

# Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from MI District #7

These are the 1,123 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Tim Walberg's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. Chris Barrett, Dexter, MI, 48130

Net Neutrality is essential to consumers, as well as education and business consumers. In many areas, companies like Comcast have a countywide monopoly. Dropping net neutrality would allow them to price gouge customers and would actually do more harm than good. In my county, no other cable provider is granted a license to operate. We get the choice of slow DSL or Comcast. It's widely known that Comcast is horrible when it comes to customer service. Please do not enable them to treat us, the people, worse than they already do! The FCC should have consumers interest in mind. More internet, faster speeds and less caps. Open our country up like so many other countries. We should invest in our infrastructure more, but not funding it with greedy price gouging. Regulate internet like a utility. They should have to get approval before rate hikes. In this day and age, everyone should be able to afford internet!

2. Sebastian Green-Husted, Lansing, MI, 48917

Repealing Net Neutrality would be a disaster for the economy, and crush American innovation in the tech sector for decades. Allowing companies that have been granted monopolies on providing internet access to use that access to negatively influence their competition in other sectors is to remove government protections to promote innovation and competition. We have one Internet, and it must be operated in the public good.

3. Peter Grivins, Eaton Rapids, MI, 48827

I am vehemently opposed to the proposed reversal of net neutrality rules. Allowing corporations to dictate how internet traffic is handled is not in keeping with the open spirit of the internet. Net neutrality must stay in place.

4. Stephen Ulicny, Jackson, MI, 49201

I grew up in the heyday of dial up modem Bulletin Board Systems and then the early days of commercial online services such as prodigy, compuserve, and aol. In the early days, the creativity and advancement took place on those bbs's over the walled gardens provided by the commercial providers. And they thrived because there was no preference over the dial up POTS lines. Then the advent of the free and open Internet came and the same pioneers led an explosion of innovation with many again benefiting from open non prioritized access between their servers and th users. I support the continued neutrality of the internet and object to any entities being able to prioritize traffic or restrict based on their interests.

5. Thomas A. Stansel, Jackson, MI, 49201

I support Net Neutrality. EVERYONE should have equal, and open, access to the internet. Any politician NOT in favor isn't simply against the citizens of this great nation..... but will be showing that they only support their corporate masters and not the people they've been elected to represent. Support Net Neutrality or support your last term in office. The choice is yours.

6. Niki Bratchette, Lansing, MI, 48917

Our family is completely in favor of Title II (Net Neutrality). It is the most solid legal foundation for Net protection. DO NOT TAKE IT WAY. ISP's must have oversight.

7. Freda Cannon, Jackson, MI, 49201

I remember when we signed a 2 year contract with a provider and services that were competing with the providers own choice for their subscribers were slowed down and impossible to use at times. Your locked in a contract and you can't make freedom of choice to use what your are comfortable with. I do not want to ever go back to that situation. Please Preserve the Net Neutrality and Title II that keep our internet freedom. We have a right to freedom of choice in this country and you must preserve that right!!!!!!

8. Roger Kirk, Jackson, MI, 49202

I strongly support current Net Neutrality rules and ask that these rules be kept in place or strengthened to protect consumers from Internet Service Providers (ISP) throttling bandwidth speed or blocking access to various sites solely to provide the ISP a monetary advantage over a competitor or enforcing a monopoly on certain services at the expense of it's customers.

9. Danielle Brosamer, Brooklyn, MI, 49230

I specifically support strong net neutrality!!!!

10. Chris Porter, Jackson, MI, 49203

This brazen attack on the right to a free and open internet by an embedded, paid shill for the ISPs (Ajit Pai) is untenable. Such open and shameless pandering to an industry that made him wealthy, undermines the tenets of government of being by the people and for the people. Net neutrality being regulated and enforced through Title II regulation is the only way to make sure that the rights of consumers are sufficiently protected, especially given the presence of an individual who is hostile to consumer protection, Ajit Pai. His blatant conflict of interest in proposing to loosen regulations on an industry that he was employed in until very recently is, without doubt, corrupt and demonstrably against the best interests of the American people. I cannot more strongly oppose any such easing of regulations than to say this is a direct attack on the people Mr Pai is supposed to represent, and a give away to those who have enriched him for immoral and un-American purposes.

11. Matthew Davenport, Adrian, MI, 49221

Without regulation, the only way to free up the internet "last mile" is to make exclusive contracts illegal. The fact that my local ISPs are limited to Frontier by phone line and Comcast by cable is entirely because they're the only ones allowed to use the utility poles in the neighborhood. Why? That is a public way, but because of "Franchise agreements" that last for decades no other ISPs are allowed to use those poles. If they're using those poles, why are they not considered a utility? We finally (just this month) have real competition for Comcast, but it cost that company a lot more money because they had to dig the lines to each house. This was planned before you've been considering deregulating the internet as utilities, but yet they wanted to get involved. My point, until we have true competition at the last mile, deregulation will never work. Consumers need reliable and speedy internet for the modern world. If we all had it, there would be a lot more jobs created just by working from the computer.

12. Owen Creger, Pleasant Lake, MI, 49272

ISP's should be regulated under title 2. Do not allow ISP's to break the internet. I have been working in the industry for 20+ years, first building wide area computer networks, and now defending our critical infrastructure from malicious hackers. I feel the new FCC commissioner is being disingenuous when stating that net neutrality enforced by law is not necessary. There are numerous example of ISP and other communications providers behaving in ways that only entrench their positions and create unfair barriers to entry of new or disruptive companies. We do not allow phone companies to hamper calls from their competitors, and we should not allow ISPs to do it either.

13. Autumn Thomas, Waldron, MI, 49288  
I am strongly urging you the FCC to keep and maintain strong Title II Net Neutrality rules as it affects us all including yourselves. We also need faster internet like the Europeans have.
14. Matthew Clayton, Temperance, MI, 48182  
I would like to strongly advocate that ISP's remain under Title 2 regulations to ensure that Net Neutrality protections have a strong legal foothold for consumers.
15. Cory Conger, Tecumseh, MI, 49286  
Net Neutrality is a keystone of the American internet experience. There is a lot the FCC can do to help the PEOPLE of this great country achieve individual success, and supporting it SHOULD be the focus of the FCC. Please ensure that the internet continues in it's current form. ISP's, strongly regulated under Title 2 standards ensure this. So, just to be sure you're getting what I'm saying: I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you.
16. Charlie, brooklyn, MI, 49230  
Please keep net neutrality under title two, in fact, while you're at it, let me say "fuck" on the radio, that's much more important.
17. Michael Denney, Brooklyn, MI, 49230  
The FCC should maintain net neutrality and continue to regulate ISPs under Title 2. Internet service has become at least as important as other utilities and in many areas there are only 1 or 2 high speed providers available. Allowing sites to pay to limit access to competitors would violate free choice in chilling ways.
18. FRANK DELUCA, MONROE, MI, 48161  
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. To even consider any other avenue in this case is ignorant, reckless, and moronic. Don't be a fool, Ajit Pai.
19. David Presley, Temperance, MI, 48182  
Much like the rest of anyone who regularly uses the internet I am regularly opposed to loosening Title II restrictions on ISP's. You can't trust every company on the honor system.
20. Jeffrey Hotke, Adrian, MI, 49221  
17-108 is a travesty for an open internet. ISP's are a gateway to the internet and should not under any circumstances be able to create fast or slow lanes to any content. It's a joke that we as Americans have "choice" in who are ISP is. Generally, there are fewer than two ISPs to pick from in any area where I have lived. If say Comcast decided to slow Netflix down to promote it's own

steaming or regular cable services that would be ok with this proceeding. I truly believe that ISPs should only serve the exact same speeds to any and every website and service. I am not paying them to slow down something for their own profit. They provide a service just like a Telecom, which millions of Americans depend on. The profits that will entice some ISPs to act not in accordance with their customers best interests but solely for the all mighty dollar. Please keep the Internet open and free of these terrible rules. I want an Internet that when my kids get old enough to use it's better and more open then the dark road this is going to take us down.

21. Brice Bowman, Monroe, MI, 48161  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and being enforced under Title II.
22. Thomas Moore, Jackson, MI, 49203  
Please keep strong net neutrality and do not make any changes. The rules currently as is, backed by Title II, are adequate. We do not want our internet messed with!
23. Randyll Shook, Lansing, MI, 48917  
I support Internet neutrality, backed by Title Two over site of ISPs.
24. Matthew Twiss, Adrian, MI, 49221  
I support strong Net Neutrality with Title II oversight. Keep the Internet free and neutral, or else we lose our ability to be free!
25. net neutrality, Hillsdale, MI, 49242  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's Thank you
26. Josh Colletta, Hillsdale, MI, 49242  
We already know that the endgame here is ISPs censoring the Internet for their subscribers as ISPs would have it be censored. Chairman Ajit Pai was a lawyer for Verizon, the company that argued against net neutrality in the case that led the FCC to reclassify ISPs as Title II common carriers. Pai was against that move from the very beginning, because he owes the fact that he's on the commission to his work at one of the very companies that was caught violating net neutrality rules before doing so was illegal. He is not a communications engineer, nor is he any sort of expert in the communications field. He is a hack lawyer who never should have been appointed to the commission in the first place due to conflicts of interest, and certainly never should have been made chairman due to both those conflicts of interest and his history of blatant partisanship in favor of the Republican Party regardless of whether the policy in question is sound or even constitutional. The American people have already spoken on this issue, and we have said plainly and clearly that we will not let this happen. If Pai attempts this change, it will be his professional downfall.

27. Michael Behnke, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
I am writing to express my opposition to the proposed FCC changes re: Restoring Internet Freedom. An ironic title, given that the FCC rule would actually concentrate control of the internet into a handful of incumbent service providers and leave the FCC with no way to regulate anti-competitive behavior by them. Those companies would be able to favor their own services or services of those that pay them, effectively creating a "pay to play" environment on the internet, stifling new innovation and new companies that might compete with the existing ones. This proposed rule does not meet the FCC's stated goals, it would not promote economic growth. The existing rule does not unfairly burden existing companies, and it has not prevented them from investing in infrastructure (specifically, Verizon's CEO said that the existing rules have had zero affect on their infrastructure and other investments.) In addition, the public has already expressed extreme levels of support for the current FCC net neutrality rules, and is not in favor of going back to the way things were before those rules were in place. I strongly urge the FCC to reject the Restoring Internet Freedom Rule.
28. Richard Russeau, Petersburg, MI, 49270  
I strongly support net neutrality rules and title 2. The big ISP providers already own us Americans if we want to use the internet. Don't give them more control.
29. Darron Morris, willis, MI, 48191  
Title II MUST remain as an oversight to internet service providers. Trusting ISPs to police themselves is not a option for consumers, as has been demonstrated in the past.I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers.
30. Marcus Cervantes, Eaton Rapids, MI, 48827  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 regulation of Internet Service Providers. Stay true to the American people Mr. Pai, not corporate interests.
31. Nathan Kusler, Mulliken, MI, 48861  
I support the current listing of Net Neutrality, leaving ISPs under Title II. ISPs need strong oversight from the FCC and internet access should be a basic human right considering I had to use it just to contact the FCC about it.
32. Net Neutrality, Monroe, MI, 48162  
Please do not let net neutrality be ruined. The internet is the most important resource of the 21st century. If it should be tampered with in any way in the U.S. the U.S. will no longer be a leading country. This is not an exaggeration. Soon the internet will be where you go to school, it will be you run your business, it will be everything. Please protect my right to use it without my ISP's tampering with the experience.

33. Brad, Ann arbor, MI, 48103  
I very much support strong net neutrality rules backed by title 2 regulation of ISP's.
34. Paul Arquette, Temperance, MI, 48182  
I oppose any attempt by Chairman Pai to roll back the FCC's net neutrality protections. In addition, I oppose any effort in Congress to undermine the Open Internet Order. A free and open Internet is essential for a free society. I urge you to resist attempts to weaken net neutrality protections and entrench Internet monopolies.
35. Ericka Kruszka, Colon, MI, 49040  
I support strong net neutrality blocked by title 2 oversight of ISP's.
36. Helen Broom, Eaton Rapids, MI, 48827  
Keep Title 2, Net Neutrality is too important to mess with.
37. Steve Rohs, Charlotte, MI, 48813  
Net neutrality is now essential to the free exchange of ideas in an open society. Our public sphere has undergone a radical transformation in the last 30 years, and it is now the province of the internet to provide the medium for free exchange of ideas. Proposed changes to net neutrality can be seen as corporate restriction of the freedoms of speech crucial to an open society. We urge opposition to these changes.
38. Matthew Hill, PhD, Spring Arbor, MI, 49283  
Please protect Net Neutrality. It's good for American citizens no matter what party they are from.
39. Zachary Wade, South Rockwood, MI, 48179  
Please label ISPs as title 2 common carriers.
40. Stephen Lee Mitchell, Adrian, MI, 49221  
I support net neutrality. Keep internet service providers regulated by Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. As well, please refer to my comment the last time this was an issue: On the matter of preserving an open internet, or net neutrality, I'd like to voice my support for maintaining rules that do not allow internet service providers to in any way discriminate in their supplying bandwidth to specific web addresses. Instead, the FCC should impose fines and other sanctions on internet service providers who have already engaged in such activity. In 2012, the Human Rights Council of the United Nations affirmed "that the same rights that people have offline must also be protected online, in particular freedom of expression." (A/HRC/20/L.13) The internet was not created by any private interest. The technology that sustains the internet, and the internet itself, were created through the efforts of generations of great thinkers,

the vast majority of whom were committed to human civilization's education and betterment. Private interests have no right to take ownership of the internet except by means of their maintenance of the infrastructure that supports it, which in no way entails the right to regulate the ways in which data is transferred through said infrastructure. The material belongs to them, but not the ideas, and not access to the ideas.

41. Robin Harris, Lansing, MI, 48917

We're paying attention and we vote. Don't be a stupid ass-hole. Also, if you're trying to do something that you don't want people to notice, maybe don't brandish an over-sized novelty coffee mug in front of every camera you see. Idiot.

42. Sean Seger, Morenci, MI, 49256

I am writing to express my concern with changing the ISPs from Level II back to Level I. As the courts have stated that the Level II classification is required by the FCC to maintain and regulate Net Neutrality, I find the notion of moving them back to Level I to be disingenuous. I also feel as if it is a way to allow the ISPs to essentially ignore any Net Neutrality regulations they are currently beholden to. Net Neutrality is a core principal of what makes the internet work, removal of this foundation makes me afraid we would see the Internet go the route of cable where content providers have to pay to be included and the costs would be passed on to the consumers. Please protect Net Neutrality and reject this change in regulations and ISP classifications.

43. 17-108, Dexter, MI, 48130

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of all Internet Service Providers. I see no evidence to the claims made that Title II oversight adversely affects Internet Service Providers.

44. Jason Cole, Ann Arbor, MI, 48103

I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. ISPs should also volunteer to support Net Neutrality in their Terms of Service, but should be held to that by Title II oversight. ISPs volunteering is not enough protection for consumers. American's have a right to view, post and publish freely on the internet without ISP's deciding what is fast or slow to fit their own strategies and profit margins. I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

45. John Vincent, Brooklyn, MI, 49230

leave the net neutrality issue alone! i like my internet the way it is i don't need comcast or VERIZON fucking with how fast i can access things ..leave things be!

46. Patrick Himes, Adrian, MI, 49221

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Also, for making "All information submitted, including names and addresses, will be publicly available via the web." instead of protecting people's information, go FCC yourself. Publicly publishing a person's Name, Address, and email is a great way to open people up for phishing scams and identity theft.

47. Sabin Enerson, Hudson, MI, 49247

Dear FCC, My name is Sabin Enerson, and I just wanted to express to you my strong support for net neutrality. I believe doing away with it would prove detrimental to the entire country. Maintain net neutrality. Thank you.

48. jef cieslinski, dexter, MI, 48130

please keep ISP's classified as title 2.

49. Zachary Harns, Lansing, MI, 48917

I am writing Mr. Ajit Pai and other members of the FCC debating over this issue to voice my support for keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

50. David Hardcastle, Dexter, MI, 48130

Please do not make changes to the current rules governing net neutrality. Title 2 is the right classification for ISP. Thanks, David

51. Martin Smith, Dundee, MI, 48131

Leave Title II in place to ensure a competitive Internet marketplace.

52. Andrew Mercer, Dimondale, MI, 48821

I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II and I urge you to leave it in place.

53. Zachariah Torrey, Munith, MI, 49259

You cannot move ISP's from title two to title one. Freedom is important to me and every american.

54. Ignacio Paz, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189

The access to the internet via ISP(Internet Service Providers) should be regulated. The Title 2 designation should continue to encompass all ISP(s). Equal access to all the internet is the greatest equalizer this world has ever seen. To de-regulate ISP(s) would give them the ability to give preferential treatment to properties/holdings that would benefit the company/shareholders. Please do not change these regulations.

55. Charles Nelson, Springport, MI, 49284

Please do not rescind the OBAMA administrations measures concerning internet neutrality.

56. Timothy F Bird, Jackson, MI, 49203  
Please keep net neutrality by upholding Title II classification. Companies can not be trusted to self regulate as has been shown time and time again.
57. Paige Beauchene, Albion, MI, 49224  
The principle of Net Neutrality protects free speech and open access to information by prohibiting internet service providers from speeding up, slowing down or blocking any content, applications or websites a user chooses to access. This is the way that the internet has worked in the past, and must be maintained. Net Neutrality is the internet's guiding principle: It preserves our right to communicate freely online. Net Neutrality protects free speech. ISPs should provide us with open networks and should not be permitted to block or discriminate against any applications or content reached over those networks. Save the Internet.Com states that, "Without Net Neutrality, cable and phone companies could carve the internet into fast and slow lanes. An ISP could slow down its competitors' content or block political opinions it disagreed with. ISPs could charge extra fees to the few content companies that could afford to pay for preferential treatment relegating everyone else to a slower tier of service. This would destroy the open internet. The internet without Net Neutrality isn't really the internet. Unlike the open internet that has paved the way for so much innovation and given a platform to people who have historically been shut out, it would become a closed-down network where cable and phone companies call the shots and decide which websites, content or applications succeed." Without Net Neutrality, the expression of social and political views, both progressive and conservative, and even alt-right views would be at the mercy of the ISPs. Net Neutrality must be supported by the FCC. Thank you.
58. greg hawes, jackson, MI, 49203  
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Try doing what is right for all the people who you work for and not just a few \$\$\$\$\$\$ players.
59. Jeanne Kusina, Lambertville. MI, MI, 48144  
Please continue to keep net neutrality laws as they are, under Title II. The glaring conflict of interest between the head of the FCC and his former employer, Verizon, is appalling. Changing these rules is not in the best interest of the American people and those bedding it should be carefully investigated.
60. collin palmer, Willis, MI, 48191  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality back by Title II oversight of ISP's
61. Richard & Trine Ramalia, Jackson, MI, 49201

We are in support of strong Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.

62. Dale Hansen, Saline, MI, 48176  
As a business owner I'm deeply concerned that our ability to transmit or receive information via the internet could be impacted by corporate interests. The idea that somehow service providers would stop innovating or investing is absurd and isn't supported by history. I urge you make sure you are putting the needs of the people ahead of the interests of big corporations.
63. Adam Hirst, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837  
I support net neutrality and governing ISP s under title 2
64. Craig, Blissfield, MI, 49228  
Keep net neutrality. Be upfront with why you do not want it. Can we stop with the cloak and dagger stuff? Politicians acting like this in the information age are going to lead to anarchy. Nobody wants that. DO BETTER with you JOB.
65. Lucas Barron, Temperance, MI, 48182  
I support net neutrality regulations. I am against repealing anything. Internet service providers should be regulated like other common utilities. They provide an increasingly important service, and most customers have very little choice of providers. As such, they should be required to deliver all content, for the same price, regardless of origin.
66. Krysten Rath, Monroe, MI, 48161  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.P.S. John Oliver rocks.
67. Adam Broadwater, Coldwater, MI, 49036  
Please retain the current rules regarding net neutrality as title II. Title II oversight has not reduced investment in infrastructure. Allowing corporations to create pay for performance lanes reduces competition, and harms competition and free speech.
68. John Martin Fowler III, Monroe, MI, 48162  
I greatly support classifying the internet under Title II Communications.
69. Jordan Norris, Hillsdale, MI, 49242  
I am in full support of a free and open internet, and because of that I support net neutrality. Do not end it.
70. Matthew Gillette, Osseo, MI, 49266  
Okay yes, John Oliver led me here. But in all seriousness, it is extremely important that we protect net neutrality. It's almost impossible in this day and age to live your life without the internet being a major part of it, whether it's as

part of your job, promoting social issues, keeping in touch with friends and family, or just having fun. But we need to make sure that there are checks and balances being put in place for the companies that provide us with that service; trusting in companies to regulate themselves has proven time and again to flat-out not work, so it's important that we keep them in check and hold them accountable. So please, please make supporting and protecting net neutrality a serious priority. Thanks very much for your time.

71. Isaac Grainger, Monroe, MI, 48161  
Enforce title 2 for Net Neutrality. Also John Oliver :)
72. josh, lansing, MI, 48917  
keep net neutrality and title II.
73. Jacob Stryker Fielding, Coldwater, MI, 49036  
Dear Mr. Ajit Pai,I strongly support Net Neutrality, specifically when backed by TITLE 2 oversight of ISP's!Thank you
74. Robert, Morenci, MI, 49256  
The Internet requires protection from outside forces to keep it in a state of Free market. By stripping regulation you actually make the market less free by allowing another entity(Service Providers) to control it, who then regulate it negatively and for their own gain. IE The Opposite of Free Market.  
(Mercantilism)
75. Todd Murphy, Monroe, MI, 48162  
As someone who has worked in technology for many years now, it is more important than ever to preserve the Net Neutrality. Title II is an important piece of that. This cannot be something that is left up to the providers to "self-govern".
76. Mark Weiman, Jackson, MI, 49203  
I am not in support of this proceeding as it will remove as it will remove what makes the internet here in the United States great. If this proceeding were to pass, people like me will not have the same rights as people or companies with larger wallets than myself. I implore you, as a citizen of this great country, to reconsider this.
77. Brian Sarkisian, Adrian, MI, 49221  
We should keep the net neutrality law alone. I am really disappointed how the new FCC commissioner is spinning this issue.This reminds me of the of the Broadband Over Power Lines was initially approved by the FCC even though there were huge radio frequency interference problems.So sad .....
78. stefan zigulis, Milan, MI, 48160

I support isps being regulated by title 2 of the communications act. Net neutrality will not be protected and enforced with out this regulation

79. Sarah Hutchinson, Temperance, MI, 48182  
I support strong regulations on ISPS backed by Title II.
80. Brian Mahoney, Hillsdale, MI, 49242  
I support strong net neutrality. Backed by Title 2 Oversight of ISPs.
81. Kevin Marsh, Lansing, MI, 48917  
Preserve Net Neutrality! Leave ISP's classified as Title 2. Corporations can already buy politicians, don't let them control the flow of information as well.
82. Jose Mejia, Tecumseh, MI, 49286  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not jeopardize the internet access of the American people.
83. Blue Kirkpatrick, Ann Arbor, MI, 48103  
I specifically support strong neutrality backed by Title 2 control of ISPs
84. Martha S Williams, Ann Arbor, MI, 48103  
I support STRONG Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This is important to the future of the internet!
85. max peston, Diamondale, MI, 48821  
The American citizens want net neutrality. Don't sell out to the big companies
86. Dan Gumtow, Saline, MI, 48176  
Please support net neutrality and strong title 2 protections. Thank you
87. Holly Harmon, Saline, MI, 48176  
Internet Neutrality is incredibly important. If you take away you are not supporting the american people, but big business.
88. Brian Brendel, Reading, MI, 49274  
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. It is a strong protection for the American people's rights to information.
89. Maxwell Powlison, Jackson, MI, 49203  
I support strong net-neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs). It is needlessly important that the ISPs do not misuse their position by slowing down connections to or denying service to arbitrary websites. Allowing them to do so puts them in a position to bully other companies into paying for access to the ISPs' customer base; this puts large amounts of economic stress on the target companies, and causes the consumer

to lose a degree of economic choice -- leading to a less perfect, and ultimately more failed, market -- by the denial of information. By the same mechanism for that of limiting consumer choice, denial of information, they can also influence people's thought and political opinions in a very unjust and amoral fashion. It is the ISPs' jobs to build and maintain the roads of the internet, not to control them. And it is not without precedent that they are in the position to do so, with the will to do so.

90. Travis Wooley, Monroe, MI, 48161

Please Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. A free and open internet its crucial to not only our digital sovereignty, but to the digital economy at large.

91. Jared Karlow, Manchester, MI, 48158

I support ISP regulation under title II. ISPs have a track record of trying to abuse their customers (I've been party to multiple FCC complaint filings in the past) and we need firm regulation to keep them honest. If the economics are a challenge, let's work to improve the FCC so that it's more effective at easing the burden of regulation. Since I'm in tech though, I know that the claim that this regulation is burdensome is fraudulent. I do big data analytics for my career; this isn't hard to do, and I for one expect more from my government than to be unable to do this. Meanwhile, if the ISPs need further government investment to pursue competitive development of information infrastructure, the FCC should use its resources and knowledge to make that recommendation to congress.

92. Meghan Newlin, Blissfield, MI, 49228

We need strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This constant drive to make money is ultimately detrimental to the individual consumers, and we do not need to bring this to the Internet as well. The only people that want to see net neutrality gone are the ISPs, everyone else stands against it, and I hope that you please consider the my and other's comments on the matter, and not just the money from these companies. Thank you.

93. James Reilly, Saline, MI, 48176

Net neutrality needs to be protected with strong regulations and strong enforcement of Title 2.

94. Gerald P Roston Phd, Saline, MI, 48176

Mr. Pai,I am writing as a technology pioneer, someone who has used the Internet for longer than 99.97% of the world's population, i.e., since before it was even called the Internet. Prior to the Internet's being opened to the public, net neutrality was not discussed as it was simply understood by the entire community that net neutrality was the underlying premise upon which the community was built. When web browsers first appeared and the Internet started being used for commercial purposes, there was grave concern on the part

of the community that the Internet's underlying principle, net neutrality, would be threatened by commercial concerns. Fortunately, these fears have not been realized - much of the value provided by the Internet has been driven by commercial concerns, but only because everyone's traffic is treated equally. Stalwarts such as Google, Amazon, Facebook, and others, simply would not exist today without net neutrality. Now, you threaten to overturn the core operating principle of the greatest system ever deployed by man, and only for the reason of providing certain companies with an unfair advantage so that they can generate more profit. To say that this proposed legislation is shameful is an understatement - it is an affront to the core values upon which our country was founded - providing equal opportunity to all. Should you be foolish enough to pass this legislation, you will likely stymie our country's vibrant startup community and will provide the opening for some other country, perhaps China, to become the world's leader in the development of new, exciting Internet-based technologies and businesses. What makes this so painful is that once the crown of technological leadership is passed to another nation, it will be well-nigh impossible for us to regain it.

95. Cynthia A Bily, Adrian, MI, 49221  
Please support net neutrality and Title II rules. The internet is an important resource to level any number of playing fields--it cannot be sold to the highest bidder. Thank you.
96. Nicholas Farley, Monroe, MI, 48162  
Net Neutrality cannot destroyed like this. Please! Net Neutrality is important to me, and important for me.
97. Thomas Macias, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
I am in favor of strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Removing these protections makes no sense and does not help the overwhelming majority of Americans.
98. Erin McAuley, Lansing, MI, 48917  
Please protect net neutrality and keep the internet free and open for all.
99. Chad Eisner, Ann Arbor, MI, 48103  
I support Net Neutrality and keeping ISPs in Title 2. Do NOT change this rule.
100. Aaron Fremuth, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
I completely disagree with the actions Chairman Pai is undertaking to remove Internet service providers as common carriers under Title II. Net Neutrality regulations are needed to safeguard our primary means of communication and information sharing from carriers (businesses) whose primary concern is profits. Simply trusting businesses to do the right thing without regulation or incentives is a proven foolish assumption and a mistake our nation cannot afford to make.

101. Jarrod Sandel, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. We need an open internet free of ISP bias to ensure free speech, education, and commerce can be available to all.
102. Jared Richter, Saline, MI, 48176  
If net neutrality is taken away, it will allow for ISPs to dictate who sees what. Quite frankly, if there is a main problem with how communications exist within this country, it would be the fact that so few companies control way too much. If you want a healthy country, it starts with healthy communication. DO NOT allow for net neutrality to expire. Our future depends on it, so many have made their living from an idea that they have posted online. Taking away their lively hood by allowing ISPs to make even more money is a terrible idea. In addition to that, why not instead of blaming people's freedoms on why there is a lack of infrastructure growth, blame the companies who did not invest the several billion dollar grants given to them by the federal government for infrastructure growth.
103. Nick Mead, Dimondale, MI, 48821  
I \*specifically\* support keeping the ISP's under title 2
104. Andrew Assenmacher, Ida, MI, 48140  
Do not change net neutrality laws. I support strong net neutrality and title II oversight of ISP's.
105. Merv Carse, Ann Arbor, MI, 48103  
I strongly support internet neutrality as outlined in Title 2
106. John Montri, Dundee, MI, 48131  
"Disgraceful new government officials want to end net neutrality to be more like communist China. SAD!" Seriously now. Have some common sense. Now is your opportunity to deviate from several generations of past government and care about the American people's freedom. Learn what net neutrality is and means for freedom instead of just having the mindset of, "OBAMA DID IT, SO IT MUST BE EVIL." Please do not allow net neutrality to fail and allow the inevitable censorship and limitations on free speech; doing so is un-American. Besides, think of the future of your party, Republicans. Any Republican with any common sense can see that getting rid of net neutrality makes the main stream media so much more powerful. If you truly think that that main stream media has a liberal bias, you'll continue to grow new generations of extremely liberal kids who will destroy all your ideals while you try to enjoy your golden years.
107. Lisa Wilson, Milan, MI, 48160  
Preserve Net Neutrality backed by Title II. This is a no-brainer, folks.

108. Noah Whitney, Jackson, MI, 49201  
I am in strong support of net neutrality, supported by ISP over site by Title 2.
109. Robert Tuck, Tecumseh, MI, 49286  
I strongly disagree with removing Title 2 oversight from ISP and cellular companies. Please reconsider and leave strong Net Neutrality rules in place.
110. Angelo Molina, Temperance, MI, 48182  
Please preserve Title 2 in order to maintain net neutrality.
111. Melissa Squires, Tecumseh, MI, 49286  
To whom it may concern I specifically support strong net neutrality as expressed by title 2 oversight by ISPs. Do not monopolize the flow and speed of my service, do not open these doors. allMelissa
112. Jeremy Stoll, Willis, MI, 48191  
I am for Net Neutrality because it is essential to our democracy, our economy, and to our society as it stands today. Please do not remove the regulations, as they will only benefit corporations and the wealthiest of the wealthy.
113. Nicholas Kramer, Monroe, MI, 48162  
I strongly support net neutrality backed Title II oversight of ISP's.
114. Callie Libey, Brooklyn, MI, 49230  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Doing away with these things would be a tragedy and would allow service providers to control what people look at and do. The internet should not be a dictatorship run by companies.
115. Nicholas Lamb, Dexter, MI, 48130  
Chairman Pai, I support the preservation of net neutrality and the Title 2 regulation of ISPs.
116. Natalie Ritsema, Dexter, MI, 48130  
I support strong net neutrality laws and title 2 oversight of ISPs.
117. Charity Hardy-Downing, Jackson, MI, 49203  
We the people have the right to net neutrality. We should not have one business's interest pushed above everyone else. Nobody should have to pay more because they are not favored. Keep the internet neutral. It is in the people's best interest, not corporations. Thank you for reading.
118. Dane Parsons, Spring Arbor, MI, 49283  
I'm not in favor of taking away Net Neutrality or the Restrictions on ISP's in Title II. I want to be able to use the internet without being afraid of how companies might tamper with the internet speed of competing programs. Please

do your part to end this. Thank you for the work you do.

119. Shawn Jackson, Milan, MI, 48160  
As someone who is deeply invested in new media, online content distribution, and assisting startups, I vehemently believe that the protections afforded to small content creators by Title II statute must be preserved to maintain a competitive marketplace, ensure freedom of speech and belief online, and ensure that the entrepreneurial spirit of the internet is maintained. I urge you to maintain the net neutrality rules currently on the books, and furthermore to think about consumers and voters ahead of industry lobbyists and large campaign contributors with any further actions you may take.
120. christopher, jackson, MI, 49202  
Plz keep strong net neutrality rules
121. Josh, Milan, MI, 48160  
I support title two and net naturally. Do the right thing FCC.
122. Ricky Biggs Jr, Milan, MI, 48160  
I support Title II oversight of the ISPs for the sake of net neutrality!
123. GOFCCYOURSELF, south rockwood, MI, 48179  
I truly don't know how to say this... oh wait, I do. I FUCKING SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISP'S!!!! DON'T LET THESE GREEDY FUCKING INTERNET PROVIDERS PREVENT THE INTERNET FROM BEING A LEVEL PLAYING FIELD!! THE MAJORITY OF AMERICAN'S CLEARLY SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY, DO NOT TAKE IT AWAY!! BY THE WAY YOU SNEAKY FUCKS, NICE TRY IN MAKING THE LINK TOO DIFFICULT FOR PEOPLE TO FIND
124. Aaron, Lansing, MI, 48917  
I specifically support net neutrality backed by strong oversight under Title II.
125. John Riddle, Monroe, MI, 48161  
Protect net neutrality by keeping ISP's under Title 2
126. Julie McDowell, Tecumseh, MI, 49286  
I support Net Neutrality. Do not cut title two. John Oliver has a bigger mug buddy.
127. Nick Zielinski, Lansing, MI, 48917  
I would like to state my opinion relating to the regulations of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) as it pertains to net neutrality. ISPs are already in a high position of power, as the internet business is far from an efficient market. Most

people, including myself, only have one internet provider in their area. Thus, I believe the government has a duty to its citizens to ensure the fair treatment of consumers. We can not allow ISPs to regulate themselves in this regard, as the risk of unfair practices is too great. There has been little to no evidence that classifying ISPs as Title II has lead to a decrease in infrastructure spending. I am asking you as a concerned consumer, who does not have the ability to vote on this with my wallet due to little competition, to leave these rules unchanged. Thanks for your time.

128. Dennis Vass, Jackson, MI, 49203

Please preserve internet net neutrality and title 2.

129. Net, Monroe, MI, 48162

To Whom This May Concern, I write to you as a concerned citizen who is currently protected by net neutrality. Net neutrality ensures that internet service providers don't play favorites with which sites get what speeds. Net Neutrality ensures a level playing field, meaning the start ups can hang with the established sites. Without internet service providers being classified under Title 2 of the FCC Communications act the internet will not be as fair or free as it currently. We've already seen instances of Verizon suing the government because they tried to enact any kind of regulation on them, we've seen Comcast throttle the speeds of Netflix(which I find horrifying and inexcusable), and we still see internet service providers not happy that the FCC is simply making sure the internet isn't screwed around with. If Net Neutrality is not upheld a number of concerning things may happen including:1: ISPs could charge websites more money for the same amount of speed, threatening to throttle the sites if they don't pay up. This is the most likely issue I can foresee, while this might not affect the bigger sites the smaller sites would have a harder time competing in the marketplace.2: The ISP's could intentionally throttle the speeds of sites that offer competing services. As a reminder, Comcast already tried to kill Netflix by throttling their speeds in 2014. Say that Comcast tries to come out with a new streaming service, do we honestly believe they will be honest in who they give internet speeds to. I don't trust internet service providers with this and I don't believe the FCC should either.3: ISP's can throttle speeds of a website simply because they don't like the website. Say for instance The Guardian writes a negative article about Comcast, under these new rules Comcast can just keep people from ever reading the article. Say that the political party in charge wants the ISP's to throttle the speeds of sites and tools their political opposition is using, well without Net neutrality ISPs could simply turn on and off whatever site they want for really whatever reason they want. This is way to much power to give to a small amount of companies or any private citizen. I urge all at the FCC, keep Net Neutrality alive and well. Arguments for the internet no longer needing regulation in my mind are not valid when we've seen real world examples of why we needed to enact it in 2015 in the first place. Net Neutrality

is the heart of why the internet is currently fair.P.S. to Ajit Pai: If you vote to get rid of net neutrality I will take your actions as speaking for the entire Republican party and make sure to vote out every Republican who doesn't explicitly come out for Net Neutrality.

130. Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. Keep ISPs under Title 2.
131. Alistair Smith, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
This is a gross example of crony capitalism, and cannot possibly benefit the average American family.
132. Charles Lubitz, Jackson, MI, 49201  
We support net neutrality and strong protections under Title II.
133. matt banner, Parma, MI, 49269  
I strongly support net neutrality and title 2 oversight of isp's.
134. Nigel Stokes, Northville, MI, 48168  
Net Neutrality ensures that all data on the internet is available evenly.  
Removing Net Neutrality serves no purpose other than to threaten the freedom that the internet provides to all of its users. Every time you go online, you benefit from Net Neutrality. Let's keep it that way.
135. Mollie Callow, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837  
Please do not repeal the net neutrality provisions. I totally support keeping ISP providers under Title II.
136. Ronald Hottmann, Temperance, MI, 48182  
Support Title 2 and Net Neutrality.
137. Michael Milham, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837  
I support strong Net Neutrality regulations, under Title 2.
138. Mike Woelmer, Milan, MI, 48160  
Please preserve net neutrality and title II regulatons on ISPs.
139. Ken Farrow, Jerome, MI, 49249  
Leave ICP's under Title 2. Net Neutrality should be enforced.
140. Christine Woelmer, Milan, MI, 48160  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2 regulations on ISP's. Thank you!
141. randy sottovia, Jackson, MI, 49202  
I agree with title II we need to protect our Internet we need to have true net

neutrality it is ridiculous to think that anyone agrees with the idea of lifting regulations

142. Emily Wiegand, Milan, MI, 48160  
I agree with current net neutrality laws and think they should be preserved under title two. It would be a mistake to think that ISP's can be trusted to maintain their integrity without such laws.
143. James Wiegand, Milan, MI, 48160  
I support current Net Neutrality laws and the preservation of Title 2.
144. Olive Cianciolo, Manchester, MI, 48158  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs ya dumb dingle
145. Eric Shoultz, Milan, MI, 48160  
Preserve strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPS
146. Patricia O'Connell, Horton, MI, 49246  
Preserve True Net Neutrality with Title II---- Do not let Special Interests who will serve to profit from loosening enforcement win by switching back to Title I.
147. Kenneth Swift, onsted, MI, 49265  
Respectfully, to the Chairman: FCCI am writing to support neutrality laws and that Internet Support Providers (ISP's) be classified under title 2. This seems to be an electronic "freedom of speech" issue, and we must ensure that no one provider is able to dominate or curtail the speech of another. Thank you for your attention to this issue.
148. Mike Angelo, Monroe, MI, 48161  
Net nuetrality is should be a no brainer.
149. Jeanne Bradish, Dexter, MI, 48130  
Please preserve Title II and Net Neutrality do not allow internet providers the ability to slow the speed
150. Hannah Cooley, Dexter, MI, 48130  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Iâ€™m worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way theyâ€™re enforced.
151. Jack Meloche, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Both net neutrality and title II need to be preserved in their current

state.

152. Michael Rhode, Dundee, MI, 48131  
keep Title 2 to save net neutrality! it will kill the entrepreneur spirit that made America.
153. Alex Stacy, Manchester, MI, 48158  
I support an open and free internet that is protected by net neutrality and Title 2 oversight of ISPs. As a public educator, access to information is an essential part of my job, and I strongly request that you protect my and my students' ability to access the internet without fear of throttling or censorship from ISPs.
154. Staci Nazareth, Saline, MI, 48176  
I want to express my belief that the internet must remain neutral. Do not reward companies who want to pay for access. This is censorship, and access to information should be free and equal.
155. Joseph Loedding, Milan, MI, 48160  
Mr. Pai, I strongly support strong net neutrality that is backed by Title II (2) oversight of ISPs. There is absolutely no reason to weaken these laws and/or regulations. It solely benefits the ISPs, and only hurts the consumers. It is your duty to do what is best for the people of the country, not what is best for the corporations.
156. James Atkinson, Eaton Rapids, MI, 48827  
We own 2 small internet websites. Please keep Net Neutrality as it is. If it changes, sites like ours will not have the same speed as large sites that might pay an isp. Any changes would take away the level playing field and hurt sites like ours. Please do not let Net Neutrality laws change. Thank you. James and Wanda Atkinson
157. Benjamin Reynolds, Lansing, MI, 48917  
Dear FCC, please tell Verizon, AT&T, etc, to fuck off with this shit  
PERMANENTLY
158. Wanda Atkinson, Eaton rapids, MI, 48827  
We own a small internet radio station and deserve the right to exist on the Internet as much as any major corporation. If net neutrality goes away we fear that major corporations will be awarded the higher speed needed for radio and we will cease to exist. Right now we have a level playing field. Take net neutrality away and only the powerful will exist. So much for the great American dream...
159. Nathan Baines, Carleton, MI, 48117  
I'd prefer not to take the risk on letting the ISPs self regulate, if the plan is to

have them opt-in to doing the regulations that they are already forced to abide by, why not just keep the regulations in. It's only going to hurt the public, not the ISPs.

160. Todd Schut, Lansing, MI, 48917  
I cannot express myself strongly enough. Net Neutrality must NOT go away. It is the only way smaller businesses and consumers will get a fair shake. I remember when Comcast throttled Netflix to extort higher fees and that is wrong and unfair. Keep the internet under Title II.
161. Dr Ron Fandrick, Lansing, MI, 48917  
Keep All Net Neutrality Rules in Place. Do Not allow President Trump to change the present rules governing Net Neutrality.
162. mike pettigrew, Milan, MI, 48160  
I support net neutrality. Please keep isps title 2.
163. Ariel Cribbins, Dexter, MI, 48130  
Please uphold net neutrality and keep internet providers under the current title 2 structure. Allowing big companies to decide which website or service gets priority streaming creates an unlevel playing field - one which works only to the advantage of large corporations. Ajit Pai is being disingenuous to say otherwise.
164. Aaron Dawson, Jackson, MI, 49201  
I support strong, enforceable net neutrality rules under Title 2.
165. Drake Reinert, Dexter, MI, 48130  
I support strong net neutrality regulations. ISPs should remain under title 2 regulatory power.
166. Dean Menegay, Stockbridge, MI, 49285  
The FCC needs to support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers.
167. Josh Lambright, Milan, MI, 48160  
The Internet infrastructure of the USA is a UTILITY, and should be treated as such. This, at a minimum, requires that ISP's stay under the umbrella that is Title II. If the FCC doesn't stand up for the citizens they are meant to protect, our country will be a laughing stock. Additionally, Net Neutrality is essential. Without TRUE Net Neutrality, we'll begin down a very slippery slope. One that is difficult to climb back up.
168. Leif Mangelsen, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
Preserve Net Neutrality and maintain Internet Service Providers' Title II classification under the Communications Act of 1934. ISPs must be ambivalent

of the content that crosses it's networks. A small number of ISPs control the entire market. They profit exceedingly. Through cooperation with the FCC, ISPs are committed to investing their cellular infrastructure and continuously improving their product. Providing an opportunity for ISPs to profit over the content would not only be unnecessary, it would only benefit the shareholders of these company. This would negatively affect the people's unrestricted right of free communication. This is unnecessary and self-serving.

169. Constanca Medel, Michigan Center, MI, 49254  
I support strong regulation of Internet Provider Companies for the continuation of Net Neutrality. I do not want to have my access to internet colored by bias of Internet Provider business interests. Keep Title 2 and these companies accountable!
170. Robert Connell, Dexter, MI, 48130  
I implore the FCC to represent the best interests of individuals over those of large telecommunication corporations. Please enforce strong net neutrality regulations. The internet should be treated as a basic utility without favoritism that can give an unfair advantage to the organizations with the largest budget.
171. Christiana Ferguson, Hudson, MI, 49247  
Net Neutrality is important to maintain. Corruption is too easy a temptation to fall into, especially when it's merely a loophole.
172. Kyle Brannick, Rives Junction, MI, 49277  
Ensuring that ISPs continue to treat all traffic equally under Title II classifications is vital for the United States to stay competitive internationally in a quickly transforming digital marketplace and that freedom of information is not controlled by corporations. I strongly support net neutrality and will continue to fight to ensure that a fair and open internet under Title II is maintained.
173. Jeffrey S Allison, Eaton Rapids, MI, 48827  
We need to maintain and preserve net neutrality under title II.
174. James Garcia, Temperance, MI, 48182  
There is absolutely reason to roll back net neutrality except to make your rich friends richer. Stop worrying about your corporate friends and actually give a shit about american's for once.
175. Eric Campbell, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
I support strong net neutrality and Title 2 oversight of ISPs. A level playing field that protects all Americans unfettered access to the internet.
176. Kristy Beck-Bair, Dimondale, MI, 48821

Please leave Net Neutrality alone in this country. American citizens demand it's preservation. Allowing corporate influence and interests to trump individual citizens rights is unacceptable.

177. Tiffany Garcia, Temperance, MI, 48182  
I express my interests in keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
178. Natalie R, S Rockwood, MI, 48179  
The internet has always been and should always be free domain. The internet should never belong to corporations or be used as a bargaining tool for consumers. When this site crashed, that's an example of what will happen when the internet is no longer neutral. Companies will be able to slow performance for the sake of competition. That's not right.
179. Matthew Finegan, Saline, MI, 48176  
I support net neutrality. The internet is for the public not for corporations to control
180. Eric Lynch, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189  
Please keep the internet open by preserving net neutrality and title II for ISPs.
181. Covey Maxwell, monroe, MI, 48162  
we can't have freedom of expression if our internet acces are at the mercy of companys trying to make the most money that they possibly can. what incentive does comcast have to provide equal acces to the website of a competing company? it's silly to think that anyone in power will behave and never take advantage of anyone.
182. Casey Joy, Monroe, MI, 48161  
Net neutrality needs to be something the US government enforces. Internet providers should continue to be included under Title II. The internet is freedom and information and should not be able to be bought and paid for by the highest bidder. No one should be able to buy what my content is - it takes away my ability to be an informed, prepared, and knowledgeable consumer. It also prevents small companies and new companies from competing with the giant conglomerations already out there. If we change this and do not enforce net neutrality now, WHEN companies start using it to their advantage consumers will be the last to know and it will take forever to get it back under control. Getting the genie back in the bottle will be timely and almost impossible. Nip that in the bud now by keeping them classified as Title II and preserving net neutrality as something the FCC will protect. We citizens want this. Do your job and listen to us and protect our interests....not the company interests. Thank you for your time.
183. Zoe Proegler, Chelsea, MI, 48118

I support net neutrality and oppose any move by the FCC that would limit protections of the open nature of the internet.

184. Phillip R. LeVasseur, Saline, MI, 48176  
I support net neutrality, and Title II oversight of internet service providers.
185. Jessica Nikandrou, Saline, MI, 48176  
Greetings, Please continue to support strong net neutrality by keeping ISP's under Title II. Thank you, Jessica Nikandrou
186. Wesley R. Elsberry, Eaton Rapids, MI, 48827  
I support retaining Title II regulation of internet service providers (ISPs). The role of the ISP is solely to efficiently deliver content I request, not to hold my use and the use of others hostage in order to extort money from content providers. I reject the notion from Chairman Pai that no indication of bad faith has been shown by ISPs, and I reject the notion that effective net neutrality and its enforcement serve to limit investment. The primary inhibition on investment is the proliferation of rules that protect localized monopoly power for ISPs, who then have no spur to invest any further.
187. Christopher Mulkey, Bellevue, MI, 49021  
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II. This is the ONLY protection we have in areas with only two/three ISP choices! And I do mean reliable service!
188. Jacob, Charlotte, MI, 48813  
I oppose any legislation or regulations that block or remove net neutrality. Access to information should be free and not editing or manipulate, particularly for profit.
189. Robert Quinlan, Monroe, MI, 48161  
Net Neutrality needs to be something the government enforces under title II. We don't allow toll road's to set speed limits based on the make of your vehicle, nor should we allow internet companies to set customer's speed based on the companies they choose to support. Our government is the greatest in the world because, in the end, we are governed by the consent of the people, rather than any companies shareholders, and it is ALL of the peoples interest that should be protected. Yes there may be no direct evidence of speed limiting happening so far, but leaving this loophole open all but guarantees that it will happen as soon as a company feels that the potential benefits outweigh the risks to them which would be a hindrance to the competition that drives our economy.
190. Alexandra, Monroe, MI, 48162  
I ask you to please perserve Net Neutrality and Title II!

191. A.Vinnik, Ann Arbor, MI, 48103  
I strongly support net neutrality. Attempts to liquidate net neutrality is another attack on consumer rights.
192. Jennifer Vredevelde, Saline, MI, 48176  
I strongly support net neutrality and feel this should remain part of title 2.
193. Jane Pacheco and Dennis Crawley, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
We support Net Neutrality under Title Two.
194. Francine Dolins, Ann Arbor, MI, 48105  
Equal access to online information is once again under serious threat. In our country, "the land of the free", this is wholly unacceptable. Without Net Neutrality, Verizon, Comcast and the other monopoly Internet Service Providers (ISPs) will be able to interfere with American citizen's ability, as Internet users, to control where we go and what we do online. The giant telecoms want to pad their bank accounts through schemes that will allow them -- not American citizens, the Internet users -- to select which company they want to use online. Without Net Neutrality, big corporations can charge extra for visiting certain websites. Or they could make certain sites load more quickly than others. The FCC must NOT end Net Neutrality. All Americans deserve a free and open Internet with strong Title II rules to ensure that the flow of data is determined by the interests of Internet users, not the narrow interests of Internet Service Providers. Thank you for reading my comments. I look forward to a successful continuance of Net Neutrality and the FCC's reply.
195. Brady Spitulski, Monroe, MI, 48162  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II, please and thank you!
196. Erik Walz, Dimondale, MI, 48821  
I strongly support powerful and binding legal protections for net neutrality under Title 2. The opportunities and incentives for abuse by ISP's are too many, and the potential damage to individuals, businesses, and the country as a whole are too great to allow ISP's to be reclassified under title 1
197. Summer Schaible, Ann Arbor, MI, 48103  
I strongly support net neutrality with ISPs under title II.
198. James Shuster, Blissfield, MI, 49228  
Net neutrality is a huge issue to me and title II must absolutely be preserved at all costs. Please do not allow this attack on our internet freedom to go forward.
199. Robert Wood, Saline, MI, 48176  
I support net neutrality. Do not allow corporate ISPs to determine my content. The only thing preventing my isp from upgrading (btw this company's

marketing says it has the best infrastructure in the world) is lack of competition. It has a total monopoly in my area. Giving this company more authority and relying on its benevolence is just crazy.

200. Brittany Campbell, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
Preserving net neutrality is a keystone in the effort to preserve and better the democracy that we have inherited. The internet is of vital importance to modern society. Title II must be upheld.
201. Tyler Beck, Jackson, MI, 49203  
Please keep ISPs classified under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. The benefits of keeping the internet free and open outweigh benefits of reclassifying ISPs under Title I. Please do not reclassify ISPs under Title I.
202. William Ellis, Jackson, MI, 49201  
As a taxpayer and consumer I strongly recommend that the current regulations in Title II of the current net neutrality regulations remain in place or only be strengthened to protect the American People.
203. Larry Yuhasz, Dexter, MI, 48130  
Protecting net neutrality is very important to maintain the fair and equitable pace of innovation, application and commerce.
204. Kelsey Simonds, Bolivar, MI, 65613  
Maintain net neutrality with title 2 to ensure an open internet with no room for conflicts of interest and ISPs.
205. net neutrality, Jackson, MI, 49202  
I do not believe the FCC should reduce regulations. The opportunity for abuse would be too much for a company to ignore. The lack of oversight would make it harder, if not impossible to see misconduct. You might not listen to anyone but the ISP's because they make you lots of \$\$\$\$\$\$ Bounce on my dick I hope they block Fox. I don't think it Encourages public-private cooperation to get broadband to all Americans fastest under the FCC's National Broadband Plan.
206. David Schmitt, Monroe, MI, 48161  
Internet Service Providers need to be regulated as a utility service just as a telephone service. They need to be a categorized a Title II service.
207. Matthew Koval, Lansing, MI, 48917  
I strongly support net neutrality under the oversight of Title 2.
208. raymond harring, kalamazoo, MI, 49242  
I strongly support net neutrality, and its title two standing.

209. Mitchell Gerich, Lansing, MI, 48917  
I strongly support Net Neutrality. Let's not waste people's time. Like healthcare, you don't fuck with people's access to the internet. We will rise to the occasion. You will be shamed. Thanks.
210. Glenn s Newlin, Blissfield, MI, 49228  
We need protection from the big ISP's. They need title 2 to keep them in line. A free accessible, not slowed internet experience ought to be had by all, not just their supported shows or commercial vendors.
211. Chris, Onstead, MI, 49265  
I request that you maintain the Net Neutrality Law that is in place. I do not believe a change in this law will benefit consumers. This only benefits large corporations. Thank you Your voting constituents
212. Karla DeMea, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
Please preserve net neutrality rules backed by Title II
213. matt shepard, Eaton rapids, MI, 48827  
I do not want internet service providers to be less regulated. I feel that Title 2 is appropriate for large ISP to be regulated by: I do not believe ISP's will act in mine or my fellow citizens best interests if the regulations are changed. Please keep the regulations as they are.
214. Elizabeth Barshaw, Eaton Rapids, MI, 48827  
I am in support of keeping net neutrality regulations. The internet service providers are for-profit companies, which leads me to believe that they will use less regulation to take advantage of public information. Please keep the isps in check. Keep them classified as title 2. Thank you.
215. Troy Zeisler, Monroe, MI, 48161  
Preserve net neutrality and title II for ISPs. Net neutrality must be maintained through regulation because ISPs have shown that nothing is off the table when it comes to making money off of end users. And that greed can cause serious disruption to my family, my community, and the economy as a whole.
216. Jeremy French, Dundee, MI, 48131  
We need title II. Please preserve net neutrality.
217. Raja Buddam, Lansing, MI, 48917  
we need free internet.
218. jp macleod, Lansing, MI, 48917  
I support strong net neutrality rules with ISP's classified under title II. I believe that the majority of ISP's are monopolistic, and that monopolies require

regulation. I also disagree with the chairmans assertion that regulations discourage investment. As Verizon's own VP and CFO said in 2014 impending net neutrality would not influence how they invest.

219. Peter Sculli, Chelsea, MI, 48118

I support preserving net neutrality as backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Destroying net neutrality will turn one of the greatest bastions of free information and communication into a manipulative and corporate controlled profit machine. Our government is no longer beholden to its people--it is controlled by big business--specifically cable companies such as Time Warner and Comcast (Tom Wheeler, the 31st chairman of the FCC, was a former lobbyist for Comcast). Ajit Pai, I can't possibly direct more hate towards your change in stance on net neutrality. I would imagine you received a hefty check from one or many cable companies for your decision. Screw you for abandoning the people's interest and trying to destroy one of the greatest technological advancements of our time.

220. Madison Holt, Manchester, MI, 48158

I strongly support the net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversights of ISPs and do not wish to see any changes made to ISP oversight. The United States is built in part on the idea of freedom of speech, and that idea should not be hindered or stifled by large corporations.

221. jessica bennett, Grand ledge, MI, 48837

I strongly oppose the FCC changing the regulations regarding net neutrality.

222. Ronda Bergman, Manchester, MI, 48158

I support strong net neutrality rules, enforceable through title II

223. Rebecca and William Kerr, Clarklake, MI, 49234

We support strong net neutrality and oversight by Title II so that ISPs are not allowed to pick winners and losers on the internet based on their own interests, but rather, the public is given the opportunity to decide for themselves how they wish to use their internet. Keep Net Neutrality strong and enforced!

224. Chris Walsh, Jackson, MI, 49203

Please keep the internet a free and open place. I do not want these huge companies to have power to sell my information to other companies.

225. jacqueline hoffman, Monroe, MI, 48161

I believe net neutrality should stay as it is. It is working for us as it is and should not be changed or dismantled. Leave it as it is.

226. Karen Lamb, Belleville, MI, 48111

I support strong net neutrality with FCC Title II oversight of ISP's.

227. Philip Marentay, Jackson, MI, 49201  
I strongly support net neutrality and Title II oversight of ISP's I also support the removal Of Ajit Pai as chairman as I believe he is a pawn of the IPS giants.
228. carmen burgess, Munith, MI, 49259  
We must have net neutrality. I do not trust anyone in power to do the right thing. We NEED regulations and laws to check and balance us all, especially those out to make a buck.
229. Cecelia Ward, Coldwater, MI, 49036  
Please please please keep net neutrality rules as they are-under Title 2 restrictions! Allowing ISP's to go back to the old Title 1 restrictions would be a serious mistake and would limit internet access to millions of people who will no longer be able to afford the internet that will inevitably cost more for a faster speed. This is unacceptable. Please keep the net neutrality rules as they are with ISP's classified under Title 2 rules and restrictions! Thanks!
230. Sam Ankin, Tecumseh, MI, 49286  
I am very concerned about the possible repeal of Net Neutrality. I want Net Neutrality because it gives me the freedom to use whatever site I want without the hassle of slower speeds. Net Neutrality protects the people from Internet Companies forcing websites to pay ridiculous amounts of money to maintain their current speeds. Please Keep Net Neutrality!
231. JANE B LANTZ, PottERVILLE, MI, 48876  
FCC - net neutrality is essential and the only fair way to go. Ajit - you are a corporate shill.
232. Scott Sturgeon, Ann Arbor, MI, 48103  
Preserve net neutrality and section 2. Stop trying to fix that which is not broken. ISPs are not to be trusted to act in the public interest. Their obligation is to their shareholders. Yours should be to we the people.
233. Net Neutrality, Jackson, MI, 49203  
Please don't reclassify Net Neutrality. That's like not getting vaccinated because you don't get sick.
234. Kevin, Monroe, MI, 48161  
Net neutrality is essential for a fair and equal internet. If ISP's are allowed to do as they will, then content will be as censored and propagandized as the internet in China and N Korea.
235. Aaron McGill, Jackson, MI, 49203  
It's really saddening to see that this is being brought up again, as the people have let the government know before that Net Neutrality is what we expect of

our government and of the service providers who have near-monopolies in many localities. Net Neutrality, backed by strong Title 2 regulations restricting companies from picking and choosing what content gets bandwidth priority are necessary for the internet to continue to thrive as a place for innovation, ideas, and free speech. Rolling back regulation of this will turn the US internet system into something as restrictive as China's, but instead with the corporations creating the firewalls, while the government does nothing to help the citizenry. We got rid of monopolies for a reason. Remember history and stop trying to pull the wool over the eyes of people in the US simply to get corporate interests more money.

236. Rachel Cessna, South Lyon, MI, 48178

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. We can not and should not trust companies to regulate themselves for the good of the consumer.

237. Neil R. Wisniewski, Adrian, MI, 49221

I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am whole heartedly OPPOSED to Docket No. 17-108. Please...as the library was for me growing up, so is the internet of today. Please do not allow information to be restricted. Even more so, it would be abhorrent to try and explain to a younger version of myself why the only books I was able to read at the library were all from one Author. Please, help maintain equality for the sharing of ideas by dismissing any attempts to 'prioritize/streamline/filter' information. Especially if suggested by ANY ONE, direct or on behalf of ANOTHER WHO INTENDS TO BENEFIT FROM THE SUPPRESSION OF ANOTHER. Thank you.

238. Troy Heminger, Lambertville, MI, 48144

Net Neutrality should be a right, not an option. Please do not proceed with any action that could jeopardize our economy and freedoms.

239. Levi Johnson, Lansing, MI, 48917

I support net neutrality, and specifically do not support any action to change current FCC regulations regarding regulating corporations or ISP's in the united states.

240. Gary L Minix, Pleasant Lake, MI, 49272

As written, this plan gives corporate entities too much influence over the internet's structure. Retain net neutrality.

241. Tyler Bunch, Lambertville, MI, 48144

I support net neutrality, "Title II" oversight must stay!

242. John De Los Santosjo, Clark lake, MI, 49234

Keep net equality Fair then quit f\*\*\*\*\* over the little guy

243. Tyler Krebs, Monroe, MI, 48161

I wish that the FCC will continue with strict net neutrality rules. The internet should not be allowed to devolve where any data is more or less important. All data must be treated equally from all points of access. This must be enforced.

244. Jayson Ouillette, Saline, MI, 48176

It is absolutely despicable that this agency is being run by a former Verizon insider, that is bent on destroying Net Neutrality. The rights and benefits of Net Neutrality for the masses, far outweigh the greedy, self-serving interest of a few rich and privileged individuals and corporations. With his faux ignorance about the real objective and consequences of abolishing Net Neutrality, Ajit Pai should be ashamed of himself. He should be investigated for crimes against his conscience, and his obvious ties to industry insiders, as well as his attempts to provide them inside benefits at the expense of the rights of the people of the USA. Net Neutrality MUST REMAIN IN PLACE!

245. Matthew Herbert, Jackson, MI, 49203

Please maintain the Title II classification for ISPs. Net neutrality is an American value.

246. Cobbett Carmen, Dexter, MI, 48130

I oppose the proposed rollback of net neutrality policy. This policy jeopardizes consumer's fair and free access to unbiased information on the internet, which has insidious impact on our country's democracy.

247. Martin R Kraimer, Osseo, MI, 49266

Please Keep Title II rules for Net Neutrality. It is really important to me that net neutrality not be weakened.

248. Paul Childs, Saline, MI, 48176

ISP regulation should be kept under Title II. Just because one of Verizon's employees now run the FCC is not a good enough reason to allow ISPs start judging them by a weaker legal standard. They have shown in the past that they are not to be trusted, and their interests have nothing to do with the public good or the economic good of the Internet.

249. Brian Telder, Dexter, MI, 48130

I back Title 2 oversight and a strong healthy net that is actually Neutral.

250. Anthony Roman, Jasper, MI, 49248

While recent FCC claims that broad deregulation of ISPs will spur investment and advancement of the internet, it is dangerous to expect companies to act in the best interest of the public. As we've seen with the restriction of large

mergers by the FTC that would benefit corporations at the cost of public interests, as well as corporations actively blocking expansion of communications infrastructure from competition, it is clear we cannot trust corporations to act in the interest of the people. As such, we need our government to enact regulations ensuring that broad, unrestricted access to this key fundamental of our modern life, that is the internet, is unhindered.

251. john eisenhardt, Dexter, MI, 48130  
Please keep the type II (public utilities) regulations in force. ISPs, in general, are monopolies in the same sense that other utility providers are, and need to have oversight. Ignore the ISP lobbyists with a bale of money outside your door and think of the common man for once.
252. Michael Crummel, Lambertville, MI, 48144  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is critical for the freedom of information and exchange of ideas.
253. Ben Piotter, blissfield, MI, 49228  
I support net neutrality and what it stands for. Access to the internet should be regulated as a utility not a luxury. For more and more people it is their only line of communication. This is important and necessary.
254. Perri Foster, Dundee, MI, 48131  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. To ensure the Internet is a fair, equal place for both Internet users and businesses, neutrality is necessary. To deregulate it would violate our rights of a free web, by having companies who pay more have higher preference and speed. Internet users have the right to choose which sites to use and should not be spoon fed content at the expense of large companies.
255. Ian Murphy, Erie, MI, 48133  
I would like Net Neutrality to be held under Title II regulation, as would just about every other consumer.
256. Jeremy Pickford, Adrian, MI, 49221  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. An ISP should not be able to arbitrarily adjust internet speeds based on users.
257. Bruce Bielawa, Dexter, MI, 48130  
Verizon already successfully sued the FCC under Title I before the FCC reclassified ISPs under Title II - successfully claiming that the FCC did not have sufficient authority to regulate net neutrality under Title I - so we know that reverting them back to Title I classification is literally the same thing as deregulating them and opening the way for ISPs to favor some sites over others for profit, the ISPs know this, and obviously this is why they want it. This is a

bald-faced profit-driven affront on net neutrality at public expense, and must be denied.

258. Joel Zinner, Monroe, MI, 48162  
Ajit Pai I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isp's.
259. Suresh Acharya, Olivet, MI, 49076  
Please consider keeping strong Net Neutrality Rule in place. Tiered system may look appealing and a win in a short term but it will be disastrous in a long term. New type of consumable media contents and its delivery format will likely increase a need for ISP to up the ante to prioritize delivery per paid service bases, how would small/independent creator/provider compete with large creator and distributor.
260. Nareg Sam Churukian, Jackson, MI, 49203  
I'm an ER doctor taking time out of my busy schedule to explicitly express my absolute disdain that Net Neutrality is once again coming into question. This must remain under Title 2 and the fact that we are even considering a change is evidence of just how out of touch our government is becoming with the common American in order to help big companies make even more money. It's frankly disgusting and even I felt the need to comment about it. Thank you.
261. Jonathan and Susan Hoover, Jackson, MI, 49202  
We strongly support strong "net neutrality," backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
262. Gustafson, South Rockwood, MI, 48179  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 !!!
263. Colin Venner, Saline, MI, 48176  
I strongly encourage the FCC to maintain the strong legal footing of neutral internet access. The exchange of thoughts and ideas permitted by a free internet has borne incredible human benefit from Netflix to scientific collaboration. Please keep internet access free from corporate manipulation.
264. Gared Hadd, Lansing, MI, 48917  
Please, do not allow these corporations to deter customers from accessing information. Moving the internet to title 1, will allow providers to censor access to the internet and making it harder to visit the sites that we want. This is not unlike what China does to their citizens. If we want to stay a free country, we need to protect our biggest informational platform. Please, do not allow this to happen. Thank you
265. Brittany DiGesare, Milan, MI, 48160  
I support the open and equal space that is the Internet. I support preserving net

neutrality and Title 2.

266. stevenwallace, Dexter, MI, 48130  
PLEASE do not change net neutrality laws. Users paying for a service should have control of how to use that service not the other way around. ISPs should remain under Title 2 of the communications act of 1934 so that all data from ISPs can be accessed equality with the consumer having final choice.
267. Mike Venturini, Jonesville, MI, 49250  
Net neutrality must be kept in place. If you think John Oliver messed up your servers, just wait and see what we will do when we get mad.
268. Terrie, Tecumseh, MI, 49286  
I stand behind the Net Neutrality Act and Title II ! Please preserve these safeguards and quit with the frat-boy pretense.
269. mark w trimm, milan, MI, 48160  
please do not do away with net neutrality
270. Cassandra Briseno, Charlotte, MI, 48813  
I support strong net neutrality that's my title two oversight of ISPs and every internet group needs to come together as was successfully done three years ago.
271. Jeffrey Boyd, Whittaker, MI, 48190  
Ajit Pai I strongly support strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
272. geoffrey halliday, milan, MI, 48160  
Please keep ISPs classified as Title II. They have shown in the past that if they are allowed to break the rules they will. Allowing them to use the honor system will not work.
273. Christopher Lemmon, Dimondale, MI, 48821  
This change would weaken the FCC's ability to enforce Net Neutrality. The court has already said net neutrality is not enforceable under Title 1. Chairman Pai's former employer, Verizon, has already publicly stated to their shareholders that the current Net Neutrality rules are not changing their current or future investments in technology, so that's not a valid argument. If the new rules are allowed to pass, it would allow a company like Comcast to slow down Amazon Prime or Netflix in order to make their own streaming platform more appealing. Instead of making a level free and open market, it makes monopolies. The only reason for this rule is to allow companies already making record profits to make even more money at the expense of the American public. Please do not change this rule. Thank you, Chris Lemmon Voter - MI-07

274. Maria Alfonso, Dexter, MI, 48130  
The FCC needs to continue to protect consumers from an already monopolistic system. Whether Democratic or Republican, each can agree that the role of government has always been an institution whose creation ensured the protection of citizens. It is in the interest of citizens that the government continues to protect net neutrality in the face of pressure from big corporations, otherwise, the entire entity itself is meaningless.
275. Tony, Lansing, MI, 48917  
keep net neutrality and stop bringing this crap up.
276. brad branson, Jackson, MI, 49202  
Im young and live alone. I try to keeps my utility bills down. Internet is all i need for now. I like that xfinity provides me with internet without impeading service when using netflix and not reserving optimum performace for comcast customers. I would like for it to stay that way. Two on net neutrality. Ty
277. Bruce Allen, Tipton, MI, 49287  
I am urging the FCC to protect net neutrality rules. Net neutrality is vital to America's economic integrity and the protection of consumers.
278. James Cavanaugh, Ann Arbor, MI, 48103  
Net Neutrality is essential, I fully support it, and we must protect all users of the internet.
279. Chris Venturini, Hillsdale, MI, 49242  
I thought this was behind us. My ISP should not govern what sites get the the best speed.
280. Mike Ward, Saline, MI, 48176  
Please retain Title II FCC designation for Net Neutrality. DO NOT rollback to Title I as proposed. Title II designation protects and enhances the open Internet.
281. Cindy Wilkinson, Lansing, MI, 48917  
I strongly support net neutrality. Net neutrality encourages entrepreneurship, and consumers benefit from more and better choices.
282. Todd Celtrick, Jackson, MI, 49201  
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY. No stipulations should be added.
283. Nathan Dedinsky, Milan, MI, 48160  
I support her neutrality with title 2 protection.
284. Anne Carlson, Dexter, MI, 48130  
Net Neutrality is essential; corporations cannot be allowed to force users to

prefer their ISPs or browsers over others in order to force competition out of business. This is known as anti-competitive practices or restraint of trade. ISPs and browsers need to remain under Title II in order to protect both consumers and small ISP providers, and to encourage the development of browsers. To do otherwise is to discourage trade and development -- a very unRepublican thing to do

285. Karen Flahie, Manchester, MI, 48158  
I specifically support Strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title II Oversight of ISP's
286. Brandon Tanner, Springport, MI, 49284  
I support strict net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISP.
287. josh karslake, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189  
I believe that the strong net-neutrality rules established under title 2 should remain in place and that reclassifying ISPs under title 1 should not occur.
288. Holly Nester, Lansing, MI, 48917  
I want to share my support for preserving strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. We cannot pin our right to net neutrality on the hope that because companies haven't altered connection speeds for specific content in the past then they won't in the future.
289. Nikolas Harwood, Parma, MI, 49269  
I want to preserve Net Neutrality under Title Two.
290. Matthew Toaz, Ann Arbor, MI, 48103  
I support strong net neutrality. Title 2 oversight of ISPs is essential. The ability for all data providers to have equal access to the shared infrastructure that makes up the internet is crucial for its continued growth and functionality.
291. Rachel Edwards, Lansing, MI, 48917  
I strongly support Net Neutrality. It is in the best interest of everyone that the net remains a free and open space that corporations cannot dictate access to content over.
292. amy neubecker, Milan, MI, 48160  
Support Net Neutrality! Do not allow big business to dictate how the internet should be used by the general public. Without net neutrality, monopolies will form and capitalism will be dismantled. Support freedom!!
293. Ian Frederick, Lansing, MI, 48917  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II (2). I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

294. Joe Fealy, Dexter, MI, 48130  
I would like to voice my support for net neutrality regulations. I don't believe that telecom companies should be able to increase rates or access to to different content or particular information.
295. Jerry Grove, Montgomery, MI, 49255  
It is crucial to maintain internet freedom so internet neutrality is essential to the American public please do what is right for the american public that pay the bill
296. Chris Ellinger, Ann Arbor, MI, 48103  
I urge the Commission to maintain current Title 2 regulation of internet service providers in order to enforce "net neutrality". A fair and open internet is too important to depend on promises from ISPs. There must be strong enforcement of strict rules by the Commission through Title 2 regulation.
297. Mary Hall, Pinckney, MI, 48169  
Please preserve net neutrality and keep it regulated under the more stringent Title II regulations.
298. daniel walter, jackson, MI, 49202  
Please keep the current status in place. The internet should not be dictated by companies.
299. Tyler Krebs, Monroe, MI, 48161  
The internet needs to be protected with net neutrality. ISPs cannot be give the freedom to govern themselves. A free and open internet is needed. All data is created equally. All data must be treated equally. All data must be distributed equally. This is important not only for personal use, but for new and current business growth.
300. Gary Hemry, Temperance, MI, 48182  
Please keep net neutrality as it is today. We matter
301. Net Neutrality, Clinton, MI, 49236  
This bill is bad for internet users everywhere. Shame on you for thinking this was a good idea.
302. Matthew Junak, Lansing, MI, 48917  
Strong and enforceable Net Neutrality rules are needed in the United States. Please continue regulation of Internet Service Providers under Title II.
303. Ajit pai, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837  
I 100% support Net neutrality and demand it remains neutral, you have no right to slow down our internet, spy on our search lists, sell our preferences. Stay out of personal information for profit. Keep the internet neutral. p.s. how much does

Hershey's pay you for the ridiculous cup, conflict of interest #1

304. Barbara Ongstad, Charlotte, MI, 48813  
I believe in a free and open internet where everyone has a level playing field. The FCC got this right the first time and in no way should it be repealed. Regulation is in place for very good reason as historically, left to their own resources, companies have proved over and over again they are incapable of self regulating.
305. Restoring Internet Freedom, Lambertville, MI, 48144  
I STRONGLY support net neutrality backed by Title 2! We need oversight over ISP providers!
306. Scott Smith, Lansing, MI, 48917  
Net neutrality is of vital importance. I must remain as a Title II. ISPs™ cannot be trusted to "police themselves"
307. David Carpenter, Camden, MI, 49232  
I specifically support strong net neutrality back by Title Two Oversight of ISPs.
308. Jamee Carpenter, Camden, MI, 49232  
I specifically support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP. Jamee
309. Cliff Cushard, Grass Lake, MI, 49240  
I support strong net neutrality protections and want Title II to stay in place.
310. Paula Meaton, Mulliken, MI, 48861  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Without it Internet! It is crucial to protect the internet from types of corporate censorship to which other forms of media are so easily susceptible. The easy access and sharing of information of all types is a huge driving factor in the growth of this country over the past couple of decades!
311. Danny Y, Monroe, MI, 48162  
I stand by Title II and urge you to continue fair internet access for everyone. please preserve TITLE II
312. JG Harris, Milan, MI, 48160  
I support a free and open internet. Right now such a small number of providers exist, narrowing the ability for start ups and further limit our choices. The government should be increasing ways to make competition more fair rather than impeding it.
313. Colleen Leddy, Morenci, MI, 49256  
I am voicing my support for the FCC to continue to preserve Net Neutrality

under Title II. Please convey this opinion to Commissioner Ajit Pai.

