

Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from NE District #2

These are the 1,807 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Don Bacon's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. Bianca Zongrone, Omaha, NE, 68135
Net Neutrality means that every site on the internet runs on the same speed. That way, startups can compete with big companies. If we are concerned, in this country, with allowing people to become entrepreneurs - and successful entrepreneurs - we need to allow a level and equal playing field. A cornerstone of America is trying to allow for capitalization - the ability for someone to move up and out of the social situation they were born in. If we allow the rich to get richer and the already powerful to gain more power, we're sending the wrong message about what is important to this country and its people. Please preserve net neutrality.
2. Kelton Davis, omaha, NE, 68104
Hi, my name is Kelton from Omaha, NE . I'd like to register my opinion in support of Net Neutrality. Chairman Pai's recent decision to move toward a non-Net-Neutrality position of the FCC is a poor decision for the American people and will result in higher rates, lower competition, and a severe lack of innovation due to content providers having all the power. This is far from a Republican or Democrat issue. In fact, maintaining Net Neutrality will result in fewer regulations as maintaining this single position staves off the inevitable need for future regulation once ISPs begin to abuse their power and gouge customers for every penny they can due to the lack of competition in the retail broadband market. Thank you for registering my opinion on the matter. I hope that the FCC will act in accordance with the will of the American People in

regards to this matter.

3. Jonathan Childers, Omaha, NE, 68164

Hi, my name is Jonathan from Omaha, NE. I'd like to register my opinion in support of Net Neutrality. Chairman Pai's recent decision to move toward a non-Net-Neutrality position of the FCC is a poor decision for the American people and will result in higher rates, lower competition, and a severe lack of innovation due to content providers having all the power. This is far from a Republican or Democrat issue. In fact, maintaining Net Neutrality will result in fewer regulations as maintaining this single position staves off the inevitable need for future regulation once ISPs begin to abuse their power and gouge customers for every penny they can due to the lack of competition in the retail broadband market.

4. Zachary Adams, Omaha, NE, 68114

I am disappointed in Pai's comments that this would increase competition between ISPs when it would do nothing of the sort. Competition between ISPs, now and before the NN rules, is virtually non-existent in most parts of the country. I've lived in two places in my life so far, one rural and one urban, and in both I've had only 1 choice in ISP. NN is not to blame for this, this is due to regional monopoly protection brought on by corporate collusion and governmental (local and federal) indifference and sometimes even assistance. You are not "restoring" freedom, you are paving the way for exact opposite.

5. Eric Pulver, Omaha, NE, 68105

The internet should be classified as a utility to keep ISPs from selecting what websites they prioritize. Keeps everything on an even playing field.

6. John B, Omaha, NE, 68127

17-108 claims to "Restore Internet Freedom", but would obviously do no such thing. The capabilities of the ISP's in the country would expand at the expense of smaller content providers, and in some cases even the largest content providers. ISP's are the gatekeepers between each man, woman, and child and the rest of the internet. Without Net Neutrality they would be allowed to prioritize paid-for content, or worse, outright block content that competes with their services. ISP's are for profit corporations and their officers are beholden to the shareholders to make as much money as possible. Not having paid-for prioritization would be leaving money on the table for them, and examples of this have already occurred. AT&T, Comcast, and Verizon have all had Net Neutrality violations filed against them. Even locally in my city Sprint has offered premium packages that prioritize certain online services. There is no question over whether or not ISP's will do these things. The problem with this is that it means the content providers with the deepest pockets will be able to stay

at the top by simply preventing people from being able to see or use their competitors. It's anti-competitive, anti-capitalism, and un-american. While in the short term ISP's will cash in on this, long term this will cause the innovators to move to less restrictive pastures, out of the country if necessary. Making matters worse is that consumers have very little control over ISP's in most cases, due to monopoly agreements that exist in many municipalities. Most people simply cannot choose to switch to a different ISP if their favored content is blocked. Due to this we cannot view ISP's as common competitive companies in america. They are special cases that need special consideration. They must remain neutral in the content they serve and simply act as a connecting body, not a filter. Reports that Net Neutrality harms broadband do exist and have been objectively dismissed as being false. What little "harm" it does do is force content providers to actually compete with one another, as they should in a free market. 17-108 is harmful consumers, as well as smaller content providers. I am both of these and can confidently say I will be harmed by 17-108 being enacted.

7. Alison Barse, Omaha, NE, 68135

I feel that the net neutrality bill that was passed had the best interests of the American public in mind. It was conceived, I believe, mostly due to the continual attempts of the telecommunications industry to wring every last drop of profit from consumers. There is nothing wrong with trying to reach higher profits, but there should be limits to how far that industry can reach. I firmly believe that if these protections are removed we will see repercussions similar to the repeal of the Glass-Steagall act that, in my opinion, directly contributed to the housing market imploding on it's self. I understand that the individual seems to have less voice in politics anymore, and that our political system seems to be more of a rubber stamp factory for corporate interests, but it would be nice if we could maintain one bit of protection for something that to the general public has so lovingly embraced to at least give the impression that we still matter as the debt holders of our nation's credit addiction.

8. Jakob Pillows, Omaha, NE, 68105

I do not support the reversal of net neutrality rules

9. Anne Miller, Omaha, NE, 68114

Do not pass this measure! We deserve to have a free, unrestricted internet that is not controlled by oligarchs.

10. Lou Steinkamp, Omaha, NE, 68110

Internet access has become a human right as much as access to clean water, electricity, food, and to feel safe in one's own home. Internet must remain a utility. To change that would restrict trade, speech, and ability to assemble... all rights granted by our constitution. To void net neutrality would say loud and clear "despite what we have said in every election the government does not care

about small business, Small business is merely an ant beneath a corporate boot
And they are our favorite boots. They go with everything"

11. Eris Koleszar, Omaha, NE, 68108

As a developer in a very small business, we can't afford to pay more for premium access if companies want to throttle our usage to certain sites that we need. As a citizen, unfettered and equal access to information empowers me to be the best participant in democracy that I can. We are already a stratified nation and we don't need to become more so on the playing field of the internet.

12. Michael, Omaha, NE, 68144

Dear FCC, The internet is a public good and profiteering, lack of competition, lack of privacy are what the FCC is in place to fight! Respect the right of access that belongs to taxpayers! We built this! Michael

13. Jeff H, omaha, NE, 68106

I absolutely do not approve of the FCC, and more specifically Ajit Pai, trying to kill net neutrality in order to serve his own interests, rather than the American people's. Preserving an open internet is crucial for fair and equal access to the resources and information available on it, and would only work to serve the interests of large corporations, such as the ISPs themselves, whilst also stifling any potential competition.

14. Randy Eshelman, Omaha, NE, 68131

Net Neutrality should remain. Cable companies have monopolies in most US communities. Broadband and telephone services should be seen and regulated like utilities.

15. Kyle Goplin, Omaha, NE, 68131

Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality under Title II oversight of ISPs.

16. Adam Schaal, Elkhorn, NE, 68022

I strongly support keeping strong net neutrality by Title II oversight of ISPs. Anything else, would seriously affect my day-to-day life.

17. Joshua Williams, Omaha, NE, 68135

Don't you dare take away these net neutrality laws. They have no effect on how those business do their jobs, and have gone on record that it hasn't hurt their investments. These laws make sure that the providers keep their word in limiting internet just to further their own investments. This is the kind of regulation that is needed to keep corporations in check. They don't run this country, we the people the run this country.

18. Restoring Internet Freedom, Omaha, NE, 68130

I support strong net neutrality regulations as governed under title 2. Do not

remove these regulations, please.

19. Restoring Internet freedom, Omaha, NE, 68130
I support strong net neutrality regulations as governed under title 2
20. Eric Day, Omaha, NE, 68102
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. This is of the utmost importance for the future of our country and the internet. Removing these regulations will be disastrous to the people of this country. Providers have already proven that they are not capable of treating the customers as anything but dollar signs. Ajit Pai, you say that you would rather have reactive regulation than pro-active regulation. You are forgetting the reason behind net neutrality already exists and that these were reactive regulations.
21. Michael Decker, Omaha, NE, 68154
I support the current net neutrality rules and oppose the proposed rule changes.
22. Jason Jewell, Bennington, NE, 68154
Don't reverse net neutrality. The internet belongs to everyone. Freedom is beautiful. Don't be evil.
23. Travis Zoucha, Omaha, NE, 68135
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II of ISP's!! Without this what is going to stop ISP's from taking control of the speed's of websites they are sending to my house, just because it gives them more money!!
24. Jon Birkel, Omaha, NE, 68134
ISPs need strong oversight. Classify them under title 2. Ajit Pai is a walking conflict of interest.
25. Patrick McNeil, Omaha, NE, 68116
Rolling back the regulations protecting the free an open internet would be a mistake. In almost all areas of the US, there is only one viable broadband provider. At my house (within the city limits of the largest city in the state), I have a single provider which provides 25Mbps or faster (FCC definition of broadband). With only a single provider, there is no competition and no choice for consumers to make. If my ISP decides to slow NetFlix and prioritize their own competing service to NetFlix, I have no recourse. Without these rules, we are powerless since we cannot switch providers.
26. Mike Rouw, Omaha, NE, 68144
I support net neutrality and keeping Internet Service Providers regulated under Title 2 of the Telecommunications law.

27. Michael Petry, Omaha, NE, 68102
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service providers. ISPs need to have regulation to avoid conflicts of interests as how we consume media changes.
28. amy, omaha, NE, 68130
we want strong net neutrality and title 2.
29. Brent Froning, Lavista, NE, 68128
Preserve net neutrality. Preserve Title II protections. There is no constituency outside of large providers that wants to remove these protections.
30. Sowmya Sundararajan, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
Keep NET neutrality. Keep Title II net neutrality rules.
31. Hannah Hackett, Omaha, NE, 68127
Please keep the internet neutral. Passing this bill will set a terrible precedent that will change the nature of the internet. This will make it harder for ideas to be expressed and take away a wonderful societal tool.
32. Scott Juhl, Omaha, NE, 68131
We should not be stripping federal regulations from the business world. If anything, we need more regulation for business and big money interests. Net Neutrality should remain in place.
33. John, Omaha, NE, 68104
I support Net Neutrality. DO NOT DEREGULATE THE INTERNET INDUSTRY YOU FUCKTARDS.
34. Daniel Louis Hamsa, Lavista, NE, 68128
Please do not weaken the way this is enforced. I would only support a new regulation if it guarantees the same or better protections. We can not lessen or lose any enforcement.
35. L. Roger Speakman, Omaha, NE, 68164
I strongly support strong NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title 2 Oversight of ISP's.
36. Ross Tucker, LaVista, NE, 68128
I firmly believe that ISPs being categorized under title 2 is the best way to handle their regulation and strongly oppose any action to reverse that without coming up with a robust rule-set that defines the regulating authority and affords the same protections to the public as the current regulations under title 2 do.

37. Jonathan Wood, Omaha, NE, 68127
I support keeping the current enforcement mechanism for an open internet and net neutrality through Title II.
38. catie, Omaha, NE, 68112
I want free and fair access to the internet!
39. Jim Wessar, Omaha, NE, 68138
Quit trying to screw us over on net neutrality. ALOT more of us are paying attention now, AND voting.
40. Alan, Omaha, NE, 68144
I am asking that current net neutrality rules remain in place as enforced by Title II
41. Liz Konstantinov, Omaha, NE, 68130
Please keep Net Neutrality safe under Title II.
42. Tyler Mayberry, Omaha, NE, 68104
Save Net Neutrality! It's the ethical thing to do. The best part is, you literally don't need to do anything to save it. Simply do nothing.
43. Alan Ellis, Omaha, NE, 68137
Do not kill net neutrality, please leave it in title II. I don't want internet providers trying to dictate what websites I can visit by slowing down my broadband speeds! Also ISPs shouldn't be allowed to cap our data per month, most internet providers are also cable tv providers and they are capping the amount of data people can use so that people don't stream live tv via psvue or slingtv.
44. Andre Garivay, Gretna, NE, 68028
In order to preserve the sanctity of the internet and the world of technology, Net Neutrality must be maintained. American people overwhelming support this policy, and ISPs must remain under Title II. Small tech businesses will flounder without the support of an equal internet. The FCC must maintain the policies in place in order to give Americans a government by AND for the people.
45. Preston McInelly, Omaha, NE, 68144
I strongly stand with net neutrality, it is idiotic to remove or loosen restrictions on isp's. I just want to point out that internet is just as important as any other utility, and allowing a corporation to edit what we can see is like allowing a water municipality to select who is and isn't allowed to get clean water, who can get dirty water, and who gets no water. Its like saying "Hey chemical plants, you have no restrictions, please dont dump chemicals in streams". I dont understand how people can say corporations should have less restrictions and at

the same time get mad they move manufacturing over seas. The idea that isps will go unregulated is inconsistant and horrid. do not remove these restrictions.

46. Mitchell Kohl, Omaha, NE, 68116

I ask that you please keep net neutrality and the protections offered under Title 2. No change to title one for enforcement.

47. Dillon Mathiasen, Omaha, NE, 68107

Sir or Madam, I believe that Title 2 is the best way to preserve Net Neutrality, because while the Internet is not perfect it is simply not viable to have cable companies in control with them having some vested interest in having their brand be the go to brand for Internet Access. Even if that is not their intention to change anything, the type of power they wield will be very tempting to corrupt, in fact to much of a temptation in my personal opinion. They are a business and they have a vested interest in keeping their company alive, which there is nothing wrong with, however it could mean that they could compromise Net Neutrality due to this necessity. The Internet is doing amazing and breathtaking things, and it is all due to Title 2, not because of company's interest. Thank you and have a wonderful day.

48. David Mainelli, Omaha, NE, 68134

This is a manipulation of socio-economic status and while the free market is an important piece of this culture, there are times when the government has always and should enter to keep the playing fields level and fair. Many philosophers have debated business and intent and they will continue to do so. But the bottom line is a business has no conscious. People do. A business only strives for profit and what is best for its end net gain. And rightly so. Thus it is the job of the government, therefore, to ensure that moral planes are kept and that lines are not crossed even of those basic rights. Do not allow greed to win every time. This country is in a dangerous place. There are times when the citizens should enjoy the same fruits of life. The internet is one and should be thought of as public land. We all should pay the same to enter.

49. eric burmeister, Omaha, NE, 68134

Net neutrality is crucial to the American people. Internet service is already a monopoly. Title 2 protects the consumers and should remain in effect.

50. Claudia Berson, Omaha, NE, 68164

Preserve net neutrality under Title II

51. dee mel, Omaha, NE, 68134

I strongly support net neutrality! Title 2 needs to be enforced! Equal access to online information, don't be a punk!

52. Jeff, Omaha, NE, 68130

I am a registered Republican and typically vote that way. There is one bill on the table that's really ruffling my feathers, and that's the Anti-Net Neutrality bill. I understand that deregulation of businesses is generally a good thing, but that argument only pertains to businesses in an open market. The ISP industry is far from an open market. At your home, how many choices do you have for a high speed, wired internet provider? I would be flabbergasted if it's more than 2. That is NOT an open market. The barriers to entry and legal battles that have historically ensued make it nearly impossible for any new ISP to exist. See this article if you'd like to know more about the barriers to entry and why we have so very little competition in the market:
<https://arstechnica.com/business/2014/04/one-big-reason-we-lack-internet-competition-starting-an-isp-is-really-hard/>This bill is a completely transparent attempt for ISPs to direct more traffic to their own cable television services. They have historically pointed fingers at Netflix saying they take up so much traffic that they need to charge a usage fee. It just so happens that the ISP also has a competing television service that's free to go to? Really? That's like if Nebraska charged Walmart a "street usage" tax because so many cars are going to Walmart. And oh, by the way, Nebraska has a competing supermarket that's totally free to go to, no matter how many cars go there. The ISPs have a contract with customers to provide the streets. The customers can drive where they want to. Just because the customers are picking a competing supermarket doesn't mean we should allow ISPs to dictate where they can go. This is an obvious conflict of interest by ISPs who happen to provide internet AND television services. I say so much to basically say, if you'd like to retain an (R) voter, then please use discretion beyond money in this bill.

53. tam mel, Omaha, NE, 68112

I strongly support net neutrality & title 2. Listen to the public!

54. Greg Johnson, Omaha, NE, 68116

Keep Net Neutrality in place just like it is today and don't let the big ISPs like Verizon, Comcast, etc. decide which content can be faster or slower. And keep the regulations under Title II and don't allow it to go to Title I.

55. Sheridan Macy, Omaha, NE, 68135

I would like to express my desire for the FCC to do everything possible to protect net neutrality so that the internet remains free and open instead of being filtered by who can pay.

56. Michael F Polk, Omaha, NE, 68144

I specifically support strong support strong internet neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.

57. amiee hart, Omaha, NE, 68136

I support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY!!!

58. Justin Wagner, Omaha, NE, 68116
I am in support of strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs
59. Jason Volkmer, Omaha, NE, 68122
Why are we talking about this again? This will not benefit the consumer. Eventually, ISPs will begin to abuse consumers' use of the internet. Large corporations just can't be trusted to do the right thing. Even if a CEO is fired they still leave with a huge severance package that they could probably retire on. How bad would it have to get before it's decided to go back the way it was? I don't want to find out. And by that point it would probably be too late. Don't let them take the first step.
60. Jacob Giustra, Omaha, NE, 68114
I support net neutrality and title 2. To go back on title 2 would be a huge gain for corporations and a huge loss for the people. There is no reason to get rid of net neutrality. I support title 2.
61. Mike Ackerman, Omaha, NE, 68104
I support Net Neutrality. As a full-time web developer and someone who consumes a lot of media on the internet, it is very important to me that we keep all service providers equal and do not allow preferential treatment (or detrimental) to any one company at the whim of individual Internet Service Providers (ISPs). Please keep the best-wishes of the consumers of the content on the internet (and not lobbying companies and providers) by not passing this Act.
62. Ryan Brown, Omaha, NE, 68118
Please - for once - use your brains and refrain from ending Net Neutrality. This doesn't end well for the consumer, the regular users of the Internet for both personal and work use. It only benefits big business and the 1%.
63. Logan M, Omaha, NE, 68108
The internet is, and likely will forever be the greatest tool for education, news, freedom of expression, and speech ever created. Do no harm net neutrality laws and title 2.
64. Matthew Schaffer, Omaha, NE, 68135
I specifically back strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight.
65. Keith Binder, Omaha, NE, 68107
Please save Net Neutrality and Title 2!
66. Matthew Baer, Omaha, NE, 68104

In the modern era, the internet is as basic a human right as reliable electricity, heat, and water. Let's keep it that way.

67. Chad Svagera, Omaha, NE, 68104

I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Ajit Pai supporting the destruction of Net Neutrality reeks of corruption. He should resign from his position as Chairman of the FCC as it shows he obviously cares about corporations more than he cares about the American people.

68. Lisa, Omaha, NE, 68144

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Providers should not be allowed to choose what we see and don't see, and slow or speed up their services based on monetary/profit incentives.

69. Net, omaha, NE, 68154

Please do not repeal Net neutrality. Corporations are just in it for the money and on numerous occasions have proven that they priority bottom lines over the service of their costumers. If this where to go away they wouldn't slow anything major like google but would shut down Netflix as its is killing there cable TV shows. Look out of for the little guys.

70. Michael Packard, Omaha, NE, 68104

Please do not change internet service from Title II. The internet works well the way it stands now,

71. Elliott Lockwood, Omaha, NE, 68137

Don't roll back safeguards for a free and open Internet. Regulations that prevent Internet companies from prioritizing the bandwidth and availability of their services over competitors are necessary.

72. Colin Siert, Omaha, NE, 68104

Hey Ajit Pai,I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II Oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs). Also, do you like spending every 15-30 minutes reheating your dumbass coffee mug when your coffee gets cold?

73. Andy Andersen, Omaha, NE, 68104

please preserve net neutrality in it's present form. trusting companies to individually monitor themselves will not lead us down a better road.

74. jane martin, omaha, NE, 68104

Keep Title II, keep net neutrality, keep your hands off our access, keep in mind who you work for (hint: NOT Verizon!), keep the integrity of your position.

75. James Brown, Omaha, NE, 68164

Net Neutrality must be preserved at all costs.

76. Ryan Reilson, Omaha, NE, 68154
Please preserve Net Neutrality and keep ISP classified under Title II.
77. James Pennington, Omaha, NE, 68104
The ability of the government to regulate ISPs is necessary to prevent them from abusing their ability to prioritize the traffic of their own services over the traffic their competitors. Changing the regulations and eliminating the FCC's ability to provide oversight would be detrimental to consumers, and provide even more power to the ISPs that they could utilize to gain an unfair advantage over their competitors. I strongly object to the idea that a voluntary commitment by the ISPs to not engage in these activities is sufficient to protect consumers when they have a history of abusing their power without external oversight.
78. Richard Miller, Valley, NE, 68064
Strong Net Neutrality must be backed by Title 2 over site of ISP's. ISP's have already shown that they will manipulate systems to promote there own interests. Strong Net Neutrality is required.
79. Corey Miciunas, Omaha, NE, 68134
Please preserve net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The reason why ISPs showing favoritism through slowing, speeding, or prohibiting internet access to websites, apps, games, or any other medium that requires internet connectivity remains hypothetical is due to the current laws that prohibit ISPs to do so under Title II oversight. If that oversight is removed, there is nothing stopping ISPs from making that hypothetical a reality. Please protect the people from the greed of the marketplace. Preserve net neutrality.
80. Isaac Noel, Omaha, NE, 68131
Disregarding the complete lack of validity in the claim that changing open internet protections is good for investment, that isn't the job of the FCC anyway. No government office, department, or employee should be acting on behalf of already-near-monopolous corporations. Uphold protections for Net Neutrality, including the Title II classification. Only the ignorant and the dishonest advocate for the public good to be entrusted to profit-driven organizations without clear and explicit limits and regulations.
81. Matt Harrington, Ralston, NE, 68127
Net Neutrality is necessary. ISPs must remain under Title II. The internet is more necessary to life than ever before, and it is the government's job to protect citizens not companies.
82. Sue, Omaha, NE, 68135
I have worked in the telecommunications field for more than 20 years and I am convinced net neutrality is required for a fair and open market place. if we committed to capitalism, this is the only way to go.

83. internet freedom, Omaha, NE, 68131
Keeping the internet on a level playing field is extremely important. I am involved heavily in the tech startup community and without these protections, I could very well lose a lot of the revenue I currently bring in through internet startups. I'm not typically a fan of regulation but the internet must be equal for everyone and I do not trust current providers to play by the rules if they are taken out of Title II. Keep Title II!
84. Kevin Reidelberger, omaha, NE, 68134
Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong NET NEUTRALITY
85. Tim Kerkhove, Omaha, NE, 68130
I just don't buy that an unregulated market dominated by a few large corporations - that are largely products of mergers of smaller companies thus reducing competition - will somehow not destroy Net Neutrality. I am a firm supporter of Net Neutrality enforced by Title II regulation of ISPs. The internet is beautiful because it is largely a level playing field and it really pisses me off that our current FCC chairman is prioritizing the profits of his former employer, Verizon, over just access to the internet for all. Kindly please remove you heads from your colons.
86. Katelyn Bruno, Omaha, NE, 68131
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I do not want Net Neutrality to be taken away.
87. Zach Frevert, Omaha, NE, 68114
The departure from Title II classification for ISPs would only harm consumers, any action that deregulates ISPs would be an inherently bad. Thank you for protecting Net Neutrality and Title II by not listening to your director's inane speaking points.
88. Logan Obermeyer, Omaha, NE, 68028
I want strong level two regulations on the internet companies.
89. Stan Smith, Papillion, NE, 68046
I am deeply disturbed by Pai's assertion that industry will police itself, and that Title 2 and Net Neutrality regulations are harmful and unnecessary. Even the industry players themselves admitted that they were going to continue with their existing investment strategies, even once they knew Title 2 was coming. Pai is being disingenuous. Most ISPs already have local monopolies; we need to ensure they won't continue to abuse that lock-in by slowing or blocking online data from sources they can't otherwise control or profit from.
90. Lance Allen, Papillion, NE, 68046
We are both in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of

ISP's.

91. Mason Reeves, Omaha, NE, 68134

I strongly support current Net Neutrality laws and keeping internet service providers classified under Title II. America is founded under equality, and with the internet becoming more and more essential every day, it is imperative that the internet remain free and open, and that all internet traffic be treated equally by all internet service providers.

92. Becky Emch, Omaha, NE, 68135

Everyone should have equal access to the internet. Do not favor one isp over another, please. Keep the internet affordable for everyone. The internet enhances communication and education, something we all need right now. Thank you.

93. Alex Houston, Omaha, NE, 68104

The internet should remain under Title II in order to protect the internet freedom of the American people.

94. Chad Brown, Omaha, NE, 68164

Internet Service Providers are properly classified as Title II. The only reason for companies to support the reclassification is so that when they break the rules there will not be any enforceable consequences for them when they do break the rules. We just got this fixed, please do not break it again.

95. Eric Jacob, Omaha, NE, 68135

Keep the Internet under Title II oversight and maintain Net Neutrality. All data is data and should be regarded as such without regard for its source, content, and provider. Ending Net Neutrality and going back to Title I will assuredly lead the internet into tier-style subscription plans where certain sites and content will be favored over another...at a premium. ISPs have profit margins that would make Apple Computers blush, up to 97% in some cases (http://m.huffingtonpost.com/bruce-kushnick/time-warner-cables-97-pro_b_6591916.html). Is that not enough that we need to worry about being charged and surcharged even more to access the content we wish to see?

96. Del Hightree, Omaha, NE, 68144

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please continue to enforce net neutrality. It would be catastrophic to pass laws allowing ISPs that amount of control over the "free" information.

97. Del, Omaha, NE, 68105

To my Ajit Pai I support strong Net neutrality under title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you for you time

98. Matthew Ellis, Omaha, NE, 68137
Chairman Pai, i wish for you to reconsider removing both open internet (Net neutrality) rules as well as regulating ISP's under Title II. There is no evidence that the major ISP's have taking any financial hit under Title II or slowed down / stopped there network investment. There monopolistic behavior shows that they cannot be trusted. Case in point there unwillingness to complete in each others territories. and there fanatical attacks on local communities who are fed up with zero competition and try to make there own ISP. We where all wrong about previous Chairman Wheeler, please carry on his good works and dont let the Dings eat my baby!
99. Net Neutrality, Omaha, NE, 68122
I'm in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! The protection keeps a level playing field .
100. Net Neutrality, omaha, NE, 68130
I strongly support of Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. It is essential to the future of the internet to protect it from corporate intervention. Thank you.
101. Jeremy Powers, Omaha, NE, 68105
I strongly strongly support net neutrality and Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please, this is essential to consumer freedoms. Please protect net neutrality.
102. Bryan Todd, Omaha, NE, 68130
I want to voice my opposition to this proposal. Once again, the word freedom has been attached to a piece of utter garbage. Hopefully the public will wake up to this tactic soon.
103. John Dickson, Omaha, NE, 68144
Net neutrality needs to stand under title 2. I don't pay for service to have that service dictate my choice via their restrictions to the internet.
104. Todd Andrews, Omaha, NE, 68144
The internet should be available in a fair, unfiltered, and accessible way. No provider should have the ability to affect the legal information or data that we may chose to download. It would have consequences so great there would be no hope but to have significant regulations, rules, hearings, suits, filings, counter suits, and years of litigation... or there is another option: Keep the internet neutral. Keep providers from controlling the access to content.
105. Eric Sayre, Omaha, NE, 68124
Please, please don't diminish the Net Neutrality rules and regulations. Internet freedom must be preserved. Any inch given to internet providers will eventually come back to hurt internet start-ups and the little guys of the world. Propping up

the major companies at the expense of the smaller ones is un-American.

106. Net Neutrality, Omaha, NE, 68137
Keep the internet neutral! Quit taking money from lobbyists to allow our freedoms to be BOUGHT!
107. Kevin Conn Jr, Omaha, NE, 68138
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title Two oversight of ISP's.
108. Christine Froning, Papillion, NE, 68133
Preserve net neutrality and title II protections. The new FCC chair used to work for Verizon and wants to overturn it.
109. Gabriel Bierman, Omaha, NE, 68104
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's and am strongly opposed to Ajit Pai's plan to reduce oversight on said ISP's. As we have seen time and time again, most recently with the housing market crisis and the following financial meltdown in 2008, deregulation of an industry can and often will lead to an abuse of customers and rules by providers and corporations only to increase profits and is often done with a complete lack of regard for the economic safety of their customers or any risks associated with their money grab.
110. Michael Rasmussen, Omaha, NE, 68136
My comment is in support of Net Neutrality and the Title II classification.
111. Steven Taylor, Omaha, NE, 68124
I want a free and open internet, one where ISP are not allowed to determine what I see or what speeds the internet is operating.
112. Ryan Ousey, Omaha, NE, 68105
I support strong neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
113. Net neutrality, Papillion, NE, 68133
Destroying net neutrality would destroy what is the internet as we know it. It was created for everyone and anyone who wanted a place to explore.
Destroying the internet not only shows the American people but the world that government and the FCC does not care about anyone.
114. Thaddeus Simpson, Omaha, NE, 68130
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Stop trying to fuck with me, you donkey-loving sadist.
115. Barbara Mullen, Omaha, NE, 68106
I STRONGLY support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's!!!

116. Jason Stephens, Omaha, NE, 68104
Net Neutrality is as essential to a democracy as the First Amendment in an increasingly internet-based public sector.
117. Jason Brewer, Omaha, NE, 68106
Please preserve net neutrality under Title II oversight.
118. Derek Dietz, omaha, NE, 68135
Support net neutrality under title 2!!!!!!
119. Thomas Rasmussen, Omaha, NE, 68102
I am strongly opposed to this action. PLEASE DO NOT take away or reduce Net Neutrality as proposed in this proceeding. Leave it as is. Do not allow ISPs to favor one party over another. Thank you.
120. Mike Allen, Omaha, NE, 68102
Please protect the internet by making sure ISPs are bound by Title 2.
121. Kristin, Omaha, NE, 68132
I specifically support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by title 2 overights of ISPs. I do not want there to be deregulation of net neutrality- it's essential to the functioning market that we use today. I support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY.
122. Matthew Juhnke, Omaha, NE, 68124
I wish to express my feelings to keep the net neutrality act and Title 2 in tact and unchanged. Thank you.
123. Michael Santo, Omaha, NE, 68106
As a technology professional, I believe it is absolutely essential that the FCC supports strong net neutrality rules by classifying internet service providers as Title II common carriers. There is no justification for not supporting net neutrality besides allowing for large corporations to reap higher profits at the expense of free flow of information. Do the right thing.
124. Paul Emerson, Jr, Omaha, NE, 68105
I completely support keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
125. Karl Foxhoven, Omaha, NE, 68124
ISPs should remain bound by net neutrality rules under title 2. No rule should allow large, established companies to discriminate against innovation by their competitors.
126. Net Neutrality, Omaha, NE, 68154
I am in favor of keeping Title II status for ISP's. Keep net neutrality alive. You

will end up hurting small businesses if you let ISP's charge them for bandwidth that their users have already paid for, and that they have already paid for through their own ISP. Don't you understand that the person using the bandwidth is already paying for the bandwidth? A website owner has to pay their own ISP for enough bandwidth to support all of their users, and you think it is fair to also let every single other ISP in the world charge them as well? That is asinine. How could a small startup company ever succeed? You are only in it for the big corporations that think they can stop competition by making it impossible for smaller websites to succeed. Not to mention how unfair it is for the individuals trying to access the internet. Competition is limited in almost every market, so there is no way for a user to boycott a company that is treating them unfairly as they may be the only ISP in their area. Until there is a free market you can not remove these protections.

127. Charles middleton, omaha, NE, 68132
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 Buying and selling of personal information and browsing history should not be legal.
128. Jeffrey DeMare, Omaha, NE, 68104
Please do not repeal net neutrality protection.
129. Chaz Neuvirth, Omaha, NE, 68102
I support a free and open internet protected by Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. As we progress with technology, internet will continue to play an integral role in how people transmit information. All sites should have the same footing to succeed. Without Title II protections and regulations, larger companies will gain more control on internet speeds, thus stifling smaller businesses. Please continue to protect the free and open internet by recognizing that regulations are invaluable in this instance.
130. Zach Sweeney, Omaha, NE, 68144
Title 2 of 17-108 needs to stay as is. I see no reason why it should be taken away in the first place
131. Joseph Pilmaier, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
I support the preservation of net neutrality under title 2. Allowing ISPs more control under less regulation is damaging to the freedom of the internet and risks the abuse of power over such an influential and important utility.
132. Derek Homan, Omaha, NE, 68102
We need to keep net neutrality. Axing it would result in ISPs being able to stifle innovation and prohibit new internet companies from getting a fair shot. Reclassifying it would essentially kill the protection and allow ISPs to make a tiered internet. Where providers or users could get charged extra to be able to send or receive data across the net. It'd be devastating

133. Patrick Burns, Omaha, NE, 68118
I support strong net neutrality rules and keeping internet service providers under title ii.
134. Kevin Kinsella, La Vista, NE, 68128
All ISP's should remain classified under the Title 2 classification. This is the only way we can ensure that the internet remains unbiased. Without these protections there is no clear cut enforcement.
135. Michael Godin, omaha, NE, 68154
I want the internet to be kept as title 2 classification
136. Jessica Edwards, omaha, NE, 68127
I strongly Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP.
137. net neutrality, omaha, NE, 68132
I feel that net neutrality should be a right to all, worldwide.
138. Karl Houser, Omaha, NE, 68164
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. This is of the utmost importance for the future of our country and the internet
139. Luke Huber, omaha, NE, 68124
I just want to voice my concern over what a lack of net neutrality could have for the future of the internet. Please continue to enforce net neutrality and title II. Doing anything else is simply admitting you only care about the amount of money telecom companies can pay you.
140. Sanjeet Karki, omaha, NE, 68135
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The internet should be a free place. There is no reason that companies should be able to determine which websites we view.
141. Sameer Siddiqui, Omaha, NE, 68134
Keep net neutrality. Keep Title 2. This is what the American people want. You should care about this, if you really consider yourself American.
142. Jordan Hoff, Omaha, NE, 68114
As a developer and entrepreneur, I strongly support the need for federally enforced, Title 2 backed Net Neutrality for the internet. This is something that directly impacts my ability to provide for my family.
143. Stephen Maderak, Omaha, NE, 68106
The initial versions of the internet were designed so that each and every

connected computer would have the same abilities as every other computer. This was done, in part, to provide network resilience in the case of a nuclear war (or other major national emergency). Invoking nuclear war may seem a bit extreme; perhaps it is, but I'll still do it to underscore the importance of what we're talking about. A free and open internet has been a huge win for the world and for democratic movements. Net neutrality, as it exists today, is the ultimate evolution of that original designed network resilience. It should be protected. The only legal framework currently available to ensure that net neutrality is enforceable (per the 2014 Verizon v FCC ruling) is Title II of the 1934 Telecommunications act. Congress should pass better more up-to-date legal frameworks to handle new technologies, but they simply haven't. Until they do, I support the classification of ISPs as common carriers in order to ensure net neutrality rules remain enforceable. The profit motives are too great to leave this to the industry.

144. Dave Melvin, La Vista, NE, 68128
Big network/media/ISP's SHOULD NOT be allowed to regulate bandwidth preferentially. The Government needs to stand firmly and keep the internet open for everyone. Every single argument that big corps use to claim damage from regulation has been proven to be patently false. Monopolies only want what is best for them to maintain their monopoly and expand it further. This has been unchecked for too long already as illustrated by the exorbitantly high monthly charges they reap.
145. Adam Snoza, Omaha, NE, 68154
I support Net Neutrality. Chairman Ajit Pai's belief that because services have not been throttled to slow competition in the past, it won't happen in the future is idealistic. What is the benefit to the FCC role back of Net Neutrality? No one is harmed by leaving it in place, potential harm if it is repealed.
146. Lucas Pippitt, omaha, NE, 68118
please do not change or remove net neutrality rule that are in place. Keep net neutrality strong. Try to remember this isn't a partisan thing conservatives and liberal will be telling the same thing I will be. Innovation will be stifled if you don't keep net neutrality strong and place.
147. David Jessen, La Vista, NE, 68128
As someone who makes their living from software development that includes online services I strongly believe that internet providers should remain under their current Title II classification.
148. Angela Woodruff, Papillion, NE, 68046
To be absolutely clear, I support net neutrality. It would be immoral and unwise to change the current system of net neutrality, which Americans

overwhelmingly support. We already showed the FCC 3 years ago that Americans want a fair internet where big business does not automatically rule the roost. Net neutrality is incredibly important as an American ideal and practice to maintain.

149. Rachel Bringewatt, Elkhorn, NE, 68022

I strongly oppose your attempt to reverse Title II Net Neutrality, as do the majority of internet users. This will not benefit the consumer.

150. Patrick White, Omaha, NE, 68105

A free and open internet is as crucial to modern existence as working roads, available electricity and clean water. It must remain classified under Title II.

151. Daniel Meissner, Papillion, NE, 68046

Net Neutrality is critical to the open and free internet that we have today. Removing it would be a grave detriment to consumers and users. In the past ISPs including Verizon have blocked access to things such as apps (They blocked Google Wallet when they were trying to push their payment app appropriately named Isis). The people of the United States want and need Net Neutrality to ensure uninterrupted access to services over internet that they pay for. The only way this benefits the cooperation is that they can force users to use their services while blocking the competition or requiring extra charges to access third party services. Getting rid of it in no way benefits the American people.

152. Cara MacLaughlin, Omaha, NE, 68122

I just want to let the FCC, especially Ajit Pai, that I support open internet and net neutrality rules with strong oversight of ISPs under Title II.

153. Winslow Dumaine, Omaha, NE, 68110

I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Don't mess this up for us.

154. Mark Booker, Omaha, NE, 68116

I am for a free and open internet and for ISP companies to be classified under Title II of the Communication Act of 1934.

155. THOMAS JESSEN MULLEN, OMAHA, NE, 68164

I, Thomas Mullen, am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.

156. Terry Moore, Omaha, NE, 68130

Preserve net neutrality. Leave Title II oversight in place over ISPs and all internet providers. Regulations in this area are needed - do not roll back or hinder. Thank you.

157. Mike Kunz, Omaha, NE, 68106
Why are we still fighting this battle. Please protect net neutrality. There are bigger issues to address.
158. Anneka Ramirez, Omaha, NE, 68104
Net Neutrality is vital in this age of information. The FCC has an obligation to ensure all information on the internet is equally accessible and not controlled by the profit incentives of corporations. Rolling back protections set in place just because they are the work of a previous administration or to appease ISPs is irresponsible in the highest degree.
159. John-Paul Culver, Omaha, NE, 68127
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2, oversight of ISPs.
160. Michael Olson, Omaha, NE, 68114
I believe that the internet needs Net Neutrality to be kept as a free internet. It should stay Title II until there is a better classification that is available. No one should be throttled going to any website of their choosing. No one should have to worry about discrimination online. Keep the internet free!
161. Andrew Wahl, Omaha, NE, 68106
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs
162. Joel F Rodriguez, Incline Village, NE, 89451
This is getting old. I am getting tired of having folks like Ajit Varadaraj Pai formulate public policy based on false and misleading right wing talking points. This fantasy called "Restoring Internet Freedom" is a canard. Its intent is obvious. It is designed to further monopoly powers of some very large corporations and has absolutely nothing to do with benefiting average joe's out here in the real world. I don't have a choice in selecting my ISP. These companies are by definition "monopolies". And the last time I check my economic books monopolies are not free markets. So enough with the crapola about restoring freedom. These companies need to remain in Title II land because that is where they belong. They are not entitled to jack me up for more money without providing me a better service, just because they can. This nonsense needs to end once and for all. We need protections from these obviously psychotic people known as corporations. The original intent of our founding fathers is that corporations are given license to serve the public good. This has long morphed into a philosophy of fuck the public, what is our bottom line. Enough!
163. Bob Odenkirk, Omaha, NE, 68106
I am FOR preserving net neutrality and title ii.

164. Alex Zatzabal, Omaha, NE, 68130
I strongly support Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II. ISPs should not be able to dictate how and when information is shared.
165. Amy, Omaha, NE, 68144
Internet neutrality must be protected under Title II. #dontbeadingo
#gofccyourself
166. Danielle Jackson, Reno, NE, 89519
Do NOT change Net neutrality! As a gamer and someone who streams video instead of being overcharged by cable, I rely upon net neutrality to keep IPS providers from slowing down my internet arbitrarily. Getting rid of Net Neutrality is a bad idea that allows IPS providers to force customers to use their platforms and stifle competition.
167. David Nihsen, Omaha, NE, 68117
I am opposed to 17-108 and wholeheartedly agree that the internet needs to stay free and clear of obstruction or throttling by corporate entities. Corporations do NOT have the overall public's welfare in mind, but rather only that of their shareholders. Please do not jeopardize the future of a free and open internet to line the pockets of the already rich few.
168. Dale Steinhoefel, Omaha, NE, 68130
Net Neutrality needs no change! Can we just leave one thing alone?
169. Joshua Jamieson, Omaha, NE, 68116
Preserve Net Neutrality, and keep the ISPs under title 2.
170. David Stangl, Papillion, NE, 68046
Please protect Net Neutrality by keeping ISP's under title II governance. This has protected our internet successfully and would continue to do so.
171. Carlos Prendes, Omaha, NE, 68118
Preserve net neutrality rules under Title 2. Corporations will only act in their own self interest and the interest of their stock holders. This will stifle growth of smaller entities and lead to increased disparity of income and opportunity.
172. Victoria Smith, Omaha, NE, 68106
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I hope you take all of the feedback you receive on this matter into serious consideration.
Thank you.
173. John Templeton, Omaha, NE, 68116
I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality rules. The entire purpose of the FCC is to regulate and ensure FAIR and EQUAL competition in communication.

Eliminating Net Neutrality will give the ISP's the power to make a choice for me on what services I can link to and use. Please ensure the citizens of this country have fair and equal access to the internet.

174. Errol Waits, Omaha, NE, 68114
Do not do away with internet neutrality. As more and more of our news, internet, video content, etc., are controlled by fewer and few companies, internet neutrality is vital to assure that the big companies don't stifle start up companies by interfering with the speed of their offerings.
175. Tyler Snedigar, Omaha, NE, 68132
I strongly support keeping Internet Service Providers (ISPs) classified under Title II. Strong net neutrality rules are in the public interest and are vital to a well functioning democracy.
176. David Delmont, Omaha, NE, 68164
Please register my support to keep Title II regulation over ISPs to help enforce Net Neutrality.
177. Eddie McGonigal, Omaha, NE, 68135
Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s
178. Bob Applegate, Omaha, NE, 68106
I support Net Neutrality with Title 2 over sight of ISPs.
179. Raymond Shirley, Omaha, NE, 68105
I firmly believe that this is against the wishes of the majority of the citizens of our country. I'm calling upon the FCC to PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY.
180. Henry Dobson, Omaha, NE, 68144
Preserve net neutrality under title 2. Do it.
181. Kevin Knudson, Omaha, NE, 68137
I do NOT support this legislation. Net neutrality is paramount to the future of the internet. I will not support any politician that supports this bill.
182. Jonathan Kimbrell, omaha, NE, 68104
I strongly oppose the Restoring Internet Freedom act, in whole. I support strong net neutrality regulation, under title 2, treating ISPs as a utility. The service they provide is similar to telephone service. Not surprisingly, most ISPs today began life as telephone providers. Without title 2 protection, ISPs actively attempt to prevent access to competitors products. Such as AT&T with Google wallet.
183. Emma Sullivan, Omaha, NE, 68131
Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight

of ISPs. And go fuck yourself, you Verizon lackey asshole.

184. Ken Washer, Omaha, NE, 68154
We need net neutrality in force. You will be giving too much power to those who own the internet pipes. I know the FCC chairman used to work for Verizon and likely still does but indirectly now.
185. Brian Sherwood, Omaha, NE, 68134
A free flow of - and unfettered access to - ideas and information is essential to a healthy democracy. Allowing huge ISPs to dictate Americans' level of access to this vital resource in the name of corporate profits is morally and ethically bankrupt. We, as a country, deserve better. Our economic system is perfectly capable of delivering an accommodating business environment while ensuring fundamental protections to Americans' right to liberty and opportunity.
186. Luke Manuel, Omaha, NE, 68144
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II protections
187. Brandon Essler, Omaha, NE, 68164
I support strong net neutrality laws where internet services are categorized as a utility under Title II of the Communications Act. The internet belongs to the public and private ISPs do not have the public's best interests in mind when restricting internet access.
188. Deirdre Evans, Omaha, NE, 68131
We need to preserve Title II and net neutrality. Given the dependence we all now have on the internet to do everything, from shopping to buying airline tickets to filing taxes and an incredible amount more, we should not be at the behest of providers so that wealthier people have better access.
189. Steven Duplantis, Omaha, NE, 68154
I strongly support TITLE II oversight of ISP's.
190. Brent Holman, Omaha, NE, 68137
I support strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers. Expecting internet service providers to abide by "voluntary commitments" not to throttle or favor traffic is unrealistic. Thank you.
191. Jared Reheard, Omaha, NE, 68114
Net Neutrality is essential. It prevents ISPs from slowing down traffic to their competitors unfairly. To repeal this would just prove that the current FCC chair is in fact in the pocket of ISP companies. Please prove to Americans you are not corrupt, and uphold what has only had positive benefits to the common man. Please stop catering to the rich elite. It is despicable.

192. James Muhr, Omaha, NE, 68132
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I do not agree that ISPs should have the ability to throttle one form of content in favor another. The ridiculous "free HBO" with Att is going to far already.
193. James Sinclair, Omaha, NE, 68130
I support strong net neutrality laws. Please do not allow an avenue to be created that would allow for private companies to filter our Internet based on what they think is best.
194. Melanie Buer, omaha, NE, 68131
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs;
195. Jack Werner Higgins, Omaha, NE, 68124
Net neutrality needs to remain under Title II. ISPs, like any other company, cannot be trusted to do what is in the interest of all people. Only fools and con artists will say otherwise.
196. Jeff Friesen, OMAHA, NE, 68144
I support strong neutrality backed by Title 2. Working in the computer industry, I want my content and platforms I create to have a guaranteed level playing field.
197. Terry, Omaha, NE, 68127
I support net neutrality backed by title 2 regulation of isp. Not the wishy-washy promises of a lawyer that has not business owning such an 'infamous' mug, and by that, I mean his face.
198. Jarrod Morrison, Omaha, NE, 68114
I support keeping the existing Open Internet rules and regulating ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Allowing ISPs to prioritize content and content providers will have a detrimental effect on innovation, entrepreneurship, and culture.
199. Jordan Garmatter, Omaha, NE, 68144
I'm commenting here to note my support of strong Net Neutrality under Title 2 oversight. I'm worried that by weakening the current regulations, that content may be able to get throttled in the future. Saying they haven't done such actions in the past and that we should trust they won't in the future seems like a weak argument. Not only because it seems they have done similar things in the past, but to say that you don't believe they would do it so lets open the doors for them to be able to? I don't see how that makes much sense. If you believe they should not be able to throttle content (which I believe they should not be able to do), then there should be no reason not to keep the restrictions in place. The internet, its content, and how it can be accessed need to be protected for everyone.

200. Wesley Padron, Omaha, NE, 68112
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs!
Find it within yourselves to stand up for what is right for humanity and not just
what is right for you.
201. Kevin Cruise, Omaha, NE, 68131
PLEASE PLEASE PLEASE Keep the current Title II rules regarding Net
Neutrality. If it was fine before, and fine now, there's no reason to change it
other than ISP providers to engage in practices to their monied interests.
202. Lee Lajoie, Concord, NE, 03301
Net Neutrality is important to the American people. You must retain Title 2
oversight of ISPs. Do not overturn this regulation!
203. Anthony Haskett, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
Net neutrality is necessary to protect smaller businesses and to service all
businesses on a level playing field to protect aagainst monopolization of services
by a few corporations. Currently this can only be achieved through title II
clasifications DO NOT remove this protection to placate large companies
204. David C Bradley, Omaha, NE, 68135
Please protect our rights to a open and reliable internet by not allowing
providers to control the speeds of sperate websites.
205. Lucas Moore, Omaha, NE, 68022
I am writing to express my support of net neutrality enforcement and express
my opposition to any legislation that weakens it. I am strongly against passing
Docket 17-108
206. Jon Graves, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
Instead of inhibiting free speech by allowing ISPs to regulate how much
bandwidth is available to websites, lets stick with an open and unthrottled
internet that benefits everyone equally.
207. Eric Weber, Omaha, NE, 68144
Unregulated internet without Title 2 led to the ISP industry becoming one of the
most-hated in America. Poor customer service, deceptive fee practices, and only
the 14th highest average bandwidth in the world shows the industry needs Title
II regulation.
208. Linda Tighe, Bennington, NE, 68007
LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY LAWS ALONE!!!!
209. Linda Tighe, Bennington, NE, 68007
have you left net neutrality laws alone yet?..... You really should!

210. Nic Ridder, Omaha, NE, 68130
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! I'd like to further add that this so-called 'Restoring Internet Freedom Act' is a huge detriment to small businesses such as the one I work for. This act damages the ability for individuals and small businesses to innovate and shape the internet of tomorrow.
211. Nicholas Hall, Omaha, NE, 68132
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I oppose Docket 17-108.
212. Kathryn Russell, Omaha, NE, 68112
Title II protections for net neutrality are necessary. They give a base to legislate protections that would otherwise be rendered helpless against corporations. Do not remove these protections. Title II are safeguards for the people.
213. William Schaffer, Omaha, NE, 68135
Keep your hands off net neutrality. None of the changes the carriers are lobbying for are in the consumers best interest and will stifle innovation.
214. Tammy McGillen, omaha, NE, 68136
RETAIN NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II ! My God, Can't you people use common sense EVER?
215. Betty Gillespie, Omaha, NE, 68132
I support strong backing for net neutrality and Title II.
216. Pat Edwards, Omaha, NE, 68137
Do not get rid of title 2, this would be a horrible thing. Don't assume big phone companies will voluntarily do anything
217. Jesse Cox, Bennington, NE, 68007
Net neutrality is a central tenet of the internet as we know it. Creating fast lanes and slow lanes will only stifle innovation and competition as internet service providers will blacklist and slow traffic in conflict with their other businesses. Any ISP that says elimination of net neutrality will increase competition does not understand the basic principles of microeconomics and is only looking to limit competition, something that goes against capitalist ideals.
218. Eric Bond, Omaha, NE, 68135
I am in support of Net Neutrality and keeping ISP's classified under Title 2. I am opposed to this legislation, 17-108.
219. Thomas Stoltenberg, Omaha, NE, 68154
I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

You need to oppose Docket No. 17-108 or else the internet will only be for the wealthy corporations. Net neutrality keeps the majority of Americans with better internet and lets it be more free, which is what America is all about. Do not let greedy corporations influence legislation, it is bad for America and her politics.

220. Adam Zimmerman, Omaha, NE, 68134

Please keep ISPs classified as title 2.

221. Colin Krist, OMAHA, NE, 68106

My comment will be as "brief" as I see fit thank you. The threatening of my right to information for companies personal gain has no right to be admitted into legislation. To elaborate on this : with the ability for ISPs to govern how they see fit how they pipeline information it will create a divide for opposing views and silencing those who are smaller than than these major companies. The internet is open and sometimes ugly, but that is the point and no one entity should have the control to silence views or bury knowledge. Politicians with their 'blood money' have no clue what they are doing to the American dream for so many startups if this goes through. The possibilities are so dystopian its frightening please keep strong title 2 regulation for a regulated net neutrality. Thank you

222. William Conroy, Elkhorn, NE, 68022

I am in total support of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

223. Dale Meier, Omaha, NE, 68107

Net neutrality is absolutely vital to small businesses such as my own publishing company. Without it we will lose a vital marketing and communication tool. The loss of net neutrality will drastically stunt economic diversity and growth. We already pay enough for our internet access - ISPs shouldn't be allowed to force small business owners to pay more just because we don't make as much money as larger businesses. Keep net neutrality and you'll see TRUE economic diversity and growth.

224. Christian Baxter, Omaha, NE, 68104

I am a strong supporter of net neutrality and the continued regulation of Internet Service Providers under Title II.

225. Jeff Mehl, Omaha, NE, 68135

Please keep internet providers as a Title 2 organization, ensuring all Americans maintain equal access to such a right. Without such regulation access to even .gov websites may be behind a paywall sending Americans into a pre internet era.

226. Andrea McMahon, Omaha, NE, 68144
please preserve net neutrality. Internet service providers should not be allowed to discriminate against their competitors.
227. Travis Archer, La Vista, NE, 68128
I am in strong support of keeping net neutrality rules as they are today under title ii. Allowing ISPs to influence internet speeds for their own benefit goes directly against the conservative talking point of the government "picking winners". Don't be hypocrites.
228. Brian Potts, Omaha, NE, 68127
We need strong Net Neutrality rules in place. This is important to all Americans.
229. Jon Nguyen, Omaha, NE, 68154
I support strong Net Neutrality backed up with Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
230. Matt Niksick, Omaha, NE, 68114
Do not change net neutrality laws and keep net neutrality under title 2. Thank you. P.S. - John Oliver sent me. (Í;Â° ÍœÊ– Í;Â°)
231. Pamela Hart, Omaha, NE, 68154
Cannot believe we're revisiting the issue of net neutrality yet again. Leave it under Title 2 and keep your lousy politician's hands off it! Everyone should have equal access to the internet without having to worry about whether some giant corporate monopoly will slow down their internet or charge more or-- anything! Leave it alone. And stop dramatizing (lying) about infrastructure etc-- your old boss is on tape stating that it's patently false! It's completely ridiculous that we even have to rise up once again to protect ourselves against the greed of corporate America! Ugh!
232. Robert Kardell, Omaha, NE, 68130
Please keep regulations of the ISPs under Title II.
233. Alan Godfrey, Omaha, NE, 68136
I support strong net neutrality laws protecting our right to a free internet. It's a nice sentiment that people would just let the internet be free, but they won't. Please consider the greed of companies and corporations. They will not be willing to simply let the internet be free. We have to fight for it and make laws protecting net neutrality. This is vital. I write to you as a citizen of the U.S. and a citizen of the internet. This cannot come to pass
234. Jason Odom, omaha, NE, 68105
I'm writing to let you know I support net neutrality and want the ISP's to be regulated so that they cannot favor any traffic over any other traffic.

235. Nolan Bartek, Omaha, NE, 68132
I oppose any change to the current net neutrality rules.
236. Douglas Webb, Omaha, NE, 68144
Net neutrality is important for the continued economic development of this country. Strong FCC oversight, including Title II classification and the responsibilities that go along with it will prevent large Internet Service Providers from using their dominant positions as the owners of the content delivery pipelines from unfairly penalizing small players (potentially upcoming businesses which could someday employ hundreds or thousands) or preferentially promoting their own offerings to the detriment of customer choice.
237. Chris Kelly, omaha, NE, 68104
As someone who works for an internet retailer, and is married to someone who works for a tech startup, I cannot express enough the importance of net neutrality. Please do not ruin this vital part of our economy.
238. Nicole Dean, Omaha, NE, 68138
Please help keep net neutrality. If you do not help insure that net neutrality stays then telecommunication companies will take advantage of the citizens of the US for their own profit, even though they are claiming otherwise. We deserve the right to use the internet without a company deciding what information reaches us quickly and what information reaches us slowly. That infringes on our rights.
239. Joshua Martin, La Vista, NE, 68128
Please maintain net neutrality and title two for ISPs.
240. Richard P Smith, Omaha, NE, 68135
I support net neutrality and the continued Title 2 oversight of isp's.
241. h, omaha, NE, 68022
keep it the same dodo heads
242. Christian Riedemann, Omaha, NE, 68116
Please do not mess with Net Neutrality and leave Title 2 in place to keep the internet open and fair to all users.
243. CJ Paul, Omaha, NE, 68135
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. ISPs will not self regulate and have already proven in multiple instances that they are willing to engage in anti-consumer behavior.
244. Gary Whalley, Omaha, NE, 68134
I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. It is

imperative to keep a fair playing field for all and not just a few with deep pockets.

245. Sylvia K Smith, Omaha, NE, 68137

I would like to state my support for keeping strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.

246. Liam Yourston, Omaha, NE, 68104

When the founding fathers spoke of tyranny they meant tyranny of any kind. I don't wish to be subjugated by corporate tyranny any more then I wish to be subjugated by the government. In this case I trust the government to protect my freedom as a consumer as well as protect small businesses trying to make an online presence in our modern age. PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY

247. Title 1, Omaha, NE, 68164

I don't understand how the FCC can endorse outright lies. I am an avid voter, you will lose everything you have gained on the next go around. Be for the people, not for your paychecks.

248. Cameron Keen, Omaha, NE, 68134

Net neutrality should be the norm. Please do not regulate something that doesn't need to be

249. Donovan Troshynski, Omaha, NE, 68132

I am OPPOSED to proceeding 17-108. This would be a disastrous ruling for every American citizen across the country. I am in favor of strong net neutrality backed by Title II regulation of ISPs. Once again, I am strongly opposed to proceeding 17-108 Restoring Internet Freedom. And can I just say, calling this proceeding "Restoring Internet Freedom" is not only hypocritical, but ethically reprehensible.

250. Steven R. Dow, Omaha, NE, 68154

The rules which govern the handling of data by internet service providers (ISPs) ought to be structure such that no ISP may give preferential treatment to any particular destination address or ISP business partner. So-called "net neutrality" is critical to the continued development and utility of the Internet.

251. Joe, Omaha, NE, 68105

I support a strong Net Neutrality and Title II

252. Roksana, Omaha, NE, 68114

I support strong net neutrality based on Title II oversight of ISPS. If there's no freedom or equality elsewhere, then there must be one on the internet. Please do be in favor of freedom and dignity in this case, if nowhere else.

253. alexandra speicher, Omaha, NE, 68105
Keep the internet open! Net neutrality under title II!!!
254. Jacob D Elsasser, Omaha, NE, 68127
I firmly believe the Internet should be protected under Title 2 status and net neutrality should be protected. No isp should ever have the ability to diminish our connections or favor certain sites over others.
255. Thomas Cunningham, Omaha, NE, 68138
Please continue to support net neutrality and Title 2 protections.
256. zachary tonack, Omaha, NE, 68122
I believe in strong net neutrality because the best thing about the internet is that I'm not forced to use a specific thing because the one I prefer is slower
257. Reed Felderman, Omaha, NE, 68124
I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of I.S.P.s. It is the right thing to do.
258. Gerald Hoose, Omaha, NE, 68124
I support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2.
259. Dale Kipple, Omaha, NE, 68107
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight over isp's.
260. Brooke, Omaha, NE, 68154
I support strong Net Neutrality laws, including Title II, that prevent corporations from blocking out other companies and organizations from having an equal voice on an open and free Internet.
261. Craig Hipsher, Omaha, NE, 68134
I strongly believe that Net Neutrality should continue to be protected by keeping the protections from Title II in place.
262. Eric R Queen, Omaha, NE, 68105
We need to have Net Neutrality to keep corporations from making us chose what they want us to, instead of us choosing what we want
263. Nathan Heithoff, omaha, NE, 68137
I am for internet neutrality, please represent me
264. Ryan Susman, Omaha, NE, 68104
Please preserve Net Neutrality under title two!!!

