

## Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from NJ District #7

These are the 1,870 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Leonard Lance's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. Colin Prosser, Gillette, NJ, 07933

Dear FCC, my comments on the recently proposed rules for Net Neutrality are thus: do not instill those rules. It is my belief that the FCC should be regulating ISPs and telling them they cannot arbitrarily limit website access and slow down data with certain sites. While the FCC may not want to police these rules or want to have ISPs police themselves, the simple fact of the matter is that they have to. You can't trust a corporation to always play by the rules or do the honorable thing. Someone is gonna screw up. Neutral internet access allows no competition except visibility and popularity, and allows markets to thrive. It allows no biases. Would a law be passed that allows electricity companies to block you from using certain electronic devices, for example, if you plug a Sony product into an outlet, the voltage given would be cut in half? Would such a law seem sane? No, it wouldn't. The internet has become a necessity at this point in time, just like water and electricity. It should be treated as such. ISPs should be allowed to compete with one another, but it should be through usability, extra services, and ability to provide better or alternative options. Not by having all of them put endless fees for using specific parts of the internet and having the consumer have to pick the best out of a horrible situation. The internet needs to be open and free. Please, please don't shut it close.

2. Wireline Competition Bureau, Gillette, NJ, 07933

Dear FCC, my comments on the recently proposed rules for Net Neutrality are thus: do not instill those rules. It is my belief that the FCC should be regulating ISPs and telling them they cannot arbitrarily limit website access and slow down data with certain sites. While the FCC may not want to police these rules or want to have ISPs police themselves, the simple fact of the matter is that they have to. You can't trust a corporation to always play by the rules or do the honorable thing. Someone is gonna screw up. Neutral internet access allows no competition except visibility and popularity, and allows markets to thrive. It allows no biases. Would a law be passed that allows electricity companies to block you from using certain electronic devices, for example, if you plug a Sony product into an outlet, the voltage given would be cut in half? Would such a law seem sane? No, it wouldn't. The internet has become a necessity at this point in time, just like water and electricity. It should be treated as such. ISPs should be allowed to compete with one another, but it should be through usability, extra services, and ability to provide better or alternative options. Not by having all of them put endless fees for using specific parts of the internet and having the consumer have to pick the best out of a horrible situation. The internet needs to be open and free. Please, please don't shut it close.

3. Net Neutrality, Wharton, NJ, 07885

"Restoring Internet Freedom" - if you like bills that do the exact opposite than their title, this is gold. There is nothing "freeing" about giant telecoms having complete control of all the data flows of the US. Our system is already rigged so that the barrier to entry for new telecoms is prohibitive, so removing these regulations that are the only check on these giant conglomerates will do nothing but hurt consumers.

4. Ryan Sidebottom, Whitehouse Station, NJ, 08889

Do not take away Net Neutrality. The current state of the Internet is sufficient. It does everything everyone wants it to do. DO NOT MAKE THE INTERNET LIKE CABLE. I do not want to pay to use the Internet and pay to use the sites I desire to use. This is not making the Internet better.

5. E Kale, chester, NJ, 07930

Net Neutrality, is not only vital it is essential. As a small business owner I have clients who constantly are concerned about their privacy in which they refuse to use services out of fear or exploitation! There is no reason why corporations need to double dip into our privacy to make twice of what they CHARGE while they charge their customers! Secondly the resource of the internet being as vast in it's size offers a playground for new technology, ideas, and even catastrophic situational analytics for diseases and global disasters which are protected by Net Neutrality to go by the wayside. We do not permit unknown people into our homes to watch us or our children why should big corporations be allowed to learn the most intimate details and behaviors about little Sally who is only just learning of what is right and wrong to be exposed to propaganda brainwashing to buy the newest lingerie! Let this tree bear fruits of life not leave a lifeless husk for no one to benefit from, Net Neutrality is a must.

6. Steven Ruiz-Diaz, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924

This is garbage. Controlling the free flowing internet is what dictators and despots do. Our internet freedoms were not lost and net neutrality is critical to the United States's growth and development in the modern age.

7. Evan McElheny, Westfield, NJ, 07090

Net neutrality is to the internet what the free market is to the American Economy. It is what allows so many different amazing things to be made and developed. I am sure that everyone at this office already knows that. I would like to instead plea to different ideals. All of you actions will have a significant affect on the course of history. If you abolish net neutrality progress in the internet will be stopped. The big giants will stay big and no one new will come. That might not seem like a problem for you and your constituents (I'm sure its want many of you have in mind) but change is the only way to have progress. I hope for a better future and wish that you will have a

part in creating it.

8. Stephen Jurczak, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
It has become apparent that the FCC Chairman intends to reverse net neutrality rules that serve to protect consumers and private citizens. I am undeniably AGAINST any such reversal. The reasons the FCC Chairman and other politicians argue for the repeal of Net Neutrality are only in the interest of corporations who seek to undermine competition and sell private user information. ISPs have had strong anti-consumer practices in recent years with regard to pricing schemes, bandwidth throttling, and imposing data caps that serve no technical purpose and only survive due to local monopolies. Any notion that our freedom has been taken away by net neutrality is false and purposely deceptive. Any repeal of Net Neutrality rules is anti-consumer, anti-small business, and ultimately serves to hinder the economic success this country has had on the internet in the past decade. Do not give in to ISP lobbyists who seek to take the American people for everything they can get. This is not just some ordinary commercial business, this is the future of the United States. Modern business, education, and communication happen online (many solely online) and need to be protected so that our country can continue to move forward as a global power.
9. Preserve Net Neutrality, Clark, NJ, 07066  
Undoing net neutrality is not in the common interest of the people, but for the corporations.
10. Jason Olszyk, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
The FCC should not overturn the previous Net Neutrality rules under title II. The Internet is a public utility and should be treated as such.
11. Chelsea Madeira, Union, NJ, 07083  
I support net neutrality, specifically the backing of Title II's oversight of ISPs.
12. Kevin Carpenter, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Do not weaken net neutrality regulations by moving to title 1 classification. Do not allow corporations to set the rules. Please work for a free internet.
13. James Minade, Frenchtown, NJ, 08825  
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight. Please take this business owner's consideration. We are a US manufacturer that makes everything in NJ. If my website does not have equal access our costs go way up. Our website is what teaches customers why our US made product is actually better than other overseas made products.
14. Sean Perrotta, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Free and open Internet is good. Title II is good. Don't be dicks.
15. Judy Stanton, Millington, NJ, 07946  
We need Title II protections in place! Do not let Ajit Pai repeal our Title II protections to a free and open internet!
16. Joshua A Bross, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
I am in the strongest of support for Net Neutrality and regulations that demand fair play among Internet Service Providers.
17. MICHAEL CASSIDY, GLADSTONE, NJ, 07934  
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2 PROTECTION FOR THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ON THE INTERNET! DO NOT REMOVE THE SAFEGUARDS IN PLACE TO PROTECT THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION.
18. Aung Nay, MARTINSVILLE, NJ, 08836  
Net neutrality is a fundamental building block of democracy and to dilute, misrepresent or corrupt it would be a danger to our American democracy.
19. Krish Kottamasu, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I support the existing net neutrality law and also the current classification of Internet companies under Title II for oversight. Please don't leave it up to the Internet companies to voluntarily regulate themselves to play nice since they've shown in the past that they will do anything to get ahead including practices that stifle competition.
20. Mike Palumbo, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
Thanks to the John Oliver Show for bringing this to my attention. As a worker in the communications industry, you are absolutely wrong that ISPs will decrease spending as a result of today's regulation. They MUST expand and provide better customer experiences to maintain their audience and they will continue to do this regardless of the regulations. As the Verizon CFO said, the current regulation has had no impact on their expansion to infrastructure. Stop trying to protect the corporations and give the consumers what they want and need. Leave the current regulations in place and get out of the way.
21. michael chatzopoulos, basking ridge, NJ, 07920  
I dont think that we should roll back the 201 net neutrality regulations.
22. Robertson Wang, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Preserve net neutrality, stop trying to sell out our free and open internet for corporate interests.
23. Joe Brady, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Net neutrality is extremely important to make sure the internet remains a platform of opportunity and freedom of speech. Americans do not want companies determining what data we view. We do not want them controlling our internet speeds. We want freedom on the internet. We want net neutrality.

24. Barry K Schwartz, Stockton, NJ, 08559  
I fully support Net Neutrality under Title II of the Communications act of 1934. Keep ISPs under Title II
25. Robert Zakowski, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
It is crucial that the United States and our government support strong Net Neutrality by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs). Freedom to access information and services unobstructed by any one company or government's influence is key to what America was founded on; freedom of belief and expression. It is important to keep the title 2 classification on ISPs to ensure that all those who access the internet (commercial and residential) can have an equal experience with the opportunity to compete and participate in the growing tech industry and society.
26. Larry Dukin, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
We need an equal and fair internet so I'm writing to support net neutrality.
27. Eric Keller, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
I support the classification of ISPs as Title II under the Communications Act of 1934. The Internet is a utility that should be on an equal playing field for all websites and web services.
28. Ryan Frazier, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Please keep ISP classifications as cited under Title I and Title II classifications - protecting our internet access.
29. Nicole Maturo, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I am in favor of strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Do not revert these rules and give more power to the cable companies.
30. Jim McNaught, Chester, NJ, 07930  
I support strict net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's.
31. lauren cagnassola, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I am strongly in support of net neutrality and the preservation of Title 2.
32. Blaine Schimoler, Peapack, NJ, 07977  
Strong FCC regulations are a must. Do not ruin this...
33. Michael Rubin, Union, NJ, 07083  
Do not scrap net neutrality for the sake of all the small internet site...keep Title II
34. Clifton Church, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828  
The 30 years before broadband internet was given its Title II classification, most people did not have enough bandwidth for messing with internet speeds to matter. But by the time 2015 was rolling around, the majority of people had more bandwidth than they usually needed, and bandwidth rich applications were becoming not just popular, but the norm. Applications like Hulu, Netflix, YouTube, and other streaming systems were using more bandwidth than anyone would have expected even 10 years before. Net Neutrality, and with it, Title II classification, is important because it means that users, companies (small and large), and organizations have a fair and equal voice on the internet, where most of the population of our country now does their communication with friends and family. To remove that protection threatens the free speech of individuals and corporations, that would have allowed for personal and corporate growth that we have the chance at now. While some believe that we will not have issues, since "there were no issues with Net Neutrality before the Title II classification", I disagree, since in the two years since, the FCC has had to act in the interest of enforcing these rules multiple times against multiple Internet Service Providers. The ISPs have proven to be ready, willing, and able to take action against Net Neutrality, and reclassifying back to Title I would only make their moves against Net Neutrality more likely to succeed. The reason there were no issues was only because there wasn't enough bandwidth before for it to matter. Oversight is a necessary evil in a modern world where corporations want as much money and power as they can gather. The fact that the FCC's oversight of ISPs has a proven positive outcome for consumers in only two years proves that in this one situation, we have already made the right decision. To overturn it would be to sabotage the consumer's, and other corporation's ability to have their voice be fairly heard on the World-Wide Web, and in doing that, costing new and existing corporations their chance to flourish.
35. Jeffery Petrone, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
Some regulations can be helpful. The key is knowing where the line is. Make the attempt.
36. Catherine Klaassen, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
Keep net neutrality in place, the internet needs to remain a level playing field. Title II rules are necessary to safeguard the internet.
37. Tanner Brooks, Millington, NJ, 07946  
Please keep the internet's net neutrality rules in effect with ISP's being classified under Title II. That is what John Oliver told me to say, but honestly if the FCC gets rid of net neutrality it just shows how truly corrupt the government is. Getting rid of net neutrality is objectively an awful idea, and only absolute morons or weak and greedy politicians would do away with it.
38. Alexander Seto, Watchung, NJ, 07069  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II, I demand internet access fairness with no strings attached!
39. Brian M, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
Please keep net neutrality and title 2 protections.
40. Ryan Fleming, Netcong, NJ, 07857  
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY and TITLE 2!!! DO NOT LET THE ISP PROVIDERS HAVE THE ABILITY TO STAGGER OUR

SPEEDS. The internet should ALWAYS be open for all!

41. madelin, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
Please maintain net neutrality under title 2 of the Communications. The new head of FCC suggestions to roll back net neutrality to title 1 of communications act are wrong and should be stopped. Maintain net neutrality under title 2.
42. Vineet Gupta, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Net Neutrality provides a level playing field for future innovation. If a small company with new innovative idea has to survive, it requires net neutrality. We do not want ISPs to prioritize the internet traffic. This law/regulation is bad for commerce and bad for innovation.
43. Isis Zhang, Stirling, NJ, 07980  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It would be a mistake to undo these rules.
44. Jose Barrionuevo, berkeley heights, NJ, 07922  
I support Net Neutrality backed by strong regulation regarding title II oversight of ISPs. We need to firmly regulate these companies. There is a reason why Bell was broken up. There are only a handful of ISPs trying to monopolize the market and there is evidence of constant collusion to throttle sites like Netflix, which endangers their outdated cable packages. Please do the right thing.
45. Steven Messina, Alpha, NJ, 08865  
ISP Service providers should be regulated as a Title II entity. I disagree with the proceeding to regulate them as title I. They the ISP cannot be trusted to regulate themselves.
46. Matthew Boyle, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II of the Communication Act of the 1934
47. Anthony Devergillo, Bedminster, NJ, 07921  
I believe in a fair and open internet and want net neutrality to remain unchanged. I am disabled, so the internet and social media are my major social links to my friends and the rest of the world. I deal with barriers every single day of my life, and the internet should remain a place where barriers do not exist.
48. Joshua Seckler, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. I find it disgusting that you would make the commenting area for this proposal so difficult to access. This is the information age and policy like that which you are trying to pass serves only to put average Americans under the boot of powerful pseudo-monopolies like Comcast and Centurylink. Take your position as a regulator more seriously, you are not in the private sector any more serving shareholders, you are in the public sector serving me.
49. Stephen Stelz, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
I want Net Neutrality to remain and keep Title 2. It is an important part of what keeps the internet out of the hands of corporations and service providers. Keep the internet neutral.
50. james skay, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Keep Title 2, support net neutrality.
51. Jay Klimowicz, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Dear FCC, I am writing today as an individual among many individuals taking time to make sure that Net Neutrality is preserved. This is the will of the people looking to preserve the free exchange of thoughts and ideas, not just ISPs looking out for their bottom line. I, and many others, STRONGLY support Net Neutrality backed with Title II oversight of ISPs. ISPs cannot be left alone to self regulate. I will also be contacting my representative with this message. Thank you for taking the time to read this comment.
52. C KELLY, BASKING RIDGE, NJ, 07920  
I support maintaining net neutrality under Title II. Net neutrality allows the public, customers, to choose what sites they want to receive and removes the likelihood that ISPs will sell MORE FAVORABLE access to their customers. If corporations want more favorable access to customers they should build their own "final mile" and not usurp one that the public paid for.
53. Robert Hunt, Millington, NJ, 07946  
I do not want a few Internet providers determine what I can or cannot watch. The thought that an Internet provider can block or restrict feed access in order to eliminate the free expression of ideas or programs seen on the Internet is unconscionable. The freedoms provided currently by Net Neutrality must not be taken away from the citizens of the United States!
54. Angus Laidlaw, North Plainfield, NJ, 07060  
Keep Net neutrality backed by strong title II regulation.
55. william brennan, summit, NJ, 07901  
Net neutrality , specifically title 2 is incredibly important to me and the rest of humanity. Please maintain net neutrality and especially title 2. PLEASE don't screw us over.
56. Paul Moehringer, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
Would like to see Net Neutrality preserved.
57. Justin Cafiero, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
I urge you to keep strong Net Neutrality laws backed by Title II. The government should retain the power to regulate the Internet so it is open to newcomers and free from manipulation.

58. Linda Osborn, Stockton, NJ, 08559  
We need complete open access to the internet. Net Neutrality is a must.
59. Toni Audris Francis, Bedminster, NJ, 07921  
Please keep net neutrality intact and keep Internet Providers under Title II!
60. Karl Pittenger, Stockton, NJ, 08559  
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.Karl
61. Daniel Mulvihill, Bound Brook, NJ, 08805  
I Support strong FCC regulation in favor of net neutrality. Please do not allow companies to preferentially regulate internet pipelines.
62. Erik Anderson, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Chairman Pai and the Trump administration are putting the interest of corporations ahead of the American people. The previous administration's FCC built a door between the Thanksgiving turkey and family dog. Now you want to open it and just tell him "stay." Keep the door closed.
63. Genna Gincel, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
I strongly support preserving net neutrality and Title II. Anything less would be irresponsible and detrimental to consumers and internet users.
64. Robert Lubin, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Please do not dismantle net neutrality rules.
65. Andres, North plainfield, NJ, 07060  
I support strong net neutrality. Keep the internet Free!
66. brendan chiesa, woodbury, NJ, 98121  
First, this is the worst "contact us" system on the internet. Just tell the public you don't care about their opinions then this. De-complicate this website before chairman Pai touches America's laws and regulations.Now the topic at hand. Net Neutrality must stay under Title 2. Chairman Pai as an ex-verizon employee knows Title 2 is the only set of laws under which the internet can be under to protect America's internet. By taking the internet regulations away from Title 2 WILL turn America's internet in a system like that of China but instead on a single government dictating they access the people will have America will have maybe 5 different companies controlling the what access to information the people have. These companies already sell the promise of speeds and data plans they already don't provide to increase profit margins (not because of FCC regulations because they failed to meet promises since 2000 which is long before chairman Pai wishes to start the conversation). If they don't meet promises made in their contracts to america, what makes chairman Pai believe you can trust their terms of service contracts which are to control users' (and not the company's) behavior. Despite what chairman Pai states with his faux truths, internet providers have been spending more money since net neutrality. Chairman Pai likes to use a start date does have a cut but that was economic downturn and merger cost cutting. The cuts we're small and had nothing to do with net Neutrality and fcc regulations.Internet is a utility be it wired or wireless. It's as important as a phone and electricity in modern America. Even Walmart makes you go online for a minimum wage jobs. It has boosted America's productivity. Getting rid of net neutrality and it's regulations helps helps 10 companies get richer at the cost of America's productivity, education, Americans sales, America's soft cultural diplomacy and so much more.Regulate the internet under Title 2 and keep net neutrality.
67. Robert K Gladson, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
A strong Net Neutrality through Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 is the only way to ensure a free and healthy play field within the free market. To think the ISPs, many of which are Monopolies in many regions, can regulate themselves is quite frankly ridiculous, and have shown in the past that they have not even remotely earned that trust.
68. Michael Penyak, Clinton, NJ, 08809  
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Allowing ISPs to dictate service levels creates unfair advantage.
69. Matt, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
Keep the net neutrality rules and title II!!! You cannot ask companies to just promise they won't slow down the internet, the rules are there to protect consumers and keep the playing field level. This is blatantly obvious.
70. John Launchi, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
I strongly support the net neutrality laws backed by Title 2's oversight of ISPs. This is freedom of internet. This needs to stay.
71. Adam Kornberg, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
I would like to make my voice heard to protect net neutrality and title 2 classifications of ISP's. Letting ISP's be able to adjust the speed of certain services would in no way be beneficial to the American people who should have the freedom to watch/search whatever they desire without being throttled.
72. Christopher Kratzer, Frenchtown, NJ, 08825  
I strongly support Net Neutrality legislation. Everyone benefits from laws that ensure nondiscriminatory transmission at the carrier level, and to say otherwise is either uninformed or dishonest.
73. Jackie Marabella, Kenilworth, NJ, 07033  
STOP TRYING TO SELL OUR BROWSING HISTORY!! YOU SHOULD BE ASHAMED!!! THIS IS DIRECTED TO AJIT PAI.
74. Wendy Salomon, Pittstown, NJ, 08867

Net neutrality is critical to maintaining equality and freedom for all Americans, from individuals to the largest corporations. Internet service providers have become utilities, more analogous to electricity and water services, and should be treated as such. Internet access is a necessity for all, and therefore internet service providers must be neutral and accessible, without privileging or hobbling any entities.

75. Brian Cortelyou, Raritan, NJ, 08869  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by titled 2 oversight over Internet service providers
76. Rishi Narayan, Branchburg, NJ, 08853  
I strongly support a free and open internet, a place of fair and equitable connection and competition. And I despise your willfully ignorant attempts to destroy that place, taking power away from everyday people only to bestow it in the hands of already corpulent corporations -- again, after three years! Am I going to constantly have to file these complaints? How long until you get it through your thick heads that the people don't want your awful attempts at deregulation, that you're working for corporations instead of the people you pledged your support to?As a separate note to Mr. Pai: I hate you. You give Desis a bad name. Haven't we suffered enough with Jindal?
77. John Andreson, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
DONT REPEAL TITLE 2! Keep the internet free you greedy filthy animals
78. Chad Maurer, Whitehouse Station, NJ, 08889  
I'm commenting to let Mr. Pai know that I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Furthermore, I would encourage Mr. Pai to stop spreading FUD (Fear. Uncertainty. Doubt) regarding the efficaciousness of Title 2 in helping to ensure a 'free' and open Internet. We get enough of that nonsense from the Trump administration. The same Internet that you seem to be hellbent on giving to the ISPs, is the same one that keeps track of all your public statements and comments. At least it will be till you mess with the rules and the ISPs prevent these types of searches.
79. Kathy Kennedy, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I urge the continuation of NET neutrality . Preserve Title 2!
80. William T. Musil, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
Title II is necessary. If anything, make it stronger. Peering relationships and content must not be subject to preferential or detrimental treatment based on which content the provider wishes to invest in. All providers must ethically provide equal access to data flow and content of the consumer's choosing.
81. David Pereira, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Please make sure that you support net neutrality and allow consumers to have the same access as businesses as a core right.
82. Christian Abreu, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I would like Net Neutrality and Title 2 to be preserved.
83. James Trier, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
Removing Title II classification of internet service providers will simply not lead to a 'free and open internet' as suggested by chairman Pai. The existing rules provide a fair and level field for business opportunity and individual access. Removing these rules only permits service providers to manipulate content and control access to internet resources to their own benefit. There is no public need, benefit, or desire for the removal of this reasonable regulation. The rules should not be changed in a way that favors only service providers at the expense of individuals and businesses. I urge the panel to VOTE NO on resolution 17-108.
84. Eric Brezina, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
We need to protect net neutrality for all people and keep that protection under Title II. The internet is a utility and access to it was created as an open source so everyone could benefit from this now critical resource. Please keep in mind what is beneficial for all citizens and don't allow corporate interests the ability to stifle our access to the internet for their gain.
85. Kevin Percival, Bridge water, NJ, 08807  
PLEASE PLEASE PLEASE let us keep out net neutrality!
86. Ryan Walton, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
As an Information Technology graduate and web developer, I cannot express my concern at any changes which would alter the ruling on net neutrality. Although we always hope that corporations and individuals will act in the best interest of themselves AS WELL as others, the reason that we have many laws is to ensure that citizen rights and lives are protected.
87. Peter Murphy, Annandale, NJ, 08801  
I STRONGLY support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Reversing current regulations would adversely affect my small business. This attempt to undermine net neutrality is clearly at the behest of the giant media companies who would be able to manipulate access to the internet and negatively impact smaller businesses and the individual citizens of our country should the proposed changes take effect. American citizens need unfiltered access to information in order to make informed decisions. Please resist corporate pressures to change the rules.
88. tom mauriello, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
Hello - I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. I have been employed in the digital industry since the mid 1990's and fear that any other approach would specifically impede my ability to stay competitive in this industry. Thank you!!
89. David Cymer, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920

I STRONGLY support upholding net neutrality regulations under Title II. Do not call protecting the open web a "burdensome regulation." That is disingenuous.

90. Thomas Lisankie, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
I STRONGLY support net neutrality law backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It's very important to treat the Internet as a public utility so that ISPs cannot show preference for certain people, beliefs, businesses over others. Anything less than this standard is simply unacceptable.
91. Gregory Ursitti, Califon, NJ, 07830  
We need to keep this level of insurance on the internet, to keep ISPs from taking something that is now a basic need into a; the more money you have the better for you. Would you only give out water to only people who can pay for it, our would you go out and give water out for free to those who need it to survive and doesn't have direct access to water.
92. net, skillman, NJ, 08558  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. This is an absolute necessity to ensure freedom for the modern American
93. Daniel Hyland, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight is the way to go forward in this country. We cannot allow for an honor system to govern the way people communicate and learn in this modern era.
94. Vince Pallone, Mt Arlington, NJ, 07856  
Net neutrality must remain in place. The internet is a shared right among all people that should not be throttled or managed by any company or person. It would be a travesty if the government allowed such a fundamental right to stripped so a few greedy people can get paid off.
95. John F.X. Keane, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
I am against the evisceration of net neutrality. If enacted, the large cable companies will take the freedom of use from small start-up companies and individuals through the ability to charge more for usage. It is patently unfair. The large cable companies like Spectrum (Time-Warner) and Comcast are making a very comfortable return now. This alteration would benefit their shareholders but not the American people. Please keep net neutrality as it is. Regards, John FX Keane
96. Restoring Internet Freedom, Hampton, NJ, 08827  
I strongly support the continued classification of ISP (Internet Service Providers) under Title II of the Telecommunications act of 1934 to ensure a free, fair, and open internet.
97. net neutrality, Clark, NJ, 07066  
I am firmly against repealing net neutrality. I will Express my opinion in my vote
98. Jay Kingley, Warren, NJ, 07059  
Please continue to regulate ISPs under Title 2 and do not revert back to Title 1. The ISPs are on record as accepting Title 2. It is essential to ensure a level playing field for all participants that they continue to be regulated under Title 2.
99. Jim Web, Bridewater, NJ, 08807  
I support Net Neutrality Laws under Title II. DO NOT CHANGE THEM.
100. Graham MacRitchie, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Continued efforts to move ISPs from Title 2 back to Title 1 are anti-consumer. These decisions are foolish to say the least.
101. Michael Russick, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I support Net Neutrality under Title II. FCC Chair Ajit Pai is performing a huge disservice to consumers and companies in America and abroad by proposing his new round of changes to Net Neutrality.
102. Zachary Koulermos, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
I am NOT in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! Please do not pass this and give away my privacy.
103. Michael Holloway, ,Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
No, you're not serious. You don't seriously think people want to pay more for their Netflix. So what is the real purpose of this effort other than wasting tax payer's money?
104. Evan Alexander, Union, NJ, 07083  
The internet should remain free and open-access to everyone. Businesses should not be expected to act in the best interests of people, and that is why they need to be regulated as Title II entities.
105. Jean Nolan, Gladstone, NJ, 07934  
I am strongly against any changes to the Net Neutrality protections provided by Title II. We need to insure that ISP's cannot leverage control of service to advance their own services/agendas!
106. net, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
I demand that ISPs remain under the regulation of Title II of the Communications Act and be treated as common carriers. However, I accept that Title II provisions are somewhat arcane and should be updated, but under current law Title II provides the best protection for internet neutrality and open internet access.

107. Geoffrey Semler, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Net Neutrality needs to be backed by Title II oversight of ISPs
108. Dari Bout, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840  
We, the people, kindly ask that you preserve strong net neutrality and allow internet service providers to remain classified under Title II. We would like regulatory protections to remain so to ensure an open and free internet.
109. Timothy Dry, Branchburg, NJ, 08853  
I support strong net neutrality rules, oversight and keeping ISP's classified under title 2.
110. azhar asif, Union, NJ, 07083  
To whom it may concern, Keep title 2 to oversee isps. It's working so far and there is no evidence of it causing any loss to those isps. Without title 2 the internet can be manipulated and there has been evidence of that with google wallet and the isis incident. In conclusion I support title 2. Thank you Azhar Asif
111. vivek sahay, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
Only real protection is ISPs classified under title 2 in order to ensure fair access
112. Ziad Elkabbani, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I fully support net neutrality. Please do not remove it as big companies with lots of money could monopolize the internet performance for users.
113. Martin Segal, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
I believe net neutrality rules should remain in place to protect consumers (who you serve), as well as ensure a level playing field for businesses (who you claim to serve). As consumers, we pay ISPs to provide an expected service to us for the information we want to access. If they refuse to do that or manipulate that access in any way, shape, or form, we shouldn't be paying for that service.
114. Kush Mukerjee, Warren, NJ, 07059  
Dear Ajit Pai, I first off think that John Oliver is dead wrong about your mug, it whimsical and playfully quaint. However, he is dead on about net neutrality. I am very proud to see an Indian American as chairman of the FCC, but I believe you that on the issue of net neutrality, you have clearly shown a conflict of interest and supported a side that clearly goes against what America stands for. Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. You are absolutely better than the position you have upheld for the last five years. Thank you. Kush
115. Matthew Travers Sherman, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
The internet, all of its users, and America itself will only be hurt by switching to Title I regulations. The above groups absolutely require and will only benefit from keeping strong net neutrality regulations under Title 2. Please DO NOT switch back to title 1 regulations.
116. Mary Atkeson, Ringoes, NJ, 08551  
Keep Net Neutrality and Title II safe!
117. Michael K. Rosenberg, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
There is no need to change the current rules on Net Neutrality. Title II is the proper domain for these rules. It is essential for the internet to remain open and fair, and to prevent ISPs from having the temptation or the ability to favor one service over another, no matter what the reason. Merely asking ISPs to "promise" not to do so is completely foolish. The FCC must maintain the ability to legally enforce an open and fair internet. What if cable companies (who largely ARE ISPs nowadays) had the ability to provide better quality service for some channels over others? With the slow but steady move to streaming services instead of over-the-air or over-the-cable-wire broadcast, if we don't maintain the FCC's ability to strictly enforce net neutrality, we could soon see a world in which NBC looks great on your TV if you're a Comcast/Xfinity customer, and CBS, ABC, etc look like crap, with noise and dropouts. No company should be allowed to pay to have their internet content take priority over another companies internet content. We need strict rules and regulations to ensure this remains the case. Vague promises and obscure clauses in "terms of service" will not cut it. We are talking about the ability for companies to compete on a fair open playing field, now, and in the future. Leave well enough alone. No changes are necessary.
118. Mike Feldman, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers. The internet should be kept free and open.
119. Sara Berlowitz, Glen Gardner, NJ, 08826  
I support net neutrality. Do not give big business power over our browsing choices or information
120. Taylor Meise, Annandale, NJ, 08801  
Keep the internet free and fair. ISCs do not care about the interests of the people when their profit levels are involved
121. Michael Medeiros, Union, NJ, 07083  
I support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Do NOT allow ISPs to go back to title 1 status and work without oversight or regulation.
122. Anthony Susa, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II over-site of ISP's.
123. Emily Pinkerton, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
We need to keep net neutrality laws and Title Two in place in order to keep the internet a fair place.

124. Carson Davis Ferdinand, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Don't get rid of net neutrality
125. Christopher McCarthy, Succasunna, NJ, 07876  
I express my concerns with this proceeding. I would like net neutrality to remain in place.
126. Athena Frade, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I am filing in support of Net Neutrality, do NOT change the current laws surrounding it. I like my internet the way it is.
127. Katie Prendella, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
Net neutrality is a crucial part of our democracy. To disband it would be highly detrimental to our society. I therefore strongly encourage, and demand that the regulations not be relaxed on ISP's.
128. Richard Darcy, Green Brook, NJ, 08812  
FCC Contrary to what you think there are many instances of corporate abuse of consumer data, band width manipulation and software filtering. Net Neutrality should not be voluntary. Consumer interests should be protected collectively against corporate abuse. That is what the FCC is expected to do. Even Verizon says Tier Two doesn't hurt infrastructure investment. Don't let FCC become a corporate shill. Keep your hands off my bandwidth.
129. Alex Moore, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I support strong net neutrality that is currently backed by Title 2. It would be a tragedy if net neutrality was revoked.
130. Net Neutrality, Warren, NJ, 07059  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. The fact that Net Neutrality is in danger is ridiculous. It is entirely pro consumer.
131. Chirag Patel, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
I specifically support Title II for Net Neutrality. Please do not repeal this.
132. peter doss, Warren, NJ, 07059  
Please preseve net neutrality regulations under Title II rules. Only a free and open internet will serve the public interest. Thank you!
133. Walter Seme, Martinsville, NJ, 08836  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! Title 2 is the best thing that happened to the Internet. Anything less than this will hurt Freedom of Speech, my business, and my livelihood. Title 2 is what makes America great!
134. David Pedra, Warren, NJ, 07059  
I want to affirm my support for Net Neutrality. Ajit Pai is in the pocket of ISPs given his relationship with Verizon and should recuse himself from this decision!
135. Joanne Doyle, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I was a Systems Engineer for 35 year working in Telecommunications, and I do not care what you say most ISPs will do whatever they can to make more money.
136. Peter Brown, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I support Net Neutrality, specifically enforced by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
137. Conrad Dunkerson, Wharton, NJ, 07885  
Ostensibly the FCC is reviewing this issue because Title II classification has reduced infrastructure investment by provider companies. Yet those companies have stated in their financial disclosures that the Title II classification has NOT had any such impact. So... either these companies have lied to their shareholders, which is a crime. Or the FCC is seeking to 'correct' a problem which doesn't exist. What gives?
138. Peter Aragon, Whitehouse Station, NJ, 08889  
I strongly support net neutrality (with current Title II oversight of ISPs) and will continue fighting to support it. Those who are looking to change it are working in the interests of those who will profit from a proposed change. We the people will expose those who are against net neutrality for 'conflicts of interest' and those who are not supporting the vast majority of the people. If net neutrality is weakened or changed in anyway, it will end up costing the country millions if not more in legal disputes, lost productivity, stifle innovation, and harm healthy competition which is the basis of democracy and a vibrant economy.
139. Orion Bloomfield, Skillman, NJ, 08558  
Net neutrality is by far the most important law to keep alive as we go farther into the 21st century.
140. Lee Chasen, Millington, NJ, 07946  
I oppose loosening net neutrality rules. As long as the ISPs are allowed to compete directly with other business that wish to provide content over the internet, there must be regulations in place that force the ISPs to be source and target agnostic when it comes to prioritizing performance. This cannot be left up to the ISPs to self regulate as there are too many areas in the country where there is no realistic competition between the ISP's.
141. James Dowd, Pittstown, NJ, 08867  
Net neutrality is vital to fair and free ecommerce economy. Keeping ISPs beholden to Title II regulation is imperative to retain a neutral playing field where all parties can compete on equal footing and allow for innovation by small business and individuals.

142. Ivan Fritz, Princeton, NJ, 08540  
I absolutely support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not allow large commercial companies to control the Internet, it's core technologies and network belong to the people and not private organizations.
143. Nicholas Villane, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Net Neutrality and the title 2 classification of ISPs is of the up most importance to ensuring that the internet does not allow for large companies to abuse their size to crush new competition. The freedom allowed here has created great advancements for our country and I want to preserve this system for future generations.
144. Richard Davis, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do \*not\* reduce the current regulations that serve to protect Internet users and provides a level playing field.
145. David Kovacs, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Please maintain the current Net Neutrality protections and keep ISPs governed under Title 2. I do not believe that net neutrality will be voluntarily preserved by ISPs when it is against their financial interests to do so. It is your role to be advocates for the people of this country, not for corporate interests.
146. Chris Weidner, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
FCC Chairman Alit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet service providers. This protection provides a fair, free and open internet.
147. Max Rissman, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Also, Ajit Pai, please chill out on the whole Reece's mug obsession, it's creepy.
148. Robert Thomas, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828  
Stop being cunts
149. Filer-Christian Schmidt, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
For the love of god, keep the internet free. Enough of this and do the right thing
150. Mike Roma, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828  
I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. It is important to keep a level playing field. Internet is one of the greatest equalizers of this century!
151. Thomas Jones, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
is this a joke
152. Jay Tischfield, Martinsville, NJ, 08836  
Please preserve net neutrality by keeping internet providers in the current classification. I do not believe that a change is warranted and current regulation is appropriate.
153. Frank Sinoradzki, Clark, NJ, 07066  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Tital 2 oversight of ISP's! Getting rid of Net Neutrality will destroy the Internet as we know it, and I don't know about you guys, but I love the Internet that we've built. I am about to be a college graduate with a Computer Science degree, and I will be directly working in the Tech field upon graduation. My generation has grown up with the Internet, with things like school , bills, applications, etc. being primarily online now, I strongly believe that the Internet should be a public utility. Ajit Pai has strong ties to the same corporations that getting rid of Net Neutrality will benefit, which, frankly, is disgusting. As a citizen of the United States, I hope my voice (and others rallying with me!) is heard.
154. David Brennan, Mine hill, NJ, 07803  
I strongly support net neutrality and find it very concerning that the government is even considering rolling back the protections that prevent isp's from abusing their position to serve their own best interests or the corporations they are contracted with. Asking the American people to simply trust the isp's is laughable.
155. Julia Byrd, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
Net neutrality is at the core of equality and choice in this country. It ensures that everyone has equal access to the Internet, which has become essential to daily life for the vast majority of Americans. It is how we get our news, how we stay in touch with distance friends, how our children learn and research, how we share ideas and innovate, how we support the economy through online purchases, and so much more. Any action that jeopardizes that is completely unacceptable. While you certainly must hear out both sides, I hope that you hear the voices of the people over the din of lobbyists of the telecommunications industry giants.
156. Werner Strohmaier, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
why can't you understand that there is NO COMPETITION in internet access. so all your arguments for removing net neutrality are beyond dumb.
157. Joy Wendelken, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I believe in net neutrality and support the FCC regulations to ensure net neutrality.
158. Casey Haviland, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
I support strong net neutrality. Do not allow this to pass.
159. bruce higgins, westfield, NJ, 07090

do not allow this change that will impare net neutrality

160. Morgan Boetsma, Milford, NJ, 08848  
I am writing to express my interest in maintaining a free and open internet with strong Net Neutrality. If the founding fathers had joined today, a free and open internet would be a basic right afforded to all Americans. Do not allow the basic freedom of information this country was founded on be limited!
161. Gabriel Diamond, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Net Neutrality is an idea that the consumer only benefits from. The dissolving of it will only benefit large corporations and put money in the pocket of the corporations. If this ruling does pass, my little respect for the Trump administration will decrease to zero as this hurts everyone who voted for him. Please think about what you are doing and vote against this measure. My generation (I'm 18) doesn't need you most likely old men screwing up the internet like you've screwed everything else up. Can we have one thing anymore? All of you are disgusting for even allowing this vote.
162. Jim McBride, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
I support Net Neutrality and strongly support Title 2. Removing these will impact customers and give too much power to ISPs. I will also vote for and support people who support Net Neutrality.
163. Jay Shulman, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
net neutrality forever
164. Wayne Davies, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I support strong net neutrality protections under Title II. Do not reclassify as Title I. Keep a level playing field for all internet users.
165. julie Marton, Whitehouse, NJ, 08889  
Keep Title 2. Keep free internet. Cable companies do not own the internet, we the people do.
166. Dean Taylor, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828  
I support STRONG Net Neutrality and Open Internet regulations.
167. William Picaro, westfield, NJ, 07090  
You need to preserve "Net Neutrality" and "Title II"....Americans are awake and watching, don't let us down.
168. Eric Witzling, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
I support strong Net Neutrality rules, backed by Title II enforcement over ISP. Net Neutrality has been the cornerstone of development of the Internet itself into cultural and economic juggernaut it is now. It fosters only further growth and allows capitalism to flourish within it. Any loss of this protection does not help the Internet, the economy or the American industry, it harms it. Do not allow special interests to weaken what should be considered as foundational a principle to the Internet as the 1st Amendment is to our Constitution.
169. christopher malecki, califon, NJ, 07830  
I support strong net neutrality and the preservation of title 2. The conflict of interest between Ajit Varadaraj Pai and Verizon is so glaringly obvious that it makes me ashamed of my government.
170. Matthew Cannizzaro, Dover, NJ, 07801  
ISP's should remain classified under Title 2.
171. ryan tom, Ledgewood, NJ, 07852  
FUCK YOU PAI, don't get RID OF TITLE 2 WE NEED TO MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY NOT LET BIG COMPANIES AND PAI FUCK UP THE INTERNET.P.S. FUCK PAI's MUG
172. jason padilla, succasunna, NJ, 07876  
I am in favor of net neutrality and title 2. Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2
173. Frank Bonavitacola, Clark, NJ, 07066  
I strongly support the need for Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Internet lines are no different than telephone lines, they are just carrying different things.
174. Gary Lubisco, Martinsville, NJ, 08836  
I expressly support strict net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
175. Justin da Silva, Succasunna, NJ, 07876  
As an avid user of the internet and an American, I support Net Neutrality
176. Maurice Kessler, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
This proposal would effectively restrict and destroy the free access to the open, neutral Web, all for the benefit of a few corporations whose ability to price access and expression out of reach for most citizens would hurt commerce as well as discourse. Other free republics around the world recognize the value of unfettered Internet access, without enabling the high fees carriers would impose to create false scarcity. Do not enact this attack upon net neutrality, and upon the citizens who pay your taxes.
177. Rozena Varghese, Princeton, NJ, 08540  
We support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. We advise that you do not roll back protections and loosen regulations on ISPs.

178. Michael DePasquale, Green Brook, NJ, 08812  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 designation for ISP's.
179. Wade Hess, Stirling, NJ, 07980  
I support net neutrality with ISPs classified under Title II
180. Robert Smith, Landing, NJ, 07850  
Do not take away our internet freedom in favor of greedy corporations
181. Mary Bohrer, North Plainfield, NJ, 07060  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Keep net neutrality!!
182. Mark Koellmann, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Net neutrality is very important. "You know how you can see every website from every internet connection, and there are no long distance charges or anything? That's because of net neutrality. Every website gets treated the same, so you don't have to pay your internet company extra for certain sites like you have to pay your cable company extra for more cable channels. When you buy an internet connection, you always get the whole internet."
183. tyler acevedo, Landing, NJ, 07850  
I do believe that allowing isps the abilities to bandwidth filter media based on their financial beneficiary preference will allow proper and steady growth for the internet in both online business's or online news and media.
184. Sheila Duggan, Dover, NJ, 07801  
Please keep the existing rules regarding Net Neutrality. You DO want innovation within the small business community. Please think this through.
185. Christopher Philp, Branchurg, NJ, 08876  
I STRONGLY support protecting net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Do not hand our freedom of information on the internet over to big corporations. I do NOT believe in Ajit Pai's suggestion that corporations will voluntarily comply in their terms of service. Also, the tenants of capitalism will continue to push companies to invest in better infrastructure. Everyone hates slow service and it is in the best interest of everyone to have an open and free internet. Again, I am AGAINST switching ISPs back to Title I. Lastly, Pai get out of the ISPs pockets, your salary is paid by the American people, please act accordingly.
186. 17-108, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
I very strongly support strong regulation of ISP's and preservation of Net Neutrality and Title 2 classification of ISP's as it currently stands. Modification would blatantly work against small business and the people of the United States of America.
187. Kyle Watkins, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. It keeps our internet unbiased and free.
188. Greg Weil, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I support net neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs. It is unacceptable to allow ISPs any potential to obstruct or slow access to specific web content, and I have serious doubts that another law passed by Congress will be as robust in halting this behavior.
189. Joseph Moran, Gillette, NJ, 07933  
I am NOT in favor of the FCC removing Title II designation from Internet Service Providers. The American people have already spoken on this issue in 2015 and we overwhelmingly support Title II designation for ISPs. As both a voting citizen of this county and consumer of ISP services, I am more than willing to sacrifice potential innovation and investment in Internet-related infrastructure in order to guarantee - through specific FCC regulation and oversight - that the Internet remains open and ISPs are not allowed under any circumstance to establish paid prioritization services for Internet access and distribution.
190. Jerry Chao, Wharton, NJ, 07885  
Please keep ISP regulations under the title II provision to ensure net neutrality.
191. Brian McMahan, Annandale, NJ, 08801  
I strongly support strong net neutrality, and strong ISP oversight under title 2.
192. John, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
How is it that some can define the market as free by allowing a few companies to control speeds and flow. Keep Net Neutrality and unbiased delivery speeds.
193. Alberto Pacheco, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs and would like these rights protected.
194. Paul R Gargiulo, bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Please do not change the current regulations governing net neutrality and classification of Title 2 for service providers.
195. Dan Richards, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
Please retain Title II protection for Net Neutrality. Don't let this Verizon-captured chairman change our access to the Internet.
196. Penny, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I am appalled to hear the president is pushing you to remove net neutrality. We are a democracy, not ruled under a dictatorship! And the investigation into Stephen Colbert when Donald Trump stated on live national television inviting a foreign country to 'hack' us is blatant

hypocrisy at its finest.

197. Matthew Moser, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Do not remove net neutrality. ISPs must be Title 2 to keep communication and ideas free and fair. We cannot allow ISPs to make decisions on what we see, as they already are known as some of the worst companies in the country. They do not have our interests in mind, that is already abundantly clear. They must be heavily regulated.
198. Dominic Fonseca, Union, NJ, 07083  
I support free choice/open access for the consumer.
199. Sean Scofield, Mountainside, NJ, 07092  
I strongly support full net neutrality
200. Dennis Frazier, Union, NJ, 07083  
I strongly support net neutrality restrictions under Title II. Please preserve these restrictions.
201. Charlie Groll, Raritan, NJ, 08869  
We need to keep net neutrality strong with Title II. Please... this is common sense. Don't give in to ISPs and their money and their dumb, dumb arguments.
202. Cindy Migliore, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. I urge you to preserve net neutrality and Title 2!
203. Sandra, North Plainfield, NJ, 07060  
I specifically support that net neutrality to be perserved, backed by Title 2.
204. Matthew Schimkowitz, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Please keep strong net neutrality rules in place and backed by Title II.
205. Paul Wickliffe, Warren, NJ, 07059  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and the Title II classification of ISP's. I reject Mr. Pai's push to deregulate and change ISP title 2 classification. The political party currently controlling the Federal Government like to say government shouldn't pick "winners and losers." Neither should corporate communications monopolies, which should be regulated as public utilities to protect the First Amendment rights of the American people.
206. Daniel Anthony Dellechiaie, Mountainside, NJ, 07092  
I am a college student. I use the Internet to learn. By allowing the private sector to control what should be a fair and equal system, the Internet will fail to be the marvelous educational resource it is. The Internet has provided so many people I know with jobs. The Internet should run at equal speeds for all websites.
207. Matthew Lynn, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
I implore you to preserve net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs
208. Mia Martuscelli, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Net Neutrality is a regulation that should be upheld. All human beings deserve/need a free and open internet. Slashing regulations for corporations will limit options for the public. It's the only truly neutral service we have left. LEAVE IT ALONE. PLEASE. AND CERTAINLY DON'T ALLOW AN EX-LAWYER FROM VERIZON TELL YOU HE'S MAKING THE DECISION BECAUSE IT LIMITS INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT - as it's NOT TRUE.
209. Spencer Turner, Clinton, NJ, 08809  
Don't mess with net neutrality, it's very important to me. Loosening the collar on telecoms restricts the freedom of 320 million other Americans.
210. Grant Ferguson, Summit, NJ, 07901  
The FCC should not allow ISPs to have their own system of enforcement in regards to bandwidth allocation online. The FCC should continue to treat those same companies on the legal footing of Title 2.
211. Adam Tiner, Bedminster, NJ, 07921  
Keep your greedy Republican hands off of my Internet. Also, put Ajit Pai on top of a NASA rocket and send him to the sun.
212. Jake Ebert, springfield, NJ, 07081  
I'm concerned about the loss of internet freedom.
213. Carly DaSilva, Watchung, NJ, 07069  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. We need to keep use of the internet free and fair for everyone.
214. Ryan Doum, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
The idea that "regulation" of a neutral internet has stymied economic growth is extremely foolish. The internet being neutral to traffic has enhanced competition by allowing small competitors that do not have access to market-dominating networks to still publish content on fair footing. Why would anyone simply trust ISPs to do the right thing, when they are beholden to their shareholders to make them profit? They would naturally drive towards profit by preferentially allowing certain content over allowing for neutral content access - which is bad for consumers and the general economy of the US. In summary, I strongly support keeping ISPs regulated under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

215. Kevin Misar, Bloomsbury, NJ, 08804  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. We need to keep a free and open internet!
216. Robert Lynch, Bedminster, NJ, 07921  
I am extremely concerned with deregulation of net neutrality. I think it is a hard step back. I think that the government should deregulate in some areas, but in ISPs it should not. There is not enough competition in the ISP arena for us to trust the good hearts of ISPs and their always open pockets. WE NEED STRICT NET NEUTRALITY LAWS AND ISPs SHOULD BE CLASSIFIED AS TITLE 2 UTILITIES.
217. Aaron Levine, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Internet should be a basic human right and allowing ISPs to control and throttle certain traffic based on their consumer's habits should remain illegal. Please, please, please reconsider this.
218. Eva Teplitsky, Warren, NJ, 07059  
I support strongly Net Neutrality, we need title II oversight of ISPs.
219. Michael Calvelli, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
This isn't a cyberattack you idiots stop being afraid of democracy! I support keeping the current net neutrality policy with strong title II oversight of ISPs!
220. Nadia bens, shit town, NJ, 07078  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II (2) oversight of ISP's. If you change the way things are you will feel the wrath of the internet, stop acting like an airhead and fucking listen to the people. No one wants less oversight except the money hungry ISP's and you dumbass. go fuck yourself and keep title II
221. Bruce Wolf, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
Maintenance of net neutrality is critical to an even playing field for companies of all sizes and individuals. Data shows that regulations do not impede investment in infrastructure.
222. Ashley Hayes, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
Preserve Net Neutrality & Title 2!!!
223. Grace Gueckel, Skillman, NJ, 08558  
Please continue to enforce strong net neutrality by classifying ISPs under Title II as a common carrier service!!!! We, the people, should be able to choose which websites and services we want to use without having our Internet connection to those websites and services throttled or blocked by our ISPs to advance their own interests or at the request of a governing body.
224. Zoha Masood, Warren, NJ, 07059  
I support strong net neutrality protection backed by Title II oversight of ISPs
225. William Carlson, Bound Brook, NJ, 08805  
I am in strong Favor of Net Neutrality laws, to secure a level fair internet with Internet Service Providers under Title two. Evidence suggests letting these regulations go would be quite consequential, and hurtful to a fair internet. I implore that the regulations currently holding net neutrality STAY IN PLACE to protect the free internet.
226. Joseph Rutz, North Plainfield, NJ, 07063  
Please do not weaken net neutrality. Companies have proven constantly through history that they will exploit any opening to make profits. The only way to ensure that they do not take advantage of people on this matter is to keep strong enforceable laws on the books.
227. Matt Salvatore, Skillman, NJ, 08558  
DO NOT ALTER THE CURRENT FCC RULES REGARDING NET NEUTRALITY!!!!
228. Phillip Perin, Chester, NJ, 07930  
Hello, A free, fair and equal internet is paramount for a free, fair, and equal nation. If you want to be "pro business" then you need to allow companies to compete on the same playing field. Allowing industry giants like Verizon and Comcast to decide which websites get equal internet connection is anathema to a growing digital age. Should any company develop a new technology that any of the internet providers see as competitive or even if these companies have been considering entering the that market they could shut down access to those companies and gain a competitive advantage. Do not change internet neutrality, do not allow these companies to buy a competitive advantage. Prove you are pro-business and not Pro-businesses that pay me ludicrous amounts of money through back channels.
229. Andrew Vernon, Martinsville, NJ, 08836  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Also, oversized Reese's coffee mugs are just really, really, not cool.
230. William Sagner, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
Net neutrality has always been the internet's guiding principal. Throttling bandwidth or giving priority bandwidth to entities with greater financial and hence greater government influence would negatively impact the ability for smaller entities to expand their reach and grow their business. We must support startup businesses and give the little guy a fair shake.
231. Timothy Dundorf, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I support a legally binding guarantee of net neutrality as outlined in Title 2. Anything else is unacceptable.
232. Eleanor Scott, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Preserve net neutrality and strong regulations on Internet service providers. I specifically support net neutrality backed by oversight and

restrictions on ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act.

233. William R. Benz, Milford, NJ, 08848  
Net Neutrality, we have current regulations under Title 2 that work to keep ISPs from making decisions that could influence or prioritize Internet traffic. The regulations must stay in place as they provide protection for citizens over the influence of corporations that are serving other interests like shareholders. Trusting businesses to act in the best interest of the consumer is naive as we have been shown in many instances where businesses have betrayed the public trust only to be given a slap on the wrist without even effecting the quarterly results. Examples are numerous. Leave it to Congress to let Wall Street take advantage of the non-collateralize real estate debt that caused the market collapse or the idea that Volkswagen can intentionally kill people by tricking the car software to mask emissions or the recent Well Fargo CEO admitting that they opened thousands of accounts for clients without permission effecting the credit of unsuspecting Americans. These events were serving corporate greed at the expense of the customer and public. ISPs are no different and all are self serving. By removing the laws and regulations regarding Net Neutrality people have one less tool to combat corporate self interest over what is right for the consumer. In a recent show Last Week Tonight with John Oliver dated 5/7/2017 he presented a segment on Net Neutrality that idea that the new FCC chairman, Ajit Varadaraj Pai was considering removing current regulation leaving the American people to fend for themselves. Changes to this regulation are a mistake.
234. William Gilles, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
Net Neutrality is very important to today's society and how we function as a culture online. Do the right thing.
235. Andrew Lake, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
I am in favor of strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. I am strongly opposed to docket 17-108.
236. A Zhou, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
DO NOT REMOVE NET NEUTRALITY FROM TITLE II. I am a registered voter next year, and I will be voting against anyone who removes net neutrality.
237. Harankumar Nallasivan, Raritan, NJ, 08869  
It's imperative that we keep the Internet neutral and unbiased by corporate greed.
238. Christopher Tarpley, Pottersville, NJ, 07979  
I am writing to voice my support for net neutrality and the continued use of Title II to ensure this. Please do not remove the protections that support a truly free Internet, one that is not subject to the control of ISPs such that content can be limited or slowed.
239. Christopher Mark Phillips, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
I STRONGLY URGE the all lawmakers and the FCC to keep the strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Government needs to look out for people, not large internet provider companies. Let's be honest, Verizon & Comcast don't need any help, you already eliminated their competition with legislation eliminating multi-provider spaces.
240. Paul Van Natten, Clark, NJ, 07066  
I STRONGLY call for the FCC to not enact this bill. Re-categorizing ISPs under Title I will severely impact the the ability of new business owners & entrepreneurs to start up and continue to run their business. New business owners will never be able to financially compete with huge ISP companies.
241. Meaghan Higgins, Kenilworth, NJ, 07033  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II, and honestly, please just stop the nonsense.
242. Justin Scocchio, Green Brook, NJ, 08812  
I STRONGLY URGE the FCC to keep the strong net...
243. Robert House, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
I would like to express in the strongest terms possible, the need for the FCC to maintain existing rules and frameworks that promote net neutrality. As an Xfinity customer, I have been subjected several times to compromised service from Netflix and other Internet-provided services due to Comcast's desire to either favor it's competing services or to extract extremely high usage fees from these service providers. The historical record is clear that the monopolistic arrangement that most cable companies enjoy will absolutely lead to abuse thru favoritism of the incumbent provider's content or throttling of bandwidth to the point where services are disrupted. Indeed, this is akin to the current situation in the airline industry, where government inaction to prevent industry consolidation leads only to customer abuse. If the FCC disregards these obvious consequences of granting not only monopoly status, but also unfettered and unregulated business practices, they will have failed the American people and will be stifling innovation. The growth of internet-based service companies depends absolutely on a level playing field in terms of access to underlying network services.
244. anthony aquino, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Keep isp's in title 2
245. JoAnn Fisher, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
It is vitally important that Net Neutrality is restored under Title II. It is essential for open and free access to the internet
246. edith shansky, annandale, NJ, 08801  
Maintain internet neutrality and keep Title 2. Do not lessen this important rule
247. Luis Orellana, Landing, NJ, 07850  
We claim to be the country with the most freedom in the world, yet, the will of the people is disregarded by those in charge. I urge you to keep Internet Service Providers under Title II of the Communications Act. Time after time large corporations have shown us why we

can't trust them, because greed trumps goodwill at the corporate level. We can't let them make these decisions without the FCC oversight and regulations. The ISPs will continue to make tons of money and the users will keep enjoying the internet as the glorious equal quirky grounds it's always been. Thank you, Luis Orellana.

