Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from NJ District #5

These are the 1,417 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Josh Gottheimer's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs under the proceeding 17-108.

1. Jim McConnell, Stockholm, NJ, 07460
   I am completely opposed to taking action to roll back net neutrality rules. It is the obligation of the FCC to act in the public's interest, not in the interest of big business. Literally no one but profiteers at large ISPs want to do this. The FCC chair's public statements that this will "restore freedom" are false, intentionally misleading, and disingenuous. I am and will continue to be opposed to this action.

2. Aaron Zelnik, Closter, NJ, 07624
   To FCC and Chairman Pai, The internet for the massesâ€”in other words, what pretty much everyone has been using since the mid-90â€™s onward has been working fine. The need for classifying ISPâ€™s as title IIâ€™s came about due to the same ISPâ€™s increasing avarice for charging subscribers more in spite of already making record profits. The large ISPâ€™s of USA essentially want to have their cake and eat it too: charge the subscribers an arm and a leg, charge third-party sites for first classâ€™ peering, and make little to no improvements on their infrastructure. Fever pitch was reached a few years ago and former Chairman Wheelerâ€™s decision to lead the way to permanentlyâ€™ cement the Open Internet as forever open should not be altered. Do not assume that revoking title II classifications will make ISPâ€™s treat both customers and businesses fairly. They wonâ€™t. Again, the internet over the last two decades has been working fine. However, regulation is now needed to ensure it stays that way. Please do the right thing by DOING
3. Meghan E Fredericks, Branchville, NJ, 07826
Title II is working to keep ISPs neutral without an adverse effect on their investments. Keep ISPs from being able to influence its users. Most places like the one I live in do not have more than one ISP, which is Century Link, a company I do not want deciding for me what I get to access at high speed.

Please do not change the rules around Net Neutrality. Allowing ISP's to 'promise' that they will not interfere with true Net Neutrality is simply going against the basic rules of Capitalism. There is no reason to believe that, if given the chance, that ISP's will not take advantage of an opportunity to earn more money and the consumers or their competitors expense.

5. Regulation, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
Please honor regulations that support Internet Neutrality. Regulation is what your bureau is all about. It is why the FCC was established to begin with in 1934. Thank you.

6. Robert Markowitz, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
I am in favor of EXTREME STRONG Net Neutrality. Mr. Pai, who do you serve? The American people, or your corporate masters and a fake president who are paying you to gut it? Be a man and do what's right.

7. Alex Gomez, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
I support strong Net-neutrality back by Title II oversite of ISPs

8. Alex Odin, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
ISPs are not being damaged by being defined under Title 2 by their own admissions to investors and I strongly disagree with removing them from Title 2. The only result would be to allow ISPs to negatively affect consumers and as the FCC you most certainly should be interested in that.

9. Adam Blakeslee, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
Asking the ISPs to take on the role of upholding Net Neutrality is a clear conflict of interests in which many ISPs have shown to be untrustworthy. Furthermore, we can see that US internet access is inferior to state funded internet access such as that of South Korea indicating that open competition is not necessarily a good thing.

10. Eric Bittman, Woodcliff Lake, NJ, 07677
Please do not touch our net neutrality rules. It is unacceptable to allow individual companies or hosts to determine rules and speed based on money. It will wipe out us small businesses who can't compete against the big businesses.
My online website is http://www.warrenlondon.com. If I can't afford to pay for more speed, my bigger competitors will wipe me right out.

11. CarriEve, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
I firmly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight. Okay? Seriously.

12. Carla Vogel, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
I support net neutrality. Preserve net neutrality under Title 2.

13. Albert J Brown, New Milford, NJ, 07646
What we need is strong net-neutrality regulations. Internet service providers and content creators serve very different roles on the Internet, and it is a major conflict of interest for Internet Service Providers who also happen to own a bunch of media companies to have control over which traffic gets prioritized and which traffic gets garbage binned. The Internet Service Provider has one job: to deliver traffic from point A to point B WITHOUT ANY KIND OF DISCRIMINATION. Any of their other services can be provided on top of this foundation. This provides a level playing field for e-commerce, media companies, social networking services, etc. If you want to "Restore Internet Freedom," Network Neutrality is THE WAY to do it. A level playing field will promote competition, allow new upstart companies to grow organically and compete against market incumbents, and ensure that the United States continues to pave the way forward through grassroots technological advances driven by the free market. If we take away Network Neutrality, it will be a smack in the face for anybody who believes in free market principles (except maybe Verizon and Comcast). It will be impossible for new start-ups to grow to a certain size without cutting deals with the Internet Service Providers, and if the ISP happens to already be in that market, they will not be willing to cooperate. In conclusion: Save Network Neutrality. Network Neutrality is Free Market. Network Neutrality protects competition. Network Neutrality protects innovation. A lot of smart people and companies will leave the US if we break the Internet. Only the monopolies will stay, and the consumers and taxpayers will end up paying for it.

We must KEEP net neutrality. We need to maintain an open and inclusive internet. Handing the keys over to service providers to set speed limits is wrong. They should be regulated like a utility because internet is no longer optional, it is a necessary service for the 21st century economy.

15. Matthew Faustini, River Edge, NJ, 07661
I'm writing to encourage the FCC to maintain Net Neutrality enforced by Title II oversight on ISPs. I find it extremely important that nothing hinders or
manipulates how I access data, especially on the ISP side of exchange.

16. Bruce Winant, West Milford, NJ, 07480
   I am writing this for myself and my family that you protect net neutrality regulations under the protections of title 2 to protect internet consumers from potential abuses from ISPs and others.

17. Richard Sorice, Emerson, NJ, 07630
   Repealing the Title II status of Net Neutrality regulations will stifle competition by allowing ISPs to play favorites with their own content, or with other large corporations that pay extra for preferred access to their networks. This will stifle innovation, as new startups and smaller internet companies will not be granted the same access level.

18. Jeffrey P. Gottlieb, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
   I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I have lived in Germany, Italy, Korea, and Bangladesh and all of those countries have not only had strong net neutrality, they have also had better internet service at lower prices than I have ever had in the United States. Please stop coddling our sclerotic corporations and help them prepare to win global competition by enforcing this rule.

19. Michael Gorman, Paramus, NJ, 07652
   Strong net neutrality is crucial for small business and start-ups! I urge you to keep strong net neutrality!

20. Yoo Chan Chang, Emerson, NJ, 07630
   I support Net Neutrality. Don't turn back the clock.

21. Michael Baudistel, West Milford, NJ, 07480
   Dear FCC/Mr. Pai, I am writing you to express my strong opinion that the FCC should classify ISP's as common carriers under Title II of the Communications act. I work in the online advertising industry as a data analyst and I know first hand the danger that paid prioritization could have on my industry and the internet as a whole. It is not enough as Mr. Pai has suggested that ISP's regulate themselves. Thank you, Michael Baudistel

22. Melissa Eydenberg, Allendale, NJ, 07401
   Removing the protection of net neutrality would be one of the most UNAMERICAN things you could ever do, and anyone who aids in the removal of these protections will have to answer to the people. We see through your lies. Protect Net Neutrality!

23. Kyle Reed, Dumont, NJ, 07628
   Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. John Oliver told me to and I trust/like John
Net neutrality is a necessity. There is NO reason to allow corporations to sell our internet access or limit our access for monetary gains. Killing net neutrality will disproportionately affect the disadvantage and poor in our communities. It is the government's duty to protect those that cannot protect themselves.

As a firm supporter of net neutrality, I believe that Internet service providers must, at the very least, remain under Title 2 oversight. I find it to be irresponsible to simply take ISPs at their word when it has been shown, time and time again, they will only take actions that will serve their own interests, even if those actions are anti-consumer, and undemocratic.

Please keep Net Neutrality laws the same as President Obama. Tinkering or losing net neutrality is wrong on so many levels.

I am strongly in favor of a free and open internet. It is my belief that left to their own best interests, ISPs may favor traffic from one company over another. That favoring of traffic should not be allowed.

Please do not remove the internet from Title 2. Giving corporations the ability to decide which content a user will see and at what speed is the exact opposite of free speech.

I am in favor of Title II net neutrality and oppose any limitation on keeping the net neutral.

As our society becomes more dependent on the internet for our daily needs (not just entertainment and social media), we need federal policies to protect consumers from unfair business practices from big ISPs. I support our Net Neutrality policy and Title 2.

I fully support an open and free internet. Please preserve net neutrality and Title II.
33. Daniel Kwartler, Jersey City, NJ, 07481
I support strong net neutrality, and want to keep ISPs categorized under Title II.

34. netneutrality, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
KEEP TITLE 2. Keep the Net Neutral.

35. Chris Yona, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
Mr. Pai, Clearly you are someone who understands the internet and how it functions. It's logical to assume that you would also be aware of how removing net neutrality will create a system that will be tilted towards the needs and interests of the providers rather than toward the American people. I please ask that you reconsider your stance and allow the FCC to keep net neutrality in place. I am a person with reasonable wealth and would be able to afford higher tier access. However, this is not about me. It's about all of us and thus I firmly hope you do the right thing and keep net neutrality in place. Thank you for your time. - Chris Yona

36. Mike McTeague, Maywood, NJ, 07607
I support strong net neutrality enforcement via Title II oversight of ISPs.

37. Steven Block, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
I want to maintain Title 2 net neutrality regulations currently in place.

38. Gabriel Aquende, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
I am for Strong net neutrality

39. tina nida, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
I fully support net neutrality and having the Internet classified as title 2. As a technology small business owner, this is critical my success and investment into our economy.

40. Miriam Zoklat, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
I SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISPs. ALSO THIS SUCKS.

41. Francis A Fuchs, Park Ridge, NJ, 07656
Leave it in Article 2 protection and stop messing around with the Internet. An even playing field protects SMALL Business from Larger Corporations try to snuff them out. Grandmas pictures deserve the same speed and Verizon FIOS ads.

42. Peter Lydon, Westwood, NJ, 07675
Net neutrality is the baseline of how the internet should operate. It should be open to anyone to have a chance of pursuing the American dream. It should be equal opportunity to all. If you repeal net neutrality regulations then they could
force smaller websites to pay more for decent speeds and if they can't afford that their competitors could get a leg up by getting faster speeds. Also league of legends is notorious for having the speeds throttled by isps. That game is the shit and I don't want companies screwing over my ranked games.

43. Richard Schnoll, Woodcliff Lake, NJ, 07677
Please do not change the current net neutrality rules. It would be a giveaway to the big ISPs as well as the big internet companies who can afford to pay.

44. s scott, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
What gets me is - when YOU gave the HUGE telecom companies approval to sell our internet habits and subjects - you just created a new commodity for the billionaire telecom companies to make money with. You literally made a new thing for them to sell *ON THE BACKS OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE* > YOU are shit - I hope you and yours suffer, suffer alot. Fuck Off!

45. Net Neutrality, Sussex, NJ, 07461
Do not change the current regulations on net neutrality, doing so is only moving backwards. Stop being stupid and put down that giant mug you look like an idiot.

46. Stella La Rue, Saddle River, NJ, 07458
I strongly urge you to Preserve net neutrality and Title II

47. Jed Salisbury, Lodi, NJ, 07644
I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Charging extra based on popularity or time of usage is wrong. Thank you.

48. Janet Ariemma, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
Strongly urge FCC and Congress to preserve NET NEUTRALITY and TITLE II for the benefit of every single American citizen - Keep our Internet Free and Open - Do not let corporate giants control our Internet ! We need net neutrality if we are to be a great and FREE country.

49. P Scoville, Hewitt, NJ, 07421
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.P Scoville

50. Alex Zicaro, Paramus, NJ, 07652
I specifically support strong net neutrality that is backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. With the use of the internet as ubiquitous as it is, this is a necessity to keep our access to the internet unbiased and free

51. Sean Fitzmorris, Hardyston, NJ, 07419
Leave Title II alone and preserve Title II to protect the internet and keeping the market open to competition without corporate manipulation. Fuck Trump and
his corporate cronies!

52. Yair Silbermintz, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
As a software developer & computer security specialist I strongly believe in the need for net neutrality & Title II oversight of internet service providers. It is absolutely fundamental for consumer protection & the continued existence of many internet startup companies. I strongly urge the FCC to strengthen the protection already in place to protect a free & open internet.

53. Michael, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. Do people really need to comment to get you to do what's right? This is like being forced to pay protection money by some unsavory characters. "If you don't pay up, we'll slow down your content and hurt your business." This is criminal. Do what's right.

54. Peter Tobey, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
Internet neutrality is essential to the free flow of ideas. Giving providers free hand to subvert it is unconscionable.

55. Dean Trivisani, Oakland, NJ, 07436
Net neutrality is an issue that is extremely important to me, as I feel that without it, my rights as an internet consumer are at risk. If I wish to view a certain type of website or media, there is no just world in which I should be less able to view it because of the business ventures of my ISP. It is unfair to the businesses that don't have the ability to buy out ISPs like the big companies can, and it is a risk to the fair use of the entire internet, and we cannot simply take it on good faith that billion dollar companies will act in the best interest of the consumer and not in the best interest of their own wallets.

56. Will Buckingham, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
I support Net Neutrality, please keep the internet an even playing field for all companies and entities to be treated equally. Allowing companies to pick and choose which services to provide, will be detrimental to growth and borders on allowing monopolies to form, based solely on who can afford to pay who more.

57. Marilyn D'Alessio, Glenwood, NJ, 07418
support strong net neutrality backed by Title II

58. Sarah Kafer, Closter, NJ, 07624
Please keep the Internet free and neutral!

59. Brandon Whited, Emerson, NJ, 07630
I support strong net neutrality which means Title 2 regulation of ISP's. Do not change the law and allow corporate greed to rein supreme.
60. Net Neutrality, Hamburg, NJ, 07419
I support Net Neutrality and support keeping Internet Service Providers under Title 2

61. Chia Gu Wang, Lodi, NJ, 07644
The FCC should preserve strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs under the Communications Act of 1934.

62. Terry G. Vavra, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I urge the Commission to continue this policy.

63. Araik Grigoryan, Allendale, NJ, 07401
I strongly believe in net neutrality and urge FCC to preserve net neutrality and Title 2 regulation. Thank you.

64. John F Kelly, Hewitt, NJ, 07421
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I fully endorse strong net neutrality policies backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. The current system allows for a fair and open internet. I have observed continued increased competition and growth in the telecommunications industry and do not see a need for change.

65. Oren Kravetz, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I believe as an American or any person in this world we have a right to freedom of information. I do not believe any ISP has the right to throttle any information or services available on the internet.

66. Robin Polakoff, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
I am in favor of strong Net Neutrality regulation and leaving my ISP as Title II

67. Pablo Pulido, Dumont, NJ, 07628
I'm writing to support the permanence of Net Neutrality and Title II, and to ask you to do the same.

68. David Marchese, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
Network Neutrality and common carrier based communication in the U.S. dates back to 1860 with the telegraph. The internet should adhere to our American tradition by leaving Internet Service Providers classified as a Title II common carrier service. I support ISPs being treated as a Title 2 common carrier and regulated by the FCC. The Open Internet Rules from 2015 should remain in place as is.

69. Gregory March, Montvale, NJ, 07645
Ajit Pai: I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs
70. Craig Lapierre, Maywood, NJ, 07607
   I specifically support strong net neutrality back by title two oversights of ISPs.

71. Tony Serafino, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
   Retain Net neutrality Title II

72. Nick Ruffilo, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
   Please do not reclassify ISPs. Net Neutrality is good for competition, it's good for the overall economy, and it's critical for the assurance of freedom.

73. Alek Broszkowski, Haworth, NJ, 07461
   I believe that Net neutrality should be maintained by strong regulation, especially from Title 2 of the Communications act of 1934. Repealing such a regulation is nothing but a thinly veiled attempted to allow ISPs to wield more power over the consumer and over smaller competition. This is un-American and does nothing but benefit corporations.

74. Scott Toepfer, Washington, NJ, 07882
   Please preserve strong net neutrality and leave ISPs under Title 2

75. k colombo, Paramus, NJ, 07652
   I support net neutrality under title 2. Do not change its legal footing.

76. John Mansager, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
   I strongly support laws of net neutrality and Title 2.

77. Francis Halvorsen, Franklin Lakes, NJ, 07417
   DO NOT cancel, by pass, or any other thing to remove net neutrality. After this farce of an administration is over there will be a Reckoning of all the persons who received bribes or other considerations from corruption.I Vote

78. Rachel Fradkin, Franklin Lakes, NJ, 07417
   I support strong net neutrality laws including title 2 protections!

79. Sharon Wander, Newton, NJ, 07860
   I strongly support the maintenance of the current level of internet freedom/net neutrality through strong regulation based on Title II.

80. Varshudev Deo-Singh, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
   Please consider not moving forward with any change in Title II requirements related to Net Neutrality rules. I urge you to consider the long term effects of such a roll-back. Thank you.

81. Jaak Van den Sype, Mahwah, NJ, 07495
   I support Net Neutrality and keeping the Internet under Title II provisions.
82. Chris Lee, new milford, NJ, 07646
   Please support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's.

83. Johnathan Weisner, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
   I'm strongly in favor of title 2 restrictions on internet providers. Netflix and youtube have already had to shame ISPs for limited bandwidth. Large corporations that see potential profit will go after that profit even if it's bad for the consumer. Please keep net neutrality rules.

84. Aleta Bowers, Montvale, NJ, 07645
   Please preserve net neutrality under title II - it's working and nothing needs to be changed.

85. Jeff M. Koromi, Vernon, NJ, 07462
   I believe that the FCC should keep it's current regulatory powers over Internet Service Providers, as it currently stands. As a web professional at a small business that designs and develops websites, it worries me that by effectively allowing the ISPs to police themselves would be an open door to abuse. Whether that abuse is an ISP favoring it's own content delivery service or forcing the small businesses's such as the ones that are the backbone of my job to pay for a "fast lane" to their customers, I cannot see a reason why the FCC would give up it's role as a watchdog to the industry. Time and time again, we've seen that when industry is left to regulate itself bad things happen. It seems ill advised to trust companies that essentially own monopolies on their territories and can't even be trusted to give me the internet speed promised in advertisements or to keep my phone call from dropping. I hope the FCC reconsiders rolling back their regulations. Thank you.

86. Net Neutrality, New Milford, NJ, 07646
   Net Neutrality is what kept the internet free for all and the wealth of information flowing freely. Getting rid of those rules would serve as a way to squelch opposition and information making democracy much more weaker in this country. Please do not do this.

87. Craig Rotile, Demarest, NJ, 07627
   Utterly ridiculous and unfair to consumers that this is being changed, and if they limit my bandwidth, and sell my data then I, as a consumer, but should be compensated for my data, and my bill should be lessened for less service.

88. Anthony Izzo, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
   Keep the Net neutral. Cable companies constantly abuse any available loophole in order to suck more money out of their users. Please protect the American people. Make the Internet Great Again.

89. Timothy Cope, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
I strongly support net neutrality rules supported by Title II classification and encourage maintaining and enforcing these rules for Internet Service Providers. Providers will undoubtedly take advantage of weak rules when the opportunity to make huge profits presents itself. Do not let this happen.

90. Alex Mamut, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
I support net neutrality and the jurisdiction of internet service providers under Title 2.

91. Carol White, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
I support strong "NET Neutrality" backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

92. Andrew Wallace, River Edge, NJ, 07661
I'm a one-man startup who is struggling to make his app startup a success. I don't have the luxury of being able to "pay to play" and if I did have the money I wouldn't waste it on such nonsense. Net neutrality must remain as so; so that everyone has the chance to succeed and compete in a fair marketplace. So that their success is measured not on how much money they have to spend on bandwidth but on the quality of the product they develop.

93. Philip Harlan Pulsiano, River Vale, NJ, 07675
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPsI am an IT professional and I believe that this would only do harm to consumers and cause these large, public companies to compete less

94. Dan Smith, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
I support net neutrality under the current jurisdiction of title 2

95. Kristen Martin, New Milford, NJ, 07646
Please keep net neutrality as it is, under title II. Regulation is the only thing which protects citizens from profit driven companies

96. Kendra Peeples, Jersey City, NJ, 07863
Ajit Pai, I strongly support net-neutrality via the use of Title II of the 1934 Communications Act. Also, SAD that you would think that the terms of service could serve to replace an enacted law. In terms you might understand, "This is not Nam. This is bowling. There are rules", in this case we aren't bowling we are actually talking about ISPs. Even sadder is how hard it is for an ordinary citizen to access the type of form where they can voice their concerns about something this important.

97. Matt Martone, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
I am in favor of strong net neutrality regulations, labeling ISPs under Title II.
98. Net Neutrality, Dumont, NJ, 07628
I support strong Net Neutrality under Title 2's oversight of IFSPs.

99. David Stross, Paramus, NJ, 07652
I kindly request that the FCC keep the strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

100. Roderick Erickson, West Milford, NJ, 07421
My name is Roderick Erickson, a citizen of the State of New Jersey and these United States of America, and I respectfully request the FCC to maintain the Title II status for ISPs and the current status of Net Neutrality in the USA. Please do not roll back the regulations and place ISPs solely under Title I. Thank you.

101. ELMER PALLARCA, MONTVALE, NJ, 07645
Dear Mr. Ajit Pai, I specifically support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by TITLE II OVERSIGHT of ISPs. Thank you, Mr. Elmer Pallarca

102. Christine A. Nelson, Dumont, NJ, 07628
Please keep Title II Net Neutrality in place. It took years to get it, and you should want to protect the public!

103. Carmine Iannaccone, Allendale, NJ, 07401
I am writing to voice my support for strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

104. Cynthia K. Cole, Closter, NJ, 07624
I strongly support net neutrality protections under Title II and am writing to request that you reject any changes to current net neutrality regulations that are being proposed in the "Restoring Internet Freedom Act". The proposed changes do not provide freedom and the fact that you use that term is misleading. Net neutrality protections that have existed protect the consumer from deceptive corporate practices, and assuming that corporations will self-regulate is foolish.

105. Tom McAdam, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
I am writing today to demand that the FCC do nothing to roll back Net Neutrality regulations. It is time to put the citizens of this country ahead of the giant cable corporations. Keep Net Neutrality, even regulate it more, make it stronger. Do NOT roll back Net Neutrality or you will demonstrate to your constituents that you do not care even the slightest bit about them.

106. Net Nuetrality, Oakland, NJ, 07436
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's, anything else would be harmful to Americans
107. Martin Cutler, Closter, NJ, 07624
I'm writing to request that you reject the so called "Restoring Internet Freedom Act". Net neutrality prevents corporations from engaging in practices that could create an imbalance in access to vital information, by tipping the scales to those who can pay more.

108. Will Curtis, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
I am commenting to express my support of strong Net Neutrality laws, as well as Title II oversight of ISPs. Please protect an open Internet.

109. matthew davakis, oakland, NJ, 07436
Please don't fuck this up.Don't throttle my shit ok?

I strongly support keeping ISPs classified as Title 2 common carriers. Unless congress acts to enforce net neutrality the ISPs should remain classified under Title II of the communications act.

111. Brian Arata, West Milford, NJ, 07480
I support strong Net neutrality backed by Title II Oversights on ISP's. I hope you can as well.

112. Christian Fletcher, Hamburg, NJ, 07419
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Any and every attempt to undermine net neutrality is a brazen attack on both privacy and the freedom of information.

113. Michelle Miani, Glenwood, NJ, 07418
Preserve internet neutrality and protect Title II.

114. Stuart M. Schnapp, Park Ridge, NJ, 07656
Keep the FCC neutrality rules and maintain the providers under Title II provisions

115. Bonnie Holl, Closter, NJ, 07624
Do not mess with Internet rules and regulations. Leave net neutrality as is.

116. David Lebron, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
Keep net neutrality and enforce under title 2. In the past when large companies are given faith based oversight the results are always suffering for years after problems are discovered and said to be resolved. Please keep the web open and free.

117. Galina Natchev, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
I fully support net neutrality rules and Title II, and I strongly believe that any
attempt to dismantle those rules is an attempt to limit freedom and democracy in this country.

118. Mark Kelly, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
STOP TRYING TO BREAK THE INTERNET

119. Jonathan Whalen, Colombia, NJ, 07832
I would like to formally voice my opinion that the ISP should be regulated like a public utility, and that keeping them under Title II and upholding the values of a truly free and neutral net, is the correct action. Both by allowing for better consumer protection and allowing for better competition of new and small business to participate on a global scale with a few clicks.

120. Anna Almquist, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
I want to safeguard and support strong NET NEUTRALITY, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

121. Heather Verdui, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
Dear FCC, I support strong net neutrality rules and urge you to keep them under title II rules. They should remain on the legal footing of Title II to ensure your ability to enforce them effectively.

122. Darrele DiRossi, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
I support net neutrality under Title II. I am against the FCC Commissioners plan to deregulate Internet service providers.

123. Gary Phillips, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
Ajit Pai, I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

124. Donald Docimo, Hardwick, NJ, 07825
I specifically support net neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs.

125. Michael Yannone, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
I am in strong support of net-neutrality... please do not weaken these important protections for the Internet.

126. Adam Gentile, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
I support Net neutrality backed by title 2 fcc support.

127. Zach Rockefeller, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
Getting rid of Net Neutrality is an utter betrayal to the people of this nation and we need to get the supporters of this motion out of the pockets of corporations and out of the government. Keep our internet free.

128. Danian Martinez, Paramus, NJ, 07652
I strongly believe that we must preserve the Title II regulations on ISP in order to defend net neutrality. As a web application developer, I know the importance of this regulation. Also, I continue to see great innovation with the current rules and ISPs are still investing heavily in their infrastructure. A reversal of the Commission’s 2015 decision would be a disaster.

129. Andrei Nesterov, Fair Lawn, NJ, 06410
I strongly support heavy regulation of internet providers to avoid them abusing that power for own gains.

130. Brian Sullivan, Northvale, NJ, 07647
As an American citizen and taxpayer, I insist the FCC and ISPs/telecoms must maintain the status of internet access and associated infrastructure as Title II under current law. We have an obligation to set precedence into the 21st century that internet access is a right, not a privilege; shareholders, investors, and all other parties seeking profit or growth shall take a backseat to the citizens' uniform access to any and all web destinations without throttling, bottlenecking, or other methods of restriction of access. Anything short of this would be an outrageous affront to the people of this nation and the world, and will not be forgotten.

131. Nicole Picinic, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 07458
Free and open internet is the single greatest technological invention of our time and its control should not be at the mercy of corporations. Broadband carriers should not have the ability to block or remove content at their discretion, as this would stifle innovation and negatively affect freedom of speech - a fundamental US right.

132. John O'Malley, Washington Township, NJ, 07676
I support the Net Neutrality in its current form and this should not be revoked/revised. Title II protections are important. My vote during the next election will be heavily weighed based on topics such as Net Neutrality and similar decisions by the current congress and administration

133. Thaddeus W Talty, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
I strongly and bigly support extreme Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please Mr. Ajit Varadaraj Pai ... study the issues and the "hypotheticals" (your word) in great depth. Several "hypotheticals" have already happened. Feel free to call me to discuss. Thank you.

134. Gregory Keeley, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
I strongly support a STRONG, ROBUST set of Net Neutrality rules under Title II. I implore the FCC and Chairman Pai to listen to the public in this regard.

135. Robert Joseph, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
I support strong net neutrality rules and the title 2 classification of ISP's. ISP's have had more than enough time and more than enough opportunities and have failed at every chance to be honest and do what is right for the American people. The internet has become as integral to the America way of life as having electricity or running water. By rolling back net neutrality the FCC will have failed the American people and will be proving that they care more about the money in their pockets vs what is right for the people they are meant to represent.

136. Christopher Palmiere, Park Ridge, NJ, 07656
I am in ABSOLUTE support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs!

137. Conner Searfoss, Washington Township, NJ, 07676
I think it is fairly obvious that the crap you are pulling with net neutrality is political motivated or just motivated by the fact you are being paid by the cable industry. Why the hell can't you do something good for once! Just give us good internet!

138. Lura Savona, West Milford, NJ, 07480
I strongly support NET NEUTRALITY and Article II

139. Erik Brown, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Keep the internet free!

140. Orlando Economos, Norwood, NJ, 07648
Go FCC yourself. Internet neutrality is incredibly important. Insofar as the UN has declared access to internet a basic human right, and insofar as we view education as vital for bettering the lives of people worldwide and the internet as an effective and cheap means of spreading knowledge and improving the lives of literally billions, allowing ISPs to restrict internet access to those who are already worst off is quite literally a crime against humanity. Get it together.

141. Elli Rothenberg, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
Do not allow the FCC to do this for Net Neutrality

142. Dave Rosenberg, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

143. Brian Meadows, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality and preserving title II requirements for ISPs.

144. Erin Bracken, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
Your proposal to weaken existing net neutrality rules is unacceptable. It would weaken competition, stifle innovation, undermine user choice, and threaten free speech online. I strongly support net neutrality and keeping Title II requirements for ISPs.

145. Adam Nemitoff, Waldwick, NJ, 07463
   Net Neutrality and Title 2 are essential to innovation by small businesses such as mine. Please preserve Net Neutrality and the Title 2.

146. Matthew Ramoth, Paramus, NJ, 07652
   The FCC should preserve net neutrality and Title II protections of net neutrality. Thank you.

147. Christopher Nelson, Westwood, NJ, 07675
   We support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!

148. Josh Berliner, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
   It is telling that Chairman Pai spends all of his time defending ISPs to the American people, telling us that we can trust them not to follow their instincts (and shareholders) in search of profits, when as a public servant he ought to be working to reign in powerful corporate interests through regulation to preserve the free market and competition. American NEEDS Net Neutrality, and we NEED our ISPs to be regulated like public utilities under Title II. Any other opinion is anti-consumer, anti-free-market, anti-innovation and pro-monopoly. PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY and reclassify ISPs under Title II, please!

149. Robert B. Radak, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
   Please preserve net neutrality. I do not believe, and neither should you, that changing the status of ISP's from Title II is a good thing in any way, shape or form.

150. Jeff Slater, Oxford, NJ, 07863
   I strongly support Net neutrality under Title II!

151. Jake, Demarest, NJ, 07627
   An attack on Net Neutrality is an attack on one of the few truly democratic institutions left in the United States. I am here to voice my opinion and I trust that it will be heard, despite it not being heard by our current executive or legislative branches. Protect--and I mean fully protect--Net Neutrality. Less regulation means more corruption.

152. Jason Jaccoi, Westwood, NJ, 07675
   Anything less than strong Net Neutrality oversight is insufficient. Expecting cable providers to voluntarily agree to unrestricted internet access is like
expecting a wild dingo to safely watch after your toddler. Stop trying to undo progress; current Net Neutrality laws are vital and if anything, should only be strengthened.

153. jeanette odynski, oradell, NJ, 07649
FCC/Ajit Pai: The internet needs to remain as level a playing field as possible, so I strongly support maintaining strong net neutrality rules and Title II oversight of ISPs. For the good of the American public, do not repeal Title II classification of ISPs.

154. Loretta Carrasco, Lafayette, NJ, 07848
Please do NOT weaken net neutrality rules.

155. Matthew Mikiewicz, Paramus, NJ, 07652
I am strongly opposed to Docket 17-108. The telecommunications industry has proven time and again to employ aggressive, anti-competitive behavior aimed at stifling innovation. ISPs make use of public infrastructure to deliver their services as a virtual monopoly. Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight is not only logical, but needed to ensure that consumers can continue to have access to a diverse choice of media delivered at competitive prices.

156. Damon Mahon, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Keep internet regulation under Title 2 to preserve Net Neutrality.

157. Dwayne McFarland, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
I BACK STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISP'S. I OPPOSE DOCKET NO. 17-108

158. Michael Anderson, Dumont, NJ, 07628
I need to say that I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Internet consumers and businesses that need the Internet need this oversight.

159. Lily Washington, New Milford, NJ, 07646
Net neutrality must remain under Title II. It will protect consumers and prevent ISPs from fucking over customers, which Pai and his stupid mug seemingly want. Thank y'all.

160. Victor Cortes, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
I am strongly in favor of continuing Title 2 protection of net neutrality. The internet needs to be free of any potential monopoly.

161. Jayson DeZuzio, Paramus, NJ, 07652
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by title II
162. Katie Martorano, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
   I am contacting you in hopes to preserve the FCC to keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules. Thank you.

163. NVJ, West Milford, NJ, 07480
   I completely support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The people need to be protected. Mega corporations do not need any more help gaming the system.

164. Jocelyn Beckman, River Vale, NJ, 07675
   DO NOT allow corporations to slow down content on the internet - maintain the FCC net neutrality regulations passed in 2014, don't alter the rules.

165. Sai Avula, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
   Protect net neutrality and Title 2. Freedom is not a partisan issue. It is part of the American identity.

166. Sean Hyland, Newton, NJ, 07860
   Please ensure net neutrality by maintaining internet safe guards against ISP private interests and keeping Title II classification intact. Thanks!

167. Andrew Moll, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
   I strongly support Net Neutrality as required by Title II. I do not want to allow corporations to have control over what I am trying to do over the internet.

168. Kerry McNulty, New Milford, NJ, 07646
   I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. "Net Neutrality is the basic principle that prohibits internet service providers like AT&T, Comcast and Verizon from speeding up, slowing down or blocking any content, applications or websites you want to use. Net Neutrality is the way that the internet has always worked." And it is the way that the internet should continue to work. I do not want big companies to dictate what sites I should use and how fast I receive information. It is not the job of "cable and phone companies to decide which websites, content, or applications succeed." Getting rid of net neutrality can lead to censorship. Please preserve our right to communicate freely online! Thank you to John Oliver and savetheinternet.com for the information.

169. Logan Siesta, Andover, NJ, 07821
   The internet is meant to be a free space for everyone. Rolling back regulations helps literally no one except the ISPs. Actually, I take that back. It helps corrupt politicians line their pockets with more money than my family makes in a decade. Remember who you all work for.

170. Elias Rosner, Park Ridge, NJ, 07656
I want to express my specific support for strong net neutrality with Title II oversight over ISPs by the FCC.

171. Michael McCullough, Oakland, NJ, 07436
ISPs should not control the internet -- the free market requires startups to be able to operate and flourish without the hindrance of large corporations.

172. Matthew Ryan, Montvale, NJ, 07645
Please do not roll back Title II Net Neutrality Laws. An open internet is important for all innovators and for the future of the world. Getting rid of these laws would prevent small companies from being able to compete, and on the internet, the ability to start from nothing and build yourself up is very important. DO NOT leave it up to the companies themselves as they will take advantage like they have in the past with Google Wallet as an example. From all internet users, leave net neutrality PLEASE. Take this very seriously as your actions will have lasting and severe impacts on the future of the internet.

173. John Pontillo, Park Ridge, NJ, 07656
I would like to express my support for net neutrality regulations. Corporations will always put their own interests and profits before consumers best interests. We need to protect net equality and access to information and services without interference from the ISPs. Thank you.

174. Net, New Milford, NJ, 07646
I support strict Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP

175. David Sperling, Newton, NJ, 07860
I believe restrictions of communications on the internet would represent censorship and an intentional attack on our rights to free speech.I also believe that privacy is Paramount and national security can and should be preserved autonomously and with certain prejudice (akin to shouting "fire" in a crowded movie theatre. Where anonymous information on the internet can be safely observed, Recorded, and followed up on / used for the betterment of society and information used for the well being and security of our global community as a medium and global security tool (within the constraints of our constitution and rights pertaining to free speech) it should be used. It's not necessary to breach people's trust or privacy to successfully utilize the internet for the good of humankind.

176. Joseph Hurban, Waldwick, NJ, 07463
Please keep ISPs under Title II in order to preserve net neutrality.

177. Dan Pender, State College, NJ, 16801
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality regulated under Title 2
178. Ryan D, great meadows, NJ, 07838
   I fully support net neutrality and Title II. Represent the American people, not big business interests!

179. Net Neutrality, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
   I firmly support net neutrality under Title 2

180. Nate, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
   Please reserve net neutrality entitled to.

181. Christian Larrave, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
   I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isps. To strip the American people of true net neutrality is UNAMERICAN, plain and simple.

182. Christopher Bell, Highland Lakes, NJ, 07422
   Net neutrality is the basis for having fair market to new establishing companies, and customers, alike. Without these tougher restrictions, the only people who will profit is the bigger internet companies, and the ones they lobby for in Washington.

183. Matthew Cable, Newton, NJ, 07860
   Preserve Net Neutrality under Title II regulations. We've already had enough cronyism and oligopoly to kill off free competition in so many other industries, especially airlines. Please don't allow the same thing to happen to the internet.

184. Net nutrality, veron, NJ, 07462
   please keep Strong net neutrality backed by title 2 over site by isp's. I don't want to have slow internet on my favorite sites an I want to use any app website or browser that I want on the internet.

185. Elisa Dellamico, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
   It is so important to preserve a free and open internet and protect it under Title 2. Freedom of speech and expression and a level playing field for all websites are an essential component of a healthy democracy. Please do not roll back protections on this!Thank you for your consideration.

186. American Dream, West Milford, NJ, 07480
   Please, for all the is peace and good in this world, don't let big business and greedy humans remove the right for start-ups to strive for the American Dream. The internet has been a pipeline for a small idea to take hold with nothing more than a wish and a hope. If larger groups are allowed to pay more for cable/internet providers to give them preference, the American Dream will be crushed. Please take note of the amazing startups that were once nothing and got to become staple names and everyday uses because of Open Internet concepts. Please prove that America is not full of greed and money grubbing
low-minded people, and instead continue to make America great by showing we are here to promote the good of the many.

187. Christopher Herrera, Hamburg, NJ, 07419
I do not support this so called "Restoring Internet Freedom" draft. I support net neutrality, the FCC should have the power to regulate ISPs in the interest of the public good, a fair playing field, and the future innovation of our internet infrastructure.

188. Nicole Baez, Oakland, NJ, 07436
I strongly support strong net neutrality supported by title 2 in the oversight of ISPs.

189. Support of Net Neutrality, Waldwick, NJ, 07463
I supports strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Why are you all trying to be assholes to the American people? Stop trying to undo all the great things our previous president did. Our country was founded based on freedom for the PEOPLE, not your goddamn corporations.

190. Andy Tarabocchia, Paramus, NJ, 07652
Maintain internet neutrality through title 2.

191. Vivek Gogineni, Montvale, NJ, 07645
I'm in support of strong net neutrality protections, specifically those listed in Title II.

192. Jesse Kahle, Newton, NJ, 07860
I strongly oppose the content of 17-108, and believe stronger/more Net Neutrality is a beneficial ruling.

193. Sarah Wilcox-Adelman, Demarest, NJ, 07627
I ask that you maintain support for net neutrality. It is essential that net neutrality remains. This is a primary method of communication for many individuals and they should not be limited by the internet providers need for profits. Additionally, with information being disseminated that may not always be accurate, everyone should have the right to research topics for themselves without being subjected (knowingly or unknowingly) to a company's interpretation of what it thinks is important.

194. Cathy Hubbard, River Vale, NJ, 07675
Keep net neutrality regulations. Stay under Title II.

195. Net Neutrality, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
I support strong Net Neutrality. I believe in title two for ISPs.
196. Peter Brattstrom, Highland Lakes, NJ, 07422
I support net neutrality and their inclusion within title 2 and continue to stand against the move to title 1's more lax rulings. I believe in the strong rulings title 2 nets the general public in net neutrality and believe it a failure to abandon rulings that provide even ground for all.

197. Brenda Pedersen, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
Please Preserve Net Neutrality as a Title II and maintain the freedom of the internet

198. Josh, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
I strongly support Net Neutrality and backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It is essential to the preservation of the First Amendment

199. Cory Booker, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
I support net neutrality and if the fcc deregulates the internet providers by removing Title 2 rules come next election I will be voting to remove those that opposed net neutrality.

200. Alan Finbloom, River Vale, NJ, 07675
Keep Title II rules and keep Net Neutrality.

201. Gregory Marsh, Bogota, NJ, 07603
Keep Net Neutrality!!!

202. Chris Tinghino, Bogota, NJ, 07603
Net Neutrality is an important part of consumer safety and protection against businesses. While there have not been any instances of attempted "fast lane" price adjustments, we have seen from other service providers in the past (cell phones) that access to services have been restricted/block in an attempt to restrict users from using certain apps, as they conflict with the phone-supported apps. Net Neutrality was never about stopping fast lane manipulation, it was about preventing it from ever being able to happen.

203. Nis Frome, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
I am a software entrepreneur, and our company employs dozens of people. We need net neutrality to be protected by Title II. Trust me, I dislike everything about government and I hate regulation, but these ISP companies use public property to operate, so we should get to regulate them. Do the right thing.

204. Kevin Fontana, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
Please keep ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. The internet is very public and an essential part of everyday lives. The extra protections to help ensure ISPs act in the public interest are important to me. I hope you follow the path people are calling for and do not reclassify ISPs.
205. Eric Frielink, Ridgewod, NJ, 07450
Net neutrality is vital for small businesses, and corporations and ISPs cannot be allowed to self-regulate this, as seen multiple times in the past. ISPs should continue to be regulated under Title II, and additional investments should be made into further regulations. The internet is a vital piece of everyday life, and should be treated as a utility, not as a business. You don't lose power and have your lights go dim because you kept them on too long, nor do you lose natural gas pressure when you don't buy certain products or watch certain advertising. It should be the same for the internet, and the internet should remain open to all.

206. Anson, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
The FCC should not reclassify ISP's. Net neutrality is extremely important and should not be forfeited. The internet has become as much a utility as electricity, and water, to allow companies to regulate, and control the flow of information is stupid idea. It would be so easy for these companies to abuse this power. They would have a stranglehold on the internet, they could stomp out any competition, and they could demand payment from bigger companies. In addition they could control what sites people use, and what information they see. This would essentially be censorship, except it would be done by businesses which is even worse than the government because at least the government is supposed to have the people's best interest in mind.

