (thanks for screwing over people who rely on fish and game for their Economy, I thought you liked making money off of Alaska!) that it might even effect YOU The only good thing about the internet is the ability to unlimited information. Without it, I'd never have learned the skills to get me out of my shitty abusive living situation since I'm self taught in all my skills. SCREW YOU GUYS FOR THINKING THIS WAS A GOOD IDEA
1038. Juliette , South Glens Falls, NY, 12803
Internet is involved with everything now an without Net Neutrality ISPs will have everybody even more over the barrel.
1039. Sara Szabatura, Salem, NY, 12865
As a disabled artist, the internet is literally how I make my money and how I get social interaction. Plus, I have satellite internet, and the thought of it being even SLOWER terrifies me.
1040. Quail Engstrom, Natural Bridge, NY, 13665
I use online school. I can't go to public school. Please don't do this.
1041. Amanda Jamison, Henderson, NY, 13650
Net Neutrality is part of what makes America great! The ability to distribute and receive information equally. The public needs this to be continued! Our right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness will be impeded by removing this! DO the right thing and keep net neutrality.
1042. Ben Ouellette, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901

The internet exists and must continue to exist as a free space for information and expression. The concept of giving corporations the power to control internet speeds and usage goes against the fundamental principles of the World Wide Web and is a restriction on our personal freedoms.

1043. Brionn Currier, Clayton, NY, 13624

I don't want people losing access to the sites where our voices are heard. I don't want small businesses and self-employed freelancers losing their work because their websites were too small to be protected.

1044. Mark Mercer, Saint Johnsville, NY, 13452

The internet is a vital part of a free democracy. Ending Net Neutrality will stifle the voices of the masses and amplify the voices of the oligarchy. It will make a nation of internet haves and have-nots.

1045. Tara Allen, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901

The open rules to the internet is highly important, it is our right as Americans to have the freedom to access the internet in its entirety, it is our right to look at which media we desire, and so forth. You have no right to take such a thing away from us or limit it. Thank you!

1046. Victoria, Granville, NY, 12832

Net Neutrality is vital for democracy and protects the freedoms we hold dear in the United States.

1047. Mark Fitzgerald, Porter Corners, NY, 12859

Do the right thing. Net neutrality is the only thing that keeps the playing field level. Don't corrupt it.

1048. R Love, Hannawa Falls, NY, 13647

Open Internet Laws are extremely important, both to me and to the future of the internet as we know it. Net neutrality and Open Internet laws are one of the only topics that will be influencing my vote in the coming election. I trust the representatives elected to represent constituents like myself will work with my interests in mind. Thank you.

1049. David Meeker, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983

I strongly support Net Neutrality - ISP providers should treat all data equally. This is in the best interest of the public. Rolling back the rules will diminish competition resulting in harm to consumers. Thank you.

1050. A.J. Averett, Potsdam, NY, 13676

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and I strongly urge you to protect them. Along with the overwhelming majority of Californians (and ALL Americans) I don't want ISPs to have the

power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but it is obvious that Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has already made his decision and will ignore me and countless millions of other Americans. Therefore, I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you. A.J. Averett

1051. ginny churchill, Camden, NY, 13316

Keep net neutrality in place. Our freedoms are slowly diminishing in America due to government and big business intervention with more and more rules and regulations.

1052. Mike Lennox, Adams, NY, 13605

If you dissipate net neutrality, everything will be chaos on the internet. There is no benefit to doing this, so I urge you all to stop it. This country is supposed to be the best in the world, full of freedom and Independence. What happens to that freedom when our internet starts being restricted? This isn't north Korea. Stop this. Mike Lennox

1053. net neutrality, fort drum, NY, 13602

I think the fuck bag that is in charge of the fcc should not change the net neutrality regulations and keep it where it is. thank you vary much for your time and effort to "try" and make this country great again.