248. sag pat, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Strong Support for Net Neutrality. Don't reduce the power of the People by reducing their ability to access the internet and raise their voice out.
249. Gayatri Subramanian, Whitehouse Station, NJ, 08889  
Need to preserve Net neutrality and Title II for ISP
250. Nabeel, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
enforce stronger regulations to keep net neutrality
251. Jo Norvelle Walker, Princeton, NJ, 08540  
Please continue to regulate the internet as a public utility.
252. Alyssa Finfer, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I support STRONG net neutrality under Title II!!!
253. Michael Chervassi, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
I specifically support a strong net neutrality. [Title 2 oversight of ISPs].
254. Evan Brown, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Net Neutrality is of utmost importance for the future. It is imperative that it is preserved. The internet is not owned by anyone, and is a global resource, and should be treated as such. Please do not sell out this unique resource to the interests of American Corporations, which could eventually have an effect on the global marketplace. It is not solely ours to tamper with. It is everyone's.
255. Joseph Glennon, Milford, NJ, 08848  
In order to have a free and functioning Internet, all data must be treated the same. There are very limited regulations involved with the Internet, and what we have has encouraged competition very well so far. Competition has brought about incredible things and kept any single company from becoming a monopoly. The largest problem with the Internet today is the large amount of control Internet Service Providers have. Because of the monumental costs of becoming an ISP, many areas across the country have only one or two choices. This means there is very little competition, which allows companies like Comcast and Time Warner Cable to charge almost as much as they want for minimal service. The regulations put on ISPs now barely do enough to keep them from becoming complete monopolies. Without the proper regulations, ISPs will definitely become monopolies and have complete control over the flow of data, and there is no chance that they will use this power responsibly. There have been plenty of examples in the past that show how little ISPs care for the public. With too much freedom, they will stifle out all competition, and then raise prices astronomically without any upgraded service. This will completely shut down any Internet growth, and will increase the size of these already giant companies. A single trip to any forum or Internet hub shows just how obvious it is that only massive corporations support this deregulation. The public is almost unanimously against the removal of net neutrality regulations, and the few people who do support it are being fooled by the misinformation campaigns of companies such as Verizon and Comcast that would take in huge benefits from the decreased regulations. This fight was fought before, and it was clear that there was no public support for ending net neutrality. The fact that this is happening again - only three years later - is disgusting, and shows how little the current leadership cares for the voice of the people. The only possible reason this is being considered again is that high ranking government officials must be completely bought by these corporations. The fact that several massive companies have more of a say than hundreds of millions of citizens is horrifying, and needs to be fixed. Government officials should act on behalf of the people, not the company that gives them the most money. Anybody who is found to be acting against the public should be removed from their position of power, because obviously they do not know how to do their job. I hope this comment, and others like it, reach the people who matter. Democracy does not function if the voices of the people are not heard by those in charge.
256. Catherine Ryczek, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
I support continuing to regulate ISPs under Title II and preserving net neutrality. Access to the internet free of interference has helped to create a level of equality in information. Allowing for the destruction of net neutrality will skew the sources of information and the sites which Americans use.
257. Michael Berk, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I am in support on Net Neutrality and to NOT get rid of it!
258. Michael Loughran, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
The day and age we live in has come with advancements in technology fueled by an open and free internet. This internet platform is very much like the highways we drive on each day. These are the roads we use to get to and from work, the grocery store, post office, and hundreds of other places used by every member of society. Highways are a public utility that transport goods and services across the country in much the same way the internet transports data and data services. We govern our highways as a public utility and no reason to think that the internet shouldn't be governed in the same fashion; AS a PUBLIC utility (TITLE II)
259. Sarah Field, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828  
I strongly support net neutrality. ISPs should continue to be classified under Title II and not under the less regulated Title I because that could allow ISPs to block data, apps or services at their discretion.
260. Title 2, Garwood, NJ, 07027  
I support title 2 overwatching ISP's

261. Andrew Eichen, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
Please do away with "Net Neutrality" and return the internet to its unregulated state, so we can once again have a free market in the internet/ISP market. The issues people are supposing in order to keep these harmful regulations in place, are based on unfound projections. We've never seen ISPs act in the way critics are suggesting. The internet is the future, and government must step out of the way. Keeping these regulations sets a terrible precedent for future regulations of the internet.
262. Robert Urbanowicz, Raritan, NJ, 08869  
Please preserve NET Neutrality as it is important to have a level playing field. ISP's need to be TITLE II.
263. Siying, summit, NJ, 07901  
preserve net neutrality and title II!!
264. Troy Cullen, Warren, NJ, 07059  
I and the other 970,000 people who watched Last Week Tonight support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
265. Lauren Eschwie, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I support a strong net neutrality regulation and Title II.
266. Ryan Morris, Succasunna, NJ, 07876  
I am in support of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am very opposed to Docket 17-108, and intend to oppose any further measures taken to weaken Net Neutrality.
267. Anthony Novello, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Mr. Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's!!!Thank you,A. Novello
268. Ray Dodds, Stockton, NJ, 08559  
I support strong net neutrality by use of Title II classification of Internet Service Providers.
269. Ingo Dean, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
As an IT Director for an organization and as a concerned citizen, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's - the Internet Service Providers should not be allowed to play favorites with my data.
270. Jeremy Dennis, budd lake, NJ, 07828  
I support keeping the strong net neutrality regulations in place and vigorously oppose any attempt to loosen or remove them because that would allow ISPs to hold content hostage while they plunder the information age like robber barons. Don't touch those regulations, you horse-fuckers.
271. Pamela Seelig, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
support strong net neutrality and Title 2 oversight
272. Kyle Kimple, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title 2 of oversight of ISPs.
273. Net Neutrality, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840  
Don't remove the current Net Neutrality rules.....
274. Joseph OBrien, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I strongly support net neutrality and don't want any changes, please. respectfully
275. Justin Roman, Kenilworth, NJ, 07033  
I emphatically stand behind net neutrality and keeping ISPs classified under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
276. Ursula Burke, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
I strongly support net neutrality remaining under Title II. Please do not change it.
277. Marty Konrad, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Keep the internet regulated under Title II. It should be regulated as any necessary public utility. The government must regulate greed for the good of its citizens.
278. Margaret Martonosi, Skillman, NJ, 08558  
I strongly support retaining the current FCC position upholding net neutrality. As consumers, we have very little choice regarding our internet service providers, and as a result, there is little ability for market forces to allow us to "vote with our dollars" regarding appropriate service. Rolling back net neutrality will leave US consumers at the mercy of large companies with insufficient competition, and will result in subpar service.
279. Hunter, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
I strongly support net neutrality. Get your government out of our internet.
280. Brian Bilsky, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
Please keep ISPs under Title II regulation. The internet must remain fair and equally open to all without priority access or fast lanes.
281. Josh Donovan, somerville, NJ, 08876  
Net neutrality is a cornerstone of our modern democracy. If you want to stifle innovation and coalesce power among the few at the

expense of the American consumer then repealing current safe guards is the surest way to hurt our economy and show that we are not a meritocracy but an oligarchy. DO NOT SUPPORT revising current statutes, procedures or rules that could favor ISPs at our expense.

282. Adam Yellin, Belleville, NJ, 07857  
I support title 2 oversight on ISPs. As well as, shockingly, net neutrality.
283. Zachary Zelenty, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Keep the Title II regulations on internet access. It's by far the most effective means of protecting consumers from corporate over reach.
284. Keith Pasterak, Glen Gardner, NJ, 08826  
I support strong net neutrality laws under title 2 legislation
285. Robert Dunne, Green Brook Twp, NJ, 08812  
I do NOT support this bill. There is not enough competition in the broadband ISP space. This law would allow providers to pick winner and losers or extract money from content providers. See what Verizon did with Netflix in 2014. Verizon purposefully limited their connection to ISPs used by Netflix in order to extract money from them. <http://www.dslreports.com/shownews/Level3-Verizon-Intentionally-Causing-Netflix-Congestion-129745>
286. Steve Arnold, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
Restore Net neutrality. It is better for every internet user and is better for business. To understand why I suggest you watch <https://www.yahoo.com/news/john-oliver-calls-want-internet-145925150.html>
287. Maksim Shcherbakov, springfield, NJ, 07081  
The threat upon "Net Neutrality" is what all those high school dystopian novels warned you about.
288. Robbie Michaelson, skillman, NJ, 08558  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II over sight of ISP's
289. Autumn Oberkehr, long valley, NJ, 07853  
Please keep Net Neutrality under section II intact! I'm not falling for Verizon's BS!
290. Shane Strano, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
DO NOT GUT THE LAWS THAT PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY. We need the internet to be a fair place. Do not ruin it.
291. Robert Waterman, Warren, NJ, 07059  
Keep net-neutrality under Title II. Really, don't mess with it.
292. Maureen Aronow, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
I believe that strong net neutrality should be preserved and chapter 2 laws should remain.
293. Timothy J. Graham Jr., Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
Title II Net Neutrality is the ONLY thing that protects us (users of the free and open internet) from the overreach and outright nefarious manipulation of big telecom companies. Vote to keep the current rules, unaltered because we fought LONG AND HARD to get them into place and we WILL NOT STAND to have petty corporate shills try and topple the will of the people.
294. Jon Trudel, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I am a strong proponent of net neutrality. I do not want the current ruling to be changed.
295. logic, summit, NJ, 07901  
Dear Ajit Pai,If you eliminate net neutrality, we will play the Nyan cat theme song on infinite loop outside your office, place of residence, and evil lair at an intensity no less than 110 decibels. The internet has kickstarter. Do not test us.Sincerely,A concerned rocket scientist
296. Sue Kellerman, Green Brook, NJ, 08812  
Please maintain the laws regarding net neutrality. The public deserves a free and open internet.
297. taylor connor, oLDWICK, NJ, 08858  
WE NEED INTERNET TO REMAIN INDEPENDENT FOR ALL.Which, in this context, means keeping it under the legal definition it currently remains under.
298. Alex Lippe, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Please keep net neutrality by enforcing ISPs remain under Title II.
299. joan m. peters, Clark, NJ, 07066  
I strongly support net neutrality and would like you to use your power to make it a public utility.
300. Bob Nichnadowicz, Clark, NJ, 07066  
Please reconsider this. Net neutrality is important to our freedom as Americans. I shouldn't be punished because I don't like one thing over another, when the other thing has the higher financial stake of the two. We talk so much about how freedom of choice is what makes this country great. Passing this destroy every choice I could possibly have in my private life.
301. Hazel Bell, Watchung, NJ, 07069  
Leave net neutrality rules in place. Net neutrality encourages small companies and competition as well as allowing customers to decide

- what services to use based on their intrinsic merits.
302. Amanda Moutner, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II! Paying consumers have the right to decide what content they can most easily access.
  303. Net Neutrality, Gillette, NJ, 07933  
I support stricter Title II regulations on net neutrality.
  304. Henry Young, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
As a young American, I am very concerned for the future of the internet and it's ability to be freely and equally used without ISP interference. It is for this reason I would urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality and support reasonable Title II regulation of ISPs, so that all Americans can enjoy a more open internet.
  305. Jake Eger, Kenilworth, NJ, 07033  
Keep the internet classified under Title II. It is what the majority wants and that is what democracy is all about. We don't need a country run by corporations.
  306. William Gibson III, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I support net neutrality and The Open Internet using Title II of the Communications Act and Section 706 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996
  307. Anthony, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Net neutrality must be preserved. Its undermining is an incredible disservice to the American people. I support Net Neutrality and Title 2.
  308. Bryan Metzger, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
Save Net Neutrality, it promotes small businesses and makes sure everyone gets a fair shot
  309. E. Parkinson, Warren, NJ, 07059  
I strongly support Net Neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs and believe that the internet needs to remain a fair and equal space for all.
  310. Robert B Robinson, Skillman, NJ, 08558  
I support the existing Net Neutrality rules as they existed on Jan. 19, 2017. ISPs should be treated by the FCC under Title 2.
  311. Paul Younghouse, Union, NJ, 07083  
We need to keep Net Neutrality for the Internet, based on Title II of the Communications Act.
  312. Patrick McAvaddy, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please take my concerns and the concerns of those who feel as I do seriously, we are nothing more than concerned citizens.
  313. Alan Green, North Plainfield, NJ, 07063  
Keep as is
  314. Lue Chen, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
We need title II for ISPs!
  315. net neutrality, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Please don't get rid of Title 2 because if you do corporate assholes will destroy internet freedom and control the things we do and watch on the internet.
  316. Keith Williges, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
I support strong net neutrality and Title II oversight of internet service providers and would urge the FCC not to roll back its stance or rules on net neutrality.
  317. KATHI WINECKER, FLEMINGTON, NJ, 08822  
PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY & TITLE II. No company can be trusted to do the right thing for the consumer.
  318. net neutrality, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I would really appreciate it if title 2 of net neutrality stayed, I'm a student who has to rely on the internet and I don't want to have to deal with any nonsense when trying to complete assignments. Thank you :) Again please preserve net neutrality and title 2.
  319. Bonnie Cavanaugh, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840  
Please keep the Internet free and easily accessible to all Americans.
  320. net neutrality, Watchung, NJ, 07069  
Net neutrality is entirely necessary to prevent ISPs and other similarly-positioned companies from gaining an unfair advantage. Therefore, I believe that it is necessary to maintain strict internet regulation while simultaneously doing everything to not hinder business growth. Net neutrality should be kept under title 2 regulations.
  321. Mitchell Kiebus, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
There is no discernible benefit to consumers of the internet and the citizens of this country that pay your salary to repeal net neutrality.

Repealing net neutrality only serves to enhance the profits of the corporations that you are supposed to oversee for the citizens of this country. By getting rid of a level playing field on the internet you will essentially be creating a barrier to creation of new online companies and opposition voices online. That is why I oppose this action and any other action that will curtail net neutrality.

322. Brian S, Califon, NJ, 07830  
Stop playing games with our freedom. The internet is essential for schools and students. It should be treated as a public utility. We don't allow water companies to do this!
323. ian andrew, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Don't remove title 2. It will not increase internet freedom it will in fact do the opposite. Although in most terms economically removing regulation will allow for a more free market but in this scenario title 2 is the only thing restricting big cable companies from manipulating the internet service I receive for there own benefits.
324. Leon Chang, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
I strongly support the continuation of FCC regulations under Title II.
325. Evan James Smith, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I am contacting you to demonstrate my support of a free and open internet by keeping ISPs classified under Class II. Thank you for your time.
326. karan, Neshanic station, NJ, 08853  
Preserve net nutralility . As a small business owner I can't compete with big companies. There are too much barriers to entry these days. We cannot compete with big corporations. It is not fair
327. Peter Colarusso, Martinsville, NJ, 08836  
Net Neutrality is important for freedom of speech.
328. Kevin Watson, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I support the Net Neutrality Act, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Any infringement upon this law, including attempts to undermine or repeal it, constitute wanton disregard for the welfare of the people, our democratic intellect, and the free and open access to information that our public education system and government seek to protect.
329. Michael Lynchski, gladstone, NJ, 07934  
I am a Republican and I firmly support the Obama era regulations for Title II management of Net Neutrality. KEEP THE INTERNET FAIR AND OPEN.
330. Stephanie G. Feist, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Please register this as a comment in favor of retaining the current Net Neutrality rules. I already pay a substantial fee for services from my ISP - with limited options for alternative carriers. To allow ISPs additional power is contrary to an open internet. Retreating from Net Neutrality will also put start ups here at a disadvantage other countries provide greater access to the internet. This is just a bad idea all around.
331. Sean Rhatican, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Net neutrality is vital to the current function of the internet. Don't relax these regulations.
332. Kate Callahan, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. Do not take away a fair internet and allow ISPs charge us more to visit certain websites or stream content. Protect consumers, not ISPs.
333. Dillon Snook, Dover, NJ, 07801  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. The Internet should be treated as a utility and available to anyone without restriction. The amount of information it provides should be accessible to the public. To control who has access to that information would be to step towards tyranny.
334. anne sweeney, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
Tell Mr. Paid to stop the nonsense and enforce Net Neutrality. He must have a stake of some sort, probably financial. Shameful.
335. Jacob Gonzalez, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Any claim that de-regulating net neutrality laws is a good idea or that companies should simply be responsible for keeping themselves fair is absurd and obviously back-handed. FCC yourselves for trying to pull this crap on us again. Ajit Pai, you were a goddamn VERIZON lawyer who suddenly can't remember that your former employer sued the division you're now the head of. Yeah right.
336. Mairead Higgins, Berkeley heights, NJ, 07922  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2. Freedom to information is a basic human right in modern America. Allowing corporations to impact internet ease and accessibility is unethical and un-American.
337. Julia Lester, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Please, please, please protect net neutrality and preserve Title 2. This is a critical moral responsibility, and you have the power to do the right thing on behalf of all of us.
338. Thomas C. Seelinger, Martinsville, NJ, 08836  
17-108 Restoring Internet Freedom Aleta. Bowers Apr 26, 2017 Wireline Competition Bureau

339. Brigitte Kwasnicki, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840  
I support keeping strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II !
340. David Blumenthal, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2 Thank you, D BLUMENTHAL
341. Gregory Medina, Union, NJ, 07083  
Net Neutrality is essential for the growth of business. Removing it will directly shift competition in the favor of larger businesses such as Comcast, Verizon, At&t, T-mobile and the like over smaller independent businesses creating the perfect environment for an oligopoly. If the market for the internet enters a state of little competition, then the amount of individual innovation and investment will increase negatively affecting the economy. You are all smart people; however, arguing that getting rid of net neutrality by shifting ISP's to Title I allowing them to fundamentally have the potential to control broadband speeds and internet content will be better is definitely not a smart decision. Please be smart and protect a competitive market by keeping the internet neutral.
342. Lana Doherty, Stirling, NJ, 07980  
I strong support net neutrality under Title II. To allow providers to slow or bar access should be considered a fundamental violation of the rights of citizens to have free and open right to information.
343. Connor Rammacher, Peapack, NJ, 07977  
I support strong regulation of internet service providers under Title II regulation. The FCC should continue regulation of internet service providers similarly to other utilities like electricity and water.
344. Somnath Banerjee, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
Keep the title II classification of ISPs in place. Contrary to your chairman's assertions, companies like Comcast in fact did limit bandwidth speeds for certain content including Netflix. It culminated in reports that Netflix paid fees to Comcast in order to reach Comcast subscribers without a rate limit. The FCC chairman is too smart to claim ignorance on this issue, and his lies claiming such conduct was merely theoretical is belied by news reports from 2014.
345. Caias Ward, Union, NJ, 07083  
Net Neutrality is a necessary element of a free nation. To allow corporations to restrict the means and types of communication allowed through the internet is to allow corporations to dictate what is acceptable speech.
346. Anne Carr-Schmid, Mount Arlington, NJ, 07856  
Keep strong net neutrality laws backed by strong title II oversight of ISPs!
347. kevin tague, annandale, NJ, 08801  
hi its really important to me that you to preserve net neutrality. you know its only going to piss off the public and tbh we really have too much on our plate right now so lets just like chill out please. I'm not going to ask nicely again,-Tague
348. Charles Levin, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. Do not let comm companies have the opportunity to abuse or profit from speeding up some sites and slowing down others.
349. Anthony Starks, North Plainfield, NJ, 07062  
Please work to institute true network neutrality. I'm pushing back against the views from the current FCC chairman that espouses flawed Reagan-era "trickle-down" theories. The seemingly knee-jerk reaction to roll-back of anything from the previous administration is troubling.
350. Keith, Dover, NJ, 07801  
Net neutrality is not fair nor acceptable
351. Gennady, Warren, NJ, 07059  
I want FCC to retain Net Neutrality as it is now. Please stop any threat to Net Neutrality!
352. clement, Hillside, NJ, 07901  
Do want to keep the freedom of internet
353. Karl Lugenbeel, Whitehouse Station, NJ, 08889  
Keep the internet equal, no fast and slow lanes. Cheeto Mussolini may try and take our freedom, but he will never take our Internet!!!
354. Melissa Idrovo, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality title 2.
355. Michael Longo, green brook, NJ, 08812  
Please maintain net neutrality and title two. There can be no benefit from failing to maintain these except for the wealthy getting the ability to control the dispersion of broadband. Getting rid of these would surely see the internet no longer be the enjoyable pipeline of entertainment and information that it is. The chairman of the FCC, Ajit Pai, should be well aware that verizon had essentially asked for being classified under title two as a former member of their legal department. Even though the lawsuit that ended with ISPs like verizon calssified as such was after Pai's tenure with the company, he was relevant in the legal world of communications. Things like the aforementioned court proceedings should be Pai's breakfast. In short, I have never heard any justification for revoking net neutrality that was anything short of a lie, especially from Ajit Pai. With the overwhelming failure to produce such a justification, there is clearly no other course than for the FCC to maintain net neutrality and the classification of title 2. Clearly, Ajit's tie's to verizon will have no effect



374. nick ladino, Warren, NJ, 07059  
Why are you doing this, this is going to cause way more problems than it fixes
375. John Biczak, Alpha, NJ, 08865  
I support Net Neutrality AND Title II ! Stop advertising for Recess Peanut Butter Cups. You are a Federal Employee!
376. Ryan Regan, somerville, NJ, 08876  
Strong net neutrality rules should be backed by Title II. Previous regulation should not be rolled back.
377. Ryan Wernlund, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Net neutrality is vital to a free and open society. The fact that this is under threat is disgusting, especially as the Republicans in power claim to be for limited government. Put freedom ahead of profit for a change and uphold net neutrality.
378. Valerie Genise, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules under Title II to oversee ISP's
379. alan cohen, Califon, NJ, 07830  
Reclassifying ISPs under title I instead of title II is reckless and completely unnecessary. Please protect our internet neutrality by keeping under title II jurisdiction.
380. none, Califon, NJ, 07830  
Insure net neutrality for all
381. Eric Wade, Landing, NJ, 07850  
I support STRONG net neutrality and am fully against the new presidents assault on the already passed proceedings. I support title 2 oversight for internet service providers!
382. brian evans, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
I support strong net neutrality laws And preserve title ii
383. Justin Fanders, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I wish to express my concern and advocate against these filings. What FCC chairmen Patel says are just theoretical possibilities will certainly become realities when you let 5 big companies essentially gain a new level of unneeded control in how my internet service is provided to provided to me. I also find it difficult to say that I fully trust someone who used to work for Verizon advocating on behalf of measures that will help Verizon instead of the general public.
384. brett fielo, Whitehouse station, NJ, 08889  
Preserve net neutrality! Do not roll back these protections.
385. Ryan Sidebottom, Whitehouse Station, NJ, 08889  
Hello Mr. Pai, The Internet and the world needs Net Neutrality. Do not repeal Net Neutrality as it will only become a mistake. Please enforce it. Do not end Net Neutrality.
386. andrew lakkis, Clark, NJ, 07066  
Hello, I am a young American citizen and generation Z'er. I am a consistent conservative and I hope to vote republican in the next elections once I turn 18. But this whole idea of killing net neutrality is changing my mind and I urge the FCC chair to kill any idea of eliminating title ii. I don't think Internet equality is a major limiting factor on investment and the idea of allowing all internet to be treated fairly is practical. Just don't do it for the public, not the ISP's.
387. Kathleen Baker, Succasunna, NJ, 07876  
I strongly support net neutrality and keeping the FCC under Title 2.
388. Laura Bisbee, Warren, NJ, 07059  
Please maintain net neutrality as regulated under title II of the communications act. Access to a free and open Internet is vital to the continuing function of democracy in this country. If private entities are allowed to privilege access to information, the public will be at the mercy of corporations and the politicians beholden to their interests.
389. Duncan Taylor, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
Net neutrality is something that is so incredibly important to me. As a high school student, I've grown up in a world with an open internet and to see it challenged yet again is disheartening and downright wrong. Please keep the net neutrality rules in place backed by Title II. I cannot express enough just how important this is. Please don't let freedom from ISP intervention and shady back-dealings ruin the beauty that is the open internet. Please don't remove the rules.
390. Jason Streko, Mine Hill, NJ, 07803  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's Voting to remove regulations is against the general public's wishes.
391. Anne Schmalzigan, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Please support internet freedom and title 2.
392. Donna Berman, Califon, NJ, 07830  
The internet must remain neutral to assure equality for all. Americans will record and publish every vote.

393. Title II, Pittstown, NJ, 08867  
I support strong Net Neutrality regulation under Title II oversight of internet service providers. This issue is extremely important to me and will effect how I vote and the internet service provider I choose to use.
394. John Carmeli, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Please protect our rights to a free and open internet under Title II. Do not roll back protections on net neutrality. Internet Service Providers and large communications companies have enough power, and profits. There is no need to allow these companies to influence what people see and do on the internet for additional monetary gain. Stay true to your pledge and: "promote, freedom for competition and innovation, and support the nation's economy by ensuring an appropriate competitive framework for the unfolding of the communications revolution, and encourage the highest and best use of spectrum domestically and internationally, revising media regulations so that new technologies flourish alongside diversity and localism, and provide leadership in strengthening the defense of the nation's communications infrastructure."Please do what is in the best interest of the citizens of the United States, and all the people around the world, who currently enjoy a free and open internet experience, and protect our rights under Title II!
395. Theresa Kuhlmann, Succasunna, NJ, 07876  
Please assure Net Neutrality by keeping the FCC under title 2.
396. Jonathan Betti, Ringoes, NJ, 08551  
Net Neutrality ==> Title 2.Please don't let monopolies like Comcast and Verizon ruin the internet.
397. Barbara Lee Anderson, WESTFIELD, NJ, 07090  
The FCC must protect net neutrality and maintain Title II control over ISPs.
398. David Arbeitel, Skillman, NJ, 08558  
Net Neutrality needs to be left in place. The Internet is about freedom of choice. Allowing ISPs to decide which content has a service advantage is counter productive to the principles of unrestricted access to information.
399. Roberto Fois, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
Net neutrality is fundamental to freedom of expression. Do not let providers diminish our ability to access content just so they can increase their profits.
400. Terence Ehnert, Bedminster, NJ, 07921  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. The only way for you to think Title II a bad idea is if you're evil or stupid.
401. Tim Wilson, Union, NJ, 07083  
I support net neutrality for myself, and Citizens of America both present and future. Please do not take net neutrality away!
402. staci, Washington, NJ, 07882  
I strongly support net neutrality rules and title II. Do not rollback these regulations
403. Carolyn Rothman, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
The internet without Net Neutrality isn't really the internet. Unlike the open internet that has paved the way for so much innovation and given a platform to people who have historically been shut out, it would become a closed-down network where cable and phone companies call the shots and decide which websites, content or applications succeed.This would have an enormous impact. Companies like AT&T, Comcast and Verizon would be able to decide who is heard and who isn't. They'd be able to block websites or content they don't like or applications that compete with their own offerings.Net Neutrality is crucial for small business owners, startups and entrepreneurs, who rely on the open internet to launch their businesses, create markets, advertise their products and services, and reach customers. We need the open internet to foster job growth, competition and innovation.Net Neutrality lowers the barriers of entry by preserving the internet's fair and level playing field. It's because of Net Neutrality that small businesses and entrepreneurs have been able to thrive online.,No company should be allowed to interfere with this open marketplace. ISPs are the internet's gatekeepers, and without Net Neutrality, they would seize every possible opportunity to profit from that gatekeeper position.Without Net Neutrality, the next Google or Facebook would never get off the ground.Chairman Pai wants to replace the agency's strong rules with "voluntary" conditions that no ISP would ever comply with. Pai unveiled his plan in a closed-door meeting with industry lobbyists in April 2017 and intends to move on it soon.The Trump administration is doing everything in its power to clamp down on dissent. If we lose Net Neutrality, it will have succeeded.
404. Kathleen M. Shea, Pottersville, NJ, 07979  
Please please please do what is best for the people and KEEP Net Neutrality and Title 2!!!
405. Rebecca Six, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
I strongly support net neutrality. Please preserve net neutrality!
406. Bryan, dover, NJ, 07801  
I want for you to leave my Net Neutrality alone. I support Title II.
407. Jacob Davis, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
The FCC must support and uphold Net Neutrality rules in order to protect the consumers of internet in the US. It is unacceptable to allow businesses to determine if they want to make up their own rules on data flow for any reason (which has basis in past events).
408. John Francis Musial, Mountainside, NJ, 07092  
Dear FCC --I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of the ISPs. It is disgraceful that we are evening having this debate again.Regards,John Francis Musial

409. Jeff Novotny, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
You must protect title 2 and net neutrality.
410. Roy Vincent Mann, Dover, NJ, 07801  
Net Neutrality is vital to maintaining a free nation, thus needing us to have the regulations under title 2
411. Matthew Halikias, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828  
I am a strong supporter of net neutrality, do not loosen that regulation under any course for corporations.
412. Lorine Harr, High Bridge, NJ, 08829  
I urge you to keep net neutrality in place and keep the providers classified under title 2
413. Anthony J Parenti, Jr., Summit, NJ, 07901  
Preserve Net Neutrality under the protection of Title II
414. Kelsey McAuliff, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
I am in support of Net Neutrality, as enforced by Title II oversight of internet service providers. Net Neutrality, and its Title II oversight, are essential to information exchange, creativity, and innovation into the future. I am strongly opposed to Docket No. 17-108.
415. Stephanie Rath-Tickle, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. They are essential to ensuring that information is freely available to all.
416. Mira Wattal, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I very disappointed that the current administration is threatening net neutrality. By threatening net neutrality, they threaten American values.
417. Jason, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed with Title 2 Regulation.
418. Staci Gordon, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Please preserve net neutrality. This is a very important issue. Things are bad enough in this country right now. Please don't ruin the internet for us too.
419. Ryan Purdon, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I fully and strongly support the current rules on net neutrality, and continuing to classify ISPs as Title II entities.
420. Nadya Wathen, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
Please do not remove ISP's from title 2. I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of these ISP's
421. Kelly Matuszewski, Kenilworth, NJ, 07033  
Preserve Net Neutrality and title II. Free and open internet needs to be accessible to all
422. John Downey, Whitehouse Station, NJ, 08889  
Please preserve net neutrality in its current form, including Title II regulation of internet service providers. I am confident that, if allowed, businesses would abuse an ability to regulate the speed with which content reaches consumers' homes, for reasons that include favoring partners who have paid for that ability along with deliberate attempts to suppress competition from start-ups. As well, I have no confidence in Congress addressing this issue through the law making process. As for infrastructure investment, Verizon's own executives have stated publicly to their shareholders that the net neutrality provisions were not going to have an impact on their investment strategy. And finally, can I just say that exactly zero consumers were begging for this change. And to the extent that any \*voters\* were begging for this change, it was only to the extent that those voters were executives or shareholders/investors in the companies that stand to gain from removing public (i.e. my) oversight. Please don't do this just because you think you can get away with it. I'm listening/watching/reading, and others are too. If you do this, it will be with the shame of knowing that you were more responsive to some really narrow but financially powerful interests, instead of the American public.
423. Kevin Schaefer, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Keep net neutrality! Nobody benefits from unequal access. A good decision has already been made. Why go back?
424. David Wronowski, Union, NJ, 07083  
Preserve Net Neutrality and title 2, giving more power to private profit-driven companies is definitely not in the direction we should be going.
425. Michael Terracciano, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I am heavily opposed to this bill. It does Not bring "freedom" to the internet, all it brings is the ability for ISPs to triple-dip into the money pool by charging customers for better speeds to specific services, content providers can be charged to get their data to customers, and they also benefit from tax breaks none of which have significantly improved the quality of internet speeds across the country. Maybe if there was even remotely a free market, but with 1 choice of ISP this bill gives them a free monopoly on the internet.
426. Joshua Michael, Annandale, NJ, 08801  
I firmly believe that ISPs should REMAIN classified under Title II. In fact, I think that free, open, and fair access to the internet should be a basic human right and should be regulated as such. ISPs should not be allowed to determine whose traffic gets priority over the network backbones or last-mile connections.

427. Edwin Chandross, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. To change these would be the first step on the road to ruin of the internet.
428. Gee Jeannie, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Please keep strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. I support this. Thank you.
429. Steven Pizza, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
We support net neutrality and believe that ISP's should be classified under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
430. Mary L Cottingham, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
How can there be any debate over the importance of net neutrality as the line between television, radio, and the internet blurs into a single stream of data transmission along with my work materials. My ISP should be required to meet (or exceed) the transmission rates for which I have contracted, regardless of information source I select. In my mind, my internet connection is the virtual road into my house. All drivers are welcome. There is a toll, but it doesn't vary by car. No data source (aka driver) should be able to pay extra to have a higher speed limit set.
431. james harr, High bridge, NJ, 08829  
To the FCC - I support net neutrality. Please keep the existing rule classifying net providers under Title II. And please stop making it so difficult for us to comment!!!
432. Noah S, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight on ISPs.
433. Melissa Spurr, Summit, NJ, 07901  
We strongly urge you to enforce net neutrality, specifically Title II oversight of ISPs.
434. Kayla Briant, Watchung, NJ, 07069  
I support net neutrality!! ISPs should continue to be classified under Title II regulation!! NET NEUTRALITY!! NET NEUTRALITY!!  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II!!
435. Betty Dorre, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828  
you need to keep net neutrality under Title II please! do not give in!
436. Kane Heaning, Clark, NJ, 07066  
Please do everyone a favor and doing approve the appointment of Ajit Pai, he's just a paid spokesperson for the ISPs at large. Destroying net neutrality is going to be the mistake of the century if that occurs.
437. Robert Souerwine, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I strongly support Title II. Preserve net neutrality.
438. mohamed kammour, Kenilworth, NJ, 07033  
I support strong net neutrality. By classifying isp's under title 2
439. Ami D, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
I specifically and strongly support net neutrality rules backed by title 2 ISPs.
440. Nicholas Guarino, Warren, NJ, 07059  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and the Title II classification of ISP's. I discourage the FCC from deregulating and changing ISP title 2 classification. The Federal Government shouldn't pick "winners and losers." Neither should corporate communications monopolies, which should be regulated as public utilities to protect the First Amendment rights of the American people.
441. Mani P, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 isp's. Preserve the net neutrality!
442. ken, branchburg, NJ, 08876  
I support net neutrality and title II. It works as is, DO NOT CHANGE IT!!!!
443. Taylor Kendra, Summit, NJ, 07901  
We support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. We oppose docket #17-108.
444. Margot Cardinale, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I am commenting in support of strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
445. Emma Giantisco, Milford, NJ, 08848  
I specifically support strong internet neutrality and Title II. Please preserve your neutrality and Title II. This matter is of great importance to me.
446. Ella Jacobs, bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I am writing to express my dismay and opposition to the proposed rulemaking, docket 17-108, being advanced by FCC Chairman Ajit Pai. The ultimate irony is that this proposal is called Restoring Internet Freedom. Due to earlier legal proceedings, if the FCC ends Title II classification, as proposed by 17-108, then ISPs must offer fast internet access for a fee. The corollary is that those that do not pay a fee will be saddled with slower internet access. This is not in the best interest of consumers nor the nation. Incumbent cable television and telephone service providers are already the subject of running jokes for their poor quality service, high fees, and steadfast opposition

to any reform efforts. Empowering them with control over the speed of internet access is also empowering them to keep the market fractured, protect their self interests, block entry to innovators and entrepreneurs, stifle competition, and place short-term profits ahead of the national interest. Internet access is a commodity, as is telephone and television service. It would be tragic if the country that created the internet allowed a handful of ISPs to prevent that country's citizens from fully exploiting the potential benefits of fast and unencumbered access. I strongly urge you to reject Chairman Pai's regrettable position. Please retain Title II classification.

447. Bill Arnold, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Please keep Net Neutrality under Title 2 to insure internet are not able to play favorites. Internet providers arguments to roll back Title make no sense considering they are pledging to remain neutral, we need legal enforcement under Title 2
448. Jeffrey S. Hurwitz, Esq., Warren, NJ, 07059  
The FCC should maintain its authority under Title II to require net neutrality for ISPs and ensure that ISP's do not discriminate in any way based on content and the speed that users can access content from such ISPs. If the ISPs are allowed flexibility in deciding on the relative access speed of content piped through their systems then you can bank on it they will in an effort to boost their profits, as they have down in the past with mobile payments systems. The FCC has an obligation to serve the public interest and not the interest of the ISPs (such as Verizon, the current FCC chairman's past employer). The argument that net neutrality has burdened the ISPs and decreased their capital plans is a fairy tale, as they continue to advertise to prospective customers about their relative speeds compared to the competition. I also note that in a late December 2014 earnings call, Verizon's CFO publicly stated (a statement whose veracity is mandated by SEC disclosure rules) that Article II and net neutrality will have no adverse impact on their internet related capital spends. Thank you for your consideration and for putting the public interest ahead of any narrow and specific corporate interest.
449. Kira Brady, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
As an educator, parent, regular voter, regular consumer of news, an American citizen- I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY. Thank you.
450. Yssavo Camacho, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Keep strict net neutrality rules. Internet companies can not be unregulated.
451. Robert Elliott, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Retain Title 2 for Net Neutrality. ISPs cannot be given the option of allowing paid prioritization. The playing field must be level for all internet users for innovation to occur
452. Benjamin Mason, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
I am in favor of Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
453. Ian Devaney, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
I support the Obama era regulation guaranteeing net neutrality and do not wish for them to be altered. Deregulation is a very bad idea.
454. ISP, somerville, NJ, 08876  
You must continue the Title 2 classifications of ISPs as utilities. They manage a public resource and must be regulated to maintain net neutrality fairness.
455. Matt Babcock, Chester, NJ, 07930  
Protect Title II ISP regulations. Preserve net neutrality is the US.
456. Kumar Vadaparty, Belle Meade, NJ, 08502  
Urge you to preserve Net Neutrality by keeping the Title II.
457. Ben Rosenthal, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I support net neutrality supported by title II. Do not allow the telecom companies to ruin the system!
458. Kelley Glass, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828  
I demand you do not touch net neutrality or Title 2.
459. paul aizaga, westfield, NJ, 07090  
Dear FCC, I strongly support the current Net Neutrality rules that classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications ACT. Rolling back these regulations would be detrimental to consumers. Please DO NOT rollback these regulations. Please leave them as is. Best Regards and Thanks in advance!
460. Michael Alfaro, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. They need oversight or they will show preference to their own products.
461. Sara Berkowitz, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
Please preserve Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight... I'm very concerned. I primarily use the internet for my form of communication AND entertainment. I don't want companies competing with eachother and slowing down other networks- its a petty game at the expense of our people. Thank you for your consideration.
462. Gray Tuttle, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
Please leave ISPs regulated under Title II, to ensure true net neutrality. We don't need "new legal footing."
463. Shawn Templin, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
A neutral internet is important! Keep net neutrality strong.

464. Hannah Lavine, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
I support a strong net neutrality!!! Preserve net neutrality and Title II!!!
465. Michelle Lerner, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
It is important to freedom of inquiry and competitiveness of small businesses that ISPs remain regulated under Title II and that net neutrality remain the law of the land.
466. jason clawans, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
Please keep Net Neutrality rules strict and subject to Title II to keep the internet free. Thank you
467. patrick jagodzinski, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed my Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
468. Mark Siegert, Millburn, NJ, 07041  
Preserve Net Neutrality under TITLE 2! It's the only way to assure ISP's will not change the rules without due process and review!
469. Sara Miranda, Union, NJ, 07083  
I support strong net neutrality with oversight for ISPs by Title II.
470. Emily Burns, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Maintain strong net neutrality under title 2.
471. Gregory Sharp, Dover, NJ, 07801  
Please do not change the net neutrality rules. ISPs should continue to be regulated under Title II.
472. Gina Kim, Warren, NJ, 07059  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2.
473. Annette Baker, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Keep Title II Internet Neutrality regulations strong.
474. Hilary Sharp, Dover, NJ, 07801  
Please do not change the net neutrality rules. ISPs should continue to be regulated under title 2 , thank you.
475. John Watson, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I would like to protect net neutrality and title II
476. Ryan Merolle, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Telecommunication providers continually prove they do not have America in their interests. They need to invest in infrastructure regardless of regulation. Even when they do make deals with states and municipalities in their best interests, they renege and leave states holding the bag. Keep net neutrality enforced with all the Title 2 requirements.
477. Ricardo Caraballo, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Please Please Please - Keep net neutrality regulated under Title 2.
478. Yuko Inzana, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
I need fair , equal and net neutrality to keep all of our online information comes to us. We should not have any discrimination for online services at all. It is very important for us to keep net neutrality more than ever. Thank you. Sincerely,
479. William S. Nussbaum, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
We must preserve net neutrality and keep ISPs on Title 2. Net neutrality has become a bastion of democracy!
480. Eric Reynolds, Califon, NJ, 07830  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, as any changes will likely be anti-consumer and restrictive of free speech.
481. Dave Peru, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Please keep title 2 regulations. Net neutrality is what makes the internet great. No regulations will lead to bandwidth throttling which is miserable.
482. Janos Jeges, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Net neutrality needs to be strictly enforced to prevent ISPs from selective slowing from blocking competitive content
483. Hannigan, Califon, NJ, 07830  
I support keeping net neutrality and title 2 the way it is.
484. Matt Brooks, Clinton, NJ, 08809  
I strongly support net neutrality and Title II, I think that ISP's need to be heavily regulated as they have shown in the past they are willing to do anything to make money at the expense of the consumer. Please do not deregulate.
485. Leandro Neves, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I support Title II regulations on ISPs. The internet should be a level playing field in order to benefit the population as a whole.

486. John Glanton, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
A fair internet is important and that is why I AM FOR NET NEUTRALITY. Only someone who is lining the pockets with the ill-begotten cash from the big internet providers would think otherwise.
487. Chaitanya Raj Malla, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Please keep the internet open by covering ISPs under title ii. Thank you.
488. Pasquale Iammatteo, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
I support strong net neutrality and title 2 legislation.
489. Ray ), Watchung, NJ, 07069  
Keep Title II regulations in place on ISP's
490. James Houghton, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
Strong net neutrality rules for ISPs governed by Title II are very important for all who use and rely on the internet.
491. Jim McConkey, Stockton, NJ, 08559  
ISP's need to stay under title 2. Net neutrality. Internet must be free highway. No cops trying to slow it down for their advantage.
492. Alison Simon, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Keep the ISP's classified under Title II. Net neutrality benefits all of the American people by allowing each and everyone of us access to the websites and information that we as individuals want and not what companies want us to see and use. I pay enough for my cable- I shouldn't have them decide my usage. Keep the internet open for all.
493. Deb Sutter, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Please keep net neutrality with Title II protection
494. Joseph Kohles, Millington, NJ, 07946  
ISP's should continue to be governed under title 2
495. Stanley Belczyk, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. It is incredibly important to keep access free from potential impediments and special interests. Allowing for companies to potentially favor certain sites is an attack on the freedom of information that has made this country great. Allowing the ISPs to self police themselves is the equivalent of trusting the wolf in the henhouse.
496. Net Neutrality, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
ISP's belong in Title II. We need to ensure that everyone has fair, equitable access and representation. That means people mean more than lobbying money, and you'd do well to remember it.
497. Nicolas Frantzen, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Please continue to enforce strong net neutrality regulations where ISP are regulated by the Title II of the communication act of 1934.
498. David Kristol, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Please do not undo the 2015 Open Internet Order and do not reclassify the Internet under Title I. Chairman Pai argues that large ISPs can regulate themselves and do not need government rules to do so. However, there have already been incidents prior to the change to Title II that contradict that statement. In 2014, Netflix's traffic to Comcast subscribers was intentionally slowed, only to miraculously speed up after Comcast and Netflix reached a deal whereby Netflix paid money to Comcast. That sounds to me a whole lot like extortion, and it shows the potential threat the big ISPs pose to edge providers like Netflix. Chairman Pai argues that it would not be in the interest of ISPs to slow some traffic, but the Netflix episode demonstrates that this is clearly not so. He might argue that competitive pressures would prevent an ISP from doing so, but there is no effective competition in the broadband market. Where I live (NY metropolitan area), there are essentially two broadband providers, and the barrier to entry for new ones is high, particularly when the incumbent providers own the wires and are unlikely to share them. I spend summers in rural Maine, where I have exactly one choice of provider. If the FCC is supposed to regulate communications, including the Internet, for the benefit of consumers, then the FCC must continue to protect consumers from ISP predatory practices. Thank you.
499. Kedar Muzumdar, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I very strongly support neutrality and believe Title II protections are very important. Please do not ruin this for Americans by being foolishly shortsighted or blindly partisan.
500. Elizabeth Navatto, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
I support common carrier status for cable companies.
501. John A Larson, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
This puts American business and our economy at risk. The internet is a title 2 utility and necessary to function in modern society. Google, Facebook etc... started as small sites with nothing but ingenuity. They could compete in the open market. Allowing telecommunication companies the ability to filter internet connections puts that free market at risk.
502. David Wuethrich, Union, NJ, 07083  
I support strong net neutrality, Backed by strong oversight under title II of Internet ISPs.
503. amy Kirtland, Rocky Hill, NJ, 08553  
I support Net Neutrality and Title 2. Please preserve.

504. Brendan Mulvihill, Clark, NJ, 07066  
I would like to express my support for retaining the FCC Title 2 classification of Internet Service Providers.
505. Stacey Haines, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Dear Ajit Pai,I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II
506. Yuriy, Clark, NJ, 07066  
We support strong net neutrality and don't want monopolistic cable companies taking our rights
507. gerald giamis, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Quoting from the Electronic Freedom Foundation: "We want the Internet to live up to its promise, fostering innovation, creativity, and freedom. We don't want regulations that will turn ISPs into gatekeepers, making special deals with a few companies and inhibiting new competition, innovation and expression."
508. James Cavallo, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
I specifically SUPPORT Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
509. natalie sarano, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
I support title two oversight of ISPs and net neutrality!
510. Net Neutrality, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
The internet has become what it is today as a result of its access and its freedom. Much of what we do and use in our lives is online, creating a life of its own. No one person or company should have control of such a life. You wouldnt let Time Warner tell you what parts of your house you can walk into without paying, so the same applies here. Relatively unfactual comment but you get the point.
511. Marjorie Brandriss, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I am writing to support keeping the Title II regulations for internet use. The internet should be open to all users and internet service providers should not be permitted to charge different fees depending on the organization or person transmitting the data.
512. Elizabeth Weber, Union, NJ, 07083  
I absolutely oppose this. I am in support of net neutrality backed by Title II's oversight of ISPs and oppose any change. It is in the interest of the people, in the interest of consumer choice, and in the interest of fairness that the current open internet rules NOT be altered in a way that they are on a different legal footing. They should not be reclassified as Title I, or any protection less effective than Title II. Thank you for reading, and letting me express my stance on this key issue to american citizens.
513. arvind moosthiala, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
I strongly support net neutrality and Title II. Please do your best to uphold and protect it. Thank you.
514. Kathleen Murphy, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828  
I support net neutrality. The internet is too important a tool for the economy, education, household and safety to sell speed off to the highest bidder and restrict access. It will cripple the economy.. Stop trying to undo a good thing.
515. Tom Schwenke, Millburn, NJ, 07041  
I strongly oppose this proposal. Preserve true net neutrality under Title II. I urge the FCC to reconsider its priorities in promoting corporate interests as opposed to those of all consumers. Without the Title II rules and regulations, telecom corporations are given unchecked power and control over their customers' Internet access - they will not fairly use the internet.
516. Jeff Peters, Gillette, NJ, 07933  
The internet represents the most beautiful form of "freedom of speech." It is not up to the government to govern what we watch, or information we can seek out. If you always do what is right, there is no need to lie or sensor yourself...
517. sean treanor, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 regulation
518. Hugh Lynch, Skillman, NJ, 08558  
I support net neutrality and oppose efforts to weaken or relax the policies established during the Obama administration.
519. Edward Mills, Chester, NJ, 07930  
I strongly support a formal net neutrality through a title 2 framework
520. Greene Christine, North Plainfield, NJ, 07062  
I support open internet. With more and more customers using cable less; these titles are here to protect Americans. Please keep this intact to protect us.
521. Sean Aitken, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Do not cave to the corporations. The financial incentives will drive their behavior to benefit the corporation over the customer. Preserve net neutrality. I already pay Verizon a lot of money every month. Do they want more?
522. John Stevenson, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Come on, again? Guys. You have to treat this as a public service, like electricity or water. Net neutrality is essential to preserving open communications between all people in the future.

523. Mark Paris, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY AND LEAVE IT UNDER TITLE TWO.
524. Brian Galusha, Stewartsville, NJ, 08886  
Keep the net neutral. ISPs should be subject to title 2!!!
525. Joseph Wilds, Glen Gardner, NJ, 08826  
Net Neutrality must remain intact. It is vital that this gift to humanity remains pure.
526. Suzanne Pisano, Annandale, NJ, 08801  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II! It's absurd that this has even come up again. Once again it's politicians favoring big corporations over their own citizens.
527. jeff, springfield, NJ, 07081  
I strongly support net neutrality. Please dont do this, follow your heart.
528. Chris, Chester, NJ, 07930  
Please do not change the classification of ISP's. Keep Net Neutrality.
529. Anne Doyle, Chester, NJ, 07930  
I favor net neutrality most strongly. I believe net neutrality needs to be responsibly enforced by the federal government. Laws and regulations favoring net neutrality are as important as those prohibiting censorship in books and the like.
530. Gayle Denne, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
Please preserve net neutrality under Title II. This is vital to individual freedoms and the spirit of free enterprise. Please do not turn the internet - an increasingly crucial part of the American infrastructure - into a political pawn.
531. Rebecca Nichols, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
It is imperative that we conserve net neutrality and Title II regulations.
532. Rachel Janus, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I am writing to express my support of net neutrality and Title II.
533. Walter Foster, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
The internet is an incredible thing that has made so much information and entertainment available to everyone. Equal access to all must be preserved. This issue is more concerning now that video content providers like NBC have merged with the ISPs like Comcast and ISPs have merged with other ISPs to limit service selection. Companies are always looking for a way to make their product be or seem to be better than their competitors. Without net neutrality, what is there to stop companies from prioritizing their traffic over others. I see it limiting your options. Where there is the situation that you have to choose an ISP based on whether you prefer Hulu, Netflix, Amazon, or the next yet to be big thing. Companies are not some benevolent organizations that want to foster competition. They exist to make as much money as possible and that is done by eliminating competition. Without a regulation, companies will take every step possible to eliminate competition. They must do this because this makes the most money and if they don't, the new competitors will take some of their market. The company leadership could be sued for not doing their job and making the most money for their share holders as possible. A law or regulation is the only way this downward spiral.
534. RC Stuck, Washington, NJ, 07882  
I support net neutrality. Keep ISPs under title II!!!!
535. M Stuck, Washington, NJ, 07882  
STOP THE CORPORATE GIVEAWAYS. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!
536. John Doyle, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I strongly support net neutrality. The FCC should reclassify broadband companies under the Title II "common carrier" provisions of the Communications Act that have governed traditional phone companies for decades.
537. Donovan Schwartz, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
Paragraph 22 states that under Title II, "The Commission adopted no-blocking, no-throttling, and no-paid prioritization rules, as well as a general Internet conduct standard and enhancements to the transparency rule." I strongly believe in these rules as protections against corporations creating artificial limitations on the services used by their consumers such as creating priority traffic lanes. It is a bad idea to remove the FCC's ability to legally enforce these protections, by reclassifying internet services, as it eliminates the legal incentive for corporations to abide by the protections and prevents them from artificially limiting service in accordance with shareholder requests to increase profit. If internet service providers are free to pursue profits at the expense of their customers, the customer's access to the service they pay for will suffer. I am a purchaser of internet service and I don't want the way I access the internet limited as a result of deregulation.
538. Chad Ciferri, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Please maintain strong net neutrality and, title two status for internet service providers. Because apparently private citizens need to be the watchmen; watching for the watchmen. Like a Ouroboros.
539. J H Wilkinson, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I support Net Neutrality! We need to ensure it continues.
540. Versha Gupta, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840

Net neutrality is essential to equal access to information. We should all have the same access to information on the web.

541. Pamela A Patton, Rocky Hill, NJ, 08553  
Net neutrality is critical to a free and fair society. I urge the FCC to regulate internet service providers under Title II of the FCC regulations.
542. Steve Shee, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
I completely disagree with this bill. Preserving net neutrality and Title II is critical to our future. In a world where companies like Facebook make it their mission to connect people around the world, even providing free internet, the internet is no longer a luxury but a necessity. As such it should be regulated like an other utility in order to ensure the broadband companies, who already have enough power through limiting choice for consumers, should not have more power.
543. Marek Piekarski, Green Brook, NJ, 08812  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title II rules. I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
544. howard jacobson, Chester, NJ, 07930  
Re-establish title II for ISP's
545. Mark Snyder, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828  
Keep ISP Net Neutrality under Article II
546. Mario Caballero, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
Please ensure that ISPs remain regulated under title 2. They have proven that they cannot auto-regulate themselves.
547. Geoff Snyder, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828  
Preserve internet neutrality. Maintain ISP's under Title 2. Asking them to voluntarily pledge to maintain the internet is akin to asking Hannibal Lecter to become a vegetarian.
548. Kris Slevens, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
The title of this act is a farce. This is not restoring freedom, it's doing the opposite. In removing the protection to the American people from ISPs provided by Title II you're asking us to instead 'trust ISPs' with not throttling. Anyone in the know of internet transit or peering in the last decade knows the major congestion and disputes Comcast had with Cogent for years due to their low price internet transit, resulting in terribly sub-par speeds. Most recently, the issues Netflix had with Comcast, that were well documented in customers not being able to watch Netflix during peak times, due to their use of low cost transit carriers such as Cogent. This forced Netflix to pay money for peering with Comcast, just so Comcast customers could watch Netflix. Days later, Comcast subscribers were able to watch Netflix as they were entitled to. These clear examples of past abuse by ISPs shows the new FCC chairman, Ajit Pai is either incompetent when it comes to past history of Internet Service Providers, or knowingly is misleading the American public claiming he's 'restoring freedom' to consumers allowing ISPs to throttle traffic as they'd like, repealing Title II protection. This will lead to a degradation of internet traffic, repealing protection that Title II allowed, and ISPs will be free to throttle and limit bandwidth to carriers as before. I urge you to throw this so called 'restoring freedom' act in the toilet where it belongs along with the new FCC chairman, as this is a shameless act against the American public, and calling it 'Restoring Internet Freedom' is some half-baked attempt to get senators and congress who aren't competent about the internet into passing it. It would be laughable if it were not a pathetic attempt to remove protection from the public against ISPs unfairly shaping or throttling their traffic.
549. Jeff Slepoy, Mountainside, NJ, 07092  
Keep Net neutrality and Title 2 intact! I love my internet the way it is.
550. Hannah Dailey, Branchburg, NJ, 08853  
I support strong enforceable net neutrality rules in the Title II framework.
551. Daniel Cohen, springfield, NJ, 07081  
I support net neutrality and article II.
552. Alex D., Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Net Neutrality is the fabric upon which the internet is based. Destroy that and you destroy the internet. We will be left being hand fed whatever the ISP's want and deprived competing arguments. Support ISP's being listed as Title 2 companies not Title 1
553. Harrison Bloom, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
I just want to say that I am in support of strong internet neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
554. Strengthen rather than break down Net Neutrality, summit, NJ, 07901  
I support net neutrality and rather than breaking it down we should strengthen it as well as encourage more local competition in order to create a better internet service within the United States.
555. Ian Gendel, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. It is super important to keep our internet free and open
556. lisa gold, Short hills, NJ, 07078  
I support net neutrality and title 2. Please don't mess with my internet
557. Kevin Malar, Clark, NJ, 07066  
I support strong net neutrality and title 2 classification for ISPs. Dummies.