314. Mary K Polack, Jackson, MI, 49203

I would strongly urge the Commission to preserve and protect net neutrality for all citizens. It is critically important to have equal access to internet functions in order for the people of this country to remain informed and to conduct their daily business in an efficient and timely manner. Thank you very much for your consideration.

315. Anthony Greatorex, Dexter, MI, 48130

I support net neutrality and I want the FCC to fight for net neutrality.

316. Jeff Pando, Saline, MI, 48176

I feel that net neutrality is very important and that internet providers' offerings should fall under Title II of the Communications Act.

317. John Bolt, Chelsea, MI, 48118

I am in favor of net neutrality and title II regulation of internet service providers. Does the FCC regulate ISPs, or do ISPs regulate the FCC?

318. Bethany V, Lansing, MI, 48917

A free and open internet is incredibly important. If you allow ISP's to throttle anything it will kill a lot of businesses. Not only that but there's such a tight monopoly in areas for ISP's that this is outright unfair.

319. Ben Halligan, Dexter, MI, 48130

Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. Don't let cable companies be bigger dicks.

320. David Elmore, Litchfield, MI, 49252

I am strongly against net neutrality. I believe any effort to weaken net neutrality will adversely impact innovation in the tech sector, one of the bright spots in the American economy in the recent decades. I believe it will also end up costing the American consumer more as high bandwidth streaming services and other entertainment providers will have to pay to insure adequate bandwidth for their services. These costs will invariably be passed on to consumers. I ask that you consider all of the impacts of any changes in net neutrality on the consumer, on emerging technologies and companies, and on the information and tech economies. Thank you, David Elmore

321. travis shotwell, Brooklyn, MI, 49230

The danger of takeing isp's off title 2 is to great. It's not a hypothetical threat. You know it's not. It was put on title 2 because of a lawsuit against the FCC by Verizon. That forced you to move it to title 2 from title 1 so you could do your job. Don't be an idiot.

322. Matthew Chesney, Cement City, MI, 49233  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and the Title 2 classification and oversight.  
Please keep our ISP accountable for a free and open internet.
323. mike pope, Monroe, MI, 48161  
This is in no way appropriate or American. This stinks of socialism and communism. You should be ashamed if your selves for trying to bring this great country down to Russia's standards.
324. Jeff Froehlich, Jackson, MI, 49201  
I can not comprehend the notion that ISP's would not use the removal of Net Neutrality under Title II to increase their bottom line by selling internet access to the highest bidders. Title II regulations prohibiting preferential access is currently the best protection against that activity. Depending on the good intentions of the ISP is foolishness.
325. William, Ypsilanti, MI, 48179  
Keep Title 2 - Uphold President Obama's stance on net neutrality.
326. Steve King, Dexter, MI, 48130  
The "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal restores the freedom of corporations at the expense of the freedom of individuals. Allowing ISPs to charge tiered amounts for different types of data or different data destinations gives them too much power over the content. They will be able to dictate the direction of Internet services by pricing competitors out of the market, or by undercutting their costs. Any ISP could, for example, charge a premium to deliver content from Netflix, while discounting their own streaming services. This could kill innovative new services if ISPs decide they are cutting into their own profits. Most broadband Internet service providers enjoy near-monopoly status in their markets. Most Americans have very little choice of who to get Internet service from. Most consumers can't effectively change providers. They're stuck with whatever cable company has been granted the local franchise. The only pricing model that's fair to consumers is one which treats all bits the same regardless of where they're going or what service they're providing.
327. Michael, Jackson, MI, 49202  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. People already have enough issues these days without having to worry about such simple things as being able to use the internet as they please without the ISP's having the power to slow things down at their discretion.
328. m, Coldwater, MI, 49036  
I believe we need strict rules and the continuence of Net Enforcement entitled by title II of the Communications act of 1934. I feel that any change will harm the free use of this and that letting ISP providers to govern themselves is

unadvisable.

329. Thomas Wagner, Monroe, MI, 48162  
I support Title II regarding net neutrality, that President Obama signed.
330. Darren and Dixie Kootz-Eades, Grass Lake, MI, 49240  
Safeguard net neutrality, underneath title II.
331. Joshua Johns, Jackson, MI, 49203  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and keep the internet a freely democratic space.
332. michael schwartz, Ann Arbor, MI, 48103  
Keep net neutrality using title Iii
333. Susette Jaquette, Ann Arbor, MI, 48105  
We need to keep Net Neutrality and title II. Please do not change Net Neutrality laws.
334. Sara Bahnmaier, Milan, MI, 48160  
We need strong Net Neutrality enforcement by the FCC under Title II of the Communications Act. This must not be watered down by diminishing regulations and leaving compliance up to ISPs and commercial interests. The purpose of the FCC is to regulate public communications so that there is fairness and equality for all users.
335. Todd Blaszcak, Milan, MI, 48160  
Net Neutrality is an important public good that must be protected from commercial interests of the ISPs. Title II enforcement must remain. Changing to Title I will result in harm to the public.
336. Hermann McEnhill, Saline, MI, 48176  
Net neutrality is one of the most important parts of our civilization and must be preserved as long as the ISP monopolies are allowed to thrive. As an alternative and more appropriate use of your time, consider enforcing monopoly laws by decoupling the infrastructure and service elements of the major internet providers. Better yet, reclassify the infrastructure elements as public utilities because technology and progress depend on it. At that point, the free market will track naturally to net-neutral providers and we wouldn't be having this discussion.
337. Gary Carone, Newport, MI, 48166  
I am opposed to changing the Net neutrality rules. Please continue to require ISPs to be regulated under Title II.
338. James Lommel, Dexter, MI, 48130

I strongly urge you to preserve the current net neutrality regulations. If the internet is anything, it is a public utility just like the telephone, electricity and water and must be protected from the avarice of the ISP's. There are many areas in the country that only have one ISP to choose from and they need to be protected against unjustified rate hikes and service reductions. Right now, you have the appropriate regulations in place and there is no need to change them. Further, the assertion that the current regulations have resulted in decreased infrastructure are demonstrably false and FCC Chairman Pai's statement to the contrary is just wrong. Preserve net neutrality!

339. Joshua Meisner, Dexter, MI, 48130

I strongly support the use of Title 2 classification for internet service providers. Decreasing the current regulations will harm our open access across the internet. I do not agree with the proposed changes to the previous net neutrality rules.

340. elizabeth ames, Dexter, MI, 48130

Dear mr. pai, please reconsider your position on net neutrality and support title 2 classification for internet service providers.

341. Samantha McNett, Hudson, MI, 49247

We support Net neutrality.

342. Nathan McNett, Hudson, MI, 49247

We Support Net Neutrality and so should you.

343. Daniel J Holeman, Deerfield, MI, 49238

Despite Ajit Pai's clear shilling for ISPs and disingenuous public statements making net-neutrality sound like a political issue, it is essential that the FCC not erode protections for citizens by rolling back net neutrality principles paving the way for ISPs to destroy the open internet. The FCC is there to protect the people, not supermassive corporations; Ajit Pai clearly does not have the interest of the general population in mind; this former Verizon lawyer has no place in the FCC in light of his transparent (moral) corruption.

344. Aaron Connolly, Chelsea, MI, 48118

Net Neutrality must remain protected under title II of the Communications act of 1934. Protect the people with a fair and open internet. The internet has become a necessary public good, central to how business and personal lives operate. The only way to start a new business or to break into the professional world is to have an online presence, and if corporations are able to control whose internet presence travels at which speed (or at all), the internet will not be free or open. Anyone claiming to support small business and entrepreneurship but also supporting a rollback of regulation over net neutrality is a hypocrite and a liar. The internet and net neutrality must remain protected under title two, to

prevent the large companies who control American internet access from controlling who and how their services are used. The FCC must protect the people of this country. Do not lie to us. Do not serve corporate and lobby interests over the interests of the people.

345. James Corey, Ann Arbor, MI, 48103  
I support strong Net Neutrality and keeping Verizon an ISSUE 2
346. avesta loloe, lansing, MI, 48917  
Please leave net neutrality alone. You have no right to control the internet or favor certain ISP's
347. Robert Austin, Lansing, MI, 48917  
I think your proposed actions to end net neutrality and hand control over the Internet to a few massive corporations (Internet Service Providers) are shameful. They will stifle competition and innovation, hurt regular Americans and the overall economy. Stop it. Leave the Internet alone, your ideas will make things worse.
348. Travis Malcuit, charlotte, MI, 48813  
Please do not tear down the Open Internet. Allowing ISPs to throttle certain traffic will put America last in global Internet freedom. We should be showing other countries how to make Freedom in all aspects of our infrastructure.
349. Debra Guidot, Pinckney, MI, 48169  
Do not let the Title II coverage which protects net neutrality be overturned or weakened.
350. James Deakins, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
I am a senior developer for a small elite team in Nokia Networks that provides a network analytics and telemetry service to top ISPs. I have heard all of the arguments for loosening regulations on ISPs, and from a technical stand point, they simply fall flat. There is simply no reason for ISPs to limit service, in any way, based on content, or ASN, furthermore, as a customer of said service, i would clearly not be interested in such limitations. I would very much like to comment further, if such a forum was made available.
351. Matt Foley, Lansing, MI, 48917  
Net Neutrality is important in order to keep the barrier to entry low for internet based industries. While it's important for ISPs to be able to produce additional value that change shouldn't come at the expense of other industries. I challenge the FCC to find ways to lower the barriers to entry for organizations seeking to be Internet Service Providers, as opposed to increasing the barriers to Internet Content Providers. If more competition can exist in the industry of Internet Service Providing, then the ISPs will find ways to innovate, or they will be

replaced by companies that can innovate.

352. Alexandra Moore, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
I believe in strong net neutrality and title 2. Preserve them.
353. TBERNARDI, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837  
Preserve net neutrality and keep isps under Title II
354. Jeff Meaton, Mulliken, MI, 48861  
I strongly object to any weakening of Title II and other regulations that protect Americans from Internet Service Provider malfeasance. A free and open internet is vital to ensure private interests do not interfere with any citizen's ready access to online information or infringe upon the first amendment right to freedom of speech. Net neutrality is fair to all Americans and should remain the law of the land.
355. Ryan Porter, Jackson, MI, 49202  
We support strong net neutrality regulations as contained in Title II of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. WE urge the FCC to preserve the Title II provisions as currently written.
356. WD Dyerly, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
We support net-neutrality. ISP's should be left under the jurisdiction of Title II
357. Collin Hubbard, Onsted, MI, 49265  
Please protect Net Neutrality in our country, and keep ISPs under the control of Title II.
358. Jonah Houtz, Bronson, MI, 49028  
Regulated net neutrality laws are required in order to maintain freedom of information, freedom of press, and freedom of expression. Allowing ISPs to prioritize content and broadband delegitimizes the purpose of the internet and shows everyone just how much Congressional leaders care about freedom and equality for citizens.
359. James Hunter, Saline, MI, 48176  
Please preserve Net Neutrality!!!! Don't change back to Level 1 enforcement!!!
360. Monique Hunter, Saline, MI, 48176  
Please preserve net neutrality. Keep enforcing it on level 2. Keep it as it is currently.
361. Hoffman, Jennifer, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837  
Our family is in favor of net neutrality and the preservation of Title 2.

362. Adam Jorgensen, Grass Lake, MI, 49240  
I believe that rolling back any current "net neutrality" policy is unnecessary at this time. The market seems to be doing well within the current structure. The potential for missuse by ISP's given a more lenient system is undeniable. It very much seems a situation with significant risk to the consumer with no apparent reward.
363. Nicholas Findlay, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
To put priority on what filters through the internet is the modern equivalent of limiting who has the freedom to speak. It's too easy to use this proposal to market largest bidder companies so as to drive out competition and to quell differences of opinion by digitally silencing those whose interests don't lie with those of the ruling body. Don't allow the United States of America to turn into a plutonic oligarchy and a police state in one fell swoop.
364. Tammi Huff, Erie, MI, 48133  
Net neutrality now!!!
365. John Rett, chelsea, MI, 48118  
Net neutrality is very important, anything that threatens net neutrality I am against. Please do not move forward with this proceeding.
366. Brian Ritter, Britton, MI, 49229  
I support Title 2 oversight and strong Net Neutrality rules. DO NOT rollback these changes. Protect the people not the corporations. I'm a software developer and the economic future of the software industry requires net neutrality. Rolling back Net Neutrality does nothing positive to ensure equal treatment of all data, and is simply a play to appease corporations.
367. James Tchorzynski, Jackson, MI, 49203  
Please push for strong and effective net neutrality rules to protect citizens ability to openly share and access information without corporate bias or greed. The very idea of losing a free internet is a revolting sign of the times. Show us that there is still someone who cares in the federal government.
368. Bryan Arnold, Dexter, MI, 48130  
I support strong net neutrality rules based on the solid legal footing of Title 2
369. Jackson Seedott, Northville, MI, 48168  
I fully support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of Internet service providers in order to preserve fair and equal Internet accessibility for all.
370. debra truax, Saline, MI, 48176  
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality as currently overseen by title 2.

371. evan williams, detroit, MI, 48144  
i would prefer if you would not mess with net neutrality. i like my internet the way it is.
372. Colton, Jackson, MI, 49202  
Keep our interest and internet our own. Stay way from dictatorship.
373. david woodbeck, Carleton, MI, 48117  
I support title 2 for internet companies.
374. Jeff Jones, Coldwater, MI, 49036  
I support net neutrality under title 2. Don't even think about attempting another corporate grap!
375. Michael K Suchman, Adrian, MI, 49221  
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.Unfortunately, I also have no faith Ajit Pai or the Trump administration will do anything for the greater good of the people (unless you are their people). The 100 days has been enough proof of that.
376. Susan L. Gibson, Jackson, MI, 49201  
I support strong net neutrality rules, backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. this is not negotiable.
377. Christopher Gliniecki, Dimondale, MI, 48821  
Please let the FCC retain Title 2 oversight over ISPs.Thank you.
378. Rogan Hulu, Chicago, MI, 60603  
I want you to keep Net Neutrality regualted within title 2! Don't change anything, it's perfect as it is!
379. jonathon Boggs, Lambertville, MI, 48144  
I support Strong net neutrality laws under Title 2. Please do not undo the progress already made. You serve the public, not the pocketbooks of corporations.
380. kim, Adrian, MI, 49221  
Why is this a thing again? We want the Internet open without priorities. Without limits, Without price gouging ISPs.
381. Craig Draper, Springport, MI, 49284  
I stand for net neutrality that is supported by Title II
382. Joe Wygocki, Lansing, MI, 48917  
Internet service needs to stay under Title II in order to ensure the fair and equal

use of the internet. Every idea, every bit of information or entertainment must be allowed to reach the end user on equal footing. To allow ISPs to dictate at what speed information reaches its customers (or if they allow it to reach them all) sets up an unfair and potentially hazardous blockade to an informed population. Money would truly control what the masses are allowed to know. And what's to stop a ruthless propaganda machine from buying up all the speed, suppressing truth and spreading its own misinformation? We've already seen what suppressed internet looks like in foreign regimes, do not allow the same to happen here. For profit corporations should not be allowed to pick and chose what information is dispersed and at what speed on the internet.

383. Joshua Watko, Manchester, MI, 48158

I object to the elimination of the Net Neutrality Act. Open and unrestricted access to the internet and all information available there is required for a truly free and modern society. Elimination of this act would open the door for suppression of knowledge and free speech at will, even if those in favor of elimination promise that it will not at this moment in time. History has shown through several various examples that such regulations eventually set aside freedom for the majority in place of profit and power for a few. Thank you for hearing my concern. Joshua Watko

384. Barry Polack, Jackson, MI, 49203

Please leave the existing Title II Net Neutrality guidelines in effect as they are. They do not impose undue hardships on ISPs nor do they stop ISPs from upgrading their infrastructure. This is the only way to insure companies like Verizon do not infringe on the rights of the individual.

385. Adam Knight, Jackson, MI, 49203

I strongly oppose the idea of moving the proceedings back to title 1. We must keep this as is in order to not set a legal precedent which would make it more difficult for future administrations to rollback due to rampant abuse in the future.

386. Molly Parker, Hillsdale, MI, 49242

I am one of the millions of Americans in support of net neutrality protections. Please protect title 2 protections and not change them to make them less enforceable. While you may believe that large ISP's would not throttle internet speeds if these regulations were changed, put yourself in the role of a business trying to make money. They will do everything they can to squash a competitor which includes making things very difficult for small independent ISP's and forcing the American public to bend to their ways (reducing streaming speeds and content from competitors.) There is no need to change a system that is working. Listen to America- we spoke once and that was apparently forgotten in favor of big business interests- LISTEN TO THE AMERICAN PEOPLE. We

want to keep net neutrality regulations in place as they exist!!!Thank you for reading my comment.

387. Lauren McNabb, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837  
We support strong net neutrality and Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
388. Paul McClain, Eaton Rapids, MI, 48827  
Net neutrality deserves the best possible protection under the law. It has reached the point where no communication media is likely more essential to everyday life, and it only increases everyday. Your primary responsibility is to the citizen consumers.
389. Bryan Mark Harless, Dexter, MI, 48130  
Keeping ISP under Title II is vital to the FCC function in maintaining net neutrality for all. Please, maintain the commitment of the FCC to Title II and true net neutrality.Thank you
390. Chris Stockbridge, Ann Arbor, MI, 48105  
As a rural internet customer, I have a single option for internet access at my home. With this effective monopoly, I have little power to bargain with the ISP on my own, and I rely on the FCC to make sure that they are operating appropriately. Without your protection I have no recourse if my local ISP decides to compete with, and slow or block a service that I rely on for my family, or my work. Please keep the Title II protections in place.As a small business owner I see net neutrality as vital to small tech companies. With out the assurance that my data will be treated fairly, there is little incentive to start or expand a web-based business when I know that I could be effectively cut off from my customers at any time. Part of the beauty of the internet is that anyone can create, and publish a valuable business and instantly connect to customers across the country and around the globe. The risk of being blocked by a service provider means that I will innovate and produce less.
391. Evan Roath, chelsea, MI, 48118  
Just because the FCC under Ajit Pai doesn't want to regulate the large cable companies doesn't mean that the ABILITY to regulate should be thrown away but changing those companies back to Title One. Please leave them Title Two and adjust your regulation (or lack thereof) accordingly.I value the structure that the FCC brings to the protection of net neutrality above and beyond the promises of companies that routinely change their minds and practices seemingly on a whim.
392. Nicole Sayen, Charlotte, MI, 48813  
I support strong net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. This is imperative for a fair and open internet. The chairman is biased as well as blowing smoke up America's rear end when he says businesses wouldn't take

advantage of ISP's being stripped of Title II oversight. Please fight to keep our internet safe from monopolies in a world that is increasingly run by them.

393. Tara Nurenberg, Charlotte, MI, 48813  
Do not do away with net neutrality. It isn't fair to let our service providers slow or speed up particular sites in order to influence what sites we visit.
394. Terrance Vincent, Potterville, MI, 48876  
Do not strip the net neutrality rules, this is unacceptable and a really big excuse for telecoms to make more money off us and limit our choices
395. Meghan Hendershot, Saline, MI, 48176  
I am for Net Neutrality. Please do not dismantle Title I and Title II of the Communications Act.
396. Scott Wachtmann, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189  
Attempting to reclassify the internet off Title 2 is dangerous for the future prosperity of our country. We live in a country where the only options we have for ISPs is a one of a few monopoly providers who have been caught red-handed colluding not to compete with each other's markets. When I live I have one choice for a provider, which means I have no protection as a consumer when that company makes choices with their service I don't like. To think that you could open up a profit opportunity for these companies to create fast lanes, and that they'll decline is dangerously naive, or willfully deceitful for the chairman Ajit Pai to suggest. We're already seeing this happen in the mobile carrier space, where companies are billing bandwidth for outsider services, and not their own alternatives. We don't need another opportunity to get shaken down by ISPs. Access to an open internet is critical for our jobs, our businesses, and democracy as a whole. The FCC's job is to protect CONSUMERS, not lobbyists. The revolving door already landed Ajit Pai in his role as chairman. Don't let him destroy net neutrality for his owners at Verizon.
397. Brett Johnson, Milan, MI, 48160  
Please uphold Title II of the Net Neutrality clause to allow for open and fair access to the internet content of someone's choice without the concern of internet providers resorting to bullying practices by slowing down internet speed on competitors. Don't do away with Title II, rather, ensure that both corporate giants and relative newcomers have equal footing from which to thrive in the digital realm so that customers are free to choose content without technical interference applied to that content by competing entities.
398. Patricia M. Brodbeck, Erie, MI, 48133  
I want strict oversight of ISPs as laid out in Title II. Title I is insufficient.
399. Daniel Welling, Chelsea, MI, 48118

I implore the FCC to maintain strong net neutrality regulation by keeping title II classification for internet service providers. I rely on an open internet for my profession and my personal life. Repealing title II classification will negatively impact myself, my family, and my colleagues.

400. Ben Sayer, Dexter, MI, 48130

I want the FCC to preserve net neutrality and Title II status of ISPs.

401. Grant Morgan, Grand ledge, MI, 48837

Keep net neutrality, there are already limit choices for providers in lots of areas, and slow speeds not to mention 1/3 of any show is filled with commercials... don't let ISP have yet another thing to make money on at the expense of the customers

402. Matt Carlson, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837

You must preserve net neutrality and the power of Title II. Thank you.

403. Lindsey Michael, Jackson, MI, 49201

The availability of the internet is what makes it so powerful. Everyone should be able to have easy access to the world's information - threatening this access threatens democracy, and the people's right to knowledge about their communities, their country, and the world. Net neutrality is important in my life, and the lives of everyone I know. Without it, even simple things like communicating with one another could be more difficult. We need the internet as it is.

404. jack lacy, brooklyn, MI, 49230

The internet needs to stay the way it is in order to make sure it is a level playing field for both companies and consumers. I become old enough to vote this year and the man who fucks with this, I will vote out of office.

405. Keith M. Richards, Michigan Center, MI, 46254

Please Preserve Net Neutrality using the current Title II guidelines. I would not like to see ISP's be able to prioritize loading speeds for different websites. When I pay for internet, I expect that any site I choose to use will be able to function as well as it should.

406. Ryan Bayer, Monroe, MI, 48161

I support strong net neutrality with title II oversight of ISPs. Please allow the Internet to remain neutral!

407. charles baker, chelsea, MI, 48118

do not repeal the Net Neutrality Rules under Obama's Title II.

408. Brian Bieber, Chelsea, MI, 48118

I am strongly in favor of preserving net neutrality via title II regulations.

409. Robert Zimmer, Saline, MI, 48176  
I strongly support net neutrality and keeping internet ISPs regulated under Title 2 of The Communications Act of 1934
410. Dharma Styx, Brooklyn, MI, 49230  
Net neutrality is essential to freedom of speech and the common man. You don't hate freedom of speech or the common man, right?
411. John Fredericks, Manchester, MI, 48158  
Hands off the internet. Thank you.
412. Jason Coker, JACKSON, MI, 49202  
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality. Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2.
413. Daren Iott, Monroe, MI, 48162  
I strongly support net neutrality and regulatory oversight under title 2.
414. shannon luhrs, Ypsilanti, MI, 48197  
I strongly urge you to maintain the net neutrality enforced by title II. This is a simple and strong oversight demanding that the companies working for us (the people) continue to work for the people they profess to serve, not work this new system to serve themselves.
415. Lauren Fitzsimons, Jackson, MI, 49202  
Strongly support net neutrality. The FCC, an independent agency (all the more troubling for democratic accountability), appears to be the subject of corporate capture by the providers. The internet is a utility and should be regulated under Title II.
416. Joshua Hasty, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189  
I do not support the revoking of net neutrality.
417. Jaime Olszewski, Temperance, MI, 48182  
I support a free and open internet under strict Title II rules!
418. DeAnn Phillips, Ann Arbor, MI, 48103  
I would like to urge the FCC to stay with the Title 2 rules regarding net neutrality.
419. Christian Olszewski, Temperance, MI, 48182  
Support for Title 2 is imperative to maintaining an open Internet. I support Net Neutrality.

420. Tommasina Cominsky, Temperance, MI, 48182  
I strongly support keeping the internet free and open under Title II rules.
421. Tony Scampa, Saline, MI, 48176  
Please maintain net neutrality through Title II. Thanks for listening.
422. Leroy Reichenberger, Saline, MI, 48176  
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, We need to stop this takeover NOW  
!!! Regards, Leroy Reichenberger 7311 Boardwalk Saline, MI 48176"
423. Kris Konkel, Munith, MI, 49259  
If you turn on your own people, who are you protecting?
424. Jim Larkey, Jackson, MI, 49201  
Opposed to Trump Administration to overturn net neutrality. Net neutrality is necessary in a monopolistic communication market. Few broadband ISP suppliers. Need to maintain net neutrality
425. Judith E Schaus, Lansing, MI, 48917  
Net neutrality is crucial. Do not allow anything to compromise it.
426. Carissa Skye, Dexter, MI, 48130  
Keeping strong neutrality legislation under Title II is vital. Please do not revise policy to allow unfair prioritization of chosen sites.
427. Francisca Fernandez, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
I am in total support of net neutrality. Serve the American public's interest, not corporations.
428. Dr. & Mrs. William Courliss, UNION CITY, MI, 49094  
Please protect us from this Verizon JERK - Pai who'll line is how pockets with OUR 'green'!
429. Patricia Lefevre, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837  
My family and I STILL support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. We backed Net Neutrality - as did a majority of the US - back a few years ago when, as a member of the committee, you tried to disembowel a free and open internet and failed. Under a new administration, you as chairman are again working against the best interests of the people of this country by once again trying to strangle Net Neutrality. Again you will fail, because what you are doing is NOT in anyone's but the ISPs. Do the right thing: support NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title II for oversight of ISPs. Thank you.
430. Scott Sundberg, SPRING ARBOR, MI, 49283  
This is a kick in the face to the small businessman!

431. Lloyd Emmons, BELLEVUE, MI, 49021  
THIS BE FOR BIG MONEY AND GREED. WHAT WILL YOUR GRANDCHILDREN THINK WHEN THEY FIND OUT WHAT YOU DID. TRUTH ALWAYS PREVAILS.
432. Alden Smith, DIMONDALE, MI, 48821  
Net neutrality uis one of the most important freedoms of Americans. I
433. Robert Dunn, MANCHESTER, MI, 48158  
I believe you need to be concerned about everyone and not just the corporations making money on us.
434. James Shepherd, RIVES JUNCTION, MI, 49277  
Comrade trump wants to set up his dictator state. Silencing the people is one of the first steps.\r\nScrew trump and leave the net alone.
435. Della Smith, COLDWATER, MI, 49036  
Net neutrality has to be protected
436. Tecla Loup, TECUMSEH, MI, 49286  
I can't believe the FCC is naive enough to think that giant corporations won't exploit everything possible to enhance their bottom lines. Keep the Internet out of their paws.
437. Dale Kubicki, MANITOU BEACH, MI, 49253  
Certain entities already have a better advantage. remember when cable tv made its debut it was going to be cheap and affordable. Look at it now. So much for consolidation and all of the competition it brings.
438. richard blake, CHELSEA, MI, 48118  
Ideological censorship and/or pricing of internet content would make Kim Jong Il or Idi Amin proud
439. Joanne Tollison, PETERSBURG, MI, 49270  
For once keep the ordinary citizens in mind instead of the fake corporate ""people".
440. Sheron Snyder, JACKSON, MI, 49203  
ElectroMagnetic fields belong to everyone. The Net is a band of frequencies in the EMF and you should leave your hands off it.
441. Jaime Nelson, JACKSON, MI, 49203  
Keep net neutrality alive!!!!
442. Bob Milbrodt, CHELSEA, MI, 48118

Please don't make faster internet more expensive.

443. Gary Hamilton, JEROME, MI, 49249  
It should be free just like free speech!
444. Christie Boxer, Adrian, MI, 49221  
Do NOT undermine net neutrality! This is a naked profit grab, and there are MANY OF US paying attention to this. Enough of profits over people - let us have our internet!
445. Deborah Jozwik, Brooklyn, MI, 49230  
Keep Net Neutrality. Don't change the rules because Donald Trump doesn't know what it means.
446. Austin CotÃ© Williams, Lansing, MI, 48911  
I am employed by a major webhosting company, and as such I think I am justified in arguing that far from being a passing or academic interest, this subject is one that has a direct impact on my future livelihood and job stability. I strongly believe that the concept of net neutrality and the fostering of a free and equal internet is important in ensuring that this technology continues to grow and thrive, and that the best way to maintain this level of freedom and equality is for internet service providers to continue to be classified as a common carrier, regulated under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I have reviewed the restrictions imposed by both Title I and Title II of this act, and I strongly believe that reclassifying internet service providers so that they are regulated under Title I would not only incentivise ISP's to provide the public with an inferior product, but would also potentially alienate the trust of foreign customers who currently rely on webhosting providers within The United States, thus negatively impacting revenue and growth for such businesses. I strongly implore you to uphold the February 26, 2015 decision to regulate ISP's as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 and Section 706 of the Telecommunications act of 1996.
447. erin gibner, Milan, MI, 48160  
Keep Title II - I support net neutrality
448. jason gibner, Milan, MI, 48160  
I support net neutrality. Keep title II.
449. Kristina McElheran, Milan, MI, 48160  
I am an academic studying the use of technology in firms and productivity, and I strenuously support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Lack of strong institutions will hamper innovation, economic growth, job creation, and the benefits we expect from technology. Lack of "interference" in markets will not help them function well and grow when there is market power,

as we currently see in the ISP market.

450. net neutrality, Saline, MI, 48176

Just because conservatives favor less regulation that does not mean that the regulation of net neutrality should be taken away or lessened when it benefits the majority of people. Where is the fairness for all people if not all service providers and data are viewed the same? Who decided that a company is more important than the people. Consider a fake scenario in which net neutrality isn't in place. Say my nephew fell into a pool, and I needed to search a video on how to properly administer the Heimlich maneuver. My phone isn't loading, and an ambulance can't be there fast enough. My phone company, AT&T, decided at that moment that they're going to slow down how fast content can load on my phone. My nephew dies as a result. The blame is then put on whoever chose to reverse the rules adopted under Title II. Even one life being risked isn't worth the change of the existing net neutrality rules.

451. Jonathan stelzer, Temperance, MI, 48182

ATTEMPTING TO DESTROYING NET NEUTRALITY IS THE WORST IDEA I HAVE EVER HEARD. To give already money-hungry ISPs even MORE power to control and manipulate data speeds and traffic is completely asinine. Keep the Internet free and open.

452. Joe Coutcher, Lambertville, MI, 48144

Net neutrality is a MUST for those of us with no viable alternative ISP's. Inevitably, the costs of paid prioritization are put on the consumer. It would also lead to a nightmare for companies (like Netflix) to have to make deals with regional ISP's for paid prioritization, leading to pricing differences based on what ISP you have. We're already paying for our internet service, don't make us pay twice to use the services we're already paying for!

453. Anthony McKenna, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189

Please reclassify the internet under Title II. Stop allowing telecom companies to run roughshod over consumers.

454. Caroline de Mauriac, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837

The FCC created Title II to preserve Net Neutrality. Changing or rolling back that protection for consumers would be devastating for the American public's open and fair internet access while serving only the interests of large corporate ISPs. It is in the country's economic and national security interests to retain Title II and preserve the current standards for Net Neutrality.

455. Georgia Ennis, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189

I am writing to express my support for strong net neutrality under Title II of the Communications Act. It is integral to internet freedom and consumer privacy that the FCC maintains, and indeed strengthens, the protection of consumers'

right to a free and open internet, one which is not controlled by corporate interests.

456. Anthony V. Corrie, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189  
I am in support of full net neutrality and object to removing ISPs from Title II classification. Please make no changes.
457. Brett Snitch, Adrian, MI, 49221  
I swear to god if you allow these motherfuckers to slow down my goddamn Netflix, I will personally yank spines out of people's assholes. If I have to wait for Stranger Things to buffer because Verizon is jelly that I watch Netflix instead of Hulu, then I might actually go on a spree akin to the Killdozer but potentially worse. Do not fuck with my internet goddamnit, it's all I have left since Shelly took the kids.
458. Tom Schomisch, Charlotte, MI, 48813  
Net Neutrality is a First Amendment right.
459. mary rzepczynski, Lansing, MI, 48917  
As a librarian committed to equal access to information for all, I strongly urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality and Title II.
460. Charles Carter, Olivet, MI, 49076  
Dear Director Pai of the FCC: I humbly request that Internet Service Providers (ISP's) remain under Title II oversight. Please do not revert Net Neutrality. Regulations are rules, and rules are needed to make sure everyone plays fair. I remember ISP's fighting for Title I, so they could set their policies to their own agendas. I remember they didn't want their services open to anyone but themselves. But the Internet Has truly become a PUBLIC service, and should be treated as such. Isp's should not be allowed to set policy without oversight, to protect the rights of our citizens. The people of this country, and indeed the world, has embraced this service and I for one, wish you help to continue to make Net Neutrality the law of the land! Sincerely Charles Carter
461. Robert Tinker, Saline, MI, 48176  
Because I can find everything I need to know on the open internet!! It is a RIGHT, not a PRIVILEGE.
462. Jon Krueger, Jackson, MI, 49201  
This IS free speech! What a simple part of the Constitution you don't seem to understand!
463. Donald H Locker, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
Internet service providers (ISPs) have become primarily carriers of the content generated by others and should simply be regulated as such carriers. Access to

Internet resources should be uniform to consumers as well as content providers for this reason.

464. Michele Sherriton, chelsea, MI, 48118  
Net neutrality ensures that the majority of Americans can be a part of the world. It is, and should remain, accessible to all of us not just those that afford to pay more.
465. Dianne Kennedy, Adrian, MI, 49221  
today I have called both my US Senators imploring them to research this issue and its effect on their constituents.
466. Lou Scarpelli, Dexter, MI, 48130  
We need to protect net neutrality and NOT roll back regulations. Title 2 needs to stay in play. I don't believe the directors comments about title 2 causing companies to invest less. Rolling back regulations will hurt the consumer (me) and that is wrong. Listen to the people and don't roll back regulations on this issue. thank you
467. John Belanger, Northville, MI, 48168  
Keep net neutrality! ISPs should be regulated under Title II. Stop letting Verizon try to pick favorites on the web.
468. Melanie, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
Support strong net neutrality and keeping ISPs under Title 2 and FCC regulation.
469. Larry Ross, Ann Arbor, MI, 48103  
I strongly support the fcc to maintain net neutrality by keeping regulation of the ISPs under Title 2. Any change to this is against the common interest of the American public, whom the FCC is tasked to serve.
470. martin chubb, Dimondale, MI, 48821  
Keeping net neutrality is one of the most important issues facing the individual citizens of the united States of America. It is one of the last rights that we the people still have. By removing net neutrality and moving ISP back to title 1 status we are eroding the right of every single citizen to a free and open mode of communication. America was built on the rights of individual citizens, not corporations.
471. Kathryn Chubb, Dimondale, MI, 48821  
Net neutrality and title II are crucial to keep. Without these in place we take the individuals right to chose what information they want to see and how they want to see it and make it so everyone is looking through someone else's biased lenses. Without net nuetrality and title II we will force our country to embrace

unoriginality and brainwashing.