1054. Thomas, North Creek, NY, 12853
I want everyone who votes on this and reviews it to take a look at your daily life and how much you use the internet and then think about how it might be impacted should the Internet Service Providers (ISP's) not be forced to treat all data equally. Think about how slow sites that can't or won't pay for faster service are gonna be, look back at how many times they, the ISP's, have throttled or put another way slowed the connection between consumers and competing services. The proof is available that ISP's will slow down competition so why remove the one thing, Net Neutrality, that stops them? I want Net neutrality, I want the internet to be treated like the utility it is. The internet has become so interwoven into our society and culture that to not see it as a utility would be like not seeing water as a utility. I demand that the internet remain under title 2 at minimum, perhaps moving it to an even more regulated title is in order.
1055. Ben Sarraf, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
Ajit Pai. I support strong net neutrality back by title 2 oversight of ISP's.
1056. Paula Rutley, Massena, NY, 13662
We have lived here for 7 yrs and always used my Jetpack. Some months I used 1 (100 card) to 3 cards (300) a month. One morning we had NO service. They tipped the towers so we have No service for our computer or phone.
1057. Brendan Donovan, Saranac Lake, NY, 12983
Innovation AND meritocracy function best under an open internet.
1058. Maureen , Queensbury, NY, 12804
Net neutrality is critical to me for many reasons, including world-wide access to uncensored educational, scientific and research information.
1059. Brandon Montanye, Saratoga Springs, NY, 12866
The USA has allowed corporations to run rampant in the past, and we barely stopped them then. Please do not give the telecommunications industry the chance to repeat the actions of their predecessors.
1060. Timothy Weaver, Lake Placid, NY, 12946
I believe freedom of speech and access should extend to the internet. Freedom of information is a right that I want my children and grandchildren to enjoy.
1061. Martin Chevian, Gloversville, NY, 12078
I strongly believe that the internet be free of corporate control. We have seen this type of thing happen in all sorts of adventures in the past.
1062. Charles Boglish, Morrisonville, NY, 12962
Please do something about cable companys ripping us the public off and leave

the Internet as is. Thank you

1063. Bob Yarger, Gansevoort, NY, 12831
Net neutrality is essential to free speech. It must be maintained.
1064. Katherine , Corinth, NY, 12822
Internet access is such an integral part of modern life, and internet content is such a massive contributor to the perspectives people have on the world, it is vital that it remain unbiased in any way.
1065. Patricia Bazinet, Clayton, NY, 13624
Please protect our First Amendment rights of free speech through the open internet. Thank you for your consideration.
1066. Benjamin St. Andrews, Queensbury, NY, 12804
Net neutrality lets everyone be on an equal level. The economically depressed people or a small business will never have the same chance as a large company. This is simply a competitive advantage for the few largest players.
1067. Tyler Beaudoin, South Glens Falls, NY, 12803
I do NOT support the reverse of Title II classification which guarantees net neutrality. Maintaining net neutrality with Title II classification is of the utmost importance for the future of internet for US citizens.
1068. Bradford C. Riendeau, Watertown, NY, 13601
The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Pai's plan to hand the government-subsidized ISP monopolies like AT&T, Comcast, and Verizon free rein to engage in data discrimination, stripping Internet users of the vital privacy and access safeguards we fought for and just recently won. I'm concerned about ISPs being allowed to discriminate against certain types of data or websites because To allow ISP's to discriminate based on content source would go against well established law relating to common carriers. The argument that building and build out should allow misuse of monopoly control over tracks and channels of commerce was well settled and should be. The internet today is what railroads were at the end of the 19th Century and what telephones were by the middle of the 20th century. Thankfully, the current FCC regulations mean that Internet providers can't slow or block users' access to certain websites or create Internet "fast lanes" by charging online services and websites money to reach consumers faster. That's the right kind of forward-looking approach to ensure the Internet remains a level playing field that benefits Internet users and small businesses as well as larger players. Pai's proposed repeal of the rules would transform ISPs into Internet gatekeepers with an effective veto right on commerce and communication. You should maintain Title II net neutrality rules and the rights of all Internet users . You should also go read "Pigs is Pigs" a book from a century ago that explains what

can go wrong.

1069. Stephen Barnard, Potsdam, NY, 13676

As a scholar, professor, and citizen who has spent more than a decade studying media and the Internet, I implore you to uphold Net Neutrality. We have seen what increased privatization has done to our media system and broader public sphere, and giving ISPs more power/profit will only further empower them while disempowering average Americans under the guise of a "free market" with more "consumer choices." In reality, killing Net Neutrality will not increase the diversity of content or of consumer options. Please push back against special interests and instead act in the interest of the American people. Uphold Net Neutrality.

