

## Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from MD District #7

These are the 1,682 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Elijah Cummings's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. Dexter Duckworth, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I would like to voice my opposition to the "Restoring Internet Freedom Act." I am in favor of Net Neutrality and wish to keep government regulations in place to prevent abuse by ISP companies.
2. Michael, lutherville, MD, 21093  
In 2015, the FCC opened comments when attempting to pass Title II reclassification for Internet Service Providers. Of the 4 million comments, 60% were in favor. This time around, the ISP shill Ajit Pai, along with Chairman O'Reilly, have hidden absolutely nothing regarding their paid interests to the whims and requests of the ISP industry. This entire ruling is at the beck and call of the ISPs themselves, while ignoring the people and their interests. Protecting internet freedom requires regulation. If left to the devices of greedy corporations, the internet will be bastardized into a shell of its former self, with data limits, paid prioritization, and essentially turning it into cable TV. Not to mention the sale of every user's privacy for the sake of advertisement money. This is not a free internet. Those things are currently happening, and nothing is being done by the ISPs to stop it. Apparently this is what "innovation" looks like, new ways to screw people out of money by piece-mealing service. Verizon and the other wireless carriers only introduced "unlimited" data when their business was going downhill (oh and a wireless carrier isn't competition for an ISP like Comcast, by the way, they're not even close to the same). If there truly is the interest of the people at the heart of this filing and not money funneled from the ISP/Cable lobby, these rules will stay in place. If you can claim Title II harms competition, prove it. Use numbers, subscribers, anything. Prove that it harms competition. There is proof that without these rules competition will be something the ISPs barely think about, you just have to be unbiased for once. Unless of course they stick to the FCC Chairman's faulty definition of competition, where even if someone only has access to 1 ISP, they still have competition if there's another ISP within 30 miles. Keep these rules. The people spoke before, they will again.
3. Mase Kambui, Catonsville, MD, 21218  
Don't kill Net Neutrality! Corporations don't need more money. You're not restoring internet freedom; you're restoring higher prices and inequality to give corporations more control. That's not restoring internet freedom. Equal internet access for all!
4. Peter Yokel, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Net neutrality should not be altered. The ISPs should not be allowed to favor their own services or otherwise alter the consumer's access to the internet. I want full access to the internet. I don't want the ISP I am forced to use, since no other ISPs are available in my area, to be able to dictate how well my service to functions. ISPs need to be heavily regulated. They should be forced to provide services in all areas. Most parts of the US one provider controls the market in that area. Yes other areas will be controlled by one of the other ISPs but to the end user there is basically one ISP available. The ISPs basically have "micro-monopoly". This needs to stop. Right here, right now, forever. The government is supposed to work for the people. So work for us.
5. Joseph Coates, Baltimore, MD, 21228  
Either the net should be open or, it should be a utility. I am a capitalist. But to give (any) control to specific companies is a monopoly or duopoly (what most people suffer with \*now\*). It is very UN-Republican (or un-Democratic for that matter) to hold back competition. We need to open up internet access totally to innovation (no more sweet cable deals by local municipalities) or; we need to make it a utility with strict regulation on open access. What it is now is neither of these. What this proposal does just makes it worse and anti competitive!
6. Daniel Weissglass, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
Nothing is more important to free speech and the free expression of ideas than maintaining Net Neutrality as it stands today. This action is outrageous and must be stopped immediately.
7. Eric Mulligan, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
This action would effectively sell the Internet to the highest bidders. Such a useful tool should not be the exclusive property of the people who can pay the most. Instead, it should be a public utility. Please maintain Net Neutrality.
8. Melville Wohlgemuth, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I am writing to express my sincere disapproval of the current FCC Chairman's plan to end the open internet and affect net neutrality. This country was founded on freedom, and the most important freedom is freedom to information. Ending net neutrality goes against one of the basic rights we have as American citizens. Please do not end net neutrality, that would help business, and not the American people, and your job is to serve the people.
9. Jerry Kegley, Gwynn Oak, MD, 21207  
Net Neutrality? There is little to no competition between ISPs. If they were all available in areas, then sure. At Maximum, you get two if that and even then, competition is a good thing as it forces each ISP to try and out do the others and make better bundles or lower

pricing. No, the only winner from this is the ISPs, not the consumer. This will not increase jobs, this will not make things better. No. This will hurt consumer's vastly. They will sell our information and honestly, if they're going to do that, let's sell Congress, Senate, White House Staff and FCC employees information first and then tell us if this is helping consumers or not. Again it won't, this is to allow companies to make more money by raising rates and getting a monopoly in an area at the excuse of saying it will do the opposite.

10. Ross Topel, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Consumers pay internet service providers (ISPs) for access to the internet, not for ISPs to decide how the internet should work. ISPs should not be allowed to modify, filter, throttle, or otherwise censor information services being utilized by their customers and should be regulated as Utilities. Consumers don't have a choice whether or not to use an ISP to use the public Internet. Net neutrality ensures that U.S. Citizen's First Amendment rights are protected by giving them equal access to the services they use to communicate. Abandoning Net Neutrality would be a 1st Amendment violation in an era where people rely on Internet communications for a majority of their speech/communication activities. DO NOT reverse Net Neutrality. Allowing ISPs to decide how the internet works will impede free speech, business innovation, social progress, and put the United States at a disadvantage in the global information arena, ISPs should be regulated as Utilities.
11. Paul Fuller, Baltimore, MD, 21230  
It is not accurate, and it is downright shameful, that you would dare call this proposal "Restoring Internet Freedom". We debated this topic in 2014, and the vast majority of people wanted to ensure Net Neutrality by classifying broadband internet as a utility under Title II. In fact, there was almost no one, less than 1%, that were opposed to Net Neutrality. See here for reference: (<https://sunlightfoundation.com/2014/09/02/what-can-we-learn-from-800000-public-comments-on-the-fccs-net-neutrality-plan/>). This was done specifically to keep the internet free and fair, for everyone, large or small businesses, or just people searching for information. Net Neutrality is good for the economy at a macro and micro level. and it helps spur innovation in all kinds of markets. A free and open internet is also essential to the necessity for an informed electorate, in a democratic society. Reversing the decision to classify internet as a utility under Title II, would only help large corporations that are already highly profitable, and government subsidized. The FCC Chairman that once worked at Verizon, should be ashamed of himself, for trying to play the public for fools. I've seen the multi-pronged Title II reversal Advertisement Campaigns on Twitter, and it's amazing how misleading they are. Who do you work for, the people, or the corporations like Verizon? The large Broadband Internet Providers such as Comcast, Verizon, Time Warner, etc., should be content with their oligopoly, and their price gouging positions as they stand. Instead, they are acting to remove Broadband Internet from under Title II regulation. They are doing this so they can turn the internet into a "pay to play" system, where only those that pay them get to post their content or service to the internet without interruption. For example, before Net Neutrality was enforced by Title II, Comcast forced Netflix to pay them a large sum of money, just so Comcast would not interfere with their paying Customers trying to stream content via their service, over Comcast's internet spectrum. See here for more information: (<https://www.nytimes.com/2014/02/24/business/media/comcast-and-netflix-reach-a-streaming-agreement.html>)
12. Dennis Restauro, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Network Neutrality and common carrier based communication in the U.S. dates back to 1860 with the telegraph. The internet should adhere to our American tradition by leaving Internet Service Providers classified as a Title II common carrier service. The chairman's claim of lack of investment in broadband when ISPs are classified under Title II does not resonate with the reality of what capital expenditures were from 1996 - 2001. Furthermore, from 2002 -2012 CAPEX for broadband showed little to no growth while there were no enforceable Net Neutrality regulations on the FCC books. When Open Internet principles were codified in 2010/11 we saw a mild increase in CAPEX. However those were overruled in 2014. Looking at the history of Broadband CAPEX, history shows more broadband investment gains when ISPs are classified as Title II.
13. Virginia Cieslicki, Monkton, MD, 21111  
FCC Commissioners, Please vote to preserve Net Neutrality. In a truly vibrant, equal economy where each citizen's access to information and ability to conduct business on the internet should be equal under the law, I strongly request that you preserve Net Neutrality. Big business is not more equal than each individual citizen. Thank you.
14. James Gagne, Monkton, MD, 21111  
Commissioners, I am writing to support Net Neutrality. No one should have preferential treatment on the internet. The internet needs and uses of big corporations are not more important than those of individual citizens. Thank you.
15. protect internet laws, Glenwood, MD, 21738  
Protect strong net neutrality and title two laws.
16. Will Maye, Baltimore, MD, 21239  
I support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Do not undo this!
17. Net neutrality, Ellicott, MD, 21042  
At Ajit Varadaraj Pai, aka Adolf Hitler. How much are you being paid by Verizon?
18. Corporate Fuckery, Ellicott, MD, 21042  
This is the worst legislation change since the nazi regime. At Ajit Varadaraj Pai, you are the Hitler of the Internet. How much does Verizon pay you.
19. Faith Quist, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
Net Neutrality is essential for a democratic and free modern world, which is currently only enforceable by continuing to apply Title II oversight to internet service providers.
20. Ian Blacker, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II over sight of Internet Service Provider. This must be maintained to have a fair and open internet.

21. brian henson, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I support net neutrality and Title II. This is an important concept that must remain as an enforceable legal requirement.
22. Joanna Bell, White Hall, MD, 21161  
I demand that ISPs comply with Title II oversight to ensure net neutrality. I will not accept any federal rollback on oversights that keep ISPs in check.
23. Ethan Budsock, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I want to preserve net neutrality and title 2 acts.
24. Harry David, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Dear FCC, I am writing you today because I am hoping that my voice actually counts. I also want to single-quote one 'word' and then double-quote another "word". I want Net Neutrality. Please don't let it goThanks
25. Christopher Uehlinger, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
As a software engineer and web developer, I see great value in the kind of competitive spirit fostered on the open web. It is in the interest of American Innovation that Net Neutrality should continue to be upheld.
26. David Matchen, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I'm writing to urge preservation of the Open Internet rules (a/k/a "net neutrality"). I am an academic law librarian, a profession demanding reliable access to information over the internet. Shortsighted deregulation in this area will inevitably turn the information marketplace into the Wild West, where internet users roll the dice every time they use a website or stream video or download a file, in the slim hope that their ISP hasn't adopted an adversarial stance to the content provider and throttled access to essential information. Need health insurance information? Well, if your insurer's fiercest competitor has paid off your ISP, don't expect it quickly. Need to do legal research? If you're on Westlaw, prepare for some long access times if LexisNexis has met Comcast's price. This has the potential to reach not just my profession, but yours as well. In effect, deregulation will make both of our jobs vastly more difficult and leave the American public at the mercy of the major telecom companies. As dependent as we are on reliable internet service, this has the potential to be a disaster of biblical proportions. I urge you to reconsider rolling back the Open Internet rules.
27. Erick Thunell, Reisterstown, MD, 21136  
I stand by my fellow Americans in strong in favor of Net Neutrality. I believe that the internet is a necessary utility for any country wishing to stay a first world country. Trusting internet service providers to "do the right thing" is an awful idea, as companies like Comcast and Time Warner have proved time and time again that they are among the worst companies in existence. I can personally attest to this after using Comcast's service. No matter what side of the coin you're on, you'll likely agree that either Trump or Obama has set this country back years. Let's not chalk this up as another government failure.
28. Vittorio Prati, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I would like to express my support of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Any change to the current systems of regulation would be foolish.
29. Chris Allen, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
I support net neutrality and keeping ISPs under strong Title 2 regulations. History has shown us time and time again that companies will find any and all legal ways to cut corners. Downgrading internet service providers from Title 2 regulation to Title 1 would open the playing field for much more dubious methods of doing business, hurting small business, creating monopolies, and ultimately negatively impacting the consumer.
30. Victor, baltimore, MD, 21218  
We have to maintain a free and open internet. We as Americans have already lost many battles for our privacy and rights to decide on our own what we want. We must not allow net neutrality to be another loss for us. Keeping title II classification for these internet service providers is necessary. It has no negative effects on them but if we all them to decide on their own who gets faster speeds and who doesn't, there is no way that ends well for anyone except those putting money into their pockets.
31. Erin Pryce, Baltimore, MD, 21228  
I want Net Neutrality and Title II preserved. The major ISP's have too much control as it is, and they take each and every opportunity to swindle money from their customers, and then they wear you down over the phone over hours of your life until you just agree to pay whatever absurd price hike that you NEVER AGREED TO so that it can be over (until next month when they raise prices again without notice). There is no reason that these crooks should be trusted to police themselves!
32. Jennifer Barchi, Baltimore, MD, 21207  
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Please continue these measures.
33. William Jackson, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
The FCC's current push to eliminate net neutrality is anti-small business practice at its worst. I strongly oppose any action to roll back previous net neutrality rulings and employ the current administration to work to help small businesses compete on an even and level playing field with large internet providers.
34. Claire Reinken, Columbia, MD, 21044  
It is important to continue to maintain net neutrality. As a consumer, I should not have an ISP control what content and how well I can receive that content based on their interests and profits.
35. Benjamin Hurlbut, Baltimore, MD, 21230  
Dear FCC and Ajit Varadaraj Pai, I am writing you today because the free internet is at stake. Leaving the internet's classification as title

2 is essential to providing a free environment that will promote innovation, and protects us from paid prioritization. As someone who works for a small software company, the internet is an important backbone to our business, so I ask you: please keep the internet classified as title 2. This is vital to the innovation of the companies in our country and to our society as a whole.

36. Alexander W., Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Trusting corporations to regulate themselves in an ethical manner is a big mistake; this is what the government is for.
37. Jeffrey Gordon, Elkridge, MD, 21075  
It is ludicrous to think that removing Net Neutrality would benefit any consumers of the Internet, and this administration needs to stop further actions of repealing the NN rules in place.
38. Matthew Poissant, Reisterstown, MD, 21136  
Preserve net neutrality by keeping ISPs classified under Title II.
39. Ian, DAYTON, MD, 21036  
I specifically support strong net neutrality regulations, backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
40. Taylor Woodhead, Sykesville, MD, 21784  
Do not remove net neutrality. This country was supposed to be for the people by the people. Nearly everyone wants net neutrality. Listen to the people.
41. Pat Reber, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Keep the internet neutral, please!!! As we all become more tied to the internet, the concept of net neutrality becomes more important!
42. Amanda Morell, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II (2 like the number; not ii don't be rude) oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs).
43. Sherwin F, clarksville, MD, 21029  
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. Net Neutrality should really be called Internet freedom. Don't kill freedom. Don't kill Net Neutrality. Why would you make the internet angry? Nothing good ever comes from that.
44. jacques rossouw, Highland, MD, 20777  
Please preserve net neutrality under Title II. That is preserve the strongest measure to assure net neutrality!
45. Mayuri, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I support net neutrality through strong regulation via the Title II classification of ISPs.
46. Brian Daugherty, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Net neutrality is a good thing, because despite what you've been told by your buddies at Verizon, ISP can and will abuse their services for their own good. Despite the fact that Trump got in the White House, All of America isn't stupid enough to believe there is no corporate interest in repealing net neutrality. Just because we've got the moral equivalent of the average forum troll in the white house, doesn't mean that we are dumb enough, or scared enough to go down without a fight. The internet always wins pal, just ask Rick Santorium.
47. Don Bohn, White Hall, MD, 21161  
Please preserve net neutrality and keep oversight of ISP's under Title 2. Any change to the current method of regulating the ISP's WILL result in abuse by the ISP's. That is just how corporate America behaves. Consumers will suffer. It will stifle competition and prevent new companies from gaining a foothold in the marketplace. It is not appropriate to subject an important issue like this to some libertarian type free market fairy tale. This is a real threat. Americans will be abused. Besides, the next time we have a Democrat in the White House, it will just get changed back to the way it is now so why even waste time on it?
48. Myson Brian King, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Please keep net neutrality UNDER TITLE II.KEEP THIS LAW!
49. Jennifer Durham, Baltimore, MD, 21231  
I support net neutrality and enforcement of Title II. Please preserve both of these.
50. Michael LeRoy, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I support a strong net neutralitu with a legal basis of classification of title 2. Preserve net neutrality and title 2
51. Soren Clarkwest, Baltimore, MD, 21250  
I support net neutrality and title 2. Do not weaken regulations.
52. Alexander Biser, Baldwin, MD, 21013  
Please perserve Net Neutrality under Title II. A fair and open internet is a necessity for free speech.
53. Sherwin F, Clarksville, MD, 21029  
Protect Net Neutrality and Keep Title 2 in place for the internet. Don't kill Net Neutrality. The internet is the last bastion of freedom this world knows.
54. Anthony Wilson, baltimore, MD, 21202  
I support net neutrality with Title II (2) strong regulatory oversight of ISP, and would like the FCC to continue to protect net neutrality

under this regulation.

55. Andrew Townshend, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
I strong support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.If you take away Net Neutrality you will give unfair advantages to only the largest corporations who can afford to pay for bandwidth. This will not allow smaller businesses a fair playing field to achieve the same success that all organizations need to thrive.
56. Cory Carroll, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I support full Net Neutrality. Its a important part of how the internet works. Don't mess with it.
57. David Parr, Highland, MD, 20777  
I urge you to preserve net neutrality and regulation of internet providers under Title 2. Anything else is a sellout to the providers and harmful to the consumer. Stand by the people not the corporations.
58. Scott Frias, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Title 2 rules should remain in place over ISPs to maintain true enforcement power.
59. Kai Fillion, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Net neutrality gives people equal access to information, and allows for freedom of speech. We need net neutrality in this country.
60. Avelino Maestas, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
Please ensure the continued strong protections for Net Neutrality under Title 2. Companies should be required to provide content through their systems in an unbiased faction, and cannot be relied upon to do so voluntarily.
61. Tyrone Seay, Baltimore, MD, 21239  
KEEP Title II net neutrality IN-PLACE THX
62. David Yood, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I want to express my strong desire to preserve net neutrality supported by title 2 oversight. Please do not undo what is a working set of policies. The structure of a free internet is too important to be discarded.
63. Jeffrey Page, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I want to express my wishes to keep strong net neutrality policies for the Communication Act Title II ISPs. The need to a free internet is the important aspect for the free market to help small business and avoid partnership with ISPs to control the connection between customers and businesses.
64. Nick Laurita, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
I'm in favor of strong net neutrality supported through title II. Do not revoke title II.
65. Matthew Reitz, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Please keep the regulations on ISPs intact under Title II. These companies cannot be trusted to keep the Internet free and open without government oversight.
66. Bennett Vezzani, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I support net neutrality. The fact that I even need to express this is, in itself, astonishing. Every company, every individual, and every group should have equal and fair capability to communicate and host content online, without allowing any intermediary to selectively hinder the delivery of that communication or content for any reason.
67. Jacob Leizear, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
Net neutrality is essential to protecting our greatest resource from corporate corruption
68. Kyros Porres, Gwynn Oak, MD, 21207  
I specifically support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight for ISPs.
69. Matthew Mericle, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Keep Strong net neutrality under title 2. Also Ajit Pai has a stupid mug
70. Keith Redwine, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I support strong Net Neutrality, and the Restoring Internet Freedom Act is extremely damaging to free expression and consumer rights in America.
71. Net Neutrality, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I support strong net neutrality back by title 2 oversight of ISP. So go fcc yourself chairman Ajit Pai you fake nerd.
72. Jordan Taylor, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I support the strong oversight of Title II for ISP to protect Net Neutrality.
73. S Sandbank, Reisterstown, MD, 21136  
I strongly favor Net Neutrality backed by Title II over site of ISP's. Do not reclassify to Title I.
74. Jennifer An, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I strongly oppose the FCC's actions to let ISP providers control internet traffic. Its letting the fox regulate the henhouse!!ISP companies cannot regulate themselves, that is what the FCC is for!! Net Neutrality is essential for healthy democracy, and public trust.

75. Carolynn Morton, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Keep the internet fair. Relaxing regulation doesn't do that, it put business > people. And the internet is of the people.
76. Micah Akerlind, ELLICOTT CITY, MD, 21043  
Internet neutrality is paramount.
77. Marvin Zelkowitz, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
It is vitally important that the web remain open and easily accessible for all. Innovation is what keeps the US at the forefront of scientific and industrial research worldwide. Therefore, it is vital that the world wide web remain under the rules of Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934 and not allow individual companies adjust net access to their competitors.
78. h, balitmore, MD, 21231  
I support the preservation of strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The internet is a utility of great use and value to our country and I believe that a fair playground where content is not at the mercy of ISP preferential treatment is of essential value to our future.
79. Cate Allen, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I am writing to express my support for maintaining the net neutrality rules.
80. Steven Noyes, Sparks, MD, 21152  
Mr. Chairman, You may not actually be reading this but, it is my belief that the internet should stay regulated under Title II in order for it to prosper in a fair way that allows for competition. Being able to play favorites in serving content to consumers is dangerous and only serves to allow the big guys (Verizon, AT&T) to exert even more control over the system. Thank you.
81. Brenden Caffey, Glenwood, MD, 21738  
I am strongly in support of Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 regulations on internet service providers.
82. Nicole Johnston, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Removing this oversight will create an unfair market where large companies will be able to buy into faster channels of the internet. It also creates numerous conflicts of interest since many large ISPs have interests in media and other companies. Ending Title II oversight will allow these companies to give preference to their own interests lining their own pockets while greatly limiting the choices and freedoms of consumers.
83. Robert Nelson, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Keep Title II regulations in place to ensure Net Neutrality. Our democracy relies on a free, fair, and Open internet. Every day, journalists, voters, and activists rely on the FCC's Net Neutrality protections to communicate freely online. Net Neutrality is simply the principle that Internet providers must treat all web traffic equally.
84. Elias Ohrstrom, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
A free and open internet is import. Continue Regulating ISP under Title II. Keep the Net Neutral.
85. Adam, Baltimore, MD, 21213  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.... DO NOT CHANGE THIS.
86. Govind Deshpande, Woodbine, MD, 21797  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight on Internet Service Providers (ISPs). Please do not repeal the current net neutrality rules.
87. 17-108, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I strongly support Net Neutrality rules under Title two oversight of ISPs.
88. Gregory Sylvia, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Dear Mr. Pai and FCC, I am writing to express my support for strong Net Neutrality under Title 2. Thank you, Gregory Sylvia
89. Joseph B. Voeglein, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. I fear that slackened regulations on ISPs will negatively affect all citizens' access to the internet. Whereas some major countries declare internet access a human right and essential to living in the 21st century, we should not seek to pale in their thoughtful comparison.
90. Evan Lloyd, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I am in support of the free internet and net neutrality. Please do not allow ISPs to throttle our internet speed for websites of their choosing.
91. Kelsey MacNeill, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I explicitly support net neutrality laws. We need rules that keep ISPs from controlling and censoring what we see and do online, otherwise we will see the creation of paid fast lanes, a throttling of speeds for consumers who can't pay, and the slow death of the United States' participation in a globally connected society. Suggesting that these rules and laws are unnecessary points to either a ferocious misunderstanding of their purpose, or an explicitly malevolent attitude towards average citizens, small businesses, and learning institutions around the country.
92. 17-108, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Please support Net Neutrality. We deserve to have access to information without limits based on provider bias.















114. Zach, Baltimore, MD, 21205  
Please maintain Title II status for regulation of ISPs.
115. Blake Scott, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I am writing to voice my unwavering support for strong net neutrality rules as protected under Title II. These rules are essential for the free market to reign supreme in the internet, for the fair and equal dissemination of information, and to ensure that the continued consolidation of ISP powers is slowed and prevented from breaking down the core tenets of the open internet.
116. Linda Betts, Ellicott city, MD, 21043  
I support this legislation
117. jamie betts, Ellicott city, MD, 21043  
I support the legislation to keep the internet free and neutral.
118. Jason Tashea, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
There are regulations that keep ideas and markets open to free and fair competition, net neutrality is one of them. To roll back these rules is a mistake. There is no reason to believe that ISPs, given the opportunity, wont tread on an open internet for the sake of their bottom lines. In 2008, Time Warner wanted to limit video binge watching. In 2014, Comcast was caught "throttling" bandwidth in an attempt to extort higher fees out of Netflix. Netflix was able to withstand this challenge, in part, because of their size and clout. Apply the same throttling to an early stage start up in the race for market share and that company dies. The same is true for news organizations, blogs, and anyone trying to share their ideas. No ISP should be given the control to decide what ideas live or die. That's not how free markets work. It's not the way free speech is spread. It's not the way to operate our internet. Keep the rules as they are, and keep America the innovative, online powerhouse that it is.
119. Jonathan Levitas, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs, and consider this a very important issue. Also, please change your website to better facilitate commentary on proposed regulations.
120. Thomas Gentner, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I support current FCC regulations and want the FCC to continue to protect net neutrality.
121. Vlad, Reisterstown, MD, 21136  
I wanted to express my concern over the Trump administrations view on Net Neutrality. I want to make sure that net neutrality remains neutral under title two oversight. Thank you for your time,-Vlad
122. Neutrality, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Net Neutrality is one of the reasons that this country is great, taking that away hurts not only our economic opportunities of small businesses, but the consumer as well.
123. Gabriela Slomicz, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II!
124. Simon Reilly, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
Keep the internet open! We must keep true net neutrality and cannot trust the major ISP's to regulate themselves. The FCC should continue the classification as Title II.
125. Net Neutrality, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Please support the net neutrality. Our country does not need to give power to corporate giants to control the world's most used utility output.
126. Brandon Thomas, Gwynn Oak, MD, 21207  
John Oliver said some people are trying to f\*\*k up our net. Don't! That is all.
127. Alex Hesson, Reisterstown, MD, 21136  
I STRONGLY support current strong net neutrality backed by title 2 of ISP's. Do NOT change this. WHAT ARE YOU THINKING?!
128. James Warshaw, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I stand for Net Neutrality with Title 2 enforcement,
129. Robert Rackleff, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please don't be bad.
130. Akshay Kohli, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I'm in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's!!
131. Jeremy Thorpe, Baltimore, MD, 21231  
We need net neutrality. ISPs already have enough power and are essentially monopolies (in many markets). We certainly don't need them to have the option to decide what content gets delivered and at what speeds. The internet should be classified as a utility.
132. Neil, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
I FULLY support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs!Don't do anything so civil war inducing as selling our internet to the highest bidders you money grubbing trash heaps.

133. Glenn Workman, Columbia, MD, 21045  
Please retain Title II status for the telecommunications companies that provide internet services. This will help maintain the free flow of both ideas and commerce on a fair level for all businesses big and small. It helps provide even distribution of ideas (both for and against any issue), access on equal footing for all content providers, and best serves the consumers and intellect of the US citizens. I like to believe we are not a stupid people, and this preserves what is known as "net neutrality" for all of us. Thank you.
134. Kenneth Hawkes, Glenelg, MD, 21737  
The FCC needs to preserve net neutrality under Title II. ISP providers should be under Title II.
135. Donald Joseph Smith, Baltimore, MD, 21215  
#donttouchmyinternet#bigmugs
136. Calvin Hutcheon, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
I support strong net neutrality under Title II. As a student studying graphic design and web design, it is extremely important that internet remain open and neutral. For my studies, my future lively hood and the continuation of democracy, I support net neutrality under Title II.
137. Justin Wright, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I am a strom supporter of Net Neutrality. More specifically, I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's
138. Adam Bjornholm, Baltimore, MD, 21230  
I oppose any changes to the current implementation of Net Neutrality.
139. Nina Mills, Ellicott City, MD, 21794  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I specifically support strong Net Neutrality back by Title II oversight of ISPs.
140. Alistair Morrison, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
I strongly support Net Neutrality enforced by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers!
141. Tarah Fitzgerald, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
PLEASE PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2!! Don't let corporations monopolize our access to information and education!
142. Michelle Grant, Baltimore, MD, 21215  
Ajit Pai I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II Oversight of ISPs. Thanks
143. Michael Iacobelli, Baltimore, MD, 21205  
Hello there,I strongly support a free and neutral internet backed by the oversight of ISP's by Title II.
144. Andrea Norman, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
145. Title II, Cockeysville, MD, 21030  
I am strongly supporting net neutrality back by title II isp
146. steve sutker, columbia, MD, 21044  
keep title II. don't slow the internet. we need an open and fair internet
147. eric elison, ellicott city, MD, 21042  
I am for net neutrality rules and for classification of isp's under title 2.
148. Rich Clack, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I support net neutrality. Equal and complete access to the internet should not be controlled by a few companies. The internet is primary access to news and education and commerce for a growing number of households. All persons who have access to the internet should not be controlled as to what they have access to. Right now I have limited access to who my providers are, and only high speed option. Because I do not have access to other providers I do not have any leverage over my internet company. This means they can have an unwanted influence over my personal life. That is not acceptable.
149. Net Neutrality, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
The Internet Freedom act is anti-consumer and anti-free market. I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's!
150. Paul Diss, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I am an in support of strong Title II net neutrality rules for ISP's.
151. Amy Fowler, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
Net neutrality is one of the most important free speech issues in the world today. Keep ISPs under Section 2!
152. James McHale Ourand, Reisterstown Maryland, MD, 21136  
Why would anyone besides big buissness want this?

153. henry, baltimore, MD, 21231  
dont do it fam
154. Ray Bosworth, Columbia, MD, 21044  
There is no clear indication of benefits to the consumer by removing net neutrality rules. The most likely outcome would be what is commonly expected, which is the throttling of specific services based on monetary incentive for the ISP company.
155. SHAMEIA, BALTIMORE, MD, 21215  
I SPECIFICALLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NUETRALITY THATS BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISPS
156. Faith Quist, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I support strong net neutrality with Title II oversight to guarantee that monetary interests do not impact internet access.
157. Mark Lane, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
I want net neutrality and please keep under Title 2 this is what keep the internet free and a place where playing field is level.
158. Juliana Wood, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
Simple: Preserve net neutrality and Title II. Period.And, thank the brilliant John Oliver for putting this important issue top of mind, for everyone.
159. sean kelly, baltimore, MD, 21215  
Net Neutrality is very important , please do not change the current net neutrality rules.
160. DJ Wilson, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I strongly urge the FCC to specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
161. Benjamin McNichols, West Friendship, MD, 21794  
Please preserve strong net neutrality backed by title II. It's easy to see through current 0-rating schemes by cellular providers that absent strong net neutrality rules, ISPs and large corporations will take advantage of the situation to retain market position and thwart competition.
162. Joseph Jones, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I am for true net neutrality. I believe allowing internet service providers to regulate themselves is too risky and puts doubt and distrust into the minds of the people. The internet has become as necessary as electricity and to allow ISPs to regulate themselves gives too much control to providers with little repercussion for throttling internet speeds for sites not favored by the provider. It would be like allowing McDonald's to regulate the FDA or appointing Cruella DeVille to the head of the ASPCA. It's just setting up for a conflict of interest and I think the FCC needs to keep ISPs classified as class II and keep them regulated by the FCC.
163. Dan Finkelstein, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2 for ISPs.Also, go fuck yourself Donald.
164. Richard Scissors, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I am strongly in favor of Net Neutrality based on Title II authorities. Internet Service Providers should not be able to limit or promote content in anyway. Limiting Net Neutrality will hamper the free flow exchange of ideas and is against our basic principles of democracy.
165. Oscar Bettison, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
Keep Net Neutrality and Title 2 in place. This is an important consumer issue. The American people stand to lose if these legal safeguards are removed.
166. title II, baltimore, MD, 21250  
GO FCC YOURSELF PAI
167. Diane Borgatti, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Please support Net Neutrality under Title 2. Thank you.
168. Stephen Martin, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Please don't destroy the fairness and openness of the exchange of information the Internet has always intended to embody.Thank you.
169. Yahya Brown, Baltimore, MD, 21244  
I specifically support strong net neutrally backed by title two oversight of ISPs
170. Samuel Pinkava, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Please support Net Neutrality. Please keep the internet under Title 2.
171. Casey Miller, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2, keep the internet free and open.
172. Eitan shalev, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. There's nothing wrong with current fcc safeguards for net neutrality. Don't give the people's pipeline to free speech, education and engagement away. That's something a totalitarian regime would do. We don't have one of those. Right?

173. Kevin Bateson, Columbia, MD, 21045  
I support America keeping Net Neutrality.
174. Justin Sindall, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
In the Verizon ruling, the courts have indicated that the easiest way to maintain internet neutrality is to classify ISPs under Title II. Without this classification there simply is no net neutrality, and Mr. Pai knows this. In fact, rules should be tightened to better serve the customers and provide honesty in marketing, upload/download speeds, and throttling of data.
175. joshua dix, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Strong net neutrality backed by title II regulations is essential for the modern internet to work. Title II is NEEDED. Do not abandon title II
176. Jeffrey Heft, Phoenix, MD, 21131  
Contrary to Mr. Pai's assertions, rolling back the Title 2 categorization of the internet will damage small business startups' chances of equal footing in the internet marketplace. It will stifle innovation. It will increase pricing to the consumer. It will place U.S. internet business footing and accessibility behind every other developed nations internet businesses. I must emphasize that I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
177. Matt Kalfoglou, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
Preserve the 'Net Neutrality' rules and regulations. I fear removing them will open the door to corrupt practices harmful to small businesses and consumers. The internet should remain an open and even playing field for all. People and businesses, other than ISPs, should be able to access and deliver content without paying premiums to ISPs for speeds. This would stifle growth of business and innovation as well as giving consumers an adverse experience.
178. John Wehr, Sykesville, MD, 21784  
I am taking the time today to inform you, the employees of the Federal Communications Commission, that I as an American citizen wholeheartedly support the continued preservation of Net Neutrality and the classification of internet service providers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I hope who can continue to maintain these standards as myself and I'm sure millions of other Americans have requested. Thank you.
179. reed gralia, randallstown, MD, 21133  
To Whom It May Concern; Please DO NOT allow access, use or availability of the internet and its information to become subject to the free market forces. Corporations are not known or renowned for their altruistic nature. Expecting corporations to behave an any manner that does not increase their profit margins or market share is asinine. I fully support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s.
180. Timothy Corbin, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Save Net Neutrality and Title 2. Ajit Pai is being dishonest when he says there are no widespread examples of companies abusing the open internet. What happened several years ago when Netflix was held hostage by Comcast? Comcast throttled Netflix and demanded money in return. That's shameful. Companies can and will abuse the internet if not regulated. Net neutrality protects consumers and puts everyone on a level playing field. Don't give into big corporations and their money. Please.
181. Derrick Smith, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
Please preserve Net Neutrality under Title II.I do not want any ISP to have the power to influence for their own gain what I have access to online.
182. Marcelo Carvalho, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
In support of net neutrality. Keep our info. private and regulate the ISPs.
183. Seth Nigrosh, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
Net neutrality is incredibly important. Please, protect consumers and make sure that ISPs cannot unfairly privilege some content over others.
184. Sarah, Baltimore, MD, 21250  
I support strong net neutrality backed by oversight under title II oversight of ISPs. The internet as a platform ensures all voices can be heard and it can only do this through net neutrality. Very few people are naive enough to believe that freedom of speech and freedom of thought for people will not be compromised if regulations are loosened and millions of dollars are now on the table. No one thinks you belong to that group. Do your job and serve the people of the United States
185. John McGing, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Weakening net neutrality (and the proposed changes weaken it, despite spin to the contrary) essentially treats internet packets like airliners. Those willing to pay more get better seats, meals, treatment while the rest of us fight it out i coach. The internet is better off if everyone is in coach and first class doesn't exist. With the proposed changes, ISPs could arrange to put one service or company in first class and that's great if I use that company's service but lousy if I use a competitor. But that lets money substitute for quality and innovation. In the existing net neutrality, packets are packets and it's not money that gets one a head of another, but the quality of the service being provided. Start ups are equal to big conglomerates, which is how innovation has worked. Changing this paradigm will smother innovation, not unleash it. Like Citizen's United, the folks with the biggest pockets will have much more power than an ordinary company to grab eyeballs. It unlevels what is now a level playing field.
186. Ned Pollard, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
Ajit Pie the FCC needs to protect net neutrality and Title II, otherwise we (all users of the Internet) are screwed beyond measure. So please, for the love of God don't think President Trump's comments, your giant coffee mug, and the statements that you made about the

importance of net neutrality, you former-Verizon legal counselor, make net neutrality any less important or vital to the perpetuation of internet use by any and all computer owners!

187. Tom Witty, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Title II is incredibly important to the functioning of a free and fair Internet. You MUST maintain Title II for ISPs.
188. Chris Maiser, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
Are you trying to kill innovation on the Internet, because this will kill innovation.
189. Brian R, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I would strongly urge the FCC to keep the rules regarding "Net Neutrality" the way they are without change.
190. Baasit Bhutta, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Hello. Thank you for your efforts thus far to preserve internet neutrality. I am writing to express my support of continuing your policy of neutrality under Title 2 regulations. I understand that there are certain corporate interests which now have representatives within your organization. Although I understand the logic behind eliminating net neutrality, I prefer the policy of net neutrality. Thank you.
191. Netneutrality, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I am opposed from moving ISP regulations from moving away from Title II jurisdiction to Title I jurisdiction
192. Andrea Hughes, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Net neutrality is extremely important now more than ever
193. paul blart, Baltimore, MD, 21250  
Please do not switch ISPs to from type 2 to type 1. Please keep the rules as is. Please.
194. Marshall Brown, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Net neutrality is in danger once again due to threatening legislation. As an American citizen, I urge that you do everything you can to keep the protections of the internet as stated in Title II. An America without free internet is basically an America without free speech.
195. Jonathan Brunner, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Preserve internet neutrality. Do not allow ISPs to control or limit content.
196. Zachary Shugars, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I strongly support net neutrality. I think a lot of people have become aware of the benefit that classifying ISPs under a title II classification has for citizens. Regulating internet providers in this way will not act as a detriment towards the service providers but simply limit the amount of manipulation that they can do to customers for personal gain.
197. Neil Nelson, Sparks, MD, 21152  
Net Neutrality and Title 2 must be preserved!!
198. Sean Murphy, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
To whom it may concern, allowing ISP's to selectively alter the speed of certain websites in any form, whether it be through loopholes or otherwise, is a direct threat to American values and her people. Just think of the small business that may never get created online if this occurs? Think of how we will have less access to the various online applications and programs. I very much advise those responsible for these monumental decisions that affect this and most other countries, to halt their Nonsense and take heed to the past. Be very careful because millions are now paying attention
199. Karen Chang, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
Please preserve net neutrality backed by Title II !!!!!!!!
200. Varun Kshatri, Arbutus, MD, 21227  
I strongly support net neutrality and I request FCC to reclassify ISPs as Title II common carriers. Equal Access to online information must be a basic right.
201. Nick, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I support Net Neutrality under Title 2. Don't screw us over and remove it.
202. Laina Sara Miller, Halethorpe, MD, 21227  
Net Neutrality is VITAL for the true freedom of the internet. If Net Neutrality is destroyed, then everyone who uses the internet, from the super-rich to the super-poor, will have no freedom to USE the internet
203. Loode Evers, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I strongly support net neutrality title 2 and it should not be scrapped for any reason at all
204. Jacob Simone, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
Please keep ISP's as a classification Title II. ISPs, whose primary mission is to make a profit, have too much incentive to favor their own content over competitors. Please regulate to keep the internet an equal playing field for everyone.
205. Net neutrality, Baltimore, MD, 21228  
I am for net neutrality. Internet services need to stay classified as type two.
206. Peter Willis, Baltimore, MD, 21202

Net Neutrality isn't about keeping big, evil corporations from stopping fair competition, or limiting the functionality of the internet. It's about people, and corporations, not having to worry about how they will be able to use the internet. Even if you hate regulation and want "small government", you probably also want to prevent your own personal freedoms, as a business owner or an individual, from being curtailed. That's what net neutrality is. It's a contract that says that a corporation cannot interfere with how you use the internet, for business or pleasure. It ensures freedom and liberty for the most powerful communications medium in history. Please don't limit the power and freedom of the equal and universal nature of the internet by allowing corporations the ability to subvert it for their own purposes.

207. Jeff Pressman, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
TREAT ALL INTERNET DATA THE SAME
208. dominique weems, Baltimore, MD, 21207  
I strongly support net neutrality / title II of the ISP charter
209. Rachel Herron, Baltimore, MD, 21231  
Ajit Varadaraj Pai, I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
210. Scott Bass, Reisterstown, MD, 21136  
If you remove net neutrality you will stifle innovation. Neutrality is good for citizens, removing it is only good for large corporations. It has nothing to do with restoring freedom -- it's a laughable irony that's the name of the bill. Please do what's in the people's best interest.
211. Title II, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
As a user of the internet for almost 12 years, I have always loved to use it not only as a tool for school, but also for fun. I love to stream YouTube and stream with friends on Skype, as well as playing games with them. The fact that not only MY freedom to do that is jeopardized as well as others is scary. Please keep the internet as a Title II protected right.
212. Richard F. Higdon, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Please do not proceed with your proposed changes to Net Neutrality rules; leave the ISP's under Title II. Also please stop blatantly lying about the true nature of your proposed changes. The way the Commissioner has been presenting this is a complete lie.
213. Leslie N. Smith, Baltimore, MD, 21215  
I am writing to voice my opinion that the FCC must maintain net neutrality. The Title 2 designation is critical to enforce this.
214. Daniel W Hitchko, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Eliminating Net Neutrality will hurt everyone in the US economy. Citizens will end up paying more per month for the same or reduced internet service. Causing some people to cancel their internet service. The United Nations Human Rights Council considers access to the internet a basic human right. The internet should be a utility in this country. Net Neutrality also benefits small businesses and entrepreneurs by having the same access to advertise, sell goods and provide service to their customers as big businesses. Eliminating net neutrality will hinder small businesses and have a negative effect on the economy by reducing their profitability and their ability to create new jobs.
215. Nick, Baltimore, MD, 21231  
I strongly support strong enforcement of net neutrality through title 2 regulations
216. Mike, Columbia, MD, 21044  
are you kidding taking Net Neutrality out??? Public will have no say. The ISP provide you a network based on their wish not the consumer I am not surprised to see the current FCC Chairman who used to be under Verizon payroll drafted and pushed for this ....Corporate greed is the only hidden Agenda behind this ... Shame on you Ajit Pai ...
217. Nicholas Butler, Woodstock, MD, 21163  
Please keep the Title 2 laws in place for effective regulation of the internet with various ISP's.
218. Net Neutrality, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I am writing in STRONG support of net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. Changing the legal status here to Title I would be the death of the free internet and a huge mistake. Do NOT make that mistake! The whole world is watching!
219. Madeline McDowell, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of my ISP's.
220. Rohit Ramnani, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I support net neutrality and an open and free internet under Title 2. The internet should be a place of equal opportunity for businesses both big and small.
221. Joel Richon, Highland, MD, 20777  
I fully support strong net neutrality as protected by Title II.
222. dorian north, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I support strong net neutrality rules and the use of title II.
223. Joel Wheatley, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
I am in support of net neutrality. Do not take it away. It will screw over smaller websites.
224. Thea Lenna, Gwynn Oak, MD, 21207

I support strong net neutrality, specifically with Title II oversight of ISPs.

225. Catherine Champion, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please continue to use Title II oversight to keep our internet fair and neutral for all of us.
226. Jeff, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
Like phone companies ISPs need to be regulated under Title 2. Allowing them to limit what sites you can communicate with, freely tap communications or otherwise attempt to decide what content can or should be viewed will be detrimental for both our economy and democracy. These regulations were put in place to prevent exploitation of monopolistic powers by phone and radio providers, and given that ISPs function with the same sort of natural monopoly (or due to legacy conditions duopoly because both phone and coaxial cables were laid before the internet came into being) there is no reason not to regulate these companies the same way.
227. Aron Brall, Columbia, MD, 21045  
I am in complete support of Net Neutrality and keeping ISPs in Title II. The ability to access all sources on the net with equal access and no slow downs is necessary in a free market system.
228. Daniel Woolson, Windsor Mill, MD, 21244  
There is no way that any ISP would completely abuse Net Neutrality. Getting rid of it would be a complete disaster.
229. Dante Fields, Baltimore, MD, 21250  
Preservation of Net Neutrality laws is absolutely key to the preservation of accessible internet use, which the UN ruled in 2011 to be a human right.
230. Adam Barkley, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Keep Net Neutrality, and enforce Title 2 on ISPs. Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. ISPs have already throttled content, and were forced to stop in the courts. They can not be trusted. We rely on the FCC to protect the consumers from these large corporations. Please, do not let us down.
231. Linda Barker, Upperco, MD, 21155  
I strongly support NET NEUTRALITY. The internet should not have "classes" of subscribers. Equality should be the rule.
232. Joshua Katlic, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
I want you to preserve net neutrality under title 2 of the isp
233. Brian Vargo, Columbia, MD, 21045  
We believe in net neutrality under title II. Removing FCC oversight of ISP adherence to net neutrality is a mistake.
234. Daniel Liang, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The sheer amount of harm and unfair competition without net neutrality will encourage monopolies to emerge and destroy competition. This in turn can potentially make products exceptionally hard to use while giving advantages to others without any good reason.
235. Mary Steingesser, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I strongly support net neutrality and want you to do so too.
236. Sharon Neely, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Preserve true Net Neutrality by keeping the internet under Title II regulations!
237. Torrey Kelly, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I support keeping ISPs under title 2 restrictions.
238. David Chu, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I fully support an open internet and believe that ISP should remain classified under Title II. I believe these guidelines will prevent ISPs from completely controlling how users use the internet. The internet should not have fast lanes for big companies that can afford access to those lanes. It should remain equally accessible to all.
239. Protect net neutrality, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I want net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please, dear God, have some decency. Do not disappoint your children and future generations to follow.
240. James Baruch, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
We support strong Net Neutrality and Title II provisions!
241. Donald Peugh, Gwynn Oak, MD, 21207  
This is beyond ridiculous. You are essentially putting the fox in charge of the hen house. I hope that you will wake up and realize that we see you for the skill you are. The technology we have all come to know and love grew from the free and open internet. This half-witted attempt to dupe the public into thinking that deregulation will do wonders for it is as ill-conceived as the power company deregulation in my area that has opened people up to door-to-door scam artists. The free market is not some all-powerful entity that will fix everything. Go back to Verizon and lie for them on their dime and not on the taxpayers'.
242. Kevin Nguyen, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Guess who benefits from REMOVING net neutrality, the ISPs. Guess who doesn't, the American people. This will be completely

detrimental to everyone, but than the ISPs. This will "restore freedom" to them, to give them control what does and does not pass through the network. Data is just data, just electricity. It should not be treated differently from any origin.

243. Patrick Costa, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I make my living on the Internet. I ask that the FCC support net neutrality by keeping and regulating ISPs under Title 2.
244. Gavin, Columbia, MD, 21045  
Hello, Verizon employees, FCC bureacrats, and (most likely) the underpaid secretary/mail person to whom this has nothing to do with but whose job it is to read and count sides for this issue. I am writing a comment to tell you (not the person who had nothing to do with this, but those who are being paid off by Verizon and such companies) to 'go FCC yourself' with this issue of net neutrality. I am in strong support of net neutrality as was given under the Obama administration, and I can see clearly that you, like our current president, do not favor democracy or public opinion over what would make you a quick buck. The arduous process to find this page that was so nicely streamlined by John Oliver shows that quite clearly. I know that this will probably not make a difference as this administration doesn't give two FCCs about what anyone else thinks, but I hope that this and other emails like this would cause the FCC director to at least vomit just a little into his big Reese's mug when he thinks about the end of his political career. Thank you for your time,Gavin
245. Katrina Davies, windsor mill, MD, 21244  
I support strong net neutrality and regulation and oversight of ISPs under Title 2.
246. Thomas Keady, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
We may not be able to precisely measure how much of the technological innovation in the last 3 decades has been made possible by open information sharing on the Internet. However as an engineering student I can say that access to online resources has made me able to learn more and better in less time. We as a country and humanity as a whole cannot afford to lose out on the opportunities for education and knowledge and idea sharing if net neutrality rules are removed.  
youtube.comstackexchange.comkhanacademy.comreddit.comcodecademy.comchegg.comdigikey.commcmastercarr.comgithub.comdocs.pytl  
...
247. Matthew McGovern, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I support strong network neutrality protections. These laws are there to protect consumers from ISP's prioritizing their own offerings over a competitors. Removing these protections would be to the obvious detriment of everyone who uses the internet. Companies should not be able to prioritize their own services and applications. Let the market work itself out! I thought this was a republican administration? It's insane that a media conglomerate can even own an ISP. We don't have protection from monopolies like this for some reason, so we at least need laws that strongly enforce network neutrality.Do your job and stop trying providing a means for companies to effectively choose which media and applications a consumer can use. Network neutrality is important! Do the right thing and protect these laws!
248. Benjamin Huston, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
We strongly support maintaining net neutrality and Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers, including both wired and wireless providers.
249. Stefan Muirhead, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
The proposed action to remove title 2 oversight of ISP's is bad for small business, bad for the consumer, and I believe, bad for our country. I am a managing partner/owner and founder of an internet development company based here in Baltimore MD. Understanding the internet as I do, and considering that it it my literal career, the announced plans of removing oversight and replacing it with a simple agreement scares me. Never mind that we are losing our privacy now that ISP's can use and sell our traffic, it is a bad idea to turn over so much power to ISP's that serve only the value of their stock. Here in Baltimore we only have one provider to choose from, meaning that we would be completely at the will of the ISP limiting our ability to do business, communicate with each other, and enjoying the fruits of the internet wherever we go. I cannot stress enough how much I value net neutrality and do not want the current status quo changed.
250. Sian M. Jones, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Vital social infrastructure now exists solely on the web. Access to any and all sites must not be controlled by commercial interests. Free and open access to the Internet is a necessity to the success of our society as a whole.
251. Sean Konig, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Don't let foxes guard the henhouse.
252. Eliora Porter, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I strongly support net neutrality. Please keep the rules backed by Title II!
253. Brent Stewart, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Changing the legal classification to title I will not please me. Not at all.
254. Dan Kelly, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. The Internet should be treated as a utility, regulated to provide un-biased access to all services.
255. Jeffrey Shulenburg, Blatimore, MD, 21227  
To whom it may concern,Really? Is this really something we have to go over again? Before the change to title 2 there were numerous cases of companies exploiting the looser rules to close down the internet or perform unfair business practices. There was the case of

throttling Netflix in favor of built in on-demand services. There was the case of streaming sites like youtube being throttled to customers. And there was the case of customers unlimited access, guaranteed in their contracts, being throttled because the ISP think they use too much. ISPs have proven repeatedly that they are willing to screw over american citizens and businesses to get every last penny. This might explain why the big 3 ISPs are all in the top 10 for worst customer service and worst customer experience in america on a yearly basis. This goes besides the fact that they possibly illegally collude to prevent market crossover to give customers no choice. On top of this, consider that they regularly skirt the requirements of federal grants and subsidies to improve the infrastructure, taking the money without doing the work. We do not need fewer regulations on these companies. We need more. Frankly, with the necessity that internet access in this day and age, it should be a utility and regulated the same way electricity, natural gas and water are.-Jeff

256. Marvin, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Mr. Pai, I support strong Net Neutrality rules, backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers.
257. Erin Gallagher, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
As I graduate student, I rely on unfettered access to information on the web. I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
258. nancy bisasky, reisterstown, MD, 21136  
I am for net neutrality and keeping title two
259. Meredith Fraser, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
I support the reclassification of internet service providers as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
260. Edward August, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I support net neutrality and regulating ISPs under Title II. ISPs have shown time and time again they do not want to represent the best interests of their customers if it gets in the way of profit. I do not trust them to honor terms of services (which they can change at will, per terms of service) nor do I trust them not to abuse any and all legal options they have to restrict my service to make it more profitable for their shareholders.
261. David Martin Anderson, Reisterstown, MD, 21136  
I strongly support net neutrality and an open internet. Please keep title 2 classification.
262. lz, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
Do NOT take away net neutrality. You will make it more difficult for new internet startups.
263. Michele Baskin, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
As an inner city public school teacher, I implore you to keep net neutrality. Internet content is becoming more central to our classroom instruction and preparation for students' future work lives. Internet access, wireless connectivity, broadband carry loads, all of this should be treated as a utility. I don't have a land-line telephone anymore (not for 10 years), but I have never gone without broadband access.
264. marissa malloy, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Preserve title II net neutrality!
265. Sean Kain, Woodbine, MD, 21797  
I would prefer that internet service providers remain under Title II regulation as there is virtually no competition in my area.
266. Stephen Plantz, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I am concerned about the interest in relaxing the internet neutrality rules. As an artist and entrepreneur, I know how important it is to have equal access to the internet and to keep ISPs from taking advantage their consumers' interests. Not only would this move be hypocritical, but it is philosophically unjust: giving ever-greater power and influence to large corporations and the wealthy at the expense of the individual, the star-up, and small business. I hope that the FCC will stand up and support net neutrality, a issue that most Americans agree is more than worth protecting. Please keep the internet neutral, a level playing field. Please look beyond partisan politics and understand that American consumers want to control their internet content, not have ISPs do so for them.
267. Chris Cook, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Please preserve net neutrality and keep Internet Service Providers under Title II regulations. Losing all future elections is definitely not worth all those Comcast 'donations'.
268. net neutrality, Pikesville, MD, 21228  
I specifically support STRONG Net Neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs
269. Brian Fitzgerald, Highland, MD, 20777  
FCC and Ajit Pai,I voice my support for a fair internet, where ISPs for instance are not permitted to throttle bandwidth in the interest of their own capital gain. Please continue enforcing net neutrality.
270. Michele McComas, Baltimore, MD, 21228  
Please keep Title II as written because ISP's are not able to regulate themselves at all!
271. Margaret Smith, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
Please do not move ISPs back to Title 1 - regulation in this arena is a necessity.
272. Jay Cohen, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Net neutrality must be equal for all people. It must be protected like free speech, and no one voice is heard rather than another simply

because they can pay to have the other voice silenced.