472. Julia Hinderer, Dexter, MI, 48130  
Preserve strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. This is in line with American values of freedom for all.
473. Gary Jarvis, Jerome, MI, 49249  
For fairness and democracy values..
474. Daniel Curley, Monroe, MI, 48161  
You'll be kneecapping your largest innovation lane for the common man in history. I suspect that's what you want, but I hope you can see how completely stupid of an idea it is.
475. Sister Sinagra, Adrian, MI, 49221  
We are a DEMOCRACY Mr. Pai and Mr. Trump. Hands off the Internet. Don't like this expression of democracy....//???? LEAVE !
476. Carol Timm, Brooklyn, MI, 49230  
Get rid of Pai!
477. Joanne Tollison, Petersburg, MI, 49270  
Once again Drumpfster's screwing the little guy. Stop it!
478. Richard Blake, chelsea, MI, 48118  
current repugnican plans are simply another facet of their right-wing totalitarian agenda
479. Todd Bake, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189  
The Internet is an invaluable public resource and should not be sold to special interests.
480. Michael, Dexter, MI, 48130  
I support maintaining Net Neutrality, and the Title II provisions enacted for that purpose. Big corporations have shown their in ability to act in the interest of the public good. So, leaving the huge merging ISPs to provide oversight is like having the fox guard the hen house! Thank you! I totally disagree with Mr. Ajit Pai's stand on this.
481. sean mawer, Temperance, MI, 48182  
Keep net neutrality stong under title ii regulations
482. Pamela Moyer, Jackson, MI, 49203  
Net neutrality is essential to maintain fair and equitable internet practices. It is imperative that the current ISP net neutrality oversight be maintained under

## Title II.

483. Emerson Breithart-Varga, Concord, MI, 49237  
All ISPs should be filed under Title II it is the FCC's job to protect its people from the bidding that has happened to cable television a free and open internet is the only way to voice opinions and share ideas.
484. Michael D. Urbanczyk, Monroe, MI, 48162  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please don't ruin the internet for personal, political, or corporate gain.
485. Joe Pozy, Lansing, MI, 48917  
Hello,I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
486. alex Worden, Saline, MI, 48176  
I support strong net neutrality and act 2
487. Joey Youngs, Charlotte, MI, 48813  
Our family is strongly opposed to another "we know what's good for you policy" that only infringes on every "average citizen", while leaving the elite to decide our fates and rights, as so eloquently spelled out in the FIRST AMENDMENT. As a corporate lawyer for Verizon, the FCC chair fought to go to Title II, now he expects that we wouldn't notice cronyism playing its hand for anyone without blinders to see, so that specific corporations and individuals advance themselves at the inherent freedom of speech they so loudly decry when it fits their needs. Nothing short of repugnant.
488. Ryan Perry, Jackson, MI, 49201  
I do think that everything data wise should be equal. Net neutrality is a good thing. If we didn't have net neutrality my pornhub site would be slower than a person who pays for porn. People don't all have money for stuff like that. So before you think about not allowing all data to be equal think about the poor people. They need to masterbait too.
489. Sharon Sauter, Blissfield, MI, 49228  
I am concerned that the FCC is going to take away the wifi neutrality. We all should have a right to chose our search engines based on our personal preferences without concern that our provider will interfere with any portion or our experience. While the director may want to change this he should know that we are not ignorant and realize the ramifications these changes will have on us as individuals.
490. Julie Healy, Temperance, MI, 48182  
I support net neutrality under title two

491. Thomas DeVoy, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
492. Austin Yarger, Dexter, MI, 48130  
Please reconsider altering net neutrality. As the CEO of a small-business internet startup, my growing business would be at the mercy of my ISP. Many of the internet products and services my business relies on could be sabotaged at the whim of Comcast. If you're aiming to spur additional infrastructure investment, seek this from Congress and the President. Thank you!
493. Alex Chapman, Lansing, MI, 48917  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is important for content creators across the web such as myself, and imposes unfair restrictions over how individuals are able to access the content they wish to see, on whatever platform they wish to see, in whatever way they wish to see. It is important to enforce net neutrality in order to ensure that the internet is an open, unrestricted platform for all to access.
494. Jon Raymond, Tecumseh, MI, 49286  
We must support Title 2 and not let ISP's the option to voluntarily commit to keeping the internet neutral.
495. Laura Ovresat, Eaton Rapids, MI, 48827  
Please keep the internet free and open!!! We have enough problems getting Comcast to take care of our internet problems. They are a HORRIBLE company. A necessary evil! Changing the internet rules is taking away an american freedom!!!
496. Ralph Tuscher, Cement City, MI, 49233  
I specifically support net neutrality under regulation of Title II. All ISPs are common carriers.
497. noah, tecumseh, MI, 49286  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Ajit pai has an overwhelming conflict of interests. He and anyone who received donations (bribes) or worked for a major telecom/cable company should be disqualified to vote in this matter. Removing of net neutrality is bad for everyone that is not a defacto monopoly. Every business already pays their share for bandwidth and If net neutrality is removed the telecoms and cable monopolies will be essentially double dipping and that is unamerican and disgraceful. If these anti-net neutrality telecom/cable monopolies can't compete on their own merits without stifling their competition they either improve what they offer or go extinct. We need more Competition so everyone wins and we keep improving. anti-net neutrality is like if you had to pay for freedom of speech and if you didn't pay you would be thrown in jail. You can still say anything you want but nobody

would hear you and if you do pay you get the right that was already there to begin with except now you are shaken down every time you want to say something. This is exactly like protection money paid to the mob. If you don't pay something bad might happen.

498. Bradley K, Ann Arbor, MI, 48103

I believe , as well as my whole family, that Net Neutrality with Title 2 Classification of ISP's should be upheld by the FCC. ISP's have proven time and time again that they cannot police themselves when it comes to treating consumers fairly and honestly and they NEED to be kept in check and regulated. For the benefit of EVERYBODY that uses the internet.

499. Patricia White, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Leave it the way it is.

500. Alex Logan, Carleton, MI, 48117

I support net neutrality backed by Title 2. Internet service providers should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites.

501. Chris Littleton, Chelsea, MI, 48118

Users, like me, only have one choice of providers; who have already abused their powers. They brought regulation on themselves. Depending on them to maintain fair terms is also incredibly naive when they can change the terms at will. Without adequate competition, there's no financial motivation to prevent it.

502. Mike Venturini, Jonesville, MI, 49250

The internet must remain neutral. There should be no ability to slow down certain providers because of competition, information, or arrogance.

503. David Singer, Lansing, MI, 48911

Cable and telecom companies want to kill network neutrality to increase their profits, not decrease prices for consumers. Without net neutrality, consumers would be forced to access a distorted internet, where information is prioritized according to the financial interests of telecom and cable companies. Network neutrality is crucial for growth of both new and established companies; virtually all sales, from the largest company to the smallest new business, now rely on the internet. Eliminating unfettered access to internet content and services would hamper these businesses'™ ability to grow and would negatively impact economic growth nationwide. Net neutrality is pro-business in the best and fullest sense of the term, guaranteeing that new companies can grow unimpeded and help accelerate the US economy. Net neutrality preserves undistorted consumers'™ freedom of choice. And at the same time, net neutrality facilitates a level playing field for political and social interaction on

the internet, enhancing freedom.

504. Tim Olson, Dexter, MI, 48130

I support strong net neutrality regulations backed by Title II! These rules protect consumers and small businesses from abuse by large ISPs. Those big companies have business incentives to degrade services and restrict access to certain content, and it is the job of the FCC to create and enforce rules preventing such abuse. A free and open internet is essential to ensure continuing innovation, communication, and growth in all industries, so I urge you to maintain the strong title II - backed rules in place.

505. Judy Hermosillo, Dexter, MI, 48130

I support strong net neutrality. I support title II backed by oversight of ISP

506. David L. Winkelstern, Mulliken, MI, 48861

Any move to add "freedom" is clearly a means to allow internet companies to commit unfair practices. We need the Title II protections. The public wants net neutrality and it is watching what you do.

507. Jorge Livingston, Saline, MI, 48176

I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II oversight.

508. Justin Pomeroy, Willis, MI, 48191

I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. This entire proposal is nothing but a sham perpetrated by Mr Pai to give his former employers free reign over the internet. His statements on the matter have been proven to be false and misleading. With the current system being the only legal way that the ISPs can be regulated and governed, a situation brought about by court battles they entered into with the FCC. I strongly urge the FCC to do what is right and to drop this proposal, the consequences of it being passed would be enormous, and would damage the internet for decades to come.

509. Anthony Lemke, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837

This is the exact opposite of democracy. It's just a ploy to make the telecommunications companies happy. How in the world can you say the internet was "fine before Title II"? How is it ok to throttle certain traffic but pass other traffic? Are we not the only major country in the world who has these SLOW data speeds, outrageous internet prices, and small data caps? What about charging Netflix just so they can deliver they're own content? Ask any citizen how many tv/internet companies they have to choose from in their house? I'm willing to bet they all have 1-2 choices. Yeah, sure...the internet is doing just dandy before this Title II. All of the years of corruption aren't magically fixed overnight FYI. Freepress.net has a couple of fantastic articles regarding how the internet has been thriving post Title II

(<https://www.freepress.net/blog/2017/05/17/sorry-chairman-pai-your-investment-numbers-dont-add> and <https://www.freepress.net/blog/2017/05/24/fcc-chairman-pai-doesnt-know-how-measure-investment>). They also mention how the cable/telecom companies have spent \$20+ million on lobbying to destroy Title II. Hmm..how much of that money has found it's way into Pai's pocket? Yes, I'm calling Pai corrupt. Please think for the people for once. I identify with the republican side myself, but I can't stand how many decisions are not thoroughly weighed out for long term impact. Lets support technology instead of destroying it for shareholder interest. Those 2 things can happily co-exist

510. Ryan Dion, Adrian, MI, 49221

I do not wish to have net neutrality dismantled. Please do not revoke the Internet's Title II classification so that cable companies can pick and choose what sites I visit through blocking and throttling web traffic. I only hope that enough people within the FCC will do the right thing and stop this blatant attempt by corporations trying to take over what is now considered a basic human right. Thank you

511. Sireen T. Reddy, Brooklyn, MI, 49230

Dear Ajit Payal, This is a ridiculous attempt to subvert the will of the people. This attempt was soundly defeated last time. Please do not make your legacy "the man who killed the Internet" no matter how much Verizon or any other telecom is paying you or promised to pay you.

512. Robert Fraser, Dexter, MI, 48130

I strongly urge you to support Title II remaining in place. Even without considering the absurdly high cost of our Internet service (I use Comcast), this commercial invasion of Internet neutrality with no power given to me to opt out or not join in is an atrocious affront. I am paying (and paying plenty) to have equal access to any site without my ISP throttling sites that won't pay up.

513. Ian McNally, Adrian, MI, 49221

I STRONGLY support Title 2 oversight. Net Neutrality is important.

514. John C Doyle, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189

Preserve title two and net neutrality. I am tired of big business controlling everything. Please keep the internet free.

515. Rachael Skye, Dexter, MI, 48130

I support net neutrality, and enforcement of Tittle II oversight of ISP's. I support it because in the modern world, the internet is increasingly a necessity, rapidly becoming akin to electricity or telephones in its ubiquity. Schools operate either entirely online or have large online components, banking and office work occurs online, and many businesses are moving online. A neutral internet

ensures that these many parties may communicate on an equal footing. Large corporations will not be able to buy a faster connection than small businesses or startups, fostering entrepreneurship. Schools, libraries, government agencies, and other public services will not suffer if their internet provider chooses to slow their internet in favor of corporation payouts, and the students and workers who rely on these websites will not be subject to an inadequate connection. I support net neutrality and wish the FCC to enforce it.

516. A Lewis, Chelsea, MI, 48118

The internet will not be the internet without "net neutrality." Don't let the internet be bought.

517. Tammy M Donaldson, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189

Please keep Title 2 regulations in effect to protect net neutrality.

518. Raymond Sampson, Newport, MI, 48166

Dear Ajit Pai,I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of internet service providers. Get a real mug, put away your weedwacker, and keep the internet open and equal to all.

519. Teddy, Dexter, MI, 48130

Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. Leave the Internet alone

520. Aaron, Lansing, MI, 48917

I am in favor of strong net neutrality and support Title 2.

521. Emily, Lansing, MI, 48917

In favor of strong net neutrality. We use different phone providers in our household; it would be terrible to not have access to the same information.

522. Melissa Roocroft, Dexter, MI, 48130

Please keep the Title 2 regulations in place. I greatly value the free and open access to the internet they help ensure. I do not want these regulations 'weed whacked' by Ajit Pai, or anyone else. I don't want my access to be throttled or interfered with in any way by companies that are serving their own self interests.

523. Christopher Conger, Dundee, MI, 48131

I support, as should everyone, strong net neutrality. Title II was the ONLY way to ensure that the internet stays neutral and unaffected by those companies that have the responsibility to provide a fair and open internet. How many times do we need to get burned by these companies before we learn? Worldcom, Enron, CDO's, and list goes on and on. Keep the internet open and free by keeping it as title II.

524. Albert VanDaele, Monroe, MI, 48162  
Leave net neutrality alone. Trump and his appointees are morons!
525. Matthew Shott, Jackson, MI, 49201  
Dear FCC, Net neutrality is the most important protection of free speech we have today as the internet provides a platform for all citizens to share information and communicate. If ISPs have the power to throttle web traffic they have the ability to block sites that they disagree with to push their own narrative. If you have the audacity to revoke net neutrality, you might as well repeal the the first amendment as well. On top of this all this does this will do nothing but harm the economy as start up company websites will be blacklisted if they can't pay for traffic or if the start up is a competitor of the corporate interests of the ISPs. Matthew Shott
526. Mark Halloway, Ypsilanti, MI, 48197  
I wholeheartedly support net neutrality with strong Title II oversight. I am against removing net neutrality requirements for internet service providers.
527. Gabriel Daenzer, Lansing, MI, 48917  
The removal of Net Neutrality would be doing a large disservice to American consumers by allowing monopolistic ISPs to gouge customers
528. Dennis P. Sullivan, Manchester, MI, 48158  
No fancy words. Keep the internet free and open. It is in every sense a public utility and should be regulated for the public good.
529. Nicholas Aldrich, Jackson, MI, 49203  
Please keep rules concerning net neutrality. We need the freedom to use the internet with out filtering of content by providers. Thank you Nick Aldrich
530. Julie Reincke, Eaton Rapids, MI, 48827  
Support net neutrality and Article II!!!!
531. Jake Battle, Adrian, MI, 49221  
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE 2. WE CANNOT ALLOW ISP'S TO MONITOR AND CONTROL OUR USAGE AND ACCESS TO INFORMATION, APPS, WEBPAGES FOR THE SOLE BENEFIT OF THEIR OWN CAPITAL GAIN.
532. Robin Holtman, Jackson, MI, 49201  
I am strongly against proceeding 17-108, and in favor of strong net neutrality under Title II oversight.
533. Michael Vincent, Vermontville, MI, 49096  
There is no good reason to get rid of net neutrality. Maintain an open data space

for all users is much more conducive to business interests and personal communications. There is no way that artificially creating fast and slow lanes on the internet will do anyone any good besides those who get to control (through fees) which lanes are fast and which are slow. Do not enact this rule change.

534. Marion Griffiths, Hillsdale, MI, 49242  
Dear FCC, Net neutrality is a fundamental foundation to protect our democracy and allow equal access to all information to everyone. Marion Griffiths
535. David Sullivan, Palmyra, MI, 49268  
I support title II regulations.
536. Ian Chase, Monroe, MI, 48161  
I am against net neutrality and the notion of companies throttling services because their dying industry can't handle change. The internet is better than cable, they know this, and want to charge people for using specific services and how fast you can use them. The internet is now a utility that every person should have access to and if give that kind of control over to money hungry executives who killed cable, they will also kill the internet and give power yet again to people with money rather than poor people who don't have money.
537. Paul McCann, Library Director, Dexter District Library, Dexter, MI, 48130  
Greetings, I am writing today to express my concern regarding ending public utility regulation of Internet traffic. The Dexter District Library provides a wide variety of low volume, high value information content to our residents. Access to subscription databases, whether those are downloadable books and audiobooks, access to databases such as Consumer's Reports, interactive foreign language learning tools or access to our library catalog, all require consistent bandwidth to provide satisfactory service to our clientele. The proposed rules will slow this type of traffic and prioritize commercial traffic where large corporations can pay Internet Service Providers to prioritize content. I find this disturbing because of the approach ISPs have taken in our community. While Dexter is just 10 miles west of Ann Arbor, the University of Michigan and a genesis point of the original Internet, nearly 40% of our residents are still unable to purchase high speed Internet service at home. ISP companies continue to refuse to run infrastructure to low population density areas. Further deregulation of the telecommunications industry will only exacerbate the problem, allowing ISPs to cater to corporations and high density areas while marginalizing the rural citizen. After receiving countless billions (perhaps trillions) of dollars of access rights through public right of way, ISPs owe the average citizens equal and quality access to the Internet.
538. Nathan K. Galinis, Clinton, MI, 49236

Preserve net neutrality and Title 2. You can't expect a business to not do what's in their own best interest. Without something to stop them they will most likely take advantage

539. Kevin Cassaday, Lansing, MI, 48917

I do not support Pai and his Net Neutrality. This is an attempt to invade citizens lives. Pai is also allowing false comments on this topic to be accepted as it will only drown out the public more. If someone wants to comment on a government site and issue, that person should be required to meet voting requirements and needs to be verified, and they should probably be alive! Accepting anything but is failure of due process.

540. Jeff Kovalesky, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189

Preserve net neutrality and leave ISP services classified under Title II. I believe the chairman is being disingenuous to the general public and relying to heavily on corporations to police themselves. I do not want corporations having any control over what I can access on the internet. Preserve net neutrality and keep ISP classified under Title II. Thank you.

541. Ralph Tuscher, Cement City, MI, 49233

I support net neutrality and regulation under Title II. ISPs are nothing more than Common Carriers.

542. Michael Ochampaugh, Coldwater, MI, 49036

The internet is working fine without large or small company's with there fingers into a financial day to day operations. It is not broken so don't fix something that's not broke. government intervention is not wanted or needed in any way. this is some of the only vice of the people and rights left. It like why people voted for President Donald Trump, for the People and We Are The People

543. pat, hanover, MI, 49241

Net neutrality must be protected and left open for all to use!

544. Susan Nichols, Adrian, MI, 49221

The internet has been an engine of creativity, free exchange of knowledge and enterprise for our country and citizens. I ask you to protect net neutrality, and our right to free speech. Protect consumers first, not companies who will restrict our rights and reduce that exchange of knowledge and the creativity that has flowed from it.

545. Kelly Gallagher, plymouth, MI, 48170

Please retain net neutrality. The use of the internet should not be subject to influence by a few powerful service providers.

546. Kathryn Mary Stahl, Clinton, MI, 49236

I already pay a high fee for my Comcast internet service. I am adamantly opposed to paying an increased fee for high-speed internet which will result from repealing the current law. I am opposed to mergers between Comcast and Time-Warner which would also cause an increase for internet service. I am opposed to a law that will permit internet service providers to sell my personal information, search history, preferred websites and my contact lists. I am opposed to Homeland Security's tracking my internet use, my emails, my phone call. Keep the internet FREE and do not allow the outrages I have mentioned.

547. Shaima Abdullah, Coldwater, MI, 49036

We want net neutrality!!!!

548. Alexandria Treadway, Clinton, MI, 49236

A free and open internet is so important-- if you take away protections you'll see censorship immediately. Do not give these companies the power to decide what information you can and cannot access, or the ability to hold new startups at ransom because they won't pay premium to be easily viewable at 'default' speeds.

549. Dennis Smalley, Jackson, MI, 49203

The Internet is so important to me and my family. It brings the world to our doorstep. In very real ways it represents freedom and the expression of ideas; the coming together of minds. Please do not allow this, one of the last great treasures the people have to be ruined by the big corporations for the sake of profit and greed like so many other things we have lost in America. It is in your hands now and we can only hope by some miracle the internet we all love and cherish will survive. Please save net neutrality so that future generations will know what true freedom really is.

550. Paul Kison , Hudson , MI, 49247

Freedom in America should include net neutrality and not something controlled by big businesses

551. Beth Kelly, Jackson, MI, 49201

Don't allow companies with the most money to control what is okay to say on the web. I am already disgusted with the slant on the news, and omissions of information, through television and many newspapers!

552. Rosemarie Morris, Michigan Center , MI, 49254

Do not stifle Internet Neutrality. Innovators, entrepreneurs, and Small Businesses need this freedom to innovate and progress. NOT have their access controlled by huge competitors.

553. William Moore, Dundee, MI, 48131

Net neutrality allows consumers, not big companies to choose what they watch

and do online.

554. Pat, Jackson, MI, 49203

Leave net neutrality alone! Access to the web and all its resources should never be up for the grabs. It is too precious

555. Craig Hughes, Reading , MI, 49274

Net neutrality is the key to protection of our fundamental rights of free speech. The FCC needs to protect an individuals right to free speech by keeping the internet neutral, open equally to all.

556. James Kane, Lansing, MI, 48917

I'm sorry that choice is not in cable/internet company language. this is about charging for everything, and getting as much money out of the consumer as possible. Crappy signals, over charges, Really crappy customer service. I should have the choice if I only have internet service to get broadcast channels over the net ,however if I don't subscribe to cable TV, I can't get that type of streaming unless I pay, phooey to you...Free the internet!

557. Craig Butcher, Chelsea, MI, 48118

It is a brazen fraud to claim that a common carrier utility is anti-competitive. It's the burglar claiming that police patrols increase crime. This is an outrageous scam to create a political pay-to-play rigged market. The internet should be passively regulated on the common-carrier model. Theodore Roosevelt would be apalled -- but not surprised.

558. Sander Foster, Munith, MI, 49259

Net-neutrality is fundamental to the most equitable environment, and encourages freedom. What protections, service or advantage does deregulation provide to the citizens of the United States? Make no mistake; net-neutrality is required in the same way that anti-trust rules are required. It is a commons that our government should support for the health and safety of our country. Without net-neutrality, the United States will loose many competitive advantages it currently has in software development, as programmers (even in the USA) will easily focus on development in neutral server countries. Any gains by lack of regulation are minimal and short term. Ask yourself: What is the goal of the deregulation? How does it help freedom, capitalism and an open market? The internet is unlike other utilities because it is built on the assumption that users utilize as they need it. Imagine if you were told "You can only use 1 watt of electricity/day to pump water to your garden, but you can use as much as you want to advertise for a political campaign." Would that be healthy or fair? Certainly not, but you can see how many people would find this arrangement advantageous. But what happens when the advantage ends for YOUR political party, as it surely will. It will be too late to rewrite the rules, and we will have a

similar mess to our current state on Health Care, Immigration, and most other major conflicts. The internet is akin to Freedom of the press; our FIRST amendment rights. Because it is so effective, other channels (such as newspaper and television) have diminished in influence. Without oversight, it would be a one-two punch on American's information (reduced press and censored/controlled internet). This is dangerous indeed, and represents similar models to countries like China. As someone who normally repudiates excessive legislation and governmental rules, I view the current rules as necessary and relevant. Please retain these rules for the benefit of everyone.

559. Tim Skupinski, Jonesville, MI, 49250

I don't understand why our government wants to give away everything with the internet that worked well for the past 20 years or so. Congress wants to let the rest of the world control the internet and the FCC wants to give it away to some big companies that bought them. Fire everybody in Washington because they can't think any more. We used to hire the best and the brightest. Now all we hire are equal opportunity employees. Washington needs to get a brain and quick. We the people won't tolerate incompetence any more.

560. James Lommel, Dexter, MI, 48130

I am an older, retired person and my wife and I use the internet extensively. Allowing the for profit big cable companies more control over what and what is not available is just like turning schools and prisons over to for profit enterprises. Experience has proveably shown that this almost never accrues to the benefit of the public. Please continue to regulate the internet as you currently are and resist the industry lobbyists and your chairman.

561. Michelle Norris, Adrian, MI, 49221

I would not be able to do my job efficiently without net neutrality. I do a lot of research in many different areas.

562. Susan Honeck, Jackson, MI, 49201

Please protect net neutrality! We do not need big businesses controlling our lives any more than they already do!

563. Rachel Lyn H., Saline, MI, 48176

Please leave net neutrality alone and keep the Internet the way it is now. Without net neutrality, you'll do an invasion of my privacy. And the "Internet" will be changed for the worse if net neutrality is gone.

564. Scott Smith, Lansing, MI, 48917

Net neutrality is imperative to a free society

565. Mark Law, Saline, MI, 48176

Protect Net neutrality. The Internet is just fine the way it is. My ISP does NOT

have my permission to sell or monetize my browsing history. Equal access for ALL, large and small. Net neutrality is a free speech issue.

566. Bill Smith, Erie, MI, 48133  
Net Neutrality MUST be repealed immediately! The internet was already neutral before this invasive law was imposed upon us. Net neutrality is as big a lie as the so called 'Affordable Healthcare Act', which in reality is the 'Unaffordable Healthcare Act'!! Repeal both NOW!!! Thank you
567. Joey youngs, Charlotte, MI, 48813  
The net was not designed to be a profit machine, it's intention is the free passing of information and speech. Allowing limits at the corporate level undermines the purpose and just adds one more advantage to the haves.
568. Shane Clark, Eaton Rapids, MI, 48827  
Net neutrality promotes and protects the freedom of speech and the freedom to choose what media we consume. The removal of it will mean that we have to see only what the highest bidder wants us to.
569. Glen Spencer, Jackson, MI, 49203  
Too much money and influence from corporations; you the FCC belong to us "we the people" protect our interest first.
570. Gabriel Weinreich, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
The Net is a critical tool for the dissemination of correct facts and figures. Only a Nazi-type government would refuse their impartial availability to all citizens equally.
571. Daniel James, Morenci, MI, 49256  
I am a card carrying republican and I demand that you stop this unconstitutional behavior immediately. My whole family has fought for this country and we are embarrassed by your agency.
572. Theodore Sippel, Manchester, MI, 48158  
I want to determine my choice of web sites.
573. Thomas Murphy, Monroe, MI, 48161  
Big business owning the internet will destroy it.
574. Cameron Spitzfaden, Charlotte, MI, 48813  
I believe that the Internet should remain impartial: traffic should not be throttled at all, particularly should not be throttled based on which websites can pay more. Please do not change, weaken, or eliminate net neutrality.
575. Morgan Alley, Grass Lake, MI, 49240

I'm a computer systems and network administrator for a small IT company based in Michigan; if the FCC hands over net neutrality to big cable then the whole internet will be tainted with "who's got more money?". The legitimate info the internet provides will no longer be valid and become a junk, spam, malware, virus filled playground that no one will want to play on.

576. Donna Smith, Monroe, MI, 48161  
Preserve net neutrality and protect all users of the internet
577. Taylor Montgomery, Jackson, MI, 49201  
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY. Anyone on the internet should be mature enough to experience selected pages and websites.
578. Neil McKay, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
Most internet users get their service from ISPs that have a local monopoly on high-speed internet service. Expecting these companies to improve customer service in response to deregulation is at best naive. It is more likely that there will be no infrastructure improvement and service will get worse.
579. James Bradley II, Carleton, MI, 48117  
Net neutrality is important to a lot of people. Start ups and small business owners have enough struggles as it is now, and eliminating such an important part of the Internet would only stack the cards further against them. Keep net neutrality
580. Don Broderick, Milan, MI, 48160  
Net Neutrality is Free Speech! Please do not change it. In short, please protect Our First Amendment Rights.Thank You!
581. Timothy Heim II, grass lake, MI, 49240  
I'm against repealing net neutrality for many reasons. First, and foremost is that ending (or reducing) NN would go against the core principles of this country. Major media corporations should not have any right to restrict content on the internet, directly or indirectly. That is exactly what would happen. Do not do this. It is effectively stifling free speech, to make service providers more money. It is unacceptable.
582. debbie burmeister, lambertville, MI, 48144  
the cable and phone get half our paychecks now...something will have to go...tv most likely
583. Linda Gibson, Eaton Rapids, MI, 48827  
We MUST have an open Internet! It is a critical part of free speech, and should always remain open. Giving control to large companies would destroy the Internet we know!

584. Damien Henning, Adrian, MI, 49221  
Everyone should have equal internet access. It should not be allowed to be manipulated by companies that may be able to slow down access to websites they don't wish you to see.
585. Katherine McAlpine, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
If this is a country that prizes innovation and democracy, we can't give large corporations even more advantage to outcompete new, smaller companies. Allowing internet service providers to sell fast and slow lanes to the highest bidders would ultimately hurt competitiveness and innovation in the US.
586. Emily Eubanks, Jackson, MI, 49202  
If net neutrality isn't protected, humanity as a whole will be stunted. When will we earn enough profit to justify bettering the world around us? :( Protect freedom of thought. Prevent distopia by protecting net neutrality.
587. Jared Mackenzie, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189  
This country is founded on freedom. Our forefathers left their countries to settle here in order to escape oppression. New citizens migrate here today in order to escape oppression. The internet is made up of web pages and information created and shared by anyone. Taking what they made and giving control of it to corporations is simply wrong. It is a terrible form of oppression and it will not stand in this country. Do not give the average joe one more reason to hate its government. Lets build this country together rather than let the little guy build it and the top dog money makers feed off of them like parasites. Thank you and good luck
588. Nancy Howe, Adrian, MI, 49221  
Very concerned about the discussion on net neutrality. I strongly oppose any changes. There is enough interference from companies and government which leads to greater problems. Leave the internet as it is!
589. James Austin, Milan, MI, 48160  
By taking away Net Neutrality we will lose our freedom to use the net. I think that big business will take the net over and make us pay fees, lose our privacy and change what we are doing plus spying on us.
590. Gary Kwiecinski, Willis, MI, 48191  
I think the Internet should be open and free for all U.S Citizens. The problem has been that the Government has been into much of our lives, and needs to back off. It is We The People, are in charge, Not we the feds are in charge of our lives.
591. William Seaton, Dexter, MI, 48130  
**DO NOT HANDOVER THE INTERNET TO BIG CABLE COMPANIES**

!!!!!!

592. John Walsh, Chelsea, MI, 48118

As a father, I want my children to have every opportunity to succeed. Without net neutrality, if they want to start a business, exchange ideas, or learn something that might be controversial to a particular corporation, they might be censored or have to pay more money. That's horrible. We should be giving everyone an equal opportunity to learn and be successful. I strongly oppose these proposed rules.

593. VICKI GOINS, GRAND LEDGE, MI, 48837

You must protect net neutrality! The large corporations have too much control already and are making billions on the backs of consumers like myself.

594. Larry Vacek, Jackson, MI, 49203

Without net neutrality large communication companies WILL put a choke hold on the intranet. Net neutrality allows consumers "not big companies" to choose what they watch & do online.

595. Melissa Rehkla, Camden, MI, 49232

The public Internet has always been a public forum, free to voice thoughts with no censoring, learning other points of view, and a fun place to explore. By ending net neutrality, the ordinary person is locked into information tailored by big companies as well as government to sway the public. Not cool in a "free" society. Ending net neutrality gives even bigger advantages to large companies, essentially stifling competition. This is contrary to our free market system. Essentially ending net neutrality does not benefit the public in any way. It only helps big companies and propaganda.

596. Mike Phillips, Charlotte, MI, 48813

Please preserve 'net neutrality' and keep the internet out of big business control, or anyone elses control.

597. EJ Peek, Jackson, MI, 49203

I believe that as a consumer that has to pay \$\$ to use the internet it should not be censored.

598. Sean Williams, Hudson , MI, 49247

It's information and it should be free ... it isn't created, nor regulated by government/FCC and never should be ... I believe it's a personal right for individuals, and does extraordinary things for everyone to be able to access a world of knowledge. We "WILL" become more, and more dependent on using instant information from others minds via the internet. Why would you want to stifle information, knowledge, and progress ? It's ludicrous to do so ... embrace/encourage it for it's the future.

599. dick, britton, MI, 49229  
we need free and open net. companies are in it for the money, and that is all they want. the internet will go to the highest bidder. in the novel, 1984, the government wrote and rewrote history to suit their needs. we need an open forum, not one controlled by an agenda.
600. Eileen Schneider, Charlotte, MI, 48813  
Please keep the internet neutral. It is important that the average citizen has equal access to the internet as big companies.
601. Gary P. Gale, Jackson, MI, 49201  
To whom it may concern, Yes, Internet Neutrality is very vital for all that use it with respect, properly, for vital communications with family, friends and businesses as well as research work for schools and colleges. Yes, they're people out there men and woman both who are abusing the internet for their own personal pleasures from gambling to improper sexual fantasies. These are the people that need to be permanently removed and restricted by these internet providers. The rest of us have the utmost respect for the internet and are highly aware of the dangers that are lurking. I also believe that these foreign internet security and virus protecting companies need to be banned from distributing and selling their products. I believe that they are providing an open gateway for these hackers. PCMATIC is the only American company that provides the true security and virus protection, especially from all of this ransomware. Thank you for your time and service.
602. Ian, monroe, MI, 48161  
The internet should not be moved to a toll bridge price scheme, The internet needs to be an open interstate that connects the WORLD. Do not let companies win and be able to restrict our speeds and how we use the internet.
603. Corey Rigoni, Parma, MI, 49269  
I am absolutely opposed to any move to deconstruct net neutrality. For small businesses like mine, having equal access to the net is essential to competing globally.
604. Grant Boyer, Dexter, MI, 48130  
Preserving Net Neutrality is vital to preserving a free an open society. A civilization like ours prides itself on free speech, where men and women with the innovative ideas should have an equal opportunity to compete with the more established entities. I want an internet that isn't filtered and pre-selected by my internet service providers, where Comcast doesn't get to decide which websites it would prefer that I visit or penalize my Netflix streaming preferences in favor of it's own streaming content. I want the freedom of choice. The internet is an open, common forum where the winners and losers are chosen by the people.

Let it stay that way. In today's age, the internet is not a luxury service -- it is now just as essential as electricity or water. To my generation, this will be an issue that does--and will continue-- to drive action. We are watching closely.

605. Jeff Halberstadt, Palmyra, MI, 49268

Dear, FCC or whom it may concern I understand that once again there are policy's being set in place to provide control over the "internet". Although I understand the need for such things to SMALL degree for example; parental control, maintaining clear & manageable marketing campaigns, weeding out "Negativity" and reducing "piracy" etc. However I wholeheartedly and STRONGLY believe the internet is an extension of free speech (The FIRST amendment in "The Constitution For The United States of America). Additionally the internet continues to be a nearly free market as well as a powerful tool for COMMUNICATION (Which the FCC should be dedicated to uphold). Furthermore the "internet" as a whole is NOT property that can be bought and sold. I pay my service provider a monthly fee so that they may maintain a quality network with little down time. Things in which a "consumer" such as myself would have difficulty doing without their help. Relinquishing majority control, potentially to a third party interest that I already pay currency to as it is, again NOT in my best interest nor any others that I could imagine. Also sticking with that analogy, I do not pay my gas bill for the gas they provide. That is a resource every human should have some access too. For we all live on this spinning rock together. I pay my gas bill for the service they provide, safe transformation of flammable material, support in the event there is problem etc. The internet is not a moon you can stake a flag on! The internet is and always will be theoretical data or intellectual property. You wouldn't want your legitimate businesses website blocked or restricted (for any reason) from its target demographic, would you?! The Irony is that the internet in this instance requires less control. This message is only the "tip of the iceberg". I could explain duality far further if needed. Just remember one thing if you can the internet may have been an "invention" or idea however that idea has grown so large that it is now a global phenomenon. Be very careful how you treat it, tread lightly fellas! Thank you for reading, Seriously consider my advice Jeffrey S. Halberstadt

606. Mike Gajda, North Adams, MI, 49262

The first rule of common sense: If it ain't broke, don't fix it. The internet, with net neutrality, has worked fine for decades. Creative sites, blogs, videos, alternative news outlets, magazines, etc. have flourished and keep flourishing. It's the last bastion of true democracy left. It's the last bastion of free speech. And democracy and free speech should not be stifled. No government has the right to decide for any of us what I can or cannot have equal access to. Keep your hands off.

607. Liz Fick, Lansing, MI, 48911  
I am in favor of net neutrality. I don't want big companies choosing what I do online. It should be my right to choose how I spend my time on the internet. I am also concerned that, without net neutrality, it will stunt small business growth. Creators and entrepreneurs should have equal opportunity to present their ideas on the Web without fear of the big companies stifling them.
608. Peter Grivins, Eaton Rapids , MI, 48827  
Net neutrality is a fundamental right that needs to be protected. Handing the net over to for profit corporations is a fundamental mistake. Net neutrality must be maintained.
609. Elise Bruderly, Dexter, MI, 48130  
I support strong net neutrality. Title II oversight of ISPs is essential.
610. Jeffry Heise, Coldwater, MI, 49036  
I really do not want the major carriers having more of a say in how the internet is used than I do, and for them to feel that what I do online, what I say online or where I go online is irrelevant really scares me and should scare everyone. Net neutrality means just that-NEUTRAL, not favoring anyone or anything, and isn't that what this country was created for? The playing field is level for EVERYONE, regardless of whether they have \$2 in the bank or \$2 billion and can throw that money around to limit my free speech and how I spend my time.
611. Blake Bodenreider, Monroe, MI, 48161  
I urge the FCC to maintain Net Neutrality. To not do so turns the freedom of information the internet provides into just another corporate-controlled medium.
612. john w deuble, Jackson, MI, 49203  
Please keep the internet open and free for all rather than letting large communication corporations control everything. Consider that some of them are the most disliked companies in the USA and for good reason. The internet is vital to every type of business, big and as small as the little church that I'm blessed to pastor. We need an open internet to allow equal access for everyone. Thank you for your time
613. Justin Kresmer, Newport, MI, 48166  
I believe we should keep the internet as it is today. Net neutrality ensures that all sites on the internet are treated equally, ISPs are regulated fairly, and no site, app, or service can be blocked nor pay for preferential treatment.
614. Bryan Lafond, Monroe, MI, 48161  
The internet should always be free. Free from regulation and government meddling. It is the last bastion of open and unfettered communication between all people of the world. Restricting, regulating, or any other form of control is a

horrible idea and completely unwanted by all except greedy corporations who want to turn a profit from it.