558. Kris Slevens, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
This so called 'Restoring Internet Freedom' act is not restoring freedom to anyone, it's doing the opposite. You're allowing ISPs to go back to their old ways of restricting traffic. In removing the protection to the American people from ISPs provided by Title II you're asking us to instead 'trust ISPs' with not throttling. Anyone in the know of internet transit or peering in the last decade knows the major congestion and disputes Comcast had with Cogent for years due to their low price internet transit, resulting in terribly sub-par speeds. Most recently, the issues Netflix had with Comcast, that were well documented in customers not being able to watch Netflix during peak times, due to their use of low cost transit carriers such as Cogent. This forced Netflix to pay money for peering with Comcast, just so Comcast customers could watch Netflix. Days later, Comcast subscribers were able to watch Netflix as they were entitled to. These clear examples of past abuse by ISPs shows the new FCC chairman, Ajit Pai is either incompetent when it comes to past history of Internet Service Providers, or knowingly is misleading the American public claiming he's 'restoring freedom' to consumers allowing ISPs to throttle traffic as they'd like, repealing Title II protection and Net Neutrality. This will lead to a degradation of internet traffic, repealing protection that Title II allowed, and ISPs will be free to throttle and limit bandwidth to carriers as before. I urge you to throw this so called 'restoring freedom' act in the toilet where it belongs as this is a shameless act against the American public, and calling it 'Restoring Internet Freedom' is some half-baked attempt to get senators and congress who aren't competent about the internet into passing it. It would be laughable if it were not a pathetic attempt to remove protection from the public against ISPs unfairly shaping or throttling their traffic. Net Neutrality is crucial to actually keeping freedom for anyone to be able to visit and see what they want on the internet - be it CNN, Fox News, or the FCC chairman's unfunny large mug.
559. Restoring Internet Freedom, Clinton, NJ, 08809  
Net Neutrality must be maintained through the continued support of Title 2. If providers are allowed to control competition and viewing of sites many aspects of the internet that make it the perfect market it is will fail. Don't screw this up scummy vested interest Verizon lawyer with an unnecessary mug dude.
560. Derrick Mian, Union, NJ, 07083  
I care about internet freedom, don't you dick heads fuck this up. Do t get rid of net neutrality. DON'T!
561. one, Warren, NJ, 07059  
Net neutrality is what we want. Stop the BS and let it work. And stop being a Trump troll!
562. Lily, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
go fcc yourselves! net neutrality wouldn't be controversial if you weren't a bunch of corrupt bastards!
563. Blake Andres, Milford, NJ, 08848  
I support strong net neutrality regulations, it is more important now or ever in this day and age for net neutrality to be preserved for an educated and engaged population in the United States.
564. Ian Dunlap, Pittstown, NJ, 08867  
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2 Thank you!
565. roberta orzepowski, annandale, NJ, 08801  
I am in favor of maintaining internet neutrality regulations as currently set forth in Title II. I am not in favor of removing Title II regulations and relying upon ISPs to "self regulate."
566. Net Neutrality, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Preserve Net neutrality and the Title II! It is for the freedom of information in our country!
567. Jason Karl, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Please leave Net Neutrality in place. We are the United States, and we stand for freedom. We the people, not we the corporate interests.
568. Joe Marchant, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
As an American citizen and avid user of the internet I firmly support strong net neutrality backed by the title II over site of ISP. To take away the authorities given in title II to be detrimental to the freedoms we have while using the internet whether it be for work, school, relaxation and all the other reasons everyone uses the internet for and the businesses that are lost or severely crippled due to their lack of funds to go against larger industries. Now that last paragraph is dry and boring but let's think about this in a different light. I'm part of the generation that had the privilege to see the beginnings of the internet, all the astonishing things that it has accomplished, and all that it will accomplish until our deaths. We are portrayed in the media as lazy, uninteresting, obtuse fragile young adults who do nothing but complain and are cut off from the real world. That is a dire mistake to make. We are merely distracted. Companies have invested billions of dollars on us to keep our heads down so we don't look up to see the sky on fire. We are a generation with the means of communication faster than ever imagined before, with unlimited access to all the information ever documented by all of the greatest minds ever to exist yet. We have adapted to the instant access granted to us and can, and still, absorb information at a faster rate than calculated. The world is still changing to accommodate for it. Somehow, through some human process that I can't fathom, we have become connected; a family if you must. It's filled with every emotion homo sapiens can muster and, if I'm to be honest, it keeps us at each others throats on a daily basis. Despite all that, we find common ground in the fact that the internet has shaped our lives for the better and continues to. But once again, the internet, our common ally, is being threatened. What do you expect we will do when we are forced to lift up our heads?
569. Eugene O'Neill, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I believe in maintaining net neutrality. We need to keep the internet free from manipulation by internet service providers.
570. Caitlin Pellas, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2! Free and open internet is a right of the people. Internet providers cannot be trusted to do the right thing.

571. carol, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
I have huge support for Title 2 protection of ISPs. Don't repeal title 2 or you will have an angry 300 million Americans blaming you.
572. Douglas Ipsen, North Plainfield, NJ, 07060  
I support strong Title II protections for net neutrality. The internet is a utility. Respect it and it's users.
573. kevin nixon, New providence, NJ, 07974  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. The net neutrality regulations should be strengthened and should not be removed under any circumstances.
574. Sarthak Sawarkar, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I support net neutrality. It better not go away.
575. nick, Bedminster, NJ, 07921  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 I do not want ISPs influencing which websites I can visit
576. Bridget, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
You must not move the legal filing from Title 2... Net Neutrality must be left as is. Verizon and other internet providers cannot be trusted to work in the public interest instead of their financial interests. It would be detrimental to public interest for any change to be made.
577. Lon Freeman, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
Net Neutrality is essential to society and commerce around the world. Title 2 must not be removed or altered.
578. Kelly Van Baalen, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
I support keeping the current regulations regarding net neutrality under title II. Allowing ISPs to control which websites have faster connections is anti-competitive and against American values of free speech and equal opportunity. The FCC exists to protect American consumers not to serve the interests of the companies it is tasked with regulating. Remember that responsibility.
579. Zsofia Pal, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Please leave Title II and Net Neutrality regulations alone.
580. Michael Milnes, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
You must not move filing from Title 2. Net Neutrality must be left as is. Verizon and other Internet providers cannot be trusted to work in public interest instead of their own interests. It would be detrimental to public interest for any change to be made.
581. Chris Opdyke, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
I support net neutrality and strong oversight of ISP's backed by Title II: Common carrier section of The Communications Act of 1934.
582. Shana Peel, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
Preserve net neutrality for all. I would like to restore internet freedom and I support title 2 oversights of ISP
583. Manuel Pereira, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Net Neutrality is critical and the most important aspect of our internet. We must require ISP providers to continue to follow Title 2 because anyone asked to police themselves is like asking the fox to watch the hen house. Or asking my kids to keep an eye to ensure no one eats the cookies. You can never underestimate corporate greed and fortunately for us, we have a rich history of corporations doing exactly that many times over. Stop lining your pockets and finally continue to do what's right for the individual citizen of the country.
584. Christian, flemington, NJ, 08822  
PLEASE LEAVE THE INTERNET ALONE. SERIOUSLY, YOU DIP YOUR HANDS IN EVERYTHING. LET THE INTERNET SURVIVE. PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2
585. Michael Mellen, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
I believe net neutrality (specifically title II) is crucial and must be preserved. The United Nations has declared that online freedom is a human right, and removing net neutrality will directly damage that basic right. We shouldn't have to trust companies to keep their word about not using a lack of neutrality to their advantage because they care about being profitable at the end of the day. It's also not certain that the law negatively impacts these ISPs. Please don't take away our right to net neutrality!
586. Chris La Pilusa, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Net Neutrality is the key to allowing companies to compete fairly with equal access to the internet.
587. Joseph Jorczak, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I support Title II as the strongest legal doctrine to maintain enforceable net neutrality.
588. David Smith, Neshanic Station, NJ, 08853  
Why would you take this away
589. sandra jamieson, north plainfield, NJ, 07060  
please protect our internet. Do not weaken net neutrality rules. We will not sit by and let this happen.
590. internet, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Please keep enforcing net neutrality under section 2. Moving it to section 1 would be a complete disaster. The only way there and force it is in section 2 !!!!

591. Kevin Anthony McDonald, Watchung, NJ, 07069  
Net neutrality and strong internet freedom protection laws are essential to the free flow of human discourse in the twenty-first century. Every person has the right to express themselves, and in the twenty-first century that includes the right to express oneself online. We cannot allow the internet to be reclassified to permit service providers to regulate content. The internet is a public good, much like electricity. Deregulation is not necessary as ISPs would not be more inclined to engage in increased competition to the benefit of consumers. Rather ISPs would limit access to content to the detriment of consumers by negotiating with content providers for dedicated access to consumers to the exclusion of their competitors. This would in turn result in decreased competition in the content provider space. It would also invite ISPs to engage in Enron-esq behavior by holding content hostage to increased fees. While priority access and zero-rating has to date manifested as the content providers paying a premium for preferred access to a network - in the future, what would stop an ISP from charging both the content provider (say, Netflix) and the consumer for increased speed, zero-rating, or even basic access. From an economic perspective, unless demand is perfectly elastic across ISPs (highly unlikely given the multi-year contracts peddled by ISPs), zero-rating is already incorporated into the rates paid by consumers. If preventing your ISP from dictating the content you view online doesn't sound scary enough to you because you agree with the current administration, then, to put this in perspective, the left has been more successful in recent days in boycotting businesses and censoring speech. From a classically liberal perspective, it is one of the more odious aspects of the neo-progressive movement. If you truly believe that this legislation will result in increased consumer choice, then what would permit certain consumers to influence content permitted by ISPs by boycotting certain providers for permitting access to content like Alex Jones and Breitbart, which many people consider to be propaganda. The point being that this legislation could just as well impact conservative content as it would liberal content. If I have learned anything from the internet, it's that people will surprise you (and not always pleasantly). So are you really to gamble on other consumers having the same internet consumption preferences that you have? It is more likely that the only winners will be the ISPs who get to sell the most quintessentially human aspect of our interconnectivity to the highest bidder.
592. Timothy F Lavery, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
I vehemently urge you to maintain net neutrality. Uninhibited internet use for all is essential for us to continue to move forward as an informed people.
593. Robert Goodwin, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
Please do not slow down my Netflix. If Trmup does that he will go down as the worst president ever, regardless of whatever else happens.
594. Edison Widjaja, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
Ajit Pie, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. ISPs will continue to invest in their infrastructure as it still makes sense financially regardless of the regulation as by their shareholder reports.
595. Stephen Kraatz, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! As a software developer I am keenly aware of how Net Neutrality is a positive force for our country and a driver of innovation. It allows a level playing field for entrepreneurs to compete and increases competition for all.
596. Net neutrality, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Ajit - You should be ashamed of yourself. You are a disgrace to the Asian community. Being employed by the Trump administration is bad enough but leading this disgusting effort is an insult to your heritage, your parents and to your entire family. I hope Karma catches up with you. Anyone with a pulse knows that large telcos will abuse this law to its maximum and instead of serving the interests of the public, who you serve, you are siding with Big money and the corrupt lobbyists and telcos out there. The Internet is no longer a "nice to have", it is a utility and should be regulated as a utility such as our electricity or water. I know you are well educated and aware of this but are choosing to be on the wrong side of this issue. Unless you change your posture, you will be remembered by history as being the person who tilted the pendulum towards the WRONG side. Kids will be reading about YOUR ROLE in destroying the Internet neutrality. I hope you reconsider.
597. Joseph E Fitzpatrick, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
It would be a step backward to less the restrictions already imposed on ISPs. Leave the regulations the way they are.
598. Jasper Baur, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
The FCC should continue regulating ISPs through Title 2. It is essential that the public has free and fair access to websites that is unadulterated by corporate interests.
599. Jack Delia, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
Net Neutrality is important. The rest of the country is already being crewed up by this administration, make this something that is left sane. Keep this under Title 2, keep this country strong and free.
600. Elisa Chen, Wharton, NJ, 07885  
I strongly oppose Docket No. 17-108 because it impedes progress while also hurting American businesses and innovation, along with cultural growth in our community. Additionally, I very enthusiastically support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It is important that you do NOT move forward with Docket No. 17-108 and maintain the net neutrality laws we have now.
601. colleen carolonza, Pottersville, NJ, 07979  
Please: preserve net neutrality Please: preserve Title 2 Please: stop pretending you don't know what I'm talking about.
602. Leah Huss, Dover, NJ, 07801  
Net Neutrality is essential to maintain in order to guarantee free exchanges of information and an even playing field for both established and new businesses and enterprises. History has shown time and again that corporations will bend the rules to the extent the law allows and then some in order to advance themselves to the detriment of others and competitors, and that there are times when increased

regulations and oversight are a MUST in order for there to be a functioning system free of monopolies that allows new innovators and businesses to have a chance to succeed, as well as to protect consumers from abuses.

603. Lucas Chin, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
I support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY, backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Without these oversights, there is too much room for ISP's to exact unfair and unjust power over the consumers they serve. (Example's can be seen when reviewing the incident between ISP's and Google over Google Wallet vs. ISP owned app "ISIS".) Backing Net Neutrality with Title II oversight of ISP's has not shown any conclusive evidence that ISP's are reneging on investment into infrastructure for both their wireless and wired networks. Net Neutrality is a cornerstone of modern freedoms in this country, and harming it based on unproven numbers and opinionated beliefs not shared by the majority of this country is reckless.
604. Pablo Navarro, Netcong, NJ, 07857  
The Internet is now part of our life, is one of the strongest form of communication between families and communities. I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2.
605. Corbin Echevarria, Clark, NJ, 07066  
I would like to state my support for Net Neutrality through title 2.
606. William Osborne, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
I'm deeply opposed to allowing corporations to have the ability, even if they never act on it, to influence my economic, educational and personal freedoms.I support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
607. Michael J. Imbesi, Bethlehem Township, NJ, 08827  
I support strong net neutrality rules grounded in Title II oversight and regulation of Internet Service Providers (ISPs). I do not trust corporations to self-regulate when not doing so would be in their own self-interest. ISPs and cable companies often hold monopolies over a given geographic region, and so allowing them to choose what traffic flows over their wires would essentially prevent people from viewing certain content. The free market doesn't work without competition. Retaining strong, Title II-backed protections is necessary to keep the internet free and open.
608. Andrew, Whitehouse station, NJ, 08889  
Hi I strongly urge you to maintain that ISP regulation stays under title 2 and not switch to title 1.
609. Sean Chen, Princeton, NJ, 08540  
I'm vehemently opposed to this act. The fact that it's called Restoring Internet Freedom is an indication that satire is dead in our society. I am for internet neutrality and believe that the government should not be beholden to corporate interests, as the FCC seems to be now.
610. Brian McKiernan, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
I support net neutrality. Please don't let lobbyists and the financial interests of large corporations drown out the people's voice in these matters.
611. Ilya Starikov, Green brook, NJ, 08812  
I support strong net neutrality. I support article 2 oversight. Please protect this article
612. John Telesco, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
I oppose any action which would end net neutrality. ISPs need oversight and should be treated like water and electric companies.
613. George, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
You won't get away with this bullshit if you pass it
614. Szymon Morawski, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
I support the strong regulation for a free and open internet. Traffic prioritization or network throttling shouldn't be allowed. Leave ISPs as title 2
615. net neutrality, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. Please do not ruin the internet.... Be a person for the people, not for corporations. Help the people out instead of your wallet.
616. Parker Gowan, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
I support preserving strong neutrality and title 2!
617. Heather Toma, pittstown, NJ, 08867  
! support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's!
618. Deborah and Bruce Wachtel, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
We believe net neutrality and Title 2 will preserve a free market.
619. Carl Goldstein, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Maintain Net Neutrality - Title 2 - DO NOT AMEND THIS GOVERNANCE TO EASE RESTRICTIONS ON ISPs.
620. Thomas Bulger, Scotch Plains, NJ, 07076  
I support the preservation of net neutrality rules. Please do not change these rules to allow the ISP free reign.
621. David Fischer, Rocky Hill, NJ, 08553

I'm very concerned about efforts to roll back net-neutrality rules. Net-neutrality is essential for a free, fair and functioning internet. Rolling back the rules has the potential to harm American's competitiveness and damage our economy. The internet is not broken now, let's not add new rules that may break it. The proposed rules will benefit a few companies to the detriment of millions other companies and private citizens. Thanks.

622. kerri nottage, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Please uphold title 2 and maintain net neutrality!
623. Derek Le, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Please maintain the current Net Neutrality legislation. I believe that internet service providers require robust regulation under Title II oversight.
624. Nicholas Pesta, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
I support regulating Internet Service Providers (ISPs) under Title II of the Communications Act in order to protect an open internet.
625. Tom Sullivan, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
I support Net Neutrality and believe we should keep Title II oversight of the ISP's
626. Caroline Flamos, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Please continue to govern net neutrality through Title II of code. I enjoy accessing my streaming content (Amazon Prime Video, Netflix, Hulu) at the same speed as my cable content. Verizon sued the FCC several years ago, stating that they would not continue to regulate Net Neutrality unless there were strict guidelines handed down from the FCC that told them to do so. Additionally, I wish to know that when I search for a product, I am getting all possible results and know that I am seeing all competitors, rather than having one company 'buy out' other companies. I like the free market, and hope the FCC will continue to support it through Net Neutrality.
627. Denise Edwards, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Everyone but the ISPs want Net Neutrality. Please, put the end user before big business for once. If CEOs can't find a better way to increase profitability, they don't deserve the obscene salaries they are making. Just cut their pay - problem solved!
628. Jason Nichols, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
Chairman Pai, You may be unaware, but you no longer work for Verizon. Please adjust your behavior accordingly and act in the interests of the American People. We need strong protections from utilities that have been granted monopolies by local governments. That is probably one of the most foundational tenants of economics. We deserve Title 2 protection. Please do not betray us, the people need you to do what is right. The Internet needs you. Be the hero we need. Be the Internet's Batman.
629. Rosario Mastrogiacomo, Annandale, NJ, 08801  
Net neutrality is important. Real Net neutrality, not what the industry tries to pass off as government not being involved. Left to their devices, these ISPs have and would restrict the Internet and represent a huge blow to both freedom of speech as well as small companies that can't pay for the same level of access as the big guys.
630. Todd Bennett, Glen Gardner, NJ, 08826  
Free and equal access to the internet should continue to remain a fundamental right to all. Information shall not be constricted and controlled by the ISP, which would undoubtedly occur by moving this to Title I. The people and companies have a right to consume information on a level playing field, uncontrolled by a third party. Allow this to remain in title II!!!
631. Yvonne Comeau, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Please retain strong net neutrality rules backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
632. Tina Heintz, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
Please keep an open internet, I don't want my Netflix to slow down.
633. Carole Steinbach, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I specifically support strong net neutrality supported by Title 2
634. Rob Strong, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
I do not believe that removing net neutrality is in the best interest of the public. Please keep net neutrality in place as it is.
635. net neutrality, John Oliver, Neshanic Station, NJ, 08853  
Net Neutrality is a good thing. Please vote to keep it. Peace, love, dove, homies.
636. Flavia Dietrich, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Net neutrality has to be guaranteed BY LAW, and the only way to do that is to keep it under Title II, where it can be regulated by FCC. We CANNOT depend on ISPs to "voluntarily" provide same speed internet service no matter what program the consumers choose to view. It is a LIE that regulations will hamper further investment. What it does is prevent commercial practices that providers would be all-too-happy to engage in if they could.
637. sean hartig, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Please ?? Why are you doing this ?! This is aBaaaad mistake
638. Scott Holodak, Clark, NJ, 07066  
Reversing course on net neutrality will stifle innovation and ultimately punish consumers. ISP's already charge consumers based on the bandwidth offered. Allowing that bandwidth to be carved up and sold piecemeal is unfair to consumers and potentially life threatening to startups.

639. Anne Michele Puglisi, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
I strongly support regulation of net neutrality through Title II.
640. Nora Hionis, Green Brook, NJ, 08812  
America needs to keep strong net neutrality and Title 2.
641. Net Neutrality, John Oliver, Neshanic Station, NJ, 08853  
Net Neutrality is a good thing. Please vote to keep it. I like how this proceeding is named "Restore Internet Freedom", and in taking away the regulations in place, that is a way to restore freedom. But in doing so, the Internet will ironically not become a free place, because of documented business practices that would restrict Internet traffic.
642. Terence Canavan, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Please protect net neutrality by maintaining its Title II protection. Do not revert to the Title I framework.
643. Amy Lyons, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
As a small business owner, I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. This has a direct impact on my ability to successfully promote my business. In particular, Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. Isn't it possible they could do this again? I'm also concerned by mobile providers who say a plan is "unlimited," but when you exceed the data cap, only throttle sites and services that aren't part of their approved zero-rating network. In general, businesses are compelled to maximize shareholder value, which in this case will certainly be that the ISP's will sell faster internet pipeline to the Netflix's, Facebook's, and similar companies that can afford to pay. This will reduce competition and generally make the internet a less vibrant place. We will all lose. Here are actual real-life examples of ISP's selectively blocking content which strong Title II regulation is necessary to prevent: <http://leftwardthinking.com/learning-example-net-neutrality-violations/> This is why we need regulation. Thanks for reading my comment.
644. Stephen Lewis Jr., Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. I strongly oppose any actions to end net neutrality.
645. Andrew Lang, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
I support an open internet through strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
646. Mari-Anne Mahlau, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
I support strong net neutrality and am against rolling back Title 2.
647. Chris Gultuz, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Stop catering to the companies. You work for us. We benefit greatly from FCC regulating the ISP's via Title II. The ISP companies have proven that they will abuse their position (Comcast slowing down Netflix for instance) without this oversight. If you continue to pander to companies and not citizens you are not doing your job. Many of us live in virtual monopoly areas where we don't even have a choice of ISP. They already take advantage of that as it is, and a decision to rescind the Title II decision would only make it worse for consumers.
648. Catherine Lent, Frenchtown, NJ, 08825  
PLEASE be sure to do all you can to keep the net neutral and fair. It is imperative that access to information is not further affected by power and money.
649. Robert Rose, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Dear FCC, we need real net neutrality. Protect internet users from monopolistic ISPs and don't let companies censor, slow down, or block websites while requiring other sites to pay for faster service.
650. Stephanie, Glen Gardner, NJ, 08826  
Keep Title II Net Neutrality Rules and protect out internet!! support the classification of the Internet as a common carrier under Title II.I do NOT support rolling back Net Neutrality Rules and Title II classifications.
651. Angela Calzetta, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
Protect strong net neutrality rules.
652. Barbara W. Snyder, North Plainfield, NJ, 07060  
I would like to express my disapproval that the FCC is trying to kill net neutrality. Preserving an open internet is crucial for fair and equal access to the resources and information available on it. I am also opposed to allowing ISPs to sell the information of users.
653. C. S. DeClerque, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Net Neutrality must be protected. It is vital to our right of free speech.
654. Vivek Wadhwa, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 protections and oversights of ISPs. This is good and good for democracy to have open and unhindered access. Mr. Ajit Pai - please do not weaken these protections.
655. 17-108, Union, NJ, 07083  
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do your job and protect us from companies that want to support inequality of data.
656. Russell Pitzner, Frenchtown, NJ, 08825

I am in favor of strong net neutrality rules, including leaving the current policies in place to keep the internet a free domain.

657. Ryan Faust, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
You must support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers.
658. Kathleen, Princeton, NJ, 08540  
You MUST NOT interfere with net neutrality. It must remain under the legal protection of Title 2.
659. Michael Sinnott, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
Please keep the existing net neutrality laws. I dont want any ISP controlling my access to internet content
660. Ben Schell, Ledgewood, NJ, 07852  
Net neutrality must exist
661. Danny Griffin, Warren, NJ, 07059  
I strongly support net neutrality and regulating internet service providers under Title II. Title II classification does not prevent investment in infrastructure upgrades! Multiple ISPs have clarified that. Do not roll back the Title II classification.
662. Patricia P Smith, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I absolutely support net neutrality and ask the FCC to maintain the current protections under Title II. The Internet must be kept available to everyone without the possibility of restrictions. We are all entitled to have the same access and changing the current state of the Internet would be to the detriment of all users.
663. comment, Bloomsbury, NJ, 08804  
Net neutrality is essential. I support keeping Title II in place
664. CatherineGural, Princeton, NJ, 08540  
I am in full support of Title 2 and net neutrality. The proposal to eliminate Title 2 is an abomination.
665. Robert D. Sigler, Hampton, NJ, 08827  
A level playing field is extremely important to the free flow of ideas. Net Neutrality provides that level playing field and ensures all ideas, products and services have an equal footing and stand or fall on their own merit. I stand for an open internet; one were i determine were i browse, what I see and engage in and ultimately what I purchase without the filter of my ISP clouding or others influencing my online experience.
666. Arnold Schlusberg, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I am a strong supporter of internet neutrality and strongly urge you not to rescind the current Title 2 regulations of internet providers.
667. Title 2, Roxbury, NJ, 07836  
The FCC need to keep Net Neutrality as it is, with broadband providers under title 2. Internet user have fought this battle before and it was not pretty for "the fast line" and it will not be pretty for any change the does not sure up Net Neutrality.
668. Abby Burton, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Keep net neutrality. If your argument is that companies will self-regulate, why bother getting rid of net neutrality regulations? And if the industry won't self-regulate, obviously we need to keep the regulation to protect consumers from unfair business practices by ISPs.
669. Larry Schendt, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
Supporting John Oliver's position on net neutrality. Change from Title II to Title I does not appear warranted.
670. Leonard Cacciatore, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. This will keep a level playing ground for all content providers on the internet.
671. shelley shaffer, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Internet providers should remain under title 2. They need to be regulated properly which can't be done under title 1.
672. John Roper, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
Please note that internet freedom is something that would be beneficial of all persons of our nation, equally. Looking for more things to capitalize on in this way is not in the greater interests of the American people and I STRONGLY urge this motion to be considered in favor of internet freedom.
673. jon keller, westfield, NJ, 07090  
You must preserve net neutrality and Chapter 2!
674. Greg Bonin, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I support Net neutrality. please dont change the current policy.
675. Albert Goldman, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
I highly support strong net nuetrality, we need more then title2 we need something to garentee our freedom.
676. Ryan Mahoney, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I support strong net neutrality rules and Type II. ISP's need to be held in check.

677. cade warrener, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
I fully support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title 11.
678. N. Slezak, Apt C, NJ, 08844  
Internet service providers should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites. The nobody should have the potential to slow down internet to boost their own self interests/business. Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
679. Joseph Guzzo, Annandale, NJ, 08801  
Internet Service Providers (ISP) should remain bound by the current Title 2 regulations. I ask that the FCC protect our right to free and unfettered access to the internet. By changing the rules and releasing ISPs from the current regulations, they can decide to restrict availability, bandwidth or functionality based on the self-interests or financial considerations. I support that profit is necessary, but do not support them making it on the backs of their user's web usage. Should the FCC decide to remove protections from consumers, I will write to my legislature for further action on this subject. It is an important feature of internet usability, and should not be adversely changed. Thank you for your kind consideration.
680. Bhria Bromez, Stewartsville, NJ, 08886  
Your fucking brazy...strong net neutrality
681. Barbara Monaghan, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Save the Internet! Protect Net Neutrality. We do not want big business interfering on what we see on the internet. Especially in this political environment, free speech is essential to our democracy.
682. William Thamrin, Stewartsville, NJ, 08886  
Please DON'T ABOLISH INTERNET NEUTRALITY
683. Thomas Schaller, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
ISPs should not be allowed to filter, monitor, or otherwise obstruct or manipulate the openness of the Internet. Internet access should remain under Title II. An ISP must simply remain a pipe to the Internet, not a filter. Otherwise all Internet users will be at the mercy of business agreements between their ISP and whatever corporations or groups have paid for their content to be prioritized. ISPs can earn sufficient profits by providing access alone. There are no valid reasons to allow an ISP to be involved in any way with content. I find it shocking that the FCC would even consider this proceeding.
684. daniel bonitsky, ringoes, NJ, 08551  
I fully support the continuation of strong net neutrality laws and maintaining the oversight of internet service providers under Title II.
685. Chris Sheridan, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
If you think that by allowing the concept of net neutrality to be out on a shorter leash, will NOT cause the ISP and big corporations such as Google, Facebook, etc. to collude and throttle speeds on less financially stable companies - you are ignorant and blatantly fighting for your own interests. Please do not re-assess the situation. The internet is and always was meant to be free from political musings and garnering political gain. Please do not change this, you thought the women's march was a protest...just wait until the people of the internet are outraged.
686. Robert Wendel, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Title II categorization of Internet provider services is the CORRECT classification in order to properly regulate Internet delivery, maintenance and services. ALL data must be treated equally so that tiering of service is not allowed. Fast and slow internet lanes is inconsistent with backbone communication structures in this country and to suggest that changing the categorization to Title I will not harm the way that data is handled in this country is 1) incredibly stupid or 2) a clear and flagrant violation of the duties of the FCC. Nor should the responsibilities be pushed to other entities like the FTC in order to provide Cable and internet companies from avoiding their responsibilities to treat all data equally. NO change should be allowed to the FCC regulations regarding Title II treatment of Internet conveyance!
687. Jack, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
Keep Title 2 and save the open and free internet. It's not that difficult. Please. Thank you.
688. Darius White, Union, NJ, 07083  
Hello Mr. Ajit Varadaraj Pai, I and millions of others strongly support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Do not allow companies to impose their will on web traffic.
689. George Behmke, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II(2) oversight of ISPs! Chairman Ajit Varadaraj Pai, and/or anyone else at the FCC thinking of changing or "rolling back" Net Neutrality, should cease any of these actions.
690. Jeffrey Boudreau, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
Important to generally enforce net neutrality. Would consider extreme situations to permit emergency right-of-way
691. Rahul Kulkarni, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
We want strong net neutrality laws to ensure the open internet!
692. Samuel Reckford, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
I strongly support regulation of internet access providers under Section II.

693. Maulik Sanghavi, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Please preserve the regulations and safeguards in place that protect net neutrality.
694. Mary Starun, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I think Net Neutrality is essential to keeping the internet functional. I love the access it gives me to learn and research. I do not want it favoring the highest bidders.
695. Ace Strife, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
Net Neutrality must remain in place. It must remain under Title II. Do not kill do not weaken, Net Neutrality. Trump and the Trump administration is the worst thing to ever happen to our country, bar none.
696. David Petzinger, Hampton, NJ, 08827  
Publicly traded companies that have been given legalized monopolies simply cannot be entrusted to provide the conditions for an fair and open market without significant regulation. The fact that my cable provider only charges \$20 more per month for TV/Phone/Internet bundle rather than Internet-only demonstrates how they prop up owned products via their utility monopoly, and undercut competition by surcharging customers who wish to use services and content from other providers. Big cable must be held accountable by providing their internet services as a Title II utility, and their content and products on a COMPLETELY leveled playing field with ALL other services. Anything else will threaten both our new Golden Age of "TV" and customer confidence in the FCC. This is far too important to mess up.
697. Mona Shulman, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Keep net-neutrality
698. John Parsekian, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Preserve net neutrality backed by Title Two regulations.
699. Govind Mani, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Two reasons why we should continue net neutrality 1. Net neutrality is the reason why internet is such a big thing today. It allows innovation to succeed. It prevents the wealthy few to scuttle new innovation. It allows 'google' to be born even when yahoo was good enough. It allowed 'Facebook' to be successful when Myspace or Orkut was good enough. 2. Consumers already pay upfront for speeds. ISPs should not be allowed to double dip. If I want Netflix at 100 MBPS and is paying Verizon for 100 MBPS, then I better get Netflix at that speed. Verizon should not slow Netflix to 10 MBPS because Netflix did not pay them a 'fee' I am sure I can come up with more reasons. Please preserve Net neutrality and keep internet service in title 2. IT is the right thing to do
700. Gonzalo Gutierrez, Union, NJ, 07083  
Please keep net neutrality, we should not give big ISP companies the ability to control and throttle internet speeds.
701. Brittany Wieder, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs
702. Raymond Kirchof, Bound Brook, NJ, 08805  
The goal of an open and accessible internet is of primary concern for all Americans. A system of pay to play, must not and cannot be implemented in order to ensure internet freedom is maintained across the country. There have been many examples of ISPs throttling certain traffic to meet their own financial gain and not holding themselves to the standard that their customers deserve. Whether it has been crippling competitors like Google Wallet had happen to them, or charging certain businesses more in order to compete with large conglomerates, deregulation of this vital utility will only hurt growth in this country. Please do not give ISPs the ability to choose what content the population should consume by deregulating and giving them the legal avenues to do whatever they feel like doing to benefit their shareholders at the sacrifice of the American people that depend on a free and open internet. Thank you, Raymond Kirchof. Even ISPs have not outlined any material impact to the regulations of Title II to ensure internet freedom is maintained, and have gone as far to say that none of their investments in infrastructure and new technology would be impeded by Title II.
703. Susan Gibilisco, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Americans protect the things they love - and net neutrality rules protect our freedoms. These things should never be violated - and remove this barrier to misconduct and offer a potential bandaid in the future is like telling me it's ok to not have health insurance because I've never been gravely ill. I value net neutrality, I value net neutrality and to take my protections away is to take away my freedom. And I take that personally.
704. Chris Decker, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I strongly support Net Neutrality via Title II. ISPs will not voluntarily commit to an open Internet, as shown by Verizon when they sued the FCC over the FCC Open Internet Order 2010 (Case #: 11-1355). As long as the Internet continues to grow and over 88% of American households lack access to competing providers for at least 100 Mbps downstream (Internet Access Services as of 06/30/2016 - Figure 4), we need Net Neutrality. I personally live in a building where Comcast refuses to connect people because Verizon has already done so. I have no choice BUT Verizon, and as an IT professional I CANNOT perform my job without a viable internet connection.
705. David Kritz, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Please ensure that strong protections for net neutrality are secured. Imagine if some company controlling a highway could enforce different speed limits or tolls for trucks belonging to certain large companies versus small businesses or individuals. That is not an America that promotes a fair and level playing field so anyone can recognize the American dream.
706. Sue Eisert, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I want to keep the internet open with no slow lanes. Our fees to cable companies are already outrageous. Keep the net neutral.

707. randi Schweriner, bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
It is imperative to maintain net neutrality to promote free speech and unhampered business practices. Imagine 2 pizza delivery companies, but one is required to drive 10 miles per hour while the other could travel at any legal speed. Does that make for a fair economy?
708. Marsha K. Autilio, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I am strongly opposed to this measure, as it will gut the net neutrality provisions we currently have. A "promise" by ISPs to maintain fair access by all is worthless -- we need the regulation that can be legally enforced. Please do not alter the net neutrality rules.
709. Surinder Jagpal, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Please keep strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II. Freedom is crucial compared to Corporate Greed.
710. Catherine Oshea, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
As in 2015, I am writing to urge you to continue to protect Net Neutrality. This concept ultimately serves both the public and our widespread sources of information well. Democracy depends on equal access to information sources. Please do not limit it. Thank you.
711. Henry, Stewartsville, NJ, 08886  
I would like to keep a free and fair internet under title II, thanks.
712. Jeffrey Horowitz, Warren, NJ, 07059  
I support the Net Neutrality Rules currently in place, and OBJECT strenuously to the effort to remove them. These rules PROTECT small businesses ability to compete, and prevent ISP's from favoring/picking the services that can be used by individuals.
713. Susan Young, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Save Net Neutrality and don't give in to a man that worked for Verizon, Ajit Pai, and seems to have a vested interest in not protecting the rights of the people in the USA, but rather companies.
714. Jayme Guarino, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Keep Title 2 restrictions on ISP providers
715. Vincent Civitillo, Stockton, NJ, 08559  
I support net neutrality under Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Internet Service Providers cannot be trusted to act without conflict of interest and removing these consumer protections will only result in an Internet that is unfairly influenced by larger companies for reasons that support their financial interests and not those of the free market.
716. Dan Childers, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Please do not get rid of Title II Net Neutrality, that would be very bad.
717. Arthur Sherbatuk, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
I support net neutrality supported by Title 2. Please make sure that the rules are not changed.
718. Marian DeSimone, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
Net Neutrality is the defining decision of these times. I want a level playing field for everyone on the internet. Please listen to the voice of the people. Keep the net level.
719. S Martin, Ringoes, NJ, 08551  
I support strong net neutrality protected by title II. Please do not roll back these protections.
720. Mark Zucker, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I am a believer in strong oversight of IPS providers. Please do not change the oversight requirements for Internet Neutrality of IPS providers. Thank you.
721. Jackson Pollard, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Net Neutrality is what makes the internet the ultimate free market of ideas and what allows the world to improve exponentially. If it is eliminated, it will destroy what is arguably one of the greatest inventions in the past few decades
722. Roy Vella, Mountainside, NJ, 07092  
Please don't touch Net Neutrality!
723. Daniel Korb, millburn, NJ, 07041  
I want strong protections for net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight for ISPs
724. Rudy Bacich, cranford, NJ, 07016  
Preserve Net Neutrality, and Title 2!!!!!! DO not be swayed by rhetoric that will create a burden on those merely getting by to be informed or to have an even playing field with other outlets of information. We need the FCC to work for those who do not have the funds to overturn Net Neutrality protections. The private sector can take care of themselves BUT please don't let it be through the protection the American people expect from the FCC.
725. Helen Lewandowski, Whitehouse Station, NJ, 08889  
I disagree with deregulating ISPs.
726. Abdullah Muhammad, Johanna Nurjahan, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
We deeply support strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

727. Eric Ackerman, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828  
Do not allow Net Neutrality to fail. We cannot have the internet up for sale to the highest bidder. It is against everything we believe in. We do not trust criminals to police themselves for a reason. This is no different.
728. MMJ, North Plainfield, NJ, 07060  
I support Net Neutrality and Title II. Consider the concerns of the internet users when making your choices. The internet should be open and equally available without interference of special interest groups. The general user shouldn't be influenced by the interference of providers.
729. Scott Foley, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II!! STOP CORPORATE GREED!! DO NOT LET THIS HAPPEN!! PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II!!
730. Sylvester Rich, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
I support net neutrality. Do not deconstruct this most important element of our privacy and independence.
731. Stefanie Miller, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
Net Neutrality is not negotiable. It's essential to everything we need and enjoy from educational and economic opportunities to socializing and entertainment to political organizing and dissent. We urge you to reject any attacks on real Net Neutrality.
732. net neutrality, Milford, NJ, 08848  
The USA prides itself in being a place of equal opportunity. Without net neutrality, we jeprodise the legitimacy of that pride.
733. Robert Bridget, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Dear Ajit Pai, I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs
734. Maryann Crilly, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Net Neutrality fosters competitiveness among ISP operators and enables access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites. Net Neutrality rules keep the internet free and open allowing me to share and access information of my choosing without interference. Removing Net Neutrality rules would essentially block my first amendment rights. Removing Net Neutrality rules would allow ISPs to block websites or content they don't like or applications that compete with their own offerings. I want a free and open internet. As history has taught us, the first step to establish an oligarchy is to limit the free press. China limits the internet to their citizens. Hitler limited the information to the German citizens. The FCC, as a government agency, must protect internet access for all citizens. It must not allow big, powerful companies to take it over. Thank you for protecting the first amendment of the US constitution.
735. Morgan Buckelew, Stewartsville, NJ, 08886  
Please preserve Title II and Net Neutrality! These rules protect small businesses, including Internet startups. We all benefit from preserving these rules. This is a non-partisan issue.
736. Jim Johnston, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
As an IT professional of over 17 years, I cannot express enough the importance of net neutrality. If you cannot understand it from an Internet P.O.V. then think back to POTS and telephone lines. Could you imagine your phone company saying calls to certain businesses that are related to us or pay us a fee to be on this list will be charged at one rate and calls to companies not on this list will be charged at another rate? Essentially that is all this comes down to and for some reason the GOP feels it is beneficial for ISPs to be able to charge you based off of the websites you choose to visit and not the bandwidth you choose to use (well actually they want to charge you for both).
737. John McMahon, Whitehouse Station, NJ, 08889  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II...DO NOT TOUCH TITLE 2!!!!!!!
738. Net Neutrality, Milford, NJ, 08848  
Please respect Net Neutrality. Everyone deserves to have the same speed and opportunity. Any preference shown to the player with the most money is not American and not fair.
739. Ed Knapp, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
Please keep the current Title II classification in place. I don't trust that ISP's will not take advantage of this deregulation for profit disregarding benefits for their customers.
740. Robin Haynes, Glen Gardner, NJ, 08826  
It is vital that we have and keep a free and openly accessible Internet. ISPs must remain under Title II to ensure a level playing field for entrepreneurs and businesses that use the Internet, and for all the citizens who use it. The Internet has become an indispensable tool, not only for communications but for access to services.
741. Patricia Salese, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
Please retain Title II protections for internet traffic. A free and open internet is the single greatest technology of our time, and control should not be at the mercy of corporations whose only purpose is to increase shareholder value.
742. Sean Lavelle, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
Keep Net Neutrality! Do not let the corporations abuse their power and position to control content. they are simply a service provider with respect to providing access to the world wide web. I expect no less and no more and they are more than adequately compensated for that service. if the chairman feels the need to help the public then he should be asking the corporations to improve their service.

Download and upload speeds are horrible despite what they advertise.

743. Charles Melman, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
I support strong net neutrality using a Title II framework. I reject Chairman Pai's Restoring Internet Freedom proposal.
744. Wayne D. DeFeo, Warren, NJ, 07059  
I urge the FCC to maintain Title II status with regard to Net Neutrality. The propose rule change is an insult to the people of this country and to those who rely on internet neutrality.
745. Don Scafuri, Washington, NJ, 07882  
I am writing to urge Mr Pai to PRESERVE net neutrality -- do not kill it! Please, do not proceed with your plan that would undo the strong, enforceable rules put in place in 2015 that protect the open Internet.
746. Andrew Currie, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I am OPPOSED to docket 17-108. I am now and will continue to be in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
747. Abe Fertig-Cohen, westfield, NJ, 07090  
Please continue to protect net neutrality by keeping ISPs as Title II under the communications act of 1934. It is for the good of the American public.
748. MaryEllen Sparno, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
Keep NET NEUTRALITY and preserve TITLE TWO!!!
749. John Horzepa, Warren, NJ, 07059  
A free and open Internet is a benefit for all citizens. Allowing ISPs to be reclassified as Title 1 entities will lead to abuse and restrict access. I should be able to get the same Internet experience regardless of my provider, and my provider should not have the ability to filter/block/interfere with web sites because they may consider them to be a competitor. For example Comcast should not be able to restrict Netflix traffic in order to sell more on-demand movies. The current direction is to allow this to happen, this does not promote innovation and competition.
750. Deborah Caserta, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
I strongly support net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Please support them also.
751. Janet Laciano, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
I support the current rules for net neutrality and Title 2. Do not eliminate these rules protecting the public good from corporate interests.
752. Brian McCarthy, Millburn, NJ, 07041  
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs This is for our future.
753. Sean Colandrea, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
I'm in strong support of Net Neutrality and classifying ISPs as Title II!
754. Nick Pawlyk, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
I am in overwhelming support of Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am, and hope you will express that you are Opposed to Docket No. 17-108!
755. N. Parkinson, Warren, NJ, 07059  
I strongly support Net Neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs . The internet has become a necessity. I believe that the internet needs to remain a fair and equal space for all.
756. Patricia Saraiva, Union, NJ, 07083  
The regulation currently in place should remain. There should be neutrality as it relates to ISP content and ISP providers should not control or be able to throttle content, no matter the reason.
757. Richard McCormick, Stewartsville, NJ, 08886  
Please maintain the Net Neutrality!
758. Kristen, Ringoes, NJ, 08551  
Please keep strong net neutrality and title 2. I would really be happy if you did! Thanks:)
759. Nicholas DeNatale, Princeton, NJ, 08540  
I am against this proposal to weaken net neutrality guidelines. The internet is a public utility. ISPs already get paid by consumers (individuals like me) to provide internet service. They have no right to freely sell and distribute my browsing data, or anything relating to my web identity. It would be different if this were a free service (like google), as they need to make money. However, these ISP (like my service provider comcast) are offering no additional value to me. They have no right to take my information and monetize it, if I am already paying them. Additionally, this proposal will make it harder for start up companies, and entrepreneurs to be successful. This proposal will decrease innovation over time, and give far more power and control to a couple of entrenched incumbent companies. Please, I implore you to not pass this proposal.
760. internet freedom, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
I strongly believe that net neutrality is beautiful and if you take that away from me i would suggest that the students at ridge high school shouldnt come to school tomorrow thank you

761. 1, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Please maintain net neutrality and title II. Thanks.
762. Nellie Stewart, summit, NJ, 07901  
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II!!!!!!
763. John R. Stewart, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Please keep strong net neutrality laws backed by Title 2
764. John Prisco, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
I support net neutrality and the preservation of the current Title II categorization. Net neutrality will better serve economic growth, entrepreneurship, competition, and the free exchange of information. ISPs should not be allowed to provide preferential treatment for their own content or for the content of those able to pay more.
765. John J Connolly III, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
I would like the FCC to maintain Net Neutrality by keeping Internet providers to the same rules under Title 2 so that everyone can have equal access to the internet. Allowing Big Company's to Manage themselves has NEVER WORKED they will only look out for themselves
766. Jake, Annandale, NJ, 08801  
I support strong net neutrality regulations, and the fact that ISP's are classified under Title 2 of the Communications Act.
767. David W. Gannon, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I am in support of keeping the current net neutrality laws under Article II and not reclassifying them under any other legal footing.
768. Caitlin Snyder, North Plainfield, NJ, 07060  
I am writing to urge the FCC to back net neutrality and conduct strong oversight of ISPs via Title I I. Furthermore, I urge the FCC to prohibit ISPs from selling users' personal data. Thank you.
769. Maxwell Razzaghi, Ringoes, NJ, 08551  
I specifically support Stronger Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs
770. natasha silodor, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
I support net neutrality and oversight on ISPs to ensure unbiased web access.
771. andrew isaacs, bedminster, NJ, 07921  
NET NEUTRALITY IS A MUST...THE NEW FCC CHAIR IS AN IDIOT...HE IS BOUGHT AND SOLD BY INDUSTRY...HE HAS NO CLUE NOR THE INCLINATION TO DEFEND THE PUBLIC. VERIZON ACTUALLY SUED THE US GOV AND THE US GOV THEN REFOCUSSED THE LAW TO TITLE II. DON'T MESS WITH THIS.
772. David De Clercq, Watchung, NJ, 07069  
Net neutrality is extremely important for a free america. Don't change it. Thank you.
773. L Lovett, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I support true net neutrality, keep Title 2 status and the regulation that requires neutrality. Do not rely on internet service providers to be neutral.
774. Catherine Mauch, Chester, NJ, 07930  
preserve net neutrality and keep it regulated under title ii PLEASE
775. Ariel Waters, North Plainfield, NJ, 07060  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. ISPs have betrayed the public trust when left to their own devices. We cannot depend on these companies to act in consumers best interests if those interests don't act in ISPs financial gain. Additionally, despite Mr. Pai's claim that net neutrality harms infrastructure investment, several companies have stated on the record that the increased regulation & oversight hasn't affected investments. One such company was Mr. Pai's former employer, Verizon. ISPs must remain neutral when providing media & information to the public. Their financial interests should not influence how quickly, in what order, or whether or not they're provided at all. Depending on ISPs to act against their own bottom line requires regulation & oversight - not blind trust.Keep the net neutral.
776. hayley kamis, berkeley heights, NJ, 07922  
seriously? i mean, freedom of speech much? i'm a speech language pathologist. i've spent my career assisting others in their ability to connect with others through communication, no matter if those thoughts are expressed through singing, laughing, crying, cursing, complaining, praising, or mocking. it is our human right to communicate. it is our american right to do so freely. the american president can mimic a person's handicap and an entire political party sees no conflict in that morbidly obscene and offensive communication, but a television host has the audacity to disagree with and point out contradictions in a political leader's verbal vomit? the fcc's job is not to censor our freedoms. do i now need to email my local library to disagree with book burning? seriously?
777. Shireen Jagpal, Millington, NJ, 07946  
Regulation is important but so is privacy.
778. Michele Sammarco, Ringoes, NJ, 08551  
Net neutrality is essential. It is about time that the government worry more about the citizens than companies. We cannot turn over all control to companies, and the more you do this, the more the revolt will grow.

779. Daniel Cribari, Chester, NJ, 07930  
Keep Net Neutrality as is. That is keep it as it is.
780. Priya M Patel, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
Save net neutrality! Please do not open the gate to the very real possibility of access to content being controlled by those w/the deepest pockets!!
781. Joseph Lisowski, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Any changes made to a open and free internet should be made, and only made by public vote. The FCC is a agency put into place to protect the rights of it's citizens, not private companies, Private companies must be regulated, or there is know need for the FCC, and it should be abolished .As a member of the FCC, It is a conflict of interest to allow a past employee, of any ISP to push for changes that would only benefit ISP s, and not the citizens of our country. The issue of net neutrality must be a public issue, not a agency decision. The TITLE 2 regulations already in place, have not effected ISP s at all. We the people, say, leave the internet alone. It works just fine as it is.
782. Daniel Habib, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Disgusted. I will never vote for you creeps again. I voted for you fools and you do this
783. harvey gluckman, basking ridge, NJ, 07920  
Please restore net neutrality laws the President Trump rescinded. Internet service providers (ISPs) should give consumers access to all legal content and applications on an equal basis, without favoring some sources or blocking others. It seems that the Trump era will basically try to reject everything that happened under President Obama's presidency. This ruling by the government is not fair to the average American.
784. Aidan Goll, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828  
I believe that the internet should be an ever expanding domain of freedom and equality. The new director of the FCC is biased against the common American and has ties to large ISP's. He is unfit to lead our communications bureau and I feel he is corrupted by his past occupations. ISP's should remain in title 2 and the internet should be seen as a utility as it is needed to thrive in America today.
785. Ernie Lettieri, Millington, NJ, 07946  
I DEMAND YOU KEEP NET NEUTRALITY AND FULLY SUPPORT TITLE II DESIGNATIONS FOR ALL ISPs
786. Jim Petrucelli, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
The internet must remain fair and open. It is not a commodity, it is a necessity. It must remain as part of Title 2 and be classified as a utility. This is incredibly important.
787. Stephen Brown, ringoes, NJ, 08551  
Do not weaken net neutrality; preserve title 2.
788. Daniel Nunez-Penaloza, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
Conserve in its entirety Title 2 ... the internet/web must be 100% open to all - "a leveled playing field" - no up to the political ambitions of a former Verizon lawyer, Mr Pai
789. Evan Binder, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Hello! I am a high-school senior going to study computer engineering next year. I want a strong net neutrality so that myself and like-minded individuals can have equal opportunity to succeed with online business. Please keep a strong net neutrality. Thank you for your time!
790. Graciela Nunez, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
Keep Title II, unchanged - my grandchildren have the same right to total use of internet and web as major corporations - yes, corporations are a person, hence they have only ONE VOTE, just like me! Chairman Pai should be ashamed to be paying favors owed on our rights!
791. Glenn Holzmacher, Ledgewood, NJ, 07852  
You know why we are here. The same reasons we came and told your former boss how we felt the last time the internet was being threatened. When has sitting back and expecting good behavior worked before? We saw the effects of self governance by ISP's such as Verizon and Comcast when a new Internet based content distribution model threatened the lucrative Cable Companies they also owned. Instead of changing their own model to compete they throttled the traffic and held the new content subscribers hostage for a hefty ransom payment from Netflix. The throttling was proven and you continue to sit back and say there is no evidence it will happen, well it did happen and it will happen again. Time to fight for the people that pay your salary, not the ones that bribe you.
792. Dana Galbreath, Branchburg, NJ, 08853  
Do not remove net neutrality rules, and PLEASE keep Internet Service Providers regulated under Title II. Without oversight, there is NO INCENTIVE whatsoever for ISPs to maintain a fair and open internet, and EVERY INCENTIVE to limit speeds for competitor content and provide higher speeds for paid sponsors. As it is, we've allowed them to create local monopolies that have entirely removed choice from customers and, as a result, have caused all of them to provide some of the worst customer service in the country. They will absolutely take advantage of lax regulations if given the chance.
793. Thomas Healey, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Preserve net neutrality, title 2, and get rid of that stupid mug. Reese's aren't even that good.
794. Deborah Woodbury, Short Hills, NJ, 07078

To whom it may concern: I support the preservation of strong oversight of net neutrality with Title II oversight of ISPs. I do not support the ISPs going back to title I or self-regulation. Thank you. Kind regards, Deborah Woodbury

795. Dennis McCarson, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
In the bluntest form, giving power to ISPs to dictate how we consume content, is detrimental to the freedom that the internet provides. With the internet being one of the last truly free places, allowing for companies to police and control how we use it, goes against everything this country stands for.
796. Brian Teasdale, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
First of all- make it an easier process to leave comments. Second- please preserve net neutrality. Are those brief enough?
797. Dhruvil Shah, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
KEEP the internet in the title 2
798. Tim Hannigan, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
Please do not change the rules regarding net neutrality. It is clear that the majority of Americans like the rules the way they are.
799. None, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
I am a strong advocate for Net neutrality. Attempts to limit free speech on the internet, through modulating/attenuating the speed of access to particular websites, based upon monetary considerations of the ISPs, goes against the very concept of their being a public utility. Attempting to reverse current Net Neutrality rules clearly appears to be driven by the desire for increased corporate profit (e.g. Verizon.....Chairman Pai) as opposed to the very Constitutional concept of Free Speech for all citizens.
800. Caroline DeMarco, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
I support Title II and Net Neutrality. Allowing ISPs to regulate internet speeds is dangerous to our economy and violates the principles that the first amendment was founded upon. Please keep ISPs classified under Title II.
801. Stephanie Edman, Watchung, NJ, 07069  
Please do not roll back the net neutrality measures. They are important to stop internet service providers from prioritizing certain types of web content over others. Thanks for giving me the opportunity to comment.
802. Shapiro, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I support net neutrality through Title II. Preserve net neutrality and Title II
803. liz ashey, Pittstown, NJ, 08867  
Preserve net neutrality and title 1
804. Katharine Marshall, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Net neutrality is critical to innovation and general eCommerce. Allowing ISPs to preferentially speed up some traffic over others will stifle the small businesses and free expression of ordinary citizens. Title II is the appropriate designation for a communication tool that has basically become a utility. I have bills that cost more if I don't pay them online. Many job applications can only be done online. It is unacceptable to allow money to determine access- content should win on merit, not on who has the deepest pocket.
805. John Fox, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
In my neighborhood there used to be about five internet providers. We are down to two. It is easy to imagine further consolidation leading to a single provider. As telephonic communication moves over to the internet it means that telephone service is also dependent upon those two providers. Releasing them from common carrier status would open the door to all sorts of potentially harmful behavior. While the internet itself may be a relatively open playing field for competition, the last mile connector to homes is most definitely NOT. Allowing internet providers, many of whom are developing their own content streams, to prioritize the delivery of content is a HUGE invitation to market manipulation. DO NOT DO THIS!! The courts have already decided that common carrier status is appropriate for internet providers. Does the FCC now feel the courts were wrong? Does the FCC not understand the dangers in rolling back current regulation? For the good of our country (which, by the way, lags many other advanced nations in internet connectivity and speed) don't hand Verizon, Comcast etc. fat plums that end up poisoning consumers and those companies that are competing to provide us valuable and innovative new services and programming!
806. Amal k Paul, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
Please ensure net neutrality for the protection of free internet.
807. Jennifer Geoghegan, Clark, NJ, 07066  
PLEASE PRESERVE TITLE II. Net neutrality is extremely important and must be preserved. There is no evidence that Title II stifles investment. In fact, the even playing field protected under net neutrality fosters competition among all business present on the web. 'Fast lanes' are unfair both for business and for individuals who browse the internet. I believe I should retain the freedom to browse the internet however I choose instead of being manipulated by corporations who may alter speeds on different platforms.
808. Shannon Coulter, Union, NJ, 07083  
I support STRONG net neutrality and that means keeping it under title 2 oversight of ISPs.
809. E. Matlack, Califon, NJ, 07830  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Companies should not have to pay ISPs' extortion fees for unobstructed service to their consumers.
810. Cameron Hudson, Springfield, NJ, 07081

I am opposed to efforts to reduce or eliminate net neutrality. An open internet is essential to the growth of small businesses, and is in the best interest of all internet users.

811. Jamie Bunce, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
Please preserve strong Title II Net Neutrality rules.
812. Nancy Barlow, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828  
Keep title II Net Neutrality rules.
813. Andrew Epstein, Garwood, NJ, 07027  
I believe in unrestricted Internet. My ISP is Verizon, and they are not owed another revenue stream when I already pay them significant monthly fees. Please maintain the Title II designation and preserve Net Neutrality permanently. Protect consumers. Protect Net Neutrality.
814. Hugo Reis, Clark, NJ, 07066  
While service provider's are already enjoying a monopoly in most locales it is more important then ever that we support net neutrality and the title 2 designation.
815. Jeffrey Moss, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
Sirs & Madams -please keep net neutrality enabled and keep all ISP's under title 2
816. Thomas Hartnett, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
I disagree with proposal to remove Article II statutory requirements for FCC net neutrality. Giving ISPs free reign in this regard is not a good idea.
817. william wallace, stockton, NJ, 08559  
I support net neutrality as provided in Title 2.
818. Nancy Binowski, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
FCC Please don't overturn the net neutrality and title II rules which are now in place to protect the freedom of the internet. Don't allow ISPs to commercialize and control the bandwidth through throttling. The Internet was created to facilitate the easy flow of information among individuals and must remain so.
819. Elizabeth Miller, westfield, NJ, 07090  
We need Title II to remain intact
820. Ian Hernandez, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
Keep the internet fair and open. Do not let corruption and bribery take the drivers seat where larger companies dictate what we can and cannot consume.
821. Annie Walters, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Keep net neutrality!! We need equal access to the internet!
822. Daniel Mints, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
I support Net Neutrality. Please keep ISPs as Title 2 utilities. They are NOT unduly burdened by these regulations. Please restore at least a modicum of faith in the government.
823. Jennifer DaCunha, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Do not roll back this provision.
824. Jo Junior, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Preserve the strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
825. Donna Cribbin, Mine Hill, NJ, 07803  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II. Do not change this. Enforce Title II. Net neutrality is what the vast majority of Americans want and need.
826. Amy, Princeton, NJ, 08540  
I support strong net neutrality rules as garunteed by title II. Please continue to support net neutrality enforcement.
827. Brendan McIsaac, Clinton, NJ, 08809  
I want you to keep net neutrality. I am sick of companies like Verizon and Comcast having monopolies on internet access and the removal of net neutrality only strengthens their monopoly.
828. Maria Baez, Clifton, NJ, 07016  
The FCC MUST monitor and heavily regulate ISPs. Net neutrality needs to be enforced in order to avoid big corporations from further controlling customers.
829. Matthew Ross, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
I am significantly disappointed that the FCC, notably the chairmen, continues to pursue reducing internet protections. This has already been petitioned by multi-billion dollar companies and yet this endeavour remains. How many people need to say no before this becomes a bad idea?