265. Rhonda, Omaha, NE, 68137
The internet should not be privatized, nor corrupted by these proposed policies. Net neutrality is VITAL to the independence and autonomy of the internet, which has always been it's hallmark of equality. I don't care how much money Verizon, Comcast and the others want to monetize the web, they all overcharge the citizens of this country AS IT IS. They don't need More Money by charging for faster access. Access to the internet in our country should be equal and fair. This proposal jeopardizes the privacy of all Americans even further. I, and everyone I know, is AGAINST this proposal. You should be too!
266. Jacob Matthews, Omaha, NE, 68117
I strongly support retaining Net Neutrality in its current form. Specifically retaining title II of the telecommunications act of 1934 in its current form.
267. Vishnu Menon, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
The FCC must ensure that net neutrality continues to get strong backing through the specific and thorough enforcement of Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934 despite the flawed and biased opinion of former Verizon lawyer Ajit Pai.
268. Matt, Omaha, NE, 68116
To whomever, Leave net neutrality and Title II alone. Thanks,-Everyone Everywhere
269. Gabe Ramsey, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
I would just like to express my opinions on the topic of net neutrality. As someone who uses the internet in their every day lives, fast internet connections make a world of difference to me. Beyond just being something for entertainment, the internet is a tool to gain access to the largest source of human knowledge ever created. Even the possibility that companies would have the power to restrict access (and yes throttling internet speeds !IS! restricting access) to certain sites or portions of the internet is a scary thought.
270. jane Matsui, Omaha, NE, 68112
Net neutrality is essential for citizens. Allowing companies to interfere with citizens internet choices and information is UNACCEPTABLE. To assure our rights we need open fair availability of the internet. The FCC. Should do it's job for the citizens not big business. Forgetting or ignoring the reason that additional oversight was appropriate and necessary would be infringing on the rights of all Americans. DO NOT let big business control our ability to utilize the internet fairly.
271. Jess Jasnoch, Omaha, NE, 68122
The FCC must fiercely protect net neutrality by classifying internet service providers as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

272. Brian Prell, Omaha, NE, 68136
Internet was founded on the guiding principles of an open and fair platform. It would make no more sense to regulate fair access to this as it would access to electricity or clean drinking water. Please preserve the open internet as we know it and continue to provide regulation under Title II, or consider moving it to be classified as a 'utility service' to allow open and fair competition.
273. Josh Crawford, Omaha, NE, 68114
I would like to say that I strongly support net neutrality as it currently stands under title two oversight of ISP's. ISP's should not be able to restrict access based upon which website or what content is presented. Thank you.
274. Kyle Parkhill, Omaha, NE, 68135
I support net neutrality. Please keep strong Title 2 net neutrality rules.
275. Collin Ward, Omaha, NE, 68102
I fully support Net Neutrality and specifically the Title II provision as it currently stands. A free and open internet is the right of all Americans. Mr. Pai's days as Chairman should be numbered. He's a HACK.
276. Jacob Partusch, Omaha, NE, 68106
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II. I am also opposed to Docket No. 17-108.
277. Nolan Jurgens, Omaha, NE, 68106
I support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108.
278. brandon jones, Omaha, NE, 68116
I support strong net neutrality rules specifically by keeping it under title 2.
279. Drew, Omaha, NE, 68116
Net neutrality is something that can't be left to chance. Net neutrality isn't something that can be left to the "boyscouts promise" of the internet service providers. The ISPs have already lied their way into being able to sell our PRIVATE BROWSING INFORMATION without our consent, we WILL NOT let them tell us how and what we will view. This is something that MUST be carved into the deepest of stones and left that way FOREVER. No matter WHAT THE CHAIR OF THE FCC THINKS, THIS IS BEYOND HIS CHOICE TO MAKE.
280. Ryan King, Omaha, NE, 68104
I am strongly opposed to docket 17-108. Net Neutrality is an essential part of personal and economic freedom. Without title II oversight of internet service providers, consumers and businesses alike will be subject to predatory tactics

from these providers.

281. Bradley D Bonar III, OMAHA, NE, 68116
Please keep internet service providers regulated under title 2. Consumer protection is more important than business interests. Access to unrestricted internet in this day and age is a basic human right. It is necessary to fully participate in the world and to access knowledge needed to provide a living for individuals and families. Do not let business interest ruin that.
282. Travis Schafer, Omaha, NE, 68104
I feel compelled to express my deep concern regarding the FCC's proposal to roll back net neutrality. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Our country has flourished in the recent past greatly due to the neutrality of the internet. If net neutrality is rolled back, it will allow for corruption in the telecommunications industry that will be devastating to the economy and the American people.
283. Kevin Rasmussen, Omaha, NE, 68154
I strongly support the preservation of Net Neutrality and the use of Title II for ISPs.
284. Charles Day, Omaha, NE, 68134
Do not change Net Neutrality rules. Companies will not voluntarily follow what is right.
285. scott volkmer, omaha, NE, 68136
the internet need to stay under Title 2 to keep the ISP's from blocking the freedom of expression and speech. along with not letting them extort companies for large payments to allow information over a human right.
286. Amy Corey, Omaha, NE, 68164
The Internet MUST remain neutral. The current restrictions on ISPs in Title II are needed. Money will always do the talking and without the current restrictions, the siren call of money will pull the ISPs happily back into the realm of favoritism to whoever pays them the most to "be fair and impartial." Please do not confuse Internet freedom with Internet opportunism for those with the most money to throw at it. PLEASE VOTE AGAINST THIS. Thank you.
287. Jeff mcnulty, omaha, NE, 68137
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight over Internet Service Providers. I do not support the Chairman of the FCC. He is a pawn of Verizon and large internet service providers.
288. Jonathan Hautzinger, Omaha, NE, 68154
I would like the FCC to know that I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II

oversight of ISPs. Without this ISPs would be allowed to slow Internet speeds which would hinder economic development. Everyday people are educated on what net neutrality is and because the Internet is so valuable to both businesses and consumers this country. Supporting the FCCs intentions to remove ISPs from Title II oversight is a position that will not be beneficial to any politician in the long term, as more voters learn about it, fewer will support such a position if they did not already.

289. Patrick Wolfe, La Vista, NE, 68128

Keep designating ISPs as common carriers under Title II in order to protect Net Neutrality. The ONLY reason to change that is to put money into the pockets of corporations and limit the amount of information available to people of this fair country. The (mostly) free flow of information is crucial to the well being our our economy and society in the United States!

290. Lindsey Holley, Omaha, NE, 68154

In order to ensure Net Neutrality we need to leave the jurisdiction of ISPS under Title 2.

291. Timi Barone, Omaha, NE, 68154

Maintaining rules that require net neutrality are essential and vital to innovation that will grow our economy.

292. Laura Patterson, Papillion, NE, 68133

Net neutrality is important to me; please support net neutrality for the American people.

293. Rhett Williams, Omaha, NE, 68124

Any entity or individual that attempts to control what information people have access to in direct violation of a very real and basic human right. How could one possibly justify doing away with Net Neutrality? To what end? Are you really serving the interests of the average citizen, or just powerful corporations with unlimited money and influence?

294. keith menard, omaha, NE, 68116

letting isp's do what they want without real regulatory teeth is like putting the foxes in charge of the hen-house; a galactic ally stupid idea, and is rank official incompetence in its highest form.

295. Matt Biggins, omaha, NE, 68131

I'm staunchly in favor of net netrality under Title 2 protection

296. tracy gady, Omaha, NE, 68105

I support strong net neutrality and want to see oversight of ISPs by Title II.

297. Shane Blaufuss, CISSP, Omaha, NE, 68118
I support Net Neutrality and Title II -- and I can tell just from Ajit Pai's body language from public speaking events that he doesn't even believe his own bullshit. Let's end this charade now.
298. Emmitt Graeve, Omaha, NE, 68124
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs and feel it would be a large injustice to the people of this country to change that.
299. Danny Brown, Omaha, NE, 68136
I support preserving current strict net neutrality laws and title II regulation on ISP.
300. Vincent Winkler, Omaha, NE, 68137
I would like to declare my support for strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
301. Joshua Cink, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
Please protect Net Neutrality and continue to classify ISPs as common carriers under Title II.
302. Jennifer Rodasky, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
Net neutrality is important to the future growth of this nation. Think about the future of our nation, not the pocketbooks of big ISP.
303. Derek Miller, Omaha, NE, 68106
I ask you to please publicly support Title II rules. We must protect the digital landscape we've created with the Internet and support Net Neutrality at all costs. Don't let greedy businesses get in the way of this great resource that defines modern culture. Derek Miller
304. Michelle Goliber, Omaha, NE, 68106
We need Title 2... trusting that companies will do the right thing just because it is the right thing to do is insane and ridiculous (see John Oliver's piece on Net Neutrality II). Net Neutrality/Title 2 are vital to protecting our rights as consumers. So please, keep Net Neutrality/Title 2 safe. Please.
305. Andrew McCurdy, Omaha, NE, 68102
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Any roll-back of legislation will be detrimental to multiple facets of our economy and society. Thank you.
306. John Kaspar, Omaha, NE, 68106
I support net neutrality with title 2 oversight of internet service providers. Please do not allow certain businesses to warp internet access for individuals

and other businesses.

307. Tom Peterson, Omaha, NE, 68116
I wish to express my support for the current rules governing net neutrality under Title II of the Act of 1934. There is no need from a consumer standpoint to have the classification of ISPs changed from Title II to Title I.
308. tim kucera, Gretna, NE, 68136
I support strong net neutrality protections and title 2. Do not allow these protections to be taken away from those that need them.
309. Brenda, Omaha, NE, 68164
I support strong Net Neutrality laws with Title 2. You can not expect companies to do the right thing as they have shown time and time again that they won't.
310. Kara Johnson, Omaha, NE, 68106
I am for Net Neutrality. Thanks John Oliver!
311. Natalie Jirka, Omaha, NE, 68122
I support net neutrality and title 2. Without this it allows big business to crush small business. As well as limit availability of variety to consumers.
312. Joe Jirka, Omaha, NE, 68122
I support Net Neutrality and Title 2. Removing this only invites unethical practices by all ISPs and disrupts healthy competition in the market place.
313. Thomas Georgian, Omaha, NE, 68135
Preserve Title II to help ensure net neutrality.
314. Kyle G. Pearson, Omaha, NE, 68144
Please leave the Obama era Net Neutrality FCC ruling alone. Corporations did not build the internet, the US (and other) government(s) and public institutions did. It is a vital part of our democracy. Keep ISP Regulation under Title II where it belongs. ISP's should not be able to alter the native speed of any connection. In a modern world, connections between people and information needs to be free. The FCC showed tremendous courage by standing up to the ISP's, don't let them win now.
315. Enzo LaMontia-Hankin, Omaha, NE, 68106
I support strong net neutrality as it forces ISP's to maintain a fair and open internet. Without that, ISP's can and will push their own products to their customers and hide or slow down their competitors. Because of how most of the country is, there are many places that only have one or two ISP's to purchase from, so it is impossible to switch providers if they have unpopular practices. These rules allow innovation to thrive, and without these rules, the ISP

suddenly gains power to make things popular or unpopular. Monopolies in anything are bad for the consumer, and by giving ISP's the ability to create monopolies that will stifle creativity and freedom online.

316. Gregory Dean, Omaha, NE, 68131

Dear Ajit Pai, I am a 25-year-old medical student currently residing in the Midwest, and I STRONGLY support internet neutrality. I think your efforts to dismantle protections for net neutrality are horrendous, and I urge you to stop. Your efforts are not in line with conservative principles whatsoever; they only serve the profits of giant telecom companies. Please cease and desist.

317. Emerson Towey, Omaha, NE, 68144

We the people rely upon the FCC to protect our interests. That is the service we are paying for with the tax money that flows into this department. Stop trying to sell us out. Stop working to strip away net neutrality. And stop giving your efforts to kill net neutrality intentionally obscure or, in this case, intentionally misleading names. Seriously, this is starting to look criminal.

318. Ian Daharsh, Omaha, NE, 68106

Net neutrality is extremely important for maintaining fairness between small business and large corporations. Everyone should have an equal right to an open and fair playing field on the internet.

319. Kelly Langfeldt, Omaha, NE, 68144

I strongly support the need for regulations for the internet. I support the need for net neutrality and title two protections.

320. Delinda Sundsboe, Papillion, NE, 68046

I highly support strong net neutrality. This should be backed by Title II oversight of ISP. Allowing an ISP to dictate what information you can access and at what speed you can access that information would be a rollback of freedom that we now enjoy.

321. Robert Knieper, Omaha, NE, 68106

I support strong net neutrality rules and title II classification of ISPs. Why did you make this form so difficult? Terrible service

322. Aaron Pierce, Elkhorn, NE, 68022

As a part of the American Government, the FCC should be beholden to the wishes of the American people. There is broad, bipartisan support for Net Neutrality under Title Two of the Communications Act. Regardless of the wishes of the chairman or the President, net neutrality should remain so as to protect the average American consumer.

323. Jessica Matthews, Omaha, NE, 68117

I support a free and open web and the continuity of net neutrality as it exists via The Telecommunications Act of 1934 under Title 2.

324. Devan Jung, Omaha, NE, 68102

Please do not remove the internet from Title II. Without this enforcement nothing is stopping ISPs from limiting the flow of data from one source or another. There are many bad things that could happen with removing the internet from Title II and little to no good from this action. Problems could arise such as new businesses having harder times starting up since larger companies could pay more for "faster" internet to the end consumer to data caps could be removed from streaming certain material (looking at you AT&T) which would kill competition. There is too much good to be lost by moving the internet away from Title II. Please leave the internet alone.

325. Toby Knott, Bennington, NE, 68007

I SUPPORT Net Neutrality and am AGAINST 17-108. The internet should be categorized as a utility under Title II. Please listen to your constituents who overwhelmingly support Net Neutrality!

326. J Chris Druce, omaha, NE, 68106

I support net neutrality under title II protection. this is an important issue, and should not be brushed aside by an over sized mug!

327. matthew Mosher, Omaha, NE, 68106

Please keep the internet free and equal for all. Do not allow metering of speeds based on content, host or user.

328. Chase Tonkinson, Omaha, NE, 68132

If ISP's are allowed to throttle or boost internet access to specific content it will stifle innovation and small business. Every consumer should have equal access to content and consumer goods sold via the internet regardless of the size of that business. If ISP's are allowed to go back to Title I, they would be allowed to sell Amazon.com premium access to the internet for their shoppers, while smaller businesses would not be able to afford this luxury.

329. Nnamdi Wogugu, Omaha, NE, 68116

I support strong net neutrality and Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. It is naive or disingenuous to suggest that corporate entities will work in the public's best interest when left to their own devices.

330. Gavin Lawrenson, Omaha, NE, 68164

I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Do the right thing and prevent internet service providers from destroying entrepreneurs trying to start or run smaller internet based businesses. If you give them the power to make more money, they will do it even if it costs the rest of the

country our freedom and our small businesses.

331. Karen Montgomery, Omaha, NE, 68118
I am writing to support net neutrality. Please continue Title 2 oversight. I don't trust the companies like Verizon etc. They told their own stockholders that regulation did not influence investment.
332. Ian Gurney, Omaha, NE, 68116
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am opposed to the Restoring Internet "Freedom" Act.
333. Albert Threlkeld, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
Retain Title II rules to preserve NET NEUTRALITY. Net Neutrality must be regulated and required. ISPs have a vested interest in destroying neutral internet access and speeds. Retain Title II designation. You are consumer advocates - behave accordingly.
334. Jim Sinclair, Omaha, NE, 68114
I support a strong net neutrality with a strong title 2.
335. mark silver, Omaha, NE, 68137
I support Strong Internet neutrality leave it alone. I vote republican.
336. Rebecca McCorkindale, Gretna, NE, 68028
I am STRONGLY for preserving net neutrality backed by Title II. As a librarian, every day I see how important this is for our community. Furthermore, companies should not have free reign to mess with what people have access to.
337. Aaron Coufal, La Vista, NE, 68128
Preserve net neutrality and title 2!! Don't sell out the internet!
338. Tom Dempsey, Omaha, NE, 68108
Net Neutrality is very important to me and every I know. Keeping the rules as they are under section 2 is vital to everyone online. The proposed changes would be awful for everyone.
339. Benjamin Bumgarner, Omaha, NE, 68105
WE WANT 100% NET NEUTRALITY!!!!Thank you.
340. James L Homan, Omaha, NE, 68164
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. It is important!
341. Andy Rise, Omaha, NE, 68164
I support net neutrality with regulation of ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

342. Richard Cherek, Omaha, NE, 68106
Please keep the protections in place from fuckery caused by greedy corporations. The internet is a tool for information and entertainment. By letting these protections die, you will allow manipulation of the masses. Think of the children
343. Grant Robillard, Omaha, NE, 68137
I support net neutrality. The internet should be regulated as a telecomm utility.
344. Bradley Bereitschaft, Omaha, NE, 68106
In today's information age, a free and open internet is absolutely necessary for a free and open society. Do not let corporate interests (the interests of the very few and very wealthy), further degrade and undermine democracy and our movement toward an enlightened global civilization.
345. Sean Jacobs, Omaha, NE, 68137
We strongly support net neutrality laws and do not want it to be changed.
346. Thomas J Walker, Omaha, NE, 68107
Hi FCC and Ajit,I want strong Net Neutrality laws and ISP providers to be classified under title II.My family supports the Title II classification of Internet Service Providers.Thanks!TJ Walker
347. Joshua Jeck, Omaha, NE, 68102
Could this be more of a blatant power grab by the haves against the have nots ?!?! Continue the Net Neutrality agreement everyone should be entitled to the same access !
348. Natasha Bishop, Omaha, NE, 68105
I support Title II oversight of ISPs.....I support strong Net Neutrality.
349. Michael G Kasworm, Omaha, NE, 68154
I fully support Net Neutrality backed by strong Title 2 oversight.
350. Kendal Downey, OMAHA, NE, 68134
I specifically stronger net neutrality and strong title 1.
351. Christopher Reiss, Omaha, NE, 68104
I am staunchly for keeping Title 2 and maintaining an open Internet and Net Neutrality rules. These protections ensure an open Internet for all and allowing the next Google or Facebook to thrive instead of being stifled by bigger power players with lots of funding behind them. ISP's have said that their infrastructure investments have not been affected by Net Neutrality and even if they were, frankly, I don't mind because these protections are so important to the Internet as we know it.

352. Joshua Brooks, Omaha, NE, 68124
I support keeping Internet Service Providers classified under Title II and respecting the established net neutrality rules.
353. Christian Brown, Omaha, NE, 68138
Net neutrality is the paramount to the American ideology of freedom and support of small businesses and anti monopolistic behaviors. The thin veneer of acting in the favor of the American people is unbecoming of the chairman of the FCC. The FCC has not only the right, but the DUTY, to protect the rights of the American people. Just as with radio and television in the past, the FCC must act, and do so with finality. I remind you of the events of mid 2014, during Mr. Wheeler's tenure as chairman. The American people grow tired of having to repeatedly inform politicians that their job is to serve the people's interests, not the bank balances of the ISPs.
354. Alex Spanel, Omaha, NE, 68102
Ajit Pai please preserve net neutrality and Title II.
355. Nick, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
Concerned citizen. I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
356. Eric Sorens, Omaha, NE, 68135
We are for Net Neutrality and keeping the current Title 2 restrictions. The internet was created for the freedom of ideas. Ideas cannot be spread freely when commercial interests are put ahead of the people. Keep the internet free and open without playing favorites. Money has too much influence in this world, keeping the internet open to every one is the right thing to do.
357. Ben Heaston, Omaha, NE, 68124
Seriously, we have to do this again? We really have to do it again???? This is ridiculous. Net neutrality is too important to leave in the hands of greedy douches like Verizon, Cox and Comcast. That's like telling the sheep to trust the wolves to look out for their best interests! Please, for the love of God, don't get this one wrong. Preserve title 2!
358. Net Neutrality, Lincoln, NE, 68104
I support net neutrality and fully plan on voting against any and all elected officials who fail to voice their support for net neutrality.
359. Dean Brame, Omaha, NE, 68137
Keep Title 2 Keep net neutrality strong.
360. Colin Durbin, OMAHA, NE, 68110
I request that the Federal Communications Commission grant my request (and

the request of the majority of the citizens of the United States) and protect Net Neutrality through Title II. I do not trust the cable, satellite and other communications companies to voluntarily comply. Some regulations are necessary and this is one of those.

361. Mary Gambrel, Omaha, NE, 68130
I absolutely support net neutrality and Title 2. One citizen among many who demand net neutrality in this tumultuous country.
362. Sallie Myers, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
I support Net Neutrality and sustaining Title II of the Communications Act and expect my representatives and government agencies to protect my interests and those of my fellow citizens.
363. Michael Pack, Omaha, NE, 68108
Preserve FCC neutrality and title 2 regulations. The FCC was established for a reason and needs to be able to do it's job unhindered.
364. Brian Calhoon, Omaha, NE, 68135
I feel that net neutrality ensures equal competition and encourages a free market.
365. Robert Hinton, Omaha, NE, 68111
I'm strongly against moving ISPs back to being under Title 1. I feel that their current Title 2 classification does a great job of keeping nasty practices from occurring, some of which we already have evidence of occurring.
366. Candace Nicolay, Omaha, NE, 68111
I'm against Title I, preserve Title II.
367. Mary Manning, La Vista, NE, 68128
ISP's need to stay under Title 2. It's working just fine for consumers, and we have seen how far companies can be trusted to adhere to net neutrality on their own (they can't be trusted. Not even a little bit).
368. Billy Grenfell, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
I'm strongly in favor of preserving net neutrality laws backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Companies should not have the power to limit internet content to advance their own interests. Keep the internet neutral!
369. Rudy Evans, Omaha, NE, 68114
I strongly support net neutrality which is backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. There is absolutely no need to change the status. Rudy Evans
370. Melissa Ryan, Papillion, NE, 68046

I want Net Neutrality and Title II preserved please.

371. shawn ryan, Papillion, NE, 68046
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. Your Reese's mug is stupid
372. Rachel Bessey, Omaha, NE, 68157
Please do not get rid of Title II that requires Internet Neutrality.
373. Christina Randall, La Vista, NE, 68128
I fully and strongly support net neutrality and Title II.
374. Elizabeth Padomek, Omaha, NE, 68116
I strongly encourage you to keep Net Neutrality and Title II.
375. Kristin Snortum, Omaha, NE, 68102
Net Neutrality is essential to the functioning of our society, global business, education, and economic mobility. Title II provisions allow for a fair and equitable product that limits the ability of massive mega-conglomerates from controlling our access to news, communication, and goods. The preservation of these provisions is a non-partisan issue, and a First Amendment necessity - for all of us.
376. kent padomek, Omaha, NE, 68116
I strongly encourage the FCC to keep net neutrality and Title 2 regulations
377. Ashley Walters Ingvoldstad, Omaha, NE, 68124
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. This issue is essential for fair internet usage.
378. sam mahmood, La vista, NE, 68128
Please do not mess with the existing fcc rules in place. It will do nothing but ruin our web surfing freedom. Thanks in advance
379. Jay Hall, Omaha, NE, 68138
I do not support the removal of the current rules for Net Neutrality and the changes to regulations via the Restoring Internet Freedom Act. As a small business owner who uses the web for business, having equal and neutral access to customers is critical to the success of my business as well as many other small businesses. Do not remove the current rules of Net Neutrality!
380. tim, Omaha, NE, 68114
Isp's should be regulated by title 2 of the 1934 communications act
381. Julia Wagoner, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
I support net neutrality and title 2 regulations. I would like protections in place

to keep net neutrality as the law of the land.

382. Steve Langdon, Omaha, NE, 68134
I support net neutrality and believe it should stay under Title II
383. Donald Osborne, OMAHA, NE, 68154
Maintain Net Neutrality, please!
384. Philip M. Dolenar, Omaha, NE, 68117
I demand that the FCC preserve net neutrality and Title II status for ISPs!
385. Kara Biven, Omaha, NE, 68135
I support Net Neutrality and Title II regulation of ISP companies and would like to see Net Neutrality be maintained as the legal precedent recognized and enforced. I would also like to see this website re-evaluated to be more user friendly and less of a barrier to actual communication and content.
386. Bret Hollingsworth, Omaha, NE, 68138
I strongly support enforcement of net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's.
387. Megan Pufall, Omaha, NE, 68144
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Please don't destroy one of the big positives of the internet by taking away net neutrality.
388. Lucia Gonzalez, Omaha, NE, 68107
Attn: Ajit Pail strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
389. Philip Hill, Omaha, NE, 68130
I specifically support Net Neutrality strongly backed by Title II oversight of ISP's
390. J Davids, Omaha, NE, 68154
I strongly, specifically and unequivocally support net neutrality backed by title ii oversight of ISPs
391. Bryan Weber, Omaha, NE, 68144
Title 2 needs to be upheld to have a free internet!
392. brian rowland, Omaha, NE, 68164
I strongly support net neutrality through title 2 of isp. Removing this to would impact businesses and slow growth.

393. David London, La Vista, NE, 68128
Please maintain the existing rules on net neutrality as they currently exist under Title ii
394. Ashley Weber, Omaha, NE, 68144
Title 2 needs to be upheld for a free internet.
395. Ty Carlson, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
I support Title II regulation for ISPs. Do not change this.
396. Gary Gilbody, Omaha, NE, 68108
Net Neutrality must be protected by Title of the 1934 Communications Act, otherwise ISPs will simply "filter out" competitors traffic which is anti-capitalist and anti-free speech.
397. Kyle Hansen, Omaha, NE, 68130
Removing the Net Neutrality protections provided by Article ii would be a massive failure of the governments commitment to protecting its citizens from greedy companies trying exploit them at every turn possible. Please do not remove these protection as the only thing preventing growth for ISPs is themselves refusing to compete.
398. Kay Burdwell, Omaha, NE, 68131
I strongly feel that net neutrality should be preserved and that ISP's should be regulated under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934.
399. Jarrod Olson, La Vista, NE, 68128
Net Neutrality is vital to the health of information availability. I fully support the classification of ISPs under Title 2. ISPs have already shown favoritism in the past. We must prevent favoritism in the future.
400. Aron Filbert, Omaha, NE, 68138
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Also, I can't believe I'm having to do this again.
401. Jamie Honke, Omaha, NE, 68104
Please support net neutrality to prevent corporations from steam-rolling smaller businesses.
402. Jeff Tyler, Omaha, NE, 68164
I am in favor of STRONG net neutrality regulations under Title II of the 1934 Communications Act. It is the only way to really ensure that there is truly no mucking about by ISPs who would slow traffic down based on some deal that favors them, despite the fact that I pay them for the service. Regulation and enforcement by the government is the way that I get what I pay for with both

my fees for service and my taxes for government.

403. Stacy Johnson, Omaha, NE, 68137
To whom it may concern, I am writing to express my opinion regarding current net neutrality regulations. Please keep current regulations intact. Thank you,
Stacy Johnson
404. Todd Moeller, Omaha, NE, 68132
You must save net neutrality and Title II. This is very important. If you remove the cable companies call the shots and determine what websites, applications and content succeeds. This is really simple to understand.
405. Brenda Laughlin, Omaha, NE, 68116
I strongly support net neutrality. Corporations can't be trusted to do the right thing. They must have oversight & strong regulations to keep them in check.
406. Daniel Mathers, Omaha, NE, 68131
I strongly feel that net neutrality should be preserved and that ISP's should be regulated under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934. To allow ISP's to speed up traffic to certain websites in exchange for payments would not only degrade customers' experience, it would harm small businesses whose internet presence would be undermined, and would chip away at the democracy and freedom of expression that we've all come to depend on.
407. Wayne Ledford, OMAHA, NE, 68127
Please leave ISP's under title II.
408. Tarun K, OMAHA, NE, 68154
We want the net neutrality laws to remain!
409. Michael Kolakowski, Papillion, NE, 68046
I'm a software developer, so this issue is important to me. I fully support net neutrality and the notion of keeping ISPs honest. We've already seen plenty of abuses such as bandwidth throttling. Please continue to keep the internet a fair and level playing field for everyone. Thank you!
410. Tiffany Vanosdall, Springfield, NE, 68059
Keep strong Title 2 rules in effect. Protect net neutrality.
411. Joe brenner, Omaha, NE, 68134
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. The USA is already screwed over by current administration don't make it worse.
412. Aaron Shipp, Gretna, NE, 68028
I support TRUE net neutrality and Title II

413. Will, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
Net neutrality is essential. Don't undo a good piece of legislation just because it occurred under Obama's tenure as president.
414. Laura Dobkins, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
I support strong net neutrality laws. It is important that we safeguard how information is provided and not let financial interests become the overarching factor in how content is favored, selected and delivered. Relaxing the regulations will allow only one type of message to be communicated. The message of the highest bidder. Let's retain an open and level playing field in this age of information. Do not relax net neutrality or title two designations for internet service providers.
415. Jeffrey Lechner, Omaha, NE, 68136
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of internet search providers.
416. neutrality orwellian 1984 darkness loss internet ISP, Omaha, NE, 68130
I'm concerned with the loss of net neutrality and ISPs having the ability to prioritize internet traffic. Giving bandwidth to companies big enough to pay extra. I fear an Orwellian future where we can only search, read, see, view, and purchase items on the internet that the rich want us to. Do the right thing, protect net-neutrality. Do not let us (the public) become shrouded in ignorance.
417. Meghan Stevens, Omaha, NE, 68104
I strongly urge you to preserve net neutrality and title II, in order to maintain a free and open internet.
418. JENNIFER E PARMENTER, Omaha, NE, 68114
Keep strong net neutrality and preserve title 2
419. Dave Brodhead, Omaha, NE, 68144
Please keep Net Neutrality rules in tact and continue to classify ISPs under Title 2. There is no reason to make any changes, allowing oversized companies to bully each other, smaller companies, and most of all consumers.
420. Krista Bartholomew, Omaha, NE, 68164
Kindly take your giant mug and weed whacker and go home. I strongly support free and equal access to the internet.
421. Roger McKnelly, Omaha, NE, 68131
The internet is too ubiquitous to allow ISPs to arbitrarily choose what should and shouldn't be fast. Title 2 is the best way we currently have of keeping these companies in check. While some may very well agree to, and actually follow through with, keeping the internet open and not throttling speeds, the potential

for a conflict of interest is too great to ignore. The internet is, these days, the same as electricity, water, and healthcare; Needed for a basic standard of living for most Americans and should be treated as such.

422. Jules DeSalvo, Omaha, NE, 68144
I am writing to voice my desire for strong net neutrality laws under title II.
423. Elizabeth MacCarthaigh, Omaha, NE, 68105
Strongly support net neutrality. Stop trying to make my netflix buffer, guys.
424. Chase Smith, Omaha, NE, 68124
Please do not remove net neutrality, as I do not want corporations gaining even more control on how I spend my money.
425. Eric McDonald, Omaha, NE, 68136
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2. please stop giving the few the power to suppress the content on the internet. We don't want to be N. Korea.
426. Katie Rupe, Omaha, NE, 68135
Net Neutrality is something that needs to be protected. Allowing corporations to turn internet access into a supply and demand situation, will limit access for many to media outlets. Net Neutrality is vital to a free, Democratic country.
427. Francisco Gomez, Omaha, NE, 68137
I support Net Neutrality under Tittle II. I used to see Netflix with artifacts until Net Neutrality was established.
428. Patrick McNamara, Omaha, NE, 68102
Net neutrality is essential for a free and open marketplace and Title II is necessary to for actual neutrality to be protected.
429. Scott SchmidtBonne, Omaha, NE, 68135
Internet connectivity is already grossly overpriced. Destroying net neutrality will result in poorer overall performance, and reduced return on consumer investment. Time will not look favorably on those who would seek to erode this 21st century commodity. Thank you for considering my comment! Best Regards, Scott SchmidtBonne
430. John Spehn, Omaha, NE, 68022
I absolutely oppose the Internet Freedom Act. I support Net Neutrality.
431. Jesse Groff, Omaha, NE, 68164
I directly support strong net neutrality and title 2 oversight of internet service providers. this is entirely essential that the internet continue to be regulated and overseen in this manner.

432. Rex Rodenborn, Omaha, NE, 68106
I support net neutrality, and believe that not preserving title II would not be in the best interest of the public
433. sam bhatia, Omaha, NE, 68152
Please keep title 2 intact for net neutrality
434. Hernan Hernandez, Omaha, NE, 68106
I feel very strongly that Net Neutrality is a very important issue and at this time, categorizing ISPs as type II is the best way to ensure a free and fair internet. Undoing the current rules will only allow ISPs to take advantage of deregulation and profit off of treating people unfairly.
435. Matthew Overton, Omaha, NE, 68104
Maintain Net Neutrality and Title 2. By keeping Net Neutrality and Title 2, it helps to ensure the freedom of the people (the actual users). Limiting them would be the equivalent of limiting access to libraries and public education. While not everyone may use the internet for educational purposes, the fact that the option is there, is what makes the choice a freedom and not a limited option. Support freedom, support Net Neutrality.
436. Mike, Omaha, NE, 68114
Don't give corporations any control over the internet. Preserve net neutrality and title two protections.
437. Ted Hartman, Omaha, NE, 68130
I support title II classification and the existing protection for net neutrality. I also think the representations of the present head of the FCC in favor of the proposed regulatory amendments are disingenuous. The idea that ISPs will self-regulate is false (and wouldn't be a legitimate basis to remove existing regulations even if it were).
438. Joshua L. Johnson, Omaha, NE, 68106
Keep Net Neutrality. Do not reclassify ISPs.
439. Karen Pesek, Omaha, NE, 68105
Let Title II Net Neutrality rules stand!
440. Anthony Gardner, Omaha, NE, 68104
I support net neutrality as more greatly guaranteed under title II classifications for ISPs and other providers. Please continue to do so.
441. Michael Elliot Jones, Omaha, NE, 68132
As someone who relies on the internet professionally as a programmer, as well as my source of entertainment and hobbies, a free internet is the same thing as

freedom of speech for me, I strongly support net neutrality.

442. Parvina Kleensang, Bennington, NE, 68007
Net neutrality regulation, title II must be preserved. This regulation is very important to keep the ISPs' honest and fair. Without this regulation, we will let the ISPs do what is convenient for them to maximize their pockets. The corporate greediness will take over and will negatively impact the consumers.
443. Kelly Duffy, Omaha, NE, 68105
I think it is important to retain net neutrality. Keep title 2
444. Dustan Rich, Omaha, NE, 68144
Preserve net neutrality and keep it under title 2. It does not stifle investment, it protects customers like myself. Thank you for your time.
445. Bethany Sandor, Papillion, NE, 68046
I support net neutrality and title II. We need stronger laws to protect our internet rights!
446. Phillip Stratton, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
I want to maintain Title 2 regulations for my internet access. I do not want corporations deciding my content. It is insulting to my intelligence to imply that corporations will not exploit the opportunity to increase their market share. Please do not ruin one of the most important pieces to a modern free society. Let's keep America great!
447. Michael Tate Jones, Omaha, NE, 68132
NET Neutrality should be maintained. You can't convince me a former Verizon Lawyer has the American peoples best interests at heart in this matter.
448. Julien Hensen, Omaha, NE, 68102
Do not repeal net neutrality. I fully support net neutrality, keep it intact.
449. Theresa Patterson, Papillion, NE, 68046
I wholeheartedly, and very specifically, support strong net neutrality backed by Title II's oversight of internet service providers.
450. Bruce Lockwood, Omaha, NE, 68132
Preserve net neutrality and Title II! Americans don't need corporate interests guiding our choices or access to information!
451. William Wilson, Papillion, NE, 68133
I support strong net neutrality supported by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I'm really disappointed this issue is being revisited and I question your motives in doing so. Why do you support large internet corporations over the interests of

citizens?

452. Drew Rash, Omaha, NE, 68144
Preserve net neutrality and title 2.No one entity should have the right to control another, even on the internet. We've seen this with land on the earth and now it is virtual land. Stop being lobbied by money and do what's right.
453. Kyle Stoner, Omaha, NE, 68105
I support net neutrality, the Grand Canyon, and all the wolves in The Wolf of Wallstreet!
454. andrew mead, Omaha, NE, 68105
I support a strong Title II and keeping neutrality firmly in place.
455. Sarah Robinson, Omaha, NE, 68137
I'm strongly for net neutrality and keeping ISPs in title 2.
456. Nathan Stark, Gretna, NE, 68028
Do not pass this bill. Internet providers clearly have something to gain because they can crush the little guy or business they do not agree with, business-wise or politically. The fact that Ajit Pai used to work for Verizon, who is pushing this, doesn't clearly show a conflict of interest is sickening. While I'm am pro business, stuff like this just eliminates another check and balance, as has been going on. This is far bigger than you think. We are already on a downward spiral and this is yet another thing to speed it up.
457. Ethan Farner, Omaha, NE, 68178
There is absolutely zero vested interest in the American people in this decision. It's very ironic that a man who once defended Verizon, a company that would screw over customers in a heartbeat to make an extra penny, is now in charge of regulating companies like those. You don't care about the opinions of the American people because you hold an appointed position, but regardless, you're a disgrace to the people you're appointed to serve and a slave to corporate wallets.
458. Robert Gamble, Omaha, NE, 68116
I support strong Net Neutrality support backed by ISP classification under Title II. ISPs and Net Neutrality should not be reclassified in any way.
459. Michael McCauley, Omaha, NE, 68104
Preserve net neutrality laws that were established under Obama and strengthen them.
460. Nick Smith, Omaha, NE, 68112
I would like you to preserve net neutrality and title II. This is critical to a free

and open internet system and free flow of information and content.

461. Charles Aylward, Omaha, NE, 68102
The only safe protection for the net is a strong Title 2. The thought of carriers self policing is a bit like foxes protecting the henhouse. Their best interests are not the consumers.
462. Melisa Barnes, Omaha, NE, 68144
Preserve strong net neutrality rules and support title II
463. Kelly Duckert, Omaha, NE, 68104
Keep and strengthen Obama era net neutrality laws.
464. Jack Moore, Omaha, NE, 68154
Net Neutrality is essential to the core of the Internet. Allowing ISP's to manipulate web traffic would tear down the Internet as we know it.
465. Tyler Redwine, La Vista, NE, 68128
I want the FCC to preserve net neutrality and use title II to keep ISPs honest.
466. David Hall, Springfield, NE, 68059
I'm a proud Republican, but the FCC doesn't keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rule. I will vote Democrat.
467. Shay Powers, Omaha, NE, 68108
I am not in support of this.
468. Patrick Wilkes, Omaha, NE, 68105
Please keep the policy of "Net Neutrality" with Schedule 2 oversight.
469. Nikolaus Carlson, Omaha, NE, 68135
I demand that net neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs be kept intact. I would also like to call for the resignation of Ajit Pai as he is clearly compromised and does not speak in the best interests of the people.
470. Jeff Scott, Omaha, NE, 68164
The FCC must protect net neutrality for all Americans. It is incredibly important that Title II remain the standard to protect consumers from ISPs. Oversight and regulation are not hindering investment in the internet. This oversight keeps America free.
471. tim sanwick, Omaha, NE, 68144
In general I agree that over regulating any industry can make business more difficult; however, I also feel if there is/was no evidence of improper behavior under title I, then there should be no reason the title II classification would put

pressure on companies to refrain in investment. Why would they try to hedge if the move would not have any impact on their revenue streams? Furthermore, I feel it's a party line statement playing on people's general fears of over regulation, which does occur, yet not in this case. It would be like the former president of Phillip Morris saying "there is no evidence smoking is bad for people", then making him director of NIH where he subsequently said we no longer should have restrictions on the poisons that could be in cigarettes because it's a burden on their ability to invest in future development. Maybe not a totally perfect analog, but close enough in my book. If you're doing nothing wrong, then what difference would it make to operate under title I or II? Seems like free market supporters should support a free market. Doesnt title II give "the little guy" a better chance of developing something amazing to compete with the big guys? I don't think it's crazy, or even a stretch, to assume that the "big guy" might take advantage of his infrastructure to minimize the chance of an entrepreneur at chipping away at his customer base. I think I've heard that song before.... many times. Do NOT roll back title II to allow your former benefactor a chance to control the way in which I receive my content. It's wrong, subversive, and ultimately unamerican.... not to mention bad business.

472. Steffanie Luteran, Omaha, NE, 68132
Please hold ISP companies accountable to Title II.
473. Thomas Kelly, Omaha, NE, 68152
Please preserve NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2 REGULATION OF ISPs.
474. Sarah Haddad, Omaha, NE, 68130
Keep strong title II net neutrality!!
475. Andrew Kanago, Omaha, NE, 68154
Please keep ISP providers under Title 2, keep the internet free and equal.
476. Heather Kanago, Omaha, NE, 68154
Keep title 2 ISP rules in place to keep an open internet functioning for all.
477. Josh Sosbee, Papillion, NE, 68133
Strong net neutrality regulations are VITAL to a fair and open internet. To presume releasing restrictions on ISPs will keep the net neutral and stimulate growth in investment is doubtful at best and ludicrous at worst. Please keep strong effective regulation on internet speeds in place under title II. This is one place where we can not remove restrictions to better our society. Thank you.
478. eric sorensen, Omaha, NE, 68104
Leave internet the way it is stop listening to pie
479. Connie Randazzo, Elkhorn, NE, 68022

I am strongly opposed to any changes to the current Net Neutrality rules that are based on Title II of the Communications Act! Any changes that would make compliance "voluntary" are unacceptable! For the good of the American people (not big business!), the current protections must remain in place!

480. Brandon Davis, Omaha, NE, 68102

I support strong net neutrality under title two of the communications act. Don't mess up our internet

481. Christopher Wiig, Omaha, NE, 68132

I STRONGLY support a net neutrality. Do not let corp take control of this and make this a mess.

482. Tyler Siegel, Omaha, NE, 68124

I support strong enforcement of net neutrality through title II and will vote accordingly as such.

483. Erica, Omaha, NE, 68105

I support strong net neutrality through title 2 #gofccyourself

484. comment, Omaha, NE, 68111

Agree with the sentiment you'd already be hearing - the people are not as gullible as you might like to think.

485. Jessie Schnieder, Omaha, NE, 68104

I absolutely support net neutrality and do not screw with near close to freedom of the internet that we have currently. What we have is good. You will not make it better by letting communication companies do what they want without oversight.

486. James Boyle, Omaha, NE, 68135

ISP providers must be regulated under Title 2 to ensure the equality of services an information. To remove such oversight would erode the necessary protections that ensures that all content is treated equally regardless of its point of origin, or the status of the end user. Without strict oversight, ISPs will abuse their position as a middle man.

487. christopher rogers, La Vista, NE, 68128

Please preserve net neutrality and title II. We need strong consumer protections to preserve choice.

488. Loco McDeezy, Omaha, NE, 68069

I would love it if you preserved net neutrality under title 2.

489. Dawaune Lamont Hayes, Omaha, NE, 68105

I am in strong support of Title II classification of ISPs to ensure a free and open Internet, unfettered by bias and/or fiscal motivation. As people we must agree to share the resources that enable equity. A free and open Internet do this.

490. Dawaune Lamont Hayes, Omaha, NE, 68105

I am in strong support of Title II classification of ISPs to ensure a free and open Internet, unfettered by bias and/or fiscal motivation. As people we must agree to share the resources that enable equity. A free and open Internet does this.

491. Tyler lindauer, Omaha, NE, 68122

I care about net neutrality and want to keep title 2 regulations.

492. Mitchell Berry, Omaha, NE, 68131

By ensuring the safety net neutrality, we can assure the American people that their free speech and freedom of expression is being protected. To say that ISP's haven't strategically disrupted services to specific sites, such as Netflix, in the past is laughable at best.

493. Daniel Gross, Omaha, NE, 68102

Don't be GREEDY- SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY!!!

494. Alex Adkins, Omaha, NE, 68106

Please preserve net neutrality and its regulation under Title II.

495. Joshua Moore, Omaha, NE, 68104

I strongly support net neutrality under title 2 oversight of Internet service providers.

496. Ed Luben, Papillion, NE, 68046

I support net neutrality and net privacy

497. Jamie Bensen, Omaha, NE, 68104

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II. Do not allow anyone to slow down my internet for whatever reason. It's shoddy enough.

498. David Wellsandt, Omaha, NE, 68118

Please preserve open Internet access to support entrepreneurs building their enterprises on the Internet, like manufacturers using the Interstate system in the past.

499. Eric White, Omaha, NE, 68157

I fully and strongly support Title 2 and the preservation of Net Neutrality. Do not give corporations the power to determine what, when and how I access the information I need and want on the internet. Thank you eric white

500. sam murphy, Omaha, NE, 68106
I am in strong support of net neutrality, I hope that you are as well. Please do not support any legislation that would compromise that or I will not support you.
501. Net Neutrality, Omaha, NE, 68144
Please don't eliminate net neutrality. Unfettered internet access is a human right and should be available to everyone at comparable speeds. The internet contains vital information that is necessary for the betterment of our society and denying certain individuals access to this resource isn't right. I doubt that this one comment from a girl in Nebraska will sway your opinion but please consider the lives of the people that you're affecting and make sure that net neutrality becomes permanent. Thank you.
502. Sunit, Omaha, NE, 68102
I specifically want net neutrality backed by Title II of ISP's. Title II should be the enforcing legislature that FCC should keep to govern ISP's
503. Anthony I, Omaha, NE, 68138
Please preserve net neutrality and title four.
504. Lucas, Omaha, NE, 68135
Don't want to be crapped on by networks. Want it to be even and I don't think Trump 100% understands it, so we the people shall help to guide him.
505. Jason Dixon, Omaha, NE, 68142
The more attention I pay to the news, the more I realize that the Republicans are and have always been variously self- or party-first, and Americans-when-convenient at the end. There is no good reason at all to reopen the issue to make it possible for telecoms to exercise even greater control over our internet bandwidth when they already operate regional monopolies or near-monopolies as it stands. There is only one reason I can fathom for such a move. How much did you get for your soul? Also, to deliver the line, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
506. Tom Owens, Omaha, NE, 68135
I am deeply ashamed that the fcc would attempt to repeal net neutrality. Its disgusting to see a government organization kowtow to corporations. I support net neutrality totally and unequivocally.
507. Nathan Campos, Omaha, NE, 68134
Keep it the same man P.s the Dude
508. Marcus Bowling, Omaha, NE, 68134
By not supporting keeping the Net Neutrality rulings, you are allowing more

potentials of an Oligarchy forming in the United States. Its sad that Ajit Pai is so brazenly corrupt with his history with Verizon that in open air statements he makes contradictions and lies to further his agenda of 1) Promoting Big Business FUCKING over non wealthy 1% Americans. 2) Just put in power by Trump just for pettiness just to undo everything Obama has done, such as promote Net Neutrality which protects the little person and the greatest thing America and humans have invented. Yes before 2015 we didn't need this, you are so right Facist Pai. Before Verizon even decided to have a hand in shaping the lands of cyberspace. Companies like Microsoft, Google, etc took gambles making the Internet such the lucretive space it is to this day. WELL BEFORE it was mainstream to idiots that run in the office, the 1%, this large mega corporations THAT ARE GIVEN SUBSIDIES FROM THE GOVERNMENT WHO DO NOT USE IT TO UPGRADE THEIR INFRASTRUCTURE ALTHOUGH THAT WAS THE REASON WHY IT WAS SUBSIDIZED TO THEM TO ENHANCE THEIR NETWORKS!!! PFT *HARD FUCKING EYEROLL* I am a Proud America who works in Tech and in Networking Technologies. WHY WHY WHY are there countries that don't have the intense history of EXEMPLAR morale codes and profound sense of honor, duty, and good that THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA has, that have NETWORKS that are supporting Gigabit speeds EASILY and speeds that only reach higher. Why is it that in America we have slow network speeds and ISPs/Cable companies who want to SCREW their customers over. WHY?! WHY?! That isn't America. BY REPEALING this you will only promote that kind of ass fuckery to citizens who are courteous subscribers rather than competitors by being compelled to create their own networks.... Oh wait they can't due to ISP monopolies that should be illegal..... how? why? Please do the right thing. If you believe in a morale just god. Would you want to be judge for being petty and selfish to help a select few individuals and companies than the vast majority for eternity? Even if eternity equals to recorded history because going against this will only make people HUNT you down and VILIFY you.

509. Nick Kuklinski, Papillion, NE, 68133

I am strongly for the preservation of Net Neutrality and Title 2. Shout out to John Oliver.

510. Todd, Omaha, NE, 68105

I support net neutrality and firmly believe that strong legislation, specifically Title II oversight of ISPs, is essential to ensuring the equal access to information across the internet.

511. Ginger Olson, Omaha, NE, 68131

I support preserving net neutrality and title II, this is very important to my family as well as myself.

512. Jason Jewell, Bennington, NE, 68154
Do not remove telecommunications companies from under Title II regulation. The people deserve equal access to the internet, and the government should guarantee it.
513. Jason Jewell, Bennington, NE, 68154
Dear FCC, Please protect people's right to free and unobstructed communications by not allowing companies to slow down or speed up preferred internet traffic. In order to do this, it's clear that the regulation of the internet must remain under the scope of Title II. Yours Truly, Jason
514. Jonathan Tal, Incline Village, NE, 89451
I support strong strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title 2. Protect internet equality.
515. Jeff Kuhns, Omaha, NE, 68154
I support the classification of all ISP providers as Title 2 regulated, for the sake of strict Net Neutrality support. I have an issue with dipshits and large mugs who are trying to reclassify ISP's as Title 1, to dry hump their former employer. Taking away Net Neutrality enforcement is a way in which you give businesses an unfair advantage, especially when I pay a premium to access the internet.
516. Michele Janiel, La Vista, NE, 68128
I specifically support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight on ISP's.
517. Garret, Omaha, NE, 68124
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please.
518. Heflin, Joshua, Omaha, NE, 68124
Please keep the Net Neutrality rules in place. If disestablished many ISPs will run rampant and force users to pay more for content that is not owned by their ISP. Such as Verizon or AT&T allowing no data use for their own services but then charging say NETFLIX a premium to make sure that NETFLIX content is delivered to users at fast speeds (See "Fast Lanes"). This is not a left or right issue, not a Democrat or Republican issue. This is making sure that Washinton is not bought out and we ensure that the consumer is protected. Even with these rules established the industry grew. There is no reason to revert back the rules, beyond filing pocket books. This is my honest opinion and I am willing to discuss further if needed. Thank you.
519. Kyle Joseph Harrison, Omaha, NE, 68154
I support strong net neutrality, supported by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers.

520. Noah Kochanowicz, Omaha, NE, 68144
I support Net Neutrality. I believe in a fair and open internet and that cannot happen with out Net Neutrality. Unlike many other markets, most people do not have a choice in their ISP. I want to know that every piece of information I have access to is not given an unfair disadvantage by my provider.
521. Brian Lake, Omaha, NE, 68116
The internet should remain in title 2, so that net neutrality remains protected.
522. Jenni Rotert, Omaha, NE, 68114
I strongly support net neutrality and keeping it tied to Title II of the Communications Act. Thank You
523. Brian Hertziger, Omaha, NE, 68136
Preserve net neutrality and Title II for internet service providers
524. Josh Klein, Papillion, NE, 68046
Please maintain ISP's as Title II and maintain the ability to enforce net neutrality
525. Thomas Miller, Omaha, NE, 68104
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. Regulations are not bad, in this case they are very good.
526. Jeff Mangus, Omaha, NE, 68164
Net neutrality is extremely important. I support a free and open Internet. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech and competition on the web.
527. Brendan McGuire, Omaha, NE, 68127
I support strong Net Neutrality under Title II. New York's recent law suit against Time Warner Cable is an example of what happens in the normal case of things, and it will only get worse if Mr. Pai's idea of letting these businesses regulate themselves is implemented.
528. Ronald W Hulsebus, Omaha, NE, 68116
I support Title II oversight of internet providers to ensure Net Neutrality. Please do allow changes in the ways these regulations are currently enforced.
529. Carmen bunde, Omaha, NE, 68130
Stick to what you started, retain ISP's under Title II classification and retain Net Neutrality!
530. jonathan droessler, Omaha, NE, 68108
don't take away net neutrality! It is key to my success in world of Warcraft while searching on Google and bing at the same time

531. John dimartino, Omaha, NE, 68106
I want strong net neutrality enforcement. I support title II regulation of Internet service providers.
532. John Pekula, Omaha, NE, 68134
The FCC should preserve net neutrality and keep it under title II
533. Erica Parks, omaha, NE, 68118
Please support net neutrality. Internet service providers should continue to be governed under Title 2 and not go back to Title 1.
534. Angela Thomas, Omaha, NE, 68114
We need Net neutrality as supported by Title II. ISP's cannot govern themselves, the internet should stay open.
535. Susan Lee, Omaha, NE, 68127
Please please please we need Net Neutrality. Without it, the interests of the big and mighty will outweigh the interests of average people AND will also be counter-productive as economic stimulus. Small companies will not be able to compete. PLEASE!
536. Ashley House, Bellevue, NE, 68123
I strongly support net neutrality. With current generations already navigating some of the most convoluted content in human history, the least thing they need is to be hindered by digital robber barons. The internet should be treated has a hallmark of humanity; heritage to pass from one generation to another. We have strong anti-trust laws for material goods and services. The same must be true for our digital lives.
537. Mary O'Donohue, Omaha, NE, 68106
Preserve net nuetrality and title II.
538. Chase Stuto, Omaha, NE, 68104
The proposal to weaken existing net neutrality rules is unacceptable. It would weaken competition, stifle innovation, undermine user choice, and threaten free speech online. Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. Preserving the internet status as a utility and a basic human right - as declared by the United Nations 8 YEARS AGO:<https://www.wired.com/2011/06/internet-a-human-right/>
539. Annie Pigaga, Omaha, NE, 68105
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. We rely on Net Neutrality to connect, organize, and make change.
540. Jason, Omaha, NE, 68135
I strongly support the classification of ISPs under title II. It is integral to a free

and open internet. The people should have the power, not media conglomerates like Verizon and Comcast.

541. Tyson Kriley, Omaha, NE, 68144

I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please take this into consideration, because the complexity of the situation is not to be taken lightly. Our freedom as people of the United States of America is at stake.

542. Jared Van Kirk, Omaha, NE, 68124

Please restore an open internet by continuing to classify internet service providers under Title II. Americans deserve protections against the potential to "make any unjust or unreasonable discrimination in charges, practices, classifications, regulations, facilities, or services." Despite the rhetoric that these regulations will discourage innovation and infrastructure investment, review of ISP statements to investors has shown a lack of evidence in this area. As a small business owner in the technology field, I deserve a chance to compete on a level playing field with larger companies. History has shown us that when companies have an opportunity to monetize access, they usually exercise it. The Internet has woven itself into the fabric of our personal and professional lives to an extent that it needs protection against such possible threats. Please consider reclassification back to Title II or present an alternative that protects access for all. Returning to the "way things were" and the "status quo" is not a way forward.

543. Ann Marie Krahulec, Omaha, NE, 68144

I strongly urge you not to repeal any of the laws that are currently in place for net neutrality. Having companies police themselves or having "voluntary conditions" buried in user agreements is just plain wrong. No one ever reads those long complicated documents created by lawyers and to ensure true net neutrality, we must keep Title II regulations in place. Having these regulations does not impede the companies from providing services to customers and this is a straight up shady deal that is being done by a person who, formally worked for Verizon as a lawyer and is fully aware of the impact both Title I and Title II has on companies. We need net neutrality and it won't be neutral if you move forward with this plan to let the companies take care of themselves.

544. christian moran, Omaha, NE, 68107

I like having an open internet and it is important to maintain one for future generations

545. stephanie maxwell, omaha, NE, 68154

please don't roll back net neutrality laws -theyre good for everyone

546. Calvin Stara, Elkhorn, NE, 68022

This "freedom" is to continue the ever increasing priority of corporate profit over the citizens that utilize it. I doubt, but would not be surprised, that you would tell the fire departments, alright you can pay X amount of dollars and the other department will lose one tire on their firetruck. That's not how it works. This needs to be a tightly regulated, public service so that everyone can have fair access even if they are using Bing.

547. Restoring Internet Freedom, Papillion, NE, 68046
Preserve Title II to extend net neutrality.
548. Rob, Omaha, NE, 68134
Please keep in place net neutrality rules and support its Title II classification
549. Taylor Harms, Omaha, NE, 68105
We already have enough of internet preference controlled by google and facebook. Additionally these websites already know more about me than I know about my self. I strongly support net neutrality and do want people to control the internet speeds I am requesting or affecting which apps I can use on any of my devices.
550. Jacob Sowers, Bennington, NE, 68007
I am expressing my support for a free and open internet by sustaining current FCC guidelines on net neutrality by keeping the internet classified in Title II.
551. Justin Block, Omaha, NE, 68106
I cannot believe that we, as a people, once again need to tell our government that net neutrality is a good thing. But here we are... I strongly support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Please FCC... stop letting them do this crap.
552. Christopher Schacht, Omaha, NE, 68105
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. This oversight protects users and does not harm ISPs. The Internet should be treated as a utility and available to anyone without restriction.
553. Mark Brakenhoff, La Vista, NE, 68128
Title 2 classification and oversight are essential for maintaining an open internet and preserving net neutrality. I strongly reject a relaxing of oversight and/or a reclassification from its current form. The rules are there to keep people honest. Removing them would be a terrible and uninformed decision. Our freedom is not for sale. Ajit Pai is going against the will of the people, and against what America stands for.
554. Nick Mulder, Omaha, NE, 68116
I am very opposed to 17-108. Internet must categorized as a utility under Title II

of the Communications Act. The internet is no longer a commodity. It is an essential, integral part of daily life. The only way to protect consumers is for the FCC to enforce guidelines on ISPs to guarantee they will treat all traffic equally. Repealing net neutrality means that ISPs will have the legal freedom to throttle and control where and how traffic is sent. This will not cultivate a better internet, it will not increase competition. ISPs have disclosed to their share holders that net neutrality has not affected the way they invest. Net Neutrality must remain!

555. James Andrew Burnett, Omaha, NE, 68130

I urge the commission to retain and enforce strong Net Neutrality protections. As in other media where the FCC holds licensees responsible for serving the public interest, it is fair and reasonable to expect Internet sector companies large and small to encourage fair and accessible communication. There is ample room for freedom and prosperity within a net neutrality framework.

556. andrew bruning, Omaha, NE, 68144

I support strict net neutrality backed by title 2 oversights of ISP's. Do not allow this freedom to be taken from the people

557. Jeremy Rose, Omaha, NE, 68164

I support Net Neutrality rules, and the Title II classification of Internet Service Providers. This ensures a way for ISPs to be held accountable, and I strongly disagree with FCC Chair Ajit Varadaraj Pai on Title II classification stifling infrastructure growth.

558. Nycole Kerrigan, Omaha, NE, 68127

Net neutrality is vital, please continue to keep in place.

559. Erica Shapland, Omaha, NE, 68135

We need to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Companies cannot be permitted to control the internet. Please continue to enforce Net Neutrality and protect the internet from those who seek to control the flow of media traffic. Thank you.