615. Terri McKinnon, Jackson, MI, 49201  
Stop messing up the internet. Leave it open and free and no pay-to-play. I support net neutrality and so should you.
616. John Conlin, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
It seems ridiculous that our government would make a decision to put large corporations ahead of it's own people. The new head of the FCC is advocating to undermine internet users and make it harder for us to access media in a fair and reasonable way.
617. Vernon Smith, Saline, MI, 48176  
I urge the FCC to leave the net neutrality status alone. The changes you propose do not benefit the people of the United States. The Internet has become a critical tool that millions of Americans rely on to communicate with others, run their businesses, and relay and receive emergency messages. Small businesses and fast-growing startups in Michigan and across the country rely on broadband. Allowing established corporations to prioritize their services or slow down their competitors would put these small businesses at a competitive disadvantage and could stifle innovation. The open structure of the Internet allows for competition and creativity, and we must maintain this. Now, more than ever, we look to entrepreneurs, start-ups, and business innovators to drive our economy forward by creating jobs and generating new products and services.
618. 1, Temperance, MI, 48182  
Please keep strong net neutrality under the current title 2 of current FCC rules to allow for fair market competition amongst websites who are established, and those just starting out. Thank you.
619. Ethen Winright, Jackson, MI, 49202  
I am a 19 year old who has been using the internet since before I started using school. I fought for net neutrality the first time around, and I am this time too. We told you last time what we wanted, and you listened. Will you listen this time? I don't want to be at the mercy of corporations any more than I already am. Please think about the PEOPLE and not the COMPANIES.
620. Matthew Snead, Adrian, MI, 49221  
Net neutrality is vital for a true Democracy. I believe the proposed changes from Washington is a very clear and obvious infringement of individual rights. At best, this is a selfish cash grab for ISPs and control over content. We must keep the Internet open and competition healthy.
621. Jason Rogers, Saline, MI, 48176

As a Software Engineer and CTO, Net Neutrality is vitally important to me so that I can thrive in my career (e.g. having access to documentation and training that is never filtered or blocked by my ISPs (at home or at work)). When I purchase broadband Internet access, I am purchasing reliable access to all the Internet offers at fast speeds, not my ISPs' curated content, email services, cloud storage, or anything else. I'm purchasing the "hose to the ocean" so to speak. I work from home 99% of the time, and because of that I need to have exceptional speeds from my ISP at home. Unfortunately, that locks me into Comcast because there are no other providers that operate this far into the country-side with the reliability of Comcast. So, if Comcast were allowed to discriminate what I am able to receive from my internet connection I'd have no other recourse. They're really the "only game in town" where I live. There is still a vital role for the FCC to play in protecting consumers from price gouging, fraudulent billing, invasions of privacy, etc. But I still want and need the assurance that I have the freedom to control my Internet experience! The FCC is the agency best suited to oversee the broadband market, including net neutrality. Please don't give up on net neutrality.

622. Matthew Drayton, Lansing, MI, 48917  
Keep the internet neutral! Any infringement on net neutrality fundamentally damages the power of the web to be a force for commerce and press, which puts a damper on the massive connectivity of the world through this service.
623. Kevin Finison, Dimondale, MI, 48821  
Access to the internet is becoming an ever increasingly important factor in every day life for most people. An ISP should act as a channel through which to get unimpeded access to the internet; not act as a sluiceway to let a limited amount of access to the web
624. Randall Grover, Jackson, MI, 49203  
Please don't ruin the internet that is already over priced and sub par compared to the rest of the world. thank you
625. Roberto Mendoza, Amanda Diamond, Lansing, MI, 48911  
Stop being evil dicks
626. Alexander Charles Herrlinger, Dexter, MI, 48130  
The people who are advising you to get rid of Net Neutrality are corrupt. Plain and simple, it would only benefit massive corporations and be disastrous for the American small businesses and people. WE KNOW WHY YOU ARE TRYING TO GET RID OF IT, WE ARE NOT STUPID, We will remember who betrayed the American people, and deprived them of a HUMAN RIGHT - As declared by the UN.
627. Andrew Porter, Jackson, MI, 49202

Can you not do something dumb that causes another riot of some sort, thanks!

628. David Helsel Jr, Jackson, MI, 49201  
Don't let the internet be a dictated monopoly.
629. Travis Malcuit, Charlotte, MI, 48813  
Please keep regulating Internet service providers to keep the internet open and available. If you do not, our children will grow up with a crippled web with paywalls and bias. It will not happen over night, but it will happen and we should not leave our children with that mess. Big ISPs have a monopoly over rural America with 1 provider in most locations. This is our only protection from bullying for those ISPs.
630. Brandon Handy, Quincy, MI, 49082  
Internet should be a right to everyone on this planet. Free expression of ideas, communications, beliefs, and everything else
631. James, Jackson, MI, 49203  
Stop with Net neutrality
632. Bethany Van Etten, Lansing, MI, 48917  
Hello, I would like my opinion to be known. Internet companies have so much power already, having near monopolies in most areas, strangling and buying out any and all competition. This is going to hurt anyone and everyone who uses a website online. These companies already nickel and dime their customers and there's no doubt that they would create a "slow lane" and a "fast lane". Please do NOT give them this ability. It is very dangerous. Thank you for your time.
633. Kate Navarro, Adrian, MI, 49221  
We need the internet to remain free and accessible. In the supposed "land of the free" we already have enough of our freedoms stripped of us.
634. Chris Sullivan, Adrian, MI, 49221  
Why change what already works great and makes everybody happy?
635. Michelle McManman, Ann Arbor, MI, 48105  
I specifically support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I want net neutrality!
636. Ryan Dickinson, Jackson, MI, 49201  
Net neutrality protects a cornerstone of an important section of the American free market. The ability to exchange information uninhibited by individual companies is essential to the current cultural and economic climate in the USA. As a researcher and avid user of the internet for both private and professional uses, I believe there should be strong laws to protect net neutrality and prevent

harmful exploitation.

637. Hugh James Crosmun, Lansing, MI, 48917

To whom it may concern,I wanted to write to you regarding the subject of net neutrality. The internet is the last bastion of freedom and if it is to continue being so net neutrality has to continue. Corporations should not be able to create paywalls to prevent websites and content creators from reaching certain audiences. If you look at the mainstream media we already have a corporate echo chamber that functions as effectively as any state run media elsewhere. We cannot allow the internet in the United States to become the corporate version of China's. By not allowing telecom corporations to slow down or block certain websites we keep the internet a free and vibrant place where everyone can be heard. The first amendment exists to protect free speech and in the digital era that means the internet as social media and websites have become the equivalent of pamphlets during the time of our founding fathers. If we are to continue in that tradition the internet must be allowed to remain free and net neutrality must continue.Thank you for your time,Hugh Crosmun

638. Bruce DeBruyn Jr, Adrian, MI, 49221

Stop trying to control Americans lives and choices. This is the home of the free. Keep in place Net neutrality.

639. Alexander Elliott, Dexter, MI, 48130

This is America, this is a free country. It is unconstitutional to limit the ability of the people to explore the internet in search of knowledge and for pleasure. To deny that to anyone is to deny them a civil right.

640. Lucas Meyers, Jackson, MI, 49203

Net neutrality is incredibly important in today's day and age, and in today's economy. A free and open internet continues to allow small businesses to thrive, gives people access to life changing information, and connects people in ways never previously thought imaginable. To challenge the idea of net neutrality degrades the core American belief of free speech, and creates a rift between the people, the government, and the businesses of this nation. The majority of my generation has grown up with the internet as a core part of their lives, and to limit the freedoms of our generation's greatest tool for unification would be an attack on our liberties, and an affront to our identity.The people of this country are counting on you, and I trust that you will make the right choice and decide that this nation should continue to thrive under the freedom of the internet. We claim to be the Land of the Free. Let's keep it that way.Thank you.

641. David Warden, Lansing, MI, 48917

To the Federal Communications CommissionThe Internet is too valuable a resource to allow it to be controlled by a small number of for-profit individuals.

Recently the United Nations said access to the Internet is a human right. I would go a step further and say that access to a free, unfettered internet, one that allows the user to inform himself and not have that information dictated by a for-profit corporation, is essential to that right. Even without "net neutrality" articles being enforced we've seen what companies like Verizon, Comcast, and AT&T are willing to do to a user's internet experience in the name of further profits. Already they've begun throttling connection speeds and attempting to cap user's data allotments. Without a free and open internet I would not have been able to finish my associate's degree, I would not be able to currently communicate with friends and family across the world, or even be informed and comment on such civic issues as net neutrality. The FCC must maintain control over the ISPs of this country. There is not enough competition for and ISP's users to switch to a newer, better ISP if there were to be price or service issues. The Internet must remain protected as a Title II service. Please do not allow a small group of wealthy lobbyists to disrupt a major service, and indeed a human right, to most of this country simply based on the desire for more money. Thank you, David Warden

642. Alexander C Wood, Gregory, MI, 48137

I am for keeping Title II and classifying broadband providers as a common utility, that provides a basic human right in modern times. Strong net Neutrality rules prevent the ISPs from monopolizing and limiting freedom of access to the internet. The internet has always been egalitarian, in that you don't have to be big business to get your foot in the door of e-commerce. Small startups wouldn't be able to grow and flourish, let alone survive when big business's could pay a premium for a better quality of service. Thank you.

643. Justin Resseguie, Jackson, MI, 49201

The fact that internet providers keep lobbying to destroy net neutrality, year after year, and keep getting caught fraudulently using affiliated companies to undermine the American people and suppress our opinion should tell you everything.

644. skye stubbins, jackson, MI, 49203

Please keep net neutrality rules in place so that the internet is free for all to use how they want to use it.

645. Weston Morris, saline, MI, 48176

Getting rid of net neutrality is a good way of ruining access to the internet for the mass populous and giving companies a way to throttle the internet for services that they deem unworthy of their service, it won't be used fairly in any way shape or form. Please do not repeal Net neutrality, I, and the majority of america, would never want that,

646. Xavier Hall, Monroe, MI, 48161  
dont take away our rights. as a bed ridden human the internet is my source to the outside world and if thats censored what can i turn to next? please consider the lives youll impact and the ripple effect it will have. greed should never be more important than freedom
647. Shelley Woodworth, Eaton Rapids, MI, 48827  
Keep the laws as they are do NOT change the Net Neutrality .
648. Stephen Ewing, Jackson, MI, 49203  
I implore the FCC to not reverse Net Neutrality rules. The public has funded the building of the Internet, and should not be forced to pay higher rates based on the whims of providers using the network the public has built.To be clear, DO NOT WEAKEN NET NEUTRALITY RULES. This is NOT in the public interest.
649. Will Wakefield, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189  
I am required to be able to access the internet for school. I am already paying for a provider, a computer, and taking on loans to pay for tuition. Allowing companies to prevent my access to the internet even further only places a larger burden on those of us who are trying to pursue better paying jobs!
650. Tony Hendrix, Lansing, MI, 48917  
Please please please keep Net Neutrality!
651. Joseph Pek, Dexter, MI, 48130  
Net Neutrality is very important to maintaining the potential of the internet in the future, as it will prevent incredibly large corporations from blocking out everything else and take even more leverage away from the consumer
652. Evan Austin, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189  
Net Neutrality is important to me and to our society as a whole. The internet is a place where information should flow freely and equally, not under the discretion of corporate entities who already profit more than enough from the population.Thank you,Evan AustinEvan Austin
653. Alexander creger, Pleasant lake, MI, 49272  
I kinda like to no to have to wait for some web sites and it would hinder my dad in doing his work from home job also
654. Brian Matteson, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
Keep the Net Neutrality regulations under Title 2 as they are now. Without them, and without enforcement of them, ISPs will destroy many small businesses and perhaps entire market sectors (online gaming, stock trading, video streaming, purchasing, etc.) in their greed for ever more revenues. They

have not even followed through on their commitments to fiber optics and expanded/improved networks for which they were granted taxpayer money to build their current infrastructure. Since they have not followed through on their existing commitments. Why would we trust them with free rein of the internet? The internet is a public good like roads or parks. It should not be controlled by a private entity but kept free and open. Please keep Net Neutrality intact under Title 2 regulations and push further in your duty to regulate ISPs and encourage competition between them.

655. Caleb Dwornick, Temperance, MI, 48182  
we need Net neutrality. every month i have to battle with my ISP, Buckeye Cable system because i hit their "soft data cap" of only 250GB!!! in 2017 that is just unacceptable. i voted for republicans and cheered when they took over DC. but now that im hearing the politicians i voted for are in bed with the companies that are raping the american people, i think i may vote the other way next time around...
656. Justin Welsch, Dimondale, MI, 48821  
I support strong rules and regulations SUPPORTING net neutrality. I believe that the Internet is a vital piece of infrastructure that cannot be left open to abuses by large ISPs and other special interest groups. Large ISPs have a track record of restricting access and charging more for certain services. I don't think ISPs should be allowed to dictate what I can and cannot have access to on the Internet. Weak or no net neutrality rules will allow this to happen. We MUST have strong rules for SUPPORTING net neutrality.
657. Kevin Davis, Saline, MI, 48176  
Please do not allow legislation to pass that will cause my internet experience to be considerably worse than it already is. ISPs have no idea what they are doing, so please don't let them further control my internet experience. Thanks.
658. Brandon Whitten, Eaton Rapids, MI, 48827  
Dear FCC, Please leave the internet alone and leave it just how america was born, FREEDOM.
659. Colin McNally, Dexter, MI, 48130  
The internet is a forum and service that should be open to all as a basic freedom. The global connectivity it provides serves as an extension of our fundamental freedom of speech. The internet has allowed me to connect with family and friends while they traveled abroad, complete an online class for highschool so I had the freedom to enroll in more challenging classes, and has provided me with immeasurable entertainment, professional development, and social connectivity. Many of the places I've lived have only had access to one (or at most, two) internet service provider(s). If Net Neutrality is repealed, these ISPs

will have a monopoly on the market, free to enact policies that only benefit their bottom line. The FCC needs to be overseeing and regulating these companies to make sure they benefit the consumer FIRST. A company's survival should be based on providing the BEST possible service at the BEST price, otherwise a monopoly takes root. We the people demand open and equal access to the internet. Keep Net Neutrality.

660. Bethany Monaghan, Jackson, MI, 49201

I work from home and I only have access to one broadband ISP. Without net neutrality, I cannot guarantee that I can connect to the sites that I need in order to perform my job correctly. I do not have the option to switch to a different ISP if there are issues. Repealing net neutrality would violate any freedom of choice I have in this matter.

661. Stephen Niehaus, Grass Lake, MI, 49240

I know what these guys want and to me that is breaching a lot of peoples personal safety. I am voting against this because we all know the government already has enough control over the internet but I do not want these guys thinking its okay just to watch what someone is browsing or to change the way the website looks for them. Internet is OUR personal right, the government does not need to know what we are doing on here at all times.

662. Michael Olsen, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189

Please keep the Internet free and open and unbiased. By allowing tiered services, throttling, ISP based blocking etc. all consumers lose.

663. Kathleen Zarske, Ann Arbor, MI, 48105

Net Neutrality is very important to me. I believe the internet should be free for all and the internet companies should not be able to sell my private browsing history. Please do not roll back the privacy regulations that were meant to take place soon. Please do not sell our internet freedom! I am a web designer. It is important to me that all my clients be able to have the same access to the internet and the same ability to improve their company by making websites that live on a free internet. I do not want to use an internet where certain websites work faster than others. I do not want to experience telling my clients why their website is not working as well as a larger corporation's website. Please keep the playing field level for all entrepreneurs. Internet should be a public right! Please listen to your fellow citizens.

664. David Kolakowski, Monroe, MI, 48161

The internet should be open to everyone. The internet should not be "guarded" by the ISP's.

665. Joshua Burlison, Quincy, MI, 49082

Keep the internet open! Don't let corporations set the rules for a public

information system.

666. Eric Stanaway, Dimondale, MI, 48821

The first amendment guarantees the freedom of press, and the ability to gather. It would be unconstitutional for an Internet Service Provider to block certain websites as it would prohibit freedom of the press and/or the ability to gather, peacefully. Furthermore, as the ISP's customers are paying for the service, it would be immoral to tell them what they can/can't view with their hard earned money, based on the ISP's prejudices, paradigms, and beliefs. Please stop this over reach

667. Daniel Pock, Lansing, MI, 48917

Hello, I'm an internet professional who's job and business relies on the free and open internet. The company I work for is a Web Hosting provider who specializes in what is called "Managed Hosting". The services provided by our company are considered a few steps above what someone might find a GoDaddy; we provide higher level of support, real humans to assist our customers, and a more tailored experience to our customers needs. Many of our customers are Small to medium businesses that depend on the web for their livelihood and income. While they are not generally technical people they make their income on the internet and count on us to help them navigate the waters. Without net-neutrality our company could be affected negatively by last-mile providers. This in turn would inevitably affect our hundreds of customers. Simply put, removing net-neutrality rules would enable last-mile providers to dominate the internet space with their fees, metered bandwidth, and fast-lanes. None of these things are good for any end consumer, they only serve to help companies like Comcast to take further control of the internet and helps their attempt to save the status quo of TV media. Don't let ISPs and lobbyist take over our network freedom.

668. James Grant, Jackson, MI, 49203

Net neutrality is essential to the utility and equal opportunity provided by the internet. Can we really describe that value in dollars?

669. Net, Milan, MI, 48160

I am a supporter of Net Neutrality and how it helps us keep the internet fair for everyone. Is it possible that ISPs would not abuse the power given to them by the elimination of Net Neutrality? Sure it is possible. But when a company stands to profit from things such as paid prioritization, it is hard to believe that they will not. Removing net neutrality would be a harmful for the millions of consumers, and beneficial only to top officials of the ISP organizations.

670. James Mathis, Milan, MI, 48160

Please retain Net Neutrality!! The internet I PAY for should not be throttled

based on the website preferences of my ISP!! This makes NO sense!

671. Steven Stanton, Jackson, MI, 49201

As a web developer I believe in a free and open internet. I believe that companies who provide internet service should not be allowed to decide what services succeed by creating artificial slow and fast lanes. The only way for the Internet to keep growing and keep innovating is to keep Net Neutrality rules in place and have strict government oversight of internet service providers. The question of them not innovating under such rules is ridiculous as we have already seen new companies started up in major metropolis area's offering even better services than the large companies and forcing the large companies to expand their offerings in those areas. As long as new companies are driving innovation across the country it will force companies like Comcast to either expand and innovate themselves or fail.

672. Andrew Cavey, Lansing, MI, 48917

I would like to say a support net neutrality, and believe that if the FCC changed the rules for ISP it would have negative impact on my life. I only have access to one ISP in my area and I don't feel I have much say in what I pay, or what speeds I get from my isp. On any given day I could get what I pay for (75 mbps / 10 mbps) or 30 mbps / 10 mbps. If my ISP was then allowed to pick and chose what information it preferred to show me, It would deny me access to my hobbies and information. Please uphold net neutrality.

673. Kevin Loughry, Chelsea, MI, 48118

My name is Kevin Loughry and I'd like to make a brief point as to why Net Neutrality is so imperative today. For the FCC to reverse its 2015 determination that broadband ISPs are "telecommunications services" subject to greater oversight under Title II, it must show that ISPs are offering not just a fast on-ramp to the Internet, but a bundle of "information services," like email, cloud storage and other proprietary over-the-top services. In 2002, when broadband internet access was new, the FCC found the latter and deregulated broadband. In 2015, the FCC examined a very different and more mature market for broadband internet access. It looked both at what consumers believed they were buying from their ISP, and how ISPs advertised their products to consumers. What they found was that overwhelmingly, consumers were looking to buy a fast pathway to the Internet. Logically, that's what ISPs advertised "transmission speed and in the case of mobile, reliability and coverage. The bottom line is that I go to places like GMAIL, OneDrive, and Amazon Web Services for proprietary information services, not my ISP. Repealing Net Neutrality could lead to these services being hindered. A common argument the FCC will almost certainly make in repealing the rules is that they aren't necessary because if the ISP is discriminating your data, you can always choose another ISP. This represents a false choice. In my particular

geographic location, I have a choice of Two (2) broadband ISPs as defined by the FCC (25 Mbps down and 3 Mbps up). One cable and one DSL. At the FCC defined broadband speed, these competing broadband companies essentially offer the same monthly rate. Not to mention the costs of changing over equipment, early cancellations, and having to take time off of work for installation. Hardly the luxury of choice. The debate over net neutrality is really one about whether the FCC, which is tasked by law to oversee communications networks, will have any role in overseeing access to the most important communication network of our lifetimes. This role includes ensuring that consumers are protected from invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing, and price gouging by their broadband providers. If the FCC is left without authority over broadband ISPs, companies could double their prices overnight, and there wouldn't be anything the FCC or any other agency could do about it. Thank you for your time.

674. Jeremy Koschay, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837

I urge you to preserve the previously established net neutrality laws and title II classifications of ISP's for a free and open internet. Without these laws, it will empower ISP's to abuse and unfairly restrict the internet. I do not want to enable faster or slower broadband connections at the ISP's discretion, nor do I support throttling, or any restriction of the internet to impose higher barriers to entry for the most powerful learning tool we have today. I do not want to rely on the ISP's "good intentions" to not abuse their influence over net neutrality, instead I would rather ensure that they are given clear boundaries over what they can influence. We need fair and equal access to the internet to spur on innovation and encourage growth and learning.

675. Kallan Dahn, Jackson, MI, 49203

A free and open Internet has helped allow small businesses and individuals to achieve globalization, and increase opportunity. Allowing companies to throttle, block, or otherwise disrupt information should be viewed as censorship and an infringement on the freedom of the American people. If we allow this we open the door to set precedent moving forward to allow monopolistic corporations to destroy competitive services or services viewed as "disruptive" to their business model. Competition is good in the free market, and keeps our economy strong.

676. Rohan Kulkarni, Saline, MI, 48176

Don't get rid of net neutrality. The FCC and the government are supposed to respect the values of their citizens, not their corporations.

677. Joshua Reynolds, Lansing, MI, 48917

Please keep the internet free and out of the hands of corporations. This bill would ruin the internet and screw over the American people.

678. Jeff Little, Jackson, MI, 49203  
KEEP THE INTERNET FREE FOR ALL!!!
679. Niki Goward, Ida, MI, 48140  
Keep Net Neutrality. My family uses it on a daily basis to stay connected. I have family and friends across the country who, I would not be able to stay connected to otherwise. My children will also start college in the next couple of years and without net neutrality I would be incapable of keeping in much more constant contact with them as well.
680. Cody White, Onsted, MI, 49265  
I would like to keep Net Neutrality just the way it currently is, thanks.
681. Stuart Mastie, Jackson, MI, 49201  
The internet Shouldn't be regulated by the Government, but by businesses ran by the people instead.
682. Liam Martin, Lansing, MI, 48917  
The internet is a daily, necessary service for millions of people around the country. Net neutrality keeps the internet free, open, and unrestricted for everyone. Save net neutrality to protect citizens from unfair business practices that ISPs will use to take advantage of their consumers.
683. Kelly Holt, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (aka net neutrality rules) are vastly important to protect! The success of small business, our youth's education, entrepreneur growth, all depend on fair access to internet. No one should have the power to block websites, slow down service to some but not others, and fair access to ANYTHING should never favor the wealthier over the moderate - poor populations. Those are the people whose education and growth we should be supporting as a nation. I urge you, beg of you to fight for what's fair and just, which is to support an open internet. Freedom is our right, remember?- Kelly
684. Robert Charles Powel, Blissfield, MI, 49228  
Allowing corporations to restrict access to online stores and databases is equivalent to allowing anyone to control roads and sidewalks. Companies will control the flow of internet traffic and direct it for the sake of their own profit.
685. John Baird, Dexter, MI, 48130  
I'm for net neutrality. My services are already slow and having my ISP favor their own services over others is simply not fair.
686. Cory Ide, Dimondale, MI, 48821  
This was one of the things that made me happy the government did and now you want to repeal it... I pay for a certain speed and to be able to visit the whole

internet and to be able to not have to deal with paid prioritization. do the right thing here

687. Lauren McDonald, Milan, MI, 48160  
Please protect Net Neutrality, it is important that freedom of expression and speech not be hindered
688. Nicholas Collins, Dexter, MI, 48130  
Removing net neutrality benefits nobody but business, and doesn't help the American people in anyway. This would extend beyond just America as well, and harm other countries. Please just keep the internet free.
689. Duy Hoang, Luna Pier, MI, 48157  
What we currently have protects many from being exploited by the few who wish to gain from it. For the majority, choices for ISPs are already small and allowing them the power to favor those they have the most to gain from would hurt the consumers that don't have the capital to buy favor with.
690. Ryan Berman, reading, MI, 49274  
SAVE THE INTERNET! DO NOT REPEAL NET NEUTRALITY!!
691. Whitney Lund, Tecumseh, MI, 49286  
I can't believe freedom of the internet is even something we have to fight for in America. I thought we as a nation stood for freedom? When did our political system become so corrupt that it only thinks of itself and it's greed rather than the good of the people as a whole?
692. Aaron Warner, Milan, MI, 48160  
I am for net neutrality. Do not rescind the rules currently in place. We have a great thing in our country now. Please do not ruin this for the American people. Freedom of speech that might not be seen as popular should be allowed to be heard and available to the people. I have seen too many countries where this is not the case. It is one of our greatest freedoms.
693. Kyrst Olejniczak, chelsea, MI, 48118  
The internet needs to be free. No one should have control on how it operates. It is the epitome of freedom of speech, and free market capitalism and should be treated as such. Don't destroy our voice.
694. Ryan Sharrar, Dexter, MI, 48130  
The FCC needs to uphold Title II and Net Neutrality. The internet must remain equally accessible to all people and organizations.
695. Cassie Dusseau, Dundee, MI, 48131  
I want to keep the internet because who doesn't like it?

696. Blaine A Hubbert, Monroe, MI, 48162  
Short version: Net neutrality in it's current state is perfectly fine, and much better than not having it at all. I know, I'm IT. Long version: I've been studying networks, computers, and business, and have worked in the industry for a little while now. This has let me see this push and similar pushes from ISP's before, if only worded differently, they simply are looking for ways to control their market, but by doing so they destroy the free market of internet sites. its similar to giving the electrical companies or water companies the power to charge different rates to different companies based on whatever merits they deem appropriate. if they don't like a business simply increase the cost of their utilities and they can't afford to do business.
697. Spencer, Dexter, MI, 48130  
I strongly support strong net neutrality laws backed by title 2 over site of isp's! Please protect an open, free, and fair internet.
698. alexander smerage, dexter, MI, 48130  
I am a supporter of strong net neutrality protected by type 2 oversight
699. Daniel Hoffman, Lambertville, MI, 48144  
I use the Internet to achieve a medical degree, to communicate with friends who have moved and some who I have never met before. I use it to communicate, play, learn, and create. I have uploaded music from my band on sites like Bandcamp and Soundcloud. I have used testing services and image databases for histology and anatomy from the Universities of Michigan and Western Australia. I have read articles on major news networks, blogs, and published my poems on Ello. Net Neutrality protects access to content that I have come to rely on. I have two options where I live for ISPs. If Net Neutrality falls, my chose might be between having access to my music and poems or my medical education services. Or worse: slowed access to all from both providers. The FCC has the power to preserve our access and protect Americans. It has the power to ensure that my education, my friendships, my art, and my relationship are never in jeopardy of the whims of an ISP. Access to Internet needs to be protected, and I believe the FCC has that responsibility. You are the voice of the people; a voice that needs protecting.
700. Anthony R Logue, spring arbor, MI, 49283  
Corporations and the 1% do not get to dictate what we as the people of united states of America have the right to or not to have access to.
701. Mitchell Fisher, Dimondale, MI, 48821  
At this point in our lives, the internet is a necessity for the spread of information. The entire world benefits from an open internet for the basic privilege of knowledge. This is the first time in the history of the world that true

and accurate facts have been so widespread and those are pivotal for the future. ISPs should not be able to selectively choose who gets that knowledge and vital sources of this information include university libraries and wikipedia which will not have the funding to guarantee their own fast track. From my own personal experience in academia, it is important to be able to collaborate with professionals around the world and this can be mediated by many channels such as video calls and emails. This is a disservice to the progress that has come from the current form of open internet.

702. Daniel Peterson, Lansing, MI, 48917

As a tax payer I wish to keep net neutrality. It is just one more way for politicians to accept money from huge companies.

703. Jeffrey Frank, Chelsea, MI, 48118

The internet, and all of its connection points, should remain free of corporate controls that would allow a large supplier/vendor to effectively run a smaller competitor out of business by restricting the flow of data to/from that competitor or requiring a charge to maintain that flow.

704. Alex Towell, Saline, MI, 48176

The fact that this is even possibly happening is ridiculous. The internet was made to be a place where people can exchange information all over the world with the click of a button, and at ease and not with a large price either. Now big companies are attempting to limit the amount or the type of information that is transferred across the internet? Unbelievable. This simply can not, and should not be passed or allowed.

705. carmen burgess, Munith, MI, 49259

I support Net Neutrality and protecting the internet under Title II. It should not matter how many comments you get this is an equal rights / discrimination issue not a popular vote issue. thank you for hearing our comments and taking our views into consideration

706. Scott Wachtmann, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189

Allowing ISPs to throttle, block, prioritize, and "tier" my activity on the internet is NOT a freedom that needs to be restored. It is lost on no one that the FCC commissioner comes from a long history of supporting big ISPs in their lobbying efforts. This proposal does nothing to protect consumers. It does not encourage innovation, it stifles it by making it harder for small business, and entrepreneurs to compete on a level playing field with big players, who can afford to pay for priority treatment. This proposal is, in every way, a step backwards! And shame on you if you put the needs monopolies, or their current and former lobbyists, ahead of the American people.

707. Blue Kirkpatrick, Ann Arbor, MI, 48103

I demand that net neutrality remains a basic right, we are in the 21st century, we should be beyond this. I fully expect that all parties vote to maintain net neutrality.

708. Matt George, Monroe, MI, 48162

Please preserve Net neutrality. Do not bow to big ISP money interests. Everything in the US is related to making money. The government is supposed to work for and protect the people, not greedy corporate interests! Keep the internet open for all money shouldn't be the ultimate decider. Knowledge is useless if is not shared.

709. Michael Eyde, Lansing, MI, 48917

If the creator of the internet gave it away to the world. Who's right is it to give power to alter the flow of traffic to corporations. If corporations don't like it, tell them to leave... See who actually leaves. My guess is that NOBODY would leave the internet because it is FINE the way it is. Unlike many other things in this world that you SHOULD be focusing on.

710. Donald Lund, Milan, MI, 48160

In view of our first amendment rights which brave men and women have died to defend, I find it appalling that this action is even under consideration. Please keep the internet free.

711. Kevin, Monroe, MI, 48161

In the past I have dealt with numerous shady ISP's. Comcast being the worst. I have been a victim to "throttling" and lies about internet speeds I pay for. I am against Net Nuetrality because ISP's would have more power over our internet usage than they already do now, and not only that it would be completely legal. Stop Net Nuetrality!

712. Trey Strong, Hillsdale, MI, 49242

Net neutrality is something that has been a part of the internet society from the beginning. Thinking that taking it away is anything but a bad thing is obviously wrong. There is no reason to do it other than to control it. You are wrong for wanting it taken away. The internet will always be neutral. Taking that away will only make people very angry. More angry than necessary, of course, but that it how people work. It will create insane drama all over the world, not just the USA.

713. Kara Herman, coldwater, MI, 49036

Keep the internet free. Down with corporate scum.

714. Ian Lawson, Ida, MI, 48140

Net neutrality is an incredibly important part of the World Wide Web and needs to be kept.

715. Chester James Wysocki, Saline, MI, 48176

As a retired educator and father of three grown children who've moved to three different locations in the U.S., the internet is essential to me in order to stay in contact with my children through email and various social media outlets. Since we only have a single broadband ISP available to us, repealing the 2015 network neutrality rules could put this contact in jeopardy by allowing unnecessary price increases. My wife, who is a college professor and small business owner, needs internet access for her work and business activities and would be much more affected by this repeal than a much larger company would be. Because of the high tech times in which we live, we feel that repealing the 2015 network neutrality rules would represent a societal regression since internet access should really be treated like a utility such as gas or electric in order to prevent price gouging or fixing. Therefore, we ask that you not repeal the network neutrality rules in order to provide everyone with fair access to the internet.

716. Mathew Smith, Dexter, MI, 48130

Free, unrestricted access to information on the internet is what has made it such a useful and fast growing resource. Impeding on Net Neutrality will only give the ability to those interested in financial gain (and not free sharing of information) to communistically share or withhold information. This is a radical departure from the freedoms that this country has sacrificed so greatly to achieve.

717. Kelly Elmer, Temperance, MI, 48182

Keep net neutrality, period. Stop messing things up.

718. Daniil Manaenkov, Milan, MI, 48160

Hello. While there are many economic reasons to preserve net neutrality to keep local ISP/Cable monopolies in check, I'd like to offer a political argument in favor of preserving net neutrality. As a Russian immigrant, and a trained PhD economist, I think the key difference between Western capitalism and the developing world is the broad belief of the general public in relative fairness of the whole system. Significant erosion of that belief would endanger the whole world. By killing net neutrality rules -- widely believed to be a giveaway to large cable/ISP corporations -- FCC would further lower public trust in U.S. institutions and increase the likelihood of future political instability, which is generally quite detrimental to corporate profits, stock markets, and the economy as a whole. I'm not talking about a simple swing of under-40 political preferences in favor of the likes of Bernie Sanders, which will likely happen as well, but a crisis threatening the whole host of U.S. institutions and the Union itself. Please don't be swayed by minutiae corporate interest over long-term benefit of the whole country. Sincerely, D. Manaenkov.

719. Steve Adams, Dexter, MI, 48130  
Keep my internet open and free. We cannot continue to allow companies like Comcast to have an even bigger stranglehold on our access than they already do.
720. Bradley Kingston, Jackson, MI, 49203  
It seems to me that when people pay for their internet services they ought to not have that access restricted, throttled, or modified based on behind the scenes company dealings. Also from a consumer standpoint it seems that taking away enforceable net neutrality is anti-consumer decision since it is simply opening up the ability for companies to impose slowdowns to websites that wish to discourage use on. Additionally in the areas that I live I do not have the freedom to switch internet providers in a meaningful way. If my ISP decided to impose a slowdown on websites that I frequent then I would have very little recourse as a consumer. It does seem the FCC should have a supervisory position over ISP's in that the FCC should prevent price gouging, internet privacy invasion, and ensure that ISP's are giving unrestricted access to the entirety of the internet.
721. Elizabeth Hoag, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
I am strongly for keeping the internet free and open; and against competition that threatens to limit access, in any form. The internet is the main and mostly only source of information for people who would have no other way or means to gather information. Net neutrality puts everyone on an even field, with access to the same information and knowledge, regardless of class, poverty or privilege. Keep the the internet free and open.
722. Theodore H. Selbig, Adrian, MI, 49221  
Uphold Net Neutrality and keep the internet equal for everyone.
723. Justin Cain, Brooklyn, MI, 49230  
My name is Justin Cain, I am 21 year old college, and I am writing today to express the importance of Net Neutrality in my life. Since I was 10 I have worked hard to educate and work my self in the fields of software engineering and digital entertainment. Going into my senior year of college I am looking forward to my career opportunities and my personal ability to sell software and make a living via the Internet. As a senior in college, I and my peers are dependent on the Internet for education. Information and Education developed at a rapid pace due to the interconnected world the Internet has created. Would we allow private companies to control what our people learn? Net Neutrality protects against this. For me and my field, the Internet is our means of transportation. Just as our traditional enterprises need roads, ships, and airfields to move their products we need the Internet. Do we allow private companies to control what is allowed to be shipped on roads for the detriment of the competitors? No, road ways are a public good, available to all to conduct

business. Net Neutrality protects this same resource for my field. Beyond the necessities of business, the Internet now represents communication on an unprecedented scale. Would we allow phone companies or the postal service to end or manipulate conversation because it harms them? That would be against the ideal of free speech. Net Neutrality Protects this. For me and Countless others, the Internet is a form of entertainment. The ability to share our creativity and imaginations is a basic human need. Would we censor our art, our literature, our movies, allowing only those who pay the most to have their creativity spread? Net Neutrality protects against this. When I pay for Internet access, I view it as paying taxes for roads and gas for my car. I have paid my dues, I am using this to go where I need to, be it for my entertainment, occupation, or education. However, my options are limited. At my last residency I was offered two choices, only one affordable as a college student. Internet Service Providers have a stranglehold on the market because only large businesses can afford the infrastructure. This is the same reason the government collects taxes, to provide public goods that are too large for the individual. We do not privatize roads, why would we allow ISPs to control the Internet? I believe that the Internet should be treated as a public good, open to all. The Internet is a resource that must be protected; For me, my peers, my colleagues, my family, and the people around the world, Net Neutrality is a necessity, and the government needs to provide Internet service to avoid privatized businesses' bias. Signed with much appreciation and respect for the difficulties of leading a nation, Justin Cain

724. Anthony Francetic, Jackson, MI, 49201

Net Neutrality needs to continue to allow for open and free access to information. When private for-profit companies have the ability to direct content based on fees, the current information struggles will only increase.

725. Erin Brayton, Chelsea, MI, 48118

I support maintaining net neutrality. Allowing internet service providers to turn web services into a pay to play proposition hurts people's access to information and entertainment and only benefits their own pocketbooks.

726. Robert Grove, Temperance, MI, 48182

Government does NOT know what's best these days. Stop trying to fix what isn't broken.