830. Scott Bramson, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
For the benefit of all Americans you must preserve internet neutrality and Title 2.
831. David Ferrara, Mount Arlington, NJ, 07856  
I support strong Net Neutrality regulations, specifically those outlined in Title II.
832. john raziano, Branchburg, NJ, 08853  
I support strong net neutrality regulations, specifically those outlined by title 2. These regulations do absolutely no harm to internet service providers and are essential for the protection of the free internet.
833. Jared Burke, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
Please support net neutrality. Keep ISP company's on title 2. We need strong net neutrality regulations.
834. Caroline Albanese, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
We express our strong support for Net Neutrality and Title II. Net Neutrality is essential for protecting free speech, organizing, business and innovation. I will not stand for any attacks on Net Neutrality or my rights as an internet user. Leave the Net Neutrality rules alone.
835. john jurkovic, hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Please make isps adhere to the more stringent title 2 regulations and preserve net neutrality
836. Harsh Patel, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Please do not take away Title II and the strong Net Neutrality laws. The ISP's in America will hurt the consumers in the name of profit. Please keep Title II in effect.
837. jon spitz, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Strongly support net neutrality and title 2 We need a level playing field
838. wayne Gallagher, Ringoes, NJ, 08551  
No to any changes to new neutrality keep it at Title 2 This chairman is nothing more than an advocate for immoral business interests. He is not qualified due to his obvious prejudices against the citizens of the United States.
839. Mark Bielawski, Annandale, NJ, 08801  
Please keep Title 2 and maintain net neutrality.
840. robert petti, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
net neutrality is essential to an unbiased internet and, in an era of divisive politics and fake news, we need the Internet to be a beacon of stability.
841. Catherine Sukovich, Ringoes, NJ, 08551  
Ajit Pai- This is why I fully support net neutrality. EVERY person deserves this right, democrat or republican. Amendment 1: Freedom of religion, speech, press, assembly, and petition: Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.
842. Robert Fodera, Clinton, NJ, 08809  
Please continue to preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 and keep the Internet open and free to all, thank you.
843. Andrew Kimmel, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
I strongly support the net neutrality regulation, please do not over turn this regulation
844. Satish Ramakrishna, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
The proposal by the FCC to reduce the legal sanctity afforded to Internet net neutrality to a more relaxed legal position that leaves it as a "Voluntary" step on the part of the "pipe" providers - Verizon, Comcast and the like is feeble minded and anti-competitive. With one stroke, you make it hard to start competing web products (since the established ones will afford to pay more to keep their speed dominance and hence make new upstarts look comparatively inferior). In addition, instead of allowing their products to compete freely alongside other offerings, Verizon and Comcast will do what they tried when they set up an Internet payment system called ISIS (!) to compete with Apple Pay. They simply disabled Apple Pay on their pipes - until they were explicitly told not to. When did the Republicans become a champion of monopoly forces and crony politics? This is a partisan effort to pay back election night supporters.
845. Russ Levitan, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
Maintain Title 2! Maintain strong regulations enforcing net neutrality. Preserve title 2!
846. Patrick Nolan, Warren, NJ, 07059  
I strongly support the existing net neutrality protections and keeping ISPs under title II.
847. joe caulfield, short hills, NJ, 07078  
do not place any restrictions on my internet use. There should be a single speed for access to everyone.
848. Eric Gawiser, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Net neutrality is non-negotiable. ISPs must remain regulated under Title II, or the internet will move from free market to oligopoly.
849. ed marceski, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Need to make sure that Net Neutrality is the law and that Title 2 is enforced.

850. Nancy Kaplen, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
I strongly support net neutrality. The FCC should not change the current rules that guarantee that neutrality.
851. Cynthia, Long valley, NJ, 07853  
Uphold title 2 ruling to uphold net neutrality.
852. George Witteman, Green Brook, NJ, 08812  
I am writing to express my support for keeping the current net neutrality laws as they are, specifically title 2.
853. seth weintraub, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
We need to maintain net neutrality through title 2
854. a. isaacs, bedminster, NJ, 07921  
we must keep net neutrality, as it is in the public's best interest. the new chairman in an industry puppet.
855. Kate Whitehead, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I support an open internet and the Title II oversight of ISPs. I oppose the Restoring Internet Freedom Act as it limits the citizens access to the internet and only favors big business seeking to limit our content and price options.
856. Matthew Schueler, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I fully support Net Neutrality. Anyone who does not support the idea of a free and open internet does not, in my opinion, deserve to use it. The fact that this is even up for debate absolutely boggles my mind. There are not words to express how ashamed that you should be for ever considering this complete garbage.
857. isaacs, bedminster, NJ, 07921  
KEEP TITLE II and KEEP NET NEUTRALITY. the new chairman is a complete moron and needs to take his stupid cup and leave government. He has no clue on the facts and wants to crush public rights.
858. Lucy K, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
I SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY!!! Reese's mugs aren't so special, Ajit.
859. Tim Brandt, Skillman, NJ, 08558  
I support Net Neutrality--to remove it from Title 2 status, would be to surrender the internet to the greed of ISPs.
860. Maren Perry, Princeton, NJ, 08540  
Net neutrality is essential to fairness and access throughout the country for people of all economic backgrounds. We as a country and the FCC as our representative must stand for net neutrality. Period.
861. Christian Ayer, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Internet access and speeds should never be tampered with by companies; we need true net neutrality, there can't be corporations discriminating against consumers based off a profit motive.
862. Jennifer Rangnow, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I urge the FCC to keep ISPS on Title II.
863. Andrew Skurdal, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I support strong net neutrality rules back by title II oversight of ISP's. Rolling back previous ruling would be in direct opposition to guidelines that support open platforms and a level competitive business landscape. As a entrepreneur and business person who has seen the ways that large organizations can stifle innovation, it is not enough to "trust" large enterprise who's very interest is to stifle innovation & disruption that fuels growth and consumer choice.
864. Ganesh Venkateswaran, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I support net neutrality and ask that the government keep the internet free and not fall prey to Pai and the likes of Verizon.
865. Sonny Yip, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I believe this is unfair to consumers and non large companies that have more control over the web traffic. This goes against fair competition and equal access.
866. Gil Braunstein, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
We absolutely need a Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2
867. John Goodwin, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Please support an open and fair internet by continuing to enforce net neutrality.
868. Thomas Reissner, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828  
I support net neutrality - please uphold Title II. It is important in allowing new small businesses to get established!
869. Kim Goodrich, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
I am opposed to 17-108. ISPs should not have the ability to control how and which content is delivered to consumers, just as utility companies do not have the right to determine when and how we should receive electricity, water, gas, telephone land lines, etc. If I purchased and used an AT&T branded desk phone, but used Verizon for my landline telephone services, by enacting 17-108 we are effectively stating the equivalent of Verizon limiting my landline phone calling abilities simply because I am using a device that does not comply with what their company wants.

870. Peg Amorosa,, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
I am writing to ask the net neutrality be preserved as we now have it. Changing this, allowing companies to control content based on fees is not the best way to serve the public. Isn't that what the FCC is supposed to do, make sure the public is best served, all of the public, not just companies who have the ability to pay.
871. Melissa Endy, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
I'm fully in support of Title II and the preservation of Net Neutrality. It is like our protection against monopolies, the Net Neutrality allows for fairness on the internet especially where small businesses are concerned.
872. Mark Miller, Landing, NJ, 07850  
Please keep the net neutrality protections in place. Thank you.
873. Brian Selvin, Warren, NJ, 07059  
Keep net neutrality in place. Do not remove ISPs from Title II. You cannot trust big corporations to act fairly if they can make more money otherwise. They will always use the excuse of "best interest of the shareholders."
874. Abigail Goldring, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
I urge you to preserve net neutrality and Title II to ensure the American people have free and open access to the Internet.
875. Matthew Sherger, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Preserve net neutrality and keep Title 2!
876. dan jinks, skillman, NJ, 08558  
Keep Title II for Net neutrality do not roll back
877. Jamie Marino, North Plainfield, NJ, 07063  
I would like to express my strident support for strong net neutrality regulations under Title II. I believe these rules and regulations are essential to keeping ISPs fair and the I find the contrary suggestion that the providers can "voluntarily agree" to not elevate or surpress service absolutely ludicrous. For profit companies cannot possibly be trusted to abstain from such actions when taking them could financially benefit them. If there is one thing big business in this country has shown us time and time again it is that they will always put their own financial profit ahead of their customers' well being. Please oppose lessening the regulations on net neutrality. Thank you.Sincerely,Jamie Marino
878. Kara Lugar, Pittstown, NJ, 08867  
Preserve net neutrality! This is more important than ever for every side of every argument.
879. Claire G, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I am a strong supporter of net neutrality. If the government allows the chance to slow down competitors to any company with the power and/or money, it has the capability to kill smaller businesses/websites/viewpoints/etc. This is America, where everyone is supposed to get an equal shot, and yet, if we get rid of net neutrality, we might as well be giving big businesses the hatchet they've been asking for to slash competitor's heels before they even have a chance to be competition. This is a real danger to freedom of speech, and also, quite frankly, is at best, a major inconvenience. Please uphold net neutrality.Thank you.
880. wanosky, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
The current FCC Article 2 enforcement is the only guarantee we have that ensures net neutrality. It must be maintained! There is zero reason to change it!
881. John Grambor, Pittstown, NJ, 08867  
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai:Your proposal to weaken existing net neutrality rules is unacceptable. It would harm competition, curtail innovation, and threaten free speech online.Without net neutrality, we may see a future in which big internet service providers can choose which services will load quickly, and which to throttle with slower speeds. This ultimately will be harmful to innovation, competition, and free speech.Imagine a young Google, bootstrapped and fighting for a place in the market, but slowed to a point where it's not a feasible competitor because Verizon owned the dominant search engine of the time. Or, imagine a world in which Comcast degrades the speeds to a site that features complaints and negative reviews of their service.This level playing field that exists now â€“ and you are working to eliminate â€“ is fundamental to the growth and spirit of the internet, one of man's greatest creations. Ending net neutrality can even be seen as a strike against free speech.I urge you and the committee to reconsider the proposed changes to net neutrality.Respectfully,John Grambor
882. Ed Duenas, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
VIVA LA NET NEUTRALITY !!!!!
883. Sarah, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Don't fuck this up.
884. raffaele iodice, Millburn, NJ, 07041  
Please keep Net Neutrality and Title II, protect freedom
885. Dan Bergad, Chester, NJ, 07930  
Please keep the internet as it is and ISPs under Title II. It is not hampering investment as the chairman of your former company, Verizon, stated it did not affect infrastructure investment. It works please don't break it.
886. Denise C Heisey, Chester, NJ, 07930

Keep net neutrality as is under title II.

887. Bonnie Semmling, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
I am in favor of net neutrality and keeping the title ii classification.
888. Nihar Desai, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828  
I support strong net neutrality rules, and a free and open internet. ISP's will take advantage at the expense of consumers and entrepreneurs by doing considerable damage to marketplace competition in a world were the internet is no longer protected under Title II.
889. Scott Gentile, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Do NOT destroy Net Neutrality. Keep Title II. A free and open internet is ESSENTIAL for fairness and democracy.
890. MartÃn Crosby-Arreaza, Union, NJ, 07083  
Dear Mr. Ajit Pai,I am writing to express my support for net neutrality backed by strong Title II oversight of ISPs. Strong net neutrality laws are in the interest of the consumer as they will prevent large internet companies from gaining unfair advantage over competitors and from establishing monopolies over internet connectivity, a medium we all depend on. It is time you stop peddling the false impression that net neutrality regulation has led to a decrease in investment in infrastructure, as Verizon themselves have indicated to their investors (to whom they are legally obligated to tell the truth) that this was not the case. I recommend you start acting less like a Verizon lawyer and more like a public servant. Need I remind you that your job as a public servant is to look out for the interests of consumers and everyday Americans, not just big companies. You work for us, not for Verizon.Best,MartÃn Crosby-Arreaza
891. Alicia Schwarcz, Princeton, NJ, 08540  
I very strongly believe in net neutrality and ask you to preserve it and title II.Thank you.
892. William Stange, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
To whomever it may concern, I believe that a free and open internet is the more effective way to produce competition compared to the suggestions to do away with net neutrality. Net neutrality prevents regional monopolies from dictating what websites an individual could access. For example, in some areas comcast is the only internet provider. Without net neutrality they could put a site such as youtube on the slower bracket and let another competitor have the faster line. That would provide benefits to that youtube competitor coming from a regional monopoly. How is that effective capitalism? How does that improve competition? This is selecting the winners and losers by the regional monopolies that are too widespread today. Putting certain sites on the slower connection could also hamper small business. Their own sites that businesses make would have to pay more money in order to be in the fast lane. That will decrease the number of small business that could be created. We have defended net neutrality before, and we will do so again. If the vote goes through and net neutrality is eliminated then you have another activist on your hands here. Best regards, William Stange
893. Walter Schwab, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
I support Net Neutrality, backed by Title 2. The government should be enforcing fairness across communication, not favoritism based on corporate finances and relationships.
894. Daniel L. Berek, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
Dear Mr. Ajit Pai:A long-time user of the Internet, I fully support full net neutrality under Title II oversight of Internet service providers. Any deviation from this would be a threat to the democracy that should be the Internet.Thank you.
895. James Green, Frenchtown, NJ, 08825  
I am bitterly opposed to deregulating ISPs of all types and believe that Title II regulation should stay in place. In the vast majority of places in the US there is only one and rarely two affordable internet providers. If there is not tight regulation in place the ISPs will have a big incentive to find a way to make more money from the traffic flowing through their pipes which will have the inevitable outcome that not all of the content will be treated equally.I run a marketing and advertising company called Magnetic that relies on open access through ISPs. We are one of thousands of companies big and small that would be harmed by removing Title II regulation. Whereas there are only a small handful of companies who would benefit.
896. david sukovich, Ringoes, NJ, 08551  
Hey Ajit Pai - I strongly support net neutrality and title II.DO NOT CHANGE IT!
897. Melinda Hunt, Flagtown, NJ, 08821  
Retain Net Neutrality. Network neutrality guarantees a level playing field for companies that deliver information through the Internet, and allows for the possibility of new startups with new business models to succeed.
898. Michael Kelly, Princeton, NJ, 08540  
I strongly oppose deregulation of the FCC. In particular, I oppose the reversal of title II net neutrality.
899. Brendan Leigh, Watchung, NJ, 07069  
Please preserve net neutrality and title two. Do something for the American People and not these corporations.
900. Patricia H. Lincoln, SKILLMAN, NJ, 08558  
In my opinion it is vital for fair competition and freedom of information that you preserve the classification of the Internet under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934.
901. Deepali Manathara, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
Please retain net neutrality rules with Title 2.

902. Megan Muessen, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I am strongly for keeping net neutrality. I do not want ISPs to be removed from Title II. Please keep the existing FCC regulations regarding net neutrality in place.
903. William Sisto, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
I strongly support the current state of Net Neutrality and having internet providers such as Ajit Pai's former employer, Verizon, classified under Title II
904. Benjamin Hatke, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I fully support strong net neutrality rules under title II. Any efforts to weaken current rules to allow throttling internet speeds based on which website is being visited or website packages similar to how cable tv is distributed are worse for the consumer.
905. Vivek Mhatre, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I support net neutrality. Regulating service providers is why title II needs to be upheld!
906. zagorin, Summit, NJ, 07901  
It is important to keep net neutrality under title 2 to preserve a free and open internet.
907. Michael C Caulfield, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Keep the Net neutral. Tell Mr. Pie to go to hell and take that Bastard Drumpf with him.
908. Gregory Frame, Skillman, NJ, 08558  
I am writing to implore the FCC to retain the title II designation (and subsequent regulations and oversight) of Internet Service Providers (ISP)'s, as I am unconvinced that the principles of a 'free and open' internet can be adequately preserved without title II oversight from the FCC. Changing the existing regulatory classification of ISP's does not further the public interest, and absent robust 'Net Neutrality' protections I fear the innovative potential of the internet may be permanently stymied. Also, speaking as someone who came of age with the internet, I want to emphasize how important it is to me personally that the open character of the internet be preserved for future generations (a prospect that would be made significantly less likely if the regulations governing ISP's are lessened).
909. Bruce Dykes, North Plainfield, NJ, 07063  
Given that that the vast majority of landline Internet subscribers are served by only one ISP, or maybe two, at best, with no competition, it's entirely appropriate to continue regulating them as common carriers under Title II. Even better would be local loop unbundling, treating the last mile as monopoly utility, and opening competition among ISPs. Abandoning robust net neutrality enforcement would be bad for consumers, and limit competition and choice.
910. Dan Andersen, Mount Arlington, NJ, 07856  
I very strongly SUPPORT net neutrality under title 2, the current system. I reject anything that would not include complete equality in internet communication. I also support a congressional amendment making net neutrality a fundamental right for all Americans.
911. Cassie Miller, westfield, NJ, 07090  
We need Title II. Keep Title II in affect.
912. Julie Apruzzese, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Keep Title 1 & Title 2 and current Net Neutrality laws in place. The citizens of our country want, need, and deserve fair access to the internet. Thank you.
913. joann boyle, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I support net neutrality under title 2 oversight of ISPs.
914. Thai, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Once there is no net neutrality, a few companies will buy up parts of the internet and throttle entire regions of the country. The internet will be slowed to dialup speeds and any speed "faster" than that will be charged a "premium" and have "bandwidth limits." The American taxpayers paid for the internet, to develop it, and now it is being privatized and taken away. Meanwhile in the rest of the world, the ISPs in foreign countries will be competing against each other by trying to provide faster, more reliable internet with no limits. South Korea and other Asian countries will have 1 GB broadband as a minimum. America will no longer be the leader in internet development as other countries surpass America in speeds.
915. ann panico, Clark, NJ, 07066  
Do not repeal, or rescind current net neutrality laws. People cannot be left up to their doing the right thing. People in Power don't do the right thing. It's all about \$\$\$\$\$\$. Not basic rights
916. Alan Slutsky, Succasunna, NJ, 07876  
I am strongly in favor of Title II and Net Neutrality. Without it, money will determine which content users can access, and innovation will be stifled.
917. Mark Peffley, Budd lake, NJ, 07828  
The Internet is as important to modern life and prosperity as the printing press and electricity were to previous generations. It grew into this powerful economic engine under defacto principles of Net Neutrality. Changing that foundation to allow for prioritization or separate pricing for some internet traffic is a dangerous threat the free flow of information, fair competition among businesses and freedom of choice among consumers. These benefits apply to people of all parties and politics. I urge you, in the strongest terms, to support Net Neutrality.

918. Robb Wilner, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Please keep net neutrality. We want to keep an equal playing field for everyone.
919. Wolfgang Guenther, Summit, NJ, 07901  
The FCC must ensure net neutrality if it wants to maintain equality on the internet. If it doesn't, the internet will become a platform where only the strong and rich prevail. Criticism, entrepreneurship and innovation would be stifled.
920. Jeff Tripp, Annandale, NJ, 08801  
I strongly believe that net neutrality should be maintained and the rules for providers should not be changed.
921. Timothy O Connell, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Preserve Title II and net neutrality! I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It is too important to leave the openness of the internet in the hands big business.
922. Brett Fowler, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Net neutrality is required to maintain a fair playing field for all internet users. I'm worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any.
923. Net Neutrality, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Restoring internet freedom? You guys do realize that we're onto your "clever" wording exercises now, right? Way to try to make it as difficult as possible to make this comment, by the way. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Go FCC yourselves. Fascist bitches.
924. Landon Fraley, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I support Net Neutrality and believe that ISPs should maintain their classification under Title II to ensure our free and unfettered access to the Internet is maintained. The proposed changes should be rejected.
925. Sara Bortscheller, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Please preserve net neutrality. Keep something that benefits consumers, not corporations. Thank you.
926. Gregory Andlauer, Succasunna, NJ, 07876  
Viewing the issue of the potential loss of net neutrality from a logical standpoint, I can see no legitimate reason to change the law concerning the Title II regulations. The system that is currently in place is doing nothing to damage businesses providing internet services. Changing said laws would be an enormous mistake, and simply incite public outrage. This is not even to mention the abuse of power that service providers will commit in the wake of the relaxation of these regulations. I urge you to make the right choice, and forget ever bringing this issue up again.
927. 17-108, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
I support strong neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Without such oversight we risk the ISPs once again, running rampant and blocking content they do not agree with as was with the case of Google TV, or netflix just a few years back. Without title 2 oversight, we risk our 1st amendment rights to free speech as well as free press, as the ISP's will control the "airwaves" and could easily only provide us, the American people, with 100% fake news all the time.
928. carey elias, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I fully support net neutrality and title 2. I expect our leaders to act in the interest of all people not a few and not in the interest of making money.
929. Ron Clough, Union, NJ, 07083  
I strongly encourage the FCC to maintain Net Neutrality by keeping the ISPs in Title II. I believe that the net is a public utility, initially created using tax dollars, and that Title II places no innovation (or profit)-crushing burdens on the ISPs.
930. Tim Schreck, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
Please don't turn the internet into a tool. It's too important. Please preserve net neutrality so I can keep my business competitive.
931. R Marino, Clark, NJ, 07066  
Net neutrality is critical for a free and democratic society. Keep the internet regulated under a Title II.
932. Jeff R, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Please protect Net Neutrality and Title II. A free and open internet is not possible without Net Neutrality.
933. Justin Scerbo, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
Please Maintain strong Net Neutrality and Title 2
934. Brandon Malott, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I am strongly in support of total net neutrality under the purview of Title II. Do not take this equal sharing of information away and allow ISPs to make their products more desirable based on speed of data transfer.
935. Laura Cole, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
Please create and enforce regulations to require ISPs to maintain net neutrality.
936. Andrew J Darcy, Hillsborough Township, NJ, 08844  
I strongly support net neutrality and support keeping the internet a part of Title II. Please do not deregulate and allow ISPs to play

favorites.

937. Nancy Gorman, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Please support net neutrality. Everyone should have equal access. Thank you.
938. Rebecca Segers, Stewartsville, NJ, 08886  
I support the preservation of Net Neutrality and Title II. It is imperative to maintain regulations that ensure no particular actor has more control over internet accessibility than others.
939. Email, Bedminster, NJ, 07921  
please Please PLEASE preserve our open and net neutral internet and title 2 definition.
940. Ashley Staszak, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
I support strong net neutrality regulations backed by Title II enforcement of ISPs.
941. Seth Horvath, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Net neutrality is not a joke and not something to be taken lightly by people who don't understand the implications of the laws they want to pass!
942. mark segal, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Please preserve net neutrality under title 2. Leave it alone, Ajit!
943. William Doll, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
I am in favor of strong net neutrality rules back by title II
944. Christopher Garcia, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
I am in favor of Net Neutrality rules, and do not wish for large corporations to control and discriminate the access of the internet to their favor.
945. Paul kang, Warren, NJ, 07059  
The basic tenant of the internet itself is that it provides same accessibility by anyone and everywhere. This is the very foundation that has allowed the internet to become what it is today. The FCC exists to safeguard and protect the citizens and I ask that you hear the voice of the people and keep the net neutrality protections in place and uphold Title II. Broadband is necessary for the 21st century by all people and to have a handful of companies dictate how to distribute it is abhorring. Please do the right thing for the people. Thank you.
946. Elizabeth Bouwman, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Net neutrality is an important safeguard of personal freedoms. The internet is essential to our lives, and needs to be regulated in order to keep corporations from influencing the free expression of information that can be accessed. It is vital that the rules concerning net neutrality stay as they were written three years ago.
947. Karen J. Stark, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Preserving the freedom of equal access to the internet is an ABSOLUTE essential element. The idea that ISPs can, if they choose, advantage access to certain customers over others is UNACCEPTABLE. Clearly regulation has not interfered with the investment opportunities of the ISPs and promotes justice and equity to the consumers. The obligation of the FCC is the consumer, NOT the potential profit margins of the ISPs. Title II needs to be maintained and FCC Chair, Ajit Pai, aka the wolf in the chicken coop, needs to be shut down.
948. Jonathan Rosenberg, Gillette, NJ, 07933  
Net neutrality needs to be preserved at all costs.
949. Grant Gallagher, Bedminster, NJ, 07921  
I support support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not allow ISPs to choose the winners and losers of the internet. Removing this regulation only makes sense if a true open market for ISPs truly existed, allowing consumers to vote with their dollars, but many Americans are restricted to a single option for ISP's and therefore have no choice.
950. Arthur Schilder, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
Please continue Net Neutrality and Title 2 for Internet Service Providers!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
951. DAVID ROTHENBERG, WESTFIELD, NJ, 07090  
PLEASE SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2!
952. Afzal Mazhar, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
I support Net Neutrality rules under Title II ISP Supervision.
953. Adam Cohen, Stirling, NJ, 07980  
I support an open internet and urge you to preserve net neutrality and title 2.
954. Nicole Cohen, Stirling, NJ, 07980  
I support an open internet and urge you to preserve Net Neutrality by upholding title II
955. Devin Wendel, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Ajit please man don't do this, don't change ISP regulations. Title 2 is necessary and I like my net neutrality. I may just be an 11th grade

high schooler in a wealthy suburb in New Jersey, but this change will affect me drastically as there are monopolistic tendencies in my current neighborhood that deal with internet service providers. I know that you don't think that this will happen, but according to my economics class, businesses will first and foremost try to maximize profits, which entices people to watch a particular TV series instead of another will do. -Just a teenager

956. Catherine Leigh, Watchung, NJ, 07069  
I support net-neutrality and title 2
957. Collin Leigh, Watchung, NJ, 07069  
I support net-neutrality and title 2
958. Farhan Siddiqui, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I strongly support net neutrality and reject FCC chairman's attempt to gut net neutrality rules.
959. Sandra Curtis Noyelle, Princeton, NJ, 08540  
I urge you not to weaken the Obama-era rules regarding net neutrality. Please safeguard the net neutrality rules by keeping them strong.
960. Nancy L. Smoller, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II! It's only fair.
961. Anita Anderson, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
We support the net neutrality rules and title 2.
962. Kira Scala, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
I support strong regulation of net neutrality under title II.
963. Jennifer Su, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. I am a new mother to twins and this is a very important issue to me. Thank you, Jennifer L. Su
964. Rosa Javier, Annandale, NJ, 08801  
I want the government to preserve net neutrality under Title 2 regulations.
965. William Nash, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. This IS a utility that is just as vital to my life as electricity and telephone service, and I don't want to stifle competition by allowing ISPs to establish a "pay to play" tax on innovative companies. It's YOUR JOB to ensure that doesn't happen. You work for U.S. citizens - not corporations. We pay them for the bandwidth they provide. Let them charge me more if they can't do it profitably. Oh yeah, while I'm at it let there be more competition in the marketplace too. Many communities only have one high-speed ISP, which is ridiculous. Stop protecting ISPs and do your job for the American people.
966. Abigail Meola, 45 Burnt Hill Road, NJ, 08558  
I support net neutrality strongly regulated under title two
967. Danielle, Annandale, NJ, 08801  
Preserve Net Neutrality. It is very very important to me. Please and thank you.
968. Graham McGibbon, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Maintain net neutrality and Title 2 for ISPs
969. Audrey Adler, Whitehouse Station, NJ, 08889  
Please keep our net neutrality intact and supported by Title II. We can't rely on the big networks to have our interests at heart rather than their own.
970. Marji Adler; Audrey Adler, Whitehouse Station, NJ, 08889  
Dear FCC, please keep our net neutrality intact and preserve Title 2
971. Charlie Neiss, Watchung, NJ, 07069  
I support strong net neutrality protections under Title II. Preserve the current regulation
972. Tom Wing, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
As cyberspace quickly parallels or even surpasses physical roads and byways in importance and effect as regards the commerce of everyday life, it is incumbent upon the federal government to regulate these roads so as to favor the collective welfare over that of the particular. Therefore, strict net neutrality is the duty of the federal government.
973. Edgar Hess, Wharton, NJ, 07885  
I support net neutrality and maintenance of Title II.
974. Brett Buzby, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
Keep Title II regulation and FCC oversight of net neutrality!!!! DO NOT GIVE ISPs the power to control internet speeds or sell my internet activity history.
975. Graeme Morrison, Millburn, NJ, 07041  
It is my belief that the internet needs full and fair access regulations, this is only achievable by retaining oversight of ISPs via Title II

regulation - ISPs prior to the open internet legislation showed their disdain for consumers by inappropriate QOS scheduling of competing traffic DO NOT REDESIGNATE ISP COMPANIES AS TITLE I

976. Maryann Vermeulen, Clinton, NJ, 08809  
Please DO NOT REPEAL the current Internet Neutrality regulations! NO reclassification to Title I ! My ISP has a vested interest in their own products -- I don't trust them to fully support a free and open Internet.
977. Stephanie Maulit, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Please continue to govern net neutrality through Title II of code. I enjoy accessing my streaming content (Amazon Prime Video, Netflix, Hulu) at the same speed as my cable content. Verizon sued the FCC several years ago, stating that they would not continue to regulate Net Neutrality unless there were strict guidelines handed down from the FCC that told them to do so. Additionally, I wish to know that when I search for a product, I am getting all possible results and know that I am seeing all competitors, rather than having one company 'buy out' other companies. I like the free market, and hope the FCC will continue to support it through Net Neutrality.
978. Megan Gordon, Princeton, NJ, 08540  
Dear Mr. Pai:I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight. Sincerely,Megan GordonPrinceton, NJ
979. David deBang, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Thank you.
980. Tim Swaszek, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828  
The idea of returning to the previous classification of content carriers which would allow companies to limit access to internet sites and services based on content is so openly anti-consumer and rife with exploitation that it could only be proposed in good faith by an inept buffoon; or maliciously thrust through a corporate puppet. Allowing companies to "volunteer" to adhere to principles will ensure that no company will meet these regulations and the FTC will be utterly ineffective at curbing this behavior. No company can be compelled to adopt the values set forth if the Title ii protections are rolled back. This regulation is not limiting the growth of communication infrastructure and allowing ISPs to selectively slow down particular content providers will not created jobs. Roll back of the current classification will only hamper continual growth of internet services in the United States, of which we are already lacking. Perhaps we could stimulate growth if the ISP cabal ever competed with each other, but I'm sure we'll never tell.
981. Anastasia von Thaden, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Net Neutrality is a right. It deserves to be supported
982. Tim McNamara, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
You guys are being dicks
983. anil sishta, whitehouse station, NJ, 08889  
I fully support the current net neutrality laws and the changes being proposed are a poor decision.
984. David Dunkers, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
I support net neutrality please do not remove it
985. douglas guenther, mountainside, NJ, 07092  
maintain net neutrality and support title 2
986. Mary Murdock, High Bridge, NJ, 08829  
To the FCC, I am asking that you preserve net neutrality and keep Title II. You have a conflict of interest with your current leader in the FCC, and it would behoove you to actually remain neutral and not go after and attempt to change the current standing of net neutrality, or Title 2 for that matter. Respectfully submitted,Mary Murdock
987. Jacob Connolly, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
I continue to support strong net neutrality regulations including Title 2 regulations. So help me God if you guys try to take that away the people will revolt and there will be riots in the streets, But if you do go ahead and decide to repeal that s\*\*t, I only have one thing to say: Eat a plethora of d\*\*ks! Thank you and have a nice day
988. Cassie, Pottersville, NJ, 07979  
Preserve Title II and net neutrality protections.
989. Jon Eng, Livingston, NJ, 07939  
Please keep the Obama Era net neutrality rules. Regulations are needed to prevent ISPs from favoring internet content. A user should expect all content to be delivered equally without some content being artificially favored over others.
990. Amisha Mehta, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Please preserve net neutrality by maintaining strong Title II regulations.
991. Thomas Bedell, Califon, NJ, 07830  
I strongly support maintaining net neutrality through Title 2
992. Cecelia Sanborn, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I support Net Neutrality, do not remove ISPS from title 2
993. John LaSala, North Plainfield, NJ, 07063  
Mr. Pai, please be a force for good and preserve net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Help us stay on the right side of

history, man. This country is having hard enough time remaining the good guys. Please!

994. maintenance, North Branch, NJ, 08876  
no ...no ...no !!!
995. Emily Yan, Martinsville, NJ, 08836  
I support strong net neutrality protection, as backed by Title II
996. Raj Choudhary, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by tight oversight of Internet Service Providers.
997. Belenitsky Stanislav, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
I support strong net neutrality and wish to express the desire that all ISP be subject to TitleII restrictions. I pay them for the pipe not for content of the pipe.
998. Stephen Booth, Bloomsbury, NJ, 08804  
I believe in net neutrality and strong regulation under title II.
999. Matthew Ryan, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I am commenting to oppose changes to Net Neutrality regulations. By keeping ISPs under Title II, the FCC retains strong regulatory authority to enforce open and equal access to the internet. If this current standard is changed, it will essentially place internet service providers on the honor system. Unfortunately, past history has shown that when not strongly regulated, the major ISPs will show favor to individual companies in whom they have a mutual financial or marketing interest. History has also shown that in conflict with Director Ajit Pai's comments, the standing Net Neutrality policies and enforcement under Title II have not caused a decline in the expansion or maintenance of telecommunications infrastructure. Verizon in a call to investors December 9, 2014 acknowledged that Net Neutrality policy would have no affect on their infrastructure investment. I also feel that there is a conflict of interest between any Net Neutrality policy/regulation changes and Director Ajit Pai's past employment by Verizon, a company that historically has been one of the worst offenders in bias towards internet and technology companies in which they have a vested interest. Net Neutrality should remain as is, regulated under Title II as a utility, in the interest of fairness and consumer protection.
1000. Sean Henriques, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840  
Please enforce strong net neutrality regulations under Title II specification. The internet will not survive in its current form as an equalizer for all citizens if corporations are allowed to control access in arbitrary ways. To reiterate, PLEASE ENFORCE NET NEUTRALITY !!
1001. Joan bender, bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Please retain net neutrality and Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Just because the providers haven't done something yet, doesn't mean that they won't if they can make an extra dollar out of it, and now providers are creating content, which is a definite incentive for them. Thanks.
1002. John Ryniec, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I want Title 2 upheld.
1003. Francisco De Jesus, Wharton, NJ, 07885  
I strongly support net neutrality as described in Title II. Keep the internet fair for everyone.
1004. Frank Klausz 3rd, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Competition and freedom of choice requires that Title II be repealed. By forcing Net Neutrality on all providers, even those customers that don't want / require it will need to pay for it. Let providers compete via their offering and let the consumer choose. Healthy competition should prevail, not heavy regulation.
1005. Natalie Macon, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II. Please do take any and all action to support my position!Thank you!
1006. David Erdreich, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
Net neutrality is vital to innovation and companies should NOT be able to pay to have their service speed changed. The internet is a utility. Title II should remain as the legal basis for regulating the Internet. Net Neutrality makes the U.S.A. stronger.
1007. DAN ZENOWICH, Neshanic Station, NJ, 08853  
Maintain Net Neutrality! Do not let the large internet service providers -- Verizon, Comcast, etc. -- sell unequal access to the highest bidder or slow down the access and delivery of services and products from other content providers such as Netflix, Hulu, and others.
1008. Daniel Goldshlack, Mountainside, NJ, 07092  
Do not destroy the concept of net neutrality. It is essential to the internet, that new services and sites have a level playing field against those incumbents that would be more than able (and happy!) to pay for faster lanes and more capacity to service their own customers. ISPs should not have the power to throttle websites or services if they don't pay up their protection money. Protect the internet! Protect American innovation! Don't be a shill for special interests!
1009. steven, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
Do not remove the title 2 designation from our nation's broadband. Net Neutrality is NOT anti-innovation, it's anti-monopoly. Removing net neutrality would only benefit large corporations.
1010. Patricia Kaiser, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
The internet has become an integrated part of the way people live their lives. We rely on the internet for email, social connections, news,

commerce and a myriad of other purposes. Net Neutrality is critical to maintaining access to the internet. Imagine in a public square if some protestors were told they weren't allowed to gather because their sign wasn't big enough. That's the equivalent of what would happen across so many sectors of our lives if net neutrality isn't the law of the land.

1011. Rachel Pendergraph, Raritan, NJ, 08869  
I strongly support net neutrality as protected under Title Two regulations.
1012. net neutrality, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
I implore you please preserve net neutrality and title 2
1013. John P. Linderman, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Please retain Title II, the stronger form of net neutrality
1014. Anqi Xu, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
This is to tell Ajit Pai that I support strong net neutrality under Title II, because I would rather not have companies dictate what runs smoothly for me and what doesn't, thank you very much.
1015. berta, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
The ISPs should've remain classified under Title II cause net neutrality is at jeopardy otherwise so do your job and protect our rights as customers!
1016. Peter Arts, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
Leave the current, Title II net neutrality regulations in place. FCC Chairman Ajit Pai is wrong in stating that Title II is not in the best interests of the citizens of the USA. A repeal of current Title II regulations would only benefit the IPs, at the cost of an even playing field and consistent user experience for the citizens.
1017. Raphael Franchi, Mount Arlington, NJ, 07856  
Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality. Backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
1018. terynn young, flemington, NJ, 08822  
preserve fcc and title 2
1019. Wasson, Clinton, NJ, 08809  
Please do not change the net neutrality rules to allow ISPs to deliver services speed that differ for content as defined by themselves.
1020. Kelly Rummel, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
Hello FCC - Iâ€™m commenting to tell you that I personally and specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please see that this is accomplished. Thanks.
1021. Jason Pang, Ringoes, NJ, 08551  
I am writing to express my concerns and opposition to the FCC's future plans in regard to net neutrality. Moving net neutrality from Title II to Title I is a mistake. Consumers need to be protected and have the freedom to choose their own online services and website. Loosening the laws will result in internet providers abusing their power and limited choices for the consumers like me. Please be responsive to the American people and keep the current standards for net neutrality.
1022. david billard, North plainfield, NJ, 07060  
Protect neutrality.
1023. Nancy Phillips, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
I strongly urge to keep Net Neutrality as Title 2 outlined. It is very important that consumers are given protection from the various misuse any change might bring. Again, is am asking that you keep the internet free from unfair practices that major companies want to exploit.N Phillips
1024. Adam Straight, Summit, NJ, 07901  
It is of utmost importance that the FCC uphold net neutrality and Title II. Do not do anything to change or undermine these critical laws.
1025. James Glenn, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
Preserve Net Neutrality!!! Keep ISPs under Title 2. REESE's Stink! KIT KAT's 4 LYFE!!!!
1026. Bernard E. Groninger, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
As an ISP customer, and an Electrical Engineer, I believe that it is the customers best interest to keep ISP's in Chapter II.The oversight is needed to keep ISP's from curbing innovation from small companies, by limiting (degrading) access to any product that may affect their own business interests.
1027. william leigh, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
It's crumpled if passed disgusting. There is not technologically reason todo so
1028. Mariellen Keefe, Basking Ridge,, NJ, 07920  
I support strong net neutrality regulations of IPSs backed by Title II oversight! Thank you!
1029. Ronald Gorman, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Attn: Ajit Pai (FCC Chairman)....I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

1030. Mark Drude, High Bridge, NJ, 08829  
I am in favor of Title II and support net neutrality regulation.
1031. Craig J. Miklencic, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Please do not change the classification to ISP's back to Title 1. Please keep them Title 2 and continue to protect Net Neutrality as it is. This will allow all to have an equal voice in public discourse and keep the prices of content reasonable for all.
1032. Shawn Souto, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Dear FCC, I am writing you today because I am hoping that my voice actually counts. Net neutrality is the basis of a free internet. Please do not censor the internet.
1033. Jessica Muller, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
This is terrible for American values of freedom....I vehemently oppose this!
1034. Mel Cornin, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
I support strong Net neutrality regulations.
1035. paul smith, flemington, NJ, 08822  
I want to keep net neutrality law's as they are under title II.
1036. Calvin Roth, Succasunna, NJ, 07876  
I would like to maintain net neutrality under Title 2
1037. Susan halata, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Because I don't want someone's dollars affecting information delivery!
1038. John Ruhl, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
I use the 'Net' for communication, research, shopping and staying in touch with events. Not having open access makes us look like China or Russia, where the government has decided that certain people have more/better access than others, and where material on the "net" is controlled by the government! Keep our own 'Net' open, direct, and equal for all: defend Net Neutrality!
1039. Renata Cardinal, Watchung, NJ, 07069  
The free and equal flow of information is essential to democracy. Let's reach for our ideals!
1040. Phyllis Fast, Gillette, NJ, 07933  
The internet has become central to human interaction locally, nationally, and globally. We all support it with our taxes and custom and have become dependent upon it to conduct our education, our business, and our daily lives. It is a public utility. We all have an equal right to participate in decisions concerning it. Against great odds, we recently exercised that right and won legislation confirming it: the Net Neutrality law. Now, for the second time since last June, a handfull of megalomaniacs have secretly conspired to defy Net Neutrality and seize our internet, along with other shared resources, as their personal cash cow. The FCC must stop these attempts for good by upholding broadband's classification as a telecommunications service and a public utility protected by the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution. We are seniors who can barely afford Time Warner/Spectrum's overpriced broadcast cable TV and basic broadband. Their tier system already amounts to "pay to play." Please don't exclude us altogether by illegally handing electronic communication over to the elite. Without net neutrality, how can we conduct our lives? How can new business even get started?
1041. James Cavallo, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
It provides the means to stay in touch with friends and to be up to date on what is happening in the world that I care about. Well-informed citizens are the backbone of our Democracy!
1042. Margaret Ellis, Watchung, NJ, 07069  
Let's me stay in touch with my family and friends. Chance to grow by reading interesting articles and perspectives beyond the newspaper.
1043. Shelley Molnar, Warren, NJ, 07059  
Free speech and free press.
1044. H. March, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Stop trying to ruin the internet and equal opportunity.
1045. Judy Garceau, George Garceau, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
Keep internet neutrality. The current administration has done enough damage without ruining the internet.
1046. Richard, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
I STONGLY support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's
1047. Carole Ray, Christopher Ray, Jessica Ray and John Ray, Warren, NJ, 07059  
We are all in favor of strong net neutrality rules. We are appaled that Ajit Pai revealed plans to weaken Obama-era net neutrality rules that prevented internet service providers from favoring certain sites by intentionally speeding or slowing down user access. We are also appaled that Pai, appointed by Trump, previously worked at Verizon as a lawyer.
1048. Lori Boyd, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
Please do NOT eliminate Title II net neutrality rules. It is ridiculous to pretend that you think ISP providers will play fair on their own. KEEP Title II- Net neutrality!!!

1049. Khanh Chau, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I support Net neutrality. The Internet should not be controlled by Telecoms and ISPs. We should have open Internet access and the Internet should be regulated like a utility.
1050. Rick Florio, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I support Net Neutrality and TITLE II, and am opposed to rolling back to a lesser standard.
1051. Jacqueline Morales, Bedminster, NJ, 07921  
I support strong net neutrality legislation and keeping Title II Classification for ISPs.
1052. Bernadette Phillips, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2. We cannot trust ISP's to police themselves.
1053. kari, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
I strongly support net neutrality and consumer protections so that access to internet content is not manipulated or biased.
1054. John Morales, Bedminster, NJ, 07921  
I support strong net neutrality obligations and maintaining title ii classification of all ISPs.
1055. Debra Cimbalista, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
To Mr. Ajit Pai: I specifically support strong NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title II oversight. Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 is the most solid legal foundation we have right now for strong, enforceable net neutrality protections.
1056. Michael Stephen Zdepski, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
Preserve NET Neutrality and Title II designation. We do not trust a self-regulated internet provider system
1057. Carolina Drada, Clark, NJ, 07066  
I support Net neutrality. Please don't take away our unrestricted free internet access.
1058. Julio Arroyo, Clark, NJ, 07066  
I believe in a free and open internet. Keep our internet safe from corporate interest.
1059. David Arnold, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
We strongly support net neutrality and request the FCC doesn't roll back its internet protections.
1060. edward dippolito, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Please keep net neutrality and safeguard Title II
1061. chris g, Bedminster, NJ, 07921  
Title 2 and net neutrality are incredibly important to our society today. These measures ensure (enforce) ISPs to provide the same internet speed and quality for all content regardless of what other company may own or control that content. Since our society relies on the internet for not only entertainment, but more importantly, news and general information, it is that much more imperative that no company be allowed to tamper with how Americans get that content. It is not enough to ask ISPs to promise not to do these things then wait until we catch one of them doing something wrong. We must prevent it from being possible!
1062. Andrew Bannon, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Please maintain the Title II designation for all ISPs and clear net neutrality rules.
1063. Sebastian Tirado, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Net Neutrality is a right, not a privilege.
1064. Thomas Wozniak, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Please reconsider your stance on net neutrality. ISP's are not going to do the right thing and you're supposed to be an agency that advocates for the public. There is no logic to allowing ISP's to favor different content providers. Everything should be equal. Thank you for listening.
1065. Amanda Feldman, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
I would like the FCC to support net neutrality and Title 2.
1066. Ken Morris, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
I urge you to preserve net-neutrality and preserve Title 2. ISPs should not be in a position to control my access to content on the Internet.
1067. net neutrality, Chester, NJ, 07930  
Please keep our internet free and open. We must keep it as a public domain for everyone. Therefore, it is imperative that we keep ISPs under Title 2 regulation. As the FCC you have the job to protect our communications for the people of the United States. You work for us, and we want the internet free and open because the internet is not a company or a business but an integral part of our lives that is ever increasing. To protect net neutrality is to protect the democracy and equality that this nation was founded on. Please don't work for big business, work for us the people of the United States. Please contact me if you are by any means confused. I can help you. It's okay if you don't understand. apvarughese4@gmail.com
1068. Ross Tawiah, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840  
I would like net neutrality to be kept as is. I do NOT want it abolished, I don't want it removed. I don't want some 'new' thing put in

place that removes this current one entirely and puts favor more to ISPs than that of the consumer. I want freedom, I don't want to be put in a position where things are even harder and to give large ISPs an unfair advantage. They already have enough advantages. They don't need more. I want internet freedom and openness. I don't want that to change to something worse. I don't know what else to say, apart from how I may voice my opinion on this more. We'll see. But if I wasn't clear enough, I WANT NET NEUTRALITY. I WANT IT TO STAY. I DO NOT WANT IT ABOLISHED!! I WANT IT TO STAY! I DO NOT WANT IT REMOVED! I AM A HUMAN BEING. I'm not a bot, I'm REAL. Don't abolish Net Neutrality.

1069. Hank Freeman, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
I strongly support net neutrality and article 2!!
1070. Kyle Chen, Princeton, NJ, 08540  
I support strong Net Neutrality. As a computer scientist, internet freedom is a very important asset. So leaving internet accessibility up to internet providers will put a strain on our work.
1071. Akash Sardar, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Keep net neutrality under title II. A free and open internet is central to our democracy. It allows small businesses to compete against large companies, it ensures freedom of information and freedom of speech for all citizens. ISPs should not have the power to decide what information we get, or what companies we support. Keeping net neutrality under title II regulations is essential for preventing this from happening. Please consider supporting the people of this country, and not the large corporations that are the only ones to benefit from this. Keep net neutrality under title II
1072. Stephen Lee, Warren, NJ, 07059  
I specifically support strong net neutrality and an open Internet for all competing businesses, without the intervention of ISPs, backed by its Title II oversight over ISPs.
1073. Maritza Rosas, Union, NJ, 07083  
I support Net Neutrality and Title 2!!!
1074. John Wisinger, Warren, NJ, 07059  
I support net neutrality and the coverage of ISPs under Title II.
1075. Elissa Klotz, Union, NJ, 07083  
I support net neutrality, and fully support Title II.
1076. net neutrality, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
PRESERVE NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2.
1077. Austin Oliver, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 regulations, to move to title 1 regulations would be an unconscionable crime against the American people. Ajit Pai, on the off chance this somehow makes it to your desk, you are a morally bankrupt and repulsive human being who is not worth the ceramic that was used to make your ridiculous cup.
1078. Ann DeFries, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
The FCC must preserve internet neutrality!! Our country should not allow access to information and broadband width and speed to be sold by corporations to the highest bidder!! It's too important to make those resources widely available for all citizens!
1079. shawn mccauley, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840  
I strongly support net neutrality laws backed by ISP's.
1080. Peter Balogh, Mount Arlington, NJ, 07856  
Please do not dismantle net neutrality. I understand the feeling that over-regulation is hurting us, but some regulations do provide a good service to our country. Keeping the net neutrality rules in place simply helps to ensure the internet remains free. Sure, you could dismantle the net neutrality rules thinking that the basic spirit behind it will remain in place, so we don't need regulations to enforce it. But what is it hurting to keep it in place? Yes the argument can be made for negative effects on business, but arguments can also be made to the contrary. Why not just be safe and keep the regulation in place? Balance is essential to maintaining a healthy democracy. These regulations simply provide a check on the businesses supplying the internet to not provide content that in any way promotes their interests over that of the user.
1081. David Le, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I support strong Net Neutrality rules and to keep all ISP's regulated under Title 2.
1082. Marc Rosenberg, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Net Neutrality must be maintained. IPS's must be regulated in this matter under Title II. This is the only way we can prevent predatory manipulating of equal access to the Internet for all.
1083. Whitney Chu, Warren, NJ, 07059  
I like internet freedom very much. If the FCC likes internet freedom as well, please do not tamper with current regulations on net neutrality. I think title II of the telecommunications act is wonderful as it is.
1084. Matthew Curry, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I support net neutrality. Keep ISPs in title II recognition. Also, why is a former Verizon lawyer in charge of the FCC, that makes as much sense as a peach with a wig for president. Oh.

1085. Michelle Cohn, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
I am against suspending net neutrality and title II oversight.
1086. Somers, Milford, NJ, 08848  
I strongly support net neutrality with Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Keep the internet neutral! Without Title 2 protections the internet is critically vulnerable to for profit manipulation!!! Manipulation that once allowed will be hard to undo. Thank you.
1087. Kelsey Harder, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Leave the classification of internet service providers where it is. Changing it would allow them to give a bias to certain websites. While it is understandable that companies should have freedom to work as they please, we the people whom you have are supposed to serve believe that the internet should have the right to be laissez-faire. Please leave the I.S.P.s under Title II.
1088. Marianne Andreach, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Strong net neutrality and Title 2 classification of Internet Service Providers must be preserved!
1089. H, Milford, NJ, 08848  
Please understand that the title II for ISP providers is essential to keeping the internet on a level playing field. By moving back to Title I or creating something new would give all the power back to people who see profit as the only driving force for content. Do not do this.
1090. Michael Tolleth, Gillette, NJ, 07933  
Preserve strong net neutrality protection under Section 2 regulation of ISPs. Broadband service is a public utility. ISPs are natural monopolies (or in some relatively rare cases duopolies) in their service territories. They should be regulated as public utilities to prevent them from overcharging consumers and potentially discriminating among content providers.
1091. Tracy Claus, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I would urge the FCC to preserve Net Neutrality and to preserve the "Open Internet" where every ISP is treated equally. Without net neutrality, ISPs will be able to discriminate against the data allowed through the Internet connections where some providers will be "preferred" by getting faster speeds. I would urge you to keep ISPs classified as common carriers, and to have broadband Internet recognized as a utility.
1092. Stephen Thompson, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
I support the concept of net neutrality and believe that ISP's should be subject to Title II regulations.
1093. Stephanie Moffett, Glen Gardner, NJ, 08826  
Please preserve net neutrality rules and the Title II authority used to enforce them. As a small business owner and someone working on building an internet-based company, there is no way for me to compete with the deep pockets of the companies I'm hoping to disrupt if they can kill me off by simply paying a bit more money to the ISPs to force my service onto the slow lanes.
1094. Mark Hall, Glen Gardner, NJ, 08826  
I strongly support net neutrality and believe that Title 2 regulations should remain on all internet service providers.
1095. Kristie Keith-Hall, Glen Gardner, NJ, 08826  
I want net neutrality and I would like the internet to remain under Title 2 regulations. All users should have even and level access and speed to assure all of the citizens of this country have a level playing field. Countries with far fewer resources are able to provide this basic infrastructure to their citizens and so should the United States.
1096. Samantha Bono, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I plea with the FCC to utilize all of its collective wisdom, experience and authority to ensure Title 2 laws, regulations and restrictions stay in place and are enforced with regards to the internet and "net neutrality". I, a US citizen of legal voting age, strongly protest any and all actions taken to allow any privatized company to impede access to the internet. This would affect all individuals in the US and globally and cause damage that would take decades to repair. Please FCC and all elected representatives weighing in: Support "net neutrality", support Title 2. -- Thank you kindly.
1097. Thomas A. Przybrowski, Mountainside, NJ, 07092  
As a nation, we must keep net neutrality alive. Keep the requirements in Title II in place. I feel we should take it even one step further and regulate ISP's the same way we regulate other utilities such as water, gas and electric. In NJ, the Board of Public Utilities is the one ace in the hole that consumers have. The internet is such an integral part of all our lives. For so few companies to have a stranglehold on the pipeline and stifle competition is wrong and un-democratic.
1098. Jonathan R J Openshaw, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I strongly support net neutrality and title 2 regulation. Shifting the burden to the FTC, provided the FTC even retains the power to prosecute such entities, shifts the burden unfairly and foolishly towards after the fact regulation instead of setting basic rules.
1099. Hugh MacNiven, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I am opposed to removing the current regulation enforcing the use of Title II to maintain net neutrality.
1100. Wayne S. Augenstein, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
I am in favor of net neutrality. Do not disturb net neutrality. Keep net neutrality in full force and effect. It's a matter of fairness and equality. It's very important that net neutrality be maintained.
1101. net neutrality, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Please fight the corporate greed. Keep Title 2 and the net neutrality.