1070. Bonnie Edwards, Broadalbin, NY, 12025

I am writing to urge the FCC to keep Internet service providers and/or broadband providers classified as common carriers under Title II, and keep the solid legal foundation in place to retain strong, enforceable net neutrality protection for equal access to the internet for all

1071. Kyle Mohen, Glens Falls, NY, 12801

This comment is in support of keeping of Title II classifications, enforcement competitor laws, including fair market leasing of last mile connections, and prevention blocking and throttling data based on origin. For my employment and personal use of broadband, I require not just email and "cloud" technologies, as well as video conferencing, large file transfer capability, and high quality video streams (ie industrial technology demonstrations). 25mbps is not sufficient for my, or many of my coworkers needs, yet is the max available in most areas of Warren and Washington County. 50 mbps is sufficient for a single person, but not for multiple users of the same connection. 150 mbps at minimum is what I would consider for a businesses in the 21st century that either performs E-Commerce or has modern manufacturing equipment, while having prudent IT support with room for normal growth. Broadband is a critical telecommunication method, and as much an essential utility as water, sewer, telephone, and electricity, and should be regulated as such. To be blunt, removing regulations preventing to website blocking or throttling, especially Title II classification, will create the severe risk of removing effective access to machine control supplier online resources, defaulting to postal mailed data transport systems. This is critical to protection and recovery of industrial equipment from malicious actors, as well as allowing for improvement of equipment with minimal capital investment, enhancing viability of manufacturing in this area. Additionally, modern equipment requires constant, real time data connections for both warranty service as well as management, which wireless and SatCom systems cannot provide due to the nature of the technology. 13,000 people in Washington county do not have access to wireline

broadband, or are in geographic areas that preclude effective wireless transmission of "other options". ISPs refuse to consider servicing outlying neighborhoods, homes and businesses unless a minimum market exists, and new ISP entrants are locked out of the market due to lack of fair market value last mile leasing. The Primary incumbent has stated that Whitehall NY would not be receiving any additional DSL upgrades, with a max speed of 25 mbps, and that it would only be available to new customers if a current customer cancels. This prevents new economic development of the downtown area, as well as limits the capabilities of the manufacturing plants within the service area of the town. This speed issue is not isolated to a single town, however. As of Feb 2017, on a "business grade" line, attempting to download a ~600 Mb industrial software package gave an estimated 2 day download time in Granville NY, as well as completely limiting other activities. In Glens Falls on a confirmed 50 mbps per second connection, the item took ~10 minutes to complete. Across the state line in VT however, things are very different. For an equivalent cost of the highest speed available in Granville and Glens Falls, 50 mbps, Fiber optic connections starting at 150 mbps are available in many locations, and from multiple vendors, including extremely rural areas identical to those on the NY side. This radical disparity is due to VT requirements that ISPs were required to conform to, which in turn allows for a much stronger base for advanced manufacturing, technical support, and higher technical literacy in the population, allowing employment and thriving in 21st century economic conditions. In short, removal of Title II classifications, non-enforcement of competitor laws, preventing fair market leasing of last mile connections, and allowing of blocking and throttling will have and are a severe negative impact on rural and small industrial communities that depend on manufacturing and technical literacy for an economic base, especially in areas where local laws are inconsistent and favor incumbent ISPs

1072. Andrew Wiley, Theresa, NY, 13691

I strongly believe classifying broadband internet as a common carrier, and that ISPs should be neutral providers of telecommunications data. Period. An ISP should have no ability to throttle or speed up specific data from certain sources based on content. Broadband access is used by myself and everyone I know in a way that is analogous to a phone line. We pay for access to the entirety of the internet at the speeds we pay for. No one opts for a specific ISP because of any service they have outside of unhindered access to the internet. I have lived in several parts of both New York state and Arkansas over the last 5 years, and I have never once had the option of more than one broadband provider. Ever. There is no competition in the broadband market for many Americans and we are dependent on oversight to keep the single provider we have from throttling or favoring specific services. In summary, I am a strong supporter of net neutrality and I would like the current rules to remain in place.