727. Matthew Bishop, Jackson, MI, 49201

Please vote to keep the existing Net Neutrality rules in place. Having an internet without tiers and fast lanes has been instrumental to my success as an IT professional. Right now, every site is treated the same by my ISPs, with the same quality of connection across the board (host server performance notwithstanding). I also think it is essential for a free and open society. I believe

ISPs will have too much control over what is said and what you may access online, a serious 1st Amendment concern. Everyone's voice should be equal, and that will not happen if you roll back the net neutrality rules that protect citizens' voices. Rolling back these rules literally do nothing in favor for the consumer, however lobbyists may try to spin it. The role of the FCC should first and foremost protect private citizens' best interests. Rolling back these guidelines are in direct opposition to these interest. Please, fight for the public by KEEPING existing Net Neutrality rules instead. Thank you, Matt Bishop

728. Dalton Tokarczyk, Willis, MI, 48191

The internet is unlike anything the world has seen before. This groundbreaking, world-changing, paradigm-shifting invention afforded a level of communication and connectivity the likes of which the world has never seen. It has grown into a community where every voice can be heard, and everyone is the same, regardless of who they are on the other side of the computer screen. The internet has been a huge success story for capitalism and the new American Dream, as we've seen enormous internet conglomerates rise from nothing; companies like Facebook and Google grew to the size they are solely because of their ideas and their appeal to the people. However, this idea that on the internet, one can start from nothing and move to the top, is being threatened by the large ISPs and the FCC with this idea to kill net neutrality. With the death of net neutrality, no longer will anyone be able to start a website, make an app, or build a game that can reach the entire world. Instead, ISPs will limit the average user's experience to only the largest websites, cutting off access to the emerging companies, websites, apps, and games. One can make the argument that the large ISP corporations would never do such a thing, but I would wager that if they have the power, they will take advantage. This is not something I want to see happen, as it would bring about a dark future, and possibly the end, to the internet as we know it. So I will resist with all that I have. To the FCC, I ask that you think about what is best for the American people, and not cater to the ISP corporations. To the ISP corporations(Xfinity is my current provider, so I will speak more specifically to you), I will not support any company that throttles my connection speed to certain websites, controls what I can access, or charges me a premium in a pricing model similar to that of cable TV. Therefore, if Xfinity, or any other ISP, adopts these practices, they will be losing my business, and the business of many other internet users.Finally, to my representatives, Tim Wahlberg in the House of Representatives and Gary C. Peters and Debbie Stabenow in the Senate, I strongly encourage you to vote for net neutrality, as it is clearly what is best for the American people. This is not about class affiliation, this is not about politics, this is a clear-cut issue which should have an obvious solution. If you do not vote for what is best for the people of your state and your nation, your constituents will all take note in the upcoming elections.Thank you for your time, and thank you for taking this issue to heart.

729. Ashton Patel, Dexter, MI, 48130  
The internet must remain as a free space, free of any sort of blockages, throttling, etc. As a user of the internet who relies on it for my day to day liveliness, it is something that SHOULD NOT be stripped away from anyone. The greed shown by ISP's will only expand, and the world of the internet as we know it will change... and for what? A few extra dollars here and there? It's absurd and we all deserve to have equal access to the internet, regardless of the type of device we are using (Mobile, Wifi, Ethernet, etc.) For these reasons, I believe the concept of removing net neutrality needs to disappear. No paid prioritization, no blocking, no throttling, just the internet we have now. I do not want my provider to select what I can and can not do without paying extra, that is just ludicrous.
730. Justin Marshall, Newport, MI, 48166  
All of the big compainies are just money hungry and you know this. This debate is so simple in the eyes of US citizens. Please get this right and stop letting these horrible companies win. Keep net neutrality.
731. Jacob Nadeau, Monroe, MI, 48161  
Only the ISP win when we throttle the internet.
732. Matt Benedict, Ottawa Lake, MI, 49267  
This is nothing but bad news for any startup company wishing to start on the web. This also will lead to freedom of expression infringements and will further bog down already bogged down courts. This will seriously destroy internet diversity and ruin what makes it so great to use. Do not do this.
733. Alexandra Brown, Lansing, MI, 48917  
Restricting thought is counteractive to human evolution.
734. SolarDC, Coldwater, MI, 49036  
I believe in Net Neutrality. The internet is a huge open source world for creators, developers, and viewers to sit back, relax, and enjoy themselves a good time. For a lot of people, like me.. The internet is a means of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. Taking away the internet would be like breaking the ammendments. And you should never take that away! I'm proud to be an American, and the internet industry is the most important part of our lives. Everything is done over the internet. Even business industries from small to large! Everyone uses different sites. To limit them would be a complete and utter travisty. And I won't stand for it! The fight for Net Neutrality is on! Watch million and millions of people stand with me as we fought for our freedom of the internet!
735. Jacquelyn Bullerman, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
I would like the Net to remain neutral. The internet has become a part of the

fabric of our society in the same way as water, electricity and other parts of modern civilization~ it is a utility (try to apply for a job without the internet). As a utility, it should be equal access without concerns of filters or higher fees. The internet is too inherent to our lives to allow it to become unregulated and thus driven by only profit concerns~ why that would be like our healthcare! Don't let this important resource become twisted like the American Health Care system. It is and should be treated as a public utility not a luxury item that exists for only profit considerations; regulation to ensure a fair playing field is good. Thank you for your time and consideration.

736. Shane Sheets, Lansing, MI, 48917  
Please keep the internet free and neutral. Everyone should have equal access devoid of market influence. Allowing a few corporations to decide who can have access what is bad for the public.
737. Andrew Fontana, Hillsdale, MI, 49242  
I fully support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's.
738. Ralph W. Stinehelfer, Jackson, MI, 49201  
Allowing ISPs to dictate what and how any free person can access on the internet is fundamentally un-American and definitively disappointing. Please hear our voice and reinstate and uphold Net Neutrality.
739. Ian Turner, Monroe, MI, 48162  
I am for Net Neutrality, and would like to see it stay within our internet system
740. nathan mccormick, Newport, MI, 48166  
I support the strong net neutrality rules. Please do not change anything
741. Jeremy Adams, Hillsdale, MI, 49242  
Keep net neutrality. Big business should not dictate the content that I have available to me. It should not be pay to play.
742. Jason Christensen, Jackson, MI, 49201  
I support net neutrality as it stands. Moving back to title 1 would give ISP's the opportunity and ability to limit search results and slow pages, essentially holding the internet hostage.
743. Cameron Spitzfaden, Charlotte, MI, 48813  
I am writing to voice my support for the current net neutrality laws. I believe that the internet should remain classified as a telecommunications service under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. This classification guarantees that internet service providers (ISPs) cannot block or throttle any internet resources, effectively protecting freedom of speech online. I personally rely on the internet to allow me to visit any site of my choosing, without regard to the political

leaning of the site's contents. Furthermore, weakening our net neutrality regulations would damage small and medium businesses, who may find themselves in "slow lanes" that they cannot afford to exit. Though internet users rarely select their destination via IP address, when they use a URL or hyperlink, they are in effect choosing a source/destination for their communication, and they absolutely expect that their communication will not be altered/degraded between their terminal and their selected internet site. The internet absolutely falls under Title II classifications. Any argument that the internet should not count as a telecommunication service, on the basis that internet users are not explicitly selecting IP addresses to visit, misses the entire point. Telephone users don't manually select the DTMF tones needed to connect to their recipient. They dial a number, an alias for their intended recipient, and the number is converted to DTMF tones or click codes as needed. This is just the same as when an internet user selects a URL, an alias for the site they want to visit. Please keep the internet classified as a Title II telecommunications service, and please do not proceed with the proposed changes to net neutrality.

744. Tiffany Hudson, Adrian, MI, 49221

The fact that this is even something that is happening is appalling. How are low income families supposed to access life changing resources if this comes into effect? How much more money do these greedy corporations need? They make billions every year, do they really need more money? This is going to crash the economy even more! People putting money into this just for it to go into some 1 percenters bank account, it's preposterous! When is this going to end? When will we see that everyone is equal, and in order to ensure that humankind thrives, we need to work together. Corporations need to see that they are hurting us. This is NOT okay!

745. Trenton Cox, Jackson, MI, 49203

Do not sell our freedom to surf the web to the highest bidder. Protect net neutrality!

746. Anna Wulkan, Jackson, MI, 49240

The FCC has a chance to protect the internet from bias and favoritism. We're in favor of net neutrality, we want all websites to be equal. No matter what. Please protect the internet

747. Pat Canavan, Saline, MI, 48176

By establishing net neutrality, you are shackling the American peoples' freedom to use the internet without the interference of prioritized search and restricting websites.

748. David Wright, Jackson, MI, 49203

Ending net neutrality is a sure-fire way to stifle economic growth and

innovation. How many industries and career opportunities have been created as a result of having an open internet? Would the USA boast of companies such as Google if their initial search engine was not given adequate bandwidth? No, because AOL would have been "faster". Would companies such as Google, Microsoft, etc have been able to innovate our technology-driven lives in such a way as to make this very communication I'm sending possible? When the freedom of bandwidth is stifled, how many economic detriments will the nation experience? Ending "net neutrality" would choke start-up companies and entrepreneurial individuals. It would be a blow to the USA's own internet/technological dominance. It will likely result in a massive loss of tax revenue. Is dealing another blow to this recently emerged, tech-savvy middle-class really this important to you? I ask you to consider carefully what allowing monopolies have done in the past. Sincerely,-- A Concerned Citizen Who pays taxes and makes his living with a free and open internet David Wright

749. Connor Liedel, Monroe, MI, 48162

The internet is for people not corporations or the government, it would be a mistake to try and change that.

750. Cam, south rockwood, MI, 48179

We all need internet freedom!!! Screw the FCC all they want is money in there pockets, do you want to live a life in the book 1984 where anything you choose has already been chosen for you by big brother.

751. Jesse A Trowbridgejesse, Coldwater, MI, 49036

All of the internet should be free to those who pay for it, sectioning it off is just a way to get more money and we do not need any more greed in this damn country.

752. Larson Foltz, Manchester, MI, 48158

Revocation of net neutrality directly prohibits freedom of speech.

753. Randy Titler, Jackson, MI, 49202

Not having Net Neutrality is such a bad idea, cable companies already have monopolies, don't give them any more power over people, and I hope you realize, it means that they can see what you are doing too right? Randy Titler

754. Brett Cunningham, Maybee, MI, 48159

Net Neutrality is extremely important to me. I am the CEO of a computer security startup. Net Neutrality allows for innovation to occur.

755. Rayanna Mist Demello, Charlotte, MI, 48813

Unrestricted and free information is vital for internet based companies. Without net neutrality, large corporations (specifically the cable and telecom companies who provide the content) are able to filter and warp website availability to

prioritize information that will financially advance the content providers. In the capitalist society we live in, the opportunity for small business growth online would be at a huge disadvantage if large companies had the power to pay for faster rates or higher search results. Directing online traffic would create an uneven playing field for competition in business. The average American adult spends over 10 hours a day on the internet, redirecting web searches to benefit already huge corporations will only extend the ever growing wealth inequality in the United States.

756. Tiffany Morgan, Tecumseh, MI, 49286

Don't limit our internet access.

757. Alec Iocca, Jackson, MI, 49201

As an american, I have a right to unrestricted use of internet by the rights granted to me as an american citizen. Net neutrality is right and should not be repelled or changed.

758. William Righter, Monroe, MI, 48162

how could anyone think this is a good idea. i mean seriously

759. Jennifer Jacobs, Jackson, MI, 49203

I am writing you today because the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. The internet should not be able to be controlled by a few large companies with tons of money and resources. This would be murder for small businesses who can not shell out all of their hard earned money to be able to be seen online. The fact that this is even a possibility is astonishing and terrifying. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. There is never, and will never be a time that it should be okay to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of

the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

760. Samuel Boudrie, LaSalle, MI, 48145

Lets keep freedom free guys.. cmon now.

761. Thomas Saylor, Saline, MI, 48176

As a college student, I know how important the internet is. It is a wealth of knowledge and creativity. There is a lot out there but it needs to be. We used to only have one source for news and information. The paper and encyclopedias. We let the minds of our time be raised by one school of thought. The diverse creators of the internet give us all a view into others lives we have never had before. Countries like China and North Korea have been censoring their internet. Turkey has also recently, and from everything that is going on, they are having an intellectual flight. I am afraid that this might be the beginning of the intellectual flight from The US.

762. Anthony Miller, Monroe, MI, 48161

Hello I would like to contact you about my concern on net neutrality. I do not wish to see the internet go into the hands of ISP's and would like if you help keep the power in the peoples hands. The internet is a free uninfluenced by capital area to learn and experience and I hope to not taint that.

763. Adam Sherman, Ann arbor, MI, 48103

Net neutrality is a critical part of our nation's infrastructure and should be treated as such. Please keep enforcing it.

764. Larry Ross, Ann Arbor, MI, 48103

It is essential to keep net neutrality as a basic right of every American. I and my entire family and business is dependent on keeping the internet free and fair - ie: maintaining net neutrality

765. Joseph Spampinato, Jackson, MI, 49201

The internet should be kept free and open to avoid tyranny by the economy.

766. Adam Burghardt, Monroe, MI, 48162

I strongly disagree with the FCC's plans to repeal the Obama administrations

net neutrality rules. These rules are critical to maintaining the internet that we all enjoy today. ISP's don't need these rules repealed.

767. Monica Adams, Hilldsdale, MI, 49242  
I'm an ordinary citizen without a T.V. and rely on the internet for news and information. Please maintain neutrality rather than allowing parasitic corporations to filter and censor what we are viewing on the net. Thank you,  
Monica Adams
768. Christopher Reeves, Tecumseh, MI, 49286  
Fair, equal access the information on the internet is paramount to our nation's success in scientific endeavor. The information you have access to defines who you are, what you care about, what your children will believe. Allowing the commercial sector to exhort fees will limit innovation. The Internet is the biggest, most important repository of human knowledge since the Great Library of Alexandria. I urge you to resist.
769. Gabe Riley, Jackson, MI, 49202  
The internet is a free place filled with many joys and no restrictions to the possibilities and creations that come from the little guys. The internet is wild, chaotic and beautiful, like a Jackson Pollock painting. Don't screw it up!
770. Andrew Oswalt, Milan, MI, 48160  
Stop trying to get rid of net neutrality
771. David Bast, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189  
Please do not end net neutrality! All internet traffic must receive equal priority if the internet is to remain the precious resource that it is. Ending net neutrality will absolutely ruin one of the greatest innovations ever devised by humans. Information, arts, and communication is best if unhindered by those with the power to do so.
772. Dan Gaechter, Dexter, MI, 48130  
I like the Internet.
773. Nathan Baines, carleton, MI, 48117  
hello i literally never take action for causes but this is the second time i've had to write a comment about net neutralityit's really important that the internet remains free and that it stays an even playing field for everyone involved i hope you guys don't ruin the internet by making the wrong choice
774. Discord, Jackson, MI, 49203  
The internet should be kept the way it is.
775. Joseph Riffe, Dundee, MI, 48131

Will an internet which offers less freedom and more expense for the benefit of a small number of unaccountable, centralized corporate giants encourage entrepreneurship, innovation and discovery? Will ending net neutrality keep the USA in its tech and online business leadership role? Obviously, the answer is no. Controlling the internet is killing the internet. Stop!

776. Jason Omo, Grass Lake, MI, 49240

Government allowing for profit companies to govern content is dangerous ground. All websites should be treated equally. Do not allow entities to block websites, control content, or throttle experiences. My privacy is more important than to be sold.

777. Gabriel Lee, Hudson, MI, 49247

An insidious attempt to control information is wrong and will not be tolerated.

778. Kyle Brannick, Rives Junction, MI, 49277

A free and open internet is vital for a strong economy and democracy, and any attempt to stop Net Neutrality should be viewed as an attack on free speech and the first amendment.

779. Zachery Yaeger, Monroe, MI, 48161

The internet is a freedom of speech. I enjoy my rights under title II.

780. Toby Michael Stewart, Milan, MI, 48160

Hello,As a user of the internet on a daily basis I support Net Neutrality. There is no reason for Net Neutrality to not exist as it does today other than for the financial gain of corporations. The benefit of getting rid of Net Neutrality is not for people like me (the majority). Please consider sustaining net neutrality for the benefit of everyone, rather than the benefit of some.Best regards,Toby Stewart

781. Gary, Jonesville, MI, 49250

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to everyone. ISPs should not have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. In the recent past, Internet companies have grown by leaps and bounds due to the free competition it provides. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster it will create an internet where startups and small businesses that can't pay higher fees won't be able to compete.

782. Michael Shawn Mills, Jackson, MI, 49203

If we give Internet Service Providers the power to prioritize website

connections for profit and block or choke websites, then we are giving a huge amount of our freedom to these corporations. The internet has to be free and open equally to everyone. It is one of the last bastions of equal opportunity in the USA. We cannot allow ISP's to participate in paid prioritization. ISP's also should not have the power to block websites arbitrarily. Finally ISP's should not be allowed to slow down connections speeds of websites that they don't like for whatever reason. An Open internet ought to be an essential right of every American. Furthermore we ought to pass an Amendment, in order to stop these greedy companies from trying to pass a bill like this in the future.

783. Jacob Willett, Charlotte, MI, 48813

It's essential that the internet remain free from control by corporations that only have their best interest in consideration.

784. Mackenzie Reynolds, Jackson, MI, 49203

The internet is one of the only places that offers pure, unbiased news and information. Don't take that away, please.

785. Joseph Kesselring, Jonesville, MI, 49250

I believe that the internet should be left open to foster the exchange of full and truthful information and to promote the growth of small, independent businesses through online marketing and sales.

786. Jeremy Fisher, Saline, MI, 48176

Please support and enforce Title II regulations on ISP's as common carriers. It is essential to open commerce on the internet, as opposed to monopolistic suppression of new technology and business.

787. Megan Julian, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189

Net neutrality is imperative to a free and open internet. Many people do not understand it, it is confusing to many people, but if you allow net neutrality to be eliminated they will soon understand and they will not stand for it

788. Dan, Eaton Rapids, MI, 48827

I dislike the idea of having to choose from a extremely limited service providers as to HOW I choose to surf the web, as well as how long, how much bandwidth etc. Please do not make the internet a goddamn pay by the minute/byte setup, it was never this way before, and there is no reason for this to happenDan

789. Brittany Liptow, Jackson, MI, 49202

Has anyone at the FCC seen idiocracy? If they had you'd think they'd realize that's where our once great nation is headed. Limiting or controlling internet use and available websites is only going to push us closer to that point faster. The Internet is the true embodiment of the 1st amendment. Internet neutrality is exactly what this country needs to stay sane. Uncensored, uninhibited,

uncontrolled internet usage for people to say what they want. That's the beauty of the INTERNET. If you read something you don't agree with, you can speak up for yourself and what you believe.

790. Reed Lobbestael, Northville, MI, 48167

We need to keep the internet free and open for everyone. I've used the internet my entire life and I've seen the ingenuity and new ideas that are allowed to come from this wonderful thing we call the internet.

791. Kevin Gustafson, South Rockwood, MI, 48179

Don't limit or let internet provider companies limit my internet access !

792. David Liviskie, Lansing, MI, 48917

In the discussion around Net Neutrality, or any type of government regulation for that matter, the loss of freedom is often cited as the reason why there should be less or no regulation by the government. The notion is that when the government sets the rules and tells people or entities what they can or cannot do, that is one less free choice available. However, what about when a corporation sets the rules? Isn't it a loss of freedom when a company tells you what you can or cannot do? It seems to me that it very much is. If the Internet was entirely controlled by the government, and it could determine what websites people could visit, or decide which content it would allow and which it would block, we would call that censorship. There are nations in the world where that happens today, and we often condemn such nations where that happens for their restriction of freedom. However, if a private corporation can do that very same thing, why is it any different? A company's purpose is to make money, and dropping the Internet from Title II regulation will certainly help the telecommunications industry make more money. However, no matter how much they may benefit, I don't see a benefit to me and other Internet users when they have the "freedom" to limit or restrict access to certain content so that they can maximize their share of profits. Keeping the Internet regulated under Title II will not remove customers' freedom, but preserve it. Instead of having no choice but to accept what content and services the telecommunications companies decide to provide to us (because many people do not have a choice of internet service provider, or very few choices), this regulation ensures that companies legally cannot restrict what people want to use their internet service to access. I think that is the definition of freedom, being unrestricted. I don't want to lose my freedom to access the things that I enjoy through the Internet without restriction. And the argument that such a scenario is unlikely is not any more comforting. I don't even want to risk losing that freedom by giving companies the option to regulate the Internet. If the government is not allowed to restrict my Internet content, neither should any company be allowed to restrict it. Preserve Net Neutrality! Thank you very much. David Liviskie

793. Tambra C. Broderick, Milan, MI, 48160  
I want a totally neutral internet. I DO NOT want the ISP's, their subsidiaries or any government agency deciding for me what I see, watch, hear, download etc. It is up to the consumer to decide what they want to see on the internet on all connected devices. This includes desktops, tablets, smart phones ETC.
794. Ryan Clark, Monroe, MI, 48161  
With all the hate that SOPA and other things like it, why would you even consider repealing this act. Its every Americans right to the same internet without worrying about slower speeds and blocked websites.
795. Michael A., Adrian, MI, 49221  
I believe the internet should be as neutral as physically possible. Sure there are paid sites, services, what have you, but in the end this collective of ideas, art, scientific findings, history, social interaction... is from all over the world, and from people or companies both big and small. We should have no right in dictating what should be more readily available to some rather than others. Choice individuals have profited off of putting their dream out to the internet and making it a reality, and others just need someone to help them realize their dream, but lack the support near them to do such. The internet can be the only safe haven someone might have, and controlling what they can do with their time is not only fundamentally wrong, but ignorant. Keep the net neutral.
796. Joel Williams, Newport, MI, 48166  
As a newcomer streamer and online content provider it would hurt my ability to create and express my ideas if this repeal passes. The internet should be a place where entrepreneurs can easily access those who seek out their content. This repeal will make becoming a content provider much more difficult and force us to rely on big name websites instead of on our own merit and content. I humbly ask that you reconsider this motion and take into consideration the opportunities for young professionals on the web. Thank you for your time
797. Troy Horn, Coldwater, MI, 49036  
I use the internet in a number of ways, and am extremely concerned about the loss of net neutrality. I do the usual communications services (email, social media) I have a VOIP phone service, use the internet to stream tv and movies, supplement my income, and most importantly my primary social interactions are via a virtual world because of my anxiety disorder. My ISP, Charter Spectrum, has often advertised its services as being "lightning fast" and that I would have the ability to do all of the things I do with bandwidth to spare. Without oversight from the FCC and assurance that they will not slow down or block things, I could easily lose my ability to stream tv because they would want to force me back into using their service; the access to my virtual world could be blocked and slowed. It is very important to me that the FCC exercise

full oversight of ISPs and that ISPs keep the internet neutral, an open highway, without commercially motivated detours.

798. Jade Ripley, Jackson, MI, 49202

I support the free and equal exchange of information on the Internet, without which the world would be a demonstrably worse place. Don't place corporations above the education, enrichment, and friendships of your citizens.

799. Rigel Yax, Saline, MI, 48176

Net Neutrality is an important issue to me, and the fact that corporations are trying to take rights away from me is disheartening.

800. Sam Churukian, Jackson, MI, 49203

I am in favor of Net Neutrality and strongly against the ludicrously named "Restoring Internet Freedom Act." The mere fact that this is being considered when it is detrimental to the entire general population and country as a whole and only beneficial to a few large ISPs is embarrassing.

801. Gabriel Riley, Jackson, MI, 49202

Hey, it's me again. I know it's been awhile, but we have been over this. We and so many companies want Net Neutrality, giving the providers more control will hurt us all. The only change I want to see is better privacy. Thank you

802. Anmarie Torres, Monroe, MI, 48161

I think this is unfair because the internet is supposed to be open and easily accessible. Allowing bigger companies to pay for prime bandwidth would limit the overall functionality of the internet. Also, it would make providing content much harder for new comers. I hope that you will reconsider repealing net neutrality. Thank you for your time.

803. Gareth Rogers, Saline, MI, 48176

Net neutrality must stay!!

804. Alex Alatorre, Temperance, MI, 48182

Stop trying to be China

805. Ryan Hermes, Vermontville, MI, 49096

Internet Neutrality allows for equal competition of the web. If the FCC rolls back net neutrality I will actively campaign against any politicians who supported the roll backs and members of the FCC board.

806. Megan Keough, Dexter, MI, 48130

Please keep net neutrality! It is extremely important for our freedom and for our protection. It is important to not allow money and wealth to control the content we read on the internet. I support net neutrality.

807. Payton Dunning, Horton, MI, 49246  
Please keep Net Neutrality laws as is! Don't let big companies rule over the internet, it's not right.
808. Eric Baker, palmyra, MI, 49268  
Communists
809. james r harvey, grass lake, MI, 49240  
i support strong net nuetrality, backed by title two oversight of isp's.
810. Maya, Horton, MI, 49246  
How many times do we have to say it? Keep the internet neutral!
811. Tanner Ellis, Milan, MI, 48160  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are what make the internet amazing otherwise we are creating a monopoly where larger companies can pay the fees. It also is putting money in the pockets of companies that donâ€™t do much for the consumers.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Tanner Ellis

812. Roy, Osseo, MI, 49266  
ISP's provide a paid for service, for the provider to be able to prioritize search content or having the ability to control content is another step toward fascism, at worst, and monopoly capitalism at best. I am against the ruling being changed.
813. Brooke Pell, Coldwater, MI, 49036  
Let the people decide what sites are worthwhile. Don't try and control the internet.
814. Amy Matteson, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
Net neutrality is very important to me for many reasons. Please do your duty in serving the people and keep the net open, allowing access for everyone not just big corporations. Thank you.
815. Grant Boyer, Dexter, MI, 48130  
Preserve Net Neutrality. Don't change the rules and give power to internet service providers (ISPs) to determine what content will be provided, and at differing speeds. An open internet allows for a true "free market" of ideas and services, where everyone is treated equally and plays by the same rules. Removing net neutrality would essentially be rigging the game in favor of ISPs so that they could prioritize their own content or charge a premium for access to websites that are outside of their business control. We don't want an internet where traffic speeds can be throttled down for a competitor's content, and "sped up" for the ISP's content. Removing net neutrality also means that ISPs will no longer be forced to innovate-- their monopolies will grow and they can charge increasing rates for "faster" internet, when in reality they only would be adjusting the speeds at which they allow the internet to be delivered to the consumer. Open internet means more innovation, free speech, an open forum to share ideas, and allows us all to be smart consumers. It promotes competition between companies and firms, where I can compare prices across many sites. Protect the underlying fundamental principle that makes the internet the greatest single technological advancement in the past 100 years -- keep the net neutral.
816. Cameron Wise, Newport, MI, 48166  
There is no need to take down the framework that protects net neutrality.
817. Billy Berning, Eaton Rapids, MI, 48827  
The internet is a place where people can go to escape the reality of a lot of these pressing companies and harsh life restrictions. One that has far more good in it than bad, and allowing companies to press their restrictions on the internet simply for the sake of making more money is downright unjust and freedom crushing. This bill doesn't just make it so that people aren't able to go to a site to watch pornography, or view stolen copyrighted materials, it also makes it so that ISP companies can have the right to dictate your online experience. So

many people live their lives on the net, and as sad as that is it gives those people freedom to escape from their REAL lives. American people with disabilities, personal problems, depression, and so many other things that go away for a little while when they get online. People spend time with loved ones across the sea in another country, they buy/sell/trade things and all of that freedom is at risk when you put restrictions on what they're allowed to see or do on the net. Net Neutrality is as important for American citizens as it is for people across seas. When you allow ISP's to restrict their lives and give priority or even the right to keep people from going to sites that simply can't afford to pay ISP demands. It destroys their lives and takes away the one thing that lets them feel real freedom. Leave the net be, the bad sites get reported and stricken down by laws. Let people use the internet openly, and give them the right they deserve to watch whatever they see fit. This is America, not China, not Japan, and certainly not North Korea... AMERICAN people deserve to have the freedom they pay for on the internet. God bless.

818. Byron Langerman, Monroe, MI, 48161

Alright, lets sit down here and be adults, and get that god damn grown man toddler out of the room. Lets talk real talk, no money, no games, no support from companies. You guys over at congress feel all high and mighty sitting on your wads of cash thrown at you from corporation this, corporation that, but really come on now would you be so high and mighty without all the cash they give to you for their own say? Probably not, you probably wouldn't even be in congress if not for the chance at big money. So come on, grow up, stop doing what others tell you for some treats and use your position for its actual purpose, to represent us, the average joes of America. Would you want your own home internet to cost you what it does now just to reach only a handful of websites? Nah, neither would I. Do the right thing, Byron Langerman

819. James Garrison, Adrian, MI, 49221

We all desire an internet that is free from throttling, content prioritization, and all other forms of restrictions placed upon the service we pay for by our internet service provider. Net Neutrality is good for small business and good for the individual American citizen.

820. Michael Raymond, Adrian, MI, 49221

A limiting of the net is nothing short of destruction of liberty itself.

821. Stephen P. , Coldwater, MI, 49036

Please, as a tax paying citizen of these United States of America, I do not condone the actions of repealing Net Neutrality. Please understand that by controlling the internet and charging citizens more, you are creating a very dangerous monopoly, and it will cripple everyone except ISPs. Keep Net Neutrality! Stephen P.

822. Brian Wiecek, Jackson, MI, 49202  
Keep the internet free with net Neutrality rules please, thank you for your consideration.
823. Zachary C Monte, parma, MI, 49269  
the internet is a free space for creativity if you take it away or manipulate it we will riot.
824. Marcus Brown, lansing, MI, 48917  
Protect net neutrality...
825. Nicholas Gann, Milan, MI, 48160  
Net Neutrality promotes healthy competition while also giving the consumer the best possible Internet experience. When ISPs are forced to provide all Internet services equally, they must compete for the consumer's dollar using the quality and consistency of the service they provide, and not which licenses they have over services such as NetFlix, DirectTV or Amazon. As a consequence of this, ISPs provide a good service and the consumers pay them for a good Internet service. Everyone wins. Further, promoting fairness and neutrality in communication technology is what the FCC was founded for in 1937, with regards to telegrams and radio. I see no reason why it should be any different in the Internet age.
826. Adam Baker, Clarklake, MI, 49234  
I support the existing Title II ruling. I would really like to keep the ruling as it currently is and I hope that the proposed position does not pass because I find that is anti-consumer and that the internet should be kept as a title II utility and nothing else.
827. Cody Price, South Rockwood, MI, 48179  
Please do not let the corrupted isp's take over the internet.
828. Jonathan Grahl, Monroe, MI, 48162  
The internet needs freedom and net neutrality doesn't support freedom.
829. Daniella Davis, Jackson, MI, 49202  
please keep the internet free!!
830. Taylor, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837  
Access to internet is an essential part of my own and many others' daily lives. As a college student I rely on fast internet, regardless of site popularity, and I do not wish to see this taken away. The internet's very purpose is to be an open place for communication, changing the neutrality laws would fundamentally alter this basic principle. Please keep net neutrality laws in place.

831. Matt Nicoson, Horton, MI, 49246  
this is a bad thing for everyone
832. Keagan Ferguson, Lansing, MI, 48917  
Preserve net neutrality. It's our internet; not yours. An open forum for all minds.  
Do not limit our capabilities.
833. Christopher Gliniecki, Dimondale, MI, 48821  
An internet connection is a vital public utility in this day and age, and it should  
be distributed equally and regulated accordingly. Please retain Net Neutrality.  
Thank you.
834. nathan wright, tecumseh, MI, 49286  
This will not allow customers to keep their Internet service providers honest. I  
ask you how long does it take for Internet service providers to build a fiber  
network while receiving tax breaks to build said infrastructure? The answer is  
we are still waiting for Internet service providers to upgrade what they agreed to  
in the past, while the nation falls further behind the rest of the world in terms of  
rank among the best.
835. Joshua Renfer, Jackson, MI, 49201  
The internet should not be something that is controlled by anyone other than the  
user. This is literally trying to sheep-herd people like we are your slaves. This is  
already being done in other places around the world and our country is BUILT  
upon freedom. Why take away the basic right of freedom and pursuit of  
happiness by attempting to control our leisurely activities?
836. Mark Rozny, Saline, MI, 48176  
The Internet is not and should not be a pay to play scheme. Designating fast  
lanes for companies will throttle free speech and entrepreneurship, which goes  
against American values on many levels. I urge the FCC to keep net neutrality  
in place both for the sake of free speech and the free market.
837. Ethan Click, Adrian, MI, 49221  
Net Neutrality is important because it allows small business or creators to play  
on the same field as big companies.
838. Alex, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189  
This is insane we even have to vote for this! This is supposed to be the land of  
the free and the home of the brave. This is against both of these founding  
principals. Rethink this. PleaseAlex
839. Alex Everdeen, Dexter, MI, 48130  
I shouldn't have to pay for faster internet speeds, and companies shouldn't be  
able to throttle my connection because of it. Internet speed should be equal for

all, without any fast-lanes and slow-lanes. It is wrong for companies to be able to do this just for some more money. Please don't do this. Protect net neutrality.

840. Jaxon Owens, Monroe, MI, 48161

Net Neutrality is incredibly important in ensuring a free and open internet for all. Rolling back safeguards and policies that prevent ISP's from throttling customers, favoring partner content and up-charging for services would cause irreparable damage to the internet as we know it.

841. Aaron Soule, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189

Now more then ever is not the time to let ISPs censor what we see and do online, our country needs a free and open internet to keep parties with special interests from influencing the distribution of information. Do not repeal the 2015 net neutrality laws.

842. Ryan Pickett, Adrian, MI, 49221

This is not the book 1984 stop trying to control everyone's lives by proxy blocking people from enjoying things they like NET NEUTRALITY

843. RIchard Quatrano, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189

Net neutrality is key to the progression of the world. 65% of commerce worldwide is done online. By removing the protections that we consumers have, you are in essence telling us all to get bent. This is immoral. The internet was created as a free place. One with everyone, read again, EVERYONE to have access to at some point. Our lives exist on the internet. We have come too far with it to lose it now. Don't do this. The entire internet is watching you. You don't want to incur it's wrath.

844. Me, Chelsea, MI, 48118

Leave the internet how it is...maybe use some of your powers to help other places get better internet. Its 2017 and some places don't have decent internet.

845. Liam Welter-Reed, Lansing, MI, 48917

You need to preserve net neutrality. This is very similar to the anti-trust era of the early 20th century. Do not allow business to interfere with justice in America.

846. Jenna Alcenius, Milan, MI, 48160

Please keep net neutrality. I find the opposition of such a practice to be immoral at best. Please don't further monetize services that should be rights.

847. Alexander Jasman, Saline, MI, 48176

Honestly ridiculous. The government is supposed to protect the people, not figure out how to cheat them to make money. The net must remain neutral.

848. Kevin, Tecumseh, MI, 49286  
Leave the Internet the way it was. Please just leave it alone. I am begging you to leave net neutrality active
849. Rafael M. Williams, LANSING, MI, 48911  
Stop kowtowing to corporate interests. The only ones who benefit from the elimination of net neutrality are profiteers. Consumers are only hurt by this proposed action. I fully support net neutrality.
850. Olan Johnson, Cement City, MI, 49233  
Net neutrality is a fundamental part of how the internet works. Taking away net neutrality and allowing corporations to judge what their customers get to see is an affront to what the internet is truly about. It has always been a place where people could share their ideas, opinions, and other information. The internet is a place where people can go to have fun, watch videos, play games, or connect with like-minded individuals. Important research is always done on the internet. The internet has changed the lives of many for the better and treating all information equally has been a cornerstone in that. Allowing corporations to treat data from different sources in a way that they prefer is not the way forward. If anything, it can, and most likely will, damage the economy by removing any competition to the services internet carriers provide. Sites such as Netflix and Youtube will likely be charged a "tax" and not allowed to transmit to the customers of the ISP simply because the ISP has similar services that they want you to use. Additionally, it would give corporations the power to censor and silence the populace. If they find a website they find unappealing, they can simply block access to it so that their customers will never see it. The internet was never about censorship and controlled entertainment, but it was to be open and free for everyone to peruse at their leisure. Allowing corporations to control the internet is not only a bad idea, but it could even infringe on Constitutional rights such as free speech, expression, or religion. So please do everyone a favor and keep the internet open and free. Allow the internet to stay neutral so everyone can enjoy it the way they want. There is absolutely no benefit in allowing corporations to control it for us. We know what we like, we know what we want, we don't need them forcing what they want down our throats.
851. Dillon Lee, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837  
As someone who understands the internet intimately, I want it to remain free, as in speech, for the rest of my life and until the end of time. Embrace the freedom! Love it, and spread it!
852. Kallen Marcavage, Litchfield, MI, 49252  
I care about net neutrality. Don't make our decisions for us, don't let us become oppressed, let us be free.

853. Rachel Adams, Temperance, MI, 48182

Net neutrality is such an important concept that I'm finding it difficult to express in words how much it means to the world. A world without it is an ugly place, where companies can push agendas and products no matter how the recipient feels about it. The small businesses that support our economy would crumble, the average person trying to make a living doing what they love would have no chance. The greedy corporations that are so in favor of ending net neutrality will never stop to consider the repercussions for their actions; we'd be a living example of a Ray Bradbury novel. Children who want to learn about science don't need cellphone companies pushing inane apps at them at every turn, they need free and neutral access to resources to help them learn to become the adults who will run this world. Prioritizing any one website over another, throttling internet speeds, blocking content they don't agree with; these are all the actions of tyrants out to suppress information to keep the average person unaware of their rights and options, or to stop them from knowing they even have rights or options. Please, I'm begging the FCC, do the right thing and don't let a few corporations monopolize the wonderful free creative space we have in the internet. Please.

854. Joseph Pryor, Clarklake, MI, 49234

Until such a time that there is healthy competition between high speed internet providers across the United States with speeds comparable to those in South Korea and Japan rather than regional monopolies with anemic "high speed" internet, it is the responsibility of the fcc to ensure all consumers' data is treated equally by their ISP's and that preferential treatment cannot be given to data from the ISP's own services or for services who pay extra for so called fast lanes. This equal treatment of data fosters innovation by protecting consumers and startups from being forced to compete on unequal terms. The internet can be many times faster than it is now. Comcast and other ISP's can have many times better customer service than they do now but these companies largely avoid competition with each other leading to broadly stagnant internet speeds and customer service so atrocious it could only be sustained in a monopoly environment. Until both these signals show significant improvement it remains clear that the American people require protection from further predation by ISP's by regulating them as common carriers

855. Cody Youngs, Monroe, MI, 48162

The power to choose what media we are exposed to should rest solely in the individual. Our country was founded on the principles of individual freedoms, and a loss of net neutrality would be selling those freedoms to companies that only have consumer's best interest in mind when it raises their stock. No matter what anyone's personal opinion may be on our government, it has always remained steadfast in protecting freedom of speech. Don't let this change, and begin stripping away our freedoms and right to privacy piece by piece under the

guise of benefiting us.