1102. Nancy MacNiven, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I am opposed to changing the rules that use Title II to enforce net neutrality
1103. Devlin Murray, Asbury, NJ, 08802  
I strongly support preserving net neutrality and Title II. I don't think that voluntarily pinkie swearing to not compromise the internet and their customer's ability to access it in search of financial gains is a strong enough protection against Internet providers. Since the internet has become so fundamental to a functioning society today, removing regulations has the potential to cause so much harm to citizens.
1104. Norman E. Moffett III, Glen Gardner, NJ, 08826  
I support ISP companies being regulated under Title II.
1105. john salaki jr, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Preserve net neutrality and title 2 of telecom act.
1106. Morgana Sommer, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
I support strong legislation that regulates internet service providers and protects net neutrality
1107. Eric Zimmerman, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Please preserve net neutrality. It would be extremely foolish to rollback the laws put forth. ISPs must stay classified as common carriers under the title 2 regulation. Net neutrality protects consumers and keeps the internet a level playing field. If we were to repeal these laws ISPs would have too much power
1108. John LaVecchia, clark, NJ, 07066  
Net neutrality should be enforced under Title 2 oversight of internet service providers. I strongly support net neutrality.
1109. David Pratt, North Plainfield, NJ, 07062  
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Please roll back Title II. Regards, David Pratt 108 Farragut Rd North Plainfield, NJ 07062"
1110. Eric J. Marcy, Sr., Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
I support Net Neutrality and you should too. I support Title II and you should too. Do not sell out to corporate interests. This is about fair access to content. You should be ashamed of even considering selling out to large internet providers. Shame on you and the idiot Ajit Pai that now is the Chair of the FCC - he is a corporate stooge. Hey Pai, go back to Verizon, or, are you still on their payroll? FIRE AJIT PAI - he is a clown, a contemptible toady. Sad.
1111. Robert Hoehn, Skillman, NJ, 08558  
Repeal the Net Neutrality of the Obama administration. This is a give away to Google and Netflix and others. They didn't build these assets and don't own them, yet net neutrality allows them to control the assets they don't own. Google and the like already have too much knowledge of what we do, we should restrict their getting any more access.
1112. Linda & Michael Goldman, Rocky Hill, NJ, 08553  
We believe that the internet should be equally open and available to all content providers, and the isp's should not be able to pick and choose what content and which providers should be given preferential treatment. The internet should be treated as a regulated public utility treating all providers equally.
1113. Scott Hotchkin, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
One of the biggest concerns I see is when someone is in a position to set policy, such as Ajit Pai, who so obviously do not act in the interests of the public. The is obviously endemic in all of Washington, but it needs to end. We see exactly who you are, and understand fully the utter corruption you represent. I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
1114. John Clark, Warren, NJ, 07059  
The internet has taken the place of the public square as the marketplace of ideas. Attempts to control and limit content within it in any respect are tantamount to interference in the practice of free speech. A government agency endangers democracy when it names a proceeding with a positive-sounding title (i.e., Restoring Internet Freedom) as it works to legitimize external control of the flow of information and ideas over the internet. No longer living in the state of affairs that characterized what the founders knew, we need to adapt their vision to the affairs that exist today. One cannot imagine them ceding any power over the free expression of ideas to corporations. One can imagine them guaranteeing to citizens, however, unfettered access to the most open means of communication that makes the internet the equivalent of their public squares, the place where people could express ideas openly, to any who would listen. The FCC's current attempts represent an insult to that idea of free and open expression, represent harm to the principles on which our democracy is based.
1115. Justina Anise, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
I strongly support net neutrality. I believe that there should be equal access to data and that all internet traffic should be treated as equal. It would be immoral and unfair to roll back net neutrality. Please respect the needs of the people...not the corporations!
1116. Sazzu J Landa, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
I want net neutrality to continue to ensure freedom from companies picking which services they will run through faster than others. We have a right to choose ourselves how to use the internet without the influence of companies doing it for us.
1117. David Fernandes, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Internet access for all! If so much of the modern world depends on the internet, it should not be restricted to people.
1118. Adam Kurland, Warren, NJ, 07059

I strongly and specifically support Net Neutrality, as outlined by Title II regulations of the Communications Act of 1934.

1119. Daniel Tarantula, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Less regulation in this area opens the potential for corporations to throttle and control the access to content and information. In the global era of commerce and thought, our ability to connect with others around the world should be protected. Additionally, the proposed plan to have ISPs promise not to slow connections to content is insulting. A promise is only a comfort to a fool, and I cannot help but feel you think the American people fools by introducing this bill. Do right by the American people and humanity's progress to a brighter future, keep net neutrality intact and keep ISPs under Title 2.

1120. Jennifer Ponton, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828

The preservation of net neutrality is critical for fair and equal access, both for civilians and small businesses. Startups and smaller businesses are reliant upon affordable and unfettered internet access, as are millions of civilians who seek out education, jobs and connections through the many tools that the internet provides. Net neutrality is not only fair policy, but it also helps economic growth. To do otherwise would simply help widen the gap between the haves and have-nots. Net neutrality must be maintained.

1121. Heather Miller, New Providence, NJ, 07974

It worries me that this suggested change to our internet laws will give ISPs the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest of us. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem - one that the FCC cannot ignore as it proposes to leave even more of the power in the ISPs' hands. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small, cutting back on innovation - the lifeblood of business growth now and in the future. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. This will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Of even greater concern, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. Please do not give such power to corporations that have already proven they are not fit to wield it. Thank you.

1122. Anna, Flemington, NJ, 08822

I highly disagree with removing the protections of net neutrality. I urge you to reconsider what this means for the average person, startup, small business, etc.

1123. Melissa Wolferz, Flemington, NJ, 08822

I strongly support maintaining net neutrality rules. I pay for internet service and therefore should be able to access any website I want whenever I want. Rolling back net neutrality would also put small businesses at a great disadvantage. Our government should be supporting small businesses, not hindering their growth.

1124. Erin Kelley, High Bridge, NJ, 08802

I am full support of a free and open internet backed by title 2. The internet is a unique place where the only criteria for success is the quality of your idea and website. This benefits both startups and internet users. It is imperative the internet remains free and open under title 2. Thank you!

1125. Ryan, Clinton, NJ, 08809

I ABSOLUTELY AND 1,000,000,000,000,000% REJECT any action to remove net Neutrality or getting rid of any Policy protecting the Open Internet. I live in the USA not Russia, China, Singapore, or Korea or some other police state. I do NOT want my internet usage controlled by a third party. I want to be able to go on whatever website I want equally and not be forced to not use certain sites or be forced to pay more (which is EXTORTION) simply because an internet Provider or ISP decides what they want me to view. I do not want to have my use restricted because some Parasitic corporation lobbied and paid the government money to get their way. DO NOT REMOVE ANY NET NEUTRALITY RULES, REGULATIONS OR OTHER PROTECTIONS OF THE FREE AND OPEN INTERNET. It is Mankind's Greatest Achievement Do NOT DESTROY IT because some company got greedy and decided to use its monopolies to treat consumers like trash, because they think its their rights.

1126. Corine Borrero, Succasunna, NJ, 07876

This proposal is actually "destroy internet freedom." Maintain ISPs' Title II classification. I don't want my isp to be able to throttle or give favorable status to certain websites. This is not freedom.

1127. Joseph Gregory Miranda, Union, NJ, 07083

The internet should be kept neutral.

1128. Joseph Minitelli, Cranford, NJ, 07016

The internet should be treated like a utility. I will never vote for a politician unless they are in favor of net neutrality.

1129. Mira Wattal, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844

I'm writing in favor of net neutrality. A free and open internet is an American internet.

1130. Retain Net Neutrality, Somerville, NJ, 08876

I am a business owner of 28 years. I use the Internet extensively for my consulting and training business. Please stop ISPs from blocking net neutrality. My work is not like watching TV. I don't know in advance what I will be researching nor should I have to pay for packages I have no interest in. PLEASE keep the Internet neutral and open for total access, learning, and continued business success.

1131. Deidre Sheehan, Ringoes, NJ, 08551  
I am very concerned that abandoning net neutrality will harm small companies and entrepreneurs, preventing them from competing on a level playing field against big cable and wireless companies. Without net neutrality, the incumbents who provide access to the Internet would be able to pick winners or losers in the market. They could impede traffic from small services in order to favor their own services or established competitors. Or they could impose new tolls on smaller companies, inhibiting consumer choice.
1132. Margaret Grochalska, Wharton, NJ, 07885  
Do not eliminate net neutrality, it is not a burden and protects everyone's equal access. Frankly, it is quite obvious that it is a grab by large business to control and manage content distribution. You would do a disservice to the country and potentially stifle innovation.
1133. Joseph Cusick, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
I do not support dismantling the net neutrality regulations and believe that internet service providers (ISPs) should be classified as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
1134. Jeffrey L. Murdoch, Stewartsville, NJ, 08886  
I am strongly for Net Neutrality. I want to keep in place the regulations on this industry that keep my rights to equitable access in place. I understand that regulations on this industry protect that set of rights. Rolling back these regulations will actively destroy those rights. This industry makes enough money already, and they don't need to own my information as well. This is America. Protect your constituents, even those who don't understand this issue. Your integrity is the only thing protecting them from unregulated avarice.
1135. Glenn White, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
I am asking you to keep broadband classified as Title II. I also urge you to keep the Bright Line Rules that prohibit ISP's from blocking or slowing down access to content and services. My small business relies on consistent access to high speed internet. Any attempt by my ISP to throttle speeds or limit access would be devastating to us. Consumers deserve the protections that are currently in place. In this day and age the internet is a utility just as much as phone and electric service. Any attempt by the ISP's opposed to the rules, to undermine them is simply an effort to pave the way for more profits. Thank you
1136. David I. Richardson, Califon, NJ, 07830  
I believe that changing the method of enforcement as proposed in this rule will again lead to discrimination in access to content. I live in an area served by only one high speed carrier who has in the past discriminated against content.. There is every reason to believe that this new proposal will enable a return to the same kind of discrimination. With only a single carrier, as is true in many parts of the country, there is no "free market" pressure that can be brought to bear.
1137. William Schwab, Faldners, NJ, 07836  
I am strongly against the repealing of Title II and letting freedom on the internet take a back seat to the corporate monopolies.
1138. thomas bigosinski, westfield, NJ, 07090  
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality. I want Title II oversight of ISPs.
1139. Michael McMorrow, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
Our democracy and free enterprise system both require that we retain net neutrality. Please vote to maintain the level of regulation and oversight necessary to ensure a continued level playing field for all content providers and consumers. Do not allow a small number of huge internet service providers and wireless carriers (ISPs) to filter, prioritize, block, favor, throttle, or otherwise regulate content or delivery speed based on their own economic, political, religious, or philosophical interests. Regulations undermining net neutrality that support the short-term interests of such enterprises will ultimately stifle the broad-based creativity, innovation, and economic risk-taking which have been the engines of growth in the US since our founding and are still today the major differentiator between the US and other advanced economies. You are aware that for all practical purposes, most ISPs already enjoy a monopolistic or at worst (for them) oligarchic environment in the markets which they serve. They don't require and would likely abuse the additional leverage and pricing power that removal of net neutrality regulations would provide to them. I make this statement as a shareholder of such enterprises. In today's information and knowledge based society, the internet and its delivery mechanisms are as fundamental and important an element of infrastructure as our power grid and transportation infrastructure. Internet access is already a toll road, we can't have a limited access highway with private companies censoring on and off ramps. Importantly, net neutrality is not about the internet as an entertainment delivery system even though neutrality or lack of it may advantage either ISPs or content providers in some circumstances. The frequent emphasis on this aspect of internet capability trivializes and distracts from the more critical function of unfettered access to the news and information which enables and even encourages the ability to be an informed citizen, lifelong learner, and contributor to our community. Again, please do all you can to maintain net neutrality.
1140. William Yurgel, Glen Gardner, NJ, 08826  
Support strong net neutrality staying as title 2 !!
1141. Gerald Goldberg, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
Turning back the hands of Net Neutrality is abhorrent. This is not China. Allowing big companies that we are locked into by means of our contracts with them or theirs with you and the local communities to control and sell more information and to throttle lines is against all the rules of an open society. This will, as in China, lead to pirate providers, hacking, and the general demise of the WEB. To even think this is good for this Country is extremely short sighted thinking.
1142. Chris McGrath, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
Moving ISPs back under Title 1 is clearly not in the best interests of consumers. Title 2 has not impacted the level of investment in infrastructure per the statements of the representatives of the large ISPs, and giving these companies the ability to choose the winners and losers for content and skew the marketplace in their favor is un-American and against our principles of a free marketplace. This looks like simply a big giveaway to Verizon, Comcast and others from a former Verizon attorney. The FCC should be ashamed of itself if it moves forward in eliminating net-neutrality.

1143. Scott Misha, Landing, NJ, 07850  
Please keep Internet providers at Title II. I disagree with moving them to Title I. I believe net neutrality is extremely important and in its present state provides adequate Net Neutrality. No change is needed. Please do not try to fix something that is not broken.
1144. William Allen IV, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. And I don't like that Ajit Pai is actively trying to ignore the vast majority of people who share my opinion.
1145. Melanie Vayda, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Please look out for the interest of the citizens of the US and not corporations. Keep our internet open and free by maintaining enforcement of Title Two and Net Neutrality.
1146. John Payne, Clark, NJ, 07066  
Without net neutrality rules in place, ISPs like Verizon and Comcast can prevent users from visiting some websites, provide slower speeds for services like Netflix and Hulu, or even redirect users from one website to a competing website. Net neutrality rules prevent this by requiring ISPs to connect users to all lawful content on the internet equally, without giving preferential treatment to certain sites or services. That's why we need net neutrality.
1147. Antonio Vazquez, Union, NJ, 08857  
I support net neutrality and title 2. If you truly speak for Americans then you will listen to everyone. The FCC should preserve title 2 and its oversight of net neutrality.
1148. Thomas Sabado, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I am in support of net neutrality and Title 2. Please do not allow ISPs and corporations handicap my access to an open internet.  
#gofccyourself
1149. Thomas Sabado, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I am in strong support of net neutrality and Title 2. #gofccyourself Please protect consumers and do not line the pockets of ISPs and corporations.
1150. Eleanor Scott, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
I strongly support net neutrality and strong regulation of internet service providers. ISPs should be included in title two of the communications act and be held to title two's rules.
1151. Greg Linde, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
I strongly support the Title 2 classification of the internet
1152. Denise Barone, Mountainside, NJ, 07092  
I fully support net neutrality. Please do not dismantle the framework protecting net neutrality
1153. Joshua Wainer, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I fully support a free and open Internet, so I endorse Net Neutrality's Title II designation. Removing it and replacing it with Title I designation would have disastrous consequences on the free flow of digital information.
1154. Nancy Sun, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I fully support Net Neutrality's Title II designation. Reverting it back to Title I will have disastrous consequences to the free and open flow of digital information.
1155. Matthew Perkins, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
Net neutrality is an excellent check against corporations who are already charging us exorbitant amounts compared to other countries around the world. Please keep it in place before things get even worse
1156. Clare Deming, Garwood, NJ, 07027  
Please DO NOT repeal the current net neutrality rules!
1157. Margaret A. Ellis, Watchung, NJ, 07069  
Net Neutrality is essential to the open exchange of ideas on which our democracy depends. Preserve Net Neutrality!
1158. Jason Grimard, Stockton, NJ, 08559  
Keep the internet open. Do your job protect the people.
1159. Sharmila Fuller, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
Good morning, Please do not gut Net Neutrality and please do maintain a strong enforcement of Title Two. Free and open access to the internet is vital to the functioning of our modern society. Without a strong enforcement of Title Two and Net Neutrality, then Internet Service Providers (ISPs) will be completely within their rights to throttle access to web content that they want, be it Netflix, CNN, a Search Engine, a University, or even a local school. In effect, the ISP will be able to pick and choose who wins on the internet and impose tolls upon anyone wishing to provide content to the ISP's customers, or more accurately, extort blackmail, and it will be completely legalized blackmail. Worse, the demise of Net Neutrality will offer ISPs the opportunity to cripple innovation by throttling to the point of unusability if not outright blocking of competitors, a practice that has already been undertaken, so that the ISP can promote their own competing product OR the product of a 3rd party that is paying the ISP to throttle their competition. The demise of Net Neutrality offers an endless array of possibilities that will only serve to harm our modern society. So please, keep Net Neutrality in place.

1160. Net Neutrality, Mountainside, NJ, 07092  
Net Neutrality is the foundation of innovation on the internet. To support freedom and entrepreneurship this bill should be opposed.
1161. Chrystian Tigeleiro, Union, NJ, 07083  
Accessing the internet is a big part of most people's modern life. Already, we have issues with internet-provider monopolies, even in major cities where provider options should be plentiful. Giving more power to the ISPs will not make for a better internet. They have frequently shown that they work in their shareholder's best interest, not the public to which they serve. Calling the act "Restoring Internet Freedom" is a joke; reversing Title 2 goes against everything that is "free" about the internet. The public outcry has been rampant against this change; this is one of those rare issues where people on both sides of the political spectrum seem to be in agreement. You cannot ignore this in order to line the pockets of big companies already posting huge profits.
1162. Christine A. Mosquera, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
The open internet must be preserved and is not open for the financial gain of corporations. The actions of the current Administration demonstrate that they do not make decisions to protect the working class or the poor. Big corporations will not voluntarily police themselves and offer protections to ensure free, and reasonably fast access to the internet. Net neutrality must remain. Voters will not accept anymore regulatory dismantling which minimizes their protection and ability to access the internet as is - this is not going to create, jobs, jobs, jobs. Stop marginalizing the population.
1163. Marcy Duarte, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I am in favor of preserving net neutrality and title 2!
1164. Debra Swahn, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and backed by Title Two oversight of ISPs
1165. Tom Swahn, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title Two oversight of ISPs
1166. Carolyn Whitlock, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
I support regulating internet access as a common carrier (i.e. a utility), which implies net neutrality. The internet has been a democratizing force for getting out new ideas; any regulation in this regard will favour larger, established companies over new companies, artists or people who want to talk in a way that can't be readily commercialized. I also do not think that Comcast's blatant astroturfing attempt should be rewarded.
1167. Robert Tommaselli, Martinsville, NJ, 08836  
Regarding net neutrality I ask you to not roll back the Title II Order. I believe that Net Neutrality is required to keep a free and open internet. I feel that unless Internet Service Providers (ISP) are regulated to provide net neutrality they will sell bandwidth to the highest paying corporations/companies and this will choke out smaller companies that can not pay for preferred network traffic priority when flowing through their systems.
1168. Chris Chanco, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Do not repeal current net neutrality regulations under Title 2. Current net neutrality rules prevent ISPs from rigging the system. Rolling back regulations would hurt everyone.
1169. Morgan Sun, Millburn, NJ, 07041  
I'm moving to Canada to go to university at UToronto, and the horror show that is the FCC under Ajit Pai is one of the reasons I'm choosing to do so.
1170. robert baker, Gladstone, NJ, 07934  
I DO NOT support any changes to net neutrality.
1171. Against, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
Reversing current policies that protect net neutrality would be detrimental to everyone but Internet Service Providers and the already rich internet corporations like Netflix and Facebook. If websites are able to buy the ability for their websites to run better and act faster through these ISPs, they gain an unfair advantage over everyone else. Any startup effort is inherently disadvantaged, and the voices of disenfranchised groups, which have found new power through the internet in this technological age, would be further oppress the poor and subjugated. To champion this using free market axioms and as "restoring internet freedom" is incredibly deceiving and/or misinformed. I suggest reading Robert Reich's "Saving Capitalism." This proposed repeal of net neutrality is the archetype of what he describes there: politicians and corporations espouse free-market ideals really as a means to loosen restrictions that keep the market fair and whose removal allows for the development of oligopolies and monopolies. However, I feel that this particular instance is so much worse because of the disenfranchising capacities that the bill would have on the hundreds of millions of people in the U.S. that wouldn't be able to pay for faster speeds. I beg you to maintain the FCC's policy of protecting net neutrality.
1172. bennett hermann, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Keep net neutrality and title 2 you idiots
1173. Michael Williams, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Keep Title II you slimebags. You all know what it means but you're too much of cowards and slaves to your own self interest to keep a bill that benefits everyone in this country. Keep Title II and net neutrality or I'll kick you in the nuts.
1174. Jaime Boyaca, Jr., Flemington, NJ, 08822  
I am against the Restoring Internet Freedom Act as it's very title is a misnomer. Please keep Net Neutrality in order.

1175. Ann KlauberAnn, HILLSBOROUGH, NJ, 08844  
Please uphold net neutrality. Removal of these regs will be detrimental to all users. Like everything else the Trump admin is doing, this is to the benefit of big business, and nothing else.
1176. Caravaggio Loria, Stockton, NJ, 08559  
Dear Ajit Pai, Please preserve net neutrality. Please preserve title II classification of ISP's. The internet should not be pay to play. Every website should be allowed access to the internet at the same speeds, the same way a highway allows every American access. There are many reasons to not roll back these regulations. I'll list 2 here, because I'm sure many other Americans will take the time to point out others. It increases commerce, and allows small businesses to thrive, and compete against larger corporations. This competition keeps corporations honest, and improves the quality of their products. It allows us to gain access to different publications, television shows, and news outlets, which in turn inspires everyday Americans to innovate and improve the world around us. Don't kill American small business. Don't squash smaller media. These regulations are good for America. Thank you.
1177. Stephen Rice, Wharton, NJ, 07885  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and do not want to see it repealed.
1178. Dillon White, Denville, NJ, 07830  
Title II classification should not be removed from ISPs. Internet is rapidly becoming a basic human right, and to give the corporations the ability to censor it through charging for certain sites or throttling bandwidth for dissenting opinions is outrageous.
1179. Dan D, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Dear FCC, Title 2 oversight is the only true way to obtain net neutrality. Please don't fcc this up. Cheers Dan
1180. Private American Citizen, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Stop selling out American consumers to businesses! The country is by and for the PEOPLE, not corporate profits at all costs. What the F^cK is wrong with you????
1181. Michael, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
I fully support tough protection of Net Neutrality under Title II. To weaken this law is to invite corporations to take advantage of people, like they do on Wall St. and in healthcare. I also do not appreciate the new chairman playing dumb about the very real consequences of loosening restrictions. And stick to a regular sized mug.
1182. Michail Z Hack, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840  
The Internet has been so successful because it has been neutral. A neutral marketplace Ideas, a place where small innovative businesses can compete with older, well -established dinosaurs. It has thrived precisely because it has been neutral. Keep it that way! Keep America strong and don't cave in to big money interests.
1183. Richard Millman, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
Net neutrality must continue to be under Title II. As is evidenced by the Supreme Court, moving net Neutrality to Title II was the only way to make the net neutrality rules enforceable. Large corporations cannot monitor themselves, anymore than the fox should monitor the hen house. Big corporations are beholden to their stockholders, not the public or even their customers. In most areas, there is little competition for Internet services, as such, stating that competitive forces are enough is an incorrect assumption. Additionally, as the Internet provider expand their reach and suite of offerings, it will be harder for them to justify not favoring their own services above others. Keep net neutrality in place. There is no evidence that these rules will reduce infrastructure spending or growth.
1184. Sophie Brennan, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I strongly support maintaining the current net neutrality laws. I am against any changes.
1185. Nita Shah, Ledgewood, NJ, 07852  
I support net neutrality since I support free speech and equal opportunity for expression for all.
1186. Richard, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. Net neutrality has been affirmed by the courts. Please keep it this way.
1187. David Miller, Wharton, NJ, 07885  
My wife and I support preserving the net neutrality rules and Title II.
1188. Narendra, Millburn, NJ, 07041  
ISP are oligopolies - Enforce Net Neutrality under Title 2 - why would you allow oligopolies to set prices "voluntarily" - it is in their economic interest to gouge consumers. Why are we debating this issue which is essentially anti-free market in that it creates barrier to entry for new more efficient firms trying to reach consumers via internet? Ajit Pai's background as a former Verizon lawyer is a prima facie reason to question his motivation (though I cannot get into his head to see what his motives are). I hope the average US citizen sees through the sophistry of those making arguments to demolish net neutrality. What a shame we are discussing this in 2017 - it puts US at a competitive disadvantage relative to rest of the world. I thought this issue was settled in 2015.
1189. Alex B., Garbage City, NJ, 08826  
Don't do it. If you need to deregulate something, deregulate coffee mugs; we all need at least one gallon of coffee every morning.
1190. Joseph M Weiner, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
My opinion is Title 2 overbite is required for Network Neutrality. I believe the FCC must enforce Net Neutrality through Title 2. I believe it would be irresponsible to expect network providers to provide Network Neutrality without FCC enforcement.

1191. Frank Ryan Duggan, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
The internet has become a force for good. The fact that anyone can pay a small monthly or yearly fee to own a website which is freely available for all to see is a great achievement of our society. It has allowed artists to share their work, and it has vastly increased the average individual's access to knowledge. If net neutrality is compromised, this will quickly die as service providers begin to charge additional fees for website owners to have their sites be accessible. Many website owners make little to no revenue off of their websites, and would not be able to afford these fees. This includes small business owners as well as independent artists living off of ad revenue and sales of merchandise for their content, both of whom may be forced to cease operations. The internet has brought people together and enabled the spread of ideas and culture, and will continue to do so as long as net neutrality is intact. Please listen to myself as well as the other countless, real American citizens asking you to let us have this.
1192. Tuan Anh H Nguyen, pringfield, NJ, 07081  
Not like this
1193. J. Barry Mascari, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
Your commission was primarily established to regulate a growing communications industry, not favor it over consumers. By handing control of the internet to large corporations I believe you are in violation the First Amendment, curtailing free speech. A government, and by extension your commission, is here to protect citizens, many of whom are not powerful or wealthy, and to insure their exercise of free speech. This change threatens the access to news, which by extension violates freedom of the press. We look to government to protect us, otherwise why have a government? Please reconsider this proposal that could have unintended consequences for our democracy and ordinary, less privileged citizens.
1194. Stephen Feldman, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
I urge you to support Net Neutrality by enforcing it under Title II. Under Title I ISPs did seek to favor their own products over those of their competitors. For example, when T-Mobile blocked Google Wallet in favor of their own product, ISIS. Or, when Comcast shook down Netflix to stop slowing its traffic. The claim that regulating ISPs under Title II slowed infrastructure investment is not supported by public statements made by ISPs to their shareholders. The claim that criticisms of regulating ISPs under Title I are simply hypothetical is not supported by the facts - these things did happen. Further, the fact that no consumer focused groups, nor any internet freedom groups, back the current proposal to regulate ISPs under Title I, is in stark contrast to the ISPs which seem to be the only ones who support it. Finally, the fact that the courts ruled for Verizon in the matter of whether Net Neutrality could be enforced under Title I ( no - which led to ISPs being put under Title II) underscores the lie underpinning the attempt to "preserve" Net Neutrality while putting ISPs back under Title I. Keep ISPs under Title II so that Net Neutrality can be properly enforced.
1195. Kotryna Matulyte, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Net neutrality should continue to be backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. There should be no changes to that. There should be no deregulation. Anyone who says otherwise obviously has ties to a company that would benefit from deregulation, and those people are a significantly smaller proportion. Any indication otherwise I would qualify as spam from those people and/or the privacy concerns of people in support of continued regulation who do not wish to give out their private information (name, address, etc), which is understandable, and you can safely multiply my words by hundreds for that reason. I hope you have the good understanding to know the reasons why we support net neutrality and to continue it. Thank you.
1196. Ann Reichelderfer, Rocky Hill, NJ, 08553  
Please do not eliminate net neutrality. We all deserve a free and open Internet with strong Title II rules. This will ensure that the flow of data is determined by the interests of Internet users, not the narrow financial interests of Internet Service Providers and their shareholders. Thank you for considering my views.
1197. Ann Ipsen, North Plainfield, NJ, 07060  
Please work with Americans in maintaining net neutrality- the internet belongs to all of us equally.
1198. devon, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
Preserve net neutrality and title 2! Fuck the billionaires. The internet is free for all!!!
1199. Dwan J. Fields, Netcong, NJ, 07857  
I strongly support and believe in strong net neutrality regulations for ISP's. Mainly the continued classification as title 2 entities rather than reclassifying them to title 1. Please do right by the American people by not changing the regulatory statutes on ISP's
1200. Stephen Mondoro, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Do not remove the ISP's from Title 2
1201. Sylvia Hayes, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Maintain Internet neutrality and title 2. Keep the big companies from controlling my Internet freedom
1202. Peter Mitchell, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Please do not allow internet providers the right to restrict my internet speed or access when I attempt to access a site of a competing business.
1203. Richard Harvey, Branchburg, NJ, 08853  
Please keep strong Title II open internet rules for more innovation and a more competitive Internet. This has worked well and no change is needed.
1204. Andrew O'Nymmous, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
Hi yeah I need free internet so Verizon doesn't strangle my obscure fetish porn and people who wanna stop that can go choke on their own moldy genitals

1205. Fabian Niecieza, Princeton Junction, NJ, 08858  
Maintain net neutrality! Stop lying about the ramifications that its elimination would entail. Stop pretending that ISP providers won't immediately begin to profit from an imbalance in streaming speeds of the clients it hosts. Stop acting as if it won't affect consumers in ways small and large, in both time and money, because it will.
1206. Internet Freedom, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
I support net neutrality. Please preserve your neutrality.
1207. W R Gaskill, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
As a 35 year IT professional, I strongly urge all involved to retain Net Neutrality under the Title 2 act. To change this in any way would curtail an open and productive internet.
1208. Jane Marie, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. It is very important to everyone, including myself that the Net remains neutral and fast and free for everyone
1209. Darlene Petrocchi, Whitehouse station, NJ, 08889  
The big ISP should not be allowed to monopolize the internet and how we use it.
1210. bretta jacquemin, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
I strongly support preserving net neutrality by maintaining oversight of Internet Service Providers under Title II of the Act. Do not reclassify back to Title I.
1211. Lisa O'Leary, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Preserve net neutrality. Keep net neutrality managed under Title II.
1212. todd kiefer, Garwood, NJ, 07027  
We need to keep net neutrality and ISPs under title 2
1213. Mary Kiefer, Garwood, NJ, 07027  
We need to keep ISPs under title 2. I support regulation of net neutrality.
1214. Gary Kevelson, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
My family believes that Net Neutrality is a basic american right. And it is unacceptable for large corporation to hide their true intent when claiming that getting rid of it is okay. WE WANT NET NEUTRALITY!!!!
1215. Jay Morreale, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
Please ensure that equal internet access remains for all providers of content. As a small entrepreneur web-developer, I am concerned that allowing corporations to purchase delivery bandwidth will greatly reduce competition and harm smaller providers. In a similar way that all shipping companies have equal access to the nations highways all content providers should have equal access to the internet. This allows the small firms to compete with the large.
1216. jennifer malgeri, Mountainside, NJ, 07092  
I firmly support net neutrality!
1217. Laszlo Kiss, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
Please, Please, Please! Preserve Tile 2 Net Neutrality as it is at the present form
1218. Diane Fernandes, Green brook, NJ, 08812  
Our family supports strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's . Please do the right thing for the American people.
1219. Eileen K, Union, NJ, 07083  
I support net neutrality. ISP's should remain regulated under Title II of the Communications Act.
1220. Laura Mangus, springfield, NJ, 07081  
Protect strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP
1221. Jason Woodring, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
I strongly support keeping ISPs under Title II, and retaining the net neutrality rules we have in place today. Now, a message directly to FCC Chairman Ajit Pai: You have given the impression in press conferences and interviews that you don't seem to think that a strong regulation of cable and internet providers is necessary. As you are a former lawyer for Verizon (you know, one of the ISPs under net neutrality regulation), I'm inclined to not believe you. Strong regulation of ISPs by the FCC is needed just as it is, and this does not affect overall infrastructure investing as you've claimed in the past. The only reason companies like your previous employer want net neutrality weakened is so they can make even more money charging companies extra for fast online/streaming access. Whoever is willing to give more money gets the fastest access, and bigger companies will easily be able to squash less-profitable competitors. The Republican Party has been for many years the group that looks out for individual rights and ensures that the federal government doesn't infringe upon their lives in unnecessary ways. There has been an obvious change since the 2016 election that seems to have shifted this party that somehow favors particularly profitable large corporate interests. Trump's cabinet, and every position that he has appointed since he entered the White House, has had one requirement: Be the exact person that would destroy the very foundation of the organization that you lead. Ajit Pai is no exception. As a former lawyer for Verizon, he is the exact opposite person that should be leading this net neutrality decision that would effect every citizen of this country.
1222. Net Neutrality, New Providence, NJ, 07974

I support strong net neutrality, with Title II (2) oversight over ISPs. Disallow major corporations (Comcast, AT&T) to control internet speed and access, with no ability to control or edit content provided based on their immense bias. Also get rid of that dumbass giant Reese's mug. It's just dumb.

1223. Robert Harris, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I believe that the little guy should not be subservient to those that can afford to pay much more.
1224. Corey Amann, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
This is awful. Rolling back net neutrality is not a good idea, the internet should remain open and free for all, despite income, popularity, or any other factor.
1225. Eileen Farley, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
I think it is necessary for Net Neutrality remain under current Title II classification and enforcement.
1226. Seth Esterkin, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
I strongly believe in Net Neutrality. The elimination of Net Neutrality would only benefit large companies who already have the benefit their money provides. Smaller companies would have more difficulty entering the market. If this administration believes in providing an environment for business to grow, Net Neutrality must be enforced. Additionally, we know that as large ISPs grow, say by purchasing providers of content (news, media, etc), Net Neutrality will prevent them from biasing their content over others.
1227. Paul Ristuccia, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Especially in times like now, I strongly believe in net neutrality and urge the FCC to support it as much as possible with practices such as continuing ISP oversight and classification of ISPs as Title 2. A free and open internet is critical to objective and just information.
1228. James McSpirtt, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Please maintain Net Neutrality / Title II. Consider what life would be like if only the wealthy had access to paved roads, and the poor could only access dirt roads. Further entitling the rich does nothing to help society progress. Thank you.
1229. Jared Mandile, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
Title two helps make sure that small business are protected from larger company's with more capital. It also allows for new ideas and protects individual creators from being bullied by larger companies. Please keep Title two.
1230. Grant Moser, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
I strongly, unequivocally support strong Net Neutrality regulations under Title II.
1231. Neil Erath, Chester, NJ, 07930  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) must be left intact to protect the consumer-citizens of the United States. Local Internet Service Providers are defacto monopolies, with little to no competition(1)(2). They are also frequently media content providers or have strong partnerships with large media providers, putting them in a position to monopolize content delivered to ISP customers(3). Allowing an ISP to prioritize their own programming and deprioritize competing product would be analogous to granting an electric power company exclusive rights to sell electrical equipment to its customers - unconscionable control over a market. We can not allow ISPs to apply selective traffic management to their customers who have no other option for internet access - access which is critical to life in modern America. Thank you.- Neil Erath(1) <https://arstechnica.com/information-technology/2016/08/us-broadband-still-no-isp-choice-for-many-especially-at-higher-speeds/>(2) <https://consumerist.com/2016/04/25/4-things-you-should-know-about-the-approved-merger-of-time-warner-cable-charter/>(3) <http://www.businessinsider.com/internet-content-net-neutrality-fcc-ajit-pai-trump-chart-2017-1>
1232. Paul Savin, Helena Cabezas, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Net Neutrality should be left alone. We should not put ISPs under Title I it should remain unchanged.
1233. Kristina Vidal, North Plainfield, NJ, 07062  
I support net neutrality under the Title II agreement.
1234. Jack Gelman, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Repealing the net neutrality rules only benefits the large ISPs and their lobbyists. It would stifle competition and create an unlovely playing field. I strongly oppose this action.
1235. George Padkowsky, Warren, NJ, 07059  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. They cannot be trusted to do the right, honest non-greedy action.
1236. Joe Donohue, Union, NJ, 07083  
I support strong net neutrality. I believe that Chairman Pai's comments and his positions on article II are incorrect and will impact fairness on and access to the internet.
1237. Lisa Tinker, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
Please keep current net neutrality laws.
1238. Christine Tilton, Califon, NJ, 07830  
I support strong net neutrality, and consequently title 2 oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is important and should be protected, "voluntary" net neutrality by providers is absolutely unacceptable.
1239. Matthew Chasmar, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
As a public broadcast medium, ensuring unadulterated access to the World Wide Web should fall under the jurisdiction of the FCC, by



I would like the officials at the FCC to know that I specifically support the Net Neutrality protections contained in Title II. These protections are crucial for ensuring an even playing field on the Internet, and their removal would be a mistake that could affect the type of start-ups that have made this country so successful in recent years. Please, do the right thing - the country is watching.

1258. David Barnes, Succasunna, NJ, 07876

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It's important that we keep our networks fair so that we maintain an open market where speedy access to credible information isn't hindered in any way.

1259. Lowell Perkins, Asbury, NJ, 08802

It is my firm belief that the equal treatment of data being transmitted over cable, fiber-optic, and wireless internet services, or "net-neutrality", is an integral part of the fair functionality of the internet as we know it. The innovations, communications, and, perhaps most importantly, the marketplaces on the internet would not exist in its robust and vibrant form if net-neutrality was not in place and enforced. The "equal playing field" net-neutrality provides is essential to fair internet market competition, which has brought our population so much in the past. It is my fear that if this is dismantled, the continuing benefits derived from the internet will be irreparably damaged, and our country will be robbed of the distinct advantages that a fair internet service provides to its people.

1260. Peter Policastro, Union, NJ, 07083

Preserve Net Neutrality and title II. Don't fuck up the internet.

1261. Patricia Bradley, Stockton, NJ, 08559

PRESERVE NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II

1262. Gregory Asch, Summit, NJ, 07901

Please maintain net neutrality. The proposal to re-classify ISPS making them exempt from net neutrality rules only serves to increase their potential income and has the potential to negatively affect countless other companies and individuals by slowing/denying Internet access to certain sites while herding people like cattle to others. It seems to be a ridiculously unethical effort. It is shameful.

1263. Christopher Lloyd, Westfield, NJ, 07090

I am a strong supporter of Net Neutrality principles, and DO NOT support any efforts to weaken NetNeutrality or to cede more power over the internet to corporations, governments etc. ISP's should never be allowed to provide more-favorable terms or access to any parties that may result in adverse impacts to the end users.

1264. David Mazzola, Westfield, NJ, 07090

Please maintain Net neutrality and open internet access by retaining Title 2 regulations on internet access provision

1265. Meredith Mazzola, Westfield, NJ, 07090

Please preserve net neutrality and open internet access by continuing to enforce the rules under Title II.

1266. Stephanie Zonis, Branchburg, NJ, 08853

To the FCC:

I am greatly dismayed by your attempts to repeal internet neutrality. The "Restoring Internet Freedom" Proposal will do nothing for true internet freedom.

One of the arguments against the regulation of internet neutrality is that Title II is not necessary; the internet functioned just fine before the 2015 internet neutrality rules were put into place, and ISPs don't engage in questionable financial practices, block content or participate in the deliberate slowing of internet service (so-called "throttling"). This is simply nonsense.

For ten years before the 2015 rules were put into place, the FCC attempted to enforce non-discrimination and other net neutrality rules by various means (including ancillary authority under Title I and Section 706). Every tactic the FCC tried to use to enforce this neutrality was rejected by courts. In short, no viable alternative exists to the current rules.

Additionally, there are already examples of ISPs who have already attempted to throttle internet traffic, engage in financial shenanigans with customers, or provide technical favoritism to their own services over those of competitors. From an FCC Opinion and Order in 2008: "We consider whether Comcast, a provider of broadband Internet access over cable lines, may selectively target and interfere with connections of peer-to-peer (P2P) applications under the facts of this case. Although Comcast asserts that its conduct is necessary to ease network congestion, we conclude that the company's discriminatory and arbitrary practice unduly squelches the dynamic benefits of an open and accessible Internet and does not constitute reasonable network management. Moreover, Comcast's failure to disclose the company's practice to its customers has compounded the harm." Further, in 2016, the FCC announced that Comcast would pay a fine of over two million dollars to resolve an investigation into negative option billing initiated by that corporation. And a December, 2016 letter from the FCC to AT&T's Senior Executive Vice President, External and Legislative Affairs, notes this: "The Wireless Telecommunications Bureau has reviewed the letter and white paper you submitted on November 21, 2016. We find that those responses fail to alleviate the serious concerns expressed in our November 9 letter regarding the potential anti-competitive impacts of a wholesale Sponsored Data program for zero-rated mobile video services. Indeed, your submission tends to confirm our initial view that the Sponsored Data program strongly favors AT&T's own video offerings while unreasonably discriminating against unaffiliated edge providers and limiting their ability to offer competing video services to AT&T's broadband subscribers on a level playing field. We have therefore reached the preliminary conclusion that these practices inhibit competition, harm consumers, and interfere with the "virtuous cycle" needed to assure the continuing benefits of the Open Internet." Am I to believe that making internet neutrality rules voluntary would result in better behavior and a free and open internet from these telecommunications giants?

It is only through the established rules and sufficient FCC vigilance that consumers can hope for an internet that is truly a level playing field for companies and organizations large and small. Without internet neutrality regulations in place, telecommunications giants will

favor their own providers and affiliates, throttle those who are unwilling or unable to "pay to play", shut down consumer choices, and continue to increase their chokehold on consumers' beleaguered pocketbooks. Please leave the 2015 internet neutrality rules firmly in place!

1267. Hisham Othman, Martinsville, NJ, 08836  
Net Neutrality is important. Please preserve Title II.
1268. Kirk Laurence, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
Please keep the web neutral. Keep internet providers classified as Title II.
1269. Eric Cherego, Kenilworth, NJ, 07033  
Preserve the current net neutrality rules or modify them so that consumers are still protected. Companies like Comcast and Verizon cannot be trusted to put the interest of consumers first before their interest in maximizing profits.
1270. Carson S, Warren, NJ, 07059  
Nobody DDOS'd you, you were given the hug of death and your site couldn't handle it. You skills are going to get your way one day, and you're going to regret it soon after. You're on the verge of destroying the internet age through misleading language and keeping the public uninformed.
1271. Michael Morack, Belle mead, NJ, 08502  
Net neutrality is kind of the only thing keeping the internet a successful place for information and business. Do not let this invaluable right be taken away.
1272. Dawid Janas, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Please support internet neutrality and preserve title II.
1273. Joseph R Horgan, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Net neutrality is essential to the long-term health of the internet.
1274. Aleta Fischer, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Dear Chairman Ajit Pai,I am writing in support of STRONG Net Neutrality and FCC Title 2 oversight of ISPs.Thank you.
1275. Kira Lawrence, Annandale, NJ, 08801  
I am writing to respectfully request that the FCC proceed with the implementation of net neutrality rules that were slated to go into effect in December before they were scuttled by the Trump administration and the Republican-controlled Congress. Please protect the privacy of citizens before protecting the interests of corporations. The rightful owner of information about individuals on the web is the individual not the ISP. A recent national survey by Consumer Reports indicates that this viewpoint is widely held. It showed that 85% of Americans believe that online data should rightfully belong to the individual. A government of the people should reflect the will of the people to protect their privacy.
1276. Julia Qian, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
To whom it may concern: As a young adult interested in pursuing a career in the STEM field, the Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs is currently and will continue to be critical for my future. Without unlimited, unrestrained access to the various sites that are fundamental to our education and growth, teens across the U.S. will suffer. Put simply, this isn't fair for anyone except the ISPs. As a result, I am firmly opposed to the measures provided by Docket Number 17-108. I hope that, however small, my advocacy against the "Restoring Internet Freedom Act" indicates that even teenagers, the next generation, are a part of the growing opposition to this act. In the end, I urge the Federal Communications Commission, the Office of Chairman Pai, and other elected officials - officials who have been elected by the people for the purpose of representing the beliefs of the people - to reconsider establishing the "Restoring Internet Freedom Act". Thank you for your time and consideration. Sincerely, a young American citizen.
1277. Thomas Pate, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
The internet is free and fair as it is now. Why allow anyone to decide what content can be passed thru ahead of other content. By this proposed rule, an ISP could favor its own content over competitors. In the past this might not have been a concern but now that the major ISPs have bought content creators, this is a real concern.
1278. James Dean, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
I would like the FCC to do the right thing for the American people and keep the internet free and open.
1279. Gabor Zsamboky, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
In the information age content neutral broadband access is just as critical to the health of the society as access to drinkable tap water. If the regulation leaves the opportunity open for ISPs to be able to filter the content for financial benefits, they'll do it. By nature, their mission is to make profit and there is nothing wrong with that. Nonetheless, lawmakers need to act on behalf of the society, not on behalf of corporate ownership.
1280. Kristen Shubilla, Union, NJ, 07083  
I pay a hefty monthly charge for my internet access and for that I expect access to anything and everything I want and need from the internet. This includes access to my email, which I do NOT get from my ISP (which doesn't even offer email as a service any longer), access to my work email, which I do NOT get from my ISP, access to the information and documents I store in the Cloud, also NOT from my ISP. I expect consistent speed and consistent content access for my money and I do not want to pay a higher price for either. In my area, I only have one choice when it comes to an ISP, so I am forced to pay their prices today, and even if another were introduced in my market, I would have to pay a hefty cancellation fee. I can't imagine what they will be asking without net neutrality! I am one small person who is a homeowner and taxpayer, and I know I am no match for a large conglomerate like Verizon. Please do not let the

little guys be held hostage by Verizon (or Comcast, or RCN, etc.). My internet access is a big line item in my budget and I can't afford for it to increase arbitrarily or based on some random idea of what specific content or speed should cost. Please help us by keeping net neutrality regulations in place!

1281. V.Lederman, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Keep your corrupt corporate paws off my internet!! Keep the net fair and open for ALL.While we're at it, protect consumer privacy and keep your greedy corporate friends from sharing data on customers' internet-usage, browsing history and geolocation information with third parties without their consent. Go sell your own data so you can be spammed, leave mine alone.
1282. Debra Schleppey, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840  
I strongly support Net Neutrality as currently enforced under Title II
1283. Maryse Bloom, Warren, NJ, 07059  
We need to keep net neutrality so people, regardless of class and income, can have equal access to the internet!! I can see that many large internet service providers such as Altice, Comcast, and Verizon have REQUIRED their employees to copy and paste remarks the begin with "The unprecedented regulatory power the Obama Administration...". This is a disgusting abuse of employer power and these comments should not be considered.
1284. Justin V Posyton, Kenilworth, NJ, 07033  
The internet was born free, like Americans, and it should stay that way. The internet is the best example of an open market, allowing providers to unfairly filter content is manipulation of a free market and should be treated as such.
1285. Ryan Regan, frenchtown, NJ, 08825  
Strong net neutrality rules are critical to the health and development of the web. The current rules should not be removed.
1286. Net Neutrality, Raritan, NJ, 08869  
I support Net Neutrality and you should too, because the internet should be an equal platform for everyone and everything.
1287. Webster Thompson, Bedminster, NJ, 07921  
There should be an open internet for all. There is no need for blocking and throttling
1288. Paulo Barros, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
the internet needs to be neutral
1289. internet, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Please do not get rid of net neutrality!!!! Keep the internet fair and open for all!
1290. Zachary Hedden, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
As an aspiring stock trader, the freedoms of the Internet have given me the chance to compete alongside veterans of the market.. The internet has given the opportunity of the markets to joe shmoe's like me who once had no knowledge of it. The stock market wouldn't be what it is today without individuals like myself, utilizing the freedoms of the internet, adding to the ever-expanding market. Executing a trade is a matter of precise timing and having the necessary data supplied by your chosen platform. Net neutrality is essential to making this formula work. Services are limited for people trying to build on their small accounts. The barrier to entry is already financially taxing. I fear the day that I lose my competitiveness in the market when the companies and services I rely on are obstructed by data usage limits and I, as a small-time trader, lack the monetary resources to keep participating in the market. The stock market is an exemplar of the American Dream; of having the chance to become financially independent; of going from rags to riches. Without the equal ground of the internet, my, as well as many other peoples' and business', American Dreams are in jeopardy.
1291. Paul Rozzi, Raritan, NJ, 08869  
Net neutrality is great, the alternative is bad, that's about it.
1292. arjun singh, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I don't want slow internet! I don't want ISPs to sell my data. I need privacy!
1293. Zackary Lisanti, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Please keep Net Neutrality. Removing it could have adverse effects on progress, and lead to exploitation of customers by service providers and other companies.
1294. Christian, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840  
Don't ruin the best thing in the world
1295. Mitchell Kiebus, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
I am strongly against the FCC's current move against Net Neutrality. The internet is too important to the economy, free speech, and the transfer of ideas and information to be subject to the whims of broadband companies trying to extort more money out of websites and internet users. Broadband companies have shown through their lawsuits to get rid of Net Neutrality that they cannot be trusted to protect what is so great about the internet. The only people that benefit from the repeal of net neutrality rules are broadband companies, not the citizens of the United States, not the people this organization is meant to protect. If you care about care about the average United States consumer you will vote against this repeal.
1296. Ashleigh Forbes, Skillman, NJ, 08558  
The internet is a place where all websites are given equal opportunity to catch its audiences. If this is broken, it could bring the negative sides of capitalism to the internet, things like monopolies on the web in certain areas, allowing personal information of consumers to be

sold without consent. While there are many positive sides to capitalism, getting rid of the net neutrality would do more harm than good within the capitalism of the internet.

1297. Kenny Chang, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
Don't limit what people's access to the internet. It is wrong and immoral to allow greedy corporations to decide what we should be allowed to see.
1298. Net Neutrality, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
Please do not repeal the current Net Neutrality rules. An open internet is the best internet.
1299. Marcus Sinclair, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!!! It is absolutely fundamental!
1300. Caias Ward, Union, NJ, 07083  
Net Neutrality assures that large corporations do not dictate who may communicate in the modern age. It would be like charging more for mail service in the 1950s, or denying it altogether. Without Net Neutrality, we have no guarantee that corporations will be required to act in consumer interests in a fair and open market, instead letting them pick winners and losers so they may profit at our loss.
1301. Michael, clark, NJ, 07066  
The internet is an extremely vast info-scape, allowing companies to limit access to said info can only do harm to not only the average citizen, but to several startup businesses and potentially even larger companies. On a more personal note for myself, a lack of net neutrality would negatively effect the online video game industry in extremely unpredictable ways, potentially even killing it as it is known in the modern day.
1302. Stephen Kreiss, Warren, NJ, 07059  
It is in the best interest of ALL of our representatives, at both state and federal levels, to disallow the repealing of our current net neutrality laws and practices. I have never met a single person, whether it be a friend, family member, acquaintance, or stranger who would agree with the terms of the proposed repealing at all. It appears that the interested parties are solely corporate entities, interested only in enlarging their profits and influence. The proposed changes are against the wills and wishes of the vast majority of the population. You would be hard pressed to find a single, non-corporately-affiliated person to agree with the repealing. If our senators, congressmen, and other representatives TRULY represent the American people, then they will quell this poorly disguised power-grab, acknowledging it for what it really is. You don't have to pay the Seattle local government so that you can visit an art gallery there. You don't have to pay tribute to the government of New Orleans to go and enjoy Mardi Gras. Similarly to paying for your ISP, you already pay taxes and tolls that fund the roads that take you to your destination. If you are already paying for your ISP's functions, then why should your direction of travel be dictated by them? In the names of freedom of speech and freedom of expression, you should not have to pay tribute to power-hungry corporate entities in order to access the entirety of the largest source of knowledge, expression, and idea-sharing on the planet. We NEED to keep net neutrality, else we are willingly sacrificing our personal freedoms, one by one.
1303. Ajmal Abraham, Mount Arlington, NJ, 07856  
Please keep the internet open and free for all of us. Do not make it another means for companies to take advantage of our private lives, Thanks.
1304. gale young-jackmin, clark, NJ, 07066  
Absolutely support net neutrality...do not limit access to the internet in any way
1305. Louis Raimondi, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
Please don't make the greatest collective expression of information a company owned money grubbing nightmare.
1306. Timothy Lin, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
As I was opening this page my internet went down for 2 hours. Don't give Comcast and other media companies more power, coincidence or not.
1307. net neutrality, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
The internet is a free market of ideas and discussions. Repealing the net neutrality rules will end this wonderful experience for everyone. Please do not damage what is a wonderful place to interact with people from everywhere in the world. Thank you.
1308. David Barnes, Succasunna, NJ, 07876  
We need to keep net neutrality to preserve the freedom of speech and our freedom to hear others speak, regardless of what our ISP's decide is important enough for us to be able to listen to or see in a timely fashion.
1309. Mica Kieselstein, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
We do not need to introduce such affecting, selfish corporate influence into the most expansive information network ever created. It would only put the interests of the few over the many. It is unjust.
1310. Seth Gallucci, Warren, NJ, 07059  
I'm not going to bother explaining why net neutrality rules should not be rolled back; the officials that can uphold its existence or can tear it down already understand (or so I hope they understand) what opening that version of Pandora's Box would do. Just know that I will be among those doing what they can to remove any cancerous opposition of net neutrality.
1311. Andy Judd, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I care about open internet, It's more or less my lively-hood... The internet is what connects everyone... It changes lives, Educates and brings everyone together... Why try to pull that apart? That's certainly not going to help anything... If anything, It'll just make things

worse and far more complicated... and last i checked... Life's complicated enough as it is... "If it isn't broken, Don't fix it" <3

1312. Ondrej Marek, Landing, NJ, 07850  
The internet needs to be a free and open resource, and above all equal. It would be against the American ideals to let large companies push down the small ones because the small ones cannot afford the "fast lane".
1313. Thomas Evans, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Do not put corporate interests ahead of the American People. Do your job and protect small businesses, entrepreneurs, and the American people. Uphold Net Neutrality.
1314. Jessica Wortsmann, Clark, NJ, 07066  
This sounds like the beginnings of a dictatorship. It certainly appears as if those in charge are trying to control the information in order to influence our opinions and beliefs into a certain ideal. To pass Net Neutrality is to take this country decades back when society is advancing forward. This administration fears what is unknown to them - it is time to stand up against them and not let their power be so strong as to take away the power of the American people's free thought.
1315. Han Joong Kim, Bedminster, NJ, 07921  
COMCAST IS LITERALLY NAZI Han Joong Kim
1316. Michael Biel, Mountainside, NJ, 07092  
ISPs with too much control can create a dangerous environment for all users of the internet.
1317. Stephen Kimm, Skillman, NJ, 08558  
Net neutrality is critical to maintaining a flourishing democracy & entrepreneurial system. Paid prioritization benefits large organizations that can afford to pay their way to the top
1318. Michael Bardi, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
Stop being stupid. We need the internet to be open and free.
1319. Chris Wagner, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
It is imperative that we as a people set the precedent now that we will not allow free speech to be stifled. The internet is the main format through which we have access to other ideas and people. If we allow companies to decide which ideas we can reach, which people we can connect with, which is exactly what they wish to do, we will be violating our ability to seek information and share ideas with one another. As far as I am concerned as an American citizen, this is a direct attack on my freedom of speech and is a ploy to decide what information I am able to gain on my own without the influence of major corporations. Please do not allow these companies to assail our rights any further. Thank you.
1320. Conner De Leon, bedminster, NJ, 07921  
you leave the internet be
1321. Scott Webster, North Plainfield, NJ, 07060  
I feel like we should be able to access a completely uncensored version of the internet, and that's what net neutrality does. If you push through the bill to get rid of net neutrality you are going to make the internet a censored place, the ISP that we choose will be able to dictate what we see, and that's not an internet that I want.
1322. Max Bergelson, Millburn, NJ, 07041  
To Whom It May Concern,I have serious concerns about the light touch regulatory approach the FCC is considering. Unrestricted access to the information on the internet is something that makes America stand apart from many parts of the world and is a contributing factor in our countries strong economy and international influence. Giving internet service providers even the ability to control the speed of American's access to information is censorship that can threaten business innovation, our children's development, and the perception of our country abroad. I support the existing FCC's rules given the unbiased internet access and freedoms they protect. Sincerely,Max Bergelson
1323. Michael Bury, Kenilworth, NJ, 07033  
Net Neutrality is a basic right that the people have earned over the years. Clear communication among every human with access to the internet should not be hounded for private profit.This will be fought over and over and will continue to be until it is understood that Net Neutrality is our right!
1324. Cindy Gong, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
The end of net neutrality means the end of free flowing information, We will see what our ISPs want us to see, thus, putting us under their control. This is not North Korea, this is America. Do not end net neutrality. Save the open internet.
1325. Brian Matsen, Bloomsbury, NJ, 08804  
I live in a rural area with a sad excuse of DSL for 3mbit/512 (capped) or cable internet 25/1. However, why should I be paying to prioritize netflix, amazon video, and other services to a company I pay a flat fee for? Why should I pay them extra for a utility service? This makes no sense and is clearly just a money grab for ISPs.
1326. Isis Zhang, Stirling, NJ, 07980  
Net neutrality is important in protecting citizen's ideas and promoting the ideals of free speech that our country was founded upon. The fact that citizens need to fight against ISPs to guarantee an equal opportunity for all content to be seen and prevent blocking and slowing of websites in favor of corporate interests is ludicrous. Therefore I believe we need to preserve net neutrality by having oversight on ISPs by the FCC.