1073. Keith Benoit, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
Re: Paragraph 82, regarding whether throttling should be regulated. ISPs have in the past throttled content based on what they alone thought was lawful or permissible, only answering to complaints in the courts. There is no reason that they won't do this again. I'm also concerned about mobile providers who throttle "unlimited" plans when the data cap has been reached, but only throttle sites and services that aren't part of their approved zero-rating network.
1074. Melissa MacDonald, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
Considering the infrastructure of ISPs, it is VITAL that net neutrality protections remain in place to protect consumers from monopoly-like practices of ISPs. The internet has outgrown Cable and Landline Telephones as a means of transmitting and disseminating information on a global scale; as such, consumers' access should be protected and regulated from those that would seek to grossly profit off internet access, or stand to gain by shutting off access to competitors. We rely on internet access for our jobs, education, and information, yet we have already seen documented cases of throttling of competitor sites, sneaky throttling of "unlimited" plans, and data caps that charge exorbitant rates for paltry sums of data usage. The internet is no longer a novelty or luxury; it is a necessity for function in today's modern age. If the FCC truly cares about protecting consumers, retain current net neutrality regulation and hold ISPs accountable for their practices.
1075. MrMoose, Constable, NY, 12926
Net neutrality is what allowed the internet to grow into the critical infrastructure it is today. Net neutrality must be maintained. To do otherwise is to allow the fox to guard the chicken house.
1076. Jason St. John, Argyle, NY, 12809
Anything but a free and open internet that is agnostic to content will fail to fulfill your responsibility to the American people. Global, and to an even greater extent American economic innovation will be diminished by any other choice.
1077. Allen Yates, Greenwich, NY, 12834
I very much oppose any regulatory change that affects the principle of net neutrality, believing that the apparatus of the internet should be treated as a utility. I myself use the internet every day, and have my own website; I do not feel that providers should be in a position to determine the speed at which information is transmitted, based simply on a profit motive - essentially holding transmission speed hostage, decreasing quality of service unless paid extra. Nor do I believe that a provider should be in a position to determine and control availability of content: arbitrarily making sites unavailable based either on a

profit motive or, for instance, on political or other positions with which they disagree.

1078. Donald Burgoyne, Potsdam, NY, 13676

I live in northern NY, in a small town called Potsdam. We have 1 broadband provider. It was Time Warner, Now Spectrum. We are at the mercy of this provider. They are the only game in town. If they want to raise rates or cap speeds we have no where to go. St. Lawrence County where I live is already an economically depressed area, in fact we have the highest unemployment in the state. Youth are leaving the area in droves. The internet opens a path to commerce and employment that we desperately need. It's imperative that the internet retains the Title II classification. Time and time again we have seen that without the robust protection of Title II ISPs have gone out of their way to deliver less and charge more. Consider the outcome of the billions in taxpayer money that we've given ISPs to upgrade their infrastructure all the way back to the 90s. When left to their devices ISPs always find a way to provide the least for the most possible. Title II protections must stay.

1079. John Hammond, Canton, NY, 13617

Please add my voice to those in opposition to lifting Net Neutrality regulations. Net Neutrality is good for business, good for democracy, and good for guaranteeing a future where ideas are freely exchanged.

1080. Jack Dubay, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901

Net Neutrality is what allows me to learn things I need to learn where the school system I am in has failed. Because I have had free range to find the best fits for me the free Internet has allowed me to make the most out of my experience about various topics from plumbing to German literature. I as a student need this resource.

1081. Mike Lennox, Adams, NY, 13605

If you dissipate net neutrality, everything will be chaos on the internet. There is no benefit to doing this, so I urge you all to stop it. This country is supposed to be the best in the world, full of freedom and Independence. What happens to that freedom when our internet starts being restricted? This isn't North Korea. Stop this.

1082. Myshel Bisard, Gouverneur, NY, 13642

I'm tired of the people with the money running the show here. I really am. The whole proposal here is to slow down the internet as a whole so that people can be charged out the ass for services we already get charged out the ass for! We already pay an outrageous amount to have Internet, now they want to make it so that we would have to pay additional fees for access to certain applications or sections of the internet. It doesn't create a fast lane, all it is is a way for the

companies to make even more money off the little guy. We don't have any more money for you to take!

1083. Michael DeSocio, Queensbury, NY, 12804
The Net Neutrality law in effect needs to stay in effect. Many people make their living off the internet and need it so your plan to take it away needs to stop. Just keep it and stop screwing with everything.
1084. Abigail Hansen, Potsdam, NY, 13676
If net neutrality is lost, so is my home business. I built it from the ground up, out of nothing, and I'm only just now seeing some profit from it. I CANNOT afford more expenses than I have, and the loss of net neutrality would mean the loss of everything I've worked so hard to build.
1085. Carolyn Welch, Plattsburgh, NY, 12901
We need net neutrality so a condom of yam soup doesn't control all media claiming 'fake news'
1086. Darby Tarr, Harrisville, NY, 13648
Freedom and justice for all
1087. Ezra Ford, LaFargeville, NY, 13656
The internet should be open to all at equal speeds.
1088. Philip Ashwood, Calcium, NY, 13616
Net neutrality benefits the public and full and equal access. Please leave it alone for everyone's benefit.
1089. Brian Carl, Potsdam, NY, 13676
Please fight to protect Net Neutrality
1090. Vivian Kavanaugh, Cambridge, NY, 12816
Why do we have to keep fighting this ?Leave the net free and neutral !
1091. Cerise Oberman, Morrisonville, NY, 12962
To DO NOT compromise net neutrality!
1092. Marguerite Nicosia, Saranac lake, NY, 12983
This is America! I will NOT have my information filtered in any way. That would be unamerican. I believe internet providers should not have the power to discriminate against certain types of content – especially since people of color and other marginalized folks will suffer the most. I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality under the FCC's existing rules and keep broadband internet access classified under Title II.