273. Terran Potter, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
You know why I'm here; the ISPs should not have the freedom to choose which services are faster, etc etc. We must keep Net Neutrality at all costs.
274. Grant Madden, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
Watering down or eliminating net neutrality protections would incentivize predatory and preferential conduct by ISPs, regardless of whether such behavior has been officially noted in the past. The internet and its usage is only going to get more sophisticated as time passes. The rules safeguarding it should not become less so.
275. Scott McClure, Baltimore, MD, 21231  
Please keep ISPs regulated under Title ii
276. RC Carlson, Baltimore, MD, 21228  
Do not allow the ISPs to decide which sites and what content will be carried or carried at varying speeds based on revenue potential, political or religious criteria.
277. Susan Milne, Sparks, MD, 21152  
I support net neutrality. Please don't roll back regulations
278. Justin Park, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2. It is unthinkable that we would not keep a level playing field for companies whose number one concern is their bottom line and increasing their market share. Thank John Oliver for my comment because he exposed this for what it really is. Keep your hands off my net neutrality!!!!
279. Marcel Gonzalez, Columbia, MD, 21045  
Please keep Title II in effect. Keep the internet open and neutral.
280. Brian Bennett, Windsor mill, MD, 21244  
I support strong net neutrality rules, specifically keeping them under title ii. The internet is by and large where most of the country go to entertain themselves, and gather information about the world. You can't let the ISP's have the power to control what we view on the internet.
281. Thomas, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
I strongly support net neutrality, please stop engaging in tomfoolery by trying to remove the current net neutrality regulations under title 2.
282. David Feldsott, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I strongly support net neutrality and classifying ISPs under Title 2. Do not repeal the net neutrality rules. We need to ensure that all web traffic is treated equally under the law. ISPs have already tried to slow Netflix traffic and exclude Google Wallet from their phones, among other instances. Net neutrality is important and does not impact ISPs financially, as they might claim.
283. Connor Bond, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Please keep the internet ISPs categorized as title 2. I want a free and open internet where there is no potential for my internet service provider to give preferential treatment to companies that give them money.
284. Brian Cuthie, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Dear FCC Chairman Pai:I strongly support an open Internet, enforced by the FCC using Title II regulation of internet service providers to enforce strong net neutrality. I have personally witnessed internet service providers blocking content in favor of their own. An open and fair internet is essential to the USA competing in a global marketplace where others have such protections.
285. Stuart Goldstone, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. We pay for our internet and data, and ISPs should treat all data the same, not choose winners and losers.
286. Nick Clyde, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I wholeheartedly support strong net neutrality that is backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. We need to regulate ISPs to prevent tactics that will harm consumers.
287. Jason Winings, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I support ISPs listed under title 2 in order to protect internet neutrality.
288. Stephen Wedde, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I feel very strongly that Net Neutrality must be maintained. The FCC should continue to regulate ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934
289. Robert Davis, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Strong net neutrality rules should be maintained in the public interest, and ISPs should remain classified under Title II to enable effective enforcement.
290. Tim Munn, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Net Neutrality makes sense and we should not rely on businesses to do the right thing.

291. Derek Wall, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
I strongly believe in Net Neutrality. I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I don't want the highest bidder deciding what I can see and how my browsing should be handled. Thank you for your time.
292. Williams, Holly, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I support net neutrality and Title II. Do the right thing.
293. Indythinker, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Yes for net neutrality. Please and thank you.
294. Richard M. Potocek CPA MBA CFE, Glenwood, MD, 21738  
My comments are directed to Commissioner Pi. I recently watched several of your arguments as to why Net Neutrality should not fall under Title II. My first thought was that you don't understand the significance of strong Net Neutrality enforcement. Then I learned that you were previously employed as an attorney for Verizon, an ISP that sued the FCC over the stronger enforcement. At best, I believe you are disingenuous. At worst, a presidentially appointed puppet to support the views of your former employer. Please feel free to explain what appears to be a clear conflict of interest your appointment represents. As I'm sure your mother told you many times as mine did to me - "always do the right thing Ajit." If she didn't I understand why we consumers of Internet services have a problem.
295. Christian Skipper, Columbia, MD, 21045  
I support strong net neutrality measures backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. Thanks!
296. Daniel Baker, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
The importance of net neutrality for free discourse and the economic freedom can not be overstated. Any failure to ensure that internet neutrality and individual freedoms and privacy are protected is an assault on human rights and fundamental utilities. The stranglehold of monopoly is an additional blight on this dangerous issue, making it absolutely clear that the needs of consumers and individuals will not be respected. As a concerned citizen and a computer scientist, I urge you to protect our freedoms.
297. Gordon Brown, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
By all means, preserve net neutrality and title ii. And I vote, every time.
298. e, Glenelg, MD, 21737  
Please protect net neutrality under your Title 2 regulation. Please do not let the new administration destroy net neutrality. Throw away the weed whacker; do not roll over and play dead and let trump continue to destroy everything in his path. Thank you
299. Michael, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 0, this is an essential component of free speech in the 21st Century!
300. Nitin Da, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I strongly support net neutrality that's my title to oversight of ISPs. Every internet group must come together like you did three years ago to support net neutrality.
301. Andrew Marien, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
Net neutrality is extremely important for the continued growth and new and exciting content production
302. mike boushell, Baltimore, MD, 21228  
Net Neutrality is important for society. We must maintain a fair and equal ground in the field of technology which is heavily seeded in the internet. Removing net neutrality opens the door for those in control, i.e. Your verizon and comcasts, to bend the rules as maintaining oversight on such a large corporation would be nearly impossible. This in turn will hurt the advancement of our country in the fields of technology, science, mathematics etc. and our economy as smaller businesses will be affected.
303. Kasey Girven, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
Ajit Pai I support strong Net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isps.
304. Michael Sullivan Phillips, Windsor Mill, MD, 21244  
Net Neutrality should be maintained. I am all for Net Neutrality.
305. net neutrality, ellicott city, MD, 21043  
I came here from gofcccuckyourself.com. its because i wholeheartedly believe that you should and i support net neutrality. Also Ajit Pai really needs to brush up on his economics. There is not a logical argument he has made against net neutrality.
306. Sharon Seligson, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
We want you to preserve Internet Neutrality and keep it under Title 2.
307. Grayson Lawrence, Phoenix, MD, 21131  
Protect net neutrality and don't let rich people and corporations get away with legal corruption.
308. Mark McCullin, Columbia, MD, 21045  
I fully support the rules for net neutrality and Title II. Do not remove these protections for the consumer.
309. Michael Sullivan Phillips, Windsor Mill, MD, 21244  
I am completely in support of Net Neutrality
310. Alyson Bradford, Windsor Mill, MD, 21244

I am writing to request that the FCC preserve strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title 2.

311. Katherine Frampton, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I am not in support of the ability of ISPs to slow or block access to websites
312. Silvio Guitian, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
I support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am in very clear opposition to Docket 17-108.
313. Brian Owens, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Please keep title II protections in place. We need net neutrality.
314. Khurram Maqbool, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Please preserve the existing Title II net neutrality rules that protect a free and open Internet. This is important for entrepreneurs, and for preserving fair competition on the Internet. Removing these laws will simply give an unfair advantage to existing telecom behemoths that will quickly form a monopoly like situation. The TAX payers funded the Internet and we must protect our investment by preserving Title II net neutrality rules.
315. Owen Gaulle, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am opposed to docket No. 17-108 and all that it stands for. Maintaining a fair and open internet is vital to the infrastructure of this nation, and in fact echoes many of the principles found in our Constitution.
316. Janet Panich, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Maintain net neutrality under Title II the communications act of 1934. I not want my internet use data sold or my ability to use the internet impacted by an isp controlling bandwidth access. Keep it the way it is. No changes required!
317. alicia tyrell, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Please keep the net neutrality. A fair and even internet is critical to the modern world.
318. erin cutts, Columbia, MD, 21045  
I am a strong supporter of net neutrality. Please keep title two!!! I am very concerned about the future of the internet and free market without net neutrality.
319. Terrence Hale, Windsor Mill, MD, 21244  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. If left alone, ISPs will surely put their profits above the experiences of their customers.
320. Frank Salazar, Highland, MD, 20777  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. I do ot feel that these businesses can effectively maintain newtruality by self-imposed agreement.
321. Michael T. Panich, PE, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Net neutrality needs to be maintained under Title 11 of the Communications Act of 1934 I do not want my internet use data sold or my ability to use the internet impacted by an ISP controlling bandwith access. Perhaps the fact that FCC Chairman Amit Pai's prior Verizon ties should have disqualified him from his current Government position, especially given Verizon's prior lawsuit against the FCC and the fact that they are a major ISP provider. The regulator is too close to the industry regulated! Where is the impartial evaluation of impact on consumers?
322. Charles Hussong, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Ajit Pai said "nothing about the internet was broken in 2015." Here in Baltimore, I have exactly one choice of broadband provider, Comcast. There is no competition. If Comcast restricted traffic to any of its competitors, perhaps those which compete with its subsidiary, NBC, I would have no recourse. Pai claims that regulation has stifled investment -- there has never been investment to begin with. Internet service providers are a foul monopoly from which we've clawed back the barest of concessions. If you're not going to break them up, you MUST continue to enforce net neutrality, or the innovation of the rest of our economy will be crippled by their anticompetitive practices.
323. Net Neutrality, Mt. Airy, MD, 21771  
Net Neutrality is required to keep internet access equal. Without it, different providers will be treated unequally, small businesses will not be able to compete against businesses with superior internet, and it gives large businesses more rights than the individual people that the nation is supposed to defend. Be aware that removing Net Neutrality is similar to saying that restricting voting by race isn't recommended, but it is allowed. If it can be done legally, a business will use it to their advantage. Please consider this, and keep Net Neutrality.
324. ross cutts, Columbia, MD, 21045  
I urge you to keep the FCC classification as title two in order to protect net neutrality!
325. Morgan Heflin, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I specifically strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP. It is critically important for ISP's to continue to be classified as Title II "telecommunications services" and not as the less regulate Title I "information services that previously allowed ISP to block data, apps or services at their discretion.
326. aldo jawichre, woodlawn, MD, 21207  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title2 oversight of ISPs

327. Simone Bazos, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
We need the regulations provided by Title 2 to ensure we do not get further fucked by cable companies who are already screwing us.
328. Laurian Vega, Columbia, MD, 21044  
We strongly support strong net neutrality rules under Title 2. Net Neutrality is key to keeping an open, free, and prospering Internet. I support more protections, not less.
329. Brian Truckenbrodt, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
I absolutely support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's.
330. Joanne Zaslow, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Preserve net neutrality; keep the ISPs under Title II.
331. James Huselton, Columbia, MD, 21044  
As a Computer Engineer and general user of the internet, I am very much in strong support of Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am 100%, vigorously opposed to Docket No. 17-108
332. Nancy Kukay, Baltimore, MD, 21215  
I strongly support the continuation of net neutrality and oppose any policy intended to 'meter' internet service by internet service providers.
333. Joan LeRoy, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I strongly support Net Neutrality. We deserve a free and open internet. The powerful online service providers should not be given the opportunity to control internet traffic.
334. JAN STAPLES, Parkton, MD, 21120  
I support net neutrality. Keep Title II rules.
335. Seamus Hughes, Baltimore, MD, 21205  
I believe that the FCC should not roll back the net neutrality rules and Title II classifications. Doing so would have deleterious effects on the neutrality and competitiveness of the internet as a market.
336. Ryan Meskill, White Hall, MD, 21161  
Please preserve Net Neutrality under Title 2 oversight!
337. Lindsay Madera, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I strongly support Net Neutrality strengthened by Title II
338. Lindsey Falbo, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
I support strong net neutrality and support title 2.
339. Dan Martens, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
It is so incredibly important that net neutrality is maintained. The government is supposed to protect its citizens' free access to information, not sell out to ISPs who want to limit access.
340. Sarah, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY!! PRESERVE TITLE 2! Literally everyone and their mothers wants net neutrality except the billionaires who run the ISPs.
341. Jon Crockett, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
Reversing rules on net neutrality was a dumb idea 3 years ago and it's a dumb idea now. Also, making it impossible to express this opinion via your website is just the sort of government bs that drives everyone insane. Just leave it be and allow the internet playing field to be level for everyone.
342. Alisa Bochnowski, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
ISPs should remain under title II regulation. The major internet providers aren't being hampered or stifled by the regulation and the net should remain neutral.
343. James Logue, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
All ISPs should remain under Title II. They are not hampered by this classification and a neutral internet fosters competition.
344. Diane Alberga, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I absolutely support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.No compromise here!!!
345. Terry Trimble, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
I support preserving Net Neutrality and Title 2 regulation of ISPs.
346. Peter L, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
This regulation is a blatant attempt to screw over customers and companies alike.
347. Patricia Abitante, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Do not remove regulations on net neutrality.

348. Victorya Piehl, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
Please don't allow ISP's to pick and choose what people can use the internet for. Keep the strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
349. Joseph Mangino, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
The Internet needs to remain under title 2 to protect users. ISPs are dangerously monopolized - I've never had the choice of more than two providers and currently only have 1. The Internet should be classified as a public utility to protect consumers.
350. colleen kennedy, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I believe net neutrality is important and regulations of ISPs are important. Please do not remove regulations put in place to protect net neutrality, including moving ISPs to be regulated under Title one.
351. Tom Lenahan, Elkridge, MD, 21075  
I support net neutrality and the continued title 2 status of ISP's. Your large coffee mug is not going to convince me to deregulate like your Verizon masters want.
352. Jonathan Smeton, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I am asking the FCC to ensure Americans have strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 Oversight of ISPs. Our innovation as a country is only available when we have a equal access market market for businesses to succeed. Watering down our net neutrality provisions or expecting them to be enforced by a company's opt-in and not a mandate will only result in a system where large companies that can pay for high speed access can quench the opportunity for small businesses to develop new and ground-breaking products that rely on their ability to access the same speeds as the big guys.
353. morgan denner, baltimore, MD, 21218  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 of regulation over of ISPs
354. Chris Farmer, Columbia, MD, 21045  
You must preserve net neutrality & Title 2! To do otherwise would be a disgrace to democracy.
355. Emily Ricotta, Baltimore, MD, 21223  
I support net neutrality and Title II as they currently stand. I do not approve of changes being made to net neutrality or classification of ISPs.
356. Warner Brockman, Baltimore City, MD, 21223  
I support the protection of Net Neutrality through the use of Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 to PROPERLY restrict ISPs, preventing them from actions that would jeopardize my internet experience. I also believe that this will NOT restrict business investment in the internet, as it is the largest new facet for entertainment and one of the largest markets in the world.
357. Kara Skipper, Columbia, MD, 21045  
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Don't let Internet Service Providers limit or manipulate the choices made by internet users. The internet should be a level playing field, not an arena where companies can dictate what we should see and not see.
358. Michael James Boquard, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I'm writing to urge you to not reclassify net neutrality rules under Title 1 Telecommunications act of 1934. Keeping them under Title 2 will continue to protect the free and open internet. To claim that Title 2 causes a slow down in investment in infrastructure is directly contradicted by this Washington Post story: [https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/the-switch/wp/2014/12/10/verizon-actually-strong-net-neutrality-rules-wont-affect-our-network-investment/?utm\\_term=.c62fbeb39a31](https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/the-switch/wp/2014/12/10/verizon-actually-strong-net-neutrality-rules-wont-affect-our-network-investment/?utm_term=.c62fbeb39a31). Please, these regulations help keep the internet free and do not harm the internet.
359. Christopher Haring, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I STRONGLY support robust net neutrality in it's current form, with Title II in place. I urge the FCC NOT to relax regulation. As a consumer in Baltimore city, I have a single ISP available to me--Comcast. Should regulations be relaxed, and Comcast change their policies in a manner that I don't agree with, I would have no recourse as a consumer. A free, fair, and open Internet should be a basic human right, and private companies should not be allowed to serve as gatekeepers. Please maintain the Obama level protections for net neutrality.
360. Thomas Yanez, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Preserve net neutrality! Keep Title II!
361. Allison Cascone, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
My business depends on Net Neutrality being preserved. We cannot remain competitive without it. Please keep the regulations in tact to ensure internet providers must act in the public's best interest. Thank you.
362. Geoff Kramer, Lutherville-Timonium, MD, 21093  
I vehemently support President Obama's efforts to preserve Net Neutrality. Please keep his regulations in place.
363. Lindsey Gordon, Halethorpe, MD, 21227  
Mr. Pai - Please protect the strong net neutrality rules that are backed by Title II. PLEASE!
364. Steven Tilley, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I oppose the "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal, and fully support Net Neutrality. It is important to preserve fair competition for websites and web services and prevent them from getting snuffed out by larger companies that control internet access.
365. Samuel Boateng, Columbia, MD, 21044

- I support net neutrality. I don't believe the rules set by the Obama administration. Removing these rules will be a disservice to the US citizen.
366. Liam Duffy, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I support net neutrality as currently governed under Title 2, and expect that the FCC holds ISPs to a strict set of governing rules to ensure there are no opportunities to cause internet service inequalities. Internet access means equal access.
367. Monia Jennings, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Please do not eliminate or limit net neutrality - it is a vital part of protecting consumers from a monopoly situation and from being a victim of internet providers favoring certain websites for their own gain/profit. Equal access to information on the internet is crucial for all individuals to have and should not be threatened so big companies can use their money to influence what I'm able to see/access.
368. Dustin Gibson, Baltimore, MD, 21231  
I support strong net neutrality protections covered in title 2 oversight.
369. Marijane Monck, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I STRONGLY support net neutrality. Please preserve Title 2.
370. Adam Frase, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Do not rollback the Obama era ruling. Keep the internet open and free. also, make internet free for everyone.
371. Greg Knauf, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I strongly support maintaining a strong net neutrality and Title II regulations.
372. Jacob Deaven, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I could not be more strongly opposed to 17-108.
373. manas srivastava, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I stand with Title II of the Oversight committee.
374. Michele Rosen, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Do NOT allow ISPs to control what news, information, and entertainment Americans can receive. Net Neutrality rules should stand!
375. Chandra Nanjappa, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Strongly support net neutrality with title 2.
376. Benjamin Weikel, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Protect net neutrality with Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Internet providers cannot be allowed to manipulate internet speeds.
377. Bonnie Dalzell, Hydes, MD, 21082  
It is very important to preserve net neutrality and title 2.
378. Christopher Forster-Smith, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
Chairman Pai's mug is idiotic. Also, the FCC must protect net neutrality by continuing to classify broadband services as telecommunications services by applying Title II of the Communications Act to internet service providers. Pai's comments about deregulation are laughable. Removing this regulation = Allowing for massive rent seeking and monopoly (already happening, but it would be so much worse!) Thanks! :)
379. Ayushi Agarwal, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I want to support strong net neutrality rules backed by title II
380. Mary Murray, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Do not destroy internet freedom by reverting to the old title 1 rules. Promote competition. Retain title 2 regulations on isps
381. Jerald Wells, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I want net neutrality laws to remain under Title II.
382. Lorel M Joslyn, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Do not take away one of mankind's greatest inventions by modifying net neutrality. Do not give power to corporations over the Internet.
383. Patrick Caulfield, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
I support strong Net Neutrality legislation as well as strong Title II oversight of ISPs. I do not believe that the free-market regulates itself or that corporations have individual consumers best interests in mind inherently.
384. Charles Beller, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I urge the FCC to maintain strong net neutrality regulations under Title II. After Verizon Communications Inc. v. FCC the classification of ISPs under Title II is necessary to ensure equal access to the internet for all.
385. theresa gullo, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Please preserve Title II and strong net neutrality rules
386. Martha Bartlett, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I'm for net neutrality under title two. Don't disband it!!

387. Rob Pitman, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
PLEASE MAINTAIN STRONG NET NEUTRALITY RULES AND TITLE II.
388. Elizabeth Boorstein, Columbia, MD, 21045  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I AM OPPOSED TO DOCKET 17-108!!!!
389. Jennifer Karner, Halethorpe, MD, 21227  
I believe that the FCC should do everything in their power to uphold Net Neutrality, giving all people and entities the same access to the internet regardless of any qualifying behavior.
390. Giancarlo Albano, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Please preserve strong net neutrality, and Title II for the American people. With the internet being as important as it is in our lives, it means a great deal to us all.
391. Joel Cummings, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Keep net neutrality in Title II.
392. Daniel Lesko, Lauren Vermeer, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
There is no freedom in this act. Stop being greedy.
393. Robert, halethorpe, MD, 21227  
The Title 2 protections are needed to ensure the internet is a open and fair market place. To remove them using only anecdotal evidence that can be proven incorrect with a basic search is not only a betrayal of your office but should be seen as a conflict of interest on the part of the FCC chairman that is a know lobbyist for ISP providers. Protect net neutrality by leaving the title 2 regulations in place.
394. Jeffrey Lash, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
Please continue to support and uphold Title2 and Net Neutrality.
395. Andra Williams Broadwater, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I support strong oversight of the internet. Please retain the current net neutrality rules, and title ii oversight of ISPs.
396. Susan Meier, Hunt Valley, MD, 21030  
We support total and unrestricted net neutrality, as a principle of fairness to consumers and businesses of all sizes, and we support keeping it under Title II, as we believe it is more enforceable, than if it were to be moved under Title I.
397. Natacha Ngea, Halethorpe, MD, 21227  
Keep Net Neutrality! It matters. We need it!
398. Katherine Florida, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
399. Meagan Peoples, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I am a strong supporter of net neutrality! Please do not stop regulating internet providers.
400. Matthew Butler, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I believe that net neutrality is best served by title 2 restrictions over companies, and that the FCC should stand up for net neutrality.
401. Danielle McGinn, Marriottsville, MD, 21104  
I support ISPs remaining under Title II and continuing the internet's legacy of neutrality for all people.
402. Cathy Semans, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2. Don't mess up what's not broken
403. Theresa Dower, Ellicott City, MD, 21228  
Preserve net neutrality by keeping carriers regulated under Title 2
404. karen levin, marriottsville, MD, 21104  
we support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
405. Kelsey Griffin, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I am writing to implore the FCC to pursue strong net neutrality back up by Title II oversight of internet service providers.
406. Angela Floyd, Windsor Mill, MD, 21244  
I support net neutrality. Net neutrality is essential for modern political expression. Without net neutrality internet providers could censor information from political parties and views it did not favor, and likewise censor expression by individuals. Net neutrality is a MUST.
407. Peter Sterba, Columbia, MD, 21045  
Ajit Pai:I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is absolutely required in this age of the internet. Equal and fair access to all is required so that small businesses can grow and do not get shut out simply because they cannot pay Comcast their blood money. DO NOT REMOVE NET NEUTRALITY. Thank you for your time,Peter
408. Rachel Andujar, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Hello, I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs™s. Keep Title II oversight please! Thanks Mr. Pai!

409. Mike Dower, Ellicott City, MD, 21228  
The neutrality of the internet must be maintained as a bulwark against a total corporate take over of public life. I support net neutrality and vote for public servants that also support it. Keep Title II in place.
410. Michaela Reif, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I support net neutrality. Having ISPs simply "volunteer" to protect net neutrality paves the way for corruption, propaganda, and back room deals.
411. Andrew Guajardo, Baltimore, MD, 21231  
I support the preservation and the strong enforcement of Net Neutrality rules.
412. Lawrence R Miller, Baltimore, MD, 21216  
Leave it as is. Just say NO!
413. Baruke, Columbia, MD, 21045  
Please do not change ISP category from title II to title I. Please keep ISP providers regulated.
414. Eric Jason Lilly, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
I strongly support net neutrality. I am against this proposal.
415. Lisa Zakai, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Please ensure that net neutrality is preserved!!!! Do not bend to corporate money. Keep the US free!
416. David Dittmar, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Keep strong net neutrality and title 2
417. Ann Sheridan, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I support retaining strong net neutrality rules through the use of Title II of The Communications Act of 1934.
418. William Whelple, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Preserve Net Neutrality. Keep ISPs under Title II.
419. Matthew Loraditch, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Please enforce net neutrality. ISPs are not suffering and making plenty of money, the internet needs to be free and open for everyone.
420. Jody Roesler, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I very STRONGLY support net neutrality under the stronger Title II oversight currently in place. Converting to Title I would leave no enforceable consumer protections.
421. Alan Helene, Highland, MD, 20777  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title Two oversight!
422. Albert Darago, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I oppose the repealing of title 2 and support a free and open internet.
423. Jeremy Kato, Woodbine, MD, 21797  
It's important that the internet remain considered a title 2 under the FCC. Allowing net neutrality to be breached would be the first step to destroying the freedom that internet grants that no other form of communication has.
424. Drew Miller, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
Preserve Net Neutrality and don't reclassify ISPs
425. Scott Peacock, Columbia, MD, 21045  
I strongly support the FCC keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II
426. Tina Lynch, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
427. Harry Bonham, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
Please keep Title II and strong net neutrality!
428. Marc Falcone, Baltimore, MD, 21228  
Preserve Net Neutrality! Ajit Pai either has no idea what he's doing, or he's still in Verizon's pocket. It is ridiculous that this has come up again. Was it not enough that our comments crashed your servers last time?
429. David C. Phillips, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Once more into the breach! The public requires Title II (of 1934) enforcement of Internet Service Providers (ISP) in order to allow free access to all internet sites equally. Allowing ISPs to favor certain sites invites censorship infringing on the First Amendment.
430. John Furst, Baltimore, MD, 21231  
I am writing to support Net Neutrality. I expect that the current and previous net neutrality rules to continue unfettered by legislation. Legislation limiting Net Neutrality is neither conducive to freedom or profits.

431. Joshua Porterfield, Baltimore, MD, 21231  
I support strong net neutrality rules based in Title II classification of ISPs. Come on, stop messing around with this as it is clear demonstration of corruption.
432. Andrew Kent, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
Save net neutrality! Don't let our corporate and/or Russian overlords filter the information to which we're allowed access. All your base are belong to us!
433. MICHAEL C GILLIGAN, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
We need to keep the ISP's classified as title II in order to ensure a free and open Internet. Simply asking them to police themselves is laughable at best. This is very important issue to me and needs to be taken seriously.
434. S. J. Walshe, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
Preserve Net Neutrality. Preserve Democracy.
435. Aleta Bowers, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I, without a doubt, SUPPORT strong net neutrality, backed by Title 2 support of ISP's.
436. Alex Barr, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I strenuously support net neutrality INCLUDING TITLE II OVERSIGHT.
437. Joe Young, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
We strongly support strong net neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. Please keep strong net neutrality rules.
438. Karen Brindle, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I like my internet the way it is. I don't want you restricting my free speech or access to information. As a proud American, it makes me angry just thinking about you meddling in this. Keep our internet neutral and free!
439. Muljadi Jono, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I support maintaining internet neutrality. Please dont roll back protection on internet neutrality
440. Joshua Brindle, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I want my ISP to stay out of my traffic and be my pipe to the internet, not a pay for play high speed lane to the highest bidder meddler.
441. Gabriel Innes, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I VERY MUCH SUPPORT STRONG net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs
442. Colin McGregor, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Do NOT repeal Title II of the CA. Show America you're not selfish. You and I know 99.9% of people (and 100% of those who haven't worked for Verizon) in America want a strong net neutrality. I'm talking to you as respectfully as I can manage. Do us the same.
443. Net Neutrality, Glenwood, MD, 21738  
I support strong net neutrality laws backed by title 2 oversight of the ISP's. I am a gamer and I believe that small companies and websites should have the same opportunities as larger companies that could squash the competition before it even started. Open and fair competition is what the internet is made for, every one has an equal chance, no matter who you are, and net neutrality is what will keep it this way.
444. Karen Herlihy, Woodstock, MD, 21163  
WE ARE IN SUPPORT OF NET NEUTRALITY WITH THE CLASSIFICATION OF ISP UNDER TITLE II. KEEP THE INTERNET FREE AND FAIR. YOUR BILL IS SAD!
445. Vishal Dua, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Hi, I STRONGLY support NET NEUTRALITY backed by TITLE 2 oversight of ISPs, as continuation of this policy maintains a healthy capitalistic balance between corporate and entry-level entrepreneurs in our MODERN and free society. I also support that Ajit Pai smash his ridiculous orange coffee mug - office space style - it will make many people very happy. Have a wonderful day.  
ThanksVishal
446. Michael LaVina, Monkton, MD, 21111  
Please maintain net neutrality on the internet and keep it classified under Title 2. ISP's should be required to provide equal access to all content on the internet.
447. Tyler Babich, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Please support Net Neutrality because it is the right thing to do for America. It is almost impossible to participate in our modern society, modern economy, and even our modern democracy, without reliable internet access. Doing away with Net Neutrality protections would harm almost every single American except for a tiny few internet service providers. Even they will eventually be hurt but the economic loss brought on by their actions. There is no argument in support of removing Net Neutrality protections that adequately considers the needs and rights of all Americans, now and in the future. The only arguments against Net Neutrality either ignore reality or benefit a tiny niche of people at the expense of countless others.
448. John Rosicky, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Please! It is extremely important to maintain strong net neutrality under Title II.

449. Alex Potts, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!! Please support Strong Net Neutrality.
450. Michael Wolf, ellicott city, MD, 21043  
I strongly support net neutrality , I want to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
451. Erez Smith, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Are you goddamn kidding me? Why on Earth is this even a question? If net neutrality is not maintained, there \*will\* be literal riots.
452. Victor Delclos, Baldwin, MD, 21013  
Keep Internet Neutrality under Title 2 rules.
453. Jeremy Matthews, Halethorpe, MD, 21227  
I strongly support regulation of ISP's under Title II regulation.
454. Dani, Columbia, MD, 21045  
We support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISP).
455. Christian Boyd, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I support strong internet neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers. Moreover, I'm appalled to learn that the FCC commissioner Ajit Pai has suggested that Title 2 oversight has decreased infrastructure investment and that he is citing this as a fundamental reason to roll back oversight of ISP's to a less regulated environment. I don't believe that infrastructure investment has decreased as several companies have gone on record saying their business has been largely unaffected by Title 2 including Verizon's Executive VP and CFO, Francis J Shammo in his report to share holders in 2014. There seems to be a serious conflict of interest if the FCC commissioner is supporting legislation that would ultimately benefit ISP's and not the people the FCC purports to serve.
456. freeman lo, baltimore, MD, 21239  
PUT ISP to TITLE II
457. Brian Cherinka, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I fully support net neutrality and the ISPs need to remain classified under Title II.
458. Umer FAROOQ, catonsville, MD, 21228  
we need net neutrality
459. joseph Nwadiuko, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
Please protect Net neutrality!!!
460. Susan Brantley, Windsor Mill, MD, 21244  
If we do not keep net neutrality, we will once again discriminate against smaller internet businesses in favor of larger ones. How would that help the economy? Please do not jeopardize net neutrality.
461. Kong Chen, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
I support strong net neutrality back by title II oversight of isp
462. Adam Gilbert, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
ISPs should absolutely remain regulated under Title II.
463. Emery Ayers-Greenidge, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Do not do away with net neutrality or title II.
464. ade ola, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I support fully net neutrality and title 2. FCC chairman hear us and support/preserve net neutrality and title 2
465. Graham, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Net neutrality is an essential part of keeping free equal access to information, services, and online content. Repealing net neutrality only serves business interests and is not in the best interest of the general public. Do not change the laws, do not get rid of net neutrality!!
466. Jess Wilhelm, Baltimore, MD, 21230  
Undermining net neutrality will allow whoever pays the most to make fake news the only news.
467. Kelly Priest, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Please preserve the current net neutrality rules under title 2. It is vital that citizens be free to utilize the internet freely, without being manipulated by internet service providers who only want more money. Thank you
468. Michael Calvert, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Leave Title II in place. Do not regress on Net Neutrality.
469. Daniel Fewster, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I am fully behind the ideal of strong net neutrality rules in conjunction with Title II.
470. Michael Ransom, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I'm opposed to lifting the Title II classification of the ISPs and I'm for strong net neutrality. I'd like to hear some convincing arguments

against net neutrality. Feel free to mail me these arguments... my address is above.

471. Ben Pettipaw, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Please keep net neutrality do not pass this regulation
472. zachary rogers, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I support the title 2 oversight for isps in support of open and equal internet access for all sources.
473. Marina Glennon, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY WITH OVERSIGHT BY TITLE II Thank you John Oliver
474. Anthony John Scavone, Baltimore, MD, 21231  
I strongly support net neutrality and the current regulations restricting ISPs. Any rollback of current policies would be dangerously irresponsible.
475. Vincent Lucarelli, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
The Internet is critical to American life. It is integral to commerce, communication, and IT systems. Please preserve a strong Net Neutrality rule and keep ISPs under Title II.
476. FN, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I support the preservation of strong net neutrality backed by Title II Oversight of ISPs. None of these internet service providers be allowed to sabotaging each to get ahead, and the people should have the right to use the ISP of their choice
477. jerry pilcher, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISP. Please do not change this!
478. Andrew Pak, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Keep the Net Neutrality and the title 2 provisions intact. Under the Trump administration we have lost our privacy by allowing ISPs to sell our info without the consumers permission. Do not make the similar mistake. We know that you worked for Verizon...
479. Lannie Zelaya, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Keep the Net Neutrality and the title 2 provisions intact. Under the Trump administration we have lost our privacy by allowing ISPs to sell our info without the consumers permission.
480. Jay Baldwin, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Strong protection of the internet via Title 2 needs to be reinforced with stronger penalties for violations not removed. Historically we needed these regulations to protect consumers from the abuses of large internet service providers in the past and we need to continue to safeguard the people with these regulations.
481. Margaret Carlson, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I support net neutrality kept under Title II. I strongly support an open internet, and the FCC should continue to classify ISPs under Title II.
482. calvin mccormack, Sparks, MD, 21093  
Strongly support net neutrality and Title ii of the communications act of 1934,
483. preserve net neutrality and title 2, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
preserve net neutrality and title 2, why do you think its OK to grant free will to a dog the will turn rabid and bite your face off if you let it off the leash, Not preserving net neutrality is completely un-american
484. Allison Korycki, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
PLEASE preserve net neutrality and Title 2!!!!
485. Mihir Nandkeolyar, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
Please do not remove title 2 classification of ISPs
486. Jonathan Doe, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Net Neutrality is extremely important. The idea that my universal right to the internet may be infringed upon in disconcerting as a citizen. This was already fought for, it was already passed, and the idea that so much will be backtracked is an outrage.
487. Bradley Argauer, Clarksville,, MD, 21029  
I immensely support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs
488. Ziling Guo, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I specifically support strong net neutrality that's my title to oversight of ISPs
489. Rhiannon, Lutherville, MD, 21093  
I strongly support net neutrality and I want you to preserve Title II. Thank you.
490. Ian Kim, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
As an American citizen, I do not back the restoring internet freedom act. I support strong net neutrality under title 2 of the communications act of 1939.

491. Steven Bass, baltimore, MD, 21217  
keep net neutrality in title II
492. Jared Lyles, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I support net neutrality and keeping Internet service providers under Title II. Net neutrality is the basis for Freedom of Information and is in keeping in the ethos of both the free internet and the United States of America anything else would deny users of equal access. The fact that anyone would consider repealing net neutrality is nothing short of disgusting. I see this is nothing but a thinly veiled attempt for this Administration to line the pockets large corporate interest.
493. Kay hedger, baltimore, MD, 21205  
we want to retain net Neutrality. Internet providers should not determine the content I see.
494. Kyle Anderson, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Internet broadband service should be protected as a Title II utility. Additionally, usage and browsing history should not be able to be shared or sold by service providers. Any elected official that votes against these wishes will not receive my vote if seeking reelection. I will not donate to any national or state organization that supports the removal of Title II and privacy provisions.
495. Michael Berlin, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Ex ante [i.e. preventative] regulatory intervention in the market is necessary in the broadband context. The Title II order must stand in order to prevent the destruction of net neutrality as a fundamental guarantee granted to the citizens of the United States. It is not in the interests of the ISP's to preserve our rights, it is supposed to be the interest of the government to preserve our rights. We want a guarantee of net neutrality, not the polite nod of the ISP's. The FCC must answer to and protect the interests of the citizens as we demand, not as is preferred by big business and not as envisioned by the Chairman of the FCC who does not represent the will of the people, especially in this matter!
496. Hailey, Highland, MD, 20777  
Net neutrality is good for the people, everyone knows that, keep it.
497. Hector Quesada, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
The FCC's proposed rules regarding internet service providers (ISPs) and their classification as "common carriers" will hurt net neutrality. In order to ensure that the FCC can effectively regulate ISPs going forward, ISPs must continue to be classified as common carriers under the FCC's Title II [of the Communications Act of 1934] authority. Removing this classification will essentially result in the government's reliance on ISPs's voluntary commitments to providing a free and open internet available to all users without fear of 'throttling' or other differential treatment, as the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit in Verizon v. Federal Communications Commission (2014) ruled that ISPs regulated under Title I of the Act cannot be subject to regulations like those that would ensure net neutrality. As a consumer, I use internet data from various competing sources, and have come to expect that data from each source will be delivered at the same speeds and quality. Consumers like me will no longer be able to expect this sort of equal treatment if the FCC removes the classification of ISPs as common carriers. Thank you in advance for reviewing my comments and taking them into consideration.
498. liana guedes, baltimore, MD, 21201  
removing fcc oversight is IMORAL!!!!!!
499. robert mcmahan, Baltimore, MD, 21230  
Please keep the title 2 status of ISP's intact and preserve net neutrality.
500. Isaac Bunn, baltimore, MD, 21216  
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Dont sit here and lie to us like we wont notice that "The Defenders" loads slower than "Bosch"
501. Christopher Lucas, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
Please keep the interest of the American citizen in mind over that of a corporation in regards to internet services.
502. Catriona Hanley, Baltimore, MD, 21212  
I support net neutrality!! In fact, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Can you please ensure that we keep the internet free and open?thanks,Catriona Hanley
503. Bob, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Title II is vitally important to the safeguarding of net neutrality in the US. I support strong net neutrality, and believe title II offers necessary oversight of ISPs.
504. Coleman Anderson, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
Keep Title 2 intact and preserve net neutrality!
505. Carla Tevelow, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2- You must maintain the free and open internet we have today--with no slow lanes or censorship by Big Cable.
506. Zachary Beck, Woodstock, MD, 21163  
Do not change the classification of Internet Service Provider's. Their current classification under Title 2 has not effected them in any negative way. Reclassification would endanger the nature of the internet as well as stifle both creative and economic growth.
507. net neutrality, Clarksville, MD, 21029

I STRONGLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY, BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISP'S

508. Atheos, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I think net neutrality is a terrible idea, resulting in digital ghettos and consolidating the market power of large companies at the expense of startups and newcomers, stifling innovation and restricting freedom of expression and access to information. Keep the airwaves free, keep the internet free of this kind of nonsense.
509. Kathryn Ekey, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I am writing to voice my support for preserving the net neutrality standards laid out by the Obama administration. Please preserve the net neutrality rules under Title II.
510. James Stofan, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
I fully support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The FCC should not weaken these consumer protections.
511. Martin Bond, Phoenix, MD, 21131  
I strong support Net Neutrality and Title II over-site of internet service providers! Any other approach by the FCC would be anti-consumer.
512. Shahak Nagiel, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I strongly support title 2 net neutrality. Reversing this decision is antithetical to the spirit of the internet, will hurt consumers, and disincentivize competition.
513. Mark Rossettie, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
The internet growing freely through Title II protections has made a wonderful contribution to my life and our society. We have many good reasons to want to protect a free and open internet - our society, commerce, education, health, and financial security all benefit through the unfettered communication the internet provides. Removing Title II protections jeopardizes that free communication. This is an issue a large majority of Americans can agree on: please do not remove Title II protections.
514. Joseph Williams, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Net neutral is central to individual freedom and a robust economy in our modern age. The internet is absolutely integral in Americans' lives and ISPs have no right to alter their service based on companies who pay for a faster connection. Listen to American people. We do not want our freedom of unfiltered internet infringed.
515. Gordon Leonard, Phoenix, MD, 21131  
I believe it to be a misconception that the internet is not a utility as it is a fundamental necessity in all households. The ISP's should continue to be regulated as a utility to ensure consumer consumption is not impacted by the whims of any ISP. The idea the ISP's will not show bias makes me question one's understanding of how business operate. Furthermore noting this will slowdown further ISP expansion and development is also another demonstration in one's misunderstanding of how business operate and multiple example can seen in that counter this message in financial statements of multiple ISP's.
516. Dana Christianson, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
Please maintain Title 2 ruling to protect net neutrality rules. I favor strong net neutrally rules. We the people, cannot trust companies, driven by stakeholder profits, to self regulate.
517. Net Neutrality, Baltimore, MD, 21228  
It is the responsibility of the FCC to strictly oversee the activities of the ISPs through their classification as Title II entities
518. M. Robert Belas, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I support net neutrality, and want it preserved.
519. Christopher Nealen, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
The internet is a utility, and has become essential to daily life. Everyone should have equal access to the web, and shouldn't be given a faster connection just because they are wealthier. Providers should not be allowed to pick and choose what sites get preferential treatment. Net neutrality is free speech.
520. Benjamin Swartz, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
I support Net Neutrality and voice my strong support for the regulation and oversight of ISPs under Title II. I reject the comments made by Chairman Ajit Varadaraj Pai that support an unregulated ISP market and I believe it is in this nation's best interest for the FCC to provide strong oversight on these markets. Doing anything less would be against the inherent morals of American exceptionalism.
521. Earle Hollenbaugh, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I am totally opposed to any changes to net neutrality rules. The internet must remain a common carrier. We do not need any more give-aways to the rich and large corporations.
522. Supporting Title II Oversight of ISPs, Baltimore, MD, 21230  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP. ISPs already seem to monopolize regions of the united states, sometimes operating without competition. It would be a mistake to allow them to operate without the tighter restrictions of Title II. In 2016, the UN has issued a declaration indicating the internet should be open. Please do not give ISPs more power to abuse, the internet is better off when these ISPs are under title II oversight.
523. Laura Nolan, west friendship, MD, 21794  
KEEP INTERNET SERVICE PROVIDERS CLASSIFIED AS TITLE 2. I support net neutrality.

524. Abram Fox, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I believe net neutrality is an essential element of internet access in the United States, and net neutrality regulation should NOT be deregulated and delegated to the FTC. Internet access is required for many employees and employers in the US, and all but mandatory for job seekers, students, and others. Maintaining net neutrality doesn't prevent innovation and competition between companies, it only establishes a level playing field. Please do not make a massive change to our internet regulatory system on ostensibly-economic grounds without truly understanding existing studies that demonstrate net neutrality has a net benefit for economics, and without understanding the massive non-economic benefits to American society.
525. Joan Cooper, Baltimore, MD, 21209  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. This is an essential component of protecting our rights under the First Amendment.
526. Mitchell Osborne, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I strongly support net neutrality and the enforcement of ISP's under Title II. Any change to this would lead to selective content being supported and promoted by said ISP's.
527. Michael Walley-Rund, Baltimore, MD, 21212  
I would like to voice my strong support for net neutrality and for keeping internet service providers (ISPs) classified under Title II.
528. Linda Smelser, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY: US consumers and businesses do not want their Internet communications manipulated by telecom and cable companies. The only winners that will benefit from killing net neutrality are the companies who are in control of Internet traffic. It is disheartening that the Trump administration wants to abolish an overwhelmingly popular and beneficial regulation for US consumers and businesses that use the Internet, simply to benefit a handful of telecom and cable companies. This is NOT a way to "make America great again."
529. David Paton, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I am very concerned about the desire to change the Title II status of the internet neutrality laws. I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
530. Allison Weaver, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Thank you.
531. Matt Stiens, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I am in strong favor of strong net neutrality and for ISP's to remain in Title 2 classification.
532. Eriks Richters, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Please leave Net Neutrality rules as they are. ISPs need to be classified as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act. ISPs provide a service to consumers that is absolutely vital to modern life. They can not be deciding winners and losers on the internet. Eliminating the net neutrality rules is the most anti-competition and anti-freedom thing that the FCC can do for the internet.
533. Stephanie Moliterno, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I can't believe we still have to fight for this issue. I STRONGLY SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY.
534. Cary Euwer, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I vehemently oppose. This undermines the first amendment.
535. brian brzezicki, west friendship, MD, 21794  
I strongly support strong net neutrality protection. I already have issues with my cable provider not playing nicely with other networks and it's quite frustrating. The Internet should always be a free flow of information and not censored or slowed by the providers.
536. Amanda Stanley, Arbutus, MD, 21227  
Preserve net neutrality and keep classifying this as Title ii! This is incredibly important, and in America we already deal with the repercussions of letting big businesses monopolize, cherry pick favorites of who to endorse, and generally run rampant. As a lower income American in college it is so incredibly important that fairness be preserved in regard to internet speed.
537. Jermame Mathis, Columbia, MD, 21045  
Keep the net neutral. ISPs should stay under Title 2
538. Alex Marakov, Columbia, MD, 21045  
I strongly oppose this attempt to further sell out the right of private citizens to access this critical infrastructure to mendacious corporations with proven track records of unethical business practices.
539. Jason Kallgren, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I strongly urge the FCC to keep in place Net Neutrality rules, and to keep in place the regulatory and legal framework that allows the FCC to regulate ISPs. Commissioner Pai claims no evidence exists of ISPs playing favorites with Internet traffic. This is completely false. Comcast, Verizon, AT&T, Sprint, T-Mobile, and probably others, have all been caught or implicated in schemes which effectively reduce the speed of access of one site while favoring another. There is little doubt that, if the regulation of ISPs is moved back under Title 1, such schemes would quickly arise again. And so, as stated earlier, I strongly urge the FCC to keep Net Neutrality in place.
540. andrew lane, Baldwin, MD, 21013  
Net neutrality is essential to upholding the bill of rights as intended. Freedom of speech should not be throttled!
541. Bill Hoard, Baltimore, MD, 21218

I fully support the retention of Net Neutrality by keeping ISPs classified under Title 2.