I support the regulations of net neutrality backed by title 2 and the measures it takes to make sure ISPs provide fair and equal access to the internet and the information it makes available.

208. Eric, paramus, NJ, 07652
The internet must remain neutral. Legal, declassified information must be available to all. Access to information is a basic human right.

209. haseeb siddiue, hackensack, NJ, 07601
PRESERVE Title 2 and keep Net neutrality!

210. David Adler, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 07458
I am expressing my support for preserving Net Neutrality and Title II.

211. Sean Flood, Belvidere, NJ, 07823
The idea of removing net neutrality is deeply disturbing. Giving big corporations the ability to throttle smaller groups out of the marketplace is unacceptable and goes against the very ideals that this country was built on.

212. Nishant, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
keep net neutrality. I'm in favor of Title 2.
213. Kyle Watt, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
   I strongly support the preservation of Net Neutrality and the Title II regulation of ISPs.

214. Andrew Wells, Westwood, NJ, 07675
   The convenience of the argument that over-regulation breeds both a lack of productivity and profit does not suffice to explain away the importance of net neutrality in the modern age. I strongly encourage the FCC to continue with its policy of net neutrality and to stand firmly behind Title II.

   Please do not change the current regulatory scheme for net neutrality. It works fine as currently configured. ISPs are investing in web infrastructure at acceptable rates, indeed, at the same or greater rates as they did when they were regulated under Title 1, as is revealed by their public filings. Lighter regulation, as is currently proposed, would enable ISPs to engage in preferential treatment for content providers that are affiliated or that pay the ISPs, which would be detrimental to the public at large.

216. PATRICE BAUMANN, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
   please DO NOT GIVE internet providers power to slow down access... Keep the Net Neutral...

217. Oleg Korneitchouk, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
   A free internet is key to an educated and connected society. The only reason you wouldn't want this is greed... ISPs are making record profits while our infrastructure is falling behind most modern countries (all while taking tax payer money to subsidize their costs). Giving them more freedom to operate will be detrimental to most citizens and global users.

218. Brooks Olphin, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
   Dear FCC Commissioners, We the American people spoke on this issue 3 years ago. We are strongly in support of strong net neutrality laws protecting the individual consumers over selling out to corporate interests. I find it a shocking dereliction of duty that Ajit Pai does not understand the history of why we have and need strong internet protections. It was Verizon who started this whole fight when they tried to change the Internet's classification and the system continues to be fought today with 0 rating content and numerous media companies. I cannot tell you how big of a fuck up this will be if you change these rulings. It's bad enough we have limited choice for the internet and cable companies can sell our browsing history (all bad rulings on your part), but now you want to take away the one thing the internet does right....be the great equalizer. On a different note, I don't understand how you can possibly legally or ethically make the argument that this is better for consumers. I PAY for the internet, if it was a free
service then sure I guess companies could do whatever they wanted in terms of speed. However as an consumer with limited choice (due to you), I don't always have an option of service providers. Why should consumers PAY for a service that then defines how they use said service? I don't pay the water bill and the water company decides what pressure each spigot uses...that would be bull shit...just like this plan. Please feel free to reach out if you need a history lesson on consumer rights, how telecoms lie, or resetting your iPhone. Obviously you seem to have lost touch with technology and the American people. Lastly to the Republicans on this panel, this issue is only going to hurt the party more long term. I traditionally lean Republican and this vote will hurt you long term as your young voters age and remember how backward / corporate leaning this party can be.

219. John Favaro, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
I am a strong supporter of net neutrality and find that Title 2 is incredibly important for allowing the internet to continue being an open commercial ecosystem allowing for fair competition and innovation.

220. Dennis Mahoney, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
Keep Title II.ENFORCE NET NEUTRALITY.

221. Ariel Gulchin, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
I am support of strong net neutrality laws. They are crucial to the people of this country to freely use their internet services in any which way they choose without tampering from their providers.

222. Zaydell Caceres, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
Net neutrality IS INTERNET freedom. This does not "drain the swamp" as 45 has lied to us time and time again. Stop allowing corporate greed into government. The people demand that Title 2 and the Net Neutrality law remain UNTOUCHED. Stop trying to control us!

223. Joey Drew, Westwood, NJ, 07675
The internet should be kept neutral. It belongs to everyone at the same speed. Please do not change it for any organization or company to make even more money for them. Think of the common person for a change and do the right thing.

224. Michael Gonzalez, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
Net Neutrality is a necessity and must stand as it is, if not stronger. Also, your mug is stupid.

225. Christopher W. Allen-Poole, Highland Lakes, NJ, 07422
As a lead software developer for a digital consultancy which services multi-billion dollar clients, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight
226. Eliot Lichtenberg, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
I support strong net neutrality that is backed by title two oversight of ISPs

227. Orla Cassidy, New Milford, NJ, 07646
I support net neutrality backed by STRONG oversight of ISPs under Title II. The government MUST ensure that the internet remains OPEN AND NEUTRAL. Also, Ajit Pai, your mug is stupid and you are an incredible disappointment to the people-whose-names-are-never-on-keychains club. Go FCC yourself.

228. Cory Mayer, Emerson, NJ, 07630
Save net neutrality. Keep ISPs classified as Title 2.

229. James Weleber, Walpack, NJ, 07881
Companies such as AT&T and T-Mobile are already prioritizing certain data through zero-rating. We need net neutrality; we need ISP companies to be regulated under Title 2. Net neutrality ensures that ALL DATA IS TREATED EQUAL!!!!

230. Edward N Collier, Paramus, NJ, 07652
Please do not change our present system of Net Neutrality under Title II.

Net Neutrality is something that I would expect our government would embrace in order to protect the people and ensure the free flow of information on the internet in the US. But instead, the current FCC head and White House Administration seems more interested in allowing the service providers the ability to control said information by allowing the providers the ability to "throttle" (speed up or slow down access) access to certain websites. The FCC should do "the right thing" and protect the common man, woman and child instead of the huge corporate conglomerates. The head of the FCC, Mr. Ajit Pai, recently made comments that (paraphrasing) 'it is not the FCC's job to protect Americans privacy", well I ask, if the Federal Communications Commission isn't there to protect American citizens communications, what, and whom, IS it there for? Preserve Net Neutrality, please. We MUST have free, unrestricted, and non-throttled access to the internet or we are really no better than China.

232. Jan Alperti, Bogota, NJ, 07603
Please fight for net neutrality! Are there no limits to corporate greed? We have a constitutional right to privacy that is at stake! We will be tracking who supports this and voting with our dollars to oust them at the first opportunity.

233. jason mathios, Upper saddle river, NJ, 07458
I am for net neutrality, don't take away our rights as the internet is for all of us not corporations.

234. Karen Martin, Vernon, NJ, 07462
   Please keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules just the way they are.

235. Leo Ruane, ridgewood, NJ, 07450
   Please keep the internet open by keeping title 2 in its current format for ISP's.

236. Varak Baronian, New Milford, NJ, 07646
   I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title II oversight on ISP's.

237. Andres Garces, Lodi, NJ, 07644
   The internet and the flow of information has to be kept neutral. The United States government is a pro capitalistic government. Why would the government give corporation the right to monopolize the flow of data? This topic has already been settled by the courts. Stop trying to circumvent the law.

238. Renee Warshaw, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
   I specifically strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isp's.

239. Olga Garey, Paramus, NJ, 07652
   You must preserve Net Neutrality. ISPs should not have the right to slow down competing services.

240. Tony Idigo, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
   I strongly support Net Neutrality backed with the Title II Oversight of all Internet service providers (ISPs) operating in the United States of America (USA). I am deeply opposed to the fact that we will take this ultimate conduit of free speech and ruin it with a corporation controlled factor.

241. Ivan Sanchez, River Vale, NJ, 07675
   I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. You wolf in sheep's clothing sons of bitches. Stop fisting us up the ass while trying to convince us its a handjob.

242. Ben Schack, Ringwood, NJ, 07465
   I support Net neutrality backed by title 2.DO NOT change the way you police ISPs. DO NOT give up on american freedoms

243. Angel Marcial,waldwick, NJ, 07463
   i support net neutrality, investigate ajit pai, as he is probably getting paid by big corporations to eliminate net neutrality.

244. Patrick Garcia, Westwood, NJ, 07675
I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Allowing internet service providers to give preference to their own content or content partners is simply un-American. The internet is open for all regardless of their partisan politics, it must stay that way. Thank you.

245. Betsy Tyras, Oradell, NJ, 07649
please allow for net neutrality to continue. It's of utmost importance in order to protect from monopolies and freedom of choice. thank you!Betsy Tyras

246. Bobbie Pittman-Palmer, Hewitt, NJ, 07421
Please continue with strong net neutrality rules that mandated Internet providers be treated as public utilities and make it illegal for them to block or slow down websites for consumers. Please protect Individual Consumers.

247. Barbara Civitillo, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
I wholeheartedly support Net Neutrality as powered by Title II.

248. Edmond Irizarry, River Edge, NJ, 07661
Please keep tittle 2 to ensure Net Neutrality

249. Deanna Irizarry, River edge, NJ, 07661
I am FOR preserving net neutrality and keeping Title II.Screw Ajit Pai and his mug.

250. Niv Kursh, Closter, NJ, 07624
Net Neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs is a vital part in ensuring a free internet for everyone and must be preserved

251. Daniel Gu, River Edge, NJ, 07661
Having the internet as a Title II classification allows enforcement of net neutrality rules, which is essential for the continued growth of the internet. It does not "stifle innovation", but rather paves the way so that innovation can thrive. Rethinking the Title II classification will help no one but ISPs.

252. jeff agoos, Park Ridge, NJ, 07656
Please ensure that we have net neutrality and title II.

253. Andras David, Closter, NJ, 07624
keep all cable companies and internet providers under title 2. Don't even give them the chance to abuse customers, because for profits, they clearly would.

254. Amy White, Allendale, NJ, 07401
I support Net Neutrality, please keep ISPs as common carriers under Title II. If you feel that "fast lanes" on the Internet will help the American people, then
hold a public hearing and explain yourself. Convince us that this is just not another way to support Corporations over the American people.

255. Joseph Avento, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
Mr Pai, I am writing to express my concern about possible new action by The Congress and/or The FCC and/or The Executive Branch, which may compromise Net Neutrality. Net neutrality is in the interest of the PEOPLE of the United States of America. It is your JOB to protect the interests of THE PEOPLE. It is NOT your job to advocate for the interests of powerful corporations. Please do your job and make sure that no person or entity is able to buy preferential treatment in communicating online. Please. Do. Your. Job.Sincerely, Joseph AventoP.S. Please do your job.

256. Eric Browner, Montvale, NJ, 07645
I strongly support the current net neutrality rules under title II.

257. Gerald Applebaum, Oakland, NJ, 07436
FCC: Please leave our Net Neutrality laws in place. There is no evidence that they are causing ISP's not to invest in infrastructure - that is a lie. As an American I take my freedom seriously - and a free Internet where ISP'S can't restrict access to channels, services, or apps is essential. I support Title II... Leave our Internet alone!

258. Gary Lonschein, Westwood, NJ, 07675
I strongly urge that there be no change to the current regulations including the ISP's falling under the control of Title II. ISP's have shown time and again that they cannot be trusted to regulate themselves. Changes to the current regulations will only benefit the large companies and will without a doubt harm the average consumer.

259. William Griffin, Waldwick, NJ, 07463
Should an organization decide to provide internet services, they need to be regulated under Title II. The internet can be compared to the telephone network. Can a provider of telephone service be allowed to give faster call connections and better call quality to select area codes? Can a telephone provider give a 3rd party information about call history from a subscriber. Then internet needs to be kept free and unfettered. Publicly traded companies serve their boards and shareholders. They are not public servants and as such the government is obligated to ensure the public's interest is served first. There is no difference between an authoritarian government like China or North Korea controlling internet access or content and a situation where large corporations do the same.

260. Samuel Vladimirsky, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
Please retain your net neutrality.
261. Kristine Nelson, Newton, NJ, 07860
   Keep net neutrality. One speed access for all.

262. Mariely Manresa, Rochelle Park, NJ, 07662
   I support Net Neutrality by keeping ISPs under a strong TITLE II.

263. Steph Mahrle, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
   I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title Two oversight of ISPs.

264. Fred Garcia, Rochelle Park, NJ, 07662
   I support Net Neutrality by keeping all ISPs under a strong Title II. Do NOT remove them from Title II.

265. Scott, Highland Lakes, NJ, 07422
   Keep the Internet the way it is. Don't let ISPs do what the cable companies do

266. Debra, 10 Lawrence Drive, NJ, 07422
   Don't change the internet or any regulations currently in place. Don't let ISPs determine who we get to do business with

267. John Duggan, New Milford, NJ, 07646
   Please protect net neutrality and leave it part of Title 2. The little guy needs the same voice as the big guy.

268. Eileen Campbell, New Milford, NJ, 07646
   Net Neutrality must be preserved. It gives too much power to corporations and persons with unlimited financial resources to do otherwise. Net Neutrality enables independent, creative, small budget businesses and ideas to have equal access to the public domain. Title II must be retained. Although some would argue that removing Net Neutrality helps reduce regulations - thus costs - this is actually not correct. Having Net Neutrality nurtures new and independent businesses which creates new jobs and enlarges the economy.

269. Mariluz Ruiz, New Milford, NJ, 07646
   I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. PLEASE DON't LET US DOWN.

270. Zachary Zannetti, Vernon, NJ, 07462
   I would just like to ask that you please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2.

271. Colleen Wenrich, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
   Yes to Net Neutrality and to Title 2

272. Kerri Mather, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
   Mr. Pai, I support strong Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight. I hear your
reasoning against it, but I don't agree. In fact, I don't trust your judgement or actions, considering your verizon business background. The public deserves this action and I strongly suggest you support it too.

273. Barbara Abolafia, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
I urge you not to repeal the Obama era net neutrality laws, especially law #2. Net Neutrality means: "Internet service providers and governments regulating the Internet should treat all data on the Internet the same, not discriminating or charging differentially by user, content, website, platform, application, type of attached equipment, or mode of communication. " Without this neutrality companies like Verizon and other service providers will control what we watch on television, use as apps on our phones, or programs we use on our computers. This will limit new business and jobs and control what large populations view. In my opinion, this is very dangerous for the US and a bad precedent. Americans have a right to a free internet.

274. Rich Weiss, Rochelle Park, NJ, 07662
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's!!!

275. German Chabur, Lodi, NJ, 07644
It is my request that the FCC maintain ISPs under Title II oversight.

276. Daniel OConnell, Dumont, NJ, 07628
I strongly disagree with the repeal of net neutrality. Under no circumstances should we trust large companies who's primary focus is increasing profits, to have the best interest of the citizens of this country in mind. Do not do this.

277. Joseph Heflich, Township of Washington, NJ, 07676
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai -As a remote worker and a web developer, I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify ISPs under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. The FCC should be acting in the best interest of the American public, and given the progress already made, I believe that rolling back those regulations would be a grievous error. Thank you.

278. Amanda Macdonald, New Milford, NJ, 07646
I strongly believe that title 2 and net neutrality should be enforced as it currently stands. Do not change the current laws. The changes that are proposed will have a horrendous effect on our freedom.

279. Richard Delorme, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Preserve Net Neutrality and keep ISPs as Title II providers.

280. James Edwards, Ho-Ho-Kus, NJ, 07423
Internet Service Providers must be regulated under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 because we do not know the future. Not one of us
knows what company will reshape markets, what cultural phenomenon will characterize a generation, or what enriching idea will emerge and grow in coming years. Allowing ISPs irregular control of what is accessible to consumers could mute or extinguish these future possibilities out of blindness to the past transgressions of these companies. Support Net Neutrality.

281. Douglas Faehndrich, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
I support net neutrality. Please maintain Title II oversight of Internet service providers to protect fair access to the internet.

282. Leah Jewell, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Please keep strong title 2 controls for net neutrality. Do not leave net neutrality as a voluntary opt in for companies.

283. Anthony Rovetto, Dumont, NJ, 07628
Please do not remove Internet Service Providers from Title 2.

284. Net Neutrality, Blairstown, NJ, 07825
Dear Ajit Pai, Please don't mess with my internet neutrality. I really don't want to pay extra to watch crappy Comcast shows instead of my Netflix originals. Preserve "Title 2" Net Neutrality... Also, I love your mug-Thanks

285. Todd Green, Westwood, NJ, 07675
I support net neutrality and fair regulations under title 2. Keep the internet open!

286. Lisa Linde, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
We demand that you support strong net neutrality laws and regulations preventing the illegal and unethical manipulation of the internet by isps.

287. Eric Poole, River Vale, NJ, 07675
I urge you to preserve the existing Title II regulations

288. strong, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title III am thirteen and I approve this message

289. Mark Sykes, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
I strongly support net neutrality. I strongly oppose the revocation of title 2

290. Michael J. Reynolds, Stockholm, NJ, 07460
Please keep strong net neutrality and continue to regulate isps under title II! i can't handle losing this and health care all in the same month!

291. Katie Booth, Dumont, NJ, 07628
I strongly support net neutrality and think it is horrible that the government
would sell out to private corporation over the interests of its citizens.

292. Jesse Kahn, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
   Please keep Title 2 and strict net neutrality rules. Also please get rid of any oversized Reeses Pieces mugs while you're at it.

293. Samantha Stave, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
   Please protect Title 2 and maintain strict net neutrality laws.

294. Emily Abbey, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
   I support Title II and want to preserve Net Neutrality, and do not want these revoked.

295. Andrew Markowitz, Michelle Markowitz, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
   Please keep Internet Service Providers classified under Title 2 -- it is important that we retain net-neutrality for the sake of freedom and our children.

296. Daniel Halpin, Sussex, NJ, 07461
   Keep the internet fair, say no to price gouging. To ensure that the internet is a truly free place there must be no infringement upon equal access to it. This is why I support strong net neutrality, the FCC must regulate ISP's using title 2 provisions.

297. George Wrocklage, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 07458
   I strongly support strong net neutrality as provided for by Title II oversight of ISP's.

298. Timothy Echeandia, Maywood, NJ, 07607
   Hello,It is my belief that the US public would best be served by maintaining Net Neutrality and by treating Internet Service Providers as Title 2 entities under the Communications Act of 1934.Regards,—Tim Echeandia

299. Ed Gutierrez, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
   Any decision to reverse the Obama-era Net Neutrality rules would be a massive job-killing mistake. The big ISPs and cell-phone service providers have already shown a willingness to take advantage of their privileged positions to slow down and even block services that compete with their favored offerings. Only strong Net Neutrality regulation can give investors confidence that this kind of innovation-killing interference on the part of the large telephone companies will not somehow reemerge.American leadership in the software, internet and information technology industries depends on innovation -- innovation that will certainly end if investors cannot count on there being a level playing field for independent companies building internet and mobile products here in the United States.I write this being both an investor in several internet services companies, as well as an employer.
300. milda palubinskas, Hohokus, NJ, 07423
   It is important to keep ISP's in title 2 and preserve net neutrality

301. Austin Eisele, Washington, NJ, 07882
   I support net neutrality and believe that it is fundamental to our democracy.

302. April Lohmann, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
   I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Various companies have been caught slowing down websites that compete with ones they profit from. That is why this regulation is needed. The internet is a precious source of information and connection in today's society, and it should remain neutral so everyone has equal access.

303. Stephen Szabo, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
   Please retain net neutrality rules and retain the title 2 classification. Additionally, please reinstate the investigation around how the practice of zero rating is breaking these currently enforceable rules.

304. Sara Savastano, Maywood, NJ, 07607
   I believe Net Neutrality would best be maintained by continuing to regulate ISPs as Title II entities under the Communications Act of 1934. History shows us that, when people can profit off of unequal access, they will.

305. Paul J. Locascio, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
   I respectfully ask that the FCC move to protect net neutrality by holding fast to Article II. It is imperative that we have these preventive measures to keep the corrupting influences of competing interests at bay. Act to preserve a free internet!

306. Stephanie Sullivan, Blairstown, NJ, 07825
   I specifically support, strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Thanks.

307. Kathryn Compa, Wantage, NJ, 07461
   Dear Sir, I am requesting that you leave the current rules covering Net Neutrality in place. As we have seen in many other areas of business, any competitive business can not be trusted to play by the rules. They may start off at least pretending to obey and be fair but given even the slightest chance to move ahead they will break those rules. It is your job to make sure the American population is not taken advantage of by removing these rules. Thank you.

308. Matthew Lifschultz, Newton, NJ, 07860
   Please keep net neutrality strong under title 2 oversight. It is important to me that the internet access is fair and even to all. It is also important that internet
providers do not have the ability to adjust speeds provided, regardless of if they do or do not take advantage of this.

309. Bob Westerdale, West Milford, NJ, 07480
Title II needs to be maintained. Net Neutrality is vitally important to a free and open society. I'm not impressed with the new FCC chief. Doesn't strike me as being qualified for this level of employment. Thanks for your time. Bob Westerdale

310. Scott Spratt, Montague, NJ, 07827
I support strong net neutrality, and title two oversight of ISP's

311. Abraham Weissman, Newton, NJ, 07860
I want strong net neutrality law and support title 2 net neutrality.

312. Matthew Brown, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II regulations for regulating the internet. Regulation and laws makes us free. Not all regulations are bad or stifle freedom. If they did then why not get ride of the bill of rights too. Rolling back these protections will only allow corporations to silence and marginalize the little guy. The Internet is not about money or profit, its about freedom, ideas, and creativity. It is one of the greatest modern safeguards of democracy and rolling back net neutrality regulations will only further threaten our liberties.

313. 101, Allendale, NJ, 07401
Keep the internet equal and protected.

314. Alex Hsu, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. There is no partisan purpose to oppose this. Only selfish corporate monopoly ideals that would hurt everyone. Including you, the reader of this!

315. Rich Engeleit, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
I support the Title 2 over-site of internet service providers, to preserve true internet access neutrality.

316. Jonathan Shulman, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
I strongly support maintaining an open internet with net neutrality in accordance with Title II of the Communications Act of 1934

I support net neutrality. Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. Best Regards, Johanna Merluza

318. Susan Jaffe, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
Do not change net neutrality and keep Title II

319. angela zukoski, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
All internet traffic should be treated equally

320. Melissa Persaud, Lodi, NJ, 07644
On behalf of all Internet users, I support net neutrality and Title II. Please don't reduce our protections.

321. DJ McSwiggan, Allendale, NJ, 07401
I support the Title II regulations and net neutrality

322. Steven Maiorano, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
Keep Title 2 and preserve net neutrality...it is common knowledge that congress is incentivized to revise policy when money flows to effect each congressman's re-election...and who has the most money and lobby power to in congress change policy congress...of course...Verizon, Comcast, etc. simply put...The level playing field is kept secure with Title 2 as it is presently written.

323. Stephen Dahler, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
I support the current Title 2 classification of the internet and support Net Neutrality. A reclassification of this will be detrimental to everything the internet and America stands for. We need to keep the already too powerful corporations away from our rights.

324. Christopher Nilsen, Westwood, NJ, 07675
Net neutrality must remain a fundamental principle when using the internet. Regulate ISP's under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. As a consumer, I expect that the web sites I choose to go to will not be slowed down by particular ISPs for their benefit. When I pay for a particular speed of service, I expect that for ALL sites I choose to go to. This must be maintained by regulation and not via the ISP's Terms of Service.

325. Connor Cassidy, Hewitt, NJ, 07421
I strongly support the regulation of isps under title II, in order to maintain complete net neutrality.

326. Gershom Smith, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
It is incredibly important to preserve net neutrality. If ISPs can speed up some content and slow down other content, it effectively allows them to choose what content people see. We need all opinions to be heard. We don't need ISPs deciding which opinions the public ought to see.

327. Miles Richardson, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
I do not support the reclassification of common carriers away from Title II. The
current net neutrality rules should stand, as they are import to maintaining an open internet.

328. Yodelfri Ruiz, Bogota, NJ, 07603
I am submitting this comment to ensure that the FCC leaves the current Net Neutrality rules as they are to ensure that the American people are protected.

329. net neutrality, bogota, NJ, 07603
I support net neutrality. Title 2 is a good thing.

330. Adrienne Kuerzi, Dumont, NJ, 07628
I am urging the FCC to preserve current net neutrality protections by keeping ISPs regulated under Title II. I am a passionate voter and will remember the decision made by this administration.

331. David Wahba, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
I am concerned that my representatives aren't motivated to act in my best interest or the best interest of any citizen by repealing the FCC Net Neutrality laws. Repealing Net Neutrality will only harm this country and will in no way benefit anybody but big corporation that have their own interests at heart.

332. frank wallace, newton, NJ, 07860
save net neutrality!!!!! do not mess this up leave it alone!!!!!!!!!

333. Preserve Title 2 protections for a strong net neutrality, Montvale, NJ, 07645
I am in favor of title 2 protections for strong net neutrality protections to maintain a free and open internet.

334. denise manna, hewitt, NJ, 07421
PLEASE YOU MUST PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY!!I support net neutrality!

335. Sue Smith, Oak Ridge, NJ, 07438
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of all ISPs - this is of the utmost importance.

336. Alanna Shevak, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Keeping Title II designations for net neutrality is an incredibly important issue. Please do not reverse the regulations set in place by the Obama administration. Do not let big companies control our access to the Internet.

337. rachel bunin, dumont, NJ, 07628
we support strong net neutrality! free and open internet supported by title 2 over site by ISPskeep the Internet neutral!
338. jc meyer, emerson, NJ, 07630
   I strongly support net neutrality under title ii. You must perserve it

   I firmly believe in the importance of strong net neutrality and keeping ISPs under the Title II classification. Without these controls the risk of customer abuse, and abuse of new competition is too great. Please do not cancel or weaken the existing net neutrality policies or reclassify the Internet ISPs and related carriers under Title I

   Internet service providers should remain under Title II. Net neutrality is important for growth of new startup and competition. Really, the internet should be classified as an utility.

341. Matt Epstein, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
   Please preserve net neutrality through regulation under Title 2 of the Act. Neutrality is best for us as consumers, and I'm quite concerned about systems that are susceptible to abuse by "gatekeepers". The risk of anticompetitive behavior is too great. Please, do the right thing. Thanks.

342. Evan Sheppard, mahwah, NJ, 07430
   Net Neutrality must exist.

343. Gary Walsh, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
   We demand net neutrality. The internet is like oxygen. We all need it, we are all entitled to it. Do not sell us short. Don't think you can rationalize anything other than 100% net neutrality. We are watching. We demand net neutrality. We are watching. We are watching. We are watching. We are watching.

344. Ruth Starkins, Great Meadows, NJ, 07838
   I support Title 2 enforcement for internet neutrality

345. Hamdy, Abdul, Franklin Lakes, NJ, 07417
   The largest ISPs have pledged their support to net neutrality, in essence. They do NOT believe it has a negative impact on their business. The regulation of this market is essential because it has a very high barrier of entry and is dominated by a few large corporations. On many historical occasions, large transnational corporations have proven to fail to act in the interest of people and rather in the interest of their financial gain. Only recently have Americans on the east coast started to see speeds comparable to japan/s. korea/sweden. Your job as a regulator is to direct these businesses to fuel our dominance as a nation, the more ways an ISP can earn money without investing more capital distracts them from their main market. ISPs already have won the ability to sell...
consumer browsing data which created a new revenue stream. A message should be sent to them that as a company, if they want to make more money, they have to offer better services or more services and modernize what they already have. What's to stop taobao/alibaba/alipay/aliexpress or baidu from buying fast lanes inside the US to gain an edge over Amazon/eBay/Paypal or google in countries served by US data centers. this century's market is a digital market, the free market extends here too. Be Fair, Fair is Good. We Thrive and Excel in Fair.

346. sally gleason, oradell, NJ, 07649
support net neutrality backed by Title II

347. Christine Marks, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
I support strong net neutrality rules protected by Title II.

348. Matt Mojica, Waldwick, NJ, 07463
I am writing to you today about the importance of Title II Net Neutrality rules. As a millennial and someone who actively uses the internet it is important to me that the internet if fair and that companies cannot control the flow of traffic or speed. Do not mess this up it is a no brainer.

349. Mihail Ipati, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
Preserve Net Neutrality oversight over ISPs under Title 2!!!

350. Christopher DeFranza, Washington, NJ, 07882
I strongly believe that the strong neutrality rules that are currently in place need to stay and that ISPs need to be watched under title 2 to protect the open internet.

351. Richard Letts, Branchville, NJ, 07826
I believe in net neutrality. Big business has enough of a say in my life, I'd prefer they didn't have any say in the speed by which my pages load. Keep the net neutral!

352. Peter Marks, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
I support an open Internet protected by strong net neutrality rules backed my Title II.

353. Charlie CJ Macaayan, Paramus, NJ, 07652
Verizon, Comcast, Cox, and other ISPs are in support of a secure, safe, and open internet. It is not necessary to deregulate ISPs because they are not affected regardless of their classification under Title I or Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. In fact, Verizon has stated that increased regulation "does not influence the way that they invest", and that they will "continue to invest in our networks and our platforms, both in wireless and
wireline FiOS and where we need to". (Francis J. Shammo, Executive VP and
CFO, Verizon--in a statement to their investors) (Under legal binding,
companies are prohibited from lying to their investors.) So Ajit Pai is lying
when he says that increased regulation affects the way companies invest in their
infrastructure. Please refer to the following link for my reference:
https://youtu.be/92vuuZt7wak

354. Rosie Ferreira, Maywood, NJ, 07607
I strongly support net neutrality and Title II. To quote Tim Berners-Lee "the
internet must remain a permissionless space for creativity, innovation and free
expression". Further more, i do not want my internet provider/cable company to
choose for me what I want to watch. I pay my internet/cable provider a fee to
provide a service. I do NOT pay them to choose what I can/cannot watch or
search. Rolling back the regulations in place would give gigantic companies
(such as AT&T & Verizon) a license to limit consumer choice. Please do not let
this happen.

355. megan, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Need more regulations - title II

356. Brian Leahy, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
I urge the FCC to preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2.

357. Jeanne, Park Ridge, NJ, 07656
I support Net Neutrality and any attempt to dismantle Net Neutrality (under
Title II) is purely political machinations for continuing to deprive the American
people access to necessary resources to flourish in our great country. To ask
giant corporations to act ethically against their bottom line interests is akin to
asking the fox to guard the hen house- this is not capitalism under the promise
of our founding fathers, but a capitalism that soon becomes corporatism. Shame
on you for allowing corporate interests to supersede access to fair access to
knowledge that just might level the ethnic, socioeconomic, and gender
inequities that continue to plague our country.

358. Fay Sharit, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
Do not weaken regulations that require net neutrality. I do not want internet
providers to control the speed of my downloads to their benefit. I pay for this
service and expect net neutrality.

359. Penny Rosen, MAHWAH, NJ, 07430
Preserve internet neutrality and Title II. It is extremely important!

360. Nicole Alex, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
I strongly believe in Internet neutrality.
361. Cynthia Alvarez, River Edge, NJ, 07661
   Please preserve strong net neutrality, neutrality rules, and Title II. Thank you.

362. Peter, Paramus, NJ, 07652
   I am writing in concern of Net Neutrality. Title II and Net Neutrality must be preserved. It is imperative that the internet remain open and free.

363. Jo Ann Rothenberg, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
   I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

364. Elaine Reichart, Belvidere, NJ, 07823
   Really? Your going to take away the protections in place to enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites? You will be harming small businesses, regular people, the poor, the old and the disadvantaged. If you go through with this, then it just proves you don't care about anyone but big business, those in power and those with money. Shame on you. DON'T TAKE AWAY NET NEUTRALITY !!!!

365. Net Neutrality, Saddle River, NJ, 07458
   I support strong net neutrality under Title II of the communications act. Please preserve net neutrality and keep it under Title II of the communications act. Thank you.

366. Shanavas Veedu, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
   We strongly support net neutrality.

367. Felix Rosa, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
   We want Net Neutrality with Title II.

368. Hannah Wolfe, Harrington Park, NJ, 07640
   Protect net neutrality! Enforce Title II.

369. Bill Lash, West Milford, NJ, 07480
   Please preserve net neutrality & Title II. Thank you!

370. Leann Leo-Amato, Westwood, NJ, 07675
   I firmly advocate that net neutrality laws remain intact. The protections under Title II are essential for an open and free internet. Access should never be slowed or redirected at a providers whim.

371. Danielle Keller, Wantage, NJ, 07461
   I'm writing to you today to make my voice heardâ€”Please keep net neutrality under Title II classification. The internet is a level playing field for everyone from small startups to multinational corporations. Do not let special interest
groups (like those Ajit Pai once worked for) sink the hope of a free and open internet.

372. Sean Quigley, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
I expect a responsible FCC to preserve net neutrality, keeping it enforceable at title II status, which was proven in court to be the only viable route, and which is also proven to not hurt businesses in the slightest. The FCC is responsible for all the business, information, and leisure activity that happens online, not doing whatever a couple large lobbyists want to do. Please, I urge you, do the right thing. Preserve net neutrality. Maintain title II status.

373. Jon Maison, Highland lakes, NJ, 07422
I strongly support tittle II net neutrality it is extremely important to me to have oversight over isps so they do not take advantage of us.

374. Christine Schouten, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
I support a strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversights of ISPs. Thank you!

375. Michael Silverberg, Paramus, NJ, 07652
preserve net neutrality with title 2 protections

376. Jonathan Steiger, Lodi, NJ, 07644
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight over ISPs.

377. Maxwell McGinley, Haworth, NJ, 07641
Please preserve net neutrality and title two. Is this real life? come on.

I support strong net neutrality backed by Article 2 support.

379. Russell Chapman, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
I Strongly support the continuation of net neutrality and the regulation of ISP's under Title II of the Communications Act

380. James Raleigh, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
The internet belongs to everyone. The only reason to get rid of net neutrality is to make rich companies richer.

381. Stephen P. Hart, Branchville, NJ, 07826
We need to keep Net Neutrality a thing. It should never, ever be removed.

382. Kate Richardson, Belvidere, NJ, 07823
continue to protect net neutrality under title 2
383. Maria DiPiazza, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
   NET NEUTRALITY please!

   Internet is too important to democracy to allow to be throttled and manipulated
   for economic benefit. This hurts almost the entire country, and benefits only a
   few

385. REQUEST FOR STRONG NET NEUTRALITY, Emerson, NJ, 07630
   I specifically support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please
   please preserve the neutrality under title 2. I strongly support this! Companies
   such as verizon, T-mobile, AT&T etc. should not have the power they want.
   POWER TO THE PEOPLE.

386. Gareth Dailey, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
   I strongly support net neutrality rules backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
   Deregulating ISPs is thoroughly and completely against the public good.

387. Russell Danziger, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
   Please maintain the title 2 classification. The threat isn't theoretical: larger ISPs
   and wireless carriers had already implemented troubling policies prior to the
   reclassification. There's no reason to expect that they'll keep the playing field
   level if this goes backwards.

388. Nick Albanese, Newton, NJ, 07860
   I believe in a free and open internet. Keep isp's under the current laws of title 2
   of the communications act of 1939.

389. Isabel Molina, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
   net neutrality is a public RIGHT. Deregulating this will NOT be good for
   business - it will only be bad for the public!

390. James Cunningham, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
   Regarding the net neutrality issue, we should retain the Title II regulations on
   broadband services. Eliminating such regulation could be detrimental to the
   open market competitive aspects, e.g. inhibiting the performance of services
   that may be in competition with those of the specific provider. Furthermore, the
   article of "stifling broadband investment" is a smokescreen. With or without
   regulation, providers will (must) continue to invest in their infrastructure or be
   left behind in the market. To think otherwise is just - well - stupid.

391. Net Neutrality, River Edge, NJ, 07661
   I am writing in opposition to planned repeals of current net neutrality
   regulations.
392. James Porto, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and don’t sell out the internet to the network monopolies. Keep it a level playing field for all.

393. Carlton Frost, Allendale, NJ, 07401  
Maintain net neutrality, and make it enforceable with Title II,

394. John Esposito, River Edge, NJ, 07661  
I VERY STRONGLY support net neutrality.

395. wojciech franzl, Hackensack, NJ, 07601  
I strongly support net neutrality enforced by title 2, and think it should continue to stay that way.

396. Bob Birger, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840  
Keep title 2. This legal footing matters and companies will not simply govern themselves.

397. sean, Oakland, NJ, 07436  
Please do not undermine net neutrality and title 2. The open internet is crucial to the future of true freedom in this country.

398. sandy goldberg, Franklin Lakes, NJ, 07417  
YOU MUST ABSOLUTELY PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND THE TITLE II PROTECTIONS AND LEGAL STATUS OF ISPs.PLEASE DO NOT SCREW OVER THE AMERICAN PEOPLE BY CHANGING THESE RULES AT ALL!!!!THANK YOU.

399. Elliot, Teaneck, NJ, 07666  
I very strongly support the classification of ISPs under Title II. This allows enforceable net neutrality, which is critical for competition, something that America prides itself on.

400. carl graves, Old Tappan, NJ, 07675  
I am upset that the fcc again is trying to alter net neutrality. Please leave it as is.

I hereby petition for the maintaining of "Net Nutrality" and "Title II" -- do not let the American people down on this!! JGviaJO

402. Lawrence Gabriel, Paramus, NJ, 07652  
Please keep net neutrality the way it is and don't change Title 2. These ISP's are greedy and will abuse power if given back.

403. Christine Buckingham, Sussex, NJ, 07461
We need to preserve net neutrality for American Citizens. We already lost the use of our older televisions when we were forced to buy digital signal sets. We were also forced to subscribe to cable. We do not deserve to lose yet again simply for the profiteering of huge corporate interests. We the citizens Need and Deserve Net Neutrality. Thank you.

404. Julian Perez, Washington Township, NJ, 07676
I strongly support Net Neutrality and an overall freedom of the internet. I do not condone any anti-regulation law which would allow big companies to buy out bandwidth for their own favor over smaller startup sites. These are critical facets of small, startup businesses of which Republicans are supposed to be so supportive. Supporting small businesses also means supporting small sites, and supporting Net Neutrality.

405. Harvey Schultz, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 07458
I support net neutrality whereby ISPs are classified under TITLE 2. Please do not reclassify ISPs; and please do not modify or delete TITLE 2.

Stripping down net neutrality rules would leave small businesses and users in danger of having their access compromised by parties who can afford to pay more to ISPs. This would take the open, free and balanced internet that we all know and love today, and change it into a place that those with the deepest pockets could have the best and best connections, and by extension shut down services to smaller users.

407. Anne McGettigan, Paramus, NJ, 07652
I would to inform you that I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 classification of ISPs.

408. Jules Rosenberg, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
I support strong Net Neutrality under title 2

409. Mark Schneider, Alpine, NJ, 07620
ISP's should remain subject to oversight under TITLE 2!

410. Serena Maldonado, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
Hello FCC, I support strong net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Love, Serena Maldonado

411. John Starch, Riveredge, NJ, 07648
Why did you make the website so purpously hard to express our opinions? Also, do you know may the force be with u.

412. Edward Pong, Lodi, NJ, 07644
Attempts to hinder net neutrality, and a true free and open internet, is nothing short of an attack on the freedoms of American citizens. We need to enforce the regulations placed on internet service providers to avoid conflicts of interest amongst the corporations who control the information pipelines which we all rely on today.

413. Kyle Blake, Jersey City, NJ, 07458
I work in digital media and know that if internet companies have the ability to limit bandwidth to their competitors, there will be a serious problem in this marketplace. Please do not eliminate regulations that will prevent this from happening.

414. Jeff Turner, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
It is with an absolute certainty in the fairness and freedom of an internet accessible by all that ISP’s need to continue to be regulated by the Title II regulations which were approved in 2015? to ensure that the concept of Net Neutrality is maintained with access to all content with consistent speed and without favor for any entity or ISP be allowed.

415. Kimberley Jones, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
All human problems grow from problems of inequitable access to information. As an information professional dedicated to preserving and defending individuals' First Amendment Constitutional rights to information access, I view the protection of Net Neutrality specifically under Title II as parallel to the Establishment Clause separating matters of personal belief and political influence. Leaving in the hands of profiteers the voluntary choice of whether, and how much, to allow profit interest to constrict information flow tends in the same direction as selling the public library to the highest bidding publisher. Democracy requires an educated and informed electorate, and education and information require equal and open access under the protection of such laws as that electorate deems necessary. Net Neutrality requires Title II protection.

416. Aref Afshar, Alpine, NJ, 07620
Net Neutrality is and must always be enforceable. When the FCC lost its suit against Verizon for trying to enforce net neutrality laws, the courts ruled that the current regulations would not be enforceable under title I. Comments made promoting a rollback on regulations turn on themselves: the idea that current regulations stymie infrastructure investment is not true according to major ISPs briefing their shareholders, yet proponents of deregulation also claim that an open and free internet will still be enforced through Title I. While what I said before shows that it is not enforceable, even if it was, then there would be no difference between now and 2014, and thus infrastructure investment was never affected.
417. Mike Gallagher, Mahwah, NJ, 07430

418. Kathy Mollman, Waldwick, NJ, 07463
   Do not take away net neutrality. It is a help to small businesses to have their
   wares available to the masses. If they have to pay more it is just another
   regulation of sorts. We are under the impression the current administration is a
   champion the regular citizen and small business owner so do not charge more
   for decent service. Keep it an even playing field. Do you charge more for

419. H. Alexander Engel, Westwood, NJ, 07675
   Please support Net Neutrality. The net and its speed should be neutral for
   everyone. Thank you, H. Alexander Engel American Citizen

420. Nathan Hoffer, Allendale, NJ, 07401
   I've been using the internet since I was ten years old. Today, I get all of my
   news, my entertainment, and my research from the internet. My current career
   and every job I've ever had has been wholly internet-based. If the FCC violates
   or otherwise repeals Net Neutrality by any means, be they direct or indirect, my
   way of life -- as well as the livelihood of millions of other people just like me --
   will be fundamentally altered for the worse. Being in favor of Net Neutrality
   does not affect the profits of major corporations and actively benefits
   individuals, small businesses, political parties and affiliates, and governments
   domestic and abroad. Being against Net Neutrality only mildly benefits major
   corporations at significant cost to all other entities. From an economic,
   financial, utilitarian, and ethical-moral standard, there is no legitimate argument
   against Net Neutrality.