856. Justin Petersmark, Saline, MI, 48176

This bill is doing far too much in terms of preventing private surfing of the web for all Americans, and will set a precedent that other countries will soon follow if we allow it. It will allow censorship to become much easier, which stands in the face of nearly everything the Internet stands for. If it were possible for consumers to set up their own ISPs where they could control everything they do, this wouldn't be an issue as many of these bogus rules could be bypassed with hard work and effort, but since the Internet is such a connected system of routers and locations it is simply not feasible and won't be feasible for the average Joe to set up their own personal browsing experience without going through one of the major ISPs, and in turn giving ISPs a ton of power over what an individual can say, learn, and do, and that individual would not be able to do anything about it.

857. Haley Miller, Jackson, MI, 49202

I'm worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. As a part of this massive internet culture, I value the ability freedom and protection shared equally by all who use the web

858. Caleb Warren, Jackson, MI, 49201

We Need Net Neutrality!!!

859. zackery hockaday, Horton, MI, 49246

I enjoy having equal access to all sites and I believe allowing isp's to decide which websites deserve to have more bandwidth than others would be a great injustice to consumers, especially as someone who visits websites that may not be considered incredibly popular on a somewhat regular basis. I also would not want my isp to be able to slow my downloads just because the website I am downloading from is not on their list, or for my isp to be able to charge me extra to use a website with the full bandwidth I should have available to me when I pay them my standard monthly fee for internet access.

860. Errett Manwaring, Tecumseh, MI, 49286

The changes proposed by Chairman Pai are a transparent money grab by the big internet providers. Please get wise to this kind of baloney. Of course everyone sees what you are doing and yet we feel powerless to stop it. I vote every year against the UTILITY corporations that insist I'm going to pay more and more while my household income stays the same. This is just the latest attempt to squeeze the regular guy. Can you please grow a spine and end this nonsense? Get with the program dummy! Errett Manwaring

861. Sarah Gerlach, Dexter, MI, 48130  
The Open Internet rules (net neutrality) are extremely important to me. I don't want the ISP to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites advantage on others, or split the internet into fast lanes for companies that pay and slow lanes for the rest. Don't allow the Trump administration control the media streaming and then make only his NEWS On July 12, we should show the world how we Fight for The Future. I hope all media outlets join us. Thank you Todd € 2 mins
862. Tyler Rogoff, Monroe, MI, 48161  
Getting rid of net neutrality would be a complete joke and make this country even more of a laughingstock right now. Our internet in America is already terribly slow compared to overseas. It would only get worse with this due to only big name sites/programs having the money to pay ISPs for legitimate internet speeds. All the startups would be destroyed because they can't afford it. Do we seriously think it's a good idea to neuter the internet? There's no way.
863. James Hunter, Dexter, MI, 48130  
A Free internet is a clean Internet, save net neutrality.
864. Matthew C Heumann, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
Net Neutrality is the last affordable consumer benefit/freedom available since the fiasco of deregulation the communications companies resulted in conglomeration and monopolizing of telephone, cable TV, wireless, and internet services which drove prices through the roof while quality of service fell. The Internet is now the World's primary source of everything "Information & Communication". Those who can't afford expensive phone or cable services, can still get those services cheaply via the internet. Removing Net Neutrality lets the big corporations put the final stranglehold on consumers
865. Patrick M. Stowers-Schneider, Jackson, MI, 49202  
Net Neutrality is important! Don't do anything to destroy it.
866. Malik Lee, Charlotte, MI, 48813  
The internet is not something to be regulated and control to make a profit.
867. Robert Vaughn, Lansing, MI, 48917  
I think that giving this much power to private companies is essentially a breach of our second amendment. Allowing a company the power to stop me from going to websites I want, or slowing down websites they don't like is not right. it would only make a more volatile and less desirable market for new internet based companies.
868. Donna M Hill, Jackson, MI, 49202  
Donna M Hill

869. Dylan Chambers, Temperance, MI, 48182

The internet needs strong neutrality rules. The freedom of the internet is what makes it unique and when restrictions are put in place by an ISP it limits what people can do.

870. Connot Fritz, Parma, MI, 49269

Adding to the sentiment of others, I make the case to the importance of keep Net Neutrality, for it's importance as to ensure an unbiased platform for creators and an unfettered experience for it's users. The internet is not merely important (both in it's cultural significant and raw power of potential ) and but vital in this globalized world. To allow it to be restrained for corporate interests would be as irresponsible as doing the same for water or electricity access. It's easy to compare it to cable/satellite TV, but we're talking about something that is completely open as of currently and to remove that would be to be a downgrade, a change that would be unlike what is being promised by the providers. the infrastructure required to create a "better experience" for those higher paying customers takes time and resources to create while it would be easy to sabotage current access through throttling and blocking then charge greater for what we currently have. Then leave it unchanged once that new infrastructure is added. If nothing else, radio and television have their free, public equivalents, while the internet is gate guarded by these ISPs. This effects me personally, not just as an internet user but as a writer who self-publishes along with many of my friends on separate website. I am seeking that American Dream of small business ownership, to be able to work by my own rules with a career I love, and that we can do this and know we are as likely to be successful as anyone else doing the same thing is due to Net Neutrality. Without it, we would be at the mercy of companies either as users are discouraged by throttling or even blocking, or be forced to pay fees in order to be a part of whatever "standard" package ISPs would provide, which as start ups we could not afford. Our dream would die unsupported in the walled away wasteland of the greater internet. So I urge you to fight for Net Neutrality. This is not a demand out of some belief that you must do what I and everyone else is saying, or that free internet is some intrinsic right, but a plea, from one human being to another, of what I think is best for everyone. Thank you for taking the time to read this.

871. Jennifer Paxton, Dexter, MI, 48130

Please do not kill net neutrality. Businesses should not be able to buy the ability to control what I see. I work in the tech industry and this is very bad for business.

872. Matthew Fowler, Jackson, MI, 49201

The internet is a medium which allows any individual across the globe to share their thoughts and and creativity. I've never left North America, but the internet allows me to experience wonderful content from people on every other content.

There are over 7 billion on Earth, all with unique experiences and ideas. They should be able to share those with whomever they please, wherever they are. Charging internet users and content providers more money for their only chance to interact with most of the people throughout the globe is simply despicable. If the United States government decides that greed is more important than a person's self-expression, then I will have lost my last shred of hope for this once great nation.

873. Net Neutrality, Lansing, MI, 48917

Give us freedom over the internet and don't let ISPs rule over what we can/can not do.

874. Kane Hofacker, Lansing, MI, 48911

We need Net Neutrality because it would take away our freedom to do what we want if we aren't allowed to do as we please on line and browse the sites we like. What's the point of freedom?

875. Alec Fowler, Monroe, MI, 48162

If we let corporations control what we see, we let them control how we think. They would be able to control our media and eliminate competition on the internet, which would allow them to manipulate people. This is a step away from democracy and a step toward fascism, which many internet users in the United States would not want to see.

876. Net Neutrality, Machester, MI, 48158

I'm a military veteran, and if not for net neutrality I may not have been able to connect with friends and family that I had long been separated from. I pay for internet access so that I can quickly connect to said friends and family members via Facebook, Twitter, or even massively multiplayer online roleplaying games (such as Final Fantasy XIV). If these avenues were not easily accessible by net neutrality, I would be cut off from the people most important to me and as a result have a much lower quality of life. Please, keep the internet neutral.

877. Deborah Jozwik, Brooklyn, MI, 49230

Deborah Jozwik

878. C, ER, MI, 48827

Kids and adults alike need to use the internet for research and work. They need 100% access to all available resources so they get the information they want. It makes lives easier and lets people know more about the world they live in.

879. Jeffery Miller, Lansing, MI, 48911

Put simply, ISPs get away with enough as is. Please do not allow them to get away with even more. Please do not allow them to restrict and stifle the internet for profit. The internet is the greatest tool for communication in the history of

mankind and it needs to remain open.

880. Adam Garrett, Charlotte, MI, 48813

The Internet is something that mostly everyone uses on a day to day basis. The reason more and more people are using the internet is because it is not censored. You can access anything you would like just by a simple search. If you're paying for internet you get it all, not just select websites. It is unfair to allow ISP's the ability to block certain websites simply because the website wouldn't pay them enough, or whatever the reason may be. What about people who are stuck in an area with only one ISP? Where I live currently that is the case. I do not want my bill to go up while my accessibility to the internet goes down.

881. jon mac leod, Lansing, MI, 48917

An open internet and the title II classification for Internet Service Providers is important to me. I don't want ISPs to choose the speed at which content is delivered. I want all content to be considered equal. Also, I don't believe the ISP market is competitive, so an individual could choose another company whose practices they want to reward versus one they dislike. I've seen reports that 50% of the country has one high speed (>10mbps) ISP in their area. While more than 80% only have two choices. Thanks, Jon

882. Luke Iott, Monroe, MI, 48162

I believe that the regulations ISP's are an important part in keeping the internet an open platform for expression, business, and communication. Without the regulations, ISP's could monopolize and steamroll smaller startups, examples of which have proven to be very beneficial to the masses. Such startups could not have found solid footing if these regulations had not been in effect and I believe that they are necessary for the freedom of the internet.

883. Orion, Milan, MI, 48160

Without Net Neutrality the internet will become a dark place ruled by only the corporations that already have more money than they know what to do with. Nothing will be fun anymore, nothing will be free, and we'll eventually devolve into a dystopian society where freedom of information is a thing of the past.

884. Lee Cannarella, Dexter, MI, 48130

Repealing Net Neutrality is a bad idea for just about everyone except major ISP corporations. Businesses stand losing potential customers and browsers of the web will have more resistance getting around the web so marketing is more difficult. The only ones who benefit are the big ISP companies such as Verizon, Comcast and Charter. Keep Net Neutrality in place.

885. Steven Coppens, temperance, MI, 48182

The internet needs to remain open!! Keep net neutrality!

886. Gary Galbraith, Carleton, MI, 48117  
I support the current status of net neutrality as provided in the 2015 regulations passed at that time by the FCC. I do not support changing those regulations as you are considering.
887. net neutrality, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837  
Why do we keep returning to this? Stop trying to alter net neutrality, please. The internet has become one of the cornerstones of free enterprise and free speech that's keeping the civilized world healthy.
888. Kristin Flynn, Milan, MI, 48160  
Net neutrality and Title II should remain in place. Keep internet usage unbiased!
889. Andrew Schimberg, Lansing, MI, 48917  
Please do not allow net neutrality to go away! The internet is one of the only ways that small businesses can be competitive. For example, Amazon is already an empire, but given the power to, almost by force, persuade people to use their site instead, because other sites will be significantly worse, is going help them to drown out any small business competition they still have. Small businesses do not have the resources to compete with Amazon and the like without the internet, it is the only way they can get the message out about their products that isn't incredibly expensive. Small businesses are the backbone of America, please do not destroy them, their importance will be felt far and wide when they're forcibly removed from competition.
890. Logan Mullins, Jackson, MI, 49201  
Having the internet open and free is a big deal to me with the way I live my life. I'm on the internet everyday using for school and or work. This goes for my hobbies as well playing games online with nothing getting in the way instead of my internet being slowed down and or being charged for being at a website. Net neutrality is very important and I wish that it stays that way.
891. Benjamin Searls, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837  
I value net neutrality and am appalled that the FCC is trying to roll back current net neutrality rules due to ISPs feeling they are unfair to their practices. The internet is one of the most amazing tools for democracy and to having an informed electorate and it's disgusting that it is being attempted to undue the rules protecting this. If you leave this open for corporations to prioritize web traffic they will monetize it, make tons of money, and the information people are looking for will be greatly skewed. Keep net neutrality protected and don't leave it up to the benevolence of ISPs
892. Mitchell Baum, Willis, MI, 48191  
We must maintain net neutrality to ensure fair and free access to learning and resources for all. The profit of a few pockets should never take priority over the

unrestricted access of intellectual resources for children, families, and the working class when everything has become centered on the internet.

893. Tiffani Odell, Newport, MI, 48166  
content://media/external/file/19459
894. Stephen K Jessop, Dexter, MI, 48130  
Keep the net neutral. I'm not interested in dealing with paid to search fastlane browsers.
895. Nathan Ainsworth, Saline, MI, 48176  
I support net neutrality. I believe that corporate greed should not overcome the freedoms that citizens currently have. Please keep the internet as it is, it is not hindering the economy. The companies will not lose business as a result of net neutrality staying the way it is.
896. Alex Roth, Pleasant Lake, MI, 49272  
I love the internet. please don't ruin it with this.
897. Miles Batterson, Saline, MI, 48176  
Please keep current net neutrality rules in place. I don't believe that the new proposals will benefit consumers.
898. Steve Swihart, Jackson, MI, 49203  
You won't win this. The people will fight you. Steve Swihart
899. Patrick Harris, Saline, MI, 48176  
As a paying customer, no 3rd-to-1st party intervention is tolerated under our constitution. Keep out of the internet's equal level of web packet control.
900. John Weiss, Monroe, MI, 48161  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules's net neutrality rules are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. Keep net neutrality.
901. Lucas James Mayer, Lansing, MI, 48917  
The internet's less fun when your favorite sites load slowly, isn't it? Whether you're here for news, AMAs, or some good old-fashioned cats in business attire, the internet's at its best when you're not internet service providers' decide what you see online.
902. Kendra Wilson, Willis, MI, 48191  
I am for Net Neutrality. To get rid of it is to allow giant corporations to control what sites succeed and what sites don't making it extremely difficult for new sites to take off and essentially forcing users to only use certain sites.

903. Lyric, Jackson, MI, 49203

PLEASE THINK ABOUT THIS. You're already making consumers who are already paying for internet, pay prices for sites that they were already paying for. You're essentially asking for twice as much money than they already have. Think of it like this: You, a family of six, almost everyone needs an internet connection. I know this sounds dumb but listen. Projects, family info, vacation planning, entertainment. You have all of that at your fingertips. But when you try and open Google, you're blocked because you can't pay premium for website packages. And you're not very wealthy, either. You're barely scraping by, spending hours paying bill after bill, buying food, eventually paying tuition so your kid can go to school. A school that is known to be cheap, that you are struggling to pay for. That's the family situation. You, a dad is trying to keep up with everything right now, and your kid(s) are going to college this fall. As a dad, you should be worried you probably won't be able to pay. They can only do a work-study, and that's it. Even if they do leave, you will still be paying for all these premium packages, bills and more. Pay to play, basically. Does that seem right? To the giant companies, they couldn't care less. But really take this idea to heart. People aren't dollar signs, there are people who can barely afford to live in their homes, let alone pay for internet. And now this? This is an EXTREMELY selfish act. That "net divide" problem will only become even worse for all these people if this is enforced. They only care about money. All they ever wanted was money. Give them a dollar and they'll want 1,000,000 more. Don't give them the luxury of letting them have their way. Don't give us, the REAL people of the United States, a challenge we really can't overcome. Challenges can be beat, but this is one that can't be. The chances of the target individual reading this are low, but whatever bot, or email room skims this, I'll make it an easy read: EXPENSIVE INTERNET + LOW INCOME = HARDER LIFE. A life that we can thank the sin, Greed for. Thank you. i»¿Lyric

904. Noah Gilson, Morenci, MI, 49256

The internet can be a public repository of information -- whether it be an entertainment black hole or a database life-saving continuity, it's really something everyone should have access to in a fair manner. Removing "common carrier" from ISPs would hurt not only the public at large but most startups and businesses in general. For those of you at Verizon, Comcast, etc, would it really be beneficial to have a possible economic crash for a fair amount of companies just to gain some short-term revenue before inevitable revolution?

905. M Kachur, Whittaker, MI, 48190

Dear FCC, I strongly support retaining net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you, M Kachur

906. Dr. Christie Boxer, Adrian, MI, 49221

Keep the internet FREE from capitalistic profit grabbing - PRESERVE NET

NEUTRALITY!!! The internet is for people, not for profits. There are already too many ways us normal people (the 99% of income earners) are squeezed for ever-more money to line corporate coffers...please let us keep the internet - the last bastion of true freedom. Respectfully, Dr. Christie Boxer

907. Gi West, Manchester, MI, 48158

The internet as it has been used for over twenty years has been a powerful method of communication and innovation. The affect the ability of every person to have access to this public service would have repercussions on our economy and ability to grow as a nation. It would give those with more means access to better service and benefit the internet service companies who, already, provide mediocre service in many areas.

908. Mary Crocker, Jackson, MI, 49203

The entire internet needs to remain available to all. Not everyone has the means to pay for every access.

909. Wyatt Velarde, Clinton , MI, 49236

The internet is a powerful resource that nearly everyone in the United States uses on a daily basis to aid themselves in tasks that must be carried through out the day, whether that be work, recreation, or any number of other reasons. Many people as is can barely pay the bills they have now. If Net Neutrality is removed from law, people will be forced to pay premiums that they may not be able to afford. Those additional costs to people's everyday lives could possibly send families to living in poverty because they couldn't pay the extra cost to use the internet services. Is more money for the already rich members of society, in particular, the heads of the ISP's, really worth the financial hazards that will effect the common people? Companies like AT&T and Comcast hold a large monopoly over internet and cable services, the removal of Title II would simply give these companies even more of a monopoly, freely being able to charge customers whatever they wish, all because there are no other options to get those services anywhere else. That together is another issue, however, Net Neutrality is a necessity in our modern age of technology, as such, it should be left unchangedI appreciate you maintaining Title II net neutrality rules and the rights of Internet users like me.

910. Carl W Carpenter, charlotte, MI, 48813

#NetNeutralityKeep the internet unbiased.

911. Mark A. Bowen, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837

The loss of net neutrality will create virtual monopolies that stifle innovation, increase costs to end users and remove our fundamental right to our own control over how we use the Internet. Structural separation would be a better way.

912. Derrick , Saline, MI, 48176

I believe that net neutrality is key to a free and open future.

913. Alex Lawson, Dundee, MI, 48131  
The internet should be equal for everyone.
914. Kerry Guiliano, South Rockwood, MI, 48179  
It's important to me that the internet and free and open for everyone. It should not be ruled by companies who are only in it to make a profit.
915. Mary M Langguth, Lansing, MI, 48917  
Net neutrality benefits all of us. Working against the general population in favor of huge cable companies does not add to this country's well-being. (nor the well being of anyone not in this country, as far as I can tell)
916. Nathan Kusler, Mulliken , MI, 48861  
Please find a soul, and don't screw up the internet. It's not perfect, but you'd make it a lot worse by removing the title II guidelines.
917. Julie Ann Thompson, Grass Lake, MI, 49240  
Our country is founded on personal freedom. Stifling net neutrality inhibits personal freedoms and would allow big companies or one's ISP too much control over a fundamental tool in today's society. Additionally, small businesses and competition would be hurt significantly. Keep net neutrality. USA is for the people, not big business.
918. Dean Wireman Jr, Grass Lake, MI, 49240  
I fear my child will no longer be able to use anything online if the internet is controlled by big companys. How will we do any schooling, learning, or any type or research when big profit companys have control of the internet. Please don't give in, keep someone open and free in this country. Everything else is slowly being taken away.
919. Ralph Tuscher, Cement City, MI, 49233  
I support net neutrality and regulation of ISPs as common carriers under Title II.
920. Joshua Fortner, Monroe, MI, 48162  
The internet is the last true source of free expression and information we have. You know what happens when you restrict that? Take a look at China and North Korea.
921. Susan Berneis, Dexter, MI, 48130  
Do not let the big cable monopolize the internet. The last thing we need is to lose our ability to communicate and choose on the net.

922. Michael Graham, Adrian, MI, 49221  
Not having Net Neutrality would be like charging to read each page in a library book and that is absurd.
923. Victor Lounds, Lansing, MI, 48917  
I get it, I work in IT....Corporations want to make the most profit with minimal product, but keeping the current neutrality gives the consumer the power to pick and choose based on customer service and overall experience. That's something as a customer that i can invest in.
924. James Kane, Lansing, MI, 48917  
let me decide what to watch,( since I pay for the service) not someone deciding what I can and can't view.
925. Brad Handy, Potterville, MI, 48876  
Net neutrality is essential to an open internet just as free speech is to political discourse.
926. todd scott, milan, MI, 48160  
Hello, net neutrality should be common sense. I mean 1st amendment, why would we do that? There is no possible reason why we would do that. I want to be able to do whatever I want without hurting anyone or myself. I should be allowed to be left alone. We should be free. don't mess with the internet. All that should be done in American internet is making it faster.
927. Janet Greenberg, Lambertville, MI, 48144  
As a small business owner, I fear having to deal with GIANTS like Comcast, AT&T and Verison. It would be a total nightmare!!!! Please leave net neutrality alone.
928. Dr. Paul Jurczak, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837  
Once again, a useful tool that has the potential to promote democracy is being corrupted by big government and big business. "Separate but equal" never did work "to privilege some communications above others is to subvert democracy.
929. chris elder, grass lake, MI, 49240  
neutrality must be maintained it protects the consumer.
930. Caitlin Terry, Whittaker, MI, 48190  
Our internet rights deserve to remain free.
931. Beth P, Lansing, MI, 48917  
I do not want to loose neutrality and free speech on the internet. Or affordable internet prices. The prices keep going up where your average person can't afford

it. The internet is one of the only ways i have to reach the outside world some days. Keep it affordable and available.

932. Mary Lay, Tecumseh, MI, 49286

No one should "own" the Internet. It keeps us connected and informed. The Internet changes lives for the better. The Internet keeps everyone interconnected and inspires creativity and supports further technology - whether scientific, medical or environmentally. The Internet keeps us ALL free.

933. Andrew Clark, Temperance, MI, MI, 48182

My home life and livelihood depend on an open Internet.

934. James Clark, Coldwater, MI, 49036

The FCC will outlive the corporate folks of your lifetime. Please regulate according to the significance of your office and your legacy. Preserve net neutrality like the National Parks are preserved. Be on the right side of history, for your own sake, and ours.

935. James, Temperance , MI, 48182

I know that ultimately our comments will not amount to anything, but I did feel like I needed to say something. I remember a time when I was younger that two businesses weren't allowed to become too large and create a Monopoly. I remember watching the news and seeing that the government would reject bids to buy out or merge companies that would create these Monopolies. Now it seems that our government has changed it's ways and is creating laws that are allowing larger companies and corporations to take over and run smaller businesses out. This law is similar. If this passes larger companies would crush their competition which would mean that they get to better control what we watch. Keep in mind that if this had been the law for the last 10-15 years that Amazon Prime, Netflix and others would likely not be able to produce some of the independent programming that they have been able to because they would not have been able to get past Comcast's lock on the internet. Imagine what other companies are out there trying to get started on the internet that would not be able to, and that all you would be allowed to watch is what ever Comcast or AT&T lets you.

936. Raven, Horton, MI, 49246

Dear FCC, leave net neutrality alone! You are going against the first amendment and that is freedom of speech. I was raised believing that if I wanted to speak out, I could. If I'm constantly being monitored and restricted access on the internet then that will restrict me from having my voice be heard. Not only that, but you taking away net neutrality might just take away my dreams. I'm 15 and I want to become an artist. Without net neutrality, I might struggle in creating a small business for my art. I would go out of business

before I even had the chance to build it. You know what would happen if small businesses like the ones I want to make were to go out of business! Unemployment would skyrocket and you would put us into a second great depression. Think about that.

937. Craig Warburton, Ann Arbor, MI, 48105

Please take the necessary steps to insure the internet remains a neutral environment and not the profit playground for the big cable and telecom providers. Lets insure all have equal access to the internet and the public it serves.

938. William Moore, Dundee, MI, 48131

Net neutrality allows consumers-not big companies-to choose what they watch and do online. New creators and entrepreneurs need to be protected from monopolies.

939. Sean, Manchester, MI, 48158

do the big cellular companies really need to have a hand in everything? in all honesty, the internet is one of the few things that we little people can all enjoy without having to constantly worry about someone telling us what is and isn't ok to look for, see, post and respond to around the world. I mean, if we wanted to have corporations controlling every aspect of our lives, would we be living in a democracy? no.

940. Kristopher Harvey, Dexter, MI, 48130

The internet is a resource that connects us all and provides many of us a gateway to learn and express ourselves. Big cable should not be allowed to restrict or censor.

941. Dave monforton, Milan, MI, 48160

Net Neutrality is essential to free speech, education, and business development in the modern age. Big Business through internet speed, fees and censorship should not be the gatekeepers of the internet, nor of free speech, nor should their monopoly be allowed to pick winners and losers in the net economy! Come on!

942. Craig Butcher, Chelsea, MI, 48118

The internet is essential to commerce and needs to be regulated as a common carrier. We learned this with Standard Oil at the beginning of the last century. Why do we keep forgetting the lessons of history?

943. Alyce Vollmar, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837

The internet continues to be a medium of the American people, and all free nations. Not the cash cow/spyglass of oligarchy. Leave it alone.

944. Logan Braun, Saline, MI, 48176  
I support Net Neutrality. Keeping the internet open and free for everyone is a must. Please keep Net Neutrality in place.
945. Paul Jurczak, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837  
The essence of democracy is the dispersal of power! Selling off equality to wealthy corporations make second-class citizens of the rest of us.
946. Jerry Agee, Adrian, MI, 49221  
I want the internet to stay free. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. It also protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started, as well as, protecting consumers, not big companies, to choose what they watch & do online. Please keep the net free for its many many users.
947. Ryan Adair, Jackson, MI, 49202  
I do not want the internet to be censored, net neutrality gives us the power to fight this. Internet Service Providers have done it before, they will do it again.
948. Andre Duclos, ottawa lake, MI, 49267  
Leave the internet to the people, lets leave big business out of it.
949. Mark Law, Saline, MI, 48176  
The Internet was built to be an equal access platform for all - not a who can pay the most platform. Tiered access will thwart innovation and competition.
950. Arlene Flanagan, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837  
I like to choose for myself - what I want to see, hear and participate in. I do want OPEN Internet to stay as it is.
951. Darleen Phillips, Jackson, MI, 49201  
We don't need big cable companies controlling our internet. They already have a monopoly on television and cell phone services. I can only see this getting so out of control the average senior on a fixed income won't be able to afford it.
952. Jason Ruiz, Eaton Rapids, MI, 48827  
Net Neutrality must not be dismantled by corporate interests. A free and open internet is just as sacred as our first amendment right to free speech. Please do not let our voices go unheard. We are counting on you and Congress to stand up for a free and open internet for ALL!
953. Susan Iverson, Carleton, MI, 48117  
Please protect our right to neutral internet use! No one company/companies should have the right to control how we use the internet.
954. James Lommel, Dexter, MI, 48130

Net neutrality is extremely important to me because the internet is one of the best sources for unbiased information. If the ISP's can control what's available and what's not, there will be fewer reliable sources of information.

955. Dawn Hart, Brooklyn, MI, 49230

My internet service provider should not be able to limit my choices by slowing down the competition. I have Xfinity for my ISP but I use a Kindle fire for my tablet. The Xfinity app does not work on a kindle so I have to use Amazon Prime to watch shows. Xfinity should not be able to slow my Amazon Prime down. Net Neutrality makes sure that they will not.

956. dawn duncan, adrian, MI, 49221

allowing big companies to control the internet stifles the small guy. enough of that already!

957. Jo Mayer, Munith, MI, 49259

Please protect net neutrality! I live in a rural area and getting internet access is already difficult and expensive. I want to have access to all the content available without having to go through a third party with a vested interest.

958. Nick Guera, Lansing, MI, 48917

Net Neutrality is not some socialist agenda. It is crucial to ensuring that ordinary citizens, small businesses, innovators, and entrepreneurs are able to share their content and ideas with others in a reasonable time and manner without paying exorbitant amounts of money. It is essential to ensuring free speech is maintained within the 21st Century, and beyond.

959. Sandra Tighe, Ann Arbor, MI, 48103

Please don't make it even worse to find pertinent information by allowing pay for view (pay money for top placement).

960. Matt Sisoler, Adrian, MI, 49221

I am in support of net neutrality because it is basically our "First Amendment of the Internet", and repealing it would cause a massive backlash. Point A: Companies/corporations could pay to slow down watchdog sites or to shutdown new rival businesses whose ideas threaten them, and Point B: the government doesn't have the right to tell its citizens when they've been on the internet long enough. Doing so for Point A furthers our decline as an industrial power, because more and more of our businesses and our top researchers will go where the internet is free to all. And with Point B, if net neutrality is rolled back, then whoever is in power can simply go to the service providers of an area that they don't like and say "slow their service down." And that is something out of an Orwellian nightmare. Like I said above, the government of the United States does NOT have the right to tell its citizens to get off the internet.

961. Mary Wyatt, Hudson, MI, 49247  
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. We don't want big corporations to decide what I'm allowed to watch and do online.
962. Phillip Stahl, Northville, MI, 48168  
The last thing we need in our lives is more interference from profit motivated corporations who will provide access to the news/entertainment that suits their needs. Free speech is fundamental to the Land of the Free!!
963. Alan Rathburn, Jackson, MI, 49201  
Donald Trump is setting himself up to be the worst president since Nixon. Do you want the FCC to be consider as foul as the worst President this country has ever seen?
964. Dianne Thomas, Bellevue, MI, 49021  
Please keep net neutrality. It gives people the right to "free speech" on the internet and allows us to have access to any content out there.
965. Robert Rickman, Manchester, MI, 48158  
Net neutrality should be a basic right and not dictated by corporate policy
966. Abigail Warner, Monroe, MI, 48162  
I use the internet to show my art with great delight, something that I feel comfortable doing. If this happens to succeed, not only will my chances to have my small business be impossible to be recognized web-wide; it will also hurt my self-esteem of becoming an artist too. It's the only thing I'm good at.
967. Justin, Milan, MI, 48160  
please do not let the big cable companies win this battle because if they do we won't be any different then communist Russia. Free Speach and innovation are cornerstones of this country what men and women have fought for so please don't give in to big business.
968. Curtis Lee Howard, Manitou Beach, MI, 49253  
Net Neutrality is extremely important and giving large companies the power to chose what cite gets what amount of bandwidth or service is a direct violation of the first amendment of the suffering companies who will be throttled and dropped by big providers. Big providers well not stay true to a "promise" of met neutrality when there is money involved.
969. M Cekander, Allen, MI, 49227  
The ONE place where people can see, be, say, think, and do what they please and companies want to strip that away? Yes internet has its own share of problems and issues like cyber crimes, bullying, piracy, etc. but restricting access to people who may and or not be involved with these it a ludicrous idea.

It start here with controlling people and removing what is essentially freedom and ends where? Does it end with the government running every aspect of people's lives? How it that American?! These companies are simply trying to test the waters with what they can get away with. Just as every government should fear and respect it people, so too should these companies, not the other way around. M Cekander

970. Antar Aldridge, Jackson, MI, 49202

I am a United States citizen who enjoys net neutrality. I don't want internet providers to have the ability to manipulate internet speeds at their whims. Thank you for hearing me out.

971. Lauren Felton, Sunfield, MI, 48890

The internet is my safe space. I am mentally ill and the internet helps me cope. If my access is limited I will lose my coping mechanisms and my friends. The internet was meant to be an open place. Lauren Felton

972. Terry CONDIT, Milan, MI, 48160

Don't put business profits ahead of individuals privacy., It works fine just the way it is, leave it the h\*\*\* alone.

973. richard blake, Chelsea, MI, 48118

The idea that corporations should be permitted to control internet content based upon ideology is completely antithetical to the idea of an informed democracy

974. Carrie Korican, Brooklyn, MI, 49230

We need net neutrality. The FCC has no right to censor what people are allowed to see on the internet.

975. Annelissa Gray-Lion, Chelsea, MI, 48118

Net neutrality must be maintained in a democracy, especially with public education under attack.

976. Fred Towers-Hoover, Jackson, MI, 49201

FREE to vote and FREE to protest where and when appropriate to do so REMAINS our last line of defense against bullys and oligarcical political rule!

977. Joanne Tollison, Petersburg, MI, 49270

Do something for the average person for a change. I heard that the Trumpf's appointee hasn't even acknowledged people's comments. What a jerk!,

978. Eric Schroyer, coldwater5, MI, 49036

please, for the love of God, this is all we have. Everything else has been taxed, policed, and gated but the internet should be one door access. As the last bastion of true free speech, the internet can either hold it's head high knowing we are

exempt from tyranny or be crushed by an impending oligarchy of corporate rule. Lines in the sand have moved time and time again, but I say NO MORE. I STAND HERE.

979. Daniel Zak, Saline, MI, 48176

I am writing this to make two things clear: I am NOT going to support your greedy plan to control what people can see on the internet based on how much money your grubby hands can pilfer from them, and even if your bill passes, we WILL still fight back. Expect many hacking groups to target you and everything you've worked for. Your companies WILL crumble. This is no means a threat from me, but a warning, as I may not be capable of such an attack, but I will gladly aid anyone who wants to do so. We the American people aren't going to let you win, even if we have to resort to unethical methods of doing so. Again, I'm not going to do anything illegal to you, but I AM going to do everything in my power to aid those who wish to lessen your grip on our platform. So, you've been warned, if you keep pushing your agenda, we'll push ours, and your companies will be history. You might want to consider selling any and all Comcast and Verizon stocks now, because they're going to plummet when this is all over. Good day, and remember that we're watching. Daniel Zak

980. Boyd Herrst, Tecumseh, MI, 49286

Title II allows bureaucrats in Washington to pick winners and losers on the web. The internet is best regulated by light-touch FTC regulation and the market, not heavy-handed FCC rules. Keeping Obama administration-era net neutrality rules would result in higher Internet prices for consumers and less innovation, according to a new report. I support Chairman Ajit Pai's rollback of Obama's Internet takeover.

981. Joshua Mason, Monroe, MI, 48162

I am strongly opposed to Chairman Pai's proposal to roll back Net Neutrality protections. Net Neutrality is what the internet has always had and it has allowed all people, companies, and organizations an equal footing on the world stage. If rolled back, the internet will only be able to be fully utilized by large corporations with the money to do so. I do not want the internet to be regulated the same way as television where certain ISPs only provide select sites full access that other ISPs may not have allowing them to increase the price for access. As a currently serving officer in the US Navy, I will not stand idly by as the very same freedoms that I am safeguarding are being rolled back by our own government to further the interest of corporate oligarchs. Thank you for your time.

982. Boyd Herrst, Tecumseh, MI, 49286

Title II regulation is burdensome and unnecessary. It limits competition, and

hurts innovation and investment. Therefore, I support FCC Chairman Ajit Pai's rollback of Obama's Internet takeover. BZUTR4

983. Nicole Urdahl, Carleton, MI, 48117

I think it is vital to our democratic nation to preserve net neutrality and keep a free and fair internet. It would be unconstitutional to allow internet providers the right to slow down the speeds of certain sites because other big companies can afford to pay them to do so. This is not good for small businesses, and nor is it good for the American People. Please Preserve Net Neutrality.

984. Brit Nicholson, Monroe, MI, 48162

Net neutrality must be protected for without it we will end up no better than Germany as police continue to round up people and jail them as felons just for posting hateful comments online. Free speech is a right protected by the constitution and should apply to the internet, for there is a line between saying something and actually doing it.

985. Javier D. Sevilla, Adrian, MI, 49221

Net neutrality is the last thing preventing the government from invading the last, FREE sanctum the the people of this country have to fully and freely use their 1st Amendment right(s). Without it, this what will we have left? Companies will force a payment and/or fee upon the populace, and lets be real here, they already own many an arm and leg from us. The internet was created to be free for the people, starting up as just a few lines of script on a screen, to basic emailing capability, and now it is a living extension of all of us. Companies AND the government will ruin this free thing with fees, restrictions, and removal of the privacy and freedoms it allows and gives. Please keep it free for the people, by the people, and of the people.

986. Jeffrey, Palmyra, MI, 49268

Regulating one of the last few places that you can truly be free, be yourself and pursue dreams or information. Information you are interested in or provide products such as software or development is a very idiotic approach of the FCC. Clearly having allegiance or big name companies interest in mind. Would be the only purpose in this approach which isn't just immoral it's simply uncalled for and unforgivable. Allowing the same companies to regulate what we're able to see or access online that also provide us access to the Internet for financial compensation is a serious conflict of interest. The FCC would do well to drop this subject completely stay away, far away from our freedoms on the Internet. Net neutrality forever!

987. Carla Kish, Coldwater, MI, 49036

I think the internet is a good tool for information and social Media I don't think we should be charged anymore money we already pay a cable company for this

service and should not be charged more to use it.