542. Matthew Durlington, Baldwin, MD, 21013  
I support net neutrality and the ability for innovation and entrepreneurship by American citizens. Please consider the opportunity that equal access and speeds from ISPs provide for ingenuity for all American citizens.
543. Charanpreet Singh Johal, Marriottsville, MD, 21104  
Net Neutrality is imperative for the protection of US Citizens.
544. James Malley, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
As the owner of an internet-based business, I am strongly opposed to Docket No. 17-108. My business most likely wouldn't exist without the level playing field provided by strong Net Neutrality rules. Dismantling NN would irreparably damage my business and the thriving tech startup industry in this country.
545. Robert Allen, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This is the only way to insure our internet is a free and open space that is conducive to future start ups and entrepreneurs. Not maintaining and enforcing strict oversight in this matter will harm our economy.
546. Janet Schwartzman, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
I support net neutrality. It prevents providers from manipulating consumers' access to information. Net neutrality is important to consumers and only benefits providers.
547. Matthew Roe, Baltimore, MD, 21207  
I cannot express how strongly I support net neutrality. And specifically net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It is absolutely necessary for the common people to have a level playing field with our government and the companies which rely on us as consumers. We need this as a public utility, and we need to ensure it is neutral. Ajit Pai, in your long career fighting for interests of the corporations you have represented, please do the right thing in regards to this very important issue. Thank you.
548. Chris Lavoie, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. This is a quality of life issue. This is a fairness issue. This is an equal opportunity issue. The idea that more corporate control will pay off in the long run is wishful thinking and by all objective perspectives inaccurate. Corporations don't effectively self regulate and don't do what is in the best interest of the general public without regulation. Far better that the internet grows in a way that benefits all then we take unnecessary risks that benefit those on top.
549. Kweku Duncan, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and require that ISPs remain under Title II to conform to Net Neutrality laws.
550. Scott Reed, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I support net neutrality by maintaining ISPs under Title 2. Any change to the current protections make no sense because I don't trust American businesses to do the right thing. The only thing they will do is watch their own profits.
551. Cole Robinson Pritchard, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Who would think that it would be a good idea to let corporations pick and choose what information gets to people? Pretty much the whole world gets its vital news, art, information, media, education, livelihood and many many more things from the internet. The internet is a powerful resource that we have ALL come to rely on. Letting a small group of people control what we see is giving up an unthinkable huge amount of freedom. The internet should be allowed to exist as is has been doing, growing freely, in whatever direction we as humans choose for it.
552. John Kidwell, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
Ajit Pai must think we're all idiots. Our current regulations are in place after a case that he himself litigated, so he should know as well as anyone that there is no good reason ISP's will behave ethically if we roll back regulations. Also, his face is extremely dumb. I mean, look at that doofus! What a ding dong!
553. Jordan Taylor, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I support net neutrality and oppose the roll back of Obama era consumer protections.
554. Danielle Schmitt, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I am writing to express my concern over the future of internet freedom. The proposed changes to "net neutrality" greatly concern me. By allowing companies to police themselves, we are essentially allowing companies to do whatever they want. Competition between companies isn't going to mean anything. For example, where I live in Baltimore, Maryland, Comcast has a monopoly over internet and cable service. Therefore, thousands of city residents, like myself, are at the mercy of whatever Comcast decides we have to pay for basic cable and internet. We do not have the choice of other providers. So when Comcast decides to restrict access to certain media outlets or websites, we have to deal with whatever they do. However, by protecting net neutrality, you, the FCC, can ensure a free and open internet with equal access for everyone. This isn't just about a cable company lining their pockets with profits, this is about free speech. In an age when mass protests and movements are started online, it is essential that everyone has equal access to the same platforms. Please, maintain net neutrality, and do not let the cable companies police themselves.
555. Sarah Hensley, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. The internet should not be pay to play. While we're at it, companies

should not be able to scour personal information to create echo chambers within their own internet worlds for users. This common practice is destroying open discourse and tolerance, often spreading rampant lies, and eats away at our democracy.

556. Stephen John Sowden, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Net neutrality is essential. Government and its cronies should not be allowed to make money off the back of restricting peoples access to content.
557. RICHARD WHITING, Elkridge, MD, 21075  
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 oversight for ISP providers
558. Emily Fisher, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I strongly support the current rules of net neutrality. Currently, there are only two companies that can offer fast internet at my address and one company declined to install it at my home 2 years ago because they didn't want to run new lines to my house. This leaves me at the whim of Verizon's pricing and it is absolutely inappropriate to suspend net neutrality and allow Verizon to decide which sites I can view and post to. Internet access should be like electricity: I will pay for the service and decide which devices and sites I want to "plug in" to the utility. Thank you for your consideration.
559. Adam Lance, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
It is of the utmost importance that we preserve and protect the classification of ISP's under title II in order to ensure Fair Net Neutrality standards and practices. The will of the American people cannot and will not be ignored in this matter.
560. Carla Tevelow, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) It's mandatory to keep equal access to all users. Without Net Neutrality, ISPs will be able to engage in discriminatory practices that will control what everyone is able to hear and see online. This is not the equal, open Internet that we know we want and love.
561. Carl Nelson, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Do NOT eliminate Obama-era net neutrality rules! We need the FCC to protect equal and open access to the internet.
562. William Farrell, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I support Net Neutrality in principle and the continuation of managing net neutrality under Title II of the FCC code.
563. William Holbrook, Upperco, MD, 21155  
I support the protection of net neutrality and internet freedom.
564. LaSchelle Ross, Baltimore, MD, 21212  
I support Strong Net neutrality backed by Title II for ISP's.
565. Kush Mansuria, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I support strong net neutrality regulation backed by Title 2 classification of ISPs.
566. Marc Halushka, Timonium, MD, 21093  
I support net neutrality and keeping the internet providers under the regulations put forth under title II.
567. Ross Warner, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
Strong net neutrality is important to keep ISPs in check and as such should be backed by title II classification and oversight.
568. Melissa Tamedl, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
Chairman Pai,I support strong net neutrality regulation with Title II oversight of ISPs. Industry self-regulation is an insufficient substitute, as most consumers have limited ISP choices available to them so that market forces are diluted by effective monopoly. In metropolitan Baltimore I have only one broadband provider available to me, which is Comcast. Barring federal regulations specifically preventing such, it is in Comcast's financial interest, and therefore a duty to their shareholders, to slow content providers such as Netflix in order to advantage their cable TV subscription sales. Do the right thing, keep Title II protections in place!Sincerely,Melissa Tamedl
569. Stephen Robert Frank, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
It is absolutely essential that ISPs continue to be classified as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. The internet has been a huge democratizing force that has allowed each individual equal and unfettered access to not only information, but various other applications such as online banking, asset management, entertainment, health records and much more. Taking the asset management application of the internet into account, imagine you are trying to buy stock through your account on ScottTrade but Ameritrade had paid Comcast to provide market data through their website (or proprietary applications) to their clients at a faster rate. This would mean that access to your account information, market data, and order processing would be slower on ScottTrade and you therefore would not have an equal opportunity at purchasing stock as clients using Ameritrade's services.Though under this example it is hard to understand why ScottTrade would not also pay for "fast lane" service, but this outlines a key example to how allowing preferential treatment or "fast last" service is unfair for consumers in a way that actually has fiscal consequences.Please do everything you can to keep ISPs classified as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. The internet has become essential to our daily lives, just like energy. Don't let some have a larger life raft than others.Thank you,Stephen Robert Frank
570. John Larson, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Limiting the effectiveness of net neutrality is unabashedly favoring the interests of telecom companies over the consumer. This is anti-competitive as it will place the power to favor certain online services in the hands of companies with a clear conflict of interest. There is already clear evidence of this provided in the complaint against Time Warner Cable filed in New York State this week. The FCC has a responsibility to monitor internet providers on behalf of the public, giving providers the power to regulate themselves in regards to

favoring content is irresponsible and unethical.

571. Fahim Faruque, Baltimore, MD, 21230  
I would like for you to preserve net neutrality and Title II as it stood before any changes were made during the Trump administration.
572. max ehrlich, Reisterstown, MD, 21136  
Net neutrality needs to be upheld. Corporate kickbacks vs the voice of the people. Net neutrality increases competition and helps to prevent monopolies.
573. Lillie Reed, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Along with most Americans - liberal and conservative - I do not share the backwards and corporate-backed views of Ajit Pai. Net neutrality is necessary to this country's democracy, free speech and informed electorate. Do not roll back net neutrality regulations. Keep ISPs classified as Title II entities. Politics aside, removing net neutrality regulations is a clear message to the American people that you do not care about us or respect our rights -- you care about corporate interests. In the modern world, access to neutral and open internet is a human right (check with the UN if you don't believe me), because it is the largest and most important modern tool that grants all humans access to economic advancement, educational opportunities or political engagement. The FCC and all regulatory/administrative/legislative agencies tasked with internet and communications regulations should be looking to make internet MORE neutral, MORE accessible, and MORE free -- NOT removing the consumer protections that allow our democracy and democracies the world over to function. You want to be like Russia or China? 'Cuz this is how you get like Russia or China. Net neutrality must stay. Ajit Pai must go, or at least adapt his twisted corporate thinking (and policy decisions) to what is the will of a vast majority of the American people who do NOT support deregulation and STRONGLY support net neutrality.
574. Martin Maier, Baltimore, MD, 21230  
I obviously support strong net neutrality. Many more-advanced nations have declared internet access to be a basic human right. Why would we take the openness and freedom of the internet and put it in the hands of big corporations to dole out as serves their business interests? Threat the internet as a utility, not a luxury. Serve the people, not the ISPs. Do the right thing.
575. Zach Pekor, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
I support strong net neutrality back by oversight of Title II ISPs. Also, we all know Pai has Verizon's and other big telecommunication companies best interest at heart. Sad!
576. Ellen Robbins, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I am writing to oppose any changes to net neutrality that privilege certain carriers or other entities over others.
577. James E. Mowbray, Woodstock, MD, 21163  
I support net neutrality with title 2 oversight. Do your duty and protect the citizens of the United States.
578. Peter Solomon, Clarksville, MD, 21029  
It is essential to preserve internet neutrality under Title 2. Do not undermine these protections for all Americans
579. Ben Wright, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
I support the current internet rules under Title II. I am absolutely against changing the rules. The current rules are effective and should be maintained as is.
580. Margaret Shanahan, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I very specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Do not remove the Title 2 oversight, doing so would specifically act against the interests of any person and a future of equal footing for creativity, innovation, and commerce.
581. Diana Cron, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
It is crucial for the FCC to preserve Title II regulations to ensure net neutrality. If corporations can control what Americans have easy access to online versus what is more difficult for them to access online, the internet will no longer be a place of information sharing. Instead it will become a place where propaganda is spread and corporations benefit off the suffering of the people. You, at the FCC, know this, and I believe that is why the newly appointed head of FCC is advocating so strongly for the removal of these regulations. We see you. America sees you. Go read 1984 if you are wondering where your priorities are leading this country. And then let us keep our regulated, equal access internet. Thanks.
582. Victoria Bragg, Cockeysville, MD, 21030  
Dear FCC, This issue was fought in 2015 & the arguments against it still hold. Giving internet service providers this power will allow them to turn the Internet into a political tool. The citizens of the US already pay more for Net access than other countries because the "service" providers cooperate with each other to stifle competition. The least we deserve is equal distribution of speed and open access. Victoria Bragg
583. Janet Freeman, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Please consider making the equipment side of the internet (network, servers, switches) a utility, and separating it from the content providers. Allow customers to purchase internet access from regulated providers. Allow cities & towns to set up public wi-fi for their citizens & businesses. Preserve net neutrality. Require internet service providers to upgrade service on a regular basis, and allow them to charge only a regulated fee for internet service. Let them make money on content & home based equipment (routers, etc.). Thank-you.
584. Brian Zubek, Baltimore, MD, 21223  
I support extremely strong oversight of net neutrality backed by Title II (2) oversight of ISP's. Thank you.
585. Jackson Jordan, Baltimore, MD, 21231

I support Net Neutrality and keeping ISP regulated under Title II under the Communications Act

586. Michael Knecht, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs. Equal access to information is essential to a free and open internet.
587. FCC proposal, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
We need net neutrality, back by title 2 oversight of ISP.#feminism
588. Adelene Jane Sapal, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
I very support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
589. Luke Quell, Randallstown, MD, 21133  
Do not remove ISPs from Title II regulations. I support strong net neutrality rules!
590. Andrew Schoenfeld, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I support ISPs being regulated as Title 2 to support a strong and open internet.
591. Kerri-Ann Norton, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. The internet should be open and not slowed down (or sped up) by any provider.
592. Rachel Hart, Baltimore, MD, 21215  
It is imperative to preserve net neutrality and Title II to keep the internet free and accessible to all.
593. Robert Hatch, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Please support net neutrality and internet privacy. Net neutrality and user privacy are part of the basic American value of freedom. Not supporting internet privacy is like giving permission to companies and individuals to root through your mailbox or your cell phone records to track information about you. Violating net neutrality will also end up hurting our economy in the long run. Not cool, and not American. We are better than this. Thank you for listening.
594. Zachary Finnegan, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
Net neutrality needs to be preserved through government enforcement. ISP's need to remain under the title II classification.
595. Tobin R. Frevert, Columbia, MD, 21045  
I strongly support Net Neutrality back by Title II oversight backed by ISPs. Please don't be a country by the corporate, for the corporate.
596. Catherine Bessell, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Please preserve net neutrality under title 2 regulation.
597. Lisa Wenrich, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
Please Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2! The internet is too important to sell it off to the highest bidder!
598. Christopher Nalty, Glenelg, MD, 21737  
I am opposed to this Proceeding docket number 17-108. Net Neutrality is crucial to the rights of all people's privacy and the rights for them to have non discriminating access to any information on the internet without the providers having complete and total control over everything on the internet.
599. Ibi Keller, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Please do not loosen the laws regarding net neutrality and the FCC's ability to hold ISPs accountable and transparent in their continuation of net neutrality. I don't trust ISPs to maintain net neutrality without the FCC's oversight under Title 2.
600. Nicole Patten, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I am voting NO for ending net neutrality.
601. 17-108, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Keep ISPs under title II. Considering the influence/discretion ending net neutrality would give to ISPs without substantial oversight its quite surprising this issue has gone even this far. Its not broke, don't try to fix it. Cool mug... not.
602. Jeremy Harris, Halethorpe, MD, 21227  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Repealing net neutrality is a mistake and to do so would hurt American citizens.
603. Tyler Merchant, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
Net neutrality is essential to a free and open internet, which is arguably the greatest tool for democracy ever achieved by our species.
604. Sally Boulter, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I urge the FCC to preserve strong net neutrality rules and Title 2.
605. Naomi Heiligman, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I support net neutrality because the Internet should be accessible to everyone and taking that away for corporate profit is vile.
606. Liz Barrett, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Keep Title 2 rules in place to maintain Net Neutrality

607. Dr. Daniel Isenberg, Cockeysville, MD, 21030  
I demand that the FCC continue to support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Your decision on this matter will specifically impact my voting choices in the next congressional and presidential elections.
608. Emily Abrams, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Net neutrality is vitally important to a free and open society. Cable and internet providers should not be able to hinder free access to organizations, information, and competition. Just as we would not allow telephone companies to decide which phone calls are connected we cannot allow internet service providers to decide which content we have access to.
609. i, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
As a citizen who uses the internet every day at work, I ask that Title II remain the framework under which internet service providers are regulated. The internet is a great resource for small businesses. Given that small businesses are a major sector of the economy, supporting Title II supports small businesses.
610. Kurt Meyer, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Please keep the internet under title II. Thank you.
611. Maytal Gross, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Hello,I am writing to say that I'm in favor of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers.Thank you.
612. Chris Mirro, Hydes, MD, 21082  
Net Neutrality should be maintained as a strong legal prohibition against ISP's restricting, limiting, throttling or in any other way controlling access to content. I would go further and suggest that ISP's should be prohibited from owning any form of content entity. For example, Comcast / Universal / NBC is a step too far, and that ISP's should not be permitted to own or be affiliated with specific content providers. There should be a clear separation between the provision of internet access and the ownership and creation of content provided over the internet in order to prevent any current or future conflict.
613. Jad Melki, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Uphold net neutrality, keep article II.
614. William Ross, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Please maintain an Open Internet and continue to enforce the Title II regulation for ISP's.
615. Roger Farwell, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
I'm writing to express my support for maintaining net neutrality and keeping in place Title II of the 1934 Communications Act. Please do not change the rules to favor corporations that want to profit by turning the internet into yet another arena of haves vs. have-nots.
616. David Allan Bandel, Glenwood, MD, 21738  
Please support current Net neutrality regulations under Title II. I am concerned that the protections that are currently in place will be weakened if we change the way theyâ€™re enforced, or as proposed effectively removed. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose the current level of protection. Government's mandate is to provide a level playing field for all, not enable inevitable abuses. Thank you for consideration of my concerns.
617. Pamela Germain, Woodstock, MD, 21163  
Do not roll back 2015â€™s â€œTitle IIâ€ reclassification for broadband Internet that deemed it a public utility and ensured that Internet service providers would have to treat all data on their network equally. ISP's should not be allowed to pick and choose what sites and services get preferential treatment on their networks, rather than treating all users the same. The throttling and blocking of data from certain sites and services, as well as paid prioritization of data by ISP's should not be allowed to occur.
618. Rob Sullivan, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Keep ISPs as Title II, so no one gets faster internet than anyone else.
619. Steven Jagodzinski, Arbutus, MD, 21227  
Internet providers should remain classified as Title II. I support a net neutrality.
620. Caitlynn, Halethorpe, MD, 21227  
Dear FCC and Ajit Pai,Seeing as the shift to Title II has had minimal impact on businesses, and reverting back to decreased regulation would allow companies to actively manipulate your access to information, conservative, liberal and/or anything else, I specifically support strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
621. Erica Zacharie, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
This regulation should NOT be promulgated or enrolled as law. Net Neutrality is a fundamental right, and companies like Verizon should not be able to lobby to gain control of ISPs to limit or slow access. As an American consumer, I have no confidence in the ability of these corrupt companies to regulate themselves, and the current Director of the FCC, Ajit Pai is an idiot with a tiny Reese's mug-- don't trust that guy at all! Leave internet regulation under Title II, and keep the internet free and fair.
622. Noah Carver, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
I agree with John Oliver and the millions of other people currently bombarding this site, praying you won't make this mistake. I strongly support net neutrality backed by title II legislation. ISP's are a utility. The idea of them having any control over the content I can access is outrageous. Don't be the man who ruined the free market of the internet. History books will not be kind to you.
623. Armin Rest, Timonium, MD, 21093

Do not cave in to big business, preserve net neutrality!

624. Jamie McCarron, Sparks, MD, 21152  
I implore you to keep and protect net neutrality. All data on the internet should be treated the same and large corporations should not be using their influence to prosper over the rest of us. A free and open internet promotes innovation and helps prevent unfair pricing practices. Please protect freedom of speech with a free and open internet.
625. David Noonan, Woodbine, MD, 21797  
Please leave ISPs regulated under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
626. Dan Heflin, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I strongly support net neutrality laws backed by Title II; please keep it intact.
627. Joseph, columbia, MD, 21044  
Please keep net neutrality and enforce even stricter guidelines on service providers
628. \*Priyanka Gaitonde, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Please continue to regulate the ISPs under the Title 2 of the Communications Act
629. Terence Casey, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by the Title II oversight of ISPs as it is currently set up at the time of this comment 5/9/2017.
630. Jake Wilkinson, sparks, MD, 21152  
I have no idea how you've come to the conclusion that net neutrality is bad, you're either a snake well aware of what's going on, or you're blind. Net Neutrality is necessary.
631. Daniele Spagnolo, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
It is your responsibility to preserve net neutrality and Title II. It is a decision that support societal equity and it needs to be followed through.
632. Heather Johnson, Monkton, MD, 21111  
Preserve Net Neutrality. Taking it away would defeat the purpose of the internet.
633. internet, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I am in favor of preserving net neutrality, and urge the FCC to keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules
634. Krishna Munagala, Woodbine, MD, 21797  
Please preserve net neutrality laws under Title II
635. Khaleel Ismail, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I believe in full Net Neutrality and that Title 2 regulation should remain in effect
636. Alexander Gardullo, Lutherville, MD, 21093  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please preserve net neutrality.
637. Restoring Internet Freedom, Highland, MD, 20777  
To Chairman Pai: I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The proposed regulations that claim to restore internet freedom would in fact bring less freedom for the public. These laws would open up massive potential for abuse by ISPs and stifle smaller websites. Title II is not an unnecessary or overly strict restriction on ISP. Please do the right thing by ensuring equal access for all.
638. Tracey Gaughran, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
I ask that the FCC support strong net neutrality and work to enact the will of people of the U.S., not the interests of corporations.
639. charles downs, baltimore, MD, 21214  
i support net neutrality with strong title 2 fcc regulations. don't be dicks with the internet.
640. Steve Browdgeob, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
I support Title II net neutrality and believe the repeated attempts by the FCC, federal administration, and lobbying corporations like Comcast, Time-Warner, and Verizon are both reprehensible and irresponsible. We are a government of the People, by the People. We do not pander to bloated organizations that believe their rights and freedoms supersede our own. We are legion.
641. Larissa Hawkins, Baltimore, MD, 21207  
Please maintain equal access to online information under Net Neutrality backed by title one and title two
642. Thomas Maurice, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
We need to maintain a free and open internet. We must leave the internet under Title II so that we have true net neutrality. Without regulations, it is pretty much guaranteed that ISP's will not leave a 'level playing field' and move towards a 'pay to play' model.... leaving the consumer with no true recourse. PLEASE - leave the internet under Title II control. ISP's make enough money as is. Thank you.
643. Isaiah Gao, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II regulation of ISPs.

644. ben hasday, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
Net neutrality is an important part of a strong internet economy. Allowing ISPs to control the content we see and the places we shop stifles innovation and competition, two things that good capitalism is based on.
645. Richard Burcat, Columbia, MD, 21044  
As a consumer of the internet, and someone who already pays too much to an industry run by monopolies, I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Any lightening of the regulation gives a green light to corporations to screw consumers more.
646. Sean Croyle, Ellicott city, MD, 21043  
Net neutrality is important. ISP's must be kept in check by being classified as Title II. Title II does not stop innovation nor does it stop infrastructure growth.
647. Melissa Huselton, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I support a free and open internet. I support Title II regulations.
648. Ji Chung, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I am submitting this comment to voice my support of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I want to make it very clear I AM OPPOSED to Docket No. 17-108!
649. Elliott Hinson, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I demand that the net neutrality be kept under title 2. The open and free internet along with the equal speed of data is the bedrock of the internet which supports a constantly growing change for a better and faster world. Changinh these rules to be under the title 1 footing will undermine the fundamental structure of the internet and the fast pace of change that we have seen grow business and new forms of content online.
650. Gabriel Alba, Reisterstown, MD, 21136  
I support net neutrality enforcement under title II for ISPs. Please reconsider and do not repeal this policy as it currently stands.
651. Miles Morgan, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I am strongly in favour of Title II and strict net neutrality laws. Please don't let Ajit Pai take these away.
652. Pamela McCann, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Dear FCC, I strongly believe that it is imperative to keep the Net open and free to all. As such, I support NET Neutrality. The NET, as an resource open to all, without providers enabling or blocking access to content, is an essential tool that allows for all Americans to participate equitably in the social and political life of our great nation. Charging deferentially by user, based on arbitrary standings, creates needless barriers. The NET is the singles greatest tool that citizens have to gain access to education, health information, employment, and connection to family and friends. This should be open and accessible to all.
653. Kaitlyn Myers, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
You must protect net neutrality and keep ISPs under Title II. This is a vital part of modern life in the U.S. and should be treated as such. The rights of corporations should never be put before the rights of the people of this country. The only possible motive for doing otherwise is GREED. Consumers in this country deserves better than to be held hostage by ISPs playing greedy games - being taken advantage of. It is shameful this conversation has to happen all over again. There was a clear and concise judgment made when ISPs were classified under Title II and it is the same reason they need to stay there now.
654. Derek McGowan, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
I strongly support the maintaining of net neutrality through the use of Title 2
655. Taylor Weems, Baltimore, MD, 21250  
I support strong net neutrality and do not want any change to ISP oversight. I want the internet to be available to all, not priced as another commodity.
656. Fara Hame, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I support an open and free internet.
657. Robert, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
We have passed the time when the internet was a novelty, when a few people used it as a means of research or to experiment with a fun new tool. The internet is ubiquitous and necessary for a modern existence. It has become a utility. It is unfair to have a market when one side, in this case the utility provider, holds all of the power. The power to change services, the power to raise prices, the power to stagnate. In this market, the voice of the consumer is weak. The consumer needs the FCC. Please continue to support this vital service.
658. Miriam, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I support strong net neutrality and Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not remove the Title 2 oversight currently in place.
659. Christopher Brasel, Randallstown, MD, 21133  
Keep the Internet Free and Neutral!
660. Elaine Zukowski, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
Do not weaken net neutrality regulations. Please continue to hold ISPs accountable under Title II. An open and fair internet is integral to our society. Please, please continue to ensure an equal playing field for all websites, and prevent ISPs from controlling access. Also please protect our personal information from being a commodity to be sold.

661. Kenneth Miller, Baltimore, MD, 21076  
Why would we give them control of the speed of access for any site when they already over charge for the service provided. Net Neutrality is a right and not a privilege, if I am paying for service I should be able to watch or review what I want to without there business restrictions being placed onto it.
662. Ryan Haggerty, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Keep net neutrality regulation in place backed by title II oversight of ISPs. I'm already paying ISPs for access, they don't need to be playing games with what services I use via that access.
663. Tess Fields, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. It is important to keep open access to the internet and it is repugnant to think ISPs could simply buy influence over a supposedly free and open internet.
664. Matt Geiger, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
I support net neutrality and the title 2 classification. As a web developer, I know exactly the sort of thing that large providers will get up to with little to no regulation.
665. Perry Wadsworth, Elkridge, MD, 21075  
I want you to protect strong net neutrality laws and keep Title II
666. Justin Rosenstein, Clarksville, MD, 21029  
I am a double major of international business and Insurance and risk management with a minor in Russian. I am currently a second year law student. I oppose the deregulation of net neutrality laws. I support Type II regulations to continue use for ISP's to insure overall consumer well being and choice and protect technological innovation and national development.
667. Chingun Anderson, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
As a U.S. citizen, I'm writing to say that the FCC should preserve net neutrality and Title II.
668. Shawna Logue, Baltimore, MD, 21230  
First, I insist that you retain Title II for ISP providers. Verizon is a criminal enterprise that has hired violent gang members as union busters in the past, and the current head of the FCC is nothing more than their paid stooge. He has zero independence from Verizon and will likely receive payments from them for his betrayal of his position and responsibility to Americans. Second, I worked for Verizon in the past. I know it's a criminal organization masquerading as a business. Other ISP's are similar. The industry cannot be trusted to regulate itself, and its continued attempts to get one of their people into the FCC head's chair is blatant proof that they will do anything they can to tamper with and get around reasonable consumer protection laws. Verizon has been repeatedly sued and is regarded as one of the worst companies in the world, and other ISP's are as bad or worse. The fact that someone who worked for them trying to get this overturned is now the head of the FCC and trying to kill net neutrality is a sickening example of our kleptocratic corporatocracy. I'll be encouraging everyone I know to dump Verizon, since they're leading the charge on this and knowing they'll lose customers will be more effective than voting for another bought-out candidate. I'll also be marching against this. Trust me, you don't know what you're doing. People are going to be much more angry about this than they are about other things (climate change, government corruption) that are arguably more important. The moment an ISP slows down Netflix or tries supporting their own services over those of Google or Facebook, as examples, there are going to be serious repercussions for their business in terms of lost customers and very, very angry protesters. Don't undo Net Neutrality. Retain Title II. And get the current head of the FCC fired and out of the position. It's laughable that a corporate stooge is continuing to work for Verizon while he supposedly serves us, the American people...or it would be, if it weren't such a perfect example of everything that's wrong with this country.
669. Andrew Mihm, Marriottsville, MD, 21104  
I think the new head of the FCC should be investigated for possible connections to business that would effect his ability to put the PEOPLE first and not businesses. The Internet MUST stay free and open and anyone saying otherwise is pro dictatorship.
670. Linda Barron, Woodstock, MD, 21163  
The internet needs to remain free and open so that the big companies (Verizon, Comcast, etc) don't have the monopoly over internet speeds and have complete power over the online marketplace, because you know as well as I do that they are DEFINITELY going to do that.
671. roger, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Why change it if it isn't broken?!?!? You're Reese's mug is stupid AF, btw.
672. Amelia Cox, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
I strongly support net neutrality and advocate that it retain its Title II footing. My senators and congress members are hearing the same from me. There is no need and no excuse for weakening the current rules; to do so is an open invitation to corporate malfeasance.
673. William Miller, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
I support a free and open internet, and oppose this administration's attempts to compromise net neutrality and the internet's regulation under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. Net Neutrality is good policy. It's good for consumers, and it's good for small business. Imagine a highway interstate system were private road manufacturers had fast lanes for certain car brands. Imagine cars that are allowed to go five miles faster if they've got McDonald's dialed into their GPS. That's not how I want to drive, and that's not how I want to surf. Keep the internet free.
674. Stephen Clark, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I support preserving net neutrality and maintaining title two.

675. Kathryn Rennie, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Please do not do anything to weaken net neutrality. It is an essential part of equality on the internet. Thank you.
676. Margaret D'Adamo, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I would like to include in the record my concern about the recent decision against net neutrality. Net neutrality is the principle that individuals should be free to access all content and applications equally, regardless of the source, without Internet service providers discriminating against specific online services or websites. In other words, it is the principle that the company that connects you to the internet does not get to control what you do on the internet. Just because I access the internet via Comcast does not mean that they should be able to control or profit from my use of the internet. Come on, FCC, this is ridiculous!
677. Rachel Callahan, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
All should have equal access to all areas of the internet with the same speeds regardless of website visited.
678. Corinne Kasura, Glenwood, MD, 21738  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please keep it this way.
679. Xiaodeng, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I support the designation of Internet Service Providers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Allowing them to remain as utilities and as a result, face more stringent regulations, protects consumers against any network gerrymandering, so to say.
680. Nathan Bowen, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
If you truly believe in the power of the free market, please continue to regulate ISPs under Title II. If you break net neutrality, you will slam the brakes on online entrepreneurship and limit buyer information and choice. You will increase market inefficiency, just so ISPs can open up a new revenue stream. Please don't sell out the American people this way.
681. Shane Knudsen, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I strongly support the existing Title II classification of Internet Service Providers. This is essential to preserving the freedoms of everyone who uses the Internet. If I would change anything about the current rules, I would go further and ban zero-rating services such as T-Mobile's BingeOn.
682. E, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I support the title 2 classification of internet service providers, and net neutrality.
683. Shelly Kenneally, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I have my doubts that the US losing net neutrality would remain a US-only issue. Not only is this a disaster that could affect private US citizens AND US BUSINESSES in frightening ways (education and E-COMMERCE being two that come to mind immediately), but international bodies that use the Internet to conduct business with US citizens, government officials, and COMPANIES could suffer negative consequences and PERHAPS CHOOSE NOT TO DO BUSINESS WITH US ANYMORE. I've capitalized what I think may be more important to you.
684. net-neutrality, Baltimore, MD, 21250  
Please keep the internet protected under the protection of title 2.
685. Rachel Hegeman, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I support the preservation of net neutrality for the purposes of maintaining fair competition between internet application providers. If net neutrality was not enforced, the internet would no longer foster innovation and the development of a rich variety of web applications that meet many needs would slow. It would be a pity to see an industry that has introduced so much utility into the lives of everyone to be stifled for the sake of the profit of a few well-established ISPs.
686. Philip Feldman, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I completely and unambiguously support the net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
687. Courtney Rothwell, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I want to protect net neutrality and keep title 2 classification for isps
688. Elizabeth Reardon, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I want the internet to be able to be used by everyone. When certain internet companies have the ability to slow down internet speed of other companies it's morally wrong.
689. Jay Unterhal, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Keep Net neutrality and title 2!
690. Joel Rothwell, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I want to protect net neutrality and keep title 2.
691. Aidan Bissell-Siders, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Net Neutrality ensures that the Internet will forever be a cesspit of pointless stupidity free from corporate influence. I love it. Long live Net Neutrality!
692. Debra Grisham, Columbia, MD, 21044  
The FCC should stand up for Internet users by safeguarding net neutrality. The FCC should reject Chairman Pai's proposal to strip consumers of the vital access and privacy safeguards established just two years ago. Chairman Pai's proposed repeal of the rules would potentially harm individual users, and benefit only the ISPs. Please keep net neutrality rules in place to protect Internet users.

693. ramona cleaver, baltimore, MD, 21201  
I urge to to defend strong net neutrality rules backed by title II.
694. Cory Russell, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
We must keep Title 2 to maintain the current restrictions that prevent service providers to establish favoritism in who and how they provide internet to its customers.
695. Ana Degea-Coombs, Baltimore, MD, 21207  
Do not change or remove Title II. I want to ensure fair access to the internet by everyone. Net Neutrality needs to continue to be unforced. I am against any changes to the current regulations.
696. Wesley Jenkins, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
To whom it may concern,I support ISPs remaining under title II to protect net neutrality, and I don't buy the argument that title I is sufficient, nor the claim that placing ISPs under title II has hampered their investment in ISP related infrastructure since the change to title II by the FCC.Sincerely,Wes Jenkins
697. mike phillips, Sparks Glencoe, MD, 21152  
Maintain web neutrality. It's pretty disgusting that this freedom is compromised yet again. Shame on you.
698. Melissa Kibelbek, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
I strongly support a strong net neutrality and Title 2.
699. Net Neutrality, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Net neutrality is a critical concern for me. It is of utmost importance that it be maintained. Allowing Internet providers to leverage their service to extract money or commercial advantage from individuals or companies should not be legal. The presence of multiple Internet providers as an option for users does not provide justification that net neutrality will be assured.
700. Kishore Ramnani, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Please preserve Title II for ISP.
701. Eyad Alhariri, Columbia, MD, 21042  
I request that tittle two net neutrality is preserved and that the FCC keeps it inforced under the current classification MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY
702. Drew Maricle, Baltimore, MD, 21223  
Net neutrality should be kept under the Title II. There are too few ISP and have in the past abused their monopoly.
703. Ernest Beck, Baldwin, MD, 21013  
Hello,I'm writing to express my support to maintain an open internet with ISPs regulated under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934. Thank you,Ernie Beck
704. Mitch Liebeskind, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Please preserve net neutrality and article 2. A free and open Internet is critical to our society.
705. Anita, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! We cannot rely merely on the "good will" of corporations to avoid undermining competitors on the internet!
706. Megan Hipsley, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I wish to voice my comment that I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II. I urge the FCC to protect the American public's search for fair and impartial information by refusing to play bias to large companies.
707. Justin C Villaverde, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Please preserve net neutrality. ISP need to remain as Title II entities.
708. lauren fyrton, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
Net neutrality is important for the progression of society, for the security of equal opportunity, and to prevent monopoly in business. The whole world is watching. Decisions for the future must be made based in fact, not fear. When we allow fear to overcome opportunity, we have lost our humanity, and allowed those who wish to scare us to win. It is a dangerous advantage to those who wish to manage the population with fear. One I do not support. I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY.
709. C Trivelli, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
All Americans deserve open access to all internet media and services. Please ensure that no companies interfere with America's access to a completely neutral internet. If a company interferes with an American's access to the internet by way of slowing speeds to certain content, by applying special filters, etc. please put those companies down by fining them into bankruptcy. We want American values the American way and that mean very very strong protections of net neutrality.
710. Scott Collins, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Please continue to support strong net neutrality by keeping Title 2. Without this support, we risk losing the greatest asset of the Internet - freedom of choice.
711. Richard Lee Masters, Gwynn Oak, MD, 21207  
Do not allow internet service providers to create different "classes" of internet users. Net neutrality ensures that all consumers have

equal access to all internet content and services.

712. Omid Tofigh, Clarksville, MD, 21029  
I would like to express my view that the FCC should preserve net neutrality and maintain the Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
713. Noelle Tofigh, Clarksville, MD, 21029  
I strongly believe the FCC should preserve net neutrality and title 2 oversight of isps.
714. Miriam Godfrey, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
To Chairman Ajit Pai, Please support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you.
715. Colton Hebert, Marriottsville, MD, 21104  
Net Neutrality ftw!!!!#gofcycyourself
716. Wayne Horsman, Columbia, MD, 21045  
please protect the net neutrality protections currently in place
717. Matt Horwitz-Lee, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
I demand that you PRESERVE net neutrality and title 2 regulation for the internet. The internet should be neutral and not controlled by any corporations.
718. Rick Menkhaus, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I want strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. The internet service providers should not be able to choose winners.
719. Julie Hotopp, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I support net neutrality. It should stay under Title II, preserve Title II.
720. adrien abrams, Ellicott city, MD, 21043  
I support strong oversight of net neutrality using title 2 provisions
721. Eric Von Hagen-Jamar, Baltimore, MD, 21227  
The internet has grown to be so integrated into our life and Culture that to treat it as anything other than a Utility is incomprehensible. Therefore, ISP are utility companies, and should be regulated as such under Title 2 as they currently are.
722. Peter Kruse, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Net Neutrality needs to be preserved and kept as Title II. It is important for freedom of speech and press.
723. Susan Larsen, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Preserve net neutrality and title II! Stop screwing average Americans every which way you can. You're going to turn the inter 1st into the wild west!
724. Michelle Scoville, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Keep net neutrality. For now and for ever.
725. Michael Burke, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I am writing in strong support of maintaining net neutrality. I strongly support Title II Protection of internet access. Do not allow internet service providers to manipulate download speeds for profit. Thank you.
726. net neutrality, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Please preserve net neutrality and title II. It is extremely important!!!!
727. Michael James Price, Columbia, MD, 21045  
Please do not let corporate greed ruin the internet. I urge you to ensure that net neutrality is maintained and these regulations that protect the American people are not pushed aside in the name of greed and selfishness
728. Jim Brown, Columbia, MD, 21044  
We need the FCC to stand up for the average citizen, which was a fundamental plank in our current president's campaign platform. I support net neutrality with Title II classification as the best mechanism available to protect American liberties and stave off unwarranted corporate meddling in our own personal affairs.
729. Himmat Gill, Lutherville, MD, 21093  
Retain current net neutrality regulations under Title II.
730. Sean Mullin, Hampstead, MD, 21074  
The FCC should KEEP net neutrality rules. ISPs are fundamentally different from internet website providers like Google and Facebook. A consumer can choose not to use Google, but there is no way to opt out of using an ISP or allowing the ISP to see your private internet history. ISPs serve the same purpose as roadways, water pipes, and electricity wires. We do not want roads spying on where we drive or the electric company spying on which appliances we use at which times. We must also prevent ISPs from discriminating and charging different amounts to access different websites or throttling the speed of competitors' websites.
731. Stephen Sawch, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I am writing to express my support for strong net neutrality regulation under title 2. The regulations should not be rolled back or weakened. They are the best protection to keep the internet free and open. ISPs have shown they will not self regulate in this matter.

732. Catherine Ramis, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please support this as well.
733. William Daly, BALTIMORE, MD, 21201  
I support strong net neutrality that is backed by title ii oversight of ISPs
734. Rupinder Singh, windsor mill, MD, 21244  
Please maintain strong net neutrality rules.....the only way to preserve internet freedom!
735. William Morse, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I'm sure the word "absurdity" has already been used here so I'll just say what America is screaming; Alternative facts and anecdotes can not dictate policy, it's terrifying that we need to stand up to this. We are led by a deeply unstable narcissist and letting our system crumble and be torn apart in some dangerous crusade of personal achievement is already costing us. It's your duty to keep the citizens of this country safe, not execute the will of a deranged, insecure attention whore. I'm sure you know WHY these rules are necessary. I won't insult your intelligence.
736. Leslye Reaves, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I'm am writing to indicate my support for strict Net Neutrality protection using Title II. It would be irresponsible to allow these corporations to hold the internet hostage. And FCC employees currently or formerly on the payroll of ISP corporations should be ashamed of themselves for trying to remove net neutrality protections.
737. JL Schnapper, Sparks, MD, 21152  
PATHETIC! :-). Check out the bogus entries opposing Net Neutrality, using pathetically obvious bogus names (currently beginning with 'B' i.e., Brittany, Brittney, Bryan, Brion, Brien, Brigitte, etc. ad nauseum). I ask that the FCC delete these as submitted under fraudulent pretenses; they abuse the rights of others to be enriched, or entertained, or socially connected that only a Neutral Net can provide. Just read the identical unconscious drivel sent under each phony name and recognize that this was written by and for those who would profit large on today's truly ubiquitous medium. No individual who has the Net as a part of their everyday life would object to Net Neutrality. Support Title II, no matter what!
738. Pamela R Cohen, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I support a free and open internet. Because I do, I urge you to not change its classification. Doing so would open up the floodgates for the isp providers to do whatever they want in regards to content and speeds of service. Your job is to protect the citizens of this country from undue problems in communications. By changing the status of the internet to make it easier for corporations to fleece us you will not be working in our best interest. We must have net neutrality.
739. Bilal Baloch, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Net neutrality is ensuring that we have a stable and fair internet environment. Please keep it that way!
740. Emily Torstveit Ngara, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Net neutrality is vital to our democracy. I urge you not to take any action that would weaken the regulations governing net neutrality. Please protect and defend Title II.
741. Randall Wilhoit, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Preserve net neutrality and title two. Represent the people of the US, not the corporations.
742. Donovan Peterson, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I support strong net neutrality that governs ISP's via the title 2 act. Getting rid of these acts allows major companies to utilize their resources to manipulate the speed of our Internet connection for other providers to increase their competitive advantage. Rolling back Title 2 does not create a more free Internet environment for Americans, and Ajit Pai, an ex lawyer of Verizon poses a huge conflict of interest in passing regulation that could benefit their company. It's extremely wrong and unAmerican.
743. Kevin Harp, Baltimore, MD, 21230  
Title II oversight is necessary to preserve net neutrality. The 2015 Open internet rules should remain in place.
744. Cleodis May, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I want you to know that I COMPLETELY support Net neutrality and I think it is ridiculous to discuss the option of taking it back. I mean really...why are we having this conversation again. Leave net neutrality in place.
745. samuel backer, baltimore, MD, 21218  
I am writing to state that I support net neutrality, specifically under strict title II oversight. The internet is a vital hub of our democracy, and the government has a duty to maintain its equality.
746. Ricardo Gonzalez, Glenwood, MD, 21738  
Please preserve net neutrality and title II. A free and open internet is the single greatest technology of our time, and control should not be at the mercy of corporations. Neutrality stimulates ISP competition, helps prevent unfair pricing practices, promotes innovation, promotes the spread of ideas, drives entrepreneurship, protects freedom of speech.
747. chris oconnell, Ellicott city, MD, 21042  
The Internet should remain under title 2. Allowing ISPs to self regulate the Internet would be no different than Target installing express lanes to their stores to starve small business
748. Lorraine Capenos, Dayton, MD, 21036

I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality shouldn't be an issue we are still discussing. Destroying net neutrality would be a form on censorship. Restricting access to information is harmful and wrong. Maintain my rights to net neutrality.

749. Timothy Miller, Baltimore, MD, 21223  
We've already seen in numerous cases over the past several years that Internet service providers will exercise any leverage they can find to maximize their profits at the expense of customers, including de-prioritizing and throttling competing services, encouraging consumers to use the ISP's preferred services through "zero-rating" systems, even clamping down on data throughput that the company dislikes. Given this track record, combined with a lack of meaningful broadband competition in most of America, strict Title II "common carrier" classifications, such as were imposed by the Open Internet Order of 2015, remain the best and most robust protections available to ensure that net neutrality remains the basis of a free and open Internet.
750. Virginia Knowlton, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
Do NOT roll back net neutrality protections! Your proposal strikes the WRONG balance between the public interest and profit seeking. Your legitimate role is to protect access for the people. Business can clearly take care of itself. Leave NET NEUTRALITY in place! We are sick of this stupid fight. This is an important Justice issue so back off, please.
751. Aaron Allen, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Internet data neutrality is paramount to a free market, and without Net Neutrality corporations will again begin promoting their data services to the detriment of the free market. Protecting Net Neutrality is critical and I urge you to do so.
752. Stephanie Loomis, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I support net neutrality and implore the FCC to maintain regulations to keep it in place, and to not move it under title 1.
753. William T Shaw, Monkton, MD, 21111  
I strongly object to reverting the FCC's governance of ISPs back to Title I rather than Title II as revised under the Obama administration. Internet Neutrality is essential to the development and growth of new Internet based technologies and businesses. I do NOT trust the ISP's to regulate themselves as business interests ALWAYS end up taking priority over the public's best interest. I ask that the proposed change to Title I be scrapped and Title II continue to be the regulatory basis.
754. jess palewicz, catonsville, MD, 21228  
Preserve net neutrality as title 2, and leave it that way.
755. Martha Rubarth-Lay, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Please, please do not reverse Net Neutrality! Retain Title II.
756. David Lemire, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I strongly urge the FCC to maintain current net neutrality rules and continue treating ISPs under Title 2. It is vital to the functioning of a free and open Internet that the ISPs that provide connectivity to the global network not be permitted to pick and choose which content providers receive improved or degraded service based on furthering the ISP's business interests. Changing the regulatory regime back to Title 1 greatly risks creating an unfair "playing field" and especially favors large existing business over newer, smaller ones, which risks choking off valuable innovation.
757. Benjamin D. Brooks, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I am in support of net neutrality under title 2. Please don't let the ISPs have all the power. This is important for the freedom of the internet.
758. David Stoker, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I am very concerned about rolling back net neutrality laws that classify ISPs under Title II. I do not want to see ISPs placed back under Title I status. ISPs should not have the ability to prioritize content or information to their customers, the net neutrality rules that took effect in 2015 are good for consumers and do not place an undue burden on ISPs, hamper innovation, stifle growth, or discourage investment in infrastructure. The rules under Title II should remain in effect, if not be strengthened. Anything short of this is bad for consumers, their privacy, and the freedom of information they seek online. The risks of rolling these laws back are not far-fetched hypothetical scenarios, they are likely outcomes if the protections under Title II are removed. We have seen the risks of under-regulating ISPs/telecom providers in the past, let's not invite those issues to reappear by rolling the 2015 rules back.
759. Neith Little, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I support retaining net neutrality protections under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934.
760. Bradley Wright, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I am strongly against rules and regulations that would compromise net neutrality. There is enough discrimination in this world now. We don't need to intentionally add ways to increase discrimination. Compromising net neutrality will further tip the scales to benefit "the big players" and make it harder for "the little guys". It seems that those against net neutrality just want to have more control of the internet themselves. The internet should be a place where everyone can thrive and, as is, I think that's the case but without net net neutrality, I doubt that will continue. I also think compromising net neutrality will lead to unforeseen consequences and the majority of people will be dissatisfied, while the few controlling the internet get even more wealthy.
761. Heather Ford, Reisterstown, MD, 21136  
Keep net neutrality and restore title 2.
762. Scott A Harris, Sr., Baltimore, MD, 21229  
Please keep the internet free and open and keep the internet classified as a utility so that ISPs will not be able to place special treatment on traffic to certain web traffic.

763. Robert Nunn, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I am writing in support of net neutrality. An open internet where ISPs cannot choose winners and losers was essential to the internet's success so far, and will continue to be essential in the future. As well, internet access has rapidly become indispensable to the average American. Most jobs have online applications, most news comes through the internet, and everyone is able to learn about everything from physics and abstract math to history and religion to recipes and cat videos. To permit a private entity such as an ISP to have control over what people can see or how well particular sites perform is censorship of the highest order for the most shameful of reasons: pure profit on the backs of the American public. Like electricity, and running water before that, affordable, fair, and uncompromised internet access is a utility that is essential to the fabric of American life and the American economy. How many streaming video services would be strangled in their cribs because an incumbent ISP has a competing service? And if you still think people can do without the internet, put your money where your mouth is. I am writing to express my support, in no uncertain terms, for net neutrality, a free and open internet, and reclassification of ISPs under Title II of the Telecommunications Act.
764. Jeannine Hines, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I strongly support having ISP providers governed under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
765. Joseph Whiting, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
I am writing to express my desire for the government to uphold strong net neutrality laws, and keep ISP's classified as Type II's. Thank you.
766. Anthony Smith, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isp!
767. Ryan Williams, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I am a tax paying United States citizen and fully support Net Neutrality. It is important that the status quo be maintained to ensure equal access. If companies are regulated under Title I then a system needs to be mandated to ensure net neutrality. A mechanism such as terms and conditions that companies can change at anytime for any reason is insufficient for an issue of freedom such as net neutrality. I look forward to receiving an invitation to come speak intelligently on this matter.
768. Skip Cross, Baltimore, MD, 21244  
The internet needs Title II protection and regulation. ISPs don't own the internet; they only provide access to our internet. We also need more choices for Internet Service Providers. My current duopoly is intolerable.
769. Thomas M Comeau, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Net neutrality is essential for insuring minority views and independent artistic expressions are available on the Internet. ISPs and other service providers should not be permitted to prefer some content over others.
770. Morgan, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
The mission of internet service providers is not to provide internet to the public, but to generate wealth for their shareholders. They should not be expected to regulate themselves fairly in the interests of the public, and need independent supervision and punishment for illegal or unconstitutional practices. Morality cannot be legislated, but behavior can be regulated.
771. William Ulrich, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Dear FCC, I'm calling on the FCC to stand up for net neutrality and safeguard Title II protections. The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Pai's plan to hand the ISP monopolies like Comcast, Verizon, and AT&T the legal cover to create Internet fast lanes, stripping consumers of the meaningful privacy and access rules. Today, in 2017, the internet access is a utility - on par with electric, gas, or phone service. The only entities denying this are those who stand to profit from this denial. In lieu of an actual free market [which internet access today \_absolutely isn't\_] Net Neutrality and Title II protections are essential. Sincerely, Bill Ulrich
772. Jess Krajewski, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I believe we should require Internet service providers to treat all legal content equally. I believe in net neutrality.
773. Bruce H. Voge III, Gwynn Oak, MD, 21207  
I believe in strong net neutrality backed by Title II. A free internet is exactly how new ideas are given a chance to blossom and grow, and any attempt to prevent that must be stopped.
774. Blake, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I support strong net neutrality and Title II. Thank you for your time!
775. Brittney, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
I strongly support net neutrality. Without it, we'll all be forced to watch Fox news, like the FDA :(
776. Brandon Corbett, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
It is essential for the growth of our economy and the survival of small businesses across the country to have access to a free and unfettered internet. To allow ISPs and their partners to control the speed of internet service is anathema to a free market. Not to mention that at this point, internet access should be considered a utility, and like water, gas and electric should be extensively regulated to ensure all people have proper access.
777. Anique Forrester, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I support net neutrality. I oppose Chairman Pai's plans to deregulate ISP's and will continue to oppose corporate infringement on personal freedoms for the sake of profits.
778. Peter Klinkmueller, Baltimore, MD, 21218

I support strong net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. As one of the most important resources available today, the internet must maintain equality.