560. Jake Dolezal, La Vista, NE, 68128

I support the preservation of Net Neutrality guaranteed to us by the protections given to us under Title II. Abolishing Title II protections would unilaterally destabilize the internet as we know it, but more importantly it would permanently and irrecoverably make Ajit Pai "not cool".

561. Marissa Kreifels, Omaha, NE, 68135

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Americans expect the FCC to protect them, not big business.

562. Melody, Bellevue, NE, 68131

Trump keep you cronies away from the internet. Please leave things you don't understand alone. You put people into place thinking they know the subject matter. How has that worked out for you (i.e. Flynn)? Hasn't anyone ever told you that you can't trust everyone? It really makes you look bad and everyone knows how important appearance is to you.

563. Marcus Matousek, Omaha, NE, 68116

I support strong net neutrality protected by Title II over site for ISPs. I simply don't trust ISPs, and there are no/few competitors to choose from.

564. David_Rodriguez, Omaha, NE, 68104

I support Title 2 oversight on Net neutrality. Companies cannot be allowed to self regulate, because they do not self regulate in a fair manner. It affects all of us internet users.

565. David Joseph Trouba, Elkhorn, NE, 68022

I kindly request that ISP 'net neutrality' continue to be regulated according to Title 2. Neither under regulation nor over regulation are desired conditions for any industry, but as the ISPs themselves have indicated no major impact due to Title 2, and the vital role of the free flow of information in today's society requires continued vigilance to ensure that that freedom is maintained, Title 2 application is warranted for this proceedings, 17-108

566. Andrew J. Cook, Omaha, NE, 68134

I want to register that I support strong Net Neutrality regulations, backed by Title II. This is an important protection for the consumer against big businesses.

567. Tyler Wisecup, Omaha, NE, 68122

I support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Do not remove the title 2 oversight, doing so would be against the interests of equal footing for creativity, innovation, and commerce.

568. Jonathan Gombas, Omaha, NE, 68131

I support Net Neutrality supported by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not roll back the Title 2 classification of ISPs

569. Barbara Kirchofer, Omaha, NE, 68116

Do not change the Net Neutrality Title II. As much as The Chairman of the FCC, Ajit Pai, would like us believe that the cable companies would never interfere with the speed of ISPs to benefit themselves, I would feel better keeping the current requirements. Small businesses will be hurt, while the big companies will once again flourish at their expense. Everyone needs a level playing field.

570. Grant Kerr, Omaha, NE, 68102

I fully support strong Net Neutrality

571. john christensen, Omaha, NE, 68132
Please maintain the title 2 classification for internet providers so that we can keep our internet freedom. It doesn't seem right for a former Verizon associate to be in charge of the FCC
572. Dylan Gaillard, Omaha, NE, 68132
Net neutrality is an important tenet of the internet, which is a vital utility in the modern world. Giving corporations more power to control and profit off of content benefits corporate donors, not the people you are appointed to stand for. Please do the right thing and stop letting money stand in the place of morals.
573. Joshua Shapland, Omaha, NE, 68135
We need to keep the internet free and open. Do not let corporations control the flow of data on the internet. Keep it protected as a title II entity. Regulation against paid traffic prioritization is important to an open internet that doesn't stifle innovation and entrepreneurship.
574. Nathan Anderson & Samuel Zeitner, Omaha, NE, 68105
We are strongly against the removal of all net neutrality protections. It is our right as Americans to access information via the internet at equal speeds without disruption.
575. Tristan, Omaha, NE, 68130
Keep the internet fair and free. Maintain Net Neutrality!
576. Robert Gabel, Omaha, NE, 68135
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2. These regulations would not hurt the economy. Besides, the rest of the government is not trustworthy enough to figure this out. This is not a partisan issue. Just preserve net neutrality. Please.
577. Sean Malone, Omaha, NE, 68102
I SPECIFICALLY support STRONG Net Neutrality support backed by Title 2 oversight! It's a shame this even needs to be done, you corrupt piles of garbage!!!!
578. Ross Macholan, Omaha, NE, 68122
I support net neutrality and want the internet to be categorized as a utility under Title II of the Communications Act.
579. Aaron G., Papillion, NE, 68046
don't let these ISP's do this to us!!!

580. Jack Bruck, La Vista, NE, 68128
I spend a lot of time using various competing services on the internet, for everything from television to research for my university. My life and productivity would be affected negatively if Net Neutrality is done away with. For me and many others, these restrictions are a no-brainer.
581. Caryl Terry, Omaha, NE, 68118
The net should be neutral and shouldn't be restricted because a company is paying to throttle the competition. Keep the net open. Just because Drumpf is president doesn't mean we should go back to the dark ages
582. Chris Alford, Omaha, NE, 68104
Keep net neutrality and ISPs under title 2.
583. Melinda S, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
Keep the net neutrality in place. I'm in support of retaining Title II classification of ISP's. The honor system and voluntary good deeds is often not a good plan of action for benefiting the masses (i.e. the American people for which you, the government, is to serve). If it was, we wouldn't need said government.
584. James Burkholder, Omaha, NE, 68144
Please preserve net neutrality and the title II status of ISPs. It is the only way I see to ensure that the internet stays as the open market that made it so successful in the first place. Small startups must be able to compete with larger corporations.
585. Anthony Skorniak, Omaha, NE, 68105
Cut the Evil Empire shit !!!
586. Michael Horan, Omaha, NE, 68136
Please consider your actions fully before removing ISP's from title 2. We currently enjoy an unparalleled connectivity to an incredible source of information and entertainment in the internet. We are free to enjoy content from any source we choose without being constrained in that ability by concerns of profitability or fluctuations of the economy. A business provides a service. A business should not be allowed to forcibly assign or limit the effective use of that service, especially in an industry where so few options are available to the consumer. The internet is so integral to daily life for people around the world that many countries consider it a basic right. Moving providers to title 1 is essentially asking us as Americans to trust that individuals who stand to gain monetarily will make decisions that are in the best interest of their customers rather than their bottom line. While normally, standard economics might curb attempts at profit at the expense of consumers, in most areas of the country there is virtually no competition for providers. Please do not transfer the freedom of individuals to corporations. Please keep ISP's under title 2 and retain

the free internet that we ALL enjoy.

587. Rebecca Starling, Omaha, NE, 68131
I implore you to continue to uphold Net Neutrality and persist with Title II and the inclusion of ISP (internet service providers) under Title II.
588. Shane, Omaha, NE, 68144
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. How many times do the citizens of this nation have to speak up to prevent this? The public does not support taking away Net Neutrality, only the Telecommunication companies support it.
589. John Herzog, Omaha, NE, 68124
We strongly believe that NET Neutrality is absolutely necessary for our globalized society. Please do everything you can to keep Title II to ensure that this necessary daily resource is monitored to some degree and not compromised by private enterprise.
590. Mitchell lemke, Omaha, NE, 68127
Net Neutrality is essential to the existence of the internet. You are lobbying on the economic growth and stimulation the deregulation will create. Which it may among the top providers in the nation; but, this will create a governed monopoly limit any future start-ups or competition. The only reason that the past FCC moved to title 2 was to keep your former employer from taking over the internet. Verizon was suing the FCC who was then forced to change the classification of the government.
591. Ziyu Fan, Omaha, NE, 68135
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The ISPs should have no place in determining the availability and speed of access of the websites we choose to access.
592. Bharvey, Papillion, NE, 68046
As an member of the Omaha Sartup Community I urge you to keep ISPs classified under Title II. Rolling back the FCC's net neutrality protection would have a negative impact on the ability for startup companies to compete, and stifle innovation.
593. Matt Pekula, Omaha, NE, 68124
I'm worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way that they are enforced. I would support a new regulation if it guarantees the same or better protections for net neutrality. Keep Title II and keep net neutrality in place.
594. Jon, Omaha, NE, 68124

I strongly support net neutrality by means of retaining ISP's under the provisions of Title II.

595. Kristin Wipfler, Omaha, NE, 68134

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. Net neutrality is essential and must be protected.

596. Christopher DeMaro, Omaha, NE, 68134

The FCC should leave ISPs Title II rules in place. Voluntary compliance with net neutrality will not work

597. Thomas Pfeffer, Omaha, NE, 68144

I strongly encourage you to keep Net Neutrality in place. Net neutrality provides equal treatment and access to the internet. ISPs effectively have a monopoly in many markets (including COX in Omaha). Why further increase their monopoly by allowing them to restrict competitive content. ISPs should not, either positively or negatively, discriminate towards any particular application or website, just because they own that company, it's run by a family member or they have entered in partnership with some brand.

598. Andrea Hanlon, Gretna, NE, 68028

Keep the internet neutral. It is vital for continued growth and adaptation.

599. Aron Merchen, Omaha, NE, 68131

The American people deserve equal access to the internet and all its benefits. This rule would put more power in the hands of large corporations and will compromise equal access to all the information the world has to share. This needs to be stopped

600. Brad Guidry, Omaha, NE, 68135

Net neutrality should be a constitutional right for each and every American citizen.

601. Jake Nicksick, Omaha, NE, 68114

Do not change the net neutrality laws under Title 2. Thank you.P.S. - John Oliver sent me (¡ÿÂ° ÍœÊ– ¡ÿÂ°)

602. Matthew Slunecka, Omaha, NE, 68130

I support strong net neutrality regulations supported by Title II. Internet service is too often provided by companies which have content distribution arms and have an interest in customers viewing their own content rather than a competitor's. Cable television providers would much rather you subscribe to a cable service than use an online streaming service.

603. Trevor Slawnyk, Omaha, NE, 68105

Hello FCC,I support strong net neutrality backed by the Title 2 regulations. Net Neutrality needs to be enforced, otherwise, ISPs WILL take advantage of the American people. We have seen it before. Please, do not let this happen again.

604. Jason Harpham, Omaha, NE, 68135

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This is a position held by most Americans and I encourage you not to ignore that fact.

605. William Cain, Omaha, NE, 68164

I support a free and fair internet under Title II where fairness can be enforced. A voluntary system will ruin the internet with only sites that pay underhanded deals getting consistent service. Net Neutrality is what makes the internet great, free, and evolving.

606. Michael Davis, Omaha, NE, 68130

Ajit Varadaraj Pai--Loved your biopic "Life of Pi."However, I support a strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. We American citizens must PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY & TITLE II.GBA

607. Joel MARsh, Omaha, NE, 68106

PLease preserve internet neutrality and title II. Thanks!

608. Samantha Willis, Omaha, NE, 68164

I am 100% for net neutrality. Please do not take this away from us. The internet is a free place for people to share their minds or look at car videos. It's great like that and I don't want corporations to have control over what I can see.

609. Catherine Orzechowski, Omaha, NE, 68132

Keep internet service providers regulated under Title II and uphold net neutrality.

610. Grant Story, Omaha, NE, 68116

I support net neutrality and the strong oversite of ISPs.

611. Eric Penner, Omaha, NE, 68144

Strong net neutrality is important and Title II should be kept in tact.

612. Mason Snyder, Omaha, NE, 68131

We are still at the forefront of a drastically different world than the one of the last generation. What we do now is critically important in the future of human rights and human potential. The internet needs to remain an equal opportunity for everyone unless we want to further the class divide in America. Democracy functions best when all our ideas have an equal platform to stand on and be heard and debated openly. We desperately need to keep this revolutionary tool in the hands of all the people and not just the ones with big bank accounts.

Enlightenment is not easily extinguished.

613. Kevin Reiner, Omaha, NE, 68104
I strongly support perserving net neutrality laws backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thanks!
614. Erin Liberatore, Omaha, NE, 68102
Dear Mr. Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Anything less is unacceptable, and hoping you can gaslight people into believing that net neutrality is not in their favor is silly. We are long past the point in our society where the internet should be classified as anything other than a utility, as the federal, state, and local government run on the internet and secured intra-nets. Even my grandparents rely on internet accessibility. You can't run anything in this country without free and open access to the internet.
615. Matt Patterson, Omaha, NE, 68134
Please keep title 2 protections for internet service
616. Kara Conway, Omaha, NE, 68116
Preserve and protect Net Neutrality and Title Two.
617. Blake Oakey, Omaha, NE, 68118
Please maintain an open internet through net neutrality protections under title 2 of the communication act.
618. Kathleen Rogers, Omaha, NE, 68124
I support real Internet Freedom, Net Neutrality, which was implemented by the FCC led by Tom Wheeler, under President Obama, and Title II, I think it is called, where the Internet is treated as a public utility and under the FCC not the FTC. I do not support the fake Republican kind of Internet "Freedom" where businesses like AT&T and Comcast can buy influence to control searches and sell content. I already pay my ISP, Cox Communications, a pretty penny for my Internet access and there is no need for me to pay more to companies like AT&T. The Internet is one of the last bastions of freedom in America where a citizen is able to type in a phrase and see what comes up in order to find the truth instead of "truth" being supplied by businesses who are able to buy influence in government. Republicans should take their hands off this public utility and try to remember who they really work for, American citizens, not the Telecom lobbies.
619. Michael A Fox, Omaha, NE, 68154
Please preserve an open internet by keeping ISPs subject to title 2.
620. Daniel Kalin, Omaha, NE, 68152

Please come to your senses when it comes to Net Neutrality. The ISP's already have a choke hold on their customers. The "Internet Freedom Act" Is just a cleverly named bill meant to give more power to ISPs and will only hurt the customer (in both the quality of connection and in the pocketbook). This is our representatives listening to big donors and not thinking of their constituents.
Thanks

621. Kellan Stec, Omaha, NE, 68164

I am an IT professional (Software Engineer). I believe reversing net neutrality would be catastrophic for the consumer. It only benefits big businesses, especially ones that have that I see as a conflict of interest being both in the content and distribution businesses. No business should be allowed to prioritize its own content over others over the same line. The only entity who should choose which content they consume should be the consumer. ISPs should provide a dumb pipe, and ideally would not even know what content the consumer is consuming. Reversing Net Neutrality has nothing to do with "Internet Freedom". Anyone who claims otherwise is misrepresenting what Net Neutrality is for their own benefit.

622. Sam Elliott, Omaha, NE, 68135

Respect and uphold internet neutrality.

623. Jay Kozisek, Omaha, NE, 68114

I want the FCC to maintain an open and free internet. Keep Net Neutrality!!

624. Donnie Happel, Omaha, NE, 68122

I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. ISPs have already proven they will throttle content that is unfavorable to them numerous times.

625. Dave Loyall, Omaha, NE, 68108

Please consider ISPs to be Common Carriers.

626. Jon White, Omaha, NE, 68104

I fully support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II Oversight of ISPs. This oversight must remain intact,

627. Christopher Norton, Omaha, NE, 68104

I support strong Net Neutrality laws, please do not roll back the Title II designation. We need a free and open internet for small businesses to thrive.

628. Hunter Carrig, Omaha, NE, 68124

I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! In other words I am opposed to the Restoring Internet "Freedom" Act, Docket No. 17-108!

629. John, Omaha, NE, 68104
I do not support the internet speeds being throttled based on content chosen by the ISP.
630. Roger Elliot Jr, Omaha, NE, 68117
Internet freedom is not a mistake. Please maintain the rights of users and keep businesses honest when providing internet access to consumers.
631. Cramer, Omaha, NE, 68124
Net Neutrality prohibits broadband companies from blocking websites, slowing connection speeds and charging for faster delivery of certain content. Do not change the current rules just so service providers (who are some of the most loathed companies by consumers) can fix the open internet market and kill innovation and competition.
632. Tim Guinan, Omaha, NE, 68127
Net Neutrality needs to be forced under strict rules. Do not change it back to Title 1 regulation. I personally have dealt with ISP's throttling my internet on specific web sites and video games. They refuse to give standard service to force other companies to pay them more money. It hurts the smaller people. Just don't do it.
633. William Simpson, Omaha, NE, 68107
I am in support of Super Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Make it clear you are Opposed to Docket No. 17-108! The internet is synonymous with Information, Social Activity, and exploration, as such access to unrestricted/non-throttled Internet should be considered a basic human right.
634. Larry J Ellison DDS, Papillion, NE, 68133
I urge you to maintain current net neutrality and strong Title II oversight of ISP companies!! This is essential to prevent unfair and bias influences by companies with vested interests. One of the qualities which separates the USA from other countries is the history of avoiding or minimizing corruption in our government. Please continue this fine tradition to keep our fair and democratic system intact!!
635. cathy hirsch, Omaha, NE, 68138
I strongly back net neutrality and Title 2. Please ensure their survival.
636. Amy Shreve, Omaha, NE, 68134
I strongly support NET Neutrality.
637. TYLER THORN, OMAHA, NE, 68116
I WANT TO STAY AS TITLE TWO

638. Robert Klemm, Omaha, NE, 68112
I strongly urge you to NOT remove the current net neutrality rules
639. JACOB WADE, OMAHA, NE, 68137
I WANT TO STAY AS TITLE 2
640. Paul Payne, Omaha, NE, 68127
I support strong net neutrality, and strongly oppose efforts to try and remove title 2.
641. Michael Carlson, PAPILLION, NE, 68046
Please retain the current net neutrality rules. ISP companies (or any company, for that matter) do not need to regulate specific content.
642. Brady Klein, Gretna, NE, 68028
I am extremely in favor of keeping ISP's classified under Title II, as well as strong Net Neutrality laws. Please do not reclassify them or do anything other than strengthen the regulations regarding Net Neutrality.
643. Brady Klein, Gretna, NE, 68028
Please do NOT reclassify ISP's away from Title II, and keep net neutrality strong!
644. Bradley Stefka, Omaha, NE, 68122
I believe that we need an open and fair internet. Keep everyone on an fair plain for internet use.
645. Ankit Pant, Omaha, NE, 68106
I support the strong net neutrality laws that are currently in place. Net neutrality is fundamental to open and prosperous Internet environment.
646. Ross, Omaha, NE, 68178
I support strong net neutrality and type 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not remove it from under type 2
647. Dave Dinslage, Omaha, NE, 68130
Keep strong Net Neutrality. Keep internet access Title 2.
648. Kylie Reiners, Papillion, NE, 68046
Net neutrality matters. No company should be able to slow down access to competitors sites/content. That's not free market. Please keep the internet open and free.
649. austin, omaha, NE, 68164
protect our rights as u.s. citizens to have a fair market internet. keep net

neutrality under title 2. America is watching and voters are energized.

650. Moria, Omaha, NE, 68104

I am very much opposed to not classifying internet usage as a utility. If you don't classify internet usage under Title II, then not only are you being incredibly anti-consumer, but you are also being pro-monopoly which does not promote competition between businesses and the FCC should be all about promoting competition between businesses. Please do not reclassify internet usage if you do not want to get rid of net neutrality.

651. Erin Triplett, Omaha, NE, 68022

FCC please keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.

652. guenter strubinsky, omaha, NE, 68107

I have no doubt that Net Neutrality will go away and both smaller providers and poorer users will suffer significantly if the ISPs would be only controlled under title 1. The internet shall stay under title 2 like the telephone.

653. Joseph del Campo, Omaha, NE, 68164

I support strong net neutrality regulations backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I am also concerned that Chairman Pai seems to be more aligned with furthering the goals of ISP's over protecting the privacy and interests of American citizens.

654. Dan, Omaha, NE, 68164

Please do not rid the internet of Net Neutrality, absolutely no one wants this.

655. John Horton, Omaha, NE, 68104

Please support net neutrality using Title II classifications. Do not switch to Title I.

656. John Sigmon, Omaha, NE, 68144

Stricter control of net neutrality is the best way to control ISP's and foster growth within the digital world.

657. Amy Walzer, Omaha, NE, 68164

I support net neutrality and would like to state my support for Title II.

658. Kevin Hupf, Omaha, NE, 68138

Net Neutrality is important to protect customers. Please preserve net neutrality and title 2 classifications for broadband and wireless companies.

659. H Gilliam, Omaha, NE, 68135

I support Net Neutrality and keeping ISP's under Title II.

660. James Aaron Herrmann, Omaha, NE, 68107
Net neutrality keeps the American dream alive. It allows everyone an equal right to be heard. To be rid of it would be a step, albeit a slight one, toward distopia.
661. Ian Kimmer, Omaha, NE, 68105
Keep the regulation of the internet known as "Net Neutrality" in place. Do not alter current law as per the desires of the current FCC Chairman. Thank you.
662. stephanie sorensen, Omaha, NE, 68104
I support classifying ISPs under Title II.
663. Shade P Murphy, Omaha, NE, 68137
I strongly support keeping net neutrality laws on title two. I do not want ISPs have the power to delegate broadband speed to whoever the highest bidder is.
664. Susan Hunter, Papillion, NE, 68046
I am NOT in favor of changing the Title II status of the FCC regulations regarding net neutrality.
665. Eric Olsen, Omaha, NE, 68124
I am for the strictest possible regulations on the ISP's. I want to make sure that I am being clear, don't let them sneeze without permission. Thanks!
666. Barbara Cabrera, Papillion, NE, 68046
Preserve title II to extend net neutrality
667. Sam Adams, Omaha, NE, 68104
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. It is important for all consumers of the internet.
668. Lyndsey Stessman, Omaha, NE, 68106
I strongly believe the FCC should uphold the classification of internet service providers under their current Title II classification. It is critically important to our freedom as private citizens as well as the ability for small businesses to flourish in our economy. Internet service providers have claimed that these regulations are unnecessary and they have not adjusted speeds to disadvantage competitors, but there is ample proof to show that this has already happened. Keep Title II classification to protect the American consumers.
669. Patrick Sather, Omaha, NE, 68108
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai,I strongly support strong network neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not undermine current regulations that maintain our free and open Internet. If you do, you will not create an open market, but rather destroy the controls that ensure fairness online. Don't go

down in history as the man who pushed the snowball down the mountain that escalated into the deathblow that killed the free and open Internet.

670. Matt Spielman, Omaha, NE, 68134
Internet access should be considered a utility, I support net neutrality.
671. Paul Callahan, Papillion, NE, 68046
Please keep ISPs classified under Title 2 and support Net Neutrality. Thank you!!
672. jennifer Bartlett, Omaha, NE, 68134
Preserve title two - you have an obligation to. It is too important to let companies monitor themselves.
673. Garrett, Omaha, NE, 68102
We need to maintain Title 2 oversight of ISPs. It promotes fair competition among the ISPs as opposed to allowing them to gouge the consumers.
674. Alexa Paley, Omaha, NE, 68131
Leave net neutrality rules alone and keep ISPs under Title II
675. Jason Ehrig-Page, Omaha, NE, 68104
I support higher regulation of internet providers in keeping the internet neutral and fair for all. Higher regulation has not adversely affected corporations and any argument that they say that does is for their own profits and does not take into account the people who use their services. Remember, many people do not have a choice as to which internet service provider they choose as some communities only have 1 or 2 ISPs. If an ISP decides to provide unequal internet service to these communities, they do not necessarily have a choice to switch to a better ISP. Additionally, ISPs have in the past favored certain internet apps and data over competitors creating an unfair advantage. Because many people now have to use internet for many day to day activities, such as paying bills, connecting with friends and family and using it to research school papers and projects, that internet has become a hugely important to peoples well-being. I feel it is most likely to be comparable to being a public utility that is necessary for all and those who do not have access or are limited by access are unfairly disadvantaged. Please do not remove regulatory oversight of internet service providers and please ensure they create a fair playing field for all those who access it.
676. Andrew Real, Omaha, NE, 68134
Net neutrality is vital for protecting my future as a web developer. Internet service providers do not, nor ever have had, the interests of their customers in mind. Gutting or removing net neutrality would be a disservice to America's internet-using public. ISPs cannot be trusted to work for the betterment of the

internet (see: data caps, paid prioritization, forced paid peering, all things ISPs have done or tried to do in the past few years). The FCC should stand for protecting American's access to the unfettered internet, with net neutrality in place.

677. Brian Withers, omaha, NE, 68106
Keep title II and regulate the isp's
678. William Haisch, Omaha, NE, 68106
To whom it may concern, I support classifying and regulating Internet Service Providers (ISP) as Common Carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Thank you for your time.
679. Christopher Baird, Omaha, NE, 68114
I want strong net neutrality and to leave ISPs classified Title II. Do not change this.
680. natasha, omaha, NE, 68142
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Don't touch my freedom!!!
681. internet, omaha, NE, 68116
Do not eliminate Net Neutrality, the internet is a fine place that is protected under title 2. If you eliminate this then you are not for the people, but for greedy corporations who just want a quick buck. Everyone wants a free internet, only the people who benefit it, what to semi-control it.
682. John Luebbe, Omaha, NE, 68131
I support net neutrality under the protection of Title II to keep my Internet speed equally accessible to all parties. Long live freedom in America!
683. Sierra Nauslar, Omaha, NE, 68137
I thought monopolies were illegal? Disgusting!
684. Olivia Waller, Omaha, NE, 68137
Net neutrality is a real and important issue to many Americans (including me). Keep ISPs covered by title 2. Let the people in this country have their voice on this policy.
685. Yvonne Engesser, Omaha, NE, 68107
Net neutrality is necessary and must be preserved.
686. Rachel Welty, Omaha, NE, 68131
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed be title II oversight of ISPs.

687. Molly Romero, Omaha, NE, 68132
Keep Title 2. Keep net neutrality.
688. Katharine Maguire, Papillion, NE, 68133
Net neutrality is essential for American business and all of their consumers. Internet Service Providers (ISPs) need to be regulated under Title II.
689. Mark Rodriguez, Omaha, NE, 68128
I specifically support keeping the internet classified as Title 2, this will ensure FUTURE generations of neutral networks.
690. Ryan Richards, Omaha, NE, 68137
We support preservation of net neutrality and title 2.
691. Michelle Heisler, Gretna, NE, 68028
I am the owner of a small internet business. I was able to create this successful business despite my hearing loss that made it impossible to work in my trained position. The open and equal opportunities given to me and many others through the internet have allowed me to continue to support my family, save for college for my children, and save for retirement instead of filing for government disability pay. The proposed changes to net neutrality pose a grave risk to my business and the survival and well-being of my family. I ask that the FCC please stand up for everyone in this country and not just for the cable companies that have a near monopoly in most areas they serve. They have enough money and power and take great advantage of it on a daily basis by fleecing Americans who have no choices and therefore no power. The last thing they need is the charity of these proposed changes! Please stand up for what is right and fair for ALL Americans. Thank you, Michelle Heisler
692. Tony Mehner, Omaha, NE, 68154
I am requesting that you preserve Title II coverage of the internet (the current net neutrality legal standing). Losing government oversight of something as important as the internet and *trusting* corporations with it is not the answer. Thank you.
693. Jordan Epperson, Omaha, NE, 68138
Strong net neutrality is the way of the future for every person and organization/company support title II! Every ISP should be required to abide by title II, this country is a free country for businesses to flourish and prosper in an equal and fair manner, how could this continue to be if ISPs suddenly have the ability to bully any company or website they want. SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY !
694. Sarah Reeves, Bennington, NE, 68007
Net neutrality is important! Please preserve title 2!

695. Aaron Reeves, Omaha, NE, 68007
Keep strong Title II Net neutrality regulations!!!
696. joan percival, Omaha, NE, 68132
I Am strongly in favor of maintaining the robust existent net neutrality regulations. It ain't broke. Don't fix it so that ISP's have even more power. Any weakening of these regulations is nothing more than a corporate handout to the detriment of the public.
697. Carolyn Harvey, Papillion, NE, 68046
Please support net neutrality and do not allow broadband providers to manipulate internet speeds to select websites. Please keep ISP's under Title 2.
698. Jeni Burlage, Omaha, NE, 68114
Net Neutrality is one of the most capitalist parts of our everyday life and it's essential to keep the internet a level playing field by keeping it under Title II. The only people who would benefit from changing it back to Title I would be the internet companies. Everyone else would lose; you cannot think this is a good idea.
699. Kelsey Nelson, Omaha, NE, 68132
Expressing my strong support for net neutrality.
700. Jonathan Hermsen, Omaha, NE, 68124
The proposal to weaken existing net neutrality rules is unacceptable. It would weaken competition, stifle innovation, undermine user choice, and threaten free speech online. Please do the right thing like you did three years ago and protect the future of the internet for generations to come!
701. Julia Harney Stamps, Omaha, NE, 68135
I support Internet (i.e. NET) neutrality. This is important to keep access equal for all, and unfettered access based on how much money someone is paying someone else. I pay for my internet to be fast, reliable, and neutral. Period.
Thank you.
702. Dan Sveom, Omaha, NE, 68102
I support Net Neutrality. I do not support any change from Title II back to Title I
703. Patrick Falke, Omaha, NE, 68106
Please ensure that Neet Nutrality stays intact and Title II continues to regulate ISPs
704. Megan Marie, Omaha, NE, 68107
I support strong net neutrality and ISP's need to stay title 2. Thanks.

705. George P Keele, Omaha, NE, 68134
We need strong Net Neutrality do not repeal what we currently have in place.
706. 17-108, Omaha, NE, 68106
Just watched the John Oliver show about net neutrality. Come on man! Bunch of bullshit what the head of the FCC is trying to do. I support title I and title II
707. Charles Erickson, Omaha, NE, 68132
You are doing the public a disservice if you do not preserve net neutrality laws with strong Title II oversight. Please ensure no measures are taken otherwise.
708. Amy Sorensen, Omaha, NE, 68136
Net neutrality is very important for continued growth and innovation in the United States. Technology needs to be kept unbound by corporate interests so it remains a power that we all can use to better the world.
709. Daniel Williams, Omaha, NE, 68135
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Please continue to hold ISPs accountable and prevent them from taking advantage of a currently fair market. Ajit Pai, your goal to deregulate net neutrality only supports big business. Please preserve this protection!
710. Adam, omaha, NE, 68131
I support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. I support an open and free internet.
711. Scott Franko, Omaha, NE, 68135
Any attempt to move IPS's from Title II to Title 1 and stifle net neutrality is a strike against what it means to be American. You may as well let gas stations serve watered down gas to people that don't drive BMW's. The FCC should be more interested in protecting the public they were created to serve from any possible wrong doing by ISP's than laying down in bed with big business.
712. M Gibson, Omaha, NE, 68124
I am writing to support maintaining ISPs as Title II organizations and support true net freedom and neutrality. There is no reason or purpose that benefits the average citizen by altering it's current status and allowing ISPs to cherry pick content.
713. Jason Paseka, Omaha, NE, 68136
Net neutrality is necessary to prevent de facto censorship by corporations. Corporations policing themselves directly conflicts with their stated mission of maximizing profit for shareholders, and when it comes to controlling the flow of information is downright dangerous and runs directly counter to a free and informed society.

714. Nikki Snyder Coffman, Omaha, NE, 68132
I support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversights
715. Oliver Coffman, Omaha, NE, 68132
I support net neutrality backed by title II oversights
716. John Schmidt, Omaha, NE, 68105
I am deeply, deeply concerned about the implications of abolishing Net Neutrality in favor of ISPs. This would not encourage a healthy and competitive environment for the internet landscape that we currently enjoy.
717. net neutrality, omaha, NE, 68106
Net Neutrality is needed. Preserve title-2.
718. Corey Bean, Omaha, NE, 68137
The FCC should consider what is best for US citizens & consumers, not just the interests of corporations. Net neutrality must be preserved.
719. Chris Nowatzke, Papillion, NE, 68133
Please support strong net neutrality under title II. Fcc oversight is needed to keep it fair for all business
720. Bryan C, Omaha, NE, 68131
Please preserve net neutrality and the protections guaranteed by Title II. We cannot blindly trust a loose agreement between large telcos not to throttle or otherwise interfere with content distribution.(I do not consent to making my name, email, or address publicly available via the web.)
721. Alec Skinner, Omaha, NE, 68130
Net neutrality and Title II must be preserved. Without them we would be manipulated by companies and would result in a major loss of freedom.
722. Sean Cheevers, Omaha, NE, 68105
I support NET Neutrality for a free and open internet.
723. Michael Wittleder, Omaha, NE, 68130
I support and believe in the title II classification of ISPs and the need to protect a neutral and open internet. Thank You, Michael Wittleder
724. Mark Hutchins, Omaha, NE, 68116
Internet packets on the Internet are like mail envelopes in the US Postal Service. The ISP routers are like postal workers and they need to deliver the mail without reading the contents. Please do not allow ISP to look inside Internet packets.

725. Chris gendron, Omaha, NE, 68116
Net neutrality is an important aspect of free speech and setting a level starting ground, both of which are important American ideals; endless profiteering and blind greed are not. Please support these values and uphold what remains.
726. Robin Vovolka, Omaha, NE, 68132
I support strong regulation of ISPs under Title 2. Please keep the internet neutral and free.
727. Jennifer Garmatter, Omaha, NE, 68144
I am against the reclassification of ISP's from their current Title II Status. My support is for net neutrality and the current protections put in place and I do not feel that it will benefit the public to allow ISP's to voluntarily declare their intentions to not screw over their customers. The internet has become a utility, daily relied upon not just for entertainment but for business, communication and more. More and more people and businesses, from start-ups to stay-at-home moms selling on the side, rely on equal access to consumers and they have the right to not be shut out from the market because their business may clash with a deal their ISP may have made with another company or service.
728. Jason Carlberg, Omaha, NE, 68124
We want to keep Net Neutrality now and forever!
729. Nick Kintzle, Omaha, NE, 68136
I support Title 2 classification and more regulations on ISPs. This service needs to be regulated as a utility because it has become as necessary as electricity and water. I can't pay for stronger electricity and cleaner water and ISPs shouldn't be able to pull their crap and create different "lanes" of internet service.
730. Tyler Lindsey, Omaha, NE, 68105
I support strong net neutrality laws with Title II oversight of ISPs. Support an open and free internet!!
731. andrew schmidt, Omaha, NE, 68152
The FCC needs to maintain government oversight on net neutrality. If ISP's are allowed to self govern it will be the same thing that happened with Wall Street and the melt down of 2008. They will look after their own self interests and fair play will be a thing of the past.
732. Title 2, Omaha, NE, 68138
The ISP providers (such as Verizon) should remain held to the standards of Title 2. They should not be allowed to be held under the rules of Title 1. Ajit Pai should listen to the citizens of the USA who want their internet to remain under Title 2. ISP's should not be restricted based on what the providers want. The internet in the USA is already abysmal and by changing the ISP's from

Title 2 to Title 1 would just put the USA further behind. PLEASE DO NOT DO THIS! Keep the ISP's under Title 2.

733. Aabra Ahmed, Omaha, NE, 68102
Please keep internet neutrality and Title II. There is no reason to compromise this aspect of the internet.
734. Bridgette Stokes, Omaha, NE, 68127
I would like to keep the Title 2 oversight of ISPs in place, and I strongly support net neutrality. Corporations should dictate the content I receive or the speed I receive it, especially when I am already paying for said services. This is something important, not just to me, but to the American people.
735. Robert Liefer, omaha, NE, 68130
Net neutrality is absolutely necessary to maintain equality of service delivery . Mega internet players do not need more government provided advantages. Startups need an equal shot at users. Is the current administration really interested in job and general economic growth? Net neutrality is a free market concept. Please use it as such.
736. Riley Michel, Omaha, NE, 68138
I support Title 2 and a strong net neutrality code in order to maintain equality of online access and prevent corporate malfeasance.
737. alysa greckel, omaha, NE, 68144
i specifically support net neutrality . that's why title 2 oversight of ISPs is important
738. ethan harner, omaha, NE, 68144
Strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs is important. ajit pai
sux john oliver roolz
739. Michael Madson, Omaha, NE, 68135
Net Neutrality must remain backed by Title 2.
740. Michael Bragg, Omaha, NE, 68132
The dismantling of consumer protection by proceeding 17-108 is anathema to the concept of freedom, and calling it the "Restoring Internet Freedom" act is a cruel joke. It will be the freedom to live in a tiered system, ensuring Americans' internet access continues to lag farther and farther behind other civilized nations'. I implore you to reconsider your support for this travesty of a bill.
741. Nick Dragos, Omaha, NE, 68105
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight!! GO NET NEUTRALITY!!

742. Justin Tomlinson, Omaha, NE, 68136
I support maintaining net neutrality and title 2 authority. There is no legitimate reason to remove those.
743. arjun, Omaha, NE, 68124
Free internet must be supported.
744. Guy Incognito, NY, NE, 02817
The internet is a right for us ALL. Don't jeopardise this by letting companies have their own way through lax legislation. We can't trust companies or people to act ethically so Net Neutrality needs to be enshrined in law.
745. Rebecca Evans, Omaha, NE, 68164
I strongly support net neutrality and keeping internet service providers under Title II.
746. Todd Stammler, Omaha, NE, 68106
I support net neutrality rules as they are under title 2. Changing the rules would invite companies to abuse their position and undermine the internet as a whole.
747. Jeffrey Swan, Bennington, NE, 68007
Do not remove net neutrality regulation for ISP's. Do the right thing and leave it alone.
748. Ryan Phelan, Omaha, NE, 68154
As someone who works in the industry, someone who uses the internet in all aspects of my life and as a strong believer in privacy and free speech, net neutrality is extremely important to me. I believe the best way to protect it is through the current FCC open internet rules including Title II classification. I urge you to reject Chairman Ajit Pai's proposed repeal of these rules. The promises made by the major ISPs including Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and Time Warner Cable not to manipulate internet traffic or create "fast lanes" ring hollow because they all have a recent track record of doing just that, including Comcast's throttling of Netflix and AT&T's blocking of Face Time. Lifting these rules would hurt consumers and businesses alike and could stifle innovation in one of our nation's fastest growing industries. Please uphold the integrity of the internet by maintaining Title II classification and defending net neutrality. Thank you!
749. Jeffrey P Miller, Omaha, NE, 68144
I support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's
750. Patrick Derr, Omaha, NE, 68164
I support net neutrality and its classification under Title 2.

751. Adam Wallace, La Vista, NE, 68128
I support net neutrality and classifying providers under Title II.
752. 17-108, Omaha, NE, 68112
Companies shouldn't be allowed to limit our internet access based on their preferences.
753. Andy Goodenberger, Omaha, NE, 68116
I ask that you please preserve Net Neutrality and the Title II classification of Internet Service Providers.
754. Byran Carlock, Omaha, NE, 68138
Chairman Ajit Pai: Removing the Title II status protection will further stifle American technological development. It will allow our already monopolistic Internet Service Providers to determine which online businesses live and which die, giving a small handful of companies a stranglehold over the flow of information in our nation. This will have profoundly negative impacts on the technological developments in our nation by stifling what could be revolutionary development behind a pay to play structure. Can you imagine what would have happened if the likes of Best Buy and Wal-Mart were able to make it impossible for Amazon to compete? Removing competition and allowing a small subset of corporation near total control is NOT free market economics. It's the exact opposite.
755. Joshua Kleensang, Bennington, NE, 68007
Rolling back NetNeutrality rules does not make the Internet more free and open - it makes it less protected and vulnerable. Strong Title2 enforcement ensures all internet traffic is treated equally regardless of commercial or political value.
756. net neutrality, Omaha, NE, 68134
Please do not mess with net neutrality, I really don't want corporations to be able to control my internet.
757. Kayla H, Omaha, NE, 68114
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2 for ISPs. Please don't ruin the internet too.
758. Derek Miller, OMAHA, NE, 68106
Net neutrality is important. Title 2 protections over the internet must be kept. Any argument against it is unmoral and unethical and only exists to allow ISP's to generate more profit while degrading the quality of service.
759. dirk frey, Omaha, NE, 68142
Please do not repeal Net Neutrality laws dealing with article II.

760. richard a, Omaha, NE, 68102
I strongly support net neutrality and think it is the obligation of the FCC to do anything and everything in its power to support it as well as advocate for net neutrality as long as the internet exists.
761. keith, Omaha, NE, 68127
I support strong net neutrality. We have been burned to many times by slower speeds on competing websites and such in the past.
762. Jessica Esch, Omaha, NE, 68104
I would urge you to maintain/defend strong net neutrality and retain the Title II classification of ISPs.
763. Chris White, Omaha, NE, 68130
Do not change the current net neutrality rules. Leave ISP's under Tier II regulations.
764. John Cummings, Omaha, NE, 68102
I support current Net Neutrality and do not want it replaced or changed.
765. Jared Frye, Omaha, NE, 68134
Do not replace FCC rules with "voluntary" conditions. Trump/ Pai's plan is bad. Preserving net neutrality is an element of freedom of speech that must be done.
766. Eric Carrera, Omaha, NE, 68131
I support strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title II Oversight of ISPs. I oppose the transfer of oversight to the FTC. The internet should be considered a public utility.
767. Patrick Morrison, Omaha, NE, 68136
Seriously, this again? You want monopolies to self regulate with no incentive to protect consumers?
768. Dr. Rhonda J. Saferstein, Omaha, NE, 68144
I strongly favor net neutrality and Title 2!!!
769. Theresa Lehn, Omaha, NE, 68131
We need STRONG net neutrality rules and Title II oversight over ISPs. I support this. Do NOT weaken net neutrality! Also, why does my personal contact information need to be made public? For what purpose? You can have it for any real person verification you need but the entire internet world does not need it.
770. Richard Gregg, Omaha, NE, 68130
I support net neutrality, Please keep title 2 protections over the Internet.

771. LANE BAKER, Omaha, NE, 68102
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai:I do not want the existing Net Neutrality rules that classify Internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act to change. Please do not roll back these regulations.
V/r
772. Wilfred, Omaha, NE, 68106
I support upholding title II and strong net neutrality regulations
773. Casey Wilson, Omaha, NE, 68104
Ajit Pai - I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.Thank you.
774. Molly Mortimer-Lamb, Omaha, NE, 68131
I fully support ISPs remaining under Title 2.
775. Katherine Young, Omaha, NE, 68116
I support strong net neutrality and believe the only way to do so is with Title II in place. Keep Title II.
776. Kevin Nicklen, Omaha, NE, 68106
Net Neutrality is among the most important things to keep in place for the future of the internet and all of the wonderful things that come from it. Keeping the Title 2 order in place is the only true way that we as a nation can secure a free and open internet for future generations.
777. John Reasoner, Omaha, NE, 68104
Please maintain a free an open internet, it is quintessential to our freedoms
778. Jason Uttecht, Omaha, NE, 68104
Do not pass this bill! This is a direct violation of our constitution! A lot of you Cannot Use New Technology'S ! Acronym anybody? Hopefully you Won't chase the almighty dollar from lobbyists in your pocket and will serve the people like you told them you would! Free Speech Bitches! John Oliver strikes again!
779. Kyle Thomas, Omaha, NE, 68132
The internet is literally the most important thing most everyone has access to in the world. From learning to teaching to just enjoyment we all deserve an unobstructed access to it without ISP's buying quick lanes for what they choose and please. As someone who formally worked for Verizon the chairman of the FCC should know what ISP's really want to do. Please help everyone in keeping ISP's out from creating this fast lanes and slowing traffic of "whatever" it is they want to. You know what the majority of America wants on this...please do the right thing.

780. Edward O'Boyle, Omaha, NE, 68130
Preserve net neutrality and title 2 so we can get all the information we need not just what big guys want to sell.
781. Kevin Anderson, Omaha, NE, 68105
As a heavy user of the currently free and open internet I beg of you, to better maintain the freedoms of our great democracy, keep the net neutrality laws and regulations in place. When big business wins, we the people lose.
782. Brian Finken, Bennington, NE, 68007
I support support strong Net neutrality backed Title II oversight of ISP's. I am not in support of lessening the regulation on ISP's.
783. Nolan Moffitt, Omaha, NE, 68164
The FCC should maintain Title II neutrality rules. As someone who works within the industry, I feel that ending enforceable neutrality rules will harm consumer choice and and competition for services. People like me will be affected the greatest by changing the rules. Internet communication is vital to four facets of my life:1) It's where I work.2) It's how I communicate.3) It's how I educate myself.4) It's how I entertain myself.I would rather my ISP, whoever it may be, simply carry my communication without interfering with it. I will gladly pay them for the service. I appreciate you maintaining Title II net neutrality rules and the rights of Internet users like me.
784. R Kraft, Omaha, NE, 68144
Please keep net neutrality and with Title II enforcement in place. Please do not allow this to be changed. Thank you.
785. Arielle Cordes, Omaha, NE, 68164
I am strongly for the preservation of net neutrality and title 2. Please do not deregulate net neutrality.
786. Joe Cordes, Omaha, NE, 68164
I am for the preservation of net neutrality and title 2.
787. Henry McNeil, Omaha, NE, 68130
I support net neutrality and strongly urge the FCC to regulate the internet as a public utility.
788. Shannon Engberg, Omaha, NE, 68137
Net neutrality is absolutely necessary and Title II should be utilized.
789. Justin Cramer, Omaha, NE, 68131
Hello - I'm writing to request that you preserve net neutrality (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Net_neutrality) and title II. In other words, please

don't do this: <https://www.theverge.com/2017/4/26/15437840/fcc-plans-end-title-ii-net-neutrality> Now more than ever, you should be able to appreciate the importance. Thanks! Justin Cramer

790. Deirdre McMurtry, Omaha, NE, 68114

As an official who oversees a public trust of authority to protect the public's access to communication services, it is essential that you continue to uphold strong net neutrality rules. The job your agency was founded for was ""for the purpose of the national defense" and "for the purpose of promoting safety of life and property through the use of wire and radio communications" and, in more modern systems, to "make available so far as possible, to all the people of the United States, without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex, rapid, efficient, Nationwide, and world-wide wire and radio communication services with adequate facilities at reasonable charges" Communications access on the internet is not only now central to almost every field and institution, it is essential in virtually every business, government, and personal exchange. To reduce Title 2 oversight of ISPs and reduce net neutrality to cater to businesses in the short term will not only damage the access of many small businesses, researchers, and non-profit groups, it will deeply damage the public faith and authority, which you hold in trust, our trust, to do what is needed for our benefit. Not yours, not your former company's and not the people who pay you the most, influenced your past he most, or bully you the most. We gave you that trust not because we are weak, but because we are many. We need one person to do the job for all, with care and integrity. If you are too weak to keep that public trust in the face of the threats you may receive directly or indirectly, or the obligations you may have promised to yourself or to others in the past, then you are not the man for any job - neither this nor any other. You were asked to do a job by a President. Now we're asking you to do the job you promised him to do. Protect net neutrality. Protect the public trust of this new and immeasurably valuable communication systems. Protect the public's trust in you. Protect us.

791. JoAnn Perron, Omaha, NE, 68104

"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Please Rollback President Obama's Internet Takeover. Thank you. Regards, JoAnn Perron 7051 Crown Point Ave Omaha, NE 68104"

792. James Colburn, Omaha, NE, 68154

I support Net Neutrality and disagree with FCC Chairman Pai's plan to roll it back.

793. Alexandra Hippen, Omaha, NE, 68116

Please keep ISP's as title 2 category to preserve Net Neutrality so they don't fast-track ads and larger companies who pay them more. Also please don't let

Google sell company names which are patented to other companies so their site pops up first. It's important to me that I don't get faster service for sites some company paid more for. That's a sham, so once more: preserve title 2!

794. Ryan Broshar, Omaha, NE, 68022

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP. This is an extremely important issue and I hope that you will continue this policy. -Ryan

795. Ashlee Broshar, Omaha, NE, 68022

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. This is an extremely important issue and I hope that you will continue this policy.-Ashlee

796. Matt Macrander, Omaha, NE, 68106

I strongly support net neutrality. This proposal is a clear violation of our most basic freedoms.

797. bra olson, Omaha, NE, 68135

NET NEUTRALITY- The importance of strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of iSP's is imperative to the citizens! I support this net neutrality

798. Rebecca Ramm, Omaha, NE, 68106

I support net neutrality under Title 2 regulations. Don't trust companies to regulate themselves.

799. Nic Prenger, Omaha, NE, 68114

Please do not water down the existing Internet regulatory framework! I do not trust ISPs any more than I trust wall street banks or any of the other large companies that have proven, time and time again, that they will cross any line to make a buck. Keep the Internet free.

800. Jason E Stromquist, Omaha, NE, 68106

I urge the FCC to keep our internet free and open by keeping net neutrality rules established under the Obama administration active. Internet should be treated like a utility allowing us to access all content equally.

801. Rebecca Stromquist, Omaha, NE, 68106

It is unconstitutional to allow companies to throttle internet access based on what you are consuming. I demand that you preserve net neutrality as set out by the Obama initiative!

802. John L. Payton, Omaha, NE, 68111

I support Net Neutrality and Title 2 protections. We cannot allow Internet providers to prioritize traffic according to their own commercial interests. Such actions would be discriminatory to small business, startups, and individuals.

803. Megan Crain, Gretna, NE, 68028
Net neutrality needs to be upheld and continued to be regulated under Title II. Doing anything less is turning the public domain of the internet over to the bidding of the highest bidder to the ISPs. It is ridiculous that this is even in question again, and these private companies cannot be trusted with their own oversight. Uphold net neutrality.
804. Justin Schmidt, Omaha, NE, 68106
ISPs need to be classified as Title II Common Carriers. ISPs cannot be trusted to preserve an open and neutral internet - the monetary incentives to provide preferential access for companies that can afford it are simply too great for ISPs to resist. While providing preferential access to a network would be very profitable for the ISP, it would stifle competition and make it nearly impossible for new companies to reach an audience on the internet. ISPs must be closely regulated to ensure that the internet remains an open platform that facilitates fair competition. For these reasons, Title II is the most appropriate classification for ISPs. This includes all ISPs for all mediums of accessing the internet, including but not limited to, cable internet, dial-up, DSL, satellite, and mobile data networks (3G, 4G, LTE, etc). Please preserve ISPs' status as Title II Common Carriers, and please defend Net Neutrality in all current and future affairs.
805. Title 2 Internet, Omaha, NE, 68116
I support title 2 oversight of internet service providers and strong net neutrality. Please keep this as a title 2.
806. Jaclynn Finch, Omaha, NE, 68164
Maintain Title 2 protections. The Internet should be a common carrier and a human right.
807. geoffrey finch, Omaha, NE, 68131
As a software engineer and citizen of the United States of America, I ask my government to retain strict title 2 regulations in place and that ISPs be treated as common carrier, such that their potential for discrimination on data services is limited.
808. Anthony Pirozzoli, Omaha, NE, 68105
I support net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight on ISPs
809. Eli Criffield, Omaha, NE, 68116
As an employee of a large ISP we must preserve Net Neutrality and keep ISPs title 2. We need to get this right. Thank you
810. Julia Carrillo, Omaha, NE, 68105
I am a strong supporter of net neutrality being regulated by Title 2. It must be kept in place

811. Valerie Aguilar, Omaha, NE, 68116
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs
PRESERVE TITLE II
812. Josh Garner, Omaha, NE, 68106
I support strong Net Neutrality and Title II classification of ISPs.
813. Dean Harris, Omaha, NE, 68134
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
Also, Ajit can drink piss out of his stupid mug.
814. Kayla Troxclair, Omaha, NE, 68111
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversights of ISPs and do not want any changes made to ISP oversight. This will enable corporate stifling of free speech online and is a step to a fascist dictatorship.
815. Jason Houlden, Omaha, NE, 68104
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, As an IT network system administrator I would like all rules and regulations rolled back to Bill Clinton era rules and regulations in regards to NET NEUTRALITY. Regards, Jason Houlden 2742 N 49th St Omaha, NE 68104"
816. andy torres, Omaha, NE, 68135
I will vote against everyone that supports moving ISP from Title II. Net Neutrality benefits everyone.
817. John Roper, Omaha, NE, 68116
Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality that is backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. I do wish our government oversight agencies could be staffed by people without corporate interests in mind. "This aggression will not stand, man." - The Dude
818. Philip Morton, Omaha, NE, 68114
I strongly support maintaining net neutrality, which needs to involve keeping providers under Title 2 since the court threw out net-neutrality rules based on anything below Title 2. The ISP business has too little competition and too many barriers to entry for the theoretical market-based solution of "A new ISP will spring up supporting net neutrality voluntarily if enough people want it."
819. Jon Mileris, Omaha, NE, 68102
There is a possibility because of attempts by current legislation that ISPs would be moved from Title 2 regulations to Title 1. ISPs need to be regulated and not given complete control of everyone's telecommunication access. This allows providers to violate the First Amendment to their liking if they so wanted, slowing down if not barring access to websites that they might find undesirable.

These websites can simply be news websites with a certain political angle that goes against their own (whether the site is left or right-leaning, it doesn't matter, this is not a partisan issue). It need not be said how unconstitutional this is and left with the FCC unable to regulate how ISPs treat their customers and the freedom that the Internet provides in general, the results would be disastrous. I, Jon Mileris, request that ISPs remain under Title 2 rules and not moved to Title 1 rules which would give them unwarranted corporate control over people's ability to use the web as they should be allowed.

820. Amy, Omaha, NE, 68127

I support Title II oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is important.

821. Damon Laaker, Omaha, NE, 68127

I request that you preserve net neutrality and Title 2 protections. This is important for all users of the internet.

822. net nuetrality, Omaha, NE, 68134

I fully support Net Neutrality and believe we need to protect it. The Internet is public and free and should remain so for users, companies, and anyone with access to it!!!!!! A company should not have the right to control what search engine or website I go to and be able to slow down the connection to make money for themselves. It's completely unjust and unfair. This administration appointed the wrong FCC chairman, Ajit Pai, and his beliefs are horrendous and he acts very unprofessionally. I am ashamed and hope that the internet will remain under net neutrality and not controlled by greedy, uneducated and horrible individuals

823. David Burnham, OMAHA, NE, 68124

It's a necessary part of America now. Making it lesser for poorer Americans would be so wrong.

824. Greg Wiederin, OMAHA, NE, 68134

In these days of cyber-attacks and corporate assaults on privacy and free speech

825. josh koenig, OMAHA, NE, 68164

STOP MESSING WITH NET NEUTRALITY

826. Sean Keller, OMAHA, NE, 68134

How can you kill small businesses by removing net neutrality?

827. Atty Svendsen, OMAHA, NE, 68114

This is not China. Don't go down in history as a puppet to Trump.

828. Patrick Ivers, OMAHA, NE, 68154

Protect the open internet! All of our rights are slowly being eroded away!!

829. Stefan Banas III, OMAHA, NE, 68108
The internet is the new highway and interstate system of the 21st century and should be open and unrestricted for everyone. If you want to regulate it regulate it like our highway and interstate system.
830. Diane J Marie, OMAHA, NE, 68104
Especially now.
831. Bruno Konopka, OMAHA, NE, 68154
Come on Republicans
832. Melissa Bailey, OMAHA, NE, 68104
In the same way that gas and electric have no right to direct how you use the resources you pay for the ISPs need to be likewise neutral. The internet is a vast market of ideas
833. Mike Fenner, Omaha, NE, 68178
Please maintain net neutrality. To believe that companies will maintain it on their own and will not give access preference to their own products is to ignore the history of capitalism.
834. John Gregory, Omaha, NE, 68135
I strongly support strict regulations on Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 regulations. This and only this is the way for us to secure internet freedoms.
835. Jeff Peters, Omaha, NE, 68108
Removing net neutrality is a major mistake. The greatest danger here is to the loss of free speech and the free market. A person could have a startup with a new idea to do something better and potential customers may not be able to get to you because of these toll roads put up on the Information Superhighway. It removes the ability to see what I want, when I want. I am already paying my ISP for Internet access. Must I also pay higher and higher costs because the monopoly telephone or cable company could act like robber barons and telling the providers like Netflix that they must pay a fee or the ISP will downgrade the service to become useless? Or because the ISPs may have their own financial rewards to keep you locked in to their own monopoly pay television programming? This is WRONG! Keep Net Neutrality.
836. net, papillion, NE, 68046
please maintain the current status of net neutrality.
837. Jeremy Gamerl, Omaha, NE, 68138
Net neutrality is essential to a fair and free internet. By getting rid of it you will be giving ISPs free reign to choose who can be on the internet and at what speeds. This is a problem when these companies hold interests other than just

providing internet service. In many places these ISPs have a monopoly and provide services such as cable that gives them the right to drown out their opposition or not let them even exist at all. I am against government over reach but this is a place where we do need it to protect the public from cooperate greed.

838. Paul Gadzikowski, Omaha, NE, 68104

I am entirely disheartened by the FCC Chairman and several Senate bill co-sponsors referring to the abolition of net neutrality as "restoring the internet" or "restoring internet freedom". Empowering my service provider to deny me sites without a paid premium from me and/or them is neither restoring anything that ever existed before, nor freedom. The internet is a common carrier like the phone system, both de facto and de jure. I have a hard time believing these officials are unaware that prioritizing financial gain of corporate America by this means is illegal and, even if the law should change, immoral. I oppose this measure and it astounds me that any appointee to hold office at the FCC should fail to do so as well.

839. Aaron Oppliger, Gretna, NE, 68028

Eliminating net neutrality is in no way consumer-friendly, and is entirely about decreasing access to content and information. In this day and age, the internet should be considered a utility for all Americans, as it is an integral part of our day to day lives, with nearly every member of our society using it in some capacity. To allow businesses that have continually shown anti-consumer tendencies to control the flow of data is naive and foolish. Please listen to the citizens and not cable and telcomm companies. You govern for the people, not for business interests.

840. Jason Smith, Gretna, NE, 68028

To whom it may concern. As a consumer of the Internet, it is very important that I am able to make my own choices on sites I wish to visit and products I wish to purchase. The Internet being free of corporations overhandly influencing my decisions is what continues to make the Internet a growing market space. The Net Neutrality laws are CRITICAL in keeping the Internet from being overly controlled by Internet Service Providers. I STRONGLY encourage you to retain net neutrality laws. Sincerely, Jason Smith

841. Cullen Chollett, Omaha, NE, 68135

I specifically support a strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

842. Selena Dobles-Kunkel, Omaha, NE, 68132

Please do not repeal net neutrality. My boyfriend can't move near me for a year and the only way I can talk to him relies on Wi-Fi and data.

843. Steven McNamee, Omaha, NE, 68164
I support net neutrality and I find it ridiculous that an administration that is supposed to be for the people and against big government has to be reminded of what the people want.
844. Gretchen Feehrmeyer, omaha, NE, 68144
I want the 2015 rules regarding net neutrality to stay as they are; Ajit Pai's plans to kowtow to his evil Verizon overlords be damned. Doing away with the agreed-upon rules clears the way for ISPs to abuse their customers, which is one of the things the FCC should be against! This will deeply affect content providers as well, because ISPs cannot be trusted not to abuse whatever loopholes are given.
845. Kary Bledsoe Jr, Omaha, NE, 68134
I advocate net neutrality. We need to keep the big companies from having anymore control over anything than they already have. There should be no discrimination on the internet and changing the rules to advantage large companies over small ones is both Anti- American and an act of bullying.
846. Daniel Ebert, Omaha, NE, 68137
I strongly support maintaining the Internet's status as a Title II utility and oppose rolling back the Obama-era legislation. We cannot and should not trust ISPs to treat all Internet traffic equally when they have obvious conflicts of interest.
847. Margaret McReynolds, Omaha, NE, 68116
Net neutrality is exceptionally important to the people of this country. America cannot claim to be great if it inhibits freedom or progress, especially when it comes to information. The United States need net neutrality to keep improving our abilities and resources. I support net neutrality and the FCC should do the same.
848. Byron Baker, Papillion, NE, 68046
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Please roll back former President Obama's internet regulations. Thank you. Regards, Byron Baker 510 E Patton St Papillion, NE 68046"
849. Matt Cutter, Omaha, NE, 68124
Commissioner Pai has repeatedly insisted that Title 2 "could prohibit a number of pro-competitive business arrangements" and "could end up reducing investments". He uses this as justification for removing internet providers as "common carriers" under Title 2 and dismisses concerns with enforcing net neutrality as "hypothetical" harms. What he fails to realize is how hypocritical his justification is, considering he has not provided any solid evidence that any business has been harmed since the enforcement of the Title 2 rule. On there

other hand there is a mountain of evidence show that multiple internet providers have considered and started looking into extracting payments from web hosts and streaming service providers (Netflix, Hulu, Twitch, et al) for their content. So why is the FCC looking to repeal a successful order that protects net neutrality and a fair and open internet for "hypothetical" harms to businesses? The FCC has lost in court before (see <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2014-01-14/verizon-wins-net-neutrality-court-ruling-against-fcc>) when trying to enforce net neutrality before the Title 2 ruling. Title 2 is the only way recognized by the courts to enforce net neutrality. Repealing the Title 2 ruling repeals net neutrality and opens internet providers to destroying the free and open internet we have today. Please vote to keep internet providers as "common carriers" under Title II of the 1934 Communications Act.