988. Nolan White, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837  
You guys are getting closer to your fucked up new world order and its sad! why cant you just leave people alone?
989. Paul Shepherd, Tecumseh, MI, 49286  
We cannot let big companies to control the internet and/or sway the opinion of Internet media companies. The World Wide Web must remain everyone's.
990. Carrie Dunn, Potterville, MI, 48876  
A free and open internet is essential to maintaining freedom of information and it is not the government or any corporations right to charge premiums for "certain information" or decide what you can or cannot view with their services, as several large telecom companies have already attempted. Net neutrality MUST be protected and we will not settle for less.
991. Geoff Paulin, Newport, MI, 48166  
Why was Ma' Bell broken up? To pave the way for the new communications companies to form monopolies today? This will do nothing positive for the consumer since we don't have many options of providers as it is, we're stuck with who we have. And now alliances will be formed keeping good independent products shutout if they want to stay independent. Keep the web open and neutral from any preferential treatment!
992. Matt Foley, Lansing, MI, 48917  
Markets are only free when there are zero barriers to entry for those markets. Net Neutrality preserves a free market for internet based companies. Please protect the free market of ideas and innovation on the internet.
993. zack harbuck, milan, MI, 48160  
No person nor entity has the right to choose what I am allowed to legally view on the internet.
994. Daniel Cox, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837  
Big Cable companies are only out to make more profits for themselves. The cost to subscribe to an ISP has always gone up not down.
995. Brandon Griesinger, Adrian, MI, 49221  
I live in a rural area where if I want internet access I am forced to use high priced satellite internet. To have more limitations and price wars to use something intended to be free is just another way the large companies are taking away our freedoms.
996. K. Stevens, Dundee, MI, 48131

As a small business owner, net neutrality is vital to my work. As an individual, net neutrality is the greatest possible conduit for free speech and the sharing of ideas. Without net neutrality, my use of the internet for university purposes would fail, leaving me and thousands of other students without the access to resources we need. Net neutrality must be preserved.

997. Raymond Stovall, Horton, MI, 49246

I reside in a rural area with limited internet options. I don't think I deserve to face the economic impact on my business if the large companies are allowed to choke the internet. I also don't need the large companies to have freedom to sell my information. Keep the net neutral!

998. Carl Angelo Ubungen, Jackson, MI, 49201

UNFAIR TO ANYONE. KEEP THE INTERNET OPEN TO EVERYBODY!  
This big companies will take advantage of us and take away the freedom of access through the internet w/o permission.

999. David E. Kopka, Monroe, MI, 48162

Putting the web in the hands of anyone is a bad idea. As much as I disagree with much on the web, it is best for me to make my own choices, not have them made by the profits of big business. Keep net neutrality, please.

1000. David, Litchfield, MI, 49252

I have been using the internet for over 20 years I've found it needs no changes it's open, free and does not need an internet czar to make it better, as the old saying goes "if it ain't broke don't fix it"

1001. Kathryn Kopka, Monroe, MI, 48162

Freedom of speech is a protected right for citizens of the United States. It should be protected in this venue as in any other. Thought police lead to other forms of control.

1002. Craig Hughes, Reading , MI, 49274

FCC internet neutrality must be protected. I prefer to choose what I see and not have someone else or some big cable companies like Comcast, Spectrum, AT&T do it. Your proposed rule changes would compromise my freedom of press and free speech. It is the job of the FCC to protect the rights of individuals not to destroy or compromise them.

1003. Alexandria Treadway, Clinton, MI, 49236

ISPs have already done shady things in the past-- attempting to block competing services, blocking out websites opposed to them to their customers. Don't give them the legal right to censor anything they don't want their customer base to see-- they can and will abuse that power if you give it to them.

1004. Cammie Myers, Horton, MI, 49246  
Please maintain the net neutrality and Title II. This is so important to having equal access to information and communication. Please do not change the current rules set in place by the Obama administration.
1005. Pablo Demerath, Dexter, MI, 48130  
Look, the American dream is dead in the real world. The only drop of it left is on the neutral internet where companies can't control what you do. Without that there becomes 2 classes: the rich and the poor. Net neutrality doesn't stifle innovation, it nurtures innovation. The only people this would help would be the big corporations and it would hurt a majority of the United States, is that really what you want?Pablo Demerath
1006. Audrey Minick, Milan Washtenaw County, MI, 48160  
Do not kill the Net Neutrality rules. It would not be democratic to do that and America is supposed to be a democracy!!
1007. Sebastian Green-Husted, Lansing, MI, 48917  
I strongly oppose chairman Pais plan to overturn the principle of net neutrality. Network Neutrality is required to preserve freedom of speech and the principles of democracy this country was founded on.
1008. Wendy Tobler, Willis, MI, 48191  
Must EVERYTHING in this administration be about money and greed? DON'T destroy net neutrality!
1009. Laurel Powers, Rives Junction, MI, 49277  
I believe it is critical to maintain net neutrality and strongly oppose it's repeal.
1010. Jacqueline McCormick, Jackson, MI, 49202  
Please protect our internet rules as they are now written. It is very important to me and my family. Thank you.
1011. Osama Williams, Monroe, MI, 48161  
I am deeply disturbed by how much We the People are being robbed of our Freedoms! We are being taxed to death, fees attached to damn near EVERYTHING, we have NO PRIVACY and now our very Freedom of Speech is being infringed upon without so much as a thought of how LITTLE control We the People have over our own selves!!! REALLY??? So Corporations are allowed MORE & MORE control over our daily lives WHERE you won't be able to rub 2 damn nickels together!!!! WHY??? In the name of PROFITS???? How does those in so-called Power get to continue to take more of We the People's liberties, savings and now our voice???? What happened to our Inalienable Rights of Life, Liberty and The Pursuit of Happiness???? This is DESPICABLE!!!

1012. Terry Butcher, CHELSEA, MI, 48118  
We, the people, spoke up in 2015. Our belief in the importance of an open internet has not changed since then. Please don't sell us out.
1013. Lyn Solms, Dexter, MI, 48130  
Access to the internet is extremely important to our democracy. Please keep net neutrality in effect so that all people, not just corporations and those with big money, have equal access. Do not empower internet providers with the power to determine what individual citizens can access.
1014. Edward Tappan, Jackson, MI, 49201  
Please do not let Chairman Pai decide the fate of Net Neutrality while he blindly ignores what the people of this country demand.
1015. James Davis, Pittsford, MI, 49271  
LEAVE THE INTERNET ALONE. MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY.
1016. Terry Butcher, CHELSEA, MI, 48118  
I strongly oppose efforts to make our internet access less open. A free and open platform is crucial to our economy as well as our ability to exercise our free speech rights. Please don't gut the strong rules put in place in 2015 to protect open access.
1017. Paula Kestner-Blair, JACKSON, MI, 49202  
Freedom of speech is a hallmark of a free society, don't take our voice away!
1018. Aaron Wilson, Jackson, MI, 49201  
Defend the free internet, not special interests! Do what is right! Side with 70% of the population not the small number of lobbyist. Be a patriot!
1019. Harold Tucker, Jackson, MI, 49202  
Republican's are investing in anything that they can make money off of so why wouldn't they want to charge people for free internet crooks
1020. Richard Grzeskowiak, DEXTER, MI, 48130  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and the world. I urge you to protect them under the First Amendment. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Information is power. Do you really want a democratic power to be powerless? Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for

everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. WTF? Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. That's tantamount to extortion. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1021. Archer Christian, Ann Arbor, MI, 48103

Dear Commissioners, This filing is to submit my comments on the potential repeal of the 2015 network neutrality rules. This is a hugely important issue about fairness. Please do not repeal the rule or the legal support (Title II of the 1934 Communications Act). Having access to all services and products available over the Internet without being pushed toward those of a particular company in any way is essential for business and the consumer. I need unfettered access to Skype for my work. I secured my Masters in Environmental Law and Policy through an online program. The benefits of an Internet with net neutrality are fundamental to fair access. I buy Internet access to get an email address and cloud storage, and I blog on various sites that depend on net neutrality. My choices for broadband are very limited. We are now with AT&T Uverse for its dependability in our semi rural area, but wish we had other high-speed options. We had to buy new equipment. I can't just choose from among many to get the speeds I need. There should be more competition not less! Furthermore, the FCC needs to maintain its authority to oversee communications networks in order to protect consumers from invasions of our privacy (and promulgate rules that do a better job of that than currently), from price gouging and from illegal billing. Thank you.

1022. Robert Fraser, Dexter, MI, 48130

Net Neutrality is important for my work. I am a librarian and today's generation is impatient for information. If it is slowed down for important information

sites, many are likely to turn away. An ill-informed populace is detrimental to democracy. PLEASE KEEP THE CURRENT NET NEUTRALITY RULES. Thank you.

1023. Laura Reiher, Dexter, MI, 48130

As a teacher, and internet user, the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. In my school, everyday, I see the power and influence on education that the internet has. Never before has there been such a vehicle for leveling the playing field and giving ALL students the opportunity to learn and excel. I am old enough to remember pre-internet and learning through books and using libraries. Things have improved so much - please do not allow us to lose ground and go back to prehistoric days. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1024. Jeremiah Lee, Milan, MI, 48160

Net neutrality is one of my top priorities. It is so important that we keep information available. We do not want companies to be able to decide what we get to see. Keep the net free.

1025. Roberta Gora, Willis, MI, 48191

Boycotting seems like a great idea. I can live without internet and use another cell phone service.

1026. Trevor Magers, Clinton, MI, 49236

Ending of net neutrality, I feel interferes with the 1st amendment rights of all Americans by potentially blocking certain outlets for people to express their opinions on important matters. Allowing a potential pay wall or unfair treatment of web traffic only makes us become more like North Korea, China, and more corrupt governments of that nature.

1027. Jonathan P., Hillsdale, MI, 49242

The Internet today is required for a lot of government interaction, for renewing license plates to registering for different services and even tax collection and the sharing of common ideas and discussion. This is a core principle to so much of America's every day life that net neutrality should not only be protected in its existing form, but should be considered a utility and actions put in place to protect access and a free Internet for all users using with less restriction to users and more restriction to ISPs. An ISP is a gateway to vast amounts of knowledge and resources, along with participating on websites that allow users to discuss and provide opinions on their views. Giving ISPs the advantage change title II will allow them access to throttle or even block content that they deem 'premium' is wrong. Most Americans are already paying a premium for this access, much worse this will add additional cost and less freedom if Chairman Pai's proposal is considered. The FCC should throw out Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal to give the ISP monopolies like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon the authority to create Internet fast lanes, stripping Internet users of the meaningful access and privacy protections we fought for in the past. ISPs should not be able to discriminate against certain types of data or websites. It is critical that the current net neutrality rules ensure that Internet providers can't slow or block our ability to see certain websites. I urge you to protect the freedoms and rules currently in place. As Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do remove title II, this is contradictory to the voices of common Americans. Access to the Internet is already extremely expensive for most users and our infrastructure is no where near the capabilities of other countries. This is due to monopolies and lack of competition. We need a more competitive market and more choice and Chairman Pai's proposal gives us neither of these freedoms. ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like and block sites that criticize their practices. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for bloggers, nonprofits, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. Members of Congress should be aware that repealing title II rules will anger a lot of

Americans and will impact votes for years to come. We urge you to please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Thank you!Jonathan P.

1028. Judy Hunter, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
Don't we live in a democracy, not a corporatocracy with choice only for the wealthiest? Stand by your pledge to all Americans, not just rich friends!
1029. Margot Stemen, Jackson, MI, 49203  
The internet doesn't belong to the government or any company
1030. Jessi renfer, Adrian, MI, 49221  
I find it rather ridiculous for a company to censor certain websites unless they charge a certain fee to be able to view it.
1031. Ryan , Coldwater, MI, 49036  
The internet is a free open access platform that anyone can do anything on with just a little motivation, if net neutrality is ruined then that will no longer be the case for me and most Americans like me. The internet should stay the way it is and always has been with no one person having more or less opportunity than the next person, where you can do, become, and learn anything you want. The internet should be like america, the land of the free.
1032. Candace gilet, dexter, MI, 48130  
Please continue strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II regulations. Strong net neutrality times protects freedom of speech and exchange of ideas and is crucial to modern day democracy. Given the few ISP that exist and that many people only have one choice for provider, the idea that competition among internet providers will lead to net neutrality is totally unrealistic.
1033. Claire Walsh, Tecumseh, MI, 49286  
A free and open internet is essential to the preservation of a free press and the protection of the liberty to use the internet as a space to express opinions, promote creativity, and connect the world.
1034. Tapan Patel, Hillsdale, MI, 49242  
Reversal of net neutrality infringes on Freedom of Speech and Freedom of the Press.
1035. Jeffrey Collins, Onsted, MI, 49265  
I want to access my information as unfiltered as possible. I do not want the internet to become one big infomercial !
1036. Isaac Smedshammer, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
As a student, free access to all of the internet is integral to my learning and

research processes. If Net Neutrality was lost, myself, along with millions of other students who are the future of this world would have a compromised and less comprehensive learning experience.

1037. Tyler , Jackson, MI, 49203  
Net neutrality is my kids future. I know that there is a lot of bad things on the Internet that I don't want any one of my 4 kids seeing but that being said I also know that without the Internet and free speech and anonymity my kids won't live the free spirited life that every American love. The Internet is the glue that is going to hold our future together. The future of tech shouldn't be regulated or tampered with in any way by government.
1038. Timothy Coburn, Jackson, MI, 49203  
The internet must be maintained as a open and level playing field for all
1039. Zakary Nichols, Osseo, MI, 49266  
I do not want anybody controlling what I see on the internet. Also, I would like to have a fair playing field with my business competitors. I support net neutrality.
1040. Samuel Anderson, Tecumseh, MI, 49286  
It's important because it allows me to use my freedom of speech.
1041. Ryan , Jackson, MI, 49202  
It protects my right to freely browse the internet.
1042. Lane , Jackson, MI, 49203  
Discord boi. My friends are on discord. I can't lose by bois.
1043. Icarus Wolfe, La Salle, MI, 48145  
Many people such as freelance artists and writers depend on the internet to get their income. Without net neutrality it would become impossible. People will lose their jobs.
1044. , Coldwater, MI, 49036  
The future of America should NOT be another Gilded Age of 1800s infamy.
1045. Sydney Phillips, Jackson, MI, 49201  
Trump is already trying to take away most of my rights. I don't want to lose my only salvation in these times.
1046. Megan , Munith, MI, 49259  
FREE INTERNET
1047. Caitlyn Grams, Monroe, MI, 48162

Net Neutrality is important to me because I get to make friends and do school and do amazing stuff online. I actually get to learn things without being anxious around judgemental people who will comment on my hair, clothes, sexuality, gender, and basically harass me. Please, I don't want to be filled with more anxiety than I already have.

1048. Gabrielle Cegelis, Chelsea, MI, 48118

I am a web developer, and net neutrality ensures my career. A truly neutral web mean that small business owners can have access to potential customers without restriction and it means that as an investor, I can have access to smaller, independent and revolutionary business ideas.

1049. Lea B, Milan, MI, 48160

As a content creator, Net Neutrality is important to me because, without it, I won't be able to share any of my creative ideas without paying some big wig my money I don't have just to reachout to people I once had unlimited access to, but then those people might not even want to pay those same big wigs anyway, and won't see my conent in the first place, even if I did do that. I also don't want to choose between paying for food, or paying to make more money for food from my online store. I'd rather make money for free online to pay for my food and other human essentials.

1050. Rachel Wright, Petersburg, MI, 49270

Because without Net Neutrality we going to become behind other countries.

1051. Makenzie , Adrian, MI, 49221

Having the internet is important. It is a resource for information. Not everybody would be able to afford to pay to get to certain websites, hence it takes away a valuable resource. It discriminates against those who barely have enough money as it is by forcing internet users to pay for certain things, while of course those on the top of the ladder will be able to move on like nothings happened. It is not fair.

1052. Jake Levii, Adrian, MI, 49221

I'm an artist who makes most of their money on art and I use this money TO FEED MYSELF AND PAY MY BILLS. If net neutrality is gone, then all the money I make will be going to big companies instead of towards food and bills.

1053. Tieler Rhees, Brooklyn, MI, 49230

I post art and my creations on websites to show my creative skill, I listen to music on Youtube and I just generally enjoy having something to do. I also communicate with my friends and family using internet devices. Net Neutrality is very important to me and my loved ones.

1054. Brooke , Coldwater, MI, 49036

As a freelance artist with limited income, the internet already cost so much and is typically capped at low monthly limits. To add the "package deals" and price hikes to use "good" sites would cripple so many small businesses and people trying to be entrepreneurs. An opportunity we should have in the free world.

1055. Deanna Fabian, Jackson, MI, 49202

The purpose of government is to protect the people, not to allow the richest companies to control access to content that should be equally available.

1056. Oriana , Bronson, MI, 49028

Perhaps Trump does not know this but we cannot all get a small loan of a million dollars to access the internet.

1057. Dylan Mirisola, Tecumseh, MI, 49286

Net neutrality is an extremely import part for all people in the United States. Removing net neutrality and giving internet service providers the right to censor and control which sites get more attention and traffic is a terrible thing to do. The very prospect of doing anything like this is frightening as the responsibility the government would be giving to these companies is unbelievably high. As for me myself, I care much for Net Neutrality because I have many friends all over the planet and I use various apps and other sites to communicate with them. If net neutrality were to be taken down I could lose my ability to communicate with them. Furthermore I use sites from the internet to learn languages and some of these sites and resources aren't very large or have much funding. If title ll gets removed then I would lose access to many resources I love and hold dear for learning. The internet is home to many things, many creative aspects of life, young business, and some people just using it to kill off some time they have during the day. If internet service providers would be aloud to impose any kinds of fees to anyone in order to gain access to sites that were formally equal access for everyone that would takeaway the freedom the internet has been known for. Such important issues from trans right all the way to polical developments could be completely censored if the company decides such a thing reflects ideas they don't believe in or things they don't want shown on their platform which is absolutely unacceptable to let happen for any reason. I hope with all my heart the FCC and everyone in Washington can understand how vital this issue is to the American people and that the majority does not want this action to become a reality we all have to live with

1058. Alex Looper, Lansing, MI, 48917

My biggest concern with the proposal to end net neutrality is what it implies for American politics. Our elected representatives are supposed to vote on the interests of their voters, not on their own interests. There is no situation where repealing net neutrality helps an American citizen. It stands to reason that there should be no situation where this bill is seriously considered. And yet here we

are. I hope that everyone in congress makes the decision that best represents the people who put them in office, not the decision that they personally want to happen.

1059. Sandy Tran, Lansing, MI, 48911

Your greed got you showing your ass.

1060. Tanner Ellis, Milan, MI, 48160

America is not for the rich to for the people. Small businesses will not be able to compete if there is fees. Why are we gonna be paying the large companies that don't pay THE PEOPLE. I am ashamed to think that this has to be a battle the American people are fighting. Where is the freedom it doesn't take a genius to see that it would hurt not help. I ask my congressmen to think about how ridiculous this is.

1061. Jordan , Monroe, MI, 48162

Internet is much to relied upon these days to be considered anything other than a utility. On top of that you basically have 2 companies controlling everything so you just get by calling it a monopoly I guess. I only have one option in my area, and it's basically the same internet with 3 more channels for another few bucks, or 5 more TV channels for another few bucks. No real change to internet speed, it has issues as it is, and I have to bundle cable TV and a phone line or it costs more...and I don't even watch TV or use house phones anymore. The system we have is pretty broke as is, and Net Neutrality is the one saving grace in all of it. Take that away and you're gonna have about 100 million unhappy customers in no time. Seems like a pretty poor business move at the very least.

1062. Matthew Schwanke, Adrian, MI, 49221

This is how you muzzle a population with the power of instant communication. It is a thinly veiled attempt to big brother the people so that no dares think about matters of liberty or creativity. This is a shameful atrempt to denie a fundamental right.

1063. Carrie Gawne, Chelsea, MI, 48118

I write to the FCC and Congress as a librarian and an information professional who is concerned about the state of net neutrality in this country. The internet is not merely a tool for media consumption, but is also a means of free expression, a resource for education, and most importantly, an implement of democracy. I will not mince words: Allowing corporations to manipulate the flow of information on the internet is not the way forward. An end to net neutrality would hurt businesses large and small, inhibit the free flow of speech online, and allow telecommunications corporations to unjustly interfere with market forces. I ask you to please support, defend, and insist upon net neutrality now and in the future. Sincerely, Carrie Gawne, MLIS

1064. Dan Barkmeier, South Lyon, MI, 48178  
I am writing to strongly support the current net neutrality rules. The internet has become a vital part of modern life and a great equalizer in our society. Free and fair access to all information is of utmost importance for our country. Please do not allow ISPs to create a tiered system.
1065. Matt Foley, Lansing, MI, 48917  
The free flow of ideas should not be abridged. The internet as we currently have it is the closest thing to a truly free and open market. Please don't hinder the American public's access to information.
1066. michael collette, milan, MI, 48160  
I use the internet for work, email, video streaming, and for education, net neutrality helps keep the internet free so I can still go to any video streaming site and get the same streaming quality without having to pay extra. I can use any email client with have to have a different internet package. The internet ecosystem shouldn't be controlled by ISPs personal interest and should be focused on the interest of the people.
1067. Allison Wineman, Parma, MI, 49269  
The internet should continue to be protected as a public utility, plain and simple. With how it has become so integrated into our everyday life it would be damaging to change regulations. Start-ups, entrepreneurs, and those that struggle to realize their dream deserve the same chance as any other. Paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling should not be an option. Keep the internet free and open, all rules must apply to home and mobile connections.
1068. Aaron Tinsley, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189  
An open and free internet is essential for the continued economic and cultural growth of the United States of America. Rolling back Title II Common Carrier protection for the internet would be a massive mistake which can only be explained by putting corporate interests in front of the interests of the people. Ajit Pai: You don't work for Verizon anymore. You work for the American people. Start acting like it, or step down.
1069. Jessica Jessup, Charlotte, MI, 48813  
Please protect net neutrality! The FCC has provided no information that there was an actual DDoC attack. There is also no evidence that this has killed broadband investments. This would seriously impact free use of the internet and benefit only major corporations. Oppose this! The public does not want net neutrality to end!
1070. Sarah Downs, Jackson, MI, 49201  
The internet is so powerful and helps so many individuals every day. The power to have equal access does not need to be impacted by corporate greed. This is

wrong. The FCC should hold ISPs accountable for equally providing the internet.

1071. Diane Manetz, Monroe, MI, 48162  
MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY AND MAINTAIN TITLE 2! Can we please please PLEASE get a break from corporations screwing us average Americans? Come on now! Enough. Do the right thing.
1072. Paul Millard, Coldwater, MI, 49036  
The fundamental openness of the Internet technology must be preserved, it has fostered unprecedented creativity, innovation and access to knowledge and to other kinds of social, economic, cultural, and political opportunities across the globe. Access should be equal without discrimination, anything else is un-American.
1073. Carol Blotter, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
Please support Net Neutrality. Do not give to providers the ability to limit or affect the quality and breadth of Internet service. Net neutrality preserves our ability to communicate freely and to choose what content we want to view. If it is repealed, you are giving corporations the power to control what hundreds of thousands of people hear, see and learn. It is unconscionable to allow that. Having had a small business for many years, I had a fair and pretty equal shot at business on the Internet. If you remove neutrality, providers can choose which websites get priority. Do not let go of Title II; do not repeal net neutrality. Thank you
1074. Erik Walz, Dimondale, MI, 48821  
A free internet is essential to the future of a free populous. That's why I support strong net neutrality with title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1075. Susan Nichols, Adrian, MI, 49221  
I want to keep the internet free and available to all people. As an aging citizen with some physical limitations, using the internet for communication is of great importance to me. I stay in touch with relatives far away through email and Facebook. I used the internet to communicate with members of organizations I belong to and use cloud services to keep documents and photographs that are important to me. Access to these communication are very important to me, and I ask the FCC to protect citizens' access to those communication services. I live in a small town where access to broadband services is limited. My choices as a consumer will be affected by your ruling and I could struggle to find available services that are affordable if the FCC does not protect net neutrality. I am asking you to maintain this oversight and protect net neutrality and my access to affordable and good communication services I rely on every day.
1076. Ryan Martin, Adrian, MI, 49221

Net neutrality is, at its core, a requirement for a free and open internet. It's the only protection we the citizens of the United States have against gigantic money hungry monopolies. Please protect my ability to use the internet as the open resource it is and let people use it to invent and innovate. Please protect net neutrality by leaving it alone!!!!!!

1077. James Lommel, Dexter, MI, 48130

The idea that the current means of regulating the internet stifle innovation is fake news. The views put forward by Chairman Ajit Pat are erroneous and will only benefit the large cable companies. What is really needed are some regulations that will expand internet access to underserved rural communities. Access to the internet has become so important for commerce that internet must be considered as a right.

1078. Wes Tapp, Tecumseh, MI, 49286

Freedom of speech and expression are important in the foundation of our country. I should be able to make informed choices on my own accord without the interference of business or government.

1079. Griffin Obeid, Tecumseh, MI, 49286

Don't mess with free access to all content. ISP's should not have the right to throttle speeds.

1080. Mary Wyatt, Hudson, MI, 49247

Net neutrality is necessary to allow free speech and protect small/new business. ISPs should never have the ability to decide what I access on the internet, or the speed at which it is delivered. Wasn't this decided almost 2 years ago???

1081. KAREN LIND, DEXTER, MI, 48130

AS A SMALL BUSINESS OWNER--THIS WOULD BE YET ANOTHER BLOW TO OUR SURVIVAL. CHECK LISTS FOR THE WORST CUSTOMER SERVICE/MOST HATED COMPANIES AND LOOK WHO IS ON TOP.---THEM

1082. Ralph Tuscher, Cement City, MI, 49233

I support net neutrality and regulation of the internet under Title II.

1083. Adam Howard, Jackson, MI, 49203

Net neutrality is important to me for 2 reasons: first, I belong to a political and a religious minority and I worry that sites/services about those could become more difficult to access if my ISP can decide how fast or slow different connections are. Second, I am a voracious consumer of media delivered via the internet and I don't want prices to rise or access to several competing services to diminish just because one service has offered cash to Comcast.

1084. Jennifer Miller, Dexter, MI, 48130  
I am writing in support of Net Neutrality and of NOT regulating internet access as a public utility.
1085. michael bunker, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837  
I strongly support strong net neutrality rules under title II
1086. Tim Norris, Whitmore Lake, MI, 48189  
The currently existing provisions for Net Neutrality are better for the economy, encourage competition in the marketplace, and are good for the US Citizen. By removing the current protections you are going back to a system that is cartel-ish in the market that stifles growth, charges excess for all markets, and discourages investment. If you really want to improve the internet and are leaning towards removing the current provisions, the only solution would be to dissolve all existing licences for wired and wireless communication and have common carrier rules apply. The government created the cable cartels and the only solution is to have the government remove their support quickly which would negatively impact the economy, or slowly which will have to start in the manner that is currently in place to protect the consumer from the monopolistic predation that is currently occurring.
1087. Jacob Niedermier, Tecumseh, MI, 49286  
Please protect net neutrality and keep internet control out of ISP monopolies hands. As we all rely on the internet more and more we cannot allow the ISPs pick and choose what new technologies emerge.
1088. Jennifer Bobicz, Milan, MI, 48160  
Net Neutrality is extremely important. For an effective democracy, we all need to have equal access.
1089. Alex Binroth, JACKSON, MI, 49201  
This proposal would damage an already unideal internet system, giving internet providers this amount of control extends the power of their Monopoly and will lead to the harm of all forms of business.
1090. Joseph, jackson, MI, 49203  
"The internet is a public utility, and must remain in the hands of the citizens of the world. As with water, or electricity- further monetization, monopolization, and privatization of basic-right utilities will not be surrendered willingly. Ajit Pai should be terminated from office for his complicit role in lying to the public consistently on this issue to the benefit of major corporations - corporations whom have been actively violating the existing laws codified in Net Neutrality laws, brazenly demonstrating their belief they have already defeated these laws, prior to their dismantling. Shame on these companies. Shame on Ajit Pai. Keep net Neutrality alive!"

1091. Benjamin Monroe, Jackson, MI, 49201  
As a consumer and user of the internet, I would not like my internet activity to be beholden to the whims of a for profit corporation. I have not doubt that my for profit ISP (in this case Comcast) would love to charge more for my usage of the internet and would love to nickel and dime me to death by providing tiered services depending upon the content I am accessing. I would ask that the FCC fulfill its function and duties to the people of the United States and keep the current rules on net neutrality.
1092. Gregory Skeels, Manitou Beach, MI, 49253  
The internet is one of the most relevant inventions in human history. It has allowed the world to communicate in a way that was never possible before. Information and a sense of connection are always available in the palms of our hands these days. It's allowed the marketplace and communities to grow to a worldwide scale. To me, it's made everything feel more connected. By changing the rules currently in place to better suit the ISPs, you would be granting them the ability to control that service more harshly. Regardless of if they would or not I believe nobody should be given that much control over what's become an important tool for business and communication alike. It has become too important to Americans and the world to allow small groups to limit it. Keep net neutrality going. Keep the internet under title II.
1093. Aditi Fox, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
Nearly everyone who understands and depends on the Internet supports net neutrality, whether they're startup founders, activists, gamers, politicians, investors, comedians, YouTube stars, or typical Internet users who just want their Internet to work as advertised. Please protect net neutrality as opposed to helping large corporations increase their bottom lines.
1094. Charyl Haelewyn, Willis, MI, 48191  
I support net neutrality. We should not have to pay more! Internet is free in many countries.
1095. James Reynolds, Saline, MI, 48176  
I urge the FCC to maintain current Net Neutrality rules. Net Neutrality is crucial for small business, which cannot afford to pay additional ISP levies to provide their services. Net Neutrality is crucial for Freedom of Speech, Freedom of the Press, and the Right to Assembly. Removing Net Neutrality protections will allow ISPs to censor any content they see fit, and by charging more money for different "content" packages, will increase educational inequity in the United States.
1096. Daniel Eads, Jackson, MI, 49203  
Broadband communications should remain under Title II so that net neutrality

protections remain in place. With many consumers cancelling their phone service, the internet is now akin to what telephone service was to homes in the 20th century. Companies should not act as gatekeepers to the internet and should not be allowed to take advantage of consumers through "bandwidth prioritization" or throttling practices. As a consumer, I should be able to make my own decisions about what sites I visit and how the bandwidth to my home is allocated.

1097. David R. Hill, Milan, MI, 48160

Unrestricted access to the internet is a fundamental necessity for American innovation. As a scientist, the internet is the most important research tool I have, connecting me to researchers past and present from around the globe. Any restriction to internet access means a restriction on academic freedom and free speech. This cannot be allowed. The FCC must recognise that the internet is now a necessary utility for all Americans, and issue regulations that prevent ISPs from determining what sites users can visit.

1098. Jack Meloche, Chelsea, MI, 48118

I believe that net neutrality and title II protections need to be upheld in their current states. I fear that the removal or modification of these protections will eventually result in the throttling of connections, and possible censorship of non-classified information - all for monetary gain of telecom companies.

1099. Francisco Gonzalez, Newport, MI, 48166

For the neutrality of the net

1100. Wyn Ann Eck, Verrmontville, MI, 49096

Protect Americans - Protect Net Neutrality! I have been a Republican for 3 decades and I support net neutrality!

1101. Margi Chriscinske, Milan, MI, 48160

Protect net neutrality! We all deserve equal access.

1102. Luke Butterfield, Grass Lake, MI, 49240

You're supposed to be working for the people not against them! getting rid of net neutrality is working against us and working for the greedy corporations! STOP destroying our freedom!

1103. Cynthia Soblo, Manchester, MI, 48158

We need free speech and communication

1104. Konner Thornsberry, Monroe, MI, 48162

Access to website's on the internet have always been free. Deciding to charge everyone access to certain websites is ridiculous.

1105. Melissa Knox, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
Profit should not control access to information.
1106. Joseph Miller, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
Net Neutrality is another step to further the divide between the rich and the rest of us. It is another way to control the 99% we must fight.
1107. Kathleen Curry, Jackson, MI, 49203  
As a U.S. citizen an open internet is a necessity. It lets each one of us participate in opportunities and change our futures for the better. The Internet helps our Democracy thrive here in the U.S. and also promotes it's ideals internationally..I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality under the FCC's existing rules and keep broadband internet access classified under Title II.
1108. Laura Black, Grass Lake, MI, 49240  
We need to keep Net Neutrality. Big corporations should not be in charge of what information people see. They are notorious for doing what is best for their wallets as opposed to what is best for the country or its people. Net neutrality protects small businesses. Free and open communication is vital for democracy. Please protect our net neutrality.
1109. Kevin, Saline, MI, 48176  
I believe net neutrality should remain and should not be changed.
1110. Bonita DeRose, Pittsford, MI, 49271  
As a rural internet user I am very concerned about the quality and speed of internet access. Already we have been left behind by the monopolistic for profit broadband internet. We do not need any more permission to internet providers to provide us with bad service, throttling unprofitable rural users."Internet providers have attempted to throttle traffic by type or by user (Comcast in 2007), have imposed arbitrary and secret caps on data (AT&T 2011-2014), hidden fees that had no justification or documentation (Comcast in 2016), and tried to give technical advantages to their own services over those of competitors (AT&T in 2016). These attempts were only revealed in retrospect once they were discovered and lawsuits filed. If the deterrents those lawsuits provided eventually had been part of preemptive rulemaking then these practices would never have been attempted at all.' (from techcrunch)
1111. Ryan Shepherd, Adrian, MI, 49221  
An internet with title 2 classification is vital to the future of a free and open internet. Without it, small competitors will have zero chance to compete against existing brands. The next Netflix could be pressured from the market. ISPs will degrade competitors streaming quality. All a lose-lose proposition for all except the existing telecoms. Please reconsider this.

1112. Kelly Smith, Lansing, MI, 48911  
Maintaining Net Neutrality is the only way to keep the internet open and useful to all people. It is not a frill or a luxury. It is a necessity to live in the modern world.
1113. David Bloom, Chelsea, MI, 48118  
At the University of Michigan, I am privileged to educate and work with the Next Generation of Internet innovators and entrepreneurs. These Bright Young People depend on a free and open internet to bring new solutions to new populations. Their work - and mine - would be adversely affected by removing Net Neutrality from the economic and internet infrastructure on which they practice. Net neutrality levels the playing field between giants like AT&T and startups like the ones fledged by the University of Michigan. These new Ventures could not compete and emerge into the marketplace otherwise. As an educator and innovator, I strongly urge the FCC to retain, maintain, and strengthen the Net Neutrality rules on which the internet - and the United States citizenry - depend.
1114. Craig Price, Jackson, MI, 49201  
The internet is too important of a vehicle of information to not enforce neutral delivery. It should be treated as a carrier and neutrality needs to be enforced.
1115. Mary Weeber, Blissfield, MI, 49228  
Do not end Net Neutrality. To do so is to discriminate against small businesses who cannot compete with large corporations. It also discriminates against people who cannot afford what it will ultimately cost to use the web effectively. Net Neutrality represents the equality that is the foundation for our Democracy. Please preserve this platform that represents all equally.
1116. Samantha Affholter, Grand Ledge, MI, 48837  
Save net neutrality, please keep the internet open and available to everyone. Taxpayers have already let public funding help pay for the infrastructure that put the internet in place do not allow the internet providers to profit anymore. They should not be able to control the speed and content or give preference for internet usage.
1117. Craig Edmonds, Dundee, MI, 48131  
I use the Internet on a daily basis, both as an essential part of conducting my day to day business, and for recreation and entertainment. I respond to emergency medical equipment issues at multiple hospitals across a territory covering Michigan and part of Ohio, and fear that if the current net neutrality policies are degraded or repealed, that the pay for priority access and bandwidth policies the various ISPs are guaranteed to roll out, will negatively impact my business, as well as many others involved in Healthcare, and impact patients

health and safety, as well as my companys profitability as a result. The assured wide-ranging negative impact on small businesses, from returns from search engines, to advertisement placement costs, to distribution of their streaming content, to delays in processing orders and financial transactions, to prejudice against high traffic volume customers by ISPs, will have a chilling and lasting effect on innovation and growth, far outweighing the profits the ISPs are hoping to reap through their lobbying for the degradation and repeal of net neutrality. I say don't touch the current net neutrality legislation, other than to vigorously strengthen it. And I would further suggest that seeing the current head of the FCC dismissed for his actions against the public interest would be a substantive improvement in line with a vigorous strengthening of net neutrality.

1118. Russell Dwyer, Britton, MI, 49229

I have been an enthusiastic supporter of classifying ISP's as Title II common carriers. I am extremely passionate about this issue, it is the most important political issue that we face. "Network Neutrality" must be preserved, and I am prepared to defend it. Sincerely, Russell Dwyer

1119. Cymen Vig, Saline, MI, 48176

I fully support keeping net neutrality as it is and would humbly suggest that the FCC abandon plans of repealing or interfering with it. Simply put, the system works well as is right now.

1120. Deirdre D Stuchell, Hillsdale, MI, 49242

I am for Net Neutrality. Don't let ISPs filter or put a price on information the People deserve to Know.

1121. Kristin Hatcher, Lansing, MI, 48917

I support strong net neutrality rules. ISP promises are not enough. Please do not allow business interests to determine how fast they think my internet speed should be, or what sites I can visit.

1122. Cheryl Shell, Spring Arbor, MI, 49283

Net neutrality is crucial to the freedom of our citizens. It's very important that we continue to keep the internet open and free. When commercial operations try to control the internet by charging for access, our freedom is in grave jeopardy.

1123. Joe Klaczynski, jerome, MI, 49249

I vehemently support the preservation of net neutrality. So does the rest of the country.

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used national language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He

then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. <https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9f0e3ed36a6>.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.