421. Francis Biagioli, Allendale, NJ, 07401
   I, like many of my other fellow Americans, support strong net neutrality and the
   existence of Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Not only do I believe
   that net neutrality is important for the average American in ensuring non biased,
   transparent use of the internet, I believe that net neutrality is extremely
   important for small businesses and startups, a group that forms the backbone of
   the American economy. I urge you keep Title II of the Communications Act of
   1934 in place and ensure that net neutrality remains.

422. Jeff Blakeman, Maywood, NJ, 07607
   I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs, and am
   seriously concerned about reclassification efforts.

423. David Richards, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
   Please keep section II real net neutrality for a fair and good internet and world.
   We need to put all websites on equal footing, the big and the small. We need a
real free internet!

424. Robert Harold, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Please preserve title II protection of internet neutrality. Title II did not cause reduced investment in internet service infrastructure. And there is ample evidence that ISPs have suppressed internet service to competing commercial interests when net neutrality was not protected by Title II.

425. James T Bragg, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
Big ISPs will ignore Type I. Please preserve Net Neutrality and keep Type II protection.

426. Brandon Rothman, Great Meadows, NJ, 07838
I support strong Net Neutrality under Title II for the classification and oversight of Internet Service Providers

427. Don Lanini, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
As a Computer Systems Engineer for the last 36 years, I strongly support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Do you get it Ajit?

428. William Lucca, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
I strongly support net neutrality and Title II oversight of internet service providers. Any legislation that rolls back this current neutrality is unjust, for it will allow for inappropriate favoritism of specific companies' content and not of what is requested by users personally.

429. Sean Patrick Parker, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
I am writing to the Commission that I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Active oversight of ISP's is of vital economic interest to the future of our economy, allowing for greater entrepreneurship in the digital space. Do not make a short sighted mistake that will simply be a handout to the handful of legacy ISP's at the cost of America's economic future.

430. Rivka Chasan, Begenfield, NJ, 07621
Please protect our right to net neutrality. The internet should be an open place, and big companies should not be messing with what I see and how I see it.Cheers

431. Spencer Peterson, Lodi, NJ, 07401
I cannot believe you have found yet another way, to sell out to big business. I cannot see how weakening the net neutrality laws will do any good except for those who can afford to buy an advantage. I support Net Neutrality. Thank you for your time
432. Daniel Wallace, Newton, NJ, 07860
Net Neutrality protects a free and open internet, an essential engine of commerce and human expression. Attempting to repeal, undercut, and/or neuter Net Neutrality would be a terrifying and shameful step back in an unprecedented area of human progress.

433. Steven Krzyszton, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
Staunch supporter of true net neutrality - only possible through Title 2. ISPs should not adhere to a "trust" principle, that's not how business works. Legal regulation is the only true way to 100% make sure we can preserve net neutrality and there is no good reason to go back to title 1.

434. Kerry McGlade, Midland Park, NJ, 07432
I implore you to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. As a voter, citizen and consumer this issue is very important to me and I will be following it closely and following up on it as this ruling proceeds.

435. Michael Cantor, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
Please DO NOT change the current net neutrality rules. I know Trump appointed you, but do you want to be seen as being on the wrong side history, as he will be? You're a smart man, be smart. Thanks for your time and attention.

436. Timothy Kirby, Newton, NJ, 07860
Net Neutrality is essential and needs to be kept in place as-is with as much enforcement as possible.

437. Rebecca Slaman, Dumont, NJ, 07628
I strongly support net neutrality. It's your duty to represent the people, please reflect the will of them, not companies.

438. Timothy Dailey, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
I stand with the rights of citizens against the takeover of the internet by the corporate elite. ISPs are RIGHTLY DESIGNATED as utilities and held IN CHECK by "Title Two" designation. KEEP IT THAT WAY.

439. net neutral, USR, NJ, 07458
Net neutrality now. Period.

440. William VanGlahn, Dumont, NJ, 07628
Wisdom would dictate that Title 2 designation for ISPs is the intelligent way to go. Please do not politicize our freedom of speech.

441. William Coles, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
The preservation of titles 1&2 in order to keep net neutrality in place and to keep IP providers from restricting internet speeds for preferred sources first
must be maintained. Do not remove True net neutrality ever.

442. Marc de Vries, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
   The FCC needs to support strong net neutrality and Title II

443. Michael S Brogan, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
   I specifically support strong net neutrality by Title II oversight of ISPs.

444. Marla Kallin, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
   I urge you to retain net neutrality under the title two previously implemented.
   Thank you.

445. Christopher, Bogota, NJ, 07603
   we need strong net neutrality! title II of ISP oversight guarantees such a standard. the wealth of knowledge on the Internet should be freely accessible to all people.

446. Michael Soltys, Franklin Lakes, NJ, 07417
   Title 2 regulation is required to ensure a free and open internet. The largest ISPs already told the people who matter (their investors) that title 2 regulation does not make much of a difference in how they choose to invest in their infrastructure and our country. Please leave the regulations as they are.

447. Dan Schmalz, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
   Do not repeal net neutrality! Save title 2

448. Carolyn Cerbone, Belvidere, NJ, 07823
   Keep title 2 so we can have freedom on the internet. Our internet providers should not be controlling what we are able to see on the internet and at what speeds.

449. Robert Lang, Sussex, NJ, 07461
   I support strong Net Neutrality rules supported by Title II enforcement. Do Not roll back these rules.

450. John Dzurenko, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
   I wholeheartedly support strong net neutrality and by no means think it is attainable under Title 1. This was proven in the past. You MUST keep the ISPs governed under Title 2. Please don;t try and con the American public,

   Please preserve the net neutrality laws and keep them strong to protect the consumer from big business internet providers

452. Mike Ryerson, Blairstown, NJ, 07825
Please support strong net neutrality regulation under Title II for ISPs.

I specially support strong net neutrality backed by TITLE 2. Let us join together to keep the internet open & free

454. Bridget Pastenkos, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
Net neutrality is critical to equal access to information. Please keep net neutrality under title II.

455. Dimitry Manousevich, fair lawn, NJ, 07410
Net neutrality must remain as it is - otherwise small businesses have no chance to stand out vs the large companies.

We need stronger Net Neutrality rules, removing these does nothing to help the consumer only corporations. They will Censor content and destroy any competition, we need less data caps and if we expect any growth.

457. Andrew Stevens, Hewitt, NJ, 07421
I strongly support retaining the title 2 legal classification under which ISPs are currently regulated.

458. Alan L. Pehrson, West Milford, NJ, 07480
I support having strong net neutrality regulation supported by title 2 oversight of internet service providers.

459. Robert Guarino, Lodi, NJ, 07644
I'd like to formally request for the continuation of net neutrality guidelines as set by the FCC to govern ISPs as it has been. Please do not give the option for ISPs to choose to do the right thing or choose to benefit themselves.

460. Justin Costa, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
Broadband Internet service should remain a telecommunications service under title 2. We cannot trust greedy corporations to self relegate.

461. Joydeep Mikherjee, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
Net neutrality should remain. It's within FCC scope of authority and there is no need to make it voluntary for service providers. The cable companies are already operating as oligarchies with high barrier to entry, and overall this is really bad for consumers.

462. Mark McCluski, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
Keep ISPs classified as Title II telecom services. Protect net neutrality. Maintain the protections put in place under President Obama.
463. Margaret Witt, Newton, NJ, 07860
I support very strongly net neutrality under Title II for these companies. In no way should we allow them to go back to Title I and be able to manipulate the internet so that they can ensure more money is in their pockets.

464. Kara Campbell, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do the right thing, Mr. Pai is not being truthful about what will happen if network reclassification reverts back to a Title one entity.

465. Michael Roosevelt, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
I pay approximately $200 per month to have internet access at my small business; access which I need in order to run a successful enterprise that has created 7 local jobs this year. Please preserve net neutrality and Title II so that I can be sure my ISP will not decide for themselves what content I get access to, or which search engine I should use, or any of the other bits of tomfoolery in which they will surely should they be allowed.

466. Tamara Jean Scully and Aloysius James Scully, Columbia, NJ, 07832
NET NEUTRALITY IS IMPERATIVE IN A FREE COUNTRY

467. R, Westwood, NJ, 07675
I urge you to preserve net-neutrality and title 2!!!

468. Stephen Borres, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
I strongly urge you to preserve net neutrality. The internet must remain free and open to all. ISP's must not be allowed to determine what information we are allowed to see.

469. Jack Bell, New Milford, NJ, 07646
I have a grave concern about the concentration of media and business in the hands of a few powerful corporations. What we need is more diverse opinions and programming, not fewer. Net neutrality is one of the keys to trying to preserve this diversity and barring corporations from controlling the pipeline more than they already do, which is quite substantial.

470. Tom Walsh, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
I support net neutrality laws as they have been put forth and any attempt to change them is a complete disservice to the American consumer.

471. Robert Goldstein, Franklin Lakes, NJ, 07417
Please keep the net free by keeping the net neutrality rules tough and set at Title 2, we cannot trust the internet providers to do the right thing without this law as it currently stands.
Hello, I would like to express my extreme concern to the threat to net neutrality that is being posed by the Trump administration. Your group heard from the American people last time this issue arose; you are hearing from us again. The internet MUST be a free and fair ground for everyone. Please protect net neutrality. Additionally, I am disappointed in how complicated you made the process of leaving this comment. You should be making it EASIER to hear from the public, not harder. Its difficult not to infer an ulterior motive in you making this process so much harder than it was previously. If that is the case, you should be ashamed and the public is smart enough to see through this. Thank you, Jacob Foster

I am writing in support for Net Neutrality as backed by Title II of the Communications Act. The internet is a resource critical to our daily lives and the economy. All traffic should be treated equally with absolutely no preferences given to the highest bidder. Giving ISPs the right to prioritize traffic based upon monetary incentives can have a detrimental effect on my ability to get information and entertainment when I need/want it. I urge the Commission to retain the current rules governing net neutrality.

I am registering my definitive support for strong net neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs (Internet service providers). I do NOT want internet service providers to unilaterally decide what I can access through them or to restrict bandwidth to sites or services I want to access. Protect net neutrality!!

I am highly concerned that the FCC intends to cede power to ISP's and rely on their restraint. Companies are obligated to their shareholders to maximize profits not to be good citizens. Expecting them to act in an altruistic manner and against maximizing profits is not a reasonable position.

I strongly endorse keeping current FCC rules for Net Neutrality and enforcement under Title II. I find it offensive that ISP providers would find it difficult / burdensome to adhere to the basic fairness that we all assume is occurring in how internet content is delivered.

Please build on the Obama-era Net Neutrality regulations and continue to build protections for the American consumer of internet content and services. I support Title 2 reclassification for ISP.

Please build on the Obama-era Net Neutrality regulations and continue to build protections for the American consumer of internet content and services. I support Title 2 reclassification for ISP.
Please uphold Title II of the Net Neutrality act.

479. Nick Papa, Lodi, NJ, 07644
You cannot just let the companies regulate themselves. I specifically support net neutrality and strong title II oversight over ISPs.

480. Brenda Talbot, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
I urge you to enforce net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The Internet should be available to everyone equally.

481. j, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
Keep the internet neutral. No selling off equality.

482. Andrew Stollman, Montvale, NJ, 07645
I am strongly against rolling back the net neutrality guidelines as history has proven that the cable companies and mobile operators can't be trusted to self govern and purely act in their own interest and in the interest of their shareholders and not the general public. It's the FCC's obligation to oversee the internet and other communications and protect US consumers from abuse as is commonly the case from the various ISPs which are evidenced in the FCC's own investigations!https://www.fcc.gov/news-events/blog/2010/11/04/mystery-solved-consumers-win-verizon-wireless-mystery-fees-settlementhttps://apps.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-341621A1.pdf

483. Andrew Zimmerman, Dumont, NJ, 07628
Please don't let big business buy faster internet access. This will only stymie American innovation and entrepreneurship. Keep the internet a level playing field for all our citizens.

484. Title II, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
Keep internet neutrality through Title II

485. ROHEET SHAH, RIDGEWOOD, NJ, 07450
I believe in strong net neutrality rules that classify Internet providers as public utilities and make it illegal for Internet providers to to block or slow down websites for consumers.

486. Caroline Hey, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
As a U.S. citizen, taxpayer and supporter of our First Amendment right to free speech, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.

487. Karl Neulinger, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II. This bill does not have my support.
488. Troy Coonrad, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
An open and free Internet is paramount to free speech in today's society, which is why I support the restoration of net neutrality laws. As a basic human right, determined by many worldwide organizations, it is beyond important for the internet to not be constrained by the service providers and their stakeholders.

489. Amalio J Martinez, Dumont, NJ, 07628
I fully support that Internet Service Providers remain under Title II to ensure proper oversight is exercised to safeguard Net Neutrality.

490. Blair Lavey, Allendale, NJ, 07401
Keep Title II and net neutrality please. Self regulation will not work with these companies.

491. Mohammad Malik, Montague, NJ, 07827
Net Neutrality is integral to keeping our internet the way it is meant to be. It must be backed by Title II oversight.

492. Anna Fusco, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
Please support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II Oversight of IFC.

493. Tom Marvel, New Milford, NJ, 07646
Internet should be considered a utility and regulated as such due the increasing necessity of internet connectivity for daily life.

494. Melissa Calvo, Newton, NJ, 07860
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please preserve net neutrality and Title II so that the internet can continue to be free and open without unwanted intervention of big corporate interests. Thank you.

495. Christopher Munson, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
Net Neutrality needs to be protected. Ajit Pai and the current administration of the FCC has worked contrary to the interests of the American people since they were appointed to their positions. The FCC is a governmental organization subject to the desires of the American people, not to the corporations that they currently work to protect. Title II reclassification did not harm ISPs and it gave American citizens a reasonable protection against the internet being coopted by the ISPs for their own benefit. A free and open internet MUST be maintained, especially in this era of social turmoil.

496. Jesus Collado, New Milford, NJ, 07646
I support Net Neutrality, I would strongly be against any law that would jeopardize the capability of my broadband internet connection to be tampered with in favor of any company over the other.
497. Nishan Lahood, Paramus, NJ, 07652
Preserve Net neutrality and Title 2. This regulation does not jeopardize ISP investment. It does help safeguard consumers. It helps ensure that consumers are receiving the quality service they are paying regardless of how they decide to use that service.

498. Lee Ann Clark, Oakland, NJ, 07436
I am against changing the current Net Neutrality policy. LEAVE IT AS IT IS. People matter.

499. Christopher W. Koscher, Esq, Woodcliff Lake, NJ, 07677
I support the classification of ISPs under Title II, as the only existing legislative framework that allows for sufficiently strict oversight of anti-competitive ISP practices.

500. Kat mcgee, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
I support strong net neutrality rules via Title II.

501. Ben Whitfield, Newton, NJ, 07860
It is extremely important to maintain net neutrality and Schedule 2 regulation for internet providers. The UN recognizes that online freedom is a human right, so it only makes sense to regulate internet providers as utilities and ensure equal access to all online content.

502. nabil eliya, midland park, NJ, 07432
We need to maintain net neutrality to allow small businesses to compete fairly.

503. Lynda Fabbo, Hewitt, NJ, 07421
I support strong internet neutrality backed by title 2 oversights of ISP™s.

504. Lisette Ramos, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai,I fully support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations as I support current rules. It has worked ans is working for us.

505. Raquel Alonso Winter, Westwood, NJ, 07675
Keep ISPs as title II entities so that small businesses have a chance to compete in the fair market without interference from big companies with big money!

506. Eli Feldblum, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
To Whom it May Concern,I run a boutique marketing agency focused on companies in the news, music and publishing spaces. We help large publications and companies, like New York Magazine and Island Records, and upstarts, like 300 Entertainment and YouBeauty. Both provide amazing content,
and both deserve an equal chance at spreading that content. I'm asking you to
preserve net neutrality so that ISP can't make life harder for my smaller clients.
We've been with clients as they've grown into major players, and the democratic
nature of the internet made that possible. Please preserve it.-Eli

507. Jonathan M. Goldman, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
Dismantling or prohibiting net neutrality serves only the business interests of 4
specific conglomerates, at the expense of thousands of businesses, small and
large, hundreds of millions (if not billions, due to international traffic) of
consumers and users, and, more ephemerally, the growth and expansion of US
innovation. Does aiding telecom manufacturers at the expense of consumers and
businesses really benefit the US? Does the relatively minimal GDP impact of
those companies merit taking a sledgehammer to the revenue and business of
companies ranging from Netflix to Alphabet Inc.? As a foundational element of
the internet, net neutrality supports free trade and meritocratic competition.
From a conservative perspective, it is far and away a positive. From a liberal
perspective, it promotes the free exchange of ideas and a platform for
marginalized groups to speak. In general, every single perspective beyond
communications industry insiders is for net neutrality. Is selling yourself to
those companies at the expense of the enmity of most of the United States really
worth it? Is violating basic ethics really worth it? I don't think so, but if you
desire to damn yourself, in the eyes of both the public and heaven, I can't stop
you.

508. Anthony Locorriere, Lodi, NJ, 07644
Do not reclassify Internet Service Service Providers to Title 1.

509. Jason Huber, Emerson, NJ, 07630
Please don't change the internet from what it is now. If anything, please make it
better for the average person. I and many people I know are deeply stressed out
about almost everything Trump has done. Please don't add insult to injury!

510. Lorenzo Zurzolo, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
Net neutrality rules and Title II must remain in place. Do not allow corporate
greed to ruin the internet as we know it.

511. David Liebgold, Oakland, NJ, 07436
Please keep strong net neutrality rules. Please do not roll back Title 2 oversight
of ISPs. Many ISPs are on record stating that they have no intention of cutting
investment due to Title 2 oversight. Therefore, there is no reason other than
insanity to roll back oversight. ISPs have proven that without net neutrality and
title 2 oversight, they will take advantage of the title 1 loopholes to exploit the
internet to the highest bidder.

512. Tara Orazi, sussex, NJ, 07461
The FCC should preserve Net Neutrality and and Title II for Broadband. Title II is critical in protecting the fundamental values of universal service and consumer protection.

513. Graham Quigley, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
Hello-I am writing to let you know that I feel strongly that net neutrality should be maintained. To that end Title II should also be maintained as the status quo for ISPs. ISPs should not be able to decide how bandwidth is allocated.

514. Geoff Moran, Demarest, NJ, 07627
I do NOT approve of changing net neutrality rules. The Internet should be a Title II protected utility and completely free from corporate interests. Do NOT pass this law as it doesn't serve the public interests in any way shape or form.

515. Dylan Amador, ringwood, NJ, 07456
I strongly support the preservation of net neutrality under title II.

516. net, Ridgewood, NJ, 07463
I strongly support Net Neutrality. It allows fair competition and it is healthy for the consumer.

517. Kim E. Franklin, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
I would like to express my strong support for maintaining net neutrality and Title II.

518. Justin Pines, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
don't ruin my internet!

519. Michael Carnesale, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
I strongly support net neutrality. Net neutrality offers an equal opportunity to all that utilize the web to have their fair share of connectivity.

520. Levi Rybalov, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
I strongly support net neutrality, and I urge you to oppose any modifications to existing rules that may allow ISPs to give preference to some websites and/or services over others.

521. Nicholas Johns, River Edge, NJ, 07661
I am directly opposed to this measure, equal access to the internet is essential to the free trade of ideas and business.

522. Michael Grater, Rochelle Park, NJ, 07662
I support strong Net neutrality laws. Do not change this. The laws are there to protect the consumers.
523. Jackie, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
   I support Title 2 - do not change the law.

524. Chris "FCC" Zika, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
   For the past three years, every time the idea of slashing or loosening net
   neutrality laws has been brought to the table, your site has crashed from the
   sudden traffic of public comments. So, please do yourself a favor and stop this
   nonsense. Again. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of
   ISPs.

525. Thomas Giordano, Midland Park, NJ, 07432
   The internet needs to have strong regulations on net neutrality or internet usage
   will become a nuisance to many. Small businesses will suffer the most,
   including businesses that may sell oversized mugs with candy logos displayed
   on them.

526. David Sproles, Newton, NJ, 07860
   I strongly support enforceable net neutrality rules under Title 2.

527. Ann Horne-Feige, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
   Please keep strong net neutrality rules in place, backed by title II, to protect a
   free & accessible internet.

528. Mark Schwartz, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
   Please ensure there is no change to how ISPs are classified. They should remain
   covered as is.

529. Stephen Iezzi, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
   I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of all the ISP. This is
   important because without net neutrality you are leaving all the users of the
   internet vulnerable to the unjust acts of ISP. In some instances they have been
   known to slow down networks and even block certain applications without
   users even knowing. The main idea to realize is that internet service
   PROVIDERS are simply providers, nothing more(Timothy Wu). Why should
   they be able to control what you can and can't access? America is a country
   built upon the idea of freedom, therefore it's only right that the internet remain
   completely free from tampering for all who use it which is exactly what net
   neutrality accomplishes.

530. Melissa Lynam, New Milford, NJ, 07646
   I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I
   support net neutrality and oppose ALL actions that would end it including
docket No. 17-108!

531. Clifford Hamblen, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
Please leave the net neutrality regulations that are now in effect. In place. If you lift these regulations it will make it much easier for ISP's etc to charge extra for wealthier customers and to give their internet traffic priority, thus creating a class system on the internet. All bits of information should travel on the internet for the same price, giving everyone a fair chance to do commerce or be a consumer on equal par.

532. Jason Jacob, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
   As an IT Manager of a small business that has just gotten onto the internet...I am worried at what a bigger HVAC/Plumbing distributor can pay a network provider to make access to their eCommerce pages quicker and easier to access. Enough with the big players trying to keep down everyone else! Leave the internet alone!!

533. Jon Edelstein, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
   Please keep status quo regarding net neutrality

534. Augustine Kelty, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
   What's with this, stop messing with net neutrality. If any of you fuckwits cared about the future of the American people then you would stop this bill. Freedom of information makes America great, please have a spine and work to keep the future of America safe.

535. Chaggai Rosenbluh, Paramus, NJ, 07652
   Please leave internet neutrality rules as they are currently under title 2

536. Christopher Daly, Sandyston, NJ, 07826
   I support strong net neutrality backed by title II (title 2) oversight of internet service providers.

537. net neutrality, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
   It is vital to the future that we protect the liberties and freedoms of all people. This is paramount when it comes to free and equal access to the internet. If net neutrality is not maintained then the future of information will be controlled by both corporate and government entities. This is something that can not be allowed to happen. All people deserve equal access to the internet, and the loss of this access will be a blight on the very ideals from which our beautiful American country is built upon. I implore all people, especially those who have a position of authority to protect and maintain this right. Net neutrality must not be lost.

538. Brett Pasternack, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
   Net Neutrality absolutely needs to be preserved. Weakening it would be a severe blow to competition in the marketplace, allowing larger companies to win not by offering a better product or service but by preventing customers
from accessing competitors' offerings. Furthermore, it would be an attack on freedom of speech, potentially shutting out smaller voices.

539. Amy Dalal, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
    Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. These protections were made with much thought and research to protect the enjoyment of the internet by the American people. Please preserve these regulations for the benefit of the American people.

540. Allison Hall, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
    I am in full support of strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight for ISPs. In this digital age, with society trending toward the internet of things, it is more important than ever to maintain a free and open pipeline for all to use. This will allow all entities, big and small, to compete on a level playing field and will allow us, the consumers, to vote with our dollars for the products/companies/services we like best. Stripping regulation of this now will set the stage for ISPs to be able to control what rises to the top and destroys the consumersâ€™ ability to participate in a free and open market. Every trucking company is allowed to use the roads without having to worry about paying more to use the fast lanes. Internet service should be no different. Please do not weaken net neutrality and leave it in the hands of large corporations by removing Title II oversight. I am fully opposed to Docket No. 17-108.

541. joe mariniello, fort lee, NJ, 07450
    It would be nice if for once this administration looked at regulation in a way that helps individuals and not corporations. Do not change the law.

542. davidmagella, Hamburg, NJ, 07419
    Please don't get rid of net neutrality

    Strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II enforcement are critical to the future of the Internet in America. Revoking these protections is a mistake.

544. Eric, River Edge, NJ, 07661
    The fact that this is even on this website is a disgrace. Title II should remain the classification of Internet Service Providers as it is the only way to hold these companies accountable for their actions it seems. Also change the name, you couldn't come up with a more bullshit name than "Restoring Internet Freedom" for something as threatening to internet freedom as this. STOP MESSING WITH NET NEUTRALITY!

545. Vincent Abello, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
    I fully support net neutrality and Title II classification of ISP's for the protection of consumers' choice of Internet services. There is nothing open or free about companies choosing how or even if they deliver traffic to me that I am
requesting over their network. Most of the US has no choice of ISP and competition does not exist because of pro-corporate protectionist acts which completely stifle any shred of competition and screw over the consumer. The entire ISP industry is comprised of a few large companies that just continually look for ways to charge consumers more in hidden fees and implement data caps for no reason other than because they can to increase their bottom line. Net Neutrality is one of the few pro-consumer laws that help us. As a network engineer, I saw first hand how miraculously many peering disputes among ISP's and content providers suddenly disappeared and connectivity improved across the board once Net Neutrality became law. Prior to this, we had ISP's with no intention of upgrading their networks to handle the traffic that we as customers are paying for. Net Neutrality cannot be thrown out. It is for the betterment and advancement of the Internet as a whole in the United States of America.

546. George Woodrow, River Vale, NJ, 07675
I fully support strong Net neutrality under Title II

547. Allison Schramm, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
preserve strong net neutrality under the title 2 oversight.

548. Andrew lang, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
I am in strong support of title II common carrier net neutrality, I personally believe that that having Internet Service Providers regulated by law to allow equal access speeds to all site and services available on the internet must be upheld by solid law and that it is essential for equal competition and freedom of information for all companies sites and services on the internet.

549. Eric H. Smith, Newton, NJ, 07860
Please keep the internet open and fair. ISP's should not have the ability to regulate bandwidth based on if they have a corporate interest in one service over another. I support Title II and the rules as they stand.

550. Joseph Nasta, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 07458
I would like to publicly voice my support of Title II and Net Neutrality. I do not believe that any corporation should be able to dictate the content made available to their customers based on financial or political interested. As customers and as free citizens in a world where internet access has become vital to many aspects of our lives, we should be able to feel assured that our content is unfiltered and that we have full access to to whatever we choose to.

551. chris zeth, Park Ridge, NJ, 07656
There is no longer a line between ISP's / Broadband providers and content providers. These lines have been blurred forever. The pipe is no longer separate from the content and thanks to an ignorant marketplace and overseer's who won't say no to big business these relationships have already have severely
limited what info (infotainment) we have access to. However, we should not let that stop us from keeping final power from their hands. Gone are the days where the government mandated a certain allocation of public airwaves and spectrum to educational and informational content. But we need to maintain what LITTLE power we have against the content/access owners who eventually WANT 100% of the power to control and the ability to tell the government to stay out of their business. TV news, newspapers, any outlet for meaning has already bitten the dust due to the needs for advertising to reach a click. The day that the access to an information network is meaningless due to the endless quest for advertising eyeballs will be a disastrous one for this country. This day may come to pass without any help from anyone as the cat videos and reality TV force every other voice out.. but you at the FCC should be doing all in your power to stop this. If you can't do this... what can you do?

552. Jayne Rubenfeld-Waldron, Closter, NJ, 07624
Please maintain Title II. A true democracy requires equal access to information.

553. Kevin Reilly, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Keep Net Neutrality under Title II. I thought we went through this shit already.

554. Larry, Allendale, NJ, 07401
Retain net neutrality In this day and age when the net is more important for messaging than the post office, interfering with net neutrality is damaging to our democracy and our faith in government.

555. Isaac Vinpa, Woodcliff Lake, NJ, 07410
Don't kill net neutrality. Nothing good can come from it.

556. Don't Do It, Old Tappan, NJ, 07675
Please do not enforce this as this is a step to preserve Net Neutrality.

557. Stephen Mazzella, Bogota, NJ, 07603
Support Title II and keep the internet free and open for all sites, companies and users

558. Yanell Lopez, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
I completely support and demand Title II and net neutrality. I strongly recommend that it be left alone.

559. Daniel J. F. Abrams, Closter, NJ, 07624
I have worked with most of the major ISPs in the United States, as well as many smaller ones, providing bandwidth for Fortune 500 events. They simply do not deliver as promised, and I do not trust them at all. Net Neutrality allows us to develop new businesses through experimentation before commercial use is found, and it's demise would mean fewer internet businesses. Some of the
businesses and use-cases of the internet that were innovated in a Net-neutral environment included ones like Twitter and live-streaming that helped candidate Trump win the election. To abandon net neutrality rules isn't just a handout to a number of large corporations that are not innovative and often very poor counterparts, but an assault on our democratic institutions, free press, and the innovative free market. Thank you for your time.

560. Scott Katirachi, Park Ridge, NJ, 07656
so I heard that the website crashed from a "DDOS attack", so just to make sure I get my feelings across, I'm here again to say that I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.

561. Ivan Calderon, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
As concerned and voting citizen, I am requesting the FCC to keep all ISP's under Tile II of regulations. Paid prioritization is a subversion of net neutrality through allowing ISPs to discriminate between websitesâ€™ data. No matter how this issue is dressed and presented by the head of FCC we all know that to allow ISP's to get paid for prioritization kills net neutrality and hinders competition.

562. Brian W Connell, Woodcliff Lake, NJ, 07677
Please keep the Net Neutral !!!!!!!

563. Jean bailly-Orlovsky, Wantage, NJ, 07461
Do not change the regulations on net neutrality. Maintain free and open internet under the title 2 communications act.

564. Shannon Prior, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
I support strong net neutrality laws. Please make sure you do not rollback these laws.

565. Bryan Lazzaro, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
I strongly back Net Neutrality supported by Title II oversight of ISPs. Net Neutrality is important to small businesses, the internet's free exchange of ideas, and continuing innovation by individuals and businesses alike.

566. yasmin natividad, Oradell, NJ, 07649
I support NET NEUTRALITY, Ajit Pai.

567. Tracey Pregon, Paramus, NJ, 07652
I specifically support strong Net Neutralities backed by the Title II oversight by ISP's. Preserve Title II.

568. Timothy M. Rodriguez, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
As a computer engineer I am well aware of any potential trade-offs there may
be via net neutrality, but am also very aware of the downsides without it. I do not believe we can have a fair and competitive internet economy without it. Please strengthen, and not weaken, support for net neutrality. It is an unfortunate misdirection to suggest this be best regulated elsewhere when we have working regulation right now that has had very little downside to US consumers and businesses.

569. Rocco Visconti, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
We must maintain the internet as Title II because while Title I may not be used to screw us all over today, it could be tomorrow...

570. Jay Govender, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
Ajit Pai should never have had the job that he is currently in. Do not touch the current net neutrality rules just so he can get a payday on his way out of the FCC from his cable company buddies.

571. Robert Morgan, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
Please preserve the current ruling on net neutrality and Title II status on ISPs. Any change of this policy I feel is detrimental to the citizens of the United States.

572. Carly Zinner, Wantage, NJ, 07461
Preserve strong net neutrality with Title II oversight of ISPs

573. Michael Dygos, West Milford, NJ, 07480
ISPs should remain under Title 2 oversight. I truly believe net neutrality is necessary for a fair and equal internet.

574. John Gallino, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
I strongly support maintaining ISP oversight under Title 2 and protecting net neutrality for the foreseeable future.

575. Miguel Pereira, Allendale, NJ, 07401
Keep net neutrality a thing. Keep IPS's under Title II. Don't fuck this up for the internet. I'm looking at you Ajit Pai, you biased idiotic son of a bitch.

576. Nitesh Menon, Closter, NJ, 07624
I believe that the threats posed by reclassification are far from abstract and hypothetical and that it's important to preserve a free and open internet.

577. Alexandros Stamatiades, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
I support Strong net Neutrality. Please do not remove what's there to protect the consumers.

578. Edwing Roncal, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
Please keep the net neutrality!!!!!!

579. Brian Peters, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
The internet MUST stay as a Title 2 entity. The only way to keep users such as myself and every user in the country from being able to get to each and every website EQUALLY is to have it remain Title 2. If not, the various ISPs can throttle the bandwidth to entities it does not do business with, stifling creativity and free speech. The FCC chairman looking to back this change is not acting in the public good.

580. Debbi Plitnick, River Edge, NJ, 07661
I specifically support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's

581. Edgar Barroso, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
I want a strong Title II net neutrality and would be overjoyed if you did not roll back the regulations.

582. David W. Greene, Newton, NJ, 07860
Please preserve net neutrality by keeping Title 2 alive and in force. Do not let the corporations control the privacy or lack thereof of billions of people around the world. The rich are already rich enough.

583. J, Oakland, NJ, 07436
Do not change the rules on net neutrality. Preserve equal access to online information. Preserve Title II. Thank you

Please keep the internet a neutral place. The internet will not be what it is without the restrictions you pose on the ISP companies. The world would be a much better place if you uphold your decision to keep the internet as Title II.

585. Alyson Gruber, montvale, NJ, 07645
I support strong net neutrality through Title II classification.

586. Margaret Drudy, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
Keep the internet neutral. Companies can't decide what I get to see

587. Continue Classisfication of ISPs as Utililities (Title II), Columbia, NJ, 07832
I believe that ISPs should continue to be regulated under title II of the communications act. The geographical monopolies held by ISPs leaves consumers with no recourse for sub par service.

588. evelyn noether, Haworth, NJ, 07641
I want to advise you that I believe and endorse net neutrality.
589. Carl Manuelian, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
   I specifically support strong net neutrality. Maintain Title II on the oversight of ISPs

590. Rich Mc Featers, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
   I support Net Neutrality under Titie 2

591. Lindsay Baretz, Lodi, NJ, 07644
   We need to preserve net neutrality and keep ISPs under title II

592. Kim Justice, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
   Protect Net Neutrality! I support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.

593. Jim Johnson, Washington, NJ, 07840
   Net Neutrality is one of the only things that is protecting our usage of the internet. Can we for two seconds stop protecting large companies and letting them do whatever they want? Thanks. Doing away with Title II is a mistake that will prevent growth of small websites and companies and quite honestly, is an utterly stupid idea.

594. Andrew Robert Maxwell, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
   As someone trying to get into the tech and software industry, I find it unbelievably appalling that you would even think about getting rid of regulations for Net Neutrality on ISP's. It is bad enough that you are now allowing ISP's to sell our internet history with ZERO REGULATION WHAT SO EVER!!! I have done my fair share of research, and I am disgusted that this is tolerated and even allowed by some people. I will be calling, emailing, faxing, and mailing my state representative to vote against repealing Title II in hopes that the ISP's haven't bribed politicians into voting for the repeal. I really hope that whoever is reading this is actually reading it rather than skipping it because of the John Oliver video.

595. Randy Gibbons, Franklin Lakes, NJ, 07417
   The internet is vital part of today's society. Giving ISPs the ability to limit consumers internet traffic hurts all online consumers. Therefore, I support strong protections of net neutrality backed by title II regulations.

596. R. Mekita, Hewitt, NJ, 07421
   Equal access to online information is once again under serious threat, by those only concerned with greed. Fair and free access for all services to same upload/download speeds for all websites and content is a basic requirement as a business and learning tool. Keep it as Title II.

597. Claudia Bogris, Jim Bogris, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
We must keep ISPs under Title 2 and retain strong net neutrality. This has become a lifeblood of our time, an essential service like access to electricity and water.

598. Anthony DeSalvatore, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
A free and open internet is a basic human right. A repeal of net neutrality would only put more money in the hands of large corporations while demonstrably worsening the daily life of average Americans.

599. Daniel Shprecher, Highland Lakes, NJ, 07422
Keep corporate greed from altering the landscape of the internet. Preserve the freedom of the internet by keeping ISPs under Chapter 2.

600. Craig Cicciari, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
I strongly support title 2 and the preservation of Net Neutrality. Please do not roll back the current safe guards!

601. Paul Lalka, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
The internet is for all and its use should not be limited or impaired by narrow business interests. The Federal government is the appropriate guarantor of fairness and the "cop" to monitor and stop unfair business practices impacting the consumer. Keep Title II oversight.

602. Ryan C., Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
Preserve net neutrality and keep it in title 2

603. Gail Lazaroff, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
Net neutrality must be preserved and title 2.

604. Ian Askins, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
Please do not remove any significant ISP regulations, and specifically please continue to regulate them under Title 2. Net Neutrality is ever-increasingly critical in the modern internet error, and having a fair and equitable accessing of the internet *cannot* be risked by attempting to enforce it at any level lower than Strict FCC Regulations.

605. Rose, Highland Lakes, NJ, 07422
You must keep net neutrality. This thing worked once because people told you not to do it. So why is it a thing now? KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!!!!!!!

Keep the internet on title II. Keep net neutrality.

607. Bonnie Slockett, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
Keep Internet neutrality. We have enough commercial greed...
608. Audrey Block-Shnall, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
   Seriously keep Net Neutrality. Make sure the ISPs cannot change internet speed
   according to their own whims.

609. Title II, Maywood, NJ, 07607
   Keep Title II. Please do not get rid of net neutrality. Do not ignore the will of
   the all people you serve

610. Ryan Vaarsi, Westwood, NJ, 07675
   To the FCC and Chairman Pai: please preserve Net Neutrality and keep Title II
   in place.

611. Daniel Krich, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
   I support Title II protecting net neutrality...we need it to protect small businesses
   and consumers.

612. Victoria, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
   Keep Internet free of business preference.

613. Laura Branna, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
   I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. This is
   because the internet should be free to access for all without business
   interference. When a person pays for water, they are allowed to use the water
   for whatever they want. The water supplier does not charge more if someone
   uses the water for a bath as opposed to a shower. All that matters is the amount
   of water used. The same logic applies to the internet. People are paying for
   internet access as a whole, not individual websites. Please keep net neutrality as
   it has been implemented by the Obama administration. Do what most internet
   users agree on, not bullying companies trying to make a profit.

614. Megan Check, Oakland, NJ, 07436
   Title II is the only way we can currently ensure net neutrality while your
   suggestions are unrealistic. Verizon executives have said Title II doesn't affect
   their investment, therefore there is NO REASON to stop protecting net
   neutrality. PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY

615. Vasilios Ikonomidis, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
   It is the prerogative of companies to make money in anyway they can. To
   expect companies to not abuse regulations or lack there of, with such a shallow
   competition pool, is a demonstration of incompetence. Would you kindly leave
   the internet as a "Title 2" in terms of the 1934 Communications Act, and doing
   so you prolong what little faith I have in humanity.

616. Tsun Tam, Closter, NJ, 07624
   Keep the Internet "Free"
617. John Curnyn, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
   I believe it would be a tragedy to in any way alter the freedom which we as consumers now posses on the internet. Any attempt to change or alter the regulations now in place by the FCC in my eyes would be blatantly favoring the profits of telecommunication companies at the expense of the consumer, and our society at large. These corporations have in every other aspect of their existence failed to provide adequate and helpful service to the consumer, to the point where it is laughable, and to put our societies trust in them to not pervert internet speeds for their own exorbitant profit would be detrimental to the freedom we now experience on the internet. I urge you to not allow the regulations in place to be altered or in anyway made more lax, as it will destroy the great public service which we all know as the internet.

618. Joseph J Biuso, Sandyston, NJ, 07826
   Preserve net neutrality and keep title II. Do not change the rules to distort the appearance giving the falsehood of preserving it when in fact that will destroy it.

619. Doug Fleming, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
   Net Neutrality rules are important.

620. Paul Simpson, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
   I am writing this to lend my voice to advocate continued title 2 oversight for ISPs to maintain true net neutrality.

621. Lee Anne Carluccio, HoHoKus, NJ, 07423
   I support Net Neutrality and Title 2. Please do not make any efforts that jeopardize the freedom and equality of the Internet.

622. Euralis Weekes, River Edge, NJ, 07661
   The internet should be open and unrestricted it's a utility, and should treated as such and not left to be abused by companies with their own self interest. Net neutrality is vital to today's society and for the future. Don't hamper it. it will all be for the better.

623. Maria Travis, Bogota, NJ, 07603
   Keep Title II Net Neutrality as it exists today!

624. Randall Blum, West Milford, NJ, 07480
   I am in favor of keepign the current Net neutrality rules in effect. I oppose ISP fuckery. Keep Title II

625. Brian Anderson, West Milford, NJ, 07480
   Net neutrality needs to be maintained where it is, under Title 2 oversight. We need stronger (not weaker) protections from ISPs to prevent them from favoring
one data stream over another.

626. Jason Fraenkel, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs! This is not 'Nam, there are rules!

627. Brigid Moskowitz, Midland Park, NJ, 07432
Don't be greedy! Preserve net neutrality!

628. Steven, Teaneck, NJ, 07666

629. Shamoun Murtza, River Edge, NJ, 07661
Net neutrality is essential for the economy and our freedoms.

630. Juan Rheeder, Branchville, NJ, 07826
Please keep the current Title II classification of the internet. We cannot trust corporations to act in consumers best interest, as they have proven by intentionally avoiding competing(leading to regional monopolies), intentionally throttling their own service (as shown by the sudden increase in performance and decrease in price when Google forced competition), and intentionally held a content provider hostage by throttling their service (which has been done to Netflix, as well as Riot Games) and only returning service once a ransom has been paid, so we have to make sure they are properly regulated. The ISP and cable companies shady business practices have already put the USA decades behind other first world countries as far as internet infrastructure and markets are concerned, let's not make it worse by deregulating them and giving them the freedom to abuse their monopolies even more than they already do.