1327. Ronald Laufer, Millington, NJ, 07946  
We need strong title II net neutrality. Don't let monetary interests shape the future of the free and open internet, the greatest invention thus far of all mankind.
1328. W. Bradhering, Rocky Hill, NJ, 08553  
The internet should be open and equal for all - the current Net Neutrality rules ensure this. As a small business owner, I rely on the internet for conducting business. Without Net Neutrality, I will not be able to compete against larger, richer companies that will have the resources to pay for faster access, etc. We as consumers should control our internet experience not large for profit companies. I urge you to maintain a free and fair internet by maintaining Net Neutrality.
1329. Christopher Wolk, Bound Brook, NJ, 08805  
Mr. Pai, I support a strong net neutrality backed up by title two oversight of ISPs. The internet is extremely important in this day and age. No one should get to decide what I want to watch, visit, etc.
1330. Mark J. DiDonna, Millington, NJ, 07946  
The net neutrality rules that were put in place in 2015 should absolutely remain in effect to protect the equity and openness of the internet. It is preposterous to allow such massive and wealthy ISPs like Verizon and AT&T to charge more for using certain internet services or to slow down internet connections for certain sites, some of which may belong to nonprofits, small companies, or individuals who may not be able to afford added fees for faster connections. Users should not have to worry about these rules being rolled back after so many made their voices heard originally in 2015 to get the regulations put in place. It is obvious that the majority of internet users would rather continue using a free and open internet instead of paying more and giving more control over to egregiously greedy ISPs.
1331. Krit H Petty, Stewartville, NJ, 08886  
As a father and a husband who works in software and the computer science field, I feel it my duty to comment and support the Open Internet Rules (i.e. Net Neutrality). The internet has become essentially a utility over time and should be treated as such. Even refugee camps in foreign countries that barely have running water consider access to the internet to be one of the most important services they provide. Individual corporations and companies should not be able to block, throttle, or dictate paid prioritization of content being sent. Please consider keeping the internet classified as a telecommunications tool regulated under Title II.
1332. Danylo Mirin, Summit, NJ, 07901  
To let ISPs decide what websites we visit is to limit our freedoms. We are free to visit any website in the world and that should remain so since that way it is possible to gain a proper insight into the events that are going on. If we are offered only one perspective - the one that internet service providers give us - we will be forced to see the world a certain way. That is a mark of a totalitarian regime.
1333. Peter Springer, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I am writing to share my strong belief that we should maintain net neutrality. As a consumer, I use online platforms such as Facebook and others to keep in touch with my friends and family around the world. In my role, I help small internet based start-ups reach higher levels of impact. Many of these start-ups would be in jeopardy if ISPs are allowed to prioritize certain content. This would have a devastating impact on these start-ups and would hurt US innovation and job creation. Lastly, where I live there are only two broadband Internet providers. I do not have a meaningful choice in which ISP I use. I sincerely urge you to maintain the historical net neutrality principle, which has helped the US to be the global leader in tech innovation. Please do not take that away from us.
1334. Gregory Hart, Bedminster, NJ, 07921  
The FCC is a governing body that is head by the exact entities that you are supposed to govern against. As a agency protecting the people, profit should not be the main reason for change, this is what drives private enterprise. As a public service your job is to divide up monopolies and give the power back to the people. Let's think about the long term precedent for not only other US government agencies but the world on Net-Neutrality. The internet was created to connect people faster, not for a hand full of companies to control it.
1335. Mary Woods, Glen Gardner, NJ, 08826  
I care about an open internet. Please do not allow ISPs to affect my ability to access public information and therefore limit my ability to be an effective citizen in a democratic society.
1336. Wick Smith, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I often connect to websites which are not the Goliath sites that use huge bandwidth. In a zero-sum situation many of my favorites would be disadvantaged. The promise that a telecom-dominated Internet would lead to more infrastructure and innovation sounds counterintuitive to me.
1337. Ryan O'Mullan, Millington, NJ, 07946  
The internet is like the free market; it thrives with competition. For the FCC and ISPs to have more direct control over the content that users can and cannot see, this freedom is thrown away. Frankly, it's something straight out of George Orwell's 1984, and it has no rightful place in the modern world.
1338. Joe Hammer, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Please do everything in your power to assure that the flow of information within the internet remains free and accessible to everyone. You are doing a great job of protecting us from people who have ill intent who would prey upon the innocent. However, if you allow business interests to influence your decision over who sees what, you will be doing a great disservice to everyone in the world. Information should be free. The internet was designed for one purpose only - to share information. Please do not hinder the process as human society continues to grow and evolve.
1339. Bailey Sadowski, Succasunna, NJ, 07876  
I stand for internet freedom and net neutrality. I believe it is wrong to allow companies more freedom over individuals

1340. Johanna Del Pino, Frenchtown, NJ, 08825  
I support strong net neutrality rules. Net neutrality should remain under the title ii
1341. A.E. Faust, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Maintain Title II. I oppose the current plan of the FCC chairman to repeal Title II and his plan to end net neutrality. The repeal of Title II will only further the cause of big business to dictate the use of cost of internet access to the consumer.
1342. Varun Kadambi Bindiganavile, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
As a recently graduate college student attempting to get two tech startups off the ground, I rely on a neutral internet to help drive traffic, exposure, and interaction with my small companies. If Net Neutrality is simply a forgotten norm of the internet, I lose all capacity to make it as an entrepreneur! Marketing, services, and utility enter a tiered system that goes against support of small businesses and therefore the creation of jobs. Paying different prices for variable access hinders my (and others like me) chances at achieving the archetypical "American Dream". Equal access to services and domains is something I consider a basic human right, especially in an increasingly digital age. Please. Do not act purely out of desire for a quick dollar. Small businesses and entrepreneurs like me will suffer, and so will the long-term stability of the American Economy as a result.
1343. E Taucher, Annandale, NJ, 08801  
I prefer Title II being applied to ISPs.
1344. John Macey, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
A free and open internet is of the utmost importance to the continued advancement of the human race in both inter-connectivity and intellectual learning. To allow ISPs a higher level control over who can see what, when they can see it, and how fast they can access it, would only serve to undermine everything that the internet seeks to achieve. Through a free and open internet, everyone can share ideas, join forces, and fight for common goals. To take that away is to take away our ability to fight oppression, tyranny, and would effectively put us in the 21st century equivalent of medieval times. The internet should remain free, and the FCC should classify it as a public service that every human being has a right to, the same as air, and water.
1345. Paul Crispin, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Dear Chairman. You couldn't be sinking any lower with this obvious pandering to corporations? "Restoring Internet Freedom"? More like ABOLISHING it and handing the keys to control information flow to the ISPs. Who already make us pay through the nose for substandard service, compared to the rest of the world.
1346. Mike Chou, Succasunna, NJ, 07876  
I support strong Net Neutrality as per Title II. Power consolidation by ISPs is not in the interest of free speech, even competition, and innovation.
1347. Rohan Setia, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
Net Neutrality is a regulation that prevents monopoly. If we repeal net neutrality and Title II, we will essentially be slaughtering all small businesses in the ISP area and paving the way for mega-corporations like Verizon to swindle consumers and make even more money. The FCC is a government organization, so its interest should be in line with the American people, NOT THE CORPORATIONS. I beg you...vote in the interests of the American people---the same people who allowed you to have a job.
1348. Kyle Baron, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Keep the internet completely open to everyone! Throttling the internet is like throttling the air supply. It is a basic human right.
1349. Robert Hanson, Milford, NJ, 08848  
We need to keep net neutrality rules in place. I don't want ISPs to have the ability to control what I consume and at what speed I consume it. In addition, as a developer of web applications net neutrality is required to foster innovation and allow small outfits to compete with larger organizations. The web and Internet services in general have exploded because it has been an even playing field for all, and it seems like a really bad idea to change that now.
1350. Meagan Warner, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
I want to be able to choose for myself which websites and apps are the best without interference. Keep the internet neutral.
1351. Vicki Lederman, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Keep your f'ing greedy corrupt corporate paws OFF the internet and STOP selling out the American public to the highest bidder!! What the hell is wrong with you? When is enough \$ enough? Stop offering our private information for sale so corps can spam us even more for the sake of your profits. What's next, will you try to outlaw ad blockers? Good luck with that one! Keep the internet free, neutral, and free of spam and scammers.
1352. Mihir Nayak, Skillman, NJ, 08558  
I do not want the content that I, or others, view to be censored in any way. This includes the speed at which certain content is available to me, as it would indirectly promote content of either a certain nature or promote a certain brand that I personally do not wish to use. Part of the reason there are so many people who operate through the internet is because of the anonymity of the users and widespread availability of information. For companies to pick favorites on our behalf is taking away our power to utilize information by affecting the information that is accessible to us.
1353. Thomas Price, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
The currently regulatory efforts established by the FCC in 2015, which placed ISP's under Title II, are extremely important to me and should absolutely not be undone by Agit Pai and the currently administration at the FCC. While others argue that the currently regulations under Title II are overly burdensome to ISP's, they are crucially important to the American citizens who receive access to the internet through ISP's. Without these regulations, ISP's would have more freedom to protect and promote their business interests, but at

the direct cost of others who utilize the internet. Sadly, because ISP's provide a commonly used utility, the elimination of these regulations will have very adverse effects on parts of the population. More than just slowing down access to certain site, the elimination of regulation could effect advertisement and sales on the internet, and would surely hurt small business owners who rely on the open internet for their livelihood. I support and free and open internet, and implore the FCC to keep the currently regulations under Title II.

1354. Nicholas, Flemington, NJ, 08822

Hey guys, I like the internet how it is. The beautiful thing about the internet and how it is is that people have the choice to view what they want and when they want and people have the ability to create the content that they want to share with the world. So please don't go through with net neutrality because it'll ruin a lot of peoples lives.

1355. Justin Greenaway, Whitehouse Station, NJ, 08889

This act is named as a lie, this has nothing to do with internet freedom. Right now we have an absolutely free internet, anything attempting to make it "more" free can only possibly make it actually less free. This will not only make it less free than it is, but it can inevitably be used by corporations to enforce political views they agree with or suppress views they do not agree with. Have you people learned nothing about what happens when you give a body too much power? Do I need to remind you about anti-trust laws that exist to prevent a single private entity from ruling over everything for a certain good or service? This is the ultimate monopoly power. These companies will be able, for any reason they what so ever choose, to throttle internet going to competitors of goods and services for them and their allies in business. The government exists to ensure freedom, which this does not do. This blocks and hinders freedoms. The government institutions very purpose is acknowledge the people are imperfect and try to make an institution that makes up for these shortcomings. That can only be done by ensuring absolute openness of opportunity. All this stands to do is block opportunity.

1356. Sid Francis, three bridges, NJ, 08887

The internet needs to be free to allow people to search for what they want especially with the melting pot of the united states. This allows them to connect to stories of their home countries websites with family. Why should we let someone else decide what is searched for and used. What stops the isp from only sending you only to sites that pay them? You will never be able to see pictures from personal websites. This would also stifle small business as they will not be able to afford to pay these internet companies to be allowed to connect the sites.

1357. Jonathan Baxter, Short Hills, NJ, 07078

I support restoring a light-touch approach to internet regulation. Scarce resources are best provided through free and competitive markets. If there turns out to be a competition problem then, and only then, will there potentially be a role for federal government intervention. The large corporations purportedly advocating for net neutrality on behalf of consumer interests are in fact only serving their own interests, since it is their bandwidth that is currently being subsidized by all consumers regardless of whether they use their services or not.

1358. Jess Friedman, Springfield, NJ, 07081

Leave the internet a free place. Net neutrality is the best thing for the people. Big business should nit have a say in our accesability

1359. Ryan Burd, Cranford, NJ, 07016

As members of the government you have a responsibility to the people of the United States, not corporations, the people. Going against Net Neutrality is a blatant disregard to the wants and needs of the people of the United States. Nobody in the general population wants to be revoked of their internet rights. The only people who want this are corporations out to make a profit. If you took office with an sense of self respect or duty you will support Net Neutrality and ignore those trying to bribe their way into the profits of Americans. Stand on the right side of history, or be cast aside when the fight is over

1360. Doug Silverberg, Flemington, NJ, 08822

Net Neutrality is a critical component of a free, open internet. It should remain with equal access to all users, not owned, paid for, and manipulated by corporations.

1361. Melissa Goodenough, Flemington, NJ, 08822

I believe internet access should be free of coporate manipulation.

1362. Brian Remite, Westfield, NJ, 07090

As a consumer, I have the right to choose what my money goes towards. The internet is a vital part of modern society, and no company should decide for me what parts of the internet are best for me and my family to access at the highest speed. When Verizon was in dispute with Netflix over their data throughput issues, I was basically unable to watch Netflix in my home, yet someone who has Comcast next door was able to watch it just fine. That is unacceptable behavior from my ISP. My son's kids shows were on Netflix, and I couldn't explain to him why Verizon was preventing him from watching his shows. Net neutrality is a necessity, the FCC CANNOT allow ISPs to determine what companies can and cannot get top priority to homes.

1363. Jill Lan, Tony Lan, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502

Hi, This message is request that the FCC protect our rights and retain net neutrality so that the internet remains free for Americans. Please do not sell us out to coporate interests and protect our rights.

1364. Robert Kuper, Flemington, NJ, 08822

FCC needs to have oversight over the the market for broadband Internet access. Broadband access is vital in this day and age and those without access are left behind. Broadband access is necessary for access to education, work opportunities and communication with friends and family. My options are limited to 1 high speed ISP and 1 much lower speed ISP. If an ISP chooses to discriminate against content my options would be limited.

1365. Daniel Cordone, Bedminster, NJ, 07921

Eliminating Net Neutrality incentivizes ISPs to create Internet "fast lanes" that will go to the highest bidder. This will discourage

competition by tilting the playing field in favor of large enterprises that have the resources to outspend smaller competitors. Which means, Internet-enabled small businesses would be among those hit hardest by new fees and tiered services.

1366. Pamela Appel, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Please maintain net neutrality to protect small businesses, young start ups, innovation, people in rural areas and access educational opportunities. Net neutrality is essential. Please protect it!
1367. Net Neutrality, Whitehouse Station, NJ, 08889  
Net Neutrality MUST be maintained. All internet traffic needs to be treated the exact same way. No throttling or prioritization of any type should be allowed. Comcast/Verizon/Time Warner/etc should not be allowed to hold companies for ransom by threatening to slow down their web traffic unless they "pay up."
1368. John Grimaldi, Three Bridges, NJ, 08822  
Dear FCC, As I am sure you are aware, today has been declared a "day of action," on which citizens have been encourage to voice their opposition to the "Restoring Internet Freedom Act," which would severely compromise the principals of net neutrality. I deeply oppose this measure, and believe that a free and open Internet is vital to a vibrant economy and a vibrant democracy. Giving ISPs a mandate to extract an economic rent on the most vital utility of the information age is an anti-competitive measure that will endanger our free market system. Such a law, moreover, would threaten freedom of expression and potentially limit democratic participation. It is my hope that bipartisan, rational deliberation prevails and net neutrality remains a core principle of our online infrastructure. Regards, John Grimaldi
1369. David Kaluzny, Clark, NJ, 07066  
I seriously think you are making a wrong decision by repealing net neutrality rules, you are making the market a unfair place on the internet. By making websites pay for advantages over other websites doesn't give a fair chance to to every website. The policies for small businesses are already shit, don't take the same policy you use to destroy small businesses on small website. It's unethical and unjust.
1370. philip sulikowski, cranford, NJ, 07016  
please keep net neutrality, Its in the United states best interests to make sure regulations aren't placed by ISPs. the internet has done great and everyone has been happy with they way it all is now, why change it for the worse?
1371. Sam Muriello, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
Net Neutrality is extremely important. Allowing companies to regulate the speeds of specific websites could raise consumer prices and could raise the barrier to entry for rising companies.
1372. Nicholas Dabrowski, Wharton, NJ, 07885  
This would be an absolute tragedy, the net needs to be neutral, and needs to stay open. Allowing ISP's to pick and chose what content we are able to view and engage in is an absolute abuse of potential power and would do no good whatsoever. End this now!
1373. Internet, Stewartsville, NJ, 08886  
Please decide to keep Net Neutrality, companies like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon will be infringing upon our free speech. With the power to control what internet users see, they are effectively censoring the internet. If a website were to have said something negative about the major internet company, they would be blocked. Nobody should have the power to control the internet for anyone else. Please, keep Net Neutrality.
1374. Seneca J Velling, Millington, NJ, 07946  
I care for open internet and consumer drive competition -- fre of paid prioritiation, blocking and throttling. I would be remiss if I did not remind the FCC they must serve the people not comporations. There is only one internet, and all your rules apply to my home and internet. Please support net neutrality.
1375. Sean, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
The FCC Should serve the will of the public and not bend to the avarice of communications companies. Keep ISPs as Common Carriers and make strides to end this monopoly of a weak service on telecommunications.
1376. Seth Hickenbottom, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
Net neutrality must continue to be upheld. Internet service providers should be monitored under Title II. Nobody is fooled by the clear ploy for ISP to get MORE money from us than they already do. This shouldn't even be up for debate anymore.
1377. Thomas Lisankie, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
I strongly support the current Title II regulations on broadband access. They are essential to maintaining a free, fair, and open internet where corporations don't get to favor certain information over other information.
1378. Brandon Lee Klauber, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I support Net Neutrality, and believe it is necessary.
1379. Rishi Narayan, Branchburg, NJ, 08853  
Once again, you're working against the vast majority of voices -- and against all common sense -- to serve a couple already rich, bloated, corporations and their monopolies, setting our country back even further: how will we compete with South Korea or Japan when their internet is so much better than ours, and so much cheaper? If we let cable companies choose how they want to deliver Internet to people when they already have a monopoly on cable infrastructure within the united states, we're setting our internet back to a feudal period, stifling innovation, while the rest of the world goes on into an evermore industrialized future. For what? A couple extra morsels for the already overstuffed cable companies? I can't believe this is still a discussion; I can't believe you people, at all. How morally repugnant

you are. I've said it before, and I'll say it again: Ajit Pai, you are a disgrace to Desis everywhere, and a disgrace to humanity.

1380. chris lieb, Chester, NJ, 07930  
The internet is one of the most important pillars of our society. It allows the people to express, business to compete on equal ground and allows for a free and true American dream. We all deserve the right given to us known as the pursuit of happiness and altering net neutrality in any negative fashion will violate that right.
1381. Thom Hartnett, belle mead, NJ, 08502  
Pretty sure everyone in favor of this is rich enough already, just stop being dicks
1382. Carlene Palia, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Please please please preserve net neutrality!!! As a daily user of the internet, I revere my freedom to roam anywhere...please do not take that away!
1383. deborah foster, Chester, NJ, 07930  
I believe in Net Neutrality! It must stay!
1384. Valentin Post, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
The internet is a tool. The internet is a library. The internet is an entertainment center. The internet is a workspace. By allowing ISP's to determine what we use the internet for, you limit the potential of the internet in its entirety.
1385. Richard Curtis, Princeton, NJ, 08540  
Net Neutrality should be a right for every American. Don't allow corporations to decide what access each citizen has to data. Freedom of speech requires freedom of access to information if we want to remain a democracy
1386. Thomas Deatly, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I support ISPs being treated as a Title II common carrier and regulated by the FCC. I also believe that the Open Internet Rules from 2015 should remain in place. If one does away with these rules, I think people are going to be upset with this. For example, I am a freelance videographer and I upload footage via internet, which can take a lot of time. If the new FCC regulations go through, it could take a longer time to upload footage to my boss, thus taking much longer to get my work done. I am probably not the only one that will be affected by this and could affect businesses all over the country, by taking more time with their work through the internet. Please rethink your proposal and do what is right for the American people. Thank you for your time and consideration.
1387. Dennis Mancl, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I am opposed to the proposed changes in the current "net neutrality" rules. I have worked in telecom for 35 years, and I have seen how an open Internet has spurred the creation of a flood of new businesses and services. The proposed rule changes would hamper the creation of new ideas and new business models. Please keep the Internet as open as possible.
1388. Jeff Joralemon, Chester, NJ, 07930  
Do not restrict the Internet!
1389. Kenneth Smith, Garwood, NJ, 07027  
The policy of Net Neutrality is what made the Internet into the incredible place it is today. Changing those rules would not only destroy much of what has been created but also slow progress on future advancements and make it harder for small businesses to succeed. It would be a disastrous decision for the people of the USA and one that absolutely should not be made.
1390. Vipul Bhandari, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
FCC has been trying to push the case for a few monopolistic companies. We need net-neutrality, so that new start-ups can challenge the old ones, so that we do not get keep building on hubris. FCC Chairman has ignored all human petitions and allowed big money bots to push comments. It is a government of the people, not the government of the corporations.
1391. Luke Maciak, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and I urge you to protect them. Allowing these regulations and allowing internet service providers to freely throttle and filter traffic from competitors would be disastrous for the American tech industry. As a web and app designer whose career depends on the open and fair internet access, relaxing the net neutrality rules would have immediate negative impact on my livelihood. Allowing large corporations to throttle competitor traffic would also be disastrous for American startup industry as a whole. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. I urge you to keep the Obama era net neutrality rules in place.
1392. Network Neutrality, bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I support of net neutrality.
1393. Jeffrey Haluska, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828  
Please continue to treat all online traffic equally. Companies that don't support net neutrality just want to increase costs to their users, extort successful online companies and protect their own interests. All their arguments can be solved with technical implementations that do not require laws.
1394. Ryan Belfer, Watchung, NJ, 07069  
I don't know if you're going to read all of these, or at least the ones not just pasted from somewhere else, but I would like my opinion heard. It's blatantly obvious that the vast, vast majority of this country wants to keep the Title II net neutrality. In fact, I don't know a single person that doesn't have ties to an ISP that wants to get rid of net neutrality. The simple truth is this: you at the FCC have the

power to do things that the rest of us don't, and you don't care about the plight of the hundreds of millions of people against what you are doing. You will do what you want anyway because the ISP lobbyists are giving you great treatment and promise of money and power. The government is supposed to be about serving those that you represent, not tossing that aside for personal gain. Nepotism and cronyism have existed for as long as society, but there comes a point at which people will not tolerate it any longer. The current administration has been pushing that envelope for nearly six months already. If the allegations involving Russia were true, that means a very small group of people are selling out the country and weakening it at a pressing time in history, just for personal profit. Regardless of the truth of the allegations, if net neutrality is repealed, it will be the exact same thing. The internet is a powerful tool, and if it becomes a machine for profit and political censorship, there will be much more civil unrest, because it is such an integral part of our lives. I'm sure you don't care that you will go down in history as villains if it is repealed, because you've "got yours." For the rest of us: the blue collar workers, the students, teachers, white collar workers, even doctors and lawyers, our lives will all be adversely affected. The support for this repeal is so flimsy that it seems nearly not worth putting up such a facade. You might as well come out and say, "Well, the lobbyists are paying us more and giving us cushy jobs after this, so we are listening to them." The ISP business is already a virtual monopoly through collusion. Each local government has a deal with one, maybe two ISP's at most. There is no choice. Even if you argue that this allows smaller ISP's to start up easier, that completely disregards the capital costs. A company has to dig and lay miles and miles of cables, which is so expensive that it virtually shuts out competition. If you rent bandwidth from an established company, it will be impossible to be competitive when your distributor is also your top competitor. You should be ashamed of yourselves for betraying just about the whole of the American public if you do repeal net neutrality, but I'm sure you don't care what people think of you, which is likely how the top positions were filled anyway. I know that no amount of asking to not repeal net neutrality will change anything if your minds are set, but just know that this will be further-reaching and more consequential than anything else this administration has done so far. People will not sit back and take this lightly. Please do the right thing, and keep net neutrality.

1395. Gregory Blaise Millman, Green Brook, NJ, 08812  
i recently came back from China and i have had enough with websites being blocked. Plus as an avid gamer i am wary of giving my isps too much power over my internet speed when they have already proven the fact that they dont care about delivering on their promises
1396. Scott Schweitzer, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
The Internet is the ultimate library. While there is some bad content out there, the freedoms we have on the internet express the free will and spirit of the people who use it. It is an unparalleled way to connect with people from around the world, and it would be an unfair advantage for an ISP to be able to sway you in one way or another without you having the power to make a decision on your own. The internet should stay open and unrestricted.
1397. sebastian javela, Dover, NJ, 07801  
I want Net Neutrality #savetheinternet
1398. Mary Alison Grey, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
The internet must remain neutral and equally free access to all.
1399. james cally, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
All of the US citizens should have the power to choose which websites and apps are best. Our internet service providers and the Federal Communications Commission should not change these rules to limit the peoples access to the best of the internet. When nameless individuals on this commission consort to limit freedom under the presumption that they know what's best for the individual , we should all stand up against the loss of access and freedom.
1400. Roberta Travis, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
Keep Net Neutrality, free and open.
1401. Kyle Benn, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
I urge the FCC to maintain existing net neutrality rules. To eliminate net neutrality as it currently exists would give ISP's too much control over Americans' online experiences and threaten the ability of all Americans access the internet equitably. As a teacher in a low-income district, it is imperative that my students have equitable access to information to prepare for employment and citizenship. Please do not roll back existing net neutrality laws.
1402. Ethan Sebasco, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
Our country has already fallen behind the global standard for fast, reliable broadband. By not fighting to protect net neutrality and giving in to the demands of monopoly-driven companies such as Comcast and AT&T, you threaten the very thing that makes the internet great for all. Regulate it like a utility, pay the companies decently to maintain and actually upgrade the grid, and everyone wins. Ethan Sebasco
1403. Peter Messler, Mountainside, NJ, 07092  
Getting rid of net neutrality is anti-consumer and absolutely cannot happen.
1404. Jennifer Whaley, Milford, NJ, 08848  
Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether "throttling" should be regulated. This brings to mind a lot of issues for many people. I have plans to be an internet broadcaster, and throttling could potentially hurt all of the online broadcasters who count on stable internet connection for their living. Internet providers in the past were told by the courts that throttling content based on what they thought was unlawful or permissible was wrong and that they had to stop doing so, wouldn't this allow that to happen again? I also fear that mobile smart phone plans that are "unlimited" will end up being untrue as they will be able to limit the amount of data used, and then throttle speeds for website that aren't their approved zero-rating network.
1405. Scott DeGuilo, Green Brook, NJ, 08812  
The internet should be open and unregulated. Rules should be reserved for criminal activities as long as they do not limit free people.

1406. Zach, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Hi my name is Zach and I am currently in my third year of college. Throughout my life the internet has become and is a major aspect of my life. It has let me connect with and talk with many of my friends that I normally wouldn't be able to without it, and has greatly improved my academics as well as it allows me to access all the information of the world in an instant. I get the internet for its unparalleled network of communication and how it allows me to access information and news from all areas of the world allowing me to have a better knowledge about the entire world. I believe that the internet is essential for everyone and should not lose its equality and freedom due to the loss of net neutrality. I believe that the FCC's job is to protect the users of the internet from those that would take advantage of its users due to the loss of net neutrality.
1407. Whittney Chu, Warren, NJ, 07059  
Please continue to classify ISPs as common carriers under Title II. Mr. Pai stated Title II was a heavy-handed regulation on ISPs. However, I support these current regulations. Keep on classifying ISPs as common carriers under Title II.
1408. MEG ROCHE, CLINTON, NJ, 08809  
Stop the corruption - we already have enough & we've had enough. We need to keep net neutrality! We are in the US not China ffs
1409. Joseph Camerone, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Do not give the power to restrict or limit the internet, the greatest socio-technical phenomena ever, to the hands of predatory and monopolistic ISP companies. Then especially don't limit the barrier to entry for anyone to compete with a clearly better internet product. They prey and pray on your privilege.
1410. Garrick Scott, Mount Arlington, NJ, 07856  
I must please ask not to repeal Net Neutrality. It is so important for small businesses like my family's to be able to use the internet to reach their clientele. With the new rules they will be swept under the rug by bigger businesses. Also I only have access to one ISP in my area for so I do not have options regarding who will be my ISP. This is a huge problem and can not happen!
1411. Drew Torres, summit, NJ, 07901  
Come on, really!?! This needs to stop now
1412. Charlie Rinaldi, Mount Arlington, NJ, 07856  
If it ain't broke, don't "fix" it.
1413. leslie hardin, summit, NJ, 07901  
My firm and the businesses we advise would be invisible without net neutrality. Small and medium-sized businesses cannot grow into big businesses if they cannot be found and can only provide information and services from "the slow lane". Net neutrality supports entrepreneurs and the opportunity to build businesses that employ a significant portion of those employed in this country. Preserve our economy by supporting net neutrality!
1414. Elizabeth, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
Please protect net neutrality! We do not need to have internet control - that limits our access to knowledge and freedom of choice. Controlling the Internet will not make anything better for citizens.
1415. Nick, Rocky Hill, NJ, 08553  
Net neutrality is a basic extension of simple rights! By allowing companies to charge extra fees and monitor data usage you are essentially screwing over the PEOPLE YOU WERE ELECTED TO REPRESENT in favor of a few CEOs. The fact that this subject is even up for debate is completely ridiculous! I hope that whoever you are starts remembering what they were elected to do, and protect the common person from bullshit like this! Nick
1416. Gaia Aires, Somerville, NJ, 08869  
Do not do this. Net Neutrality is essential to our function as a modern, democratic society.
1417. Jason Karpinski, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
I would like protections in place to assure that the internet remains open and level across people of all economic classes. I would like to prevent fast lanes for people to pay their way to the top. Internally, companies should be able to patch to servers of other companies they have dealings with to increase speeds between the two, however, throttling of customer access should never be allowed. Infrastructure spending may have gone down, but that MAY be because companies are now spending more money on R&D to be able to better use the infrastructure that's already in place. Removal of Net Neutrality Laws will put money in the hands of companies instead of knowledge, sources, and communication in the hands of the American people, and anything that gets in the way of education of any American is should not be allowed in our country.
1418. noah ensslin, westfield, NJ, 07090  
what could we possibly have to gain by limiting the access that users have to the internet? it's almost like this is a money grabbing scheme that will end up making internet usage miserable. thanks a lot
1419. Brady Neill, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Stop being corrupt and do your jobs
1420. Jay Hernandez, North Plainfield, NJ, 07060  
With the internet becoming something that is part of everyone's lives, it's a total scam to have full control over it like that. This will just make people leave the services of companies like Comcast, Verizon and others.
1421. Christopher Lee, North Plainfield, NJ, 07062

I'm sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.

1422. Patrice Thoms-Cappello, Glen Gardner, NJ, 08826  
I support net neutrality as it was settled during the Obama administration-- that is-- backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. So many of our given understandings of what is the normal way of doing things are now undergoing rapid change fueled by some rapacious desire to sell some of the forms of communication that we need to hold our country together. Just as radio and television since FDR have been a common meeting space and determined to belong to all citizens, the internet must not be sold in size or speed or queue placement to the highest bidder. Please think of America as the place where we used to try to give all a fair shot a level playing field-- one has only to see the disasters of the selling off of cable rights in the 1980s to see what an unequal playing field looks like. Why, along with climate change abatement and access to medical care does the European Union now lead the United States in net neutrality -- fighting for all citizens to have the full fruits of democratic citizenship? A basic commitment to our constitution and to the social contract therein needs to be the guiding principle, not what the most powerful lobbyists or industry groups want. Sincerely, Dr. Thoms-Cappello
1423. Kaitlyn Van Baalen, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
I support net neutrality to protect a free and open internet. Internet Service Providers (ISPs) should remain under the oversight of Title II of the Communications Act.
1424. Ryan Fino, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
The internet needs to be kept safe from corporations and people that will exploit users for their own gain. Please.
1425. Owen Rhatican, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
This seems like a ridiculous thing to do. Don't internet providers make enough money? I want access to everything for one price, this could ruin small businesses and could seriously impact our society for the worse. This is unconstitutional because it is limiting our education, limiting our news access, and limiting our freedom of the press. This is not okay and something needs to be done.
1426. Timothy Kelman, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
Please defend 'net neutrality'. The internet is closer to a utility than a voluntary service. Giving service providers complete control will hurt small businesses and this country's economy. They already have a poor track record of customer service and fair treatment of popular websites. In many areas they already have an effective monopoly on providing service. Don't give them more power to take advantage of their customers and dictate what websites we get to see. Thank you.
1427. Kurt Weber, Watchung, NJ, 07069  
Keep the internet open. Information wants to be free and you must prevent large businesses from controlling the flow of information. Humanity needs to more communication, not less.
1428. Ethan Smith, Summit, NJ, 07901  
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY! IT IS SO IMPORTANT IN THIS MODERN ERA AND WILL CONTINUE TO BE MOVING TOWARDS THE FUTURE! GETTING RID OF IT WILL FUNDAMENTALLY CHANGE HOW THE INTERNET OPERATES AND WILL BE A FAILURE TO FUTURE GENERATIONS
1429. Twitch, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Open internet is the key to the future and net neutrality will ruin everything.
1430. Net Nutrality, Port Murray, NJ, 07865  
Keep Net Neutrality, The internet should be free anti net neutrality restricts freedom of expression. Keep the internet free.
1431. Kevin Wall, Glen Gardner, NJ, 08826  
I want to add my voice in favor of keeping current net neutrality rules. Let the people control their own online experiences!
1432. Michael Saccento, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Net neutrality needs to be protected under Title II. It keeps the internet thriving as it is and keeps individuals who use it safe from the control of major corporations. This should not be reviewed, for as it currently stands no changes should be made to the net neutrality system that already works.
1433. twitch, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
We need net neutrality for the future of technology and business!!!!
1434. Dorothea Kellogg, East Amwell, NJ, 08551  
Please maintain the existing net neutrality rules.
1435. Andre Colalillo, Bound Brook, NJ, 08805  
Please keep Net Neutrality active!
1436. Dennis Lee, Union, NJ, 07083  
Exactly why are we revisiting net neutrality once again; this is absolutely ridiculous every other country has enacted laws and rules with regulations to prevent the corrupt abutse of net neutrality rules and regulation and laws; its a sad day indeed when our legislators who we elect to represent us take it upon themselves to reverse potential net neutrality laws, rules and regulation designed to prevent and keep potential net neutrality abuses from running rampant in corporate america and yes it is not difficult to see or even imagine who stands to profit from all these sweeping changes in net neutrality laws, rules and regulations; it enough to make one sick to even consider that we are actually going backwards in terms of progress for defined positive net neutrality laws, rules and regulations enforcement; even if all we do is to have the same net neutrality rules, laws and regulations that already exist in other countries already

that would be a good place to start; it would seem our elected legislators do not actually have a net neutrality plan in place and that the only real net neutrality plan is to roll it back; we should really ask how and why has this actually become absolutely necessary I am truly not even satisfied with any of the answers I have heard so far on the net neutrality rules making from our both our elected legislative officials and other government leaders.

1437. jorge flores, phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
I am a young entrepreneur who is in the process of starting his own business. Please allow net neutrality to stay as the rule of the land. Give us an equal chance to success.
1438. Matthew D. Lerner, Warren, NJ, 07059  
The current administration has made a mockery of American institutions and American democracy. Please allow the internet to stay as a beacon of freedom enjoyed by people of all types and socio-economic status.
1439. Naomi, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I support strong net neutrality laws. Corporations should not have control over or the ability to censor peoples' access to information.
1440. Ted Kling, Neshanic Station, NJ, 08853  
I believe that net neutrality is an important tool in strengthening our freedom of speech principles. Any reductions in broadband response can cause users such as me to move to another source of the answer/service that we were in search of, not knowing if the first selection was the best. Furthermore, the cost of broadband continues to rise to absurd heights, since there is usually no effective competition to the local cable carrier. The government has already allowed Verizon to cherry-pick their areas of service for FIOS. In my town, some areas are covered, and some are not, so clearly fair competition is not provided. I do not believe that the FCC has the consumer's interest in mind when eliminating net neutrality. Please keep the neutrality rules.
1441. Micahel Luongo, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Net neutrality is needed to help my business. Without it, larger companies would be able to buy prioritization and prevent people from being able to visit my website. We cannot afford to pay the ISP's any more.
1442. Werner Strohmaier, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
apparently you are too busy doing nothing, so you want to give the Comcasts, etc even more monopoly power. you are supposed to favor competition, but instead are helping to entrench monopolies.
1443. Ryan Joseph, Succasunna, NJ, 07876  
We need net neutrality. Depriving the people of the 21st Century of looking up and using internet browsers in their own way is harsh censorship of our own media. We should be better than this--the people deserve to know the truth and the people deserve the use the internet as they please.
1444. John Fulton, Whitehouse Station, NJ, 08889  
Net neutrality is one of the most important free expression issues of our time. I urge you to keep Title II net neutrality in place as it is crucial for our economy, our democracy, and our rights to free speech. Thank you.
1445. Kim Perkins, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
I believe in net neutrality and do not want it removed. Internet is a utility just like any other and all people should have access.
1446. Brian Pereyra, Dover, NJ, 07801  
This nonsense needs to stop. The internet should not be limited because it would leave so many people at a disadvantage with their jobs, their education, and so much more. It's ridiculous that I even have to do this. Please reconsider.
1447. Michael Cretella, Landing, NJ, 07850  
Leave the internet alone. We support net neutrality!
1448. Peter P Caruso, Succasunna, NJ, 07876  
During oral arguments in Verizon v. FCC in 2013, judges asked whether the phone giant would favor some preferred services, content or sites over others if the court overruled the agency's existing open internet rules. Verizon counsel Helgi Walker had this to say: "I am authorized to state from my client today that but for these rules we would be exploring those types of arrangements." Walker's admission might have gone unnoticed had she not repeated it on at least five separate occasions during arguments. This is not what the internet should become.
1449. Jackson Charles Bahr, Watchung, NJ, 07069  
I am in support of net neutrality. I understand it must be a complicated issue, but the solution cannot involve ISPs being able to influence the traffic they send. Would anybody accept a lack of postal neutrality? We'll ship your goods faster from ebay because they paid us more? No! It's unfair because consumers do \*not\* have the amount of money to be able to influence shipping. Similarly, only by the ISPs good graces would we be lucky enough to pay money to get faster access to websites. This is about what world we choose to create. Do we create one where people must bribe for the fast access they had before? Or do we create one where all internet traffic is equal? I can't understand why you would choose the former. Please support the latter.
1450. Alexander Anton, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
The internet is a resource made by and for public use, restricting access to the web will hinder business and is a step backwards in terms of progression and evolution of society as a whole. Net Neutrality must not be compromised for the profit of ISPs and other large corporations that can take advantage over other smaller companies and the public. Keep the web as it is.
1451. Atul Pandya, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828

I propose internet neutrality

1452. Lisa Falkenstern, Califon, NJ, 07830  
I think it is very important to keep net neutrality by keeping it under Title II.
1453. Matthew Squicciarini, Whitehouse Station, NJ, 08889  
Net neutrality is critical to the well being of the internet. Everyone who is educated on the matter, and isn't profiting from its repeal, supports net neutrality. There is literally no GOOD reason to take it away. We all know it's about putting more money in the pockets of rich folks. It's quite clear. We all want to have a free and open internet for anyone to share information and extend ideas and projects of all sorts to people around the globe. Small business, which is the cornerstone of our economy (or what's left of it) will benefit from net neutrality by being able to compete with the corporate giants. (The same giants who want net neutrality gone, quite obviously.) Please support America's ideals of freedom, liberty, and equality in this matter of net neutrality, just as you might for your own personal freedom of speech, or your freedom to bear arms. It is truly the same thing in a technological space. Thank you for your consideration, Matt Squicciarini
1454. Luke Rogenas, Califon, NJ, 07830  
I am in favor of the current Net Neutrality Rules remaining in place because the internet in its current status and fashion have changed my life for the better in every way. Without the internet, I have no idea where I would be today, but I know for a fact I would not be satisfied or happy with the life I'm living. I'm a 16 year old High School student who truly relies on the internet every single day, without a doubt. If ISP's are able to control which sites we are and are not allowed to visit, or if they are allowed to choke our speeds to certain sites while prioritizing others, it would ruin, or at least greatly taint, the value and overall greatness of the internet as a whole. I speak from a personal perspective, please do not repeal the current Net Neutrality rules.
1455. Zachary Power, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
The internet has become a constant source of freedom and power for the American people. To allow privatized companies to manipulate the speed and access to the internet would directly reduce all Americans' freedom to acquire and spread information.
1456. Matthew Dunster, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
Don't throttle or limit the internet. Keep it open, free, and competitive.
1457. leandro berges, Washington, NJ, 07882  
net neutrality is literally what protects us small folks from unfair treatment from ISP's
1458. Ms. Virginia Allgaier, Stirling, NJ, 07980  
I support net neutrality. Don't allow the networks to decide which website gets priority! Keep the net free!
1459. Lenny Wintfeld, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
This proceeding if passed will had over profits and control of internet freedom to the largest telecom corporations. You must retract it if you have any decency. I've contacted my representative and both senators on this matter.
1460. Patrick O'Connor, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I oppose this and any other limitation proposed to limit my access to the Internet open Internet. I already pay for the bandwidth to use Internet services allowing an ISP to throttle, block, or otherwise further limit my access to these services not only harms me but thousands of companies that use the Internet to conduct business.
1461. Douglas Barr, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
Please allow net neutrality to thrive. Having ISPs control the pipeline by throttling and prioritizing is extraordinarily dangerous to innovation and small businesses. I will be voting again next election and will be on the look-out for representatives who fight against Internet inequality.
1462. Kasey Rhead, Wharton, NJ, 07885  
The FCC's net neutrality rules are extremely important to me, and I urge you to protect them. I have bought goods from websites like Etsy and RedBubble, which would not be possible if ISPs favored sites like Amazon. I love the stickers, clothes, and other merchandise I have received from these places, which largely consist of people who would not have a platform to sell such products if the net neutrality rules were different. Please protect them and my ability to enjoy the work of these small businesspeople.
1463. Kristin Sanborn, Summit, NJ, 07901  
The time to regulate the internet as a commercial venture has passed. The internet is now the air we all breathe. Net neutrality protections must remain in place so that the internet is recognized as what it has become - A UTILITY!! Please, do not allow service providers to control traffic flow and extract maximum rents from consumers and corporations alike. Making changes to net neutrality will stymie innovation and our economy, hurting both consumers and small businesses.
1464. Bhupinder Singh, Union, NJ, 07083  
Keep the net neutral! Citizens should have the right to consume the content they desire, so as long as the content is not harmful to others, of course. This legislation is not aligned with freedom of choice, a core American value. Strike down this proposition and rule in favor of choice, not the corporation control and greed.
1465. Alison K, Annandale, NJ, 08801  
Please keep ISPs under Title II. Title I is completely ineffective. If we want the internet to stay the magical unicorn place it is we need to keep it running efficiently. Moving back to Title I would mean that the internet becomes biased and that isn't fun for a 3 year old who just wants to play games. Or a high school student that needs to write a paper. These are PEOPLE being potentially affected by this change. Not just the companies.

1466. Taylor Wilson, Union, NJ, 07083  
As a person who grew up with the internet, the internet has provided me many opportunities in my education, career, and even in my personal life. I love the internet the way it is, and it would not be the same if anything were to change. I 100% support net neutrality and encourage you to do the same. The internet NEEDS net neutrality. Do the right thing.
1467. Andrew Gedrich, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828  
Keeping net neutrality in place is absolutely critical for America's technological leadership.
1468. Arthur Sherbatuk, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
I support strong net neutrality rules because the internet is the future frontier of business and ideas and needs to be kept as a level playing field for all. Access to the entire internet means that we all have more opportunities and chances to start an internet business or have a free exchange of ideas and information.
1469. Fernando Figueroa, Union, NJ, 07083  
The internet is home to a handful of communities I'm actively involved in. Mostly talking about video games and with a sense of comfort/friendship that we can talk about our personal lives even if the situation doesn't call for some real talk. Without the freedom to talk with them that would be the same as alienating all of those friends at the same time.
1470. Simon Rosenstein, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Preserve an open equal-access internet. Do not permit ISPs to slow, prefer, or show preference for particular traffic.
1471. Abigail Johnson, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Net Neutrality is the cornerstone of privacy and freedom on the internet. America will not sit idly by as this administration dismantles that. I am highly in favor of net neutrality and any country that professes to be the birthplace of freedom should be as well.
1472. Vincent James Marti, Ringoes, NJ, 08551  
We need to keep a strong Net Neutrality for a free and open internet. Pulling back on net neutrality will only lead to a worse experience for all internet users. Not keeping a strong Net Neutrality can lead to ISPs charging radical prices for access to competitor websites or end up throttling user connections or flat out blocking websites that the ISP doesn't have some interest in. Please consider the drastic repercussions of not keeping with a strong net neutrality ruling.
1473. Matthew Reilly, Ledgewood, NJ, 07852  
KEEP net neutrality. There is NO valid reason whatsoever as to why our government needs to control what THEY think should receive speed priority on the web. This is absurd.
1474. Donald L Hahn, Glen Gardner, NJ, 08826  
I am a small business owner, 100% of my sales come from my company's website. If the internet is not kept open and free, I am at risk of being extorted by monopolistic ISPs in order to continue with business. Please do not allow the net to be restricted in such a manner, allow us to continue with the freedom which is our right. Thank you.
1475. Greg Trench, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
Our forefathers wanted us to treat each other equally and also wanted us to have even and fair internet. Don't throw shade at our forefathers. Keep the net neutral.
1476. Dave Hulteen, Jr., Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Hi! Please keep net neutrality. I have an Etsy store and sell art online and ISPs could favor Amazon or eBay. I don't believe they would adhere to an honor system and anything that favors big business does not have my interests in mind. Thank you for your time.
1477. Lauren, Cranford, NJ, 07017  
PLEASE keep net neutrality protections in place so that the internet can remain an equal, equitable resource for ALL citizens of the world. PLEASE!!!!!!!
1478. Michael Quick, Milford, NJ, 08848  
removing net neutrality will create a barrier for entry for businesses that need to transfer large quantities of data, thus hurting the economy. Data is data, regardless of who or where it is coming from. Removing net neutrality will only perpetuate, if not worsen, the oligopoly in the telecommunications market.
1479. Garrett Blakeslee, Stirling, NJ, 07980  
To whom it may concern:I hope you will not bow to corporate pressure and sign away our freedom on the internet. Net neutrality is the cornerstone of a free and open internet. If it is taken away telecoms and ISPs can censor and throttle information at will. They could influence the information people get and block out ideas they may consider subversive. One may think that the ability to block something like hate speech is a good thing however who is to decide what is subversive? Is it the corporate executive who would like to maintain the status quo? If that is the case then things like Arab Spring and the Women's March on Washington could never happen. So I implore you to vote with the American people and not with the monopolistic telecom agencies.Sincerely,A concerned voterGarrett Blakeslee
1480. Peter LaMont, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
The fact we are wasting time and resources on this issue is almost as concerning as the issue itself. In most instances the consumer is limited to their options of internet service provider (ISP) and as such allowing these ISP's to discriminate or charge different fees by specific user or content etc violates equal protection. Moreover, the aforementioned limit of option constitutes a monopoly and therefore regulation of these utilities is in the best interest of the public. Many of these ISP's share other media interests such as phone and television services. Without regulation, their ability to force feed these services to you will restricting or severely limiting competitors

will only increase the monopoly that these providers are. The internet serves the greater public in a way beyond other public utilities have. However at the end of the day it IS a public utility.

1481. Maximilian Rodriguez, Hackettstown, NJ, 07853

The internet in the state it is today is something that my generation has grown up with. It has allowed us access to the ideas of others. We have grown up with the internet and have seen it become a place that has something that everyone can enjoy. And if there is not something then you can make it yourself! None of us want to live in a world where the internet and its sites are handed over to the hands of corporations to effectively determine what we can and cannot visit. The smallest site and the biggest are treated exactly the same. This bill wants to change that and to give all the power to the biggest things there are. I want net neutrality to stay intact because I want generations to come to be able to have access to whatever data they need to explore themselves and find who they are on the internet. I don't want that to go away for corporations that already have more money than they need. Kill this bill.

1482. Jeremy Borkowsky, Long Hill Township, NJ, 07946

I support Net Neutrality rules and have seen firsthand how the lack of such rules will impact the access to information on my network. This is not a theoretical debate about "how the ISPs might throttle us," by prior to net neutrality rules and even now after net neutrality rules, I have witnessed Comcast throttle Netflix and YouTube connections rendering their services unusable. Revoking net neutrality rules will impact every internet-using American consumer's access to information and services in a usable manner in addition to the increased "cost of business" of fast lanes being passed along to the consumer. I pay my ISP an extremely high bill for internet access and they post obscene profits. I pay my taxes to the US and State Governments who use some of those funds to provide tax breaks and grants to ISPs who promise to build out their networks, yet fail to fulfill their promises while keeping their tax breaks and grants. And now, through the revocation of Net Neutrality rules, I will pay the passed along fees of the internet services I use since they will be required to pay additional fees for "fast lanes" just to provide usable services.

1483. Jack Weitze, Skillman, NJ, 08558

I consider myself to be, at least fiscally, conservative on the political spectrum. I believe that in almost all situations, reducing government intervention and allowing the innate strength of a capitalist system drive the economy is the single most efficient method. A common argument for removing net neutrality is to reduce government intervention, and instead allow ISP's to operate as they wish. However, this is not what a true capitalist economy demands. By letting ISPs operate without net neutrality, they will perform at the expense of the consumer. The consumer in this case is just about every single person living in this country. So in effect, removing net neutrality is not a decision of removing restrictions on ISP's, it is a decision of removing necessary protections of the consumer. In other words, in an attempt to make the economy a more capitalist environment by removing government restrictions, the exact opposite effect will transpire. I'm sure many of the votes against net neutrality will come from those who believe the government has no business meddling in the economy whatsoever. In this situation, the government is required and obligated to meddle, at least a little, in the economy for the sake of the American people, because the alternative of letting ISPs reign free is not capitalist in any sense of the word. Thank you very much for your consideration and time, Jack

1484. David Wilson, Succasunna, NJ, 07876

I'd like to express my disapproval that the FCC is trying to kill net neutrality and the strong Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. The internet is an important part of our personal and professional lives, and it has been able to grow and evolve, largely due to net neutrality. I think it would be a terrifying mistake to change that. Thank you for your time and attention.

1485. e, Milford, NJ, 08848

Killing net neutrality will ultimately kill my entire town. I live in a very poor town that relies on internet to connect each other with work and expanding local community outreach, such as events and helping elderly and domestic violence survivors access to education and new skills, and reclassifying the internet the same way cable is would ultimately destroy the programs because of the price inflation. The local library would be run at half mast to compensate for the pricing- small town libraries can hardly stand as they are- and having free wifi for people would end immediately. During hurricane sandy I relied on the library's internet to complete school work and communicate with people who were dealing with flooding, power outages, and needed help on how to get their generators running or knowing someone who had one. Removing net neutrality would effectively disable millions of towns such as mine from the now-required access to the internet to continue our daily lives. Do not reclassify the internet, leave it as it is.

1486. Chris Czechowicz, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844

What are you guys doing. I can't even play Overwatch with the internet I have now, and now you guys want to make it worse? Will I even be able to hit GrandMaster by the end of the season with this shitty internet I have now. Don't change stuff that works.

1487. Morgan Boetsma, Milford, NJ, 08848

I support a free and open internet, free from restrictions on access put in place by corporate greed. Chairman Pai's plan is a cut and paste of mega-corporations internet wishlists. The internet should be a basic human right for all, free, open, and unrestricted.

1488. Jacob Cohen, Summit, NJ, 07901

I oppose this change to the FCC rules which seeks to scale back net neutrality protections and reclassify ISPs under a different legal status. The proceeding, as it is written, pretends to help small businesses by engaging in "light-touch regulatory rule," but what this really means is that large telecom corporations will not be regulated in the same way as they are now and will be able to charge more money for certain kinds of internet access. Net neutrality, as it currently stands, WORKS, and we do NOT need changes, especially under the urging of someone who used to work for one of these large telecom corporations.

1489. Pradeep Ravindra, Summit, NJ, 07901

I am a decorated combat veteran of Operation New Dawn. I humbly request that you keep net neutrality. It is an economical powerhouse that allows entrepreneurs and businesses to grow. Free markets are an essential part of American freedom.

1490. Stacy, Clark, NJ, 07066

Good morning: My name is Stacy Brody. I am currently a Master of Information student at Rutgers University and hope to become an

academic librarian or corporate information specialist. The current push by corporate interests to end net neutrality is deeply disturbing to myself and many in this field. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to personal freedom, a concept at the very core of our country's constitution. I urge you to protect them and the people you represent. Ending net neutrality will give ISPs the power to block websites, slow select sites down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Considering the extent of information sharing and commerce that occurs online, ending net neutrality rules places a large portion of the economy in the hands of a few powerful corporations. What happens to a "free" market in this situation? Do NOT let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. As a student in the information sciences, I see great value in the freedom to access and share information online freely. This is a key resource for all of us and the information for which we search can be deeply personal. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. If some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses - just to further enrich a few cable giants. In addition to being an information science student, I am also an entrepreneur with a web presence. Will potential customers wait for a small business site like mine to load in a world without net neutrality? Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay to have their voices heard. I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do what you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Stacy Brody Stacy

1491. Erica Measday, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
complete internet access is essential in this day and age
1492. Chris Cerrachio, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
My whole life and career revolves around the internet. Myself as well as the American people, deserve an unfiltered experience to the greatest invention the world has ever seen. I plead that you maintain the current state of net neutrality and not let the future be in the hands of big business/those who have the power to regulate it.
1493. Logan Reilly, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
Keep the internet free. Stop being greedy.
1494. Net Neutrality, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Please don't monopolize this industry. It's bad enough we have Facebook putting ads in the middle of their videos. We don't need big business choosing what media content we are being exposed to, Let freedom of expression on the internet live on.
1495. Liam, Milford, NJ, 08848  
Net Neutrality is so important because Internet's a service that allows many to access information, entertainment, current events, and much more. Restricting the connection strength, website accessibility, and service reliability based on irrelevant corporate or government bias would be detrimental to millions of Americans. Repealing Net Neutrality would be a huge mistake.
1496. Zoe Boardman, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
I support net neutrality, the free and open internet regulated by Title II. Good day.
1497. Elizabeth Gallagher, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
The internet should be free to use regardless of the application and I do not agree with the idea that some companies can manage our usage and possibly slow access to some applications/websites and speed it up for others.
1498. Elizabeth Tripod, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Net Neutrality is a vital right of every American! Don't let us become communist China, support our freedom! Support Net Neutrality! Do not censor my internet, my right to free knowledge and free speech!
1499. Net neutrality, westfield, NJ, 07090  
Dont give the right for mega companies like Comcast and Verizon to expand their monopolies by allowing them to cripple other companies on the internet.
1500. Megan Hansen, Clark, NJ, 07066  
Keep Net Neutrality! The internet should be free for all! No one should get priority speeds!
1501. Kartik Neelbhairav Hoover, Watchung, NJ, 07069  
By changing the current FCC policy, you are endangering the sanctity of the internet and all the freedom it allows. We will not stand for this
1502. Kenneth Hoffman, Mountainside, NJ, 07092  
Please preserve net neutrality by continuing Title II classification and oversight
1503. mark tarlazz, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
I support strong protection for net neutrality as currently provided under Title II. A return to the weak regulation provided by Title I is not acceptable.
1504. martin murphy, Long Valley, NJ, 07853

I strongly oppose any change in rule making that would compromise net neutrality. Please Keep the 2015 FCC "light touch" rulings intact. Than you.