1093. Brian C. Ladd, Canton, NY, 13617
Rural-ish resident in Northern New York: Title II classification keeps the door open for network based innovation. Consider Uber and how they would have been forced to pay for the "fast-lane", stifling their innovative service. The utility nature of bits provides the best environment for inventive network utilization. Keep the current Network Neutrality rules in place.
1094. Bethany Morrow, Rouses Point, NY, 12979
Preserve NetNeutrality.
1095. Martin A. Walker, Potsdam, NY, 13676
ISPs should remain as Title II. ISPs should be treated like telephone companies, as an internet service is a basic utility.
1096. Jane Arnold, Queensbury, NY, 12804
Please maintain net neutrality. We are already getting too much corporate-directed and ad-directed information through the internet; our searches should not be further restricted by someone wanting a profit deciding what we can and cannot get without extra charges. The point of the world wide web, as I understand it, is that the "information highway" is open for users to search for what they want. We're already cutting back drastically on the ability of some people to access information, by shutting down public libraries and neglecting both land lines and cell phone access to rural areas. Of course this is a utility. It should be available to all with as few barriers as possible.
1097. Wayne White, Schaghticoke, NY, 12154
I have chosen to live in a rural area with my family and raise them in the countryside. We are a whole six miles out of town and its cows and pasture and the like. Outside the time limits however we do not have access to the internet, aside from mobile data. With the current plan to deregulate the internet in such a way that broadband internet speeds can be as slow as 10MB and if a home has access to mobile data, the FCC is prepared to claim this is reasonable access to the internet. I wholeheartedly disagree, at home I have access to the internet only via mobile means. I am usually on HSPA network which is as slow as 2MB or worse, basically depriving us access to information. If I choose to discuss astrophysics with my children and show them a YouTube video to support the information, it's basically not possible. First, this complaint is about the lack of access to high speed internet in rural areas. This country should be covered 100% just like the POTS network. Reclassify the internet as a utility and make the internet available to the entire US. Second, high speed internet should not be reduced to speeds below 25MB. Mobile data is not a replacement for broadband internet, with the data caps and constant rise in prices by the ISP's the consumer will again be pinched to pay more and receive less in services.

1098. hpiubiuvyuv, Lake Placid, NY, 12946
Me and my wife strongly support net neutrality backed by title II oversight
ISP's! Listen to the Public!
1099. Nathan Gates, Richville, NY, 13642
I am for maximum levels of net neutrality. The FCC is here to protect our
citizens and our internet freedoms. The damage done by these new regulations
will destroy small businesses such as mine and the millions of others in this
great country. I can't believe this is even an option, it saddens me deeply how
our government and mega corps hurt our nations people. I served 2 tours in
Afghanistan for the freedoms of this country, and now you are set to steal them
away. WOW, what a slap in the face to every veteran who has fought and paid
the ultimate price, only to protect rich powerful vultures.
1100. John R. Jones, Brushton, NY, 12916
I strongly support strong net neutrality and Title II over site of ISPs.
1101. Chris Jennings, Ballston Spa, NY, 12020
Please restore net neutrality and a push towards Title 2
1102. Patrick J deSilva, Norfolk, NY, 13667
Please support strong network neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of
isps.Thank you,Patrick J. deSilva
1103. Steven Kroeger, Ogdensburg, NY, 13669
In response to the question of net neutrality, I just want to say that I retired
recently and so I am on a careful budget. I decided to give up cable TV but
retain my internet service. I was concerned that I would not be able to keep up
the news but found that most of the major news outlets offer streaming services.
I also tried putting up an antenna in the back yard but it only picks up Ottawa
and Toronto. I am concerned that Canada may end up being my only source of
information if ISPs start throttling web pages or streaming services in order to
jack up prices. Remember, I grew up in an era when everyone watched TV with
an antenna. I guess I can get another one if I need to. The ISPs will continue to
lose customers like me and freedom of information will be something filed
under "irony" in the (American) dictionary. Support net neutrality!
1104. Connie Flint, Adams Center, NY, 13606
I support Net Neutrality and Title Two

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used national language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that

"It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. <https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9f0e3ed36a6>.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.