779. Christopher Scherz, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Keep Title II, maintain internet neutrality.
780. Brandy Perkins, Sparks Glencoe, MD, 21152  
Please keep net neutrality and title 2 protection. It's utterly ridiculous that you could even think to do away with these extremely important regulations.
781. Andrea Crews, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I specifically support STRONG net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs
782. Garrett, Elliott city, MD, 21042  
Keep title 2Support net neutrality
783. Meagan Byrne, Baltimore, MD, 21205  
I strongly support net neutrality and equal access to online information. Please maintain the current net neutrality regulations passed in 2015.
784. Brian Andres, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
Support regulation of ISP providers under title 2 of the federal regulatory act of 1934 (i.e. net neutrality).
785. Clarissa West, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and do not want any change made to ISP oversight. This will enable corporate stifling of free speech online and is a step to a facist dictatorship. It is also willingly enabling a disadvantage to smaller businesses and people with fewer resources, which despite being the go-to option of the Trump regime, is actually a terrible thing and should be discouraged. Thank you.
786. David Feeney, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I support strong net neutrality as per Title 2.
787. Alice B-Lee, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
You need to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. History has its eyes on you.
788. Katherine Fiallos, Baltimore, MD, 21231  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs and believe that the FCC should continue to regulate ISPs under Title II.
789. Olivia Goldman, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I support strong net neutrality rules and the current title 2 protections. I do not want these protections removed.
790. Nathaniel Keezer, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
I am writing to you to express my strong support of net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I urge Chairman Pai and those involved to reconsider their plans to dismantle net neutrality and Title II oversight. Legislation which would directly or indirectly allow ISPs and others to purposely slow down data from particular sites would be detrimental to our economy, as well as our right to the free flow of information and ideas. Please stop wasting my tax dollars and leave Title II oversight of ISPs and net neutrality alone. Thank you for your time.
791. Michael Shannon, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II - thanks to J.O.!
792. Douglas Craig, White Hall, MD, 21161  
I do not trust the government to decide on my internet neutrality. Leave title 2, preserve neutrality.
793. Joan Gugerty, Baldwin, MD, 21013  
A Free and Open Internet is required to allow consumers to have the same access as those with power and money. The airways belong to the PUBLIC. They are not to be owned by any corporation, government or other entity. The airways need to be free to EVERYONE, not just those with money and influence. The United States is a democracy and needs to have laws that honor that. Internet neutrality is necessary to protect our democracy. The FCC needs to protect citizens' rights, as well as our democracy by protecting net neutrality.
794. Jim Stout, Lutherville-Timonium, MD, 21093  
I support net neutrality. Please protect net neutrality. It is important that all data is treated equally and that service providers can't cause some sites to appear slower than others.
795. Jared Donovan Paolini, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I support Net Neutrality and believe we need regulations to enforce it.
796. nick, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
Net neutrality is a good thing. Keep things fair, give the power to the people to choose what and how they want to consume the internet.
797. Nathaniel Taylor, Columbia, MD, 21045  
You would be an idiot to change the rules. These protections are both pro business and pro consumer. It allows everything to be fair for

- all. Protection for people over profits
798. Susan Dutton, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I support a strong net neutrality using Title II guidelines
799. Gavin Gomel-Dunn, Phoenix, MD, 21131  
I support net neutrality, and ISPs should stay regulated under Title II. To take action against net neutrality and a free internet would be absolutely un-American.
800. Lawrence Charters, Columbia, MD, 21045  
The "restore Internet freedom" proposal is deceptive in the extreme, as it seems more interested in turning citizens into customers of commercial entities than promoting the freedom of those citizens. Please retain the Commission's 2015 Open Internet Order, as my personal freedoms are best served by keeping my use of libraries, bookstores, and the Internet private, out of the hands of both commercial marketers and out of the hands of government. As citizens, our freedoms, and not those of commercial entities, are at risk if the Open Internet Order is abridged.
801. Sarah Wehner, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I am in favor of net neutrality and support title 2. Left to their own devices ISB's will support other big businesses limiting my access to a free and open internet. Keep title 2.
802. Marla McIntosh, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
ISP's need to be regulated as utilities in order to insure all Americans equal treatment. Internet speed should not be the same for all.
803. Angela Carter, Ellicott city, MD, 21043  
Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong network neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPS.
804. Sally Ann Lentz, Glenwood, MD, 21738  
I am writing in support of maintaining "net neutrality." I do not support the FCC's attempt to "roll it back" in this proceeding. Maintaining net neutrality ensures that consumers have a choice of their internet providers and makes for a more level playing field for all.
805. ginger burcham, Ellicott city, MD, 21042  
I support net neutrality under the current title II guidelines. We need regulations to support small businesses and to promote equal opportunities. I am glad that there is completion amongst cable providers and content providers. We were able to get rid of cable and reduce are monthly household expenses.
806. Roger West, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Do NOT kill net neutrality and do NOT change Title 2. The reports of carriers policing themselves is foolish and absurd. Do NOT allow corporations to dictate speed and/or content. An open web is a right for all; not corporations.
807. Dominick Gaimaro, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I support strong Net Neutrality regulations backed by Title II oversight by ISPs!
808. Brett Long, Baltimore, MD, 21207  
I support strong internet neutrality and Title II. Please do not change the current protections for net neutrality.
809. Brian Meyer, Columbia, MD, 21044  
DO NOT REPEAL NET NEUTRALITY! Regulations are the lifeblood of our very liberty. Repealing those regulations allows big businesses to make decisions they are clearly too greedy to trust with. Maintain the enforcement of fair and equal internet service for all. KEEP YOUR CORPORATE HANDS OFF MY INTERNET!
810. Ghyselle Perez, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I support protecting net neutrality and Title 2
811. Deborah Rush, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
We NEED Net Neutrality! And Title II
812. tim bade, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I support net neutrality regulation through title 2. Please do not allow corporate shenanigans to take over the one good thing we have left. This would be bad for literally all people -- like you, presumably. Thank you, please do something.
813. Gregory Burnett, Halethorpe, MD, 21227  
Title II oversight of ISPs is both critical to maintaining a future internet that serves all citizens equitably AND to ensuring the creative energies of new businesses are able to compete on a level playing field. As a combat veteran enforceable open access to vital resources for ALL persons is what I fought for and Title II oversight is currently the ONLY viable method for doing so.
814. Anwar Malik, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Do not let our ISPs control our internet speed to slow down what doesn't help fill their pockets. Not fair. We pay for it we should be able to use whatever services we want at full speed. Not decided by our ISPs please don't let this one go in the dumps like the rest of the country is already headed.
815. Evan Eisenberg, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. Net neutrality is a critical issue that cannot simply be left up to companies to voluntarily opt

into. Without regulation, the freedom of the internet, one of its major traits, will be severely compromised.

816. Sarah New, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Strong net neutrality protections (specifically, Title II oversight of internet service providers) are essential for the preservation of free speech and access to information. Corporate control of information-seeking behavior is a step in the wrong direction.
817. Laura Weeldreyer, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I implore you as a citizen and taxpayer to keep net neutrality in place under Title II, so that all ISPs give equal access to all content providers. This is a crossroads for how the internet develops and whether or not the (future) online world buttresses the existing inequities of our society or helps bridge the divide. Money should not determine access to information. Information and open and (should be) accessible by all. Do what is right for future generations and the citizens of the US. Do not give in to corporate influence.
818. Rasha Haggan, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Please preserve Net Neutrality under the Title 2 provision. I specifically support net neutrality backed by strong oversight under Title 2 of ISPs
819. Cecelia Scheeler, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP, and I do not want any changes made to ISP oversight.
820. Grant Foehrkolb, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
FCC Chairman Pai's ties to telecommunications industry have become deeply disturbing in light of his continued opposition to the principle that internet traffic should not be prioritized or discriminated against by service providers in order to provide a competitive advantage to individual companies. The internet is so critically important today that it must be regarded as a public utility and not a luxury commodity. While governmental regulation is often chastised as a hindrance to free and open markets, the current state of the internet and the companies that provide internet access is best deemed an oligopoly. It is, therefore, the DUTY of the government, specifically the FCC, to ensure that such large and powerful companies do not collude, an continue to offer unbiased and nondiscriminatory internet access to all Americans. Accordingly, pursuant to the existing regulatory framework, I must advocate that such regulation be effected through Title II of the telecommunications act. Chairman Pai's public comments advocating against the same have been overly simplistic and at times misleading. They have left me, at best, puzzled about how much he understands about this issue, and at worst, questioning his motivations and his ties to industry, having previously represented the very company he is now charged with regulating.
821. Fred Hilnbrand, Gwynn Oak, MD, 21207  
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Please undo Obama's Internet takeover. Tell them you support the rollback of Title II regulations! Obama was scum when he was President and he still is until you role this back then we might have a better internet and more freedom. Regards, Fred Hilnbrand PO Box 31725 Gwynn Oak, MD 21207"
822. Frederick M Cooper Jr, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title 2 and distrust any ISP to have no restrictions on how they do business.
823. Jane Wilhelm, Baltimore, MD, 21207  
Please Keep Net Neutrality. Do NOT roll back the current regulations.
824. JOHN BOZEK, BALTIMORE, MD, 21211  
We must defend Net Neutrality. It is core to how the Internet has always worked; without it, there is nothing stopping cable and phone companies from adopting a "pay for priority" standard, giving preferential treatment for cash, and throttling any competition's web sites and services. ISPs should provide us with open networks and shouldn't block or discriminate against any applications or content that ride over those networks.
825. Anita Green, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I wish to voice my strong support of net neutrality which will be protected when you maintain Title II oversight of ISPs. The Chairman's criticism of Title II ignores the direct role of the industry, most prominently his former employer Verizon, in making it clear to the government that it was necessary to move the oversight of ISP's from Title I to Title II in order to protect net neutrality.
826. Dina Bruzek, Dayton, MD, 21036  
Network Neutrality and common carrier based communication in the U.S. dates back to 1860 with the telegraph. The internet should adhere to our American tradition by leaving Internet Service Providers classified as a Title II common carrier service. ISPs should continue to be treated as Title 2 common carriers and regulated by the FCCThe Open Internet Rules from 2015 should remain in place as is.
827. James R Graettinger, Baltimore, MD, 21213  
The Internet is a public utility. No one, person or other entity, should receive preference simply because that person or other entity has more money or other influence. Do not change the current regulations in an effort to appease corporations.
828. D. Triantafyllides, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Please keep net neutrality intact as it is, with the regulations staying under Title 2.
829. kathleen cohn, cockeysville, MD, 21030  
I support a strong net neutrality program under Title II oversight. Eliminating oversight will enrich providers and severely impact consumers both in access to content in a fair manner as well as the loss of protection of our data and personal information. Providers have told shareholders that the Title II oversight has not adversely affected their investment. Your chairman prefers to alter the truth in an attempt to claim that consumer protection regulations are extremely harmful. I do not agree and urge the FCC to maintain strong net

neutrality.

830. Scott Boeckmann, Reisterstown, MD, 21136  
I strongly urge the FCC to not roll back the Net Neutrality rules currently in place. Only under Title II regulation can true net neutrality rules be enforced preventing ISPs from unfairly controlling internet access.
831. bonita grant, Columbia, MD, 21044  
preserve net neutrality please, big companies are trying to ruin everything that is good
832. Donald Suica, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
The FCC Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are incredibly important to me. I urge you to protect them and keep them under Title II as they currently are. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, make some more accessible than others, or split the Internet into 'fast lanes' for companies that pay and 'slow lanes' for the rest. Opening the door to this type of behavior is a dangerous and not in the best interest of consumers.
833. Jeff Neuhauser, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
To: Mr Ajit Pai:I strongly support net neutrality, back by Title 2 Oversight of ISP's/s/ Jeff Neuhauser5/11/2017
834. Raquel Arbaiza, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I'm standing up for Net Neutrality! Without strong rules to protect an open Internet, ISPs will be free to block content, control the pathways to information and create pay-to-play schemes. This is not a dictatorship. There is no place for censorship in the United States of America.
835. Katherine Eudy, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Please fight to maintain Net Neutrality. It is essential to keep private corporations from stifling communication, free expression, and access to information. Please stand up for the American people.
836. Alicia Czechowski, BALTIMORE, MD, 21217  
Stop undermining democracy -keep the internet free and open.
837. STEVE MESSINA, ELLICOTT CITY, MD, 21043  
LEAVE MY INTERNET AND MY PRIVACY ALONE...I DO NOT GIVE YOU PERMISSION TO SEE WHAT I DO ON THE INTERNET...I PAY FOR IT..ITS MINE !!!!!!!..NOT YOURS !!!!!
838. Doris Fountain, MARRIOTTSVILLE, MD, 21104  
Is nothing sacred any more? Please protect this important part of being American and a model for the rest of the world.
839. Loreen Harris, BALTIMORE, MD, 21229  
Stop taking away our rights to make the rich richer.
840. Bill Gordon, BALTIMORE, MD, 21214  
The mid-terms!
841. Janice Ma, COLUMBIA, MD, 21044  
Tell Trump to stop trying to make The Handmaidens Tale come true. Don't make this country a dictatorship
842. Fran Terry, COLUMBIA, MD, 21044  
An internet free from provider control must be kept in place !
843. Laurie Coltri, COLUMBIA, MD, 21045  
A free and open flow of ideas is essential to our democracy. In a time of unprecedented incursion on freedom of the press
844. Pamela Carr, LUTHERVILLE TIMONIUM, MD, 21093  
I am disgusted by the increasing number of monopolies dictating our every day lives. No more!
845. Sylvia Hazzard, COLUMBIA, MD, 21044  
I STRONGLY believe that Internet users
846. Ray Donaldson, ELLICOTT CITY, MD, 21042  
Net neutrality must not be compromised.
847. christopher croke, BALTIMORE, MD, 21217  
Net neutrality benefits all Americans and not just a select wealthy few.
848. Bethany Martens, CATONSVILLE, MD, 21228  
Please keep internet neutrality!\r\nThank you!
849. Eleanor Wilson, CATONSVILLE, MD, 21228  
Please continue to support net neutrality under strong Title II protections.
850. Charlotte Brooks, CATONSVILLE, MD, 21228  
Net Neutrality is definitely worth fighting for. We have been petitioning for it for many years and the FCC under Obama kept it neutral...

851. Quint Gregory, CATONSVILLE, MD, 21228  
It easily can be argued that without a neutral internet
852. Ron Hickernell, CATONSVILLE, MD, 21228  
Any attempt to destroy net neutrality will produce an overwhelming resistance.
853. Fleet Van Riper, BALTIMORE, MD, 21217  
Speech and communication should be free
854. Robert Thornton, CATONSVILLE, MD, 21228  
Chairman Pai
855. John, baltimore, MD, 21228  
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. Anything less will be unacceptable. Stay out of this and worry about more important matters.
856. Jayne Lewis, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2...and consider drinking from a normal mug...yours is really douchy
857. Christopher Ellis, Highland, MD, 20777  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of internet service providers.I need to trust that my internet service provider is not being my net nanny (or overlord) by manipulating what I access on the internet, or the speed at which I access it.Giving ISPs the right to manipulate my internet service through a change back to Title I flies in the face of democratic ideals and free trade by giving corporations the power to manipulate the information I see and the business I conduct over the internet.There are really only two ways to protect democratic ideals and free trade on the internet: 1. Let private corporations offer internet services that are governed by strong title II Net Neutrality restrictions, or 2. Have the federal government run the internet. Reverting back to title I oversight is simply not adequate.Removing the strong Title II Net Neutrality rules would be a big mistake. Please leave the title II protections in place as they are.Thank you
858. paul trader, baltimore, MD, 21218  
Don't mess with net neutrality! Big companies shouldn't get off easy
859. alan resnick, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I am writing to tell you to please support true net neutrality and preserve title 2 for all internet service providers
860. Jeffrey Upman, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Without net neutrality, Comcast could (and will) ask Netflix to pay for speedy access on it's network. The current net neutrality must be kept in place.
861. Ian Phe, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Please keep current net-neutrality rule in place and keep internet traffic free from the meddling of ISPs. The proposed changes only reduce privacy and reduce market competition online.
862. Sean McFarland, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
I am writing to you to express my opinion on the open internet.ISPs should be continued to operate under Title II, and stricter net neutrality laws. This is fairly obvious from the layperson, but maybe not a Verizon investor. Thanks for your time.
863. Henry McCormack, Sparks, MD, 21152  
In strong support of net neutrality
864. G.E., Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
The internet has always been a place for all to openly share ideas. It has allowed us to bridge the gap in distant relationships and learn about wondrous new lands, people and cultures. It, for good or bad, has made daily life in trade, education, news, and entertainment easier. No one company, group or individual should be allowed to monopolize, monitor, and set up rules on the use of the net due to users financial standing, personal beliefs, consumer loyalties, or browser history.
865. Sean Haggerty, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
preserve net neutrality so that filling this complaint will still be possible in the future :)
866. Max Kim, marriottsville, MD, 21104  
I have just turned 18, which means my voice apparently means now. As such, I feel we need strong net neutrality rules, as we cant trust ISPS to regulate themselves and need rules to keep them in check
867. Jennifer Silbaugh, Woodbine, MD, 21797  
Net neutrality is a requirement to ensure equal and equitable access to information across different demographics. Please continue to enforce net neutrality.
868. David Chen, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I support strong net neutrality using Title II oversight over ISPs
869. Juliet Healy, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I want to keep net neutrality!!!
870. ROBERT HALE JR, reisterstown, MD, 21136

please preserve net neutral rules in title II.

871. Jesse Davies, Windsor Mill, MD, 21244  
I strongly support the concept of net neutrality and a free and open internet. Treating all data fairly is vital to the future success of the internet and companies that rely upon it. More importantly, the continued development of an educated and well connected population is dependent on equal and unbiased treatment of information. To allow internet service providers control of communications traversing their infrastructure is to allow for them to control what people are allowed to say and therefore what people are allowed to think. It does not matter if this free speech is a political discussion that will shape the world or a cat video. Even looking at this issue from a commercial standpoint highlights the problem with the current ideas of "innovation" by internet service providers. If a consumer pays for a certain download speed and a content provider pays for a particular upload speed, there should be no further artificial barriers in place. Any plan to charge additional money to favor certain content over everything else harms both content creators and the consumers in general.
872. Tee Ee, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
The FCC needs to stand up for Internet users like me and keep the net neutrality rules that are already in effect. The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Pai's plan to hand the telecom giants like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon free rein to create Internet fast lanes, stripping Internet users of the meaningful privacy and access protections we worked for and so recently won.
873. Candace Holcombe-Volke, Baltimore, MD, 21223  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I support equal and fair access to all internet.
874. Elizabeth Bell, Windsor Mill, MD, 21244  
Keep the Internet fair! Keep net neutrality!!
875. Morgan Bell, Windsor Mill, MD, 21244  
Leave our internet regulations as they are.
876. Lisa McCullough, Lutherville, MD, 21093  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II !! Net neutrality is essential to our freedom of the press.
877. Sarah Gilchrist, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
The net has improved my ability to do research from home. It has been immeasurable in its capabilities. However, turning the FCC into a partisan political bureau to benefit corporations will destroy what we citizens deserve. This is not making America Great again, this is making America poor at the behest of the uncaring rich corporations.
878. C H, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I love the Open Internet because it allows me the freedom to pick the kind of ebooks I want to read, the kind of streaming media I want to watch, and makes it fun to know people from as far away as Japan and Australia that are my good friends! Not having an Open Internet means fewer choices and less competition - good for you maybe, Mr. Pai, but not for the world at large.
879. Carson Heldmann, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
It gives people access to information that they can not get on their own. It helps you keep up with friends and relatives. It allows you to buy items without going shopping. The internet needs to stay open.
880. Lawrence Lubetsky, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
In a truly democratic country, all internet data should be treated the same, particularly in terms of cost to access that data. Please do not allow those who would profit by blocking public access to data. Keep an open internet and keep it neutral. Thank you.
881. Ellen Robbins, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
The Open Internet is our legal right. Not only in today's world is it an important element of "Freedom of Speech" it is also an important element when it comes to education, consumer protection, the right to know and the freedom to exchange ideas and opinions. DO NOT endanger this critical tool.
882. Dianne Scheper, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
The internet is possibly the most significant invention in the history of technology. To allow it to be arbitrarily limited to please ISPs and make them more money will completely ruin it and take us one massive step backwards in terms of freedom of speech and commerce. We need Net Neutrality in the form of title II reclassification in place to prevent that. The fact that we are being forced to revisit this matter in spite of the outcry that was made to put those rules in place to start with shows how little this FCC seems to care about that. Is corporate money more important to you than people's voices and livelihoods? Whatever the case, you can still come to your senses and stop what you're doing before the internet falls into a catastrophic state. It's not worth it to let the most despised industry in this country run rampant and slow people's connections down because they can, or outright block content or entire websites because they can. That's exactly what they will do if Net Neutrality is gutted. If you people have an ounce of common sense in your heads, you won't allow that.
883. Mark Hensman, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
These days, I rely on the internet to know what's happening in the world. I don't receive a paper newspaper; I subscribe to the New York Times online. I believe many (even most) Americans rely on the internet for their news source. A free and open internet is therefore essential for this country to continue to function as a democracy. As I write that, I have to wonder -- is the Trump-appointed new FCC chairman aiming himself to make the public media serve its rulers rather than the public? I hope that he and the FCC will prove me wrong, by honoring the public function that media access represents. Please retain Net Neutrality.
884. Timothy Miller, Baltimore, MD, 21223

- I want the internet to be open and free to everyone
885. Tracy Trobridge, W. Friendship, MD, 21794  
Access to the open internet is the heart of the Information Age. Let's not ruin it with corporate censorship.
886. Savanna Bailey, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I support keeping the big internet companies classified under title II by the communications act of 1934. Net neutrality is an important issue and these larger internet companies need to held accountable for keeping internet speed and availability equal.
887. marisa schomisch, baltimore, MD, 21218  
preserve Net Neutrality and title 2...don't blow this.
888. Charles Brown, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
Please protect net neutrality. Don't revert to title one!
889. laura denniss, baltimore, MD, 21229  
Try to be just, not greedy. Net neutrality should be a given. Trying to keep us from commenting on this subject is puerile and indefensible.
890. Mike Morucci, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs). It's the responsibility of the FCC to represent and protect the freedom and flow of information, and ensure its equitable reach to all citizens. Please do not simply favor the financial desires of the ISPs, or the lobbyists, or the corporations, or one political party over the citizenry.
891. James C Emo, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I write to object to the elimination of net neutrality rules.
892. Michael Coco, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Hi,I'm contacting the FCC to say that I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of internet service providers. We need net neutrality.Thanks,Mike
893. Hannah Kirschner, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
As a taxpaying citizen and consumer I expect the FCC to safeguard my rights against consolidated corporate interests lobbies and takeovers. The FCC must safeguard open internet for Americans. This is my call and demand for open internet, net neutrality and strong Title II oversight of ISPs.
894. sarah Hearn-vonFoerster, Baltimore, MD, 21223  
Predators are after all of us. Switch from those companies. They only understand money and power..
895. Alan Wagner, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
we need the internet to be the same for everyone! my blog on par with Amazon.com to whitehouse.gov etc.
896. Alexander Aeschliman, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Policymakers need to start acting on behalf of the people who elected them and put them in office, and stop throwing the people's best interests away out of deference to companies. It infuriates me that you were elected to protect the people of the United States of America, and instead you are turning a blind eye to their concerns and what is important to them. The people of this country have not miraculously changed their minds about Net Neutrality (among other issues) in the last few years. By behaving in this way you are actively betraying those who elected you and you are effectively abandoning your post. We the people rely on you to uphold our rights and protect our interests: if you fail to do that, you have abandoned your duty and you are actively undermining the very system our forefathers put in place to protect the people of this country. Take your job seriously: if you are here for the money instead of protecting the rights of those less fortunate than you (as well as protecting the rights of those who disagree with you!), get out of politics right now and go start a company.I and the American people do not ask you, we demand that you do the job to which you were elected.
897. Chris Kirk, Cockeysville, MD, 21030  
Please keep the Internet open for us regular folks!
898. Trish Malone, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
the internet warns students when a crazy man is running around campus with a knife (emergency services)It tells people in hurricane areas, when their evacuation time is (weather services)It connects doctors to patients (health services)It connects country children to schools (education services)
899. Dorothy Schleicher, Baltimore, MD, 21223  
Absolutely do not destroy Net Neutrality! Vote for your fellow citizens, not corporations!
900. Amy Rowland, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Strong net neutrality is very important to me. Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. Go FCC yourself.
901. Rustin Pare, Columbia, MD, 21044  
INTERNET FREEDOM FOR ALL! TITLE II MUST STAY SAFE! PROTECT THE OPEN INTERNET FOR THE PEOPLE!!!!!!!
902. Brian Connolly, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
This was settled in the past. Net Nuetrality protections under Title 2 need to remain in place. There is no reason to do otherwise and we are not believing the stories your director is telling. I'm sure he would love to help his old buddies at Verizon, but he needs to keep the

best interests of Americans in mind. Keep Net Neutrality protections under Title 2 now and for the future.

903. Net Neutrality, Hampstead, MD, 21074  
I support strongly Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight if ISPs.
904. Jeff Curry, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I stand for net neutrality. The internet, as the sum of all knowledge of the human race, is as inalienable a right as shelter and electricity. Please do not let overcommercialization taint such an incredible resource.
905. Francine M Woodcock, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
We need to retain Title 2 regulation of the communications act of 1934 Maintain net neutrality
906. Trudel Pare, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Please maintain net neutrality by keeping Title II protections on ISPs!! A free, open, and equal speed Internet is important for all online business, and is difficult to maintain without these regulations.
907. Winter Thayer, Baltimore, MD, 21205  
I specifically support strong net neutrality laws through Title II oversight.
908. Paolo Jasa, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Net neutrality is incredibly important to me as a constituent. Don't let it roll back.
909. W. Slade, Clarksville, MD, 21029  
Please save Title II for net neutrality. Thank you.
910. Carolyn Powers, Columbia, MD, 21044  
It's important that we continue to enforce net neutrality through title 2. I do not want interests of the isp control or affect our access to the internet.
911. Margot vanBlack, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I am in favor of strong net neutrality rules and advocate the continued regulation under Title 2.
912. Marek Smolinski, Sparks Glencoe, MD, 21152  
We support net neutrality and ISPs being regulated under Title 2.
913. Jay Rosenthal, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Net neutrality is crucial. Do not remove the ISPs from title II. We need to retain net neutrality.
914. Mark Eckley, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
Please reclassify ISPs as Title II common carriers. Please keep traffic running smoothly, all of it! Uh, thanks. Mark
915. Gregory S. Avicola, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Also, f\*\*\* your disingenuous BS Ajit Pai.
916. Gail Frantz, Reisterstown, MD, 21136  
Controls have no place on the free exchanges between people. We all need this communication to remain free flowing.
917. Peter Donohue, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
An open internet is the backbone of this world. Just as we shouldn't limit the telephone or radio, letting big companies throttle our speed to increase their revenue is wrong. Stop supporting this!
918. Patricia Winchild, Baltimore, MD, 21207  
The people should own the internet....
919. Charlotte Landgraf, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Net neutrality is very important to me because I want to have equal opportunity use of any ISP that exists and any services through the internet that exists (such as Netflix). No one company should have control.
920. Daniel Neeley, Gwynn Oaks, MD, 21207  
Yo! Netizen here, and I cannot believe that I have to constantly speak out about this topic. There's no faster way in the world to get me active than to threaten net neutrality. Really? We've already done this tapdance at least twice in the past. And he's not a better posterboy for corporate interests. Lawmakers: Shut. This. Down. Please and thank you.- One Very Concerned Citizen
921. Matthew Humphrey, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
This isn't some arcane rule change that only computer nerds and policy wonks care about--net neutrality is essential for our democracy. You can't fool enough of the people about the nature of the changes you want to ram through to take away our freedoms without us noticing. The lifeblood of free expression is at stake here, and we know it.
922. Glenn Workman, Columbia, MD, 21045  
Regardless of any pro business stance that congress may project, and I am certainly not against business, it should never supersede the interest of the individual citizen. Whether that is a concern for safety, the environment, or in this case personal privacy.
923. Christopher Ellis, Highland, MD, 20777

I need to trust that my internet service provider is not being my nanny by manipulating what I access on the internet, or the speed at which I access it. Giving ISPs the right to manipulate my internet service flies in the face of democratic ideals and free trade by giving corporations the power to manipulate the information I see and the business I conduct over the internet. There are really only two ways to protect democratic ideals and free trade on the internet: 1. Let private corporations offer internet services that are governed by Net Neutrality restrictions, or 2. Have the federal government run the internet. Removing the strong Net Neutrality rules would be a mistake. Please let the protections in place as they are. Thank you

924. Madelaine Fletcher, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I'm not sure how clear I can make this, but you need to leave the Internet alone. Do not abolish net neutrality, live the law alone as it is now.
925. Nathan Zaidman, Baltimore, MD, 21205  
I support strong net neutrality laws and continued title 2 regulation.
926. Jaclyn Petruzzelli, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I support open internet, which relies on the regulation of internet service providers as "common carriers" under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. I strongly oppose any reclassification of ISPs that would permit them to prioritize certain content over other content.
927. Christopher Guinnup, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I strongly support Title II classification of Internet service providers. The Internet is a medium for communication just as much as the telephone networks, and it ought to be subject to the same common carrier principles. I am concerned that relaxing these rules will invite anti-competitive behavior which enriches ISPs at the cost of public access and private innovation.
928. Jen Berry, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
The concept of throttling should be regulated. ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts in the past. I don't want them to do this again. I'm also concerned by mobile providers who say a plan is "unlimited," but when you exceed the data cap, only throttle sites and services that aren't part of their approved zero-rating network. That is basically a text-book case of what would happen on a non-free/neutral internet and I look forward to it being brought up in court as well.
929. Shona Goldberg-Leopold, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
As a concerned citizen who relies on net neutrality in my professional and personal business, I strongly urge the FCC not to reverse Title II net neutrality. I have researched this topic extensively and feel very strongly about this.
930. Katie Harrington, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
I support net neutrality and regulating Internet service providers under title two.
931. Eric Filemyr, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I support net neutrality. Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2. I don't trust a former Verizon lawyer to be objective on...regulating Verizon.
932. Net Neutrality, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Specifically Support Net Neutrality
933. Justin Lorts, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
This is nothing but a giveaway to internet service providers that already enjoy a monopoly in many cities. Here in Baltimore we can only get Comcast. Right now that's not so bad because we can rely on Netflix, Amazon, Hulu etc but even now Comcast prevents many tv channel apps from operating on Apple TV because it wants us to use its app. Without Net Neutrality we would no doubt be forced to use its app and won't have an option of going anywhere else for service.
934. Elizabeth Johns, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. The legal protection of a free and fair internet is crucial to our democracy.
935. Judith Goldstein, Lutherville, MD, 21093  
Preserve net neutrality and title II. Any approach otherwise is unfair and not protecting the citizens of the United States in free access to information
936. Katrina Bresnick, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
As an daily internet user and concerned citizen, I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
937. Nicole Hartig, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Attacks on Net Neutrality by the FCC are just one more example of the government bending to the will of corporations and their money at the expense of the common people.
938. title 2, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Net Neutrality is incredibly important. Please do not leave it to corporations to decide whether to voluntarily opt in or out of this fairness doctrine.
939. Thomas Green, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I insist on net neutrality for the future. Allowing any ISP to throttle the internet based on their wants would be a travesty. And it would show a pattern of government approval of corporate greed and self regulation. Regulations are here for a reason, to protect the people of

our nation. FCC, do your job and regulate!

940. Karim Zelenka, Baltimore, MD, 21231  
HELLO MR. PAI, I STRONGLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE 2 OVERSIGHT OF ISPs.
941. Tyler Lane, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I am an average user of the internet and depend on my government to defend my interests in receiving information in an unbiased manner. I have lived in multiple cities and towns across the US and we often only have one option for affordable and reliable internet service. If that service that I am paying for is allowed to also augment or diminish which web services it provides me, I have no means of countering or avoiding this situation to obtain comparable service unless I move to another service provider area, who can then similarly dictate the terms of service both to the end user and the services they are providing. Please retain management of internet providers under Title II and ensure net neutrality.
942. Emily S Lipkin, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I support strong net neutrality rules grounded in Title II of the Telecommunications Act. ISPs are fundamentally incapable of policing themselves.
943. Jared Hinkle, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
I am writing to express opposition to the so-called "Restoring Internet Freedom" act. The only "freedom" this would engender is deregulated freedom of large ISPs to control internet traffic and manipulate access. There are no freedoms afforded by this bill to the general public or smaller ISPs and it is an affront to the inherent openness of the internet. It is quite ironic that this ridiculous bill is being pushed as a liberating and anti-control measure when it on fact would effect the exact opposite for the vast majority of the country.
944. rick bobby, Baltimore, MD, 21228  
John Oliver is corrected. Go FCC yourself!
945. Mark Houck, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I strongly oppose changing the current net neutrality oversight under Title 2. Do NOT change oversight to Title 1.
946. Chad Downey, Gwynn Oak, MD, 21207  
Your desire to allow the speed of internet service to be dictated by the highest bidder is essentially corporate takeover of the internet. These ISP's got to their status by riding on the tax dollars of Americans through subsidies and tax brakes. They should not be allowed to select which companies would be given preferential access to bandwidth.
947. Hannah Schwartzkopf, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. Private companies must not possess the ability to alter the speed of information from any source, period. The idea that consumers must trust deregulated companies not to throttle data on their own good will is ludicrous. The internet is a valuable resource and equal access to all of its contents should be one of the FCC's primary concerns.
948. Erica Attoe, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
I strongly support net neutrality and the protections of Title 2. Equal access to the internet is essential to our economy and democracy.
949. Daniel Gillman, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Please DO NOT remove Net Neutrality rules. It would be detrimental to consumerism. ISPs are already in a position of enormous power that has not been to the benefit of consumers. Allowing them more control over the content their subscribers can access will affect business and consumers alike.
950. Zachary Mazzola, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight by isps!!!!!!
951. Ben Cohn, Cockeysville, MD, 21030  
I support STRONG net neutrality and Title II. I believe that the internet should be equal for everyone and that the FCC should keep regulations to make sure that no one rules the internet.
952. Erica Bello, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I support strong, Title II, restrictions on ISP.
953. Jenna Silverman, Columbia, MD, 21045  
Strong laws for net neutrality is essential for our country. DO NOT repeal net neutrality! Keep the laws for net neutrality
954. David Newton, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Net neutrality is important to me and should be maintained. Corporations only care about profits and will throttle the internet speed of competitors if given the opportunity. Please keep the ISPs regulated as Title II.
955. Randy Murbach, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
believe ISPs should be regulated under Title II
956. Johnathan Lightfoot, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. ISPs have demonstrated their tendency to keep profits ahead of the consumer needs and desires. If we allow ISPs to self-regulate themselves we will see devastating results for the internet and the free exchange of ideas, opportunities, and information.

957. James Macdonald, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
I do not agree with the plans to change the current regulations to allow Internet Service Providers to prioritize internet traffic. I feel that this change can threaten small and emerging businesses who rely on free and equal bandwidth to deliver their products and services. I further believe that these changes will allow Internet Service Providers to affect bandwidth delivery to their customers and other consumers. When implemented, Internet Service Providers told their stockholders that these protections would not affect their ability to expand and profit. These regulations assure even and equal access for all utilizing these vital, emerging and important services now and in the future. Please consider the benefits provided to all Americans when we provide a clear and simple means for our liberties to be defined for those controlling and selling our resources.
958. Emily Caplan, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I emphatically support the vital and enforceable protection of strong net neutrality backed by the necessary preservation of Title II oversight over ISPs.
959. Susan McCully, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Net neutrality must remain strong. Title 2 is an essential safeguard.
960. Linda Dusman, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Net neutrality must remain strong. Title 2 is a critical safeguard and I do it want to see this changed.
961. Alexander Devin Washofsky, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
ISPs should absolutely be governed by strong net neutrality rules under Title II oversight, NOT Title I.
962. KEVIN BUCKLEY, ELKRIDGE, MD, 21075  
PROTECT THE CONSUMERS, NOT BUSINESS!!!!
963. dennis hall, Columbia, MD, 21045  
I strongly support keeping net neutrality regulations under title II.
964. netneutrality, baltimore, MD, 21218  
I am in strong favor of the continuation of net neutrality and classification of ISPs under Title II classification.
965. Ryan Apt, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I support a free and open internet and implore the FCC to uphold Net Neutrality and Title II. The USA is a country of free expression. It is not a country of expression for an additional fee. Thank you.
966. Paris Drake, Baltimore, MD, 21207  
I would like to express my support for strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight by internet service providers. Net Neutrality and Title II must be preserved.
967. Neal Golden, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The existing legal footing as defined by Title II protects consumers. Do not favor corporate greed of Internet service providers over the little guy.
968. Eric Koluch, Woodbine, MD, 21797  
Please do not take away the current Net Neutrality laws. ISPs should remain in Title II and should not be allowed to dictate what I can or can't see/download as well as regulate internet speeds to specifics sites and applications.
969. Tommie O. Battle, Jr. USN RET, Columbia, MD, 21044  
The Internet should stay neutral to prevent any two or more tiered system from interfering communications to it's customers. The Internet is not going away and I believe it is a false premise that service providers and entrepreneurs will not invest in new technologies to increase its effectiveness, reach, and scope. I agree with Ed Blacks statement "It is historically important that the Internet enhances freedom for all rather than profit for a few,"
970. Natalia Panfile, Baltimore, MD, 21228  
Net neutrality is important for everyday Americans. The rolling back of these protection only benefits big businesses. The FCC is there to protect all Americans and not only the big conglomerates that are already reporting record profits.
971. Robert Sarnovsky, Phoenix, MD, 21131  
Overturning the current policy rule will be a disaster. I have no trust that ISP's will not abuse THEIR freedom to favor providing faster speeds for \$\$\$\$. Why would they NOT. The FCC has the job of regulating this industry and creating a fair playing field. You are about to do just the opposite if this is overturned. It has been shown repeatedly in the past that unregulated industries without exception take as much advantage of their situation as possible. Learn from history and prevent it from happening again here.
972. Sheila Ruth, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Those who would like to repeal Net Neutrality claim that it's about freedom, but whose freedom is important? The freedom of the American people to communicate without barriers, or the freedom of a handful of corporations, such as AT&T, Verizon, and Comcast, to make profits and control the flow of information through their networks? Opponents of Net Neutrality say that it means government control over the internet, but the opposite is true: Net Neutrality enables the government to prevent a few large corporations from controlling the Internet, which has become essential to the lives of Americans; we rely on it for almost every aspect of our lives, including participating in democracy. The internet providers should be regulated as public utilities to prevent them from profiteering and from arbitrary control over this essential resource.

973. Cheryl Jenner, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I'm worried that if the enforcement is change, the protections that are in place will be weakened. I would only support new regulations if they guarantee the same or better protection for consumers. I do not support any changes if consumer protection is weakened. For example, Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible. And, their determinations were proven wrong in court. We need to learn from the past! I'm also concerned by mobile providers who say a plan is "unlimited," but when you exceed the data cap, only throttle sites and services that aren't part of their approved network. This creates unfair advantages and again is a disservice to the consumer. Please don't put the profits of corporations ahead of what is best for the consumer. Thank you for your time.
974. Lindsey Anderson, Baltimore, MD, 21207  
I believe internet providers should treat all web traffic fairly and evenly. If broadband companies are granted power to control our access to websites, they can control access to information, to businesses, to news - or whatever else - in their own favor. Net neutrality is critical to freedom of expression, equal access to the web, and, importantly, the ability of small business to compete online with big ones. Rolling back net neutrality helps no one but large broadband corporations. I do not believe broadband corporations have the public interest in mind over self-interest.
975. Barbara, Pikesville, MD, 21208  
Language too nebulous regarding "blocking harmful content," with too much leeway for interpretation. A bad idea, please reconsider this immediately.
976. Bernard Vorke, Baldwin, MD, 21013  
Chairman Pai maintains the current net neutrality rules
977. Valerie Butler, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
The internet must remain open and free. Calling this docket "Restoring Internet Freedom" is dishonest. Keep the internet free!
978. Keith Barney, Baltimore, MD, 21239  
Why does everything in this country have to be tilted to benefit businesses? Our government is no longer for the people or the people's interest .... This will do nothing but hurt consumers and users of the Internet. Tired of phony politicians trying to look out for big businesses and lying to the people like they are doing it for our own good. When does it stop. It is sickening.
979. Rogers Leverette, Baltimore, MD, 21207  
The public internet is regulated as a utility and is works very well for citizens. The FCC should not allow it to be usurped by various industries to use just for themselves as a profit machine.
980. Mark Reynolds, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Please preserve and strengthen existing net neutrality rules. ISPs should be treated as utilities because the internet is as fundamental to our country now as the telephone once was. New businesses that want to compete with large established companies must be given a level playing field, and that means targeted throttling must not be allowed. ISPs often have a conflict of interest because they want to prioritize their own content (and their partners). That is not equality, and it's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these unfair business practices. The argument that ISPs are delaying features because they're being treated as a utility is an hollow argument. Competition and a level playing field are what drive innovation. Please stop catering to special interests. The internet belongs to us all.
981. Net Neutrality, Baltimore, MD, 21228  
I support retaining and enforcing strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. To do otherwise is chose businesses over citizens
982. FCC, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Like public libraries, the Internet should be open and equally accessible to all. It is a public forum. Companies--including communications companies like AT&T and Comcast, have the option of advertising to increase presence and business. Creating tiered access would be like allowing adults aged 35-45 first access to a public library, and all others would be delegated to a line to gain access only when all those of the privileged class allows space.
983. Thea Jones, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I strongly support net neutrality rules as they are! Do not change these rules! You must act in the interest of all Americans who need to have open access and no increase in fees that benefit ISP providers.
984. Tyson Fogel, Baltimore, MD, 21207  
I believe internet providers should treat all web traffic fairly and evenly. Net neutrality is critical to freedom of expression, equal access to the web, and the ability of small business to compete online with big ones. Rolling back net neutrality helps no one but large broadband corporations. I do not believe broadband corporations have the public interest in mind over self-interest.
985. Niklas Malmstrom, Baltimore, MD, 21230  
I would like to submit a request that the integrity of strict regulations of net neutrality be maintained. I can not see any good reason why there should be any de-regulatory action.
986. Khaleel Mohamed Ismail, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I support regulation of the internet under Title 2, which ensures full net neutrality.
987. Nancy D. Miller, Gwynn Oak, MD, 21207  
I am writing to state my unequivocal support for maintaining the Obama-era regulations protecting internet freedom. Any effort to allow corporate influence to pervert internet access, creating separate levels of access depending on one's ability to pay for premium services is a violation of our freedom of speech. You will find yourselves mired in lawsuits should you try to destroy internet freedom.

988. Cynthia Holmes Radner, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
We are far beyond the time when the internet can be considered a privilege. It is a necessity woven into the fabric of our society. As a concerned citizen and a "remote" worker, I believe it is vital to treat the internet as a utility to ensure that this essential tool to both commerce and culture cannot be unjustly manipulated by private entities intent upon providing preferential treatment to one form of content over another or one business over another. Do not adjust the current status quo at the behest of lobbies and big business.
989. Magdi, Woodbine, MD, 21797  
Please keep strong network neutrality laws in place in order to ensure fair internet use for everybody
990. Thomas Tiberghien Alvarez, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Please do not repeal Net Neutrality. Net Neutrality makes the internet fair and open to everyone and it gives small businesses an open playing field against large IPOs. Literally the only reason why the US is dismantling Net Neutrality is because of IPO lobbying. Don't give into that.
991. Nathan Roe, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I support leaving the regulation of internet access as a Title II utility.
992. Ann Marie Albright, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Please preserve net neutrality. I am very concerned about the risk of consumer exploitation if net neutrality is not supported. Thank you.
993. Kevin Ferrant, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
The FCC should keep net neutrality with a Title II classification instead of re-classifying it. Keeping it as Title II is the only protection consumers have from large corporations throttling data and provides the FCC with the authority they need to keep consumers' interests at heart.
994. Jordan Billingsley, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Keep net neutrality. Investigate "bot" responses that are anti net neutrality. The internet is a modern day utility that should be publicly available to everyone. Failure to maintain neutrality will only deepen the divide.
995. Aramis Camacho, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
It's of the utmost importance to keep title ii in place and keep net neutrality rules because they protect the freedom of the internet. It's an insult to the American people telling them otherwise will benefit anyone but corporations like Comcast.
996. Briana Maine, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
An open and free internet is critical to the development of the online economy. By allowing those who are already in a position of power to pay to get to consumers faster, you are entrenching their position. This hurts small startups who may have a better way of doing things. By eliminating net neutrality, you harm the next Google and ultimately harm the consumer's ability to choose.
997. Michael Frank, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
The authors of this new set of rules need to take a 100 level college course on how the internet and more importantly the HTTP protocol works. These rules make patently false statements based on incorrect understandings of both of these technologies. When using the internet and web browsing there is only one party that is controlling the generation of data, and where that data is being sent, and that is the person sitting at their computer. There is no possible interpretation where you can argue that the ISP is either generating data or deciding where data should go. While the ISP may take care of routing data that is no different than what a telephone company does. When I dial the number for my parent's house I have no idea how that call is being routed to that phone. I don't know what towers, and switchboards, and whatever else is in between. This is no different than when I type in www.google.com in my browser. I don't know or care how the data gets to google, but I have decided that specific location, and no where else, that I want my data to go to, and receive data back from. Rolling back protections for net neutrality will have no benefit to the ISP customer and only benefits for the ISP to further strangle the data market in this country. Data in our country is already orders of magnitude more expensive than in other first world countries. For what is supposed to be the greatest country on this earth that doesn't make sense. The only way to fix that is to guarantee a protection of our data and enforce net neutrality.
998. Sarah Ross, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Do not repeal or even scale back Net Neutrality. Once the chaos of this administration is over, either following impeachment or simply the next election, we will look back on the laws passed and those tabled as monuments to the opportunism and shamelessness of politicians and private interests who sought only their own gain. Net Neutrality MUST be a right for a free and free-thinking society; in order for any citizen to call this country among the greatest on earth, we must also be able to explore, share, and reflect on the world beyond what received wisdom we are given within our local environments. To make it possible for corporations, which are human-made constructions and should NEVER have more rights or preference over ACTUAL living human beings, to control what we know and how we know it is a gross misuse of power and an affront to the ideas of progress and freedom.
999. Mike Gillen, Highland, MD, 20777  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and the current Title II designation. Internet Service Providers should treat network packets as network packets, without concern for the source or destination or who is paying for special treatment. Our Internet backbone and local providers should treat all services similarly, providing for a common playing field for sites and expanding my options as a consumer. I support the current Title II designation and do not support the proposed changes.
1000. James Bernhardt, Woodlawn, MD, 21207  
I reject this proposal. These highly profitable, monopolistic telecommunications companies don't need any additional help making money. A free and open internet is not damaging their ability to do business as they are now. Comcast made EIGHTY BILLION DOLLARS in revenue last year. Their revenue was worth more than the combined net worth of many large corporations. They don't need any help making more money. These so-called "restrictions" are not causing them to go out of business. The only thing hurting

Comcast is the need to expand even more rapidly than they already are. This proposal is an absurd power-grab, just like many other pieces of ridiculous legislation we have seen coming out of the government this year. It has even got a patently ridiculous dystopic title. Restoring Internet Freedom? Usually when you name an Act in this country, you are making it clear who it is meant to help. Unfortunately, the writing on the wall clearly says that the idea here is to free these insanely profitable companies to essentially own your web presence. In an increasingly digital society (let's be honest, the internet is a big part of your average American's day-to-day thanks to the fact it is an extremely efficient vehicle for commerce and communication), this is much of who we are as people. I don't need some company trying to own who I am. They already get to sell my information off to the highest bidder, and I can't do a damn thing about it, which is absolutely outrageous in this country. I'd rather not be any more at the mercy of a soulless, corrupt monopoly (that I am already shelling out over a thousand dollars a year to for average service), than I already am. "Restoring Internet Freedom" is a complete sham. Net-neutrality must be safeguarded.

1001. Matthew K Ruth, Sparks, MD, 21152

To whom it may concern, The internet has become much more than its humble beginnings and, like other industries before it, begs for strong regulation to protect the American people from exploitation. Title II designations are the only way for this to take place. The proposal being voted on, despite its dubious name, is in fact going to harm us all, taking us to a future where the internet is no longer open and free, but rather segmented and sold to the highest bidder. I am all for capitalism, but even the special interests pushing this vote must know in their hearts that we as a society will look back sadly at the course that brought us here should it pass. As an executive in a small business in MD (as well as a normal, internet dependant citizen) I care greatly for the freedom of the net. If this proposal is to pass competition will be stifled, as those established companies with the captial to invest in deals with ISPs will be free to create barriers to entry as no Title II will leave the FCC with no enforcement abilities, and thats assuming the rules being proposed promoted net neutrality which they dont. (Again, such a misleading title) Our quality of life will diminish, as sites we once enjoyed access to will be split up, repackaged, and upcharged. This is what ISPs refer to as innovation. Great for their bottom line, terrible for everything else. We can't allow such a bleak future to arrive. I for one will make it my life's mission for everyone I meet to associate this voting body with the destruction of our internet. I have never been one for civil engagement, but this will be my rallying call. I will canvas areas. I will send emails. I will buy full page ads in local newspapers. I will take the large social network around me and encourage the same. I feel left with no options, as such a vote makes our system feel broken. Please reconsider your positions and the longterm effects. Thank you

1002. Margaret Smith, Woodstock, MD, 21163

Dear FCC, I feel like we've become China, restricting access to information on the internet. If the FCC eliminates net neutrality protections/Title II, it's only a matter of time before I try to do a search on "Obama" only to find that this information has been eliminated. While you think this sounds extreme, why would you even think that AT&T, Verizon, Comcast, Charter/Time Warner, and Cox won't engage in data discrimination? They have before. By slowing down and blocking content, free speech is constrained. If you bottleneck or stop my traffic in order to force me to pay more or redirect my usage patterns, you are restricting first amendment rights of content providers. Conversely, if I try to post materials and my actions are slowed/blocked, my free speech is also impeded. The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal to allow data discrimination. Consumer access needs to be safeguarded by the FCC, along with privacy protections. I appreciate you maintaining Title II net neutrality rules and the rights of Internet users like me. Sincerely, Margaret Smith

1003. Robert A. Clay, Baltimore, MD, 21214

I Support Strong Net Neutrality Backed By Title II Oversight of ISPs. I know whose interests the commissioners are truly attempting to uphold, rather than the public interest they have sworn to uphold, and I oppose these interests vigorously. Nothing less than free speech and free enterprise are at stake, and the Republican lies about "Restoring Internet Freedom" are corporate propaganda advanced by thieves and liars. We will not stand for this.