631. Jeff Leon, River Edge, NJ, 07661
What is wrong with the FCC!? How can you not preserve net neutrality and Title 2? This is a basic US freedom. Do your job- protect net neutrality.

632. Daniel A. Levy, Esq., Teaneck, NJ, 07666
I am writing to comment on the current proposal to destroy net neutrality. I am shocked that something like this is even up for debate in a free and open society. This proposal is, frankly, outrageous and should never be implemented. Essentially, the new rules would allow the telecom companies to hold the internet for ransom. They can approach any of the major content providers and demand large sums of money, under threats of cutting that company's specific internet speeds. This kind of content-based discrimination is morally bankrupt and opens the door to allowing the telecom companies to hijack the internet. They would also be able to completely stifle competition. For example, new content providers likely would not be able to pay the higher prices for the fastest lane service, and the telecom companies would be obligated to give
current customers preferential treatment. Further, and despite the hollow arguments to the contrary, there is practically no competition in this market place. De facto, most markets are complete monopolies, and in some cases duopolies. So if, for example, Comcast wants to intentionally slow down Netflix service and demand a ransom, Netflix would have no ability to go elsewhere. Finally, the telecom companies would actually be allowed to refuse fast service for content that they personally do not agree with. Simply put, this proposal is simply an exercise of corruption; blatant corruption of the worst kind. The proposal does absolutely nothing to help any individual or non-telecom company, or further any legitimate public interest. Rather, it simply transfers a swath of power to a few private stakeholders, to be wielded as they like. Such corruption may be acceptable in the several oligarchies around the world, but should never be considered in the United States. I urge you to keep the internet 100% neutral and reject this proposal.

633. LEONARDO RODRIGUEZ, hillsdale, NJ, 07642
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2 DAMN IT

634. William Tieleman, Maywood, NJ, 07607
I feel very strongly that it is imperative to preserve net neutrality. The Internet is an absolutely vital public utility to all individuals worldwide, and its fair and equal access and use needs to be protected from corporate ascendancy and greed. There are absolutely no arguments for relaxing regulation and enforcement of net neutrality that are based in truth and fairmindedness. To do so would be a travesty and merely allow corporate interests to run roughshod over the greater public good. Please, preserve net neutrality. Thank you for your time and consideration.

635. vamshidhar srikantapur, mahwah, NJ, 07430
Please protect net neutrality. Don't let ISPs ruin the experience of an open internet.

636. Net Neutrality, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
The internet has become the marketplace of ideas of our time. To substantially weaken the protections of neutrality by regulating it under title 1 instead of title 2 is against important American values. Namely allowing fair access to markets for the small entrepreneurial businesses with better ideas. This is against free market economics and protects the interests of arthritic companies who are big enough to pay for unfair advantages to the ISPs. The benefits of a strong protection of net neutrality far outweigh any loss of revenue to the ISPs. Please protect net neutrality!

637. Paul Russo, Newton, NJ, 07860
ISP's cannot be trusted to voluntarily provide equal access. The lure of profit is
too great. Net neutrality must be preserved. Keep Title II

638. net neutrality, Vernon, NJ, 07462
   Bring back net neutrality you selfish greedy cunts

639. Derek Giorgio, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
   Reserve network neutrality and Title 2. It's common sense. God bless America.

640. Laura Slavin, Vernon, NJ, 07462
   I do not support the removal of ISPs from title 2. I support strong net neutrality and strong oversight of ISPs.

641. Steve, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
   Title II needs to remain in order to provide the net neutrality protection we need and deserve. Verizon stated to its shareholders publicly that Title II would not adversely impact its investment into infrastructure, thus negating FCC Chairman Pai's contention that it would limit infrastructure investment.

642. Shilpa Mehta, Glenrock, NJ, 07452
   I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.

   I am strongly in favor of strong Net Neutrality and a fair and regulated internet.

644. Jeff Olson, River Edge, NJ, 07661
   I am wholly in favor of keeping Title II and preserving net neutrality. Without this protection, consumers have no recourse when ISPs eventually abuse the system. Promises from ISPs mean nothing when only 1 or 2 broadband options exist in most areas. The internet has become a vital component in everyone's lives, and it must remain free and open from corporate meddling for the sake of all citizens.

645. Peter Barsamian, Allendale, NJ, 07401
   I support net neutrality, specifically by title 2 oversight of ISP's

646. restoring internet freedom, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
   I support strong Net Neutrality and continued support from title II. I find that my time spent on the internet is very precious to me and I know that, when given the option, many people will put aside their values for money if they can. The reclassification of Net Neutrality could put not only what already exists in jeopardy but the future companies and entrepreneur who may not even have their start yet.

647. David Andriani, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 07458
   I do not support any changes to existing net neutrality rules. I believe the
internet should remain regulated under title two and anything else would run amok of net neutrality and its important role in protecting consumers.

648. Jared Sapin, River Edge, NJ, 07661
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This is a very important issue. We can't have ISPs favoring one site over another. All information should be equally accessible.

649. Erick Ledeza, Lodi, NJ, 07644
My family and I support net neutrality and keeping ISP on title II.

650. Cristian Novac, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs. Internet freedom should be one of the most important freedom's in today's age. Our rules and regulations are too slow to catch up and properly adapt to fast developing technologies. This one is more important than any other advancement and is long overdue. Please don't let "trust" be the only separations between our privacy and corporations because there are long records of them breaking our trust and acting against only in their own favor. LWT

651. Jennifer, New Milford, NJ, 07646
We need strong Net Neutrality regulations. Keep Title II classification to keep strong oversight on the Internet Service Providers. Do not undermine our current Net Neutrality laws!!!! ISP must stay under Title II and be kept under vigilant oversight.

652. Guido Stracke, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Title 2 needs to be retained to ensure Net Neutrality

653. Jonathan DeFilippis, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
I'd like to keep my internet unrestricted by big business under the Net Neutrality Act thanks.

654. doris conk, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
I strongly support net neutrality and title two. I do not want this to be changed from it's current form.

655. Paul Walter, Andover, NJ, 07821
The internet is no longer a commodity. It is a vital utility and information source used by every single human being in the United States and must be treated as such.

656. Margaret, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Internet neutrality is freedom of information. A ISP is should not be allowed to restrict/censor my use of the internet.
657. Ann Toussaint Dailey, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
I urge you to maintain the current net neutrality rules. ISP's should have no say in the choices people make on line, whether in speed or site accessibility, regardless of how much money a particular sponsor is willing to pay them to limit or eliminate those choices. Please keep the internet open.

658. Damian Silbergleith Cunniff, Harrington Park, NJ, 07640
I have been a software engineer for 20 years. I have a degree in computer science and an MBA. The internet is a fundamental evolution in humanity. Net neutrality is integral to the proper functioning of the internet. Preserve freedom of speech and communication. Empower the voice of the small. Protect net neutrality.

659. Evelyn Cook-Kelty, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Esteemed members of the Federal Communications Commission, I am writing in support of the current title 2 oversight of internet service providers. All content and users should be treated equally. I believe internet service is a utility and should be regulated very carefully and precisely for the good of all citizens. Please DO NOT change the rules of internet service providers in any way.

660. Anthony Jenkins, Maywood, NJ, 07607
I STRONGLY SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2. PRESERVE TITLE 2!!!!!!

661. Jonny Way, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
FCC stand up for America! Ajit Pai is the terrible person responsible for this change as well as selling your browser history. Get him out of office NOW!

662. Anderson Ozello, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
The FCC needs to keep regulating Net Neutrality not only for the future of the internet, but the future of content as well. Keeping the internet free is necessary to avoid censorship in the future.

663. Lawrence Kelty, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Do not take away net neutrality. Small companies need to have the same level playing field as large companies that can afford to pay money to an ISP. That ISP is already being paid by me. I have limited choices in my ISP, so let them compete as they have done, by providing quick internet streaming for all products, not just certain products.

664. Karen Shaible, West Milford, NJ, 07480
It is very important that we keep Net Neutrality and Title II oversights for ISPs to ensure that we retain proper protections on the Internet. Please see to it. We are counting on you.
665. Jack Poole, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
I support strong net neutrality covered by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

666. Andrew Spoelstra, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
Please don't change the Net Neutrality Rules at all. We need it to be classified under Title 2 for them to be effective.

667. Sean Glickman, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
Why do the telecom companies need more money?

668. Sean Strouth, Closter, NJ, 07624
Please maintain this as title 2. Thanks.

669. Brian Magrogan, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
I support net neutrality and ensuring ISP's remain in the Title II category. A free and open internet is essential to our society and to our economy. ISP's shouldn't have the right to control access to online services because it is in their better interest. Of course the ISP wants you to buy their premium on demand content and get it from them to make more money. If moved back under Title I what would stop them from creating internet "fast lanes" and forcing services like Netflix, VUDU, Disney Movies Anywhere, etc to pay a premium to be able to deliver content to the people that are already paying for their service and paying the ISP to provide access to the internet. Under Title I the ISP could structure their setup to get money from both sides and if a streaming provider or online service didn't want to pay for it, then the ISP could slow them down and make for a bad user experience so the ISP could push their own content instead. What would happen to the next startup, that is trying to offer a streaming service, couldn't afford to pay "fast lane" fees to ISP's? They wouldn't be able to even launch, which would kills jobs, kill innovation and allow Keep ISP's classified as Title II and help protect the internet!

670. James barlow, Montague, NJ, 07827
This hurts innovation and small business.

671. Doug Schramm, Oxford, NJ, 07863
I am NOT in any way for this!!!

672. Rachel Arnt-Schemmel, RIDGEWOOD, NJ, 07450
Protect net neutrality! Don't deregulate!

673. John G Dulak, West Milford, NJ, 07480
I strongly encourage keeping a free and open internet with strong net neutrality rules.

674. Kathleen F. Whartenby, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
I support strong Net Neutrality. Leave Title II alone.

675. Adam Fernandez, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. There's absolutely NO reason to kill net neutrality.

676. Mikowski, Great Meadows, NJ, 07838
FCC should preserve net neutrality & Title II. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Trump, who gave evidence that he does not understand the issue, appointed Ajit Pai who is either biased not acting in the public good or just plain too ignorant and thus should be removed.

677. Vera, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
We must preserve net neutrality and Title II. The FCC must stand up to ensure that the Internet remains a level playing field for all Internet users,. Much of our lives are now conducted online; including paying credit and phone bills, finding career opportunities, or getting an education digitally. The FCC must ensure that internet providers do not become gatekeepers that limit access to a world that is now practically inseparable from our real lives.

678. Michael Hausch, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
I strongly support Net Neutrality Under Title 2.

679. Carole A. Lager, Closter, NJ, 07624
I would urge you to keep internet providers regulated under Title 2.

680. John Ott, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
I support net neutrality and under no circumstances should my internet service connection be negatively impacted. Thank you

681. Joe Giorgio, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
I believe that net neutrality is essential to keeping the internet free and fair for all. Most ISPs have shown they put profits ahead of doing what is right and fair. We cannot allow them to police themselves.

682. Fiona Wynne, Sussex, NJ, 07461
HEY the internet is a resource that should be available freely and without limits to everybody.

683. andy hirschfeld, Glen rock, NJ, 07452
I strongly support net neutrality via title II

684. Alex, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
Free open source internet
685. Bruce Taubner, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
   Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2

   Keep the ISPs regulated under Title 2. Preserve Net Neutrality for all users and
   content providers large and small. Trusting ISPs to regulate themselves is just
   plain ignorant and dangerous.

687. Brian Adriance, Bogota, NJ, 07603
   I am strongly in favor of net neutrality backed by Title II regulations.

688. Net Neutrality, West Milford, NJ, 07480
   Net Neutrality is integral to a free democracy. Please remember that
   government of the people, by the people, for the people, shall not perish from
   this earth. Thank you.

689. Michael Adriance, Bogota, NJ, 07603
   I am very strongly in favor of Net Neutrality backed by Title II.

690. Lindsey de Stefan, Park Ridge, NJ, 07656
   Internet service providers have stated that they have been largely unaffected by
   net neutrality. They have not experienced a detriment. But eliminating it in the
   name of so-called "internet freedom" would give them a strong incentive to
   screw over regular, hardworking people. This is America. This is supposed to
   be about American people and the American dream--at least as far as you lovely
   Republicans try to tell us. Yet all that's been done--here and in every other
   context--is attempts at legislation and administrative regulations that will help
   big businesses. American people don't want this and certainly don't need it.
   Internet service providers and other big businesses suckling at the Trump
   administration's tit will still make millions of dollars in profits. You have no
   right or reason to screw over ordinary people. CUT THE CRAP

691. Gregory Brown, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
   I support Net Neutrality as it currently is and keeping the ISP classified in
   Article II. No changes are needed to this. Thank you.

692. Robyn Brown, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
   Preserve net neutrality. Keep the ISPs under title 2.

693. Ashley Sularz, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
   Preserve net neutrality & Title II compliance. This regulation is essential to the
   benefit of the consumer. Open communication for the public, not corporations,
   is who the FCC works for.

694. melkssa hosych, Dumont, NJ, 07620
Support net neutrality supported by title 2.

695. Zach Bogusz, Allendale, NJ, 07401
Net neutrality is critical to daily life in the United States and potentially opening this up to the highest bidder jeopardizes the way our culture functions. This will also lead to mergers and acquisitions which might also reduce the investment to infrastructure as a whole. Please consider this when deciding what's right for everyone in the country.

696. Jay Thienel, Sussex, NJ, 07461
Please don't remove ISPs from title 2 requirements. The internet is supposed to be free and open, not regulated by the ISP. The ISPs are the providers of the pipe to the internet, they do not need to be making decisions on how fast or slow data flows from different content providers. I do not see ISPs reducing infrastructure investment based on being regulated under title 2. Thanks, Jay Thienel

697. Jason Banzaca, Northvale, NJ, 07647
I do not trust these corporations to act in favor of our best interests. If given the opportunity by weakening the net neutrality rules they will throw us under the bus to make an extra nickel. Oversight of ISP's need to remain under Title 2 to ensure strong net neutrality continues.

698. elias lpez, Paramus, NJ, 07652
I strongly support net neutrality using title II framework.

699. Doug Gardner, Closter, NJ, 07624
We absolutely must keep the internet neutral. Do not allow corporations to dictate how it's run.

700. Christina, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
WE NEED NET NEUTRALITY PROTECTIONS. We need title 2 oversight of ISPs.

701. Olga Galanter, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem today, that's why the FCC's Open Internet Rules (Net Neutrality Rules) are very important to us. We urge you to protect them! Thank you!

702. John Smith, Montvale, NJ, 07645
Please preserve the net neutrality rules under Title II, they are crucial to ensuring ISPs provide all consumers with access to a fair and open internet. Relying on ISPs to maintain an open internet voluntarily is not something that should be left to the whim of a corporation.
Justin Stokes, Harrington Park, NJ, 07640
Strong protections are necessary to maintain an open internet and enable fair competition. The current classification, under Title II, must remain in place to prevent anti-competitive practices.

Michael McDermott, River Edge, NJ, 07661
Net neutrality is not a joke (like your stupid mug), we know the truth. Stop the deregulation, and keep ISPs in Title II. Though I don't trust a former Verizon lawyer to follow any of this -- just like all of Trump's administration, you SUCK. Enjoy what time you have, before he gets impeached.

Mark Shapiro, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
It is extremely important to keep the Net Neutrality protected under Title II to allow equal opportunity for competition and innovation in the US.

Karl Geisel, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
Preserve Net Neutrality and Article 2. If not, companies can block political content and news content.

Heather Bollwark, West Milford, NJ, 07480
Please do not overturn Title II of The Communications Act of 1934. I absolutely believe that there should be general conduct standards for ISPs providers. This is the only way to keep the internet truly free!

Kristen Pruiksma, Waldwick, NJ, 07463
I support strong net neutrality laws through title 2

James Pupalaikis, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
I support the oversight of internet service providers under title 2 restrictions in order to preserve net neutrality.

net neutrality, Lincoln Park, NJ, 07430
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and I do not want any changes made to ISP oversight. This will enable corporate stifling of free speech online. Also, Chairman Pai's mug is dumb, obnoxious, and smug.

Danielle Piacentile, Woodcliff Lake, NJ, 07677
I'm writing to ask that the FCC work to maintain and preserve net neutrality and Title 2. I worry due to our current political climate that net neutrality is greatly at risk, and we must make this a priority. Thank you!

Julia Lichty, Blairstown, NJ, 07825
Net neutrality is crucial for the United States to progress, or at least not backslide, as a nation. We cannot let corporations chop up the internet to suit their own purposes. Having unfettered internet access is no longer an option - it
is a requirement for participation in our modern world. Having proper, strong regulations on ISPs is absolutely necessary. Net neutrality is in the best interest of the public, and should remain our standard.

713. S Hottenrott, Lodi, NJ, 07644
with all due respect, the FCC is charged to protect the consumer, not corporate interests. Orwellian double speak does not make the FCC chairman's position, as a former Verizon lawyer, any better. The ISPs have been fighting to remove regulation and allow them to push their own content over other for cons. Why else would this fight come up again and again? the public has spoken again and again, don't drown out those masses to whom you are entrusted to serve over those who paid the bills of others to put them in power for the corporate interests.

714. Eduard Poinescu, Fredon, NJ, 07860
Please maintain a level playing field for everyone using this fundamental societal resource and don't create a pay-to-play environment or a "bandwidth gap" like our income gap. Have some decency.

715. Barbara Bartro, Wantage, NJ, 07461
Please keep the internet free for all and allow everyone the same access. Thank you.

716. Dr. Richard B. Weisenfeld, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
I strongly support continued net neutrality by keeping ISPs regulated under Title 2. Thank you.

717. net neutral, teaneck, NJ, 07666
Please keep the net neutral under title 2. It gives consumers more choice and an opportunity for all business regardless of sizes compete and not just the large ones. Isn't that america is all about equal access to opportunities. Once again how greed large corporations are. They are want opportunities for them and us with they're too big to fail. The Government gives them corporate welfare, a bailout and we not to suppose to question them!!

718. Henry Ickes, Newton, NJ, 07860
In case there's any possibility for misunderstanding, I'll make this as clear as I can - do *NOT* change the rules on Internet "Net Neutrality". Internet Service Providers (ISPs) should be dealt with under Title II (two), rather than Title I. There must be *no* interference with data streaming speeds to or from the internet based on the will of a carrier. If you truly believe in a "free market", you must agree.

719. Mark Braff, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
You MUST maintain net neutrality! Otherwise, there is no question that the ISPs
will abuse the system once they feel the strong need to boost their bottom lines by charging content providers for premium speed into homes.

720. Bob Kepple, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
Please support the interests of citizens rather than the financial interests of internet service providers by preserving net neutrality.

721. Melissa Borza, River Edge, NJ, 07661
Keep the Internet free and accessible to all.

722. Andrea Schettino, Dumont, NJ, 07628
Ajit Pai makes a false argument in order to gut net neutrality, he cloaks his reasoning in the very principles that American citizens demand, but in reality his approach strips protection from both consumers and small entrepreneurs who rely on a truly open internet to fairly access everything from critical business building tools, to research, to entertainment. In Pai's estimation, we are supposed to believe that internet providers will act in the interest of the people, yet time and again, the corporate structure FAILS and succumbs to poor planning, greed, activist investor agitation, and all manner of corporate malfeasance (Enron? Wells Fargo? Countrywide? AIG? The list goes on and on). The American people know that the internet is a UTILITY, and consumer protections are essential, and that the private sector is NOT up to the task. In 2008 this country suffered the largest set back of our lifetimes, brought to us all by officials who favor a lack of oversight and harbor a disdain for regulations. Now as citizens continue to crawl back from those crushing losses, this administration seeks to WEaken protections not only for citizens relying on internet access to create new business opportunities, but for everyone going about their daily lives which are now so intertwined with internet access. Internet access is a UTILITY, plain and simple. Ajit Pai's slick argument is a wolf in sheep's clothing and benefits no one but wealthy power brokers greedy for more control of what should be the people's choice. Ajit Pai works for the people, yet seeks to disregard what is clearly and forcefully the people's choice. "Sad".

723. Moshe Schuldinger, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
The FCC is attempting, without any evidence of actual economic damage to supporters of its proposed action (Verizon and company ), to reverse a 3-year old decision that has protected consumers from being gauged by the monopolistic practices of internet services providers. By the way, we have not seen Ajit Pai, a former Verizon employee, recuse himself from participating in the proposed adoption of the falsely described "freedom" filing.

724. Jose DaCosta, River Vale, NJ, 07675
The current net neutrality rules must be preserved at all costs. The rules are vital
to preserve equal and fair access for the delivery of all content regardless of source. The rules are extremely important to me and I urge you to preserve them!

725. swarup acharya, Summit, NJ, 07601
    Dear FCC, I strongly support preserving net neutrality and maintaining the current legal framework under Title II. Please add my voice to keeping the status quo. Thanks, Swarup

726. Lisa Hoffman, Westwood, NJ, 07675
    Please do not reverse net neutrality. Please protect consumers!

727. Daniel Zeevi, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
    I urge the FCC to end the current regulatory overreach and maintain the existing classification of the Internet Service Providers under Title II. This is the only true and honest way to maintain net neutrality.

728. Carolee Gravina, Allendale, NJ, 07401
    I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Taking away Net Neutrality is like allowing stores to block the driveways of competing stores. That impedes my freedom of market choice!

729. Brooke Poat, West Milford, NJ, 07480
    It is important that the internet remain free from the control of internet providers to interfere with the content that its users are able to consume. Net neutrality is beneficial to the overwhelming majority of Americans, the only people who would benefit from the removal of net neutrality are the CEOs of internet providers.

730. Joanne Misha, Montvale, NJ, 07645
    Please do not end net neutrality and Title II. Thank you

731. Rich Baudisch, Montvale, NJ, 07645
    Net Neutrality should not be implemented until each household has at least two broadband ISPs to choose from. We do not have enough competition to ensure that each ISP will "police" themselves in providing the best possible service to each paying consumer.

732. Peter Brooks, Bogota, NJ, 07603
    I am in favor of strong net neutrality backed by title two over site of isp's.

733. Rich Baudisch, Montvale, NJ, 07645
    REPEAL OF Net Neutrality should not be implemented until each household has at least two broadband ISPs to choose from. We do not have enough competition to ensure that each ISP will "police" themselves in providing the
best possible service to each paying consumer.

Charles Little, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
Preserve Net neutrality and title II protections. The FCC should work for the people not big business.

Dalton Ackerman, Columbia, NJ, 07832
The internet is the great equalizer. It gives the world an equal access to the same information and the same opportunities. It should remain free and open. I strongly support net neutrality and its identity as a Title 2 entity

Maria Vino, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
To Whom It May Concern, I am writing to notify you that I am concerned about the changes to Net Neutrality laws. Please note I am against changing them. Everyone should have the right to access the internet on a level playing field. I know there has been talk about changing laws, not changing Net Neutrality. But we both know that is not the case. The laws currently protect neutrality regulations. If the laws were more lenient, service providers would be able to take advantage of these conditions and promote content advantageous to their positioning. Once again, I urge you to keep Net Neutrality regulations in place. Thank you, Maria

Amir Bednarsh, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
Please keep the net neutrality rules. There is no downside. This is a prime example of the govt protecting consumers. This is your job. Please do it.

Jorge Lucas, River Vale, NJ, 07675
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Please listen to the people and maintain net neutrality!

Beth Anne Payne, Blairstown, NJ, 07825
I strongly support Net Neutrality & Title II oversite

seshu tripuraneni, Montvale, NJ, 07645
I support title 2 enforcement and net neutrality. Please keep the Status quo, it has worked very well until now. Removing them will only allow the isp's to pick favourites.

susan cocuzza, Emerson, NJ, 07630
Preserve net neutrality under Title II. We need this regulation in place!

Richard, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
Keeping net neutrality is an extension on the freedom of speech. Please don't remove this opportunity for all people to have equal access to information at the same speeds. Corporations involved in this is purely caring for profit greed and
never thinking about the access that the average person has to knowledge at their fingertips. Changing the rules only show that corporations care for the wealthy and neglect the poverished areas from gaining the same access needed to one day propel the economy.

743. Lorraine Gold, Blairstown, NJ, 07825
Net neutrality is essential for democracy, the free expression of multiple ideas, the furtherance of education, and the furtherance of liberty and equality. Any law or regulation or economic scheme that hinders an open, fair, equal, and accessible internet and doesn't promote equal access to all with regards to the speed of transmission, does not further democracy and a free and equal society. Net neutrality must be maintained now especially when our society is threatened by growing economic inequality and the mega-mergers and dominance of large corporations. The FCC members must be patriots now. I truly believe freedom and the American dream are at stake.

744. suzanne choi, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
Preserve net neutrality under title II!!

745. katherine pacheco, hackensack, NJ, 07601
I publicly support net neutrality and I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

746. Tammy Valentine, Maywood, NJ, 07607
It makes me a citizen of the world

747. Richard Askins, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
I rely on an open Internet for my job. I am a health writer and use the Internet every single day to do research for my work. Net neutrality just makes sense.

748. R Fine, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
The internet is critical to my work as a volunteer working on projects for older adults such as fraud/scam prevention education. In addition to research for that topic, I also take classes which are delivered via the internet. It's availability is important to me and more importantly, to people who can no longer leave their homes or drive. Thank you for keeping the internet open for all. GretchenBatra

749. Elvera Coakley, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Quite simply, it parallels the ideals of freedom and self-determination stated in a civilized nation, USA included last time I checked. Without different price ranges or fees.

750. Thomas Beatini, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
The Internet was created by the people, for the people. It represents the best of humanity--an open opportunity to learn and communicate and grow as
individuals and as communities. Why should big business now be allowed to profit from this institution in a way that limits citizens' access, thereby limiting learning, communication and growth? The Internet does not belong to Verizon or any other company, but killing Net Neutrality will give them the control of an owner. The People should remain the owners of the Internet. Please protect this precious resource.

751. Susan Nierenberg, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
The internet is a tool everyone can and should have access to. No tiered-speed lanes, no blocked access. Internet for all.

752. Sophia, Glenwood, NJ, 07418
It enables me to share in the free speech guaranteed by The Constitution!

753. Daryl Boylan, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
The internet is all of ours, not just the ISPs. Don't kill the golden goose, do your damn jobs

754. Leonidas Ioannidis, Washington Township, NJ, 07676
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Anything less than this would be an immense detriment to our society and access to information.

755. sean quigley, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
I am in strong favor of title 2 and the strict upholding of net neutrality. Please preserve these.

756. Cathy Vesota, Newton, NJ, 07860
Please keep the internet open and free. It is the only way we have a voice in the American oligarchy.

757. Henry Lancendorfer, Washington, NJ, 07882
If we give providers the power to control the speed and quick access to the information on the internet they will have the power to sway public opinion in their favor by promoting the information that they want out there. The same way that fake news may or may not have had an influence on our presidential election. We should not let our providers that we pay say what they would like us to see or not

758. Jonathan, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
Keep Net neutrality safe and protected. Keep out classified under Title 2, for the love of all that you hold dear.

759. Jonathan, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
Keep Net neutrality safe and protected. Keep classified under Title 2, for the love of all that you hold dear.
760. Lorene Lavora, Port Murray, NJ, 07865
ISPs are no longer isolated providers of Internet connectivity and it should be completely obvious that allowing them to assign QoS will not only give them carte blanche to make their own content more attractive, but it will also serve as a mechanism to further upcharge customers for bandwidth that is the norm in other countries. Rather than giving these massive corporate entities further license to price gouge Americans, you should be working to bring down price and increase access. SHAME ON YOU FOR EVEN THINKING ABOUT DOING THIS.

761. Kerridyn Trusheim, Wantage, NJ, 07461
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. It is vitally important to our nation. The fact that the FCC is even considering rolling back this regulation is a shameful show of partisan politics and clearly favors big business.

762. teresa sooley e, Emerson, NJ, 07630
Leave out internet alone.

763. Lauren Galliford, Dumont, NJ, 07628
The FCC should preserve net neutrality rules and the Title II authority used to enforce them

Please make certain to preserve strong net neutrality laws under title 2. Anything less would prove the FCC to be nothing more than a corrupt arm of the telecommunications industry.

765. Adam del Corral, River Edge, NJ, 07661
I support strong net neutrality rules via title 2 designation.

766. Christine Rybka, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
Equal access should be for all. Please preserve Net Neutrality so every citizen will experience the internet in a fair & equal way. These media companies already have too much power & monopolize our access in other ways. Don't give them this power too.

767. Michael Scherl, Westwood, NJ, 07675
You must preserve net neutrality on behalf of all American citizens! Keep the ISPs under Title 2. It is time to look after citizens and not corporate america.

768. noreen riotto, Demarest, NJ, 07627
Please support title II.

769. Lisa Sandilands, Fair lawn, NJ, 07410
I believe in strong net neutrality. Please consider the right to access information
equally.

770. Boris Shvartsman, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
Preserve net neutrality and title II (2)!!!!!!!!!!!!

771. David Glazer, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
I support strong net neutrality rules under Title II protections. Please do not change the rules as they currently stand.

772. jason zemlicka, Upper saddle river, NJ, 07458
Dear FCC- I strongly support a free and open internet with ISPs classified under TITLE II. I believe rolling back Obama-era rules will only harm innovation, growth and American companies. Thank you.

773. Ward Billeisen, Stockholm, NJ, 07460
Net neutrality must remain open for all fairness of right. Don't play into the monopoly.

774. William Seth Danner, Stockholm, NJ, 07460
Net Neutrality must remain in place, barring corporate service providers from capitalizing on the peoples' private browsing and altering our wireless speed to compete with other service providers. It is a right of the people.

775. Louis Tobin, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
I explicitly support strong Net Neutrality regulations by classifying all ISPs under Title 2

776. Sandy Batres, Rochelle Park, NJ, 07662
As an American citizen who works in tech industry, it important that title 2 is preserved. It's needed daily for work and education. Equal and fair access to the internet should be a right for the American people. This will also aid us in being competitive in a world market.

777. Melissa McGrath, Franklin Lakes, NJ, 07417
I am in favor of regulations that preserve net neutrality and in favor keeping Title 2 in its entirety.

778. Paul Bartkus, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
I support continued strong net neutrality with continued oversight of ISPs via Title II.

779. Carl Knoos, River Edge, NJ, 07661
Preserve Net Neutrality under Title II! (Also don't appoint Verizon lawyers to head the FCC, thanks!)
780. Keith Mezzina, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
I strongly support Net Neutrality with Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The internet was designed to be, and is at it's best, as an unrestricted source.

781. D Iannone, Paramus, NJ, 07652
I want to voice my support for the current net neutrality regulations passed in 2015. Internet service providers must be required to treat all legal content equally!!

782. Amanda Aulicino, Newton, NJ, 07860
I implore you to preserve net neutrality and Title 2. The American people deserve to be free from the unwelcome control of business interests.

783. Theresa StaMaria, Demarest, NJ, 07627
I specifically support strong net neutrality!! Which is Title II oversight of internet service providers. I believe so strongly in this.

784. 1, Elmwood Park, NJ, 07628
Dear FCC, your current agenda to rollback current protections of free and open internet is a threat to the values that the open internet represents. It is vital that you do not sway in the favor ISP and instead stand behind the American people.

785. Daria Minerva-Clements, Newton, NJ, 07860
It is essential to preserve our civil liberties and protect Net Neutrality. It is your responsibility to protect our liberties, and preserving net neutrality is essential to our democracy.

786. regina paris, paramus, NJ, 07652
preserve net neutrality with title ii!!!!

787. Nick Bratka, washington twp, NJ, 07676
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. Don't screw this up.

788. Restoring Internet Freedom, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
Protect net neutrality under Title II. How is it restoring internet freedom if the internet is turned over to big business to determine who can have access, and at what price? The Trump Administration is only interested in allowing companies like Verizon, AT&T an Comcast to profitize services like the internet, taking access away from the public.

789. Edward Ascolese, Great Meadows, NJ, 07838
I would like the FCC to honor the Net Neutrality agreement in title II

790. GURMEET KHALSA, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
I support the Net neutrality guidelines - do not give the ISP's the ability to
control the internet to my house !!!!!

791. cynthia shevelew, Oradell, NJ, 07649
Allowing internet providers the ability to upcharge for more visibility or determine what consumers can easily access through their internet service does not benefit the consumer. Furthermore, allowing the sale of browsing history to the highest bidder is an invasion of privacy. Search engines like Google provide the ability to control privacy settings and should be required for all internet entities.

792. Daniel Wilson, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs

793. Stephanie Sapuppo, Woodcliff Lake, NJ, 07677
Do not allow Trump to kill net neutrality.

794. Ken Reder, Hamburg, NJ, 07419
i support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's Every internet group needs to come together like 3 years prior

795. Michael Dunne, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
Hello - I support strong internet neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. Thank you.

796. Robert Beutel, Westwood, NJ, 07675
I believe net neutrality should stay in place as it is to protect our rights as consumers and guarantee we receive unobstructed online services from the providers we choose. I thank you for your time.

797. Mitt Williams, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
First off the title "Restoring Internet Freedom" is misleading because it does the exact opposite. If this passes it will give the telecom companies to much power, NOT to mention that they already have a monopoly.....only one service provider in an area. Do not let this pass it by no means is a good thing. The current FCC chairman was a lawyer for Verizon not sure how he got hired with that bias. This is ridiculous that this is even being considered, HOW ABOUT INSTEAD OF GIVING THEM MORE POWER WE MAKE THEM DO THEIR JOB. By the end of 2014, America will have been charged about $400 billion by the local phone incumbents, Verizon, AT&T and CenturyLink, for a fiber optic future that never showed up. How about we get what we paid for? Have you ever gone to McDonald's and bought a burger, then had the cashier unwrap it and eat it in front of you.....because that's exactly what happened here.

798. Restoring Internet Freedom, Hewitt, NJ, 07421
Net Neutrality is essential to promote and maintain a free and unbiased internet.
Without such, ISP's and companies can work with or against one another to slow down specific sites and services of their competitors in specific areas to promote their own agendas, among many other things. Do not allow the Trump administration and Ajit Pai to promote their own twisted agendas and possibly permanently damage Net Neutrality and damage our rights further by pandering to their corporate allies and beneficiaries.

799. James M. Fraleigh, Westwood, NJ, 07675
I write to inform the FCC that I do NOT support changes to net neutrality rules. Changing the rules that protect Internet freedom would affect me as a small business owner, form a tiered system that would disadvantage those unable to pay for the premium access that telecommunications companies would establish without net neutrality protections, and stifle innovation that can arise from all across America, not just in Silicon Valley but from rural, minority, immigrant, and women designers of Internet and computer software, apps, art, and games. Changing or eliminating net neutrality would also burden the disabled, who are more likely to have lower mean incomes and who may rely on affordable access to the entire Internet to enjoy the same communications, employment, and entertainment options as able-bodied Americans. I strongly urge the Commission to resist corporate pressure to make Internet access a privileged commodity and instead to protect and preserve net neutrality for all Americans.

800. Gregory Wieting, Emerson, NJ, 07630
Protect Net Neutrality. We are against any weakening of the current law that will only reduce access to the internet and allow providers to limit or deny access to content that they cannot monetize. The need for democracy to have unrestricted access to any and all information is paramount.

801. Ryan Felice, Oradell, NJ, 07649
A free and equal Internet has been foundational in the economic success of many American individuals and businesses in the 21st century. Content discrimination and throttling (ie Paragraph 82) hurt both entrepreneurs and ordinary families. Any effort by the FCC to deregulate these practices favors only enormous corporations. Keep the Obama era regulations- EQUAL broadband access is a right.

802. Debbi Plitnick, River Edge, NJ, 07661
Please keep the internet neutral! It is unfair to have a fast lane and for any company to be able to control speed and content to subscribers/users! Please keep net neutrality!

803. B Rogovin, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
Classification under Title II should stay in place. The Internet should treat all data on the Internet the same, not discriminating or charging differentially by
user, content, website, platform, application, type of attached equipment, or mode of communication. I believe the benefits and protections under the Title II classification far outweigh what would result from taking it away. Poorer people and smaller companies should not be penalized because they can't pay for priority. The internet is a communication channel that all need in their daily lives. The only freedom being restored by this proposal as far as I'm concerned is the freedom to exclude, exploit and crush the competition.

804. Loretta Carrasco, Lafayette, NJ, 07848
Please don't vote for this. I don't believe that you should vote for something that only the ISP's benefit from.

805. nima tabanfar, paramus, NJ, 07652
Please protect my right to Net Neutrality so internet providers can not take advantage of my right to open internet. I am counting on the fcc to protect my rights instead of the rights of large internet providers. Please stop the "internet freedom act".

806. Harvey Schultz, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 07458
I am opposed to proposed changes of this proceeding; especially those concerning allowing ISPs to control bandwidth.

807. Jaime Quinones, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
ending net neutrality is a terrible idea. I'm not sure how FCC can't see how this will affect the consumer. I guess the ISP"s with their fake bots got the best of us and are going to be very successful $$$$$$$$$$$$

808. Bryan Bronstein, Franklin, NJ, 07416
While regulation sounds like a scary and altogether bad thing, Net Neutrality creates more freedom and a better internet for everyone. In a world without Net Neutrality, ISPs will be able to prioritize sites that pay more or that are directly owned by them. They will be able to block access to any apps and sites they want, for any reason, and can also adjust loading speeds however they wish, making people pay more for the same speed internet they were always getting. Net Neutrality is the real way to keep internet freedom

809. Albert Brown, New Milford, NJ, 07646
Network Neutrality is NON-NEGOTIABLE. Internet Service Providers MUST NOT be allowed to prioritize or block traffic. The people shall not be ignored.

810. Sherief Raouf, Franklin Lakes, NJ, 07417
In the strongest possible terms I would like to urge the failure of the Restoring Internet Freedom act, as I believe it undermines the status of the internet as a free and democratic medium. I would in particular like to emphasize that it is fundamentally critical that internet-service providers (ISPs) be mandated to treat
all sorts of web traffic equally. I am further in favor of internet access being reclassified as a telecommunications service to be under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. If ISPs are merely required commit to net neutrality in their terms of service, this is a far weaker form of regulation of their behavior than we currently have. Thank you for your time,

811. Andrew Spoelstra, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
If you continue with this, and put net neutrality rules under title 1, Verizon, Comcast, or another ISP monopoly will sue the FCC like Verizon did in 2013, and the Net Neutrality rules will be found unlawful under Title 1. Then, the internet will be open to mass censorship and exploitation by the monopolies. The citizen needs to be put first, not the corporations who are lining the pockets of DC.

812. Mario J Romero, New Milford, NJ, 07646
We need net neutrality to remain. Especially now as we are more and more interconnected to people and media consumption through it. Leave it as a title 2. Stop trying to repeal it every couple of years.

813. Joseph Freuler, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
I fully support Net Neutrality and totally oppose any attempt to weaken it. Fair and equal Internet access must not be sold to the highest bidder or be crippled by corporate profit motives. The FCC must also remain fair and impartial and not yield to political pressure. High speed internet access must not become a vehicle which only the rich and powerful can afford. It must remain fair and open to new and inventive small businesses, students, and inventors, and protected from corporate greed. All internet users should have fair and equal access to the internet bandwidth they are paying for.

814. Ian Thompson, Hamburg, NJ, 07419
so much potential for scientific and cultural advancement will be lost forever if this goes on, listen to the will of the people and not the corporations for once. Protect freedom by keeping the Internet free from ISP censorship!

815. David Weil, BergenField, NJ, 07621
Please do not change the net neutrality rules. It is critical that the internet if FAIR for all and not controlled by the ISP's.

816. Danielle Barnes, closter, NJ, 07624
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight!! Please consider this.

817. David Wahba, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
I do not support the FCC's decision to remove net neutrality laws and see it as a way to give power to corporations that already have a monopoly on access to the internet. These laws only harm the citizens of the United States of America
and in no way will benefit them.

818. Sarah Forst, River Edge, NJ, 07661
Who is this "Restoring internet freedom" for? Because it's certainly not for the end consumers who the FCC should be protecting. Net neutrality rules need to be kept in place, even strengthened rather than overturned.

819. Justin Arnold, Hamburg, NJ, 07419
I Support Strong Net Neutrality Backed By Title II Oversight of ISPs. Broadband access is a public utility! No discrimination in communication.

820. Jane Soltys, Oakland, NJ, 07436
Hello, as a concerned citizen I feel that we must continue to treat internet as a utility to ensure that this vital tool to both commerce and culture cannot be unjustly manipulated by private entities intent upon providing preferential treatment to one form of content over another. Please do not adjust the current status quo at the behest of lobbies and big business. FCC is supposed to do what is best for the average American and not an agency to help corporations get the most money out of us. Please choose to do the right thing and not what is dictated by greed. Thank you.

821. Dusha Holmes II, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
"I support the current Net Neutrality rules and do not want to change them

822. Rob Gamer, Franklin Lakes, NJ, 07417
The internet needs to remain free and open and I strongly support net neutrality with Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Without Title II ISPs can and will destroy the internet as we know it in pursuit of greater profits. The internet is one of the last bastions of free speech and we cannot let big corporations ruin it for their selfish and personal gain. Please defend net neutrality, FCC. The people are counting on you to represent us, not corporations.