850. Sean O'Corrain, Omaha, NE, 68104
Net neutrality is vital to fair connections for all US citizens.
851. Brett Maddx, Omaha, NE, 68111
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I am a firm supporter of the strong net-neutrality that is backed by title 2 oversight of ISP. This protection provides a fair, free and open internet for all Americans. I am saddened to have my government looking once again to curtail the freedom of speech and ability to learn unbiased news of the American people. Please do not remove title 2 oversight and push America further away from being a respectable, free community. Sincerely, Brett Maddux
852. Carrie Smith, Omaha, NE, 68135
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's. Please do not leave the ISP's to their own decision making on this issue
853. brian hoggatt, Omaha, NE, 68164
I like having internet freedom.
854. James Pomeroy, Papillion, NE, 68046
The open internet allows creativity to flow for all. It allows all of us to freely communicate. Please remember it is the people that make a nation strongest and most innovative not corporate domination.
855. Kelly Nicklin, Omaha, NE, 68132
I am in internet activist for animals, the planet and to fight abuse of power which is what will happen if net neutrality is ended. I don't want anyone telling me how to connect or when. It is my computer and I should be the one deciding that-not some huge company. I am not interested in lining their pockets or giving them even more power as no one should ever have that much money or power.

856. Daryl Kiser, omaha, NE, 68124

It allows me access to anything I need without worrying if I have to pay extra to get it faster. My pipe wouldn't be held hostage by the ISP's wanting more money to look up things that aren't as popular and even more for those that are because they draw more traffic. Before you know it the internet would become a toll road with a charge for everything.

857. Nicholas Kline, Omaha, NE, 68127

Open communication between humans and easy access to researched and verified information has created an entirely new potential World in which peace and prosperity for all is a possibility. By stifling the internet, the United States government would be playing a role in stifling the advancement of humanity as a whole

858. Thomas Hansen, Omaha, NE, 68136

Please keep Net Neutrality as the net is so much a part of American life now and a key source of news. Making it a weapon of propaganda for the oligarchy and Corporations will bring an end to all the freedoms that America was created to protect. If corporations or specific entities can censor it how will freedom of expression persist? How will important information be disseminated to the populace if only the information that those in power want out to the public will be allowed? If there is no net neutrality I am sorry to say that I will stop using it. Only countries with dictatorships , fascist countries, and countries with poor records on human rights would want to have such control over the internet. I hope we do not follow such countries and destroy America.

859. Steve Bos, Omaha, NE, 68130

Please preserve net neutrality laws and keep Internet Service Providers under title II. Any time you give rich people a chance to get richer, they will take it. Thank you

860. Lindsey Ford, Papillion, NE, 68046

I support strong net neutrality rules under title 2. Don't roll back progress in fairness!

861. jillian kieda, Elkhorn, NE, 68022

We need net neutrality to keep the playing field even for consumers vs the internet providers. What is done on an internet connection should not determine what the price is, and what can or cannot be accessed via your internet provider. Americans are already being screwed over by big corporations and businesses, keep the internet free so we have atleast one thing we arent being over-charged with because a service provider prefers a different search engine than me. We should not be allowed to be told by a corporation what services we are permitted to access due to who their getting compensation from. Please, save

the internet from being restricted. Dont let big corporations decide where we can search for information.

862. Kevin Wilkinson, Omaha, NE, 68132
Please preserve Net neutrality and title II
863. craig potter, Omaha, NE, 68112
leave the internet neutral-keep things the way they are.
864. Frederic Peiss, Omaha, NE, 68106
The internet is now a de facto utility.
865. Earl Jones, Omaha, NE, 68144
The wWW is one of the best things government has done let's keep it that way.
866. Mildred Harris, Omaha, NE, 68164
Net neutrality is essential to a continued vibrant internet that treats everyone equally in regards to speed of data communication uploads and downloads. We have fought this battle before and will do so again.
867. Timothy Ryan Jobman, Omaha, NE, 68104
I strongly support the current Net Neutrality Title 2 regulations that are currently in place. Any alteration to our current legislation would negatively impact the public.
868. Somier Mckibbin, Omaha, NE, 68131
Please, preserve net nuetrality and title II.
869. Jacob Benes, Omaha, NE, 68106
I support ISPs being classified as Title 2. I feel this should be obvious, but here we are.
870. Justin Kemerling, Omaha, NE, 68104
I support net neutrality as it stands currently under Title II. Do not change it, the Internet is working great and it needs these protections to remain free and open.
871. Daniel C Gunn, Omaha, NE, 68132
As a consumer, I expect the Federal Government to ensure Internet Service Providers to not introduce externalities into the marketplace by charging me for services that do not match my preferences. In short, I expect the federal government to mandate that the internet content I request may not be 'throttled' based upon the preferences of an internet service provider. I strongly support net neutrality under Title 2.
872. Matt Tritz, Omaha, NE, 68104

Without net neutrality I will be hard pressed to be able to support my art career, online or otherwise, as my own website is my base of operations and I cannot afford as an individual to get it out of the slow lane. This would also affect my presence on popular social media as most people who care to look at my art couldn't afford to get out of the slow lane themselves

873. Michael Carolus, OMAHA, NE, 68144

Access to information is already too expensive. The profits raked in by industry are lined by broken promises of access by poor areas and rural areas.

874. Mark Zimmermann, Omaha, NE, 68134

I do not want to depend on large corporate conglomerates for the information I need to be a responsible citizen. I want choices! Large and small, left and right, local and international. I want to pick my information sources, I don't want Comcast to pick them. Leave net neutrality alone!

875. David Burnham, Omaha, NE, 68124

I helped BUILD this during my careers and it was meant to be used equally by all.

876. Kenneth Deffenbacher, Omaha, NE, 68104

Net Neutrality is essential to the continued functioning of a reasonably free and open democracy. It is needed to slow our march toward a corporatocracy and the aiding and abetting of fascistic tendencies amongst political and economic elites.

877. James Pomeroy, Papillion, NE, 68046

Why would anyone want to do this? This makes no sense. The open Internet fosters innovation, which in turn fosters job creation. Is that not what the GOP president campaigned on? This only benefits large corporations that already have a monopoly on Internet access in many areas. This is an abomination. How much is Trump or Pai getting for this?

878. Chad Brown, Omaha, NE, 68164

Just because the poor huge telecom providers would like to rape the American public, even more, you don't need to help them to do it. Protect the public from unscrupulous large corporations, not vice-versa.

879. Dan Berger, Omaha, NE, 68136

The Internet is a lifeline for individuals, not just big business. We pay our ISPs for the access that we want and need. It should not be a tool just for the highest bidders. It is a necessary tool for billions of people on planet Earth. Keep it neutral for all of us.

880. Jack Dunn, Omaha, NE, 68131

Take down net neutrality and we will be very angry.

881. Daryl Kiser, omaha, NE, 68124
Net Neutrality is important because it allows fair access to the companies and information most valuable to my family.
882. Tom Robinson, Omaha, NE, 68124
It just is! I don't want fast Internet for those who pay large sums and slow Internet for the rest of us.
883. Jennifer Moffett, La Vista, NE, 68128
Because I do not trust corporations to make decisions that are in the best interest of the public I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
884. Rachel Schmitt, Omaha, NE, 68106
Keep Title II, it is imperative that net neutrality is maintained and protected.
885. mckenna carnell, Omaha, NE, 68134
We need to preserve net neutrality and title II. It is rather ridiculous you guy are going to get rid of it when it hasn't reduced the market at all, which is the only reason you've given to get rid of it. Please find something better to do with your time.
886. chris perra, Omaha, NE, 68130
Net neutrality is vital to the free and open internet and should be upheld.
887. Jim Bowers, Omaha, NE, 68118
I am writing to ensure that internet access remains under Title II controls. There are numerous cases of US ISPs taking advantage of the system under Title 1 (Google wallet being just one such example), and frankly, there has been absolutely no lack of investment into infrastructure since moving to Title II, as can be determined through researching ISP and Telco annual reports, none of which state that Title II has negatively impacted their investment on infrastructure. Do the right thing and leave the internet as it is. Title II works.
888. mike Kline, Omaha, NE, 68154
The people need equal access to internet! Keep ISPs under title II
889. Mary Stuart, Omaha, NE, 68116
Net neutrality is so important. Net neutrality needs to be protected.
890. Ian Peterson, omaha, NE, 68154
As a US citizen, and taxpayer; I want to voice my opinion & insist that the FCC keeps strong net-neutrality in place, specifically under Title II for the 1934 act

which was established a few years ago as the regulations to protect users & consumers from ISP abuse. This is extremely important to myself and all of my friends & family. DON'T MESS WITH US!

891. jordan emery, Omaha, NE, 68116
2: ajit pieeHey fuck face, internet neutrality is important so stick with title 2 or go fuck yourself
892. Hailey Thiem, Omaha, NE, 68105
I support strong net neutrality protected by Title II's oversight of ISPs.
893. Steven Wayne Smith, Omaha, NE, 68134
The future of a free and open internet depends on us keeping it neutral. Anything else is a form of corporate sponsored censorship.
894. Jennifer Green, Omaha, NE, 68116
Keep Net Neutrality and title two.
895. John Krzycki, omaha, NE, 68114
I am against removing title 2 restrictions. I don't want the internet censored.
896. Jacob Schroeder, Omaha, NE, 68132
Ajit Pai: I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The argument that ISPs will monitor themselves is absurd. Your large mug is OK.
897. Josh Pryor, Papillion, NE, 68046
The FCC has no rights under Title 2 to determine who gets better speeds and at what times. You are going to be forcing the consumer to use whatever product is paying you more money to push. Let the market be free and open, and keep your hands off internet speed and control. Those companies have enough control as it is. They don't need anymore unfair advantages. The internet is for the people by the people. Not for the government and its highest bidder.
898. internet, Omaha, NE, 68135
Please do not reclassify ISP providers.
899. Sarah Kindschuh, Omaha, NE, 68102
I would like to request to keep internet service providers under Title II classification of the Classification Act of 1934.
900. Andrew Roberts, Omaha, NE, 68116
As somebody who is planning on making a career out of YouTube productions, it's insane to think that I may have to pay extra money so that people can see my videos along with big names. If you enjoy sites and apps like Snapchat, Dropbox, Airbnb, and even Uber, then you have a startup company to thank.

But if you get rid of Net Neutrality, then such companies and such apps like those wouldn't exist. Even the bot that has been reported to be spamming your comments with anti-net neutrality thoughts on this same page probably wouldn't work as well if there was no net neutrality. Don't take the glory of the internet and all its creative outlets and shove it down the toilet. Keep net neutrality alive.

901. Angela Aschenbrener, Omaha, NE, 68122

Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, Your proposal to weaken existing net neutrality rules is unacceptable. It would weaken competition, stifle innovation, undermine user choice, and threaten free speech online. Trusting companies to govern themselves by relying on defining net neutrality in their terms of service is just foolish.

902. Robert Ensrud, Omaha, NE, 68135

Allowing monopolies to control the content delivered to subscribers would a huge anti-consumer policy that would destroy the freedom of accessing information on the Internet. I have no idea why there is even a question about this issue. The ONLY reason that this would be allowed is if our politicians are being paid off (and we know they are) and this includes the decision makers at the FCC. This is definitely an issue that will affect who I vote during the upcoming election cycles. These proceedings are just one more reason why people despise politicians and cable/phone companies.

903. Jonathon Steinbeck, Omaha, NE, 68154

I am against the restoring internet freedom act, which only seeks to limit internet freedom. It's a way to guarantee monopolies and oligopolies for the largest internet companies and the ISPs. ISPs have virtual monopolies in much of this country, as many residents, including myself, have only one choice of ISPs in my area. Quit supporting handouts to large corporations. Can this bill, and make final mile ownership of internet cable to homes free to be bid by all ISPs and not just owned by a single company.

904. Christian Ernst, Omaha, NE, 68114

I am for strong net neutrality rules and keeping and regulating Internet service providers as utilities. There has been ample abuse by ISPs against services which compete with their own. Since many of us do not have more than one or two ISPs we are at risk of monopolistic abuse. I would love to have more than one greater than 25Mb/s service but until that time I don't have the option to switch services. Therefore I need strong regulations to help protect open internet and allow me to freely choose the variety of internet services I want for use without interference.

905. Adrienne Kessenich, Omaha, NE, 68105

I support a strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II (two) over site of ISPs.

906. Matthew Charles Smolsky, Omaha, NE, 68106
I am for section 2 heavy regulation of ISPs
907. Mikah Neff, Gretna, NE, 68028
I support net neutrality. The internet is key to freedom of speech and to leading our daily lives. It should be equally available to everyone.
908. Sam Church, Omaha, NE, 68104
Net neutrality is an essential part of what makes the internet great. Removing these regulations would greatly hinder competition in the space and ultimately hurt the industry's ability to innovate. I strongly disagree with how arguments against net neutrality have been framed, I find them manipulative. To put this simply, I believe net neutrality is the best for the consumer. Please do not remove these regulations that are in all of our best interests.
909. Margery Desmond, Omaha, NE, 68106
I am pleading with you to preserve NET Neutrality and Title II.
910. Michael Landelius, Omaha, NE, 68135
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of Internet Service Provider's.
911. Josh Wren, Omaha, NE, 68132
ISP should be regulated under title II. Keep the internet neutral.
912. Linda R. Marchello, Omaha, NE, 68106
As a frequent internet user, I rely on the FCC to keep the playing field level for citizen users such as myself. I am very much in favor of keeping the doctrine of Internet Neutrality that was put in place during the last administration. I do not in any way trust the new rules put forth by FCC Chairman Ajit Pai. I like my internet just the way it is. And I am a real person, not a bot.
913. Chris, Omaha, NE, 68122
Net neutrality is the foundation of a free and open internet. ISPs have repeatedly demonstrated they are unwilling to regulate themselves and to ignore their past efforts to undermine neutrality would be a mistake and the only tool presently available is the present applicability of title 2.
914. Molly Jo Scott, Omaha, NE, 68131
I am commenting to state that I do NOT want the FCC to change net neutrality rules. A change in rules would take away our freedom on the internet, and negatively impact individual constituents and small businesses alike. I am asking the FCC board to vote NO today, May 18. Thank you for protecting our freedom.

915. Emily Miloni, Omaha, NE, 68104
If net neutrality goes away, ISPs will be allowed to charge big bucks to different websites and threaten to ruin the surfing experience of those websitesâ€™ customers if the websites don't pay up.
916. Keri Hawhee, Omaha, NE, 68127
Use of the internet is a necessary part of life for most Americans. Eliminating net neutrality will reduce ease of use and access and this will disproportionately affect ordinary people in lower income brackets. This is an outrage.
917. Jeff Randall, Omaha, NE, 68137
Doing away with net neutrality is another example of Republican's choosing big money over the American people. If Republican's had their way people would get extra votes based on how much money they have. You can never claim to support small businesses and entrepreneurs if you let corporate giants like Verizon slow websites for businesses that don't pay their extortion demands. Verizon certainly isn't hurting for money. They don't even pay taxes.
918. Jennifer Penn, Omaha, NE, 68116
As a proponent of small businesses I must vehemently oppose removing net neutrality. If providers, such as Lexis or Westlaw, or other databases are forced to pay ever increasing rates to maintain download speeds then that cost will be passed directly to their consumers. Those consumers are often small businesses who are ill-equipped to pay extra for overhead costs. Additionally, a small business website would go from a relatively inexpensive form of direct advertising to an increasingly ineffective money pit. In our modern economy the internet has become a necessary utility. Requiring businesses or individuals to pay or risk dial-up speeds for their websites is too high a burden to place on what should be a public utility. As Republicans, how can you do this to small businesses?
919. rob durland, Omaha, NE, 68137
Do not roll back net neutrality rules. Why would you even think of it? Any republican who really is about more than the investments he (let's face it only a male would think this was a good idea) made would see that creating fast lanes for big businesses KILLS innovation and job creation. Don't, DO NOT, roll back net neutrality. Why the hell would this even be up for debate? It was a win when the decision was made. Why bring it up again? Are you all insane?
920. Alexandra Hippen, Omaha, NE, 68116
Please preserve net neutrality. I believe this regulation protects consumers from large corporations who might prioritize data in exchange for payment. I doubt companies will continue to provide high speed access for the small mom&pop shops if they realize larger companies will pay to put their sites in the fast lane

for consumer access. There's no reason to do away with a simple rule which allows us all to present information on our businesses or blogs on equal footing. Despite Mr. Pai's current stance that failing to monetize internet traffic speed could curb ISP investment, these same companies have said they are willing to invest either way. They will need to provide a good service regardless of whether they can route certain companies data more quickly. It's just wrong for me to pay for access to the internet, while my provider turns around and says "I sold these suckers internet access, now which of you firms would like to sell them stuff most?" That's despicable. Please don't allow ISP's to prioritize data. They should have to provide me the information on the small news company I googled just as quickly and they'll bring up that ad for Comcast. How would it be fair for me to google one site because that's what I wanted but have another link load more quickly paid AT&T more?

921. randy Prine, Omaha, NE, 68116

Keep internet free for all of us not just carriers. It will thwart competition.

922. Scott Petersen, Omaha, NE, 68104

We, the people, who you are to serve, do not want to have our rights and privacy stripped of us. We are not happy and you don't seem to care. What are the real motivators for such action with the much outcry? Outside lobbying? I think yes, sadly.

923. Seth Swanson, Omaha Nebraska, NE, 68106

As a web developer, I know the value of an open internet. I support keeping Internet Service Providers under Title II and protecting Net Neutrality with strict regulation. You, Mr. Pai, claim that we can trust the likes of Verizon to keep our best interests at heart, that they would never do anything like slow down a company's internet speeds, yet Comcast did that exact thing when it was in negotiations with Netflix. We can't trust them, Verizon, ATT&T, or any others to abide by the principles of an open internet without legal repercussions to back them up. Not that this will make any difference. We all know that this is just a brief stop off for Mr. Pai before he returns to a high-paying job at Verizon, probably with a great bonus for helping to remove regulations that would get in the way of ISP's and some fantastic profits. But I'd like you to remember who your employer is right now, Mr. Pai. And right now, I'm holding you, personally responsible for what happens next. If you continue forward with this gutting Net Neutrality, I'm going to remember it, and I'm going to watch what nice cushy job you get, and I'll hold that company responsible too. They won't see a dime of my business, and I'll take as many people with me as possible. I know that's not much, but the only thing Republicans seem to value anymore is profit. So I'm voting with my words here, and I'll vote with my dollars later.

924. Elizabeth MacCarthaigh, Omaha, NE, 68105
Strongly support net neutrality. Stop being dense. Thanks!
925. Michael Rasmussen, Omaha, NE, 68136
I oppose the actions that the FCC under Ajit Pai is currently taking to end net neutrality.
926. Joanne Ferguson Cavanaugh, Papillion, NE, 68046
As a librarian in a public library where our community relies on the public library for their primary Internet access, I echo the comments that American Library Association President, Julie Todaro made on May 18th: "Net neutrality is critical to ensuring open and nondiscriminatory access to information for all, and today's actions by the FCC endanger that. America's libraries collect, create, curate, and disseminate essential information to the public over the internet, and enable our users to build and distribute their own digital content and applications. Abandoning current protections endangers our mission and ability to serve our communities. The American Library Association has been on the front lines of this battle for more than a decade, and we will continue the fight for an open internet for all." "Absent enforceable net neutrality rules, commercial internet service providers have financial incentives to prioritize transmission of content from the highest bidder, and libraries and other not-for-profit institutions do not have the deep financial pockets to pay for priority access. We are at risk of maximizing profits for commercial ISPs and large content providers, while degrading internet access and choice for libraries and ultimately all consumers." "Librarians and library workers know that even subtle differences in internet transmission can make a significant difference in how a user receives, uses and shares digital information. We must ensure the same quality access to online educational and noncommercial content as to entertainment and for-profit offerings." "The ALA will continue to advocate to preserve the open internet. ALA will be filing comments on the proposed rules with the FCC and will support the ALA community in adding to the public record on this critical issue."
927. Alex Prine, Omaha, NE, 68144
I urge the FCC to not repeal the net neutrality protections we have. It is essential that we have an equal platform for internet use.
928. Todd Twiss, Omaha, NE, 68118
I am writing to express my concern some items I have heard the FCC is considering over net neutrality. I think all internet providers should not block or favor any products or ideas on the internet. They should not be given this power.

929. John Watts, Omaha, NE, 68154

I strongly urge the FCC to leave internet access under Title 2 status.

930. Dana Borcoman, Omaha, NE, 68131

For most people in the US the Internet is essential to their lives. Without the Internet, I can't do my work as easily or effectively; I can't communicate quickly with friends and family across the country. To my generation, the Internet is the backbone of the world economy and the best way to stay engaged in what is happening domestically and abroad. In order for the US to remain an active and key economic power in the future, the Internet must be treated as any other essential service or utility would be treated. Until recently, the Internet has been in a state of development and has been growing in its importance to communication and commerce. In today's reality, the Internet is no longer an optional service; it is just as instrumental to my daily life and career as something as basic and ubiquitous as electricity. The "Light-Touch" approach to internet regulation is no longer appropriate. I fully and wholeheartedly support the regulations brought into effect under the Title II Order. It has been demonstrated, by Internet Service Providers' actions in the marketplace, that unless regulated those providers will not consistently and reliably act in the best interests of the Public. Paragraph 82 questions whether the No-Throttling Rule is needed. Based upon the history of action by ISPs in regards to throttling, this rule is absolutely necessary. Paragraph 84 questions the need for the No Paid Prioritization Rule. The only people who will benefit from allowing Paid Prioritization will be a) the wealthy, for whom paying extra will not greatly affect their standard of living, and b) the ISPs who will see increased profits while avoiding costs for maintaining and upgrading their service lines as technology and need advances. This country is "for the people, by the people," and those people NEED regulated internet to ensure that this essential utility to provided fairly, uniformly and to the benefit of the public. I disagree with the argument that deregulation will spur innovation in the marketplace. For evidence to support this belief, merely look to recent years prior to implementation of Title II: we did not see the industry working and innovating to improve their product. We saw ISPs consolidating into large conglomerate corporations resulting in monopolies in internet service in many US cities. We saw throttling of internet speeds for users with high internet usage, regardless of what speeds that customer had paid for. If the FCC passes "Restoring Internet Freedom" the result will only increase the freedom of ISPs to increase profit while providing sub-standard service. We in the US like to think of our country as being one of the best places to live, but in terms of Internet speed and service, we are not even close to holding our own in the global marketplace. Deregulating ISPs will only push our progress farther back.

931. Kayla H, Omaha, NE, 68114

Please please please do not remove net neutrality laws. Please do not ruin the

Internet. Please please please, I am literally begging you do not remove these laws.

932. eric frantz, Omaha, NE, 68116

I feel that ISPs should follow strict guidelines, with privacy violations similar to HIPPA. Our data is our future and we must demand that a service as important as Internet access is not ruined.

933. Mindee Keleher, Omaha, NE, 68135

Please keep the net neutrality laws in place under the current title 2 regulation. It just makes logical sense that our government protect the consumers' rights and those of smaller ISPs.

934. Carlos Jacobo, Omaha, NE, 68144

I strongly support title II and open net neutrality.

935. Steven Taylor, Omaha, NE, 68124

Allowing ISP's to commoditize the internet is anti-innovation. ISP's should not be able to prioritize traffic, sites, data, or the speed of how people access the internet. We don't allow phone companies to choose which calls we get or how long we talk to someone, why would you allow ISP's to do the same?

Declassifying ISP's as Title II show's a massive amount of incompetence and lack of understanding of why the internet became so popular in the first place.

PS - John Oliver has a way bigger and cooler mug than Pai. Pai should be embarrassed of his teeny tiny mug, it looks like an espresso mug.

936. Andrew Mizener, Omaha, NE, 68114

I am deeply disturbed by the FCC's decision to roll back net neutrality rules. This clearly goes against consumer interests as well as the greater economic interests of the country. By lifting neutrality restrictions and failing to classify the internet as a public utility, the FCC is effectively allowing ISPs to censor sites they dislike and shut down any small businesses that cannot afford whatever arbitrary prices they set. These changes will also be catastrophic to the advancement of science in the United States. Much of the research I do is electronic; I get data sent to me from observatories around the country and I use scientific and mathematical software downloaded from the internet. The proposed changes don't make anyone more free; they just ensure that major ISPs can cement their status as monopolies and reap greater profits at the cost of American citizens.

937. Heather Kanago, Omaha, NE, 68154

Support net neutrality 2 rules to keep the internet open for all.

938. Christine Embury, Omaha, NE, 68136

I am writing in strong support of title II oversight of ISBs, to preserve a free and

open access internet for all.

939. Ryan Patch, Omaha, NE, 68134

Neutral networks are the cornerstone to contemporary communications and innovations. It is the most egalitarian concept in practice today, allowing for merit to trump monetary momentum.

940. Robert Christopher White, Omaha, NE, 68104

I wish to express a support of Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers, for the protection of net neutrality in the United States. This country stands for freedom and removing net neutrality puts ISPs in the fast lane to a monopoly.

941. Ty Carlson, Elkhorn, NE, 68022

I support current Title II regulation of internet service providers and believe that if rules are changed small businesses and consumers will be greatly impacted. Allowing this change would allow for socialist type propaganda to influence internet users. We aren't socialist here, are we?

942. Thomas Cleberg, Omaha, NE, 68132

You need to support net neutrality. Giving large corporations undue control via pricing over access to internet bandwidth will be massively damaging to the internet ecosystem and stifle innovation, which we as a country simply cannot afford to do, at this time in human history more than at any previous time. I don't believe that these comments will be taken seriously under consideration- the political agendas have already obviously been set. Despite this opinion, I plead with you to support net neutrality on the miniscule chance that the opinion can be swayed.

943. Angelica Jensen, Omaha, NE, 68108

There needs to be net neutrality. Do not allow companies too favor any websites and disadvantage others.

944. Jeff Thiele, Bennington, NE, 68007

Don't intentionally fuck up the internet. Keep it under title II so the greedy corporations can't squeeze more money from the average person. Deliberately misrepresenting how the internet works is a super shitty move.

945. Craig Waterman, Omaha, NE, 68164

Repealing the principle of net neutrality is an appalling idea and one which I urge the FCC to reject. Net Neutrality encourages innovation and expansion of broadband infrastructure by barring service providers from employing the practice of access rationing as a way to meet demand. Net Neutrality guarantees equal Internet access to all people without allowing any service provider act as as toll keeper, censor or discriminator against content on the bases of moral Litmus tests, extreme wealth, political expediency, or any other self-serving and

self-enriching agendas, and protects those same providers from external persons, groups, organizations (religious or otherwise) or local Governmental entities who might seek to bring coercive campaigns to bear against providers to achieve those same ends. The destruction of net neutrality would do great harm by enshrining price discrimination against small players in the business arena, smothering innovation for those who can't afford to pay for accesses, and would threaten the fidelity of content through throttling for average citizens who are increasingly turning to streaming content for entertainment and to earn a living of their own on the web. Foreclosing on Net Neutrality and allowing service providers to convert our Internet into the sort of metered access we see on the cell-phone side would maim or kill all of these bounties (advantages which we need in an increasingly competitive global marketplace) in favor of granting Internet service providers with a huge revenue-stream and cash-cow, blessing them with the privilege of crowning winners and enthroning those few winners on pedestals high above the throngs of those who seek to compete against them. This makes losers of us all. For these reasons and more I urge the FCC to reject 17-108 and strenuously defend the freedoms and bountiful benefits Net Neutrality has given.

946. Christopher CObb, omaha, NE, 68107

A few things: In regards to Paragraph 26 asking for input on whether ISPs are an information service. They are not! They provide the UTILITY to access information. It's their lines, their routers, their control points that allow me to connect to Facebook, video game servers, netflix, etc... They DO NOT provide those services. They are not an information service. In paragraph 29 a disturbing quote you wanted comments on: "Internet service providers do not appear to offer telecommunications." How do you even justify this? When I access the internet I utilize a VPN this ensures that my ISP can not see any of the information I transmit to the end point that I desire. I control the entry point and the respective end point. URLs... Mere transmission? Look, ISPs provide a service and that service is the ability for me to transmit high speed data thousands of miles across the planet in the blink of an eye. They do this with infrastructure, that is what they are good at. They know how to maintain the hardware but they must be regulated. Also users of landline telephones never knew the exact locations of every switch, operator, relay and transfer station. They did indeed rely on telephone companies to supply this information " as part and parcel of the telecommunications service they asked for. Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. Isn't it possible they could do this again? I'm also concerned by mobile providers who say a plan is "unlimited," but when you exceed the data cap, only throttle sites and services that aren't part of their approved zero-rating network. To conclude, this bill will not in any way help me or the public be able to afford high speed

internet access. Please scrap this and look for better ways in which to govern. Thank You,

947. Michael Grube, Omaha, NE, 68114

I support Net neutrality. Please keep the internet free and open, and do not change title 2 supervision.

948. Joseph del Campo, Omaha, NE, 68164

I support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers. Again. I will make this clear as many times as necessary, though I'd rather replace Mr. Pai and his associates with regulators that actually want to protect the rights and privacy of citizens, over the profits of a few major ISP's.

949. Gabriel Bierman, Omaha, NE, 68104

I strongly support the title two classification of ISPs and their duty to maintain a free and open internet. As we have seen time and time again, most recently with the subprime mortgage crisis, deregulation of an industry does not spur competition or help consumers, it only allows providers to take advantage of their customers for profit.

950. Christina Lee Atkins, Papillion, NE, 68046

I support strong oversight of the open internet, provided under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934.

951. Ryan Vanek, Omaha, NE, 68137

I am commenting in support of maintaining the current state of the regulations with regard to so-called "Net Neutrality". As a professional software developer, I feel that allowing ISP's to sell "preferred access" over their networks is terrible for both consumers and businesses that rely on access to the Internet in their day-to-day dealings. The only beneficiaries of the removal of the Net Neutrality regulation are the ISP's. Do your jobs and defend the consumers from the unbridled greed of the telecom companies. Of course, I expect that my comment will have zero impact on the proceedings, seeing as Mr. Pai is clearly a sellout piece of human garbage who literally works as a puppet for the telecom industry. Welcome to America -- government of the corporations, by the corporations, and for the corporations.

952. Mason Reeves, Omaha, NE, 68134

I strongly support Title II classification for internet service providers and hope that the FCC will uphold current Net Neutrality laws to protect a free and open internet for everyone.

953. Justin Falck, Omaha, NE, 68022

I am for strong net neutrality back by title II oversight for isp's.

954. erik voien, la vista, NE, 68128
the internet works best when everyone routes packets as expeditiously as possible, all packets, all the time, that is how it was designed
955. Michael Lawhon, Omaha, NE, 68116
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. It is the best way to protect customers and encourage competition. Allowing ISPs to potentially throttle content can allow for preferential treatment of specific content producers. I as a customer purchase access to the internet. What sites I utilize should be based on who I choose to support. An ISP throttling one service and not another can give the appearance of an inferior provider being better due to an arrangement with the ISP. When I buy a gallon of gas, it is up to me on how I use it. Not regulating ISPs would be like allowing the gas station to then tell me which roads I can drive on with their gas.
956. Michelle Koester, Gretna, NE, 68028
Please know we feel very strongly that it is critical to retain net neutrality.
957. John Stockwell, Omaha, NE, 68144
I strongly recommend keeping title 2 rules to maintain net neutrality.
958. Jeffrey Simmons, Omaha, NE, 68136
I am writing to urge you to work to preserve net neutrality by keeping ISPs under Title II regulations
959. Mollie Coil, Omaha, NE, 68138
ISPs belong under Title 2. It's critical to maintain net neutrality.
960. Philip Champion, Omaha, NE, 68138
It's essential that we keep true net neutrality, and a free and open internet free of ISPs throttling speeds for leverage over their subscribers.
961. Adam Halls, Omaha, NE, 68137
We need to preserve Title 2 restrictions in regards to net neutrality. Capitalism requires companies to prioritize making money, and without proper government regulations and restrictions, corporations can take advantage of the public and manipulate their product or service to unfairly monopolize a market. ISP's aren't dying because of title 2 right now, they are posting record income. This is not an industry that requires less regulation, as the internet becomes even more vital to our society each passing day. It should be free, and not suspect to robber-barons levying arbitrary tolls or restrictions on where we can go on the internet. I understand that we aren't seeing that level of corruption today, but we shouldn't create a regulatory environment that could even tempt an ISP into pursuing these strategies. It would only be natural for them to take this path.

962. James Good, Omaha, NE, 68132

I grew up with the internet. I remember my parents getting a Macintosh in the mid 90's and connecting to the internet through dial up. As long as I can remember I have used the internet to play games, read the news, and learn new things. The internet is my main source of information. I don't get a newspaper and I don't watch TV. After reading about Net Neutrality and its implications, on the internet, I fear that I will no longer be able to access information freely. As a teacher I use the internet daily to search for new lesson ideas and lesson plans. It would be shame if my students education was impacted because I couldn't find a good lesson because the site that has the information is screened or blocked due to the lack of net neutrality. I want free access to the internet the way I have always known it. Freedom to access any information I please without it being slow or cumbersome to access. The internet has always been there for me when I have been curious and I want it to continue to be unimpeded, uncensored, or hampered in any form or fashion.

963. John Schmidt, Omaha, NE, 68118

As an artist manager of independent musicians, we depend on an open internet and the current Net Neutrality landscape so the best content is the easiest to find. When ISPs create fast paths to their own content and gateways to independent content, it is the customers that suffer.

964. Caleb Bevins, Omaha, NE, 68164

Please save net neutrality! The internet is still new. We, as a country, are still figuring it out as it grows. The most crucial thing we can do is to keep laws in place to protect it, like our constitution protects us as people. Removing net neutrality reverses those protections. Please, save net neutrality!

965. Charlotte Weidner, Omaha, NE, 68108

Please don't let greed obstruct freedom and access to Internet, information and equal accessibility!

966. Gordon Daugherty, Omaha, NE, 68114

Strong network neutrality rules and enforcement as necessary to preserve the value that customers get from internet service. Please support net neutrality.

967. Jay Durmaskin, Omaha, NE, 68130

I strongly encourage you to preserve net neutrality and Title 2.

968. Mary Schrader, Omaha, NE, 68144

I strongly support Net Neutrality with Title II classification. The ISPs should not be able to control what we watch and download as they please.

969. Andrew Bauer, Omaha, NE, 68106

I strongly support keeping all ISPs under title II; keep net neutrality.

970. Daniel Cloonan, Omaha, NE, 68106
I strongly support Title II regulation of ISPs
971. Anna Grossman, Omaha, NE, 68132
I support strong net neutrality based in Title II.
972. Brian Jones, Omaha, NE, 68132
I strongly support net neutrality and Title 2. Please support net neutrality and Title 2.
973. Frank Rizzo, Omaha, NE, 68134
Please leave the ISPs as Title II.
974. Wm. Marunda, Omaha, NE, 68106
Maintain True Net Neutrality. Do Not Change Or Amend ISP Oversight Under Title II Of The Communications Act Of 1934.
975. Nick Hein, Omaha, NE, 68114
I am admittedly opposed to reclassifying the Internet. It should keep its title II classification.
976. Kayla Epp, Lincoln, NE, 68022
I think it is extremely important that we have regulations pertaining to our internet providers. Without these regulations, many organizations will act to benefit their own personal interests, rather than the interests of their clients. Please consider upholding the current regulations to keep the internet available and equal for everyone.
977. libby, Omaha, NE, 68137
I strongly support preserving title II and maintaining net neutrality. Conditions within should remain mandatory and not voluntary.
978. John C Griswold, Omaha, NE, 68112
Please do not change the 2015 Net Neutrality rule that is currently in place
979. Nick Zoerb, Omaha, NE, 68116
We specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's.
980. Holly Fleck, Omaha, NE, 68118
I'm strongly in favor of net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The internet is pretty much the only true democratic space we have in the United States, and I would rather not see that taken away due to Capitalist greed. Thank you for your time.

981. Christopher Warta, Omaha, NE, 68114
Please do not reclassify ISPs under Title I. Doing so would allow ISPs to play favorites with bandwidth. I know, they promised not to. An unenforceable, non binding promise. A promise that means as much as a bank customer's request to repeal robbery laws with a promise not to rob banks.
982. Cassandra, Omaha, NE, 68106
I support strong oversight of ISP's under Title II.
983. Nolan Moffitt, Omaha, NE, 68164
I support classifying internet service providers under Title II of the Federal Communications Act. Internet service providers should not be allowed to interfere with the legal content requested by the customers who pay them good money for the privilege. Removing the legal protections in place will not just allow them to inject/throttle/block content, it will tacitly encourage them to do so.
984. tiffany besch, Omaha, NE, 68124
For a better open/free market, keep title 2 with strong regulations and oversight.
985. Lee E Adkins, Omaha, NE, 68122
I strongly support the current regulations and Title II distinction that net neutrality currently possesses. I believe that dropping net neutrality protections to Title I will only serve as anti consumer and anti progress.
986. John Dorthy, Omaha, NE, 68111
Free and open internet with no restrictions allowed from ISPs please.
987. David Jacobsen, Omaha, NE, 68142
Enforced Net Neutrality is important. Please keep it as a Type II.
988. Valerie Newman, Papillion, NE, 68046
Net neutrality is an important principal that must be protected. Enforcement needs to stay within Title 2, suggesting otherwise is disingenuous. Companies will act in their own best interest. The people must be protected.
989. Robert Duden, omaha, NE, 68104
I support STRONG Net Neutrality oversight supported by Title II! Agit Pai could not be more wrong.
990. Connor Christian, Omaha, NE, 68106
I do not support moving ISPs to Title 1.
991. Evan Blair, Omaha, NE, 68130
Please please please don't let that Verizon witch kill net neutrality. our asshole,

little dick, small hands president may not understand what net neutrality is, but I do. We don't need monopoly on internet too. Like apparently Pfizer can just egregiously get away with, when it comes to viagra

992. Velvet Johnson, omaha, NE, 68164

I strongly support net neutrality oversight of ISP's backed by Title 2. Preserve net neutrality and title 2.

993. Jon Herman, Omaha, NE, 68154

Do not end net neutrality. Freedom of thought and information is what an enlightened society is founded on. Any changes to that, neuter our ability to raise future generations that are able to compete in the global market.

994. Daniel Ellisor, Omaha, NE, 68144

Preserve title 2 restrictions and net neutrality. The freedom of the internet is vital to democracy and freedom of speech

995. Lyndsey Stessman, Omaha, NE, 68106

It is absolutely imperative that the FCC does not change internet service provider regulations as currently proposed. Their current classification allows individuals and small businesses to have the same access and opportunity as large corporations. It is essential to our democracy and free market system that the ISPs not be reclassified. This is not a case where so-called "de-regulation" is appropriate. There have already been cases of ISPs misusing their power, including slowing services to sites like Netflix to try to force their hand in negotiations. A free and open internet is central to our freedoms as Americans.

996. Johnny Oien, valley, NE, 68064

Dear Ajit Pai and the rest of the FCC, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers. Do the job of the office gifted you and eliminate the threat of tampering with what should remain a completely free and open pipeline. Governing flow of data is censorship and an assault on the American way of life. This isn't Cuba.

997. mallory bart, omaha, NE, 68135

I support Net Neutrality with Title 2 classification and would be upset to see Title 2 removed. ISPs cannot be left unchecked with regulation of speeds and content. The people should be entitled to fair competition from all ISPs, regardless of their influence on various businesses and corporations.

998. Judy Coleman, Omaha, NE, 68114

It is imperative to protect the free and open Internet. The Internet should belong to the people, not corporations and elites. We must preserve net neutrality.

999. ISP, Sennia, NE, 66773

I stand by an open and free internet, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

1000. David Burnham, Omaha, NE, 68124
Net neutrality benefits the majority of the nation. Do what's right for the NATION before it's too late. David Burnham
1001. Joe Forrest, Omaha, NE, 68106
I support net neutrality the regulation of ISP's under Title 2 in order to ensure that all internet content is freely accessible. Changing the regulatory framework to prevent FCC regulation of this public utility would stifle innovation and entrepreneurship, which the Trump administration claims to want to promote.
1002. Jawed Bharwani, Omaha, NE, 68132
I have been concerned with recent changes to net neutrality. Being well educated and well informed in this matter, I believe these changes will be for the worse. I want Net Neutrality to be maintained!!
1003. 17-108, Omaha, NE, 68144
This needs to be stopped to keep the internet free and control away from cable companies. Risking speed lanes and other effects of this bill needs to be avoided.
1004. Matt Runge, Omaha, NE, 68154
It's clear the title of this act is misleading. We have a free and open internet. Don't let cable companies line pockets so they can strangle the internet as we know it.
1005. Fiona Loggie, Omaha, NE, 68114
We need to support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
1006. Cynthia Pierce, Omaha, NE, 68154
I support net neutrality, and the idea of getting rid of Title II is the worst thing for it. As much as corporations may claim to be for net neutrality, getting rid of it gives them all the means and license to fuck us all.
1007. Matthew Steele, Omaha, NE, 68104
I am concerned that the move away from strong net neutrality regulation under Title 2 will provide a weaker framework for a free and fair communications platform.
1008. Arlyss Braun, Omaha, NE, 68132
Leave net neutrality alone. We do not need big companies choosing what we watch online. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech.

1009. Regina Lehnhoff, Omaha, NE, 68122
Please preserve net neutrality. The internet shouldn't be manipulated and monetized by corporations. Individual users should be in control of their internet usage. Let's envision and ensure the best future for the people, future that safeguards individual freedoms.
1010. Robert Frankel, Omaha, NE, 68105
As a freelancer, I need to use the internet for research on all types of subjects. If big companies are allowed to choose what I watch and do online, it will cripple my abilities to maintain my own self-employment.
1011. Stephen Abraham, Omaha, NE, 68114
Net neutrality is sacred. Don't be the ones who destroy our freedoms for the sake of money for the few!
1012. Beau, Omaha, NE, 68105
Net neutrality is vital to the survival of the internet in any worthwhile form. We need the freedom of expression to further ourselves as a species. Stifling the freedom we have on the internet is just another way to stunt the growth of the human mind and spirit.
1013. Dale Davis, Omaha, NE, 68124
Net Neutrality is VERY important the way it is. President Trump wants more jobs for people. If you make the internet not neutral, then many jobs will never develop. As you may recall, big guys or big companies, will push smaller persons or companies away towards their growth. Please allow all persons and companies to grow or develop. Helping others, including small persons/companies, will help you. Thank you.
1014. Kevin Dugan, Omaha, NE, 68122
Net neutrality must be protected in order to provide equal access and life opportunity for youth despite their social or economic situations.
1015. Kirsten Koba, Omaha, NE, 68105
Freedom of information and equity of its dissemination is a bedrock of American Democracy. Please stand on the right side of history and preserve net neutrality! The American People deserve better than being controlled by big business...we deserve a free internet and free society.
1016. Thomas Carlson, Papillion, NE, 68046
I don't want a "Fast Lane" or a "Slow Lane", I want Net Neutrality.
1017. Thomas Carlson, Papillion, NE, 68046
Save the Internet. I don't want a "Fast Lane" or a "Slow Lane", I want Net Neutrality.

1018. Austin Riffle, Omaha, NE, 68136

The FCC's plan to abolish Net Neutrality would be an absolute disaster. As an engineer, if I was forced to only view "certain" websites/resources that had enough money to pay for internet "fast lanes" (aka not slow lanes), my work could be greatly harmed. My (United States) employer greatly relies upon open source software. If a commercial (and proprietary) competitor were to pay for fast lanes and hamper my ability to see the resources I need to do my job, I fear that the bottom line of my company would be affected (by being forced to pay for commercial resources that were not budgeted), thus affecting hundreds of US jobs. Getting rid of Net Neutrality is bad for US business and consumers alike. I implore you to think about the far reaching effects of this decision, not just the pocket books of ISP's.

1019. Alexander McAtee, Omaha, NE, 68105

The obvious catering to the chairman's former employer is disgusting. Please keep the internet free from the interests of big corporations.

1020. Joan, Papillion, NE, 68046

I am in favor of keeping the internet neutral so that everyone has equal opportunity and access. Privatizing it has the potential for the "owner" to charge people different rates based on whatever criteria the "owner" chooses. Smaller companies could be charged higher rates because they use less volume and provide less profit to the "owner". Individuals could be forced to pay for a bunch of services or things they don't want to get a faster speed or easier access such as happens now with cable companies requiring a customer to pay for a "basic package" that has a lot of channels the individual isn't interested in getting in order to get the one or two service(s)/channel(s) they want. Another example is hospitals going from nonprofit to for profit organizations. People without adequate insurance or no insurance were more easily able to get services through organizational write-offs or funds provided by companies making restitution for "criminal" or "questionable" actions that hurt the general public. With profit being the primary motivator for providers, those who use certain services have less options, less quality of services and are treated with less dignity. I don't want to see those types of things happening with internet services which have become so vital to our everyday activities.

1021. Drew rash, Omaha, NE, 68144

Stop messing with our internet freedom.

1022. Robert Mestl, Omaha, NE, 68116

LEAVE THE INTERNET ALONE!!! NEUTRALTY ALLOWS SOCIETY TO FLOURISH!!! MESS WITH THE NET, AND WE WILL COME FIND YOU !!!

1023. Jacob Hedges, Omaha, NE, 68104
Jake Hedges from Omaha, NE. Small business throughout our area rely on having open and free internet that is UNRESTRICTED. We have come to rely on this open standard and removing Title II protections only serves to empower the telecom industry. Please protect our access to information and strengthen Net Neutrality.
1024. Cedrick Pierce, Omaha, NE, 68134
Net Neutrality is the only thing keeping the internet a free market. Giving all of the power to internet service providers, who have proven themselves against the consumer, destroys the internet as we know it.
1025. Todd Waltemath, Omaha, NE, 68107
An Open and Free Internet is what makes the internet what it is, big companies already have too much power and control in what we see hear and do. This is why I choose not to have cable service or even a TV in my home. If they took control of the internet I imagine I would turn that off too.
1026. Lukas Williams , Omaha , NE, 68116
The end of net neutrality is the end of the free market. Anyone trying to start an online business would easily be shut out by large corporations. Any dissent would also be shut down.
1027. Jon Davidson, Incline Village, NE, 89450
Please help keep the internet open & without domination by a single corporation. Leave it alone and let it grow as it does (or doesn't). It is a communication resource in its infancy, with great potential for open dialog if congress will let it be.
1028. Kenyon Chandler, Omaha, NE, 68107
Leave the Internet ALONE!!! COMPLETELY!!Net neutrality allows consumers "not big companies" to choose what they watch & do online.
1029. Sierra, la vista, NE, 68128
It must be protected because the government and whoever else is already starting to censor stuff on the "open net." I also believe that it needs to be protected because it is our right to look up whatever to an extent that we want. Just a couple years ago i was looking up stuff about vaccines and all the information i could ever want was right there and relative easy to find. Now fast forward a couple years later I searched the internet once more for information on vaccines and it was much harder to find the information i had found a couple years ago. In fact a couple years ago it was easy to find out exactly how much stuff was in vaccines in the USA but now its either no where to be found or you can spend hours upon hours searching for it. After about 2 hours i finally found

what i was looking for and it wasn't even for the USA it was for Australia. Also a bunch of small youtube channels that i follow that spit out the truth have been censored or even had their videos and pages taken down...

1030. RoseLee Warren, Omaka, NE, 68118
Those of us, particularly like my husband and me, retired and on fixed incomes, are concerned about net neutrality. First, we were born before World War II and brought up with the understanding that freedom was won for all; to us, this means the freedom to use the internet without governmental restriction. Secondly, we would prefer not to be "jacked around" by telecommunications giants who have created problems for themselves by their monopolistic activities, leading to losses because they were unresponsive to complaints from customers having to pay for telephone and cable services that they did not wish to have and did not need. Finally, there are among us entrepreneurs who wish to proclaim their products and services through an online presence that won't be "bought out" from under them. Please save net neutrality and do not sell out to the corporations. Thank you.
1031. Susan Clark, Omaha, NE, 68136
Please do not hand over the internet to big cable companies-we need to protect our freedom of speech. We the people...for the people. Please listen to the people.
1032. Rich Jeffrey, Omaha, NE, 68154
I am writing in support of Net neutrality. Net Neutrality is essential to my business and all small business. Without it I will lose an even playing field with many of my competitors. It is clear the only companies to benefit would be ISPs, so it makes no sense to lose net neutrality as it benefits the majority of the our citizens. Gutting net neutrality would only benefit large companies - a move that is unnecessary and blatantly un-American. Please preserve net neutrality.
1033. Nanci Nilles, Omaha, NE, 68114
The internet belongs to the people--not corporations. Please support net neutrality.
1034. John Preisach, VC Highlands, NE, 89521
Why won't you protect our privacy?
1035. Susan Eversoll, Omaha, NE, 68152
We need to keep net neutrality to keep internet use fair and out of the hands of big companies who can censor and interfere in our own use and preferences on the internet
1036. Vicki Henderson, Omaha, NE, 68138
I look at a huge variety of sites on the internet, more than I've ever watched on

tv, etc. I don't want what I can see dictated by money from a handful of customers.

1037. D. Roselyn Cerutis, Waterloo, NE, 68069

Without net neutrality small businesses would suffer. Keep everyone on the same speed!

1038. Kennard Davies, Omaha, NE, 68132

As a college faculty member in the late '70's and early 80's, I applauded PC's as a democratization of computing. And I uphold Net Neutrality as a democratization, too. The Internet was developed as a public resource, much like our national parks and interstate highways, and public libraries, and not as something for Big Money to hoard. It is the FCC's duty to protect the public good. FYI: I am a PhD in Physics, transferred to Computer Science and Medical Research Database Management.

1039. D. Roselyn Cerutis, Waterloo, NE, 68069

As a citizen and consumer, I'm urging you to maintain net neutrality. It is the underpinning of innovation and the engine of small business growth. Without it, the net will become discriminatory and damage our ability to progress as a nation.

1040. Jaclyn Moran, Omaha, NE, 68114

We are already limited in our choices of providers based on our service area, now they want even more money and power by controlling speeds of sites based on who pays? It sounds like the Mafia! It affects free market and puts a collar on hundreds of major companies. It also endangers the free movement of ideas and content when a company can affect what you see and how to such a degree. I don't want my next president sponsored solely by Comcast-at least give us a chance! PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY.

1041. Heather Abbott, Elkhorn, NE, 68022

Net neutrality is key for small non-profits like the one I work for. To take that away, paves the way for only big companies and large non-profits to grow.

1042. Timothy Seidel, Omaha, NE, 68102

There is a difference between freedom and liberty. The freedom that has cost the lives of so many to preserve has won, perpetuated, and preserved the fruit of freedom, which is liberty. In America, I have self-evidently inherited the dignity to express myself and make my own choices. It is Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of happiness. Not Life, Freedom, and the Pursuit of Happiness.

1043. Alex Wiesman, Omaha, NE, 68137

There is no reason that I know of (aside from biased business interests and their lobbies), to repeal the important protections provided by net neutrality laws. I

strongly support net neutrality.

1044. Mary Williamsen, Omaha, NE, 68128
I think Net Neutrality has to be preserved. The precedent for this is in the First Amendment and our right to Freedom of Speech. If an ISP has the ability to stifle a voice they don't agree with, a fundamental tenet of the American way of life is quashed. Preserve the open Internet and freedom of expression.
1045. Jeff thiele, Bennington, NE, 68007
Keep the internet free. Corporations fuck the average everyday American enough already. Keep it in title II.
1046. Michael Carolus, Omaha, NE, 68144
Net neutrality is vital to an informed and educated public. Business profits should not be placed ahead of individual access to high speed internet.
1047. Frederick Montgomery, Omaha, NE, 68104
I really think it is distasteful that our/my government has really forgotten about the people voice and have sold our rights to the highest bidder. My government was design to protect it's citizens, now we're just sold out without any regards to our rights, my government is trafficking our rights, I've served my country for over 32yrs and now just another kick in the teeth.
1048. Cynthia Jenkins, Omaha, NE, 68131
If it isn't broken, don't change it.
1049. Gary Gammel, Omaha, NE, 68135
When millions of people have requested to leave net neutrality alone why does the FCC continue to jump in bed with the wealthy cable companies?
1050. Liz Veazey, Omaha, NE, 68132
Net neutrality is imperative to preserve freedom and democracy in the US for all. It is needed to foster our constitutional right to free speech and to foster small business growth. Additionally, Americans have already overwhelmingly spoken out about the importance of net neutrality in past FCC comment periods.
1051. Curtis Bryant, Omaha, NE, 68104
I operate personal websites and support the current FCC consumer protections around net neutrality. Depriving Americans of these protections would likely mean Internet Service Providers raising prices to certain companies and slowing down or blocking other sites. I would hate for that to happen to me. But it shouldn't happen to anyone. To me, it's an issue of freedom. Americans should be able to create and visit the websites of our choosing without suffering discrimination by ISPs or other companies. It's about freedom of speech, freedom of commerce, and freedom of information. Far from expanding

Americans' freedom, ending net neutrality protections would mean that ISPs would rule the Internet by making them the sole traffic managers. If Uncle Sam were to claim that power for himself, we would not accept it, and neither should we allow ISPs to take that role. Thank you for hearing my thoughts.

1052. Tara Rechkemmer, Omaha, NE, 68105

The internet should not become a corporation that decides what gets invested in.

1053. Alec Gilroy, Omaha, NE, 68135

I am against getting rid of net neutrality because the internet is a platform for free speech, and removing it would do no good for the large majority of Americans.

1054. matthew morgan, omaha, NE, 68144

I believe that the internet should be open to all with no special considerations given to traffic on the basis of capital interests. The internet must also remain uncensored with a reasonable amount of privacy and without undue government espionage; be it political, criminal, or otherwise related.

1055. Barb G, Omaha, NE, 68106

Please protect net neutrality! Do not allow the internet to be taken over by big companies.

1056. Marie Rourke, Omaha, NE, 68134

My Dad was among the many people who worked to set up net neutrality. He traveled with others who were focused on preventing a third world war. Net Neutrality was important to these very smart people who wanted their families to be able to learn to use the internet and to become equal with all their fellow humans! In case you haven't noticed: there have not been world wars since Net Neutrality showed up! Wars yes, but not World Wars. Please maintain Net Neutrality. The world need it and we all need to remember why the men and women worked so hard to complete the internet and teach us to use it wisely!

1057. James schlarb, Omaha, NE, 68135

Leave it alone. Too much government interference. We do need censorship.

1058. Jack, Omaha, NE, 68136

People will lose jobs. Think about it

1059. Greg G. Arndt, Omaha, NE, 68118

A change of the long-standing and respected policy of net-neutrality is not in the interest of 98%+ of the American Public. Open access FOR ALL has been the norm, and has succeeded beyond expectations. Don't let a powerful 2% control the quality of our future web. It will put the US at a substantial disadvantage in 5 - 10 years compared to the more enlightened countries we

must compete with.

1060. Ed Laugel, Omaha, NE, 68132
Keep my data private and don't let my internet provider or Microsoft decide what I should see
1061. Carolyn Hermsen, Omaha, NE, 68114
Do not tamper with the internet. Leave net neutrality alone. Why does the government always think they have to step in and make things more complicated. STOP
1062. Ed Slavik, Omaha, NE, 68137
Leave the net neutrality protection in place to help us consumers get a honest chance.
1063. Eric LaPatka, Omaha, NE, 68130
Knowledge is power. The internet is crucial to developing minds, sharing ideas and advancing societies around the world. It should always remain neutral.
1064. Tim Costello, Lindenhurst, NE, 68137
The internet should be shared equally for all users. It should be a level playing field for all.
1065. Aelwen Wetherby, Omaha, NE, 68102
Net neutrality must be protected. Please do not hand over the internet to big business.
1066. Josh Stortz, Omaha, NE, 68138
Net neutrality must continue. The idea of a company choosing priority based on amount paid or anti-competitive reasons (see Netflix vs ISPs), is unacceptable.
1067. Debbi Wiens, Omaha, NE, 68135
Net neutrality should be maintained. Cable companies, phone companies, and the like have not shown themselves to be trustworthy. They do not have the consumer's best interest in mind, as evidenced by their resistance to allowing a-la-carte cable, saying that data is unlimited and then placing restrictions on the number of GB allowed per month, and constantly raising rates without providing better service.
1068. Kate Hughes, Omaha, NE, 68124
I want net neutrality to be left as is. Do not allow large corporations to have all control of the internet. This is a scary idea!
1069. Bryan, Omaha, NE, 68105
Physics dictates it is the random side of quantum physics which is the

probabilities of any given reality.. Therefore if you remove the random abilities completely then you destroy growth. That in itself is the basis of capitalism. new unplanned growth is the stimulation. Please keep that in mind with the needed checks and balances needed. EXAMPLE: Look at the growth of software with open source code. Compare Apple Computer's growth to that of PCs. This perception with things that are not predictable concerning the practice practice of a allegorical Collectivism and American society. ask Mr. Steven Hawkins why we are. We will go by the way of failed Soviet Communist with to much control.

1070. james kolley, lavista, NE, 68128
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech, "First Amendment of the Internet."
1071. Dennis M Hill, Omaha, NE, 68106
I am a fan of anything that perpetuates free speech. Interfering with access to or restricting the performance of the internet impacts small businesses (the primary engine of growth in this country) and individual citizens.
1072. Drew Williams, Omaha, NE, 68116
This really isn't that hard. Verizon/Google/Netflix decides they want to promote their product and destroy the opposition. They pay the ISP a large sum of money to throttle the competition, the ISP goes to the competition and demands a higher price or get throttled. ISP wins gets lots of money for ZERO effort (just a little extortion), customer loses access to their desired products at the desired price, the internet descends into a mafia "protection racket". Or we could keep Net Neutrality, forcing the companies to make money by offering superior products and services. You know, like real capitilism.
1073. NANCI NILLES, OMAHA, NE, 68114
KEEP THE NET OPEN!!!!!!
1074. Jacob K., Omaha, NE, 68102
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. How can we have access to open lines of communication if our ability to easily connect to others is hampered? Net neutrality must remain to protect the rights of our citizens!
1075. Zac Scott, Papillion, NE, 68046
Net neutrality allows for business to compete on a level playing field, instead of allowing the larger business to pay to reduce the competition. It protects consumers and smaller businesses. As a consumer, I have the right to choose which product to use and not be forced to use one I don't want to use because it can pay to monopolize internet speeds.
1076. Alex Richardson, Omaha, NE, 68116
Attacks on net neutrality are affronts to the meritocratic technocratic capitalism

to the web.