1004. TYRONE SEAY, Baltimore, MD, 21239

Please keep Net neutrality in place as is.

1005. Mark Klotzbach, Baltimore, MD, 21218

The strong net neutrality protections provided by Title II Regulation of ISP is necessary, effective, and should not be overturned.

1006. Mike Gilberg, Reisterstown, MD, 21136

What on Earth are you and your party thinking? How much more wrong could you possibly be about this topic? Please detail your options or equity stakes in any of the ISP's that are going to gouge us consumers even more and line yours and your fat cat friends pockets? You are part of what makes this country such a disgrace at this time.

1007. Thomas Godsey, Baltimore, MD, 21202

In paragraph 26 it states, "Whether posting on social media or drafting a blog, a broadband Internet user is able to generate and make available information online. Whether reading a newspaper's website or browsing the results from a search engine, a broadband Internet user is able to acquire and retrieve information online." In short, broadband Internet access service appears to offer its users the "capability" to perform each and every one of the functions listed in the definition "and accordingly appears to be an information service by the definition. We seek comment on analysis." But it is untrue that an ISP (Internet Service Provider) offers the user these 'capabilities.' The ISP offers a means to access these capabilities, but ultimately the capability is offered by the website. All the ISP does is connect the user. Let me be clear, in no way does the ISP post, store, or retrieve data to or from a website, all those capabilities are handled by the website (and the company/persons running it). All the ISP does is pass data along to and from the website. For example, if I make a post on Twitter, all the ISP is responsible for it transmitting my text (all 140 characters or less) to the Twitter servers (owned by Twitter, not the ISP), then those servers (still Twitter's servers) will add my post to what I can only assume is a massive database of tweets. Again, this database, and all it's data, is stored by Twitter, not the ISP. This also contradicts what paragraph 29 says: "Internet service providers do not appear to offer 'telecommunications' . . . For one, broadband internet users do not typically specify the "points" between and among which information is sent online. Instead, routing decisions are based on the architecture of the network, not on consumers' instructions, and consumers are often unaware of where online content is stored." Even IP addresses may not specify where information is transmitted to or from because caching servers store and serve popular information to reduce

network loads. We believe that consumers want and pay for these functionalities that go beyond mere transmission and that they have come to expect them as part and parcel of broadband Internet access. We seek comment on our analysis. It is false that users do not specify these said "points." The point a user wishes to connect to is specified by web address, which is frequently entered into the 'address bar' of an internet browser (e. g. Chrome, Internet Explorer, or Firefox). An address can be an IP address, or a URL; 31.13.69.228 and <https://www.facebook.com/> will both bring the user to Facebook. The ISP is not converting between the two types of addresses, nor is it redirecting the user straight to Facebook. All the ISP does is pass along the connection request to the internet (other servers, routers, and computers; none of which are owned by the ISP) which further redirect the request until it is received by Facebook, and Facebook sends back the requested page. All though the ISP is responsible for sending the request and the subsequent response to and from the user's computer and the Facebook servers, it knows not the location of the Facebook servers, nor the data being sent. At most, it knows that the data is sent to Facebook, but that is the extent. ISPs are very much telecommunication providers, the communication being data sent between the internet (made up of many servers and computers) and the individual user's device. Based on the inaccuracies in these two paragraphs, I urge the FCC to obtain a better understanding of how the internet works, to learn what the purpose of an ISP actually is, and to rethink its stance and uphold net neutrality.

1008. Eric Ohnstad, Columbia, MD, 21044

I concur with the sentiments of the following comment: I strongly oppose the proposals in 17-108; they are a terrible mistake. The entire proposal is misguided and should be abandoned. The idea that broadband Internet is an "information service" is grievously wrong. Internet connections have largely replaced the traditional "telecommunications networks" of Title 2. Information services are today transmitted over the Internet, just as aviation weather services were transmitted over telephone lines in 1990 and before. Today's Internet is obviously a telecommunication service that falls under Title 2. The proposal caters to incumbent telecom industry interests, which are the opposite of openness, equal access, and competition. Open, non-harmful access promotes innovation. This was true for telephone service and it's true for the Internet. The proposed rules and approach will serve to protect and entrench Internet providers, unfairly disadvantage consumers, and harm American innovation. The proposal to reinstate "mobile broadband Internet access service" is not a commercial mobile service; it borders on absurd. It is precisely a commercial mobile service. No change is necessary or appropriate. The proposals in 17-108 are in opposition to the FCC's mission as provided in the Communications Act of 1934, and amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, to "make available so far as possible, to all the people of the United States, without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex, rapid, efficient, Nationwide, and world-wide wire and radio communication services with adequate facilities at reasonable charges." Finally, voiding the flood of (real, not "bot") public comments during the sunshine period demonstrates the Commission's alarming bias in blindly promoting the industry's anti-competition, anti-openness agenda. It's troubling that the Chairman's statements about net neutrality have become so detached from reality. As a former public servant, I urge you all to think more deeply about the oaths you took as commissioners before making further dubious and politicized statements.

1009. Jared Crain, Catonsville, MD, 21228

Your understanding of how consumers use the internet and the role that ISPs play is absolutely misinformed and wholly inadequate. It is absolutely crystal clear that an ISP acts as a bridge between two locations, as a mail service between two addresses, or as a telephone company between two phone numbers. In no way should ISPs be described as providing the vast capabilities of storing, generating, or transforming information, and allowing them to prioritize internet traffic would undermine the freedom of the internet.

1010. Rhonda, Baltimore, MD, 21244

Please keep net neutrality in its current form! All internet providers need to increase investments in broadband networks. Most people living in rural areas do not have access to the internet like suburban and urban areas do. Larger internet service providers such as Verizon, Comcast, DirecTV, and so on, should increase and upgrade their infrastructure in rural areas. No websites should have faster traffic than other websites based on name recognition. For example, Google should not have faster traffic than Bing just because it is more popular. Everyone wants to have various options with what websites they visit to gain information through searches, hotel bookings, clothing stores, and so on.

1011. joel rives, Catonsville, MD, 21228

I'm against the new rule proposal which would put an end to the net neutrality principles. I believe this rule would decrease competition among content providers by providing an unfair advantage to ISPs who also provide content (e.g. Comcast).

1012. Quang Du, catonsville, MD, 21228

I strongly support net neutral as supported by title 2 of the Internet service providers. Please take this position.

1013. Grace Ferguson, Columbia, MD, 21044

I am writing to give my earnest, urgent support for strong net neutrality with Title II oversight of ISPs. I want to know that my access to the sites I choose cannot be potentially restricted or slowed down by companies who may wish to give themselves an advantage over competitors, or for other reasons. Neutral, open access is a vital component of internet use - please protect that.

1014. Adam Lerman, Ellicott City, MD, 21043

Reversing Net Neutrality is the wrong decision and should NOT be done.

1015. Daniel Karp, Baltimore, MD, 21214

I strongly support Net Neutrality and am imploring the FCC to keep ISPs listed under Title II in order to have strong regulation so that ISPs cannot commit any felony on US citizens with regard to access to the Internet.

1016. Merri-Todd Webster, Baltimore, MD, 21202

I strongly support net neutrality. Don't take it away and let ISP decide and control what information people can access.

1017. Edward Tracy, Catonsville, MD, 21228

...KEEP NET NEUTRALITY...

1018. Kiowa Bailey, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Net Neutrality is vital to providing fair and equal internet services and promoting democracy. Stop listening to random people and those in power and listen to freaking experts on the issue.
1019. Katelyn Hough, Windsor Mill, MD, 21244  
Please ensure the internet remains neutral, as we cannot trust companies to voluntarily keep it so if left to their own accord. Net neutrality is imperative to American society and the regulations under Title II should not be revoked.
1020. Abram Fox, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Please do not remove the 2015 regulations enforced by the FCC to maintain net neutrality. An open Internet is an essential part of life in the United States of America, and allowing ISPs to limit or promote traffic in any way flies in the face of the principles of intellectual exchange that underpin our nation, and will stifle business, education, and American innovation. The Internet is a public utility and we have to treat it as such.
1021. Billy Batson, catonsville, MD, 21228  
Keep title II. Keep the internet free. Don't trust ISPs!!!!
1022. Zach Weaver, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
Allowing ISP's to move from Title II to Title I will not result in anything but the ability for ISP's to collect more money for subscribers to access content they can access now for no extra charge with an internet package. Keep our internet free an keep ISP's on Title II to preserve Net Neutrality.
1023. Kyle Koziol, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Comcast and Verizon already have the state of Maryland's cable industry in a deathgrip. We must loosen their hold and repealing Title II regulations will crush the consumers and harm them greatly. Net Neutrality must not be changed under Pai's terrible proposal.
1024. LAURA ACOSTA, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
The idea that big corporations could have even more control over how our internet is used is absolutely baffling to me. Internet service providers already have massive monopolies in major cities and charge ridiculous prices because they know customers have no other options. We cannot allow big corporations to further their tight grasps on the American people.
1025. Jason Murray, Baltimore, MD, 21205  
Keep the internet neutral. Failing to do so would be discrimination based on wealth.
1026. sam, woodbine, MD, 21797  
i support title II. don't get rid of it. gofccyourself :)
1027. Mark Wilensky, Dayton, MD, 21036  
Please keep net neutrality and our freedom. Don't allow these few large communication providers to have power over us.
1028. David Hagen, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Net Neutrality is necessary to keep the competitive market alive.
1029. Lesley Wofford, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I am concerned with the plans to dismantle Net Neutrality and to rely on internet providers to voluntarily govern themselves. I believe without the strong protections under Title II, there will be no guarantee that all sites on the internet will be treated equally and that companies wil show preferential treatment to those sites that willing and able to pay for such treatment.
1030. Sydney Hancock, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I am concerned with the plans to dismantle Net Neutrality and to rely on Internet providers to voluntarily govern themselves. I believe that without the strong protections under Title II, there will be no guarantee that sites on the Internet will be treated equally and that companies will show preferential treatment to those sites that are willing and able to pay for such treatment. Pleas continue to support Net Neutrality and Title II.
1031. Rebecca King, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
The FCC needs to leave net neutrality in class 2! We need net neutrality!
1032. Mark Hemphill, Baltimore, MD, 21231  
I'm a contributing member of this society - I vote, I pay my taxes, and I educate myself. What the FCC is trying to do to Net Neutrality right now is despicable. Regardless of the intention of your action (which I sincerely hope is regulatory simplicity), it is an affront to freedom - both to speak and listen. We met once, Ajit, at Geekend in Savannah - I believe your law school buddy Clegg may have convinced you to come and speak. I wonder how many in that audience believe that ISPs ought to be allowed to choke internet traffic they believe competes with their offerings. In fact, I wonder how many citizens think information should not be free and impartial. Please, I implore you, take a walk around a town or two and ask anyone and everyone you meet how they feel about the topic. I believe Net Neutrality just might be the most obvious and apolitical policy decision of this decade.
1033. Christopher Olsen, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I do not support deregulation of a public utility as widespread and vital to our day-to-day lives. I worry that many of us will not be able to choose between competitive ISPs and therefore will have little to no control over the rates and quality of the product. Please do not allow the internet to become an oligopoly.
1034. Scott Warner, Ellicott City, MD, 21043

Net neutrality is extremely important to protect both consumers and all web-based technology from internet service provider's greed. The service providers enjoy a monopoly throughout most of the country, giving them the ability to charge consumers more for the packets they determine to be worth more than others is extortion.

1035. Net Neutrality, Gwynn Oak, MD, 21207  
Keep Net Neutrality and keep it under Title II.
1036. Jennifer Kent, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I strongly support net neutrality and strong support of Title II oversight.
1037. Alexandr Zhikulin, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
DO NOT touch the current net neutrality regulations. You will destroy the last semblance of demonetized information. Stop being evil.
1038. Steven Hilliard, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
The FCC needs to maintain net neutrality and keep title 2. Full access to the internet is a basic human right.
1039. Connor Brainard, Clarksville, MD, 21029  
Full access to the internet is an official human right according to the UN. I think being the host country for the UN, we should lead by example, huh?
1040. John Klyap, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Save net neutrality. Do not bend to the corporations!
1041. Cherry Andrews, Baltimore, MD, 21215  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please make the internet fair for all ISP's
1042. Emily Galik, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Stripping away these protections for a free and open internet is not only a money grab by ISP providers, but will negatively impact my ability to go about my daily life. Allowing the internet to be prioritized in effective tiers could inhibit my ability to do academic research, to seek entertainment, inform myself on the news from a wide variety of platforms, and even to carry out a simple search to fact check myself. As a member of the voting public, I will remember how your administration handles this situation. Regardless of your unit's ISP industry ties, it is your duty to uphold a free and open marketplace and internet, not to pay homage to your former industry. Even ISP providers' statements to their investors find that the regulation does not significantly impede infrastructure development, contrary to your unit's previous implications otherwise. Your mugs, movie quotes, and your ability to interact with the press without verbal abuse cannot conceal that this reclassification will damage the internet and the experience of it as we know it. Do not disappoint me.
1043. Joseph Fisher, Baltimore, MD, 21231  
I strongly support strong enforcement of net neutrality through title 2 oversight
1044. James Fendler, Jr, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I strongly urge the commissioners of the FCC to preserve net neutrality and Title II.
1045. Jeffrey Murray, Baltimore, MD, 21215  
I want keep net neutrality under Title 2 of the FCC regulations.
1046. Matthew Lautar, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
I support strong net neutrality protections. I do not wish to see current titles changed for ISPs.
1047. Reed Harrison, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I support net neutrality and strongly urge you to leave it in place.
1048. Jasmine, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
Persevere Net neutrality and Title II
1049. P A WELLS, CATONSVILLE, MD, 21228  
I SUPPORT TITLE 2 - NET NEUTRALITY
1050. Shiffon, Baltimore, MD, 21213  
I urge the FCC to keep strong the net neutrality rules.
1051. NETWAR DEFENSE CORP, CATONSVILLE, MD, 21228  
I SUPPORT TITLE 2 NET NEUTRALITY
1052. Bob webber, Ellicott city, MD, 21043  
Net neutrality must be preserved because the internet has become a necessity to our way way of life just like electricity or the ability to drive on our roads
1053. John Triesman, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I am against ending net neutrality and changing the title designation of internet service providers.
1054. Patrick Kohli, Columbia, MD, 21045  
I support keeping Internet Service Providers under Title 2. This is the best way of keeping the internet as a greenhouse for IT

innovation.

1055. david salikof, Lutherville, MD, 21093  
Keep the internet free. Net neutrality is the bomb.
1056. Jack Brown, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
It is absolutely imperative that we maintain Net Neutrality. Revoking Net Neutrality could very easily result in ISP's censoring content that does not agree with their agenda. The internet should be an open place where all people and companies can express their opinions and platforms without fear of being censored. On top of this, repealing Net Neutrality could result in unequal playing economic playing fields that are skewed towards large corporations and against small independent companies.
1057. Tim Jankowiak, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
We are strongly in favor of maintaining net neutrality.
1058. Towanda Thomas, Baltimore, MD, 21223  
We need internet freedom. my browsing be limited to one search engine or an monopoly
1059. Russell Ware, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I do not support rolling back net neutrality.
1060. alex epstein, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
I support net neutrality under Title II. Do not allow ISPs to slow service to serve their own interests, and against their customers.
1061. Donald Marshall, Baltimore, MD, 21231  
I strongly support and expect the FCC to continue to enforce strong oversight of ISPs in regards to Net Neutrality, particularly under Title II regulatory provisions. Explicitly protecting Net Neutrality is essential to modern, free, open and meaningful internet use, internet commerce, as well as data protection. The FCC must maintain an active role in monitoring companies with no inherent impetus beyond their own profit for delivering continuous, high-quality, un-impeded service expected by all consumers. It is the FCC's job to maintain there current administrative policy.
1062. Sarah, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
I do NOT support Ajit Pai's and the current administration's efforts to dissolve net neutrality or rewrite the current Title I and Title II laws in any way. I do not support any new legal changes that would affect net neutrality and would allow ISPs to further monopolize the market and restrict access or bottleneck content that we receive through the internet. Congress is full of old men who probably can't even operate their own cell phones, and they don't have the brains to touch this issue and should really just leave it alone.
1063. Teresa Camacho, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
Net neutrality is needed to prevent those corporations with more money from unfairly taking advantage of the internet. Title II should remain in place to protect the internet and treat all fairly who use it.
1064. Emily Paul, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Do not compromise current net neutrality laws that were established during the Obama administration!! It is beyond hopeful and would be relying on blind luck to think that ISPs would voluntarily not take advantage of a lack of laws.
1065. Laura Bush, Ellicott city, MD, 21042  
Maintain net neutrality and strong support of Title II
1066. Anne Oswald, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Companies cannot self-regulate in a free market. There is no incentive to self-regulate except for good PR and companies like Verizon are trying to have it both ways - lie about what the reclassification actually means (to maintain positive PR) while offering "pay to play" to line their pockets. PLEASE DO NOT RECLASSIFY ISPs. They belong under the stricter Title 2 classification. Obviously there is money to be made by the ISPs if the classification changes - why else would they be lobbying? DO NOT RECLASSIFY. This is a democracy - or is it no longer?
1067. Mark Ritter, Monkton, MD, 21111  
Please, please, please continue to support Net Neutrality. ISPs need to be under the more strict Title 2 to ensure our Internet stays open and free.
1068. Joe P, Ellicott city, MD, 21043  
Net neutrality and title 2 should be maintained. The ISPs need to be regulated.
1069. Steven Mann, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
The title of this legislation is misleading and offensive. It is not at all about restoring freedom. It is simply offering big business the opportunity to buy priority- as you well know. The existing Net Neutrality legislation should be supported and maintained.
1070. Elena Kerr, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I support Title II Net Neutrality laws.
1071. Ricky Burcat, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Internet service providers need to be regulated under Title 2. ISPs are virtually monopolies with very little need to compete, so without government regulation, consumers will continue to be overcharged and underserved. And if ISPs are allowed to be classified under Title 1, they will be able to throttle down the web content of their competitors without fear of repercussion. The argument that "over regulating" ISPs constrains innovation is unfounded and should not be the basis for any move to lessen regulations on them, which will

definitely harm consumers. Why is the FCC focused on protecting the interests of these monstrously huge corporations instead of the people of this country?

1072. Terry McNally, Ellicott City, MD, 21042

Please continue to subject ISPs to strong Title II clauses for strict net neutrality and opt-in sharing of my personal data. My stealth startup would compete with the big video providers, and could not exist if paid prioritization exists.

1073. Ben Gurga, Catonsville, MD, 21228

I strongly encourage the FCC not to end the utility-style regulatory approach it has been taking to our internet. Relaxation of those rules would not liberate the internet but doom it to be captured by malevolent actors. The utility-style approach is the best way to ensure freedom and equity.

1074. Romi Moors, Baltimore, MD, 21201

Dear Mr Pai, I am strongly in support of Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you for your time.

1075. Michael Vaughn, Ellicott City, MD, 21042

There is no doubt IP technology has become the dominant platform for all communications in this country, if not for all critical communications. As such, it should be regulated just as traditional telecommunications technologies, namely continuing to classify it under Title II. Any problems with current regulations should be addressed without pretending that Internet service providers do not provide critically important infrastructure, appropriately classified under Title II.

1076. Doug Jameson, Baltimore, MD, 21218

I support strict Title II regulation of internet service providers to insure net neutrality. The idea of trusting ISPs to regulate themselves and not throttle internet speeds for profit is dangerously naive. The fact that Title II enforcement is even up for debate disgusts me.

1077. Laura Hoelzer, Ellicott City, MD, 21042

Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, which allows everyone to access the Internet equally and freely without bias. The Internet is becoming more and more important and vital in everyday life, including work, in order to be able to communicate not to just family and friends, but to colleagues and employers. When certain companies slow down certain sites, it jeopardizes the speed in which one will be able to access and spread information. In some cases, this can lead to drastic results.

1078. Charles Beebe, Baltimore, MD, 21201

The future of American economic prosperity and competitiveness depends on keeping it cheap to start an internet-based business. ISP's should not be allowed to set costs based on type of traffic, as it will turn the IT startup space into a dystopian oligopolous clusterfuck that predominates just like the rest of the telecom industry, an industry in which somehow it is more expensive for an everyday smartphone user to send a kilobyte of data from Ohio to Kansas than it was for NASA to send the same kilobyte from Mars orbit to back to Earth.

1079. Bill Henderson, Glenelg, MD, 21737

I support net neutrality. Keep net neutrality rules strong.

1080. Brandt Braunschweig, Ellicott City, MD, 21042

"Broadband Internet Access Service" should not be classified as an "Information Service" and is best classified as "Telecommunications services". More appropriately, modern services provided by Internet Service Providers should be viewed as a bundle of services, one of which is a telecommunication service and the rest of which are information services accessible over that telecommunication service. The proposed rule-making repeatedly conflates "Internet Access" (the ability for my computer or wireless device to exchange data with others) with the services that may be accessed using Internet Access such as Social Media, News publishers, or Search services. Broadband Internet Access Service is fundamentally a Telecommunication service without which I could not access anything on the Internet. My ISP may provide additional Information Services over that Internet connection, just as any other company may provide Information Services. However, my Broadband Internet Access Service should not be classified as an Information Service just because my ISP also happens to provide additional Information Services. As an analogy, telephone companies used to provide a time service - a phone number you could call and a machine would tell you the current time. The telephone call was a telecommunication service. The machine answering the call and telling the time was an information service. Just because the phone company offered an information service, it did not justify classifying the telephone service as an information service. If the goal is to provide a "light touch regulatory framework", the correct approach is to carve out basic internet access, routing of IP datagrams, as Telecommunications Services subject to Title II, and leave everything else as an Information Service under Title I. Paragraph specific comments: 27. "Whether posting on social media or drafting a blog, a broadband Internet user is able to generate and make available information online." The services listed are not commonly provided by the company providing the broadband Internet access so it is a mistake to use these to justify the classification of the Internet service. These are simply independent information services available over the telecommunications service. 28. It is not true that many broadband Internet users rely on services, such as DNS and e-mail, from their ISP. In fact, Verizon just discontinued their email service entirely. I do use my provider's DNS service, but that is the only additional service I use from my provider (no email, no backup, no security services, no web hosting, etc.) 29. "Points" may be interpreted as IP addresses. The Internet Access Service does not provide IP addresses, the DNS system does, and many people do not use their ISP's DNS service. Phone numbers never specified a geographic location and always had to be translated by the telephone switch network in order to implement the communication. Just because users often do not specify IP addresses directly does not mean they are not the "points" between which communication occurs. IP addresses are always available if the user wishes to see them and virtually all applications allow specifying IP addresses directly. 30. As a telecommunication service, ISPs should not change the content of the communications. When the content of the communication is changed, it should be interpreted not as one telecommunications link, but two telecommunications links to an intermediary service. For example, a service that downgrades video resolution to conserve bandwidth for mobile users should be treated as an independent information service, accessible over the telecommunications service, even if it is provided for free. A firewall that accepts some communication and blocks others does not change the content (it cannot be construed as a change if nothing was

delivered.) 31. In the text "including specifically a service or system that provides access to the Internet" the word "service" should not be equated with Broadband Internet Access Service. Clearly, by including a "system that provides access to the Internet" it is referring to some additional service, providing additional capabilities, such as a computer system and associated software. For example, a library does not provide Broadband Internet Access Service. It provides a service, consisting of computers, staff, power, office space, and an underlying Internet Access Service, that gives patrons the ability to use interactive computer services. 32. By using the word "service" without qualifying whether the intention is "telecommunications service" or "information service", this text does not clarify the issue at all. It could mean "a telecommunications service that enables users to access content, information, electronic mail, or other information services offered over the Internet, and may also include access to proprietary content, information, and other information services as part of a package of information services offered to consumers". In any case, it refers to services offered "over the Internet", clearly separating access (telecommunications) from these information services.<sup>33</sup> The mistake is interpreting "broadband Internet access service" as any and everything connected to the Internet. "Access service" should be those services that, if not provided, prevent any access to anything. Those are telecommunications services. Others are more likely to be information services. Those services that provide basic access should fall under Title II, others may fall under Title I.

1081. Timothy A. Newton, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Dear FCC,I will do what ever I can to make sure if you put this through that you and anyone who appointed you never has a job in politics or government again. Timothy A. Newton
1082. Matthew Welch, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Dear FCC,The FCC should continue to maintain Title II utility-style regulations for ISPs in our country's current situation where many users only have one broadband ISP offering. The Title II regulations were put in place for utilities because a fair market did not exist for consumers and those conditions currently apply for ISPs. The situation is ripe for unfair competition when the same telecom that is delivering your internet service also owns large media and content creation companies which they could easily direct consumers to through "unlimited bandwidth" features which are tantamount to throttling. These "fast lanes" will harm the development of smaller but also innovative content companies.Keep net neutrality regulations in place to protect the internet as it exists and continue to foster innovation through allowing small companies a fair playing field.Sincerely,Matthew Welch
1083. Erin Buehler, Balitmore, MD, 21217  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This is not just about access to entertainment, but about fair and equitable access to information, education, and news sources.
1084. Stephanie Gibson, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I vigorously support strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The current rules are not heavy handed, nor are they "all about politics." But I fear the move to roll back Net Neutrality is. Internet providers are not Title I Information Services; and they should not be classified as such. Please do not eliminate Net Neutrality. It is essential that the Internet not be a place where the richest can squeeze out the less wealthy.Thank you. --Stephanie Gibson
1085. David Bazell, Columbia, MD, 21044  
An open internet is essential for the further health of commerce and free speech in our Nation. I support reclassifying broadband internet as a utility.
1086. Robert Van Voorhees, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
There are no fair options for ISP in my area. If you want fiber there is a single provider, and that single provider has their own streaming services. They already have no motivation to reduce prices, and the result of revoking net neutrality will only lead to more unfair practices. Please PROTECT net neutrality, and implement MORE pressure on ISP to allow citizens to gain fair and honest access to the internet.
1087. Ashley, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
I specifically support strong net neutrality brought by Title II oversight of ISPs.
1088. Natalie Hade, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I support strong Net Neutrality rules backed by ISP oversight. Net Neutrality is essential to ensure the internet remains an egalitarian place free of corporate censorship or corruption.
1089. Omar Siddique, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I am strongly in favor net neutrality. It's unethical and counter-productive to allow ISPs to favor those who have paid them most (or with whom they have exclusive arrangements). Creating an ISP equivalent of unescapable "vendor bloatware" serves no consumer needs and would let ISPs leverage their often monopolistic positions into great profit, at harm to consumers. Net Neutrality, complete and unfettered, is the only way to go.
1090. Justin Holmes, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
We support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please make this happen!
1091. Karin Wuertz--Schaefer, Mt. Airy, MD, 21771  
I strongly support Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please keep the internet neutral and accessible to all.
1092. Steven Silberholz, Sparks, MD, 21030  
I am a firm supporter of strong Net Neutrality regulations. The failure to maintain net neutrality would be devastating to the future of the internet.
1093. Aleta Lewis, BALTIMORE , MD, 21218  
Our freedom in America seems to be at risk and net neutrality is just another one of our freedoms that seem to be at risk to be taken

away. The future of free speech, of innovation is at risk with the end of net neutrality. We count on the government to act on the behalf of Americans not big business. What is happening to our country. IT's being handed to big business and oligarchs. Please let the net remain neutral.

1094. Jack Spicer, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
My neutrality must be protected. There is enough intrusion into our personal lives and I do not want anyone intruding further into my privacy.
1095. Eric M. Bielitz, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
Dear Sir, Please keep and Support net neutrality. The internet is now, more than ever, akin to a public utility. Permitting ISPs the ability to restrict use of the internet (which I pay them for) will degrade the utility of the internet. This is especially true for me, since Comcast is my only internet option. Comcast already has monopoly power to set price over me. I do not trust them with monopoly power over what I can or cannot access on-line.
1096. Allen Gardenghi, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Net neutrality allows consumers "to choose what they watch & do online. The internet is free speech... for the masses We already have enough big businesses telling us what we can and can't do....."
1097. Trina Torkildsen, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
For me Net Neutrality means free timely access to the Choral Public Domain Library which has great classic works as well as unknown compositions from early Western music available for download. There has been a rebirth of interest and performance of music from this period and it is available to ALL in a timely manner. A direct result of this is a growing number of performances of these works on YouTube for ALL to experience. This is just one example ... I'm sure there are many others. If internet access becomes contingent on monetary considerations, the very nature of the internet will change, making it an engine in service of mass marketing which will usher in a period of competition for those with deep pockets to the detriment of the vast majority of other users. Thank you for your consideration.
1098. Mark. Fradkin, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Freedom is the issue! Users should be in control of content and what is available. Providers need to be responsive to our needs, not dictate them.
1099. Charisse Lue, Baltimore, MD, 21223  
Make no mistake, WE the People of this country understand that the FCC's mistake to dismantle net neutrality is solely for the benefit of the telecommunications companies and not for the benefit of the PEOPLE, the voters, the tax payers of this country.
1100. HOWARD BOARD, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
The internet must remain the delivery of information, information without limited "controls by a hand full of cCable companies"!!!! It's essential for world wide content; don't block IPTV, which now is available throughout the rest of the world. Why is that true? It's wireless and cheaper; Now you know the rest of the story.
1101. Jason Meritt, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
By taking the internet out of Title 2. It has the potential to influence the freedom everyone enjoys on the internet and companies will limit the speed at which sites promote information if it is in competition to their own. I know this because it is simply just good business for them to do so. My hope is that competition on the internet much like in life, remains a fair playing field and we oppose groups trying to stack the deck in their favor.
1102. Melisa Nkwanyuo, Gwynn Oak, MD, 21207  
I do not have cable now because prices are too high and because they are beholden to their advertisers, showing me all types of perversions that would cause me to debt or sin. I need as an essential part of my freedom to take this communicator that reaches across the world and find things that feed my soul without being in someones pocket, or debt, or eye. It is my right as a human being to take advantage of this new language put before us.
1103. Felix Mercado, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
Hi, my family and myself support net neutrality under title II. Please do right, by my family and by the citizens of our nation. Corporate entities cannot be expected to make sound decisions that help or benefit the common good when they are only beholden to their profits.
1104. James Velky, catonsville, MD, 21228  
Fcc is to look out for all the people not just the rich
1105. Fleet Van Riper, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
The internet is one of the greatest ever venues for exercising freedom of speech. Any effort to limit the freedom of the use of the internet is an attack on freedom of speech. This is as un-American as it is possible to be.
1106. Marianne Horrigan, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I object to any attacks on net neutrality, which has been fundamental to innovation, free speech, and economic development. Big business is profiting plenty already, with net neutrality in place -- there is no need to give them additional advantages.
1107. RICHARD BIELE, COLUMBIA, MD, 21044  
I DO NOT WANT A BIG COMPANY TO DECIDE WHAT I WATCH BY CONTROLLING THE SPEED OF ACCESS AND PRIORITIZING WHAT THEY WANT ME TO WATCH. IT IS A VIOLATION OF FREE SPEECH
1108. Cheryl Proffen, Ellicott City, MD, 21042

We need open internet! No fast or slow lanes—just one, open Internet.

1109. Bradley Moore, Baltimore, MD, 21251  
Our Cultural Heritage Museum depends on NET NEUTRALITY - please protect it. - thank you.[www.jelmamuseum.org](http://www.jelmamuseum.org)
1110. Carol Hutton, Columbia, MD, 21044  
The big cable companies must not be given control of the internet. They would be able to end free speech on any issue they chose and control what we watch on line, Please do not enable them. Thank you.
1111. Marcella Edwards, Highland, MD, 20777  
Those big entities already own the air waves. Now they are going to censor my opinions, ideas, medical searches, child's research for homework, notices of public events, and notifications of politicians wrong-doings? China, North Korea, Iran, Russia and others already own their internet. Is that what you want America to be like? The first thing dictators do is take away the rights of the people to know or to say what is going on in their country and politics! For God's sake, don't do it.
1112. cindy barktkowski, parkton, MD, 21120  
Net neutrality needs to stay. Giving big business control over the rest of will be a disaster to all society!
1113. Donna Spicer, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
I cannot believe that any individual would be in favor of relinquishing the right to maintain net neutrality. We already struggle with the ever increasing cost of internet access, data streaming, etc. The very companies that already have a stronghold on limiting our options while charging us more should NEVER be granted the authority to further limit our choices or be allowed to decide what we can or cannot view or share online. The idea of relinquishing these rights to any corporate entity goes against what every law abiding citizen has fought & made sacrifices for since the constitution was written. Please leave net neutrality in tact.
1114. Geoffrey Lynn, Baltimore, MD, 21205  
Net Neutrality is critical to ensuring that all content is accessible and can be freely disseminated without interference by internet service providers. Please keep the internet free!
1115. Arundhati G Sankar, Columbia, MD, 21045  
Without net neutrality, ISPs could decide you went too many competing sites and throttle your Internet speeds, while keeping their own sites running smooth.
1116. Gregory Maddox, Baltimore, MD, 21213  
Hi I just been thru a delima with a cable company that took my rights away to hack my property to spy on how I used my internet I purchased on a month to month basse. I had pic of the pages they captured and then saved there information of my daily doing with my Tvfirestick and iPhone 6. And computer. I since about 2 or 3 weeks ago got a letter of apology, along with my service turned back on. However this company has continued to block my wifi device because I turned on the firewall inside of the wifi router. Then when I talked to a person in the company about the blocking of my service. They have repeatedly told me it's not there device. So nothing they can do. However as long as I give them the access to the wifi router they let my service stay on. But with only one condition. They have installed malware and adware services into my computer like they did back in February 2017. So freedoms to use there service is with a price. I have to let this company control what I do on a min to min bases
1117. Corinne Warren, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
This is enormously detrimental to small businesses.
1118. Pat Fenton, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
The Internet is just the latest developmental stage in personal communication and who wants their personal communications overseen by anybody?!
1119. Chad Lembach, Baltimore, MD, 21223  
I pay for my internet service and I don't want my online activity to be limited because of the death of net neutrality.
1120. Donald James, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Without net neutrality the big internet service providers will continue to overcharge consumers and small business for their "services". As an old customer I pay more than a new customer for Version internet services. Switching to another ISP is cumbersome and more expensive in the long term leaving consumers few choices for internet services. We need net neutrality to protect consumers.
1121. Brian Roache, Columbia, MD, 21044  
As a Computer Science teacher I stress to my students - some privilaged and some not so much - how important it is that the Internet remain accessible for ALL. Net neutrality MUST be protected.
1122. Natalie Barbour, Columbia, MD, 21045  
Without net neutrality we move one step closer to losing the freedoms we fought so long and hard for in this country. I'm sure Protection of the PEOPLE not CORPORATIONS is what our founding fathers had in mind. If we can no longer depend on our GOVERNMENT to protect our voice, what do we have?
1123. Alexander Bauer, Arbutus, MD, 21227  
Free, open internet and unbridled, uncensored global communication are a driving force for innovation and progress in the modern day, and to cease protecting that is equivalent to destroying it. Protect net neutrality.
1124. Heidi M Laureano, Windsor Mill, MD, 21244

Big business has no place in net neutrality. We pay for our TV access (which used to be free); we pay for our phones & usage (with some very high prices!); we pay to Have an internet connection just so we can have access to Free internet. Now they want to control that too? Noooooooooo! Big business must leave it alone. Free speech is just that, FREE! Good Lord! Net neutrality MUST BE PROTECTED!!!!!!

1125. Marvin R. Mitchell, Jr., Columbia, MD, 21045  
The internet works best the way it is now...unhindered. Keep it the way it is, neutral. Large corporations should not be able to throttle my internet.
1126. Marcia Naya, Baltimore, MD, 21224  
Dear Sir/Madam, Please maintain net neutrality of the internet. Private companies should not be given the power to control and manipulate or obstruct users' freedom. While private companies may voice altruistic or pragmatic reasons for privatization, the reality is that they will use the power for their advantage, and for the disadvantage of their competitors. Thank you
1127. Jennifer Fitzpatrick, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I own a small business and most of my clients find me on the internet. Net neutrality is necessary for my business and other small businesses to survive. I am also an advocate of free speech.
1128. Mitchell Osborne, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I do not support removal of title 2 from ISP over site by the FCC. I fully support the FCC having the ability to enforce the rules and regulations already on the books and to prevent any ISP from favoring one content source over another.
1129. Jennifer Kunze, Baltimore, MD, 21223  
As someone who cares about local nonprofits all over the world, net neutrality helps support my ability to research about local initiatives by groups that can't afford to pay a toll for me to access their information. Please uphold net neutrality.
1130. Robert Anderson, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Preserve Net Neutrality for us, the little guys. Don't let Big Cable/Big Fiber Optic decide what traffic gets to us faster or slower. Keeping the internet open for all types of traffic regardless of how many kickbacks these guys get. Let customers decide what services are better for them based on their choice alone. This makes for better apps, better services, and keeps the playing field level for start-ups who don't have the money to fork over to the giant cable companies for preferential treatment. Uninhibited customer choice brings innovation. It's what makes America great.
1131. James P. Monaghan, Marriottsville, MD, 21104  
Net neutrality is freedom to express ones point of view and shpould be left alone.
1132. Rushabh Mehta, Arbutus, MD, 21227  
Net neutrality is a right. companies cannot own our data and control it
1133. Brett Neidecker, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Net neutrality is extremely important. I urge the FCC to act in the interest of all Americans and act to preserve net neutrality.
1134. Ben McAuliffe, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I use the Internet on a daily basis for work and relaxation, and I don't want to see it get wrecked.
1135. Andrew Strakna, Columbia, MD, 21044  
As an academic studying a controversial subject (the middle east) a neutral internet has been vital to my research. Without net neutrality, a cable company could block websites with viewpoints they disagree with, or even block all websites originating in the middle east. Please keep net neutrality.
1136. Josh Stafford, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
Access to the Internet is a human right. Any effort which would serve to limit the free and open Internet is a step towards tyranny.
1137. Mellissa Dalby, Woodlawn, MD, 21207  
The Internet belongs to all the people. Keep the Internet free and open, don't hand it over to be controlled and censored by corporations for their own self-interests.
1138. Juan Spearman, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Look, you cats at the FCC need to stop trying to take away Net Neutrality, that's shits not cool.
1139. sharon murphy, ellicott city, MD, 21043  
Net Neutrality is a protection of freedom of speech. What you are proposing is unconstitutional!
1140. Czar Kijana, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
We demand that the public internet created with our tax dollars remains public and neutral.
1141. Leverette Rogers, Baltimore, MD, 21207  
The internet is like a "town or city library" It is for the of the whole community. It should not be cluttered with advertisements and the commercial MALARKY.
1142. Andra DiStefano, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I support net neutrality and free speech! Protect our internet from corporate corruption.

1143. Aaron Chassy, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
Please respect and uphold net neutrality. If the Internet is to truly serve as a space for free speech, inclusion and civic participation, it should remain a public good with equitable access to all. Please do not allow it to become another monetized commodity that will kill small businesses and innovators.
1144. Emily Vallejo, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Information should not be treated as a commodity to be bought and sold.
1145. DeAngelo, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
Keep the internet open and fair. Don't let corporations take control like they do everything else. Come on FCC...
1146. Dennis Stewart, Baltimore, MD, 21228  
I don't want corporations and special interests to control or censor the internet content that I want to receive. Net neutrality maximizes the Internet's utility and value to me. Efforts to eliminate net neutrality are an attack on my freedom to access information and my cause me economic harm.
1147. Kishore Ramnani, Columbia, MD, 21044  
If you do not regulate then what are you there? Freedom has value if it helps most vulnerable..May lord Krishna...inspire you for a larger good and maintain title II controls on ISPs.
1148. Skip Cross, Baltimore, MD, 21244  
We no longer have a large field of Internet Service Providers vying for customers, but instead we have duopolies controlling our access to the internet. Therefore we absolutely need Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 to govern this very limited number of Internet Service Providers.
1149. Deanna Kearney, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
Truthfully, we need net neutrality. We don't want to become China and block "unwanted material". We are Americans. Just by saying that we are "unwanted material". We were "unwanted material" to the British in our fight to freedom and we always be "unwanted material" to those who fight against our freedom.
1150. Robert McCarter, Columbia, MD, 21045  
The internet has become a central component of modern life. Handing its control over to corporate interests to exploit will undermine its ability to support democracy and social endeavors. This comes down to a question of who you serve the common good or narrow corporate interests. A vote to undermine net neutrality is a vote against the democratic ideals the people trusted you with.
1151. Austin DeLauney, Baltimore, MD, 21029  
Net Neutrality rules are important for everyone! Please do not remove them. I do not want to pay extra for fast lanes. I want all flow of data to be the same speed regardless of content.
1152. Lars Eidsness, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
The open internet is the pinnacle of our technological progress and an extension of American values -- protect it!
1153. Margaret Moore, Baltimore, MD, 21228  
Please preserve users' freedom to access uncensored information on the internet. Allowing corporations to control, restrict, or slow free access to information and content is a violation of individual rights.
1154. Rachel Cumberland, Woodbine, MD, 21797  
We need to keep the internet fair & honest!
1155. Robert Thornton, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Net neutrality is good for Americans. It allows small companies and individuals to thrive on the Internet. Big corporations already have enough advantages. We need a level playing field.
1156. Phillip Balzano, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I believe Net Neutrality is fundamental to a fair and open society. The Internet is the great equalizer, and restricting it in such a way as to allow a small group of companies to squelch competition at a whim is un-American. Have a brain, otherwise you will be on the wrong side of history if you end Net Neutrality.
1157. Sandra I Ramirez, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
Net neutrality should be consider part of our rights to free speech.
1158. Jordan, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Continue net neutrality, please. Thank you.
1159. Jacqueline Rebok, Windsor Mill, MD, 21244  
I support net neutrality and the protections provided under Title II.
1160. Elizabeth Helmke, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I support net neutrality and Title II. Please work to preserve them both and make the Internet a better place!
1161. Crawford Hemmis, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
First, please know that this will almost completely destroy competition in the Internet between services, which I believe is a core American value that benefits everyone - the consumer gets higher quality goods and services, the businesses make more money because

of their "fans".The "extra fees" in order to access our goods, as will inevitably happen if this goes into effect, only work to generate resentment in the consumer - they feel like they're being robbed of that money, instead of paying for a service, especially in reference to the Internet.The Internet is not something to monopolize - it is a communication tool. It would be like if you tried to give full control of what books are given just to one company or a small group of companies - they're not going to look out for the consumer, they'll look out for how they can most take the consumer's money. This also shows how limiting the Internet is a limitation of free speech, because the companies could just slow down those who do not agree with them (it happened between Comcast and Netflix, it will happen again).Please consider how your bill is anti-consumer, goes against American ideals, and limits free speech (you know, the First Amendment).Crawford Hemmis

1162. Elena Moscatt, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Hello! I urge the FCC to protect Net Neutrality and keep it the way it is right now. The Internet is a vessel - for all people to use and if you take net neutrality away - it will become a luxury for a few but too expensive for most. I'm a creator - I created a web series in 1999 called Jamie's Way - the first scripted drama on the web. Since then I've created 4 more web series, and now will run Baltimore's first web festival in November. The internet has been my vehicle for my creative projects. Click On This Show has over 1.6 million views on youtube and I bet I spend more time on twitter than President Trump! Keep it this way for all those creators out there- all those writers, artists, filmmakers, etc. We need our internet the way it is now. Thank you! Elena Moscatt - Web Series Creator and Web Festival Founder
1163. Joe smith, Washington DC, MD, 54806  
The FCC just want another thing to regulate to make the internet a boring place where you can't have they classifies it as an information service but it is not the Internet is mostly used as a entertainment source, for looking at videos of cats or gaming. So this act they are proposing is completely invalid granted students use it to gather some information but that is 3-4 generations of people and those students don't even support it. It should be going without saying that the net neutrality should not exist. We live in America for a reason so government doesn't meddle is our social life, and regulate what we see and what we are not allowed to see. Vote No on Proceeding 17-108!!
1164. Darlene RIchardson, Baltimore, MD, 21228  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2. I support strict net neutrality.Thank You
1165. Phila Hoopes, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
An open, free and neutral Internet is critical to the freedom to do business as well as protect the essential freedoms if democracy in America (and around the world). Please protect net neutrality. Phila Hoopes
1166. Geraldine Bell, Baltimore, MD, 21212  
PLEASE, do NOT undo the rules set in place in 2015 to protect a free and open internet. The huge Internet Service providers must NOT be allowed to rule who gets a faster internet response according to how much they are paying.AND, of utmost importance, no one has the right to determine what I am able to receive via internet. What the new FCC head seems to be doing, especially allowing the huge merger and/or purchase of major ISPs and news sources by Sinclair can lead to huge freedom of ideas and practically a State-run media online. This is horrid.We MUST have a free, neutral and open internet!Geraldine Bell
1167. eric meekins, ellicott city, MD, 21042  
this proposal will be bad for everyone except telecom companies. stop selling people down the river for lobbying dollars.
1168. Christopher Lacey, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Stop the ISPs from ruling the internet. Please preserve Net Neutrality.
1169. Ranga Emani, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Please, the internet is where I grew up and i would do anything to keep it from being ruined.
1170. Alfred Rotimi, Woodstock, MD, 21163  
Do not weaken or repeal the current legislation protecting net neutrality. Net neutrality is integral to our current society.
1171. Ryan, Marriottsville, MD, 21104  
The internet experience isn't something for companies to control for us. It's ours. Companies lay the foundation for the internet, but they should not be given the power to control what parts we can go to.
1172. Najeeb Sayeed, woodbine, MD, 21797  
Please don't let ISP slow down or prioritize websites that pay make it a regulated utility.
1173. Trevor, Parkton, MD, 21120  
No thank you please
1174. Richard Thompson Jr, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
The internet is a form of free speech. users shouldn't be forced to have a filters version of internet
1175. IA, Glenwood, MD, 21738  
why would anyone want ONE company to be able to set limits to the ONE internet?
1176. Vishal Shashikant Patel, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Net neutrality is the first amendment of the Internet. People shouldn't have to pay for premium services just to access general, public content on the web.

1177. Jonathan George, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Keep our Internet SAFE
1178. Joshua Tohn, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
The internet as a resource is clearly more than a luxury anymore. 10 years ago, It would make sense to allow ISPs to charge more for more usage by domains, but that is no longer the case. There are too many services, gov't functions, educational resources, and more that are most easily if not exclusively accessed through the internet. It should be classified as a human right to have access to the internet in these times. Yes it's new, and yes it's scary, but sometimes lawmakers and policy crafters have to make a decision like this. I get the corporate perspective, but until they show that they will do some good for their employees, consistently meet customer expectations, and offer some returns other than their services to the communities they operate in, ISPs need to accept that this isn't the right way to profit. There are other ways to do it that don't alienate your customer base and give them a bad rap. The gov't needs to prove that it cares about the actual well being of its citizens with no regard for political lines. I'm sure this will only be seen by a robot and some underpaid intern, but I hope that it at least boosts some statistic that will be ignored.
1179. Mohamad French, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Clamping down on net neutrality would bring the US into the league of third-world country tier Internet, which isn't exactly desirable for the most powerful country on Earth, is it? The US was founded, among other things, on the principle of freedom, and that shouldn't change any time soon.
1180. Vincent Jensen, Halethorpe, MD, 21227  
We must keep net neutrality. Comcast already throttles my friends' internet enough!
1181. Lauren Reynolds, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
As a researcher and a scholar, it is my view that net neutrality remains a crucial issue of our times. The internet needs protecting so that it will not be treated as so many market goods. Please protect net neutrality.
1182. Jefferson Baptiste, Baltimore, MD, 21215  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Without oversight, it will be easy to monopolize the internet.
1183. Dylan Ray Fletcher, Columbia, MD, 21044  
The internet needs to retain a sense of freedom, and by letting a select few pick and choose what they like to be shown first and foremost, while controlling the speed and access to the rest of the internet, is wrong. That will only turn the internet into a giant advertisement and nobody wants that except the greedy and corrupt. Don't do this, do what's right, and what everyone already knows is right.
1184. K. Jubinski, Sykesville, MD, 21784  
Please retain a system that allows Net Neutrality. Just as my electric charges do not vary on whether current is going to a lamp or a toaster or the refrigerator but on the amount I use, the same should be so with the Internet. That might be a bit simple yet it is an accurate simile for the way it should be over the nonsense of certain sites are charged more over others. That reeks of an effort to damage sites or filter users to other sites that are not charged. Thank you.
1185. Peymaan Banankhah, Glenelg, MD, 21737  
Do not allow allow this to happen. The internet has become an equal grounds of expression across the world. Allowing companies to dictate which content is preferred and which ones are not will cause a rippling effect on new content, and act as a barrier for people.
1186. Evan Plunkett, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
In recognition of the growing importance of fair, equal access to internet based communication for private citizens, new, and established companies, as well as the US court decisions regarding how the FCC can regulate ISPs to ensure equal access for all, I strongly support Net Neutrality and keeping Title II regulations for ISPs.
1187. Maria OHaver, Ellicott city, MD, 21042  
I support the current internet laws and don't want them repealed. I support net neutrality.
1188. Kyle Meyer, Baltimore, MD, 21228  
hey man as one dude to another, whoever reads these, the internet has given me the capability to learn whatever I please at the click of a button (or a few), I can honestly say that the internet has helped me create my own opinions to topics I never knew existed, and has allowed me to actively question the sources I would normally take for granted. I also just enjoy looking at huge titties for free. Dont let someone else dictate what I can learn (or look at). Part of the human experience is to explore and understand. Any way, have a good day friend.
1189. James Stephen Strazzella, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I strongly oppose the destruction of Net Neutrality. The internet should be free and open to all who want to use it. Giving corporations who care about nothing except the bottom line the power to control what is available on the internet will likely end in the worst way possible. Allowing Net Neutrality to be taken away is a huge step back as a civilized nation. It will be worse than when we had to pay per minute for dial-up internet. In this day and age where the internet is an integral part of society and almost necessary in order to survive, this should not even be an issue. Internet access should be considered a utility just the same as electricity or water, but that is off topic. The power that doing away with Net Neutrality gives to the Internet Service Providers is, frankly, terrifying. Having a corporation deciding on what you can and cannot see online, or put information and entertainment behind pay walls is just absolutely ridiculous. This shouldn't even be an issue. The only persons that think that getting rid of Net Neutrality is a good idea are the ones that stand to profit from it. The ones that have the money to "lobby" politicians into doing what they say. This isn't how the United States of America should be. This isn't the government working for the people. This is the politicians thinking, "Huh, these corporations are willing to give me a lot of money for me to do something that won't ever affect me. Great!" So, please, keep the internet neutral. For everyone's sake.