1505. Casey, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
Net Neutrality is imperative for the protection of our First Amendment right, as the repeal would restrict the ability to access and spread information, as well as giving companies the ability to hide opposition speech.
1506. Danielle Janiszewski, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
The internet must remain free and neutral if we don't want to fall victim to excessive regulation which would upturn our current society as a whole. Free speech is the cornerstone of our society. People will find a way, regardless of regulation, to cut through anything blocking their freedom. These rules would do that, and people will not just let it happen. The internet is ingrained in all of our lives, it's an inseparable part of who we are as humans right now. You need it to find jobs, the news, research, and even find love for some. The sum of human knowledge should be available to everyone without restrictions. It is a necessity and not a luxury in this age. Regulating it would go against everything the internet and our country has stood for.
1507. james king, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
I believe in net neutrality I don't want websites services such as xbox live Netflix and others to have advantages over the other.... it's not fair and it's not what the internet was mad for. Also rising internet cost even more to use those services would be catastrophic to Americans across the whole country.
1508. Brian Wood, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Internet should be a right and a utility, it should not be segmented according to private companies
1509. Marc Rosenberg, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Free and open access to the internet, unimpeded by ISPs, is necessary to development of a free and fair society. Given the opportunity, ISPs will use the ability to throttle up and down the speed of the internet to charge consumers higher prices for their current services. Net Neutrality rules help protect consumers from companies within a natural oligopoly from price gouging, and it is the FCC's job to protect consumers.
1510. William Brennan, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Maintaining net neutrality is incredibly important to me and to Anybody else with a functioning brain. Please don't screw us on this.
1511. Evan Brown, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Ideas like throttling internet and pay to use websites are ones I find completely asinine, it sounds like stuff I would hear about while reading a book about a dystopian society. And yet, here we are debating this, despite the fact that it is very clear what the people truly want: net neutrality.
1512. John Miklencic, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I think that we need net neutrality in order to have a free internet. The only ones that will benefit from Restoring Internet Freedom is large corporations and the common man and small businesses will suffer.
1513. Christopher Yu, Warren, NJ, 07059  
Putting aside the extra expenses this bill would allow ISPs to put on our internet services, giving ISPs the ability to control the flow of information is very dangerous. Being able to control the flow of information allows you to control the people, to manipulate them.
1514. Pin Far Guo, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
Net neutrality is very important! It is the best example of America's freedom! Ending net neutrality is the first step in taking away our freedom by preventing us to search for truth of press. Please do not take away our freedom! Pin Far Guo
1515. Nina Rosenstein, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
The Open Internet rules are extremely important to my livelihood. I perform internet research and don't want an ISP to have the power to block websites, slow them down, or split the internet into fast lanes and slow lanes dependent on financial gateways.
1516. Judith Versenyi, Millburn, NJ, 07041  
Do NOT rescind net neutrality! The Internet is an integral part of most Americans' lives and must be kept uniformly available to all. Do NOT accede to Internet providers' wishes to discriminate regarding Internet availability and speed on the basis of ability to pay and as a ploy to increase the providers' profits. All Americans should have the ability to use the Internet on an equal basis!
1517. Alan Runfeldt, Frenchtown, NJ, 08825  
Since the internet is more than just a series of links, but has become instead \*the\* means of communicating, finding information and building a NEW BUSINESS, I consider it to be a critical element of the world's communications infrastructure - more like roads, bridges and air waves than a simple retail service. Net neutrality is very important for the future of commerce in America. Please MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY and do NOT give the large, wealthy corporations extra leverage to "squeeze out" those of us with small, internet-reliant businesses.
1518. Brian Fields, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Net neutrality is a fundamental right, and the FCC infringes upon basic rights of the citizens by compromising net neutrality
1519. Connor Tamke, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
The internet is a place for equal opportunities and the freedom to browse whatever you like, whenever you like. Don't take away our freedoms

1520. June DeSalvio, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828  
Do NOT end Title II classification
1521. Stephanie Butikis, High Bridge, NJ, 08829  
I STRONGLY SUPPORT CONTINUING NET NEUTRALITY AND THE TITLE 2 REGULATIONS OF ISPs.
1522. Brendan McGrath, Union, NJ, 07083  
This is a comment opposing any effort to erode Net Neutrality. It benefits nearly every business and consumer in the country to maintain Net Neutrality. Eroding Net Neutrality will allow stodgy, large companies to smash competition not with superior product, but with the ability to spend smaller competitors and start ups into starvation. Monopolies will grow and consumers will pay more for less. Please do not do anything to bring this on.
1523. Angela Capalbo, Mine Hill, NJ, 07803  
The internet is a service for people to use freely. Unjustly controlling which websites and organizations are allowed greater bandwidth is criminal. I am STRONGLY against the idea of dissolving net neutrality and will express my opinion until I feel as though my ideas are heard.
1524. Sean Foster, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
Net neutrality plays a key factor in our economy and society. Ending net neutrality will not only ruin the internet, but it will ruin the lives of the billions who use it.
1525. Kristine Lister, Far Hills, NJ, 07931  
The internet should be considered a utility, you cannot allow cable companies to cherry-pick which services get faster downloads!! Keep the internet equal!!
1526. Paul M Klauser, Califon, NJ, 07830  
The internet is my livelihood, it allows such freedom of expression regardless of who you are or who you're affiliated with, that's the beauty. Prioritizing certain web content over others is a violation of free speech!
1527. Christine, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Limiting access to the internet is bullshit. Unless you're getting rid of child porn/revenge porn/abuse sites/and abusive online users. Which no one in charge does anyway. If you're making any changes, try making ones that actually matter instead of trying to limit your users!
1528. Andre Bon, Whitehouse Station, NJ, 08889  
We invented the internet and champion freedom. Can't the both exist together.
1529. Sam Shovlin, Raritan, NJ, 08869  
Leave everything alone you bugs
1530. Emily LoGiudice, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I believe the idea of handing an existing monopoly increased power, at the expense of those less privileged, goes against the values of democracy. To capitalize on a tool, such as the internet, that has the potential to be so beneficial to those with access to it, by impeding upon said access, adds to the systematic barriers already at play against emerging small businesses, innovators, and those of a low socioeconomic class unable to keep up with rising fees associated with the loss of net neutrality.
1531. shane, MT Arlington, NJ, 07856  
Protect net neutrality, don't be scumbags. free speech.
1532. Net Neutrality, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
Please keep strong net neutrality laws, for the sake of humanity.
1533. Alec Antunes, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
The Internet is the single greatest repository of knowledge, social expression, and information ever collected by humanity. If we end net neutrality & make the Internet a pay-for-play system, we are effectively ending the ability of all people with access to the World Wide Web to surf without constraints. For the sake of progress in a time of willful ignorance on the part of our national leadership & in the interests of the VAST majority of United States citizens who support net neutrality, it is imperative that the FCC not allow the Internet to be restricted. Vote to uphold net neutrality; vote in the interests of the people who are & will be affected, & who are voicing their opinions before it is too late.
1534. Destiny Nelson, High Bridge, NJ, 08829  
Repealing net neutrality is contrary to everything America stands for. We're supposed to be about freedom. This is the polar opposite. Don't turn us into one of those countries that blocks certain content from its people.
1535. Karen Becker, Califon, NJ, 07830  
The net neutrality rules must be kept in place. When I see that large companies such as Verizon, ATT etc. make comments that they will not slow down traffic or charge for faster service,, I do not believe them. They have control over wireless data and if you do not pay for premium service or unlimited plans, they slow your service down. Have you ever experienced this? This is what would happen to the internet if the rules were not upheld. You need to think about the average customer and the additional costs we will incur, and, the ability to not have the same type of service as others. It is an elitist thing to do. This will also hamper smaller start-up companies to fair competition. I strongly urge you to not allow for the lift/ or change to the Net Neutrality rules.

1536. Andrea Castro, Budd Lk, NJ, 07828  
Preserve the right to freely use the internet and do not let ISPs exercise control over what we see and interact with online.
1537. Kevin, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
Justice must prevail, you all know what is right. Do what is right for the future of humanity, put lust and greed in the trash where they belong.
1538. Peter Daly, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Please please do not do this. The internet is a wonderful place for people to freely use this great mediator. It's wrong to put this in the hands of corporate interests.
1539. Leigh Cramer, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
An open and fair internet is necessary for our society to function. The end of net neutrality would have a devastating cascading effect on business and our personal lives. Protect net neutrality.
1540. Matthew Parlapiano, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Please keep net neutrality. It is vital for a free and open internet which can provide knowledge to everyone.
1541. N Rochelle, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
Please support net neutrality!N Rochelle
1542. John Wylie, Garwood, NJ, 07027  
The internet is not a commodity to be apportioned off to the highest bidder. Maintain Title II's protection of Net Neutrality, and make sure the world wide web is a right for all to enjoy EQUALLY without loopholes, hitches, or exceptions!
1543. benjamin smith, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Freedom. This country was founded on it. The internet is one of the few resources that everyone has equal access to. Why limit it and disparage the underprivileged just so a few company's can profit? The internet is a public utility. Let's continue to treat it like one.
1544. Thomas Lewis, Clark, NJ, 07066  
Net neutrality is important to protect consumers. There are already many regional monopolies when it comes to internet service. The government should protect citizens from corporate abuse, not enable it.
1545. Sarah Morgan, Stewartsville, NJ, 08886  
I am a freelance writer dependent upon the internet to succeed in my career. I live in a relatively rural town with access to one ISP. Limits to my internet access would end my career. I get nothing from my ISP but access: I do not use them for an email address, or for storage, or for hosting. My ISP functions entirely as a telecommunications service, not an information service. They are not providing me with the information that I seek, any more than they are producing dramas for their cable TV clients. They're providing me access, not content.
1546. Marco Belardo, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828  
Please dont do this to everyone
1547. Andrew Canning, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Find another way to innovate; this is a short sighted solution.
1548. Raymond Dodds, Stockton, NJ, 08559  
It is imperative that net neutrality is preserved for the benefit of free speech, a better and more open economy, and and free a America.
1549. James Ryan, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
Net neutrality protects the people and protecting the people is what this country was founded upon.
1550. Olivia Haller, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I'm the regular everyday internet user. I use the internet to talk to friends, have a laugh, buy products or just mindlessly stare at pointless things. Net neutrality allows me to do all these things without a problem. The problem is that if Net Neutrality is taken away, then ISPs have the ability to slow down my internet if I'm using a website to chat with my friends that they don't like. That is all types of messed up. Having access to the internet means having access to the WHOLE internet. Not just the places that Internet Service Providers want me to look at. Protect Net Neutrality, protect Internet freedom. I do NOT support Net Neutrality being taken away.
1551. Carolyn Whitlock, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
I am opposed to any weakening of common-carrier protections. This regulation (and yes, it's new regulation in the colloquial sense - it changes the status quo) is going to make it harder for small-time content providers to get big, for people who don't want to use the internet in ways that make money to do what they want to do, and make it much easier for telecommunications companies to privilege delivery of their content. This could easily turn into the same sort of integrated business empire that ran the railroads back in the day. Why isn't internet access considered a public utility?
1552. Joanne Par, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are EXTREMELY IMPORTANT to me. We must protect equality in human communications. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites

that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Joanne Par

1553. Michael Peabody, North Plainfield, NJ, 07060

I along with many others feel that the internet needs to stay free and open for all.

1554. Joseph Burcat, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920

Net Neutrality is important to me because I think it is important to have a level playing field. Time and again we have seen super rich corporations buy politicians and offices like the FCC and it is always the consumers who get stuck paying extra for things they shouldn't have to. This will be the case here as ISPs charge extra to companies for proprietary bandwidth which ISPs will now control completely to do with as they please. Throughout history we have seen these types of situations under the guise of "free market" when in reality it is just a corporate money grab. The fact that the FCC will allow this shows their primary concern is not for the people of America but for more money in politics as that is what the end result will be. I have my phone service, email and other services through one ISP and even as I write this I continue to get solicitations from them from services from downloadable movies to faster access. I also have cloud storage of movies I bought hard copies of that came with digital versions. These will be impacted as well. Where I live, we don't have a large selection of ISPs. Satellite services are not an option here. The internet is our lifeline here, phone, email, movies and television and so much more. The thought that you will be allowing the ISPs to allocate bandwidth however they choose is absurd and frankly terrifying and it doesn't speak well for who the FCC actually works for.

1555. Brendan Wesolowski, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865

Net Neutrality is vital to keep ISPs from killing the Internet. When I sign up for an ISP, I expect that they deliver the service I paid for--fast, non-discriminatory access to the internet. They should not have any control over what content I access or how I access it. Without Net Neutrality many of the services that I rely on for communicating with friends, family, and business associates would never have been able to get started. Further, deregulation on ISPs will allow them to functionally censor the Internet and gain unreasonable amounts of control over what information people have access to. I am already locked into using one specific provider by its monopoly in my region (I either use Verizon or get satellite internet at dial-up speeds); allowing them to discriminate further does nothing but harm consumers. The FCC should be holding companies to their promises and keeping them from exploiting the system to the detriment of the consumer.

1556. Andrew I, Branchburg, NJ, 08876

Please keep the net neutral! Companies will easily take advantage of it for their own profits and take yet another freedom we have as people.

1557. JLP, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807

Net Neutrality is good. FCC is fucked in the head if its members bend over to corporate ISPs. Capitalism Ho and all that shit, but if it fucks everyone then y'all are fucking fucked fuckers.

1558. Michael Chung, Short Hills, NJ, 07078

Don't vote against net neutrality Michael Chung

1559. Nick Cook, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807

Hello, I am a student at high school right now, and I have a strong interest in computer science and politics. Net neutrality is right where these two fields connect. I could not be more angry about the fact that we could lose net neutrality, something that I believe is a basic necessity to modern life. If we remove net neutrality, it only benefits the big business owners. It has no benefit for the American people at all. Our country was founded on the ideals of a democracy, a government for the people, and this most certainly not for the people. These hypothetical "fast lanes" that would cost more money are not in any way faster than the current lanes that control the internet. Instead, everyone else who does not pay for these "fast lanes" gets slower internet. That is completely ridiculous. That is similar to saying that people who pay extra will receive extra music on Spotify, but instead of adding extra music, they remove most music for everyone except the people who paid extra. In both scenarios, if we had kept things how they were, EVERYONE would be happy and equal, which is the American way. I urge everyone who can vote to vote in favor of keeping net neutrality, and everyone else to fight for the cause. Thank you, Nicholas Cook Nick Cook

1560. Internet, Mount Arlington, NJ, 07856

Please keep the internet free to use, my income heavily depends on it.

1561. Internet, Mount Arlington, NJ, 07856

No internet restrictions

1562. James M Fiorendino, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807

Net neutrality is vital to society. Please protect it.

1563. Trevor Murphy, Chester, NJ, 07930

Strong net neutrality rules support what it truly means to be American. It means the freedom to make your own choices and form your own thoughts and opinions on things. Filtering, blocking, throttling, or in any way changing the experience I have on the web is the exact same thing as trying to do it in real life. Take the example of an ISP being able to throttle service to a competitor's website. It's the same thing as Walmart representatives standing in front of the door at Target preventing people from entering without a ton of effort to try to get by them. In this example, those people preventing you from entering Target would be arrested or in some way removed from the premises and the same tolerance should be shown online, where many Americans spend the majority of their time in this day and age. Save net neutrality, Save Freedom, Save America!

1564. John Freeman, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
The internet is important to keep unrestricted. It's the greatest and fastest way to share information around the globe. Trying to restrict access is a horrible mistake and is unbelievably non-democratic.
1565. Zachary Kesselhaut, Pittstown, NJ, 08867  
Passing this would be really bad. Net Neutrality allows for the American Dream to be accomplished during the modern day. No startup can thrive without a website and no website can thrive without an equal connection to their end users. I urge you not to pass this as the free world as we know it today would perish. The internet can not be run by large evil corporations more so than it already is. By passing this law you're destroying the American Dream for every new business and every young American.
1566. Judith Knott, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Please don't end net neutrality. Many small business owners rely on the current rules to help them launch and grow their businesses. These are businesses that support families and, when successful, grow our economy through job creation. My friend and her husband launched Allthingsjeep.com in their basement. Three years later it outgrew the basement, they built a huge barn and they had to hire people to help them process and fulfill orders. A few years later the barn was too small, so they moved to a much larger facility--renting space in a converted factory. Without net neutrality, they may not have built this successful business, which, after my friend's husband suddenly passed away, she sold and the proceeds from that sale now support her family as a single mom. Please protect our internet. Please let the consumer win for a change and not BIG BUSINESS. Judith Knott
1567. Zachary Wismer, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
Dudes, come on. Don't turn the internet into the same garbage that cable is or radio. The internet is meant to be free, keep the corporations out of it. ISPs are not to be trusted. In my town, we only have one, Service Electric, and they billed me for over usage of the household internet, like a cell phone company. Don't give them the power, keep the net free.
1568. Cyril Medabalimi, Raritan, NJ, 08869  
Seriously, just don't. If you truly represent the people, then you will protect net neutrality.
1569. Umesh Bhatt, Annandale, NJ, 08801  
Keep the Internet Open! I don't have a story to tell, but hey - I like my Netflix, my option to be cord-free, to use 3rd party Ooma telephone service, the choice of using my WiFi to route voice calls from my phone, etc. etc. None of which will be possible if the telecom or ISP will start prioritizing my packets over someone else's or make decisions on what services I own and use - are not their priority....it simply works today, just keep it as is. This is one thing not to be messed with. Please stay out of it!
1570. Joseph Manos, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840  
I find your attempt to repeal net neutrality appalling and when you lose your repeal you will see the power the people have.
1571. Matthew Boyle, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Net neutrality is an incredibly important issue that I believe must be maintained. As someone who relies on unfettered internet access to keep up with world affairs regarding security and international relations, dropping down to Title 1 regulation of ISPs runs the risk of crippling my, and many others, ability to monitor those events. I beg of you, please keep the Title II regulation.
1572. Torr Yatco, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
Please preserve net neutrality. Equal access to online information is one of the greatest advancements in the world and revoking net neutrality would not only be detrimental to the freedom of speech of the people of the United States, but it would be a step backwards in the advancement of humankind.
1573. Steve French, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Please keep the internet a free space! THIS IS AMERICA!
1574. Keep Net Neutrality, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Keep net neutrality. Getting rid of it violates our privacy and freedom of speech.
1575. Oscar Mendez, North Plainfield, NJ, 07060  
I support net neutrality and I do not want that to change.
1576. Anne Clark, Chester, NJ, 07930  
It is vitally important for the free flow of information, and the success of our country and its economy, that net neutrality protections are kept in place. I do not want ISPs to determine what content I can view, or at which speed I can view it so one content provider is favored over others. Net neutrality has helped the internet be a vibrant marketplace of ideas and products. It should be kept that way.
1577. Leonard Cadet, Union, NJ, 07083  
I am against Net Neutrality. The American citizen time and time again has said no. Please listen to our choice on the matter and leave the internet as it is.

1578. Jerry Brendson, Bridgewater, NJ, 08869  
Keeping Title II and net neutrality is a must for our country. We cannot progress if we are being funneled but corporations. We cannot share opinions and communicate without fear under the new proposed plan. We cannot as a society think this will help grow the USA. We are behind in many areas. This is one area where the rest of the world can't compete on. Now we will be falling behind and worse, throwing decades of advancement down the drain.
1579. Ben Hanemann, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Net Neutrality is very important for American businesses, especially small businesses, and start-ups. Enforcing the decision to remove Net Neutrality spits on American democracy and our concept of freedom. The Internet should stay as it is, free and neutral, the same concept our great nation was founded upon. I already pay way too much for broadband internet connection, and to have my favorite content be throttled by already large enough ISP giants that control the market would be enough to make me leave the country. In fact, ISPs need more monitoring and enforcement, not less, as well the lack of competition and the fact that most Americans only have access to one ISP should be a real concern to you. Proceeding with the decision to remove Net Neutrality is not only unforgivable but downright un-American.
1580. Jason Donald Smith, Clinton, NJ, 08809  
Keep net neutrality. Internet businesses and services should compete on merit and not be influenced by ISP preference. Moreover, many markets have few broadband ISP competitors. This makes it difficult to switch providers if the customer disapproves of how an ISP operates.
1581. Riley Zidar, Skillman, NJ, 08558  
Please keep the net neutral fam
1582. Samuel Tomasko, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
To whom ever may be reading this, If you have been keeping tabs on me, and I know you have, you would know that I've already written to you. And once again I would like to say the restricting or changing net neutrality would be a grave and very unAmerican decision. We don't have to beat around the bush, both you and I know that the lobbyists are the ones who really make the laws. And we all know that there are politicians who are receiving very lucrative and self serving deals to try and pass these laws. But I would like to tell you as an American that if these laws are passed and free speech and information are suppressed because of it, there will be hell to pay
1583. John Friel, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Net Neutrality is a basic right. The internet has become as essentially as the telephone and should be protected as such.
1584. Nicholas Phillips, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
I strongly support Internet Neutrality and Title 2 Oversight of ISP's.
1585. Bhavin Gala, Califon, NJ, 07830  
I'm am concerned for the safety of Net Neutrality!
1586. Lawrence Franz, Summit, NJ, 07901  
The proposed rule would be a major mistake. Individual Americans and small businesses need equal treatment on the Internet, which is now in effect a public utility, even though it's not regulated that way. The proposed rule would allow Internet Service Providers to discriminate against certain users and censor points of view. The Restoring Internet Freedom Act should have been called the Bowing Down to Big Business and the Almighty Dollar on the Internet Act. The internet should remain neutral for all Americans.
1587. Shawn F, Summit, NJ, 07901  
FREEDOMShawn F
1588. Margaret Grochalska, Wharton, NJ, 07885  
I am concerned that ISPs can throttle or control content based on their own interpretation of what is lawful or appropriate, This was certainly the case previous. I believe that removing this protection will result in the same. The history of business doing the right thing voluntarily is not really good. Thank you for reading my comments.
1589. William Blaiklock, long valley, NJ, 07853  
Destroying net neutrality will have long-standing negative effects; not worth the short-sighted interests of the bill. The net needs to uphold American ideals of equality for all and fairness for small business to compete with aggressive large corporations with deep political investments.
1590. blazn322, BEDMINSTER, NJ, 07921  
Yay for Net Neutrality.
1591. Kevin, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
Look, I don't know how important Internet is to you. Whether it is watching porn, youtube videos, reading news, making charts, and writing emails. It's pretty important. If you let the Cable Companies through with this, they'll make me sad. No more videos only because they want more money. They may be bribing you and you may want the money even more but it will make me sad. Real Sad. I don't know if you will take this one seriously but please, you will be seriously worshiped on the internet and if not, I will always worship you. Thanks you very much for you time through this meaning less letter, Kevin New Jersey Kevin
1592. Ben Wasser, Warren, NJ, 07059  
don't screw up my internet please. Net neutrality is necessary for the freedom of information for ALL.

1593. Shi-Shen Yau, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
We prefer strong net neutrality rules that give people the power to choose which websites and apps are best as well as the open internet and competition online.
1594. Zach Kosciolk, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
ISPs already have enough power, they don't need to any more help to rape the consumers
1595. Net Freedom, Warren, NJ, 07059  
Save the Internet. The Internet should remain the way it is, because the Internet is something that is used all over the planet. No one country should own the internet, and force someone in say Britain to deal with slow internet because America thinks they can bloody control the Internet. What you guys are trying to freaking do is wrong! How would you like it if the Chinese or heck even the Russian government had control over the Internet, and decided to make the American government, YouTube, and other sites popular in America and whatnot slow down to a near halt. You guys are just greedy little evil humans, who just want to fill their pockets, and you guys are less human than those will less money than you. Just give up and let us have the free Internet back!
1596. Matthew, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
Please keep Net Neutrality. This country is already dealing with other major political issues, and there is no reason to waste society's time or money on removing Net Neutrality.
1597. Dylan Pardo, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
This is a terrible decision. Needs to be fixedDylan Pardo
1598. Mariel Hathaway, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
These rules, and the law upon which it is based, are essential to preserve the democratic exchange of ideas and information provided by the Internet. I relied upon quick and unfettered access to online journals when completing my Biology degree and currently utilize the Internet to help me study to take the LSAT. The Internet allows the sharing of ideas across the political spectrum and around the world. Allowing a small number of ISPs to potentially control what content is available based on how much a person is able to pay or the company's preference frankly runs counter to our ideals as Americans. When I pay for Internet from my ISP, I pay for quick access to the great wealth of information it contains. If my ISP decided to limit my access unless I pay more, or otherwise manipulate the content I see, I would have no recourse. Comcast is the only ISP in my area that services apartment complexes. Over half of Americans have zero choice in their ISP. This is not an issue the free market can solve. Please maintain FCC oversight over ISPs and uphold the ideals of our great nation for all. Thank you.
1599. Jeffrey Naiman, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I strongly oppose the proposed rule changes to revoke Title 2 on internet service providers. Realistically, it would be a huge task to change internet service providers - they basically have a local monopoly. Furthermore, the only thing my ISP provides is bandwidth to my house, which is appropriate for Title 2 oversight.
1600. Ryan Sidebottom, Whitehouse Station, NJ, 08889  
Dear FCC,The Open Internet is crucial to having a successful country. Everything is from the Internet nowadays. This is why you cannot revoke the previous decision regarding ISPs. Leave them where they are and the Internet will improve. Their current classification is not hindering anyone from creating a better Internet. The big ISPs have simply created monopolies over markets. There are not many options other than Comcast, Verizon and TWC in most areas. Should you decide to revoke the Title II classification of these ISPs, their monopolies will only increase. For someone who claims to want a free market, this will not achieve it. Monopolies are not a free market. A free market is decided by the people. This is currently how the Internet works.Do not give the ISPs more power to control MY traffic. It's not theirs. Tell them to get their hands off of it. Regards,A concerned citizen.
1601. Dante Perna, Wharton, NJ, 07885  
I'm not abiding by my families income being put at risk because of fees no one wants to pay
1602. Kyle Hilss, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
I specifically support bet neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPS and do not support Mr. Pai's decision to revoke net nuertrality under any circumstances or in any way
1603. pryia roy, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Preserve FCC regulation title 2 and net neutrality.
1604. Steven Tyerech, Ringoes, NJ, 08551  
This nation is a world based on the idea of freedom and why should I not have the rights to go on any internet site that I choose? I know what I am getting into when I go onto the internet so I shouldn't be told what to do and what site to go on.
1605. Eric Fekete, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I STRONGLY support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight over ISPs. Removing these protections essentially allows big business to determine what's best for me or regulate what I watch, read or listen to. As a paying customer to these services, I think I should be able to watch, read or listen to what I want, when I want, and how many times I want without a company restricting my access to peddle their own product. If the FCC is designed to procted everyone, then why dissolve this law which only serves to favor big business?
1606. Ian Vickery, Millington, NJ, 07946  
Perhaps you just don't fully understand what you're doing here. Let's make it a bit clearer shall we?Let's say I get my internet from Verizon. Now let's say I've become dissatisfied with Verizon and want to switch to Comcast. Without net neutrality, Verizon can say "to view Comcast's website, you need to pay a fee of \$XXX.XX" Does that sound fair? Does that sound pro consumer?Another example. I want to watch a YouTube video. Verizon thinks that I watch a lot of YouTube, so it decides to throttle my data speed so videos load

slower and in lower quality. Of course, I COULD just pay them a small fee and watch the video in higher quality. Real "pro consumer" there. Another. I'm making a website. It's a blog. I write on said blog how terrible Verizon is. Verizon doesn't like this. Verizon says I need to pay extra to keep my website up. I can't pay extra. The website, and my hard work, is gone. But hey, at least congressmen are getting a bit extra from all this, huh? You disgust me. You hide behind the lie of this repeal being better for consumers, yet you ignore the outcries of the people you swore to represent when they tell you they want net neutrality. You sell our privacy, our right to browse freely, for what? A payout from cable companies. That's all you care about. That's all you ever care about. Well let me make something clear here, buddy: By agreeing to strike down net neutrality, not only will the consumer suffer, but large companies will hold way too much power over what people can do. Not that you'd even listen. I know you won't. You aren't even reading this are you? No, you probably have some intern reading it for you, then giving you a summary of what most people think later. By the way, hi Mr./Ms./Mrs. intern person! You're supporting a terrible person! Why bother? I'm yelling at a void in the hopes that the people will actually get a victory in for once, but we both know that won't happen. This repeal will pass, companies and congressmen will profit, consumers will suffer, and everything will just get worse from there. Moral of the story: Keep net neutrality! Protect the consumer from private interests! Ian Vickery

1607. Theo Weber, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
As a citizen who frequently uses the internet, I find it disturbing that the FCC has not come out in full support of Net Neutrality. The internet has quickly turned from a commodity to a necessity in the modern world, and that necessity should not be allowed to be hampered by large ISP's at their whim. I implore the FCC to take a hard-lined stance in favor of Net Neutrality, as it is in the best interests of the Americans who use the internet. Thank you.
1608. Mark Lalor, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
The internet is without a doubt the greatest innovation of our time that has enabled truly amazing things. The world is a better, safer, smarter place with access to the internet. Without a doubt, billions of lives are improved and millions of lives have been saved by the awesome power of the internet, used by doctors, scholars, and all of the people in our society that have the greatest impact. Please, for America's sake, and truly the world's sake, keep this technology an equal and infinitely powerful tool to impact the next trillion people and save a billion lives. Sincerely, Mark Lalor
1609. Matthew Devlin, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Net neutrality protects the consumer from unfair bandwidth throttling. An essential tool for communication in all of our professional and personal lives must remain protected from corporate manipulation. Matt Devlin
1610. David Friedrich, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
The world needs Net Neutrality because it ensures everyone has fair and equal access to the entirety of the Internet. And, as we all know, one of the most important values that the United States stands for is the fair and equal treatment of everyone. Undoing Net Neutrality will throw this into jeopardy. Additionally, if Net Neutrality is taken apart, there will most likely be a ripple effect globally. ISPs and other Telecom companies around the world will think "Oh, Telecom companies in the US don't like Net Neutrality and got it taken away. Maybe we can do the same thing here." The Internet is a global resource, which makes Net Neutrality a global issue, due to that potential ripple effect.
1611. Matthew Hepworth, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
I am a professional video editor as my full time job and a gamer in my spare time. The internet is extremely important both for my professional and personal life. If my ISP gains the ability to throttle my speeds for a higher cost, I cannot continue to do my job. I am constantly uploading and downloading files that can be hundreds of gigabytes, if I am unable to continue doing so in a timely matter I will lose work and become unemployed which is unacceptable. Which is why there should be strong net neutrality rules.
1612. Jeffrey Biegel, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I am extremely concerned about the attack on Net Neutrality. I heavily rely on an internet that is unbiased to any site. Affecting this will hurt my job and decrease my income. Leave Net Neutrality untouched.
1613. shrey patel, Ringoes, NJ, 08551  
I would support net neutrality and stop any one from having the ability to alter or corrupt my access to the internet... Especially for the one reason of making themselves more money.
1614. Nicholas La Sala, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Please keep to internet to all people, I personally believe it is extremely helpful for people's expression, and it brings people together.
1615. Ginny Li, Princeton, NJ, 08540  
Please preserve what remaining integrity the government has.
1616. Sean Kirby, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Net neutrality is vital to the operation of a free and open internet, and taking steps to eliminate it goes against the core principles of this country.
1617. david glick, springfield, NJ, 07081  
Net neutrality is important to our democratic way of life. It is a national and international principle equivalent to equal opportunity for all humanity.
1618. Reid, Branchburg, NJ, 08876  
The freedom of the internet needs to remain sacrosanct. Not only for the small businesses that rely on net neutrality to operate but also to prevent outright censorship. The internet is used by many as an extension of freedom of speech and it needs to operate as such.
1619. Chris Watral, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828

Hello, I am writing in to express my concern for Net Neutrality. As a musician it is important for me to have access to certain websites and run my own website as a freelance percussionist. I fear if the FCC puts regulations that harm Net Neutrality I would be at a disadvantage like many other freelance and entrepreneurs. I fear for the future if Net Neutrality is abolished that we might find ourselves in some "Black Mirror" dystopia but unfortunately it will be real. Please consider the impact this will have on future generations. Thank you

1620. Matthew Pozzi, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
The Open Internet rules (net neutrality) are extremely important to me. I don't want the ISP to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites advantage on others, or split the internet into fast lanes for companies that pay and slow lanes for the rest. The freedom of the internet is something that shouldn't be altered, abused or twisted. It has become essential enough to every day life that allowing the largest companies additional potential control over it would do far more harm than good.
1621. save, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Dont take it
1622. Hamza Ahmed Khan Malik, Skillman, NJ, 08558  
I'm going to clear this form letter and write my own. I am sick and tired of the marriage of corporation and government. Chairman Pai, if you have a shred of integrity or duty to real Americans, 88% of whom support net neutrality, do not repeal Article II. Show the world that you are not a sellout. Hamza Ahmed Khan Malik
1623. evelyn hittner, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Please protect net neutrality by keeping regulations in place.
1624. net neutrality, union, NJ, 07083  
My preferences should always be an option. Why fix the net if it is not broken, Staying neutral should be permanent.
1625. Jared Marcuccilli, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
Net neutrality backed by title II is the only way that the internet can move forward.
1626. Keep net neutrality, dover, NJ, 07801  
Dont fuck up our internet. You already are fucking up most of our country, but dont mess with my dank internet memes.
1627. Chuck Packard, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Net neutrality is one of the most essential protections consumers have protecting them from internet companies who already have an ton of power. +1 against repealing net neutrality
1628. Karl Lugenbeel, Whitehouse Station, NJ, 08889  
NO FAST AND SLOW LANES, STOP PLAYING INTO THE HANDS OF BIG BUSINESS. KEEP THE INTERNET THE WAY IT IS AND THE WAY IT IS INTENDED, NOT THE WAY TRUMP AND HIS IDIOT FRIENDS AT VERIZON WANT!
1629. Lawrence Harris, Long Valley, NJ, 07853  
Everything needs to be equal. It is bad enough I only have the choice of one cable/internet provider. Now you want them to decide what I can watch or do based on speed? Not fair.
1630. Derrick Mian, Union, NJ, 07083  
it would be barbaric to go back to paying to watch porn.
1631. Andi Chen, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
ISPs should not be allowed to pick and choose service-the net should remain neutral
1632. Brian McMahan, Annandale, NJ, 08801  
I purchase internet access so that I may have unfiltered and constant access to every thing the internet has to offer. I expect no throttling and constant speeds no matter what I am browsing. Net Neutrality is important because it keeps the wide expanse of the internet free.
1633. Kyle, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Don't give ISP's more control over our internet. I have Comcast and they already have terrible service; if I had another option I would have switched long ago. By allowing them to have greater, content-based control over my service, they can continue providing a subpar product and I'll continue to be locked in with recourse.
1634. Michael John Karkoska, High Bridge, NJ, 08829  
This policy is absurd, it opens the door for large monopolistic internet service providers to act as predators. So many small services that depend on equal treatment would be consumed by this policy. That kind of bullshit bullying is what government policy should be putting a stop to. I am strongly against this rollback of the 2015 Title 2 Classification laws for internet service providers. Save my net neutrality!
1635. Ryan Joseph, Succasunna, NJ, 07876  
We need net neutrality. Ending it is medial censorship and will deprive the public of information we need to know about. Do not let the people fall out of the know on word news or any type of information we WANT to know. And that means ALL information. Ryan Joseph
1636. Tim Swyzen, Pittstown, NJ, 08867  
This will compromise the freedom of information we have come so far as a civilization to obtain. Do not let money and politics trump human advancement.

1637. Efrain Vicente, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I believe that competition should be encouraged through equal opportunity to all parties. Net neutrality is essential in allowing everyone to compete in the knowledge economy, keeping the United States at the vanguard of innovation. Those who oppose net neutrality do so, not for the benefit of all, but the benefit of the few, who would rather see the end of capitalism, competition, and innovation to fill their pockets. A real capitalist believes in equal opportunity, clear and fair rules, with equal protections. Save net neutrality for the benefit of our nation. Do otherwise and you will soon us, while other nations surpass us.
1638. Brian Nalewajek, warren, NJ, 07059  
A limiting and regulation of what is accessible on the internet is not only fascist and totalitarian but is a total violation of the first amendment and just plain unamerican.
1639. peg fennell, annandale, NJ, 08801  
" Freedom of information is one of the foundations of our country, and in today's world an internet connection is critical to students, the workforce, our economy, and our population. It connects people, provides information, and is increasingly a part of our everyday lives. Access should not be restricted according to how much an individual can afford to pay. Keep net neutrality in place - preserving the internet for all to use" Thank you
1640. Stephanie Duenas, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Hello! I am writing in to express my support for net neutrality, something that proceeding 17-108 proposes ending. As a current employee of a start-up that does not have the resources to pay for access to a "fast lane" on the Internet, our business would be negatively impacted by this act, as would many other small businesses. The main beneficiaries would be large ISPs - companies that are already turning healthy profits and would certainly exploit these rules by throttling the service of companies that refuse to pay for access to fast lanes, as already shown in the case of Verizon and Netflix. In addition, access to information of all kinds and across a broad variety of mediums is critical to the continued success of our democracy, and the principles of free speech that America holds so dear. Please do not pass proceeding 17-108, which would threaten this free speech, and harm small businesses.
1641. Mike Ferreira, Union, NJ, 07083  
The internet needs to be a neutral space. Countries around the world are also striving to provide the fastest broadband internet but the only way to be connected to other people and services is to have a neutral space. I do not buy broadband internet to get specific services just from my telecommunications provider. I do not buy broadband internet to get email. I buy it to have fast and reliable access to all the internet has to offer. I get my web hosting, email, and video services all from other companies as most of my neighbors and co-workers and the only way to properly access these is with a neutral internet. Yes we have choices when it comes to internet service providers but as is life, it is mostly run by a few major players. And the fastest speeds available are only offered by a very few for a relatively affordable price. Comparing this to many other countries that we like to think of not as technologically advanced, internet speeds have increased at a surprising rate while keeping net neutrality at the forefront. Please help improve our technological forefront and make net neutrality a priority. Thank you.
1642. Brian Leahey, Stewartsville, NJ, 08886  
The internet has its flaws but as it stands it is also a wonderful environment that doesn't deserve to be mitigated and restrained by rules and regulations. It is not a piece of land meant for a group to govern. It is a neutral zone that allows a non-physical arena for all forms of discussion, debate, entertainment, and business. Placing restrictions to allow a certain group to govern over such a place defeats the entire purpose that the internet exists for and I plead for you to take that into consideration and allow the internet to continue to move on as it is now.
1643. Kathleen Johns, Whitehouse Station, NJ, 08889  
I am a disabled widow, former physician. I am no longer able to drive. The internet allows me to continue to live independently. I order what I need online. I keep in touch with friends and family. When my husband was dying, I used the internet to keep all our friends and family updated on all his treatments and how he was doing and to get the help I needed to take care of him. I used it to organize a huge party so everyone could celebrate his life. He loved it. I get my news online from the NY Times, the Wash Post, ProPublica and many other sources. I use FB to keep in touch with people I have known from all the different phases of my life and all the different states I have lived in - my roommate from college and from medical school, childhood friends, all my relatives most of whom live in the midwest, all my friends from NY where we raised our son before moving to NJ. I use Skype to attend Adult Children of Alcoholic meetings which has been life-changing for me. I now run 4 ACA meetings/week. I also use SKYPE to see a therapist and to talk with my son who lives in a different state. I use the internet to research medical issues of which I have several serious ones. I use the internet to find doctors. My best friend lives in Spain. We Skype all the time. My other best friend lives in ME and we Skype all the time. I watch TV on Skype as I don't have TV. I would literally have no quality of life without the internet, but with it, I am a productive useful engaged connected member of many communities. The internet is my lifeline.
1644. Steven Spera, Landing, NJ, 07850  
We cannot give into the major telecom companies. It will cost the average American more for access. I urge you to KEEP Net Neutrality!
1645. Greg, Green Brook, NJ, 08812  
Keep Net Neutrality. Getting rid of this is a huge crime and a violation of basic freedom in the United States
1646. V, Hampton, NJ, 08827  
ISPs should not have the right to control what we see and do on the internet, just because these corporations want more income and want to undermine smaller companies.
1647. Jorge Cabral, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
The internet is a great place to connect with others from around the world or even someone next door to you. Please don't take that privilege from us by blocking or prioritizing certain sites. Keep the internet as it is.

1648. Ian McGeown, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Please continue to maintain Net Neutrality. I hear all these convoluted arguments from that clown with the big Reese's mug (great accomplishment and claim to fame), but the simple reality is that the Internet has functioned perfectly fine for the last 15 or so years with Net Neutrality in place. There is no reason to trust, or hope, or expect large corporations to not take advantage of these regulations being repealed, if they are. Please do the right thing and listen to the outpouring of support from Internet users, major Internet corporations, and the experts who have explained why Net Neutrality is necessary.
1649. Ragen Patel, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
The removal of net neutrality assists big internet providers who already hold a monopoly gain even more power. I do not want to live in a world where the internet providers will charge me for going to Netflix and promote their own version of Netflix at a lower cost. Net neutrality MUST stay so we can have a free internet where all narratives are shared instead of becoming a policed area where a specific narrative is promoted and all other perspectives are banned. If that is allowed, we will be moving towards communism which goes against the values of America as a whole.
1650. Errol Watson, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
Please don't block the internet
1651. Brian Clark, Califon, NJ, 07830  
Net Neutrality allows open competition and the free flow of ideas across the internet. Allowing ISPs which already have monopolies around the country additional power on what we see and how fast we see it is profoundly wrong and damaging.
1652. Arianna Hoffman, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
To me, at the foundation of our democracy, is the ability to maintain certain liberties. The Internet has become a fundamental part of our daily lives. We rely on it for directions, for music, for networking, for jobs, and so much more. Any deviation from these freedoms would be a cruel injustice. As someone who runs her own small fitness blog, net neutrality is vital to me to grow my business. I am sure many other similar companies maintain the same belief. We should all be given the right to browse at our own leisure and maintain equal access to any and all content. I am alarmed by this potential impending obstruction of justice, and will do my utmost to fight against it.
1653. Vincent Destefano, Millington, NJ, 07946  
Please reconsider this outrageous action. Don't be rash, and let the big name companies have their way with the internet. The internet is one of the few things that big name companies can't really touch and that gives freedom to the people. If you guys support this insane movement to make the service provider in control of the internet then will be a mere shadow if itself and people will have to pay ridiculous amounts of money just to use their favorite sites, and that also means that ISPs can throttle how much people actually use the internet they already pay for. This is getting out of hand. Get the big name companies out of the discussion and talk to every other company, they will tell you that this is insane. Please don't ruin a good thing. THE INTERNET IS BEGGING YOU TO FIGHT big corporations! So please don't let them have this, let big name ISPs know that not everything is available to them because they have money.
1654. Feodor Tsabrov, Whitehouse Station, NJ, 08889  
The Internet is not something to be monetized as is in the internet of the big companies. To us consumers, it is a place of discovery and exploration, where not only can we enjoy communication and entertainment, but education and growth. To put a restraint on our freedom is unacceptable, especially in America. What kind of "land of the free" sells the freedom of its' citizens to companies? Keep the internet free, the American way. Feodor Tsabrov
1655. Ryan Spicka, Succasunna, NJ, 07876  
Not having access to the entire internet will only hurt our current way of life.
1656. Kevin Sommer, Califon, NJ, 07830  
Just because you get more money from it, doesn't mean you should do it silly
1657. James Dean, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
I would like the FCC to uphold the wishes of the American people and keep the internet open for all to use without limitations. Limitations will only hurt the future of technology.
1658. Ashley Williams, Raritan, NJ, 08869  
Net neutrality is extremely important to me. I contact loved ones who do not live in the same country as I do over the internet on a day-to-day basis, and if companies can pay my internet service provider money for faster internet than others (therefore potentially sacrificing the speed of the websites and apps that I use), it will dramatically affect the quality of my day-to-day internet access. This will undoubtedly censor the internet usage of the people, and the United States is a country of free speech. That does include the internet. Not only this, but this will only support the growing greed and corruption that is infecting our country. I will be very pleased to learn in the near future that the FCC has preserved our net neutrality. Thank you for your time.
1659. Dean Henry, Annandale, NJ, 08801  
Please remember who you represent. The people, not large communication companies. Vote the way the people would want you to. Keep Net Neutrality.
1660. Jeffrey Allen Johnson, Frenchtown, NJ, 08822  
Net Neutrality is critical to the maintenance of the American Dream of freedom and equality going forward into the future and therefore must be protected. Ending it would be devastating to the economy, freedom of speech, arts and entertainment, and much more.
1661. Mike Roma, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828

The internet must remain open and equal.

1662. Flynn Forester, Succasunna, NJ, 07876  
the internet should remain a free place
1663. Satchith Harve, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
The people don't want massive corporations controlling what we can and cannot see, or influence our habits more than they already do.
1664. Samantha Narciso, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
Net Neutrality gives people the freedom to express their opinions, become informed, and stay active in our community. Taking away net neutrality will make lower-income groups more susceptible to ignorance and give away their power of being able to be truly informed. Do not take away our freedoms when we already have so few left.
1665. Hammad Ajmal, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
Give startups like us a chance. The tech boom is just looming around the corner. The second industrial revolution could occur in 3 years. Net Neutrality is a key factor and without it the huge growth occurring very soon will not happen. Give the young bright minds of America a chance with a free and neutral internet, not a corporate governed one.
1666. Carl Mueller, Kenilworth, NJ, 07033  
Everybody should have equal, non-preferential internet access.
1667. Petra Nanney, Rocky Hill, NJ, 08553  
Please protect net neutrality. The American people have yelled at the top of their lungs in favor of it, time and time again. If net neutrality is not preserved, it will be a clear message to US citizens and the rest of the world that the people have been ignored in favor of purely corporate interests, and that our democracy is broken. It has already been made clear. Americans want to preserve net neutrality. Petra Nanney
1668. Thomas Palka, Frenchtown, NJ, 08825  
As someone who uses the internet on a daily basis, I strongly feel that it is important for it to remain neutral. The internet allows me to not only keep in contact with my friends who would otherwise be much harder to talk to, but also further my education. In the area I live, there are very few ISPs to choose from and if such restrictions were to be removed, it would be rather easy for these few corporations to abuse their essential monopoly within the area and leave consumers with no choice but to suffer from poor quality services. It would be a major mistake to allow such restrictions to be repealed, as it will only invite abuse of the system.
1669. Aqeel Sultan, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Please do not control how the internet works. I don't want my content to be slowed down.
1670. S. Jean Ward, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
I strongly support internet neutrality and urge you to maintain the current law.
1671. Matthew Mastropasqua, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
I support strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers, because it is the foundation of a free and open internet. Undoing such regulation would be bowing to the influence of multi-billion dollar corporations at the expense of the American people, as these regulations are critical to ensuring equal access to information, competition among digital businesses, and the ability for groups and individuals to speak freely online.
1672. Cory J Stiner, Berkeley heights, NJ, 07922  
The Internet is a major part of my daily life and compromising it's integrity would gravely affect my life. Thank you.
1673. Matthew Lee Anstatt, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I would like to comment regarding net neutrality. Not to come off as a hippie, but no one owns the internet. It is not for the government, a corporation, or any other group to decide what aspects of the internet should and shouldn't be available to the masses. It is my belief that it is immoral and Un-American for the government to deliberately control the flow of ideas and information within the public, no matter how dangerous these ideas may seem. This government nannying has never flown in America before and I doubt it will now.
1674. Net Neutrality, Union, NJ, 07083  
Save the internet! We must not get taken over.
1675. Maryan Paredes, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I urge you to protect The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules). If this changes, it would ruin many lives and think about the domino effects on the economy when businesses run down and the cash flow disappears because certainly the corporate giants will offshore their fees' profits, not trickle down like it happened during the crash of 2008. You will be making a huge mistake and this The People will not take too kindly. You are talking about the whole population carrying the Internet like an extension of their minds and bodies ... The following I agree with and cannot write it better myself: I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much

less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Maryan paredes

1676. Beth Sherby, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924

I support maintaining the Open Internet Rules from 2015. Network Neutrality and common carrier based communication in the U.S. dates back to 1860 with the telegraph. The internet should adhere to our American tradition by leaving Internet Service Providers classified as a Title II common carrier service

1677. Me'Lissa Morgan-Convery, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844

Net neutrality protects Americans and smaller businesses for the monopolies and censorship of ISPs. In my area, my only choices for internet service are cable and dish. Dish does not provide the speed I need for my activities on the internet. I should not have to be further stifled by the greed of the only option I have. Net neutrality ensures free enterprise and reduces the risks of monopolies. The government is tasked by law with providing impartial oversight. Removing and reducing net neutrality work directly against this role. The government loses its ability to make sure businesses are not abusing consumers with price gouging, for example. This is an attack on our civil liberties and those of businesses on the internet that can't bribe ISPs into favoring access to their content. I have the freedom and right to view, purchase and participate in activities online without censorship and other manipulations of an ISP. Net neutrality ensures these rights are upheld.

1678. DISCORD, Flemington, NJ, 08822

:/ Discord told me we needed this so.

1679. Mary L Stewart, Frenchtown, NJ, 08825

I urge you to protect FCC Open Internet Rules/ Net neutrality. Thank you. Mary L Stewart

1680. Jaydon Shafer, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920

I support strong Title Two net neutrality provisions - because without it, the internet will be fundamentally worse than before. Without a free and open internet under Title 2, new start ups will be hampered or even impossible, because they will not be able to compete or pay the premiums that major internet service providers are charging. Consumers also won't be able to avoid these new fees. Due to the already anti-competitive nature of internet service providers, citizens will be forced into paying more for the service they already have. They can't get a new ISP because ISPs monopolize on their area, with very little cross over between the two broadband internet service providers. In other words, there is no consumer choice without strong Title Two net neutrality provisions. The internet without net neutrality is the opposite of the free market. There is no consumer choice or consumer voice in an internet without strong Net Neutrality. Currently, the internet works like water does. You are charged simply for how much you use, not what you're using it for. Under Title Two, the internet is in a similar position. You pay for the speed you want, and nothing more. You aren't charged more based on the websites you visit or services you use. Without Net Neutrality, you could be charged EXTRA based on if you stream Netflix or YouTube, or play online games. ISPs could implement arbitrary limits on how much you can use, just because they want to take more money from you. Without Net Neutrality, the internet as we know it dies because of corporate abuse of power. Watch this video for a better explanation: <https://youtu.be/K88BU3kjZ-c>

1681. Michael Miller, Springfield, NJ, 07081

Keep net neutrality. Don't accede to private interests and sell out the only form of true electronic democracy we have.

1682. Michael Lafferty, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807

I was brought here by a start-up voice chat app called Discord. Because of title II protections, my access to the data on Discordapp.com was treated the same as any other competing voice chat service. Because of this I was able to realize that Discord was a superior service to most other voice chat services, all without either Discord or I having to pay special fees. Without title II protections, ISPs can and will use their ability to throttle access to competing services (like a free voice chat app), as well as either charge consumers more for access to such services, or hold the services access speed ransom until the service itself pays a fee (as has already happened with Verizon and Netflix). "well if you don't like your internet service provider, why not just switch?" I hear you say. Well, just like cable, internet service providers essentially have local monopolies over high speed internet. The only viable option in most areas is a single provider, so they essentially have free rein to do what they would like to their service. Don't let the cable companies convince you otherwise. Removing title II protections is a major blow to both consumers and the free market of the internet. This is not a move in favor of business. It is a move in favor of the CABLE business and no others.

1683. John Clark, Warren, NJ, 07059

Net Neutrality ensures that the internet will not be controlled by ISPs seeking to provide greater access to content based on how much profit it offers or on their corporate political/lobbying efforts. Today's internet is no different in function than the public square of our founding fathers or the copper telephone lines that first enabled easy, fast communication over great distance. We need unfettered access to an internet that does not prioritize information according to the desires of those who provide that access. It's really what we need for free speech and the open availability of information in today's "marketplace of ideas."

1684. Howard Yeung, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828

No one should control the internet to this level. It is our right and freedom to watch whatever we want and not have to pay more money to these ISP conglomerates who we are already at the mercy of. This is just a wrong thing

1685. Harrison Billmers, Stockton, NJ, 08559

My entire career rests on the ability of the net to allow free trade. If some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster,

startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace. This isn't just an oligopoly vs mom&pa shops. In the physical world, at least both businesses can exist on the same road, the same area, and the American ideal of capitalism can function. Here, the government will be allowing the segregation of the large from the small. Here, upkeep of the roads will be left to the private sector, and allow access to all to be based on extortion. Here, the market is not the only driving force. Capitalism works wonderfully when the market allows entrance for small businesses. Place a single, controlling for-profit entity between businesses and the marketplace, and the idea is destroyed. This isn't some overblown worst-case situation: Many places are limited to a single provider. This also allows ISPs to charge exorbitant prices for access to sites who promote information which could reduce the ISPs stranglehold on the net. It promotes the filtering of information, the intrinsic biasing of every detail passed through the once free land of the internet. This kills innovation. This kills the free sharing of information. This kills the American dream in the modern age. Title II classification isn't "needless red tape". It doesn't "take away from [ISPs] ability to make investments that benefit consumers". It keeps the roads open for all. Please do not allow the American Internet to be killed. You have the power to stop it. Do not let the Internet lose its Title II Classification. Thank you! Harrison Billmers

1686. Brian Zinn, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
The Open Internet rules (net neutrality) are extremely important to me. I urge you to please protect net neutrality. I will not vote for any representative who does not publically come out in support of net neutrality. Thank you.
1687. Laura Christie, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
Please protect net neutrality. It is extremely important to me.
1688. Gillian Hargreaves, Landing, NJ, 07850  
Please do NOT mess with net neutrality. Everyone on the planet knows it is a terrible, terrible idea. The country is speaking to you. Please listen.
1689. Corey Schimoler, Peapack, NJ, 07977  
I do not support any actions by the FCC enabling ISP providers to limit or control special bandwidth usages/packages preventing the general publics access to an unobstructed and uncensored internet.
1690. Rob Arent, Summit, NJ, 07901  
It is important for the internet to remain an open field with equal opportunities for all connected. One of the greatest features of our current internet is the low barrier of entry for new business ideas to be competitive and have fair access to consumers. Let the consumers decide which services and products they want access to, not the ISPs. With the limited number of ISP choices many Americans have (usually only one option for true, modern, high speed internet access), too much power can be put into the hands of the ISP in shaping consumer choices.
1691. Christian Miller, Milford, NJ, 08848  
I believe the net neutrality would hurt small start-ups businesses and allow large ISPs to charge for access to certain websites and services and favor large companies. ISPs should have to provide equal access to websites and services at reasonable speeds to all their subscribers.
1692. Net Neutrality, Glen Gardner, NJ, 08826  
Net neutrality is the only thing from handing ISPs a monopoly on a service that was meant to be open and free. The internet as a whole is currently an amazing place and that is because you are allowed to go wherever you want and its all under the same price. ISPs currently have no say on what we can do on the internet, and that is an amazing thing, as if we allow ISPs to control the internet, it would turn out almost as television is, with different package deals to pay for certain channels. And that exact concept is what is causing television to be replaced by Netflix, which is open and all for one price. You pay for the service and get to do what you desire with it, as opposed to having multiple different fees in order to do what we can do now for one price. From a business man's perspective, I can see how removing Net Neutrality could be a good thing, as it puts a lot of money in the ISP's pockets, but last time I checked this country is a democracy, where the working class citizens should have most of the say. Its not even the working class citizens either, many large internet services have also rallied behind the ones trying to protect the internet. We all agree that the internet should be a free place where we do not have to pay extra in order to go onto a site we enjoy. It would kill entire communities, it would kill small business, and it would be handing a lot of power over to the ISPs.
1693. Lenny Laich, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Please keep the Net open for us all.
1694. Lance Lorenzo, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
I support strong net neutrality and strong title II oversights. Stop playing dumb Mr. unqualified head of FCC and instead get your head out of you ass.
1695. Aidan Musser, Union, NJ, 07083  
Don't change enforcement. Leave the rules as they are and help the consumers in what is close to a monopolized environment (in some areas)
1696. Net, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Removing Net Neutrality is unconstitutional because in today's world access to the internet and its information uncensored is a right every american should have no matter what type of "Internet Plan" they have. Have you ever read George Orwell's 1984. The dystopian society of this book lives under a branch of government called the Ministry of Truth. They distort History in attempts to eliminate traces of an uncensored world from citizens. If Net Neutrality is removed Companies will be able to censor what we the people see. The preamble of the Constitution is "We The People" why would Congress pass a bill that can under no circumstances benefit We The People.