1077. Ronald Croom, Omaha, NE, 68116

It is beyond belief that you, the FCC, would even consider removing the neutrality of the internet and replace it with a plan that would only benefit the rich and the corporate world. I urge you to reconsider taking any such action!

1078. Terry Goehring, Omaha, NE, 68136

Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. It allows consumers to choose what they watch and do online. It also helps new ideas to thrive with small businesses and innovators.

1079. Jonathan Teixeira, Gretna, NE, 68028

Net Neutrality must be upheld. Please! I run a small business that is 100% online. I don't have the money to pay to ensure my site is served to customers on a "fast lane." Some of my competitors are bigger, and they might be able to. That would really hurt me. I do so much of my work online. If the many and varied services I used were only available at certain speeds or in certain packages, that would wreck my work. I have broadband because I want fast access to all the internet has to offer. I used email, storage, and software services that are NOT provided by my ISP. I need to be able to reliably access those services instead of being strong-armed into buying those services from my ISP or from a company that's made a deal with them. There are only 2 broadband providers that service my house, and already we're feeling the squeeze of limited competition. Please keep net neutrality in place or else I fear we'll be squeezed even more by being forced to accepting the decisions by these limited providers of what content and services are provided to us. A free and open, neutral internet is vital to our nation's economy and personal freedoms and rights. The FCC should be in the business of making sure all citizens (despite location, income, etc) are able to have access to all it offers and all it connects them to.

1080. Internet, Omaha, NE, 68105

I support stronger Net Neutrality regulations, backed by Title 2 oversight on ISPs.

1081. Harley Bass, Omaha, NE, 68106

We support strong Net neutrality legislation backed by Title 2 for ISPs

1082. Anton, papillion, NE, 68133

Do NOT allow net neutrality to be taken away from us American Citizens

1083. Spencer Caspers, Omaha, NE, 68106

Preserve Net Neutrality. Title II is important.

1084. Kristi Molina, Gretna, NE, 68028

I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.

1085. Melissa Letak, omaha, NE, 68144

I firmly support net neutrality and the FCC's current open internet policy applying Title II for the oversight of ISPs. I believe that open, free internet is something that should be protected and that the utilization of Title II accomplishes this most important task. I live in a city where I lack a true variety of ISP choice, which I am sure occurs in many other areas. By putting the ISPs in a position to be able to block websites, throttle content, and provide "paid prioritization" that leads to "slow lanes" and "fast lanes" for content and services, this would essentially PREVENT my internet freedom due to the lack of ISP choice and being forced to use what the ISP offers/restricts (lack of ISP choice was around in this location well before the 2015 FCC open internet policy was created and I do not feel rolling back the 2015 policy will change this or allow small businesses to offer internet as they were never able to compete prior to 2015). I do not trust private companies to hold the public's interest at heart in lieu of their own monetary gain. I urge you to consider my voice and the voice of an overwhelming large percentage of the American public to leave the Title II oversight of ISPs in place as it is. Thank you. -
Melissa Letak

1086. Rebecca Smith, Omaha, NE, 68144

I strongly support net neutrality under title II ISP regulations. I DO NOT support any rolling back of these regulations.

1087. Toan Vuong, Omaha, NE, 68135

I am expressing my dissent against this plan to deregulate net neutrality Title II oversight of ISPs. Ajit Pai's plan would make it easier for corporations to corrupt fairness in the internet and easier for politically funded companies like Verizon to take control of how information is transmitted to consumers, information such as political news which undermines how political propaganda prevented within the internet because of net neutrality. This deregulation would only make more stratification among high and low income families. These regulations have been supported by companies like Google, Facebook, Wikipedia most of the companies which most individuals use everyday. An example of how this plan could be catastrophic would be investments by individuals such as Robert Mercer and Trump into companies like Verizon and Comcast. Might I remind you that these individuals could change the entire face of the GOP brand with the page of a checkbook. Our internet would be more oligarchical and this is something we cannot allow. It would prevent game designers from making breakthrough video games for the public. I hope that the FCC will take this comment into consideration and support stronger regulation of net neutrality supported by Title II oversight of ISPs.

1088. Nathan Jensen, Omaha, NE, 68106
Preserving net neutrality and title 2 is vital to the protection of a method of communication that, while relatively new, is essential to the daily lives of most Americans.
1089. Denise Hallquist, Omaha, NE, 68104
Hey - we need net neutrality. I support it and demand it 100%. And it needs to be backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
1090. Jackie Mitchell, Omaha, NE, 68164
Net neutrality is important for consumers. With it, consumers have a choice of where to get their information and entertainment. Without it, companies control what consumers can view and could steer them away from products and services that are desired. Consumers need a choice. They want a choice. Don't take away their choice.
1091. Jon Toomsen, Omaha, NE, 68144
OPPOSE TITLE I classification.KEEP TITLE II (2) classification, It is a communication medium.Banking, tax return filing, yes and even email is a communication not information.
1092. Joseph Finelli, Omaha, NE, 68144
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight.
1093. Judy Lemke, Gretna, NE, 68028
Please DO NOT mess with the rule 17-108 of Net Neutrality set forth by President Obama in 20015. It is GREAT the way it is. The Government is not in the "for profit" business of SELLING their constituents private information to the highest bidder or business. Not US business and especially not foreign businesses. Pres (?) tRUMP might have been in private business or private bankrupt businesses, but YOU ARE NOT!
1094. Haley Cunningham, Omaha, NE, 68104
Support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's
1095. Hannah Gokie, Omaha, NE, 68124
I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not be as stupid as our current president (who obviously DOES NOT UNDERSTAND WHAT NET NEUTRALITY IS IN THE FIRST PLACE) and get rid of the neutrality of the internet, one of the greatest and most democratic & unifying things of our time. Please, please, please.
1096. John Fuller, Omaha, NE, 68102
I strongly support a true net neutrality backed by Title II classification.

1097. Christopher Murray, Omaha, NE, 68105
Thank you for keeping net neutrality a priority, and standing behind title 2 to strengthen its integrity. I hope you weather these times of ignorance and corruption. Hang in there.
1098. Chuck Pribble, Omaha, NE, 68104
I believe internet neutrality should continue under it's current regulation and oversight. I believe the internet is an important communications network and the access to that network is as equally important. The FCC exists to protect such networks and keeping them fair for all. Please do not relinquish that responsibility to the private sector where money will become the highest concern and influencer.
1099. Nycole Kerrigan, Omaha, NE, 68127
Honor the title II concession and keep net neutrality in place
1100. Michelle Mixan- Jarecki, Omaha, NE, 68110
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title two and oppose changes to regulations.
1101. guenter strubinsky, omaha, NE, 68107
Especially in this climate of polarization is neutrality vital. The power should come from and be available to The People. The implication commercially and especially politically would open the door to manipulation by the powerful and wealthy and would make it even harder for those others. This appears to me a an infringement of the first amendment since the wealthier can speak 'louder' while new ideas would be inherently suppressed. Are we back at the ages where one group of people has 2/3 the voice as another?
1102. Brandon Miller, Omaha, NE, 68134
I strongly support existing net neutrality laws. Carriers have maintained a near monopoly in every major city and have repeatedly shown that they will abuse every opportunity granted to them. This change will make it hard for emerging players to take the field, increase the costs for everyone using the Internet and stifle innovation. There are far more people and businesses that depend on the internet than there are companies that supply it.
1103. Cory Smith, Omaha, NE, 68116
I support net neutrality under the title-2 act. Rolling back these changes would have a devastating impact on smaller companies trying to make a profit. Your changes, if implemented, would allow Service Provider to use media as a way to promote their services by offering it at a zero rating (doesn't count against their data limits) for some and not others.
1104. Ben Wingerter, Elkhorn, NE, 68022

Net neutrality is a fundamental basis for a free and open internet. I strongly oppose FCC efforts to reverse FCC protection rules.

1105. Dmitri Vana, Omaha, NE, 68130
The thought that the FCC has the audacity to propose such a bill disgusts me. They are taking advantage of the American people and have no morals. The internet is a place for the people to express their opinions freely, not be locked out by a monetary paywall. Dmitri Vana
1106. Allen Ameri, Omaha, NE, 68131
I agree with maintaining strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please for the love of god do not reclassify under Title 1.
1107. Guneet Kaur, Omaha, NE, 68114
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please do not reclassify under Title 1.
1108. Matthew Van Weelden, Omaha, NE, 68144
it is reprehensible and immoral to repeal net neutrality under the dishonest guise, or outright lie that it never needed these protections in the first place especially considering that the only ones who will benefit from this will be extremely wealthy corporations and organizations looking simply to make more money at the expense of blue collar americans.
1109. Gregory Huesgen, omaha, NE, 68135
I want access to internet the way I want, as a paying customer. I do not want ISPs or governments controlling what speeds I get based on targeted content.
1110. Kyle Fisher, Omaha, NE, 68152
I'd much prefer the internet to be treated like a public utility, and less like commodity. However, it should also respect my freedom, and not deny, or slow down access to any publicly available resource or website.
1111. Andrew Pleskac, Omaha, NE, 68136
The internet allows us to connect to so many more things than every day life can. If that is taken away or dulled, then a major way of life is just stripped from us
1112. Aura Sewell, Omaha, NE, 68104
I work at the Omaha Public Library in Nebraska as a specialist and a big part of my job is helping people navigate the internet. Finding jobs, improving resumes, finding resources for schoolwork (from kindergarten through college), understanding legislation, finding polling places, genealogy and statistics are only a few of the things I've helped people do on the internet. The need is constant. Information literacy is a process. Wikipedia is an amazing tool not

only to briefly learn about how rip tide works, but to show people how the information we find must come from a source and it's important to understand what that source is. Khan academy is an amazing tool to help people brush up on their math--both young and old. The internet is amazing and beautiful and it should be kept open for its users to improve their lives and as a constant source of innovation, putting the world at our fingertips.

1113. Patrick, Omaha, NE, 68105

I love the internet the way it is, bringing throttling and paid prioritization will ruin everything! The internet is my one place to escape and to relax, to sit back and have fun and play some games. Watch videos, browse images. If I have to constantly pay for better stuff, or have it limited for whatever reason; or have it be slow despite my bandwidth; well it would ruin not only mine, but a LOT of other people's free time as well as those who make money off say; uploading YouTube videos or live streaming on Twitch. It will negatively impact a LOT of people. Seriously, think about it for a minute. Don't let money become a blinder!

1114. William Larson, omaha, NE, 68106

I am here to express my concern about keeping the internet open.

1115. Net Neutrality, Omaha, NE, 68135

I do not think that we should give our internet service providers the opportunity to decide what they want us to see. Getting rid of net neutrality would just make us spend more money for things that we have already had access to for no extra charge. It would take the freedom we have on the internet away from us so that our ISP can profit.

1116. Jacob Orand, Omaha, NE, 68132

Net neutrality is of utmost importance. The internet free from throttling and control of business interests should be a right to all people. The internet allows access to information and allows free speech. The internet should be a public utility free from corruption and greed of internet providers. Please don't allow this to happen. There is an overwhelming number of constituents that are in favor of net neutrality. If you vote against it, you are voting without the democratic voice, which is what you should be doing as a public official.

1117. Jake Mayfield, Omaha, NE, 68136

i disagree

1118. Ken Garrison, Omaha, NE, 68114

Repealing Net Neutrality is giving unfair advantages to the biggest ISPs that already have large advantages and limits competition.

1119. Eben Parker, Omaha, NE, 68134

The current net neutrality rules are essential to the freedom we strive towards in america. Rolling them back would be a detriment to some progress our society has made.

1120. James Mccratic, Omaha, NE, 68144

I care about the internet remaining open and free to use, this is how disabled people like me can interact with the world and better myself. Please don't take that away from me.

1121. Erik Doty, LaVista, NE, 68128

The internet should be open to all and not limited behind paywalls.

1122. Lucas Moore, Omaha, NE, 68022

I pay \$90 a month for fast internet access to a variety of services including video streaming, games, online classes and bulk downloading. I live in a rural area and my only options are a wireless ISP and a satellite ISP. Satellite internet is too unreliable and the upload speeds are too low for most of my uses. I am functionally reduced to one ISP. Without strong Net Neutrality regulation my ISP could throttle my connection for any service they deem to expensive and there is nothing I could do about it.

1123. Marcus Cruell, Elkhorn, NE, 68022

Net neutrality should remain neutral, hence the name. Stop this bill from passing.

1124. Tony Mininni, Omaha, NE, 68124

Please do not repeal Net Neutrality. It would not be morally right. Speed throttling for a speed that you are paying for should be illegal. Don't support the ISP's greed.

1125. Brian Crumley, papillion, NE, 68046

Please keep net neutrality. I pay for internet access. I should not need to pay an ISP again to watch Netflix

1126. Robert Lindsay, Omaha, NE, 68144

Net neutrality must be protected to defend the rights of millions of people to access the Internet freely. The web should not be treated as a commodity for large corporations to monopolize.

1127. Net Neutrality, Papillion, NE, 68046

As a student and during much of my homework and free time on the internet, the last thing I need is my ISP to throttle my internet. I have already had issues with my ISP putting a data cap on our internet which is absurd and is something that should not be allowed to happen. The internet in this country has been going down for a while, with monopolies in cities and areas along with over

charging people. This needs to stop.

1128. Andrew Phipps, Omaha, NE, 68154

Please ensure net neutrality does not disappear. This is one of the biggest issues of importance on the internet, where more and more documents and ideas are digitized. If ISPs are allowed to restrict and filter content, not based on laws but based on telecom wars or bad press, the people are less informed. This is important to democracy and free press. This is even more important in many areas where only one internet provider exists and they hold a monopoly of service.

1129. Bradley J Corwin, Omaha, NE, 68116

Repealing Net Neutrality would allow for ISP's to limit access to startup sites if the sites are using a lot of bandwidth since they would not be able to afford to pay whatever ransom the ISP wishes them to pay. This would directly hurt the growth of small businesses while keeping the current juggernauts firmly in their place. The web as a whole could stagnate due to this and the ideas that push society to even greater levels left to dry and shrivel up. The next YouTube or Facebook may never come to exist if these rules are repealed. For the sake of the future of America and the world as a whole, do not repeal Net Neutrality to further pad the pockets of a select few individuals.

1130. Alexander Fredrick Ritter, Elkhorn, NE, 68022

An open and free internet is KEY to the future of freedom! Please keep Net Neutrality alive!

1131. Jack Gould, Omaha, NE, 68132

I support Net Neutrality. The benefits of an open internet are of a greater good to public while the risks and costs that come with it are the lesser of two evils.

1132. Lindsey Schuetz, Omaha, NE, 68132

Please allow the internet to remain open and fair!

1133. Erik Shafer, Omaha, NE, 68124

Keep the Internet free and open. It is unamerican to limit this line of communication. The world has gotten where it is today because of net neutrality.

1134. rob aitcheson, Omaha, NE, 68164

free and open net access is better for everyone. there is truly no need for this to go any further based on that argument alone.

1135. Kayla Troxclair, Omaha, NE, 68111

Everyone on the internet deserves to use the internet as they please. After all, that is the purpose of the internet. Information, communication, entertainment,

etc at everyone's fingertips. To take that away is wrong.

1136. Timothy, Omaha, NE, 68135

Hey ISPs paying off the FCC, I know it sounds great to be making bank off of destroying these net neutrality rules. And yes that new yacht you were looking at isn't going to pay for itself. But I was thinking maybe this once you could maybe not be such a dick. Thanks!

1137. Jim Schroeder, omaha, NE, 68131

It's obvious that we need laws in place to keep information flowing freely and not funneled through ISP gatekeepers. Please keep net neutrality laws in place

1138. Priscilla Rodriguez, Omaha, NE, 68107

Net neutrality preserves the freedom of information we all enjoy online, say no to internet censorship.

1139. Jacob Nowak, Omaha, NE, 68164

Restricting the net is supporting the already out of control monopoly that communications companies have.

1140. Margaret Phillips, Papillion, NE, 68133

The access to the internet is a vital part of our society and choosing to allow companies to decide what their customers see and use is a strike against the freedoms of the people in this country. Net neutrality has been the basis of the internet since it's conception and has lead to a more educated, connected, and fulfilled world. Taking it away will cause not only a huge uproar from the millions upon millions effected, but it will also place the ISPs in control of the way each customer sees the world, from news sites to social media sites, which is a dangerous infringement upon each and every American internet user.

1141. America Zamudio, Omaha, NE, 68124

The internet should have no paid priority at all, if it were to it would be a massive failing to everyone in this country. We're already far behind compared to the speeds and pricing of other countries so to add a pipeline to the speed and priority of websites is disgusting.

1142. Cody Jackson, Gretna, NE, 68124

By proposing to arbitrarily reverse a decision to provide consumer protections against discriminatory ISP practices, four million people who offered supportive comments to the original rulemaking and who adopted the protections it provided are being slapped in the face by this proposed course of action. There is no defensible justification for such a move and the speed with which the action is being taken belies a pointed and unjust political calculation at play. Please consider the fact that there is only one internet. We all use it, and we all will continue to use it whether home or mobile connection. The rules and

regulations regarding the internet must be just and must ultimately protect a free and open service that all people may use equally.

1143. Brian Calhoon, Omaha, NE, 68135
I feel that Net Neutrality supports a healthy and free market, as well as fair competition.
1144. Lucas Burks, Omaha, NE, 68124
I am an avid user of the internet, and it is one of my biggest stress relief and time-waster. On top of fearing for losing my right to an unrestricted internet, I fear that I'll be forced to pay for services I normally use often because of Net Neutrality being repealed. Please keep Net Neutrality, it is important as a democracy that we keep this here lest we allow our citizens to be wrongfully fed incorrect information and have their voice suppressed when they speak out.
1145. Joseph Clarke, Omaha, NE, 68135
pls don mess with my internet thank you
1146. Kyle McGuinness, Omaha, NE, 68131
Y'all are a bunch of greedy ass cum dumpsters for trying to pull this shit. If you do this people will turn to alternatives and no one will use your "service". If you do end up pulling this commy bull shit go to fucking North Korea. We don't want you here in the land of the brave and home of the free. Kyle McGuinness
1147. Kimmie, Omaha, NE, 68131
Leave the internet alone. We're all happy the way it is now. Thank you
:)Kimmie
1148. Aaron Edwards, Omaha, NE, 68124
Net Neutrality is, and always has been, one of the most important systems in place in the modern world. By having it exist, it stands for everything that makes America what it is. A proud, free nation, unmarred by censorship, silencing, and oppression. By removing, or revising Net Neutrality, it creates a form of "Pay for freedom" that is absolutely unheard of and unnecessary in the modern world. The only thing that prevents it from being equivalent to China, or any other communist country is the paywall existing to allow access. And even then, if the paywall is that massive, it might as well be censored.
1149. Net Neutrality, Omaha, NE, 68112
Please do not take away my internet freedoms. Please preserve net neutrality and not allow companies to pay for faster speeds for their content. Thank you.
1150. Robert Botkin, Papillion, NE, 68046
The internet, as a source of access to unfiltered information, must be kept neutral in order to preserve free speech. Allowing anyone the ability to ensure

their voice is heard first opens the door to the promoting of only information as they see fit, There should exist no way in which one can deafen the voice of another.

1151. Andre Saunders, Omaha, NE, 68107
Truly are trying to make the internet less strict.
1152. Ashton Norton, Omaha, NE, 68107
Getting rid of net neutrality is wrong as it encourages censorship of ideals by political and corporate leaders. I should not be forced to view the information provided by the people who paid the highest price and blocked from seeing information provided by someone else who couldn't make the cut. Everyone should have the right to express their opinions, advertise their ideas, and interact with others without censorship. You get rid of net neutrality, you will be restricting freedom.
1153. Joel Foresman, Omaha, NE, 68144
Dont dick this up FCC. Keep the web free.
1154. Shad, omaha, NE, 68116
I think we should have access to the entire internet. Free of paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling.
1155. Aaron, Omaha, NE, 68135
I support a strong net neutrality back by strong Title 2 oversight of ISP.
1156. James Lile, Nancy Lile, John Lile, Stephen Lewis, Gretna, NE, 68028
We will not stand for this net neutrality bill. We have freedom of speech and press. Why can't we afford the same rights with the internet?
1157. John Lloyd, Omaha, NE, 68164
When I pay for internet access, I expect that access to be unfettered and without favoritism played on the part of service providers for certain types of content. One of the marvelous things about the internet is that it is an even playing field. Loosening Net Neutrality rules will open the floodgates for tampering with this key factor that makes the internet great. ISPs which are often owned and heavily influenced by large conglomerates that develop their own media and content have undeniable incentives to favor their own content over others. I can envision an internet that is more like Cable where one has to pay for a package of their most used websites, instead of one price for the whole shebang. Maintain a steadfast commitment to Net Neutrality. No paid priority, no blocking, no throttling. Keep internet neutrality rules strong.
1158. Timothy Richard, Omaha, NE, 68135
The concept of net neutrality is so basic to the 21st century human experience, it

is baffling to consider anything else. To get rid of net neutrality is akin to allowing certain people premium access to oxygen. But, I guess anything is possible with the right amount of money lining your pockets.

1159. Madison Rager, Omaha, NE, 68108

The internet is an open communication network. Big companies like Comcast and Cox should never have a say on what kinds of service and speed everyday citizens get to enjoy just so they can raise the price and line their pockets with more money. Keep the internet as an open affordable option for everyone.

1160. Carson Frank, Omaha, NE, 68135

I urge you to keep net neutrality so that everyone can continue to benefit from the internet. Without net neutrality, we would lose so many start up businesses, the ability to easily express your thoughts and opinions globally, connect with like minded individuals regardless of the distance between us, and so much more. You might think that it won't happen like that because we can get more access by paying more. That is untrue. Some of us will be able to but not all of us and the internet is the sum of all of us. Net neutrality is the reason that the internet has been such a resounding success and enhancing tool for the United States. If we lose that, then we will too lose that advantage that we've gained. I again urge you to keep net neutrality and the advantage of a universally accessible internet.

1161. Chelsie Swatzell, Omaha, NE, 68114

The internet is my freedom, what i do on the internet is my choice. it is not for isp to decide!

1162. Alex Opal, Omaha, NE, 68144

I think net neutrality is a good thing to have around, without it small businesses and consumers both will suffer. I think when looking at making any decisions around this it is important to keep in mind the public, as it is the public that laws are meant to help protect, not businesses. Repealing net neutrality will only help large businesses, at the cost of the public.

1163. Ryan Ninete, Elkhorn, NE, 68022

I depend on the web to learn, share, and earn income. If I my experience consuming information or providing information were impacted in a negative way, I would not be able to compete with others, especially bigger companies that provide the same kinds of information and products. The web to me, has been a means for us to share and learn from the world's experiences and to suddenly start to close these avenues down would be to stop me from growth. When I pay for my connection to the web, I pay a premium to make sure that my family has quick access and that I can connect and provide a live stream to my customers for their enjoyment and knowledge (I open sports cards boxes

online during a live stream and on list the recordings on YouTube). I pay a premium for higher connection speeds and for better customer service if my connection does go down or is impacted negatively. I don't have very many options when it comes to a connection. I have chosen my provider based on availability of its high speed in my area, which as mentioned, I pay a premium. If having to choose, I would prefer a provider that did not control my online experience. I want the FCC to oversee the ISPs to make sure that myself as a consumer / customer am protected. Without their oversight, I see a terrible domino effect that will put me and others like me in a situation that would negatively impact our use of the web and primarily, using it to earn an income. I would no longer be able to consistently compete with others in my space with confidence, meaning more fees for me and a bad experience for my customers and my family as it will impact income.

1164. Nicholas Nicotero, Omaha, NE, 68135
Net Neutrality is very important to me. Please fight to save this!
1165. Josiah Hollibaugh, Omaha, NE, 68106
It saddens me that we are even having this debate. The only people that benefit from decreased access to any internet websites are the top 1% in wealth. Please make this similar to telephone and allow people free and open access to the internet.
1166. Stephen Lamoureux, Omaha, NE, 68104
Net Neutrality is necessary to functional capitalism and true freedom of speech. Do not enable more crony capitalism and encourage anti trust violations.
1167. Jon Bruce, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
Please preserve and protect net neutrality.
1168. Patrick Hales, Omaha, NE, 68131
ISP's should not have the power to prioritize how and what I view on the internet. It's a masked form of censorship and an affront to freedom of speech.
1169. Brian Larson, Omaha, NE, 68135
Please leave the current net neutrality rules in place. The internet should be open and free to competitors of all sizes. No slow/fast lanes, no throttling, and no blocking.
1170. Forrest Mueller, Omaha, NE, 68110
Net neutrality needs to be upheld. You're opening the doorway to setting some dangerous precedents that only serve to further infringe upon the freedoms of american citizens, even if you feel like you can justify it via loopholes and technicalities.

1171. Nathan Jon Gapinski, Omaha, NE, 68137

I cannot believe that people are even considering revoking net neutrality. Your only saving grace was people not knowing what net neutrality meant or what it does. Thanks to pieces like John Oliver's on 'Last Week Tonight', and now websites like Netflix providing the link to get involved; your ability to betray the American people, your voting constituents who your political futures rely on, I say again.. **YOUR REGISTERED VOTING CONSTITUENTS WHO CONTROL YOUR POLITICAL FUTURE**, (not the corporations paying campaign donations to fund your advertisements; as in the age of grass roots and social media this becomes less and less necessary relative to effective platforms and looking out for the people) your ability to hide in the shadows and pass this type of terrible legislation is shrinking. And the consequences for voting for them in is mounting. Let it be known, that anyone at the higher offices of the FCC who allow this to happen and for our internet speed, privacy, and other parts of our online experience we hold dear to be infringed upon.. shall leave us no choice but to call upon our lawmakers and use our position as collectively their "CEO" to take action or they themselves be removed through whatever means necessary until replaced with someone who shall punish the people involved in this betrayal of a basic American freedom at the FCC. Your job is not to serve Corporations, be they American or not. Regardless of what Citizens United says, We The People outnumber them and shall prevail. Political consciousness levels are on the rise after a contentious election and subsequent scandals, and speaking on behalf of all my well informed independently registered countrymen.. defend Net Neutrality both in the Capital and at the F.C.C. or face dire consequences as public clamour for your jobs rolls in by the millions and millions of comments. If you think that it's bad now, imagine when some person who barely had a political thought in their head learns that the reason their favorite websites and shows are blocked and won't buffer is because of something you allowed to happen. Check & Mate.

1172. Kyle Kwapnioski, OMAHA, NE, 68124

The internet needs Net Neutrality! Please don't let the "Big Money Monopolies" to continue to bully people into their services. Don't let ISP affiliation be the determination of which internet companies succeed and which fail.

1173. Joseph Sackett, Omaha, NE, 68127

We don't prioritize the books that people read, the shops that people visit, or businesses that people frequent. These are all individualized expressions unique to each person. The act of allowing anyone other than the individual power to manipulate/alter decisions about content that can and cannot be accessed or visited is the same as cutting off that person's freedom of speech and will to act uninfluenced/unbiased.

1174. Nolan Miller, Omaha, NE, 68164

Please make sure that the internet is open. I am tired of ISPs already having a controlling interest in prices when there is not enough competition. Adding ISPs to determine what sites can be viewed, slowed or deterred is very much against having a fair market.

1175. Michael Kuechenmeister, La Vista, NE, 68128

I fully support net neutrality (or open internet) rules under Title II. ISPs exist in areas where competition is limited or non-existent, by necessity, so it's impossible to "vote with our wallets" in order to guide behavior. We need Title II net neutrality rules to ensure openness of the internet. The internet is the only truly level playing field we have... as long as traffic isn't muddled with. We've already witnessed that ISPs cannot be trusted to make ethical decisions on their own. Title II net neutrality rules benefit consumers and businesses of all sizes -- literally everybody connected to the internet. ISPs should be "dumb pipes", completely blind to the traffic being transmitted, just as it is with phone lines. Why should it be any different? Anything else encourages unethical behavior and anti-competitiveness on behalf of ISPs who are also content creators (the largest ISP in the country, for example) or ISPs who deliver other services that compete with internet-based companies (such as cable TV), and frankly, anything else would be anti-consumer and un-American. I urge you to keep net neutrality rules under Title II.

1176. Madeline Peterson, Omaha, NE, 68132

Keep the Internet free and open. The destruction of the 2015 Net Neutrality laws will result in the destruction of Internet based startups as well as the unlawful and unethical biased promotion of certain websites. Net Neutrality should be maintained to retain the rights of consumers as well as retain the thousands of jobs in the IT/IT startup field.

1177. Daniel Van Dam, Omaha, NE, 68106

From the Background "The Internet became an ever-increasing part of the American economy, offering new and innovative changes in how we work, learn, receive medical care, and entertain ourselves. This is exactly why I feel it is so important for the FCC to regulate how we the people access the Internet. I believe it is the job of the FCC to regulate internet service providers not for the ISPs to dictate the rules to the FCC, which is appears to be happening with this Restoring Internet Freedom change. Paragraph 11 of section II mentions the desire to reduce "regulatory uncertainty". Based on my research and understanding the FCC is rolling back regulations not because it makes sense for the consumer but mostly because of a partisan desire to reverse Obama error rules. Partisan rule changing seems to be a large source of "regulatory uncertainty". We shouldn't be focused on reversing the rules of the past but rather improving access to the internet and ensuring that access to the internet is equal for all people. Paragraph 13 of section II mentions

the four principles of Internet freedom. I believe access to the Internet and to use applications should not be controlled by Internet Service Providers. If the Title II classification is reversed how will the FCC be able ensure that ISPs are not unfairly limiting access to the Internet for their own financial gain? As we can see from the case history (laid out in Section II paragraphs 14 - 21) ISPs have fought against all regulation attempting to curtail their unfair behavior. The courts indicated that the only way to prevent the mistreatment of we the people was to classify ISPs under Title II. It is clear the ISPs are most interesting in increasing their bottom line, which undeniably should be priority number 1 of a private company. But when that company provides such a basic and essential service to we the people then it is the right and obligation of the people's government to help protect the rights of the people not the business interests of the private company. Is the FCC truly prioritizing the needs of the people in this matter? Or is the FCC more interested in protecting the pockets of the countries ISPs. Those same ISPs who happen to be some of the largest donors to political campaigns and the former employers of important officials at the FCC. I've got to get back to my job now, I would sincerely appreciate it if you would get back to yours!

1178. Kandelyn Scott, Omaha, NE, 68104

Protect net neutrality. Do not restrict our access to information by allowing ISPs to fast track sites that pay to play. This is an unfair advantage that goes against the free market capitalism that is so dearly loved in these parts. It also allows for our thoughts to be manipulated by those who pay the most to control our dollars. Don't allow this to happen. Don't gut net neutrality.

1179. Paul Wasalaski, Gretna, NE, 68028

Please continue to protect the Internet for the small businesses, and the people who cannot afford to "Pay to Play". Keep Net Neutrality!

1180. Nolan Carson, Bennington, NE, 68007

Please do whatever you can to think about the people that use the internet, instead of those trying to create walls, segments and break up the internet. We the people deserve to have equal opportunity to the information and access an open internet provides us. Thank you for your consideration.

1181. Internet, Omaha, NE, 68136

Net Neutrality has been so helpful to me in the past years, with it I can access any website that i want to access without being bothered or being blocked from seeing it. This is extremely important and if this is repealed I can guarantee that the whole internet is going to be against you. When I bought my broadband internet I understood I would have equal access to any website that I wanted to access and if you guys repeal it, i will no longer have this, and then what is the point of buying internet if I cant even access website I want to access?

Companies say "Oh we won't block websites" but I totally will not believe that unless they say it under oath to Congress, this law/title needs to be kept, it is so important to the American people to have equal access to everything, this could even be a freedom of speech issue, if you guys repeal this plan certain websites could have their freedom of speech limited!!!

1182. Allen Gore, Omaha, NE, 68127

Keep the good things free! Until the day that you can always pick between 5+ high speed providers at least

1183. Max Klanderud, Papillon, NE, 68046

Getting rid of Net Neutrality will not only make the internet cost more than it already does, but it will make accessing everything on it a lot harder. There are tons of people whose livelihoods depend on the internet and removing Net Neutrality will destroy them.

1184. Joe Hausman, Omaha, NE, 68114

Keep ISPs as Title 2, they have shown time and time again they do not care about innovation or about customers

1185. Mike Salkin, Omaha, NE, 68118

Leave net neutrality alone. The internet is one of the few places in which everyone is equal. Changing this law will hurt our independence and damage the great resource the internet has become. It is tantamount to putting restrictions on the free press. An act that surely would cause the authors of our constitution to roll over in their graves.

1186. Hans Klein-Hewett, Omaha, NE, 68105

Please keep net neutrality laws in place. For me, net neutrality means that my internet content is chosen by me - not chosen by whoever is providing the infrastructure to get that content to me. It's the same idea as phone lines. The telephone company doesn't decide who I can call, it is a service to use. The same is true for the internet. So please keep net neutrality!

1187. James Sinclair, Lincoln, NE, 68130

Please protect our net neutrality laws. Putting the freedom of the internet in the hands of private corporations is a dangerous path.

1188. Rob Fuller, Omaha, NE, 68135

Net neutrality is a valuable part of who we are as a people. Without free and open internet spaces, freedom of expression will suffer, and our continual progress in fields of technology will considerably slow.

1189. Tomas Luzarraga, Omaha, NE, 68118

I am concerned about keeping the net neutrality rules intact. The Internet needs

to stay free and open. It should not be for sale and out of control of citizens.
Thank you.

1190. John Wright, Omaha, NE, 68112

I own and run a small business (just one employee). The internet is vital to my business. If this action is taken it will mean my business will not be able to afford the cost my ISP would charge for the service I need. In essence my business would go under and you would loose about \$2000 a year in taxes. Multiply this by the thousands of small business in the country and even you can figure that out.

1191. Anne Marie Sullivan, Elkhorn, NE, 68022

I oppose the FCC from preventing net neutrality.

1192. Kurt Goetzinger, Omaha, NE, 68132

As a small business owner, I support Net Neutrality and encourage the FCC to protect our access to the Internet. Don't let Big Business and lobbyists disrupt business in America.

1193. Aidan, Omaha, NE, 68134

Do not repeal Title II. The internet should be 100% open to all.

1194. Robert Kalinowski, omah, NE, 68157

Keep net neutrality. Its important for small businesses to be able to compete. Having an open internet allows more competition and lowers barriers to entry.

1195. Neil Koul, Omaha, NE, 68116

As a new college-going student, not having internet access is a severe disadvantage when compared to others who do have internet access. The fact of the matter is that when large monopoly-like ISP's are in place, and the FCC grants them privileges to throttle internet connections based on websites visited, prefer other websites over others, among other things. The biggest issue with not maintaining net neutrality is the fact that the FCC will simply propagate more monopolies to form. For example, the internet's biggest online retailer is Amazon and when these ISP's are allowed to show preference to these websites, it takes away revenue from small-businesses trying to get into the online-retail business. The fact is that when ISP's are allowed to pick which website is the best, other websites suffer and this suffering could affect people directly. Another example of this is the hypothetical situation in which preference could be shown to a social networking/media website between Twitter and Facebook. Both social networking websites are extremely robust and support millions of users, connecting them to each other instantly but when preference is shown, it denies the millions of users on one of the websites communication. If ISP's are given privileges to this, it hinders the freedom from everyone who uses the internet.

1196. Zachary Fichtler, Omaha, NE, 68102
We must retain the open internet provisions instituted by the Obama administration and Tom Wheeler in order to ensure that the internet remains free and accessible to all, and to forever allow the innovations that have enabled the internet to become the hugely important means of communication that it is today. In fact, those provisions ought to be made stronger and I would suggest breaking up the large monopolistic internet providers much like the events that resulted from United States v. AT&T in 1982. The FCC must also investigate, reject, and prosecute the numerous fake filings in regards to this proceeding being submitted by automated systems operated by corporations, possible foreign entities, and other nefarious actors attempting to illegally manipulate the public comment process.
1197. TeAnna Mirfield, Omaha, NE, 68134
I support a free and open Internet. The idea of "Internet fast lanes" and pick & choose packages for "premium" content ala cable TV packages is absolutely abhorrent. The Internet should continue to be classified as a common carrier under Title II.
1198. Will Conyers, Omaha, NE, 68130
Broadband internet should be considered a public utility at this point. Everyone needs it. I think that progress in internet innovation will stall if a handful of Carriers can control the performance all websites, leaving startups to wain. It seems like these changes would impede competition among companies, due to partnerships that a carrier may have. It is a sign that freedom in America is being stripped away all too much.
1199. Adam Block, Omaha, NE, 68124
Censorship leads to the first level of dictatorship.
1200. jennifer Farhat, omaha, NE, 68144
I support strong neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs
1201. Joel Lechner, Omaha, NE, 68127
Like most Americans, I pay more for less when it comes to broadband internet. I also, as do most Americans, have no choices when picking my ISP. I find both of these to be a serious issue. Even accepting the fact that the United States presents a unique set of challenges when building out broadband, this is unacceptable. Further compounding the issue is that the FCC should be regulating in favor of the consumer. As I see it, the changes proposed do nothing to fix the problems presented to the average American and could create an environment that stifles creativity, job creation, and access for all Americans. The internet is as vital as heat and lights to daily life and success. It should be regulated as such.

1202. john, La Vista, NE, 68128

I do not support a weaker net neutrality rule. Users of the internet should keep the power/right to choose which websites and apps are best. Let the market place determine this. Please keep the open internet and competition online.

1203. Tim Widman, Omaha, NE, 68134

Please keep net neutrality. Enough of the money grabs already.

1204. Abigail Heithoff, Omaha, NE, 68132

I am an entrepreneur and rely on having equal footing with my competitors when it comes to accessibility on the internet. My business is small and growing, but it will be impossible for me to compete if ISPs could favor large competitors, like Amazon, for any reason, or if it were possible for large companies to pay for faster carriage. If this passes, it will negatively impact my small business, which I rely on to supplement my income. I comparison shopped and purchased my internet package based on my current ISPs advertised transmission speed and reliability. I did not consider any other services from my ISP, they were not important to my purchasing decision. I purchase cloud storage and web hosting services from other providers. The only criteria I considered when looking for an ISP were reliability and speed. It is critical that the FCC have a role in overseeing the market for internet access to protect consumers from invasions of privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by ISPs. The ISPs need to be subject to FCC oversight for consumer protection.

1205. Joshua Steube, Omaha, NE, 68108

Net neutrality is a vital part of today's means of distributing information and maintaining a sound social platform. Allowing ISPs to dictate who can show what and placing a price tag on swift distribution of that kind of information is essentially censorship. We wouldn't allow the federal government to do that, and we shouldn't allow ISPs to do that, either.

1206. Druanna Koester, Springfield, NE, 68059

I feel so small writing my comments here, like a small being facing off against a giant monster and hoping my quite voice is heard in the midst of never ending government growth and increasing regulations. The internet needs to stay free. This is a constant battle for those of us who have the integrity to believe in personal liberty and those who eye the state as the solution to every problem. More government regulation of any industry is seldom the answer and the little person, like me, is the first victim of a government organized and run by lobbyists and power hungry politicians. Basically, I want to keep the internet free from more regulation because, as long as I'm able, I will push back on this continuing government overreach in every area I still have a voice. No more. If a politician ran who simply wanted to repeal laws rather than add more (novel

idea) they would have my devotion FOR LIFE. Sadly, as congress, the executive branch, and the courts seem to trail behind the belief systems of the American public by decades, their laws, opinions, and talking points are always outdated. Liberty is rising and Washington is blind to the fact.

1207. Ernie Schmidt, Omaha, NE, 68114

Please do not allow ISP's to partition bandwidth based on site, or location. This is needless meddling and potentially contradictory to fair business practices and competition. The internet had become an essential tool for information and net neutrality enforcement will maintain the conditions required to keep it that way.

1208. Andi Walter, Omaha, NE, 68106

This is wrong, America always brags about being the land of the free. Well why would we take away freedoms? This is wrong and everyone involved knows that taking away net neutrality would be bad for our country.

1209. Kevin Hupf, Omaha, NE, 68138

Keep the internet free and open. Internet is a part of everyday life for work, entertainment and communications with friends and family. Allowing corporations to have the ability to block or slow down websites is unacceptable and I urge you to keep protections in place to prevent this. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Do not end Title II classifications. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans.

1210. Julie Haase, Omaha, NE, 68137

The internet is not like cable TV. You don't pay different amounts for different sets of websites. You pay a single amount for access to the entire internet equally. Net neutrality is a right we have as paying customers. It's outrageous that we should even have to ask for it. Do the right thing.

1211. Brad Kruse, Bennington, NE, 68007

It is important that you keep Net Neutrality the way it is. The few powerful companies should not be able to dictate what I purchase, see, and hear on the internet just because they can afford to pay more. Small companies and individuals should be afforded the same rights and protections provided by the internet for the same price as anybody else.

1212. Michael G Kasworm, Omaha, NE, 68154

Net Neutrality is important to me. I make content online and would be negatively impacted if it is no longer supported.

1213. Byran Carlock, Omaha, NE, 68138
The loss of a neutral internet will be damaging for all but the largest businesses. It will be an unprecedented consolidation of power into the hands of a very few, large, anti-competitive companies and will be the final straw that kills our American ingenuity and our ability to compete with the world.
1214. Jonathan Paz, Papillion, NE, 68046
Net neutrality is one of the most important issues of our day. Various ISPs, including Comcast, try to convince us that they support net neutrality, but they have a vested interest in ensuring that they have total control over the content being presented to us. Title II regulation has been demonstrated repeatedly by the courts as the only effective mechanism whereby ISPs can be forced to accept net neutrality. The claim that this is somehow outdated because it involves phone companies neglects the fact that all telecommunication companies, including ISPs, have a responsibility to ensure that free and open communication is ensured. Because many businesses have determined that it is in their best financial interests to restrict certain types of content, they have no impetus to ensure free and open communication. They must be regulated to ensure that they are providing the service that Americans expect from them. There is a sentiment from some, including Comcast, that they would rather have Congress provide the framework for net neutrality. This sentiment is borne from the fact that these companies know that Congress is too deadlocked to implement any law providing for net neutrality, and if they could it would be a toothless law written to allow the ISPs the freedom they demand to restrict free and open communication over the Internet. It is imperative upon the FCC to heed the comments being presented by the American people today. This is a public forum being presented by the federal government of the United States for the redress of grievances, therefore you are constitutionally required to consider all these comments. Therefore, in enacting my constitutional right to free speech and to petition the government, I declare my support for Title II regulation of Internet service providers and that this regulation should not be repealed.
1215. Ryan, Omaha, NE, 68128
Keep the internet free. Without a free and open internet we will become no better than totalitarian governments such as North Korea.
1216. Dylan Marr, Omaha, NE, 68104
In my city there are basically only two ISP's that I can get high speed internet from and if net neutrality rules are taken away the ISP's could raise prices and control content. There would very little that could be done about this without net neutrality. With so little choice there is no competition to keep prices competitive and to protect consumers from speed throttling, content censorship.
1217. Chad Schneider, Papillion, NE, 68046

I have run a small business for 16 years, selling & installing sports equipment. To me it's the small outfits like us that are the FOUNDATION of America, and keep this country running and ethical, b/c we have a lot more to lose than big corporations. CEO's at giant conglomerates that are only beholden to their shareholders (instead of their family), and their only objective is the bottom line (not ethics), they will not hesitate to throw money towards the internet to gain an unfair competitive advantage against small businesses like us. This won't kill us right away, but will chip away at our profit margins and eventually erode small businesses, which will erode the foundation of America and eventually cause us to crumble as the world leader. It's the Constitution and equality for all which has made the US the best country in the world, but we are not infallible, and when we begin taking our eye off what has made this country great, we will wake up one day mediocre.

1218. Andrew Osborne, Omaha, NE, 68136
STOP this madness!
1219. Ana, Omaha, NE, 68107
The internet needs to stay accessible to everyone at anytime for anything. Never know when we come across a life or death situation which would require doing a quick internet search and cannot risk going through the trouble of a lagging website. Enough with the greed and enough with controlling us!!
1220. sara parks, Omaha, NE, 68137
I am here to complain about the FCC forgetting that they work for the people. I am here to complain that you are allowing the phone/ cable to exploit internet equality and you are receiving compensation to do so. And this is at the cost of the people- in ability to access the sites they want to go to and in actual money. I want to ask the FCC if they have forgotten that they are there to protect and serve the people and not their personal monetary gain.
1221. Theresa Rosario, Omaha, NE, 68135
Please do not change net neutrality. I already pay enough to my ISP.
1222. Nathan Lorimor, Omaha, NE, 68105
I already over-pay for my crappy internet "service" (I use that term loosely), and authorizing ISP's to throttle or essentially censor speeds that are already over-priced is the SHITTIEST thing I've ever heard of.
1223. Adam Korpi, Omaha, NE, 68116
Keep net neutrality. Censorship, throttling, prioritization, and favoritism are as anti-American as it gets. Do the right thing.
1224. Carleigh Ross, Omaha, NE, 68136
In high school I was diagnosed with a rare illness that has kept me from doing

normal things a young person would participate in, and because of that lost my friends. Net Neutrality has affected me because I am able to still have a personal life and connect with people my age without having to risk my health by doing too much. I am able to find people online that not only have my illness, but also make friendships that do not require me to leave my house. Net Neutrality in my personal experience is priceless.

1225. Drew Jackson, Omaha, NE, 68137

We need free, open internet. Paid prioritization is another way of censorship which I don't want to be a part of.

1226. Garrett Johnson, Omaha, NE, 68118

Repealing net neutrality will give the government unwanted power over the internet. The internet needs to remain a free and open space for people to view, express opinions, share new ideas, and also share their work. If something is perfectly legal and harmless, I don't see any need for the government to close or limit access to this information

1227. Kacie Ware, Omaha, NE, 68104

I believe that the free and open internet supports small business and entrepreneurship. Therefore, I would like to express support for strong net neutrality rules.

1228. Andrew Roger, Omaha, NE, 68131

I've been connecting to the internet as a child since the 14.4k modem with all its beeps and bops (then 1994 and 9 years old). Now I've done a lot on the internet, researched many school projects and term papers, and completed my bachelor's. Started businesses and invested money. Built my own websites and others sites as well. I have uploaded thousands of photos and videos, commented on stories, coded little utility programs, and meet many fascinating people. In fact, I've communicated with people all over the world whom I would have never met otherwise. We worked together and built apps, wrote papers, and even built entirely fictional worlds together. I've reconnected with friends and family members too. And done extensive family research, finding photos and articles about my family in online libraries and photo archives. Currently, I use email, cloud storage, cloud sync, cloud backup, web services, payment services, seller services, During the last 23 years of my internet experience, I never willingly used or paid for an ISP's services. When stacked up against the non-ISP competitors, the choice was easy. This is the same reason I have not paid for cable TV in my lifetime. I've only considered my ISPs to be gateways to the internet and what I pay for is access and speed. In fact, every advertisement I get from my ISP has bold marketing about the speed first and foremost. Every tier gets me a little more speed and now a data cap increase (another backwards development I've commented

to the FCC on). The only advertisement I see for services is for my ISPs cable DVR and streaming access. I was rather shocked to they had a number of other products I've never heard of. Which I take to be because they never advertised them thoroughly. And why, because put side by side with another company focused on that customer problem, they lack significant features and aren't even cheaper. That's not very competitive. I'll say it again, I consider the service I pay my ISP is for the access and speed of the internet. When I pay more, I pay for faster download and upload speeds and for better service if the access is down. I consider internet access the same as water and electricity, a common carrier, vital to the connected life we live now.

HardwareThe only ISP hardware I've had to pay for is their modem which I'm required to have. This is also the piece of equipment most prone to malfunction and service yet I'm required to have it, provided by one of the worst-ranked customer service providers in the USA. Add in 4-hour window time waiting for a tech to basically replace it rather than fix it and it is easily the most frustrating part of the paying the bill.

OptionsGiven that there are few competitors in my area who offer comparable service. For the same price I can either choose 15mpbs or 50mpbs (non-broadband vs broadband), the choice is obvious. But compared to cities nearby who have gigabit service for the same or even cheaper prices, I'm flabbergasted why the FCC wants to help entrench these near-monopolies further.

Rural AccessI'm also reminded that my family lives just 45mins south of me in a town of 10,000. And their internet access is laughable. While I regularly can achieve 10mbps download at home, I rarely can pull more than 250kps at their home and it's a top-of-the-line connection. Even with smartphones and tablets, their use is stymied by even sparser options.

OversightThe FCC should be focused on ensuring that consumers are protected from, among other things, invasions of our privacy, monopolistic practices, fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers. By reversing the 2015 determination, FCC would allow ISPs to charge companies for connections and speed to consumers to even access them while the ISPs offering have little incentive to innovate. That's a poor recipe for advancement and edification of the populace.

Please consider supporting Net Neutrality and maintaining the 2015 determination. Thank you,

Andrew Roger

1229. Michael Link, Omaha, NE, 68104

Net Neutrality is about treating all internet traffic (not content) equally. House Representatives and other political leaders say that eliminating Net Neutrality is putting the power back to the people. This implies that internet TRAFFIC (not content) can be controlled by the people. This is 100% not possible with how the internet works. If you relinquish control of the internet TRAFFIC from the government that power then goes directly to the ISPs. They would then be able to control which online services would be useable and which would not. This is TOO MUCH power. They would be able to put competitors out of business by

making their services unusable. The internet as it sits today gives every user the chance to create a new innovative service that they can sell and create a business. ISPs should not be able to control which companies live and die.

1230. Olexandr Fedoronko, Omaha, NE, 68116

The abolishment of Net Neutrality is being done with an unbelievably dishonest name of Restoring Internet Freedom Act. This Act does the exact opposite of what it is called. All traffic on the internet should be treated equal, just like our energy and water. We should not be charged extra when we put water in a glass to drink it vs. using it to water our plants. Charging a different rate for a utility based on what it is used for is ridiculous. The only people that the abolition of Net Neutrality benefits are the Internet Service Providers. These are large corporations that stand to gain financially, and strangle their competition. This Act is monopolistic and is against the principles of the free market, and has no upside to consumers. This can be used as a tool to suppress free speech, and manipulate public perception.

1231. Mary Williamsen, Omaha, NE, 68127

Commissioners: The proposal to do away with Net Neutrality would, in my opinion, have a chilling effect on the development of new business and freedom of speech. My company provides marketing and web development services to a variety of organizations and companies. Under the proposed rule change, an ISP could: Limit the access to sites with religious content that the ISP doesn't agree with; Throttle live streaming of events from such organizations or even not carry it; Limit access to websites for my legal clients if the ISP doesn't deem that the content of their sites is of value; Drastically limit or block access to the thoughts of individuals, organizations or business that an ISP doesn't agree with. The open Internet has led to a boom in all types of communication and a diversity of ideas and businesses based on those ideas. In the United States, because we enjoy freedom of speech, all of these ideas are able to flourish or die on their own merits, without government interference. Taking our nation down the road of throttling or limiting access makes us no better than regimes we profess to dislike - where the freedom of speech is limited or non-existent. Who should decide whether or how I have freedom of speech - a company? Or, is that not a freedom we have today that would be taken away by a government agency if Net Neutrality is abolished? When I purchase Internet access, I am happy to have access to everything, everywhere, without throttling or filtering. Keeping the status quo ensures that all people have that same access. An additional concern for me is the proviso that once the proposed rule change is approved, it cannot later be changed or modified. That is the sort of language I expect to see from a dictatorship - not a republic or a democracy.

Commissioners, there is much more to be lost if Net Neutrality is done away with than what will be gained. Do not take the step of removing Net Neutrality.
-Mary Williamsen

1232. Mut Rangdit, Omaha, NE, 68154
Stop Net Neutrality The Right to Have Internet should be a right especially in this day and age internet is almost essential to everyone homes
1233. Aiden Stewart, Omaha, NE, 68124
No one owns the internet, just like no one owns the air we breathe. If people want to control the internet they might as well limit the amount of air we breathe, food we eat, and water we drink Aiden Stewart
1234. Leonard Swartz, Omaha, NE, 68108
Net neutrality is important to entrepreneurship in America and, in my opinion, contributes to our leadership in creativity in both business and the arts. Wiping that away and restricting me as a consumer and business owner on how I can connect to the internet and grow my business would hurt my efforts to grow and expand. I understand Cable and similar companies want to grow their own cloud and services business and they have every right to do so. I also believe if they provided a superior product people would go to them without the extra help of having their competition blocked or throttled down. Please keep net neutrality in place.
1235. James Kyles, Omaha, NE, 68104
Leave the internet alone... stop trying to control it... this is American.. Not Russia or Germany... KEEP NET NEUTRALITY... Thank you.
1236. Ryan Morrissey, Omaha, NE, 68104
Protect net neutrality! The Internet must stay free and open. Free of paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling. There is only one internet and all rules must apply to your home and mobile connections equally!
1237. Zach Davison, Omaha, NE, 68134
You don't know me, but you may know the kind of work that I am in. I'm a crisis counselor for the suicide prevention network. I talk with hundreds of people per week free of charge to help them stay safe from suicide and get them the help they need. The amount of real help out there is few and far between. Why does this apply to this proposed bill? I talk with people about coping skills and ways to pass the time when they aren't feeling well. These are people of all ages. A vast majority say they try to do things they enjoy like streaming websites and video games. Some say they are trying to find jobs online or even therapists. Net neutrality is so important for these people and changing that will change the entire system as we know it. Allowing these kind of changes will have ripple effects throughout every known system we deal with every day. Nobody ever realizes how important these things are until they are gone. It may seem like a small thing to you. But it may be a matter of life and death for someone in a few years. Don't do this to us. Don't do this to me. Thank you for

your consideration.

1238. Taylor Pizinger, omaha, NE, 68105

Please protect the net neutrality of the United States. Net neutrality gives people the power to choose which websites and apps are best. The right to choose what is best for themselves is the base of US democracy and should stay that way.

1239. Eric Rubeck, Omaha, NE, 68136

As a consumer who is trapped in a market with little-to-no competition I feel that the only protections I have regarding my internet service come from Net Neutrality. The "free market" is not going to provide me with another ISP if either of the two local companies decide to slow lane my traffic or sell my information for marketing purposes (more than they do already). I know there are others who only have 1 ISP option, and that's even more of a tough position to be in. The Internet is as much of a utility as my power, gas or water and should be treated (and regulated) as such. To let corporate greed control access for their own gain, instead of making them play fair for the betterment of all, is an absolute shame.

1240. jeremiah lamb, Omaha, NE, 68104

Keep net neutrality! It's part of our free country and a way for us to express our views and it's a way to enjoy what we like online.

1241. Corey anderson, Omaha, NE, 68135

I am an aspiring programmer and I am going to study computer science at UNO this fall. I already program a bit on my own time, and I learned these skills online, the internet was also what taught me to tie my shoes when I was little and nobody else could. If net neutrality is repealed, then others will possibly lose the chance to learn new things through the internet as I and may others have before me. Not only that but I use a program called Skype to stay in contact with old friends and family members that live far away from me. Net neutrality rules make sure I always have a reliable connection to the program to talk with those people. My family and me buy broadband internet access because we know we are getting a fast and reliable connection to any site we wish to visit and utilize on the web. If net neutrality is repealed and this is gone we will likely, as many others most likely will, stop using and paying for broadband internet. There's no point in paying for something that you don't even have full reliable access to. I believe that the FCC should continue to protect the consumer by making sure the ISPs cannot just double their prices overnight. They need to be subject to oversight to make sure they are not restricting internet speeds and access their customers get when they purchase their services. This oversight should be preformed by an agency that has experts in internet privacy laws and the services ISPs sell to their consumers. I am Corey Anderson of Omaha Nebraska, and I support t the protection of net neutrality

because I have studied the creation of the internet. And since it's conception it has been meant to be a free space for everyone who should have the desire to use it. A place where you can find and share any information you desire, and I believe it should stay that way.

1242. Nick Neben, omaha, NE, 68154

I am against this fraudulently titled act and disgusted with the parties involved in trying to twist the word freedom to take away our freedoms in America. The internet should stay free use for all companies and citizens. No company or group of companies should control the flow of traffic and data based on who pays them more. We are already paying for the access to the internet, and should not be charged twice due to rate hikes if this were to go through. Freedom, its a basic principal of our country. Allowing companies to restrict others due to competition, money etc... reduces innovation, and kills jobs of small businesses, and start-ups. You cannot allow for the free flow of information and technology to be channeled by corporations. We are the people, they are not, no matter how much you get paid to say they are...

1243. Austin C. Zeleny, omaha, NE, 68134

Having net neutrality allows millions of people to be entertained, and come back from bad days. Thousands make their living from the internet not to mention marketing. Dismembering Net neutrality will kills so many more things than anyone can imagine. Please Stop and think

1244. Kelsi, Lavista, NE, 68128

The internet is a place for people to come together. People use it to talk to friends and family around the world. Plus, if you sensor the internet, you sensor the peoples' freedom of speech.

1245. Aaron Cole, Ralston, NE, 68127

Keep the internet fair and open. We don't need corporations dictating our internet.

1246. Taylor Maglicic, Omaha, NE, 68104

The internet was made so anyone and everyone could access any information they wanted to. Nobody should restrict what someone sees on the internet.

1247. Alistair Cullum, Omaha, NE, 68105

I am writing to express my support for the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules). I don't want to have to worry about whether my ISP is restricting, slowing or filtering my access to different sites. And like many others I have only one practical choice for an ISP, so competition is not going to help me combat this problem by choosing a different provider. A free, open and unfettered internet have been a boon to this country . Under the proposed rules, ISPs would be able to end that version of the internet. I urge you to mantain net

neutrality laws by not adopting the so-called "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal. Thank you, Alistair J. Cullum

1248. curtis, Omaha, NE, 68116

I need access to the internet that I PAY for to be able to use it freely as I please.

1249. Seth Pahre, Omaha, NE, 68164

My name is Seth Pahre and I have some concerns about the new Net Neutrality rules. Not only do I spend a significant amount of time browsing the internet and connecting to friends & family, but I need the stability and consistency of the services I pay for using the internet on my home computer, laptop and mobile cell phone in order to function in everyday life. It is my understanding that the changes that could be implemented into the services I use all the time are not in my best interest, nor my family's or my community's best interest either. It would be an enormous financial burden as well as a diminishment of my freedoms as an American. The only reasonable internet choice I have in my building is Cox cable. The other options are extremely slow and not worth what I would pay to have them. I need the FCC to have the capability to hold Internet Service Providers accountable in offering reasonably priced options for the services that the people in my community need to function. My family and all my friends support me when I say that we need to think twice about these Net Neutrality rules and consider the ramifications of only supporting certain products and services by ISPs. Thank you for any consideration.