1190. Dave Alexander, Cockeysville, MD, 21030  
We the people want a democratized Internet. We do not wish to privilege big business interests on the Internet. We do not wish for money to rule the Internet - to control what information we have access to, what we can view and how easily. To end net neutrality is to further divide the haves from the have-nots.
1191. Michael Kotey, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
The Internet should not be limited or regulated in a fashion that you would see fit. Please don't turn the internet into George Orwell's 1984. Don't regulate the internet more than it already is being regulated.
1192. Chad Downey, Gwynn Oak, MD, 21207  
In a world of perfect competition businesses should be allowed to operate their respective service as they see fit, However ISP are by no means setup in such a way as to be competitive. In the US were the two big players Comcast and Verizon have essentially used political means to slowly gain power while simultaneously preventing local ISP's from growing they have too much of the market share to be allowed to operate independent of serious regulation. It cannot be said that if I don't like Verizon or Comcast I have the ability to take my business else where. Essentially if I don't like those options the only way for me to find a better option would be to move. The FCC's mission should be to protect consumers, as an organization you should not be creating/destroying laws in order to enable further entrenchment of the monopoly companies and you should not be ignoring the population. I think you have forgotten that you work for the people by proxy of being appointed by a president who is also supposed to be working for the people. Sooner or later it's going to come to pass that your bosses are going to fire you.
1193. James Bernhardt, Woodlawn, MD, 21207  
The proposed action will most certainly stifle innovation and serve to only perpetuate area monopolies, and allow ISPs undue control of consumer's online freedoms to view all parts of the internet equally, and of their online footprints. Broadband is already expensive enough, and the promised speeds are already not guaranteed, which is absurd. This action does not represent the interests of anyone other than the financial interests of ISPs, who have shown time and time again that they are ethically and morally bankrupt, and will lobby relentlessly to gain more and more control. I vehemently oppose this.
1194. Alexander Booth, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Please do not remove net neutrality, it is what keeps the creative and economic life of the internet alive, and without it there will be so much more room for corporate corruption than there currently is.
1195. Dustin Ewing, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
As a web developer and a freelance designer I use the internet usually between 8 and 12 hours a day. Often times that's from home, where I have one viable option for my ISP. I use cloud storage to collaborate with partners or clients, I use my preferred email client to communicate and organize all of my clients conversations, I build and maintain sites with third-party hosting providers. I need a fast and reliable connection at all times in order to handle any errors someone may be having with a site, or to create a quick mockup and share it with the client via cloud storage, quickly and easily. I worry repealing net neutrality rules will result in a less reliable and accessible internet which would hurt my work, and could also see price gouging in the instances of myself where I only have one ISP option.
1196. Elias Ohrstrom, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
The internet must remain open and free. Also, Ajit Pai is significantly less 'cool' than he thinks he is.
1197. Jesse, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Net Neutrality is a key aspect of what makes the internet function. I do not want to see the internet become a pay for performance platform that stifles the flow of information. This is bad for the internet and bad for the US
1198. Robert Treat, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Net Neutrality helped me find a job, complete highschool, and helps me keep in touch with family members that lives 1000's of miles away. The internet also helps me enjoy my time off and has helped me meet someone I would like to spend my future with. Without the FCC keeping a close eye on major companies to prevent un-american practices such as price gouging and monopolization of goods and services (such as video streaming services or ways for individuals to make a living such as Etsy or Patreon) I fear major ISP's will partake in the aforementioned problems. I also fear companies will be less clear about what the consumer is buying, as it stands now, when I pay for internet, I know exactly what I'm getting. Without Net Neutrality, companies can engage in blocking or throttling of services, slowing them down to the point of them being unusable, squashing competition and creating an even worse monopoly than what they have now. ISP's may even make it harder to access political talks, speeches, or campaigns that they do not agree with. Please support Net Neutrality and keep the internet a fair and level playing field, one of the only one's left on the planet.
1199. Sydney, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
Taking away net neutrality (read: the people's free access to information) is beyond unconstitutional. It would be a heinous crime against most Americans who can't afford to pay more to simply access information that has been put on the internet freely. Most Americans can't really afford to pay for much of anything. This wouldn't do any help for anyone that isn't already ridiculously wealthy. Please don't.
1200. Stephen Wilhelm, Baltimore, MD, 21231  
I support Net Neutrality; It's unlawful to give ISPs the power to block, slow down, and give other sites advantages while penalizing the consumer and forcing them to pay more. This will destroy the open market as it will restrict startups and small businesses who can't afford to pay more to have their website seen. Please stop Chairman Pai's quest to destroy the internet and giving ISPs more power and money to an already monopolized market. Thanks.
1201. Raevon Ellis, Baltimore, MD, 21250  
The internet has always existed on neutral terms since it's birth. By, giving the businesses what they want, it will result in censorship. Not only that but with companies picking and choosing what their customers can see, they can eliminate competition from the web space. This means that each company gets their own mini dystopian monopoly.

1202. Gary Scott, Baltimore, MD, 21216  
I enjoy my internet and that I stream my video gameplay and the websites I visit daily.
1203. Mason Godsey, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Although the internet is a tool and still just a piece of technology that the developers and providers in a sense would be able to completely manage, the internet specifically has propelled our culture into a different age of technology where internet connection to all cites equally and fairly is a must. Technology has become just as important as gas or electricity and should be treated as such. ISP's are already well perceived and with good reason, as bad companies because of their high rates and consistent let down of coverage. Giving them the power to dictate what we use and how fast we use it may be a good strategy for making money but this deal with interns is bigger than making money, it's about living better and working better as a human race. Nothing less. NetNeutrality is a principle that is helping usher us into a golden age of technology and innovation. Don't limit us this chance of prosperity as people of the United States.
1204. Jonathan Louie, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
Do NOT eliminate net neutrality. Doing so will open doors for unnecessary censorship, limit free speech, eliminate competition, and give ISP's the power to completely destroy any internet-based company if it does not fit their agenda. This is not the way to get our country to continue growing and prospering. No corporation should have this much influence on an entire nation and in the age of information.
1205. Jonathan Brune, Phoenix, MD, 21131  
Keep the net neutrality rules in place. I manage a website for a non-profit. I don't want people to have to pay more to get to my site compared to those of larger businesses.
1206. Evan Orié, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Internet bandwidth should be treated as a utility. Allowing companies to artificially limit bandwidth solely to increase their revenue is a form of extortion. Do not legalize an act of extortion.
1207. Amanda Winters, Baltimore, MD, 21228  
Equal opportunity for all.
1208. Anthony Veltri, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Strong net neutrality rules are important for consumer choice and to ensure an open and competitive internet economy, especially given the extremely uncompetitive ISP market in much of the country.
1209. Christopher Schumacher, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
Net Neutrality, following on the principle of open market consumption of goods and services, is the need for equal open market consumption of information. The desire is to allow the consumer market to choose what information they want and who they get it from. Restriction of this in anyway can be correlated to restriction of market trade. Anti-trust and monopoly laws were implemented for this very reason, and thus why similar protection should be extended to the consumption of information. Do no allow for the restriction to the access of information.
1210. Ahmed Jamil, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Strongly the FCC to allow the net to remain neutral and prevent any steps being taken to limit the internet in any way or allow for privilege for some over others.
1211. Andrea Quintero, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
Please protect the citizens of America from privacy invasions, fraudulent billing, and price gouging. As a researcher the internet gives me access to a world of information and lets me share my discoveries in return, in a rapid and cost effective manner.
1212. Carlton Scott, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
The Title II regulations enacted by President Obama ARE VITAL to a free and open internet. Already, other developed countries pay much less for faster internet. This is a complete step backwards and will result in the USA falling behind once again. The internet is a great tool that puts everyone in even and fair footing. Please don't take that away.
1213. Wesley Brown, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
The internet is a place where people can explore anything and everything in the world, as well as make a livelihood for themselves and their families. Please do not monopolize this open space and destroy this beautiful tool.
1214. Net Neutrality, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
In the modern age, the internet has become an indispensable medium of communication. Rolling back net neutrality rules would remove the guarantee of freedom on the internet and replace it with a tightly restricted experience. I encourage you to keep Title II.
1215. Kurt Rice, ellicott city, MD, 21042  
I support net neutrality. Keep/Make the ISPs fall under Title II. The internet is now an essential service and should be governed like one.
1216. Michael Murray, Baltimore, MD, 21209  
Commenting AGAINST the roll back on Net Neutrality laws.
1217. Virginia Gower, Baltimore, MD, 21207  
The internet is a source of information and education for those disadvantaged in their other opportunities. It provides free flow of information and communication, allowing everyone to have a voice, be informed, and be able to make a difference. Net neutrality supports democracy. No matter who has the power, everyone has a voice, instead of wealthy corporations being able to silence dissent. By allowing paid prioritization, the internet will be just another venue weighted in favor of the wealthy.

1218. Alex Amato, Baltimore, MD, 21210  
As an American Republican, I believe we have the freedom to access whatever websites we see fit without government interference. Please allow me the freedom to choose what I see fit as a priority. The government does not need to be involved!!!
1219. Thea, Gwynn Oak, MD, 21207  
The Internet must remain free and open. Do not dismantle net neutrality.
1220. Benjamin Wilson, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Please do not go forward with this legislation eliminating net neutrality. The internet will go down in history as one of the top 3 or 4 inventions of all time. We're talking the level of the printing press, the wheel, and fire. This type of transforming technology shouldn't be controlled by corporations. Please listen to the people. We can only take so much. Thank you for your time.
1221. Min Vai, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Next is prioritize air and water to highest bidder, all not highest paying - get dirt...
1222. Hank Brightwell, Baldwin, MD, 21013  
Keep it as it is.
1223. Ashley Pridgen, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
It is incredibly important that internet neutrality be maintained - that our service providers not have the ability to literally use the service provided as a marketing tool or as leverage over their customers. The internet has become an integrated tool in daily life; in many ways, it is no longer simply an added enjoyable benefit. In the same way our basic needs like energy, water, phone service, etc, should be protected, internet service should be, as well. Please do the right thing, keep service providers in check, and keep the net neutral for us all!
1224. Lorraine Capenos, Dayton, MD, 21036  
Net neutrality is important to protect. If net neutrality is lost, the U.S. will be censoring its citizens and allowing corporations to spit on freedom of speech and press
1225. Robert Allen, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
A free and open internet is needed to ensure our continued economic growth in the tech sector. Rolling back these protections will only continue the trend of fewer start-up businesses opening and more start-ups folding. Please protect the freedom of the internet.
1226. Mara Kaminowitz, Columbia, MD, 21045  
I'm writing to urge you to keep our Open Internet rules based on Title II in place. Without them, the ability for citizens to access critical information about the country and the world could be lost. The proposed changes to FCC rules would allow fast lanes for sites that pay, and force everyone else into slow lanes. It would only be a matter of time before all the content we see, including access to news, public services, and political views, is controlled by the highest bidder. We've already seen access to streaming services like Netflix, popular games like League of Legends, and communication platforms like FaceTime slowed down, or even blocked. Conditions like this hurt businesses large and small, and penalize the users who patronize them. Leave the existing net neutrality rules based on Title II in place. Thank you.
1227. Bradley Richards, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Not EVERYTHING should be for sale. It is bad enough that I only have 2 options for broadband where I live, but to allow them to prioritize their content over what I want to consume is wrong. No one should have the right to throttle my connection, point me in a specific direction or change what is available to me based on their bottom line. Do not roll back the net neutrality rules and allow these corporations to continue to make obscene profits while reducing the choices and freedom of their subscribers.
1228. Shawn Suter, Baltimore, MD, 21042  
Net neutrality is critical to free speech and free thought not only on the Internet itself, but for the entire human race on the planet. Nothing has ever been developed like the Internet before to allow such an incredible amount of information to be shared and transferred. Leave the Internet how it is. And keep it classified as a utility.
1229. Nicole Johnston, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Maintaining Net Neutrality will ensure that the internet is a free and open space for all users. Removing title II protections will create a pay to play environment that will greatly disadvantage small businesses and give power large corporations.
1230. Kenneth Nguyen, catonsville, MD, 21228  
America needs net neutrality. Majority of Americans get their news from the internet whether its by facebook or a major news outlet like BBC News and to allow ISPs to throttle internet speeds gives them a power that should never be given to anyone. If Net Neutrality is abolished, companies like comcast or verizon will have a major power over elections as users will be unable to properly load websites of certain politicians they don't agree with. Like if the CEO of comcast was a democrat and he just doesn't like a republican congressman up for election, he could just throttle all sites that reflect that congressman in a good light and enable faster connections on his opponents sites.
1231. Todd Metcalfe, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
As a small business owner, net neutrality is a huge concern for me. Do not handicap America's small business even more by gutting net neutrality.
1232. Jamison Bryant, Halethorpe, MD, 21227  
I grew up alongside the Internet. I use it every day to learn new things and communicate with people I never would otherwise. This

allows me to mature and improve myself. If I never stop improving, why should the Internet? An open Internet is absolutely essential to the forward progress of mankind. Please protect this valuable international resource!

1233. Brian, Dayton, MD, 21036  
Ending net neutrality could potentially hurt businesses.
1234. Neil Bhatt, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I am FOR Net Neutrality and AGAINST repealing it. Without net neutrality, customers will be charged extra just to have a reasonable speed to some sites. How can you allow this happen when internet is basically a necessity in today's world? New startups will be snuffed out by those big companies and that means no competition=no fair price.
1235. Adam Eldin, West Friendship, MD, 21794  
Net neutrality protects us from ISPs, which should be classified as utilities by now anyway. Take your lobbyist money from elsewhere, leave the internet alone
1236. Jessica Lassiter, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Getting rid of net neutrality sets us up for a future where the biggest internet providers can determine the content to which we have access. You might think that is not so bad now, that the benefits to the corporations will be worth it, but who will be our biggest internet provider in 10 year, 20 years, 50 years? What will their priorities be? Will profit be their priority? or religion? or communism? Let our access to information be unrestricted so that we, as Americans, can make our own decisions about the content that we and our children view.
1237. martin kim, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I believe net neutrality is what limits ISPs from going haywire to gain more access to user's personal rights and to earn more money for themselves. For congress to end net neutrality is almost as if you are a dog but, you can only stay inside and never go out to use the bathroom unless you pay your owners. It is the same case if you end net neutrality. Many of us have struggles to even have decent enough internet speeds so to end net neutrality and have ISPs controll the speeds even more will cause an uproar. Please don't let the ISPs take over the netThank you!martin kim
1238. Christopher Carlson-Estes, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
By removing the internet from a utility classification you allow ISP's to control the multiple roles that the internet fulfills. They will be able to control who can go to certain educational websites, political websites, and it would allow them to force their consumers to only use and buy their products unless a premium can be paid. This essentially allows ISP's to choke out websites and companies that they disagree with, or don't want the public to see. If ISP's control what the citizens of the US see, they control the way we vote, the way we think, and the way we spend our money. This unacceptable. Please do NOT let the "Internet Freedom Act" destroy something so free; principles which seem to be dwindling in this country.
1239. Kelly McBride, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Net neutrality is fundamental to our American ideals of freedom, equality, and a square deal. If laws are passed dismantling or in any way harming net neutrality, the American public will know the cause not to be good governance nor the will of the people, but rather the billions of dollars from ISPs into our officials' pockets. Be better than this. Don't let the trust the American public has placed in you be for nothing. Protect net neutrality.
1240. Hyun Woo Cho, Columbia, MD, 21044  
This is a terrible idea. Please don't let this pass.
1241. Josh Poland, COLUMBIA, MD, 21045  
Title II protections do not stifle innovation, but they do promote innovation for start-up companies that compete with ISP services or who cannot afford to pay premiums for their web traffic. Title II protections are the only guarantees we have of net neutrality.
1242. David Carrington, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
While I do not currently own an internet business or have any apps, I still believe net neutrality is an important safegaurd. I graduated from an online university and do record keeping for my business on a cloud based database. If I were to be throttled, or if I were to have these companies that I rely on be diminished due to wealthier competitors paying to get better access for their clients, I would surely suffer in my business. Please preserve an open and free internet for all by keeping the net neutrality laws in place.Thank you.
1243. Kathleen Dolan, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
At the current time, when many people have little choice with regard to an ISP, I believe the FCC needs to regulate ISPs to ensure net neutrality. Paid prioritization, blocking and throttling are unacceptable practices and the FCC is the government agency in the best position to protect against these practices. This does not need to be done by treating ISPs as common carriers, but that might be the most practical way to handle oversight without inventing regulations from scratch. At some point in the future, if most people have the option of choosing from a number of ISPs so that there might be consumer pressure to prohibit practices like blocking, then such regulatory oversight might become unnecessary. But at this point, most consumers are at the mercy of a few large ISPs and we need some mechanism in place to prevent monopoly-style practices. Thank you.
1244. Kishore Ramnani, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Do not be racist with our bits.Kishore Ramnani
1245. Jessica Lampron, Columbia, MD, 21045  
As a recent college graduate, and a newly-hired teacher, the proceeding to repeal the 2015 net neutrality rules greatly troubles me. Information, no matter the source, MUST be freely available and accessible to everyone. How can we expect students to find quality sources of information and to critically examine sources when access to information will be dependent on who can afford to promote

their websites and who can afford to access those sites? How can we trust that the research that students do will not be skewed by the views of their internet service providers? Students must be able to critically examine sources of information and decide for themselves what to believe, what is true. Otherwise, the next generation will be even more uninformed and divisive than we are today.

1246. Rick Menkhaus, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I'm very disappointed in the FCC's deregulation. The internet needs to stay free and open.
1247. Jody Hoon-Starr, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
My wife and I just purchased our first home and my one and only option for internet is Comcast. I have no choice in the matter and any data caps, restrictions, throttling (which would directly impact my wallet since I occasionally work remotely), extra pricing would force me accept their terms without question. I have no choice - I can't speak with my feet or with my wallet. I need net neutrality until the market monopoly that telecom industry is forced to a 'last mile' provider - and then I still probably need it. The FCC is enabling a fully captive market. Aren't the republican members for free markets?
1248. Alisa Engsberg, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
As internet access has increased internationally, the internet has been a democratizing force -- anyone from anywhere regardless of resources other than an internet connection, can get a message to the rest of the world. And anyone from anywhere equally regardless of resources can decide which messages they value. It has fundamentally changed the way our world operates for the better. That's important. Allowing paid prioritization, blocking, and/or throttling means that individuals no longer have a say in what information they have access to, which messages they deem important and valuable. It means that that content would be controlled in ways that would be opaque and covert, and driven by profit rather than the inherent value of the content itself. In a society in which so much is already determined by money, with governments and institutions systematically preferencing those who have money over those who do not, with no consideration of the value of their aims, losing the democratizing force of the internet to the same system of monetary motivators and drivers would be an inestimable loss to humanity.
1249. John Leung Jr, Baltimore, MD, 21244  
Please do not throttle the world wide web and keep internet accessible and innovative.
1250. Rachel Clinton, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
Net neutrality is important for our access to information, freedom of the press and so much more. Please do not give the power to the ISPs to control the content which we can access. This is another step towards giving corporations power over the people of this country that they are not entitled to.
1251. Bradley Nolet, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I support Net Neutrality. Ensure freedom of expression and open access to information are maintained for generations to come!
1252. Benjamin Walter, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
This is will kill the internet as we know it as a place which can connect everyone. The Title II arrangement protects this, it does not inhibit it. Corporations will do that though. Why wouldn't they? They have the power and they would make money.
1253. Jennifer Wonch, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I support net neutrality because I get my news, social connections, entertainment, and work done online. I do not want an internet service provider to provide priority to one website over another because I feel they are a public utility. Please keep the internet free and fair for all by upholding the Net Neutrality laws currently in place.
1254. Rachel Callahan, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
In no way are the proposed changes to the internet and throttling different websites equitable. Keep the net free as it should be.
1255. Gavin Lechner, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Net Neutrality is important to me and I demand the power to choose which websites and app are best. Letting ISP's control what websites and apps are best is not in the world's best interest and I desire to have access to the free and open internet, Thank you.
1256. Peter Linton, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
Getting rid of net neutrality is a disgraceful infringement on the privacy and rights of the American people. It is a clear cash grab for internet companies, which are arguably a problem monopoly without this. How much money has Comcast given to the head of the FCC personally? Disgusting
1257. Thomas Maurice, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
I want to continue to see an Open & Free Internet. Removing/changing current neutrality regulations will allow those with the most MONEY to buy their way into faster service, leaving the smaller sites on the net at a distinct loss. No matter what anyone says in the Republican party, unless there is regulation, those with the most wealth WILL take advantage, as they always do. LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY REGULATIONS IN PLACE, UNTOUCHED. Thank you.
1258. Christian Sweet, Ellicott, MD, 21043  
The use of the internet does not belong to the government and should not be controlled as such.
1259. John Coates, Baltimore, MD, 21207  
Net Neutrality should not be messed with.
1260. Craig Askine, Baldwin, MD, 21013  
Giving corporations who, time and time again, have proven to never have anyone's interest in mind other than their own would be a big mistake and a huge blow to the unity of already manipulated populace.

1261. Mark Dunbar, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Please preserve net neutrality, and do not allow throttling of my internet service based on my choice of content. ISP's should not have the authority to differentiate between content-providers in terms of speed of delivery.
1262. Matt Topas, Baltimore, MD, 21215  
I support net neutrality but I also support the notion that free competition is the best thing for our economy. The issue here is whether net neutrality will not be impeded because large players will have lost a capital advantage they invested in. I think the FCC needs to help iron out a neutrality that gives the consumer the best bang for our buck but at the same time allows other players into the field (maintain competition) . IE this needs more research to see if there is some median point that compromises the goals of both parties to this issue.
1263. Douglas Whitmore, Sparks, MD, 21152  
Please maintain Net Neutrality! I do not wish my internet to be limited by the politics of corporations.
1264. Austin Petronack, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I support strong net neutrality rules. I believe that strong net neutrality rules foster fair competition in an increasingly online-dependent nation. Thank you.
1265. Eucaris and Kevin Foreman, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Internet service providers should treat all content, applications and websites the same, without favoring or blocking specific ones. I am in favor of Net Neutrality, do not change the rules to allow internet service providers to change the way we browse the internet.
1266. Rachel Backert, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
In 2015, millions of American citizens and dozens of companies petitioned the FCC to reclassify broadband internet as a common-carrier service under Title II of the Communications Act. By heeding their requests, the FCC fulfilled its duty to the American public and its interests. The Internet and the Web were created on and for the free flow of data. They have since become crucial to daily life. Internet service providers must be legally prevented from prioritizing or disadvantaging content consumers or creators. So, I urge the FCC, and Chairman Kai in particular, to uphold Title II.
1267. David Cricchi, Baltimore, MD, 21228  
It is essential that Net Neutrality REMAINS and those who are trying to end Net Neutrality are stopped. It is important to me and everyone who uses the internet that the FCC upholds net neutrality for unrestricted internet use without the stranglehold of corporate companies that wish to monopolize the market and restrict users for their own monetary benefit.
1268. Natasha Tara Petrovic, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I support net neutrality and Title II. Big companies should NOT be able to use money to control and stifle such an important resource to the common person. I'm a small, independent artist who's work is mainly distributed via the web. Repealing net neutrality would jeopardize my ability to do my job, and the same is true for many other artists.
1269. Matthew Riesner, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
It's time to treat the internet as a telecommunications utility. An ISP that is paid for by the customer, therefore the ISP should only represent the interest of their customers, being neutral regarding requested internet content. Essentially, the ISP only provides a line to the internet and what the customer does with that line should not be the ISP's concern (except if there is a legally binding warrant to release the information). This means that the ISP provides the the same experience no matter the content and would not allow for an ISP to actively participate in favoritism in regards to what data the customer receives or the priority of the data the customer receives. Also, ISPs, given that the customer pays for the service should not be allowed to sell information regarding their customers internet usage, especially without written permission from the customer.
1270. Neil Thorsen, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
The American people (i.e. people that pay your salary and who you are supposed to be fighting FOR) are pretty damn united when it comes to this issue. Keep the internet open and free, keep the net neutral, be a human being not a coin slot.
1271. Brady Whealton, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Keep net neutrality! It's silly that I even have to ask!!!
1272. Kyle Stanfield, Columbia, MD, 21044  
This can't happen.
1273. Jason Weddington, Columbia, MD, 21045  
Please keep the internet free and open. An open internet is a powerful force for democracy, do not allow companies whose business model is threatened to cripple the internet for their own short term economic gain.
1274. Meagan Barrett, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Net Neutrality must be preserved! The internet is no longer a privilege for the rich; it is something that deserves to be accessible to everyone.
1275. Andrew, Mt. Airy, MD, 21771  
On July 12 is the Protect Net Neutrality Day of Action! This would be the day to protect our freedom of internet and Fight to escape the control of our life by the ISPs - the corporations we all despise. Do not let them get away with this, fight For Our Future as if our life depend on it! Please don't make me pay money to do what I should do for free.
1276. Ross Warner, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
A free and open internet is in the best interest of the American people. Currently there are very few service options available in my

neighborhood. As a result removing net neutrality would mean that Comcast would be controlling with near autonomy what websites I access. Furthermore, removing the FCC from their role in protecting the interests of citizens we will be left with ISP policing themselves.

1277. Austin Randall, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Your willingness to put a dollar over the public's best interest literally disgusts me. The internet is increasingly becoming integral to human life. It should be a Public Utility like it is in much of the Developed World. You people are willing to continue stealing from your constituents to the point where America is about to become a Kleptocracy.
1278. James Simmons II, Baltimore, MD, 21207  
I'd rather not let my ISP's slow my internet speed. That'd be quite the inconvenience considering that as a college student, the internet is my most important resource to use.
1279. Carleigh Duncan, Phoenix, MD, 21131  
It is ridiculous that you guys are trying to destroy Net Neutrality. You all make enough money already. Please stop putting money and corporations ahead of the commonfolk, and vote to keep Net Neutrality as it is. Please do not repeal it!
1280. Jason Ruth, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
As a Network Engineer and life-long user of Information Technology I demand in the strongest terms that Network Neutrality be upheld. It is a defining concept of the Internet since its founding, and it's removal would only benefit the ISP's that have built their monopoly on top of technologies that were created with public funding.
1281. Ariel Uy, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I am in full support of net neutrality and Title II regulations. The internet should remain a fair and free place, and ISPs should not have the ability to change this.
1282. Daniel Brennan, Timonium, MD, 21093  
No to net neutrality! Smaller companies and younger people looking for jobs rely on the internet for their futures. Allowing big business to come in and restrict this will ruin these opportunities
1283. Emma, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
The internet should remain a free realm for all to use and enjoy. No one should be limited in their experience of the internet.
1284. Gavin Gomel-Dunn, Phoenix, MD, 21131  
Repealing Net Neutrality rules would make the United States significantly less free. "Life, Liberty, and the pursuit of Happiness" is not followed by "as determined by private companies". The only people that benefit from this repeal are internet service providers and the senators whose votes they bought. In 2016 the United Nations determined that intentionally disrupting citizens' access to the internet is a human rights violation. To repeal Net Neutrality rules would be the height of corruption in the US made law, and in direct violation of the basic human right to access to the internet. Please, do not repeal Net Neutrality laws.
1285. R Suritis, Woodbine, MD, 21797  
I support the core principles of Net Neutrality - no blocking, no throttling, no discrimination. Equal access to all, for all.
1286. Catherine Phalen, Clarksville, MD, 21029  
Net neutrality is American. Prioritization is so at odds with our Constitution, especially given the influence the internet has on decision making and human growth, that it is abhorrent to hear that this is even up for debate.
1287. net, catonsville, MD, 21228  
do not take away net neutrality i enjoy my rights
1288. Joseph Evans, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Repealing net neutrality will just allow ISPs to take control of the consumers' experience and potentially limit what they have access too. The internet should be open for all.
1289. Theodore Bauer, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
Keep the Internet open. Net Neutrality as it has been established should remain. Otherwise we will have segregation on the Internet according to one's ability to pay more for the same content to which we have access today and at the same data rates. There should be no preferential "highways" on the 'net. The services we have today are expensive enough as they are. Revoking the current neutrality regulations opens the door to even higher pricing for the same services we now have.
1290. Austin Kline, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I am an artist who depends on his website and internet exposure for work. My work depends on a free and open internet.
1291. Matthew Henry Frieswyk, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
The internet is the backbone of our modern economy and should be regulated as such to preserve openness and freedom. Title II classification is an appropriate step towards ensuring ISPs are prohibited from creating paid prioritization and blocking competition.
1292. John de Campos, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
Net neutrality must remain and if anything Service providers are already destroying the free market with an almost complete monopoly on who can get service where. please DO NOT tighten their grip on controlling power of the internet, its speed or content.
1293. Keep our net free, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I don't believe in the abolition of net neutrality. I don't want these large ISP companies who don't give a damn about my well being and

only about making a dollar to benefit from the abolition of net neutrality. It can be a tool to undermine democracy and I will be damned if I don't speak up and voice my displeasure. I don't know anyone besides these large companies who will benefit from this atrocious act and I am baffled that this is even an issue in 2017. Do the right thing and keep our net free for the benefit of our society and not the greedy corporate propaganda

1294. Emily Saari, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I support full net neutrality. Internet Service Providers should not have the ability to prioritize certain sites or content, block any user from accessing web sites or content, or alter internet access or connection (including speed of connection) in any way that limits, hinders, or prevents a user's full ability to use the internet. When I purchase internet service from an ISP, I am aiming to get the fastest and fullest access to the internet possible. I do not aim to get other telecommunication or information services, nor do I want my ISP to otherwise control my access to the internet or alter my internet experience in any way. Also, at my address in Baltimore City, the only meaningful option I have for an ISP is Comcast Xfinity. Other ISPs have not yet laid the infrastructure to provide competitive internet access to my neighborhood. So, I cannot exercise choice in my ISP and influence the ISP market with my consumer power. I therefore need the FCC to enforce net neutrality.
1295. Erik Strazzella, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Allowing ISPs to throttle website speeds based on charging various websites is harmful to the economy, and state of the internet as we know it. This will not only end up costing consumers money in the long run, but also hurt small businesses that can't afford to keep up with larger companies that will pay to have high speed traffic. There is no reason why ISPs should have the ability to do this, other than to capitalize on the needs of average people this day in age. I am against Net neutrality.
1296. Suzan Kovach, Baltimore, MD, 21230  
So much of our lives is dependent on internet and networking. Allowing restrictions can limit open competition, limit availability of information, and limit communication capabilities. Don't lift regulations that could cause harm to society and life, and then inflict regulation on that which improves those things.
1297. Matthew Meister, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
It is ABSOLUTELY CRUCIAL that the Net Neutrality rules and Title II classification be kept.
1298. Paul Mayerman, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Please do not change the current net neutrality FCC regulations that help to keep the internet free, open, competitive and accessible to all users such as myself. Thank you!
1299. Vincent J Nibali, West Friendship, MD, 21794  
Please, keep and strengthen our current net neutrality provisions. ISPs should not be allowed to prioritize traffic from particular sites or partners. Please. Allow our people to innovate. Don't bend the meaning of free access for the temporary benefit of a few at the expense of our country's future.
1300. Kayleigh Carswell, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Please don't let capitalism take away our imperative ability to access and share information globally! Democracy was intended to be run by the people, for the people, and taking away net neutrality is a direct attack on us.
1301. Glynne Greene, Baltimore, MD, 21228  
I support net neutrality rules. I believe that everyone should have equal access to the Internet. I used the Internet a lot. I like to surf the net for any information that I am curious about. I also use it for streaming tv shows, movies, ebooks and pick out different games to play. I surf the net to find anything I need like job hunting, looking for a place to move, find places to go on vacation, find locations to where I am looking for.
1302. Bryce Grier, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
As a scientific researcher, unfettered access to information is crucial to my work. As a result, net neutrality in which content is not filtered or selected by ISPs is extremely important to my ability to contribute to scientific progress. As a private citizen, I only have access to one provider of high speed internet (>25 mbps; Comcast) and as such I have no alternative to switch to, should Comcast be able to control my online experience.
1303. Ryan Bailey, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
A regulated internet controlled by business will only cripple the United States globally. The internet is not a product to be controlled, unregulated access to it is essential for any developed society. I urge the FCC to carefully consider the impact of any regulation it should wish to impose.
1304. Ryan Schettig, Woodbine, MD, 21797  
Keep the net neutrality rules exactly how they are don't let the ISP's change the rules to benefit themselves and not the people actually using the internet
1305. Allison Bloechl, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Net neutrality is an essential freedom
1306. Brandon Heid, Baltimore, MD, 21131  
Please keep the current regulations we have, thanks. Brandon.
1307. Ravindra Mehrotra, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Net Neutrality ought to be a basic right. I'm shocked that it's being threatened.

1308. Erica Regelin, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
As a small business owner it's incredibly important to me that the internet be free of paid prioritization. Regulation keeps these companies from forcing websites to pay in order to "get" bandwidth for visitors to their site and as a small business owner in the United States I can't afford to compete with large sites like Amazon for bandwidth with every provider.
1309. Travis Barber, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Keep net neutrality the standard in US internet access.
1310. Greg Coil, woodbine, MD, 21797  
Keep the Internet free and neutral. Any government regulation will hinder the democracy of the Untied States and will monopolize corporate greed by lobbyist.
1311. Molly, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I cannot comprehend how reducing net neutrality regulations would benefit consumers. The FCC is supposed to protect consumers, not ISPs. Please do your job and maintain net neutrality!
1312. lahila ojeda, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
As an internet user, I support net neutrality, and demand Congress not to roll back protections
1313. Austin Reza, Ellicott, MD, 21042  
The internet should be available in its entirety. We shouldn't be limited because someone else doesn't like it. They have as much right not to click a website as much as we should retain the right to click a website. Don't limit the internet for all users.
1314. Sonia, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
Keep the internet uncensored!Sonia
1315. Hima Patel, baltimore, MD, 21202  
I support net neutrality- the internet needs to be a free space, sans control by corporations.
1316. Brian, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
A free and open internet secured by Net Neutrality is necessary for a free and democratic society. The FCC must uphold Net Neutrality standards to continue to protect the rights and freedoms we enjoy in this country. I implore you, keep Title 2, protect our rights, protect Net Neutrality. Brian
1317. Paige Magrogan, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Net neutrality is the cornerstone of the Internet, and we need protections against the power of ISPs because more than 90% of Americans can only choose between two or fewer Internet providers. These companies are basically monopolies, and it is important that the FCC prevents them from becoming even more powerful than they already are.
1318. Alice Yoshida, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
The internet is one of the most important inventions of the 20th century. If telecom companies are allowed to protect the positions of dominant firms through extortion, the dynamism of the US economy (already suffering under institutional protections of incumbent corporations by captured regulatory agencies) will deteriorate even further. The internet must remain neutral, lest we ossify into a country of megacorporations immune to all challenge and competition, extracting all the value from the economy before it has a chance to grow. If you end net neutrality, the people of the United States will never forgive you.
1319. Joy Roy, Windsor Mill, MD, 21244  
This needs to end, just another way to make revenue while limiting what people might think are minutiae
1320. Heather Braxton, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
We need net neutrality because it is the literal metaphor of the freedom of speech and freedom of press. It would be the antithesis of America to allow companies to take away access from it's users based on whomever is paying them.
1321. Steve Lee, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Sadly, its human greed at work again. The root cause of this is greed and people really need to fucking stop. If the entire internet gets turned into a monopoly then everyone suffers, we get oppressed even further. More things get censored, we lose even more jobs because the internet provides them; lots of consumers will stop using the internet altogether, losing money for everyone. The sad part is, money usually inevitably wins and even if we try our hardest there's a real possibility we will fail because people will just take a bribe and repeal the rules. Despite this I still say that we should just keep this, and for the assfucks that are called corporations, I hope that you all learn your lesson only when you get completely destroyed and I hope that time is soon. Try to sue me, try suing a minor for saying this. It'll prove to me that you really dont care. Dont DDoS this website cause you're all butthurt.
1322. Lexa Hartman, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are the best solution, and must be protected.I am already paying my ISP to deliver data to me. It does not matter what this data is - they are already being paid for this traffic. For them to also be able to charge the originating company is to give ISPs too much power over access to information. There have already been instances where ISPs have throttled or blocked access to sites/companies - often, ones competing with their own products. To allow ISPs to double-charge stifles new innovations and competition. Net Neutrality ensures that any new company or site is able to be competitive with established companies or ISPs' own offerings. The internet already IS a "fast lane" - all data is delivered as quickly as possible. Dropping Net Neutrality would only introduce new "slow lanes" for those sites/companies who do not pay ISPs extra rates.Please do everything you can to protect the Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules). Lexa Hartman

1323. Sydney Wallace, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
As a teacher I feel strongly about keeping access to the internet open and affordable. For many of my students it is their lifeline to the world. I consider it a right of public education. Don't limit it and their opportunities!!!
1324. Casey Miller, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I urge you to protect The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) as they are extremely important to me. ISPs should not have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. This would ostensibly allow giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This is anti-consumer consumer, and stifles small businesses, as well as larger entities. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Casey Miller
1325. Eric Fildebrandt, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Put the necessary rules in place to ensure a free and open internet. I don't trust corporations to have the American people's best interests in mind.
1326. Geoffrey Atkinson, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I like the internet. It isn't broken, so don't break it - especially for some short term profits. Geoffrey Atkinson
1327. Joseph Hammer, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Keep Net Neutrality. This should be obvious. We live in a Democratic state. You should understand that removing net neutrality severely inhibits our Freedom of Speech. Don't be an asshole. Don't be a fascist asshole.
1328. Jay Patel, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
The internet needs to remain in control of individuals rather than in the hands of large corporations that have a control on everything. This will lead to a loss of innovation and slower speeds and large corporations profiting off advertising while saving on infrastructure costs due to throttling based on IP or service.
1329. Radant, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
The free internet is the greatest source of information and entertainment in the history of mankind, taking that away to satisfy a few greedy capitalists is the basest form of censorship and will only serve to oppress those of us who don't have millions of dollars to pay off corporations for access to what should be the greatest forum of equality
1330. Luke Privett, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
Net neutrality is essential to ensure that the internet is the best service possible, technologically and economically. When I purchase internet access, I don't want to use my provider's bundled services, I want access to better services out there (often at no charge) in order to learn, work, and entertain myself. Every supplier of online content should be treated equally, and nobody should have artificially better access to resources. This is critical to both the technological implementation of the internet (introducing a bias in bandwidth supply will result in inferior throughput), and economic results of its existence (introducing a cost to internet services that favors broadband companies will crowd out competitors by increasing the cost to realize online opportunities). It is important to not roll back Title II, because doing so will create a less efficient internet which doesn't generate as much revenue.
1331. William Roberts, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I strongly object to the FCC abandoning internet neutrality. The internet should be equally available to all users, without special power being granted to the ISPs. I already pay an ISP for internet service. So internet service is what I should get, and it should be the same service that others who pay for it receive. ISP's should not have the power to control content and throttle throughput. Here are some specific objections to the filing: Comment on paragraph 78: The revocation of the affiliated content rule would permit the forced inclusion of ads in regular contact. For example, I am watching Netflix, and Verizon places an ad within its content. I have paid for the Netflix services and accept its rules. I do not accept that the ISP can supersede its rules to provide its own content within a service I have separately paid for. Comment on paragraph 81: This paragraph says that my ISP can block content from other providers. This amounts to censorship on the part of the ISP. I pay money to the ISP to use the internet. In return, I should be able to use it in the manner that suits my needs, as determined by me, not the ISP. Comment on paragraph 89: How could eliminating transparency contribute to the smooth operation of the internet? Transparency does not expose weaknesses. It promotes the efficiency and stability of the internet. Eliminating transparency would serve only the interests of those who may want to use the internet to promote purposes not in the interests of the internet user community. Without transparency, monied interested can use the internet for their own purposes, under cover.
1332. Vanessa Guarnizo, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I highly support net neutrality, and believe there should be rules that ensure it can continue. What companies want to do by charging extra fees for different websites is absolutely ridiculous. As if we did not already pay enough for our Internet, they want us to pay for every website we use. The Internet is no longer a privilege, but a necessity for millions of people. Do not let ISPs ruin our online experiences, and make like more difficult and frustrating than it already is. Protect net neutrality.

1333. Curtis Ellison, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
The internet should stay open and free, just like America itself. Taking this away harms Americans. Please do not vote to change Net Neutrality.
1334. Alex, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I care about net neutrality because I think getting rid of our use of the internet is getting rid of information on a universal scale.
1335. Angela Thornton, Baltimore, MD, 21216  
.....I support retaining \* AND ENFORCING \* strong net neutrality.....
1336. Ethan Simon, Woodbine, MD, 21797  
No one should own the internet. This shouldn't even be up for debate. Net Neutrality allows millions of people to have access to a variety of sources and information.
1337. Thomas Ryan, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Net neutrality is a right that should not be infringed on.
1338. Mark C. Wilson, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Net Neutrality is important. Please protect my right to a fair and equal internet. Mark C. Wilson
1339. Phillip C. Wampler, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Net Neutrality is essential to the freedom of speech that is a basic human right in America.
1340. Harry Li, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
We want to keep net neutrality. It is good. We like it. I do not want slower download speeds, unsanctioned auctions of browsing histories, or internet monopolies. You may direct any inquires regarding our stance on net neutrality to John Oliver, host of Last Week Tonight and voice of millennials.
1341. Bryan Bishop, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
The very spirit of the internet is one of freedom. Freedom to exchange ideas, freedom to engage in commerce, and freedom to explore the vast wealth of human knowledge and achievement. This uninhibited exploration is what has allowed so many to gain so much. To allow anyone to act as a gatekeeper, dictating what can and cannot be delivered or at what speeds, is in open defiance of what the American people have demanded time and time again these passed few years. Congress, and others, have called for stronger regulation of the internet on multiple occasions and each time have been rebuffed. This country has spoken loudly and often that they will not stand for an internet run by or for monoliths interested solely in profit. We pay the the ISPs for access, not for their opinion on the content of the internet. Please continue to allow the internet to be a place of open access to new ventures and ideas for the benefit of all people.
1342. Brook Hubbard, Columbia, MD, 21045  
The Internet is an important tool of the 21st century, and necessary for Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness.
1343. David Hagen, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Keep the internet free and competitive.
1344. Donald Mackey, Columbia, MD, 21045  
I support net neutrality, and if you had any sense you would too.
1345. Justin Paul, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Destroying net neutrality lets internet service providers extort money from literally everyone, including each other, but especially end users and any sort of small content or service provider. Don't create an environment where the entire internet is held to ransom!
1346. Nicole Myrick, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I stand with the majority of Americans who support net neutrality. ISPs should not be allowed to control or limit the data we access online.
1347. Jeffrey Hardesty, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
Hello, I would like to say that I am in favor of net neutrality. An ISP slowing down or blocking my connection to websites they do not approve of should be illegal. The internet should belong to the people. Jeff
1348. Jack Connolly, Lutherville, MD, 21093  
Net neutrality is cool and no net neutrality would be not cool
1349. Amelia Yasuda, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I have grown up in an age where the internet is necessary in every day life. The freedom that the internet gives the public is one of the biggest reasons why America remains such a democracy. I have friends who have moved away, and I can talk to them and connect with them only because of the internet. Should the internet no longer be a guaranteed space of freedom, I would lose contact with many. Allowing ISPs to control the internet how they please could be devastating; it could completely change the fundamentals of our society, allowing even more of our lives to be controlled by those with the most money. How would I ever be able to trust that what I am being shown is the truth if my ISP could be showing me only what they want me to see? I do not want to live in a country where I cannot freely search the web and research topics to form my own opinions about things. Restricting the internet is something a dictatorship would do, not a democracy. Do not let America be dragged back further; let America keep progressing with freedom to explore on the internet.
1350. Michael Schreiber, Columbia, MD, 21044

Regulating access is unconstitutional.

- 1351. Nate Larson, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
I'm writing to protest the FCC's plan to dismantle net neutrality - it's very important to me that the net stay open to all at an equal level of cost. Comcast is the only real broadband option where I live and if you eliminate regulations, I will be at their mercy. And I don't trust them as a company. Please register my complaint to Chairman Pai.
- 1352. Scott Davis, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
I support net neutrality. Allowing service providers the ability to prioritize download speeds is unfair and will lead to unfair costs for consumers. The current system of net neutrality is how to truly keep the internet free.
- 1353. Simon Kay, Hunt Valley, MD, 21030  
Do not get rid of net neutrality, It would be nice to have a world with less regulations but I don't trust ISP's to not abuse their power.
- 1354. Russell Fricke, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I use the internet for an incredible variety of things, from entertainment, to shopping, to job hunting, and to keep in touch with people I care about. Competition between these services is what makes them so valuable. As a consumer, I want to be able to choose between different video streaming services, or different shopping websites without the hand of an ISP crushing the free market I hold dear. In addition, the lack of oversight from the FCC is allowing ISPs to exploit their monopolies in an absurd fashion. Comcast can raise its prices without warning, create utterly nonsensical data caps, and provide shoddy service because they know that their only competitor, Verizon, will do little better. And I'm lucky to even have one other choice on the matter. In light of the internet's importance to my life, I must strongly urge the FCC to strengthen, or at the very least retain its current oversight on ISPs. The internet is far too crucial to the livelihood of the average American to entrust it to a small cabal of private entities.
- 1355. Nevena Marinkovic, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Removing net neutrality would crush the small businesses and start-ups that America is meant to cherish. Today when having internet access is vital to almost everything, from paying bills to finding a job, treating as anything less than a utility, something that every citizen needs free and open access to, is wrong.
- 1356. Charles Harry Grevers, Lutherville, MD, 21093  
Repealing new neutrality does not serve the people. Do not do so.
- 1357. David Vergauwen, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
Nearly everyone who understands and depends on the Internet supports net neutrality, whether they're startup founders, activists, gamers, politicians, investors, comedians, YouTube stars, or typical Internet users who just want their Internet to work as advertised" regardless of their political party. David Vergauwen
- 1358. Zach Amoss, Mount Airy, MD, 21771  
Keep Net neutrality! I'm filing two damn comments cause THIS IS IMPORTANT!
- 1359. joey, Sparks, MD, 21152  
I am a gamer and streamer and meet from all over the world through gaming. We need net neutrality!!
- 1360. Ben Payette, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
I strongly support Net Neutrality under title 2 regulations. Keep net neutrality in place.
- 1361. MARIEL ACOSTA, BALTIMORE, MD, 21217  
Net neutrality is one of the last true freedoms citizens have in this capitalist controlled world. I already hate Comcast enough for not having a functioning website or a reliable way of paying my bills and this has gone on long enough. You people just want to complete control of everything. Internet providers already have a monopoly! I cannot for the life of me believe they've already gotten this far. The fact that Congress is already giving into the lobbying sent in by these companies says a lot about how much our country's democracy has devolved. Shame on all of you.
- 1362. Donovan Bisco, Columbia, MD, 21044  
The internet is the last true haven of free speech, we'll never get anywhere is everything we do or say is controlled.
- 1363. alexi scheiber, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
My name is Alexi scheiber and I support STRONG net neutrality guidelines that keep the Internet fair and free as a democratic tool for the public. I don not support any rollback on the guidelines.
- 1364. Maxwell Joesph Jonke, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
GAA/ PROTECT THE INTERWEBS
- 1365. Sean Cabrera, baltimore, MD, 21223  
man how many of these do we have to suffer every year.
- 1366. Taylor Stephen, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Access to the internet has become a necessity, and everyone - no matter their socioeconomic background - should have equal access to it. Internet providers should not be able to dictate the websites and companies used by the consumer. That is the right of the consumer. That is a right of the consumer. The FCC should maintain net neutrality in an effort to maintain the right of equal access to the internet regardless of the internet provider the consumer uses.