823. Timothy Foster, Lodi, NJ, 07644
The existence of Net Neutrality is essential to a free and open market. The laws as they exist should be preserved for the sake of maintaining this.

824. Evan Markowitz, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
A free and open internet is a requirement for any type of fair trade or commerce, and, eliminating it like this proceeding would allow would be incredibly harmful to competition and small business. There are laws that prevent unfair business practices for a reason, this is one of them.

825. Ari Schoenberg, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
The removal of net neutrality will destroy the small business. If telecom
companies can control how much bandwidth a site has because they are not paying for a premium for it. Amazon can pay the millions it needs to make sure that my private site can't sustain the traffic necessary to compete. If I want to game and use my connection heavily my ISP can punish me for daring to connect to a nonmajor gaming company. Keep the field level.

826. Melissa Gardner, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
I strongly support ISPs being classified under Title 2 AND I support TRUE net neutrality

827. Marc Cadwell, Newton, NJ, 07860
Net Neutrality is important for citizens rights for freedom of speech. Without it ISPs can throttle or even block our access to websites and/or services which they deem a premium, and/or charge us more to allow us access. This cannot be allowed, this is how our cable television services work and is exactly why most people have stopped using it.

828. Melissa McLaughlin, Bogota, NJ, 07603
I support internet neutrality!!!!

829. Roberta Schiffer, Demarest, NJ, 07627
SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY! RETAIN TITLE II

830. Nicholas J Kanderis, New Milford, NJ, 07646
I am expressing my STRONG support for maintaining a state of internet neutrality. The internet should be treated as a utility, such as is water, gas, and electricity. It is as integral to daily life as having electricity in our modern world, and needs to be free of further privatization.

831. Craig Hertenstein, Waldwick, NJ, 07463
True Net Neutrality is a necessity and deserves the current Title II classification. Without the regulations imposed, existing ISP's and those with the money to spend, can effectively suppress the effectiveness of start-up companies, leading to a stagnation in the US economic growth of internet related businesses. In addition, the voices of those with existing money can outspend those that opposed to them and pressure broadband suppliers to suppress opposing ISPs. Voluntary self-regulation is ineffective, especially in the case of internet access, when customers do not, and will not for the foreseeable future, have the ability to switch to a different internet supplier. Title II classification is one of the few protections that the consumer has currently against being exploited by a de facto monopoly in the broadband/internet market.DO NOT REMOVE the Title II protections for the American People!!!!

832. Matthew Faustini, River Edge, NJ, 07661
I vehemently embrace strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight. I
strongly doubt that the proposed repeal, without stronger or more specific regulation in place is a reckless and dangerous move that could allow telecom corporations to completely submarine the freedom provided by the internet in a callous maneuver to raise the bottom line.

833. Thomas Clancey, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
As a member of the Writers Guild of America, East (WGAE), I call on the FCC to keep in place the current rules under Title II related to net neutrality. I urge the FCC to withdraw its 'Restoring Internet Freedom' proposal, which would have a negative impact on my job as a content creator and my ability to reach as wide an audience as possible. A free and open Internet is essential to ensuring the American spirit of entrepreneurialism and innovation. Just as this vast country once provide a boundless harvest of abundance to early Americans, so does the Internet provide to the current population. Except it's virtual capacity knows no bounds - creating an artificial scarcity of resources to the benefit of our largest corporate citizens is not just poorly advised but, in my opinion, an affront to patriotism, innovation and the American spirit.

834. Daniel Leonard, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
There is not a single benefit in this for the American people it is simply a greedy attempt to line the pockets by abusing us.

835. Nicola Licata, River Edge, NJ, 07661
Please listen to the people - not the businesses.

836. Matt Sylvester, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
Ding dong! Your opinion is wrong! gofccyourself.com

837. Arturo G. Barrezueta, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
Net Neutrality benefits our country in an extremely positive manner. Do not regulate internet access in such a poor manner.

838. kevin corry, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
Maintain net neutrality. Maintain title II oversight.

839. Gail Leicht, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
We support net neutrality/Title II

840. Cynthia Nichols, Sussex, NJ, 07461
Equal access to the internet is vital for the education and well-being of the nation. If providers throttle access to a browser or competing website this decreases competition. It can also allow falsehoods to be perpetuated by those with the dollars to stifle access to knowledge. Net Neutrality is needed for everyone.
841. nestor rodriguez, Hamburg, NJ, 07419
Keep net neutrality under Title 2. Guarantee and enforce that companies cannot control or influence the people equal access to any option available in internet.

842. Thomas Monsma, Joyce Monsma, Midland Park, NJ, 07432
We believe it is important to keep Net Neutrality as it currently is regulated by Title 2. We disagree strongly with rolling the regulation back to Title 1 or changing the regulations in any manner.

843. Justin Schroeder, Harrington Park, NJ, 07640
We are in full and complete favor of Strong Net Neutrality laws and the Title II classification and oversight of Internet Service Providers.

844. paul baham, west milford, NJ, 07480
You need to preserve net neutrality. This is what we need. You need to answer to us and NOT business who line your pockets.

845. Marilyn Okoshi, Demarest, NJ, 07627
Net neutrality is important to the integrity of the internet. Keep ISPs regulated under Title II.

I trust Verizon and Comcast with making responsible decisions on my internet speed as much as I trust Trump with the nuclear codes. I support net neutrality and ISP Title II classification. In what universe should a former lawyer for one of the largest beneficiaries of this proposed legislation be responsible for this decision?

847. Kyle Madison, Andover, NJ, 07860
I am strongly in favor of strong net neutrality laws. The consumers need to be protected from the corporations disrupting their free and fair internet use.

848. Edwin C Anderson, Oakland, NJ, 07436
Having experienced the Internet's growth over the years (my first experience with it being the DARPA network), I have marveled at its usefulness and its openness. The current plans for the FCC to back away from Net Neutrality is appalling. It is but one more move to place the well-being of the public into the hands of corporations, whose interests are not in the welfare of the public but in the interests of their shareholders. The public and the Internet lose.

849. net neutrality, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
I stand strongly behind the tenets of net neutrality, as they allow for both internet users and technologists alike to enjoy the net on a fair, and level playing field. It adds the legal apparatus required to enforce FCC policies, as opposed to requesting that these ISPs do so "pretty please". This FCC chairman
has corporate interests in Verizon and is either a pathological liar or among the most sorely misguided public officials, next to the likes of #45.

850. Mina Kim, Demarest, NJ, 07627
Net Neutrality must remain intact for the sheer fairness and equality of what the Internet represents. All traffic on the internet must be treated equally. Sure, the providers must accommodate their network if there is congestion, but not at the expense of preferred, smaller sites. Furthermore, based on recent stockholder meetings, CEO's of network providers have explicitly stated that the current regulations will not affect the continued building of their systems.

851. Barbaros, Midland Park, NJ, 07432
I want new neutrality. Keep title 2.

852. David J Blumenthal, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
Please preserve net neutrality under Title 2

853. Andrew Stark, Midland Park, NJ, 07432
I adamantly oppose the proposal to change the regulations that affect Net Neutrality. The rules should not be rolled back that were agreed and put into effect under the Obama Administration, the governance of the ISP's under Title II should and must continue. If the ISP's are vowing to adhere to the concept of Net Neutrality, then why change the law at all? If it is not broken, do not fix it, i.e., do not MESS with it. In all due respect, I do not actually trust ATT, Comcast, Verizon, et al. And I do not, in all due respect, trust the proclamations of an FCC Chairman who used to be counsel for Verizon. Respectfully yours, AWS from MP

854. Katrina Cassidy, Washington Twp, NJ, 07676
I support net neutrality and want Title 2 to remain in place.

855. Patrick Finley, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
To Whom: You MUST maintain net neutrality and title II - YOU MUST!

856. James Patrick Younghans, Emerson, NJ, 07630
Ajit V. Pai specifically support Strong Net Neutrality, that is backed by Title 2 over site, of Internet Service Providers (ISPs).

857. L Thorne, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
We need net neutrality to continue. A free and open internet is the single greatest technology of our time, and control should not be at the mercy of big business and corporations.

858. Timothy Lewers, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
I support very strong net neutrality backed by title 2. Freedom of the internet for
all is more important than ever in a time of shrinking newspapers and expanding big brother ideology!

859. Thomas Lauerman Jr., Teaneck, NJ, 07666
As a content creator, I would like the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

860. Sol Wieder, New Milford, NJ, 07646
Keep Net Neutrality at Its highest level. Keep it under the rules of TITLE 2

861. Suzanne Wieder, New Milford, NJ, 07646
I'm for Net Neutrality at Its strongest level. Keep it under the rules of TITLE 2

862. Joseph M Grgin, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
do not remove internet neutrality from the requirements of Title II

863. Morgan Dudzinski, Port Murray, NJ, 07865
I support the return of net neutrality.

864. Lisa Mirante, Allendale, NJ, 07401
The internet is an essential utility and should be regulated just like all other utilities. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II.

865. Snezhana Ostrovsky, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
I support Net Neutrality and Title II. Please preserve them in their current form. Thank you!

866. larry goldman, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Please keep internet free and neutral for all users. It should an open resource for all. Please keep the title 2 & net neutrality rules in place.

867. Jonathan McVeigh, Franklin Lakes, NJ, 07417
Hey Ajit Pai - I specifically support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's..cut the bullshit.Cheers,JM

868. David McIntosh, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
Please continue to classify ISPs' under title 2. The internet is the only true free thing we ever created. I have faith you will do the right thing. God Bless.

869. Joseph D'Amato, Oakland, NJ, 07436
I support net neutrality as it is currently supported via Title 2. There does not seem to be any benefit to the consumer to reclassify ISPs, as multiple providers have continued to invest in their infrastructure in the current classification. While I normally support less regulation, in this case, less regulation opens up
more problems than less regulation would in my opinion.

870. Genna Martorelligenn, Dumont, NJ, 07628
I strongly support maintaining Title 2 classification of ISPs.

871. D Zettler, Allendale, NJ, 07401
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. It will be a terrible thing for all Americans if you don't

872. steve brodhecker, branchville, NJ, 07826
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. The work of FCC head Ajit Pai shows how our current administration shifted focus towards corporate profit. Mr. Pai's past and current affiliation with Verizon and his protection of ISP profits over the public makes him particularly prejudiced against his fellow American citizens, the people for whom he works. The country's reliance on internet access has moved this service from a luxury to necessity for EVERY American. Access to the internet that is not obstructed by competing ISP's and their corresponding "tolls" is necessary for our growing web-based businesses. This move will eliminate competition in favor of the major ISP's and their selection of what we have access to, effectively choking out the very engine of our economy, the start-ups, entrepreneurs, and mom and pop industries that have flourished under a net neutral internet. Make the internet equivalent to access to electricity. This can not be left in the hands of corporations that have again and again picked profits over citizens! I'm sure that Mr. Pai will never feel the effect of his decision to limit access of the internet for low and middle class American. He has ensured his healthy income by pandering to the corporations that he will likely work for after he has successfully sold his fellow Americans out. Strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs is the only way to ensure true, free-market competition and continued web-based business growth well into the future.

873. Marcelle Katri, River Edge, NJ, 07661
I specifically support strong net neutrality back by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. If we are going to continue as a capitalist country with open markets, then part of
having those open markets is maintaining an open internet, which is an enormous part of today's economy.

874. ARNOLD RODIN, NORTHVALE, NJ, 07647
I STRONGLY SUPPORT INTERNET EQUALITY

875. Robert Taylor, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
Please make sure you preserve strong net neutrality laws under Title II.

876. Christopher Drozd, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
I would like the ability to keep Net Neutrality. I don't like to have only the biggest internet providers to push the other providers out of the way to use them only, it's not fair.

877. James Tabussi, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 07458
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs in order to protect a free and open internet for all. Fair and equal access to information is an important part of a functioning democracy, which is at risk when ISPs and other corporations are given the power to limit information. I ask that the FCC do everything in its power to protect a free and open internet for all.

878. Genevieve Lowry, River Edge, NJ, 07661
Keep net neutrality under the stricter Title II. Thank you.

879. Danielle Nacinovich, PARAMUS, NJ, 07652
I support strong net neutrality and title 2. Do not repel

880. Jessica Milne, New Milford, NJ, 07646
I want to strongly urge the FCC to have strict regulations regarding net neutrality. Without a certain level of government oversight, ISPs can easily manipulate the services they provide to only cater to their sponsors and benefactors. The internet has become an integral part of our lives and the integrity of it must be upheld.

881. Shaelyn Huber, West Milford, NJ, 07480
Getting rid of Title II and Net Neutrality would give big companies an enormous and unfair power over the access and consumption of internet users. This is a direct attack on one of our most important freedoms. Anyone who tries to abolish these security measures is either ignorant or corrupt.

882. Jeff Sauerman, Ho-Ho-Kus, NJ, 07423
I support internet freedom and net neutrality on the basis of being a human being that believes in freedom and equality. I can't fucking believe I need to convince my own government human rights are more important than companies
making money.

883. rlumino, Waldwick, NJ, 07463
We need strong net neutrality and keep article 2. Thanks

884. Megan Haarsgaard, Midland Park, NJ, 07432
I support net neutrality and Title II. Protect open internet.

885. net neutrality, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
I work in Fin regulation and can tell you first hand that regulations have done nothing but help the markets. There are literally 0 good reasons to remove net neutrality. As is there is only a small oligopoly in the industry and these companies wield huge amounts of sway over the market already. Don't make this worse.

886. Gretchen Schmoll, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
I believe there should be strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. ISP's do not have the right to slow browsers down based on advertising revenue.

887. Edward Reinke, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 07458
I support Net Neutrality and Title 2 oversight over internet service providers.

888. michael zitt, New Milford, NJ, 07646
Keep ISP's on title 2 and tell Ajit Pai to leave our internet alone! Drink your coffee from your stupid cup, collect your government check and shut up!

889. Stephanie O'Brien, Bogota, NJ, 07603
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. It's the right thing to do for the American people.

890. bob wilson, Oakland, NJ, 07436
I strongly support net neutrality under title 2.

891. Melina, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
We must keep ISP's accountable under Title II for net neutrality - this is imperative to our freedoms!!

892. T Venidis, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai please do not dismantle current FCC regulations which â€” which prohibit broadband companies from blocking websites, slowing connection speeds and charging for faster delivery of certain content â€” I strongly believe those individuals requesting the regulations passed during the Obama administration be repealed do so because they were not properly informed on the impact the repeal would have. A repeal would allow big
internet companies to decide what content I can view on any given internet search. As an American I will choose what I view and the Obama regulations prevent internet providers from seeing only what they choose.

893. Kyle A Percarpio, Harrington Park, NJ, 07640
I support the preservation of net neutrality under the title 2 regulations

894. John Atti, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
Please preserve net neutrality/title 2. Net neutrality is an important tool to ensure that service providers do not essentially censor the internet or cause information to not be as available. Service providers are essentially mini-monopolies in this country - there is hardly any competition between them, which puts the consumer in a bad spot if they need to switch providers. Please, at least keep net neutrality/title 2. Even though we'll still be price gouged by the ISPs, at least we'll be able to view the internet on an even playing field.

895. nwasserman, New Milford, NJ, 07646
You have to keep my access to the internet open. Assuming the ISPs will not throttle traffic according to their revenue goals is like sticking your head in the sand. Net neutrality = open internet therefore you the FCC must Preserve net neutrality and title 2.

896. Myriam Burger, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Keep Net Neutrality strong. I expect a free and open internet, and that can only come from regulation through Net Neutrality!

897. Laura Nowak, Branchville, NJ, 07826
I would like the internet preserved as a public utility. I rely on e-mail for work and my livlihood.

898. Stephen, Lafayette, NJ, 07848
To remove net neutrality laws, would be to remove monopoly busting laws in the late 1800s. Do not subjugate American's to corporate tyranny.

899. Pradeep, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 07458
I strongly recommend keeping net neutral and open. Please keep the net neutral laws.

900. Amy Dalzell, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
Mr. FCC Chairman:As you probably know but do not choose to consider, the channels of communication in this country are owned by the public - not by the corporate overseers who purchase the privilege of their use. Please b advised on the part of said public that the internet as a public utility is part of the public commons, not a private resource to be used exclusively for corporate profit. In other words, leave it the hell alone.tNow is not the time to let giant ISPs censor
Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Amy Dalzell

901. Caroline M., Montvale, NJ, 07645
STRONG NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II. OPEN INTERNET MEANS NECESSARY REGULATION!

902. Christine De Nobile, Lodi, NJ, 07644
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs, please keep net neutrality and Title 2!!

903. Mark Clark, Blairstown, NJ, 07825
The FCC needs to preserve a strong net neutrality backed by Title II

904. William T. Ricciardi, Paramus, NJ, 07652
I do not normally address debates such as this. Simply put, the Internet is beauteous. We put the largest database of human knowledge into the hands of all people. Knowledge, as always, has been power. It drives innovation, conversation, and debate. In history there was a terrible loss, the library of Alexandria. The internet is our modern version, however, it is of infinite more significance. To impede the dissemination of information to people, is a disservice to all people. If for nothing else, look at your browser history. Look for that very specific thing you wanted to know. Whether it be; "a picture of a
These are all insignificant things. Minutiae of life. However, that information being available, is invaluable. Each and every one of us have things that are important to us, having an infinite database of information allows us to discover how to do/resolve these things. If you have ever used the Internet, you know you have asked a question that was; ridiculous, difficult, or so obtuse it required the world's knowledge to resolve. Giving power to any entity that defines "what is important/valuable" is a dangerous mistake. I implore any who read this to think on the meaning of the following letter groupings; chartreuse, Otorhinolaryngology, lol, wtf, and, net neutrality. Some, or all, you know. However, if you're curious as to what any of them mean, I suggest you use the currently beaural internet for information. Imagine your world when you search for the answer, and nothing comes up, that is what you're looking at without net neutrality. Imagine if you don't know the name of that guy from that thing and Verizon decides they don't like Tom Cruise? Is that the world you want? A world where you were given all the information that exists, but decided Metro PCS knows what you are looking for?

905. David Sanchez, Dumont, NJ, 07628
Net neutrality is vital to our nation and not protecting it will only serve to bring us down. Keep the internet a fair playing ground, keep net neutrality.David Sanchez

I believe that clear rules should be in place to prevent ISPs from interfering in content delivery.

907. Greg Warden, ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Please preserve net neutrality and title ii enforcement.

908. Wendy W Goetz, Newton, NJ, 07860
Why is Net Neutrality Important? It all started with protections in 1934. It still applies and works for the people.Net neutrality means that Internet Service Providers as well as the government regulating the Internet, should treat all content on the Internet the same without discrimination or charging differently by the user, content, website, or mode of communication. The open Internet with equal access for all is what has made the Internet an engine of creativity, an opportunity for free speech, a tool for entrepreneurs and a platform for economic growth and political dialogue. The net neutrality rules put in place in 2015 and upheld by the courts ensure that everyone has equal access to the web. It means start-up companies can get online and be entrepreneurs who can create new products and services. It means alternatives to corporate-mass media can get their views out to the public so news is not limited to the commercial media.
It allows people’s video of government abuse to get online just like big business-controlled television. It means the Internet will remain a vibrant and growing part of our culture and economy. It ensures that the Internet is a venue for Freedom of Speech in the 21st Century. Therefore do not strip Title II from Net Neutrality. Sincerely, Wendy W Goetz

909. William Grupenhof, River Edge, NJ, 07661
It would be best for our democracy to leave net neutrality in place. A level playing field for comments and opinions from all facets of our society, rich or poor, powerful or weak, should be preserved.

910. Raymond Luther, Washington, NJ, 07882
Please, preserve Net Neutrality as it is under Title II. Do not replace Title II.

911. Max Teng, Westwood, NJ, 07675
I believe in net neutrality. Fuck you, Ajit, Pai.

This so-called "internet freedom" is only for those who are already wealthy. Allowing ISPs to charge different rates based on which sites a consumer visits will stifle small businesses who cannot afford to pay as much as huge corporations for high speed access to customers. Shredding net neutrality is the opposite of ensuring internet freedom, no matter what title you put on your decisions.

913. Mary B Luther, Washington, NJ, 07882
Preserve the Title II net neutrality!

914. joanne geanoules, Waldwick, NJ, 07463
Please do not limit access to the internet. Keep net neutrality in place. It matters- especially in the turbulent times our country is enduring right now. Thank you for your consideration.

915. Lisa Eidel, Rochelle Park, NJ, 07662
I am in full support of Net Neutrality.

916. Roberto Silveira, Hewitt, NJ, 07421
As a taxpayer I believe title 2 should be maintained and strengthened. I don't feel a former employee of an ISP should be making widespread changes with little foresight of the broad range changes that could insure the monopilization by larger ISP's over smaller ones. The public has a right to equal speeds no matter what the individual chooses.

917. Marc de Vries, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
Please do not give corporations control over our bandwidth for individual
sites/services. The internet should be regulated by federal law and consumers only.

918. Eugene Leventhal, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
I believe that we must maintain net neutrality to ensure a fair and just continued development of the internet and all related activities. If we don't, potential progress will be brushed aside for seeking profits. Maintain net neutrality!

919. Joshua Ravitz, Midland Park, NJ, 07432
Please do not change net neutrality. Limiting access to the internet is not the correct way to do things and you know that. Thanks

920. John Dykeman, Stockholm, NJ, 07460
Net Neutrality is essential for keeping a free and fair internet. Removing net neutrality will lead to ISPs and many websites to start paying for premier access to their servers. I believe we need net neutrality so that ISPs will not be able to censor any information they do not want their customer viewing as long as it is legal. Currently I only have access to two broadband services, and my ISP refuses to update the infrastructure in my area, because they have no fear of competition. Reversing net neutrality will make this worse. If anything, it will increase my prices so that I can receive "premium" access to specific websites. To have a free and fair market, we need to have a free and fair internet.

921. Nicholas De Jesus, New Milford, NJ, 07646
The internet has become an essential part of the lives of millions of Americans. By allowing companies to dictate, on a case by case basis, which websites or content providers can be reached with no interference stands in direct conflict of our inalienable rights to liberty and the pursuit of happiness. The cyber space must be retained as a free and open marketplace of ideas; an open forum to express our freedom of speech. Allow the fundamentals of capitalism to thrive in this new expanse and have we the people vote on the best with our wallets, a strategy which has proven useful in all these years. The internet must neutral, as the right to gather and the freedom to speak.

922. Brendan Ibrahim, New Milford, NJ, 07646
At every turn the government "for the people" has proven that they care significantly more about the people who get the elected (lobbyists) than they do about the people they are supposed to serve. Prove for once in your useless careers that you care about what's good for the many and not what's good for you. Vote against this stupid bill and stop letting some of the most corrupt and disgusting companies in the country do whatever they want and realize they actually have to serve the people who pay them instead of feeling like it's a favor that they can overcharge for underserving.

923. Jonathan Goldman, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
I consider the preservation of net neutrality to be one of the most important issues of my time. Turning America into a corporatocracy may sound great when you're an industry insider, but when you leave and everything comes tumbling down, do you want your legacy to be "the person who tried to throttle the internet"? Do you want to be, personally and ferociously, the political devil of all Americans who use the net, Right or Left? Are you comfortable with being known forever as one of the worst human beings to preside over a government organization in the history of the country, or, if indeed Mr. Pai isn't reading this, as someone who aided and abetted this scheme? When you pass on, do you want your death to be celebrated by America? Because let's be honest here. You know full well that there is no one on this planet other than telecom shareholders who actually benefit from removing net neutrality. You know that you have to lie, constantly, just to pretend otherwise. Arguments over morality won't sway you, because you've accepted your immorality, and arguments about benefit won't work, because you have no sense of brotherhood with the people of this country. So I'm talking legacy. I'm talking what people think of you, 30 years from now. I'm talking what your children hear about you. Is this the legacy you want to leave behind?

924. Derek Wohl, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
Who is the government to say what their citizens can and cannot see? In the last truly free medium from any higher power, we have made tremendous strides of knowledge being shared and things being created/discovered. If you start regulating what people can/cannot see, think about how much can't be achieved anymore. Fun fact, another country that controls what their citizens see/cannot see: North Korea. The United States of America is the greatest country in the world, and if you don't keep the internet open, you would be no better than the most suppressed nation in the world. Let us have the freedom to seek knowledge openly and without panic.

925. Max Antonov, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
Protect citizens from corporate interests. The fall of Net Neutrality would be a direct opposition to the working class people of America.

926. Ron Kosy, Paramus, NJ, 07652
We must keep net neutrality. Do not roll it back. The reason we have had such technological advancements in the past several years is due to net neutrality. I do not want my government, the government I elected, and the government which is for the people, to roll back net neutrality.

927. Steven Gindler, Stockholm, NJ, 07460
Don't mess this up please.

928. Obed Figueroa, Glenwood, NJ, 07418
It's already bad enough that you throttle our existing connections and allow monopolies by rural providers who actually reduce speed to make more money-leave the internet alone. Net neutrality is what keeps trade and discussion on a fair platform. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY.

929. Michelle Slay, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
Don't ruin America.

930. Vida A., bergenfield, NJ, 07621
We fought to have the rights to Net Neutrality before. No company should have the power to limit my internet usage, especially when I'm paying for it with my hard earned money. I care about my open internet and refuse to have my rights revoked.

931. MARGARITA MENCIAS, BERGENFIELD, NJ, 07621
GOOD OR BAD THE INTERNET REPRESENTS OUR SOCIETY AND DEMOCRACY. WE STRIVE TO DO BETTER AND GROW.NET NEUTRALITY KEEPS THE PLAYING FIELD EVEN. WE MAY CHOOSE TO FOLLOW GROUPS THAT REFLECT OUR VIEWS IT STILL, OUR CHOICE TAKE NEUTRALITY AWAY AND WE WILL GIVE THAT POWER TO THE WEALTHIEST

Please keep net neutrality. Being able to access information about anything I need, whether it be my email, a YouTube video, or just the menu for the local Chinese place, makes it so I can go on about my life. I have certain illnesses and disabilities, and being able to access information on them, as well as read blog by others with the same conditions, makes it easier for me to live my life without massive amounts of pain. If access to such sites becomes near, or completely, impossible, I would not be able to care for myself as well. Thank you for reading this :)

933. Douglas Blaine, Belvidere, NJ, 07823
The internet needs net neutrality! We the people should be picking and choosing what WE WANT! Giving ISP's control will be detrimental to society in such a negative way that could lead to bad things. PLEASE PLEASE do not give ISP's control and keep net neutrality!

934. Jordan, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
I believe net neutrality is a necessity because the internet how it is today was built by the very idea that everyone has equal access to all parts of the internet to decide what is popular regardless of how important or rich the producers of the content are.

935. Candace Chapman, Vernon, NJ, 07462
Leaving high-school was a rough path to take, and would've ruined my life if not for the incredibly helpful, accessible resources available online. My 16 year old self was able to study freely and prepare for a college career using the internet as a guide. Now I am halfway through my bachelors degree, and I owe that to my freedom to use the internet to my advantage. If Internet Service Providers are given the clear to discriminate against content, the vast expanse that is the internet would be destroyed. Possible catastrophes following the repeal include: Families, lovers, friends, etc. losing contact over long distances. Free speech, news, and media become constricted. Anger. Chaos. Distrust. These things can be avoided if the internet is left alone. I pay for internet access to have a reliable and fast connection. This does not include being restricted or pigeonholed into an advertisement cradle like a child.

936. net neutrality, New Milford, NJ, 07646
don't take my neutrality away

937. Matthew Sanders, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
DO NOT CENSOR AND CONTROL OUR INTERNET YOU CORRUPT FREEDOM HATERS

938. Brian McLaughlin, Washington, NJ, 07882
The internet has become a vital resource in our society. As a future educator, I will need to use the internet to help me teach my students. When that time comes, I don't want corporations to be able to tell me what information my students need to see. Strong Net Neutrality is paramount in helping our students learn, and indeed, in the future of our society.

939. Matt Sylvester, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
The fact that this is still a debate is disgraceful. There are no open positives to net neutrality. Delete this a$ap.

940. Ryan Snyder, Blairstown, NJ, 07825
Everyone who isn't a massive telecommunications corporation wants the internet to remain open, everyone. Why do we all still have to chime in on this daft idea of allowing providers to mess with the toggles? No. Leave it open. Damnit!

941. Artur Dutka, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
You should be ashamed for trying to make something that was intended to liberate and unify into a money-making scheme for the personal gains of the ISP's. Also, if you support this initiative and are guided by the current president's broken moral compass of quick short term gains - you are a broken human being at the lowest level of evolution.

942. Eleanor Hahn, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
Don't get rid of net neutrality. The internet can be a great place for people to connect, find entertainment, and learn new things. Don't ruin the fun!

943. Raymund Meizys, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
Keep the Internet Neutral! We are a democracy, not a plutocracy. James Madison said it best: "A popular Government, without popular information, or the means of acquiring it, is but a Prologue to a Farce or a Tragedy; or, perhaps both. Knowledge will forever govern ignorance: And a people who mean to be their own Governors, must arm themselves with the power which knowledge gives."Keep the Internet Neutral!!!

944. Renae K Cianci, Highland Lakes, NJ, 07422
We need net neutrality. The internet should be free and open for everyone. Do not allow private corporations to rake citizens over the coals more than they do and restrict the freedom of information.

945. Sean Tracey, Lodi, NJ, 07644
Net Neutrality laws are important because they allow the American people to explore the free and open web with freedom from biases from ISPs and censorship from the government. News of rolling back the laws would mean a lot of angry Americans, in other words a lot of angry voters considering everyone uses the internet the way they like to use it; free from restrictions. It really is a lose-lose situation.

946. Tyler Richardson, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
I will not have my freedom taken away for corporate profit.

947. Kris Crisafulli, Park Ridge, NJ, 07656
Please keep current Net Neutrality rules with Title II oversight. It's the right thing to do

948. Andrew Davis, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
I care about the open internet and competition online. I also stream on Twitch and upload content on YouTube. I aspire to make it a full time career but limiting my access to the internet would crush that dream.

949. Mary Kay Mchugh, River Edge, NJ, 07661
Keep internet neutrality and don't give into corporate greed!

950. Mahavir Singh Sandhu, Montvale, NJ, 07645
The internet is great, let's keep it that way and uphold Net Neutrality. Companies have no right to manage the internet when they are already monopolizing areas of the country and price gouging citizens with horrendous service no less. The free and open internet should be preserved AND it should be a utility.
951. Christie Murray, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
In today's society the internet is considered a necessity; for school, for work, for keeping friends and family in contact with one another. Removing net neutrality threatens everyone, especially those who cannot afford to pay more and those who are already vulnerable. We need the FCC to defend the rights of millions of Internet users by upholding net neutrality protections. The FCC should throw out Chairman Ajit Pai’s plan to hand the ISP monopolies like Verizon, AT&T, and Comcast free rein to engage in data discrimination, stripping Internet users of the necessary access and privacy rules we fought for and won two years ago. I'm afraid of a pay-to-play Internet where ISPs can charge more for certain websites because ISPs will have too much power to determine what I can do online. Thank you for keeping Title II net neutrality rules in place to protect Internet users like me.

952. Dan Neer, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
I urge you NOT to roll back regulations on net neutrality. IF YOU RULE IN FAVOR OF VERIZON, COMCAST AND THE FEW OTHER MEDIA GIANTS, YOU ARE FAVORING CORPORATIONS OVER THE OVERWHELMING MAJORITY OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE AND WOULD BE IN DIRECT OPPOSITION TO THE FIRST AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. IT WOULD INDICATED A DIRECT CONFLICT OF INTEREST ON THE PART OF FORMER VERIZON EXECUTIVE, NOW FCC COMMISSIONER AJIT PAI. I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY AND LEAVING THE CURRENT REGULATIONS IN PLACE.

953. Russell Morgenstern, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
Dear FCC, I'm an internet user...blah blah blah...FCC, you know what's right regarding this issue, you don't need another copy/pasted letter echoing what you already know. Take a stand against these companies that want to limit us as a society. Quite frankly, everyone should have free access to the best internet we can develop. It should not be up to the individual companies to decide what content get priority speeds, or anything of that nature. SAVE US! Please! Your people are begging you to protect this country in this one small way. Do the right thing. Thank you for reading.

954. Bill, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
I totally support net neutrality.

955. Christopher M. Bado, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
Please have the Internet protected under Title II. The Internet is an essential communication platform that needs to be open and equal to all types of data, not to be determined by ISPs. Please vote no on 17-108.
956. Nicholas Matlaga, Newton, NJ, 07860
The internet should be open - allowing companies to charge for it is like allowing companies to buy part of a road and restrict access to it, arbitrarily.

957. Mikhail, Franklin Lakes, NJ, 07417
Please keep net neutrality. We want equal way to access all sites we want to visit.

958. Jerad Andersen, Hamburg, NJ, 07419
A free and open internet is a vital right for modern society. Maintain the free and open internet, maintain Net Neutrality. My expectation when connecting to the internet is that the service provided is a utility like electricity. The electric company monitors my overall usage to charge me for the service I have consumed, but does not show preference to my refrigerator versus my microwave... this is the same expectation I have when accessing the internet. Internet service providers should not be able to dictate which sites get preferential treatment. This would only serve to allow for censorship and would open up the internet to abuses such as propaganda (for example if the New York Times site never loads, but "Super Cool News" pops right open a preference for one site is established, thus legitimizing "SCN" over its opponents).

959. Brendan Inniss, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
The fact is that without governmental stewardship and oversight, the onus on the ISPs to treat TCP traffic as pass thru with prioritization driven by industry pressure or competitive pressure will not occur. The end users look to the government as the body that is by definition and stated mission "by the people, for the people" and NOT to be driven or directed by industries or business. The FCC was seen as one of the true government bodies who's mission was to PROTECT the CONSUMER from IMMORAL OR UNETHICAL BUSINESS PRACTICE OR MONOPOLIES WHICH PROMOTE SUCH BEHAVIOR. What this rollback of Title II does is fundamentally provide the framework for ISPs to exploit the end consumer without governmental consequence.

960. David Schneider, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
Please keep net neutrality the way it is for all.

961. Andrew Hogan, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
Keep the internet the way it is! Do not let isp's or other companies control it in the slightest. That's a slippery slope. Anything anyone wants, any knowledge, debates, views, politics, religions, etc are all available equally to everyone. Should stay that way to keep us growing technologically.

962. Ari Gilder, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
I support net neutrality, and you should too. There is clear data of the intent of ISPs to favor some traffic over others (see the whole Netflix/TWC debacle),
which will either end up making consumers pay more for the content they want, or locking them out of it. More payment for internet bandwidth, beyond what one is already paying, does not count as "economic activity" to help the economy. Think carefully because one day you may be in the place that consumers are now.

963. Pam Scoville, Hewitt, NJ, 07421
I am against any changes to Net Neutrality regulations.

964. Mark Johnson, Andover, NJ, 07821
The internet should be free to everyone. It is our greatest wealth of knowledge, entertainment, and has brought together people from many different reaches of the world. It should not be limited and controlled by companies in order to make the companies executives -- and the politicians supporting them -- more money.

965. Brandon Feil, Demarest, NJ, 07627
Slippery slope. Already hate how compartmentalized the Internet is becoming. This is the potential death knell.

966. Mark Kelly, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
This was already addressed and then rolled back by a FCC head who was a Cable/ISP lobbyist. The open and free internet is one of the most important developments in the history of man kind. Not allowing it to be open and free would put at risk all that this has done to push humanity forward. It wouldn't allow for small business to thrive and push for change in how the large behemoth's operate. This would be akin to Google picking and choosing what search results show up because that is what is best for their business. This was addressed with a LAW. Allowing ISP's to control what does to and from ones house or business is just plain wrong. Giving any business that kind of power is just WRONG. For a government that pretends it wants to be for the people this government has shown time and time again it's not for the people, but for the big business leaders to make more money and line their pockets. No ISP is struggling with their business model. In fact Americans pay MORE (and a lot more) for high speed internet than the rest of the developed world. I KNOW SHOCKING... ISP's (Comcast, Verizon etc) ripping off customers. And with 0 competition (crazy how this isn't capitalistic yet Rep's are ok with it). This entire argument was settled. Then this moronic republican lead regime which doesn't understand technology one bit wants to fix what wasn't broken. Leave the internet as a protected free service. It should also put limits on the markup a provider should be able to charge for it. I mean right now in my area it's being offered for $80 a month. That is just INSANE.

967. Oren Kravetz, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
I support an open internet under Title II of the Communications Act so that certain companies cannot pay for faster access than others, stifling competition on the web.

968. Michelle Jurgensen, Paramus, NJ, 07652
Everyone deserves unfettered and equal access to the Internet as a basic utility.

969. Dana Egbert, Township of Washington, NJ, 07676
The internet needs to stay neutral. Without neutrality the consumer will pay the price of fast lines and it will create unfair competition towards small start ups who cannot pay for fast lanes.

970. Ayanni C. Hanna, Hackensack, NJ, 07666
I am very, very concerned about the potential end to net neutrality. Growing up with an open internet has introduced me to a variety of new concepts and ideas, niche businesses, and individuals that I would have never encountered otherwise. It has also, as a scholar, broadened my ability to find a variety of papers, articles, and useful contacts to build my research and expand my thought process. Ending net neutrality will largely stifle innovation and make it exceedingly difficult for small business and content creators to reach new consumers, since larger companies who can shell out the most money will gain priority. I do not use my broadband provider for e-mail service for email, cloud storage, or web hosting - I'm just looking for reliable access to all the internet offers, large and small. The FCC should be watching out for all internet users and online companies, not just those that have the power - and the funds - to push everyone else to the side.

971. Andre Pimentel, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
Keep the Internet free and open. Keep Net Neutrality.

972. Yuzhang Wu, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
I am greatly concerned about the current motion to deregulate the internet. Internet service providers are few and far between - unlike many other industries where barriers to entry are low, the cost to set up the fiberoptic cables needed to establish a strong internet connection requires millions if not billions of dollars, which means that only a handful or sometimes even only one service is available to a given area. This means that the argument that if we deregulate internet and people aren't happy with the service provider, other service providers will just spring up and compete with them is just nonsense. Without government oversight of this industry, these companies are able to have incredible amounts of power in determining what we see as consumers, slowing or rejecting access entirely. This would be the government neglecting people's right to a free and open internet without censorship, and leaving the control with the ISPs. When I am signing on to an ISP, I am signing on for access to the
entire internet, not to access what my ISP deems appropriate for me to watch. In summary, the FCC MUST continue to regulate ISPs in this manner, for the better of the country and a free and open internet.

973. Andrew Melcon, Montvale, NJ, 07645
Due to the growth of the internet under "Net Neutrality" I and many others have gained employment through the internet, with jobs that rely on a robust infrastructure that's easily accessed by as many people as possible. This only one example of why this needs to be preserved.

974. Jeff Koromi, Vernon, NJ, 07462
Net Neutrality is something we should be concerned about from many standpoints. I'm opposed to any removal of Net Neutrality regulations from the Obama era. As a web designer, the threat of allowing large corporations to control the "highways" where the website I build for people connect to their customers is a potential threat to my livelihood. Any possibility for a company to charge my clients more money for "faster" connections to those customers OR allowing only customers of certain services to access web resources in a speedy time is a threat to the free and open internet and goes against everything I stand for as an American Citizen. Please regulate ISPs as utilities. The internet, in its short time since invention, has become a true utility that all business and citizenry rely on day to day.

975. Owen O'Neill, Harrington Park, NJ, 07640
Net Neutrality must continue to exist for the sake of all users. Without it, the continuation of the internet is pointless as the entire World Wide Web would be nothing more than an infinitely self promoting loop of the highest paying corporations. Diverse content will die if net neutrality is removed from play.

976. Stephen Szabo, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
We need to keep net neutrality and the title 2 classification. I believe that even with the current net neutrality laws, ISPs continue to take advantage of consumers and engage in anti-consumer practices. I believe we need to enforce the current laws more stringently and further need additional legislation to allow, encourage, and promote competition in the internet service provider space.

977. Phil Harlan Pulsiano, River Vale, NJ, 07675
The FCC needs to 'reclassify' the Internet as a telecommunications service, and restore Net Neutrality regulations. We need to treat the internet the same way you would treat water or electricity. It's true that it is also used for entertainment, but the internet is a very powerful tool that needs to be protected. Right now internet access speeds are being stagnated to the point where many other countries are overtaking us. It is without a doubt because
Internet service providers have little to no competition. America should be a leader in technology. We can't leave this up to large corporations.-Phil Harlan Pulsiano

978. Myles Byrne, Waldwick, NJ, 07463
The internet should remain free. Keep net neutrality

979. Daniel Santacroce, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
Access to the internet should be neutral. This country already has among the worst internet access in the world because local ISP's have what amounts to a monopoly on local access and instead of improving service choose to pursue new and creative ways to access my wallet. Please spend more time finding ways to improve this country's access to a neutral internet. Also, please let my ISP know that I already avoid many of their services because of their position on net neutrality.

980. David Gabriele, West Milford, NJ, 07480
Net neutrality is vital to the growth and continued existence of our democratic state. Restrictions of the internet will be a massive blow to the future of our technologies, credibility as a free nation, and open mindedness as a people. No longer can we claim to be any different than countries suppressed and censored by dictatorships even if ours is done so under the guise of safety and suave politicians. Please do what is right in your heart.

981. Charles Smith, Franklin, NJ, 07416
As a small brick and mortar Mom & Pop business, with about 70% of our sales online, we are finding it harder to keep up with the large competition. We are already seeing a drop in sales in the past two years or so, and this will be our 10th year in business. The past two years are the first we went backwards instead of growing. We have traced it back to the larger online retailers lowballing us. The last thing we need is for them to have even more of an advantage. We rely on our internet access to stay in business. We are already dealing with a "bait and switch" from Centurylink, the ONLY DSL provider in our area who advertises speeds above 20 mbps, but in our area, their highest available is 3 mbps. We are already overpaying for poor service. We strongly support net neutrality!