1250. Lindsey Bray, Omaha, NE, 68130

The FCC needs to keep the internet open and free. ISPs shouldn't be able to control the speeds or be able to block websites.

1251. Zachary McCarty, Omaha, NE, 68114

I feel that Net Neutrality is important not only to save the American economy but also to ensure that our civil liberties are intact. Thank you

1252. Jayson D. Nelson, Omaha, NE, 68144

I am writing to express my support for net neutrality, and the principle that internet service providers should enable access to all content and applications equally, regardless of the source, without blocking or favoring particular products or websites. I am strongly opposed to the repeal of the net neutrality rules put in place in 2015. Without these rules, the average consumer, like myself, is subject to companies which act like predatory monopolies. As it is, a full 51% of Americans have only one choice of Broadband provider. This makes the public terribly vulnerable to exploitation, which is precisely why we need net neutrality.

1253. Alex, Omaha, NE, 68106

Dont make the internet trash, it's the one thing I care about

1254. Kyle Seader, Gretna, NE, 68046

I vehemently appose the repeal of Net Neutrality. While I believe there are certainly improvements that can be made to the laws as they exist today, in favor of the greater public and citizens, I also believe that we cannot afford to leave this generation and those that follow with an internet that is tollgated by an abusive system that would limit, not only access, but results and direction, by way of a "pay to benefit" system that corporations would label as prioritization of content that they deem best, or otherwise would seek to block content to those sites/apps and services that are not in their own interests. Allowing repeal and the formation of such an environment would only serve as the catalyst for the ever-growing pride and greed of entities only invested in their own self-interest.

1255. Jordan David Lill, Ralston, NE, 68127

This law would also have a profound and negative impact on science. Money that could be allocated toward helping people and acquiring various apparatus would have to be re-allocated toward simply accessing vital research databases (e.g., PubMed, INFOPsych). Furthermore, this puts another undue financial burden on public infrastructure such as schools, libraries, and clinics. States would have to find ways to "pay to play" for such services. This goes beyond individuals wanting to binge watch their favorite show on Netflix or sell their gear on Ebay. Yet another way to chip at what our grandfathers and grandmothers fought for. The only ones to benefit are the ones who have already rigged what used to be Our economy. We are now just ATMs for corporations and their boards.

1256. Patrick White, Omaha, NE, 68105

The internet in the United States must be treated and regulated as a public utility. To that end, "Net Neutrality" must be preserved. Doing so means that the young person building an app in his basement has the same rights to the internet as a large corporation. This will foster general creativity and scientific breakthroughs. We must play on the same level field as the rest of the free world.

1257. Jered Garrison, Omaha, NE, 68116

I am against any rule change that would allow ISPs to give preferential treatment to one customer's date over another. I believe that the ISPs will abuse this rule and undoubtedly lead to them charging customers for faster access. This is highly likely to lead to higher consumer prices for end-users and slower internet access for unprivileged users.

1258. Brian Wagner, Hudson, NE, 03051

Allowing the censorship, bandwidth limiting or any changes to the open internet will be bad for the economy, small business and the American people. As a

country we are already feeling the burden of increased cost of living while wages grow in small percentages. Any change to the existing open internet will essentially be rolling back time and a dis-service to the country and world in general. Let's keep the internet open and low cost so that all members of society have the ability to access the content they want to consume regardless of income bracket, location, etc.

1259. Brian Hutchins, omaha, NE, 68105

I believe in net neutrality. Net neutrality is an important aspect of a free and functioning democracy and it's important that our elected government representatives and appointed officials acquiesce to the desires of their constituency aside from the business desires of their corporate donors. The internet MUST stay neutral.

1260. Tyler Vermeer, Papillion, NE, 68046

Net Neutrality is a promotion of the internet as a free market, and as the current political party in power prides itself on deregulation and promotion of a free market, than this regulation should be on the top of their list to keep, as this regulation in itself makes the entire internet one giant free market.

1261. Benjamin Iwen, Omaha, NE, 68114

I care about the open internet and competition online. Keep Net Neutrality! Thanks!

1262. Toby, Omaha, NE, 68102

To whoever is reviewing this comment, please leave the internet as it is at the time of this comment! Speaking for myself and others that we, the average internet users are disgusted and exasperated by constant legal threats of more internet control by profit driven telecom sources. It stupefies the senses to yet once again be sending pleas to Washington DC on internet neutrality. The public has had enough of these unwelcome attempts by big telecoms to change/control the current internet for a profit bias. This is not what the public I know wants! Leave the internet as is! Not broken! Don't Fix!

1263. nick wegman, Omaha, NE, 68164

Save the internet. Don't be a push over for powerful lobbies

1264. Chris Jundt, Omaha, NE, 68116

First our healthcare, now the internet to? How much are you going to take from your own people before it's enough. The whole argument is just non-sense

1265. Andrew Agner, Papilion, NE, 68046

Net neutrality its title II protections are fundamental to free and open communication via the internet. Removing title II may benefit ISPs, but it will hurt smaller companies and individuals seeking to use the resources currently

available on the internet.

1266. David Loyall, Omaha, NE, 68108

The phone companies made plenty of money back when they were heavily regulated, and consumers prospered. Just as phone companies were required to accept and deliver calls both to and from their competitors' networks for the same cost as calls within their own networks, so too should ISPs be required to deliver packets from anywhere to my device, and send my packets anywhere, without discrimination in pricing, speed, nor volume. Consumers want fat, dumb pipes!

1267. J. Warren, Omaha, NE, 68118

Please do not let everyday consumers face manipulation of the internet through few competitors and higher prices for fewer selections. As it is, the move among watchers is to control what we watch by OUR choices. Take that choice away and you'll lose customers. With technological advances, a way will be found to circumvent such a controlled market. LEAVE the internet the way it is: open to all on an equal basis!

1268. Anita Saalfeld, Omaha, NE, 68132

I support net neutrality. I pay my ISP a determined amount each month for the speed of service that I want to have, and my ISP should not have the ability to speed up, slow down or block service to a particular site. I object to the idea that my ISP could effectively require a bribe to deliver content to me at the speed that I'm paying for. ISPs are already terribly unresponsive to customer concerns because there is little or no competition in most markets. I do not trust the telecom industry to function without oversight, because there is evidence that these companies will, in fact, throttle service in the absence of regulation.

1269. Steven J Scarlett, Omaha, NE, 68106

We should set no precedent for companies to be able to shape the media and information we can see nor use. I support net-neutrality and so should you. Semper Fi.

1270. Colby Marksmeier, Omaha, NE, 68164

Providers cannot be trusted to keep pipelines wide open for all internet traffic, they have already degraded specific types of traffic prior to net neutrality

1271. Josh Martin, La Vista, NE, 68128

Please keep Net Neutrality!!!

1272. Thomas Skutt, Omaha, NE, 68118

The United States is a country that prides itself on a high quality of life for its citizens and if this goes through many things may be denied to its people. I believe that someday, if net neutrality is denied, free speech may be hampered.

ISPs will have the ability to block websites that have that support ideas that could hurt their companies. The government of the United States is supposed to protect its citizens rights, not give companies even greater control than they already have over our everyday lives.

1273. Stephanie Heibel, Omaha, NE, 68116
Please don't give into the lobbyists. We already are getting charged insane amounts for internet and now I'm going to be at the mercy of the company as to what I can search/view on the internet. Seriously this is just greedy big companies wanting more money. This is insane if you allow this happen.
1274. Kyle, Omaha, NE, 68137
StopNet Neutrality rules from being Repealed!
1275. Brent O'Connor, Omaha, NE, 68117
Retain Net-Neutrality. Everyone should have the same opportunity!
1276. Kyle Diaz, Papillion, NE, 68144
The information available on the internet should be distributed equally much like the information in a library. Internet Service Providers should not be able to decide what information is or is not available readily to their customers.
1277. Nicholas Robinson, Omaha, NE, 68137
Net neutrality must remain in effect. The idea that a select few could control the online experiences of millions of people is disheartening and must not be allowed to happen. Please keep the internet free for everyone to enjoy as they wish.
1278. Minwook Cho Chae, Omaha, NE, 68135
I am under the impression that net neutrality is a good fundamental for American internet usage and should be maintained. I am concerned of the issues that I have been informed of if net neutrality is replaced. Issues such as service providers purposely throttling traffic to certain companies and their respective sites if the two entities do not agree with each other. While both sides in any political issue will never see eye-to-eye and the line between fact and fiction becomes unclear and controversial, based on my experience and what I have witnessed, I believe net neutrality is in the best interest of the United States of America, and I only wish to see the best for this country. I hope this country does well no matter what outcome comes of this. Thank you for reading.
1279. Andrew, Omaha, NE, 68105
How is it fair that the poor don't have access to a utility that is included in a lot of apartment packages. The Internet is a service that spans world wide. It does not make sense that certain companies would be able to restrict access to some people just because they don't have enough money to pay for certain premiums.

Also, especially in this day and age, this is restricting the amount of news coverage certain people get, especially if they get most of their news off of the internet. Please stop this unfair and immoral action.

1280. Benjamin, Omaha, NE, 68132

This is ridiculous why do we as a population have to start paying for something we've had unlimited access to for years. Why is this even being considered, it will put many internet dependent jobs off the table so all streamers and youtuber's will be out of a job almost entirely.

1281. Samantha, Omaha, NE, 68124

I support strong net neutrality rules because we ain't gonna turn into North Korea

1282. Jacob Betsworth, Omaha, NE, 68130

Why is this even being put up for debate.

1283. alex, omaha, NE, 68144

Net Neutrality is very important!

1284. Katie Hines, Omaha, NE, 68118

Net neutrality is the idea that the internet should be free and open for everyone. If a service provider can block you from seeing certain content or can make you pay extra for it, that hurts all of us and we should have rules against it.

1285. Elijah Babbitt, Omaha, NE, 68134

True Net Neutrality is fundamental to the ideals of a free society, as any other alternative involves the degradation of freedom of information and other ideals pertaining to that which the US holds dear. By allowing companies to constrict, warp, and otherwise manipulate the flow of information and data between parties you directly allow ISPs to blackmail businesses and force the associated costs onto the user. This cannot be allowed to occur if we are to retain a society which values the competition associated with the free market.

1286. Eric Orzechowski, Omaha, NE, 68132

It was government sponsored research that created the internet. The government has a duty to regulate it. Internet service providers are telecommunications carriers the same way phone companies were last century. One can make a telephone/video call over the internet. One can transfer money over the internet. One can communicate in any number of ways over the internet. So please logically explain to me how that does not make an ISP a telecommunications company? All internet traffic deserves equal priority. ISP's should not be able to throttle content. A free and open internet is good for business, entrepreneurship, and America.

1287. Nickolas Warner, Omaha, NE, 68124
This is absurd. People just want to browse the web on their own terms, and if you take away net neutrality, you're being entirely unamerican. Nickolas Warner
1288. Robin Adamson, Omaha, NE, 68127
Please leave the internet open and save net neutrality
1289. Steven Ayers, Omaha, NE, 68132
This is stupid that this is even up for debate in a FREE country. So much for Republicans supporting less government....how's it feel when large companies can buy your morality?
1290. Jonathan Hautzinger, Omaha, NE, 68154
Net Neutrality ensures that both new and established services, whether offered by an established internet company, a broadband provider, or a small start-up have the same ability to reach users on an equal playing field. Please do not remove Net Neutrality or try to make this a partisan issue, it's removal will severely stifle the United State's ability to invent and innovate.
1291. Ian Tredway, Omaha, NE, 68127
Keep net neutrality. Don't go backwards. Instead make ISPs common carriers.
1292. Elizabeth MacCarthaigh, Omaha, NE, 68105
Protect net neutrality! Seriously the only way you do anything else is by sticking your fingers in your ears and shouting LALALA and ignoring the HUGE public appeal to reason. Thanks!
1293. Jakob Snyder, Valley, NE, 68064
Please do not go through with this. It will only hurt users
1294. Cody Kaltoft, Omaha, NE, 68154
Net Neutrality is important. Allowing ISPs to censor traffic and create fast lanes for content owners who pay and restricting traffic and bandwidth for non-payers (as Verizon has said it will do, if allowed) and throttling traffic from certain sources (as TimeWarner and Comcast has already done) is wrong. A neutral internet, with equal access to all information is important for everyone in the United States of America. Ending net neutrality will hurt business large and small, save for the few who wish to profit from the control of information. Restriction to the access to a resource is not a free market principle.
1295. Nathaniel Smith, Omaha, NE, 68164
As someone who can barely afford for internet, I believe that Net Nutrality should continue to be in order. The privatization of the internet would censor many and leave those without a voice in this rigorous political climate.

1296. Tommy Greco, LaVista, NE, 68128
Chairman Pai,I specifically support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Don't even think about giving the power to the ISPs.PS: I think your mug is stupid
1297. Christopher McReynolds, papillion, NE, 68133
The internet needs to remain open and free from ISPs being able to block or censor content.
1298. AJ Johnson, Omaha, NE, 68134
STOP PRIVATIZING THE INTERNET. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY.
1299. Rich Stock, Omaha, NE, 68118
Keep the net neutrality rules, if anything they should be strengthened not repealed.
1300. Michael Fausset, Omaha, NE, 68136
Giving the ability to throttle or cause my connection to under perform in any way as a purposeful act by the ISP to influence what I look at or how I look at it is exceptionally irresponsible. They are a service provider, not an admin or controlling party. They are there to make sure you have the ability to view the entirety of the internet, not what portions of it you are allowed to go to.
1301. Carl Lang, Omaha, NE, 68114
For my ISP to gain control over what content on the internet is easier or more difficult to access is a ridiculous idea to me. For example let's say that my ISP, Cox Cable, decided to make a deal with Hulu.com so that they would have some sort of "premium" content compared to other streaming sites. Now because of this "premium" nature of Hulu.com, Hulu's videos load quickly and are easy to view whereas other video sites are restricted and have longer loading times and are harder to view. Perhaps the show I would like to watch is on Netflix.com. Unfortunately I would now be hard-pressed to watch Netflix just because my ISP got paid some money by Hulu. (I am using Hulu and Netflix in this example because they are well known streaming websites and are good examples to use in this illustration, though I am not saying that this specific situation would occur. I am trying to illustrate how my ISP having power of internet content could restrict one site in favor of another just because the other paid them a bunch of money.) To have access to different sites on the internet restricted, or favored, by my ISP is an infuriating idea. I do not believe that ISP's should have this power. I believe Cox Cable is the only ISP available in my location. I may be wrong and there may be another maybe two. Still this is not a lot of options. If a large company decides to pay these ISP's a lot of money to have their websites be premium and force me to use their website services over another, I would not have an option to get out of this mess by switching to

another ISP. I pay my monthly bill to my ISP in order to gain fast internet access to the entire internet. I do not pay them to decide what they think I should be doing on the internet. Another example is video games. If an ISP gets paid by a specific gaming company that uses online interaction between players then that ISP may make that gaming companies games work much better than others. As a gamer I find this appalling for a variety of reasons. Some of my favorite games are made by smaller companies that would be unable to compete with the giants, and their games would more than likely have poorer access. This would limit my ability to enjoy my leisure time, and it would also limit the ability of these smaller companies to succeed. On that topic, other smaller businesses besides gaming companies that rely on having an internet presence would suffer, and in turn I believe this would hurt our entire economy as it would hurt these small businesses ability to succeed. Overall there is much more I could say though the idea of this happening is rather overwhelming and infuriating and makes it difficult to keep track of it all as I write, but mainly I just want my voice to be heard and I want it to be known that I absolutely do not support the idea of ISP's having control over the internet. Please listen to the American people on this issue.

1302. Luis Pagan, La Vista, NE, 68122

Please. This will keep back growth of our economy and strangle every level of person and family trying to make it in america. Internet is not so much a luxury anymore as it is a complete necessity.

1303. Dallas, Omaha, NE, 68022

The internet is open for a good reason. Students and small businesses need it to succeed. A good amount of people need it for mental well-being. Very little is gained from messing with net neutrality. Leave the open internet as it is! I don't want the ISP to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites advantage on others, or split the internet into fast lanes for companies that pay and slow lanes for the rest. The internet is fine as it is.

1304. Jason Vaughn, Omaha, NE, 68102

Our internet should be free source. we have made amazing strides to destroy the bad sites on the internet to harm our nation. Pornographic and topical sites that are questionable are part of our right. Regulations and red tape are being put up in places that are not needed.

1305. Ashley, Omaha, NE, 68138

As many as ninety states located on five continents currently recognize the right of individuals to obtain information held by public agencies. In the past twenty years, the right to information has become a universally recognized right in almost all democratic, and even numerous non-democratic, states. The issues raised by the legal recognition of this right have become the subject of a lively

public debate among jurists, political scientists, and civil rights associations. The internet is our right to Information, To Free speech via Social Media, and our freedom to work. Keep the internet free from government control as it is our right as THE PEOPLE to have these freedoms.

1306. Adam Cornish, Omaha, NE, 68132

An open internet is very important to me and my fellow constituents. Stripping net neutrality would not create a better world for anyone except those who are at the top of the food chain: the heads of Comcast, AT&T, and their ilk. It is paramount that Chairman Pai leave in place the provisions set in place by the previous FCC chairman, Tom Wheeler, wherein Internet Service Providers were classified as a common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. This guarantees that there is no pay-to-play at the highest levels of distribution, and that we do not fall to the same failing business model that is currently disintegrating in the cable television world. I assure you, no sane person in the US wants the internet to go the way of cable television. This is made clear by the fact that cable subscriptions have been steadily dying off over time BECAUSE the open internet exists. Please, please do not get rid of net neutrality. I will also obviously be sharing this same letter with my state representatives and senators so that they may also hopefully join you to fight to keep net neutrality alive. Respectfully, Adam Cornish Adam Cornish

1307. Joel Knott, Omaha, NE, 68118

The internet has thrived because of net neutrality. We should be celebrating this sort of level playing field in the United States. It gives everyone an equal opportunity to build the next great business. It isn't broken... don't try and fix it.

1308. Mason Heller, omaha, NE, 68144

I care about open internet and competition online. This is the ideas we live by in every market so why should the internet be any different? Keep the internet open and competitive

1309. Brandon Marshall, Omaha, NE, 68135

A developed and democratic nation should have a free, open, and efficient internet. ISPs monopolize and deliver sub-par speed and efficiency. Now they want to impose what amounts to a private tax as well. This should never be allowed to happen. Chairman Pai and those who would willingly submit themselves to the whims of large corporations, over the needs of their fellow citizens, should be ashamed of themselves, and should also be removed from office immediately. To those who are objectively examining this issue, I ask them to please listen to the countless of Americans calling upon you to make the right decision - to protect our access to an open internet.

1310. Megan Bogatz, Omaha, NE, 68144

The internet is the best way to fuel knowledge. Don't back track all of our work for "economy". Having the best internet allows us to buy as much as possible fueling the economy's progress. (not to mention all the software a LARGE number of companies (texas roadhouse even being one) that rely on the internet for their everyday business.

1311. Samantha Harbison, Omaha, NE, 68134

Net neutrality rules are extremely important to me, and many people like me, who depend on our small internet businesses to survive and feed our families. Without a free and open internet, I am unsure about the future of my business, all of my hard work for the last 8 years, or if I would even be able to afford to remain open for my customers who depend on me. In addition, I depend on other internet businesses and free internet access in my daily life. To shop, to order groceries, to communicate with family and friends who live far away, and to find information and resources about nearly everything. To take this security away would be to disrupt the daily lives of millions of people in this country in a big way. I am urging you to please protect net neutrality rules and make sure that the internet stays free and open for everyone. Thank you.

1312. Drew Rankin, Omaha, NE, 68132

The internet has been a free, open source service and should remain that way. America is a democratic nation, and should remain that way. Limiting the use of internet is "Un-American", and sought after only by greedy companies.

1313. Andrew Eihausen, Bennington, NE, 68007

Net Neutrality needs to be kept in place. I have used the internet for many important uses such as connecting with loved ones, or completing online education. When purchasing internet service, I as a consumer, expect to be free to roam the web, and access any information I deem necessary, all without speed interference or censorship by an ISP. Many of these ISP's run a local monopoly or duopoly, and letting them have more control will not enhance service, competition, or innovation, rather they may harm the internet. I sincerely hope that this letter helps your impending decision.

1314. Galen Winkler, Omaha, NE, 68154

The FCC has a choice whether they want to be on the right side of history and follow the lead of most of the free world. Countries that thanks to some limited reasonable regulation of ISP behavior have seen improvements in quality and price for their citizens rather than the slower more expensive and regulatory controlled internet the monopolistic ISP's want to enforce. This idea of "restoring internet freedom" is simply put an effort to restore ISP's unrestricted monopolistic power to fleece their customers.

1315. evan matthew olson, Omaha, NE, 68122

I strongly support title two regulations. Do not give this monopoly of ISP full control over us Americans. It is our right as equals.

1316. Alex Rasmussen, Omaha, NE, 68154
Keep net neutrality, removing destroy the internet and make alot of angrey people
1317. Jonathan Cumbee, La Vista, NE, 68128
If you roll back net neutrality, my generation will remember you as the people who tried to ruin the Internet for everyone in this country for lobbyist money. We won't forget, and you won't get away with it. Please don't make that your legacy. Please understand the implications of what you're doing. Net neutrality is what makes the Internet powerful and fair. It would cause so much damage to undo it. You don't want to be remembered as the politicians who ruined the Internet, do you? Jonathan Cumbee
1318. Alex Reed, Omaha, NE, 68131
I strongly feel the internet needs to remain open and free of favoritism to the highest bidder.
1319. Timothy Mykris, Omaha, NE, 68116
The internet is by all extents and purposes a utility at this point, like water and electricity. It is fundamental in our everyday lives. Telecom companies cannot be allowed to throttle bandwidth or content for the sake of making a billionaires more money.
1320. Eric Richardson, Omaha, NE, 68136
Please Keep the internet Free. I don't want to have to get bad Internet access because I visit sites that the Internet providers don't benefit from. The Argument that removing net neutrality would "increase competition" is BS, And I believe you know that. Where I live their is two major options for Internet providers, one decent and one bad. If this went through than I may have to go with bad internet because the decent internet is in competition with sites I visit.
1321. Freedom, Omaha, NE, 68134
Please don't take away my freedom to choose and decide what is best for me via the internet. The idea that a company would want to limit the content I am able to view just because it doesn't suit their agenda does not sound like the freedom I value so highly in America. Make the right call, believe in freedom.
1322. justin watke, omaha, NE, 68135
having an unfeathered Internet is the most important issue of our time. We cannot allow speed lanes for big corporations and slow the Internet for voices you may not like. The Internet must remain open.

1323. Jon Birkel, Omaha, NE, 68134
please god dont do this.
1324. Ryan Pfeifer, Omaha, NE, 68135
There are only 2 ISP options I'm aware of in my area and one of them is considerably less reliable than the one I use. I access the internet for plethora of uses, but use no other services from my ISP. The net needs to remain neutral so innovative uses for it can continue to flourish. Additionally, the internet offers a number of ways to further one's education and the FCC needs to maintain oversight of it to ensure it remains affordable and accessible for all Americans.
1325. Jose Castaneda, omaha, NE, 68104
Internet speed or access should not be controlled by ISP's. Everyone uses the internet differently which makes no sense to favor certain companies.
1326. Paul Weidner, Papillion, NE, 68046
I support Net Neutrality. Any affront to its integrity is an affront to everyone's personal freedoms.
1327. Jeff Craig, Papillion, NE, 68133
The internet needs to be equal and access should not be made an even high premium. Do you charge more for water if you drink it? Do you charge more for electricity if you use it for let's say a science experiment? No, you don't I pay for my internet access and that's all I should pay for, no matter how I wish to use it for.
1328. Nicholas R Fackler, Omaha, NE, 68132
I write this knowing you could care less. But please. Fight for this. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to the continued knowledge of citizens and the beautiful experiment that is the internet. I urge you to protect them. You know this would be horrible. Think about it. You don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. This would be bad for business. **SMALL BUSINESS' WOULD SUFFER!** That is not the American way. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet

providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Nicholas R Fackler

1329. Caroline McBride, Omaha, NE, 68102

To the FCC: I own Rebel Interactive, a digital branding agency, in business since 1999. We have built thousands of websites, apps and mobile sites and net neutrality has given equal opportunity and equal access to our large clients and small clients. If these rules are rolled back, an important 'digital-age' component of free markets AND free speech will be stifled. Only BIG Telecomm and ISPs will benefit by charging more and controlling content. Please do not repeal Net Neutrality.

1330. Andrew Mizener, Omaha, NE, 68114

It is vitally important that the FCC retain net neutrality protections. Removing them will destroy consumer protections and kill intellectual freedom on the web. This is likely to cause long-term cultural and economic damage to the entire US economy and kill innovation. Don't be corrupt. Don't sell out to monopolistic interests. Do what is right, and classify broadband as a public utility.

1331. Steven, Omaha, NE, 68137

Please do not abolish net neutrality. I like my internet how it currently is.

1332. Jon Weinhold, Omaha, NE, 68116

It's ludicrous that we have to have this fight every few years just because this issue has - like everything else - become political. But the people have spoken. This entire nonsense should never happen, because the people at the FCC actually KNOW what the people of America want. They want a neutral internet and a level playing field for all. Whether or not you choose to reflect those desires is based entirely on the character of the leadership of the FCC, and whether or not they acknowledge that as an agency of the federal government, they should be accountable to the people of the United States. Keep the internet neutral. If you choose to do otherwise, you do so in direct contrast to will of the people. This has been made abundantly clear, and the fact that you're playing dumb and acting like this feedback is helpful for your decision making is an

absolute farce.

1333. Sean M Swain, Omaha, NE, 68135

It seems like every couple months I hear more about companies trying to get net neutrality rolled back. How does allowing an ISP to control what its clients see and how quickly they can see it help anyone but the ISP? It sure seems from my end like this would just present them with a way to squeeze more money out of me and my family. Please oppose the ending of net neutrality and the reclassification of ISPs by the FCC. Sean M Swain

1334. Christian Breinholt, Papillion, NE, 68046

Popularity and traffic of Internet sites should be under the visitor's jurisdiction, not their Internet provider's.

1335. Donovan Troshynski, Omaha, NE, 68132

The internet is one of the most amazing inventions the world has ever seen. It has allowed for the free flow of information and exchange of ideas, both aspects of which make the world a better place. The idea of letting ISP's prioritize some content over others not is not only anti-freedom but anti-American. Net neutrality must be upheld with the legal backing of Title II regulation - classifying ISP's as common carriers and preventing them from taking away the only truly free environment humanity has. The repeal of Title II classification is an abhorrent and, quite frankly, immoral proposal. America needs a free internet.

1336. Chris Wilson, Omaha, NE, 68122

Don't limit the freedom of internet and information.

1337. Scott Hewitt, Omaha, NE, 68131

I have no faith in the current FCC chairman to act with good intentions for consumers. Net neutrality is a no compromise issue. Consumers have nothing to gain from compartmentalization and corporate meddling with the internet. There have been several net neutrality violations by companies in the past that show these type of rules are necessary to ensure the internet stay free and available to all without restriction or hindrance.

1338. Steven Perez, Omaha, NE, 68102

I do not want to watch the amount of data I use a month or worry about the websites I like to visit be slower than others just because they did not pay their protection money to my ISP. I would very much like it if Net Neutrality stay as is. Steven Perez

1339. Mikaela Durant, Omaha, NE, 68127

It's unfair for the ISP to control the internet. The internet should be an equal opportunity for everyone.

1340. Abraham Fetzner, Omaha, NE, 68106

This tax-paying citizen demands the continuation of net neutrality in every aspect of internet functionality.

1341. David Engel, Papillion, NE, 68133

I support retaining and enforcing net neutrality as it is. Net neutrality benefits the American people with the uninhibited flow of information over the internet. Removing net neutrality creates a system where ISPs can profit by selectively restricting the flow of information. A system that CAN be abused for profit WILL be abused for profit. Ajit Pai believes that having net neutrality makes it harder to tell foreign governments to "keep their hands off the internet." But this is completely backwards. Net neutrality is NOT the government taking control of the internet. Net neutrality is PREVENTING ISPs from taking control of the internet. We should encourage foreign governments to adopt net neutrality. We don't want ANYONE to control the flow of information on the internet--neither governments NOR ISPs. I have also heard the argument that if I don't like how my ISP is throttling data, I should just switch to a different ISP. But this is not an option, because there is only 1 ISP in my area: Cox. They have a monopoly on anyone living in the same area as me. If Cox abuses their ability to throttle or deprioritize certain sites that I use, then I can do nothing about it. Net neutrality is about protecting the American people from monopolistic ISPs controlling data flow on the internet and profiting off of it. It is so blatantly obvious that net neutrality benefits Americans. Anyone trying to remove it is most likely receiving pressure from ISP lobbyists. And if it's true that ISPs are paying lobbyists to promote the removal of net neutrality, do you really think they won't abuse the system for profit if it ever does get removed?

1342. Scott Pace, Omaha, NE, 68132

The internet has become a source of information and communication in this era. If this becomes policed and prioritized based upon arbitrary pieces of information (how much money we have), it will limit the ability of advancement for those who need it most. We are all human beings and we all deserve the resources that this piece of technology has to offer. Don't sell out to some fat-cat bureaucrat who'll shell out the big bucks. They only want to pacify you and the rest of the populous.

1343. Caitlin, Omaha, NE, 68106

Net neutrality and unlimited access to free information is the pinnacle of human achievement in the 21st century. Why on Earth anyone would want to change that and control ISPs and web content is very obvious way of blocking information and knowledge from the public. Net neutrality is paramount to the human experience, because without it, we are denying ourselves the truth about the world around this. Ray Bradbury's Fahrenheit 451 book is often portrayed in the wrong way, and is actually about the government blocking more and more

offensive information from the public, because people take it the wrong way. Do you ACTUALLY want to start living in that world? I sure as hell don't. Access to the World Wide Web is considered a BASIC HUMAN RIGHT by the UNITED NATIONS. This is something that ALL OF THE NATIONS OF THE WORLD AGREED ON. So taking that away is depriving the human race of a basic human right. As one website puts it: "The right to Internet access, also known as the right to broadband, is the view that all people must be able to access the Internet in order to exercise and enjoy their rights to freedom of expression and opinion and other fundamental human rights, that states have a responsibility to ensure that Internet access is broadly available, and that states may not unreasonably restrict an individual's access to the Internet." Let's keep humanity moving in the right direction, okay? Make the right choice, PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY. I want to be able to have access to information from all over the world, without governments and corporations sticking their noses in. Keep it Free, Keep it Open, Keep it Fair. Seriously, this is the best thing humans have done since using aseptic techniques in surgeries to kill bacteria. Let's not mess this up.

1344. Nate Work, Omaha, NE, 68102
Please do not take away my freedom of Net Neutrality.
1345. ryan samuels, Lavista, NE, 68128
The internet should always and forever be open and available for everyone.
1346. Thomas E Hendricks, La Vista, NE, 68128
I am concerned that our basic freedom of privacy is at stake here. I hope and pray that our freedom stays as is! Thomas E Hendricks
1347. Anne Mitchell, Omaha, NE, 68127
I am NOT okay with allowing ISPs to make decisions for me. The moment we start allowing corporations to control the flow of information is the day we LOSE freedom.
1348. Rhonda Pella, Omaha, NE, 68137
The internet was, is, and shall always be free to all. Not pay for play. Keep the Internet Neutral and available to all, regardless of ability to pay.
1349. Jaime Melton, Omaha, NE, 68164
Internet is becoming more of a right in this age, and allowing ISPs to throttle, censor, or even mottle in internet speeds or access should never be tolerated. We live in an information era, and information should always be free flowing without restrictions. Please, for our sake, keep net neutrality.
1350. Joel, Omaha, NE, 68127
Websites should not be censored or given more connection for anyone, to

restrict something like this takes away the fundamental nature of the internet and give internet providers too much control over the medium.

1351. Joel Mapes, Omaha, NE, 68154

It is absolutely abhorrent that in 2017 that the issue of Net Neutrality keeps coming up. The fact that corporate interests are potentially being put ahead of an issue that over 90% of the country agrees on shows that our government is headed in the wrong direction. The Internet is not a finite commodity, yet it is being treated as such. Ending net neutrality will hurt small businesses and startups (and even some larger businesses) all to put more coin in the pockets of companies like Comcast. Please do your duty to save the internet from companies who want to take advantage of almost every US citizen just to increase their profit margins.

1352. Neutrality, Omaha, NE, 68137

I would appreciate if the internet could stay available to the entire world, not just corporations. Net neutrality is what my entire life depends on.

1353. Nicholas McLaughlin, Omaha, NE, 68114

It's very important to myself and my wife to keep the internet open and free. An open and free internet is what helps make America the great country it is. Net neutrality allows individuals to pick their own favorite websites instead of telecom companies picking for them. I.E. If you really like Netflix and hate Hulu, too bad, because (highest bidding telecom) really likes Hulu, so Netflix bandwidth speeds get cut by 75%, making it unwatchable. This would absolutely ruin America. This is not an exaggeration.

1354. C Adams, Omaha, NE, 68132

I support retaining * AND ENFORCING * strong net neutrality rules. "The Internet has been one of the greatest gifts our economy and our society has ever known. The FCC was chartered to promote competition, innovation, and investment in our networks. In service of that mission, there is no higher calling than protecting an open, accessible, and free Internet." - Barack Obama

1355. Kevin Tu, Omaha, NE, 68102

Keep net neutrality. The internet needs to be free and open space.

1356. Robert Knieper, Omaha, NE, 68106

Information should be free from corporate manipulation. The amount in your wallet should not determine the amount the internet access. If the FCC rolls back open internet rules, you'll be making it easier to push for making it a free utility. I don't like what you're doing.

1357. Charles Treinen, Omaha, NE, 68132

The open internet and freedom of speech are important to American democracy.

1358. max_ty, Omaha, NE, 68104
Keep net neutrality. It is in the of america to keep it.
1359. Jay Merwald, Omaha, NE, 68106
I SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISPs!!!! DO NOT go against the will of the American people on this one. We know that calling your proposed actions "restoring internet freedom" is at best, Orwellian and an out and out bold faced lie. I personally am an entrepreneur working on building a web portal to retail products. I want my web site treated with the same priority as every other site on the net, and not slowed down until I've paid my ISP a new bribe. Keep the internet free!
1360. Beth Eilers, Omaha, NE, 68164
I care about Net Neutrality and believe that the internet should stay open -- that no one company or site should have more control than the people. All rules must apply equally for home and mobile connections.
1361. Charles Graham, omaha, NE, 68164
allowing company's to charge or throttle content at their whim only makes the internet as a whole worse.
1362. ian mahern-macias, omaha, NE, 68131
Dont remove net neutrality , its an important part of our nation and prevents ISP from taking advantage of hard working Americans
1363. Scott Ciminski, LaVista, NE, 68128
I believe that the internet has become a utility over time like phone/electric/gas/water. I don't believe ISPs for broadband access should have the rights to throttle/block or do anything to hinder free enterprise. They are basically localized monopolies. If the ISPs had competitions in their local municipalities this wouldn't even be an issue. Please keep net neutrality rules the way they are. Don't adhere to the greed of the internet service providers.
1364. net neutrality, Omaha, NE, 68107
Please keep net neutrality. It's very important as an English major and a supporter of schools that we keep net neutrality as it is. There are important things to research in the world and some people wont be able to learn those things if net neutrality goes away. There's things people need to learn that they can only learn from the internet. Where else will they find it out? Please keep net neutrality! It's very important!
1365. Nate Kanellis, Omaha, NE, 68135
I simply ask you maintain the use of Net Neutrality. It is important to myself, as well as many others in out country. I hope you take the input of myself and the

many others that wish for Net Neutrality to remain. Thank you,

1366. Jason Burton, Omaha, NE, 68134
Internet connectivity must be treated as an utility, not as a opportunity for ISP's to extort money from users and content providers.
1367. Paige Opal, papillion, NE, 68133
Y'all need net neutrality in your life. (how we vote depends on how you vote)
1368. Zachary Maly, Omaha, NE, 68114
Net neutrality is required for a free society and a free market. The potential for corruption in this ruling is astronomical and if the Neutrality laws are abolished we could easily see companies paying ISPs to allow traffic to their websites, or worse to prevent traffic from getting to their competitors.
1369. Andrea Zimmer, Boys town, NE, 68010
Please keep the internet free, open, and equal for everyone!
1370. Alex Roll, Omaha, NE, 68154
I believe Twitch and other streaming sites should remain free. It helps no-one but the FCC.
1371. Zachary Boston, Omaha, NE, 68144
The internet has become an instrumental tool in our country in maintaining the wholly American ideal that any one of its citizens, regardless of their social or economic status, and on the back of their hard work, dedication, and willingness to broaden their horizons, can find their own success. This has become so by providing students greater access to educational materials, entrepreneurs a wider market and a wider array of tools to reach their market, and workers easy access to the tools and information to enrich and advance their careers. The value of all internet traffic being treated equally is of extreme importance to these students, entrepreneurs, workers, even the most basic of consumers, and to maintaining this American dream. To allow service providers to control the access these citizens have to these tools would be to only further disenfranchise those who were born to unfortunate situations and are striving to rise above them. Given this, the labeling of these measures to reverse the regulations as an act to restore internet freedom is not only preposterous, but detestable, as it would needlessly harm many consumers; many poor, students, or owners of small businesses. Students would have to pay more to access their resources of learning, in a country where the cost of education continues to increase to tremendous levels. Entrepreneurs, particularly of small businesses would either face a much higher cost to upkeep their business or find themselves lagging even further behind much wealthier competitors, at a time when the strength of the startup as empowered by the internet has only just begun to prove itself. True freedom on the internet is something we already have, and to reverse this

would defy one of the core values of the American spirit: the idea that even if we do not begin on the same footing, we all have the same chance to improve our lives and ourselves with hard work and dedication.

1372. Jason Westphal, Omaha, NE, 68105

Net Neutrality safeguards our internet, the world's largest communication platform, from private interest taking control and dictating who sees what, when, where and who has a voice. Net Neutrality allows for a free and open platform for everyone and seeds new ideas, competition, and growth in all industries.

1373. Tyler Douglass, Omaha, NE, 68114

Net neutrality has given me and millions of others the opportunity to do what they enjoy most unhindered, be that browse social media, play games with their friends, or start businesses. Without net neutrality, I will be personally affected, as I rely on a solid, open internet to communicate with clients, friends, and family. When I purchase internet, when my family purchases internet, we expect access to a full community of people, access to so much that the internet can provide. Keep the internet neutral.

1374. Raef Kubie, Omaha, NE, 68130

The internet should be just as free as anything else that is basically public domain. you can put whatever you want and find whatever you want and you should have the freedom to do so

1375. Caleb Kleven, Omaha, NE, 68116

ISP's need to be treated 'almost' like a public utility. Imagine the Metropolitan Utilities District jacking up prices and plugging their water pipes to people unless they have the ability to pay more to get their full/normal amount of water. This is what could potentially exist for our internet and would hinder small businesses'™ ability to get off the ground, and existing businesses would suffer to reach their end users at adequate speeds. Ridiculous. Go back to my utilities example. If that was to exist, somebody eventually would come along and create new infrastructure to side-step that company and run things the right way. The same will happen to the current ISPs if this isn't fixed. And that side-step would take a lot of time after negatively effecting many lives. This should be a simple case. Keep the internet free and open, let people be creative to create and sell, be an effective government by upholding liberties, the ISP's will continue to profit from business as usual without squeezing the life out of their customers, and you will see your return through tax. Win-win-win. Vote the right way, vote for liberty.

1376. Charles Chatham, Omaha, NE, 68132

How is this even a question any more? I mean seriously?If anything, if NN is

done away with, you'll be disrupting the current monopolistic cash cow that the ISPs currently are....all the while making America look crap. Charles Chatham

1377. Kevin McDonald, Omaha, NE, 68136
Net neutrality is vital to everyone and the Economy. Without it ISPs will have the upper hand and control the Internet and everyone's access. This will raise costs for the consumer and for smaller business this could be catastrophic. For consumers this could mean they have to give up the internet. Net neutrality needs to be maintained.
1378. Nicholas W. O'Brien, Omaha, NE, 68114
Repealing net neutrality would be the worst policy decision the FCC has made since its inception. To repeal these protections would be short-sighted, idiotic, and irresponsible.
1379. Eric Davis, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY ! The web should not be monetized.
1380. Collin Smith, Omaha, NE, 68134
KEEP THE INTERNET FREE! All the sites people love, from Crunchyroll to 4chan, need to be accessible and open for EVERYONE! Collin Smith
1381. Emily Wells, Papillion, NE, 68046
Net neutrality protects the little people. Protecting net neutrality is the right thing to do.
1382. Ryan Trevillyan, Omaha, NE, 68164
Another vote for the keeping of net neutrality
1383. Carol Erhardt, Omaha, NE, 68131
Net neutrality is ESSENTIAL to democracy and an informed electorate. Don't sell our right to information to the highest bidder, Thank you!
1384. Stan Smith, Papillion, NE, 68046
Anything less than fully protecting an open and fair internet would be a crime.
1385. Thomas L, Omaha, NE, 68116
ISP's should not have the ability to regulate themselves, because everyone with a brain knows they won't. Please keep the internet free and keep net neutrality. This change will only benefit the ISPs; not the people, not small business, and not the economy.
1386. Mitchell Atkisson, omaha, NE, 68144
The government choosing what sites the people have better access to is unamerican! Keep the internet open. We pay for internet access every month.

Please do not let providers find another way to reach into the pockets of the little guy.

1387. Rachael Loke, Omaha, NE, 68134
Please don't be bought out Washington! Net Neutrality is crucial, and getting rid of it really would be a compromise of our freedom of speech!
1388. Jackson Henery, Omaha, NE, 68132
Net neutrality must be maintained to promote capitalism and rid the internet of government censorship. The internet is as much of a platform for free speech and a free market as the real world. The government has no right to place restrictions on it as it sees fit and create monopolies through ISPs. They've already done enough damage by allowing some ISPs to have full monopolies in some regions of the country.
1389. Jeremy Cattau, Omaha, NE, 68164
Pai is a joke and knows nothing. Repealing net neutrality is 10 steps in the wrong direction.
1390. David Davis, Omaha, NE, 68137
Net Neutrality is the only way to hold Internet Service Providers accountable for how they handle traffic. We the people pay for this service to access the internet, not to access only what providers want. Protect Net Neutrality and keep the Freedom of choice with the people, not the Provider.
1391. Brook Jablonski, Omaha, NE, 68136
I stand for Net Neutrality and a Free and Open Internet.
1392. Jayden Nash, Gretna, NE, 68028
We need to keep net neutrality because of small apps like Discord. If net neutrality didn't exist Discord would have to pay huge amounts of money to keep the quality service they have in their app there. They move about 17 petabytes of voice data around the internet, and the quality never changes unless something client side happens like a power outage, or bad connection. Without net neutrality Discord would have to pay a ton just to move that data around, and instead of providing updates, and crisp, clean, and clear voice chat they would cease to exist
1393. Nicholas Barnes, Omaha, NE, 68144
I would never have been able to meet the friends I have today had it not been for net neutrality.
1394. John Young, Omaha, NE, 68127
Please do not remove American freedom of speech through removal of internet neutrality. The internet was created for the good of all, not for the benefit of

someJohn Young

1395. Ben Kurtz, Papillion, NE, 68046

We need to keep net neutrality rules in place. Elimination of these rules and allowing ISPs to block content or give preference to content that they own or have been paid to promote will undermine the ability for small businesses and startups to compete. It will also allow a select few corporations to decide what information is or is not readily available through the Internet. For example, if my ISP decided that they wanted to block my ability to log a comment in response to an upcoming FCC proceeding, such as this one, they could simply throttle the website speed or block access to the domain through their service altogether, thereby limiting the voice we all currently have as citizens to make ourselves heard. Please do not allow this to happen. Thank you for your time and consideration!

1396. Selea Kunkel, omaha, NE, 68132

I'm in a long distance relationship and moving for college. Without unlimited internet access I will lose the ability to always be in contact with my family and my fiance.

1397. Tricia Schuett, Omaha, NE, 68137

Please do not kill net neutrality. Freedom of speech and expression are what the net is all about. Letting people have the freedom to choose what services they use.

1398. Andrew Hamik, Elkhorn, NE, 68116

Rolling back these laws will hurt every single internet user within the U.S. These laws help protect users from predatory services such as throttling and also giving out our information. Rolling these laws back is a violation of basic human rights to privacy and equality.

1399. Stephanie Ianacone, Omaha, NE, 68114

I don't believe in propaganda, but I don't see any benefit in losing net neutrality. Large communication companies already have so much power that my choices in internet service providers and streaming services are limited. Giving them more power feels like it would further hinder competition. I don't feel like I need more speed than I already have, thus I feel like nothing should change, Students of all ages rely so much on access to many sites that I'm not in favor of anything that would negatively affect their work. Please keep the internet a neutral territory for all digital content creators, and encourage people to keep coming up with new ideas that can be shared across the world.

1400. net Neutrality, omaha, NE, 68144

The internet needs net Neutrality for small start ups like discord

1401. Richard Nyland, Ralston, NE, 68127
The internet should not be governed.
1402. WHat is this, Omaha, NE, 68135
I like to have internet please keep Net nutrality
1403. Nathan Shepherd, Waterloo, NE, 68069
Hello,I am writing to express my concern of net neutrality being repealed. If this happens this would affect the internet that I know and love today. I understand that without net neutrality ISPs will be able to take advantage of throttling certain websites and give priority to others. Also they could block whatever they like if they so choose among many more things. And if my ISP does start doing this it's not like there are much options around where I live so this makes switching to a different ISP difficult. Also what if the other ISP starts doing the same thing, then what?! Net neutrality helps our economy by letting small businesses grow. Without it what stops ISPs slowing down small businesses websites and have bigger companies squash them out buy paying more to the ISP for faster speeds. This would be devastating. It could also stop the spread of information to everyone that uses the internet, this could be education, news or anything else. I am worried that without net neutrality it would cause major issues with all things in the future some can be predicted and some cannot. I am asking for you to uphold net neutrality on behalf of all americans. Thank you for your time, Sincerely Nathan Shepherd
1404. Leslie Kravitz, Omaha, NE, 68130
As a strong proponent of intellectual freedom, I believe everyone has the right to access information. By eliminating net neutrality, the rights of others to access information are being restricted, and the voices of those who can't afford to pay the premium are being silenced. To maintain freedom of speech, and access to information, we must keep the net neutral.
1405. Joe Baratta, Omaha, NE, 68130
Keep the internet free of bias! Don't sell out to corporations!
1406. Christopher Heinze, Omaha, NE, 68164
The internet is one of, if not humanity's greatest invention. Losing Net Neutrality would, without question, remove every aspect of the internet that makes it as amazing as it is. The internet is for freedom. For expression on equal grounds. To lose that, would be to lose a future that everyone should believe in.
1407. Robert Olson, Omaha, NE, 68102
I am currently on actually working towards computer programming and informational technology. You take net neutrality away from everyone, not only you will be closing the opportunities to me and thousands or millions of others,

but you will also be taking jobs and careers involving net neutrality from millions. Think on that.

1408. net neutrality, Omaha, NE, 68106

Net neutrality is the base for most entrepreneurs and start up companies. With net neutrality removed, companies starting from the ground will never make it if the entirety of the internet is segmented to curated portions sold to the highest bidder. Net Neutrality is part of the USA's uncensored form of media, which is already being censored by corporations stating that if they do not like the material covered with in said media, the creator will be reprimanded, or have to suffer various consequences. Please, this is the peoples form of freedom for creativity and art. Don't take this away from us.

1409. David Bradley, Omaha, NE, 68135

The internet works surprisingly similar to how the postal service works. If mail services can't charge based on contents, ISPs can't charge based on content. Keep the internet open, support the freedom of speech.

1410. Casey Largent, Omaha, NE, 68135

I stand behind not allowing my services to be throttled just because another company wants their services to be faster. It doesn't follow the democratic principles that I believe in that makes the country the way it is.

1411. David Boyles, Omaha, NE, 68105

The free and open internet is the pinnacle of human civilization. The diffusion of ideas instantaneously across all of mankind is a beautiful thing that shan't be tainted by the greed of ISP's who view the internet as a commodity to be bid on, sold, and microtransaction-ed. We will not stand for it. David Boyles

1412. Jason Ganow, Omaha, NE, 68144

I strongly support net neutrality and firmly believe that enforcing it is necessary. Most of the US has little to no choice in what ISP they use. Without net neutrality ISP's will throttle new start ups and potentially crush businesses unless they pay a ransom. This has already been shown with Comcast and Netflix, before Net Neutrality started being enforced. This will be horrible for the country and the world. Net Neutrality is absolutely necessary to protect entrepreneurs and to give every business the same chance at being successful.

1413. Seth, Omaha, NE, 68104

Ending net neutrality is a despicable and cruel invasion of privacy as well as a pitiful money grab. I grew up with the internet, and the abundance of freedom that users are granted to be creative and express ideas are what made it interesting, as well as a helpful learning tool. Please hear out the 88% of opposed citizens and focus on the greater good. There's more to life than revenue! Seth

1414. Austin, Omaha, NE, 68132
This is counter to the free market and is in most ways a step in the wrong direction for this country.
1415. Krysten Vance, Papillion, NE, 68046
Hello. I am writing this letter in regards to the FCC's open internet rules (net neutrality). I assume you have received thousands of letters like this today and I hope that you are reading through a few of them. In case this letter is among those read, I will try to keep it short. If the FCC succeeds in changing the statues of the internet from a title II amenity there could be serious and negative impacts on US citizens. Changing the statues of the internet gives ISPs the ability to purposefully slow or entirely block traffic from specific websites. Prior to classifying the internet as title II ,companies were guilty of doing just that: Comcast altered speeds of Netflix, AT&T blocked facetime and Verizon has already started giving preferential data treatment to it's own streaming services over other companies. These actions might be reasonable if there was competition between these companies so that we as consumers could choose not to interact with ISPs that made deals like this. But 30% of US citizens, do not have access to more than one ISP. We have allowed monopoly's to occur in the internet provider industry and unless we all try our best to stop it, ISPs will be able to decide where people go online, what news they have access to, even what facts they have access to, for 30% of Americans. This isn't even to mention the crushing effect it will have on small business that can't pay out the way Netflix does.I can't see any value to anyone in altering the state of the internet, but a few wealthy business executives and their lawyers. This is an issue I, and thousands of others, feel very strongly about. It is why I'm writing you, and also my members of congress Thank you!Krysten VanceKrysten Vance
1416. Isabel Pennings, Omaha, NE, 68131
Protecting access to the open internet is of utmost importance to our functioning democracy. As we realized in 2016 with the advent of Facebook "click holes", we all are easily influenced by information from the internet and are inclined to form opinions based upon information we repeatedly see. Granting any group the power to control what people view is essentially enabling the group to influence our democracy. This is antithetical to our constitution. No group should be granted this inordinate amount of power.
1417. Tom Kipp, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
Very much for net neutrality....it just makes sense. The power of the internet is treating all parties the same...not just the big players. Thanks.
1418. Nicholas McGee, Bennington, NE, 68007
Leave Internet Open!! It was created as it was to be open! Government has too

much power as it is now!

1419. William Welch, Omaha, NE, 68137

Please do not end Net Neutrality. I am a normal, everyday consumer of internet content. Not a day goes by without me checking current events, performing casual research, or engaging in online recreation. Like practically everyone else having a limited budget, I cannot really afford to pursue other forms of entertainment on a regular basis such as learning, watching sports, or even really pursuing a substantial non-electronic hobby. The internet virtually transports me to destinations where I normally cannot go. The internet keeps me apprised of issues that are important to me. And as an IT professional, the internet is an invaluable tool for keeping my skills current in my profession. As you can see, the internet makes up a significant part of my life. If profit-motivated corporations, who have relatively little competition, oversight, or accountability beyond their shareholders, are allowed to control the internet beyond the current scope of their powers, they will have the opportunity to enact several self-serving policies. For one, ISPs will be tempted to block certain websites or services to make more money. Secondly, ISPs will be cost-motivated to limit bandwidth to different websites and services that might directly compete with them or their affiliates. Another way for ISPs to make money will be to selectively apply data caps to websites, increasing the cost of doing business for their competitors. These three reasons are only the tip of the iceberg. These issues will affect me because when I want to be entertained, I will have to either select entertainment that pays my ISP or costs me extra money or ad revenue to consume it. When I want to research something, I will have to make decisions based on information sources who are approved (via profit motivation) by the ISP. And when I want to check the news, I will be forced to view the world through the eyes of a profit-motivated corporation. In the long term, having limited online influences, even our children will effectively be brainwashed by companies who are financially interested in grooming future customers. None of these outcomes are acceptable to me, and I urge you to put yourself in my position because you, too, will have to see the world through their eyes, make decisions based on their sources, and be entertained by their puppets. Your children will consume the world based on how the ISPs have raised them. Do you really want to live in a world like that? I certainly hope not. Think back to your days of being a young adult. Decades ago, the thought of college education life-debt was ridiculous. The thought of the housing market failing due to sub-prime lending was insane. The thought of health insurance devouring people's lives was an abomination. Yet, here we are. Sadly, the downward spiral of political culture in America has been one slippery slope followed by another. Some even claim that the road to Hell is paved with good intentions. You might think you are doing some good, here, but you aren't. Here, we are standing on the precipice of yet another slippery slope leading to what may become the basis for next unintended consequences of the new

normal. Let's not let slip that something that will desensitize our sensibilities. Let's not go gentle into that good night. Let's rage! Rage against the dying of the light! Please save Net Neutrality. WITH PREJUDICE, THIS TIME; because the ISPs will continue this erosion until they win.

1420. Steven Taylor, Omaha, NE, 68124

Rolling back Title II classification would allow ISP's to be the gate keepers for content they do not own, produce, or otherwise claim rights to, this would create a marketplace for the highest bidder to control the internet, and the highest bidder may not be an American corporation.

1421. Raymond Derus, Omaha, NE, 68154

Net neutrality is something that many people don't know about, but is something that needs to be taken seriously. I don't want to loose on the ability to control what I can and cannot do as a person. The internet was designed to be free for everyone to use, not limited by what our ISPS say we can and cannot do.

1422. Elliot Chael, Papillion, NE, 68046

Do you really think you can do this to us? Hell no.Elliot Chael

1423. Travis, LaVista, NE, 68128

Iâ€™m worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way theyâ€™re enforced. If we repeal, we'll end up in the same situation cable TV is in. The internet doesn't have enough competition to allow free market to take place, therefore we need Title II to be enforced

1424. Net Neutrality, Omaha, NE, 68164

I believe we the people deserve the right to freedom and equality online as we do in the real world. The internet was created to be a free, open place for the people to express their opinions and rights as freely as they choose to do so. Net Neutrality is very important for the sake of our people and needs to stay intact.

1425. Stephen Tillery, omaha, NE, 68134

The internet is a free open space and should not be restricted to corporations to destroy.

1426. Elliot Chael, Papillion, NE, 68046

This has gone too far. Privacy, Free Speech, yeah fuck those things. Nah, no one really needs 'em.Elliot Chael

1427. Matt Provencher, Omaha, NE, 68124

A free and open internet is a fundamental requirement for a modern, innovative, free, democratic, and intelligent society. Removal of net neutrality will allow monopolistic internet companies (monopolistic in that an overwhelming

proportion of Americans [those that even have access to a modicum of internet speed] only have a single choice of internet provider) to establish even more dominance over online activity than they do today. We currently have little to no choice in our provider; with net neutrality protections removed, we will have little to no choice in the usage of our internet connection.

1428. Joseph Williams, Omaha, NE, 68132

I support stopping the Net Neutrality rules from being repealed. Only private interests benefit and it is totally absurd that anyone would even think to remove these rules. I know that myself at least hates the idea, and will do everything I can to prevent this from happening. If congress is suppose to represent the people, then why would they even consider repealing the Net Neutrality rules?

1429. Crystal A Fulton, Jason I Ramos, La Vista, NE, 68128

Freedom shouldn't just be something we can talk about we should also have freedom to get what we paid for no matter were we live in the USA

1430. Jeff McGill, Omaha, NE, 68144

Take your fast lanes and Data caps and shove them up your butt. Vote this proposal down.

1431. Kory Kidd, Papillion, NE, 68046

Network neutrality is a big deal, and I hope that the FCC will continue to keep the internet fair. For myself, I don't want to have the wide variety of things I do on the internet impacted by my ISP playing favorites or making me pay to play.

1432. Miguel Amador, Omaha, NE, 68152

I want to keep Net Neutrality. Already my friends and I have to call into Cox or Century Link every year to get lower prices for our internet. We have to fight and negotiate to keep lower internet prices. Otherwise every year they raise the minimum price of basic internet without raising speeds. Most internet guaranteed speeds are hypothetical maxes instead of minimums. I am never sure if I'll actually get the speed I continually pay more for.

1433. Ethan VanEtten, Omaha, NE, 68136

If we do not fight them to protect net neutrality for everyone, what will corporations not control? This is one freedom every American needs, internet is the web that connects us all no one needs to have control over what we see and do. I ask you, please fight this. Ethan VanEtten

1434. K Heese, Omaha, NE, 68136

Please SAVE NET NEUTRALITY! I want the ability to see all the information I want instead of what a company dictates. I want the freedom to look up anything I want instead of being fed information by a random company. SAVE NET NEUTRALITY!

1435. Christopher A Hopper, Omaha, NE, 68135
Why are we going through this again? Net Neutrality helps businesses, not hurts them. This is just being pushed by greedy companies seeking another source of revenue, taking the lazy way out. Instead of improving their services, reach and businesses, they are looking to capitalize on what should be a utility. Please continue to support and uphold Net Neutrality. The internet belongs to everyone, not those who can afford it more than others.
1436. Alex Trojanowski, Omaha, NE, 68116
I would object to any proceedings in which attacks on the open internet may be made. Please reconsider the stance on which you would like to dismantle the single protection that consumers like myself have against ISPs and leave Net Neutrality in place. Allowing them to have free reign on slowing down traffic to websites and allowing others to have preferred paid pathways is detrimental to all that the open web stands for.
1437. Matthew Anderson, Omaha, NE, 68114
dont be cucks, free the internet
1438. Stephen Bloom, Omaha, NE, 68130
Repealing net neutrality would put a damper on the capitalistic society in which we live. It would become impossible for small tech startups to get their software off the ground and running. It would also add to the current monopolistic dealings of local ISP's around the country, simply so they could increase revenue. It is a bad idea in a world in which tech is becoming more and more important.
1439. Net Neutrality, Omaha, NE, 68134
Save the NET!!!!
1440. Jason Oliver, Omaha, NE, 68117
I pay quite a bit for access to the internet, with the speed of internet technology ramping up it's hard to stay on the cheapest plan. It is especially hard when there isn't competition in our area. Cable and internet providers already have the power to charge and treat their customers however they want. Giving them further control to select what we get better access to based on who gives them the most money makes this frightfully worse. Giving them the freedom to do more to their customers is like handing a child a loaded gun.
1441. Noah Gould, Omaha, NE, 68144
Internet Service Providers should not be able to charge differently for using different services. All internet traffic looks the same when traveling between servers, it is all stored as bytes. Keep the net open and free.
1442. Charles Elford, Omaha, NE, 68136

Do NOT abolish Net Neutrality. I enjoy my freedom to access the internet without restrictions.