1367. Gregory Bailey, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
The utility and efficacy of information and services provided on the internet require an even playing field. If ISPs are permitted to modify access through targeted pricing and speed modifications, the free exchange of ideas is threatened for all internet users in the United States. Please do not allow changes in price and speed of access to affect the quality and content of information and services available to US internet users.
1368. Jasmine Kelly, Baltimore, MD, 21215  
I will never understand why you are always trying to find some way of controlling the internet. It feels like you are trying to remove our power to make ourselves aware of the world that is going on around us. Since when were we turning into North Korea? I like my internet the way it is. If you must block anything, focus on those sites that empower pedophiles and encourage snuff addicts.
1369. Electro Belton, Columbia, MD, 21044  
In my mind, the internet still needs to be covered under Net Neutrality because it can keep people like long distance friends & family connected over time.
1370. Nicholas Rabuck, Baltimore, MD, 21250  
The effects imposed the removal of net neutrality will inevitably restrict freedom that the world enjoys. The freedom of information. As a student in today's computer filled world, I constantly use the internet to learn about my courses, read the news, entertain myself and communicate with family. Restricting my access to the internet would stunt my growth as a student and global citizen. Net Neutrality is what separates us from countries like North Korea. Removal of net neutrality would mainly effect the lower class making the socioeconomic class division even greater. Do not let this happen. Thank you for your time.
1371. Tiffany Chu, Baltimore, MD, 21231  
Please support Net Neutrality and free and equal access to the internet. My ability to follow the news, communicate with my friends on social media, and collaborate with people should not be determined by the whims and business alliances of the local internet monopoly (Comcast, here in Baltimore).
1372. Jared Ordonez, Reisterstown, MD, 21136  
Seriously... FUCK BIG BUSINESS
1373. Joseph Eber, Woodbine, MD, 21797  
ISP's should only be allowed to lay the pipe lines, not control what information is traveling through them
1374. Sam torres, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Do not do away with net neutrality. Look what happens when countries restrict the internet in places like Turkey, Egypt, Syria, etc. Does the USA want to be on that list? People will always a way and this will become a huge mess. You're messing with free speech here. Leave the internet open.
1375. Kristin hunt, Baltimore, MD, 21216  
My name is Kristin and I am concerned citizen, I am 29. I grew up with the internet. I support Net neutrality and do not want my ability to the things I love, the way I communicate with friends and family to be taken away. I also don't like the idea of needing to pay more money for my internet because of potential throttling. IF you can tell a big company to pay up, you know what happens: The customers pay more, and then people leave to find worse quality internet at AFFORDABLE prices. Today family budgets are unreasonable. A House payment, car note, loans, gas & electric, not mention food and daycare, could easily make a modern family who has 2 working parents on 120,000 a year suddenly have tight purse strings . If the children are in school, double that because public or private, they internet is a necessary part of our modern world. Like electricity to make a computer function. Keep the Net Neutral.
1376. Johnny Keller, Baltimore, MD, 21205  
Do not slow the internet
1377. Nathen Gallivan, Owings Mills, MD, 21117  
I do not like the idea of limiting people on the internet, especially the small startups and other small websites. Also potentially limiting our knowledge and perception of the world via internet.
1378. Avery Brown, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. The Internet Needs to Be Freedom and Needs to Be equal The Internet should be treated as a Forever Frontier and Should have the same Laws as: United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), But No One company Should be Able to Slow access to another companys page. The Internet Providers (ISP's) Shouldn't be able to peek at your data, Read, Keep track of Your info Sent, or even Sell that Info IF THIS New Law Goes Through they will be breaking Confidentiality for many Citizens Aswell As Citizens Outside the States Because Reddit, Google, Bing, Twitter All Could be Able to Sell Your Info To Any other Company online that is willing to buy your private info. Even the Government could potentially sell your info they get from this new law to other countries this Can not stand we shouldn't let this happen! Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Privacy Is a

Huge Concern and Providers Shouldn't be able to sample/keep or peek at your data and should not be able to sell this DATA TO ANYONE This is Breaking current Privacy laws and Privacy is a Right and should not be Lost!So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

1379. Rowen Bartolomei, Ellicott city, MD, 21042  
We need to keep the internet open and unbiased. Don't ruin this for us...
1380. Sean Gahagan, Ellicott, MD, 21042  
The Internet being a free and open place is pivotal to the United States maintaining its place in the world. Limiting access to information will only bring us backwards, not forward. Please do not repeal net neutrality.
1381. Johanna Galat, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Please preserve net neutrality by regulating ISP providers and making sure they do not have control over what I see on the internet. I buy internet services to have access to as much as possible on the internet, not to have the company I buy from sell me their own agenda. I believe it is the job of the FCC to help me as a consumer and a citizen in getting fair access to the internet.
1382. Rutherford B Wicken, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
This is about freedom. If it ain't broke don't fix it. This is absolutely NOT broken. DON'T TREAD ON US
1383. Chuck Reed, Woodstock, MD, 21163  
You wouldn't be okay with charging more for water flow when your plumber says "that little trickle is just fine," why do y'all wanna make us do that for the internet?
1384. Jerimiah, Baltimore, MD, 21205  
Don't limit the internet.
1385. customer service, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
Government should not be managed exclusively like a business; not all things that provide social value (Government) are profitable (business). Please do not remove the principle of Net Neutrality.
1386. Andrew Bridgeman, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I just wanted to congratulate you all on finding the one issue where both sides are united. Republican, Democrat, inbetween, or none of the above - we want a fully free and open internet. Providers NEED to be restricted from infringing on that. Don't weaken it, don't get rid of it, don't touch it.
1387. dan restum, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Please do not roll back the concept of net neutrality. Our internet needs to remain free.
1388. David Mundschenk, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Support net neutrality. For the sake of the poor. The kinds of policies companies want to implement to hamper free and open access to the internet are the way we end up with an ill informed and uninterested electorate. An America where only the wealthy have open access to information is an America that's truly lost its grounding in the ideals of the founding fathers. Many in Congress don't seem to understand the gravity of this issue and I'm hoping you won't be one of them. If we are to prosper as a nation we will need these kinds of freedoms to enable our citizens to flourish in a future economy where information and intelligence are more important than physical labor ability. If we don't do something to ensure that access to free information is obligatory, I can assure you that your children and your children's children will be living in a land devoid of purpose and left behind. Please, even if you can't convince others to agree, keep steadfast and don't allow yourself to become complacent in the present on an issue that will ripple through generations.David Mundschenk
1389. Zephan Melville, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Stop trying to tear the internet apart. You can't lay claim to the moon, stop trying to do the same thing to the internet. It's an international community and it needs to remain open to maintain that. No exceptions, no excuses, no politics.
1390. James Emery Annis, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Please consider my request to maintain net neutrality for an open and available network space. I have worked in technology for many years and the internet and its unrestricted access to information has allowed myself and many others to thrive in today's world. I access the internet at work, home, and over mobile devices and without net neutrality my experience and access to information could be different at each place. This would stifle ones ability to learn, grow, and give back to the future. Take this into consideration when judging the effectiveness of any new rules and regulations to be enacted to or lifted from the current market place.
1391. Charles Ryan, Sparks Glencoe, MD, 21152  
I support the open internet. I don't believe that paying for the "fast lane" is the best way to encourage competition on the Internet.
1392. Michael Moore, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I believe that Net Neutrality is important to freedom and First Amendment rights across the United States. If anything, internet and fiber optic bandwidth should become a utility with how increasingly necessary internet access and communication is in our world.
1393. Jonathan M Miller, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
You do not have the right to censor the internet or sell it to cable companies. You know that the overwhelming majority of the people are completely and totally against you, as usual. You are in office, representing us. Do your jobs and represent the people, or face the consequences.Jonathan M Miller

1394. Mark Spindler, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
1. I am an educator and a supporter of free speech. Paid prioritization would make educational resources harder to access for my students, would make it harder for me to communicate with friends, family, and fellow teachers, and harder for unpopular or low-funded voices to break through the crowd. 2. When I purchase broadband internet access I'm paying for a way to access internet websites, forums, and chat clients. Specifically, I'm primarily paying for fast access to things that are not backed by huge funding. Things that are backed by huge funding can be accessed via apps on other devices, but the unpopular and unfunded resources are what I really need my broadband internet access for. 3. My only cable or high speed option is xfinity here (though much slower DSL could be provided by verizon). I need the FCC to provide oversight so that the ISP near-monopoly doesn't get even worse.
1395. Charles McGeorge, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
The paradigm of progress through "disruption" has long been Silicon Valley's driving force. Disruption provides a desperately needed and very real check on entrenched business interests, favoring new and more effective ways to create value and make a better world. The move to end Net Neutrality is an obvious attempt by these entrenched interests to prevent disruption through lobbying so that they will not have to innovate and compete fairly against startups. If young companies cannot afford to compete online against incumbents, the amazing progress we have seen from the tech sector will cease and millions of entrepreneurs, myself included, will no longer be able to contribute value to our economy and to Americans' (and the world's) quality of life. Preserve Net Neutrality!
1396. Matthew Lebo, White Hall, MD, 21161  
Allowing ISPs to do as they please is a horrible mistake. If they can prioritize faster speeds for sites they own but slow down speeds for competitors, then they absolutely will. Many places don't have much selection in terms of ISPs so it's not as though we can just switch to someone else. In my case if we want internet with acceptable speeds for modern technology, comcast is one of the few choices in my area. Considering their treatment of customers in the past, I believe they absolutely need outside oversight enforcing rules. Everyone should have an equal right to their own section of the internet. If ISPs can slow access to certain sites, then they can effectively limit free speech as they please. Please just know that these ISPs absolutely need oversight. They need someone to regulate the prices at least to some extent (for example they shouldn't be able to double prices overnight), and they should be prohibited from purposefully controlling user's internet speeds based on what site they are visiting. They also should not be allowed to block certain sites. As users it is our right to use the internet as we please. Thank you for your concern.
1397. Matthew Sears, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
The internet has thrived due to its thus far maintained openness. Even if one believes that ISPs will maintain that openness now (as they demand it be abolished) there is no rational argument to be made that codifying that openness into strict law will do consumers harm. ISPs (particularly those with their own streaming and other services) have no reason beyond the law not to implement throttling for services that are not their own. (There is no real life competition in the majority of America, including where I live; only Comcast provides internet at greater than 4Mbps here.) If they demand money from third parties so that those party's services will not be throttled or blocked, then only the large companies can afford to pay this fee. Thus by repeal of Title II status, the FCC would be allowing an effective monopoly/cartel to be indefinitely entrenched in internet streaming and other services. This is highly unpopular with the public and a broad swath of internet and technology companies for a good reason. Do not short-sightedly remove our protections to the benefit of a few loud billion dollar ISP companies.
1398. Johnny Boursiquot, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Keep the internet free and open for EVERYONE, not those lobbying to destroy net neutrality because of the money they stand to make. Do the right thing by the public, not greedy companies.
1399. Brandon Born, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Keep the internet free of paid prioritization as it is in its current state. We need the right to be able to do as we please on the internet without being controlled. Keep net neutrality.
1400. Amanda Reddy, Columbia, MD, 21044  
We need strong, enforceable net neutrality protections. Access to information should not be sold to the highest bidder... there's nothing democratic about that. A free and open internet is essential to ensuring American progress and upholding American values.
1401. Evan Jeske, Halethorpe, MD, 21227  
As a hobbyist game developer and artist, net neutrality is important to me, as it is thousands of other small-scale creative individuals. By treating all data equally, all creative people are given an equal chance to put their work out into the world, and to collaborate with each other. Artists without large revenues and popular following cannot afford the cost of "fast-lane" access for their websites; and if their access to the tools they use to work and to collaborate with each other is throttled, their ability to create is greatly diminished. Additionally, the work of small-scale independent artists is becoming more and more economically valuable and desirable. If access to their work is not treated equally, it will affect the vitality of this important segment of the economy. When consumers and small-scale creative people purchase internet access, the speed of that access is not what matters the most to them. What matters is that they have equal, unfettered, unmonitored access to all creative work they wish to consume, and to the tools they use to work and collaborate. The job of the FCC is to protect these rights for all people who use the Internet. Allowing ISPs to pick and choose which data is more important -- and who has to pay for unthrottled transmission of that data -- is an attack on those rights. Granting ISPs these freedoms is granting them the right to extort their customers, and is an unconscionable failure of the FCC in its duty as the regulator of fair access to communication technologies.
1402. David Slocum, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I think that net neutrality is important for open and fair access to all of the disparate products, information, and ideas available across the world through the internet. When I purchase internet access from an ISP I am simply looking to obtain a fair price for a reliable connection to the internet. Once I have that connection I can choose to obtain information or products from a vast selection of companies and providers. If we were to lose net neutrality, the number of options for providers of the services or products I am looking for could be decreased. This list could be potentially go from anyone across the world who has an internet connection to a very small

number providers that my ISP decides upon. I see the internet as a simple and efficient way of connecting a provider of a service or information with a consumer of said service or information. With this in mind, I think that access to a fair and open internet both for the consumers and providers of content is extremely important. This access should be protected and overseen by an organization who has the consumer as its priority and is independent of the ISP's that may put their own interests ahead of fair access. The internet has revolutionized our world by connecting people and information from across the world. It would be disappointing to lose net neutrality and take a step backward severing many of the connections that have become necessary to accomplish nearly every task in our modern world.

1403. Gregory Krasnoff, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
This regulation is important, does not hurt economy. Go find another way to fuck up America. I love dolphins.
1404. Priya Gajendiran, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I'm writing to urge you to keep open internet rules and classify ISPs under Title II in place. The proposed changes to the FCC regulations would allow ISPs, such as Comcast and Verizon, to create fast lanes for sites that pay them more money, slowing down access to other sites. Conditions like this hurt both large and small businesses and create unfriendly user experiences for customers. Please leave the existing net neutrality rules based on Title II in place. Thank you.
1405. Greg Weeks, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Why would you want a free and open internet that is classified as a utility, that essentially that's used every day of someones life. It helps everyone, and closing everyone off from the internet because you're in favor of giving the big companies more abilities to throttle and screw another website just because someone thinks they're getting the lions share. Nothing should be throttled, no one shouldn't be able to use the internet with rates getting hiked for no reason, just because the provider has a regional monopoly. Protecting the rights of the people, and not the massively big companies, is how it should be. Protect us and not them!
1406. Ian Gifford, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Hi, As a browser of the free web, I believe that net neutrality is incredibly important. Companies have already shown their inability to exercise good judgement in being fair to their customers and competitors, which is acceptable competition in some cases, but without net neutrality, it would expand to a corruption of freedom of speech. It could be used to control what political content the users view, what they support, and essentially control their votes. Liberals, do you want the major conservative corporations that own internet providers taking votes from you, without any chance of you being able to reach vast groups of voters? Conservatives, do you want major liberal companies doing the same? You could lose voters without even having a chance. Voters would be less educated, and your opposition could very literally pay companies to help them gain votes. Is this a democratic process? Is this what we want other countries to see in America? Ask yourselves before you repeal Net Neutrality.
1407. Tyler Brown, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I cannot believe that this is even up for debate. The internet should be neutral. To get more traffic, build a better product, don't cheat. You wouldn't build a road designed to get to a corporation faster than if you're going to a small business would you? No. You wouldn't. Internet providers shouldn't be able to slow you down if you're visiting a site they don't want you to visit.
1408. Nicole, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Keep Net Neutrality. Literally the entire internet community is in support of net neutrality. Stop trying to ruin a good thing.
1409. Mike Franitza, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I support Net Neutrality. Net neutrality has given us some of the best macro-economic statistics in 50 years. Investment in infrastructure has increased, new technology has bloomed, and more people, especially young, make their living with the a net neutral Internet. Many young people have made their own jobs streaming on sites like twitch. We can not throttle the Internet.
1410. Kitt Grey, Catonsville, MD, 21250  
I don't want to have ISP's controlling and charging more for different sites
1411. Gianmarco, Baldwin, MD, 21013  
Keep the internet as-is!
1412. Nicholas Bright, ellicott city, MD, 21042  
This is an infringement of basic enumerated rights of freedom of expression, communication, and association. Allowing the power over what should be a public infrastructure to be controlled by greedy companies is asinine and against all that america stands for.
1413. Christopher Hawes, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Dear FCC, I am here to object to the removal of Net Neutrality. I am for allowing businesses to have influence, but one thing I cannot stand for is removal of freedoms. As an American citizen, I am entitled to certain unalienable rights including the freedom of press, and the freedom speech. The proposed removal of Net Neutrality, puts these rights in danger. I will not allow Verizon, a company I personally respect, to tell me what I can do on the internet. And I definitely won't stand for companies of questionable integrity such as Comcast, to control what other people want. It's my internet, and I will use it for what I wish to use it for. Left-wing news, Right-wing news, and my personal favorite, porn. What they deem acceptable should not be what I have to see. This is America, land of the free, but this proposed change is an attack on my rights as a free citizen. Protect the internet, protect my porn.
1414. Anastasia Sotiropoulos, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
It is imperative to the future of our country that the Internet remain Neutral. The FCC must stand by the citizens of this country, 23 million of whom already are without access to broadband internet, and ensure that current and future generations have equal, unfettered access to the knowledge and information available on the World Wide Web.
1415. Daniel Taylor, Columbia, MD, 21045

I am a 17 year old from Maryland about to be a senior and going into college soon. I think preserving net neutrality is not only integral for an increasingly connected global economy, but also seeks to preserve the openness of culture and ideas that the internet provides.

1416. Rana Gainer, Woodstock, MD, 21163  
I'm an illustrator, game designer, and web developer. If you mess with the internet, I and people like me will stand no chance of doing our jobs and starting new businesses. Since money is all that matters to you vultures, surely you can understand the threat to the economy that would cause.
1417. Bruce Press, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
The Internet and access to it as a public resource has become fundamental to functioning in society. This is a Freedom of Speech and expression issue that is guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States. To provide limits on access to content or to provide preferential access based on a content provider's financial capability would effectively limit the free speech of those who could not pay for preferential treatment. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!
1418. Erin O'Brien, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Leave my internet speeds alone, please. I refuse to pay more money for individual pages to work at the speeds they are currently working just so that some already billion dollar companies can take more of my money. Leave the internet alone, you cannot impede free speech with internet speeds.
1419. Patrick M. Byer Jr, Glenelg, MD, 21737  
I'm in favor of Net Neutrality. The internet is one of the few truly free and equal places on the planet. That freedom of expression is threatened by the fall of Net Neutrality. All content on the Internet should be viewed as equal regardless of whom or what controls a given websites content. By allowing ISPs to control the fluctuation of content based on who can 'pay' for given speeds and access, that equality would be severely hampered and most likely eliminated.
1420. Linda Kim van der Burgh, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Save Net Neutrality! It is our freedom and right!
1421. Mollye Bendell, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I support open, fair and consistent access to the internet. I support net neutrality.
1422. Net Neutrality, Baltimore, MD, 21223  
I support full net neutrality as it is currently enforced by the FCC, and urge the FCC to not take any action in reclassifying it, as this would only support large businesses like Verizon and would damage small businesses and start ups on the internet such as Steam and Discord.
1423. David Hinckley, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
Without Net Neutrality big companies like Comcast or Verizon could have major control over what are allowed to see and search for on the internet. Without this you would be taking the freedom out of the internet. I wouldn't be allowed to go on websites like Twitch.tv or Netflix unless my ISP decided that it was a okay site. Even then they could slow the website down and make it take ages to work. Without Net Neutrality the vibrant creative place we call the internet will die and something much worse will take its place.
1424. Timothy Miller, Baltimore, MD, 21223  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me, and I strongly urge you to protect them, not to repeal them. ISPs should not have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. We cannot afford to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online, now or in the future. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Prior to the 2015 Open Internet Order, Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, and Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends. Verizon has admitted that it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. As a resident of Baltimore, Maryland, I know that both of my Senators and my Congressman have come out in support of the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounced Chairman Pai's plans. I hope that they will continue to do whatever they can to dissuade him. Thank you! Timothy Miller
1425. Chris O'Brien, Sykesville, MD, 21784  
As a University student, I can't risk access to my Universities website, or Blackboard, being compromised due to my ISP potentially blocking said access; due to assignment submission, scheduling, etc.
1426. Andrew Samuels, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
With the last bill passed we gave our ISP's the right to sell our information to whoever asked. now we give our ISP's even more power than they already have. censoring our content, changing the order of how it is viewed based on what they own and much much more. If this thing passes, I'm moving to Canada.
1427. Tim Rice, Ellicott City, MD, 21042

Repealing net neutrality is a bad economic decision that gets rid of the free competitive market, screws over small businesses, hurts consumers who are already paying for the service and who need the internet for small scale productivity and deserved recreation, and gives big business an advantage they have no right to and don't need, and money they don't need or deserve. It is a decision with essentially no good outcomes and I urge lawmakers and judges to look at the big picture and see that.

1428. William Fenton, Columbia, MD, 21045  
As a heavy internet user, I would be personally offended if this Net Neutrality was repealed.
1429. Anna Scott, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
An open internet is important to me as a citizen as well as in my job as a scientist; I urge you to keep and protect the FCC's net neutrality rules. As a scientist, I rely on many small, open-source projects to engage in research and help communicate the results of my (publicly-funded) research. Typically, this involves open-source software to help render websites, graph results, and share code. I worry about the ability of ISPs to block or slow down the websites that host these projects, most of which run off of donations and grants exclusively. This would hinder or prevent me from doing research or easily disseminating the results. Additionally, I fear what would happen if a single company were given the power to, say, block or discourage me from using a 'cloud' provider (eg, Amazon, Google) to access scientific data. I live in an city with only one broadband provider. I buy internet access because I must- I use it to communicate with family members close and far, to communicate for work at all hours of the day and night, as well as to buy products and services. I have found the customer service to be poor, and the speeds rarely match those advertised. If certain sites are given 'fast lanes' while others 'slow lanes', this could make it difficult for me to buy products on the internet from smaller websites; I worry about the effect this will have on small and local businesses. As cities like Baltimore begin relying on revenue from the start up economy, I am concerned about the potential effect of repealing net neutrality. In particular, I note that my largest personal expenditures come from vacation travel, which I plan and book exclusively online. I worry about the effect of repealing net neutrality on the online travel industry, as it will surely not favor small travel providers. My family recently booked and spent a week-long trip with the Moab Rafting and Canoe Company, a small business we found online. Net neutrality repeal would mean that citizens like myself would not find companies like these.
1430. Molly Reddy, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I strongly support net neutrality that is backed by title II oversight of ISPs. I'm worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced.
1431. Grant, Baldwin, MD, 21013  
The idea of possibly having my internet service dramatically reduced in speed or lacking in the ability to access certain content is absolutely terrifying to think about. Having my ability to access the Internet diminished to serve the monetary and/or political goals of a select few is, in my view, downright shameful.
1432. Brian, Timonium, MD, 21093  
I've been to college, the reason I bring this up is because many of the scientific articles and academic resources I had access to had a pay gate. Thankfully that service was made a public and free domain. Scientific communities, like those linked with discovering and synthesizing a cure for a deadly pathogenic disease could be hindered by pay gates. Or progress hindered by pharmaceutical companies that want to charge an exorbitant amount of money for a cure discovered and made public. The point is, if I hadn't paid for my tuition, I would not have the opportunity to progress to the point that I have today. It's not much but I appreciate how much net neutrality has given me. I understand that institutions and infrastructure need upkeep, but the way about our current companies have charged and provided services have been grossly inadequate. I have traveled to other countries and have marveled at how much further they are comparatively to ours and realized that we are much more further behind than the countries we as a nation have ignorantly categorized as beneath us. Money can buy certain goods and services but it does not appeal to the motivations of pure inspiration and drive. If you want to build a ship, don't herd people together to collect wood and don't assign them tasks and work, but rather teach them to long for the endless immensity of the sea. -Antoine de Saint-Exupery
1433. Jose Camerieri-Rodriguez, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
The Corporate take over of this country is illegal and immoral. Do yourself a favor and join the people against these Corporate Lobbyists and their Policies. Its the people you serve.
1434. Net Neutrality, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Writing to express my concern over Net Neutrality with recent legislation suggesting ISPs could control content. The Internet should remain a free and open place for content and ideas to generate and build. I urge legislators reviewing this to consider the bigger picture with regards to Net Neutrality and not start down the path towards legislating the Internet as one step in that direction could turn into a very different world than what was originally envisioned with this legislation.
1435. Ian, Lutherville, MD, 21093  
The Internet NEEDS Net Neutrality!
1436. Minkyu Weller, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I do not want ISP to control contents nor any service I Pay.
1437. Matthew Egerton, Gwynn Oak, MD, 21207  
I have regularly used internet service providers since the early 1990s. In that time, I have also lived in a variety of locations, experiencing a wide variety of services and prices. Through using ISP communication services, I have been able to consistently receive and transmit information from my banks, work, family, and friends. As time has passed over the years, I have lost the ability to select ISPs as the number to select from dwindled. Service accessibility standards have dropped and prices have increased. The lack of market choices led to obvious and predictable monopolistic restrictions. In these circumstances I expect the federal government to exercise its regulatory powers to end and prevent abuses and restrictions perpetrated by ISPs. I think title II is an appropriated and useful regulatory regimen to accomplish this.

1438. Matthew Rankin, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I support keeping net neutrality policies and I believe it would be detrimental to the US to abandon those principles. Maintaining a level playing field for access to the internet is vital to spurring innovation in our increasing digital-based world and economy. If net neutrality is diminished or eliminated, the path for these entrepreneurs will be hindered and many may move their business abroad. This becomes even more vital as the companies controlling access continue to be consolidated, reducing choice to consumers and increasing the breadth of control being placed in the hands of a few large companies that have vested interest in controlling both providers and consumers. Please listen to the voices of the public and uphold net neutrality.
1439. Jason Abofsky, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I recognize the desire for a more capitalistic approach to the internet. However, the internet has expanded beyond simple supply and demand mechanics. It has grown into a vital utility the same as phone and television. Capitalism in the world stage requires greater and unfettered access to the internet. The only beneficiaries to an I/O throttle are the internet providers themselves. There is still plenty of room for these companies to flourish even with the internet categorized as a utility. As evidence of such, telephone and wireless cell phone providers have provided ample demonstration of the profitability of maintaining a utility versus a general product and service. Keep Net Neutrality rules in place.
1440. Alexander Snowden, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Net Neutrality needs to stay. It is not an option. You are morons for even considering getting rid of it.
1441. Ryan Jones, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
I support net neutrality. What they are planning is immoral and absurd. Forcing people to cough up more money for certain websites and using express lanes will turn people off. Ryan Jones
1442. Mark, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. I believe that Internet Service Providers, like Verizon and Comcast, already have too much power over my web experience and moving away from net neutrality will only make them stronger. Please understand the gravity of your decision before you make it. Thank you for your consideration.
1443. Chang W. Oh, Woodstock, MD, 21163  
Net neutrality must be maintained, and not be shaped nor directed by the corporate interests that are meant to be guided by the principle.
1444. Nile Bemby, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I'll be the first person to admit that the internet is not always doing good in the world. I'm not here to say that net neutrality keeps the internet pure and safe. What I will say though is that even if its legal, allowing internet companies the ability to control what their customers can experience borders on censorship. Just because its not a state regulated censorship doesn't mean it isn't dangerous and downright wrong. Whatever agenda service providers like to say they are pursuing, it is clear that their end game is to have more control over the flow of revenue on the internet, and have the ability to control what is said, and by who. which is very dangerous. All I ask is that you allow the internet to stay neutral, for better of for worse, a monopoly on the internet is a step towards the loss of freedom for our citizens Thank you, Nile Nile Bemby
1445. Mark A. Davis-Battle Jr., Baltimore, MD, 21229  
Hello, As a Network security professional, it is my duty to express to you how much Net Neutrality affects us Americans in a positive way. Without this Title II classification, Internet providers, who are already operating at Monopoly levels around the country (For example, Comcast has an iron grip on the city of Baltimore and that the average American household only has 2 providers of high speed internet to choose from) will have the ability to charge more for faster internet for specific services This is a VERY bad thing. Why? Because the US is where the internet was created, it is our baby. There should be no reason why we are not #1 in terms of bandwidth, costs and speed around the world, but the reality is that we're behind both Europe in terms of price. Hong Kong in terms of speed. South Korea and Japan in both speed and cost. I have only named the major countries ahead of us and omitted the many others that are also ahead of us. Destroying Net-Neutrality is literally setting the United States of America up to fall behind even further than we already are. Removing the Internet as a Title II service would be even more detrimental to our current standings in cost, speed and accessibility around the world. We would fall behind in professions such as my own, as we rely on our ability to monitor and test networks, research and document threats and even post our findings to ensure that everyone has access to this information. These actions may use protocols which may fall under certain services which are throttled or require a different subscription in order to access at the speed that is required. Our defense can also be hampered by this by affecting our response time to potential threats such as "Heart Bleed", "WannaCry" and "NotPetya". This is just the items that affect our job capabilities and not jobs in general that rely on the internet as its means of providing services. Increases in the cost of services can have negative effects on other aspects of the work place in order to afford the increased costs. In closing, please consider the following; The United States of America has always been a capitalist minded country. The citizens of our country, businesses and most importantly Infrastructure will benefit when service and content providers must compete. Net-Neutrality ensures this healthy competition. Save Net-Neutrality, save competition.
1446. Amy, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Keep the internet free! Amy
1447. Jennifer Berry, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
The internet is a utility that is needed for everyone with unfettered access. My ISP is merely a gateway to the internet. I buy nothing from them except access. I play games, chat with international friends, look up information, apply for jobs, plan trips, all on the internet, and none through my ISP. Allowing my ISP to choose what I should wait for, pay more for, or have access too is not within their purview. Just like my phone company doesn't slow down my calls, force me to pay more when calling companies they compete with, or block me from calling anyone, my ISP should be held to the same standard.
1448. Kevin Knapp, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
When I pay for internet, my expectations are that I will be able to access anything and everything. With the limited ISP availability I

have (Essentially Verizon Fios or Xfinity) there really is no competitive marketplace to even guarantee that I will have options to guarantee a full spectrum of available sites. For example, if Verizon decides to block Facebook in favor of Google Plus and Xfinity does the same, perhaps to favor a new product of their own. This gives the ISP the ability to severely restrict my ability to access friends and family. A Corporate filtered internet is borderline criminal in an age where internet access is almost entirely necessary to function in society.

1449. Mark, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
For those of us old enough to remember "before the web" and how difficult it was to communicate, net neutrality is critical. Slowing down the web for gain should not be an option as small companies and individuals don't have the resources of the large vendors to maintain their speed. In addition, the large vendors should realize that if they slow down the web there will be start-ups that will find ways to work around them. I have been with Bell since it was Ma Bell but if I find they are throttling my access, I will be very happy to find another vendor that provides top speed to all.
1450. Eric Delgado, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Private companies should not have control over what sites and how much bandwidth someone can access. The internet is great the way it is. Don't ruin it.
1451. Ryan Chelton, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I've been developing a business based on internet access and if net neutrality is ended so will my business as well as the others I know creating online businesses. Ryan Chelton
1452. Kaitlin Shook, Hampstead, MD, 21074  
Net Neutrality is absolutely needed so that way small internet startups have the best chance to be seen by the public.
1453. Christopher Paez, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I am in support of strong net neutrality rules that give people the power to choose which websites and apps are best. I oppose any removal of any laws or regulations that give internet service providers the right and ability to charge extra for content of their choosing, or to throttle internet service and speed based on content. The internet should be treated as a regulated utility. It is no longer a luxury in today's society and should not be "pay to play".
1454. Dillon Clayton, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
Internet is a basic utility. This is not to be bought by companies.
1455. Katherine Rothe, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Free and open internet has helped me in many ways. I've been able to further my education by using it to upgrade my high school courses and get my diploma, and to research and contact colleges to find the right one for me. I also use the internet to stay in touch with my mom and my sister, who live over 2000 miles away. If I wasn't able to do this everyday without being impeded, my quality of life would greatly reduce. I'm so scared this may actually happen. Changing providers would cost time and money, for new equipment and having to take time off work. It's not convenient and it shouldn't even be necessary. The internet needs to stay open and free. Thank you
1456. Zachary Nelson, Dayton, MD, 21036  
Net Neutrality is an issue that could, if taken away, destroy the internet. Startup companies such as Discord, and many others, would fall under loss of this. I understand that this commission is taking many of these comments with a grain of salt, due to the recent debacle with John Oliver from Last Week Tonight, and I don't fully blame you. But people wouldn't do this if they didn't truly care. And although many use fake names and addresses, it is understandable that they don't want that information publicized. People truly care about this issue, as well as I. I am in favor of strong upholding of the current Net Neutrality provisions.
1457. Joshua, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Corporate interests plague every sector of public and private life now because of their greedy behavior. Whether it is health care, education, or environmental protection, corporate monopolies are draining our liberty and freedom as individuals to pursue meaningful and prosperous lives. The attempt to ruin net neutrality is another disgusting and awful attempt to ruin the freedoms, privacy and security of our online and digital lives. I say NO to paid prioritization, NO to the blocking of websites or any content, NO to throttling, and NO to all the other effects of this potential change from the FCC. We want a FREE and OPEN internet. NO QUESTIONS ASKED.
1458. Eric, Columbia, MD, 21044  
The continuation of net neutrality would be a wonderful thing for the internet as it works sort of like it's own worker's union, preventing internet monopolies, so to speak. It enables users to choose the best sites and apps based on preferences, quality, performance, that sort of thing. Changing how the internet works, allowing Internet Service Provider's to change the user experience and gear them more toward sites and apps they would directly profit from - which would DEFINITELY be an end result of erasing net neutrality - is in direct correspondence with a monopoly. If the user is not allowed his or her freedom to choose what sites he or she wishes to visit, which apps he or she wishes to use, the entire experience becomes one void of any semblance of free will, and, personally, I feel that is a direct violation of the first amendment as it falls under the right to freedom of speech. Allow me to explain that. Freedom of speech dictates that an individual citizen has the right to openly speak their mind in public. Disallowing freedom to interact on whatever websites we may or may not want to would inherently restrict our ability to do that, as different ISPs could, and probably would, block or ban certain sites from their users. This would result in an inability to access websites where a user could, and probably does, express opinions on a multitude of things. I digress. The open internet not only discourages an internet monopoly by ISPs, but it also engages friendly discussion and camaraderie between the people of the world. Yes, it has its dangers, but trying to put a limit on something so vast...how could that ever be a good idea?
1459. Christopher Bell, Columbia, MD, 21045  
Hello! I am a young adult that has greatly benefited from equal access to the entirety of the internet growing up. Namely, in middle school and high school I became interested in learning computer programming but had no idea where to start. By doing research online

and collaborating with others through gmail and twitter, I was motivated to learn C++, Objective-C, and take STEM courses in high school. I took AP courses and decided to go into computer engineering for college, getting into my top choice school. If I hadn't had internet or the tools to research topics of interest to me, I cannot imagine how I would have learned so much about computers and attend a prestigious university.

1460. David Nwadiuko, Clarksville, MD, 21029  
Honestly, what do you really think the majority of the American people will benefit from this and is it something we have said we wanted or truly seemed we needed? \*HONESTLY\*David Nwadiuko
1461. Tanya Heidrich, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Net neutrality rules are extremely important to me and everyone I know. I urge you to protect them. Giving private companies power over the internet and our access to it is a slippery slope. Why should a company get a say in where we see and what we do, and have the ability to control our ease of access to such information? They shouldn't have the power to block or slow down websites, or give some websites advantages over others. This will only further the already painful divide between the wealthy (who will be able to afford paying for fast lanes) and the poor and/or small business owners, who won't be able to pay for those advantages and who will consequentially have a harder time succeeding. As a freelance designer, this could have serious ramifications on my business, and my ability to be found by prospective clients. As an individual human being living in this world this is also going to have serious ramifications on me – I should have the right to see and support different websites equally without some people at the top, blinded by a never ending need for more profit, deciding which sites I visit and what information I have access to. I can't believe this is even a question being asked. It's immoral. Shame on Comcast and everyone involved. You put profit over the wellbeing of everyone.
1462. Christopher Michael Rancourt, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
The internet is one of the last places people can freely create something and put it out for everyone to see without anyone trying to regulate it or tell them they can't. Please don't take the only freedom that spans the across cultural and political lines away from us.
1463. Hannah Garber, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
I support strong net neutrality rules that give people the power to choose which websites and apps are best. Internet service providers and the Federal Communications Commission should not be allowed to limit my access to the internet. I deeply care about an open internet and competition online.
1464. Shannon Weston, Baltimore, MD, 21212  
Save net neutrality. This administration cares about the forgotten man, the left behind, those trying desperately to salvage a life destroyed by previous administrations, then the way to really show that is to keep net neutrality. A truly open and free internet allows people like myself to try new avenues for starting a business and putting the shambles of our shattered lives back together. Do not kill opportunity. Do not kill freedom.
1465. Cary, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
This is an attack on our first amendment rights.
1466. Brian Carroll, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I support retaining \*AND ENFORCING\* strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. To say that this is hurting investment and development of the broadband infrastructure is a falsehood and, frankly, a craven sop to broadband lobbyists.
1467. Martin, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Please don't let net neutrality cease as it will cause many problems with smaller companies on the internet and just problems on the internet itself
1468. Isaac Baumert, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I support Internet neutrality. I despise the idea that the sites I visit may be blacklisted or slowed in favor of companies paying for their content to be the first to show up for a consumer. This removes freedom of speech from the only internet someone can access. The removal of internet neutrality would also mean small companies and organizations would have many problems with communicating to consumers and spreading their words or ideals. This ultimately could lead to a rise in the use of the dark web in favor of having to wait extra time to get to a consumers favorite website or to find a place where freedom of speech still exists.
1469. Joseph Minjae Choi, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Net Neutrality is the principle that allows consumers, NOT THE ISPs, to choose which websites and apps are best. Getting rid of Net Neutrality is fundamentally unconstitutional; by getting rid of Net Neutrality you limit the freedom of the people in a non-essential way thus infringing upon the citizens rights to have a say in what we the people want from the internet. We the people will not stand for the blatant disregard of our rights by letting the FCC take away our right to freely access the internet.
1470. Richard Dasch III, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
The proposed restoring internet freedom filing by the FCC and Chairman Pai, is everything but a bill that restores freedom. Net neutrality rules currently exist because of Internet activists like myself, or better, Aaron Schwartz, who unfortunately committed suicide after unjust lawsuits and FBI investigations. Aaron Schwartz helped us get Net Neutrality and Title II where it is today in order to solidify the internet as it is: Free, open, fair, and equal for everyone, a freedom of speech and expression, freedom of communication, access to information, and our freedom to unite online. Imagine if our current efforts were able to be blocked or censored. Is that an America you wish to live in just to cable company monopolies can make extra cash by charging for packages much like cable TV, and off of the successes of legitimate online business owners. This bill is a direct correlation to American freedoms, how the FCC views them, and the people who have already fought for these rules to be in place. In fact, Comcast helped us fight for them to be put in place during the last fight. Suddenly, they are now in favor of Ajit Pai and his proposed Net neutrality dismantling. Ajit Pai, no matter how much he says it, does not support net neutrality and is only fighting for his friends at verizon and other cable companies. Not only would this bill be the death of the internet, it would also hinder competition, cause unjust pricing, limit small businesses trying to compete, and

allow ISP's to censor, block, or slow down whoever does not pay enough, or worse, whoever, pays to have something censored, blocked, or slowed down. The most effective form of communication and political rallying, of freedom, is under fire. Many think this bill is only a remake of how they handle internet service and billing, but it is much more than a war on your pocket, it is a war on our rights as people and a blatant disregard for those rights and what we fought for only a few years ago, and it is a war on democracy itself. Myself, and all of the internet and majority of people who stand with me as internet and freedom activists demand that you stand up for the people and protect our online rights. Protect the internet the way it is. Keep it free, open, and fair. Protect us from monopolies and large corporations only in it for a quick buck they do not deserve. We already pay for the services. Protect our freedoms. Protect democracy, having our voices heard, and being able to express ourselves freely. Make no mistake, the FCC, Ajit Pai, and Trump (in his signing to let companies sell peoples information despite over 90% disapproval of doing so), are the enemies to Democracy, Freedom, and the Internet as we know it. Do not let the FCC get away with this. Stand up for the people. A government for the people, by the people. We demand you represent what we all are fighting for so much. We demand our freedoms be protected.

1471. William Maldonado, Baltimore, MD, 21218

Net Neutrality must be maintained. Not only has it allowed me to grow a business to allow me to be financially independent with the use of freely available research for my writing, but it has also allowed me to keep in contact and find friends and family that I thought were lost from my life. The only potential purpose of dissolving Net Neutrality is to allow big business to chokehold the ability of American's to use the web for personal and professional purposes. We, as constituents, do not want this. We saw this when the original bills were being posted and we stomped it down as a nation. We see this now with the current response. And we will see this in the future. Not to mention the sheer fact that when I requested internet access from my provider, I understood that I was buying unrestricted access to the world wide web. A sudden change would allow my provider to, effectively, make my understood contract null, void, and under false pretenses. Do not put this through. I, as a voter, will be watching intently to see how this goes.

1472. Lee Neighoff, Ellicott City, MD, 21043

The Internet needs to be protected as a completely open ecosystem, because that is the singular best way to promote competition in the online marketplace. Internet providers should not be allowed to discriminate data that flows through their facilities, as this stifles competition and does no good to the consumer. This means no data caps (and as a result, no zero-rating), no prioritization, and no throttling in any case apart from a site that performs illegal activities.

1473. Tausha Boyce, Baltimore, MD, 21214

The internet has always been a good source of entertainment for me. I loved being able to go online and listen to music, play games, even chat with my friends. Taking away Net Neutrality will change that. As a taxpayer and someone who pays for the internet I believe I have the right to control my own net experience. Not corporations who pay for advertisements to be prioritized. Nor should I have to pay more to keep the experience I have now. I ask that this is not changed for the sake of capitalizing on it. Please keep Net Neutrality.

1474. Matthew Alston, Columbia, MD, 21044

To Whom It May Concern: It is because of the most recent action taken by the new "chairman" of the FCC to make it allowable for ISPs to block and prioritize internet traffic that I have taken valuable time out of my life to redundantly explain to whoever is reading this that THIS IS WRONG and SHOULD STOP IMMEDIATELY. I would like to state that not allowing net neutrality is COUNTER INTUITIVE, UNPRODUCTIVE, COSTLY, and un-American. At what point is this blatant use of a government agency to lobby for corporate interests considered illegal and illegitimate?! At what point would we consider someone who is on GOVERNMENT PAYROLL, taking our TAX DOLLARS, a puppet who stands against the livelihoods of Americans? How is this within the best interests of our country? COUNTER INTUITIVE: It is no big secret that there are only a few ISPs that control our access to online resources. Should traffic be throttled, ummm, wouldn't productivity decrease, uh, lower GDP?! It isn't like EVERYONE is playing video games and watching movies all day! They do, but small businesses, non-profits, religious organizations, manufacturers all use, guess what? WHY is it that the head of a government agency is using his authority to make life for the majority of Americans more difficult? UNPRODUCTIVE: It has taken me a good half-hour or more to argue, again, we should NOT be paying more for the same thing! This is as much of an attack on this country's competitiveness as it is on anything else. Health care, Education, Telecommunications are all essential to keeping the country competitive and creating jobs. How is it that this helps anyone? How is making things more costly and slower good?! COSTLY: Umm, no one is made of money. You who are reading this probably know this too. When China and Korea and everyone else in the world is breezing by with more information and better technology, American's will be losing. We'll be paying MORE for things of lesser quality only so that we can use the same archaic technology and Billion dollar companies can continually violate our means to life, liberty, and happiness. We can't afford school anymore! We can't afford decent medical treatment! This is making life unaffordable. Between you and me, if your boss really had our interest in mind, he'd be making it easier for more ISPs and start-ups to compete. He should be fired for not doing his job. Also, it is illegal to accept gifts from the outside while you are a government employee, and his decisions, let's be honest here, are really favoring THE PEOPLE WHO ALREADY HAVE ENOUGH MONEY! What more do they want?! Please make this man's life more difficult. Sneer at him in the hallway, fill up his inbox with offers for male vitality pills ('cause I think he actually needs some and I don't enough would help), make that Reese's mug disappear, but, moreover, prevent another "ban" from transpiring. Thank you for your time! - Matt

1475. James Polivka, Baltimore, MD, 21201

I strongly support the continued classification of broadband ISPs as common carriers under Title II of Communications Act of 1934. please do not change the current regulations.

1476. Dmitriy Yarunin, Ellicott City, MD, 21042

In order to maintain the United State's competitiveness on the global market as a service economy and center of innovation, a free and open internet is required and repealing net neutrality would neuter our ability to innovate, which could lead to even further monopolization by the current major tech giants. And the argument provided by some of "fast lanes" is patently false. Under current law, all data travels at the maximum speed the infrastructure can take. You cannot increase this speed without renovating the infrastructure itself, and slowing down certain data does not allow other data to move quicker. It's just an extortion racket for ISPs to charge users even more for worse performance and limited options. TLDR; Ending net neutrality is anti-competitive and anti-consumer, only benefits a few large monopolies, "Fast-Lanes" are a falsehood and technically impossible without actually upgrading infrastructure,

and the internet doesn't work like highways or pipes. It's special.

1477. Isaiah Gao, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Strong net neutrality laws are vital for college students like myself, to ensure we have access to information online. Repealing strict regulations would inevitably lead to monopolization of online resource access, exploiting students by requiring payment to access information at usable speeds and basically turning everything into a pay-to-read online textbook. Please protect the nation's youth and upcoming alumni. These are the citizens that will grow up and lead our country. Do not put them at a disadvantage on the global intellectual market.
1478. Tho Dinh, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I advocate for net neutrality in order to keep the internet free and to prevent companies from making even more money off the working class. There shouldn't be fast lanes and a system where the internet companies get to do what they please.
1479. Hannah Moore, Baltimore, MD, 21224  
This is a gross overreach of power and shouldn't be allowed to continue. Hannah Moore
1480. Joshua Sisk, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Please keep the internet free and equal for all. I don't want different paid tiers of access, i dont want providers doing QoS, or anything of the sort. The open and equal roots of the internet were what led to so many advancements, please don't let comcast, verizon and others stifle that now! I support Net Neutrality!
1481. Angela Christman, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Preserve internet neutrality. It is abominable that the Administration is considering doing away with net neutrality. The internet absolutely should remain neutral!
1482. Josh Stone, Mount Airy, MD, 21771  
The passing of this act will not benefit the consumer in any way. Saying that this will make the Internet a free market is absolutely ignorant. The only people that will benefit is the top 1% of the US and the rich CEOs, further ruining the American system. The passing of this act will spark wide spread protest and resistance. It is clear that most Americans are against this bill and as a board representing America, and not the minority of rich CEOs, we all urge this act to be turned down. If you pass it, all trust in the US government will be lost as of how much of a bipartisan issue this is. Please, keep the net neutrality that the American people need. Josh Stone
1483. Logan Noonan, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
This proposal runs counter to free market ideals. Reversing net neutrality rules is against American values. In this country, we believe that everyone can pull themselves up by their bootstraps. Reversing net neutrality rules would undermine the ability of small businesses, who do not have the ability to pay fees for faster traffic, to compete with large, established businesses. Reversing net neutrality rules would slow innovation, allowing already-established companies like Amazon to put up further barriers to market entry against competing, small innovators. Free and open internet access has allowed our economy to flourish and unknown innovators to build empires. Allowing companies to pay for faster internet service to shut competitors out would ensure that our economy will stagnate and we will return to the era of oligarchs. I strongly oppose this proposal.
1484. Seth Whaley, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Do NOT take away our internet freedoms in the interest of the monopolies that are ISPs like Comcast, Time Warner, AT&T, etc. etc. They already have local monopolies in too many areas and charge too much for the service they provide now. Net neutrality is essential to an open internet and the experience that every citizen DESERVES!
1485. net neutrality, Baltimore, MD, 21228  
The government is a group of idiots. Net neutrality should not exist on any level. It denies the fundamental rights of the first amendment of the United States Constitution. The internet is a place for free speech and free trade. The internet is a place of freedom. YouTube has already compromised that with the complete demonetization of videos with certain subject matters. The internet no matter how disturbing, deserves to remain free. The privatization of the internet is treating the internet like it belongs to certain people. The internet is something that belongs to every human being in the entire world. The internet is called the world wide web for a reason, it belongs to every one world wide. Not the fat cats in big business, and the one percenters. The internet, much like our government should be made up of not governments, regulations, privatization, and corporate greed. The government should be made up of the people, for the people, by the people and no one but the people.
1486. Noah Allen, Halethorpe, MD, 21227  
Good Job trying to create a monopoly on web content
1487. Kyle Jose, Gwynn Oak, MD, 21207  
Net neutrality is key to the freedom of the internet. Corporations will have too much power over the way the internet is used if net neutrality laws are repealed.
1488. Ekene, Baltimore, MD, 21207  
I am staunchly in favor of net neutrality and what it means for the openness of the internet as it exists. Rolling back Title II will kill innovation, create a pay-or-play system in which only the rich get richer, and further hurt small business. If you're really in favor of economic growth for all, you will keep Title II in its current form
1489. Ava Worrell, Baltimore, MD, 21212  
I strongly oppose Mr. Pai's attempt to end net neutrality. Handing over speed control of what is a public utility to private interest for private profit is an unforgivable breach of public interest.

1490. Jacob Luco, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. As a professional network engineer, I have a healthy technical understanding of the networks that support what we call "The Internet", a term used today for what is more accurately understood as Web Content. The carrier networks which deliver this content have come to be so ubiquitous in our modern world that common people have come to view internet access as a basic necessity -- like electricity, water or other utilities. This needs to be reflected in the regulation of carriers whose companies or subsidiaries also have interest in web content production. Network operators, such as ISPs, have immense potential for controlling the content that flows through their circuits due to a plethora of technologies and protocols intended to improve efficiency of transmissions. Given this potential it is a simple matter to impede or drop traffic for one source, while guaranteeing delivery of another. When a network operations company stands to profit from web content companies they own, it is easy to make the unethical decision to impede a competitor and favor its own. This is a classic conflict of interest and must be regulated. A major trend in America currently is a decrease in cable TV subscriptions. As you are aware this is due to the increase in popularity of online video content. What most people don't realize, is that "cable TV" is delivered using internet protocol just the same as Netflix or Hulu. The cost savings of VoIP have driven telephones in the same direction. In a world where telephone, video, and data networks have coalesced into one, we need to make a simple distinction. Network operations needs to be separate from Content production and delivery. This will allow for free and open competition among Internet companies without interference from the Network that is carrying their traffic. Please consider the ramifications of the "Pay to Win" model that is being proposed. This will only further the consolidation of income and wealth to a few massive corporations, stifling innovation and growth. I trust you will make the sensible choice for public convenience and necessity. Thank you, Jacob Luco, CCNA
1491. Curtis Kennedy, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I strongly support net neutrality and oppose any effort to weaken regulations aimed at maintaining a fair and open internet. Internet service providers should continue to be regulated under Title II.
1492. Corinne Warren, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
My speech should not be throttled by the whims of an ISP.
1493. Dave Ludwikoski, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Please protect net neutrality. We're tired of big businesses getting bigger, screwing the average person, and we want our ability to use the Internet as free American citizens to be protected and not restricted. Thank you.
1494. Brian Zelip, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Dear FCC, please stop working against the citizens of the US and abroad by your anti net neutrality work. A neutral internet is fundamental to democracy, free speech, innovation, and small business. Please stop working to dismantle net neutrality.
1495. Charlotte Thompson, Baltimore, MD, 21239  
Please support Net Neutrality. Open access to all is the only fair thing to do, and if conglomerates have their way, we won't be able to access all points of view, and access will be metered out to those who could afford it. Thank you.
1496. Tara Chiu, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Protection for Net Neutrality should fall under the Constitutional protections for Freedom of Speech. Large corporations shouldn't be allowed to limit, inhibit, or plunder our voice. Guarantee our freedom!
1497. Harrison Brown, Windsor Mill, MD, 21244  
Get your hands off the internet
1498. LEE SOHNIUS, GLEN ARM, MD, 21057  
I'M A RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER WHO CURRENTLY PAYS FOR A PACKAGE THAT INCLUDES 'HI SPEED INTERNET' OVER COPPER PHONE LINES..... IN REALITY IT'S VERY SLOW....MOST TIMES.....I FEEL ALLOWING THE BIG COMPANIES MORE CONTROL OF TRAFFIC WILL ONLY ENHANCE THEIR POWER OVER A SYSTEM THAT WAS FUNDAMENTALLY DEVELOPED BY TAX DOLLARS.
1499. Emmanuel, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Net neutrality gives small businesses a level playing field on which to compete with larger companies and corporations. Please protect small businesses' most accessible path to growth and prosperity!
1500. Ari, Baltimore, MD, 21228  
Competition is the heart of innovation and fair play!
1501. Robert Johnson, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I use the internet every day of my life. I rely on its openness to be able to go where I want to go, when I want to go. Without net neutrality, companies will be able to close parts of the internet off to me.
1502. Joshua dix, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Please treat ISPs as utilities. Title 2 needs to be kept in place.
1503. Edward Brown, Baltimore, MD, 21207  
This is anti-consumerism at its worst.
1504. CARESSE PARKS, BALTIMORE, MD, 21201  
Apparently, if you don't preserve net neutrality, Google will batter and harass us all with pop-ups and comments and e-mails until they drive everyone insane.