982. John Stapleton, Vernon, NJ, 07462
This is unbelievable. This cannot be allowed.

983. Kelly Morrissey, Bergenfield, NJ, 07628
Net neutrality is needed to keep everyone informed. It is a way to let the people who want to know about history learn whenever rather than when they have the right service available. Not only that, the ability to connect across the globe has let the current generation learn more about life in other cultures. Without it we
lose the connections we've made the ability to learn at a moments notice and to have fun with people whether they be right next to you or halfway across the globe.

984. Michael Revello, Waldwick, NJ, 07463
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY! Please stop capitulating to corporate greed at the expense of America's citizens. Nothing is more important than an open internet and fair competition.

985. Adam Buchoff, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
In light of the natural monopolies that exist amongst ISPs, strong, enforceable net neutrality provisions are necessary to ensure a free and open internet. The modern internet is essential to facilitate free speech and free expression, and I urge you to use strong net neutrality rules to protect our essential freedoms.

986. Kathleen Erickson, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
Any attempt to weaken the Net Neutrality as your proposed action esposes is wrong headed and would negate the greatest communication system the world has ever experienced. 17-108 is an example of the Trump administration's short sighted pandering to corporations interests at the expense of the public. If you haven't yet been able or willing to understand my position on this subject they I'll make it easy for you: DON'T pass it.

987. Nicholas Morrello, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Do Not change net Neutrality laws in any way. The internet in its current form is a representation of freedom and allowing ISPs to control how we use it goes against the most basic principles of our constitution.

988. Timothy Faustini, River Edge, NJ, 07661
Protections must remain in place for the consumers, regular American people, that serves their best interests when it comes Internet access. Currently those protections are best in the form of Title II classification for Internet Service. Removing these protections do nothing but serve the interest of ISPs and Communications organizations by giving them carte blanche over what content they allow the American people to access. At best, this is wildly anti-consumer.

989. 17-108, mahwah, NJ, 07430
I am writing to let you know I STRONGLY OPPOSE the removal of net neutrality. Net neutrality is critical to the success of the U.S. and any removal of it will have a direct impact on millions of people in this country. Do the right thing.

990. Murad Kuliyev, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
I strongly support the Net Neutrality. I believe commercializing access to the internet infringes on the 1st amendment rights of the citizens. Argument about
raising funds to invest into the infrastructure falls flat. There are no guarantees that companies will use these funds to bring new infrastructure. Most of the Europe and Japan enjoys high speed modern internet with Net Neutrality in place. It will substantially slow down innovation, start ups and new technology. Just because ISPs can not come up with new contexts and new revenue streams, they decided to control the internet and double / triple charge the customers. This is NOT an American way. Freedom and Liberty for all !!!!!!!

991. Thomas Lauerman, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
Without open access to the internet we're destroying a basic tenant of the internet.

992. Nathan Castiglia, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
The internet needs to stay neutral. The fact the ISP's already have "monopolies" in areas makes this idea even worse. The ISP's basically control certain areas and people cannot get a different ISP. For example I cannot get Verizon Fios where I live, only Xfinity. Net neutrality needs to stay as it will drastically change the way the internet works.

993. julie g, Fair lawn, NJ, 07410
Dear FCC,One of the primary liberties of our great country is the freedom of speech. This should also apply to access to unbiased and unfiltered information. If you allow this access to be filtered through the highest bidder we are losing the very liberty US stands for. This is a democracy where we are free to have opinions and can voice them without the fear of persecution. Please do not create conditions where we are stripped of these rights, where a large conglomerate will decide what we know and think. Thank you.

994. Mark Sidnam, Highland Lakes, NJ, 07422
I have a multi-faceted desire to not see broadband deregulated. At home I have only one option for internet and despite paying the same each month, I get wildly irregular speeds. This leaves me feeling that I have no choice but to pay for a service I have no control over. Additionally, I am an IT professional. I interact with ISPs daily to design and secure connections across America for remote offices. The thought of adding the dimension of deregulation to the paradigm as it is now seems impossible to work with. Please keep in mind not only constituent needs, but also the needs of professionals who work across state lines dealing with internet connectivity when you choose to not repeal. Thank you.

995. JuliaGuttilla, Dumont, NJ, 07628
As an American citizen, I am writing to demand that you maintain net neutrality. What is more fundamental to a democratic society than free and open communication and access to information? The idea of eliminating net
neutrality is tantamount to censorship of a free press by the government. No one should dictate where information comes from or restrict access to that information. Especially not a federal agency.

996. Christine Dunning, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450  
We want our net neutrality protected!

997. Christian Corall, Andover, NJ, 07821  
Please, keep net neutrality and title II.

998. Robert A Veralli, West Milford, NJ, 07480  
The common carrier classification allows the FCC to go beyond net neutrality rules in order to protect consumers from privacy invasions, fraudulent billing, and price gouging. Lack of competition makes rules more important. I have only one ISP provider available to me. Please support net neutrality!!

999. Denzel Bautista, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621  
I believe that we should keep net neutrality due to the fact that our internet is FREE OF USE FOR ALL WITHOUT ANY BLOCKAGE! The internet is a use and service that is used and loved by all; reducing the websites and the removal of net neutrality will only cause chaos. The internet is open for a reason, for everyone to showcase whatever they please.

1000. Bill Gould, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452  
I am an IT professional and rely and a free and unfettered access to developing trends of the internet and I would be strongly impacted by a removal of net neutrality rules. My work and family's income is funded by the free and open exchange of ideas, new software, open collaboration and new tools to improve my skills. With a removal of the net neutrality rules I'm concerned for the freedom of expression and communities that spring up in an absence of a commercialized internet. Removal of the net neutrality rules will foster in the consolidation and closing of entrepreneurship and give existing media companies a stranglehold on the newest form of media for the next millennium. If you haven't read the master switch by Ken Wu, this is a cycle that is repeated over and over again. Please consider enshrining the entrepreneurship of the internet in law and keep the ISPs from controlling the access to and from our most important resource, information. Thank you, Bill Gould

1001. Jennifer Glass, Teaneck, NJ, 07666  
It is imperative that the internet remain net neutral to allow all networks the fairest service and for consumers to be able to enjoy their internet access anywhere they are and on any site they visit.

1002. Olivier De Meulder, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450  
As a software engineer with deep knowledge of how the internet works, I insist
that Net Neutrality be preserved and that the current rules and laws stay as they are.

1003. Tyler Smith, Montvale, NJ, 07645
The internet should remain a place of freedom. I am just an average citizen of the United States of America. I would hate to see the ideals freedom and liberty that our country stands for, taken away from the internet. Please make sure that all internet service providers are held to the same standard of free access to the internet. I feel that the consumers of broadband internet should be protected from unfair business practices.

1004. Philip Wewiora, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
Please keep the internet open and free by continuing to classify internet service providers under Title II. It's baffling that we find ourselves, yet again, in a position trying to forget the lessons we've learned and implemented to protect a free and open internet.

1005. Codey Tynan, Hewitt, NJ, 07421
The internet is one of the few remaining open sources of communication on the planet that is truly free and must remain free. It is not a source of income for the upper class 1% who control the majority of the US economy. The FCC has gone far beyond overboard with the censorship of cable as it is, let alone what a cataclysmic impact it will have not just on the internet itself, but the economy as well. Forcing companies like Netflix, or Hulu to slow their streaming speeds to a halt effects the businesses directly where it hurts the most. They don't want to charge more nor do the subscribers want to be charged more. The FCC may think it helps the economy, but in the not so long run, it will greatly affect the income of these large, household names and only drive the economy further down.

1006. Eric Hill, Midland Park, NJ, 07432
The internet needs net neutrality. Doing away with it will only further syphon control towards a select few, rather than granting access and mobility to all. As a prospective small business owner, having access to an open internet gives me the hope that I can get things off the ground with limited capital, rather than having to spend a significant amount of money on access fees or physical capital. Please consider the greater good before making a rash decision.

1007. Justin Carter, Ho Ho Kus, NJ, 07423
The internet is a platform for many great things such as speech and art, and removing net neutrality would turn the internet into a money-grabbing tool for the companies that would control it.

1008. Ellen Stickna, Franklin Lakes, NJ, 07417
We need to maintain Net Neutrality. ISP's should not be able to control our
freedom to access anything on the net. Rolling back net neutrality will limit consumer choice, hurt small businesses, young startups, innovation, people in rural areas, and even access to jobs & education.

1009. Robert Huvane, Westwood, NJ, 07675
Leave net neutrality alone. I do not need big business to decide what sites load faster by their choice. Internet was developed by our government and not them.

1010. Sergio Marrero, Washington Township, NJ, 07676
I vote for supporting Net Neutrality and I don't believe my access to the internet should be limited.

1011. Conor J Megan, Paramus, NJ, 07652
Net Neutrality is a resource, a commodity, not a luxury.

1012. John Miller, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
Do not end net neutrality. The open internet is the most crucial and valuable aspect of today's society. There is no force more compelling, or more accessible. Do not allow ISPs to control it.

1013. Chris Santaniello, Oradell, NJ, 07649
Given the already monopolistic nature of ISPs within their regions, it's absolutely RIDICULOUS to think that it would be in the best interest of the public to allow them the ability to control, block, throttle, or otherwise tamper with access to any content type on the internet. The ability to deploy self-serving measures that stifle competition in the form of streaming media, VoIP services, or other internet-based services is not an appropriate power to grant geographically locked-in providers of a utility service that has become a necessity for the modern household.

1014. William McMichael, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
I support net neutrality and open and unrestricted access to the internet at all times.

1015. Maureen Engeleit, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
Net Neutrality needs to be preserved for free speech to continue.

1016. Sarah, Northvale, NJ, 07647
The internet is a place of discovery, freedom, and freedom of ideas and expression. We cannot allow internet service providers to have domain over this "Free" space. History has shown that, in the end, the people are the ones to suffer. Do not throttle the progress of free information by "selling" what is already a beautiful work of art... the free internet.

1017. Edmund Dabagian-Paul, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Net neutrality has driven economic growth and innovation, and ensures a level playing field for all job creators. Net neutrality today is as important to the continued technology growth of the US as the Interstate Commerce Act was for railroads in the 1800's.

1018. Fletcher Keil, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Please defend net neutrality - we do not want large corporations controlling how we view and access information.PLEASE DO NOT LET THIS HORRIBLE ATROCITY BECOME REAL

1019. Jeanette Sperone, Paramus, NJ, 07652
The federal government should ensure net neutrality for all. Inequality in information access is unamerican. Everyone should have equal opportunities to educate themselves, communicate with others, and conduct business.

1020. Adam Doros, Harrington Park, NJ, 07640
As a student I rely on open and fair internet. Please support the policy of Net Neutrality. A fair and open internet is what America needs.

1021. Michael Zhang, Paramus, NJ, 07652
I feel that if a person is to pay for a service, they should receive the full service.

1022. Casey Finneran, Waldwick, NJ, 07463
I support strong net neutrality rules. I buy my internet access from Verizon Fios in order to get reliable access to all the Internet offers at fast speeds. As a financial services provider and graduate student I am extremely dependent on my ISP to deliver fast on reliable service to help with the research required for my job and in order to complete the assignments of my MBA program. I get email from Google and cloud storage from Apple, these are services that are completely separate from what my ISP delivers. The role of the FCC should include ensuring that consumers are protected from, among other things, invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers.

1023. John Hilgart, Newton, NJ, 07860
I am opposed to chairman Pai's proposal to dismantle net neutrality. I run a small web site as a public service with all costs borne by me. I will not be able to afford to buy into a preferred traffic class which may be required in order to have decent network performance under the new rules. I am also opposed on general, philosophical grounds.

1024. Ben Solomon, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
Hands off my internet!!

1025. Nick Johns, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
The broadband market in the USA is easy to understand right now and it works for everyone. When I pay my ISP the monthly fee for access, I know exactly what I am getting for the price I agree to pay. It is access to the most important communications network mankind has ever created. There are no gates, no additional passes necessary to go through or pay for to use the internet. The internet connects us all, allowing us to share with each other and stay close to friends and family that until really, a few years ago, wasn't possible. The economy has grown and changed with the internet and how it works. To give power to the Corporations to change it FOR THE WORSE will fundamentally change how we can all communicate at any given time. The barriers for creating new businesses will be made much larger, stifling economic growth and hold back upward mobility for all citizens. You'll be clipping job growth that cannot happen now, or ever.

1026. Net Neutrality, River Vale, NJ, 07675
The net must remain free, there is no logical reason repeal artical II

1027. Christian Smith, Sussex, NJ, 07461
To Whom It May Concern, Net Neutrality affects all Americans. So before you try to give away the internet to corporations, just remember that history always has two sides. I doubt the history lessons of our time now will reflect kindly on you. So for once, for all Americans, look past your green-tinted visor and realize just what you are doing. Sincerely, Christian Smith

1028. k, river edge, NJ, 07661
jkll

1029. Jay Guevarra, New Milford, NJ, 07646
Net neutrality cannot be lost. I refuse to let the FCC take it away from me.

1030. James Di Gioia, Highland lakes, NJ, 07422
A stance against net neutrality is an anti business, anti competitive stance, and I would expect America to be taking a pro business one by standing for net neutrality.

1031. Bryan Bartell, Franklin Lakes, NJ, 07417
I, along with countless other support Net Neutrality and our ability to view the internet at our own accord. Protect our rights. Protect the Open Internet rules.

1032. Net neutrality, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
Net neutrality is a pillar of our society. Access to internet is necessity in today's world and should be a right.

Repealing net neutrality would be detrimental to many. Rather than try to
appease large companies for monetary gain in return, net neutrality should remain so that ISPs cannot discriminate against others for their own personal gain.

1034. Sylvia Feller, Belvidere, NJ, 07823
Please keep the internet accessible to all. Thank you!

1035. Manny Lugo, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
I am for Net neutrality and if control is handed over to the ISP's I will make it my life's ambition to ensure those responsible are held accountable. Leave the internet open and free!!!

1036. Logan Siesta, Andover, NJ, 07821
I am a 19 year old college student currently going to a community school. When I was 11 my dad passed away, forcing my mother and I to move away from our nice house and into my aunt and uncles. We've since found a much smaller property, which we can still barely afford. I have been working since I turned 17 so I could afford my car as well as other various expenses my mother simply cannot cover. I've only been able to work a few hours a week to keep up with school, and I've also had my hours cut at my place of employment. Already we struggle to afford what little pleasantries we can, which isn't a lot I'll have you know. In what little time I manage to find between work and attending class I like to enjoy online games, browsing social media, and streaming content. Already we struggle to afford just this. Already I've had to cut just about every luxury I could, leaving behind the couple of things that I'm genuinely passionate for. I've made friends online, I've created content online, and even done plenty of schoolwork online. Rolling back these regulations on internet service providers hurts absolutely all of us, unless you happen to be the CEO of said ISP. Realize that by doing this you are in essence stealing what little life we have left as American citizens. When the disparity in wealth is already as vast as we have it, why on earth would anyone opt to take more money from those on the low end of the spectrum? I've lost enough by this point in my life, and honestly what I've built through the conduit of the internet is something I hold dear to me. For once do the right thing and think of the people you'll hurt both now and for generations to come.

1037. Timothy Foucher, Wallington, NJ, 07644
Keep the ISPs under Title 2 and preserve Net Neutrality. An open and fair Internet is important for everyone, stop allowing big corporations to run this country.

1038. Mark Pilatowski, West Milford, NJ, 07480
I run an technology start up that would not exist if it weren't for strong network neutrality protections. If net neutrality is eliminated innovation and progress
will be severely hindered. The US tech sector is booming because of net neutrality and changing the rules will hurt businesses across all industries.

1039. Daniel Turrisi-Chung, hackettstown, NJ, 07840
Protect our internet. Keep net neutrality!

1040. Paul Robert Bulilan, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
Net Neutrality is vital to continue what America stands for. Being able to decide what the people want to do in the internet is entirely up to them and not up to Internet Service Providers (ISP). Users should not be hindered by ISP's choosing what they should be doing for them.

1041. Dan Rector, Emerson, NJ, 07630
The governing bodies in the United States must preserve Net Neutrality. If not, international and domestic corporate interests in the form of Internet Service Providers and other organizations will control the information the average American has access to. By limiting the speed of access and increasing costs to access certain information and websites on the internet businesses both foreign and domestic will be able to spoon feed whatever ideas, thoughts, or ideologies they see fit to the average American. There is no chance that this is in the best interest of the average American or America as a whole. The only benefit to repealing Net Neutrality is for ISPs and those who hold stock in these and similar companies. Do not sell out this nation and its future generations for personal profit. Do not sell out the freedom of the internet. Do not repeal Net Neutrality.

1042. Christian Wettre, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
Please keep Net Neutrality. The Internet is a place of nearly unmitigated freedom, and Net Neutrality keeps it from becoming a corporate playground.

1043. Ryan Williams, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Please don't roll back laws protecting net neutrality or the title II classification. Giving more power to Internet Service Providers would be detrimental to consumers and there's little evidence to suggest such a rollback is warranted as infrastructure investment among leading ISPs hasn't decreased because of net neutrality. There is also little evidence to support the ISP claims that net neutrality is a regulatory framework hampering competition, net neutrality has hurt free speech and expression or that net neutrality has hurt innovation in the telecom sector, in fact most evidence points to the contrary. Giving more power to Internet Service Providers has the potential to negatively impact a vast majority of Americans and I urge the FCC to please not roll back any net neutrality legislation.

1044. Jason Jung, River Vale, NJ, 07675
To abolish net neutrality is to abolish free speech as the internet is increasingly
used as a means of local and global communication.

1045. net neutrality, River Edge, NJ, 07661
FREEDOM SHOULD BE FREE.

1046. Sam Sherman, teaneck, NJ, 07666
I am planning to start a large scale YouTube show and it relies on a neutral Internet.

1047. Alex Stern, montvale, NJ, 07645
Please support net neutrality. Removing it will be the death of the internet

1048. Gav, Allendale, NJ, 07401
The internet acts as a worldwide forum where everyone regardless of gender, race, location, or physical ability can speak out and make their voice heard. Allowing ISPs to censor the internet prevents the voices of Americans who cannot drop extra money on "unlimited access" packages from joining this forum. Restricting access to information in this way hurts the general public, and prevents us from making more informed decisions. to ignore the importance of net neutrality is to stunt the American general public for years to come.

1049. Joseph Conti, Hamburg, NJ, 07419
Please keep the People's interest in mind! The internet is great the way it is. Do what's right! Thank you!

1050. Benjamin Warren, Westwood, NJ, 07675
I support true net neutrality as endorsed by the Electronic Frontier Foundation.

1051. Roger Coppinger, New Milford, NJ, 07646
I should have the right to be able to what I watch on the internet. I should be able to access the WHOLE INTERNET when I want, and shouldn't be controlled what I look at. I strongly support net neutrality, so please leave our internet alone. There are much more bigger issues to worry about then messing with our internet! Thank you!

1052. Anthony Panariti, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
I cannot believe that this is still a question which is being brought up, but the internet in my opinion must be free. Net neutrality must be kept.

1053. Neil R Burns Jr, Augusta, NJ, 07822
My ISP is already terrible and you want to give them more reason to be even worse. Tell that douche bag Ajit Pai to stick that giant Reese's mug up his ass.

1054. christopher blackley, Dumont, NJ, 07628
Net neutrality is important. We can not let the government change this.
Ryan Gibbons, River Edge, NJ, 07661
I believe that we have to continue to preserve net neutrality in order to maintain the internet as a place where everyone can gain access freely. We cannot leave it to service providers to monitor themselves. Preserve Title 2 so we can all enjoy whatever we like on the internet.

Jonathan Sayre, Branchville, NJ, 07826
ISPs in America are already terrible, please don't give them the capacity to be even worse.

Gabi F, Westwood, NJ, 07675
The open internet gives us the opportunity to explore different cultures and gain exposure to other ways of life; it allows us to educate ourselves and others; to objectively see how our society is ever-changing and developing. Net neutrality is so important because it ensures the rights of people all over the world to share their experiences and teachings and continue to grow and empower each other to be the best they can be and create the best world possible, in every technological, social, and economical way. Limiting the internet would only restrict human potential and slow down progress. In addition, many people rely on the internet for their jobs, careers, education, and livelihood. Do not take this away from them.

Eric Grau, Newton, NJ, 07860
Don't allow ISP's to limit access to the internet. Keep net neutrality!

Madeline Campbell, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
I was born in 1997 (for those of you doing the math, that means that yes, I am old enough to vote, and believe me, I will be keeping track of who votes in favor of keeping the Internet as free as possible). The Internet isn't something I remember watching develop the way I remember DVDs and smartphones: the Internet just existed and we used it, like the post office or the library. I cite those two not merely because they struck me as valuable institutions worth preserving, but because the Internet is both: through it, I send and receive information, communications with my friends and family, and even objects. The Internet is a hub for so much of my life that the idea of losing it is unfathomable and, frankly, terrifying. Chairman Pai's proposal would cause us to lose the Internet; its greatest strength is its near-absolute freedom. Each community is free to determine its own rules of engagement and conduct, but all are free to access to some degree or another. The Internet lets people decide for themselves whom they want to interact with and how they wish to do so, and while I will concede that such freedom comes with deep trouble (e.g. the harassment on Twitter, or the ability to fake an identity on Facebook), I believe that the allowance of access to all is what makes the Internet so diverse and creative. Furthermore, I ask you to consider freedom of information. Right now,
we are free to access news and updates from any sources we choose: liberal, conservative, and anything in between. If you think that would be preserved under stricter rules as to who could access what, you are far too idealistic. I don't like the idea of an ISP deciding what news sources and websites are "acceptable" for me to access; I want the freedom to figure that out for myself. So please, on behalf of me and my generation-- the Online Generation-- please uphold Title II and keep us free.

1060. Christian Sabbaneth, Wanaque, NJ, 07661
Access to the entire internet means to me, not only freedom to surf the web, but the power to learn. I use the entire internet to research and to keep in contact with many of my friends around the world (with many of them is the only way we keep in touch). I can't believe that due to economic and corporate interest we will have to practically slows streaming to different opinions and different points of view. Sales and economical interest cannot (only) be the main interest of the entire internet. We all need a place to learn and to be entertained without corporate interest!! As much as possible let's keep the entire internet as resourceful as it was intended to be for all users and their interest at the speed that today's technology allows to do so!

1061. Atmiya Solanki, Lodi, NJ, 07644
The internet needs to keep net neutrality without FCC interference.

1062. David Teufel, Ho-Ho-Kus, NJ, 07423
We need to preserve net neutrality and keep ISPs under a title II classification.

1063. net neutrality, Washington Township, NJ, 07676
An internet provider should not claim that they are offering a certain rate when the average maintained speed is significantly slower. Peak speeds are not the most important feature that should be advertised. The average maintained speed is much more important. What will be available is much more important than what can be achieved. Consistency in the service provided is key.

1064. Bernard Logan, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
ISPs cannot be trusted to insure that the internet stays open and fair for all. A reversal of Title II would have a negative impact on users and companies that depend on the internet, giving ISPs power to potentially block services and give priority to one service over another. As such, the FCC must reject Chairman Pai's proposal to gut Title II, and protect and uphold net neutrality rules.

1065. Miles Cumiskey, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
The internet needs to be a place of free and open communication. Restricting the flow and artificially slowing down sites blocks people from finding new information and learning.
Peter Kennedy, River Edge, NJ, 07661
Net neutrality is essential for the continued growth of new businesses on the internet. No company should be able to prioritize, block, or intentionally slow down access to websites they don't own. The internet is such an integral part of our daily lives that it would be criminal to allow ISPs to dictate which services function and which are dead in the water.

Janis Rudolph, New Milford, NJ, 07646
I am distressed to learn that Net Neutrality is in the balance. It is vital that the internet remain free and equal to all users regardless of the content they are requesting/viewing. I pay $153.00 per month to my cable tv provider for phone, internet, and tv. I feel I am paying A LOT of money for this service and it should remain as is. It should not allow my provider to pick and choose pricing and speeds depending on what I look at on the internet. This is just an overreach by this administration and Republican congress to give more money to wealthy corporations in power in the US.

Elaina Brillantes, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
Internet Access is no longer a privilege. It is a utility that every single household cannot simply live without in 2017. If citizens want to keep up with modern society, fast and accessible internet is a MUST. It is imperative that the modern citizen stay connected to the rest of the world. In comparisons with other cities such as Seoul or London, cities as dense and developed as New York City have deplorable internet. Monopolized by one or two ISPs, Americans will NEVER benefit from being forced to decide between sub-par choices simply because of where they live. Time Warner Cable has NYC in a chokehold with speeds slower than my own colleagues that moved out west or south. Yet we pay the same price. There is no good excuse why the quality of internet in America is utterly laughable when compared to other countries such as South Korea. The FCC MUST support healthy competition EQUALLY amongst all ISPs. Show us that you are in the best interest of the COUNTRY. Not corporations.

James I. Pisano, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
Keeping the internet open to the people and maintaining net neutrality is of paramount importance to or society. Please do not buckle under the pressure of those who want to limit it for their own gain or ideals. Keep Net Neutrality!!!

Juan E Zuluaga, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
I support strong net neutrality rules. We as a nation must maintain the freedom of any one person or company to have a fair chance at putting their information, goods, or services available online without risk of one having priority over the other. No one should have the power to decide which websites are off limits or which are more quickly accessed. ISP's should not have the power to throttle
my access to any website. I should have the freedom of choice to do what I want online and no company should have the right to tell me otherwise.

1071. Jason Feldman, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
I believe in the need for the government to protect and preserve the rights of people to access the internet and have their choice of content when they arrive. Internet Service Providers should not have a chance to gain immediate profit over the long term benefits to the national economy and social benefits that free access encourages. Please preserve and strengthen the existing Net Neutrality rules.

1072. Daniel Zero, Bogota, NJ, 07603

1073. Nicolas Arango, Hamburg, NJ, 07419
The internet needs to remain free and impartial to outside business.

1074. Nishan Lahood, Paramus, NJ, 07652
Net neutrality should stand as it is. If people are paying for a service, they should have access to whatever they want. Unless companies are planning to provide free service for everyone, they should not have the ability to block access to any online content.

1075. Elena Bennett, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
There is no question in my mind that ISPs offer telecommunication services and should be regulated as such. When I buy broadband I'm buying what I expect to be a reliable connection to all available on the Internet, I am not buying information services.

1076. Peter Stika, Highland Lakes, NJ, 07422
I am in support of Net Neutrality and a free and open internet. Any monopoly or oligarchy over the internet is morally wrong. I want free access for all users and consumers. I oppose throttling, paid prioritization and blocking. Censorship of the internet in ANY way is a breach on freedom of speech.

1077. Lucas Mastrangelo, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
Net Neutrality is a necessary freedom for communications in this country. Prioritizing or throttling communications is unconstitutional, on the net or off of it.

1078. Daniel Fitzpatrick, River Edge, NJ, 07661
Net neutrality is vastly important. Do not vote to kill it. A free and open internet benefits every single aspect of society. No public good can come from getting rid of it. Voting to end net neutrality would be an act of malice perpetrated on the American people.
1079. Grace Dury, Park Ridge, NJ, 07656
Please, for the love of everything that our nation is supposed to stand for, keep net neutrality.

1080. Vasilios Ikonomidis, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
A free market mean low barrier to entry, it doesn't mean no rules. It is with rules that economies create competition and capitalism strives, and the rule to govern all internet traffic as a single utility is vital to the ISP industries. Should net neutrality be abolished, it will only serve to allow ISPs to divide content as they have tried in the past. If something can be abused it will, and the ease of abuse for this issue is obscenely obvious.

1081. Kevin Busseno, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
Giving the power of god to big companies to control the internet is insane. Nobody should be able to control what you can look at and what you can't look at on the internet. If this goes through then we lose so much in just our rights.

1082. Gerardo Rivera Jr, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
I work from home testing the servers for various online games. This job requires that I have a consistent stable connection to not only ensure any issues that arise are those of the product but also to hold video conference meetings, filing reports, and coordinating with clients for real time fixes to issues. If internet access was no longer filed as a utility and my access was in any way throttled or forced me to pay more just to do my job it could my livelihood at risk. Stop selling out the little guy just because we don't have the money to lobby and buy out those jerks we send to represent US!!

1083. Ernest Scalabrin, Northvale, NJ, 07647
Our democracy is dependent on the free access to the internet unhindered by ISP's regulating what we can and can't see. 88% of us are for net neutrality. Why is this even a question?

1084. Christopher Paglinco, River Edge, NJ, 07661
Net neutrality and having ISPs remain under Title II is important to me. Having a free Internet without Fast lanes, and other annoyances is what we as humans need. It is fundamental to the growth of the human race to have a free Internet. Since the 2016 election, many undesirable things have happened to the United States, by our president and others he's installed. Please do not let a bad opinion become a bad decision made by the FCC. There are many people who are writing their comments on the FCCs page. Many Internet users do not like this proposed change. Listen to those who know that the Internet should be free. Keep net neutrality and Title II status for ISPs.

1085. rohan bhargava, Oakland, NJ, 07436
I am strongly against 17-108 as it is not in my best interest as a consumer of the
internet. I am concerned this rule would allow for unfair advantage for internet providers and limit internet access.

1086. Jessica Falk, Oakland, NJ, 07436
In recognition of the growing importance of fair, equal access to internet based communication for private citizens, new, and established companies, as well as the US court decisions regarding how the FCC can regulate ISPs to ensure equal access for all, I strongly support Net Neutrality and keeping Title II regulations for ISPs.

1087. John Hirsch, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
Let's be honest, do you really think cable companies won't use this to force users to buy some sort of bundle or add-on for priority access? If there is a way for companies to make more money off of the same service they will do it. This isn't right or wrong, it's just what they do.

1088. Andrew Levine, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
Please keep net neutrality. There is no reason not to. Do not be a douche.

1089. Robert Murphy, Northvale, NJ, 07647
THE PEOPLE WANT NET NEUTRALITY. Prove to us that America is still the land of the free.

1090. Steven Krzyszton, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
Paid prioritization isn't how the internet should function. Internet has become a utility and it is the governments responsibility to treat it that way and not let monopolistic companies profit where they shouldn't. Its not just the right thing to do, its something we must do. The internet is not a privilege for those who can afford it, its for everyone.

1091. Gloria McKenzie, Franklin Lakes, NJ, 07417
Keep the internet free!! These people (Corp.) are just to greedy. This is not the intent of the founder - to make even more money off our backs. Do the right thing for a change. You will sleep better.Gloria McKenzie

1092. Caroline McGrath, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
Net neutrality is very important and the internet needs to stay free of paid prioritization.

1093. Mack Isgro, Hewitt, NJ, 07421
Please don't censor the web!

1094. Alison Clark, Harrington Parl, NJ, 07640
I am very concerned about the potential loss of net neutrality. I believe everyone has the right to the same speed and access to the internet as is outlined
in the current Net Neutrality laws. Taking these protections away will badly affect small businesses and average consumers, and could well lead to organizations and/or political parties influencing what we can or cannot access on the web, effectively leading to censorship.

1095. Kris Busch, Franklin, NJ, 07416
Hello, my name's Kris, I live in New Jersey, and I will be a senior in high school in the fall. The open internet has had a very important impact on my life. I talk to most of my friends and family not only in real life, but also online, via messaging apps such as Skype, Discord, and WhatsApp. I get my daily news from sites like Twitter, Google, and Reddit. And I also get my entertainment from the likes of Netflix and YouTube. And I can say for sure that this has enriched my life in a big way. And it's all thanks to a free and open internet. I fear that without my ISP and others like it being subject to Title II, they can and will restrict and discriminate the way I use the internet. I don't want how I communicate, how I get informed, and how I get entertained to be potentially slowed down or blocked because my ISP prefers one service or app over the other. Please, do not repeal your Open Internet Rules. Thank you.

1096. Ryan Kang, Oradell, NJ, 07649
Without net neutrality, the entire face of the internet would be shifted in favor of corporate greed. Ryan Kang

1097. Sime Glavan, Emerson, NJ, 07630
Don't cut net neutrality. It's just wrong that you're allowing major telecoms lobby for your vote that will effect just about every American. Sime Glavan

1098. Anthony Tesoriero, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Net Neutrality is a big part of how our internet society functions. People should be able to access everything online, no matter the popularity, at the same speed. It's the people's choice, and the people want to keep speeds neutral, and net neutrality alive.

1099. Patrick OBrien, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
To Whom It May Concern, Net Neutrality is one of the most important topics of the modern era. The Internet remains the one true source of any and all information, completely uncensored and unbiased. Allowing Internet companies that are notorious for taking advantage of their customers to control what and how much content we view will only hurt the American people. Please do not allow these companies the right to unfairly regulate our access to information. Best regards, Pat OBrien

1100. Amelia Maas, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
I support strong net neutrality rules because it is the only way to ensure our personal freedoms in this day.
The implication behind the loss of net neutrality is simply too great to ignore any longer. No amount of political wish-washing or straight up lying can dissuade the public from the truth any longer. I ask that the open internet be protected now and forever to secure the freedoms we have long taken for granted online.

David Kozinn, River Vale, NJ, 07675
I am writing today in support of Net Neutrality. I was an "early adopter" of what eventually became the Internet, and I was fortunate enough to work for an employer in the early days that provided access. Back then, there was no consumer Internet, but you paid a (relative) fortune for commercial circuits. However, in those early days, there was no concept of preferential treatment or the so called "fast lanes". You got local access, and once you were connected, you got to any destination without any throttling or traffic shaping. As the Internet grew and it became a consumer product, necessarily things changed, but importantly, the concept of equal, neutral access remained. This is what I want from my ISP, access (or an "on ramp") to the Internet. I don't need email, cloud storage, music, movies, etc. from my ISP, I just want a fast connection to any destination that I choose. I don't wany my ISP to decide that I'll get better network performance if I choose one video streaming service versus another, if they decide to entirely block a service because of politics, a business dispute, or any other reason, and I don't want to have to worry that my ISP might decide to double their rates because they realize that they can. In 2016, the United Nations declared that Internet Access is a basic human right (https://www.brookings.edu/blog/techtank/2016/11/07/the-internet-as-a-human-right/) and I agree with that view. While I agree that Internet Service Providers have a right to charge for their services and to profit from delivering those services, I do not believe that they should be allowed to decide what services they will allow me to connect to, or which services get better access because someone with far deeper pockets than most individuals paid the ISP to give them preferential treatment at the expense of the consumer. An argument has been made by some that market competition will eliminate ISPs who do not provide neutral access, but the ability to switch providers is limited for many. I live in a reasonably well-off suburb of New York City, and here my choices (for actual broadband) are limited to one cable TV company and one phone company. When you eliminate temporary sign-on pricing, the costs are effectively the same, and there is no guarantee that neither of those companies won't charge extra for equal access. If there were truly a free market for services and there were many more carriers, this might be the case, but we have in place today and effective duopoly in my market, providing little choice. Further, with the current infrastructure, I'd likely have to miss a day or two of work while I wait for the installer to show up, and likely pay for additional equiment. Switching carriers is neither quick nor cheap. Even though my current carrier
has pledge to remain neutral, that could well change when their board of directors realize that there is money to be made by no longer remaining net neutral. Likewise, they could be acquired by another carrier who has made no such promise. I believe that ISPs should be regulated by the FCC in manner similar to other public utilities. As a taxpayer and consumer, I want to be protected from invasion of privacy (the ISP will have to monitor what I'm doing in order to "traffic shape" to their preferred services), price gouging (telcos and cable companies are notorious for raising prices without warning), fraudulent billing (same) and whatever else these carriers do to improve their bottom line at the expense of the consumer. Thank you.

1103. Emma, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
I care about the open internet & competition online. Net neutrality is in real jeopardy, and I'm in support of strong net neutrality rules that give people the power to choose which websites and apps are best. So I'm sending this message to let the FCC be more aware that I care about the open internet and competition online.

1104. Amy Pfeiffer, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
i believe we need to protect the open internet

1105. Vic, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
The USA is supposed to be a free country with the freedom to access all aspects of the Internet. Any subversive measures taken is in direct opposition with freedom. Do the right thing and keep the net neutral.

1106. Michael Aksen, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
KEEP net neutrality, we need a free internet

1107. Anthony Izzo, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
Do what's right for Americans, not the corporations.

The internet has become the most critical information system in the world. We cannot allow a select few to control it. This goes beyond business. Mere trust in ISPs is not enough to ensure something so important to a free society. For the good of society, it must remain classified as common carrier.

1109. Victor Kim, Norwood, NJ, 07648
Please don't ruin the internet for everyone. Save net neutrality!

1110. Bonnie Rogovin, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
Please protect rather than weaken the FCC's Open Internet Rules. Chairman Pai need to listen to millions of American Citizens and the thousands of companies who agree that the rules need to continue access as equally as possible to all
citizens and businesses. The Internet really is a public utility. More and more information is posted on web pages and nowhere else. It will be more difficult & frustrating for middle & lower wage citizens to use the internet for studying, shopping, getting news or emergency alerts, looking for directions & maps, bill paying, entertainment or business when ISPs have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Please donâ€™t kill the marketplace by limiting the options of blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. Startups and small businesses that can't pay fees won't be able to compete either. Censorship by ISPs hurts customers and businesses. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rulesCourts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Because this is so important to me I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Bonnie Rogovin

1111. Senad Ceca, Highland Lakes, NJ, 07422
   The internet is what it is BECAUSE OF NET NEUTRALITY! Don't take our rights away from us for the betterment of corporations, who already have billions of dollars.

1112. Matthew Grein, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
   The internet has become a necessity in everyone's life at this point. If we allow access to be limited by the providers in any way, it will highly curtail people's ability to access unfiltered information and express their beliefs without the concern of being censored. This country was founded on the principle of free speech and free press. It could not be more important to our way of life, especially now, when it seems that it is the ultimate goal of the current administration to destroy any opposing view points.

1113. Nicole Mial, Maywood, NJ, 07607
   I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am Opposed to Docket No. 17-108! Save the internet for everyone.

1114. Kira Mattos, Washington, NJ, 07882
   THE INTERNET IS A FAIR AND OPEN PLACE, ONE OF THE FEW NEUTRALS. WE ARE ALREADY BLOATED WITH ADVERTISEMENTS AND BUSINESS INFORMATION ON THE INTERNET, AND EVERYWHERE ELSE IN OUR LIVES. WHY DO WE NEED MORE? Piss
off your customers, consumers, and fellow humans... Shameful.

1115. Robert Parmelee, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
Dear FCC, please keep the net neutral! Thanks!

1116. Miguel Pereira, Allendale, NJ, 07401
Hello. I am part of the generation that grew up with the free internet, and the free internet is what has allowed us to get this far. It allows every website to have an equal chance of being used. It allows non-profit organizations to create websites and not be bullied out by long waiting times since they don't want to use their money for fast internet. I believe that by getting rid of net neutrality, you will be getting rid of a place where people can express their creativity, and if they are good, can make it their job. People can interact with strangers (in a safe way), and find new friends. People can find others with the same interest and collaborate on projects. Getting rid of net neutrality would discourage people from using certain sites that allow this capability, and it would ruin the fun of the internet. The only people it would really benefit is these huge corporations that provide internet services. Corporations that already make a ton of money. Why wouldn't you just let the internet exist as it has for the past three decades? Miguel Pereira

1117. Martin Pena, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
This will not benefit the people, this will only bring chaos. Why complicate this?

1118. Jacob Rosenfeld, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
Don't get rid of net neutrality.

1119. Joseph Wieczekzak, GREAT MEADOWS, NJ, 07838
I am in favor of keeping and open internet!!!, and urge you to keep it that way, there is no reason to be paying fees to internet providers beyond what is being charged for basic internet! Big companies are only trying to exploit the general public and hold us hostage to pay higher fees!!

1120. Myles Carney, Vernon, NJ, 07418
The internet needs to be for everyone, no restrictions.

1121. Paul Lee, Closter, NJ, 07624
While we must, of course, exercise self-control in what we watch and read on the internet, no government agency or internet service provider should be able to control and limit what we can access on the internet (except for treacherous & criminal content). We must preserve net neutrality.

1122. shanna smith, Oakland, NJ, 07436
at Internet service providers should enable access to all content and applications
regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites.

1123. Robert Kennedy, River Edge, NJ, 07661
Enough is enough with this corporate take over of everything in this country. Stand up to the plutocrats, FCC you work for the whole of America, not the 1%er club.

1124. Zachary Stephens, Hamburg, NJ, 07419
Restoring Internet Freedom for whom? ISPs? And what freedom? The freedom with which ISPs find creative ways to squeeze consumers for cash to solve problems that didn't exist prior to the ISPs' invention of said problems? Access to broadband is quickly becoming a necessary tool for functional citizenship, and if there's anyone that doesn't want us to be functional citizens, it's corporate interests. I live in the boondocks of northwestern New Jersey and the lack of oversight of our local ISP has led to the creation of a local monopoly with poor service predicated on the excuse of "bandwidth exhaustion." If they can claim bandwidth exhaustion while continuing to add and charge customers, I'd hate to imagine what sort of creative ways they could find to prevent consumers from putting a "burden" on their pathetic infrastructure while reaping profits that they fail to reinvest. Maybe today it's Netflix, maybe tomorrow it's a news website hosting a disparaging news story about my ISP. When corporations have more freedoms than we do, and when the FCC goes to bat to protect corporations instead of consumers, the system is broken.