1697. Jared Berberabe, Ringoes, NJ, 08551  
As someone with access to the internet, which, historically, was meant to be quite global presence and thus not to be hampered by greedy corporations who operate under the false pretenses of extended security and supposed benefits, it is my right to protest this blatant grab for power over something we all should have free access to. The Internet is not something you can control. It might as well be a new super-organism. It is constantly reproducing itself and growing. All of us who use it have the right to use it as we please until laws are broken or actions are done that cannot be forgotten. A power grab such that multi-billionaire corporations are proposing would blatantly fly in the face of proposals for a more accessible internet, proposals that, since the internet's creation, have been made and continuously fought for. We stand at the precipice of the birth of an incredible technology that gives us more information and connections than ever before, and it would be remiss of us to throw that chance away. To allow the powerful control over what we see would be akin to the situation presented in Orson Wells's novel 1984. More importantly, if this country is to stand by its mantra of freedom, then these businesses and their desperate want for more money should be ignored. They are not the people. We are. We hold the final say in these matters, and we say Leave our internet alone! Jared Berberabe
1698. Theodore W.S., Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
While the current chairman of the FCC (a former Verizon employee) may disagree, Title II is vital for protecting consumers' quality of service from the financial interests of ISPs. A majority of Americans only have access to one high-speed Internet provider, and rules need to be in place to enforce their right to an open web in a world where the Internet is less of a luxury or commodity and more of a utility necessary for going about their lives.
1699. Michelle Frasco, Whitehouse Station, NJ, 08889  
I care about the open internet and competition online. Shame on you for trying to remove it.
1700. Gabe Waldman, Gillette, NJ, 07933  
The web, just like any utility, should not favour one man over another. It's an American principle to have everyone treated equally and fairly.
1701. Net Neutrality, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
As a citizen of the United States and an ardent supporter of our right to free speech, I urge the FCC to abandon its efforts to undo Net Neutrality, and instead to preserve it and improve upon its flaws without repealing it. Thank you.
1702. E Leonard, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Net neutrality simply makes America better than other countries around the globe. Why? Because we trust our citizens with making the right decisions for themselves. No one else has the right to pressure me in pushing their views, products, or services because they paid for the privilege. The foundation of American principles relies on the exchange of information without preference or hindrance. Preserving net neutrality is essential to our values as a country for every citizen, not the privileged few.
1703. Jacob Goodman, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
Net Neutrality is one of the saving graces of the internet. There is no reason to limit the freedom of internet users just to satisfy the corporate side of the business. It infringes on the main cornerstones of the internet, and it would be both anti-freedom and anti-american to have such an act go through.
1704. Anton Ryjov, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Going through with this policy will CRIPPLE the internet, until someone figures out a way around the system. And someone will find a way around the block. Further, ISPs are already rich enough; allowing them to do this will not advance America's economic situation anywhere but down, as small webstores are forced out of business since they can't sell anything without paying to be included.
1705. Edward Donovan, Wharton, NJ, 07885  
We need net neutrality. Bottom line. It's essential for any innovation that is not founded on a pay-to-succeed model.
1706. Robert Milos, Associate Director, Child & Family Resources, Mt Arlington, NJ, 07856  
As a 501c3 nonprofit, use of internet based communications is critical to providing awareness and access to our low income clients. Many of our efforts are funded through Federal, State, and local grants. Increases in fees for use of the internet will either have to be borne by increases in grants or, more likely in the current environment, with cuts in services to our clients. This proposal would seem to favor high volume purchasers which are mostly commercial organizations and shift costs to small organizations like ours and individuals like our clients. While no one may "intend" this to happen, it's important for our government to continue to be "of the people, by the people, and for the people". And that includes setting standards of behavior as expressed in the rules that we make.
1707. Josh coleman, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Don't tax my tea or my internet Josh coleman
1708. Jeremiah Hunter Williamson, Stewartville, NJ, 08886  
The American people deserve the right to a free, open forum. Preserve net neutrality. Stop letting big business influence political affairs.
1709. Nikesh Patel, dunellen, NJ, 08812  
FCC, The internet should remain open and neutral. The removal of net neutrality is a violation of freedom of speech and another step towards oppression of the American people. You know who has censored internet? Look it up.
1710. Paid prioritization, Summit, NJ, 07901  
The Internet has been a completely free service and should remain that way. Paid prioritization is an unnecessary way to levy government payments on daily users of this should be free service. I am completely against monopolization of the internet, and so is every user on it. Do not pass this bill. Signed, An internet User

1711. Matthew Walch, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
I support a free and open internet. Net Neutrality is important. My ISP should not decide what speeds I can view my favorite websites.
1712. Greg Gilson, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Net neutrality is vitally important to me as someone who grew up alongside the internet and watched it become what it is today
1713. Kaylee Lepore, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
Net Neutrality - Don't even think about laying your hands on it. You are about to ruin millions of lives because of your careless actions. Not everyone will be capable of affording their internet, they can't even afford it as it is now, but we give them what they want because they deserve it. You are going to block websites, social media, and all other sorts of social communications. Lets say my friend is hurt, but I can't get to them or contact them because the website has been blocked, and I have no other way of contacting them? You just screwed me! As well as slower connections. Some people are in a hurry to do something that can be EXTREMELY IMPORTANT, but because of a slower connection that can potentially just crash the browser and cause them to restart the process all over again, that extremely important activity can completely overthrow them. The internet with a different Net Neutrality, or none at all, is really no internet. Children and people of all ages use the internet to gain knowledge, to talk to friends and family, to interact with others through videos games, to submit things online and start a topic about it, to become an artist and learn from the best, to learn things they never knew, to help them figure out what's wrong in a situation and how to fix it, to look up a certain word for it's meaning, to look up something important that they may or may not need to purchase. Unfortunately, without Net Neutrality, all of that will be completely ruined. What I see unfair, is knowing how people act, that if this does go through, the people who completely messed with the Net Neutrality, will be the only ones who didn't have their internet tampered with.
1714. Ace Strife, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
This act does nothing but harm every internet user across the united states, and will spread to the rest of the world afterward. All it does is benefit ISPs who stand to gain monetary profit over their own customers' using malicious practices. It must not be allowed to pass.
1715. Ilan Schindler, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
Everything needs regulation. People, like you, are stupid and can never be expected to do the right thing. If people always did the right thing, we wouldn't need a government, or currency, or prison because everything would be fair and right. But the whole reason we have government is to regulate things people are too stupid to regulate themselves. But getting rid of net neutrality you are essentially saying that we don't need a government.
1716. Roseanna Harris, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Net Neutrality is important to my day to day life. Taking it away threatens not only my entertainment, but my education and future. This will effect my ability to stream and possibly earn something from that, this will effect playing video games online, this will effect research and the information I can access to learn. Taking away the freedom that makes the internet great is a mistake.
1717. Leen Kharboutli, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Greetings. I write to express that I am against the Restoring Internet Freedom Act, as it seems to me clear that this bill serves the interests of large telecommunications companies such as AT&T, Verizon, and Comcast, not the citizens of this country. Net neutrality is simply the idea that ISPs should not discriminate against, block, or favor certain websites or products; this principle is essential to the free exchange of ideas and information in the internet age. This bill, if passed, will give ISPs the power to block and throttle websites and applications and this will hurt net neutrality, deepening the digital divide.
1718. internet, Belle mead, NJ, 08502  
Dear sir please keep the internet free and neutral. ISPs and other corporations cannot have the rights to regulate the flow of content and information across the Internet
1719. Joe Giere, Gillette, NJ, 07933  
I support net neutrality and you should to.
1720. sam choung, Millburn, NJ, 07041  
Pay for free speech? not on my watch.
1721. Luke Pacific, Warren, NJ, 07059  
Please don't ruin our beautiful internet. Luke Pacific
1722. Scott Ducey, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I support net neutrality backed by title 2. Stop selling out to the internet providers wanting to give their CEOs raises if they get rid of title 2.
1723. Cassie Ihekwa, Stewartsville, NJ, 08886  
PLEASE THINK ABOUT THIS. You're already making consumers who are already paying for internet, pay prices for sites that they were already paying for. You're essentially asking for twice as much money than they already have. Think of it like this: You, a family of six, almost everyone needs an internet connection. I know this sounds dumb but listen. Projects, family info, vacation planning, entertainment. You have all of that at your fingertips. But when you try and open Google, you're blocked because you can't pay premium for website packages. And you're not very wealthy, either. You're barely scraping by, spending hours paying bill after bill, buying food, eventually paying tuition so your kid can go to school. A school that is known to be cheap, that you are struggling to pay for. That's the family situation. You, a dad is trying to keep up with everything right now, and your kid(s) are going to college this fall. As a dad, you should be worried you probably won't be able to pay. They can only do a work-study, and that's it. Even if they do leave, you will still be paying for all these premium packages, bills and more. Pay to play, basically. Does that seem right? To the giant companies, they couldn't care less. But really take this idea to heart. People aren't dollar signs, there are people who can barely afford to live in their homes, let alone pay for internet. And now this? This is an EXTREMELY selfish act. That "net divide" problem will only

become even worse for all these people if this is enforced. They only care about money. All they ever wanted was money. Give them a dollar and they'll want 1,000,000 more. Don't give them the luxury of letting them have their way. Don't give us, the REAL people of the United States, a challenge we really can't overcome. Challenges can be beat, but this is one that can't be. The chances of the target individual reading this are low, but whatever bot, or email room skims this, I'll make it an easy read: EXPENSIVE INTERNET + LOW INCOME = HARDER LIFE. A life that we can thank the sin, Greed for. Thank you. Cassie Ihekwa

1724. John Schreiner, Budd Lake, NJ, 07828  
Net neutrality is vital for the freedom of information. It is absolutely imperative we protect the world wide web.
1725. Joel Consolati, Watchung, NJ, 07069  
I am writing today to express my support for strong net neutrality laws. In this country, internet usage has become a part of everyday life bordering on necessity. We have long since passed the point of internet being a luxury item. This, along with the severe lack of competition for internet provider in most regions, is why my support extends towards regulating under Title 2.
1726. Logan Greif, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
The FCC needs to preserve net neutrality indefinitely. Removing it helps absolutely nobody except for the big ISPs and puts an end to the free internet and the free world as we know it. If anybody that supports removing net neutrality can give a SINGLE reason why net neutrality is harming the internet, I will be damn impressed. The internet is already a market that is a complete monopoly by companies such as comcast and verizon. Take a look at how many cable and internet providers you have to choose from where you live. You very likely only have one choice, so you will have to deal with whatever crap packages they decide to serve up this week. If they decide to block skype, sucks for you. If they decide to block your favorite website, sucks for you. If they decide to block (insert anything on the web here), sucks for you. The FCC needs to keep Net Neutrality, and it should be added as free speech, as it promotes such, and is a huge platform for those all over the world.
1727. Jacob Caplan, Bedminster, NJ, 07921  
Please protect net neutrality through title 2 authority.
1728. Jayson , Flanders, NJ, 07836  
Hi, I know you're sent thousands and thousands of letters daily but I would like to put my own word in on this. Net Neutrality has been around for many years and as these years went by, more and more ISPs have taken more power over our internet as well. Cutting Net Neutrality would impact a significant amount of common people like myself, who see this as just hurting people like me. While the other hand just makes these CEO's of these big ISP companies more rich. Keep in mind these CEO's make up a very low amount of the people being positively affected by this action. I'm sure you won't read this considering how much of these kinds of letters you're sent daily but if you do then I hope you make the right decision of not going through with this. Thanks! A common person. Jayson
1729. Jason Kerchner, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
As a small business owner, I oppose FCC Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal to reverse net neutrality protections. I am concerned that by reversing these protections, control over access shifts away from the consumer and towards a handful of large ISPs who might be incentivized to cut deals that will steer Internet traffic to preferred websites and apps. Internet-enabled small businesses like mine would be among those hit hardest. Weaker net neutrality protections would discourage competition by limiting consumers' freedom of choice to only large enterprises that have the resources to outspend small businesses.
1730. Patricia O'Connor, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Dear FCC Commissioner Pai, I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Listen to the public and keep the internet open.
1731. Bhavik Gordhan, Millburn, NJ, 07041  
Don't control what we do. If you do, you're no better than communism that we so strongly fight against. Albeit, not taking into account unlawful internet usage, we should be able to do what we want with the service we paid for. Unlike being grandfathered into a plan, you're going to completely change how we use the internet. Bhavik Gordhan
1732. Kathleen Kellett, Bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
Net neutrality is essential to democracy and progress in this country. We need rules to protect the open internet. We can't depend on broadband corporations policing themselves. A lack of net neutrality rules would make it more difficult for people to easily access the vital information they need to be informed citizens.
1733. Matt Montera, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
If the very bad thing called the FCC has their way with abolishing net neutrality, then this could mean an apocalypse. What I mean by an apocalypse is that taking away net neutrality can literally destroy Americas economy. By that crashing it could implode many other countries economies. Not only this it can cause nationwide unemployment to increase uncontrollably. This is extremely bad. Due the possibility of Net neutrality being revoked this will literally mess with many peoples financial capabilities where talking millions +. This can cause millions of businesses to crumble, entrepreneurs like myself to loose the ability to have freedom of creating their own ideas and innovations. I see how you my want to censor a lot of bad things from the public eye. But censorship is also bad it makes people uninformed, ignorant and completely just weird. Please consider not abolishing net neutrality this isn't just for me its for hundreds of million of people to. For the sake of the economy and others. The worlds economies and lies in the hands of the FCC and congress now I hope you guys choose the right thing. Matt Montera
1734. Matt Montera, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
If the very bad thing called the FCC has their way with abolishing net neutrality, then this could mean an apocalypse. What I mean by an apocalypse is that taking away net neutrality can literally destroy America's economy. By that crashing, it could implode many other countries economies. Not only this it can cause nationwide unemployment to increase uncontrollably. This is extremely bad. Due to the possibility of Net neutrality being revoked this will literally mess with many peoples financial capabilities were talking millions +. This

can cause millions of businesses to crumble, entrepreneurs like myself to lose the ability to have freedom of creating their own ideas and innovations. I see how you might want to censor a lot of bad things from the public eye. But censorship is also bad it makes people uninformed, ignorant and completely just weird. Please consider not abolishing net neutrality this isn't just for me it's for hundreds of million of people too. For the sake of the economy and others. The world's economies lie in the hands of the FCC and Congress now I hope you guys choose the right thing. Matt Montera

1735. Iris Liu, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
As a high schooler who hopes one day to open a small web business for my art, getting rid of net neutrality would be disastrous to me - and the thousands of other artists who use the web as a form of income.
1736. Victoria Pauls, Green Brook, NJ, 08812  
Net neutrality is important to keep! Without it many people who are trying to survive on the small businesses they own, or even just get paid for the things they create, will not be able to. The internet connects millions of people together, to buy things, enjoy videos, to research things. Schools have become more and more technologically based for the better! It would be devastating to suddenly have slower internet, simply because some companies are in control of it. The small businesses and the jobs created online are still jobs, they still need to be paid, and in some cases they still need to reach their demographic. If the internet is slow because you couldn't pay enough to get it faster, or even be able to pay for the internet at all, that demographic won't go on your site, you will lose money. Getting rid of net neutrality will be killing buisnesses! We don't need to get rid of those jobs just so some companies can have control over what we see and do. The internet is fine as it is and we need all the freedom to learn and grow as we can get.
1737. Corinne Williams, North Plainfield, NJ, 07063  
I support complete Net Neutrality including Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1738. Yehia Refaat, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
Net ntrality is very important to me because I believe it is our last true connection to a free and open press. We the people choose who we get our information from, not our ISP. Faith in our government's ability to preserve our freedoms is already dwindling, if you remove net neutrality it is bound to get worse and justifiably so. You would be acting in favor of big companies, restricting the common mans access to certain information, as per major ISPs discretion, as well as restricting the internet's open market economy by allowing ISPs to pick and choose which websites will have restricted or slower access.
1739. Jerry Samuels, Montgomery, NJ, 08502  
The FCC should safeguard Internet freedom by keeping the bright-line net neutrality protections in place and upholding Title II. The FCC should throw out Chairman Ajit Pai's plan to hand the ISP monopolies like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon free rein to throttle whatever they please, stripping Internet users of the meaningful access and privacy rules we worked for and won just two years ago. I am in strong favor of Net Neutrality.
1740. Net Neutrality, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
We need to preserve net neutrality and title II and keep the internet a free and open place.
1741. Derek F, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
The classification of Internet Service Providers under Title II of the Communications Act is vital for the defense of net neutrality. Without Title II classification, ISPs would be able to stifle creativity and innovation by effectively striking down anything not made by companies and groups who pay them more money to get faster service for their sites and services through discriminatory throttling. This would be a disaster for creativity and opportunity on the internet, as new startups and content creators would find it significantly more challenging, if not impossible, to create new content and services that could rival more established companies. I appreciate that the average person like myself has the opportunity to achieve the American Dream through creative and innovative expression on the internet. Please do not take that dream away from myself and so many others by reversing the classification of ISPs under Title II. Thank you in advance!
1742. Wesley Kennedy, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Usually when I send a message or two to one of my friends, who doesn't have AT&T which I don't know if that affects anything, the sending bar stops at 9 tenths of the bar on top of the screen and a few seconds later, it finally sends. Also, when I look at the admin settings for my Verizon router, it will most likely say "Login has been temporarily disabled due to too many unsuccessful login attempts. Please try again later." although no one may have tried to login, and this happens when I use the right password. And one last thing, CAN YOU STOP MAKING TRAP MUSIC MAINSTREAM?!?!?! Wesley Kennedy
1743. Rosa Cortes, Milford, NJ, 08848  
We have net neutrality now. The only reason to change that is for the financial benefit of large corporations, not the people or small business. I support net neutrality
1744. Joshua Milewski, Frenchtown, NJ, 08825  
There is perhaps an argument to be made for changing the classification of ISPs so that they are no longer subject to net neutrality rules, or consumer protections from fraudulent billing, or excessive price increases, but I think that argument only makes sense if there is true competition in the real world between ISPs for every consumer. In reality, because of local restrictions and protections for ISPs and their physical networks, most consumers have only a small handful of choices for different ISPs -- and some have no broadband choice at all. If there were real competition in the market -- perhaps five or more competitive ISP choices for every household -- then perhaps regulating ISPs as common carriers would not be necessary. Until then, to ensure consumers have any protections whatsoever from bad practices by ISPs, in light of the lack of real-world competition between them, I think common carrier classification of ISPs is necessary.
1745. Michael Capizola, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I implore you to save net neutrality. Do not cave in to the influences of big money.

1746. Allison Leggett, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Please keep the internet free and open. Do not let ISP's control content.
1747. Merna Burden, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
I am writing in regard to FCC Chairman Ajit Pai's plan to remove net neutrality and give cable companies control over what consumers can see and do online. This change offers absolutely NO benefit for the average American – in fact the only possible justification for this change is to allow the cable companies to make more money. When did helping cable companies exploit customers become the top priority of the FCC? The FCC's role is to regulate the radio, television and phone industries. It is not to give these industries the power to limit what consumers can see on the internet and who can deliver information to the average consumer. Why should cable companies be allowed to slow down or block websites or to charge smaller companies extra fees to reach an audience? Of course this plan makes sense if you work for Comcast, Verizon or AT&T, but the FCC is supposed to represent the interests of the American people. One of the great things about the internet is that individuals and small groups can produce wonderful resources and reach a wide audience. We need to protect the ability of people to access these types of resources. Comcast, Verizon and AT&T should not control what we can see and do on the Internet. This is a harmful policy change and shows poor judgement (or questionable motivations) from the current Chairman.
1748. Jennifer Leigh Loughran, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
I am a small business owner, a creative who works from home. I can be with my children while my husband works as a systems administrator for a young medium-sized business. We are incredibly blessed to be able to support our family. We survived tough financial times and we might even make it to the disappearing –middle class in our life time. Favoring the wealthy and advantaged is what caused the decline of the –middle class. In the same way, favoring the largest corporations is what has caused the decline of the business world's –middle class. Companies that have the freedom to bring new and fresh products to the marketplace, that can offer benefits to full time, unlike many big box businesses. Look at what has happened to the mom and pop's, the family businesses and the local business person? Big box goliaths have been wiping out small businesses in communities for decades. This is not because we as consumers don't care. The average household has to work so many hours just to pay the bills. Who has time to run a bunch of errands when it's all in one place and cheaper? Better yet, let's order it online on our lunch break. Sometimes the only access small businesses have is the internet. It is still hard to compete with the Amazon, but in many cases Amazon is the platform small businesses use to reach customers. What happens if Amazon has the best loading speeds? Then these businesses have to use Amazon. That would make Amazon sort of like the mafia. Net Neutrality is the only protection a housewife has to reach a customer base despite overseas competition that can out bid on every single project using cheap labor. Net neutrality ensures that young innovative companies like my husband's have a global marketplace that is not controlled by a select few oligarchs. Tampering with the free market provided by net neutrality will inevitably cause the collapse of a free society, our capitalist democracy, the United States, and the world.
1749. William Rouse Jr, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Do not destroy one of the last real freedoms of America
1750. Don Mueller, Bedminster Township, NJ, 07921  
Title II allows bureaucrats in Washington to pick winners and losers on the web. The internet is best regulated by light-touch FTC regulation and the market, not heavy-handed FCC rules. I strongly support Chairman Ajit Pai's rollback of Obama's anti-free market Internet takeover.
1751. Saayom Ghosh, Warren, NJ, 07059  
Net neutrality is very important and something that should be available and free to all people.
1752. Ang Lee, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
I want my internet content to not be modified/blocked in any way by anyone whose job should be simply be the channel the message happen to flow through. It should be the same that the mailing/shipping company shouldn't decide what package you get or shouldn't get. Nor should they add advertisement to the package or change the content of the package in any case.
1753. Ian Page, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I believe in an open and free internet and therefore ask for the rejection of the proposal to reverse net neutrality protections which were put in place in 2015. An open and free internet does not allow for priority fast lanes (ie. monetizing access to certain corporate-sponsored or preferred content), it protects first amendment free speech rights, it promotes technological innovation, and it preserves internet standards-- I believe that for all of these reasons we need net neutrality protections to stay in place. An open and free internet is vital for our democracy, the lives of individuals/consumers, and the success of business in the United States. The internet is not the place for the interest of a few service providers to outweigh the overwhelming voice of the American people. I submit this public comment to oppose Chairman Pai's proposal to reverse these protections, and ask that we instead secure the future and promise to keep an open and free internet.
1754. Tom Farber, High Bridge, NJ, 08829  
Net neutrality is incredibly important to me and the rest of the nation. It is a place where anyone can create content and allows them to make a living while setting their own rules, an ideology that does not exist elsewhere.
1755. Ila Dingare, New Providence, NJ, 07974  
Net neutrality is important to me, and I urge you to stand up and represent me by protecting it. ISPs should not have the power to block or slow down websites that I visit, on any basis. I want everyone to be able to provide their content to the world - that's the glory of the internet! We know that this is exactly what would happen! Verizon has already threatened to create 'fast lanes' and 'slow lanes'. Under Pai's plans, ISPs could use this power to 'slow down' websites featuring political content they don't like. This is truly outrageous and dystopian, brought to us by Pai, a former Verizon lawyer. Represent me and oppose any changes to the existing rules which protect net neutrality.

1756. Katheen Bibbo, Springfield, NJ, 07081  
In the interest of free speech, leave Net Neutrality as it stands. Anything else will be viewed negatively by the people. I don't want any corporations telling me what I can see or do. Hands off Net Neutrality!
1757. Kathryn Brock, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Please retain net neutrality. Everyone deserves reasonable access to the net.
1758. Lenore Rosenbluth, Flanders, NJ, 07836  
The net neutrality rules are important to me and I urge you to protect them. ISPs should not be given the power to block some websites or slow others down. They should not be able to control what we do online. To do so is to violate our right to freedom of speech.
1759. Martin Schwartz, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Keep the internet open and equally accessible to all. Stop Trump's plan to kill net neutrality. Thank you.
1760. Mike Jiran, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me, and to our country's political and cultural health and vitality. ISPs should not have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!
1761. Kimberly Carpenter, Plainfield, NJ, 07062  
Changing net neutrality rules has two causes and two only and any attempt to suggest otherwise is pure dishonesty: (1) Increase profits for wealthy corporations at the expense of smaller providers of information and (2) ensure that wealthy corporations are better able to disseminate messages that support their conservative, authoritarian views. Anyone who truly believes in freedom of speech, the moral imperative of unfettered access to information, and the right of intelligent individuals to determine truth for themselves instead of being told what to believe, will act unswervingly to preserve internet neutrality.
1762. Nancy Macaulay, Glen Gardner, NJ, 08826  
The internet was developed using taxpayer dollars. My business and two employees depend on it. If I have to pay exorbitant fees to use it, I will be out of business, and so will they.
1763. Wayne Augenstein, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
Net neutrality should be continued and enforced
1764. R Vanstrien, Warren, NJ, 07059  
No to ending Net Neutrality!
1765. Julie Martin, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
The internet has become ubiquitous in business and personal life of individuals and the nation and the world. It should be considered a common carrier -- like the telephone system -- and all should have equal access to it.
1766. Ellen Boylan, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Net Neutrality is essential to Individual freedom, our democracy, and civil rights. I urge you to put the American people above big Telecom by upholding Title II protections and the Open Internet Order. Thank you.
1767. Nancy Macaulay, Glen Gardner, NJ, 08826  
The internet was developed using taxpayer dollars. My business and two employees depend on it. If I have to pay exorbitant fees to use it, I will be out of business, and so will they. Do not privatize the internet!
1768. Tammy Rosenthal, Millington, NJ, 07946  
Net neutrality rules are key to a democratic society. Money should not rule!!!
1769. Lynn Ingemi, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Title 1 and 2 protect the consumer. By allowing large companies to possess the internet, options are limited and the gain is for only these companies. Protect the internet and net neutrality.
1770. Glenn Warkala, Milford, NJ, 08848  
Congress, I do not want service suppliers to have the right to control or steer Internet content. For true innovation to continue to improve the Internet, all providers must be on the same, level playing field.

1771. Richard Chen, Neshanic Station, NJ, 08853  
Stop harming America with lobbyist paid net unneutrality, if your rule making is so inane as to hide behind silly procedures, we'll deploy Congress on you, be warned.
1772. Luke Pacific, Warren, NJ, 07059  
Cable TV is a trainwreck. Please don't let our very special internet become the same bloated market with "choice." I want one choice: The Internet.
1773. James Nowicki, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
Whomever, if anyone, is reading this, you probably think you're doing the right thing, one way or the other. Maybe you lack common sense and believe this will improve the world somehow. Maybe you stand to gain a lot of money. Either way, you should know that what you're doing is dangerous. Truly dangerous, in the kind of way history will remember. As for me, im a miserable loser who sometimes contemplates suicide. If you wanna go ahead and do this, i wont be much bothered. Go ahead and burn the world to the ground, i'll just sit here and sing to the flames. But you, well you probably want to keep on living in this world so, i wouldn't recommend it.
1774. Konrad Olech, Clark, NJ, 07066  
Alright. First of all, internet is expensive as heck to begin with. Nobody feels like paying for access to certain websites and or games online, and I mean NOBODY. I'm not sure what they expect out of us but we have other needs to pay for, not hundreds of dollars on some dumb websites.
1775. Sehyr Khan, Warren, NJ, 07059  
Have you read 1984 or Fahrenheit 451?
1776. Maurice Kessler, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
The Web needs to remain an open resource that works equally well for everyone. It was not created to be a private profit center for the Chairman's previous employer. The concept of "public utilities" is out of fashion with affluent libertarians who owe much of their affluence to the availability of public utilities. Net neutrality needs to remain a priority for any administration, regardless of its campaign partners.
1777. Beatrice Lewis, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
We must save hard won net neutrality rules.
1778. Kathy Aprile, CALIFON, NJ, 07830  
Please protect our Net Neutrality rules.
1779. Richard Harvey, Neshanic Station, NJ, 08853  
I oppose any effort to water down Net Neutrality. The Internet must remain a system for innovation and access to all entities.
1780. Jessica Wortsman, Clark, NJ, 07066  
Those who have the most money and those whose lives revolve around greed and not the wellbeing of individuals should not be granted a "pass" to keep people in the dark about issues they deem unimportant and think will hurt their agenda. Everyone should have an equal chance on the internet. All information should be available to everyone.
1781. Stuart Strongin, Dover, NJ, 07801  
An open internet is a essential in a democracy like ours. Although I find some sites distasteful, others like them. You do not want me to edit what you can see, hear, and find out about. I do not want you to be my editor. The only solution is to leave the internet neutral. Without neutrality, we are on the slippery slope to North Korea. Yours truly Stuart Strongin
1782. Pa Acosta, SUMMIT, NJ, 07901  
Internet providers I should not control what we see and do online. I demand net neutrality to be enforced
1783. Karen Reilly, Far Hills, NJ, 07931  
Net neutrality is imperative
1784. Adam Furlong, Bedminster, NJ, 07921  
The internet has been one of the greatest inventions of the modern age - it has allowed the sharing of information and knowledge to anyone in the world, so long as they have access to a computer. To restrict access based on ISP decisions is a travesty and will be detrimental to the well-being of the world. I oppose this proceeding!
1785. Em Alsko, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
Negating net neutrality will further divide the American people into rich or poor, have and have nots. It is one of the greatest features of the Internet that has proven itself to be of great benefit to all.
1786. Joseph Cusick, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
I believe that a free and open internet is essential to giving people an even playing field to use the internet as they see fit. To be able to pay more to have your content reach people faster will only shrink the already limited independent news sources that are available to most people. Furthermore, allowing corporations with more money to have faster access will further reduce competition and increase the price of goods and services that these companies provide.
1787. Shawn McCloskey, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
I am in favor of strong net neutrality under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. When internet access is free, then I would consider

changing the rules. Since I have to pay and only have 2 choices of providers, I should expect a higher level of privacy from my ISP than from free service providers available. Sincerely, Shawn McCloskey

1788. Adeline Beasley, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920

Please protect Net Neutrality, as the American people value the freedom to have access to the world wide web.

1789. Dawn Cohen, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502

I am concerned at the prospect of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) having power to selectively facilitate or hinder traffic to specific content providers based on business decisions, rather than the needs of customers. America experimented with corporate control of business traffic, over 100 years ago, when railroad trusts abused their power to set shipping rates and pick winners and losers in the industries using the railroads. This abuse was anti-competitive and anti-consumer and a host of legal controls were put in place to protect society from it. America was able to thrive economically as a result of those controls. ISPs are the 21st Century equivalent of railroads, carrying information, rather than goods. Allowing ISPs to negotiate favorable terms with specific content providers is just as anti-competitive and anti-consumer as allowing the gilded age railroad monopoly abuse, and perhaps more importantly, anti-democratic. By throttling some content providers and not others, ISPs would have the power to direct consumers' opinions without their knowledge or consent. This is extraordinarily dangerous. I strongly urge you to retain the public utility framework for regulating internet activity.

1790. Kevin Graber, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920

A free and open internet is vital for our democracy to survive and our society to thrive. Protect net neutrality.

1791. Krystyna Morales, Dover, NJ, 07801

Maintaining net neutrality is fundamental to my ability to do business. Limiting the number of marketing tools I have at my disposal as the owner and operator of a small business by allowing companies to put them behind a paywall is ridiculous. So many people are already struggling. It's not only about compassion and the morality of it, but limiting or putting handicaps on the ability to create commerce if just bad business. Without money flowing capitalism fails. In addition I'm not interested in seeing American become a sub-par nation who can't even follow the same standards as other developed countries.

1792. C K KELLY, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920

I favor retaining net neutrality. Keep playing field level. A large amount of public funds have created a neutral net. That should not be destroyed so private interests can benefit unfairly. We need to expand the internet not allow private interests to squeeze the rest of us out.

1793. Melinda Hunt, Hillsborough, NJ, 08821

Retain net neutrality. At this point, so much economic activity depends on having high speed internet that it is impossible to function without it. There isn't enough competition in providers, many areas have only one; some have two. I need internet service to be able to work, and I need to be able to reach websites with good speed - I can't afford to have my ISP throttling my traffic to my worksites because my employer doesn't pay them extra. The internet should function like a utility - free and open to all.

1794. Cathy Masiello, Hampton, NJ, 08827

The internet needs to remain open and we need to keep Net Neutrality!!

1795. Megan, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807

I am in full support of net neutrality. The internet is, and should stay, an open resource to everyone.

1796. Michal Bogdanowicz, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844

I am for net neutrality. The internet is already free and does not need any protection applied by the likes of Verizon, AT&T, Comcast/Xfinity or any ISP. The FCC needs to get rid of the shill Ajit Pai as he worked for Verizon which is a conflict of interest. There should not be a such thing as fast and slow lanes and prioritizing any traffic. All internet traffic should be equal not prioritized. You are risking growth of new business and the economy by imposing any limits on the internet.

1797. Joseph Palmisano, Somerville, NJ, 08876

I encourage the FCC and the United States government to maintain net neutrality. Do not weaken government regulation over internet service providers, as it would only serve to benefit the interests of large corporations over the needs of individual citizens.

1798. Joseph V Maselli, Somerville, NJ, 08876

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and, I believe, the future of the modern world. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Joseph V Maselli

1799. Internet, Lebanon, NJ, 08833  
The internet should be unfiltered for all. Allowing filtering or prioritizing is a restriction of free speech.
1800. Robert Plant, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Say No- Preserve net neutrality !! Stand up for what benefits the consumer and say no to businesses seeking to squeeze even more profit from the consumers. Consumers = citizens the people you are supposed to have in mind 1st !!!
1801. Ryan Mack, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
I am against giving ISPs more power than they have already. A downgrade in service to boost their profits at the expense of the American people is simply not right.
1802. Mary Wolf, Millburn, NJ, 07041  
We strongly support tighter regulations to ensure net neutrality. ISPs should be regulated under title II.
1803. Chris H, Summit, NJ, 07901  
Please don't allow big companies to screw people over. Please save net neutrality.
1804. Max Bakie, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
To whom it may concern, I am writing to you to express my support for strong net neutrality rules under the current Title II regulations. These rules must be in place to insure that ISPs do not take advantage of their position. Otherwise, there would be a strong incentive for favoritism toward certain Internet services and companies. Thank you.
1805. Ajay Mohan, Watchung, NJ, 07069  
I support title 2 and FCC's efforts on net neutrality
1806. Elizabeth Porcelli, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
I strongly support strong net neutrality rules. Do not change it to title 1 please keep it in title 2. A very concerned citizen.
1807. Net Neutrality, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Getting rid of them won't help no one.
1808. Tracey Costanzo, Lambertville, NJ, 08530  
I support Net Neutrality. This critical resource should not be up for sale or monopolized by large internet service providers. The internet should continue to remain free and open "allowing me to share and access information of my choosing without interference. Thank you.
1809. Peter Jacoby, Short Hills, NJ, 07078  
I strongly support net neutrality under Title II oversight of ISPs. It's especially important to protect net neutrality as there's either a monopoly or near monopoly of internet providers in most markets in the USA and if net neutrality wasn't assured, consumers would have no way to "vote against it with their dollars" by going to a different broadband provider.
1810. Brian Porter, Chester, NJ, 07930  
Please continue to support Net Neutrality rules as described in Title 2. Fair and unbiased access to the internet is quite critical in this age of information exchange and technological overload.
1811. Ariel Epstein, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
I am strongly in favor of laws protecting net neutrality under Title II. Net neutrality should remain a legal obligation at all times for all entities.
1812. Kayleigh Fowler, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I support Net Neutrality enforced by Title II. Please do not jeopardize innovation and competition by making net neutrality harder to enforce!
1813. Charles Truett, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920  
The "Restoring Internet Freedom Act" takes away freedom from people all over the world and should not proceed. It is an affront to the ideals of the United States of America, and benefits only powerful concerns with no interest in serving the people of the United States or the larger world in which we live.
1814. Mark Weisman, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844  
Net neutrality is essential to maintain. This is especially true for me, since Comcast has a monopoly on internet service in my area, so there is already no option for me to find a competitor with better rates. By removing the little protection that I do have, they will be able to raise costs to me without any fear of repercussions.
1815. David Helck, North Plainfield, NJ, 07060  
Net neutrality encourages smaller ISP businesses to grow in spite of strong monopolies that oppose fair competition. Net neutrality ensures the US population freedom from censorship, as well as guaranteeing the freedom to do as we please on the internet without fear of being stopped or throttled.
1816. Matthew Giles, Phillipsburg, NJ, 08865  
Net Neutrality is potentially the most important issue in the world currently and the FCC's brazen attempts to sell the internet to corporations is reprehensible. Information, and access to it, should not be controlled by the moneyed elite.
1817. Robert Zakowski, Hillsborough, NJ, 08844

We must keep the net neutrality laws in place that allow us to have a free and open internet. The ability for ISP's to control the speed, information and services we use daily is too great to not have restrictions and limitations. I hope that the overwhelming support net neutrality has received from the citizens in this country can show that the corporations and special interest groups should determine our laws.

1818. Adam Frey, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Sir/Madam, I am writing to argue in favor of Title II classification and "Net Neutrality". In the 21st century digital media is often the primary source of news and means of communication for the majority of Americans. Ownership of internet-capable devices is widespread, and many households own more than one connected device. The ubiquity of internet access means Americans have incorporated it into their daily lives - for work, education, and entertainment. Today, we enjoy equal access to the internet content of our choice - whether current events, historical records, or just a funny movie. To allow tiered access to internet content as decided by providers would promote viewing certain sites while deminishing views of other sites. This choosing of winners and loser is an undemocratic system which skews the digital media playing field towards the choices of the internet providers, instead of the American people at large. As an analogy, think of a day running errands in town. In my town there are parking meters on the street. Everyone who drives to town pays the same rate at the meter. No one asks or cares where we walk to in town once we park. We may go to the clothing shop, or the gym, or the arcade, or to the courthouse. The parking meter always costs \$1 per hour. Now, there is a more expensive option - the fancy parking deck which offers a more secure, shady spot. But regardless of whether you park on the street or in the parking deck, you have equal access to the many storefronts and public spaces in town. Internet providers offer a similar service. Customers pay a flat rate for access to the internet, and no one asks or cares where you go once you are in reach of the desired content. When the FCC is making its upcoming decision on Title II classification, I urge that its staff seriously considers the importance of equal access to the myriad of digital content once you gain your connection to the internet. Please choose to retain Title II classification and maintain Net Neutrality.
1819. Ryan Whorton, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
I am writing to express my sincere concern about the FCC's plan to abandon Net Neutrality. A free and open Internet is important for the free exchange of ideas and allows new, small businesses to take hold in a time where giant companies make competition so difficult. Let's treat the Internet like the important utility that it is and ban the use of "fast lanes". This is so much more important than money and we cannot turn our backs on the importance of net neutrality for a healthy and informed democracy.
1820. Elizabeth Mazza, Kenilworth, NJ, 07033  
Please do not kill net neutrality. Leave the internet open for the citizens of the United States. Putting internet control in the hands of greedy corporations will be the downfall of our free and open web society. Do the right thing.
1821. Christian Baumbach, East Amwell, NJ, 08525  
Net neutrality has allowed the internet to blossom into a huge source of economic progress allowing anyone with an idea to create something special. Without net neutrality the internet wouldn't be the competitive open market that it is now, we'd be limited by the motivation for profits from a few select ISP's. Please don't put corporate interests over consumer interests. Sincerely, Christian Baumbach
1822. Scott Jurewicz, bernardsville, NJ, 07924  
Net neutrality is important to everyone who isn't an ISP. It would seem to me that the government looking out for the interest of the people not the corporation.
1823. James Hand, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
As a Network Engineer for over 30 years, I support Network Neutrality because the arguments presented against it are so laughably weak.
1824. Waseem Bhatti MD, Summit, NJ, 07901  
I support net neutrality. Removing it will change the character of the internet.
1825. Leticia Clough, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
The internet is now a basic utility service in the American household. It's a necessary service for digital phones, kids homeworks and TV. The internet is the One service provides access to communication, knowledge and fun. As important as electricity, water and sewerage services. Now imagine the government says you can only flush twice a day. After that, it's going to slow down. Or, only one person can take a shower today. After that, only drops of water will be available. The rich will have no problem. The working mom here with a teenager at home and a husband that's on SSI plus 99% of America will suffer. Thank you.
1826. John Cannizzo, Millburn, NJ, 07041  
I currently use the internet for my business (both websites & icloud), to purchase most of my household goods and for entertainment. I pay my ISP for open access to the world wide web which allows me to choose which services I use and which websites I utilize the most, I do not pay my ISP for any of these services as I pay them merely for my access point and to maintain the physical infrastructure. If these rules are changed then my ability to choose my services would be impacted. For instance, If the servers belonging to my entertainment service are deprioritized over other similar services, due to a deal between my ISP and another service for preferential treatment, then competition is impacted and I would suffer without any recourse. Or another example would be my cloud service, if their servers were allowed to be discriminated against in regards to throughput speed through my connection then that would be anti-competitive. I only have access to one ISP where I live. This lack of competition means that the current Net Neutrality rules are important protections for me so that my ISP does not have the ability to give preferential treatment to one website over another or one service over another. I think that it is very clear that Broadband is and should continue to be considered Telecommunications by the FCC and regulated as such. I am vehemently against blocking, throttling, and paid prioritization of any service by my ISP. A website and a service should be considered as points that I am contacting through my decision to visit them.
1827. Matt Chen, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
"But clearly Pai isn't paying close attention to what internet providers are reporting on their investment calls: AT&T, Comcast, and

Verizon all said they've been spending big to expand and improve their networks' infrastructure since the network neutrality rules passed."

1828. David M Kurtiak, Clinton, NJ, 08809

Net neutrality is the First Amendment of the Internet, the principle that Internet service providers (ISPs) treat all data equally. As an Internet user, net neutrality is vitally important to me. The FCC should use its Title II authority to protect it. Most Americans have only one choice for truly high speed Internet: their local cable company. This is a political failure, and it is an embarrassment. America deserves competition and choice. Without net neutrality, a bad situation gets even worse. These ISPs will now be able to manipulate our Internet experience by speeding up some services and slowing down others. That kills choice, diversity, and quality. It also causes tremendous economic harm. If ISPs can speed up favored services and slow others, new businesses will no longer be able to rely on a level playing field. When ISPs can slow your site and destroy your business at will, how can any startup attract investors? My friends, family, and I use the Internet for conversation and fun, but also for work and business. When you let ISPs mess with our Internet experience, you are attacking our social lives, our entertainment, and our economic well being. Please, let's not be fooled again. Title II is the strong, legally sound way to enforce net neutrality. Use it.

1829. Michael Concannon, Westfield, NJ, 07090

I have one and only one option for internet access. It is absolutely required that regulation prevent my internet provider from favoring content... especially for profit!! We need to keep it fair and open!

1830. paul beach, bridgewater, NJ, 08807

I do not want ISP to be able to throttle internet traffic at their own discretion. Also, I would appreciate it if the FCC keeps in place the consumer protections and not weaken it in any way.Regards,Paul Beach

1831. Tim Opremcak, Basking Ridge, NJ, 07920

The basic assumption in the US is that everyone should be treated EQUALLY - in that NO ONE is above that or can PAY to be treated better. Net neutrality is also that concept that everyone should have equal access to the web. Amazon should not be able to pay to have its website load faster than Best Buy, or a mom-and-pop e-commerce site, for example. This change would not keep that equality and therefore is wrong and should not be implemented.

1832. Jed Zarin, Springfield, NJ, 07081

Shame on you for contemplating handing over control of the internet to the ISPs. You serve the public, not Verizon, Comcast and all of the other big corporations that pay your bosses! Net neutrality is essential for democracy and the unfettered dissemination of information. We are begging you to do the right thing and keep the internet neutral.

1833. Nathan Liu, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502

I am writing in support of keeping the 2015 order which mandated the Title II classification for ISPs. I have genuine concerns that reversing such classification would create financial and logistical roadblocks for small businesses in America. Moreover, as a consumer, I believe that such reversal will lead to arbitrary blocks to websites, reduction of internet service speed, and higher costs.

1834. S Fagans, cranford, NJ, 07016

To the FCC: I am writing to urge the FCC to classify internet services as a utility, and to regulate access and pricing on the federal level, for the good of the US people and its economy. Regarding para. 24: Broadband Internet access service should NOT be classified as an information service, it should be classified as a utility. Government services are available via the Internet, and in many cases are the best way to access them, and in some cases, the only practical way to use them. Increasingly, the primary channel to receive entertainment and information is the internet. Teleworking is becoming common for more and more people, and this is a boon to the US economy. Social media, like it or lump it, is becoming a mainstay in many people's lives and is again on the internet. Internet service in the US is far behind speed and availability compared to other countries and is far more expensive for inferior service. We need to be competitive with the rest of the world, and we can't do it when our citizens are stumbling along with fragmented and poor service.Regarding para 70: Internet service needs to be regulated with a consistent set of free access guidelines on the Federal level. Allowing companies and states to provide disparate and wildly varying services and prices will result in at least 2 tiers of service: fast access for those who can pay, and stunted, slow services for those who can't. That is against the principals of equality in this country and will stunt education, economic growth and global competitiveness.Please make the right choice for the benefit of the American people, not the choice that will favor corporate coffers and the companies that donate money to our elected officials! Thank you.

1835. David Telfeyan, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807

I sincerely object to the internet being subject to business doublespeak in the inevitable goal to increase costs for lackluster service and reduce accessibility to individuals via price barriers, location-based limitations, or bandwidth ceilings.

1836. Jim Niva, Ringoes, NJ, 08551

Please maintain the current net neutrality laws that protect access to internet service for those who have limited resources. Everyone ultimately suffers when a subset have limited access to information. Our history is living proof of the value of open and available information.

1837. James McClure, Millburn, NJ, 07041

Net Neutrality is required for a healthy and competitive internet and cable/internet companies must remain with a Title II classification. Giving favored treatment to companies with the most money will discourage startups and innovation. The free market does NOT work when cable companies enjoy monopolies in many regions of the U.S.. Cable companies will NOT pass savings to customers, they will pass profits to their shareholders. Additionally, Ajit Pai should immediately resign as head of the FCC due to a massive conflict of interest from his previous and presumed continuing and future relationship with Verizon.

1838. Matthew Ryan Negri, Landing, NJ, 07850

To whom it may concernThe internet is the greatest invention of modern society. Changing Title II in the ways proposed would destroy

this amazing tool, and be a violation to our constitutional right of freedom of speech. I am a mechanical engineer and without an open internet my job would be difficult. Small businesses will have a negative impact if an open internet is not accessible. Also, I feel that my college education would have been negatively affected by the proposed changes to Title II if they were in affect while I was a student. In conclusion, not only will these proposed changes to Title II be a violation of our constitution, these changes will negatively impact working class Americans, and do harm to education in this great country. These proposed changes benefit only large corporations. According to recent polls, the majority of U.S. citizens support Net-Neutrality. Our democracy needs to accurately reflect this fact.

1839. Anthony Santos, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
It's not complicated. Net Neutrality is valuable to literally everyone in America. Disposing of net neutrality will make the internet more anti-competitive and inaccessible for both the Internet's users and content providers, just so corporations can make a little more money. Money, mind you, that will inevitably be lost when many popular sites get the short end of the stick and are subjected to the "slow lanes" unfairly imposed on them. Not mention all of the pay-to-use fast lane using companies would lose users when people become unwilling or unable to pay the extra cost. As a result, the people who made the Internet popular to begin with will be gone, and the FCC will be left wondering why this "brilliant" plan didn't make them as absurdly rich as they hoped. Trump and Pai's plan is selfish, short-sighted, and ultimately hopeless. I urge them both to leave Net Neutrality alone.
1840. Carol Kieffer, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Companies should not be able to pay for faster speeds and better bandwidth. We should have equal access to all information on the internet. This is about free speech as well.
1841. , New Providence, NJ, 07974  
Net Neutrality is important to me because in a nation that prides itself on freedom, specifically freedom of speech and the press, I will not be censored.
1842. Guillermo Ahedo, Berkeley Heights, NJ, 07922  
To whom it may concern, Net neutrality grants free and open access of internet to whoever needs it. I have read the potentially devastating consequences that could stem from dismantling such an important regulation, and I will let you know that I, along with millions of other Americans, vehemently believe that net neutrality should remain in place for generations to come. The massive public outcry of a total repeal of net neutrality will put the FCC on the wrong side of history. The government and its many agencies have a responsibility to serve the people, not a select few corporate interests. Do the right thing. Respectfully, Guillermo Ortiz Ahedo, a frustrated American citizen
1843. Adam , Cranford, NJ, 07016  
Keeps things fair
1844. Oliver Berglas, Cranford, NJ, 07016  
We deserve interference free internet access
1845. Zach S, Neshanic Station, NJ, 08853  
Trying to save money for something crucial and not drain it on the internet
1846. Jessica , Summit, NJ, 07901  
I'm an independent artist and this hurts me as someone trying to do business independently and limits the whole customer base I'm trying to reach
1847. Alex Withers, Belle Mead, NJ, 08502  
Net neutrality is extremely important to everything I do, whether it be watch netflix, browse tumblr, or google something the internet is important and necessary. Ending net neutrality will destroy society as we know it and is the equivalent to setting a price on the oxygen you breathe. People will revolt and boycott the companies charging us forever, and we will destroy each other in the end. Please FCC do not side with the enemy of humanity.
1848. Nicholas Doren, Warren, NJ, 07059  
Protect the rights of digital publishing and marketing in the modern era
1849. Jonthan Cook, Succasunna, NJ, 07876  
I'm writing to you to ask you to represent me in our government. I need your voice to speak for me against the telecom mega corps that don't care what I want or what's good for me, only what maximizes their profits. They've already proven over and over that this is true. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are critical to protecting my interests (and the interests of most of your constituents). I need you to change your stated position and support them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but based on his actions to date, I'm sure that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So

I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Jonathan Cook

1850. Daniel Kay, Summit, NJ, 07901  
<http://imgur.com/gallery/1zwTw>
1851. Grace Garabo, Milford, NJ, 08848  
Net neutrality allows artists such as myself to show our work and attract potential clients and jobs. Without it, me and other artists will surely struggle to get our work noticed and therefore take a huge financial toll.
1852. Andrew , Netcong, NJ, 07857  
Because it is freedom
1853. Geoffrey Tucker, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Furthermore, Ajit Pai is clearly a tool for Verizon and other ISPs to push forward agendas and laws regulating ISPs. These laws, are lax, unethical, and against the public interest.
1854. Tyler Stivala, Bedminster, NJ, 07921  
I think that it is unconscionable to allow ISPs to potentially thwart the ability of start ups that may be in conflict with ISPs to flourish and obtain greater market share. If net neutrality is allowed to be dismantled, then more power is concentrated in the hands of those who already wield quite a bit, and the businesses that succeed or fail are at the whim of potential investors or access to credit. If a potential start up wanted to spread information that would fiscally harm an ISP, it may even be impossible for that company to spread its message. Thus, it appears that net neutrality serves to protect the public interest by ensuring that all voices have an equal opportunity to be heard in the internet landscape. Allowing unrestricted access to public websites allows people from various and potentially disparate socioeconomic backgrounds to have a more equal opportunity to better educate themselves and those around them. The more information that is available to the everyday user, the greater the potential for societal improvement. Scientific advancement has definitely been accelerated by the rapid spread of information, and there are many types of similar benefits to be gained by society by keeping information free for all. Restricting access to information seemingly hinders the majority at the expense of large corporations. Eventually, ISPs can become the mouthpieces for the wealthy and influential, only allowing information to be disseminated which paints them in a positive light or focuses the public's attention away from scandals. The government and larger companies could theoretically not be held accountable for wrongdoing simply because the ISPs would prevent stories from being transmitted digitally. One only has to look at the Great Leap Forward or North Korean propaganda techniques to see that controlling information can easily be used to control the population as a whole. Getting rid of net neutrality simply imposes too great a burden and a danger to society with no real benefit to any party except the ISPs and those with the wealth and power to control the ISPs for their own agenda.
1855. Stephen Kraatz, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
I am writing in support of keeping net neutrality as-is. I am a software developer at a small business that provides HR SaaS software, and a level playing field is very important to us. Without net neutrality we might not be able to survive if we were suddenly forced to pay various ISPs for priority access to customers; we would never be able to compete with entrenched bigger players. Net neutrality is very important for small business, which provide the majority of the jobs in this country.
1856. Joshua Hanemann, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
To whom my comments may concern...The internet has played an incredible and significant role in dramatically changing many aspects of our society in the past few decades. Its prominence in today's contemporary culture has led to an expansion in the exchange of ideas, information, commerce, creativity, entertainment, and communication on a completely unprecedented scale in human history. Just in the same way, the introduction of electricity has emerged to change and influence our way of life worldwide, the internet has done the same. Because of the potential power and influence, this medium holds, regulation and enforcement of strong internet distribution and access rules favoring the consumer, are in the best interest of the citizenry, the FCC, the global technology community, and the entirety of the United States. This necessity is particularly true of what is commonly referred to as "net neutrality" - specifically ensuring equal access and priority is given to each unique web page, regardless of content, owner, or affiliation within reason. Such assurances have allowed the internet to grow and thrive, in addition to helping expand the platform and breadth of impact of almost every individual, organization, business, community, or institution in the United States or beyond who has ever utilized the internet's massive communication and exchange potential. For this reason, I believe it to be imperative that the FCC, and the U.S. government as a whole, not only try to maintain the status of Title II classification of Internet Service Providers but also expand such efforts and take additional measures to further ensure that web traffic is equally handled without discrimination or restriction. If such a course of action is not pursued, I fear there is the potential for abuse of access to web content by companies, ISPs, and other organizations with specific interests and agendas that have the potential to distort and handicap the American citizen's internet experience on an incredible and widespread scale. With how our society currently uses such tools, the internet is not a leisure or luxury device, it is an essential asset harnessed in everyday life with the potential to impact many millions of Americans daily. For these and many other reasons, the internet must be kept open and appropriately regulated to ensure that the progress and enhancements the internet allowed to happen are around to grow and mature for American individuals, organizations and businesses to enjoy for years to come.
1857. David Winters, Branchburg, NJ, 08853  
I am in favor of Net Neutrality - the regulations on ISPs as they currently exist are an important part of protecting consumers' rights to internet privacy and freedom. Deregulating the way corporations are allowed to provide internet service would in no way benefit individual consumers. As a country, we are already severely limited in choice for ISPs, and deregulating the industry would further disadvantage the public.
1858. Wade Graf, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
Please leave net neutrality and title II as is. No favoring big business.
1859. Paul Foreman, Somerville, NJ, 08876

I believe that net neutrality cannot be left to self regulation by ISPs who have a financial incentive to discriminate among users. Only Title II classification can ensure that this does not occur.

1860. Chris Robinson, Hampton, NJ, 08827  
"Restoring Internet Freedom" simply doesn't. There is nothing to restore, and It takes away freedom. Period. Net Neutrality is, and has always been, a realization of freedom. The issue is who's freedom? We the people? Or the governments' and internet service providers'? "Restoring Internet Freedom" is the US government's and ISP's direct take over of the control and dissemination of information. Let's face it: politicians gleefully gerrymander voting districts to their advantage and not the voter's, do you think it will be any different for controlling the information of the internet? As for the ISPs, we already pay them tremendous amounts of money so that they can upgrade and maintain their equipment to keep up with demand rather than CONTROLLING the demand. Those who control information control the world. Shouldn't we the people all be in control? Keep the internet NEUTRAL.
1861. Brian Muzas, Westfield, NJ, 07090  
I am concerned overall that current protections that are in place will be weakened if "Restoring Internet Freedom" in fact changes the way they are enforced. Consider the regulation of throttling (para. 82) for example. In the past ISPs were known to throttle content based on their own determinations of what was legal or permissible, and in fact ISPs had to be forced to stop throttling by the courts. In an altered regulatory environment, it is possible that ISPs could resume the practice of throttling. I am further concerned that mobile service providers could describe a plan as "unlimited," yet when consumers exceed the data cap, they might only throttle sites and services that are not part of their approved zero-rating network. That said, I could be persuaded to support a new manner of regulation if it were to guarantee the same, or better, protections that we currently enjoy -- but not if we lose any protections. In short, I favor net neutrality. Thank you for your consideration.
1862. Steven Servais, Chester, NJ, 07930  
The FCC Must preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2.
1863. kevin miller, bloomsbury, NJ, 08804  
uphold net neutrality! Keep IPS's under title II
1864. BHAVASH JOSHI, HILLSBOROUGH, NJ, 08844  
We need net neutrality! ISPs currently, 10/04/2017, throttle customers. And, they have admitted to doing so. It's hard deny it with the overwhelming evidence why net neutrality is vital. I DO NOT support Ajit Pai plans to repeal net neutrality rules.
1865. Michael DeMarco, Frenchtown, NJ, 08825  
I support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY. Please do not destroy the free flow of information on the internet. I do not support reclassifying ISPs - They should stay under Title II.
1866. Lorenzo Sanelli, Flemington, NJ, 08822  
Net Neutrality is extremely important. Without it, large companies (Facebook, Google/YouTube, etc) would have too much control over the internet. With fair connections to all websites, ALL individuals, content creators, non-profit organizations, startup companies, small businesses, etc. will be able to have a fair chance on the internet. For this reason, I strongly support Net Neutrality.
1867. Richard Ryan Burtner, Somerville, NJ, 08876  
I believe in a free and open internet. Please do not revoke Net Neutrality. As someone who works for a major telecom company, Net Neutrality has only made internet providers more active in building out their infrastructure and creating competitive pricing for us the consumer. The reforms that Ajit Pai has proposed are not in the consumer's interest and would be doing the American people a major disservice.
1868. Ethan Chen, Bridgewater, NJ, 08807  
We need strong Title II protections for Net Neutrality. I don't want any of my websites to be discriminated against and given slower rates in comparison with the websites with more money to pay off the Internet providers. That's just stupid.
1869. Richard Mirisola, flanders, NJ, 07836  
You can either P common carrier and bear no responsibility for the data carried on your system. Or you can be a Content delivery system in which case you bear complete responsibility for the data delivered on your system. The telephone company is a common carrier, and as such, Bears no responsibility for the communications data that takes place on their line. A television or radio station is a commercial entity that is responsible for the content they deliver. If commercial entities that provide access to the internet choose to treat data specifically based on its content then they must assume responsibility for its content and liability for it. Corporate entities want to control data flow on the internet to maximize their profits. That is not what the internet was created for. It was created to maximize communication not to control it. Imagine Time Warner or Verizon communications being sued for every libelous comment that passes through their internet provision. That is what they are asking for when they decide to examine the types of data being sent on their Network and choosing to discriminate based on that content. They are no longer a neutral provider, a common carrier, they are a content provider once they make that decision. No rational for-profit company would take on that burden. You as the regulatory body can not grant them the right to discriminate Based on data content and not the responsibility for it.
1870. William Lapenta, cranford, NJ, 07016  
Please secure and leave Net Neutrality as is for the benefit of myself and my children.

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used national language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. <https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9ff0e3ed36a6>.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.