1505. Jennifer Kunze, Baltimore, MD, 21223  
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech - and if the internet had been around in the eighteenth century, it would already be protected in the Constitution. Don't let big corporations censor the web.
1506. Robert Anderson, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
We need a free and open internet with no fast lanes for the rich. All protocols from all vendors should be given equal opportunity to reach the consumer. Innovation thrives with freedom. A common playing field is what makes America great. Even the little guys (start ups) are on a level playing-field when we keep the net neutral. Please keep the net neutral.
1507. Gordon, Baltimore, MD, 21214  
Corporations have not proven to the public that they are capable of regulating themselves so yes we do need federal guidelines to keep the internet neutral
1508. Bill Carter, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
The Internet is a public commons, not a channel of commercial activity. It must be protected as if it were a natural resource. Open and accessible to all in the same, guaranteed, and consistent manner.
1509. Chelsea Newhouse, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
Net neutrality is vital to innovation. Innovation is vital to a thriving, modern economy. When those protections are removed, the U.S. economy will be neutered, allowing others to take the lead in innovation. The big picture is that, while a small number of companies may thrive in the short-term, the long-term health and vitality of the U.S. economy will be hamstrung, and the U.S. will fall behind the rest of the developed world for the sake of shareholder profits and CEO bonuses. This is not what's best for our country.
1510. Mathew, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Net neutrality benefits ALL of us. Destroying it benefits only a very few of us, who frankly, already have tons of money and power.
1511. Christopher Heckert, Monkton, MD, 21111  
Leave Net Neutrality Alone. Without the protections of net neutrality the American people will lose the ability to make informed decisions based on the facts of reality. All we will have access to are the curated facts put forth by those companies and interests that have the pockets deep enough. It is naive at best, and criminally corrupt at worst to believe these companies will refrain from taking the opportunity you are about to hand to them when they stand to make vast sums of money from disregarding the rules they claim they will abide by with no accountability. There is already a near monopoly on which ISPs are available to consumers in any given area. Removing what few restrictions ensure these providers serve their customers would cripple not only our global image, but our ability to compete in a global economy. Limiting the a citizen's access to the objective facts is what you expect to occur in Russia, China, and North Korea, not a country that still touts itself as the herald of democracy. Listen to the citizens when they tell you they do not want you to hand over control of their lives to corporations.
1512. Manju H, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Net neutrality must be protected for the sake of small businesses and every citizen who wishes to protect their first amendment right on the web.
1513. Mark Luce, Baltimore, MD, 21207  
Stand up for net. Neutrality!
1514. Anna, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Free access to internet is integral to democracy.
1515. bruce trout, ellicott city, MD, 21042  
The net is for the benefit of users, not internet suppliers. Please leave net neutrality alone.
1516. Jeffrey Seligson, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Monopolies support only themselves. Judge Green had it right, what is required is an open system. The very companies that are trying to close the internet were created when Ma Bell was broken-up. Leave it open. I support net neutrality. V/R Jeffrey Seligson
1517. Carlton Scott, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Please don't end net neutrality. It is important to keep Title II so that all new web based companies can have equal footing.
1518. Brian Zelip, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
Dear FCC: Please work for the interests of the people of the United States of America, not the interests of greedy corporations.
1519. maureen kenny, catonsville, MD, 21228  
please respect net neutrality. maintain an open internet.
1520. Brian Roache, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Please keep the Internet open and neutral. Net Neutrality should be protected not abolished. Leave Net Neutrality alone!
1521. Aravind Srinivasan, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
The Internet is a fundamental utility that should be openly available to all, regardless of income level.
1522. Chelsea, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
The internet is an additional avenue for an individual's voice to be expressed and heard. Net neutrality maintains the freedom of access to this vital service, and preserves fundamental expression.

1523. Alicia Catlos, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
Net neutrality is an imperative. The internet must stay open to all with no limitations!
1524. George Ennis, Hudson III, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
I am writing to express my concern over the continuance of net neutrality. I believe it is a vital facet of everyday life in today's digital society.
1525. chris lavoie, baltimore, MD, 21211  
Net neutrality is a quality of life issue. The more it is protected as a free and open media for communication and business the more we will all benefit in real ways. Or it can be sold off to large corporations for them benefit by siphoning money from individuals and small businesses. Please act on behalf of the majority who want a free and open internet.
1526. Kris Sidhwani, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Please keep net neutrality. I want my children and future generations to have the same access to the internet as I benefit from today.
1527. concerned citizen, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
What happened to freedom of expression, right to happiness and privacy. This will negetate the foundation of our nation that we have decades been building upon.
1528. Craig Thomas, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
To appeal to your interests, the decision to have the internet remain a free and open source of communication and trading will keep us consumers in your favor. Any form of censorship or control through companies will turn us consumers against the FCC and all companies involved. Thank you and please protect net neutrality.
1529. Robert N. Hoode, Baltimore, MD, 21250  
The internet is a tool by the people and for the people. It should not be in the hands of commercial companies. The very idea of limiting net neutrality defies the First Amendment. By giving corporations control of the internet, the accessibility of new and important ideas will be restricted.
1530. Carol Vito, Baltimore, MD, 21244  
Freedom of Speech is important to me. People of the United States of America deserve free and open internet. Please protect net neutrality. Thank you.
1531. Graham Trent, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
The free and open internet is essential to freedom of speech, equal exchange of ideas, and collaboration. We should maintain net neutrality and not allow corporations to profit from selecting who wins and loses online.
1532. Harry Parks, Upperco, MD, 21155  
Please do not let net neutrality be compromised by "Big Business" interests... the Constitutional Right to free speech of every citizen will be endangered by the loss of such neutrality and I believe that the consequences would be far reaching and tragic.
1533. Joyce Lammlein, PHOENIX, MD, 21131  
I am very concerned about net neutrality. I do not want unwanted ads. I do not want my ISP to control content.
1534. Harvey Neuman, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Please keep Net Neutrality.Arts and entertainment need to have room to grow. Small businesses and innovators needyour protection. Information and commerce need to grow and spread without fear of restraint. Please keep the internet protected and democratic.
1535. Kendall King, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
The internet is the great equalizer. Keep it free and independent. I or anybody else with full internet access can change their lives for the better.
1536. Christine Desmond, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
About the only thing worth preserving about the internet for this (registered and active voter) senior citizen is the knowledge that access to it is not owned by the excessive-profit-seeking corporations that are rapidly taking over the rest of the country,
1537. John Wise, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
So, exactly how much do we have to pay for our comments to be considered "substantive"? Chairman Pai has made it clear that his corporate sponsors' more "substantive" input carries far more weight than the millions of Americans who oppose the repeal of Title II. Prior to Title II, Internet service providers were providing minimal services, exorbitant costs, and lousy support, to few Americans. After Title II, the number of Americans "online" grew exponentially, with better download speeds, but still with exorbitant rates & lousy support. Contrary to Chairman Pai's statements, ISPs were, and still are, willing to invest in new technologies and expansion, regardless of Title II.Chairman Pai's proposed repeal of Title II will once again allow a handful of monopoly ISPs to control American's access to content, while price gouging consumers and businesses alike. For the record, DSL is not considered broadband, and hasn't been for many years now, and most Americans still only have one broadband provider to "choose" from, if they have one at all.Who stands to benefit from the repeal other than the monopoly ISPs and Chairman Pai, who's clearly, and very blatantly, angling for his cushy post-FCC job with one of those monopoly ISPs?
1538. Pamela Donohue, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
I support strong net neutrality as enforced by Title II oversight of ISPs and strongly disagree with Ajit Pai's plan to repeal Title II.
1539. T.R. Remsen, Baltimore, MD, 21218

I support net neutrality as protected under FCC Chairman Wheeler via classification of the Internet under Title 2. I urge the FCC and the federal government to continue to protect the Internet by leaving the Title 2 classification in place. I am a professional engineer currently working in the U.S. technology industry. The strong protections of net neutrality provided under Chairman Wheeler are critical to protect the future of choice and innovation in the U.S.. If you care about the future of the information economy in the U.S., then you will not change this important ruling. Much like the telephone, the Internet is the de-facto communications method of our time. Just as Title 2 protected the telephone from undue influence by the telecom companies, it is just as important if not more so to protect the Internet. ISPs are providers of service - not gatekeepers. They are delivering a carrier service. If they choose to deliver or prioritize anything else, then they should do it upon a LEVEL playing field - protected by Title 2 and the net neutrality rules as currently implemented. This shamefully misnamed "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal is deceptive and hopelessly misguided. NOT A SINGLE DEGREED ENGINEER I know supports removing these protections. Chairman Pai should seriously reassess his position, perhaps get out of his office, and venture to one or two engineering schools or corporations, and speak with the technical professionals who deal with this technology on a daily basis. I'm sure he will find an overwhelming array of strong opinions, similar to this one, supporting keeping the Internet free and open (and against his push for "Restoring Internet Freedom"). Thank you.

1540. Sarah Parkinson, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I'm a professor focused on international security and Middle East politics. My ability to do my research relies upon internet that will connect me to various overseas media sources and that allows me to communicate with professional contacts (via services such as Skype). The end of net neutrality might well mean that I can no longer access the materials I need for my research; it would also give me fewer options in the classroom. The end of net neutrality could also make it more expensive or unfeasible to keep in contact with my students when they are overseas, which would be detrimental to their education and potentially dangerous for those working in risky settings. My ISP is not where I get information services. My email is not from my ISP, nor is my cloud storage. In addition to my work, I use the internet to stream TV and movies on Netflix and Hulu, to keep in touch with friends and family, to shop online, and to access media from all over the world. I should have equal access to all of them. The end of net neutrality would not give me more choice through competition. If I wanted to switch ISPs, I would probably have to move buildings, since certain ISPs have monopolies in rental and condo buildings. I would have to buy new equipment and lose time from my research and students. It's simply not feasible. Rolling back net neutrality would leave me with fewer choices, not more. Please retain net neutrality. Sarah Parkinson
1541. Joan Orcutt, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
We need net neutrality to ensure that all consumers have equal access to whatever content they choose and not dependent on the fees they pay to use the internet!
1542. Eveline Tapp, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Net neutrality is essential for both conservative and liberal points of view and freedom of speech and information.
1543. Peter Vida, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Money grabbers
1544. Naomi Heiligman, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Access to websites shouldn't be based on who has the most money, the Internet should be accessible to everyone.
1545. Alexandra Clay, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Net neutrality is a huge basis for freedom in America. Without it small businesses will fail, impoverished families will lose the ability to freely apply for jobs and reestablish themselves, and America will no longer be the leader of the free world. I stand with net neutrality and oppose your efforts to destroy it.
1546. Alexander Higgins, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
I'm an independent game developer that depends upon net neutrality for his work and the work of his peers to reach an audience. Ending net neutrality would stifle entire online subcultures and creative communities.
1547. Randall Brunecz, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
An open internet is one of the greatest tools we have in today's world. Without it, platforms such as youtube, twitch, and various others may never have existed. Leaving the internet open allows for more creativity, something that should never, EVER, be stifled.
1548. Mars Gralia, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I strongly recommend net neutrality be retained. I believe net neutrality will result in more national creativity and individual freedom. Focused interests, including Internet Service Providers, should not have the ability to censor my access to information those parties do not provide. The internet into my home should be no more restricted than is vehicular traffic.
1549. Zach Burnett, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Any person informed on the issues who opposes net neutrality is working against humanity as a whole for purely selfish reasons.
1550. Debra MacKay, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
This is about freedom for individual people.
1551. Lesley L, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
The internet is for the PEOPLE, not corporations!
1552. Jim Russell, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I believe there is already a very competitive environment in network providers. Maintaining a robust network infrastructure ready for new technology cost money. The latest internet hot stocks like Amazon, Netflix, Google or Apple shouldn't be allowed to ride free.
1553. Chuck Schlemm, Ellicott City, MD, 21043

Net Neutrality assures a level playing field for everyone; not special treatment for those who can afford it, leading to restricted service for those unable to pay the price. ISPs are well compensated for the services they provide; they do not need to profit further by selling my meta-data to the highest bidder.

1554. Britta Kilbourn , Baltimore , MD, 21207  
Net neutrality is important! Leave it alone!
1555. john smith, baltimore , MD, 21201  
I didn't lose a leg fighting for freedom, keep the internet open.
1556. Skye Robbins, baltimore, MD, 21218  
net neutrality in this day and age is essential for the continuation of free speech and the flow of information from all people.
1557. Tim O'Brien, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Even when we may be personally opposed, we must protect each person's right to free and unabridged speech. Net Neutrality IS free speech!
1558. Simon Jeffries, Baltimore, MD, 21213  
We can't hand the internet over to the corporate Giants. They're going to make us pay for everything and be able to control the content. What a sad day it will be for free speech.
1559. Edgar Courtemanch, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Choice always beats no choice. Keep it open!
1560. Bryan Martin, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
Do not gut net neutrality! Protect citizens from big cable companies having more power and controlling the internet. They already have monopolies in areas for high speed internet and the government is doing nothing to stop it. Net neutrality should apply to cell phone providers too.
1561. Heather Ravlin, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Greetings, I am extremely concerned to see Net Neutrality preserved. There is a substantial and growing inequity between extremely wealthy and not wealthy corporations and individuals. The net has been an equalizer, allowing the voices of those without wealth to be heard. So it is important to free speech. Also small startup businesses need it to get a toe hold. And even more important, it allows internet users the choice of what to see and do on line. Thanks for your consideration.
1562. Kai Uecker, Clarksville, MD, 21029  
Piss off and leave my internet alone. Big companies have no right to get involved in what I want to watch and for christ's sake please leave Twitch alone.
1563. Kristen, ellicott city, MD, 21042  
All of Americans agree that the first amendment is one of the most important ones. We have had the opportunity to start new on a clean slate to truly have freedom of speech with the internet. Why take that away? There is no justifiable reason to hand over our first amendment rights to commercial money driven companies, that have no interest in our freedom of speech. The internet is not some mysterious place that the first amendment does not apply. More now than ever our voices are heard MORE online than in real life. To be heard, we need the internet to fully endorse the first amendment.
1564. Richard Im, Kate Im, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Freedom of the internet leads to freedom to information and needs to remain free from corporate abuse.
1565. Robin Kessler, BALTIMORE, MD, 21229  
We will continue to support our constitutional rights to facts, free press, truth, and oppose fake news
1566. Bill Rakowski, Baltimore, MD, 21213  
Don't let our internet service go the way of our educational system. As the leading industrial nation it's citizens deserve quality internet service.
1567. Michael Klima, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Net neutrality will keep open the gates for the first amendment.
1568. Pat Parran, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
This is too important to allow greedy politicians and wealthy corporations to destroy! It is a major freedom of this country.
1569. Nancy Hay, Cockeysville, MD, 21030  
Impossible that this could be proposed in America, the land of the free!
1570. Matthew Shortess, Glyndon, MD, 21136  
The laws regarding Net Neutrality are not, as of yet, perfect. This is well-known. However, to throw them out at this stage of the developing Internet and replace them with vague promises and as-yet empty words is a foolhardy mistake that can only lead to widespread censorship of ideas, businesses, and competition. It has repeatedly been proven that Comcast and AT&T are willing to lie and redirect traffic to suppress ideas they disagree with, most prominently in this specific case. Please do not do repeal the laws that protect us from this misuse of a fundamental tool of human existence. Please prove that you are, in fact, better than the Internet believes you to be, and remind the world that politicians are capable of making the correct choices even when faced with the temptation to make incorrect ones for a profit.

1571. Gail Frantz, Reisterstown, MD, 21136  
Keep the FCC's Open Internet Rules. They must be protected for citizens such as myself. We need the freedom to move over the internet without arbitrary blocking from self serving companies. lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Netflix, FaceTime, and the popular game League of Legends have been negatively affected by larger corporations. Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts both consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. If this practice is allowed startups and small businesses will not be able to afford these fees. This will affect their ability to compete. Do not kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to create a more difficult process to access political speech that they don't like. Fees will be charged for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but there is nothing to keep Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, to simply ignore me and millions of other American's concerns. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!
1572. Joslyn Wood, Baltimore, MD, 21213  
Leave my internet alone! Do not let corporate interests control what I see or participate in on the internet. No more letting business charge me more for less!!!
1573. Meghan Hazer, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Yes - this is a standard template - but lately our government has been proposing so many ridiculous things - I'm out of time to be creative today. I read and completely support the below statement. Right now especially- we don't need anything to impede access to information and the giants asking for this are not trust-worthy. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!
1574. Andrew Grossman, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
As a professional software developer, a US citizen, and citizen of the world, the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I STRONGLY urge you to protect them. I believe that it is dangerous, predatory, and anti-democratic for ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Nor is it the time to allow giant telecoms to squeeze small businesses and consumers. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you.
1575. Erin Pryce, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Comcast and Verizon have stolen enough of people's money with made up fees and ending "promotions." They don't need or deserve this kind of control.
1576. Richard Pressman, Gwynn Oak, MD, 21207  
Free speech means free speech!
1577. Janice Reddinger, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
INTERNET PROVIDERS SHOULD NOT BE ABLE TO CONTROL WHAT THE CONSUMER SEES AND DOES ONLINE.

PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY.

1578. John Healy, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
Keep the internet the way it is now; keep net neutrality
1579. Jacqueline Heilman, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Please support net neutrality. This is what separates us from China, Russia, etc. This is America. Freedom of Speech is essential to our democracy. Which is I guess why net neutrality is being targeted right now by this administration. Maybe you support the current president and political climate of information suppression - that is your right. But at some point in the future, the shoe will be on the other foot, and net neutrality may be important to you at that point. Please think ahead to what is best for our nation and not just whatever party is currently in power. Please take the long view on this issue, and others.
1580. John Hamilton, Columbia, MD, 21044  
DON'T let the providers control the content of the Internet, so they can charge EXTRA.
1581. Millicent Cohn, Sparks Glencoe, MD, 21152  
The internet was intended to be an information source available to ALL, Equally!!! Please keep the best interest of all Americans at the forefront of your consideration. Thank you Millie Cohn
1582. Sharon Seligson, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
As a voting retiree, I spend a lot of time enjoying myself on all types of websites, and I enjoy the speed of my wanderings. If you change that just one little bit, I will do my best to get you defeated.
1583. Lisa Goldstein, Woodstock, MD, 21163  
My Husband has had a small business for over 30 years that serves specifically small businesses in the greater Baltimore MD and DC area. He and his clients and those they serve would be effected by this rule. They are business people from all walks of life: lawyers, Vets, doctors, artist, construction companies, home improvement companies, bed and breakfast, clock and watch Repair, wine sellers, packaging company, editors, home offices of all kinds and so many more. He has built his business by word of mouth. They all have websites he has created. He maintains their computer net works. Read the letter that came with petition but remember how many people this will effect. This is just one business in the MD/DC/VA/PA area but there are many like my husband. Families that rely on the income by serving their community. If the small business doesn't have money to live on they will not be spending money on big company products. ¯\\_(ツ)\_/¯One relies on the other. Denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!
1584. Fleet Van Riper, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
Ending Net Neutrality is a way of ending, eroding, warping, controlling, limiting and many other whatevering free speech. Ending Net Neutrality is anti-American
1585. Lynn Davis, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Don't further restrict or rescind the FCC's Open Internet Rules - please resist the influence of the powerful (Verizon, AT&T, etc.) to further limit the power and freedom of citizens to have access to information that may not be in their best interest/profits. And this plea is from a person who owns stock and mutual funds with holdings in those companies. Net neutrality/freedom of access must not be sacrificed in the quest for additional power and profit by the already powerful, profitable, and influential!!!
1586. Nathaniel Leon, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Net neutrality is critical to the free flow of information. The internet is a utility. As such it should be designed and controlled such that all data that pass through it is treated equally with total regard to source or content. Do not allow companies to determine what goes through their data lines. Niel
1587. David Chavis, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Keep the internet neutral. Let's not go further down the toilet as a democracy.
1588. Richard Pressman, Gwynn Oak, MD, 21207  
The internet has become an integral part of daily life - like other utilities. It should not be controlled by an oligopoly or monopoly. R. Pressman
1589. Elizabeth Dean, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Please maintain net neutrality to protect the rights and freedom of Americans. It is unethical to let otherwise accessible information and services be gated for reasons of profit.
1590. Travis Amtower, Columbia, MD, 21044  
You really think that this will help consumers? You really think that this will help you stay in office? You really need a reality check if you think that you do not answer to the citizens of this country but to the corporations. Getting rid of net neutrality is not going to help anyone in the majority of the United States.
1591. Alexander Snowden, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Net Neutrality is just common sense, don't get rid of common sense. Also this would give us something similar to North Korea's Intranet. I don't want to be North Korea. Please do not make this mistake.
1592. Mari, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Y'all buncha old ass faggots, kill yallselves.

1593. Shane , Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Because what I do with the internet shouldn't be decided by companies or a government.
1594. Thomas Wartzack, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Getting rid of net neutrality is a slippery slope that will lead to a stagnation of creativity and innovation. Without a free and open internet, the United States will lose what has allowed so many great businesses to start and thrive.
1595. Christine Kim, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
It matters because the internet is not for your own selfish personal gains. It is free range and allows others to expand.
1596. , Catonsville, MD, 21228  
This is a government of the people, by the people, and for the people; so listen to the actual people and not corporations.
1597. Blair Dettmer, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I am a freelance artist and rely on easy and affordable access to the internet for my income. Do not take away my well being.
1598. Tyler Johnson, Gwynn Oak, MD, 21207  
I beg of you, PLEASE do not alter the internet in any way shape or form.... you can only make things much worse by passing these clearly misleading net neutrality rules. People understand what the real reason for these changes are and if you all go thru with it then you'll just receive immense backlash for it. Save yourselves the trouble
1599. Carolyn Shayte, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Internet has become almost a necessity in our current society and so I believe everyone should have free and high speed access to the internet. It should not be for companies to profit off of.
1600. Nwamaka Ejiogu, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I'm a theater major with specialization in design. so i'm constantly online researching ideas for projects and shows. i need to be able to pull up pictures articles and info on the fly. so a slow internet would make my already hectic life even more crazy.
1601. Dai , Baltimore, MD, 21216  
Because the net should be free for people to use as they please. Not free for only a select few that have the ability to pay for "premium access" or something like that. Because we all know that's what's going to happen and frankly that isn't fair. The net has been an open space for people to voice their opinions, create and share so many forms of art, and keep connected with people many, many miles away. The last thing anyone needs is some company regulating what we can and can't access. That pretty much defeats the purpose of free speech alone. This whole issue really shouldn't be a thing. There are far more important things to worry about than what some big name companies want to do to get even more money in their pockets. I for one, wouldn't want to lose the ability to make people smile through my art, nor would I like it if I lost the ability to contact online friends. "If it isn't broken, DON'T FIX IT!" This goes for Net Neutrality as well. Leave it be and let people enjoy having the chance to be heard. That's what this country is about, right?
1602. Tyler Beard, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
In no way shape or form should the cable companies be allowed to control the content I would be able to consume or provide through the internet. They will take advantage of any regulations taken away in the current state of net neutrality and then it will take years to fight in court all the while the everyday person suffers for it. These corporations in particular care about nothing but profit margins.
1603. Scott Moore, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I support net neutrality. When the fair market can't create a favorable outcome by itself, it is the government's job to step in and help. Utilities have always fit into this category. The infrastructure required to offer service makes it impossible for competition to enter the market and we're left with only a small handful of nearly identical options. Internet access is exactly the same as electricity or water in this way. With so few options available for ISPs and no chance of new competition entering the market, providers will be able to do whatever they want to push around customers and small businesses and we will have no leverage to stop them. In this modern age, a person or business can't choose not to use the internet any more than they could choose not to use electricity. Net neutrality is the only tool we have that will allow the internet to continue to function.
1604. Sarah , Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Because only an idiot would think it isn't.
1605. Jasmine Harris, Baltimore, MD, 21223  
Net Neutrality is extremely important to me, as an active artist and a lover of the internet, it is unjust to force everyone to pay more to access areas that has already been accessible for a very long time, so much is already being pulled out of us for just about everything around us, yet it's not enough for us to at least keep something, even if it's just one thing. I like the net as it, and certainly don't want to have it change and pay more o too of everything else I am being made to pay for in life. For the better of me all others who enjoy, rely and have use for the internet, please do not take it away from everyone, that includes yourselves.
1606. Lonnie Jackson, Baltimore, MD, 21239  
net neutrality is important so people don't have to pay more to providers just be treted like a person.
1607. Christina Yang, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Net neutrality is absolutely vital to me because, as an artist and an avid participant in online communities, the internet is a free place where I can share my work and appreciate that of others. The internet is also a primary source of information for my school work, news, planning for my future (I'm a student), and other miscellaneous questions that I have throughout the day. Net neutrality allows voices from all corners to be heard and, consequentially, for me to become a well-rounded person who can view any given issue or topic from all sides.

1608. Liz , Catonsville, MD, 21228  
You serious?
1609. Lucy H., Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I don't want me and others who are disadvantaged to have to pay to access certain sites. It should be one flat rate for internet, no matter what sites you access. If net neutrality was striked down, then information would be less accessible.
1610. Sara , Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Everyone should have fair and equal access to the internet.
1611. Jordan Officer, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
The loss of net neutrality makes the rich richer at the easy expense of the poor. It's just a hugely shitty thing to do. Stop them. Please.
1612. Jonathan Miller, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
You do not have the right to censor the internet or sell it to cable companies. You know that the overwhelming majority of the people are completely and totally against you, as usual. You are in office, representing us. Do your jobs and represent the people, or face the consequences.
1613. David Mundschenk, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Support net neutrality. For the sake of the poor. The kinds of policies companies want to implement to hamper free and open access to the internet are the way we end up with an ill informed and uninterested electorate. An America where only the wealthy have open access to information is an America that's truly lost its grounding in the ideals of the founding fathers. Many in Congress don't seem to understand the gravity of this issue and I'm hoping you won't be one of them. If we are to prosper as a nation we will need these kinds of freedoms to enable our citizens to flourish in a future economy where information and intelligence are more important than physical labor ability. If we don't do something to ensure that access to free information is obligatory, I can assure you that your children and your children's children will be living in a land devoid of purpose and left behind. Please, even if you can't convince others to agree, keep steadfast and don't allow yourself to become complacent in the present on an issue that will ripple through generations.
1614. Kyle Jose, Gwynn Oak, MD, 21207  
The internet should remain an open ended service in which internet providers may not interfere with how quickly sites are displayed.
1615. Richard Thomas, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Freedom isn't just an ideal, it is a resource. An attack on net neutrality is an attack on freedom, an act of robbery against the free peoples of The Earth. Extremely rich companies and conglomerates continue to prey upon those with less resources than them, attempting to assure their dominance in the world, and the internet is only the latest theater on which this battle is playing out. If you choose to ignore these petitions, you will find yourself on the wrong side of History, on the wrong side of the battle for the freedom of humanity, and people will suffer for it. Take a stand, don't be complicit in throwing away the freedom of your fellow countrymen.
1616. Bryce Blair, Columbia, MD, 21044  
America is about freedom...do you job and cut the greed people..
1617. Nathan Kesavan, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Since when do big businesses get to control the freedom of the people. If you think this is a good idea, then you are not fit to represent the people.
1618. John Pino, Columbia, MD, 21044  
Broadband access is a telecommunications service, and ISPs often operate as geographic monopolies, including in areas where I have lived. This harms consumers and leaves them with no choices. Broadband should be regulated as a Title II service.
1619. Jon Patton, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
Like a majority of Americans, I support maintaining the existing protections against internet service providers being the arbitrator of informational priority on the internet. I am also a veteran and a computer science student. I have watched many lawmakers issue statements that pervert the concept of "net neutrality" -- the notion that all data, regardless of the source, is treated equally -- under the guise of being against "1930's" regulations that were put in place to regulate a prior technology, telephone lines, that was deemed necessary for daily life. Internet service providers have profited from public funds intended to upgrade their infrastructure and remain competitive in the world. When they elected to violate long-standing principals and discriminatorily thottle data, harming consumers and businesses, regulations were put in place to protect those principals. Now they seek to overturn regulations that were instituted precisely because they have proven to be bad actors. This is the textbook definition of a reason for regulations to exist. The FCC should maintain these protections for the customers. The FCC should heed the warnings of the litany of people who use the internet on a daily basis for their business and protect the existing norm of net neutrality. The FCC should listen to the financial industry's warnings that this will have a destabilizing effect. The FCC should listen to the consensus experts who know and understand how the internet actually works who warn against lifting these regulations. The FCC should listen to the majority of citizens that have consistently shown through polls and comments that they support net neutrality. In fact, it appears that the FCC will have to try rather hard to locate citizens and companies who support the internet service providers' tack that the regulations are somehow harmful to consumers.
1620. Julianne Bartholomew, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Please keep the internet free. Do not get rid of net neutrality. Thank you!
1621. Aaron Brewer, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
It's hard to believe how far we've come since the 1990's "visions" of the internet by AT&T, the youtube videos are a surprising look into the unimaginative corporate minimall they thought they could build. They believed that they could stake their claim, carve up our ability to communicate, and set up toll booths at as many borders as possible. They refused to even use the word "Internet!" back then, because

it was a word they couldn't trademark. I'm sure these comments mean nothing to the chairpeople of this institution, but as a web developer who grew up with these walls already torn down, you invite disaster by imprisoning the United States in a non-neutral region. I'm sure your wallets will get fatter, and you'll have a wonderful golden parachute into retirement, but you will forever be known as some of the worst representatives of the people for generations to come.

1622. Saurabh Khatiwada, Windsor Mill, MD, 21244  
Please protect the net neutrality currently in place. I strongly support the internet being neutral where quality content is dictated through the free market as opposed to ISPs.
1623. Charlotte Brooks, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
As a private and small business user of the internet, I need the FCC to uphold all Title 11 protections for internet access, privacy, and neutrality for all users. Please vote against any changes to the protections already in place. Thank you.
1624. Leon Capelleon, Parkland, MD, 21218  
Net Neutrality is essential to the American people and must remain intact. Revoking or inhibiting Net Neutrality is a selfish attack on the American people who you are (supposed to be) serving
1625. Erik Tollerud, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
Net Neutrality is a crucial pillar of today's economy and that most American of values: the free exchange of ideas. Breaking it provides content providers a power to tilt the economics of the world's greatest information exchange to their own ends, which almost are unlikely to align with consumers, the nation, or the wider world. It also gives them the power to control interstate communication in a way never-before possible. You, the FCC commissioners, swore an oath to the constitution when you took this office, and I ask that you take your part in ensuring Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity by maintaining strong net neutrality.
1626. Harsh Kalra, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
We strongly support net neutrality as enforced by Title II. It is incomprehensible that we are re-visiting this issue.
1627. aaron springer, baltimore, MD, 21202  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 classification. Net neutrality is unenforceable under title one as exemplified by Verizon suit against the FCC in 2010 for the former net neutrality rules
1628. Calvin Mao, ellicott city, MD, 21042  
In 2015, we established that internet services should be classified as telecommunications and therefore be banned from the unlawful throttling and being paid to allow through traffic in the name of democracy. Not only did this rule protect the American consumer, it also maintained the level playing field for all those who created any service that relied on internet services; the very playing field that enabled the explosive growth of the American economy within the last eighteen years. The very playing field that gave us internet giants that have created so much value in our lives. The same field, that enables entrepreneurs to establish their businesses at a competitive rate compared to their contemporaries. I highly encourage the honorable Chairman Ajit Pai to reconsider his position on Net Neutrality and do what is best in the interests of the American people. I highly encourage him to examine the effects of internet fast lanes, and any sort of price manipulation, and see that there is no benefit to the American people. Thank you,
1629. Harry Reinhardt, Mount Airy, MD, 21771  
Comcast holds a monopoly where I live and refuses service to a mile stretch of road. They have been contacted multiple times over the past year by me alone (not including any of my neighbors) Verizon is not allowed in, neither is any other service... yet Comcast has no desire to bring access to our neighborhood.
1630. Katelyn Pearson, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I, Katelyn Pearson, am currently in high school and I have my whole life in front of me. In this life, my goal is to change lives through my research. With the use of broadband internet access, I am able to browse an abundance of resources, including scientific journals and the contact information of experts, that allows the research I work on to be possible. When it has reached an acceptable point, I wish to share what I have discovered with the world with the use of the internet to make it available for all people. If net neutrality is revoked, then my and others' research will have an increased chance to reach fewer people, possibly those who will need it. This is not merely a matter of entertainment, but a topic which may prevent people from accessing vital information needed to improve or save lives. This is just a single reason it is imperative for net neutrality to stay intact and continue to be protected by the FCC without fail.
1631. Chad Blatchley, Reisterstown, MD, 21136  
Verizon Wireless has been intentionally blocking the use of Netflix since July 26, 2017. Cannot view or use Netflix streaming content at all on both Verizon Wireless MiFi Jetpack routers, or on any of our households smartphone hot spot wireless internet access. Other streaming content is still usable such as Verizon's own Go90 streaming service, and Amazon Prime streaming service. Netflix has been specifically singled out by Verizon Wireless and blocked. Netflix worked without any issues or problems prior to July 26, 2017. Verizon Wireless has been our only source of internet access as cable, DSL and other wireless carriers do not offer or provide service to my neighborhood. Furthermore I am disabled and home/bed bound and rely heavily on access to the internet for business and entertainment. I had depended almost solely on Netflix for access to movies and shows and no longer can use my paid Netflix service. This is not a throttling issue as Netflix streaming content remains unusable since July 26, 2017. This practice is deceptive, unethical and needs to stop!! Thank you sincerely for your anticipated help in this matter. This affects my home and at least two others in my area.
1632. White Gibson, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Please retain classification of Internet Service Providers under Title 2. Net neutrality is an important principal and I think it should be protected.
1633. Issa, Baltimore, MD, 21229  
Taking away net neutrality is like taking away freedom of speech and replacing it with freedom to do as I say. Plus, do Congressmen

and women really want ISP's in control of sensitive information? To possibly sell to the highest bidder? Like a terrorist organization?

1634. Kimberly Sheibley, Columbia, MD, 21044  
No one should be allowed to tell me what I can or cannot freely and quickly access on the Internet. Net neutrality is an American freedom that symbolizes our great democracy.
1635. Ada S , Upperco, MD, 21155  
Net neutrality needs to be protected. We live in a rural area where, if net neutrality were abolished, our normal speed internet would be prohibitively expensive for us, which would make it impossible for my dad to work from home.
1636. Michael Northrup, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I believe that any control of the internet would impair free speech and equal access to information.
1637. Matt Closson, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
Net Nuetrality is important! We as a nation should have the minimum amount of integrity, and keep one of our great tools as it is: the internet. Please don't disappoint millions of Americans. Greatness shouldn't fall due to money.
1638. Daniel Ritschel, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Keep Title II Classification for the internet!
1639. Cameron Wilkin Thomas, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
As an American citizen, I have always enjoyed the freedom that i have living in this country. The possibility of starting my own business, the options i have for education, and all the plethora of other things this country offers. However, it seems with the removal of net neutrality, that freedom is diminished. Lets say i want to start my own business, i buy a store and start selling home made bread from there. However, a Panera bread down the street has their employees wait outside my shop to direct people into their shop instead. I go out of business, and lose all the money i invested into creating a wholesome, local business. This is the same concept that Net Neutrality protects us from, only in an online sense. Removing Net Neutrality from the internet will do harm to many citizens who want to start businesses and instead favor large business who can pay more to the ISPs. We are already losing small businesses all throughout America at an alarming rate. We can't let this happen on the internet as well. Please, reconsider your stance on removing Net Neutrality. Almost every person in America stands together on the stance that WE WANT NET NEUTRALITY. If the government doesn't represent the American people, then whats the point... Thank you for your time, i do hope you make the right choice, and represent the peoples interests. And not those of Corporate entities.
1640. David Wysocki, Baltimore, MD, 21217  
I stand in support of Net Neutrality and believe that it is the only thing keeping the internet free and open. I urge the FCC to favor the interests of the people who use the internet over the interests of corporations who want to make money by limiting its access.
1641. Joseph Green, Hunt Valley, MD, 21031  
Net neutrality is vital in order allow for innovation which will come through the democratized access to bandwidth. Service providers have repeatedly already proven that they cannot be trusted to either innovate or apply policy to traffic in an unbiased manner.
1642. Robert Thornton, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I am against Proceeding 17-108. It is against everything that Net Neutrality stands for. The current rules are fair for all and nullifying them would be a great injustice to start-ups and the great majority of ordinary Americans.
1643. Brian Green, Phoenix, MD, 21131  
The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Pai's plan to damage the future of the internet. Monopolistic telecom giants will not act in the interest of the American people if they can engage in data discrimination and other abuses. In my location there are no more than two viable options for ISP, so "market forces" aren't going to keep these abuses in check. I urge you to keep Title II net neutrality in place, and safeguard Internet users like me. Thank you very much, and enjoy reading the remaining 22 million comments...
1644. Kevin OMalley, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
As a 25 year network engineer, the planned removal of internet providers from common criteria classification is a TERRIBLE consumer hostile act. Rolling back Title II and ending net neutrality will allow monopoly behavior from cable and broadband providers, and will allow wireless providers to collude to limit access and raise prices. I have seen first hand what Verizon, Comcast and AT&T will do when they are freed of regulations, they will stifle innovation, and monetize free access to shared infrastructure, divide the access market between themselves and stop real competition. The FCC should be enforcing Lifeline access, Universal Service Fund rural connectivity and permitting municipal and google style innovations, not allowing a few large companies to tell customers what they want. The internet thrived and became the most important source of information for Americans DESPITE the large companies who are now trying to throttle free traffic with tiered service swim lanes. These customers get easements and cut thru access on private and public land. They are given utility monopoly benefits, have shown their willingness to collude when not monitored and should be treated as utilities.
1645. Janelle Wizda, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
Please keep the internet as is. Do not let big money corporations take control over who sees what and who controls the flow. KEEP THE INTERNET FREE!
1646. RICHARD ABRAMS, COLUMBIA, MD, 21044  
No fast lanes / slow lanes for American internet-using citizens. Please do not reverse the currently existing net neutrality regulations enacted in 2015. Thanks.
1647. Pete Lins, Catonsville, MD, 21228

Net neutrality must be enforced, not eroded. Taxpayers have no reason to trust the large phone/internet companies and we should not be forced to do so.

1648. peter searson, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
I want to see net neutrality maintained. I do not want companies to have the ability to determine how I use the internet, for example, by slowing down access to competitors sites. Companies should rely on providing a better service, and not be allowed to bully customers into using their product.
1649. paul lamari, Ellicott city, MD, 21043  
I disagree with this regulation. Internet neutrality must be preserved, and any attempt to negatively impact that principle must be thwarted. Internet service providers have demonstrated time and again that they cannot be trusted to make the determination of legality or permissibility, and will ultimately act in a self interested manner.
1650. Elizabeth Pesar, Phoenix, MD, 21131  
The Internet will never work as well as it does when all Web traffic is treated the same. Keep net neutrality!
1651. Geoff Kramer, Lutherville Timonium, MD, 21093  
I vehemently condemn Ajit Pai's plan to remove Title II status for ISPs. ISPs already price-gouge their consumers, and they will do so even more if Title II is removed. Having over 98% of input from non-spam sources in favor of keeping Title II should make Mr. Pai's next course of action clear; to keep the regulations in place.
1652. Dylan O'Connell, Reisterstown, MD, 21136  
Please do not change the current standards. The changes proposed would hurt everyone but the ISP companies.
1653. Eric Lowe, BALTIMORE, MD, 21202  
It is imperative that net neutrality maintained. Efforts to change it's legal grounding are transparent attempts to de-regulate the industry which will inevitably be harmful to the American public. Do not cave to special interests. Net neutrality is, and should be, for the greater good of all Americans.
1654. Jacek Kalmus, Sparks, MD, 21152  
I am against the 17-108 proposal. I am pro net neutrality. As a conservative, I would like the internet to not change. Keeping it simple as it's always been is a conservative value. Making fundamental changes to the internet sounds extreme. This sounds like it would introduce a lot of new regulations.
1655. Chris Duryee, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
I am strongly opposed to the repeal of Net Neutrality. With markets serviced by few Internet Service Providers and some only by one, the consumer should not be served in a manner that is beholden to their provider. There is huge financial incentive for corporations to charge a premium for "faster" connections to content deemed important by the consumer. It is easily arguable that Internet Service Providers should be seen as a utility considering how already ubiquitous internet connectivity is now and will become increasingly dependent upon in the future.
1656. Steve Grimes, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
A free and open Internet is vital to commerce in our country. ISP's should not be trusted to establish routing or throttling to the destinations that provide real value.
1657. E, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
I am in favor of maintaining strict regulation protecting net neutrality. I am a patriot. I want to protect free speech, even at the expense of profits. I do not favor allowing providers to decide which web content to speed up or down. If the FCC will not exercise adequate oversight, then I am in favor of treating internet service as a public utility.
1658. Lauren , Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Without net neutrality, websites and content will be discriminated against. Small businesses and social justice will be put in jeopardy. Stop rich corporate monopolies from trying to run our politics, hurting our people, and stopping progress in the name of making themselves a buck.
1659. Michael Keogh, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
Stop these veiled attacks on our freedoms and privacy!
1660. Jamiel Hafiz, CATONSVILLE, MD, 21228  
There is no good reason NOT to put in NN protections. Leaving the door unlocked for no reason. Thinking those looking for profit will not look for ways/reasons to bend an unbalanced use. Seeking approval for an exception will better define appropriate, useful capitalistic innovations. Trying to capture and recoup damages later is a policy inviting damage and abuse.
1661. Steve Duffy, Catonsville, MD, 21228  
The proposed action is anti-free market, anti-competition, anti-innovation, anti-business, anti-consumer and anti-free speech. Our capitalist system and our democracy depend on free markets for goods, services and ideas with competition the hallmark. Unfortunately competition does not currently exist for the most part among providers of internet services directly to individual residences. The proposed rulemaking changes to eliminate net neutrality only strengthen the stranglehold of the current incumbent monopolists with no benefit to the public other than possibly increased tax revenues from the higher fees they will charge the individual citizen, either directly or by increasing the costs of content providers. Infinitely worse will be restrictions in the marketplace of speech and ideas. Although the current providers promise not to do anything that might affect the freedom of expression, there is no reason why we should take their word for it any more than we might make stopping at red lights voluntary or we might revoke the third amendment of the Bill

of Rights. After all, what good is freedom of speech if no one is permitted to hear you? That's™ totalitarianism.

1662. net, Columbia, MD, 21045  
You will see us in the streets like never before. Keep Obama's net neutrality rules in place or else !
1663. Alexander Hegeman, Baltimore, MD, 21202  
As a voting citizen of the United States of America, I would like to take this opportunity to express my opposition to the proposed actions of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) with regards to proceeding 17-108. In my opinion, the proposal to reverse a previous FCC decision which classified Internet Service Providers as common telecommunications carriers would not only have far-reaching negative effects, but would also go against the democratic and capitalistic values upon which this country thrives. I believe the current classification of Internet Service Providers as telecommunications carriers is much more accurate than the proposed change to being classified as Information Services. In today's America, many citizens rely on their internet connection as a primary or sole source of communication and transportation. To afford a select few companies the option to prioritize, monetize, and potentially monopolize these services as they see fit sits in stark contrast with the values of the United States of America. To allow paid prioritization would give unfair advantage to already rich and powerful companies, while stifling the small businesses and startups that embody the ethos of this great nation. I strongly believe that appropriate regulations governing the fair availability of internet services is key to promoting and sustaining the innovation and growth crucial to the continued success of the United States economy.
1664. Benjamin Hurlbut, Baltimore, MD, 21230  
Internet service providers, or ISP's must be classified as title two. This allows the internet to continue to be a place where small businesses and new ideas can grow and thrive. If the internet is not classified as title two, ISP's will have free rein to control the flow of information to users which can be extremely dangerous. Please keep the classification of ISP's as title 2.
1665. Barbara Watson, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I am an avid Internet consumer at home and at work. I strongly support net neutrality rules that prevent ISPs from blocking or slowing access to certain websites or creating Internet "fast lanes" by charging websites and online services money to reach consumers faster. I implore the FCC to ensure the Internet benefits consumers and small businesses as well as larger corporations. FCC Chairman Pai's™ proposal would help turn ISPs into gatekeepers with an effective veto right on innovation and expression. That is not how the Internet was built. That is not what we need. It is not in the interests of our nation. Please preserve the classification of ISPs as Title II providers.
1666. Stephen Watson, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
Because speech today so often occurs online, the right of free expression guaranteed to the people by our Constitution depends on open internet access for all. To abandon net neutrality would be to disregard the document at the core of our legal system and rule of law.
1667. John Scott, Baltimore, MD, 21207  
I support the current rules governing the management of internet access. I DO NOT support the changes proposed in this proceeding.
1668. Abhishek, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
This would largely favor telecom companies and will crush small internet businesses, such as retail stores or personal websites.
1669. Jonathan Moore, Baltimore, MD, 21224  
Save Net Neutrality. Let's not take another step backwards. We need an internet that protects privacy information, small businesses, and free speech. Let the internet be free from the influence of large corporations with deep pockets.
1670. Dre, Columbia, MD, 21044  
I am sick of large companies representing the interests only of themselves and ignoring the millions of people who have voiced their concern about violating net neutrality! Count the votes again and make sure you count spam bots on BOTH SIDES!
1671. Richard Gilmore, Baltimore, MD, 21211  
I vigorously oppose any change to the current net neutrality rules. The internet is an essential utility and must not be subject to the profit seeking providers trying to sell better access to those who can pay. Please let the current good rules remain the same.
1672. Luis Ponciano, Columbia, MD, 21045  
With the current state of internet service provider (ISP) availability throughout the United States removing the current rules in place for net neutrality is reckless and will end up costing consumers more money. Please do not repeal net neutrality as it currently stands.
1673. Brendan Witt, Baltimore, MD, 21250  
For the sake of the future of an open and free internet, exactly as we know it to be today, please continue to uphold current FCC rules pertaining to net neutrality, to protect Americans, such as ourselves and others alike, from offenses to an open internet, such as (but not limited to) censorship and throttling that ISPs could commit under weaker net neutrality legislation.
1674. Larry Finkelstein, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
Kind the Internet fair for all, big and small organizations. Do not let the major ISPs charge more for faster speeds. That will kill competition
1675. Jack Sanders, Ellicott City, MD, 21042  
I consider net neutrality a very important part of daily life. I already pay a considerable monthly fee to an ISP to use the internet. Allowing them to control available content seems too much like internet censorship. Censorship is acceptable to control access of illegal content, but controlling access to only those that pay the most prevents valuable content from distribution. Controlled access might eventually lead to filtered news and opinion, and to me, that is un-American. What we don't need is non-payers throttled to dial-up speeds while those rich enough to pay get a wide open pipe for distribution. Access to the internet is much like a utility. We are at the

mercy of ISPs. If they are not controlled, they will act as any monopoly or near monopoly would, and that is unacceptable. Think not? Executive management at the ISPs are expected to create the greatest return for their owners. If they don't, they should be fired. They would have no choice but to provide access to any entity with enough money to demand it. That bothers me greatly.

1676. Diana Hammonds, Ellicott City, MD, 21043  
I support net neutrality. Do not roll back the current law.
1677. Graham Trent, Baltimore, MD, 21201  
Repealing net neutrality is anti-consumer and only serves the interests of big business, whose considerations Chairman Pai seems to value above the American voter. Do not repeal net neutrality. Net neutrality is essential to the maintenance of a free and open platform of communication on the internet. Repealing net neutrality would result in pay-for-service censorship on the part of ISPs which would be devastating to free speech and equal access for all consumers.
1678. Samuel Lee, Baltimore, MD, 21218  
As a taxpaying citizen I am for Net Neutrality, it is vital that ISPs maintain a consistent level of bandwidth for all internet traffic rather than give priority to others who pay additional revenue. The internet is a vital resource and utility for all of our citizens and it's up to government institutions like the FCC to balance corporate interests so it favors the American people NOT the other way around. We pay our taxes in good faith that our government will work for us, not the other way around.
1679. Matthew Tabin, Baltimore, MD, 21205  
I FULLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY RULES. INTERNET, LIKE WATER AND POWER IS A UTILITY AND SHOULD BE REGULATED AS SUCH! Giving giant corporations the ability to choose which providers and by proxy which content gets preferred access, a decision which is, itself, decidedly reckless, places a significant damper on the tangible manifestations of free speech and blocks vast swaths of information exchange the internet was designed to do. Replacing the fabric of the internet could only be the act of a shortsighted person who cares nothing about the three and a half billion people in the world. The internet was designed to be the great equalizer. All who have access get information. It needs to remain that way!
1680. Matthew L Tabin, Baltimore, MD, 21205  
: I FULLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY RULES. INTERNET, LIKE WATER AND POWER IS A UTILITY AND SHOULD BE REGULATED AS SUCH! Giving giant corporations the ability to choose which providers and by proxy which content gets preferred access, a decision which is, itself, decidedly reckless, places a significant damper on the tangible manifestations of free speech and blocks vast swaths of information exchange the internet was designed to do. Replacing the fabric of the internet could only be the act of a shortsighted person who cares nothing about the three and a half billion internet-connected people in the world. The internet was designed to be the great equalizer. All who have access get information. It needs to remain that way!
1681. Mark Ragan, Columbia, MD, 21045  
I strongly support strong net neutrality rules and that such rules must be protected by title II oversight of ISPs. To do otherwise would be a huge mistake for this country. Thank you.
1682. Benjamin Hurlbut, Baltimore, MD, 21230  
As someone who uses the internet regularly both for business and for leisure, I understand the importance of having a place where all ideas are given equal measure and free speech can reign supreme. The internet is that place. The internet must remain classified as Title II to ensure it remains free, otherwise internet service providers will have the power to throttle various parts of the internet, controlling what content is easy for users to access and what is not. This is dangerous, as the Internet service providers will effectively be controlling what type of information the users will be able to access, making it harder for small businesses to succeed and for any ideas deemed unworthy by the internet service providers to prosper. Do not forget that neutrality of communication and information is important to maintaining a strong and healthy democracy. Again, please preserve net neutrality backed by Title II.

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used national language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. <https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9f0e3ed36a6>.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.