1125. Todd Stambaugh, Emerson, NJ, 07630
In our society Internet Service Providers have things pretty good. They provide a service that is utilized by nearly everyone, and face little competition within individual markets. Since the infrastructure costs are prohibitive, there is little opportunity for anyone but an existing major player to break into the industry. With the immense power ISPs already have, it seems odd that the FCC would be interested in removing some of the few protections consumers have in the first place. It seems that no disinterested party believes that breaking net neutrality will result in a superior product for the consumer, as the only reasonable expectation would be that access would become limited at least in certain ways. There is also no fair expectation that it will result in reduced costs to the consumer. The role of an ISP in my life is to provide access to whichever part of the internet I may need to access at any given time. Nothing more. I do not use email provided by an ISP, I do not use cloud storage provided by an ISP, or any other ancillary services ISPs provide. I purchase a plan with an ISP so that I can access content on the internet at a speed that meets my needs as a consumer. The speed and reliability of the connection are basically the only factors in my decision. The ISPs know this, which is why the first things they mention in any advertising are speed and reliability. You will notice that I didn't
mention price as part of my decision making process because where I live, there are only two high speed service providers, and their prices are practically identical. I live in a highly populated area where there is plenty of room for competition, but no genuine competitors exist. I have switched service providers and the service has differed very little between them. This is not all bad, since net neutrality prohibits providers from blocking my access to certain content, but without those rules, I would have to wonder whether the content I wanted to access would be available on one or the other or neither, and whether the costs would change. I find it likely that the result would hurt me as a consumer. As an educator, I depend upon my students' access to a variety of online tools for virtually everything they do for my class. As an artist, I count on the ability of my audience to access my work on an equal footing with other artists, so that they can make their own decisions about whom to support. Without net neutrality, there is a real chance that it will be more time-consuming for me to teach, more challenging for my students to learn, and more difficult for me to reach the potential audience for my art. Enforceable net neutrality has been a cornerstone of the internet for as long as the question has existed, and to strip these rules now, with no foreseeable benefit to most Americans, is at the very least suspect, if not amoral. The FCC is meant to regulate communication networks, and there is no more important communication network today than the internet. To allow the gatekeepers to that network to write their own rules, potentially at the expense of the American public would be a dereliction of this commission's duty.

1126. ANGELICA DURAN-COUTO, Hamburg, NJ, 07419
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY. IT'S OUR CHOICE

1127. Bekim Perolli, branchville, NJ, 07826
The internet was created on fair and equal access for all - this is how it transformed the world as we know it. Rolling back Net Neutrality is a very bad idea. Any time we give additional power to corporate interests, or those controlling our channels of communication, we have to realize such power will never be relinquished. Net Neutrality is not a "left" or "right" issue. If you are an active internet user, it concerns you no matter where your beliefs settle, and the internet must be protected so that content cannot be choked, filtered, or approved by a narrow vision. Please keep the internet Net Neutral for the good of every human being on this planet.

1128. Danielle Keller, Wantage, NJ, 07461
I urge the FCC to retain net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It disturbs me that a former Verizon lawyer could claim any kind of objectivity on this subject or that Net Neutrality laws have somehow damaged the freedom of the internet, when we as consumers have seen ISPs flaunt their power time and time again to strongarm their customers. As someone who makes art
for a living, I need the internet to stay neutral, and the best way to do that is to keep companies like Verizon, Comcast, AT&T, and T Mobile out of the equation.

1129. Kayla Brage, Oakland, NJ, 07436
Your threats to get rid of net neutrality seriously jeopardize the populations ability to access the best and open internet. We pay to get access to the ENTIRE internet. Consumers are the ones that should choose which apps and websites are the best. The people want a free open internet, not some manufactured version and limit what we are able to see. Net neutrality is what makes the internet great and revolutionary. I demand access to the entire internet, free of paid prioritization. blocking, and throttling. Please consider what the people are demanding. net neutrality is of the utmost importance. Thank you for your time.

1130. Hersh Vakharia, Lodi, NJ, 07644
Keep Net Neutrality. Allowing ISPs to have more control over the internet is bad for the people and unjust. The internet should be an easily accessible resource in this day and age and restricting it is not conducive to the rights of the people.

1131. Kathy Palumbo, New Milford, NJ, 07646
I think it is imperative to maintain the regulations that protect net neutrality. I want to be able to access the internet knowing it is a fair place, and the speed of the internet is not governed by the dollars paid by big corporations. They already control too much

1132. Ivan Farias, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
Just doing my part, Now you guys do yours. Defend Net Neutrality

1133. Mario J. Romero, New Milford, NJ, 07646
Internet access should remain under Class II, as a telecommunications utility, to allow equal access to all data and inhibit discrimination of internet access by providers.

1134. Meldon Delacruz, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
Preserving net neutrality just makes sense, don't let money ruin another good thing!

1135. Christopher Hearon, Hamburg, NJ, 07419
Having the internet as a utility, allowing the United States to access an unknown amount of information, should not be restricted by internet companies. People pay for use of the internet as a whole, while restricting usage makes internet companies seem as controlling as North Korea.

1136. Ari Birnbaum, Saddle River, NJ, 07458
Keep net neutrality in place. It is required for a free and open internet.

1137. Lauren Moux-Kircaali, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 07458
The internet need to be a free and open space because it is essential to the people.

1138. SHOPPING, maywood, NJ, 07607
Keep Net Neutrality. I do not want companies controlling our Internet access.

1139. Ryan Sellick, Westwood, NJ, 07675
Net Neutrality MUST be preserved. The internet is the backbone of our lives in this country, we can not allow corporations to control access to content within it in ANY way.

1140. Joseph Saccente, Oxford, NJ, 07863
Net Neutrality is critical to the continued existence of competition online. Gutting the existing rules only benefits big ISP companies and is a determine to the consumers by preventing fair competition online.

1141. Timothy Foster, Lodi, NJ, 07644
The removal of net neutrality is not for the benefit of the citizens of the United States, only ISPs.

1142. Danielle Pluзыk, Demarest, NJ, 07627
We are NOT going to get monitored by stinking cable companies! We are the people! You're breaking the first amendment in the Constitution, the right of free speech! This is Danielle Otaka of the Lost Media Wiki and we will search for and find what we want and contact who we want! There will be no end to this! (Also, why did you make Astro Boy put on a shirt?)

1143. Samantha Hom, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
The internet should remain a level playing field for business, entertainment, creativity, and anything else found on the world wide web. Big Business already has enough advantages, they don't need more.

1144. Audrey Hackett, Belvidere, NJ, 07823
Please keep Net Neutrality! Don't throw out consumer protections. Protect internet users from large monopoly interference and price gouging. I strongly oppose the reversal of the Open Internet Order of 2015. Thank you.

1145. Andy, northvale, NJ, 07647
Ensuring that everyone gets equal and fair service is an important role of the fcc, please don't betray the American people.

1146. George Bowden IV, Newton, NJ, 07860
I believe ISP's should have little to no control over what is seen on the internet... I see it being the first step in destabilizing society, as it will cause people to get what they can't have (of the internet) in any way they can... I'm sure there would be more open ended ISP's that claim that they do not censor their internet connections (as a clever marketing ploy to charge a premium), but that is few and far between a possible reality. Internet freedom and online privacy has been a growing concern in the last decade, and the fact that a big company like Netflix has shown enough concern to post a link about it on their main webpage, tells me that online freedom has come to a critical point. Please listen to the people and stop your controlling actions threatening a free internet...

1147. Morgan Dorfman, Belvidere, NJ, 07823
Keep the internet for everyone!

1148. Miriam D., River Edge, NJ, 07661
Restore Internet Freedom!! It seems to me that when officials look at laws and standards, it comes from a very dated point of view. Millenials, and our next generation are using the internet in ways we could not have imagined previously. Why would we stunt that now? If we do, we are stunting future technology and growth, as well as global Peace!! The internet joins us all together!! Ultimately, we should all have equal opportunity to rise to great heights. If the internet exits to service the elite, then we will all suffer.

1149. Alberto Santiago, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
I am for net neutrality. There should be any blockages or tier system.

1150. Timothy Struble Jr, West Milford, NJ, 07480
As a 36 year old man, I find myself using the internet as it stands on a daily basis. Everyone does. Giving an ISP an opportunity to cater what you can have access to and how fast you can get it isn't fair to the people. I for one feel that as a customer to an internet provider, the company should make sure I have access to the internet how I (and everyone else using it) sees fit.

1151. Dylan P., Oakland, NJ, 07436
Please keep net neutrality a thing, the idea of throttling is unfair and should not be allowed

1152. kim luppino, POmpton Lakes, NJ, 07422
The Internet is a learning commons and it should not just be a platform for the companies who can afford to be first in search results. Keep the net neutral!

1153. Robert P Jenkins, Midland Park, NJ, 07432
I want to save the open internet, the degree of control that wants to be placed on the internet goes against what the internet is all about, and many companies would dictate their own rules to control what we see, where we go, and the
information available.

1154. Matt Hart, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
Keep the current net neutrality rules, do not alter them, it is abundantly clear of the American people's opinion.

1155. Susan Faigle, Park Ridge, NJ, 07656
The FCC must NOT roll back the net neutrality rules and allow big, wealthy broadband providers to control how the nation accesses videos, read news, access social media, and conduct business online. Protect Net Neutrality!

1156. Net Neutrality, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
I do not believe that ISP's should be allowed to throttle connections and dictate our internet access.

1157. Weston Solomon, Franklin, NJ, 07416
The internet need to be free and not restricted.

1158. Matt Skopin, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
I feel that the internet is now a vital resource, just like food and water, to the world. The act of trying to decide how and when I use this service, and punishing me monetarily or with slow speeds if I don't comply, is exploiting the importance of the internet as a resource for nothing but profit. It is important that people seek and consume they information and services THEY trust, not the ones that payed the most.

1159. Catherine Jennings, Newton, NJ, 07860
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and all persons that utilize the internet. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. This is an invasion of privacy. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal
customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Catherine Jennings

1160. TJ Santoro, Maywood, NJ, 07607
The internet is a place where people are free to explore and learn. ISPs should not have the power to hinder or interfere in a person's personal growth by restricting their internet speed, blocking sites, or be in fear that their search history could be used against them. To give them this power is a major blow to not just individual users, but businesses and educational institutions that rely on their employees, customers, students, etc. using or accessing the internet. How will students be able to access an online school if they are unable to reach the schools domain because it has been blocked or has had the speed restricted by an ISP.

1161. Josh Meier, Old Tappan, NJ, 07675
Stop being beholden to large telecoms and ISPs. They don't care about constituents, they only care for their wallets. It's the reason that CEOs can complain about how regulations are forcing them to lay people off, all while they stuff their coffers with even more money for the purpose of buying another ivory backscratcher. You are not a private businessman, Chairman Pai. You don't have a duty to your wallet anymore, but to the people. Corporations may be people, but there are more people in a podunk dump in flyover states than there are telecoms.

1162. Pranav Katkamwar, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
My startup will not stand a chance if this happens! Bad news for small businesses and the economy

1163. John Corio, Blairstown, NJ, 07825
Taking away net neutrality in favor of big business and supporting their, to put it lightly, questionable agendas instead of actually listening to the desires of the people of the United States, arguably the main principle behind our democracy, is just inexcusable. Please, listen to what the VAST majority of people are fighting for and understand that there is no benefit to removing net neutrality.
1164. Kimberly Heddy, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
I'm writing to urge the FCC to support net neutrality. Please keep the internet free of interference from providers.

1165. Patrick Strauss, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
Net neutrality needs to remain as it is currently for the benefit of the US population. The only ones who benefit from a net neutrality repeal are only the very top corporate executives at these huge, bureaucratic, mega ISP companies, and the figureheads that they bribe. Although, bribed representatives wouldn't even benefit in the longrun (I hope they have the foresight to recognize this). if the voters find out that you voted to repeal net neutrality, do you really think you have any chance of being reelected? I thought so. It's not worth the $50k bribes that Comcast or whoever else may be spending to pay off these representatives. Vote to keep net neutrality for the greater good of the population of all the US. Thank you.

1166. Kevin Trass, Oradell, NJ, 07649
This is truly a money for free speech issue. Ending net neutrality is the first step in ending free speech and the first step to a true revolution. Keep the peace. Don't give the crazies a reason to overthrow the government. I like my free speech and my law and order! Kevin Trass

1167. Jarrod Sonett, Ho Ho Kus, NJ, 07423
We want net neutrality! get off our back Pai and the ISP.

1168. Ho Lim, Oakland, NJ, 07436
Internet is an essential part of my life. I use it to get all the materials necessary for my schoolwork, and to gather information regarding certain topics to learn more than what is taught in class. Not only that, the internet is used to keep in touch with family members and friends who are overseas, whether it be through online messaging services, or through video chat to see their faces. The internet eases the pain of being away from my family. If the net neutrality rules are taken away, it allows the Internet Service Providers (ISP's) to prioritize services and sites that may not necessarily be useful for the customers, and the services that the customers really need could even be blocked. When the customers pay for services from the ISP's, the customers should be able to choose the services that they need, and they should be able to access those services freely. The ISP's should not get to decide which services the customers have access to. The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is the organization that can, and should, protect the people's right to access the internet freely. Please keep the internet open to everyone, and preserve the net neutrality rules. Please do not allow the ISP's to control what is accessible and what is not.

1169. Joao Henrique Dos Santos, Dumont, NJ, 07628
I feel as if this shouldn't be a thing that's happening. I feel as if the power to search and be on the internet as well as look for all the information that the user wants to know, it should be up to them to search for it and not have ISP's blocking what they'd like, effectively censoring out information or any website that they, themselves, personally don't like.

1170. Jason Floyd, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
I enjoy free internet and i think that it's a huge component of free speech and by choosing to control how the internet runs and what shows it impairs many person's free speech.

1171. Ronald Kim, Harrington Park, NJ, 07640
The internet should continue the way it is without any of the changes being brought to it.

1172. Jeanne McVerry, Park Ridge, NJ, 07656
I am writing this even specifically in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title 2. Preserve net neutrality and Title 2.

1173. Aleksander Holzer-Torres, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
I am in favor of net neutrality. Please do not do overturn title II.

1174. Kristian Wojnar, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
The United States cannot become a dictatorship, where our internet is blocked. The world is a global culture, so we need to band together and be there for one another... not strip everyone of their rights and freedoms.

1175. Matt Kanter, Oradell, NJ, 07649
Please do not enact this. It's awful for everyone! This will go very poorly for all if this passesMatt Kanter.

1176. Matthew Hernandez, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
The internet is used for EVERYONE and allows EVERYONE to do what they want! No one should be allowed to control the thing as it is grand and huge all over the world. Controlling the internet restricts ANYONE from doing what they wish to do online for fun! Gamers have to deal with slow internet, employees could lose jobs because of this horrible connection and ISP's, and remember that there is only 1 INTERNET FOR EVERYONE TO USE! Doing what you are doing to restrict connection from something that everyone uses everyday is detrimental as you are limiting people of their freedom!

1177. Ben M, River Vale, NJ, 07675
The open internet has introduced me to some of my closest friends I've ever known, some of whom I will be visiting in just a few days. If you change the open internet relationships like these can rarely ever happen again and many
peoples lives will be changed and ruined forever because of what you are doing here now. Stop being money hungry for once and think about the peoples lives that will be forever destroyed because you screwed them over and their relationships with this one act.

1178. Sean-Luke Tran, Hamburg, NJ, 07419
Keep net neutrality. The internet is for the people, made by the people. This freedom and privacy is the foundation of what we see now as the internet. We are not cattle to be herded by what others see is 'fit' for browsing. Learn, watch, communicate and share in peace. Without prying eyes looming above, making judgement for us, trying to take more and more away from the foundation of what we call the internet. A network of infinitely, interlocking data shared between all.

1179. Justin Park, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
Pls

1180. Isaiah Torres, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
Can you like not thanks.Isaiah Torres

1181. net neutrality, Paramus, NJ, 07652
You better not change net neutrality you human fecal matter piles

1182. Jason Thomas Orefice, Ho-Ho-Kus, NJ, 07423
The open internet is very important to my family and I and any restriction on our internet would lead to real life protesting from people who support your organization. Make the right choice and keep the internet equal for all.

1183. Brian Pfunke, Newton, NJ, 07860
The internet has become such a big part of the world as we know it. Attacking Net Neutrality only sends us backwards and takes us farther away from being able to unite the world.

1184. Luis Hernandez, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
the internet should not be controlled by the government and big business.

1185. Freedom Ain't Free, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
I know freedom is not free however, the internet should be remain free from corporate control. Otherwise people will resort to using the dark web even though they intentionally intended on legal and moral innocence.

1186. Ben, teaneck, NJ, 07666
Net Neutrality is an essential component of the modern Internet. To remove that would be to destabilize the entire Internet, effectively realizing the very real possibility of an ISP charging companies to ensure internet access, which would
result in the exclusion of small businesses.

1187. Alaina Lynn, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
Net neutrality preserves competition and innovation among businesses and individuals. Please continue to regulate ISPs under Title II exactly as they were under the new rules put in place during the Obama Administration.

1188. Gregory, Columbia, NJ, 07832
The internet is like international waters. No one really owns it, but evil thinks like piracy is going, then it is acceptable to take action. If this proceeding goes through, it will only lead to big corporations taking over.

1189. Matt Tempe, Hamburg, NJ, 07419
Hello to anyone this may concern. The free web and current net neutrality rules have a really big impact on my life currently and they should remain for all those who are impacted by it. I have Becker Muscular Dystrophy, a neuromuscular disease. I am wheelchair bound and can't do much of anything physical, I even can't get out of my house much. I am currently unemployed and the internet is one of the only options right now for any hope of income. I have a degree in graphic design and want to be able to create content I can share with others by using the skills I've learned during my life. The internet being free allows me to do this. If ISP's can control what I do on the web, I will potentially lose this option. My life revolves around the internet right now and I want to be able to freely express myself. I have friends I talk to on a everyday basis using Skype or apps. I don't want to have these relationships limited due to extra buffering or limited access to certain websites or web based services which grant me free access. All these things in my life will be greatly affected if the current net neutrality rules change. I don't even have the option in my area to get another decent ISP for my current needs. I pay my ISP to get access to the whole internet already, not just part of it. Changing net neutrality rules changes things for everyone, not just me. I'm sure there are others in my position as well. It will also affect start-up businesses and other content creators in a very negative way. The free web has given the people a chance to make it in this life and fully express themselves, why limit that?

1190. Simon Bruce, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
Net neutrality is fucking important, don't donk it up.

1191. James You, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
I want net neutrality. It is our freedom.

1192. Bernard Usi, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
The dissemination of information, a fundamental in not only a democratic nation, but that of simply any learning community. Without the protection of the neutral net, consumers are at the will of their providers who have almost
close to no competition. I've known many people that simply only just have Verizon or Comcast, and never heard of any other providers. Yet allowing the rollback, or rewind, will infringe on information that'll be withheld from us, the people.

1193. Jacob Shulman, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 07458
Keep Net Neutrality! We need open internet

1194. Samuel Allaman, Newton, NJ, 07860
I am staunchly opposed to the idea of revoking Net Neutrality. This endangers my access to an open internet and also stifles the ability for new companies and persons to grow their business or personal dealings. Not only do I stand to lose money for a service that I am already receiving, but the potential for censorship increases drastically under the internet with no neutrality. Please think about the impact of this decision and know that there is a substantial amount of the American public that is vehemently against this proposal.

1195. Peter Schaedler, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
As both a computer scientist and a frequent user of many internet services, having an open and equally accessible internet is extremely important to me. The internet is important enough to our international society, economy, and culture that individual companies should not be allowed to interfere with individual domains.

1196. Scott Kim, Paramus, NJ, 07652
Internet neutrality has led to so many incredible artistic and practical creations ranging from constructive criticism and support of unfiltered creativity on Newgrounds, open source projects and help on Github or StackOverflow, as well as the discussion and sharing of unbelievable ideas through TED, Youtube, and Kickstarter. The allowance of freedom combined with its accessibility is what makes the internet a powerful and unifying force in the modern world. These properties are unique to the internet, and to take those away would disconnect so many from so much. This is not a step forward in the right direction.

1197. Net Neutrality, Midland Park, NJ, 07432
Restoring Internet Freedom for whom? ISPs? And what freedom? The freedom with which ISPs find creative ways to squeeze consumers for cash to solve problems that didn't exist prior to the ISPs' invention of said problems? Access to broadband is quickly becoming a necessary tool for functional citizenship, and if there's anyone that doesn't want us to be functional citizens, it's corporate interests. The internet, like the library, is an equalizer in many ways for those to better themselves and educate themselves. Companies already can claim bandwidth exhaustion while continuing to add and charge customers, I'd hate to
imagine what sort of creative ways they could find to prevent consumers from putting a "burden" on their pathetic infrastructure while reaping profits that they fail to reinvest. Maybe today it's Netflix, maybe tomorrow it's a news website hosting a disparaging news story about the ISP. That sort of power to censor and prevent people from accessing certain sites is like living under a dictatorship. People should have the right to use the internet freely, and not have speed decreased unless they fork up a sum. When corporations have more freedoms than we do, and when the FCC goes to bat to protect corporations instead of consumers, the system is broken. We already have capital interests trump citizens' rights too frequently in this country. Allowing corporations the ability to make more money at the expense of the PEOPLE and their rights is when the system is broken and exploitative.

1198. John Doe, Franklin Lakes, NJ, 07417
For the internet to further grow and develop its access must remain unrestricted.

1199. Laura Leonard, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
Net neutrality is crucial so that information can be accessed equally by people regardless of their means or the priorities and opinions of ISPs.

1200. Rafael Vasquez, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
The internet needs to remain free and open. Keeping net neutrality laws as they are now ensures that there will always be a competitive environment, where the consumer is in full control of where and how they browse and use the internet. Let's keep the power where it belongs and not allow the major ISP's have full run to control our experience how they see fit.

1201. Ralph R. Lopez, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
Giving power to companies to restrict certain aspects of the internet that they choose is wrong. The internet was developed for everyone to experience and be able to share. It would destroy lives to put this into place.

1202. Reuben Fuentes, Rochelle Park, NJ, 07662
The internet should be free and not affected by the government. Freedom is a right we have and we should be allowed to post and see anything on the internet.

1203. Nick Chow, Demarest, NJ, 07627
The internet is a public domain that deserves equal rights and access for everyone. Don't take away our online freedom and voices. Nick Chow

1204. Andrew Lavers, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
Net neutrality is essential for business innovation and consumer choice. Small businesses need equal access to become big businesses. The tolls are the same on the roads. Why does it make any sense for say a trucking company to
determine what I pay for toll road or them to say get an exclusive lane. Andrew Lavers

1205. Eric Craig, River Edge, NJ, 07661
The name of this ruling is Orwellian. Keeping ISPs classified as under the control of title II will allow the FCC to enforce net neutrality and keep the freedom that has allowed the Internet to take such a substantial place in the economy. I am opposed to allowing carriers to segregate network traffic into fast/slow lanes or pricing tiers and expect government to enforce net neutrality through appropriate mechanisms. We are seeing this happening now with AT&T uVerse and AT&T wireless - uVerse customers are not charged minutes for content, while Netflix, XFinity or other content aggregators are charged.

1206. Dan Inscho, Belvidere, NJ, 07823
Access to a speedy open internet is a key to the success of my business. My IPS has already set up purchase levels which allow businesses with a more positive cash flow to purchase faster and stronger signals without any throttling back on peak hours. In my opinion, if large business is allowed to purchase the internet speeds and accessibility with their hugh vaults of cash, then free enterprise will come to an end. We will all be forced to live with the big boys and no more local, mom & pop business.

1207. Janice Park, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
It is ridiculous to hold control over the internet and have businesses controlling them on top of that. There is a potential danger in preventing others from accessing a website that may be blocked. Moreover, data can be sold to other companies if it is owned by internet providers, which are businesses. Your personal data can be shared and can negatively impact your own personal life. A specific scenario is potentially giving health insurers access to them and increasing rate based on browsing history. There are too many factors in play that is overlooked and should be taken seriously. Due to the lack of laws in regards to personal data sharing, please do not let this pass.

1208. Net, Oradell, NJ, 07649
Keep Net Neutrality. Repealing would only hurt the american people.

1209. Alexander Lam, Maywood, NJ, 07607
The FCC should preserve and enforce laws that require the fair treatment of all data traversing the internet. The ability of any consumer to reach any entity, no matter how small or unpopular is paramount to the past and future success of the internet.

1210. Derrick Sieck, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
The FCC's Open Internet policy (Net Neutrality) is very important to me and to a vast majority of the country. It is imperative that we keep the internet a free
and open source of information as it was intended. In today's age the internet is a resource, tool, and a lifeline. It is our most important means of communication. I am highly against anything that is against Net Neutrality and a free and open internet.

1211. matt purcelli, wyckoff, NJ, 07481
    It should be our god given right as Americans to have net neutrality, Race, religion, creed, and especially wealth should never take away or limit our use/speed of the internet,

1212. anton fredrickson, closter, NJ, 07624
    Please keep internet free. its the brain of the world. No one has the right to change that!

1213. Ryan Townsend, Waldwick, NJ, 07463
    Please do not allow the one of the greatest technological advancements of our generation be stunted solely in the interest of these companies.

1214. Kathryn Delaney, Washington, NJ, 07882
    Please don't cut our internet!Kathryn Delaney

1215. Justin Krampert, New Milford, NJ, 07646
    The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are necessary to free speech access and freedom of the press. Protecting them is dire.ISPs cannot be permitted to hold the power to impede and censor websites for giant companies' profits. Comcast and Warner Cable have throttled Netflix and online games. AT&T blocked FaceTime, which is an important communication access tool for people like us who are Deaf /deaf/hard of hearing. Verizon admitted it will introduce faster sites with payments, and slower sites without pay. This all hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee, and Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they oppose, by charging fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up, to have their voices heard.I am deeply concerned that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will blatantly ignore me and millions of other Americans. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce ALL of Chairman Pai's plans. Thank you!Justin Krampert

1216. Jamal Butler, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
    Why do you keep doing this like every year?

1217. Tarun Mandalapu, Paramus, NJ, 07652
As a recent high school graduate who is going to University of Wisconsin to study Computer Science I have been keeping myself informed and I believe that preserving Net Neutrality is in the best interest of every single user of the Internet.

1218. Josh Winter, Westwood, NJ, 07675
Don't ruin the internet for the sake of money!

1219. Alexander Bartke, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
This abuse of power by corporations is unacceptable and will not stand! Keep the net free and neutral! Alexander Bartke

1220. Harsh Menghani, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 07458
The internet is a useful resource that belongs to the people, not to corporations that wish to monetize every aspect of a person's life

1221. Steffen Chirichiello, Waldwick, NJ, 07463
I strongly oppose the, Restoring Internet Freedom Act, because it may negatively impact all consumers by creating monopolies the ISP's would control, severely damaging competition from already established websites, and controlling the content users could consume. I support the Net Neutrality rules that exist today, thank you.

1222. net neutrality, Old Tappan, NJ, 07675
I need this

1223. Stephen Weber, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
Not only is this a horrible idea to pass but violates the freedom of everyone who uses the internet, it can hamper free speech and many other basic freedoms that we the people are entitled to have under our own constitution. Not only that but much like everything else, big business would abuse this power to put more people down and empower their own status and continue to run and rule over anyone and everyone they can Stand Together. Stand as One. Stand for freedom

1224. Bictor Martinez, Westwood, NJ, 07675
I don't understand why people seem to always try to find a way to both make a profit off of and inconvenience others. While business may be business to you and others, there are people whose livelihoods depend on the internet regulations on net neutrality to remain the same. Not everyone has to care about others personal agendas by outright forcing them to comply, because some are already susceptible enough as is. Honestly, can you say that you have thought what you are planning on changing, in the shoes of those whom cannot even put any sort of fight to these changes, i.e., every single person writing for keeping this net neutrality.
1225. Robert Texel, Wantage, NJ, 07461
I am in favor of Net Neutrality, and it is my opinion that internet service providers should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites. The FCC should ensure that the government does not roll back Open Internet rules.

1226. Emma Robinson, Township of Washington, NJ, 07676
To all who it concerns, Please, I urge you, do not rid of net neutrality. It is not fair, it is not right to simply charge more on the basis of what one person creates, and not charge that amount to another. Free speech is not the same as just "speech," in all categories. And does our country need more Taxes, as what would happen if you removed net neutrality? As you may know, 3.7 million people in 2015 won these strong net neutrality rules from the FCC. Net Neutrality is something that everyone is affected by. From activists to social media stars, politicians, and others, net neutrality is something of a great value to all of them. I'm positive that somewhere on the internet, someone has written something positive for the riddance of Net Neutrality. Would you charge them for that as a slow lane? Please, before you make a decision that will affect so many people, realize all the negative consequences that are guaranteed to follow. Emma Robinson

1227. Todd Weisse, Oakland, NJ, 07436
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This is extremely important to the future of the web.

1228. Francesca Oliveri, Maywood, NJ, 07607
I want my internet free and equal. Don't take away our rights to freely express ourselves online.

1229. Joseph Aloysius Wisneski IV, Highland Lakes, NJ, 07422
Net neutrality is necessary to the free and open market of the internet. Without net neutrality, the internet will become imbalanced like the economy, and power will consolidate in the hands of the few, hurting the many.

1230. Dominic Liu, Woodcliff Lake, NJ, 07677
Net neutrality is absolutely essential to a free and well-functioning society. Ask yourself this: would removing net neutrality improve the lives of the citizens of the United States? Isn't the job of the government to protect and serve its people, not big corporations that are known to bully its customers? Ask yourself if succumbing to greed and corruption is worth it, and think critically about which side of history you want to be on. How are you "restoring internet freedom" with this bill? Be wise, not impulsive. Save net neutrality.

1231. Arthur Kim, Alpine, NJ, 07620
Don't screw with people's rights to browse the free web
1232. Albert Kim, Paramus, NJ, 07652
As the old saying goes, "don't fix what's not broken". The Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 has kept the internet free and neutral to all parties, and repealing it would merely encourage monopoly and discrimination by the ISP. You know full well that your argument of switching ISP is BS. Repealing the Title II will merely enable big companies to monopolize the market in your fake "free-market", and essentially remove all choices for the consumers. By repealing the Title II, you will destroy the opportunities for small times businesses and innovators to succeed, and kill the US's potential to grow technologically as well as limit freedom of speech, communication, education, arts, and the basic foundations of civilizations. Keep the Title II as it is. The regulations are there to prevent people from abusing the free market; it is protecting the free market. Keep the Title II, keep Net Neutrality, and keep the internet safe from monopolies.

1233. Brittany Butler, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
I want to express my beliefs about net neutrality. I know that once you agree to charging for internet speed you will be segregating access to the most current and up to date information. For people who are buying stocks or reporting information whoever has the most money and fastest connection will always have an edge. This is unfair and what not if someone with a faster connection alters information before the poor people get it. that can lead to all sorts of problems. We do not need more income inequality in our country.

1234. Josue Cortorreal, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
You may have already received my first comment which was the basic copy paste letter of my movement but I would to emphasize how important net neutrality is to me. My family is one of business and many of them gave small businesses they are mechanics they chefs and some even are web designers. if net neutrality were to end major businesses would have an unfair advantage over smaller family owned ones in that their websites would be much faster than ours and it could hurt main street. Please if you're for consumers and for small business protect net neutrality Josue Cortorreal

1235. Robert Johnson, Hewitt, NJ, 07421
This is a bad idea. Leave the internet alone.

1236. Jamie Reulbach, Hewitt, NJ, 07421
As someone who grew up with a free internet, I wouldn't have it any other way. Keeping the internet neutral is what gives it such a powerful personality. Without a neutral internet, I doubt we would have as many innovations as we do today. For example, a startup company would have an awful time trying to deal with providers instead of trying to have a solid project. These startups would only succeed if internet providers allowed them to, not if the public chose them
as a superior product! Please keep the internet neutral, for the sake of all of us who use it regularly.

1237. Tom Walsh, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
I strongly oppose the repeal of Net Neutrality rules. The internet must remain an open source to everyone and not restricted and divided up into fast lanes for the companies that pay and slow lanes for everyone else. Repeal of net neutrality will lead to censorship based on the whims of the ISP.

1238. Nathaniel Martin, Vernon, NJ, 07462
I'd just like to leave one very simple comment: If net neutrality rules change, and ISPs are allowed to control the content that customers consume, I can guarantee that most, if not all, of the country's developers, programmers, software engineers (and any other computer-related careers) will go on some sort of strike, and will definitely publicly protest. I know this because I currently hold a Software Engineering position at a well-known flight simulations company. All of my coworkers and many of my friends are programmers, gamers, and digital natives in general. Restricting the content that all of us are able to consume will have drastic consequences within the software engineering sectors of this country, I can promise you that. My largest concern is that there will easily be enough uproar to destabilize an economy that heavily relies on software engineers now more than ever.

1239. William Robertson, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
The protection of Net Neutrality is one of the most significant things that we can do in this modern day. ISPs give millions of people access to the sum of current human knowledge, places of debate (formal or not), and vast amounts of entertainment. Students use it for study, businesses use it for taxes and financial filing, the average person may use it for talking with a far off friend or family member. If Net Neutrality is allowed to fail, then the government is actively allowing corporations to control the speed and accessibility of the modern American's life.

1240. Karla Kretschmannova, Westwood, NJ, 07675
The Internet should be open. It keeps level playing field, where everybody can reach users on an equal footing. If Internet access providers can block some services and cut special deals that prioritize some companies' content over others, that would threaten the innovation as well as freedom of speech. Please keep Tittle II for IPS.

1241. Kristina Casper, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
Removing net neutrality is not ok. To allow providers to potentially filter and choose what we see and are able to access is unacceptable. Keep net neutrality!

1242. jordan clauberg, mahwah, NJ, 07430
please dont let them do this to the internet!

1243. alison cattelona, Hackensack, NJ, 07646
Why, in the country that created the internet, would you now revoke net neutrality? Why would you give all the other countries that commercial advantage over us? Why would you be so short sighted to limit full access to all in the country that made it possible? Is it simply to line your pockets with campaign donations? Think of what you are doing to the country on a whole. Do not put this into the hands of those commercial entities to rule over what is best served and to whom. Address above is false since it is public. If you require my real address, reach out to me via email

1244. Riaz Miah, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
Please keep the internet current net neutrality in place. We need to keep the internet open and free and keep large companies from stifling competition by choosing who can or cannot have access.

1245. Arnold Hyndman, Belvidere, NJ, 07823
Protect Net Neutrality, keep the Internet free and open for all. Protect American free speech, and the free and open exchange of ideas and information. As an education, I believe this is important to our nation's future.

1246. Anthony Landati, franklin, NJ, 07416
It's like you guys want an uprising. If you think the Trump protests were bad, rid of net neutrality and wait and see what happens.

1247. Anita B Skolnick, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
It is of the utmost importance to keep net neutrality. I should keep the right to view any and all internet content without filters or anyone controlling speed or access.

1248. Deborah Iannone, Paramus, NJ, 07652
Please require that internet service providers enable access to ALL content and applications regardless of the source, without favoring or blocking particular products or websites. Maintain Net Neutrality!

1249. julie de jesus, ho ho kus, NJ, 07423
Net Neutrality is the one policy that connects rural, suburban and urban citizens. The net was financed by our tax dollars! It is a right that must be protected by our government and not sold off to corporations.

1250. Louis Perrotta, Maywood, NJ, 07607
I don't want net neutrality. Bandwidth is a scarce resource and its owners should be able to provide it in a manner that they prefer.
1251. Tim Joseph, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
Stop making things worse for everyone one. I think there is things in D.C. that could use more of your free time. Keep Net Neutrality!!! The Internet should be a fair ground and the internet should be open to all.

1252. Kyle Watt, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
We need REAL net neutrality. Protect internet users from monopolistic ISPs and don't let companies censor, slow down, or block websites while requiring other sites to pay for faster service. This is detrimental to new businesses and hurts consumers. The only ones who benefit from this would be the ISPs. Please stand up for all Internet users and our right to communicate

1253. Dylan A. Sen, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
I would like to express my specific and strong support of Net Neutrality, just like 99% of the people that are writing to you.

1254. Anthony De Araujo, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
How is this still a thing? This should be in Title 2 so that the isp companies can't fuck us more than they already are.

1255. internet of things, Belvidere, NJ, 07823
Protect my privacy on the internet of things. Removing net neutrality is against my wishes and the majority of the country.

1256. Steven Onderdonk, Maywood, NJ, 07607
Net Neutrality Act should not be revoked. The internet was founded on the free exchange of ideas and information. Removing this act will not increase security, instead allows Internet Service Providers to unfairly charge whatever price they want for their services while at the same time selling my browsing information to third party marketing/trending companies. Revoking this act completely destroys all that the internet stands for.

1257. zack, franklin lakes, NJ, 07417
The internet needs to be free and open not controlled by a monopoly like television

1258. Jesse Kahle, Newton, NJ, 07860
Keep Net Neutrality and Title II for ISPs. please.

1259. Peter Thai, Paramus, NJ, 07652
Keep Net neutrality. The use of internet has been its highest since. seriously, everyone likes their porn.

1260. Chad Kolinsky, upper saddle river, NJ, 07458
America was founded on government by the people, for the people. The key
word here is PEOPLE. Large corporations and ISPs are not people. It is the duty of the FCC to decide what is in the best interest of the American people and no one else. It is your duty to do the right thing here. Net Neutrality protects the internet as one of the last bastions of freedom. If ISPs can throttle speeds and prioritize traffic, then we have lost that freedom. The internet is a public utility and should be treated as one. The American people have a right to access free and fair internet. Do not abandon us in our time of need.

1261. Julius Im, Norwood, NJ, 07648
I was always taught that the United States was all about freedom and the democracy--doing what you want to do and saying what you want to say (with respect to others of course). I'm sure this will be expressed a lot, but to take away net neutrality means to undermine the very thing that this country was built on. It seems like a small proponent, but this sets a precedent for future events. What's next? Restrictions on necessities? Having to pay extra just to get decent food? Taking away net neutrality means controlling the experience of the internet--controlling what people can see and what they can't see. If I recall, that's the sort of stuff that communism and fascism make use of. It's because we have access to inspiration and work from all around the world that we complement each other and make things better than they would have been. That's why the internet is so crucial. And what are you going to do by taking away net neutrality? Make a couple more bucks? For what? Just to line your pockets with unnecessary luxuries? To feed your ego? Whatever it might be, it's not worth taking away the communities that have been formed through the availability of the internet and it's not worth taking away the potential that the internet still holds. Please. Don't take away net neutrality.

1262. Tom Aroune, Newton, NJ, 07860
Net neutrality is as crucial as the 1st Amendment.

1263. Morgan Dudzinski, Port Murray, NJ, 07865
Net Neutrality encourages freedom of speech as written in our Constitution and Bill of Rights.

1264. Michael Yi, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
We the people should be able to control how the government regulates the internet and not lobbying companies.

1265. Dan Marino, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
Without Net neutrality, there is no open free equal internet opportunity. Speeds can be manipulated and thus so can users clicks and decision making. This is an infringement on the sovereignty of the individual, the little guy. Quit picking on the little guy and allow the internet to remain neutral for everyone.

1266. Dan Marino, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
Without Net neutrality, there is no open free equal internet opportunity. Speeds can be manipulated and thus so can users clicks and decision making. This is an infringement on the sovereignty of the individual, the little guy. Quit picking on the little guy and allow the internet speeds to remain equal for everyone.

1267. Matthew Stypulkoski, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
The internet is not meant to be turned into a plan similar to cable. The internet should always be considered a source of information available to everyone. If net neutrality is removed, what consumers are able to view on the internet will start to heavily be controlled by ISPs. If that becomes reality, how are we any different than other countries that have extreme regulations and restrictions on what civilians are able to view on the internet. Trying to profit even more off the internet is honestly just greed and the American people should not be at the back end of this insane greed.

1268. HJ Sipes III, Belvidere, NJ, 07823
Information is power and allowing soft censorship of the internet is a step in the wrong direction. I appreciate you maintaining Title II net neutrality rules and the rights of Internet users like me.

1269. Aidan Biddle, Montvale, NJ, 07645
The internet was built on the fact that it was a place for people to search and discover anything and everything they desired from recorded history. The possibilities of the online world are endless and have created some amazing things. If it slowly gets chipped away but Internet Service Providers, what chance does the internet have now?

1270. Warren Geller, maywood, NJ, 07607
Open access and SAME speed for all. You have stolen enough from the public.

1271. Moritz Reichert, Saddle River, NJ, 07458
Net neutrality has affected me in many different ways - it's helped me complete my high school education. It's allowed me to utilize the internet and all of its services without worrying about paid prioritization by the ISPs. I don't have to be limited in what I can use online as a result of net neutrality. I need net neutrality, and so does the rest of the internet - please, don't take the freedom away from us.

1272. Paul Saunier, Lodi, NJ, 07644
Net neutrality must be maintained! Paul Saunier

1273. Andres Gonzalez, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
In northern New Jersey near Manhattan, unfortunately there is little to no competition for internet access. As I am of latin descent i know and have helped people who are becoming Americans and learning the language, as well as
using the internet for the first time. I have seen first hand the power of this tool for both bettering people and making great citizens. But in this area of no competition the prices for basic internet access are already being changed constantly and service is abysmal in what is supposed to be one of the most developed regions in one of the most developed nations in the world. This action will make things worse. Basic communication is not a luxury, it is a necessity. We are a land of opportunity because of free speech and accessibility to information. Education is the silver bullet to a better america, and people need neutral and open internet that is protected as a utility in order to become and continue to be the citizens of one of the best countries in the world. One of the few things we as Americans can be proud of when in comparison to the rest of the world is freedom of speech. The great and terrible things that have been done to protect this right would be in vain when we take the same approach to the 'de facto' form of communication of the 21st century as North Korea, China, Cuba, Syria, Burma, Tunisia and so on. It is irresponsible, anti-freemarket, and above all un-patriotic to not protect net-neutrality. Governments have laws specifically laid out for the reasons of protecting the people, companies are no different. Specificity in laws have given us protection from child labor, end of slavery, health and safety standards, etc. Not protecting net-neutrality is thoroughly un-american.