1443. Tracy Baker, Omaha, NE, 68154

I am writing to urge the FCC to leave the existing net neutrality laws intact. Putting more power in the hands of ISPs who will be empowered to arbitrarily limit speeds on a site-by-site basis gives them leverage over customers and content providers they should not have. ISPs make a living serving other people's content, creating nothing of their own that is of any public value, and should not have control over something that they did not have a hand in creating. They should not be able to play favorites. They should not be able to sell or otherwise leverage the information they have on their customers, which is far more detailed than anything any individual website could ever collect. Please keep the Internet the way it is. It is one of the things that makes America a wonderful place to live and a great place to make a living as a content creator. Thank you.

1444. Shannon Foley, Omaha, NE, 68130

Keep the internet open and equal. Keep net neutrality. All my work is done with the internet. The future of the world depends on the free and open access to the internet. This keeps companies and public figures more honest because information is available at our fingertips. Please keep net neutrality.

1445. Andrew (Drew) Pedersen, Omaha, NE, 68105

I'm a senior systems engineer with 20 years experience in IT, and a U.S. Navy veteran with combat deployments following 9/11. There are several things that worry me about this proceeding. First, I don't like the idea of giving ISP's the ability to, essentially, turn internet content into a series of channels, like cable TV. The single greatest reason for the extraordinary success of information technology in the last 30 years has been equal and open access to the internet. My livelihood depends on that. When I sign up for internet access that's all I'm paying for - access for a connection from an endpoint to an endpoint. As such it is a telecommunications service and covered under the ongoing 2015 FCC order. I'm not paying for content, because none of it exists at the ISP. Skype, e-mail, google, the apps on your phone, are the information service. They were designed by software developers working for software companies or doing freelance work - they were not designed by your ISP. They are hosted on servers at data centers located around the world, or in some developer's garage - but they are not at your ISP. (Unless your ISP also hosts websites, which Net Neutrality currently prevents from being a conflict of interest, btw.) Ajit Pai has argued that the internet is not a telecommunications service because ISP's are not only responsible for providing access, but also for providing the network infrastructure involved in routing internet traffic, and for providing DNS services for doing domain to IP conversions. The problem with that argument

is, I don't see how that's much different, aside from mere complexity, than the switchboard operators of the early 20th century, or analogy phone PBX systems of the later 20th century. Transmitting information requires routing it, whether you use modern day routing tables or just lay down a copper wire and point it a certain direction. Pai misses the point that, in the end, it is a connection between one endpoint and another. The endpoints can become obfuscated - for sure. Load balancers, caching servers, multi-domain hosts, multi-IP domains - it can be a mess getting there. But regardless of how many paths and systems the signal goes through, it reaches a single IP address which stores the information - the endpoint. This is the definition of telecommunications.

1446. Jackson Shafer, Omaha, NE, 68116

We need to keep net neutrality and save the open internet

1447. Matthew J Poltack, Omaha, NE, 68137

You must keep the current net neutrality rules and Title II proceedings. Rolling back these net neutrality rules will promote bad business practices through ISPs. Please do not remove net neutrality.

1448. Leeandre Tucker, Omaha, NE, 68144

The internet should be free just like life is naturally we shouldn't have to pay for a certain section of the internet we should have access too all of it not what these stupid companies want us to have #NetNeutrality

1449. Cameron Sundene, Omaha, NE, 68106

Net neutrality is in the best interest of every individual and citizen in our country when it comes to internet use, if this passes through than it is the epitome of money over the well being of our own citizens. As an american citizen we should each do what's best for each other, and keeping our net neutral and free is extremely important, the only benefits are ones massive corporations receive.

1450. Michael Patterson, omaha, NE, 68164

The loss of Net Neutrality would be the greatest social crime of our generation. The freedom of information should remain as it is, data is data, bits are bits, and it doesn't matter what those bits are, everyone should be able to decide for themselves how their bits are delivered and sent. I believe so strongly in this that I feel a national movement is needed to have the constitution amended to reflect this freedom so that subversive organizations can not continue to target this freedom in years to come.

1451. Tyler Yost, Omaha, NE, 68164

I like the internet. I don't want any ISP to block or limit any part of it.

1452. Matt Mason, Omaha, NE, 68112

ISPs should not be further empowered. ISPs should do nothing but serve other people's content. ISPs should have no control over other people's content, and should not be allowed to benefit from customer browsing data that is far more detailed than anything any single website can collect.

1453. Matthew Bell, Omaha, NE, 68106
Please keep the internet free and pro consumer instead of giving into corporate shills.
1454. Cory Williams, Omaha, NE, 68164
My rights to the internet should not be prioritized by anyone other than myself. I have the right to the speeds I have paid for within the bandwidth of my subscription. No one has the right to tell me what sites should or should not be allowed to be seen or hinder the speed in which the sites are returned.
1455. tara ryan, Omaha, NE, 68132
I support net neutrality. Keep internet service providers classified under Title II of the Communications Act.
1456. Cooper Wood, Omaha, NE, 68135
This Idea is stupid that the gov't wants to remove our free internet rules which is like a dictatorship!
1457. Jordan Faust, Valley, NE, 68064
Net Neutrality is important and protects us from being taken advantage of by ISP companies which monopolize the areas you live in.
1458. Jeremy Magers, Omaha, NE, 68105
You know what you are doing, please don't kill the last bastion of free speech just so you can live a slightly higher standard of living. You are putting yourself above countless others to come in future generations. Fuck you. Jeremy Magers
1459. Vincent Baldwin, Omaha, NE, 68111
net neutrality is so important to all of us... You and me..... Your children and grandchildren! Don't allow big business to brainwash a society by forcing their content on a person using the internet. Its like saying spam for everyone! Sorry vut you vell see and hear vut ve vontyou too! Basically Nazi! Please say NO to any thing other than "net neutrality" for future generations to come. Thank You Vince
1460. Dane Wyrick, Omaha, NE, 68136
I am a professional software developer working for Union Pacific Railroad, and I have been been benefiting from a free and open internet for my entire life. The internet has always been my primary source for quickly learning new skills and hobbies. I use the internet at least 10 hours per day, and I am very worried about

special interest groups or other corporate entities using money to indirectly censor free speech with these new rules! Without the internet, I would not be working in my current career which has afforded my entire livelihood. The most unique and important thing about the internet is that it provides freedom of information for its users. This trait is the bedrock of the entire online community - open source software, access to free tools, and the shared knowledge of all humans. Weakening net neutrality regulations gives big cable companies too much power to control information. Furthermore, if an open utilities market existed, attacks on net neutrality would be less of a concern. Cable companies wouldn't be able to take advantage of net neutrality because consumers would be able to change their service to competitors. Unfortunately, the idea that American consumers have choices with cable and internet utilities is a complete and utter sham. Consumers are forced to deal with terrible customer service and bad billing practices, and we are hostage to whatever shady practices these companies employ. Just look up customer service scores for any big market ISP - Comcast, Charter, Cox, etc. Consumers would not willingly stay with companies like this unless they were forced to do so. It's imperative that the FCC steps in to protect American consumers and represent our interests against autocratic ISP companies. The future of the internet and equal information sharing depends on it!

1461. Cass Schofield, OMAHA, NE, 68127
The internet would suffer without net neutrality. Plain and simple.
1462. Matthew, Omaha, NE, 68116
Keep the internet open! We're already pissed about enough things already, we don't need to keep worrying about these shitty bills trying to be passed.
1463. Jose Medina, Omaha, NE, 68107
Keep the internet open to everyone! we already have big corp pockets in everything keep something and maybe the the last thing on this earth free, Open and neutral! FCC do not let big spenders and bidders run the internet. -Thanks!
1464. Kathleen M Johnson, Omaha, NE, 68116
Hello, Maintaining NET Neutrality is of utmost importance. Don't give in to pressure of big corporations wanting to be bullies and powermongers online. You would be inflicting a grave injustice upon your constituents and the citizens of the United States. Sincerely, Kate Johnson, U.S. Citizen Kathleen M Johnson
1465. Ben Lyons, omaha, NE, 68108
What ever happened to being a country that you could do your own thing in? Why are you trying to ruin the day-to-day of countless millions of people because there is money involved. Let the internet stay neutral, I shouldn't have

to wait for my favorite websites because they didn't give enough money to COX Communications this month. I pay COX for internet, at speeds that I agree to. That should be the end of it. There is no logical reason to allow more bottlenecks in the name of greed, and that's all this is really about.

1466. Karan Bhargava, Omaha, NE, 68154

I am strongly against stopping Net Neutrality. American economy is built on capitalistic ideals that hold back against monopolistic powers. The spirit of America as well as free speech is dependent on companies having no sway on whether certain websites have greater accessibility than others. Please keep net neutrality.

1467. stephanie prine, Waterloo, NE, 68069

I want net neutrality under title two because it's important to be able to access all parts of the internet at the same speed without having to pay more for certain sites. It is important that net neutrality stays the same because of small business owners like me. If it was to be repealed I wouldn't be able to afford to pay the internet service providers to allow faster speeds to my website.

1468. Stephen M Pattrin IV, Omaha, NE, 68127

Please keep net neutrality. It is an important freedom for me, an American citizen.

1469. Scott Gibbins, Papillion, NE, 68046

Net neutrality under Title II is important and valuable, and SHOULD be maintained such that corporations cannot monopolize information and its availability.

1470. Andrew Hale, Omaha, NE, 68138

Do not remove the regulations ensuring a free and open internet. As both a consumer and someone who operates a growing small business that relies on the internet, anything that will jeopardize the neutrality of internet traffic directly hurts myself and my family.

1471. William Panec, La Vista, NE, 68128

As a citizen and a web developer, the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are not only a threat against my freedom to choose goods and services, but against my livelihood. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies AND consumers that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Throttling by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This is not only anti-free market, this is anti-consumer. It hurts all internet users and stifles

the competition and innovation that made this nation great. The courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. And chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly that. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. Tech startups in Nebraska, now being dubbed the "Silicone Prairie," will face even greater hurdles to becoming successful businesses. The United States should not be another welfare state - corporate or otherwise. Take a stand for the free market against anti-consumer practices. Please support Nebraska voters and industries and publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! William Panec

1472. Christopher Bryant, Omaha, NE, 68114

I only have a single Internet Service Provider to my area. I have no alternative options to make a choice a consumer. This is the case for not only myself but many others. Please act according to the will of the people and the spirit of choice in a free market.

1473. Trevor Burton, Papillion, NE, 68133

It's utterly ridiculous to try and take away the freedom of the internet from us, its users.

1474. Pat Shannon, Omaha, NE, 68154

keep the net free, no speed limit

1475. Kevin Bird, Omaha, NE, 68122

I believe that net neutrality is the only way the internet can work to its fullest potential. This allows every person an equal chance in starting a company and doesn't give large corporations a leg up on a startup company. It is hard enough to compete with these giant companies without having to also overcome speed issues that are manufactured to help the big guy win. I would love to see this issue put to bed and not brought up again. Obviously this is something that would help the big guy and that is why there is so much money pushing for this. I am opposed and I honestly don't know anybody that is in favor of getting rid of net neutrality. Think about who this is benefiting and you will see that this

will hurt our nation if it happens.

1476. Molly Johnson, Omaha, NE, 68132

The internet needs net neutrality because the internet is the great mediator. People from all classes and parts of the world can unite to discuss ideas and share their livelihoods. This ability should not be stunted or blocked by paywalls and ISP's priorities.

1477. Brian Schmidt, Omaha, NE, 68127

I support net neutrality. The internet is a equal opportunity source of information and I'd like to keep it that way. I understand that when I purchase an isp service that I am purchasing a plethora of impartial information from a variety of sources. If this bill goes through we will no longer have impartial information. The information we get will come from the highest bidder which is immoral and will birth corruption. On top of this I feel that I and the rest of the struggling middle class will be overcharged for services we previously had. This is against what America stands for. All Americans should have equal opportunity and reign over the internet. We should not forfeit the rights of the people to line our own pockets.

1478. net neutrality, omaha, NE, 68164

If you take away net neutrality it will deeply impact the lives of almost every american. The ISP's will block popular websites and charge money for people to go on them. This means that american's who don't make as much money will not be able to go on these websites just because the providers want a little extra money. America is the land of the free on many aspects including the internet. Well if you take away net neutrality it won't be so free anymore. Might as well say the land of the privileged because many people won't be able to get onto the most basic of websites because of ISP's overcharging them money to get on these websites. If america is the land of the free then it should allow its citizens to be free on the internet instead of taking this freedom away because the government.

1479. Peter Hospodka, Omaha, NE, 68130

I am against the chairman's plan to reverse the net neutrality rules and I am for support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. I am a professional and a consultant. The internet is critical to my future and to others. The internet is a cornerstone to our growth as a society and economy. It is an engine for innovation, growth, and communication. Allowing it to be broken into fast lanes and compartmentalized would be a critical failure for our future. It would stifle the innovation of the small group to compete with prioritized traffic. It would crush the ability of new technologies and applications to flourish as existing power houses could just outright block your traffic. And it would give ISPs free range to gouge everyone for more money with little to no

incentive to stop. These are all concerns because in the past 10 years, broadband companies have been guilty of: deliberately throttling internet traffic, squeezing customers with arbitrary data caps, misleading consumers about the meaning of "unlimited" internet, giving privileged treatment to companies they own, strong-arming cities to prevent them from giving their residents high-speed internet, and avoiding real competition at all costs. Consumers, small businesses, and all Americans deserve an open internet. I am for support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.

1480. William Butts, Omaha, NE, 68104

Please. Don't screw it all up. Be for the people.

1481. Nathan Heibel, Omaha, NE, 68116

I have made my career designing and building websites. I work for a small company (six full time employees, a part time employee and an intern) that develops websites and mobile applications specifically for the agriculture industry. Our business relies on an open internet where the sites and applications we create are given the same level of priority as Google, Facebook or Netflix. We are not a multibillion dollar tech giant that can pay to speed up our information. We are a perfect example of today's small business, we utilize a fair and equal internet to distribute and process information that helps our customers run their businesses at the speeds they need. Without Net Neutrality, our information is susceptible to being regulated to slower speeds since we can't pay what internet giants can pay for fast lane access. At a slower speed, we are placed at a disadvantage to other companies that are larger and able to afford to pay for priority. Why can't we play on a level playing field?

1482. Net Neutrality, Gretna, NE, 68028

Please stop trying to ruin the Internet leave it alone. At this point, the Internet is a necessity please stop trying to ruin it. SAVE NET NEUTRALITY!!

1483. Peter Hospodka, Omaha, NE, 68130

This is not brief, but is a short list of examples of instances where ISPs have shown that we, the people, need support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. In 2005, North Carolina ISP Madison River Communications blocked the voice-over-internet protocol (VOIP) service Vonage. Vonage filed a complaint with the FCC after receiving a slew of customer complaints. The FCC stepped in to sanction Madison River and prevent further blocking, but it lacks the authority to stop this kind of abuse today. In 2005, the nation's largest ISP, Comcast, began secretly blocking peer-to-peer technologies that its customers were using over its network. Users of services like BitTorrent and Gnutella were unable to connect to these services. 2007 investigations from the Associated Press, the Electronic Frontier Foundation and others confirmed that

Comcast was indeed blocking or slowing file-sharing applications without disclosing this fact to its customers. In 2005, Canada's second-largest telecommunications company, Telus, began blocking access to a server that hosted a website supporting a labor strike against the company. Researchers at Harvard and the University of Toronto found that this action resulted in Telus blocking an additional 766 unrelated sites. From 2007 to 2009, AT&T forced Apple to block Skype and other competing VOIP phone services on the iPhone. The wireless provider wanted to prevent iPhone users from using any application that would allow them to make calls on such "over-the-top" voice services. The Google Voice app received similar treatment from carriers like AT&T when it came on the scene in 2009. In 2010, Windstream Communications, a DSL provider with more than 1 million customers at the time, copped to hijacking user-search queries made using the Google toolbar within Firefox. Users who believed they had set the browser to the search engine of their choice were redirected to Windstream's own search portal and results. In 2011, MetroPCS, at the time one of the top-five U.S. wireless carriers, announced plans to block streaming video over its 4G network from all sources except YouTube. MetroPCS then threw its weight behind Verizon's court challenge against the FCC's 2010 open internet ruling, hoping that rejection of the agency's authority would allow the company to continue its anti-consumer practices. In 2011, the Electronic Frontier Foundation found that several small ISPs were redirecting search queries via the vendor Paxfire. The ISPs identified in the initial Electronic Frontier Foundation report included Cavalier, Cogent, Frontier, Fuse, DirecPC, RCN and Wide Open West. Paxfire would intercept a person's search request at Bing and Yahoo and redirect it to another page. By skipping over the search service's results, the participating ISPs would collect referral fees for delivering users to select websites. From 2011 to 2013, AT&T, Sprint and Verizon blocked Google Wallet, a mobile-payment system that competed with a similar service called Isis, which all three companies had a stake in developing. A 2012 report from the Body of European Regulators for Electronic Communications found that violations of Net Neutrality affected at least one in five users in Europe. The report found that blocked or slowed connections to services like VOIP, peer-to-peer technologies, gaming applications and email were commonplace. In 2012, the FCC caught Verizon Wireless blocking people from using tethering applications on their phones. Verizon had asked Google to remove 11 free tethering applications from the Android marketplace. These applications allowed users to circumvent Verizon's \$20 tethering fee and turn their smartphones into Wi-Fi hot spots. By blocking those applications, Verizon violated a Net Neutrality pledge it made to the FCC as a condition of the 2008 airwaves auction. In 2012, AT&T announced that it would disable the FaceTime video-calling app on its customers' iPhones unless they subscribed to a more expensive text-and-voice plan. AT&T had one goal in mind: separating customers from more of their money by blocking alternatives to AT&T's

own products. During oral arguments in Verizon v. FCC in 2013, judges asked whether the phone giant would favor some preferred services, content or sites over others if the court overruled the agency's existing open internet rules. Verizon counsel Helgi Walker had this to say: "I'm authorized to state from my client today that but for these rules we would be exploring those types of arrangements." Walker's admission might have gone unnoticed had she not repeated it on at least five separate occasions during arguments. History has shown us what ISPs will do when they have little to no competition and no regulation to stop them. I am for support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.

1484. Karlie Schaphorst, Omaha, NE, 68130

The internet should not be dictated by those that have wealth. It is the only platform where ALL parts are nearly equal. Let's keep it this way.

1485. Mitchell Markin, Omaha, NE, 68130

When I sign up for internet service, I expect the provider to connect me to whatever I'm trying to connect to at the speed I paid for. That's it. I don't care if they throw in a bunch of other services, I'm not gonna use them. When I want to play games with friends scattered around the country, I expect to be able to do so and not have to worry about someone's ISP making the game unplayable for them because of high latency or being flat out blocked. It ruins the fun for everyone and makes people feel like they just wasted their money on something that won't work as expected because an ISP decided to interfere with the communication somewhere along the line. If I tried to connect with the same people through the telephone, I know I wouldn't have to worry about a phone company intentionally interfering with the call quality or blocking me from calling certain numbers, so why should I suddenly have to worry about that kind of situation arising on the internet?

1486. Dawaune Lamont Hayes, Omaha, NE, 68105

The Internet is the lifeline to the future. This valuable and powerful resource must remain free and open so that all people have access to all information. ISPs should not have the ability to control, manipulate, or abuse a major means of communication such as the Internet. Protect Net Neutral and Freedom.

1487. Michael Bradley Coalson, Omaha, NE, 68135

I fully support the free and open internet. Net neutrality is a central part of the most important invention in decades. I would prefer our government not continue to listen to lobbying from wealthy individuals and corporations.

1488. Brandon, Papillion, NE, 68046

The FCC's while proven to constantly overstep their authority have created the Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) which are extremely important to me.

I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Brandon

1489. Arnulfo Hermes, Omaha, NE, 68102

I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please keep the internet free from monopolies, duopolies, and greed.

1490. Peter Wright, Papillion, NE, 68046

The FCC needs to stand up for the people who make these web/phone companies run and look out for the best interests of the people rather than the almighty dollar. Without a mass user base, companies like Time Warner Cable, Comcast, AT&T and several others wouldn't exist.

1491. Tim, Omaha, NE, 68112

Please stop this! Tim

1492. Craig Scott Howard, Omaha, NE, 68127

Leave them regulated.

1493. Cynthia Pierce, Omaha, NE, 68134
Net neutrality needs to stay. We need to protect the openness of the internet. If net neutrality ends, it will be further proof of the conjoined nature of business and government.
1494. Christopher Figgins, Omaha, NE, 68154
There is no reason why we should have regulations on a thing such as the internet.
1495. Molly Shirazi, Omaha, NE, 68137
I am for keeping net neutrality. Not only for myself but for the future. Working as a public school teacher, I see it first hand how hard parents struggle day by day to give their children the basic necessities needed in life. Access to the internet shouldn't be something they have to worry about. While yes, there are sites out there that aren't okay to have young minds look at, there's also many that I myself wouldn't be willing to pay for or continue to search for due to prioritization that would be beneficial to students' learning.
1496. Riley Wilson, Omaha, NE, 68102
Net neutrality ensures that all individuals with access to the internet have free and open ability to view and search what they please without speed restrictions. The FCC should be guarding all Americans' rights to continue accessing the internet without restriction.
1497. John Blackwood, Omaha, NE, 68127
I am writing to convey my support for Net Neutrality and the ongoing classification of ISPs as common carriers to protect the freedom and equality that currently exists on the internet and enables it to be so innovative. ISPs such as Verizon, AT&T, Comcast, and more want to secure as many possible avenues for additional revenue as they can in the face of cable and phone cancellations that are hurting their dated business model. Having laws changed to have your business stay relevant is downright unamerican and goes against the free market. ISPs will claim that the title II classification stifles innovation by putting too many restrictions on how the ISPs can perform their service. As the gatekeepers to the most important resource we have ever built, with monopolies in most existing markets, restrictions and oversight with harsh penalties is exactly what we need. Paid prioritization is something we have already seen with a few carriers prior to their classification as title II. Their assertions that they won't throttle connections was false before it was even said. The officers of these corporations are legally beholden to pursue any and all avenues of profit. if they are allowed to, it WILL happen. If additional costs are incurred for online service, it will become less accessible to everyone, both providers and consumers of content. This will drown out smaller businesses that pursue riskier ventures and reduce the consumers available for all content. Even

if the business could afford the extra costs, their demographic may not be able to. This will push the innovators(read, smaller business owners) to areas where protections for them are upheld, outside of the country if necessary, in order to operate. We cannot allow that to happen. ISPs are asking for too much when they already have so much. People need to be protected, not major corporations. John Blackwood

1498. Trevor Carlsen, Omaha, NE, 68106

The internet, like every other source of information and communication available to the public, needs to be kept as unrestricted and open as is reasonable. Moving to filter and control it purely for the benefit of company's profits is not a reasonable course.

1499. Edwin Blauvelt Jonie Stitt Throne Balche Leigh Christensen John Christensen Margrate Hardy Karl Hardy Josh Hardy Joel Jones, Omaha, NE, 68116
The internet was Created to be FREE and Let INFORMATION FLOW FREELY!!

1500. Chloe Fowler, Omaha, NE, 68106

The internet is almost always a paid thing to access. Our autonomy is something we do not have to pay for, but internet autonomy is. We PAY MONEY TO WATCH AND READ AND DISCOVER WHAT WE WANT. I highly demand that there is no higher paying to access whatever it is we want, and let me just say and remind you that whatever hypocritical rules you have for this, it has to remain the same across all platforms, phones, tablets, computers, etc.

1501. Joshua, Omaha, NE, 68114

I support net neutrality. Please do not allow TWC, COX, or any other ISP to have ownership on what could be considered a First Amendment right of free speech.

1502. Joseph A Gazy, Omaha, NE, 68144

The internet is about the freedom of information, if the internet become regulated under laws/or rules of governments/companies there just might be a chunk of users that go to more independent Interconnected networks like "Hyperboria" or just stop using all together.

1503. Denise Brady, Omaha, NE, 68104

The internet must not be sold to the highest bidder. Keep access equal for all. This is important to free speech and democracy.

1504. Zachary M Penton, Omaha, NE, 68104

The internet needs to remain a platform in which information is shared freely. When I purchase access to the internet, I do not want to be purchasing a view through a company's lens. I want to view the internet and experience it the way

I would like, NOT how a company would like me to experience. Net Neutrality should remain in effect. Companies should not have control of it.

1505. Net Neutrality, Omaha, NE, 68106

Some things should not be left to the people and companies which stand to make a profit and a free and open internet is one of them. Net Neutrality should not be rolled back or adjusted. It'll create a system of haves and have-nots when it comes to the internet and that will be determined by largest checkbook.

1506. Monica and Mark London, Omaha, NE, 68124

We wanted to voice our support for free and open internet for all Americans and our rights to privacy and choice in our use of websites and apps. Please keep NET neutrality protections in place for the benefit of all.

1507. Michelle McMahan, Omaha, NE, 68135

Please preserve the current net neutrality laws. My concerns are over privacy, speed of service, pricing of service, and what could amount to ISPs controlling what consumers see in their internet searches. Ready access to all information on the web is one of the great things about the internet, and is a great thing about living in a democracy. Thank you.

1508. Shawn Penny, Omaha, NE, 68154

In Omaha when you want broadband access at an Apartment building we only have 1 ISP you can go to, there are no other choices and in many areas trying to get internet access through a satellite service is either impossible or not stable. Internet access needs to remain at Title II classification, companies who hold a stranglehold over an area should not be allowed to charge exorbitant amounts for access to one of the most advanced technologies of our time, the good that can be done over the internet is something that needs to be accessible to all to protect our Freedom of Speech and not allow political ideologies of a company start to dictate what can or cannot be accessed on the public forum of the internet. Reversing the decision will only allow companies controlling the access to do as they please and if you would do actual research, the classification change did nothing to hurt the industry, and putting it back will not help growth as any startup company will be immediately smothered by the large corporations already controlling areas of the US.

1509. Greg Gerke, La Vista, NE, 68128

Without net neutrality in place the Internet as we know it will cease to exist. I realize that the current administration would prefer to side with big Internet providers rather than consumers but net neutrality must stay in place to keep all traffic on a level playing field. Let the market decide who is preferred, not the largest ISPs with the deepest pockets. Greg Gerke

1510. Will Pereira, Omaha, NE, 68137

Net neutrality is a key for freedom. If it was taken away, big companies and those in power could create an internet where only their views and opinions are seen or heard. They would only see it as another way to control the people. Do not let them take away any of our freedoms.

1511. Zachariah James McVay, Omaha, NE, 68154

I believe that all people should have the right to view content and access information freely, without the possibility of censorship or interference from Internet Service Providers. The internet, as a platform for the quickly and easily sharing of information and ideas, is an imperative part of our ongoing growth as a country. To deny people access to certain parts of the internet or delay a person's ability to access information should not be legal.

1512. Chance, Omaha, NE, 68130

I recently heard about the Net Neutrality possibly coming to an end which is beyond bizarre. The internet provides jobs for so many people and gives everyone a way to connect with or even meet new friends. If Net Neutrality goes away then you are taking a lot of our online freedom and handing it to Comcast or Cox. One of these Internet Service Providers could just say "I don't like Instagram or Facebook so lets make it cost money to access". Just right there a company may have gone out of business. I beg you, please keep Net Neutrality

1513. Rubi Perez Tejeda, Omaha, NE, 68131

I ask that the FCC provide free internet access for the U.S. because we deserve to have knowledge at our hands. I like having the ability to search something on Google and getting as many results as possible. I like that I can inform myself via the internet, although there is much trash, but still I have the liberty to do my research. In order for the population to be informed, on way of communication is free access to the internet. I also like that I can video call my Grandma (who lives in Mexico) through Facebook, and I do not wish to have that taken away from me. Although I do very much enjoy using Amazon and Ebay, I also like using other apps and websites that sell products. Other people need to succeed in their small businesses too, and I support them. The open internet has helped me learn more about a lot of things, including my surroundings, things about the world, and how our democracy works. It has definitely helped me in my years of college, too. It is beneficial to me, and I am sure that it is beneficial to many others. Thank you for your time.

1514. Ethan Tiernan-Lang, Omaha, NE, 68124

Giving ISP's the ability to control what websites and apps we, the people use, is wrong. The internet has been working fine for over 30 years, there is absolutely no need to start messing with things now. Especially if the only reason to change it, is to make more off of the people that have made you billions already.

1515. Brandon Kurtz, Papillion, NE, 68046
Removing Net Neutrality allows ISPs to control if they want to throttle a connection or not to a website. This forces major websites to pay large amounts of money to have a good connection to all their users through all ISPs, which is really bad because if the little guys want to have a good connection they also have to pay a large sum of money and some can't afford to do that. The Internet is a resource that allows start ups or small businesses to get themselves out there, but if they have to pay more money to get a decent connection so people actually want to go to their website they may not be able to advertise their business and causes them to fail. Please do not remove Net Neutrality as it helps the big ISPs get bigger and forces the small businesses and consumers to get smashed under their feet.
1516. JP Duryeaa, Omaha, NE, 68164
Many people make a living off what the internet is now, Don't dramatically change a whole industry.
1517. Cathy Tibbels, Omaha, NE, 68116
Net neutrality is essential to a free society. You should NOT allow service providers to determine how, when, or why citizens use the internet. We should be free to choose.
1518. Colin Worley, La Vista, NE, 68128
Net Neutrality is a Must.
1519. Reid Rise, Omaha, NE, 68106
I don't want this bill to strangle startups on the internet that could compete with larger companies. By allowing this bill to pass we will lose so much potential economically.
1520. Mark Mori, Omaha, NE, 68104
Net neutrality is extremely important for all Americans. Please don't inhibit people from search and learning about any information they choose and keep the Net free and open to all.
1521. Nora Stibbs, Omaha, NE, 68114
Dear FCC members, I am highly concerned regarding the changes to the net neutrality rules. Repealing such rules can have a negative effect for many, including myself. The internet has been vital for me both regarding my social life and my education. Many others have incredibly important reasons why net neutrality rules should be in place. Thank you for taking the time to read my comment.
1522. Gabe Owens, Omaha, NE, 68102
As a software engineer, my career depends on the ability to quickly resolve

problems through solutions found around the internet. Without Net Neutrality, my production of new software, as well as fixing existing software, will be greatly hindered which puts my job at risk. Critical applications that my company depends on could become limited or unavailable completely, which puts my company at risk. Without the oversight that Net Neutrality provides, we are leaving a large, critical aspect of every american's life in the hands of some of the worst rated companies in these United States. The constitution enables the government to promote the general welfare of its people. Like water and electricity, the Internet has become a key resource that does just that. Please, think of the citizens of this great nation and protect Net Neutrality.

1523. Josiah R. Keesee, Omaha, NE, 68110
America is the land of the free. free to decide where we want to go on the internet.
1524. Internet Neutrality, Omaha, NE, 68116
INTERNET NEUTRALITY IS A GOOD THING! KEEP IT
1525. David Clark, Omaha, NE, 68116
The internet needs to be open like it is currently, it allows for people to spread and share ideas at a continuous rate and gives every start up anything a chance at making its way into the world. Protect Net Neutrality.
1526. Anne Thompson, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
Save Net Neutrality!!! It's fair and right and good for the people. Please stand up for the people. Thank you! Anne Thompson
1527. John Brown, Gretna, NE, 68028
Why should the ISPs be able to tell me where I can go on the internet and where I can't? Plus there are so many small businesses that need net neutrality in order to be able to compete.
1528. Jeff Beisch, Omaha, NE, 68164
The internet and internet access must remain equal for everyone regardless of class or income if we are to remain a country that produces innovation and ensure our children grow up educated. Without equal access to the internet and its resources without being able to "pay" for them or allow them to be censored by a provider would be devastating to many non-entertainment aspects of the national community. Just as it would be considered ludicrous to tell someone that would phone their family every day and to talk for hours, that, because they do this, they can only talk to them for minutes or only call them every other day, the same holds true for internet access. Please FCC, maintain net neutrality.
1529. Isaac Kleven, Papillion, NE, 68046

I understand the FCC is planning on changing net neutrality rules to give ISPs the power to control how consumers use the internet. This legislation would harm small business owners, entrepreneurs, innovators, and consumers. Allowing the very few major ISP companies to throttle down access to potential competitors, opposing viewpoints, or charge more for better connections do not help anyone but those large companies. Any excess revenue for the telecom companies by charging for top-tier connections will also impact the consumer as those costs are passed through. Please keep internet access and speed protected for all of us consumers, entrepreneurs, and little guys in general and do not allow Paid Prioritization, Blocking, or Throttling of the internet. Sincerely, Isaac Kleven

1530. Chris Merkel, Omaha, NE, 68144

Taking away the concept of net neutrality would decimate our rapidly expanding online workforce and economy. Anyone who wants to can try and start a life using the internet and computers and for many people it has worked. This has allowed them to contribute money they otherwise wouldn't have back into the local economy. Taking this away only hurts the little guys and just makes the rich richer please take our consideration and do not repeal net neutrality.

1531. Anthony Mansfield, Omaha, NE, 68107

How could it be possible that any government official would put money and businesses over its people.

1532. Andrew Buttner, Omaha, NE, 68116

Shouldn't you be more concerned with working with the FBI and other investigative bodies to fight cyber crime and increase citizen security on the internet instead of trying to give ISP's the power to dictate what content is available to see on the web? The internet does not need private companies controlling content and data flow across the web. Removal of net neutrality will also give wealthy private citizens to power to manipulate what people can see on the web. Do your job and fight real issues on the internet such as cyber crime and the spreading of information meant to inspire terrorism.

1533. Rebecca Hrdy, Omaha, NE, 68104

Do not rescind the regulations preventing ISP providers from metering internet access or tampering with access to the internet in any way.

1534. Nicholas Yule, Papillion, NE, 68046

Fuck off with this shit about getting rid of net neutrality. At the very least you should know that Americans, some of the most freedom obsessed people on God's green earth are not going to be okay with something that is blatantly allowing the "Big Business" to control their access to literally the best invention

of all fucking time. You can't lock us out of the human hive mind you dickwads, so stop fucking trying

1535. Shelbi Kyler, Omaha, NE, 68102

To me, Net Neutrality means being free to believe in what I want and finding other people who believe in the same things. It means finding answers to questions I'm too embarrassed/afraid/ashamed to ask anybody else. Net Neutrality is fundamentally important to equality and freedom of speech. Restricting the internet would violate the very principles this country was founded on. Restricting will inevitably cause isolation of individuals who have questions that will now go unanswered. I want my children to feel free, in the land of the brave. How can we feel brave and empowered when so much of what created bravery is freedom of speech, and that will be restricted?

1536. Hunter Mason, Omaha, NE, 68136

Rolling back net neutrality will ruin the internet as a service for the paying public. Giving ISPs the ability to give paid prioritization or block certain websites can easily be abused in way that completely block our freedom on the internet.

1537. Kenneth Mancini, Omaha, NE, 68130

the internet was made to help people get information, not for companies to exploit them

1538. William Keller, Omaha, NE, 68137

Net neutrality is important to me because I spend all day outside of school on the internet. I play video games that are dependent on a server I run. That server needs the same rights as the servers of people who could supposedly pay for the "fast lane". I am not significant in any way of looking at me but enough people like me affected by this would be a huge deal, and it is a huge deal. I have the internet to play video games, and host servers for my friends. I use it to look up information for school or for fun. I watch videos on services like YouTube and Netflix. The reason for having the internet here heavily relies on all of the services I use to have the same internet speeds as those I don't. By your definition of broadband (25Mbps down and 3Mbps up) It's somewhat difficult for me to do what I need to do on a regular basis. I stream a lot of video and so do other people in the house and that takes a lot of download speed. Also hosting servers takes upload and download speeds, for both me and the people connecting. I don't want to be restricted by the services I buy needing to pay extra for higher speed internet. They should be able to acquire what they need without needing to pay to remove roadblocks in the network. I believe that the FCC should be making sure that ISPs are not trying to control everything we do or invading our privacy. I want protection, to feel safe that my ISP or the ISP of a service I use isn't going to be discriminating against people because we don't

have the resources to remove their restrictions on us. We need a safe internet where everyone is treated fairly and isn't being restricted by big cable. So please keep the protections we need in place, don't let the big companies control our lives.

1539. Ivan Chanes, Papillion, NE, 68046

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. I would like to see you preserve net neutrality and Title 2.

1540. daniel wieger, Omaha, NE, 68130

Net neutrality is important because it is the only thing currently free. The news, health and government are bought out by money and we do not get to see the real truth due to sponsors paying for what they want us to see or hear.

1541. Nathan Petersen, Papillion, NE, 68046

Doing this hurts the consumers, and helps the 1%. Please do not get rid of net neutrality, it is unfair to everyone, for me, it would hurt because I'm going to college soon, and i'm sure some of my classes will be online. As a college student, I won't be able to afford ridiculous premiums for basic websites. Also, this will only encourage rebellion, people will not put up with this and we will find a way around it. Passing this law only proves that the government is controlled by large corporations, and that's not what America needs. Net neutrality is a right, do not take it away from us. Nathan Petersen

1542. William Hubbard, Omaha, NE, 68127

Net Neutrality is important for end-users as well as small business. Removal will crush competition and promote monopolization of media.

1543. Georg Link, Omaha, NE, 68104

To whom it may concern. At home, we have the most expensive broadband-only subscription with our local cable company, COX. Our neighborhood is older and we do not get the maximum speed that we pay for but we still pay for the largest plan, because we use the internet a lot and consume much data. We have six adults living in one house, all of whom enjoy Netflix and other streaming services, play video games with regular large updates, connect more than 20 internet devices at once. If one of our computers dies, we have to re-download our Steam library which is several gigabytes of data. Syncing our Google Drive, OneDrive, Dropbox, or Box folders can eat up hundreds of gigabytes in a short amount of time. I am a PhD student and connect via VPN and remote desktop to research devices at the University and the unthrottled internet is what enables me to have a work-life balance where I don't have to drive to the University campus for every step of a research process. My research involves open source communities and I see the creativity of the thousands of people who volunteer their time to develop software that everyone can use for

free. These online communities are self-organizing and rely on an open internet. Going back to our internet usage at home, despite paying for the largest available broadband internet access, our ISP, COX, regularly reminds us that we exceed some arbitrary data volume, (it might be a Terrabyte) in less than a month, which I am not surprised by based on the number of people in our house and their modern use of technology. COX already expressed the intention to charge us additional fees for being a heavy user (or throttling our connection speed?). I am afraid, that in the absence of net neutrality, we can not continue to participate in the modern lifestyle the same way because we might see cuts to the internet service provided to us as well as cuts to the services we have access to through the internet. In my opinion, a modern infrastructure includes running water, sewage, electricity, and unlimited high-speed broadband internet. This extends from the connection at home to my cellphone plan which I use when travelling to connect my laptop via my own hotspot to the internet and work as if I was at home. I wish for the net neutrality principle to remain strong and everlasting.

1544. Khristy P, Gretna, NE, 68028

You should preserve net neutrality.

1545. Alexa, Gretna, NE, 68028

I support title II. Please keep net neutrality the way it is right now.

1546. Alex Adkins, Omaha, NE, 68106

I oppose any proposed change that prevents the internet from being a free and open structure and that places too much power in the hands of a few corporations.

1547. Dennis Mark Casteel, Omaha, NE, 68164

The role of Internet Service Providers (ISP's) is to provide access to the internet. This service is provided for a cost that is paid for by the consumer. This paid service should allow the consumer to have equal access to any site they want to visit without differences in speed that is controlled by the service provider. The role of the FCC should be to oversee the internet and internet service providers to ensure that consumers are protected.

1548. Dylan Stone, Omaha, NE, 68104

The internet needs to be kept the way it is we should not limit what we can see we should not limit what we can do this is a breach of privacy and also a breach of Freedom this is non-constitutional and should be declined the 99% do not want this to happen it is only the 1% that wants to use this to gain money from us and also to promote their own products please Federal Communications Commission of the government let our voice be heard that no one wants this to happen think of your children in the future and think of your current family they

are not worth NE paycheck that you can get from these companies

1549. Jessica Zeran, Omaha, NE, 68144

Dear FCC Commission, I am speaking to you from the position of a consumer who has gone from financially well off to financially struggling. I am speaking to you from the position of a consumer who spends her spare time playing video games and enjoying small-time websites like aywas.com. I am speaking to you from the position of a consumer who has the opportunity provide art commissions as a second way of income or stream video games individually and with other streamer friends for fun and for income. My letter to you all is long. I will not provide a summary at the end because this is important enough that I believe it should be read thoroughly despite any mistakes I miss. At the end of this letter is a resolution. An idea that is passed around me and my coworkers a lot. I hope this letter helps to keep our internet open and free just the way it was meant to be. Net neutrality has been the sole reason for why the internet has come so far. We can buy goods and services through websites like etsy, society6, amazon, and patreon. We can play games made by big companies and small companies alike. We can use the internet to find anything and everything we want. As far as I have researched and understood the new plan to demolish net neutrality, everything that I and my friends love will be hard to access if not disappears completely. As an individual, I am scared about the loss of the freedom of the internet. What will happen to small producers and creatives? What will happen to my connection to online games I love to play (Overwatch, Primal Carnage: Extinction, TF2?) What will happen to my favorite streams I watch to pass time while I eat (twitch and youtube streamers?) Since I use a program called discord that isn't produced by a huge company, will I have to pay extra through my ISP just to use the program so that I can speak to my friends across the country? I am scared that my only downtime I have between my days at work will no longer be filled with talking to 6 of my friends without delay through the internet while we play games together. I am scared that websites I could use to produce art and sell it will all but disappear and reduce any chance of people seeing my art. As a consumer, I am afraid that the internet I love and already pay \$80 a month for will become useless to use. I am afraid that I will have to pay more just to enjoy the only luxury I can afford. If a company doesn't pay to have their services preferred, then I will have to pay an extra fee to use small-time websites. These fees that ISPs could apply probably will not hurt large companies too much, but our small companies that are family owned or individually owned will be ruined. Their consumers will not be able to access their services and can either force them into bankruptcy or force them to pay the fees and ask consumers to pay MORE for their service. Looking at a consumer point of view, this would be horrible all-around. It shouldn't be any question that the average US citizen does NOT make enough to live on their own in this country. One of the biggest reasons why I moved from Florida to Nebraska was not just to move in with my

beloved of 6 years but to avoid the extremely high cost of living in that state. My income is only \$1,500 less than what I made in Florida but I am paying almost half to live in Nebraska. Even then, I am paying for the only decent internet provider out here, paying my own phone bill, supporting a dog and 5 adorable lizards, paying for car insurance, paying for my own dental and medical work, and so on. I am already paying for so much. With net neutrality gone, I could lose the main things I have to relax and forget my anxieties on top of having to pay more to access them. We could see the death of the internet as it is and watch as big companies overrun and produce monopolies. Now I speak to you from the position of a teacher. I teach at-risk students in Nebraska. These are students who have horrible lives at home, drugs problems, IEPs, 504s, and more. These students more often than not have it worse than I do when it comes to money. Many rely on their parents for everything and because of that, they are far more often to have mental instability. Just like me, they rely on the internet to escape for a time from the stress of their life. Many do not have the internet at home as it is even though it is practically a necessity to have in this day and age. For those that do have it, their parents may be forced to remove their ISP from their bills altogether just to provide basic needs. Considering our district provides laptops for our students as a part of our mission to incorporate technology into education, this could make education so much harder for them. Without that one getaway, students risk facing far more severe reactions with their instabilities. I worry about them every day and to think that some of them could lose their phones or internet (since a lot of companies require phones and internet as a package) makes me feel sick to my stomach. These are kids who can fall off the deep end because of one thing and losing a security blanket like a phone or the internet would be just enough. I've already seen it happen. Net neutrality also plays a huge part in research. I am a science teacher and teach biology and astronomy. I like to use video clip resources and ask my students to research freely to teach them how to find legitimate and reliable resources. We also have a time crunch for each lesson. If my students are trying to research material, but they have to wait 5 minutes for a website to load to find out if it is reliable or not, then that makes my lesson that much harder to work with. My students can get easily frustrated, distracted, and so on. A small thing like longer loading times because of the loss of net neutrality will make it difficult for education to push this desire for using technology in the classroom. On top of that, the message it sends to our students is downright horrible. It tells them that you can't make anything of yourself unless you play with the big boys. The big companies. It boosts the huge monopolies that are already playing on the field and pushes aside the small individual companies like water boys who just want to have a chance. What kind of capitlist country are we to smother the little guy? What kind fo country are we to keep taking away that few good things that keep so many of us sane because that's how we grew up. As a personal addition for the above paragraph, I had some rough stuff happening in my childhood. Yelling, screaming, and a drug-addicted sibling were all I knew

until 10th grade. I was lucky enough to have the internet to let me escape from the problems I couldn't help solve. I already played with friends and went to other friends' homes to spend weekends but on school days when I had homework and all I heard was my family yelling, I had the internet. I used a small web forum, the name of which is lost on my 14-year old self, to speak about my woes. I had people reply, albiet it took a few days, and help me think of my situation differently. They suggested I talk to my parents about how I felt and eventually I took that advice. I had to stand up during a large argument that ultimately opened my family's eyes to the effects it had on me. Next thing I knew, my sibling was in rehap in another state and I was seeing a professional therapist to track the damage. No one else was helping me at the time. School didn't do anything about it because I kept it bottled up. My friends were trying to keep me away from it as much as possible. If I had not found that small web forum, which was directed at teens asking for help from other teens, then I don't know if I ever would have stood up to my family. Clearly, the internet had a small role in the improvement of my life and continues to do so. I have met so many wonderful lifetime friends and learned so much. Despite what the older generations think, technology is not bad and should not be controlled. America is about letting the individual do what they can to bring themselves into the world. If the internet is truly that big of a concern, then do what teachers are practically begging for: required educational classes the revolve around internet citizenship and proper use. When I was in high school (class of 2009,) iPhones were an adult-only luxury. We did not have a wonderful connection to the internet like we do now without paying outrageously for it. I grew up in schools where administration and teachers were allowed to take my electronics and parents could not get schools in trouble for taking devices. Now, I am stuck with 2 years of experience in two states where taking a student's phone was allowed on paper but not in action. I cannot take a student's phone from them without the parents getting angry and administration pulling me aside only to explain that parents have a say in what happens. I am currently working in a school where the parents aren't in the picture or do not have control. I work with students who have no idea how to properly present themselves online and have no idea what the effects of their anonymous actions can cause. If concerns are about the internet and how it ACTS, then maybe focus on the upcoming generation. Give us a required course that teaches kids how they must act online, how to avoid the bad side of the internet, and so on. Teach them what it means to be a model citizen through anonymity and teach them humility through these courses. Teach them why it is good to buy from companies instead of steal digital goods. Teach them why pirating is harmful to the economy and how that affects consumers. If we just take away the free internet, our upcoming generations are only going to find ways to circumvent the control. Show a kid how to obtain a video game and he can play for a year, but teach a kid how to buy it properly and he can provide further financial support for a lifetime. That and a raise to the minimum wage gives us more money to

spend and, honestly, I would love to have more than a couple thousand in my bank account. I can't buy a new car at this rate. Sincerely, A very tired, 26-year-old teacher named Jessica Zeran. God Bless the United States and God bless a free, open internet.

1550. Michael Saklar, Omaha, NE, 68127

Internet neutrality is an integral part of our free society in as many ways as helping small business vs. corporate monopolies to basic free speech and unfiltered thinking. I strongly oppose the repeal of such in the best interest of our nation moving forward.

1551. Opposition, Omaha, NE, 68118

The internet is an essential part of American commerce, trade, and education. Allowing Internet service providers to divide it up hurts every aspect of the American economy.

1552. Andrea Hanlon, Gretna, NE, 68028

An open internet is essential for the success of small businesses and free speech

1553. jackson, Omaha, NE, 68132

this is taking away freedom on the internet its not how america should be
jackson

1554. Michael Hostler, papillion, NE, 68046

It is my firm belief that the internet communications should not be throttled, nor blocked, nor parsed in any way. That communication and the sharing of information in a free environment is key to the development of our selves in the digital age. Any attempt to do so for the gain of money is simple greed and not in the interest in the nation.

1555. Taliesyn Lewis, Omaha, NE, 68132

Dear FCC / Congress, My name is Taliesin, and I am 100% against the end of Net Neutrality. I am aware that I had already sent an email, but I felt that I should type up another one since I had stuck with the default letter pasted in on this site. I believe that Net Neutrality should remain active because removing it only benefits the big CEO's of companies who want to steal the hard earned money out of American pockets. I have been using the Internet practically my whole life as a resource to let me be connected with friends, and other people who have meant so much to me in my life. Without this godlike resource that we have spent years crafting and perfecting, I would just be lonely with no out of school social life. The Internet has enabled me to stay connected with dearest friends that had to move out of state, too far away to plan any visit easily. With the Internet it's as if we lived next door. Ive been able to form bonds with people I never could have guessed existed, who have made my life eons better. What the end of Net Neutrality would mean for me, is a loss of what means

most to me. Friends. I'm not going to risk having an otherwise easy means of communication with my Friends who I would have never formed such a strong bond with become another medium of Monetary gain. I hope you will find it in your heart that what we have in this day and age is capable of bringing the loneliest of people to a place where no matter who they are, they can find a place to be welcomed and treated as any other human being on this planet. At relatively no cost. Please, keep it that way. While I hope there is a human seeing this email, it could easily be overlooked and not read. But if it is, take my voice along with the hundreds of thousands of others. Sincerely, Taliesyn Idris Lewis. Taliesyn Lewis

1556. Steve Melkus, Omaha, NE, 68104

I believe in an open internet and want my government to ensure the ever-growing power of the largest ISPs do not take advantage of customers and small entities trying to grow on the internet. The internet needs access just like water or power.

1557. Nathan Randolph, Omaha, NE, 68114

The internet is fine as it is, if you allow isp's to control content that is exactly what they will do and they will no longer be a provider, they will then be in complete control of it. This is ridiculous to even consider doing this. The isp's are not hurting for money i assure you. They do not need 1 more way to screw the consumer out of more money which is exactly what will happen as someone has to absorb the cost of the isp's charging different services extra money. The internet does not belong to them, unfortunately for us they have laid down the equipment necessary for us all to reach each other. I could see things like this in the long run being the downfall to the isp's and not helping them at all.

1558. Mary Fulton, Omaha, NE, 68134

I am Chief Technical Officer of a small business. We need to preserve net neutrality in order to remain competitive. This effects jobs as most people work for a small business.

1559. Amy Brockman , Lavista , NE, 68128

The internet is where so much of my business is done. If net neutrality is gone I would not be able to work as effectively or efficiently. I would lose business.

1560. Arnoldo Moran, Omaha, NE, 68136

Let's keep freedom. Represent the people who voted you in and don't be a corrupt politician

1561. CM, Omaha, NE, 68131

If I choose to remain anonymous on the internet. I should have that option.

1562. Sharon Littrell, Omaha, NE, 68105

Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Net neutrality protect small businesses and innovators who are just getting started. Consumers and NOT BIG COMPANIES must be allowed to choose what they watch and do on line. etc, etc, etc!

1563. Christine Toh, Papillion, NE, 68046
Net Neutrality lets me make choices in what media I consume. Allowing big corporations to dictate what we see is short sighted and will encourage monopoly.
1564. Ryan Huckins, Omaha, NE, 68136
As a father, and a concerned citizen for the future of our species, net neutrality must be protected if we hope to endure. Freedom enables creativity; the chains of control perpetually fall on the wrong side of history.
1565. Daniel Schuncke, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
Net neutrality is a pillar of freedom of speech in the information era. As free people, we have a right to access the knowledge of the internet without interference from corporate interests. Prove to us that you are not some pawn for big business.
1566. Christian Cimino, Omaha, NE, 68114
The internet has always been open and free. That is the way it is meant to be, for the sake of our people and our country. Protect net neutrality.
1567. Matt, Omaha, NE, 68132
I am paying for access to the internet. I do not want to pay again for which sites I have access to. The internet should be free and open for everybody.
1568. Gillian Howell, Omaha, NE, 68164
The telecom companies profit enough. There is no reason to do away with net neutrality. Another move based on GREED!
1569. Nathan, Omaha, NE, 68136
The internet has done more to bring humanity together than any other invention. Don't **** it up for future generations.
1570. Andrew Neneman, Omaha, NE, 68136
Spreading the right to, and spread of information as far and wide as possible is the only way to fight corruption and bias. Keep the internet free in perpetuity.
1571. Aaron Hiatt, Omaha, NE, 68144
The internet should remain a free realm to all who wish to openly express their thoughts and insights without being censored.

1572. Mackenzie Taylor, La Vista, NE, 68128
I'm writing to urge you to keep the Open Internet rules based on Title II in place. The internet is a necessary part of life, including banking, accessing and paying utilities, shopping, communication and entertainment. The changes that the FCC proposes will potentially divide the internet into fast lanes for sites that pay and slow sites for everything else. This will make it harder for businesses, nonprofits, freelancers, and artists to make their business/works known. This will potentially lead to higher costs for internet users and make it more difficult for people to access sites of their choice. Please leave the existing existing net neutrality rules based on Title II in place. Thank you!
1573. Regina Lehnhoff, Omaha, NE, 68122
Net neutrality is the First Amendment of the internet. We need to protect net neutrality. Please support this endeavor! Thank you.
1574. Pam Adams, Omaha, NE, 68122
Innovation thrives when small businesses can reach ALL customers.
1575. Edward Schmidt, Papillion, NE, 68133
Don't let big companies control what we can see over the internet. Protect our freedom of speech.
1576. Tom Hermanek, Omaha, NE, 68118
Net Neutrality is vital to the prosperity the internet and all that it powers. The loosening of net neutrality rules will benefit no one but the big ISPs. I strongly favor the protection of net neutrality.
1577. Celeste Timberlake, Omaha, NE, 68154
freedom of speech and freedom of open access Internet not only are moving humanity forward, but are human rights in this day and age
1578. Stan Croft, Omaha, NE, 68105
Please save net neutrality as a national policy. As a teacher and parent it would be devastating for my children and my students to be forced into a tiered system of internet coverage. The internet is and will continue to be vital to their schooling and future employment.
1579. Kathleen Rogers, Omaha, NE, 68124
The internet is the last bastion of freedom in America. Please continue to regulate it as a common public utility under the FCC, as was decided under the Obama administration, instead of allowing Big Telecom, Big Cable and other special interests to control it as is proposed by the Trump administration.
1580. Alexandria Sutton, Omaha, NE, 68137
What are we China? Perhaps Korea? Get real! Net neutrality is a fundamental

part of what makes America Great!

1581. M Gillick, Omaha, NE, 68164
Private interests should not be able to dictate the net's winners and losers. Keep the net a level playing field.
1582. Bob Long, Omaha, NE, 68127
Keep your hands off the 1st amendment! Free speech=free internet.
1583. Rich Jeffrey, Omaha, NE, 68154
I urge you to preserve net neutrality. With it, the opportunity for success is equal for all on the web. Without it, the field becomes highly unfair and unbalance and is especially hurtful to small business. Preserve net neutrality please.
1584. Jon Sutula, Omaha, NE, 68134
I support Net Neutrality because it ensures a level playing field for small businesses and startups for available bandwidth on the internet. Large companies should not get to decide which content gets priority, that will inevitably lead to monopolies, which always choke an economy, and stifle growth.
1585. Michon Bowen, Omaha, NE, 68135
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Please support our first amendment rights!
1586. Andrew, Omaha, NE, 68106
Handing over the internet to the cable companies is wrong. The internet has become a major mode of communication, collaboration, and innovation. To allow one company to be able to choose what and how you use these services based on their own self interests is ludicrous. Its like a grocery store only letting you purchase one type of cereal, sure there are others there, you don't have to make any special concessions to get to them, but because the store doesn't own the other cereal brands or get a premium for having them in the store it makes it not economical or impossible to purchase those brands. If net neutrality disappears how long before the cable companies decide to sensor data or sites because they don't agree politically or religiously, or they paint them, or a subsidiary, in a bad light. Please keep the internet neutral for all people.
1587. Amy Ellefson, Omaha, NE, 68105
Please protect net neutrality. It is one of the few arenas that is truly American. Free speech and the free market exist on the internet. These are things we talk about having in every day life, but they really exist on the free and open web. Net neutrality is, and should always be, the American way.

1588. April Block, Omaha, NE, 68104
Corporate America doesn't have a stranglehold in at least one place: the Internet. But it will, if net neutrality is not preserved.
1589. Adam hill, Omaha, NE, 68144
Net neutrality must be preserved if we our to continue growing as a species. You think dictators want what they do to their people seen by the rest of the world? No. You think corrupt individuals want what they do scene by anyone? No. The internet is the on true bastion of free speech for everyone, no scensorship, noones covered up, everyone and everything can be scene and thats the way it has to stay.
1590. Joseph E. Braddock, Papillion, NE, 68046
Protect internet neutrality. Open access to information and the dissemination of ideas is a basic human right.
1591. Sara Langan , Omaha , NE, 68144
Without net neutrality amazing innovations like Netflix wouldn't exist. Letting big corporations take over yet another avenue of our lives (i.e. by buying up all of the bandwidth to our homes) will prevent innovative startups from entering the marketplace. These big cable companies have the worst customer service of all businesses, so the idea of their having more control over prices I have to pay is very frustrating. Keeping content of all kinds equal is a must.
1592. Matt Holmes, Omaha, NE, 68116
TrumpAll I really have to say. If we lose this, someone like Trump will attempt to censor anything negetive said about him. He is President and a wealthy man child.He can throw his power and money around to get said big cable companies to do what his trantrums demand.We the people need this and the open internet to be the country that we are. We do not want to go the route of china....North Korea where the government decides what can be seen or not.
1593. Dave Loyall, Omaha, NE, 68108
A strong internet may be the last useful power the masses have left.
1594. Kennard M. Davies, Omaha, NE, 68132
If the philosophy is the market than all must have fair access to the marketplace. And we need not fear those who misuse this access: President Thomas Jefferson argued that it is safe to tolerate "error of opinion ... where reason is left free to combat it". First Inaugural Address (Mar. 4, 1801)Thanks to net neutrality, I will receive today a shipment of excellent organic chocolate from a small producer in Southern California who can market worldwide!
1595. Jose Villarreal, Omaha, NE, 68107
Net neutrality is what has made the internet what it is today; a web of

connections that spark ideas, easier and more advanced communication and, the freedom to travel almost anywhere in the world through sights, sounds and imagination. Stifling net neutrality will prevent knowledge from being consumed by a vast majority of users. Everyone deserves the right to advance to their fullest potential. Let's keep the Net equally available to all.