1274. Thomas, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
By striking down net neutrality, you are opening the floodgates for ISP companies to police the internet in such a way that would be devastating for businesses and startups as well as incredibly frustrating for the average citizen. The internet has become an integral part of business and has fundamentally shaped the world we live in. If you were to remove the regulations that protect net neutrality, then Internet Service Providers would be allowed to block websites that they don't like and slow down your internet so they can charge you extra for the exact same speed you had before. Had net neutrality not existed in the early days of the internet, it would not have become such a key part of our lives. In order to preserve this wonder of the world for everyone to experience, keep the internet free and open.

1275. John Maxwell, Dumont, NJ, 07628
Net neutrality is an important piece of freedom that all citizens of the United States should enjoy

1276. Luis De Araujo, hackettstown, NJ, 07840
I believe the internet is a place for everyone to grow and share there works and passions this blocks the people of the us from sharing and growing together

1277. Gabriel Uy, Newton, NJ, 07860
no one should have control of the internet
1278. Mercedes Santoro, Maywood, NJ, 07607
The internet should be left as is. We should not be blocked or guided to websites and/or usage.

1279. Net Neutrality, Rochelle Park, NJ, 07662
The Net Neutrality proposal is not even close to neutral. Leave the internet open and free as it has been for decades. Paying for "fast lanes" or ISPs slowing down websites or streaming services only serves to benefit the corporate shareholders. There will be little to no benefit for the public at large. Do your job, keep the internet free for all.

1280. Christopher Meli, Bogota, NJ, 07603
We need to keep the INTERNET free, i want to be able to keep my freedom of speech thanks

1281. Edward Reinke, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 07458
We need to preserve net neutrality. If big companies control the web, small businesses and star ups will be put at a disadvantage.

Please keep net neutrality. Only the corrupt and greedy would want to remove it.

1283. Jonathan Sacks, Franklin Lakes, NJ, 07417
PLEASE TREAT ALL CONTENT EQUALLY

1284. Kerry McNulty, New Milford, NJ, 07646
A free and open internet is the single greatest technology of our time, and control should not be at the mercy of corporations. I do not want big companies deciding where I should be looking/shopping/reading/exploring because they're benefiting. One of the reasons that the internet continues to flourish is that everyone has equal access. A free and open internet creates a more informed public, transfers ideas, helps us to connect with people across the country and world. Do not take this away from us!

1285. Michael O'Reilly, Northvale, NJ, 07647
I am in favor of strong net neutrality rules including the regulation and oversight of Internet Service Providers by the Title II regulations. A move to reclassify ISP's out of the Title II regulations is a move to destroy the free internet by relegating companies that will not or can not pay to the slow lane. I do not support the changes proposed by Chairman Pai to roll back the 2015 ruling that placed ISP's under title II regulation.

1286. William Connolly, West Milford, NJ, 07480
Do NOT give ISPs the ability to control the spread of information by removing
net neutrality. It is hard enough to get good information as it is without worrying about what I am able to access. We are not North Korea......

1287. Kimberly Sharp, Great Meadows, NJ, 07838

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me, not to mention that they are important to every other consumer in the United States. I urge you to think your decision through and protect these rules. ISPs should not have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. This creates an unfair monopoly for the big ISPs and pretty much screws over smaller companies and ordinary consumers. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. The beauty of the Internet is that anyone has the freedom to go to any website they want without having to pay extra for certain websites or deal with any intentional slow-downs of their service. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts both consumers and businesses who don't want to or are unable to pay extra for "premium" service. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like, which impedes on the first amendment of the U.S. Constitution. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore millions of Americans and myself. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you, and I hope that you will listen to the pleas of those against getting rid of net neutrality and protect it, for the sake of everyone. Kimberly Sharp

1288. Brian Kornmiller, Newton, NJ, 07860

As a voting member of American society and as someone who simply is unable to enjoy the benefits of being able to choose my ISP in my current area of residence I feel that anything against Net Neutrality is an affront to our way of life. None of the Anti-neutrality arguments can be even construed to even remotely be in the paying customers' favor. I for one want More choices for
ISPs and less control for the corporations to wield. Our system is broken enough as it is and we have a lot of things to improve if we're to catch up with the rest of the developing world but this is currently the possible last nail in free American ISP's coffin and we as a society will suffer because of it should it go the way that the corporations wish it to go.

Brian Kornmiller

1289. James P Younghans, Emerson, NJ, 07630
Adjit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs!
James P Younghans

1290. Daniel Tise, Dumont, NJ, 07628
I am an office manager for a dental office located 2 towns over from where I live. The only internet access we have there is Optimum Online. We don't have access to Verizon, Direct TV internet, or other means of internet. Being a small business it isn't fair for us to pay whatever Optimum dictates because they have the monopoly in our area of Tenafly, NJ. By lifting the net neutrality laws, this will only make things worse and more expensive for small businesses. We will not be able to function as a dental practice in this day and age without internet services to run our phone systems and back up our HIPAA sensitive data. Please consider keeping the Internet neutral! Thank you.

1291. Sunny, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
I support net neutrality because internet should be free & open.

1292. Kayla Weisen, Franklin Lakes, NJ, 07417
Companies should not be able to purposely slow down online servers simply to create additional and redundant fees for better service. An end to net neutrality will also allow some companies to have an unfair dominance over other companies, which is a serious blow to the free market. If net neutrality is not enforced it will open the floodgates for companies to begin a trend of the exploitation of users. Americans across the country rely on the internet to learn about events and tune into the news, companies should not have the ability to slow down the servers of websites that they do not want people to view. As the Internet is still a relatively young form of media, the removal of net neutrality could seriously affect a society that utilizes it more and more every year.
Kayla Weisen

1293. Brian Cho, Saddle River, NJ, 07458
Please don't do this to us man. Brian Cho

1294. Net, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
This is a huge issue for millions of americans, just for this one time listen to the public. Net neutrality is important and the sheer amount of calls and emails and all forms of protest occurring currently should be enough.
1295. James Just, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
I do not want to live in a world with no net neutrality. The internet should remain free and open as a place for innovation and creativity. This is just an excuse for greedy corporations to make more money.

1296. Vicki Woodruff, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
I strongly oppose Chairman Pai's proposal. Net neutrality is imperative to our Democracy.

1297. Charles Davis, Park Ridge, NJ, 07656
I strongly support the principle of the Internet being regulated by the FCC under Title II. I fully expect that under Title I the large ISPs will take advantage of the Internet at the expense of consumers and smaller service providers. While there were few problems before the Internet was moved from Title I to Title II, the commercial environment of the Internet has changed so radically that I do not believe that the prior experience under Title I is representative of what the experience would be today.

1298. Melissa Fan, River Edge, NJ, 07661
It is imperative that existing net neutrality rules need to be maintained. The Federal Communications Commission should not allow companies to dictate Internet speeds and access. After the previous successful call for net neutrality rules, it should be clear that the American people value these rules and want fairness in control of the Internet. We will not tolerate ISPs charging services to reach Internet users faster or blocking access. The internet is a place of freedom and community. Without net neutrality, these functions would be severely damaged. I appreciate you maintaining Title II net neutrality rules and the rights of Internet users like me.

1299. Alex Baker, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
Preserve net neutrality. If it is repealed, you'll be giving big companies an advantage over the American people. We are already pissed off enough at this disgusting administration trying to act as authoritarian as possible, we don't want to give them the satisfaction of opening the doors to Chinese or Russian style censorship. The American people will not stand for it. We will not be silent. Put your country before your wallet, before your party, and before any other personal interest. We all know this is a money making scheme, and we will have none of it. I am a citizen born and raised in New Jersey, I deserve to have my voice heard, and NOT to be silenced by the money and special interests of major corporations.

1300. Scott Douglass, Midland Park, NJ, 07432
It is imperative that the FCC maintain net neutrality to insure a free and equal internet for all who use and rely on it. Without protections to ensure this, our
providers can effectively censor anything they see as business competition by selectively denying the connectivity and access that all websites should be afforded under their service. And if the defense is "just use another provider", a) most Americans have, at most, only two options for their broadband internet provider, and b) without proper Net Neutrality enforcement, there is nothing to stop one's alternative option from engaging in the same censoring practices - indeed, it is very likely that all major broadband internet providers will be milking those shady opportunities if the floodgates are opened to an unprotected internet.

1301. Lori, Rochelle Park, NJ, 07662
Lori Lala Lori

1302. Campagna, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
Please leave the law as it is concerning net neutrality. It is important for us as citizens to have internet access based on what we want not on how much money a company has to push their products and agendas on us. Small companies should have equal opportunity to reach consumers. Fair and equal opportunity for all!!

1303. Kathleen Walter, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
We need strong net neutrality rules. We support title 2 and do not want any part of our internet slowed down because of corporate thimble rigging.

1304. James Hunt, Hewitt, NJ, 07421
please do not make this step to get rid of our internet freedom and put more restrictions on our avenues to get information! we want internet neutrality!

1305. Evan Fleischmann, West Milford, NJ, 07480
Net neutrality is essential to the continued growth of the internet and of a free and open society. Corporations work for their customers, customers are not raw material to be used and sold! When I choose a "free" service that tracks my activity, like Google services for example, I am making the choice to trade my available capital (information) for a service that I have judged to be at least equivalent in value. On the other hand, when I pay for a service, I expect to receive that service.

1306. Becca Little, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
Please preserve net neutrality and internet freedom!! The world is counting on you.

1307. David Lee, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 07458
It is absolutely ridiculous that corporations like Verizon and AT&T want to take away our access to the internet and restrict by making consumers pay a fee! I demand that you protect our rights. It is unethical and unamerican to take away
our rights! David Lee

1308. Ethan Diamond, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
I am comment to express my extreme concern and alarm at both the current proposal to end net neutrality and how ambivalent the FCC seems to be about the large outcry against gutting net neutrality, or as I like to say, net freedom. I personally use the internet for both education (I am currently taking part of my college coursework online) and for entertainment, mainly online games. If net neutrality is gone, then it is likely the monopolistic IP companies will start to throttle service for such games unless consumers pay extortionist fees. This will severely affect one of the fastest growing parts of the economy, costing thousands of programmers, artists, musicians and writers their jobs. As for the second point, I find it insulting how it seems the current FCC positions seem to hold average Americans in contempt. If the commission decides to end these protections, at least admit there are legitimate concerns about the freedom of information on the net.

1309. Kevin Mulvaney, Montague, NJ, 07827
The internet today is a place where all people are treated equal. Websites should not be treated differently just because some can pay more money to the internet providers than others. Net Neutrality must be defended at all costs. The internet is the only place where people’s voices are more important than money and where any one of any race and culture can speak their mind.

1310. Stephen Morris, Newton, NJ, 07860
I work with many small businesses on their web presence. There is already many issues in competing with larger firms and service providers. I believe net neutrality is important to allow for even playing field competition with larger more nationally financially supported firms.

1311. Gareth Dailey, Ringwood, NJ, 07456
I am in opposition to the rollback of regulation over ISP's. Existing duopolies within most of the United States have proven time and again that these companies have little regard for the public welfare. And the internet is such a ubiquitous piece of technology in our world that it is almost criminal to impinge upon free access to information contained within. Without the internet, significant sectors of our current economy would collapse, productivity would fall dramatically, and every single person within this country would have a profoundly different everyday life. Net Neutrality is exceptionally important to anyone who cares about the nearly magical power that the internet has. The existing corporate culture at ISP's would undoubtedly stifle economic growth of small businesses by locking 'garage style' entrepreneurs behind exceedingly high barriers of entry with different pricing schemes depending on what 'tier' of service they would like. Creative minds without access to the boundless
information and energy that the internet provide would not operate anywhere near as effectively as they could with free access. This rollback of title II protections is a long term plan for economic and personal disaster on a scale not seen in the United States or in the world. And not in a physically destructive sense, but in a withering sense. The economic fallout of the world wars--e.g. the Weimar Republic, the Great Depression, and so on--was far more swift and pronounced, but the cycle of boom and bust was firmly established and eventually these entities either changed or collapsed. But the stagnation of the internet will forge chains on our future and cause that which some can see quite clearly as a path to our future (one of automation and free flow of information) decaying into crony capitalist dreams of paying several times the monthly salary of a minimum wage worker to actually be able to communicate effectively with the world at large. And this, in our newly global society, cannot be allowed to stand. In short, the plans for rolling back protections from corporate overreach are a systemic problem that we as a people must address, and the first step is making sure that federal regulations on the most powerful tool of free assembly are effective and fair for the individual, not for the corporations providing services.

1312. Igor Vaksman, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
This comment in support of keeping Title II regulations in place as we've benefited greatly from the Net Neutrality. At a time when international calls cost over a dollar per minute, my family used ICQ, and later on Skype to speak to our overseas family. While my mobile phone plan charged 10 cents for every text message, I was able to use WhatsApp to stay in touch with friends and family. Title II is more than Net Neutrality and can not be replaced by a congressional law given the unpredictable changes taking place in the industry. Title II could potentially allow the FCC to create line sharing rules which have already created intense competition and brought down prices in countries like Britain and France. This is in great contrast to the USA where the price of internet access has risen at over two times the rate of inflation since like sharing rules were removed in 2004. The ISP industry has largely been left to its own devices since then which has resulted in less and less competition and higher prices. The FCC has a legal obligation to fulfill its mandate to bring affordable telecommunication to millions of Americans and this means keeping Title II.

1313. Jacqueline Wolpoe, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
The FCC should ensure a fair and open Internet for all by opposing efforts to undermine net neutrality. The FCC should fully reject Chairman Ajit Pai’s plan to give the government-subsidized telecom giants like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon the legal cover to throttle whatever they please, stripping Internet users of the necessary privacy and access rules we worked for and so recently won. I’m worried about creating a tiered Internet with for certain sites or services because Small American businesses will have less
opportunity to reach customers creating less possibilities for entrepreneurs in the US. Thankfully, the existing FCC regulations ensure that Internet providers can't slow or block users' ability to see certain websites or engage in data discrimination by charging websites and online services money to reach people faster. That's the best way forward to make sure competition in the Internet space is fair and benefits small businesses and Internet users as well as entrenched Internet companies. Pai's proposal would transform ISPs into Internet gatekeepers with the ability to veto new innovation and expression. That's not how the Internet was built, and that's not what we want. The internet is the primary tool of communication for myself, my family, and my friends. Without an open and free internet, many of the tools that we use to communicate could be harder for us to access. Additionally, I am concerned about the future of small businesses in the United States. Today, the internet is the way any small business would advertise, network, and sell products. A tiered service system would be another obstacle preventing the average American from pursuing his or her goals of owning a business; in essence, a system of internet gatekeepers would stand between the American people and the American dream. Thank you for keeping Title II net neutrality rules in place to protect Internet users like me and other Americans who dream of a better future.

1314. Self, West Milford, NJ, 07480
Please work to continue to keep all information as accessible as possible. Don't allow helpful information like small library information or healthy lifestyle tips or small business products to be forced behind any sort of a paywall, or for there to be human or automated discretion as to what counts as this information. There is a real benefit to keeping monopolies in natural check and balance, and information access is the keystone. The more we can equalize the educational aspects of the web, the sooner we will get to an equally educated America. Keep the USA in the global standard of service.

1315. Marco Iacoviello, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
In strong support of open internet regulated by Title II of the 1934 Communications Act

1316. Emily Yu, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
I strongly oppose Chairman Pai's proposal on the topic of net neutrality, and I entreat the FCC to do the same. The proposal would give ISPs and businesses the power to restrict what content users can see online. This is essentially a form of censorship. By letting ISPs slow or stop traffic to undesirable sites, the FCC would undermine the Internet's role as a forum for free speech, stifle the voices of countless people who use the internet as a forum for discussion, and let companies exert unjust and unearned control over content that they have no right to. Forcing users to jump through hoops just to stop companies from
throttling their internet is unacceptable. I urge the FCC to keep Title II net
neutrality in place, for the sake of users like me - and more than that, for the
sake of freedom itself.

1317. steve, New Milford, NJ, 07646
Making access UNIVERSAL but that NETworking NOT Neutral is
oxymoronic.

1318. Maynard Ignacio, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
I would like to oppose Chairman Pai's plan to roll back net-neutrality. I believe
that Internet Service Providers should be considered reclassified as common
carriers under Title II of the 1934 Communications Act.

1319. Elvera Coakley, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
I am sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the
FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman
Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1320. J Rigney, Newton, NJ, 07860
The Federal Communications Commission's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality
rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I do not want
Internet Service Providers to have the power to block websites, slow them
down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast
lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time
to allow giant ISPs to censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs
would be a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked
FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends,
and Verizon admitted it would introduce fast lanes for sites that pay "fast
lanes" for everyone else "slow lanes" if the FCC lifts the rules. That would
hurt consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if
the FCC were to end Title II classification, the FCC would be forced to let ISPs
offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he
intends to do exactly that. But if some companies could pay our ISPs to have
their content load faster, startups and small businesses that could not pay those
fees would not be able to compete. You would kill the open marketplace that
has enabled millions of small businesses and created the five most valuable
cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet
providers would be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American
economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs would be able to make it
more difficult to access political speech that they do not like. They would be
able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs,
nonprofits, artists and others who could not pay to have their voices heard. I am
sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a
former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I am also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net-neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1321. Larry Tornow, West Milford, NJ, 07480
Keep the net neutrality in place.

1322. Michael Wafkowski, Hewitt, NJ, 07421
To rehash an old call to action "power to the people."

1323. Charlene B, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
Please preserve freedom of speech. Keep the Internet "open". No corporate throttling or tiered access. Thank you.

1324. Irena Marshall, Saddle River, NJ, 07458
I would like to see the current FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) stay in place, it's extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them and let the internet to be accessible to all. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based
on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1325. Sarah Wilcox-Adelman, Demarest, NJ, 07627
Net neutrality is imperative to maintain. The Internet is to our society today what electricity and other utilities are. Allowing providers to control access and the speed of that access is un-American. Sincerely, Sarah Wilcox-Adelman

1326. Gene Seligman, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
Our country's freedoms, more than anything else, is What makes America great and keeps it great. Those freedoms are now under attack. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1327. Juditha Williams, Blairstown, NJ, 07825
I will gladly give up the internet rather than subject myself to your rules

1328. Paul Blank, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Net Neutrality Is Important! I insist on your protecting these vital rules. They are in place to protect everyone! Thank you for listening.

1329.  R Palatucci, Ho Ho Kus, NJ, 07423
Please use your intelligence! Do not end net neutrality

1330.  B Westerdale, West Milford, NJ, 07480
Dear FCC, Net Neutrality is totally necessary and must NOT BE WITHDRAWN. If this goes through, and is enacted, you can bet we'll be making a huge effort to see that you are soon looking for a new job. This is completely unacceptable. B. Westerdale

1331.  Alison Rohde, Highland Lakes, NJ, 07422
A free and open internet is an extremely important issue to me. The current efforts by Comcast, AT&T and Verizon to create an internet that is regulated and manipulated by money must be stopped. The internet is a place where ideas are shared freely, where voices are heard and businesses flourish. Allowing these three companies to have their way could damage or destroy that spirit of freedom we find online. Please do all in your power to protect the free and open internet. Thank you!

1332.  James Lococo, Rochelle Park, NJ, 07662
The ability to openly communicate is, at times, destructive. On the other hand the ability to openly communicate and defend our rights as citizens far outweighs any other circumstances. The ability of the internet providers to restrict, direct and control what we see and hear on the internet is a gross violation of our free speech. They do not own and therefore should not control access to the internet.

1333.  Gail Schneider, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
I already feel as if I am being held hostage by my cable company, the only provider in my area, and it has become increasingly clear that monetizing internet access reduces the level of service to customers in order to add to the profits (and ability to donate to political campaigns) of the providers. They already have enough of a hold on our access to internet. Please don't give away any more of our internet access.

1334.  B Maddalena, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
Save NET Neutrality

1335.  Stephen Cali, RIVER EDGE, NJ, 07661
371091422761007

1336.  Caarole Leipzig, Oakland, NJ, 07436
To whom it may concern: Not being able to open Daily Kos emails time and
time again, made me think that my computer is on its last legs and that maybe it's time for a new computer. It also happened in other instances, so it wasn't just the Daily Kos. Now that I understand it's you, not my computer that owns the problem, I must say, I believe you have absolutely no right to tell me what I can read, and you have no right to stop the printed word. This is freedom of speech here.....we have enough other problems in this country at the moment, and I am appalled to find that someone is actually telling me what I can....and can't....read. THAT is for me to decide. So.....get lost!!!!!!! nd leave my computer alone!!!!!

1337. Claudina Morrison, Up Saddle River, NJ, 07458
The internet is not the internet without net neutrality. No person or company should be able to interfere and make the internet the next unfair marketplace.

1338. Tracey Taylor, Paramus, NJ, 07652
Please leave the internet alone - no rollbacks, no changes to how it currently functions. Your involvement is not required. Keep net neutrality. Thank you.

1339. Kelly Lynn Duggan, Lodi, NJ, 07644
Please keep mass communications providers classified under title 2. Do not remove any current restrictions that would threaten a free and open internet for American consumers.

1340. Ha Kyung Oh, River Edge, NJ, 07661
As a college student and frequent consumer of media and culture, there is never a day where I do not use the Internet at least once for academic or entertainment purposes. The Internet serves as the one true open and free database for individuals like me and grants me the freedom to curate content catered for MY needs and select services purely based on the quality of said services -- and not the convenience with which I am able to access them. This is why it is so important to uphold net neutrality. I am a generally apolitical person, with this being my first comment on any sort of legislative proceeding. However, on the issue of the Internet, there will be thousands like me who will for the first time outwardly voice their disapproval. This is what happens when we make the Internet a political issue to this degree. It is only a matter of time before the average Internet user notices these changes, and such a violation of the people's will can but only leave a sure stain on the FCC's name and reputation. Please reconsider overturning the regulations that have allowed for free enterprise and creativity to reign the Internet. Thank you.

1341. cmandara, Haledon, NJ, 07461
I support net neutrality and am against any changes that may interfere with it. Please hear the will of the people.

1342. Rich Weiss, Rochelle Park, NJ, 07662
Keep net neutrality in place. The current regulation regarding net neutrality fosters a free and open internet which in turn fosters a free and open exchange of ideas, goods and services. Nobody except a handful of CEO's wants this changed.

1343. Caitlin Shannon, Midland Park, NJ, 07432
Net Neutrality is integral in the protection of free speech and other constitutional rights in this technological era. Getting rid of Net Neutrality and letting large companies control the open lanes of the internet is only a few paces away from straight up censorship which goes against the founding principles of our nation. I refuse to stand by silently while Congress and the rest of our government intends to slowly strip me of my constitutional rights (not to mention the other human rights it is already in the process of stripping from all classes of Americans). I truly implore you to consider very seriously the consequences of your actions. Think deeply about how the abolishment of Net Neutrality could quickly and frighteningly spiral out of control. Read our Constitution and remember what we stand for.

1344. Matthew Blum, Paramus, NJ, 07652
If providers are allowed to tier internet service based on how much someone can pay, it will effectively kill the freedom that the internet provides to small businesses all over the country. This will hurt the economy and kill jobs. Please do not pass this resolution. My future depends on it.

1345. Colin Keegan, Township of Washington, NJ, 07676
I oppose ending the public utility regulation of the internet.

1346. Nicholas Perrusio, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
I support net neutrality and further support that internet access should be treated as a utility similar to power and water. All home should have access to high speed Internet capability.

1347. Mario, Alpine, NJ, 07620
Mario

1348. Patrick La Roux, Glen rock, NJ, 07452
The internet does not need greedy financial interests determining what we can and can't see.

1349. Rachel Garland, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
Net Neutrality is extremely important and it needs to be maintained.

1350. Dylan McAdam, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
Net neutrality is an important component not only of the free market, but also of personal liberty and individual economic output. If the FCC strikes down net
neutrality rules, it will allow cable companies and other internet service providers to charge exponentially more for access to the entire internet, taking money out of the pockets of consumers (money they would otherwise be paying for goods and other services); and, in the cases of individuals and households who cannot afford the exorbitant prices they would be charged, the removal of net neutrality rules would limit individuals' rights to free speech, as they would not even be able to access many avenues through which free speech may be expressed. Please, please, please keep net neutrality rules in place and, if anything, strengthen them, if only to protect and enable free speech in all its forms and through all available channels.

1351. Vladimir Itkin, Fair LAwn, NJ, 07410
I support the Internet neutrality. Allowing Internet providers to censor, or slow down the information flow violates the 1st Amendment (freedom of speech), it stifles freedom and innovation, violates the principles of modern society, exploits their monopoly of providing Internet connection, and enables them unfair competitive advantage to push their unrelated goods and services. Please, keep the Internet free and democratic!

1352. Daniel Hamos, Demarest, NJ, 07627
KEEP THE INTERNET FREE AND NEUTRAL!!!!

1353. Chris McCullough, Ramsey, NJ, 07446
I do not think that internet providers should have any access to the content that individuals are viewing or an ability to restrict access or internet speeds to any specific sites. Users pay providers for access to the internet, not for censorship. Please uphold the current laws in place that protect users.

1354. Tyler Martinez, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
After reading through many articles and watching videos, what the FCC is planning to create. Which mind you an environment that would be controlled by companies such as Verizon and Comcast. Strong net neutrality is what everyone needs!

1355. Thomas Hanrahan, River Edge, NJ, 07661
I fully support net neutrality and think it would ruin the internet to get rid of it and allow greedy corporations to rob consumers.

1356. John Sowden, Hamburg, NJ, 07419
Net neutrality is essential and should remain in place

1357. James Turner, Andover, NJ, 07821
I am strongly in favor of strong net neutrality.

1358. Melody Townley, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
There should not be the ability to throttle Internet speed based on someone's ability to pay or not pay for that service. Information should be free and open to everyone, regardless of their socioeconomic status.

1359. larry sommer, ridgewood, NJ, 07450
It is wrong to allow ISPs to control content. I fully support total net neutrality.

1360. Paula Massenaro, Waldwick, NJ, 07463
Must maintain 100% NET NEUTRALITY; and ensure all legal internet traffic is treated the same. ISPs must be legally prohibited from charging additional fees for faster content delivery or net access. thank you.

1361. Sol Wieder, New Milford, NJ, 07646
Please keep the Internet neutral and keep my provider net-neutral.

1362. Cenadra Gopala-Foster, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY, YOU GOVERNMENT PIGS!!!! AND GET A NEW MUG, YOU ARENT BEING CLEVER! YOU KNOW WHO I TALKING TO, DON'T PRETEND.

1363. Natalia Gonzalez, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
Strong Net Neutrality protected by Title II is CRUCIAL! Proven repeatedly through our capitalist system, we need an uninvolved party (what I hope would be our government's main role in all of this) to hold corporations and companies accountable for their actions and not just assume they will do something-- albeit for the greater good of people-- that is counterintuitive to their function: making lots of money to make their investors happy.

1364. Carl Stefanelli, Paramus, NJ, 07652
Please keep net neutrality. Thank you.

1365. Jorge Lucas, River Vale, NJ, 07675
Please preserve Net Neutrality! It is the will of the people! I support a free and open internet, with equal access for all. Thank you!

1366. Mani A, Mahwah, NJ, 07430
LEAVE the internet alone.

1367. Vanessa brangi, Rochelle Park, NJ, 07662
As a tax paying US citizen, I do NOT want the Title II protections for the internet to be rolled back. I support a free and open internet, and Ajit Pai's "plans" for "net neutrality" are an infringement on our rights in favor of greedy corporations who have fraudulently stolen our tax dollars for "infrastructure overhaul" already. As someone who works for a small business, this would be deeply detrimental to all but a select few--who have already lined the pockets of
corrupt officials to promote their own agendas. It is time for integrity to be upheld, and for the FCC to listen to US citizens, and not the made up commentary submitted to quell our voices.

1368. Tanner Frank, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
Preserving net neutrality is vital to maintaining the Internet as a free and open forum in this country.

1369. Elliot Kim Yoon, Northvale, NJ, 07647
Net neutrality is absolutely crucial in a digital world, especially when the United States of America is supposed to be the bastion of liberty and freedom.

1370. Christopher Welles, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Through my many years of experience working in and with various large corporations, it has become quite clear to me that private companies do not inherently do "the right" or even "the most efficient" thing. They consist of individuals acting in their own best private best interest, and depending on on the specific regulations and market, the result can easily turn into a "tragedy of the commons" type of situation. I've worked as an IT consultant for over 25 years, and my entire career has dependent on data communications. I've run into numerous circumstances where limitations imposed by phone companies trying to impose as many tolls as possible have hindered something I'm trying to accomplish. In some cases, these were in areas that would and have directly benefited from network neutrality enforcement. In other cases, like limited mobile handset functionality, they were ultimately resolved by market forces. I do appreciate the impact of the "free market", but that does mean that it alone is or has been sufficient. It is critical that the FCC maintain sufficient regulatory mechanisms to reign in abuses. In terms of the current law, that clearly means Title II. Above and beyond the specific pro and con arguments towards Title II regulation, the current process being taken appears to be so unabashedly political that it undermines confidence, not only in the FCC, but in our system of government itself. Regardless of your sincere beliefs for or against Title II, please consider the broader implications of the current process. Our country, community, and the many things we take for granted have been built of the efforts and sacrifices of countless individuals who have come before us. It is critical that we all do our part to contribute and maintain that.

1371. Thomas Bialoglow, Oakland, NJ, 07436
Net neutrality ensures that all sites on the internet are treated equally, ISPs are regulated fairly, and no site, app, or service can be blocked nor pay for preferential treatment. How can this be a bad thing for consumers -- it should be maintained. Tiers of service will ultimately make the net less viable for those of lower economic mean.
Robert Hart, Branchville, NJ, 07826

In my opinion, the Net Neutrality rules need to remain in place as they currently stand. Removing the Title II classification will give current cable (and other) providers the ability to interfere with the free flow of data throughout the internet. This is unacceptable. Considering the fact that most of the internet providers have local monopolies, they cannot be trusted to self enforce net neutrality. This is like putting the fox in charge of guarding the chickens. In this era of de-regulation, it has been shown time and again that the only thing that most corporations really care about is their bottom line, regardless of how profitable they already are, they want to make more. While this is not necessarily wrong, stifling freedom of expression, speech and putting barriers in the way of innovation has proven over time to have a negative impact on society. Please do NOT change the current regulations regarding Net Neutrality. Thank you for taking time to listen to my opinion. Yours sincerely. Robert Hart

Peter Eriksson, New Milford, NJ, 07646

Network throttling aside (which is bad enough as a reason to not move forward with this), it's the Privacy aspect of ISPs getting the possibility to just sell any data about consumers of their service without needing approval of those affected that makes me really upset! In this day and age, it's hard to understand how any SANE person can even come up with this suggestion... sigh

Mark Meyers, Mahwah, NJ, 07430

I am writing, as a concerned U.S. citizen, in support of maintaining current net neutrality policies and regulations and against changes being considered by the FCC. I remember first using the internet in the early 1990s with a tool called Gopher, searching for documents on university servers across the U.S.. This was prior to the http protocol and web browsing as we know it today. My first browsing experience with with Mozilla and its cousin, the Netscape Navigator. I remember when Netscape became a publicly-traded company and I though, "who could make money by giving away their main project [the browser application]?"]" Over the past 25 years, as we know, many companies have made fortunes innovating on the web platform using the utility infrastructure that forms the internet. Companies large and small continue to innovate and bring new services and products to the global community. Neutrality, the ability for all participants to use the internet infrastructure with the same privileges and access, allows any innovator the opportunity to provide new services to consumers. At present, though, the number of entities, mostly large public corporations, that control the infrastructure are consolidating such that the power to control access and usage is shrinking. The potential for stifling access and innovation increases with such consolidation. Regulations provide a level playing field for all competition. Net neutrality ensures that players -- innovative companies of all sizes -- can compete and offer their services to
consumers and businesses without concern that control of the underlying infrastructure by a few will effect the efficiency with which they can provide those services. The internetworking infrastructure has provided a powerful source of communication and information exchange and it will continue to do so, even in ways that we cannot yet imagine. Allowing those that control access to and usage of this infrastructure to discriminate among as they see fit will harm consumers and businesses.

1375. Robert Curtis, Hackensack, NJ, 07601 
Keep Net Neutrality! Don't make ISP's doormen. Don't mess up the "small guy."

1376. David Namery, Old Tappan, NJ, 07675 
This is absolutely unacceptable and I am vehemently opposed to it. The irony of calling this the internet freedom act is lost on no one, this is going to ruin the internet as we know it. Do not allow this to pass.

1377. Dylan Lowery, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840 
This law would threaten the privacy of millions of people and serves no purpose but to line the pockets of other politicians and top C-level executives. It was put together in a rush and requires more due diligence and further examination of what the potential impacts on the public may be.

1378. Anthony Landa, Paramus, NJ, 07652 
Let the ruling in 2015 stand. ISPs should be classified as Title II to reduce uncertainty in the internet marketplace and entrepreneurial innovation. Many net neutrality advocates say that it will be "cheaper". Although I don't come to the same conclusion (I believe consumers will pay more in different ways), the simplicity and enforceability and economic certainty that come along with keeping ISP classified as Title II are worth the extra hidden costs to me as a consumer and as a person who works in the technology industry. I am against the Restoring Internet Freedom rule. Thank you, and thank you for allowing citizens to voice their opinion.

1379. Scott Aferiat, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642 
Strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs is essential. Without it they will charge more just so we can have the kind of access we already have now, although I suppose as long as you're getting more money you consider the cost to everyone else irrelevant. I hope you understand that your selfishness will make life a bit worse for almost every American.

1380. Jordan Joyner, Teaneck, NJ, 07666 
Leave the Title 2 classification in place and protect net neutrality. Without this classification ISPs would be allowed to throttle speeds to various websites allowing them to dictate who and what consumers have access to. Leave the net
free and open!

1381. Ray Maxwell, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
The Internet was created by our government and should remain free and not manipulated for profit by telecom companies. It should be like electricity and water - a public utility for the public good. Not for profit by big companies but for our citizens. Please don't give it away.

1382. Ryan Mallen, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
ISPs should remain classified as Title II under the Communications Act of 1934. The internet (and its providing companies) need to be regulated by the federal government in order to ensure the free exchange of information for all peoples; it is essential for the maintenance of a well-informed population and in the clear best interests of democracy.

1383. Matt Cribbs, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
Net neutrality is critical to maintaining the quality our technology market. The ability for anyone to compete on even footing is key to maintaining the pace of innovation. Removing this benefits no one except for the large service providers.

1384. Norah Khadraoui, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
I believe that Net Neutrality is a right and taking that away would be unfair and damaging to many.

1385. Bryce Bernier, Vernon, NJ, 07462
It takes away our access to the media which is against our first amendment in the United States of America. Also, the internet serves as an educational tool for schools. This would severely hurt our education system.

1386. Rachel Garland, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
I don't think that big corporations should receive preferential Internet treatment because the Internet is a democracy.

1387. Dominic Lim, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
It's absurd that communications corporations could have the opportunity to hinder people's access to the internet.

1388. Tyler Kovalevich, Branchville, NJ, 07826
The internet will someday be a human right.

1389. Rachel , Blairstown, NJ, 07825
The Internet is a free avenue of communication and should remain as much.

1390. Dave Sperling, Newton, NJ, 07860
I believe net neutrality is critical to preserving the rights the people, and to enable the fair and equitable distribution of bandwidth and the empowerment of watchdog groups and law enforcement to find and prosecute those who are using the internet as a tool for criminal activity.

1391. Anthony Richards, Oakland, NJ, 07436
Net Neutrality is important because it allows the internet to become a free space where everyone is equal. Why should people have to pay for something they already have?

1392. Zach Michniewicz, Oxford, NJ, 07863
Net Neutrality gives equal ability to access where we want when we want, and it has been like this for years. It isn't broke, don't fix it.

1393. Jason, Bergenfield, NJ, 07621
I feel that the internet must be free and open to all!

1394. Gary, Westwood, NJ, 07675
don't break something that works, just of pure greed. Say no corporation control of my views

1395. Nicholas Sean, Wyckoff, NJ, 07481
Because it is important for us as a society to be able to access what is a public utility all equally and without preferred bandwidth for specific entities because of their current relationship with the internet provider.

1396. Jay Young, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
I don't want to see cable and phone companies favoring certain websites/services on the internet while slowing down anything that isn't favored. I would like to continue to access sites and services that are not affiliated with the cable or phone companies without the speed being throttled down. In my opinion, the internet and phone companies should allow access to any site/service without limiting the speed for those services that haven't struck a deal with them. It's not fair to new business just starting out on the internet.
Jay Young

1397. Thomas M Leavy, River Edge, NJ, 07661
I strongly believe it is absurd to consider the removal of net neutrality protections. The internet was designed with neutrality in mind and has flourished to create a state of technology no one could have ever imagined. I could not imagine a world in which ISPs are allowed to manipulate the rules around the flowing of information between parties leading to "innovation." It will instead lead to quite the opposite. The company I helped create to bring privacy and security the masses would not have been able to exist if we were competing with pay to play "messaging packages," throttling, blocking, or
any of the other road blocks a lack of regulation makes possible. I believe if the
FCC acts in the way it is proposing, it is sending a clear signal to the American
people, and people around the world, that it does not care about freedom of
information and innovation. Instead it seems it is more interested in giving the
cable lobby the ability to make a few extra dollars by unfairly crippling
competition so they can sell some extra on demand movies on their out dated
cable boxes. That is a thought I can hardly comprehend.

1398. David Pietrandrea, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
Iâ€™m writing to state my opposition to this act. Net neutrality should remain
in place to better serve the public instead of carving it up for monopolizing
media conglomerates.

1399. paul carcich, Midland park, NJ, 07432
Please don't change the net neutrality rules you twats.

1400. Justin Poliey, Sparta, NJ, 07860
Reject this absurdly named proposal

1401. Kerri Brugger, Oakland, NJ, 07436
It concerns me that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we
change the way theyâ€™re enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it
guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. Protecting Net
Neutrality is important to this country and every American's ability to access all
information equally. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own
determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in
the courts. Isnâ€™t it possible they could do this again? The ability to equally
access all information is important. Knowledge is power but once we allow
corporations to decide what information will make it into the hands of
Americans - we run the risk of corporate America controlling knowledge,
messaging and what passes as truth.

1402. Darwin Sami, Lodi, NJ, 07644
transparency and prosperity

1403. Udit Patel, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
The FCC should only support Net Neutrality by enforcing the existing
conditions on unbiased and open flow of information on the internet. No
amount of tolling agreements and back room deals between corporations should
hinder the flow on the web. If libraries do not consider one book superior than
the other how can FCC do that with the internet. The talked about guidelines
actually discriminate against data and information. Changing the current rules
does not benefit consumers, tax payers and hinders innovation. Ajit Pai if you
were hindered in your education by only given information on the parts that the
higherups wanted you to know you would be in no way shape or form be even
able to get this post. It is time to consider all data flow as equal.

1404. Theodore L. Wirth, Oxford, NJ, 07863
My wife and I run an online business reporting and consulting service from our home office. There is no way that we want others given bandwidth priority because $$$ We are both sick and tired of being sick and tired of Republicans shilling for the rich and corporations. We urge you to leave the existing Net Neutrality legislation alone.

1405. Douglas C Raska, Teaneck, NJ, 07666
Net Neutrality is part of what made the internet a success. Allowing it to become a tool of big corporate interests will erode its personal communication & education mandate. What will eventually happen to free services like Wikipedia if their access is limited in favor of selling shoes or vitamins or anything else that we probably don't need instead of the knowledge & wisdom we desperately need?

1406. Carter Hogan, Hackettstown, NJ, 07840
It is important that Net Neutrality is maintained by Title II oversight. If it is removed, then ISPs will ruin the internet by extorting customers and websites for high amounts of money. Ajit Pai is not to be trusted on his opinions either, since he used to be a lawyer for Verizon. He is clearly only removing Net Neutrality to appease his former employer. That is why Net Neutrality must be upheld and maintained. It cannot be removed.

Keep ISPs on Title II for true net neutrality!

1408. Net Neutrality, Paramus, NJ, 07649
Keep net neutrality. row row fighta da powa.

1409. Alan Grant, Hackensack, NJ, 07601
Please protect net neutrality. The internet is more than the sum of its parts, far more than the cables it travels upon. Information is the life blood of a democracy. Please do not allow a small group of companies, with a clear special interest, to decide what information is fit for public consumption.

1410. Andrew Sloves, Fair Lawn, NJ, 07410
     FCC- Reserve your neutrality and title 2

1411. David Emmons, Newton, NJ, 07860
     Keep the internet for the masses

1412. Daniel Meana, Demarest, NJ, 07627
     I fully support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP. The american
consumer is tired of being rolled over by the ISP and other telecom giants.

1413. Martin Ryan, Franklin, NJ, 07416
I support strong Internet Neutrality with Title 2 Oversight of ISP.

1414. Alison Pellegrini, Hillsdale, NJ, 07642
Net neutrality is important and must remain. I travel year round and rely on internet streaming. To slow down my access to content based on a pre-determined category would be unfair. Please keep the internet neutral.

1415. Joy Simha, Glen Rock, NJ, 07452
I support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISP's. thank you!

1416. Andrew G Rolfe, Ridgewood, NJ, 07450
I strongly advocate preserving net neutrality.

1417. Max Obaytek, Newton, NJ, 07860
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight. This is about freedom.

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used national language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. [https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9f0e3ed36a6](https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9f0e3ed36a6).

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.