1596. wayne lewis, omaha, NE, 68116
...we need an open, unregulated internet to preserve our democratic republic...
1597. Sarah Edwards, Omaha, NE, 68144
The internet must be neutral to preserve free speech, a founding principle of our nation.
1598. Susan Mitchell, Omaha, NE, 68104
We as a country rely on the fundamental principles of freedom of speech. The internet must remain open for American ideas, commerce, and basic communication to continue. Please support continued net neutrality.
1599. Byran Carlock, Omaha, NE, 68138
Small businesses are empowered by a free internet. If we allow ISPs to be the gatekeepers to the internet innovation will grind to a halt and we'll either end up deeming the internet useless and move back to other forms of commerce or be stuck in a perpetual monopoly where it's nearly impossible for new and innovative businesses to start.
1600. Valari Loftus, OMaha, NE, 68124
In today's digital age, net neutrality is more important than ever. You the FCC must serve the people not big companies.
1601. Joseph Mills, Papillion, NE, 68046
The internet has changed the way we live, learn, and think. It has opened so many possibilities for so many people; so many doors opened. Without net neutrality, these doors close; we are forced to only view certain content and learn from specific sources. You must protect net neutrality. Fight off the lobby groups, the large corporations, and protect something open and great. There is no greater time than now to protect net neutrality.
1602. Matt McCoy, Omaha, NE, 68108
Support Net Neutrality, the big companies are always the winner and the little guy is being left behind!
1603. Brent Weeks, Omaha, NE, 68154
I am a Conservative and a die-hard Trump supporter, but I split from my party on this issue. The internet should be treated like roads and utilities and remain indifferent to what is travelling through it.

1604. Ron Swift, Omaha, NE, 68154
Net Neutrality is the basis of all of the Internet and getting rid of it is stomping on the rights of the the little guy (the web community) and letting the giant corporations charge us additional fees to watch Netflix or play a video game when they are already over charging us to simply use the Internet. The Internet has always been a place to freely express yourself and for better or worse it should remain that way. Gutting net neutrality would not only harm the every day lives of Internet users, it will negatively impact online businesses, and above all goes against a basic right granted to all Americans...Freedom. Net Neutrality must not be gutted, or the Internet and our Freedom will never be the same.
1605. Jay Irwin, Omaha, NE, 68127
Please protect the true intention of the internet for the free flow of information, and do not allow corporations to restrict or prioritize certain content.
1606. Alexander Diaz, Papillion, NE, 68046
The internet is a utility and net neutrality remain in effect.
1607. Casey, omaha, NE, 68116
I fought in Iraq for every American not just big companies. Why don't you care about us, the people. All about money as usual? A piece of paper dyed green.....You will shame my brothers and sisters blood spilled on battlefield for money? Maybe, you need to be replaced.
1608. Gerard Greim, Omaha, NE, 68108
Ask Trump is he'd like to be limited on twitter
1609. Lori Ruff, Omaha, NE, 68104
Get the Hell off my computer. I never want to see your comments on my computer again. If I do you have no idea who your dealing with. My temper at this time is through the roof.
1610. Ryan Bahan, Omaha, NE, 68134
Without Net Neutrality, the internet is no longer a place of freedom and entertainment. If you stand for the freedom of speech, the ability to do what you want online, you'll save Net Neutrality. Otherwise, you only care about one thing. Money.
1611. Ross Butterworth, Louisburg, NE, 27549
Dear Sir or Madam, We have had enough of big business taking control of (what used to be free) television and now it's current day alternative, the internet. Please do not listen to the special interests who seek to further limit our freedoms. This should be construed as a direct attack on free speech and therefore must not be allowed to happen. Please keep our country free and

honor the lives given by founding fathers to maintain this freedom. Thank you,

1612. JB Ferguson, Omaha, NE, 68116

There is no evidence to support the idea that net neutrality inhibits innovation. Big telecom companies have been consolidating power for years, and this will just speed up the process. There will be less incentive to innovate when there is only one provider in any given area (which is already the case for many areas). I'd like to live in a country where we don't put a company's bottom line before the consumer in every regard. It's time to stop paying more for slower internet service. It's time to stop being 20th in the world for internet connection speed due to limited competition, which will get worse when we gut net neutrality.

1613. Devin S, Omaha, NE, 68136

Please look at that reviews for all the cable companies that would benefit from end of net neutrality, they are alarming. We can't hand something as important as the internet over to companies who already are struggling to keep their cable customers happy.

1614. TERESA MCEVOY, OMAHA, NE, 68164

PLEASE, PLEASE, PLEASE do not roll back regulations that protect net neutrality.. net neutrality.

1615. Adam Nelson, Omaha, NE, 68102

It will kill online innovation.

1616. Amanda Knowles, Bellevue, NE, 68123

Net neutrality protects Americans access to information. This is incredibly important for a free and open democracy!

1617. Diane Parris, La Vista, NE, 68128

Keep Net Neutrality and Title 2. Thank you.

1618. Martika Carrasquillo, Omaha, NE, 68131

Please don't make this law. I (martika) & my father (luis) work from home and need open internet access. We need to communicate with family from put of state and do school work. Please don't make this law as it is very communistic.

1619. Anna Mosier, Omaha, NE, 68137

The FCC must ensure an equal access internet by opposing efforts to undermine net neutrality. The FCC should toss Chairman Ajit Pai's plan in the garbage. Giving the government-subsidized ISP monopolies the legal cover to create Internet fast lanes and stripping consumers of the vital privacy and access protections is absurd and against the desires and needs of the American public. I have enough issues with Comcast as it is, and they are the only halfway decent provider in the area (a major city, too!). Literally only people who could make

money or power off of this rule support it; it does nothing to make internet or life better for the common person and no single person I know supports it. That ISP monopolies could impede users' access to certain websites or create Internet "fast lanes" by charging online services and websites more money to reach people faster is despicable; and kind of evokes a caricature of a Disney villain twirling a mustache. Chairman Pai's proposed repeal of the rules would transform Internet providers into Internet gatekeepers with an effective veto right on expression and innovation, and he's probably aiming to profit massively for this or he's just a huge dick; probably both. You cannot get by in today's world without the internet. Even aside from entertainment; without internet to access email, service accounts, or research; you are shit out of luck and far behind everyone else in society. Disadvantaged (i.e; poor and working class) people would fall even further behind if they had to pay more for essential services because a few internet providers know they are essential and can charge through the nose for them accordingly. Likewise, monopolies would be created when companies pay more to have their traffic a higher priority- and then we're stuck with single service providers and no choice. Equality of access is the most important thing to ensure equality of life among working class citizens. Thank you for keeping Title II net neutrality rules in place to protect Internet users like myself (and you, you dinguses. This is bad for you too if you pass it!!!).

1620. Jared Lunt, Omaha, NE, 68112

I support the title II and strong net neutrality rules set in place.

1621. Carrielle Sedersten, Omaha, NE, 68102

I specifically support strong net neutrality with Title 2 oversight of ISP because a free and open internet is vital for our democracy, for our businesses, and for our daily lives. It would give giant internet companies the power to prioritize what we read, watch, and explore online. Last time I checked they only do that in oppressive countries like China and Russia, and I am not going to stand for malicious legislation like that. You guys are already busy taking away everything else from us like healthcare and any progress we should be making in this country. Taking away the free and open internet is basically taking away American's modern day bootstraps. No one will be able to "pull themselves up" if you keep taking away the resources to do so. Then you'll just complain that we're lazy when we all know that isn't the case. This about my right to be heard and all other Americans who support an open and free internet. Chairman Pai and anyone else who supports this legislation should be ashamed of themselves for once again wanting to sell out the American people to benefit corporate America. I submit my public comment to oppose Chairman Pai's proposal to reverse net neutrality protections and to strongly support net neutrality with Title 2 oversight of ISP.

1622. Alexis Fett, Omaha, NE, 68144

We cannot let the FCC remove net neutrality. Many schools, small businesses and even large businesses are able to work due to net neutrality existing. Without it many people who cannot afford to pay fees to get a "fast lane" will fail and collapse. I do not want to see people losing all their hard work or children lose the accessibility to an easier education. It is disgusting that this is even an issue, it should just be common sense to not do this, seeing as how many people will be harshly affected. Please, don't let Title II be renounced, otherwise there will be a huge collapse in education and business, making it easier for certain people to hold a monopoly over a product being sold, which could spell absolute disaster for our country's economy. Thank you for your time, please consider the effects of this and put a stop to this. Alexis Fett

1623. Kamron, Omaha, NE, 68104

Dear fcc please take into consideration the view of the public nah I'm just playing but for real though the internet needs to be free and open and you know how to do that I trust the right thing will be done now the rest is up to you thanks and shout out bernieKamron

1624. William Judson, Omaha, NE, 68142

I am for net neutrality and completely against this.

1625. Brian Boyd, Omaha , NE, 68135

I participated in the FCC's ISP monitoring program a few years ago. I'm proud of the FCC and the role it has had in the past and present. Please continue to keep my and all Americans best interest at heart. Net neutrality rules and regulations strengthen our resilience to external action and internal maleficence. Please take strong action to keep them in place and improve on them to the benefit of all not the few.

1626. David Salahshurian, Omaha, NE, 68124

Let net neutrality stand and allow everyone to enjoy internet access. The internet is public domain and should not be only for the privileged. Put an end to this debate and do not let big corporations control what everyone should have fair and equal access to. It's not just about money, this is about equality for all, and free speech of the internet.

1627. Mike Heideman, Omaha, NE, 68136

On balance, net neutrality is the one Internet policy that places the highest priority on the public interest, and the same cannot be said for the alternatives. Net neutrality should be upheld.

1628. GEORGE QUAIVER, PAPILLION, NE, 68046

Don't 'fix' what ain't broke!

1629. Amanda, Omaha, NE, 68135
Access to the internet is a basic human right and should not be capitalized upon.
1630. Melissa Gillick, Omaha, NE, 68164
Net neutrality gives the little guy freedom of choice. It also allows equal opportunity to make your political and social comments heard on the internet. I don't want big telecom and big cable monopolizing the internet. I want to be able to use the internet without being price-gouged to death. Thank you.
1631. Dylan Stone, Omaha, NE, 68104
This is a free country and we should not be controlled by the government or agencies of our use on the internet. This is not a communist country like China. Freedom is what we're built on, this goes beyond freedom of speech and into our own personal lives being controlled by what we see or what we do on the internet. Please keep net neutrality the way it is now, there is no reason to be doing what you are planning but only for your gain. This doesn't help the public and no one in the public eye is supporting this.
1632. Tracy Broussard, Omaha, NE, 68108
I don't want big cable determining what I can say and do and what others can say and do online. Please support net neutrality to support free speech. I want control over what I watch online I want small businesses and innovators to be protected and be able to reach new customers. Thank you.
1633. J. Charnquist, Ralston, NE, 68127
The privatization and control of information only leads to tyranny.
1634. Jason Schuett, Omaha, NE, 68137
PLEASE do not dismantle net neutrality. Allowing the internet to be open is what makes it great. Why risk censoring great content that should be freely available to ANYONE who uses the internet?
1635. James Ahern, Omaha, NE, 68104
Net neutrality is an essential economic and creative tool to our economy. Ending net neutrality would be like turning our entire interstate system over to private interests to decide, based entirely on how profitable it would be, who gets to use it and how often.
1636. Gary Jones, Omaha, NE, 68154
Will big companies be able to make it difficult to get to scientific reports they don't agree with? That would be a disaster. Net neutrality is basic to free speech.
1637. Rick Matthews, Copiague, NE, 68126
FUCK NET NEUTRALITY

1638. Ray Smith, Sydney, NE, 23456
Freedom is a precious thing. It is always worth fighting for. Keep the Internet free.
1639. Brandon Yohn, Omaha, NE, 68134
I appreciate not having my internet use directed by the highest bidder. As a consumer, I have a right to do what I will without the interference of big corporations.
1640. Andrea, La Vista, NE, 68128
Net neutrality must be protected!! Money & greed should not govern what is available to people online. And it's wrong that a company could censor content. Don't let this happen!
1641. Shawn M., LaVista, NE, 68128
Please do not get rid of Net Neutrality. We are the land of the Free, let us keep it that way. This country was built By the People, For the People. The government should not have anything to do with our day to day lives.
1642. Susan Lafayette Eblin, Papillion, NE, 68046
Ending Net Neutrality will once again, tell everyone but the wealthy that you don't care about them. It's also a giant slap in the face of the 1st Amendment. We will remember this when it's time to vote.
1643. Mitchell Huston, Omaha, NE, 68130
As a college student, the internet is a place of learning, expression, entertainment, memorial, and so many more things. Limiting it takes away one of the greatest resources in the world available to people like me.
1644. Lauren, 12106 Erskine Cir., NE, 68164
Net neutrality must be maintained to allow innovation to thrive. Without net neutrality, the corporations and the individuals in charge of them could dictate which new ideas and what information was allowed on the web. This is unacceptable in a society that values free speech.
1645. Dean Battiato, Omaha, NE, 68105
Please do not allow major corporations like the cable companies and phone companies to increase their profits by allowing them to pick favorites in regard who has faster or more efficient access to the internet. Up until now, access has been equal. Please keep it that way. Thank you.
1646. Doug Charnquist, Omaha, NE, 68154
Please leave net neutrality alone and trying to put monitors and stipulations on our rights.

1647. Judy Hickey, Omaha, NE, 68106
Please stop the ongoing rules and regulations that have devastating effects on the internet. These rules and regulations are limiting or eliminating good paying jobs as well as not allowing for better and faster internet service. Net neutrality rules and regulations should be rolled back.
1648. D'Arcy Goodrich, Omaha, NE, 68164
We must maintain Net Neutrality. Do not let this be a Big Business opportunity.
1649. Jules DeSalvo, OMAHA, NE, 68144
Support net neutrality rules!
1650. Robert Dutton, Valley, NE, 68064
It is critical that the internet be equally open to everyone. This important vehicle should not be used to "favor" the large ISP's, as that can lead to squeezing out important smaller providers through both price and speed advantages. The current internet is intended to serve everyone equally, including individuals. Net Neutrality of the internet serves a very meaningful purpose. Please keep it that way.
1651. Jim Gehman, Omaha, NE, 68164
It is extremely important to me that the FCC Open Internet Rules [aka 'net neutrality rules'] remain in effect. My internet content should not be abridged by ISP providers, nor they or other corporate entities have the ability to access the internet more readily than individual users, such as myself. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll

be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1652. Harriet Major, Omaha, NE, 68132

I am deeply concerned about the possible loss of net neutrality. It seems to me that there is somewhat of a connection between it and freedom of the press. Many citizens get their news over the internet, and without net neutrality there is a loss of this freedom with the control going to corporations like Comcast or Verizon. I am begging the FCC to retain net neutrality.

1653. Maria Arbelaez, Omaha, NE, 68104

Stop Comcast, Verizon, and At&T. We can keep NET neutrality alive.

1654. Marie Rourke, Omaha, NE, 68134

Net Neutrality is important because it is equal for all of us! We all share it! If you want your own internet, build it for yourself! The people who made this one was built by WWII survivors that wanted to stop stupid wars and to start making a world that will be for all of us! Switching to only allowing the wealthy using the internet is the opposite of what the makers worked so hard for and the opposite of why they built it. Please use it only for the purpose it was made!

1655. Jules DeSalvo, OMAHA, NE, 68144

Protect the FCC's Open Internet Rules. They are very important to me. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just

to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1656. Marie Rourke, Omaha, NE, 68134

When I was in high school Dad came to Parents night. It was for our parents to encourage us to start thinking about the jobs we hoped to have. Dad told me about computers and how he and his cohorts were designing computers worldwide that could make it possible to talk to people all over the world. That was amazing back in the 1970's. Dad was one of many obviously. They all worked to create the internet with fellows from around the world. I know this because my brother got hurt at recess one day and Mom couldn't drive so a car full of soldiers took Doug and Mom and refused to explain anything. Dad showed up late with pizzas and explained that he had been in England fixing something with the team he worked with! These very smart people built the internet for EVERYONE to use!!! They had lived through a world war and wanted to make sure it didn't happen ever again. They built a way to make wars harder to get going. Please do not screw this up!

1657. Jake Koziol, Omaha, NE, 68117

For once in your collective careers please don't let money dictate what you guys decide.

1658. Daryl Kiser, Omaha, NE, 68124

The net will open regardless of gender, color, political affiliation or discrimination. It's come to cable TV belly up.

1659. Ryan Bottorff, Omaha, NE, 68127

Net neutrality is a must. The internet has essentially become a commerce infrastructure like the interstate system. Small businesses have the right to the exact same access. A world where corporations dictate what is most important to me is frightening. Thanks, Ryan Bottorff

1660. Reba Benschoter, Omaha, NE, 68105

To the FCC and those in Congress working for me and citizens like me: We

have fought the Net Neutrality before. We the common citizens do not want our Internet controlled by big commercial communications companies. We rely on you to protect our interests. Please!!!

1661. D'Arcy Goodrich, OMAHA, NE, 68164

I am writing in support of the FCC's Open Internet Rules . They must be maintained and protected.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.ISPs must not have the right to censor what I see or how I see it.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

1662. Thomas Hendricks, La Vista, NE, 68128

I am concerned that our basic freedom of privacy is at stake here. I hope and pray that our freedom stays as is!

1663. Christian Holman, Gretna, NE, 68028

I don't understand why you would want to get rid of net neutrality, I really don't. Being a huge gamer, this would destroy a lot of the gaming community. Esports might even cease to exist, considering only the wealthy could afford to stream them, with the threat of having their Internet speeds throttled. This is an unnecessary and completely asinine thing to do, let Net Neutrality live on.

1664. Bradley Self, Omaha, NE, 68116

As a long time professional Network Engineer and employee to a fortune 500 company founded on the internet, the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This harms consumers and businesses large and small, and violates the core principles of a free market economy. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai, contrary to the overwhelming opinion of the American public, has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. He will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. Allowing this move to take place is equivalent to endorsing the demise of modern democracy. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1665. Ben Kimball, Omaha, NE, 68108

Undoing the default neutrality that has made up the way the internet works since day one makes absolutely no sense. If you don't realize that, then you do not possess the proper understanding to properly handle the internet without breaking it. We need a neutral infrastructure for all. Don't break the internet nor turn it into little fiefdoms. This is America, trying acting like an American already. Disgusts me we even have to have this argument again.

1666. Andrew Falck, Elkhorn, NE, 68022

im not tryna pay more money for da shit that i shouldnt need to spend more money on. cmon trump, i was rootin 4 ya

1667. Kathy Brunnworth, Omaha, NE, 68164

Freedom --- I chose what I want to look, read and research. My service provider sole function now and forever should be a provider not a marketing or censor.

1668. Bartholomew Peter, Omaha, NE, 68131

It allows us freedom in how we navigate the internet. Otherwise, we're left at the mercy of constrictive corporations.

1669. Deng Thurbil-, Omaha, NE, 68111
because the internet tends to be a complicated place but we should all be able to view the same things at the same time instead of being first determined by a group of people then having the internet just judge the internet by us the web explorers.
1670. Scott Geertgens, Omaha, NE, 68135
I was likely on the internet before you, back when it was still intended to be a vehicle for education research. It is not a tool for companies to abuse for further personal gain. The day will come when internet access is deemed a human right. Don't be on the wrong side of history.
1671. Barry Blessing, Omaha, NE, 68130
I do not want money to determine who and what has the greatest speed and access to the internet. Do not allow this!!!
1672. Adam Collins, Omaha, NE, 68135
This is America FCC! Hands off my internet, biatch!
1673. Drake Burns, Omaha, NE, 68137
Net neutrality is the freedom to explore the internet, the ability to have your business bloom, and the greatest tool to access a bountiful pool of knowledge and information. To restrict the access to the internet would inhibit the right to freedom of speech, to a free market, and an infinite amount of wisdom. The lack of net neutrality is a way for communist countries such as China, North Korea, and Cuba to oppress their people. I personally believe that net neutrality is a basic freedom that every United States citizen should have the right to.
1674. James Sinclair, Omaha, NE, 68130
Honestly there are a million reasons. But the biggest is because the internet is an indispensable utility today. It's classified as a basic human right by the un! To put that in the hands of private corporations to be able to choose which websites they deem fit for us to access is ludicrous.
1675. Meredith Garwood, Omaha, NE, 68136
Online innovation and commerce has strengthened and diversified our economy. Net Neutrality ensures this remains true for future entrepreneurs, consumers, and the population as a whole. When our economy is strong and small businesses and start-ups thrive, we all benefit.
1676. Jonathon Sagehorn, Omaha, NE, 68116
In the 21st Century, internet access is a necessity, not a luxury. Removing Net

Neutrality is a direct limitation of free speech.

1677. , Omaha, NE, 68114

Does everything in the country have to be configured merely to bloat the profits and create convenience for large companies? Internet is essential to most people's lives--getting jobs, accessing government or other services, education, information. Why would you decide that those needs don't matter as much as keeping ISPs happy? I just don't get it. And if you think those companies will really regulate themselves, you are both naive and blind to history.

1678. Zain Harm, Omaha, NE, 68136

Net Neutrality is important because it allows everyone to experience the wonders of the internet. Access to the internet should be operated similar to public utilities- you don't see power companies throttling the electricity, or forcing homeowners to pay more money to keep their lights on. It is clearly evident that in this day and age, the Internet has become an essential part of everyone's lives. It allows access to an almost infinite amount of knowledge, entertainment, and communication. To allow ISP's such as Comcast to take advantage of the American people by taking away Net Neutrality, would be similar to how monopolies took advantage of people prior to acts such as the Sherman Act and the FTC Act. We need the government to uphold Net Neutrality in order to encourage competition, access to information, and above all, freedom.

1679. Robert Fike, Omaha, NE, 68144

My ISP and myself have entered an agreement, that I pay them and they provide me with access to the internet. I do not feel that they should have the ability to control what I have access to once I'm on the internet.

1680. Cheryl McPherson, Omaha, NE, 68124

The internet needs to remain open so everyone has equal opportunities in business and free speech.

1681. Rose Redd, Omaha, NE, 68114

This will harm fresh, young businesses. This will harm the disabled woman who does custom embroidery on Etsy. This will harm the young man who 3D prints and sells Dungeons and Dragons figures for extra income. This will cripple and destroy a new and exciting evolution of the economy and social structure. Don't let greed impair humanity's need to grow and reach out to each other. Don't let the few destroy the many.

1682. Kelly Nicklin, Omaha, NE, 68132

If Net Neutrality is not established in law and/or policy, ISPs will move away from the concept whenever it is convenient for them, just as has been done in the past. Madison River, Comcast, Telus, AT&T, Windstream, MetroPCS,

Paxfire, Sprint and Verizon have all violated Net Neutrality in the past and would certainly do it again. Companies have not scaled back investments in networks and won't. Please ensure Net Neutrality. It is essential for a truly free and open internet.

1683. Andrew Acosta, Omaha, NE, 68154

Our democracy and freedoms of the Internet is of the utmost importance, especially in this day and age. We can not afford to let the one thing that connects us to the rest of the world be charged the way the companies want. We must protect our freedoms.

1684. John DiMartino, Omaha, NE, 68106

Net neutrality is important to me because I don't have a choice in internet provider. I have a single high-speed provider that has already started implementing data-caps, etc. Please don't hurt an already limping internet.

1685. Dustin Brookens, Papillion, NE, 68046

Because the free market is the breeding ground for innovation. We shouldnt be limiting bandwidth, we should be upgrading our infrastructure!

1686. Ian Proctor, Omaha, NE, 68164

Without Net neutrality, our basic communications freedoms would be violated. Businesses would utterly fail, and the united states would be all but cut off from the world. Without net neutrality, I will be dead.

1687. Rt , Omaha, NE, 68116

Having companies control what internet users see is, in my opinion, contradictory to the ideals of this country. People online should have the ability to freely access websites (as long as they aren't in any violation of any laws).

1688. Jameson Guthrie, Gretna, NE, 68028

With Net Neutrality, I can express myself and connect with others like me.

1689. , Gretna, NE, 68028

I need the internet to be able to succeed in life. I am a poor college student and do not have funds available to pay for all websites. I need all websites available to me so I can continue on my mission to help the environment and promote peace and togetherness. I would also like to travel to other countries, if their sites are blocked how am I supposed to be able to do that. The world needs the internet, without it no one can make a difference as big as possible. With the internet as it is now, we are still having problems getting people to support environmentalism in our country. If we don't have the internet, more people will become sheltered and unknowing of the consequences of waste and carbon. Without the internet we are a step closer to becoming North Korea where barely any one is let in and no one can leave. No one is allowed to have free internet

there. The government controls what is seen. Trump has already put several travel bans in place, what's going to stop him from going further. We the people; we have to stop him and his legal associates. However, it will be tough as he already declined the Paris agreement. We must take action to protect the United States. And the world. We are already one of the top polluting countries, just behind India and China. If we don't do something our world will become utter chaos. Living things will die and people will follow. We are already causing record breaking temperatures every year. This is not normal for our planet. Without the internet there will be no way to provide information to the rest of the US, thus we will go into a dark age. We need to protect our rights to everything on the internet.

1690. Cody Henschke, Omaha, NE, 68164

The internet has transformed from its infancy as a science project into a common utility that connects billions of people around our Earth. I believe that it is critical that this public utility remains open and free, not controlled by whoever has the deepest pockets. As a professional in the technology field and an American, I have a deep love for the open internet. Please reconsider your attack on Net Neutrality, and instead let it continue protecting and providing opportunities for the American people. Thank you for your time, Cody Henschke

1691. Alyssa , La Vista, NE, 68128

As someone who spends a lot of time on the internet and plans to have the internet become a big factor in their future career I need Net Neutrality. I live in a house with my single mother of four kids and we're struggling as it is. If added expenses just to use a website it may prevent me to access sites or make it more difficult that I need to use just because I couldn't afford it. I plan to go into the graphic design in the future so I'm constantly going to websites such as Amazon, Deviantart, YouTube, Tumblr, and several more just for the basic references, tutorials, and to be able to get the proper equipment on days when I manage to have a little extra cash to invest into my passion. I know you might not take this seriously or take this into consideration but please keep in mind this only helps the companies who already have HUGE profits as it is. The companies aren't doing this for their consumers. This only helps one side and hurts the other, a prime example of parasitism.

1692. Mia , Omaha, NE, 68130

It allows small businesses and content creators to keep going and operating.

1693. Cassandra , Omaha, NE, 68106

Net Neutrality is important to me, as someone still in school, so that I can do my work for class. Net Neutrality allows me and other students to use the Internet to its fullest capability, and it will be a setback for anyone and everyone if Net Neutrality is repealed, if not a disaster for those it helps.

1694. Anaisabel , Omaha, NE, 68117
First of all how am I gonna pay my debt ,my college fund,my scholarship, my research, and my exams. How am I gonna get money of course my job but will I have to pay all of it for every time I need it. No it affect many people business and effort they put, internet help keep thing connected to other and giving us what going on the world. I don't like how are president think this will help when there so many thing important to start with. Thing is net neutrality help me explore the web and talk to many people around the world. This shouldn't be pay or make thing harder when there so many problems going on and this haven't have to happen. Please understand the importance of others that need the net neutrality stable for all us. People need for important thing even meme they make many history
1695. Gillian , Omaha, NE, 68164
I'm a college student and I shouldn't have to pay extra to use websites for research and networking purposes.
1696. Carolyn Grahan, Omaha, NE, 68137
It allows me access to websites for support of children who've lost their parents. These websites have really gotten me out of a dark place where I didn't know what I would do without my father. With paying for all these things, I would lost that support automatically. It's important to me for so many reasons.
1697. Kate Gordon, Omaha, NE, 68104
IT IS LITERALLY A RIGHT FOR EVERYONE TO HAVE ACCESS TO FOR FREE. No one should have to pay more to have access to specific sites.
1698. Lyndsay Gunther, Omaha, NE, 68124
My reason still remains since the last time I voted for this. I find it silly that this is still being taken into consideration. Leave things how they are because they're certainly working out fine. Just imagine all the resources that the people use suddenly being restricted once more, I doubt that they'll be silent about it let alone accomadating to it.
1699. Dominick carissimo, Omaha, NE, 68124
The Internet is a place in its own right that should not need a pay wall to interact and enjoy what is rightfully everyones.
1700. Samy Lorence, Omaha, NE, 68135
Because it provides fair resources for free lance artists and small business owners
1701. Lane Roberts, Omaha, NE, 68136
Net Neutrality is a right, not a luxury.

1702. Fayth Boer, Omaha, NE, 68164
Is this truly the land of the free if we don't have the freedom to the internet fully. Net neutrality allows for a variety of people to build foundations for businesses that help support them in their aspirations and survival. To take this freedom away would be an injustice and a violation against all American people's rights.
1703. Nick Schultz, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
The net needs to be an equal playing field where every one runs at the same speed not who has the most money
1704. Aleksander Miller, Papillion, NE, 68046
The internet is supposed to be a way to share information and experiences, and that cannot happen if we must pay for websites. It is not democratic for major corporations to have a monopoly on our internet.
1705. Hela Farhat, Omaha, NE, 68144
It helps shape the world we live in and by doing this they effectively destroy little creators.
1706. Taryn Oyster, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
The United States has already lost its top spot in the world. The continued strangling of the American peoples' freedoms will make us that much more vulnerable to hostile nations. Stop trying to cripple America by stripping away our freedom ruling by ruling, law by law. You can only make so much money before the entire country has been sold down the river. Stop the greed, stop stripping away our choices and freedoms. It won't pay off in the end.
1707. Katie bennet, Omaha, NE, 68127
I'm an artist, who is trying to get off the ground with my work. I can't afford to pay more than basically etsy.
1708. Melissa Nogrady, Omaha, NE, 68135
Net Neutrality is important for the freedoms of young creators and small businesses to be able to get their content out there. Killing net neutrality simply removes another venue for people to develop their own methods of success.
1709. Maitland , La Vista, NE, 68128
I'm just a depressed adult and I use the internet to spread my art of writing, theatre, and paintings. All of these are important for the progression of humanity and I just don't want to have the one happiness I have taken away from me because I'm poor and already have so much out to get me. So please...just let me have internet? Please...
1710. Sarah Griffel, La Vista, NE, 68128

Without net neutrality rules, prioritization of internet traffic by telecom and cable companies would skew the competition for content, as well as tilt the scales in the dissemination of all political and social views in favor of websites and companies that are able to pay internet access providers. Don't take away net neutrality!!!!

1711. Cal Caudell, Omaha, NE, 68124

The internet should be a fast and free way for people to interface with one another and gain information like it always has.

1712. Bailey Hansen, Omaha, NE, 68135

Net Neutrality is important to me because not only do I not want to pay more for sites that I already use, but destroying Net Neutrality would make it harder for those with less money than me to access the sites that they use. The internet would be controlled by the wealthiest, and those less fortunate would have little access to the help and support that they could get using the internet.

1713. Oliver , Omaha, NE, 68136

Because taking it away is basically what Kim Jong Un is doing to North Koreans. It's limiting our knowledge.

1714. David Barger, Omaha, NE, 68154

There are many folks who make a living using many different platforms that the net can provide, and taking away net neutrality cripples them harshly and really puts a damper on creativity and seeking the things and people that really help us get through our day.

1715. Rick Dischler, Omaha, NE, 68118

Stop the money mongers, internet should be free for all, no one should pay premiums for services they want to use above and beyond regular access fees

1716. David Kuske, Omaha, NE, 68124

Let me paint a picture of the web without Net Neutrality: Lets say that the car companies also owned all the roads and highways. You can only drive on roads owned by your car's company unless you pay additional fees. If you want to drive on roads with speed limits faster than 30 mph, that's another fee. Business owners must pay extra to ensure that car companies build roads to their place of business, as well as high rates to ensure that customers and deliveries can reach you at a reasonable speed. If you cannot afford to pay the rates the car companies charge, than you can only drive at a snails pace to a few places in your city. That is the future of the internet without net neutrality. A fenced-off and throttled web where telecoms force customers and businesses to pay exorbitant rates to access the parts of the internet they use everyday at faster than dial-up speed. The largest apparatus for the exchange of information and commerce in human history, now under the heel of the most despised and

monopolistic companies in the US. Your ability to visit whatever websites you want, to run an online business, to communicate with your friends and family, all controlled by the one telecom that serves your area. This cannot be allowed to become reality.

1717. Conner Tomcak, Omaha, NE, 68124
Essential for free speech, and progress in any field.
1718. Aaron Wiese, Omaha, NE, 68130
This is a fundamental freedom issue. Ajit, please do not partition off the web to different striations of wealth and class.
1719. Sarah , Omaha, NE, 68105
The Open Internet Rules (Net Neutrality) are very important to me. As a person just trying to start a small business, I wholeheartedly support Net Neutrality. These rules are important because they allow thousands of people like me to have a fair chance to succeed on the open internet. Aren't we a nation of Capitalism? Don't hurt small businesses, support net neutrality. We are living in the information age, if we can't give everyone access to the same information, then you are hobbling American citizens and the nation as a whole on the greater world stage.
1720. Ralph Dupree, Omaha, NE, 68104
It is our right to view content we want that is uploaded by our peers, no company should be able to dictate what we see in cyberspace, it's like cox telling a human they can't visit the grand canyon. A "site" is a place we have the right to explore without being censored, and people have the right to voice themselves (in blogs) and not be censored, which is their first amendment right.
1721. Zach Munchrath, La Vista, NE, 68128
I support net neutrality. The internet is a place that needs to be kept neutral. Stop your attack on free internet!
1722. Christopher Schacht, Omaha, NE, 68105
As an educator, I know how important open access is for learning and gaining electronic literacy. If we create further barriers to the less fortunate, they will fall even farther behind in the kinds of digital skills necessary in the 21st century. As an individual, I understand that weakening net neutrality is contrary to our democracy. We should have open access to the internet, without private companies and ISPs dictating what we can or cannot see. And as a taxpayer, I see zero sense in these laws. There is no economic benefit to anyone other than the ISPs, and more major internet companies are opposed to any changes. If changes are implemented, their will certain be abuses, which will lead to regulation, which is another set of costs entirely. We can avoid all of this by simply letting the internet stay open. Net neutrality is the only humane,

democratic, and sensible way forward.

1723. Charles Caleb, Omaha, NE, 68105
Information is freedom. All information should be readily accessible to any individual, at any time, and for free.
1724. Donatello , Omaha, NE, 68164
1725. Jim Benson, Omaha, NE, 68104
Please protect Net Neutrality. Please protect the people you are sworn to protect instead of corporate interests. We would all really appreciate it. The fact that we have to bombard people with letters like these just to have an inkling of a chance to have our freedoms left alone should speak for itself.
1726. Valerie Varas, Omaha, NE, 68164
I am writing in to support net neutrality.
1727. Gabriel B, Omaha, NE, 68134
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II's oversight by ISPs.
1728. Chris Holder, Omaha, NE, 68130
I want to decide what parts of the internet I view....not some company.
1729. William Martin, Omaha, NE, 68102
We would like to address Ajit V. Pai and express that we support strong net neutrality rules and keeping Title II of the communications act intact.
1730. Gregory Brown, Omaha, NE, 68164
We support Net Neutrality and the open internet initiative.
1731. Joshua Derry, Omaha, NE, 68104
I support strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title 2 over site of Internet Service Providers.
1732. Gary W. Elliott, Omaha, NE, 68118
I am in favor of strong net neutrality under title II of the Telecommunications Act.
1733. Kyle Wyzenski, OMAHA, NE, 68114
I strongly support Net neutrality, and believe that Is P's stay under the regulations of Title 2
1734. Thomas D Rhodes II, Omaha, NE, 68022
I want to keep the internet free and open for anyone who uses it. Keeping cable and internet providers under Title II classification is sufficient. They already

make money hand over fist, allowing them legal censorship so they can make even more is against what this country stands for. The internet is what it is because it has had no interference. Let's keep it that way

1735. Reuben J Daniel, Omaha, NE, 68102
Please support Net Neutrality Title II. We need to keep the Net fair for all.
1736. Harrison Ensign, Omaha, NE, 68104
If you allow this law to be made we will buy your history and black mail you
Harrison Ensign
1737. Lora Salvo, Omaha, NE, 68138
I am strongly in favor of strong net neutrality under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. Sincerely, Lora Salvo
1738. Tim Swoboda, Omaha, NE, 68102
Keep Net Neutrality as I feel it is the right thing to do. Thanks John Oliver for bringing this to my attention.
1739. Mary Hunziker, La Vista, NE, 68128
We pay for Cox internet's premier internet packages, which is up to 150 Mbps downloads & 10 Mbps Uploads, for which we pay approximately \$80 a month. Cox introduced data caps a couple months back, so each month we are paying an extra \$20 per month, this month that extra is \$80. We received ONE notice that we were at 85% of our data limit and then another that we had hit the limit and that we would be charged \$10 for an addition data. We did not get any further notices and then I get my bill today and it is for \$174.90. It is absolutely ridiculous that we are paying for their second to the top internet plan and yet we are limited to the same amount of data as those paying for less speed. Our cell phone plan doesn't even have a data limit, but our home does. So in our family of five (with sons that game online) that has five tvs (3 are smart 4K), we don't have cable because we stream and we don't have an am/fm radio because we stream the local radio station. It is unbelievable, internet is no longer a luxury, it is a part of daily life, just like running water & electricity, why is this being allowed to happen, they are gauging their customers.
1740. Brandi Heffner, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
I support stronger net neutrality internet laws, reserve title I I laws!!!
1741. Matthew R Vondrasek, Omaha, NE, 68102
I support net neutrality backed by title two protections from the FCC. ISPs should be forced to conform to Net Neutrality rules under title two authority
1742. Joe White, Omaha, NE, 68122
To whom it may concern,I am opposed to any action that the FCC would take to

remove the current net neutrality protections that are in place. I would be the first to say that these protections would unnecessary if there was competition in the market but unfortunately, this is not the case. Currently, my wife and I do not have cable. We are what you call cord cutters. Recently, our ISP decided to enforce a data cap at our address. I feel that this policy has been enforced because of people like my wife and I and to help protect their legacy cable service. If the market was competitive, I would be able to show my displeasure in this change by switching service providers. I cannot as I have only one provider for high speed internet access (over 25 Mbps). This, to me, is not a very competitive market place. Sure, I could switch to our DSL provider (and maybe get lucky to get 10 down) or perhaps a satellite provider (and have to deal with even more obscene data caps and latency) but I would get even worse service which would not solve the problem. Until such time as we have a competitive market place, I am still in favor to keep the Title II protections for ISP's in place until such time as congress and the FCC allow local-loop unbundling to allow more competition into the marketplace. Regards,Joe White

1743. Ryan Mullen, OMAHA, NE, 68104

An open internet is paramount to freedom of speech.

1744. Mary Hunziker, La Vista, NE, 68128

I believe the way that Cox Communications has implemented their data limit additional usage & charges is outrageous. They do not give you an option to decline the additional data & charges, they automatically add it. They state that they will "attempt" to contact you at 85%, 100% & 125%, however I only received one notice stating that we were at 85% usage (due to the 4th of July) and I figured we'd be charged \$10-\$20 extra only to receive my bill and it as for \$80 in overage. Had I been asked when we hit 100% if I wanted to purchase additional data, I may have authorized \$10-\$20, but certainly not \$80. If they are going to have data limits then they should be required to implement some type of system that shuts data off at 100% usage and requires the consumer to go online or have to call in to authorize the additional data & the charges. I do not agree with data limits/caps, I would like to see the FCC do something to rid us of the way these internet providers are now making up for revenue lost due to consumers dropping cable.

1745. Andrew Thomson, La Vista, NE, 68128

I do not wish to give up my rights for limitations.

1746. David Loeffler, Omaha, NE, 68104

The internet needs to remain free for everyone on the same playing field. If we don't, it will become just another version of long distance charges. Also, as it's the world, I'm not certain the US has a right to legislate the world.

1747. Mike Allen, Omaha, NE, 68102
Please keep ISPs held to title II standards. This is ridiculous we should even have to fill this crap out.
1748. Nathan Hoggatt, Gretna, NE, 68028
Come on, Man!!!
1749. Larry A. Brodahl, Omaha, NE, 68127
Before you remove Net Neutrality rules, try to answer - honestly - these questions:1. What makes you think that the morality and ethics at ISPs are ANY different from Wall Street brokers and banks?2. How is Cox or ComCast the SAME as Google or FaceBook? 3. If ISP's are ethical and moral companies, why have NONE of them finished the FINAL MILE, despite being paid billions to do so?4. If Cox or ComCast, et al, decide to put in a "fast lane", how do you propose NEW, INNOVATIVE, competitors actually COMPETE?If there is ANY of these questions that you can't answer with FACTS, and NOT "best wishes" and false promises, perhaps you shouldn't release the ISP's to do as they please.
1750. Timothy Scott, Epsom, NE, 03234
I am strongly against the potential reversal the Title II classification for ISPs. I support the current strong Title II oversight of ISPs and do not wish to see that removed. Doing so would have dramatic negative effects on board-based competition on the internet.
1751. David Kahn, Omaha, NE, 68144
Please do not undo the current Net Neutrality laws. Do not allow the corporate giants any more control over the best method of humans dispersing their views. Do not allow site A to be faster than site B is company B if company A wants it that way.
1752. Paul Weidner, Papillion, NE, 68046
Don't take away my neutrality. That's not cool.
1753. Sam Church, Omaha, NE, 68104
I highly disagree with a light touch approach to internet regulation. I believe the current net neutrality rules and regulations are the best option for encouraging competition within this industry.
1754. Daniel Luers, Omaha, NE, 68138
Do the right thing. Support net neutrality. This is a land governed by the people, for the people. And the people want net neutrality.
1755. David A Leach, Omaha, NE, 68116
We want the net neutrality. Life is hard enough for the majority we do not any

more fees added to our lives to add to a single person's pocket. Thank you for listening

1756. Amanda Skalka, Omaha, NE, 68134

Please, do not get rid of Net neutrality. Doing so is a violation of our rights as American citizens as it gives companies the ability to silence news pages they disagree with by not giving people access to them and could destroy small businesses by forcing them to pay impossible rates for their pages to even be seen. On top of that, it could make it harder for members of low income households to find jobs as most job applications are now online and those people might not be able to afford the internet speed they need to fill out those forms in a timely manner or be able to access them at all due to paywalls set up by companies. These companies like Verizon should never have so much power over the press, because once they do, they have power over government officials, as they can manipulate what articles come up first in search results or come up at all. In this time of fake news, we cannot afford for something like this to happen.

1757. Daniel Padilla, Omaha, NE, 68105

Net Neutrality is needed. I need to be able to have the confidence of going to any website and my ISP not have favorites or slow me down. It would limit competition as only certain websites/services/businesses would have an advantage. I, as the customer, would lose out as broadband is my telecommunication service. I was able to complete my education online. I fear lifting net neutrality would hinder others from receiving education. Even communication via other platforms with others would be limited by going back on net neutrality rules.

1758. Matthew Mausbach, Omaha, NE, 68127

Net neutrality is extremely important. I literally cannot think of one reason to be against net neutrality except for monetary reasons of very influential internet service providers.

1759. Dave Skalka, Omaha, NE, 68134

Classifying broadband internet access as information service would be a mistake and a detriment to both the broadband infrastructure and innovation. I am an attorney and have been for almost 20 years and work with a number of tech entrepreneurs and businesses that rely upon broadband internet. Overwhelmingly, reclassification would disadvantage tech startups and companies I work with because they immediately then start on an unlevel playing field with large companies, discouraging innovation and competition. It will also at best be indifferent to infrastructure, and likely hurt it. Presently, everyone gets a "prime rib" quality internet, the difference in cost is how many prime ribs one gets (Mbps), and can be assured delivery of their data equally

with that of Microsoft or other such parties. When a company will pay for premium internet distribution under the proposed reclassification, the company will expect that it is getting prime rib and its competition is getting something worse. That will be done by reducing service and quality for everyone else. Meaning, reclassification will encourage no broad infrastructure investment that the proposal suggests. I would appreciate the opportunity to answer any questions.

1760. joshua wildenradt, Omaha, NE, 68106

I fully and unequivocally support net neutrality. I support an open and neutral internet for all. Thank you

1761. Kyle Morton, Omaha, NE, 68130

I support the 2015 decision to classify the internet as a Title II public utility. This classification has already opened up local competition and start-ups in my municipal area, and if competition is truly the intent then the classification should remain in place and moreover be enforced. The internet has evolved from frugality in the 1990s to necessity in the modern age -- it is an indispensable tool for educational institutions and businesses, and ensuring equal access in the spirit of net neutrality will provide a level playing field for anyone needing access. In short, the internet *is* a public utility, a necessity, and it should be protected and treated as such. I implore the FCC to keep the Title II classification in place and enforce it going forward.

1762. Prudence Foster, Papillion, NE, 68046

Paragraph 28 - In my opinion, we, the public, do not use the internet primarily as an information source, rather it is also the place where we do our jobs, where we communicate, where we exchange ideas, where we develop research, and where we purchase goods and services, among many other activities. These are essential for economic, social and political vitality and for freedom of information. It is vital that this exchange be treated as a public utility.

1763. Cori Leonard, Papillion, NE, 68046

The internet is required per my job, and my wife's job. Without it I wouldn't be able to work in my field. Most of the large city I live in has one choice for reliable broadband internet. My ISP effectively has a monopoly, and that isn't changing any time soon. Because of that, I need net neutrality to make sure my ISP plays fair with my traffic, and doesn't hinder or throttle my traffic. I am already under a mandatory data cap which I have no option to get out from under as a personal user. I don't need my ISP to be deciding what traffic to get to see, I need my ISP to deliver the packets I request. If my ISP starts deciding what data I can or can't receive, my life will be impacted in a very negative way. I support net neutrality and hope the FCC continues to as well.

1764. M. Walker, Omaha, NE, 68132
As a consumer I depend on my regulatory agencies to ensure that the companies who provide my basic utilities do so fairly and reliably, this includes internet. I would ask that you keep 'net neutrality' as a way of keeping internet access affordable and available to all citizens so they are more able to participate in our increasingly digital society.
1765. Daniel Borowiak, Omaha, NE, 68131
I am a strong supporter for a free and open internet. I do not support the attempts from the current members of the FCC to roll back rules that protected net neutrality. The internet has become as essential as an utility; it's required to pay bills, phone, find a job ect. Keep the internet free and open.
1766. Jeff Larsen, Omaha, NE, 68116
Net neutrality is vital to innovation and free speech. Please do not change the ruling.
1767. Dominic Romeo, LaVista, NE, 68128
Internet Service Providers should not be the gatekeepers. They are already receiving payment from their subscribers to fund their network build and operation. The implication is that we subscribers pay for access to the whole internet at the same speed. We do not need our ISP to decide which content or services should be included or charged additional fees for faster access to certain sites or services. The proven driver for the growth of the internet is its universal openness and resistance to gatekeepers. ISPs should be required to maintain open networks and should be reminded that they are running networks for the benefit of their subscribers. The lack of competition in the majority of markets is concerning. Having only one or two choices of ISP is no choice at all. The consolidation of many ISPs is also a major concern. It has proven to create customer service issues and aggregated the challenges of lack of choice. The internet has become vital to our daily lives. It must be treated as a utility. It should continue to strive for openness and remain open to competition. Only in these conditions will the internet continue to serve its true utility to Americans and remain a driver for economic growth.
1768. Kevin Laurenti, Omaha, NE, 68164
ISPs and other Internet and content providers must remain a Title II service. Any deviation from their current classification is abhorrent and antithetical to the concept of what the Internet is.
1769. Ryan Paulson, Elkhorn, NE, 68022
I don't see any benefit to the US citizen this will have. Net neutrality needs to stay. What the supporters are saying isn't what the document reads. Keep net neutrality as it is today.

1770. Dillon Stone, Omaha, NE, 68138
Representatives Pallone, Doyle et al. make it very clear that their intent was and remains very different from how the FCC has chosen to represent it."Since we voted for the Telecommunications Act in 1996, Americans rejected the curated internet services in favor of an open platform. Now, anyone with a subscription to an ISP can get access to any legal website or application of their choice. Americansâ€™ ISPs no longer pick and choose what online services their customers can access. While the technology has changed, the policies to which we agreed have remained firm the law still directs the FCC to look at the network infrastructure carrying data as distinct from the services that create the data. Using todayâ€™s technology that means the law directs the FCC to look at ISP services as distinct from those services that ride over the networks. The Commissionâ€™s proposal performs a historical sleight of hand that impermissibly conflates this fundamental distinction. The FCC proposes to treat network infrastructure as information services because the infrastructure gives access to the services running over their networks. The FCC contends that ISPs are therefore "offering the capability" to use the services that create the content. However this suggestion obliterates the distinction that Congress set in to law-we meant for the FCC to consider services that carry data separately from those that create data. The FCCâ€™s proposal would therefore read this fundamental choice that we made out of the law. Under the proposalâ€™s suggestion, no service could be a telecommunications service going forward."
1771. Randall James Goss, Papillion, NE, 68046
Please keep Net Neutrality in place. the internet should not be regulated.
1772. Jeffrey M, omaha, NE, 68135
In light of the recent Hurricane that hit Texas I believe it would be prudent to not allow ISPs to filter, sort, and charge depending on web location traffic. Such efforts would stymie rescue operations directly resulting on loss of life over corporate funding. Such actions may open lawsuits against the corporation, unless they continue to pay off senators, house representatives, and fcc officials.
1773. Michael , Omaha, NE, 68137
It is imperative that net neutrality is preserved less we lose the free, open internet that has fostered a tech boom and allowed innumerable small businesses to find their consumer bases. Losing net neutrality would hand over the people's power to uncaring internet service providers looking to make a buck without, in some meaningful way, having actually done anything to improve their customers' experiences.
1774. Megan Alexander, Omaha, NE, 68131
I'm writing to urge that the FCC keep the 2015 regulations in place and continue to classify ISPs as common carriers under Title II. Internet access is one of the

most important resources available to to the public, and needs to be regulated as a public utility to ensure open and fair access to all users. Any regulatory burden to the ISPs is greatly outweighed by the public interest in net neutrality.

1775. Michele Lazure, Omaha, NE, 68132

It is important to keep net neutrality because it ensures all companies small and large are treated the same way. It also makes sure no cable/internet company can treat customers differently giving one better bandwidth than another based on anything they want including zip codes where they don't think they will make as much money. Not only are the people against this change but so are some very big companies like Google, Amazon, Twitter, Facebook, Reddit, Netflix, Airbnb, Spotify, and Comcast. A majority of the country is against reversing this policy, please stand with us not against us.

1776. Chris A. Miller, Omaha, NE, 68164

Please retain the current Title II standing with regard to net neutrality. Thank You

1777. Anna Gimeson, Omaha, NE, 68136

Please maintain Title II protections for the sake of consumers (including me) who overwhelmingly favor an open, diverse internet, where users' privacy is maintained, rather than a version that is controlled by ISP monopolies and manipulated not for the common good but for the sake of corporate profit. Please, keep current net neutrality protections intact. Internet providers should not be the gatekeepers of the internet -- they should not determine how it is used nor how it evolves. I urge the FCC to keep the internet useful, democratic, and interesting and maintain net neutrality by rejecting proposals that undermine Title II protections!

1778. James Haney, Omaha, NE, 68122

The internet should be open and free for all consumers. Any of the plans put forward by the current FCC council will only benefit the Internet Service Providers. It will do little to help "Grow" our broadband across the country. Making sure rural counties have access to 1.5 Mbps service is a joke at this point. ISPs should be held accountable for their shady practices and should be offering their customers competitive rates. They should not be allowed to practice deceitful marketing practices. Data Usage caps are an absolute joke. Limiting a user to max throughput makes sense and is a decent model for selling the service but telling someone they may only use 750GB a month because the ISPs put an artificial cap and want to make more money for anyone going over it is just wrong. FCC will say the ISPs will regulate them selves... They have no incentive to do so. Most markets only have one real choice for broadband providers. These ISPs will inflate prices, degrade services, and there is nothing the consumer can do about it. They have done it in the past, they will

do it again. Anything the FCC does should be to increase competition in existing markets AS WELL AS expanding to rural areas of the USA. Also, for the sake of the American people Ajit Pai should be required to sign a non-compete or some sort of legal agreement that he will not work for any time of company that benefits from the FCC rulings for the next 15 years. This will help ensure he is not laying ground work for him to go back to Verizon or join a different communications company after his stint on the FCC. Thank you

1779. Dustin Brookens, Papillion, NE, 68046
Because we want a free market, not a restricted speed way!
1780. Rachel , Omaha, NE, 68116
Net Neutrality is important to me because it involves my First Amendment rights. Net Neutrality guarantees and protects freedom of speech and the right of people to peacefully assemble (even assembling online on website discussion forums, for example). I do not want online information blocked or online content be interfered. Keep net neutrality!
1781. Pix , Omaha, NE, 68118
i don't want to pay to be on the damn internet
1782. DeVaun Smith, Omaha, NE, 68111
The internet is responsible for many great things. Freedom of the internet should not be limited to serve big business.
1783. Grae , Omaha, NE, 68135
We need it because it's a place where many can go to express themselves for limited expense.
1784. Jacob Schreiber, Omaha, NE, 68104
You need to leave Net Neutrality alone, it is none of your business what content appears on the Internet. Stop trying to make America horrible.
1785. Treyton Opocensky, Omaha, NE, 68154
Net Neutrality is important to me because I don't think anyone should have the ability to decide what websites are easier or harder to view.
1786. Lisa , Omaha, NE, 68104
This is an attempt to keep Americans in the dark. We have the right to know what's REALLY happening in the world, who to vote for, and to other important information. Please don't set back America to an uninformed therefore ignorant country.
1787. Mary and Gary Preston , Papillion, NE, 68046
To us, losing Net Neutrality, would be synonymous with having the soldiers of

a dictator at our door.

1788. Jeff Jansen, Omaha, NE, 68106
Why is there such a huge push towards a dictatorship?? EVERYTHING about what it means to be an American and every reason to want to live in this once great (before 1/20/17) country is being attacked and threatened by this poor excuse of an administration. This must stop IMMEDIATELY!!!
1789. Jonathan Titus, Omaha, NE, 68116
Don't let lobbyists ruin our country. An equal playing field is essential to this vital technology.
1790. Nina Simpkins, Omaha, NE, 68130
Alie Simpkins
1791. Connie , Omaha, NE, 68144
Net Neutrality is vital to the general population and internet speed & access should not be favored for the wealthy & businesses.
1792. Stegman Ruth, Omaha, NE, 68106
impeach trump and all internet problems will end.
1793. Nancy Newton, Omaha, NE, 68132
Please preserve Net Neutrality. Internet users should not be subject to providers deciding what content can easily be accessed. It may seem that such actions would be a bad market decision, but past examples (Madison River, Comcast, Telus, AT&T, Windstream, MetroPCS, Paxfire, Sprint and Verizon have all violated Net Neutrality in the past) indicate that is not the case.If it is a good idea, and it is, it should be formalized in policy. Thank you.
1794. Timothy Scott Shepherd II, Omaha, NE, 68154
The american people are not as stupid as you seem to think. It is absurd to believe that internet access in the US is at the level it should be in either speed or price. Instead of investing in improving service, letting the ISPs obtain effective monopolies (saying that having two ISPs in a congressional block means that there is competition is ludicrous) has created an industry with quite high profit margins (they get GBs for a penny, while I am lucky if I get a Gb/\$0.20) that is focused on increasing the profit margin while providing some of the worst customer service in ANY industry. Their main goal is to increase these margins, and one of the ways they do that is having other services like online video. They have proven in the past that they cannot resist treating their own traffic as a priority over their competition. Removing the Title II classification and allowing the "open market" to control itself is going to lead to them doing what they have always done, prioritize THEIR profits over their customers. You may try to convince yourself that internet access is not a

necessity, so it should not matter, but there are many things that are vital that require the internet. I just spent the last 5 years getting a BS and an MS degree and there was not a single class that I had that did not have some form of internet access required for lectures, notes, homework, etc. I know that you value what the corporations tell you because they coat the bullshit with money, but you should value the american people and not just keep title II classification, but also implement a national fiber network with local loop unbundling. Let the people decide what type of internet they want when they have choices instead of being forced to pay for crap because it is the only option.

1795. Kerry Hoiberg, Omaha, NE, 68105

I am for net neutrality. I believe that the big ISP providers will not self police and will abuse their ability to slow or promote certain sites. The rules need to stay in place to safe guard the American people.

1796. John J Edwards, Omaha, NE, 68134

Please support and enforce strong Network Neutrality rules. The Internet is a vital part of our everyday life that must be protected much like water, natural gas and electrical utilities. The high entry cost of the network infrastructure supporting the Internet makes it nearly impossible for new competition to emerge and as such, the few existing companies owning the current infrastructure can and do currently wield great power and control over how it is used. Without strict regulation on the abuse of this power, this country runs great risk of losing the best engine of progress seen in our lifetime? So much of our modern world exists because of the historic unbound and open nature of the Internet.

1797. robert seidler, La vista, NE, 68128

Net neutrality is extremely important part of the open and free internet. It allows for smaller companies to compete. Getting rid of net neutrality would be the worst thing to happen. To the internet

1798. Ryan Patch, Omaha, NE, 68134

Net Neutrality supports innovation and economic development at the expense of the interests of the monopoly holding ISPs. Putting the interests of a government sanctioned monopoly over that of a self-made entrepreneur is the least American idea since the Quartering Act .

1799. Gary Stallings, Omaha, NE, 68137

Net Neutrality is necessary to prevent bias and an unfair advantage to big business. As someone who works in web based services I can say first hand reversing this will have a long lasting negative impact on how the internet is used today and in the future.

1800. Bret Elliott, Omaha, NE, 68138
Net neutrality is essential to our culture. Big business ISP's are huge corporations which will not be harmed by upholding net neutrality. Overturning the rules will only harm the consumer who should be your primary concern. We are citizens who's voices should be heard.
1801. L J King, Omaha, NE, 68130
Now is the time to pursue bipartisan Congressional action to protect an open internet. TOGETHER, WE CAN ACHIEVE NET EQUALITY! We need to keep the internet open. Not doing so would be a grave mistake, greatly affecting the national economy and further destroying the middle class of America.
1802. Amanda Thayer, Papillion, NE, 68133
I support strong Net Neutrality rules based on Title II of the Communications Act.
1803. Bianca, Omaha, NE, 68164
Net neutrality is the only thing that allows me to watch old episodes of girl meets world without getting the lag I know I deserve.
1804. Broderick M Wilson, Omaha, NE, 68135
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. We cannot allow internet providers or anyone else the freedom to potentially restrict, prevent, or otherwise favor content of any kind on this platform. These restrictions are essential to protecting freedom of the media and speech as well as small businesses which, as all of Washington DC relishes in reminding us, are the backbone of this country.
1805. Jackson Mullen, Gretna, NE, 68028
I support net neutrality and a free and open web. The people of America are overwhelmingly against gutting net neutrality.
1806. Net Neutrality, Omaha, NE, 68137
Ending net neutrality will do to internet employment what the ceasing of manufacturing has already done to this country. No entity or group of entities should have to ability to control the flow of information or commerce, or put their price on it. I oppose any attempt to provide preferential treatment or power to a small group of for-profit entities.
1807. Chad Sutton, Omaha, NE, 68154
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Please listen to the American people, and do right by them. Stay true to the principles that this great nation was founded on. May God bless you all; God bless the United States of America.

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used natural language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. <https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9f0e3ed36a6>.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.